From:	Park, Brian C.
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:51 PM
То:	Shellie McGaughey; Peter Nierman; Paul Brain; Jill Davenport
Cc:	rtellis@baronbudd.com; Norton, Maren R.; Ferguson, Hunter O.; Park, Brian C.
Subject:	RE: Atigeo v. Montgomery, et al.

Shellie: That seems fine as long as Defendants understand that Plaintiffs cannot respond to Mr. Burgyan's discovery requests and provide information about him and his documents/activities that he has not provided or produced in discovery yet. On the other hand, any information that Plaintiffs have independently will be disclosed.

From: Shellie McGaughey [mailto:Shellie@mcbdlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:45 PM
To: Park, Brian C.; Peter Nierman; Paul Brain; Jill Davenport
Cc: rtellis@baronbudd.com; Norton, Maren R.; Ferguson, Hunter O.
Subject: RE: Atigeo v. Montgomery, et al.

Brian, the below seems reasonable except to the extent that you want to continue your time to respond to Mr. Burgyan's discovery. I am confused why you would resist that. I assume that if you have discovery that would implicate or exonerate Mr. Burgyan you would so produce it regardless of his ability to get his storage facility in California where documents may not even exist.

I can call you tomorrow and we can discuss this further. .

Shellie McGaughey 325 – 118th Avenue Southeast Suite #209 Bellevue WA. 98005 Phone: 425-462-4000 Fax: 425-637-9638



TRIAL ATTORNEYS

NOTICE: The information contained in this message and any attachments may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this communication or any of its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message and deleting this message, any attachments, and all copies and backups from your computer. If you have received this communication in error and are unable to reply to this message, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (425) 462-4000. Thank you.

From: Park, Brian C. [mailto:BCPARK@stoel.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:26 PM
To: Shellie McGaughey; Peter Nierman; Paul Brain; Jill Davenport
Cc: rtellis@baronbudd.com; Norton, Maren R.; Ferguson, Hunter O.; Park, Brian C.
Subject: FW: Atigeo v. Montgomery, et al.

Shellie:

Thanks for your letter. We are very sorry to hear about Mr. Montgomery's medical issues. Please keep us posted after you have spoken to his doctors and learned more information.

In terms of the deposition of Istvan, we understand he needs to be around his father-in-law in light of the medical issues. We have no desire to take him away from that. At the same time, you understand that we do not want him to

## Case 2:13-cv-01694-JLR Document 80-7 Filed 07/18/14 Page 2 of 2

renew a motion for summary judgment under the schedule set forth by the Court if he has not allowed Plaintiffs an opportunity to conduct discovery, review documents, or take a deposition. We see that he also served Plaintiffs with his own discovery requests today.

<u>We suggest the following agreement</u>: Mr. Burgyan will <u>not</u> renew his motion for summary judgment until such time as Plaintiffs have had discovery, received/reviewed all responsive documents (including those from Mr. Burgyan's California storage locker), and taken his deposition. And, to the extent that process is delayed, the timetable for Plaintiffs responses to Mr. Burgyan's discovery requests, which seek information about many of those same topics, will likewise be extended. That seems to address both sides concerns in a fair and sensitive manner.

To get the ball rolling, we will plan to serve the notice of deposition of Mr. Burgyan tomorrow along with the Shockey subpoena/notice of deposition, but it will be subject to the proviso that the deposition of Mr. Burgyan will <u>not</u> occur until the parties find a *mutually agreeable* day and time, which we had already agreed to before anyway.

Let us know your thoughts. Thanks.

Best regards, Brian

From: Katie DeVoe [mailto:Katie@mcbdlaw.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:33 PM To: Park, Brian C.; Shellie McGaughey; Peter Nierman; Paul Brain; Jill Davenport Subject: Atigeo v. Montgomery, et al.

Mr. Park,

Attached please find correspondence from Ms. McGaughey this date. A hard copy will follow via legal messenger.

Thank you,

Katie DeVoe Paralegal 325 – 118th Avenue Southeast Suite #209 Bellevue WA. 98005 Phone: 425-462-4000 Fax: 425-637-9638



## MCGAUGHEY BRIDGES DUNLAP PLLC

TRIAL ATTORNEYS

NOTICE: The information contained in this message and any attachments may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this communication or any of its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message and deleting this message, any attachments, and all copies and backups from your computer. If you have received this communication in error and are unable to reply to this message, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (425) 462-4000. Thank you.