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## SCHLEIERMACHER,

ON TEE

WORTH OF SOCRATES AS A PHLLOSOPHER.

That very different and even entirely opposite judgements should be formed by different men, and according to the spirit of different times, on minds of a leading and peculiar order, and that it should be late, if ever, before opinions agree as to their worth is a phenomenon of everyday occurrence. But it is less natural, indeed it seems almost surprising, that at any one time a judgement should be generally received with regard to any such mind, which is in glaring contradiction with itself. Yet, if I am not mistaken, it is actually the case with Socrates, that the portrait usually drawn of him, and the historical importance which is almost unanimously attributed to him, are at irreconcileable variance. With Socrates most writers make a new period to begin in the history of Greek philosophy; which at all events manifestly implies that he breathed a new spirit and character into those intellectual exertions of his countrymen which we comprehend under the name of philosophy, so that they assumed a new form under his hands, or at least that he materially
widened their range. But if we enquire how the same writers describe Socrates as an individual, we find nothing that can serve as a foundation for the influence they assign to him. We are informed, that he did not at all busy himself with the physical investigations which constituted a main part even of Greek philosophy, but rather withheld others from them, and that even with regard to moral inquiries, which were those in which he engaged the deepest, he did not by any means aim at reducing them into a scientific shape, and that he established no fixed principle for this, any more than for any other branch of human knowledge. The base of his intellectual constitution, we are told, was rather religious than speculative, his exertions rather those of a good citizen, directed to the improvement of the people, and especially of the young, than those of a philosopher; in ahort, he is represented as a virtuoso in the exercise of sound common sense, and of that strict integrity and mild philanthropy, with which it is always associated in an uncorrupted mind; all this, however, tinged with a slight air of enthusiasm. These are no doubt excellent qualities; but yet they are not such as fit a man to play a brilliant part in history, but rather, unless where peculiar circumstances intervene, to lead a life of enviable tranquillity, so that it would be necessary to ascribe the general reputation of Socrates, and the almost unexampled homage which has been paid to him, by so many generations, less to himself than to such peculiar circumstances. But least of all are these qualities which could have produced conspicuous and
permanent effects on the philosophical exertions of a people already far advanced in intellectual culture. And this is confirmed, when we consider what sort of doctrines and opinions are attributed to Socrates in conformity with this view. For in spite of the pains taken to trick them out with a show of philosophy, it is impossible after all to give them any scientific solidity whatever: the farthest point we come to is, that they are thoughts well suited to warm the hearts of men in favour of goodness, but such as a healthy understanding, fully awakened to reflexion cannot fail to light upon of itself. What effect then can they have wrought on the progress, or the transformation of philosophy? If we would confine ourselves to the well-known statement, that Socrates called philosophy down from heaven to earth, that is, to houses and market-places; in other words, that he proposed social life as the object of research in the room of nature: still the influence thus ascribed to him is far from salutary in itself, for philosophy consists not in a partial cultivation either of morals or physics, but in the co-existence and intercommunion of both, and there is moreover no historical evidence that he really exerted it. The foundations of ethical philosophy had been laid before the time of Socrates, in thedoctrines of the Pythagoreans, and after him it only kept its place by the side of physics, in the philosophical systems of the Greeks. In those of Plato, of Aristotle, and of the Stoics, that is, of all the genuine Socratic schools of any importance, we again meet with physical investigations, and ethics were exclusively cultivated only
by those followers of Socrates who themselves never attained to any eminence in philosophy. And if we consider the general tendency of the above-named schools, and review the whole range of their tenets, nothing can be pointed out, that could have proceeded from a Socrates, endowed with such qualities of mind and character as the one described to us, unless it be where their theories have been reduced to a familiar practical application. And even with regard to the elder Socratics, we find more satisfaction in tracing their strictly philosophical speculations to any other source rather than to this Socrates; not only may Aristippus, who was unlike his master in his spirit as well as his doctrines, be more easily derived from Protagoras, with whom he has so much in common, but Euclid, with his dialectic bias, from the Eleatics. And we find ourselves compelled to conclude, that the stem of Socrates, as he is at present represented to us, can have produced no other shoot than the Cynical philosophy, and that, not the cynicism of Antisthenes, which still retains many features which we should rather refer to his earlier master, Gorgias, but the purer form, which exhibits only a peculiar mode of life, not a doctrine, much less a science: that of Diogenes, the mad Sucrates, as he has been called, though in truth the highest epithet due to him is that of Socrates caricatured. For his is a copy in which we find nothing but features of such an original: its approximation to the self-contentedness of the deity in the retrenchment of artificial want, its rejection of mero theoretical knowledge, itsunassuming enurse of foring
about in the service of the god to expose the follies of mankind. But how foreign all this is to the domain of philosophy, and how little can be there effected with such means, is evident enough.

The only rational course then that seems to be left, is to give up one or other of these contradictory assumptions. Either let Socrates still stand at the head of the Athenian philosophy, but then let those who place him there undertake to establish a different notion of him from that which has been long prevalent: or let us retain the conception of the wise and amiable man, who was made not for the school but wholly for the world: but then let him be transferred from the history of philosophy to that of the general progress of society at Athens, if he can claim any place there. The latter of these expedients is not very far removed from that which has been adopted by Krug'! For, as in his system Socrates stands at the end of the one period, and not at the beginning of the next, he appears not as the germ of a new age, but as a product and aftergrowth of an earlier one; he sinks, as an insulated phenomenon, into the same rank with the sophists, and other late fruits of the period, and loses a great part of his philosophical importance. Only it is but a half measure that this author adopts, when he begins his new period with the immediate disciples of Socrates as such; for at its head he places the genuine Socratics, as they are commonly called, and, above all, Xenophon, men of whom he himself says, that their only merit was that of having propagated and
diffused Socratic doctrines, while the doctrines themselves do not appear to him worth making the beginning of a new period.-Ast had previously arrived at the same result by a road in some respects opposite.* With him Plato is the full bloom of that which he terms the Athenian form of philosophy, and as no plant begins with its bloom, he feels himself constrained to place Socrates at the head of this philosophy, but yet not strictly as a philosopher. He says, that the operation of philosophy in Socrates was confined to the exercise of qualities that may belong to any virtuous man, that is to say, it was properly no philosophy at all; and makes the essence of his character to consist in enthusiasm and irony. Now he feels that he cannot place a man endowed with no other qualities than these at the head of a new period, and therefore he ranges the sophists by his side, not indeed without some inconsistency, for he himself sees in them the perverse tendency which was to be counteracted by the spirit of the new age; but yet he prefers this to recognizing the germ of a new gradation in Socrates alone, whose highest philosophical worth be makes to consist in his martyrdom, which, however, cannot by any means be deemed of equal moment in the sphere of science, as in that of religion or politics. Though in form, this course of Ast's is opposite to Krug's, in substance it is the same: its result is likewise to begin a new period of philosophy with Plato. For Ast perceives nothing new or peculiar in the struggle Socrates made against the Sophists, only virtue * Grundriss einer Gesch. der Philos.
and the thirst after truth, which had undoubtedly animated all the preceding philosophers; what he represents as characteristic in the Athenian philosophy, is the union of the elements which had been previously separate and opposed to each other; and since he does not in fact show the existence of this union in Socrates himself, and distinctly recognizes their separation in his immediate disciples, Plato is after all the point at which, according to him, that union begins.

But if we choose really to consider Plato as the true beginner of a new period, not to mention that he is far too perfect for a first beginning, we fall into two difficulties. First as to his relation to Aristotle. In all that is most peculiar to Plato, Aristotle appears as directly opposite to him as possible; but the main division of philosophy, notwithstanding the wide difference between their modes of treating it, he has in common with Plato, and the Stoics with both; it fits as closely and sits as easily on one as the other, so that one can scarcely help believing that it was derived from some common origin, which was the root of Plato's as well as theirs. The second difficulty is to conceive what Plato's relation to Socrates could really have been, if Soorates was not in any way his master in philosophy. If we should suppose that Plato's character was formed by the example of Socrates, and that reverence for his master's virtue, and love of truth, was the tie that bound him, still this merely moral relation is not a sufficient solution of the difficulty. The mode in which Plato introduces Socrates, even, in
works which contain profound philosophical investigations, must be regarded as the wildest caprice, and would necessarily have appeared merely ridiculous and absurd to all his contemporaries, if he was not in some way or other indebted to him for his philosophical life. Hence we are forced to abide by the conclusion, that if a great pause is to be made in Greek philosophy, to separate the scattered tenets of the earlier schools from the later systems, this must be made with Socrates; but then we must also ascribe to him some element of a more strictly philosophical kind than most writers do, though, as a mere beginning, it needs not to have been carried very far toward maturity. Such a pause as this, however, we cannot avoid making: the earlier philosophy which we designate by the names of Pythagoras, Parmenides, Heraclitus, Anaxagoras, Empedocles, \&c. has evidently a common type, and the later, in which Plato, Aristotle, and Zeno are the conspicuous names, has likewise one of its own, which is very different from the other. Nothing can have been lost between them, which could have formed a gradual transition: much less is it possible so to connect any of the later forms with any of the earlier, as to regard them as a continuous whole. This being so, nothing remains to be done, but to subject the case of Socrates to a new revision, in order to see whether the judges he has met with among posterity have not been as unjust, in denying his philosophical worth and his merits in the cause of philosophy, as his contemporaries were in denying his worth as a citizen, and imputing to him
imaginary offences against the commonwealth. But this would render it necessary to ascertain somewhat more distinctly, wherein his philosophical merit consists.

But this new inquiry naturally leads us back in the first instance to the old question, whether we are to believe Plato or Xenophon in their accounts of what Socrates was; a question, however, which only deserves to be proposed at all, so far as these two authors are really at variance with each other, and which therefore only admits of a rational answer, after it has been decided whether such a variance exists, and where it lies. Plato nowhere professes himself the historian of Socrates; with the exception perhaps of the Apology, and of insulated passages, such as the speech of Alcibiades in the Banquet. For it would certainly have been in bad taste, if here, where Plato is making contemporaries of Socrates speak of him in his presence, he had exhibited him in a manner that was not substantially faithful, though even here many of the details may have been introduced for the sake of playful exaggeration. On the other hand, Plato himself does not warrant any one to consider all that he makes Socrates say in his dialogues, as his real thoughts and language; and it would be rendering him but a poor service to confine his merit to that of having given a correct and skilful report of the doctrines of Socrates. On the contrary, he undoubtedly means his philosophy to be considered as his own, and not Socrates'. And accordingly every intelligent reader is probably convinced by his own reflections, that
is not still more strongly expressed, than we here and there actually find it. And still less should we be able to comprehend, why men of such abilities as Critias and Alcibiades, and others formed by nature for speculation, as Plato and Euclid, set so high a value on their intercourse with Socrates, and found satisfaction in it so long. Nor can it be supposed, that Socrates held discourses in public such as Xenophon puts into his mouth, but that he delivered lessons of a different kind elsewhere, and in private; for this, considering the apologetic form of Xenophon's book, to which he rigidly confines himself, he would probably not have passed over in silence. Socrates must have disclosed the philosophical element of his character in the same social circle of which Xenophon gives us specimens. And is not this just the impression which Xenophon's conversations make? philosophical matter, translated into the unphilosophical style of the common understanding, an operation in which the philosophical base is lost; just as some critics have proposed, by way of test for the productions of the loftiest poetry, to resolve them into prose, and evaporate their spirit, which can leave nothing but an extremely sober kind of beauty remaining. And as, after such an experiment, the greatest of poets would scarcely be able to restore the lost poetry, but yet a reader of moderate capacity soon observes what has been done, and can even point it out in several passages, where the decomposing hand has grown tired of its work: so it is in the other case with the philosophical basis. One finds some parallels with Plato, other
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their intellectual operations depend on outward circumstances: for it is only a systematic distribution of the whole field that can lead to a regular and connected cultivation of it. In the same way, so long as the several sciences are pursued singly, and their respective votaries contentedly acquiesce in this insulation, so long, and in the same degree, is the specific instinct for the object of each science predominant in the whole sphere of intellectual exertion. But as soon as the need of the connexion and co-ordinate growth of all the branches of knowledge has become so distinctly felt, as to express itself by the form in which they are treated and described, in a manner which can never again be lost; so far as this is the case, it is no longer particular talents and instincts, but the general scientific talent of speculation, that has the ascendant. In the former of these cases it must be confessed, that the idea of science as such is not yet matured, perhaps has not even become the subject of consciousness, for science as such can only be conceived as a whole, in which every division is merely subordinate, just as the real world to which it ought to correspond. In the latter case, on the contrary, this idea has become a subject of consiousness; for it can have been only by its force that the particular inclinations which confine each thinker to a certain object, and split science into insulated parts, have been mastered. And this is unquestionably a simpler criterion to distinguish the two periods of Greek philosophy. In the earlier period, the idea of science as such was not the
governing idea, and had not even become a distinct subject of consciousness: and this it is that gives rise to the obscurity which we perceive in all the philosophical productions of that period, through the appearance of caprice which results from the want of consciousness, and through the imperfection of the scientific language, which is gradually forming itself out of the poetical and historical vocabulary. In the second period, on the other hand, the idea of science has become a subject of consciousness. Hence the main business everywhere is to distinguish knowledge from opinion, hence the precision of scientific language, hence the peculiar prominence of dialectics, which have no other object than the idea of science; things which were not comprehended even by the Eleatics in the same way as by the Socratic schools, since the former still make the idea of being their starting-point, rather than that of knowledge.

Now this waking of the idea of science, and its earliest manifestations, must have been, in the first instance what constituted the philosophical basis in Socrates; and for this reason he is justly regarded as the founder of that later Greek philosophy, which in its whole essential form, together with its several variations, was determined by that idea. This is proved clearly enough by the historical statements in Plato, and this too is what must be supplied in Xenophon's conversations, in order to make them worthy of Socrates, and Socrates of his admirers. For if he went about in the service of the god, to justify the celebrated oracle, it
was impossible that the utmost point he reached could have been simply to know that he knew nothing; there was a step beyond this which he must have taken, that of knowing what knowledge was. For by what other means could he have been enabled to declare that which others believed themselves to know, to be no knowledge, than by a more correct conception of knowledge, and by a more correct method founded upon that conception? And every where, when he is explaining the nature of non-science (àveтьनт $\eta \mu \sigma \sigma u ́ v \eta$ ), one sees that he sets out from two tests: one, that science is the same in all true thoughts, and consequently must manifest its peculiar form in every such thought: the other, that all science forms one whole. For his proofs always hinge on this assumption: that it is impossible to start from one true thought, and to be entangled in contradiction with any other, and also that knowledge derived from any one point, and obtained by correct combination, cannot contradict that which has been deduced in like manner from any other point; and while he exposed such contradictions in the current conception of mankind, he strove to rouse those leading ideas in all who were capable of understanding, or even of divining his meaning. Most of what Xenophon has preserved for us may be referred to this object, and the same endeavour is indicated clearly enough in all that Socrates says of himself in Plato's Apology, and what Alcibiades says of him in his eulogy. So that if we conceive this to have been the central point in the character
of Socrates, we may reconcile Plato and Xenophon, and can understand the historical position of Socrates.

When Xenophon says (Mem. IV. 6. 15), that as often as Socrates did not merely refute the errors of others, but attempted to demonstrate something himself, he took his road through propositions which were most generally admitted: we can perfectly understand this mode of proceeding, as the result of the design just described; he wished to find as few hindrances and diversions as possible in his way, that he might illustrate his method clearly and simply; and propositions, if there were such, which all held to be certain, must have appeared to him the most eligible, in order that he might show, in their case, that the conviction with which they were embraced was not knowledge; since this would render men more keenly sensible of the necessity of getting at the foundation of knowledge, and of taking their stand upon it, in order to give a new shape to all human things. Hence, too, we may explain the preponderance of the subjects connected with civil and domestic life in most of these conversations. For this was the field that supplied the most generally admitted conceptions and propositions, the fate of which interested all men alike. But this mode of proceeding becomes inexplicable, if it is supposed that Socrates attached the chief importance to the subject of these conversations. That must have been quite a secondary point. For when the object is to elucidate any subject, it is necessary to pay attention to the
less familiar and more disputed views of it, and how meagre most of those discussions in Xenophon are in this respect, is evident enough. From the same point of view we must also consider the controversy of Socrates with the Sophists. So far as it was directed against their maxims, it does not belong to our present question; it is merely the opposition of a good citizen to the corrupters of government and of youth. But even looking at it from the purely theoretical side, it would be idle to represent this contrast as the germ of a new period of philosophy, if Socrates had only impugned opinions which were the monstrous shapes into which the doctrines of an earlier school had degenerated, without having established any in their stead, which nobody supposes him to have done. But for the purpose of awakening the true idea of science, the Sophists must have been the most welcome of all disputants to him, since they had reduced their opinions into the most perfect form; and hence were proud of them themselves, and were peculiarly admired by others. If, therefore, he could succeed in exposing their weakness, the value of a principle so triumphantly applied would be rendered most conspicuous.

But in order to show the imperfection of the current conceptions both in the theories of the Sophists, and in common life, if the issue was not to be left to chance, some certain method was requisite. For it was often necessary in the course of the process to lay down intermediate notions, which it was necessary to define to the satisfaction
of both parties; otherwise, all that was done would afterwards have looked like a paltry surprise; and the contradiction between the proposition in question, and one that was admitted, could never be detected without ascertaining what notions might or might not be connected with a given one. Now this method is laid down in the two problems which Plato states in the Phædrus, as the two main elements in the art of dialectics, that is, to first know how correctly to combine multiplicity in unity, and again to divide a complex unity according to its nature into a multiplicity, and next to know what notions may or may not be connected together. It is by this means that Socrates became the real founder of dialectics, which continued to be the soul of all the great edifices reared in later times by Greek philosophy, and by its decided prominence constitutes the chief distinction between the later period and the earlier; so that one cannot but commend the historical instinct which has assigned so high a station to him. At the same time this is not meant to deny, that Euclid and Plato carried this science, as well as the rest, farther toward maturity; but it is manifest that in its first principles, Socrates possessed it as a science, and practised it as an art, in a manner peculiar to himself. For the construction of all Socratic dialogues, as well of those doubtfully ascribed to Plato, and of those attributed with any degree of probability to other original disciples of Socrates, as of all those reported in the Memorabilia, hinges without any exception on this point. The same inference re-
sults from the teatimony of Aristotle (Metaph. I. 6. XIII.4.): that what may be justly ascribed to Socrates, is that he introduced induction and general definitions; a testimony which bears every mark of impartiality and truth. Hence there is no reason to doubt that Socrates taught this art of framing and connecting notions correctly. Since, however, it is an art, abstract teaching was not sufficient, and therefore no doubt Socrates never so taught it: it was art that required to be witnessed and practised in the most manifold applications, and one who was not firmly grounded in it, and left the school too early, lost it again, and with it almost all that was to be learned from Socrates, as indeed is observed in Plato's dialogues. Now that this exercise and illustration was the main object of conversations held by Socrates even on general moral subjects, is expressly admitted by Xenophon himself, when, under the head-What Socrates did to render his friends more expert in dialectics, -he introduces a great many such discourses and inquiries, which so closely resembled the rest, that all might just as well have been put in the same class.

It was with a view, therefore, to become masters. in this art, and thereby to keep the faster hold of the idea of science, that men of vigorous and speculative minds formed a circle round Socrates as long as circumstances allowed, those who were able to the end of his life, and in the mean while chose to tread closely in their master's steps, and to refrain for a time from making a systematic application of his art in the different departments of knowledge,
for the more elaborate cultivation of all the sciences. But when after his death the most eminent among them, first of all at Megara, began a strictly scientific train of speculation, and thus philosophy gradually ripened into the shape which, with slight variations, it ever after retained among the Greeks: what now took place was not indeed what Socrates did, or perhaps could have done, but yet it was undoubtedly his will. To this it may indeed be objected, that Xenophon expressly says (Mem. 1. 11.) : that Socrates, in his riper years, not only himself gave up all application to natural philosophy, but endeavoured to withhold all others from it, and directed them to the consideration of human affairs; and hence many hold those only to be genuine Socratics, who did not include physics in their system. But this statement must manifestly be taken in a sense much less general, and quite different from that which is usually given to it. This is clearly evinced by the reasons which Socrates alleges. For how could he have said so generally, that the things which depend on God ought not to be made the subject of inquiry, before those which depend on man have been despatched, since not only are the latter connected in a variety of ways with the former, but even among things human there must be some of greater moment, others of less, some of nearer, others of more remote concern, and the proposition would lead to the conclusion that before one was brought to its completion, not even the investigation of another ought to be begun. This might have bcen not unfairly turned
by a sophist against Socrates himself, if he had dragged in a notion apparently less familiar, in order to illustrate another; and certainly this proposition, taken in a general sense, would not only have endangered the conduct of life, but would also have altogether destroyed the Socratic idea of science, that nothing can be known except together with the rest, and along with its relation to all things beside. The real case is simply this. It is clear that Socrates had no peculiar talent for any single science, and least of all for that of physics. Now it is true that a merely metaphysical thinker may feel himself attracted toward all sciences, as was the case with Kant; but then this happens under different circumstances, and a different mental constitution from that of Socrates. He on the contrary made no excursions to points remote from his centre, but devoted his whole life to the task of exciting his leading idea as extensively and as vividly as possible in others; his whole aim was, that whatever form man's wishes and hopes might take, according to individual character and accidental circumstances, this foundation might be securely laid, before he proceeded further. But till then his advice was, not to accumulate fresh masses of opinions; this he for his part would permit only so far as it was demanded by the wants of active life, and for this reason he might say, that if those who investigated meteoric phenomena had any hope of producing them at their pleasure, he should be more ready to admit their researches: language, which in any other sense but this would have been ab-
surd. We cannot, therefore, conolude from this that Socrates did not wish that physics should be cultivated, any more than we are authorised to suppose, that he fancied it possible to form ethics into a science by sufficiently multiplying those fragmentary investigations into which he was drawn in discussing the received opinions on the subject. The same law of progression was involuntarily retained in his sohool. For Plato, though he descends into all the sciences, still lays the principal stress on the establishment of principles, and expatiates in details only so far as they are necessary, and so much the less as he has to draw them from without: it is Aristotle who first revels in their multiplicity.

This appears to me as much as can be said with certainty of the worth of Socrates as a philosopher. But should any one proceed to ask, how far he elaborated the idea of science in his lessons, or in what degree he promoted the discovery of real knowledge in any other province by his controversial discussions, and his dialectic essays, there would perhaps be little to say on this head, and least of all should I be able to extricate any thing to serve this purpose from the works of Plato taken by themselves. For there in all that belongs to Plato there is something of Socrates, and in all that belongs to Socrates something of Plato. Only if any one is desirous of desaribing doctrines peculiar to Socrates, let him not, as many do in histories of philosophy for the sake of at least filling up some space with Socrates, string together detached moral theses, which, as they arose out of occasional discussions, can never
make up a whole, and as to other subjects, let him not lose sight of the above quoted passage of Aristotle, who confines Socrates' philosophical speculations to principles. The first point therefore to examine would be, whether some profound speculative doctrines may not have originally belonged to Socrates, which are generally considered as most foreign to him, for instance, the thought which is unfolded by Plato in his peculiar manner, but is exhibited in the germ by Xenophon himself (Mem. I. 4. 8), and is intimately connected with the great dialectic question as to the agreement between thought and being: that of the general diffusion of intelligence throughout the whole of nature. With this one might connect the assertion of Aristocles (Euseb. Præp. XI. 3), that Socrates began the investigation of the doctrine of ideas. But the testimony of this late Peripatetic is suspicious, and may have had no other foundation than the language of Socrates in the Parmenides.

But whether much or little of this and other doctrines belonged to Socrates himself, the general idea already described cannot fail to suggest a more correct mode of conceiving, in what light it is that Plato brings forward his master in his works, and in what sense his Socrates is to be termed a real, or a fictitious personage. Fictitious, in the proper sense, I hold, he is not, and his reality is not a merely mimic one, nor is Socrates in those works merely a convenient person who affords room for much mimic art, and much cheerful pleasantry, in order to temper the abstruse
investigations with this agreeable addition. It is because the spirit and the method of Socrates are everywhere predominant, and because it is not merely a subordinate point with Plato to adopt the manner of Socrates, but is as truly his highest aim, that Plato has not hesitated to put into his mouth what he believed to be no more than deductions from his fundamental ideas. The only material exceptions we find to this (passing over several more minute which come under the same head with the anachronisms) occur in later works, as the Statesman and the Republic; I mean doctrines of Plato foreign to the real views of Socrates, perhaps indeed virtually contradicting them, and which are nevertheless put into his mouth. On this head, we must let Plato appeal to the privilege conferred by custom. But on the whole we are forced to say, that in giving Socrates a living share in the propagation of that philosophical movement which took its rise from him, Plato has immortalized him in the noblest manner, that a disciple can perpetuate the glory of his master; in a manner not only more beautiful, but more just, than he could have done it by a literal narrative.
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# SCHLEIERMACHER'S INTRODUCTION 

## 20 TEE <br> APOLOGY OF SOCRATES.

I have already observed, in the general Introduction of this translation of Plato, that the reader is not to conclude, because certain works are placed in an appendix, that by this I mean to deny or to call in question with regard to all of them, that they are writings of Plato. My only reason for assigning such a place to the following work which has been at all times loved and admired for the spirit that breathes through it, and the image it presents of calm moral dignity and beauty, was, in the first instance, that it contents itself with its peculiar object, and makes no pretensions to the title of a scientific work. It is true, that the Euthyphron likewise has unquestionably an apologetic reference to the charge brought against Socrates; but, on the other hand, its connection with the notions started in the Protagoras, clearly entitled it to be subjoined to that dialogue. But the

Apology is so purely an occasional piece, that it can find no place in the series of its author's philosophical productions. Yet there is certainly one sense, in which, let not the reader be startled, one might perhaps say that it is not a work of Plato's. I mean that it can scarcely be a work of his thoughts, a thing which he invented and fabricated. For if we attribute to Plato the intention of defending Socrates, we must first of all distinguish the times at which he might have done it, either during his process, or subsequently, no matter how soon or how late, to his execution. Now in the latter case, Plato could only have proposed to vindicate the principles and sentiments of his friend and master. But this vindication he, who was so fond of combining several ends in one work, might easily have coupled with his scientific views: and accordingly we not only find detached intimations of this kind scattered over his later writings, but we shall soon be introduced to an important work, one which cannot be denied to be closely enough interwoven with his scientific speculations, in which a collateral object, but one made distinctly prominent, is to place the conduct and virtue of Socrates as an Athenian citizen in a clear light. Now this is intelligible enough : but Plato could scarcely have found any inducement at a later period to compose a work which merely confronts Socrates with his actual accusers. It must have been then during the process that he
wrote this speech. But for what purpose? It is manifest that he could have rendered his master no worse service, than if, before he had defended himself in court, he had published a defence under his name, just as if to help the prosecutors to the arguments which it would be their business to parry or to elude, and to place the defendant in the difficult situation of being reduced either to repeat much that had been said before, or to say something less forcible. Hence the more excellent and the better suited to the character of Socrates the defence might be, the more harm it would have done to him. But this is a supposition which will scarcely be maintained.

After the decision of the cause, there were two purposes which Plato might have had, either that of making the course of the proceedings more generally known at the time, and of framing a memorial of them for posterity, or that of setting the different parties and their mode of proceeding in a proper light. Now if we inquire about the only rational means to the latter of these ends: all will agree that the speech should have been put into the mouth, not of Socrates, but of some other person defending him. For the advocate might have brought forward many things, which the character of Socrates rendered improper for him to urge, and might have shown by the work that, if the defendant's cause had only been pleaded by a person who had no need to disdain
resources which many men of honour did not think beneath them, it would have had a very different issue. Now if there were any foundation for an anecdote, not indeed a very probable one, which Diogenes Laertius has preserved from an insignificant writer, Plato's most natural course would have been, to publish the speech which he would himself have made on the same occasion if he had not been hindered.* He would then have had an opportunity of exemplifying those great precepts and expedients of rhetoric, the force of which he had himself first disclosed; and undoubtedly he might have applied them with great truth and art to the charges concerning the new deities and the corruption of youth. And so it would have been far better for him to have used any other person's name for the purpose of retorting on the accusers of Socrates, and to have spoken of his merits in a different tone. Whereas in a speech put into the mouth of Socrates himself, yet different from that which he really delivered, he can have had no other object than to show what Socrates voluntarily neglected or involuntarily let slip, and how his defence should have been framed so as to produce a better effect.

[^0]Sceleierimachme.

Now not to mention that this would have been scarcely possible without departing from the character of Socrates, it is evident that the defence we now have was not framed with this view. For how could such a speech have been followed by the address after the verdict, which implies an issue not more favonrable than the real one? The only supposition then that remains is, that this work was designed simply to exhibit and record in substance the real proceedings of the case, for those Athenians who were not able to be hearers, and for the other Greeks, and posterity. Now are we to believe that, in such a case and under such circumstances, Plato was unable to resist the temptation of fathering upon Socrates a work of his own art, which in all but the outline was perhaps entirely foreign to him, like a boy who has a theme set him to declaim on. This we cannot believe, but must presume that in this case, where nothing of his own was wanted, and he had entirely devoted himself to his friend, especially so short a time before or after the death of Socrates, as this work was undoubtedly composed, he considered his departing friend too sacred to be disguised even with the most beautiful of ornaments, and his whole form as so faultless and majestic, that it was not right to exhibit it in any dress, but, like the statue of a god, naked, and wrapt only in its own beauty. And so in fact we find he has done. For a critic who should
undertake the task of mending this speech would find a great deal in it to alter. Thus the charge of misleading the young is not repelled with arguments by any means so cogent as it might have been, nor is sufficient stress by a great deal laid on the fact, that Socrates had done every thing in the service of Apollo, for defending him against the charge of disbelief of the ancient gods: and any one with his eyes only half open may discover other weak points of the like kind, which are not so well grounded in the character of Socrates that Plato should have been compelled to copy them.

Nothing therefore is more probable, than that in this speech we possess as faithful a transcript of Socrates' real defence, as Plato's practised memory enabled him to make, allowing for the necessary difference between a written speech and one carelessly spoken. But perhaps some one may say: If Plato, supposing him to be the author of this work, did nothing more than record what he had heard: what reason is there for insisting on this fact, or how can it be known, that it was he, and not some other among the friends of Socrates who were present at the trial? Such an objector, if he is familiar with the style of Plato, need only be referred to the whole aspect of the Apology, which distinctly shows that it can have proceeded from no pen but Plato's. For in it Socrates speaks exactly as Plato makes him speak, a manner in which, so far as we can judge from all we
have left, he was not made to speak by any of his other scholars. And ihis resemblance is so indisputable, that it may serve as a foundation for a remark of some importance. For it suggests the question: Whether certain peculiarities of the Platonic dialogue, particularly the imaginary questions and answers inserted in a sentence, and the accumulation of several sentences comprehended under one, and often expanded much too amply for this subordinate place, together with the interruption almost inevitably arising from this cause in the original structure of the period: whether these peculiarities, seeing that we find them so predominant here, ought not properly to be referred to Socrates? They occur in Plato most frequently where he is imitating Socrates closest; but nowhere so frequently, and so little clear of their accompanying negligences, as here and in the following dialogue (the Crito), which is probably of like origin. All this together renders it a very natural conjecture, that these forms of speech were originally copied from Socrates, and are therefore to be numbered among the specimens of the mimic art of Plato, who endeavoured in a certain degree to copy the style of the persons whom he introduces, if it had peculiarities which justified him in so doing. And any one who tries this observation by applying it to Plato's different works, especially in the order in which I have arranged them, will find it very strongly
confirmed by the trial. The cause why such an imitation was not attempted by other disciples of Socrates, was probably this: that, on the one hand, it really required no little art to bend these peculiarities of a careless colloquial style under the laws of written discourse, and to amalgamate them with the regular beauty of expression, and, on the other hand, it called for more courage to meet the censure of minute critics than Xenophon probably possessed. But this is not the place for entering further into this question.

