

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| BRIAN WRENN, et al., |) | Case No. 15-CV-162-FJS |
| |) | |
| Plaintiffs, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| _____ |) | |

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction.

The Court finds that Plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits; that they are suffering irreparable harm owing to the challenged provisions and practices; that the balance of equities favors entry of an injunction; and that entering an injunction would be in the public interest.

Accordingly, the motion is GRANTED.

Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction, are enjoined from denying handgun carry licenses to applicants who meet the requirements of D.C. Code § 22-4506(a) and all other current requirements for the possession and carrying of handguns under District of Columbia law; moreover,

Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction, are enjoined from enforcing the requirement of D.C. Code § 22-4506(a) that handgun carry license applicants have a “good reason to fear injury to his or her person or property or has any other proper reason for carrying a pistol,” including, but not limited to, the manner in which that requirement is defined

by D.C. Code § 7-2509.11 and 24 D.C.M.R. §§ 2333.1, 2333.2, 2333.3, 2333.4 and 2334.1,
against Brian Wrenn, Joshua Akery, Tyler Whidby, and other SAF members.

Considering the circumstances of the case, no bond or other security is required.

SO ORDERED.

This the _____ day of February, 2015.

The Hon. Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.
United States District Judge