

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

OXEBRIDGE QUALITY RESOURCES
INTERNATIONAL, LLC, and
CHRISTOPHER PARIS, individually,

Plaintiff,

Case No: 8:15-cv-00011-EAK-TBM

vs.

MARC TIMOTHY SMITH, individually,
and d/b/a CAYMAN BUSINESS SYSTEMS,
Defendants.

_____ /

CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT

The parties have agreed on the following dates and discovery plan pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.

P. 26(f) and local Rule 3.05(c):

Deadline or Event	Agreed Date
Mandatory Initial Disclosure (pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.(a)(1) as amended effective December 1, 2000) [Court recommends 30 days after CMR meeting]	April 23, 2015
Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement [each party who has not previously filed must file immediately]	Plaintiffs have filed as of January 8, 2015 Defendants have filed as of March 17, 2015
Motions to Add Parties or to Amend Pleadings [Court recommends 1 - 2 months after CMR meeting]	May 13, 2015
Disclosure of Expert Reports Plaintiff: None Defendant: None [Court recommends last exchange 6 months before trial and 1 - 2 months before discovery deadline to allow expert depositions]	Before June 5, 2015
Discovery Deadline [Court recommends 5 months before trial to allow time for dispositive motions to be filed and decided; all discovery	Before July 5, 2015

must be commenced in time to be completed before this date]	
Dispositive Motions, Daubert, and Markman Motions [Court requires 4 months or more before trial term begins]	Before August 5, 2015
Meeting In Person to Prepare Joint Final Pretrial Statement [14 days before Joint Final Pretrial Statement]	N/A
Joint Final Pretrial Statement (Including a Single Set of Jointly-Proposed Jury Instructions and Verdict Form [a Word or WordPerfect version may be e-mailed to the Chambers mailbox] Voir Dire Questions, Witness Lists, Exhibit Lists with Objections on Approved Form) [Court recommends 3 weeks before Final Pretrial Conference and no later than 7 days before the Final Pretrial Conference]	N/A
All Other Motions Including Motions In Limine, Trial Briefs [Court recommends 3 weeks before Final Pretrial Conference]	N/A
Final Pretrial Conference [Court will set a date that is approximately 3 weeks before trial]	November 23, 2015
Trial Term Begins [Local Rule 3.05 (c)(2)(E) sets goal of trial within 1 year of filing complaint in most Track Two cases, and within 2 years in all Track Two cases; trial term must not be less than 4 months after dispositive motions deadline (unless filing of such motions is waived); district judge trial terms begin on the first business day of the first full week of each month; trials before magistrate judges will be set on a date certain after consultation with the parties]	December 5, 2015
Estimated Length of Trial [trial days]	3 Days
Jury / Non-Jury	Jury
Mediation Deadline: Mediator: Address: Telephone: [Absent arbitration, mediation is mandatory; Court recommends either 2 - 3 months after CMR meeting, or just after discovery]	May 19, 2015
All Parties Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge	No

I. Meeting of Parties in Person

Lead counsel must meet *in person* and not by telephone absent an order permitting otherwise. Counsel will meet in the Middle District of Florida, unless counsel agree on a different location. Pursuant to Local Rule 3.05(c)(2)(B) or (c)(3)(A), a meeting was held by telephone on March 13, 2015 at 2pm E.S.T by telephone conference and was attended by:

Name Counsel for (if applicable)

William Wohlsifer, Esq, Counsel for Plaintiffs

Marc Timothy Smith, *Pro Se* Defendants

II. Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information

Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (D) Disclosures

Fed.R.Civ.P. 26, as amended effective December 1, 2000, provides that these disclosures are mandatory in Track Two and Track Three cases, except as stipulated by the parties or otherwise ordered by the Court (the amendment to Rule 26 supersedes Middle District of Florida Local Rule 3.05, to the extent that Rule 3.05 opts out of the mandatory discovery requirements):

The parties ____ have exchanged __X__ agree to exchange (check one)

information described in Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (D) (check one) by **April 23, 2015**

Below is a description of information disclosed or scheduled for disclosure, including electronically stored information as further described in Section III below.

- a. The known names, addresses and telephone numbers of persons likely to have discoverable in support of or against any claim or defense now known in this action.
- b. Identification of all documents and data compilations now known that are likely to contain discoverable information in support of or against any claim or defense now known in this action, unless such use would be solely for impeachment.
- c. A written computation of all known categories of damages.
- d. A statement whether either party carries insurance that may be satisfy any of the claims raised in this action and, if so, copies of such policies.

III. Electronic Discovery

The parties have discussed issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically

stored information (“ESI”), including Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information in Section II above, and agree that (check one):

No party anticipates the disclosure or discovery of ESI in this case;

One or more of the parties anticipate the disclosure or discovery of ESI in this case.

If disclosure or discovery of ESI is sought by any party from another party, then the following issues shall be discussed:²

- A. The form or forms in which ESI should be produced.
- B. Nature and extent of the contemplated ESI disclosure and discovery, including specification of the topics for such discovery and the time period for which discovery will be sought.
- C. Whether the production of metadata is sought for any type of ESI, and if so, what types of metadata.
- D. The various sources of ESI within a party’s control that should be searched for ESI, and whether either party has relevant ESI that it contends is not reasonably accessible under Rule 26(b)(2)(B), and if so, the estimated burden or costs of retrieving and reviewing that information.
- E. The characteristics of the party’s information systems that may contain relevant ESI, including, where appropriate, the identity of individuals with special knowledge of a party’s computer systems.
- F. Any issues relating to preservation of discoverable ESI.
- G. Assertions of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials, including whether the parties can facilitate discovery by agreeing on procedures and, if appropriate, an Order under the Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 502. If the parties agree that a

protective order is needed, they shall attach a copy of the proposed order to the Case Management Report. The parties should attempt to agree on protocols that minimize the risk of waiver. Any protective order shall comply with Local Rule 1.09 and Section IV. F. below on Confidentiality Agreements.

H. Whether the discovery of ESI should be conducted in phases, limited, or focused upon particular issues.

Please state if there are any areas of disagreement on these issues and, if so, summarize the parties' position on each: _____NOT APPLICABLE_____.

If there are disputed issues specified above, or elsewhere in this report, then (check one):

N/A One or more of the parties requests that a preliminary pre-trial conference under Rule 16 be scheduled to discuss these issues and explore possible resolutions. Although this will be a non-evidentiary hearing, if technical ESI issues are to be addressed, the parties are encouraged to have their information technology experts with them at the hearing

If a preliminary pre-trial conference is requested, a motion shall also be filed pursuant to Rule 16(a), Fed. R. Civ. P.

X All parties agree that a hearing is not needed at this time because they expect to be able to promptly resolve these disputes without assistance of the Court.

IV. Agreed Discovery Plan for Plaintiffs and Defendants

A. Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement

This Court has previously ordered each party, governmental party, intervenor, non-party movant, and Rule 69 garnishee to file and serve a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement using a mandatory form. No party may seek discovery from any source before filing and serving a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement.

A motion, memorandum, response, or other paper - including emergency motion - is subject to being denied or stricken unless the filing party has previously filed and served its Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement. Any party who has not already filed and served the required certificate is required to do so immediately.

Every party that has appeared in this action to date has filed and served a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement, which remains current:

 X Yes No Amended Certificate will be filed by:

Plaintiffs filed on January 8, 2015 [Dkt. 3] and [Dkt. 4].

Defendants filed on March 17, 2015[Dkt. 30]

B. Discovery Not Filed

The parties shall not file discovery materials with the Clerk except as provided in Local Rule 3.03. The Court encourages the exchange of discovery requests on diskette. *See* Local Rule 3.03 (f). The parties further agree as follows:

The parties will exchange all disclosures via email and .pdf files.

C. Limits on Discovery

Absent leave of Court, the parties may take no more than ten depositions per side (not per party). Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(a)(2)(A); Fed.R.Civ.P. 31(a)(2)(A); Local Rule 3.02(b). Absent leave of Court, the parties may serve no more than twenty-five interrogatories, including sub-parts. Fed.R.Civ.P. 33(a); Local Rule 3.03(a). Absent leave of Court or stipulation of the parties each deposition is limited to one day of seven hours. Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(d)(2). The parties may agree by stipulation on other limits on discovery. The Court will consider the parties' agreed dates, deadlines, and other limits in entering the scheduling order. Fed.R.Civ.P. 29. In addition to the

deadlines in the above table, the parties have agreed to further limit discovery as follows:

1. Depositions

The Plaintiff plans to depose:

A) Any party(ies) the Defendants name as their representatives;

B) Any party the Defendant plans to call at trial; and

C) All other persons with knowledge of the subject matter of any and all claims, defenses, and records including custodians and testifying experts.