One circumstance, however, must still be noticed, which might be alleged against the genuineness of this work, and with more plausibility, indeed, than any other: that it wants the dress of the dialogue, in which Plato presents all his other works, and which he has given even to the Me nexenus, though in other respects, that, like this, consists of nothing more than a speech. Why therefore it may be asked, should the Apology, which so easily admitted of this ornament, be the only work of Plato that is destitute of it? Convincing as this sounds, the weight of the other arguments is too strong not to counter-balance this scruple, and we reply to the objection as follows. In the first place, it is possible that the dialogic form had not then become so indispensable with Plato as it afterwards was: which may serve as an answer for those who are inclined to set a great value on the dress of the Menexenus;
or Plato himself distinguished this work from his other writings too much to think of subjecting it to the same law. Besides, it would in general be very unworthy of Plato, to consider the dialogue, even in those works where it is not very inti. mately blended with the main mass of the composition, as nothing more than an ornament arbitrarily appended to them: it always has its meaning, and contributes to the conformation and effect of the whole. Now if this would not have been the case in the present instance, why should Plato have brought it violently in? Especially as in all likelihood he wished to hasten the publication of this speech as much as possible, and might not think it advisable at that time to hazard a public declaration of his sentiments on the issue of the cause, which, if he had clothed the speech in the form of a dialogue, it would have been difficult to avoid, without rendering the form utterly empty and unmeaning.
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## SCHLEIERMACHER'S

## INTRODUCTION TO THE CRITO.

Ir has been already remarked in the introduction to the Apology, that this dialogue appears to be of the same nature with that piece. It seems probable that the Crito is not properly speaking, a work conceived and framed by Plato himself, but a conversation, which actually took place; and which was communicated to Plato as faithfully as possible by Crito, between whom and Socrates it had occurred. In this conversation Plato appears to have made scarcely any alteration, except that he restored and embellished the Socratic mode of speaking which was 80 well known to him, adorned the commencement and the end, and perhaps here and there supplied little deficiencies. This view rests upon exactly the same grounds, which have been explained in the introduction to the Apology. For neither in the one case nor in the other, does there appear any special philosophical object; and although the occasion iteelf naturally led to the most important inquiries concerning justice, luw, and compact, in which Plato was certainly at all times interested, yet these subjects are here treated of so exclusively with a view to the
individual case before us, that we clearly see that the persons engaged in the dialogue, if the conversation actually took place, were wholly wrapt up in it; and should it be considered as a work of Plato's, which was written without reference to anything that actually occurred, we must admit, that it bears the complete character of a work written for a special occasion. Besides, it is expressly mentioned in it that philosophical inquiry is put aside, since particular principles are only stated and taken for granted, without any further examination, and with reference to previous conversations, though by no means as if these principles were to be sought for in other writtings of Plato,-a mode of proceeding never employed in those works of Plato which are of philosophical importance. But supposing it to have been Plato's own work, what could have been the occasion of his writing it? For there is no sentiment given here, which is not contained in the Apology. If, however, we should suppose that it was Plato's intention only to make known the fact, that the friends of Socrates offered to assist him in escaping from his prison, and that he refused their offer, and that the remainder, with the exception of this historical basis, is Plato's own invention: a more minute consideration would perhaps prove, that the former part of this supposition can stand the test of examination, but not the latter. For, on the one hand, there is nothing remarkable in this fact except the manner in which it took place; for the result might have been foreseen from the

Apology; and the friends of Socrates would therefore have been perfectly justified, even if they had not undertaken anything of this kind; on the other hand, the conversation itself bears the character of one that actually took place, which must always to a certain degree be subject to chance circumstances; but these characteristics would not be suited to a conversation that was deliberately and artificially composed. For dialogues of the former class may easily abandon an idea after barely alluding to it, or they may confirm and establish by repetition what might at once have been said decidedly and expressly; the latter, on the contrary, can neither return to the same point without having some particular object in view, for their progress would be interrupted, nor raise expectations which they do not satisfy. The characteristics of the former kind of conversations are manifest in the Crito, and although the idea is on the whole beautifully and clearly defined, yet the connection of its parts is often loose, unnecessarily interrupted and carelessly resumed. Of these defects of a real conversation, which is reported to a third person, acarcely one will be found entirely wanting in the Crito.

I still think it possible for this dialogue to have been written by Plato in this manner; and I conceive that writting it 20 near the death of Socrates, he may have treated such a conversation as conscientiously as he did the Apology. It was only at a more distant period, to which according to my view the Phædo belongt, that he could, even H 3
on circumstances connected with the death of Socrates, depart from a strict adherence to facts, and proceed to use them freely, and to interweave them in a work of his own, destined to illustrate certain philosophical problems. For the present, at any rate, I shall endeavour by means of this view to vindicate the claims of Plato to this dialogue, until some criticism more solid than any that has been hitherto produced, shall prove that it is not his work. Two things, chiefly, induce me to maintain this opinion; in the first place, the language, against which Ast makes no particular objection, which unites all the peculiarities of the first period of the Platonic writings just as clearly as the language of the Apology; and secondly, the great strictness with which the author keeps to the individual case which is the subject of the conversation-abstaining from introducing any kind of enquiry concerning first principles-an act of moderation, which such inferior men as the other Socratic philosophers, were certainly incapable of; and by which Plato at the same time clearly distinguishes this work from his other writings. Hence the strong emphasis, which is laid on the assertion, that all deliberation in common is impossible for those who start from different moral principles - an emphasis, which must rather be ascribed to Plato, who thereby intended to explain the nature and the tenor of the conversation, than to Socrates, who would hardly have made use of it towards his friend Crito, since he could only differ from him in his inferences.

Little importance, perhaps, is to be attached to the statement of Diogenes, that the conversation actually occurred between Socrates and Esschines, and that Plato, from dislike towards the latter, substituted Crito in his place. However, it is possible that Plato in this respect may have made some alteration, and chosen Crito, who was most secure by his station and age from unpleasant consequences, and who probably died soon after the death of Socrates. The desire, at least, of not compromising any of the Athenian friends of Socrates is evident from the fact, that Plato only mentions strangers as having partaken in the plan of saving Socrates by his escape from prison. So that while the fact itself is not improbable, the motive seems to be fictitious; but whose invention it is we do not know.
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 é $\pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma a s$ ảmo $\epsilon \in \sigma a \iota$ тò $\sigma o ̀ v ~ \mu e ́ p o s . ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \eta ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~$



 тои́т $\omega \nu$ тむ̀ $\lambda о ́ \gamma \omega v ~ \beta о \mu \beta є i ̂ ~ к а i ~ т о є є l ~ \mu \eta े ~ \delta u ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~$







## NOTES

ON THE

## APOLOGY OF SOCRATES.


 style which he has actually adopted was one which was peculiarly pleasing to the Athenian ear, and simple as it is, partook of the nature of a compliment. For 'A日ŋvaios, in addition to its primary and obvious meaning, seems also to carry with it the notion of that urbanity and lettered refinement which was the characteristic of Athens. Compare what Socrates says in chapter

 spirit Cicero contrasts the native of Athens with the boor. See de Offic. I. 1, and Epist. ad Divers. XV. 19. It is worthy of remark, however, that Socrates reserves the title of dixaoral for those who showed their superiority over jealousy and party-spirit by voting for his acquittal. See chap. xxxi. The words 8, זt
 translated: How your minds have been impressed by the speeches of my accusers. For the preposition $\dot{v} \pi \delta$ after a verb neuter, see Matth. Gr. §496.3. It may in all cases be so used when the state indicated by the verb is represented as the consequence of something said or done by another. The preposition $a b$ is occasionally used in the same manner in Latin.
 nigh forgetting myself while listening to them, i.e., was ready to fancy myself other than I really am; of course said ironically. The same expression is used in Phedr. p.228. A. el $\begin{aligned} & \text { fù } \\ & \text { Фaĩdoov }\end{aligned}$

 the foregoing note.
 It refers to oùdiv cipj̀кaбıv, They have hardly uttered a word that is true.

K 5
 Matth. Gr. §317. The meaning is, "one thing in those per-
 But what astonished me above all in these persons, was the followoing particular one of the host of falsehoods which they told.
 cative indicates that the thing has not been as his accusers would have had it; i.e., that the insinuation has been disregarded by the dicasts. Compare Matthim § 505. obs. As Socrates is here putting himself in his enemies' position, and, indeed, quoting their words, we should naturally expect the optative $\bar{\xi} \xi a \pi a r \eta-$ Ozinte, rather than the subjanctive. Hence Heindorf proposes our correcting accordingly. Bat nothing is commoner than this transition from the direct to the indirect mode of speech; and the use of the subjunctive enables us to realise more vividly the probability which appeared to present itself to the mind of the speaker whose words are quoted. See Matth. § 518.
 tive pronouns and adrerbs, has about the force of the Latin
 is quomodo; $\dot{\delta} \pi \omega \sigma o v ̃ \nu, ~ q u o c u n q u e ~ m o d o . ~ A c c o r d i n g l y, ~ \mu i ́ n ' ~ ' ~$ otrwarioṽ will be ne minime quidem, not in the very least. A little further on occurs' the formula $\varepsilon i \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \quad a \rho a$, about equivalent to the Latin nisi forte, unless perchance; used ironically here, of course.
© ot catà rov́rovs elvaı $\dot{\beta} \boldsymbol{j} \tau \omega \rho$ ] That is, If this be their definition of an orator, I must needs confess that, unlike them, I am an orator, seeing I speak only the truth. The very plansible conjecture of Muretus, that the ov should be omitted, is, therefore, rendered entirely unnecessary. Socrates agrees with his opponents in their (assumed) theory of the duties of an orator, bat not in their practice.
 little or nothing that is true. For the formula here used, compare

 oúdeic. See Matth. Gr. § 487, 8. $\pi \tilde{a} \sigma a \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ must be taken in the concrete sense, the whole matter as it really stands.
 gracefully and elegantly. Accordingly, $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota ~ к e к а \lambda \lambda \iota є \pi \eta \mu є ̀ \nu о \iota ~$

tences and elegant words. For $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu a r a$ and $\delta \nu o ́ \mu a \tau a$ differ in this, that the latter are simply nouns by themselves; the former, nouns or subjects with their predicates. Socrates further adds the expressions кeкo $\sigma \mu \eta \mu^{\dot{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} 0 v_{\varrho}$, i.e., embellished with all the figares of oratory.-cixỹ, at random, i.e., extemporaneously. The idea is more fully carried out in the words immediately subjoined, roins
 sought. Fischer is undoubtedly wrong in supposing that by rd írırvхóvтa $\delta \nu \dot{\rho} \mu a \tau a$, Socrates meant common and trite voords.
 on his side, and therefore feels little anxiety about the precise words he shall make use of, or the form which his speech is to take.-r $\tilde{j} \delta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\eta} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota x i q$, to an old man like me; for the abstract is here as often used for the concrete. Hence the $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \mu \varepsilon є \rho a x i ́ \varphi, ~$ which is immediately subjoined. Socrates was seventy years of age at the time of his trial and death. See a little farther on in this chapter. $\pi \lambda \dot{a}$ áretv $\lambda$ óyov̧̧ is to frame one's words artificially, to speak like a rhetorician. Compare Demosth. de Coron. p. 268.
 rj dıкaбтйpıov eiçı́val. For zís is not simply identical in meaning with rjós. Similarly, in Chap. XIX., we have d̀aßaivav $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \delta \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta o \varsigma, i . e$., ascending the bema to speak before them.
${ }^{1}$ кal $\left.\pi a \rho i \epsilon^{\prime} \mu a \iota\right]$ The verb mapienal is pretty nearly synonymous with $\delta$ ह́opat or тapatroṽ $\mu a<$. Literally, I bring over to myself, or try to do so : hence $I$ beg, or entreat.

 $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \tilde{\omega} \nu$, is manifestly incorrect; for there is no doubt that the rpáteそac referred to were in the dyopd. The cai $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \text { dyopq̃ is }\end{gathered}$ answered to by the cal äd $\lambda 0 \theta$, which follows almost immediately afterwards. The benches of the тоaтє弓irat would be chiefly frequented by the wealthier citizens, to whom Socrates thus appeals for confirmation of his assertions; and the dicasts were probably most of them of this class.
${ }^{n}$ кai äd $\lambda_{0} \theta_{l}$ ] That is, in the workshops of artisans, in the gymnasia, etc. Compare Xen. Mem. I. 1, 10. apht re rà $\boldsymbol{e l}$ l's


 confusion of every kind, as when the dicasts mutter to one another, and speak loud enough to be heard. Mì Oopvßeire is an
established formula of the orators, when they are about to say anything which may be displeasing to their auditors. See Chap. V. in two places.
 ì should be added after $\pi$ reíw. See Matth. Gr. § 455. 4. Serranus translates "more than sixty years old;" so that he appears
 Laertins II. 45, that some alleged Socrates to have been put to death at the age of sixty. But see Crito, Chap. XIV.

 in courts of justice.
${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho$ oùv $\left.\dot{a} \nu, \varepsilon l\right]$ It must not be supposed that in this and like cases, the $\boldsymbol{d} \nu$ is to be referred to the protasis of the sentence. It belongs to the verb-in the apodosis; but by its being placed thus prominently at the beginning of the sentence, the reader is beforehand apprised of its hypothetical character. It is, however, generally repeated with the verb of the apodosis. The passage before us is, therefore, to be understood as if it were


 pavei $\pi a i ̈ s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda$., where $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho a v \varepsilon l$ raic must be explained as





- tv éxeivy rĩ $\phi \omega \nu \tilde{\eta}$-itre日pá $\mu \mu \eta \nu$ ] That is, in my own mother tongue or dialect. Socrates is here referring to the dicat and $\sigma v \mu \beta \bar{\lambda} \omega \omega \nu$, as they were called. See Dict. Antiqq. p. 1081.
 being in apposition with roṽro, and not its proper substantive. See Matthiæ Gr. §470. In the same manner in c. 5. ravti

tav̈rך $\left.\dot{\rho} \rho \varepsilon \tau_{\eta}\right]$ If the article is preserved, the words are to be thus connected: $a 00 \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ (that is, that he see whether the truth be spoken or not) $\delta$ uca $\sigma$ rov $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau t y$; this virtue belongs to a judge, is proper to a judge. If the article is omitted: for this is the virtue of a judge. For when the pronoun is the subject, and the substantive the predicate, the article is omitted.


Matth. §296. A participle is sometimes employed in cases of this kind; see Chap. X., about the middle, karáoŋjoc yi $\gamma$ vovrac


 for the parpose of determining more precisely the meaning of $\pi a ́ \lambda \alpha c$; since $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a t$ is notalways used of time long since past, but often also of a short space of time, of years, months, days, \&c. The Latin dudum and jamdudum are used in the same manner. The words are to be thus connected: rai $\pi$ à $\lambda a c \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \hat{\eta} \delta \eta$ हैं $\eta$
 have been many accusers of me before you, who, though they have accused me for a long time past,-now many years,-have not brought forward a word of truth.

- if rovs d $\mu \phi i^{\text {" }}$ A $\left.\nu u r o v\right]$ That is, Anytus and his associates, Meletas and Lycon. See Matth. § 272. Anytus, in particalar, is mentioned, because he was the most formidable and bitter enemy of Socrates: he had acquired great popularity by his conduct during the time of the Thirty Tyrants. For some further particulars respecting him, see Chap. X., note i.
 accueations which Aristophanes and the other comic poets, as Enpolis, \&c., had brought against him. See Chap. III.
 same signification as фpoyti乡wy, takes the accusative. With regard to the charge which is here positively denied, see also Aristoph. Nubes, FV. 100, 189, etc. Xenophon tells us that Socrates discountenanced the parsuit of the study of astronomy, deeming it of no practical utility. "And yet," he adds, "he was not uninformed in relation to these matters" (culcot ovedz roér $\omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \eta \dot{\eta} r o o s \dot{\eta} \nu \nu)$. It would seem, therefore, that he must in his earlier days have paid some attention to this and kindred subjects; and, indeed, Xenophon tells us in the same chapter (Mem.IV. 7.3), that he was possessed of a knowledge of geometry. The physical speculations in the Phædo, Chap. 58 and following, must be ascribed to Plato, and perhaps, also, the reference to Anaxagoras's lecture (ib. c.46).
 p. 99 foll. Cicero in Bratus, c. 8. docere, quemadmodum cause inferior dicendo fieri superior possit. Gell. N. A. V. c. 3. docere, quanam verborum industria causa infirmior fiat fortior.
 ought to read: ol $\tau a v i r \eta \nu \tau . \varphi . \kappa$. But there is no need of the article, since the participle is used not to define the class, but to express the reason why that class of accusers was most dangerous to Socrates. "Those persons," he says," because they have spread abroad that report, are formidable and dangerous accusers."
 are gods.
 you too, at the age when you were above all likely to lend an ear to them?
 the absence of the accused, who fails to appear in court. See Dict. Antiq., p. 404. The defendant was then said eic rì cvpiav
 is to accuse an absent defendant, when he has forfeited his recognisance.
 $\beta$ àllovtes. A little farther on follows ol $\delta_{s}$, as if ol $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ had been inserted after 8 ooc $\delta \delta$.
$m$ dтopótaroi eifl] The most impracticable, that is, such as are the hardest of all to convince and expose.
 to ascend, to produce amy one, that is, on account of another, or by the order of another, or for the advantage of another. ava$\beta_{\iota} \beta{ }_{c} \zeta_{\varepsilon \sigma \theta a c}$ is to do the same thing on one's own account, and for one's own purpose. It is therefore obvious why Plato used the middle voice. In the following words, oxcauaxहiv amo入oyov$\mu \varepsilon y o v$ are in immediate connection, and form one compound idea, so that $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$ is correctly subjoined to them; and the corre-



 The word $d \xi$ юoṽv has been ably illustrated by Buttmann, Demosth. in Midism, p. 165.
 used to signify that the writer or speaker does not wish to say more on what has preceded, but to pass to other things. Sometimes, also, it simply indicates a transition, as in Chap. III.
 this prejudice against me, as in Chap. X., line 9 from end. For סiaßody means prejudice, suspicion, produced by false accusations.
 crates, in removing this ill opinion of the judges concerning himself, consulted his own advantage, and did himself a service, it is easy to see why Plato wrote $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi \in \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a u$, not $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \in \lambda \varepsilon \pi \nu$. In the
 sentence, which lies partly in the pronoun rav́rŋv, partly in the
 $\chi \rho \delta \nu ч$. Compare c. X .
 accurately defined by the following words: cal $\pi \lambda$ íov $\tau i \mu \varepsilon$ тoıทัбat amo入oyov́ $\mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \nu$, that I might do something more, that is, not only divest you of your bad opinion of me, but inspire you with a favourable one. On the formula ä atıvóv $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau t \nu$, see observations on Crito, c. XVI., note (d).

 For it is correct to say, $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ y \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu:$ also to say, $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a l$ rıva: and hence, by the union of both constructions, has arisen
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho a \phi \eta$, inasmuch as his alleged offence was not one that affected any individual in particular. A private suit is properly called diky.
 ment, like the information of accusers properly so called, ought to be recited. 'Avtwhooia is properly the oath, either of the plaintiff, when he swears that he brings the accusation for just causes and without calumny; or of the accused, when he swears that he is innocent. Further, this term is applied to the written formula of accusation, which is given in to the judge by the plaintiff: in which signification it is also found in Chap. XL.
 minutely, to bestow too much attention on anything. Hence it signifies, as in this passage, to attend to those things which do not in any way belong to you; to trouble yourself about frivolous, vain, and useless things.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\ell \ell \nu} \tau \tilde{\eta}$ 'Aptoroфávovs $\left.\kappa \omega \mu \mu \delta i \not \subset\right]$ The first edition of the Clouds appears to have been represented in the year 423 b.c. In the extant play, Socrates is represented as a foolish speculator
in calestial phenomena, who is borne about suspended in a basket, and who, when questioned by one Strepsiades as to his occupation in that elevated region, replies, depoßarĩ rai $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota ф \rho o \nu \tilde{\omega}$ ròv jhcov. It has been too hastily concluded, that the odium excited against Socrates was to be ascribed to the impressions produced by this comedy. Twenty-four years had now elapsed since its performance, and even supposing it to have been the aim of the poet to expose the philosopher to ridicule, it was but very partially obtained; for the Clouds obtained but the third place at its first representation, and does not appear to have been any more successful at the second. Indeed, it would seem to be altogether erroneous to regard the comedy as in any sense an onslaught apon Socrates personally. The fact would seem to be, that the acquaintance which the comic poet had with the character and tenets of Socrates was supericial, and formed at second hand. Aristophanes was a man whose sympathies lay strongly with the sturdy morals and politics of the Athenians of an earlier time - "the men of Marathon," as he delighted to designate them; and he regarded the apeculators in nature and ethics, whose lectures formed the great attraction of the young in his day, as the class to whose influence was mainly traceable the degeneracy of his own time. He seized, with a bold hand, upon the salient features of the teaching of these men; and, with the freedom of a popular poet, cared more for the pangency of his illustrations than for their applicability in every particular to the precise individuals whom he singled out as the scapegoats of his aatire. Moreover, the intimacy which existed between Socrates and Euripides, the freedom of whose physical and theological speculations was notorious, gave Aristophanes a handle to work with, by means of which be could lend a double efficacy to his representations. It is possible that the two men learned to understand each other better in the course of time; at any rate, the subsequent plays of Aristophanes contain no further ridicule of Socretes, though, doubtless, opportunities for it would have been found or made if they had been desired.
- $\left.\dot{\omega} y \_\pi i p_{1}\right]$ Remark the preposition $\pi \in \rho i$ removed a good distance from its noun. The word $\begin{aligned} & \text { raitety is constructed either }\end{aligned}$ with a simple genitive, or with the preposition repl and a genitive.
 cally in this sense: Nor do I say this with any intention of casting
a reflection upon such science as this, if there be any that are wise in such matters: no, indeed; may I never be prosecuted by Meletws on $s 0$ grievous a charge! The form סírny фev́ytv is to be accused, to be prosecuted, and is opposed to the word dourcav, which signifies to accuse. And since $\phi \varepsilon v^{\gamma} \xi \iota \nu$ is the same as $\delta$ oúceodat, it is easy to see why it should be construed as a passive. Compare note [ ${ }^{2}$ ], Chap. I. The ellipsis $d \lambda \lambda d \boldsymbol{\gamma d j}$ may be filled up something as follows: d $\lambda^{\prime}$ ' kкeivo obx ovirws 'xte' (i.e., the so-called
 нíreoth
c kai $\dot{d} \xi_{1}\left(\dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \tilde{a}_{\mathcal{S}}-\right]$ That is, I request that you yourselves would explain to one another.
 фрáदetv к.т. $\lambda$.
 ceeds to another accusation made against him by his adversaries, that for his instruction he exacted money from his pupils. See Aristoph. Nub. v. 98. oüre $\gamma \in$ is properly followed by oidzt, since the latter clause is emphatic. It is as if he had said: No, nor has this charge any truth about it either.
 viac $\pi \rho a \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta a t$, to lay a charge on one's company, in Xenoph. Mem. I. 2.60., in which passage Xenophon bears witness that Socrates never received any remuneration from his pupils.
 irony, in order to stigmatise the avarice of the Sophists. Yet there have been some persons who have supposed that it was said seriously.
d eil rı乌 olós $\tau^{\prime}$ eit $]$ On this construction, Matth. §. 524. Obs. 3. There is a peculiar appropriateness and refinement in this transition to the purely hypothetical form.-Gorgias, the Sophist, disciple of Empedocles and preceptor of Isocrates, was born at Leoutini, a town in Sicily, about $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ b.c. He did much to raise the study of rhetoric by his discoveries; according to Suidas, he was the first to reduce it to the form of a science. His eloquence in extemporaneous speaking procured for him signal honours from all Greece, and particularly from Athens, where he resided for many years He is said to have followed the example of Protagoras, in exacting a hundred mine from each of his pupils, See especially the dialogue of Plato, inscribed Gorgias. Prodicus [flourished about b.c. 435] was a native of Ceos, one of
the Cyclades. He bestowed much labour on distinguishing and explaining the signification of words. As his authority for refusing to teach any gratis, he is said to have been ever ready
 кai $\lambda a \beta_{6}^{\prime}$ rı. Hippias was a native of Elis, a city in the Peloponnesus; Cicero has given some particulars concerning him in the De Orat. III. c. 32. and Brut. c. 8. Compare also Plato's dialogue inscribed with the name of Hippias.
- rov́rovg $\pi$ rí'Ooval] These words afford a remarkable instance of àako入ov ${ }^{\prime}$ ía. For as olós $\tau^{\prime}$ zerriv goes before, an infinitive ought now to follow. But $\pi$ ei ${ }^{\prime}$ ovat is used as if the writer forgot
 refer to learning and instruction, as is frequently the case: whence disciples are often called oi g̀vóvтєs.
 The subsequent words, öv $\varepsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \delta \dot{\mu} \eta \nu \quad$ l $\pi t \delta \eta \mu \circ \tilde{\nu} \nu \tau a$, are to be anderstood thus: whom I once understood to be staying in our city. Socrates means that he had not seen Evenus himself, but had heard from Callias what he is about to say of him.
 Sophists than any single individual of the whole class who attend their lessons. The old reading tete $\lambda$ ékec is bad, being altogether opposed to the construction of the sentence.
 that he was called, according to Plutarch, simply $\dot{\boldsymbol{j}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma$ og. It is evident, from many passages, that the Sophists found in him a very profitable customer. See Protagor, p. 314. B.C. Hipp. Maj. p. 218. B. Xenoph. Sympos. 1. 5. An account of this wealthy family is given in Boeckh's 'Public Economy of Athens,' Vol. II. p. 242. foll. (Eng. Trans.)
$\left.{ }^{1} \mu \cdot \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l\right] \quad \mu \sigma \theta o v ̃ \nu$ to let or hire to another, = locare; $\mu เ \sigma \theta o v ̃ \sigma \theta a i ~ t o ~ p r o c u r e ~ s e r v i c e s ~ f o r ~ h i r e, ~=~ c o n d u c e r e, ~ r e d i m e r e . ~$
$\left.{ }^{1} \pi^{\ell} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu\right]$ An Attic mina consisted of 100 Attic drachmæ. Evenus, therefore, demanded a comparatively trifling remuneration for his wisdom, since it is recorded that Protagoras, Gorgias, and others, received 100 minæ.
 §529.5. Socrates has recourse to the oratio recta, in order to repeat the very words in which he congratulated Callias. Such a transition is often made when the writer wishes to set a thing more vividly before the minds of his readers. The words cai
ovirws ${ }^{3} \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \omega \bar{c}$ seem to have a double meaning，referring，on the pne hand，to the propriety and elegance of the lessons given by Evenus；and，on the other，to the moderate price，rĩv $\pi \in \nu \tau$ $\mu \nu \omega i v$ ．For $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{i c}$ is said of anything which does not depart from a proper modium．It is a metaphor taken from musicians who keep tune and time in playing or singing．There is a re－ finement of banter in these words，which is far more telling than if Socrates had said without diaguise，oṽrws zi̇te入 wis，so cheaply．

 note［1］．


 nothing out of the common way．A little further，tretra is intro－ duced after the participle，a usage of frequent occurrence，on which，see Buttm．Gr．Gr．§ 131．not．6．and § 136．The words
 tition of the notion contained in the foregoing clause，oud̀̀ rüv $d \lambda \lambda_{\omega \nu}$ к．r．$\lambda_{\text {．；}}$ but they serve to bring out the meaning with greater emphasis．Such phrases，added per epexegesin，are of frequent occurrence in Greek classical authors．
 of those who say or do anything suddenly and on the impulse of the moment，is here applied to judges who form a hasty decision．
 the fame of Socrates for wisdom，as is said a little further on，
 and aceusations of his adversaries．Muretus compares the phrase токะัข öขо $\mu \boldsymbol{a}$ with the Latin＇famam conficere．＇


 similar examples have been collected．See Jacobs ad Athen．， p． 271.
＇$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega \omega$ rıv⿳亠 woisdom than falls to the lot of man．Compare Matth．Gr．Gr． $\S$ 449．The words $\dagger$ ouk ${ }^{\prime} \chi \omega$ ，ri $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ are said ironically：the Sophists have either divine visdom，or nome．

calumniating me. For $\ell \pi i$ indicates an object aimed at. Compare Matth. Gr. Gr, §585. For this use of the possessive pronoun instead of the personal, compare Homer. Odyss. XI. 202.

 goodwill towards you. See Matth. § 466. 2. Compare Sallust Jug. c. 14. Vos in mea injuria despecti estis. And likewise Livy, II. 1. has used regium metum for metum regis; and III. 16. terrorem servilem for terrorem servorum.
 deprecates the odium which he was in danger of exciting against himself, by declaring that the words he is about to quote are those of :he Delphian god.
$\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{d} \xi{ }^{\prime} \delta^{2} \boldsymbol{x} \rho \epsilon \omega \nu\right]$ Which is properly said of one who is solvent, and, therefore, worthy to have money intrusted to him. In the same manner locuples in Latin is used of a witness worthy of



 oila bariv.
${ }^{1}$ Xaı $\left.£ 申 \omega ̃ \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \mathrm{~d} \rho-\right]$ Chærephon's energetic and enthusiastic character is caricatured by Aristoph. Nubes v. 104. v. 501 sq., upon which passages see the remarks of the scholiast. See, too, Xenoph. Memorab. II. 3. Plat. Gorg. 447.
 flight of the Athenians in the time of the Thirty Tyrants. The words кarít $\nu a 1, \kappa a r \ell \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ are very often used in speaking of those who return to their native country from exile. See Aristoph. Ran. 1274; Herod. III. 45. and Porson on Eurip. Med. 1011.
 quest an oracle to be delivered to him, that is, to consult, to in-

 i. e., as aforesaid. See Chap. I., note [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ].
- गैрєго $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \dot{\eta}$, $\left.\varepsilon i{ }^{i} \tau \iota c\right]$ Respecting this act of Chærephon, see Xenoph. Apolog. 14. and Laert. II. 37.
$\mathrm{p} \dot{a} \nu \varepsilon \in \mathbb{i} \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ oív $\dot{\eta} \Pi v \theta i a]$ The words of the Pythian priestess
 бофи́тatos. In the Scoliast on Aristoph. Nubes v. 144. they

 them in a slightly different form.

 Chap. VIII, the participle is attracted into the case of the re-
 8548.2.

 And the sentiment may easily be paralleled from other authors.
 oratio obliqua to a direct address: whence also ri, not 8 r , is used. See Matth. § 529.5. and above, c. IV. note (1).
 The sentence may be thus rendered: Now, upon studying the character of the man,-there is no need to mention him by name; but it was one of your slatesmen, men of Athens, with whom I was so impressed;-and upon conversing with him, $I$ was led to the conclusion, \&cc. The usage of the participle in the nominative case with the verb $\boldsymbol{\ell} \delta \delta_{\xi}$, where the strict grammatical construction would require the dative, is not uncommon. It comes under the
 t $\delta 0$ 多 $\mu 0 t$ is naturally equivalent to the English, $I$ was led to the conclusion-I thought to myself. Compare De Legg. III. p. 686.


 -eiog c. 25. Dum hæc ita fierent, rex Iuba, cognitis difficultatibus copiarumque paucitate, non est visum, \&c.

 9. B. $\pi \rho \delta_{\varsigma} \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha v \tau \delta \nu \sigma \kappa o \pi \tilde{\omega}$.
 1.4. Socrates-ita disputat, ut-nihil se scire dicat nisi id ipsum, eoque prestare ceteris, quod illi qum nesciant scire se putent, ipse se nihil scire id unum sciat; ob eamque causam se arbitrari ab Apolline omnium sapientissimum esse dictum, quod hæc esset una hominis sapientia, non arbitrari sese scire quod nesciat. The sense is: I think I am a little wiser than this man, at least in this, that what I am ignorant of, I do not even fancy I know.

L 5

## NOTES ON THE <br>  <br> Though seceing to aiotavópevos and $\lambda$ verovelong not only to

 of hatred, and with sorrow that I was rendinevos. The sense is: yet appeared to mpe ancensive of what might beng myself the object precedence over all a necessity to yield to the claine consequences, it birtóv oùv, ako others. On ro roṽ $\theta_{\text {coü }}$ seains of the god the said and thought oüvrt] Socrates relates see Matth. § 284. co myself (for this is the time spoken of. to the judges what he what is the meanis implied in the precedin must go then, I said oxoroùvrt, which af the oracle, preceding tobiret), to find out to the sentence, and appears in the old edition reading cal lival We have, therefore might easily have editions, gives a feebleness MS., which has preserreed with Bekterisen from a correction. cal lival. preserved the true reading, rejecting it. In one ${ }^{\text {c }}$ kai vij rdv kúva] There are varions ope find in the margin custom of Socrates of swearnge various opinions respecting the Which have been collected by Mery the dog and other animals, that by the Petitus Observatt. Miscell. ${ }^{\text {angius, Laert. II. 40. p. 92. foll. }}$ Ioach. Camerarius to be understood 4. 7. These writers thought was the symbol of Opusc. de R. R. p. 28 demonium of Socrates, nearly answered to thith, and, therefore, th, thought that the dog appear as if some scruple led goose and the But Socrates swears ped idv rivi', $\dot{\sim}$ the gods themsel to avoid the oak; and it would тáxa $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon i \tau_{l}$ тov̀s

 added without connective the purpose Those sentevo cai ai äldal rtðval, $\dot{\omega}$ rive particles of explanationces, which










that after much trouble on his part, he even confirmed its truth so completely, that it w::s àvècycros, that is, incapable of being convicted of trror. Tile xai before àvè $\lambda$ हүктos renders it emphatic: that the oracle might positively be, after all, irrefutable.
 peared to have been composed by them with most care. The imperfect $\delta \iota \eta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ joined with $\alpha \nu$, denotes the repetition of the action. See Matth. §599. 1.
 Pretty nearly every one of thase who were present at these interviews could speak more to the point on the subjects of these poems than the poets themselves. Wolf understands oi aapóvtes of those to whom Socrates was now addressing himself; but if this were the meaning, we should rather have $\lambda_{\text {é }}$ ousv. The imperfect with $\alpha_{\nu}$, as in the foregoing instance, indicates an action often repeated.







