

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CHRISTOPHER DAVIS; WILLIAM J. )  
THOMPSON, JR.; RANDY COLE, JR.; )  
WILSON LOBAO; ROBERT CAPONE; )  
RYAN SHAUGHNESSY and )  
COMMONWEALTH SECOND )  
AMENDMENT, INC., )  
Plaintiffs, )

v. )

RICHARD C. GRIMES, in his Official Capacity )  
as Chief of the Weymouth Police Department; )  
NEIL F. OULLETTE, in his Official Capacity )  
as Chief of the Danvers Police Department; )  
ROBERT L. CHAMPAGNE, in his Official )  
Capacity as Chief of the Peabody Police )  
Department; and GARY J. GEMME, in his )  
Official Capacity as Chief of the Worcester )  
Police Department, )  
Defendants. )

CIVIL ACTION  
NO. 1:13-10246-FDS

NOTICE OF SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

SAYLOR, D.J.

In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and Local Rule 16.1, an initial scheduling conference will be held in Courtroom No. 2 on the 3rd floor of the United States Courthouse in Boston, Massachusetts on:

**17 APR 2013 at 2:10 P.M.**

The court expects compliance with the terms of Local Rule 16.1, except as stated below.

1. **Agenda Not Required:** Notwithstanding the provisions of Local Rule 16.1(B)(1), counsel are not required to prepare an agenda of matters to be discussed at the scheduling conference unless otherwise specifically directed to do so by the court. Counsel are, however, required to comply with the remaining requirements of Local Rule 16.1, including, but not limited to, the submission of a joint statement under Local Rule 16.1(D).
2. **Scheduling Order:** In most cases, the court will issue a scheduling order at the conference in the form attached hereto. The court may depart from the form in cases of relative complexity or simplicity or otherwise where justice may so require. The parties should attempt to agree on the relevant dates for discovery and motion practice. In a case of ordinary complexity, the parties should propose a schedule that calls for the completion of fact discovery, expert discovery, and motion practice less than one

calendar year from the date of the scheduling conference. The dates of the status conference and pretrial conference will be set by the court.

3. **Discovery Event Limitations**: Counsel representing parties in relatively complex matters who expect to require relief from the limitations on discovery events set forth in Local Rule 26.2(c) should be prepared to address that issue at the scheduling conference.

By the Court,

March 15, 2013

Date

/s/ Pietro Cicolini

Deputy Clerk