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## ADVERTISEMENT.

$T$his THIRD EDITION does not appear before the Publick, without having undergone an attentive revisal; by which some inaccuracies have been amended, and, it is presumed, the general tenour of the work improved. That it is still very far from being faultless, is not an affectation of modesty in the author to say, but a conviction, strongly impressed on his mind. How near a similar production may be brought to such a state, it is difficult to define: it must be deemed to suffice, if what has been done, is not done negligently, and tends to utility. The author does not venture to flatter himself, that an opportunity will again be afforded him of correcting what may yet be erroneous, and of supplying what is defective : he has, therefore, the greater cause to recommend his book to the indulgence of the Critick. When it was first published, he little thought, that he should have the honour of presenting a second edition: but his expectations have been still more surpassed, by the demand for a third. This encouragement he has great satisfaction in ascribing to the increased regard and atten-

## Advertisement.

tion, which are bestowed, in this country, upon the German language. The two former editions did not consist of small impressions : but to calculate the extent to which that study prevails, it must be farther considered, that there are, besides, other grammars in circulation, which, from the advantage of being more immediately under the protection of the book-selling interest, have, probably, even a larger sale. It hence appears likely, that the knowledge of the language, and consequently of the literature, of Germany, will be more diffused, in England, than it hitherto has been; a circumstance justly desired by those, who see in the learned intercourse, between different nations, additional means for the advancement of science, and the promotion of reciprocal civilization. The Germans have, up to this period, been more benefitted by this communication, than the English: for the English language is much attended to, in Germany, and English literature is well known, and understood. On the other hand, German literature has not made a like progress in England: an acquaintance with it can be attributed comparatively but to a few persons; and it must, in general, be confessed, that its compass, and substance are very imperfectly estimated. It may, however, be hoped, and expected, that it will, together with the language, become more familiar: and if there be any merit in contributing to this object, the author will not hesitate to claim

## Advertisement.

his share. He has, by several publications, endeavoured to facilitate, to the English student, the acquisition of the German tongue. The Grammar, Elements, Exercises, and Dictionary owe their origin to that motive. These works, with which a German Reading-book, may, perhaps, shortly be associated, will, in conjunction, not fail to open an easy access to a language, that can only be called difficult, when it is perversely taught. They stand in a certain relation to one another, and are designed to co-operate, in order that the intended pufpose may be the more completely attained. The instruction should begin with the Elements, the use of which little book has not been duly appreciated. It furnishes the first principles of the language, and is best adapted to the wants of a beginner. The declensions, and conjugations, and other preliminary matters, upon the thorough possession of which an accurate knowledge of the language depends, will be most readily acquired from that source. The Grammar itself, will, after this preparation, be taken in hand, with greater profit, and its details more quickly comprehended. . The Exercises, (and the Reading-book, if that be added,) by practically illustrating what has been learnt in theory, will accomplish this course of study.

It will be observed, that the pages, in this new edition, have occasionally double numbers : those in brackets are the numbers of the second edition.

## Advertistment.

When any alteration made a difference in the page, it was thought expedient thus to note the numbers of the sccond edition, with a view that the references in the Exercises, and the Elements, (sometimes, also in the Dictionary), 'which were accommodated to that edition, might not be found inapplicable to the new one. This edition will, therefore, be as fit to be used with the Exercises, and the other books alluded to, as its predecessor; and no difficulty, with regard to the quotations, is to be apprehended. For where the pages of the third edition vary from those of the second, the numbers of the latter are subjoined to those of the former, so that he who looks for a reference, cannot be disappointed.

G. H. NOEHDEN.

LONDON,
Albany, Piccadilly.
August 26th, 1816.

## INTRODUCTION.

## CONCERNING THE LANGUAGE WHICH IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS GRAMMAR.

THE Language, which this Grammar proposes to teach, was originally a dialect, peculiar to a small district in Germany, and is to this day distiuguished, from the other modes of speech, by the name of High GerMAN. It began first to rise into notice at the time of the Reformation; but, since the sixteenth century, it has been cultivated in different provinces, and ultimately arlopted, as their common tongue, by all. It is become the language of literature, and of general communication, whereas the remaining idioms are confined to the colloquial intercourse of their own provinces, and even there, in a considerable degree, excluded from the praclice of the well-educated and higher classes. It is the language of the whole nation, and must, by preference, be understood, when The German is inentioned.

It is my design, in the following pages, briefly to exhibit the history of this dialect, and to say a few words on its nature and properties.

The Electorate of Saxony was the place that gave it birth. That part of Germany had, at an early period, been inhabited by people of Slavonick desceut*; who were, in the tenth century, mixed with a colony of Germans from Franconia, established among them. The Franconians eventually became the masters of the country, and as such introduced their language. But it experienced some change, by being communicated to the prior inhabitants. Their tongue, as that of other Slavonick tribes, was probably soft and harmonious; and had consequently a tendency to smooth and mollify any new language, which they might acquire. The dialect of Franconia belongs to those of Upper (or South) Germany; which are all, more or less, spoken with broad, guttural, and hissing sounds. They differ, in this respect, from the pronumciation of Lower (or North) Germany, which is more simple and easy. But the idiom, formed in the Electorate of Saxony, besides that admixture of softness, derived from the Slavonians, was also modified by the intercourse, which the people of that district maintained with other parts of Germany. Their industry, and superior civilization, brought them into contact with numerous strangers, whose customs and language, to a certain degree, mingled with their own. In this manner, much of the original roughness of the Upper German was worn away; and the High German modelled into a form, better calculated for the

[^0]purposes of literature, and conversation, than its predecessor, the Upper German. Before we proceed farther in our remarks, it will be proper to advert to the name which was given to this idiom, in contradistinction to the others. It is by that appellation discriminated from the Low German, which is the native language of the northern parts of Germany.* That of the south of Germany was called Upper Germant; from this the High German likewise differs. But the name seems to have been chiefly assigned in opposition to the Low German, because that new dialect appertained to a country situated higher up, that is to say, more to the south. In this manner there existed, aboat the time of the Reformation, three grand divisions of the German language, viz, the Upper German (Ober Deutsch), the Low German (Nieder Deutsch, or Platt Deutsch), and lastly the High German (Hock Deutsch) $\ddagger$.
Before that era, every literary production, which

[^1]was composed in the German tongue, was written in the Upper German: this was the velricle of literature, in that country. The High German was the native dialect of Luther, and by the influence of his example, it began to be raised to a competition with the former idiom, and was soon spread throughout the whole nation. The Bible, and other works of high interest, at that period, published in this dialect, and the number of protestant divines which issued from the Electorate of Saxony, tended to make it known, even in the remoter parts of the country. It was read and understood every where, and, by degrees, cultivated as the general language of all Germany. It drove the Upper German from that preeminence, which it had hitherto occupied, and, in its stead, possessed itself of the fields of literature and science. The effect of those circumstances*, which had concurred to exalt the Upper German above the other dialects, had ceased; and it was compelled to give way to a new rival. The Low German was at no time much used in writing, though this idiom, if it had been attended to, might perhaps have produced a language, for softness and harmony far superior to the two others.

- In the 11 th, 12 th, and 13 th centuries, the age when the modern !anguages of Europe began to be used in writing, the crown of the Empire was enjoyed by natives of the. South, or Upper Germany. See Pütler's History of the German Empirc. The Upper German, therefore, was the language of the court;-this was one reason. The vicinity of France and Italy gave the southern provinces of Germany, at the revival of letters, a great advantage qyer the north. They made early attempts in literary compositions. See Eichhorn's History of the Revival and Progress of Literature. This was another circumstance that favoured the Upper German.

If we would define the character of the High German, in its present state, we must say, that it does not exclusively belong to any particular province, or district, but is the property and lawful possession of the whole nation** It has, since it is generally come into use, received improvements from all quarters, and is no longer to be considered in that light, in which it first appeared. Hence it evidently follows that, if there be a difference, between the several provinces, respecting any particular point, in the mode of speaking, it should be adjusted, not according to the peculiar uage of one or the other, but upos general principles, which apply to langiage, an philosophick and impartial grounds. By this observation we ought to be guided, when we determine the question, in which parts the best German, that is to say; the best High German, is to be met with. It will not satisfy us, after the foregoing considerations, to be told, that we must look for example and authority to the practice of that district, from which it derived its origin. This maxim cannot be logically admitted : but whatever, in case of doubt, may be decided by the rules and analogy of general grammar, must inevitably be acknowledged as superior to the influence of ainy local custom; and that province ought certainly to be thought to possess the German in its greatest perfection and purity, which the least deviates from these rules, and this ana-

[^2]logy. This conclusion cannot be denied, if the premises be conceded.

It las, for a long time, been granted, that the High German was best spoken in the Electorate of Saxony, commonly called Upper Saxony, and nominally at the towns of Meissen and Dresden. And that must have been true, at its origin and first progress. But as soon as that language was familiarized to the other provinces, there arose a possibility that it might, in its advancement, meet with a set of people, out of its native district, who, from particular circumstances, would be able to do it more justice than those, to whom it owed its primary existence. Such a chance was afforded it among the iuhabitants of Lower Saxony; who, from the favourable disposition of their organs of speech, were belter able to follow the diclates of general rule and analogy, than any of their ueighbours. It would then of necessity happen, that if there were instances in which the Upper Saxons violated the rules of general analogy, wheu the Lower Saxons were capable of observing them, the latter must, in those instances, have the preference. On the other hand, it is also obvious that, when the Upper Saxons are guilty of deviations from the genuine standard of pronunciation, the Lower Saxous may reciprocally have their peculiarities, likewise in opposition to the law prescribed. This law is, that the pronunciation should be brought as near to the orthography of the language, as it is possible, that the sounds slould correspond with the letters, and that the farther any province recedes from that line, the more distant it is from the praise of a good pronunciation.

In drawing a comparison between the claims of the

Upper and Lower Saxons, it is my wish to act wilh the utnost fairness, according to the preceding observations. I shall, therefore, enumerate the principal faults of both competitors, and carefully weigh them against one another, which will, in the surest manner, lear to such a conclusion, as may enable the foreigner to form his opinion.

The errours of the Upper Saxons are these :

1. A want of distinction between soft and hard letlers; as between $B$ and $P, D$ and $T, G$ and $K$. The hard sounds, in general, prevail. $\boldsymbol{B}$ is pronounced by them like $P, D$ like $T$, and $G$ like $K$. The nature of this misuomer will readily be understood by the English reader, who will recognise in it his friends, Captain Fluellen*, and Mr. Morgant. But it is a grievous defect! Daum is metamorphosed into Paum, Buch into Puch; Ball into Pall. In the same manner they speak ter, tie, tas, for der, die, das; tienen for dienen; tumm for dumm. Thus they subslitute $\boldsymbol{K}$ for G, and say Kott instead of Gott, kehen instead of gehen, \&c. It may be answered, that such certainly are the popular irregularities of pronumciation, with the Upper Saxons; but that the High German should be considered according to the state, in which it exists among persons of letters, and the higher orders. This, indeed, is just; yet it does not seem to remove the objection: for that default appears to be radical, comnhon to all, and incurable. At least so I have found it, in the course of my observation ; and I hardly think it possible, that I should be mistaken : if I ann, all that

[^3]is said upon this point, falls of itself to the ground. But what is singular, it has often struck me, as if the Upper Saxons (I speak of literary and well educated people) were incapable of perceiving, by the ear, any difference between the hard and soft sounds, though their tongue, by chance, sometimes produres the former. In this case, I have remarked, the sifi sound is, for the most part, misapplied, by being placed not whère it ought to be, but perversely substituted for a hard one, as $B$ for $P$, in die Bost, for Post ; der Blatz, for Platz, \&c.
2. The hissing aspiration (like the English sh) which is given to the letter $S$ before $p$ and $l$ especially in the begiming of words, I consider as the second objection. Stehen*, for example, is made to sound like shtehen; sprechen $\dagger$ like shprechen; Durs $\ddagger$ like Dursht. When the orthongraply of the language dictates an $S$, what can be the ground for utteriigg this consonant otherwise, than simply as an $S$ ? For that hissing aspiration a particular sign is appropriated, namely sch; and why should a similar confusion of the alphabetiek characters be tolerated? If that aspiration is to be expressed, for what reason may the type, assigned to it, not be emploged in writing? But where the orthography revolts at the sch, what charms can this sound possess for pronunciation? It surely has nothing to recommend it, on the score of enphony; nor call we yield so much to the paramount authority of one province, as, after its example, to establish a mode of speaking, which is contrary to the general rule, and affords, by its intrinsick merit, no compensation for such
$$
\text { To sta nd. } \quad+\text { To speak. } \ddagger \text { Thirst. }
$$
an irregularily. It is the foreigner whose opinion may, on this puint, be consulted with impartiality; but it will be difficult to persuade him (I allude to such foreigners as belong to the most enlightened nations of Europe) that the hissing sound of $S c h$ is a desirable substitute for that of the simple $S$. It is a peculiarity, which has been retained fron the Upper German, and ought to be dismissed from the general language, both upon principle, and on the ground of conveniency. The Lower Saxons are always disposed to avoid it, and it would, in this instance, certainly be unreasonable to require of then, that they should exclange their better pronunciation for one, which must be allowed to be worse.
3. In the third place we may notice the want of discrimination between the diphthong $\ddot{u}$, and the vowel $i$, which seems to prevail among the Upper Saxons. They pronounce both alike, namely as the ee of the English; but ï should be sounded very differently, as will be shewn, when we speak of this letter.
4. Lastly, I would remark the hurried pronunciation of the prefix ge, in the preterite participles; where the e is so entirely slurred over, as if it did not exist. / For example, gelobt, praised; geliebt, loved; gelehrt, learned, they speak klobt, kliebt, klehrt. This is wrong, because the vowel $e$, though it has, in this prefix, a short and somewhat obscure sound, should still be pronounced, and be made audible.

It would be foreign to the subject to touch upon other inaccuracies, which may be said to be only failings of the vulgar*. I shall, therefore, proceed to point out the

[^4]defects, with which the pronunciation of the Lower Saxons is to be charged.

1. It has a tendency to assume sof letters in the room of hard ones, when the former are improper. Thus $D$ is heard for T, as Dag for Tag, Duch for Tuch, \&cc.
2. The hissing aspiration is sometimes omitted, where, in conformity with the establislied orthography, it ought to be received. A Lower Saxon will be inclined to say stagen for schlagen ; sneiden for schneiden, \&c.
3. The third variation from the rule is perhaps the most frequent, and the most serious. It concerns the leftet $G$, in the true pronunciation of which many persons are observed to be mistaken. Some express it by the sound of $j$, which is like the English $y$ in the beginning of some words, such as year, yoke, yellow. Gott, God, is accordingly spoken, as if written jott (English yott) : Garten, garden, as ifjarten (i. e. yarten), \&c. Others pronounce the $g$ like $c h$, which is a guttural sound, as will be mentioned in its place. But the right mode of uttering the letter $g$, is that which is perceived in the English words, god, garden, get, give.

I have stated the prominent inperfections of both the Upper, and the Lower Saxon, pronumciation; and the student will be enabled to escape the offences, to which his attention has been thus nirakened. It is now necessary to balance them, with strict justice, against one another, and determise, by reasoning, which of the two modes of pronunciation is least objectionable, or, in other words, which of the two provinces is to be preferred, with regard to this question.

The exceptions, which have been made to the pronunciation of the Upper Saxons, are, as I think, in
themselves more considerable, than those which are atleged against the Lower Saxons. We will not, however, discuss them singly, but limit ourselves to the following observations.

The provincial habits, which exiot in the Electorate of Saxony, and those in particular, which we have adverted to, are derived from the Upper German. This produces the singular disadvantage, that, where they are once rooted in the organs of speech, it is difficult to remove them. The Upper Saxons, therefore, find it a task of inconceiveable bardship to divest themselves of those blemishes: and their pronunciation of the High German will, probably for ever, remain defective. It is otherwise with the iuhabitants of Lower Saxony. They can, with ease, adapt their speceh to various sounds: and though any particular mode of utterance shoald not be familiar to them, it is not beyond their capacity to acquire it. They have, of late years, proved this by their manner of speaking the High German. Those individuals who are acquainted with the rules of a right pronunciation, have, in general, well succeeded in observing them : when they fail, in some inslances, it is more from iuattention, than any incorrigible deficiency. That inattention may, with moderate exertion, be entirely overcome ; and their language, as far as the act of speaking is involved, be brought very near the line of perfection. To this the Upper Saxons will never be able to aspire, at least not, while they labour under those peculiarities, which distinguish them from their neighbours. The High German, as spoken by the Lower Saxons, is, besides, in a certain degree mellowed by that softness of tone, which the native dialect of this tribe possesses. This is a cireumstance of which foreign-
ers readily become sensible : and I may add, that the natives of Upper Saxony themselves are not unconconscious of it*. This I remarked, during a late residence in Upper Saxony (in the spring of the year 1815), chiefly at Leipzig and Dresden, where I met with some individuals, who did not hesitate to declare the Lower Saxon promunciation preferable to their ownt. Hence it is obvious, to what part of Germany it will be advisable for a foreigner to repair, who wishes to acquire

[^5]the best pronunciation of the language. It should be some town of distinction within the verge of the Lower Saxon dialect, in which, besides Lower Saxony, strictly so called are to be comprehended the northern districts, contiguous to it, and, in particular, the country of Brandenburgh. He may, accordingly, choose his residence at Hamburgh, Hanover, Göttingen, or Berlin. It will be his business to be on his guard against the provincial failings, incident to the people, among whom lie may reside; what we have pointed out, will secure him against the most striking errours. A native of Great Britain, or Ireland, will find the pronunciation, according to the Lower Saxon dialect, more congenial to his own tongue, and consequently more easy to acquire, than the pronunciation of Upper Saxony.

As to the internal value of the language, in phraseology and expression, this is not a question of local preeminence. There all the provinces are upon the same level : they all draw their accomplishment from the mines of literature. Whether a man write well, or be successful in the choice of words, to express his thoughts, does not depend upon the spot where he was born and educated, but upon the proficiency he has made in letters, the degree of cultivation he has acquired, and the fruitfulness and versatility of his own mind. In writing, and in a literary point of view, the High German is every where the same. The difference does not lie between the provinces, but between individuals. The best authorsfurnish the store for the language, education teaches how to employ it.

The native dialects of the several provinces, in Germany, present a curious and interesting subject to the
grammatical enquirer* : neither would it be devoid of attraction for the historian, who searches into the origin of the component parts, which constitute a nation; nor the philosopher, who speculates on the formation, progress, and diversity of language. But this is a topick, entirely removed from our view, in the work before us. We have only one track to follow, which is to lead us to the acquisition of the general language ; the language of literature, and of polite conversation. Of the other idioms it is enough for the student to know, that they exist, that they differ from one another, and that they must not be confounded with the High German, which is the common instrument of speech, every where understood, throughout the whole country.
*The author, in a tour which he made (in the summer 1815) through a great part of Germany, was much struck by the variation of the dialects. It is amusing, and instructive, for the linguist to observe either their approximation, or deviation, and the shades of dissimilitude by which they are marked.
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ON THE ALPHABET.

T
HE Germans employ three sorts of characters, for writing and printing: two of which may be called properly German, and the third Roman, or Latin. The latter is that, which is used by the English, and other nations

$$
\text { c } 2
$$

## 16 On Orthography \& Pronunciation. Part 1. Chap.1.

of Europe: the two former are corruptions of the same, one appropriated for printing, and the other for handwriting. That for printing resembles the Black Letter of this country, and the Ecriture Ronde et Financiere, or, as it is by some called, the Lettres de Forme, of the French*. The second, for hand-writing, differs, as will be seen from the Plate annexed. Both, however, as has been intinated, are derived from the same fountain. Their peculiar quality is, being pointed and angular. They are the produtions of the twelfili and thirteenth centuries; and remain as specimens of that tasté, svhich is known by the name of Gothick, and prevailed, from the period mentioned, for a long space of time, over the greatest part of Europe + .

Those characters have maintained themselves in Germany, where they have been much improved. Yet they still want the simplicity and elegance of their original. For this reason, many German works have, in later times, been printed in the Roman type : that practice, however, is hitherto not become general, and the greatest number of publications continues to appear in the ancient liabit. $\ddagger$ I shall, therefore, to render it familiar to the student, retain it in the German words that may be introduced in the course of this Grammar. The claracter, which
> * Guttenberg, and his associates, called them Lettres Bourgeoises.
> + See Gatterer's Elementa Diplomatices; and Nouveau Traité de Diplomatique, by two Benedictines.

$\ddagger$ And it is to be doubted, whether thatinnovation would be of any advantage, if generally adopted. By disusing the cye from the old type, many valuable productions of literature, unless reprinted, would be rendered less easy to read, and might be projudiced in their general utility.
serves for current hand-writing, is, as far as I know, witl very few exceptions, universally predominant in the German nation: and though the printed letter may decline, this will probably, from its supposed convenience, be preserved.

There exists, besides, a particular Alphabet for engrossing, which, with the last mentioned, is exhibited in the Plate.

In writing Latin, or any modern language of Europe, the Germans use not their own character, but the Roman: with which the people at large are equally well acquainted.

THE

## PRINTED ALPHABET．

| $\mathfrak{H}$ | A | $A u^{*}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | －Not like the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＋ 8 | B b | But | broad au in |
|  |  | Beyt | caugh，laught， |
| $\bigcirc{ }^{-1} 5^{5}$ | C c | Tsey ，， 1 ！ | the open au in |
| （5） 0 | D d | Dey | aunt．Sce the pronunciation of |
| －EP | Ee | Eyf | A，in the next section． |
| $\mathfrak{F}$ ；ff | F f；ff | Ef；Ef－ef |  |
| （1） | $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{g}$ | Gey，or Gay | tey，in this |
| 5 y ；（t） | Hh；ch | Hau；Tsey－hau | and libe follow－ |
| J i |  | E | be pronounced |
| Ji | J j | Yot | asit is in the pro－ noun they． |
| $\mathfrak{R} \boldsymbol{f}$ | K k；ck | Kau；Tsey－kau |  |
| $\underline{1}$ | L I | El | $\pm$ To sound like the acute of the |
| －30im | M m | Em | Germans．See |
| 9 ！ 1 | N n | En | the nexisection． |
| 50 | O | O |  |
| Y | $P^{\text {p }}$ | Pey |  |
| 29 | Q q | Koo |  |
| － $\mathfrak{R r}$ | R r | Err |  |
| $5 \sum \xi ; f$ E; ft | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSs; ff } \\ & \text { sz; st } \end{aligned}$ | Ess；Ess－ess Ess－tset；Ess－tey |  |
| $\pm 1$ | T t | Tey |  |
| $\\|$ | $\mathrm{U} \mathbf{u}$ | Oo |  |
| $-\mathfrak{3}$ v | V v | Fou |  |
| $\mathfrak{T 3}$ w | W w | Vey§ | \＄See the pro－ |
| $\mathfrak{X} \boldsymbol{r}$ | X $\mathbf{x}$ | Iks | nunciater of |
| （1） | Y y | Ypsilon | the next section． |
| 入了；免 | Z 2 ；tz | Tset；Tey－tset |  |
| á ó 1 or | ae oe ue\｜｜ |  | $\\|$ Referto the sound of these diphthongs，in the next section． |
| $\ddot{a} \ddot{0}$ |  |  |  |

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. In the printed alphabet some letters are apt to be mistaken by beginners, and to be confounded one with another. To facilitate the discrimination, I will place them here together, and point out the difference.

$$
\mathfrak{B}(\mathrm{B}) \text {, and } \mathfrak{F}(\mathrm{V}) .
$$

The latter is open in the middle, the former joined across.
© (C), and © (E).
© (E) has a little horizontal stroke in the middle, projecting to the right, which © (C) has not.

$$
(5)(G), \text { and } \subseteq(S)
$$

These letters, being both of rather a round form, are sometimes taken for one another, particularly the (3) for the $\mathfrak{S}$. But $\mathfrak{S}(\mathrm{S})$ has an opening above, $\sqrt{5}(\mathbb{G})$ is closed, and has besides a perpendicular stroke within.

$$
\Re(\mathrm{K}), \Re(\mathrm{N}), \Re(\mathrm{R}) .
$$

$\Omega(\mathrm{K})$ is rounded at the top, $\Omega(\mathrm{N})$ is open in the middle, $\Re(\mathrm{R})$ is united about the middle.

$$
\mathfrak{M}(\mathrm{M}) \text {, and } \mathfrak{W}(\mathrm{W}) \text {. }
$$

$\mathfrak{M}(M)$ is open at the bottom, $\mathfrak{W}(W)$ is closed.

$$
\mathfrak{b}(b) \text {, and } \mathfrak{h}(b) \text {. }
$$

$\mathfrak{b}(b)$ is perfectly closed below; $\mathfrak{h}(h)$ is somewhat open,

## 20 On Orthography \& Pronunciation. Part I. Chap. 1.

 and ends att the bottom, on one side, with a hair stroke. I have known $b(d)$ confounded with $\mathfrak{b}(b)$, but the difference between these letters is sufficiently marked, even for the commonest attention.$$
f(f) \text {, and } f(f) \text {. }
$$

$f(f)$ has a horizontal line above.

$$
\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{m}) \text {, and } \mathfrak{w}(w) \text {. }
$$

$\mathfrak{m}(m)$ is entirely open at the bottom, $\mathfrak{w}(w)$ is partly closed.

$$
\mathfrak{r}(\mathrm{r}) \text {, and } \mathfrak{x}(\mathrm{x}) \text {. }
$$

$x(x)$ has a little hair stroke below, on the left.

$$
\mathfrak{y}(v) \text {, and } \mathfrak{y}(y) \text {. }
$$

$\mathfrak{v}(v)$ is closed; $\mathfrak{y}(y)$ is somewhat open below, and ends with a hair stroke.
2. The copperplate exlibits three Alphabels of German hand-writing:
No. 1. Is known by the name of $\mathfrak{F r a c t u r}$ ভdhrift, i. e. the Broken Letter, because the characters are formed by interrupted touches of the pen. It is used for inscriptions, and for the first lines of any formal writing.

No. 2. Called (Sanfley ©(brift, i. e. Chancery writing, serves commonly for engrossing.

No. 3. Is the current hand.
Of these three alphabets, both the capital and small letters are represented; and an example is added, to show them in connection.

## SECTION II.

## ON ORTHOGRAPHY.

Orthography has in the German, as in other languages, been exposed to variety, and change. To avoid these, and to give to the external form of the lavguage a proper degree of consistency, the following rules should be kept in view.

First, such characters, in writing, should be used, as by their import, approach the nearest to the best pronunciation*. But

Secondly, a regard must, at the same time, be had to derivation, and etymology.

In the third place, we ought not, without necessity, and preponderating reasons, to depart from the general practice, which has been once introduced.

If these rule, had been followed, those many innova- $\alpha$ tions, which have embarassed German Orthography, would not have taken place. It was the ignorance of
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those principles, that led to imaginary reforms. Most of them were but misconceived changes, which had their source in the fancy, perverseness, and vanity of individuals. It would not be fit to enter into a detail of these deviations; let it suffice to put the student on his guard. The books, with which he is first brought acquainted, should be models of a just orthography: the variations, which he will afterwards notice, in his reading, he ought to examine according to the foregoing rules.
We bave now to advert to some peculiarities, which exist in German orthography.

1. All nouns substantive are written with capital letters. that is to say, the letter which begins any such noun, must be a capital. And not only words which are properly substantives, fall under the operation of this law, but likewise all, that are employed, at any time, in that quality. They assume this particular appearance of substantives, wherever they supply their place. Of this description are:
(a) Adjectives, either when a substantive is anderstood, as: Øer $\mathfrak{W e i f e}$, the wise (nan); Der ©rofe, the great (man); Die Sdone, the fair (womati): or when they are themselves employed abstractedly, with the power of a substantive, as: bas Samard, the black, i.e. the colour black: Dib Grim, the green, i. e. the colour green ; Das̉ æunb, the round substance, rotundity. To the adjectives may be added the possessive pronouns, as : Die Meinigen, my friends; Die Deinigen, thy friends; Dic Seinigen, his friends; Die lufrigen, our friends, or our people; Die Eurigen, your friends; bie Jhrigen, their friends. Thus Dab Rein, that which is mine, (meum) : Das Dein, that which is thine, (tuum), \&cc.
(b) The Infinitives, when they are made to express the substantive acts of their verbs, for which the English make use of the participle. Das defen, the act, or employment, of reading ; bas ভdyreiben, the act of writing: bas Gerken, the act of walking; Das Neifen, the act of travelling.
(c) Any other part of speech whicl, by an aflicle, or pronoun, prefixed, assumes the character of a substantive. Das 2(ber, the word but : baz̉ §d, the pronoun I, (ego), \&c.
2. German Orthography coincides with the Euglish in this point, that it frequently marks any word with a capital letter, which is to attract the notice of the reader, or to be distinguished from the rest. In English the first personal pronoun is always written capitally ( 1 ): in German it has not this prerogative, except where it begins a sentence, or is to be distinguished by an emphasis. On the other liand the pronouns of address, such as thor, you, which in English have, usually, only small letters, are begun with capitals, in German, as: $\mathfrak{D u}$, $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{r}$, Er, Cie*. This is the effect of an imaginary politeness, by which also possessive and demonstrative pronouns, when they are used as the means of speaking to another person, are decorated with capital letters. For example, in these sentences, Ihave received your letter, 1 have seen your father, \&c. the pronoun Your would be written wilh a capital.
3. The Punctuation in German Orthography differs only in some trifing particulars from the English. The dissimilarity refers principally to the comma, and is as follows:
[^7]
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(a) The English frequently coufine adverbs, conjuuctions, and prepositions with their cases, between commas, as in these examples: "He was extremely astonished to see his dominions overwhelmed, on a sudden, with such an inundatiou of licentious barbarians," Hume.-"It is, accordingly, this steady, inflexible virtue, this regard to principle," \&cc. Blair.-"Those who have great affairs to manage, intricate plans to pursue, many enemies, perkaps, to encounter in the pursuit." Blair.-" Let us cease, therefore, from looking up with discontent and envy to those, whom birth or for ${ }_{3}$ tune has placed above us." Blair. -" The world appears not, then, originally made for the private convenience of me alone." Harris. -"The fact, certainly, is much otherwise.-If sensibility, therefore, be not incompatible with true wisdom," \&c. Melmoth's Cicero. "In this, however, as above, numbers of the most beautiful crystals were formed." Goldsmith.-"There is not, in my opinion, a more pleasing and triumphant consideration," \&c. Addison.-" He made preparations for recovering England of which, during his absence, be had, by Henry's intrigues, been so unjustly defrauded." Hume. -In these, and similar instances, the usage of German orthograplyy would admit no comma before, and after, the words printed in Italicks.
(b) The Germans rarely put a comma, in the middle of a sentence, before the conjunction und, and; where the English generally add that sigu of distinction.
(c) In German, a comma is invariably placed before a relative pronoun, which is, in English, very often omitted. Eta an $\beta l_{e}$
(d) The infinitive mood with the preposition illt, 10,
preceding it*, is always sèparated by a comma from the verb, which governs it. Examples: $\mathcal{S} d)$ freue mid, Sie zu Feken, I rejoice to see you. ©er furchtet fich, feinen $\mathfrak{F a}$ ater zu beleidigen, he fears to offend his father; ex glaubt, biejen ©ak ermiejen fu baben, he thinks he has demonstrated this position; um biejen $\widehat{\text { Smedf }}$ zu er= reithen, opferte er alle andere siudfifdten auf, to attain this object, he sacrificed all other considerations. In the last example, the infinitive with gu stands in the first member of the sentence, and the comma is, there, put after it: This separating comma before the infinitive, is, however, only required, when that mood is accompanied with other words, which it governs, as in the instances above. When it stands alone with $\mathfrak{f u}$, as in id) wunjabe zu \{d)lafen, I wish to sleep; er verfucht itu tanzen, he attempts to dance; er roagt $\mathfrak{j u}$ reiten, he ventures to ride; $i d$ verlange $\quad$ b miffen, I desire to know; bort auf ou reben, cease to talk; and especially, when this infinitive is governed by a substantive, as $\mathfrak{l u f f} \mathfrak{f}$ frielen, an inclination to play; סas ふergnugen Sie ölu feken, the pleasure of seeing you; Der $\mathfrak{W u n j c h}$ gelobt $\mathfrak{z u t}$ werben, the wish of being praised: in-those circumstances, the comma is omitted.

The other signs of punctuation are used in the same manner by the Germans, as by the English. All these marks serve to enable us to read, with a proper tone, and distinction, the sentiments of the writer: and they are rightly employed, when, by their application, that object is attained. It is obvious that, on account of the peculiar turn, that is intended to be given to a sentence, and the particular mode, with which the writer may conceive

[^8]the effect, which is to be produced by his expression, they must sometimes obey the will, or fancy, of an individual, rather than the rules of general practice. But their function is accomplished, when they convey the sense and design of the writer. Thus, after certain words, a comma, or another point, may be adopted, in some instances, when commonly they are not followed by a similar mark of separation. This modification of the laws of interpunction is not to be confounded with the fantastick novelties, which capricious persons have, at different times, endeavoured to introduce into this prart of orthography. Their scliemes have been as unsuccessful, as they were useless.
4. The sign of Apostrophe (') is to be used
(a) In the genitive case of proper names. It is then prefixed to the genitive termuation, which generally is $z$, sometimes ns, and ens: as, 2fgricola's Fellozůge, Agricola's campaizns; Sllato's (Sejprad)e, Plato's dialogues; Leffing's エ્raucripicle, Lessing's tragedies: Sjolty'z © Götze's sermons; Uz'eni Sitriften, the writings of Uz.

The application of the apostrophe is often to be recommended, on the ground that it learls to an easy discrinination of proper names. For example, by means of this sign, we are enabled to ascertain, that the nomi-
 $\mathbb{U}_{z}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{n}$ E, $\mathfrak{U}_{z}$, not $\mathfrak{U}_{z} c$, or $\mathbb{U}_{z} \mathrm{cn}$. But to gain this adrantage, it must be carefully and accurately placed, before the genitive temination. As it is certainly useful in some proper names, I see no reason, why we should not admit it in all, though the practice is, as yet, not generally established; and the more so, as it might serve for a distinction to proper names from common appellatives.
(b) The apostrophe should be employed, when the vowele is, by contraction, thrown away, where properly it ought to remain. For example: er fprad", ${ }^{2}$, for fprach eร, he spoke it ; fage mir'z, formires, tell it ine; war's gut, for war ess gut. was it gonot ; ifí) verfteh'es, for vevfteke es, I miderstand it ; Freuno unofeinoc, for freunbe und geinde. friends and lines; bois und halitarrig, for boje, bad and obstivate. In the inflection buth of nouns and verbs, a similar contraction is frequem; but, in general, not indicated by the apostrophe: as, DeE Buthes, for Buches, of the book ; er lobt, for lobet, he praises; er lobte, for lobete: gelobt. for gelobet. Only where the pronunciation might suffer a change, if the inmission of the $e$ wre nol understuod, it should be marked, as in er reif ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, fur reifet, he travels; in which the letter s should be promounced soff, as it would be before the p, previously to the coutraction. If the worl were written reiff, without the apostroplie, there would be a risk of the $s$ being ultered sharply, as in er reift, he tears. This would produce an inaccuracy in the language. Thus it would be well to write erlof't, for erlópet, redeemed; er raftt, for rajet, he raves. But the surest way of preventing any mistake, in pronunciaton, is to avoid such ejections.*

[^9]
## 28 On Orthography \& Proninciution. Part 1. Chap.1.

The apostrophe has, like other things, been perverted, and abused, by innovators, who thought they might render the German language a service, by introdueing this orthographick sign, with all those privileges, with which it is invested in some other modern tongues. They removed by it every vowel, which happened to be followed by another vowel, under the false notion of obviating disharuiony. But it is seldom that the German language requires such an expedient : and the use of that abbreviation is confined within narrow limits.
5. The Hyphen or sign of conjunction, $(-$, or $=)$ is made use of
(a) When a word, at the end of a line, must be divided, for want of space. The division takes place, according to the syllables, as in English, only with the difference that this partition is solely determined by the pronurciation. When there is a consonant between two vowels, it is generally assigned, as the beginning letter, to the second syllable. For example, the word uriting would be thus separated, in German, wri-ting; whereas in English, regard is had to etymology, conformably to which it is spelt writ-ing.
(b) Sometimes in compound words, as $\mathfrak{F r e u n o}$ (haft! : Bund, league of friendship.
(c) When two compound words, having their last component the same, stand together, and when the last coinponent is omitted in the prior word, and expressed only in the second: as, ভdureib= uno Rcoefunft, the art of writing, and speaking. The component $\mathfrak{\Re u n f t}$ belongs, to both words, Sdrcibfumft, art of writing, Rebelumft, art of speaking: but is ouly once expressed.

## SECTION III.

## ON THE PRONUNCIATION OF LETTERS.

If the rule of Quintilian*. "Let words be so uttered, that each letter may denote its appropriate sound," were established in the practice of the languages, the present subject wouid be attended with fewer difficulties, than it actually is. To the German language it is but justice to remark, that the powerand the meaning of the letters, and the nature of the sounds, are less vague and undetermined, tlian is the case, more or less, in several other modernfongues. But it is not an easy undertaking, in general, to teach pronunciation by writing, without the assistance of a teacher's voice. You act upon the eye, instead of the ear, which is the proper organ for this species of instruction. The only way of imparting it, in thiat manner, is by comparing the letters and sounds of the language which is to be learnt, with those of a language already known. Such a comparison, however, is not always to be obtained. One language may have sounds, to which nothing similar is to be found in another. In such circumstances, some have thought, that a delineation, or description, of the form and changes, which the organs of speech assume, in pronouncing, would lead to a successful imitation of the sounds; but, on experience, it will be found, that this is a very precarious help to depend on. The task is much facilitated, when a comparison with several foreign languages may be resorted to: and of this expedient we shall, to a certain degree, avail

[^10]so [29] On Orthography \& Pronuniciation. P. I. C. 1. ourselves, throughout this section. The whole attempt will still remain imperfect; and the student canno! expect to possess himself fully of the pronunciation, unless by an intercourse with the natives. But notwithstanding these discouraging circumstances, the contents of the present division will not appear to be without their use. They will induce a more attentive consideration of the subject, than perhaps would be afforded by oral instruction alone. This will undoubtedly be wanted to supply the deficiencies of the former; but the written rules will, reciprocally, prove a beneficial support to the lessons of the master. On this persuasion, I have thought it worth while, to enter, with some degree of minuteness, into the following details.

## VOWELS.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{X}, \Xi, \mathfrak{J}, \frown, \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{Y} . \\
\mathfrak{U},(\mathrm{A}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This vowel has, I believe, in no language of Europe, that acute sound, which is assigned to it in English : I mean that, which, for instance, is heard, in hate, state, male, gale, and is, in English grammar, called the long sound.

In German, the $A$ is pronounced, as it is in the French, Italian, Spanish, Portuguese, and other languages: which seems to be between the short $A$ of the English in hat, man, and their broad one, in hall, ball. It approaches the sound of $a$ in $a h$, father, and that of बu, in aunt, gauntlet.
$A$ is in some words doubled, by which no other change is effected, in the pronunciation, than that of
renderiug the vowel more full，and long．Examples； Der $\mathcal{Z a X}$ ，the eel；סas Şaar，the hair ；Die かtaas，the river Meuse ；Die $235 a a r e, ~ m e r c h a n d i s e . ~$

$$
\mathbb{E},(\mathbb{E})
$$

has four different sounds：
1．The broad，or open，
2．The acute，or elevated，
3．The slender，and
4．The obscure，or short，sound．
1．The broad sound of $\mathbf{E}$ resembles that of the loing． English a，in name，fate；or of ai，or ay，in air，day： and is the same with the $\hat{e}$ ，ore ouvert，of the French， and the accentuated eo the Italians．
It takes place，when E concludes the first，ormiddle， syllable of a word，not the last．Therefore leben，to live，is pronounced nearly as laben；ber Befem，the broom，as bazem．

Some words are excepted：Die Géber，or 3eber，the cedar；©leve，Cleves，the name of a place；bie De－ muth，humility；©゚Den，Eden，paradise；Die（E＇ge，the harrow；を＇pheu，ivy；émig，eternal；jeber，each；jé and jémahľ，ever；iémand，somebody；iéner，iéne，jénes， he，she，it or that；Jréne，a proper naine；Die Ram－ préte，the lamprey；Die 刃e＇ve，the sea－gull；bie 刃uß－ féte，the musket；Die Şaftete，the pasty；かeter，Peter； Die $\Re$ égel，the rule；régieren，to govern；Die Scene，the scene；Sdbwében，Sweden；Sdléfíen，Silesia；die Si－ réne，the siren ；Die $\mathfrak{Z}$ apéte，the hangings of a room ；Die İrompéte，the trumpet；wénig，little in quantity；Die Wehe，the toe of the foot．In these，the E has the acute $\}$ sound，contrary to the foregoing rule．

The broad sound is not admitted, when an $h$ follows: except in a few words, as ftehlen, to steal, pronounced stalen; fēblen, to fail; verfēblen, to miss; ber ßefëbl, the command; befēblen, to command; empfêflen, to recommend; entbêhren, to want; kęhlen, vertēhlen, to concral; Der $\mathfrak{L e}$ hmen, the loam; nêhmen, to take; die Sēble, the throat; Das MRĕhl, the flour ; zêben, ten. The Upper Saxons also sound the $e$ broad, before $h$, in the following*: Dēhnen, to stretch; brēben, to turn; die fēboe, the feud, or warfare; lêtnen, to lean; bie Séhne, the sinew; fich fētnen, to long after; verfēhren, to damage ; wêhen, to blow; wêhren, to guard against; zeefren, to consume. The Lower Saxons ábide by the rule, and pronounce the words, last mentioned, with the acute sound.

In the following words, the broad sound is heard, though $\mathbf{E}$ is not at the end of the syllable: SBegegnen, to meet; bequèm, commodious; daE SBrēt, the board; Die Breéel, the bun; Dèr, Dēm, dent, article; die Erde, the earth; èrft, first ; bas erfer, the ore; hèr, hither; Der Şero, the hearth ; Dab Rēbsweib, the concubine; neebft, besides, prep. Daz şjēro, the horse ; quèr, awry; rēgnen, to rain; ber Sdtmer, the grease ; Dab Sduwert, the sword; fēgnen, to bless; ber Steg, the path; wèr, who; werreen, to become; werth, worth; ber 『seerth, the value; סas 9lefft, the nest, (according to the UpperSaxon way of pronouncing. $t$

[^11]When a contraction has taken place, $\mathbf{E}$ retains its broad sound, though it should be in the middle of a syllable: as, er lëbt, he lives, contracted from, er lebet.

When the $\mathbf{E}$ is doubled, the sound is always acute, though the Upper Saxons speak it broad, in Die ßßeēre, the berry; bas Shēèr, the host, or army: Das Mēer, the sea; Der ভpeēr, the spear; Der §hēēr, the tar.
2. The acute sound of $\mathbf{E}$ is that of the Italian unace cented $\varepsilon$, and the French ef fermé. I believe, this sound exists in the English language, though I am at a loss to elucidate it sufficiently by examples. If I may trust to my ear, I think, it is heard in the pronoun they; and perhaps also in hay, dried grass.

The acute sound has its place before the letter $h$ : as,
 stand.

However, in some words which have been enumerated before, the broad sound prevails, though an $h$ should follow.

The acule E is also heard before th, because this stands for $h t$, as will be shewn hereafter; for instance, in bie Béthe, the name of a plant; bab satbeder, the professor's chair, or desk.

It moreover sounds acutely, when it is doubled; as, in die See, the sea; Der ふibce, the tea; bas Beet, the bed in a garden, \&c.

But the Upper Saxons pronounce the following words broad: Die ßBēere, the berry ; bas § $\mathfrak{e e}$ ē, the lost, or army; Der ভpēer, the spear; Daड̆ ฏ̌ēè, the sea; ber なhēer, the tar. See above.

Observe, that ee is no more than e long, and must be uttered as one sound. It is used in monosyllables,
especially when e is the final letter. Likewise in Dießrere, - the berry ; Dic Seele, the soul; Der §affiee, the coffee; Die Xrmee, the army. When a word, ending in re, is in the plural angmented by an additioual syllable, containing ay e, the donble ee is then exclanged for the single: for iustance, Die See, the sea, plur. Die Se'elt, (not See=en) the seas; bie 2frmee, the army, plur. Die Xrmeen, (not 2 (rmeesen) the armies. For it is not the custom to write the e, in the same word, three times following.

From this double e must be distinguished two e's, by chance meeting together, each of which is pronouneed separately: as beenbigen. to finish, a verb compound, of be, and enbigen ; gecthrt, honoured, the participle of the verb ebren, with the augment ge.

The acute or elevated somme of $\mathbf{E}$ exists in monosyllables, endmy in a consomant, thungh in these the double ee is freguenty adloptect: аs, bab §eet, the bed of a garden; leer, emply. In ftet, steady, constaut; fete, always, continually; and fotel, oblique, envious, the : is single: which the Lower Saxons pronounce arute, and the Upper Saxons broad.

And lastly it oscurs in those words, which have been enumerated, as exceptions, muder the broad sound.
3. The slender sound of $\mathbf{E}$ is audible, before a consonant in the same syllable: and resembles the Eng-

- lishe, in bet, yet, help. For example : baß̉ פBett, the bed, pronounced like bet; baణ Felt, the field, pr, like fill.

But, in some words, the E, before a consouant, sounds broad, or acute, as has been above observed.
4. Before any of the liquids, $(1, m, n, r)$, the $E$ is obscure, or nearly mute: for instance, Die@iftal, the thistle;

Deratteem, the breath; offĕn, open; basMlefič, the knife; . similar to the English $e$ in openn, shapèn, thistle", metrë. And thus obscure it remains, though one or more consouants should foliow after the liquid: as liebenb, loving:
 gëndhaft, virtnous: Die Wifliemfdaft, the science; ge: láutěrt, purified; geláatěrtes (Sold, purified gold.
At the end of a word of more than one syllable, the E has a kind of half sound, which somewhat resembles the final er of the English, as in waterr, and the final a; as in umbrellä, sopha". Examples of this sort are numerous, as there is a great variely of words ending in $e$; for inslance, the first person of most verbs and tenses; also many substantives, and arljectives. Sid liebé, I love; idh liebté, I did love; Die §iebĕ, the love; Die Şandě, the hands; bie gute frau, the good woman; baE


The English, when they hear the e so pronounced, sometimes mistake it for their er final, which shews that there must be a resemblance between those two sounds. Therefore, following the sound of lobě, they would spell it loberr ; bie ©tubě, stuber r. But in the words alluded to, nothing like an $r$ should be heard.
This half-sound may be called the short sound of E. For we find it iikewise in the middle of words, when the syllable it belongs to is short, or, which is the same, void of accent, as liebēté, lobĕt, lobĕten. It is often thrown out, as in liebte, lobt, lobten. - The prefixes be and ge have always this half-sound, as bèreben, to persuade; geifdehen, to come to pass.

When $\mathbf{E}$ is joined 10 I , it lengthens the latter, but loses its own sound. See letter I.

Note.-As a letter of the Alphabet, E bears the acule sound, which also scrwes for the name.

## §. (I)

is like the I of the Italians, which answers to the longe of the Englisl, or their ee; or ie, in field, shield : for these sounds are the same, according to Johnson.

It never has the sound of the long English, in fine, hide; thoughit resembles the short $i$, in milk, silk, with.

It remains the same before, and after, all letters: and is not pronounced like $u$, when it stands before $r$ : which is done in English, as in firt, bird.

When pronounced long, that is to say, when the full accent rests upon this vowel, it generally has an e joined with it. le thercfore is nothing but long $i$, equal to. the English ie, in feld, shield. Consequently, it would be erroneous, to sound each of these vowels separately; but Die, is to be spoken dee; Diefer, deeser; Sil, see; \&ibbe, leebe. Originally, however, they were pronounced distinctly; and this mode of utterance still prevails in the south of Germany, where they speak Wien, Vi-
 they also give this double sound to the $i$, when $e$ is not added in writing, as ถаз £i=edft, for bas sidft, the light.

The simple $i$ is restored, when, in declining, the word receives an additional e. For it is not usual to write $i$, with two $e$ 's after it. Therefore, Die गofefie, the poetry, in the plural bie \$pefien, pronounced \$oefii=en, because it stands for Pooficeen; bie ©oyic, the transcript, plur. (Sopien; die Melodie, the melody, plur. Die Melodicn; Daş ת̂nie, the knee, plur. Die Ŝnic, for die Siniee. Thus id) fatrie, I cried; fic fdrien, they cried, for fie farieen. In such instances, $i$ and $e$ are sounded by themselves. Likewise in the following words: bas 5xicroglyph, the
hieroglyphick; Die Stiftorie, the history; Die ©omódie, the comedy; $\mathfrak{l i l i}:$, the lily; Siblefient, Silesia; Spani: en, Spain; ber Spanier, the Spaniard; bie 2rive, the air, musick.
$I$ is also iengthened by the addition of the letter $\boldsymbol{h}_{\text {g }}$ especially, wheu a consonant follows after it, in the same syllable: as, $\mathcal{F h m}$, to him, ihn, him; ihr, you, ihnen, to you ; ihre, theirs; ifren, to theirs. The $h$, is however, not frequently used, as a sign of length, after $i$; and hardly in any other words besides those, just now mentioned.

Among the various innovations which have been projected, in the German language, the omission of those signs of length has been attempted; but they were too well established to lose their ground.

The simple $i$ is used long in some words, for the sake of distinguishing them from others of the same sound, written with ie. For example: Die Fiber, the fibre, to be discerned from daz fieber, the fever; miber, against, to be different from mieber, again; Die Mine, a mine (in fortification), Die Miene, a feature of the countenance. Die æibel, the Bible, is also written with a mere $i$.

When more than one consonant follow after ic, the sound becomes sliort: as in Der Dienflag; the Tuesday; Das $\mathfrak{B i e r t e l}$, the quarter ; vierfehn, fourteen; vierzig, for-: ty ; id) gieng, I went ; id bieng, I hung ; er giebt, he gives: though it would be more regular, in such words, to make use of the simple $i$.
פ, (0),
has tlie sound of the English O, viz. when long, that which is heard, in bone, stone, over, obedient ; or of ou in boat, oat, coal: when short, it resembles the 0 , in lot, got, trot.

The German 0 always keeps its geuuine sound, and admits of no such variations, as occur in some English words, for example, in son, come, done, attorney, in which $o$ is pronounced like a short, or close, $u$; or in move, behove, where it sounds like double 0 ; or in off, where the sound is between $o$ and $u u$.
$O$ is sometimes repeated, to shew, that the sound is long; or $h$ added for that purpose. But let it be particularly observed, that the German 00 is different from the English, and nothing more than long $o$. Examples: Das̊ ㅇoş, the lot; రaรి MRoos, the moss; రas Moor, the morass: Das פlyr, the ear; ber Sobr, the son.

$$
\mathfrak{u}_{,}(\mathbf{U}) .
$$

This vowel is the same in the German, as in the Italian, Spanish, and Portuguese languages; that is to say, when long, it sounds like the English o, in to, do, more, prove; or like 00 , in hoot, boot, cooler; or like $O u_{\text {; }}$ in soup, youth. When short, it resembles the obluse $u^{*}$ of the Euglish, in bull, full, bushel.

It is never pronounced like the long $u$, in use, mule, fuel: nor like the short, in dull, gull, custard.

* See Lindley Murray's English Grammar, p. 16.

It was formerly doubled in some words, when the sound was to be lengthened, as in $\mathfrak{M u} \mathfrak{\xi}$, jam; but, at present, this reduplication is out of use.

## Y), (Y),

as a vowel, by itself, has the sound of the French $u$, and the German it, of which see below. Thus it is, generally, pronounced in words derived from the Greek, in which it stands for the vidoo *. It occurs, however, but seldom, and, I believe, only in foreign terms, and proper names. The Dutch have it in their language, and pronounce it as the long English i. The French assign to it the sound of their $i$, (English ee,) and call it the Greek $i$, Igrec. In imitation of them, it is by some Germans spoken in the same manner, viz. like $i$. But the other mode is, undoubtedly, to be preferred $t$.

[^12]Most frequently the $y$ is seen in coalition with the vowel $e$, and it thus forms a diphthong。 equivaleut to the German ei, or the long $i$ of the English. As it expresses no other sound than the last mentioned, for which the language already has a sign, it appears to be superfluous. And we may observe of this letter in the German Alphabet, what Johnson * said of it in the English, namely, that " we might want it wilhout inconvenience, but that we have it." It seems to have been introduced into German orthography as a substitute for long $i$, being originally written ii or $i j \not t$. Hence it is, in the vulgar schools, sometimes, called $i i$, or double $i$; and by common penmen often marked with two dots,
 which properly are the Latin genitive cases. The power of $i$, being given to $y$, is evident in the following words: Syoya, Syonersmerba, names of towns; and in the interjections, buy, denoting a rapid motion, piuy, denoting shame, $f$ ! which are pronounced as 5oia, or §oja, Scoiersmerba, or §ొojerswerba, and hui, pfui. This explains the nature of the $y$, in composition with $e$; and accounts, at the same lime, in some degree, for its use in the English language.

But as this letter might be dispensed with, would it not be advisable to discard it from the alphabet? It must be retained in proper names, and foreign words, and ou that ground it claims a place. And when it stands for $i$, custom pleads in its favour. It remains for us to ascertain, when it ought to be admitted in that

[^13]capacity: and this we shall attempt, when we speak of thie diphthongs ai, ay ; ei, ey.

## DIPHTHONGS:

Closing with e: $2 f e, \mathfrak{D e}, \mathfrak{H e}$.
With i or y: 2(i, 2(y), ⓘ, (Ei), 5i, 5y), ui . With u: 2 (u, 2 feu, $\mathfrak{E u}$.

The dipthongs are, in German, pronounced less broad, than some of them are, in other languages*. The sounds of which they are composed, are, for the most part, so melted together, that they bear the semblance of mere vowels. For this reason, the propriety of calling them diphthongs has been questioned; and those especially, which close with $e$, have been denied that name. But important as this argument may appear, from the zeal of those who are engaged in its discussion, it wil! be found, that nothing by it is altered in subslance. For if in compliance wilh custon, any one chooses to distinguish, in the alphabet, the compound signs of vowels, by the denomination of diphffiongs? where can be the harm? So the French name their $a i$, though it hardly differs from their ê or è; and the English bestow that appellation upon their ac and oe, the same in sound as $e$; and upon $a i, a y$, the same as $a$. It should be recollected, that an alteration in the terminology does not always profit the science, and may frequently embarrass it.

[^14]
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With respect to the diphthongs that close with $e$, it is usual, in the German characters, to put the e above the small letters, $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{i}, \dot{\mathfrak{u}}$. In large letters, it is at the side, as $\mathfrak{U e}, \mathfrak{D e}$, Ule. Instead of the e at the top, two dots are often employed, to indicate the diphthong, as $\ddot{a}, \ddot{0}, \ddot{u}$. These dots I hold to be a corruption of the e, from the current hand-writing, which, when hastily written, resembles two small perpendicular lines; and being transferred into the printed alphabet, it has thus degenerated*.

$$
\mathfrak{H}(e, \dot{a}, \ddot{a},(\mathrm{Ae}) .
$$

This diphthong, when long, that is to say, when spoken with the full accent, is like the English a, in mane: or like ay, in day, pray. Consequently, it coincides with the French ai, in jaimai, and the è ouvert of the same; likewise with the accentuated $\dot{e}$ of the Italians. It is, therefore, the same with the open e of the Germans themselves, of which see before.

When two, or more, consonants follow after it, the broad sound is exchanged for the slender, equal to the Englishe, in held, vex, sell, rent: as, bie Scante, the hands, pronounced die Hende; erlo̊ft, he lets, or leaves, pr. lest; er fâllt, he falls, pr. felt. The broad sounds are, in such instances, always abandoned, the accent of the word being supported by the double consomant, as will be shewn in the section on the accent.

$$
\equiv e, \dot{a}, \ddot{0},\left(O_{e}\right) .
$$

The Euglish bave nothing to answer to this sound, when long. But wheu short, it resembles their $u$, in gull, dull,

- Mr. Adelung, however, remarks in his Orthography, f. 131, that the dots were used, in printing, previous to the $e$.

