IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

LORRAINE MARTIN, individually and on Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

-v-

THE HEARST CORPORTION, SOUTHERN CONNECTICUT NEWSPAPERS INC., MAIN STREET CONNECT, LLC, d/b/a THE DAILY GREENWICH, and NEWS 12 INTERACTIVE, INC., Case No. 3:12-cv-01023 (JBA)

Defendants.

NOW COMES Defendant Main Street Connect, LLC ("Main Street"), to move the Court to dismiss this action pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In support thereof, Main Street states as follows:

1. The Amended Complaint asserts four counts, for libel per se, negligent infliction of emotional dismiss, publicity placing another in a false light before the public, and invasion of privacy. All claims are based on Main Street's August 25, 2010 on-line publication of a report concerning Plaintiff's arrest (the "report").

2. The libel claim should be dismissed because Main Street's publication was truthful when published, and plaintiff has not alleged otherwise. Truth is an absolute defense to a claim of libel. Plaintiff's claim that Main Street's report made on August 25, 2010 became false and defamatory on January 11, 2012 is unsupported.

3. Under Connecticut Code, §54-142a(c)(1) (hereinafter, the "Erasure Statute"), a truthful report of an arrest does become untruthful if the prosecutor elects not to prosecute. To

1

Case 3:12-cv-01023-MPS Document 26 Filed 10/11/12 Page 2 of 4

the extent that the Erasure Statute is interpreted to turn a constitutionally protect fair and truthful report of plaintiff's arrest into something that is false and defamatory, it violates the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

4. Under the single publication rule, because Main Street's report was truthful on the date of publication and plaintiff does not allege otherwise, it cannot give rise to a cause of action for libel because no new cause of action arose simply because the original report continues to be available on the Internet.

5. The remaining counts alleged in the Amended Complaint are all premised on the alleged falsity of Main Street's report. Such claims must be dismissed where the underlying defamation count is dismissed.

WHEREFORE, for reasons outlined herein, in Defendant Main Street Connect, LLC's Memorandum Of Law In Support Of Its Motion To Dismiss The Amended Complaint, and any reply memorandum in support hereof or oral argument hereon, Defendant Main Street requests that the Court:

A. grant this motion and dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety with prejudice; and

B. grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

[Signature page follows]

Dated: October 11, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

By

Cameron Stracher (Bar No. ct 28146)

4 North Pasture Road Westport, CT 06880 t: (203) 222-7169; f: (203) 222-7169

Robert D. Lillienstein (rl4585) Moses & Singer, LLP The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10174 212-554-7807 917-206-4307 (facsimile) Email: rlillienstein@mosessinger.com

Application for admission pro hac vice pending

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 11th day of October, 2012, I caused the foregoing document to be filed electronically with the court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the parties listed below.

Mark Sherman, Esq. The Law Offices of Mark Sherman, LLC 29 Fifth Street Stamford, CT 06905 msherman@markshermanlaw.com

Stephen Seeger, Esq. 810 Bedford Street, Suite #3 Stamford, CT 06901 seegerkid2@aol.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

/s/ Cameron Stracher Cameron Stracher