MANDATE

1:08-cv-06978-TPG S.D.N.Y.-N.Y.C. Griesa, J.*

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 7th day of April, two thousand fifteen.

Present: Rosemary S. Pooler, Susan L. Carney ¹ , Circuit Judges.	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: April 28, 20
NML Capital, Ltd.,	
Plaintiff-Appellee, v.	14-4134
Republic of Argentina,	14-4143 14-4147
Defendant-Appellant.	
Aurelius Capital Master, Ltd., ACP Master, Ltd.,	
Plaintiffs-Appellees,	
v.	14-4145 14-4161

^{*} The relevant district court docket numbers are: 08-cv-6978; 09-cv-1707; 09-cv-8757; 09-cv-1708; 10-cv-5338; 10-cv-1602; 10-cv-3507; 09-cv-10620; 10-cv-3970; 10-cv-8339; 10-cv-4101; 10-cv-4782; and 10-cv-9587.

¹ - Judge Raymond J. Lohier, a member of the original panel subsequently recused himself. Therefore, this case is decided by the two remaining members of the panel pursuant to Internal Operating Procedure E(b) of the Rules of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Republic of Argentina,	Defendant-Appellant.	
Pablo Alberto Varela, et al.,		
v.	Plaintiffs-Appellees,	
Republic of Argentina,		14-4148
	Defendant-Appellant.	
Aurelius Opportunities Fund	II, LLC, Aurelius Capital Master, Ltd.,	
v.	Plaintiffs-Appellees,	
v.		14-4150
Republic of Argentina,		14-4152 14-4167
	Defendant-Appellant.	14-4175
Blue Angel Capital I LLC,		
v.	Plaintiff-Appellee,	
v.		14-4190 14-4193
Republic of Argentina,		14-41/3
	Defendant-Appellant,	
Bank of America, N.A.,		
	Respondent.	

Olifant Fund, Limited,		
v.	Plaintiff-Appellee,	14 4012
Republic of Argentina,		14-4213
	Defendant-Appellant.	

For purposes of this order, the above-captioned appeals are consolidated and the captions are amended as shown above.

The Appellees move to dismiss these appeals for lack of jurisdiction. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that Appellees' motions are GRANTED and the appeals are DISMISSED. We conclude that a final order has not been issued by the district court as contemplated by 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and the collateral order doctrine does not apply to this appeal. *See Coopers & Lybrand v. Livesay*, 437 U.S. 463, 467-69 (1978); *Blue Ridge Investments, L.L.C. v. Republic of Argentina*, 735 F.3d 72, 80-81 (2d Cir. 2013).

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

*pauleolfe

A True Copy

Catherine O'Hagan Wo

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit

3