Sect. 3. Pronunciation of Letters.
custard, us, concussion; or their $i$, in firt, bird; or the $o$, in son. Thus the German 0 is pronounced, when it is followed by more than one consonant: as, bie Seille, hell, pr. Hulle; Der $\mathfrak{I o j l p e l}$, the boor, pr. Tulpel; Der fioppel, the mallet, pr. Klupfel.

The long somen of this diphtlong is nearly the same as the Frenchiex; and may be protuced by the English long $a$ being uttered with the lips rounded, and contracted: as, bie Šoible, the cavern; ibe, deserted, solitary.

$$
\mathfrak{U e}, \mathfrak{i}, \dot{u},(\mathbf{U e}) .
$$

Here also the English language leaves us destitute of a comparison. This diphthong resembles the Freuch $\underline{u}$; and may be imitated, in Englisl, by uttering the long $e$, or ee, with rounded, and projecting lips.-In some provinces they pronounce $\mathfrak{u}$ like i, or the English long e; but this is erroneous.

It may be observed, that the sounds $\mathfrak{v}$, $\mathfrak{u}$, or the French $e u$ and $u$, are acquired by the English with some difficulty. The reason is, that they have nothing correspondent, in their own tongue. The only way to facilitate the attainment of them, seems to be that mode of uttering $a$ and $e$, which has been pointed out.

$$
2(i, \text { or } 2(y,(A i \text {, or } A y),
$$

sounds like the English $i$, in kite, or like $y$, in sky; and is, therefore, the same as the German ei, ey; though some maintain, and perhaps not without reason, that it is different, and ought to be pronounced broader. It occurs only in a few words, such as ber Raifer, the Emperour; Der £aie, the layman; Der Main, the river Main; ¿ie ©aite, the chord, or string, of an instrument; Der
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Rain, the brim, or brink; Der Şain, the grove; Die Waife, the orphan; Baiern, Bavaria; Der Baier; the

- Bavarian; Der SRay, the month of May. In the middle it is to be written ai, at the end $a y$.

Sometimes this diphthong is written, in Der Waikelt,

- the wheat ; bus Sjetrnibe, the corn in general; baiken, to hunt, or bait; though ei is more usual.

$$
(E i \text {, or }(5 y,(E i \text {, or } E y) \text {, }
$$

is pronounced as the tong $i$ of the English, in fine, kind.
(Ey, should be put: 1, at the end of words. 2. For the purpose of distinguishing terms of the same sound, and of different significations: as, lein, his, and fery, to be. 3. In compounds, when the component has the $y$ before the composition, as beyffelen, to assist; from bey, and felyen.

$$
\mathfrak{D} \text {, or } \mathfrak{N y} \text {, ( } \mathrm{O} \mathrm{i} \text {, or } \mathrm{Oy})
$$

the same as in English. It is only met with in a few proper names: for instance, (sroikfa), Boitjenburg; and in Die $\mathfrak{B O}$ y, a sort of woollen cloth, baize.

$$
\mathfrak{H i},(U i),
$$

has, by some writcrs, been substiluted for $i$, with which it had the same sound: but, as the imovation was unnecessary, it very deservedly has been neglected*. Duiš= burg, the name of a town, is soumded like Disburgt.

* Properly speaking, there was nothing new in it: for this diphthong occurs in the most ancient German writings. It was, however, broached as something novel.
+ Sce Adelung's Orthography, p. 113.
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$$
3\left(u_{2}(A u),\right.
$$

approaches the sound of the English ou, or ow, in our, loud, cow, power; and of the Italian au: yet it is neither so broad as the former, nor drawn out so much, in pronouncing, as the latter. In the Italian $a u$, both vowels imay be distinctly perceived, whereas, in the German, they coalesce so closely, as to produce one consolidated sound.

This, however, may not be sufficient to enable the reader to speak that diphthong with accuracy; and he must, therefore, have recourse to the assistance of a native. In the mean time, he may, without great errour, give to it the sound of the English ou, or ow.

$$
2(\mathrm{eu}, \mathfrak{a} u,(\mathrm{Aeu}),
$$

has nearly the same sound as the German eu, resembling the English oi It ought perhaps to be uttered, with lips more contracted and rounded, than eu.

## Eu, (Eu),

similar to the oi, and oy, of the Englist, in boil, toil, joint, point, boy, toy; and to the eu of the Italians. Yet there is a difference between these, and the German sound; for which the pronunciation of a native must be consulted.

## CONSONANTS.

1. Simple: $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{v}$, $\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{z}$.
 $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{w r}$.
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$$
\mathfrak{B},(\mathrm{B}) .
$$

At the beginning of a syllable, or before a vowel*, it is like the Euglisi $b$. But when it ends a syllable, or stands next to the final consonant, or consonants, not being liquids, it generally is pronounced like $p: a s, a b$, off, likè ap ; ob , whether, $\mathrm{l} . \mathrm{op}$; $\mathrm{Da} \mathfrak{\mathrm { I } O b}$, the praise, 1. lop; bas תalb, the calf, 1. kalp; er lebt, he lives, l. lept; er lobte, he praised, 1. lopte ; Der 2fbt, the abbot, 1. apt; ber Яrebs, the crawfish, 1. kreps; Der Jeerbft, the autumn, 1. herpst ; Das $\mathfrak{D 6 f t}$, fruit, I. opst ; bibid, pretty, 1. hüpsh.

Something similar is to be met in other languages; for instance the French, in which the compressed and close sound of $b$, at the end, is, in pronouncing, frequently exchanged for the more easy one of $p \dagger$.

The original sound, however, is retained in German, at the end of a syllable, when the next begins with a $b$,
 Die \&abbe, large hanging lips; or with a d, as bas̃ (3) lúbbe, the vow, not epbe, lerapbe, Gelüple, which would be hard to the organs of speech. Likewise in words,

* This is to be understood of a vowel in the same word. It does not even apply to compounds; for in these the prohunciation of the components is, in 2 manner, distinct. Therefore dic ?lbart, a variety in a species, composed of $\mathfrak{T} t$ and $\mathfrak{I t r t}$, is spoken ap-art. Eiptáugetn, to interchange. amoroas looks, composed of Liebe, love, and dugein, to ogle, like liep-üugeln.
+ The practice is common in many languages: you even find it in the Russian. And it appears frum Quintilian (Inst. Or. I, 7), that the Romans pronounced the $b$, at the end of a syllable, like $p$.-Queri solet in scrihendo, prapositiones sonum qua juncta efficiunt, an quem separata observiare. conveniat: ut cum dico, obtinuit: secundam enim b literam ratio poscit, aures magis audiunt p .
in which the letter $e$, after $b$, is, by a contraction, omitted: às, Dus Diebsgefintel, a pack of thieves; bie Schreikgebibrt, the clerk's, or copist's fee; bie Schreib= art, the style of writing; Dic SJehamme, the midwife; das Sitibleit, the infant-boy; Dus Snoblauth, garlick: for bns Diebeggefintel, Die Schreibegcbityr, Die Sdurei= beart, Die Sebcamme, Das תnaibelein, Daz תnobelauct). But when $t$ follows after $b$, it is pronounced like $p$, though a similar omission of the $e$ had taken place: for instance, Sieliebter, for Geliebeter, a beloved person, sounds like geliepter: ich lobte, for lobete, I praised, 1. lopte.

The sound of 6 may vary in the same word, when by an additional letter, or syllable, its situation is altered: for example, $\mathrm{ba} \mathfrak{Z} \mathfrak{O b}$, the praise, is spoken lop, because $b$ concludes the syllable ; the genitive case, Des $\mathfrak{L o b e}$, of the praise, is not to be pronounced lopes, but lo-bes, because a vowel follows after the $b$, and, with this, it begins a new syllable.

B, in the pronunciation of some English words, is left out before $t$, as in debt, doubt; but such elisions do not exist in German.
(5, (C),
before the vowels $a, 0, \mathfrak{u}$, and the diplitiong ant, and before a consonant, is pronounced like $k$ : which is the same as in English.
Before e, $i, y, i, j, j, i, c i, c u, i c$, it is like $t s$, and the same as the $z$ of the German, and the sharp $z$ of the Italian, language. Thus, (Entaur someds like tsentaur; (Eicero, 1. Tsitsero; (y)linder, l. tsylinder; (5eylon, I. TseyIon; ©ajar, 1. Tsesar; the Latin ceelum, 1. Isoelium.

In some proper uames, it is pronounced like $k$, before $\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \mathfrak{l}$ : as in ©airnthen, Carinthia, ©ofth, Cologne,

## $4 \AA$ [4i] On Orthography \& Promunciation. P. I. C. 1.

Gothen, Guftrin, names of places. Not unfrequently ber Górper, the body is written instead of Siorper, $c$ having the pówer of $k$. In these instances, it would perhaps be better to substitute $k$.

From the above it appears, that $C$ partly shares the force of $K$, and partly that of $\boldsymbol{Z}$; and, consequently, may be considered as superfluous, in the alphabet. On this ground, it has been condemned by some writers, who, in its room, adopt $k$ and $z$, as the occasion requires. It must, however, be preserved in foreign words, and proper names; and it is also wanted for the composition of some double consonants : so that it cannot be entirely relinquished. It should, therefore, be suffered to remain, where it is established by long continued practice, though no other reason should be obvious.

$$
\mathfrak{D},(\mathrm{D}) .
$$

This consonant is of a similar nature with B: namely, it sounds like the English d, at the begiuning of a syllable, or before a vowel in the same word ${ }^{\circ}$; and like $t$, at the ond of a syllable. Therefore bas $\mathfrak{F a b}$, the bath, is pronounced bat ; bie Šant, the hand, hant ; Der Şund, the dog, hunt ; Der 2foyocat, the lawyer, atvocat. That difference of pronunciation is also to be met with in other languages.

When a word, ending with $d$, is, in declining, augmiented, so that $d$ no longer remains at the end, but begins the next syllable, then the soft sound is restored. For instance, Die Šinb, is pronounced hant ; plur. Die

[^15] the end of the first syllable, but commences the second, or, which is the same, stands before a vowel, in that word. 'Thus, ber Şunb, pr. huint; pl. Die Şunde, pr. hun-de, not hunte.

This letter keeps its original power, when another $d$. follows: as, Der $\mathbb{W B i D b e r}^{2}$, the ram; EEDerit, EDDeffe, ©D- . Dibaujen, names of places; Nibda, Nibder, names of rivers. And likewise, when e or $i$, is left out, by contraction: as, Du fandit, thou didst find; Der $\mathfrak{x a b l e r}$, one who finds fault; ber 2roler, the eagle; Der. Sdifloner, the debtor; Die 5 romung, order, arrangement; Die Şandung, the deed, action; Dem Shirogen, to the deserving, \&c. for fanbeft, æ゙abeler, Kbelanr, Sdulbener, 5 Drenung, Wirroigen; in which it would be false to pronounce $d$ like $t$. To these may be added some words eading in ling: as, ber Finoling, the foundling; Der fremoling, the stranger; blindlings, blindly; in which $\boldsymbol{d}$ usually retains its soft sound*.

Dt was formerly written in many words that, by the present age, are spelt with $t$ or $t t$ : as, Das $\mathfrak{B r o d t}$, the bread; ba̧ ভdumerot, the sword; which are now expressed by $\mathfrak{B r o t}$, Gdibert. That double letter is only continued in a few words: for instance, in toot, dead; bie Stabt, the town (to distinguish it from bie Statt, the place); and in such as have suffered an elision between $d$ and $t$; for example, gefandt, sent, from gefenbet; citt Gefandter, an embassadour; beredt, eloquent, from betc=.
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Det ; gewand, versed in a thing, from gewendet; verwandt, related to, from verweribet.

## $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{F})$,

perfectly the saine as the English, in full, find.
When between two vowels, it is sometimes pronounced like the English $f$, in of, which is equivalent to \%. This, for instance, is done in the following words: Die $\mathfrak{B r}$ riefe, pl. the letters, or epistles; Die $\mathbb{M o D l f f e}^{2}$, the wolves; Der S(t)wefel, the brimstone; ber 5afen, the harbour; Der Scafer, oats; zwodfe, twelve. This mode of pronuaciation is not uncommon, even among those, who value themselves on their correctness of speech**

$$
(G),(G) .
$$

This letter has been mentioned in the introductiont, Its true sound is that of the Euglish g, in go, gru, get give, frog. Thus it should always be pronounced : but some exceptions are to be noticed.

At the end of a syllable, after a vowel, it is frequently spoken like the German cht: as, Der ̉ang, the day; cr fragte, he asked; wir flagten, we complained; it bog, he bent ; or trug, he bore; ber $\mathfrak{W e g}$, the way; cwig, eternal; felig, blessed:-like tach, frächte, klachten, $b_{b}$ ch, trâch, wêch, ewich, selich. This mode of ulterance is derived from the Lower Saxon dialect: the Up= per Saxous are apt to substitute the hard sound of $\mathcal{E}$; pronouncing, for instance, Sirieg, war, kriek; ßerg,

[^17]mountain, berk; $\mathfrak{T a g}$, day, tak.-When a $g$ follows, the soft pronunciation remains, as, in Die Flagge, the flag of a ship; Die Dogge, a large species of dog. This is also the case, when a word, ending in $g$, receives, by iuflection, an additional syllable: as, ber $\mathfrak{T}$ ng, gen. De3 $\mathfrak{Z a g e 5 ;}$ ber Ærieg, dat. Dem ßriege; id trug, fie trugen. But, in truth, it should every where keep its place.

Between the vowels $i$ and $e$, it is scarcely heard: for example, Die Seligen, the blessed, sounds almost like Seli-en; Die Mreinigen, my family, 1. Meini-en; Die Jit= tige, the wings, I. Fitti-e.

When preceded by $n$, it is, at the end of some words, pronounced like a gentle $k$, as, in Der $\Re i n g$, the ring; ber ©sejang, the song, or hymn; Das Ding, the thing; ber תlang, the sound; id) fing, I caught; Der (Gang, the manner of walking; jung, young; lang, long:like Rink, Gesank, Dink, Klank, fink, Gank, junk, lank. But when a vowel is added, by inflection, to any such word, $g$ resumes its own sound, as beకె (5efange5, Dent Ringe, fie finger. It maintains the same, according to some authours, in the middle of the following words, bie §ungfrau, the virgin, vergánglidth, perishable, empfånglid), susceptible, langjam, slow. In my opinion, it would be an advantage to the pronunciation, never to vary it*.
$\boldsymbol{G}$, in the middle of a word, caunot begin a syllable. Therefore, Der finger, the finger, must be pronounced foug ev; Dinge, things, ding-e; Š0ffininger, hopes,

[^18]shoffnung-en. Compound words are excepted from this observation. For example, angenebm*, agreeable, being a compound, is read an-genehm; angefangen, begun, an-gefangen; ungebuldig, impatient, un-geduldig.

When $n$ follows after it, in the middle of a word, that letter is, in pronunciation, repeated before the $g$. This applies chiefly to foreign words, for instance the Latin mugnus, which is spoken as if written mang-nus; lignum, as if ling-num.
In the word Dab Gimie, the genius, it has the soft sound of the French $g$, which someiwhat resembles the English sh. For the word itself is French, though it is generally received.

$$
\oint,(H)_{2}
$$

is aspirated, as in the English words, have, hold, helmel.
Between two vowels the aspiration is less strong, and sometimes hardly perceptible: as, in Die Mủhe, the trouble; blithen, to flourish; bic Sdulue, the shoes.

When it ends a word, it has no sound at all: as, in fruth, early; Der S(hut, the shoe; ber floh, the fea; baj Stroh, the straw ; id) (abl, I saw. But its power returns, when the word increases by inflection: as, oie Sduble, the shoes; Die fldhe, the fleas; fie faben, they saw. I say, by inflection, not by composition: for the latter alters nothing in the prouuciation. For example, in bie Strobarbeit, straw-work, composed of Strob and 2frbeit, and in Dab frubobit, fruit produced early in the season, of frilh and $\mathfrak{W b j}$, the $h$ is void of sound.

Besides its quality as a letter, $h$ is used as a sign, by_

[^19]which the length of vowels is indicated. It is, in this capacity, chiefly joined to vowels (and sometimes to diphthongs), when a liquid ( $(1, m, n, r)$, follows: as, ber Stahl, the steel; dab Mabl, the meal, or banquet; Der Stubl, the stool, or clyair; zalm, tame; bie ßabn, the path, or career ; bie Fahne, the ensign, or standard; Die (Fhre, the honour; mefr, more; ihm, to him; ifn, him; ihr, you; Die Uhr, the clock, or watch; fíhlen, to feel. In all these examples, the $h$ shews that the vowels are long; and it is always to be considered as a sign of length, when it does not begin a syllable.

When a $t$ is in the same syllaple, $h$ is generally united with this letter, preserving its influence over the next vowel, either antecedent or subsequent; as, in thun, to do ; ber 乌iluth, the spirit, courage ; roth, red ; bie \{yat, the deed. In the words, ber æhurm, the tower, and ber Sizith, the landlord, the vowels are not lengtiened by the $h$, which must be considered as exceptions.
The lengthening $h$ is observed to have been introduced into writing, since the 15 th century, and to have gradually obtained authority, till in the 17 th it was universally established. It most probably arose from the aspirate, or full breath; which, when inserted in the middle of a syllable, must naturally prolong it. Something like this may be perceived in the elocution of the Irish, and perliaps existed in the ancient Greek*. After the German language had begin to be cultivated, it seems to have been one of the successive improvements, to divest it of many of its rough, and guttural sounds. This appears in several instances; for example, words which

* The spiritus asper in Greek, is, for instance, expressed in the middle of a syllable, betore or after $\rho$.


## 54 [53] On Orthography \& Pronunciation. P. 1. C.i.

had formerly been expressed with a strong guttural ch, were, by degiees, written without it; for bas Siedh, the cattle, was substitated DaẺ SSieh; raud), rough, was succeeded by rauh ${ }^{*}$. In the same mamer, the original sound of $h$, in the middle, or at the end, of a syllable; whatever it imght be, whether a guttural, or a mere aspirate, seems to have been given up: and though it was preserved in writing, nothing of its nature remained, except what before was only adventitious, I mean, the at-, tribute of uraking the adjoining vowel long. Even now this alteration may be traced in some words. ©dumalb= len, to chide, is pronounced without sounding the $\mathfrak{h}$ between $\dot{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$; but it is ascertained, that this word was formerly fidmalhelen, with the second haspiated, being derived from fchmafhen; with which bie ©djnad), contumely, is comected. Thus. feben, to see, and geben, to go, are often contracted into fehn, gehn; er fiehet, he sees, most frequently into fiel)t: $2\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { ciclen } \\ \text {, appearance, }\end{array}\right.$ into $2 \mathfrak{Z n f e h n}$. Thum, to do, was probably writen tubent; theuer, dear, may have been teuher; Theil, part, ©eiber.

Such was, in my opinion, the origin of the prolonging $h \ddagger$. It was, afterwards, appropriated as a grammatical

* A striking illustration of the above is furnished by the adjective bocb, high; which, in its first or adverhial form (sec adjectives), retains the ch, but in the other forms exchanges it for the simple aspirate, as bober, bobe, bobes; ber $506 e, \& c$. In compound words the ch remains, as in der 50 ettutitb, haughtiness, Der 50 otjoerrith, high-treason, stc.
$\dagger$ Mosheim actually adopted this mode of writing.
$\ddagger$ Adeluing (Orthogr. p. 194, 246, 248, 168), supposes that this $h$ took its origin from the $t h$, which, he says, existed at first as a mere modlification of the letter $t$, and afterwards served to give more tone to the vowels near it.
sign of length, without any regard to derivation. Yet it is not admitted as such throughout the language. The orthograply of many words was too firmly established to receive it. Of this kiud are the monsyllables: as, fchon, already: bie flur, he fields, the plain; bie ভpur, the vestige ; Der Sthwan, the swan; Der splan, the plan; and others, which, though pronounced long, reject the $h$, as an index of their quantity. With these may be joined such as double their vowels, for the purpose of making them loug: as, Der Xal, the eel; Dả ⿹\zh26cer, the sea; Daह̉ $\mathfrak{B o o t ,}$ the boal, \&c.

The lengthening $h$ has not escaped the enmity of or- $\{$ thographick innovators, but their efforts to banish it from the, language, have in this, as in other inslances, proved fruitless.

$$
\mathfrak{\Im},(\mathrm{J}, \text { consonant }),
$$

resembles the English $y$, in the beginning of a word, and before a vowel, as in ye, year, yes, youth, or the Italian $j$. It chiefly occurs at the commencement of words: as, ja, yes; jagen, to chace; jeber, each; bas Joch, the yoke.

## §, (K),

is like the English $K$. When a double sound is required, $c$ is put before it, as in English. This can be necessary only after a vowel: as, Der ఇacfen, the neck behind; øаз Beffen, the basin; ber ©tod, the stick; and even then, not always. But after a consonant, it is a useless addition, and ought to be excluded, where general custom does not defend it. It is, áccordingly, erroneous to write
 Daら $\mathfrak{W e r f f}$, the work. In proper names, this, as other •
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anomalies, must be suffered to remain.-Thie do has been objected to by certain reformers, who, according to their several fancies, have replaced it by $\xi_{\text {, or }}$ 㭋 Their opinion, however, las not obtained any greal currency.

When $k$, in the middle of a word, is. preceded by a consonant, the pronunciation refers it rather to the foregoing, than to the following syllable, as Denfen, to thisk, prousuuced denk-en.

$$
\mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{9}, \mathfrak{P},(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}),
$$

the same as in the English, and other languages.
2. (Q),
is always joined with the vowel $u$; it then has the sound of $k$, in every language, the $u$ being more or less heard. In English, the pronmeiation dwells long on the $u$, and produces a broad, or extended sound: this is also the case in Italian; but the Germans utter it short, which makes the sound of $q u$ more'slender, than it is in those tongues. Yet the $u$ is not entirely omitted, as is doue in French.

## $\mathfrak{R}$ ( R .

This consonant is, in a great degree, deprived of its natural force and shrillness, by the English mode of pronunciation; but it has them full and entire, in German, where it is ultered with a strong vibration of the tongue. In English, this sound is particularly slight at the end; whereas the Germans pronounce it very distinctly.

In Ber Rhein, the Rhine, sie æhone, the Rhone, the $\boldsymbol{R}$ is followed by $h$ : which is copied from the Latin Rhenus, and Rhodanus.

## ©, (S),

has two sounds, as in English,

## the sharp, and

the soft. lite $z$
The sharp sound is that which is heard in sink, sit, salt, so; and at the end, in this, thus, yes, surplus, stress, bliss, ass, fuss.

The soft sound resembles the English s, in the words, bosom, wisdom, desire, present, and at the end of eyes, trees: or the $z_{2}$ in zeal, zone, brazen, freeze, prize. But the German $s$ is not so close, and compressed, as the English z. It may be said to lie between the sharps of the English, and their z.

The sharp sound takes place before a consonant, and at the end of words, and syllables. The English pronounce the final $s$, for the most part, soft, as in was, is, eyes, trees; which is the reverse in Germat.

Before a vowel, or diphthong, in the beginning of a word, or between two, in the middle, $f$ is always soft: as $s_{2}$ Die Seele, the soul; jagen, to say; Diefer, this; Die Rofe, the rose. Also between a liquid consonant, and a vowel : as, Die Şilfe, the husk; Die $2(\mathrm{mfel}$, the ousel ; Die ©Sinfe, the geese; Scirfe, millet; Die SDerion, the person. To which may be added such instances, in which $e$ is thrown out after the s: as, er blaj't, he blows, for blajet; er rap't, he raves, for rajet.

The sharp sound may be doubled, and is then expressed by $\tilde{I}$ in the middle, and $\tilde{B}$ at the end, of a word: as, Dab $\mathfrak{W a n f e r ~ ( W a s - s e r ) ~ t h e ~ w a t e r ; ~ l a \| f e n , ~ t o ~ l e a v e , ~ o r ~}$ let; wiffen, to know; beiffen, to bite; ber Şaß, hatred; Das ©dblo , the castle, also the lock; bRęlidy, ugly; or ifft, (for ifiet), he eats.
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The sound of rowels and diphthong; before fif, as before any double consonant, (see the next section), is generally shont, or slender. But in many instances, (ihis double fis employed, in the middle of words, with the power of the simple sharp f: where, namely, if f were put by itself, the sound would be soft. In those cases, the vowels lieep their original fulness. For example, wir äfien, we did eat, pronounced like $a_{s}-\mathrm{en}$; Die (Grōfen, the great people, 1. grôs-en; Die $\mathfrak{M}$ ūffe, the leisure, 1. Mís-e; flicfien, to flow, 1. flies-en; gruffen, to greet, 1. gruïs-en.

This has been considered as so peculiar a modification of the letter $\lceil$, that it has been marked with a distinct sign, $\hat{\beta}$, and a particalar appellation, namely sz. Such a mode of distinction does not seem to be superfluous, though the name may be deemed objectionable. We should, therefore, write $\bar{\beta}$, wherever the letter $\{$ departs from the rule, in the manner described: for instance, afen, وluße, fließen, grif end of some monosyllables, in which the vowels are pronounced long, it is employed with a view to the inflection, and changes, of such words: as, ith āß. I did eat,


$S$ appears, frequently, united with ch; and thus produces a strong hissing sound, equal to the Eng: lish sh, iu shade, she, show. But even when single, that hissing aspiration is, by many, given to it, if a $p$, or $t$ follow. They pronounce fprecteri,' to speak, as shprechen; ber ๔paß̃, the jest, as shpass; ber Geccr. the spear, as shpeer ; buEs ©piel, the play, or game, as shpiel; ftehen, to stand, as shtehen; ber ©trin, the stone, as shlicin. They do not contine themselves to
those two letters; but speak, in the same manner, in words beginning with $s k, s l, s m$, and $s c$ (when $\epsilon$ sounds like $k$ ). Forinstance, Das SEelet, the skeleton, as shkelet; Der Slave, the Sclavonian, as Shlave; Der Sma= rugo, the emerald, as Shmaraged; ber Sclave, the slave, as Shlave ; Der Ceubo, an Italian coin, as Sheudo. Likewise, in the middle and at the end of words, between the letters $r$ and $t:$ as, Der Durft, the thirst, simithr to Dursht; Die Burfte, the hrush, as Bürshte; Dic Gierfte, the barley, as Gershte ; Der F̌ilft, the prince, or sovereign, as Fürsht; garftig, nasty, as garshtig. 'ro this latter, however, they admit, that there are some exceptions, as the second person of certain verbs, Du warft, thou wast, Du mirft, thou becomest, Du legrft, thou teachest: and the ending of the superlative degree in ffe, Der erffe, the first, Der fofwerfte, the heaviest, \&cc. This way of pronouncing the $S$ is, chiefly, prevalent among the iuhabitants of Upper Saxony: but it has also been adopted by others, who have thought it a peculiarity of the High German. It is, in fact, nolhing more than a provincial mode of speaking, in Upper Germany. There, this hissing aspiration is attached to the $S$, not only in the instances mentioned, but in many others; such as, isht, bisht, Chrishtus, Versh, Marsh, for ift, Biff, Shriftuร, શhars. Hence it has transmigrated into the High German; but it should be more regarded as an unwelcome intruder, than a desirable guest. It has nothing to recommend it to favour: neither analogy, nor euphony, can be alledged in its defence. The Lower Saxons, in their native idiom, are ignorant of this aspiration in the letter $s_{2}$ and it would, indubitably, be an advantage for the pronunciation of the High German, if their practice were, in Lhis particular, universally imitated.
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## $\mathfrak{T},(T)$,

the same as in English: Before i, with a vowel following, it sounds like ts: as, Die Xuction, the auction; Die Eection, the lesson; Die Faction, the faction; die sportion, the portion. Thus in pronouncing Latin, as gratia:which words are read Auctsion, Lectsion, gratsia, \&c. But when an $s$ precedes, $t$ keeps its proper sound, as questio.

$$
\mathfrak{B},(V) .
$$

There is not the smallest difference between this letter, and F : so that oue of them might be removed from the alphabet. Words, even of the same origin, are promiscuously spelt with one letter, and the other: for in-

- stance, woll, full, and fillen, to fill ; vor, before, and firr, for.

Between tro vowels, or diphbongs, it is by some pronounced like the English $v$ : as, in ber frevel, the crime; Der ©tuver, a German coin; Der Sclave, the slave.

$$
\mathfrak{W},(\mathrm{W}),
$$

resembles the $V$ of the French, Italians, Spaniards and others, but not so exaclly that of the English. For the $V$ of the latter has in it a considerable mixture of the $f^{*}$. of which the German $W$ is destitute. The English $V$ is emitted with a strong pressure of the upper teeth to the lower lip, but the $\mathfrak{Z}$ of the Germans comes forth with only a slight contact of the teeth and the lip. It is very different from the English $W$, which is uttered with the

[^20]lips contracted, or rounded, without touching the teeth; and sounds nearly like oo.

The sound of the German $\mathscr{W}$ seems to be between the $v$ and $w$ of the English. It demands particular attention; for the inhabitants of this country often fail in its pronunciation. Examples: Daz $\mathfrak{W} \mathfrak{1} \mathfrak{e r}$, the water; not to be spoken as Vasser, nor as Wasser, English; but the German $\mathfrak{W}$ to have a sort of middle sound, between the English $V$ and $W$;-thus, Der $\mathscr{W}$ eg, the way; Die $\mathfrak{W}$ Welt, the world ; Die $\mathfrak{W b l l l}$, the wool ; Das $\mathfrak{W u n d e r}$, the wonder ; ewig, eternal, \&c.
$\mathscr{W}$ is mute, in English, before the letter $r$, as in urite, wrap, wrong; and in some other instances, as, answer, sword, wholesome: but it is never so, in German.

$$
\mathfrak{F},(\mathbf{X})
$$

sounds like les: as in Die 2rxt, the ax; Die Sere, the witch; Da૬̧ Erempel, the example: like Alsst, Hekse, . Elisempel.

$$
3,(Z),
$$

is, without any exception, pronounced as $t s$ : for inslance, bie $3 a \mathfrak{b l}$ l, the number; $\mathfrak{j u}$, to; fehen, ten: like tsal, tsu, tsehen. Consequently, it resembles the $z$ of the Italiaus.

TS prefixed to 3 , for the purpose of doubling its sound: therefore, $\xi$ is equivalent to $z z$, which the Ila= lians make use of. This consideration will determine, when ${ }^{\text {K }}$ ought to be employed. It is never required. after a consonant, because there can be no need of a double letter; but it may, in most cases, be admitted after a vowel. It is proper to write fekent, to put; but it would be less accurate to insert a $t$ in Das Sexze the
heart, Hertz, because, in the lafter, a consonant already precedes the $z$. The occasions, where $z$ and $\frac{f}{8}$ should be used, have not always been justly distinguished; and some degree of confusion has thence arisen, respecting this letter. But the matter is very plain, if considered in the point of view, which has been stated. Some persons, in the mean time, have by these irregularities been provoked, entirely to deprive the $\frac{1}{c}$ of its function; and where the double sound of $z$ is unavoidably to be expressed, they write 3$\}$. But it will appear, from what has been said, that they have been too hasty in their proceeding.

## (5h.

The English language furnishes nothing, with which the soand of this character may be compared. I can, therefore, not hope, in describing it, to be understood by those, who are barely acquainted with their native tongue. This sound is twofold,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { guttural, and } \\
& \text { palatiok. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The guttural is entirely formed in the throat, and answers, in a great degree, to the Welch $f$ or ch, the Scotch ch, in Loch, and the Irish gh, in Lough; also to the Spanish $x$, in dexar, and the $j$ of the same, in lejos.

The German (5h, however, is not so deeply guttural, as the examples adduced. It takes place when joined to the vowels $a, 0, u$, and the diphthong aus. Examples: adh, alas! bas (Dach, the roof; nocth, yet; Daz Soch), the yoke; hody, high ; Das Bud), the book; ber (5ernd), the smell; Der ভtraud), the shrub; Der Raud, the smoke. Those vowels are in some words full sounded, as, braid),
(präch, böch, ßüd); in others they are slender, as in nách, Do'ch, Geru'th.

The palatick sound arises from a strong appulse of the breath against the palate; and is assigned to 0 ), when in conjunction with $e, i, \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{u}$, a $\mathfrak{u}$. Examples: bee Şedt, the pike; foblecht, bad; Das Ridht, the light; ber Didfter, the poet ; Die Dicher,-the roofs; Die Roider, the holes, from the singular, bas Rod); Die Bither, the books, Die Strauche, the shrubs. It is also admitted with other vowels, when between them and the d) a liquid intervenes, as, in welder, butuct.

In general, dy stands at the end of a syllable; sometimes in the beginuing, as in mander, mantherley. The pronunciation, whether guttural, or palatick, is then regulated by the vowels that follow.

Between $i$ and $e$, it is scarcely audible: for instance, bem herrichen, Dem trefflichen; spoken like herrli-en; treffi-en**

At the beginning of some words it sounds like $k$; as, ©hrifus, Christ; Der ©hrif, the christian; ©hriftian, (Ehriftoph, proper names; (d)riflid), christian, adjective; Der ©haracter, the claracter; Die (Sharte, the map, or chart ; Die (5harwoche, passion-week; (5harfreitag, good Friday; Das Ehor, the quire; Dic ©hronid, the chronickle ; bie ©hronologie, chronology; bie ©hur, the election ; Der ©hurfirft, a prince Elector of the German empire ; bie ©hurwirve, the electoral dignity-and other words beginuing with ©hur.

In words, borrowed from foreign languages, for example from the French, it keeps the sound, which it has

[^21]in those languages, as ©harlotte, ©hagrin, ©hicane, ©he= valier, Sharlatan; in which it is pronounced like the English sh.

In old writings it is often put for $K$. Foreigners, who are not acquainted with the true purport of this character, or unable to pronounce it, in the manner before mentioned, generally attribute to it the sound of $k$. This sound it has always, when an $s$ follows. For

## (5bร̇, (Chs),

is precisely the same as $k s$, or djs. This, for instance, is perceived in the words, baj $\mathfrak{W r c h}$, the wax ; Der Dachs, the badger; Der Fuuchs, the fox; D๙รి Fflachs, the flax; Die $\mathfrak{B i c h}$ ife, the box; Die 2 ddjel, the shoulder: which are pronounced like Waks, Daks, Fuks, Flaks, Bückse.

But it is to be understood, that the three letters must constitute one character, and consequently all belong to the same syllable. For they may by chance meet, and have the same appearance; but, being without those requisites, their pronunciation differs, as in machfom, vigilant; nadijeljen, to connive at: where the $s$ does not appertain to the same syllable, in which the ch stands. For these words are read, wach-sam, nach-sehen.

When an elision of any vowel has happened between ch and $s$, these characters must likewise be uttered separately: for example, Deş $\mathfrak{B u d}$ )
 Das \&odi, the hole ; er (pract) which are not to be pronounced bucks, locks, spraks; but with the gutlural, and $s$ following, Buch-s, Loch-s, sprach-s.
S(b), (Sch),

Hike the English sh, in she, shine, dish, dashing. The
vowels, before this character, are often pronounced long, as in fie whifden, they washed; fie orafction, they threshed; but more frequently short, as in majchen, to wash; orefchen, to thresh; Die Nifite, the tables; Die Whuidel, . the shell, or muscle.

It is to be observed, that sch must form one character, in order to authorize that mode of pronunciation. Sometimes an $s$ will accidently come before ch, without coalescing with it. Examples: DaS $\operatorname{Bi} \mathrm{B}$ chen, the little bit ; Das sioschen, the little rose; spoken Bis-chen, Res-chen.

$$
\text { (5), (Ck), see } \Omega_{1}(\mathrm{~K}) \text {. }
$$

$$
\text { (Gin, (Gn), and } \Omega n \text {, }(K n) \text {, }
$$

are not to be spoken through the nose, as foreigners are generally disposed to do; but in such a manner, as if a very light e were inserted before the $n$ : forinstance, Die G3nabe, the mercy, as if Gënade ; Der Snabe, the boy, as if Kënabe. The insertion of this e will, at first, be a great assistance in the acquirement of those letters, and may afterwards easily be laid aside.*

## 90he (Ph),

is quivalent to $F$ : as, Der Whilojoph, the philosopher, like Filosof: Der Ephen, the ivy, 1. Efeu.

[^22]The pronunciation of

$$
\mathfrak{W y},(\mathrm{Pf}), \mathfrak{D i}(\mathrm{Ps}) \text {, and } \mathfrak{W 3 r},(\mathrm{Vr}) \text {, }
$$

may be facilitated by the interposition of a very short $e$, in the same way, as was recommended in gn and fn : for example, Der פffad, the path; Das פpfere, the horse; ber $\mathfrak{M j}$ falm, the psalm; $\mathfrak{W}$ Sri弓berg, a proper name ; similar to Péfad, Péferd, Pésalm, Wërisberg.

$$
\mathfrak{I} h,(T h),
$$

is pronounced like $T$, and has never the sound of the English Th, or the Greek $\theta$. The $h$ added to $t$ is merely a sign of length to the vowel next preceding, or next following. See letter $\mathfrak{S}_{1}(\mathrm{H})$, p. 52.

$$
\mathfrak{T} \mathfrak{z}_{1}(\mathrm{Tz}) .
$$

$$
\text { See letter 3, (Z,) p. } 61 .
$$

## SECTION IV.

on the pronunciation of words, or the ACCENT.

Besides the sound of the single letters, another brauch of knowledge is required, for the purpose of a right pronunciation, namely the Accent. By this is understood that stress, which marks a particular syllable, in speaking, and distinguishes it from those, with
which it is connected. In the words, ìron, fulness, $h d z a r d$, the first syllables have that prerogative: in upòn, resist, belòw, it is assigned to the last.

The accent is indispensible to the art of speaking. It is, in this respect, as essential to language, as the helm is to a ship, without which its movements would be wavering, and uncertain. It must, therefore, have existed in every tongue, that was ever spoken by mankind. The Greeks and Romans, though they possessed a well established prosody, which, in some degree, influenced the cadence and modulation of their speech, ineontrovertibly made use of accent. The poetick quantity alone would have been inadequate to the ends of elocution.

As soon as latiguage proceeds, from mere articulation, to coherency, and connection, accent becomes the guide of the voice. It is founded upon an obseure perception of symmetry; and proportion, between the different sounds that are uttered". The notion of that symmetry and proportion must, of course, vary according to the diversity, which may be inherent in the primitive and elementary sounds of different languages, and constitutional organization of the people, that speak them. It is a kind of mechanism, if I may be allowed to use a metaphor, which adapts itself to different nations, different habits, and circumstances. Con-

* We are speaking of the verbal accent, which regulates the pronunciation of words: besides which, there may be said to exist the uratorial, or declamatory, accent, by which the tone of whole sentences is commanded. The former determines the force of certain syllables, the latter modifies the relative energy of words. The oratorial accent depends upon the will, and intention, of the speaker; and is, accordingly, arbitary, and variable: the verbal accent is subject to fixed rules.
formally to this, we observe a characleristick variety, with regard to accent, in comparing the languages of Europe. Each of them has its peculiar mode of accentuation: the Italian, for example, generally lays the stress of tone on the middle syllable; the French, for the most part, removes it to the end; and in German, it is commonly attached to the beginning, of the word. But the laws, upon which this depends, seem to have no other foundation, than the bent and tendency of the organs of speech, to which the ear has been inabituated.

In the German language, I consider partly the locality of the syllables, partly the quality of the vowels, contained in them, as the ground of the accent. Some of the vowels are, in certain combinations, held to be less susceptible of the energy of the voice, than others; of this description are $e$ and $i$, as will be shewn, in the progress of tins section, But locality chiefly determines the accent : by it I mean the place, which a syllable occupies, in a word. The tone, al first, assumed elev evationty ai uncertain impulse, an to bette sensation of hituess, find proportion? But this was, in the gradual formation of the language, settled into permanent custom; and constituted what is now called the accent. This appears to be the simple, and natural way of explaining its origin.

Some ingenious men have accounted for it in a mannet, very different from the foregoing. It is said by one", that it is always the radical syllable of a word, which bears the accent; and by aupthert, that which

[^23]$\dagger$ Moriz, in his Essay on German Prosody.
has most meaning, and signification. ${ }^{X}$ Those syllables, then, are called the principal ones, and as such, it is pretended, they are dignified, above the rest, with the accent. But let it be recollected, that the accent must have been of an early original ; that language could not proceed far, without this conductor; that it must be referred to one of the first stages of civilization, in which not much was to be expected from grammatical speculation; and that, consequeutly, the source from which it flowed, could not be remote and hidden, but must have been obvious, and easy of access. To ascertain what syllable is the root of the word, which we are going to utter, to determine which has the most significancy, and power of meaning, and, according to this, to fix the accent, presupposes so much abstruse research, in one case, and so much philosophical judgment and precision, in the other, that we cannot, in sober reflection, attri- 7 bute such a process to the untutored minds of a rude nation. They made use of that auxiliary of speecl before they were conscious of its existence: they might have a latent perception of its influence, but eould-not possess a distinct idea of its operation, mueh fess invent, and establish it, according to the rules of science. We could only imagine such a contrivance, as having taken place on similar grounds, by supposing a society of wise and learned men, who had entirely created the language. Ff For how are we to reconcile it to common sense, that notions, which are now attained only by a few, should, in the earliest times, have been current, and familiar with the multitude. They must have accurately understood the anatomy of language, and known how to appreciate the relative value of the parts of speech*. Upon these

[^24]niceties of discrimination the theories, to which we have alluded, are built. But the nature of language is, I fear, little compreliended by him, who can admit such a proposition. No search for radical syllables, no estimate of their innate power, led to the accent: it was rather the production of something like a mechanical process. The maxin, which Mr. Adelung himself sanctions", namely "that, whatever exists in a language in the form of general practice, and usage, has arisen from annobsoure sensation for perception) of expediency, on recessity, common to those who first employed the language ; and that, therefore, the principles, upon which such practice and usage are founded, can neither
their intrinsick weight, and importance: in proportion to which, he says; they are more or less entitled to the accent. See his Prosody, p. 139 \& 184. Hence the inference is natural, that, if that is the basis of accent, those, who first employed it, must have been aware of that principle. But this is more than improbable. He is not contented with that distinction of the parts of speech, but he remarks the various shades of difference, which each part may assume in peculiar situations. Thus he talks, p. 227. of one pronoun as the mere reflection of another pronoun, or as the pronoun of a pronoun. Such speculations are, indeed, ingenious, and subtile; but that qualification alone cannot give them any claim to our approval.

[^25]be abstruse, nor complicated," is particularly applicable to our subject: and it is to be wondered at, that the same authour, who recurs to it, on other occasions, should have been entirely unmindful of its truth, where it is so strikingly evident.

To support such artificial doctrines, as those which have been mentioned, it is, not sufficient to allege, that, in many instances, the fact corresponds with the theory, that the accent is, indeed, frequently attached to such syllables, as upou enquiry, and consideration, prove to be the radical, or most significant ingredients of the word. This is mere coincidence, where it is met with, and cannot serve to extort from us our assent to notions, which, when examined, canuot stand the test of reason. But even that coincidence is by far not so general, as may have been presumed. I have not been at much trouble to seek for exceptions : but I will quote some, that have readily presented themselves. Mr. Moriz, somewhere*, introduces the word Mactiball, which literally signifies aftersound, i. e. the remaining vibration of sound, a sort of echo, being composed of the preposition nach, after, and the substantive ber Sjall, the sound. Of these two syllables, I think, that §̧all, should be considered as the radical, or significant one: but it does not receive the accent. Mr. Moriz, it is true, represents nadi) as the significant, or principal syllable in this word . that, however, can only be an oversight, arising from the blind partiality for his system; for the idea, that a preposition could have precedence before a substantive, would be at variance with the whole tenour of his opinion. That word, therefore,

[^26]forms an exception; but I can add many similar compounds: for example, those beginning with the prepositions, $2 \mathfrak{c b}$, an, auf, burd), fur, binter, in, mit, uber, um, unter, vor, wiber, zu, zwifhen:-as, 2f'bzug 2ern= ficlag, 2ufifand, Durchmarich, Fiuriprache, Sinterbalt, In'begrifi, Mitgift, Uébergang, umichlag, Un'tergang,
 the insignificant and accessory syllables, (for in that light the preposition, must, according to Moriz and Adelung, be regarded, when compared to substantives) are accentuated. This makes against their hypothesis, whereas it perfectly answers to our supposition of locality. It might perhaps, by some dexterity of argument, be made out, that what is insignificant, and accessory, in one place, may be significant, and radical, in another : the advantage, and success, of this mode of reasoning I willingly relinquish to the authours. But not to confine my observations to compound words alone, I will subjoin those, which, being derived from the Latin and Greek, have the accent, not on the significant, or radical syllables, but generally on their endings. For example, Die $9 \lambda_{\imath}=$ tur, nature ; Die Ration, the nation; Die æeligion, religion; Der Şoèt the poet; ber © Cubent, the student; oer Barbar, the barbarian; ber §amal, the canal ; bas Srgain, the organ ; Die ©ultur, culture ; Das 2rxiom, the axiom; Die Million, the million; ber General, the general ; Der Elephant, the elephant, and others. We find no difficulty in rendering this circumstance consistent with the principles of our system, by simply saying, that, by a kind of tacit agreement, the locality of the accent in Greek and Latin words, when germanized, was fixed upon the termination, But how would the
other theorists solve this problem, according to their rules? I shali here leave the subject, which appeared to me, for several reasons, worthy of discussion; and proceed to the detail of this section.

Syllables are either accented, or not.-The accent itself is of two kinds. 1. The complete, or full accent, which is assumed by the principal sound in a word: 2. Or, the half, or demi-accent, which gives to the syllable, to which it is assigned, a more distinct and forcible pronunciation, than those possess, which are devoid of all accent; though it is inferior, in energy, to those, to which the full accent is applied. For example, in mèntal, mèrcy, hdlter, the first syllables bear the full accent, but the last have none: in meekness, softness, ointment, the first are likewise possessed of the full accent, but the last are pronounced more distinctly, than in the former words. This may be called the half, or demi-accent.

## We shall first treat of

## the full accent ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$.*

I. In monosyllables it does not exist, when they are by themselves. For in one insulated utterance there can be no comparison of length, or brevity. But when two, or three, monosyllables meet together, one of them may, according to its importance, be incasured against the rest, and have an emphasis similar to accent, though, in fact, it is not the same. For instance, ber Mànn, Die fràu, ba૬ ふinb: here the article and sub-
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/stantive constitute, as it were, one word; and the accent is affixed to the latter, being superior in signification. If the stress were laid on ber, Die, baş, it would be understood, that the attention was to be chiefly directed to those words, consequently, that they were used pointedly, or as demonstratives. This is farther exemplified in the following: ©fr if bier, ©ie find da, Ery bat bas: each of which plrases may be looked upon as a word of three syllables, and may, therefore, receive the full accent; but where it is to be placed, is determined by the intention of the person speaking. For Ery ift hier, may be accentuated in three diverse mamers, at the option of the speaker. If the pronoun is the principal object of attention, it will he thus: E't ift Gier, he is here; if the verb, thus: Efift bier, he is here; if the place, it will be, ©er iff bier, he is here. It is the same with the other instances: as, ©ie fino ba, they are there; Sie find $\mathfrak{D a}$, they are there; Sie find $D a^{\prime}$, they are there. So E'r bat baz, he has that ; Er bat bas, he has that ; Er hat daj, he has that. This, is however, not the verbal, bul oratorial aecent, of which motice has been taken, in the beginning of this section." The verbal accent, with which we are engaged, is not arbitrary as the latter. Monosyllables, therefore, are only susceptible of the oratorial accent. Where that is not applied, their tone remains ambiguous. $+\ddagger$

* P. 67, note.
+ Moriz cla ses the munosyllables in regard to their value, as parts of speech, by which, he maintains, that their capability of accentuation is determined. They are arranged by him, in the following manner: substantive, adjective, verb, interjection, adverb, auxiliary verb, conjunction, pronoun, preposition. As they are here placed, so they are


## II. Words of two syllables have the full accent on

 the first: as, Daş feinfter, the window; Die feltoer, the fields; bie ©iifle, the street; Dic Stube, the chamber*.said to have a respective claim to accent, one in preference to the other. See Essay on German Prosody (3) rifuch einer Seutfothen frofodie), p. $137 \& 189$. But this assumption is not supported by fact: frequent deviations occur from the line that is drawn. In Su und ith), thou and I, und, being a conjunction, ought, according to that classification, to have the accent, rather than the pronouns, that stand near it: but it certainly has not. In these words, und ef fpracts, it might be thought to be accented. These are contradictions, which allow of no explanation, though our authour attempts it, by saying that the same conjunction appears in a different point of view; when it connects sentences, and when it merely connects words. Perhaps our rule of locality would afford a more úniform reason. For by combining monosyllables into imaginary words of more syllables, you may place the accent, where the doctrine of locality points it out, and you will probably often be right. But the truth, nevertheless, scems to be, that, properly speaking, monosyllahles can only have the oratorial aceent. In a succession of monosyilables, I believe, it invariably happens, thungh we may not be sensible of it, that one of them is thus accented. Quintilian says, it must be so. Inst. Or. I, 5. E'a vero, que sunt syllaba unius, erunt acuta, aut flexa, ne sit aligua vox sine acutâ.

* Muriz indirectly bears testimony to this rule, by saying, $p .225$, that the German language naturally abounds in words, which form trochaick feet, that is to say, in dissyllabick words, with the first syllable accented, or long; and p. 236, that it has no pure pyrrhichian feet, that means, no dissyllabick words, with both syllables short. This would, in the German language, be impossible: for in words of more than one syllable, the accent must be placed somewhere, and render that syllable long, compared to the others. Hence the scarcity of spondees is also to be explained. No words of two sylables can have both accented: and as the accent generates the prosodick quantity, in the German language, no such word can be a spondee, or have both syllables long. In the Latin language, it seems,
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## Except:

1. Words derived from the Greek, or Latin, which lave suffered a change in their termination, to make
 tàn, \&c. proper names. Likewise, Die Matur, nature; Die Meligion, religion; bie Mation, the nation; die $\mathfrak{F i}=$ gur, the figure; bie Mupit, musick; Die Đerion, the person; Der Woèt, the poet; Der Docent, the teacher; Der Stubent, the student; Der 2fltair*, the altar; Der §ro= pheit, the prophet; Der $\Re$ Regent, the regent ; Der Jyramn, the tyrant; ber Sloaf, a common sewer ; Der Sanal, the canal, or channel ; Das 5 rgain, the organ (of speech, hearing, \&c. ;) Die (5ultuir, civilisation; ber Barbar, the barbarian; Der ßaron, the baron; \&c. from Virgilius, Homérus, Horátius, Montánus, natúra, religio, natio, persóna, figúra, musica, poéta, docens, studens, altare, prophéta, regens, tyrańnus, canalis, organon, cultúra, barbarus, baro. These words have the accent on the last ; Pindar, from Pindarus, however, has it on the first. The word Sjaftor, denoting a parish priest, is commonly pronounced with the accent on the last, though it has undergone no change in its termination.
2. Some other words, not properly German: as, ber 2làun, alum; ber 2fltan, the balcony; Der 2nices, or $\mathfrak{Z n i z}$, anise; ber $\mathfrak{B a r b i e r}$, the barber; ber ßombait, brombast ; Der Fafàn, the pheasant; Der Granait, garnet; also prawn, or shrimp; ber ©rmmitapfel, pomegranate;

The accent was affixed in a similar manner. For Quintilian observes, that words of two syllables always bore it on the first. Inst. Or. I, 5. Est autem in omni voce utique acuta, sed nunquam plus uná: nec ultima: ideoque in dissyllabis prior.

* Thus Adelung accentuates it: see his Orthography, p. 113.

Der J̌u［ar，the hussar ；Das ßamel，the camel；ber $\mathfrak{F a}=$ ptum，the capon；Das תlyftier，the clyster；Der Srafēel， the quarrel；Der $\mathfrak{E a d f e} \mathrm{y}$, the lackey；Der Morait，the morass ；Der 凡abién，the radish ；DaÉ ßevier，the district， or quarter ；Der शubin，the ruby；Der Æubicell，ভpinèl， precious stones；Der Safriain，Morocco leather；ber Soldat，the soldier；Der ©xinat，spinage；ber Spion， the spy ：Das Radefert，a fence of pales．－Some of these words may be refered to the first exception．
3．Certain rompouid adverbs，and conjunctions， namely such as begin，with：
all，als：allein，allbièr，allda，alskailo，alğoèm． an：anbey，anteint，ankèr，anje＇st，annoit．
bey，bis：bevjét，bi弓⿱亠幺乡ér．
da：Dafém，Dabeimt，Dafêlbft，סaraiuf，baraius̊，Dabèy，Da＝ vor，Darain，Davòn．
der，die ：bereinft，bienceil．
ein，emp，ent ：einhèr，entpor，entzmèt．
fort：fortain，fortbin．
fïr：fưrwatr．
gleich：gleichmoie．
hier：Gierther，bierthin．
hin：binab，binan，binauf，binàus，bindură，binein， binfort，binwèg．
in：indem．
je：jeboid），however，（but jemalts，ever；and the pronoun jemant，any one，or some one，have the accent on the first）．
mit ：mithin．
nach，nun：nadbeem，nadiger：nummefr，（sometimes pronounced nuinmehre．
－b：obgleidy，obiduon，obwobl．
sm：umber，umbin，umponf．Add furzum，warumt．
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vor: voran, vorbèy, worbèr, vorbin.
wo: wobèn, woburch, momit, moràn, moràus, worint, movoin, mozui.

It sometimes happens, that the accent varies in similar words, according to the view of the person speaking; for instance, in those beginning with da, hier, wo: as, Daber, bièraus, motraus. The accent, being laid on the first, makes them strong demonstratives.
4. Tiue prefixes, be, emp, ent, er, ge, ver, zer, are not susceptible of any accent; therefore, words, beginning with such prefixes, have the accent on the last: as, betraif, empfing, entipräd), erichraí, gelơbt, vergog, zerràm.

A caution is necessary in the application of this rule, with reference to be and ge. In some words, these are not prefixes, but radical syllables, and consequently cannot be deprived of their accent, if their place entitles them to it. Of this kind are : beben, to tremble; beiten, to pray ; ber $\mathfrak{B e j}$ iem, the broom; geiben, to give; geigen, against ; sèhen, to go.

1II. Words of three syllables have the full accent on the first.

## Except :

1. The following: balfàmifald, lebendig, bantbiren, ftotziren.
2. Words from the Greek and Latin, that have been germanized, by a change in their termination, as: $\mathfrak{\partial} \hat{a}$ Wriom, the axiom; Die 2fetion, the action; bie Million, the million; bie Billion, ærillion, the billion, trillion; Der Elephint, the elephant; ber शyatriot, the patriot; ber ©eneral, the general; Epicur, a proper name, \&sc.
from actin, millio, billio, trillio, elephantus, patriota, generalis, Epicurus.
3. A few foreign words, which bear the accent in the middle : as, bie Fajéle, a kind of bean (Lat. phaseolus); Das Æegifter, the register; Dab̨ Sapitel, the chapter; Die Sabaite, the cabal; bie Mrect)inifid, the science of mechanicks.

