Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 1 of 216

Exhibit 18

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 2 of 216

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 2 MIAMI DIVISION CASE NO. 15-20782-CIVIL-MARTINEZ 3 Miami, Florida 4 DENNIS L. MONTGOMERY, Plaintiff, 5 January 5, 2016 2:00 p.m. 6 vs. 7 JAMES RISEN, et al., 8 Defendants. Pages 1 to 215 9 10 MISCELLANEOUS MOTION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JONATHAN GOODMAN, 11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE (TRANSCRIBED FROM THE DIGITAL AUDIO RECORDING) 12 **APPEARANCES:** 13 14 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LARRY KLAYMAN, ESQ. KLAYMAN LAW FIRM 15 2520 Coral Way, Suite 2027 Miami, Florida 33145 16 17 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: LAURA R. HANDMAN, ESQ. DAVIS, WRIGHT & TREMAINE 18 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 800 19 Washington, DC 20006 20 SANFORD BOHRER, ESQ. BRIAN W. TOTH, ESQ. 21 HOLLAND & KNIGHT 701 Brickell Avenue 22 Suite 3000 Miami, Florida 33131 23 24 25

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 3 of 216 2

Г

1	TRANSCRIBED BY:	LISA EDWARDS, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter
2		United States District Court 400 North Miami Avenue
3		Twelfth Floor Miami, Florida 33128
4		(305) 523-5499
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 4 of 216 3

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling Case 2 15-20782-Civil-Martinez, Montgomery versus Risen, et al. 3 THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. Please be seated. 4 5 MR. KLAYMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. MS. HANDMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: So Happy New Year to all. 8 MR. KLAYMAN: Happy New Year to you. THE COURT: And are any of you fans of the -- well, 9 10 let's do it this way: Let's have appearances first, starting 11 with the Plaintiff. 12 MR. KLAYMAN: Larry Klayman for the Plaintiffs, your 13 Honor. And Ms. Dina James, my paralegal, sitting next to me. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you. 15 And for the defense? MS. HANDMAN: Laura Handman, Davis, Wright & Tremaine, 16 for the Defendants. And here with me, Sandy Bohrer and Brian 17 Toth. 18 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Well, good afternoon to you. 20 So are any of you folks fans of the TV show Curb Your 21 Enthusiasm with Larry David? 22 Mr. Klayman, are you a fan? 23 MR. KLAYMAN: I am, your Honor. I am a fan of that. 24 THE COURT: And so here's what I was thinking: I came 25 out. I said, Happy New Year. And I think I can say that.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 5 of 216 4

1 It's January 5th. 2 But there's got to be some cutoff point where it's no longer appropriate to say Happy New Year. So surely if you saw 3 4 someone mid-February, you wouldn't say Happy New Year. That's 5 beyond the informal statute of limitations. 6 And I was just curious: When do you stop saying Happy 7 New Year? February -- I mean, January 5th, perfectly fine. But what do you think? 8 9 Mr. Klayman, what is your view on that? 10 MR. KLAYMAN: Chinese New Year's does occur in 11 February. 12 THE COURT: Aha. 13 MR. KLAYMAN: So consequently, you would be able to say 14 Happy New Year. 15 THE COURT: Well, how about --16 MR. KLAYMAN: And if you're Jewish, of course, it comes in Rosh Hashana. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 19 MR. KLAYMAN: So with that caveat, I think I agree. 20 Unless you're somewhat inebriated, under the American system 21 you're probably going to say Happy New Year's in February. 22 THE COURT: Oh, all right. That's a little bit of an 23 evasive answer. MR. KLAYMAN: I'm a lawyer. 24 25 THE COURT: Exactly.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 6 of 216

1 What about you folks on the defense? Any thought on 2 that? Just curious. MS. HANDMAN: Well, I think it's a pleasant greeting; 3 and we all wish a good year in 2016. So I would say it through 4 5 January. 6 THE COURT: Through the end of January? My gosh. 7 Okay. Fair enough. Interesting. Well, folks, let's talk about how we're going to handle 8 9 the hearing. I've read all of your submissions, and I'm going 10 to give you sooner or later an opportunity to argue and make 11 comments. 12 But my general practice when I have hearings, whether it's a motion to dismiss, a summary judgment motion, a 13 sanctions motion like this, is to basically go back and forth 14 and ask each side various questions. There's no particular 15 16 logic to the questions, other than I'll tell you a particular 17 document I'm looking at, a motion, a memorandum, a reply. I'll 18 tell you the page number and I'll say, By the way, here's my 19 question. 20 And we'll go back and forth and back and forth. And after we're all done, I'm fairly confident that probably most 21 22 of the points that you wanted to address with me will have been 23 covered. But maybe not. And so at the end of this 24 give-and-take, at the end of this conversation, I'm happy to 25 hear from all of you on any additional points. But this is

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 7 of 216

1 just the way I do things.

2 And you may not be able to see it from where you are, but every memo that I have here has a whole bunch of Post-It 3 4 stickers on it. I'm sort of an old-fashioned, old-school guy. 5 And each Post-It sticker indicates a question or comment that I 6 have.

7 And I had a hearing -- gosh, I guess it was a few months ago on a big antitrust case that I had by consent and it 8 9 was a summary judgment hearing and it went from 2:00 in the afternoon until 10:00 at night. I'm not saying that to suggest 10 11 to any of you that we're staying that late. But my point was 12 that almost all the hearing was me asking questions. And then 13 at the end, the lawyers were able to put on a little of their PowerPoint presentations, not because the PowerPoints covered 14 15 issues that weren't addressed. I think they were just so 16 enamored with having the PowerPoint presentation and they had 17 spent time that they wanted to put it on.

So that's how we're going to do it. Do your best to 18 19 answer my questions directly.

20 And let me start off just with a question on status. read in the papers that -- meaning in the papers that you filed 21 22 here, not in the newspapers -- but I read in the papers that 23 there has been some litigation in the New York federal district 24 court having to do with a subpoena and, Mr. Klayman, your 25 effort to take depositions of various individuals and to get

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 8 of 216 7

-	
1	certain documents. And there was a ruling by the district
2	court and I think certain materials, if they existed, were
3	supposed to be turned over by December.
4	So I'm just wondering what the status is of that. So
5	who's up to speed on that and can fill me in, please?
6	MR. KLAYMAN: I can answer that, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Go ahead.
8	MR. KLAYMAN: We did get documentation on December
9	15th. That documentation's very important. I have copies of
10	it here today, if your Honor should ask a question in that
11	regard.
12	The documentation that we got was from the first
13	publisher presumed publisher of Mr. Risen, Simon & Schuster,
14	and his literary agent, Tina Bennett. And the book was turned
15	down by Simon & Schuster, rejected.
16	That documentation shows as we read the
17	documentation and we have stipulated to its authenticity
18	that Mr. Risen did not have sufficient information about what
19	he wrote concerning Mr. Montgomery. That was one of the
20	serious concerns I interpret the documents to say in moving
21	forward with publishing at Simon & Schuster.
22	It also the documentation also shows and I would
23	ask that this be put under protective order for the time being,
24	because we've agreed that it's subject to declassification, my
25	characterization of the documents. So if the court reporter

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 9 of 216 8

1 could keep this off the record -- off the public record for 2 right now.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Klayman, I have to tell you -and I've indicated this before -- I am not a big proponent of sealing hearings, sealing documents, sealing comments merely because a party or a lawyer prefers that the comment not be available for review by the public. Quite to the contrary, the general rule is that the courts are open to the public.

9 So there are times, of course, when material should be 10 filed under seal. Maybe you're talking about a classified 11 document, a trade secret document, somebody's Social Security number, those kinds of things. But so far, the fact that you 12 13 happened to engage in some rhetoric describing a particular 14 document that was turned over in discovery, that to me is not a 15 ground to seal the document or to seal this portion of the 16 transcript.

And from a practical standpoint, I have to tell you, it's very difficult to do the way you've asked. Here's why: If an entire hearing is under seal, then it's easy. We simply let the court reporter know, let the Clerk of the Court know, and the entire transcript is sealed, if appropriate.

But right now, from what I understand, based on your comment, you want me to sort of in effect make a comment to the court reporter, send him or her a signal, saying, Oh, this particular comment by Mr. Klayman from 2:08 p.m. to 2:11,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 10 of 216

1 that's going to be off the record. Then we're going to go back 2 Then maybe an hour later you'll make a comment on the record. that you want to be under seal. And practically speaking, it's 3 4 logistically cumbersome to accomplish that.

5

21

So --

6 MR. KLAYMAN: I would agree with you, your Honor. Ι 7 was trying to just respect what Simon & Schuster's lawyers had designated as confidential. I don't believe that they are 8 9 confidential and I don't believe that I'm prohibited from characterizing the documentation. 10

11 THE COURT: Listen, if that were worthy of under-seal 12 filing, unless there's some other factual development out there 13 that I'm not aware of, lawyers would be willy-nilly sealing 14 transcripts and documents all the time. Lawyers engage in rhetoric in almost every single hearing and they describe the 15 16 other side's position in some fairly strident terms. So the 17 fact that you choose to describe certain documents the way you did -- you didn't really disclose any specifics; it's just your 18 19 opinion of what they showed to me -- is not the kind of comment 20 that would generate an under-seal justification.

What are the defense's thoughts on that? MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, first of all, it was 22 23 designated as confidential subject to the protective order your 24 Honor entered by Simon & Schuster, Priscilla Peyton and Tina 25 Bennett, the third parties who produced the documents. And

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 11 of 216 10

1 it's in that connection that they are not filed in the public 2 record at this point. I don't know the general characterization such as what 3 4 Mr. Klayman engaged in would necessarily be sealed, and I would 5 take issue with his characterization. First of all, Simon & 6 Schuster never turned down the book. They had suggested 7 changes. And ultimately, Mr. Risen's editor moved from Simon & 8 Schuster ultimately to Houghton Mifflin, and the book 9 transferred. That was how it happened. 10 The documents produced are redacted. What's redacted 11 are information about the eight other chapters in the book pursuant to the Court's order. The one part that is left in 12 13 about the chapter on Montgomery, the editor who inherited the 14 book after Mr. Nichols left at Simon & Schuster praised that 15 chapter, said it was -- did -- it fit in with the thesis and 16 did not require significant change. 17 And --18 MR. KLAYMAN: If I may finish. And I'm happy to --19 THE COURT: Well, I don't know if --20 MS. HANDMAN: And finally, if -- sorry. 21 THE COURT: I don't know -- everybody, maybe you had too much coffee this afternoon. Just take a breath. Everybody 22 23 relax. So let me hear the rest of defense counsel's comments. 24 By the way, this was simply almost a question to the 25 I don't want you to necessarily disclose to me all the side.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 12 of 216 11

1		
1	nuances and specific details about the documents that were	
2	turned over in New York. So far, you've just made some	
3	general, generic, somewhat vague comments about your opinions	
4	on what these documents show or not. I don't necessarily need	
5	to hear any more specifics about the documents.	
6	Are there any depositions that were scheduled? Because	
7	I saw that the judge indicated that there might be depositions	
8	that were taken.	
9	MR. KLAYMAN: The reason	
10	MS. HANDMAN: No. There are no depositions scheduled.	
11	MR. KLAYMAN: Can I finish?	
12	THE COURT: Folks, listen, this is going to be a very	
13	long hearing if people are interrupting.	
14	So a general comment: Please, don't interrupt each	
15	other. Don't interrupt me.	
16	Let me hear the rest of what you were going to say.	
17	And then, Mr. Klayman, you can finish.	
18	MS. HANDMAN: We had a conference before Judge Coat on	
19	Tuesday: Mr. Klayman, myself and the lawyers for Simon $\&$	
20	Schuster and Tina Bennett. And at that conference, Mr. Klayman	
21	withdrew his request for depositions if we would stipulate to	
22	the authenticity of the documents, which we have done.	
23	MR. KLAYMAN: And the reason for that, your Honor, is	
24	because the documents were so clear that we don't need the	
25	testimony. They're very, very clear.	

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 13 of 216

1 And they show that the book -- and I have documents 2 here, if I may present them to you -- I think you should have them, because they may be relevant to this hearing -- they show 3 that in fact Mr. Risen did not have sufficient information upon 4 5 which he claims that our client had committed the biggest hoax 6 in American history and had caused the near shoot-down of 7 planes over the Atlantic, among hundreds of other defamatory alleged statements. 8

9 In addition, it shows that the book itself -- that the 10 primary focus of this book was Mr. Montgomery, that Simon & 11 Schuster did not believe that they could sell this book without 12 a sensational chapter. And that was the motivation for the 13 defamation with regard to Mr. Montgomery.

14 And last but not least, it also shows that the new 15 publisher didn't really correct anything either.

16 So they are very important documents. The documents 17 are clear-cut. And for that reason, we decided not to go ahead 18 with depositions.

19 THE COURT: I'll tell you, Mr. Klayman, I'm not going 20 to take you up on your offer. I don't want to see those 21 materials. I don't want to rely on those materials. And I'll 22 tell you why.

23

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.

24 THE COURT: Because when I make a substantive ruling or 25 when I make a substantive report and recommendation on a

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 14 of 216 13

critical part of the case -- and I think a motion for sanctions 1 2 where the defense is asking the Court to throw out your client's claim, that is a critical stage in the case -- and 3 4 therefore, if I'm going to be basing a report and 5 recommendation on that and I'm going to be relying on material 6 that's under seal, then I'm already feeling ill at ease because 7 a Court's order or a Court's report and recommendation, substantive, not just based on a discovery dispute, in my view 8 9 should usually be public. And I don't want to be basing my report and recommendation on any sealed material pursuant to 10 11 some confidentiality order. 12 So I hear your comments. And maybe later when this

13 case is all over I'll be able to out of pure curiosity look at 14 these documents. But I don't really have any need to look at 15 them right now and I don't want to look at them right now.

So let's get into the actual nuts and bolts of the hearing.

18 MR. KLAYMAN: There's an aspect, your Honor, about the 19 status I wanted to add, if I may.

20 We still do have a motion pending in front of the 21 Central District of California with regard to Attorney Michael 22 Flynn. The magistrate in San Diego initially ruled that the 23 matter should have been heard in the Central District because 24 of the hundred-mile rule.

We respectfully disagreed.

25

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 15 of 216 14

So we took it to the Central District. A magistrate there, magistrate judge, simply based her decision off of the other magistrate's decision and denied it. We have an objection pending with the judge in the Central District for Mr. Flynn's testimony and documentation.

6 MS. HANDMAN: And, your Honor, if we're updating the 7 status, we do have pending in the Western District of Washington a motion to compel the deposition of Estevan 8 9 Berghian, better known as Ish for the sake of ease, I-S-H, who is the son-in-law of Mr. Montgomery and who was listed on the 10 11 Plaintiff's trial witness list and who worked with 12 Mr. Montgomery in various businesses and who has said that 13 Mr. Montgomery lives with him under his care.

And you'll recall, your Honor, this came up before you about the efforts to evade service. We were able finally to make service. And Mr. Ish objected. And we moved to compel. Mr. Montgomery *pro se* filed a motion saying that the motion to compel was not timely. Ish has not filed anything in that proceeding. That's fully briefed and pending in the Western District of Washington.

21 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. The motion was filed, your Honor,
22 after discovery had closed by several weeks.

THE COURT: You know, maybe I was wrong when I said earlier that the hearing wasn't going to last until 10:00, because the way things are unfolding, we're going to be here

1 for a long, long time. 2 So, folks, I know that you're all focused on the case. But you don't need to completely turn over every rock on every 3 little point and subpoint. It just is not necessary. And some 4 5 of these things that we've been talking about now are not what 6 I would call in the critical category. 7 So let me ask a couple of questions, Mr. Klayman, just 8 so I can get a feel for your view and make sure that I don't 9 misinterpret or misunderstand your position. 10 Concerning your view on the relevancy or lack of 11 relevancy of the software, would you agree that in a defamation 12 case like this one that the Plaintiff, which would be your 13 client, Mr. Montgomery, has the burden to prove falsity? Do 14 you agree with that? 15 MR. KLAYMAN: We would -- that's one aspect the burden of proof. But also, recklessness. And that's where relevancy 16 17 primarily comes in. THE COURT: Well, actually, Mr. Klayman, doesn't 18 19 recklessness come in only after you've established the falsity? 20 In other words, let's assume that a defendant is completely reckless, cavalier, outrageously reckless. If it turns out 21 22 that whatever he or she said or published is true, recklessness really wouldn't matter, would it? 23 24 MR. KLAYMAN: It does matter in this instance. The 25 facts of this case are very case specific. This is why I

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 17 of 216

1 raised those documents with you when you asked the question, is 2 that Mr. Risen had no basis to write what he wrote because he had no access to classified or any other government information 3 4 and documents. THE COURT: So what? 5 6 MR. KLAYMAN: In fact, he admits that at his deposition 7 at Page 124, Line 11 to Line 16. We cited this in our pleadings. 8 9 So consequently, if you go back also and you look at the original motions to dismiss, they're not relying on 10 11 anything with regard to software and whether it works or not. 12 They're relying on public information. And it was reckless to 13 publish this when he had no basis to publish this. We don't 14 have to prove the negative, in other words. 15 THE COURT: And the --MR. KLAYMAN: And in this case -- let me just add one 16 17 other thing. And I don't want to prolong the hearing longer 18 than is necessary either, your Honor. I know how hard you work 19 and we work. 20 But in this particular case, this whole issue's a nonstarter, because at the time that you made your initial 21 22 order, okay, saying to turn over the software, you didn't have 23 the benefit of the CIA weighing in on whether this software, if 24 it exists, could ever be produced. The software according to 25 the CIA, if it exists, according to the Justice Department, can

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 18 of 216 17

1 never be turned over because it's classified. And to do so 2 would be a crime. Consequently, we're arguing really about 3 nothing.

You'll notice in any of the pleadings that the 4 5 Defendants have filed, they don't want to discuss the 6 classification issue. The matter could never have been turned 7 over. So therefore, Mr. Risen made this stuff up out of whole cloth. He had no basis to do it. It was reckless. And I've 8 9 got the testimony of Mr. Montgomery and others that will say that what he told is the truth. So we will put forward 10 11 evidence that what he said was the truth.

12 And in fact -- and I realize, you know, events overtake 13 themselves. But just in the last few days, we've learned 14 that -- and this will come into trial; it's not in the 15 pleadings yet -- but we learned that intelligence agencies were 16 intercepting communications between Congress and the prime 17 minister of Israel and they were doing that. And that's exactly what Mr. Montgomery has been telling the FBI has been 18 19 happening under his immunity agreements. He's extremely 20 credible.

21 Consequently, we'll let the jury decide on who's 22 telling the truth or not. That's a jury question.

23 So yes. We will prove the falsity. But it's also 24 reckless, because Mr. Montgomery -- excuse me -- Mr. Risen made 25 this story up without having background facts. That's why the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 19 of 216

1	
1	book was never published by Simon & Schuster. They had already
2	been in a lot of trouble and hot water over a prior book that
3	Mr. Risen had written dealing with an individual named Jeffrey
4	Sterling, who went to prison. He's in prison today. Risen
5	almost went to prison over that. He probably read about it.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, do you recall my question,
7	sir?
8	MR. KLAYMAN: The question is, yes, we will show that
9	it was false and we will prove that it was reckless.
10	THE COURT: That was not my question. My question was
11	not whether you will prove it was false.
12	My question is: Do you agree as a matter of law, not
13	even in this case only, but as a matter of law for defamation
14	lawsuits that a plaintiff has the burden to prove falsity? I
15	was hoping and, gosh, it must have been overly optimistic of
16	me I was hoping that I would hear from you either "yes" or
17	"no." You've been going on for about
18	MR. KLAYMAN: I can answer that.
19	THE COURT: ten minutes.
20	MR. KLAYMAN: I said yes initially. But I said under
21	the facts of this case, we don't need
22	THE COURT: So you
23	MR. KLAYMAN: the software
24	THE COURT: So you agree that a plaintiff needs to
25	prove falsity in general, and in this case Mr. Montgomery as a

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 20 of 216 19

1 defamation Plaintiff must prove falsity. Correct? 2 MR. KLAYMAN: Falsity and/or recklessness. THE COURT: Well, that's --3 MR. KLAYMAN: That's my position legally. 4 THE COURT: So you say that a defamation plaintiff must 5 prove either falsity or, as a substitute, reckless behavior. 6 7 Is that your position? MR. KLAYMAN: Correct. And in this instance --8 THE COURT: What case, sir, says that? Tell me what 9 10 case. Because I'm not familiar with any case that says that. 11 I've read the Defendants' memorandum of law; and they cited 12 several cases for the basic proposition that a defamation 13 plaintiff has the burden of proving falsity. That simply confirmed my understanding as a lawyer who's been practicing 14 for 35 years. 15 16 You now have said to me something I can tell you 17 candidly I've never heard before. I've never heard anybody say in a defamation case that a plaintiff has a choice. Either the 18 19 plaintiff can prove falsity or, or, regardless of the falsity, 20 all the plaintiff needs to prove is recklessness. 21 So I'm asking you: What case, what law, supports that 22 view of defamation law? Because to me, it's a novel point. 23 Tell me the case. 24 MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, I don't have the cite with me 25 right now. But I can get it for you. And I was looking

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 21 of 20

1 through the pleadings yesterday and I thought that I saw we 2 made reference to that in the pleadings. But our position here is -- I just want to be clear --3 4 is that, number one, the software deals with only one small 5 aspect of alleged defamation, if at all. 6 THE COURT: We haven't even gotten into the specific 7 facts, Mr. Klayman. Right now I'm just talking about the law. 8 And you just uttered what I thought to be a fairly remarkable 9 proposition that I'm not familiar with. When a lawyer who's been practicing for a long time says something to me that is 10 11 startling, I say, My gosh. Either I've been living in a cave 12 for all these years or this is some new area of the law that I'm not familiar with. 13 And you've now told me that you're not aware of a 14 15 citation. That strikes me as a rather --16 MR. KLAYMAN: I didn't say that. What I said, your 17 Honor -- I don't have it in front of me right now -- reckless disregard for the truth is the equivalent of falsity. 18 And 19 there is jurisprudence to that effect. And I'll be happy to supplement, your Honor. 20 21 THE COURT: So then in that case, Mr. Klayman, what I 22 want you to do is by Friday you will be filing a list of 23 authorities, not a memorandum of law. In fact, I'm 24 specifically prohibiting you from filing a memorandum of law. 25 All I want you to do is file a list of authorities to support

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 22 of 21

your view that a defamation plaintiff can either prove falsity or as a substitute for falsity recklessness. So you'll just list the case citations, and at most you will be permitted to include a one-sentence parenthetical description of the holding.

Now, I have a feeling that you're not going to be able to find such a case, Mr. Klayman. But if you do, if you do find a case or more than one case to that proposition, then I'll give the Defendants the opportunity by Tuesday to submit a reciprocal list of authorities. They're not going to get to argue either. They will be permitted at most to include a one-sentence parenthetical describing the case.

So let me make sure that I also further understand what you're saying. You're talking about recklessness. Let's assume, Mr. Klayman -- Mr. Klayman?

16

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Listen. It's fine to have a colleague here 18 in court with you. But if you're going to be passing notes and 19 consulting with your paralegal and you're not listening to my 20 questions, it's going to make it very problematic for the 21 hearing to proceed smoothly. If you absolutely need to take a 22 break, say, Excuse me, Judge. I need 15 seconds to speak to my 23 colleague.

24 But for me to be looking out at you, trying to ask you
25 a question, and you're turning to the side and all I can see is

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 23 of 22

1 the side of your face and you're whispering to your paralegal 2 and you're passing notes, number one, I consider that rude. 3 And number two, you don't have the ability to respond to my 4 question.

5 But if you feel a need to take a brief break because 6 you need to consult, all you need to do is say, Judge, I need 7 15 seconds to consult.

MR. KLAYMAN: I will, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: So let's talk about recklessness.

10 Assume for the sake of discussion that one morning 11 Mr. Risen woke up and said, You know what? I need to sell a 12 book. I haven't had a successful book in a really long time. 13 I don't have time to do any research. I don't have time to interview anybody. I heard about this guy, Dennis Montgomery. 14 15 He sounds like a perfect victim for me. I'm just going to 16 write a book. I'm not going to conduct any due diligence. I'm 17 not going to do any research. And I'm going to write a book 18 that says that Mr. Montgomery sold a bunch of bogus software to 19 the United States.

20 Mr. Risen never had the software, never had access to 21 it, never spoke to anybody about the software. He just said, 22 I'm coming up with this story. I'm making it up out of whole 23 cloth. I mean, you couldn't imagine a more wildly reckless 24 scenario.

25

8

9

Now, if it turns out fortuitously that what Mr. Risen

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 24 of 23

1		
7		
1	wrote in my hypothetical scenario with no investigation, no due	
2	diligence, and absolute malice and recklessness turns out to be	
3	true, do you have a successful defamation claim?	
4	MR. KLAYMAN: Under these circumstances, I believe we	
5	do, under these facts.	
6	THE COURT: How?	
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Because, your Honor and I was trying	
8	to couch my answer in the context of actual malice, which is a	
9	factor in proving defamation with a public figure.	
10	Assuming that our client is a public figure which we	
11	submit he's not. He was undercover. He was not a public	
12	figure and is not a public figure. But the concept of	
13	recklessness fits in with the concept of actual malice, which	
14	fits in with the concept of having to prove falsity in a	
15	defamation case.	
16	And those are the cases that I'll be citing to you,	
17	primarily.	
18	The in this particular	
19	THE COURT: And just so we're clear, these are cases	
20	that are going to say recklessness is a substitute for falsity	
21	and a defamation claim can proceed without proof of falsity if	
22	there's recklessness? That's what these cases are going to	
23	say?	
24	MR. KLAYMAN: In the context of actual malice, of	
25	having to show actual malice, yes.	

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 25 of 216 24

So -- and by the way, I did answer your question 1 2 initially. I didn't mean to in any way impugn your question; and I respect what you have to say deeply, honestly. 3 4 So in this context, and in light of the documentation that we have received and in light of Mr. Risen's own testimony 5 6 at Page 124 of his deposition, in light of the fact that he 7 made claims that this was the biggest hoax in American history, that it almost results in planes being shot down -- which don't 8 9 necessarily even hinge on the software; there's a lot of 10 defamatory statements that don't hinge on that software -- yes, 11 we can prove falsity and in the context of actual malice 12 recklessness here. 13 And --THE COURT: I know. But my question is not whether you 14 15 can prove falsity. 16 My question is: Under this hypothetical, I came out 17 with a set of crazy facts that I assumed would demonstrate no investigation, a complete lack of due diligence, extreme 18 19 recklessness, pure -- a pure cavalier attitude, someone who 20 just didn't even care if it was true or not. 21 But then I said, fortuitously, notwithstanding this 22 crazy, reckless behavior, fortuitously, it turns out that the 23 story is true. Do you still have a viable, successful 24 defamation claim? And you said yes. Correct? 25 MR. KLAYMAN: And the way -- yes.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 26 of 216 25

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. KLAYMAN: And the way we're going to prove that, if 3 I may --4 THE COURT: Yes. MR. KLAYMAN: -- is to present testimony of 5 Mr. Montgomery and other witnesses that this is false. 6 7 That it's false. THE COURT: 8 MR. KLAYMAN: Now --THE COURT: So you have to prove falsity? 9 10 MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah. And I said that initially. But I 11 also said that within the context of proving falsity, with the 12 actual malice standard, that recklessness comes into play. 13 That's what I meant to say. THE COURT: Well, I think, Mr. Klayman, if you don't 14 15 mind me saying so, you're talking in circles, because you just told me that you don't need to prove falsity, because instead 16 17 you can rely on recklessness and malice. 18 Then I came up with this extreme hypothetical and I 19 said, Do you still have a viable defamation claim if it turns 20 out fortuitously that this story, reckless as it may have been, turns out to be true? 21 22 And you said, Yes. There's a viable claim. And then 23 you explained, Because we're going to show falsity. 24 Well, if you say we're going to show falsity, then 25 you're sort of conceding the point that a defamation plaintiff

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 27 of 216 26

needs to show falsity and you're in effect conceding that you 1 2 can't use recklessness as a substitute for proving falsity. So, Ms. Handman, do you agree that recklessness is a 3 4 substitute for proving falsity? MS. HANDMAN: No, your Honor. I agree with your 5 6 analysis. 7 Falsity is its own element of the claim of libel. And then if falsity is established along with a defamatory 8 9 statement of fact, then the Plaintiff must show in this case 10 because he's a public figure actual malice, that they published 11 the false allegation knowing it was false or with serious doubts as to the truth, sometimes called reckless disregard. 12 13 But the fault requirement is a wholly separate element of the claim that must be shown as well as falsity and a 14 15 defamatory statement of fact. 16 THE COURT: So let's go to the Defendants' memorandum 17 of law in support of the sanctions motion that is the first major memorandum that kicked off this briefing. It's Docket 18 19 Entry 166. 20 Mr. Klayman, do you have that handy? Take your time. 21 MR. KLAYMAN: Which memorandum, your Honor? Excuse me. 22 THE COURT: It's Docket Entry 166. It's entitled Defendants' memorandum of law in support of their motion for 23 24 sanctions. It's probably the first major submission on the 25 very issue that we're here on today: sanctions.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 28 of 216 27

1	Do you have that?
2	MR. KLAYMAN: Ms. James is finding it.
3	THE COURT: All right. Take your time.
4	Ms. Handman, I take it you have that memorandum?
5	MS. HANDMAN: Yes, your Honor.
6	MR. KLAYMAN: I've got it, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm looking at Page 3. Are you
8	there?
9	MR. KLAYMAN: I'm there, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. So in the middle of Page 3, the
11	Defendants note that on July 1st, Montgomery's counsel objected
12	to the request to produce the software as vague, ambiguous,
13	overly broad, burdensome, and used the phrase "largely
14	irrelevant" concerning the request to disclose the location and
15	then also refusing to produce a copy of the software because
16	it's not because your client is not legally permitted to
17	produce secret, classified information.
18	So those were all of your initial objections when
19	Defendants asked for the software because the Defendants wanted
20	their expert to examine it to see if it actually worked or not.
21	So the one objection, Mr. Klayman, that is
22	conspicuously missing from that initial objection is, "I don't
23	have it" or "It doesn't exist" or "I can't produce it because
24	it's not in my possession, custody and control." That kind of
25	objection was never raised.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 29 of 216 28

-	
1	And my question is: Why not?
2	MR. KLAYMAN: The answer is, because Mr. Montgomery
3	didn't know. He had so much material, he didn't know.
4	Initially and I'll let him speak for himself. And
5	again, your Honor, I've read your very fine ruling. It was
6	cited, actually, by Defendants, the Wandner case versus
7	American Airlines. There, you had an evidentiary hearing. I'm
8	just speaking as a lawyer here. I'm not speaking for
9	Mr. Montgomery. Okay? We don't have an evidentiary hearing
10	here as in Wandner.
11	But he didn't know for sure. He had so much stuff. He
12	had 47 hard drives, 600 million pages of documentation. In
13	fact, he didn't know whether or not it was still with the CIA
14	or not. That's my understanding.
15	So he wasn't clear on that.
16	Now, he thought he might. Yeah. And that's why he has
17	made a good-faith effort to try to assist in finding that
18	software.
19	But in the interim, the Defendants served subpoenas on
20	personnel and the CIA itself. And the CIA came back with the
21	Justice Department and said, "We cannot produce this under any
22	circumstance." And it's not relevant.
23	THE COURT: So your answer is, Well, we didn't raise
24	the objection that Mr. Montgomery didn't have the software at
25	the time because he didn't know.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 30 of 216 29

So then the next followup question, quite frankly, is: 1 2 Well, if that's true, then why months later in his deposition did Mr. Montgomery unequivocally say, "I turned over the 3 software the day before or two days before to the FBI"? 4 I read that transcript multiple times in preparation 5 6 for today's hearing. And Mr. Montgomery's testimony was not 7 equivocal. He didn't say, you know, "I'm not sure if I still have the software." He didn't say, "I can't recall if the CIA 8 9 has the only copy" or "I don't remember if I still have it in my possession." He didn't say anything which we -- which would 10 11 remotely suggest that he no longer had the software. 12 To the contrary, he unequivocally said, "I turned it over to the FBI." 13 And then a day or two later, Mr. Klayman, you appeared 14 15 in court in this very courtroom and you said to me, "The 16 software was turned over." And I remember I was rather astonished at the time. 17 And I asked you a lot of followup questions, like: When did 18 19 this happen? How were the arrangements made? Did you let the 20 Defendant s know in advance? 21 And you went into a lengthy explanation about your 22 relationship with a district judge up in Washington, DC -- I 23 think it was Judge Lamberth -- and somehow you were able to 24 contact Judge Lamberth and he was able to pave the road and 25 grease the wheels so that you were able with your client to

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 31 of 216 30

1 turn over the software to the FBI. And you explained to me all 2 about the continuing right of access and you explained to me 3 about how the software was turned over by Mr. Montgomery to the 4 FBI in their office here in South Florida.

And nowhere during either Mr. Montgomery's testimony or 5 6 your very protracted explanation was there ever a hint that 7 Mr. Montgomery no longer had the software or even that he wasn't sure. Any reasonable person reading that deposition 8 9 transcript or listening to your arguments in court would come away with the inescapable conclusion that the software existed, 10 11 that Mr. Montgomery had it, and that he turned it over to the 12 FBI in an arrangement orchestrated by you.

So what do you say about that, sir? How is it that now you're saying that -- or Mr. Montgomery is saying, "Gee, I'm not sure if I have it"? "Now that I think about it, I probably didn't have it"? But when questioned at his deposition, he gave every indication that he had it and turned it over. Now when you appeared in front of me, you gave every indication that your client had it and turned it over.

20 So what am I supposed to make about those 21 circumstances?

22 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, first of all, your Honor, we've 23 always been in good faith. Mr. Montgomery has been trying for 24 years to come forward, because whatever he has in his 25 possession is government information, which is classified.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 32 of 216 31

Now, he does have a clearance. He says he still has a top-secret clearance. It was never revoked. There was never a hearing to revoke it. So he could have it in his possession, but he can't turn it over to anybody else. And consequently, he has been trying for years. I didn't orchestrate it. And we went through a federal judge, so it was done properly and with supervision at the highest levels.

8 THE COURT: Well, let's not use the word "orchestrate," 9 because perhaps that has some negative connotations.

10 Didn't you in fact arrange it? Didn't you reach out to 11 Judge Lamberth and didn't you coordinate with the FBI and make 12 those arrangements?

MR. KLAYMAN: Before this case was filed, yes. We did reach out to Judge Lamberth, who I have a lot of confidence in. THE COURT: And then didn't you yourself make the arrangements after this lawsuit was filed? I mean, didn't you make the arrangement with the FBI to make that turnover to their office in Miramar?

MR. KLAYMAN: That was in progress, your Honor. We tried different ways to get the information in the government hands. We didn't want to keep the information. At least Mr. Montgomery -- I don't mean we -- he didn't want to keep the information.

I might add, I have never seen anything that is even arguably classified. Okay? I'm not like some other people

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 33 of 216 32

that have been in the newspaper lately. I don't want to see 1 2 I never want to see it. And it's -- so I don't know it. whether the software was there or not. I don't know. 3 4 But Mr. Montgomery thought that it likely was at the 5 time. However, it was the FBI and the CIA that would have to 6 make that determination on declassification. 7 And in a letter that was written by James Baker, general counsel of the FBI, working in conjunction with FBI 8 9 Director Comey -- we were working directly with his office through Baker -- that it was determined that they would do a 10 11 declassification review, even though they didn't want to get involved in the civil proceeding, because they've got serious 12 13 criminal investigations ongoing. 14 Your Honor knows, because you wear dual hats as a 15 criminally charged magistrate and civilly charged magistrate. 16 You don't want to mix the criminal with the civil. It can 17 taint the criminal proceeding in particular. And I was a former Justice Department lawyer, so I know 18 19 I had to go through that. that. 20 So I didn't know myself. But Mr. Montgomery did 21 believe that it was likely that it was there. But when they 22 started to look into it, it appears that it wasn't there, 23 because they have tremendous expertise, the FBI. This is not 24 some run-of-the-mill computer expert. This is the top law 25 enforcement agency in the world that I worked with when I was

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 34 of 216 33

1 at Justice. I know what they can do.

And consequently, as it progressed, it became clear that it likely was not there; but that if it is there -- and this is a really important point, your Honor, and it kind of surmounts everything -- you didn't have the benefit of this when you issued your initial order. We don't fault you for that. I respect you.

But you were trying to push us along. And we did get 8 9 pushed along. I mean, we did move. I'm still trying to have the FBI take more time to look at it. I requested a month 10 11 extension of discovery so they'd have more time. Defendants 12 didn't want that because they liked the strategic angle, trying to get the case dismissed or negative inference or whatever. 13 14 They didn't want to find it. They'd never wanted to find it. 15 They want to put us in a box. They want to paint us in a 16 corner.

And the reality here is is that they served subpoenas on the CIA. The CIA came back and said, We object under their *Touhy* regulations and policy. We're not producing it. And it's classified and you'll never get it.

Did they come to you and ask you to enforce those subpoenas? No. They've waived any claim to this software. If they really wanted it, they'd be in front of you today on that issue and not this issue.

25

THE COURT: So talking about waiver, Mr. Klayman, what

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 35 of 216 34

1 is your response to the Defendants' argument that you have 2 waived your objection by not timely asserting the claim that Mr. Montgomery doesn't have the software? They say you waived 3 4 it by not raising it in your initial objection to the request 5 for production, that he didn't raise it in his deposition, that 6 you didn't raise it here in this courtroom when you gave me 7 that very lengthy explanation about the turnover to the FBI. They say that's a waiver. 8

9

What's your response to that?

10 MR. KLAYMAN: My response is, I'll incorporate what I 11 just said. So I don't want to repeat it and take up the 12 Court's time. But in addition to that, that was not our 13 objection to make. That was the objection of the CIA.

14 This stuff belongs to the CIA. It doesn't belong to 15 Mr. Montgomery.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, I really don't follow that 17 argument at all. If I'm a party in a case and somebody serves 18 me with a request for production or a subpoena and I don't have 19 the material, I may have a bunch of other objections, such as 20 privilege or it's classified or the FBI doesn't want me turning 21 it over.

But the threshold position would be and would have to be: I don't have it. That to me is probably the most important point, because then many of the other points really don't matter, because if Mr. Montgomery doesn't have it and

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 36 of 216 35

never had it or doesn't have it at the time, end of storv. 1 2 But he never said that at the time. You never said it 3 at the time. Why wouldn't that be a waiver? 4 MR. KLAYMAN: It's not because, at the time, as I said, 5 he thought that he may have had it. So I didn't want to raise 6 that. 7 Your Honor, from my perspective as a lawyer, you know, not just of the Florida Bar for 38, going on 39 years, but DC, 8 9 et cetera, as a government -- former government Justice Department lawyer, and as someone who started two ethics group, 10 11 Judicial Watch and Freedom Watch, the last thing I ever want to 12 do is say anything to a court or the government that is even 13 arguably untrue. That's the most sacrosanct aspect of my 14 belief in our justice system. 15 So we didn't say -- we would never say that we didn't 16 have it. He didn't know. THE COURT: Well, did you or Mr. Montgomery ever say, 17 "We're not sure"? "We don't know"? 18 19 In other words, it's one thing to make an offhand 20 comment. But once you're under oath in a deposition, it seems 21 to me you need to be very careful about what you say and what 22 you don't say. And when you're appearing at a hearing in front 23 of a federal magistrate judge in federal district court, you 24 need to be careful about the accuracy of your representations. 25 So if you're telling me now, like you just did, that

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 37 of 216 36

1	Mr. Montgomery thought he may have had the software, then it
2	seems to me the prudent thing to have done would have been,
3	number one, for Mr. Montgomery to have said in his deposition,
4	"You know, I'm not sure if I have the software. Maybe I
5	don't." And it would have been prudent for you to say to me at
6	a hearing, "Judge, I'm not sure if Mr. Montgomery has the
7	software or not."
8	But you didn't say that. And he didn't say that. To
9	the contrary, both of you took positions and made statements
10	which represented that the software did exist, that
11	Mr. Montgomery had it, and that, golly gee, so sad, too bad, it
12	was just turned over to the FBI two days ago.
13	Those are the representations, Mr. Klayman, that you
14	made and that Mr. Montgomery made.
15	So why didn't you try to give yourself a safety valve
16	or give Mr. Montgomery a safety valve by accurately saying in
17	all of those circumstances, "We're not sure" or "Maybe" or
18	"Maybe not"? Why didn't you all do that?
19	MR. KLAYMAN: At the hearing, your Honor, it was my
20	understanding that I did. Maybe I did didn't do it as
21	artfully as I could have done it. But coming in front of you
22	and trying to explain to you what we did and why we did it and
23	why this was in progress for years and why the information was
24	likely classified, now confirmed that it was by the CIA, and to
25	say that we've made arrangements with the FBI to get it if it

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 38 of 216 37

1 was required to be produced -- your Honor had not ordered yet 2 that it be produced. We were here on August 19th. Your order 3 came out on August 22nd. So there was no order that we 4 violated. None. THE COURT: Here's what I want you to do, Mr. Klayman, 5 6 because you just told me that you thought at the hearing that 7 you made clear to me that Mr. Montgomery wasn't sure if he had the software. 8 9 By the way, Ms. Handman, you were either at that 10 hearing in person or by phone. Do you recall --11 MS. HANDMAN: I was in person, your Honor. 12 MR. KLAYMAN: I did not say that, your Honor. You may 13 have misunderstood what I just said. 14 THE COURT: Excuse me. 15 MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. 16 THE COURT: So at that hearing, did Mr. Klayman say to 17 me in words or substance, "We're not sure if Mr. Montgomery has the software"? 18 19 MS. HANDMAN: No. No, your Honor. My recollection is, 20 as was yours -- and indeed, I also was astonished by both what 21 we learned for the first time in the deposition and then the 22 following day when Mr. Klayman confirmed the details of the 23 handover that he organized. 24 THE COURT: So what I want you to do, Mr. Klayman, by 25 this Friday, is I want you to file a notice pinpointing to me

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 39 of 216 38

the page numbers in that hearing transcript where you told me 1 2 that Mr. Montgomery wasn't sure if he had the software or not and that maybe, but not definitely, maybe, the software had 3 4 been turned over to the FBI. So you'll point those pages out to me, because my 5 6 recollection is similar to Ms. Handman's --7 MR. KLAYMAN: I'm not refuting what you said, your 8 Honor. The transcript of this hearing, I think, 9 THE COURT: 10 sir, will reflect that you just told me that you thought you 11 told me at the hearing that Mr. Montgomery wasn't sure whether 12 he actually had the software. You just told me that no less 13 than four minutes ago. MR. KLAYMAN: That's what I said. And I'll go back and 14 15 look at the transcript, get an expedited copy. That's not what 16 I meant to say. Okay? 17 What I'm trying to say is that we made provisions if that software was there for the FBI to locate it when it was 18 19 turned over. That's what I meant to say. 20 I said to you, I don't know one way or the other what's -- what he turned over because I'm not entitled to see 21 22 I don't know. And Mr. Montgomery did believe -- this is it. 23 what I said -- that it was in the 47 hard drives. But it 24 appeared that it was not when the FBI made an effort to find 25 it. And he has communicated with the FBI on a number of

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 40 of 216 39

occasions and met with them on two occasions. 1 2 So -- and, you know, we've supplemented the record with 3 our good faith in that regard. 4 So that's what I meant to say, your Honor. If it came out inarticulately, I apologize. 5 6 And let me also point one other thing out --7 THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm about to sort of modify your homework assignment there, which is: To the extent that 8 9 you now say that Mr. Montgomery -- that I advised you, Judge, at the hearing that Mr. Montgomery merely thought that he had 10 11 the software as opposed to actually turning it over, you'll 12 pinpoint those pages in the hearing transcript to me as well 13 because, candidly, I don't have that memory at all. My memory 14 is completely to the contrary. And as I indicated to you, my reaction was to be 15 16 astonished. And my jaw was probably hanging half open. 17 Perhaps I did a good job of covering it up. But I remember saying to myself, Wow. This is some development. And then I 18 asked some additional followup questions which caused you to 19 20 give me that fairly protracted explanation about Judge Lamberth 21 and working with the FBI and going with your client to the FBI 22 offices, and then that led to the discussion about the 23 continuing right of access. All of those things flow from my 24 impression that you were unequivocally representing that the 25 software had in fact been turned over within the past day or

1 two. 2 I don't recall any sort of mushy statement, any kind of safety valve, any equivocation. But apparently, you think 3 4 otherwise. So you'll file by Friday the hearing transcript pages 5 6 where you told me that Mr. Montgomery merely thought that he 7 had the classified software, but wasn't sure. MR. KLAYMAN: But that's not what I just said, your 8 Honor, with all due respect. I'm happy to do that. 9 But what I said was is that he thought he had it. 10 11 Okay? So consequently, I thought he had it. So that's what I 12 told you. THE COURT: Any kind of equivocation that will be 13 included in your Friday filing, "thought," "maybe," "perhaps," 14 15 "not sure," whatever language you believe you said to me short of an unequivocal representation that the software was turned 16 17 over, you'll point out those pages to me, please. 18 MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. And there's one thing I wanted to 19 add here, if I may. 20 Mr. Montgomery has a very serious brain aneurysm. He's been in the hospital a number of times. At the deposition, he 21 22 sat for seven hours. He was not feeling well. It's on the record. I'm sure your Honor's seen it, having read it. Okay? 23 24 If he inartfully answered a question and was tired and 25 just wanted to get rid of something -- because, you know, I

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 42 of 216 41

will maintain that Ms. Handman at some point as she did in the initial status conference showed a lack of respect for him and his medical condition. She said to him, for instance, "You're tired. You don't feel well? Well, it's your problem." I'm paraphrasing. "You're the one that brought this case. So you deal with it."

7 That's not the way you deal with somebody who has a8 brain aneurysm who could die at any moment.

9

20

So I think that needs to be taken into account.

I can tell you just a little vignette of a story. When I was on the trial team breaking up AT&T, AT&T brought a witness in who testified inartfully. And he claimed to the Court -- and the Court accepted it -- that he had taken NyQuil the night before and that it clouded his memory, which it does. It's very powerful stuff.

A brain aneurysm is a lot more powerful than NyQuil. And he wasn't thinking clearly throughout that deposition. And in fact, he thought that he had even defecated on himself in the middle of the deposition.

But I think that needs to be taken into account.

THE COURT: So, Ms. Handman, what about that? Let's assume that Mr. Montgomery was not quite as artful as he could have been in his deposition, and the reason for that in whole or in part is the fact that the man has a brain aneurysm, is sick, doesn't have quite the memory that he used to have. What

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 43 of 216 42

1	are your thoughts on that?
2	MS. HANDMAN: Well, first of all, he was totally clear
3	in his answers with regard to what happened the day before the
4	deposition and what he turned over to the FBI.
5	He was asked, Have you searched for your software?
6	Yes.
7	Do you have it?
8	No.
9	Did you when did you stop having it?
10	Yesterday, when I gave it to the FBI.
11	It couldn't have been clearer. And I if you recall,
12	your Honor, I did offer to take the deposition in Seattle,
13	where he lives. And he chose to come to Florida to sit for his
14	deposition. We offered him any breaks that he wanted.
15	And I in the medical records that they've produced
16	so far, yes, he had a brain aneurysm some years ago. Yes, he
17	had a stroke in 2014. But his current medical condition from
18	what I can see from the records is stable and the aneurysm is
19	not worsening. And he fell, and that's why he went to the
20	hospital. He didn't stay over in the hospital this year.
21	So I have to take issue with the notion that this
22	testimony was in any way product of his medical condition.
23	MR. KLAYMAN: This may be helpful, your Honor, because
24	it's nice to have Ms. Handman all of a sudden give herself a
25	medical degree and become an expert in this case. But it may

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 44 of 216 43

1	be more helpful to look at the video this was videoed and
2	see his demeanor at the time and how much pain he was in.
3	There is a video of this. That's more persuasive than
4	Ms. Handman's self-appointed role as a medical expert.
5	THE COURT: And if you submitted that to me, would it
6	need to be under seal?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Not from our standpoint.
8	MS. HANDMAN: No, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And the portion of the video deposition
10	where Mr. Montgomery was explaining about searching for the
11	software and turning it over to the FBI, more or less, how much
12	time are we talking about? Is that 10, 15 minutes at the most?
13	Or are we talking about hours?
14	MS. HANDMAN: I think it was about 10, 15 minutes, if I
15	recall correctly, your Honor. I haven't looked recently.
16	MR. KLAYMAN: I might add on that point, your Honor, he
17	was feeling pain throughout the deposition. We had to leave
18	the room on a number of occasions.
19	THE COURT: So here's what you're going to do. You
20	have another homework assignment, Mr. Klayman. And we'll talk
21	about the timing in just a minute.
22	But I will allow you, because you offered I will
23	allow you to submit to me the relevant video excerpts of
24	Mr. Montgomery's deposition concerning the questions and
25	answers on the topic of turning over the software. It looks as

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 45 of 216 44

1 though, according to the Defendants' memo, that part of that 2 discussion was on Page 127 of the deposition transcript. But 3 you'll submit that video to me.

And here's how you're going to have to do it, because 4 5 the clerk's office normally receives filings electronically on 6 CM/ECF. And this is not going to be a filing; it's going to be 7 an actual DVD. So you'll have to make arrangements to do sort of an old-fashioned filing of that DVD, excerpt to the clerk's 8 9 office. You'll provide a courtesy copy of that videotaped DVD excerpt to defense counsel and also you'll provide a courtesy 10 11 copy to me, to chambers.

12 The copy that you provide to chambers can either be an 13 actual DVD or you can submit it to me in electronic format. It 14 doesn't really matter to me, either way. I have lawyers submit 15 video excerpts to me both ways.

How much time do you think you'll need in order to get that done?

MR. KLAYMAN: Well, I was going to ask you at the end of the hearing, your Honor, at the present time we have a response to Defendants' summary judgment motion due on Friday. So we're really jammed. And we're here today. I had wanted to push it off. I know your Honor wanted to have it sooner. And I respect that.

But we're really packed out right now in terms of time.So I would like more time for all of this. Perhaps, you know,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 46 of 216 45

1	a week from Friday to submit everything that you requested.
2	And with regard to the video, for the record, I'd like
3	to submit the entire video, and then I'll pinpoint those
4	sections of the testimony that your Honor wants to see with
5	regard to the software, because it's important that you see the
6	whole or at least be on the record for purposes of objection
7	or appeal at least. I'm not saying you're going to rule
8	against us. I hope you won't. But you need to see how much
9	pain he was in throughout this entire process.
10	THE COURT: Ms. Handman, what's your view on that?
11	MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, as you know, we resisted
12	resetting this hearing in part because of various deadlines,
13	including a mediation that's scheduled for next Wednesday. And
14	our own summary judgment reply that because Mr. Klayman
15	asked for an extra week is now due on the 19th.
16	So for us, it is important for the reasons your Honor
17	has articulated about the falsity issues, for example, that if
18	there is to be a ruling on this, you know, before we submit our
19	reply, that would be very helpful.
20	So I'm understanding Mr. Klayman's scheduling problems.
21	And I leave it up to you, your Honor. But there are reasons
22	why an expeditious handling of this is important. As you know,
23	we have to start making motions in limine at the beginning of
24	February. Trial is currently set for the middle of March.
25	There are in addition to this our motion to dismiss and

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 47 of 216 46

1 transfer pending. And our summary judgment will be fully 2 briefed by the 19th. 3 So we are on a very fast track here. THE COURT: Let me try to sharpen my question, 4 5 because -- thank you for all that additional information. Ι 6 was really only asking you about one particular point. I 7 probably should have flagged that for you. I wanted to know your position on Mr. Klayman's 8 suggestion that the entire video be submitted as opposed to 9 just the 15- or 20-minute excerpt. 10 11 MS. HANDMAN: I'm fine with that, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Klayman, it seems to me 13 it's a lot less burdensome to simply file the entire DVD than to have to review it and filter it and pinpoint particular 14 15 pages and excerpts. 16 But here's a suggestion that I have, because you have 17 advanced to me the argument that your client is not feeling well, he's got medical issues, and that perhaps I need to 18 19 evaluate his deposition testimony with his medical condition in 20 mind. 21 And I understand that you have certain deadlines and 22 the defense has similar deadlines as well. 23 But since it's the entire DVD that's being submitted 24 and not excerpts, and I don't want to postpone this any 25 further, what about simply arranging for the Defendants to file

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 48 of 216

the entire DVD, send a courtesy copy to me? That way, you 1 2 folks from the Plaintiff's side don't even need to be burdened with that. The defense will carry the laboring oar on that. 3 4 I already know what pages to turn to because the 5 references in the memorandum tell me what pages. For example, 6 it's approximately around Page 127. So obviously, starting a 7 few pages before 127 and a few pages after, that's where I'll find the questions and answers from Mr. Montgomery's videotaped 8 9 deposition concerning the software and his search for it and 10 turning it over to the government. 11 So do you have any problems with that? 12 MR. KLAYMAN: I don't, as long as I can verify that 13 they have actually turned the whole thing over, your Honor. We 14 did have some issues at the outset of this case about an affidavit. You might remember. I won't belabor it. 15 16 THE COURT: That's fine. 17 So why don't we have the Defendants submit that DVD by Friday. Give Mr. Klayman a courtesy copy and provide a 18 19 courtesy copy to me as well. Okay? 20 MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, do you have the transcript of the deposition? That might be helpful as well. 21 I probably -- I know for sure I have 22 THE COURT: 23 excerpts. I don't know if I have the entire transcript. But 24 I'm happy to have you file the entire transcript. 25 My suggestion is, if you have the transcript in what I

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 49 of 216 48

1 call page-saver format -- do you know what I mean by that? 2 Four pages per page in quadrants as opposed to one page? A lot of court reporters do it that way along with a word index. 3 So that'll shorten the amount of -- or minimize the amount of 4 5 So if you want to do that, please try to submit the paper. 6 page-saver version of the transcript. 7 And do you think you can get that done by Friday? MS. HANDMAN: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Fine. 10 So the other point, Mr. Klayman, that I have is, you 11 were talking to me about what representations were made by 12 Mr. Montgomery and what representations were made by you and my 13 comments about my perception that the representations were 14 unequivocal. Take a look, if you would, please, at Pages 5 and 6 of 15 the Defendants' memorandum, the same one that I called your 16 17 attention to earlier in the hearing, Docket Entry 166. 18 Are you there? 19 MR. KLAYMAN: Correct. 20 THE COURT: So this is an excerpt from the hearing transcript. Comment by you -- or question by me: The FBI has 21 22 the software? 23 Answer by you: They have the software, yes. 24 So I'm going to go through a couple of additional 25 questions and answers here. But the point here is, your answer

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 50 of 216 49

1	is, "They have the software, yes," not, "Judge, maybe they have
2	the software"; "Judge, Mr. Montgomery is unclear whether the
3	software was given to the FBI"; or, "Judge, I can't tell you
4	for certain. I think there's a good possibility that they have
5	the software. But Mr. Montgomery is not 100 percent sure, so
6	maybe not." None of those sorts of statements are in there.
7	But to the contrary, the representation from you to me
8	is, "They have the software, yes."
9	I don't think there's any other way to read that, any
10	other way to interpret it, than this being a flat-out,
11	unequivocal representation that the software was turned over to
12	the FBI.
13	Question by me: How did they get it?
14	Because Mr. Montgomery provided it to them.
15	Question by me: When?
16	He provided it to them three days ago.
17	Not "He thinks he provided it to them," "He's not
18	sure," "Maybe it's on there."
19	Anyways, you understand my point. It's an unequivocal
20	representation.
21	Then question by me a little bit lower: And so when
22	the software was turned over not "maybe turned over," "could
23	have been turned over," "possibly turned over" but when the
24	software was turned over three days ago, did either you or
25	Mr. Montgomery keep a copy? Or you just gave the software?

1

2

3

4

5

Answer by you in court: We just gave it to them. No copies? It was just the software. And relative to this case, the software is included. So maybe the Defendants were cherry-picking the

6 transcript when they made this submission in their memorandum. 7 But I've got to tell you that, based on these questions and answers, I am still of the recollection -- I still have the 8 9 recollection that your comments to me were quite strident, 10 quite unequivocal, quite certain, and the story that you told 11 me -- I don't mean story in a pejorative sense, but the 12 representations that you made to me were that the software had 13 in fact for sure been turned over.

14 So I'll see by Friday when you complete your homework 15 assignment whether there are any statements which might 16 minimize the certainty of those representations. But so far, 17 what I've seen confirms my recollection that the 18 representations were certain and therefore in my mind 19 astonishing.

MR. KLAYMAN: And what I said to you, your Honor -- let me just add for 30 seconds -- is that based on what Mr. Montgomery told me -- and I did not have access to any software, nor can I; to do so would be to commit a crime, which I won't do -- I believed that the software was given to the FBI.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 52 of 216 51

1 Now, over time, when the FBI could not find it, despite 2 all the good-faith efforts to help them to find it, 3 Mr. Montgomery came to believe that it was not there. 4 So that's the explanation. It's that simple. THE COURT: So let's take a look, please, Mr. Klayman, 5 at Page 9 of this same memorandum, Docket Entry 166. And 6 7 toward the bottom, under Paragraph K, the Defendants are quoting your client's declaration. Quote: "Based upon my 8 9 personal knowledge and belief, upon searching my memory, I do 10 not believe that I have had access to any of the subject 11 software, nor did I provide it to the Federal Bureau of 12 Investigation when I turned over the drives." 13 So is it your client's position that he never had access to the software? Because that's the way it's phrased: 14 15 "I do not believe that I have had access to any of the subject 16 software." Is that what that statement means? 17 MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, we do not have in front of -18 the statement speaks for itself. And I will help try to 19 explain it as counsel, as legal counsel, but not as someone 20 who's testifying, because we -- he's not here today. We don't 21 have an evidentiary hearing, like you did it in other case. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman --23 MR. KLAYMAN: But I --24 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, didn't you or somebody from 25 your office draft this declaration?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 53 of 216 52

1	MR. KLAYMAN: Based upon what he said.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So let's not pretend that you don't
3	know what the declaration is about. Presumably, you or
4	somebody in your firm spoke to Mr. Montgomery in order to
5	obtain the information that you would include in his
6	declaration. Correct?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: And the document speaks for itself. And
8	respecting attorney-client privilege and work product, I will
9	answer the question as counsel.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. KLAYMAN: Okay? But I have to respect the
12	attorney-client privilege, too.
13	He came to believe, as I said, when the FBI had not
14	found it thus far that he likely had never turned it over, that
15	he didn't have it.
16	This is stuff that's owned by the CIA.
17	THE COURT: I'm doing a bad job of asking my question.
18	I'm going to try it again.
19	I'm not asking you whether this declaration means that
20	Mr. Montgomery now believes that he didn't turn it over to the
21	FBI. That's pretty clear to me that's what this declaration
22	says. But I'm not asking about that part of the declaration.
23	I'm not asking whether he now believes he didn't recently turn
24	it over to the FBI.
25	I'm asking about the other statement, where he says, "I

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 54 of 216 53

1 do not believe that I have had access to any of the subject 2 software." That sounds to me as though he's saying, "I now believe that I never had access to the subject software." 3 4 Is that your client's position? Yes or no. MR. KLAYMAN: No. I mean -- and your Honor just made 5 6 the point by using the word "never," which is not in there. He 7 never said never. THE COURT: So what does that mean, "I do not believe 8 that I have had access"? I mean, isn't "never" sort of a 9 10 superfluous word? 11 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, there was a point in time, your 12 Honor -- and in fact, Defendants put this on the record -- when 13 Mr. Montgomery was -- his premises were raided ironically by the FBI. He was tied to a tree as they searched his house and 14 15 when they took everything that he had. There was a court in Nevada that ordered that the FBI 16 17 return the materials to Mr. Montgomery not because the 18 materials were not -- repeat, not -- classified, but because 19 the agent had lied in an affidavit and also had not shown 20 probable cause to seize all of this material. 21 Now, under the circumstances, it's likely that he never 22 got everything back. May have kept that on behalf of the CIA. 23 So that helps explain why in retrospect he thought he never had 24 this, he didn't have access, because they never gave it back. 25 This is 47 hard drives, 600 million pages of documentation.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 55 of 216

1 The FBI is still going through it. The FBI is taking it 2 seriously. You know, your Honor, it's --3 THE COURT: Wait, Mr. Klayman. I just have to follow 4 5 up on that last statement. And I know that you said this, 6 because my memory, even though I'm 60 years old, is still 7 pretty good that I can remember something that you said 30 8 seconds ago. 9 You just said to me, "The FBI is still going through 10 this information." So that's a representation to me that as of 11 today, the FBI is still reviewing the information. Did you mean to say that, sir? 12 13 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. Let me tell you why I meant to say that: Because there are two branches of the FBI that's 14 involved here. Okay? There's one, the civil side, which was 15 16 dealing with this. And then there's the criminal side. 17 I met with two agents of the FBI about a month and a 18 half ago. And Mr. Montgomery has received immunity. I can 19 provide that under seal to you, an immunity agreement, to also 20 talk to the FBI. And they're going through everything, all of 21 the information that he provided. That's the criminal 22 investigation. 23 The individual who has corresponded with Ms. Handman 24 ex parte without my knowing -- I've been trying to talk to this 25 quy, Ted Schwartz. He's a piece of work. Okay? I've been

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 56 of 216 55

	-
1	trying to talk to him to cooperate. And all he writes back is,
2	you know, "We're not talking. You put it in writing."
3	But he's not the person we're dealing with. We're
4	dealing here with two FBI agents and the assistant US
5	Attorney
6	THE COURT: What are the name of the two FBI agents?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Walter Giardino
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. KLAYMAN: and William Barnett.
10	THE COURT: William Barnett. And the name of the
11	assistant US attorney?
12	MR. KLAYMAN: Deborah Curtis.
13	THE COURT: All right. So
14	MR. KLAYMAN: They have access to everything. They're
15	going through everything.
16	THE COURT: All right. So I just want to be clear.
17	MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
18	THE COURT: Your representation to me is that still as
19	of now, January 2016, that Special Agent Giordano [sic],
20	Special Agent Barnett and AUSA Deborah Curtis are reviewing the
21	41 files that you turned over in order to locate the software
22	at issue in this case?
23	MR. KLAYMAN: Well, in order to see what's there.
24	Okay? And I did raise with them, even at my last meeting, we
25	want to continue looking for this. I did in good faith on

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 57 of 216 56

1	behalf of Mr. Montgomery.
2	THE COURT: Is it your representation, Mr. Klayman,
3	that these three people, Giordano, Barnett and Ms. Curtis or
4	others working with them are still to this day searching for
5	the software which is at issue in this civil defamation
6	lawsuit?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Making that specific representation.
8	What I'm representing is they're searching everything that's on
9	those drives. And if
10	THE COURT: Because I seem to remember a letter from
11	the FBI attorney saying to you in a letter, Mr. Klayman, the
12	information that your client or that you provided in an
13	effort to provide additional help locating the software was of
14	no help whatsoever.
15	Ms. Handman, when was that letter? Do you remember?
16	Was it back in
17	MS. HANDMAN: Well, the first letter was September 8th.
18	Then Mr. Schwartz wrote Mr. Klayman, and he filed it
19	under seal October 1st.
20	Then he wrote October 23rd after this declaration that
21	you referred to was written. And that was filed.
22	And then on December 11 is his most recent
23	correspondence, all of which went to Mr. Klayman, copied to me.
24	And he's right. This response to your November 16,
25	2015, e-mail, "I am advised that the Dropbox link which you

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 58 of 216 57

forwarded from Mr. Montgomery is to a file-filtering program which is not of any use in locating the alleged software in the absence of the specific information which the FBI had requested in its September 8 letter, namely the number or designation of the drive on which the software is present and the file name of the software.

