

SETH M. REISS, AAL, ALLLC  
Seth M. Reiss, Bar No. 2774  
3770 Lurline Drive  
Honolulu, HI 96816  
Telephone: 808-521-7080  
Facsimile: 808-675-5805  
E-mail: [seth.reiss@lex-ip.com](mailto:seth.reiss@lex-ip.com)

RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP  
Marc J. Randazza\*  
(Cal. Bar No. 269535)  
6525 W. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89118  
Telephone: 888-667-1113  
Facsimile: 305-437-7662 (fax )  
E-mail: [mjr@randazza.com](mailto:mjr@randazza.com)  
\*(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC

Plaintiff,

vs.

HAWAII MEMBERS OF SWARM  
OF NOVEMBER 15, 2010 TO  
JANUARY 27, 2011,  
SHARING HASH FILE  
AE340D0560129AFEE8D78CE07F23  
94C7B5BC9C05; MICHAELA  
HATANAKA, NANCY KAPIKO,  
BRAD HATCHER, DAVE KANDA,  
JEANNETTE TREVIAS, PAUL  
MARMULSTEIN, BRUCE GREEK,  
CALVIN NISHIO, AND DOES 13  
THROUGH 20,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:11-cv-00262 DAE-RLP  
(Copyright)

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO  
RECONSIDER OR CLARIFY ORDER  
REGARDING ADDITIONAL TIME  
FOR SERVICE UNDER RULE 4(m),  
FRCP; MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF MOTION; DECLARATION OF  
COUNSEL; CERTIFICATE OF  
SERVICE**

**PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO RECONSIDEROR CLARIFY ORDER  
REGARDING  
ADDITIONAL TIME FOR SERVICE UNDER RULE 4(m), FRCP**

Plaintiff LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Liberty”), by and through its attorneys undersigned, respectfully move this Court to reconsider or clarify its Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff Liberty Media Holdings, LLCs’ Ex-Parte Motion for Order Authorizing Additional Early Discovery and Additional Time for Service Under Rules 26(D) and 4(M ) (Docket No. 37) dated September 30, 2011 (the “Order”).

More specifically, Plaintiff requests that this Court reconsider the Order to authorize Plaintiff additional time to serve four identified Defendants whom Plaintiff has been unable to serve within the time previously granted by the Court in the Order, in particular because the applicable rule has been interpreted to allow Plaintiff a further 120 days to first an amended complaint that identifies a new party.

Alternatively, Plaintiff requests that this Court clarify that the Order does not preclude Plaintiff from re-filing its lawsuit against the four identified Defendants whom Plaintiff has been unable to serve within the time previously granted by the Court in the order.

Plaintiff makes this Motion pursuant to Rules 4(m) and 7, Fed. R. Civ. P, and Local Rules 7.2 and 60.1. This Motion is supported by Plaintiff’s

Memorandum of Law in Support of the Motion and Declaration of Counsel attached, and such evidence and argument as may be presented at a hearing on this motion. Plaintiff is serving the motion upon the four Defendants whom Plaintiff was unable to serve within the time previously granted by the Court in its Order. No identified Defendants have yet responded to the First Amended Complaint or entered their appearance

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, October 18, 2011.

SETH M REISS, AAL, ALLLC  
RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP

/s/ Seth M. Reiss

SETH M. REISS  
MARC J. RANDAZZA

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC