EXHIBIT 47

1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

AURELIUS CAPITAL MASTER, : No. 14-2689

LTD., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees, : HON. REENA RAGGI

: HON. ROSEMARY POOLER

REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA, : HON. BARRINGTON PARKER

Defendant-Appellant. :

New York, New York

Thursday, September 18, 2014

The hearing in the above-entitled matter was heard at the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York 10007, when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2014

19 complaint right? MS. WAGNER: I think it is extremely right because it's going to become extremely relevant in 12 days when we get funds and when the District Court has said we cannot pay them out. think it is absolutely right. It's a final order. There are no further proceedings going on in the District Court relating to this injunction, and we are bound by it right now, and so, we need immediate relief from this Court. 11 JUDGE RAGGI: All right. Thank you. We'll hear from the Republic. 12 MS. WAGNER: Thank you. 13 JUDGE RAGGI: You've reserved some time 14 for rebuttal. 15 16 MR. BOCCUZZI: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Carmine Boccuzzi from Cleary Gottlieb on 17 behalf of the Republic of Argentina. The District 18 19 Court --JUDGE PARKER: So in the spring, you 20 went into the Supreme Court and you told the 21 Supreme Court that, to be clear, absent relief,

20 Argentina will comply with the orders under review. MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes, Your Honor. 3 JUDGE PARKER: And then shortly thereafter, you took steps that were palpably in violation of the injunction, right? MR. BOCCUZZI: The Republic did, yes, Your Honor. JUDGE PARKER: So how are we to -- I 9 mean, what are we to make of these 10 representations? You told the Supreme Court you 11 were going to comply, then as soon as you didn't 12 get what you wanted out of the Supreme Court, you 13 disregarded the representation and went on your 14 15 merry way. 16 MR. BOCCUZZI: Our understanding when we wrote to the Supreme Court was that that's how 17 things would unfold and then, following the denial 18 of cert, the Republic acting at the highest levels 19 chose to act otherwise. 20 As I explained to the District Court, 21 the officials charged with overseeing these bonds

21 at the Ministry of Economy, given current legislation, themselves faced criminal prosecution in Argentina if they did not process the payment without some change in Argentine law, which had not occurred. 6 As to these bonds, Your Honor, these Argentine law-governed bonds, Judge Griesa never found that that payment to Citibank was in violation of any of his orders because following Ms. Wagner's motion for clarification, he said, and I think he was absolutely right, these bonds 11 have always been treated differently, and there's 12 a reason why these bonds, the Citibank bonds, have 13 always been treated differently. They're governed 14 by Argentine law. 15 JUDGE RAGGI: This is the June 27th 16 17 order? MR. BOCCUZZI: Correct, the response to 18 Ms. Wagner's motion for clarification. 19 JUDGE RAGGI: Treated differently by 20 whom? 21 22 MR. BOCCUZZI: By the Court, and I think