

AARON J. GREENSPAN  
THINK COMPUTER FOUNDATION  
1132 Boranda Avenue  
Mountain View, CA 94040-3145  
Telephone: (415) 670-9350  
Fax: (415) 373-3959  
E-Mail: legal@thinkcomputer.org

**FILED**

**DEC -7 2015**

SUSAN Y. SOONG  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE

*PRO SE*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

AARON GREENSPAN; THINK  
COMPUTER FOUNDATION, an Ohio  
501(c)(3) non-profit corporation; and THINK  
COMPUTER CORPORATION, a Delaware  
corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE  
UNITED STATES COURTS; MICHEL  
ISHAKIAN, in her official capacity on behalf  
of the Administrative Office of the United  
States Courts; WENDELL SKIDGEL, in his  
official capacity on behalf of the  
Administrative Office of the United States  
Courts; UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT  
OF CALIFORNIA; RICHARD WIEKING, in  
his official capacity on behalf of the United  
States District Court for the Northern District  
of California; CLAUDIA WILKEN in her  
official capacity on behalf of the United States  
District Court for the Northern District of  
California; and AMERICAN BAR  
ASSOCIATION,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-02396-JTM

**MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM A  
JUDGMENT OR ORDER PURSUANT TO  
RULE 60(b)(1) OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE  
60(b)(6)**

Judge Jeffrey T. Miller

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 60(b)(1) and 60(b)(6), plaintiffs Aaron Greenspan, Think Computer Corporation and Think Computer Foundation (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") respectfully move for relief from this Court's December 4, 2014 AMENDED ORDER DISMISSING CORPORATE PLAINTIFFS FOR FAILURE TO OBTAIN LEGAL REPRESENTATION; GRANTING ALL MOTIONS TO DISMISS; DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT; GRANTING LEAVE TO AMEND (Docket No. 55, the "Amended Order"). Specifically, Plaintiff seeks relief under Rule 60(b)(1) on the grounds that the Court's Amended Order contains numerous factual errors and numerous legal errors. Justice would be denied if Plaintiffs were not allowed to pursue their legitimate claims on the basis of these errors.

Plaintiffs rely on their memorandum of law in support of this motion.

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant this Motion for Relief from a Judgment or Order Pursuant to Rule 60(b)(1) or in the Alternative 60(b)(6).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 4, 2015

By:   
Aaron Greenspan

President  
THINK COMPUTER FOUNDATION

President & CEO  
THINK COMPUTER CORPORATION

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that, on December 4, 2015, a true copy of the foregoing **MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM A JUDGMENT OR ORDER PURSUANT TO RULE 60(b)(1) OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE 60(b)(6)** is being served via e-mail to all parties subject to a prior written agreement.

Dated: December 4, 2015

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Aaron Greenspan

President  
THINK COMPUTER FOUNDATION

President & CEO  
THINK COMPUTER CORPORATION