

SUBJECT INDEX

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	APPLICABLE LAW	2
III.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	4
IV.	ARGUMENT	13
	A. STATE OFFICIALS CAN BE SUED IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	13
	B. MR. FISHER’S SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHT HAS BEEN UNDULY RESTRICTED	13
	C. MR. FISHER HAS BEEN DENIED DUE PROCESS OF LAW	20
	D. DEFENDANT KEALOHA IS NOT ENTITLED TO QUALIFIED IMMUNITY	21
V.	ASSUMING <i>ARGUENDO</i> THAT THE COURT FINDS MERIT TO DEFENDANT CITY’S ARGUMENT, PLAINTIFF REQUESTS LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT	24
VI.	CONCLUSION	25