

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 06-7097

September Term, 2006

06cv00850

Larry Ruther,

Appellant

v.

M. J. Makanda,

Appellee

MANDATE	
<small>Pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. App. Pro. 41(a)</small>	
ISSUED:	12/1/06
BY:	<i>[Signature]</i>
ATTACHED:	<input type="checkbox"/> Amending Order <input type="checkbox"/> Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Order on Costs

Filed On:

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT	
FILED	OCT 19 2006
CLERK	

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Rogers and Griffith, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders filed May 8, 2006, and May 25, 2006, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the complaint without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, and the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying reconsideration of that order.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

[Signature]
JWR
JX

A True copy:

United States Court of Appeals
for the District of Columbia Circuit
By: *[Signature]* Deputy Clerk