7 "As a result and given the fact that Mr. Montgomery 8 does not believe that the FBI is in possession of the software, 9 the FBI's position as stated in my October 23 e-mail remains 10 unchanged."

And that position was that the FBI is not looking for Mr. Montgomery's software that's involved in this lawsuit, which is unrelated to the 47 drives, hard drives, the 51 million files and 600 million pages that Mr. Montgomery buried his software in when he provided it to the FBI.

16 THE COURT: What is the date of that communication from 17 Mr. Schwartz?

MS. HANDMAN: It's December 11. And we filed it as a notice of supplemental hearing on December -- notice of supplemental filing. It's ECF 196-1.

21

THE COURT: Right.

So, Mr. Klayman, concerning your statement that the FBI is still looking at these materials, based on what Ms. Handman told me, the FBI criminal side, meaning Mr. Giordano,

25 Mr. Barnett and Ms. Curtis, are looking at the files for other

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 59 of 216 58

purposes, not necessarily searching for the software at issue in this case, the software that was mentioned in Mr. Risen's book, do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Giordano, Mr. Barnett, Ms. Curtis or others working with them are still as of January 2016 actively looking for the software at issue in this case?

7 MR. KLAYMAN: I asked them to. I don't know what 8 they're doing in that regard. I know I asked them to.

9 And it's not just Special Agent Giardino. It's not 10 just Special Agent Barnett. It's the FBI. These were just two 11 who appeared at a meeting that -- two meetings that we've had, 12 one here in Miami and one I've had later than that. But it's 13 the FBI. They have a forensics section. They are the leading 14 law enforcement agency in the world. It's not just those two 15 people. And they're going through all of this.

16 If I may put this on the record, because I want your 17 Honor to understand what the criminal investigation is about, is that with regard to what Mr. Montgomery was privy to with 18 19 regard to software that he was involved with with the CIA and 20 with the NSA and other intelligence agencies, Defense 21 Intelligence Agency as well, he learned that officials of these 22 agencies, including, but not limited to, James Clapper and 23 others, were accessing, harvesting the information, private 24 information about people like you, a magistrate judge. You 25 might even be on that list, believe it or not. Judges,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 60 of 216 59

1	congressmen, senators, influential people. They even harvested
2	my stuff when I was here in Miami, because I'm an advocate.
3	You know I've had my differences with a number of
4	administrations here and trying to enforce the rule of law.
5	And they got my financial records back in 2007 out of
6	Colonial Bank.
7	So this is a serious matter. And at the highest level,
8	this is being dealt with by the FBI director, the criminal.
9	The civil side of the FBI is, not to coin a phrase, the
10	JV team.
11	MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor
12	MR. KLAYMAN: The other side of the FBI is the criminal
13	side. And they're looking for everything that's on that that
14	he turned over.
15	MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, if I might point out, the
16	e-mail from Mr. Schwartz on December 11 to Mr. Klayman copied
17	also Deborah Curtis, the AUSA who Mr. Klayman has referenced;
18	Rafael Gomez, the DOJ lawyer who has been handling some of our
19	Touhy requests; and James Baker, the general counsel of the
20	FBI.
21	I guess that's the B team.
22	And that they were copied on his letter on his
23	e-mail.
24	MR. KLAYMAN: To copy someone on a letter is not to
25	sanction it. I've had direct communications with Mr. Baker

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 61 of 216 60

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, we're not going to play 1 2 ping-pong and we're not going to have comments back and forth, 3 east and west. 4 MR. KLAYMAN: I would agree. It's almost north and south. Okay? 5 THE COURT: 6 MR. KLAYMAN: I would agree. 7 THE COURT: So we're still here on Page 9 of this memorandum. And I'm a little bit curious about Footnote 15. 8 9 Footnote 15 says, "Although previously ordered, Montgomery did not produce the July 28th and August 12th, 2015, letters 10 11 referenced in Mr. Baker's September 8th letter or any response 12 to Mr. Baker's request for further information to locate the software until October 20th." 13 14 So actually, now that I think about it, this question 15 might be better phrased or better asked to Ms. Handman, which 16 is: So are you saying in this footnote that ultimately, 17 perhaps in your view belatedly, Mr. Klayman did turn over these documents; he just didn't do it until October 20th? 18 19 MS. HANDMAN: That's correct, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor had ordered it for much 22 earlier. 23 MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, I believe, if I may help 24 clarify here -- I'm not sure; I'm going from memory -- that 25 Mr. Montgomery was ill in the hospital during that period.

1 MS. HANDMAN: He's --2 THE COURT: Folks, gosh. This is such a small little I'm getting reluctant to even raise a point for fear 3 point. that it will trigger a 15-minute discussion. We need to keep 4 5 our eye on the ball, and let's talk about things that are 6 important and move on when we have a minor point. 7 So concerning number -- I mean, Page 9 on this declaration, the declaration that Mr. Montgomery signed, that's 8 9 actually a very important part of this motion because the defense is claiming that either that declaration is false or 10 11 it's the equivalent of a sham affidavit or should be ignored or is an after-the-fact justification. But in any event, it's a 12 13 major part of the sanctions request. 14 So right after the Defendants quote that declaration, Mr. Klayman, they raise the following points: "He," meaning 15 16 Mr. Montgomery, "does not explain how he supposedly does not have access to his own software, where it is now located or 17 explain this change of story after this Court made clear in its 18 October 16th and 19th orders that he could face 19 20 dismissal/sanctions." 21 So do you have any further clarification to --22 MR. KLAYMAN: I believe --23 THE COURT: -- provide? 24 MR. KLAYMAN: I believe that I've been clear on the 25 record. This was an evolving process, that it appeared to him

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 63 of 216 62

1	that he didn't get back the software in all likelihood when the
2	documentation was ordered back by the judge in Nevada, that it
3	was withheld, and he had all this information. He had been
4	trying for years with regard to inspectors general, with regard
5	to Senate and House committees and the FBI to turn this and
6	the Department of Defense to turn this stuff over. He didn't
7	want it in his possession.
8	Mr. Montgomery's a patriot, your Honor. He doesn't
9	have to stick his neck out like this.
10	THE COURT: So let me just make sure
11	MR. KLAYMAN: (Inaudible) is in Moscow right now. My
12	client's here in the United States.
13	THE COURT: Have you seen the movie by the way,
14	Citizenfour?
15	MR. KLAYMAN: No. But I'd like to. Is it good?
16	THE COURT: I enjoyed it. It's available on NetFlix, I
17	think. That's where I saw it.
18	MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. Thanks.
19	THE COURT: But in any event, I want to make sure that
20	I understand your position. And please correct me if I'm
21	wrong, because I just heard the explanation for the first time
22	this afternoon, unlike a lot of other arguments which have gone
23	back and forth this afternoon which I've heard before or read
24	before, this particular point I think, if I'm not mistaken, I
25	heard for the first time. I want to make sure that I'm on

1 board. 2 Your position -- and I want you to tell me if I'm wrond or not understanding this correctly -- your position is that 3 4 Mr. Montgomery now realizes most likely that he no longer has 5 the software at issue because the FBI removed it from his house 6 illegally when he was tied to a tree; and when it was brought 7 out that the FBI special agent lied in an affidavit, the judge ordered the seized materials to be returned, and apparently, 8 9 although materials were returned, the software was not 10 returned. 11 Do I have that right? 12 MR. KLAYMAN: That's the only logical explanation, 13 based upon the fact that he now doesn't believe he has it and 14 the FBI can't find it. 15 THE COURT: When was it that --16 MR. KLAYMAN: That's deductive reasoning. 17 THE COURT: I hear what you're saying. 18 What year was it that the FBI or somebody tied him to 19 tree and --20 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, handcuffed him. 21 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 22 MR. KLAYMAN: Handcuffed him. It wasn't guite like 23 Zorro, you know, when we were kids. But they handcuffed him to 24 a tree.

THE COURT: All right. And when --

25

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 65 of 216 64

1 MR. KLAYMAN: I don't remember. I don't remember the 2 date. I can --3 THE COURT: I mean, are we talking a year or two ago? 4 Are we --5 MR. KLAYMAN: No. It was quite a while ago. 6 THE COURT: Ten years? 15 years? 7 MR. KLAYMAN: Quite a while ago. More than three years 8 ago, I think. 9 MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, if I might be heard on this. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. HANDMAN: After the search was found 12 unconstitutional, in part because the Court found it was in 13 service of the civil dispute over ownership of the software between eTreppid and Mr. Montgomery, and also because the 14 15 probable cause was based allegedly on his possession of 16 classified information and the Court found there was no -- that 17 probable cause was not satisfied, it was returned. That was 18 the subject of the subsequent proceedings that we have 19 referenced to your Honor --20 THE COURT: You mean in Las Vegas? 21 MS. HANDMAN: In Las Vegas, where Judge Pro, federal 22 district court judge, ordered Mr. Montgomery to produce his 23 software. And when he failed to, he sanctioned him \$2500 a 24 day. 25 I don't believe he ever said, "Oh, by the way, the FBI

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 66 of 216 65

1	didn't give it back to me. I can't do it. Please don't
2	sanction me." Instead, he entered interest a confession of
3	judgment for \$25 million, then declared bankruptcy, didn't
4	produce the software again. And it was the bankruptcy was
5	not discharged.
6	I also want to be clear on another point: The CIA in
7	response to our Touhy request, it is true they refused to
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry. By the way, for benefit of the
9	court reporter, <i>Touhy</i> is T-O-U-H-Y.
10	Go ahead.
11	MS. HANDMAN: Sorry.
12	our subpoena to the CIA. There, the CIA responded
13	in two ways. As to documents other than the software, they
14	said, We're not going to search. It is too time-consuming and
15	it'll distract us from our mission. We're not a party to this
16	litigation. Some of what we would find would be classified.
17	We'd have to go through the segregation process. We won't
18	confirm if we have it or not because it's classified.
19	But as to the software, they did search for that. And
20	they concluded they did not have it.
21	And that is what they said as recently as a few months
22	ago.
23	THE COURT: Right. I know. I read that.
24	MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, may I just respond quickly?
25	I think it's important here for the record, is I'm trying

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 67 of 216 66

1 which I'm answering your question in the best of my knowledge. 2 And I've said to you that I've never seen any of this stuff. 3 Okay? 4 With all due respect to Ms. Handman, she wants to be a 5 medical expert and she testifies unequivocally as to what other 6 judges have done and everything else. And that's entitled to 7 no weight. THE COURT: I'm not taking her comments as testimony. 8 9 This is what lawyers do. They make arguments. They make 10 reference to other cases, other rulings, orders. She hasn't 11 been sworn in, and I'm not construing it as testimony. It's 12 just --MR. KLAYMAN: I just wanted to make that clear on the 13 14 record. 15 THE COURT: -- it's a lawyer presentation. 16 MR. KLAYMAN: Right. There was no -- there was no 17 determination by any judge in Nevada based on my reading the 18 pleadings of whether software was in the documentation or in 19 the materials that were seized or not. Okay? The judge made a 20 blanket ruling that there was no probable cause and the FBI 21 agent had lied. Okay? 22 THE COURT: So in --23 MS. HANDMAN: If I might --24 THE COURT: No. Not yet. Please. 25 In the Las Vegas case, which I read about and I know

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 68 of 216 67

1	there was a per-day sanction imposed, I do recall that it was
2	\$2500 per day; and I know that instead of paying that penalty,
3	there was a different resolution reached.
4	My question to you, Mr. Klayman, is that when
5	Mr. Montgomery was ordered by the judge in Nevada to turn over
6	the software, did he say to the judge at the time, "Judge, I
7	don't have the software"? "Judge, the FBI took the software
8	when I was handcuffed outside my house and never returned it"?
9	Or "Judge, I'm not even sure that I have the software. Maybe I
10	do or maybe I don't"?
11	Did Mr. Montgomery in any way advise the Court in
12	Nevada that he did not have the software? Yes or no.
13	MR. KLAYMAN: I don't know. And this is why
14	THE COURT: You don't know?
15	MR. KLAYMAN: I don't it's my understanding that
16	Mr. Flynn handled the argumentation. That's why we'd like to
17	depose him. Instead, Mr. Flynn is all of a sudden on vacation
18	when we serve him and can't be found. Okay?
19	THE COURT: But
20	MR. KLAYMAN: He can never be found. All right?
21	THE COURT: in getting ready for this case, you need
22	to prepare. And part of the preparation is, you review
23	transcripts from other hearings. You review depositions from
24	other cases. You review court orders that are relevant.
25	The issue of the software is a very hotly contested,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 69 of 216 68

1 relevant issue in this case. And it was also the subject of an 2 order entered by a Nevada federal district court judge. 3 I would think, even though you say you personally never 4 saw the software, I would think you would be sufficiently familiar --5 MR. KLAYMAN: I wasn't --6 7 THE COURT: -- familiar with that case to be able to say to me, Yes, that representation, that explanation or that 8 9 possible explanation was made to the Court by Mr. Montgomery or 10 not. But instead, you're telling me, Gee, I just don't know. 11 MR. KLAYMAN: From what I read, I didn't see anything 12 that he made any representation to that effect to the Court. 13 This was argued by lawyers, from what I read. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. KLAYMAN: Right. And I didn't see that. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. KLAYMAN: So I'm trying to be honest with the I don't make stuff up. Okay? I don't testify like I'm 18 Court. 19 a witness here. You know? Excuse me if I get a little bit 20 heated here, but I sit here and I listen to stuff that is fed 21 to you, your Honor, as if it's fact. And it's not. And if I 22 don't know, I'm going to tell you that. I'm not going to sit 23 here and play that game. 24 THE COURT: I wish you'd had that philosophy back when 25 we had the hearing a few months ago and you unequivocally told

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 70 of 216 69

1 me, unless your homework assignment on Friday proves to the 2 contrary -- I wish you had that philosophy when you said to me that the software had in fact been turned over. 3 4 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, in fact, it was not to my advantage 5 to say that. That's what I believed at the time, your Honor. 6 So I had no motivation to say anything that was untrue to you. 7 What would -- I could have lied to you. I didn't. I didn't 8 make anything up. I believed that. And so did Mr. Montgomery. 9 And people can reevaluate things over time. 10 And if I may add here, you know, I understand why we're 11 going through this process, and I respect you and I know your 12 reputation. And, you know, deeply, I respect you. But the 13 fact here is I think we should be starting whether this software can ever be produced even if it existed, because this 14 is a nonstarter. This is classified material. 15 16 THE COURT: Has any judge declared this particular 17 software, the one being discussed in Mr. Risen's book, to be classified? 18 19 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, we're probably going to get a jury 20 to do that if we get to go to the jury. We have the CIA coming 21 in. 22 The answer is, no, that I know of. No one's ever ruled 23 on that. But it will be in front of this jury if you allow 24 it -- if this Court allows it to go to the jury. 25 And the CIA -- they wanted to bring the CIA in as

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 71 of 216 70

witnesses here. Now, where were they? It's total hypocrisy, total hypocrisy. They could have come in front of you and said, Order the CIA to testify. Instead, they'd rather play this game and they'd rather say, I don't want any extensions. You can't find it because we're going to shut the door on you because we think Magistrate Goodman might give us an order that we like.

THE COURT: So, Ms. Handman, what about that point? 8 9 Mr. Klayman says that, You know what? The Defendants really 10 don't want this software, as evidenced by the fact that you 11 objected to a mere one-month extension of time and that one 12 month could have been used by the FBI to track down the 13 software if it existed on the files that Mr. Montgomery turned over, and therefore I should ignore your rhetoric. Instead, I 14 15 should focus on your conduct.

And according to Mr. Klayman, your conduct demonstrates that you really don't want the software. Instead, you want a sanction for not -- for the Plaintiff not turning over the software.

What do you say to that?

20

MS. HANDMAN: I am glad you asked, your Honor. First of all, I want to be clear on one point. Not only has no court ever said the software was classified, but the government was a party in the Nevada proceedings we've been discussing. They did assert the state secrets privilege as to

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 72 of 216 71

everything but the software. They specifically excluded the 1 2 software from the protective order. And it was on that basis that Judge Pro ordered that it be produced. 3 4 So all these claims by Mr. Klayman, "Oh, I can't look 5 at the software," "I can't do my due diligence that I'm 6 required to do as a lawyer because it's classified," there is 7 no basis for that. When Mr. Klayman, who has been pressing for the 8 9 expedited schedule that we have been living under in this case, asked for an additional month to complete discovery, by that 10 11 time Mr. Schwartz had already written on October 23rd that the 12 FBI was not looking for the software.

So there was -- on that ground, there was no basis for saying that the -- that the deadline should be extended.

In addition, Mr. Klayman refused to agree to any postponement of the trial deadline so that we would be forced to make our summary judgment motion later because the discovery would be still open and yet the trial date would be nigh upon us, as it is already with the current schedule that we have, while motions to dismiss and transfer are still pending.

And so we said, No, we won't agree to extend the discovery deadline. We did say, You can complete your Southern District subpoenas that were already served by the prior deadline, just as our subpoena on the son-in-law was also served by the prior deadline. But we said there's no reason to

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 73 of 216

1 extend the discovery for another month to allow the FBI to 2 conduct a search that they're not conducting. 3 MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, the litmus test here, the litmus test and the bottom line is this: The CIA objected to 4 5 producing the software. They said it was classified and in their view not relevant. Not just the CIA, but the Justice 6 7 Department. Two letters. THE COURT: You know what, Mr. Klayman? Let's pick up 8 on that point, because I've read the letter from the CIA 9 10 counsel. I've read your memorandum about that letter. 11 I agree with Ms. Handman's description of the letter, 12 which says, number one, We did look for the software. That 13 they did do. And according to the letter, the CIA said, We 14 didn't find the software. That's point one. Now, point two was, the CIA said, And by the way, we're 15 not going to search for anything else because we are a 16 17 clandestine agency, and most of the things that we have would be classified anyway. 18 19 So concerning the software at issue here, the one being 20 discussed in Mr. Risen's book, the one that you and Mr. Montgomery previously represented, I believe unequivocally, 21 22 had been turned over to the FBI, they said they searched for it 23 and it didn't exist. And my reading of that letter is not that 24 the CIA said the software is classified. To the contrary, they 25 said, We haven't found the software.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 74 of 216

1 Can you point to me any place either in that CIA letter 2 or any other location where the CIA has said, in fact, this 3 software, the one we're talking about in this case, is, in 4 fact, classified? MR. KLAYMAN: I read that letter to say, your Honor, 5 6 that if it does exist, if it does exist, we would not produce 7 it in any event because it would be classified. That's how I read it. 8 But here's the litmus test. I want to get to this 9 10 point. Why are we here today trying to paint Mr. Montgomery 11 into a corner and, you know, dealing with these points when in 12 fact they didn't ever file a motion to compel the CIA to 13 produce the information? They laid back. They didn't want it 14 from the CIA. 15 THE COURT: Well, if --MR. KLAYMAN: Like if I enforce a subpoena with Simon 16 17 Schuster, they should have gone in and done that. 18 THE COURT: Except, Mr. Klayman, if the CIA says, We 19 don't have the software, what's the purpose of filing a motion 20 to compel? 21 They didn't --MR. KLAYMAN: 22 THE COURT: (Inaudible) we don't have it. 23 MR. KLAYMAN: They didn't say they didn't have it. The 24 letter says clearly that we cannot confirm or deny whether we 25 have it. But if we did have it, you're not getting it anyway.

1 It's classified. 2 THE COURT: You know, I don't want to give you another homework assignment, Mr. Klayman. But I'm very certain that 3 4 the letter from the CIA lawyer did not say, We cannot confirm 5 or deny that the software does not exist. 6 In fact, Ms. Handman, do you have that letter handy or 7 the quote from the letter? 8 MS. HANDMAN: I have the quote. 9 THE COURT: What does it say? MS. HANDMAN: It said, "The CIA conducted a search of 10 11 its records and did not locate," quote, "'a copy of 12 Montgomery's software, ' including but not limited to 13 video-compression software or noise-filtering software 14 Montgomery allegedly used to detect hidden Al-Qaeda messages in Al Jazeera broadcasts." 15 16 MR. KLAYMAN: You only read a section. 17 She conveniently leaves out a section, your Honor. And this is what I take issue with. 18 19 THE COURT: Well, just --20 MR. KLAYMAN: Sorry if I get a little bit heated. 21 THE COURT: Just read the section under what we call 22 the rule --23 MR. KLAYMAN: This is the technique. THE COURT: Just read under what I call the rule of 24 25 completeness, what else did she leave out?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 76 of 216 75

MR. KLAYMAN: "Second" -- Page 2. 1 2 THE COURT: Yes. MR. KLAYMAN: "Second, the CIA is a clandestine 3 intelligence service, and most of our information is 4 5 classified. Even if the CIA were to devote agency resources to 6 searching for records that might pertain to your private 7 lawsuit, responsive records, if there are any, would almost certainly be classified or otherwise privileged from disclosure 8 9 and hence unavailable to you." So that's what the CIA said. 10 Now, your Honor, if we -- you know, let's do that 11 12 evidentiary hearing. Why don't you order the CIA people to 13 come down here and testify on that. That's something she 14 wouldn't do because she doesn't want to hear it. 15 MS. HANDMAN: Good luck with that. 16 MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor can get them down here. Get them down here. 17 18 MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, what actually it says 19 after --20 THE COURT: Folks, folks, you're doing the east-west, back-and-forth thing. And let's not do that anymore. 21 22 Now, let's have you under the cross-hairs a little bit, 23 Ms. Handman, here. 24 So where in the amended complaint do you contend that 25 the Plaintiff alleges that the software exists? Mr. Klayman in

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 77 of 216 76

1 his memorandum says, Wait a minute. My amended complaint never 2 says that. 3 And you take the contrary position. 4 So show me where in the amended complaint 5 Mr. Montgomery alleges that the software in fact existed and 6 that he had access to it, other than -- other than a 7 declaration attached to the amended complaint. But in the amended complaint itself, are there any allegations to that 8 9 effect? 10 MS. HANDMAN: Yes, your Honor. 11 I will start -- there's about ten. The first one is 12 Paragraph 23: "Plaintiff Montgomery sues for harm to his 13 financial interests as an individual in the intellectual 14 property of computer software, computer software techniques and encoding and decryption technologies which he developed and 15 16 which have been harmed by Defendants' defamation and other tortious conduct as well as other harm, and thus damages to be 17 covered during discovery." 18 19 Then Paragraph 48: "Defendants' defamation of 20 Plaintiff Montgomery, publishing that he defrauded the 21 government, is false and misleading, including but not limited 22 to the fact that the government conducted its own independent 23 test of Montgomery's software and confirmed its effectiveness 24 and reliability."

Paragraph 49: "Defendants' publications that Plaintiff

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 78 of 216 77

1	Montgomery defrauded the government are false and misleading,
2	including but not limited to the fact that the government has
3	continued to use Plaintiff Montgomery's software and
4	technology."
5	THE COURT: What was that paragraph number?
6	MS. HANDMAN: That was Paragraph 49.
7	Paragraph 65: "Plaintiff Montgomery developed various
8	software, including software that successfully decoded hidden
9	messages from broadcast video."
10	THE COURT: That was 45?
11	MS. HANDMAN: 65.
12	THE COURT: 65. Sorry.
13	Go ahead.
14	MS. HANDMAN: Then Paragraph 206, starting at 206: "As
15	defamation by implication, Defendants published that Plaintiff
16	Montgomery conned the government with a hoax; that is, it would
17	be clear, quote, 'how Montgomery was able to convince all of
18	them,' closed quote, if Montgomery's Mr. Montgomery's work
19	and technology are legitimate."
20	It then quotes a passage from the book in Paragraph
21	207, talking about the French taking issue with the Al Jazeera
22	technology.
23	And then 208: "As defamation by implication, if not
24	explicit, the passage published that Plaintiff Montgomery is a
25	fraud and that his work is a scam and a hoax."

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 79 of 216 78

1	He then quotes another portion of the book talking
2	about the Somali alleged Somali terrorist attack on
3	President Obama, where the threat later proved to be a hoax.
4	And he says in Paragraph 2010 [sic]: "As defamation by
5	implication, Defendants published that Plaintiff Montgomery's
6	ability to continue to receive contracts is due to Plaintiff
7	Montgomery's ability to defraud the government and stupidity of
8	government officials rather than the endorsement of the
9	legitimacy of Plaintiff's work."
10	It then quotes another passage of the book.
11	And in 212 he says, "As defamation by implication,
12	Defendants published that the Plaintiff engaged in fraud to
13	convince a few government bureaucrats that he had a magic
14	formula as an easy path to riches."
15	And another passage is quoted in 214: "As defamation
16	by implication, Defendants published that the money provided to
17	Plaintiff Montgomery, among others went to, quote, 'waste.'"
18	Then 216: "As defamation by implication, Defendants
19	published that Plaintiff Montgomery's work was fraudulent."
20	218: "As defamation by implication, Defendants
21	published that Plaintiff Montgomery committed fraud."
22	220: "As defamation by implication, Defendants
23	published that Plaintiff Montgomery, quote, 'beguiled,' closed
24	quote, Warren Trepp by committing fraud."
25	Then Paragraph 230: "As defamation by implication,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 80 of 216 79

Defendants published that Plaintiff continued to defraud, con and scam the government rather than concluding that the government recognized the legitimacy of Plaintiff Montgomery's work."

5 232: "As defamation by implication, Defendants 6 published that the Plaintiff engaged in fraud and a hoax by 7 keeping details mysterious, including the mystery was caused by 8 Plaintiff Montgomery rather than by Warren Trepp or the 9 government."

And -- well, I can keep going. But I'll just give 10 11 you -- do you want me to keep going, your Honor? I'll just give you some other paragraphs. You can go 234 to 236; 240 --12 13 here's a helpful one in 245. This is in the tortious 14 interference with prospective advantage cause of action: "Defendants understood that Plaintiff was pursuing the future 15 16 full value of his software, intellectual property and software 17 technology and techniques and was over time negotiating to make 18 further licenses and sales of the intellectual property."

19 246: "Defendants were aware that their publication of 20 false and misleading statements about Montgomery harmed 21 Plaintiff Montgomery 's career and livelihood and his ability 22 to earn a living, including the opportunity to sell his 23 professional services and software."

24 247: "Defendants' defamation disparaged Plaintiff's25 intellectual property and software so as to render it

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 81 of 216 80

commercially worthless by claiming that it did not work." 1 2 248: "Defendants acted knowingly, willfully and with reckless and negligent disregard to harm -- to the harm that 3 their publication of their false statements would cause to 4 5 Plaintiff Montgomery's livelihood, career and ability to earn a 6 living, including his opportunity to enter into contracts for 7 the sale of his services and/or intellectual property." Then 259. This is in the damages generally. As just 8 9 one example: "Plaintiff Montgomery negotiated for the sale of 10 his technology to the government for the price of \$100 11 million." 12 262: "Plaintiff Montgomery has been harmed by the loss 13 of the economic value of his intellectual property and the 14 value of licensing the intellectual property and/or providing 15 services based upon or incorporating his intellectual 16 property." THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, does Mr. Montgomery take the 17 position that part of the defamation is the false statement 18 19 that the software did not work? 20 MR. KLAYMAN: Part of it. But that's a small part of 21 it. 22 THE COURT: A part of it? 23 MR. KLAYMAN: A part of it. Yeah. 24 THE COURT: Right. 25 MR. KLAYMAN: And I could go through this for a couple

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 82 of 216 81

Г

1	hours, too, and point out all the statements that have nothing
2	to do with the software; in fact, many
3	THE COURT: I've read the amended complaint and I
4	MR. KLAYMAN: that deal with that.
5	THE COURT: I'm well aware that it is not limited to
6	simply the software. I know that it concerns Mr. Risen's
7	comments on various news shows, interview shows, his efforts to
8	market the book, et cetera. I'm aware of all of those
9	allegations in the complaint that are above and beyond just the
10	software.
11	But my question is: You'd agree I think you'd have
12	to agree that at least in part the defamation claim is that
13	Mr. Risen falsely stated in the book that the software did not
14	work. Correct?
15	MR. KLAYMAN: That's a very, very small part, because
16	what he stated in the book was this was the biggest hoax in
17	American history.
18	Now, the software let's just take a hypothetical.
19	It may work in large part, but maybe there are portions of it
20	that don't do what, you know, was represented. And that's
21	through no fault of anybody. When you buy a car, sometimes you
22	take it off the lot and not everything works and it can be
23	corrected.
24	So the fact that you say this was the biggest hoax in

25 American history that almost resulted in the death of people

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 83 of 216 82

1	flying over the Atlantic, that doesn't mean the software
2	doesn't work. That means that you're going far beyond that
3	which you have to go to sensationalize this book. That's why
4	these documents are important, because Simon & Schuster said, I
5	don't think you're going to sell this book. This is
6	republished pablum.
7	THE COURT: So is the
8	MR. KLAYMAN: (Inaudible) is Montgomery.
9	THE COURT: Let me rephrase my question to address
10	those stated concerns of yours.
11	Would you agree that in part your lawsuit claims that
12	Mr. Risen defamed Mr. Montgomery by falsely claiming that the
13	software didn't work in the complete way that it was supposed
14	to work? Would you at least agree with that?
15	MR. KLAYMAN: I wish that I'm not trying to avoid
16	your question. But Risen never wrote that. He said this was
17	the biggest fraud in American history.
18	So there may be parts of that software that work or
19	don't work.
20	THE COURT: But when he said this was the biggest
21	fraud, this refers to at least in part the software. Correct?
22	MR. KLAYMAN: No. I think he was talking about
23	everything that was represented by Warren Trepp and
24	Mr. Montgomery and others who were doing business with the
25	government.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 84 of 216 83

And there's an important paragraph that Ms. Handman 1 2 glosses over, okay, which, your Honor, I want you to -- I want to pinpoint that for you. Paragraph 49. 3 4 THE COURT: Of the amended complaint? MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah. 5 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. KLAYMAN: "Defendants' publications that Plaintiff Montgomery defrauded the government are false and misleading, 8 9 including but not limited to the fact that the government has continued to use Plaintiff's software and technology." 10 11 Mr. Montgomery maintains that the Government's continuing to use his technology. And so consequently, she's 12 13 pointing to that. She's making our point, is that you just can't say the guy committed the biggest fraud in American 14 15 history without any facts to back it up. And he had no facts 16 to back it up. He made this up. 17 And this gets to your first question. He made it up because the first publisher said, You can't sell this book. 18 19 You need something sensational. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman --21 MR. KLAYMAN: So let's beat up on Montgomery. 22 THE COURT: -- would you agree with me, sir, that part 23 of your lawsuit -- part of this defamation lawsuit concerns the 24 issue, the factual issue, of whether or not the software works 25 the way it was supposed to work?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 85 of 216 84

1	MR. KLAYMAN: A small part of it, yes.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. But the large part does not.
4	THE COURT: So
5	MR. KLAYMAN: It goes way beyond that.
6	THE COURT: So for that part of the lawsuit, are the
7	Defendants required to accept at face value Mr. Montgomery's
8	position that the software worked and is still being used or do
9	Defendants have the right to factually probe that position?
10	MR. KLAYMAN: That's a great question, your Honor. Let
11	me answer it as best I can in all good faith, which we've been
12	throughout.
13	It's heads I win, tails you lose, for Defendants,
14	Ms. Handman, is that at trial, we'll get to present our
15	testimony that it did work with our witnesses. They can
16	present theirs that it won't.
17	But it's interesting here. Go through the deposition
18	of Mr. Risen. You won't identify any confidential sources. In
19	fact, you come to the conclusion there are none.
20	But even if he had any, he wouldn't identify them
21	because of reporter's privilege. They claim this privilege.
22	And consequently, they're barred from presenting any evidence
23	with regard to whether software works or not because they
24	wouldn't provide the names of their sources.
25	Now, they painted themselves into a royal corner here.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 86 of 216 85

1 And they can't paint theirselves by playing a tactical game 2 with you and with me to get you to dismiss this case with heads I tail -- tails you lose --3 4 THE COURT: Heads I win. MR. KLAYMAN: -- philosophy of litigation. 5 I hope I 6 was clear on that. 7 THE COURT: Regarding --MR. KLAYMAN: It's clever. 8 THE COURT: Let's --9 MR. KLAYMAN: I'll give her credit for one thing: 10 This 11 is her -- you know, she represents these very big interests all the time. 12 13 THE COURT: By "her," you mean Ms. Handman, 14 Mr. Klayman? 15 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. Ms. Handman. 16 And this is a tactic which is used by defense counsel 17 in every case. Okay? Heads I win, tails you lose. We're not 18 telling who you our sources are. So you're going to have to 19 prove it in a vacuum. 20 And they're barred under the reporter's privilege if they want to claim it from ever coming up with any testimony to 21 22 the contrary what Mr. Montgomery's going to testify to and 23 others are going to testify to. 24 They put themselves in a box. And they're hoping that 25 you'll buy it.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 87 of 216 86

THE COURT: Do you recall the specific question that 1 2 triggered all those comments? MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah. Whether in part we were relying or 3 4 that. And what I'm saying is, we're entitled to put our 5 6 testimony on and they can put their testimony on. 7 THE COURT: Not exactly. Not exactly, sir. The question is -- try to focus on the question: 8 Would 9 you agree that part of this lawsuit involves the issue of 10 whether or not the software works the way it was supposed to 11 work? You already told me the answer to that is yes in part. 12 Correct? 13 MR. KLAYMAN: Small part. 14 THE COURT: And then the followup question is: Do the 15 Defendants have to accept at face value Mr. Montgomery's 16 position that there was not a hoax and that the government is 17 still using the software today because the software works? Do 18 Defendants just simply have to live with that? Or do they have 19 the ability to probe that position factually during discovery? 20 MR. KLAYMAN: Probe that position both during discovery 21 and in a trial by bringing forward their so-called sources, 22 which they refuse to identify. 23 THE COURT: But isn't part of the ability of the 24 Defendants to factually evaluate your client's position the 25 ability to inspect and test the software? Isn't that something

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 88 of 216 87

-	
1	that Defendants have the right to do in a case like this?
2	MR. KLAYMAN: I wouldn't under the circumstances of
3	this case, no, because it's classified material.
4	Let me tell you something. If Risen
5	THE COURT: Says you.
6	MR. KLAYMAN: If Risen
7	THE COURT: Says you.
8	MR. KLAYMAN: Let's bring the CIA down here, your
9	Honor. Order them down here.
10	I was in front of Magistrate Turnoff years ago. He
11	brought the Attorney General down here to testify. Issue an
12	order to have the CIA come down here.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, as we sit here today this
14	afternoon, do you have any authority, an appellate court, a
15	district judge, a magistrate judge, a state judge, who has
16	reviewed the software at issue or submissions about the
17	software and concluded this software, the software at issue in
18	this case, the software that was sold by Mr. Montgomery's
19	company to the government, is, in fact, classified? Do you
20	have anybody saying that?
21	MR. KLAYMAN: No judge can ever reach the issue. A
22	jury's going to have to make that determination on the basis of
23	the FBI's excuse me FBI and CIA's excuse me Justice
24	Department and CIA's representations. You're going to have to
25	accept that, your Honor. You'll have no choice. Otherwise,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 89 of 216 88

Т

1	call them down here and put them on the stand.
2	THE COURT: So as we sit here today, nobody has no
3	judge or official has declared the software to in fact be
4	classified
5	MR. KLAYMAN: The
6	THE COURT: Let me just finish.
7	The only judge that I know of who has issued a ruling
8	on the software in Nevada has declared to the contrary. So
9	therefore, as of now, the software has not in fact been deemed
10	classified. Therefore, don't the Defendants have the right to
11	test and evaluate the efficacy of the software?
12	MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, accepting the representations
13	in all due respect to you and I respect you, as I said of
14	what Ms. Handman told you, that's not what the judge in Nevada
15	ruled. I'm sorry. That's not what he ruled.
16	THE COURT: Did the
17	MR. KLAYMAN: He turned he turned the stuff back on
18	the basis
19	THE COURT: Did the judge in Nevada say that the
20	software was classified?
21	MR. KLAYMAN: I don't think he made a ruling either
22	way. He told them to turn documents back because they had
23	violated the Fourth Amendment.
24	THE COURT: So for purposes of moving this part of the
25	colloquy conversation this afternoon forward, assume I'm going

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 90 of 216 89

1	
1	to just ignore what Ms. Handman said, because after all she's a
2	defense lawyer and you've already made your views known about
3	all defense lawyers in general. So I'm not going to pay
4	attention at all to Ms. Handman's re-creation and summary of
5	what the judge said.
6	MR. KLAYMAN: I was a defense lawyer, your Honor, at
7	Blackwell Walker.
8	THE COURT: I'm well aware of that. I understand.
9	MR. KLAYMAN: So I respect defense lawyers. But I
10	don't I don't take their arguments at face value.
11	THE COURT: Nor would you take every plaintiff's
12	lawyer's representations at face value. Lawyers don't take the
13	opposing side's representations at face value. When you're the
14	plaintiff, you probe the defense's positions. When you're the
15	defense, you probe the plaintiff's positions. That's what the
16	adversary system is all about.
17	So I'm going to ignore Ms. Handman's description.
18	Nevertheless, as of today, no judge, no government official,
19	has said the software at issue is, in fact, classified. I know
20	you say, Well, gee, Judge, you can summon the CIA here to your
21	courtroom and get them to say it. But that hasn't happened yet
22	and I'm not inclined to do that.
23	So would you agree that as of 4:07 p.m. this afternoon,
24	no judge, no government official, has branded this software as
25	classified? Do you agree with that?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 91 of 216 90

1 MR. KLAYMAN: No. 2 THE COURT: Why not? 3 MR. KLAYMAN: Because the CIA letters are written in an 4 official capacity. They're official -- they're official 5 letters. They're official documents of the CIA. 6 THE COURT: Yes. I know. But --7 MR. KLAYMAN: They are written only after consultation. THE COURT: But I've rejected that argument, 8 Mr. Klayman, because I've read that CIA letter --9 10 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. I agree with you on the judge, but 11 not on the CIA. They are government officials. 12 THE COURT: I've rejected your argument that the CIA 13 letter says that this software is classified. It does not say that, Mr. Klayman. And you haven't pointed to me persuasively 14 that it does. 15 What it says is, We've looked for the software and we 16 17 can't find it. And we're not going to look for other materials because we're a clandestine agency and most of our materials 18 19 are, in fact, classified. That's what it says. 20 There's no definitive description of this specific 21 software. 22 But let's move on, because we're going around in --23 MR. KLAYMAN: May I have one more point, your Honor? 24 THE COURT: -- circles. 25 MR. KLAYMAN: One point.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 92 of 216 91

1	THE COURT: Only if it's a new point.
2	MR. KLAYMAN: It's a new point.
3	THE COURT: What's the new point?
4	MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. To show you the bad faith, if you
5	want to use that term I'm not using that term of the
6	Defendants in this whole exercise is that they went down to the
7	very last day to designate an expert. They could have
8	designated an expert on software much sooner. They know what
9	we're dealing with.
10	Risen is allegedly the premier national security
11	reporter in the United States, albeit however dishonest he may
12	be. But he's considered that by certain elements of our media.
13	THE COURT: I guess it's a good thing that statements
14	lawyers make in legal proceedings are protected by the
15	litigation privilege, because it sounds like you just made some
16	pretty nasty comments
17	MR. KLAYMAN: Well, I've got
18	THE COURT: about
19	MR. KLAYMAN: Well, I've got a long complaint here that
20	says that he falsified information. That's what I'm basing it
21	on.
22	THE COURT: Right. So it's protected under the
23	litigation
24	MR. KLAYMAN: And I'm an advocate.
25	THE COURT: privilege.

1 MR. KLAYMAN: And I'm an advocate. 2 THE COURT: You are. You certainly are. MR. KLAYMAN: They just happen to be missing a hard 3 4 drive with 20,000 documents in the context of this case, your 5 We could get into that. Honor. 6 But let me get into the issue here. The expert was not 7 designated till the last time. We never even got his résumé. We never got anything else that was required under the federal 8 9 rules and the local rules. And it was clear that they were just trying to set us up for this kind of a hearing. And that 10 11 shows a lack of -- it shows the tactical nature of this whole 12 thing, which is what I've been saying. And, you know, that needs to be taken into consideration. 13 14 And I understand why your Honor asked all these 15 questions. If I was sitting there, I would ask them, too. 16 Okay? I'd be a pretty tough judge like you. 17 But we've been honest with you. And the reality here is you have to look at this from a result-oriented position, is 18 19 that they're not going to get the software. And most of the 20 case is not based on that. They don't come forward with their 21 sources. And they're barred from presenting those sources at 22 trial or at summary judgment. And it is heads I win, tails you 23 lose. 24 And they're trying to work you in a position, your 25 Honor, to do their bidding. And as you've pointed out in your

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 94 of 216 93

1	order, very well-written order on that other case, where you
2	did have an evidentiary hearing, Wandner versus American
3	Airlines, in a case-dispositive sanction, as you pointed out,
4	that's not in front of this Court right now, a case-dispositive
5	sanction, in front of you as the magistrate judge.
6	THE COURT: Yes, it is. They've asked me to issue a
7	report and recommendation
8	MR. KLAYMAN: Right. It's
9	THE COURT: recommending to Judge Martinez that he
10	dismiss the case under the spoliation doctrine.
11	MR. KLAYMAN: Right. Right.
12	What I'm saying is the ultimate. And it shows no lack
13	of respect to you, because I as I said, you know, we're here
14	because
15	THE COURT: Because I've asked you to be here.
16	MR. KLAYMAN: (inaudible) our argument.
17	But what I'm saying is that this thing needs to be
18	considered in context, okay? And the extreme Draconian
19	sanctions is what they've always wanted in this case. Always.
20	THE COURT: So let's assume for the sake of discussion
21	that the FBI the civil side of the FBI finds the software.
22	Maybe you send them a supplemental set of instructions or
23	guidelines or maybe they just luck upon it. It doesn't matter.
24	They find the software at issue in this case.
25	Would Defendants have the right to inspect and test the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 95 of 216 94

Ī	
1	hardware, assuming that the trial was continued and the
2	discovery deadline was continued? Would they have the right to
3	examine the hardware and test it I mean, the software and
4	test it?
5	MR. KLAYMAN: Not under these circumstances.
6	THE COURT: Because?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Because it's classified.
8	THE COURT: And then we go around in a circle again.
9	I'm going to say: Says who? And you're going to say: Says
10	me.
11	MR. KLAYMAN: Let's get to the heart of the issue, your
12	Honor.
13	THE COURT: What's that?
14	MR. KLAYMAN: You can issue an order right now to have
15	the persons who signed that letter down here in front of you.
16	And they should have to testify in front of you and you can ask
17	them these questions, rather than to hypothesize or to divine
18	what they might have meant in the letters.
19	I read it a different way than you. There's a dispute
20	of fact here. Let's get them down here.
21	MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, if I could add one more
22	point, that if indeed it were classified and I agree 100
23	percent with all that you have said that would be another
24	grounds for dismissal, because Mr. Klayman says we're not going
25	to get it either way. And that can be a ground for dismissal

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 96 of 216

1 as well, if indeed what your Honor has called critical evidence 2 is not available to the defense in order to defend the claim. And one final --3 MR. KLAYMAN: It's not --4 5 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman. 6 Okay. I'm sorry. I thought she was MR. KLAYMAN: 7 done. MS. HANDMAN: One final point on the so-called bad 8 9 I don't know if he's read Mr. Risen's declaration that faith: 10 was submitted in support of the summary judgment motion. But 11 there is lengthy discussion about sources there. We have not asserted any confidential sources in this case. 12 13 THE COURT: So, Mr. Klayman, let's assume --14 MR. KLAYMAN: That's not true, by the way. That's 15 false. THE COURT: Let's assume that the software is found. 16 17 Let's assume that either the CIA or the FBI or Judge Martinez 18 or another federal judge declares the software to be classified 19 and says, None of you Defendants have a right to inspect or 20 test the software. None of your experts can test or examine 21 the software because it is classified. 22 So under those circumstances, the Defendants say, We 23 win anyway. I guess it's your argument: Heads we win, tails 24 you lose. 25

The defense would say, We win anyway, because we are

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 97 of 216 96

prevented from adequately defending the case because we're dealing with state secrets and classified information. Even if it's not the Plaintiff's fault, if a critical piece of information is not available to us because it's classified or a state secret, then our hands are tied behind our backs. We can't adequately defend the case.

7 And the Defendants have cited case law where the result 8 was to rule for the Defendants because they were unable to 9 defend the case under the state secrets or classified 10 information doctrine.

What are your views on that possible scenario? MR. KLAYMAN: We've gone through this before, your Honor.

14 Number one, the CIA made this clear and the Justice 15 Department, because they understood that question. And they 16 said it's not relevant to your proving your case.

They can bring in front of this Court their sources, if they have any. And they can waive the privilege, which Ms. Handman just falsely stated they hadn't taken. And I'll show you where she's taken it, on the transcript. Go read the Risen transcript. That's a false statement, just like the statement about motor registration.

This issue here can be resolved. Bring the sources in front of the jury and let them determine whether or not Risen -- to refute Mr. Montgomery's testimony in the small part Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 98 of 216

1 of the case --2 I'm asking you about apples and you're THE COURT: 3 talking about oranges. 4 MR. KLAYMAN: I'm getting to it. But I --THE COURT: The question --5 The whole picture here --MR. KLAYMAN: 6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman --MR. KLAYMAN: The CIA is not --8 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, my question was not about the 9 10 sources. My question was if the software exists and it is in 11 fact described by an appropriate authority as confidential, as 12 a state secret, and the Defendants can't examine it. We're 13 talking about the software, not the sources of Mr. Risen or his 14 lack of sources. We're talking about the software. 15 Isn't the law, as the Defendants say it is, that they're entitled to win because they're not able to adequately 16 17 defend the case? What are your thoughts on that? 18 MR. KLAYMAN: No, because they don't need the software 19 to defend their case. They don't need it. And that's what the 20 CIA was saying. 21 And it's important that neither the CIA, the director 22 of the CIA, have come into this court with an affidavit asking 23 you to shut this case down because it would compromise national 24 security. They say that they can prove the case without the 25 software. They have sources. Come in with their sources and

1 have them testify. 2 THE COURT: By the way, who in this case decides what the Defendant needs and what the Plaintiff needs to either 3 4 prove the case or defend the case? Judge Martinez or perhaps 5 me or some associate CIA attorney? I'm not talking about 6 whether a document's classified or not. Maybe the CIA has the 7 ability to review a document and determine whether it's a classified state secret. 8 9 But as to what a plaintiff or a defendant in this civil 10 case needs to prove its claim or its defense, who decides that? 11 The judges in this case or some young associate CIA lawyer? 12 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, number one, I don't -- it's not a 13 young associate CIA lawyer. Letters like that are not written 14 by young associate CIA lawyers. THE COURT: Who is the CIA lawyer who signed that 15 16 letter? 17 MR. KLAYMAN: He signed it on behalf of the officials 18 of the CIA. 19 THE COURT: What's the person's name, sir? 20 MR. KLAYMAN: Rafael Gomez. 21 THE COURT: And who is Rafael Gomez? Is he the CIA --22 MR. KLAYMAN: One of them was Dean Morrow, assistant 23 general counsel. That's very high up. Joe Dean Morrow. 24 THE COURT: Assistant general counsel is the person who 25 signed the letter?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 100 of 216 99

1 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. That's an official. 2 And the other --3 THE COURT: So you think that Mr. Morrow tells me what 4 the Plaintiff needs to prove its case or what the defense needs 5 to prove --6 MR. KLAYMAN: This is what --7 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman --8 MR. KLAYMAN: I'm sorry, your Honor. THE COURT: One of the general rules that I explained 9 10 at the beginning of the hearing, it's basically the same rule 11 you learned when you were a child: No interrupting. 12 MR. KLAYMAN: I agree with you. 13 THE COURT: So please try to refrain from --MR. KLAYMAN: I'm getting emotional. So I apologize. 14 15 THE COURT: So the issue of what a party in this case needs to prove for its defense or what the Plaintiff needs to 16 17 That's an issue for the Court, Mr. Klayman, not the prove: 18 CIA, unless you can provide case law to me to the contrary that 19 somehow the CIA or that particular CIA counsel is an expert on 20 defamation law or defamation defenses, which I don't think they 21 are. 22 But is it up to the CIA to tell Judge Martinez or me 23 how to evaluate the burdens of proof in this case? 24 MR. KLAYMAN: That's -- that's -- that is your province 25 after you hold an evidentiary hearing to find out what it is

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 101 of 216

1 that letter means. That letter, according to you, is 2 ambiguous. According to me, it's not. 3 THE COURT: I didn't say the letter was --MR. KLAYMAN: Maybe you say it's unambiguous. I'm 4 5 sorry. 6 THE COURT: Rafael --7 MR. KLAYMAN: But you know what? You cannot divine, ir all due respect, your Honor, what that CIA official meant based 8 9 on your interpretation of that letter. What is the harm of bringing the CIA down here, 10 11 bringing the assistant general counsel down here? You gave an 12 evidentiary hearing in this case. Why can't we have one if 13 you're going to make that kind of a ruling? I don't think you 14 should make that kind of a ruling. I think this matter should be dealt with summarily and this matter should be given to the 15 16 jury to decide. 17 You know, that's something that you raised there. You wrote an excellent opinion. 18 19 THE COURT: Have you --20 MR. KLAYMAN: Give it to the jury. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, have you before this afternoon 22 requested an evidentiary hearing concerning the sanctions 23 motion? MR. KLAYMAN: It's not our motion. 24 25 THE COURT: I'm going to take that as a "no." Correct

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 102 of 216 101

1 MR. KLAYMAN: No. It's not our motion. We don't have 2 the burden here. THE COURT: So, Ms. Handman, why shouldn't I follow 3 4 Mr. Klayman's suggestion and unilaterally issue a subpoena and 5 require the CIA assistant counsel to come down here, come under 6 oath and answer my specific questions about, By golly, what did 7 that letter really mean? Why shouldn't I do that? MS. HANDMAN: Because first of all, the letter on its 8 9 face is unambiguous as to the software, which is the only issue 10 that we are talking about today. 11 As to its relevance, I agree with your Honor, and for 12 all the reasons you've already articulated; and I believe 13 Mr. Klayman is supposed to come up with a case that says that falsity is not an element of the libel claim that he has to 14 15 prove. But you certainly wouldn't be looking to the CIA 16 assistant general counsel for that purpose. 17 If you were going to go into an evidentiary hearing, 18 you know, should Mr. Montgomery be present here to be guizzed 19 or not? I mean, is that -- I don't believe it's necessary 20 based on the evidence that we have in front of you. But if 21 your Honor had serious questions, that's the person that should 22 be here to answer questions. 23 And I don't believe there's any ambiguity in the letter 24 from the CIA. And it's consistent with the position they took 25 when the government was a party in the Nevada proceeding and

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 103 of 216 102

1 said the software was not subject to the state secrets 2 privilege and was specifically excluded from the protective order that the government entered into. So it is completely 3 consistent within the position that they've taken all along 4 5 with regard to the software. And I would say, in the Ninth Circuit just in October, 6 7 Mr. Klayman wrote about how Mr. Montgomery had the software and he gave it to the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office. 8 9 And so I honestly -- you could go down so many avenues in this case, and I know that no one wants to. But there are 10 11 many factual areas that could be explored. But it's not 12 necessary in this case for your Honor to decide this motion, I don't believe. 13 14 MR. KLAYMAN: Again, that's a mischaracterization of 15 pleadings and record here. 16 THE COURT: How about this, Mr. Klayman? I'll just 17 give you a standing objection that whatever Ms. Handman says you're objecting to as being false, deceptive, disingenuous or 18 19 just factually incorrect? 20 MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, I don't mean anything --21 THE COURT: Just a standing objection to anything and 22 everything that she says. 23 MR. KLAYMAN: No.

24 THE COURT: It'll be simpler that way. That way you
25 won't have to interrupt every time she speaks with a retort.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 104 103 of 216

1 I'm just accept it as a given. 2 MR. KLAYMAN: I can't leave it out there, your Honor. 3 That's my duty as a lawyer to correct things. 4 THE COURT: And I'm giving you a shortcut. You're on 5 the record --MR. KLAYMAN: You're being facetious, and that's not 6 7 fair. THE COURT: Take a look at Page 18, sir. Are you 8 9 familiar -- this is the same -- Defendants' memorandum. Are 10 you familiar, Mr. Klayman, with the case of Trulock versus Lee? 11 MR. KLAYMAN: I am. 12 THE COURT: And based on what I read here, you're 13 familiar with it because you were the lawyer representing the 14 Plaintiffs in that lawsuit. Correct? 15 MR. KLAYMAN: I alluded to it earlier, that I've had experience, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. So in this footnote, Footnote 22, the Defendants describe that case, Trulock versus Lee, a 18 19 Fourth Circuit case, as a case involving the affirmance of a 20 dismissal of a libel action brought by a former official 21 Mr. Klayman represented because classified information subject 22 to the state secrets privilege was central to proving falsity. 23 So isn't that a case which stands for the proposition 24 that when the state secrets privilege or classified information 25 is involved in a civil case, if it is not made available to one

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 105 104 of 216

1 side or the other, the result could be a dismissal, a default 2 or termination of the case? Doesn't that case stand for that 3 proposition? 4 MR. KLAYMAN: Not under the facts of that case compared 5 to this case. And let me explain. 6 THE COURT: Sure. 7 MR. KLAYMAN: I tried to explain it. In that case, the director of the CIA, George Tenet, 8 came in with an affidavit to the Eastern District. Okay? They 9 10 were directly in front of the Court. And that's what I'm 11 asking your Honor to do: Put them in front of this Court 12 before that determination is made. 13 And that was sent in ex parte. I never got to see it. I don't know what was given to the judge to this day. 14 So that's the difference in this case. And that's why 15 you have to bring the CIA in here, if you won't accept the 16 17 letter that I read to mean that this is classified. 18 THE COURT: Well, why would I go ahead and require the 19 CIA to come down here for a hearing to give me its position on 20 whether the software is a classified secret when they have 21 specifically said that they don't have the software and can't 22 locate it after a search? 23 MR. KLAYMAN: And they also said that even if we found 24 it, we wouldn't give it to you. 25 Let's back up a little bit here, your Honor. Ιf

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 106 of 216 105

Mr. Montgomery and Mr. Trepp made hundreds of millions of dollars on this software and if it was the greatest thing since sliced bread in fighting terrorism and deciphering messages on Al Jazeera from Osama bin Laden and others, wouldn't that software by logic be classified? Wouldn't you not want that in the hands of the public? Would you not want that in the hands of a foreign entity that might be adverse to this country?

8 And our client's being accused of the biggest hoax in 9 American history. You know, in all due respect -- and I don't 10 mean to show any lack of respect to Mr. Risen, either -- but 11 that is false.

THE COURT: What is false?

12

13 MR. KLAYMAN: That statement. It's false. This was 14 not the biggest hoax in American history. I can cite several 15 others, including what's going on right now in Washington in a 16 number of areas. The biggest hoax in American history. Not 17 Mr. Montgomery. This was all jacked up to sell books.

So, your Honor, I think at a minimum you've got to bring the CIA in here if you're going to reach that issue. But you don't have to reach it, because this was all a tactical maneuver by the Defendants to try to paint the Plaintiff into a corner.