 Matth. § 349. 1. Compare § 549. 4. and § 536. - ג oujx च̄ $\sigma a \nu$, that is, $\sigma o \phi o$ i.
VIII. ${ }^{2}$ тov́vov $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oủc $\left.\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \psi \varepsilon \in \dot{v} \sigma \theta \eta \nu\right]$ See Matth. § 338.


 p. 64. C. $\sigma \kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \neq \mathfrak{l}$ t $\mu$ oi.
 a part in the management of the affairs of the state. At the time of Socrates, many who had attained to wealth by their trade or business, were aspiring to the direction of public affairs. The absurd ambition of such persons is often made a subject of ridicule by Plato and Xenophon, as well as by the comic poets.
 of these men obscured their real knowledge.

- ${ }^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau a ̃ \nu$ vixto $\left.\tau 0 \tilde{v} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu 0 \tilde{v}\right]$ That is, on behalf of the
oracle. Further on, the word $\delta \epsilon \chi$ हo日al signifies to prefer, to choose, as often elsewhere.
 roфiav and á $\mu a \theta i a \nu$.
IX. acal olat $\chi^{\boldsymbol{a} \lambda e \pi \dot{\omega} \tau a r a t] ~ T h a t ~ i s, ~ b y ~ f a r ~ t h e ~ m o s t ~ g r i e v o u s ~}$ and severe. For before olat is to be understood rotaṽral. Xenoph.


 elval are added by way of explanation to the preceding. The usual construction would require $\sigma 0 \phi o ̀ \nu$ elvaı; Stallbaum resolves the anacolathia by remarking that Plato writes as if $\dot{\boldsymbol{a} \pi \varepsilon} \chi \theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathbf{s}$
 к. r. $\lambda$. Perhaps, however, the nominative is rather used absolutely. It is usual to put elvai after a verb of naming; see

 seem to be, that it is the Deity alone who is really wise. With respect to the rò $\delta \hat{\xi}$, compare Rep. I. p. 340. C. $\lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \omega \mu \in \nu \tau \tilde{\Psi} \tilde{\rho} \eta \dot{\eta}-$





 ou $\delta \in 亢$ к.т. $\lambda$., on which see Heindorf. For $\tau \delta \delta \varepsilon$ the faller expression rd $\delta t d \lambda \eta \theta_{t}^{\prime}$ is sometimes given. Rep. IV. p. 443. D.

 riyove. From the above passages, it is easy to arrive at a perception of the precise force of the formula: the $\delta \varepsilon$ indicates the contrast between the view now to be stated and some other which has been previously advanced.
 rects and heightens the force of $\delta \lambda i$ íov $\tau i \nu \delta_{g}$, in this sense: human wisdom is of little value-I should rather say, of no value at all. In the same way $\mu$ uxpad cai obdiv in Demosth. p. 790. 20. and p. 260. 26. ed. Reisk. So, too, Theæt. 173. E. тav̈ra пávra
 exactly the same manner. See Matth. on Cicer. II. Catil. XII. 27. Manil. XVIII. 54.
 appears not to say this of Socrates. The pronoun toṽтo refers to what has gone before rd $\sigma 0 \phi \delta \nu$ elval. This sentence furnishes a good example of what is called the accusativus de quo, or schema
 $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ тıvós тı. Compare, too, Crito Chap. VIII. фроутıaréov, ri




 $\hat{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon i$, see Chap. I. note (r). In this passage, the complete sen-
 little doubt that Stephens, Heindorf, and Bekker have done right in inserting $\varepsilon i$ after $\hat{a} \nu$, although it is not found in the MSS.
$\varepsilon$ кai $\left.\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa a i>\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \xi_{\xi}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu\right]$. These genitives depend on the following $\tau \iota \nu$ á.
 vindicate the honour of the god, I demonstrate the fact that he is not a wise man. The middle voice implies that he does this for his own satisfaction. Compare, also, c. XVII. note ( ${ }^{( }$), where the same word occurs. The word $\dot{a} \sigma \chi 0 \lambda i a$, Thom. Mag., interprets: $\dot{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \rho i \quad \tau t \dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$, that is, attention bestowed on anything.
 the same manner as Lat. paupertas from egestas. Accordingly, $\pi \varepsilon v i a$ is applied to artisans and other men of that description, who, by the labour of their hands, just earn enough to support life; but $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ zia to beggars. See Aristoph. Plut. v. 552, sqq. and the commentators on the passage. $\mu v \operatorname{pin}^{\alpha} \pi \in \nu i a$ is extreme poverty : an expression which has been illustrated by Valckenaer on Phooniss. v. 1480. The poverty of Socrates is spoken of by himself, in Xenoph. ©iconom. II. 3., where he says that he would sell his house and all his other property for five Attic minæ. Whence he was also commonly called $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, as we learn from Xenoph. ©con. II, 3.
 with a view to indicating more clearly the source of the odium that had been excited against him. Some commentators have, without sufficient reason, supposed the words to be a gloss. Compare Protagor. p. 328. C. каi таи̃та $\mu$ á入ıaта тоьоṽбıข oi
$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta^{\delta} v \nu a ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o \iota$ (i.e., take care that their sons should be in-


 finite verb. See Theettet. p.151. C. Eathyd. p. 295. C. D. Phædr. 63. C., in which passage it signifies then, in the sense of afterwards. The meaning in this passage is a little different, as elra is here equivalent to kai tóre, and then, in so doing. It is used in the same manner, Cratyl. p.411. B., where Heindorf, besides this passage, compares Rep. p.336. B.

 For $\bar{\eta}$ used in this manner, signifies or rather; a meaning
 dıa申́povar.
${ }^{\text {d }} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' ò $\chi \chi$ à̇roĩs] Said with bitter irong. They are enraged, he says, with me, when they ought rather to be angry with themselves, for allowing themselves to be refuted by those lads. The common reading oùr aùroĩs, has much less of ironical elegance, and had such been the meaning of the writer, we should probably have had oüx excivots.
 סidáok $\omega \nu$, which must be repeated at the end of the sentence.
 Chap. II. note (a).
 This is a metaphor taken from soldiers arrayed in line of battle; who are said to attack the enemy $\xi v \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \varepsilon \quad \nu \omega \mathrm{~s}$, when they assault them in regular line. The calumniators of Socrates are
 calumnies, as it were, in regular array; that is, in such a manner that it appeared they had come to an agreement among themselves as to the best and most efficacious mode of calumniating. $\pi เ \theta a \nu \omega ̃ \mathrm{~s}$, that is, in a manner adapted to persuade.


 the cause of Socrates, by a regular form of accusation, before the Archon ( $\beta a \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \lambda v_{\mathrm{S}}$ ), as appears from Euthyphr. p. 2. B. and other passages, was a tragic poet, though not very celebrated or suc-
cessful in his art. Euripides is ridiculed by Aristophanes, Ran. 1337, for introducing fragments of his scolia into the lyrical parts of his dramas. At the time he accused Socrates he was quite a youth, but puffed up with pride and arrogance, as may be understood from Enthyphr. p. 2. B. C. Meletus is said to have been one of the Five who, by order of the Thirty Tyrants, brought Leon of Salamis to Athens; a proceeding which Socrates regarded as in the highest degree unjust, and which he accordingly reprobated in the strongest terms. See Chap. XX., where reference is made to this matter. Socrates' bold and manly bearing on this occasion doubtless tended to whet the animosity of Meletus against him, as the obsequions conduct of the latter was by it set in a still more unfavourable light than it would otherwise have been.

Anytus, son of Anthemion, a tanner, was by far the most powerful and inveterate of the accusers of Socrates, so that Horace, Satir. II. 4., not without reason, called Socrates Anyti reum. Being a man of great wealth and political influence, and opposed to the aristocratical party, he was exiled by the Thirty Tyrants; he returned to Athens with Thrasybulus, after holding the rank of general at Phyle. His influence was greatly increased by the part which he took in the revolution; he attained to the highest offices of state, and his head seems to have been turned by the dignities heaped apon him. Plato introduces him in his dialogue entitled Menon, and represents him as retiring from the company when unable to maintain his argument, and threatening Socrates with a more substantial revenge for his thus worsting him in the fleld of dialectics (Men. 92-94. E). Xenophon and others have advanced other and more probable reasons for his animosity. The disreputable character of Anytus has been exposed by various writers: see Aristotle ap. Harpocr. s. v. $\delta \varepsilon$ $\kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \iota \iota$, etc.

Lrco was one of those professional orators who exercised so extensive and so prejudicial an influence upon the popular mind by means of their harangues in the public assemblies. There seems to be no reason for believing him to have been one of the ten official $\sigma v v \dot{\eta} \gamma o \rho o t$, or counsel for prosecution. The contempt which Socrates felt, and which he would not hesitate to express, for unprincipled aspirants to statesmanship like Anytus and Lyco, no doubt served to provoke them to the present attack.


These are the facts which I promised to lay before you ; referring

 things are true.
 one's self, to depart privately: hence to dissimulate, as in this passage.
 ously add the article. For aivirn is the subject: Let this be sufficient defence. It must be noted, that whenever the demonstrative pronoun is attached to a noun without the article, it is to be regarded as the subject, the noun being the predicate: oưroc $\delta$ divìp, or ó dंvì oùros, this man; but oùros ávíp, this is a man. Compare Chap. I note ( ${ }^{( }$).

 фдіо́татр!s,' 'Artuóv. Both are used in Attic Greek; see Ducker on Thucyd. VI. 92., фגえóтarpıs means a lover of Greece; but

 that after having disposed of the charges of his former accusers, be is now going to refute those of the others; and that he wishes their bill of indictment likewise to be read, as he had read the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \mu \mathbf{o z i a}$ of the others, Chap. III. avivıs aṽ, Again then-let us now on the other hand lake the indictment of these.

 itself. Socrates intimates that he is not going to give the exact words, but only the substance of the indictment against him. The form of the accusation was still extant at Athens in the time of Phavorinus, in the second century, in $\mu \eta r \rho \mathcal{q}^{\prime} \psi$, that is, in the temple of the mother of the gods, in which, says Diog. Laert. II.





 $\phi \theta \varepsilon i p \omega \nu$. тíл $\eta \mu$ बávaтos." The accusation, which is here put first, is there mentioned in the second place.

from रapistc，witty，pleasant，is properly to joke or banter in a pleasant and witty manner，in the same sense as єúrpanє入єv́єбӨa।； hence，absolutely，to joke，to sport，as here and c．XIV．Accord－
 earnest．For Meletus，in casting such an unfounded imputation on Socrates，and pretending that he himself cared for the educa－ tion of youth，appeared $\chi a \rho \iota \varepsilon \nu \tau i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，that is，to sport and joke ； but，because he accused Socrates of corrupting youth，and pro－ secuted that accusation seriously and in good earnest，he is said $\sigma \pi o v \delta \tilde{\eta}$ харєєขтi弓eoral．The phrase is an instance of what the grammarians call oxymoron．Further on，$\dot{\rho} q \delta^{\prime} i \omega s$, lightly，incon－ siderately．हị áyüva raӨıбтáva，means to accuse．See Eu－ thyphr．c． 3.



b＂A入1o $\pi \iota \pi \varepsilon \rho i \pi$ ．$\pi$ ．］That is，Do you not deem it of great importance，that our young should turn out in the highest degree
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho$＇o $\dot{\delta}=$ nonne．The ellipsis may be supplied as follows：$\tilde{a}^{\prime} \lambda 0$
 regard it，etc．See Hermann．on Viger．p．730．n．110．On ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{ws}$ used with a future，see Herm．on Viger，p．851．Euthyphr．p． 2.
 8 rt ápıotol．Dawes seems to have been the first to point out the uniformity of this usage．
 § 132．6．obs．7．
 magistrate，when it signifies to permit an accuser to indict a person on some law，to grant permission to bring an action；or of the pro－ secutor，when it means to bring into court，to accuse，as here．In both significations，either zís duxaotúpıov，as c．17．，$\mu \varepsilon$ eiçáyou tıs sis ducaoríptov，or something of the kind is understood．In this passage the word rovtotol is added，and supplies the place of that expression．See Meier and Schoemann＇Der Attische Process，＇ p． 709 ．not． 19.
 used in derision．Compare Aristoph．Nubes v．662．669．Vesp． v．393．Pac．v．330．Ranæ，v．1136．1245．Eurip．El．v．1121．The whole of the following passage is expressed rather in the

Socratic style of argument, than in that of a speech in a court of justice.
 Xenoph. Mem. I. 5, 5 ; III. 10.9; III. 11,5; and elsewhere.

 on C. XX. There were two senates at Athens: the Areopagus,
 $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \nu \tau a \kappa 0 \sigma i \omega \nu$, instituted by Solon. There can be no doubt that the latter body is here intended. On the words ' $A \lambda \lambda$ ' ápa



 assemblies until he had attained the age of at least 18. See Schœmann 'De Comitiis Atheniensium,' p. 76 aq. Hence it is obvious that oi viot and עswirtpoc were young men under 18.
 by Matthime § 378. Compare Alsch. adv. Ctesiph. § 12. ric div
 $\delta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{0}$;
 must understand $\delta$ ocoṽon from the preceding clause. These words contain the explanation of the foregoing ov̈rw $\delta o \kappa \varepsilon i ̆ ~ \sigma o \iota ~$ " $\chi \iota \iota \nu$, and therefore are added without connective particles.



mááv $\tau \varepsilon-0 \dot{\sim} \phi \tilde{\eta} \tau \epsilon]$ Grammarians commonly say that after
 and not ov ought to be used. We may, however, correctly say si ot, when of is so closely joined in signification with the verb, as in reality to form with it only a single idea, as Hermann says, on Viger, p.833. And this is the case in the form of фd́pat, which, from its literal signification, to say no, becomes equivalent to to deny. When it retains this meaning, of фáva, and not $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ $\phi a ́ v a l$, is always used, although preceded by conditional particles; the ov qualifying not the $\phi$ ával, bat the suppressed predicate.




 §524. 1., and on a similar form of the Latin writers, Heindorf Horat. Satir. II. 3. 154. Socrates speaks on the supposition, that what Meletus had before affirmed, was true. Our own language is not sufficiently flexible to admit of so abrupt a transition from the hypothetical to the positive. We may, however, render with sufficient fidelity: Lucky indeed must it be for our young people, if only a single individnal corrupts them.
 Hermann on Sophocl. Philoctet. v. 1373. Hesychius: ' 0 'rã̀'

 time being nearest to them. Compare Buttmann, § 137.
 the law to which Socrates here refers, are found in Demosth. $c$. Steph. orat. II. p. 1131. Nópos. roïy ávridicon l̃ávayees elvae

${ }^{d}$ minucoig $\left.\delta \in \epsilon_{\nu}\right]$ For Meletus was a mere youth. See c. X. note (1). Compare c. XIV.

- Eiç rovoürov djealiac \%rew] On this construction see Matth. § 341. Compare, to0, § 504. 1. 2.
 nsual ellipsis after oipau $\delta \boldsymbol{z}$ cai. Enthyphro p. 3. E. dudd óv TE катd ขoṽv d́y
 understood. For it is not correct to say rav́co日ai th. Heindorf conjectures that moiny ought to be restored to the text.


b $\hat{\eta} \delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o v \delta \eta \eta, 8 r i-]$ Here $\bar{\eta}$ is put as it were to correct what he bas before said. The sense is this: Or, are my question and your answer wnnecessary? and is it evident, etc.
 vewrépous.
d ov่ rav̈ra $\lambda^{\prime} \hat{y}^{\prime}$ cuc] We are to connect raüra with the parti-

 depends upon $\lambda$ óyos; nor is there any necessity whatever for supplying repi, as some hare done. For as we can say not only

struction some remarks have been made on c. IX. note (e) ), we may also correctly say both $\lambda$ óyos $\pi \in \rho i ́ t i v o s$ and $\lambda o ́ y o s ~ \tau ו \nu o ́ s . ~$ Hence, resolving $\lambda$ ofoc into a verb, we might have, in the present instance, ov̀s $\nu \tilde{v} \nu \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \gamma o \mu \varepsilon \nu$, as well as $\pi \varepsilon \rho i{ }_{\omega} \nu \nu \nu v ̃ \nu \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \gamma o \mu \varepsilon \nu$; and, indeed, the former would be more appropriate than the latter. The same construction is found in Charmid. p. 156. A. ov $\gamma$ á $\rho i t$ бov díyos $\lambda$ óyos lotiv. Demosth. de Cor. p. 281. ed. R. tov̀s $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ a u ̀ \tau \omega ̃ \nu, ~ i . ~ e . ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho i ~ a u ̀ t \tilde{\nu} \nu$. Eurip. Med. v. 541. oủk à $\nu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ $\lambda$ óros $\sigma \in \theta \varepsilon \nu$, i.e. $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ бoṽ. Sophocl. Antig. v. 11. $\mu \tilde{\theta} \theta o \varsigma ~ \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$, i.e. $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$.






ह iva ri taṽra $\lambda_{\hat{\varepsilon} v e i g] ~ i v a ~ r i, ~ a s ~ H e r m a n n ~ r e m a r k s ~(a d ~}^{\text {a }}$ Viger, p. 849), involves an ellipsis: the full construction in the pre-

 from what has gone before ov $\nu o \mu i \zeta \varepsilon \iota ~ \theta \varepsilon o v i g . ~ F o r ~ \mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta i a$ is not a negation by itself, since with vai preceding it, it can be used even in affirmative propositions. Matth. \& 605. We have often to supply the negation from the preceding part of the sentence. See Viger, p. 450.

1'Avă̧ayópov oîє一] Anaxagoras of Clazomenæ, according
 some understood to be an ignited mass of iron, others of stone, as Socrates himself, in Xenoph. Mem. IV. 7. 7., where he endeavours to refute this opinion of Anaxagoras. The same philosopher maintained that the moon had upon its surface hills, ravines, and dwelling-places; that is to say, was perfectly analogous to the earth. Meletus attributed these opinions to Socrates, because Socrates had received instruction from Archelaus, who had been a disciple of Anaxagoras. Anaxagoras was born b.c. 500 , and died b.c. 428. In the clause $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ oúr $\varepsilon$ idéval, Stallbaum accounts for the use of oí rather than $\mu \dot{\eta}$, by making oúx eidévas equivalent to dyvoiv. The two words, he urges, combine to form one idea. Compare c. XII. note ( ${ }^{m}$ ). But Socrates clearly uses oúr in the present case, because he intends to represent it as a fact that Meletus was thus ignorant. He might have said,

 learn these things from me. Socrates ironically repeats the words which he supposes to proceed from Meletus. Heindorf, by taking away the comma before cail $\delta \dot{\eta}$ rai, makes these words depend on the preceding öru, a construction which appears somewhat forced.
 words, that the books containing these opinions of Anaxagoras might be purchased for a drachma from the orchestra. But Forster rightly remarks that we never read of books being exposed for sale in the orchestra.-Originally no sum was charged for admission to the theatres; but crowds and tumalts having arisen from the concourse of many persons, the custom of letting
 the first instance, it is said, by Pericles. The seats were let by the farmers or lessees of the theatres, who were called $\theta_{\text {earpeivat, }}$
 23. Vol. I. Compare Casaubon on Theophrast. Char. 2.; and two oboli was the general price paid by each person, according to Demosthenes in the passage referred to; sometimes it rose as high as a drachma, according to Casaubon. Compare Boeckh ' On the Public Economy of Athens,' p. 219 foll. Engl. Transl. ed. 2. And since, according to Harpocration and Suidas, under the word $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \iota x a ́$, a drachma was the greatest sum that could ever be demanded by the lessee, it is evident why Socrates said
 these doctrines of the philosopher be learned in the theatre? The answer to this question is found in the fact that the dramatic poets often introduced the opinions of the philosophers into their plays; either to praise them, as Euripides, who frequently alluded in his tragedies to the opinions of Anaxagoras, or to condemn and ridicule them, which we know to have been done by Aristophanes. That Socrates principally alludes to Euripides in this passage, appears from the circumstance that he was the first who introduced on the stage the doctrine of Anaxagoras concerning the sun and moon. See Valcken., Diatribe in Frag. Euripid. p. 31, and Porson on Eurip. Orest. v. 971. The sense of the whole passage is this: Meletus declares that I affirm the sun to be a stone, and the moon, earth. But surely the judges know that this is the doctrine of Anaxagoras; and if I were to pretend that I introduced this opinion, the young men would have it in their M 5
power to discover, even from the works of the dramatic poets, my vanity in appropriating it to myself, and would justly ridicule me.
m кai vєótntı] He alludes to the youth of Meletas. See c. XIII.
 preted by Ficinus: videtur enim ceu anigma quoddam componere, tentans, an Socrates, gc. There is no need of cai, which is commonly inserted before $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varphi$. Compare Gorg. p. 479.
 oúd $\varepsilon \mu i a \nu$ didóvta, where kal is commonly inserted after adtкойขта.
 by Matthiæ §349. obs. 2.- $\delta$ oo申òs $\delta \dot{\eta}$, that wise man forsooth, said ironically.
XV. - ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mathrm{ot}$ фaiverat] That is, in what light that which he has said appears to me; lit. in what manner he appears to me to have said what he has said. For Socrates goes on to show that Meletus is so inconsistent as both to deny and to affirm that Socrates believes in the existence of gods.
 in Plato appears to be an adjective, as Cicero understood it, de Divinat. I. 54. Esse divinum quiddam, quod dxmonion appellat, cui semper pareat. See Schleierm. on this passage; Part I. Vol. II. p. 432 foll. Schneid. on Xenoph. Memor. I. 1, 2. Narey in the Classical Journal for 1817. No. XXX. p. 105. Socrates appears to refer to a kind of divine voice within the soul of man, which taught him to distinguish between what was auspicious and what inauspicions, what was good and what evil. Compare Phed. p. 242. B.
 me by giving me an answer at length t Casaubon has observed on Pers. Sat. I. v. 112. that $\delta v \eta \tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \alpha$, like the Latin juvare, often has the meaning of delectare and not $\beta$ on $\theta i \tau$; and Stallbanm would give it that meaning here: How glad I am that, \&c. Stephanus reads $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \kappa \nu \eta \sigma a \varsigma$ for $\omega \nu \eta \sigma a \varsigma$; but with the $\mu$ oris devxpive immediately following, this seems tantological.
 to what goes before, eir' oùv кauvd eite ra入aú.
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \mu \sigma \sigma i a$, in c.III. note (b), that is the bill of accusation. The plaintiff, on delivering the bill of accusation to the judges, was
obliged to swear that he did not bring the accusation throngh malice. Meletus had taken this oath.


 mapà $\sigma о \bar{v}$. Ibid. p. 467. E. The word daipoves is here employed in that broader signification, which comprehends both the superior divinities and the geni;, occupying an intermediate stage between them and the human race, and forming the connecting link between the two. These subordinate divinities were believed to be entrusted with the care of the interests and welfare of haman beings.
 position before the relative is not unfrequent. Compare Gorg.

 каi $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \nu о \mu \varepsilon \nu$. Laches p. 192. B. See Matth. Gr. § 595.
 You woill be utterly unable to convince any man with his wits about him, that the same person can believe in things appertaining to damons and gods, and, at the same time, be a disbeliever in gods, damons, and heroes. But if, as Stallbaum has done, we read ov, the meaning would seem rather to be: You will be wable to convince any reasonable max, that the person who believes in things appertaining to demons cas do other than believe in things appertaining to gods; or, again, that he who denies the existence of gods and heroes, can do other than deny likewise that of damons. The fact of the ov slipping out in the ordinary editions, indicates the difficulty which was felt by the interpreters in explaining the passage as it originally stood. Nor can the ov be explained away on being merely an emphasizing of the negative in oúd $\_\mu i a$; for the ov่ roṽ aúroṽ c. $\quad$. $\lambda$. is distinctly enunciated as a negative proposition before the ovidepia has fallen upon the ear.
 material part of his defence, now commences the discussion of other points which bear upon the subject. He first complains of the danger of his being sacrificed to the hatred of the multitude; but, at the same time, maintains that a good man ought to consider virtue and justice as of more importance than life itself.
b o $\frac{t \mu \varepsilon}{}$ aip $\eta \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ] That is, which will cause my condemsation. For aipєì $\delta i x \neq \nu$ and aipeĩy rıvá rwos are legal phrases, signifying to gain a suit against a party. Whence oi È̉óvres and oi ṫàow-

кötş, are opposed in Demosthen. in Midiam, p. 518. ed. Reisk. p. 15. ed. Buttm.
c $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\eta}-\delta c a \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta}$ te кai $\left.\phi \theta o ́ v o s\right]$ Fischer has observed that these words might have been omitted, since the preceding pronoun roṽto referred to the same thing; but they are added to express the former idea with more emphasis.
 of my being the last who will be condemned through the envy and hatred of the multitude. Compare Phædo p. 84. ov $\delta \Sigma \Sigma \nu \delta \varepsilon \iota \partial \partial \nu, \mu \eta)$ $\phi 0 \beta \eta \theta \tilde{y}$.
 to indicate astonishment and indignation, see Valcken. on Phon. v. 549. Viger. p. 395. Buttmann Gr. § 136.
 ment here expressed, compare Crito. c. 8. кằv фaıvஸ́pe $\theta a$ äduca







 v. 90 foll.




 thing disgraceful-rather than submit to anything disgraceful; i. e. rather than that it should be said he had borne with tameness the death of his friend Patroclus. For $\pi a \rho \alpha$ with an accusative often indicates a comparison; see Matthiæ Gr. § 588. c.
${ }^{1}$ àjrica $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тol, $\phi \eta \sigma i, \mu \in \theta^{\prime \prime}$ "Ekropa] These words are introduced in a parenthesis, which will account for their want of strict connection with the context.
 length of the sentence. The regular grammatical construction
 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v i \sigma a \varrho ~ \tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha-\dot{\omega} \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma \varepsilon$. This is, therefore, an anacoluthia. Similar passages are given by Matthim Gr. § 626.
${ }^{1}$ aùriк $\left.\alpha-\tau \varepsilon \theta \nu a i \eta \nu\right]$ Iliad. $\sigma^{\prime}$. v. 98. and 104.
${ }^{m} \mu \eta$ àjròv oit $\epsilon t$ You surely do not think, do you? $\mu \eta$ has often the force of an interrogation where a denial is expected or wished for.
$\left.{ }^{n} \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu_{0} \varsigma\right]$ The symmetry of the sentence would be more complete if, instead of $\tau a \chi \theta \tilde{\eta}$, we had rax $\theta$ cic; but these sudden modifications of construction are of not unfrequent occurrence, and serve more than anything else to illustrate the pliability of the Greek tongue. The $\tau a \chi \theta \tilde{\eta}$ is made immediately dependant upon oṽ à $\nu$, instead of being so secondarily, by strict connection with the foregoing $\eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu 0$ c.



 On the sentiment compare Crito c.12. at the end, where Socrates is speaking of the obedience to be paid to the laws of our country.
XVII. $\left.{ }^{2} \delta \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha ̀ a ̂ \nu \varepsilon \sharp \eta \nu \varepsilon i \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \varepsilon ่ \nu o s\right]$ Heindorf. on Gorg. p. 518.

 too, something of a tragic complexion about it. I should have perpetrated a great crime.
 See Buttmann on Demosthen. Mid. p. 155., where he has given many similar instances. He remarks that when there is a double $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ and a double $\delta_{s}^{\prime}$ in the sentence, the whole becomes more emphatic. In a similar manner, Isocrat. Areopag. 18. $\pi a \rho$ ' ots





 refers to a matter which really happened; the optative indicates what is purely hypothetical.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ кai ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu$ Hort $\left.\delta a i q-\Delta \eta \lambda i \psi\right] \quad$ On the campaigns of Socrates see Laert. II. 22 foll. Athenæus IV. 15. Whian. III. 17. Cicero de Divin. I. 54.


- $\delta o x \varepsilon i \nu \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho$ eidéval-oid $\varepsilon \nu]$ The phrase at full length would

d oirc oidev．On the third person，oidev，put indefinitely，see
 rò \＆olde кal $\mu \eta$ oidev eldeval．
© $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ roṽ oiteolat］That is，（ea insipientia）quæ in eo cernitur， ut quis se scire opinetur quæ non sciat．The genitive of the verbal substantive is not simply explicative of the foregoing $\eta$ d $\mu a \theta i a$ ，but indicates the whole of the action，oitєo日at к．т．$\lambda_{\text {．，of }}$ which the $d \mu \alpha \theta i a$ is one thing that may be predicated．Compare Matth．Gr．§875．
s roúrч ä̀ ］With these words фaiŋv ooфẃrepos alval may be understood．Further on，ovrw is used to make the reference to the foregoing oir eioìs more emphatic，oik elö̀sg being equivalent




 use of the preposition $\pi \rho \mathrm{o}^{\text {see }} \mathbf{c}$ ．XVI．note（ ${ }^{\circ}$ ）．
 whether with the article，as here，or without it．as is more generally the case．A little further on，daoкreival，as in c． XVIII．，is to condemn to death by their votes：in which sense dmoxreivetv is also used by Xenoph．Mem．IV．8．5．，where it is opposed to $a^{2 \pi} 0 \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \mathrm{tv}$ ．The structure of the sentence is remark－
 indicating that the speaker returns to what he has been saying before．
 said not only of those who do not believe，who have no faith in others，but also of those who refuse to comply uith the demands of others：for $\pi \varepsilon \in \theta_{0} \mu a l$ has the signification both of to obey and to trust．A little farther on，we have $\dot{j} \delta \eta \eta a ̀ \nu . . . . . \delta \iota a \phi \theta a \rho \eta \sigma o v r a t$, being apparently an instance of $d \nu$ with the future indicative． The common reading，it is true，is $\delta$ a $\phi \theta a \rho \eta$ 白ootvto，but the indi－ cative is preserved by the best MSS．Still，it would be erroneous to suppose that the conditional particle is actually used to qualify the future indicative．We may suppose that the writer at first intended to put the consequence as purely hypothetical，in which case the optative would have been the only legitimate mood；but with that marvellous flexibility，which is such a characteristic of the Greek tongue（compare c．III．note（1），and c．XII．note（』）），
he indicates the speaker's certain realisation of the catastrophe by making him employ the indicative mood.
${ }^{1}$ is' $\ddagger$ ite-pelooopeiv] That is, On condition that I ahould no longer, gr. On this construction see Mathis § 479.
 ambrace, peleiv to sahte with a kiss. Sach a passage as this, in which the language of compliment forms so important a part, is incapable of literal translation. We may, however, freely render: I am, in the highest poosible degree, indebted to you for your gencrosity; but still I am resolved to obey God rather thas gook Compare Lysid. p. 217. B. áyayágetah dé $\gamma$ ₹ oüpa dice vóoov

a cai indeurvipevos] This word is ased in the same manner
 oopos. See note on that passage.
- Eis ropiay cai ioxúv] ioxiv is used here not in the sense of power, but of greatness and strength of mind. For the subsequent words show that ioxúy is opposed to a desire of riches, honours, and praise.
- axi vewripq-xoriow] On this rather uncommon construction, see Matth. §415. obs. 1. The dative is, however, strictly a datious cominodi; and the sense is, therefore, not precisely the same with what it would have been had Socrates said: rewire cai veirteov cai speopiotepos moviou. We may translate: and this service will I render to botk yweng and odd gre. With the scemgative the meaning would be, this will I do to goung and odd. In confirmation of the view we have taken of the passage, see a
 periodas $x$ r. $\lambda$.
 mallos......8oq. Compare c XXX. about the middle, cai $x^{a \lambda k-}$

 $\dot{\text { dra@l }}$ likewise before peitov we may understand recosirqe. The same construction is used by Latin writers Liv. II. 51. Quo plares crant, major ceedes fuit. Ovid's Bpist. IV. 19. Venit amor gravius, quo serius.
 which might equally well have been did rivv rï Otị גarpeícy, sinco verbal mouns are frequently construcred with the amme
case as the verb from which they are derived. See Matthim, § 367. 1.
 cause these words form, as it were, a new member of the sentence. The common reading, $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\partial} \lambda \lambda o v \tau i \nu \grave{c}$ oび $\tau \omega \sigma \phi \delta \delta \rho a$, appears to have been inserted by some grammarian to explain the sense.

 note on that passage. The full sentence would be, $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$
 $\psi v \chi \eta{ }^{2}$.
 reading, кai rd$\lambda \lambda a$ ráyäá, is opposed to the whole drift of the passage, for it would intimate that these secondary comforts are, after all, the things which are substantially good.
 of mood see c. XII. note ( ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ).
 note ( ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ ), c. XVII. There is, however, nothing at all unusual in the employment of the same particle with the participle of the future, seeing it is capable of resolution into either the future indicative or the future optative. Similarly, the present or aorist participle may be resolved into the corresponding tense of the indicative, subjunctive, optative, or imperative, according to the


 to be several times dead. It is worthy of remark, that the Greeks, when they wish to lay stress on the bitterness of death, use words indicating the state and condition of death itself rather than the






 part of his subject. He proceeds to show that his condemnation and death will be a loss and injury, not to himself, but to the state of Athens; while the prolongation of his life, on the other hand, will be a blessing to his country. It is in this part of the


## APOLOGY OF SOCRATES.

defence that we see most conspicuously displayed that calm intrepidity, that cheerful freedom, so aptly blended with the most polished irony, and that dignified superiority to the fear of death, which win our tribute of admiration and reverence for the sage of Athens. Cicero might well say that he here stands forth like no plaintiff at the bar, but like 'a master and a lord.'
 under the divine administration, it is possible for a better man to be injured by a worse. With regard to the grammatical construction, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon i \nu \omega$ änd $\rho a$ would be equally admissible with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon i \nu 0 \nu t a ̀ \nu \delta \rho i ;$ by the use of a dative, a closer connection is established between the words $\dot{a} \nu \delta \rho i$ and $\theta \in \mu \tau r \delta \nu$. It is, in fact, a species of attraction; for the general proposition of which $\theta \varepsilon \mu \iota r \delta \nu$ is denied is,

 sons whose influence or whose accusation may lead to the infliction of these punishments. On the different kinds of $\dot{d} \tau q \mu i a$, see Dict. Antiquities, s.v.
 rather do $I$ regard it as a great calamity.
 Gr. § 355.

