

EXHIBIT B

1 STUART F. DELERY
 Assistant Attorney General
 2 JOSEPH H. HUNT
 Director, Federal Programs Branch
 3 ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
 Deputy Branch Director
 4 tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov
 JAMES J. GILLIGAN
 5 Special Litigation Counsel
james.gilligan@usdoj.gov
 6 MARCIA BERMAN
 Senior Trial Counsel
 7 marcia.berman@usdoj.gov
 BRYAN W. DEARINGER
 8 Trial Attorney
bryan.dearinger@usdoj.gov
 9 RODNEY PATTON
 Trial Attorney
 10 rodney.patton@usdoj.gov
 U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
 11 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 7132
 Washington, D.C. 20001
 12 Phone: (202) 514-2205; Fax: (202) 616-8470

13 *Attorneys for the Government Defs. in their Official Capacity*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 FIRST UNITARIAN CHURCH OF LOS
 17 ANGELES, *et al.*,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, *et al.*,

21 Defendants.

Case No. 3:13-cv-03287-JSW

**DECLARATION OF ACTING
 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR JOSHUA
 SKULE, FEDERAL BUREAU
 OF INVESTIGATION**

22
 23 I, Joshua Skule, hereby state and declare as follows:

24 1. I am the Acting Assistant Director of the Counterterrorism Division, Federal
 25 Bureau of Investigation (FBI), United States Department of Justice, a component of an Executive
 26 Department of the United States Government. I am responsible for, among other things,
 27 directing and overseeing the conduct of investigations originating from the FBI's
 28

1 Counterterrorism Division. As Acting Assistant Director, I have official supervision and control
2 over files and records of the Counterterrorism Division, FBI, Washington, D.C.

3 2. The FBI submits this declaration in the above-captioned case in support of the
4 Government's opposition to the plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment. The statements
5 made herein are based on my personal knowledge, and information I have obtained in the course
6 of carrying out my duties and responsibilities as Acting Assistant Director.

7 3. I discuss herein the National Security Agency's (NSA's) telephony metadata
8 program, authorized by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) pursuant to Section
9 215 of the USA-PATRIOT Act, under which the NSA obtains and queries bulk telephony
10 metadata for counterterrorism purposes. I address in unclassified terms the value of this program
11 as a tool, including as a complement to other classified and unclassified FBI investigatory
12 capabilities not discussed herein, for protecting the United States and its people from terrorist
13 attack.

14 Overview of the NSA Telephony Metadata Program

15 4. One of the greatest challenges the United States faces in combating international
16 terrorism and preventing potentially catastrophic terrorist attacks on our country is identifying
17 terrorist operatives and networks, particularly those operating within the United States. It is
18 imperative that the United States Government have the capability to rapidly identify any terrorist
19 threat inside the United States. Detecting threats by exploiting terrorist communications has
20 been, and continues to be, one of the critical tools in this effort.

21 5. One method that the NSA has developed to accomplish this objective is the
22 FISC-authorized bulk collection and analysis of telephony metadata that principally pertains to
23 telephone calls to, from, or within the United States. Under the NSA's telephony metadata
24 program authorized by the FISC, the term "metadata" refers to information that is about
25 telephone calls but does not include cell site location information or the content of any
26 communication, as defined by 18 U.S.C. § 2510(8), or the name, address, or financial
27 information of a subscriber or customer. Specifically, such telephony metadata include
28 comprehensive communications routing information, including but not limited to session

1 identifying information (*e.g.*, originating and terminating telephone number, International
2 Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) number, International Mobile station Equipment Identity
3 (IMEI) number, etc.), trunk identifier, telephone calling card numbers, and time and duration of
4 call. By analyzing telephony metadata based on telephone numbers (or other identifiers)
5 associated with terrorist operatives or activity, NSA analysts can work to determine whether
6 known or suspected terrorists have been in contact with individuals in the United States. The
7 NSA telephony metadata program was specifically developed to assist the Government in
8 detecting communications between known or suspected terrorists who are operating outside of
9 the United States and who are in contact with others inside the United States, as well as
10 communications between operatives within the United States.