And they can come forward with their sources. They can withdraw their so-called reporter's privilege, which I don't believe exists here anyway. And that's going to be subject to

1 motions in limine. 2 THE COURT: Are you talking about the neutral reporting 3 privilege? MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah. When you're a defendant -- and 4 5 there are cases, and we've cited them to the Court, maybe not 6 in pleadings that we've filed with your Honor -- but when 7 you're a defendant in a defamation action, you really -- it's a very low bar to get over that reporter's so-called privilege 8 9 because you're a litigant. It's kind of like a lawyer has to sue for his attorney's fees. The attorney-client privilege 10 11 goes out the window as to what he did. 12 THE COURT: Right. I'm just asking if the so-called 13 fair reporting privilege, which the Defendants mentioned, is sometimes also known as the neutral reporting privilege. 14 Is that also what it's known as? 15 16 MS. HANDMAN: A (inaudible) privilege, you were. 17 THE COURT: I'm sorry? MS. HANDMAN: That's a somewhat different privilege. 18 19 THE COURT: A different privilege? 20 MS. HANDMAN: The fair report privilege is when you are fairly and accurately reporting on judicial or official 21 22 proceedings, which is what we believe the book did. 23 THE COURT: And what's the --24 MS. HANDMAN: Neutral report would be, for example, if 25 you were reporting on Donald Trump has accused so-and-so of

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 108 of 216 107

1 such-and-such, and so-and-so responds with such-and-such. Then 2 you're neutrally reporting on this public controversy. You're not taking sides. And you are protected in certain 3 4 jurisdictions, not every jurisdiction. But it's not tied to necessarily official proceedings 5 6 or judicial proceedings. 7 THE COURT: I understand. Thank you for that. MR. KLAYMAN: It doesn't really apply, your Honor, when 8 you're a litigant, when you're a defendant. Okay? If you're a 9 third party -- for instance, if Mr. Risen was being required to 10 11 testify according to the Justice Department in this case 12 against Jeffrey Sterling or Sterling having revealed classified 13 information to Mr. Risen, and Mr. Risen refused to testify, the Justice Department was about ready to -- and the Court -- to 14 15 throw him in jail until he testified. 16 But this is -- he's not a third party here. He's the 17 Defendant. And you can't claim that reporter's privilege in that context. You have to cough up who you actually talked to. 18 19 MS. HANDMAN: And we have, your Honor. In fact, the 20 only privilege that we asserted --21 THE COURT: Ms. Handman, what's sauce for the goose is 22 sauce for the gander. If Mr. Klayman can't interrupt, neither 23 can you. 24 MS. HANDMAN: Okay. 25 THE COURT: So please.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 109 of 216 108

MR. KLAYMAN: And that's the key, your Honor.
THE COURT: Let's take a look, Mr. Klayman, at your
memorandum, Plaintiff's opposition to Defendants' memorandum of
law in support of their motion for sanctions, which is
euphemistically called Document 178 in my chambers.
Are you there?
MR. KLAYMAN: I'm going to look for it, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. It was filed on November 16th.
MR. KLAYMAN: I'll look for it again, your Honor. We
may have we may not have it with us. Ms. James will go look
for it.
THE COURT: All right. Why don't you take a minute to
look at it, because I'd be a little surprised if you don't have
it. It's probably one of the major memoranda
MS. HANDMAN: We have an extra copy, your Honor.
Mr. Toth has a copy.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
MR. KLAYMAN: We'll look for it in the meantime.
Thank you.
THE COURT: By the way, Mr. Klayman, just as a brief
interlude to just sort of change the dynamic here and take a
brief moment, not quite of mindfulness, but just to change
the topic briefly, I have to tell you that the other day I was
reading I don't remember if it was a news story or a blog or
something. But it had to do with the fact that on New Year's

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 110 of 216 109

Eve, here in South Florida at the stadium up in 1 2 Fort Lauderdale, where the Florida panthers play -- what's the name of that stadium? I always forget the name of it. What is 3 it? BBT? 4 5 THE LAW CLERK: BB&T Bank. 6 THE COURT: The one right across from Sawgrass Mills, 7 that stadium, where the Panthers play. Anyway, New Year's Eve. Billy Joel was playing a 8 9 concert there. And the article talked about the fact that 10 Billy Joel was wonderful and he had as his guests, his surprise 11 quests, Howard Stern, the radio personality, and Jimmy Kimmel. 12 And I have to tell you, Mr. Klayman, that ever since we had 13 that hearing a few months ago, whenever I think of Jimmy 14 Kimmel, I think of you on television holding your dog. I don't know if it was on Sunset Boulevard or whatever. 15 16 But you have now ruined for me forever the Jimmy Kimmel 17 Show, because I'm always thinking of that mental image of you holding the dog. And I think you explained to me that they 18 19 filmed it and apparently held the film for weeks and weeks and 20 weeks and then they didn't even broadcast it until sometime 21 later. 22 Maybe that memory of you and Jimmy Kimmel will go away after some passage of time. But I'm confessing to you that 23 24 whenever I hear the name Jimmy Kimmel, the mental image of you 25 and your dog appears in the forefront of my mind.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 111 of 216 110

MR. KLAYMAN: Well, thank you, your Honor. 1 I wish I 2 could have had my dog here today, because --3 THE COURT: Have you been on the Jimmy Kimmel Show 4 since? MR. KLAYMAN: No, I have not. But you'll see that 5 6 there was a reason why I held my dog up, because she's a lot 7 better-looking than I am. THE COURT: In any event, let's take a look at your 8 9 memorandum on Page 10. And in the middle of the page, you say, 10 "Second, even if Plaintiff Montgomery had a duty to preserve 11 the alleged software, which he did not, especially under the 12 circumstances" -- and I want you to explain to me how it is 13 that you think a plaintiff whose libel suit is based in part on the software and whether or not it worked or whether or not it 14 15 worked to the extent that the government may have thought that it worked -- how it is that you think that Mr. Montgomery 16 17 wouldn't have a duty to preserve that software once the lawsuit 18 was filed. That strikes me as a remarkable proposition. I'm 19 just shocked by it. So please explain to me how it is that you 20 think once the lawsuit was filed that Mr. Montgomery didn't 21 have a preservation duty.

22 MR. KLAYMAN: I've already explained it. But let me 23 put it in the context of this statement, is that what we meant 24 to say by that is that because the software's classified and 25 belongs to the government, that it wasn't his to keep and that

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 112 of 216 111

in any event, when it was turned over to the FBI here in Miramar, that we did discuss -- and our affidavits are on the record from Ms. James and me -- that we did discuss the ability to obtain it if it wasn't classified for purposes of this civil litigation. And that's what I was saying that day when I appeared in front of you on August 19th of last year. So that's what we meant by that.

THE COURT: But isn't that sort of circular reasoning? 8 9 Because you say to me, Well, there was no duty to preserve because the information is classified and therefore 10 11 Mr. Montgomery couldn't have possessed it anyway; and I guess 12 you're saying he had a duty to immediately turn it over to the 13 government. It's sort of like contraband, almost sort of 14 radioactive material. My gosh, he can't even keep it in his possession for a second. He's got to immediately turn it over. 15

16 MR. KLAYMAN: Without getting into attorney-client 17 privilege, your Honor, and just talking generally, is that, you 18 know, based on my experience as a Justice Department lawyer, 19 based upon my experience in having cases that do involve 20 national security, based on being a lawyer for 38 years, having 21 a lot of different -- luckily -- experiences in litigation, is 22 that this was a work in progress. And it was something that 23 Mr. Montgomery wanted to get out of his hands.

And you had a situation over there in Arizona where this judge, who doesn't have -- Judge Murray Snow doesn't have

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 113 of 216 112

1 your temperament or --2 THE COURT: Oh, Judge Snow --3 MR. KLAYMAN: -- objectivity. THE COURT: -- actually has a good sense of humor? 4 MR. KLAYMAN: No, he does not. Okay? 5 6 And he was trying to -- the ACLU and their lawyers were 7 trying to suggest that Mr. Montgomery had done something wrong, you know? And consequently, we didn't want to have -- he 8 9 didn't want to have this in his possession. Okay? And so we 10 wanted to put it in the right hands. And we expected the 11 government to act responsibly on this. 12 I'm a former government person. Although I try to keep 13 the government more honest, I believe in the government. То me, the highest calling was when I was a Justice Department 14 15 lawyer. 16 THE COURT: But I guess my point is, doesn't this 17 argument come full circle? I say: Is there a duty to preserve once the lawsuit is filed? And you say to me: 18 No, not under 19 the peculiar circumstances of this case because the software's 20 classified. 21 But then we get to the point of: Says who? Who says 22 it's classified? You say it's classified. Mr. Montgomery says 23 it's classified. And although I'm always interested to hear

25 necessarily in and of itself carry the day for me.

what you and Mr. Montgomery have to say, that's not going to

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 114 of 216 113

So the defense says it's not classified. And they point me to evidence which they say supports the notion that another judge has ruled that it's not classified.

4 I know you disagree with that description of what 5 happened.

6 But my point is, just because you say it's classified 7 doesn't mean that it is. And since you haven't yet pointed me to a judge who has designated the software as classified or any 8 9 government official who has reviewed the software and declared it to be classified, it's just a circular argument. And in 10 11 effect, it seems to me what you're saying is, "Because we said 12 so. We have no duty to preserve because we say it's classified 13 and needs to be turned over to the government."

So how is that a persuasive presentation?

14

MR. KLAYMAN: What I meant to say -- look, people write things. We're very small. Okay? And I don't have a lot of lawyers like opposing counsel. There's not two mega law firms. We have, you know, two lawyers, me.

So what I meant here, you know, is -- and I think I said it, but maybe I could have said it better -- is that we put it in the hands of the right people that had told us that they would make it available if it was required to be made available in the civil context, if they could find it. Okay?

And that's what we tried to do. And I didn't mean to say that we're not preserving it. We preserved it. We gave it

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 115 of 216 114

1	to the people who should have it.
2	THE COURT: What I mean is, a duty to preserve for
3	purposes of this case, a duty to preserve so that the opposing
4	party can obtain it in discovery.
5	MR. KLAYMAN: And that software is if it exists, if
6	it exists, it's in the hands of people who are not going to
7	destroy it. It's in the hands of the FBI and presumably its
8	client, the Justice Department not its client, but the
9	entity, the Justice Department.
10	Now, if we're going to assume that we're destroying
11	something by giving it to the Justice Department, then we have
12	no government at all.
13	THE COURT: But that's an interesting comment that you
14	made. You said "if it exists." And the "it" refers to the
15	software. If it exists.
16	Can you tell me either way?
17	MR. KLAYMAN: We've been through that. And I myself
18	don't know.
19	THE COURT: Does the software exist?
20	MR. KLAYMAN: I myself don't know. I've never looked
21	at it. I made a point not to.
22	THE COURT: Does Mr. Montgomery know if the software
23	exists?
24	MR. KLAYMAN: He believes now after everything that's
25	happened and we went through that in great depth that

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 116 of 216 115

1	it's likely that it was not on that stuff that he turned over.
2	THE COURT: But that's a different point.
3	It's one thing to say if you're Mr. Montgomery, "I
4	don't think I turned it over to the FBI." That's not the same
5	as saying, "It doesn't exist at all in any place. It's gone.
6	It doesn't exist."
7	Is it Mr. Montgomery's position that the software no
8	longer exists?
9	MR. KLAYMAN: That's not his position. His position is
10	that he currently does not believe that he had it when he
11	turned it over, based on everything that's happened in the
12	course of things.
13	THE COURT: So just so I'm clear, Mr. Montgomery now
14	thinks that he didn't have it when he turned material over to
15	the FBI and also doesn't know where it is.
16	MR. KLAYMAN: And
17	THE COURT: Is that correct?
18	MR. KLAYMAN: If if it is anywhere, it's in the
19	hands of the CIA.
20	THE COURT: Is that correct, that as of now
21	Mr. Montgomery doesn't know where it is?
22	MR. KLAYMAN: That's essentially correct. But I was
23	very clear earlier I thought I was clear; we went over it
24	several times as to what his position was. So if I've just
25	misspoken, your Honor, I don't want to be hung by my

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 117 of 216 116

1 THE COURT: Own petard. 2 MR. KLAYMAN: Petard. 3 If you say things enough, you never say things twice 4 the same way. I mean, that's -- I can tell you, as a trial 5 lawyer, I'll try to get the other side to say it as many times 6 as possible. 7 MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, might I be heard? THE COURT: It depends. Is it a new point? I know I 8 9 didn't ask you a question, so it's got to be some new point. 10 Otherwise, you wouldn't be speaking or seeking to speak. So 11 what is the new point? MS. HANDMAN: Well, it is related to what you have been 12 13 discussing with Mr. Klayman. I mean, we're talking about 14 software that is supposedly Mr. Montgomery's most valuable property. And the fact that he doesn't know where it is now is 15 16 somewhat incredible. 17 What he said in the deposition at 131, which your Honor will see, is I asked, "When you say that you gave the 18 19 Al Jazeera software to the government, when did you give that?" 20 Answer: "2003. I mean, that's" --21 Question: "And you haven't had a copy of it since 2003?" 22 Answer: "No. I have." 23 24 Question: "So when did you stop having a copy of it?" 25 Question [sic]: "When I turned it all over to the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 118 of 216 117

1 government." 2 Question: "And when was that?" Answer: "Was it today?" 3 Question: "Today is August 20th, 2015." 4 "Let me just think, please. Today is 5 Answer: 6 Thursday." 7 Question: "Right." "Wednesday." 8 Answer: And in his most recent filing of December 28, 9 10 Mr. Montgomery's position was articulated as follows: He 11 claims that in (inaudible) claims he searched his memory. 12 Instead, he says, because the FBI has not found the software, 13 he must not have given it to them. 14 And it is the essence of the circular logic you were saying: Blame the FBI for his own failure to provide the 15 16 information that could locate the software and unmask whether the software exists and whether it works. 17 And that -- here's exactly what he said. 18 In arguing 19 why your Honor should not conclude that he lied in his October 20 21 declaration, because, first, Montgomery initially believed 21 that he may have turned the software over in the 47 hard 22 drives. He then met with the FBI on two occasions and he 23 corresponded by e-mail and also gave the FBI detailed 24 instructions of where to find the software, if it existed on 25 the hard drives.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 119 of 216 118

1 When the FBI did not locate the software, Montgomery 2 provided further information to the FBI in order to help them find the software. 3 4 Then, when the FBI did not find it, he concluded it was 5 likely the software was not contained on the hard drives. 6 Therefore, Montgomery did not lie. 7 But even this most recent statement is a lie, because it -- Mr. Schwartz has said in several e-mails that he did not 8 provide the requisite information and the FBI is not looking 9 for it. And if that's the basis for his conclusion that he 10 didn't give it to the FBI, that's a false premise. 11 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, your Honor, if that's the case, 12 13 let's bring Mr. Schwartz down here, too, okay? Because his letter, as I said, is contrary to what the criminal division is 14 15 doing of the FBI. They're going through every jot and tittle. We're cooperating with them. I've asked them on several 16 17 occasions to keep looking for the software. And so is 18 Mr. Montgomery. 19 And again, no disrespect for Ms. Handman, but this 20 reminds me of -- I was a French literature major in addition to 21 poli sci, in addition to being a star on Jimmy Kimmel. But --22 I thought you majored in animal husbandry. THE COURT: 23 MR. KLAYMAN: I don't know how to take that. 24 But --25 THE COURT: In the best way possible.

1 MR. KLAYMAN: Maybe my ex-wife thinks that. I don't 2 know. 3 But --4 THE COURT: I think animal husbandry is like, you know, 5 agriculture, breeding livestock. 6 MR. KLAYMAN: Could be. Well, they did have a primate 7 section at Duke, so I think maybe I should have gravitated to that. 8 9 But in any event, what I'm trying to say is that this is like theater of the absurd. It's like Ionesco. We're going 10 11 round and round and round. Okay? We've said what we did. 12 People do over time come to different conclusions. That's 13 normal. Your Honor recognized that in this other case, you know, that it was an evolving process to try to figure out what 14 15 went on with that -- with the Wandner case. 16 And to say that someone is lying because they come to 17 certain conclusions based upon events that have occurred is not fair. 18 19 THE COURT: So let's take a look at the reply 20 memorandum, the Defendants' reply. And this is a memorandum 21 that Defendants submitted on November 30th. 22 Do you have that handy? 23 MR. KLAYMAN: We're finding it. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. Take your time. 25 MS. HANDMAN: It's 184, if that's helpful.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 121 of 216 120

1 THE COURT: Do you have it, Mr. Klayman? 2 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. 3 THE COURT: All right. Good. So let's turn, if we can, please, to -- it's actually 4 5 the first numbered page. And at the top, it says Preliminary 6 Statement. 7 Do you see that? MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. 8 THE COURT: All right. And basically, in this 9 preliminary statement, the Defendants are challenging the 10 11 veracity of Mr. Montgomery's declaration that he now believes 12 that he no longer -- let me rephrase it -- that he now believes that he didn't turn the software over to the FBI. So let's go 13 14 through each and every one of these points. Each one has a 15 bullet point next to it. 16 And Defendants say, Well, Mr. Montgomery doesn't explain either in his declaration or his memorandum how it is 17 that he doesn't have access to his own software. 18 I think you explained that to me with the story about being handcuffed 19 20 outside of his house and then the software being taken by the 21 FBI and then purportedly returned to him and then him later, 22 only recently, apparently realizing that of all the material 23 returned, the software wasn't there. 24 So do you have anything further to add on this first 25 bullet point beyond what I just summarized?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 122 of 216 121

MR. KLAYMAN: No, beyond what you've summarized and
 what I've argued prior.

3 THE COURT: So the next point is: How is it that you or your client could bring a lawsuit turning on whether the 4 5 software worked when Mr. Montgomery and you knew from day one 6 that not having the software could lead to dismissal? And that 7 bullet point relates to a prior memorandum the Defendants filed 8 in which they quoted you from a hearing -- and I remember it, 9 because I was here -- saying, "We knew from day one that they would be seeking to dismiss the case on the software argument." 10

11 So what is your response to the second bullet point? 12 MR. KLAYMAN: What I've said on the record. And what 13 meant was, I understand, and no disrespect to defense counsel, 14 which I've been not just at Blackwell, but in my own private 15 practice, in front of this Court as well in a criminal setting 16 sometimes, is that we knew that we would try that: Heads I 17 win, tails you lose. They always do that. Okay?

But in this instance, what I pointed to you, as I've said before, is that the software's not necessary for them to prove their case. Just come forward and come forward with your confidential sources.

THE COURT: But, Mr. Klayman, you as the Plaintiff don't get to dictate how the Defendant defends its case. Maybe there are five alternative grounds that they want to pursue. And maybe they're able to pursue three of them. But if the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 123 of 216 122

1 other two are blocked by you or your client, you can't say, 2 Well, they can pursue these other three ways of defending the 3 case. 4 MR. KLAYMAN: But I'm not --THE COURT: Maybe they can; maybe they can't. But if 5 6 you shut down a significant way of defending the case, namely, 7 examining the software, isn't that a problem? MR. KLAYMAN: I've never shut it down on behalf of 8 Mr. Montgomery, nor has he. 9 10 What we said was the government has to do a 11 declassification review. And it has to be in their hands, not 12 in our hands. 13 THE COURT: Well, that's only assuming that the government has the software. You're not even sure, 14 15 Mr. Montgomery isn't even sure, that the government has the software. Right? 16 17 MR. KLAYMAN: That -- that may or may not be the case. 18 But they have a way of proving their case. And as you pointed 19 out, again, in the Wandner case, you don't always have a full 20 deck of cards on either side. In fact, you let that case go 21 forward --22 THE COURT: Well, a lot of --23 MR. KLAYMAN: -- and gave it to the jury. 24 THE COURT: Well, a lot of people have accused me of 25 not playing with a full deck. So I know what you mean.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 124 of 216 123

1 MR. KLAYMAN: All right. You let it go to the jury. 2 The jury can reach its own conclusions here. THE COURT: What about Point 3, sir? How could 3 4 Mr. Montgomery unilaterally give his only copy of the software 5 to the FBI when he knew it was subject to a discovery request 6 at a hearing two days later? 7 Now, I know later on he filed a declaration saying, "I now believe that I didn't turn the software over to the FBI." 8 9 But at the time that he did turn it over, as of the time he turned over all those materials, he obviously thought that the 10 11 software was there. 12 So if you're going to turn software over to the FBI and 13 you know the software is subject to a discovery request and you know that there's a hearing two days later, how do you turn 14 15 over your only version and not make a copy? That's their 16 position. What --17 MR. KLAYMAN: The --18 What would possibly possess you to do that THE COURT: 19 unless, unless, your actual goal was to sequester it from the 20 defense and to effectively prevent them from ever examining it? 21 What are your comments about that? MR. KLAYMAN: First of all, the software's a very small 22 23 part of the case. I can go through the complaint, if you'd 24 like, and enumerate every single defamatory statement as 25 alleged, which has nothing to do with the software. Okay? I

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 125 of 216 124

can do what Ms. Handman did. Okay? I could do it in a very
 direct way.

Secondly, we are -- we never tried to keep it away from 3 4 anybody because we put it in the hands of the people that own 5 it, that it belongs to. And they -- it's kind of like -- I've 6 used this analogy before, so you may have read some of my 7 But it's a little bit like the Wizard of Oz, you know? stuff. Dorothy could have come back from Oz at any time. But she --8 9 and she did. And they can come back from Oz. They subpoenaed 10 the CIA, the people who it belongs to. Why didn't they pursue 11 getting that information?

12 You can order them and give them an order right now to 13 turn it over and see what happens. And if we're going to go 14 down this road, which I don't believe we have to, since, A, the 15 software is a very small part of the case, but, B, for all the other reasons that we've talked about today, then order them to 16 17 be deposed, your Honor. They never came in. They waived that. 18 They didn't want to hear the answer. No one says they're not 19 smart.

20 THE COURT: I'm not sure you're actually answering my 21 question.

22 MR. KLAYMAN: And it's a --23 THE COURT: So --24 MR. KLAYMAN: And it's a --25 THE COURT: -- when Mr. Montgomery turned over these

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 126 of 216 125

1	materials to the FBI and you arranged that procedure, why
2	couldn't he simply or why didn't he simply keep a copy of the
3	software so that it could be examined by the Defendant or at
4	least evaluated by a judge or a government agency to see if in
5	fact the Defendants have the ability to examine it? Why didn't
6	he just make an extra copy?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Because it would be illegal and it would
8	be criminal. Ask Hillary Clinton, who's going through
9	something right now for making copies of stuff and keeping it
10	on an unclassified server.
11	THE COURT: So when you say he couldn't make a copy
12	because it would be illegal and criminal, isn't that based on
13	the underlying assumption that the software is, in fact,
14	classified?
15	MR. KLAYMAN: That's correct.
16	THE COURT: And we've already been through
17	MR. KLAYMAN: It's not an assumption
18	THE COURT: It's not an assumption?
19	MR. KLAYMAN: No.
20	THE COURT: Do you know what? I'm not going through
21	this again.
22	MR. KLAYMAN: I know.
23	THE COURT: We've been through it ten times.
24	MR. KLAYMAN: I'm not asking you to. But that's my
25	that's our position.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 127 of 216 126

1	
1	THE COURT: I understand.
2	MR. KLAYMAN: In just one of the various criminal
3	statutes involved, we cited in our brief I'm looking for the
4	citation.
5	MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, Mr. Klayman has often claimed
6	
	that Mr. Montgomery has a security clearance. So presumably,
7	he's held it all these years, whether he had a clearance or
8	not, whether it was classified or not. He has maintained it
9	all these years and has not had an issue that he's raising now,
10	that he couldn't keep it.
11	MR. KLAYMAN: Well, Hillary Clinton has a security
12	clearance, too. It's not doing her any good.
13	THE COURT: So, Mr
14	MR. KLAYMAN: 18 USC 798.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, let's assume that this
16	material, the software, is, in fact, classified and that
17	suddenly late last year Mr. Montgomery decided, I'd better get
18	this classified material out of my hands and turn it over to
19	the FBI. Why didn't he turn it over earlier in the year or the
20	year before or two years before or five years before or ten
21	years before?
22	MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, I would love to submit to
23	you, if you'd like to see it, his attempts to come forward as a
24	whistleblower. Like Edward Snowden, they didn't want to take
25	yes for an answer. You know why? Because there are officials,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 128 of 216 127

not our entire government, inside of the CIA and inside of the 1 2 NSA and inside of the Defense Intelligence Agency where the director of DIA lied and perjured himself in front of Congress 3 about mass surveillance of American citizens. 4 And your Honor might see that we got another 5 6 preliminary injunction last November 9th against the NSA, which 7 the government by the way is giving up on its appeal as of vesterday. So they're accepting what the judge ruled, because 8 there was a sensitivity there. 9 10 And no one wanted to hear what Mr. Montgomery had to 11 say, not until Judge Lamberth stepped in, who's very well 12 respected, like yourself, and played a role. 13 THE COURT: Does Mr. Montgomery have a security clearance that would enable him to keep this software, if in 14 fact it's classified? 15 16 MR. KLAYMAN: Not keep it. But he does have a security 17 clearance. THE COURT: Does he have a security clearance that 18 19 would entitle him to possess this software if in fact it's 20 classified? 21 MR. KLAYMAN: No more -- and I'm not trying to be cute 22 or anything -- no more than Hillary Clinton had the ability to 23 keep classified information on her private server. 24 THE COURT: Let's eliminate Hillary Clinton from the 25 answer. So let me ask the question again:

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 129 of 216 128

1	Does Mr. Montgomery have a security clearance that
2	would entitle him to possess the software, assuming that it is
3	in fact classified?
4	MR. KLAYMAN: My understanding is no.
5	THE COURT: No. And what about you? Do you have a
6	security clearance?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: I do not. And that's why I never wanted
8	to see any of it or hear about it.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever applied for a security
10	clearance
11	MR. KLAYMAN: I
12	THE COURT: Let me finish.
13	so that you could review the software in this case?
14	MR. KLAYMAN: No. And the reason for that is I'll be
15	long since buried in some cemetery in Tel Aviv or Jerusalem
16	before that ever happens, because I'm an advocate who's fought
17	this government now for most of my career. And for Larry
18	Klayman to get a security clearance is virtually impossible,
19	and nor any private lawyer, including my friend Joseph
20	diGenova in Washington and his wife, Victoria Toensing. They
21	don't give it to private lawyers anymore.
22	However, I do get preclearance at the airport when I go
23	through. Sometimes. I'm not a risk.
24	THE COURT: Turn to Page 3 of the same reply
25	memorandum. Do you see the paragraph that starts, "First,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 130 of 216 129

1 Montgomery waived"? 2 MR. KLAYMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So I'm not asking you about this case or 3 4 the facts of this particular case. I'm just asking, do you 5 agree as a matter of law that a person can waive an objection 6 that he lacked possession, custody or control? 7 MR. KLAYMAN: Not under the facts as we presented here today. No. I don't believe that you could -- you certainly 8 9 can waive objections. They waived objections to the software. 10 They totally waived it. 11 THE COURT: You know, it's really interesting to me. 12 just said, "Let's not discuss the facts of this particular 13 case. Just as a matter of law, do you agree with this principle?" And your answer started off by saying, "Under the 14 facts of this case." 15 So to use your phrase, that's a nonstarter. Let's try 16 17 it one more time. I'm only asking if you agree with the legal 18 19 proposition, not linked to the facts of this case, that a party 20 can waive an objection that he or she lacks possession, custody 21 or control of evidence. 22 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Now, let's shift to a second argument that 24 the defense raises, the judicial estoppel theory. 25 Do you agree as a matter of law, not connected to the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 131 of 216 130

Ī

1	facts of this case, but just as a legal principle, that the
2	judicial estoppel doctrine can concern statements, inconsistent
3	positions in the same proceeding as opposed to the more typical
4	scenario where a statement is made in an earlier proceeding and
5	then an inconsistent is made in a later proceeding? Do you
6	understand my question?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: I'm not sure I do, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Let me get to it a different
9	way.
10	So and please forgive me for sounding like a law
11	professor here. The judicial estoppel doctrine is usually
12	raised when a party takes a certain position in one case or
13	proceeding and then later in a different case or proceeding
14	takes an inconsistent position. And then in that second case,
15	the opposing party objects and says, Judge, the party can't
16	successfully raise this argument in this case because in an
17	earlier case they took the exact opposite position.
18	Now, the Defendants have said in their memorandum,
19	Judge, the judicial estoppel doctrine, while typically invoked
20	in a two-proceeding scenario, a prior proceeding and a later
21	proceeding, can also be applied to inconsistent positions in
22	the same case; in other words, in a lawsuit. In January, a
23	party says A. And then in July, the party says opposite A.
24	Same proceeding, not prior and later proceeding. Same
25	proceeding. They say as a matter of law the judicial estoppel

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 132 of 216 131

_	
1	doctrine can be used to prevent the party in the same case from
2	taking an inconsistent position.
3	So now I'm done sounding like a law professor. And my
4	question is hopefully you're not going to ask me if it's on
5	the final. So my next question is: Do you agree as a matter
6	of law, not necessarily connected to the facts or circumstances
7	of this case, but just legal principles do you agree that
8	the judicial estoppel doctrine can apply in the same case?
9	MR. KLAYMAN: Under extreme circumstances. But not in
10	this case, because
11	THE COURT: Oh, my gosh.
12	MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, you asked for it. You got
13	it.
14	THE COURT: I know. I know. But I said "not related
15	to the facts of the case." And, by golly, you said
16	MR. KLAYMAN: Well, and that's because
17	THE COURT: "the facts of the case."
18	MR. KLAYMAN: That's because there's no A and opposite
19	of A here. This was a continuation of an evolution of trying
20	to understand whether the software was in the hands of the FBI.
21	And, you know, we've gone through you know, we're now into
22	the third hour here, fourth hour.
23	And I've tried to explain to you and I think that
24	you understood what I was saying, and, you know, you're a very
25	intelligent man and a good judge. But I you know, I made it

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 133 of 216 132

1

2

3

clear what happened here wasn't that anybody was trying to deceive anybody or create inconsistent statements here. And we're human.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman --MR. KLAYMAN: We're not robots. 5 6 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, trust me. I understand that 7 that is your position. I know you made that position in your papers and you've made it here today. I think in retrospect I 8 9 scored over 1,000 on my SAT. I think, you know, I'm a fairly bright guy; and I'm with you. I understand your arguments as 10 11 to this case.

MR. KLAYMAN: And I might add, I'm not an expert on judicial estoppel doctrine, so I don't want to be held to what I just said. And I'll let the law speak for itself. I'm not an expert on it.

16 THE COURT: All right. So I assume you agree with the 17 general proposition that a duty to preserve arises in a 18 specific case when that party reasonably anticipates 19 litigation. That's not a controversial point. Right?

20 MR. KLAYMAN: If you have it in your custody or control 21 and if you're entitled to have it.

THE COURT: And if it's not classified? MR. KLAYMAN: No. I mean, as a general matter, yes. But this is a very fact-specific case, your Honor. And you understand we're dealing here with national security, and we

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 134 of 216 133

1 have to respect that. And that comes first, typically in 2 today's current world. You know, the thesis of James Risen's book is that the 3 4 government is totally corrupt and that the people that ran 5 these intelligence agencies are totally corrupt. Even I don't 6 believe that, even though I got a preliminary injunction 7 against the NSA. I don't believe that everybody's corrupt. And I do want them to protect me. We've never said, Don't 8 9 surveil on probable cause terrorists. We said, Don't surveil 10 everybody. 11 THE COURT: Take a look at Page --12 MR. KLAYMAN: Including you. 13 THE COURT: Take a look at Page 8, please, of the 14 Defendants' reply. So the Defendants are making reference to 15 your argument that, quote, "Mr. Montgomery," quote, "made provisions with the FBI at the time he was required to turn 16 17 over the 47 hard drives to retrieve what might be requested in discovery in this case." 18 19 And the Defendants then say, the FBI did not require 20 Montgomery to turn over all 47 drives. Instead, they say that 21 it was Montgomery who insisted on turning over data irrelevant 22 to the FBI's inquiry. 23 So I think I understand the point. But let me just 24 make sure. 25 Am I correct in believing that the FBI did not require

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 135 of 216 134

1 Mr. Montgomery to in fact turn over the 47 drives or any other 2 number of drives or the software? It was not a directive from 3 the FBI. Correct? 4 MR. KLAYMAN: That's a semantical question, your Honor. 5 He was required by law to do that. 6 THE COURT: That was going to be my next point, which 7 is, as I understand your argument, Mr. Montgomery was required to turn over these files. As a matter of general law, he was 8 9 required because the information was classified and therefore he had to turn it over, as opposed to receiving a specific 10 11 case-precise directive from the FBI. Right? That's his view? 12 MR. KLAYMAN: There's -- and let me explain that in 13 context. I made reference to the Arizona proceeding. 14 The 15 materials that he had provided allegedly to the sheriff of Maricopa County and its Cold Case Posse came up in the context 16 17 of that proceeding. I don't know exactly what was turned over 18 or not to Maricopa County and the Cold Case Posse, which works 19 in conjunction with the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office. But 20 he was ordered -- they were ordered by Judge Murray Snow to 21 turn that stuff over to the CIA and the Justice Department. 22 THE COURT: That's the one with no sense of humor? 23 MR. KLAYMAN: Right. 24 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 25 MS. HANDMAN: Do I --

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 136 of 216 135

	0. 200
1	THE COURT: Not yet.
2	MR. KLAYMAN: Not as good-looking as you, either.
3	THE COURT: A low barrier.
4	MR. KLAYMAN: And he doesn't have a beard.
5	THE COURT: But I guess my point is, this whole
6	discussion about whether he was required or not required is not
7	emanating from a specific FBI directive or requirement in the
8	case; but to the contrary, Mr. Montgomery's position that he
9	was required to turn over these files is based on the notion
10	well, I mean, that he was required to turn over the software is
11	based on his position that the software is classified, that he
12	didn't have the right to keep it, and therefore he had to turn
13	it over to the government. That's what you mean by "required."
14	Correct?
15	MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, do you want a footnote on the
18	Maricopa County or not?
19	THE COURT: Only if it's a new footnote.
20	MS. HANDMAN: Well
21	THE COURT: By the way, earlier, when I asked you if it
22	was a new point and you said yes, I refrained from saying so.
23	About half of the things you said were new. The first half
24	were not.
25	So is this a new point?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 137 of 216 136

1	MS. HANDMAN: Well, it's a point that was raised with
2	you in a hearing a few hearings ago. And in the Maricopa
3	County case, Mr. Montgomery turned over 45 hard drives, not 47.
4	And this is the case in which Mr. Klayman has sought to
5	intervene in the proceedings on the grounds that
6	Mr. Montgomery's software is involved. And the sheriff's
7	office retained two former NSA experts to review the 45 hard
8	drives; and their conclusion was it contained, quote, "among
9	other things a high volume of recordings of Al Jazeera
10	Television Network" and was, quote, "evidence of an outright
11	and fraudulent con perpetrated on the government for personal
12	gain and cover."
13	And these are two former NSA experts who Mr. Klayman is
14	representing in a whistleblower suit in DC, making it unlikely
15	that he will be challenging their conclusion.
16	MR. KLAYMAN: Well, that's this is what I'm talking
17	about, your Honor. That's fiction. Okay? This is great.
18	Let's put it on the witness stand.
19	MS. HANDMAN: It was a report that was introduced in
20	evidence in the Arizona proceedings. We've attached it in our
21	papers before.
22	MR. KLAYMAN: No testimony was taken there in that
23	regard.
24	MS. HANDMAN: There have been many days of testimony
25	THE COURT: Folks, folks, is it clear to you from my

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 138 of 216 137

body language that I'm not listening to anything that you're saying for the past 40 seconds because you're interrupting each other? So be aware of your surroundings, please. I've listened to everything else you've said, but not the past 40 seconds.

6 So, Ms. Handman, what about Mr. Klayman's position 7 that, Listen, Mr. Montgomery's been trying for years to turn over this information. Fortunately, I have a relationship with 8 9 Judge Lamberth in Washington, DC. And I respect Judge Lamberth, Mr. Klayman says, and fortunately I was able based on 10 11 that relationship to facilitate the arrangements to turn over 12 the software and the other files. Mr. Montgomery is a patriot. 13 He's a whistleblower. He is pursuing noble goals similar to Mr. Snowden, if you're of the belief that Mr. Snowden is a 14 15 patriot as opposed to a criminal.

16

What about all of those arguments?

MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, I will not opine on whether he is in fact a whistleblower or, as the NSA expert said, a fraud and a con.

What I will say with regard to the NSA surveillance -but it is quite clear from both Mr. Klayman's own statements and the FBI's statements in the September 8th letter that the software at issue in our case is not relevant in any way to his whistle-blowing allegations.

25

So there was absolutely no reason why he could not have

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 139 of 216 138

1	segregated his software from the 47 hard drives, 51 million
2	files that he did turn over to the FBI, knowing that this
3	lawsuit was pending, that the demands were pending, that we had
4	a hearing before your Honor. Absolutely no reason why, as your
5	Honor pointed out, he could not segregate it, let the Court
6	decide whether it's classified, let the Court decide whether
7	we're entitled to it, but not just bury it in a data dump that
8	guaranteed that we would never be able to get it.
9	MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, may I respond briefly to
10	that?
11	THE COURT: No, not right yet, because I have a quick
12	point and I have a thought; and I don't get thoughts very
13	often. I need to follow up on it immediately.
14	So what about Mr. Klayman's argument that basically,
15	Ms. Handman, you're exaggerating the relevancy and the
16	importance of the software?
17	Mr. Klayman says, Yeah, it's true. A small part of the
18	defamation allegations relate to the software. But only a
19	small portion. Most of the defamation claims concern other
20	issues. For example, we have Mr. Risen on the talk-show
21	circuit giving interviews and making statements; and even when
22	he uses the phrase like "This is the greatest hoax," it's not
23	necessarily linked to the software. And he says in effect,
24	therefore, you're trying to box him in a corner by exaggerating
25	the importance of the software so that when you don't get the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 140 of 216 139

1	software, you make it seem as though your entire defense has
2	been compromised, when according to him and in truth and in
3	fact, it's only a small part of the case.
4	What about that?
5	MS. HANDMAN: A number of points.
6	First of all, your Honor long ago apprised realized
7	that the crux of the whole case was whether the software
8	worked. And that was the basis for saying it was a hoax
9	perpetrated on the government. And that is consistent
10	THE COURT: Right. But I'm sorry for interrupting.
11	But here's the thing: I did say that. I did make the
12	ruling. I'm able to revisit my rulings in a case. Who knows?
13	Maybe I was wrong. Maybe I need to analyze that issue again.
14	Maybe I didn't review the amended complaint sufficiently. Why
15	shouldn't I give Mr. Klayman the opportunity to make that
16	argument and convince me that my prior assessment was
17	incorrect?
18	I do sometimes in fact reconsider prior rulings. I do
19	sometimes change my mind. In fact, I have written opinions and
20	reports and recommendations where I say, I know I ruled one way
21	earlier in the case; but now that I've thought about it some
22	more, I'm changing my analysis. I've done that before.
23	So give me a better argument than, Well, Judge, you
24	yourself said so earlier. I mean, I'm a pretty cocky guy,
25	pretty confident. But who knows? I could be wrong.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 141 of 216 140

1	MS. HANDMAN: Well, your Honor, I think my recitation
2	of various paragraphs of the amended complaint as well as
3	Mr. Montgomery's own declaration in April, which said the
4	software worked, the software works and the government is still
5	using it, that was the thrust of his declaration in April of
6	this year in this case. It suggests that indeed even the
7	claims that Mr. Klayman has cited as examples of other claims,
8	whether in Mr President Bush considered shooting down
9	planes. Based on what? On Mr. Montgomery's software and the
10	intelligence coming from that. That's the claim.
11	This
12	THE COURT: Well, let me ask you that, because that's
13	obviously a fairly colorful allegation, pretty provocative,
14	pretty exciting. Imagine that. President Bush and the
15	national government, our leading officials, almost took extreme
16	action like that.
17	But where in the complaint does it say that that
18	decision or that potential decision to shoot down planes or to
19	stop planes in the sky was, in fact, based on the software?
20	MS. HANDMAN: Well, that's what is the basis for his
21	saying that it's defamatory of him, because he's saying that it
22	was based on his intelligence, that that led President Bush
23	to consider shooting it down. And that's in the book. We have
24	various people who were in the room who opine on whether
25	President Bush considered it based on the intelligence that was

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 142 141 of 216

1 being generated by Mr. Montgomery's software. 2 And so --THE COURT: Maybe that's where I'm unclear. 3 4 So software is sold to the government. The software 5 generates results. Well, it has to generate something. 6 Otherwise, there'd be nothing for President Bush or other 7 national leaders to act upon. Correct? I mean, if the software was 100 percent completely 8 9 inoperative and you put the software in whatever security computers the government has and it generates nothing, because 10 11 it doesn't work, then there'd be nothing to base a decision on. 12 Right? 13 MS. HANDMAN: Mr. Montgomery has said -- and this is 14 the crux of the coded messages on the Al Jazeera broadcast --15 that it generated letters and numbers which the government then 16 interpreted as relating to planes and other targets. 17 That's why President Bush did -- and there's no dispute on this -- that he did stop planes coming from Europe based on 18 19 what Mr. Montgomery said his software was generating by way of 20 numbers and letters that then were interpreted as targets. And 21 it was that that also -- and the book goes into a difference of 22 opinion whether the government seriously considered shooting 23 down planes or not with different people in the room, all of 24 which is fleshed out in the chapter. 25 But that's one example.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 143 of 216 142

1 Another that Mr. Klayman has cited --2 THE COURT: Wait just a minute. Let me just make sure that I understand this. 3 Software is sold to the government. Although 4 5 Mr. Risen's book contends that the software didn't work and was 6 a hoax, that phrase, "doesn't work," can mean a lot of 7 different things, as Mr. Klayman pointed out. It can mean it doesn't work at all. 8 I mean, you install the software. You're hovering over 9 10 the computer waiting for something to come out, some result, 11 some information, some data, some number, some letter, 12 something. And nothing happens. You're just looking at a 13 blank screen. That's one way of software not working. Another way that it doesn't work is it does generate 14 15 some information, but it's bad information. It's wrong information. Maybe purposely so, maybe it's just made up. But 16 it's some information. 17 18 So what I hear you saying is, the software was sold to 19 the government. The software was implemented. The software 20 generated some information, numbers and letters. And then, based on the judgment calls of various security and military 21 22 leaders who interpreted these numbers and letters, the conclusion was reached that the numbers and letters somehow 23 24 indicated flight numbers; and based on that interpretation of 25 the numbers and letters, President Bush ordered certain steps

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 144 of 216 143

1 to be taken concerning flights. Is that what we're talking 2 about?

MS. HANDMAN: Well, the chapter, if you read it -- and 3 this is consistent with what was published in prior articles 4 5 before the chapter -- talks about how ultimately the French 6 were disturbed that their planes were not flying. And so they 7 had technical people take a look at the Al Jazeera broadcasts. And they concluded -- and this is all in the book -- based on 8 9 sourcing that we have disclosed -- and it's in Mr. Risen's declaration -- they concluded that there weren't enough pixels 10 11 in the Al Jazeera broadcast to be sending coded numbers or 12 letters to anybody.

And so the software that Mr. Montgomery was purporting to read was, in fact, not reading these things off of Al Jazeera broadcasts. And that's one of the many ways in which this software is meant -- is said to be demonstrably not working.

Now, Mr. Klayman has said, Well, here's --THE COURT: Wait. So that is an allegation that relates to the software, which would generate support for your desire to examine and test the software.

MS. HANDMAN: Correct.

22

THE COURT: Okay. So of all the paragraphs that you cited to me earlier this afternoon, do any of them specifically say the software which led to the purported interpretation of

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 145 of 216 144

1 the numbers and letters in the Al Jazeera broadcast didn't 2 work?

3 MS. HANDMAN: Yes. Sorry. They quote the section that talks about the French -- in Paragraph 207 of the amended 4 5 complaint. And it reads -- it quotes the section of the book 6 that says, "Finally, the French brought an end to it. Since 7 Air France flights to the United States were among those that 8 had been grounded, French officials had taken a dim view of the 9 entire episode. They began demanding answer from Americans. The French applied so much pressure on Washington that the CIA 10 11 was finally forced to reveal to French intelligence the source 12 of the threat information.

"Once they heard the story of Dennis Montgomery and eTreppid, French officials arranged for a French high-tech firm to reverse-engineer Montgomery's purported technology. The French wanted to see for themselves whether the claims of hidden messages in Al Jazeera broadcasts made any sense.
That's the quote from the book.

And then the paragraph after that is, "As defamation by implication, if not explicit, the passage published that Plaintiff Montgomery is a fraud and that his work is a scam and a hoax."

THE COURT: Please proceed with the answer to the threshold question which, to refresh your recollection is, what about Mr. Klayman's position that you're exaggerating the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 146 of 216 145

importance of the software and that most of his defamation
 claim has absolutely nothing to do with the software? Please
 continue giving me other illustrations and responses.

MS. HANDMAN: The other claim that he cited was the fact that we report, as was told to the FBI, that various employees of eTreppid had said that Mr. Montgomery had had them rig tests of his software when it was being demonstrated to government officials.

9 THE COURT: What paragraph of the complaint says that? 10 MS. HANDMAN: I'm quoting Mr. Klayman saying that that 11 was unrelated to his software. I'm not -- it'll take me a 12 minute, maybe, if Mr. Toth can look through the amended 13 complaint and see where that is.

And, of course, that circles right back again towhether the software worked or whether the tests were rigged.

And yes. We have our sources of information besides the software itself. But obviously, the software itself. And I think what the Court should focus on is that Mr. Klayman is repeatedly referring to the fact that, Well, Mr. Montgomery will testify that the software -- about the software and he'll have people that will testify.

Well, that would be incredibly prejudicial to us. He should be precluded from putting in any evidence on whether the software works. If he thinks that's so unimportant, he probably should not have any objection to that.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 147 of 216 146

If we're not allowed to test the software and have an 1 2 expert test the software and just take Mr. Montgomery's word for it that it worked, that would be incredibly prejudicial. 3 And the fact is that the software is central to each -- we 4 5 quote John Brennan when he is being confirmed for CIA director 6 saying that the intelligence that was produced was inadequate. 7 We quote other government agencies who decided not to go forward with the contract because it was inadequate. 8 We have other bases. But it's his burden to 9 Yes. 10 prove it's false. And as your Honor said, we're not limited to 11 just what he wants us to have and doesn't want us to have. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 But my point is, let's say Mr. Risen in his book 14 accuses Mr. Montgomery and his company of engaging in many 15 types of activities, all under the general rubric of 16 perpetrating a massive hoax or fraud. Some of those things, 17 whether true or not, may relate to the efficacy of the 18 software. 19 But Mr. Klayman says, But much more of the claim in the 20 book relates to things other than the software. And our 21 complaint relates to many things that have nothing at all to do

22 with the software. Therefore, he says, the defamation claim 23 only in small part relates to the software, and most of our 24 allegations have nothing to do with the software.

25

So try to focus on that position a little more.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 148 of 216 147

-	
1	MS. HANDMAN: I can only think of one item in the book
2	that they have sued on that it genuinely is not related to the
3	software. We report that he is in well, two things: We
4	report that he's an
5	THE COURT: An incorrigible gambler?
6	MS. HANDMAN: Correct.
7	And the other one is that he made false allegations
8	against Warren Trepp and Governor Gibbons that we actually
9	don't say they're false. We give the whole history there where
10	the government ultimately based on his allegations decided not
11	to prosecute Governor Gibbons.
12	And we quote a lawyer in an Associated Press report
13	saying that they should be looking at the people who make the
14	allegations.
15	That is not directly related to the software.
16	Obviously, Warren Trepp was a partner in eTreppid and Warren
17	Trepp ultimately concluded that the software either didn't
18	exist or didn't work.
19	Mr. Montgomery we quote him on that
20	Mr. Montgomery's own lawyer, Mr. Flynn, we quote as saying he's
21	a con artist, again, revolving around the software and the fact
22	that the software was never produced ultimately to Mr. Trepp in
23	the course of the proceedings with Judge Pro. And he's the one
24	that has this \$25 million confession of judgment.
25	All of this ultimately circles back to the dealings

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 149 of 216 148

with -- even in Bricks Wear (phonetic), the subsequent 1 2 business, is all about Montgomery's software and trying to continue to sell it to the government. 3 4 THE COURT: So would it be fair for me to say that your 5 position is that virtually all of Mr. Montgomery's allegations 6 in his amended complaint relate either directly and overtly or 7 implicitly to the efficacy of the software? 8 MS. HANDMAN: I would say that. But obviously, 9 Mr. Klayman disagrees. And whether your Honor and Judge 10 Martinez find -- ultimately find it, you know, decisive on the 11 case or not is something that I don't know that you need to 12 decide at this point. These are issue-related and these are 13 remedial steps, in our view, remedying the wild goose chase 14 that we've been on and that the Court has been on and now the 15 FBI is on, remedying the fact that we have not had access to 16 the software. 17 And I would have to underscore, I am libel lawyer; and in my bookcases there is a unanimous view of the courts that 18 19 they should be disposed of at the earliest stage, either on dismissal --20 21 THE COURT: It's a little too late for that right now. 22 MS. HANDMAN: -- or summary judgment. 23 That -- because of the chilling effect. And it's not 24 like just a car accident or something like that. 25 And I might add, in the Flurry case, there, as your

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 150 of 216 149

1	
-	
1	Honor knows, a jury rendered a verdict for the Plaintiff; and
2	the Eleventh Circuit reversed and said the case should have
3	been dismissed. Why? Because the critical evidence there
4	again was not available for the Defendants to inspect.
5	MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, may I respond to that?
6	THE COURT: If it's a new point, yes.
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
8	Well, Ms. Handman says she's been on a wild goose
9	chase. In all this time, they've taken one deposition of
10	Mr. Montgomery. They didn't take the depositions of the
11	French. That has nothing to do with the software in the hands
12	of the CIA. The French did not have access to our software, to
13	the CIA's software.
14	They didn't take depositions with regard to gambling.
15	They didn't take depositions with regard to Edra Blixseth or
16	Trepp. They didn't take depositions of the employee who Risen
17	claims falsely that they cooked some kind of test with
18	government authorities. They did nothing.
19	They've been resting on this gambit that your Honor is
20	going to recommend throwing this thing out. That's their whole
21	defense. And it's just simply not accurate.
22	Secondly, with regard to the expert, the expert they
23	designated does not have a top-secret clearance to even look at
24	this software. This is another example of the fact that this
25	is a tactical gambit. He can't see it.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 151 of 216 150

1 THE COURT: Well, he can't see it perhaps if it's 2 classified. But then we go around in a circle again. Who says 3 it's classified? 4 MR. KLAYMAN: But he --THE COURT: You say it's classified. Anybody else? 5 6 Not that I'm aware of. 7 MR. KLAYMAN: We've been taking this position. One would think that if there was sincerity and ingenuousness here 8 9 that they would come up with a certified expert from the FBI or 10 the CIA or the Defense Intelligence Agency or the National 11 Security Agency that could actually -- and analyze it. 12 So, you know, all they want is for your Honor to 13 recommend a dismissal or an adverse inference. This is the 14 strategy. And even Ms. Handman just a few minutes ago wouldn't go 15 so far as to say, because she knows that's not true -- and I'll 16 17 call her on it -- that the allegations of this complaint are 18 only about software. If your Honor goes through it, it's a 19 very detailed complaint. And you'll see the overwhelming 20 majority of allegations of defamation have nothing to do with 21 the software. 22 You hit the nail on the head: the biggest hoax in 23 American history. This guy has a target on his back as a 24 result of that, from all sources, not just, you know, so-called 25 sources of Mr. Risen, but also he's been threatened from

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 152 of 216 151

1 overseas as well. 2 THE COURT: So --3 MR. KLAYMAN: And on that -- let me just add one other I haven't seen it. I don't know what's on it. But on 4 thing. 5 that software, it was not true for her to say -- she just, you 6 know, comes forward with this without any basis that the 7 software has nothing to do with the criminal investigation of the Justice Department. 8 9 On that software -- excuse me -- as a result of that 10 software, things were uncovered of major crimes committed by 11 CIA operatives. And for her to say the software is not 12 something they're looking at is totally false if they can find 13 it. So that's just simply not the case. And I just want 14 15 to --16 THE COURT: Who --17 MR. KLAYMAN: -- add one other point. Who was it who used the software in order 18 THE COURT: 19 to uncover this alleged misconduct by CIA officials? 20 MR. KLAYMAN: At the time that he was entitled to it, it was Mr. Montgomery. I don't want to say in court. 21 22 THE COURT: Did anybody other than Mr. Montgomery use 23 the software for that purpose? 24 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. You have James Clapper. He had 25 regular meetings with James Clapper. And Keith Alexander and

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 153 of 216 152

1	even Brennan. Okay? But no with Clapper.
2	So this is the kind of information that that is
3	has many different sources. And the fact that they have not
4	taken one deposition outside of Dennis Montgomery tells the
5	tale, the tale with the tape, where they're going, what the
6	strategy is. They're swinging for the fences, but
7	unfortunately they've struck out.
8	THE COURT: So what about that, Ms. Handman? What
9	about the fact that, apparently, according to Mr. Klayman,
10	you've only taken one deposition in this case and it happens to
11	be of Mr. Montgomery?
12	MS. HANDMAN: Well, first of all, we did get documents
13	from his former partner, Edra Blixseth, which we've shared with
14	Mr. Montgomery and with Mr. Klayman, rather, and have not
15	taken her deposition, but expect that if we need her to come to
16	trial, she would.
17	We have tried to be taking the deposition of
18	Mr. Montgomery's son-in-law, who was in business with him in
19	the Bricks Wear company. And that as your Honor knows, it
20	was a struggle to get service made, but we finally did, and
21	we're in the fight on that.
22	We have subpoenaed government entities. We're waiting
23	to hear from the Air Force. We haven't heard back, as they've
24	been doing their Touhy review.
25	And we have reached out to various other of the people

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 154 of 216 153

1 that Mr. Klayman is referencing; but frankly, on the fault side 2 of things, all we need is to be able to show what Mr. Risen 3 had. And we feel that it's overwhelming what he had, and it is 4 to satisfy no actual malice.

5 On falsity, it's their burden to prove falsity. And 6 we're back to the same question on the software.

And we did hire an expert who is very sophisticated on software. He -- I don't know if he has classified status, but honestly, I don't believe he needs to to look at the software.

10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Klayman he's been pounding the 11 drum for some time that the software is classified. And you 12 obviously disagree with him. But he's been raising that point.

So knowing that you were going to confront that argument, why didn't the Defendants make arrangements to retain an expert witness to examine the software who has the necessary security clearance? Just in case a court order would agree with Mr. Klayman.

18 MS. HANDMAN: Well, I don't know that he would get --19 don't know that there are this cadre of experts who also have 20 classified clearance. If it were necessary, if it became 21 necessary, we would do that. But I -- that's so hypothetical 22 at this point, you know, that it really would not be worth 23 our -- this case has been extraordinarily expensive. This 24 sanctions process alone in trying to track down the software: 25 extraordinarily expensive.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 155 of 216 154

1	We hope that your Honor will award us attorney's fees
2	in connection with this. And we hope that your Honor, if you
3	don't dismiss, will at least give us a finding that the
4	software does not work or did not exist and preclude
5	Mr. Klayman's client from testifying or putting in any evidence
6	either on summary judgment or at trial on that subject.
7	THE COURT: So, Mr. Klayman, when was the last time you
8	or Mr. Montgomery made an effort to try to help the FBI locate
9	the software that may have been turned over to the FBI?
10	MR. KLAYMAN: A few weeks ago, I communicated with
11	Mr. Baker. And I said, Jim, I'd like you to take control of
12	this and put somebody on this, even on the civil side, other
13	than Mr. Schwartz, because we have been cooperating.
14	THE COURT: And have you provided
15	MR. KLAYMAN: I can provide that to you, if you'd like
16	to see it.
17	THE COURT: Have you provided any specific guidance to
18	the FBI such as, "Here's a file name"; "Here's a more
19	particularized description of a file"; "Here's this code";
20	"Here's this particular directory"? Anything mechanical,
21	logistical, that would from a practical perspective help the
22	FBI track down the software?
23	MR. KLAYMAN: We did, your Honor. And that's what we
24	wanted to talk to Mr. Schwartz about. But, of course, I I
25	don't get a return call. But apparently, Ms. Handman does.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 156 of 216 155

1	THE COURT: When was the last time that you provided
2	that type of practical assistance?
3	MR. KLAYMAN: We provided a listing, to the best of my
4	recollection, of the different categories of information that
5	was on the hard drives, okay, and where to find it.
6	THE COURT: When?
7	MR. KLAYMAN: I would say probably about a month ago,
8	somewhere in that range, six weeks.
9	THE COURT: Is that before or after Mr. Schwartz wrote
10	the letter saying that this information is of no help?
11	MR. KLAYMAN: That was after his first letter. And
12	I've been trying to and then I met with the FBI in
13	Washington about a month ago.
14	THE COURT: Let me do a better job of asking the
15	question.
16	So you know Mr. Schwartz wrote a letter to you that
17	basically said, You have submitted this information to us. I
18	think he used the phrase "a Dropbox" in that memo, and said,
19	And, frankly, this is of no help to us at all and it is not
20	what we asked for in our prior request.
21	So that particular letter let's call it the Dropbox
22	letter Ms. Handman, what was the date of that letter?
23	MS. HANDMAN: Well, the Dropbox e-mail was sent
24	November 16 by
25	THE COURT: November 16th. By Mr. Schwartz?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 157 of 216 156

1	MS. HANDMAN: No. That was sent by forwarded by
2	Mr. Klayman. He forwarded what Montgomery had sent to him,
3	which was the Dropbox, on November 16th.
4	On December 11, Mr. Schwartz wrote the e-mail that you
5	are describing that we put in the record at 196 that says that
6	that was of no use and that they still had not provided the
7	file identification and
8	THE COURT: Right. That's the e-mail where
9	Mr. Schwartz used the term Dropbox, didn't he?
10	MS. HANDMAN: Correct.
11	THE COURT: All right. And that related back to a
12	November 16 e-mail from that Mr. Klayman forwarded.
13	So, Mr. Klayman, we're using that date as a marker,
14	December 11th, 2015, Mr. Schwartz's letter, where he said the
15	information is of no help.
16	So have you provided any additional guidance to
17	Mr. Schwartz or other FBI officials who were searching for the
18	software such as the file name, a directory name, a
19	subdirectory code or things like that since December 11th?
20	MR. KLAYMAN: What I did was I wrote back to Mr. Baker
21	and I said, We want to come forward and we believe that what
22	we've provided will allow you to find it if in fact it's there,
23	but that we're prepared to do whatever it takes for you to make
24	an effort, a real effort, to find it; that I am disappointed
25	with Mr. Schwartz. I put it mildly, frankly.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 158 of 216 157

1 You know, Washington, your Honor, is a funny place. 2 I've spent most of my professional life there as well as here. And people sometimes based on your politics or, you know, who 3 4 you've wound up suing before take a dislike and they don't even know you. And that's what I believe has happened with 5 6 Mr. Schwartz. You know, with all due respect, I don't know 7 what your politics are. I'm a conservative. He's probably a 8 liberal democrat. He probably doesn't like me. 9 And so I've been trying to communicate with the FBI. 10 And I might add, he's communicating with her, but not with me. 11 I've been trying to take a position with the FBI that we're 12 here to help you. And this shows our good faith, not our bad 13 faith. We have -- and I'll provide that further documentation 14 to you. THE COURT: What further documentation? 15 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, I have e-mails to Mr. Baker saying, 16 17 Hey, we want to help you with this. We want to --18 THE COURT: So I've been listening to your explanation. 19 And it sound to me that, setting aside the attorney rhetoric, 20 the simple answer to my question is, No, I have not provided 21 such specific advice. Am I correct or incorrect in that 22 assessment? 23 MR. KLAYMAN: From the point of when we set forth the 24 different files that would be found, the answer is no, because 25 Mr. Montgomery believes that that will allow the FBI to find

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 159 of 216 158

1 it, but that we have reached an impediment with Mr. Schwartz.
2 And that's why I was communicating with Mr. Baker, copying
3 Judge Lamberth on that. And I did have a subsequent meeting
4 with the FBI, where I said, Look, we are here to help. And
5 it's an open book here. There is no bad faith here, your
6 Honor.

7 THE COURT: So if you say to me, "Well, I wrote a letter to Mr. Baker saying, 'We're trying to cooperate; we have 8 9 our issues with Mr. Schwartz; please believe us; we really do want to try to help you track down this information, '" how is 10 11 Mr. Montgomery going to be able to help the FBI to track down 12 the software on that massive amount of information that he 13 turned over if he doesn't even have the software anymore? 14 Where is he going to get the additional information to pass on to help the FBI further its efforts to locate the software? Is 15 16 he just going to have a dream and then maybe in the middle of 17 the night he'll come up with the name of a subdirectory file? 18 How --

19

MR. KLAYMAN: Again, we --

THE COURT: -- from a practical perspective, if he doesn't have the software anymore, is he going to be of any help whatsoever?

