Honorable Richard A. Jones

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Dallas Buyers Club, LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-1819-RAJ

SURREPLY

COMES NOW, Jeff Pleake, an individual, by and through counsel, and submits this Surreply to Plaintiff Dallas Buyers Club, LLC's (DBC's) Reply.

DBC has filed a Reply challenging Mr. Pleake's opposition to the continued harassment he has been forced to endure as a product of this litigation. The single most galling allegation made in DBC's reply is the suggestion that DBC's counsel has been reasonable in its demands of Mr. Pleake so far. Reply, Dkt 33 ("DBC has and continues to offer to work with Mr. Pleake"). Quite contrary to DBC's suggestions, it has been nothing remotely close to reasonable with Mr. Pleake. Attached as Exhibit A to this

18

2021

19

22

23

2425

26

27

Surreply is a true and correct copy of the *rest* of the email exchange that DBC's counsel failed to bring to the Court's attention.

One need look no further back than the first time DBC served Mr. Pleake with a third-party subpoena, even though DBC undeniably lacked any authority to do so. See Dkt 22. Recall that DBC's subpoena provided Mr. Pleake with five working days notice to attend a deposition. Dkt 23. When Mr. Pleake's counsel pointed out that five days is insufficient time, DBC's counsel responded "[u]nless you can provide me a basis for claiming that the notice provided was not reasonable, why should the subpoena not be honored? It is your obligation to explain to me any basis for a deficiency with the subpoena". See Attachment A. Quite contrary to DBC's position now, DBC forced Mr. Pleake to file a motion to quash rather than simply reschedule the deposition for a mutually convenient time.

Still further, when Mr. Pleake (through counsel) notified DBC's counsel that he had no convenient time to sit for a deposition over the ensuing two-week period, DBC's counsel responded as follows:

Please confirm that it is your client's position that as of today, he has no open period to schedule a short deposition prior to May 18 due to existing travel plans. *That will be one of my lines of questions when he is under oath*."

Attachment A.

DBC's counsel threatened to question Mr. Pleake about his schedule under oath to investigate whether he did in fact have free time during the one-week immediately after being served with DBC's subpoena. The Court should consider what DBC's counsel has already threatened when considering whether to grant DBC's motion.

Finally, DBC actually suggests that movies such as The Croods, Maleficent, and Monsters University are the types that a man in his 50's would watch. Below are the promotional posters for each of the three movies:







All three are *children's movies*, hardly the type a man in his 50's would be watching. DBC's suggestions are preposterous. If anything, the existence of those three movies on DBC's list proves that Mr. Pleake had

3

4

56

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

~ **-**

27

nothing to do with whatever downloading may have occurred, if any did in fact occur.

Under these circumstances it is eminently reasonable for the Court to prevent any additional unilateral discovery of Mr. Pleake. Should the Court be inclined to allow Mr. Pleake's deposition to be taken, he respectfully requests that the costs of compliance with DBC's subpoena be shifted to DBC as set out in his earlier opposition. In addition, the threats already levied against Mr. Pleake by DBC's counsel should be addressed in any order allowing DBC to conduct such a deposition.

Dated: June 15, 2015 Resp

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John Whitaker

John Whitaker

WHITAKER LAW GROUP

1218 Third Avenue, Suite 1809

Seattle, WA 98101

p: (206) 436-8500

f: (206) 694-2203

e: john@wlawgrp.com

Attorneys for Jeff Pleake

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attests that the foregoing document has been served on all parties of record via the Court's ECF service system on the date indicated below.

Dated: June 15, 2015

<u>/s/ John Whitaker</u> John Whitaker