11 6. Under the NSA telephony metadata program at issue in this case, the FBI obtains
12 orders from the FISC directing certain telecommunications service providers to produce
13 telephony metadata, also referred to as call detail records, to the NSA. The NSA then stores,
14 queries, and analyzes the metadata for counterterrorism purposes. The FISC issues these orders
15 under the “business records” provision of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA), 50
16 U.S.C. § 1861, enacted by section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act (Section 215). Under the
17 terms of the FISC’s orders, the authority to continue the program must be renewed every 90
18 days. The FISC first authorized the program in May 2006, and since then it has periodically
19 renewed the program thirty-four (34) times under orders issued by fifteen (15) different FISC
20 judges.

21 7. Under the FISC’s orders, the information produced to the NSA is strictly limited
22 to telephony metadata, including the telephone numbers used to make and receive the call, when
23 the call took place, and how long the call lasted. The metadata obtained under this FISC-
24 authorized program do not include any information about the content of those calls. The
25 Government cannot, through this program, listen to or record any telephone conversations. The
26 metadata principally pertain to telephone calls made from foreign countries to the United States,
27 calls made from the United States to foreign countries, and calls within the United States.
28

1 8. Telephony metadata can be an important tool in a counter-terrorism investigation
2 because analysis of the data permits the Government to determine quickly whether known or
3 suspected terrorist operatives have been in contact with other persons who may be engaged in
4 terrorist activities, including persons and activities within the United States. The NSA Section
5 215 telephony metadata program is carefully limited to this purpose: it is not lawful for anyone
6 to query the bulk telephony metadata for any purpose other than counterterrorism, and FISC -
7 imposed rules strictly limit all such queries. The program includes a variety of oversight
8 mechanisms to prevent misuse, as well as external reporting requirements to the FISC and the
9 United States Congress.

10 9. The utility of analyzing telephony metadata as an intelligence tool is not a matter
11 of conjecture. Pen-register and trap-and-trace (PR/TT) devices provide no historical contact
12 information, only a record of contacts with the target occurring after the devices have been
13 installed. For decades reaching back to the Cold-War era, the FBI has relied on contact chaining
14 as a method of detecting foreign espionage networks and operatives, both in the United States
15 and abroad, and disrupting their plans. As discussed below, experience has shown that NSA
16 metadata analysis, in complement with other FBI investigatory and analytical capabilities,
17 produces information pertinent to FBI counter-terrorism investigations, and can contribute to the
18 prevention of terrorist attacks. Indeed, in March 2009, the FISC ordered that the continued
19 collection and retention of such metadata be justified by the submission of an affidavit from the
20 Director of the FBI articulating the value of the program. The FBI provided the declaration as
21 ordered and the Court reauthorized the program.

22 Court Approval

23 10. Under the Section 215 program at issue, the FBI submits an application to the
24 FISC seeking orders directing named telecommunications service providers to produce to NSA
25 call detail records created in the ordinary course of business. As required by Section 215, the
26 Government's application contains a statement of facts showing that there are reasonable
27 grounds to believe the records sought are relevant to the FBI's authorized investigations of the
28 specified foreign terrorist organizations. In addition, the application explains that the records are

1 sought for investigations to protect against international terrorism, conducted under guidelines
2 approved by the Attorney General pursuant to Executive Order 12333 (as amended) that concern
3 specified foreign terrorist organizations. The application is supported by a declaration from a
4 senior official of NSA's Signals Intelligence Directorate (SID).