- $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau_{t}{ }^{1 \xi} \alpha \mu$.-кara $\left.{ }^{2} \eta \phi \iota \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \iota\right]$ That is, that you may not bring upon yourselves the guilt of slighting the boon conferred upon you by the god, by passing sentence of condemnation upon me. The dative $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\nu} \nu$ is dependant upon the verbal notion contained in the nonn סórus, on which subject see c. XVII. note (r).
 spur, and a a gad-fly, that is, a kind of larger fly, which annoys and infuriates cattle; equivalent to olorpog. We are disposed to adopt the latter meaning in the passage before us, as favouring more of the homely and provoking style of banter which Socrates was so fond of indulging in, and also as more consistent with the

 are far more apt and descriptive, when applied to the gad-fly, than to the spar. For mposceiöat is not merely to be attached to, as some have understood the word in this passage, but there is involved in it the additional idea of pressing and urging. Com-
 be: fastened to the state like a gad-fly, to sting it on its way.

Similarly, rpogra0i乡uv may be rendered sticking to it. Socrates has the image of the tormenting little creature still vividly before

 position to the pronoun olov, in order to unite what follows the more closely with this part of the sentence.
 of participles in this passage is extraordinary. We may translate as follows: Very probably you might, in your vexation, like a man aroused from a doze, take Anytus's advice, asd, by dealing me a blow, disputch me without trouble; and afterwards sleep on through all the rest of your lives, unless the deity, in his concern for you, should send you another. The metaphor of the gad-fly is still kept up, whence the word кpotetv.
 § 535.
$k$ ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho d \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \psi$ tourt] That is, it does not appear consistent scith human motives. The singular and disinterested course of life pursued by Socrates might well be appealed to as something atterly foreign to ordinary human policy. Then, too, neglect of his personal property and household exposed an Athenian to an dipriac ypaфń, the penalty being at the ftrst conviction a fine; at the third, drıpia. Draco had made décpia the penalty of a single offence. Moreover, when Socrates says that his mode of life was at variance with human motives, he means to intimate that it so far transcends them that it must inevitably be regarded as the work of an over-ruling and directing deity. There seems no reason for regaraing the genitive rǜ oikeiwv d $\mu \varepsilon \lambda o v \mu \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \nu$ as dependant upon d $\alpha \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \in \sigma \theta a L$ It is much better to regard it as a case absolute. Matthis, however, explains it on the principle that $\alpha \nu^{\prime} \chi \chi \varepsilon \theta a t$ is the opposite of $\dot{\eta} r \tilde{r}^{2} \sigma \theta a$, , and may, therefore, by analogy, take the same case after it, namely, a genitive of comparison. But it is, perhaps, never found with a genitive unattended by a participle, except once in Homer, Od. xxii. 428.
 propriety of the article attaohed to $\mu$ ápropa may be seen, it must be borne in mind that by it is indicated the subject of a virtual proposition: $\delta \mu$ áprug....ikavos dortv. It is only the exigencies $^{\text {d }}$ of grammar which throw $\mu$ áprupa into the accusative case.

appenrs in all the manuscripts; but seems so unnecessary, that editors have generally regarded it an a mere gloes. In the words immediately following, Socrates is referring to the language of the indictment: "Brepa di courd̀ daupóna sisplpay, and in so doing, dexterously throws oat a hit at Melectas, as adopting the unscrupalous, slanderous, style of the old comedy. 'Biucwmadeiv is, therefora, only a somewhat more pangent and racy


- del dzorpixte-oixert] The writings of Plato contain frequent reference to this voice from within. See, for example, in Chap. XXXI. of this piece, Theages. p. 128. D. etc, and Xen. Mem. IV. 8.5. Compare, also, Cic. de Divin. L 54. Hoc nimirum est illud, quod de Socrato sccepimus, quodque ab ipeo in libris Socraticoram seppe dicitur, ease divinum quidquam quod demonion appellat, cui semper ipee parverit, nanquam impellenti, sepe revocanti.
 first to attempt to point out the difference between cal al and si cai; see Ferm. Viger. p. 832. Stallbaum disting uishes them as follows: cal ai always implies a gradation in the thought, as carried out even further than might have been anticipated; as, in the present case, the force of these particlee seem to be, "Yes, cven if he is to save his life for a brief space of time,' wheress ai sai is simply althongh. ai cal, says Hermann, L. C, indicates that the supposition, or, rather, condition, is consistent with the setual facts of the ease; while cal a' indicates merely an hypothesis entertained for the parpoess of argument or rhetoric.
XX. " vixecucioouc] Emmeley lays it down as a rule that these forms in afecy, feav, efav, are aorists, and, therefore, to be written perispomona (Med. 186). Bat there seems to be little to support this dictum, and Professor Herrmann of Erfurdt has maintainod the contrary view in his treatise de verbis Grecorke in $\alpha 0 \mathrm{av}$, secy ef efear exemutibus. He there endearours to show that they are rather a kind of intensitive form, and indicate either energy, continuation, or perpetrity of action. Perhaps they are frequentatives, which have lost their true iterative significance. They may, indeed, possibly be identical with the Latin forms in ita. We may translate the whole passage from drovioare in as follows: 'I beg you to listen to an account of what has befallen myself. that you may be convinced that there is nol a creature to whom I would $s 0$ far gield, winder the foar of death, as to do what is com-
trary to justice, even though by refusing to yield I should bring upon myself instant destruction.' There is something a little extraordinary in the repetition of $\ddot{\alpha} \mu a$, but it is capable of being paralleled; for example, we find such phrases as " $\mu^{\prime}$ ' $\begin{gathered}\text { ' } \pi о \varsigma, ~ \\ \text { u }\end{gathered} \mu^{\prime}$
 oì $\phi i \lambda o \nu \nu \rho \mu i \sigma \omega \sigma t \nu$ (Cyr. III. 1.15). The first $\not{ }_{\alpha} \mu a$ belongs to the $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon i \kappa \omega \nu$, the second to the $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda o i \mu \eta \nu$; and the iteration serves more emphatically to indicate that the two things, the offence and its punishment, would be simultaneous.
 фортıкঠs may be correctly applied to anything that is burdensome and offensive. Socrates appears to be deprecating the odium which he might excite against himself, by enlarging upon his own public career. $\delta \iota x a v i x \delta{ }_{c}$ is strictly legal, forensic, litigious, hence by inference, tiresome and offensive. фopruxds is not unfrequently used in the sense of rude, arrogant, vain-glorying.
 office in the state, but $I$ was once a member of the $\beta$ ovin). The senate of five hundred is here intended. It was during the prytany of the tribe Antiochis that the case of the ten (strictly cight) generals was brought on, Socrates being at the time president of his tribe, Antiochis, ahd, by virtue of that office, being
 Socrates considered the attempt to condemn the whole number of generals by one vote as unconstitutional and unjust, and refused to put the motion to the vote; but his manly and determined opposition was overruled, and the sentence passed by acclamation. See the account of this matter given by Xenophon Mem. I. 1. 18, and Hell. I. 7.14. 15, 38. For a full account of the constitution and arrangements of the senate of five hundred,
 word regularly used to signify the removal of the dead for interment, after a battle.-rov́s ix vavuaxias. We should have expected $\boldsymbol{\iota \nu}$; but the Greeks were fond of suggesting an additional circumstance, or a fresh view of the subject, by a preposition thus serving with the noun to form an attribute of the principal subject or object. For example, in Gorg. p. 516. D. we read: M $\lambda \lambda \tau$ tá $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$
 the Miltiades, who distingaished himself at Marathon. So, too,


anticipates the idea contained in the apochite (quoted by Matth. §272). So here the $\boldsymbol{i k}$ refers us to the eea-fight as not merely the scene, but the cause, of the death of the individuals in question.
 article shonld be repeated before the word 'Avrioxis; but the construction is not without support from parallel passages, e. g.,

- depoover кpivety] That is, to sentence them by one vote, when the laws required each individual's name to be proposed separately,-xpivetv dixa ixacrov. A full account of the whole proceedings subsequent to the battle of Arginuse will be found in Grote, Vol. VIII. Chap. 64.

 nians passed a decree that Callixenus, who proposed the illegal verdict, together with his accomplices, should be brought to trial; but they managed to anticipate their sentence by voluntary exile. They had rendered themselves liable to a $\gamma \rho a \phi\rangle$ ) $\pi a \rho a-$

 ajáyal describe the summary proceedings resorted to in the case of certain criminals. The former refers to the denunciation of the culprit before the magistrate; the latter to the act of dragging him before his tribunal. The two modes of action
 be carefully distinguished, for the former wan resorted to, we are informed, in the case of abrent criminals, while the latter was necessarily restricted to those upon whom the accueer could lay his hands (see Dict. Ant. Ivductuc). The two, however, are very frequently mentioned together. Compare Dem. Sept. p. 504.

 orators here mentioned bad no recognised office; but as they were ever ready to pander to the wishes of the multitude, they would not hesitate to avail themselves of auch an opportanity of ingratiating themselves with their patrone.
 defeat of the Athenians at .Wgospotami, and the capture of the city, Lysander, in parsuance of the uniform policy of his country, placed over them a hateful oligarehy of thirty, who went by the

 by a very frequent idiom, myself and four others. It would be easy to multiply examples. One of the five here referred to was named Meletns (Andocid. de Myster. p. 46. ed. Reisk). See c. X. note ('). It has been questioned, however, whether the accuser of Socrates was not a different individual from the Meletus employed upon this business. The circumstance is spoken of by Lysias adv. Agorat. p. 106. Brem. İ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \bar{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{d} \rho$




 $\kappa а т \varepsilon \psi \eta ф і ́ \sigma a т о$.
 ßovievtíplov тш้̃ $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau a c o \sigma i \omega \nu$, according to Pausan. I. 5, in which the Prytanes dined and sacrificed every day. It derived its name from its resemblance to a tortoise. Leon, born at Salamis, but a citizen of Athens, had gone into voluntary exile to Salamis, to avoid falling a victim to the Tyrants, who coveted his wealth. See Xenoph. Hellen. II. 3, 39.
${ }^{k}$ àand ${ }^{2} \sigma a \iota$ airtũ $]$ That is, to stain with guilt and crimes; in order that as many citizens as possible might appear to have betrayed the cause of liberty by taking part with the Tyrants. On the word $d_{\nu \alpha \pi \mu \pi \lambda a} \nu \alpha \iota$, in the sense of polluting and staining, see Rubnken on Tim. Glossar. p. 30.
$\left.{ }^{1} r \delta \pi \tilde{a} \nu \mu^{\prime} \hat{\lambda} \varepsilon \iota\right]$ That is, is altogether, by all means, a care to
 to strike and move one so that he becomes, as it were, beside himself.
 Matth. §559. c.

 $-\varepsilon \pi o \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta y$. But the imperfect is used, because he speaks not only of past time but also of the present; that is, of a past action continuing to the present time. In English, we should say: Do you think that $I$ could have lived so many years, if I had continued to take a part in public affairs, and as an honest man stood by the side of justice, regarding this, as it was my duty


b rocoṽros фavoṽ $\mu \mathrm{at}]$ The pronoun roooṽroc is explained by


 alluded to; whose vices were maliciously said to have arisen from the instruction of Socrates. See Xenoph. Mem. I. 2. 12 sqq.
 what he was enjoined to do by Apollo, namely, that he should detect and rebuke the errors of men, and exhort his fellow-citizens to the pursuits of virtue. See Chap. IX. etc.
- $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a r a \mu \dot{i} \nu \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu]$ An allusion to the avarice of the sophists. See notes on C.IV.
 interrogating me. The subsequent words, cai táv ris $\beta_{o v i \lambda \eta r a t ~}^{\text {a }}$


 fairly be attributed to me. Airiay $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ is strictly used in a bad sense, of one who is deservedly blamed; and hence, in general, in the sense of to be responsible or accountable for a thing. Tovir $\omega \nu$, masculine, is dependent upon זìv airiav.
 pendent upon the immediately preceding word, $e$ lశov. It introduces the answer to the question, $\delta \dot{d} \tau i \delta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{x}^{\kappa} . \tau . \lambda$, in the previous sentence: They do so, because they take a pleasure in hearing put to the question those people who fancy themselves to be wise when they are not so.
 easily be refuted; but here it means what may easily be examined to find out whether it is true or false. For $\boldsymbol{\ell} \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ not only signifies to refute, but also to examine with the design of convicting another of error. The word may therefore be rendered, easy to be refuted if they are not true.
 under the impression that the $\delta \dot{\xi}$ was destitute of a correlative. But $\varepsilon i \boldsymbol{i} \dot{\varepsilon}$ may follow eire in the same manner as $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ by itself may come after $\tau^{k}$, and oídદ after ov̈re. In c. XXXII. we have: cail


roneously. For as mapaivac aís riva rózov is not barely equiva-
 two sentences were joined together;-that is, it signifies to come to a place and be there;-so ivravooi by itself is not put for $\dot{\text { evrav̈धa. }}$ but тapeivau ivtaudoĩ signifies to come hither and be present here.

 to this place and sitting here with us.
e Kрíruv oùrooí] Crito is the same person whose name forms the title of the following dialogue of Plato. He is called $\dot{\eta} \lambda_{t}-$ $\kappa u \dot{\omega} \eta \varsigma$, or of the same age with Socrates; and $\delta \eta \mu \delta \tau \eta \varsigma$, that is, of the same demus, namely, 'A入штєкín.
${ }^{1}$ Avoaviac] Lysanias, father of the Socratic Frechines, is called $\dot{\dot{\delta}} \mathbf{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \tau i o g$, from the deme Sphettus, which was in the tribe Acamantis. Antipho is called Køф८o兀v́g, from the deme Cephisus, which was in the tribe Erectheis. Epigenes, son of Antipho, is introduced in Xen. Mem. IV. 12, where he holds a discussion with Socrates on the subject of lack of attention to his person exhibited by the sage.
sàaloc roivvข oṽrol-] An unnecessary difficulty has been raised respecting the word roivvv, as if it necessarily indicated a logical inference from what immediately precedes. Heindorf imagines that the text must be corrupt. But nothing can be commoner than this use of an illative particle to indicate a transition in the discourse. So igitur is used in Latin; not $\sigma v \lambda \lambda_{0}-$
 simply carrying on the writer or speaker from one point to another. We may render: And then, besides these ( $\tilde{a} \lambda \lambda o c$ ), there are the following, whose brothers have had this intimacy urith me, ourros is, however, regularly used of what precedes; öde of what follows.

4 Nusborparos] Respecting this person and Theodotus nothing seems to be known.-Respecting Demodocus, father of Theages, see Theages, p. 127. E. Of Paralus, who is not to be confounded with his namesake, the son of Pericles, nothing is known.-Adimantus is the brother of Plato, often mentioned in the Republic. See II. p. 357-368, and elsewhere.- Of Esantodorus nothing is known.-Apollodorus is known to have been most devoted to Socrates. See Phædo p. 59. A. p. 117. D. Xenoph. Mem. III. 11, 17.-karaסeĩodat is to prevail upon any one by entreaties. The sense is this: Theodotus cannot bessech
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his brother Nicostratus not to accuse me and bear testimony against me. A little further on $\mu$ ádıora is above all, in the first place; or, as the thing most to have been desired. It is frequently fol-. lowed up, as here, by $\varepsilon i=\hat{k}$, and cind $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Compare Rep. VIII.

 demus, p. 304. A.
 this. For no one was permitted to interrupt the accused while defending himself, and by irrelevant matters to abridge the time granted for his defence, which was measured by the clepsydra. The accuser was bound to go through all that had reference to his side of the question before the defendant commenced his auswer to the charge. Hence the frequently recurring formula
 $\mu a \rho \tau v p \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$, giving the opposite party permission to state anything during the limited time belonging to the speaker in possession of the court.
 this apposition is introduced. Compare Crito c. XII. nai $\sigma \boldsymbol{v}$ -



 attain in defending me: namely, that they might not appear to have been intimate with an impious and depraved man, and that they might not be accounted wicked themselves.
 lite a substantive; for $\pi \rho \circ \sin ^{\eta} \kappa 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon$, with the article attached to $i t$, is a virtual substantive.
 regularly preceded by an actual or virtual negative, and appears to be correctly explained by Stallbaum, Phæd. p. 81. B. Chap. XXX., as arising from a combination of two forms of construction. After a word of comparison like $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o s$, either $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ or $\dot{\eta}$

 кai $\lambda \dot{v} \pi a \varsigma$, where $\eta$ to would have been quite as naturally admissible as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, and where Bekker and others actually read $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta{ }_{\eta}$. See Matth. §455. b.-In the passage before us riva ádlov is the virtual negative.
 ceeds to give his judges an explanation of the grounds of his sirmness and fortitude; and he shows why he will not follow the example of others by attempting to move their pity. For, first, he says such a course would be unworthy of the estimation in which he is held; secondly, it would be contrary to the laws.

 to $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu \mu a ́ \chi є \sigma \theta a t$ - somnium somniare, etc. Similarly in Eu-
 at Athens for the defendants to bring into court their children, and even their wives, to excite the pity of the judges. See the amusing picture in Aristoph. Vesp. 566-




 trast between Socrates' course of procedure and what was usual under such circumstances; the ápa refers the reader to the grounds previously enumerated or hinted at, upon which he might have been expected to comply with the received usageIf I then, on the contrary, do no such thing, etc. Stallbaum, however, it should be remarked, refers the ápa to the grounds supposed to exist in the mind of Socrates for acting as he didäpa, as you might have anticiputed, from what you have heard me say. But this reference seems too remote, when a nearer one interrenes.
 set against me. Socrates deprecates the prejudice of such judges as might refuse to acquit him, in spite of the goodness of his cause, because he would not descend to the low arts ordinarily employed for the sake of exciting compassion. A little further
 the reader back to the original supposition: if, as I said, there should be such a one among you.

- rò roṽ 'O $\boldsymbol{\text { Ońn} \rho o v ] ~ O d y s s . ~ X I X . ~ v . ~ 1 6 3 , ~ w h e r e ~ P e n e l o p e ~ a s k s ~}$ Ulysses, whom she had not recognised, to relate from what race he has sprung, adding to her request the words:

-кai viєís $\gamma \varepsilon_{\text {. }}$. In enumerating several things, it is customary
to add $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{k}}$ to that noun to which the most weight and emphasis is attached. It is, therefore, incorrectly omitted by some MSS. in this passage. The three sons of Socrates were Lamprocles, Sophroniscus, Menexenus. The eldest was Lamprocles, who is
 Xenophon, Mem. IL. 2,1.; but the other two, whom their father here calls $\pi$ audia, are called by Plato also (Phædo 65.), $\sigma \mu$ ucpoi. Seneca tells us that these three bore a greater resemblance, in point of character, to their mother than to their father.
 or not is another question ; but apart from this (ov̉v), in respect of my own reputation and yours, and that of the whole city, it does not seem to ne creditable for a man of my time of life, and of such a name (for wisdom), whether it be true or a lie, to do any such thing. The oüv refers to the words allos $\lambda$ óyos, as if he had said, accordingly, we will dismiss it for the present. It must not be supposed that we ought to read $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \delta_{s}$ instead of $\psi \in v \delta o c$, for to the adjective $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta i s$ is often opposed the noun $\psi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \delta o s$. Cratyl.




 be, it is certain that Socrates is believed to be, in some respect, superior to the bulk of mankind. Compare the explanation of oby in note ( $)$.
${ }^{1}$ donovivtas $\mu \dot{\rho} \nu \quad r t$ alvau] That is, fancying themeelves to be something; to profess some amount of knowledge. See Matth. § 487. 5.- - ic davóv rı oiopívovs reifacoal. Here, as often is the case, ins introduces the reason for something before mentioned. Heindorf was therefore wrong in connecting it with $\delta \varepsilon \nu \delta^{2}$, in the sense of very; and, moreover, the examples of this signifcation collected by him are very unsatisfactory. is oiopévous is precisely equivalent to Lat. utpote putantes: as thinking, under the impression that. It would be easy to multiply examples in support of this interpretation. Still more concisely, Plato might
 Lбopèvwv, see Matth. § 568.2.
i oũtoc $\gamma$ vvauxĩv oudiv ס.] This use of the demonstrative, to gather up the particulars before mentioned in one idea, gives emphasis to the sentence. See Matth. Gr. §472. 2.
 wrong, since these words immediately follow: ov̈r', $\boldsymbol{a}_{\nu}^{\nu} \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mu \mathrm{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{I}_{\varsigma}$
 us to do such things, nor, if we were to do them, woould it become

 to ourselves to possess even the least worth. So Alschin. against
 that is, even in any manner. Xenoph. Cyrop. I. 6, 12. où $\delta^{\circ} \dot{\text { órcoṽv }}$ $\ell \pi \varepsilon \mu v \eta \sigma \theta \eta$, that is, not in the least degree. Aristoph. Plut. v. 385.

 cunque is similarly used. In exactly the same manner as in this passage, Rep. IV. p. 422. E. кåv drioṽv ì. Ibid. VIL. p. 538. D. rov̀s kai $\dot{\text { on }} \boldsymbol{y}$ oṽv $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho i o v s$. The common reading cal dxyrtoṽr zlvat is bad, since $\tau t$ is thus removed from the
 foregoing adverb.
 are tragedies in which the pity of the spectators is excited. eiçaytv, to bring into the court, as when the accused introduces his wife, children, and relations, in tears, to dispose the minds of the judges to mercy.
 putation: putting my ovon reputation out of the question. A little

 гі் кагахарí̌so日al contains the definition of the roviтч; for this end, namely, to ascrifice justice to favour. For this emphasizing use of the demonstrative pronoun, see Matth. Gr. §472. 2 b. Compare Soph. Phil. 1355-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \text { สัธ. }
\end{aligned}
$$



c кal $\delta \mu \dot{\omega} \mu$ окєу] The form of the dicast's oath may be gathered from Demosth. against Timocrat. p. 747. ed. Reisk.



 ठикацотáry.
${ }^{4} \mu \eta$ oviv $\left.\dot{d} \xi \mathfrak{c} \circ \bar{v} \tau\right]$ That is, do not then think. A little further on, the collocation of the words is worthy of remark: $\mathbb{\&} \mu$ inre
 رйre ca入d elval.
 all other times, and most particularly now, when I am accused of impiety by Meletue.
${ }^{2}$ ei $\pi \varepsilon i \theta o u \mu-\beta$ - $a \zeta$ oi $\left.\mu \eta \nu\right]$ That is, if I should win you over by persuasion, and conetrain you to violate gour oath. Understand xapíceotai $\mu \mathrm{oc}$ rd dicaua. In the following clause the words
 Elva.
XXV. ${ }^{2}$ Td $\left.\left.\mu \dot{\nu} \nu \mu\right\rangle \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} a v a x r i \imath \imath \nu\right]$ The preceding part of the 'Apology' is supposed to have been spoken before the judges gare their first votes concerning him; the following portion after he was found guilty of the crime imputed to him by Meletus; the conclusion, after the definite sentence of death had been passed upon him. The question of the punishment due to his offence was to be determined. There were two kinds of causes, the one $\dot{a} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \eta r o s$, in which the punishment was already appointed by the laws; the other rurgrds, in which the judges were allowed by the laws a discretionary power as to the punishment. We must always, therefore, when we read of causes in ancient writers, be careful to dietinguish to which of these two kinds the cause belongs. There is no doubt that the canse of Socrates ought to be referred to the kind called ruyroi. In a cause of this kind, the following mode of proceeding appears to have been adopted in the courts of justice. After the accuser and the defendant had made their speeches, the judges determined, by their first votes, whether they condemned or acquitted the accused. Then, if the crime was not capital, and the panishment was not fixed by law, they proceeded to determine the punishment; that is, the defendant was asked what punishment he considered himself to deserve, whether that which the prosecutor wished, or another more mild. In giving this counter estimate, he was said, divtcručo0al. This having been done, the judges again gave their votes, and decided the cause. On theee two kinds of causea, see Dict. of Antiq, E. V. ypapi.

contrary to my expectation. For $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \varsigma, \bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu$, and their derivatives are indifferent words, and in themselves imply neither hope nor fear, but simple expectancy. Hlato himself says, Leg.I.

 obic dvel $\pi$., is highly idiomatic; the former and more general expression serving to prepare the reader for the specification of one or more of the particular things included in it. Thus imme-
 oúc c. r. $\lambda$. A parallel instance is to be found in Crit. c. XIV.

 may translate: For I did not anticipate that the numbers would come so near, but that there would be a large majority against me. Stallbaum, after Fischer, seems to make áp $\theta \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ the subject to lotodat; but the drift of the passage seems rather to lead us to connect the verb with ro $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} 0$ vòs roṽzo, or, generally, with the idea of $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu$. In familiar language, I didn't think it would
 oú $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$, and the like, see Matth. Gr. § 588. n. 2 .

- ${ }^{\text {el }}$ rpєĩc $\mu$ óvaı $\left.\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{6} \pi \varepsilon \sigma o \nu\right]$ That is, if but three votes had changed sides, had fallen into a different balloting urn. So far all is quite clear. Socrates would thus have been condemned by a majority of five or six. But there is a passage in the life of Socrates, by Diogenes Laertius, which is somewhat difficult to harmonise with this statement of Plato. He writes (II. 41), ö $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$
 dronvoviowv c.r. $\lambda_{\text {., the }}$ the simplest interpretation of which would seem to be, that there was a clear majority of 281 against Socrates. The words, however, admit of another interpretation, and, in the strength of the passage before us for discussion, we are, perhaps, bound to accept it. Diogenes may simply mean that there was a majority, which consisted of 281 votes. This would make the minority to have been 275 or 276 . But there atill remains a difficulty. By adding together the votes thus obtained for and against Socrates, we obtain a total of 553, a number which is quite without a parallel in the records of dicasteries. Its largeness need not surprise us, for we occasionally moet with even higher numbers. An ordinary Helize consisted of 500 dicasts, and we have instances of $\mathbf{2 0 0}, 1000,1500$, sitting upon the same trial; but there is no analogy to support us in
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entertaining the notion, that a tribunal was ever constituted of so irregular a number as 553. The various reading rptácovta, in place of rpeic, shows that this objection was felt by ancient critics. This emendation would give us for the majority 281; for the minority, 221 ; and as we may suppose Socrates to use a round number with some little freedom, we should obtain for the total number of dicasts 500 , a result which is in itself quite satisfactory. But it is not easy to believe that Socrates would speak in so slighting a way of a clear majority of 60 out of 500 ; and, moreover, the canons of criticism seem to forbid our accepting this solution of the difficulty. Perhaps the explanation which is on the whole the least objectionable, is that which proceeds upon the supposition that some of the dicasts deposited their votes into an á $\mu \phi$ opev̀s ákvoos, or neutral urn; so that, in order to arrive at the true total, the number of these neutral votes would have to be added to the numbers for and against. This is Petit's conjecture; but though an ingenious one, it must be borne in mind that it is merely a conjecture, as we have no evidence that such a use was made of the a $\mu \phi$ орбv̀s áxvpos.
 ouvíyopoc or $\sigma$ óvòuco of Meletus, who had instituted the prosecution, they, as well as Meletus, were at liberty to speak against Socrates on the trial. See Dict. of Antiquities, article ovvŋुरupos.
 a fifth part of the votes he was fined one thousand drachmon, was branded with infamy ( $\dot{d} r \mu i a$ ), and was forbidden to become an accuser again. See Demosth. in Mid. p. 529. 23., and elsewhere. Socrates means to say that the influence of Meletus alone would appear, from the result of the trial, to have been inadequate to the task of securing even a fifth portion of the votes. The inference seems based upon the supposition, that each of the $\sigma v \nu \eta^{-}$ yopot would carry with him the same proportion of the dicasts. The majority against Socrates was little more than half the gross number, and this again divided by three, would give for the aggregate of votes, obtained by the personal influence of Meletus alone, but little more (only one more, if we retain the reading rpeic) than one-sixth.
 in an $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \tau \mu \eta \tau \grave{\varrho}$, always inserted in his formula of indictment the punishment which he thought the accused deserved. This was called $\tau \iota \mu a ̃ \sigma \theta a i$.
 not indeed evidentf etc. The reading $\eta$ is therefore erroneons, Compare Chap. XIV. note (b):
 phrase in trialg, $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon z \boldsymbol{y}$ referring to the punishment of the body, drorĩal to the fine. The phrase $8, \boldsymbol{\pi t} \mu a 0 \dot{\omega} \nu$ requires some explanation. $\tau i \mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\tau i \pi a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ are of frequent occurrence in dialogne, as equivalent to $\delta<d r i$; the former referring to some sapposed knowledge or information received, which may have led to the conduct which is the object of inquiry; the latter to some external circumstance or sudden impulse, which may have influenced the actor. Thus ri $\mu \alpha 0 \dot{\omega} \nu$ тoṽro ixoinoas is, 'what has come to light that you should act $80 \%$ ' but ri $\pi a 0$ iv is, ' what ails you that,' or ' what has befallen you that,' etc. But it is difficult to hold with Matthix, that $8, \tau \iota \mu a 0 \dot{\omega} \nu$, without a word indicating a question to introduce it, can be simply equivalent to $8 \mathbf{\delta}$. Stallbaum seems right in interpreting: ' What penalty do I deserve to suffer, because I have taken it into my head to give myself no rest all my life long?' more literally, 'from what reason coever it may be that I have,' etc. Similarly, Euthyd. p. 283. E.

 upon you,' I would have said, 'whatever it may be that has put it into your hoad to tell this falsehood of me and the rest, a falsehood which is not even to be mentioned.' Compare, also, Euth p. 299. A. The other instance quoted by Stallbaum seems of rather a different nature. Eupolis ap. Stob. Serm. IV. p. 53.