4. Certain compounds, namely, sugl as begin, with : all: allmifieno, allgemèn, allbereit, allemàk, allenfälzz, allerbings, alfertèt, allerèff, allerbind, allerwarte, alle= fàmt, allezeit, allernàdyf, 2ulumeisticit, allmaidtig, alt= måblig, alltágig, allzuviel, allzujẹhr.
aus: ausbrůcflid, aušnèmend, auรึprèd)lid).
bey, bis: beyjàmmen, bismeilen.
da: Dalinter, Darùnter, Daruiber, Damiber, Dazmíchen. der, des: berbaiben, bermaiflen, Dermahleinft, De 弓haifben, Desgrleidyen.
durch, in compound verbs inseparable, never takes the accent: as, burchgehen, סurd)(rufen, burdhreijen, burd)= freidfen; but when separable, it has the full accent, as, surd) reifen, burth laufen. See the compound verbs** ehe, ein, einst : ehébem, cinànber, einftmailen, einftweilent. $j e$, in, in: jebènnodh, imgleichen, (also, ingleidhen), im= mittelf, indeifen, inzwijhen.
miss : in misrrithen, mifhingen, two verbs neuter ; otherwise this prefix always bears the accent, in composition. über: úberàus, ůberéd, itberein, liberbàupt, fiberbint.
ror: In vorneigmlich, vortreifflich, vorgiglidh, and other words ending in lich, vor is unaccented; otherwise it takes the accent, in composition, as in 马orfehung, 3orffellung, vorgaingig.
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will: in millfommen, welcome*.
$z u$ : in zugeigen, zumiber. In other compounds it lias the demi-accent.
6. Certain compounds, with the demi-accent on the first. They are such as begin :
a. With the syllable of a noun (or verb?) as: frob) $10=$
 from froh, gros, yod, lang. leid)t, adjectives: glícfeélig, nóthreendig, from bab (sitid, bic Moth, sulistantives; bdufållig, mérfwirbig, from ber Bau, Dab Mert, substantives; rr bauen, merfen, verbs.
b. With the particles, $a b, a n, a u f, a u s, b e y, d e r, ~ e i n$, fort, für, her, hin, los, mit, nach, ob, un, vor, zu: as,
 bérfièben, barbièten, Dárleihe, éngèben, herfommen, hín=


[^29]ofliègen, ơwailteno, untoànfbar, únfrèunolid), úngittig,
 zámendent, zu'bringlidt.
7. The prefixes be, emp, ent, er, ge, ver, zer, as has been already mentioned, never admit an accent; for example: beféblen, empfinben, entfällen, erbrèden, ge= Körchen, verberben, zerreifien.
IV. Words of four syllables, and more, in order to facilitate their accentuation, may be bisected, that is to say, divided into halves, each of which then will have its own accent, as a word by itself, according to the foregoing rules.-

## Observe,

1. If they are compounds, the section is to be made where tlie components are joined, as in Mert=witroigleit,
 U'naus=\{prèd)lidéeit, Feld=poit=meifter*.
2. If they are not compounds, the division should be equal in words of four syllables, as in muificailiid), (Ge $=$
 ginnen, infon=Derbeit. In words of five syllables it must
[^30]
## S2 [81] On Orthography \& Pronunciation. P. I. C. 1.

naturally be unequal, as in Berthei=cigungen, vergejeill ishaftet.
3. In words of four syllables that partition does not take place, when the two first are totally void of accent, as in 2 gam monon; the stress is then laid upon the thiid, or the last syllable but one: nor, when the two last, or first and third, syltables are unaccented; for in this case, the second has the tone, as in freuntof, iftlidhe,

4. The same principles are to be applied to words of six or seven syllables, if any such occur. For example, (Gered)tigłeit3licbe, which may be divided into three parts, (Gereitht=igfèt $=$ liebe.

The method of thus dividing long words, for the purpose of giving facility to accentuation, seems to answer that object in most inslances, according to the foregoing observations. It will be found practically useful, whatever difference of opinion there may be in theory. For though it may be said that, even in the longest word, there is but one chief accent, it will be found more easy to conceive this in mind, than to express it by voice.

## THE DEMI-ACCENT (').

It has been explained before, what is meant by that term; namely, the tone of a syllable, which, though it has not the strongest sound in a word, is yet pronounced more forcibly, and distinclly, than others, which are said to be intally void of arcent. Of this some examples have been adduced. For instance, to the iast syllables of, rùdimént, caùstick; fülnéss, idllenéss, oùtlét, the demi-acceut is assigned, becanse they are discriminately uttered: whereas in heìry, temporary, móral, càndour;
timple, they are lightly passed over, so as not to produce a precise, and accurate, sound of the vowels, which they contain.

The demi-accent, in German, is peculiar to

1. All monosyllables, whether terminating with a vowel, or a consonant.
2. The vowels at the end of words: as, Minerva, Sophá, alfo', iégo, Whu'. Except $e$, which is unaccented, as frecioe, loobe.
3. The syllables aft, al, all, am, ar, ath, ei, ey, eit, ing, inn, iss, une, ung, uth, being. terminations of words:


 X'rmutth.
4. The same syllables, not being terminations: as, Sdjufade, Freibeiten; unless, from their situation, the full accent fixes on them, as in ©̧efêll=ichaften, æa'noe= léyen. See the words of four syllables.
5. Some other syllables: as, and, ard, art, eim, itz, old, on, or, orn, und, uft, eillier as terminations, or in the middle. Examples: Seeildand, $B a j$ táro, $\mathfrak{B a}=$
 2'forn, Gérioun, 2rbgríno, frè(sfluft.
6. The prior syllables of certain compounds, alluded to before, bdufáluig, métrwur'big, léidttînnig, \&c.

## VOID OF ACCENT, are

1. The prefixes be, emp, ent, er, ge, ver, zer.-Examples are numerous: as, $\mathfrak{B e f e ́ h l}$, empfàngen, entgègen, erfuiden, Geridht, vertragen, zerreiffer. It may not be amiss to repeat, that the prefixes be and ge ought not
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to be confounded with the original syllables of the following words, Bejem, bèben, bèten, gèben, gègen, gébèn, SSeriton.
2. Dissyllabick prepositions, in verbs compound inseparable, of four syllables: such as, Kinter, ưber, unter, riber, in lintergethen, ifbertreten, unterreoen, wiber= fèten.
3. The syllables e, el, ell, en, end, er, ern, et, ig, ich, icht, being terminations, as in Fairbe, Máthfel, baiken,

4. The same syllables, in the middle (uuless they become liable to the full accent) as ra'thjellyaft, lobete, fleij(कid)te.

Before the subject of the accent is dismissed, it will be necessary to add a few words concerning the vowels, and diphthongs.

THE SOUND OF THE VOWELS AND DIPHTHONGS
is either broad and long, or slender and short. $A$ for instance, is broad, or long, in the English words, all, call, fall; and slender, or short, in father, fancy, glass. The $i$ is long in field; and short in fill, fin. The o long in note, bone, home, over ; and short in lot, trot, got. This difference is also observable in diphthongs, as in the words receive, seize, in which ei, is long; and in forfeit, where it is short.
I. The vowels and diphthongs have, in the German lauguage, a long, or broad, sound :

1. At the end of a syllable, as in bäben, beeten, loben, Tugeno*. Thee at the end of words is excepted.
*Though this principle generally prevails, it is not
2. When a syucope, or contraction in the middle, has taken place, which chiefly happens by an ejectment of the letter e. Examples: £ā̆bft, lăbt, läbte, geläbt, for labeft, laket, labete, gelabet. \&objt, lōbt, lōbte, gelöbt, for lobejt, lobet, lobete, getobets Spārit, fyärt, fpärte, geppärt, for pareft, fparet, iparete, gejparet. Schōft, idont, fdōnte, gefdont, for idhoneft, fchonet, (c)onete, ge= idionet.
3. When a sign of length is added. . This may be either a reduplication of the same vowel, as in $\mathfrak{X a l}$, Meer, Boot ; or an adjection of another vowel, as $e$ to $i$, in ©piel, ppielt; or the letter $h$. (see the 2d. sect.) in Sahn, Fahne, fehr, ihm, ihr, \& c.

As exceptions from this rule may be considered the following words: vierzig, forty, סaら Sjiertel, the quarter, Der vierte*, the fourth, Dies, this, Dimftag, Tuesday, mabrlich, verily; which, though provided with the sigus of lengtb, give to their vowels the short or slender sound, as if they were written virrzig, virrtel, diss, dinstag, varrlich.
always duly regarded. A proof of this is the word $\mathfrak{R a m e}$, name, which some persons, and among them Mr . Adelung, (Orthography, p. 249.) insist upon writing Nabme. The lengthening $h$ is here erroneously inserted, because $a$, from: its situation alone, receives the full and long sound. By omitting the $h$, the original appearance of the word, and its similarity to other languages (for instance to the English name, Swedish namn, \&c.) is preserved, and it is, besides, distinguished from nabm, preterimpf. of nefmen, to take. Thus Mr. A. writes, unnecessarily, betben, to pray; fiethen, to bid, (Orthography, p. 258); for beten; bieten.
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4. In monosyllables, as in trāt, wēr, (chōn, mūn.

Except,
a. The following, $\mathfrak{a b}, \mathfrak{a n}, \mathfrak{b i n}, \mathfrak{b i} 3, \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$, , gib, grob, hat, bin, im, in, man, mit, ob, ©dimib, um, von, was, weg, zum.
b. And whien two consonants follow after the vowel, as in ballo, baim, (Self, wollt, Sduflo, mén.
Before $\tilde{\text { Br }}$, however, the vowels are frequently long: as,
 words, as in S(1)mäd), höd, Bū̆ : and thus, sometimes, before iぁt, as bräjd, wüd.
5. In the last syllable of foreign words, where the main accent is at the end: as, Ratūr, §rgain, Ђomèr, Şorā̧, \&c. See above.
II. The vowels, and diphthongs, have a short, or clevated, sound

Before two consonants: as in áll, Fádel, E'de, oft,


Except:


 Şuften, תēb , (in the compounds, תēbsche, תēbsweib,



 lung*, these also are to be added: §äge, Mēfi, Nuãr

- Orthography, p. 65, 232 \& 233.

Cdumut, which some, especially the Lower Saxons, pronounce with the slender sound.
b. Those, which have undergone a syncope, or contraction in the middle: as, lōblt, lobbt, löbte, gelobt, for, lobeft, lobet, lobete, gelobet; fpärft, offenbärt, gehoriti,
 boreft, gehoret, icho nefter, ber icho nefte.
c. Those which are supported by a sign of longth.

## SECTION: V.

## ON PROSODY.

Tris is the elementary science of versification. As verse is the compass of a certain number of words, measured by their syllables, the nature of these syllables is the principal object of prosody. They constitute metre, by their capacity of being either long, or short. A combination of such syllables makes a foot, several feet produce a verse. But to use these constituent parts with correctuess, and propriety, it is necessary to understand their length and brevity, and the reasons, by which they possess those qualifications.
In the Greck and Roman languages, the measure of the syllables, or their quantity, was accurately fixed. Every syllable had acquired its invariable character, of being long, or short, either from the nature of the letters, which composed it, or from antient and unalterable usage. The dimension of its sound was ascertained,
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and establishied, and, according to that ouly, the poet, or versifier, was at liberty to employ it.

The modern tongues of Enrope liave, strictly speaking. no laws of quantity. Treir metres contain a limited portion of syllalles, arranged together, more according to number, than by any precise rules of length, or shortness. The only restraint imposed, is, not to siolate the accent, which controuls, and soverns the pronunciation*. The poet canuot be permitted to change the audible effect of the language ; but, conforming to this restriction, he may, as far as the mechanical part of versification is concerned, make use of the syllables, according to his will, and convenience. Such is the nature of modern prosody, in general, and of the German, in particular, as will appear from the subsequent investigations.

The Accent, therefore, is the standard, by which metrical composition must be guided. It has been annply discussed, in the foregoing section, and here some application will be made of those disquisitions. A syllable, that has the full accent, in Germav, cannot be used othervise than long. For, that accent consists in an ascension of the voice, which, while it is in the act of rising, requires a greater length of time for the complete emission of the sound, than is necessary in a syllable, that is not elevated above its level. In words of two or three syllables, one syllable must inevitably be long, because one must necessarily bear the full accent. Of

[^32]those syllables, which have not that accent, some are endowed with the demi-accent, and athers are accentless. The latter are in their nalure short: those that are half accented, or that have the demi-accent, being between the full length, and shortuess, nust be $r$. garded as ambiguous, or common, half inclining to length, and half to brevity; and, consequently, as fit to be used in. either capacity, as occasion may demand. As to he accentless syllables, or those that are properly short, it might perhaps be recommended, as a metrical accuracy, to those who write verse, never to employ them with the quality of length: but even the best poets transgress this line of correctness. They use those syllables long, without much scruple, when the necessity of the verse invites it*. But this limitation must be observed, that by transforming a short syllable into length, the full accent of the word must not be destroyed : if that suffer, the fault is unpardonable. Monosyllables "cannot have the full, but must have the demi-accent : for the former consists in a comparative elevation of voice, in one syllable over the tone of another, which comparison cannot be instituted, when there is only one syllable by itself. Nor cat they possibly be accentless; because it is necessary to pro* nounce them distinctly, and, in a manner, sonorously, to render them intelligible: and where the vowels in any syllable are thus heard, that syllable is said to be halfaccented, or to have the demi-accent. Now the demi-) accent has, in prosody, a quantity between long and

[^33]
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short, or in other terms, is common: monosyllables, therefore, are conmon, that is to say, may be used either. as long, or short. Two or three of them may be read together, as in one word, and the stress of declamation may assign to one the full accent, or main tone, which then becomes long in quantity, in comparison to the others. This is the oratorial, or declamatory accent, which is at the will, and depends entirely upon the feeling, of the writer, or speaker. It determines the tone in syllables of ambiguous quantity; bestowing length of sound wherever it falls, though it does not necessarily follow, that this accent should be exerted, whenever there is occasion to make a common syllable long. $\mu$ is seldom praclicable to fix the full accent in words of four syllables, or more. When pronounced, such words sound, as if they were divided into parts, and the accent established according to these divisions. In fact, it appears, as if there were no full accent: and the absence of this leaves the syllables in a state of ambiguity. I am therefore inclined to say, that the syllables in words, which contain four or more, are common, that is, liable to be employed with the quantity of length, or shortness, as it may suit the purpose of the poet.

The accent, according to what has been stated, is to be regarded as the source of quantity; nor can it be conceded, as some would assert, that the latter is independent of the former. With the accent, in our acceptation, is not to be confounded that distinetuess, or acuteness of voice, with which, from some impulse of feeling, or meaning, the sound of a syllable may happen to be emitted. If in this example: gerechter (Goth, allgitig fint beine Wege, the syllables ge and aff should be heard with something like an emphasis, this is not to be taken
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for the accent, which, as the 4th Section has abundantly proved, rests upon a rery different foundation.

The followingrules for quantity, in German prosody, are the result of the preceding observations.

1. In words of two or three syllables, that syllable is long, which bears the full accent*.
II. In words of four syllables, or more, the full accent can seldom be distinctly fixed; but there generally remains a sort of equilibrium between the syllables, which seems to render them common. As such they may be often regarded, and employed at the will of the poet. Certain compound words retain, in a great degree, the stress of tone, which one or the other of the component parts had before the compusition: and they are to be looked upon as exceptions from this rule of common quantity. For example 2 fflgitiger, composed of ald and gitiger, has a prevailing accent upou the 2 d , which could not possibly be equalized with the quantity of the preceding, or subsequent syllables.

1II. Morosyllables are common. They are particularly liable to be affected by the oratorial, or arbitrary, accent.
IV. Syllabies, that are half-accented, or, which is the same, have the demi-accentt, are common.
V. Accentless syllables, that is to say, those, which never admit an accent, ought always to preserve a short quantity. But so far does the established free-
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dom of the poets extend, that even those syllables are not unfrequently used as long.

The German language is capable of producing all the metrical feet, and consequently all the species of versitication, which were known to the ancients. It is suited to hexameter, and pentameter*; and avails itself of a variety of measures, in lyrical compositionst. I speak here of verse, without rhyme: though this auxiliary of modern poesy is likewise current, and as much in practice, as in any other tongue of Europe.
A word of two syllables must, in German, according to the accent, funnish either a lrochee ${ }^{-3}$, or an iambick ${ }^{-2}$. If the accent be on the first, it will be a trochee, if on the second, an iambick. But as one of the syllables must, of necessity, have a paramount accent, they cannot both have the same quantity. A dissyllabick word can, therefore, not naturally form either a spondee ${ }^{-7}$;, or a pyrrhich " - However, by the assistance of monosyllables, these feet may be easily accomplished. A word of three syllables may make either a dactyl ${ }^{-\cdots}$, which is most common; or an anaprest ${ }^{-\cdots}$, or an amphibrachys ${ }^{-\cdots}$ : but from the constitution of the German accent, it will not so readily yield a creticus, or amphimacer ${ }^{-\cdots-}$; though, if the last syllable happen to be half-accentuated, it would be practicable. It would be

[^35]difficult to shape it to a bacchius ${ }^{---}$, or an antibacchius ${ }^{-\cdots}$; and impossible to turn it inte a molossus ${ }^{-\cdots}$, or tribrachys ".".

When those feet are wanted, they must be made by a combination of different words. Thus the feet of four syllables are likewise to be prodiced; for of words, which have that extent themselves, there is not a great number. Among the tetrasyllabick fcet, the choriambick ${ }^{-\cdots-}$ is most familiar to the German language; and it is also the most pleasing. It is, frequently, effected by a concurrence of dissyllabick words, and, occasionally, by words of four, or five syllables. The procelcusmati-
 artispast ${ }^{"-\cdots}$, ionicus a majore ${ }^{-2 \omega 0}$, ionicus a minore ${ }^{\text {ov-- }}$, the first pronn ${ }^{+\cdots \times}$, second preon ${ }^{* N 6}$, third paon ${ }^{\cdots-\omega}$, fourth pronn ${ }^{-\cdots-}$; the first epitrite ${ }^{0+\cdots}$, second epitrite ${ }^{-\cdots-}$, third epitrite ${ }^{-\cdots-}$, fourth epitrite ${ }^{-\cdots v}$ : all) these feet may be had, by an association of syllables, which the language, without much difficulty, is able to furnish.

In this manner the substance of Gerinan Prosody has been stated: but I deem it necessary, befure I quit this topick, to take notice of a theory, more artificial than ours, which has been set up by an ingenious author*. As the priviciples of his system are entirely different from those, which we have adopted, our doctrine would lose much of its validity, unless we were able to shew, that any uther, at variance with it, could not, upon examinatior, maintain its ground. The writer, to whom I allude,
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gives the result of his notions, in nearly the following words*: "The length and shortness of syllables, in the German language, is not determined by the number and quality of the letters, or individual sounds, of which they consist ; but by their prosodical value, as parts of speech, of more or less significancy : consequently, the rules of prosody, in that language, can only be drawn from a grammatical knowledge of the parts of speech, and their respective subordinacy, according to the weight, and importance, of their signification."

The foundation on which that edifice is reared, is a gradation of the parts of speech, according to their sense and meaning, with which their prosodical quantity is said to correspond. This maxim, if admitted, can only be appticable to monosyllabick words; for in those, which contain more syllables, accent and quailtity are established on another basis. So it seems to be understood by our author: and he, therefore, appears to narrow his sphere, by confining the operation of his principle, in the passage adduced, only to one class of words. It is true, that he had previously spoken of words, containing more syllables than one, and assigned the long quantity, or the prosodical accent, to what he styles the significant syllables; but his hypothesis, relative to the parts of speech, can only embrace monosyllables. From being thus contracted, it must, at first view, appear inadequate, and imperfect. But we will enter into a more accurate enquiry concerning its, merits. The parts of speech are thus arranged $t$ : Substantive, Adjective, Verb,

- P. 246.
t Moriz Prosody, p. 185. Read also from p. 135 to 143 ; and 213 , with the following pages.

Interjection, Adverb, Auxiliary verb, Conjunction, Pronoun, Preposition, Article. According to the order, in which they are here drawn up, they are to be considered, in prosody, as of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{th}, 5 \mathrm{th}, 6 \mathrm{th}, 7 \mathrm{th}, 8 \mathrm{hh}$, 9 th, 10th rank; the following always yielding, in potency, to the preceding. The substantive, therefore, invariably surpasses, in quantity, any other part of sjueech: it is long, while the adjective, verb, and the rest, compared to it, are short. The same pre-eminence the adijective maiutains over those behind it; the interjection likewise, and so forth, every one rising, in importance, over that which it precedes, and yielding to that which it follows; till, at last, the article concludes the train, and like the 5th class of citizens, or the capite censi at Rome, only exists, to shew, by its inferiority, the superior gualification of its neighbours*.
The whole of this doctrine is founded upon an arbitrary assumption, and the imagination of the author; it has otherwi-e no claim to approbation. The declamatory accent may perhaps be alleged in its support : and it may be argued that, as the prosodick quantity of monosyllables is at the will of the poet, he may be influenced, by the sense and import of the words, in assigning their accent; and thius be induced to determine their length, or brevity. The words themselves, it may be added, will, in some degree, be calculated, according to the idea annexed to them, to attract the oratorial, or declamatory accent, and by its operation, acquire, in a certain order, their respective quantity. But it is to be observed, as has been before intimated, that there is not always a necessity for employing that accent.
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The verse may proceed, even and unretarded, without such an impulse: and the poet may find it suitable to manage the energies of his strains, without any regard, or even in direct opposition, to a similar theory. Indeed, if it be not intended to annihilate the primeiples, upon which German versification, from its first origin, has been conducted, to subvert that usage, which has been sanctioned by the consent of anterior times, and to suibstitute an hypothesis, newly invented, to the dictates of which every thing, that has gone before, is to be bent and fashioned, we must withhold our concurrence. from the system proposed. It is not for us to give precepts to those, who have preceded us; but we should. draw our observations from their general practice, and form, in this manner, from their example and authority, if we please, a code of laws, to direct our cotemporaries, and successors. It is thus that Aristotle composed his Theories of Poeticks, and Rhetorick, and Cicero brought oratory under the definition of science. Were we to compare the rules, which are contained in the system alluded to, with the poetry that exists, we.should, most frequently, find them inapplicable. It is, without doubt, feasible, in some species of verse, to replace one foot, or one quantity, by another: and to this expedient our author is not rarely compelled to resort, in order to vindicate his opinions. But if it were possible, in every such instance, to consult the poet hinself, respecting what he intended, I apprehend, it would appear, that these modifications, and changes were often unjustifiable, and groundless. The mast unerring test would be, to examine those rules by such metres, as would allow. no variation of quautily; for which purpose none seem to be so well qualified as some, used by the antients, par-
ticularly the hexameter, and pentameter. In these the feet are accurately determined, and the quantity cannot be unislakein: so that the point at issue, whether the principles of that theory depend upon existing reality, or upon speculative dreams, would inevitably be decided, without any chauce of evasion and subterfuge.

But I thiuk, it is practicable to derive convinciug evidence, against our author, from his own pages. In the 218th, a quotation from Klopstock is introduced, in which the words, bebt ign , are used as a spondee ${ }^{--}$. This is perfectly consistent with the rules of our prosody: but how can it be reconciled to the principles of the other system? Seebt, is a verb; ifn a pronoun, which is much inferior in dignity to the verb, and when next to it, should be used, according to that theory, as a short syllable, consequently, $\mathfrak{h e b t}$ ifn should make a trochee ${ }^{-0}$. But the poet knew of no such system, nor felt himself under any restriction.
P. 226. In this line of Ramler, عiebe, bie ou (Gõtter oft um ©れafer taufdeft, which is trochaical ${ }^{-}$, our author sets down the second foot, Die Du, for a pyrrich " ${ }^{\sim}$, because, says he, the words, of which it consists, are both pronouns, and happen both to stand in the fall of the verse (of which we shall speak presently); for which reasons they must both be of the same quantity, and short. This is certaiuly a summary mode of proceeding; but would the poet agree to it, or has he no right to state, that, on a different principle, he had considered that foot as a trochee?
P. 154. Wienin er cinft fommen mirb. Great pains are taken to illustrate the respective power of the parts of speech, in this example. But it is like contending with a phautom. Supposing that line to be a verse, the
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three mouosyllables might eitlier be employed as a dactyl, Wemner eimft ${ }^{-\cdots}$, fommen wiro ${ }^{-\cdots}$; or if the ianbick measure were required, they would also conform to this, Wenit er, ${ }^{"}$, cinif fom "- , men wird ${ }^{"}$. This is consonant with the existing prosody: for monosyllables are common in quantity, which may be seen in the following instances. P. 183. Du uno idd. Reading these three words together, they sound like a dactyl ${ }^{-\cdots}$, the conjunction $\mathfrak{u m b}$, after the personal pronoun ou, being used short. The same conjunction, before another personal pronoun, has the appearance of being loug, if we read the following words as trochees, und er fpradi) 84 mir, $, \cdots, \cdots$ But the words, und er fprach, might also stand for an anaprost ${ }^{-\cdots}$, and the conjunction be again short. Would any poet be blamed for employing them, in either of these measures? P. 178. Bift סu es nid)t. This may be a choriambick ${ }^{-\cdots-}$, or a double iambick ${ }^{\cdots \cdots-}$. Will any impartial and competent judge deny it? Bit if it be admitted, what becomes of the new system? Dut bift; is, by means of the declamatory accent, generally pronounced as an iambick ${ }^{*}$-. But in the example p. 176: Du bift meine Suverfidt unt Starfe, that accent being changed, those words are, even by our author's confession, transformed into a trochee ${ }^{-\dagger}$. The quantity of monosyllables not ouly accommodates itself to the declamatory accent, but to the will of the poet, in general. P. 191, it is maintained, that, in a passage from $U z$, the words slicg' idt, and giflt auf, cannot be iambicks, because the pronoun id cannot be long, by the side of flieg, which is a verb, nor the preposition auf, will fâllt; which is likewise a verb. But for this assertion we have no ground, excepting the presumed validity of tie theory, now under examination.
P. 228. Du beffen 2ugen flofien. Though this verse is iambick $\cdots \cdots$, the first foot is declared to be a spondee, (Du bef ${ }^{--}$: for, one pronoun, it is said, ought not to be short against another pronoun. Thus p. 229, Die melde bidh gebahr, in which, Die wel is considered as a spondee, for the same reason. But where do we meet with these laws? I believe only in the treatise, of which we have been speaking.
I have now to advert to what our author terms the rise and fall of syllables. Whien a syllable is made short in a verse, by the influence of one longer, which precedes, that syllable is said to fall: when long, in consequence of a shorter one being before it, it is said to rise*. A syllable, which is not of itself long, may be prevented from falling into absolute brevity, by one following, which is shorter: it is, as it were, supported by the latter. In the prosody of all languages, there are different degrees of length and shortnesst: so that one syllable, which is short, may appear long, by standing next to another, which is shorter; and that, which is long, may seem to be short, when compared to one, which is still longer. Of this relative quaulity of syllables, which are brought into contact, German prosody avails itself for the exigencies of metre, with an extensive latitude: and this is easy to be conceived, when we recollect the nature of quautity, in that danguage. So far, what is said concerning the rising and falling of the syllables, may be

$$
\text { * See from p. } 212 \text { to } 215 \text {, and p. } 219,220,226 .
$$

+ This has been long ago observed by Dionysius Hali-
 (Vol. V. p.87. ed. Reiske) says, that une short syllable may exceed another short syllable in shortness.

allowed to be right: but when it is connected with the favourite notion, of syllables significant and less significant, and with the peculiar arrangement, which our author makes of the parts of speech, our assent to the truth of his proposition must remain limited.

That, amelioration and a proper degree of refinement may be introduced into versification, as well as into any other species of human art, we do not mean to deny: but a total change of what exists, an overthrow of what has been long and firmly established, in short, an entire innovation of principle, is not to be mistaken for improvement. It is to this that we object: it is on this ground chiefly, that we have opposed Mr. M.'s system. We would willingly agree with him in any suggestion, that, without sapping the foundation, on which German prosody has always stood, would contribute to the beauty, and perfection, of measure and harmony; but we ought to go no farther. For example, his observation, p. 208, that it adds to the sweetness and fluency of verse, when a final syllable, which is used short, and ends in a consonaint, is followed by one beginning with a vowel, is unexceptionable. Thus, Simmel auf Erben, runs along more smoothly, than $\mathfrak{W e c t}$ jel Deణె Schidfatz, though, in both, the syllable el is equally short. 'This is more strongly perceptible, when a final syllable, with the demi-accent, is to be used short, and is followed by a consonant. The words, Freikeit ber Seele: Яettung
 $\cdots,-\cdots$, with the ambiguous syllables, keit and ung, short, and consonants following after them, fall more heavily upon the ear, and disengage themselves with more difficulty, than these: Reid)thum und (Ebre, Freundid)aft im İODe; in which the syllables, thum aud (d)aft, though of
the same nature with beit and ung, pass off easily, and without impediment, because they light upon vowels. Such niceties, and elegancies, may, wilh great justice, be recommended to the attention of those who write verse, though they are not to be enforced by law and rule.

It remains now, that I should add a specimen of poetry, to which the foregning remarks may be applied : for which purpose I have chosen an Ode of Klopstock*, in rhymeless metre. It consists of iambicks, alternately of 4 and 3 feet.


## Seintid Der Sogler,

Der Freino ift ba! Die Salacht beginut! Sohlauf zum Sieg' herber! (5) fưbret uns ber befte Mann N゙m gangen Baterlano!

Seut fühlet er die Suranfleit niobt,
Dort tragen fie ibn her!
Seeil, Seinrich! heil dir Šelo uno Mann
Jim eifernen (1) Grefilo!

* Klopstock's Works, Vol. I. p. 75. Leipzig edition, 1798, 8vo.
(1) en is an accentless syllable, one that is naturally short; yet it is here used long, by that licence, to which, as has been mentioned, the poets have recourse, without scruple.
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Sein 2ntlif gluht nor Ebrbegier,
Und berridt ben ©ieg berken!
Shton if um ihn ber ©blen Scelm
Mit Jeinoezblut keprikt.

Streu (2) furdtbar ©trablen um bid her, ©dbert (3) in Des ふaifers ફand, Dā̃ alles tootlid) (4) ธ̌cido Den Weg vorúbergeh!

Willfommen $\mathfrak{x o d}$ firt3 $\mathfrak{F a t e r l a n o ! ~}$ Wenn unjer finfend Saupt Schon sblut bebedf, bann fterben mir Mit $\Re u \mathfrak{M m}$ fúrگ $\mathfrak{B a t e r l a n D ! ~}$
$\mathfrak{W e n n}$ (5) vor unล wirt ein ofnes felo Uno (6) wir nur æobte fehn
(2) Streu, a veib, short before furchtbar, an adverb; which is a violation of Moriz's theory: for the verb ranks above the adverb, and, therefure, shunld be long, when standing with the latter. What is to be done? Are we to read the first foot as a spondee ${ }^{--}$, instead of an iambick ${ }^{--}$? This, I think, would be recommended by Mr. M. But did the poet intend it so?
(3) Schwert in "-, a deviation from that system, still more alarming! The substantive, the prince of the parts of speech, short befure the preposition, one of the lower plebeians! Inspossible! Yet so it seems. Better destruy the metre, and read Schucert in des ${ }^{-\cdots}$, as a dactyl or at least ${ }^{- \text {- }}$, as a trochee. But has the poet acknowledged your laws, and authorized you to do so?
(4) e, a must accentless syllable, is employed here with a long quantity.
(5) Wenn vor, "- a conjunction short before a preposition, which is against M.'s system. Query, ought it to be read ${ }^{--}$? Ask the puet.
(6) Und wir, ", a conjunction short hefure a pronoun, a similar irregularity with the preceding one.
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$\mathfrak{W e i t}$（7）um uns her，bann fiegen wir Mit $\Re$ Rubm fưrß $\mathfrak{B a t e l l a n o ! ~}$

Dann treten wir mit hobem Saritt Xuf Leid）namen（8）Daher！
Dann jaudzen mir im Siegşeffley！ Das geht Durd gark und Bein！

Unę preift mit frohem Ungeftům
Der Bráutgam und die Braut；
Er fieht die bobnen Fahnen mefn， Und brưaft igr fanft bie Şano，

Uno foridit zu ihr：Da fommen fie， Die 爪riegesigotter，her！
Sie fritten in ber keiffen S（h）ladt
2イuめ）fůt unz beiøe mit．
Unz preif ber freubenthrånen yole Dic Mutter，und ihr Rino！ Sie oruact ben Sinaben an ibr Şerz， Uno fieht dem תaifer nady．
unz folgt cin $\Re$ Ruhm，ber ewig bleibt， MSennwir geftorben finb，
Geftorben fur bas ：Saterlano
Den efren wollen æoo！
（7）Weit um ${ }^{-}$，an adverb short before a preposition！A difficulty even greater，than the two former ones．
（8）en，an accentless syllable long：that which precedes it，nam，has the demi－accent，and is here used short．

## CHAPTER II.

## ON THE NOUN.

CONTAINING

1. The Article.
2. The Gender.
3. The Declension of Substantives.
4. The Declension of Adjectives.
5. The Comparison of Adjectires.
6. The Numerals.

## SECTION I.

${ }^{\circ}$ THE ARTICLE.
There are two articles in the German language, as in the English, the definite, which answers to the English the, (the man); and the indefinite, answering to a or an, (a house, an oak).

The English aricles are not declined: but the German are varied, in their terminations, by four cases, the nominative, genitive, dative, and accusative.

The definite article has two numbers, the singular and the plural. The indefinite can, from its nature, only have the singular number.

In the singular, both the articles have distinct endings, for the three genders of grammar, the masculine, the feminine, and neuter. In the plural of the definite, one termination serves for all.

## DEFINITE ARTICLE.

## Singular.

Nominative. Der, Die, Naz, the (man). (
Genitive. Dez, Der, Dez, of the. Dative. Dem, Der, Dem, to the. Accusalive. Den, Die, Daż, the.

Plural.


INDEFINITE ARTICLE.
Singular.
Nom. Ein, Eine, Ein, Neut. (man).
Geu. Eines, Esiner, Eines̉, of a.
Dat. Einem, Einer, Einem, to a.
Acc. Einen, Eine, Ein, a.

No Plural.

## SECTION II.

THE GENDER.

The Nouns Substantive are distinguished by thrce genders, the masculine, the feminine, and the neuter: each of which, as has been stated in the foregoing section, has, in the singular number, its appropriate article. We can, therefore, not join a substantive with an article; nor, as afterward will appear, with an adjective or a pronoun, unless we are certain of its gender. It serves besides, as will be seen, as a criterion for the declension. For these reasons, it seems to be proper, previously to discuss that subject, before we speak of the substantives, the adjectives, and pronouns.

It is an unfortunate circumstance, that this part of Grammar, which is so inportant and necessary, for correctness of speech, should be so little encompassed by general laws, as it actually is, in the German language. The rules are very few, while the exceptions, and irregularities, are exccedingly numerous, of most words the gender is only to be acquired by individual experience, and it is, therefore, to be recommended to the foreigner, that, whenever he learns any new substantive, he should be anxious, at the same time, accurately to impress upon his mind the proper article, belouging to it.
> A. OF THE MASCULINE GENDER, are

1. All male names and appellations: as, Der Mrant, the man; Der Sater, the father; Der תontig, the king,
\&c. Except diminulives, which are neuters; and some compound words, in which the last component is of a
 bild, both denoting a man; from bie $\mathfrak{P l e r f o n}$, the person, feminine, and $\mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{B i l d}$, the figure, neuter.
2. The name of God; the names of angels, and demous, (as ber ©ngel, the angel; ber $\mathfrak{T}$ eufel, the devil, \&cc.) ; of winds, (as ber MRorbmint, the north wind; ber Submino, the south wind, \&c.); of seasons, (as ber Frutbling, the spring; ber ©ommer, the summer, \&c.); of months, and days, (as Der ञanuar, January; ber Fe= bruar, February; Der Mlontag, Monday; ber Dienftag, Tuesday, \& cc .)-Daj $\mathfrak{S a h r}$, the year, is neuter, whence bas $\mathfrak{F r u ́ h i a h r , ~ a ~ s y n o n o m o u s ~ t e r m ~ f o r ~ s p r i n g , ~ i s ~ a l s o ~ n e u - ~}$ ter; and Die $\mathfrak{J a h r}$ zeit, the season, feminine.
3. The names of stones: as, ber ふies, the flint; ber Diamant, or Demant, the diamond; Der Smarago, the emerald; ber Snyt, the onyx ; ber Saphir, the sapphire ; ber 凡ubin, the ruby, \&c.
4. All words ending in ing and ling: except bas Ding, the thing; Dā̧ Mrefining, brass; (by some called Der Meffing.)
5. Most substantives ending in er. Except, among others, the following: bas Mefier, the knife ; baş $\Re u=$ Der, the oar ; Die Folter, the rack, an engine of torture; die Salfiter, the halter, a cord, or strap, to tie up horses; die Feder, the pen; Die תelter, the wine-press; dic slammer, a hook for holding things together, cramp; bie Sllapper, the rattle, an instrument; bie \&eiter, the ladder; Die ©dfleuber, a sling to throw with; Die Siter, the guitar, \&ec.
[^38]
## 6. Many words ending in en. Exceptions numerous.

* a. The following: Daz 2flmofen, alms; Das Becfen, the basin; Das Eifen, the iron; Dab Sifien, the cushion;
 arms; bаร̆ 3ciden, the sigu. b. The infinitive moods, when turned into substantives. They are of the neuter gender: as, Das (beljen, the going, or walking; bas Reiten, the riding; Das Efien, the eating, or the meal; Dақ $\mathfrak{I r i n f e n , ~ t h e ~ d r i n k i n g , ~ o r ~ d r i n k , ~ \& c . ~ T o ~ w h i c h ~}$
 bredjen, the failing; Das Eeiben, the suffering ; bas §er= gnigen, the pleasure; das $\mathfrak{B e r m o g e n , ~ t h e ~ p o w e r ~ o f ~}$ doing a thing, also estate, or fortune ; Das 33crbalten, the demeanour, conduct; Das 刃orbaben, the purpose, intention; dab Wjefen, the being. For these are, properly speaking, the infinitives of certain verbs. c. The diminutives in en, are neuters.

7. Some words begiming with the prefix ge, and being derivatives: as, Der Gedanfe, Der Geffáhrte, Der Gehuilfe, Der Gebrauch, Der (Gehalt, Der Geehorfan, Der Selaj, ber Genole, Der ©enuß, Der (Seruch), Der (Sefamadf, Der (se= ftank, Der Gefelle, Der Geminn, Der Geminft, Der Sefpant, ber ©eppiele, Der Gebrauch, Der Gejang, ber Gsebatter.
B. of the feminine gender, are
8. All female names and appellations: as, Die శrau, the woman; die Mutter, the mother; bie Firtitinn, the princess, \&cc. Except a. The diminutives, which are neuters. b. Das Wgeib, the woman, or wife; Баร Menid), a low, common woman. And these compounds :

male, a lady; ฉа๖ Щeibejftưd, an expression of contempt, for a woman.
9. The names of trees, fruits, and flowers, when ending in e: as, bie (Fid)e, the oak; bie Fiddte, the pine; bie Buche, the beech; bie æame, the fir; bie ßirme, the pear; bie Spllaume, the plum; bie $\Re$ Rofe, the rose; Die $\mathfrak{R e l f e}$, the pink. Foreign names keep their original gender: as, Da૬̧ Geranium, the geranium.
10. The names of rivers: as, Die ©lbe, the Elbe; bie Wefer, the Weser; Die Donau, the Danube; Die æhemie, the Thames; Die $\mathfrak{x i b e r}$, the Tiber. Except the following: Der 2rnio, Der Dnjeper, Der Dnjefter, Der Don, Der Douro, ber ©bro, Der Euphrat, ber sin, ber $\mathfrak{L e c}$ ), ber 刃lain, ber Minho, ber গecfer, ber Mil,

11. All words, ending in ey (or ei), heit, keit, schaft, and ung: as, Die $\Re$ Rajerey, madness ; Die Freibeit, freedom, liberty; Die $\mathfrak{R u f t b a r f e i t , ~ t h e ~ e n t e r t a i n m e n t , ~ p a r t y ~}$ of pleasure; bie Gejellfdaft, company, society; Die Beitung, the tiding. Except: Der Brey, the pap, pulp; Das (Ey), the egg; bas ©sefdrey, the clamour; Der ভdaft, the wooden part of a spear, also the stock of. a gun; Der ভprung, the leap.
12. All substantives, ending in $e$, which are derived from adjectives: as, bie Rånge, the length, from lang, long; Die (Groóne, the magnitude, size, from gro ${ }^{\text {B }}$, great; bie ( $\mathfrak{i l}$ ite, the goodness, from gut, good; bie Sdpwere, the weight, heaviness, from fdhwer, heavy.
13. Many other words in e: as, Die Gdeere, the scissars; bie תake, the cat; Die §ráhe, the crow; Die Mutte, the cap; bie Wefte, the waistcoat.
14. Words in ucht, and unft: as, bie Bucht, the bay; Die $\mathfrak{F l}(\mathrm{ud})$ t, the flight; Die frudit, the fruit; Die Sudt,
unreasonable zeal, or passion, mania ; bir $3 u$ udt, discipline, also the breeding of cattle; Die Sunft, a corporaration, guild; Die $\mathfrak{Z n f u n f t , ~ t h e ~ a r r i v a l . ~}$
15. Words in muth: as, Dic Xrmuth, poverty; Dic (3ropmuth, unagnanimity -though some express them by different genders: as, Das 2(rmuth), ber (Grofmuth). Der Sुodmuth, hauglitiness, is, I believe, always used as a masculine.
16. Several in niss: as, סie ßebrángni $\bar{\beta}$, distress, or embarrassment; bie ßegegniß, occurrence, fate; Die Seforgnía, apprebension; Die Betruibniß́ß, sorrow; Die Empfangniz, conception, the act of becoming pregnant; Die Erfenntní̄, the knowledge; Die Erlaubniß́, the permission; bie EErparni ${ }^{\text {B }}$, savings, (according to some, a neuter); bie fablniß, clattel, (also used as a neuter); Dic đ̃áulniß́, putridity; Die תenntniß́, knowledge; bie Rummernís, grief; Die Finfterniß, the darkness; Die Berbammniß́, damnation; Die Willoniß, the wilderness. But as many, or more, of this termination, are neuters; and in some the gender is ambiguous.
17. Some words, beginuing with ge, being, for the most part, derivatives: as, Die (Sebuihr, the due, the fee; bie (Geburt, the birth; die Gedulo, patience; Die Sefahr, the danger; Die Genuge, the state of being satis-
 mour; Die Gepitalt, the form, or shape; Die Giewalt, the force, or power.

## C. OETHE NEUTER GENDER, are

1. The letters of the alphabet: as, $D_{a \leq 2} \mathcal{X} ; D_{\alpha \xi} \mathfrak{B}$.
2. The uames of metals: as, DaĖ (50lo, the gold; bas Sitber, the silver; Das Rupfer, the copper. Except

Die Solatina，platina；Der Stahl，the steel ；Der R2ombadf， the pinchbeck；Der 3 inf ，zink．

3．The names of countries and places，when the gen－ der is discernible from a word，connected with them， which may be the arlicle，the adjective，or the pronoun＊： as，baş aufgeflarte Eヒuropa，enlightened Europe；Dаร gefittete פeutid）land，refined Germany；Das reidje Zonoon，the rich town of London．Except：Die ভdymeit，Switzerland；Die spfalz，the Palatinate；and those which end in ey：as，bie æ̌irffey，Turkey．

4．Words ending in thum：as，bas furftenthum，the principality；Das Chriftenthum，christianity．Except： Der §rtthum，the errour ；Der 凡eidthum，the riches．

5．The infinitive moods，which have acquired the na－ ture of substantives，by the article prefixed：as，bac ©chen，Das 凡eiten，Das Effen，the going，the riding， the eating．t

6．All parts of speech，not being substantives，when accompanied with the article，to point them out，are assigned to this gender：as，Daj Jit），the（worl）I；Dab Und，the（word）and；Dase Grinn，the（colour）green； bas Sthwarz，the（colour）black ；Das ঙund ber Eroe， the round（i．e．the globe）of the earth；bab leberoogl， the farewell．

7．All diminutives are neuters：to which belongs Das Naidthen，the girl，or maid；being the diminutive of

[^39]$\dagger$ See p．326，420，

Die Mragb, the maid.* (How the diminutives are formed, will be shewn in the second declension.)
8. Several words beginning with ge: as, Das Gebailf,











 Das ふeugnī.

## ADDITIONAL REMARKS ON THE GENDER.

I. If we investigate the origin of gender, it will appear, that it is to be looked for in an assimilation of the objects, signified by words, to the animated part of creation. As in this there are two sexes, so the infant mind of man distinguished those objects by two genders. Some, to which might be attributed qualities like those, that are observed in the male sex of living beings, such as greatnees, strength, activity, \&c. were marked by the male, or masculine gender: others, in which properties, opposite to the former, such as inferior size, comparative weakness, passiveness, \&c. were noticed,

[^40]had the female, or feminine gender assigned to them. Thus two divisions were made, under which nouns substantive might be classed. Several nations, and the German, among others, added a third, called the neuter gender; comprehending words, that did not exactly coincide with the characteristicks of the two former. It contained, for instance, those with which the comparison of the male and female sex could not well be associated. Of this kind are, in German, the names of some animals, of which the male and female are discriminated, by appropriate denominations. For example, Das Woferb, the horse, is of the neuter gender, being the appeltative for the equine species, of which the male is called ber Şengit, the stallion, and the female bie Stute, the mare. Thus Dab ßind, denoting the bovine kind, has the same gender; the male being termed ber ©tier, (or Der Љulle,) the bull, and the female Dic תub, the cow. Likewise Daj Sdimein, the swine ; Der Eber, (or Der Sempe) the boar ; Dic Sau, the sow: Das Scubn, the fowl; ber Şahn, the cock; bie Šenne, the hen. There is a similar appellative for the human species, viz: Der Menid), Lat. homo; the subdivisons are, Der $\mathfrak{M a n n}$, the man; Die $\mathfrak{F r a u}$, the woman. This, however, as appears from the article, is not of the neuter, but of the masculine gender; which seems to be a preeminence given to it, above the brute creation. We may, upon this occasion, remark a singularity in the word $\mathrm{Da} \mathrm{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{W}} \mathfrak{W} \mathrm{cib}$, a woman, which is a neuter. I conjecture, that it was introduced into the language, subsequent to another word of the same signification; and that, for this reason, it was not received in the class of femiuines, its place being already occupied: or a particular idea might, originally, be annexed to it, for which it was deemed unworthy of
that gender. As those words, of which we have been speaking, were referred to the neuter gender, because a comparison of sex was not combined with them: so the following belong to the same, as general names; the male, and female sex being expressed by subordinate appellations. Das $\mathfrak{F i n d}$, the child, signifying the offspring of the human species, without implying the sex ; das $\mathfrak{a m m}$, the lamb; Das fitllen, the foal; Das Ralb, the calf; bas ferfel, a young pig; bas ભůchen, a chicken, or young fowl. In all these words, no regard is bad to sex, and, therefore, they are of the neuter gender.
The diminutives are nenters, without any exception. The notion, upon which this circumstance is founded, seems to be, that, as the diminutives are not quite the same as their primitives, whether masculine, or feminine, but differ in their qualities, by being smaller, a different gender should be allotted to them.

Abstract terms cannot easily be made to square with the idea of sex. In consequence of this, they are ascribed to the neuter gender: as, Das Geben, the going; bas Æciten, the riding.

Those notions were partly modified, and partly set aside, when language became more cultivated, and the human mind more enlarged. We must, however, have recourse to them, if we wish to unravel, in some degree, the intricacies, with which this subject is aecompanied.
II. The gender, we have said, had its source in considering alk objects as animate, and in per onifying them, according to the sexes, existing in creation. But it does not thence follow, that different individuals, or different nations, should associate the same idea with the same object, or personify it in the same manner. My imagi-
nation may represent to me, as most striking, such properties, in the object, as qualify it for one sex ; while the perception of my neighbour may be attracted by those characteristicks, which induce him to reter it to the other. The grammatical genders will consequently be different. This observation will tend to solve some problems, in the German tongue, which otherwise it would be difficult to explain.

The Sun, for instance, is, in most languages, of the masculine; and the Moon of the feminine gender. In the German, it is the reverse: Die Some, the sun, is feminine; and Der Mlond, the moon, masculine. How is this to be accounted for? It would be as unsatisfactory, as mphilosophical, to say, that it is accidental, and that no reason can be given for so strange an anomaly. The fact seems to be this:' Most nations personified the Sun as a male, and the Moon as a female being; but the Germans have inverted it. The thing, therefore, depends upon the mode, in which the object was originally conceived, or imagined. We see this in another instance, namely, the names of rivers. The greatest number of them are, in German, of the feminine gender; and why? because the imagination represented them as female beirgs. The German language, accordingly, would suggest Goddesses of rivers, where the Greek, Roman, and others, exhibited Gods. In these, we may hear of Father Tiber, Father Thames, while in the former it would be proper to say, Alma Mater, Mother Tiber, and Mother Thames.This might perhaps be farther illustrated, by an euquiry into the early history of the German nation, and their customs.
III. It has been stated, concerving the names of animals, that where there is an appellative for the species, besides the sexual denomination of the individuals, that appellative is always of the neuter gender. But when there is mo such appellation, the species takes the name of one of the individual sexes; that is to say, is either of the masculine, or of the feminine gender. This seems to be regulated on the pinciples alluded to: namely, where the idea of strength, superior size, and the like, may be attached, it is the masculine; and on the other hand, where comparatvie weakness, smalluess, or delicacy of body, is intimated, it is the feminine gender. Thus, when a dog and a cat are compared, the former will deserve the masculine, and the latter the feminine gender; aud of a hawk and a pigeon, the first should be the masculine, and the secoud the feminine. This comparison is not to be traced in every instance, but it seems, nevertheless, to be the basis, upon which the gender is founded, in words of a similar nature.

The name of the species, therefore, includes, at the same time, one of the two sexes; the other must then be distinguished by a particular ending, or by the aldition of a characteristick term, such as of birds, ber Sonn, the cock; bie Senne, the hen; or of all animals, birds and quadrupeds, baş Mannden, the male; Das $\mathfrak{W e c i b d}) \mathrm{n}$, the female; and the adjectives manmlid), weiblid, mate, female.

With respect to the endiugs, which denote the sexes, it is inn for the feminine, when the masculine constitutes the name of the species. That termination answers to the Euglish ess. Examples: Der Lofve, the lion; bie Qobinn, the lioness ; Der Sunt, the dog ; Die Şun= Dinn, the female dog; ber $\mathfrak{B o l f}$, the wolf; Die $\mathfrak{B o l f}$ (inn,
the she wolf; Der Efel, the ass; Die Ejelinn, the she ass. In some, however, it is not usual, as in ber Fuid)z, the fox; Der Safe, the hare ; Der Bår, the bear: of which it is not the common practice to employ, Die Ffict $=$ finn, bie Şafinn, die $\mathfrak{B a}$ åinn. Consequently the terms, above mentioned, Mainnden, Weibeten; mánnlidh, weib= lidd, or similar words of discrimination, must be called in aid. - If the feminine gender serve for the appellation of the species, the male sex assumes the terminntion er, or rich: as, Die $\mathfrak{J a u b e}$, the dove, or pigeon; Der $\mathfrak{T} a u=$ ber, (or æáuber,) the male; Die (Sjans, the goose; Der Grnjer, or Banjerich, the gander; Die Ente, the duck; Der Enter, or Enterict, the drake; Die Sake, (old, Die Sat) the cat ; Der Sater, the he-cat.
IV. From the above, the termination $i n n^{*}$ has been transferred to certain altributes, and titles, (and occasionally, even to proper names), to denote the feminine gender. Examples: Der תoinig, the king; Die ふóniginn, the queen; Der Serzog, the duke; Die Serzoginn, the duchess; Der Fiuft, the prince; bie Firftinn, the princess; Der Spring, the som of a sovereign, the prince; Die かringefinn, the daughter of a sovereign, the prin-

[^41]cess; Der ©dhafer, the shepherd; die Sdaferinn, the shepherdess. As it is the custom, in Germany, for the wife to share the title of her husband, the ending inn is addapted to that purpose: for, instance, Der Doctor, the doctor; Die Doctorim, the doctor's wife; Der ghro= feflor, the professor; die sprofefforinn, the professor's wife; Der $\Re$ Rath, the counsellor ; Die 凡athinn, the counsellor's wife. In'addressing the gentlemen, you would say, Seerr Doctor, Seerr Profefior, Şerr ఇath, Mr. Doctor, Mr. Professor, Mr. Counsellor: and the ladies, Ərau soctorinn, grau Ðrofeliorinn, grau $\mathfrak{R a}=$ thinn, Mrs. Doctor, Mrs. Professor, Mrs. Counsellor.
V. It may be observed, that many words have the gender of their common appellatives. For example, the names of flowers are feminine, and the word bic shlume, the flower, is likewise of that gender: the names of stones are masculine, and so is ber Steint, the stone, their common appellative.
VI. Compound words take the gender of their last component, if it be a substantive : as, Der תirchenrath, the ecclesiastick council, from bic תiirib), the church, and Der $\Re$ §th, the council ; Das §iathhaus, the councilhouse, the senate-house, from Dcr શiath, and bab Seaub, the house ; Der 刃naubitab, a ruler for measuring, from Drẻ Mank, the measure, and Der Stab, the ruler; ber (Eidbbum, the oak-tree, from bie (Fidhe, the oak, and Der Saum, the tree; Die Mannsperfon, a man, from Der Mam, the man, and bic gerion; bas Mannebith, the man, from ber פ) Ram, and Das Bilo ; bas frauen= jimmer, the female, the lady, from bie grau, and bas Simmer ; das Mreitpfert, the saddle-horse, from the verb
reiten, to ride, and baß $\mathfrak{y j f e r b}$, the horse. There are, however, some exceptions: as, Das ఔagelohn, wages for a day's labour ; Das Madherlohn, wages for making any thing, such as clothes; Daş Drudferlohn, the printer's wages: which words are, generally, used as neuters, although the last component, ber $\mathfrak{\Omega} \mathfrak{f n n}$, the wages, is of the masculine gender. Yet in others, composed with the same, its gender is retained: as, ber 20tbcitb= lohn, wages for work; ber Ginadenlohn, the pension for past services; Der W̌uterlobn, wages of a person who watches, or guards, any thing; Der Diemfllohn, wages for service. Likewise some words, compounded with ber Mut), the disposition of the mind, deviate in their gender: as, Dic (3rofmuth, magnanimity; Dic Sileimmuth, pusillanimity; Dic ©anftmuth, gentleness of disposition; Die Sdipermuth, the melancholy; Die Wefmuth, tenderness of feeling; Die Demuth, humility, Die $\mathfrak{L a n g m u t h}$, forbearance. Others, of the same kind, do not change the gender of their primitive: as, ber Sodmuth, haughtiness; Der EDelmuth, nobleness of disposition; ber (Sleid)muth, equanimity; ber Wanfel= muth, a wavering disposition; ber Selbemuth, an heroick disposition; Der عofwermuth, a lion-like spirit; ber 3weifelmuth, a disposition to despair.
VII. Words, assumed from foreign languages, oúght to preserve the gender of their originals: as, Der ©anal, the canal, from the Latin canalis, masculine; das © $a=$ crament, the sacrament, from the Latin sacramentum, neuter; bie Speriode, the period, from periodus, feminine. But this is not always regarded : for they say, Daz \&abyrinth, neuter, though it cones from labyrinthus,
masculine*; Das (5b)r, the quire, which is derived from chorus, masculine. Frequently ber 3epter, (or ©cpp= ter,) for bas 3epter ; though the latter, as is it in use, is far preferable, because the word is a neuter in the Latiu language, from which it is taken. Genie, genius, mental excellence, adnpted from the French le génie, and derived from the Latin ingenium, is commonly, and very properly, used in the neuter gender. Wieland makes it a masculine, probably under the notion, that it came from the Latin genius : but this is evidently a mistake.
VIII. The Gender marks, in several words, a difference of signification. For example:
$\mathfrak{D e r} \mathfrak{B a n b}$, signifies the binding of a book, and a volume; Bas Band, a ligament, bond, union.
Ser Baucr, the peasant;
Tas :Bautr, the bird-cage.
Der Buffel (or פuufel,) the back, also the hump;
Dic Bucfel, the stud, or knob.
Ser $\Re$ Bulfe, the mate of a cow;
Die $\mathfrak{B u l l}$, a letter published by the Pope.
§er ßunb, a covenant, league, confederacy;
$\mathfrak{B a} \mathfrak{B}$ unb, things tied together, a sleaf, bundle.
Ser Erbe, the heir;
Das Erbe, the inheritance.
Ser Geifiel, an hostage;
Die Geiffl, a scourge.

