```
Page 1
 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA (ALLENTOWN)
 2.
                                     ) Case No:
     DANIEL BINDERUP,
 3
                Plaintiff,
                                     ) 5:13-cv-06750-JKG
           VS.
     ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., Attorney
     General of the United States,
 5
     and B. TODD JONES, Director of )
     the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco,)
    Firearms, and Explosives, ) June 16, 2014
6
                Defendants.
                                     ) 10:08 a.m.
 7
                   TRANSCRIPT OF MOTIONS HEARING
 8
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES KNOLL GARDNER
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
 9
     APPEARANCES:
10
    For Plaintiff:
                         ALAN GURA, ESQUIRE
11
                         GURA & POSSESSKY, PLLC
                         105 Oronoco Street, Suite 305
12
                         Alexandria, VA 22314
                          (703) 835-9085
13
                         alan@gurapossessky.com
                         DOUGLAS T. GOULD, ESQUIRE
14
                         THE LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS GOULD
15
                         925 Glenbrook Avenue
                         Bryn Mawr, PA 19010
16
                         (610) 520-6181
                         dgould@gouldlawpa.com
17
18
19
20
21
     (Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,
     transcript produced by transcription service.)
22
23
             VERITEXT NATIONAL COURT REPORTING COMPANY
                        MID-ATLANTIC REGION
24
                  1801 Market Street - Suite 1800
                      Philadelphia, PA 19103
25
                           (888) 777-6690
```

Page 2 APPEARANCES (continued): 1 2 For Defendants: DANIEL RIESS, ESQUIRE U.S. DEPT OF JUSTICE 3 Room 6122 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW 4 Washington, DC 20001 (202) 353-30985 daniel.riess@usdoj.gov 6 LESLEY FARBY, ESQUIRE U.S. DEPT OF JUSTICE CIVIL DIVISION 7 Room 7220 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530 8 (202) 514-3481 9 lesley.farby@usdoj.gov JENNIFER FITZKO 10 ESR OPERATOR: 11 TRANSCRIBER: JUDI Y. OLSEN, RPR 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 2.2 23 24 25

Page 45

```
1 And we've looked at the docket sheet,
```

- 2 which we'd be happy to submit, if the court wishes to
- 3 review. It shows no involvement of any counsel
- 4 except for counsel for the plaintiff.
- 5 So we would submit that the -- the
- 6 subsequent case brought by the plaintiff here
- 7 actually shows very little, and therefore, we would
- 8 disagree with plaintiff's statement that the Bond
- 9 case is highly -- both highly relevant here and that
- 10 it indicates that plaintiff should prevail.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. RIESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 13 - -
- 14 (Pause)
- 15 - -
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Riess, does your order
- 17 of argument, with Barton coming first and Marzzarella
- 18 argued as a second alternative option, reflect your
- 19 assessment and prediction that the Third Circuit
- 20 would find Barton to be controlling in plaintiff's
- 21 as-applied challenge here?
- 22 MR. RIESS: To be honest, Your Honor,
- 23 we're not certain. Our guess is that Barton would
- 24 because it speaks to -- to what -- it talked about,
- 25 to proceed on an as-applied challenge.

Page 46

```
1 But we have conflicting panel decisions.
```

- 2 Marzzarella didn't say that it was either -- didn't
- 3 say that it was a facial decision, and it set forth a
- 4 general standard for applying the two-step test to --
- 5 to cases. Also, Marzzarella actually set forth a
- 6 standard, whereas it's difficult to discern some sort
- 7 of judicially manageable standard from Barton.
- 8 So to answer your question, Your Honor,
- 9 in an abundance of caution, we did it under both.
- 10 Since Barton talks about, to succeed on as-applied,
- 11 we briefed it under that. We think it's probably
- 12 Barton over Marzzarella, but we are honestly not
- 13 sure.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's honest.
- 15 I appreciate it.
- 16 - -
- 17 (Pause)
- 18 - -
- 19 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to
- 20 declare a recess for deliberations of 30 minutes.
- 21 And then it's my intention to return to court and
- 22 either decide the case from the bench, if I -- the
- 23 argument from the bench, if I am able, or to take the
- 24 matter under advisement, if that's more appropriate.
- You may declare a 30-minute recess.