## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

		08 MAY -2 AM 9: U3 This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp
TO:	U. S. DISTRIC	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT GOOD  CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT
FRC	M: K.Hammerly,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE; 4/29/2008
CAS	SE NO.: <u>08cv521</u>	DOCUMENT FILED BY: Deft Cornerstone Building Group
CAS	SE TITLE: <u>Paloma</u>	r Grading and Paving, et al v. Western Insurance, et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Petition; Petition; Memo P's and A's; Affidavit		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
<b>✓</b>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
Ø	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: No Proof of Service
41	Shall file a proof of service is not filed by 617108, the anickent Date forwarded: 4/30/2008  ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE  IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
X	The document is to	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve copy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant  CHAMBERS OF:
	Dated: 511 cc: All Parties	08 By: