1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	[DI 2 TNIII T DI 2 ND 2420 T NZ
6	[!PLAINTIFF],) 13-MD-2430-LHK)
7	PLAINTIFF,) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA)
8	VS.) OCTOBER 2, 2013)
9	[!DEFENDANT],) PAGES 1-13
10	DEFENDANT.)
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CORY WATSON CROWDER DEGARIS, PC BY: JEROME TAPLEY
17	2131 MAGNOLIA AVENUE, STE 200 BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205
18	DIRMINGHAM, AL 33203
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT: COOLEY, LLP BY: WHITTY SOMVICHIAN
20	MICHAEL RHODES 101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 5TH FL
21	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
22	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY, TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER.
23	TRODUCED WITH COMMOTER.
24	APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: SUMMER FISHER, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185

1	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	CARTER WOLDEN CURTIS, LLP BY: KIRK WOLDEN
2		1111 EXPOSITION BLVD., STE 1 SACRAMENTO, CA 95815
3		SACIVIENTO, CA 93013
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	WYLY-ROMMEL, PLLC BY: SEAN ROMMEL
5		4004 TEXAS BLVD. TEXARKANA, TX 75503
6		111/21/21/21/3000
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA OCTOBER 2, 2013
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	(WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
4	WERE HELD:)
5	THE CLERK: CALLING FIRST CASE NUMBER 13-MD-2430-LHK.
6	IN RE GOOGLE GMAIL CORPORATION LITIGATION.
7	MR. SOMVICHIAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
8	WHITTY SOMVICHIAN AND MIKE RHODES WITH COOLEY FOR GOOGLE.
9	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.
LO	MR. TAPLEY: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. JEROME
L1	TAPLEY, SEAN ROMMEL AND KIRK WOLDEN FOR PLAINTIFFS.
L2	THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON.
L3	LET'S START OFF WITH THE JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
L 4	THAT STATES THAT GOOGLE IS CONTINUING TO EVALUATE WHETHER
L5	FURTHER MOTIONS MAY BE NECESSARY IN LIGHT OF THE COURT'S RULING
L 6	ON THE MOTION TO DISMISS.
L7	CAN YOU LET ME KNOW WHAT MOTIONS YOU ARE CONTEMPLATING
L 8	AND WHETHER YOU MADE A DECISION OR NOT?
L 9	MR. SOMVICHIAN: YES. WE DO HAVE AN UPDATE ON THAT,
20	YOUR HONOR.
21	WE PLAN TO FILE A MOTION WITH THE COURT ASKING THAT THE
22	MOTION TO DISMISS BE CERTIFIED WITH INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL UNDER
23	SECTION 1292.
24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
25	MR. SOMVICHIAN: WE PLAN TO THAT AS SOON AS WE CAN.

1	WE STARTED TO CONFER WITH PLAINTIFFS ABOUT A BRIEFING SCHEDULE
2	FOR THAT. WE HAVEN'T RESOLVED THAT YET, BUT WE ARE IN THE
3	PROCESS
4	THE COURT: I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU ONE.
5	MR. SOMVICHIAN: OKAY.
6	THE COURT: OKAY. ARE YOU ALSO ASKING FOR A STAY?
7	IS THE STAY MANDATORY? DISCRETIONARY?
8	MR. SOMVICHIAN: IT'S DISCRETIONARY, YOUR HONOR.
9	WE WOULD NOT BE ASKING FOR A STAY WHILE WE RESOLVE THE
LO	MOTION TO YOUR HONOR.
11	NOW IF ULTIMATELY YOU AGREE TO CERTIFY THE MOTION FOR AN
L2	INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL, WE WOULD THEN MOVE WITHIN TEN DAYS TO THE
L3	NINTH CIRCUIT.
L 4	IF THE NINTH CIRCUIT THEN ACCEPTS THE CASE FOR
L5	INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL, WE MAY REQUEST A STAY AT THAT POINT. BUT
L 6	UP UNTIL THEN IT'S NOT OUR INTENTION TO DEFER ANY OF THE DATES
L7	WE ARE CURRENTLY PROPOSING IN THE CMC STATEMENT.
L 8	THE COURT: OKAY.
L 9	SO YOU ARE NOT GOING TO ASK FOR A STAY ALONG WITH THE 1292
20	MOTION. YOU ARE GOING TO WAIT UNTIL THE CIRCUIT RULES ON
21	WHETHER IT'S GOING TO ACCEPT IT, ASSUMING I GRANT THE 1292
22	MOTION, THEN YOU MOVE FOR A STAY.
23	MR. SOMVICHIAN: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: THEN YOU WOULD MOVE FOR A STAY.
25	WHY DON'T YOU WANT TO DO IT AT THE SAME TIME, THE 1292?

