Paul E. Summit Andrew T. Solomon SULLIVAN & WORCESTER LLP 1290 Avenue of the Americas, 29th Floor New York, NY 10104 (212) 660-3000

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Judgment Creditor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
THE EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA,		
Plaintiff/Judgment Credito	tor, : 06 CV 2469 (HB) (AJI	P)
-against-		
GRENADA,	:	
Defendant/Judgment Debt		

DECLARATION OF PAUL E. SUMMIT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF/JUDGMENT CREDITOR THE EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT/JUDGMENT DEBTOR GRENADA'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER (1) DECLARING FUNDS IMMUNE FROM ATTACHMENT OR (2) FIXING CHARGING LIEN AND DIRECTING MONEY JUDGMENT FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED BY FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER; AND IN SUPPORT OF CROSS MOTION FOR TURNOVER OF FUNDS TO PLAINTIFF/JUDGMENT CREDITOR

PAUL E. SUMMIT, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declares:

1. I am a partner with the law firm of Sullivan & Worcester LLP, attorneys for

plaintiff, The Export-Import Bank of the Republic of China ("Ex-Im Bank"). I submit this

Declaration in support of Ex-Im Bank's Opposition to Defendant/Judgment Debtor Grenada's

Motion for an Order (1) Declaring Funds Immune from Attachment or (2) Fixing a Charging

Lien and Directing Money Judgment for Legal Services Rendered By Freshfields Bruckhaus

Deringer; and Cross-Motion for Turnover of Funds to Plaintiff/Judgment Creditor.

2. On November 17, 2011, Freshfields informed me that Grenada's Colorado counsel had demanded payment of \$3,500 out of the Grynberg Funds. On November 18, 2011, my law firm informed Freshfields that Ex-Im Bank would not object to Grenada paying \$3,500 out of those funds to its Colorado counsel.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is Ex-Im Bank's Proposed Order of Execution Under § 1610(c) of the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and accurate copy of excerpts from the Transcript of the August 10, 2011 Conference before the Hon. Andrew J. Peck.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and accurate copy of the judgment entered in favor of Grenada and against RSM Production Corporation in the amount of \$186,072.81 on January 23, 2012, by the Federal District Court of the Southern District of New York, in Grenada v. RSM Production Corporation, 1:11-cv-06591-JPO.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury this 27th day of February 2012.

Paul E. Summit

Exhibit 1

:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA,

Plaintiff/Judgment Creditor, :

06 CV 2469 (HB) (AJP)

-against-

GRENADA,

Defendant/Judgment Debtor. : -----X

[PROPOSED] ORDER OF EXECUTION UNDER § 1610(C) OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT

On March 16, 2007, this Court entered an Amended Judgment in favor of

Plaintiff/Judgment Creditor The Export-Import Bank of the Republic of China ("Ex-Im Bank") and against Defendant/Judgment Debtor Grenada ("Grenada") in the amount of \$21,586,057.38, plus prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, and statutory interest.

Under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act ("FSIA"), no execution on property referred to in § 1610 (a) and (b) shall be permitted until the Court has ordered such execution after having determined that a reasonable period of time has elapsed following the entry of judgment and the giving of any notice required under section 1608(e).

Upon a finding that a reasonable period of time has elapsed following the entry of judgment, and finding that no notice is required under § 1608(e),

Case 1:06-cv-02469-HB Document 74 Filed 02/27/12 Page 5 of 18

It is hereby ORDERED, pursuant to § 1610(c) of the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, that:

An order of execution shall issue permitting Ex-Im Bank to execute on any and all property in Grenada's possession in the United States, including but not limited to the Grynberg Funds, that is not otherwise immune from execution under the FSIA.

Dated: New York, New York March __, 2012

Hon. Harold Baer Jr., U.S.D.J.

