1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com  Attorney for Plaintiff  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  OAKLAND DIVISION
11 12 13 14 15 16	HARD DRIVE PRODUCTIONS, INC.,  Plaintiff, v.  JOHN DOE,  Defendant.
17	RULE 26(f) REPORT
18 19	Plaintiff Hard Drive Productions, Inc., by and through its counsel, hereby submits this Rule
20	26(f) Report pursuant to the Court's Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR
21	Deadlines (Doc. No. 3), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rules 26(a)(1) and
22	26(f), and Northern District of California Civil Local Rule (hereinafter "L.R.") 16-9(a):
23	1. Individuals Likely to Have Discoverable Information:
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Paul Pilcher, CEO of Hard Drive Productions, Inc.</li> <li>Peter Hansmeier – 161 North Clark Street, Suite 3200, Chicago, Ill., 60601.</li> </ul>
27 28	Person Most Knowledgeable ("PMK") at Internet Service Providers in their Subpoena     Department – Custodian of Records.

1	• Seth Abrahams – Account Holder of 98.248.90.217 – 731 Catalina Drive, Livermore,
2	CA 94550.
3	Doe 1 – Identifying Information Currently Unknown.
5	Plaintiff reserves the right to add to this list of individuals identified as necessary in
6	the future.
7	2. Documents, Electronically Stored Information, and Tangible Things:
8	Physical Documents – Plaintiff's copyright records.
9	Electronically Stored Information – BitTorrent auditor, forensic information
10	demonstrating infringing activity over the BitTorrent.
11	3. Projected Discovery Timelines:
12	At this point, any projected timelines are premature for reasons explained in Plaintiff's Case
13 14	Management Conference Statement. (See ECF No. 12).
15	4. Subjects on Which Discovery, Including Electronic Discovery, Will be Needed:
16	While further discovery may be necessary to identify the infringer after Plaintiff conducts the
17	scheduled deposition, this potential further need cannot be determined until after the deposition.
18	First things first: Plaintiff will take Mr. Abrahams' deposition, and, afterwards, see whether further
19	pre-service discovery is needed.
20	5. Objections:
21	Plaintiff objects to the Court requiring Plaintiff to make any projected deadlines in this case
22	at this time.
23 24	6. Discovery Motion Currently Pending:
25	
26	N/A.
27	
28	Whether Doe 1 and the Account Holder of IP Address 98.248.90.217 are one and the same is unknown at this point.

## Case4:11-cv-05634-PJH Document13 Filed02/23/12 Page3 of 4

1	7. Other Issues:
2	N/A.
3	
4	D 46.11- C-1
5	Respectfully Submitted,
6	PRENDA LAW INC.,
7	DATED: February 23, 2012
8	By: <u>/s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.</u>
9	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc.
10	38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley CA 94941
11	38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	PLAINTIFF'S RULE 26(f) REPORT No. C-11-03827 LB

1	CEDTIFICATE OF SEDVICE
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	The undersigned hereby certifies that on February 23, 2012, all individuals of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served a true and correct copy of the
4	foregoing document, and all attachments and related documents, using the Court's ECF system, in compliance with Local Rule 5-6 and General Order 45.
5	
6	
7	/s/ Brett L. Gibbs Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4
	PLAINTIFF'S RULE 26(f) REPORT No. C-11-03827 LB