IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH Central Division for the District of Utah

The King's English, Inc., et al.,

SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:05-CV-00485 DB

VS.

District Judge Dee Benson

Mark Shurtleff, et al.,

Defendants.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), the Magistrate Judge¹ held the Initial Pretrial Conference on November 9, 2005 and received the Attorneys' Planning Report filed by counsel. Wesley Felix appeared for Plaintiffs and Jerrold Jensen appeared for Defendants. The following matters are scheduled. The times and deadlines set forth herein may not be modified without the approval of the Court and on a showing of good cause.

Except for initial disclosures, this schedule is contingent upon the Defendants signing and submitting a stipulation of non-enforcement of House Bill 260. A status conference for all parties is set for December 6, 2005 before Magistrate Judge David Nuffer.

ALL TIMES 4:30 PM UNLESS INDICATED

1.	PRELIMINARY MATTERS Nature of claim(s) and any affirmative defenses:			
	a.	Was Rule 26(f)(1) Conference held?	<u>Yes</u>	
	b.	Has Attorney Planning Meeting Form been submitted?	<u>Yes</u>	
	c.	Was 26(a)(1) initial disclosure completed?	11/24/2005	
2.	DISCO	<u>NUMBER</u>		
	a.	Maximum Number of Depositions by Plaintiff "side"	<u>10</u>	
	b.	Maximum Number of Depositions by Defendant "side"	<u>10</u>	
	c.	Maximum Number of Hours for Each Deposition (unless extended by agreement of parties)	<u>7</u>	
	d.	Maximum Interrogatories by each "side" to other "side"	<u>100</u>	
	e.	Maximum requests for admissions by any Party to any Party		
	f.	Maximum requests for production by any Party to any Party		

				DATE		
3.	AMI	ENDMENT OF PLEADINGS/ADDING PART	ΓIES ²			
	a.	Last Day to File Motion to Amend Pleading	gs	<u>3/15/2006</u>		
	b.	Last Day to File Motion to Add Parties		<u>3/1/2006</u>		
4.	RUL	LE 26(a)(2) REPORTS FROM EXPERTS ³				
	a.	Party With Burden of Proof		<u>5/5/2006</u>		
	b.	Opposition Reports		<u>5/15/2006</u>		
	c.	Rebuttal Reports		<u>7/17/2006</u>		
5.	OTE	IER DEADLINES				
	a.	Discovery to be completed by:				
		Fact discovery		<u>4/14/2006</u>		
		Expert discovery		<u>8/10/2006</u>		
	b.	(optional) Final date for supplementation o discovery under Rule 26 (e)	f disclosures and	<u>8/15/2006</u>		
	c.	Deadline for filing dispositive or potentiall motions	y dispositive	<u>8/31/2006</u>		
6.	SETTLEMENT/ ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION					
	a.	Referral to Court-Annexed Mediation	<u>No</u>			
	b.	Referral to Court-Annexed Arbitration	<u>No</u>			
	c.	Evaluate case for Settlement/ADR on		<u>4/25/2006</u>		
	d.	Settlement probability:				
7.	TRIAL AND PREPARATION FOR TRIAL:					
	a.	Rule 26(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures ⁴				
		Plaintiffs		11/9/2006		
		Defendants		11/24/2006		
	b.	Objections to Rule 26(a)(3) Disclosures (if different than 14 days provided in Rule)				
	c.	Special Attorney Conference ⁵ on or before		12/7/2006		
	d.	Settlement Conference ⁶ on or before		12/7/2006		
	e.	Final Pretrial Conference	2:30 pm	12/20/2006		

f. Trial <u>Length</u> <u>Time</u> <u>Date</u> i. Bench Trial <u>9 days</u> <u>8:30 am</u> <u>1/16/2007</u>

ii. Jury Trial

8. OTHER MATTERS:

Counsel should contact chambers staff of the District Judge regarding Daubert and Markman motions to determine the desired process for filing and hearing of such motions. All such motions, including Motions in Limine should be filed well in advance of the Final Pre Trial. Unless otherwise directed by the court, any challenge to the qualifications of an expert or the reliability of expert testimony under Daubert must be raised by written motion before the final pre-trial conference.

Dated this 10th day of November, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

David Nuffer U.S. Magistrate Judge

- 1. The Magistrate Judge completed Initial Pretrial Scheduling under DUCivR 16-1(b) and DUCivR 72-2(a)(5). The name of the Magistrate Judge who completed this order should NOT appear on the caption of future pleadings, unless the case is separately referred to that Magistrate Judge. A separate order may refer this case to a Magistrate Judge under DUCivR 72-2 (b) and 28 USC 636 (b)(1)(A) or DUCivR 72-2 (c) and 28 USC 636 (b)(1)(B). The name of any Magistrate Judge to whom the matter is referred under DUCivR 72-2 (b) or (c) should appear on the caption as required under DUCivR10-1(a).
- 2. Counsel must still comply with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a).
- 3. The identity of experts and the subject of their testimony shall be disclosed as soon as an expert is retained or, in the case of an employee-expert, as soon as directed to prepare a report.
- 4. Any demonstrative exhibits or animations must be disclosed and exchanged with the 26(a)(3) disclosures.
- 5. The Special Attorneys Conference does not involve the Court. Counsel will agree on voir dire questions, jury instructions, a pre-trial order and discuss the presentation of the case. Witnesses will be scheduled to avoid gaps and disruptions. Exhibits will be marked in a way that does not result in duplication of documents. Any special equipment or courtroom arrangement requirements will be included in the pre-trial order.
- 6. Counsel must ensure that a person or representative with full settlement authority or otherwise authorized to make decisions regarding settlement is available in person or by telephone during the Settlement Conference.