23 MR. KLAYMAN: First of all, as I've said, he's not 24 entitled to be in possession of that software. He had to turn 25 it over. It doesn't mean that he can't meet with FBI agents

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 160 159 of 216

1 and analysts and help them go through it. And he's got 2 immunity, both in terms of document production and in terms of testimony. So he is available for that. 3 And so there's no bad faith here. And that's a 4 5 material element of what you have cited with regard to 6 sanctions and spoliation. We have continuously put our foot 7 forward. And I've said, in Washington, sometimes people take a 8 9 disliking to you. You know, I wound up suing the Clintons a 10 lot; I wound up suing George W. Bush a lot. Who knows why 11 Schwartz won't return my call? So I went to Baker. Maybe the 12 quy's just incompetent. There are incompetent people, 13 regrettably, in these agencies. I worked with them, believe 14 me, at the Justice Department. So I take issue with the fact that we haven't tried to 15 16 come forward. 17 And with regard to this issue of the Air Force, 18 discovery's closed. We asked to -- as I said, to extend it a 19 month. Ms. Handman on behalf of her client has filed no motion 20 to compel the Air Force. That's a complete ruse, what she's 21 saying, that she's waiting for the Air Force. I'm waiting for 22 Godot. 23 So that makes no sense at all. 24 And then last by not least, this concept that it's 25 unanimous that you dispose of cases at the earliest point:

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 161 of 216 160

1 That's not the way things are done here in the Southern 2 District, thank God. That's why this is my favorite district to be. You actually listen to what the lawyers are saying and 3 4 make rulings on what's said. And Mr. Montgomery's not even a 5 public figure. 6 So this whole concept of actual malice, we contend, is 7 not even necessary. Defamation can result from negligence. He's not a public figure. 8 THE COURT: So let's turn to your supplemental 9 10 memorandum of law in response to my questions. In particular, 11 I'm talking about Docket No. 212, Docket Entry 212. So I 12 basically came up with a list of questions that I wanted you 13 folks to answer. And you responded in your supplemental 14 memorandum. And Question No. 2 -- Questions No. 2 and 3 are sort of 15 related. And the question was: May a sanctions order be 16 17 entered if there is a factual dispute on a material factual issue? 18 19 And you say no. If there's a factual dispute, the 20 issue must be given to the jury to decide. 21 So let me ask you sort of a hypothetical question so I 22 can understand. Let's assume for the sake of discussion that 23 500 people are standing in a large room and at the front of the 24 room is a fireplace which is lit. There's a raging fire in the 25 front. And 498 of those 500 people say that they saw a

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 162 of 216 161

1 particular person throw a flash drive containing electronically 2 stored information into the fire. 498 out of 500 say that. And this person is involved in litigation, the one who tossed 3 4 the flash drive into the fire. Two of the 500 people, however, say, no. 5 That's not 6 This particular person did not throw the flash drive true. 7 into the fire. So according to you, I would not be able to enter a 8 9 sanctions motion -- not be able to grant sanctions based on a 10 conclusion that the party spoliated evidence by throwing a 11 flash drive into a fire because, my gosh, there's a factual 12 dispute. Two out of 500 people say he didn't do it. 13 Isn't that a logical outgrowth of your position that no sanctions can be awarded if there's a factual dispute? 14 15 MR. KLAYMAN: No. And the reason for that is, your Honor, is that -- demeanor. Okay? One person that has the 16 17 credibility can carry the day against 500 other people. You have to assess the demeanor and the credibility. 18 19 And that's why an evidentiary hearing is so important. 20 That's why you held one in that case, because you can come in 21 and put anything you want in an affidavit. An affidavit's 22 generally not even worth the paper it's written on unless you 23 assess -- unless you assess the demeanor of the person. So --

24 or documents that are being, you know, given to you in

25 isolation without bringing someone in to do that.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 163 of 216 162

1 That's why I say, one deposition in a year? They can 2 go -- they can go around taking depositions here and having 3 people on video, and you would have been able to assess 4 demeanor.

And Mr. Montgomery is extremely credible. And he's extremely sincere. And he has not revealed anything publicly like Snowden did to compromise our national security. He's done it all behind closed doors, the proper way, with the FBI.

9 THE COURT: So it sounds like what you're saying is, 10 actually, Yes, sanctions can be awarded if there is a factual 11 dispute if the Court determines that the credibility of the 12 witnesses to the contrary is low.

MR. KLAYMAN: I didn't get to the second part.

13

In an extreme circumstance, the answer is yes, but not in this circumstance, where there is so much gray. Not that I believe there's gray, but because the gray has been presented by the other side.

18 The reality here is, is that this issue should be given 19 to the jury to decide. Let them hear what the issue was with 20 the classification. Let them hear the issue that there was 21 about coming forward over a number of years and being a 22 whistleblower and having no one listen to you until Judge 23 Lamberth made a contact on behalf of Mr. Montgomery with the --24 with the director of the FBI through his general counsel, James 25 Baker. Let them hear that Mr. Montgomery has risked his life

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 164 of 216 163

and has been threatened for coming forward. 1 2 You know, it's our contention that this -- what happened here with regard to this chapter may have been an 3 4 effort by the CIA to discredit Mr. Montgomery before he came 5 forward. Yet we can't find out who the sources were, if there 6 were any at all. 7 Let them hear that Mr. Montgomery has an aneurysm and his life expectancy is not great, yet he must do the right 8 9 thing for this country. Let them hear all that. 10 THE COURT: So let's --11 MR. KLAYMAN: So let the jury decide this. And she car make her arguments, Ms. Handman. 12 THE COURT: So let's take a look at Point No. 4, your 13 answer to Question 4, a very succinct statement, five words: 14 15 "Plaintiff never changed his position." I have to tell you, Mr. Klayman, I have a difficult 16 17 time accepting that statement because, as we've already 18 reviewed many times earlier today, in his deposition that 19 Mr. Montgomery said unequivocally, I turned over the software. 20 At the hearing, you unequivocally said to me, The software was 21 turned over. And then in this declaration, he says, Upon further searching of my memory, I now believe I didn't turn 22 23 over the software. 24 How is that not a change in position? Would you 25 explain that to me?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 165 of 216 164

1	MR. KLAYMAN: Because at the time that Mr. Montgomery
2	made the representations, notwithstanding the fact that he
3	wasn't feeling well at a deposition is just one instance
4	because of his severe brain aneurysm
5	THE COURT: That may be an explanation
6	MR. KLAYMAN: he believed them to be true.
7	THE COURT: Excuse me.
8	That may be an explanation for a change in position,
9	but that's not that's much different than saying, There was
10	never a change in a position. How can you say to me with a
11	straight face he never changed his position?
12	MR. KLAYMAN: I can say that because he believed it at
13	the time to be true, number one.
14	Number two, the distinct possibilities when the
15	documentation and materials were given back to him after his
16	house was illegally searched and his person was illegally
17	searched, that the software was not given back to him, that he
18	believes that it was there.
19	And
20	THE COURT: Again, that would be an explanation,
21	perhaps a justifiable one, for a change in position. The
22	explanation would be, Yes, Judge. He changed his position, but
23	that's because he was lied to or that's because the FBI tricked
24	him into thinking that the software had in fact been returned
25	or that's because he was sick and had an aneurysm at the time.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 166 of 216 165

1	Those would all be explanations, perhaps acceptable, for a
2	change in position.
3	But you haven't explained to me yet the basis or
4	persuasively told me the basis for your succinct, unequivocal
5	statement: Plaintiff never changed his position.
6	MR. KLAYMAN: This is
7	THE COURT: I don't understand that comment.
8	MR. KLAYMAN: I understand what your question is, your
9	Honor.
10	This is a term of art used by lawyers representing the
11	client who is taking the position for that client that what he
12	said was consistent at the time, that he believed it to be
13	true. And that's what I meant by that, not that my client had
14	lied or acted in bad faith or anything to that effect. So
15	that's what that means.
16	THE COURT: So let's take a look at the next page
17	MR. KLAYMAN: So I'm glad you asked the question.
18	THE COURT: next page, Page 2, Paragraph numbered
19	Paragraph 6. You say here in the second statement, "Montgomery
20	initially believed that he may have turned the software over in
21	the 47 hard drives."
22	So I read that statement today, earlier today,
23	preparing for the hearing. And here's what I did: I circled
24	the word "may," because that to me is the most important word
25	in that statement, in that sentence.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 167 of 216 166

Now, maybe he did believe at the time initially that he may have turned over the software. But that's not what he said. He didn't say, "I may have turned it over"; "I could have turned it over"; "I'm not sure if I turned it over"; "My recollection is not clear." There are a number of different possibilities.

He came right out and said that he did. And you came right out and said that you did, unless as part of your completion of the homework assignment you point to me to a particular place in the transcript where you made an unequivocal representation about that.

So how do you say -- or why does it matter what he secretly internally believed? Doesn't it really matter what his statements were on the record in a deposition and what you represented to the Court? Isn't that far more important than what he might have internally believed but never articulated?

MR. KLAYMAN: Well, again, the use of "may" was a term of art. "May" can -- has a different context, how you use it. Okay? And in that context, what we meant to say is that he believed that it was there. Okay? That's what I meant by that.

You know, you have a very good sense of humor, your
Honor, if you may indulge me. The first movie that I ever saw
of Woody Allen, Take the Money and Run.

THE COURT: Yes.

25

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 168 of 216 167

1	MR. KLAYMAN: He goes into a bank. He gives the teller
2	a note. And he says, "This is a holdup. I want all your
3	money. I've got a gun."
4	The holder the teller can't read the word "gun."
5	And she says, "This looks like 'gub' to me." Remember that?
6	THE COURT: I do.
7	MR. KLAYMAN: Right. And by the time they finish
8	arguing over whether it meant gub or gun, Fielding Mellish, the
9	character, was arrested and taken to prison and locked in
10	solitary confinement with an insurance salesman, which is the
11	worst punishment of all.
12	So that's what I'm talking about.
13	THE COURT: Well, you have my congratulations for
14	remembering that his character's name was Fielding Mellish.
15	You're absolutely right.
16	MR. KLAYMAN: I love Woody Allen.
17	So
18	THE COURT: Do you know what his actual name is?
19	MR. KLAYMAN: No.
20	THE COURT: I believe that his last his actual last
21	name is Konigsberg. Am I right?
22	MR. KLAYMAN: You probably are. I never knew that.
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
24	THE COURT: Yes. I think it is Konigsberg. All right.
25	I get a gold star.
_ 2	

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 169 of 216 168

1	
1	MR. KLAYMAN: He's at the Carlisle Hotel in New York
2	every Monday playing his clarinet. Very nice person.
3	THE COURT: Is it the clarinet or the flute? Maybe
4	you're right. It's the clarinet.
5	MR. KLAYMAN: I don't know.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. KLAYMAN: So what I'm saying is is that, you know,
8	in different contexts, words mean different things. In the
9	context of that, we meant that he believed that he had turned
10	it over.
11	THE COURT: All right. And so again, maybe it's a
12	question of semantics; but on this same page, you say,
13	"Therefore, Mr. Montgomery did not lie. Mr. Montgomery at all
14	times acted in good faith, particularly since the software is
15	classified."
16	So again, I'm telling you, you can look here at my
17	notes: "is." Because when you say to me something is
18	classified, my way of reading it is, this has got to be
19	something more than an argument from Mr. Klayman, his lawyer.
20	My gosh, maybe there's a judge who has said it. Maybe, you
21	know, Mr. Schwartz from the FBI said it. Who knows?
22	But I think that what you're telling me is that nobody
23	has classified it. This is simply your client's position.
24	Correct?
25	MR. KLAYMAN: My understanding, as I said before, is

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 170 169 of 216

that the CIA has now confirmed that it's classified. 1 I know 2 that your Honor, you know, may see it differently. But that's 3 our position. This -- if indeed what Mr. Risen wrote has any truth to 4 5 it at all, and this is the biggest hoax in American history, 6 then this software basically, to use a movie analogy, was the 7 reverse equivalent of doomsday machine in Dr. Strangelove. Ιt was the greatest thing invented in world history. And it 8 9 proved to be a fraud. So if it is such a great thing and if in fact Mr. Riser 10 11 dedicated his book to Mr. Montgomery -- and this was the focus, 12 as documents will show that, to sell the book, the 13 sensationalism -- then it had to be classified, if it could do everything that Mr. Montgomery is claimed to have represented 14 that it did. 15 16 MS. HANDMAN: That's a big "if." 17 MR. KLAYMAN: You're darned right it's a big "if." But it's an "if" that your client --18 19 THE COURT: Folks, folks, you've behaved for the past 20 half-hour. Let's not stray. Let's continue to act 21 professionally, please. 22 So by the way, Mr. Klayman, you say you're a big Woody 23 Allen fan. I have to tell you, although I am, too, my children

have now come to hate Woody Allen. And I'll tell you why. 25 While they were growing up, every single time that we went out

24

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 171 of 216 170

1	to a Chinese restaurant, I would always say the same thing.
2	The fortune cookies would come. I would open up the fortune
3	cookies. And you know what I would read out loud? It's a
4	famous Woody Allen line. In fact, it's the title of one of his
5	books.
6	MR. KLAYMAN: You stumped me on that one. But educate
7	me.
8	THE COURT: Ms. Handman?
9	MS. HANDMAN: I should know.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer?
11	MR. BOHRER: No, sir. Not I'm not a Woody Allen
12	fan.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Toth?
14	MR. TOTH: I don't know.
15	THE COURT: "Help. I'm a prisoner in a Chinese
16	bakery."
17	(Laughter.)
18	THE COURT: So that was the title of one of his books.
19	And I would say that at every Chinese meal, and my children
20	therefore hate Woody Allen. The epilogue to the story is that
21	now that my children are grown up and my son is 27 and my
22	daughter's almost 25, occasionally it has been told to me they
23	lapse and they use that comment with their friends when they're
24	out to dinner.
25	MR. KLAYMAN: I have to say, if you'll indulge me, my

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 172 171 of 216

favorite Woody Allen line is there's nothing like love between 1 2 a man and a woman. It just depends which man and which woman 3 you get in between. 4 He probably stole that from Groucho Marx. THE COURT: MR. KLAYMAN: Probably. 5 6 THE COURT: So we have now spent a significant amount 7 of time, a little more than four hours, going through my questions and your answers to my questions or, no disrespect, 8 9 non-answers to my questions. But I told you folks that I would give you an 10 11 opportunity to make further argument on the spoliation motion 12 if we have not covered an issue either factual or legal that 13 you want to bring to my attention. I think you've done a pretty comprehensive job of 14 covering all the issues. However, I'm going to give each side 15 16 the opportunity to raise a new argument or to add some gloss to 17 an argument that we have already discussed. However, do not hesitate to say, "I have nothing further to say." 18 19 So, Ms. Handman, you're the movant on the spoliation. 20 Do you have any additional arguments to say? And before 21 deciding, feel free to consult with Mr. Bohrer and Mr. Toth. 22 MS. HANDMAN: Your Honor, I was only going to close 23 with -- I know you have a penchant for quotes. And here is one 24 that I've been saving since I was an AUSA. And it was issued 25 by a brilliant defense counsel in one of the Abscam cases. Ι

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 173 of 216 172

1 think it's attributable, or a version of it, to Mark Twain: 2 The thirteenth stroke of the clock is not only false of itself, 3 but casts grave doubt on the credibility of the preceding 4 twelve. THE COURT: Understood. Do you know Mark Twain's real 5 6 name? 7 MS. HANDMAN: Oh. MR. KLAYMAN: Samuel Clemens. 8 9 THE COURT: I was asking Ms. Handman. Okay? 10 MS. HANDMAN: I'm not good with names. 11 THE COURT: Plus, you didn't phrase your answer in the 12 form of a question, so it wouldn't constitute a successful 13 Jeopardy response. 14 Mr. Klayman, anything further from you? 15 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Yes? Okay. 17 MR. KLAYMAN: You asked something earlier about even if 18 a person threw a flash drive. Ironically, a flash drive is at 19 issue here. We could have made the same argument that she's 20 attempting to make. We never got the 20,000 documents on the 21 flash drive that Mr. Flynn had turned over to Mr. Risen. And 22 it's a miraculous disappearance of that flash drive or any 23 documents pertaining thereto. 24 But I'm not making that argument. 25 Even if a person threw the flash drive into a

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 174 of 216 173

1	
1	fireplace, even if he actually did it, if it wasn't done
2	intentionally, then it's certainly not bad faith. And the case
3	law is such that bad faith is not even grossly negligent
4	behavior. If you look at the Preferred Care Partners case,
5	it Westlaw 982460, Page 7, and it's not even clearly
6	egregious conduct
7	THE COURT: That's a Shineman case out of the Southern
8	District of New York. Right?
9	MR. KLAYMAN: Right.
10	And, your Honor, I'm not trying to flatter you, but you
11	wrote an excellent decision in that Wandner case, which, you
12	know, I think is one of the seminal decisions out there right
13	now.
14	THE COURT: I would hardly call it a seminal decision.
15	But thank you for the comments.
16	Anything new to add?
17	MR. KLAYMAN: It's ironic, though, that the one case in
18	this district that they cite is yours. Okay? Everything else
19	is somewhere else. And I do have DC Circuit cases as well.
20	THE COURT: Actually, I think they cited another case
21	called Boston Boat, I think, which is a more recent spoliation
22	case in which I found that spoliation had occurred.
23	So in Wandner, the result was no spoliation. In Boston
24	Boat, there was.
25	MR. KLAYMAN: The other case, your Honor you may

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 175 of 216 174

1 know it already --2 THE COURT: And they also cited Point Blank, I think, as a matter of fact, as well, which is a spoliation case. 3 4 MS. HANDMAN: We did. The other case we would turn your attention 5 THE COURT: 6 on -- I won't relive your Wandner case, because you know what 7 you wrote -- is Michele E. Shepherd and LaRue Graves, 8 Appellees, versus American Broadcasting Companies, Inc., 9 Appellant. It's Lexis No. 94 -- Cases No. 94-7141 and No. 10 94-7186, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of 11 Columbia Circuit. Ms. Handman loves the DC Circuit. 12 By the way, this (inaudible) statute has been stricken 13 down in the federal court there on diversity cases. So the 14 idea of dismissing things early no longer exists in DC. THE COURT: What's the specific Lexis citation to 15 16 this --17 MR. KLAYMAN: Well, you can find it at 62 F.3d 1469. THE COURT: 62 F.3d --18 19 MR. KLAYMAN: 1469. 20 THE COURT: And the other case? MR. KLAYMAN: 314 US Appeals, DC, 137. These are 21 22 alternative cites. 23 THE COURT: Yes. But that's --24 MR. KLAYMAN: The Lexis cite --25 THE COURT: But I don't need alternative cites for the

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 176 of 216 175

1	
1	same case. I thought you cited two cases. No? Only one?
2	MR. KLAYMAN: Well, they're consolidated cases.
3	THE COURT: So 62 F.3d
4	MR. KLAYMAN: I'll give you a copy of this.
5	THE COURT: 62 F.3d 1469 is a consolidated case?
6	MR. KLAYMAN: It appears there appears to be two
7	different cases that were filed.
8	MS. HANDMAN: What are they called?
9	THE COURT: Shepherd.
10	MR. KLAYMAN: Shepherd versus American Broadcasting
11	Company.
12	THE COURT: Shepherd versus ABC, yes.
13	And the other one?
14	MR. KLAYMAN: There are two numbers listed for that
15	one, 947141 and 947186.
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MS. HANDMAN: They're cited in our papers, your Honor.
18	MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah.
19	And this case goes through the law. Under these
20	circumstances, where you're asking for dismissal or an adverse
21	inference, it would take clear and convincing evidence. And
22	what the Defendants have alleged is in effect that somehow
23	Mr. Montgomery, which of course we strenuously deny, committed
24	some kind of fraud. But even if it's not fraud, it's still
25	something that's subterfuge. And it would take clear and

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 177 of 216 176

1	convincing evidence for your Honor to impose Draconian
2	sanctions. Okay? And this case goes through all the different
3	case law in that, in addition to what you wrote.
4	And then there is the case Pfizer, Inc., versus
5	International Rectifier Corp., 538 F.2d 180, 195, Eighth
6	Circuit, 1976 cert denied and all the progeny cases:
7	Ford versus Fogarty Van Lines, Inc., 780 F.2d 1582 at Page
8	1583, Eleventh Circuit, 1986; RD versus Stock, S-T-O-C-K, 712
9	F.2d 1290, at Page 1292, Eighth Circuit, 1983; Titus versus
10	Mercedes-Benz, 695 F.2d 746 at Page 749, Third Circuit, 1982;
11	Graves versus Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Company, 528 F.2d
12	1360, at Page 1361, Fifth Circuit, 1976. And of course, the
13	Fifth Circuit became the Eleventh Circuit.
14	And there was also the case that you cited by Judge
15	Magistrate Judge Simonton with a whole line of authority in
16	that regard which she cited in her opinion.
17	So there's a lot of authority here that the threshold
18	is extremely high to impose spoliation sanctions here with such
19	a Draconian measure as dismissal or an adverse inference. This
20	matter is really, there are disputes of fact here. It
21	should be provided to the jury to decide.
22	Again, we could have played the same tactical game with
23	regard to the thumb drive. Ironically, your Honor used that as
24	an analogy. And that was not produced in this case to
0 F	Me Dison It was preduced to Me Dison by Me Di-

25 Mr. Risen. It was produced to Mr. Risen by Mr. Flynn,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 178 of 216 177

1 violating the attorney-client and work-product privileges of 2 Mr. Montgomery. That went absent. Mr. Flynn went absent. No one knows where he is. We do have a motion still pending in 3 4 the Central District. And it is very telling. There are certain things here 5 6 that -- you know, there's a great trial lawyer by the name of 7 Gerry Spence. You've probably heard of him. THE COURT: Yes. Out of Wyoming. 8 MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. He used to wear a Buckskin Billy 9 10 outfit, you know, and a ponytail. A very bright guy. One of 11 the great trial lawyers of our time. 12 And Gerry Spence always said -- sometimes I was on TV 13 with him during the Clinton years, and he would say this to me 14 and to the audience. And he was saying, you know, "Everything has a reason. There's always a story and the pieces fit." 15 16 And the pieces fit here, is that an expert that doesn't 17 have even the ability to look at the software, appointed or named on the last day but without complying with the Federal 18 19 Rules of Civil Procedure and local rules, not moving to compel 20 the testimony of the CIA --21 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, I'm sorry, sir. But --22 MR. KLAYMAN: All right. I won't repeat. 23 THE COURT: The most important thing I said to you was 24 anything new. And so far, every single point you've made is 25 highlighted in something you told me earlier.

I

1	Any
2	MR. KLAYMAN: At this point, I think you're right, your
3	Honor. So I'll I'll just say one last thing here, your
4	Honor: What they're trying to do just doesn't smell right.
5	And it's a grasping at straws. Again, they're swinging for the
6	fences, but they've struck out.
7	THE COURT: Those are four metaphors in one conclusion.
8	My gosh.
9	One other question for you, which is, I had asked the
10	parties whether or not the appropriate burden of proof on
11	spoliation is preponderance, clear and convincing or something
12	else.
13	The Defendants submitted a memorandum of law, a fairly
14	lengthy, comprehensive discussion that went on for many pages.
15	You were far more succinct and to the point and simply
16	said: preponderance. But you didn't cite any case law or make
17	any argument. You just sort of said, That's what it is.
18	Do you have any case law to support your response that
19	the appropriate burden of proof here in the Eleventh Circuit on
20	a spoliation sanctions issue is clear and convincing evidence?
21	MR. KLAYMAN: I just cited those cases, your Honor:
22	your case, the Michele Shepherd case and all the citations that
23	I then set forth on the court record.
24	THE COURT: All right. I understand.
25	MR. KLAYMAN: And if your Honor would like a copy of

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 180 of 216 179

	0.220
1	the Shepherd case, I can give it to you.
2	THE COURT: I do not.
3	So one final point, which has to do with the
4	Defendants' argument about being unduly prejudiced if sanctions
5	are not imposed, which is, assume for the sake of discussion
6	you get past the summary judgment motion, which hasn't been
7	referred to me. Judge Martinez will be ruling on that. And
8	assume you get by the spoliation motion and you're now at
9	trial.
10	So at the trial, would it be Mr. Montgomery's intent to
11	submit evidence that the software existed, number one? And
12	number two, that it worked? I think you told me he'd actually
13	testified to that himself. Correct?
14	MR. KLAYMAN: He testified himself where, your Honor?
15	THE COURT: If, hypothetically, the case were to go to
16	trial, you would have Mr. Montgomery appear as a witness.
17	Right?
18	MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
19	THE COURT: I mean, I don't know how you'd prove your
20	case without Mr. Montgomery appearing. So he'd be a witness
21	for you. Right?
22	MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
23	THE COURT: All right. And one of the things that you
24	would have him do at the trial is to testify that, A, the
25	software existed and, B, that it worked. Correct?

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 181 of 216 180

MR. KLAYMAN: He would -- he would testify that what was written by Mr. Risen was not true and that the government is still using the software and that for that limited purpose -- again, it's only a small part of the case -- that he did not defraud the government.

6 Whether it worked or not is for the government to 7 decide, is that he was not ever accused of committing the 8 biggest hoax in American history. So that's what our testimony 9 would be geared to. It wouldn't be hinging on the software; it would be hearing [sic] on the fact that no one has ever accused 10 11 him of committing the biggest hoax in American history. And 12 there are documents that'll be in our summary judgment 13 opposition where no one has ever said that from the government 14 in terms of what was produced by the Defendants.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, I want to be very candid with 16 you right now. I'm going to tell you my reaction to what you 17 just said.

My reaction is, Gee, Mr. Klayman's being evasive. He's not answering my question. These are the thoughts that are running through my mind. Because I asked a fairly simple question --

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor --

22

THE COURT: -- which is: If the case were to go to trial, at any point in the trial, do you anticipate that Mr. Montgomery would say -- I'm not saying it's the most

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 182 of 216 181

important thing; I'm not saying he wouldn't testify about other 1 2 I'm just simply saying, among all the things that you things. would have him testify to, wouldn't you have him testify, A, 3 4 that the software existed and, B, that it worked? Yes or no. 5 Those are the only two permissible responses. MR. KLAYMAN: I -- my strategy evolves as I go to 6 7 trial, your Honor. But as of today, it depends on what 8 happens. It depends on your rulings and everything else. 9 But as of today, I would not have him testify to that. 10 I would have him testify that no one has ever said that his 11 software didn't work. No one has ever said that. No one has 12 ever claimed that. That's apart from the issue of whether it 13 worked or not. 14 THE COURT: So just so I'm clear, you're representing 15 to me that right now, at trial, you would not have 16 Mr. Montgomery testify that the software existed and that it worked? You would not do that? 17 MR. KLAYMAN: As of today, that's not my strategy. 18 19 You're asking me to reveal my strategy here. But as of today, 20 they have nothing -- they have put forward nothing to show that 21 the software didn't work --22 THE COURT: So then --23 MR. KLAYMAN: -- and nothing to show that someone told 24 Mr. Montgomery or anybody else that it didn't work. 25 And again, your Honor hit the nail on the head earlier.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 183 of 216 182

1	It's not it's not a proposition of how do I phrase
2	it? one or the other, because it may have worked in certain
3	portions and maybe there were some things that needed to be
4	modified or whatever the case may be. But the bottom line here
5	is and the case is very simple from our perspective no
6	one has come forward to say it didn't work.
7	THE COURT: Here's the issue, Mr. Klayman: It's not
8	necessarily me trying to get you to disclose your trial
9	strategy. It has to do with me evaluating the merits of the
10	Defendants' argument that we need sanctions because if we don't
11	get them, Mr. Montgomery with his lawyer, Mr. Klayman, doing
12	the questioning at trial, will testify that the software
13	worked. And if we don't have access to the software and you
14	don't impose sanctions, we're unduly prejudiced.
15	So in order to deal with that argument, I'm asking you
16	the question of what's going to happen at trial.
17	And basically, you didn't answer the question. But
18	instead, you said, Well, my strategy is evolving. I don't plan
19	on doing that.
20	So therefore, the next logical step would be that if
21	that's the case, I take it that you would not object to
22	entering into a binding stipulation right now that at trial you
23	agree that Mr. Montgomery will not at all testify whether the
24	software existed or whether it worked. Are you willing to
25	MR. KLAYMAN: I can't do that, your Honor.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 184 of 216 183

1 THE COURT: Let me --2 MR. KLAYMAN: You know --THE COURT: Let me just finish my question. You may --3 MR. KLAYMAN: I thought you were done. 4 -- have predicted it. But we need to have 5 THE COURT: 6 a complete record. 7 So my question is: Are you willing to enter into a 8 binding stipulation that at trial Mr. Montgomery will not 9 testify, A, that the software existed and, B, that it worked? 10 Are you willing to do that? 11 MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, you know I can't do that. Ι 12 don't know what's going to happen in your order. I don't know 13 what's going to happen in subsequent testimony that may come up at trial. You know I can't do that. I'm trying to give you a 14 15 good-faith response as we've been in good faith all along and 16 not in bad faith. 17 The thing is --THE COURT: MR. KLAYMAN: And without going through --18 19 The thing is, Mr. Klayman, I don't know THE COURT: 20 that you can't do that, because parties with the advice of 21 counsel enter into those kinds of stipulations all the time. 22 In order to take an issue off the table, in order to not have 23 to confront an issue, parties will sometimes say, Your Honor, I disagree with the other side's legal position. But in order to 24 25 avoid A, B, C and D, I'll agree on the record I will never go

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 185 of 216 184

	01220
1	into this at trial. It happens all the time.
2	MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, I can't do that.
3	THE COURT: Or sometimes in response to a motion in
4	limine, somebody will say, Judge, you don't have to rule on
5	that. I agree not to enter into that testimony.
6	But you're saying
7	MR. KLAYMAN: I can't do that, your Honor. No trial
8	lawyer that has any degree of experience past Civil Procedure
9	101 could agree to that.
10	And the reason you can't, okay, is because you don't
11	know what's going to come up. I've had a hard enough time
12	today refuting facts that are set forth as firm facts that are
13	not true. Okay? So I don't know where it's going to go. But
14	I don't want to go back through the last four hours here
15	because, number one, this is a tactic. It is a ruse. You made
16	it clear that your Honor doesn't want me to repeat it.
17	They can come forward with their sources at trial. The
18	jury can make a decision on its own as to whether or not
19	Mr. Montgomery was in good faith or bad faith and withheld this
20	information. Juries are very smart. I have a tremendous
21	degree of confidence in the jury system.
22	And that's where you should leave it. And, you know,
23	for me to do that, I'd be committing malpractice. I should rip
24	up my Bar card. I can't do that.
25	THE COURT: So just to wrap up, Mr. Klayman, are you

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 186 of 216 185

1 clear on your homework assignments? 2 MR. KLAYMAN: I would -- I'd ask you, your Honor, 3 because of the summary judgment motion, can we submit it on 4 Monday? 5 Instead of Friday? THE COURT: 6 MR. KLAYMAN: Instead of Friday. 7 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 8 MS. HANDMAN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And you, Ms. Handman, you're 9 10 aware --11 MR. KLAYMAN: No later than Monday. 12 THE COURT: You're aware of your homework assignment as 13 well? 14 MS. HANDMAN: Yes. THE COURT: So I'm sure that both of you took careful 15 notes of the homework assignment. But just to make you feel 16 17 more comfortable, tomorrow I'll be entering a post-hearing 18 administrative order summarizing in writing each of the 19 homework assignments. So to the extent that you're a little 20 nervous that you had to frantically take notes on the fly, 21 don't worry. Rest assured I will put them in writing for you 22 so there's no confusion as to the homework assignment. All 23 right? 24 MR. KLAYMAN: We appreciate your diligence, your Honor. 25 MS. HANDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 187 of 216 186

MR. KLAYMAN: I'm not flattering you. Few magistrate 1 2 judges would spend four hours on any issue. 3 THE COURT: Well, it's actually four hours and 24 4 minutes. 5 MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. THE COURT: And, see, this is my experience as a 6 7 private billable lawyer. I'm not going to give up 24 minutes 8 of billable time. My gosh, that's, like, 300 bucks right 9 there. 10 All right, folks. For those of you who are out of town, safe travels. I'm still able to say Happy New Year. 11 So 12 again, Happy New Year. Be well. And take care. We'll be in 13 recess. Bye now. 14 (Proceedings concluded.) 15 CERTIFICATE 16 17 I hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate transcription of the proceedings in the 18 19 above-entitled matter to the best of my ability. 20 21 /s/Lisa Edwards LISA EDWARDS, RDR, CRR 22 DATE Official Court Reporter 23 United States District Court 400 North Miami Avenue, Twelfth Floor 24 Miami, Florida 33128 (305) 523-5499 25

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 188 of 216 1

\$	15th [1] - 7:9 - 16 [4] - 16:7, 56:24,	232 [1] - 79:5 234 [1] - 79:12	4:07 [1] - 89:23	Α
	- 16 [4] - 16:7, 56:24, 155:24, 156:12	234 [1] - 79:12 236 [1] - 79:12	E	
\$100 [1] - 80:10			5	ABC [1] - 175:12
\$25 [2] - 65:3, 147:24	166 [4] - 26:19,	23rd [2] - 56:20,		ability [14] - 22:3,
	26:22, 48:17, 51:6	71:11	5 [2] - 1:5, 48:15	78:6, 78:7, 79:21,
\$2500 [2] - 64:23,	16th [4] - 61:19,	24 [2] - 186:3, 186:7	500 [6] - 160:23,	
67:2	108:8, 155:25, 156:3	240 [1] - 79:12	160:25, 161:2, 161:5,	80:5, 86:19, 86:23,
•	178 [1] - 108:5	245 [1] - 79:13		86:25, 98:7, 111:3,
•	18 [2] - 103:8, 126:14	246 [1] - 79:19	161:12, 161:17	125:5, 127:22,
	180 [1] - 176:5	247 [1] - 79:24	51 [2] - 57:13, 138:1	177:17, 186:19
le (c) 74.11	184 [1] - 119:25	248 [1] - 80:2	523-5499 [2] - 2:4,	able [22] - 4:13, 6:2,
'a [1] - 74:11	1919 [1] - 1:18	25 [1] - 170:22	186:24	6:13, 13:13, 14:15,
'beguiled [1] - 78:23	195 [1] - 176:5	2520 [1] - 1:15	528 [1] - 176:11	21:6, 29:23, 29:24,
'gub' [1] - 167:5	196 [1] - 156:5		538 [1] - 176:5	29:25, 68:7, 77:17,
'how [1] - 77:17		259 [1] - 80:8	5th [2] - 4:1, 4:7	97:16, 121:25,
'waste [1] - 78:17	196-1 [1] - 57:20	262 [1] - 80:12		137:10, 138:8,
'We're [1] - 158:8	1976 [2] - 176:6,	27 [1] - 170:21	6	139:12, 153:2,
	176:12	28 [1] - 117:9	0	158:11, 161:8, 161:9,
1	1982 [1] - 176:10	28th [1] - 60:10		162:3, 186:11
1	1983 [1] - 176:9	2:00 [2] - 1:6, 6:9	6 [2] - 48:15, 165:19	above-entitled [1] -
	1986 [1] - 176:8	2:08 [1] - 8:25	60 [1] - 54:6	
/s/Lisa [1] - 186:21	19th [5] - 37:2,	2:11 [1] - 8:25	600 [3] - 28:12,	186:19
	45:15, 46:2, 61:19,		_ 53:25, 57:14	Abscam [1] - 171:25
1	111:6	3	62 [4] - 174:17,	absence [1] - 57:3
	1st [2] - 27:11, 56:19	J		absent [2] - 177:2
	100[2] 21.11,00.19		174:18, 175:3, 175:5	absolute [1] - 23:2
1 [1] - 1:8	n	3 [5] - 27:7, 27:10,	65 [3] - 77:7, 77:11,	absolutely [5] -
1,000 [1] - 132:9	2	123:3, 128:24, 160:15	77:12	21:21, 137:25, 138:4,
10 [3] - 43:12, 43:14,		30 [2] - 50:21, 54:7	695 [1] - 176:10	145:2, 167:15
110:9	2 [4] - 75:1, 160:15,	••		absurd [1] - 119:10
100 [3] - 49:5, 94:22,	165:18	300 [1] - 186:8	7	accept [5] - 84:7,
141:8		3000 [1] - 1:22		86:15, 87:25, 103:1,
	20,000 [2] - 92:4,	305 [2] - 2:4, 186:24		
101 [1] - 184:9	172:20	30th [1] - 119:21	7 [1] - 173:5	104:16
10:00 [2] - 6:10,	20-minute [1] - 46:10	314 [1] - 174:21	701 [1] - 1:21	acceptable [1] -
14:24	20006 [1] - 1:19	33128 [2] - 2:3,	712 [1] - 176:8	165:1
11 [5] - 16:7, 56:22,	2003 [2] - 116:20,	186:24	746 [1] - 176:10	accepted [1] - 41:13
57:18, 59:16, 156:4	116:22	33131 [1] - 1:22	749 [1] - 176:10	accepting [3] -
11th [2] - 156:14,	2007 [1] - 59:5	33145 [1] - 1:15	780 [1] - 176:7	88:12, 127:8, 163:17
156:19	2010 [1] - 78:4	35 [1] - 19:15	798 [1] - 126:14	access [19] - 16:3,
124 [2] - 16:7, 24:6	2014 [1] - 42:17		790[1] - 120.14	22:20, 30:2, 39:23,
127 [3] - 44:2, 47:6,	2015 [4] - 56:25,	38 [2] - 35:8, 111:20	-	50:22, 51:10, 51:14,
47:7	60:10, 117:4, 156:14	39 [1] - 35:8	8	51:15, 53:1, 53:3,
			_	53:9, 53:24, 55:14,
1290 [1] - 176:9	2016 [4] - 1:5, 5:4,	4	9 (a) 57.4 133.13	61:17, 76:6, 120:18,
1292 [1] - 176:9	55:19, 58:5		8 [2] - 57:4, 133:13	
12th [1] - 60:10	2027 [1] - 1:15	Arm 162:40 400:44	800 [1] - 1:18	148:15, 149:12,
131 [1] - 116:17	206 [2] - 77:14	4 _[2] - 163:13, 163:14	8th [3] - 56:17,	182:13
1360 [1] - 176:12	207 [2] - 77:21, 144:4	40 [2] - 137:2, 137:4	60:11, 137:22	accessing [1] -
1361 [1] - 176:12	208 [1] - 77:23	400 [2] - 2:2, 186:23		58:23
137 [1] - 174:21	20th [3] - 60:13,	41 [1] - 55:21	9	accident [1] - 148:24
1469 [3] - 174:17,	60:18, 117:4	45 [3] - 77:10, 136:3,		accomplish [1] - 9:4
174:19, 175:5	21 [1] - 117:20	136:7	• • • • • • •	according [11] -
15 [8] - 21:22, 22:7,	212 [3] - 78:11,	47 [11] - 28:12,	9 [3] - 51:6, 60:7,	16:24, 16:25, 44:1,
••		38:23, 53:25, 57:13,	61:7	70:16, 72:13, 100:1,
43:12, 43:14, 46:10,	160:11	117:21, 133:17,	94 [1] - 174:9	100:2, 107:11, 139:2,
60:8, 60:9, 64:6	214 [1] - 78:15	133:20, 134:1, 136:3,	94-7141 [1] - 174:9	152:9, 161:8
15-20782-CIVIL-	216 [1] - 78:18		94-7186 [1] - 174:10	
	218 [1] - 78:20	138:1, 165:21	947141 [1] - 175:15	account [2] - 41:9,
MARTINEZ [1] - 1:2	22 [1] - 103:18	48 [1] - 76:19	947186 [1] - 175:15	41:20
MARTINEZ [1] - 1:2 15-20782-Civil-		ALL 101 /6:06 77.6	0 TI 100 [1] - 170.10	accuracy [1] - 35:24
	220 [1] - 78:22	49 [3] - 76:25, 77:6,	982/60 (4) 172.5	• • •
15-20782-Civil-		83:3	982460 [1] - 173:5	accurate [2] -
15-20782-Civil- Martinez [1] - 3:2	220 [1] - 78:22		982460 [1] - 173:5 9th [1] - 127:6	• • •

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 189 of 216 2

			T	1
36:16, 106:21	91:24, 92:1, 128:16	ahead [5] - 7:7,	amended [12] -	73:25, 95:23, 95:25,
accused [5] - 105:8,	affidavit [7] - 47:15,	12:17, 65:10, 77:13,	75:24, 76:1, 76:4,	105:25, 109:8, 111:11
106:25, 122:24,	53:19, 61:11, 63:7,	104:18	76:7, 76:8, 81:3, 83:4,	anyways [1] - 49:19
180:7, 180:10	97:22, 104:9, 161:21	Air [5] - 144:7,	139:14, 140:2, 144:4,	apart [1] - 181:12
accuses [1] - 146:14	affidavit's [1] -	152:23, 159:17,	145:12, 148:6	apologize [2] - 39:5,
ACLU [1] - 112:6	161:21	159:20, 159:21	Amendment [1] -	99:14
act [3] - 112:11,	affidavits [1] - 111:2	Airlines [2] - 28:7,	88:23	appeal [2] - 45:7,
141:7, 169:20	affirmance [1] -	93:3	American [19] - 4:20,	127:7
acted [3] - 80:2,	103:19	airport [1] - 128:22	12:6, 24:7, 28:7,	Appeals [2] - 174:10,
165:14, 168:14	after-the-fact [1] -	al [2] - 1:7, 3:2	81:17, 81:25, 82:17,	174:21
action [4] - 79:14,	61:12	AI [12] - 74:14, 74:15,	83:14, 93:2, 105:9,	appear [1] - 179:16
103:20, 106:7, 140:16	afternoon [14] - 3:3,	77:21, 105:4, 116:19,	105:14, 105:16, 127:4, 150:23, 169:5,	appearances [1] -
actively [1] - 58:5 activities [1] -	3:5, 3:6, 3:14, 3:19, 6:10, 10:22, 62:22,	136:9, 141:14, 143:7, 143:11, 143:15,	174:8, 175:10, 180:8,	3:10
146:15	62:23, 87:14, 88:25,	143.11, 143.15, 144:17	180:11	APPEARANCES [1] - 1:12
actual [15] - 13:16,	89:23, 100:21, 143:24	Al-Qaeda [1] - 74:14	Americans [1] -	appeared [6] - 29:14,
23:8, 23:13, 23:24,	agencies [6] - 17:15,	albeit [1] - 91:11	144:9	30:18, 38:24, 58:11,
23:25, 24:11, 25:12,	58:20, 58:22, 133:5,	Alexander [1] -	amount [4] - 48:4,	61:25, 111:6
26:10, 44:7, 44:13,	146:7, 159:13	151:25	158:12, 171:6	appearing [2] -
123:19, 153:4, 160:6,	agency [6] - 32:25,	allegation [3] -	analogy [3] - 124:6,	35:22, 179:20
167:18, 167:20	58:14, 72:17, 75:5,	26:11, 140:13, 143:19	169:6, 176:24	Appellant [1] - 174:9
add [16] - 13:19,	90:18, 125:4	allegations [11] -	analysis [2] - 26:6,	appellate [1] - 87:14
16:16, 31:24, 40:19,	Agency [4] - 58:21,	76:8, 81:9, 137:24,	139:22	Appellees [1] - 174:8
43:16, 50:21, 69:10,	127:2, 150:10, 150:11	138:18, 146:24,	analysts [1] - 159:1	apples [1] - 97:2
94:21, 120:24,	Agent [4] - 55:19,	147:7, 147:10,	analyze [2] - 139:13,	applied [3] - 128:9,
132:12, 148:25,	55:20, 58:9, 58:10	147:14, 148:5,	150:11	130:21, 144:10
151:3, 151:17,	agent [4] - 7:14,	150:17, 150:20	aneurysm [9] -	apply [2] - 107:8,
157:10, 171:16,	53:19, 63:7, 66:21	alleged [8] - 12:8,	40:20, 41:8, 41:16,	131:8
173:16	agents [4] - 54:17,	20:5, 57:2, 78:2,	41:24, 42:16, 42:18,	appointed [2] - 43:4,
addition [7] - 12:9,	55:4, 55:6, 158:25	110:11, 123:25,	163:7, 164:4, 164:25	177:17
34:12, 45:25, 71:15,	ago [22] - 6:8, 36:12,	151:19, 175:22	angle [1] - 33:12	appreciate [1] -
118:20, 118:21, 176:3	38:13, 42:16, 49:16,	allegedly [4] - 64:15,	animal [2] - 118:22,	185:24
additional [9] - 5:25,	49:24, 54:8, 54:18,	74:14, 91:10, 134:15	119:4	apprised [1] - 139:6
39:19, 46:5, 48:24,	64:3, 64:5, 64:7, 64:8,	alleges [2] - 75:25,	answer [35] - 4:23,	appropriate [5] - 4:3,
56:13, 71:10, 156:16,	65:22, 68:25, 87:10,	76:5	6:19, 7:6, 18:18, 23:8,	8:21, 97:11, 178:10,
158:14, 171:20	109:13, 136:2, 139:6,	Allen [8] - 166:24,	24:1, 28:2, 28:23,	178:19
address [2] - 5:22, 82:9	150:15, 154:10, 155:7, 155:13	167:16, 169:23, 169:24, 170:4,	48:23, 48:25, 50:1, 52:9, 69:22, 84:11,	April [2] - 140:3,
addressed [1] - 6:15	agree [37] - 4:19, 9:6,	170:11, 170:20, 171:1	86:11, 101:6, 101:22,	140:5
adequately [3] -	15:11, 15:14, 18:12,	allow [6] - 43:22,	116:20, 116:23,	area [1] - 20:12
96:1, 96:6, 97:16	18:24, 26:3, 26:5,	43:23, 69:23, 72:1,	117:3, 117:5, 117:8,	areas [2] - 102:11,
administrations [1] -	60:4, 60:6, 71:15,	156:22, 157:25	124:18, 126:25,	105:16
59:4	71:21, 72:11, 81:11,	allowed [1] - 146:1	127:25, 129:14,	arguably [2] - 31:25, 35:13
administrative [1] -	81:12, 82:11, 82:14,	allows [1] - 69:24	144:9, 144:23,	argue [2] - 5:10,
185:18	83:22, 86:9, 89:23,	alluded [1] - 103:15	157:20, 157:24,	21:11
admits [1] - 16:6	89:25, 90:10, 94:22,	almost [11] - 6:12,	160:13, 162:14,	argued [2] - 68:13,
advance [1] - 29:20	99:12, 101:11, 129:5,	9:15, 10:24, 18:5,	163:14, 172:11,	121:2
advanced [1] - 46:17	129:13, 129:18,	24:8, 60:5, 75:7,	182:17	arguing [3] - 17:2,
advantage [2] - 69:4,	129:25, 131:5, 131:7,	81:25, 111:13,	answered [1] - 40:24	117:18, 167:8
79:14	132:16, 153:16,	140:15, 170:22	answering [3] - 66:1,	argument [28] - 34:1,
adversary [1] - 89:16	182:23, 183:25,	alone [1] - 153:24	124:20, 180:19	34:17, 46:17, 90:8,
adverse [4] - 105:7,	184:5, 184:9	alternative [3] -	answers [7] - 42:3,	90:12, 93:16, 95:23,
150:13, 175:20,	agreed [1] - 7:24	121:24, 174:22,	43:25, 47:8, 48:25,	112:17, 113:10,
176:19	agreement [1] -	174:25	50:8, 171:8, 171:9	121:10, 129:23,
advice [2] - 157:21,	54:19	Aluminum [1] -	anticipate [1] -	130:16, 133:15,
400.00		176:11	180:24	134:7, 138:14,
183:20	agreements [1] -		autials - t	,
advise [1] - 67:11	17:19	ambiguity [1] -	anticipates [1] -	139:16, 139:23,
advise [1] - 67:11 advised [2] - 39:9,	17:19 agriculture [1] -	ambiguity [1] - 101:23	132:18	139:16, 139:23, 153:14, 168:19,
advise [1] - 67:11	17:19	ambiguity [1] -	•	139:16, 139:23,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 190 of 216 3

	T	I	Т	1
172:24, 178:17,	147:12	62:16, 95:2, 96:4,	103:12, 110:13,	186:19
179:4, 182:10, 182:15	assume [15] - 15:20,	103:25, 113:22,	111:18, 111:19,	better [8] - 14:9,
argumentation [1] -	21:15, 22:10, 41:22,	113:23, 149:4, 159:3	111:20, 115:11,	60:15, 110:7, 113:20,
67:16	88:25, 93:20, 95:13,	Avenue [4] - 1:18,	119:17, 125:12,	126:17, 139:23,
arguments [8] -	95:16, 95:17, 114:10,	1:21, 2:2, 186:23	135:9, 135:11,	155:14
30:9, 62:22, 66:9,	126:15, 132:16,	avenues [1] - 102:9	137:10, 140:9,	better-looking [1] -
89:10, 132:10,	160:22, 179:5, 179:8	Aviv [1] - 128:15	140:19, 140:22,	110:7
137:16, 163:12,	assumed [1] - 24:17	avoid [2] - 82:15,	140:25, 141:18,	between [4] - 17:16,
171:20	assuming [4] -	183:25	142:21, 142:24,	64:14, 171:1, 171:3
arises [1] - 132:17	23:10, 94:1, 122:13,	award [1] - 154:1	143:8, 147:10, 157:3,	beyond [6] - 4:5,
Arizona [3] - 111:24,	128:2	awarded [2] -	161:9	81:9, 82:2, 84:5,
134:14, 136:20	assumption [3] -	161:14, 162:10	bases [1] - 146:9	120:25, 121:1
arrange [1] - 31:10	125:13, 125:17,	aware [10] - 9:13,	basic [1] - 19:12	bidding [1] - 92:25
arranged [2] - 125:1,	125:18	20:14, 79:19, 81:5,	basing [3] - 13:4,	big [6] - 6:8, 8:4,
144:14	assured [1] - 185:21	81:8, 89:8, 137:3,	13:9, 91:20	85:11, 169:16,
arrangement [2] -	astonished [3] -	150:6, 185:10, 185:12	basis [14] - 16:2,	169:17, 169:22
30:12, 31:17	29:17, 37:20, 39:16		16:13, 17:8, 71:2,	biggest [14] - 12:5,
arrangements [7] -	astonishing [1] -	В	71:7, 71:13, 87:22,	24:7, 81:16, 81:24,
29:19, 31:12, 31:16,	50:19		88:18, 118:10, 139:8,	82:17, 82:20, 83:14,
36:25, 44:7, 137:11,	AT&T [2] - 41:11		140:20, 151:6, 165:3,	105:8, 105:14,
153:14	Atlantic [2] - 12:7,	back-and-forth [1] -	165:4	105:16, 150:22,
arranging [1] - 46:25	82:1	75:21	BB&T [1] - 109:5	169:5, 180:8, 180:11
arrested [1] - 167:9	attached [2] - 76:7,	background [1] -	BBT [1] - 109:4	billable [2] - 186:7,
art [2] - 165:10,	136:20	17:25	beard [1] - 135:4	186:8
166:18	attack [1] - 78:2	backs [1] - 96:5	beat [1] - 83:21	Billy [3] - 109:8,
artful [1] - 41:22	attempting [1] -	bad [13] - 36:11,	became [3] - 33:2,	109:10, 177:9
artfully [1] - 36:21	172:20	52:17, 91:4, 95:8,	153:20, 176:13	bin [1] - 105:4
article [1] - 109:9	attempts [1] - 126:23	142:15, 157:12,	because [1] - 94:6	binding [2] - 182:22,
articles [1] - 143:4	attention [4] - 48:17,	158:5, 159:4, 165:14,	become [1] - 42:25	183:8
articulated [4] -	89:4, 171:13, 174:5	173:2, 173:3, 183:16,	BEFORE [1] - 1:10	bit [8] - 4:22, 49:21,
45:17, 101:12,	attitude [1] - 24:19	184:19	began [1] - 144:9	60:8, 68:19, 74:20,
117:10, 166:16	Attorney [3] - 13:21,	Baker [11] - 32:7,	beginning [2] -	75:22, 104:25, 124:7
artist [1] - 147:21	55:5, 87:11	32:10, 59:19, 59:25,	45:23, 99:10	Blackwell [2] - 89:7,
aside [1] - 157:19	attorney [9] - 52:8,	154:11, 156:20,	behalf [6] - 53:22,	121:14
	52:12, 55:11, 56:11,	157:16, 158:2, 158:8,	56:1, 98:17, 122:8,	blame [1] - 117:15
aspect [4] - 13:18,	98:5, 106:10, 111:16,	159:11, 162:25	159:19, 162:23	Blank [1] - 174:2
15:15, 20:5, 35:13	157:19, 177:1	Baker's [2] - 60:11,	behaved [1] - 169:19	blank [1] - 142:13
assert [1] - 70:25	attorney's [2] -	60:12	behavior [3] - 19:6,	blanket [1] - 66:20
asserted [2] - 95:12,	106:10, 154:1	bakery [1] - 170:16	24:22, 173:4	Blixseth [2] - 149:15,
107:20	attorney-client [5] -	ball [1] - 61:5	behind [2] - 96:5,	152:13
asserting [1] - 34:2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	bank [1] - 167:1	162:8	
assess [4] - 161:18,	52:8, 52:12, 106:10,	Bank [2] - 59:6,		blocked [1] - 122:1
161:23, 162:3	111:16, 177:1	109:5	belabor [1] - 47:15	blog [1] - 108:24
assessment [2] -	attributable [1] -	bankruptcy [2] -	belatedly [1] - 60:17	blowing [1] - 137:24
139:16, 157:22	172:1	65:3, 65:4	belief [3] - 35:14,	board [1] - 63:1
assignment [9] -	audience [1] -	bar [1] - 106:8	51:9, 137:14	Boat [2] - 173:21,
39:8, 43:20, 50:15,	177:14	Bar [2] - 35:8, 184:24	believes [7] - 52:20,	173:24
69:1, 74:3, 166:9,	AUDIO [1] - 1:11	Barnett [7] - 55:9,	52:23, 114:24,	body [1] - 137:1
185:12, 185:16,	August [5] - 37:2,	55:10, 55:20, 56:3,	120:11, 120:12,	bogus [1] - 22:18
185:22	37:3, 60:10, 111:6,	57:25, 58:4, 58:10	157:25, 164:18	BOHRER [2] - 1:20,
assignments [2] -	117:4	barred [3] - 84:22,	belong [1] - 34:14	170:11
185:1, 185:19	AUSA [3] - 55:20,	85:20, 92:21	belongs [4] - 34:14,	Bohrer [3] - 3:17,
assist [1] - 28:17	59:17, 171:24	barrier [1] - 135:3	110:25, 124:5, 124:10	170:10, 171:21
assistance [1] -	authenticity [2] -	base [1] - 141:11	benefit [3] - 16:23,	bolts [1] - 13:16
155:2	7:17, 11:22	based [37] - 8:22,	33:5, 65:8	book [41] - 7:14,
assistant [7] - 55:4,	authorities [4] -	13:8, 14:2, 50:7,	Bennett [3] - 7:14,	10:6, 10:8, 10:11,
55:11, 98:22, 98:24,	20:23, 20:25, 21:10,		9:25, 11:20	10:14, 12:1, 12:9,
100:11, 101:5, 101:16	149:18	50:21, 51:8, 52:1,	Benz [1] - 176:10	12:10, 12:11, 18:1,
associate [4] - 98:5,	authority [4] - 87:14,	57:23, 63:13, 64:15, 66:17, 80:15, 92:20	Berghian [1] - 14:9	18:2, 22:12, 22:16,
98:11, 98:13, 98:14	97:11, 176:15, 176:17	66:17, 80:15, 92:20,	best [6] - 6:18, 66:1,	22:17, 58:3, 69:17,
Associated [1] -	available [9] - 8:7,	100:8, 101:20,	84:11, 118:25, 155:3,	72:20, 77:20, 78:1,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 191 of 216 4

	1		1	
78:10, 81:8, 81:13,	Broadcasting [2] -	career [3] - 79:21,	140:6, 148:11,	certainty [1] - 50:16
81:16, 82:3, 82:5,	174:8, 175:10	80:5, 128:17	148:25, 149:2,	certified [1] - 150:9
83:18, 106:22, 133:3,	broadcasts [4] -	careful [3] - 35:21,	151:14, 152:10,	certify [1] - 186:17
140:23, 141:21,	74:15, 143:7, 143:15,	35:24, 185:15	153:16, 153:23,	cetera [2] - 35:9,
142:5, 143:8, 144:5,	144:17	Carlisle [1] - 168:1	161:20, 173:2, 173:4,	81:8
144:18, 146:13,	brought [6] - 41:5,	carry [3] - 47:3,	173:7, 173:11,	challenging [2] -
146:20, 147:1, 158:5,	41:11, 63:6, 87:11,	112:25, 161:17	173:17, 173:20,	120:10, 136:15
169:11, 169:12	103:20, 144:6	case [184] - 6:8, 13:1,	173:22, 173:25,	chambers [3] -
bookcases [1] -	bucks [1] - 186:8	13:3, 13:13, 15:2,	174:3, 174:5, 174:6,	44:11, 44:12, 108:5
148:18	Buckskin [1] - 177:9	15:12, 15:25, 16:16,	174:20, 175:1, 175:5,	change [10] - 10:16,
books [3] - 105:17,	bullet [4] - 120:15,	16:20, 18:13, 18:21,	175:19, 176:2, 176:3,	61:18, 108:21,
170:5, 170:18	120:25, 121:7, 121:11	18:25, 19:9, 19:10,	176:4, 176:14,	108:22, 139:19,
Boston [2] - 173:21,	bunch [3] - 6:3,	19:18, 19:21, 19:23,	176:24, 178:16,	163:24, 164:8,
173:23	22:18, 34:19	20:21, 21:3, 21:7,	178:18, 178:22,	164:10, 164:21, 165:2
bottom [3] - 51:7,	burden [9] - 15:13,	21:8, 21:12, 23:15,	179:1, 179:15,	changed [4] -
72:4, 182:4	15:15, 18:14, 19:13,	26:9, 28:6, 31:13,	179:20, 180:4,	163:15, 164:11,
Boulevard [1] -	101:2, 146:9, 153:5,	33:13, 34:17, 41:5,	180:23, 182:4, 182:5,	164:22, 165:5
109:15	178:10, 178:19	42:25, 47:14, 50:3,	182:21	changes [1] - 10:7
box [3] - 33:15,	burdened [1] - 47:2	51:21, 55:22, 58:2,	CASE [1] - 1:2	changing [1] -
85:24, 138:24	burdens [1] - 99:23	58:6, 66:25, 67:21,	Case [3] - 3:1,	139:22
brain [6] - 40:20,	burdensome [2] -	68:1, 68:7, 71:9, 73:3,	134:16, 134:18	chapter [7] - 10:13,
41:8, 41:16, 41:24,	27:13, 46:13	85:2, 85:17, 87:1,	case-dispositive [2]	10:15, 12:12, 141:24,
42:16, 164:4	Bureau [1] - 51:11	87:3, 87:18, 92:4,	- 93:3, 93:4	143:3, 143:5, 163:3
branches [1] - 54:14	bureaucrats [1] -	92:20, 93:1, 93:3,	case-precise [1] -	chapters [1] - 10:11
branded [1] - 89:24	78:13	93:4, 93:10, 93:19,	134:11	character [1] - 167:9
bread [1] - 105:3	buried [2] - 57:14,	93:24, 95:12, 96:1,	Cases [1] - 174:9	character's [1] -
break [2] - 21:22,	128:15	96:6, 96:7, 96:9,	cases [17] - 19:12,	167:14
22:5	bury [1] - 138:7	96:16, 97:1, 97:17, 97:19, 97:23, 97:24,	23:16, 23:19, 23:22, 66:10, 67:24, 106:5,	characterization [3]
breaking [1] - 41:11	Bush [8] - 140:8,	98:2, 98:4, 98:10,	111:19, 159:25,	- 7:25, 10:3, 10:5
breaks [1] - 42:14	140:14, 140:22,	98:11, 99:4, 99:15,	171:25, 173:19,	characterizing [1] -
breath [1] - 10:22	140:25, 141:6,	99:18, 99:23, 100:12,	174:13, 175:1, 175:2,	9:10
breeding [1] - 119:5	141:17, 142:25,	101:13, 102:10,	175:7, 176:6, 178:21	charged [2] - 32:15
Brennan [2] - 146:5,	159:10	102:12, 103:10,	casts [1] - 172:3	chase [2] - 148:13,
152:1 BRIAN # 1:20	business [3] - 82:24,	103:18, 103:19,	categories [1] -	149:9
BRIAN [1] - 1:20	148:2, 152:18	103:23, 103:25,	155:4	Chemical [1] - 176:11
Brian [1] - 3:17 Brickell [1] - 1:21	businesses [1] - 14:12	104:2, 104:4, 104:5,	category [1] - 15:6	cherry [1] - 50:5
Bricks [2] - 148:1,	buy [2] - 81:21,	104:8, 104:15,	caused [3] - 12:6,	cherry-picking [1] -
152:19	85:25	107:11, 112:19,	39:19, 79:7	50:5
brief [4] - 22:5,	BY [1] - 2:1	114:3, 118:12,	cavalier [2] - 15:21,	child [1] - 99:11
108:20, 108:22, 126:3	bye [1] - 186:13	119:13, 119:15,	24:19	children [3] - 169:23,
briefed [2] - 14:19,		121:10, 121:20,	cave [1] - 20:11	170:19, 170:21
46:2	С	121:23, 122:3, 122:6,	caveat [1] - 4:19	chilling [1] - 148:23
briefing [1] - 26:18	–	122:17, 122:18,	cemetery [1] -	Chinese [4] - 4:10,
briefly [2] - 108:23,		122:19, 122:20,	128:15	170:1, 170:15, 170:19
138:9	cadre [1] - 153:19	123:23, 124:15,	central [2] - 103:22,	choice [2] - 19:18,
bright [2] - 132:10,	California [1] - 13:21	128:13, 129:3, 129:4,	146:4	87:25
177:10	candid [1] - 180:15	129:13, 129:15,	Central [5] - 13:21,	choose [1] - 9:17
brilliant [1] - 171:25	candidly [2] - 19:17,	129:19, 130:1, 130:12, 130:13,	13:23, 14:1, 14:4,	chose [1] - 42:13
bring [9] - 69:25,	39:13	130:12, 130:13, 130:16,	177:4	CIA [87] - 16:23,
87:8, 96:17, 96:23,	cannot [4] - 28:21,	130:17, 130:22,	cert [1] - 176:6	16:25, 28:13, 28:20,
104:16, 105:19,	73:24, 74:4, 100:7	131:1, 131:7, 131:8,	certain [15] - 7:1,	29:8, 32:5, 33:18,
118:13, 121:4, 171:13	capacity [1] - 90:4	131:10, 131:15,	7:2, 9:17, 46:21, 49:4,	34:13, 34:14, 36:24,
bringing [4] - 86:21,	car [2] - 81:21, 148:24	131:17, 132:11,	50:10, 50:18, 74:3,	52:16, 53:22, 58:19,
100:10, 100:11,	card [1] - 184:24	132:18, 132:24,	91:12, 107:3, 119:17,	65:6, 65:12, 69:20,
161:25	cards [1] - 104.24	133:18, 134:11,	130:12, 142:25, 177:5, 182:2	69:25, 70:3, 72:4,
broad [1] - 27:13	care [3] - 14:13,	135:8, 136:3, 136:4,	certainly [5] - 75:8,	72:6, 72:9, 72:13,
broadcast [5] - 77:9,	24:20, 186:12	137:23, 139:3, 139:7,	92:2, 101:15, 129:8,	72:15, 72:24, 73:1,
109:20, 141:14,	Care [1] - 173:4	139:12, 139:21,	173:2	73:2, 73:12, 73:14,
143:11, 144:1				73:18, 74:4, 74:10,
		1		