1	MR. SOMVICHIAN: YOUR HONOR, IN THE EVENT YOU
2	DISAGREE OR THE NINTH CIRCUIT DOESN'T WANT TO TAKE THE CASE UP
3	ON APPEAL, OUR THOUGHT INITIALLY WAS TO KEEP THE CASE
4	PROCEEDING, IF YOU THINK THAT IT'S BETTER TO PUT THINGS ON
5	HOLD. WE ARE CERTAINLY AMENABLE TO THAT AS WELL, BUT OUR
6	INCLINATION BASED ON PRIOR CMC'S WITH YOUR HONOR WOULD BE TO
7	KEEP THE CASE PROCEEDING UNTIL WE KNOW WHETHER THE
8	NINTH CIRCUIT WANTS TO TAKE IT OR NOT.
9	THE COURT: YEAH. I'M NOT INCLINED TO GRANT A STAY.
10	SO THAT'S FINE IF YOU WANT TO DO ONLY A 1292 MOTION BY
11	ITSELF.
12	NOW YOU COULD ALSO SEEK A STAY FROM THE NINTH CIRCUIT IF
13	I DENIED THE STAY; IS THAT RIGHT?
14	MR. SOMVICHIAN: THAT'S RIGHT.
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
16	WELL THIS IS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO. JUST FOR MY OWN
17	CALENDAR, BECAUSE NOVEMBER IS GOING TO BE PRETTY FULL WITH SOME
18	TRIALS, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE YOU FILE YOUR MOTION BY
19	OCTOBER 9TH WHICH WOULD BE A WEEK FROM TODAY AND TO GIVE THE
20	PLAINTIFFS ONE WEEK UNTIL OCTOBER 16TH FOR AN OPPOSITION, THEN
21	I WOULD RATHER NOT HAVE A REPLY.
22	AND IF WE NEED A HEARING THAT COULD BE OCTOBER 24TH WHICH
23	IS THURSDAY. OTHERWISE I MIGHT JUST VACATE THAT DATE FOR A
24	HEARING. HOW DOES THAT SOUND?
25	MR. SOMVICHIAN: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE HAVE UNTIL THE

1	FOLLOWING WEEK IN ORDER TO FILE THE MOTION?
2	THE COURT: THIS IS THE PROBLEM, I HAVE A LONG
3	CRIMINAL TRIAL STARTING NOVEMBER 4TH AND I HAVE CLASS CERT AND
4	SUMMARY JUDGEMENT IPHONE MDL ON OCTOBER 31 AND NOVEMBER 7 AND I
5	HAVE THE APPLE V. SAMSUNG TRIAL NOVEMBER 12TH.
6	SO I'M GETTING SCRUNCHED. SO THAT'S WHY I'M TRYING TO
7	FIGURE OUT A TIME THAT WORKS FOR MY SCHEDULE. JUST BECAUSE IF
8	I BUMP YOUR DATE TO THE 7TH AND BUMP THEIR DATE TO THE 23RD
9	THEN I'M HITTING CLASS CERT AND SUMMARY JUDGEMENT IN THE MDL
10	AND I'M HITTING A CRIMINAL TRIAL, THEN I'M HITTING APPLE V.
11	SAMSUNG RETRIAL.
12	I'M SORRY, I JUST DON'T THINK I CAN ACCOMMODATE THAT
13	SCHEDULE.
14	MR. SOMVICHIAN: THAT'S FAIR, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: IS THAT ALL RIGHT? I'M SORRY.
16	MR. SOMVICHIAN: WE WILL MAKE IT HAPPEN.
17	THE COURT: OTHERWISE IT WOULD GET BUMPED OUT
18	PROBABLY INTO NEXT YEAR WHICH I WOULD RATHER NOT DO.
19	MR. TAPLEY: YOUR HONOR, WE CAN FROM THE PLAINTIFF'S
20	PERSPECTIVE OUR OPPOSITION BEING DUE THE 16TH IS DOABLE.
21	IF WE MOVED IT TO THE 24TH THAT'S ESSENTIALLY THE SAME DAY
22	THAT OUR CLASS CERT BRIEFING IS DUE AND WE WOULD RATHER NOT
23	HAVE THOSE AT THE SAME TIME.
24	SO THE NINTH AND THE 16TH IS DOABLE FOR US.
25	MR. SOMVICHIAN: YOUR HONOR, DOES IT MAKE A

1	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE NINTH, A WEEK FROM TODAY VERSUS THE END
2	OF NEXT WEEK? THE 11TH?
3	THE COURT: WELL IT WOULD THEN JUST CUT INTO MY TIME.
4	I ASSUME YOU NEED A FULL SEVEN DAYS, OR HOW MUCH TIME DO
5	YOU NEED FOR THE OPPOSITION? OR MAYBE YOU'RE NOT GOING TO
6	OPPOSE, I DON'T KNOW, ARE YOU GOING TO OPPOSE.
7	MR. TAPLEY: YOUR HONOR, WE FOUND OUT FIVE MINUTES
8	BEFORE THE HEARING THEY WILL FILE IT.
9	SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE WILL DO. MY GUESS IS WE WILL
10	OPPOSE, BUT HAVING NOT SEEN IT AND NOT HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY TO
11	CONSIDER IT I'M JUST GIVING YOU MY BEST GUESS AT THE MOMENT.
12	THE COURT: OKAY.
13	I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MORE TIME WITH IT THAN LESS. I HAVE
14	THE APPLE V. SAMSUNG PRETRIAL CONFERENCE OCTOBER 10TH AND
15	OCTOBER 17TH.
16	SO IF YOU SQUEEZE ME ON THE BACK END I WILL HAVE LESS TIME
17	TO ACTUALLY CONSIDER THIS, AND IT'S VERY IMPORTANT, SO I WOULD
18	LIKE TO GIVE IT ENOUGH TIME IF THAT'S ALL RIGHT.
19	MR. SOMVICHIAN: IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO KEEP IT THE 9TH
20	AND YOU THINK THAT WILL GIVE YOU MORE TIME, THAT'S FINE,
21	YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: OKAY. 9TH, 16TH, AND LET'S PLAN ON THE
23	24TH, MOST LIKELY A WON'T NEED A HEARING ON THIS.
24	MR. SOMVICHIAN: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE ON OUR SIDE
25	CONFLICTS ON THE 24TH. COULD WE MOVE IT TO THE NEXT DAY?

1	THE COURT: THE 25TH I CANNOT.
2	WHAT DO WE HAVE ON WHAT DID WE MOVE TO THE 28TH?
3	(OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.)
4	THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT ABOUT THE 28TH? DOES THAT
5	WORK BETTER?
6	MR. SOMVICHIAN: UNFORTUNATELY, THAT'S ANOTHER
7	CONFLICT ON OUR SIDE.
8	THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THE 29TH? THE TUESDAY.
9	MR. SOMVICHIAN: THAT WOULD WORK.
LO	THE COURT: DOES THAT WORK FOR YOU ALL? OKAY.
L1	MR. TAPLEY: THE 29TH IS FINE WITH PLAINTIFFS AS
L2	WELL, YOUR HONOR.
L3	THE COURT: I REALLY ACTUALLY DON'T THINK WE ARE
L 4	GOING TO NEED A HEARING. MY CONCERN IS THAT IT'S RUNNING INTO
L5	THE 31ST.
L 6	I ALSO HAVE SUMMARY JUDGEMENT ON A PATENT CASE ON THE 31ST
L 7	AND SOME OTHER LAW AND MOTION.
L 8	WHAT IF WE DID THIS, WELL, I GUESS I NEED TO GET ON YOUR
L 9	SCHEDULES. WE COULD JUST SAY NO HEARING THEN I CAN CONTACT IF
20	WE DO NEED ONE.
21	OTHERWISE I GUESS WE CAN HAVE THE HEARING ON THE 29TH.
22	I MAY JUST VACATE IT AND DECIDE IT ON THE PAPERS ANY WAY. AND
23	IF WE KEEP THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE AS IS THEN I WILL TRY TO, YOU
24	KNOW, HOPEFULLY GET YOU AN ORDER PROMPTLY.
25	BUT WE CAN SAY OCTOBER 29TH WHICH IS TUESDAY. SHOULD WE