Exhibit 2

Case 1:06-cv-02469-HB Document 74 Filed 02/27/12 Page 7 of 18

18adexpc CONFERENCE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 1 2 -----x 2 3 THE EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE 3 REPUBLIC OF CHINA, 4 4 Plaintiff/ 5 Judgment Creditor, New York, N.Y. 6 06 Civ. 2469(HB)(AJP) 6 v. 7 7 GRENADA, 8 8 Defendant/ 9 Judgment Debtor. 10 10 -----x 11 11 August 10, 2011 12 10:09 a.m. 12 13 Before: 13 14 HON. ANDREW J. PECK, 14 15 District Judge 15 16 APPEARANCES 16 17 SULLIVAN & WORCESTER LLP 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: PAUL E. SUMMIT 18 ANDREW T. SOLOMON 18 19 19 FRANKFURT KURNIT KLEIN & SELZ PC 20 Attorneys for Defendant 20 BY: BRIAN E. MAAS 21 KHIANNA BARTHOLOMEW 22 - also present -23 FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER LLP 23 24 BY: ELLIOT FRIEDMAN 24 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

CONFERENCE

because somebody has got to hold the money until those rights 1 2 are resolved. That was important for the Court to know. First, it is important for me to let the Court know 3 4 that that was a complete surprise. That was not something that 5 I knew about. I can explain why Grenada hadn't disclosed it to 6 I'm not sure that matters at this point. me. 7 THE COURT: It sort of does because while you are the 8 one whose bar license is at -- you know, you are here in front 9 of this Court, but it does raise the question of whether 10 Grenada is keeping you informed and is keeping up with its 11 obligations as a judgment debtor, which is all the more reason 12 why some discovery requests may well be appropriate here. 13 Neither of you have submitted to me what the discovery 14 document requests or interrogatories at issue actually are. 15 Hopefully, somebody has a copy of it and we'll get to that. 16 MR. MAAS: May I take one minute, then, to give you a 17 little bit more of the background that I have learned? And 18 Mr. Friedman can certainly amplify, if the Court has additional 19 questions. 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. MAAS: As I understand it, Mr. Grynberg has been 22 in litigation with Grenada for several years as a plaintiff 23 suing Grenada. Grenada's position in these arbitrations was 24 always as a defendant. They did not have -- I don't believe 25 they had claims against Grynberg. In each of them -- there SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CONFERENCE

were, I think, two separate arbitrations. In both of them Grenada prevailed at some point in 2010, I believe, and then applications were made by Grenada to recover their costs and legal fees. And they obtained awards from both arbitration panels at different times for their costs and attorneys' fees, and that's when Freshfields then came to the Southern District to confirm the arbitration awards and obtain a judgment.

8 As I understand it from people I deal with in Grenada, 9 in their mind, they were defendants. They got an award of 10 attorneys' fees, which is money that they owed their attorneys, 11 and it did not register. It was just -- in terms of the 12 overall scheme of what are your assets, what are your 13 liabilities, what do I need to tell my lawyer in the Ex-Im Bank 14 case, it just didn't register for the Attorney General or the 15 Minister of Finance, who are the two primary contacts that I 16 have, that that would be an asset.

17 They have been extremely apologetic to me and want me 18 to express to the Court their apology for them not realizing that that would have been an asset, or at least a potential 19 20 asset, that should have been disclosed, and there was no 21 intention to deceive. They have been -- and we can discuss 22 both the history of the disclosures, but as you can see from 23 Grenada's -- from my letter on behalf of Grenada, this is a 24 country that's impoverished, and this was, in a sense, a 25 windfall that was to be used to pay the Freshfields law firm, I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CONFERENCE

1 presume, and was not thought of in any other way.

2 And we've now moved very quickly to remedy that situation. We've entered into this stipulation. To the extent 3 4 that there are legal issues to be debated over whether or not 5 this judgment for costs is an asset of Grenada's that Ex-Im 6 Bank can move against or the judgment is as asset that can be 7 moved against, that is to be fought another day. But we have preserved the asset. And as I say, certainly my client is 8 9 quite apologetic for any perception that has been created that 10 they have been withholding information.

11 There are no other proceedings of this sort. There is 12 no other judgments that they've obtained. And as we can 13 discuss further, we're making every effort to be as transparent 14 as possible, given the limitations on resources that Grenada 15 has.

16 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the movant. 17 Mr. Summit.

MR. SUMMIT: Your Honor, I have absolutely no question 18 19 as to Mr. Maas' truthfulness when he says he learned of it just 20 the other day. I have severe questions as to Grenada's good 21 faith in this whole process. This case did not begin a couple 22 of weeks ago; this case began four years ago, and it has been a 23 sorry spectacle since then of transgression of the discovery 24 process. And it has involved the court repeatedly in attempts 25 to get Grenada to comply with its obligations, including

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CONFERENCE

contempt sanctions by Judge Baer at a thousand dollars a day if 1 2 they didn't cure within 14 days, including \$10,000 in attorneys' fees that have never been paid, including dozens and 3 4 dozens of misrepresentations to us about the state of affairs. 5 And this has been a spectacle, your Honor, and it only 6 got more aggravated the other day with these Grynberg 7 judgments. At the very time that Grenada was saying there's no 8 need to update discovery, we had given you everything, there 9 are no assets in the United States, we're broke, we're 10 impoverished, during that very same time period Freshfields is 11 filing in this courthouse judgments. They have never paid a 12 dollar -- Grenada has never paid us a dollar on the debt. It's 13 never paid a dollar of the \$10,000 attorneys' fees. It's paid 14 lawyers to arbitrate and to defend itself in this courthouse. 15 It has obtained judgments that have been undisclosed to us, but 16 it has never paid us a dollar. 17 We haven't even gotten yet to the 30(b)(6) spectacle, 18 which we described in the letter. I don't want to take up the 19 Court's time with reiteration of what's in the letter. But 20 even today, a year and a half after we served a 30(b)(6)21 deposition notice, we can't get a witness who is knowledgeable 22 on the most important subjects? 23 Mr. Maas tells us on July 13th that the upcoming

24 deposition of 30(b)(6) witness number two -- because deposition 25 witness number one was a farce, with all due respect -- he SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CONFERENCE

produced were the budget books for Grenada I believe 2006 1 2 through 2009 or '10, which set forth in painful detail all of the transactions engaged in by the government, all of its 3 4 revenues in/payments out, foreign aid, all of that. I don't 5 know what is available now, but certainly that is a source of 6 information on that both macro and micro level. 7 Mr. Antoine will testify about -- like I said, he will be able to testify no material change; yes, material change for 8 9 the worse. That will provide that information. 10 You know, it is not a big secret in the international 11 community that Grenada's, and the rest of the eastern 12 Caribbean, but certainly Grenada's economic position has only 13 deteriorated during the worldwide recession. And so it has not 14 gone on a spending spree --15 THE COURT: However, according to at least plaintiff's 16 letter, it is paying other creditors. MR. MAAS: What other -- I don't know what that refers 17 to. It has gotten a judgment against Mr. Grynberg or against 18 19 the Grynberg entities. 20 THE COURT: I thought what I read in Mr. Summit's 21 letter was that Grenada had reached agreements with other banks 22 somewhat equivalent to Ex-Im, but, you know, and is paying two 23 cents on the dollar very slowly, whatever it may be. MR. MAAS: I'm sorry. Your Honor has not been 24 25 involved in the case. But an underlying issue here, Grenada, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

CONFERENCE

1 like many other eastern Caribbean countries, went through 2 economic devastation in the mid part of the previous decade because of the hurricanes and the like. Under the auspices of 3 4 the International Monetary Fund, Grenada restructured its 5 public and private debt with all of its creditors, except the 6 Ex-Im Bank, under which it is making payments under these 7 restructured terms which eased the burden on Grenada, although 8 for the most part kept the amount that was due the same. 9 In those agreements, they have intercreditor 10 obligations which could void those other agreements if it 11 entered into a deal with a creditor that was better than that. 12 We've been trying to engage in settlement discussions, heading 13 towards an agreement with Ex-Im Bank, so that Ex-Im Bank can, 14 too, start collecting on its debt under those same terms. 15 So, no question, as I understand it, Grenada has been 16 meeting its obligations to the international creditor 17 community, public and private, under that deal that was 18 structured I believe in 2007 or maybe it was 2008, and would 19 welcome Ex-Im Bank into that fold, but it can't stop paying 20 those obligations and it can't pay \$21 million to Ex-Im Bank

21 tomorrow, or at any point, but it certainly wants to begin 22 repaying this obligation on those same terms.