The Defendant does not plan to take any depositions.

2. Interrogatories

The Plaintiff will serve no more than 25 interrogatories.

3. Document Requests

The Plaintiff will serve requests for documents according to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

4. Requests to Admit

The Plaintiff will serve requests for admissions according to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. Supplementation of Discovery: Required.

D. Discovery Deadline

Each party shall timely serve discovery requests so that the rules allow for a response prior to the discovery deadline. The Court may deny as untimely all motions to compel filed after the discovery deadline. In addition, the parties agree as follows:

Nothing Further.

E. Disclosure of Expert Testimony

On or before the dates set forth in the above table for the disclosure of expert reports, the parties agree to fully comply with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2) and 26(e). Expert testimony on direct examination at trial will be limited to the opinions, basis, reasons, data, and other information disclosed in the written expert report disclosed pursuant to this order. Failure to disclose such information may result in the exclusion of all or part of the testimony of the expert witness. The parties agree on the following additional matters pertaining to the disclosure of expert testimony:

The parties will not be calling expert witnesses.

F. Confidentiality Agreements

Whether documents filed in a case may be filed under seal is a separate issue from whether the parties may agree that produced documents are confidential. The Court is a public forum, and disfavors motions to file under seal. The Court will permit the parties to file documents under seal only upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances and particularized need. *See Brown v. Advantage Engineering, Inc.*, 960 F.2d 1013 (11th Cir. 1992); *Wilson v. American Motors Corp.*, 759 F.2d 1568 (11th Cir. 1985). A party seeking to file a document under seal must file a motion to file under seal requesting such Court action, together with a memorandum of law in support. The motion, whether granted or denied, will remain in the public record.

The parties may reach their own agreement regarding the designation of materials as “confidential” There is no need for the Court to endorse the confidentiality agreement. The Court discourages unnecessary stipulated motions for a protective order. The Court will enforce appropriate stipulated and signed confidentiality agreements. *See* Local Rule 4.15. Each

confidentiality agreement or order shall provide, or shall be deemed to provide, that “no party shall file a document under seal without first having obtained an order granting leave to file under seal on a showing of particularized need.” With respect to confidentiality agreements, the parties agree as follows:

No Confidentiality agreement is necessary.

G. Other Matters Regarding Discovery

None.

V. Settlement and Alternative Dispute Resolution.

A. Settlement –

The parties agree that settlement is

X likely _____ unlikely (check one)

The parties request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate Judge.

yes _____ no X likely to request in future _____

B. Arbitration

The Local Rules no longer designate cases for automatic arbitration, but the parties may elect arbitration in any case. Do the parties agree to arbitrate?

yes _____ no X likely to agree in future _____

_____ Binding _____ Non-Binding

C. Mediation

Absent arbitration or a Court order to the contrary, the parties in every case will participate in Court-annexed mediation as detailed in Chapter Nine of the Court’s Local Rules.

The parties have agreed to select a mediator from the Court’s approved list of mediators as set

forth in the table above, and have agreed to the date stated in the table above as the last date for mediation. The list of mediators is available from the Clerk, and is posted on the Court's web site at <http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov>.

D. Other Alternative Dispute Resolution

The parties intend to pursue the following other methods of alternative dispute resolution:

Mediation

Signature of Counsel (with information required by Local Rule 1.05(d)) and Signature of Unrepresented Parties.

/s/ William R. Wohlsifer
William R. Wohlsifer, Esquire
Fla. Bar No: 86827
William R. Wohlsifer, PA
1100 E Park Ave, Ste B
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Tel: (850)219-8888
Fax: (866)829-8174
E-mail: william@wohlsifer.com


Marc Timothy Smith
8466 Lesourdsville-West Chester Road
West Chester, Ohio 45069
Tel: (513) 720-0600
E-mail: marcsmith102@cinci.rr.com