[^42]Der (Gercho $\overline{3}$, the tax, impost;
Daz (5ejchoß́, a missile weapon.
Die ©ift, the gift;
Das (sift, the poison.
Der Šarz, the Hercynian forest ;
Das Şarz, rosin.
Der Seibe, the pagan;
Die Seide, the heath.
Der Raper, a privateer;
Sie Saper, the caper, a vegetable, Caparis Spirosa, Linn.
Der Riefer, the jaw;
Die ふiefer, a sort of fir.
Der $£$ eiter, the guide;
Die \&eiter, the ladder.
Die গranoel, the almond ;
Das Mrandel, a number of fifteen*.
Der Mangel, the want, deficiency;
Die Mangel, a machine for dressing linent.
Die Mart, the mark, boundary ;
Das গlark, the marrow.
(Der Mrarich, the march;
Die Marich, a marsh, fen.
Der Maft, the mast of a vessel;
Die Mraft, the fruit of the oak and beech, and the feed-
ing of the swine thereon.
Der গ(enid $)$, one of the human species;
DaE Menicd, an opprobrious term-for a female.

[^43]Der Meffer, he that measures;
Das smefler, the knife.
Der Mohr, a moor, a black man; also a sort of silk;
Das かRokr, the fen, bog.
Der $\operatorname{Drt}$, the place;
Das 5 rt , a cerlain coin, also a cerlain measure.
Der $5 \mathfrak{D m}$, (for Sheim,) the uncle ;
Das 5 Dm , a certain measure for wine.
Der Æieiş, the rice;
Daj Яei૬̧, a twig, sprig, small branch.
Der Schild, the shield;
Das Sdhild, the sign of a house.
Der See, the lake;
Die See, the sea.
Der Sprofle, the shoot, sprout;
Die Sproffe, the step, or round, of a ladder.
Der Stift, a piece of wire, a thin peg, or bolt;
Daz Stift, an ecclesiastical establishment, ecclesiastical territory.
Der なૅfor, a foolish, silly person;
Dav æhor, the gate.
Der Soll, the toll ;
Dab Soll, an inch.
IX. There remains an uncertainty, in the gender, with regard to some words: as, Der Mittwochert, Welnesday, and Die Mittwoche; Der 2(Itar, the altar, and Daz
 (Sy) ps. - It would not be worth our while to enumerate all the deviations, which may be found in the different provinces of Germany.

## S ECTION III.

THE DECLENSION OF SUBSTANTIVES.

The nouns substantive are most conveniently arranged under four declensions. By this division, the great advantage is obtained, of enabling the student, at the first view of any word, accompanied by its article, to refer it, inmediately, to its proper mode of inflection. This is of the utmost importance, and not to be accomplished by any other method. It removes the labour of learning the declension of every substantive individually, by subjecting the whole body of them to a few plain rules*.

* This system of the declensions is entirely my own, and I will not hesitate to say, that I regard it as one of the most important, and valuable parts of the Grammar. I succeeded in establishing it, after much reflection, having strongly felt the defectiveness of other grammars, in this particular. They furnish a certain number of declensions, more or less, from two to ten; but none of them enables you to determine, how any substantive you may meet with, is to be declined. None has arrived at fixing this criterion, which is of so much consequence, that, without it, any scheme of deciension can be but of little use. By the method laid down, in the subsequent pages, all uncertainty, as to this matter, is removed: and long observation and experience have proved, how fully it answers its purpose. Some of the Criticks; by whonn the former editions of this work have been reviewed, have not been aware of the nature of. the suhject, on which they were passing their judgment, and have seen nothing in it but an arbitrary innovation, or the substitution of one number of declensions for another. They did not perceive. that, far from caprice or fancy hav-


## THE FIRST DECLENSION

contains all the nouns substantive of the feminine gender ; and is thus formed:

In the Singular number, The terminations remain unaltered.

In the Plural, The following additions are made to the termination of the singular, viz. of
$n$, throughout all the cases, if the singular end in e, el, er.
$e n$, if it end in any vowel but $e$, or in any consonant, with the exception of monosyllabick words, and the terminations el and er.
e, in monosyllables, ending with a consonant, for all cases, excepting the dative, which has the termination en.
ing suggested the alteration, it was necessity, that prompted it. And if that necessity has been successfully relieved, the merit of the contrivance, by which that was effected, is not to be denied. If those persons failed to appreciate it, it must be ascribed to their ignorance, or their inattention. I have, in the second edition, mentioned a German Reviewer, who has thus exposed his failings. I do nut know, whether I should advert to an objection, which has been made, that our system of declensions renders a multiplicity of exceptions necessary. These exceptions, it will be found, are not more numerous, than might be expected in the framing of any rules, in a language like the German: and though they should add to the difficulties of the learner, yet they do not diminish the great and paramount utility of the system. If, before, there were no exceptions, this is easily explained: for neither were there any rules. In a language that is much cultivated, and used, exceptions always abound. This is judiciously observed, by Mr. Adelung, in his Orthography, p . 16. It is in its infancy, that a language is most regular, and most swayed by analogy.

Note. The plurals of bie Mutter, the mother, and bie Fodter, the daughter, are irregular, viz. nom. gen. acc.


## the second declension

contains all the masculines and neuters, ending in el, em, er, (not those in eer, or err,) and the neuters in e.

Its inflection consists in an addition of $s$ to the genitive singular, and of $n$ to the dative plural. - Words ending in $n$, to not require the superaddition of this letter, in the dative plural.

## the third declension

contains all those words, which end in $e$ and $s t$, which are of the masculine gender, and of more than one syllable. But besides these, some others, which are to be enumerated on a subsequent page, of different terminations, though all of the masculine gender, belong to this declension. One neuter only las found a place among them, uamely, Das Scerz, the heart.

All the cases, both of the Singular and the Plural, wilh the exception of the nominative singular, are made by adding to the latter, when ending in $e$ (or $a r$, eir) the letter $n$; and en, when ending in $s t$, (or in some other consonamt).-Sometimes the genilive singular receives the accession of $s$, so as to terminate in $n s$, or ens.

## THE FOURTH DECLENSION

contains all those masculines, and neuters, which are not comprehended in the second, and third declensions.

In the Singular, the genitive is made, by adding es to the nominative : the dative, by adding $e$; and the accusative is like the nominative.
In the Plural, most words form their cases by an addition of $e$, for the nominative, genitive, and accusative, of en for the dative, to the nominative singular.
Several neuters, especially those of one syllable, and a few masculines, instead of $e$, and $e n$, assume the syllables er, and ern, in the cases alluded to.
Note. In the genitive singular, $s$ simple is occasionally substituted for es ; and $e$, in the dative, omitted.

## GENERAL RULES.

I. The dative plural, in all declensions, terminates with the letter $n$.
II. The vowels $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{u}$; and the diphthong $\mathfrak{a u}$, of the singular number, are, in the plural of many words, changed into the diphthongs, $\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{u}$, and $\mathfrak{a} u$. This applies
a. To the monosyllables of the first declension, which form the plural in e: as, Die Şant, pl. bie Şanoe; bic sioth, pl. bie Mothe; tie frucht, pl. bie fruidte; die fauft, bic fáuftc.
b. To several words of the second declension. See the examples, and observations, hereafter.
c. To all those nouns of the fourth, which make their plural in er, and to many of the same de-
clension, which have $e$ in that number. See the observations, p. 141.
III. 'The vocative case, in either number, is always understood to be like the nominative. It will, therefore, not be mentioued in the subsequent examples.

We now proceed to give specimens of the several declensions, and to enter into a detail, concerning each.

> FIRST DECLENSION,

Comprising all the nouns substantive of the feminine gender.

## examples.

Singular.
Plural.
Nom. Sie ©dhate, the saucer N. Die Sdhaten, the saucers
Gen. Der Schate, of the G. Ber ©dhalen, of
Dat. Der Sidale, to $\quad$ D. Sen Sdalen, to
Acc. Die ©dfate, the saucer. A. Die ভdhaten, the saucers.
Nom. Sie Feber, the pen N. Die Febern, the pens
Gen. Der Feber, of G. Ber Febern, of
Dat. Der Feber, to D. Den Febern, to
Acc. Bie Feber, the pen. A. Sie Frbern, the pens.
Nom. Die frau, the woman N. Sie frauen, the women
Gen. $\operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{F r a u}$, of G. Ber frauen, of
Dat. Der frau, to D. Sen frauen, to
Acc. Sie $\mathfrak{F r a u}$, tlie woman. A. Sie frauen, the women.

Singular.
Plaral.
Nom. Diescofinung, the hopeN. Sie5jofnmeng, the hopes
Gen. Berjofinung, of G. Eersoffnungen, of
Dat. Derşofnuig, to D. Densjofinungen, to
Acc. Biesoffnung, the hopeA. Bie Soffnungen, the hopes.
Nom. Sie Sanio, the hand N. Sie Sainde, the hands
Gen. Sar Sand of G. Der Seainde, of
Dat. Ber Sinmb, to D. Den Sainben, to
Acc. Die Šand, the hand. A. Sie Şante, the hands.
Nom. DieMtutter, the motherN. Dic Mutter, the mothers
Gen. Ser Mutter, of G. (1) M) hitter, of
Dat. Ser Mutter, to D. Sen Muttern, to
Acc. Die Mutter, the motherA. Sie Maitter, the mothers

## OBSERVATIONS.

I. Monosyllables, ending in a consonant, should, according to rule, form the plural in e, but the following are excepted: Dic $2 \mathfrak{2 r t}$, the kind; Dic $\mathfrak{B a h n}$, the career: Die Bay, a bay; dic Birn, the pear; Dic $\Re$ But, the brood; Die $\mathfrak{\Re u c h t}$, an opening in the land, a bay; bic (5b)ur, the election; bic ©ur, the cure; bic Dirn, the damsel ; bic $\mathfrak{F u h r t}$, the conveyance; Die $\mathfrak{F t u r}$, a field, a plain; bic Fluth, the flood: Die Form, the form; bie Frad), the freight; Dis (5iluth, a vehement fire; bie Sagb, the clace; Dic Raft, the burthen; dic Qift, the cuming, deceit; Dic Marf, the boundary, and a species of coin; bie झfflidt, the duty; bie झradt, the pomp;

Die Soft，the post，post－office；Die Duaal，the torment； Die Sdiaur，the crowd，troop；Die Sdllacht，the battle； Die Schrift，the writing；Die Schuld，the debt；Die Spur，the trace，track；Die Stirn，the forehead；Die What，the deed；Die $\mathfrak{L K i t ,}$ the door；Die ふrad）t，the mode of dress；Die Srift，the pasture；bie Uhr，the clock，the watch；Die $\mathfrak{W}$ abl，the choice；Die $\mathfrak{W}$ elt， the world；Die 3 abl，the number ；Die Seit，the time； Die Sier，the ornament．－All these make the plural in en， as bie 2rrten，Die Birnen，Die ぶ乌uren，Die Uhren，\＆cm Several of them are，sometimes，（but improperly），writ－ ten，and spoken，with an additional $e$ for the termina－ tion of the singular；then they do not come under this exception：for example，Birne，Dirne，Stime，ぶhire； also Uhre，and ©pure．

2．The monosyllables，in the foregoing exception，as they do not assume the termination $e$ ，in their plural， they neither adinit a change of the vowels $a, b, \mathfrak{u}$ and $a \mathfrak{u}$ ， which，according to the general rule，should be trans－ formed，in the plural of monosyllables，whose singular ends in a consonant，into the diphthongs $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}, \dot{0}, \dot{u}$ ，and $\mathfrak{a} l l$ ．

3．The word，bie Sau，a female pig，a sow，makes the plural bie Saue；but when the female of a wild boar is understood，it is by sportsmen expressed，in the plu－ ral，bic Sauen．Die Banf，signifying a bench，has $e$ in the plural，Die $\mathfrak{B a}$ anfe；but when it means a bank，where pecuniary concerus are transacted，it takes en，Die Banfen．

4．Some compound words，of two syllables，form the plural in $e$ ，with a change of vowels：as，Dic 2 rrmbrufts
the cross-bow; Die $2 \mathscr{C b f} u n f t$, descent, origiu; Dic 2 ひ Funft; information, intelligence; plur. 2 frmbrifte, $\mathfrak{2 l b}=$ $\mathfrak{k i m f t e} \mathfrak{2}$ fuifinfte. 'The reason is, that they are composed of monosyllables.
5. It seems, that formerly the termination en was given to the singular number, in the declension of feminines. For we still find remains of it, in common life, and even in some plirases, adopted by the best authorities. Under this description come the following: auf Erben, on earth, for auf ber Erbe; fit Ebyren, in honour of; von ©eiten, on the part of; vor Freuben, forjoy; 子u Sdanben werbent, to fall into dishonour, or disgrace, to be disgracefully disappointed. That termination may be said to supply the place of the article; which power it has in proper names, as will afterwards be shewn. It may be discerned in some compound words, where the article is suppressed on account of the composition, but would be used, if the composition were dissolved, and the components reduced to their simple state: for example, Der (Fbrenjdinnoer, the destroyer of honour; Daş Freubenfeft, the jubilee; Die Şillenfahrt, the descent into hell: instead of Der Sadinoer der Ebbre, Das Feft der Freube, dic Fabrt (zu) der Şulle. Thus, Frauenkild, Frauenjimmer, Blumenfaub, chrenrubrig.
6. Some words, of the first declension, are not used in the plural number. They are, for the most part, abstracted terms, and words of general import, or such, for which our ideas require no plural. 'This subject will be more amply discussed, in the additionat remarlis.
7. In some compounds, the substantives belonging to the first declension, admit the general characteristick of the genitive case, which is the letter $s$, (see p. 158, III. \& p. 331.): for example, 2(rbeitshaus, from die 2trbeit, Oddtserflarung, from Die 2dibt; SSeburtstag, from bie Geburt ; Šưffsmittel, Yưffsbedirftig, from bie Şưlfe; 2ublegungsfunft, from bie 2fuรlegung.

> SECOND DECLENSION,

Comprehending all those nouns of the masculine and neuter genders, which end in el, em, en, er; and those of the neuter gender, which end in $e$.

## EXAMPLES.

Singular.
Plural.
Nom. Der Siimmel, the N. Die Simmel, the heaheaven vens
Gen. Зes Siummels, of G. Ber Simmel, of
Dat. Sem Simmel, to D. Den Simmeln, to
Acc. Ben Shimmel, the hea- A. Sie Seimmel, the heaven. vens.

Nom. פer $\mathfrak{B u f e n}$, the bo- N. Sie $\mathfrak{B u f e n , ~ t h e ~ b o s o m s ~}$ som
Gen. ఇes $\Re$ ßufens, of $\quad$ G. Der $\mathfrak{B u j e n}$, of
Dat. $\mathfrak{B e m}$ Bufen, to D. Den $\mathfrak{B u f e n t}$, to
Acc. פen $\mathfrak{B u f e n , ~ t h e ~ b o s o m A . ~ D i e ~} \mathfrak{B u f e n , ~ t h e ~ b o s o m s . ~}$

## Singular. <br> Plural.

Nom. Ser $\mathfrak{B a t e r}$, the fa- N. Sie $\mathfrak{B a}$ ater, the fathers ther

Dat. Seni ßater, to D. Ben Sitern, to
Acc. $\mathfrak{D e n} \mathfrak{B a t e r}$, the father A. Die $\mathfrak{B i}$ iter, the fathers.
Nom. Das Gebirge, the N. Sie (5ebirge, the mounmountain tains
Gen. Beక (Sebirgez, of G. Ber Ģebirge, of
Dat. Sem (3ebirge, to D. Ben Sebirgen, to
Acc. Заร Gebirge, the A. Bie Gebirge, the mounmountain tains.

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. Very few words remain with the ending em: for instance, Der 2 them, the breath; Der $\mathfrak{B e j e m}$, the broom; and in these, $n$ is now frequently substituted for $m$. Though em should be retained, the dative plur. would be en.
2. To this declension may be added, nouns compounded with the verb feyn: as, bả Bewufficun, consciousness; bas $\mathfrak{W o b l j e r n}$, the state of good health. Also neuters in $a$ : as, Das Mlima, the climate; かaj $\mathfrak{S o}=$ pha, the sopha; gen. Dés Rlimas, bes Sophas; but if the plural of these words be required, as they are not of German origin, nor sufficiently germanized, it is made in a peculiar manier, namely; by the letters, תlimas, Sophaz. The same remark applies to Freuch
words, ending in ier and eur, when they are used in German composition.
3. Der ferl, a faniliar appellation for a man, answering to the English fellow, is referred to this declension: viz. singular nom. Der Лerl, gen. De3 Яerl3, dat. Dem תerl, acc. Den Æerl; plur. nom. Die אerl, gen. Der Rerl, dat. Den Rerfn, acc. Die Rerl. It has been contracted from Ћerel; for so it is, to this day, spoken in Low German ; and this accounts for its belonging to the second declension.
4. The following, in the plural number, change the vowels $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{u}$, into the diphthongs $\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{u}$. (a) Some which end in el: Der 2fufel, the apple ; ber Scammel, a castrated sheep; Der \$̧anoel, an affair, a concern ; Der Mangel, the deficiency; ber Mantel, the mantle; bee Mabel, the navel; ber Sattel, the saddle; ber Schnabel, the beak; ber §ogel, the bird. (b) Some which end in en: ber Faben, the thread; ber Graben, the ditch; ber (Sarten, the garden; ber Saafen, the haven, harbour; ber $\mathfrak{l a b e n t}$, the shop (but when it means the shutter of a window, the vowel is not altered). (c) Some which end in er: ber 2ffer, a field, or an acre; ber Şammer, the hammer; ber spactiter, the farmer, (though this word is also written, in the singular, றoád)ter); Der ©dumager, the sister's husband, the brother-in-law; ber $\mathfrak{B a t e r}$, the father; ber ßruber, the brother. These have in the plural, Saittel, Wo̊gel, ©Sárten, Bruber, \&c.
5. All diminutives, belong to this declension.Any substantive may be formed into a diminutive, by the termination chen being added: for instance, baß ঞGater=
den, Das ßruberden, from Bater, Bruber. The vowels $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{u}$, and the diphthong $\mathfrak{a u}$, are, generally, converted into $\dot{a}, \dot{d}, \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{u}$, as appears from the examples, just mentioned. Thus daş ©oibnchen, from Sohn, the son; bas Baiumathen, from ber $\mathfrak{B a u m}$, the tree. In the Upper German idiom, the syllable lein serves for the purpose of making diminutives: as, Dis Madnnlein, from ber Mann, the man; bas Fraulein, from die grau, the woman; Das $\mathfrak{B u}$ uthlein, from Das $\mathfrak{B u d}$ ), the book. In the High German, chen predominates: yet lein is adopled, when the other appears too colloquial; for example, in poetry. It also remains in particular words : as, $\operatorname{bag} \mathfrak{F r}$ riulcein, which is the title of a young lady of noble extraction; Das Mitthlein, denoting a revengeful disposition; bas ß Buddlein, a book of small compass.When a word, ending in e, el, en, is to receive the diminutive termination of lein, the letters $e$, en, and $l$, are commonly left out: as, Da̧̧ Æ̂náblein, from Der ת̂nabe, the boy; Das Miud) Engelein, from ber Engel, the angel. If it be required to transform a word, ending in ch, or $g$, into a diminutive with chen, the syllable el must be inserted: as, Das
 Der $\Re i n g$, the ring. This is done to prevent the concurrence of the same, or similar letters. On that account, it is better to say Sedelein, than Secluein, from bie Seete, the soul ; ©dunadflein, than ©chnallein, from dic ©dhnalle, the buckle; though, in these instances, chen should be preferred, Scelden, S(d)ailldyen.-All diminutives are of the neuter gender, as has been stated before. Their origiual signification is that of littleness, which is differently modified, assuming, in the object, sometimes the idea of prettiness, sometimes that of
smalluess only, aud also, occasionally, that of ridicule and contempt ; and in the person speaking, or writing, frequently that of fondness, or flattery.
6. Some words of the second declension, take their plural number from the third: as, Der Baier, a Bavarian ; ber §buur, the peasaut; Der Wantoffel, the slipper; Der Stachel, the sting; Der $B^{\mathrm{Jetter} \text {, a male relation, }}$ a cousin; bas 2 fuge, the eye; סas Enbe, the end: pl. Baiern, ঞauern, શDantoffeln, ©tadjeln, Bettern, 2ugen, Enben. It would be no great offence against the language, if they were altogether, both in the singular and plural, consigned to the third declension.

## THIRD DECLENSION,

Containing all the masculines, ending in $e$ and st (of more than one syllable); some other words of the same gender, but different terminations; and one neuter, Das Serz.

## EXAMPLES.

## Singular.

Plural.
Nom. Der $\Re n a b e$, the boy N. Die §naben, the boys
Gen. Des תnaben, of G. Der תnaben, of
Dat. Dem תnaben, to D. Den תnaben, to
Acc. Den Ænaben, the boy. A. Die תnaben, the boys.
Nom. Der Şerr, the lord, N. Die Serrn, the masters or master
Gen, De3 Serrrt, of G. Der Serrn, of
Dat. Dem Serrn, to D. Den Seern, to
Acc. Den Serrn, the master.A. Die Seerrn, the masters,

## Plural.

Nom. Der Sinfantrift; the N. Die Snfantriften, the foot soldier foot soldiers
Gę. Des Snfantriften, of G. Der $\mathfrak{I n f a n t r i f t e n , ~ o f ~}$ Dat. Dent Snfantriften, to D. Den §nfantriffen, to Acc. Den ふnfantriften, the A. Die Jnfantriften, the foot soldier.
foot soldiers.
Nom. Das Serz, the heart N. Die Serzen, the hearts Gen. Des Serzen, of is G. Der Serzen, of Dat. Dem Sjerzen, to D. Den Serzen, to Acc. Daş Serz", the heart. A. Die Serzen, the hearts.

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. The genitive case singular is sometimes formed in ens. This may be done, when it is placed before the word, by which it is governed: as, ठсदू תnabens ふuter, the boy's father, instead of Der \$ater Des Snaben, the father of the boy; Des Jinabens balben, on account of the boy, where the preposition balben stands after its case: and it should always be done, when the genitive is not indicated by the article: as, Seerzens (3iute, goodness of heart. But to admit that additional, $s$ without - peculiar motive, is inaccurate. In the following words, however, it is authorized by the best grammariaust: Der Friede, peace; Der Fiunfe, the spark; Der

[^44]$\dagger$ Adelung is one of them.

Fufftapfe, the footstep; ber Gebunfe, the thought; Der (Slaube, the faith, the belief; Der Şaufe, the crowd; Der Sarpfe, the carp; Der Name, the name; Der Same, the seed; Der Schabe, the burt, injury, damage; ber $\mathfrak{W i l l f}$, the will : of which the reason seems to be, that these words may likewise, in the nominative case singular, be expressed by Frieben, $\mathfrak{F u n f e n}$, Fugftapfen, GSedanfen, Şlauben, Şaufen, Sarpfen, Namen, Samen, Schioen, Willen, and referred to the second decleusion, in which $s$ is the necessary characteristick of the genitive*. But notwithstanding this circumstance, the $s$ is, in those words, frequently omitted. - The letter $e$, after $r$, is usually left out ; as, De亏 Şerrn, for Şerren; Deß Barbarn, for Barbaren.
2. It has been stated, that the masculines, ending in $e$ and st, fall under this declension, if they be of more than one syllable. Therefore, monosyllables, as ber æ゚hee, the tea; Der Swift, discord, are not of that description. Yet Der ©hrift, the christian, and ber Fiurft, the prince, are includer: : but these may, originally, have been Shrifte, Fiufte; and thus have lain within the rule. Der See, the lake, is in the singular of the fourth, and in the plural of the third declension.
3. To the third declension belong the following words: Der 2fovocat, the advocate; Der 2frchitect, the architect; ber Barbar, the barbarian; Der SBafliff, the basilisk; Der $\mathfrak{B a}$ ar, the bear; Der $\mathfrak{B e y}$, a Turkish govemnur; Der

[^45]$\$ 8$ rumn, the well, (alse $\Re$ Brunnen, after the second); ber SBuchftab, the letter, or claracter, of the alphabet; Der Gabett, a cadet, young soldier; ber Camerab, the comrade; ber ©ancioat, the candidate; Der ©atlyolif, the Roman catholick ; Der ©hrift, the christian; Der Elient, the client; Der Gorrefpondent, the correspondent; ber Docent, the teacher; Der Ducat, a species of coin; Der Elephant, the elephant; ber Felt, the rock, (also ber Felfen, second declension); ber fiurft, the prince (before mentioned) ; Der (Şect, a ridiculous man; Der Genof, the associate, partner; ber 'sefell, the associate, journeyman ; der ©fraf, the count ; ber Shagefolz, a bachelor, an unmarried man; ber Şalunf, the scoundrel; Der Şeibuct, a sort of footman ; ber Seld, the hero ; ber Serr, the lord, or master; Det Şirt, the herdsman ; ber Şufar, the hussar; Der Sanitidaar, the janizary ; ber Sinfaß , the inmate; ber Menif), man, a human being; (baэ̉ Menid), an abject female, is of the fourth); Der Mohr, the moor, or negro; Der Monard), the monarch; ber slarr, the fool ; Der $5(d)$, the ox ; Der $\mathfrak{g a r a g r a p h}$, the paragraph; Der פyatriarth, the patriarch ; Der Syfriem, the awl, (also Der §jpriemen, second) ; Der \$bildopopl, the philosopher; ber शpeet, the poet; ber Potentat, the potentate ; Der Mráfibent, the president ; ber פrálat, the prelate; Der Spring, the prince; Der Solalm, the psalm; Der Sdhent, the cup-bearer, butier, publican, (also atter the fourth); ber ©d)mers, the pain, (more commoily after the fourlit); Der Sdojpz, the dunce, (also after the fourth); Der ©olbat, the soldier; Der Stubent, the student; ber Superintenbent, a superintendent, or superior clergyman; ber §hor, a silly person; ber ærropf, a simpleton, (to be distinguished from ber æropf, of the fourih declension, which siguifies a drop); ber Љyram, the
tyrant; ber Borfahr, the ancestor; Der Badf, any thing pointed, and projecting. Add some derivatives, ending in it : as, Der 2 Commit, a desceudant of Adam ; ber Jefuit, a Jesuite; ber Suffit, \&c. Also the appellatives of some nations; such as ber תalmucf, ber תofact, Der Sroat, Der Malabar, Der llthlan, \&e*.
4. Of some words the nominative singular is not sufficiently fixed to determine the declension, to which they are to belong: for, according to the ending of that case, the declension must vary. If, for example, you say, ber Brummen, the well, this word comes under the second declension; if Der $\mathfrak{B r}$ unn, it is to be referred to the third. Thus Der Daumen, the thumb, second decl. Der Daunt, third; ber Felfen, the rock, second, ber Felz, third.
5. The third decleusion lends the plural number to

* Many of the words, above enumerated, would bear an $e$, at the end, without shocking the ear, and are even heard so, in provincial dialects : such as, $\mathfrak{B a r e}, \mathfrak{B r u n n e}, \mathfrak{B u}(t)$ s fabe, Camerabe, ©andidate, Eatholife, Ducate, Elepbante, Senofe, Gefetle, satunfe, seiduate, Sirte, Jifafte, sico: narche, Sarre, Ddofe, Wotentate, Wrafioente, Scbente, Sols date, Stitente, Zacte: thus Hoamite, Jefuite; and farther §almulfe, Яofacte, §roate, Hiflane. With the e, at the end, they would, at once, fall under the rule of this declension : and it is scarcely to be doubted that such, at first, was their characteristick. But the rougher idiom of the Upper German, as it prevailed, took away this softening vowel. Had that been left, the rule of this declension would have been so absolutely established, that there almost would not have been an exception. It simply would have heen this: "To the third declension belong all the masculines ending in $e$, of two, or more, syllables. For even the words now ending in st, would have had the e: and סass $\mathfrak{y e r} 3$ would have been das jerze. From this it will appear, how truly the principle of this declension has been ascertained, in our system.
some nouns of the second，which have been before mentioned；and likewise to some of the fourth，of which see below．

6．One masculine in $e$ does not follow this，but the second declension，namely，ber ふaje，the cheese，gen． bes תåjes，\＆c．Plur．Die תåje，dat．Den תajen，\＆e． But it may be observed，that the word is also written Sajb，in which shape it would belong to the fourth de－ clension，wihout，any where，occasioning an exception． There is likewise a word in st，which deserts this declen－ sion，and follows the fourth，viz．Der Şallaft，the palace； gen，Dez şallaftes，pl．Die spaMafte，\＆c．

7．Tlie final $e$ of the nom．case sing．is now and then omitted；in words of this declension，where it ought to be put；and poets sometimes use the liberty of culting off the termination en，in other cases：as，Dem Selo， Demfetz，Den Firft，for Dem Selben，Felfen，Firffen，－ But this is rather to be pardoued，than to be imitated．

FOURTH DECLENSION，
Comprehending all those masculines，and neuters， which are not included in the two foregoing declensions．

## EXAMPLES．

Singular．
Plural，in e．
Nom．Der $\mathfrak{L i} i(t)$ ，the table N．Die Sifche，the tables
Gen．Deş Iifijes，of G．Der ふilde，of
Dat．Dem ふifd）e，to D．Den æifdhen，to
Acc．Den ふifd，the table．A．Die ふifhe，the tables．
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Singular.
Plural in er.
Nom. Das ほuth, the book N. Die Buiduer, the books
Gen. Deß Bualues, of G. Der Sildier, of
Dat. Dem Butbe, to D. Den Buidiern, to
Acc. DRE Budt, the book.A. Die Silder, the books.

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. The genitive case singular is sometimes made, by adding merely $s$, instead of es, to the nominative; and in the dative, the termination $e$ is omitted, as Deら Suther, bem $\preccurlyeq u c h)$. These abridgments, however, are not to be approved, unless when founded spon some reason. The metre of a verse, or the rhythm of an oratorical sentence, may, for example, justify them. In some instances, the application of this license would be intolerable, as in (Gejek
 preme Being, the genitive is hardly ever found otherwise, than Gottes, and the dative (Gott: but when it signifies a heathen deity, or an idol, it may be Gotte, in the genitive, and Gotte, in the dative. Words ending in ar and $o r$, and some in um, are generally used without the e: as, Der Machbar, the neighbour, gen. Des Nachbars, dat. bem Sathbar; Der Waftor, the priest, gen. Des Paftors, dat. bem Saftor; Der Braiutigam, the bridegroom, gen. Des Sriutignme, dat. Dem ßráutigam; Der (Sehorfam, obedience, gen. DCs (Sichorinms̉, dat. Dem (Get)oriam.
2. The following is a list of those nouns, which form their plural in er. (a.) Of the masculine gender: ber


 terthum, 2fmt, 2fugenlied, Bab, Bild, Bisthum, Blatt, Bret, Buch, Dad), Dorf, ©rjbisthum, Ey, Fach, Faß̂, Felb, Firfienthum, Gelo, Gemady; Giemith, Gseipenft,

 Rlcio, תraut, amm, \&idht, Rico, odh, Maul, Das Menich, (a bad woman), Meft, Mfand, Mab, Megiment, Daş ©dilb, (the sign of an imu), Sd)lo tal, $\mathfrak{E l h a t}, \mathfrak{B o l f}$, $\mathfrak{Z a m m}$, $\mathfrak{W e i b}$. Da $\mathfrak{M a h l}$, the act of taking food, the meal, and its compound, baş (Gafmablt, the banquet; likewise daSMabl, the mark, sign, with the compounds, Denfmahl, ©rabmabl, Mierfmabl, have the plural commonly in er, but also in e. Der ©traud), and das Gebet, are sometimes used with the plur. in er, as Straiuder, Geketer; but this is improper.
3. All those, which have er in the plural, change the rowels $a, b, u$, and the diphthong $\mathfrak{a l}$, in the same num-

 thase in $e$, the following transform them: (a.) Masculines: ber 2fal, pl. 2fele; ber 2fbt, pl. Die 2febte; ber
 moreover, 2fafang, 2fintrig, 2rgzt, 2ff, 2fuftrag, 2fuล̇= brudf, 2(uร̧gang, BBad), Balg, Ball, Bamb, (the volume
 Sod, $\mathfrak{B r a n t}, \mathfrak{B r a u c h}$, Brudy, $\mathfrak{B u f t}$, (Ennul, pl.(5anáte ; Eapellan, pl. ©npeltanne, Earbinal, pl. Earbinále; Eaftel= fan, pl. Eaftellaine; ©horal, Damm; Dompf, Darm, Dicbitabl, Dunft, Einorucf, Einfluß, Eingang, Eimburf,
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 Sithwam，Sabon，Sdhont，Sdipanz，Schwarm，
 ভprung，©puno，ভtab，ভtall，ভtamm，Stano，ভtodf， ©tordi），Stoz，Strang，ভtratch），Strauß，Strom，

 Umitand，彐ogt，Borhang，彐ortath，Borichlag，Borichuß，

 3oll（toll），Bopf，3ug，3uftand，3wang．（b．）Neuters： Das Wrienal，pl．Die 2rremále；Dả̉ Boot，pl．Die ßBóte， （but also die ßoote）；Da弓（5hor，pl．Die ©hire；Dak flof， pl．Die floffe．

4．Several nouns of this declension borrow the plural number from the third．They are：Der 2ffect，the affection of the mind，passion，pl．Die 2 （ffecten；ber $2 \mathscr{F}=$ pect，the aspect，pl．Die 2ffpecten；Der Diamant（or De－ mant，the diamond，pl．Die Diamanten；Das Sinfect， the insect，pl．Die $\mathfrak{F}$ fecten；Das $\mathfrak{E c i}$ ，suffering，mis－ fortunes，！pl．Die Reiben；Der Machbar，the neighbour， pl．Die Rachbarn；bas Shr，the ear，pl．bie 5hren； ber．Duell，the source，pl．bie Suelfen；ber Sdmerz，
the pain, pl. Die Sdmerjen; ber Sce, the lake, pl. Dic ©chl; Der ভporn, the spur, pl. Die ভpornen; Der Staat, the slate, pl. bie Staaten; ber ©trabl, the ray or beam, pl. Dic ©trablen; (but its compounds have the regular plural in $e$ : for example, ber Dreyftabl, Finffirahl, Sedi) frrabl, terms of mineralogy); ber $\mathfrak{U n}=$ $\mathfrak{g a r}$, the Hungarian, pl. sie Ungarn; Der Untertban, the subject of a sovereign, pl. Die Unterthanen ; ber 3ier= rath, he oruament, pl. bie Sierrathen.
5. Some receive different terminations, in the plural, according to a difference in signification: (a.) $\boldsymbol{E}$ and en: Dis æett, the bed, pl. Sie Bette, the beds-Dic Bettent, the parts composing the inside of a bed, the bedding; bas ©ticf, the piece, plur. bie Ctuife, (sometimes, but improperly, ©tidder), pieces-Dic ©tiicfen, (but also Stictefe), pieces of artillery. (b.) E, en, and er: Dis $\mathfrak{B a n t}$, the bond, ligament, ribbon, pl. bie Bambe, (Ban= ben?) the bonds, fetters-bie Bainber, the ribbons; bas Ding, the thing, pl. Die Dinge, the things, in a general sense-bie Dinger, individual things; ber Dorn, the thorn, pl. bie Dornen, the thorns in general-die Dorr= ner, the single thorns, the prickles; buş (S3efict)t, the sight, the vision, the face, pl. Die (sefic)te, the visions, the sights-Dic (Gefiidter, the faces; Das Şorn, the horn, pl. Die Seorne, species, or kinds, of that substance-Die Soirner, individual horns; bas $\mathfrak{G a n b}$, the land, or country, pl. Die \&anbe, territory, dominions-bic \&ănocr, individual countries; ber Drt, the place, pl. Die Srte, places, in a general sense, also passages from books-bie Đerter, individual places; Der Stabl, the steel, pl. Die ©tahle, kinds of steel-bic ©tábler, certain instruments, made of steel ; ถả 彐 $\mathfrak{Z u d}$, the cloth, pl. æude, kinds of cloth-Die æ̌icher, parts of dress, such as neekeloths;

Cat Wort, the word, pl. Die S3orte, words in general, in Latin verba, dicta, Fr. paroles-bie Wirter, words of the vocabulary, Latin vocabula, dictiones, Fr. mots.
6. In this, as in the other declensions, some words are to be met with, that are only used in one number, either singular, or plural: of which an account will be given in the additional remarks.

## ADDITIONAL REMARKS ON THE SUBSTANTIVES.

I. Most of the nouns substantive have both numbers, the singular as well as the plural. But there are some, which from the nature of their signification, or from the peculiar usage of the German tongue, occur only in one number. Few of them want the singular, the greater portion is deficient in the plural.

Those which have no plural, are partly such as are deprived of it, both in English and German, and partly such as are without it, in German alone.
A. Those which take the plural number neither in the English, nor in the German language, are:

1. The names of certain material substances, and some other words of a particular description. For example: Dis Gollo, gold; Silber, silver; Eifen, iron; Supfer, copper; Sinn, tin; Bley, lead; סaẺ Ěfenbein, ivory; סie Erbe, earth; Der Šbon, the clay: Der Staub dust; Das Şolz, wood; Das Fleifdh, flesh; Der Spedt, bacon; Das Schmalz, lard; Der Jalg, tallow; Das §nart, the marrow; Das Mrehl, meal, flour; Das Malz, malt; Das (Setreide, corn; Die Butter, butter; Die Mtildh, milk; Das Sconig, honey; סa૬ Wachz̉, wax; Der Spei= chel, spittle ; Der $\mathfrak{M i f t}$, dung; Der $\Re$ Ruß rust; Dex Sdfamm, mire; Der Schimmel, mould; ber

๔dmunt, dirt; Der ভdnee, the snow; Der Regen, the rain; Der Şagel, hail. - Of some the plural may be used technically, to denote the species: as, Die Erbent, Silber, Jupfer, Simne, Bleye, Şoflzer, \&c. the eartlis, silvers, coppers, tins, leads, woods, i. e. the species, or kinds, of earth, silver, copper, tin, lead, wood.
2. General and abstract terms, such as : Der $\mathfrak{R u h m}$, the glory; Der $\Re$ Raub, pillage; Die ßeute, booty; Der
 dress, finery ; Die Wernumft, human reason; Der $\mathfrak{B i l l l}$, the will; Dic ©tårfe, strength; bie Sike, heat; Die Warme, warmilh; bic תalte, the cold; Der Gilhorjam, obedience; bie (Siewalt, force, violence; Dic Milbe, trouble ; Der (Geif, avarice ; ber Reib, envy; ber ©tolż, pride ; Der Sochmuth, haughtiness; Die 2(rmuth, poverty; Die Jugend, youth; Daş 2lter, old age; ber Şunger, hunger ; Der Durft, thirst ; Der ©dylaf, sleep: Das © ऊituf, luck, happiness; Der 3orn, anger ; ber Æifer, zeal ; Die (Eile, haste ; ber flciß, industry.
B. Such as admit the plural in English, but not in German.

1. The following words: Der 凡ath, the council, also counsel, advice; Der Dank, thanks ; Der Berfand, the understanding; Der $\mathfrak{l o h n t}$, the reward; ber תummer, sorrow ; ber Sammer, affliction, misery; ber Mlunt, the mouth; Der Sand, the sand; Dic (Sunft, the favour; bie Riebe, the love; bie furdt, the fear ; Das $\mathfrak{R o b}$, the praise; Der Miorb, the murder; Der æabel, reprehension, blame; Der Swang, the constraint; ถą̉ $\mathfrak{L c b e l t}$, the life; Der $\mathfrak{x o b}$, death ; Das Unglinef, the misfortune; ber 及ant, the quarrel; ber Strcit, the contest; bie 2ftu funft, the arrival ; bie $\mathfrak{F l}(\mathrm{ud}) \mathrm{t}$, the flight, escape; ber 2anfang, the beginning; Das Enbe, the end, or con-

Sect．S．Declension of Substantives
clusion；Der c5mpfang，the receipt，the act of receiving； Der Unterrid）t，instruction；Daş Ssemurmel，the mur－ muring；Der llrlaub，leave，permission；ber Sjenus，the enjoyment．－If the plural be required，it must be supplied by a synonymous word．For instance，Der Rath，the advice，counsel，pl．Die Rathithlage，from Der Ratbidllag，the advice；Der $\Re$ Rath，the council，pl．Die Snthzuerjammlungen，from Die Sathzuerjammlung，the meeting，or assembly，of a council；Der Dant，pl．Die Dantiagungen，from die Danflagung；Der $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{hn}$ ，reward， pl．Belohnungen，from Die Belohnumg，the reward；Der Mund，the mouth，if the organ of speech be meant，pl． Die Sungen，the tongues，from Die Sunge；if the instru－
 Dajsob，praise，pl．Die \＆obeserkebungen，the praises，from Die Eobeserbebung，or Die \＆obreden，from Die \＆obrede；
 besfall，the event of death，or bic $\mathfrak{T o D e 5 3 a r t e n , ~ f r o m ~ b i e ~}$ ToDesart，the mamer of dying，the kind of death；Der ふanE，quarrel，pl．Die ふanfereyen，from ふanferey，the quarrel；Der Streit，the contention，pl．Die Streitigfei＝ ten，from bie Streitigleit，the contest；Dab Unglưde，the misfortune，pl．Die Ungludisfafle，from ber Unght ber Unterridi，instruction，pl．Die Unterweifungen，from bic unterweifung，the instruction，or bie $\mathfrak{B e l e}$ erungen， from Die Belebrung，the information；Der Urlaut， permission，pl．Die Erlaubnifle，from Die Erlaubniß，the pernission．

2．Some names of plants ：as，Der Sobl，the cabbage； ber Safer，the oats；ber Seppfen，hops；Dase Schilf，the reed；Die $\Omega r c / \int e$, cresses．Some are likewise unusual in English；viz．Der Slee，clover；ber Sinoblaubl；the
garlick；Der Spargel，asparagus；Der Flathen，the flax ； Der Seanf，hemp；Die ©serfte，the barley；Der Weiken， the wheat．When the plural is to be expressed，some adjunct must be made use of，such as bie 2 2rt，the kind； Die פflanje，the plant；for example，jwey Splangen Sohl，two cabbages；Die Joblarten，the kinds of cab－ bage；Die ふleepflanjen，plants of clover；Die ふleearten， or 2trten תlee，different kinds of clover．When the name of the plant ends in a simple $e$ ，and the adjunct is to follow it，the letter $n$ is generally inserted：as，（5）er＝ fitnarten，sirefienplanzen．

3．Words implying quantity，weight，or measure，are seldom used in the plural，although numerals，exceeding one，should be put hefore them．Of this kind are
Der 2 dfer，the acre；for example，jehn 2 （dfer ¿and， ten acres of land．
Dab ßuch，a quire of paper；ex．brey ßuch Sapier， three quires of paper．
Das Bund，the bundle，truss，sheaf；fechs Bund ©trob， six trusses of straw．
Dab（ukeno，the dozen；zmanjig Dukeno $\mathfrak{W e i n t}$ twen－ ty dozens of wine．
 beer．
Die Fauft，the hand；vierzebn Fauft bod，fourteen hands ligh．
Der Sirnd，a mathematical degree ；bundert（5rat，hus－ dred degrees．
Dab Şaupt，the head；vier Scaupt §inowieh，four hoads of cattle．
Die（in some provinces，©ぃร）Slafter，the fathom；neun Slafter，nine fathoms．

Das Mabl，as in ein Mahl，once；子wey Mahl，twice； brey Maht，three times；vier Mahl，four times； feth Maht，six times．
Der Mann，as，bie（compagnie ift bunbert Mann fart， the company is a hundred men strong．
Dic Mart，the mark，a weight ：as，zehn Mart ©old， tell tralks of eold．
Das Maar，the pair；brel yaar ©duble，three pair of shnes：febjs Waar Strúmpfe，six pair of stockings． Note．Ein か̧aar，also signifies a few；cin \＄paar æage， a few days．
Das మfuno，the pound；vier Wfuno 3udfer，four pounds of sugar．
Was Siluod，a number of sixty ：zroety Schoof Reppel，six score of apples．
Der ভtin，the stone；viersebn ভtein jobwer，fourteen stone weisht．
Dusి ©ticf，the piece；fieben Sticf $\mathfrak{Z u d}$ ，seven pieces of cloth．
Das $30 \mathfrak{l l}$ ，an inch ；finf 301 ll breit，five inches broad．
A few words are excepted from this rule，such as bie （Elle，or ©ble，an ell；bie Meile，the mile；bie tinge； the ounce；and some others，which must be learnt by experience．It is to be observed，that the substantives， whicls follow those nouns of measure，or quantity，are not declined，unless either a pronoun，or an adjective， be jometh with them．When accompanied by pronouns， they stand in the genitive case，or the dative with the preposition von，of ；fech $\mathfrak{c}$ פुfund diefés Suffers，or von biejem 3ucter，six pounds of this sugar；vier fan jenez Wseine马，or von jenem Wscine，four casks of that wine： when by adjectives，they remain in the nominative，if no other word be in the sentence to govern thens ；or if
there be, for instance, a verb, or a preposition, they are put in the cases, required by either; as, fchicfen fie mir brey Dukend guten Wein, und fechs §fund feinen Fudfer, send me three dozens of good wine, and six pounds of fine sugar-guten SSein, and feinen Suder, are accusitive, cases, governed by the verb fichiden, to send. Thus with a preposition, mit zebn faf gutemt Biere, unb mit fieben Studf fettem Siebe, with six casks of good beer, and seven heads of fat cattle; here gutem SBiere, fettem Siehe, are in the dative, governed by the preposition mit.-An adjective, between a numeral and the word of quantity, or measure, corresponds with the numeral, so as to assume the plural form, when the number exceeds ONE, but it has, of itself, no effect, upon the substantive; as, fedis geometrifd)e $\mathfrak{F u}$. geometrical feet. But when a verb, or a preposition, governs the adjective, the word of quantity, or measure, is likewise influenced by it; as, ein ficlo von bunbert geometrifchen Fußen, where it would be improper to say, Juß. The word Şaar, however, departs from this rule, and continues invariable under those circumstances; as, geben ©ie mir vier gute spaar Sdu ube, give me four good pair of shoes; mit vier guten gbar Sdu $=$ bett, with four good pairs of shoes. Yet the substantive, after it, is affected by the governing word, as is seen in the last example, where Scduben is the dative, on account of the preposition mit. Otherwise, when the word of quantity itself is governed, and varied in its case, the substantive, after it, may be put in the genitive, or left unaltered; as, von fechses geometrifdeen Fufen \&anoes, or عand. -The English language somewhat resembles the German, in regard to the use of the singular number, in certain words of measure, or quantity: for
example, ten pound of English money, twenty piece of cloth, instead of pounds, pieces; though this practice is not deemed correct.
C. The following words have no singular: Dic (Sje= falle, the laxes; bie Einfinfte, the revenue; Die Noften; the expences; Die UnEoften, the costs; Die Reltern, the parents; Die 2Chnen, the ancestors; Die Beinfleider, the small cloths: Dic Sojen, the breeches; Die Waffen, the weapons, arms; Die Sibranfen, the bounds, the lists; Die Siebruiber, the brothers : Cie (Sefdwifter, the brothers and sisters; Die Siriegcstafufte, the course and events of war; bie Scitláifte, the course and events of the times; Die \&eute, the people; Die Molfen, the whey; die 彐̌up= pelt, the troops; Die Flaumen, the flay; Briefichaften, letters, papers; Die Franzojen, a disease; Die SWeihnach $=$ ten, Christmas; Die Sitern, Easter; Die Wpingfen, Whitsuntide; Die Şlieomaken, the limbs; Die Ralbau= nent, the entrails: and some foreign words: as, Die Spejen, the expences; bie Sporteln, the fees; bie $20 n=$ naten, the annuity; Die Siepreflalien, the reprisals; bie Smignien, the insiguia.
II. The four declensions may be applied in proper names: but it will be necessary to add some observafions upon these, in particular. - The inflection of the proper names is eilher indicated by the definite article, or by the termination. In some instances the former, and in others the latter seems to be preferable.

The article merely serves as a sign for the oblique cases, that is to say, for the genitive, dative, and accusative; and is not wanted for the nominative. When this article is prefixed, the termination remains unvaried.

## EXAMPLES:

For the Masculine Gender.

| Singular. | Singular. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nom. Somer, Honer | Nom. Birgil, Virgil. |
| Gen. Deß Somer, of | Gen. Dez Sirgil, of |
| Dat. Den Scomer, 1o | Dat. Den Sirgil, to |
| Acc. Den Somer, Homer. Acc. Den Sirgil, Virgil. |  |

## For the Feminine Gender.

Nom. Elora, Flura Nom. Gappho, Sappho
Gen. Der Flora, of Gen. Der Sappho, of
Dat. Der flora, to : Dat. Der ©appho, to

Acc. Die Flori, Flora. Acc. Die Cappho, Sappho.
It is unnecessary, and may be called irregular, to vary
 Sirgil'g; since the article is employed for the sole purpose of showing the case. Another impropriety which occurs, consists in the leaving out of the article in the accusative case, and saying, for instance, idd) lefe Bir= gil, id) lefe Scomer, I read Virgil, I read Homer, for oen Sirgil, Den Şomer ; (d) fche Capplyo, I see Sapplin; id licte Jlora, 1 love Flora, for Die Sapplyo, Die Slora.

The second mode of declining proper names, is by varying the ending of the oblique cases. The characteristick addition for the genilive is $s$, (sometimes es, ns, ens;) and for the dative and accusative $n$, when the word euds in a vowel, or in $l, r$, and $e n$, when it ends in a consonant, except $l$ and $r$. Female names ending in $a$, change this vowel, before the $r$, into $e$.
Sect. 3. Declension of Subst

- EXAMPLES.

Singular.
Nom.ßeccaria, Beccaria Gen. Beccaria'3, of
Dat. Beccaria'n, to
Acc. Beccaria'n, Beccaria.
Nom. Jacobi, Jacobi
Gen. Jacobi'z, of
Dat. Sacobi'n, to
Acc. Jacobi't, Jacobi
Nom. Jablonféy, Jablonsky
Gen. Sablonity's, of
Dat. Sublonity'u, to
Acc. Jablonify'n, Jablonsliy.

Nom. תant, Kant
Gen. Sant'z, of

Dat. Santen, to
Acc. Santen, Kant.

Singular.
Nom. Serne, Heync.
Gen. Sernne'3, of
Dat. Şeynen, to
Acc. J̌cynen, Heyne.
Nom. Cato, Cato
Gen. Cato'z, of
Dat. Cato'n, to
Acc. Eato'n, Cato.
Nom. Fagel, Fagel
Gen. Fage'tz, of
Dat. $\mathfrak{F a g e l}$, to
Acc. Frßgln, Fagel.

Nom. Schulz, Schulz
Gen. Shuli ${ }^{\circ}$ es, or Schulz'ens, of
Dat. Sduuizen, to
Acc. Sduljen, Schulz.

For the Feminine Gender.

Singular.
Nom. Diana, Diana
Gen. Diana's, or Diane'nzె, Gen. Frieocrile'nzె, of of
Dat. Dianen, to
Acc. Dinucn, Diana. Acc. Fricoerifen, Frederica.

> Nom. ©applo, Sappho
> Gen. ©apph $0^{\circ}$ b, of
> Dat. ©apphon, to
> Acc. Eappho'n, Sappho.

Instead of the simple $s$, in the genitive case, es, or ens; must be added in words that end in $s, s s, s c h, x, z, t z$ : as, Muyius, gen. Myliuffes, or Muliuficns̉; Fucus, శriich, gen. Fudjens, frifchent, in which two last examples, ens may be thought preferable to es, because a distinction is thus made between $\mathfrak{F u c h}$ )es, the gen. of ber $\mathfrak{F u d}$, fox, and that proper name; and likewise between frifdjes, the neuter of the adjective frifch, and the proper name. Mar, gen Maxes, or Maxenż; Sdulz gen. © (bulzes,
 rif, gen. Moritaç, or Morifens. The addition of $s$ alone would here create an offensive harshess.

The characteristick letters ns, or ens, of the genitive case, are always appropriated to female names, ending in e; as, Fricberife, gen. Fricberifens; and very often to those, which end in $a$; as, flora, gen. Florens; Europa, gen. Europens. Nor are they uncommon in male names ending in e, or a consonant, except $l$ and $r$. For exam-
 gen. $\mathfrak{W o l f e n s}$; though it is more consistent withmodern usage, in these names, to omit the $n$, or $e n$, before the $s$.

Names ending in as, es, os, us, do not well bear the usual terminations, of the genitive, in es, or ens ; for the sound, which is thus produced, is uncouth, and painful to the ear. It is therefore avoided, when it conveniently can be done. Most names, of those endings, are from the Latin; and not unfrequently, the Latiu termination of the genitive is adopted: as, Das \&eioen ©hrifti, the
sufferings of Christ; Das Reben 2flcibiadia, the life of Alcibiades; where Christi and Alcibiadis are the Latin genitives, from Christus, Alcibiades, instead of the German (5briftufes,_ 2̛cibiabeffes, or Ebriftufens, 2atcibia= Defens. Another method of superseding the awkward sound of the latter, is not to give any distinctive termination to the genitive at all; and to say, Shrifuts $\mathfrak{L e i b e n}$,
 cibiadeb.; yet the last is more unusual : for the genitive, void of any other mark of discrimination, may, in a manner, be distinguished, by being placed before the noun, that governs it; but not so well, when it stands after. Sometimes the preposition von, of, may be of service, thongh it is not always applicable; and occasionally an irregular abbreviation is resorted to, as $2(d) c=$ loenş, from 2ačelonz, which should be 2delouffens. But the most obvious, and best mode, is the use of the article.

As there are two ways of declining proper names, it may be asked, when, and how, each of them is to be employed.

The first mode, with the article, answers almost every purpose, except when the genitive case is to precede the word, by which it is governed. The variable terminations ought then to be used: as, 5omer'z Silaz, the lliad of Homer; Sirgil's 2cenei3, Virgil's Æneid; not Dcs Womer Slinsె, Des Sirgil Wenciz. Here, if the article were prefixed, the termination s could not be dispensed with, and the article would be a superfluous addition.After a demonstrative pronoun, the genitive of male names alwavs takes the $s$, when that case is put before the word, on which it depends: as, Diefes ©icero's ভdhriften, the writings of this Cicero; ienes Sirgits

Sesidfte, the poems of that Virgil. - The endings $n$ and en, in the dative and arcusative, occur more frequently in the language of common life, than in accurate composition : and the article seems now to be generally preferred. Yet there may be circumstances, in which the use of those terminations must be allowed. In some provinces, they are even added to the dative and accusative cases of common appellatives, supplying the place of the atide: as, fage es $\mathfrak{B a t e r n}$, tell the father, dat. for bem Bater; rufe $\mathfrak{B r w b e r n}$, Mruttern, call the brother, the motlier, for ben Bruber, bie Mutter. This, however, is a barbarism. - Sometimes, the dative and accusative are not discriminated from the nominative, either by the article, or the termination: as, Jad) babe es ©dilegel gefagt, I told Schlegel, for Dem Sdlegel, or Schlegeln; baben fie Sicero gelejen, have you read Cicero; baben fie Sitt gejeben, lave you seen Pitt, for Den Eicero, or Eiceron, and Den §litt, or §itfer., But this deviation from the rule can hardly be justified; though it must be acknowledged, that it is, by no means, unusual, especially after prepositions, and after transitive verbs.' When a substantive, whether a title or other appellative, with the article, stands before a proper name, the latter remains undeclined: as, Des かhilopophen תant, of the philosopher Kant; bes Dideters Burger, of the poet Bürger; Des Suifers Sofeph, of the Enperour Joseph. But, if the substantive is not attendied with an article, the proper name must be varied in its termina-

 ten, Mr. Leiver's writings. In the first example it is to be remarked, that, grammatically, Jionig ought to be Roniges, or fionigs ; but as the proper name, belong-
ing to this appellative, has the same characteristick ending, it is omitted in the latter, to spare the reiteration of the hissing sound. It is not necessary to vary the proper name, when the preceding title, or appellative, is in the dalive, or accusative: as, or hat es Dem Seern Ridhter erza alt, he has told it to Mr. R.; wir Kaben ben Doctor Seericher gefehen, we lave seen Dr. H. When two or more names stand in apposition, the last only requires the characteristick ending of the oblique case: for example, ©nanuel Sant's. Werfe, the works of Einamuel Kant; Sobann (Seorg Seinrid ‘feber's Sariften, the writings of John George Henry Feder. When the surname is preceded by the term von, which implies nobility, the last Christian name takes the termination : as, ber Name (arl Fricberict's von セBangenbeim, the name of Charles Frederick of Wangenheim; bas 2fnoerfeen Withelm (Gotfricos von Reibnif, the memory of William Godfrey de Leibnitz. A conmon substantive, being in apposition to a proper name, must be put in
 ges voin Sdimeden, the life of Charles the twelfth, king of Sweden.

