# App. Tab 93

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

----- X

SINGLETON, ET AL,

Plaintiffs : CRIMINAL NO.

v. : #3:15-CV-00425(VLB)

:

WORLD WRESTLING : June 8, 2015

ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

Defendants :

----- x

Federal Building 450 Main Street

Hartford, Connecticut

# HEARING

(Transcription from Electronic Recording)

Held Before:

THE HON. VANESSA L. BRYANT United States District Judge

Transcription Services of FALZARANO COURT REPORTERS, LLC
4 Somerset Lane
Simsbury, CT 06070
860.651.0258

#### APPEARANCES:

## For the Plaintiffs:

WILLIAM BLOSS, ESQ. Koskoff, Koskoff & Bieder, P.C. 350 Fairfield Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06604

and

KONSTANTINE KYROS, ESQ. Kyros Law Offices 17 Miles Road Hingham, MA 02043

## For the Defendants, Admitted Pro Hac Vice:

THOMAS D. GOLDBERG, ESQ. Day Pitney, LLP One Canterbury Green Stamford, CT 06901

and

JEFFREY MUELLER, ESQ.
Day Pitney, LLP
242 Trumbull Street
Hartford, CT 06103-1212

and

JERRY S. McDEVITT, ESQ.
K & L Gates, LLP
K & L Gates Center
210 Sixth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2613

and

CURT KRASIK, ESQ.
K & L Gates, LLP
K & L Gates Center
210 Sixth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2613

## Also Present:

ANTHONY NORRIS, ESQ.

1	COURT CLERK: The Court is now open
2	after recess in the matter of in the matter of
3	Singleton v. WWE, case no. 3:15-cv-425-VLB.
4	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
5	MULTIPLE VOICES: Good afternoon, Your
6	Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay, can we have the
8	appearances of the parties for the record please.
9	MR. BLOSS: William Bloss, Your Honor
10	of Koskoff, Koskoff & Bieder for the plaintiffs.
11	With me is Konstantine Kyros who has been
12	admitted by the Court as visiting counsel and
13	Anthony Norris (phonetic) of Mr. Kyros' firm is
14	here - is not expected to participate, but I did
15	want to note his attendance, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: And who will be
17	participating today?
18	MR. BLOSS: I will be Your Honor,
19	William Bloss and Mr. Kyros may as needed,
20	depending on the Court's questions.
21	THE COURT: Alrighty. Yes. And for
22	the defense?
23	MR. GOLDBERG: Good afternoon, Your
24	Honor, Tom Goldberg. And I'm here with Jeff
25	Mueller from Day Pitney for the defendants World

1	Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. And I'd also like
2	to introduce to the Court Jerry McDevitt and Curt
3	Krasik of K & L Gates who have been admitted pro
4	hac vice.
5	THE COURT: Wonderful. Welcome.
6	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Good afternoon,
7	Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Please be seated. The
9	defense requests that a pre-filing conference in
10	this case or pre-scheduling conference before the
11	Court entered a scheduling order. And we're
12	convened for the Court to hear the parties on the
13	issues preceded to that entry.
14	MR. BLOSS: I believe it, Your Honor,
15	to the defense - it's the defense's request if
16	the Court please, I will present the position on
17	behalf of the plaintiffs, but it might be
18	fruitful to start with the defense - defendant.
18	
	fruitful to start with the defense - defendant.
19	fruitful to start with the defense - defendant.  THE COURT: Yes.
19	fruitful to start with the defense - defendant.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. McDEVITT: Good afternoon Your
19 20 21	fruitful to start with the defense - defendant.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. McDEVITT: Good afternoon Your  Honor, Jerry McDevitt from K & L Gates in
19 20 21 22	fruitful to start with the defense - defendant.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. McDEVITT: Good afternoon Your  Honor, Jerry McDevitt from K & L Gates in  Pittsburgh.
19 20 21 22 23	fruitful to start with the defense - defendant.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. McDEVITT: Good afternoon Your  Honor, Jerry McDevitt from K & L Gates in  Pittsburgh.  Thank you for having the conference

1	the same evidence or are we basically going to
2	have two trials at the same time? Are we going
3	to have you know, guilt by association? I mean
4	what - why should these cases be tried together?
5	Typically cases would only be tried together if
6	they arise out of the same nucleus of fact.
7	These cases seem to be wholly factually distinct.
8	There may be a culture. I mean on that theory,
9	every single person that alleges an employment
10	discrimination at Pitney Bowes for example, would
11	be able to file a single lawsuit. That doesn't
12	happen.
13	MR. KYROS: Well, that raises an
14	interesting question about separating two cases,
15	Your Honor. The cases as you know, were
16	originally filed together as a class claim and
17	then they were transferred to this Court. And
18	then
19	THE COURT: Right. And even as a class
20	claim, I mean let's face it, where's the -
21	where's the typicality in Mr. Lograsso and Mr.
22	Singleton? How could you allege that they're
23	representative of a whole class of people that
24	fought for the WWE?
25	MR. KYROS: Well, that - that I would

1.3

argue that - we're arguing that the class actually is all WWE wrestlers. Singleton does present unique facts in the nature of how his injury occurred and I do believe that you're right Your Honor, that Singleton's case in some ways is distinct because the injury alleged is - is more in line with a single incident, single event, but it does play into the negligent discharge - negligent pattern of conduct we allege where they are prayed. If for example Mr. Singleton's case, he was taught how to do a choke slam six minutes according to him - he was taught how to do it six minutes before he was put in one and subsequently permanently injured.

So that type of conduct is, I think, something that runs through the note — the cases, including Mr. Lograsso's case. And you know, the notion that you know, Lograsso has this degenerative disease, is distinct in a sense.

But it continues — his — the allegation that Mr.

Lograsso makes is that during his time at the WWE when he was injured less severely in a single incident, but he continued to space these injuries throughout his tenure there. And there was no medical treatment, both at ringside or

1	outside the ring. And in fact, the abusive
2	culture encouraged these folks to not speak up
3	about their injuries because if they did, they
4	would lose their spot on television or they
5	wouldn't be allowed to perform and earn a living.
6	So the WWE contrary to the representations that
7	they make even to this Court, wasn't very
8	concerned according to our theory of the case and
9	according to Mr. Lograsso and other wrestlers
10	that we've investigated and spoken to.
11	THE COURT: But - okay. Doesn't your
12	complaint say that WWE required them to fight,
13	even though they were injured?
14	MR. KYROS: I believe it says
15	"encouraged".
16	THE COURT: Now you're saying it's the
17	opposite. Now you're saying that they couldn't
18	disclose that they were injured because if they
19	disclosed they were injured, the WWE wouldn't
20	allow them to fight.
21	MR. KYROS: Well that's part of the
22	culture which is if you -
23	THE COURT: Is part of the culture
24	MR. KYROS: - if they were being
25	THE COURT: you can't fight if
	1