 defence, by asking, in the first place, what puts it into your heads to call poets wise?' Here $8, \tau t \mu a 0 \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ is merely ri $\mu a \theta o \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, in oratio obliqua; for the idea of $\varepsilon \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \varepsilon$ is inferred from $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda o-$ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma o \mu a l$, by reason of the general structure of the sentence, whilst in the preriously-quoted examples there is no purely interrogative notion.
 For when a negative verb precedes in sentences opposed to one another, the affirmative verb is frequently omitted. кai $\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma-$ piw̃ $\kappa$ кal $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \tilde{\nu}$. $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma o \rho i a$ in this passage means the occupation of one who makes speeches in the assemblies of the people. Although this was not one of the magistracies, yet it
is not by any means inconsistent with the usage of Greek writers
 C．घ̀mò т others who were strangers；or，by citizens，and，moreover，by strangers．It would be easy to multiply examples，but the above is sufficient．We may translate：＇caring nothing for what the multitude care mast for－money making，household affairs，military command，rhetorical celebrity，and，in addition to these thinge， public offices，conspiracies，and the cabals that are constantly arising in the state．＇Fischer，therefore，is wrong in defending the other reading $\delta$ onpuovpyiev，especially since he has by no means proved that $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{apxoc}$ were also called at Athens by the name $\delta_{\eta \mu}$ uovpyoi．－The factions and seditions，which arose after the Peloponnesian war throughont all Greece，and particularly at Athens，are well known．－＇Exieuric is frequently opposed to parilos，and signifies good，liberal，just．
 occurs again，a few lines below，in ivravïa ỳa．This mode of expression is exactly the reverse of that which has been spoken of in c．XXII．note（ ${ }^{( }$）．For in the same manner as it was shown there，that verbs signifying rest are joined with adverbs of motion to a place，the two ideas of rest and motion being united in a single proposition：so，conversely，verbs indicating motion are added to adverbs which properly signify rest，and not motion． It serves to illustrate the activity of the Greek mind，which often led a speaker or writer to unite many different notions in the same member of a sentence．
 markable．It is evident that the participle iinv might have been omitted．Probably the influence of the foregoing inoivy led to its introdaction．
 is кара入入í入ov．The recurrence of such redundancies as this serves to prove that the compactness and compression which characterise the best Greek authors，was not the result of a con－ scious effort to avoid wordiness and circumbocution，bat the natural consequence of their manner of thinking．Similar ex－ amples have been collected by Astius ad Legg p． 24.
－ávdpi tivplut edepyíry］A person who had deserved well of the state was honoured with the name ejepyings．Dorvill on Chariton．p．317．ed．Lips．says：＂Great men，nay，even kings，
 of Athens．＂See Suidas，nnder orij入 $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．Ancient inscriptions sapply many examples．












 the citadel where the laws of Solon were kept，see Pausan．I．18．： and where a daily allowance of provisions was given to citizens who had deserved well of the republic．A public maintenance in the Prytaneum，iv rpviaviì ocreiotah，was accounted a high honour．See Cic．Orat．I．54．Demosthen．de falsâ leg．p． 231. －＂I $\pi \pi 0 \varsigma$ is the same as $\kappa \delta \lambda \eta \mathrm{s}$ ，a race－horse，mounted by a single rider．俭 $v \nu \omega p i s$ is a chariot with two horses，and $\zeta$ eṽyos one with three or four horses．vevikycev＇0 0 í $\mu \pi t a$ is used indif－ ferently with＇ $0 \lambda \nu \mu \pi t a ́ \sigma \iota ~ v \varepsilon \nu i k \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ，and is analogons to such expressions as $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \mu a ́ \chi \varepsilon o \theta a l, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ l i k e . ~ S o ~ E n n i a s ~ a p . ~$ Cic．de Senectute has－

Sicut fortis equas，spatio qui sepe supremo
Vicit Olympia，etc．（Chap．VI）
 refers to his saying，in c．XXIII．，that he would not follow the example of other accused persons，who tried to move the pity （otaros）of the judges，and that he would not，as a suppliant， implore the mercy of his judges．This is the dytißó入nats or avrcßo入ia which he speaks of．For as $\alpha \nu \tau ; \beta o \lambda e i \tau \nu$ is the same as

b éxciv alvai］That is，as far as my will has been concerned． As Exciv elvat implies an exception，it will naturally be found chiefly，if not exclusively，in negative propositions，whilst èxw่ alone is generally found with affirmative ones

an evident reference to the caution and tardiness with which the Spartans proceeded in any case which affected the life of a citizen. See Thucyd. I. 132.
dästós siui tov rakoṽ] So after Koehler, Heindorf, and Bekker we have corrected the common reading ä̧. вiцc toṽ кaкoṽ. A correction which is proved to be necessary by the words in
 indefinite pronoun rac is occasionally put before the word which


 $\eta$ ท̀ $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega$, ' through fear of what? or is it that I may experience the fate at which Meletus estimates my offence, and which I confess myself ignorant, whether it be a good or an evilp' The sense thus obtained is quite in keeping with the drift of the argument, and we may, therefore, question the propriety of Stallbaum's reading (after Heindorf) $\tilde{\eta}$. It seems more natural to carry the sense on, without making two distinct interrogations. Nor is there any need for interpreting the reading of the MSS., as he says it must
 $\mu o \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu \tilde{r} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Remark the construction of the verb rı$\mu \tilde{a} \sigma \theta a t$ with a dative, as in c. XXVI. and c. XXVIII., where he says of the
 modi. The active is always said of the judges, the middle of the accuser and accused, in accordance with the nature of the middle voice; for neither the accuser nor the accused have the power to imppse the penalty, but merely to get it imposed. An example in point occurs a few lines farther on in this chapter: $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{d} \delta \dot{\eta} \phi v \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} s$



 here combined. In a similar manner Gorg. p. 481. D. aiöávoual



$\varepsilon$ rois ${ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \varepsilon k a ;$ ] The Eleven were magistrates, to whom persons condemned by public trial were delivered for punishment. Some have regarded these words as a gloss, and recommended their omission; an opinion embraced by Heindorf, Schleiermacher, and Bekker. But they may very well be retained, as exhibiting
more emphatically the disagreeable and odious condition on which he would then hold his life.
 prison. This passage alone is sufficient to show that persons who were fined were imprisoned until the fine was paid. Compare
 dv Exricy. Adv. Mid. p. 529. 26. See the commentators on Nep. Miltiad. 7., and also Cimon. 1.
 c. XIL, note (घ).-A little further on $\zeta_{\eta r \varepsilon i v}$ is to wish, to desire.
 These words do not depend on the preceding $8 \tau \mathrm{r}$. but the sentence begins anew; or, rather, $\lambda$ ori $\zeta$ zöal, in positive sense, must be mentally supplied from the $\mu \eta$ خ $\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta a t ~ \lambda o \gamma i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ a b o v e . ~ C o m-~$ pare c. XXVI, note ( ${ }^{( }$).
 The verb ${ }^{\xi} \xi^{\prime} \rho \chi{ }^{\circ} \sigma \theta a t$, not $\phi \varepsilon \delta \gamma^{\prime} t \nu$, is said of going into exile, as
 a $\mu \varepsilon i \beta e \sigma \theta a l$ is to change, or go, from one state to another to take up his residence.-The infinitive $\zeta_{\tilde{\eta} \nu}^{\nu}$ is added per epexegesin to the preceding words, calds- $\beta$ ios $\varepsilon$ ein, to give additional force to the expression; a usage which is frequent after demonstrative pronouns. Compare Matth. § 535. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. and § 468.
$\left.{ }^{m} \kappa d \nu^{\prime} \mu \dot{v} \nu r^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda a v i v \omega\right]$ That is, do not admit them to hear

 was simply $\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \in t$ without the $\ddot{\partial}_{\nu} \nu$, but the participle is found in the best MSS., and has been restored accordingly. It has been a matter of controversy whether rvyxáveıv can be used absolutely in the sense of to be; but Heindorf, Matthis, and others seem to have established the affirmative side of the question.


 multiply examples. For the opposite view, see Porson's Hecuba, จ. 782.
 sentence, introduced by way of parenthesis, as some have thought. The words are under the government of the foregoing 8 ru. The
 bring out the apodosis with greater force. Moreover, it refers us
 emphasis of this use of $\delta \boldsymbol{z}$ in the apodosis of a sentence doubtless lies in its suggesting to us an alternative, which, if not expressed, is at least implied. Its use is, then, analogous to that of the Latin vero in such phrases as tum vero.



 begins the sentence as if he intended its form to be something as follows: 'But in the present case, as I have no money, I am unable to assess myself in that way'; but being led to correct and modify his statement, that he had no money to pay, he is under the necessity of attaching a different termination to the sentence from that which he had intended. The roovírov ov̀v $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \tilde{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a}$ is the representative of the ov тчш̃̈цає хрпра́т $\omega \nu$, which is thus displaced.
 in the preceding word $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ viovar. It not unfrequently happens that we have thus to abstract from a word of precise and definite signification, occurring in one part of a sentence, the more general meaning which alone is applicable to some other part of the same sentence. This is the principle of what the grammarians call zeugma. On áǧóxpews, see c. V. note (').
 Apology was delivered after the judges had, by their second vote, passed sentence of death upon Socrates. The bold and uncompromising tone which he had adopted in the second portion of his address, especially his declaration that he considered himself worthy of a public maintenance in the Prytaneum, had exasperated his enemies; and the majority against him was, according to Diogenes, greater by eighty votes than on the preceding question. The same features which characterise the foregoing portions of the defence are exhibited, in this closing section, in a manner even more striking. There is a pathos, too, about the words, in which the venerable old man takes his leave of his judges, which has found enthusiastic admirers in all ages:-'I will say no more: it is already time for us to be going - for me to die, for you to live; and which of the two is the better
 i. e. for the sake of no long period of time. The last few
years of an old man's life, he would intimate, were but an ignoble mark for so much animosity and malignity. It is true some of the philosophers of ancient Greece enjoyed a marvellously green old age, and prolonged it in a most extraordinary manner (see Cic. Cat. Maj. Chap. V. VII. etc.); but, in the common course of nature, a septuagenarian could not
 good sense, to enjoy distinction, but still capable of being applied to mere notoriety, as here. Perhaps Socrates, with that irony which was so favourite a wcapon of his, has purposely chosen to employ a word which would more naturally suggest a favourable interpretation.-airiav $\bar{z}_{\chi} \in เ \nu$ is a phrase of indifferent import, though more frequently occurring in a bad than in a good sense.The idea contained in övoua kai alriav E'X ${ }^{\text {et }}$ is passive, and we have after it, consequently, $\dot{\boldsymbol{j} \pi \boldsymbol{\delta}}$ with the genitive, as after a passive verb. Compare c. I. note ( ${ }^{( }$).
 which appears to have crept from the margin into the text of several MSS. Heindorf wished it to be retained, but thought that it should be read, rò $\mathbf{\ell} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{z}$ tr $\theta \boldsymbol{y}$ ávai $\delta \dot{\eta}$. See, however, the observations of Matthize, § 468. b.- $\alpha \pi \dot{d}$ тoṽ aủrouárov, of its own accord, even if you had not condemned me to death.

 Similarly, Plato has móppoc lívat tris фi入oooфias, to go to great lengths in philosophy. The subject to zori is $\dot{\eta} \lambda u x i \alpha: m y$ time of life is far advanced. The genitive indicates a partitive notion.

 turned; it may be followed by $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$, ${ }^{\circ} s \tau \varepsilon$, or $\delta \pi \omega \varsigma$, and is some-
 rì $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$, Euthyph. p. 8. C. The whole sentence may be rendered as follows: 'And perhaps you imagine that it is through a lack of arguments that I have been brought in guilty, by the help of which I might have convinced you, had I thought it right to have recourse to all possible means, both in word and deed, in order to elude justice. Far from it,' etc. It is impossible to say whether the oiç $\mathfrak{a} \nu \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \mathrm{~S} \tilde{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$ is intended as an independent sentence, thrown in parenthetically, or as ander the government of oil $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon$. Probably Socrates begins by putting the sentiment in the mouths
of his judges, and then, from his conviction of his truth, adopts it as his own.
e te $\sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu o s$. On the use of the perfect tense, see c. XVII. note ( ${ }^{2}$ ).
 to do this, if any one can go to so great a pitch of impudence, that - Xenoph. Mem. III. 1, 3. riç àv $\varepsilon$ v̉ фpovüv roũ $\sigma o v ̃ ~ \theta i a ́ \sigma o v ~$



E Oávarov Ex申vyeiv] On the infinitive subjoined for the purpose of explanation to the pronoun roüro, see c. XXIV., note (b).

${ }^{2}$ üre $\beta \rho a \delta \dot{v}$ s $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ] Socrates and his accusers are represented as followed, the former by the comparatively slow pursuer, death; the latter by what steals upon us with far more rapid speed,
 grammarians call chiasmus, with $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta$ v́røs and $\beta \rho a \delta v_{s c}$ espectively. Some have advocated our reading vior instead of $\delta \varepsilon เ \nu o i ;$ but the former epithet would not be altogether appropriate to the dicasts en masse, and then detyol conveys more forcibly the impression of bodily strength and vigour.-Socrates plays on the ambigaity of the verb $\dot{a} \lambda \tilde{\nu} v a t$, which is applied both to one who is overtaken in running, and to one who has lost his cause and been condemned.
${ }^{1}$ Oavárov dikn b $\phi \phi \lambda_{i \nu}$ ] That is, condemned to the punishment of death. Literally, having owed, or incurred the penalty of death. The expression is not uncommon. But while we say dirnv $\delta \phi \lambda_{k} \lambda_{\nu}$, we may also say, with equal propriety, $\mu \omega \rho i a \nu$, $\mu 0 \times \theta \eta p i a y$, or $\alpha \delta \alpha x i a \nu \delta \phi \lambda_{i \tau \nu}$, in the sense of to incur the charge of folly, wickedness, or injustice. Socrates avails himself of this
 kal dixxiav, that is, you are convicted and condemned by Truth to the reproach of wickedness and injustice.一 $\tau \tilde{\Psi} \tau \mu \eta \mu a \tau \iota \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell} \mu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$,

 part, I think it is all very well as it is.'
 the current belief, that the soul attained a closer resemblance to divinity on the approach of death, and acquired the power of foreseeing and foretelling the future. On this interesting subject see Cicero Div. I. 30, with the commentaries upon that passage.
 ye have inflicted on me in condemning me to death. The mode of expression is one which arises out of studied brevity. Ordinary usage would have required oiav.... ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda a ́ \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ; ~ b u t, ~ a t ~ t h e ~ t h o u g h t ~}$ of the nature of the revenge they had taken, he substitutes the
 that is, from giving an account of your life, and being therefore censured.

 ye put men to death. Fischer was wrong in supposing that the aorist was required.
 hence, to prevent anything from being accomplished; to frustrate an undertaking.
XXXI. iv is ol äpxovres doxo入iav áyoval] That is, while the Eleven are occupied. The judges used to deliver to the Eleven those who were condemned to be punished. It was the duty of these functionaries to order their assistants to lead away the culprit to prison, and inflict on him the prescribed punishment. See the article äv $\delta \varepsilon x a$ in the Dictionary of Antiquities, where the particulars of this magistracy are given. of ì $\begin{aligned} & \text { bivra }\end{aligned}$ -reӨvávas i. e. zic to $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega t \dot{\eta} \rho t o v$. A little further on, $\delta \iota a \mu v 00-$入oyñat is to discuss or converse together.
 considers the words $\dot{\eta}$ roṽ $\delta a \iota \mu \nu i o v$ a gloss, because Plato elsewhere is accustomed to call the thing itself $\boldsymbol{\text { o }}$ daupovtov, and because, when he expresses the same thing by a substantive, as
 rather than roṽ $\delta a u \mu o v i o v$. But even if a passage cannot be found in every respect resembling this, yet we are safe in following all the MSS. And the want of those words would be felt, since, without them, it might be doubted what description of $\mu a v \tau$ ux' $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ he meant. Therefore he adds $\dot{\eta}$ тoṽ daıцoviov, namely, that which I owe to the spirit which I have before mentioned. For $\dot{\eta} \mu a \nu \tau u k\rangle$ does not denote the thing itself which Socrates intended, when he spoke of his $\delta a \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \nu t o \nu$, but rather the effect of that daimonion.-A little further on, observe the collocation $\pi$ ávv
 the emphatic word. So Euthyd. p. 305. C. mávv rapà $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ oĩs.

that is, as often as $I$ was about, etc. On the use of the optative, to indicate an action frequently repeated, see Matth. Gr. § 521.
 owe would take to be, and which really are regarded, as the morst of evils. The relative pronoun ai serves at once for object to oipfein and subject to ropileral. See Marth. Gr. §498. 2, and 474. d.

- $\lambda i$ ㄱovra Meraziv] That is, at the rery moment of my speaking; in the middle of my speaking. Compare Theag. p. 188. E. $\lambda$ íyorros

入órov.
 comma for the note of interrogation; but this much impairs the vigour and liveliness of the passage. Plato ofton makes his speakers interrogate themselves, and answer their own questions. The whole sentence we may render as follows: ' Do you ask what I concsive to be the reasom of this? I will tell you. I am disposed to think that the event which has befaller mee is a blessing; and it is impossible that those among us can have been right in our notions, who believed death to be an evil. I have had convincing proof of this; for the moonted sign would certainly mot have failed to oppose me, if I had not been purposing to do something that was good.'
 pressed in the former part of this chapter have excited much attention, and portions of it hare been often quoted and translated. Easebins has transcribed part of it in his Proeparatio Frangelica, and Stobeens in his Sermones; while Cicero has given us his version of almost the whole chapter in his Tusculan Disputations, Lib. I. 41. 'Magna me spes tenet, judices, bene mihi erenire, quod mittar ad mortem. Necesse est enim, sit alterum de duobus; ut ant sensus omnino omnes mors anfarat, ant in alium quendam locum ex his locis morte migretar,' etc.

 Oávaros. Ensebius, and Theodoret (who likewise quotes this passage), have $\mu ⿰ \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\delta} \mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{rt}$ Eivau, whence Heindorf conjectures that the reading ought to be $\mu$ गoìv Ên Eival
 Matth. §389. 1. -Instead of $\mu$ eroicyars rovi róxov might have been said meroixyous ix reè rórov. Yet the former is no less
usual. For since the varb $\mu$ eroucivy is not only congtrued with prepositions, but also is used with an accusative of the place, from which one person goes to another, as in Pausan. IV. 40. 'Acapia-

 roṽ $k v r a \tilde{v} \theta a$, because the verbal substantive signifies motion to a place. We have before spoken of a similar use of prepositions (c. XX. note ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )): the construction of the adverbs has been illustrated by Heindorf on Gorgias, p. 472. B. where we find: \%
 ivO'̃ de inchígaotau. Compare also Buttmann's Gr. § 138. 8.
${ }^{d} \kappa a i$ eire $\left.\left.\delta\right\rangle \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu i a-\right]$ The other alternative is given a good way on, and introduced by the words $\epsilon i \dot{\delta}$ aṽ olov $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \dot{\eta} \eta-$

 is repeated on account of the long parenthesis; and its insertion at the head of the sentence prepares us for the hypothetical character of the proposition. Compare Soph. Ant. 466.-

$$
d \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \hat{a} \text { ci rò } \downarrow t \xi l \mu \eta \pi s
$$

 reivocs àv j̄入yovv.
For a similar reason, the words diso and of $\mu \mathrm{cc}$ are subsequently repeated. Heindorf wished also the word $\varepsilon i$ to be repeated before the words disot ox\& $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \nu$, for the sake of perspicuity And it is written so in Eusebius. But as the construction of the sentence is not altered from the beginning, this repetition does not appear to be necessary.
${ }^{8} \mu \eta$ \% $\%$ rt idtórnv] That is, not to say any private man. See Matth. Gr. §624. 4.
 be connected with rò $\mu \dot{\kappa} \gamma \alpha \nu \beta a \sigma \lambda_{i} a_{a}$, and add emphasis to the expression: the great king himself.-Eivapi $\theta \mu \eta$ rot $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \iota$, that is, days which may be easily counted, very few : xpds indicates comparison: if they be compared with other days and nights. So a

${ }^{1}$ rai $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oúdèv $\pi$ deiwy] Fischer, following the quotation in Eusebius, reads $\pi \lambda$ eiov. But the more correct reading is $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i \omega \nu$, meaning longer, oùdiv. being ased in the sense of ou, as is frequently the case. Cicero has thus translated these words: perpetuitas consequentis temporis similis futura est uni nocti.-For

 ті̀ тávra xpóvoy.
${ }^{1}$ Mívus re cai 'PadápanOvs, $x$. r. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ ] These words are placed in apposition with the relative pronoan; whereas the first part of the sentence would lead us to expect the accusative. So Phsedo,
 Hipp. Maj. p. 281. C. tí тore rò aitiov, ört oi malacol iкeivon,

 rent irregularities are due to the principle of attraction, which exercises so strong an influence in the structure of a Greek sentence. It would be easy to multiply examples; bat those who are anxioas to see a greater number, may refer to Wolf on Demosth. Lept. § 15, or Heindorf on Hippias Maj. § 2. By a similar construction, Sulpicius in Cic. ad. Diversos, IV. 5, writes: genus hoc consolationis miserum eat, quia, per quos eas confieri debet, propinquos ac familiares, ipsi pari molestia afficiunturRespecting the judges of the infernal regions, and their duties, there is a remartable passage in Gorg. p. 523. E. euq. It appears to have been the opinion of the common people in Attica, probably derived, by rumour, from the Eleusinian mysteriea, that Triptolemus, and other heroes who had lived a just and pions life, became judges in the infernal regions. For Triptolemus was said not only to hare tanght the Athenians agriculture, but also to have given them laws, whence he was called $\theta$ equoфópos. The following words, sai $\boldsymbol{a}_{\text {lou }}$ boor к. r. $\lambda$, seem to refer to the prevalent notion, that the dead would practise in the shades what had been their occapations in the upper world.
 tandem sestimatis? Xenoph. Mem. II. 2, 8. aldd viो $\Delta i a \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}$,
 §585. B.

 mo八入ásuc: whence Heindorf conjectured that Plato wrote: é $\mathbf{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$ $\mu \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}$ sal тollaíac, etc. Bat there is no need of change. The word $\gamma$ dp sometimes introduces the real reason for a preceding or following statement; but, very frequently, indeed, refers to a statement or sentiment, to which the train of thought leads 50 spontaneously as to render it unneceseary to do more than thus intimate it; and somecimes, like the Latin cnin, seems to
mean simply, indeed, at any rate, according to its etymology,

 cerned, the intercourse there would be delightful; to meet with Palamedes and Ajax, the son of Telamon, and any of the rest of the ancients, who, through an unjust sentence, were put to death: to compare their sufferings with my own would, I conceive, be no unpleasant occupation.'-ávtıזapa $\beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \iota$ is, in point of sense, equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau เ \pi a p a \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon t \nu$. The stories of Palamedes and Ajax are well known. See, for the former, Virg. Enn. II. 81, and Ovid. Met. XIII. 55; for the latter, Hom. Od. XI. 545.
 in apposition with the whole of the following clause. See Matth. §432.5.

- $\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}\langle\pi l$ Tpoiav áyayóvta] That is, Agamemnon.

 by which several sentences are sometimes united in one clause.





 тठ $\sigma \omega ิ \mu a$.

 stupidity. The genitive is a partitive one.
 me absolvistis. Socrates will not recognise as jadges those who condemned him. Compare c. XXXI.
 $\tau i$ is used here before roṽro arises from the usage of the Greeks, first to express what they mean generally by the pronoun rt, and then to limit or define the meaning more accurately. So we should say, one particular thing, namely this, is to be regarded as
 the design and will of the gods.- $\alpha^{\prime} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda$. $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$, that is, human affairs, with the accessory notion of labour and toil.-oi mávv $\chi a \lambda .$, not much; not greatly. Others have incorrectly translated it by no means, a signification which the words no where
have-A little further on, Heindorf suggests that the reading
 is more serious and emphatic as it stands.
c тajrd тaṽтa $\lambda v \pi$ ои̃ขre¢] That is, giving them just the same annoyance which I have done you; to wit, exhorting them to virtue, making trial of their wisdom, convincing them of folly.-Eivai tt k. r. $\lambda$., that is, If they thimk themselves to be something when they are nothing, reproach them, as I have done you, etc.
d 'aldd $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho-$-] Cicero Tusc. L. 41. Sed tempus est jam hinc abire, me, nt moriar; vos, ut vitam agatis. Utrum autem sit melius, dii immortales sciunt: hominem quidem scire arbitror neminem. See c. XXIX, note (*).


## NOTES ON THE CRITO.

Crito.] Crito, whose name is honoured by standing at the head of this dialogue, was a wealthy and generous Athenian. He wrote a considerable number of treatises in the dialogue form, but it is to his faithful and self-sacrificing attachment to his friend and master that he owes by far the greater part of his fame. His sons were also pupils of Socrates
 The interrogative $\pi \eta \nu i k a$ is correctly used, not of time in general, but of the subdivisions of the day. See Thom. M. p.713, ed.

 is frequently used with numeruls and similar words, to indicate that nothing more than an approximation, as near as possible however to the exact truth, is intended.
${ }^{\text {b }}{ }_{\text {of }} \rho \rho \rho o s \beta a \theta v_{c}$.] Crito defines the time more accurately in these words, for $\pi \rho \Psi^{\tilde{j}}$ and $\delta \rho \theta \rho o s$ differ from one another, as in Latin mane and diluculum, of which the former is the part of the day extending from twilight to about the third hoar, according to the antient division of the day; but the latter is the twilight itself, when

Nox abiit, nec tamen orta dies,
according to Ovid. Amat. L. 5, 6. Phrynichus: ö $\rho 0 \rho \mathrm{pos} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta} \pi \rho \delta$
 adjective $\beta a \theta$ v́s $_{\underline{c}}$ is used by the Greeks in reference to time as the word "depth" is used in the phrase "the depth of winter."
 $\beta$ aféoç. Lucian. Asin. 34. vv́g $\beta a \theta \varepsilon i ̃ a$, where see Reitz. Polyæn. Strateg. I. 28, 2, $\beta$ a日eias í $\sigma$ rípac.


 So a little further on: $\pi \omega ̃ s$ oùr iníysépág $\mu$ éeioús; Socrates
wonders that Crito was admitted so soon by the jailor, because it was not yet the legitimate time for opening the doors: of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$
 swering of a knock by the janitor.
d eai rt kal ebepyernrac] The reading kal rot kai, and in truth also, which some have preferred, appears inconsistent with the modesty of Crito, who does not wish to boast of benefits conferred on the man, but merely to state the cause of his being admitted. Delicacy of feeling would lead him rather to depreciate the sacrifices he had made in order to secure a ready admission to his friend's cell. And the reading, we have admitted, is sanctioned by some of the best MSS. The $\tau l$ is to be taken in connexion with ejepyitntat: he has received a trifie from me: see Matth. § 415. Nor is there anything unusual in its being separated from the verb by the intervening conjunction. Buttmann and others prefer the present imperfect eijepyereirah, as indicating that Crito regularly gave the doorkeeper a gratuity on paying his visits to the prison. But the present perfect ejepyifntal is even more expressive, as it indicates that the remembrance of his favours in time past, still survived in the man's mind; without at all precluding the notion of their being repeated from time to time. No universal rule can be laid down for the augment of verbs like eispyeriw. See Matth. § 169, and compare ib. 167, note 6.
 ago. So Theaet. near the beginning, "A 1

 placed, by $\pi a ́ v v, ~ \lambda i ́ a v . ~ S e e ~ E u s t a t h . ~ o n ~ I . ~ a ́, ~ p . ~ 547 . ~ H e s y c h . ~$ under the word.-Immediately afterwards, the interrogative eira indicates wonder and annoyance. See Apolog. Socr. c. XVI.
 have been at once so sleepless and so sad ; literally, to have been in such wakefulness and sorrow. The particle áv used with the imperfect indicates the supposition of a case contrary to that
 the ordinary construction would be $\grave{\boldsymbol{\imath} \nu}$ roбav́ry dypvavilq re cal $\lambda$ dery, which is found in some MSS. But the other reading is explained by understanding roaav́ry again after kaí. For $\tau \in$. is put immediately after roбaviry to show that that word belonge to $\lambda i ́ \pi y$ as well as to áypúzriç.
 expressions of this kind the word is retains something of that force which it has when enunciated as an exclamation. Comp. Xen. Cyr., where the young Cyrus says of Astyages, wis ra入ós




${ }^{5}$ iva ís \#ठıara סudyys] The Greeks use the subjunctive mood after conjunctions indicating the final canse, even when a past tense has gone before, if the apodosis describes a state which is contemplated as still abiding, as is here the case. Crito intimates that not merely was it his purpose to secure his friend as mach enjoyment of life as possible, but that it is so still. Compare Matth. §518.1. With סiáyps we must understand ròv ßiov, a very customary ellipsis.
 Matth. § 368.- трóros here means the mode of thinking and acting exhibited in a man's life,-his disposition. Phædo, p. 58. E. cídaipuv छффaivero roṽ rpórov. Xenophon likewise bears testimony to the calm equanimity of his master: Memor. IV. 8. 3.
 i. e. for me at my time of life, for it is better to understand the

 he expresses it in general terms: "it were absurd that a man of such an age should be unvilling to die." Socrates was now seventy. See c. XIV.
 like calamities. It would be easy to multiply examples of this


 aujrois, resting as it does on the authority of the better manuscripts. If, however, we read aúroũg, it must be understood as a
 ayavanteiv; the $\mu \eta$ ouxi being, as often is the case, redandant in point of logic. Stallbaum seems to travel rather far for his solution of the difficulty presented by the latter reading: "Vulgatum defendi potest," he writes, "siquidem $\ell \pi \iota \lambda$ écotat proprie est alicui aliquid liberum prastare ideoque concedere:" i.e. he
would interpret, their old age by no moans vowchoafes to them an exemption from grief, etc. The whole sentence we may render as follows: Others beside you, Socrates, are overtaken at your time of life by the like calamities, and yet their age has not the effect of suving them from distress at the misfortune which has come upon them.
 Stallbaum renders, "quod attinet ad illud," and the formula ro $\mu \dot{\eta}$ od is often as here tantamount to the Latin quominus: "non liberat eos quominus indignutnur." We subjoin a few similar examples. Eur. Hippol. v. 48.-

 8iкทข roaaúrทv•
Where some MSS. have тоṽ $\mu$ 川 ob.
Prom. v. 243.- Ēॄєрvбá $\mu \eta \nu$ ßрогоข̀s

Where some MSS. have $\tau \delta \mu \eta$.


${ }^{1}$ aldd $\left.\tau i \delta \dot{n}-\right]$ That is, but, to return to the former subject, pray, why, etc.
 words. 'I am come, he says,' to bring tidings which I know perfectly well will not be painful to you, but which to myself and all your friends are painful and distressing, and which, methinks, I shall feel the most deeply of all.




 sentences the article or demonstrative pronoun indicates that mention has before been made of the thing spoken of.
 das Schiff aus Delos zurückgekommen? 'Is the ship, perchance, come back from Delos?' But the particle $\hat{\eta}$, like the Latin an, can only be used as an interrogative when another alternative is implied, though suppressed. Here we may supply, 'or, is my question needless, and is it that the ship, etc., i. e. But why do I ask, etc. Compare c . XXVII. note (e). —On the use of the in-

## CRITO.

finitive reӨvávah, where awoOvígresv might be expected, see Apolog. Socrat. c. XVII. note ( ${ }^{\mathbf{z}}$ ). -The Athenians, in gratitude for Apollo's sending Theseus and his companions back in safety from Crete, sent annually a public embassy to Delos, to offer sacrifice to Apollo, and ceiebrate his praises in hymns. These ambassadors were called $\theta \in \omega \rho o i$, or $\theta \in \omega \rho i a$, probably from the
 Apollo. From the time when the sacred ship was decorated with the laurel wreath, until its return, it was unlawful to inflict punishment on condemned criminals. See Xenoph. Mem. IV. 8, 2. Since the ship was adorned with the laurel wreath the day before the condemnation of Socrate8, and returned thirty days after, Socrates must have been thirty days in prison after his condemnation. See the commencement of the Phædo, with the passage of Xenophon referred to above.
 others, $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$ is often placed without being answered by $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\hat{\varepsilon}}$. But when this is the case, there is yet always some clause or sentence of contrast implied, which the reader mentally supplies for himself. In the present case, however, some doubts exist as to the precise manner in which the thought is to be completed. Most interpreters understand some such clause as $\sigma a \phi \tilde{s}$ s $\delta^{\prime}$ oive oi $\delta$ a.

 ferent is required by the sense, and even that the words doce $i$ $\mu^{i} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu 0<\boldsymbol{H} \xi \in t \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho o \nu$ are used with the delicacy of Attic speech
 among the Socratic speakers. In the same manner Phædo,
 case, we are disposed to think that the sentence to which $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ refers us is contained in the preceding words ove roc $\delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \phi \kappa к \alpha l$,

 Stallbaum's valuable note entire, but there seems reason to doubt the correctness of his explanation. It is not easy to believe that $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ can refer us back to a sentence already enunciated; and the passage is quite capable of explanation without any such hypothesis. It must be remembered that $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ can as well take after it a sentence of co-ordination or of climax as one of contrast and opposition, and may be followed by other particles than $\delta^{\prime}$. (See Matth. § 622. and Liddel and Scott's Lexicon, under $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$.) And
in the present case the complement of the idea would seem to be
 would say, 'is not merely my opinion, but, from the reports which have been brought, it is clear that such will be the case.'-Imme-
 d d $\pi \alpha \gamma \gamma^{E} \lambda \lambda o v a \iota:$ from the reports which have been brought. So Cicer. Epist XVI. 22. ex tuis epistolis. - Voúviov, a promontory of Attica, situated in that part which faces the Cyclades and the Fgæan Sea.
II. s ríxy dya0ỹ] A well known form used by the Greeks as a good omen, when they themselves or others were undertaking anything. It answers to the Latin quod bene vertat, quod felix faustumque sit. See Sympos. p. 177. E. Thucyd. IV. 118. Socrates, hearing that he must die, is so far from fearing death that he even considers it to be an object to be sought for as a blessing.
 that word has all the force of a comparative. Sympos. p.173. A.
 stead of the optative $\begin{gathered}\text { a } \\ \text { Ooc we have adopted the subjunctive: for }\end{gathered}$ the meaning is: on whatever day it may have returned. Compare Matth. § 527. The only way of explaining the optative is by regarding it as a quotation of the sentence in oratio obliqua : $\boldsymbol{I}$ was to die when the vessel arrived. . But the subjunctive appears in the best MSS.
 In $\nu \delta \varepsilon x a$, who had the office of executing sentence upon those who were condemned by the public tribunals. See note on Apolog. Socr. c. XXVII, and Dict. Antiquities, art. èvסékc. - The particles $\gamma^{\prime}$ rot $\delta^{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ have the force of an affirmation with some restriction, 'at all events, so say they who have the dispusal of these

 used with an omission of its object. Compare Gorg. p. 484. B. Republ. p. 406. D.-Socrates refers his dream to the time immediately before his awaking, because it was only after midnight that dreams were regarded as true. See Homer's Od. IV. v. 842. and XX. 82-91. So too Hor. Sat. I. 10. 33.

