

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

THE LEDGE DISTRIBUTION, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOES 1 - 71,

Defendants.

Case No. C13-0330RAJ-RSL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND  
QUASHING SUBPOENAS

This action was filed on February 20, 2013. It is one of forty-eight copyright infringement actions filed during a four month period by attorney Richard J. Symmes against approximately 2,323 individual Doe defendants. The seventy-one defendants in this case are represented by IP addresses linked to on-line sharing of the movie “The Ledge” between 7:37 pm on November 24, 2012, and 2:46 pm on February 9, 2013. Although the evidence of internet activity shows that hours, if not days, separated each defendant’s allegedly infringing conduct, plaintiff alleges that all seventy-one defendants participated in a single “swarm” utilizing interactive peer-to-peer file transfer technology protocol called a BitTorrent to illegally copy and share “The Ledge.” Plaintiff affirmatively alleges that the “swarm” aspect of the file-sharing justifies joinder of these disparate defendants in a single lawsuit.

1           On March 5, 2013, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to initiate early  
2 discovery, including the issuance of subpoenas under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 to internet  
3 service providers, in an attempt to identify each Doe defendant. Only one defendant has  
4 filed objections to the subpoena. Dkt. # 9. Despite the fact that more than two months  
5 have elapsed since discovery was authorized, there is no indication that any defendants  
6 have been served, nor has plaintiff amended its complaint to identify the Doe defendants.

7           All BitTorrent cases filed in the Western District of Washington have been  
8 referred to the undersigned for pretrial handling. Dkt. # 5. As the full extent of this  
9 assignment has become clear, the Court admits to some concerns regarding both the  
10 appropriateness of joinder and the possibility that the judicial authority of the United  
11 States may be used to wrest improvident settlements from pro se litigants under threat of  
12 huge statutory penalties. The Court is not alone: other judicial officers in the Ninth  
13 Circuit are beset by the same concerns and have taken various paths to mitigate the  
14 potential for abuse. See, e.g., Ingenuity 13 LLC v. John Doe, No. 2:12-cv-9333-  
15 ODW(JCx) (C.D. Cal. May 6, 2013); Voltage Pictures, LLC v. Does 1-12, No. 2:13-292-  
16 AA (D. Or. May 4, 2013).

17           Having reviewed defendant Doe 64's motion to quash, the remainder of the  
18 record in this and related cases, and relevant case law, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

19           1. Any and all subpoenas issued in the above-captioned matter are hereby  
20 QUASHED. Plaintiff shall immediately notify the subpoena recipients that they need not  
21 respond.

22           2. To the extent plaintiff has obtained identifying information regarding one or  
23 more Doe defendants, whether through the service provider, defendant, or another source,  
24 it shall not utilize that information in any way. If plaintiff has already contacted one or  
25 more of the defendants, it shall file under seal all correspondence or other written  
26

1 communications (including emails) sent to defendants and a summary of any oral  
2 communications. Plaintiff shall refrain from any further oral or written communications  
3 with defendants unless expressly approved by the Court in advance.

4 3. Plaintiff shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, show cause why  
5 the above-captioned matter should not be dismissed as to all defendants other than Doe 1  
6 for improper joinder and/or pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to control its  
7 docket.

8 4. Plaintiff shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, provide additional  
9 information regarding (a) The Ledge Distribution LLC's ownership of the copyright at  
10 issue and (b) The Ledge Distribution LLC's direct and indirect members/owners/  
11 stakeholders. Plaintiff shall provide a copy of any and all transfer statements and/or  
12 work-for-hire contracts supporting a determination that The Ledge Distribution LLC has  
13 standing to pursue this action. Plaintiff shall also supplement the corporate disclosure  
14 statement filed in this action (Dkt. # 2) by providing the registration information for  
15 Foresight Unlimited, LLC maintained by the Secretary of State and identifying all  
16 members/owners/stakeholders of Foresight Unlimited, LLC, both direct and indirect, in  
17 the form of an organizational tree that reaches back far enough to reveal all individual  
18 members/owners/stakeholders and publicly-traded corporations.

19 5. With the exception of the actions specifically set forth in this Order, the above-  
20 captioned matter is hereby STAYED.

21  
22 For all of the foregoing reasons, the pending motion to quash (Dkt. # 9) is  
23 GRANTED. The Clerk of Court is directed to place this Order to Show Cause on the  
24 Court's calendar for Friday, May 24, 2013.

1 Dated this 9th day of May, 2013.

2 

3 Robert S. Lasnik

4 United States District Judge

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26