1	SAY 1:30?
2	MR. SOMVICHIAN: OKAY.
3	THE COURT: WE COULD SAY WHY DON'T WE SAY
4	10:00 A.M., THAT WAY IT WON'T CONFLICT WITH THE PREPARATION WE
5	NEED TO DO WITH EVERYTHING HAPPENING ON THE 31ST.
6	OKAY. SO THAT'S WHAT WE WILL DO ON THAT.
7	MR. SOMVICHIAN: NO, YOUR HONOR.
8	OUR PREFERENCE, OBVIOUSLY, WOULD BE TO DO A SHORT REPLY IN
9	CONNECTION WITH THE MOTION. IF IT'S YOUR PREFERENCE NOT TO
L O	HAVE, WE WILL OF COURSE ABIDE BY THAT. BUT IF YOU WOULD
1	ENTERTAIN A BRIEF REPLY ON A SHORTENED SCHEDULE, WE COULD
L2	CERTAINLY DO THAT.
L3	THE COURT: NO, I THINK I'M FINE. THANK YOU.
L 4	I'VE ALREADY STARTED LOOKING AT THE LAW BECAUSE I SUSPECTED
L5	YOU MIGHT BE CONSIDERING THIS.
L 6	OKAY. YOUR REQUEST TO EXTEND YOUR DEADLINE TO FILE THE
L7	ANSWER TO OCTOBER 18TH THAT'S UNOPPOSED, CORRECT?
L8	MR. TAPLEY: YES, YOUR HONOR.
L 9	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. SO THAT'S GRANTED.
20	SO GOOGLE SHALL ANSWER I ASSUME SINCE THEY'RE NOT
21	AMEND YOU GO WON'T FILE ANOTHER MOTION TO DISMISS.
22	MR. SOMVICHIAN: THAT'S CORRECT.
23	THE COURT: OKAY.
24	I WOULD LIKE TO GO AHEAD AND SET THE REST OF THE CASE
25	SCHEDULE, THANK YOU FOR STIPULATING ON THE NEW PENNSYLVANIA

1 PLAINTIFF. 2 LET'S GO AHEAD AND SET A CASE SCHEDULE. OBVIOUSLY, THIS 3 COULD GET AFFECTED BY WHATEVER HAPPENS DOWN THE ROAD, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SET THE FURTHER CMC FOR JANUARY 16TH OF 2014 4 5 WHICH IS WHEN WE ARE SET TO HAVE THE CLASS CERT HEARING. 6 NOW EXPLAIN TO ME, MOST OF YOUR DATES WERE JUST 7 SEVEN-DAYS APART. WAS THAT BECAUSE OF COUNSEL'S AVAILABILITY? 8 MR. SOMVICHIAN: NO, THE DIFFERENCE, YOUR HONOR, IS 9 SIMPLY THE FACT THAT WE WANTED TO HAVE A DEFINED FACT DISCOVERY 10 CUTOFF BEFORE WE GET INTO THE EXPERT PROCESS SO THAT THE 11 EXPERTS AREN'T DEALING WITH A MOVING TARGET IN TERMS OF THE 12 RECORD. 13 THE PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL DOESN'T HAVE A SEPARATE FACT AND EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF AND THAT EXPLAINS THE DIFFERENCE. 14 15 THE COURT: I SEE. 16 MR. SOMVICHIAN: WE'VE ALSO ASKED FOR ONE ADDITIONAL WEEK IN BETWEEN THE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND THE JURY TRIAL DATE 17 18 AS COMPARED TO THE INTERVAL THAT THE PLAINTIFFS ARE PROPOSING 19 JUST TO GIVE US A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME TO PROCESS THE RESULT OF ANY DISPOSITIVE MOTION TO GET THINGS PREPARED. 20 21 THE COURT: OKAY. 22 MR. SOMVICHIAN: WELL, THIS IS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO 23 SUGGEST. IT'S MOSTLY GOOGLE'S DATES. 24 YOU'RE VERY CLOSE ANY WAY, BUT TO HAVE A FACT DISCOVERY CUT

