UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

) Case No.: 5:13-MD-2430-LHK
IN RE: GOOGLE INC. GMAIL LITIGATION	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART EX PARTE APPLICATION TO SHORTEN TIME
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS	

On February 19, 2014, various media entities filed a Motion to Intervene noticed for June 19, 2014, the Court's next available hearing date, for the purposes of opposing the parties' motions to seal related to the pending motion for class certification. *See* ECF No. 136. Along with the Motion to Intervene, the putative intervenors also filed an Ex Parte Application to Shorten Time to Hear the Motion to Intervene. *See* ECF No. 135. Defendant Google filed a response to putative intervenors' Ex Parte Application. *See* ECF No. 138.

In their Ex Parte Application, the putative intervenors seek to have their Motion to Intervene heard on February 27, 2014, the hearing date set for the class certification motion. *See* ECF No. 135. The putative intervenors seek expedited consideration of their Motion to Intervene for two reasons. First, putative intervenors contend that expedited consideration of their Motion to Intervene is warranted in light of the importance of the sealing issues. *Id.* Second, putative intervenors contend that hearing their Motion on February 27, 2014 would be efficient as it would 1

Case No.: 13-MD-02430-LHK

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART EX PARTE APPLICATION TO SHORTEN TIME

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

	9
ornia	10
Califo	11
t of (12
For the Northern District of Califor	13
	14
	15
the N	16
For	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

avoid requiring the parties to travel for another hearing date. Id. The Court, however, finds that a
hearing on the Motion to Intervene is unnecessary under Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), and accordingly
VACATES the hearing set for June 19, 2014. This moots putative intervenors' second concern
regarding requiring travel for another hearing date.

However, the Court recognizes the importance of putative intervenors' concerns regarding public access to judicial proceedings. The Court therefore expedites briefing on the Motion to Intervene. The parties shall file any response to the Motion to Intervene by March 3, 2014, and putative intervenors shall file any reply by March 7, 2014. Once briefing is complete, the Motion to Intervene will be deemed submitted without oral argument.

For the foregoing reasons, putative intervenors' Ex Parte Application is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 21, 2014

United States District Judge

fucy H. Koh