IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 15-cv-20782-Martinez-Goodman

DENNIS MONTGOMERY,

Plaintiff,

v.

RISEN, ET AL.

Defendants.



PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL

Plaintiff Dennis Montgomery hereby moves, pursuant to Rule 5.4(b) of the Local Rules

for the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida, for leave to file the attached

sensitive documents (Exhibit 1) under seal.

On October 19, 2015, Magistrate Judge Jonathan Goodman issued the following

order:

Plaintiff shall, by October 21, 2015, send the FBI, via email to Assistant General Counsel Schwartz, a comprehensive set of instructions (the best available to Plaintiff) as to how to pinpoint the software amidst the massive amount of data that was turned over to the FBI. If Plaintiff cannot tell the FBI exactly how to identify the software, then he shall so state in the email. Copies of that email shall be filed with the Court (publicly) on CM/ECF by October 22, 2015.

See Docket No. 154 – Post Discovery Hearing Administrative Order.

With full reservation of all rights, in accordance with Magistrate Judge Goodman's

Order, the subject instructions have already been provided to Defendants' counsel and

Magistrate Judge Goodman. Plaintiff is respectfully requesting that the instructions sent to the

FBI (Exhibit 1) be placed under seal.

The instructions to the FBI contain a roadmap to finding sensitive information contained on hard drives that are now in possession of the FBI. The FBI is still in the process of determining whether any of the documents and/or software that may exist on these hard drives is classified. Information that leads to the finding of potentially classified information should not be given to the public for possible revelations of national security secrets, as set forth in Exhibit

2.

Given this issue surrounding these instructions, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the documents attached as Exhibit 1 be placed under seal.

These documents have additionally been designated as confidential as provided in page 4 of Magistrate Judge Goodman's Protective Order Concerning Confidential Information (Docket No. 89). Magistrate Judge Goodman's Order Provides:

Any party in this Action or any third party to whom a subpoena is issued in this Action (a "Producing Party") may designate any material that it produces (including, but not limited to, exhibits, documents, and things; answers to interrogatories; responses to requests for admissions; responses to requests for production; and declarations, affidavits, and deposition testimony or transcripts, including the information contained therein whether in note or summary form) as "confidential" only if the material contains information that is proprietary or unduly sensitive to the Producing Party and is not otherwise in the public domain.

Docket No. 89 -- Protective Order Concerning Confidential Information (Emphasis added).

Particularly in light of a letter/objection of Justice Department Counsel for the Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA") just obtained yesterday by Plaintiff, after Plaintiff requested it from Defendants' counsel after Plaintiff learned of it yesterday, out of an abundance of caution Plaintiff is moving to have the communications at issue placed under seal. This letter, which is an objection from the CIA over Defendants' subpoenas to the CIA and certain personnel, is selfexplanatory, as it underscores the sensitive nature of these documents at a minimum, and sets forth the Department of Justice's and the CIA's position that the software at issue in this lawsuit is not relevant under the facts, law, and circumstances of this case, among other compelling objections. Exhibit 2.

Plaintiff requests to have these documents placed under seal in perpetuity or until such time that Plaintiff is no longer involved with communications with the FBI regarding an ongoing criminal investigation, and the U.S. Justice Department in conjunction with the FBI decides whether or not to bring prosecutions against these persons who Plaintiff Montgomery, as a government witness, has provided information showing that the National Security Agency and/or Central Intelligence Agency illegally surveilled millions of Americans including judges, congressmen, senators, and others without probable cause. The subject documents should respectfully be filed under seal so as not to, at a minimum, compromise an on-going criminal investigation. Accordingly, exceptional circumstances and good cause have been shown.

Consistent with this, as in the case of *Washington Post v. Robinson*, 935 F.2d 282, 290 (D.C. Cir. 1991) these facts present an extraordinary situation and a compelling governmental interest which justify the sealing of the information until (1) the substantial risk to the personal safety of cooperating individuals; and (2) the government represents that it can continue its criminal investigation without substantial risk that it would be jeopardized.

Plaintiff sought consent for this motion from Defendants. Defendants do not grant consent to this motion.

Plaintiff fully respects the decisions of Magistrate Judge Goodman and complies with his orders to full extent possible while still attempting to protect his own and the government's

interests in this matter. If Magistrate Judge Goodman denies Plaintiff's request to file these documents under seal, Plaintiff requests that a stay of the Order be put in place until such time that Plaintiff with all due speed has the opportunity to timely file an objection with the presiding judge and such time that the objection is heard and decided by him.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that leave be granted for him to file the sensitive documents that have been attached as Exhibit 1 under seal.

Dated: October 22, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

Larry Klayman, Esq. Klayman Law Firm FL Bar No. 246220 7050 W Palmetto Park Rd. Suite 15-287 Boca Raton, FL 33433 (310) 595-0800 leklayman@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 22nd day of October, 2015, a true and correct copy of the foregoing (Case No. 15-cv-20782) was filed via CM/ECF and served upon the following:

Sanford Lewis Bohrer

Brian Toth

1

Holland & Knight, LLP Suite 3000 701 Brickell Ave Miami, FL 33131 Email: sbohrer@hklaw.com Email: brian.toth@hklaw.com

Laura R. Handman Micah Ratner

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800 Washington D.C. 20006-3401 Email: laurahandman@dwt.com Email: MicahRatner@dwt.com

Larry Klayman, Esq.

÷