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 192 of 216 5

	T	1	1	
75:3, 75:5, 75:10,	174:25	111:10, 112:20,	127:24, 177:13	communicating [2] -
75:12, 87:8, 87:12,	citing [1] - 23:16	112:22, 112:23,	Clintons [1] - 159:9	157:10, 158:2
89:20, 90:3, 90:5,	Citizenfour [1] -	113:1, 113:3, 113:6,	clock [1] - 172:2	communication [1] -
90:9, 90:11, 90:12,	62:14	113:8, 113:10,	close [1] - 171:22	57:16
95:17, 96:14, 97:8,	citizens [1] - 127:4	113:12, 125:14,	closed [5] - 14:22,	communications [2]
97:20, 97:21, 97:22,	civil [12] - 32:12,	126:8, 126:16,	77:18, 78:23, 159:18,	- 17:16, 59:25
98:5, 98:6, 98:11,	32:16, 54:15, 56:5,	126:18, 127:15,	162:8	Companies [1] -
98:13, 98:14, 98:15,	59:9, 64:13, 93:21,	127:20, 127:23,	cloth [2] - 17:8,	174:8
98:18, 98:21, 99:18,	98:9, 103:25, 111:4,	128:3, 132:22, 134:9,	22:23	company [3] - 87:19,
99:19, 99:22, 100:8,	113:23, 154:12	135:11, 138:6, 150:2,	clouded [1] - 41:14	146:14, 152:19
100:10, 101:5,	Civil [2] - 177:19,	150:3, 150:5, 153:8,	CM/ECF [1] - 44:6	Company [2] -
101:15, 101:24,	184:8	153:11, 153:20,	Coat [1] - 11:18	175:11, 176:11
104:8, 104:16,	civilly [1] - 32:15	168:15, 168:18,	cocky [1] - 139:24	compared [1] - 104:4
104:19, 105:19,	claim [22] - 13:3,	168:23, 169:1, 169:13	code [2] - 154:19,	compel [7] - 14:8,
115:19, 124:10,	23:3, 23:21, 24:24,	clear [34] - 11:24,	156:19	14:16, 14:18, 73:12,
127:1, 134:21,	25:19, 25:22, 26:7,	11:25, 12:17, 20:3,	coded [2] - 141:14,	73:20, 159:20, 177:19
144:10, 146:5,	26:14, 33:22, 34:2,	23:19, 28:15, 33:2,	143:11	complaint [20] -
149:12, 150:10,	81:12, 84:21, 85:21,	37:7, 42:2, 52:21,	coffee [1] - 10:22	75:24, 76:1, 76:4,
151:11, 151:19,	95:2, 98:10, 101:14,	55:16, 61:18, 61:24,	coin [1] - 59:9	76:7, 76:8, 81:3, 81:9,
163:4, 169:1, 177:20	107:17, 140:10,	65:6, 66:13, 70:22,	Cold [2] - 134:16,	83:4, 91:19, 123:23,
CIA's [3] - 87:23,	145:2, 145:4, 146:19,	77:17, 85:6, 92:9,	134:18	139:14, 140:2,
87:24, 149:13	146:22	96:14, 115:13,	colleague [2] -	140:17, 144:5, 145:9,
circle [3] - 94:8,	claimed [4] - 41:12,	115:23, 132:1,	21:17, 21:23	145:13, 146:21,
112:17, 150:2	126:5, 169:14, 181:12	136:25, 137:21,	colloquy [1] - 88:25	148:6, 150:17, 150:19
circled [1] - 165:23	claiming [3] - 61:10,	166:5, 175:21,	Colonial [1] - 59:6	complete [7] - 24:18,
circles [4] - 25:15,	80:1, 82:12	175:25, 178:11,	colorful [1] - 140:13	50:14, 71:10, 71:22,
90:24, 145:14, 147:25	claims [11] - 12:5,	178:20, 181:14,	Columbia [1] -	82:13, 159:20, 183:6
circuit [1] - 138:21	24:7, 71:4, 82:11,	184:16, 185:1	174:11	completely [5] -
Circuit [14] - 102:6,	117:11, 138:19,	clear-cut [1] - 12:17	Comey [1] - 32:9	15:3, 15:20, 39:14,
103:19, 149:2,	140:7, 144:16, 149:17	clearance [15] - 31:1,	comfortable [1] -	102:3, 141:8
173:19, 174:11,	clandestine [3] -	31:2, 126:6, 126:7,	185:17	completeness [1] -
176:6, 176:8, 176:9,	72:17, 75:3, 90:18	126:12, 127:14,	coming [8] - 22:22,	74:25
176:10, 176:12,	Clapper [4] - 58:22,	127:17, 127:18,	36:21, 69:20, 85:21,	completion [1] -
176:13, 178:19	151:24, 151:25, 152:1	128:1, 128:6, 128:10,	140:10, 141:18,	166:9
circular [3] - 111:8,	clarification [1] -	128:18, 149:23,	162:21, 163:1	complying [1] -
113:10, 117:14	61:21	153:16, 153:20	comment [13] - 6:5,	177:18
circumstance [3] -	clarify [1] - 60:24	clearer [1] - 42:11	8:6, 8:23, 8:25, 9:2,	comprehensive [2] -
28:22, 162:14, 162:15	clarinet [3] - 168:2,	clearly [3] - 41:17,	9:19, 11:14, 35:20,	171:14, 178:14
circumstances [12] -	168:3, 168:4	73:24, 173:5	48:21, 114:13, 165:7,	compression [1] -
23:4, 30:21, 36:17,	classification [2] -	Clemens [1] - 172:8	170:23	74:13
53:21, 87:2, 94:5,	17:6, 162:20	CLERK [1] - 109:5	comments [14] -	compromise [2] -
95:22, 110:12,	classified [86] - 8:10,	Clerk [1] - 8:20	5:11, 8:5, 10:23, 11:3,	97:23, 162:7
112:19, 131:6, 131:9,	16:3, 17:1, 27:17,	clerk's [2] - 44:5,	13:12, 48:13, 50:9,	compromised [1] -
175:20	30:25, 31:25, 33:20,	44:8	60:2, 66:8, 81:7, 86:2,	139:2
citation [3] - 20:15,	34:20, 36:24, 40:7,	clever [1] - 85:8	91:16, 123:21, 173:15	computer [4] -
126:4, 174:15	53:18, 64:16, 65:16,	client [24] - 12:5,	commercially [1] -	32:24, 76:14, 142:10
citations [2] - 21:3,	65:18, 69:15, 69:18,	15:13, 23:10, 27:16,	80:1	computers [1] -
178:22	70:23, 71:6, 72:5,	29:25, 30:19, 39:21,	commit [1] - 50:23	141:10
cite [5] - 19:24,	72:18, 72:24, 73:4,	46:17, 52:8, 52:12,	committed [5] - 12:5,	con [4] - 79:1,
105:14, 173:18,	73:7, 74:1, 75:5, 75:8,	56:12, 106:10,	78:21, 83:14, 151:10,	136:11, 137:19,
174:24, 178:16	87:3, 87:19, 88:4,	111:16, 114:8, 121:4,	175:23	147:21
cited [18] - 16:7,	88:10, 88:20, 89:19,	122:1, 154:5, 159:19,	committees [1] -	conceding [2] -
19:11, 28:6, 96:7,	89:25, 90:13, 90:19,	165:11, 165:13,	62:5	25:25, 26:1
106:5, 126:3, 140:7,	94:7, 94:22, 95:18,	169:18, 177:1	committing [4] -	concept [5] - 23:12,
142:1, 143:24, 145:4,	95:21, 96:2, 96:4,	client's [8] - 13:3,	78:24, 180:7, 180:11,	23:13, 23:14, 159:24,
159:5, 173:20, 174:2,	96:9, 98:6, 98:8,	51:8, 51:13, 53:4,	184:23	160:6
175:1, 175:17,	103:21, 103:24,	62:12, 86:24, 105:8,	communicate [1] -	concern [2] - 130:2,
176:14, 176:16,	104:17, 104:20,	168:23	157:9	138:19
178:21	105:5, 107:12,	Clinton [5] - 125:8,	communicated [2] -	concerning [10] -
cites [2] - 174:22,	110:24, 111:4,	126:11, 127:22,	38:25, 154:10	7:19, 15:10, 27:14,
	1	1	1	1

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 193 of 216 6

43:24, 47:9, 57:22,	59:1	113:23, 134:13,	59:24, 74:11, 108:15,	4:18, 4:22, 4:25, 5:6,
61:7, 72:19, 100:22,	conjunction [2] -	134:16, 166:18,	108:16, 116:21,	7:7, 8:3, 9:11, 10:19,
143:1	32:8, 134:19	166:19, 168:9	116:24, 123:4,	10:21, 11:12, 12:19,
concerns [4] - 7:20,	connected [2] -	contexts [1] - 168:8	123:15, 125:2, 125:6,	12:24, 14:23, 15:18,
81:6, 82:10, 83:23			125:11, 175:4, 178:25	16:5, 16:15, 18:6,
	129:25, 131:6	continuation [1] -		
concert [1] - 109:9	connection [2] -	131:19	copying [1] - 158:2	18:10, 18:19, 18:22,
conclude [1] -	10:1, 154:2	continue [5] - 55:25,	Coral [1] - 1:15	18:24, 19:3, 19:5,
117:19	conned [1] - 77:16	78:6, 145:3, 148:3,	corner [5] - 33:16,	19:9, 20:6, 20:21,
concluded [7] -	connotations [1] -	169:20	73:11, 84:25, 105:22,	21:17, 22:9, 23:6,
65:20, 87:17, 118:4,	31:9	continued [5] - 77:3,	138:24	23:19, 24:14, 25:1,
143:8, 143:10,	consent [1] - 6:8	79:1, 83:10, 94:1,	Corp [1] - 176:5	25:4, 25:7, 25:9,
147:17, 186:14	consequently [10] -	94:2	correct [33] - 12:15,	25:14, 26:16, 26:22,
concluding [1] - 79:2	4:13, 16:9, 17:2,	continuing [3] -	19:1, 19:8, 24:24,	27:3, 27:7, 27:10,
conclusion [8] -	17:21, 31:4, 33:2,	30:2, 39:23, 83:12	48:19, 52:6, 60:19,	28:23, 31:8, 31:15,
30:10, 84:19, 118:10,	40:11, 83:12, 84:22,	continuously [1] -	62:20, 81:14, 82:21,	33:25, 34:16, 35:17,
136:8, 136:15,	112:8	159:6	86:12, 100:25, 103:3,	37:5, 37:14, 37:16,
142:23, 161:10, 178:7	conservative [1] -	contraband [1] -	103:14, 115:17,	37:24, 38:9, 39:7,
conclusions [3] -	157:7	111:13	115:20, 115:22,	40:13, 41:21, 43:5,
119:12, 119:17, 123:2	consider [2] - 22:2,	contract [1] - 146:8	125:15, 129:2,	43:9, 43:19, 45:10,
condition [4] - 41:3,	140:23	contracts [2] - 78:6,	133:25, 134:3,	46:4, 46:12, 47:16,
42:17, 42:22, 46:19	consideration [1] -	80:6	135:14, 135:15,	47:22, 48:9, 48:20,
conduct [6] - 22:16,	92:13	contrary [14] - 8:7,	141:7, 143:22, 147:6,	51:5, 51:22, 51:24,
70:15, 70:16, 72:2,	considered [5] -	29:12, 36:9, 39:14,	156:10, 157:21,	52:2, 52:10, 52:17,
76:17, 173:6	91:12, 93:18, 140:8,	49:7, 69:2, 72:24,	168:24, 179:13,	53:8, 54:4, 55:6, 55:8,
conducted [2] -		76:3, 85:22, 88:8,	179:18, 179:22,	55:10, 55:13, 55:16,
	140:25, 141:22		179:25	55:18, 56:2, 56:10,
74:10, 76:22	consistent [5] -	99:18, 118:14, 135:8,	corrected [1] - 81:23	57:16, 57:21, 60:1,
conducting [1] -	101:24, 102:4, 139:9,	162:12	correctly [2] - 43:15,	60:5, 60:7, 60:20,
72:2	143:4, 165:12	control [5] - 27:24,	63:3	61:2, 61:23, 62:10,
conference [3] -	consolidated [2] -	129:6, 129:21,		62:13, 62:16, 62:19,
11:18, 11:20, 41:2	175:2, 175:5	132:20, 154:11	corresponded [2] -	63:15, 63:17, 63:21,
confessing [1] -	conspicuously [1] -	controversial [1] -	54:23, 117:23	63:25, 64:3, 64:6,
109:23	27:22	132:19	correspondence [1]	64:10, 64:20, 65:8,
confession [2] -	constitute [1] -	controversy [1] -	- 56:23	65:23, 66:8, 66:15,
65:2, 147:24	172:12	107:2	corrupt [3] - 133:4,	66:22, 66:24, 67:14,
confidence [2] -	construing [1] -	conveniently [1] -	133:5, 133:7	
31:14, 184:21	66:11	74:17	couch [1] - 23:8	67:19, 67:21, 68:7,
confident [2] - 5:21,	consult [3] - 22:6,	conversation [2] -	cough [1] - 107:18	68:14, 68:16, 68:24,
139:25	22:7, 171:21	5:24, 88:25	counsel [20] - 27:11,	69:16, 70:8, 72:8,
confidential [7] - 9:8,	consultation [1] -	convince [3] - 77:17,	32:8, 44:10, 51:19,	73:15, 73:18, 73:22,
9:9, 9:23, 84:18,	90:7	78:13, 139:16	52:9, 59:19, 72:10,	74:2, 74:9, 74:19,
95:12, 97:11, 121:21	consulting [1] -	convincing [4] -	85:16, 98:23, 98:24,	74:21, 74:24, 75:2,
confidentiality [1] -	21:19	175:21, 176:1,	99:19, 100:11, 101:5,	75:20, 77:5, 77:10,
13:11	consuming [1] -	178:11, 178:20	101:16, 113:17,	77:12, 80:17, 80:22,
confinement [1] -	65:14	cooked [1] - 149:17	121:13, 162:24,	80:24, 81:3, 81:5,
167:10	contact [2] - 29:24,	cookies [2] - 170:2,	171:25, 183:21	82:7, 82:9, 82:20,
confirm [3] - 65:18,	162:23	170:3	counsel's [1] - 10:23	83:4, 83:6, 83:20,
73:24, 74:4		cooperate [2] - 55:1,	country [2] - 105:7,	83:22, 84:2, 84:4,
	contained [2] -	158:8	163:9	84:6, 85:4, 85:7, 85:9,
confirmed [6] -	118:5, 136:8		County [6] - 102:8,	85:13, 86:1, 86:7,
19:14, 36:24, 37:22,	containing [1] -	cooperating [2] -	• • •	86:14, 86:23, 87:5,
76:23, 146:5, 169:1	161:1	118:16, 154:13	134:16, 134:18,	87:7, 87:13, 88:2,
confirms [1] - 50:17	contend [2] - 75:24,	coordinate [1] -	134:19, 135:18, 136:3	88:6, 88:16, 88:19,
confront [2] -	160:6	31:11	couple [3] - 15:7,	88:24, 89:8, 89:11,
153:13, 183:23	contends [1] - 142:5	copied [3] - 56:23,	48:24, 80:25	90:2, 90:6, 90:8,
confusion [1] -	contention [1] -	59:16, 59:22	course [8] - 4:16,	90:12, 90:24, 91:1,
185:22	163:2	copies [3] - 7:9,	8:9, 115:12, 145:14,	91:3, 91:13, 91:18,
congratulations [1] -	contested [1] - 67:25	50:2, 125:9	147:23, 154:24,	91:22, 91:25, 92:2,
167:13	context [15] - 23:8,	copy [23] - 27:15,	175:23, 176:12	93:6, 93:9, 93:15,
Congress [2] -	23:24, 24:4, 24:11,	29:9, 38:15, 44:9,	COURT [421] - 1:1,	93:20, 94:6, 94:8,
17:16, 127:3	25:11, 92:4, 93:18,	44:11, 44:12, 47:1,	3:3, 3:7, 3:9, 3:14,	94:13, 95:5, 95:13,
congressmen [1] -	107:18, 110:23,	47:18, 47:19, 49:25,	3:19, 3:24, 4:12, 4:15,	95:16, 97:2, 97:5,
				00.10, 01. <u></u> , 01.0,
	1	1	1	1

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 194 of 216 7

	155:14, 155:25,	47:19	D	98:10
97:7, 97:9, 98:2, 98:15, 98:19, 98:21,	156:8, 156:11,	courtroom [3] -	D	deciding [1] - 171:21
98:24, 99:3, 99:7,	157:15, 157:18,	29:15, 34:6, 89:21		deciphering [1] -
99:9, 99:13, 99:15,	158:7, 158:20, 160:9,	COURTROOM [1] -	damages [2] - 76:17,	105:3
100:3, 100:6, 100:19,	162:9, 163:10,	3:1	80:8	decision [8] - 14:2,
100:21, 100:25,	163:13, 164:5, 164:7,		darned [1] - 169:17	
		courts [2] - 8:8,	data [3] - 133:21,	14:3, 140:18, 141:11,
101:3, 102:16,	164:20, 165:7,	148:18	••	173:11, 173:14,
102:21, 102:24,	165:16, 165:18,	cover [1] - 136:12	138:7, 142:11	184:18
103:4, 103:8, 103:12,	166:25, 167:6,	covered [4] - 5:23,	date [5] - 57:16,	decisions [1] -
103:17, 104:6,	167:13, 167:18,	6:14, 76:18, 171:12	64:2, 71:18, 155:22,	173:12
104:18, 105:12,	167:20, 167:24,	covering [2] - 39:17,	156:13	decisive [1] - 148:10
106:2, 106:12,	168:3, 168:6, 168:11,	171:15	DATE [1] - 186:22	deck [2] - 122:20,
106:17, 106:19,	169:19, 170:8,	crazy [2] - 24:17,	daughter's [1] -	122:25
106:23, 107:7,	170:10, 170:13,	24:22	170:22	declaration [22] -
107:21, 107:25,	170:15, 170:18,	create [1] - 132:2	David [1] - 3:21	51:8, 51:25, 52:3,
108:2, 108:8, 108:12,	171:4, 171:6, 172:5,	creation [1] - 89:4	DAVIS [1] - 1:17	52:6, 52:19, 52:21,
108:17, 108:20,	172:9, 172:11,		Davis [1] - 3:16	52:22, 56:20, 61:8,
109:6, 110:3, 110:8,	172:16, 173:7,	credibility [4] -	days [8] - 17:13,	
111:8, 112:2, 112:4,	173:14, 173:20,	161:17, 161:18,		61:10, 61:14, 76:7,
112:16, 114:2,	174:2, 174:5, 174:15,	162:11, 172:3	29:4, 36:12, 49:16,	95:9, 117:20, 120:11,
114:13, 114:19,		credible [2] - 17:20,	49:24, 123:6, 123:14,	120:17, 123:7, 140:3,
	174:18, 174:20,	162:5	136:24	140:5, 143:10, 163:21
114:22, 115:2,	174:23, 174:25,	credit [1] - 85:10	DC [9] - 1:19, 29:22,	declared [5] - 65:3,
115:13, 115:17,	175:3, 175:5, 175:9,	crime [2] - 17:2,	35:8, 136:14, 137:9,	69:16, 88:3, 88:8,
115:20, 116:1, 116:8,	175:12, 175:16,	50:23	173:19, 174:11,	113:9
118:22, 118:25,	177:8, 177:21,	crimes [1] - 151:10	174:14, 174:21	declares [1] - 95:18
119:4, 119:19,	177:23, 178:7,	criminal [16] - 32:13,	deadline [6] - 71:14,	declassification [4] -
119:24, 120:1, 120:3,	178:24, 179:2,	32:16, 32:17, 54:16,	71:16, 71:22, 71:24,	7:24, 32:6, 32:11,
120:9, 121:3, 121:22,	179:15, 179:19,	54:21, 57:24, 58:17,	71:25, 94:2	122:11
122:5, 122:13,	179:23, 180:15,		deadlines [3] -	decoded [1] - 77:8
122:22, 122:24,	180:23, 181:14,	59:8, 59:12, 118:14,	45:12, 46:21, 46:22	
123:3, 123:18,	181:22, 182:7, 183:1,	121:15, 125:8,		decryption [1] -
124:20, 124:23,	183:3, 183:5, 183:17,	125:12, 126:2,	deal [4] - 41:6, 41:7,	76:15
124:25, 125:11,	183:19, 184:3,	137:15, 151:7	81:4, 182:15	dedicated [1] -
125:16, 125:18,	184:25, 185:5, 185:7,	criminally [1] - 32:15	dealing [8] - 18:3,	169:11
		critical [6] - 13:1,	54:16, 55:3, 55:4,	deductive [1] - 63:16
125:20, 125:23,	185:9, 185:12,	13:3, 15:6, 95:1, 96:3,	73:11, 91:9, 96:2,	deemed [1] - 88:9
126:1, 126:13,	185:15, 186:3, 186:6	149:3	132:25	deeply [2] - 24:3,
126:15, 127:13,	Court [31] - 2:1, 2:2,	cross [1] - 75:22	dealings [1] - 147:25	69:12
127:18, 127:24,	8:20, 13:2, 41:13,	cross-hairs [1] -	deals [1] - 20:4	defamation [44] -
128:5, 128:9, 128:12,	61:18, 64:12, 64:16,	75:22	dealt [2] - 59:8,	12:13, 15:11, 18:13,
128:24, 129:3,	67:11, 68:9, 68:12,		100:15	19:1, 19:5, 19:12,
129:11, 129:23,	68:18, 69:24, 93:4,	CRR [2] - 2:1, 186:22	Dean [2] - 98:22,	19:18, 19:22, 20:5,
130:8, 131:11,	96:17, 99:17, 104:10,	crux [2] - 139:7,	98:23	
131:14, 131:17,	104:11, 106:5,	141:14		21:1, 23:3, 23:9,
132:4, 132:6, 132:16,	107:14, 121:15,	cumbersome [1] -	death [1] - 81:25	23:15, 23:21, 24:24,
132:22, 133:11,	138:5, 138:6, 145:18,	9:4	Deborah [3] - 55:12,	25:19, 25:25, 56:5,
133:13, 134:6,	148:14, 162:11,	Curb [1] - 3:20	55:20, 59:17	76:16, 76:19, 77:15,
134:22, 134:24,	166:15, 174:10,	curiosity [1] - 13:13	deceive [1] - 132:2	77:23, 78:4, 78:11,
135:1, 135:3, 135:5,		curious [3] - 4:6, 5:2,	December [10] - 7:3,	78:15, 78:18, 78:20,
	186:22, 186:23	60:8	7:8, 56:22, 57:18,	78:22, 78:25, 79:5,
135:16, 135:19,	court [24] - 6:24, 7:2,	current [3] - 42:17,	57:19, 59:16, 117:9,	79:24, 80:18, 81:12,
135:21, 136:25,	7:25, 8:20, 8:24,	71:19, 133:2	156:4, 156:14, 156:19	83:23, 99:20, 106:7,
138:11, 139:10,	21:18, 29:15, 30:9,		deceptive [1] -	138:18, 138:19,
140:12, 141:3, 142:2,	35:12, 35:23, 48:3,	Curtis [6] - 55:12,	102:18	144:19, 145:1,
143:19, 143:23,	50:1, 53:16, 64:22,	55:20, 56:3, 57:25,	decide [11] - 17:21,	146:22, 150:20, 160:7
144:23, 145:9,	65:9, 67:24, 68:2,	58:4, 59:17		
146:12, 147:5, 148:4,	70:23, 87:14, 97:22,	custody [4] - 27:24,	100:16, 102:12,	defamatory [6] -
148:21, 149:6, 150:1,	151:21, 153:16,	129:6, 129:20, 132:20	138:6, 148:12,	12:7, 24:10, 26:8,
150:5, 151:2, 151:16,	174:13, 178:23	cut [1] - 12:17	160:20, 162:19,	26:15, 123:24, 140:21
151:18, 151:22,	Court's [4] - 10:12,	cute [1] - 127:21	163:11, 176:21, 180:7	defamed [1] - 82:12
	13:7, 34:12	cutoff [1] - 4:2	decided [4] - 12:17,	default [1] - 104:1
152.8 153.10 154.7				
152:8, 153:10, 154:7, 154:14, 154:17,	courtesy [5] - 44:9,		126:17, 146:7, 147:10	defecated [1] - 41:18

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 195 of 216 8

			1	
96:6, 96:9, 97:17,	definitive [1] - 90:20	describe [3] - 9:15,	DIGITAL [1] - 1:11	85:2, 93:10, 121:10,
97:19, 98:4	defraud [3] - 78:7,	9:17, 103:18	diligence [5] - 22:16,	154:3
defendant [5] -	79:1, 180:5	described [1] - 97:11	23:2, 24:18, 71:5,	dismissal [9] -
15:20, 98:9, 106:4,	defrauded [3] -	describing [3] - 8:13,	185:24	94:24, 94:25, 103:20,
106:7, 107:9	76:20, 77:1, 83:8	21:12, 156:5	dim [1] - 144:8	104:1, 121:6, 148:20,
Defendant [5] -	degree [3] - 42:25,	description [6] -	Dina [1] - 3:13	150:13, 175:20,
29:20, 98:3, 107:17,	184:8, 184:21	21:4, 72:11, 89:17,	dinner [1] - 170:24	176:19
121:23, 125:3	demanding [1] -	90:20, 113:4, 154:19	direct [2] - 59:25,	dismissal/
defendants [1] - 1:8	144:9	designate [1] - 91:7	124:2	sanctions [1] - 61:20
Defendants [60] -	demands [1] - 138:3	designated [6] - 9:8,	directive [3] - 134:2,	dismissed [2] -
3:17, 17:5, 21:9,		•		33:13, 149:3
27:11, 27:19, 28:6,	demeanor [5] - 43:2,	9:23, 91:8, 92:7,	134:11, 135:7	,
	161:16, 161:18,	113:8, 149:23	directly [5] - 6:19,	dismissing [1] -
28:19, 33:11, 46:25,	161:23, 162:4	designation [1] -	32:9, 104:10, 147:15,	174:14
47:17, 50:5, 51:7,	democrat [1] - 157:8	57:4	148:6	disparaged [1] -
53:12, 61:14, 70:9,	demonstrably [1] -	desire [1] - 143:21	Director [1] - 32:9	79:24
77:15, 78:5, 78:12,	143:16	despite [1] - 51:1	director [6] - 59:8,	dispose [1] - 159:25
78:16, 78:18, 78:20,	demonstrate [1] -	destroy [1] - 114:7	97:21, 104:8, 127:3,	disposed [1] -
78:22, 79:1, 79:5,	24:17	destroying [1] -	146:5, 162:24	148:19
79:15, 79:19, 80:2,	demonstrated [1] -	114:10	directory [2] -	dispositive [2] -
84:7, 84:9, 84:13,	145:7	detailed [2] - 117:23,	154:20, 156:18	93:3, 93:4
86:15, 86:18, 86:24,	demonstrates [1] -	150:19	disagree [3] - 113:4,	dispute [9] - 13:8,
87:1, 88:10, 91:6,	70:16	details [3] - 11:1,	153:12, 183:24	64:13, 94:19, 141:17,
93:25, 95:19, 95:22,	denied [2] - 14:3,	37:22, 79:7	disagreed [1] - 13:25	160:17, 160:19,
96:7, 96:8, 97:12,	176:6	detect [1] - 74:14	disagrees [1] - 148:9	161:12, 161:14,
97:15, 103:18,	DENNIS [1] - 1:4	determination [4] -	disappearance [1] -	162:11
105:21, 106:13,	Dennis [3] - 22:14,	32:6, 66:17, 87:22,	172:22	disputes [1] - 176:20
119:21, 120:10,	144:13, 152:4	104:12	disappointed [1] -	disregard [3] -
120:16, 121:7, 125:5,	deny [3] - 73:24,	determine [2] -	156:24	20:18, 26:12, 80:3
130:18, 133:14,	74:5, 175:23	96:24, 98:7	discharged [1] - 65:5	disrespect [3] -
133:19, 149:4,	Department [18] -	determined [1] -	disclose [4] - 9:18,	118:19, 121:13, 171:8
153:14, 175:22,	16:25, 28:21, 32:18,	32:10	10:25, 27:14, 182:8	distinct [1] - 164:14
178:13, 180:14	35:10, 62:6, 72:7,	determines [1] -	disclosed [1] - 143:9	distract [1] - 65:15
DEFENDANTS [1] -	87:24, 96:15, 107:11,	162:11	disclosure [1] - 75:8	DISTRICT [2] - 1:1,
1:17	107:14, 111:18,	developed [2] -	discovery [16] - 8:14,	1:1
Defendants' [18] -	112:14, 114:8, 114:9,	76:15, 77:7	13:8, 14:22, 33:11,	district [9] - 6:23,
19:11, 26:16, 26:23,	114:11, 134:21,	development [2] -	71:10, 71:17, 71:22,	7:1, 29:22, 35:23,
34:1, 44:1, 44:20,	151:8, 159:14	9:12, 39:18	72:1, 76:18, 86:19,	64:22, 68:2, 87:15,
48:16, 76:16, 76:19,	depose [1] - 67:17	devote [1] - 75:5	86:20, 94:2, 114:4,	160:2, 173:18
76:25, 79:24, 83:7,	deposed [1] - 124:17	DIA [1] - 127:3	123:5, 123:13, 133:18	District [14] - 2:2,
103:9, 108:3, 119:20,	deposition [35] -	dictate [1] - 121:23	discovery's [1] -	13:21, 13:23, 14:1,
133:14, 179:4, 182:10	14:8, 16:6, 24:6, 29:2,	die [1] - 41:8	159:18	14:4, 14:7, 14:20,
defending [3] - 96:1,	30:8, 30:16, 34:5,	Diego [1] - 13:22	discredit [1] - 163:4	71:23, 104:9, 160:2,
122:2, 122:6	35:20, 36:3, 37:21,	difference [2] -	discuss [4] - 17:5,	173:8, 174:10, 177:4,
defends [1] - 121:23	40:21, 41:17, 41:19,	104:15, 141:21	111:2, 111:3, 129:12	186:23
Defense [4] - 58:20,	41:23, 42:4, 42:12,	differences [1] - 59:3	discussed [3] -	disturbed [1] - 143:6
62:6, 127:2, 150:10	42:14, 43:9, 43:17,		69:17, 72:20, 171:17	diversity [1] - 174:13
defense [26] - 3:15,	43:24, 44:2, 46:19,	different [22] - 31:20,	discussing [2] -	divine [2] - 94:17,
5:1, 10:23, 13:2,	47:9, 47:21, 84:17,	67:3, 94:19, 106:18,	70:25, 116:13	100:7
44:10, 46:22, 47:3,	116:17, 149:9, 152:4,	106:19, 111:21,	discussion [10] -	DIVISION [1] - 1:2
61:10, 85:16, 89:2,	152:10, 152:15,	115:2, 119:12, 130:8,		division [1] - 118:14
89:3, 89:6, 89:9,	152:17, 162:1,	130:13, 141:23,	22:10, 39:22, 44:2,	Docket [6] - 26:18,
89:15, 95:2, 95:25,	163:18, 164:3, 166:14	142:7, 152:3, 155:4,	61:4, 93:20, 95:11,	26:22, 48:17, 51:6,
98:10, 99:4, 99:16,	depositions [12] -	157:24, 164:9, 166:5,	135:6, 160:22,	160:11
113:1, 121:13,	6:25, 11:6, 11:7,	166:18, 168:8, 175:7,	178:14, 179:5	
123:20, 129:24,	11:10, 11:21, 12:18,	176:2	dishonest [1] - 91:11	doctrine [8] - 93:10,
139:1, 149:21, 171:25	67:23, 149:10,	differently [1] -	disingenuous [1] -	96:10, 130:2, 130:11,
defense's [2] - 9:21,	149:14, 149:15,	169:2	102:18	130:19, 131:1, 131:8,
89:14		difficult [2] - 8:18,	dislike [1] - 157:4	132:13
defenses [1] - 99:20	149:16, 162:2	163:16	disliking [1] - 159:9	Document [1] -
definitely [1] - 38:3	depth [1] - 114:25	diGenova [1] -	dismiss [8] - 5:13,	108:5
	DEPUTY [1] - 3:1	128:20	16:10, 45:25, 71:20,	document [8] - 5:17,
	1			

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 196 of 216 9

8:11, 8:14, 8:15, 52:7,	Draconian [3] -	Eastern [1] - 104:9	145:6	ESQ [4] - 1:14, 1:17,
98:7, 159:2	93:18, 176:1, 176:19	easy [2] - 8:19, 78:14	enable [1] - 127:14	1:20, 1:20
document's [1] -	draft [1] - 51:25	ECF [1] - 57:20	enamored [1] - 6:16	essence [1] - 117:14
98:6	dream [1] - 158:16	economic [1] - 80:13	encoding [1] - 76:15	essentially [1] -
documentation [15]	drive [12] - 57:5,	editor [2] - 10:7,	end [7] - 5:6, 5:23,	115:22
- 7:8, 7:12, 7:16, 7:17,	92:4, 161:1, 161:4,	•••	•••	
7:22, 9:10, 14:5, 24:4,		10:13	5:24, 6:13, 35:1,	established [2] -
	161:6, 161:11,	Edra [2] - 149:15,	44:18, 144:6	15:19, 26:8
28:12, 53:25, 62:2,	172:18, 172:21,	152:13	endorsement [1] -	Estevan [1] - 14:8
66:18, 157:13, 157:15, 164:15	172:22, 172:25,	educate [1] - 170:6	78:8	estoppel [7] -
,	176:23	Edward [1] - 126:24	enforce [3] - 33:21,	129:24, 130:2,
documentation's [1]	drives [19] - 28:12,	Edwards [1] - 186:21	59:4, 73:16	130:11, 130:19,
- 7:9	38:23, 51:12, 53:25,	EDWARDS [2] - 2:1,	enforcement [2] -	130:25, 131:8, 132:13
documents [31] -	56:9, 57:13, 117:22,	186:22	32:25, 58:14	et [4] - 1:7, 3:2, 35:9,
7:1, 7:20, 7:25, 8:5,	117:25, 118:5,	effect [10] - 8:23,	engage [2] - 8:13,	81:8
9:14, 9:17, 9:25,	133:17, 133:20,	20:19, 26:1, 68:12,	9:14	ethics [1] - 35:10
10:10, 11:1, 11:4,	134:1, 134:2, 136:3,	76:9, 113:11, 138:23,	engaged [3] - 10:4,	eTreppid [4] - 64:14,
11:5, 11:22, 11:24,	136:8, 138:1, 155:5,	148:23, 165:14,	78:12, 79:6	144:14, 145:6, 147:16
12:1, 12:16, 13:14,	165:21	175:22	engaging [1] -	euphemistically [1] -
16:1, 16:4, 60:18,	Dropbox [6] - 56:25,	effectively [1] -	146:14	108:5
65:13, 82:4, 88:22,	155:18, 155:21,	123:20	engineer [1] - 144:15	Europe [1] - 141:18
90:5, 92:4, 152:12,	155:23, 156:3, 156:9	effectiveness [1] -	enjoyed [1] - 62:16	evade [1] - 14:15
161:24, 169:12,	drum [1] - 153:11	76:23	enter [5] - 80:6,	evaluate [4] - 46:19,
172:20, 172:23,	dual [1] - 32:14	efficacy [3] - 88:11,	161:8, 183:7, 183:21,	86:24, 88:11, 99:23
180:12	due [13] - 22:16,	146:17, 148:7	184:5	evaluated [1] - 125:4
dog [5] - 109:14,	23:1, 24:18, 40:9,	effort [8] - 6:25,	entered [5] - 9:24,	evaluating [1] -
109:18, 109:25,	44:20, 45:15, 66:4,	28:17, 38:24, 56:13,	65:2, 68:2, 102:3,	182:9
110:2, 110:6	71:5, 78:6, 88:13,	154:8, 156:24, 163:4	160:17	evasive [2] - 4:23,
DOJ [1] - 59:18	100:8, 105:9, 157:6	efforts [4] - 14:15,	entering [2] - 182:22,	180:18
dollars [1] - 105:2	Duke [1] - 119:7	51:2, 81:7, 158:15	185:17	Eve [2] - 109:1,
Donald [1] - 106:25	dump [1] - 138:7	egregious [1] - 173:6	Enthusiasm [1] -	109:8
done [18] - 5:21,	during [6] - 30:5,	eight [1] - 10:11	3:21	event [6] - 61:12,
11:22, 31:6, 36:2,	60:25, 76:18, 86:19,	Eighth [2] - 176:5,	entire [13] - 8:19,	62:19, 73:7, 110:8,
36:21, 44:17, 48:7,	86:20, 177:13	176:9	8:21, 45:3, 45:9, 46:9,	111:1, 119:9
66:6, 73:17, 95:7,	duty [11] - 103:3,	either [29] - 12:15,	46:13, 46:23, 47:1,	events [2] - 17:12,
112:7, 131:3, 139:22,	110:10, 110:17,	16:18, 18:16, 19:6,	47:23, 47:24, 127:1,	119:17
160:1, 162:8, 171:14,	110:21, 111:9,	19:18, 20:11, 21:1,	139:1, 144:9	evidence [16] -
173:1, 183:4	111:12, 112:17,	21:11, 30:5, 37:9,	entities [1] - 152:22	17:11, 84:22, 95:1,
doomsday [1] -	113:12, 114:2, 114:3,	44:12, 44:14, 49:24,	entitle [2] - 127:19,	101:20, 113:2,
169:7	132:17	61:10, 73:1, 88:21,	128:2	129:21, 136:10,
door [1] - 70:5	DVD [8] - 44:7, 44:8,	94:25, 95:17, 98:3,	entitled [10] - 26:22,	136:20, 145:23,
doors [1] - 162:8	44:9, 44:13, 46:13,	105:10, 114:16,	38:21, 66:6, 86:5,	149:3, 154:5, 161:10,
Dorothy [1] - 124:8	46:23, 47:1, 47:17	120:17, 122:20,	97:16, 132:21, 138:7,	175:21, 176:1,
doubt [1] - 172:3	dynamic [1] - 108:21	135:2, 147:17, 148:6,	151:20, 158:24,	178:20, 179:11
doubts [1] - 26:12		448:19, 154:6, 171:12	186:19	evidenced [1] -
down [35] - 7:15,	E	electronic [1] - 44:13	entity [2] - 105:7,	70:10
10:6, 12:6, 24:8,	-	electronically [2] -	114:9	evidentiary [10] -
70:12, 75:13, 75:16,		44:5, 161:1	Entry [5] - 26:19,	28:7, 28:9, 51:21,
75:17, 87:8, 87:9,	e-mail [9] - 56:25,	element [4] - 26:7,	26:22, 48:17, 51:6,	75:12, 93:2, 99:25,
87:11, 87:12, 88:1,	57:9, 59:16, 59:23,		160:11	100:12, 100:22,
91:6, 94:15, 94:20,	117:23, 155:23,	26:13, 101:14, 159:5	enumerate [1] -	101:17, 161:19
97:23, 100:10,	156:4, 156:8, 156:12	elements [1] - 91:12	123:24	evolution [1] -
100:11, 101:5, 102:9,	e-mails [2] - 118:8,	Eleventh [4] - 149:2,		
104:19, 118:13,	157:16	176:8, 176:13, 178:19	epilogue [1] - 170:20	131:19
122:6, 122:8, 124:14,	earliest [2] - 148:19,	eliminate [1] -	episode [1] - 144:9	evolves [1] - 181:6
140:8, 140:18,	159:25	127:24	equivalent [3] -	evolving [3] - 61:25,
140:23, 141:23,	early [1] - 174:14	emanating [1] -	20:18, 61:11, 169:7	119:14, 182:18
153:24, 154:22,	earn [2] - 79:22, 80:5	135:7	equivocal [1] - 29:7	ex [3] - 54:24,
100.27, 107.22,	ease [2] - 13:6, 14:9	emotional [1] - 99:14	equivocation [2] -	104:13, 119:1
158.10 158.11				
158:10, 158:11, 174·13		employee [1] -	40:3, 40:13	ex-wife [1] - 119:1
158:10, 158:11, 174:13 Dr [1] - 169:7	east [2] - 60:3, 75:20 east-west [1] - 75:20	employee [1] - 149:16 employees [1] -	40:3, 40:13 especially [1] - 110:11	exact [1] - 119:1 exact [1] - 130:17 exactly [6] - 4:25,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 197 of 216 10

17:18, 86:7, 117:18, 134:17	103:16, 111:18, 111:19, 184:8, 186:6	F	factually [4] - 84:9, 86:19, 86:24, 102:19	33:6, 81:21, 96:3, 153:1
exaggerating [3] -	experiences [1] -		failed [1] - 64:23	favorite [2] - 160:2,
138:15, 138:24,	111:21	F.2d [5] - 176:5,	failure [1] - 117:15	171:1
144:25	expert [19] - 27:20,	176:7, 176:9, 176:10,	fair [6] - 5:7, 103:7,	FBI [123] - 17:18,
examine [7] - 27:20,	32:24, 42:25, 43:4,	176:11	106:13, 106:20,	29:4, 29:13, 30:1,
94:3, 95:20, 97:12,	66:5, 91:7, 91:8, 92:6,	F.3d [4] - 174:17,	119:18, 148:4	30:4, 30:12, 31:11,
125:5, 143:21, 153:15	99:19, 132:12,	174:18, 175:3, 175:5	fairly [9] - 5:21, 9:16,	31:17, 32:5, 32:8,
examined [1] - 125:3	132:15, 137:18,	face [9] - 22:1, 61:19,	20:8, 39:20, 106:21,	32:23, 33:10, 34:7,
examining [2] -	146:2, 149:22, 150:9,	84:7, 86:15, 89:10,	132:9, 140:13,	34:20, 36:12, 36:25,
122:7, 123:20	153:7, 153:15, 177:16	89:12, 89:13, 101:9,	178:13, 180:20	38:4, 38:18, 38:24,
example [7] - 45:17,	expertise [1] - 32:23	164:11	faith [21] - 28:17,	38:25, 39:21, 42:4,
47:5, 80:9, 106:24,	experts [4] - 95:20,	facetious [1] - 103:6	30:23, 39:3, 51:2,	42:10, 43:11, 48:21,
138:20, 141:25,	136:7, 136:13, 153:19	facilitate [1] - 137:11	55:25, 84:11, 91:4,	49:3, 49:12, 50:25,
149:24	explain [13] - 36:22,	fact [78] - 8:12, 9:17,	95:9, 157:12, 157:13,	51:1, 52:13, 52:21,
examples [1] - 140:7	51:19, 53:23, 61:16,	12:4, 16:6, 17:12,	158:5, 159:4, 165:14,	52:24, 53:14, 53:16,
excellent [2] -	61:18, 104:5, 104:7,	20:23, 24:6, 26:9,	168:14, 173:2, 173:3,	54:1, 54:9, 54:11,
100:18, 173:11	110:12, 110:19,	26:15, 28:13, 31:10,	183:15, 183:16,	54:14, 54:17, 54:20,
except [1] - 73:18	120:17, 131:23,	39:25, 41:18, 41:24,	184:19	55:4, 55:6, 56:11,
excerpt [4] - 44:8,	134:12, 163:25	50:13, 53:12, 57:7,	false [25] - 18:9,	57:3, 57:8, 57:11,
44:10, 46:10, 48:20	explained [8] -	61:12, 63:13, 68:21,	18:11, 25:6, 25:7,	57:15, 57:22, 57:24,
excerpts [5] - 43:23,	25:23, 30:1, 30:2,	69:3, 69:4, 69:13,	26:11, 61:10, 76:21,	58:10, 58:13, 59:8,
44:15, 46:15, 46:24,	99:9, 109:18, 110:22,	70:10, 73:2, 73:4,	77:1, 79:20, 80:4,	59:9, 59:12, 59:20,
47:23	120:19, 165:3	73:12, 74:6, 76:5,	80:18, 83:8, 95:15,	62:5, 63:5, 63:7,
exciting [1] - 140:14	explaining [1] -	76:22, 77:2, 81:2,	96:21, 102:18,	63:14, 63:18, 64:25,
excluded [2] - 71:1,	43:10	81:24, 83:9, 84:19,	105:11, 105:12,	66:20, 67:7, 70:12,
102:2	explanation [14] -	87:19, 88:3, 88:9,	105:13, 118:11,	71:12, 72:1, 72:22,
excuse [9] - 17:24,	29:21, 30:6, 34:7,	89:19, 90:19, 94:20,	146:10, 147:7, 147:9,	87:23, 93:21, 95:17,
26:21, 37:14, 39:7,	39:20, 51:4, 62:21,	97:11, 107:19,	151:12, 172:2	111:1, 114:7, 115:4,
68:19, 87:23, 151:9,	63:12, 68:8, 68:9,	108:25, 109:9,	falsely [4] - 81:13,	115:15, 117:12,
164:7	157:18, 164:5, 164:8,	116:15, 122:20,	82:12, 96:19, 149:17	117:15, 117:22,
Excuse [1] - 21:22	164:20, 164:22	125:5, 125:13, 126:16, 127:15,	falsified [1] - 91:20	117:23, 118:1, 118:2,
exercise [1] - 91:6	explanations [1] -	127:19, 128:3,	falsity [35] - 15:13,	118:4, 118:9, 118:11,
exist [11] - 27:23,	165:1	132:24, 134:1,	15:19, 17:23, 18:14,	118:15, 120:13, 120:21, 123:5, 123:8,
36:10, 72:23, 73:6,	explicit [2] - 77:24,	137:18, 139:3,	18:25, 19:1, 19:2,	123:12, 125:1,
74:5, 114:19, 115:5,	144:20	139:18, 139:19,	19:6, 19:13, 19:19,	126:19, 131:20,
115:6, 147:18, 154:4	explored [1] - 102:11	140:19, 143:14,	20:18, 21:1, 21:2,	133:16, 133:19,
existed [12] - 7:2,	extend [3] - 71:21,	145:5, 145:19, 146:4,	23:14, 23:20, 23:21,	133:25, 134:3,
30:10, 69:14, 70:13,	72:1, 159:18	147:21, 148:15,	24:11, 24:15, 25:9,	134:11, 135:7, 138:2,
76:5, 117:24, 179:11,	extended [1] - 71:14	149:24, 152:3, 152:9,	25:11, 25:16, 25:23, 25:24, 26:1, 26:2,	145:5, 148:15, 150:9,
179:25, 181:4,	extension [2] -	156:22, 159:15,	26:4, 26:7, 26:8,	154:8, 154:9, 154:18,
181:16, 182:24, 183:9	33:11, 70:11 extensions [1] - 70:4	164:2, 164:24,	26:14, 45:17, 101:14,	154:22, 155:12,
exists [13] - 16:24,		169:10, 170:4, 174:3,	103:22, 153:5	156:17, 157:9,
16:25, 75:25, 97:10,	extent [3] - 39:8, 110:15, 185:19	176:20, 180:10	familiar [8] - 19:10,	157:11, 157:25,
105:25, 114:5, 114:6, 114:14, 114:15,	extra [3] - 45:15,	fact-specific [1] -	20:9, 20:13, 68:5,	158:4, 158:11,
114:23, 115:8,	108:15, 125:6	132:24	68:7, 103:9, 103:10,	158:15, 158:25,
117:17, 174:14	extraordinarily [2] -	factor [1] - 23:9	103:13	162:8, 162:24,
expect [1] - 152:15	153:23, 153:25	facts [20] - 15:25,	famous [1] - 170:4	164:23, 168:21
expectancy [1] -	extreme [6] - 24:18,	17:25, 18:21, 20:7,	fan [4] - 3:22, 3:23,	FBI's [4] - 57:9,
163:8	25:18, 93:18, 131:9,	23:5, 24:17, 83:15,	169:23, 170:12	87:23, 133:22, 137:22
expected [1] -	140:15, 162:14	104:4, 129:4, 129:7,	fans [2] - 3:9, 3:20	fear [1] - 61:3
112:10	extremely [4] -	129:12, 129:15,	far [10] - 8:12, 11:2,	February [5] - 4:4,
expedited [2] -	17:19, 162:5, 162:6,	129:19, 130:1, 131:6,	42:16, 50:16, 52:14,	4:7, 4:11, 4:21, 45:24
38:15, 71:9	176:18	131:15, 131:17,	82:2, 150:16, 166:15,	fed [1] - 68:20
expeditious [1] -	eye [1] - 61:5	184:12	177:24, 178:15	Federal [2] - 51:11,
45:22	-	factual [10] - 9:12,	fashioned [2] - 6:4,	177:18
expensive [2] -		83:24, 102:11,	44:8	federal [9] - 6:23,
153:23, 153:25		160:17, 160:19, 161:11, 161:14,	fast [1] - 46:3	31:6, 35:23, 64:21,
experience [5] -		161:11, 161:14, 162:10, 171:12	fault [5] - 26:13,	68:2, 92:8, 95:18,
		102.10, 171.12		

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 198 of 216 11

finish [7] - 10:18,	70:15, 86:8, 145:18,	156:21, 159:7,	
	70.15, 00.0, 145.10,	100.21, 100.7,	
11:11, 11:17, 88:6,	146:25, 169:11	159:16, 162:21,	
			gain [1] - 136:12
•••	• • •		gambit [2] - 149:19,
	folks [16] - 3:20, 5:1,		149:25
161:11	5:8, 11:12, 15:2, 47:2,		gambler [1] - 147:5
• • • •			gambling [1] -
160:24, 173:1	160:13, 169:19,		149:14
FIRM [1] - 1:14	171:10, 186:10	171:7, 178:7, 184:14,	game [4] - 68:23,
firm [3] - 52:4,	follow [4] - 34:16,	186:2, 186:3	70:4, 85:1, 176:22
,			gander [1] - 107:22
	-		geared [1] - 180:9
• •			gee [2] - 36:11, 89:20
			Gee [3] - 30:14,
26:24, 30:22, 37:21,	followup [4] - 29:1,	••••	68:10, 180:18
			General [1] - 87:11
			general [20] - 5:12,
			8:8, 10:3, 11:3, 11:14,
	60:9, 103:17	• •	18:25, 32:8, 59:19,
	,		62:4, 89:3, 98:23,
, ,	103:17, 135:17,		98:24, 99:9, 100:11,
			101:16, 132:17,
	,		132:23, 134:8,
	Force [4] - 152:23,		146:15, 162:24
	159:17, 159:20,		generally [3] - 80:8,
			111:17, 161:22
	•••		generate [4] - 9:20,
••			141:5, 142:14, 143:20
		• •	generated [3] -
			141:1, 141:15, 142:20
••	• • •		generates [2] -
			141:5, 141:10
	• • •		generating [1] - 141:19
			generic [1] - 11:3
		• • •	genuinely [1] - 147:2
	-		George [2] - 104:8,
			159:10
•••			Gerry [2] - 177:7,
	•••		177:12
-			Giardino [2] - 55:7,
• • •			58:9
			Gibbons [2] - 147:8,
		• •	147:11
			Giordano [4] - 55:19,
			56:3, 57:24, 58:3
	•••		give-and-take [1] -
			5:24
			given [12] - 49:3,
			50:24, 57:7, 100:15,
	• • •		103:1, 104:14,
• • •			117:13, 160:20,
			161:24, 162:18,
	•		164:15, 164:17
			glad [2] - 70:21,
		fully [2] - 14:19, 46:1	165:17
Flynn [7] - 13:22,	forward [22] - 7:21,	• • •	
67.16 67.17 147.00	17:10 00:04 00:04	TUNNV 111 - 15711	CIOSS [1] - 1711b
67:16, 67:17, 147:20, 172:21, 176:25, 177:2	17:10, 30:24, 86:21, 88:25, 92:20, 105:23,	funny [1] - 157:1 future [1] - 79:15	gloss [1] - 171:16 glosses [1] - 83:2
	128:12, 167:7, 183:3 fire [5] - 160:24, 161:2, 161:4, 161:7, 161:11 fireplace [2] - 160:24, 173:1 FIRM [1] - 1:14 firm [3] - 52:4, 144:14, 184:12 firms [1] - 113:17 first [29] - 3:10, 7:12, 9:22, 10:5, 26:17, 26:24, 30:22, 37:21, 42:2, 56:17, 62:21, 62:25, 70:22, 76:11, 83:17, 83:18, 101:8, 117:20, 120:5, 120:24, 123:22, 128:25, 133:1, 135:23, 139:6, 152:12, 155:11, 158:23, 166:23 fit [3] - 10:15, 177:15, 177:16 fits [2] - 23:13, 23:14 five [3] - 121:24, 126:20, 163:14 flagged [1] - 46:7 flash [9] - 161:1, 161:4, 161:6, 161:11, 172:22, 172:25 flat [1] - 49:10 flatter [1] - 173:10 flatter [1] - 173:10 flatter [1] - 142:24 flight [1] - 142:24 flight [2] - 2:3, 186:23 FLORIDA [1] - 1:1 Florida [10] - 1:4, 1:15, 1:22, 2:3, 30:4, 35:8, 42:13, 109:1, 109:2, 186:24 flow [1] - 39:23 Flurry [1] - 148:25 flute [1] - 168:3 fly [1] - 185:20 flying [2] - 82:1, 143:6	128:12, 167:7, 183:3 focused [1] - 15:2 fire [5] - 160:24, Fogarty [1] - 176:7 161:2, 161:4, 161:7, folks [16] - 3:20, 5:1, 161:11 5:8, 11:12, 15:2, 47:2, fireplace [2] - 61:2, 75:20, 136:25, 160:24, 173:1 160:13, 169:19, FIRM [1] - 1:14 171:10, 186:10 firms [1] - 13:17 following [2] - 37:22, first [29] - 3:10, 7:12, 9:12, 105; 26:17, 9:22, 10:5, 26:17, 29:18, 39:19, 86:14 62:25, 70:22, 76:11, fool [0] - 117:10 26:24, 30:22, 37:21, fool [0] - 117:10 26:25, 70:22, 76:11, foot [1] - 159:6 83:17, 83:18, 101:8, Footnote [3] - 60:8, 17:20, 120:5, 60:9, 103:17 120:24, 123:22, footnote [4] - 60:16, 128:25, 133:1, 103:17, 135:17, 135:23, 139:6, 135:19 152:12, 155:11, FOR [2] - 1:14, 1:17 158:23, 166:23 Force [4] - 152:23, fit [3] - 10:15, 159:17, 159:20, 177:15, 177:16 159:21 fitas [1] - 49:10 foregoing [1] - 176:7 fagegd [1] - 46:7 foregoing [1] - 105:7	128:12, 167.7, 183:3 focused (ŋ - 15:2) 163:1, 163:5, 181:20, 182:6, 184:17 161:12, 161:4, 161:7, 161:8, 161:12, 15:2, 47:2, 170; 170; 170; 170; 181:14, 161:1, 15:8, 11:12, 15:2, 47:2, 170; 170; 170; 170; 170; 170; 170; 170;

