UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.,)))
	Plaintiff,))
v.)
AOL, INC. et al.,))
	Defendants.)))

Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL I/P ENGINE'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM SETTING FORTH ADDITIONAL NEW FACTS JUSTIFYING ITS REQUEST FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT IN I/P ENGINE'S MOTION FOR DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER RULE 37 FOR NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE AUGUST 13, 2013 ORDER ALONG WITH EXHIBITS A AND C AND THE DECLARATION OF CHARLES J. MONTERIO, JR. IN SUPPORT OF I/P ENGINE'S <u>SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF ADDITIONAL FACTS</u>

In support of its Motion to Seal pursuant to Local Rule 5, Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. ("I/P

Engine") states the following:

1. I/P Engine moves the Court for leave to file under seal its Supplemental

Memorandum Setting Forth Additional New Facts Justifying Its Request For Default Judgment

In I/P Engine's Motion For Defendants To Show Cause Under Rule 37 For Noncompliance with

the August 13, 2013 Order Along with Exhibits A and C and the Declaration of Charles J.

Monterio, Jr. in Support of I/P Engine's Supplemental Memorandum of Additional Facts. The

afore-mentioned contains information that is marked as confidential by Defendants under the

Protective Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012 (D.I. No. 85) ("Protective Order").

2. There are three requirements for sealing court findings: (1) public notice with an opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific

findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. *See, e.g., Flexible Benefits Council v. Feldman*, No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov 13, 2008) (citing *Ashcroft v. Conoco, Inc.,* 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000)). I/P Engine's Supplemental Memorandum Setting Forth Additional New Facts Justifying Its Request For Default Judgment In I/P Engine's Motion For Defendants To Show Cause Under Rule 37 For Noncompliance with the August 13, 2013 Order Along with Exhibits A and C and the Declaration of Charles J. Monterio, Jr. in Support of I/P Engine's Supplemental Memorandum of Additional Facts contains information that is marked by Defendants as confidential. An in camera copy of the afore-mentioned is being provided to the Court. In light of Defendant's representation that this is confidential material under the Protective Order, there appears to be no alternative that appropriately serves Defendants' confidentiality concerns.

3. The information contained in the Memorandum and specified Exhibits contains Google's proprietary and confidential information.

4. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, I/P Engine believes its Memorandum and specified Exhibits should remain sealed and be treated in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Protective Order.

5. Accordingly, and in satisfaction of the requirements of Local Rule 5, I/P Engine respectfully asks the Court to enter the Proposed Agreed Order sealing its Supplemental Memorandum Setting Forth Additional New Facts Justifying Its Request For Default Judgment In I/P Engine's Motion For Defendants To Show Cause Under Rule 37 For Noncompliance with the August 13, 2013 Order Along with Exhibits A and C and the Declaration of Charles J. Monterio, Jr. in Support of I/P Engine's Supplemental Memorandum of Additional Facts.

DSMDB-3202988

2

Dated: October 7, 2013

By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood</u> Donald C. Schultz (Virginia Bar No. 30531) W. Ryan Snow (Virginia Bar No. 47423) CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN PLC 150 West Main Street Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 623-3000 Facsimile: (757) 623-5735

Jeffrey K. Sherwood (Virginia Bar No. 19222) Frank C. Cimino, Jr. Kenneth W. Brothers Charles J. Monterio, Jr. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 420-2200 Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Counsel for Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.

Case 2:11-cv-00512-RAJ-TEM Document 996 Filed 10/07/13 Page 4 of 4 PageID# 24029

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of October, 2013, the foregoing was served via the

Court's CM/ECF system, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W Main St Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker David Perlson Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert L. Burns Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Two Freedom Square 11955 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190 <u>robert.burns@finnegan.com</u>

Cortney S. Alexander Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 94111 cortney.alexander@finnegan.com

/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood