## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 13-20610-CIV-ALTONAGA

LARRY KLAYMAN,
Plaintiff, v.
JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.,
Defendant/
<u>Verdict Form</u>
We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:
1. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Larry Klayman was defamed by Defendant Judicial Watch?
Yes No
(If your answer is "yes," proceed to the next question. If the answer is "no," sign the verdict form.)
2. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Larry Klayman should be awarded compensatory damages against Defendant Judicia Watch?
Yes No
If your answer is "Yes,"
in what amount: \$\\\156\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
(If your answer is "yes," skip question 3 and proceed to question 4. If your answer is "no," proceed to question 3.).

3. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Larry Klayman should be awarded nominal damages against Defendant Judicial Watch?
Yes No
If your answer is "Yes,"
in what amount: \$_\mathcal{N}/A
(Proceed to question 4.).
4. Under the circumstances of this case, state whether you find by clear and convincing evidence that punitive damages are warranted against Defendant Judicial Watch:  Yes No
If your answer is "Yes,"
in what amount: \$ 25,000.
So say we all this 10 day of June, 2014.
Foreperson