The names of countries, and places, admit the $s$ in the genitive, but not $n$ in the other cases; as (Deuff(c) rano's blubhenber Suftant, the flourishing state of Germany. The dative and accusative are, therefore, like the nominative. Sometimes the dative may end in' $e$, when the word is referred to the fourth declension: as, in bem volfreiblen Deutidulande, in the populous country of Gernany. The article never can be prefixed to sucl names, unless an adjective, or participle, intervenes, as in the last example. The genitive is sometimes re-
presented by the preposition von $^{*}$ : as, Die תornige von Englano, the kings of England.

A male name may be converted into a female, by the addition of the syllable innt: as, Seerne; bie Serynimn, Heyne's wife, or daughter. Yet this is not a very elegant manner of conveying the idea, and is generally exchanged for some other mode of expression.

We have hitherto spoken of the singular number of proper names; if a plural be wanted, it may, perhaps, in general, be recommended to form it, according to the gender and termination of the word, after one of the four declensions: namely, in feminines, after the first; in masculines, ending in el, en, er, after the second; in those in $e$ and st (of more than one syllable), after the third $;$, and in the rest, after the fourth. But it is not to be denied, that frequently an uncouthness would be thus produced; as, for example, if you were to say sic Bacone, oie Sorieftrene, the Bacons, the Priestleys. In such instances, it is better to have recourse to the letter $s$, as the index of the plural, though it is not a genuine German termination for that number. It has been once before ealled in aid, when we were speaking of certain nouns substantive, ending in $a$, in the second declensiont. Let us farther observe, that the plurals to be formed after the third declension, may be objected to for this reason, that the termination en is liable to be mistaken for the female cuding, sometimes used instead of inn: as, bie なheophraften, for æheophraftimn, the

[^46]$\ddagger$ See p. 132. Obs. 2.
wife or daughter of Theophrastus; Die Seennen, for Seuninn, the wife; or daughter, of Heyne. I have, therefore, suggested, in another place*, the expediency of referring the word's in st to the fourth declension; as, Theophraft, plur. Die $\mathfrak{I h}$ eophrafte, the Theophrasti; and of applying to those, which have the singular in $e$, the letter $s$, or rather $n s$; as, Die Ģiothenz, Die Şernens, the Göthes, the Heynes. Most of the female names form their plural in en, conformably to the first declension; those in o, by on: as, Diana, Friederife, ©appho, plur. Die Dianen, Dic Friederifen, dic Sapphon.

Every proper name may, like a common substantive, be lessened into a diminutive, by the addition of chen, or lein, (of which see above $\dagger$ ): for example, (Sarlichen, litIle Charles; Diandeen, little Diana. These diminutives belong all, without exception, to the second declension of substantives.
III. It may be remarked, that, wherever no article can be employed, the genitive case is generally characterized by the letter $s$. This is to be seen in compound words: as, Daj Riebe5bano, the bond of love, instead of Daş ßano der Riebe; Das Şuilfimittel, a means or expedient, from bas Mittel, and Die Şulffe Daş 2frbeit3haus, the work-house, from Daz Şauz, and Die 2arbeit; Der (Seburtstag, the birth-day, from ber Tag, and Die ©e= burt; bie $2 \mathfrak{u}$ fegungsfunft, the art of interpretation, from die $\mathfrak{N u n f t}$, and Die $\mathfrak{F u}$ hlegung: and though it is not used in all compounds, yet in these and others, the power of the $s$ is clearly distinguished.
IV. Foreign words, which have been received into

[^47]the German language, must be adapted to one of the four declensions; or take the $s$ for their plural termination*.

## SECTION IV.

## THE DECLENSION OF ADJECTIVES.

Nou ns adjective assume four different forms.

## THE FIRST FORM

is adverbial, that is to say, without a declinable termination. In this form the adjective appears, when it is not placed before a substantive, nor preceded by an article, or a pronoun.
THE SECOND FORM
is made use of, when the adjective stands before a substantive, without an article preceding. It is declined with three genders, in the singular number : the plural has only one termination, for all gesders. These are the terminations:

Singular.


- The precept here given coincides with the notions of Quintilian, Inst. Or. I, 5. Incle illa questio oritur, an eíden!


## THE THIRD FORM

is governed by the definite article, Der, Die, Daj; three genders in the singular.

Singular.


## THE FOURTH FORM

is preceded by the indefinite article, ein, eine, ein; or a possessive pronoun, as, mein, meine, mein, my.

Three genders in the singular.
Singular. Masc. Fem. Neut.

| Nom | er, | e, | es. |  | Nom. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | en, | en, | en. |  | Gen. |
| Dat. | en, | en | en |  | Dat. |
| c. | en, | P, | es. |  | Acc |

## FIRST FORM.

This is the original, of which the following are modifications. In that form the adjective always is seen,
ratione per casus duci exlerna; quâ nostra conveniat.-Mihi autem placet Latinum rationem sequi, quousque patitur decor. In cateris qua poterunt utroque modo non indecenter efferri, qui Gracam figuran sequi malit, non Latine quidem, sed citra reprehensionem loquetur.
when it is uncomected with any article, or pronoun, before, or any substantive after it.

As it is not declined, it wants the terminations, which serve for the purpose of inflection. Examples: gut, good; groß̄, great; そlein, little ; roth, red ; weî, white. In this shape it remains, when it is put after a substantive: as, ©afar flug und tapfer, Cæsar prudent, and brave. It is of no consequence, whether the substantive be of the singular, or plural number; the adjective, when placed after it, is invariable: for example, Die Romer fubn uno berridfichtig, the Romans bold, and ambitious. The same àter a verb: as, ber $\mathfrak{R a n}$ ift gut, the man is good; Die farbe heift roth, the colour is called red; ef ift falt, it is cold. Nor does the number of the verb, singular or plural, make any difference: as, fie find grob, they are great.

Adjectives, in the first form, mostly terminate in consonants. Some end in the diphthongs $a u$, eu, ey: as, grau, grey; treu, faithful; frey, free; and several ine, as blobe, bashful; bange, anxious; enge, narrow; geidwinbe, quick; boffe, bad, naughty, or angry; trúbe, not clear, troubled.

## SECOND FORM.

When the adjective is placed before the substantive, to which it belongs, without an article antecedent, it stands in this form, which is declined in the following manner:

## Singular.

Plural.

|  |  |  | Neut. | Nom. | Gute |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gut | Guter, |  | Gen. | (3)uter. |
| Dat. | (3utem, | (5uter, | (3) |  | Suten. |
| Acc. | Guten, | (3ut | \%u |  | (3)ute |

Secl． 4. Declension of Adjectives．

Examples：guter Wein，good wine ；gute Mtitd，good milk；gutes sbier，good beer．

## OBSERVATIONS．

1．When two or more adjectives are before the same substantive，wilhout an article preceding them，they ought all to submit to the second form，as in these ex－ amples：guter，alter，rother $\mathfrak{W e i n}$ ，good，old，red wine； gute，fifse，frifche Milch，good，sweet，fresh milk；gutes， ftarkez，braunce $\mathfrak{B i e t}$ ，good，strong，brown beer．But in the genitive and dative cases singular；and in the geni－ tive plural，it often happens，that only the first adjective complies with this mode of declension，and the others adopt the termination en．This is admitted，with the intention of avoiding a disagreeable repetition of es，em， er．For instance，gen．sing．gutes，alten，rotben $53 \mathrm{ci}=$ nes；guter，fůen 刃（ilct）；dat．sing．gutem，farfen，brau＝ nen Biere；gen．pl．guter alten Wbine．The endiaç es is，in the adjective，even，sometimes，displaced by en， when only a substantive，in $s$ ，follows：as，guten $\mathfrak{I b c i l z}$ ， in a great degree；anbern $\mathfrak{Z}$ beilる，on the other hand； meiften ぶheikj，for the most part；gristen ञheidる，for the greatest part；miorigen $\mathfrak{F a l l}$ ，in a contrary case ； folgenten æages，the day following；oen erften Sun ver wichenen Sabrez，the first of June of last year；gutent
 foot；ftekenden Fußes，without loss of time；alten $\mathfrak{U t}=$ fprunges，of old original ；eine 2 ret wollement Seuges，a kind of worsted．The repetition of er is less objec－ tionable，than that of es；and I hink it preferable to an iteration of en：as，gl：ter，fuser，frifcher Milch，better than guter，fūen，frifchen 9（ifd）．In the following ex－
ample, en would certainly be less pleasing to the ear: sine æeilye noblflingender Deitticher Werfe, a series of harmonious German verses; lleberfełzungen alter Girie= (bifder und $\mathfrak{L a t e n i n i f d e r ~ © ( b r i f t f e l l e r , ~ I r a n s l a t i o n s ~ o f ~ a n - ~}$ cient Greek and Latin anthors: in which, if en be sub-
 the harmony of the sentence is diminished. The er should not be muecessarily removed, as is sometimes done: $\mathfrak{R a d}$ ) $\mathfrak{B e b}$ g guten 2fulcitung, after the good precepts of Beda; mit beines Sateŗ gútigen Erlaubnié, with your father's kind permi sion; un 2(malie'ns fat $0^{\circ}=$ nen (Geftalt millen, for the sake of Amelia's beautiful countenance; 2fleeft's weifen Ermabnumg singebenf, mindful of Alcest's wise admonition: where it should be, guter, gutiger, idforner, weifer. - The termination on belongs to the third and fourth forms, so that, when it is any where else adopted, an intermixture of the forms may be said to take place. It is not umusual to see it employed in the vocative case: as, lichen Leute, good people, for liebe Reute*.
2. It is doubtful, whether after the following plurals: alle, all ; wenige, few; mefrere, many, several ; etlidge, some; cinige, some; mande, several; folde, such; fel= bige, such-the adjectives ought to be placed in the second, or in the subsequent forms. And it is to be remarked, that, in the nominative and accusative cases, the second form is generally used, after cintige, etlit)e, viele, wenige, mandee, mehrere; and after alle, folbige, fold)e, it may be usedt: but in the genitive and dative, the ter-
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mination en, of the other forms, should always be preferred. Examples:

## Plural.

Nom. Einige gute ${ }^{2}$ cute, some good people.
Gen. Einiger guten \&cute, of some
Dat. Einigen guten Reate, to some
Acc. Einige gitte Reute, some good people.
Nom. 2afle gute Menjchen, all good men.
Gen. 2utuer guten Menidhen, of all
Dat. RUKen guten Menithen, to all
Acc. 2 flle gute Remidien, all good men.
3. Words that are not declined, such as adverbs, some adjectives, and most of the cardinal numbers, have no influence upon the adjective. When, therefore, after such words, it is followed by a substantive, it will appear in the second form. For example: sing. masc. Fehr gu= ter $\mathfrak{W e i n}$, very good wine; pl. fehr gute Menjchen, very good men: sing. fem. etwas falte Mridd, some cold milk; sing, neut. viel weilies, Brot, a great deal of, (or much), white bread; ronig ftarles Bier, a small fiaistity of, (or little), strong beer. Plural, with numerals: zwanzig tapfere MRanner, twenty brave men; fehn ichone Frauen, len handsome women; vier kieine Sinder, four little children. Sing. and pl. 2UKerley, buntes spapier, all kinds of variegated paper; allerley) fhotne Sachen, all sorts of fuse things. The same with allerband, all kinds; manderley, several kinds.

## THIRD FORM.

When the definite article, or a pronoun demonstrative, relative, interngative, or the adjectives jeber, jebwe?er, jeglicter, mancher, go before,

## 166 [165] On the Noun. Part 1. Chap. 2.

It is thus delined :

1. With the definite Article.

## Singular.

Nom. Der gute, die gute, Das gute. Gen. Des guten, Der guten, Des guten. Dat. Dem guten, ber guten, bem guten. Acc. Den guten, Die gute, bas gute.

Plural.
Nom. Dic guten.
Gen. Der guten.
Dat. Den guten.
Acc. Die guten.
Examples: nom. ber gute Mann; the good man; Die gute frau, the gond woman; bas gute fino, the good child; gen. Des guten Mrames, ber guten frau, Dȩ guten תindes, \&c.
2. With a pronoun Demonstrative.

Singular.
Nom. Dicfer gute, biefe gute, Diefes gute. Gen. Diefes guten, siefer guten, sicfes guten. Dat. Dicfemguten, biefer guten, bicjem guten. Acc. Diefen guten, Dicfe gute, sicfez gute.

## Plural.

Nom. Dieje guten.
Gen. Diefer guten.
Dat. Dicien guten.
Acc. Dicie guter.
Examples: Diejer gute Colnt, this good son; Diçe gute æodter, this good daughter; biefes gute sinio, this good child, \&cc.
3. With a Pronoun Relative, or Interrogative.

## Singular.

Nom. Wbelder gute, weldye gute, weld es gute. Gen. Welddẻ guten, weldber guten, welders guten. Dat. Wexddem guten, welder guten, weldem guten. Acc. Weldhen. guten, welche gute, weldyes gute.

## Plural.

Nom. Weldue guten.
Gen. Welder guten.
Dat. Weldden guten.
Acc. Weldde guter.
Examples: Welduer gute MRann, which good man; weldhe gute Frau, which good woman; weldses gute תino, which good child, \&c. - Weldher, like which, in English, is used both in a relative, and interrogative signification.
4. With the Adjectives jeber, jebweder, jeglicher, signifying each, every.

## Singular.

Nom. Jeber gute, jebe gute, jebcs gute. Gen. Sedes guten, jeber guten, jedes guter. Dat. Sebem guten, jeber guten, jcbent guten. Acc. Seben guten, icbe gute, iebes gute.

## No Plural.

Examples: Seber gute Mann, every good man ; jebe. gute $\mathfrak{F r u}$, jebes gute Rino, \&c. In the same manner, iedreeder, jebwede, jebinedes, and jeglider, jeglidee, jeg=. lidjes, every one ; mandher, many a-(man, woman, \&c.

## OBSERVTAIONS.

1. The demonstralive pronouns, as many as there are, (see the following chapter), govern the adjective in this form. Of relatives and interrogatives there are none, which can be joined with an adjective, besides the one mertioned.
2. Some participles, which are used in a demonstrative sense, seem to loave the same power, before the adjective. Of this description are: befagt, aforesaid; folgend, following; gedad)t, aforementioned. : For example: Bejagter alte Mann, the said old man; geond $=$ tes neue Şnus, the: new house mentioned; folgenoes ichine $\mathfrak{W e r f}$, the following beautiful work. Sing. nom. $\mathfrak{B e j a g t e r}$ alte Mann, the said old man; gen. befagtes. alten Mannes, of the said old man; dat. bejagtemalten Manne, to-: acc. Kefagten alten Miann, the said old man. Plural, nom. Fifagte alten Mánner, the said old men ; gen. befagter alten ) Rámer, of-; dat. bejagtert alten Mămern, to-: acc. befagte alten Manmer, the said old men. \&c.

## FOURTH FORM.

' This form takes place after the indefinite article, after mumerals, which are declined, after the negative adjective fein, none; and after pronouns personal, and pos: sessive. The inflection is as follows:

1. With the Indefinite Article, and some Numerals.

Singular.
Nom. Ein guter, cine gute, Gen. Eines guten, Dat. Einemt guten, Acc. Einen guten, eine gute,
sin gutes. cines guten. cinem guten: cit gutes

Examples: (Ein guter 9) $a, a n$, a good man; eine gute Frau; ein gutes Rind. Gen. Fines guten Mannes, \&ec.

There is no plural to the indefinite article. It serves also for the numeral one, and, as such, is declined in the same manner. Of the other numerals, juey, two, and orev, three, are only declined, in the genitive and dative, when put before adjectives, in this manner:

## Plural.

Nom.
Gen. Siweyer (breyer) guten, of two (three) good, for instance, people.
Dat. Smeyen (breyen) guten; to -
Note. The word beibe, bolh, may be cousidered as a numeral : and the adjective, after it, be inflected according to the fourth form.
2. With the Negative fein, none.

Nom. Reinguter, feine gute, feingutes. Gen. ふeines guten, Eeiner guten; Eeines guten. Dat. Reinem guten, Feiner gutert, feinem guten. Acc. Reinen guten, Feine gute, Fein gutes:

## Plural.

Nom. Seine guten.
Gen. Reiner guten.
Dat. Reinen guten.
Acc. Reine guten.
Examples: Sein guters)ran, no good man; Feine
 gut oful, fen guts sime, de.

## 3. With a Personal Pronoun.

## Singular.

Nom. S(d) armer, Jch arme, Sd) armes.
Gen. (not usual, in the personal pronouns).
Dat. Mix armen, Mir armen, Miir armen.
Acc. Mich armen, Mid arme, Mid armes.

## Plural. .

Nom. Wir armen, Gen. (not used).
Dat. Unร armen.
Acc. Unร armen.
The same with the other personal pronouns, Du, thou; er, he ; fie, she.

Examples: Sid) armer Mann, I poor man; S(h) arme $\mathfrak{F r a u}, ~ I ~ p o o r ~ w o m a n ; ~ \Im c h ~ a r m e క ̉ ~ S i n o, ~ I ~ p o o r ~ c h i l d, ~ \& c c . ~$

## 4. With a Possessive Pronoun.

## Singular.

Nom. Nein guter, meine gute, meingutes. Gen. S)Reines guten, meiner guten, meines guten. Dat. Meinem guten, meiner guten, meinem guten. Acc. Meinen guten, meine gute, mein gutes.

Plural.

- Nom. Mkeine guten. Gen. Meiner guten. Dat. Meinen guten. Acc. Mrcine guten.
-The same with the other possessives; as, Dein, thy; fein, his, its; ifr, her; unjer, our ; euer, your; ibr, their.


## ADDITIONAL REMARKS ON THE ADJECTIVES.

I. When the adjective, in the first form, ends in el or $e r$, the $e$, before $l$ and $r$, is in the other forms, generally, thrown out, for instance: EEDel, noble,


In the same manner: Lauter, pure, unmixed,


Frequently the $e$, which remains, is put before $l$ and $r$, when the termination is in $n$ : as, ebeln, instead of eDr. Yen, lautern, instead of lautren.

1I. The terminations of some adjectives are not perfectly ascertained, and settled, chiefly of those that end in ich, icht, ig; which syllables are often promiscuously employed, in the same words: for example, $\mathfrak{b l u m i g}, \mathfrak{b l u}$ mid), blumidt-though some grammarians maintain, that a distinction ought to be made between those syllables. Namely ig, or ich, relates to the matter, or sub. stance, of which a thing consists, or is made; and icht signifies similitude, or resemblance: as, blumig, or $\mathfrak{b l b}=$
mid, flowery, full of flowers; blumidt, flower-like, reseinbling flowers-thus, fupferig, coppery, containing copper; fupferidt, coppery, resembling copper, like copper.
III. Some adjectives are never declined, and others only, when an article, or pronoun, is before them. Of the first description are, those ending in ley: as, einerley, of one kind, or of the same kind; zmecierley, of two kinds; breierley, of three kinds; viererley, of four kinds, sci-; alderley, of all kinds; mandjerley, of several kinds, vielerley, of many kinds -add to these, alkerband, of all kinds, of all sorts; and the word einanber, one another, each other; etroas, something of, some. Examples: etroas $\mathfrak{B i e r}$, some beer; allerley $\mathfrak{W}$ ein, wine of various, or all, kinds; allerbant Sadjen, things of all kinds; mit cinanber, with one another. Of the second class are, ganz, all, whole; Girl, half, Examples: without an aric tice, or pronoun, ganz Deutidiand, all Germany, or whole Germany ; Galb Jranfreid, Half France, that is, 'the half of France? with an article, or pronoun, ber gainje Stamm, the whole tribé, gen. Des ganjen ©tammes, sec. Diefe ganze Stadt, this whole town; mein ganjes Dorf, ${ }^{12}$ my whole village ; bie babte Welt, Hialf the world.
IV. The adjectives viel, much, and wenig, listle, Gre f: frequently used"in the first or adverbial form, ebefore
 1 little wine it instead of vieter, weniger, Wein. So in the other genders, viel $\operatorname{spild}$, wenig Mild,'much milk, little milk; instead of viele, wenige; viel $ß i e r$, wenig $\Re$ Bier, much beer, little beer; instead of vieles, weniges. This does not correspond with the rule, yet it is justified by "usage. It is particularly common in wenig, which is left i in its adverbial state, though a preposition should "go
before: as, mit menig (Siclo, with little money. ふiel, after a preposition, is generally declined, but not always : Mit wiel 2 (ugen Didh anjuid aun, with many eyes to behold thee; where vielen ought to have been put, instead of viel*. When the definite article, or any pronoun, stands before these adjectives, they must be declined: as, bas viele ©elo, the great quantity of money; gen. des vielen Geldes, \&ce.
diefes viele Geld, this great quantity of money; mein vieles (Geld, ny great quantity of money; das menige ©elo, the small quantity of money; ienes wenige ©Selo, that small quantity of money; bein meniges Gelo, thy small quantity of money, \&c.

Whenever any grammatical irregularity can be obviated, it should be done. Therefore, where these adjectives may be brought to comply with the general rules, it will be right to subject them to such laws. It is not wrong to say, weniges Gelo, vieles (Gello, instead of we= nig, viel; mit menigem Gelde, instead of mit wenig; and the former mode of expression should be preferred, upon the principle of grammatical accuracy.- Wenig, with the indefinite article before it, is not declined, nor is the article, joined with it, inflected: as, ein wenig $\mathfrak{W e i n}$, a little wine; mit cin menig $\mathscr{W e i n}$, with a little wine; um ein wenig Weins willen, for the sake of a little wine. It has the declinable termination, after that article, whell it is used as a substantive : as, ein Weniges, a smalliquantity. - Wiel and wenig, sometimes govern the genitive case: as, $\mathfrak{W i e l} \mathfrak{W o l k e}$, a great multitude of people; viel $\mathfrak{B i e h e}$, a great number of catte; wenig $\mathfrak{B a f}=$

[^49]fers，a small quantity of water．This is rather an an－ tiquated mode of speaking；but it is not uncommon to use those words，after the genitive case，in the following， and similar phrases：©̧̧ giebt ber gottlofen 〔eute viel， there is a great number of wicked people；es．fino iun＝ fer viel，there are many of us；es find ibrer menig，there are few of them．The words，Der gottlofen $\mathfrak{l e u t e}, \mathfrak{u n}=$ fofer，ibrer，are genitive cases，governed by viel and wenig． I：In a question，wicl and wenig must naturally be placed Le before the geiiitive：as，mic viel find ihrer，how many a are there of them？moie menig find ibrer？low few are 1．）there of them？－What has been said of viel and reenig， applies，in every particular，to their comparatives，mehr， 1：more，and weniger，less．－Thesel also stand adverbially， or or in the first form，before substantiyes，and have the s．same Ilatitudes，and the same limitations，as the former． Mehr（Silld，more money，and weniger ©ello，less money； instead of mefres，wenigeres． 1 And like the others， they occasionally govern the genitive：case：as，folther \＆筑ute mehr，more such peaple；unjer fino mefr，there are more of，us；ifrer find weniger，there are fewer of them：where，foldiger leute，unfer，ibrer，are geuitive cases．

V．Common adjectives are sonietimes used in the first，when they should be in one of the other forms： for inslance，fあjon $\mathscr{B S}^{\text {etter，fine weather，instead of }}$
 instead of ein groées sflide ；cein reifeno 2 Seib，a charm－ ing woman，instead of ein reikentes $\mathfrak{F}$ eib；cin：gut （S） $\mathfrak{A}$ Wein，a good glass of wine，for cin gutez（5las Wein．But this is，fundamentally wrong，and must not Le imitated．Neither the authority of individuals，nor －custom，are sufficient to vindicate it．
VI. When two adjectives, of the same ending, are before one substantive, the termination of the first is sometimes, for the sake: of brevity, suppressed: $\mathfrak{e i n}$ roth $=$ und weiseß (seficht, a red and white face, for ein rothes uno wei persons of the male and fenale sex, for mainlithen; cin genig= uno arbeitianer genich, a contenter, ant industrious man, for genugfamer; bley= und fupfer artiges \&Erz, or contaming lead and c: pper, for bleyartiges; ein gram= und forgenfreies (Semith, a mind free from grief and sorros, for grimfreies. This abbreviation should not be umecessarily adopted; but in particular situa-

1. tions, it is convenient, and sounds well. It is also to be met with in nouns substantive, as (5fenig= und 2rbeit= famkét, contentment and industry, for (Šenigiaméeit; a: and generally indicated by the sign of liyphen $\Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$. T The 8. Spaniards use a similar mode of contraction.
V.II. All participles share in the nature of adjectives. They are capable of the same niodifications, according is to four forms, and subject to the same laws. They will t be treated of, in a subsequent part of this Grammar.
VIII. Adjectives may be couverted into substantives.
T. This is either done elliptically, or abstractedly.
2. Elliptically, when a substantive is understood. E Examples: Der Deutiche, (supply Mann) the German, native of Germany ; Der (Selefyte, (supply Mamn) the learned man, the scholar; Der $\mathfrak{S c i f e}$, (supply Mamn), the wise man; ber Wुeltmeife, the philosopher; Der
§ \&iebfte, or Der (Seliebte, (sup. Mann), the beloved man, the lover; (ber: Riebfie also signifies the husband; bie £iebfte, the wife); Der , Dberfe, (sup. Mann), the man highest in command, the colonel; Der (Gefondte, (sup.

Munn), the man sent, the ambassadour ; Der Bediente, for ßebienende, (snp. Mamn), the waiting man, the man servant ; Der शeifende, (sup. Namn), the traveller; ber Sermanbte, (sup. Mrann), the man reiated, the relation; Der Đefannte, (sup. Mamn), the man, with whom we are acquainted, the arquaistance. Die ©chone, (sup. Frau, or $\mathfrak{F r}$ ruensperion), the fair lady, the fair one; bie (5̧e= liebte, or £iebfte, (sup. Frau, or Frauenspperfon), the beloved woman; Die Befannte, (sup., Frau, or Frauens= perion), the female acquaintance; bie Berwanote, (sup. Frauensperion), the female relation. Dns (Grope, (sup. Wefen, being), that which is great; Das Edbone, (sup. Wefen), that which is beautiful; Das Erbabene, that which is sublime; Daj Edte, that which is noble.-These substantives, in their mode of declension, remain liable to the three last forms of adjectives; namely, when no article is before them, they follow the second form: for example, in the plural, (3elchrte, gen. Seledyrter, dat. Belehrten, acc. Gelehrte. When the defivite article, or a pronoun demonstrative, \&c. precedes, the third: as, sing. nom. Der $\mathfrak{B c}$ biente, the servant, gen. Dç $\mathfrak{B c b i e n t e n , ~}$ dat. Dem SBebienten, acc. Den Bebienten. Plur, nom. Die $\mathfrak{B e b} i e n t e n, ~ g e n . ~ D e r ~ B e b i e n t e n, ~ d a t . ~ D e n ~ B e b i e n t e n, ~$ acc. Die Bebienten. Afier the indefinite article, or a pronoun possessive, \&c. the fourth form : as, sing. nom. mein Beffanter, my man acquaintance, gen. meines
 fimmen. Thus in the feminine gender: sing. nom. meine $\mathfrak{B c f a n n t e}$, my woman acquaintance, gen. mciner Befannten, dat. meiner $\mathfrak{B c f a n n t e n , ~ a s c . ~ m e i n e ~} \mathfrak{B c}=$ fumte. Plur. nom. meine $\mathfrak{B e f u n n t e n , ~ g e n . ~ m e i n e r ~} \mathfrak{B} \ell=$ fanuten, dat. meinen Bcfannten, acc. meine ßBcamu=
ten. In this manner all such words are to be inflected*. But
2. Those which become substantives abstractedly, are differently treated. They represent the quality of the adjective abstracted, that means, as an object of itself, not as an adjunct to another:- for instance, Das $\mathfrak{B l a u}$, the quality of blue, the colour blue; DaS W3ciñ, the colour white ; Das Rumb, the quality of round, rotundity, or a round substance. They arise out of the first form, the article in the neuter gender being prefixed; and are varied after the second, or fourth declension of substantives: 'as, sing. nom. Das BYau, gen. Des
 the neuter, in the second form of viel, much, is used as a substantive, and signifies, a great deal. Wiel lefen, aber nid)t $\mathfrak{F i l l e s}$ lefen, to read a great deal (i. e., to attend much to reading), but not to read a great quantity, or Fariety.

## SECTION V .

## TETHE COMPARISON OF ADJECTIVES.

Qualities assigned to persons, or things, may exist in them, in a certain gradation; for which reason the adjectives, which are words, denoting qualities, are said to le capable of degrees of comparison.
The comparison, in grammar, is generally effected by

- Wielańd (Agathon, vól. i. p. 69), says incorrectly, feine $\mathfrak{B e d i e n t e}$, for, feine Bedienten. Herder errs in the same manner, saying, ibver ভcyofne, for ©dyinen. Зerfit. Blátter, vol. i. p. 122.
two degrees, one of them, containing the idea more, and called the Comparative; and the other, expressing the notion most, and denominated the Superlative.

These degrees are in German, as in English, produced by the addition of certain letters, or syllables, to the adjectives; namely, the comparative by that of $r$, or er, and the superlative by that of st, or est.

This is analogous to the English language, and will be easily understood by the reader. If, for instance, in English, the comparative degree of bold is to be formed, it will be done by adding er to it, bolder: and if the superlative is required, the addition of est will answer that purpose, boldest. It would be the same in German.

## THE COMPARATIVE DEGEEE.

Rule. Take the adjective in its first form (see Declension of Adjectives), and add to it er ; or $r$ only, when is ends in e: you will then have the comparative degree of the first form. Examples: fion, handsome, comparative, finoner, handsomer; enge, narrow, comparative, enger, narrower.

OBSERVATIONS.

1. The vowels $a, b, u$, are, in the comparative degree, changed into the diphthongs $\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \mathfrak{f}:$ as, arm, poor, compar. ármer, poorer; groß̂, great, compar. gro $=$ fer, greater; furz, short, compar. Furjer, shorter; jung, young, compar. junger, younger. $2(u$, remains unaltered: as, raibl, compar. rauber. The following words are likewise exempt from the transmutation: a.) $刃 3 l a \hat{\beta}$, bunt, fabl, falfd, frol, geribe, gefdlant, glatt, bobl,
fahl, farg, fnapp, lahm, laf̃, (weary), los, (loose), lofe, (wanton, frolicksome), matt, morich, nadft, platt, plump, rob), rund, facht, fanft, fatt, fchlaff, Fc)lanfe, ftarr, ftolz, firaff, ftumpf, toll, voll. b.) Those which end in haft and sam: as, lafterbaft, tugenobaft, furd)tfam. c.) The participles; and such as are shaped like participles, though they cannot be deduced from existing verbs. Of this description are : abgeichmadt, bejabrt, befannt, be= tagt, bewandert, bewanot, gewogen, berwegen, berbammit, verbublt, verkaft, verrucht, veridhlagen, verwand, voll = Eommen, woklbabend.
2. Adjectives, which end in el, cast away the $e$ before $l$, in the comparative degree: as, cbel, noble, compar. ebler, (for ebeler), more noble; Dunfel, dark, compar. Dunfler, (for Dunfeler), darker. A similar omission often takes place in those, ending in en and er: as, trocfen, dry, compar. trocfiner, (for trocfener), more dry; offen, oper, compar. offner, (for offener), more open; voll $=$ Fommen, perfect, compar wollfommner, (for vollfomme $=$ ner) ; Bitter, bitter; compar. Bittrer; tapfer, brave, compar. tapfrer. But in these, the elision of the $e$ is not necessarily required, and need not be practised, except for some particular reason in composition.
3. The comparative degree is subject to the four forms of adjectives. a.) The first, or adverbial: as, Syomer ift foboner alz $\mathfrak{S i r g i l}$, Homer is more beautiful than Virgil; Die Sdwefter ift alter atz Der Bruber, the sister is older than the brother; Das תind ift meifer. alz Der Sater, the child is more wise than the father. Die $\Re 0=$ mer maren máchtiger als Dis (Syriechen, the Romans were more powerful than the Grecians. In these examples, the comparative stauds in the first form, as being neither preceded by an article, nor followed by a substantive.
b.) The second form, before a substantive, without an article, or pronouin, antecedent. The terminations er, e, es, are added to the first form: as, sing. nom. fabnerer,

 gro̊ gro̊ßere, gen. grốserer; dat. grôßeren, acc. grôßere. Examples: : In 2ermuth zu ferben ift gróserer $\mathfrak{F i u b m}$ (gróf= Fere (Ebre-grôseres (Shict), ats reid unt lafterbaft ou leben, to die in poverty is greater glory (greater honourgreater happiness) than to live rich and vicious. Die Englanber fino gropsere $\mathfrak{L e u t e}$ ats die Franjoien, the English are taller people than the Freacli. Here is the second form, because a substantive follows. c.) The, third form, with the definite article, or a pronoun demonstrative, or relative, preceding. In this manner: sing. nom.
 ren, ber foineren, bes' fhomeren; dat. Dem fotomeren, ber facineren, Dem phosrieren; acc. ben ithoneren, bie Thenere, bas fajuriere. Plur. niom. Die foboreren, gen. Der fđóneren, dat. Den fđjornereli, acc. Die íhoneretit. Examples: Der grósere Mann, the greater man ; Die grósere $\mathfrak{F r a u}$, the greater woman; bás gróscre Jino, the greater child. Diefer fobotere Mann, biefe fabonere Frau, Die= fes fobontere Sind. d.) The fourth form, with the indefinite article, or a personal, and possessive, pronoun, going before: sing. nom. cin gróserer, cime grổ̃cre, ein
 feren; dat, cinem gróperen, ciner groberen, cittem grôf feren: acc. cinen gró̄eren, cine gróßere; cin gró̄erȩ3.
 beraubt zu werben, als vieter ©chaike, it is a greater loss to be deprived of a friend, than of many treasures.

Thus in the other genders: cine grôjere Demuthigung, a greater mortification, fem,-ein gróḡereş Ungliuft, a greater misfortune, neuter.
4. In the additional remarks on the adjectives, an errour has been noticed, which consists in using the first, or adverbial form, where a declinable form ought to be employed. The same inaccuracy is to be met with, in the comparative degree: for instance, 1choner Wetter,
 (5incty, for ein gróseres sslude, a greater piece of luck. That this is decidedly wrong, has been before observed.
5. Of those adjectives, which are irregular in their comparison, and those, which do not admit the terminations of the comparative degree, an account will be giver in the additional remarks.

## THE SUPERLATIVE DEGREE.

Rule. Add st, or est, to the first form of adjectives; this raises them to the superlative degree: as, fatoin, fine, superl. fho̊nft, finest; grop̄, great, superl. grópeff, greatest.

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. The vowels $a, 0, u$, are clanged into the diphthongs $\dot{a}, \dot{\delta}$, $\mathfrak{u}$, with the exceptions, adduced in the comparative degree, Obs. 1.
2. The adjectives in $e, b, c h, f, g, l, m, n, n d, r$, form the superlative in st: as, gefdminde, sup. gefdmindef: leife, sup. Yeifeft; grob, sup. grobit; berrlich, sup. herrf

 foboin, sup. iकonft; reikeno, sup. reikenoft ; beiter, sup.
beiterft.-Those which end in $e$, divest themselves of this letter, after $b$ and $g$ : as, herbe, sup. herbit; enge, sup. engf.
3. Those in $a u, e u, e y$; and in $d, h, k, c k, f f, l l, m m$, $w_{n}, r r, p f, s$ or $s s, s c h, s t, t, z$, make the superlative degree in est. For example: blau, sup. blaueft; neu, sup. neueft ; frey, sup. freyeft; wild, sup. wildeft ; roh, sup. robeft; falane sup. [d)lanfeft ftart, sup. farleft; bid, sup. Didéeft; idlaff, sup. Thlaffeft; toll, sup. tolleft; Dumm, sup. Dummeft ; Dunn, súp. Duinneft ; Durr, sup.
 sup. gróseft; morid, sup. morideft; feft, sup. fefteft; Gart, sup. Goirteft ; furz, sup. Eurgeft. But in these also, the $e$, before $s t$, is frequently thrown out: as, ber Dummfe, Der bunnfte, Der ftarffle, Der breitfe. In participles it must be removed: as, Der gebildetfte Menid, the most civilized man.
4. When ss precedes the termination of the superlative, est is sometimes changed into $t$. This is done in the word groj, great; of which the superlative is expressed by gróst, instead of gróneft; in the third form, Der grópte, the greatest, for grójefte. The same contraction may be observed in other adjectives, though not so commonly: as in fufs, sup. third form, ber fupte, the sweetest, for füßelfe; blã̃, sup. Der blaß̃te, the palest, for blaflefte; but it is not to be commended. Der befte, the best, which is now the established superlative of gut, good, (see Adelung's Orthogr. p. 289), is contracted from beflefte, beête. The superlative of words ending in isch, is supposed to be rendered less disagreeable to the ear, by substituting $t$ for est; as of viebijich, beastly, sup. third form, Der viehichte, the most beastly, for ber viebiídefte; of baurifd, clownish, Der báurijdte, for
båurifchefte; bưbif(d), rascally, Der bitbifdte. But even by such means, words of that description cannot be made tolerable; and it is best, if possible, to supersede. them.
5. The superlative degree is, like the comparative, susceptible of the four forms of inflection; though most frequently used in the third, with the definite article: as, ber foumfe, the handsomest; Der neuefte, the newest; gen. Des foónften, Des neueften; dat. Dent choonften, Dem neuplen, \&c. See the declension of adjectives. Next to the third, the first, or adverbial, form is often employed; or to speak inore properly, a subtitute for that form. This consists in the dative case singular, of the third form, with the word am prefixed: as, am idjomfen, am neueffen. The following phrases, it is finest, it is newest, would be expressed by, ez if am fdoinfen, am neueften. This circumlocution is to be thus explained. $\mathfrak{K} \mathrm{m}_{\text {, is }}$ isut for, an Dem; an being a preposition, which denotes on, nearest, or next to; and Dem, the dative of the definite article: consequently, am jdjomfen literally signifies next to that, which is finest; and afterwards simply, marks the superlative degree, in its first form, without any collateral meaning. It will be useful to subjoin a few examples: Diefeş frauenzimmer if am fobonfen, wenn fie fich nicht fomminft, this lady is handsomest, or most handsome, when she does not paint herself. Sener Rebner ift immer am gro̊sten, wenn er fich an bie Ecibenfordten mendet, that orator is always greatest, when he addresses the passions. ©f? miro am beften fenn, beute zu Saaufe ou bleiben, it will be best to stay at home to-lay. Derienige Mann ift am reifeften, welf あer ein rubiges Reben fubrt, that man is wisest, or the most wise, who leads a qquiet life. Diejenige శrau if am
\#luggten, welde ibren Şaushalt gut beforgt, that woman is most prudent, who takes good care of her domestick concerns. Daşjenige find ift am licbenswourdigften, weldhes folgiam ift, that child is most amiable, which is tractable and obedient. Diejenigen \&eute fino am ver= nunftigften, weldhe Borurtheilen am menigften unter= morfen find, those people are most reasonable, who are least subject to prejudices. The same ideas might have been answered by the third form. Instead of, it will be best to stay at home, the turn might have been, it will be the best thing to stay at home: es wirb bas befte feyn, \&c. For, that woman is most prudent, who, \&c. you might say, that woman is the most prudent, who, \&cc. Diejenige $\mathfrak{F r a u}$ ift bie flugfte, welche, \&c.-The prepositions auf, upon, and $\mathfrak{z u}$, to, are, for a similar purpose, connected with the superlative degree. They are then, like an, contracted with the article: auf dag, into aufs; $\mathrm{z}^{2} \mathrm{Dem}$, into fum . But their signification is not so extensive, as that of an. They only describe the manner, in which a thing is done, or exists: for example, auff idonfte eingeridttet, arranged in the finest mauner ; aufs vollfommenffe ausgefưhrt, executed in the most perfect manner. Xuf governs the accusative, and the adjective, therefore, ends ine, which is the termination of the neuter of that case, in the third form. 34 is joined, with the dative: as, $\mathfrak{z} u m$ fabonften, in the finest manner; zum volltommenften, in the most perfect manner. The use of the two prepositions last mentioned, especially of $\mathfrak{z l}$, is not so current, nor so classical, as that of the former.The first, or adverbial, form of the superlative degree, in its original state, perhaps only appears in the word allerliebft, most lovely of all : as, bas ift allerliebft, that is most lovely. But even here it is not quite pure: the
word aller, disguises it nearly as much as am, nufis, or zum. $\mathfrak{l i e b f t}$, by itself, for ex. Das ift liebif, never occurs. If it be remarked, that the following, allferft, boidif, jüngit, laingit, meift, gehoriamit, gnadigit, freunolidit, verbinolidit, verbumbenft, and others, are superlatives of the first form; it must be considered, that they are mere adverls, when thus used, and have not the nature of adjectives. They will be mentioned in their proper place. -The second form of the snperlative is chicfly to be seen in tre rocative case, which is like the nominative: as, sing. liebiter Bruber, dearest brother; theurfe
 child; plur. wurbigfte Freunde; most worthy friends. In the fourth form the superiative is to , be found, after the personal and passessive pronouns*: as, इWّ) ármfter Menfid, I poorest man; Du theuriter Bruoce, thou dearest broher; bu liebfte ©dhmefter, thou dearest sister; ihe fofonfen תinber, ye most charming children ; mein Yiebfer $\mathfrak{B r u b e r}$, my dearest brother; Deine liebite ©dhwe= fter, thy dearest sister: and so with the rest.

ADDITIONAL REMARKS ON THE COMPARISON OF

## ADJECTIVES.

I. Some adjectives do not admit the degrees of comparison, by means of additional terminations. They are :

* After the indefinite article it is hardly ever used. In other languages, for instance, in the Italiai, and even in the Dutch, it,occurs in combination with that article: Ror is it uncommon to meet, in Finglish, with phrases like, these, ' a most severe winter, a most cruel disaprointment.' In German, some adverb, such as febr, very, Guferft, extramely, ficthf, highly, would be employed. veris thvissuss
angit, eingebenfy, feind, gar, gram, redt, unreint, theil= baft. Oihers refuse it partly. Of this kind are the participles; and arjectives ending in baft, id), ija): which only suffer the comparative degree in the first form. It may be permitted to say, gelfirteter, gegrunt= beter, in the first form, more hardened, more grounded, from the participles gebartet, gegrundet: but the car would be shocked at the sound of the following forms: geefárteterer, gebårtetere gehárteteres; or, Der gehárte= tere, ein gegrünbeterer, eitre gegrimbetere, ein gegrinde $=$ teres. Thus in the adjectives lebhaft, gevifienhaft, $\mathfrak{l a}^{\circ}=$ derlid, berainberlid) baiuriith, veríduwenberijth, and others, it is allowable to make use of the first form: Yebhafter, gemifienbafter, låcherlider, veránoerlicher, båu= rifher, berfidmendecifder, ; but the subsequent forms, ein lebbafterer, ber ládherliderere, \&c. could not be endured. The superlative degree is not liable to the same objection. : It is not inconsistent with good language, to say, ber lebbaftefte, Der låderlidyfte, and even Der gegrínbetjfe, ber gehartefte: though the adjectives in isch, which are, of themselves, disharmonious, produce a sound still more unpleasant, when augmented by the hissing termination of the superlative: as, ber báuerifchefte.
II. When the degrees of comparison are not expressed by the termination, certain adverbs are made use of to convey the notion: namely, mehr, more, for the comparative; and am meiften, most, for the superlative. For example: feind, inimical; mehr feino, more inimical; am meiffen feind, most hostile; theillaft, partaking; mebr theilhaft, am meifen theilhaft. Those adverbs are sometimes put to adjectives, which are capable of the comparative terminations, when, by this expedient, any harshness, or abruptness, of souud may
be obviated. Thus in milhr, Irue, comp. wahrer, sup. wahreft; where mehr wahr, am meifen mahr, may be used: as diefes̉ ift nodi) mehr with als jentes, this is still more true than that.-After the deduction of the few words, which have heen mentioned, all the existing adjectives form their degices of comparison by means of the terminations; for which the alverbs mefr, am nei= ffent, camot be arbitrarily substituted. However, when two different adjectives are to be compared with one another, it must be done by mebr: as, er ift mefy luftig ats traurig, he is more merry than sad; 2 Cuguftus mar meht gliuctlid) ale tapfer, Augustus was more lucky than brave. This comparison could not be effected by the termination of either adjpective.
III. The following words are irregular, in their comparison: gut, good, comp. befier, sup. am befien; viel; much, comp. mehr, sup. am mehrifen, or ant maifens,The comparative degree, mehr, when put in a declinable form, generally receives, besides the usnal terminations, the syllable re before them. The second form of metye should be, mehrer, mehre, mefres, plur. mehre; but it is commonly expressed by, mefirerer, mehrere, neffreves?, plur. mefyere: ein mehrerez, for ein mehres. This seems to have arisen from a misconception of the declimable ending*; and might be laid aside, without impropriety. Of the superlatives, mefrif and meift, the first may be preferred, as more regular, but the last is more common.

[^50]-The comparative and suiperiative degrees of the adverb balt, which are, cher, am ebeiten, appear sometumes in the situation of adjectives. - Syoch, high, makes the conparative bibler, exchanging the guttural, in the middle, for the simple aspirate; but the superlative, am boddfent On the other hand, nabe; near, has in the comprarative náler, and in the superlative an madd)fen, assinning, in the latter, the guttural, instiad of the mere $h$. These, however, can lardly be called irregular somparisons.
IV. Some adjectives have the anpearance of the comparative degree, though their signification does not correspond with it. They are: ber mittlcere, the middle one; ber áukere, the exterior ; Der intere, the interior; Der obere, the superior; Der untere, the inferior ; ber vor= Dere, the anterior; Der bintere, the posterior. They all take the superlative: as, Der mittelfte, Der augerfe, Der inmerfte, \&c.

## SECTION VI.

## THE NUMERAL.W.

They are divided into cardinal, and ordinal numbers.
A. CARDINAL NUMBERS.

1. (fin, eine, cin: or, piner, cine, cines.
2. Bier.
3. 'Filnf.
4. 3mey.
5. Sẹb ่̊.
6. Srey.
7. Gieten.
8. $\mathfrak{U} d t$.
9. Nrun.
10. Beben, or 3egn.
11. Elf, or cilf.
12. 3mórf.
13. Dreyzegen, or breyzethn.
14. Wierzegn.
15. Funfzebn.
16. Sedtuegn.
17. ©iebenzegn, or fiegzegn.
18. 2abtzebn.
19. Reunzegn.
20. 3wanzig.
21. (Fin und zmanzig.
22. Зwey und zwanzig.
23. Drey und zwanzig.
24. Bier und zwanzig.
25. Fiunf und zwanzig.
26. Sectis und zmanzig.
27. Gieben und ;manzig.

28. Neun tund zwanzig.
29. Dreifict.
30. Ein und dreiffis.
31. 3wey and oreififg, \&ec.
32. Biersig.
33. (Fin und viersig, \&c.
34. Funfigig.
35. Eectzig.
36. Sirbenjig, orfithig.
37. 21あtzig.
38. Reunzig.
39. 5undert.
40. 5undert und ein.
41. 5yundert und zwed, \&c.
42. 3wey bundert.
43. Srey bundert.

1000 Tauiend.
10000. 3ibn taufend.
100000. 5undert taufend.

A million. Eine 2ridion.
1799.

Gin taufend fieken bundert und neun und neunzig.
1800.
datzefn bundert, or Zaufeno (ein taufend) adt bundert
1816.


## OBSERVATIONS.

1. (Sin, cint, ein, one, is declined like the indefivite article. It is, in fact, the same word, used with a dif.
ferent power. As a mumral, it is pronom:ed with a stronger accent: as, cin giann, cine Frau. When it stands by it.elf, but with a reference to a substanive, somewhere expressed, the termination er is added, is the nommative singular, for the masculine; and es, for the, neuter gender, in the nominative and accusalive. For example : haben fie cinen Sut? have you got a hat? bier ift einer, here is one: Šut, bat, which is understood by reference, is of the masculine gender; and therefore it is eincr. Seaben fie ein Neffer? have you got a knife? bier ift cine马, here is one: namely, Nkeffer, which being of the neuter gender, the numeral becomes einez. Finer Der Sormurfe, welde man bem Berfaffer macht, one of the objections, which are made to the author, \&c. The negative adjective, fein, feine, fein, no one, none, is treated in the samie manner: for example, fein $\mathfrak{F u r f t}$, no prince; Eeiner won feinen Nachfolgern, no one of his successors. - Those ardditional syllables can, in such a connection, never be dispensed with, thongh from carelessness, or gnorance, they are sometimes neglected. When the numeral has no reference to a substantive expressed, but serves merely to count, they are not absoIntely required: yet in simply telling the numbers, as one, two, three, four, \&cc. it is usual to give to cin the additional termination of the meuter, but generally contracted, eins, zwey, Drey, vier, \&c. - (Fin, eine, ein, may have the ilefinite article before it; then it is decliwed in the third form of adjectives.

In English, the numbers hundred and thousand have commonly the indefinite article before them, as, a thowsand pounds, a hundred dollars. In German, the article is left out, in such instances.

## Singular.

| Non | ne, | die cine, | Dab eine, the one. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | Des einen, | Der einelt, | Des einen, of |
| Dat. | Dem einen, | ber cinen, | bent cinen, to |
| Acc. | Den einen, | bie cine, | das eine, the on |

The plural may be used for the purpose of distinguishing certain classes of individual objects: as, the ones, and the others; that means, the one set, and the other. Nom. Die einen, the ones; gen. ber einen; dat. den einen; acc. bie einen. Pronouns are also prefixed: as, my one, this one, which one. Then, ein, is considered as an adjective, and put in that form of declension, which is required by each respective pronoun. The German numeral never serves in a suppletive capacity, as the English one; a good one, this one, that one. Here the number is not meant, but one fills the place of the substantive, which is understood, sinice those words, $a$ good, this, that, in English, cannot well stand absolute, without something following. This peculiarity is unknown to the German language : and the adjectives, as well as the pronouns, are placed by themselves, without any such support.
2. 3wey, and Drey, now and then indicate their genitive and dative, by additional terminations: gen. jwener, breyer; dat. zweyen, breyen. This is necessary, when those cases are not otherwise distinguished: as, bie Stirfe zweyer Mranner, the strength of two men; die Macht oreyer תoinige, the power of three kings. Iu
these examples, the genitive case could not be recognised, except by the endings of the numerals; but if the article, an adjective, or a pronoun were present, by whose endings the genitive might be known, it would be improper to vary the termination of the numeral: as, Die Stirfe ber zivey Minner, the strength of the two men: die $\mathfrak{M a d}$ )t Dicfer brey Sonnige, the power of these three kings; Die Zreue zock aufrid)tiger greunbe, the faith of two sincere friends. Here the genitive case is determined by the article, the pronoun, and the adjective; the numerals, therefore, need not be inflected. The declinable ending of the dative is not required, before substantives: for that case is always sufficiently expressed by the latter. But when the numeral is not followed by a substantive, the termination should be added, as a sign of the dative: for instance, $\mathfrak{J} \boldsymbol{J}^{\boldsymbol{W}}$ babe cs juclyen gegcken, I have given it to two; id habe es breyen mitgetheilt, I have communicated it to three. Likewise after prepositions, mit jweych; wilh two; von breyelt, from three. The other numerals all admit the termination of the dative, in the same circumstances: as, Jdh babe es vierell gegeben, I have given it to four; id habe cê finfen gefagt, I have told it to tive ; id) babe es buanjigen mitgetheilt, I have communicated it to twenty, \&c. And with prepositions: for example, auf allen vieren, upon all fours; mit fedfen, with six; yon adden, of eight, \&c. Those which end in en, do not receive the additional termination; as, fieben, jefent, $\mathrm{Dren}=$ zehch, \&c. The termination of the genitive is ouly peculiar to jowey, and brey; and cannot be assumed by any of the rest. Its office must be supplied by a preposition, such as voln, or some other inode of expression, when the case is not of itself discernible. - In bunbert, and taufend, the datire is not to be signified by the addition of en,
burioerten, taujenden: for this would make the number plural, and denote hundreds, and thousands. Recourse may, upon this occasion, perhaps, be had to the indefinite article, as in English: for instance, Si山) babe es cinem bundert gegeben, I have given it to a huudred; idf babe es einem taufeno mitgetheilt, I have communicated it to a thousand; though, after all, this would not be so proper, nor so truly German, as to add some substantive, for the sake of distinguishing the case: as, Зih babe es bunbert £enten gefagt, I have told it to a hundred people; ich babe es taufeno $\mathfrak{l e u t e n}$ gegeben, I bave given it to a thousand people.-In compound numbers, the termination en is not added: as, vor taufend fieben bunbert und neun und neunzig, before the year 1799.
3. It is a property of the language of Upper Germany, to assign three genders to the second numeral, namely zween (or zweene), for the masculine; zwo, for the feminine; and zwey, for the neuter. But in High German, no distinction of gender is admitted, in the cardinal numbers, except in One.
4. In speaking substantively of the cardinal numbers, for instance, as the figures in cards, they are made to be of the feminine gender, the word bie Sabl, the number, being, probably, understood: eine Eint, or Eins3, a one; eine Smey, a two, a figure two; cine Drey, eine Sier; and they end, in the plural, in $\mathfrak{e n}$, according to the first declension of substantives: as, zmey) (Einen, two ones; ghey Sieren, two fours, two figures of four; bren Sedt)= fen, three sixes; vier Reuren, four nines; alle 2lditen, all the eights.
5. Şunbert and taujend, are used as substantives, with the neuter article: as, Das Syunbert, the hundred; Daz $\mathfrak{I}$ aufeno, the thousand. In English, the numbers,

Hundred, and Thousand, when placed before any substantive, have, generally, the indefinite article prefixed: as, a hundred pounds, a thousand dollars. In German, that article is not admitted: but you say, bunbert spund, taujend shaler.
6. The reader will, of his own accord, have taken notice of the mode of forming the decimal numbers, so that it will not be necessary to detain him by any farther remarks.

## B. ORDINAL NUMBERS.

These are declined like adjectives, and nay be put in the four forms. The most usual manner of representing them is iu the third, with the definite article.

Thẹ first. Der erfte. 2d. Ber zmente. sd. Ber dritte. 4th. Ber vierte. 5th. Berfiunfte. 6 th. Ber fectifle. 7th. Ber fietente, or fiebte.
8th. Ber adtre.
9 th. Ber neunte.
10th. Ber aesinte.
11th. Ber effte, or eiffte.
12th. Ber amófte.
13th. Ber breyzefnte.
14th. 刃er viergebnte.
15th. Der funfiebnte.
16th. Der Pechjegnte.

The 17th. Der fiebenzebnte, or fiebsebnte. 18th. इer aftitsebnte. 19th. Ber neunzefnte. 20th. Ber zwanjigfte. 21st. Der ein und zwan. sigffe.
22d. Werzweyunezman jigfte.
2sd. Ber bren und zman. sigfte.
24th. Der vier und gwan= 3igfes.
25th. Derfiunfun¢ zwans
sigfte.
26th. Der fects gigfte.

The 27th. Ser fieben und The 80th. Ser adtifigfte. zwanzigfte. 90th. Wer neunzigfte.

28th. Seract tund zwan:
zigfte.
29th. Ser neun und zwanzigfte.
30th. Ser Dreifigife.
31st. Der ein und breif= 109d. Der Gundert und figfte. 100 th. Ser gundertfe. 101st. Der bundert und erfte. 102d. Эer bundert und swente.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 103d. Der bundert und } \\
& \text { Dritte, \&c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

32d. Ser zwey und beeifs 200th. Ser zwey but, figfte, \&c. dertffe.
40th. Ser vierzigfte. 300th. Der orey bun, 41 st. Wer ein und vier: jigfte, \&c. 1000th. Ser taufendfe. 50th. Ser funfzigfe. The one thousand seven hun60th. Ser fectizigfte. dred and ninety-ninth, 70th. Ber fiebenzigfe, Ser taufend fieben bundert or fiebzigfte. und neun und neunzigfte.

