Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document537 Filed11/04/13 Page1 of 5

1	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP STUART L. GASNER - # 164675 sgasner@kvn.com SIMONA A. AGNOLUCCI - # 246943 sagnolucci@kvn.com KATHERINE M. LOVETT - # 276256			
2				
3				
4	klovett@kvn.com 633 Battery Street			
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400			
6	Facsimile: 415 397 7188			
7	Attorneys for Defendants WALTER LIEW and USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11 12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. CR 11-0573-JSW (NC)		
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE FED. RULE CRIM. P. 17(C) SUBPOENA		
14	V. WALTED LIEW CUDICTINALIEW	Place:	Courtroom 11, 19th Floor	
15	WALTER LIEW, CHRISTINA LIEW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Dept.:	Hon. Jeffrey S. White	
16 17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24

25

26

27 28

The undersigned, by and through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On August 8, 2013, this Court granted Defendants' Ex Parte Application for a Rule 17(c) Subpoena. Dkt. 410.
- 2. On August 12, 2013, Defendants served the Rule 17(c) subpoena issued by the Court on E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont"), with a return date of September 2, 2013, twenty-one days from the date of service.
- 3. On August 27, 2013, counsel for DuPont and defense counsel met and conferred about DuPont's efforts to locate documents responsive to the subpoena, and counsel for DuPont indicated that DuPont would be unable to fully respond to the subpoena by the return date. However, DuPont's counsel indicated that DuPont would be willing to produce documents on a rolling basis in order to expedite the Court's, the Government's, and defense counsel's review of documents responsive to the subpoena.
- 4. On September 10, 2013, counsel for defendants Walter Liew and USA Performance Technology, Inc. ("USAPTI") and counsel for DuPont filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re Fed. Rule Crim P.17(c) Subpoena (Dkt. 439) advising the Court as to the status of DuPont's response to the subpoena. The parties to that stipulation also represented that they would update the Court further on September 23, 2013. The Court signed this Order, as modified, on September 23, 2013. Dkt. 451.
- 5. On September 13, 2013, counsel for Walter Liew and USAPTI met and conferred further with counsel for DuPont. Counsel for DuPont represented that DuPont would produce to the Court its first set of documents responsive to categories E.1 and E.2 of the subpoena upon the entry of a mutually agreeable protective order. They also updated defendants regarding procedures DuPont has implemented to collect and review documents potentially responsive to the remaining categories set forth in the subpoena.
- 6. On September 16, 2013, the parties filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re Protection of Material Produced by E. I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company Pursuant to Rule

17(c) Subpoena. Dkt. 445. The following day, Magistrate Judge Cousins signed the protective order and DuPont produced its first set of responsive documents. Dkt. 446.

- 7. On October 4, 2013, Defendants and DuPont stipulated to continue DuPont's response date to October 18, 2013. Dkt. 469. The Court granted the requested continuance on October 7, 2013. Dkt. 470.
- 8. On October 16, 2013, Defendants and DuPont stipulated to further continue DuPont's response date to October 25, 2013. Dkt. 489. The Court granted the requested continuance on October 21, 2013. Dkt. 495.
- 9. On October 18, 2013, DuPont produced a second set of documents responsive to the Rule 17(c) subpoena. Dkt. 501.
- 10. On October 25, 2013, Defendants and DuPont stipulated to further continue DuPont's response date to November 4, 2013. Dkt. 506. The Court granted the requested continuance on October 28, 2013. Dkt. 520.
- 11. DuPont anticipates producing a third set of documents responsive to the Rule 17(c) subpoena on or about November 5, 2013.
- documents in response to the subpoena. Pursuant to their most recent meet-and-confer discussion, defense counsel and counsel for DuPont have agreed that DuPont will update defendants again on or about November 12, 2013, regarding the status of DuPont's efforts. Accordingly, defendants and DuPont stipulate that DuPont should be granted an extension until November 12, 2013, to allow DuPont to further: 1) collect and review documents; 2) determine the expense and burden associated with producing the categories of documents enumerated in the subpoena; 3) meet and confer with defense counsel regarding whether certain requests can be modified to allow for expedited production. By November 12, defendants and DuPont will update the Court regarding the status of DuPont's efforts and determine whether further extensions of time are required.
- 13. By entering into this stipulation, DuPont in no way waives, but rather expressly reserves, its right to move to quash those categories of documents that it determines are overly

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document537 Filed11/04/13 Page4 of 5

1	broad, would require an undue burden to locate and produce, or otherwise fail to satisfy the		
2	standards set forth in <i>United States v. Nixon</i> .		
3	14. Likewise, by entering into this stipulation, defendants in no way waive, but rather		
4	expressly reserve, their right to move to compel DuPont's compliance with the Rule 17(c)		
5	subpoena.		
6	15. Consistent with the expressed preference of the Court (Dkt. 451), DuPont will		
7	produce bates numbered copies of the documents to the Court in electronic format.		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
9	Dated: November 4, 2013 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP		
10	By: /s/ Stuart L. Gasner		
11	STUART L. GASNER SIMONA A. AGNOLUCCI		
12	KATHERINE M. LOVETT		
13	Attorneys for Defendants WALTER LIEW and USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.		
14	GLYNN & FINLEY		
15	Dated: November 4, 2013		
16	By: /s/ Clement L. Glynn		
17	CLEMENT GLYNN MORGAN LOPEZ		
18	Attorneys for E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	3		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE RULE 17 SUBPOENA Case No. CR 11-0573-JSW (NC)		

[PROPOSED] ORDER Based on the foregoing stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline for DuPont's response to the Rule 17(c) subpoena is continued to November 12, 2013. Defendants and DuPont shall update the Court by no later than that date regarding the status of DuPont's response. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: JEFFREY S. WHITE United States District Judge