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 199 of 216 12

	1	1	1	
goals [1] - 137:13	gray [3] - 162:15,	45:11, 46:11, 47:20,	happy [5] - 5:24,	99:10, 99:25, 100:12,
God [1] - 160:2	162:16	48:8, 56:17, 57:18,	10:18, 20:19, 40:9,	100:22, 101:17,
Godot [1] - 159:22	grease [1] - 29:25	59:11, 59:15, 60:19,	47:24	104:19, 109:13,
gold [1] - 167:25	great [7] - 84:10,	60:21, 61:1, 64:9,	Happy [10] - 3:7, 3:8,	121:8, 123:6, 123:14,
golly [3] - 36:11,	114:25, 136:17,	64:11, 64:21, 65:11,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:6,	136:2, 138:4, 161:19,
101:6, 131:15	163:8, 169:10, 177:6,	66:23, 70:21, 74:8,	4:14, 4:21, 186:11,	163:20, 165:23,
Gomez [3] - 59:18,	177:11	74:10, 75:15, 75:18,	186:12	180:10, 185:17
98:20, 98:21	greatest [3] - 105:2,	76:10, 77:6, 77:11,	hard [16] - 16:18,	HEARING [1] - 1:10
good-faith [3] -	138:22, 169:8	77:14, 94:21, 95:8,	28:12, 38:23, 53:25,	hearings [4] - 5:12,
28:17, 51:2, 183:15	greeting [1] - 5:3	101:8, 106:16,	57:13, 92:3, 117:21,	8:5, 67:23, 136:2
good-looking [1] -	grossly [1] - 173:3	106:18, 106:20,	117:25, 118:5,	heart [1] - 94:11
135:2	Groucho [1] - 171:4	106:24, 107:19,	133:17, 136:3, 136:7,	heated [2] - 68:20,
GOODMAN [1] - 1:10	ground [3] - 8:15,	107:24, 108:15,	138:1, 155:5, 165:21,	74:20
Goodman [1] - 70:6	71:13, 94:25	116:7, 116:12,	184:11	held [5] - 109:19,
goose [3] - 107:21,	grounded [1] - 144:8	119:25, 126:5,	hardly [1] - 173:14	110:6, 126:7, 132:13,
148:13, 149:8	grounds [3] - 94:24,	134:25, 135:17, 135:20, 136:1,	hardware [2] - 94:1,	161:20
gosh [11] - 5:6, 6:7,	121:24, 136:5	136:19, 136:24,	94:3	help [20] - 51:2,
18:15, 20:11, 61:2,	group [1] - 35:10	137:17, 139:5, 140:1,	harm [5] - 76:12,	51:18, 56:13, 56:14,
111:14, 131:11,	growing [1] - 169:25	140:20, 141:13,	76:17, 80:3, 100:10 harmed [3] - 76:16,	60:23, 118:2, 154:8, 154:21, 155:10,
161:11, 168:20,	grown [1] - 170:21	143:3, 143:22, 144:3,	79:20, 80:12	155:19, 156:15,
178:8, 186:8	guaranteed [1] -	145:4, 145:10, 147:1,	harvested [1] - 59:1	157:12, 157:17,
government [71] -	138:8	147:6, 148:8, 148:22,	harvesting [1] -	158:4, 158:10,
16:3, 30:25, 31:20, 35:9, 35:12, 47:10,	gub [1] - 167:8	152:12, 153:18,	58:23	158:11, 158:15,
70:24, 76:21, 76:22,	guess [7] - 6:7, 59:21, 91:13, 95:23,	155:23, 156:1,	Hashana [1] - 4:17	158:22, 159:1, 170:15
77:1, 77:2, 77:16,	111:11, 112:16, 135:5	156:10, 169:16,	hate [2] - 169:24,	helpful [6] - 42:23,
78:7, 78:8, 78:13,	guests [2] - 109:10,	170:9, 171:22, 172:7,	170:20	43:1, 45:19, 47:21,
79:2, 79:3, 79:9,	109:11	172:10, 174:4, 175:8,	hats [1] - 32:14	79:13, 119:25
80:10, 82:25, 83:8,	guidance [2] -	175:17, 185:8,	head [2] - 150:22,	helps [1] - 53:23
83:9, 86:16, 87:19,		185:14, 185:25	181:25	hence [1] - 75:9
00.0, 00.10, 01.10,	134.17.130.10		101.20	
89:18, 89:24, 90:11,	154:17, 156:16 auidelines [1] -	Handman [42] - 3:16,	heads [7] - 84:13,	hereby [1] - 186:17
	guidelines [1] - 93:23	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1,		
89:18, 89:24, 90:11,	guidelines [1] -	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10,	heads [7] - 84:13,	hereby [1] - 186:17
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11,	guidelines [1] - 93:23	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3,	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14,	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10,	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] -
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23,	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] -
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10 H	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10 H hairs [1] - 75:22	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10 H hairs [1] - 75:22 half [5] - 39:16,	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10 H hairs [1] - 75:22 half [5] - 39:16, 54:18, 135:23, 169:20	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] -	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8,	guidelines [1] - 93:23 gun [3] - 167:3, 167:4, 167:8 guy [8] - 6:4, 22:14, 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, 139:24, 150:23, 177:10 H hairs [1] - 75:22 half [5] - 39:16, 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 half-hour [1] -	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 64:9, 116:7, 144:13,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} \ [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} \ [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} \ [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} \ [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} \ [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} \ [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} \ [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 64:9, 116:7, 144:13, 152:23, 177:7	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} \ [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} \ [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} \ [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} \ [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} \ [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} \ [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} \ [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 handover [1] - 37:23	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 64:9, 116:7, 144:13, 152:23, 177:7 hearing [55] - 5:9, 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19, 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 42:24 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4, 41:18, 127:3, 179:13,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] -	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} \ [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} \ [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} \ [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} \ [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} \ [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} \ [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} \ [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} \ [1] - 5:8 \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 handover [1] - 37:23 hands [16] - 31:21,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 64:9, 116:7, 144:13, 152:23, 177:7 hearing [55] - 5:9, 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19, 9:15, 11:13, 12:3, 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4, 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, 179:14 hinge [2] - 24:9, 24:10
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} \ [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} \ [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} \ [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} \ [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} \ [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} \ [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} \ [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} \ [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} \ [1] - 67:16 \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 handover [1] - 37:23 hands [16] - 31:21, 96:5, 105:6, 111:23,	heads [7] - 84:13, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear [20] - 5:25, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard [12] - 13:23, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 64:9, 116:7, 144:13, 152:23, 177:7 hearing [55] - 5:9, 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19, 9:15, 11:13, 12:3, 13:17, 14:24, 16:17, 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4, 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, 179:14 hinge [2] - 24:9, 24:10 hinging [1] - 180:9
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} \ [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} \ [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} \ [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} \ [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} \ [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} \ [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} \ [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} \ [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} \ [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} \ [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} \ [2] - 45:22, \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 handover [1] - 37:23 hands [16] - 31:21, 96:5, 105:6, 111:23, 112:10, 113:21,	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{heads} [7] - 84:13,\\ 85:2, 85:4, 85:17,\\ 92:22, 95:23, 121:16\\ \textbf{hear} [20] - 5:25,\\ 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,\\ 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,\\ 75:14, 109:24,\\ 112:23, 124:18,\\ 127:10, 128:8,\\ 142:18, 152:23,\\ 162:19, 162:20,\\ 162:25, 163:7, 163:9\\ \textbf{heard} [12] - 13:23,\\ 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,\\ 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,\\ 116:7, 144:13,\\ 152:23, 177:7\\ \textbf{hearing} [55] - 5:9,\\ 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19,\\ 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,\\ 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,\\ 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,\\ 29:6, 31:3, 35:22,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{hereby} [1] - 186:17\\ \textbf{herself} [1] - 42:24\\ \textbf{hesitate} [1] - 171:18\\ \textbf{hidden} [3] - 74:14,\\ 77:8, 144:17\\ \textbf{high} [4] - 98:23,\\ 136:9, 144:14, 176:18\\ \textbf{high-tech} [1] -\\ 144:14\\ \textbf{highest} [3] - 31:7,\\ 59:7, 112:14\\ \textbf{highlighted} [1] -\\ 177:25\\ \textbf{Hillary} [4] - 125:8,\\ 126:11, 127:22,\\ 127:24\\ \textbf{himself} [5] - 28:4,\\ 41:18, 127:3, 179:13,\\ 179:14\\ \textbf{hinge} [2] - 24:9,\\ 24:10\\ \textbf{hinging} [1] - 180:9\\ \textbf{hint} [1] - 30:6\\ \end{array}$
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8, 147:11	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} \ [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} \ [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} \ [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} \ [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} \ [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} \ [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} \ [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} \ [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} \ [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} \ [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} \ [2] - 45:22, \\ 59:18 \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 handover [1] - 37:23 hands [16] - 31:21, 96:5, 105:6, 111:23, 112:10, 113:21, 114:6, 114:7, 115:19,	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{heads} [7] - 84:13,\\ 85:2, 85:4, 85:17,\\ 92:22, 95:23, 121:16\\ \textbf{hear} [20] - 5:25,\\ 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,\\ 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,\\ 75:14, 109:24,\\ 112:23, 124:18,\\ 127:10, 128:8,\\ 142:18, 152:23,\\ 162:19, 162:20,\\ 162:25, 163:7, 163:9\\ \textbf{heard} [12] - 13:23,\\ 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,\\ 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,\\ 116:7, 144:13,\\ 152:23, 177:7\\ \textbf{hearing} [55] - 5:9,\\ 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19,\\ 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,\\ 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,\\ 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,\\ 29:6, 31:3, 35:22,\\ 36:6, 36:19, 37:6,\\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:hereby} \begin{split} & \textbf{hereby} [1] - 186:17 \\ & \textbf{herself} [1] - 42:24 \\ & \textbf{hesitate} [1] - 171:18 \\ & \textbf{hidden} [3] - 74:14, \\ & 77:8, 144:17 \\ & \textbf{high} [4] - 98:23, \\ & 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 \\ & \textbf{high-tech} [1] - \\ & 144:14 \\ & \textbf{highest} [3] - 31:7, \\ & 59:7, 112:14 \\ & \textbf{highlighted} [1] - \\ & 177:25 \\ & \textbf{Hillary} [4] - 125:8, \\ & 126:11, 127:22, \\ & 127:24 \\ & \textbf{himself} [5] - 28:4, \\ & 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, \\ & 179:14 \\ & \textbf{hinge} [2] - 24:9, \\ & 24:10 \\ & \textbf{hinging} [1] - 180:9 \\ & \textbf{hint} [1] - 30:6 \\ & \textbf{hire} [1] - 153:7 \end{split}$
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8, 147:11 grant [1] - 161:9	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} \ [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} \ [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} \ [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} \ [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} \ [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} \ [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} \ [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} \ [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} \ [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} \ [2] - 45:22, \\ 59:18 \\ \textbf{HANDMAN} \ [91] - \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 handover [1] - 37:23 hands [16] - 31:21, 96:5, 105:6, 111:23, 112:10, 113:21,	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{heads} [7] - 84:13,\\ 85:2, 85:4, 85:17,\\ 92:22, 95:23, 121:16\\ \textbf{hear} [20] - 5:25,\\ 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,\\ 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,\\ 75:14, 109:24,\\ 112:23, 124:18,\\ 127:10, 128:8,\\ 142:18, 152:23,\\ 162:19, 162:20,\\ 162:25, 163:7, 163:9\\ \textbf{heard} [12] - 13:23,\\ 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,\\ 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,\\ 116:7, 144:13,\\ 152:23, 177:7\\ \textbf{hearing} [55] - 5:9,\\ 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19,\\ 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,\\ 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,\\ 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,\\ 29:6, 31:3, 35:22,\\ 36:6, 36:19, 37:6,\\ 37:10, 37:16, 38:1,\\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:hereby} \begin{split} & \textbf{hereby} [1] - 186:17 \\ & \textbf{herself} [1] - 42:24 \\ & \textbf{hesitate} [1] - 171:18 \\ & \textbf{hidden} [3] - 74:14, \\ & 77:8, 144:17 \\ & \textbf{high} [4] - 98:23, \\ & 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 \\ & \textbf{high-tech} [1] - \\ & 144:14 \\ & \textbf{highest} [3] - 31:7, \\ & 59:7, 112:14 \\ & \textbf{highlighted} [1] - \\ & 177:25 \\ & \textbf{Hillary} [4] - 125:8, \\ & 126:11, 127:22, \\ & 127:24 \\ & \textbf{himself} [5] - 28:4, \\ & 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, \\ & 179:14 \\ & \textbf{hinge} [2] - 24:9, \\ & 24:10 \\ & \textbf{hinging} [1] - 180:9 \\ & \textbf{hint} [1] - 30:6 \\ & \textbf{hire} [1] - 153:7 \\ & \textbf{history} [15] - 12:6, \\ \end{split}$
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8, 147:11 grant [1] - 161:9 grasping [1] - 178:5	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} [2] - 45:22, \\ 59:18 \\ \textbf{HANDMAN} [91] - \\ 1:17, 3:6, 3:16, 5:3, \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26:3,27:4,37:9,41:1,\\ 41:21,42:24,45:10,\\ 54:23,56:15,57:23,\\ 60:15,66:4,70:8,\\ 74:6,75:23,83:1,\\ 84:14,85:13,85:15,\\ 88:14,89:1,96:19,\\ 101:3,102:17,\\ 107:21,118:19,\\ 124:1,137:6,138:15,\\ 149:8,150:15,152:8,\\ 154:25,155:22,\\ 159:19,163:12,\\ 170:8,171:19,172:9,\\ 174:11,185:9\\ \textbf{Handman's [5] -}\\ 38:6,43:4,72:11,\\ 89:4,89:17\\ \textbf{handover [1] - 37:23}\\ \textbf{hands [16] - 31:21,}\\ 96:5,105:6,111:23,\\ 112:10,113:21,\\ 114:6,114:7,115:19,\\ 122:11,122:12,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{heads} [7] - 84:13,\\ 85:2, 85:4, 85:17,\\ 92:22, 95:23, 121:16\\ \textbf{hear} [20] - 5:25,\\ 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,\\ 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,\\ 75:14, 109:24,\\ 112:23, 124:18,\\ 127:10, 128:8,\\ 142:18, 152:23,\\ 162:19, 162:20,\\ 162:25, 163:7, 163:9\\ \textbf{heard} [12] - 13:23,\\ 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,\\ 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,\\ 116:7, 144:13,\\ 152:23, 177:7\\ \textbf{hearing} [55] - 5:9,\\ 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19,\\ 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,\\ 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,\\ 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,\\ 29:6, 31:3, 35:22,\\ 36:6, 36:19, 37:6,\\ 37:10, 37:16, 38:1,\\ 38:9, 38:11, 39:10,\\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:hereby} \begin{split} & \textbf{hereby} [1] - 186:17 \\ & \textbf{herself} [1] - 42:24 \\ & \textbf{hesitate} [1] - 171:18 \\ & \textbf{hidden} [3] - 74:14, \\ & 77:8, 144:17 \\ & \textbf{high} [4] - 98:23, \\ & 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 \\ & \textbf{high-tech} [1] - \\ & 144:14 \\ & \textbf{highest} [3] - 31:7, \\ & 59:7, 112:14 \\ & \textbf{highlighted} [1] - \\ & 177:25 \\ & \textbf{Hillary} [4] - 125:8, \\ & 126:11, 127:22, \\ & 127:24 \\ & \textbf{himself} [5] - 28:4, \\ & 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, \\ & 179:14 \\ & \textbf{higg} [2] - 24:9, \\ & 24:10 \\ & \textbf{hinging} [1] - 180:9 \\ & \textbf{hint} [1] - 30:6 \\ & \textbf{hire} [1] - 153:7 \\ & \textbf{history} [15] - 12:6, \\ & 24:7, 81:17, 81:25, \\ \end{split}$
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8, 147:11 grasping [1] - 178:5 grave [1] - 172:3	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} [2] - 45:22, \\ 59:18 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{HANDMAN} [91] - \\ 1:17, 3:6, 3:16, 5:3, \\ 9:22, 10:20, 11:10, \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 handover [1] - 37:23 hands [16] - 31:21, 96:5, 105:6, 111:23, 112:10, 113:21, 114:6, 114:7, 115:19, 122:11, 122:12, 124:4, 126:18,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{heads} [7] - 84:13,\\ 85:2, 85:4, 85:17,\\ 92:22, 95:23, 121:16\\ \textbf{hear} [20] - 5:25,\\ 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,\\ 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,\\ 75:14, 109:24,\\ 112:23, 124:18,\\ 127:10, 128:8,\\ 142:18, 152:23,\\ 162:19, 162:20,\\ 162:25, 163:7, 163:9\\ \textbf{heard} [12] - 13:23,\\ 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,\\ 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,\\ 116:7, 144:13,\\ 152:23, 177:7\\ \textbf{hearing} [55] - 5:9,\\ 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19,\\ 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,\\ 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,\\ 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,\\ 29:6, 31:3, 35:22,\\ 36:6, 36:19, 37:6,\\ 37:10, 37:16, 38:1,\\ 38:9, 38:11, 39:10,\\ 39:12, 40:5, 44:19,\\ \end{array}$	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4, 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, 179:14 hinge [2] - 24:9, 24:10 hinging [1] - 180:9 hint [1] - 30:6 hire [1] - 153:7 history [15] - 12:6, 24:7, 81:17, 81:25, 82:17, 83:15, 105:9,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8, 147:11 grasping [1] - 178:5 grave [1] - 172:3 Graves [2] - 174:7,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{haif} [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} [2] - 45:22, \\ 59:18 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{HANDMAN} [91] - \\ 1:17, 3:6, 3:16, 5:3, \\ 9:22, 10:20, 11:10, \\ 11:18, 14:6, 26:5, \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 hands [16] - 31:21, 96:5, 105:6, 111:23, 112:10, 113:21, 114:6, 114:7, 115:19, 122:11, 122:12, 124:4, 126:18, 131:20, 149:11	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{heads} [7] - 84:13,\\ 85:2, 85:4, 85:17,\\ 92:22, 95:23, 121:16\\ \textbf{hear} [20] - 5:25,\\ 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,\\ 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,\\ 75:14, 109:24,\\ 112:23, 124:18,\\ 127:10, 128:8,\\ 142:18, 152:23,\\ 162:19, 162:20,\\ 162:25, 163:7, 163:9\\ \textbf{heard} [12] - 13:23,\\ 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,\\ 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,\\ 116:7, 144:13,\\ 152:23, 177:7\\ \textbf{hearing} [55] - 5:9,\\ 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19,\\ 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,\\ 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,\\ 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,\\ 29:6, 31:3, 35:22,\\ 36:6, 36:19, 37:6,\\ 37:10, 37:16, 38:1,\\ 38:9, 38:11, 39:10,\\ 39:12, 40:5, 44:19,\\ 45:12, 48:17, 48:20,\\ \end{array}$	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4, 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, 179:14 hinge [2] - 24:9, 24:10 hinging [1] - 180:9 hint [1] - 30:6 hire [1] - 153:7 history [15] - 12:6, 24:7, 81:17, 81:25, 82:17, 83:15, 105:9, 105:14, 105:16,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8, 147:11 grasping [1] - 178:5 grave [1] - 172:3 Graves [2] - 174:7, 176:11	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{half} [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} [2] - 45:22, \\ 59:18 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{HANDMAN} [91] - \\ 1:17, 3:6, 3:16, 5:3, \\ 9:22, 10:20, 11:10, \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1,\\ 41:21, 42:24, 45:10,\\ 54:23, 56:15, 57:23,\\ 60:15, 66:4, 70:8,\\ 74:6, 75:23, 83:1,\\ 84:14, 85:13, 85:15,\\ 88:14, 89:1, 96:19,\\ 101:3, 102:17,\\ 107:21, 118:19,\\ 124:1, 137:6, 138:15,\\ 149:8, 150:15, 152:8,\\ 154:25, 155:22,\\ 159:19, 163:12,\\ 170:8, 171:19, 172:9,\\ 174:11, 185:9\\ \textbf{Handman's [5] -}\\ 38:6, 43:4, 72:11,\\ 89:4, 89:17\\ \textbf{handover [1] - 37:23}\\ \textbf{hands [16] - 31:21,}\\ 96:5, 105:6, 111:23,\\ 112:10, 113:21,\\ 114:6, 114:7, 115:19,\\ 122:11, 122:12,\\ 124:4, 126:18,\\ 131:20, 149:11\\ \textbf{handy [3] - 26:20,\\ \end{array}$	heads $[7] - 84:13$, 85:2, 85:4, 85:17, 92:22, 95:23, 121:16 hear $[20] - 5:25$, 10:23, 11:5, 11:16, 13:12, 18:16, 63:17, 75:14, 109:24, 112:23, 124:18, 127:10, 128:8, 142:18, 152:23, 162:19, 162:20, 162:25, 163:7, 163:9 heard $[12] - 13:23$, 19:17, 22:14, 62:21, 62:23, 62:25, 64:9, 116:7, 144:13, 152:23, 177:7 hearing $[55] - 5:9$, 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19, 9:15, 11:13, 12:3, 13:17, 14:24, 16:17, 21:21, 28:7, 28:9, 29:6, 31:3, 35:22, 36:6, 36:19, 37:6, 37:10, 37:16, 38:1, 38:9, 38:11, 39:10, 39:12, 40:5, 44:19, 45:12, 48:17, 48:20, 51:21, 57:19, 68:25,	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4, 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, 179:14 hinge [2] - 24:9, 24:10 hinging [1] - 180:9 hint [1] - 30:6 hire [1] - 153:7 history [15] - 12:6, 24:7, 81:17, 81:25, 82:17, 83:15, 105:9, 105:14, 105:16, 147:9, 150:23, 169:5,
89:18, 89:24, 90:11, 101:25, 102:3, 110:15, 110:25, 111:13, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 113:9, 113:13, 114:12, 116:19, 117:1, 122:10, 122:14, 122:15, 125:4, 127:1, 127:7, 128:17, 133:4, 135:13, 136:11, 139:9, 140:4, 140:15, 141:4, 141:10, 141:15, 141:22, 142:4, 142:19, 145:8, 146:7, 147:10, 148:3, 149:18, 152:22, 180:2, 180:5, 180:6, 180:13 Government's [1] - 83:11 Governor [2] - 147:8, 147:11 grasping [1] - 178:5 grave [1] - 172:3 Graves [2] - 174:7,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{guidelines} [1] - \\ 93:23 \\ \textbf{gun} [3] - 167:3, \\ 167:4, 167:8 \\ \textbf{guy} [8] - 6:4, 22:14, \\ 54:25, 83:14, 132:10, \\ 139:24, 150:23, \\ 177:10 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{hairs} [1] - 75:22 \\ \textbf{haif} [5] - 39:16, \\ 54:18, 135:23, 169:20 \\ \textbf{half-hour} [1] - \\ 169:20 \\ \textbf{handcuffed} [5] - \\ 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, \\ 67:8, 120:19 \\ \textbf{handle} [1] - 5:8 \\ \textbf{handled} [1] - 67:16 \\ \textbf{handling} [2] - 45:22, \\ 59:18 \\ \hline \\ \textbf{HANDMAN} [91] - \\ 1:17, 3:6, 3:16, 5:3, \\ 9:22, 10:20, 11:10, \\ 11:18, 14:6, 26:5, \\ 27:5, 37:11, 37:19, \\ \end{array}$	26:3, 27:4, 37:9, 41:1, 41:21, 42:24, 45:10, 54:23, 56:15, 57:23, 60:15, 66:4, 70:8, 74:6, 75:23, 83:1, 84:14, 85:13, 85:15, 88:14, 89:1, 96:19, 101:3, 102:17, 107:21, 118:19, 124:1, 137:6, 138:15, 149:8, 150:15, 152:8, 154:25, 155:22, 159:19, 163:12, 170:8, 171:19, 172:9, 174:11, 185:9 Handman's [5] - 38:6, 43:4, 72:11, 89:4, 89:17 hands [16] - 31:21, 96:5, 105:6, 111:23, 112:10, 113:21, 114:6, 114:7, 115:19, 122:11, 122:12, 124:4, 126:18, 131:20, 149:11 handy [3] - 26:20, 74:6, 119:22	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{heads} [7] - 84:13,\\ 85:2, 85:4, 85:17,\\ 92:22, 95:23, 121:16\\ \textbf{hear} [20] - 5:25,\\ 10:23, 11:5, 11:16,\\ 13:12, 18:16, 63:17,\\ 75:14, 109:24,\\ 112:23, 124:18,\\ 127:10, 128:8,\\ 142:18, 152:23,\\ 162:19, 162:20,\\ 162:25, 163:7, 163:9\\ \textbf{heard} [12] - 13:23,\\ 19:17, 22:14, 62:21,\\ 62:23, 62:25, 64:9,\\ 116:7, 144:13,\\ 152:23, 177:7\\ \textbf{hearing} [55] - 5:9,\\ 6:7, 6:9, 6:12, 8:19,\\ 9:15, 11:13, 12:3,\\ 13:17, 14:24, 16:17,\\ 21:21, 28:7, 28:9,\\ 29:6, 31:3, 35:22,\\ 36:6, 36:19, 37:6,\\ 37:10, 37:16, 38:1,\\ 38:9, 38:11, 39:10,\\ 39:12, 40:5, 44:19,\\ 45:12, 48:17, 48:20,\\ \end{array}$	hereby [1] - 186:17 herself [1] - 42:24 hesitate [1] - 171:18 hidden [3] - 74:14, 77:8, 144:17 high [4] - 98:23, 136:9, 144:14, 176:18 high-tech [1] - 144:14 highest [3] - 31:7, 59:7, 112:14 highlighted [1] - 177:25 Hillary [4] - 125:8, 126:11, 127:22, 127:24 himself [5] - 28:4, 41:18, 127:3, 179:13, 179:14 hinge [2] - 24:9, 24:10 hinging [1] - 180:9 hint [1] - 30:6 hire [1] - 153:7 history [15] - 12:6, 24:7, 81:17, 81:25, 82:17, 83:15, 105:9, 105:14, 105:16,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 200 of 216 13

hit [2] - 150:22,	102:12, 102:20,	humor [3] - 112:4,	12:16, 33:4, 34:24,	76:22
181:25	103:2, 103:16,	134:22, 166:22	45:5, 45:16, 45:22,	index [1] - 48:3
hoax [21] - 12:5,	104:11, 104:25,	hundred [1] - 13:24	61:6, 61:9, 65:25,	indicated [4] - 8:4,
24:7, 77:16, 77:25,	105:18, 106:6, 107:8,	hundred-mile [1] -	82:4, 83:1, 97:21,	11:7, 39:15, 142:24
78:3, 79:6, 81:16,	107:19, 108:1, 108:7,	13:24	161:19, 165:24,	indicates [1] - 6:5
81:24, 86:16, 105:8,	108:9, 108:15, 110:1,	hundreds [2] - 12:7,	166:15, 177:23, 181:1	indication [2] -
105:14, 105:16,	111:17, 115:25,	105:1	impose [3] - 176:1,	30:17, 30:18
138:22, 139:8, 142:6,	116:7, 116:17,	hung [1] - 115:25	176:18, 182:14	individual [3] - 18:3,
144:22, 146:16,	117:19, 118:12,	husbandry [2] -	imposed [2] - 67:1,	54:23, 76:13
150:22, 169:5, 180:8,	119:13, 124:17,	118:22, 119:4	179:5	individuals [1] - 6:25
180:11	126:5, 126:22, 127:5,	hypocrisy [2] - 70:1,	impossible [1] -	indulge [2] - 166:23,
hold [1] - 99:25	130:7, 131:12,	70:2	128:18	170:25
holder [1] - 167:4	132:24, 134:4,	hypothesize [1] -	impression [1] -	inebriated [1] - 4:20
holding [3] - 21:5,	135:17, 136:17,	94:17	39:24	inescapable [1] -
109:14, 109:18	137:17, 138:4, 138:5,	hypothetical [6] -	impugn [1] - 24:2	30:10
holdup [1] - 167:2	138:9, 139:6, 140:1,	23:1, 24:16, 25:18,	inadequate [2] -	inference [4] - 33:13,
HOLLAND [1] - 1:21	146:10, 148:9, 149:1,	81:18, 153:21, 160:21	146:6, 146:8	150:13, 175:21,
homework [11] -	149:5, 149:19,	hypothetically [1] -	inartfully [2] - 40:24,	176:19
39:8, 43:20, 50:14,	150:12, 150:18,	179:15	41:12	influential [1] - 59:1
69:1, 74:3, 166:9,	152:19, 154:1, 154:2,		inarticulately [1] -	informal [1] - 4:5
185:1, 185:12,	154:23, 157:1, 158:6,	I	39:5	information [58] -
185:16, 185:19,	161:16, 165:9,		inaudible [8] - 62:11,	7:18, 10:11, 12:4,
185:22	166:23, 169:2,		73:22, 82:8, 93:16,	16:3, 16:12, 27:17,
honest [3] - 68:17,	171:22, 172:15,	idea [1] - 174:14	106:16, 117:11,	30:25, 31:20, 31:21,
92:17, 112:13	173:10, 173:25,	identification [1] -	167:23, 174:12	31:23, 36:23, 46:5,
honestly [3] - 24:3,	175:17, 176:1,	156:7	Inc [3] - 174:8, 176:4,	52:5, 54:10, 54:11,
102:9, 153:9	176:23, 178:3, 178:4,	identify [3] - 84:18,	176:7	54:21, 56:12, 57:3,
Honor [178] - 3:5,	178:21, 178:25, 179:14, 180:22,	84:20, 86:22	inclined [1] - 89:22	58:23, 58:24, 60:12,
3:6, 3:13, 3:23, 7:6,	179.14, 180.22, 181:7, 181:25,	ignore [3] - 70:14,	include [3] - 21:4,	62:3, 64:16, 73:13,
7:10, 9:6, 9:22, 9:24,	182:25, 183:11,	89:1, 89:17	21:11, 52:5	75:4, 91:20, 96:2,
11:23, 13:18, 14:6,	183:23, 184:2, 184:7,	ignored [1] - 61:11	included [2] - 40:14,	96:4, 96:10, 103:21,
14:14, 14:21, 16:18,	184:16, 185:2, 185:8,	ill [2] - 13:6, 60:25	50:4	103:24, 107:13,
19:24, 20:17, 20:20,	185:24, 185:25	illegal [2] - 125:7, 125:12	including [13] -	111:10, 117:16,
22:8, 23:7, 26:5,	Honor's [1] - 40:23	-	45:13, 58:22, 74:12,	118:2, 118:9, 124:11,
26:21, 27:5, 27:6,	HONORABLE [1] -	illegally [3] - 63:6, 164:16	76:21, 77:2, 77:8,	127:23, 134:9, 137:8,
27:9, 28:5, 30:22,	1:10		79:7, 79:22, 80:6,	142:11, 142:15,
31:19, 32:14, 33:4,	hope [4] - 45:8, 85:5,	illustrations [1] - 145:3	83:9, 105:15, 128:19,	142:16, 142:17,
35:7, 36:19, 37:1, 37:11, 37:12, 37:19,	154:1, 154:2	image [2] - 109:17,	133:12	142:20, 144:12,
38:8, 39:4, 40:9,	hopefully [1] - 131:4	109:24	incompetent [2] -	145:16, 152:2, 155:4,
42:12, 42:23, 43:8,	hoping [3] - 18:15,	imagine [2] - 22:23,	159:12	155:10, 155:17,
43:15, 43:16, 44:19,	18:16, 85:24	140:14	inconsistent [6] -	156:15, 158:10, 158:12, 158:14,
44:22, 45:4, 45:11,	hospital [4] - 40:21,	immediately [3] -	130:2, 130:5, 130:14,	161:2, 184:20
45:16, 45:21, 46:11,	42:20, 60:25	111:12, 111:15,	130:21, 131:2, 132:2	ingenuousness [1] -
47:13, 47:20, 48:8,	hot [1] - 18:2	138:13	incorporate [1] - 34:10	150:8
50:20, 51:17, 53:5,	Hotel [1] - 168:1	immunity [4] - 17:19,	incorporating [1] -	inherited [1] - 10:13
53:12, 54:3, 58:17,	hotly [1] - 67:25	54:18, 54:19, 159:2	80:15	initial [6] - 16:21,
59:11, 59:15, 60:19,	Houghton [1] - 10:8	impediment [1] -	incorrect [3] -	27:18, 27:22, 33:6,
60:21, 60:23, 62:8,	hour [4] - 9:2,	158:1	102:19, 139:17,	34:4, 41:2
64:9, 64:19, 65:24,	131:22, 169:20	implemented [1] -	157:21	injunction [2] -
68:21, 69:5, 70:21,	hours [7] - 40:22,	142:19	incorrigible [1] -	127:6, 133:6
72:3, 73:5, 74:17,	43:13, 81:1, 171:7,	implication [11] -	147:5	inoperative [1] -
75:11, 75:16, 75:18,	184:14, 186:2, 186:3	77:15, 77:23, 78:5,	incredible [1] -	141:9
76:10, 79:11, 83:2,	House [1] - 62:5	78:11, 78:16, 78:18,	116:16	inquiry [1] - 133:22
84:10, 87:9, 87:25,	house [5] - 53:14,	78:20, 78:22, 78:25,	incredibly [2] -	inside [3] - 127:1,
88:12, 89:6, 90:23,	63:5, 67:8, 120:20,	79:5, 144:20	145:22, 146:3	127:2
92:5, 92:14, 92:25,	164:16	implicitly [1] - 148:7	indeed [5] - 37:20,	insisted [1] - 133:21
94:12, 94:21, 95:1,	hovering [1] - 142:9	importance [3] -	94:22, 95:1, 140:6,	inspect [4] - 86:25,
96:13, 99:8, 100:8,	Howard [1] - 109:11	138:16, 138:25, 145:1	169:4	93:25, 95:19, 149:4
101:11, 101:21,	human [1] - 132:3	important [18] - 7:9,	independent [1] -	inspectors [1] - 62:4
				• • • •
			1	

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 201 of 216 14

install [1] - 142:9	interview [2] - 22:14,	issues [7] - 6:15,	68:2, 69:16, 87:15,	35:9, 72:6, 87:23,
instance [6] - 15:24,	81:7	45:17, 46:18, 47:14,	87:21, 88:3, 88:7,	96:14, 107:11,
19:8, 41:3, 107:10,	interviews [1] -	138:20, 158:9, 171:15	88:14, 88:19, 89:5,	107:14, 111:18,
			89:18, 89:24, 90:10,	112:14, 114:8, 114:9,
121:18, 164:3	138:21	it'll [3] - 65:15,	92:16, 93:5, 95:18,	114:11, 134:21,
instead [13] - 25:16,	introduced [1] -	102:24, 145:11		
65:2, 67:2, 67:17,	136:19	item [1] - 147:1	104:14, 111:25,	151:8, 159:14
68:10, 70:3, 70:14,	invented [1] - 169:8	itself [10] - 12:9,	113:3, 113:8, 125:4,	justifiable [1] -
70:17, 117:12,	investigation [5] -	28:20, 51:18, 52:7,	127:8, 131:25, 168:20	164:21
133:20, 182:18,	23:1, 24:18, 54:22,	76:8, 112:25, 132:14,	JUDGE [1] - 1:11	justification [2] -
185:5, 185:6	58:17, 151:7	145:17, 172:2	Judge [38] - 11:18,	9:20, 61:12
instructions [2] -	Investigation [1] -		21:22, 22:6, 29:23,	JV [1] - 59:10
93:22, 117:24	51:12	J	29:24, 31:11, 31:14,	
insurance [1] -	investigations [1] -		36:6, 39:9, 39:20,	K
167:10	32:13		49:1, 49:3, 64:21,	
intellectual [8] -	invoked [1] - 130:19	jacked [1] - 105:17	67:6, 71:3, 89:20,	
76:13, 79:16, 79:18,	involve [1] - 111:19	jail [1] - 107:15	93:9, 95:17, 98:4,	Kaiser [1] - 176:11
79:25, 80:7, 80:13,	involved [8] - 32:12,	James [11] - 3:13,	99:22, 111:25, 112:2,	keep [18] - 8:1,
80:14, 80:15	54:15, 57:12, 58:19,	27:2, 32:7, 58:22,	127:11, 130:15,	31:21, 31:22, 49:25,
Intelligence [3] -	103:25, 126:3, 136:6,	59:19, 108:10, 111:3,	130:19, 134:20,	61:4, 79:10, 79:11,
58:21, 127:2, 150:10	161:3	133:3, 151:24,	137:9, 139:23,	110:25, 111:14,
intelligence [9] -	involves [1] - 86:9	151:25, 162:24	147:23, 148:9, 158:3,	112:12, 118:17,
17:15, 58:20, 75:4,	involving [1] -	JAMES [1] - 1:7	162:22, 164:22,	124:3, 125:2, 126:10,
133:5, 140:10,	••••	jammed [1] - 44:21	176:14, 176:15,	127:14, 127:16,
140:22, 140:25,	103:19	January [8] - 1:5,	179:7, 184:4	127:23, 135:12
144:11, 146:6	lonesco [1] - 119:10	4:1, 4:7, 5:5, 5:6,	judges [4] - 58:25,	keeping [2] - 79:7,
intelligent [1] -	ironic [1] - 173:17	55:19, 58:5, 130:22	66:6, 98:11, 186:2	125:9
• • • •	ironically [3] - 53:13,	jaw [1] - 39:16	judgment [16] - 5:13,	Keith [1] - 151:25
131:25	172:18, 176:23	Jazeera [11] - 74:15,	6:9, 44:20, 45:14,	kept [1] - 53:22
intent [1] - 179:10	irrelevant [2] - 27:14,	77:21, 105:4, 116:19,	46:1, 65:3, 71:17,	key [1] - 108:1
intentionally [1] -	133:21	136:9, 141:14, 143:7,	92:22, 95:10, 142:21,	kicked [1] - 26:18
173:2	lsh [1] - 14:9	143:11, 143:15,		kids [1] - 63:23
intercepting [1] -	ISH [1] - 14:9	144:1, 144:17	147:24, 148:22,	Kimmel [7] - 109:11,
17:16	ish [2] - 14:16, 14:18		154:6, 179:6, 180:12,	••
interest [1] - 65:2	isolation [1] - 161:25	Jeffrey [2] - 18:3,	185:3	109:14, 109:16,
interested [1] -	Israel [1] - 17:17	107:12	Judicial [1] - 35:11	109:22, 109:24,
112:23	issue [54] - 10:5,	Jeopardy [1] -	judicial [9] - 106:21,	110:3, 118:21
interesting [4] - 5:7,	17:6, 26:25, 33:24,	172:13	107:6, 129:24, 130:2,	kind [13] - 9:19,
84:17, 114:13, 129:11	42:21, 55:22, 56:5,	Jerusalem [1] -	130:11, 130:19,	27:24, 33:4, 40:2,
interests [2] - 76:13,	58:1, 58:5, 63:5,	128:15	130:25, 131:8, 132:13	40:13, 92:10, 100:13,
85:11	67:25, 68:1, 72:19,	Jewish [1] - 4:16	July [3] - 27:11,	100:14, 106:9, 124:5,
interference [1] -	74:18, 77:21, 83:24,	Jim [1] - 154:11	60:10, 130:23	149:17, 152:2, 175:24
79:14	86:9, 87:11, 87:16,	Jimmy [7] - 109:11,	juries [1] - 184:20	kinds [2] - 8:12,
interim [1] - 28:19	87:17, 87:21, 89:19,	109:13, 109:16,	jurisdiction [1] -	183:21
interlude [1] - 108:21	92:6, 93:6, 93:24,	109:22, 109:24,	107:4	KLAYMAN [372] -
internally [2] -	94:11, 94:14, 96:23,	110:3, 118:21	jurisdictions [1] -	1:14, 1:14, 3:5, 3:8,
166:13, 166:16	94.11, 94.14, 96.23, 99:15, 99:17, 101:4,	job [4] - 39:17,	107:4	3:12, 3:23, 4:10, 4:13,
		52:17, 155:14, 171:14	jurisprudence [1] -	4:16, 4:19, 4:24, 7:6,
International [1] -	101:9, 105:19, 126:9,	Joe [1] - 98:23	20:19	7:8, 9:6, 10:18, 11:9,
176:5	137:23, 139:13,	Joel [2] - 109:8,	jury [19] - 17:21,	11:11, 11:23, 12:23,
interpret [2] - 7:20,	148:12, 159:15,	109:10	17:22, 69:19, 69:20,	13:18, 14:21, 15:15,
49:10	159:17, 160:18,	John [1] - 146:5	69:23, 69:24, 96:24,	15:24, 16:6, 16:16,
interpretation [3] -	160:20, 162:18,	JONATHAN [1] -	100:16, 100:20,	18:8, 18:18, 18:20,
100:9, 142:24, 143:25	162:19, 162:20,	1:10	122:23, 123:1, 123:2,	18:23, 19:2, 19:4,
interpreted [3] -	171:12, 172:19,		149:1, 160:20,	19:8, 19:24, 20:16,
141:16, 141:20,	178:20, 181:12,	Joseph [1] - 128:19		21:16, 22:8, 23:4,
142:22	182:7, 183:22,	jot [1] - 118:15	162:19, 163:11,	23:7, 23:24, 24:25,
interrupt [4] - 11:14,	183:23, 186:2	judge [42] - 11:7,	176:21, 184:18,	25:2, 25:5, 25:8,
11:15, 102:25, 107:22	issue's [1] - 16:20	14:2, 14:4, 29:22,	184:21	25:2, 25:5, 25:6, 25:10, 26:21, 27:2,
interrupting [4] -	issue-related [1] -	31:6, 35:23, 49:2,	jury's [1] - 87:22	
11:13, 99:11, 137:2,	148:12	58:24, 62:2, 63:7,	justice [1] - 35:14	27:6, 27:9, 28:2,
139:10	issued [3] - 33:6,	64:22, 66:17, 66:19,	Justice [18] - 16:25,	30:22, 31:13, 31:19,
intervene [1] - 136:5	88:7, 171:24	67:5, 67:6, 67:7, 67:9,	28:21, 32:18, 33:1,	34:10, 35:4, 36:19,
				37:12, 37:15, 38:7,
				1

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 202 of 216 15

20.14 40.0 40.10	110.22 110.1 110.6	2.22 4.0 6.24 0.2	120.12 120.25	120.25 121.2 121.6
38:14, 40:8, 40:18,	118:23, 119:1, 119:6,	3:22, 4:9, 6:24, 8:3,	139:12, 139:25,	130:25, 131:3, 131:6,
42:23, 43:7, 43:16,	119:23, 120:2, 120:8,	8:25, 10:4, 11:17,	149:1, 150:16,	132:14, 134:5, 134:8,
44:18, 47:12, 48:19,	121:1, 121:12, 122:4,	11:19, 11:20, 12:19,	152:19, 159:10,	152:18, 160:10,
50:20, 51:17, 51:23,	122:8, 122:17,	15:7, 15:18, 18:6,	168:21, 177:3	173:3, 175:19, 176:3,
52:1, 52:7, 52:11,	122:23, 123:1,	20:7, 20:21, 21:7,	Konigsberg [2] -	178:13, 178:16,
53:5, 53:11, 54:13,	123:17, 123:22,	21:15, 25:14, 26:20,	167:21, 167:24	178:18
55:7, 55:9, 55:12,	124:22, 124:24,	27:21, 29:14, 33:25,		LAW [2] - 1:14, 109:5
55:14, 55:17, 55:23,	125:7, 125:15,	34:16, 36:13, 37:5,	L	lawsuit [16] - 31:16,
56:7, 58:7, 59:12,	125:17, 125:19,	37:16, 37:22, 37:24,	–	56:6, 57:12, 75:7,
59:24, 60:4, 60:6,	125:22, 125:24,	43:20, 45:14, 46:12,		
			laboring [1] - 47:3	82:11, 83:23, 84:6,
60:23, 61:22, 61:24,	126:2, 126:11,	47:18, 48:10, 51:5,	lack [7] - 15:10,	86:9, 103:14, 110:17,
62:11, 62:15, 62:18,	126:14, 126:22,	51:22, 51:24, 54:4,	24:18, 41:2, 92:11,	110:20, 112:18,
63:12, 63:16, 63:20,	127:16, 127:21,	56:2, 56:11, 56:18,		121:4, 130:22, 138:3
63:22, 64:1, 64:5,	128:4, 128:7, 128:11,	56:23, 57:22, 59:16,	93:12, 97:14, 105:10	lawsuits [1] - 18:14
64:7, 65:24, 66:13,	128:14, 129:2, 129:7,	59:17, 60:1, 60:17,	lacked [1] - 129:6	lawyer [33] - 4:24,
66:16, 67:13, 67:15,	129:22, 130:7, 131:9,	61:15, 67:4, 70:9,	lacks [1] - 129:20	8:6, 19:14, 20:9, 28:8,
67:20, 68:6, 68:11,	131:12, 131:16,	70:16, 71:4, 71:8,	Laden [1] - 105:4	32:18, 35:7, 35:10,
68:15, 68:17, 69:4,	131:18, 132:5,	71:15, 72:8, 73:18,	laid [1] - 73:13	59:18, 66:15, 71:6,
69:19, 72:3, 73:5,	132:12, 132:20,	74:3, 75:25, 80:17,	Lamberth [10] -	74:4, 89:2, 89:6,
73:16, 73:21, 73:23,	132:23, 133:12,	83:20, 85:14, 87:13,	29:23, 29:24, 31:11,	98:11, 98:13, 98:15,
74:16, 74:20, 74:23,	134:4, 134:12,	90:9, 90:14, 94:24,	31:14, 39:20, 127:11,	
			137:9, 137:10, 158:3,	103:3, 103:13, 106:9,
75:1, 75:3, 75:16,	134:23, 135:2, 135:4,	95:5, 95:13, 97:7,		111:18, 111:20,
80:20, 80:23, 80:25,	135:15, 136:16,	97:9, 99:7, 99:17,	162:23	112:15, 116:5,
81:4, 81:15, 82:8,	136:22, 138:9, 149:5,	100:21, 101:13,	language [2] - 40:15,	128:19, 147:12,
82:15, 82:22, 83:5,	149:7, 150:4, 150:7,	102:7, 102:16,	137:1	147:20, 148:17,
83:7, 83:21, 84:1,	151:3, 151:17,	103:10, 103:21,	lapse [1] - 170:23	168:19, 177:6,
84:3, 84:5, 84:10,	151:20, 151:24,	107:22, 108:2,	large [3] - 81:19,	182:11, 184:8, 186:7
85:5, 85:8, 85:10,	154:10, 154:15,	108:20, 109:12,	84:3, 160:23	lawyer's [1] - 89:12
85:15, 86:3, 86:13,	154:23, 155:3, 155:7,	116:13, 120:1,	largely [1] - 27:13	lawyers [20] - 6:13,
86:20, 87:2, 87:6,	155:11, 156:20,	121:22, 126:5,	LARRY [1] - 1:14	9:7, 9:13, 9:14, 11:19,
87:8, 87:21, 88:5,	157:16, 157:23,	126:15, 128:18,	Larry [3] - 3:12, 3:21,	44:14, 66:9, 68:13,
88:12, 88:17, 88:21,	158:19, 158:23,	132:4, 132:6, 136:4,	128:17	89:3, 89:9, 89:12,
89:6, 89:9, 90:1, 90:3,	161:15, 162:13,	136:13, 137:10,	LaRue [1] - 174:7	
90:7, 90:10, 90:23,	163:11, 164:1, 164:6,	138:17, 139:15,		91:14, 98:14, 112:6,
			Las [3] - 64:20,	113:17, 113:18,
90:25, 91:2, 91:4,	164:12, 165:6, 165:8,	140:7, 142:1, 142:7,	64:21, 66:25	128:21, 160:3,
91:17, 91:19, 91:24,	165:17, 166:17,	143:18, 145:10,	last [19] - 12:14,	165:10, 177:11
92:1, 92:3, 93:8,	167:1, 167:7, 167:16,	145:18, 146:19,	14:24, 17:13, 35:11,	lead [1] - 121:6
93:11, 93:16, 94:5,	167:19, 167:22,	148:9, 152:9, 152:14,	54:5, 55:24, 91:7,	leaders [2] - 141:7,
94:7, 94:11, 94:14,	168:1, 168:5, 168:7,	153:1, 153:10,	92:7, 111:6, 126:17,	142:22
95:4, 95:6, 95:14,	168:25, 169:17,	153:17, 154:7, 156:2,	127:6, 154:7, 155:1,	leading [2] - 58:13,
96:12, 97:4, 97:6,	170:6, 170:25, 171:5,	156:12, 156:13,	159:24, 167:20,	140:15
97:8, 97:18, 98:12,	172:8, 172:15,	163:16, 168:19,	177:18, 178:3, 184:14	learned [5] - 17:13,
98:17, 98:20, 98:22,	172:17, 173:9,	169:22, 172:14,	late [3] - 6:11,	17:15, 37:21, 58:21,
99:1, 99:6, 99:8,	173:17, 173:25,	177:21, 180:15,	126:17, 148:21	
99:12, 99:14, 99:24,	174:17, 174:19,	182:7, 182:11,	lately [1] - 32:1	99:11
100:4, 100:7, 100:20,	174:21, 174:24,	183:19, 184:25	Lauderdale [1] -	least [10] - 12:14,
100:24, 101:1,	175:2, 175:4, 175:6,	Klayman's [9] -		31:21, 45:6, 45:7,
		45:20, 46:8, 101:4,	109:2	81:12, 82:14, 82:21,
102:14, 102:20,	175:10, 175:14,		laughter [1] - 170:17	125:4, 154:3, 159:24
102:23, 103:2, 103:6,	175:18, 177:9,	137:6, 137:21,	Laura [1] - 3:16	leave [5] - 43:17,
103:11, 103:15,	177:22, 178:2,	138:14, 144:25,	LAURA [1] - 1:17	45:21, 74:25, 103:2,
104:4, 104:7, 104:23,	178:21, 178:25,	154:5, 180:18	law [40] - 14:10,	184:22
105:13, 106:4, 107:8,	179:14, 179:18,	KNIGHT [1] - 1:21	18:12, 18:13, 19:11,	leaves [1] - 74:17
108:1, 108:7, 108:9,	179:22, 180:1,	knowing [4] - 26:11,	19:21, 19:22, 20:7,	led [3] - 39:22,
108:18, 110:1, 110:5,	180:22, 181:6,	54:24, 138:2, 153:13	20:12, 20:23, 20:24,	140:22, 143:25
110:22, 111:16,	181:18, 181:23,	knowingly [1] - 80:2	26:12, 26:23, 20:24,	Lee [2] - 103:10,
112:3, 112:5, 113:15,	182:25, 183:2, 183:4,	knowledge [2] -	58:14, 59:4, 71:24,	103:18
114:5, 114:17,	183:11, 183:18,	51:9, 66:1		
114:20, 114:24,	184:2, 184:7, 185:2,	known [4] - 14:9,	96:7, 97:15, 99:18,	left [2] - 10:12, 10:14
115:9, 115:16,	185:6, 185:11,	89:2, 106:14, 106:15	99:20, 108:4, 113:17,	legal [7] - 51:19,
115:18, 115:22,	185:24, 186:1, 186:5		129:5, 129:13,	91:14, 129:18, 130:1,
116:2, 118:12,	Klayman [122] - 3:12,	knows [9] - 32:14,	129:25, 130:10,	131:7, 171:12, 183:24
L	1	1	1	I

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 203 of 216 16

legally [2] - 19:4,	81:5, 83:9, 146:10,	154:21	14:3	129:25, 130:25,
27:16	180:3	logistically [1] - 9:4	mail [9] - 56:25, 57:9,	131:5, 132:23, 134:8,
legitimacy [2] - 78:9,	Line [2] - 16:7	look [35] - 13:13,	59:16, 59:23, 117:23,	166:12, 166:13,
79:3	line [5] - 72:4, 170:4,	13:14, 13:15, 16:9,	155:23, 156:4, 156:8,	174:3, 176:20, 186:19
legitimate [1] - 77:19	171:1, 176:15, 182:4	32:22, 33:10, 38:15,	156:12	meal [1] - 170:19
lengthy [4] - 29:21,	Lines [1] - 176:7	43:1, 48:15, 51:5,	mails [2] - 118:8,	mean [41] - 4:7,
34:7, 95:11, 178:14	link [1] - 56:25	71:4, 72:12, 90:17,	157:16	22:23, 24:2, 31:16,
less [3] - 38:12,	linked [2] - 129:19,	92:18, 103:8, 108:2,	maintain [1] - 41:1	31:22, 33:9, 48:1,
43:11, 46:13	138:23	108:7, 108:9, 108:10,	maintained [1] -	50:11, 53:5, 53:8,
letter [44] - 32:7,	LISA [2] - 2:1, 186:22	108:13, 108:18,	126:8	53:9, 54:12, 61:7,
56:10, 56:11, 56:15,	list [7] - 14:11, 20:22,	110:8, 113:15,	maintains [1] - 83:11	64:3, 64:20, 82:1,
56:17, 57:4, 59:22,	20:25, 21:3, 21:10,	119:19, 133:11,	major [6] - 26:18,	85:13, 94:3, 101:7,
59:24, 60:11, 72:9,	58:25, 160:12	133:13, 143:7,	26:24, 61:13, 108:14,	101:19, 102:20,
72:10, 72:11, 72:13,	listed [2] - 14:10,	145:12, 149:23,	118:20, 151:10	104:17, 105:10,
72:23, 73:1, 73:5,	175:14	153:9, 163:13,	majored [1] - 118:22	113:7, 113:24, 114:2,
73:24, 74:4, 74:6,	Listen [1] - 137:7	165:16, 168:16,	majority [1] - 150:20	116:4, 116:13,
74:7, 90:9, 90:13,	listen [6] - 9:11,	173:4, 177:17	malice [11] - 23:2,	116:20, 122:25,
94:15, 98:16, 98:25,	11:12, 21:17, 68:20,	Look [1] - 158:4	23:8, 23:13, 23:24,	132:23, 135:10,
100:1, 100:3, 100:9,	160:3, 162:22	looked [3] - 43:15,	23:25, 24:11, 25:12,	135:13, 139:24,
101:7, 101:8, 101:23,	listened [1] - 137:4	90:16, 114:20	25:17, 26:10, 153:4,	141:8, 142:6, 142:7,
104:17, 118:14,	listening [4] - 21:19,	looking [20] - 5:17,	160:6	142:9, 158:25, 168:8,
137:22, 142:11,	30:9, 137:1, 157:18	19:25, 21:24, 27:7,	malpractice [1] -	179:19
155:10, 155:11,	listing [1] - 155:3	55:25, 57:11, 57:23,	184:23	meaning [3] - 6:21,
155:16, 155:21,	lit [1] - 160:24	57:25, 58:5, 59:13,	man [4] - 41:24,	57:24, 61:15
155:22, 156:14, 158:8	literary [1] - 7:14	71:12, 101:15, 110:7,	131:25, 171:2	means [5] - 51:16,
letters [14] - 60:10,	literature [1] -	118:9, 118:17, 126:3,	maneuver [1] -	52:19, 82:2, 100:1,
72:7, 90:3, 90:5,	118:20	135:2, 142:12,	105:21	165:15
94:18, 98:13, 141:15,	litigant [2] - 106:9,	147:13, 151:12	March [1] - 45:24	meant [18] - 25:13,
141:20, 142:20,	107:9	looks [2] - 43:25,	Maricopa [6] - 102:8,	38:16, 38:19, 39:4,
142:22, 142:23,	litigation [9] - 6:23,	167:5	134:16, 134:18,	54:13, 94:18, 100:8,
142:25, 143:12, 144:1	65:16, 85:5, 91:15,	lose [6] - 84:13, 85:3,	134:19, 135:18, 136:2	110:23, 111:7,
level [1] - 59:7	91:23, 111:5, 111:21,	85:17, 92:23, 95:24,	Mark [2] - 172:1,	113:15, 113:19,
levels [1] - 31:7	132:19, 161:3	121:17	172:5	121:13, 143:16,
Lexis [3] - 174:9,	litmus [3] - 72:3,	loss [1] - 80:12	marker [1] - 156:13	165:13, 166:19,
174:15, 174:24	72:4, 73:9	loud [1] - 170:3	market [1] - 81:8	166:20, 167:8, 168:9
libel [5] - 26:7,	live [1] - 86:18	love [3] - 126:22,	Martinez [6] - 93:9,	meantime [1] -
101:14, 103:20,	livelihood [2] -	167:16, 171:1	95:17, 98:4, 99:22,	108:18
110:13, 148:17	79:21, 80:5	loves [1] - 174:11	148:10, 179:7	measure [1] - 176:19
liberal [1] - 157:8	lives [2] - 14:13,	low [3] - 106:8,	Marx [1] - 171:4	mechanical [1] -
licenses [1] - 79:18	42:13	135:3, 162:12	mass [1] - 127:4	154:20
licensing [1] - 80:14	livestock [1] - 119:5	lower [1] - 49:21	massive [2] - 146:16,	media [1] - 91:12
lie [3] - 118:6, 118:7,	living [4] - 20:11,	luck [2] - 75:15,	158:12	mediation [1] - 45:13
168:13	71:9, 79:22, 80:6	93:23	material [15] - 8:9,	medical [9] - 41:3,
lied [8] - 53:19, 63:7,	local [2] - 92:9,	luckily [1] - 111:21	13:5, 13:10, 28:3,	42:15, 42:17, 42:22,
66:21, 69:7, 117:19,	177:19	lying [1] - 119:16	34:19, 53:20, 69:15,	42:25, 43:4, 46:18,
127:3, 164:23, 165:14	locate [9] - 38:18,		87:3, 111:14, 115:14,	46:19, 66:5
life [3] - 157:2,	55:21, 60:12, 74:11,	M	120:22, 126:16,	meet [1] - 158:25
162:25, 163:8	104:22, 117:16,		126:18, 159:5, 160:17	meeting [3] - 55:24,
light [3] - 24:4, 24:5,	118:1, 154:8, 158:15	machine [1] - 169:7	materials [15] - 7:2,	58:11, 158:3
24:6	located [1] - 61:17	magic [1] - 78:13	12:21, 53:17, 53:18,	meetings [2] - 58:11, 151:25
likelihood [1] - 62:1	locating [2] - 56:13,	MAGISTRATE [1] -	57:23, 63:8, 63:9,	mega [1] - 113:17
likely [9] - 32:4,	57:2	1:11	66:19, 90:17, 90:18,	Mellish [2] - 167:8,
32:21, 33:3, 36:24,	location [2] - 27:14,	Magistrate [3] - 70:6,	123:10, 125:1,	
52:14, 53:21, 63:4,	73:2	87:10, 176:15	134:15, 164:15	167:14 memo [3] - 6:3, 44:1,
115:1, 118:5	locked [1] - 167:9	magistrate [10] -	matter [24] - 13:23,	155:18
limine [3] - 45:23,	logic [3] - 5:16,	13:22, 14:1, 14:2,	15:23, 15:24, 17:6,	memoranda [1] -
106:1, 184:4	105:5, 117:14	32:15, 35:23, 58:24,	18:12, 18:13, 34:25,	108:14
limitations [1] - 4:5	logical [3] - 63:12,	87:15, 93:5, 186:1	44:14, 59:7, 93:23,	memorandum [29] -
limited [8] - 58:22, 74:12, 76:21, 77:2,	161:13, 182:20	magistrate's [1] -	100:14, 100:15,	5:17, 19:11, 20:23,
17.12, 10.21, 11.2,	logistical [1] -		129:5, 129:13,	,, 20.20,
			1	

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 204 of 216 17

20:24, 26:16, 26:18,	million [8] - 28:12,	14:12, 14:13, 14:17,	163:19, 164:1,	45:23, 71:20, 106:1
26:21, 26:23, 27:4,	53:25, 57:14, 65:3,	15:13, 17:9, 17:18,	165:19, 168:13,	motivation [2] -
47:5, 48:16, 50:6,	80:11, 138:1, 147:24	17:24, 18:25, 22:14,	169:11, 169:14,	12:12, 69:6
51:6, 60:8, 72:10,	millions [1] - 105:1	22:18, 25:6, 28:2,	175:23, 177:2,	motor [1] - 96:22
76:1, 103:9, 108:3,	Mills [1] - 109:6	28:9, 28:24, 29:3,	179:16, 179:20,	movant [1] - 171:19
110:9, 119:20,	mind [6] - 25:15,	30:3, 30:7, 30:11,	180:25, 181:16,	move [3] - 33:9,
120:17, 121:7,	46:20, 50:18, 109:25,	30:14, 30:23, 31:22,	181:24, 182:11,	61:6, 90:22
128:25, 130:18,	139:19, 180:20	32:4, 32:20, 34:3,	182:23, 183:8, 184:19	moved [2] - 10:7,
160:10, 160:14,	mindfulness [1] -	34:15, 34:25, 35:17,	MONTGOMERY [1] -	14:16
178:13	108:22	36:1, 36:3, 36:6,	1:4	movie [3] - 62:13,
memory [10] - 39:13,	minimize [2] - 48:4,	36:11, 36:14, 36:16,	Montgomery's [40] -	166:23, 169:6
41:14, 41:25, 51:9,	50:16	37:7, 37:17, 38:2,	27:11, 29:6, 30:5,	moving [3] - 7:20,
54:6, 60:24, 109:22,	minimum [1] -	38:11, 38:22, 39:9,	43:24, 47:8, 57:12,	88:24, 177:19
117:11, 163:22	105:18	39:10, 40:6, 40:20,	62:8, 74:12, 76:23,	MR [372] - 3:5, 3:8,
mental [2] - 109:17,	minister [1] - 17:17	41:22, 43:10, 48:12,	77:3, 77:18, 78:5,	3:12, 3:23, 4:10, 4:13,
109:24	minor [1] - 61:6	49:2, 49:5, 49:14,	78:7, 78:19, 79:3,	4:16, 4:19, 4:24, 7:6,
mentioned [2] - 58:2,	minute [5] - 43:21,	49:25, 50:22, 51:3,	80:5, 84:7, 85:22,	7:8, 9:6, 10:18, 11:9,
106:13	76:1, 108:12, 142:2,	52:4, 52:20, 53:13,	86:15, 87:18, 96:25,	11:11, 11:23, 12:23,
Mercedes [1] -	145:12	53:17, 54:18, 56:1,	115:7, 116:14,	13:18, 14:21, 15:15,
176:10	minutes [7] - 18:19,	57:1, 57:7, 57:14,	117:10, 120:11,	15:24, 16:6, 16:16,
Mercedes-Benz [1] -	38:13, 43:12, 43:14,	58:18, 60:9, 60:25,	135:8, 136:6, 137:7,	18:8, 18:18, 18:20,
176:10	150:15, 186:4, 186:7	61:8, 61:16, 63:4, 64:14, 64:22, 67:5,	140:3, 140:9, 141:1,	18:23, 19:2, 19:4,
mere [1] - 70:11	miraculous [1] -	67:11, 68:9, 69:8,	144:15, 146:2,	19:8, 19:24, 20:16,
merely [3] - 8:5,	172:22	70:13, 72:21, 73:10,	147:20, 148:2, 148:5, 152:18, 160:4, 179:10	21:16, 22:8, 23:4,
39:10, 40:6	Miramar [2] - 31:18,	74:14, 76:5, 76:12,	month [9] - 33:10,	23:7, 23:24, 24:25,
merits [1] - 182:9	111:2	76:20, 77:1, 77:7,	54:17, 70:11, 70:12,	25:2, 25:5, 25:8,
messages [5] -	MISCELLANEOUS	77:16, 77:17, 77:24,	71:10, 72:1, 155:7,	25:10, 26:21, 27:2, 27:6, 27:9, 28:2,
74:14, 77:9, 105:3, 141:14, 144:17	[1] - 1:10	78:17, 78:21, 78:23,	155:13, 159:19	30:22, 31:13, 31:19,
met [4] - 39:1, 54:17,	mischaracterizatio	79:8, 79:20, 79:21,	months [5] - 6:8,	34:10, 35:4, 36:19,
117:22, 155:12	n [1] - 102:14	80:9, 80:12, 80:17,	29:2, 65:21, 68:25,	37:12, 37:15, 38:7,
metaphors [1] -	misconduct [1] -	82:8, 82:12, 82:24,	109:13	38:14, 40:8, 40:18,
178:7	151:19	83:8, 83:11, 83:21,	morning [1] - 22:10	42:23, 43:7, 43:16,
MIAMI [1] - 1:2	misinterpret [1] -	101:18, 102:7, 105:1,	Morrow [3] - 98:22,	44:18, 47:12, 48:19,
Miami [9] - 1:4, 1:15,	15:9	105:17, 110:10,	98:23, 99:3	50:20, 51:17, 51:23,
1:22, 2:2, 2:3, 58:12,	misleading [4] -	110:16, 110:20,	Moscow [1] - 62:11	52:1, 52:7, 52:11,
59:2, 186:23, 186:24	76:21, 77:1, 79:20, 83:8	111:11, 111:23,	most [23] - 5:21,	53:5, 53:11, 54:13,
Michael [1] - 13:21	missing [2] - 27:22,	112:7, 112:22,	21:3, 21:11, 34:23,	55:7, 55:9, 55:12,
Michele [2] - 174:7,	92:3	112:24, 114:22,	35:13, 43:12, 56:22,	55:14, 55:17, 55:23,
178:22	mission [1] - 65:15	115:3, 115:13,	63:4, 72:17, 75:4,	56:7, 58:7, 59:12,
mid [1] - 4:4	misspoken [1] -	115:21, 117:20,	90:18, 92:19, 116:14,	59:24, 60:4, 60:6,
mid-February [1] -	115:25	118:1, 118:6, 118:18,	117:9, 118:7, 128:17,	60:23, 61:22, 61:24,
4:4	mistaken [1] - 62:24	120:16, 121:5, 122:9,	138:19, 145:1,	62:11, 62:15, 62:18,
middle [5] - 27:10,	misunderstand [1] -	122:15, 123:4,	146:23, 157:2,	63:12, 63:16, 63:20,
41:19, 45:24, 110:9,	15:9	124:25, 126:6,	165:24, 177:23,	63:22, 64:1, 64:5,
158:16	misunderstood [1] -	126:17, 127:10,	180:25	64:7, 65:24, 66:13,
Mifflin [1] - 10:8	37:13	127:13, 128:1, 129:1, 133:15, 133:20,	MOTION [1] - 1:10	66:16, 67:13, 67:15,
might [23] - 11:7,	mix [1] - 32:16	133:21, 134:1, 134:7,	motion [32] - 5:13,	67:20, 68:6, 68:11,
28:16, 31:24, 43:16,	modified [1] - 182:4	136:3, 137:12,	5:14, 5:17, 13:1,	68:15, 68:17, 69:4,
47:15, 47:21, 50:15,	modify [1] - 39:7	141:13, 141:19,	13:20, 14:8, 14:17,	69:19, 72:3, 73:5,
58:25, 59:15, 60:15,	moment [2] - 41:8,	143:13, 144:13,	14:21, 26:17, 26:23,	73:16, 73:21, 73:23,
64:9, 66:23, 70:6,	108:22	144:21, 145:6,	44:20, 45:25, 61:9,	74:16, 74:20, 74:23, 75:1, 75:3, 75:16,
75:6, 94:18, 105:7,	Monday [3] - 168:2,	145:19, 146:14,	71:17, 73:12, 73:19,	80:20, 80:23, 80:25,
116:7, 127:5, 132:12,	185:4, 185:11	147:19, 149:10,	95:10, 100:23, 100:24, 101:1,	81:4, 81:15, 82:8,
133:17, 148:25,	money [2] - 78:16,	151:21, 151:22,	100.24, 101.1, 102:12, 108:4,	82:15, 82:22, 83:5,
157:10, 166:16	167:3	152:4, 152:11,	159:19, 161:9,	83:7, 83:21, 84:1,
mildly [1] - 156:25	Money [1] - 166:24	152:14, 154:8, 156:2,	171:11, 177:3, 179:6,	84:3, 84:5, 84:10,
mile [1] - 13:24	Montgomery [186] -	157:25, 158:11,	179:8, 184:3, 185:3	85:5, 85:8, 85:10,
military [1] - 142:21	3:2, 7:19, 10:13,	162:5, 162:23,	motions [4] - 16:10,	85:15, 86:3, 86:13,
mill [1] - 32:24	12:10, 12:13, 14:10,	162:25, 163:4, 163:7,		. , .,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 205 of 216 18

