


D/F

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN:
NEW YORK; NEW JERSEY;
UNITED STATES SUPREME
COURT; UNITED STATES
COURTS OF APPEALS FOR THE
SECOND AND THIRD CIRCUITS;
UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURTS FOR THE SOUTHERN
AND EASTERN DISTRICTS OF
NEW YORK; DISTRICT OF NEW
JERSEY; DISTRICT OF
CONNECTICUT; NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS;
UNITED STATES COURT OF
INTERNATIONAL TRADE;
COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

JAMES M. MALONEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PROCTOR IN ADMIRALTY



P.O. Box 551
33 BAYVIEW AVENUE
PORT WASHINGTON, NY 11050

TEL: (516) 767-1395
FAX: (516) 767-1326

E-MAIL ADDRESSES:
jmm80@earthlink.net
maritimelaw@nyu.edu

July 11, 2006

ORIGINAL FILED VIA ECF

Hon. Arthur D. Spatt
United States District Court Judge
United States District Court, E.D.N.Y.
Central Islip, New York 11722

Re: *Maloney v. Spitzer, et al.* (CV- 03-786) (ADS) (MLO)

Honorable Sir:

I am the *pro se* plaintiff in the above-captioned case. This letter is being sent further to my letter of May 31, 2006, following an e-mail conference today among myself and the attorneys for all defendants, in response to a request by Defendants Pataki and Spitzer to revise certain dates in the briefing schedule on the motions by the defendants. **I note once again that the date that both motions are to be fully briefed remains the same as before.** The parties have agreed to the following revised briefing schedule:

<u>Papers</u>	<u>Date to be served</u>
Rule 12(b) Motion by Defendants Pataki and Spitzer	[already served]
Plaintiff's Response to above 12(b) Motion	[already served]
Rule 12(c) Motion by Defendant Dillon/Rice	[already served]
Plaintiff's Response to above 12(c) Motion	Friday, July 14, 2006
Reply on 12(b) Motion by Defendants Pataki and Spitzer	Friday, July 28, 2006
Reply on Rule 12(c) Motion by Defendant Dillon/Rice	Monday, August 7, 2006

SO ORDERED.

Respectfully,

/s

James M. Maloney

7/12/06 ARTHUR D. SPATT, U.S.D.J.
cc (via ECF): Dorothy Oehler Nese, Esq., Liora M. Ben-Sorek, Esq.