Quirinus
Post mediam noctem visus, quam somnia vera.
d $\ell \nu \kappa \alpha u \tilde{\psi} \tau เ \nu 1]$ Very opportunely. кıข $\boldsymbol{\delta \nu \nu \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon , ~ i m m e d i a t e l y ~}$ before, is ky a peculiar Attic usage equivalent to סokei. Strictly
it implies peril or risk; hence simply, a probability. Compare Apol, c. IX. note (c).

- 'EDoreĩ Tis $\mu \mathrm{oc}$ yvvi] $\Delta$ oneiv is often used of dreams and


 As persons appearing in dreams were believed to be divine, they are generally represented as taller and more beautiful and imposing than human beings. Hence the woman, who appeared to Socrates, is called $\kappa a \lambda \eta$ j $\alpha a i$ evedions, beautiful and well formed, and she is described as $\lambda$ eved ipárua ÉXoval, clothed in white; for ancient superstition agreed with modern in assigning white attire to visitors from the world of spirits. Still the idea convesed by $\lambda_{\text {eved }}$ is not that of a ghostly pallor, but rather of a brilliant, dazzling hue. It is, in short, equivalent to candidus rather than to albus. On this matter the commentators on Pliny's Epist. VIII, 27. may be consulted with adrantage. The verse, which the woman is said to have recited, is taken from Iliad. IX. 363. They are the words of Achilles, who, enraged by the insults of Agamemnon, declares that he will return to his home, which he hopes to reach on the third day. Plato alters the verb from the first to the second person, to suit the convenience of the speaker. In the original passage it is ixoi $\mu \eta \nu$. Cicero de Divinat. I. 25, where he mentions this passage, thus translates the verse: Tertia te Phthim tempestas leta locabit. The Phthia of the sage is the better life which he is anticipating. Life, he contemplates; as a mere sojourn at a distance from the country which best deserves to receive the name of home.
 $\pi a \rho a \dot{\delta} o \xi o v$, as the word is correctly interpreted by Thomas M.,

 ivapyes, so clear and unmistakeable, that there is no need of conjecturing in order to discover its import.-The particles $\mu \grave{\ell} \nu$ oüv have the force of increasing and correcting: nay, nay indeed, say rather. Thus, in the amusing scene in the knights of Aristophanes, where Cleon and the sausage-seller are vying with each other in obsequiousness to their master Demus, Cleon says (Eq. 910)

Sausage-seller.- $\ddagger \mu o \grave{v} \mu i \nu \nu$ oùv.

Cleon.- $\frac{1}{} \mu \circ \bar{\nu} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ oìv.
Cleon.-" Demus, wipe jour nose on my head.
Sausage-seller.-Nay, on mine!
Cleon.--Nay, on mine!"
Compare, too, Gorg. p. 466, A. E., where it is used to indicate a decided agreement with what has gone before.
 now, now at least, Plato indicates that Crito had before made vain attempts to persuade Socrates to consult his safety by flight.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ ov $\left.\mu i a \xi \nu \mu \phi o p a ́-\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma a t\right] \quad$ The sense is this: it will be mo single calamity that will befall me in the case of your death; but apart from my being bereft of a friend the like of whom I shall never find again, I shall in addition to this incur the suspicion, on the part of muny who do not well know either you or me, of having neglected you; on the ground that I had it in my power to save your life, if I had been willing to lay out money for that purpose.

 íбrepทेöal is a correction of Wolf's, the MS. giving $\sigma o v$. . The following examples are subjoined to indicate the general usage of Greek writers in similar cases. Sympos. p. 173. C. xwpis roṽ


 which the two negatives are employed in the expression ovésiva $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$. The rationale of the construction is precisely the same as that by which we explain the simple ov $\mu \eta$ with the subjanc-
 $\mu \eta^{\prime} \pi o \tau^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \nu a$. It is true, the verb is here in the fature indicative and not in the aorist subjunctive; but the usage being once established, the combination of particles might henceforward be employed simply as an intensified negative. Buttmann and others connect the particle $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ with the infinitive $\dot{d}^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma a t$. But $\delta \delta \xi \omega \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma a t$ is not Greek, and hardly to be explained even with the supposition of an anacoluthia The simpler way is to
 virtually done in the rendering of the sentence above given; the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma a c$ being clearly under the direct regimen of $\delta \delta^{\xi} \xi \omega$. Nor is there any necessity for reading $\mathbb{d} \nu \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$. See Hermann on Hecaba, v. 1087.

[^1]of explaining the idea intended to be conveyed by a comparative genitive, it is generally introduced by $\hat{\eta}$. Compare Theages,


 סoceiv is not without parallel. Herod. viii. 4. mapd $\delta o ́ \xi a \nu . .$. ì is autiol carcoboovv. Indeed, it would be perfectly easy to multiply examples.
 unusual in this use of the adjective $\delta \tilde{j} \lambda o \mathrm{c}$, nor do we do anything more than evade the difficulty by saying, as Fischer does, that $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda \frac{v}{}$ is used in the sense of $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \tau u x \delta \nu$. For the passages which he brings forward in support of this interpretation, from Antoninus and Theophrastus, are either corrupt or susceptible of a different explanation. Stephens adopts Cornarius's conjecture of In $\lambda$ oin, but there is no necessity for doing this. For the writer passes, by a kind of anacoluthia, from a passive to an active con-
 yaopiva ioriv, bat he suddenly changes the construction, and expresses his idea much more emphatically by saying: $8 \tau t$ oloi


- iva oloi $\tau \in$ jo $\sigma a y$ ] The final conjunction Iva, like the hypothetical $\& \nu$, is used with a past tense of the indicative, to indicate a contingency which has not come to pass, and which is therefore now contemplated as no longer possible. Comp. FEd. Tyr. 1886,




- that I might have been blind and deaf too, which, however, I am not. See Matth. \& 519. It may, therefore, be with propriety used in the case of a supposition which both is, and always has been, impossible. We may translate: Would that they were able to do us the greatest evil, in order that they might also in turn do us the greatest good, and it were woll: but as matters now are, they can do neither.
${ }^{8}$ roviro, 8 rt $\mathbb{A} \nu$ rúxwot] That is, they do not folloso reason, but a kind of blind impulse. So further on, c. V. 8 rt $\hat{a} \nu$ ríxwot,



 with a kind of suspicion of what we are unvilling should be the case: surely you are not concerned, etc. The $\gamma^{2}$ has the effect of giving an additional emphasis to the interrogation: 'Surely you are not concerned for me, are you?' On the other hand, $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ ' ob is about equivalent to the Latin nowse. - xpáypara rapixesy, to yive trouble, or create annoyance to any ome : often said of persons who annoy others by accusations. For the word $\pi \rho d \gamma \mu a r a$ is sometimes used simply in the sense of lavo-snits and quarreds.
 to lose either even the whole of our property, or at least a great part of owr weath. The sal with sã̃ay has the force of emphasizing it; omnem adeo rem familiarem nostram, as Stallibaum renders it. It is used again wish precisely the same force before allon apos roírots, 'or even to suffer zome additional penalty;' for example, imprisomment, exile, or death. It will be eary to see, therefore, why it is not repeated before ooxud xpinpara.
 well-Respecting the construction of the words imeits yap $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$
 We may render: It is but right, I ween, that to aave your life, we should be ready to incur this risk, or one even greater than this.'


- Mÿre roivvy raüra $\phi \beta_{\beta o v]}$ The thread of discourse, which is here broken, is resumed a little further on with the words:
 perceiving this that transcribers were led to write $\mu \geqslant$ instead of $\mu{ }_{\eta} \tau$ e, in the former case.
' roviovs rov̀s $\sigma$ кoophyrac] Said with contempt: 'these fellors, the informers:' for rov̀s covopávrac is appended to robirous by way of apposition. Compare chap. IX, at the end of the first
 rard roúrovg elvau p̣̂tup. Demosthen. Philipp. I. p. 41. xapa-


: in' aidoós] That is, to bribe them.
 is at your disposal: for travá is added by apposition.
 $\kappa \tilde{\mathrm{c}}$, as the grammarians say. 'My friends here present. "O ${ }_{\mathrm{E}}$
is often used in precisely the same way．See Matth．§ 471． 12. －Simmias and Cebes，Thebans and intimate friends of Socrates， are introduced disputing with him in the Phwdo．Some few particalars concerning them are given in their lives by Laertius and Suidas．Both are said to have written something，but the Tabula，which goes under the name of Cebes，appears to be in－ correctly ascribed to him．
 of saving your life．For Crito，in his love towards his friend， forgets the principles of virtue，and imagines that Socrates him－ salf was willing to consult his safety by flight．

 Gorg．p．486．A．Sympos．p．216．C．Xenoph．Anab．III．1， 41.



 i入xióa 入otxìy careíde．
 require $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \alpha 0$ ṽ．But since $8 \pi 0$ follows，attraction produces alloos．On this subject see Matth．§ 474．and Buttm．§ 138．1．4． The comms is，therefore，removed from between $\boldsymbol{a} \lambda \lambda o \sigma \varepsilon$ and \％тои

V．－iscov $\sigma \omega 0 \eta \eta v a t]$ ．When you have it in your power to escape． On nominatives，or as some will have it，accusatives absolute，like
 is applied to $\pi \rho \tilde{\tilde{\gamma}} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ as an apposition；per epexegesin，as the grammarians say．So Menon p．76．A．íßpıorìs $\varepsilon$ ll，む̀ Mérwv，

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ oixinget катa入ıтஸ゙y］The word oixeconac seems to indicate the quickness of the action，and the eagerness of the agent．It might be rendered in Latin by confestim deseres．This peculiar force of the phrase is traceable to the perfect meaning of the present olxorac．It is as if he had said，＇you will be off and leave them ere soe are asoare．＇For it must be borne in mind that oixprast is strictly，not，you will go，bat，you will be gone．Other examples have been collected by Matthix，§ 559．c．
 corned，as c．XI and c．XVI．

the will of fortune may assign to them : whatever may happen to them. For the word $\pi \rho a \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ is taken in the sense of meeting
 $\pi \rho a \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \iota$. Remark the use of the pronoun roüro, for which, according to the usual construction, some adverb would be substituted. But in the same manner Eurip. Troad. v. 700. we have $\pi \rho a ́ \xi e a \nu ~ r \iota ~ \kappa \kappa \delta \nu o ́ v$, where, according to Seidler, that phrase is

 c. III. note ( $)$.
 worthy of an indolent and self-indulgent man. Tu astem mihi videris ea, qua cum maxima pigritia atque supinitate conjuncta sunt, elegisse. Serranus. An indefinite sabject, to which the participle $\phi$ áorovta must be referred, is understood with the infinitive $\ell \pi \iota \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma a t$.
 lowed by $\mu \eta$ because it involves in it the idea of apprehension, $\phi 0 \beta o z \mu a t$.
 others, observing that the words $\tau$ च̈s $\delta i k \eta s$, were not translated by Ficinus, suspected that they were a gloss. But as the verb ziciévah or cisípरeotal, may be predicated not merely of the litigants, but also with equal propriety of the suit, why should it not be correct to say $\dot{\eta}$ Eisodos rins סikns? Fischer, Schleiermacher, and Buttmann defend the common reading in the same manner. The words els ro dixaorijpeov, which Schleiermacher thought ought to be rejected, are sometimes added when the cause itself is said ziçívat or zistoxeroan. Demosthen. adv.

 prosecutor and the accused are admitted to plead the cause before the judge. See Schömann and Meier's "Attische Process,"
 for the purpose of interpretation: per epexegesin. It may, however, be doubted whether we ought not to read is $\varepsilon i c \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu$, which was preferred by Wolf, especially since that learned commentator found in it some good MSS. This makes the expression more precisely parallel with the eicodos ring $\delta i x \eta s$ abore. The word $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{o} \nu$, however, seems to favour the reading $\varepsilon i c \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \mathrm{c}$.-

means by saying, that there was no necessity for Socrates to stand his trial. It may be that reference is made to a law mentioned by Lysias, according to which it was permitted to the defendant, if apprehensive of the issue of the trial, $\mathbf{\omega}$ go into voluntary exile (dedoón dicpps ivtina dpancálenp). See Lysias, p. 354. ed. Reisk. Others prefer referring the words to Anytus, who, according to Libanins, after commencing the prosecution, wished to be reconciled to Socrates on certain conditions. (Liben. T. I. p. 644.) This opinion is certainly erroneous, since in public canses, when the prosecutor had once appealed to the magistrates, he had no longer the power of compromising the matter with the socused. See Meier and Sehömann, p. 702
 contest before the judges, with the orations pronounced, and eapecially to the defence of Socrates.
${ }^{1}$ Ësx resembles a comedy or tragedy, with its three parts, spóraous, ixiraors, maraorpopm. Thus the coming before the judges might be called the xpóraous; the pleading of the canse, the imiraors; and, finally, the fact that Socrates was not saved, the catastropic, which Plato here calls sarráyenees." Cornarime. In Crito's opinion, this issue of the affinir is simply ridiculous. He therefore calls it sarcíyelas, an abourd or preposterons turn which the drams has taken.

 Ocu co-ardinately with what goes before, mai ì sisodos rijs dicus and sai aúròs ó diyùv rịs dicus. For three things are mentioned by Crito, as probable sources of reproech to the friends of Socrates : the beginning of the canse, the defence made, and finally the issue of the trial, along with the fact that his friends woald seem, from cowardice or from a want of concern for him, to have

 per eperegesin to the words rod redewraiov dij rowri, according to

 where Heindorf incorrectly suggests the reading rò EEsivan.



The infinitive draxeqevyivau is put absolutely, as equivalent to סcaxe申evytvau rov rivoivov, a frequent usage, as may be seen from the Lexicons to Thucydides and Xenophon.-The infinitive
 pear, at first sight, to be added by a kind of negligence or redundance, such as we perceive in c.III., in the words: kai rot ric a $\alpha$
 Oau iो фiovs; but, on considering the matter more closely, it appears that the word doceiv could not well be omitted in this passage. For if Crito had said: $\delta$ ca have appeared to admit the truth of the reproach which, he says, will be arged against himself and the other friends of Socrates; especially since he has been enumerating circumstances which were really true. For it was true that Socrates had appeared before the tribunal, and also that he had made his defence, which is called $\dot{d}$ dy $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{j} \mathrm{y}$ dirys. Hence it appears that the passage needs no emendation, and that there is no anacoluthia in it, as some have supposed.
${ }^{1}$ oubte $\sigma \dot{v} \sigma a v \tau \delta \nu$ ] These words at first seem to interfere with the sense. For Crito is now speaking, not of the indifference shown by Socrates himself respecting his own life, but of the apparent carelesseness and apathy of his friends, who would seem to have deserted their master, and consulted nothing bat their own safety. But they are quite capable of being defended and explained. While enlarging on the reproaches which he inges were sure to be heaped on the friends of Socrates, Crito cannot help throwing in by the way this justiffcation of himself, which is at the same time a gentle and affectionate remonstrance with his master for thus persisting in his refusal of assistance. We may endeavour to render it: ' We shall be reproached with not having saved your life-and you would not save it yourself! - though it was quite possible, and in our pover to do so.'
 note (g).
 Latin: vel potines, immo vero. It is no longer the season to deliberate, but to have already deliberated, i.e to have come to a resoIution.
 is greatly to be commended, if it weere only accompanied by rectitude
 quent omission. On the optative in the apodosis, see Matth. § 524.3. and Apol. c. IV. note (d).
 § 479.2, 3. -Tà ápá, the things which belong to me, as well passions and inclinations of the mind, as things extrinsic.
 the words are opposed to repä̀ and трєбßєviєtv. 'Ekßád properly to cast out, to throw awoay, and is said of things that are useless, which we do not care about: hence it often means to spurn, to despise.
d $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \dot{\partial} \omega$ кai $\tau \mu \omega ̃]$ The varb $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta$ vésı is here almost synonymous with $\tau \mu \tilde{q} \nu$. Compare Pollux Onom. II. 12. $\pi \rho \in \sigma-$

 av̇ròv кai $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \varepsilon v ́ y . \quad$ Alschyl. Chœph. v. 480. Eumenid. v. 1. Earip. Hippolyt. v. 5. Alcest. v. 282.
 you. On the use of the combined negative particles ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$, see c. III. note (b).


 к. т. $\lambda$. But this does not appear to be correct. For the collocation of the words seems necessarily to lead us to connect $\pi$ reiw with $\mu \circ \rho \mu 0 \lambda$ v́rryrat, and there is nothing at all unusual in this adverbial use of the accusative plural neuter. In precisely the same way, chap. XIV. of this dialogue (near the end), we have
 gestures and by pronouncing the word Mophé, as it is correctly explained by Gesner, on Claudian. Carm. XXXI. v. 111. Hence it means to scare or frighten a person by bugbears; or generally, to terrify, to intimidate ; but the terror meant is usually groundless. The active $\mu$ о $\mu_{0} \lambda$ verrety is only found in the works of
 The word $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} เ \pi \frac{1}{\ell} \mu \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$, like the Latin immittere, is said of what is suddenly and forcibly presented before a person.
 thus used. It is an oratorical method of adding weight and energy to what is uttered. Compare Achilles Tatius, VIII. 8.

 de Fin. I. 8, neces Catil. L. 7. This plural may often be explained by referring it to a number of different species comprehended nnder one genus: thus $\theta$ ávaroc, different forms of death.
 is to inquire as is proper, as agrees with the matter under consideration, as the matter demands, i. e. well, correctly. So $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho i \omega_{\mathrm{s}} \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon_{-}^{-}$ $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ is about equivalent to $\varepsilon \dot{\delta} \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu$; and similarly in other phrases. Compare Apol. c. XXIX. note (1). -These words are commonly assigned to Crito, but the question does not come appropriately from him. And it is very much Plato's way to make his speakers, as it were, put questions to themselves, immediately subjoining the required answers.
 to resume the investigation. The reference is to what had been previously said by Socrates on the same subject; an investigation which he now proposes to renew. The words mórepov ka入üs हो $\lambda$ हүєто ícáбтотє $\grave{\eta}$ oṽ, are added by way of explaining what particular conversation or discussion is alluded to: 'Let us resume the question,' he says, 'and see whether the position then latd down was right or wrong; viz. that while we ought to regard certain opinions, we ought to disregard others $9^{\prime}$ etc. - Exáorote, i.e. on each occasion of our argaing the point.

 $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \tilde{\sim} \nu \delta \delta \xi \xi \eta \mu^{\mu} \hat{E}^{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \nu$. Compare also his remarks in chap. V.
 Matth. §.296. Buttmann, §. 135.5. On the use of the particles $\delta_{k}$ äpa, see Apology, c. XXIII. note (c).
 For ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} \lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{g}$, i. e. idly, without reason, is explained by the phrase
 present position, i. e. am in danger of $m y$ life. -The form $\tau i \lambda_{\varepsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$
 easy to determine its signification.
n ठ̈ $\left.\sigma \alpha \gamma^{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{d} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \varepsilon a\right]$ That is, as human affairs are, as far at least as may be conjectured from what usually happens to men. The word $\pi a \rho a x \rho o v ่ \varepsilon \nu \nu$ Hesychius interprets $\mathfrak{\varepsilon \xi a \pi a \tau a ̈ v , ~ \pi \lambda a v a ̈ \nu , ~}$ a signification which has arisen from the artifice in wrestling roṽ $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \rho o v i \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ทे $\pi 0 \delta i \hat{\eta} \eta$ रєцi. The sense therefore is this: As far as one can conjecture in human affairs, you, Crito, are not to aie
to-morrow; and are not therefore in danyer of being misled by the present calamity. Literally, the present calamity would not be likely to trip you up.

 use of the word $\tau \mu \tilde{a} \nu$ in this passage is worthy of remark. It often signifies to cultivate, to regard, to esteem highly. Compare Eurip. Iphig. in Taur. v. 54:-
$\kappa \lambda \alpha \dot{o v} \sigma \alpha$.


VII. " кai тои̃то $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau r \omega \nu]$ And giving his labour and exertion to this object. Lat. haic rei operam dans, hoc agens. Thucydides aptly characterises the opposite mode of pursuit, when a thing is made merely a secondary consideration, as $\delta \mathbb{k} \pi$ apf $\rho \gamma$ ov. With the present instance compare Xenophon Hellen. IV. 8. 22.
 ing Latin phrase, Cic. de Orat. I. 32. quæ suâ sponte homines facerent, ea quosdam observasse atque id egisse: sc. ut ea obser-
 to be thus understood: Whether were they said rightly or wrongly? The imperfect tense indicates that reference is made to discourses repeatedly held at a former period on the same sqbject.
 Or will he only regard the opinion of the person who presides over the exercises, and prescribes the regimen, whoever he may be? It appears, therefore, that we must read $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \eta$. Fischer attempts to defend the common reading, ös àv rúr $\alpha a \nu \varepsilon$, which is entirely contrary to grammatical nsage. It is also erroneons to use the optative rvyגávol, which would give this sense: Or will he regard the opinion of him only who would be master of the exercises and physician, that is, if some other circumstances took place. For the optative with äv signifies that his so being is contingent upon something else.-iarpós, in this passage, is the same person who is also called $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ : his office was to prescribe the diet and regimen to future athletes, and to all persons who put themselves under his care to be trained in corporeal exercises ( $\tau 0 i{ }_{c} \gamma^{\gamma} \nu \mu \nu a \zeta_{0}-$ $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} 0$ ors), as may be seen from Xenoph. Mem. II. 1, 26. and other passages. To this person reference is made in the words $\delta \delta \varepsilon \sigma \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \nu$
 used to teach wreatling to the young mon in the palestra, and guide them in taking bodily exercise, etc. The words ri apasriov cal pulvacion rofor to the office of this person: the $\begin{aligned} & \delta \delta \sigma \\ & \sigma\end{aligned}$ riov cal moriov to the former officer, the laapos or $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma r i n g . ~$
 at a loss to account for the imperfects in this passage, and quotes Theodoret, who has copied the passage, as an anthoyity for read-
 acts. But this mode of asing the aorist does not seem suitable to the passage before us; and moreover the text of Theodoret
 by Buttmann without sufficient authority. No change is however necessary, for the imperfect is capable of a simple and satisfactory explanation. Socrates before used the imperfect when he opened the present disquisition, saying $\pi \tilde{\omega} s ~ a \grave{v} ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ rouaṽra ixiyceo; why should he not here also use the same tense, to indicate that he was referring to the remarks which be had formerly made on the same topic with his friends? Indeed, there seems a peculiar logical fitness about the use of the imperfect tense in the present connexion, as it serves to remind us that the basis of the argument has been previously reasoned out. The common read-
 үіүขєє
 we established when discussing these matters, is improved by justice, but ruined by injustice:' or, ' which, we said, by justice was mado better, but by injustice was destroyed.'
 mitting to the opinion not of those who understand the matter, but
 a sappressed clanse, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi a i ̈ \partial \nu \tau \omega \nu$. There is no war-
 marians' fictions as synchysis and hyperbaton help us to do more than evade the difficulty in this and similar passages. We subjoin a few illustrations parallel to the case before us.-Xen.
 aipoí $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ vo. Understand d̀ $\lambda \lambda$ à rò̀s кakoús. Legg. XII. p. 943.



 while living ? dpa as a simple interrogative particle is generally used in the sense of num.
 corresponds to that of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots .$. .ăpa in c. VI. note (1). But the contrast is more strongly brought out by the use of $\boldsymbol{d} \lambda \lambda a^{a}$. So in Latin sed is far stronger than autem.
 commonly construed with an accusative case. But the testimony of the grammarians as well as that of the manuscripts, assures us that it may with equal propriety take afterjit a dativus incommodi.
 quoted by Bekker. In conformity with this canon, the reading $\dot{\psi}$ has been retained, though most recent editors have preferred 8, as it stands in Eusebius. Compare Aristoph. Equites, v. 1413.
where Dindorf has corrected the common reading obs by the Ravenna MS. There is nothing at all sarprising in the fact of ' Eusebius having written \%, seeing that the regimen with the accusative is far more common than that with the dative. Then moreover the word $\delta \boldsymbol{\nu} i \nu \eta \sigma \tau \nu$ immediately follows, and this is a verb which is regularly followed by an accusative. But it is not unusual to put the relative pronoun before verbs of different con-


 Matth. §.428. 2.
 is opposed to $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \tau \in \rho o \nu$.
 may have originated with the grammarians, in consequence of the $8 \tau \iota$ which occurs in the next line. Phileb. p. 17. B. $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} 8 \tau \iota$



 usage, however, requires the forms beginning with $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}}$ in indirect questions. The use of the forms without $\delta$ in such cases as the above, and others that might be quoted, is probably due to that tendency of the Greek mind to slide imperceptibly from the
divaßucoxopivury that it would have with the optative mood or with the past tenses of the indicative. Hence the conjecture av is clearly erroneous. The words are to be explained thus: kai
 598. b. Buttm. §. 126.14. The words $\boldsymbol{\text { rovicul }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \tilde{\nu} \nu$ are added by apposition, with a kind of contemptuous expression. On this use of the pronoun oviros, see c. IV. nute ( ${ }^{( }$), on the words

${ }^{1} \dot{j} \lambda$ oryos oîtus aipei] Since reason so decides. The phrase seems to be a forensic one. Aipeì or kaOapeĩ, for both the simple and the compound verb appear in the formula, is to catch or convict : hence to prove or decide. Horace has ratio vincit, in precisely the same sense. Sat. I. 3, 115. II. 3, 225. A little farther on, the accusative xáperaç is made to depend apon тeौoüvres, a word which is strictly only applicable to $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \mu a \tau \alpha$. This is what the grammarians call zeugma. See Apol. c. XXVIII. note (d).

 aioxpov. The sense is this: whether it would not be unbecoming in us to take into our calculations the possibility of being put to death in case we remain here and allow things to take their course, or of suffering any other conceivable calamity, prior to the consideration whether we shall be doing right or wrong. Hapa $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{vi} \mathrm{\nu}$ is to remain in custody, and not to escape: it is often used of faithfal

 little difficulty about this passage, but the sense seems to be: $\boldsymbol{I}$ attach great value to the friendship you have shown in thus seeking to persuade me; only do not try to do so against my will. It is true this interpretation would seem to require árovta, the perception of which doubtless gave rise to that reading in some of the MSS. But the genitive absolute is not unfrequently ased when the precise syntax of a sentence would require another case.


 the present may very well be added to the number of such sentences. Buttmann and others, however, make Socrates the sabject of the verb $\pi$ eijoal, as if the sense were, ' $I$ am very desirons to persuade you, Crito, not to continue repeating the same arga-
ments, provided this be not done against your will;' i, e. provided this my persuasion is not disagreeable to you. But there seems something not altogether natural or probable in this overanxiety on the part of Socrates to gain so comparatively trivial an end; and the sense obtained, though consistent with the syntax of the passage, is not in keeping with the general drift of the argument. Moreover, there is something extremely harsh in making raṽra $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{a} \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ refer simply to the discontinuance of an
 baum's view; and there can be no manner of doubt that he is right in rejecting the latter interpretation, and indeed in his general view of the passage. But it may be questioned whether a better explanation of the genitive absolute clause is not attainable. Perhaps we should put a colon at $\pi \rho a ́ r t \varepsilon t \nu$, and translate, ' I should be greatly obliged to you if you could convince me that it is right so to do; but do nothing against my will.' According to this view of the passage, $\pi$ eĩ $\sigma u$, which, it must be borne in mind, is equivalent to persuadere, not suadere, is placed in strong contrast with äxoyros. 'I shall feel grateful to you if you will convince my reason; but until that is done, take no steps towards the object you have in view.' If this is the correct interpretation, the genitive case is not merely defensible, but necessary.
X. a ékóvтas ádıvฑrย์ov Eival] That is, that we ought (not) intentionally to injure any one. For though the verbals more commonly take a dative of the subject, like the Latin gerundives yet they not unfrequently are found with an accusative, as here. The reason of this is doubtless that they involve the notion of


 are, as it were, spilt on the ground;' i. e. discarded as worthless.
 little further on, the words $\gamma \varepsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \not \approx \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$, which are not strictly necessary to the sense, are added by way of marking the contrast with $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ more emphatically than it is done by $\tau \eta \lambda e k o i \delta \varepsilon$.
 t $\omega \nu \mu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is also used. It signifies, most of all, beyond all dispute.
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- is oi moduoi oioyral] It is easy to show, by quotations, the ananimity of opinion prevailing in the heathen world on the subject of the lawfulness and propriety of retaliation. Compare Archilochus as quoted by Theophilus, in the work which he addressed to Aurolycus, on the elements of the Christian faith, II. 37 :

 sakoic
Euripides, in a fragment:

Sophocles, Ant. 641 :




 subjected to the most grievous injuries. For oviv, when attached to relatives or relative particles, has the force of vis or cunque in Latin. Compare quivis, quicunque. After $\pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi y$ Eusebius and Theodoret insert rıs, without any necessity, since in the preceding $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$ àvraduseĩ there is a latent intimation of an indefinite subject.
 ference between oviv $\delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\delta \dot{\eta}$ oviv; but it is hardly possible to convey it in English. And perhaps there is no case where $\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ oviv is used, in which ouvy $\delta \eta$ might not with almost equal propriety have been employed. The putting of oviv first, seems to give prominence to the notion of inference; the putting of $\delta \boldsymbol{p}$ first, to mark the earnestness of the speaker or writer. Compare the following examples: Phædo, p.61.E. karà rí ס̀̀ ov̀̀ tote


${ }^{1}$ us oídénore bpOüs exovros] That is, taking it never to be
 ros. A little further on $\alpha \rho X \dot{\eta}$ is the principle of the discussion, on which everything else is based. This is a very common use of the word, while rò $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ roṽ̃o refers to the conclusions drawn from that principle. - ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \iota \nu$ here means to abide by and retain


## CRITO.



XI. a 'Ek rovirwv dì ä̈pet] That is, if this is true, that it is wrong to injure any one in any manner soever, see what follows from it.


c ols $\dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{o} \lambda_{0 \gamma \eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \delta$.] That is, and do woe abide by what woe agreed to be right, or not? The relative is attracted into the case of its antecedent, and its attributives naturally follow. See Matth. §.473.2. Socrates is referring to the virtual compact between a citizen and the commonwealth with which he is associated.
 is generally used of runaway slaves, he adds, in order to soften
 other name we are to call it. The dative $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ is dependent, according to Stallbaum, upon intarávtধs; but perhaps it is better to consider it as governed by the general idea of saying which is contained in Epotvro. 'Should put to us the question.'
 uses the same construction, Verrin. IL. 46, 63. commune Sicilim.
 is so frequent as to render it unnecessary to give any further illustration of it. Cicero seems to have had this passage before his mind in that striking appeal to Catiline which is made in the opening of the first Catilinarian oration. In Cat. I. 7.
 see Apol. XII. note ( ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ), and Compare Matth. §. 487.8.

${ }^{1}$ nai $\left.\mu \eta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho a ́ \phi \theta a l\right]$ That is, and not lie prostrate, being overthrown: for this is the force of the perfect tense. The elvat immediately preceding is used emphatically, as equivalent to Lat. salvam et incolumem stare. 'Can it be said really to exist 9 ' Buttmann's conjecture, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda_{\iota \nu} \pi \mathrm{m}_{\lambda} \iota \nu$ eival, can well be dispensed with.
${ }^{1}$ ai $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \delta \mu \varepsilon v a s$ dikal] Or ai dixal ai ducaoonioal, the judgments pronounced according to the laws.
 original form may be introduced by $8 \tau$, as well as those given in the oratio obliqua. In translation, the particle may accordingly
be disregarded, and the $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ thus becomes perfectly intelligible.
 can be no doubt that the present tense of this verb is often thus used in reference to a past action, especially when there is any intention on the part of the writer or speaker to represent that action as enduring in its effects. But there is no need for any change. And the use of the imperfect certainly shows more unmistakeably, that Socrates is speaking of the particular injustice of his own condemnation. The clause kai oúk $\dot{\delta} \beta \theta$ w̃s,
 commonwealth treated us with injustice in passing upon us an unrighteous sentence.' Stallbaum doubts the correctness of Buttmann's view, in regarding Efpove as an aorist; but the latter appears to be right.