So to the extent that that's what your Honor was referring to, yes.
THE COURT: One can raise the question of why it

THE COURT: One can raise the question of why it isn't SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

CONFERENCE

MR. MAAS: Having had my meeting yesterday with a
member of the policy committee on firm receivables, the
receivable from Grenada is substantial. I have not received
any payment from Grenada for many months. I have been paid
something over the course of the two years of this
representation. I hope to I candidly hope to receive
something more against the receivable.
You know, your Honor just raised an important point.
Grenada, despite what you are hearing, really does want to
be participate appropriately in this proceeding. But it is
a sovereign nation. It needs to take care of its primary
obligations. The \$10,000 is, frankly, I think more than you
know, it was imposed before I got involved in the case on an
order from the failure to participate at the initial the
early stage of discovery. We moved forward. We answered
interrogatories. We have litigated over various discovery
issues. This \$10,000 was part of the first settlement
proposal, but it got lost, in some ways, in the mix. There
have been lots of other things that have been at issue.
I haven't pressed it
THE COURT: How about Mr. Antoine brings a check that
won't bounce for 10 grand?
MR. MAAS: The check won't bounce, and I will make it
clear to him that that's something the Court has directed them
to do.
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

	_ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	CONFERENCE
1	THE COURT: Good.
2	MR. MAAS: Whether the resources
3	THE COURT: If not, they should bring the largest
4	check they can towards that and a payment plan for the rest.
5	MR. MAAS: I will inform them of the Court's order.
6	THE COURT: If not, you know, the next time around
7	you know, as I say, there is a limit to what one can do in
8	post-judgment discovery. Because they are not paying 21
9	million, you know, if I said until they pay the 10,000, you
10	know, X accrues per day, it just adds more zeros to the
11	balance. But to the extent that I am, you know, not just
12	saying all objections to discovery are overruled, etc., I would
13	strongly suggest you give them the message that payment of the
14	10,000, or as much as they can by the time of Mr. Antoine's
15	deposition in two weeks, would serve them in good stead.
16	MR. MAAS: I will make that known.
17	THE COURT: All right. I take it that this clears up
18	the immediate problems. And, frankly, I am not sure how much
19	Judge Baer wants my involvement to continue or whether this is
20	just a summer thing. But why don't we leave it that for the
21	moment I will leave the reference open. We'll see what happens
22	with the Antoine deposition and the Phillip deposition within
23	the next 30 days for Mr. Phillip, and let's do it this way: By
24	September 15th, assuming that's yes, that is a business day,
25	it may be Rosh Hashanah no, it is much later. By
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Exhibit 3

Case 1:06-cv-02469-HB Document 74 Filed 02/27/12 Page 17 of 18

Case 1:11-cv-06591-JPO Document 2 Filed 01/23/12 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In the matter of the Application of

GRENADA,

Arbitration Award Creditor,

For Recognition and Enforcement of an Arbitration Award

-against-

RSM PRODUCTION CORPORATION,

Arbitration Award Debtor

On considering the Declaration of Alexander A. Yanos, declared under the penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and the accompanying exhibits thereto, including a certified copy of the final order in Case No. ARB/05/14 (the "Award") issued by an annulment committee duly convened and constituted under the Convention on The Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States, which came into force on October 14, 1966 ("ICSID Convention"), which Award was issued on April 28, 2011; and it appearing that Arbitration Award Creditor, Grenada, is entitled to immediate recognition and enforcement of the pecuniary obligations contained in the Award in accordance with the provisions of Articles 52(4), 53 and 54 of Chapter IV of the ICSID Convention, as implemented by 22 U.S.C. § 1650a;

Now upon the motion of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP, attorneys for Grenada, it is

DATE FILED:

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

11 CV 6591 (JPO)

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT Case 1:06-cv-02469-HB Document 74 Filed 02/27/12 Page 18 of 18

Case 1:11-cv-06591-JPO Document 2 Filed 01/23/12 Page 2 of 2 Case 1:11-mc-00188-P1 Document 1-1 Filed 06/03/11 Page 2 of 2

ORDERED that the pecuniary obligations contained in the Award, in favor of Grenada against Arbitration Award Debtor RSM Production Corporation, be recognized and entered as a judgment by the Clerk of this Court in the same manner and with the same force and effect as if the Award were a final judgment of this Court; and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that, in accordance with the pecuniary obligations contained in the aforementioned Award, Arbitration Award Creditor Grenada does recover from Arbitration Award Debtor RSM Production Corporation the principal sum of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SIX THOUSAND SEVENTY-TWO and 81/100 DOLLARS (\$186,072.81), and that following the provision of notice of this Order and Judgment to the Award Debtor, Grenada may proceed to execute this Judgment.

Jan. 23, 26/2 Dated: <u>100</u> New York, New York

United States District Judge