OFF OF APRIL 3RD, NOW THAT ASSUMES THERE'S GOING TO BE NO GAME

25

1 PLAYING ON THE DISCOVERY. 2 SO OBVIOUSLY I'M GOING TO ASSUME THAT THAT'S NOT GOING TO 3 HAPPEN IN THIS CASE OR HAPPEN ANY FURTHER. I DO THINK THERE 4 HAS BEEN SOME HIDE-THE-BALL ON DISCOVERY SO FAR. 5 SO APRIL 3RD, 2014. OPENING EXPERT REPORTS APRIL 17TH. 6 REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS MAY 1ST. CLOSE OF EXPERT DISCOVERY 7 MAY 15TH. FILE YOUR DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS ON MAY 29TH. AND THE 8 HEARING ON DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS WILL BE JULY 17TH AT 1:30. 9 FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE SEPTEMBER 25TH AT 1:30 AND THE 10 OCTOBER 20TH TRIAL DATE THAT GOOGLE PROPOSES IS FINE. I WILL 11 SET IT FOR 9:00 A.M. AND I WILL TENTATIVELY SET IT FOR NINE 12 DAYS, OBVIOUSLY THAT CAN BE ADJUSTED AS THE CASE PROGRESSES. 13 DO YOU NEED ME TO REPEAT ANY OF THOSE DATES? MR. TAPLEY: NO, YOUR HONOR. 14 15 MR. SOMVICHIAN: I THINK WE HAVE THEM, YOUR HONOR. 16 THE COURT: OKAY. 17 SO NOW REGARDING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION, IS IT 18 GOOGLE'S POSITION THAT YOU ACTUAL NEED AN ORDER ON THE CLASS 19 CERT MOTION BEFORE YOU ARE WILLING TO HAVE A DISPUTE RESOLUTION 20 SESSION, OR IS JUST THE BRIEFING SUFFICIENT, OR JUST THE 21 MOTION. 22 MR. SOMVICHIAN: WELL, AT THIS POINT, YOUR HONOR, I 23 THINK WE DO NEED GUIDANCE ON THE CLASS CERTIFICATION ISSUES IN 24 ORDER TO HAVE A PRODUCTIVE MEDIATION SESSION. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

1	THEN WHY DON'T WE GO AHEAD AND SET A MARCH 15TH DEADLINE
2	FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.
3	MR. SOMVICHIAN: I THINK THAT WOULD BE FINE,
4	YOUR HONOR.
5	MR. TAPLEY: FINE, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHAT FORM DO YOU WANT? WHAT
7	WOULD BE MOST HELPFUL AT THAT STAGE?
8	MR. SOMVICHIAN: I THINK WE CAN CONFER AND AGREE ON A
9	PRIVATE MEDIATOR.
10	THE COURT: OKAY.
11	MR. SOMVICHIAN: WE HAVE SPOKEN ABOUT THAT ALREADY.
12	THE COURT: YOU HAVEN'T HAD ANY SESSIONS SO FAR,
13	RIGHT?
14	MR. SOMVICHIAN: NO.
15	MR. TAPLEY: NO, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
17	WELL, I WILL SET A PRIVATE MEDIATION DEADLINE OF MARCH 15TH
18	OF 2013.
19	ALL RIGHT. WHAT ELSE, ANYTHING ELSE FOR TODAY?
20	MR. TAPLEY: NO, YOUR HONOR.
21	MR. SOMVICHIAN: I THINK THAT'S IT, YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: NO. OKAY.
23	THANK YOU.
24	MR. SOMVICHIAN: THANK YOU.
25	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.

DATED: 10/11/13

25 SUMMER A. FISHER, CSR, CRR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185