 ä入入o.... خ. And it would be easy to multiply examples.
XII. a ท̀ кai тaṽra $\left.\dot{\omega} \mu 0 \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \tau 0 . . . \delta_{u x a ́ \zeta \eta}\right] \quad$ That is, What, was this the understanding between us and you, that you should thus take upon yourself to impeach the justice of our decisions, or was it to abide by such sentences as the commonwealth should pronounce? The raṽra must be understood to refer to the words to which
 The cal serves to emphasise the raṽra, as is often the case: even this. Socrates is supposed to be taken by surprise by this homethrast, and to remain silent. The idea is more fully brought out

 oift dixatov हlval; There is, therefore, not the slightest need for any conjectural emendations.
b ov $\pi \rho \omega \tilde{\tau} o \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu \nu$ ] The $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o \nu$ naturally leads us to expect an है $\pi \varepsilon \iota \tau a$, or a word of similar force, in the sentence, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ roĩs



 his second edition, Stallbaum adopts Buttmann's reading, $\bar{\lambda} \lambda a ́ \mu$ $\beta a \nu \varepsilon$ for $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda a \beta \varepsilon$. The former is found in some of the best manuscripts, and is recommended, moreover, by its greater difficulty. A transcriber would hardly have substituted $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\mu} \beta \beta a \nu \varepsilon$ for $\tilde{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$, had he found the latter in his copy; but it is easy to see why he,
should substitute $\bar{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \beta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ for $\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \beta a v \varepsilon$. The imperfect, according to Buttmann, serves to indicate the ordinary and legitimate nature of the procedure. Nor is there anything uncommon in the connexion of the different tenses, è $\lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \phi \dot{\prime} \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon$.
 rò̀ $\gamma$ रánovs are added by way of defining more closely what is
 some been regarded as a gloss; but the phrase would hardly be complete without it.
d $\tau \rho \circ \emptyset \dot{\eta} \nu$ т $\kappa$ кal $\left.\pi a \_\delta \varepsilon i a \nu\right]$ The expression is a common one:


 каi $\pi a \iota \delta \varepsilon i a v$.
 the same question which they had previously put, in the words:
 translated: But do you find fault with the laws respecting the rearing and education which you have received 9 Have not those of us (i. e. laws) which have been enacted for these purposes enjoined well, in directing your father to instruct you in music and gymnastics? The common reading $\hat{\eta}$ ov $\kappa$. is incorrect.
 have ever felt more deeply the power of music over the mind, than the ancient Greeks. "Rhythm and melody," says Plato, " sink down into the soul; and bringing, as they do, grace and symmetry with them, render graceful and symmetrical the man who has been duly trained therein." De Repub. p. 401. D. F. Hence the prominence which was given to this branch of education, and which assigned to it a co-ordinate place in the three courses of training through which an Athenian citizen was expected to
 not be taken in our more limited sense. The Greeks often comprehended under this head all the branches of a liberal education; all that portion of human culture, in short, over which the Muses might be regarded as presiding, and which distinguished a gentleman from a clown. A great deal of interesting matter relating to this subject is to be found in the Protagoras, pp. 325, 326. See also Aristoph. Nub. v. 958. for a vigorous enlogy of the system of musical training as it existed in the best days of Athens.




 words aúróg re кai oi $\pi$ joóyovoc form an apposition to the personal pronoun which is the subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \theta a$. So Sophocles, ©d. Col. v. 452.
aìrós $\tau \varepsilon \pi a i ̃{ }^{\delta} \varsigma^{\circ}$

So, too, Apol. c. XXXIII. near the end, סikaca removès $\boldsymbol{z} \gamma \dot{\omega}$
 סoṽloc as expressing the relation of the citizen to the laws, compare Cicero pro Cluentio, c. 53. Legum omnes servi sumns, ut liberi esse possimus. There is a surrender of a certain measure of personal freedom and independence, in order to the substantial and well-ordered freedom of the whole body.
 reading cai ooi, but it is very questionable whether dikatov zoti $\mu 0 \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha$ тote $\nu$ is good Greek. The universal usage of authors seems to be סixatós cipl, not סixatóv Eotı. See c. IV. note ( ${ }^{( }$). Still there is a difficulty about the nominative case $\sigma \dot{v}$, where ordinary usage would require $\sigma \varepsilon$. Probably this is to be classed among those instances of attraction which are so characteristic of the idiom of Greek; for the verb oitc follows almost immediately, requiring a subject in the nominative case. Then, further, if $\sigma \varepsilon$ had been used, it would render it doubtful whether that pronoun were the subject or the object of the verb dעtเтоєiv. For an instance of similar attraction to the above, see Protag. p. 316. C.




 for the purpose of explaining taṽra кai $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \pi o t \varepsilon i v$. It has been already remarked, that connectives are not used with sentences which are added for the purpose of explanation.
 XXIII. note ( ${ }^{( }$). - A little further on, instead of simply rai $\sigma \boldsymbol{v}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a}_{\varrho}$, we have кai $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a}_{\varrho}$, in order to add to the force of the opposition. $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is frequently thus used, in order to bring out the
apodosis with greater energy. The words $\dot{\delta} \tau \tilde{\eta} \tilde{a} \lambda . \tau . \dot{\alpha} \rho . \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\mu \varepsilon \lambda o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$, added by way of apposition, are ironical.
${ }^{m}$ rıцıúrepóv zort marpíc] There is no need of the article before $\pi a r \rho i$, which is found in some MSS. For the nouns
 not used in reference to a certain and definite individual, but to a whole class, are usually put without the article. So, further
 $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho a$. There is also an example in the preceding words:
 country, as compared with the ties of affection, compare Cic. Offic. I 17.57. Cari sunt parentes, cari liberi, propinqui, familiares; sed omnes omnium caritates patria una complexa est.
 which is held in greater estimation and honour than some other
 $\mu o i p \eta \eta \gamma o \nu$. The word $\mu$ oin $\rho a$ appears, in such phrases, to signify that portion of respect, honour, and reverence which is one's due.

- кai $\mathfrak{\eta} \pi \varepsilon i \theta \varepsilon \iota \nu, \eta \geqslant \pi o c \varepsilon i \nu]$ That is, either to bring your country over to your way of thinking, or, failing to do this, to obey its orders. Compare the latter part of note ( ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ ) to chap. IX of this dialogue. The citizen is at liberty to use persuasion, but not force.


 A few similar examples are subjoined by way of illustration:

 $\theta$ धov́g, к. т. ${ }^{2}$. Lucian. Hermotim. c. 23. T. I. p. 761. $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$


 $\kappa . \boldsymbol{r} . \lambda$.
 dundancy about the mode of expression here employed: $\pi \rho o \alpha-$
 declare, by giving him liberty so to do, that he is at liberty, etc.'


of the foregoing phrase. But there is no need for any alteration: the rij rexroacivas is the dative of the means by which; namely, by a logal enactment to that effect, that a dissatisfied citizen is at liberty to emigrate to another country.
 years of discretion, and has become acquainted vith public affairs. The passage is illustrated by Fschin. adr. Timarch. p. 26. ed.


 The refarence is to the סocipacia sis aydpac. At the age of twenty, on leaving the status of $\dot{\varepsilon} \phi \eta \beta o r$, those persons who wished to bave the full and perfect rights of Athenian citizens, were enrolled in the $\lambda_{\eta} \xi_{c}$ apxuro $\nu$, prior to which they were not qualified to be present or to vote in the assemblies of the people, or to enter upon an inheritance. Before this could be done, an inquiry was instituted as to the parentage, natural or adoptive, of the individual thus suing for citizenship, and sundry particulars of various kinds. Demosthenes makes reference to this סoxuaoia in his speech against Midias, c. 43. There is, therefore, no ground for the alteration doci $\mu$ ágy, which has been admitted by most editors, but which is supported by but a solitary manuscript. A little further on, we have the accusative $\lambda \alpha \beta$ óvt $\alpha$, where strict syntax would require $\lambda a \beta$ óvtı. But instances of this kind are extremely common. Compare Soph. Elect. $\mathbf{\text { v. }} 470$.
where one might have expected $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\lambda} v o v \sigma y$. On this usage, see Matth. §536; and for other examples of the same kind, see Stallbaum's edition of Plat. Sympor. p. 176. D.
 isvau, is to go to an Athenian colony: but $\mu$ हrouxeiv is to go to a place belonging to a foreign power, Greek or Barbarian.
 agreeing in very truth to be obedient to $u s$. The formula $\hat{\eta} \mu \eta_{\nu} \nu$ is especially used in strong protestations or oaths. The common reading for $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ was $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i} \nu$, which has been corrected from the best MSS.
- ov̂re $\left.\pi \in i \theta \varepsilon \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a}_{\varsigma}\right]$ Understand, that we act unjustly: as appears from the words $\varepsilon i \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa a \lambda \bar{\omega} \varsigma \tau \iota \pi o \iota o v ̃ \mu \varepsilon \nu$. There is a redundancy in the words rovitwv oúdítepa rouei, the sentence
being complete without them. However, since by the clause:
 be conveyed is in some measure thrown out of view, there is no impropriety in the repetition, tov́rwv oùérepa roteí; especially, since another member of the sentence may appear to commence with $d \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ह́фífyruv. The laws are in this passage said $\pi \rho o r c-$ $\theta_{i}^{\prime}$ ival, with reference to those things which they enjoin, because all edicts were publicly set forth, in order that they might be read and judged of by all, which enabled any one to suggest any improvement. The passage may be thus translated: Whereas we give every one the opportunity of learning and judging of what is enacted by us, and do not, by brutal severity, enforce obedience to our bidding; and, moreover, give a choice of two alternatives, either to convince us of error, or, if he is unable to do so, to obey us; nevertheless, this man does neither of these things.

 ${ }^{t \nu} \chi^{\prime} \chi \in \tau$ is to hold a person bound: hence the middle verb means: to give one's-self up to be bound, and, in the legal sense, to be liable to a charge; from which is derived avoxos, obnoxious, liable to a charge. Translate: To these very charges, Socrates, do we affirm that even you will lay yourself open, if you carry out your purpose ; and that, too, not in any less degree than another Athenian, but rather in the very highest degree.
 those that are so liable, you most of all.
 dveะסiלยıv; to reproach, to upbraid.
 other Athenians ; for $\delta$ caфtpetv generally indicates difference by way of excess.
e $\varepsilon \pi i \quad \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho i a \nu]$ That is, to witness the solemn games, namely, the Olympian, Nemman, Isthmian, and Pythian, which were attended by persons from every part of Greece.
${ }^{\S} \varepsilon i \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \rho$.] When he fought at Potidæa and Amphipolis, in Thrace, and at Delium, in Bootia. See Apolog. c. XVII.
 infinitive is added per epexegesin. We are informed by Seneca, Laertius, Libanius, and others, that Socrates resisted the inducements of Archelaus, king of Macedonia, and other princes, who invited him to settle in their dominions.
ment repecting which he is propared to jadge：Which you know very well you are in the habit of praining，etc．texaerore，i．a． whenever you mention them．
＝obdt rwiv $\beta$ ap $\left.\beta a p u x_{\nu}{ }^{2}\right]$ This is the correct reading，being


 have arisen from a gloss．Bat Fischer has correctly observed that，if they were removed，what follows would lose much of its
 or，as it was commonly written，oby ${ }^{2}$ ovort，refers not only to of $\nu$ 人رon but to the whole of the foregoing sentence，as if the pas－
 re kal ol $\nu$ bpon
 colves：＇You will do en，if you will hearhen to us．＇The words necessary to complete the sentence are readily supplied from the

XV．• ry robruy nodertiq］That is，to the citizens of these commonvealths；the abstract rodersia being equivalent to the concrete $\pi$ т人īra．So in Thacydides，we have $\sigma v \mu \mu a x i a$ used as equivalent to oi $\sigma \hat{\mu} \mu \mu a x o t$ ．And it would not be diffcalt to mul－ tiply examples．A little further on，vi $\pi<\beta \hat{\beta} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \nu$ is to treat with suspicion．Both the active and middle forms seem to be used in

b $\beta$ हßawieucc r．8．］That is，either，You will confirm the judges in their opinion that they were right in condemning you ：or，You will confirm others in the opinion that the judges were right in their decision；as if the reading were ẅsre aitov̀s doкĩ̀，к．т．入． Stallbaum prefers the latter interpretation of the passage．
 who observe $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \delta \sigma \mu \mathrm{\rho}$ ．i．e．order and moderation，or，as Fischer in－ terprets it，those who diligently direct and regulate their life，morals， and pursuits according to the standard of the laws；the moderate， upright．It is，therefore，abont equivalent to iं $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ кuceics．

 $\tau \omega \nu$ ，oikrâkivv $\varepsilon l \nu a \iota \zeta \dot{\eta} \nu .-A$ little further on we have written：
 ture of the sentence is changed by an interrogation suddenly in－
troduced. The former reading was: кai avauбXuvtígeı! סıa入を-
 interrogative gives great life to the expression: 'discoursing,yet what kind of discourses 9 ' while the indefinite rivas is tame and meaningless. The interrogative pronoun, too, rivas, is found in the best MSS.
 finitive is not unusual, any more than with the future participle, on which see Apol. c. XVII. note (y). For the future infinitive фaveĩotat is capable of being resolved sometimes into the future indicative, and sometimes into the future optative; in the latter of which cases, it may, of course, take $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ with it.-T roṽ $\Sigma \omega$ крárovs $\pi \rho \tilde{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$, the business or affair of Socrates, is to be understood as meaning Socrates himself. So $\tau \grave{d} \pi \rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu a$ is said of the people, Gorg. p. 520. B. And Herodotus I. 36, has $\mu^{\prime} \gamma \alpha$
 often used in this manner. See c. XVI. bàv dè eis "Aíoov áaro-




 infamous, on account of the licentiousness of their mode of living; their fraudulence, indecency, wantonness, luxury, and other vices. See Athenæus, IV. 6. p. 137. X. 4. p. 418. XII. 6. p. 527. XIV. 38. p. 663.-Fischer.
 particular article of clothing, bat includes the whole of the attire. Putting on some (different) kind of raiment by way of disguise, wearing, for, example, a leather coat, or any of the various articles of dress which runaway slaves are in the habit of assuming, and transforming your personal appearance.
${ }^{\text {b }} \sigma \chi \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ] That is, general appearance, arising more especially out of the dress. Hesychins: $\sigma \chi \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha^{\cdot} \cdot i \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ós. The $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \dot{\eta}$ includes the leather coats, or sundry vestments and disguises which are mentioned or hinted at; the $\sigma \chi \tilde{\eta} \mu a$ sums up the whole, indicating the tout ensenble which is the result of these contrivances.
${ }^{1}{ }^{i} \tau \delta \delta \lambda \mu \eta \sigma a \varsigma$ övr $\left.\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}{ }^{i} \sigma \chi \rho \omega \varsigma\right]$ Here ro $\lambda \mu \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ is to endure, not to blush at, oíx aioxúveodau.
${ }_{k}$ el $\left.\delta!\mu \nu\right]$ ］But if otherwise；but if you should be troublesome to the Thessalians．In all such cases ai $\delta \dot{\prime k} \mu \dot{\eta}$ is used，without any regard to the positive or negative character of the propo－ sition，which is thus hypothetically denied．See Matth．Gr． §617．Buttmann，§ 135．10．Compare Enrip．Alcest．v． 707.

 of the taunts，$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ kai ${ }^{2} \nu a \xi 彑 ⿺ a$, which，it is urged，will be levelled against Socrates，should he withdraw himself into Thessaly：You will live，forsooth，constantly seeking to ingratiate yourself with everybody，and beconing the slare of all：and will you be doing anything else than banquetting in Thessaly，as if you had left your country for Thessaly，in order to attend a supper－ party \＆That is，such will be the sinister interpretation which the enemies of Socrates will put upon his conduct．Schleier－ macher considers ri $\pi 0$ ow $\nu$ introduced in so awkward a manner， and $\delta o v \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ so superfluous，that he regards the latter as a gloss

 tion of $\boldsymbol{b}_{\nu}$ Oerra入íq，towards the end of so long a sentence，and having seen in one MS．sis $\operatorname{\theta }$ erraliav，omits these words after $\alpha \pi 0 \delta \varepsilon \delta \eta \mu$ ．，and thus remodels the whole passage：vizepx $\delta \mu \in \nu 0 \varsigma$

 of the objections which might be offered to this correction，it does not appear necessary to alter the common reading．For cai סovicúwv is by no means without a distinct signification；it ex－ presses the meaning more forcibly than the preceding vinte－ $x^{6} \mu^{\circ} \nu_{0}$ ．The second reproach is stronger than the first， especially when directed against a man who had so utter an aversion to everything servile．It does not appear necessary to insert kai before ri $\pi$ oiñv，as Schleiermacher has done．Indeed， the animation of the appeal seems impaired by so doing．Nor is the repetition of the word Thessaly without force．＂Banquetting in Thessaly，as if he had said，－as though it were necessary to go all the way to Thessaly to supper．＂－той Écovrac，c．r． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {．，}}$ i．e． What will become of all those fine speeches about justice，and the other elements of virtue，$I$ wonder 9 The in $\mu i v$ is a dativus ethicus，and indicates the interest of the questioner in the subject of the inquiry．In the above use of noṽ，compare Axiochus
 จ． 940.

> む̀ $\theta \varepsilon \omega ̃ \nu \nu \mu \nu \tau \varepsilon ย ́ \mu a r a$
> " $\nu$ ' tort;
and Ib． 946.

m＇A入入d $\delta \dot{\eta}$ т Latin at enim，may be translated：But perhaps you will say that． It is used for the purpose of refuting．an objection by anticipation．

 $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta} \beta_{\varepsilon} \lambda \tau i o v a \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \quad a i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ．Compare，too，Crito，c．VIII． near the end．
 which is properly said of enjoying things good and pleasant，is often emplpyed with Attic sipwveia in a bad sense．Legg．p． 910.


 $\lambda \alpha v ́ \sigma y s \tau_{\omega} \nu \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$ ．
－ȧ̇roṽ］That is，At Athens．－Immediately afterwards $\theta \rho \delta$－廿ovtat kai aatס̇v́covtat are to be taken passively．Compare Matth．§ 494.
P mótepon dà̀ eis e．］The reader might possibly have ex－ pected some conjunction of an adversative kind，along with the xórepov；and Rusebius，who quotes the passage，does actually read $\pi \delta \dot{T} \varepsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{\boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \text { ．But sentences placed in strong opposition are }}$ often without any particle to indicate it，the opposition being thus
 c．V．note（피）．

XVI．${ }^{2} \pi \rho \delta$ roṽ ${ }^{\text {dicaióov］}}$ See Apol．Socr．c．XVI．note（8）．
 these things forward in your defence．The accusative is go－ verned by the idea of saying or alleging，which is involved in ג̀ $\pi 0 \lambda о \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a t$.
b ovire $\gamma$ dp $\mathfrak{\varepsilon \nu} 0$ ád $\delta$ ］That is，in this life．
c таṽта тлáттоvтı］What Crito has proposed to you．
d äpeıvov $\varepsilon$ lvat］ä $\mu \varepsilon \iota \nu o \nu \varepsilon$ elvat is constantly used instead of aya日j̀ $\varepsilon$ ival．Compare Apolog．Socr．c．II．，near the end． Phædo，p．115．A．Gorg．p．468．B．D．Republ．III．p．410．D． But since the comparative á $\mu \varepsilon \iota \nu 0 \nu$ is frequently used in thi
 of attraction. The sense is: Neither in the judgment of yourself, or of any of your friends, does it appear desirable, so far as this life is concerned, or consistent with justice and piety, to do what Crito recommends; nor will it be the better for you in another world.

- $\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu \tilde{v} \nu \mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu}\right]$ That is, But, as the matter now stands, if you do not comply with the suggestions of Crito, you will quit life an injured man.
 the Mother of the Gods in Phrygia, and they leaped and danced under the influence of the divinity. Their wild and enthusiastic worship was attended with the clash of cymbals, and the din of horns and of drams. Hence the verb ropv $\beta a \nu \tau i \tilde{q} v$, to be affected with ringing and humming noises in the ears or head: the malady itself being called ropvßavtiarرós. Plato could hardly have selected a more powerful and expressive metaphor, to indicate the earnestness and iterancy of the sapernatural monitor within.
 verb eridently formed by the process of onomatopoeia, to buzz or hum. The voice of the laws is ever ringing in his ears. Compare




 the force of contra. So Phædr. p. 107. A. oũkovv ${ }^{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$
 таच̃та â入入o $\lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \gamma \in \iota \nu$.
 logy are appropriately and impressively closed by a humble and reverential acknowledgment of the Divine Being. Compare




## mAATINOE

## $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{N}$.



 $\Phi A I \Delta \Omega N$. Ȧ̇тós, $\omega^{\prime}$ 'Exérpates. EX. Tl oùv ס̀́
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II. EX. Tí $\delta$ è $\delta \grave{\eta}$ tà $\pi \in \rho \grave{i}$ aủtòv tòv $\theta$ ávatov, $\AA$
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 EX. 岜évoı ס́é $\tau \iota v \epsilon s ~ \pi a \rho \eta ̂ \sigma a \nu ; ~ \Phi A I \Delta . ~ N a l, ~ \Sigma \iota \mu \mu i a s ~$



 $\delta \in ́ \tau \iota \varsigma \pi u \rho \eta ิ \nu ; \Phi A I \Delta . \Sigma \chi \in \delta o ́ v \tau \iota ~$ oì $\mu a i ́ t o v ́ т o v s ~ \pi a \rho a-~$



















 oův катє $\lambda а \mu \beta a ́ v o \mu e v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \Sigma \omega к р а ́ т т ~ a ̆ \rho т \iota ~ \lambda e \lambda \nu \mu e ́-~$

















 $\mu \hat{\theta}$ ov àv $\sigma v v \theta \in i ̂ v a \iota, ~ \omega ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \theta e c o s ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu e v o s ~ a u ̉ t a ̀ ~ \delta ı a \lambda-~$






LXIV. Taûta $\delta \grave{\eta}$ єintóvtos aủtov̂, $\grave{o} K \rho l t \omega v, ~ E i t e v, ~$
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 $\tau \grave{~} \sigma \tau \delta \mu a{ }^{\text {" }} \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ o ̉ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o u ́ s . ~$


 тáтоv каl סıкаьотáтоv.

## NOTES ON THE PH风DO.

 affixed to this celebrated dialogue, was a native of the city of Flis, in the Peloponnesus. He was, at the time of Socrates ${ }^{\text {' }}$ death, quite a young man, but the intimate friend both of him and of Plato. He subsequently became the founder of the school of philosophy called the Elean. Cicero distinguished him as Plato's Phædo, de Nat. Deor. I. 32. His philosophical writings, which were in the form of dialogues, were numerous; but nonc of them are extant. He is here introduced as narrating to his friend Echecrates the conversation which was held on the subject of the immortality of the soul in the dungeon of Socrates, previously to his taking the hemlock, with the closing scenes of the master's life.-Echecrates, as appears from what folluws, was a native of Phlius, a town in Sicyonia. He appears to be identical with the Echecrates, also a Phliasian, mentioned by Diogencs Laertius and by Iamblichus, and by them both included among the Pythagoreans.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \boldsymbol{\tau i}$ oṽน $\delta \dot{\eta}$ E $\left.\sigma \tau \iota \nu \ddot{a} \tau \tau \alpha\right]$ This use of $\tau i$ in the singular, when a plaral subject follows the copula, is not unfrequent. So in

 Hecyra I. 2. 22. Sed quid hoc negoti est modo qua narravit mihi Bacchis?
 would have been: $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \Phi \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma i \omega \nu$ $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$, or $\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \tau \omega ̃ \nu$ $\Phi \lambda \iota a \sigma i \omega \nu$. Accordingly, some commentators have been disposed to eject the word $\Phi \lambda_{\iota} \quad \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \nu$, others to insert $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ after $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \omega ั \nu$; and the latter reading is actually found in one MS. But there is a tameness about the expression $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda$. $\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \Phi \lambda_{\text {.; }}$ and, on the other hand, the appositive adjective is not wanting in a single. MS. It would seem that a proper name is regarded in itself as
sufficiently definite without the article; making, as it were, but a single notion in combination with its substantive. Thus we
 пр
 Aaptaraïon-The verb $\ell \pi<\chi \omega \rho t a ́ \zeta \in t \nu$, to sojourn, is joined with 'A $\theta$ 'ivale, to Athens; since the Greeks frequently join verbs of rest to words signifying motion to a place; so as to unite two sentences in a single clause. The sense is this: for none of the Phiasian citizens now go to Athens and sojourn there. Xenoph. Anab. I. 2. 2. $\pi a \rho \tilde{j} \sigma a \nu$ zis इápótç, i. e. went to Sardis, and were there. Stephens is, therefore, wrong in interpreting $\ell \pi \subset x \omega \rho t a ́ \zeta \varepsilon เ \nu$ by the word " ventitare," go frequently.
 to give us any certain information on that subject, Heindorf appears to have been correct in reading olós $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$. instead of $\bar{y}$, which was preferred by the older editors. Reisig, however, considers $8 \varsigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ d \nu-\eta \dot{\nu} \nu$ less elegant, on account of the preceding perfect, d́фiкrat. This, however, may be thus explained: oüre
 In the same manner, Euripid. Medea, v. 1306. we have:

苗 $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi 0 \theta^{\prime}$ :
 we have adopted.
 סiknv, as Fischer has rightly observed, on account of the verb $\ell \pi \dot{v} \theta \in \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. See note ( ${ }^{c}$ ) on Apolog. Socrat. c. XX.
 lowing, because the idea, which would be contained in the corresponding clause of the sentence, is easily gathered from the foregoing words. Of this we were informed, but of the circumstances immediately attending his death we have heard nothing. See

s $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \tilde{p}$ ט̈orepov] Thirly days afterwards. This also appears from Xenoph. Mem. IV. 8. 2.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{rl}$ oṽv $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu \mathrm{roviro}$ ] That is, why was this so?

$k \ell \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta]$ That is, ornamented with laurel, which was sacred to Apollo.
$\left.{ }^{1} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \pi \pi \sigma_{\imath}\right]$ Send with solemnity. The word is peculiarly
applied to a ceremony of this kind. Hence the substantive $\pi о \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$, ромра.
 the death of his son Androgeus, is said to have besieged Athens, and to have at length consented to withdraw his forces, on condition that every ninth year the Athenians should send to Crete, instead of tribute, seven virgins, and as many youths, to be devoured by the Minotaur, in the Labyrinth. These are, oi dis $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$ हкeivol. Theseus, being among the number of victims at the third period of tribute, killed the Minotaur, and returned safe with his companions, that is, kai z̈ $\sigma \omega \sigma_{\hat{c}} \boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$ кai aùrùs $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \dot{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$.
 the embassy, but also the accompanying sacrifices and ceremonies. This may be gathered from Platarch Nic. p. 525. A., where Nicias is said, äyєı $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \in \omega \rho i a \nu$, when preparing a chorus, providing victims, and making other arrangements proper for festivals. The Delian $\theta$ Eccicia, here mentioned, and which was celebrated annually, must not be confounded with those festivals which are mentioned by Thacyd. III. 104., and which were held every fifth year, to commemorate the purification of the island of Delos by Pisistratus.
 Demetrius Phalereus, according to Plutarch, Theseus, p. 10. C.
 vessel had been ornamented with the laurel crown, as Phwdo himself informs us. A little further on, the common reading, кaӨaptev́धเv, is erroneous; since that verb, if used at all, which is very doubtfal, is derived from кaOápog, cleanly; and can, therefore, signify nothing else than to be cleanly; a sense which is quite inappropriate in this passage. And the better manuscripts do actually exhibit the reading caOapeveıv, i. e. to be pure, and not to be polluted by punishments, which is approved of by all the more recent commentators. The addition of $\tau \eta \nu \pi \delta \delta^{\lambda}\langle\nu$ is to indicate that this law refers to the state in general, and not merely to the citizens individually.
q örav....ävє $\mu 0 \ldots . .$. aúrov́s] The older editions have oi ävf $\mu 0$, which seems repugnant to the sense of the passage, and is sanctioned by only a few MSS. Compare Herodot. II. 115. öбot ín'
 ävє
stand rois $\pi \lambda$ iovras, which is implied in the preceding word, $\pi \lambda$ ö̈v.
rërrep $\left.\lambda_{i}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 0\right]$ This expression is frequently used respecting anything alrendy mentioned. We say: As I suid before. See

II. - oi ápxovicic] That is, oi Exetexa. See Apolog. Socr. c. XXVIL note ( $\mathbf{c}$ ). At the beginning of $\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{XXXI}$. of the Apology, they are also called oi apxovres.

 sai adds force to the following clause. See Apolog. Socrat. c. IX. note (d). The sense, therefore, is: some, nay many, were present.
c totórous itipous ixacc] That is, But you will find that thase who are going to hear you have the same feeling.
 like the Latin aubire, are used of hope, joy, sorrow, pity, etc., taking possession of the mind. Earip. Med. 931. *icij $\lambda \theta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mu^{\prime \prime}$ olkroc. Iphig. Anl. 491. $\mu^{\prime}$ Eteos ticiji $\theta$ e. And a little farther on, with a slight variation of the same construction: oidè̀ xávv

 note ( 8 ) on the words, $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{E} \omega \mathrm{c}$ кaetidesc.
' $\gamma$ evpaiws] With fortitude. For the opposite notion, com-

 pioraotat is often used in speaking of thoughts suggested by the circumstances in which a person may be placed. Compare Demosth. Olynth. II. at the commencement: ouxxi raürà xapi-
 as often as not used without any expressed subject, that is to say, impersonally.
sävev $\theta$ Eiac $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ ipac] That is, Without a share in the divine favour. The idea is more fully carried out in the words imme-
 Plutarch in his treatise, ' Is wickedness alone enough to make a man unhappy?' An pravitas sufficiat ad infelicitatem, p. 499.


 one: as would seem natural to one present at a melancholy scene :
the participle involving an indefinite person. Similarly we have $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \theta \varepsilon \iota$, not $\tau \tilde{\Psi} \pi^{\mathfrak{\varepsilon}} \nu \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Heindorf incorrectly refers $\pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \iota$ to $\mu о \iota$ understood, and, consequently, would conjecture $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi \in \mathcal{\pi} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon$. The dative $\pi$ apóvrı depends upon вiкóc, as in Eurip. Hippolyt. 1433.

 pected from our being engaged with philosophy; for our conversation was of that cast. For this use of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon v}$, compare Men. p.91. E.

i. e. was he then in the practice of his art?
${ }^{k}$ roooṽroi $\left.\tau เ \nu \varepsilon_{\S}\right]$ That is, They related to philosophical matters.
 accommodated to the number and gender of the verb, is added by way of defining the meaning of the oürw. Compare Soph. , OEd. Tyr. v. 10.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {............. тเขi тро́тч каӨ́єбтатє }
\end{aligned}
$$



 So too rork..... тot' answers to Latin tum....tum. The Apollodorus here mentioned was a warm and enthusiastic admirer of Socrates. The author of the Xenophontean Apology for Socrates
 sadness, and his melancholy at last degenerated into a kind of madness, whence he received the surname of $\dot{i} \mu a \nu c o o_{g}$. His fortitude proved unequal to bearing with manly patience any severe trial; and on the occasion of the death of Socrates, he not merely wept excessively, but cried and wailed aloud. See chap. IXVI. Welian relates, in his Varia Historia, I. 16., that he brought to the prison a tunic and a cloak, to array Socrates for death.
${ }^{m}$ кai Kpırbßovגos-] Crito, of whom an account is given in a note at the beginning of the Dialogue so inscribed, is said to have had four sons, Critobulus, Hermogenes, Epigenes, Ctesippus But it would seem that the Hermogenes and Epigenes here mentioned are not the sons of Crito so named. Indeed, the manner in which they are separated from Critobulus would seem of itself sufficient to prove this. And Plato (Euthydem. p. 360) speaks of but two sons of Crito. But we meet with both an Epigenes
and an Hermogenes in the Memorabilia of Xenophon; and the former is described as the son of Antipho the Cephisean, the latter as the son of Hipponicus. See Mem. III. 12. 2., and IV. 8.4. These would seem to be the persons here intended. Wechines was a disciple of Socrates, born in very humble life, being the son of a sausage-seller. The dialogues which have come down to us under his name are spurious.-Antisthenes is well known as the founder of the sect of Cynics.-Ctesippus scems to be little known. His name occars also in the Euthydemus and Lysis of Plato.-Menexenus is distinguished by the dialogue bearing his name, and probably written by Plato. He seems to have attached himself closely to the sophist Ctesippus, above referred to; whence, probably, the juxtaposition of their names.
 altogether improbable, that Plato intended by these words to indicate his deep sorrow at the impending death of his master. Bnt apart from this, the artistic reasons arising out of the nature of the conception of the Platonic Socrates would seem to require the absence of Plato, at least from the dialogne as it was pablished. -The circumstance of Xenophon's name not being mentioned here is enumerated by Athenæus (XI. 15), among the arguments brought forward to prove that Plato and Xenophon were not on good terms. But Xenophon had, in the year 401 b.c., two years before the death of his master, joined the expedition of Cyrus the younger, and it would appear that he had not yet returned to Athens. It must be admitted, however, that a good opportunity was here presented for a kindly reference to him, had
 Heindorf preferred $\pi a \rho \eta \eta_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\delta \delta}$ cai $K r$., but there is no necessity for any alteration. For it is usual with the Greeks, when verbs compounded with prepositions are to be repeated, to omit either verb or preposition in the repetition. The omission of the verb is of very frequent occurrence in the Poets and Herodotus. There is an example of the omission of the preposition in Eurip. Bacch. 1062.
and many similar passages have been collected by Elmsley on Eurip. Medea, v. 1219.