[
86:20, 87:2, 87:6,	155:11, 156:20,	155:23, 156:1,	43:6, 44:16, 45:8,	new [16] - 12:14,
87:8, 87:21, 88:5,	157:16, 157:23,	156:10, 169:16,	46:18, 47:2, 61:4,	20:12, 91:1, 91:2,
88:12, 88:17, 88:21,	158:19, 158:23,	170:9, 171:22, 172:7,	67:21, 83:19, 97:18,	91:3, 116:8, 116:9,
89:6, 89:9, 90:1, 90:3,	161:15, 162:13,	172:10, 174:4, 175:8,	97:19, 138:13,	116:11, 135:19,
90:7, 90:10, 90:23,	163:11, 164:1, 164:6,	175:17, 185:8,	139:13, 148:11,	135:22, 135:23,
90:25, 91:2, 91:4,	164:12, 165:6, 165:8,	185:14, 185:25	152:15, 153:2,	135:25, 149:6,
91:17, 91:19, 91:24,	165:17, 166:17,	multiple [1] - 29:5	174:25, 182:10, 183:5	171:16, 173:16,
92:1, 92:3, 93:8,	167:1, 167:7, 167:16,	Murray [2] - 111:25,	needed [1] - 182:3	177:24
93:11, 93:16, 94:5,	167:19, 167:22,	134:20	needs [16] - 18:24,	news [2] - 81:7,
94:7, 94:11, 94:14,	168:1, 168:5, 168:7,	mushy [1] - 40:2	19:20, 26:1, 41:9,	108:24
95:4, 95:6, 95:14,	168:25, 169:17,	must [8] - 18:15,	41:20, 92:13, 93:17,	newspaper [1] - 32:1
96:12, 97:4, 97:6,	170:6, 170:11,	19:1, 19:5, 26:9,	98:3, 98:10, 99:4,	newspapers [1] -
97:8, 97:18, 98:12,	170:14, 170:25,	26:14, 117:13,	99:16, 113:13, 153:9	6:22
98:17, 98:20, 98:22,	171:5, 172:8, 172:15,	160:20, 163:8	negative [3] - 16:14,	next [10] - 3:13, 29:1,
99:1, 99:6, 99:8,	172:17, 173:9,	my [1] - 115:25	31:9, 33:13	45:13, 120:15, 121:3,
99:12, 99:14, 99:24,	173:17, 173:25,	mysterious [1] - 79:7	negligence [1] -	131:5, 134:6, 165:16,
100:4, 100:7, 100:20,	174:17, 174:19,	mystery [1] - 79:7	160:7	165:18, 182:20
100:24, 101:1,	174:21, 174:24,		negligent [2] - 80:3,	nice [2] - 42:24,
102:14, 102:20,	175:2, 175:4, 175:6,	Ν	173:3	168:2
102:23, 103:2, 103:6,	175:10, 175:14,		negotiated [1] - 80:9	Nichols [1] - 10:14
103:11, 103:15,	175:18, 177:9,		negotiating [1] -	nigh [1] - 71:18
104:4, 104:7, 104:23,	177:22, 178:2,	nail [2] - 150:22,	79:17	night [3] - 6:10,
105:13, 106:4, 107:8,	178:21, 178:25,	181:25	nervous [1] - 185:20	41:14, 158:17
108:1, 108:7, 108:9,	179:14, 179:18,	name [16] - 55:6,	NetFlix [1] - 62:16	nilly [1] - 9:13
108:18, 110:1, 110:5,	179:22, 180:1,	55:10, 57:5, 98:19,	Network [1] - 136:10	Ninth [1] - 102:6
110:22, 111:16,	180:22, 181:6,	109:3, 109:24,	neutral [3] - 106:2,	NO [1] - 1:2
112:3, 112:5, 113:15,	181:18, 181:23,	154:18, 156:18,	106:14, 106:24	noble [1] - 137:13
114:5, 114:17,	182:25, 183:2, 183:4,	158:17, 167:14,	neutrally [1] - 107:2	nobody [2] - 88:2,
114:20, 114:24,	183:11, 183:18,	167:18, 167:21,	Nevada [11] - 53:16,	168:22
115:9, 115:16,	184:2, 184:7, 185:2,	172:6, 177:6	62:2, 66:17, 67:5,	noise [1] - 74:13
115:18, 115:22,	185:6, 185:11,	named [2] - 18:3,	67:12, 68:2, 70:24,	
116:2, 118:12,	185:24, 186:1, 186:5	177:18	88:8, 88:14, 88:19,	noise-filtering [1] -
118:23, 119:1, 119:6,	MS [90] - 3:6, 3:16,	namely [2] - 57:4,	101:25	74:13
119:23, 120:2, 120:8,	5:3, 9:22, 10:20,	122:6		non [1] - 171:9
121:1, 121:12, 122:4,	11:10, 11:18, 14:6,	names [2] - 84:24,	never [56] - 10:6,	non-answers [1] -
122:8, 122:17,	26:5, 27:5, 37:11,	172:10	17:1, 17:6, 18:1,	171:9
122:23, 123:1,	37:19, 42:2, 43:8,	nasty [1] - 91:16	19:17, 22:20, 22:21,	None [1] - 95:19
123:17, 123:22,	43:14, 45:11, 46:11,	National [1] - 150:10	27:25, 31:2, 31:24,	none [4] - 37:4, 49:6,
124:22, 124:24,	47:20, 48:8, 56:17,	national [7] - 91:10,	32:2, 33:14, 33:20,	84:19, 95:20
125:7, 125:15,	57:18, 59:11, 59:15,	97:23, 111:20,	35:1, 35:2, 35:15,	nonstarter [3] -
125:17, 125:19,	60:19, 60:21, 61:1,	132:25, 140:15,	51:13, 52:14, 53:3,	16:21, 69:15, 129:16
125:22, 125:24,	64:9, 64:11, 64:21,	141:7, 162:7	53:6, 53:7, 53:9,	normal [1] - 119:13
126:2, 126:11,	65:11, 66:23, 70:21,	nature [1] - 92:11	53:21, 53:23, 53:24,	normally [1] - 44:5
126:14, 126:22,	74:8, 74:10, 75:15,	near [1] - 12:6	66:2, 67:8, 67:20,	North [2] - 2:2,
127:16, 127:21,	75:18, 76:10, 77:6,	necessarily [10] -	68:3, 76:1, 82:16,	186:23
128:4, 128:7, 128:11,	77:11, 77:14, 94:21,	10:4, 10:25, 11:4,	92:7, 92:8, 104:13,	north [1] - 60:5
128:14, 129:2, 129:7,	95:8, 101:8, 106:16,	24:9, 58:1, 107:5,	114:20, 116:3, 122:8,	note [2] - 27:11,
129:22, 130:7, 131:9,	106:18, 106:20,	112:25, 131:6,	124:3, 124:17, 128:7,	167:2
131:12, 131:16,	106:24, 107:19,	138:23, 182:8	133:8, 138:8, 147:22,	notes [5] - 21:18,
131:18, 132:5,	107:24, 108:15,		163:15, 164:10,	22:2, 168:17, 185:16,
132:12, 132:20,	116:7, 116:12,	necessary [9] - 15:4,	164:11, 165:5,	185:20
132:23, 133:12,	119:25, 126:5,	16:18, 101:19,	166:16, 167:22,	nothing [19] - 17:3,
134:4, 134:12,	134:25, 135:17,	102:12, 121:19,	172:20, 183:25	81:1, 123:25, 141:6,
134:23, 135:2, 135:4,	135:20, 136:1,	153:15, 153:20,	nevertheless [1] -	141:10, 141:11,
135:15, 136:16,	136:19, 136:24,	153:21, 160:7	89:18	142:12, 145:2,
136:22, 138:9, 149:5,	137:17, 139:5, 140:1,	neck [1] - 62:9	New [17] - 3:7, 3:8,	146:21, 146:24,
149:7, 150:4, 150:7,		need [33] - 11:4,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7,	149:11, 149:18,
151:3, 151:17,	140:20, 141:13,	11:24, 13:14, 15:3,	4:10, 4:14, 4:21, 6:23,	150:20, 151:7, 171:1,
	143:3, 143:22, 144:3,	18:21, 21:21, 21:22,	11:2, 108:25, 109:8,	171:18, 181:20,
151:20, 151:24, 154:10, 154:15,	145:4, 145:10, 147:1,	22:5, 22:6, 22:11,	168:1, 173:8, 186:11,	181:23
154:10, 154:15, 154:23, 155:3, 155:7,	147:6, 148:8, 148:22, 152:12, 153:18,	25:16, 35:21, 35:24,	186:12	notice [4] - 17:4,
107.20, 100.0, 100.7,	102.12, 100.10,			,
L	1	1	1	1

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 206 of 216 19

	T	1	Т	T
37:25, 57:19	27:18, 34:19, 129:9	40:18, 41:5, 46:6,	113:17, 114:3, 130:15	120:18, 121:14,
notion [3] - 42:21,	objectivity [1] -	48:2, 48:16, 54:15,	opposite [3] -	123:2, 124:4, 137:21,
113:2, 135:9	112:3	58:12, 69:17, 70:11,	130:17, 130:23,	140:3, 147:20, 184:18
notwithstanding [2]	objects [1] - 130:15	70:22, 72:12, 72:14,	131:18	owned [1] - 52:16
- 24:21, 164:2	obtain [3] - 52:5,	72:19, 72:20, 73:3,	opposition [2] -	ownership [1] -
novel [1] - 19:22	111:4, 114:4	76:11, 79:13, 80:9,	108:3, 180:13	64:13
November [8] -	obviously [7] - 47:6,	85:10, 90:23, 90:25,	optimistic [1] - 18:15	Oz [3] - 124:7, 124:8,
	••••	94:21, 95:3, 95:8,	oranges [1] - 97:3	124:9
56:24, 108:8, 119:21,	123:10, 140:13,	96:14, 98:12, 98:22,	• • • •	124.9
127:6, 155:24,	145:17, 147:16,	99:9, 100:12, 102:10,	orchestrate [2] -	D
155:25, 156:3, 156:12	148:8, 153:12	103:25, 108:14,	31:5, 31:8	Р
nowhere [1] - 30:5	occasionally [1] -	109:6, 115:3, 120:14,	orchestrated [1] -	
NSA [8] - 58:20,	170:22	121:5, 121:9, 124:18,	30:12	p.m [3] - 1:6, 8:25,
127:2, 127:6, 133:7,	occasions [5] - 39:1,	126:2, 127:10,	Order [1] - 70:3	89:23
136:7, 136:13,	43:18, 117:22, 118:17	129:17, 130:12,	order [37] - 7:23,	pablum [1] - 82:6
137:18, 137:20	occur [1] - 4:10		9:23, 10:12, 13:7,	packed [1] - 44:24
nuances [1] - 11:1	occurred [2] -	134:22, 139:20, 141:25, 142:13,	13:11, 16:22, 33:6,	page [11] - 5:18,
number [27] - 5:18,	119:17, 173:22	143:15, 147:1, 147:7,	37:2, 37:3, 44:16,	38:1, 48:1, 48:2, 48:6,
8:12, 20:4, 22:2, 22:3,	October [9] - 56:19,		52:4, 55:21, 55:23,	110:9, 120:5, 165:16,
36:3, 38:25, 40:21,	56:20, 57:9, 60:13,	147:23, 149:9, 150:7,	68:2, 70:6, 71:2,	165:18, 168:12
43:18, 57:4, 59:3,	60:18, 61:19, 71:11,	151:3, 151:17, 152:4,	75:12, 87:9, 87:12,	Page [21] - 16:7,
61:7, 72:12, 77:5,	102:6, 117:19	152:10, 161:3,	93:1, 94:14, 95:2,	24:6, 27:7, 27:10,
96:14, 98:12, 105:16,	OF [1] - 1:1	161:16, 161:20,	102:3, 118:2, 124:12,	44:2, 47:6, 51:6, 60:7,
134:2, 139:5, 142:11,	offer [2] - 12:20,	162:1, 162:22, 164:3,	124:16, 151:18,	61:7, 75:1, 103:8,
162:21, 164:13,	42:12	164:13, 164:21,	153:16, 160:16,	110:9, 128:24,
164:14, 166:5,	offered [2] - 42:14,	170:4, 170:6, 170:18,	182:15, 183:12,	133:11, 133:13,
179:11, 179:12,	43:22	171:23, 171:25,	183:22, 183:24,	165:18, 173:5, 176:7,
184:15	offhand [1] - 35:19	173:12, 173:17,	185:18	176:9, 176:10, 176:12
numbered [2] -	office [7] - 30:4,	175:1, 175:13,	ordered [12] - 37:1,	page-saver [2] -
120:5, 165:18	31:18, 32:9, 44:5,	175:15, 177:3, 177:10, 178:3, 178:7,	53:16, 60:9, 60:21,	48:1, 48:6
numbers [11] - 38:1,	44:9, 51:25, 136:7		62:2, 63:8, 64:22,	
141:15, 141:20,	Office [2] - 102:8,	178:9, 179:3, 179:11,	67:5, 71:3, 134:20,	Pages [2] - 1:8, 48:15
142:20, 142:22,	134:19	179:23, 180:10,	142:25	pages [14] - 28:12,
142:23, 142:24,	offices [1] - 39:22	180:13, 181:10,	orders [3] - 61:19,	
142:25, 143:11,	official [14] - 2:1,	181:11, 182:2, 182:6, 184:15	66:10, 67:24	38:5, 39:12, 40:5, 40:17, 46:15, 47:4,
144:1, 175:14	88:3, 89:18, 89:24,		organized [1] - 37:23	40.17, 40.15, 47.4, 47:5, 47:7, 48:2,
nuts [1] - 13:16	90:4, 90:5, 99:1,	one's [1] - 69:22	oriented [1] - 92:18	53:25, 57:14, 178:14
NW [1] - 1:18	100:8, 103:20,	one-month [1] -	original [1] - 16:10	
NyQuil [2] - 41:13,	106:21, 107:5, 113:9	70:11	Osama [1] - 105:4	pain [3] - 43:2,
41:16	Official [1] - 186:22	one-sentence [2] -	otherwise [5] - 40:4,	43:17, 45:9
	officials [11] - 58:21,	21:4, 21:12	75:8, 87:25, 116:10,	paint [4] - 33:15,
0	78:8, 90:11, 98:17,	ongoing [1] - 32:13	141:6	73:10, 85:1, 105:21
	126:25, 140:15,	open [5] - 8:8, 39:16,	outfit [1] - 177:10	painted [1] - 84:25
A7.9	144:8, 144:14, 145:8,	71:18, 158:5, 170:2	outgrowth [1] -	panthers [1] - 109:2
oar [1] - 47:3	151:19, 156:17	operatives [1] -	161:13	Panthers [1] - 109:7
oath [2] - 35:20,	often [2] - 126:5,	151:11	outrageously [1] -	paper [2] - 48:5,
101:6	138:13	opine [2] - 137:17,	15:21	161:22
Obama [1] - 78:3	old [4] - 6:4, 44:8,	140:24	outright [1] - 136:10	papers [6] - 6:21,
object [2] - 33:18,	54:6	opinion [4] - 9:19,	outset [1] - 47:14	6:22, 132:8, 136:21,
182:21	old-fashioned [2] -	100:18, 141:22,	outside [3] - 67:8,	175:17
objected [4] - 14:16,	6:4, 44:8	176:16	120:20, 152:4	paragraph [5] - 77:5,
27:11, 70:11, 72:4	old-school [1] - 6:4	opinions [2] - 11:3,	overly [2] - 18:15,	83:1, 128:25, 144:19,
objecting [1] -	once [5] - 35:20,	139:19	27:13	145:9
102:18	110:17, 110:20,	opportunity [7] -	overseas [1] - 151:1	Paragraph [14] -
objection [16] - 14:4,	112:18, 144:13	5:10, 21:9, 79:22,	overtake [1] - 17:12	51:7, 76:12, 76:19,
27:21, 27:22, 27:25,	one [110] - 7:19,	80:6, 139:15, 171:11,	overtly [1] - 148:6	76:25, 77:6, 77:7,
28:24, 34:2, 34:4,	10:12, 15:12, 15:15,	171:16	overwhelming [2] -	77:14, 77:20, 78:4,
34:13, 45:6, 102:17,	16:16, 20:4, 21:4,	opposed [6] - 39:11,	150:19, 153:3	78:25, 83:3, 144:4,
102:21, 129:5,	21:8, 21:12, 22:2,	46:9, 48:2, 130:3,	own [15] - 24:5, 26:7,	165:18, 165:19
129:20, 145:25, 185:7	22:10, 27:21, 35:19,	134:10, 137:15	45:14, 61:17, 76:22,	paragraphs [3] -
objections [4] -	36:3, 38:20, 39:6,	opposing [4] - 89:13,	45.14, 61.17, 76.22, 116:1, 117:15,	79:12, 140:2, 143:23
			110.1, 117.10,	

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 207 of 216 20

paralegal [3] - 3:13,	patriot [3] - 62:8,	person's [1] - 98:19	78:21, 78:23, 79:1,	151:17, 153:12,
21:19, 22:1	137:12, 137:15	personal [2] - 51:9,	79:3, 79:6, 79:8,	153:22, 157:23,
paraphrasing [1] -	pave [1] - 29:24	136:11	79:15, 79:21, 80:5,	159:25, 166:9,
41:5	pavo[1] - 89:3	personality [1] -	80:9, 80:12, 83:7,	177:24, 178:2,
parenthetical [2] -	paying [1] - 67:2	109:11	98:3, 99:4, 99:16,	178:15, 179:3, 180:24
21:4, 21:12	peculiar [1] - 112:19	personally [1] - 68:3	105:21, 110:10,	pointed [8] - 90:14,
part [41] - 10:12,	pejorative [1] - 50:11	personnel [1] - 28:20	121:22, 144:21,	92:25, 93:3, 113:7,
13:1, 41:24, 44:1,	penalty [1] - 67:2	persons [1] - 94:15	149:1, 163:15, 165:5	121:18, 122:18,
45:12, 52:22, 61:9,	penchant [1] -	perspective [4] -	PLAINTIFF [1] - 1:14	138:5, 142:7
61:13, 64:12, 67:22,	171:23	35:7, 154:21, 158:20,	plaintiff's [2] - 89:11,	pointing [1] - 83:13
80:18, 80:20, 80:22,	pending [9] - 13:20,	182:5	89:15	points [7] - 5:22,
80:23, 81:12, 81:15,	14:4, 14:7, 14:19,	persuasive [2] -	Plaintiff's [7] - 14:11,	5:25, 34:24, 61:15,
81:19, 82:11, 82:21,	46:1, 71:20, 138:3,	43:3, 113:14	47:2, 78:9, 79:24,	73:11, 120:14, 139:5
83:22, 83:23, 84:1,	177:3	persuasively [2] -	83:10, 96:3, 108:3	poli [1] - 118:21
84:3, 84:6, 86:3, 86:9,	Pennsylvania [1] -	90:14, 165:4	Plaintiffs [2] - 3:12,	policy [1] - 33:19
86:11, 86:13, 86:23,	1:18	pertain [1] - 75:6	103:14	politics [2] - 157:3,
88:24, 96:25, 110:13,	people [33] - 11:13,	pertaining [1] -	plan [1] - 182:18	157:7
123:23, 124:15,	31:25, 56:3, 58:15,	172:23	planes [9] - 12:7,	pong [1] - 60:2
138:17, 139:3,	58:24, 59:1, 69:9,	petard [2] - 116:1,	24:8, 140:9, 140:18,	ponytail [1] - 177:10
146:23, 162:13,	75:12, 81:25, 113:15,	116:2	140:19, 141:16,	portion [4] - 8:15,
166:8, 180:4	113:21, 114:1, 114:6,	Peyton [1] - 9:24	141:18, 141:23, 143:6	43:9, 78:1, 138:19
parte [2] - 54:24,	119:12, 122:24,	Pfizer [1] - 176:4	play [6] - 25:12, 60:1,	portions [2] - 81:19,
104:13	124:4, 124:10, 133:4,	philosophy [3] -	68:23, 70:3, 109:2,	182:3
particular [20] - 5:15,	140:24, 141:23,	68:24, 69:2, 85:5	109:7	position [62] - 9:16,
5:16, 8:13, 8:25,	143:7, 145:21,	phone [1] - 37:10	played [2] - 127:12,	15:9, 19:4, 19:7, 20:3,
16:20, 23:18, 32:17,	147:13, 152:25,	phonetic [1] - 148:1	176:22	34:22, 46:8, 51:13,
46:6, 46:14, 62:24,	157:3, 159:8, 159:12,	phrase [8] - 27:13,	playing [4] - 85:1,	53:4, 57:9, 57:11,
69:16, 99:19, 129:4,	160:23, 160:25,	59:9, 129:16, 138:22,	109:8, 122:25, 168:2	62:20, 63:2, 63:3,
129:12, 154:20,	161:5, 161:12,	142:6, 155:18,	pleadings [8] - 16:8,	76:3, 80:18, 84:8,
155:21, 160:10,	161:17, 162:3	172:11, 182:1	17:4, 17:15, 20:1,	84:9, 86:16, 86:19,
161:1, 161:6, 166:10	per [3] - 48:2, 67:1,	phrased [2] - 51:14,	20:2, 66:18, 102:15,	86:20, 86:24, 92:18,
particularized [1] -	67:2	60:15	106:6	92:24, 101:24, 102:4,
154:19	per-day [1] - 67:1	pick [1] - 72:8	pleasant [1] - 5:3	104:19, 115:7, 115:9,
particularly [1] -	percent [3] - 49:5,	picking [1] - 50:5	plus [1] - 172:11	115:24, 117:10,
168:14	94:23, 141:8	picture [1] - 97:6	Point [3] - 123:3,	123:16, 125:25,
parties [4] - 9:25,	perception [1] -	piece [2] - 54:25,	163:13, 174:2	130:12, 130:14,
178:10, 183:20,	48:13	96:3	point [77] - 4:2, 6:11,	130:17, 131:2, 132:7,
183:23	perfect [1] - 22:15	pieces [2] - 177:15,	10:2, 15:4, 19:22,	135:8, 135:11, 137:6,
partner [2] - 147:16,	perfectly [1] - 4:7	177:16	25:25, 33:4, 34:24,	144:25, 146:25,
152:13	perhaps [10] - 31:9,	ping [1] - 60:2	38:5, 39:6, 40:17,	148:5, 150:7, 157:11,
Partners [1] - 173:4	39:17, 40:14, 44:25,	ping-pong [1] - 60:2	41:1, 43:16, 46:6,	161:13, 163:15,
parts [1] - 82:18	46:18, 60:17, 98:4,	pinpoint [4] - 39:12,	48:10, 48:25, 49:19,	163:24, 164:8,
party [18] - 8:6, 34:17, 65:15, 70:24,	150:1, 164:21, 165:1	45:3, 46:14, 83:3	53:6, 53:11, 59:15, 61:3, 61:6, 62:24	164:10, 164:11, 164:21, 164:22,
99:15, 101:25,	period [1] - 60:25	pinpointing [1] -	61:3, 61:6, 62:24, 65:6, 70:8, 70:22	165:2, 165:5, 165:11,
99.15, 101.25, 107:10, 107:16,	perjured [1] - 127:3	37:25	65:6, 70:8, 70:22, 72:9, 72:14, 72:15,	168:23, 169:3, 183:24
114:4, 129:19,	permissible [1] -	pixels [1] - 143:10	73:1, 73:10, 81:1,	positions [5] - 36:9,
130:12, 130:15,	181:5	place [4] - 73:1,	83:13, 90:23, 90:25,	89:14, 89:15, 130:3,
130:23, 131:1,	permitted [3] - 21:3,	115:5, 157:1, 166:10	91:1, 91:2, 91:3,	130:21
132:18, 161:10	21:11, 27:16	plaintiff [12] - 18:14,	94:22, 95:8, 112:16,	Posse [2] - 134:16,
pass [1] - 158:14	perpetrated [2] - 136:11, 139:9	18:24, 19:5, 19:13,	112:21, 113:2, 113:6,	134:18
passage [6] - 77:20,	perpetrating [1] -	19:18, 19:19, 19:20,	114:21, 115:2, 116:8,	possess [3] -
77:24, 78:10, 78:15,	146:16	21:1, 25:25, 89:14,	116:9, 116:11,	123:18, 127:19, 128:2
109:23, 144:20	person [17] - 30:8,	98:9, 110:13	120:15, 120:25,	possessed [1] -
passing [2] - 21:18,	37:10, 37:11, 55:3,	Plaintiff [41] - 1:5,	121:3, 121:7, 121:11,	111:11
22:2	98:24, 101:21,	3:11, 15:12, 19:1,	132:19, 133:23,	possession [12] -
past [6] - 39:25,	112:12, 129:5, 161:1,	26:9, 70:18, 75:25, 76:12, 76:20, 76:25	134:6, 135:5, 135:22,	27:24, 29:10, 30:25,
137:2, 137:4, 169:19,	161:3, 161:6, 161:16,	76:12, 76:20, 76:25,	135:25, 136:1,	31:3, 57:8, 62:7,
179:6, 184:8	161:23, 164:16,	77:3, 77:7, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:6,	138:12, 146:13,	64:15, 111:15, 112:9,
path [1] - 78:14	168:2, 172:18, 172:25	78:12, 78:17, 78:19,	148:12, 149:6,	129:6, 129:20, 158:24
Production of the second se	100.2, 112.10, 112.20			

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 208 of 216 21

i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
possibilities [2] -	preponderance [2] -	128:19, 128:21, 186:7	176:24, 176:25,	121:20, 146:10,
164:14, 166:6	178:11, 178:16	privilege [26] - 34:20,	180:14	153:5, 179:19
possibility [1] - 49:4	present [7] - 12:2,	52:8, 52:12, 70:25,	producing [2] -	proved [2] - 78:3,
possible [4] - 68:9,	25:5, 44:19, 57:5,	84:21, 85:20, 91:15,	33:19, 72:5	169:9
96:11, 116:6, 118:25	84:14, 84:16, 101:18	91:25, 96:18, 102:2,	product [3] - 42:22,	proves [1] - 69:1
possibly [2] - 49:23,	presentation [3] -	103:22, 103:24,	52:8, 177:1	provide [14] - 44:9,
123:18	6:16, 66:15, 113:14	105:24, 106:3, 106:8,	production [3] -	44:10, 44:12, 47:18,
post [1] - 185:17	presentations [1] -	106:10, 106:13,	34:5, 34:18, 159:2	51:11, 54:19, 56:13,
Post [2] - 6:3, 6:5	6:14	106:14, 106:16,	professional [2] -	61:23, 84:24, 99:18,
post-hearing [1] -	presented [2] -	106:18, 106:19,	79:23, 157:2	117:15, 118:9,
185:17	129:7, 162:16	106:20, 107:17,	professionally [1] -	154:15, 157:13
Post-lt [2] - 6:3, 6:5	presenting [2] -	107:20, 111:17	169:21	provided [18] -
postpone [1] - 46:24	84:22, 92:21	privileged [1] - 75:8	professor [2] -	49:14, 49:16, 49:17,
postponement [1] -	preservation [1] -	privileges [1] - 177:1	130:11, 131:3	54:21, 56:12, 57:15,
71:16	110:21	privy [1] - 58:18	progeny [1] - 176:6	78:16, 118:2, 134:15,
potential [1] - 140:18	preserve [8] -	pro [1] - 14:17	program [1] - 57:1	154:14, 154:17,
pounding [1] -	110:10, 110:17,	Pro [3] - 64:21, 71:3, 147:23	progress [3] - 31:19,	155:1, 155:3, 156:6, 156:16, 156:22,
153:10	111:9, 112:17,	probable [5] - 53:20,	36:23, 111:22	157:20, 176:21
powerful [2] - 41:15,	113:12, 114:2, 114:3, 132:17	64:15, 64:17, 66:20,	progressed [1] - 33:2	providing [1] - 80:14
41:16	preserved [1] -	133:9	33:2 prohibited [1] - 9:9	province [1] - 99:24
PowerPoint [2] -	113:25	probe [5] - 84:9,	prohibiting [1] - 9:9	proving [8] - 19:13,
6:14, 6:16 PowerPoints [1] -	preserving [1] -	86:19, 86:20, 89:14,	20:24	23:9, 25:11, 26:2,
6:14	113:25	89:15	prolong [1] - 16:17	26:4, 96:16, 103:22,
practical [4] - 8:17,	President [8] - 78:3,	problem [2] - 41:4,	proof [5] - 15:16,	122:18
154:21, 155:2, 158:20	140:8, 140:14,	122:7	23:21, 99:23, 178:10,	provisions [2] -
practically [1] - 9:3	140:22, 140:25,	problematic [1] -	178:19	38:17, 133:16
practice [2] - 5:12,	141:6, 141:17, 142:25	21:20	proper [1] - 162:8	provocative [1] -
121:15	Press [1] - 147:12	problems [2] - 45:20,	properly [1] - 31:6	140:13
practicing [2] -	pressing [1] - 71:8	47:11	property [9] - 76:14,	prudent [2] - 36:2,
19:14, 20:10	pressure [1] - 144:10	procedure [1] -	79:16, 79:18, 79:25,	36:5
praised [1] - 10:14	presumably [3] -	125:1	80:7, 80:13, 80:14,	public [15] - 8:1, 8:7,
preceding [1] - 172:3	52:3, 114:7, 126:6	Procedure [2] -	80:16, 116:15	8:8, 10:1, 13:9, 16:12,
precise [1] - 134:11	presumed [1] - 7:13	177:19, 184:8	proponent [1] - 8:4	23:9, 23:10, 23:11,
preclearance [1] -	pretend [1] - 52:2	proceed [3] - 21:21,	proposition [9] -	23:12, 26:10, 105:6,
400.00		00.04 411.00		107.0 100.5 100.0
128:22	pretty [9] - 52:21,	23:21, 144:23	19:12, 20:9, 21:8,	107:2, 160:5, 160:8
preclude [1] - 154:4	54:7, 91:16, 92:16,	proceeding [17] -	103:23, 104:3,	publication [2] -
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] -	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] -
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] -	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] -	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] -	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] -
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] -	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] -	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] -	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10,
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5,
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] -	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19,
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1,
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] -	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13,
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 publishe [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 17:16	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publised [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] -
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11 premises [1] - 53:13	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 17:16 principle [2] -	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12, 27:15, 27:17, 27:23,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13, 16:14, 17:23, 18:9,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] - 167:11
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11 premises [1] - 53:13 preparation [2] -	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevented [1] - 96:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 17:16 principle [2] - 129:14, 130:1	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12, 27:15, 27:17, 27:23, 28:21, 60:10, 64:22,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13, 16:14, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 18:14, 18:25,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] - 167:11 pure [3] - 13:13,
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11 premises [1] - 53:13 preparation [2] - 29:5, 67:22	$54:7, 91:16, 92:16, \\139:24, 139:25, \\140:13, 140:14, \\171:14 \\ prevente[2] - 123:20, \\131:1 \\ prevented [1] - 96:1 \\ previously [2] - 60:9, \\72:21 \\ price [1] - 80:10 \\ primarily [2] - 15:17, \\23:17 \\ primary [1] - 12:10 \\ primate [1] - 119:6 \\ prime [1] - 17:16 \\ principle [2] - \\129:14, 130:1 \\ principles [1] - 131:7 \\ \end {array} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12, 27:15, 27:17, 27:23, 28:21, 60:10, 64:22, 65:4, 73:6, 73:13	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13, 16:14, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 18:14, 18:25, 19:1, 19:6, 19:19, 19:20, 21:1, 23:14, 24:11, 24:15, 25:2,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] - 167:11 pure [3] - 13:13, 24:19
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11 premise [1] - 53:13 preparation [2] - 29:5, 67:22 prepare [1] - 67:22	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 17:16 prime [1] - 17:16 principle [2] - 129:14, 130:1 principles [1] - 131:7 Priscilla [1] - 9:24 prison [4] - 18:4, 18:5, 167:9	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12, 27:15, 27:17, 27:23, 28:21, 60:10, 64:22, 65:4, 73:6, 73:13 produced [13] - 9:25,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13, 16:14, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 18:14, 18:25, 19:1, 19:6, 19:19, 19:20, 21:1, 23:14, 24:11, 24:15, 25:2, 25:9, 25:16, 85:19,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] - 167:11 pure [3] - 13:13, 24:19 purported [2] -
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11 premises [1] - 53:13 preparation [2] - 29:5, 67:22	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevente[2] - 123:20, 131:1 previously[2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 17:16 prime [1] - 17:16 principle [2] - 129:14, 130:1 principles [1] - 131:7 Priscilla [1] - 9:24 prison [4] - 18:4,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12, 27:15, 27:17, 27:23, 28:21, 60:10, 64:22, 65:4, 73:6, 73:13 produced [13] - 9:25, 10:10, 16:24, 37:1,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13, 16:14, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 18:14, 18:25, 19:1, 19:6, 19:19, 19:20, 21:1, 23:14, 24:11, 24:15, 25:2, 25:9, 25:16, 85:19, 97:24, 98:4, 98:10,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] - 167:11 pure [3] - 13:13, 24:19 purported [2] - 143:25, 144:15
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11 premises [1] - 53:13 preparation [2] - 29:5, 67:22 prepare [1] - 67:22 prepared [1] -	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevente[2] - 123:20, 131:1 previously[2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 17:16 principle [2] - 129:14, 130:1 principles [1] - 131:7 Priscilla [1] - 9:24 prison [4] - 18:4, 18:5, 167:9 prisoner [1] - 170:15 private [7] - 58:23,	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12, 27:15, 27:17, 27:23, 28:21, 60:10, 64:22, 65:4, 73:6, 73:13 produced [13] - 9:25, 10:10, 16:24, 37:1, 37:2, 42:15, 69:14,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13, 16:14, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 18:14, 18:25, 19:1, 19:6, 19:19, 19:20, 21:1, 23:14, 24:11, 24:15, 25:2, 25:9, 25:16, 85:19, 97:24, 98:4, 98:10, 99:4, 99:5, 99:16,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] - 167:11 pure [3] - 13:13, 24:19 purported [2] - 143:25, 144:15 purported[2] -
preclude [1] - 154:4 precluded [1] - 145:23 predicted [1] - 183:5 Preferred [1] - 173:4 prefers [1] - 8:6 prejudiced [2] - 179:4, 182:14 prejudicial [2] - 145:22, 146:3 Preliminary [1] - 120:5 preliminary [3] - 120:10, 127:6, 133:6 premier [1] - 91:10 premise [1] - 118:11 premises [1] - 53:13 preparation [2] - 29:5, 67:22 prepare [1] - 67:22 prepared [1] - 156:23	54:7, 91:16, 92:16, 139:24, 139:25, 140:13, 140:14, 171:14 prevent [2] - 123:20, 131:1 previously [2] - 60:9, 72:21 price [1] - 80:10 primarily [2] - 15:17, 23:17 primary [1] - 12:10 primate [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 119:6 prime [1] - 17:16 principle [2] - 129:14, 130:1 principles [1] - 131:7 Priscilla [1] - 9:24 prison [4] - 18:4, 18:5, 167:9 prisoner [1] - 170:15	proceeding [17] - 14:19, 32:12, 32:17, 101:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:5, 130:13, 130:20, 130:21, 130:24, 130:25, 134:14, 134:17 proceedings [11] - 64:18, 70:24, 91:14, 106:22, 107:5, 107:6, 136:5, 136:20, 147:23, 186:14, 186:18 process [6] - 45:9, 61:25, 65:17, 69:11, 119:14, 153:24 produce [10] - 27:12, 27:15, 27:17, 27:23, 28:21, 60:10, 64:22, 65:4, 73:6, 73:13 produced [13] - 9:25, 10:10, 16:24, 37:1,	103:23, 104:3, 110:18, 129:19, 132:17, 182:1 prosecute [1] - 147:11 prospective [1] - 79:14 protect [1] - 133:8 protected [3] - 91:14, 91:22, 107:3 protective [4] - 7:23, 9:23, 71:2, 102:2 protracted [2] - 30:6, 39:20 prove [31] - 15:13, 16:14, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 18:14, 18:25, 19:1, 19:6, 19:19, 19:20, 21:1, 23:14, 24:11, 24:15, 25:2, 25:9, 25:16, 85:19, 97:24, 98:4, 98:10,	publication [2] - 79:19, 80:4 publications [2] - 76:25, 83:7 publicly [1] - 162:6 publish [2] - 16:13 published [15] - 15:22, 18:1, 26:10, 77:15, 77:24, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 78:19, 78:21, 78:23, 79:1, 79:6, 143:4, 144:20 publisher [4] - 7:13, 12:15, 83:18 publishing [2] - 7:21, 76:20 punishment [1] - 167:11 pure [3] - 13:13, 24:19 purported [2] - 143:25, 144:15

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 209 of 216 22

				1
purporting [1] -	74:11, 77:17, 77:18,	90:9, 94:19, 95:9,	15:16, 15:19, 15:22,	71:15, 107:13
143:13	78:17, 78:23, 78:24,	96:20, 103:12,	19:2, 19:20, 21:2,	refusing [1] - 27:15
purpose [4] - 73:19,	133:15, 136:8,	104:17, 124:6, 143:3,	21:14, 22:9, 23:2,	refute [1] - 96:25
101:16, 151:23, 180:4	136:10, 144:3,	143:14, 165:22,	23:13, 23:20, 23:22,	
	144:18, 146:5, 146:7,	167:4, 170:3	24:12, 24:19, 25:12,	refuting [2] - 38:7,
purposely [1] -	144.18, 140.5, 140.7, 147:19,		25:17, 26:2, 26:3	184:12
142:16		reading [6] - 30:8,		regard [25] - 7:11,
purposes [5] - 45:6,	147:20	66:17, 72:23, 108:24,	recognized [2] -	12:13, 13:21, 16:11,
58:1, 88:24, 111:4,	quoted [2] - 78:15,	143:14, 168:18	79:3, 119:13	39:3, 42:3, 45:2, 45:5,
114:3	121:8	reads [1] - 144:5	recollection [8] -	58:8, 58:18, 58:19,
pursuant [2] - 10:12,	quotes [5] - 77:20,	ready [2] - 67:21,	37:19, 38:6, 50:8,	62:4, 84:23, 102:5,
13:10	78:1, 78:10, 144:5,	107:14	50:9, 50:17, 144:24,	136:23, 137:20,
pursue [4] - 121:24,	171:23	real [2] - 156:24,	155:4, 166:5	149:14, 149:15,
121:25, 122:2, 124:10	quoting [2] - 51:8,	172:5	recommend [2] -	149:22, 159:5,
pursuing [2] - 79:15,	145:10	reality [3] - 33:17,	149:20, 150:13	159:17, 163:3,
137:13		92:17, 162:18	recommendation [5]	176:16, 176:23
push [2] - 33:8,	R	realize [1] - 17:12	- 12:25, 13:5, 13:7,	regarding [1] - 85:7
44:22		realized [1] - 139:6	13:10, 93:7	regardless [1] -
pushed [1] - 33:9		realizes [1] - 63:4	recommendations	19:19
put [26] - 6:13, 6:17,	radio [1] - 109:11	realizing [1] - 120:22	[1] - 139:20	registration [1] -
7:23, 17:10, 33:15,	radioactive [1] -	really [24] - 9:18,	recommending [1] -	96:22
53:12, 55:2, 58:16,	111:14	12:15, 13:14, 15:23,	93:9	regrettably [1] -
85:24, 86:5, 86:6,	Rafael [4] - 59:18,	17:2, 22:12, 33:4,	reconsider [1] -	159:13
88:1, 104:11, 110:23,	98:20, 98:21, 100:6	33:23, 34:16, 34:24,	139:18	regular [1] - 151:25
112:10, 113:21,	raging [1] - 160:24	44:14, 44:21, 44:24,	record [23] - 8:1, 9:1,	regulations [1] -
124:4, 136:18, 141:9,	raided [1] - 53:13	46:6, 70:9, 70:17,	9:2, 10:2, 39:2, 40:23,	33:19
154:12, 156:5,	raise [9] - 28:23,	101:7, 106:7, 107:8,	45:2, 45:6, 53:12,	rejected [3] - 7:15,
156:25, 159:6,	34:5, 34:6, 35:5,	129:11, 153:22,	58:16, 61:25, 65:25,	90:8, 90:12
161:21, 181:20,	55:24, 61:3, 61:15,	158:9, 166:13, 176:20	66:14, 102:15, 103:5,	relate [3] - 138:18,
185:21	130:16, 171:16	reason [13] - 11:9,	111:3, 121:12, 156:5,	146:17, 148:6
	raised [5] - 16:1,	11:23, 12:17, 41:23,	166:14, 178:23,	
putting [2] - 145:23, 154:5	27:25, 100:17,		183:6, 183:25	related [7] - 116:12,
104.0	130:12, 136:1	58:3, 71:25, 110:6,	RECORDING [1] -	131:14, 147:2,
•	raises [1] - 129:24	128:14, 137:25,	1:11	147:15, 148:12,
Q	raising [3] - 34:4,	138:4, 161:15,		156:11, 160:16
	126:9, 153:12	177:15, 184:10	recordings [1] -	relates [5] - 121:7,
Qaeda [1] - 74:14	ran [1] - 133:4	reasonable [1] - 30:8	136:9	143:20, 146:20,
quadrants [1] - 48:2	range [1] - 155:8	reasonably [1] -	records [6] - 42:15,	146:21, 146:23
questioned [1] -	rather [9] - 20:15,	132:18	42:18, 59:5, 74:11,	relating [1] - 141:16
30:16	29:17, 70:3, 70:4,	reasoning [2] -	75:6, 75:7	relationship [3] -
questioning [1] -	78:8, 79:2, 79:8,	63:16, 111:8	Rectifier [1] - 176:5	29:22, 137:8, 137:11
• •		reasons [4] - 45:16,	redacted [2] - 10:10	relative [1] - 50:3
182:12	94:17, 152:14	45:21, 101:12, 124:16	reevaluate [1] - 69:9	relax [1] - 10:23
Questions [1] -	RD [1] - 176:8	receive [1] - 78:6	reference [4] - 20:2,	relevance [1] -
160:15	RDR [2] - 2:1, 186:22	received [2] - 24:5,	66:10, 133:14, 134:14	101:11
questions [22] -	re [1] - 89:4	54:18	referenced [3] -	relevancy [4] -
5:15, 5:16, 6:12, 6:19,	re-creation [1] - 89:4	receives [1] - 44:5	59:17, 60:11, 64:19	15:10, 15:11, 15:16,
15:7, 21:20, 29:18,	reach [6] - 31:10,	receiving [1] -	references [1] - 47:5	138:15
39:19, 43:24, 47:8,	31:14, 87:21, 105:19,	134:10	referencing [1] -	relevant [8] - 12:3,
48:25, 50:7, 92:15,	105:20, 123:2	recent [4] - 56:22,	153:1	28:22, 43:23, 67:24,
94:17, 101:6, 101:21,	reached [4] - 67:3,	117:9, 118:7, 173:21	referred [2] - 56:21,	68:1, 72:6, 96:16,
101:22, 160:10,	142:23, 152:25, 158:1	recently [4] - 43:15,	179:7	137:23
160:12, 171:8, 171:9	reaction [3] - 39:15,	52:23, 65:21, 120:22	referring [1] - 145:19	reliability [1] - 76:24
	180:16, 180:18	recess [1] - 186:13	refers [2] - 82:21,	relive [1] - 174:6
quick [1] - 138:11			114:14	reluctant [1] - 61:3
quick [1] - 138:11 quickly [1] - 65:24	read [35] - 5:9, 6:21,	reciprocal 141 - 21110		
•	read [35] - 5:9, 6:21, 6:22, 7:16, 18:5,	reciprocal [1] - 21:10		
quickly [1] - 65:24		recitation [1] - 140:1	reflect [1] - 38:10	rely [2] - 12:21, 25:17
quickly [1] - 65:24 quite [12] - 8:7, 29:1,	6:22, 7:16, 18:5,	recitation [1] - 140:1 reckless [13] - 15:21,	reflect [1] - 38:10 refrain [1] - 99:13	rely [2] - 12:21, 25:17 relying [4] - 13:5,
quickly [1] - 65:24 quite [12] - 8:7, 29:1, 41:22, 41:25, 50:9,	6:22, 7:16, 18:5, 19:11, 28:5, 29:5, 40:23, 49:9, 62:23,	recitation [1] - 140:1 reckless [13] - 15:21, 16:12, 17:8, 17:24,	reflect [1] - 38:10 refrain [1] - 99:13 refrained [1] -	rely [2] - 12:21, 25:17 relying [4] - 13:5, 16:10, 16:12, 86:3
quickly [1] - 65:24 quite [12] - 8:7, 29:1, 41:22, 41:25, 50:9, 50:10, 63:22, 64:5,	6:22, 7:16, 18:5, 19:11, 28:5, 29:5, 40:23, 49:9, 62:23, 65:23, 66:25, 68:11,	recitation [1] - 140:1 reckless [13] - 15:21, 16:12, 17:8, 17:24, 18:9, 19:6, 20:17,	reflect [1] - 38:10 refrain [1] - 99:13 refrained [1] - 135:22	rely [2] - 12:21, 25:17 relying [4] - 13:5, 16:10, 16:12, 86:3 remains [1] - 57:9
quickly [1] - 65:24 quite [12] - 8:7, 29:1, 41:22, 41:25, 50:9, 50:10, 63:22, 64:5, 64:7, 108:22, 137:21 quizzed [1] - 101:18	6:22, 7:16, 18:5, 19:11, 28:5, 29:5, 40:23, 49:9, 62:23, 65:23, 66:25, 68:11, 68:13, 72:9, 72:10,	recitation [1] - 140:1 reckless [13] - 15:21, 16:12, 17:8, 17:24, 18:9, 19:6, 20:17, 22:23, 24:22, 25:20,	reflect [1] - 38:10 refrain [1] - 99:13 refrained [1] - 135:22 refresh [1] - 144:24	rely [2] - 12:21, 25:17 relying [4] - 13:5, 16:10, 16:12, 86:3 remains [1] - 57:9 remarkable [2] -
quickly [1] - 65:24 quite [12] - 8:7, 29:1, 41:22, 41:25, 50:9, 50:10, 63:22, 64:5, 64:7, 108:22, 137:21	6:22, 7:16, 18:5, 19:11, 28:5, 29:5, 40:23, 49:9, 62:23, 65:23, 66:25, 68:11,	recitation [1] - 140:1 reckless [13] - 15:21, 16:12, 17:8, 17:24, 18:9, 19:6, 20:17,	reflect [1] - 38:10 refrain [1] - 99:13 refrained [1] - 135:22	rely [2] - 12:21, 25:17 relying [4] - 13:5, 16:10, 16:12, 86:3 remains [1] - 57:9

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 210 of 216 23

remedying [2] -148:13, 148:15 remember [12] -29:9, 29:17, 39:17, 47:15, 54:7, 56:10, 56:15, 64:1, 108:24, 121:8, 167:5 remembering [1] -167:14 reminds [1] - 118:20 remotely [1] - 29:11 removed [1] - 63:5 render [1] - 79:25 rendered [1] - 149:1 repeat [4] - 34:11, 53:18, 177:22, 184:16 repeatedly [1] -145:19 rephrase [2] - 82:9, 120:12 reply [7] - 5:17, 45:14, 45:19, 119:19, 119:20, 128:24, 133:14 report [12] - 12:25, 13:4, 13:7, 13:10, 93:7, 106:20, 106:24, 136:19, 145:5, 147:3, 147:4, 147:12 reporter [5] - 7:25, 8:20, 8:24, 65:9, 91:11 Reporter [2] - 2:1, 186:22 reporter's [5] -84:21, 85:20, 105:24, 106:8, 107:17 reporters [1] - 48:3 reporting [6] - 106:2, 106:13, 106:14, 106:21, 106:25, 107:2 reports [1] - 139:20 representation [11] -40:16, 49:7, 49:11, 49:20, 54:10, 55:18, 56:2, 56:7, 68:8, 68:12, 166:11 representations [13] - 35:24, 36:13, 48:11, 48:12, 48:13, 50:12, 50:16. 50:18. 87:24. 88:12, 89:12, 89:13, 164:2 represented [7] -36:10, 72:21, 81:20, 82:23, 103:21, 166:15, 169:14 representing [6] -39:24, 56:8, 103:13, 136:14, 165:10,

181:14 represents [1] -85:11 republished [1] -82:6 reputation [1] -69.12 request [11] - 11:21, 27:12, 27:14, 34:4, 34:18, 60:12, 61:13, 65:7, 123:5, 123:13, 155:20 requested [5] -33:10, 45:1, 57:3, 100:22, 133:17 requests [1] - 59:19 require [5] - 10:16, 101:5, 104:18, 133:19, 133:25 required [15] - 37:1, 71:6, 84:7, 92:8, 107:10, 113:22, 133:16, 134:5, 134:7, 134:9, 135:6, 135:9, 135:10, 135:13 requirement [2] -26:13. 135:7 requisite [1] - 118:9 research [2] - 22:13, 22:17 resetting [1] - 45:12 resisted [1] - 45:11 resolution [1] - 67:3 resolved [1] - 96:23 resources [1] - 75:5 respect [20] - 9:7, 24:3, 33:7, 40:9, 41:2, 44:23, 52:11, 66:4, 69:11, 69:12, 88:13, 89:9, 93:13, 100:8, 105:9, 105:10, 133:1, 137:9, 157:6 respected [1] -127:12 respectfully [1] -13:25 respecting [1] - 52:8 respond [4] - 22:3, 65:24, 138:9, 149:5 responded [2] -65:12, 160:13 responds [1] - 107:1 response [13] - 34:1, 34:9, 34:10, 44:20, 56:24, 60:11, 65:7, 121:11, 160:10, 172:13, 178:18, 183:15, 184:3 responses [2] -145:3, 181:5

responsibly [1] -112:11 responsive [1] - 75:7 rest [3] - 10:23, 11:16, 185:21 restaurant [1] -170.1 resting [1] - 149:19 result [9] - 57:7, 92:18, 96:7, 104:1, 142:10, 150:24, 151:9, 160:7, 173:23 result-oriented [1] -92:18 resulted [1] - 81:25 results [2] - 24:8, 141:5 retain [1] - 153:14 retained [1] - 136:7 retort [1] - 102:25 retrieve [1] - 133:17 retrospect [2] -53:23, 132:8 return [3] - 53:17, 154:25, 159:11 returned [8] - 63:8, 63:9, 63:10, 64:17, 67:8, 120:21, 120:23, 164·24 reveal [2] - 144:11, 181:19 revealed [2] -107:12, 162:6 reverse [2] - 144:15, 169:7 reverse-engineer [1] - 144:15 reversed [1] - 149:2 review [12] - 8:7, 32:11, 46:14, 67:22, 67:23, 67:24, 98:7, 122:11, 128:13, 136:7, 139:14, 152:24 reviewed [3] - 87:16, 113:9, 163:18 reviewing [2] -54:11, 55:20 revisit [1] - 139:12 revoke [1] - 31:3 revoked [1] - 31:2 revolving [1] -147.21 rhetoric [4] - 8:13, 9:15, 70:14, 157:19 riches [1] - 78:14 rid [1] - 40:25 rig [1] - 145:7 rigged [1] - 145:15 rip [1] - 184:23 RISEN [1] - 1:7

Risen [37] - 3:2, 7:13, 7:18, 12:4, 16:2, 17:7, 17:24, 18:3, 18:4, 22:11, 22:20, 22:25, 81:13, 82:12, 82:16.84:18.87:4. 87:6. 91:10. 96:21. 96:25. 97:13. 105:10. 107:10, 107:13, 138:20, 146:13, 149:16, 150:25, 153:2, 169:4, 169:10, 172:21, 176:25, 180:2 Risen's [10] - 10:7, 24:5, 58:2, 69:17, 72:20, 81:6, 95:9, 133:3, 142:5, 143:9 risk [1] - 128:23 risked [1] - 162:25 road [2] - 29:24, 124:14 robots [1] - 132:5 rock [1] - 15:3 role [2] - 43:4, 127:12 room [5] - 43:18, 140:24, 141:23, 160:23, 160:24 Rosh [1] - 4:17 round [3] - 119:11 royal [1] - 84:25 rubric [1] - 146:15 rude [1] - 22:2 ruined [1] - 109:16 rule [9] - 8:8, 13:24, 45:7, 59:4, 74:22, 74:24, 96:8, 99:10, 184:4 ruled [7] - 13:22, 69:22, 88:15, 113:3, 127:8, 139:20 Rules [1] - 177:19 rules [4] - 92:9, 99:9, 177:19 ruling [11] - 7:1, 12:24, 28:5, 45:18, 66:20, 88:7, 88:21, 100:13, 100:14, 139:12, 179:7 rulings [5] - 66:10, 139:12. 139:18. 160:4, 181:8 Run [1] - 166:24 run [1] - 32:24 run-of-the-mill [1] -32:24 running [1] - 180:20 ruse [2] - 159:20, 184:15 résumé [1] - 92:7

S sacrosanct [1] -35:13 sad [1] - 36:11 safe [1] - 186:11 safety [3] - 36:15, 36:16, 40:3 sake [5] - 14:9, 22:10, 93:20, 160:22, 179:5 sale [2] - 80:7, 80:9 sales [1] - 79:18 salesman [1] -167:10 Samuel [1] - 172:8 San [1] - 13:22 sanction [6] - 59:25, 65:2, 67:1, 70:18, 93:3, 93:5 sanctioned [1] -64.23 sanctions [22] -5:14. 13:1. 26:17. 26:24. 26:25. 61:13. 93:19. 100:22. 108:4. 153:24, 159:6, 160:16, 161:9, 161:14, 162:10, 176:2, 176:18, 178:20, 179:4, 182:10, 182:14 Sandy [1] - 3:17 SANFORD [1] - 1:20 sat [1] - 40:22 SAT [1] - 132:9 satisfied [1] - 64:17 satisfy [1] - 153:4 sauce [2] - 107:21, 107:22 saver [2] - 48:1, 48:6 saving [1] - 171:24 saw [7] - 4:3, 11:7, 20:1, 62:17, 68:4, 160:25, 166:23 Sawgrass [1] - 109:6 scam [3] - 77:25, 79:2, 144:21 scenario [5] - 22:24, 23:1, 96:11, 130:4, 130:20 schedule [2] - 71:9, 71:19 scheduled [3] - 11:6, 11:10, 45:13 scheduling [1] -45:20 school [1] - 6:4 Schuster [12] - 7:13,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 211 of 216 24