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. In the formation of the ordinals, the first and third numbers are irregular; but the rest follow a certain rule: viz. the termination $t e$ is added to the cardinals, from two to nineteen, inclusive; and ste, from twenty to the last.
2. The ordinals may be brought under all the forms of adjectives. The first, or adverbial, however, is not usual. For these numbers resemble the superlative degree, in their nature, and do not allow that form. The idea, which, according to the English, should be expressed in the first, may, in general, be supplied by the third form; by saying, for example, he is the second, the third, the fourth, instead of, he is second, third, fourth.
 ter, zmente, zmentes. gen. erffez, erfter, erfez; zmery =
 zmente Seite, brittes $\mathfrak{R}$ apitel, first section, second page, third chapter.-Fourth form: Ein zwenter, eine zwente, ein zwentes; ein britter, eine eritte, ein brittes. gen: eines zwenten, einer zwenten, einez zmenten, \&c. In the same manner, after personal and possessive pronouns: as, Jdi britter פfficier, I third officer; mein vierter Jirre thum, my fourth errour; unfer funftes (3la3, our fifth glass; ibre fedife Bouteifle, their sixth bottle. The third form, of course, fellows after demonstrative, relative, and interrogative pronouns.
3. In compound numbers, the last only assumes the shape of an ordinal; the foregoing remain cardinals: as, Der fecth sub neunzigfte, the ninety sixth; Der taufeno fieben hundert und jechs und achtrigfte, the one thousand seven hundred and eighty-sixtb.
4. Der anbere is used as synonymous with ber gmente. The adjective anber, corresponds both to the Latin alius, other, and to alter: and in the latter sense, it serves for the second ordinal number.

## ADDITIONAL REMARKS ON THE NUMERALE.

Besides the two divisions, into cardinals and ordinals, the numbers suffer a variety of modifications, which is will be proper to notice, at the conclusion of this section,
I. Partitive numerals, which are in Latin expressed by, bini, terni, quaterni, quini, deni, \&sc. and in English by, two and two, three and three, or, two at a time, three at a time, four at a time, \&c. or, every two, every three, every four, every five, \&c. The Germans, like the

English, avail themselves, for this purpose, of the conjunction und, and: as, zwey und zwey, brey und orey; or they say, je zroen, je orey, le vier, je zeken, je zmanzig, je oreifitg, ie vierzig, ie funfzig, \&c. Se is an adverb signifying ever, and here denotes at a time.
II. Distinctives. These are, in English, firstly, secondly, thirdly, fourthly, fifthly; or, in the first place, in the second place, in the third place, \&c. In German: Erfflidh, or erftens, zmentenร, סrittensె, viertens, fünf= tens, fedjfens, fiebentens, or fiebtens; addtens, neun=
 ftens, \&c. Also thus: Зum erften, zum zmenten, (or子um andern), 子um britten, zum vierten, fum finften, \&c. Those in ens are the genitive cases of the ordinals, third form ; $s$, at the end, being arlderl, instead of the article, De5 erften, סes zwenten, Deß britten, \&c. And some substautive may be understood, after it: as, Des
 first place, \&c. The genitive case is occasionally found, in German, where a prepositiou ought to be supplied. The other mode is with the dative, and the preposition $\mathfrak{q u}$, before it: $\mathfrak{z u m}$, for $\mathfrak{z u}$ Dem. Here likewise a substantive may be inserted,
III. Dimidiatives, or numbers that halve. They are composed of the ordinals, and the word balb, half. Their signification is extraordinary : for exanple, orit= tebalb, literally, the third (number). half, of Der oritte and $\mathfrak{b a l b}$, means two and a half, two whole ones, and the third half; viertelalb, of ber vierte and galb, three and a half, or three whole ones, and the fourth half*. It

[^51]is not usual to say droentebalb, but andertbalb, which is one and a half, or one whole, and the second lalf. Therefore, the way of proceeding with these numerals, is this: anderthalb $1 \frac{1}{2}$, brittehalb $2 \frac{1}{2}$, viertehalb $3 \frac{1}{2}$, fünftehalb $4 \frac{1}{2}$, fechfitehalb $5 \frac{1}{2}$, fiebentelyalb, or fiebtehalb $6 \frac{1}{2}$, adhtehalb $7 \frac{1}{2}$, neuntehalb $8 \frac{1}{2}, 3$ cehntel)alb $9 \frac{1}{2}$, efftehalb, or eifftehalb $10 \frac{1}{2}$, zmólftelyalb $11 \frac{1}{2}$, Drenzebntehalb $12 \frac{1}{2}$, zroanzigftebalb , 191 $\frac{1}{2}$, ein und zmanzigftehalb $20 \frac{1}{2}$, \&c. For example: anberthalb Monathe, one month and a half; brittehalb $\mathscr{2}$ ochen, two weeks and a half; vierte= balb $\mathfrak{F a h r e ,}$, three years and a half; red)ftehalb (5uineen, five guineas and a half. They are not declined, though they may, perhaps, sometimes admit the termination en, in the dative, when they are put absolutely. In andert= balb, which comes from Der andere, the $e$, in the middle, is supplanted by a $t$, of which the reason seemis to be, that it may be assimilated to the other numbers, which all contain a $t$.
IV. Variatives, which denote a variety, according to number. Thiey are made, by adding erley to the cardinals: as, zmenerley, of two kinds; oreverley, of three kinds; viererley, of four kinds; fünferley, of five kinds; fedferley, of six kinds; fiebenerley, of seven kinds; zwanzigerley, of twenty kinds, \&c. For example: Dreberley $\mathfrak{B e i n}$, wine of three different sorts; zweyer= (ey) Mlimge, coin of two different sorts; fedferley \&Bin= Der, ribbons of six different kinds. In the same manner are used: allerley, of all kinds; cinerley, of the same kind; manderley, of several kinds; vieferley, of many kinds.-The variatives are not declined.
V. Certain adjectives are formed out of numerals: for example, (Einfad), simple, uncompounded; зmenfad,

fadt), zefnfacth, \&c. Of nearly the same signification are those ending in fältig: as, 3weyfáltig, breyfáltig, vier= få̀tig, \&c.-but einfâltig, denotes simple, artless, silly. Similar compositions arise from the cardinal numbers; and substantives: as, zweypfundig, of two pounds; breypfundig, of three pounds; vier und zwanzigpfundig, of twenty-four pounds; from zwey, Drey, yier uno zioan= fig, and Das Mjumb, the pound. Dreyedig, of three corners, or angles, threecornered, triangular; vierecfig, of four angles, square; funfectig, of five corners; from Brey, vier, finf, and bie Ceffe, the corner. These, and others of the like description, are inflected, according to the four forms of adjectives.
VI. The fractional numbers, with the exception of halb, half, are derived from the ordinals, by the addition of $l$ to the nominative of the lhird form. For example: from Der britte, the third, ein Drittel, a third part, or one third; from ber vierte, cin $\mathfrak{B i e r t e l}$, a fourth part, a quarter In the same manner, ein $\mathfrak{F i n f t e l}$, $\frac{7}{3}$ th; ein Sechjtel, $\frac{1}{6} \mathrm{~h}$; ein Siebentel, or Siebtel, $\frac{1}{7} \mathrm{~h}$; ein Behn= tel, $\frac{1}{10}$ th ; ein 3 nanzigftel, $\frac{1}{20}$ th, \&c. So in the plaral: Swen Drittel, ${ }_{3}^{2}$ ds; brey Biertel, 3 this; finf Sedi)ftel, ${ }_{\frac{5}{6} \text { ths } ; ~ f i e b e n ~ 3 e l y n t e l, ~}^{10} 10$ ths, \&c. They are regarded as substantives, and of the neuter gender. The letter $l$, which is added, is a corruption of the word, Das : Ibeil, the part; and it should properly be bas dritte æ్Leil, the third part ; Das vierte æheil, the fourth part. This was contracted into one word, Drittheil, Biertheil; and at last into, Drittel, Siertel. Santb, half, is an adjective; and from it Die Şálfte, (a substantive), the half of a thing, is deduced.

## CHAPTER III.

> ON THE PRONOUN.
containing

1. The Personal and Reciprocal Pronouns.
2. The Possessive,
3. The Deminstrative,
4. The Relative and Interrogative,
5. Miscellaneous Pronouns.

## SECTION. I.

OF THE PRONOUNS PERSONAL, AND RECIPROCAL.
First Personal, $\mathfrak{S} h$, I ; plural, $\mathfrak{W i r}$, we.

Singular.
Nom. Jウt, I.
Gell. Meiner, or mein, of me. Gen. Unfer, of us.
Dat. Mir, to me. Dat. Un3, to us.
Acc. Midy, me.

Plural.

Acc. $\mathfrak{U n}$, us.

Second Personal, Du, thou; plural, Shr, you.

## Singular.

Nom. $\mathfrak{D u}$, thou.
Gen. Deiner, or Dein, of thee. Gen. Euer, of you.
Dat. Dir, to thee. Dat. Euch), to you.
Acc. Didh, thee.

Plural.
Nom. Jbr, you.

Acc. (5uch), you.
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Third Personal. ©r, masculine, he; Sie, feminine, she; © 3 , neuter, it ; plural, ©ic, they, for all genders.

Singular.
Nom. ©r, he;
Sie, she;
๔゙る, it.
Gem. Eeiner, or fein, §hrer, or ihn, Seiner, or of him; of her; fein, of it.
Dat. $\mathfrak{J y m}$, to him; $\mathfrak{J h x}$, to her ; $\quad \mathfrak{J h m}$, to it.
Acc. $\mathfrak{J n n}$, him; Sie, her; ©
Plural.
Nom. Sie, they, (for all genders). Gen. Shrer, of them. Dat. Jhnen, to them. Acc. Sie, them.

## Reciprocal for the third Person. Singular.

Nom. None.
Gen. Seiner, or fein, of himself; §hrer, or ihr, of herself; Seiner, or fein, of itself.
Dat. Side, to himself, to herself, to itself.
Acc. ©id, himself, herself, itself.

## Plural.

Nom. None.
Gen. Shrer, of themselves.
Dat. Sidh, to themselves.
Acc. Sid, themselves.
obsERYATIONS.

1. The genitive case of these pronouns, in both numbers, is limited in its use, It occurs after certain verbs:
for example, Er ladhet meiner, he laughs at me; er fpot= tet ibrer, he mocks them; id fihaime midh beiner, I am ashamed of thee; erbarme bidh meiner, liave mercy upon me. The genitive of the plural is put after numerals, and after the words biel and wenig* : for example, unfer zmolf, twelve of us; ifrer zmanzig, twenty of them; unjer wenig, few of us; ibrer vicl, many of them. In the Lord's prayer, the genitive unfer is, according to the earliest translation, governed by a substantive, $\mathfrak{B a t e r}$; viz. 'Sater unjer, Father of us, instead of unjer $\mathfrak{\Im a t e r ,}$ our Father : which is a literal imitation of the Greek, $\pi \alpha ́ r « \varrho(\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. - The genitive is likewise joined with some prepositions, viz. megen, halbern, millen, denoting on account of. Then, it generally coalesces with them into one word, by means of connecting letters: as, Meinet= megent, on my account ; feinetmegen, on his account; ibretwegen, on her account; unjertweegen, or unfernt= megen, on our acconnt; eueretroegen, or euerentwegen, on your account; ihretwegen or ifrentwegen, on their account. Thus, meinethalben, Deinethalben, meinetwil= Yen, or um meinetwillen, \&c. Olherwise the preposition von, with the dative, is employed to siguify the case of: as, von mir, of me; von uns, of us; von Dir, of thee; von cudt, of you; von ibm , of him; von ihr, of her; von ihnen, of them.
2. In addressing one another in conversation, or in writing, the Germans do not confine themselves to the second personal; but, like the Italians, Spawiards, and Portuguese, they have adopted the third. Besides this, they apply the plural number to a single person. If it

[^52]be an anomaly to speak to one, as if he were more than one, which is done in English, by saying you, instead of thou: it is still more strange to address him, as if he were. not the person spoken to, which is the case in the use of the third personal. How can it, for instance, be supposed, that in this question, What is he doing? the person is meant, of whom that question is asked, and that it stands for, What art thou doing? And if it be but one person, it appears absurd to assign to him the prosoun, in the plural number: as, What are they doing? This, however, is the fact, in the German language. The Italians, Spaniards, and Portuguese have another monstrosity, in their polite manner of speaking, namely, that of bestowing on the person, whom they address, although it be a man, a feminine pronoun. That perverseness has sprung from the corruption of the middle ages. At that tasteless period, new terms were introduced into the Latin tongue, in the form of titles and appellations, such as, Majestas, Celsitas, Serenitas, Excellentia, Gratia, and the like; and these figures of adulation were, with various modifications, received into the modern languages, of Europe. The Spaniards produced their Merced (in. Vuessa Merced, or Usted, your Mercy, your Grace); and the Italians their Signoria (in Vossignoria, your Lordship, your Ladyship). Those words were first intended as abstracted representations of the virtues, and qualities of the person, to whom they were given: but, gradually, the person was confounded with his attribute; and this was addressed, instead of the person. It was accompanied by the possessive pronouns of the persons, as your eminence, his grace, their excellencies, her high-, ness; in the same manner as any common appellative, for example, your father, your mother. In saying, his
eminence has written, the natural analysis of the idea is this: He, that eminent man, or he, who is anl eminent man, has writteu; for, your highness has conferred a favour upon me, the fuxdamental notion is: Thou, who art, or whom I respect as, an illustrious person, hast conferred upon me a favour. - The third person of the verb occupied the place of the second. Having arrived at this, some nations went a step farther. The third person, they took for granted, was a mark of respect; and they observed, that those appellatives, with which it was connected, were of the feminine gender, as celsitas, serenitas, excellentia, \&c. Therefore, when they bad occasion to use a pronour, they assumed the third personal of the feminine gender. Thus the mystery of the usual mode of address, in the Italian, and other languages, is cleared up. The French and English did not go that length. For though they received such titles, as your majesty, your highness, your lordship, in the third person ; yet, when a prowoun was wanted, they turned back to the second: as, I told your majesty, and you were pleased to direct, \& c. ; when I waited on your grace, you desired, \&c.: in which instances, the Italians would say, she (Lat. ea, namely, majestas, gratia) was pleased, she desired.-As in the Italian, Spanish, and Portuguese tongues, the expression Vossignoria, and Usted, were, by' degrees, applied indifferently, for the sole purpose of civility; the third of the feminine gender became a general form of politeness. -This notion, concerning the third person, was admitted by the Germans. But they overlooked its origin, and no longer associated with it those substantives, to which it owed ils existence. They deemed the third person of itself sufficient; and there. fore appropriated the masculine pronoun, $\mathfrak{C r}$, he, to a
man; and Sie, she, to a woman. Not contented witlı this, they introduced another innovation. With the third person they combined the plural number, which had previously been adopted in the second person, as a refinement in speaking; you for thou. In the same way, Sie, they, was substituted for Esr, he, or Sie, she. At length, the following practice has been established. The plural of the third personal, Sie, is the polite mode of address, made use of by , and to, people of education. For example, instead of How do you do? the Germans, in their language, will say, How do they do? It will be remarked, that this may sometimes be mistaken for a real third person; and confusion may hence arise. However, the context, in most places, will show who is meant ; and where that is insufficient, a gesture, or some other means of explanation, may make the person understood. In writing, misconceptions of that kind must be obviated by clearness of expression; besides which, the pronoun of address is commonly begun with a capital, whereas the mere third personal has only a small letter forits initial, unless it be at the beginning of a sentence. - Notwithstanding this new manner of speaking, the former ones, thou, you, he, she, are still in use, but assigned with a particular discrimination; respecting which a few words must be added, since it is become necessary, for the present language, to distinguish them properly. The singular of the second person, $\mathfrak{D u}$, thou, is first of all applied to the Supreme Being; because upon him we poor mortals can bestow none of those vain, and worthless titles, with which we decorate our fellow creatures. In the next place, it implies familiarity, founded upon affection, and fondness. This is the pleasing appellation, by which parents speak to their children, and bro-
thers, and sisters, to one another. It is the language of love, and conjugal union. With friends, it is as a token of intimacy, and confidence: and though it is as much abused, as the name of friend itself, yet it is often found to denote the close harmony of congenial souls*. Children are sometimes allowed to speak to their parents in the same mannert; though, in general, the third person plural, Sie, is preferred, as more respectful. Lastly, $\mathfrak{D u}$, is tlie reverse of ceremonious politeness, and thus it is applied, where particular distinctions are laid aside. Therefore, it is comrnonly made use of, in speaking to little children : and to people in very subordinate situations; for example, by the officer to his soldiers. It is aften heard in quarrels, and opprobrious language, when the considerations of decorum, and propriety, are disregarded. All these significations may be reduced to the notion of familiarity, differently qualifiedt.-The

[^53]plural $5 \mathfrak{h r}$, you, when referred to one person, generally involves the idea of that familiarity, which is used towards inferiors; for instance, by a master towards his menials: it is likewise bestowed upon individuals of low condition.-Since the plural of the third personal was adopted, as the polite mode of address, the singular has been reserved for the lower stations of life : namely, ©r, he, for a male; and Sie, she, for a female. In this manner you address your servants. Thus a person of rank, in the consciousness of his pre-eminence, will speak to trades-people, and the prince to his subjects. Yet those persons frequently forget the comparative height, on which they stand, and are carried along with the stream of general politeness. Servants, however, are seldom spoken to in any other way, than the singular of the third personal: also those in a mean situation, such as common handicraftsmen, peasants, labourers, and others. The plural of the second personal, $\mathfrak{J y r}$, is even a degree below this*.
3. The first and second personal pronouns-are also endowed with a reciprocal power. For they are used reciprocally, without any addition; and myself, thyself, ourself, yourself, and yourselves, are expressed by, mid), Did, $\mathfrak{u n j}$, cuch. For the third person, there is a distinct reciprocal, which is mentioned above. In this respect the German language differs from the Euglish, in which the reciprocals are composed of the possessive prononns, and the termination self. The Germans have the word, felbft, or felber; but this expresses the Latin ipse, the French même, the Italian, stesso, or medesimo, \&cc. and

[^54]may be annexed，not only to pronouns，but to any sub－ stantive ：as，Jid felbft，I myself，that means，I，in my own person，no one else； $\mathfrak{D u} \mathfrak{f e l b f}$ ，thou thyself； $\mathfrak{C x}$ felbit，he himself；Wir felbft，Jbr felbft，Sie felbft． Der Mann felbft，the man himself；Dic Reute felbit，the people themselves，\＆c．It is to be observed，that when the third personal serves for the pronoun of address，the third reciprocal must correspond with it：for instance， Getee（Er fich），seat yourself，sit down，to a man of inferior condition，literally，let him seat hinself；ferge ©ie fich， to a woman of an inferior description；literally，let her seat herself；feten Sie fith，the plural，as a polite mode of speaking，literally，let them seat themselves．

4．The neuter of the third person singular，is used as the subject nominative，before and after verbs，and joined with all genders，and numbers．For example：『 $\mathfrak{z}$ 诉 Der Mann，it is the man；es ift die frau，it is the wo－ man；©ริ if Das アind，it is the child；©̧̧ fino Mámer， they are men ；es fino Weiber，they are women ；es if ein Mann bier，there is a man here；© $\mathfrak{i f t}$ eine $\mathfrak{F r a u}$ bier， there is a woman here；cs find $\mathfrak{L c u t e}$ bier，there are peo－ ple here．After a verb：as， $\mathfrak{J i t c}$ cin Mann，is it a man？find ęs Manner oder Wsiber，are they men，or women？Ein Mann ift ȩ̧，it is a man；Mámer finb eš， they are men．When thus placed，it eitlier makes a ques－ tion；or gives an emphasis to the substantive preceding． －It is put，where the Euglish use the adverb there，as in this：Eff folgten vile，there followed many；e૬ffarben mur menige，there died but few．

5．（E）is sometimes contracted with other pronouns： as，Sches，for idh e ；mirs，for mir eకె；midder，for midh e ．
 Likewise with verbs：for exauple，iftz，for ift eż；gebts？ for geht c ：：but these contractions are best avoided．

## SECTION II:

## PRONOUNS POSSESSIVE.

These are: Mein, my, or mine; Dein, thy, or thine; Sein, his; Shr, her, or hers; Sein, its; Unjer, our, or ours; Euer, your, or yours; $\mathfrak{F h r}$, their, or theirs. They have three genders, in the singular, and are declined in the same manner as the indefinite article, or the first numeral, viz.

Singular.

|  | Masc. | Fem. | Nent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | Mein (meiner), | meine, | mein (meines), |
| Gen. | Меinés, | meiner, | meines. |
| Dat. | Meinem, | meiner, | meinem, |
| Acc. | Meinen, | meine, | mein (meine3). |

## Plural.

Nom. Meite.
Gen. Neiner.
Dat. Mreiner.
Acc. Meine.

Like this, are varied: Dein, Deine, Dein; Sein, Ǐine, fein; Unjer, unjere, unjer; Euce, euere, euer; Jir, ibre, ibx

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. These pronouns are either joined with substantives: as, Mein ßater, my father; beine Mutter, thy mother; fein תind, his child, \&cc.: or they stand by themselves: as, ©F5 ift mein, it is mine; es ift Dein, it is thine; es iff fein, it is his; ç iff inr, it is hers; e $\mathfrak{e}$ ift unfer, it is ours; es ift euer, it is yours; es ift ihr, it is theirs. When put absolutely, or by themselves, as in the examples last mentioned, the masculine gender, in the rrominative singular, sometimes receives the termination er, and the neuter, in the nominative and accusative, that of es. But as these endings are not always added, a rule must be given, when that addition should take place, and when not. When simply a possession is signified, whout any other idea attending it, neither those terminations, nor that of the feminine gender, are required: as, Der Garten if mein, the garden is mine: Die ©tube ift bein, the room is yours; Dis Şaus ift fein, the house is his. But when a comparison of possession is implien, those endings are to be subjoined. For example: whose book is this? it is mine; this must be, © $\mathfrak{z}$ if meines, with the additional termination; because a comparison of possession is understood-is it that, which belougs to me, or that which belongs to you, or to a third person? the answer is, it is that which belongs to me. W̧efien Scuno ift bas? whose dog is that? © if unjerer, it is ours-Wefien feder ift Das? whose pen is that? © 5 ift feine, it is his. Here the same comparison is involved, and the termination affixed. So in the following: Sif bicfes meines doer ibres? is this mine, or theirs?-Mein, ç ift beines, ober eures, no, it is thine,
or yours. This difference in the use of the possessives, though apparently nice, ought to be carefully observed. The rule, as before said, is : when a possession is merely indicated, the pronoun is put without the declinable endings, in the nominative singular, masculine, and the nominative and accusative, neuter; but when a distinction of the possessors is made, the terminations must be added. It is, of course, understood, that the substantive is never expressed, when these terminations are employed*.
2. It unjer, euer, the $e$, before $r$, is frequently left out, when a syllable comes after it: as, unirer, unjre,
 for euerer, euere, eueres.
3. The possessive pronouns may be ușed absolutely, with the definite article: as, Der meine, Die meine, Das meine, mine; Der beine, thine ; Der feine, his; ber ibre, hers; Der unfere, ours; Der euere, yours; Der ifre, theirs. I say absolutely, that means, with no substantive following, though understood. For example: Wexefen Suut ift Das? whose lat is that? ©F? ift ber meine, it is mine. weffen $\mathfrak{U h t}$ ift Das? whose watch is that? ©马 if die Deine, it is thine. Weffen Budy if Das? whose book is that? EES ift Das feine, it is his. The French have the same manner of expression, in le mien, le tien, le sien, le nôtre, le vồre, le leur. Indeen, they cảnuot put their possessive pronouns absolutely, unless the article is prefixed. In English, the article camnot be joined with them. But in German, the pronoun possessive absolute may either be used with, or without the article: nor is

* Compare with this what is said of the first numeral, p. 190.
it sufficiently defined, where the one, or the other, is preferable. It seems solely to depend on the snund, and the structure of the sentence; though, at the same time, it camot be denied, that, when the article is made use of, the possession seems to be more distinctly marked. When united with the article, it is most usual to insert an additional syllable, namely ig: as, Der meinige, ber bei= nige, Der feinige, Der ibrige, Der unfrige, Der eurige, ber ibrige. The former, without the syllable ig, may be regarded as a peculiarity of the Upper German dialect, though it sometimes occurs in the best writers. - The definite article going before, these pronouns are declined after the third form of adjectives.

4. Dero and Sifro, are possessives, used in ancient and ceremonious language. They serve for addressing persons of distiaction, being put for $\mathfrak{J i b r}$, your, or yours; and are not declined.
5. The possessives are sometimes found after genitive cases, supplying the place of the declinable ending in the substantives: as, Des Mann fein ßuch), the man his book, for bes §nannes ßud); Der Frau ibr תind, the woman's child, for Daş תinio ber Frau. But this is not to be considered as a pure, and classical mode of expression.

## SECTION IIL.

## PRONOUNS DEMONSTRATIVE.

UNDER this head are comprised all those, which point out, or determine, the subject, to which they refer. They are: Diefer, Diefe, Diefes, this; Lat. hic, hac, hoc. ¿ie= ner, jene, jenes, that; Lat. ille, illa, illud. Der, Die, Da3, that; Lat. is, ea, id; or iste, ista, istud. Derienige, biejenige, Dasjenige, that; Lat. is, or ille. Derjelbe, bie felbe, Dafielthe, the same; Lat. idem, eadem, idem.
A. Those which are properly demonotrative.

Singular.
Masc. Tem. Neat.
Nom. Diefer, biefe, biefez, (or bief̃), N. Diefe. Gen. Diefes, biefer, biefes. G. Diefer. Dat. Diefem, biefer, biefem. D. Diefen. Acc. Diefen, Diefe, Diefeş, (or bieß). A. Diefe.

Singular.

| Nom. | Sener, | jene, | jeneకె. | N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | Senes, | jener, | jenes. | G. Jener. |
| Dat. | Senem, | jener, | jernem. | D. Jener, |
| Acc. | Ṡnen, | jene, | јenes. | A. seme. |

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. When two demonstratives are put together, in the genitive and dative singular, and the genitive plural, the last of them may assume the termination en, instead of er, em,es. By this means the concurrence of the same
syllables is avoided, if that should be thought objestionable. For example: Die Meinungen Diefes und jenen Manne3, (for jenes), the opinions of this and that man; or in the plural, Diejer und jenen Mafnner, of such and such men. Won Diejer und jenen (Sichid)te-(dat. fem. for jener), of this and that history. 2(uక Diefem uno jenen Budhe (for jenem), out of such and such a book.
2. The neuter singular of these pronouns, is placed before and after verbs, without any distinction of gender or number, in the same manier as the personal ©fi. See above. For instance: Diejes, or bieß, ift ein Mann, this. is a man; jenes ift eine Frau, that is a woman; Dief fino Menichen, these are men. Wab fur cin 以ann ift dies? what man is this? Was fur eine Frau ift Dies? what woman is this? $\mathfrak{W}$ a̧f fur $\mathfrak{L e}$ ute fino dien? what people are these?
B. Determinative Pronouns. They determine, or limit, the subject, by causing it to depend upon a relative, which follows.

Singular.
Plural.

|  | Masc. | Fem. | Neut. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | Der, | Die, | Das. | N. Die. |
| Gen. | Deffen, (oz Debi), | Deren, | Deflem, (or beß ). | G. Derer, (or beren). |
| Dat. | Dem, | Der, | Dem. | D. Dener. |
| Acc. | Ben, | Die, | Daら. | A. Die. |

Singular.

Nom, Derienige,
Gen. Desjenigen,
Dat. Demjenigen, Acc. Denjenigen,

Fem. Diejenige, Derjenigen, berjenigen, Diejerige,

Neut. Daß̉jenige, bejenigen. Demijenigent. baķjuige.

## Plural.

> Nom. Diejenigen, Gen. Derienigen. Dat. Denjeniger. Acc. Dicienigen.

## Singular.

|  | Masc. | Fem. | reat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notm. | Derfelbe, | Diefelbe, | daffelbe. |
| Gren. | Deffelben, | berjeltben, | Deffelber. |
| Dat. | Dempelben, | Derfetben, | Demfetber. |
| Acc. | Denjelbern, | diefelfe, | daffetbe. |

## Plural.

## Nom. Diefelber.

Gen. Derfelben.
Dat. Demfelbent
Acc. Diffelben.

OBSERVATIONS.

1. These pronouns may be joined to substantives, or stand by thenselves: as, Der Menfch, weldter tugendhaft lebt, ift weife, that man, who lives virtuously, is wise Der, meldder tugendhaft lebt, ift weife, he, who lives virtuously, is wise. Derienige Menid, weldher Ungerechtig= feit liebt, ift ein Bojemidyt, that man, who loves injustice, is a villain-Derienige, weldher Ungeredtigfeit liebt, ift ein Bofemidyt, he, who loves injustice, \&c. Derfetbe Menid, welder-or Derjelbe, welder.
2. The relative generally follows after Derienige: but not always after Der, and Derfelbe. For example: ©̧ร ift Der stam, it is that man; es ift berfelbe gam, it is the same man. When Der, is by itself, peither before a sub-
stantive, nor followed by a relative, some local adverb is commonly put to it, as bier, Da, Dort: Der ba, that person there; Der bier, that person here; Der bort, that person yonder. W̧efien Jaaus ift bas? whose house is that? Defien da, or dé ba, that person's. Wem gehort es? to whom does it belong? $D \mathrm{em} \mathrm{Da}$, to that person.
3. The genitive case of the demonstrative, Der, Die, oas, in all genders, and both numbers, frequiently serves as a substitute for the third possessive pronoun, viz. ceflen, Deren, beffen, for his, her, its; Derer, or Deren, pl for, their. This will be noticed more at length hereafter.
4. Der, Die, bab, when serving as a demonstrative protoun, has a stronger accent, in pronunciation, than the definite article, by which it is distinguished from the latter. The genitive plural should always be berer, to discriminate it from the gen. singular fem. but nevertheless it is frequently expressed by beren, sometimes with a view to ameliorate the sound: as, beren einer, one of those, for berer einer.-This pronoun, when joined with the adverb cben, signifies the same, Lat. idem, and is equal in meaning to Derfelbe: as, Eben ber Mamu, the same man; eber bie frau, the same woman; cben Dus Kinb, the same child.
5. Das, like dicf, and $\mathfrak{c}$, is seen in connection with different numbers, and genders: as, Das ift ber Mam, that is the man; bab ift die frau, that is the woman; Das find Die $\mathbb{L e n t e}^{2}$, those are the people.
6. Derfectbe, is frequently employed with the signification of the third personal, or that of a simple demonstrative, in the manuer as, in English, the same is used. For example: I have received the book, and read the same, for, and read it. But its peculiar and original
power, is the Latin idem. In that sense, it sometimes has the adverb eben, before it, which gives it additional force, eben Derjelbe, the very same; and occasionally also the first numeral, which still increases, the meaning: as, ein und cben Derjelbe, one and the same.
7. Derfelbige, biefelbige, Daffelbige, the same, is synonymous with Derfelbe, Diejelbe, Daffelbe. Sometimes it has a strong demonstrative power, signifying that; but altogether it is a word, not of the most classical stamp.
8. Der nåmlidye, and Dergleide, occur as substitutes for berfelbe ; but not frequently.
9. Selbiger, e, e亏̉, Lat. is, ea, id; folderer, e, eร, or rather, eill folderer, such a one; and fo ein, fo eine, fo ein, nay be added to the list of demonstrative pronouns. The first mentioned coincides, in its meaning, with berje= nige, and ber, but it is not so much in use: as, felbiger SNench, that man, or the said man, for ber Mrnich; fu felbiger Seit, at that time, for zu Dè Scit.
10. Instead of the demonstrative pronouns, with certain prepositions, the local adverbs Gier, here, and ba, there, are often employed : Gier, may then be changed into hie, before a consonant, and Da, must be transformed into Dar, before a vowel. For example: Siermit, or Giemit, herewith, for mit Diefem, with this; Gierauz, hereout, hence, for aus Diejem, out of this; Gieran, hereon, for an Diefen, on this. Davon, thereof, forvon Dem, of, or from, that; or, for the plural, von benen, of, or from, those; Daran, thereon, for an bem, on that; Darauణ, thereout, for $\mathfrak{\lfloor ร \text { bem, out of that; Damit, }}$ therewith, for mit Dent, with that ; bariber, thereupon, for uber Das, upon that, \&cc.

## SECTION IV.

PRONOUNS RELATIVE AND INTERROGATIVE.
These are, W్Seldher, weldee, weldees, who, and which; and W్Ser, neut. wa̧ె, who, what. Der, Die, Daz̈, is, like the English that, used in a relative signification.

Singular.
Masc. Fem. Neut.
whith Nom. W3elder, meldie, weldhes. N. Wुeldhe. Gen. WSeldesె, weldter, meldics. G. W3eldher. Dat. Weldem, neldher, weldhem. D. Weldjen. Acc. Weldien, weldte, meldere. A. Wicldte.

## Singular.

Masc. and Fem.
What Nom. Wer,
Gen. $\mathbb{D S}$ effen, (or mén).
Dat. W3em.
Acc. Wुen.
Singular.
Masc. Fem.
Nom. Wer, Die, Das.
Gen. Deffen, Deren, (or Deffen. ber*?
Dat. Dem, ber,
Acc. Den, Die,

Plural.

Plural none.

Neut.
พ็ลร.

| Singular. |  |  | Plural. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masc. | Fem. | Neut. |  |
| Nom. Der, | Die, | Das. | N. Die. |
| Gen. Deffen, | Deren, (or bert?) | Deffer. | G. Derer, (or Deren). |
| Dat. Dem, | ber, | Dem. | D. Denen. |
| Acc. Den, | Die, | Das. | A. Die. |

* For ex: Eine Sriegeşift, Der ficb ©djar. Fediente, a stratagem, which Cæsar employed. But I am of opinion that the genitive, ber, is incorrect: it should always be deren.


## OBSERVATIONS.

1. The English distinguish, in their relatives, the pro-7 nouns who, and which; applying the former to persons, and the latter to animals, and things. The Germans admit no such difference. They use melder, in relation to the one, and the other. In English, that, has frequently the function of who, or which, especially for the purpose of avoiding repetition. In a similar manner, the Germans employ ber, Die, Das, instead of welder, welde, weldecs. The former has the advantage of brevity; and is, therefore, often preferred: though in many places, melderer, would be decidedly better. The latter ought to be considered as the original, and proper, relative; and Der, Die, Daß̉, only as an occasional substitute. Welcher should have the preference : 1) After the first, and second, personal pronouns*. S(b), melderer-I who; Du, meldere-thou who; Shr, weldee-you who; Sie, wel= dye-they who. - 2) When the relative immerliately precedes the verb, without another word intervening: as, Ein MRant, weldjer fdureibt, a man who is writing; ein Sinto, melifees liej't, a child which is reading. 3) When the definite article, or a demonsirative pronoun, goes just before: as, Der Mrann, welcher - Diefer Menfd, welder - Jene Frau, melde-Dasjenige Rino, weldes. The last distinction is not sufficiently regarded, in practice; and those pronouns are promiscuously used. That this is

[^55]wrong, cannot escape the observation of an accurate judge. By allowing Der, Die, Das, to follow closely after the definite article, or a demonstrative pronoun, an unpleasant uniformity of sound is, in the first place, created. Secondly, Der, Die, Da̧̧, having itself the quaIfications of the definite article, and of a pronoun demonstrative, a mutability of signification is exhibited; which is no virtue in any word, nor in any language, and is the more objectionable, when there is another term in existence, in every respect of the same power, and aptness, which is exempt from those imperfections. - In the two other situations, Der would produce an abruptness of sound, to which the German language is averse. Therefore, Sict), Der viele Bǐcher gelejen Kabe; I who have read many books; must be changed into, J(h), melcber viele Buicher gelejen habe: and, instead of, Ein Snaber ber idfrcibt, a boy that writes; it will be proper to say, E-in Sinabe, meldher fareibt. The defect of abruptness, in the relative Der, is often remedied by the addition of certain words to that pronoun. Namely, when placed after the first, or second, personal, the antecedent pronoun is repeated after the relative. For example: $\mathfrak{J d}$, Der ich viele SBicher gelefen Kabe, I who have read many books; Du, Der Du bier ftekeft, thou who standest here Wir, Die mir hier verfammelt find, we who are here assembled; Shr, Die ibr euer Baterland liebt; you who love your country*. When alone with a verb, after the third personal, or a substantive, the adverb ba is joined

[^56]with it: as, Ein Sinabe, ber סa fdireibt, a boy that writes. Da, signifies there, but serves, in this juncture, as a mere expletive, to leigthent the short relative pronoun.-Der, as a relative, never stands united with a substantive; for instance, Der Manr cannot express, which man.
2. The genitive plural of the pronoun $\operatorname{Der}$, Die, Da , is properly berer; but when it is used in a relative signification, it is commonly changed into beren, though not always*.
3. The adverb fo, so, sometimes represents the relative. For example: Die $\mathfrak{W a b r b e i t}$, weldhe wou cinem Werfe, wie basjenige ift, fo wir den \&iebbabern biermit vorlegen, gefobert werben kann, beftebet darin, \&ec. "The veracity that can be required of a work, like the one which we now lay before the lovers of elegant literature, consists in this," \&cc. Wieland. It is a peculiarity of the ancient style, and at present only resorted to, for the purpose of preventing the repetition of other relatives. When the verb follows after it, without any other word between, ba is added, as in the relative ber, Die , Daj. For example, in Bürger's Letioré:

Dody Feiner war, Der תundidaft gab, Son allen, fo da fanten, i.e. of all that, came.
> - Sant feufae fie nade in jenen Blumen, Derer $\mathfrak{j a u p t}$ am siáget Schwerer und gepentter if.

"Then repeat my sounds, sighing, among those flowers, whose heads, near the grave, are drooping and declining." From Denis's Monody on the Death of Gellert. Here the genitive derer, is more emphatick and distinct, than deren would appear.
4. $\mathfrak{W} \mathrm{Ger}$, who, as a relative, always begins a sentence: what otherwise would be the antecedent, follows. For example: $\mathfrak{W e r}$ auf bem $\mathfrak{B e g e}$ ber ふugend wandelt, ift gluctlich, he who walks in the path of virtue, is happy. It is never put after an antecedent. It would, for instance, be a solecism to say, Der SNam, wer bie (Şçeke ebyt, the man, who respects the laws. Here a different relative is required. Nor can wer, be connected with a substantive: as, wer Mann, which man; for meldere Mann. When wer stands alone with the verb, ba is frequently added to it, in the same manner, as it is to Der (see the former page): as, $\mathfrak{W e r}$ Da glaubt, Dán Dieß $\mathfrak{\beta}$ fey, irrt fich, he who thinks that this is so, is mistaken, --The neuter maj, may either commence a sentence, or be placed after an antecedent. For example: $\mathscr{W} a \mathfrak{g e}=$ red) ift, verbient $\mathfrak{L O b}$, what is just deserves praise; $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$, was bu mir gejagt baft, that which you have told me; alles, was idh gefchen habe, all I have seen. It stands here to signify which; and is very properly employed after an antecedent, denoting something general, and undetermined. When an individual, or particular, subject precedes, it is necessary to express the neuter, which, by weld es: as, Dаร \$3uch, weldece ©ie mir geliehen ba= ben, the book which you have lent me. This rule is sometimes neglected, and was put, where weld)es ought to be the relative; but such deviations are not to be approved.-W13er, occasionally, signifies somebody: as, $\mathfrak{C}$. ift wer Da, there is somebody; and wns, something: as, id) bube was, I have got something. But these expressions are not classical.
5. The relative pronoun is often onitted in English, and to be understood in a sentence. For example; "The man I love," i.e. whom I love.

The pensive muse shall own thee for her mate, And still protect the song she loves so well. Charlotte Smith.
i. e. which she loves.

Simply the thing I am shall make me live.
Shaisplear.
i. e. which I am.-In German, the relative must always be expressed.
6. The pronouns $\mathfrak{W e r}$, neuter, wa3; and weldher, meldje, welddes, also serve to questions, or in other words, have the signification of interrogatives. - Wer is applied to persons, either male or female, and was to things. They ask the questions, in a general way, as the English who, and what: and are never joined with a substantive. Welder, weldhe, meldhez, refers to particular objects, and may be united with substantives. It answers to the English interrogative, which: as, weldher Mann, which man.
7. $\mathfrak{W a} \mathfrak{5}$ is combined with the indefinite article, to express the French interrogative, or exclamatory, pronoun, quel, Lat. qualis, and the English what, with or without the indefinite article, as, what man? or, what a man? But in German, the preposition fur is inserted, between wab, and the article: as, mas fur ein Mann, what man, or, what a man; mas fir eine $\mathfrak{F r}$ ru, what woman, or what a woman; mas, fut ein תinb, what
child, or, what a child. It is literally, what for a man, what for a woman. This preposition had probably a meaning, when it was first introduced; which by time has been obliterated ${ }^{*}$. The indefinite article is omitted, as in English, before the plural number, and when the idea is of a general cast rather, than referring to an individual object: for example, wab.fin Menidhen, what men! was fur ßeuğ; what stuff! waz fur $\mathfrak{W e c}$, what wine ! - Weld cin, fem. welth eine ; pl. meldje, are occasionally used in the roon of, was fúr ein, was fúr cine, and was firr.
8. The local adverb roo, where, with a preposition, frequently fills the place of a relative pronoun. For example: womit, wherewith, instead of, mit weldem, fem. weldjer, or plur. mit weldhen, with which ; wovon, whereof, instead of von welthem, weldjer, meldent, of which; wodurd), where through, for burd) weldjen, weldhe, meldyes, tirough which: wogu, whereto, for $\mathfrak{z u}$ weldem; weldjer, weldyert, to whicli. When the preposition begins with a vowel, $r$ is inserted after mo: as, moraus, whereont, for out of which; woriber, whereupon, or whereover, for upon which, or over which.

- The Swedes, Danes, and Dutch have the same manner of expression; and in Shakspear (Much Ado about Nothing, Act I. last scene) the following passage is found: "What is he for a fool that betrothes himself to unquietness." What for a fool, seems to be said instead of, What - fool, and to correspond with the German mode of speaking.


## SECTIONV.

## MISCELLANEOUS PRONOUNS.

Under this denomination are to be comprehended several words, which could not conveniently be classed with the former divisions. They are: jeder, jeomeder, mancher, jemano, jeberman, niemano, and jelkf.

1. Seoer, jede, jebes, each, every (one); jeomeder; jedmede, icomedes., each, every (one); nuand)er, man= de, mandhes, many a (one). They are either put before substantives, or may stand by themselves; and are all declined.
2. গaan, not declined. It implies a general, or indefinite, personality, answering to the French on; and takes the verb in the singular number. For example: Nan jagt, French on dit, people say, they say: man fpricht, Fr. on parle, people talk; they talk. The English make use of different expressions, such as we, you, they, a person, one; where the Germans employ man, and the French on. For instance: $\operatorname{man} \operatorname{mu} \tilde{\beta}$ fich buten, Fr. on doit prendre garde, a person, or one, must take care; man irrt fict), wenn man glaubt,-on se trompe, si l'on pense, a person, or one, mistakes, if he thipks-. The oblique cases of the indefinite article are placed in a corresponding relation with man. For ex-. ample: 以tan årgert fich immer, wenn einem genoife (5x $=$ martungen fehlichlagen, a person is always vexed, whenhe is disappointed in certain expectations. 9) Ranirrt fich, wenn man glaubt, ber grope Scaufe fdate einen wahrer ふeroienfte megen, oue is mistaken, if he thinks,
that the multitude esteems him for true merit．－In these examples einem，and cinen，dative and accusative cases of the indefinite article，answer to man．

3．Jemano，some one，some body，any one，any body ；jeberman，every one：niemand，no one．These words seem to be composed of the foregoing man，of ie，ever ；jeber，each；nie，never．In the genitive case， they have sadded：as，jemanos，jebermans，niemanos． The dat．and acc．are like the nom．or sometimes，but not usually，marked by the termination en：as，jemanoen， niemanben；never ia jeberman．Now and then an ad－ jective is putafter iemano，and niemano，in the neuter gender，second form：as，jemano æornely me马，some great，or genteel，pêrson；jemano frembes，some stranger；from vornelbm，and fremo．Thus niemand Wornefmes，no great，or genteel，person；miemano frembeb，no stranger．But there is something ungram－ matical in those modes of expression，at least something， which cannot be accounted for，from grammar；and they are not to be recommended for imitation．Instead of jemano 彐ornehme3，you may say，ein vornehmer Mann，a great man，or eiri vornebner Şerr，a great gentleman ；or if it be a lady，ein vornebme马 శrauent zimmer ；or if more than one person．vornclyme \＆eute， great people；and for niemano frembes，fein Frember， no stranger．－The first numeral，Einer，ceasionally slands for jemand，some one ：but lhis is，perhaps，to be consideret as an abuse of that word．
－3．The invariable pronown felbit，or felber，resem－ bling the Englislo self，may be added to any otlier pro－ noun，or to any sitbstantive．For example：Sib Felbft， I myself： $\mathfrak{D u} \mathfrak{f e l b j}$ ，thou thyself；Er felbft，he him－ self；ber Mann felbit，the man hinself；dic frau felbit，
the woman herself; $\mathfrak{W i r} \mathfrak{f e l b j f}$, we ourselves; $\mathfrak{J y r} \mathfrak{j e l b f t}$, you yourselves; Sie felbft, they themselves; bie $\mathfrak{l c u t e}$ felbit, the people themselves. The Euglish self, must previously be compounded with a pronoun, to qualify it for au arljunct to a substantive, or personal pronoun; it is likewise varied in the plural: but the German word is neither compounded, nor declined. It may be placed as an adverb before a substantive, or pronoun, in which situation it siguifies even: as, Selbjt Sch, even I; felbft Du, even thou; felbit fie, even they; felbft Der Mann, even the man; felbft Die \&eute, even the people. Selbit, is preferable to felber,-Selbit, or abridged felb, is sometimes connected with ordinal numbers: as, felbft $=$ oritter, or felboritter; felbvierter; felbzegnter; felb zmanzigfter. It then implies that the person, to whour the number refers, is accompanied by so many others. For example : Sit felbuierter, I myself the fourth, that means, three besides me; Der fionig felbitzmanzigfter, the king the twentieth, that is, the kiag and nineteen persons besides*.

* Selbzwanzigfter gefangen, zut allein vom Saladin begnabigt-I was taken with nineteen others, but alone spared by Saladin.

Eeffing's Ratbon der weife. Net 1. Gr: 5.

## CHAPTER IV.

ON THE VERB.

containing
> 1. The Auxiliary Verbs, -
> 2. The Conjugation of Regular Verbs,
> 3. The Irregular Verbs,
> 4. Verbs Neuter,
> 5. Reflective Verbs,
> 6. Impersonal Verbs,
> 7. Compound Verbs.

## SECTION I.

## AUXILIARY VERBS.

IF verbs auxiliary be those, which are indispensibly required to complete a conjugation, we must recognise three such verbs, in the German language.

The first is Saben, to have. This serves for the formation of the preterperfect, preterpluperfect, and second future, tenses, in the active voice.

The second Seyn, to be. It forms the preterperfect, preterpluperfect, and second future, of some neuter verbs; and likewise helps to compose those tenses, in the passive voice.

The third is Wुerben. This verb signifies, 1. a future event, which may consist in acting, being, or suffering. Thus it expresses the English auxiliaries of the future tense, shall, and-will; and seems to resemble the Greek verb $\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$. With this qualification, it produces all the future tenses. 2. It denotes the coming into a certain state, or condition. Therefore, when joined witli a noun, it answers to the Latin fio, and the English to become. But with this power, it is likewise employed to form the passive voice.

Note. It will be seen, from the examples of the German conjugation, in the following pages, that the principal parts of the verb are, the present, the preterimperfect, the infinitive, and the preterite participle. These may be considered as the roots, from which all, or most tenses, are derived. For which reason, they will be placed at the head of every verb, that is exhibited for the purpose of conjugation.

First Auxiliary. Şaben, to have.
Şabe, present tense; Şatte, preterimperfect; (S) = babt, preterite participle.

INDICATIVE MOOD. SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD.
Present Tense.

## Singular.

1. Jid babe, I have.
2. Subaft, thou hast.
3. Efr (fie, es) bat, he, (she, it) has.

Singular.

1. Jit babe, (if) I have.
2. Subabeft, (if) thou have.
3. ©er, (fie, ej) Gabe, (if) he, (she, it) have.

## INDICATIVE.

## Plural.

m $\qquad$

1. Wir baben, we have.
2. Wir baben, (if) we have.
3. Jbrbabet, or babt, you have.
4. Sie baben, they have. 3. Sif baben, (if) they have.

Preterimperfect.

## Singular.

Singular.

1. Jit batte, I had.
2. Jit batte, (if) I had.
3. Su batteft, thou hadst.
4. Er batte, he had.
5. Jir babet, (if) you have.
6. Su batteft, (if) thou had.
7. Er batte, he had.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Wir batten, we had.
2. Wir batten, we had.
3. Jibr báttet, you had.
4. Jbr battet, you had.
5. Sie Gatten, they had.

## Preterperfect.

Singular.
Singular.

1. Jゅbabe gebabt, I have 1. Jit babe gebabt, (if) I had.
2. Su baft gebaft, thou 2. Subabef gebabt, (if) thou hast had.
3. Er bat gebabt, he has 3. Erbate gebabt, he have had. had.

INDICATIVE.
SUBJUNCTIVE.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Wir baben gebabt, we 1. Wirbaben gebabt, we have have had. had.
2. Jibr babet, or babt, 2. Jbr babet gebabt, you - gebabt, you have had. have had.
3. Sie baben gebabt, they 3. Sie baben gebabt, they have had. have had.

Preterpluperfect.

- Singular.

1. Jith batte gefabt, I had 1. Jit batte gebabt, (if) I had.
2. Subatteft gebabt, thou hadst had.
3. Er Gatte gefabt, he had had.
had had.

Singular.
. 3u bátteft gebabt, (it) thou had had.
3. Er batte gebabt, he had had.

Plural.

1. Wirbatten gebaft, we 1.- Wir batten gebabr, we had had.
2. Jhr battet gebabt, you had had.
3. Sie batten gebabt, they had had.

Plural, , had had.
2. Jbr battet gebabt, you had had.
3. Gie baitten gebabt, they had had.

On the Verb. $\quad$ Part I. Chap. 4.

INDICATIVE.
SUBJUNCTIVE.

## First Future.

Singular.

1. Jch werbe baben, I sball 1. Jit werbe baben, (if) I have.
2. Su mirft baben, thou shalt, or wilt, have.
3. Er wird baben, he shall, or will, have.

## Plural.

1. Wir werden baben, we shall have.
2. Jbrmerbet baben, you - shall, or will, have.
3. Cie rerben baben, they shall, or will, have.

## Singular.

shall have.
2. Bu werbef baten, (if) thou shalt have.
3. Er merbe baben, (if) he shall have.

## Plural.

1. Wir merben baben, (if) we shall have.
2. Jor merbet baken, (if) you shall have.
3. Sie werben baben, (if) they shall have.

## Second Future.

Singular.

## Singular.

1. Jct merbe gebabt baben, I shall have had.
2. Su mirft gebabt baben, thou shalt have had.
3. Er wird gebabt baben, he shall have had.
4. Jith merbe gebabt baken,
(if) I shall have had.
5. Sul werbeft gebabt baben, (if) thou shalt have had.
6. Er werbe gebabt baten, (if) he shall have had.

## INDICATIVE. SUBJUNCTIVE.

## Plural.

## Plural.

1. Wir merben gebabt ba, 1. Wir werden gefakt ba: ben, we shall have had. ben, (if) we shall have had.
2. Jib werdet gefaft bas 2. Jor werbet gebaft fa= ben, you shall have ben, (if) you shall have had. had.
3. Sie merden gefabt fa: 3. Sie werden geffabt bas ben, they shall have ben, (if) they shall have had. had.

## First Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jich wiirbe baben, I should, or would, have.
2. Bu wirdeft baben, thou shouldst, or wouldst, have.
3. Er múrbe baben, he should, or would, have.

## Plural.

1. Wir múrben baben, we should, or would, have.
2. Ibr wutroet baben, you should, "or would, have.
3. Sie mirben baben, they should, or would, have.

## Second Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Ith miirde gebabt gaten, I should, or would, have had.
2. Su múroeft gebabt baken, thou shouldst, or wouldst, have had.
3. Gr wurbe gebabt gaben, he should, or would, have had.

## Plural.

1. Wir wiurben gebatt baten, we should, or would, have had.
2. Jibr muirbet gefabt baben, you should, or would, have had.
3. Sie mirben gefabt baben, they should, br would, have had.

IMPERATIVE MOOD.
Singular.
(1. §aben mir, let us have*.)
2. Sabe (8u) have (thou). 2. Saket, or babt (ibr) have (you).
3. Sake er, (fie, e8, ) let 3. Saben fie, let them have. him, (her, it), have.

## INFINITIVE MOOD.

Present. Sjaben, to have.
Pret. perf. Gebabt baben, to have had. Future. Saben werben, to be about to have.

## PARTICIPLES.

Present. Jjaben, having. Preterite. Gebaft, had.

* The first person plural, of the imperative, is not commonly met with. The verb laffen, to let, is usually employed, as it is in English, to express that idea. Now and then you see the bare imperative thus used, as, geben wir, let us go; baben wir ©eduld, let us have patience: but this is a deviation from the general practice, and to be considered as an innovation, or a peculiarity.

Second Auxiliary. Sery, to be.
$\mathfrak{B i n}$, pres. ; $\mathfrak{W}$ ar, pret. imperf. ; ©sewefen, pret. part.

INDICATIVE.
SUBJUNCTIVE.

## Present.

Singular.

1. Jid bin, I ans.
2. $\mathfrak{D} u$ bif, thou art.
3. Er iff, he is.

Plural.

1. Wir find, we are.
2. Jor fegd, you are.
3. Sie find, they are.

Singular.

1. Jit fey, (if) I be.
2. Su feyeft, or feyft, thou be.
3. Er fey, he be.

Plural.

1. Wir feyen, or fenn, we be.
2. Jor fened, or feyb, you be.
3. Gie feren, or fern, they be.

## Preterimperfect.

## Singular.

Singular.

1. Jわ war, I was.
2. Jit wåre, (if) I were.
3. $\operatorname{Du}$ wareft, or warft, thou wast.
4. Er mar, he was.

Plural.

1. Wir waren, we were.
2. Jir waret, or wart, you were.
3. Sie waren, they were. 3. Sie mâen, they were.

## INDICATIVE. SUBJUNCTIVE.

Preterperfect.
Singular.

## Singular.

1. Jut tingewefen, I have 1. Jit fey gewefen, (if) I been. have heen.
2. Su bift gemefen, thou 2. Sil feneft, or feyft, gewe hast been. fen, (if) thou have been.
3. Erift gewefen, he has 3. Er fey geweien, (if) he been. have been.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Wir find gemefen, we 1. Wir feyen, or feyn, ges
have been.
2. Jibr feyd gerefen, you have been.
3. Sie find gemefen, they have been. wefen, you have been.
4. Jibr fened, or feyd, gemes fen, you have been.
5. Sie feyen, or feyn, gemes fen, they have been.

## Preterpluperfect.

## - Singular.

1. Jit war gewefen, I had 1. Jit) ware gewefen, (if) I been.
2. Sul wareft gewefin, thou hadst been.
3. Er war gewefen, he 3. Es ware gewefen, (if) had been.

## Plural.

1. Wir warengewsfen, we 1. Wir, maren gemefen, we had been.
2. Jor maret gemefin, you 2. Jor waret gewefen, you had been.
3. Sie warengewefen, they 3. Sie waren gewefen, they had been.
had been.

Singular: had been.
2. Du wáref gewefen, (if) thou had been. he had been.

## Plural.

 had been had been.
## INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.
First Future.
Singular.
Singular.

1. Jit werbe feyn, I shall 1. Jab werbe fewn, (if) 1 be. shall be.
2. Su wirf feyn, thou 2. Su werbefi fenn, thou shalt, or wilt, be. shalt be.
3. Erwird feyn, he shall, 3. Er werbe feyn, he shall or will, be. be.

## Plural. <br> Plural.

1. Wir werben fenn, we 1. Wirwerben feyn, we shall shall be. be.
2. Jor werdet feyn, you 2. Jor merbet, fenn, you shall, or will, be. shall be.
3. Sie werden fern, they 3. Sie werden fenn, they shall, or will be. shall be.

