FOUR TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK IOOGE 6833

DIRECT DIAL 735-2550 777-2550

BY FASCIMILE

Honorable Kenneth M. Karas United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 920 New York, New York 10007

1EM 1044 10039-0355
TEL: (212) 735-3000
FAX: (212) 735-2000
September 30, 2005
ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
DATE FILED: 10/12/01

FIRMIAFFILIATE OFFICES BOSTON CHICAGO HOUSTON LOS ANGELES NEWARK PALO ALTO RESTON AN FRANCISCO VASHINGTON, D.C. WILMINGTON BEILING BRUSSELB FRANKFURT HONG RONG HOSCOW PARIS SINGAPORE SYDNEY TORYO TORONTO

Re:

World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. v. JAKKS Pacific, Inc., et al., 1:04-CV-08223-KMK

Dear Judge Karas:

We respectfully write on behalf of Defendants Jakks Pacific, Inc., Jack Friedman, Steven G. Berman, and Joel M. Bennett (collectively, the "JAKKS Defendants") to seek clarification on the page limit that applies to the JAKKS Defendants' reply memorandum of law on the issues of RICO Enterprise and the Robinson-Patman Act ("RPA"), which is scheduled to be filed on October 4, 2005, pursuant to Your Honor's August 18, 2005 Order.

Based on the hearing before Your Honor on August 18, 2005, we understood that, as we requested at the hearing, for our reply brief we would be permitted the same number of pages that WWE devoted collectively to the RICO and RPA arguments in its September 19, 2005 Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (the "WWE 9/19 Memo"). The WWE 9/19 Memo spent approximately 25 pages on these topics, in response to the ten pages we used to address these arguments in our moving brief. We therefore understood that we would be permitted an equal number of pages on reply. Although our reading of the transcript of the August 18, 2005 Hearing persuades us that Your Honor granted the JAKKS Defendants' request, we confess that there appears to be some ambiguity as to the page limit for replies. Accordingly, we respectfully request confirmation that our understanding is correct.

Respectfully submitted.

Enclosures

All Counsel CC:

SO ORDERED

The application is GRANTED.

See 8/18/05 Hearing Transcript at 51:5-18. (The Court: [] What would you like to respond? Mr. Lerner: Whatever pages they use, I would like the same amount. If they have 45, I don't think we need 45. The Court: You are going to get me in trouble with the environmentalists. What's good for the goose is good for the gander...). We attach the relevant pages of the transcript for the Court's convenience.

1

2

58INWWEN.TXT

conference 58inwwen UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WORLD WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

Plaintiff.

New York, N.Y.

٧.

04 civ. 8223 (KMK)

JAKKS PACIFIC, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

_____V

August 18, 2005 2:50 p.m.

Before:

1

33

4455667788999001112233444551667788899001223344155166778899900122334455566778889900122345

122334455667

HON, KENNETH M. KARAS.

District Judge

APPEARANCES

NICOLOSON GRAHAM

Attorneys for Plaintiff JERRY SCOTT MCDEVITT AMY LYN BARRETTE

WILLIAM O. PURCELL

SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Attorneys for Jakks and individual defendants
BY: JONATHAN J. LERNER
MAURA BARRY GRINALDS MICHAEL H. GRUENGLAS MARCO G. ARGENTIERI

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

58inwwen

Tree Tree

Conference APPEARANCES (continued)

MICHAEL A. FREEMAN

Attorney for Defendants Stanley Shenker and SSAI

SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GROSS & BONDELL LLP

Attorneys for Defendants Bell and Bell Licensing

ROB SERÁFINOWICZ

FEDER KASZOVITZ ISAACSON WEBER SKALA BASS & RHINE LLP Attorneys for Jakks and individual defendants BY: JONATHAN HONIG

022201 00

Page 1

0

NO.982

П

0

0

58INWWEN.TXT SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

```
50
         58 inwwen
                                                    Conference
                         THE COURT: I will give you 20. If you need more, you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
          will let me know.
                         MR. McDEVITT: Thank you, your Honor.
                         THE COURT: What do you want to do about the
          enterprise?
                         MR. MCDEVITT: I am assuming -- I was talking about
         both Robinson-Patman and the enterprise. That's why I was
          asking for 25 pages.
         THE COURT: That's fine. So you are looking at a 35-page brief to deal with all three issues?

MR. MCDEVITT: If his is ten.
                         THE COURT: Right.
                         MR. MCDEVITT: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
You can have the 25 for the other two points.
          Are defendants going to need to depart from my individual practices on the antitrust claim?
                         MR. LERNER: Can we have an extra five pages?
THE COURT: Sure. What does that get you to?
         MR. LERNER: 30.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. MARENBERG: Your Honor, we will try not to file a brief and try to coordinate, but can we have 15 pages? We don't need the whole 30 that they said. If we can get 15
23
24
          pages?
                                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                    (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                    51
          58inwwen
                                                    Conference
                         THE COURT: Sure.
2345678910
                                                   We will try not to file anything.
                         MR. MARENBERG:
                         THE COURT: Is that on the antitrust?
                         MR. MARENBERG:
                                                    Yes.
          MR. MAKENBERG: Yes.
THE COURT: Yes.
What would you like to respond?
MR. LERNER: Whatever pages they use, I would like the same amount. If they have 45, I don't think we need 45.
THE COURT: You are going to get me in trouble with the environmentalists. What's good for the goose is good for
          gander.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
                         MR. LERNER: We always take the brunt. He takes the . That's OK. It's an adversary system. Let him say
          THQ pages.
          what he wants.
          THE COURT: The judge I clerked for always said if you can't say it in ten pages -- if you need a little extra for
          reply, extra five pages for reply, that's fine.

MR. LERNER: Thank you.

MR. MCDEVITT: What are the reply briefs? What is the
19
20
21
22
23
          page limit on that?
                         THE COURT: Whatever the page limit is you are talking
          about?
                         MR. MCDEVITT: That's right. So whatever --
          THE COURT: You've got two briefs that you are going to write, both responding briefs.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300
24
25
                                                                                                                     52
          58inwwen
                                                    Conference
  1
                         MR. MCDEVITT:
                                                  Right.
```

Page 24

58INWWEN.TXT THE COURT: You are getting basically 35 on the first round and you are a getting whatever they use with respect to the Sherman claim.

OK. Anything else we need to discuss?

MR. MCDEVITT: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you all for coming.

MR. LERNER: Thank you, your Honor.

(Adjourned)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

0