UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MALIBU MEDIA,)
Plaintiff,))
v.)
JOHN DOES 1-34,)
Defendants.)

Case No. 12-1188

<u>O R D E R</u>

On February 11, 2013, a Report & Recommendation was filed by Magistrate Judge John A. Gorman in the above captioned case. More than 14 days have elapsed since the filing of the Report & Recommendation, and no objections have been made. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); <u>Lockert v. Faulkner</u>, 843 F.2d 1015 (7th Cir. 1988); and <u>Video Views, Inc. v. Studio 21,</u> <u>Ltd.</u>, 797 F.2d 538, 539 (7th Cir. 1986). As the parties failed to present timely objections, any such objections have been waived. <u>Id.</u>

The relevant procedural history is sufficiently set forth in the comprehensive Report & Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Suffice it to say that Plaintiff has brought this litigation alleging that Defendant John Does have illegally downloaded copyrighted files from the Internet using peer-to-peer technology. After an extensive discussion of issues regarding the propriety of permissive joinder, the Magistrate Judge recommended that claims against multiple defendants be severed from the first-named remaining defendant and be dismissed without prejudice to refiling as separate actions. The Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.

1:12-cv-01188-JES-JAG # 33 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the Court now adopts the Report & Recommendation [32] of the Magistrate Judge in its entirety. Remaining Defendants John Does #5, #6, #13, #21, #31, #32, #33, and #34 are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to refiling as separate actions, and all other pending motions are now MOOT. The matter will proceed solely against John Doe #1.

ENTERED this 6th day of March, 2013.

s/ James E. Shadid James E. Shadid Chief United States District Judge