5 11. Starting in May 2006 fifteen (15) separate judges of the FISC have granted the
6 Government's applications for bulk production of telephony metadata under this program on
7 thirty-five (35) separate occasions. From time to time, prior to granting the Government's
8 application the Court convenes a hearing to receive additional evidence and testimony regarding
9 the program and its implementation (as occurred in connection with the most recent renewal of
10 the program on July 19, 2013). On granting an application, the FISC issues a "Primary Order"
11 that recites the court's findings, including that there are reasonable grounds to believe the call
12 detail records sought are relevant to authorized FBI investigations to protect against international
13 terrorism. The Primary Order then provides that certain telecommunications service providers,
14 upon receipt of appropriate Secondary Orders (discussed below), shall produce to NSA on an
15 ongoing daily basis for the duration of the Primary Order electronic copies of the call detail
16 records created by them containing the "telephony metadata" discussed above, explicitly
17 excluding the substantive content of any communication, the name, address, or financial
18 information of a subscriber or customer, and cell site location information.

19 12. The Primary Order also sets a specific date and time on which the NSA's
20 authority to collect bulk telephony metadata from the providers expires, usually within 90 days
21 of the date on which the FISC issues the order, necessitating the submission of an application for
22 additional orders to renew the NSA's authority if the program is to continue.

23 13. In conjunction with the Primary Order, the FISC also issues a so-called
24 "Secondary Order" to each of the telecommunications service providers identified in the Primary
25 Order. These orders direct the providers, consistent with the Primary Order, to produce
26 "telephony metadata" to NSA on an ongoing daily basis thereafter for the duration of the Order.
27 Telephony metadata is defined under the Secondary Orders to include (and exclude) the same
28 information as under the Primary Order.

1 14. These prospective orders for the production of metadata make for efficient
2 administration of the process for all parties involved—the FISC, the Government, and the
3 providers. In theory the FBI could seek a new set of orders on a daily basis for the records
4 created within the preceding 24 hours. But the creation and processing of such requests would
5 impose entirely unnecessary burdens on both the FISC and the FBI – no new information would
6 be anticipated in such a short period of time to alter the basis of the FBI’s request or the facts
7 upon which the FISC has based its orders. Providers would also be forced to review daily
8 requests, rather than merely continuing to comply with one ongoing request, a situation that
9 would be more onerous on the providers and raise potential and unnecessary compliance issues.
10 The prospective orders sought and obtained by the FBI merely ensure that the records can be
11 sought in a reasonable manner for a reasonable period of time (90 days) while avoiding
12 unreasonable and burdensome paperwork.

13 NSA’s Query and Analysis of the Metadata and Dissemination of the Results

14 15. Under the FISC Orders at issue, before NSA may query the metadata acquired
15 under the FISC’s orders for intelligence purposes, authorized NSA officials must determine that
16 the identifiers on which the queries will be based are reasonably suspected of being associated
17 with one (or more) of the foreign terrorist organizations specified in the Primary Order.

18 16. The information on which such determinations of “reasonable, articulable
19 suspicion” are based comes from several sources, including the FBI. The FBI, based on
20 information acquired in the course of one or more counter-terrorism investigations, may develop
21 reasons for concluding that a particular identifier, such as a foreign telephone number, is
22 associated with a person (located in the United States or abroad) who is affiliated with one of the
23 specified terrorist organizations. On that basis, the FBI may submit a request to NSA for further
24 information about that identifier available from the collected telephony metadata.

25 Investigative Value of Telephony Metadata to the FBI’s Counter-Terrorism Mission

26 17. Counter-terrorism investigations serve important purposes beyond the ambit of
27 routine criminal inquiries and prosecution, which ordinarily focus retrospectively on specific
28 crimes that have already occurred and the persons known or suspected to have committed them.

1 The key purpose of terrorism investigations, in contrast, is to prevent terrorist attacks before they
2 occur. Terrorism investigations also provide the basis for, and inform decisions concerning,
3 other measures needed to protect the national security, including: excluding or removing persons
4 involved in terrorism from the United States; freezing assets of organizations that engage in or
5 support terrorism; securing targets of terrorism; providing threat information and warnings to
6 other federal, state, local, and private agencies and entities; diplomatic or military actions; and
7 actions by other intelligence agencies to counter international terrorism threats.

