UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO.: 1:14-cy-23109-RNS

| FEDERAL | TDADE | COM | MCCIONI | |
|----------------|-------|-----|---------|--|
| FEDERAL | IKADE | | HOOIUN. | |

Plaintiff,

V.

PARTNERS IN HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATION, INC., et al.,

| D | e | fen | dan | ts. | |
|---|---|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | | | | | |

RECEIVER'S JOINDER IN
PLAINTIFF FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LIMITED RELIEF FROM ASSET
FREEZE FOR PAYMENT OF LIVING EXPENSES FROM FROZEN
ASSETS, AND OTHER RELIEF

Peter D. Russin, in his capacity as receiver (the "*Receiver*") of Partners in Health Care Association, Inc.; United Solutions Group Inc.; and their subsidiaries, affiliates, successors and assigns (collectively the "*Receivership Entities*"), hereby joins with the FTC's Opposition [ECF No. 83] (the "*Opposition*") to the Motion (the "*Motion*") for Limited Relief for Relief from the Asset Freeze [ECF No. 74] and states.

- 1. The Receiver joins in the Opposition as if fully set forth herein.
- 2. The Motion is inaccurate to the extent that it states that the "Receiver took control over Mr. Kieper's [assets]." While those assets may be covered by the Asset Freeze Provisions of the TRO and Preliminary Injunctions, they are not controlled or administered by the Receiver. Accordingly, the Receiver defers to the FTC as to whether such amounts should be unfrozen.

¹ The administration of the Receivership Entities is referred to herein as the "Estate."

3. To the extent that Mr. Kieper seeks payment from the Receivership Entities, he

has cited no case law to support that proposition. The cases cited by Mr. Kieper may go to

whether Mr. Kieper may utilize his own assets which have been frozen to pay for living

expenses, but not the assets of a Receivership Entity in which he owns an interest.

4. To the extent that Mr. Kieper seeks money from the Receivership Entities, he

would be asking this Court to not only unfreeze assets of the Receivership Entities, but also to

order the Receivership Entities to make a distribution to equity. He is providing no services to

the Receivership Entities, so he cannot be paid wages. It is axiomatic that an insolvent entity

cannot make a distribution to equity to the detriment of its creditors.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver requests this Court deny the Motion and grant such other

and further relief as is just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lawrence E. Pecan

Lawrence E. Pecan, Esquire

Florida Bar No. 99086

lpecan@melandrussin.com

MELAND RUSSIN & BUDWICK, P.A.

200 South Biscayne Blvd., Ste. 3200

Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 358-6363

Telecopy: (305) 358-1221

Attorneys for Peter D. Russin, Receiver

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing is being delivered to the following parties via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing this 1st day of December, 2014.

/s/ Lawrence E. Pecan Lawrence E. Pecan, Esquire

Gary L. Ivens

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20580 202-326-2230

Fax: 326-3395

Email: givens@ftc.gov

Christopher E. Brown

U.S. Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave NW Mail Stop CC-8509 Washington, DC 20580 202-326-2825

Email: cbrown3@ftc.gov

Keith Thomas Grumer

Grumer & Macaluso PA 1 East Broward Boulevard Suite 1501 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 954-713-2700

Fax: 954-713-2713

Email: <u>kgrumer@grumerlaw.com</u>

Bruce S. Rogow

Bruce S. Rogow PA 500 East Broward Boulevard Suite 1930 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394 954-767-8909

Fax: 954-764-1530

Email: <u>brogow@rogowlaw.com</u>

Tara A Campion

Bruce S. Rogow, P.A. 500 East Broward Blvd. Suite 1930 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394 (954) 767-8909

Fax: (954) 764-1530

Email: tcampion@rogowlaw.com