		1		
7:15, 7:21, 9:24, 10:6,	74:16, 74:17, 74:21,	sensationalize [1] -	shoot-down [1] -	simpler [1] - 102:24
10:8, 10:14, 11:20,	119:7, 144:3, 144:5	82:3	12:6	simply [15] - 8:19,
12:11, 18:1, 73:17,	sections [1] - 45:4	sense [6] - 50:11,	shooting [3] - 140:8,	10:24, 14:2, 19:13,
82:4	security [17] - 91:10,	112:4, 134:22,	140:23, 141:22	46:13, 46:25, 81:6,
Schuster's [1] - 9:7	97:24, 111:20, 126:6,	144:17, 159:23,	short [1] - 40:15	86:18, 125:2, 149:21,
Schwartz [21] -	126:11, 127:13,	166:22	shortcut [1] - 103:4	151:14, 168:23,
54:25, 56:18, 57:17,	127:16, 127:18,	sensitivity [1] -	shorten [1] - 48:4	178:15, 181:2
59:16, 71:11, 118:8,	128:1, 128:6, 128:9,	127:9	shot [1] - 24:8	sincere [1] - 162:6
118:13, 154:13,	128:18, 132:25,	sent [4] - 104:13,	Show [2] - 109:17,	sincerity [1] - 150:8
154:24, 155:9,	141:9, 142:21,	155:23, 156:1, 156:2	110:3	single [4] - 9:15,
155:16, 155:25,	153:16, 162:7	sentence [3] - 21:4,	show [19] - 3:20,	123:24, 169:25,
156:4, 156:9, 156:17,	Security [2] - 8:11,	21:12, 165:25	11:4, 12:1, 12:3, 18:8,	177:24
156:25, 157:6, 158:1,	150:11	separate [1] - 26:13	23:25, 25:23, 25:24,	sit [5] - 42:13, 68:20,
158:9, 159:11, 168:21	see [34] - 6:2, 12:20,	September [4] -	26:1, 26:9, 76:4, 91:4,	68:22, 87:13, 88:2
Schwartz's [1] -	21:25, 27:20, 32:1,	56:17, 57:4, 60:11,	96:20, 105:10,	sitting [2] - 3:13,
156:14	32:2, 38:21, 42:18,	137:22	138:20, 153:2,	92:15
sci [1] - 118:21	43:2, 45:4, 45:5, 45:8,	sequester [1] -	169:12, 181:20,	situation [1] - 111:24
scored [1] - 132:9	50:14, 55:23, 68:11,	123:19	181:23	six [1] - 155:8
screen [1] - 142:13	68:15, 104:13, 110:5,	serious [6] - 7:20,	showed [2] - 9:19,	sky [1] - 140:19
se [1] - 14:17	116:18, 120:7,	26:11, 32:12, 40:20,	41:2	sliced [1] - 105:3
seal [11] - 8:10, 8:15,	124:13, 125:4,	59:7, 101:21	shown [2] - 26:14,	small [15] - 20:4,
8:19, 9:3, 9:11, 9:20,	126:23, 127:5, 128:8,	seriously [2] - 54:2,	53:19	61:2, 80:20, 81:15,
13:6, 43:6, 54:19,	128:25, 144:16,	141:22	shows [10] - 7:16,	84:1, 86:13, 96:25,
56:19	145:13, 149:25,	serve [1] - 67:18	7:22, 12:9, 12:14,	113:16, 123:22,
sealed [3] - 8:21,	150:1, 150:19,	served [4] - 28:19,	81:7, 92:11, 93:12,	124:15, 138:17,
10:4, 13:10	154:16, 169:2, 186:6	33:17, 71:23, 71:25	157:12	138:19, 139:3,
sealing [4] - 8:5,	seeking [2] - 116:10,	server [2] - 125:10,	shut [4] - 70:5,	146:23, 180:4
9:13	121:10	127:23	97:23, 122:6, 122:8	smart [2] - 124:19,
search [8] - 47:9,	seem [2] - 56:10,	serves [1] - 34:17	sic [4] - 55:19, 78:4,	184:20
64:11, 65:14, 65:19,	139:1	service [5] - 14:15,	116:25, 180:10	smell [1] - 178:4
72:2, 72:16, 74:10,	segregate [1] - 138:5	14:16, 64:13, 75:4,	sick [2] - 41:25,	smoothly [1] - 21:21
104:22	segregated [1] -	152:20	164:25	Snow [3] - 111:25,
searched [6] - 42:5,	138:1	services [3] - 79:23,	side [19] - 5:15,	112:2, 134:20
53:14, 72:22, 117:11,	segregation [1] -	80:7, 80:15	10:25, 21:25, 22:1,	Snowden [4] -
164:16, 164:17	65:17	set [7] - 24:17, 45:24,	47:2, 54:15, 54:16,	126:24, 137:14, 162:7
searching [8] -	seize [1] - 53:20	92:10, 93:22, 157:23,	57:24, 59:9, 59:12,	so-and-so [2] -
43:10, 51:9, 56:4,	seized [2] - 63:8,	178:23, 184:12	59:13, 93:21, 104:1,	106:25, 107:1
56:8, 58:1, 75:6,	66:19	setting [2] - 121:15,	116:5, 122:20, 153:1,	so-called [6] - 86:21,
156:17, 163:22	self [1] - 43:4	157:19	154:12, 162:17,	95:8, 105:24, 106:8,
seated [1] - 3:4	self-appointed [1] -	seven [1] - 40:22	171:15	106:12, 150:24
Seattle [1] - 42:12	43:4	several [6] - 14:22,	side's [3] - 9:16,	Social [1] - 8:11
second [9] - 75:1,	sell [8] - 12:11,	19:12, 105:14,	89:13, 183:24	software [348] -
75:3, 110:10, 111:15,	22:11, 79:22, 82:5,	115:24, 118:8, 118:16	sides [1] - 107:3	15:11, 16:11, 16:22,
121:11, 129:23,	83:18, 105:17, 148:3,	severe [1] - 164:4	signal [1] - 8:24	16:23, 16:24, 18:23,
130:14, 162:13,	169:12	sham [1] - 61:11	signed [5] - 61:8,	20:4, 22:18, 22:20,
165:19	semantical [1] -	shared [1] - 152:13	94:15, 98:15, 98:17,	22:21, 24:9, 24:10,
secondly [2] - 124:3,	134:4	sharpen [1] - 46:4	98:25	27:12, 27:15, 27:19,
149:22	semantics [1] -	Shepherd [3] -	significant [3] -	28:18, 28:24, 29:4,
seconds [6] - 21:22,	168:12	174:7, 178:22, 179:1	10:16, 122:6, 171:6	29:8, 29:11, 29:16,
22:7, 50:21, 54:8,	seminal [2] - 173:12,	shepherd [3] - 175:9,	similar [3] - 38:6,	30:1, 30:3, 30:7,
137:2, 137:5	173:14	175:10, 175:12	46:22, 137:13	30:10, 32:3, 33:22,
secret [8] - 8:11,	Senate [1] - 62:5	sheriff [1] - 134:15	Simon [13] - 7:13,	34:3, 36:1, 36:4, 36:7,
27:17, 31:2, 96:5,	senators [1] - 59:1	Sheriff's [2] - 102:8,	7:15, 7:21, 9:7, 9:24,	36:10, 37:8, 37:18,
97:12, 98:8, 104:20,	send [3] - 8:24, 47:1,	134:19	10:5, 10:7, 10:14,	38:2, 38:3, 38:12,
149:23	93:22	sheriff's [1] - 136:6	11:19, 12:10, 18:1,	38:18, 39:11, 39:25,
secretly [1] - 166:13	sending [1] - 143:11	shift [1] - 129:23	73:16, 82:4	40:7, 40:16, 42:5,
secrets [6] - 70:25,	sensational [2] -	Shineman [1] - 173:7	Simonton [1] -	43:11, 43:25, 45:5,
96:2, 96:9, 102:1,	12:12, 83:19	shocked [1] - 110:19	176:15	47:9, 48:22, 48:23,
103:22, 103:24	sensationalism [1] -	shoot [2] - 12:6,	simple [4] - 51:4,	49:1, 49:2, 49:3, 49:5,
	100.10		457.00 400.00 400 5	10.0 10.11 10.00
section [7] - 58:13,	169:13	140:18	157:20, 180:20, 182:5	49:8, 49:11, 49:22,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 212 of 216 25

49:24, 49:25, 50:3, 50:4, 50:12, 50:23,	127:19, 128:2, 128:13, 129:9,	59:24, 119:16, 161:25, 181:23	speaking [4] - 9:3, 28:8, 116:10	102:1, 103:22, 103:24 Statement [1] -
50:24, 51:11, 51:14,	131:20, 134:2,	sometime [1] -	speaks [3] - 51:18,	120:6
51:16, 53:2, 53:3,	135:10, 135:11,	109:20	52:7, 102:25	statement [23] -
55:21, 56:5, 56:13,	136:6, 137:12,	sometimes [12] -	Special [4] - 55:19,	26:9, 26:15, 40:2,
57:2, 57:5, 57:6, 57:8,	137:23, 138:1,	26:12, 81:21, 106:14,	55:20, 58:9, 58:10	51:16, 51:18, 52:25,
57:12, 57:15, 58:1,	138:16, 138:18,	121:16, 128:23,	special [1] - 63:7	54:5, 57:22, 80:18,
58:2, 58:5, 58:19,	138:23, 138:25,	139:18, 139:19,	specific [15] - 11:1,	96:21, 96:22, 105:13,
60:13, 61:17, 62:1,	139:1, 139:7, 140:4,	157:3, 159:8, 177:12,	15:25, 20:6, 56:7,	110:23, 118:7,
63:5, 63:9, 64:13,	140:9, 140:19, 141:1,	183:23, 184:3	57:3, 86:1, 90:20,	120:10, 123:24,
64:23, 65:4, 65:13,	141:4, 141:8, 141:9,	somewhat [4] - 4:20,	101:6, 132:18,	130:4, 163:14,
65:19, 66:18, 67:6,	141:19, 142:4, 142:5,	11:3, 106:18, 116:16	132:24, 134:10,	163:17, 165:5,
67:7, 67:9, 67:12,	142:9, 142:13,	somewhere [2] -	135:7, 154:17,	165:19, 165:22,
67:25, 68:4, 69:3,	142:18, 142:19,	155:8, 173:19	157:21, 174:15	165:25
69:14, 69:17, 70:10,	143:13, 143:16,	son [4] - 14:10,	specifically [5] -	statements [15] -
70:13, 70:17, 70:19,	143:20, 143:21,	71:24, 152:18, 170:21	20:24, 71:1, 102:2,	12:8, 24:10, 36:9,
70:23, 71:1, 71:2,	143:25, 145:1, 145:2,	son-in-law [3] -	104:21, 143:24	49:6, 50:15, 79:20,
71:5, 71:12, 72:5,	145:7, 145:11,	14:10, 71:24, 152:18	specifics [2] - 9:18,	80:4, 81:1, 91:13,
72:12, 72:14, 72:19,	145:15, 145:17,	sooner [3] - 5:10,	11:5	130:2, 132:2, 137:21,
72:24, 72:25, 73:3,	145:20, 145:24,	44:22, 91:8	speed [1] - 7:5	137:22, 138:21,
73:19, 74:5, 74:12,	146:1, 146:2, 146:4,	sophisticated [1] -	Spence [2] - 177:7,	166:14
74:13, 75:25, 76:5,	146:18, 146:20,	153:7	177:12	STATES [2] - 1:1,
76:14, 76:23, 77:3,	146:22, 146:23,	sorry [14] - 10:20,	spend [1] - 186:2	1:11
77:8, 79:16, 79:23,	146:24, 147:3,	63:21, 65:8, 65:11,	spent [3] - 6:17,	States [7] - 2:2,
79:25, 80:19, 81:2,	147:15, 147:17,	74:20, 77:12, 88:15,	157:2, 171:6	22:19, 62:12, 91:11,
81:6, 81:10, 81:13,	147:21, 147:22,	95:6, 99:8, 100:5,	spoliated [1] -	144:7, 174:10, 186:23
81:18, 82:1, 82:13,	148:2, 148:7, 148:16,	106:17, 139:10,	161:10	status [6] - 6:20, 7:4,
82:18, 82:21, 83:10,	149:11, 149:12,	144:3, 177:21	spoliation [12] -	13:19, 14:7, 41:2,
83:24, 84:8, 84:23,	149:13, 149:24,	sort [14] - 6:4, 8:23,	93:10, 159:6, 171:11,	153:8
86:10, 86:17, 86:25,	150:18, 150:21,	25:25, 39:7, 40:2,	171:19, 173:21,	statute [2] - 4:5,
87:16, 87:17, 87:18,	151:5, 151:7, 151:9,	44:7, 53:9, 108:21,	173:22, 173:23,	174:12
88:3, 88:8, 88:9,	151:10, 151:11,	111:8, 111:13,	174:3, 176:18,	statutes [1] - 126:3
88:11, 88:20, 89:19,	151:18, 151:23,	160:15, 160:21,	178:11, 178:20, 179:8	stay [1] - 42:20
89:24, 90:13, 90:16,	153:6, 153:8, 153:9,	178:17	stable [1] - 42:18	staying [1] - 6:11
90:21, 91:8, 92:19,	153:11, 153:15,	sorts [1] - 49:6	stadium [3] - 109:1,	step [1] - 182:20
93:21, 93:24, 94:3,	153:24, 154:4, 154:9,	sought [1] - 136:4	109:3, 109:7	stepped [1] - 127:11
95:16, 95:18, 95:20,	154:22, 156:18,	sound [1] - 157:19	stage [2] - 13:3,	steps [2] - 142:25,
95:21, 97:10, 97:13,	158:12, 158:13,	sounding [2] -	148:19	148:13
97:14, 97:18, 97:25,	158:15, 158:21,	130:10, 131:3		Sterling [3] - 18:4,
101:9, 102:1, 102:5,	158:24, 163:19,	sounds [4] - 22:15,	stand [3] - 88:1,	107:12
102:7, 104:20,	163:20, 163:23,	53:2, 91:15, 162:9	104:2, 136:18 standard [1] - 25:12	Stern [1] - 109:11
104:21, 105:2, 105:5,	164:17, 164:24,	source [1] - 144:11		stick [1] - 62:9
110:11, 110:14,	165:20, 166:2,	sources [23] - 84:18,	standing [3] -	sticker [1] - 6:5
110:17, 113:8, 113:9,	168:14, 169:6,		102:17, 102:21,	
114:5, 114:15,	177:17, 179:11,	84:24, 85:18, 86:21,	160:23	stickers [1] - 6:4
114:19, 114:22,	179:25, 180:3, 180:9,	92:21, 95:11, 95:12,	standpoint [2] -	still [29] - 13:20,
115:7, 116:14,	181:4, 181:11,	96:17, 96:23, 97:10, 97:13, 97:14, 97:25,	8:17, 43:7	24:23, 25:19, 28:13,
116:19, 117:12,	181:16, 181:21,		stands [1] - 103:23	29:7, 29:9, 31:1, 33:9,
117:16, 117:17,	182:12, 182:13,	105:23, 121:21,	star [2] - 118:21,	50:8, 54:1, 54:6, 54:9,
117:21, 117:24,	182:24, 183:9	145:16, 150:24, 150:25, 152:3, 163:5,	167:25	54:11, 55:18, 56:4,
118:1, 118:3, 118:5,	software's [4] -	184:17	start [3] - 6:20,	57:23, 58:4, 60:7,
118:17, 120:13,	110:24, 112:19,		45:23, 76:11	71:18, 71:20, 84:8,
120:18, 120:20,	121:19, 123:22	sourcing [1] - 143:9	started [3] - 32:22,	86:17, 140:4, 156:6,
120:23, 121:5, 121:6,	sold [5] - 22:18,	south [1] - 60:5	35:10, 129:14	175:24, 177:3, 180:3,
121:10, 122:7,	87:18, 141:4, 142:4,	South [2] - 30:4,	starting [4] - 3:10,	186:11
122:14, 122:16,	142:18	109:1	47:6, 69:13, 77:14	stipulate [1] - 11:21
123:4, 123:8, 123:11,	solitary [1] - 167:10	Southern [3] - 71:22,	startling [1] - 20:11	stipulated [1] - 7:17
123:12, 123:13,	Somali [2] - 78:2	160:1, 173:7	starts [1] - 128:25	stipulation [2] -
	someone [8] - 4:4,	SOUTHERN [1] - 1:1	state [10] - 70:25,	182:22, 183:8
123:25, 124:15,	30116016 [0] - T.T.			
123:25, 124:15, 125:3, 125:13,	24:19, 35:10, 51:19,	SPEAKER [1] - 167:23	87:15, 96:2, 96:5, 96:9, 97:12, 98:8,	stipulations [1] - 183:21

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 213 of 216 26

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	 3, 145:20, 145:21, 179:24, 180:1, 181:1, 181:3, 181:9, 181:10, 181:16, 182:12, 182:23, 183:9 testifying [2] - 51:20, 154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5,
STOCK [1] - 176:846:9, 46:23, 95:10, 119:21, 155:17,120:25, 121:185:17, 92:22, 95:23stole [1] - 171:4119:21, 155:17, 116:24, 140:19,subpoena [6] - 6:24, subpoena [6] - 6:24,summarizing [1] - 185:18121:17116:24, 140:19, 141:18subpoena [6] - 6:24, 34:18, 65:12, 71:24,summary [14] - 5:13, 6:9, 44:20, 45:14,tale [2] - 152:5141:1834:18, 65:12, 71:24, 73:16, 101:46:9, 44:20, 45:14, 46:1, 71:17, 89:4,talk-show [1] - 138:20stored [1] - 161:273:16, 101:446:1, 71:17, 89:4, 92:22, 95:10, 148:22, 154:6, 179:6, 180:12,talks [2] - 143:5, 144:4story [14] - 17:25, 22:22, 24:23, 25:20,subpoenaed [2] - 124:9, 152:2292:22, 95:10, 148:22, 154:6, 179:6, 180:12,talk [1] - 152:550:11, 61:18, 108:24, 120:19, 144:13, 170:20, 177:15subpoint [1] - 15:4superfluous [1] - 141:20targets [2] - 141:14	3, 145:20, 145:21, 179:24, 180:1, 181:1, 181:3, 181:9, 181:10, 181:16, 182:12, 182:23, 183:9 testifying [2] - 51:20, 154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 6, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	179:24, 180:1, 181:1, 181:3, 181:9, 181:10, 181:16, 182:12, 182:23, 183:9 testifying [2] - 51:20, 154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
stop [5] - 4:6, 42:9, 178:13 185:18 taint [1] - 32:17 116:24, 140:19, subpoena [6] - 6:24, summary [14] - 5:13, tale [2] - 152:5 141:18 34:18, 65:12, 71:24, 6:9, 44:20, 45:14, tale.show [1] - stored [1] - 161:2 73:16, 101:4 46:1, 71:17, 89:4, 138:20 story [14] - 17:25, subpoenaed [2] - 92:22, 95:10, 148:22, 1alks [2] - 143:5, 22:22, 24:23, 25:20, 124:9, 152:22 154:6, 179:6, 180:12, 144:4 35:1, 41:10, 50:10, subpoenas [4] - 185:3 tape [1] - 152:5 50:11, 61:18, 108:24, 28:19, 33:17, 33:22, summon [1] - 89:20 target [1] - 150:23 120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 targets [2] - 141:11 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	181:3, 181:9, 181:10, 181:16, 182:12, 182:23, 183:9 testifying [2] - 51:20, 154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	181:16, 182:12, 182:23, 183:9 testifying [2] - 51:20, 154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
141:18 34:18, 65:12, 71:24, 6:9, 44:20, 45:14, talk-show [1] - stored [1] - 161:2 73:16, 101:4 46:1, 71:17, 89:4, 138:20 story [14] - 17:25, subpoenaed [2] - 92:22, 95:10, 148:22, 138:20 22:22, 24:23, 25:20, 124:9, 152:22 154:6, 179:6, 180:12, 144:4 35:1, 41:10, 50:10, subpoenas [4] - 185:3 144:4 50:11, 61:18, 108:24, 28:19, 33:17, 33:22, summon [1] - 89:20 1arget [1] - 152:5 120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 1argets [2] - 141:11 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	182:23, 183:9 testifying [2] - 51:20, 154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
stored [1] - 161:2 73:16, 101:4 46:1, 71:17, 89:4, 138:20 story [14] - 17:25, subpoenaed [2] - 92:22, 95:10, 148:22, 138:20 22:22, 24:23, 25:20, 124:9, 152:22 154:6, 179:6, 180:12, 144:4 35:1, 41:10, 50:10, subpoenas [4] - 185:3 144:4 50:11, 61:18, 108:24, 28:19, 33:17, 33:22, summon [1] - 89:20 target [1] - 152:5 120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 targets [2] - 141:10 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	testifying [2] - 51:20, 154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
story [14] - 17:25, subpoenaed [2] - 92:22, 95:10, 148:22, talks [2] - 143:5, 22:22, 24:23, 25:20, 124:9, 152:22 154:6, 179:6, 180:12, 144:4 35:1, 41:10, 50:10, subpoenas [4] - 185:3 tape [1] - 152:5 50:11, 61:18, 108:24, 28:19, 33:17, 33:22, summon [1] - 89:20 target [1] - 150:23 120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 targets [2] - 141:10 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	154:5 testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
22:22, 24:23, 25:20, 124:9, 152:22 154:6, 179:6, 180:12, 144:4 35:1, 41:10, 50:10, subpoenas [4] - 185:3 144:4 50:11, 61:18, 108:24, 28:19, 33:17, 33:22, 185:3 11 - 152:5 120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 1argets [2] - 141:10 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	testimony [24] - 11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
35:1, 41:10, 50:10, subpoenas [4] - 185:3 tape [1] - 152:5 50:11, 61:18, 108:24, 28:19, 33:17, 33:22, summon [1] - 89:20 target [1] - 150:23 120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 targets [2] - 141:10 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	11:25, 14:5, 17:9, 24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
50:11, 61:18, 108:24, 28:19, 33:17, 33:22, summon [1] - 89:20 target [1] - 150:23 120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 targets [2] - 141:10 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] -	24:5, 25:5, 29:6, 30:5, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
120:19, 144:13, 71:23 Sunset [1] - 109:15 targets [2] - 141:11 170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	6, 42:22, 45:4, 46:19,
170:20, 177:15 subpoint [1] - 15:4 superfluous [1] - 141:20	•,
	66:8, 66:11, 84:15,
straight [1] - 164:11 subsequent [4] - 53:10 team [3] - 41:11,	
	85:21, 86:6, 96:25,
strangelove [1] - 64:18, 148:1, 158:3, supervision [1] - 59:10, 59:21	136:22, 136:24,
169:7 183:13 31:7 tech [1] - 144:14	159:3, 177:20, 180:8,
strategic [1] - 33:12 substance [1] - supplement [1] - technical [1] - 143	
strategy [7] - 150:14, 37:17 20:20 technique [1] - 74	
152:6, 181:6, 181:18, substantive [3] - supplemental [5] - techniques [2] -	145:15
181:19, 182:9, 182:18 12:24, 12:25, 13:8 57:19, 57:20, 93:22, 76:14, 79:17	that'll [2] - 48:4,
straws [1] - 178:5 substitute [5] - 19:6, 160:9, 160:13 technologies [1] -	
stray [1] - 169:20 21:2, 23:20, 26:2, supplemented [1] - 76:15	THE [426] - 1:10,
strenuously [1] - 26:4 39:2 technology [8] -	1:11, 1:14, 1:17, 3:1,
175:23 subterfuge [1] - support [7] - 20:25, 77:4, 77:19, 77:22,	3:3, 3:7, 3:9, 3:14,
stricken [1] - 174:12 175:25 26:17, 26:23, 95:10, 79:17, 80:10, 83:10	
strident [2] - 9:16, successful [4] - 108:4, 143:20, 178:18 83:12, 144:15	4:18, 4:22, 4:25, 5:6,
50:9 22:12, 23:3, 24:23, supports [2] - 19:21, Ted [1] - 54:25	7:7, 8:3, 9:11, 10:19,
strikes [2] - 20:15, 172:12 113:2 Tel [1] - 128:15	10:21, 11:12, 12:19,
110:18 successfully [2] - supposed [6] - 7:3, Television [1] -	12:24, 14:23, 15:18,
stroke [2] - 42:17, 77:8, 130:16 30:20, 82:13, 83:25, 136:10	16:5, 16:15, 18:6,
172:2 succinct [3] - 86:10, 101:13 television [1] -	18:10, 18:19, 18:22,
struck [2] - 152:7, 163:14, 165:4, 178:15 supposedly [2] - 109:14	18:24, 19:3, 19:5,
178:6 such-and-such [2] - 61:16, 116:14 teller [2] - 167:1,	19:9, 20:6, 20:21,
struggle [1] - 152:20 107:1 surely [1] - 4:3 167:4	21:17, 22:9, 23:6,
stuff [15] - 17:7, sudden [2] - 42:24, surmounts [1] - 33:5 temperament [1] -	23:19, 24:14, 25:1,
28:11, 34:14, 41:15, 67:17 surprise [1] - 109:10 112:1	25:4, 25:7, 25:9,
52:16, 59:2, 62:6, suddenly [1] - surprised [1] - ten [5] - 18:19, 64:	6 25:14, 26:16, 26:22,
66:2, 68:18, 68:20, 126:17 108:13 76:11, 125:23, 126:	20 27:3, 27:7, 27:10,
88:17, 115:1, 124:7, sue [1] - 106:10 surroundings [1] - Tenet [1] - 104:8	28:23, 31:8, 31:15,
125:9, 134:21 sued [1] - 147:2 137:3 term [5] - 91:5,	33:25, 34:16, 35:17,
stumped [1] - 170:6 sues [1] - 76:12 surveil [2] - 133:9 156:9, 165:10, 166:	37:5, 37:14, 37:16,
stupidity [1] - 78:7 sufficient [2] - 7:18, surveillance [2] - termination [1] -	37.24, 30.9, 39.7,
subdirectory [2] - 12:4 127:4, 137:20 104:2	40:13, 41:21, 43:5,
156:19, 158:17 sufficiently [2] - swinging [2] - 152:6, terms [5] - 9:16,	43:9, 43:19, 45:10,
subject [14] - 7:24, 68:4, 139:14 178:5 44:24, 159:2, 180:1	46:4, 46:12, 47:16,
9:23, 51:10, 51:15, suggest [3] - 6:10, sworn [1] - 66:11 terrorism [1] - 105	47:22, 48:9, 48:20,
52:0, 51:10, 51:13, ouggot (j) ougget (j) <thouget (j)<="" th=""> ougget (j) <tho< td=""><td>51.5, 51.22, 51.24,</td></tho<></thouget>	51.5, 51.22, 51.24,
68:1, 102:1, 103:21, suggested [1] - 10:6 35:14, 89:16, 184:21 terrorists [1] - 133	52.2, 52.10, 52.17,
	33.0, 34.4, 33.0, 33.0,
105:25, 123:5, suggestion [4] - test [15] - 72:3, 72: 123:13, 154:6 46:9, 46:16, 47:25, T 73:9, 76:23, 86:25,	55.10, 55.15, 55.10,
submission [2] - 101:4 73.9, 70.23, 80.23, 88:11, 93:25, 94:3,	33.10, 30.2, 30.10,
26:24, 50:6 suggests [1] - 140:6 94:4, 95:20, 143:21	57.10, 57.21, 00.1,
T-O-U-H-Y [1] - 65:9	00.3, 00.7, 00.20,
submissions [2] - suing [3] - 157:4, table [1] - 183:22 146:1, 146:2, 149:1 5:9, 87:16 159:9, 159:10 table [1] - 183:22 testified [4] - 41:12	01.2, 01.23, 02.10,
	02.10, 02.10, 02.10,
Subility (14) - 21.3, Sub (2) - 110.10, 184.15	63:15, 63:17, 63:21,
tactical 151 - 851	63:25, 64:3, 64:6,
testifies [1] - 00.5	04.10, 04.20, 00.0,
149:25 176:22 Testiny [23] - 08:18	00.20, 00.0, 00.10,
to:3, 120.22, 173.11, Summary [1]-	
185:3 100:15 85:23, 87:11, 94:16) ,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 214 of 216 27

67:19, 67:21, 68:7,	126:1, 126:13,	185:15, 186:3, 186:6	time-consuming [1]	158:11
68:14, 68:16, 68:24,	126:15, 127:13,	theater [1] - 119:10	- 65:14	trade [1] - 8:11
\$9:16, 70:8, 72:8, 73:15, 73:18, 73:22,	127:18, 127:24, 128:5, 128:9, 128:12,	theirs [1] - 84:16 theirselves [1] - 85:1	timely [2] - 14:18, 34:2	TRANSCRIBED [2] 1:11, 2:1
4:2, 74:9, 74:19,	128:24, 129:3,	themselves [4] -	timing [1] - 43:21	transcript [20] - 8:1
4:21, 74:24, 75:2,	129:11, 129:23,	17:13, 84:25, 85:24,	Tina [3] - 7:14, 9:24,	8:21, 29:5, 30:9, 38:
5:20, 77:5, 77:10,	130:8, 131:11,	144:16	11:20	38:9, 38:15, 39:12,
7:12, 80:17, 80:22,	131:14, 131:17,	theory [1] - 129:24	tired [2] - 40:24, 41:4	40:5, 44:2, 47:20,
0:24, 81:3, 81:5,	132:4, 132:6, 132:16,	there'd [2] - 141:6,	title [2] - 170:4,	47:23, 47:24, 47:25,
32:7, 82:9, 82:20,	132:22, 133:11,	141:11	170:18	48:6, 48:21, 50:6,
3:4, 83:6, 83:20,	133:13, 134:6,	therefore [15] - 13:4,	tittle [1] - 118:15	96:20, 96:21, 166:10
33:22, 84:2, 84:4,	134:22, 134:24,	17:7, 50:18, 70:14,	Titus [1] - 176:9	transcription [1] -
34:6, 85:4, 85:7, 85:9,	135:1, 135:3, 135:5,	88:9, 88:10, 111:10,	today [28] - 7:10,	186:18
85:13, 86:1, 86:7,	135:16, 135:19,	118:6, 134:9, 135:12,	18:4, 26:25, 33:23,	transcripts [2] -
86:14, 86:23, 87:5,	135:21, 136:25,	138:24, 146:22,	44:21, 51:20, 54:11,	9:14, 67:23
37:7, 87:13, 88:2,	138:11, 139:10,	168:13, 170:20,	73:10, 86:17, 87:13,	transfer [2] - 46:1,
88:6, 88:16, 88:19,	140:12, 141:3, 142:2,	182:20	88:2, 89:18, 101:10,	71:20
38:24, 89:8, 89:11,	143:19, 143:23,	thereto [1] - 172:23	110:2, 117:3, 117:4,	transferred [1] - 10
0:2, 90:6, 90:8,	144:23, 145:9,	thesis [2] - 10:15,	117:5, 124:16, 129:8,	travels [1] - 186:11
0:12, 90:24, 91:1,	146:12, 147:5, 148:4,	133:3	132:8, 163:18,	tree [4] - 53:14, 63:
1:3, 91:13, 91:18,	148:21, 149:6, 150:1,	they've [11] - 32:12,	165:22, 181:7, 181:9,	63:19, 63:24
1:22, 91:25, 92:2,	150:5, 151:2, 151:16,	33:22, 42:15, 93:6,	181:18, 181:19,	TREMAINE [1] - 1:1
3:6, 93:9, 93:15,	151:18, 151:22,	93:19, 102:4, 149:9,	184:12	Tremaine [1] - 3:16
3:20, 94:6, 94:8,	152:8, 153:10, 154:7,	149:19, 152:7,	today's [2] - 29:6,	tremendous [2] -
4:13, 95:5, 95:13,	154:14, 154:17,	152:23, 178:6	133:2	32:23, 184:20
5:16, 97:2, 97:5,	155:1, 155:6, 155:9,	thinking [4] - 3:24,	Toensing [1] -	Trepp [9] - 78:24,
7:7, 97:9, 98:2,	155:14, 155:25,	41:17, 109:17, 164:24	128:20	79:8, 82:23, 105:1,
8:15, 98:19, 98:21,	156:8, 156:11,	thinks [4] - 49:17,	tomorrow [1] -	147:8, 147:16,
8:24, 99:3, 99:7,	157:15, 157:18,	115:14, 119:1, 145:24	185:17	147:17, 147:22,
9:9, 99:13, 99:15,	158:7, 158:20, 160:9,	third [4] - 9:25,	took [8] - 14:1, 36:9,	149:16
100:3, 100:6, 100:19,	162:9, 163:10,	107:10, 107:16,	53:15, 67:7, 101:24,	trial [32] - 14:11,
100:21, 100:25,	163:13, 164:5, 164:7,	131:22	130:17, 140:15,	17:14, 41:11, 45:24,
01:3, 102:16,	164:20, 165:7,	Third [1] - 176:10	185:15	71:16, 71:18, 84:14,
02:21, 102:24,	165:16, 165:18,	thirteenth [1] - 172:2	top [4] - 31:2, 32:24,	86:21, 92:22, 94:1,
03:4, 103:8, 103:12,	166:25, 167:6,	thoughts [5] - 9:21,	120:5, 149:23	116:4, 152:16, 154:6
103:17, 104:6,	167:13, 167:18,	42:1, 97:17, 138:12,	top-secret [2] - 31:2,	177:6, 177:11, 179:9
04:18, 105:12,	167:20, 167:24,	180:19	149:23	179:10, 179:16,
06:2, 106:12,	168:3, 168:6, 168:11,	threat [2] - 78:3,	topic [2] - 43:25,	179:24, 180:24,
06:17, 106:19,	169:19, 170:8,	144:12	108:23	181:7, 181:15, 182:8
06:23, 107:7,	170:10, 170:13,	threatened [2] -	tortious [2] - 76:17,	182:12, 182:16,
07:21, 107:25,	170:15, 170:18,	150:25, 163:1	79:13	182:22, 183:8,
08:2, 108:8, 108:12,	171:4, 171:6, 172:5,		tossed [1] - 161:3	183:14, 184:1, 184:7
08:17, 108:20,	172:9, 172:11,	three [6] - 49:16, 49:24, 56:3, 64:7,	total [2] - 70:1, 70:2	184:17
09:5, 109:6, 110:3,	172:16, 173:7,	121:25, 122:2	totally [5] - 42:2,	tricked [1] - 164:23
10:8, 111:8, 112:2,	173:14, 173:20,		129:10, 133:4, 133:5,	tried [7] - 31:20,
12:4, 112:16, 114:2,	174:2, 174:5, 174:15,	threshold [3] - 34:22, 144:24, 176:17		104:7, 113:24, 124:3
14:13, 114:19,	174:18, 174:20,		151:12 TOTH [2] - 1:20,	131:23, 152:17,
14:22, 115:2,	174:23, 174:25,	threw [2] - 172:18,		159:15
15:13, 115:17,	175:3, 175:5, 175:9,	172:25	170:14	trigger [1] - 61:4
15:20, 116:1, 116:8,	175:12, 175:16,	throughout [4] -	Toth [5] - 3:18,	triggered [1] - 86:2
18:22, 118:25,	177:8, 177:21,	41:17, 43:17, 45:9,	108:16, 145:12,	trouble [1] - 18:2
19:4, 119:19,	177:23, 178:7,	84:12	170:13, 171:21	true [18] - 15:22,
19:24, 120:1, 120:3,	178:24, 179:2,	throw [4] - 13:2,	tough [1] - 92:16	23:3, 24:20, 24:23,
20:9, 121:3, 121:22,	179:15, 179:19,	107:15, 161:1, 161:6	Touhy [5] - 33:19,	
22:5, 122:13,	179:23, 180:15,	throwing [2] -	59:19, 65:7, 65:9,	25:21, 29:2, 65:7,
22:22, 122:24,	180:23, 181:14,	149:20, 161:10	152:24	95:14, 138:17,
23:3, 123:18,	181:22, 182:7, 183:1,	thrust [1] - 140:5	toward [1] - 51:7	146:17, 150:16,
24:20, 124:23,	183:3, 183:5, 183:17,	thumb [1] - 176:23	town [1] - 186:11	151:5, 161:6, 164:6,
24:25, 125:11,	183:19, 184:3,	Thursday [1] - 117:6	track [6] - 46:3,	164:13, 165:13,
25:16, 125:18,	184:25, 185:5, 185:7,	tied [5] - 53:14, 63:6,	70:12, 153:24,	180:2, 184:13
25:20, 125:23,	185:9, 185:12,	63:18, 96:5, 107:5	154:22, 158:10,	Trulock [2] - 103:10

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 215 of 216 28

103:18	111:1, 113:13, 115:1,	unanimous [2] -	145:24	6:25, 14:12, 45:12,
Trump [1] - 106:25	115:4, 115:11,	148:18, 159:25	UNITED [2] - 1:1,	77:7, 81:7, 126:2,
trust [1] - 132:6	115:14, 116:25,	unavailable [1] -	1:11	140:2, 140:24,
truth [7] - 17:10,	117:21, 123:10,	75:9	united [1] - 2:2	142:21, 145:5, 152:25
•••	124:25, 134:17,			Vegas [3] - 64:20,
17:11, 17:22, 20:18,	136:3, 154:9, 158:13,	unchanged [1] -	United [6] - 22:19,	• • • •
26:12, 139:2, 169:4		57:10	62:12, 91:11, 144:7,	64:21, 66:25
try [17] - 28:17,	163:19, 163:21,	unclassified [1] -	174:10, 186:23	veracity [1] - 120:11
36:15, 46:4, 48:5,	165:20, 166:2, 166:3,	125:10	unless [9] - 4:20,	verdict [1] - 149:1
51:18, 52:18, 86:8,	166:4, 168:9, 172:21	unclear [2] - 49:2,	9:12, 69:1, 99:18,	verify [1] - 47:12
99:13, 105:21,	turning [9] - 21:25,	141:3	123:19, 161:22,	version [3] - 48:6,
112:12, 116:5,	34:20, 39:11, 43:11,	unconstitutional [1]	161:23, 166:8	123:15, 172:1
119:14, 121:16,	43:25, 47:10, 70:18,	- 64:12	unlike [1] - 62:22	versus [13] - 3:2,
129:16, 146:25,	121:4, 133:21	uncover [1] - 151:19	unlikely [1] - 136:14	28:6, 93:2, 103:10,
154:8, 158:10	Turnoff [1] - 87:10	uncovered [1] -	unmask [1] - 117:16	103:18, 174:8,
trying [38] - 9:7,	turnover [2] - 31:17,	151:10	unrelated [2] - 57:13,	175:10, 175:12,
21:24, 23:7, 30:23,	34:7	under [43] - 4:20,	145:11	176:4, 176:7, 176:8,
31:5, 33:8, 33:9,	turns [6] - 15:21,	7:23, 8:10, 8:19, 9:3,	untrue [2] - 35:13,	176:9, 176:11
33:12, 36:22, 38:17,	22:25, 23:2, 24:22,	9:11, 9:20, 13:6,	69:6	viable [3] - 24:23,
54:24, 55:1, 59:4,	25:19, 25:21	14:13, 17:19, 18:20,	up [51] - 7:5, 12:20,	25:19, 25:22
62:4, 65:25, 68:17,	TV [2] - 3:20, 177:12	23:4, 23:5, 24:16,	14:14, 17:7, 17:25,	victim [1] - 22:15
73:10, 82:15, 92:10,	Twain [1] - 172:1	28:21, 33:18, 35:20,	22:11, 22:22, 25:18,	Victoria [1] - 128:20
92:24, 112:6, 112:7,	Twain's [1] - 172:5	43:6, 51:7, 53:21,	29:22, 34:11, 39:17,	video [12] - 43:1,
119:9, 127:21,	Twelfth [2] - 2:3,	54:19, 56:19, 71:9,	41:11, 45:21, 54:5,	43:3, 43:9, 43:23,
131:19, 132:1, 137:7,	186:23	74:21, 74:24, 75:22,	68:18, 69:8, 72:8,	44:3, 44:15, 45:2,
138:24, 148:2,	twelve [1] - 172:4	85:20, 87:2, 91:22,	83:15, 83:16, 83:17,	45:3, 46:9, 74:13,
153:24, 155:12,	twice [1] - 116:3	92:8, 93:10, 94:5,	83:21, 85:21, 92:10,	77:9, 162:3
157:9, 157:11, 158:8,	two [37] - 22:3, 29:4,	95:22, 96:9, 101:5,	98:23, 99:22, 101:13,	video-compression
173:10, 178:4, 182:8,	29:14, 35:10, 36:12,	104:4, 110:11,	104:25, 105:17,	[1] - 74:13
183:14	39:1, 40:1, 54:14,	112:18, 129:7,	107:18, 109:1, 110:6,	videoed [1] - 43:1
Tuesday [2] - 11:19,	54:17, 55:4, 55:6,	129:14, 131:9,	127:7, 134:16,	
21:9		146:15, 175:19	138:13, 142:16,	videotaped [2] -
turn [40] - 15:3,	58:10, 58:11, 58:14,			44:9, 47:8
16:22, 30:1, 31:4,	64:3, 65:13, 72:7,	under-seal [2] - 9:11,	150:9, 157:4, 158:17,	view [13] - 4:9, 13:8,
47:4, 52:20, 52:23,	72:15, 113:17,	9:20	159:9, 159:10,	15:8, 15:10, 19:22,
60:17, 62:5, 62:6,	113:18, 117:22,	undercover [1] -	160:12, 169:25,	21:1, 45:10, 60:17,
	122:1, 123:6, 123:14,	23:11	170:2, 170:21,	72:6, 134:11, 144:8,
67:5, 88:22, 111:12,	126:20, 130:20,	underlying [1] -	183:13, 184:11,	148:13, 148:18
111:15, 120:4,	136:7, 136:13, 147:3,	125:13	184:24, 184:25, 186:7	views [2] - 89:2,
120:13, 123:8, 123:9,	161:5, 161:12,	underscore [1] -	updating [1] - 14:6	96:11
123:12, 123:14,	164:14, 175:1, 175:6,	148:17	US [3] - 55:4, 55:11,	vignette [1] - 41:10
124:13, 126:18,	175:14, 179:12, 181:5	understood [4] -	174:21	violated [2] - 37:4,
126:19, 128:24,	two-proceeding [1] -	79:15, 96:15, 131:24,	USC [1] - 126:14	88:23
133:16, 133:20,	130:20	172:5	uses [1] - 138:22	violating [1] - 177:1
134:1, 134:8, 134:10,	type [1] - 155:2	unduly [2] - 179:4,	uttered [1] - 20:8	virtually [2] - 128:18,
134:21, 135:9,	types [1] - 146:15	182:14		_ 148:5
135:10, 135:12,	typical [1] - 130:3	unequivocal [7] -	V	volume [1] - 136:9
137:7, 137:11, 138:2,	typically [2] - 130:19,	40:16, 48:14, 49:11,		vs [1] - 1:6
158:24, 160:9,	133:1	49:19, 50:10, 165:4,	07.47	
163:22, 174:5		166:11	vacation [1] - 67:17	W
turned [61] - 7:3,	U	unequivocally [8] -	vacuum [1] - 85:19	••
7:14, 8:14, 10:6, 11:2,		29:3, 29:12, 39:24,	vague [2] - 11:3,	
17:1, 17:6, 29:3,		66:5, 68:25, 72:21,	27:12	wait [3] - 54:4, 142:2,
	ultimate [1] - 93:12	163:19, 163:20	valuable [1] - 116:14	143:19
29:12, 29:16, 30:3,			value [8] - 79:16,	Wait [1] - 76:1
30:11, 30:17, 30:19,	ultimately [9] - 10:7,	uniolung 1 - 14.25	00.40 00.44 04.7	
30:11, 30:17, 30:19, 36:12, 38:4, 38:19,	ultimately [9] - 10:7, 10:8, 60:16, 143:5,	unfolding [1] - 14:25 unfortunately [1] -	80:13, 80:14, 84:7,	waiting [4] - 142:10,
30:11, 30:17, 30:19,	-	unfortunately [1] -	80:13, 80:14, 84:7, 86:15, 89:10, 89:12,	waiting [4] - 142:10, 152:22, 159:21
30:11, 30:17, 30:19, 36:12, 38:4, 38:19,	10:8, 60:16, 143:5,	unfortunately [1] - 152:7		-
30:11, 30:17, 30:19, 36:12, 38:4, 38:19, 38:21, 39:25, 40:16,	10:8, 60:16, 143:5, 147:10, 147:17,	unfortunately [1] - 152:7 UNIDENTIFIED [1] -	86:15, 89:10, 89:12,	152:22, 159:21
30:11, 30:17, 30:19, 36:12, 38:4, 38:19, 38:21, 39:25, 40:16, 42:4, 47:13, 49:11,	10:8, 60:16, 143:5, 147:10, 147:17, 147:22, 147:25, 148:10 unable [1] - 96:8	unfortunately [1] - 152:7 UNIDENTIFIED [1] - 167:23	86:15, 89:10, 89:12, 89:13	152:22, 159:21 waive [4] - 96:18,
30:11, 30:17, 30:19, 36:12, 38:4, 38:19, 38:21, 39:25, 40:16, 42:4, 47:13, 49:11, 49:22, 49:23, 49:24,	10:8, 60:16, 143:5, 147:10, 147:17, 147:22, 147:25, 148:10	unfortunately [1] - 152:7 UNIDENTIFIED [1] - 167:23 unilaterally [2] -	86:15, 89:10, 89:12, 89:13 valve [3] - 36:15,	152:22, 159:21 waive [4] - 96:18, 129:5, 129:9, 129:20
30:11, 30:17, 30:19, 36:12, 38:4, 38:19, 38:21, 39:25, 40:16, 42:4, 47:13, 49:11, 49:22, 49:23, 49:24, 50:13, 51:12, 52:14,	10:8, 60:16, 143:5, 147:10, 147:17, 147:22, 147:25, 148:10 unable [1] - 96:8	unfortunately [1] - 152:7 UNIDENTIFIED [1] - 167:23 unilaterally [2] - 101:4, 123:4	86:15, 89:10, 89:12, 89:13 valve [3] - 36:15, 36:16, 40:3	152:22, 159:21 waive [4] - 96:18, 129:5, 129:9, 129:20 waived [7] - 33:22, 34:2, 34:3, 124:17,
30:11, 30:17, 30:19, 36:12, 38:4, 38:19, 38:21, 39:25, 40:16, 42:4, 47:13, 49:11, 49:22, 49:23, 49:24, 50:13, 51:12, 52:14, 55:21, 59:14, 69:3,	10:8, 60:16, 143:5, 147:10, 147:17, 147:22, 147:25, 148:10 unable [1] - 96:8 unambiguous [2] -	unfortunately [1] - 152:7 UNIDENTIFIED [1] - 167:23 unilaterally [2] -	86:15, 89:10, 89:12, 89:13 valve [3] - 36:15, 36:16, 40:3 Van [1] - 176:7	152:22, 159:21 waive [4] - 96:18, 129:5, 129:9, 129:20 waived [7] - 33:22,

Case 1:15-cv-20782-JEM Document 234-18 Entered on FLSD Docket 01/13/2016 Page 216 0f 216 29

waiver [3] - 33:25,	wholly [1] - 26:13	worthless [1] - 80:1
34:8, 35:3	wife [2] - 119:1,	worthy [1] - 9:11
Walker [1] - 89:7	128:20	wound [3] - 157:4,
Walter [1] - 55:7	wild [2] - 148:13,	159:9, 159:10
Wandner [8] - 28:6,	149:8	Wow [1] - 39:18
28:10, 93:2, 119:15,	wildly [1] - 22:23	wrap [1] - 184:25
122:19, 173:11,	willfully [1] - 80:2	Wright [1] - 3:16
173:23, 174:6	William [2] - 55:9,	WRIGHT [1] - 1:17
wants [4] - 45:4, 66:4, 102:10, 146:11	55:10	write [4] - 16:2,
	willing [3] - 182:24,	22:16, 22:17, 113:15
Warren [6] - 78:24,	183:7, 183:10	writes [1] - 55:1
79:8, 82:23, 147:8, 147:16	willy [1] - 9:13	writing [3] - 55:2,
Washington [11] -	willy-nilly [1] - 9:13	185:18, 185:21
1:19, 14:8, 14:20,	win [9] - 84:13, 85:4,	written [11] - 18:3,
29:22, 105:15,	85:17, 92:22, 95:23,	32:7, 56:21, 71:11,
128:20, 137:9,	95:25, 97:16, 121:17	90:3, 90:7, 93:1,
144:10, 155:13,	window [1] - 106:11	98:13, 139:19,
157:1, 159:8	wish [5] - 5:4, 68:24,	161:22, 180:2
Watch [2] - 35:11	69:2, 82:15, 110:1	wrote [17] - 7:19,
water [1] - 18:2	withdraw [1] -	16:2, 23:1, 56:18,
water [1] - 18.2 ways [5] - 31:20,	105:24	56:20, 82:16, 100:18,
44:15, 65:13, 122:2,	withdrew [1] - 11:21	102:7, 155:9, 155:16,
143:15	withheld [2] - 62:3,	156:4, 156:20, 158:7,
	184:19	169:4, 173:11, 174:7, 176:3
wear [2] - 32:14, 177:9	witness [7] - 14:11,	
Wear [2] - 148:1,	41:12, 68:19, 136:18,	Wyoming [1] - 177:8
152:19	153:15, 179:16,	v
Wednesday [2] -	179:20	Y
45:13, 117:8	witnesses [4] - 25:6, 70:1, 84:15, 162:12	
		Year [9] - 3:7, 3:8,
week [2] - 45:1,	Wizard [1] - 124:7	Year [9] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11	
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22,	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20,	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] -	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] -	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22, 169:24, 170:4,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22, 169:24, 170:4, 170:11, 170:20, 171:1	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7,	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22, 169:24, 170:4, 170:11, 170:20, 171:1 word [8] - 31:8, 48:3,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15,
<pre>week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19</pre>	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22, 169:24, 170:4, 170:11, 170:20, 171:1 word [8] - 31:8, 48:3, 53:6, 53:10, 146:2,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22, 169:24, 170:4, 170:11, 170:20, 171:1 word [8] - 31:8, 48:3, 53:6, 53:10, 146:2, 165:24, 167:4	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5 whatsoever [2] -	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22, 169:24, 170:4, 170:11, 170:20, 171:1 word [8] - 31:8, 48:3, 53:6, 53:10, 146:2, 165:24, 167:4 words [7] - 15:20,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5 whatsoever [2] - 56:14, 158:22	Wizard [1] - 124:7 woke [1] - 22:11 woman [2] - 171:2 wonderful [1] - 109:10 wondering [1] - 7:4 Woody [8] - 166:24, 167:16, 169:22, 169:24, 170:4, 170:11, 170:20, 171:1 word [8] - 31:8, 48:3, 53:6, 53:10, 146:2, 165:24, 167:4 words [7] - 15:20, 16:14, 35:19, 37:17,	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5 whatsoever [2] - 56:14, 158:22 wheels [1] - 29:25	$\label{eq:windownerse} \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Wizard} \ [1] - 124:7 \\ \textbf{woke} \ [1] - 22:11 \\ \textbf{woman} \ [2] - 171:2 \\ \textbf{wonderful} \ [1] - \\ 109:10 \\ \textbf{wondering} \ [1] - 7:4 \\ \textbf{Woody} \ [8] - 166:24, \\ 167:16, 169:22, \\ 169:24, 170:4, \\ 170:11, 170:20, 171:1 \\ \textbf{word} \ [8] - 31:8, 48:3, \\ 53:6, 53:10, 146:2, \\ 165:24, 167:4 \\ \textbf{words} \ [7] - 15:20, \\ 16:14, 35:19, 37:17, \\ 130:22, 163:14, 168:8 \\ \end{array}$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5 whatsoever [2] - 56:14, 158:22 wheels [1] - 29:25 whispering [1] - 22:1	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1,
$\label{eq:week} \begin{array}{l} [2] - 45:1, \\ 45:15 \\ \mbox{weeks} [6] - 14:22, \\ 109:19, 109:20, \\ 154:10, 155:8 \\ \mbox{weighing} [1] - 16:23 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 14:7, \\ 14:19 \\ \mbox{Westlaw} [1] - 173:5 \\ \mbox{whatsoever} [2] - \\ 56:14, 158:22 \\ \mbox{wheels} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whispering} [1] - 22:1 \\ \mbox{whistle} [1] - 137:24 \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8
$\label{eq:week} \begin{array}{l} [2] - 45:1, \\ 45:15 \\ \mbox{weeks} [6] - 14:22, \\ 109:19, 109:20, \\ 154:10, 155:8 \\ \mbox{weighing} [1] - 16:23 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{west} [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 14:7, \\ 14:19 \\ \mbox{Westlaw} [1] - 173:5 \\ \mbox{whatsoever} [2] - \\ 56:14, 158:22 \\ \mbox{wheels} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whispering} [1] - 22:1 \\ \mbox{whistle} [1] - 137:24 \\ \mbox{whistle-blowing} [1] - \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5 whatsoever [2] - 56:14, 158:22 wheels [1] - 29:25 whispering [1] - 22:1 whistle [1] - 137:24 whistle-blowing [1] - 137:24	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5 whatsoever [2] - 56:14, 158:22 wheels [1] - 29:25 whispering [1] - 22:1 whistle [1] - 137:24 whistle-blowing [1] - 137:24 whistleblower [5] -	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11,
week [2] - 45:1, 45:15 weeks [6] - 14:22, 109:19, 109:20, 154:10, 155:8 weighing [1] - 16:23 weight [1] - 66:7 well-written [1] - 93:1 west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 Western [2] - 14:7, 14:19 Westlaw [1] - 173:5 whatsoever [2] - 56:14, 158:22 wheels [1] - 29:25 whispering [1] - 22:1 whistle [1] - 137:24 whistle-blowing [1] - 137:24 whistleblower [5] - 126:24, 136:14,	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14
$week [2] - 45:1, \\ 45:15 \\ weeks [6] - 14:22, \\ 109:19, 109:20, \\ 154:10, 155:8 \\ weighing [1] - 16:23 \\ weight [1] - 66:7 \\ well-written [1] - \\ 93:1 \\ west [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ Western [2] - 14:7, \\ 14:19 \\ Westlaw [1] - 173:5 \\ whatsoever [2] - \\ 56:14, 158:22 \\ wheels [1] - 29:25 \\ whispering [1] - 29:25 \\ whispering [1] - 29:25 \\ whistle [1] - 137:24 \\ whistleblower [5] - \\ 126:24, 136:14, \\ 137:13, 137:18, \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14 yourself [4] - 31:15,
$\label{eq:week} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\label{eq:wighter} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14
$\label{eq:week} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\label{eq:windownergy} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wizard} [1] - 124:7\\ \textbf{woke} [1] - 22:11\\ \textbf{woman} [2] - 171:2\\ \textbf{wonderful} [1] - \\ 109:10\\ \textbf{wondering} [1] - 7:4\\ \textbf{Woody} [8] - 166:24, \\ 167:16, 169:22, \\ 169:24, 170:4, \\ 170:11, 170:20, 171:1\\ \textbf{word} [8] - 31:8, 48:3, \\ 53:6, 53:10, 146:2, \\ 165:24, 167:4\\ \textbf{words} [7] - 15:20, \\ 16:14, 35:19, 37:17, \\ 130:22, 163:14, 168:8\\ \textbf{work-product} [1] - \\ 177:1\\ \textbf{works} [10] - 16:11, \\ 81:22, 83:24, 84:23, \\ 86:10, 86:17, 117:17, \\ 134:18, 140:4, 145:24\\ \textbf{world} [4] - 32:25, \\ 58:14, 133:2, 169:8\\ \textbf{worry} [1] - 185:21\\ \end{array}$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14 yourself [4] - 31:15, 36:15, 127:12, 139:24
$\label{eq:week} [2] - 45:1, \\ 45:15 \\ \mbox{weeks} [6] - 14:22, \\ 109:19, 109:20, \\ 154:10, 155:8 \\ \mbox{weighing} [1] - 16:23 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 63, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 14:7, \\ 14:19 \\ \mbox{Westlaw} [1] - 173:5 \\ \mbox{whatsoever} [2] - \\ 56:14, 158:22 \\ \mbox{wheels} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whispering} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whistle-blowing} [1] - \\ 137:24 \\ \mbox{whistle-blowing} [1] - \\ 126:24, 136:14, \\ 137:13, 137:18, \\ 162:22 \\ \mbox{whole} [16] - 6:3, \\ 16:20, 17:7, 22:22, \\ \end{tabular}$	$\label{eq:windownergy} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wizard} [1] - 124:7\\ \textbf{woke} [1] - 22:11\\ \textbf{woman} [2] - 171:2\\ \textbf{wonderful} [1] - \\109:10\\ \textbf{wondering} [1] - 7:4\\ \textbf{Woody} [8] - 166:24, \\167:16, 169:22, \\169:24, 170:4, \\170:11, 170:20, 171:1\\ \textbf{word} [8] - 31:8, 48:3, \\53:6, 53:10, 146:2, \\165:24, 167:4\\ \textbf{words} [7] - 15:20, \\16:14, 35:19, 37:17, \\130:22, 163:14, 168:8\\ \textbf{work-product} [1] - \\177:1\\ \textbf{works} [10] - 16:11, \\81:22, 83:24, 84:23, \\86:10, 86:17, 117:17, \\134:18, 140:4, 145:24\\ \textbf{world} [4] - 32:25, \\58:14, 133:2, 169:8\\ \textbf{worry} [1] - \\17. \end{array}$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14 yourself [4] - 31:15,
$\label{eq:week} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\label{eq:windownergy} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wizard} [1] - 124:7\\ \textbf{woke} [1] - 22:11\\ \textbf{woman} [2] - 171:2\\ \textbf{wonderful} [1] - \\109:10\\ \textbf{wondering} [1] - 7:4\\ \textbf{Woody} [8] - 166:24, \\167:16, 169:22, \\169:24, 170:4, \\170:11, 170:20, 171:1\\ \textbf{word} [8] - 31:8, 48:3, \\53:6, 53:10, 146:2, \\165:24, 167:4\\ \textbf{words} [7] - 15:20, \\16:14, 35:19, 37:17, \\130:22, 163:14, 168:8\\ \textbf{work-product} [1] - \\177:1\\ \textbf{works} [10] - 16:11, \\81:22, 83:24, 84:23, \\86:10, 86:17, 117:17, \\134:18, 140:4, 145:24\\ \textbf{world} [4] - 32:25, \\58:14, 133:2, 169:8\\ \textbf{worry} [1] - 185:21\\ \textbf{worsening} [1] - \\42:19 \end{array}$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14 yourself [4] - 31:15, 36:15, 127:12, 139:24
$\label{eq:week} [2] - 45:1, \\ 45:15 \\ \mbox{weeks} [6] - 14:22, \\ 109:19, 109:20, \\ 154:10, 155:8 \\ \mbox{weighing} [1] - 16:23 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 63:7 \\ \mbox{west} [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 14:7, \\ 14:19 \\ \mbox{Westlaw} [1] - 173:5 \\ \mbox{whatsoever} [2] - \\ 56:14, 158:22 \\ \mbox{wheels} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whispering} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whistle-blowing} [1] - 22:1 \\ \mbox{whistle-blowing} [1] - \\ 137:24 \\ \mbox{whistleblower} [5] - \\ 126:24, 136:14, \\ 137:13, 137:18, \\ 162:22 \\ \mbox{whole} [16] - 6:3, \\ 16:20, 17:7, 22:22, \\ 41:23, 45:6, 47:13, \\ \end{tabular}$	$\label{eq:wised_states} \begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14 yourself [4] - 31:15, 36:15, 127:12, 139:24 Z
$\label{eq:week} [2] - 45:1, \\ 45:15 \\ \mbox{weeks} [6] - 14:22, \\ 109:19, 109:20, \\ 154:10, 155:8 \\ \mbox{weighing} [1] - 16:23 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 66:7 \\ \mbox{weight} [1] - 63:7 \\ \mbox{west} [2] - 60:3, 75:20 \\ \mbox{Western} [2] - 14:7, \\ 14:19 \\ \mbox{Westlaw} [1] - 173:5 \\ \mbox{whatsoever} [2] - \\ 56:14, 158:22 \\ \mbox{wheels} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whispering} [1] - 29:25 \\ \mbox{whistle-blowing} [1] - 22:1 \\ \mbox{whistle-blowing} [1] - \\ 137:24 \\ \mbox{whistleblower} [5] - \\ 126:24, 136:14, \\ 137:13, 137:18, \\ 162:22 \\ \mbox{whole} [16] - 6:3, \\ 16:20, 17:7, 22:22, \\ 41:23, 45:6, 47:13, \\ 91:6, 92:11, 97:6, \\ \end{tabular}$	$\label{eq:windownergy} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wizard} [1] - 124:7\\ \textbf{woke} [1] - 22:11\\ \textbf{woman} [2] - 171:2\\ \textbf{wonderful} [1] - \\109:10\\ \textbf{wondering} [1] - 7:4\\ \textbf{Woody} [8] - 166:24, \\167:16, 169:22, \\169:24, 170:4, \\170:11, 170:20, 171:1\\ \textbf{word} [8] - 31:8, 48:3, \\53:6, 53:10, 146:2, \\165:24, 167:4\\ \textbf{words} [7] - 15:20, \\16:14, 35:19, 37:17, \\130:22, 163:14, 168:8\\ \textbf{work-product} [1] - \\177:1\\ \textbf{works} [10] - 16:11, \\81:22, 83:24, 84:23, \\86:10, 86:17, 117:17, \\134:18, 140:4, 145:24\\ \textbf{world} [4] - 32:25, \\58:14, 133:2, 169:8\\ \textbf{worry} [1] - 185:21\\ \textbf{worsening} [1] - \\42:19 \end{array}$	3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14, 186:11, 186:12 year [10] - 5:4, 42:20, 63:18, 64:3, 111:6, 126:17, 126:19, 126:20, 140:6, 162:1 Year's [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 108:25, 109:8 years [22] - 19:15, 20:12, 30:24, 31:5, 35:8, 36:23, 42:16, 54:6, 62:4, 64:6, 64:7, 87:10, 111:20, 126:7, 126:9, 126:20, 126:21, 137:7, 162:21, 137:7, 162:21, 177:13 yesterday [3] - 20:1, 42:10, 127:8 York [4] - 6:23, 11:2, 168:1, 173:8 young [3] - 98:11, 98:13, 98:14 yourself [4] - 31:15, 36:15, 127:12, 139:24