8 18. As a result, national security investigations often have remarkable breadth,
9 spanning long periods of time and multiple geographic regions to identify terrorist groups, their
10 members, and their intended targets, plans, and means of attack, many of which are often
11 unknown to the intelligence community at the outset. National security investigations thus
12 require correspondingly far-reaching means of information-gathering to shed light on suspected
13 terrorist organizations, their size and composition, geographic reach, relation to foreign powers,
14 financial resources, past acts, goals, plans, and capacity for carrying them out, so that their plans
15 may be thwarted before terrorist attacks are launched. Contact chaining information derived from
16 queries and analysis of the Section 215 bulk telephony metadata has contributed to achieving this
17 critical objective.

18 19. The FBI derives significant value from the advantages of telephony metadata
19 analysis. The FBI is charged with collecting intelligence and conducting investigations to detect,
20 disrupt, and prevent terrorist threats to national security. The more pertinent information the FBI
21 has regarding such threats, the more likely it will be able to protect against them. The oft-used
22 metaphor is that the FBI is responsible for "connecting the dots" to form a picture of the threats
23 to national security. Information gleaned from analysis of bulk telephony metadata provides
24 additional "dots" that the FBI uses to ascertain the nature and extent of domestic threats to the
25 national security.

26 20. The NSA provides "tips" to the FBI regarding certain telephone numbers
27 resulting from a query of the Section 215 telephony metadata. In certain instances, the FBI has
28 received metadata-based tips containing information not previously known to the FBI about

1 domestic telephone numbers utilized by targets of pending preliminary investigations. The
2 information from the metadata tips has provided articulable factual bases to believe that the
3 subjects posed a threat to the national security such that the preliminary investigations could be
4 converted to full investigations, which, in turn, led the FBI to focus resources on those targets
5 and their activities. The FBI has also re-opened previously closed investigations based on
6 information contained in metadata tips. In those instances, the FBI had previously exhausted all
7 leads and concluded that no further investigation was warranted. The new information from the
8 metadata tips was significant enough to warrant the re-opening of the investigations.

9 21. In other situations, the FBI may already have an investigative interest in a
10 particular domestic telephone number prior to receiving a metadata tip from NSA. Nevertheless,
11 the tip may be valuable if it provides new information regarding the domestic telephone number
12 that re-vitalizes the investigation, or otherwise allows the FBI to focus its resources more
13 efficiently and effectively on individuals who present genuine threats (by helping either to
14 confirm or to rule out particular individuals as subjects for further investigation).

15 22. Accordingly, the NSA telephony metadata program authorized under Section 215
16 is a valuable source of intelligence for the FBI that is relevant to FBI-authorized international
17 terrorism investigations.

18 23. The tips or leads the FBI receives from bulk metadata analysis under this program
19 can also act as an early warning of a possible threat to the national security. The sooner the FBI
20 obtains information about particular threats to national security, the more likely it will be able to
21 prevent and protect against them. Bulk metadata analysis sometimes provides information
22 earlier than the FBI's other investigative methods and techniques. In those instances, the Section
23 215 NSA telephony metadata program acts as an "early warning system" of potential threats
24 against national security. Earlier receipt of this information may advance an investigation and
25 contribute to the FBI preventing a terrorist attack that, absent the metadata tip, the FBI could not.

26 24. A number of recent episodes illustrate the role that telephony metadata analysis
27 can play in preventing and protecting against terrorist attack. In January 2009, using authorized
28 collection under Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act to monitor the

1 communications of an extremist overseas with ties to al-Qa'ida, NSA discovered a connection
2 with an individual based in Kansas City. NSA tipped the information to the FBI, which during
3 the course of its investigation discovered that there had been a plot in its early stages to attack the
4 New York Stock Exchange. After further investigation, NSA queried the telephony metadata to
5 ensure that all potential connections were identified, which assisted the FBI in running down
6 leads. As a result of the investigation, three defendants pled guilty and were convicted of
7 terrorism offenses relating to their efforts to support al-Qa'ida.