## Second Future.

## Singular.

1. Job merde gewefen fenn, I shall have been.'
2. Suwirf gemefenfeyn, thou shalt, or wilt, have been.
3. Erwird gemefen feyn, he shall, or will, have been.

Plural.

1. Wir werben gerwefen feyn, we shall have been.
2. Jibr werbet gewefen feyn, you shall, or will, have been.
3. Cie werden gemefen feyn, they shall,or will, have been.

Singular.

1. Jab werbe gewejen feyn, (if) I shall have been.
2. Suwerdeftgewejenfeyn, thou shalt have been.
3. Er werde gerweien feyn, he shall have been.

## Plural.

1. Wirmerbengemefenfeyn, we shall have been.
2. Jorwerbetgewefenfeyn, you shall have been.
3. Siewerbengewefen feyn, they shall have been.

First Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jit múrbe feyn, I'should, or would, he.
2. Su wirbeft fegn, thou shouldst, or wouldst, be.
3. Er muirbe fent, he should, or would, be.

## Plural.

1. Wir wirben feyn, we should, or would, be.
2. Jir wiirbet feyn, you should, or would, be.
3. Sie múrben feyn, they should, or would, be.

## Second Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jith wiirbe gerwefen fern, Ishould, or would, have been.
2. ©u múrbef gewefen feyn, thou shouldst, or wouldst, have been.
3. Er mutbe gerwefen fenn, he should, or would, have been.

## Plural.

1. Wir múrben gerefen feyn, we should; or would, have been.
2. Jor miirdet gemefen feyn, you should, or would, have been.
3. Sie wirben gewefen feyn, they should, or would, have - been.

IMPERATIVE.

## Singular.

,2. Sep ( bu ) be (thou).
3. Sey er, let him be.

Plural. INFINITIVE。

## Singular.

Pres. Geyn, to be.
Preterperf. Gewefen feyn, to have been.
(1. Seyen wir, let us be). Fut. Seyn merben, to be -2. Geyd (ibr) be (you). about to be.
-3. Girn fie, let them be.

## PARTICIPLES.

Present, Geyend, being. Preterite, Bewefen, been.

Third Auxiliary, Werben, to become.
Wherbe, pres.; Warb, (or wurbe) imperf. ; Seworden, (or morben) pret. part.

INDICATIVE.
SUBJUNCTIVE.

## Present.

## Singular.

1. Jits werbe, I become.
2. Su wirft, thou becomest.
3. Er wird, he becomes.

## Plural.

1. Wirmerben, we become. 1. Wir werden, we become.
2. Jbrwerdet, you become. 2. Jir werdet, you become.
3. Sie werden, they be- 3. Sie werben, they become. come.

## Preterimperfect.

## Singular.

Singular.

1. J(t) warb, or wurbe, 1. J屯t wirbe (if) I became. I became.
2. Su wurbeft, or warbft, 2. Su wurbeft, (if) thou bethou becamest. came.
3. Er ward, or murbe,
4. Erwiurbe, he became. he became.

## INDICATIVE.

## Plural.

1. Wir wurben, we be- 1. Wir mirben, we became. came.
2. Jor murbet, you be- 2. Jor múrbet, you became. came.
3. Sie wurben, they be- 3. Siemurden, they became. came.

## Preterperfect.

## Singular.

1. Jit bin geworben, or worben, I have become.
2. Su bift geworben, or worben, thou hast become.
3. Er iff geworden, or worben, he has become.

## Plural.

1. Wir find gemorben, or worben, we have become.
2. Jor feyd geworben, or 2. Jor fayco, or feyb, geworben, you have he- worben, or merben, you come.
3. Sie find gemorben, or- 3. Sie feyen, or feyn, ges worbent, they have be- worden, or worben, they come.

Singular.

1. Jth fey gemorben, or mor: ben, (if) I have become.
2. Su feyeft, or feyf, gemor, ben, or morben, (if) thou have become.
3. Er fey gemorben, or morDen, (if) he have become.

## Plural.

1. Wir fenen, or fegn, gewor. den, or morden, we have become. have become. have become.

## INDICATIVE. SUBJUNCTIVE,

Preterpluperfect.
Singular.
nisingular.

1. Jit) war geworden, or 1. Jat ware geworden, or worben, I had become. . worden, (if) I had become.
2. Su wareft (warfi) gez 2. Su wdref geworben, or werben, or worden, thou worben; (if) thou had behadst become. come.
3. Er war geworben, or 3. Er ware geworden, orworben, he had become. worben, (if) he had become.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Wir waren geworden, 1. Wir waren geworben, of or worben, we had be- worden, we had become. come.
2. Jir waret (wart) ges 2. Jir waret geworden, or worden, or morden, you worden, you had become. had become.
3. Sie maren geworben, or 3. Sie waren geworben, or worben, they had be- worben, they had become. come.

First Future.

## Singular. <br> Singular.

1. Jit) werde werben, I 1. Jit werbe werben, (if) I shall become. shall become.
d. Su wirft werben, thou shalt, or wilt, become.
2. Su werbeft werben, thou shalt become.
3. Er wird werden, he 3. Er werbe werden, he shall shall, or will, become.
become. if ? Pacisoll 76

' INDICATIVE.

## Plural.

1. Wir werben werden, we shall become.
2. Jor werbet werben, you 2. Jor werbet werben, you shall, or will, become.
3. Ste werben werden, 3. Gie merben werden, they they shall, or will bc- shall become. come.

## Singular.

Singular.

1. Jot werde geworden, or moreen, fern, I shall have become.
2. Sh wife geworben, or borden, fern; thou shalt, or wilt, have become.
3. Er word geworben, or worben, fen, he shall, or will, have become.

## Plural.

1. Mir werden geworden, or woden, fens, we shall have become.
2. Jor werdet geworden, or worben, fern, you shall, or will, have become.
3. Gie warden geworden, or borden, fen, they shall, or will, have become.

## SUBJUNCTIVE.

## Plural.

1. Weir merden werden, we shall become. shall become.

## Second Future.

## First Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jith wưrbe werben, I should, or would, become.
2. Sll wiirbef werben, thou shouldst, or wouldst, become.
3. Er wiirbe werben, he should, or would, become.

## Plurul.

1. Wir wiirben werden, we should, or would, become.
2. Jhr wiirbet werben, you should, or would, become.
3. Sie mírben werben, they should, or would, become.

## Second Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jith wiirbe geworben, or worben, feyn, I should, or would, have become.
2. Su wirdeft geworben, or worden, fenn, thou shouldst, or wouldst, have become.
3. Er mírde gemorben, or worben, fegn, he should, or would, have become.

## Plural.

1. Wir múroen geworden, or worben, feyn, we should, or would, have become.
2. Jif wiubet gemorben, or worben, fegn, you should, or would, laye become.
3. Sie miirden gemorden, or worden, feyn, they should, or would, have become.

$$
\text { y } 2
$$

## IMPERATIVE.

> Singular.
> Plural.
> (1. Werden wir, let us become.)
> 2. Werbe (bu) become 2. Werdet (ibr) become (thou). (you).
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3. Werde er, let him be 3. Werden fie, let them } \\ & \text { become. }\end{aligned}$
> Pres. Werden, to become.
> Pret. perfect. Seworben, or worden, fenn, to have become.

Fut. Werden merben, to be about to become.

## PARTICIPLES.

Present, Werdend, becoming.
Preterite, ©emorden, or worben, become.

Note. - What in the foregoing conjugation is enclosed in parenthesis, is to be considered as less usual, or less classical, than that which is not so marked. Of this description are : the 1 st person plural of the imperative; warft, wart, in the preterimperfect of the second auxiliary ; and wurbe, waroff, in the preterimperfect of the third. Many things, in parenthesis, are understood to be commonly omitted, such as the ad personal pronouns, in the imperative.

OBSERVATIONS.

1. According to the English grammar, the verbs may, will, shall, let, are, in conjugating, employed as auxiliaries. They are not necessarily required for the German conjugation. The ideas which they convey are, indeed, expressed by similar verbs, in German, but these cannot be considered in the character of auxiliaries. They have, however, by some grammarians been introduced under that denomination. For this no ground can be assigned, except that they are combined with other verbs, which they govern in the infinitive mood. But if that be admitted as a criterion of lielping verbs, their number may be still farther increased. True auxiliaries are only those, without which no complete conjugation can be formed: and under this description come the three verbs, that have been exhibited. - It may not be improper to say a few words of the other supposed auxiliaries, in order to make their nature more perfectly understood. They are: Sch mag, similar to the English, I may; idt mill, answering to, I will; idj foll, I shall. To these may be added: Sid Eann, I can; idh Darf, I dare: idh $\mathfrak{m u} \mathfrak{\beta}$, I must; ict laffe, I let. Those verbs are defective in English, all but two, to let, and to dare; in German, they are conjugated through all persons, tenses, and moods, excepting the imperative. They are all irregular, and will be seen in the list of the irregular verbs. In this place, their signification is to be briefly explained.

Sily mag, I may: Infinitive, mogen. The English verb, may, denotes the potential mood. The German signifies, 1) being permitted, being at liberty to do a thing: as, Er mag lacten, er mag weinen, id) verbiete ess
ibm nidjt, he may laugh, he may cry, I do not forbid it him. 2) Chance, or possibility, especially in the preterimperfect of the subjunctive mond: as, (־₹ módtef fich ereignen, it might happen; ç modate regnen, it might rain. 3), A wish, or desire, particularly in the present, and preterimperfect, of the subjunctive: as, Moge or lange leben, may he live long; moge es der 5 simmel ge= ben, may heaven grant it. Sid modite mohl etroa3 davon baben, I slould like to llave some part of it. 4) To be able; Latin, valere: as, $\mathfrak{W e r}$ mag Deine $\mathfrak{W u n d e r}$ erzáh $=$ len? who can recount thy, wonders? In this sense the compound, vermogen, is chiefly used. And lastly, 5) To like. Here it is connected with the infinitive: as, Jdh mages nidht thun, I do not like to do it; and also with an accusative case, like a transitive verb: as, Jd mag Das nid)t, I do not like that. In this siguification, it is mosily applied to what is eaten or drunk. For example: Mogen Sic ©auerfraut? do you like sour krout? Rein, id) mag es nidht, no, I do not like it. §ith mag bicien Wein nidt, I do not like this wine. Jd) mag nidets mefr, I do not like any more. In such phrases, an infinilive may be supplied, viz. eflen, to eat, or trinfen, to drink; and the construction, with the accusative, considered as elliptical.

Sch will, I will. Infinitive wollen. This, answers to the Latin velle, and the French vonloir; and implies a future event, so far as it proceeds from will, and inclination. For example: $\mathfrak{W o l l e n ~ S i e ~ f p a k i e r e n ~ g e h e n ? ~ w i l l ~ y o u ~}$ take a walk; that means, Is it your will, or inclination, to take a walk? $\Im$ d mill lefen, I will read; the same as, It is my will, or my inclination, to read. Er will fliegen und bat feine gligel, he wishes to fly, and has no wings. The idea of purpose, and inclination always accompanies
this verb: it is not employed, as in English, to denote mere futurity, for which werben is exclusively appropriated.

Sth foll, I shall. In finitive, follert. The English shall may be considered as the literal translation of the German word, but it does not comprehend all its meanings. The verb to be, with the preposition to and the infinitive of another verb following, (as, I am to see, we are to go), answers to its significations more frequently than shall: The German verb denotes: 1)'To be obliged, by necessity, or duty. It may then, as occasion requires, be rendered by shall, must, ought, am to; in French, by falloir, devoir. For example: $\mathfrak{D u}$ follfit bas thun, thou shalt do that, thou art to do that, thou must do that; il faut. Sie follen idureiben, you are to write; il faut écrire, 2) To be bid, to be commissioned. Sidi) foll $b a=$ Gin gehen, I am to go there. 3) To be authorized, to be suffered, to be permitted. Soll idt es baben? am I to have it, may I have it? Soll id) est thun, oder nidft? am I to do it, or not? may I do it or not? 4) To be admitted, to be supposed. Sie folker mich nidht beleibigt haben, you are supposed not to have offended me; er foll feinen Sat ermiefen baben, he is admitted to have proved his position. These subsequent significations have all a comnection with the first, and original one, which implies an obligation. That obligation is sometimes so involved, that it is difficult to recognise it: for example, in the two last significations mentioned, where we must inagine an obligation, springing from the will of another, to which we submit. It is still more so, when the verb means 5) To be said, to be rèported: as, Der $\mathfrak{C o}$ nig foll angefommen fern, the king is said to
be arrived. Die Franzofifate Flotte foll geidlagen worben fenn, the French fleet is said to have been beaten; es foll fich zugetragen baben, it is said to have happened. If compulsion, or obligation, be the primary notion, to be combined with follen, it may perhaps be represented as a kind of necessity, that a thing has happened in such a manner, and not otherwise : we may conceive a sort of limitation, or restriction, with regard to the fact, that has happened; as if we were to say, people will have it, that this has happened. In the expression will have it, something of constraint may be perceived: for where the will of any person is in operation, it produces a compulsion, or obligation, in reference to others. These primary notions, however, are obliterated; and we now content ourselves with the significations, as they are in use*. The formation of the fature tense by the verb shall in English, and by similar verbs in other languages, such as the Swedish, Danish, and Dutel, may be analysed, and traced, in a similar manner. This foll occurs in old German; and even in the modern language, that is, in High German, vestiges of it remain in some phrases, and expressions. ${ }^{4}$ is common in a conditional future, which is very frequently employed. For example : $\mathfrak{W e n n}$ er fommen follte, if he should come; wenn das fo fern follte, if that should be so. ©ollten fich bas. Wetter andern, fo wodlen wir ausgeben, should the weather change, we will go out.

* The signification, just mentioned, to be said, to be reported, is found in the Swedish verb skola, the Danish skille, and the Dutch sullen, all of which answer to the German fotten. See the Grammars and Dictionaries of those languages.

Sollen is often used with an ellipsis, when the infinitive of some other verb is to be supplied. By this means, several phrases are to be explained. For example: $\mathfrak{W}$ aß foll id), what shall I?-supply thun, do, what shall I do, what am I to do, what would you with me, what do you want of me? Thus, $\mathfrak{W}$ ªs follft Du ? was foll er? was foll fie? mas follen wir, was follt ihr, was follen fie? what art thou to do, what art thou wanted for, what is he to do, or what is he wanted for, \&c. $\mathfrak{W}$ ans foll das, what shall that-supply feyn, be, what shall that be, what does it tend to, what does that mean? This is sometimes fully expressed by, $\mathfrak{Z}$ ®as fall Da3 heifien, what is that to mean, what is the meaning of that, what do you mean by that? From that elliptical mode of speaking, the following significations may be assigned to follen: a) To mean. Examples: $\mathfrak{W}$ ลื follen die ficben Rammer, what mean. the seven lambs? W3us foll Das (Sejchnaís, what means that talk? $\mathfrak{T a} \mathfrak{z}$ follen dicie Stranfe, what mean these wreaths? $\mathfrak{W a b}$ foll aber Diefer, but what means this man? In all these phrases, the infinitive fern, or in the last thun, may be understood. b) To be intended. Ex. Sie follen alle firt mein Şauz, they are all intended for my house. W3em foll benn biefer Straú, for whom is this nosegay intended? Scint, to be, may here again be understood. c) To tend to, to serve some purpose, to be of a certain use, to be good for. Ex. W3ozu foll diefe Erniebrigung, what purpose does this humiliation serve, what does it tend to, of what use is it? $\mathfrak{W a}$ 踊 joll mir Die Crffgeburt, of what use is primoseniture to me? Wुas foll mir bas Geld, what is the use of the money? - It may be lastly observed, that sometimes the English words may, can;
will, or in the preterimperfect, might, could, would, will aptly render the German phrases, in which follen occurs.

Sd) $\mathfrak{E a n n}$, I can. Infmitive, conmen , It signifies 1) To be able, Lat. posse, Fr. pouvoir. 2) To be permitted, to lave the liberly to do a thing. Thus it slaids frequently, where the English put may. For example: Sie toomen bas thun, wemn Sie mollen, you may do that, if you like. Indeed, it is more usual to express that idea by the verb formen, than by mogen. The infinitive, which geuerally follows after $\begin{aligned} & \text { onnnen, } \\ & \text { is sometimes }\end{aligned}$ left out: as, (Sott Eann allez was er mill, God can do all he willeth, supply thun, do. Hence 3) the signitications, to have got by heart, to be able to say; and to know, to understand, may be explained, though in ancient German, the verb seems really to have signified to know (savoir), as the old Euglish to can*. Examples: Der Snabe fann feine 2 fufgabe, the boy can say his lesson, knows his lesson by heart; er fann fadine £ieder, he knows pretty songs, he can sing pretty songs. Here the infinitives fern, fagen, fingent, to say, to sing, may be underslood. ßónnen Sie Deutich, do you know German? Er fain (5xicchifid, he knows Greek. In such instances, lejen, to read, feredjen, to speak, verfteben, to understand, or similar infinitives, may be supplied.

Jdi Darf, I dare. Infinitive, Dirfen. This verb sig-
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nifies 1) To dare, to venture. 2) To be allowed, not to be restrained, answering to may, dare. For example: Darf id) fragen, may I ask? Sie Dưrfen es wiflen, you may know it. With the negative, it is to be rendered, by may not, must: not, dare not. 3) Frequently, the English verb need expresses it. 4) The preterimperfect potential, Diurfte, denotes a probable contingency, and may be translated by might, may, need, should, would, as occasion requires. For example: E5j diufte viefleid)t walkr [èn, it might perhaps be true; es burfte alsoann nidyt nothwenbiger $\mathfrak{W e i j e}$ ber Fall feyn, it need not, then, necessarily be the case. In all these instances, it has the infinitive after it. When it occurs without an infinitive, it is by ellipsis, so that some infinitive is to be understood. Ex: Er barf alles was er fann, he dares to do all he is able, where thunt, to do, may be supplied. Fre Datf nid)t in Daş Šauş, he dares not, or may not, come into the house, where fommen, to come, is understood. It has sometimes the genitive, or accusative, after it, signifying to want, to need, to be in need of; but this signification is obsolete.

Şif mué, I must. Infinitive, mi!fert. It coincides pretty exactly with the English must; sometimes, it is to be rendered by ought; and occasionally the terms, to be obliged, am to, art to, is to, are to, answer to the signification: With the negative, it now and then expresses, need not. Insome instances, it has a mere potential meaning, and is to be translated by may.

Sid) laffe, I let. Infinitive, laflen, to let, to suffer. It also means to leave; and to leave off. It farther signifies to get, to procure to be, to cause: as, Ein Şaủ bauen laffen, to get a house built; einen æod madken
$\mathfrak{l a} f i e n$, to get a coat made. When combined with the third reciprocal, it seems often equivalent to the English may, or is to be: as, Das lápt fich nidht thun, that is not to be done; bavon liefle fich viele jagen, of that much might be said; Daṡ lást fid nidht begreifen, that is not to be comprehended. As an auxiliary to the imperative, the Germans use it only for the first person plural, of that mood*.
2. In English, the auxiliary, to $b e$, is joined with the participle present, to form what is called the definite, or determined timet: as, I am (now) writing, I was (then) loving. Such a combination is foreign to the German language, in which the definite time is not distinguished from the others. S(b) ich)reibe, stands for, I write, and I am writing; ich ichrieb, for I wrote, and I was writing.
3. Another peculiarity of the English tongue consists in the verb do, employed to express the present, and past imperfect, of the verb active, and neuter, marking the action, or time, with greater force, and distinction : as, I do love thee. It is also of frequent, and alınost necessary, use, in interrogative and negative sentencesł. This mode of expression is not quite unknown to the Germans; for it is, in some provinces, to be met with among the lower people: as, $\mathcal{J}\left({ }^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) that lieben, I did love; thum Sie ihm ictrciben, do write to him: but it is utterly banished from the general, and classical language.

* Sce p. 234, note.
$\dagger$ See Lowth's English Grammar, p. 63.
$\ddagger$ See Lowth, p. 64.
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## SECTION II.

CONJUGATION OF REGULAR VERBS.
There is but one conjugation of the regular verb, of which the following is a representation, in the Active voice :

INDICATIVE.
SUBJUNCTIVE.
Present.
Singular.
Singular.
1 person, e: as, Sid) lobe,
I praise.
2 person, est, or st.
$3-e t$, or $t$.
2 —est.
3 -e.

Plural.

- Plural.


Preterimperfect.

Singular.
Singular.
2 ete, or te.

3 -ete, or $t$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 — \text { ete. } \\
& 2 — \text { etest. }
\end{aligned}
$$


1 - eten, or ten.
2 ——etet, or tet.
3 eten, or ten.
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IMPERATIVE.
Singular.
Plural.


GENERAL RULES.
I. The other tenses are compounded with auxiliaries; namely, the preterperfect, and pluperfect, with baben, (or if the verb be a neuter, of a particular description, with fenn), and the preterite participle: the futures with werben, and the infinitive.
II. The first and third persons plural, of the present tense, are always like the infinitive, and vice versâ.-The first and third singular, and the first and third plural, of the preterimperfect, are alike. This applies to all verbs, both regular and irregular.
III. The preterite participle has every where the syllable ge prefixed to it, except in verbs compound inseparable, and some others, which make the infinitive in ieren, or iren:' as, regieren, to govern; 'hanothieren, to handle; hafelieren, to jest; [pakieren, to walk; ftolzic=
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ren, to be proud; budjftabieren, to spell; barbiren, to shave; rebelliren, to rebel; marfthiren, to march ; pro= teftiren, to protest; variiren, to vary; copiren, to copy.
IV. The radical vowels of regular, verbs are never changed. If, for instance, fragen, to ask, be considered as a regular, it is not correct to make the second and third pers. sing. pres. indic. frágit, fraigt, transforming the vowel $\mathfrak{a}$ into the diphthong $\mathfrak{a}$.

V . The $e$ before st, in the second p. sing. pres. ind.; before $t$, in the third $p$. sing. and second $p$ p plural: likewise before $t$, throughout the pret. imperfect, and before the same letter in the pret. partic. is commonly thrown out, when from such, an abridgment no harshness arises, in the pronunciation. But it must be observed, that this is only allowable in the indicative mood; and would be improper in the subjunctive.
VI. In verbs that have the letters, $l_{2}$ or $r_{\text {, }}$ in the last syllable, the $e$ of inflection, after those letters, if it be not itself the last letter, is always omitted, even in the infinitive: as, Sammeln, to collect, for fammelen; Dauern, to last, for baueren. Sometimes the $e$, before $l$ and $r$, is cast away: as, Sch fammle, I collect, for fammele; Du fammleft, thou collectest; for fammedif (fammeleft); er fammlet, for fammelt. ©f? Dauret, it lasts, for es bauert. However, this mode of contraction is neither so regular, nor so much in use, as the former.
VII. The passive voice is universally formed by means of werben, the third auxiliary.

## Active Voice.

Sober, to praise.
Robe, pres.; lobete, or lobe, pret. imperf.; selobet, or gelobt, pret. part.
indicative mood. SUbJUNCTIVE MOOD.
Present Tense.

## Singular.

1. Job robe, I praise.
2. $\operatorname{Tu}$ lobeft, or robt, thou 2. Tu lobeft, (if) thou praise. praisest.
3. Er lobet, or lobs, he 3. Er robe, (if) he praise. praises.

## Plural.

1. Wir roben, we praise.
2. Jor lobet, or lobs, you praise.
3. Gie robed, they praise. 3. Gie loben, they praise.

## Singular.

1. It roble, I praised. 1. Jot lokete, (if) I praised.
2. Tu lobteft, thou praysdst.
3. Er lofts, he praised.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Mir rokten, we praised. 1. Mir lobeten, we praised.
2. Jib r lobtet, you praised. 2. Jor lobetet, you praised.
3. Gie lobten, they praised. 3. Gie lobeten, they praised.
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## INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.
Preterperfect.
Singular.
Singular.

1. Joth bate getobt, I have 1. $\mathcal{J}$ (t) hate gelobt, (if) I praised. $\because \omega$ have praised.
2. Su baft gelobt, thou 2. Subabeft gelobt, (if), thou hast praised. have praised.
3. Er bat gelobt, he has 3. Er babe gelobt, (if) he praised. have praised.
Plural.
Plural.
4. Wir baben gelobt, we 1. Wirbaten gelpet, we have have praised. praised.
5. Jibr babt gelobt, you 2. Jbr babet gelobt, you have praised. have praised.
6. Sie baben gelobt, they 3. Sie baben gelobt, they have praised. have praised.

## Preterpluperfect.

Singular.
Singular.

1. Jibl batte gelobr, I had 1. Jit båte gelobt, (if) I praised. had praised.
2. Su batteft gelobt, thou 2. Su batteft gelobt, (if) hadst praised.
3. Erbatte gelobt, he had 3. Ere batte gelobt, he had praised. praised.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Wirbatten gelobt, we 1 . Wir batten gelobr, we had praised. had praised.
2. Jorbattet gelobt, you 2. Jibr battet getobt, you had praised. had praised.
3. Sie batten gelobt, they 3. Sie Gatten gerobt, they had praised. had praised.

## INDJCATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.
First Future.
Singular.
Singular.

1. Jitu werbe loben, I shall 1. Jib werbe roben, (if) I praise. shall praise.
2. Su wirf loben, thou shalt, or wilt, praise.
3. Er wird loben, he shall, or will, praise.

## Plural.

1. Wir werben roben, we 1. Wir merben roben, we shall praise. "shall praise.
2. Jigrwerdet loben, you 2. Jigr werdet roben, you shall, or will, praise.
3. $\operatorname{Bu}$ werbeft loken, thou shalt praise.
4. Er werbe roben, he shall praise.

## Plural.

 shall praise.3. Siemerben loben, they 3. Sie werben roken, they shall, or will, praise. shall praise.

## Second Future.

## Singular.

## Singular.

1. Jibwerde gelobtbaben, 1. Jch merbe gelobt baben, I shall have praised. (if) I shall have pr.
2. Su mirft gelobt baben, 2. Su merbef gerobt Gaben, thou shalt have prais- (if) thou shalt have ed, \&c. praised, \&c.

## First Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jit wirbe loben, I should, or would, praise.
2. Su wif roef loben, thou shouldst, or wouldst, praise.
3. Er murbe roben, he should, or would, praise,
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## Plural.

1. Wir wúrben roben, we should, or would, praise.
2. Thr wurbet loben, you should, or would, praise.
3. Șie wuirben loben, they should, or would, praise.

## Second Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jit wiirbe gerobt Gaten, I should, or would, have praised.
2. Su múrbeft gelobt babett, thou shouldst, or wouldst, have praised, \&c.

## IMPERATIVE MOOD.

## Singular.

(1. Qoben wir, let us praise.)
2. Lobe (8u) praise (thou). 2. Lobet; or loft (ibr) praise (yóu).
3. Lobe er, praise he, or 3 . Loken fie, praise they, let him praise. or let them praise.

## INFINITIVE MOOD.

Present. $\mathfrak{L}$ oben, to praise.
Pret. imperf. Gelobt baten, to have praised.
Future. $\mathfrak{L}$ oten werden, to be about to praise.

## PARTICIPLES.

Present. Lobend, praising.
Preterite. Selobet, or gelcht, praised.

## PASSIVE VOICE.

Gietobt werben, to be praised.
indicative.
SUBJUNCTIVE.

## Present.

Singular.

1. Jゆwerbe geloft, I am 1. Jch werde gelobt, (if) I praised. be praised.
2. Su mirfe yelobt, thou 2. (2) werbef gelobt, (if) art praised. thou be praised.
3. Er wird gelobt, he is 3. (Er werde geloht, (if) he praised be praised.

## Plural. <br> Plural.

1. Wir merdengelobt, we 1. Wir werden gelobt, (if) are praised. we be praised.
2. Jor merbetgelobt, you 2. Jifr werbet gelsbt, (if) are praised. you be praised.
3. Sie werbengelobt, they 3. Sie werben geloft, (if). are praised. they be praised.

## Preterìnperfeet.

Singular.
Singular.

1. Jith mard (or wurbe) 1. Jith mirce gelobt, (if) I geloft, I was praised. were praised.
2. Bu murbeft (or warbft) 2. Su míreef gelot,
gelobt, thou wast pr. thou wert praised.
3. Gr ward (or wurde) 3. Ermilrbe gelobt, (if) he gelobr, he was pr. were praised.

Sect. 2. Conjugation of Regular Verbs. [259] 261

1NDICATIVE.
Plural.

## SUBJUNCTIVE.

Plural.

1. Wir wurben gelobt, we 1. Wir witroen gelobt, we were praised. were praised.
2. Jor murbet gelobt, you 2. Jor múrbet gelobt, you were praised.
were praised.
3. Siemurben gelobt, they 3 . Sie múroen gelobt, they were praised.
were praised.

## Preterperfect.

Singular.
Singular.

1. Jit bin gelobeworben, 1. Jit fey gelobt worben, I have been praised. (if) I have been praised.
2. Tu bift getobt morden, 2. Su fegeft gelobt worben, thou hast been pr. (if) thou have been pr.
3. Er if gelogt worben, 3. Er fed gelokt worben, he has been praised. (if) he have been • pr.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Wir find gelobt morben, 1. Wir fegen gelobt worben, we have been pr. we have been pr.
2. Jor feyd gelobt worben, 2. Jir feged geloht worben, you have heen pr. you have been pr.
3. Sie find gelobt morden, 3. Sie fegen gelobt morden, they have been pr. - they have been pr.

## Preterpluperfect.

Singular.
Singular.

1. Jid war geloft worben, 1. Joth ware gelobt morben, I had been praised. (if) I had been praised.
2. Su wareft gelobt mor, 2. Su wáref gelobt wo roen, ben, thou hadst been praised. \&c. (if) thou had been praised, \&c.

## First Future.

## Singular.

Singular.

1. Jit merbe gelobt werben, 1. Jtwerde gelobtwerben, I shall be praised. (if) I shall be praised.
2. Su wirf gelobt werben, 2. Su werbefigelobtwerben; thou shalt, or wilt; be thou shalt be praised. praised.
3. Er wird gelobt werden, 3. Er werbe gelobt werden, he shall, or will, be pr. he shall be praised.

## Plural.

## Plural.

1. Wir werben getobt weer, 1. Wir werden geroet mers den, we shall be pr. ben, we shall be pr.
2. Jormerbet gefobtwerben, 2. Jorwerbet gelobtwerden, you shall, or will, be praised:
3. Gie werbengelote werben, they shall, or will, be praised.
4. Sie werben geloft: mer, den, they, shall be praised

## Second Future.

## Singular.

1. Jid merde gerobt morben fern, I shall have been praised.
2. Su wirf gelobt marden fern, thou shalt bave been praised, \&c.

Singular.

1. Jid merbe gelobt morden feyn, (if) I shall have been praised.
2. Du werdef gillobt worden peyn, thou shalt have been praised, Sc.
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## First Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Fith wiirde gelobt werden, I should be praised.
2. Du mírbef gelobe werben, thou shouldst, or wouldst, be praised.
3. Er múrbe gelobt merben, he should, or would, be praised.

## Plural.

1. Wir wilroen gelokt werben, we should, or would, be praised.
2. Jor miirbet gelobt werben, you should, or would, be praised.
3. Sie miibben gelokt werben, they should, or would, be praised.

## Second Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jith wiirbe getobt worben feyn, I should have been praised.
2. Su wirbef gelebt morben feyn, thou shouldst, or wouldst, have been praised, \&c.

## IMPERATIVE.

Singular.

## Plural.

(1. Werben wir gelobt, let us be praised.)
2. Werbe (ou) gelobr, be 2. Werbet (ibr) geloft, be thou praised.
3. Werbe er gelobt, be he '3. Werben fie gelobt, be they praised, or let him be praised.
praised, or let them be praised.
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## INFINITIVE.

Pres. ©elobtwerden, to be praised.
Pret. perf. Gelcot morben fegn, to have been praised.
Fut. werden geloft merden, to be about to be praised.

Note. In the conjugation of the passive voice, the participle morben, of the auxiliary, is used, in preference to geworben, because the latter, when joined with the preterite participle of another verb, would frequently cause a disagreeable repetition of the syllable ge.-Sometimes morben is omitted in the past tenses, as id) bin gelobt, for gelobt morben, \&c.: but it should be put, whenever those times are to be pointedly, or distinctly, expressed.

## SECTION III.

## IRREGULAR VERBS.

These verbs deviate, for the most part, in the preterimperfect tense, and the preterite participle. Some differ in the present tense, and several in the imperative mood.

The number of irregular verbs amounts, at present, to upwards of two hundred. Formerly it was more considerable; but it has decreased with the progressive cultivation of the language. Several verbs, which, in preceding ages, had an anomalous form, are now inflected according to the common standard of conjugation. There are others, of which, even at this day, the irregular inflection is usual, though, at the same time, they may, without offence, be conjugated in a regular manner. In the following list, those, whose irregular character is become obsolete, will be marked by a double star ( ${ }^{* *}$ ); and those, which are, at the present period, varied in both ways, with a single (*). The latter, it is possible, will in time, like the former, lay aside the irregular shape entirely: and new attempts of regularity may perhaps be made upon others. For such is the nature of the human mind, that it flies from anomalies, and bends towards rule and system, by which its opera. tious are facilitated.

Infinitive. $\mathfrak{B}$. *Sacten, to bake Bedingen, to bargain, conditions.

## Befeblen, to command.

Befleiffen (fith, reflective) to ap-
ply one's self.
SBeginner, to begin.
Beiffen, to bite.
**Belfen, to bark.
§ergen, to conceal.
Berfien, to burst.
2. biuft, 3. bifft,
2. birgit, 3. birgt,
2. birfeft, 3. birft
(or birftet),
-Sโยฐ

Pres. Indicative.
2. båaffi, 3. båctt
2. befieblft,
3. befiebit,

bill,
birg,
birf,

Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle. gebrocden.
gebrannt.
gebract. gedactit. gebungen. gedrofden. gedrungen. gedurft.
empfangen. vol. 1.p. 344. I d Berfireute ßláter,
Imperative.
Pres. Indicative.
2. bri屯fif, 3. 6ridt, brict,
orifth,
orang,
ourfte,
empfing,
empioblen. empreblen.
Preterimperfect．Pret．Participle． empfunden． empfunden．
entronnen．
erblicten． fobren．
erlopden．
erfobollen．
erfotrocten．
Preterimperfect．
empfand，
完
fubr，

## errofas <br> erfafoll， erfchraf，

erwogen．
gegeffen．
gefafren．
empfano，
entrann，
erbfict，
erfor，or e
or er：
erforen，
fobren． 2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
2


苞
淢

$\operatorname{erlif}(t)$,
erfatriat，

Imperative. Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle.
fiel,
[fieft],
fing,
focti,
fand,
flocht,
flog,
flob,
fló,
frug,
fraE,
fror,
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Pres. Indicative. Imperative. Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle.
Infinitive.
©.
$\mathfrak{G b}$ ren, to ferment.
Sebdibren, or gebdren, to bring forth.
Geben, to give.
Gebieten, (or gefiethen) to com-
mand.
*(Sedeciben, to prosper.
2. gefádft, 3. gefâllt,
Gelingen, to succeed, to turn out ac-
cording to wish (used only in the
third person singular, and plural.)
(Eelten, to be estimated; also, to
cost.
† The right way of spelling, and pronouncing, is giffi, gift. Imperat. git. See Adelung's Orthogr. p. 225
But giefft, giebt, gieb, are nevertheless frequently written, though Jess often spoken.

Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle. bing, gebangen. Imperative. bing,
bief,
bob.
bief,
balf,
fiff,
fannte,
flang,
fnif,
fnipp,

Pres. Indicative. Infinitive.

5angen, to hang, to be suspended, v. neut.§ .
*Sauen, to cut, to hew.
Seken, to heave, to lift. झeiffen, to bid, to name, named. Serfen, to help.
$\Omega$.
2. beiffeft (or beifft),
3. Geift (or beiffet),
2. bilfft, 3. bilft,
bilf,
gegolfen.
gefiffen.
gefannt.
gebauen.
geboben.
gebeiffen.
gebeiffen.
gegolfen. fannte, gant. geflungen.
gef̌niffen.
gefnippen.
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## Imperative. Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle.

Pres. Indicative.
2. fommft (or
fommft), (or
3. fommt
fommt),

1. fun, \&. fannft,
2. tan,
$\dagger$ Adel. Orth. p. 289. See of the verb itself, above, p. 251.

Infinitive.
Nomen, to come.

## Ronnen, to be able.

## Rreifchen, to scream.

*Ireiffen, to be in labour.
תriechen, to creep.
§ (consonant).

* hagen, to drive, to chase.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { 2. Iådft, 3. ไábt, } \\
\text { 2. Iaffeft, (or láft), } \\
\text { 3. Iáft (or láffet), } \\
\text { 2. Iáuft, s. ปáuft, }
\end{array} \\
& \text { * } \mathfrak{L} \text { amen, to load. } \\
& \text { Laffen, to let, to permit; also, to } \\
& \text { leave. } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Lauren, to run. } \\
\text { Leiden, to suffer. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Pret. Participle.

geregen.

gelogen.
gemablen.
gemieden.
gemolfen.
gemeffen.
miffalen.

Pres. Indicative.

3. lieft,
2. lifcheft,
Liegen, to lie,' to rest horizontally;
also to be situated.
Infinitive.
\&eifen, to lend.
Lefen, to read.

log, mied,
molf,
maf,
miffier,
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gemodt.
gemuft. Imperative. Preterimperfect. mocte;
muste, muste, (2)

## nabm, <br> nimm,

nannte,
pfiff,
pfiog,
fries,
quof. 3. mag,

1. muf, 2. muft,
2. muf. 3. mag,
3. muf, 2. mufit
4. mus. ${ }^{6} y$ ®out ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{6}$ bvu ${ }^{\circ} I$
be

- 

Infinitive.


## genommen.

 genantit.gepfiffen.
gepflogen.
gepriefen.
gequollen.
Pres. Indicative.

1. mag, 2. magft,
2. mag,
3. mus, 2. muft,
4. muf.
5. nimmf,
6. nimmt,
$\mathfrak{R}$
Rebmen, to take.
*)?ennen, to name.

Mfeiffen, to whistle.
凹Flegen, to foster, to cherish.§ Wreifen, to extol, to praise.
7. quiuff, s. quilut, quia, $\ddagger$ See sect. 1. p. 251.
§ $\mathfrak{x f l e g} \mathrm{en}$, to be accustomed, to be wont, is always regular.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { R. } \\
& \text { (feßactuen, to avenge. } \\
& \text { Ratben, to advise; also, to guess. } \\
& \text { Reiben, to rub. } \\
& \text { Reiffen, to tear. } \\
& \text { Reiten, to ride (on horseback). } \\
& \text { *Rennen, to run with great velo- } \\
& \text { city, to rush. } \\
& \text { Riecten, to smell. } \\
& \text { Ringen; to wrestle. } \\
& \text { Rinnen, to run, (of fluids), to leak } \\
& \text { Rufen, to coll, to halloo. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gerodifen. } \\
& \text { geratben. } \\
& \text { gerieben. } \\
& \text { geriffen. } \\
& \text { geritten. } \\
& \text { gerannt. } \\
& \text { gerocten. } \\
& \text { gerungen. } \\
& \text { geronnen. } \\
& \text { gerufen. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 roch,
rang,
rann,
tief,
Infinitive.


Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle. gefamalzen.
gefanoben. gefdnitten. gefctroben. gefdurieben. gefdilen. . geidfritten. gefdworen. gefdmiegen. gefdwollen. gefchwommen.
gefdranden.

Pret. Participle. gefpien.
gefponnen.
gefpraten. gefproffen.
geffrughen.
geftocten. geftanden.
gefteblen. gefteblen.
geftiegen.
geftorben. gefotern. Preterimperfect. Emperative. fpie,
fpann,
fprad, forof,
forang,
fach, fand [fund],
fabl (or ftobl), ftieg,
farb, fots, fpridt, fict), fiegl,
坔
Pres. Indicative.

2. fichf,
3. fict),
2. fieblft;芯

2
2
E
E
E
B
Speien, to spit.
Spinmen, to spin.
Sprecten, to speak.

Greben, to stand.
Steblen, to steal.
Cteigen, to mount, to ascend.
Sterben, to die, to lose life.
Stieben, to fly off with a jerk, or sudden spring.

Sect 3.)

## Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle.


Pres, Indicative.
Imperative.
frat,

## getragen.


getrieben.
getreten.
f( ant [ff nf], fief, ffridt, frit,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { trug, } \\
& \text { tref, } \\
& \text { tries, }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. ftoferf,
3. fief (or fifer),
Infinitive.
$\qquad$ - Used ot 'uagose

Streidten, to sweep, or rub along,

to combat.
I.
Tragen, to bear, to carry, to wear.

- bose
Treffen; to hit, to touch the mark; nt also, to meet with.
Treiben, to drive; also, to exercise,
to carry on.
Ereten, to tread.

| Infinitive. <br> **rieffen, or triefen,' to drop, to distil. | Pres. Indicative. | Imperative. | Preterimperfect. troff, | Pret. Participle, getroffen. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eriegert, to deceive. |  |  | trog, |  |
| Trinfen, to drink. |  |  | trant, | getrunzen. |
| Erigen, the same as triegen. |  |  |  |  |
| Whun (or tbuen) to do. | 1. toue; 2. tbuft, | tbue (or thu), | tbat, | getban. |
| S' | 3. tbut, |  |  | - 1 \|sio |
| B. $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Berbergen, to conceal. | 2. verbirgft, | verbiry, | verbars, | verborgen. |
| Serbieten, to forbid. | 3. Derbirgt, |  |  |  |
| 2erbleitien, to change to a paler |  |  | verbot, verblith, | verboten. verbliden. |
| colour, to fade. |  |  |  |  |
| Werbrennen, to burn, to consume with fire. |  |  | verbrannte, | verbrannt. |

Sect 3.
Irregular Verbs.
Pret. Partieiple. verborben. verbroffen. vergeffen. verglidyen.
verfobren. pergoblen. verlaffen. verloren. permieden. verfolungen. Pres. Indicative. Imperative. Preterimperfect. verbarb, verbrog, vergas, verglid, verbobl (or - verbabl), verlief̃,

+ Wieland treats this verb as a regular conjugation.
$\ddagger$ It is only used in the third person.
Infinitive.
to corrupt,

2. verbirbft,
3. verbirbt, verbirb,

## vergif,


3. vergift (or vergiffet),

- $\quad$-Konsap of Berbrieffen, to offend. $\ddagger$
Bergeffen, to forget.
Bergleicten, to compare.
**'Berbeblen, to conceal.

2. verláffeft(or verláft), verlaf,
S. verláft(or verlåfet)
quit, to
Berlaffen, to leave, to
Eerlieren, to lose. Bermeiben, to avoid.

[^60]Imperative.
Pres. Indicative.

> Infinitive.
> Werfafminden, to disappear.
Berftieben, to fly off, in conseore
2. vertrittfi,
Imperative.
vertritt, 3. vertritt,
Preterimperfect. Pret. Participle. 3
verfctwand,
verfob,
verworr,
verzies, B. ic

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { verfthwunden. } \\
& \text { verfoben. }
\end{aligned}
$$

gemogen. gewactien. vermorren.
verziegen.
Sect. 3.
Irregular Verbs.
geroaften.
gemoben.
gewiden.
gemiefen.
gerwand.
geworben.
gemorfen.
gemogen.
gemunden.
gemuft.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{8} \\
& \dot{\sim} \\
& \dot{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

wи斤由,
mok,
wid,
wies, wande, warb, wirf, warf, wog,
wand,
muste,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mand, } \\
& \text { mupte, }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Pres. Indicative.

2. wafaleft,
3. wajat,
suirb,
4. wirffi, 3. wirft,

to 2. wirbft,
5. wirbt,
6. wirff, s. wirft,
7. weif, 2. weift, $\ddagger$
8. weif,
 Weifen, to show, to point out. werben, to sue, to apply for, endeavour to obtain. Wiegen, to weigh, to have weight. winden, to wind, to turn. Wiffen, to know.t

Infinitive.
**Weben, to weave.
Lat. scire, Fr. saroir.
hogr. p.
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## Infinitive. Woden, to be willing, to wish. <br> Infinitive. Wollen, to be willing, to wish.
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## OBSERVATIONS.

1. The preceding list exhibits the irregular verbs in those parts, which deviate from the rule : in all the rest, they conform to the regular mode of inflection. Let it be observed, that the first person plural, of the present tense, is always like the infinitive, and that thence the conjugation proceeds regularly: the infinitive, indeed, may be considered as the root of the regular parts of the verb. Of the preterimperfect, the third person singular is constantly the same with the first and; the other persons follow, in their terminations, the example of the regular verb. Where the imperative is not marked, as irregular, it may be supposed, that it is to be made of the infinitive, by cutting off the final $n$, or sometimes $e n$.
2. The preterimperfect takes, in the subjunctive mood, an $e$ at the end: that is to say, when it terminates with a consonant, in the indicative, an $e$ must be added. Moreover, the vowels $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{u}$, are, in the subjunctive, changed into the diphthongs $\dot{a}, \dot{0}, \dot{\mathfrak{u}}$. For example: S(b) bat, I begged, subjunct. báte; id hob, I lifted up, subj. Hóbe; ith trug, I bore, or carried, subj. trigge, Some verbs assume a different vowel, instead of a diphthong: as, Sibl Eannte, I knew, subj. Fennete; idh nannte, I named, subj. nemnete; idib ramnte, I rushed, subj. rennete. Others have a diphthong, but not that which corresponds with the vowel, in the indicative. Of this kind are, Sch befafl, from befehlen, subj. be= fo̊ble; begann, from beginnen, subj. bego̊nne; barg, from bergen, subj. buirge; gebahr, from gebabbren, subj. ge= boihre; galt, from gelten, sulij. gôlte; ram, from rinmen, subj. ro̊me; ipann, from ipinnen, subj. ipoime; ftabl, from fteblen, subj. fioble ; farb, from ferben, subj. ftir=
be; verbarb, from verberben, subj. verbưrbe; warb, from werben, subj. murrbe. In the examples first adduced, where $e$ is put, in the subjunctive, for $a$, this is, in truth, no more than the regular form. For femete, nennete, rennete, are precisely the same as lobete. Of the instances, which have diplthongs, unlike the vowels of the indicative, it is to be remarked, that originally a change of the vowels, in the indicative, has taken place : and that befahl, was formerly befohl; barg was burg; gebabr, gebobr; galt, golt; rann, ronn; fpann, fponn; ftarb, fturb; verbatb, verburb. Some of these are, even now, occasionally used, though perhaps not with sufficient propriety; as befohl, gebohr.
3. The second and third persons singular, of the present tense, and the second person singular, of the imperative mood, of verbs, which have $i$, or $\mathfrak{i}$, in the first syllable, are, in ancient language, and poetically, formed in eu : as, biege, 2. beugft, 3. beugt; imperat. beuge: biete, 2. beutft, 3. beut; imperat. beut :- fliche, 2. fleuch)ft, 3. fleud)t ; imperat. fleuch: flieffe, 2. fleuffeft, 3. fleußt, imperat. fleuß̄: luge, 2. leugft, 3. Yeugt; imperat. Yeug: triege, or trige, 2. treugft, 3. treugt; imperat. treug: ziehe, 2. zeud)f, 3. zeucht; ;imperat. zeud). This is not a permanent irregularity, and has, on that account, not been noticed in the catalogue of the verbs.
4. Compound verbs are, in general, inflected as their simples. Therefore, if these are irregular, the compounds will be the same. A few are excepted: for instance, veranlaffen, to occasion, compounded of $\mathrm{laf}=$ ien; berennen, to blockade a town, from remen; rath $=$ fallagen, and berathjol)lagen, to deliberate, from iblla= gent. The greatest number of compounds, however, adheres to the conjugation of the simple verbs.

## SECTION IV.

## VERBS NEUTER.

Verbs, which signify an action, that from the subject nominative passes over to an object, or affects a person, or thing, are, in grammar, called active, or transitive: and those, which do not imply the passing over of the action to a certain object, are styled intransitive, or neuter. Thus, I love, I hate, are actives, or transitives, because the action, therein contained, generally refers to an object, which is expressed, as, I love -my father; I hate - a slunderer. But I walls, I ride, $I$ sleep, marking an independent action, that is to say, \&n action without relation to an object; or denoting merely a state of being, or acting, come under the denomination of neuters.

It will be perceived, that the line, between verbs active and neuter, cannot be drawn with precision. For those, which commonly are used as actives, may sometimes. appear in the shape of neuters: I love, 1 hate, may mean, I am in a state of loving, of hating, without the mention of a particular object. On the other hand, such as, in general, have the character of neuters, may be transformed into actives, as, $I$ ride-a horse; I fight-a battle; I walk-a mile.

There would be little more to say of these verbs, were it not necessary to remark, that some of them take, in the preterite tenses, the auxiliary fenn, to be, where, in English, to have is used. These must be pointed out, and described, that the student may not be led into granmatical errour, by the custom of his own language,

Let it, therefore, be noticed, that the following neuters are joined with the auxiliary feyn.

1. Those, which signify a change, or transition, from one condition, or state, into another. For example: 2 $น$ दartent, to degenerate, pret. perf. id bin auşgeartet; einjd) lafen, to fall asleep, pret. perf. id bin eingeichlafen; erblafien, to grow pale; erfalten, to grow cold ; erre = then, to grow red, to blush; eridurefeen, to get frightened; genejen, to recover from illness, to regain health; gerathen, to get into, to fall into, also to succeed, to prosper; fchwellen, to swell; verarmen, to grow poor; verbluiben, to fade, to wither; verbungern, to perish with hunger; verfdwinben, to disappear; wadjen, to grow, to increase. Add ferben, to die, to expire, and synonymous words, as entijhlafen, to expire; for dying implies a change of condition.
II. Such as note motion, with locality, that is, motion with relation to place, or distance. The place, or distance, may eilher be named, or understood; ; in both circumstances, feyn serves for the axuiliary to the verb, in the past tenses. For instance: Sib bin mad \&onbon geritten, I have rode to London; ber feino ift mach ber Şauptfinot marfdiret; the enemily has marched to the capital. Here the locality is expressed. Frequently it is understood, as in the verbs compounded with the local particles, $\mathfrak{a b}$, off; an, on; auf, upon; aus, out; bey, near: burch, through ; ein, into; fort, forth, forward; ber, and bin, towards; uiber, over; um, about; vor, before; vor= bey, beside, passing by; weg, away; $\mathfrak{z u}$, towards; zuricfe, back. For example, abreifen, to set off, to de-
part; anlangen, to come to, to arrive : auftretent, to tread, or step, upon, to step forth: aułjleigen, to get out ; bentreten, to step near to, to agree with in opinion; Durchifegeln, to sail through ; eintreffen, to come into, to arrive at; fortfotleidyen, to sneak off; herfliegen, Kinfliegen, to fly towards; uiberlaufen, to run over; umfabren, to drive about, that means, to go the longest way; vorbringen, to press forward; vorbeyeilen, to hasten by; wegmarichiren, to march away; zufliegen, to fiy towards. The notion of space, through which the motion proceeds, or in other words, the idea of locality, presents itself to the mind, in those verbs, though the place is not itself nominally introduced.

Motion, however, may also be conceived as mere action, without the association of place, or distance. The verb is, then, conjugated with the first auxiliary, baben. Thus, reiten, to ride ; fabren, to drive; fprint= gen, to jump; tanjen, to dance; fdwimmen, to swim take, baben, when they are put without mention of place, or allusion to locality: as, Sich babe geritten, I have rode, or I bave been riding; fie haben gefabren, they have been driving (a carriage); er hat gefprungent, he has jumped; fie bat getanzt, she has danced, or has been dancing; wit baben geidnommen, we have been swimıning.
The manner of moving being expressed: as, fast, slow, \&cc. feyn again is employed as the auxiliary; because this cannot well be imagined, without the recollection of space. For example : $\mathfrak{W i r}$ find langjam geritten, we have rode slow; wir find geifdminde geritten, we have rode fast. Also in fpagieren reiten, Fr. se promener à cheval, to take an airing on horseback: as, er ijt
fpakieren geritten, he hás taken an airing on horseback. For though this does not exactly coincide with the preceding examples, yet the manner of motion seems to be intimated, viz. on horseback. And if it should not appear to be connected with the former, let it be remembered as a separate observation, or as an exception.
III. Sein, is always joined with these verbs: fallen, to fall; folgen, to follow; gehen, to go; fommen, to come; weichen, to yield, to give way: and baken is never admitted.
IV. Likewise with the following: begegnen, to happen, to occur; bleiben, to remain; gelingen, to succeed, to turn out favourably; gefdetien, to happen, to come to pass.-Steben is sometimes found with the second auxiliary, fern, but most frequently with the first, haben; indeed, the former may perhaps be considered as a provincial deviation. -2 (uffteken, to get up, to rise, though a compound of ftcken, is inflected with feyn, in no instance with baben: of which the reason seems to be, that it denotes motion with locality.
V. In some verbs it is doubtful, whether feyn, or haben, should be used. For instance, in kegegnen, to meet; beharren, to persevere ; einfehren, to stop at an inn, or place of recreation; lamber, to land; verfabren, to act, to procced with.

## SECTION V.

REFLECTIVE VERBS.
When the action, expressed by a verb, returns back to the subject, from which it proceeds, the verb is called reflective, or reciprocal : as, I love myself, I cut myself, he hurts himself.

It must immediately appear, that every verb, implying action, is capable of assuming this form: but there are several, in German, which never are used without the reciprocal pronouns. These are reflectives, in the strictest sense of the word, and to them, in particular, the present section is devoted. May it suffice, out of their number, to state the following; ammanen, to presume, to claiin; anichidéen, th prepare; *auf= fhmingen, to rise: áusern, to intimate, also to come forth, to appear ; bebanken, to thank for a thing; *be= benken, to consider; *befinben, to find; *begeben, to resign ; "bebelfen, to put up with, to be contented : be= ruibmen, to boast; "befinnen, to reflect; *bewerben, to sue, or apply for; einbilden, to imagine; *enthalten, to abstain; "entfolfieffen, to resolve; erbarmen, to pity, to have mercy; freuen, to rejoice; gramen, to grieve; getrauen, to be confident ; ribmen, to boast; *unter= ftehen, to venture, to dare; miderieken, to resist.

It will be useful to show an example of the conjugation of those verbs; and, for that purpose, one shall be selected, the inflection of which is regular. Those marked with a star, are irregular, and must be looked for in the list of irregular verbs.
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Sidh fretten, to rejoice.

## I NDICATIVE.

## SUBJUNCTIVE.

Present.
Singular.
Singular.

1. Jat freue mid, I re- 1. Jd freue midy, (if) I rejoice. joice.
2. Bu freuef (or freufi) 2. Su freueft bidt, (if) thou סidt, thou rejoicest. rejoice.
3. Er (fie, (̧) freuet (or 3. Er (fie, ej) freue fith, fruut) fict, he (she, it) (if) he (she, it) rejoice. rejoices.

## Plural.

Plural.

1. Wir freuen ung, we 1. Wir freuen ung, we rerejoice. joice.
2. $\mathfrak{J h r}$ freut (or, freut) 2. $\mathfrak{J b r}$ freuet cud), you reeutb, you rejoice. joice.
3. Sie freuen fict, they 3. Sie freuen fit, they rerejoice. joice.

## Preterimperfect.

Singular.
Singular.

1. Jid freuete (or freute) 1. Jds freuete mid, (if) I remid, I rejoiced. joiced.
2. Su freueteft (or freu: 2. Du freueteft bid, (if) thou teft) bitt, thou rejoi- rejoiced, cedst, \&c.

## Preterperfect.

Singular.
Singular.

1. З(t) babe midt gefreut 1. Jit babe mict gefreut, (if) (or gefreuet), I have I have rejoiced. rejoiced.
2. Tu baft did) gefreut, 2. Su babeft bith gefreut, thou hast rejoiced. (if) thou have rejoiced.
3. Er bat fiid) gefreut, he has rejoiced.

$$
\& c .
$$

## Plural.

1. Wir baten uns gefreut, we have rejoiced.
2. Jir babt euct gefreut, you have rejoiced.
3. Sie baben fictl gefreut, they have rejoiced.

## Preterpluperfect.

Singular.
Singular.

