IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BRIAN WRENN, et al.,)	Case No. 1	5-CV-162-CK	K
Plaintiffs,)			
v.)			
STRICT OF COLUMBIA,	et al.,)			
Defendants.)			
)			
	[PROPOSED]	ORDER			
is matter came before the Co	ourt on Plainti	ffs' cons	ent motion	to continue the	initial
conference.					
good reason, the motion is	granted.				
e initial scheduling conferen	ce is continue	d to		, 2016, at	in
·					
ORDERED.					
is the day of March, 20	16				
			-		
	Plaintiffs, v. STRICT OF COLUMBIA, Defendants. is matter came before the Coconference. r good reason, the motion is e initial scheduling conference. ORDERED. is the day of March, 20	Plaintiffs, v. STRICT OF COLUMBIA, et al., Defendants. [PROPOSED] is matter came before the Court on Plainting conference. r good reason, the motion is granted. e initial scheduling conference is continued a ORDERED. is the day of March, 2016 The Hon. Columbia.	Plaintiffs, v. Defendants. [PROPOSED] ORDER is matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs' consc conference. r good reason, the motion is granted. e initial scheduling conference is continued to ORDERED. is the day of March, 2016 The Hon. Colleen Kol	Plaintiffs, v. Defendants. [PROPOSED] ORDER is matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs' consent motion to conference. r good reason, the motion is granted. e initial scheduling conference is continued to	Plaintiffs,) v.) STRICT OF COLUMBIA, et al.,) Defendants.) [PROPOSED] ORDER is matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs' consent motion to continue the conference. r good reason, the motion is granted. e initial scheduling conference is continued to, 2016, at ORDERED. is the day of March, 2016 The Hon. Colleen Kollar-Kotelly