8 25. In October 2009, David Coleman Headley, a Chicago businessman and dual U.S.
9 and Pakistani citizen, was arrested by the FBI as he tried to depart from Chicago O'Hare airport
10 on a trip to Pakistan. At the time of his arrest, Headley and his colleagues, at the behest of al-
11 Qa'ida, were plotting to attack the Danish newspaper that published cartoons depicting the
12 Prophet Mohammed. Headley was later charged with support to terrorism based on his
13 involvement in the planning and reconnaissance for the 2008 hotel attack in Mumbai. Collection
14 against foreign terrorists and telephony metadata analysis were utilized in tandem with FBI law
15 enforcement authorities to establish Headley's foreign ties and put them in context with his U.S.
16 based planning efforts.

17 26. In September 2009, using authorized collection under Section 702 to monitor al-
18 Qa'ida terrorists overseas, NSA discovered that one of the al-Qa'ida associated terrorists was in
19 contact with an unknown person located in the U.S. about efforts to procure explosive material.
20 NSA immediately tipped this information to the FBI, which investigated further, and identified
21 the al-Qa'ida contact as Colorado-based extremist Najibullah Zazi. NSA and FBI worked
22 together to determine the extent of Zazi's relationship with al-Qa'ida and to identify any other
23 foreign or domestic terrorist links. NSA received Zazi's telephone number from the FBI and ran
24 it against the Section 215 telephony metadata, identifying and passing additional leads back to
25 the FBI for investigation. One of these leads revealed a previously unknown number for co-
26 conspirator Adis Medunjanin and corroborated his connection to Zazi as well as to other U.S.-
27 based extremists. Zazi and his co-conspirators were subsequently arrested. Upon indictment,
28

1 Zazi pled guilty to conspiring to bomb the New York City subway system. In November 2012,
2 Medunjanin was sentenced to life in prison.

3 Alternatives to the NSA's Bulk Collection of Telephony Metadata

4 27. The NSA bulk collection program at issue here presents distinct advantages.
5 The contact chaining capabilities offered by the program exceed the chaining that is performed
6 on data collected pursuant to other means, including traditional means of case-by-case
7 intelligence gathering targeted at individual telephone numbers such as subpoena, warrant,
8 national security letter, pen-register and trap-and-trace (PR/TT) devices, or more narrowly
9 defined orders under Section 215. This is so in at least two important respects, namely, the
10 NSA's querying and analysis of the aggregated bulk telephony metadata under this program.

11 28. First, the agility of querying the metadata collected by NSA under this program
12 allows for more immediate contact chaining, which is significant in time-sensitive situations of
13 suspects' communications with known or as-yet unknown co-conspirators. For example, if
14 investigators find a new telephone number when an agent of one of the identified international
15 terrorist organizations is captured, and the Government issues a national security letter for the
16 call detail records for that particular number, it would only be able to obtain the first tier of
17 telephone number contacts and, in rare instances, the second tier of contacts if the FBI separately
18 demonstrates the relevance of the second-generation information to the national security
19 investigation. At least with respect to the vast majority of national security letters issued, new
20 national security letters would have to be issued for telephone numbers identified in the first tier,
21 in order to find an additional tier of contacts. The delay inherent in issuing new national security
22 letters would necessarily mean losing valuable time.

23 29. Second, aggregating the NSA telephony metadata from different
24 telecommunications providers enhances and expedites the ability to identify chains of
25 communications across multiple providers. Furthermore, NSA disseminations provided to the
26 FBI from this program may include NSA's analysis informed by its unique collection
27 capabilities.

28