- $\left.\Sigma \iota \mu \mu i a \varsigma \tau^{\dot{\varepsilon}} \gamma^{\varepsilon}-\right]$ Simmias and Cebes, the Thebans, are
said to have been disciples of Philolans, a celebrated Pythagorean, who is mentioned by Plato in this dialogue, as well as in many other places. They were familiar associates of Socrates (see Crito, c.IV.). Plato could, therefore, suitably introduce them as engaged in a discussion with Socrates on the immortality of the soul.-Phoodonides appears to have been a Theban.-Euclides was the founder of the School of the Megareans, also called Eristici and Dialectici: i.e. wranglers and logicians. In Plato's dialogue, inscribed Themtetus, he narrates to one Terpsion, of whom nothing further is known, a conversation which is supposed to have taken place between Theætetus and Socrates.-Aristippus, the founder of the Cyrenaic sect, is too well known to require any mention here. The name of Cleombrotus the Ambraciot, is also well known. It is said that, on reading this dialogue, he threw himself into the sea; on which subject there is extant an elegant epigram of Callimachus, n. 24., which is also mentioned by Cicero, Tuscul. I. 34. From what follows, however, it may be doubted whether another Cleombrotus is not referred to in this passage. For there seems to be a kind of reflection upon both him and Aristippus, in this express mention of their absence on this occasion. The island of Agina was only about 200 stadia distant from Athens, a journey which they would certainly have found time and means to accomplish, had they been so disposed. And the fact of the two names being thus coupled together, seems to indicate that their absence was viewed in the same light.
III. a $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma_{i o \nu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu$ —] The prison was near the marketplace, where the Court of the Heliaste was held.
b \% ${ }^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{c}$ àvocx $\theta$ cin $]$ On this optative, which indicates a thing frequently repeated, see Matth. §521. In the same manner, a
 was opened.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a}_{\varsigma}$ ajurov́ ${ }_{\varrho}$, as he says in c. LXV.-avoiyw is one of those verbs which take a double augment. See Matth. Gr. § 168. There seems no reason for reading with Fischer, who followed the Scholiast on Lucian, ov̇ $\pi a ́ v v \pi \rho \omega t$. Thomas Magister gives the preference to the forms $\pi \rho \omega i r \varepsilon \rho o \nu$ and $\pi \rho \omega i$ irarov, as compared with $\pi \rho \omega i ̈ a i t \varepsilon \rho o \nu$ and $\pi \rho \omega i a i r a r o \nu$. But it may be doubted whether there are sufficient grounds for this decision.

verb íxakoviยıv, see Crito, c. I. note ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ).-Immediately afterwards, instead of the common reading, incuivet $\nu$, we have restored $\pi \varepsilon p \mu i v \varepsilon \iota y$ from the best MSS. The meaning of both words is thus explained, by Fr. A. Wolf, on this passage: " $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ is to wait, to await patiently the result of anything; $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ is generally to stay waiting for a person, to await the appearance of something. Hence the latter is commonly used absolutely, whereas the former is much more frequently joined with "ws du." It is therefore somewhat surprising that this commentator should have approved of $i \pi \mu i \nu t i v$, and rejected $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \dot{i} \nu \in i \nu$, which seems to be used here with singular propriety. Compare c. IXV. $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{a}_{\varsigma}$




 afterwards. It must not, however, be supposed that the accusative xpovov is under the government of $\ell \pi \iota \sigma \chi \omega$ civ: the latter must be taken in an intransitive or reflexive sense. This use of the verb $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ is by no means an unfrequent one. See Pheedo,
 intoxdurec. And examples might easily be multiplied.-A little
 perhaps, favoured, from $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ being regarded as an imperfect, instead of a past-complete. But even if it were an imperfect, there would be nothing objectionable in its combination with an





 tenses may with propriety be joined together, when two or more actions are to be regarded in a different manner, and separated in thought from one another.
 moment when we were entering. The common reading, Eise入Obyres, when we had entered, is less adapted to the sense of the passage.
g avєvф$\dot{\eta} \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon]$ Cried out with weeping and wailing. This is one of those words wherein we trace the Attic delicacy, which
applies to sad and disastrous things words properly applicable only to what is agreeable; a mode of speech to which the gram-
 àะuфŋpeiv are properly said of words and expressions woell-omened and fortunate. And as such expressions were chiefly uttered under the apprehension of danger, or in distress, they also signify


 eüфпиos, made by Stanley on Axschyl. Agamemn. 1227. Hermann, however, on the passage in the Trachinis, referred to by Hesychins (Trach. 783), does not acknowledge any duriфpaб!s in the word dyevø $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu$; which he explains to mean, to cry out, eípiject; that is, to cry out, "let ill-omened words be refrained from." Bat the testimony of the ancient critics and lexicographers is against him.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ rธั้ тоṽ Kрíwnos] Of the slaves of Crito. For noble and rich Athenians seldom went abroad alone, bat were generally accompanied by several attendants. See Meno, p. 82. B. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$


${ }^{1}$ avaka0. ${ }^{1} \pi i$ tivn $\boldsymbol{x}$.] That is, raising himself on the bed. For Socratea, who had been freed from his chains at the first dawn, was not yet risen; he now sits up in the bed. A little afterwards he lowers his feet from the bed to the ground, and he remains sitting in this posture to the end of the conversation:

 rejected, since ï̌so日at and кaOǐcıv eis $\tau t$ mean to go and sit down somewhere.
k tpißuv ä $\mu a$ ] While rabbing. Herodot. 1. 179. bpv́øбovtes
 $\ddot{\mu} \mu \alpha$ éritp
${ }^{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ärotov-] The adjective $\boldsymbol{a}$ rozos is applied not merely to what is absurd, but also to what is strange or marvellous.


 tion between pleasure and pain in this, that they will not be present with a man at once, etc. For $\tau \tilde{\psi}$, with an infinitive, is, in this that, or because that. It appears to be a locative dative.


#### Abstract

 just as seems to have been my own case; since pain was before present in my leg, from the effect of the fetter, 80 pleasure seems to come following in its train. loust $\mu 0$ must on no account be takem an equivalent to фaiveral $\mu \circ \mathrm{o}$, doкel $\mu$ ot: its dependent verb is the Hresy in the last clanse, the фaiveral being introduced by a kind of looseness of speech, not unfrequent in Greek anthors, and being by no means syntactically necessary. For instances of similar redundancy, compare Sophist. p. 225. D. סoкĩ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ r. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ -       


 perly used concerning the last will of the dying. Hence it would seem to be preferable to the common reading, $\varepsilon \pi \pi t f \lambda \lambda_{\eta}$, which does not appear to be in accordance with the usage of prose writers. Homer uses the latter in a similar sense, Iliad. XXIII. 95. and 107.
b iv $\chi$ apirı $\pi о 10 i \mu \varepsilon \nu]$ That is, what could we do to oblige you 9
 siơbyal.
e oudty kauvotepov] On this use of the comparative, which is especially frequent with the word vewirepos, see Matth. Gr. § 457. There is a notion of comparison present to the mind of the speaker, though not expressed: 'nothing newer than this,' as if that should be always new.
${ }^{d} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu a \dot{\partial} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{i} \pi \mu$.] Evidently referring to the culture of the higher nature: intellectual and moral.

- ${ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ cal roic $\left.{ }^{\text {t }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{0} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]$ Socrates feels assured, that in proportion as they are in themselves what they ought to be, will they feel the disposition to recompense him individually, or in the persons of his family and friends.



E oviros $\dot{\delta}$ Ewup. $\delta$ $\nu v \nu i$ ical.] The words are to be construed
 "I cannot persuade Crito," he says, "that it is the Socrates who is now conversing with him and you, and who has been marshalling each of our arguments,-i.e. the thinking, reasoning being,that is really ye. This passage is referred to by Cicero, Tuscul. I. 43.
 $\mu \varepsilon \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$, was found in any MSS. by Stephanus, there can be no doubt that it arose from an interpretation of what is called the deliberative subjunctive, of which the use in the third person is rather uncommon. Crito had before asked Socrates: өántw-
 himself in the place of Crito, repeats his expression, saying:








 rıs фúyp; Sophocl. ©d. Col. 170. өíyarep, xoĩ ris фportidos $\lambda \theta y$; Compare Matthise Gr. § 516. 3.
${ }^{1}$ zis $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$ rivac] The $\delta \dot{\eta}$ serves to fix the reader's attention on the foregoing word $\mu$ axápovy. Compare p. 107. D.

${ }^{k}$ ä $\left.\lambda \lambda \omega c\right]$ i. е. $\mu \dot{́} \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$.
 to deliver up a person to another, to become bail for a person, to pledge one's-self for another. Demosthen. p. 609. ed. Reisk. taüf'







 other expressions of the same nature are used.
 à ${ }^{2}$ avarry, be indignant, troubled, or grieved. The etymology of the word is somewhat uncertain. It occurs again in c. IXVI.

 катéع入абе.
 Tetv and $\pi \rho o r_{i} \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a t$ are here used in their proper sense as applied to funerals. The middle voice of the verb $\pi$ port $\theta^{\prime} v a t$ is regularly used to express the customary laying out of a corpse; the active would denote simply the act of exposure. See Eurip. Alcest. 378, where Admetus, being about to die, reproaches in these words his father, who refuses to die in his stead:




The Athenian law upon the subject is given us in Demosth. in





- oí $\mu$ ovov $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ aírò roũro] Not only in that respect, that is, in respect roṽ $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \kappa a \lambda \omega \bar{s} \lambda_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon เ \nu$.-With $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\xi} \varsigma$ understand



 Elc"Apyos. These words are to be explained in the same manner
 is used for separate parts of a building according to circum-stances.-Further on, the fuller construction would have been:
 rort $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ is often omitted before rort $\delta \varepsilon$, in the same manner as $\dot{\delta} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ is sometimes omitted before $\dot{o} \delta \delta_{0}$
 note (e).
c kai al olkeial yuvaikes ad.] Nothing can be more ground-
less than the assertion that Socrates had two wives at one time. This passage has, however, been so far abused as to be urged in proof of that position. But there is not the slightest reason for translating $\gamma \dot{\nu}$ aukes, wives; and Lazac, who has given the subject a careful study, seems to have satisfactorily shown that there is no foundation whatever for an assumption so prejudicial to the eharacter of Socrates. Luzac rightly translates oineial yvvaíkes woomen of the house and family, female relations or domestics; for if Plato intended to speak of wives, he would have merely said, .ai $\gamma v v a i ̃ e \varepsilon \varsigma, ~ n o t ~ a i ~ o i x e i ̃ a l ~ \gamma v v a i ̃ k e s . ~ . ~$
d ov่ катаүขผ́боцаí $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}$ бoṽ] I shall not perceive in your case. The genitive has a kind of partitive force. For this use of cata-

 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \nu \circ i \eta \nu \dot{v} \mu \omega ̃ \nu$ oì $\delta \varepsilon \nu \delta \varsigma$.
- $\left.\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\sigma}^{\rho} \nu \tau \omega \nu\right]$ He means the Eleven.
 is no necessity for the future in a case of the kind: for the fulfilment of the purpose begins with the arrival.
 often thus used to signify duration of time. It gets this force from its meaning, all along. The events or conduct referred to have run parallel with the course of time throughout, so to speak.
 his government, while he governed. The sense is: throughout the whole thirty days which I have passed in prison, he has visited me, and sometimes talked with me.
 speaking of a common and mean person: as here of the attendant and servant of the Fleven, and further on of the execu-tioner.-The seed of the hemlock was braised, in order to extract the juice. See Plin. H. N. XXV. 13.
${ }^{1}$ кai $\xi_{v \gamma}$.] Stephens has correctly rendered this: et quidem nonnullos suis amoribus potitos.
 finitive, see Crito, c. XIV. note (b). A little further on, the words $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \quad \xi \mu \alpha v \tau \tilde{\psi}$ are not superfluous, but are added to define more accurately the meaning of $b \phi \lambda \varepsilon i \nu \gamma^{i} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$, which signifies to incur ridicule, either with others or with one's-self. The meaning, then, is: I do not see that by drinking the hemlock a few minutes later, I shall gain any other end than that of appearing
ridiculous in my owe egea. In the words peadoperos aidends íre inevroc, allusion is made to the Hesiodean precept:


Compare, too, Seneca, Epist. I. Nam ut visum est majoribus noetris: sera parsimonia in fundo est.
 marians, serves to indicate assent to what has preceded, and forms

 in a great measure, says Stallbanm, to the turn which the sentence takes immediately after it. The words or yàp roúrwy inwoinum, are put first, becanse the Greeks usually put that part of the sentence first, which contains the reason of what is about to be said. The particle $\gamma d \rho$ is prefixed in such cases


 ката́геıбо.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ zucs äv $\sigma 0 v$ ßápos.] There is no necessity for changing cov into oou, though the latter appears more natural. So in Crito, c. XV. we have $\sigma 0 v$ érerindevo, and Rep. VII. p. 518. C. rìv
 upon the oxileoc, not upon the $\beta$ ápos.
c rai obitws aürd motincti] "And thus, while you are walking, it will operate of itself, so as to require nothing else." Houkiv, like the Latin facere, is used of the operation of medicines. See Dioscorides, c. L. 95. тоtєĭ rjòs фáppaxa, is efficacious against poisons.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ кai $\mu$ á $\lambda_{a}$ ä $\left.\lambda_{\text {Ews }}\right]$ Very cheerfully. This use of cai $\mu a \lambda a$ is frequent, rai having an intensive force. A little further on, wai

 countenance, fixing his eyes steadily on him. The sense of the subsequen't words is: Is it lawful to pour forth to any god a libation from this potion?
${ }^{1} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \rho \frac{1}{2}$ Elvat $\pi$.] That is, to be sufficient.
E ÉTルOXó $\mu \varepsilon \nu 0 c]$ Having put the cup to his lips, which is the force of the middle voice. For $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \chi \chi \varepsilon \nu \nu \tau \nu i \pi \pi \varepsilon \tau ̃$ is to offer, or present a potion to any one. Compare Aristoph. Nubes, 1385. ai

the cap to your lipe" And for the middle voice, Stesichorus in

 The $\mu \dot{\eta}$ appears redundant to us, but the Greek fixed his mind on the negative result. Similarly, Xen. Anab. I. 3. 2. $\mu$ uepos



 exúpyos.
 is found in the best MSS., was first restored by a conjecture of Stephanus, instead of the common reading, karínlavar. Nor does there seem to be anything harsh in this use of the word, without any further qualification. The analogous compound trucháw is used in exactly a similar manner by Platarch, Life of



 $\tau \dot{\Psi} \lambda_{0} \gamma \tilde{\psi}$ rov̀rч $\pi \rho \dot{c}$ oikrov. And катак入ã̃v: Achill. Tat. III.


$m$ ola $\pi 0 \kappa E i r \varepsilon]$ This is an expression of wonder and displea-


a oṽros $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ doṽs $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta \phi .7$ There seems no sufficient reason for regarding these words as a gloss, as some have done. Repetitions of this kind, especially when they tend to increase the perspicuity of a passage, are quite in accordance with the genius of conversational language. And the removal of the words in question would not add to the euphony of the sentence: sai «̈pa oviros ¿фатто́pevog aj̇roṽ.
- סcalemìv xpoivov] That is, he every now and them kept looking at his feet and legs. The phrase by itself may be ren-
 breving . The word dua $\lambda \pi$.aiv is also used by itself.

[^2]grew cold and stiff, and said that he should die wolen, etc. For so these words are to be understood. Some refer them to the attendant, bat incorrectly; at least Forster's conjecture, ajives, must be adopted in that case. There is a fine euphemism in the





- iverecá入vxro yáp] Xenophon makes Cyrus the elder refer to this custom of wrapping up the faces of the dead in his dying speech. Compare, too, Liry VIII. 9, where the devotion of Decius is described.
 somewhat enigmatical speech, if it be correctly viewed. The sick were wont, on the recovery of their health, to sacrifice a cock to Naculapius. Socrates would indicate that being now at length released from the chains of the body, he shall attain true health.
 me.
 fired.
- $\ddagger v \nu i \lambda a \beta e$ rd $\sigma$ ró $\mu$ ] Closed his mouth. See Kirchmann, de Funeribus, I. 6. p. 45.; and Casaubon on Suet. Octav. 99.
LXVII. - т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ róre $\dot{\omega} \nu$ íxeppá0.] If we translate the Greek as it stands, the sense is as follows: Such, Echecrates, was the end of our companion; $a$ man who woas, I should say, the noblest of his time, so far as my oron intercourse has extended; and, in other points of view, most wise and just. Most critics, however, imagine there is some corruption in the text, the tóre seeming to them unsuitably to limit the praise. Heindorf suggests $\pi$ ónore, notwithstanding this word is rarely used without a negative particle; and Stallbaum proposes the following reconstruction of the
 кaì $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \omega \mathrm{g}, \kappa_{.} \tau . \lambda$. But if the róre be taken with the article räv, and not, as has been erroneously done by some, with éreepai $\theta_{\eta \mu \varepsilon \nu}$, the eulogy, as expressed above, seems a perfectly becoming one. A man's opinion, to be reasonable and valuable, must be based upon his knowledge and experience: hence the propriety of the


 co-ordinate clause with rwi้ тóre.-The adjective ápuroç seems more particularly to denote fortitude and energy: hence the other points of view in his character are afterwards presented to
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3. Watch and Clock Work.

Part II. 18. 6d.
4. Elements of Machinery.
5. Motion and Force.
6. Steam Engine.

Part III. 1s. 6d.
7. Hydrostatics.
8. Hydraulics.
9. Pneumatics.

* Lardner's Popular Geology. (From "The Muséum of
* Lardner's Common Things Explained. First Series. Containing : Air - Earth - Fire - Water - Time-The Almanack - Clocks and Watches - Spectacles - Colour - Kaleidoscope - Pumps. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") 1 vol. 114 llustrations. 2s. 6d., cloth lettered.
* Lardner's Common Things Explained. Second Series. Containing: Man-The Eye-The Printing Press-The Potter's Art-Locomotion and Transport-The Surface of the Earth, or First Notions of Geography. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") With 119 Illustrations. 2s. 6d., cloth lettered.
* Lardner's Popular Physics. Containing : Magnitude and Minnteness-Atmosphere-Thunder and Lightning-Terrestrial Heat-Meteoric Stones-Popular Fallacies-Weather Prognostics - Thermometer-BarometerSafety Lamp - Whitworth's Micrometric Apparatus - Electro-Motive Power -Sound-Magic Lantern-Camera Obscura-Camera Lucida-Looking Glass-Ste-reoscope-Science and Poetry. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") With 85 Illustrations. 2s. 6d., cloth lettered.
* Lardner's Popular Astronomy. First Series. Containing : How to Observe the Heavens-Lattitudes and Longitudes-The Earth - The SunThe Moon-The Planets : are they Inhabited ?-The Now Planets-Leverrier and Adams's Planet-The Tides-Lunar Influences-and the Stellar Universe. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") 1 vol. 119 Illustrations. 28. 6d., cloth lettered.
* Lardner's Popular Astronomy. Second Series. Containing: Light-Comets-Cometary Infuences-Eclipses-Terrestrial Rotation-Lunar Ro-tation-Astronomical Instruments. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") 63 Illustrations. 2s., cloth lettered.
* Lardner on the Microscope. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") I vol. 147 Engravings. 2 s.
* Lardner on the Bee and White Ants. Their Manners
and Habits; with Hlastrations of Animal Instinct and Intelligence. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") 1 vol. 135 Illustrations. $28 .$, cloth lettered.
* Lardner on Steam and its Uses; including the Steam Engine and Locomotive, and Steam Navigation. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.) 1 vol., with 89 Illustrations. 2s.
* Lardner on the Electric Telegraph, Popularised. With 100 Illustrations. (From "The Museum of Science and Art.") 12mo., 250 pages. 2s., cloth lettered.
* Buff's Familiar Letters on the Physics of the Earth. Treating of the chief Movements of the Land, the Waters and the Air, and the forces that give rise to them. Edited by Dr. A. W. Horruan, Profesor in the Royal College of Cbemistry, London. Fcap, 8ro. Sb., cloth.
* Twelve Planispheres. Forming a Guide to the Stars for every Night in the Year. With an Introduction. 8va. 6s. 6d., cloth.

Bishop's Ecliptical Charts, Hours 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, $9,10,11,13,14,19,20,21,22$, taken at the Observatory, Regent's Park. 26. 6d. each.

Bishop's Astronomical Observations, taken at the Obserratory, Regent's Pari, durfng the years 1839-1851. 4to. 12e.

Bishop's Synoptical Table of the Elements of the Minor Planets, between Mars and Jupiter, as known at the beginning of 1855, with the particulars relating to their discovery, etc. ; arranged at the Observatory, Regent's Park. On a sheet, 1 s .

* Minasi's Mechanical Diagrams. For the Use of Lecturers and Schools. 15 Sheets of Diagrams, coloured, 15s., illustrating the following sabjects: 1 and 2. Composition of Forces.-3. Equillibrium.-4 and 5 . Levers. -6. Steelyard, Brady Balance, and Danish Balance.-7. Wheel and Axle.-8. Inclined Plane.-9, 10, 11. Palleys.-12. Hunter's Screw.-13 and 14. Toothed Wheels.-15. Combination of the Mechanical Powers.


## LOGIC.

De Morgan's Formal Logic; or, The Calculus of Inference, Neceesary and Probable. 8vo. 6e. 6d.

Boole's Investigation of the Laws of Thought, on which are founded the Mathematical Theories of Logic and Probabilititea. 8vo. 1 1s.

* Neil's Art of Reasoning : a Popular Exposition of the Principles of Logic, Inductive and Deductive; with an Introductory Outline of the History of Logic, and an Appendix on recent Logical Developments, with Notes. Crown 8vo. 48. 6d., cloth.
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ll's Memoir of the Rev. James Crabb; late of Southpton. With Portrait. Large 12mo., 68., cloth.
hell (R.H). The Jews; a brief Sketch of their sent State and Future Expectations. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d., cloth.

Chinese Rebel Chief, Hung-Siu-Tsuen. His History, the Origin of the Present Insurrection. By the Rev. Theodone Hamberg, 4-kong. Edited by Grozoe Pearag, Foreign Secretary of the Chinese Evancal Society. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d.
s Christian Philosophy. An Attempt to Display the dence and Excellence of Revealed Religion, by ite Internal Testimony. Fcap. 2s. Gd., cloth.

Crystal Palace. An Essay, Descriptive and Critical. min the " London Quarterly Reriev.") 8vo las.
am's Discovery. A Poem. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d., cloth. s Love in the Moon. A Poem. With Remarks on Luminary. Fcap. 4to. 56. 6d., cloth gilt.
on-Place Books.
liferary Diary, or Complete Common-place Book, on the Plan recommenled by Locke, with an Explanation, and an Alphabet of Two Letters on a Leaf. Post 4to., ruled throughont and half-bound, 88. 6 d .
ocket Common-Placz Booz. With Locke's Index. Post 8vo., half-bound. (is. 6d.
s Embossed Books for the Blind.

Old Tebtament.
8 s.
7 s .
5. 5s. 6d. Es. fid.
Cisther, and Ruth. 5s. 6d.
Part I. 6s. 6d.
part II. 5s. 6d.
Testanent, in Eight Vols. ew. 6s.

Mark. 5s. 6d. Luke. 7 s .
John. 5s. 6d.
Acts. 7s.
Romans to Corinthians. 6s.
Galatians to Philemon. 5s. 6d.
Hebrews to Revelations. 78.
Olney Hymns. $2 s$.
Five Addresses to those who wish to go to Heaven. 1s. 6d.
s Works on Prophecy.
eral Stroctifes of teie Apocalippse. 8vo. 2s., cloth.
age Letters on the Prophecies : viz. on the true place of the Seventh Seal; the Infidel Individual Antichrist; and Antiochus Epiphanes as a supposed thubject of Prophecy. 8vo. 2 s.
IT Letters on the Peophecies: viz. on the Seventh Vial; the Civil and Sclesiastical Periods; and on the Type of Jericho. 8vo. 28.
*at Continental Revolution; marking the Expiration of the "Time of the Gentiles," A.D. 1847-8. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
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## Introductory Lectures, Delivered in University College,

 London.
## Sersion 1856-57.

On Self-Training by the, Medical Student. By E. A. Parkes, M.D., Professor of Clinical Medicine in the College. Fcap. 8vo. 1 s.

## SESSION 1828-29.

Dr. Conolly on the Nature and Treatment of Diseases. 18.
Professor Galiano on the Spanish Language and Literature. Is.
Dr. Grant on Comparative Anatomy and Zoology. 1s.
Dr. Muhlenfels on the German and Northern Languages and Literature. 1s.
Dr. Smith on Medical Jurisprudence.
SESSION 1829.30.
Professor Amos on English Law. 1s. Dr. Malkin on History.

SESSION 1830-31.
Professor Bennett on Anatomy. 18.
Professor De Morgan on Mathematics,
Natural Philosophy, and Chemistry. 1s.
Professor Thomson ou Medical Jurisprupradence. 1s.
Professor Amos on English Law. 1s.
SESSION 1831-34.
Dr. Grant on Medical Education. Is.
Professor Malden on the Greek and Latin Languages. 18.
Dr. Qualn on Anatomy. 18.
SESSION 1837-38.
Professor De Morgan on the Establishment of the University of London. Js.

SESSION 1838-39.
Professor Kidd on the Nature and Structure of the Chinese Language. 18. 6d.
Professor Malden on the Introduction of the Natural Sciences into General Education. 1 s.
Professor Pepoli on the Language and Literature of Italy. Is.
Professor Carey on the Study of Euglish Lsw. 1s. 6d.

## SESSION 1840-41.

Professor Creasy on History. 1s.
Professor Latham on the English Language and Literature.

SESSION 1842-48.
Professor Donaldson on Architecture. 1s. 6d.

SESSION 1844-48.
Mr. George on Dental Surgery. 1s.
Professor Newman on the Relations of Free Knowledge to Moral Sentiment. 18.

Professor Ramsay. Passages in the History of Geology. 18.
Professor Marsham on Law. 1s.
SESSION 1848-49.
Professor Scott on the Academical Study of a Vernacular Literature. Is.
Professor Ramsay. (Second Lecture) Passages in the History of Geology. 1s.

SESSION 1849-50.
Professor Williamson - Development of Difference the Basis of Unity. 18. 6d.

SESSION 1860-51.
Professor Erichsen on Surgery. 1s. Professor Foster on Natural Law. Is.

## SESSION 1851-65.

Professor Chapman on the Relations of Mineralogy to Chemistry and Physics. 18.

Professor Masson on College Education and Self-Education.

## PHARMACY.

Mohr and Redwood's Practical Pharmacy. The Arrangements, Apparatus, and Manipulations of the Pharmaceutical Shop and Laboratory. Illustrated by 400 Engravings on Wood. 8vo. 6s. 6d., cloth.

## ANATOMY.

Dr. Quain's Anatomy. Edited by Dr. Sharpey and Mr. Elcis, Professors of Anatomy and Physiology in University College, London, Illustrated by 400 Engravings on Wood. New and Cheaper Edition. 3 vols., small 8vo. £1 11 s .6 d. , cloth lettered.

Ellis's Demonstrations of Anatomy. Being a Guide to the Dissection of the Human Body. By Gzonge Viner Ellis, Professor of Anatomy in University College, London. Fourth Edition. Small 8vo. 12s. 6d., cloth.

Quain and Wilson's Anatomical Plates. A Series of Anatomical Plates in Lithography. Cheap Issae, at the following very low prices:-


The Work complete, containing 201 Plates, 2 vols. royal folio, half-bound morocco, price es bs. plain; £8 8s. coloured.

## SURGERY.

Erichsen's Science and Art of Surgery. Being a Treatise on Surgical Injuries, Diseases, and Operations. By Jobn Elicuszn, Professor of Sargery in University College. Second Edition, revised and much eniarged. Illustrated by 400 Engravings on Wood. 1 vol. 8vo. \&l 6 s.
Quain on Diseases of the Rectum. By Richard Quain, F.R.S., Frofessor of Clinical Surgery in University College. Second Edition, with additions. 12 mo . 7s. 6 d ., cloth.

Morton's Surgical Anatomy of the Principal Regions of the Human Body. 1 vol. royal 8ro., coloured Plates and Woodents. 21 la ., cloth.

A Portrait of the late R. Liston, Esq., Surgeon to University College Hospital. Drawn on Stone by Gaucr, from the original by Eddis. Price 3s. 6d.

## PHYSIOLOGY.

Kirkes's Handbook of Physiology. Third Edition. One Vol., amall 8vo., with Mlastrations on Steel and Wood. 12s. 6d., cloth lettered.

Lardner's Animal Physics ; or, the Body and its Functions
familiarly Explained. Upwards of 500 Illustrations. I vol., small 8vo. 12s. 6d.
Contents.-General View of the Animal Organization; Bones and Ligaments ; Muscles; Structure of the Lower Animals; Nervous System; Circulation; Lymphatics; Respiration; Digestion; Assimilation, Secretion, the Skin, Animai Heat ; Senses; Tonch; Smell; Taste; Vision; Hearing ; Voice; Development, Maturity, Decline, Death.

## TEEDICISE

Pharmacopoia ad usum Valetudinarii Collegii Universitatis Londinende, Accommodata. 18mo. 1s. 6 d ., cloth.

Walshe on the Nature and Treatment of Cancer. By W. H. Whcart, M.D., Profesoor of Medicine In Univeraity College, Physician to University College Hospltal, and Consulting Physician to the Hospital for Consumpaion and Dieceses of the Chest. 1 vol., 8vo., with illustrations. 6s. 6d.

Walshe's Practical Treatise on the Diseases of the Lungs, Heart, and Aorta; including the Principles of Physical Diagnosis. Second Edition. 12mo. 12s.6d., cloth.

Ballard's Artificial Digestion as a Remedy in Dyspepsia, Apepala, and their Results. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6 .

Ballard on Pain after Food; its Causes and Treatment. 12mo. 4. 6d., cloth.

## Ballard's Physical Diagnosis of Diseases of the Abdomen. 12mo. 7h. 6 d .

Jones ont Gravel, Calculus, and Gout. Chiefly an Application of Profeseor Liebig's Physlology to the Prevention and Cure of these Diseases. By H. Bence Jonza, M.D., Cantab., F.R.S., Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians, Londor ; Physician to St. George's Hospital. 8vo. 6s.

Murphy's Lectures on the Principles and Practice of Midwifery. By Edwamd Whliay Muapay, A.M., M.D., Professor of Midwfery in University College, London. Illuatrated by Lithographic Plates and Woodcuts. 8\%o. 163., cloth.

Murphy on Chloroform, its Properties and Safety in Childbirth. 12mo. 1s. 6d., cloth.

## MATERIA MEDICA.

Garrod's Essentials of Materia Medica, Therapeutics, and the Pharmacopoelas. For the Use of Students and Practitioners. By Alpred Baring Garzod, M.D., Professor of Materia Medica and Therapentics in Unlversity College, London. Fcap. 8vo. 6s. 6d., cloth.

## GYMNASTICS.

Chiosso's Gymnastics, an Essential Branch of National Education. By Captain Chiosso, Professor of Gymnastics in Ứniversity College School. 8ro. 1s. 6d.

Chiosso's Gymnastic Polymachinon. Instructions for Performing a Systematic Series of Exercises on the Gymnastic and Callisthenic Polymachinon. 8vo. 2a. 6d., cloth.


[^0]:    * "See Diog. Laert. II. 41. where it is related that Plato was prepared to defend Socrates; but in the first sentence of his speech was interrupted by the petulance of the jurors, and compelled to descend from the bema. But this anecdote is too little attested and too improbable in itself to build upon."

[^1]:    

[^2]:    Pémavùv oűtuc] Advancing his hand higher and higher tosoards the vital parts.
    ${ }^{q}$ aüròs ग̈arero] Socrates himself, too, felt his limbs as they

