1	J. Stephen Peek, Esq. (NV Bar #1758) Jerry M. Snyder, Esq. (NV Bar #6830)		
2	Shane M. Biornstad (NV Bar #9972) Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard		
3	S441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, NV 89511		
4	Tel: (775) 327-3000 Fax: (775) 786-6179		
5	speek@halelane.com; jsnyder@halelane.com; sbiornstad@halelane.com		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Friendly Capital Partners, L.F eTreppid Technologies, LLC and Warren Trepp	.	
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	FRIENDLY CAPITAL PARTNERS, L.P., a		
11	California Limited Partnership,	Case No. 3:07-cv-00250-PMP-VPC	
12			
13	Plaintiffs,	RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF DENNIS MONTGOMERY,	
14	vs.	BRENDA MONTGOMERY, AND THE MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST	
15	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, BRENDA MONTGOMERY, and the MONTGOMERY	REGARDING DIVERSITY JURISDICTION	
16	FAMILY TRUST, a California trust, and DOES 1 through 10, individually,		
17	Defendants		
18			
19	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, BRENDA		
20	MONTGOMERY, and the MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST,		
21	Counterclaimants,		
22	vs.		
23	FRIENDLY CAPITAL PARTNERS, L.P., a California Limited Partnership; WARREN TREPP,		
24	an individual, ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and		
25	DOES 1 – 10 inclusive,		
26	Counterdefendants.		
27			
28			

1 2

3

5

4

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

27 28

As set forth below, Counterdefendants adamantly oppose Montgomery's analysis of Delew v. Wagner, 143 F.3d 1219 (9th Cir. 1998).

RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF DENNIS MONTGOMERY, BRENDA MONTGOMERY. DIVERSITY JURISDICTION

Plaintiff-Counterdefendant, Friendly Capital Partners, L.P. ("FCP"), and Counterdefendants, Warren Trepp ("Trepp") and eTreppid Technologies, LLC ("eTreppid"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby submit their Response to Supplemental Brief of Dennis Montgomery, Brenda Montgomery, and the Montgomery Family Trust (collectively "Montgomery") Regarding Diversity Jurisdiction.

FCP, Trepp, and eTreppid (collectively "Counterdefendants") do not take issue with Montgomery's legal statements regarding this Court's diversity jurisdiction. That is to say, Counterdefendants agree that (1) complete diversity of citizenship of the parties must exist at the time of filing the complaint and at the time of removal; (2) a trust's citizenship is determined by the citizenship of its trustees; and (3) a party's change in domicile after the time of removal does not affect the Court's diversity jurisdiction. However, Counterdefendants reserve the right to move to remand this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction in the event that discovery in this matter reveals that Montgomery was domiciled in California either at the time of filing the complaint or at the time of removal to this Court. At this point, Counterdefendants have no choice but to accept as true the factual assertions set forth in Montgomery's Declaration.

As to the last section of Montgomery's Supplemental Brief, which offers a short and conclusory analysis of *Delew v. Wagner*, 143 F.3d 1219 (9th Cir. 1998), Counterdefendants point this Court to FCP's and Trepp's Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss First Amended Counterclaims, or, in the Alternative, For a More Definite Statement, where FCP and Trepp set forth a

/// ///

///

///

26 ///

::ODMA\PCDOCS\HLRNODOCS\712296\1

Case 3:07-cv-00250-PMP-VPC Document 68 Filed 02/06/08 Page 3 of 4

	1	C
	2	r
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
/ard)r	10	
How Floo	11	
and cond 511	12	
Sec 1, Sec 1a 89	13	
Denr Lane Ievac	14	
Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511	15	
ne P Kiet Rei		
e La 3441	16 17 18	
Ha 3	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
		1

detailed analysis showing why *Delew* is inapplicable and Montgomery's First Amended Counterclaims must be dismissed.

DATED: This 6th day of February, 2008.

J. Stephen Peek, Esq. (NV Bar #1758)
Jerry M. Snyder, Esq. (NV Bar #6830)
Shane M. Biornstad, Esq. (NV Bar #9972)
Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard
5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor
Reno, Nevada 89511

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendants Friendly Capital Partners, L.P., eTreppid Technologies, LLC and Warren Trepp 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Gaylene Silva, declare:

I am employed in the City of Reno, County of Washoe, State of Nevada, by the law offices of Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard. My business address is: 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor, Reno, Nevada 89511. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action

I am readily familiar with Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard's practice for collection of mail, delivery of its hand-deliveries and their process of faxes.

On February 6, 2008, I caused the foregoing RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF DENNIS MONTGOMERY, BRENDA MONTGOMERY, AND THE MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST REGARDING DIVERSITY JURISDICTION to be:

X filed the document electronically with the U.S. District Court and therefore the court's computer system has electronically delivered a copy of the foregoing document to the following person(s) at the following e-mail addresses:

Fax No. (775) 829-1226 Fax Email: mgunderson@gundersonlaw.com; Em

eguenaga@gundersonlaw.com

Mark H. Gunderson, Esq. Elaine S. Guenaga, Esq. Mark H. Gunderson, LTD. 5345 Kietzke Lane, Ste. 200 Reno, NV 89511 Fax No. 310-500-3501

Email <u>dklar@linerlaw.com</u>; <u>tpham@linerlaw.com</u>; and <u>rlapine@linerlaw.com</u>

Deborah A. Klar, Esq. Teri T. Pham, Esq. Ryan M. Lapine, Esq. 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024-3503

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on February 6, 2008.

Gaylene Silva