1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CINDY COHN (SBN 145997) cindy@eff.org LEE TIEN (SBN 148216) KURT OPSAHL (SBN 191303) JAMES S. TYRE (SBN 083117) DAVID GREENE (SBN 160107) MARK RUMOLD (SBN 279060) ANDREW CROCKER (SBN 291596) ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 Fax: (415) 436-9993 RICHARD R. WIEBE (SBN 121156) wiebe@pacbell.net LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD R. WIEBE One California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Fax: (415) 433-6382	RACHAEL E. MENY (SBN 178514) rmeny@kvn.com PAULA L. BLIZZARD (SBN 207920) MICHAEL S. KWUN (SBN 198945) AUDREY WALTON-HADLOCK (SBN 250574) BENJAMIN W. BERKOWITZ (SBN 244441) JUSTINA K. SESSIONS (SBN 270914) KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Fax: (415) 397-7188 THOMAS E. MOORE III (SBN 115107) tmoore@rroyselaw.com ROYSE LAW FIRM, PC 1717 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 813-9700 Fax: (650) 813-9777 ARAM ANTARAMIAN (SBN 239070) aram@eff.org LAW OFFICE OF ARAM ANTARAMIAN 1714 Blake Street
14		Berkeley, CA 94703 Telephone: (510) 289-1626
15	Counsel for Plaintiffs	Telephone. (310) 289-1020
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10		
17	FOR THE NORTHERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	FOR THE NORTHERN I	Case No. 13-cv-3287-JSW PROPOSED PRESERVATION ORDER PER COURT REQUEST OF MARCH 19, 2014 Date: March 19, 2014 Time: 2:00 p.m. Hon. Jeffrey S. White Courtroom 11 - 19th Floor

3 4

> 5 6 7

9

10

11

8

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 26

28

27

The Court enters the following evidence preservation order:

- A. The Court reminds all parties of their duty to preserve evidence that may be relevant to this action. The duty extends to documents, data and tangible things in the possession, custody and control of the parties to this action, and any employees, agents, contractors, carriers, bailees or other non-parties who possess materials reasonably anticipated to be subject to discovery in this action. Counsel are under an obligation to exercise efforts to identify and notify such non-parties, including employees of corporate or institutional parties.
- B. "Documents, data and tangible things" is to be interpreted broadly to include writings, records, files, correspondence, reports, memoranda, calendars, diaries, minutes, electronic messages, voicemail, e-mail, telephone message records or logs, computer and network activity logs, hard drives, backup data, removable computer storage media such as tapes, disks and cards, printouts, document image files, web pages, databases, spreadsheets, software, books, ledgers, journals, orders, invoices, bills, vouchers, checks, statements, worksheets, summaries, compilations, computations, charts, diagrams, graphic presentations, drawings, films, digital or chemical process photographs, video, phonographic, tape or digital recordings or transcripts thereof, drafts, jottings and notes. Information that serves to identify, locate, or link such material, such as file inventories, file folders, indices and metadata, is also included in this definition.
- C. "Preservation" is to be interpreted broadly to accomplish the goal of maintaining the integrity of all documents, data and tangible things reasonably anticipated to be subject to discovery under FRCP 26, 45 and 56(e) in this action. Preservation includes taking reasonable steps to prevent the partial or full destruction, alteration, testing, deletion, shredding, incineration, wiping, relocation, migration, theft, or mutation of such material, as well as negligent or intentional handling that would make material incomplete or inaccessible.
- D. This order extends to information potentially relevant to or reasonably anticipated to be subject to discovery under the currently operative Complaint in this case (ECF No. 9) and any subsequent amended complaints. For the purposes of clarity, the key allegations of the current complaint with regard to evidence preservation are:

- 3. This lawsuit challenges an illegal and unconstitutional program of dragnet electronic surveillance, specifically the bulk acquisition, seizure, collection, storage, retention, and searching of telephone communications information (the "Associational Tracking Program") conducted by the National Security Agency (NSA) and the other defendants (collectively, "Defendants").
- 5. The communications information that Defendants collect in the Associational Tracking Program is retained and stored by Defendants in one or more databases. The Program collects information concerning all calls wholly within the United States, including local telephone calls, as well as all calls between the United States and abroad, regardless of a connection to international terrorism, reasonable suspicion of criminality, or any other form of wrongdoing. This information is stored for at least five years. Defendants have indiscriminately obtained, and stored the telephone communications information of millions of ordinary Americans as part of the Associational Tracking Program.
- 53. The Associational Tracking Program is electronic surveillance that collects and acquires telephone communications information for all telephone calls transiting the networks of all major American telecommunication companies, including Verizon, AT&T, and Sprint. Every day, the Associational Tracking Program collects information about millions of telephone calls made by millions of Americans. This includes information about all calls made wholly within the United States, including local telephone calls, as well as communications between the United States and abroad.
- 55. Beginning in 2001, participating phone companies voluntarily provided telephone communications information for the Associational Tracking program to Defendants. Since 2006, the FISC, at the request of Defendants, has issued orders under 50 U.S.C. § 1861 purporting to compel the production of communications information, including communications information not yet in existence, on an ongoing basis, as part of the Associational Tracking Program.
- 60. The telephone communications information Defendants seize, collect and acquire in bulk as part of the Associational Tracking Program is retained and stored by Defendants in one or more databases . . . information of millions of ordinary Americans, including Plaintiffs, their members, and staffs, as part of the Associational Tracking Program.
- 66. Defendants' bulk seizure, collection, acquisition, and retention of the telephone communications information of Plaintiffs, their members, and their staffs is done without lawful authorization, probable cause, and/or individualized suspicion. It is done in violation of statutory and constitutional limitations and in excess of statutory and constitutional authority. Any judicial, administrative, or executive authorization (including any order issued pursuant to the business records provision of 50 U.S.C. § 1861) of the Associational Tracking Program or of the acquisition and

retention of the communications information of Plaintiffs, their members, and their staffs is unlawful and invalid.

73. Defendants' searching of the telephone communications information of Plaintiffs is done without lawful authorization, probable cause, and/or individualized suspicion. It is done in violation of statutory and constitutional limitations and in excess of statutory and constitutional authority. Any judicial, administrative, or executive authorization (including any business records order issued pursuant 50 U.S.C. § 1861) of the Associational Tracking Program or of the searching of the communications information of Plaintiffs is unlawful and invalid.

Pursuant to the above-referenced allegations, this Order extends to documents, data and tangible things at issue without regard to when the government created or acquired them after 2001 or the legal authority under which the government created or acquired them, whether under orders of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court or otherwise. The order includes, but is not limited to, the telephone records at issue in the government's notice to the Court in March 2014 (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 95 in 13-cv-3287-JSW) and all similar records.

- E. Counsel are directed to inquire of their respective clients if the business or government practices of any party involve the routine destruction, recycling, relocation, or mutation of such materials and, if so, direct the party, to the extent practicable for the pendency of this order, either to
 - (1) halt such business or government practices;
 - (2) sequester or remove such material from the business or government practices; or
 - (3) arrange for the preservation of complete and accurate duplicates or copies of such material, suitable for later discovery if requested.

United States District Court Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	
	Honorable Jeffrey S. White

Case No. 13-cv-3287-JSW -3-