1. Jid batte midh gefreut, 1. Jch bátte mict gefreut, I had rejoiced. (if) I had rejoiced.
2. Su fattef dich gefreut, 2. Su bittef bich gefreut, thou hadst rejoiced. (if) thou hadst rejoiced.
3. Er batte fidg gefreut, he 3. Er bitte fidd gefreut, (if) had rejoiced. \&c. he had rejoiced.
\&c.

## INDICATIVE. SUBJUNCTIVE.

## First Future.

## Singular.

Singular.

1. Jith werbe midh freuen, 1. Jad werbe midh freuen, I shall rejoice.
(if) I shall rejoice.
2. Su wirft bidt freuen, 2. Su werbeft bith freuen, thou shalt, or wilt, re- (if) thou shalt rejoice. joice. \&c.
3. Er wird fith freuen, he shall, or will, rejoice.

## Plural.

1. Wirwerben ung freuen, we shall rejoice.
2. Jor werdet eudf freuen, you shall, or will, rejoice.
3. ©ie werben fite freuen, they shall, or will, rejoice.

## Second Future.

## Singular.

Singular.

1. Jid werbe midd gefreut 1. Jゆ werbe mid gefreut Gaben, I shall have re- baben, (if) I shall have joiced. rejoiced.
2. Su wirf bith gefrent 2. Su werberi didy gefreut. babell, thou shalt have rejoiced.
\&c. baten, (if) thou shalt have rejoiced.
sc.

## First Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jit wúrbe mid freuen, I should, or would, rejoice.
2. Du wiirbeft didb freuen, . thou shouldst, or wouldst, rejoice, \&c.

## Second Future Conditional.

## Singular.

1. Jot wiirbe midf gefreut baben, I should, or would, have rejoiced. \&c.

## IMPERATIVE.

Singular.
Plural.
(1. Freuen wir ung, let us rejoice.)
2. Frene ( O u) Didt, rejoice 2. Freuet (or freut) (ibr) (thou). eucty, rejoice (you).
3. Freue er (fie, esi) fitt, 3. Freuen fie fith, let them let him (her, it) rejoice. rejoice.

## INFINITIVE.

Pres. Sid freuen, to rejoice.
Pret. perfect. Sidt) gefreut baben, to have rejoiced.
Fut. Sidf freuen werben, to be about to rejoice.

PARTICIPLE PRESENT.
Sidf freuend, rejoicing.

## OBSERVATIONS.

1. Most of the reflective verbs govern the reciprocal pronouns in the accusative, a few in the dative case. Of the latter class are, anmafen, cinbiloen, getrauen: as, ick mase mir an, Du bildeft dir ein, ich getraue mir. The impersonal, es Daiucht, it seemeth, either takes the accusative, or the dative: as, cรె Dáucht mid), or, eร Daucbt mir, it seems to me.
2. Some verbs are only used, as reflectives, in a particular signification. For example: fich bedenfen, to pause, for the purpose of reflection, to hesitate, from beocnfen, to reflect upon, to consider; fich berufen, to appeal to, from berufen, to call; fich beidecioen, to be contented with, from befcheiben, to point out, to direct, to enjoin; fid furd)ten, to be afraid, from furchtell, to fear; fich buten, to be cautions, to beware, from buten, to guard; fict verantworten, to excuse one'sself, to argue against accusation, from verantworten, to answer for.
3. Others are, in the same signification, employed as simple verbs, and as reflectives : for instance, irren, and fich irren, to be mistaken; fdeuen, and fich icheuen, to be shy of a thing; zanten, and fich zanfen, to quarrel.
4. The reflective verbs are joined wilh the first auxiliary, baben.

## SECTION VI.

## IMPERSONAL VERBS.

Verbs, which only occur in the third person of the singular number, in as much as they are destitute of the other persons, are termed impersonal. Such are, for example, (ç Dáucht midh (or mir), or mid (mir) Dáucht, it seems to me, methinks; es buinft mid), or mid Dinft, it seems to me; ef gefiemet fith), it is proper, becoming; es efelt mir, or mir efelt, it loathes me; es grauet mir (mich), or mir graut, I am afraid. To this class may be added the following, though, iu a strict sense, they cannot be called impersonals, because the first and second persons may be used, when required, viz: eछ Donnert, it thunders; es bligt, it lightens; es regnet,
 thauet, it thaws; ç̉ bagelt, it hails; ç reifet, there is a hoar frost. And these: es bungert midy, or mid) bungert, I am hungry; es burfet midh, or midh durftet, I am thirsty: (5 fdlafert mid), or mich follifert, I am sleepy.

Those impersonal verbs, which have a pronoun after them, may be said to participate in the nature of reflective verbs: as, es buinft midt, es gegiemet fich. And, on the other hand, some of the refiectives have occasionally the impersonal form: for instance, e 5 freut midh, it pleases me, I am glad, from fith freuen; es gtamt midh), it grieves me, from fich graimen; es graut mid, I am afraid, from fich grauen. When the reflective term is put before the verb, the pronoun $e^{\xi}$, is in many words omitted: as, mich graut, midy báucht.

## SECTION VII.

## VERBS COMPOUND.

THis division comprehends verbs, that are composed with prepositions, and such inv ariable words, as, in grammar, are styled particles. All other combinations are excluded, for example, those with a verb, and a noun.

A verb compound is either separable, or inseparable: that is to say, the particle, or preposition, at the beginning, may either be separated from the verb, or may not.
A. Separable are those, composed with

| ab, as abregen, to lay down, from regen, to lay. |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| an, | - anfangen, to begin, |
| fangen, to |  |
| catch. |  |

anbeim, -anbeimftellen, to refer —fellen, to

 pour.
Ginaus, - binauswerfen, to fling - werfen, to
out,
Giniber, - finibertragen, to carry_tragen, to
over,

Binunter, - binunterfpringen, to leap-fpringen, to down, leap.
mit, - mitnermen, to take with - nebmen, to you; also, to censure, take.


| vor, - vorgeben, to pretend, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| voran, - vorangeben, to go before, - geben, to go, <br> voraus, - vorauşferen, to suppose, - féen, to put. <br> voriber, - vorúberfabren, to pass by, -fabren, to |  |  |
| iiberein, - ifereinfommen, to agree, fommen, to come. |  |  |
| reeg, and $^{2}$ - meghleiben, to stay away, —bleiben, to stay. |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { svieder, - wiederfommen, to come -fommen, to } \\ & \text { again, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| fu, - oureden, to persuade, —reden, to |  |  |
| surict, - suruiafebren, to return, _- febren, to turn. |  |  |
| fammen, - \%ufammenfegen, to put |  |  |
| - together, to compose, |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Rules.

I. The separation takes place

1. In the imperative mood: as, fange ( O u ) ant, begin (thou); fange er an, let him begin; fanget (ibr) an, begin (you): fangen fie an, let them begin. Thus: bore auf, cease, \&c.

* In wiederberen, to repeat, from bolen, to fetch, it is inseparable; which may lead to the supposition, that the verb should be written, widerbolen: for the particle wider is inseparable. But the circumstance may be accounted for by supposing, that the same particle is used as separable and inseparable, according to a difference of signification, attributed to the verb, with which it is joined.

2. In the indicative, and subjunctiye, when the verb is not, by the influence of a pronoun, or a conjunction, removed to the end of the sentence. For instance: Sid) fabre fort, I continue; ©u fåbrft fort, thou continuest; er fåbert fort, he, continues; mir fahren fort, we coiltinue; ibr fabret fort, you continue; fie fabren fort, they continue,
3. When the infinitive has the preposition $\mathfrak{z u}$ before it (see Part II. Chap. 1. p. 388 B.), that preposition is placed between the compounding particle, and the verb: as, anjufangen, aufixhoiren, fortzufabren.
4. The augment ge , in the preterite participle, is inserted between the particle, and the verb:/as, ange fangen, aufgehort, fortgefahren.
II. The particle, separated from the verb, is put
5. In the infinitive, and preterite participle, before the verb, as appears from the examples adduced.
6. In the other inslances, after the verb, and not only after the verb, from which it has been disjoined, but also after the subject nominative, if that sloould happen to stand after the verb (see Part II. Chap. 3.): as, fo fange id an, thus do I begin; nun gort ber Rarm auf, now the noise ceases: and, generally, after the cases governed by the verb. For example: idy fange bab ßuch an, I begin the book, verb anfangen; neh= men ©ie mir bie $\mathfrak{E a f t} a b$, take from nie the burden, verb abnehmen; wir theilten unjern Freunden bic Nachridet mit, we communicated the information to our friends, verb mittheilen. Frequently also after the relative members of a sentence: as, Sid flelte' bie Sacthe meinem Bruber und zwey 刃ainnern, welde meine Freunbe waz ren, anheim, I referred the matter to my brother and
two men who were my friends; verb ankeimftelfen. Indeed, the particle is hardly ever found before the cases, governed by the verb, except it were from the necessity of rhyme, or metre; and, even then, it is not justifiable, if the cases be mere pronouns: as, id fange es $\mathfrak{a n}$, I begin it; where you could not say, idf fange an es.. Or, nebmen ©ie mir eß $\mathfrak{a b}$, take it from me, which could not possibly be expressed, by nebmen ©ic ab es mir. Sometimes, the particle is placed after the infinitive, governed by the compound verb: as, er fángt $\mathfrak{z u}$ lejen an, he begins to read, for er fangt an $\mathfrak{z u}$ lejen.
B. Compound verbs inseparable, those beginning with
be, emp, -empfangen, to re- - fangen, to take. ceive,
ent, - entebren, to disho- - ebren, to honour. nour,
-erbalten, to preserve, - balten, to hold.

- gebrautben, to make - braucten, to use. use of,
binter, -bintergeben, to deceive,
-geben, to go.
-mífatuen, to dis-
please, fallen, to fall.
- vergebren, to consume,
- veratidieuen, to dctest,
vernact, -vernatilafigen, to neglect,
- fcteuen, to shun.
- laffen, to leave.
verun, as verunreinigen, to from reinigen, to soil, clean.
wiber,
-miderrathen, todiso suade, $\quad$ ratben, to advise.
Ber, - Berffiren, to destroy, - fofren, to stir.
Rule.
These verbs never admit a separation of the prefix; nor receive the augment $\mathfrak{g e}$, in the preterite participle, except a few, compounded with mi $\tilde{\beta}^{2}$, which, though inseparable, take the syllable ge in the said participle. Such are, those of an active, and transitive signification : as, migbilligen, to disapprove, preterite participle, ge= mi bbilliget ; mifbrauchen, to abuse, preterite participle, gemifbraucht; mifbeuten, to misinterpret, preterite participle, gemifbeutet; miß̆bandeln, to ill treat, preterite participle: gemighandelt. Others, composed with $\mathrm{mi} \tilde{今}$, especially neuters, refuse the augment, in the preterite participle; as, mígfallen, to displease, preterite participle, mígfallen, not gemigfallen; migghicfen, to turn out ill, to fail, preterite participle, migglhicft ; mi $\tilde{\beta}=$ lingen, to fail, preterite participle, mißlungen; mi $\bar{\beta}=$ rathen, not to prosper, preterite participle, mißrather. Sometimes the augment ge, in the preterite participle, and the preposition $\mathfrak{j u}$, in the infinitive, are inserted between the particle, and the verb: as, mifgeboten, mif $=$
 from míararten, to degenerate. Bur this seems to be an unnecessary departure from the rule.
C. Separable and inseparable, are verbs compounded with the following prepositions:

Durcti), as burctbrechen, to break through, separable and inseparable, preterite participle, burchgebrochen, and ourchbrocien, from bredben, to break.
ubber, as ibberjetzen, to get over, to leap over, se parable; preterite participle, ubergefetat : and uberfeken, to translate, inseparable; preterite participle, ifberfetst; both from feken, to put.
$\mathfrak{u n t}$, as umlaufen, to run round, separable and inseparable, preterite participle, umgelaufen, and $u m=$ laufen, from laufen, to run.
unter, as untergeken, to godown, to perish, separable; preterite participle, untergegangen, from geben, to go: unternehmen, to undertake, inseparable; pret. part. unternommen, from nel)men, to take.

## Rules.

1. Those verbs, when separable, have a neutral signification, without a case following: as, er Ericht Durch), he breaks through. er ift Durdigebrochen, he has broken through. When inseparable, they are transitives, and govern the accusative case: as, Die ©ome Durdbrid)t Die $\mathfrak{B o l f}$ en, the sun breaks through the clouds. Add the following examples: Das গab láuft um, the wheel runs round, separable ; ber Scund umlauft bas Fclo, the dog runs round the field, inseparable. Die פj) feroe fésen itber, the horses leap over, separable; Der Jimabe inberjegt Den Somer, the boy translates Homer, inseparable. Die Somme gel)t unter, the sun sets, separable; ich unter= nekme bas $\mathfrak{b e r f}$, I undertake the work, iuseparable. Such is the rule, but a deviation from it occurs in some verbs, composed with burd) and um. For example, Durctbrect)en, to break in pieces; umftopen, to knock
down, to overset, to overturn; ummerfen, to throw down, to overturn ; umfturzen, to overthrow ; umore= ben, to turn about, to twist about; umbringen, to kill: are separable, though they are used as verbs transitive. This must be considered either as a mere exception, or may be explained for, as I have done in another place*, by saying, that the rule is only applicable, when the component particle has its first, or original, signification. When that signification is altered, the verbs, which were inseparable, become separable. The original signification of burd) is through, but in that example it means asunder: um denotes circular motion, but in the instances above the idea of inversion and change, or some other notion, seem to prevail.
II. In these compounds the accent varies: for, when they are used as separables, it is laid upon the preposition, as, Durctbrecten; when they are inseparable, the preposition is void of accent, as, Durdibreedhen. Thus ùmlnufen, separable-umlauifen, inseparable; uiberfe $=$ Gen, separable- íberfègen, inseparable; untergehen, separable-unternégmen, inseparable.
D. Some verbs are doubly compounded, with particles separable, and inseparable: for example, aufer= ziefen, to bring up, to educate ; anverrrauen, to entrust. The particles $\mathfrak{a u f}$ and $\mathfrak{a n}$, are to be found among the separables; er and ver are inseparable. These verbs, though separable after the first particle, do not take the syllable ge, in the preterite participle: as, auferzogen, anvertraut.
E. Of those, which are composed with nouns, it is to

Exercises, p. 66.
be observed, that some are separable, as, freypprechen, to acquit; gleid)tommen, to equal; lostreifien, to tear loose: and some inseparable. Several of the latter liave the augment in the preterite participle, like simple verbs. For example: frobloden, to exult; handhaben, to haridle; fribftuidfen, to breakfast; liebaiugeln, to exchange fond looks : which make the preterite participles gefrob $=$ lodft, gebanobabt, gefrubfticet, geliebáugelt. Those with voll, full, are inseparable, and reject the augment: as, bolfziehen, to execute, pret. part. wollzogen.

## Concerning the Signification of Compound Verbs.

- In reviewing those which are separable, few difficulties occur. The prefixes, for the most part, may be rendered intelligible by translation. Thus, $\mathfrak{a b}$, signifies off; $\mathfrak{a n}$, on; $\mathfrak{a u f}$, up, or npon; $\mathfrak{a u}$, ouf of; beit, by; bar, there; eifr, in, or into; fort, fortb, or forward; glcid), like; beim, home; mit, with; nach, , after; nieber, down; ob, on, upon; vor, lefore; weg, away; rieder, again. Only hin, and her, require an explanation. Thiey are both particles of locality. Scin, expresses motion proceeding from the person, who is speaking, to another point ; ber, denutes motion from any place towards that persou. Thus, er geht bint, he goes along, means, he goes from the point, in which the subjective person is, to some other point; and, er fommt her, he comes, signifies, he comes from any place towards the person. Therefore, when I bid any one to proceed from me, it will be, gehen ©ie hin; and when I desire him to come towards me, fommen Sie ber. But more is to be said of the compounds inseparable. The nature, and meaning, of their prefixes, is complicated, and calls for some illustration.
$\mathfrak{B e}$, supposed to be related to the preposition bey, serves*

1. To transform verbs neufer into transitives. For example: folgen, to follow, is a neuter, construed with the dative case; befolgen, to follow, in a metaphorical sense, to obey, a verb tranisitive, with the accusative; arbeiten, to work, to labour, neuter; bearbeiten, to bestow labour upon a thing, to elaborate, transitive ; fachen, to laugh, neuter: belachen, to laugh at, transitive ;-fteigen, to ascend, or descend, neuter ; befteigen, to ascend a thing, to mount upon, transitive, as, Den Thron befteigen, to ascend the throne;-antworten, to answer, to make answer, neuter ; beantroorten, to answer, transitive, as, einen $\Re$ Brief beantworten, to auswer a letter. Thus, in English, to rhyme, is a verb neuter, to berhyme, a transitive.
2. In composition with verbs transitive, it has the effect of exchanging the case, governed by the simple verb, for one, depending upon the preposition mit, with. For example: $\mathfrak{B l u m e n}$ auf Den $\mathfrak{W e g}$ freuen, to strew flowers on the way; here $\mathfrak{B l u m e n}$ is the accusative case, governed by the verb ffreuen, and Den $\mathfrak{F s e g}$ is governed by the preposition auf. Now, taking the compound beftreuen, it will be, Den SBeg mit Blumen beffreuen, to strew the way with flowers; here the cases are changed, and Den $\mathfrak{W e g}$ is governed by the verb; the former object accusative, in its turn, is combined with a preposition.- Figuren auf das papier mahlen, to paint

* A curious, or rather a whimsical, etymology of this particle is offered by an anonymous writer, in the Monthly Magazine, for July 1801, p. 504: It exists in all Teutonick languages; even in the English, as is proved by the verbs to bedeck, besprinkle, begnaw, begird, berhyme, and others.
figures on the paper ; Figureit is the object accusative, governed by the simple verb mablen. Making the latter a compound with be , thie phrase will then be: $\mathrm{Das}^{3}$ spa= pier mit Figuren bemablen, to paint the paper with figures; Das spapier is become the object accusative, and Figuren is joined with the preposition mit.

3. Any part of speech may, by means of the prefix be; receive the cliaracter of a verb: as, befligelh, to furnish with wings, from ber Fluggel, the wing, a substantive ; bereichern, to enrich, from reid), rich, an adjective.* The German grammar admits this operation with considerable freedom, though not every combination, which may be formed in that manner, cau be regarded as classical. For instance: (Er fareit juchbey! he cries huzza-id) mill ifn bejuchbeyen, I will huzza him! that means, I will make him change his note, I will punish him, or something like it. Here an iaterjection is transformed into a verb. (Er nennt fid) Seere Mafcom, he calls himself Mr. Maskow-id) will ihn be= maffowen, I will maskow him, i. e. I will teach him how to use that name, I will make him know what he is doing, or a similar idea. Here a verb is made of a proper name, by the aid of the particle be. These expressions are, in fact, a sort of jargon, or cant language : yet they show the nature of that particle.
4. In some instances, the signitication of the simple verbs does not seem to be altered, by the composition with $\mathfrak{b e}$ : as, fortern and beforbern, to further, to forward; furd)ten and befurd)ten, to fear. The simples as well as the compounds, are employed as travsitives.
[^61]However, if the use of such verbs be strictly enquired into, a difference may be discovered. For example : be= forbern, means to promote a persou to a higher rank; in which sense the simple, forbernt, rarely, or never, occurs; and befurchten, to fear, is not applied to persons, as objects, but only to things, as, idh befurchte Diefen $\mathfrak{U m}$ ftand, I fear this circumstance; whereas furchten is capable of both significations. Moreover, the latter seems to denote a stronger fear, and befurchiten merely appreheusion, or duubt.
Ent signifies

1. Privation: as, entefren, to deprive of honour, to dishonour, from ehren; enterben, to disinherit, from erben; entbinben, to free from a tie, hence to act as a midwife, Fr. accoucher, from binben; entfeffeln, to free from fetters, to set at liberty, from feffeln; entwoffern, to deprive of population, to depopulate, from Das $\mathfrak{F O l f}$, the people; entwaffinen, to disarm, from waffinen. It is, in this signification, the reverse of be.
2. Removal, or motion, from a place: as, entflichen, to fly away, from fliefen; entfingren, to carry off, from furbren; entziehen, to withdraw, to take away, from zie= ber. Sometimes, it seems to express approach, or nootion towards a certain object : as in entbieten, to bid, to send word to; entiprecthen, to answer to, to correspond with, to suit with. Thus in the adverb entgegen, to. wards, encountering. The notion of approach implies the proceeding from a certain place towards another, so that the idea of removal from a place is, in some manner, connected with it.
3. It notes origin, and beginning: as, entfuringen, to spring forth, to arise, from ipringen; entfelfen, to arise, to be produced, from feteen; entzinden, to inflame, to
set on fire, from zưnben; entbrennen, metaphorically, to catch fire.

The first signification is to be considered as the radical one, from which the other two are derived. For removal is a species of privation, which the place sustains, whence the removal is made: and origin and beginning may be brought under the same head, so far as that which arises and begins to appear, withdraws, or disengages itself from that wherein it was before contained, or concealed, and thus causes a sort of privation to the same.

The verbs with ent that signify a removal, have the place, or person, from which the motion is made, in the dative case.

Emp is considered as a mere alteration of ent, before the letter f. But it might also be said, that it was a corruption of ein, in. For it seems, in some instances, to answer the sense, which may be attributed to ein: as, in empfangen, to receive, which originally might be cin= fangen; empfinden, to perceive, to feel, einfinben. In other verbs, it does not suit so well: for example, in empeeflen, to recommend; where the first signification of ent may seem to be applicable, if we suppose the primitive meaning of entfehlen (now empfeblen) to be, to strip of fauls, to make faultless, to represent as faultess.

Er denotes

1. The obtaining of an object, in consequence of action, or exertion: as, erfechten, to obtain by fightingDie Englander baben einen Sieg erfodten, the English, by fighting, have gained a victory. Thus, erreiten, to obtain by riding; ertangen, to obtain by dancing.
2. A motion upwards: as, crbeben, to raise up; cr= ridyten, to erect.
3. The act of opening: as, eroffinen, to open : er= bredjen, to break open.
4. The act of inventing, and producing to light : as, erbenfen, to find out by meditation, to invent ; erfinden, to invent ; erfinnen, to invent by reflection.
5. The placing, or being placed, or getting, into a certain state, or condition : as, erwarmen, to warm; er= rotthen, to grow red, to blush; eridurecfen, to terrify, and to be terrified.

Gie. The power of this prefix, whatever it primarily might be, is, at present, not easy to be distinguished. It may, perhaps, have consisted in an intension of signification. But now most of the verbs, composed with this particle, seem to be the same in meaning as the simples: for example, brauchen, and gebraudjen, to use ; Denfen, and gebenfen, to thiuk: though it may happen, that some particular signification is more usual, in the simple verb than in the compound, and again another more common, with the compound than the simple. For instance, brauchen frequently denotes to want, to stand in need of, to lave occasion for; but gebrauchen is scarcely ever employed in that sense. Thus gebenfent, with the genitive case, means to remember, to think of: as, $\mathfrak{g e}=$ denfe meiner, remember me, think of me; in which construction Denfen never occurs.-This is the same particle, which is prefixed to the preterite participle, where it evidently is applied to indicate the past action of the verb.-In the districts of the Upper German dialect it is, here and there, by the common people, put before every infinitive : as, geloben, for loben, to praise ; gelieben, for lieben, to love.
$\mathfrak{B e r}$ implies

1. The idea, away: as, vertreiben, to drive away,
from treiben; to drive; beriagen, to chase away, from jagen; veridhenfen, to give away, from fhenten*. Hence it signifies
2. A losst: as, verlieren, to lose ; verpicten, to lose at play; and
3. Destruction, or consumption: as, verbluben, to fade; verwelfent, to wither; weridmadyten, to be famished, to die of hunger; verbluten, to bleed to death. It denotes
4. Prolibition: as, verbieten, to forbid; verbindern, to hinder; verwefren, to prohibit; verbitten, to refuse, to decline ; verjagen, to deny, to refuse.
5. Change, or transmutation: as, verridider, to move a thing out of its place; veridhieben, to put off; ver= fegen, to transpose; verpflanzen, to transplant; verán= Dern, to change; verwect)fern, to confound.

- 6. It signifies doing wroug, deviating from what is just, and proper: as, verfubgren, to seduce ; verleiten, to misguide ; verfalzen, to spoil by adding too much salt; fid) verred.jnen, to misreckon; fict) verfdreiben, to commit an errour in writing; fíd verfprechen, to make a mistake in speaking; bergeffen, to forget ; fidh verftellent, to dissemble.

7. Shutting up, or closing: as, verfoblieffert, to lock up; verftopfen, to stop up; bermauern, to stop by

[^62]means of a wall, to wall up; verfigelth, to seal up; ver= pidjent, to close, or stop, with pitch; vernageln, to nail up, to spike.
8. It enforces, and strengthens, the signification of verbs: as, verefren, to honour, from ehren; verppotten, to mock; verlachen, to laugh at; verleiben, to grant.
9. It involves the idea of a close union, and connection: as, verffechten, to entwine, to implicate; verbinden, to counect ; verbribern, to join by a brotherly tie ; ver= [d)wagern, to unite by intermarriage; veridhworren, to conspire. And lastly,
10. It expresses the placing, or getting (i. e. being placed), into a certain state, or condition : as, verbeffert, to better, to make better; verbunfeln, to darken, to obscure; veredeln, to ennoble, to improve; vergôttern, to deify ; veralten, to grow obsolete, to fall into disuse; verarmen, to fall into poverty.

Ser notes separation of parts, dispersion, destruction: as, zertreten, to tread in pieces, to crush; zerreiben, to rub to atoms; zerftreuen, to scatter, to disperse ; zer= ftotren, to destroy.


1. Marks an action, done, or carried on, in'a wrong manner: as, mifbrauchen, to abuse, from brauthen, to use; mifbandeln, to ill treat, from Gandeln, or befgan= beln, to deal with; mifbeuten, to misinterpret, from beuten, to interpret; miр̄perfeken, to misunderstand, from verifehen.
2. It has a negative power: as, míffaflent, to displease, from gefallen, to please; mi $\beta$ billigen, to disapprove, from billigen, to approve.-Those significations are also to be seen in union with nouns: as, $\mathfrak{b a S}$ Micuper= Ifinonif, the misunderstanding; ber Mrißbrauch, the
 ing, offensive; mistrauifd , suspicious.

Syinter signifies

1. Behind: as, binterlaffer, to leave behind.
2. It is used in a metaphorical sense: as, binter= bringen, to give secret intelligence ; bintergehen, to deceive; bintertreiben, to prevent. And in nouns: as, Die Sinterlift, the cunning; binterliftig, crafty.

Unter corresponds with

1. The English under, Lat. sub: as, unternebmem, to undertake.
2. The Latin inter, and ob: as, unterbrechen, to interrupt; unterlaffen, to omit.

The particle $\mathfrak{U n}$, like the English $u n$, is a privative, but occurs only in combination with nouns, and preterite participles.- ©rz, answering to arch, before nouns, denotes the chief, or first of a class.
$\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, in the verbs umbringen, to put to death, to murder; and umfommen, to perish, needs an explanation, though it is hardly here in its proper place, as it is separable in those verbs. It first siguifies about, then denotes change, after that loss, in which last sense it is applied to those verbs. Jemanben umbringen, to put a person to death, is an elliptical expression for, jemanoen um bas \&eben bringen, to cause somebody to lose his life. In a similar way, umfommen is to be interpreted.
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## CHAPTER V.

## ON THE PARTICIPLE.

The participle being deduced from the verb, and sharing its power and signification, is, in the English language, not improperly, considered as a part of the same. Here a particular chapter is assigned to it, as a distinct subject of grammar: because there is something peculiar in its use, which requires, that it should be treated of separately. It seems to form a counecting link between the noun and the verb, thoughit neither belongs to the one, nor to the other.

The Germans have two participles, the present, and the preterite: and we shall speak, in

## SECTION I.

OF THE PRESENT PARTACIPLE.
It is made of the infinitive mood, by addition of the letter $d$ : as, from loben, to praise, part. lobeno; from fachent, to laugh, part. (achend, laughing.

This participle has the signitication of the verb, from which it is derived; and may govern the same case, as the verb. With these qualifications it unites the nature of an adjective. In fact, it is never used otherwise, than in connection with some substantive, or with the same relation to it, that a common adjective generally bears.

For example: ber lachende Fruifling, the smiling spring; ber tanjenbe $\mathfrak{M n a b e}$, the dancing boy; bas taufenbe Sabr, the running (or current) year.

It is, like any other adjective, capable of the four forms: as, the second form, erquidender Wein, refresh-
 lendes Getrinffe, cooling drink. Fourth form: cin lie= benber $\mathfrak{F a t e r}$, a loving father; eine trauernbe ©dhmefter, a mourning sister; ein weinenbes תind, a weeping child. Examples, of the first and third forms, have been above given.

The first, or adverbial, form is never put after any verb; especially, not after the second auxiliary, fenn, to be. Here the, participle not only swerves from the usage of the adjective, but also leaves us destitute of a verbal combination, much in practice with the English. For, with regard to the adjective, it is common, in German, to place it adverbially after verbs: for instance, Dies ift fain, this is beauliful; jenes ift baplidy, that is ugly.* And by means of the present participle, joined to the second auxiliary, the English produce what is termed the definite, or determined, time: as, "I am writing; he is reading; we were Jooking; he has been walking; they will be going." $\dagger$ But the German participle camot be thus employed, except where, from its signification, it is quite regarded as an adjective: as, $\mathfrak{e}$ ift reizent, it is clarming; Dicfe ßelce $=$ bigung ift frankeno, this insult is mortifying; ifre ©itten waren jehr einnehmeno, her manners were very cap-

> - See p. 162. + Sce p. 252.
tivating; Die Moth ift oringend, the necessity is pressing; bie $\mathfrak{R a f t}$ ift brudfeno, the burden is oppressive.

As an adjective, it may be said to admit the degrees of comparison, by means of additional endings. However, these degrees are not equally in use, with all participles. Indeed, the comparative only occurs of such, as have more the signification of adjectives, than of the verbs, from which they are taken. At least, they are become so current, as adjectives, that, in common practice, they are hardly looked upon as parts of a verb. Of that description are, for example, reikeno (from reiken, to charm) charming, pleasing, comparative, reifgender, more charming; cinneyment, captivatiug, agreeable, comp. einnefmenber; flieffend, flowing, fluent, comp. flieffender; Dringend, pressing, urgent, comp. Drin= gender; bruidfend, oppressive, heavy, comp. brídfender. Now these, and others of the same kind, suffer the comparative degree in the first, but scarcely in any other form. Other participles are not to be met with, in the comparative degree, at all. If with them a comparison is to be made, it must be done through the medium of the word mefir, more. - The superlative degree, may be applied to those participles, which allow the comparative: as, Der reikenofte; Der flieffenofte; but it cannot be transferred to the others.

The German participle has not enough of the nature of the verb, to serve for the purpose of constituting a member of a sentence: which power is principally assigned to it, in other languages. In English, you may, for example, say with propriety, "Seeing the great number of prisoners, I concluded, that the action had terminated in our favour." This sentence consists of
two members : first, I saw the prisoners: second, I concluded. The first member is expressed by the participle. So the following: "Hearing the noise of the cannon, he started up"-for, he heard the noise of the cannon, and started up.-In German, the participle cannot perform this office: the members of a sentence are constructed in some other way, and generally by means of conjunctions: as, "I saw the great number of prisoners, and concluded;" or, "when (as) I saw the number of prisoners, I concluded;"-" when he heard the noise of the cannon, he started up;" or, "as soonas he heard the noise of the cannon, he started up." Much less would the language bear the compound participle, with the auxiliaries : as, " having loved,". or," having been loved." It would, for instance, be a great errour, to translate by the participle the following sentence: "Having received your letter, I immediately desired my servant to proceed"-5̧abeno erhalten Shren ßbrief, \&c. The structure must be changed, in this, or a similar manner: "I received your letter, and immediately desired," \&c. or, "When (as soon as) I had received your letter, I immediately desired my servant," \&c. The coujunction, indem, while, was formerly much used for resolving the participial construction of other tongues, into the German idiom. But it occurs, at present, less frequently.

Though that is the most proper use of the participle, in other languages, the German does not acknowledge it; unless the following mode of employing the participle be considered, as connected with the former. Namely, it sometimes expresses the manner of acting, being, or suffering, and is then adverbially joined to a
verb. For example : WSeinend iprach er $\mathfrak{z u}$ mir, weeping he said to me; er fegte fich fdrweigend nieder, he sat down, preserving silence; fie fanden trauerno bey bem Brabe, they stood mourning near the tomb.
The poets occasionally exceed the limits prescribed by common usage; and give a greater latitude to the verbal capacity of the participle. This is, in fact, an affectation of foreign manuers. Allowance is to be made for poetical licence; and certain privileges are to be granted to the muse, in order to distinguish her own from other compositions. Yet this liberty ought not to be too far extended; nor is it to be supposed, that what most deviates from the rules of prosaick accuracy, is always most poetical. It will not be amiss to mention a few instances from Voss's translation of Homer. This is an excellent version of that great poet: but the scrupulous imitation of the original, to which it aspires, and which often has the appearance of pedantry, may perhaps furnish ground for censure. Among its peculiarities is the frequent use of the present participle, which, though it corresponds with the Greek, is not countenanced by the genius and spirit of the German language. For example:

Iliad, Book 1.
V. 51. Doct) nun gegen fie felbft bas berbe gefdo binmendend, æraf er-Turning the bitter arrows against them, he inflicted wounds.
-V. 130. Gegen ifn riff antwortend ber vofferfirft 2 fgamemnon-Agamemnon answering called to him.
V. 147. Şa! bu in unverfdham theit gethitleter, finneno auf wortheil-Ah! thou, clad in impudence, thinking of gain.
V. 290. Jbm in bie reb' cinfatleno begann ber eble 2cwilleus-Interrupting him, the noble Achilles began.
V. 325. Şin mit mehrern fommeno-Coming with more people.
V. 345. Sener fpratjs, und Satroflos, Dem lieben Freunbe gefordjend, frithrt aus bem gelt-He spoke it, and Patroclus, obeying his dear friend, led her forth from the tent.
V. 420. Du indés an bes meers fanellwanbernden faiffen bid) fekseno, Sirne bem Danaervoll-Seating thyself near the ships, indulge thy spleen against the Grecians.

## Book 9.

V. 57. Sedd bas mort anfaunend-Greatly admirixg the speech.
V. 86. Sn ben bainden bie ragenten fuecre bewegenbBrandishing in their hands the lofty spears.
V. 194. Berlafieno den fit wo er rubte-Leaving his seat.
V. 196. Beio' an ber Қand anfafiend-Seizing them both by the hand.

The most essential quality, which the present participle retains of the verb, is, that it may govern a case. And this is perfectly agreeable to the nature of the language, provided a sentence is not clogged by long, or many, words of that description, For example:

Die. alfes beldbenbe Sonne, the sun, which animates every thing; ber fruid)te bringenbe Sommer, the summer, which produces fruit; Die mir. bevorftehende (उe= fahr, the danger hanging over me; ba̧ unz verfolgenbe Gsepdicd, the fate persecuting us.- Let it be noticed, that the cases governed are placed before the participle. Sometimes, the case and participle are drawn together, and written as one word: for instance, ©in efrliebenbes (S5emuth, instead of, cin Çhre liebenøes Şemith, a mind that loves honour; Der wadh habende Dfficier, for, Der Wadje babende ゆfficier, the officer upon guard; Die ge= fekgebenve (Semalt, for, bie S5efeke gebenbe (s)emalt, the legislative authority; Die friegfủbrenden Måd)te, for, Sirieg fưhrenden, the belligerent powers.

From analogy to the foregoing, the participles of reflective verbs might likewise be admitted: as, Der fiid) freuende $\mathfrak{F a t e r}$, the father, who rejoices; bie fich grut menbe かutter, the mother, who chagrines, or frets, herself. Yet they are seldom made use of. Of impersonal verbs, as such, no present participle can exist.

To answer the Latin participle in dus, which is of a gerundive signification, and in English expressed by the preterite participle, with to be before it, the Germans have formed a similar participle from their present, by means of the preposition, $\mathfrak{z u}$, to. For example: $\mathfrak{D a}$ bock zu fuadizenbe Berbienft, merit to be highly esteemed; Die zu tabelnde Strenge, severity which is to be blamed; eine fdmeer zu behauptende $\mathfrak{B e f i f i z u n g , ~ a ~ p o s s e s s i o n ~ t o ~ b e ~}$ maintained with difficulty. Thus: Šodfuchrenber Şerr, honourable Sir, (who is greatly to be hououred). - This use of the participle sprung from the iufinitive, with that preposition. For the Germans (like some other nations) employ the infinitive of the active voice, with $\mathfrak{f u}$, where
the English, more properly, resort to the passive: as, Die $\tilde{\mathrm{s}}$ if $\mathfrak{z u}$ Yoben, this is to be praised (literally, to praise); bas iff $\mathfrak{z u}$ tadeln, that is to be blamed (lit. to blame*).

The present participle, may, in English, be converted into a substantive, by a preceding article: as, the writing, the reading. This cannot be done, in German, where the infinitive only serves for that purpose: as, baళె Schreiben, bas sefent.

## SECTION II.

## THE PRETERITE PAITTICIPLE.

Its formation is sufficiently known from the fourth chapter. It either cuds in $t$, (et), or $n(e n)$; and has, for the most part, the augment ge before it: as, gelobt, gefprochen. That augment is only refused by verbs compound inseparable, and by a few others, whose infinitive is in ieren, or iren: as, regiert, lamentiert, bajeliert, fpakiert.

The function of the preterite participle is twofold: first, when combined with the auxiliary verbs, it makes the compound tenses of conjugation ; and secondly, as an adjective, it is joined to substantives. In the latter capacity, it is more freely used by the Germans, than by the English. The former employ, without difference, any preterite participle as an adjective, and in all the four forms. For example: second f. Geliebter Sobnt,

[^63]loved son; third f. Die gepriejent æungeno, the praised virtue; fourth f. ein veradtetes © Sefcoppf, a despised creature.

The nature of an adjective is farther manifested in the degrees of comparison, of which this participle is susceptible; though the use of those degrees is limited, as has been stated in another place*.

Some participles remain, in the quality of adjectives, the verbs of which are become obsolete. Such are, be= baftet, afflicted with; beicheiben, modest; gewogen, friendly, favouring; gefchift, clever; gefd)lacht, neat, proper; gewobnt, accustomed. Others, though reducible to existing verbs, are considered as mere adjectives, in point of signification. Of this kind are, berubmt, renowned; gelehrt, learned; vergniggt, pleased, gay, happy; verwandt, related by kindred; volltommen, perfect. There is, indeed, so strong a relation between the nature of the preterite participle, and that of the noun, that, on the other band, adjectives are formed in the participial shape, though not derived from any verb: for instance, gefittet, good mannered, from die Sitten, manners, a noun substantive; beberzt, courageous, from Das Şerz, the heart; bejahrt, advanced in years, old, and $\mathfrak{u b e r j a h r t}$, superannuated, from $\mathfrak{D a z} \mathfrak{J a h r}$, the year; be= mittelt, wealthy, from die 㭋ittel, plur. wealth ; bemoofi, covered with moss, from dab Moos, the moss; beidilft, covered with reeds, from Das̉ Schilf, the reed. From simple verbs, conpound participles are made, which likewise bear the signification of adjective nouns. This is proved by the following examples : beffannt, known, ac-

- See p. 185. I.
quainted, froin lennen, to know; béredt, eloquent, from reben, to speak ; beidhaffen, circumstanced, from fdaffen, to cause to exist ; bewandert, conversant in a thing, from manbern, to wander; abgeneigt, disinclined, unfavourable, from neigen, to incline; verfoffen, given to drinking, from fauffen, to driuk intemperately; verfdwiegen, habituated to secrecy, faithful to a secret, from fdmeigen, to be silent.

The preterite participle, in English, may be put together with the present participle of an auxiliary verb: as, * having loved, being loved, having been loved;" the German language does not license this connection, as has beeni observed in the first Section of the present Chapter.

The signification of the pret. participle is either passive, or denotes a certain state, or quality. But some of these participles, joined with the verb fommen, and expressing the manner of coming, or approaching, seem to have an active power: as, $\mathfrak{c r}$ fommt geritten, he comes (lit. ridden) riding, or on horseback, from reiten; er formt gelaufen, he comes running, from laufen; er fommt gefabren, he comes driving, i.e. in a carriage, from fabren; er fommt geflogen, he comes flying, i. e. upon wings, from fliegen; er fo̊mmt geladht, he comes laughing*.

The syllable $u n$, being put before any participle, reverses the signification, or makes it negative. For instance: ungeliebt, not loved; ungeftraft, unpunished ; ungeraidt, unavenged.

[^64]
## CHAPTER VI.

## ON THE ADVERB.

From general grammar it is known, that adverbs are words, which, in speech, are added to other words, to express a certain modification, that is to say, a mode of action, or existence. Thus there are adverbs denoting manner, order, time, place, motion, quantity, quality, relation, comparison, doubt, affirmation, negation, demonstration, interrogation. It would be needless to enter into a detail of all these classes : but a few observations suggest themselves, which are of practical utility.

Adverbs are not variable, except that some admit the degrees of comparison, as, in English, soon, comp. sooner, sup. soonest. In German, the adverbs of quality are particularly subject to the degrees of comparison: as, wohl, beffer, am beften, well, better, best ; fchoin, finely; fidjoiner, more finely; am fido

Adverbs of quality abound in the German language : for every adjective furnishes such an adverb. The first form of adjectives, thence called the adverbial, may, without limitation, be thus employed. For this reason, it has been mainlained, by some grammarians, that adjectives are nothing else than adverbs, provided with declinable terminations, and adapted to substantives. But this seems a question, in itself of little importance*.

To form an adverb of quality, from an adjective, re-

[^65]quires, in English, the addition of the syllable ly: as, from quiet, quietly; from fine, finely; nice, nicely. The German adjective has the means of yielding an adverb ont of its own forms, quite ready for use, without a similar process. It is true, that in some instances the ending lidt), appears to answer to the English ly: as, finglidh, not long ago; erfflidh, in the first place; fidroer= (idd), hardly, scarcely; but that ending is not exclusively adverbial. It is found in several adjectives : as, mán $n=$ (id), manly; fürftich), princely; fóniglid), royal, or kingly ; glicf(idd), lucky; gefáhrlidh, dangerous.

Some words become adverbs, by means of an additional $s$ : for example, bereitz, already, from bereit, ready; ftets, constanlly, from ftet, constant ; eilenbz, hastily, from eilend, partic. hasting; befonders, particularly, from befonder, particular; anders, otherwise, from ander, other; redtetz, to the right hand, from recht, right; linEz, to the left, from linf, left. Add the distinctive numerals, in ens, which are adverbs: as, erften3, in the first place ; fmeitenz, secondly; brittente, thirdly, \&cc. And the following words: beftenz, in the best manner; eheftent, with the first opportunity ; meifens, mostly; boddftens, at the most; ubbrigens, as for the rest, in the mean time. Likewise these compounds: alleroingż, by all means; fd)lechterdingş, by any means;
 on the other side; beiberjeitz, on both sides; Dießffallz, in this case; fpornftreidhz, immediately; vielntahlร, many times.

The adverbial $s$ I consider as being, originally, the characteristick of the genitive case. For, in the first place, it is certain, that the letter s is generally used, in the German language, to mark that case. With this
power, it is not confined to words of the masculine, or neuter gender, though it is chiefly appropriated to them; but it may also be subjoined to nouns feminine, when no other mode of distinction is at hand. Thus it is right to say, Flora'z Schoonheiten, the beauties of Flora, though $\mathfrak{J l o r a}$ is a feminine; Dian'ens ftrenge æugent, Diana's severe virtue*. In the next place, the genitive case occurs absolutely, that is to say, without being governed by any other word; where a case with a preposition might be expected, as is seen in these examples: $2 \mathfrak{C b e n o z}$, or Des 2 Kenos, for an Dem 2fbeno, in the evening; Mior= gens, or Dez Morgens, in the morning; Mittag5, or Dez Nittaģ, at noon; Mlontaģ̉, on Monday ; Dielffag3̉, on Tuesday, \&c. $\dagger$ Also, with adjectives: as, gerabes $\mathfrak{W e g e}$, straightways; $\mathfrak{E c i n e} \mathfrak{W} \mathfrak{W e g e s}$, by no means; wi= brigen $\mathfrak{F a l l e s}$, in case of any thing contrary lappening; allen $\mathfrak{F a l l e}$, at all events; folgenden æages, on the following day. In all these instances, the genitive case is characterised by the final $s$ : This is even applied to nouns of the feminine gender: as, Nachtr, in the night; $\mathfrak{M i t t w o d}$ )3, on Wednesday (supposing it to be from bie Mittwoche). And as these words, on account of the adventitious $s$, resemble the masculine, or neuter gender, the article of either of those genders is sometimes prefixed: as, Dez গacht弓, Deక Mittmoch3. But nouns feminine, having the characleristick $s$, are also found with adjectives, and pronouns, in their owu gender, before

[^66]them. For example, meiner Seits, on my part, from die Seite, the side ; aller ©eita, on all parts. In Dieß $=$ feit3, on this side; jemjeit3, on the other side, a contraction has taken place: for, analogous to the former, it should be, biejer ๔eitz, jener ©eiţ̧. In allerbings, which evidently comes from aller Dinge, that $s$ accompanies even the plural number. It is, moreover, discovered in the composition of several words, which have been elsewhere* mentioned, such as 2 dd $)$ tserelfórung, from
 from die (5seburt.
Thus it is shown, that nouns substantive, in the genitive, may stand, where, according to common grammar, a case with a preposition would be required: and farther, that those genitives are generally distinguished by the addition of the letter $s$. Now it may be said, as adverbs serve to express, in one word, the meaming of two or more (for example, wisely, instead of with wisdom $\dagger$ ): that, on the other hand, those genitive cases of substantives have the signification of adverbs. In that light many of them are, at present, viewed, and written in the same manner, as adverbs. For inslance: feineకbegeş, by no means; allenfalle, at all events, biéfjeita, on this side; jenfeit3, on the other side; allerbing3, by all means. In short, the $s$ of the genitive case is to be looked upon as an adverbial characteristick*. For the

[^67]steps, that lead to this conclusion, naturally follow one after the other ; and there remains no difficulty in accounting for the final $s$ in those words, with which this disquisition commenced. Namely; from substantives the adverbial $s$ was transferred to adjectives, and participles: and by its assistance adverbs were formed. To explain this yet farther, certain substantives may be understood, ${ }^{\prime}$ and those expressions be regarded as elliptical.* But as it would not be easy to find appropriate words for filling up the supposed ellipsis, in every situation, the notion must suffice, that, in imitation of the genitive cases of substantives, the characteristick $s$ has been assigned to adjectives, and participles, for the purpose of producing
whence, since, otherwise : for the sound, at the end of these words, is that of $s$, though the spelling is different. It is to be found in the Dutch language, as he remarks, for instance, in these adverbs, onlangs, dikzoyls, eertyds, zoerwaards, derzouards, to which may be joined many others, such as, daags, dagelyks, juarlyks, doorgauns, immidels, eens, eensdeels, eensklaps, eensgangs, eensloefs, ergens, effentjes, fyntjes, weekjes, weetens, geensins, vroejes. And we add, not only in this, but likewise in the othor Tentonick dialects, for instance, the Swedish, and Danish. To mention some adverbs in each, you Kave in the Swedish, salledes, sjöledes, ens, meden's, merendels, squättals, and others; in the Danish, ensteds, etsteds, nogensteds, nogenledens, fireslags, iilendes, imedens, indbyrdes, \&rc. And it may be noticed, that, in these tongues, the characteristick sof the genitive asserts us claims in several ${ }^{*}$ compound substantives, in the same manner as in the German language. Examples: Dutch, Oorlogsduad, oorlogsgebruile, oorlogsgoed, oorlogsschip, oorlogskunde, -hondsnest, hondsgras, hemelsbrod; Swedish, Arbetstag. arbelsiön, bergsbo; bergsbruk, försvarsskrift, hundelssed, sädesurt ; Danish, Aarstiid, hiertensven, krigsbrug, krigsfange, krigsrand, cundsherre, landsmand. That this is the case in English, is well known : for ex. in bondsman, kinsman, spokesman, salesman.

[^68]- adverbs. Most of them end simply in s: as, folgenb5, subsequently; nadigehenos, afterwards; iftero, repeatedly ; ffradés, straightways; vollenoる, to a great degree; and those mentioned before. Some, which are made of superlative degrees, or ordinal numbers, terminate in ens: as, fdjo nffens, in the finest manner ; Yethenten, lately, the other day; viertenz, fourthly ; funftenz, fifthly, \&rc.

It will be understood, that though $s$ is an adverbial termination, it is not permitted to create, by its means, new adverbs, at pleasure, without the strictest analogy. It is, indeed, most safe to abstain from such novelties, and to be contented with what already exists, on good authority.

It has been said, in the beginning of this section, that every adjective may, by means of its first form, supply an adverb. Such an abverb may then, like the adjective, be carried through the degrecs of comparison. For ex. ©dyin, beautifully; fd) ftet, most beautifully.* The superlative degree is produced by prefixing am, and terminating the word by the syllable $\mathfrak{e n}$.t There are a few adverbs, which dispense with that prefix and termination, and appear in the naked superlative form. For ex. U(euferft, extremely; bod fit, (most) highly; jungit, latterly, lately. But in these, the superlative degree is almost forgotten, and they are considered as mere adverbs, haviog an intensive power.

[^69]+ See p. 183. 5.
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## ON THE PREPOSITION.
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## SECTION I.

PREPOSITIONS WITH THE GENITIVE CASE.
2 Unftatt, or ©tatt, instead of.-Die Statt, denotes a place, and is the same as the English stead; anftatt, therefore, means literally, in the place of, like the English instead. Sometimes it is separated: as, an be૬ ßrubers Statt, instead of the brother; where it resumes the nature of a substantive. Thus in English, in his stead, for, instead of lim; in its stead, for, instead of it. Statt, as a preposition, is an abridgment of amfatt.

Bejage, pursuant, according to.
Salben, or Safber, on account of. It expresses a motive; and is always put after the case it governs. Salben, seems to be preferred, when the substantive, to which it is joined, has an article, or pronoun, before it: as, DeE Geldes Galben, on account of the money; but
balber is generally used, when there is no article: as, Sergnugen3 balber, on account, or for the sake, of pleasure. It occurs abbreviated in De हhalb, on that account; weffalb, on which account; and in the four following compound prepositions.
${ }^{2}$ Cuserbalb, without, on the outside of. Sinnerbalb, within, in the inner part of.
Đberbalb, above, on the upper side of.
unterbalb, below, on the lower side of.*
 $\mathfrak{F l u} \pi{ }^{2}$, , on this side of the river.

Semfeitb, on the farther side of; Lat. trans: as, Jenfeit弓 bes Flufles, on the otlier side of the river.

Siraft, by the power of.
¿aut, according to, conformably to, by the tendency of: as, $\mathfrak{L a u t}$ Deß $\mathfrak{B e f e b l z ,}$, according to the order, or by the tendency of the order.
Mittelif, or $\mathfrak{S e r m i t t e l} \mathfrak{l}$ t, by the means of.
$\mathfrak{u m = w i l l e n , ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ s a k e ~ o f : ~ a s , ~} \mathfrak{U m}$ (5iottes willen, for God's sake; um Jhrer Gbre willen, for the sake of your honour.

Ungeachtet, (or, Dhigeadhtet,) notwithstanding. It may be placed before, or after, its case. Sometimes it is found with the dative: as, Dem ungeadtett, notwithstanding that. 5 higeadtet is not so proper, as Ungead)tet.

Unmecit, not far from: as, Unweit Des Dorfeş, not far from the village.

Germoge, by dint of, by the power of, by means of,

[^70]as， $\mathfrak{F e r m o ́ g e ~ D e r ~} \mathfrak{H e b u n g}$ ，by dint of practice ；vermo̊ge Deş fleifies，by means of diligence．
W3ihtend，during：as，Wáhrend beకె Sitege马，during the war．
$\mathfrak{S}_{\text {Begen，}}$ 1．because of，on account of：as，ぶd that eร meine＇Љaters wegen，I did it because of my father． 2．Concerning，with regard to，relating to：as，（Er fprach） mit mir wegen bes Şalles，he spoke to me concerning the house．It may stand before，or after，the word it governs；most commonly it is put before．

Lángs，along，is found with the genitive．See Sect．II．
3 ufolge，in consequence of，occurs with the genitive． See Sect．II．
$\mathfrak{X u} \mathfrak{B} \mathrm{cr}$ ，out of，has the genitive case after it，in one instance，viz．аußer $\mathfrak{Q a n d e s}$ ，out of the country．See Sect．II．

## SECTION II．

PREPOSITIONS WITH THE DATIVE CASE．
2イuลె，out of．
$\mathfrak{2 u}$ हैer， 1 ．out of，on the outside of：as， $\mathcal{X u F e r}$ Dent Shaule，out of the house．2．Out of，not within，pas－＇ sing the bouuds of，in a state of deviation from：as， Ouser 5ronung，out of order；auser Stanbe，out of condition；idy war außer mir，I was beside myself，I was deprived of the use of my senses．3．Besides，over and above：as， $\mathfrak{Z u}$ ßer ben Burgern von $\mathfrak{Z o n b o n}$ famen audd viele Frembe，besides the citizens of London，many strangers also came．－It takes the genitive in one phrase， viz．aufer $\mathfrak{R a n b e s}^{2}$ ，out of the country，abroad．

## Bery, See Sect. IV.

Entg gen, towards, so as to meet; united with verbs of motion : as, W̧ir mollen unjerm freunbe enfgegen ge= ben, we will go to meet our friend; ber §nabe lauft feinem Bater entgegen, the boy runs io meet his father. 2. Against, opposed to, contrary to: as, ©ab Seer fteht. ihm entgegen, the army stands against him; dié feiner Meinumg entgegen, this was against his opivion. It stands after its case.
(Segenúber, over against, opposite to: as, Dem §aufe gegeniber, opposite the house. It may be divided, and gegen put before the case: as, gegen dem Seauie iber.

Lángs, along: as, $\mathfrak{a}$ angs bem $\mathfrak{f l u f i e}$, aloug the river. Sometimes with the genitive: as, Nod po viele langs feines Suges burd) Dentichlan: jurictegelafiene æefitzun= gen, batten fein Seer nid)t vermintert," not even the many possessions he left behind him, aloug his route through Germany, had diminished his army. It is also found with the accusative, but this is quite proviucial.

Mit, wilh.
Rad), 1. after, posterior in time, behind. 2. To, in a direction to, towards; wilh names of places, and verbs of motion: as, Diefer かann reif't nuch Deutidulano, this man travels to Germany; mem gefen ©ie nad ber ©tabt, when do you go to town; Laffen Eic uns nad) Şalie getern, let us go home. 3. According to: as, Padh ber Beichreikung Dç Rivius, according to the account of Liry.-In the signification last mentioned, it may be put after its case, when no other word is governed by, and follows after, that case: as, Der Befchreibung

[^71]Sect．2．Prepositions with the Dative．［340］ 339 mach），according to the description；feiner（5eburt nad）， according to his birth；feiner Şerfunft nad），according to his origin，by origin ；meiner Meinung nadh，accord－ ing to，or in，my opinion；bem 2 （njefen nadi），according to appearance．But if the substantive were to have another substantive after it，which it governed，the pre－ position must stand before its case：as，शady Der $\Re C=$ fdyreibung Des Rivius．－It is placed after，in certain in－ stances，when it notes direction：as，Der গaje nach， following your nose ；bem Strome naci，following the stream．

Nebft，（or， $\mathfrak{B e n e b f t ) , ~ t o g e t h e r ~ w i t h , ~ b e s i d e s , ~ i n c l u d i n g . ~}$ －Benebft is provincial．
$\mathfrak{W b}$ ，over，on，at，during，on account of．It is not much in use．
 Sireift，Fribling，p． 28.
©ammt，together with．Growing obsolete．
Seit，since．Seitbem，since that time，is used as a coujunction，or an adverb．
$\mathfrak{F o n}, 1$ notes an agent，Eng．by，Lat．$a, a b:$ as， $\mathfrak{D a ร}$ Saus ift von den תoinige erbaut，the house was built by the king；bas $\mathfrak{B u c h}$ if yon ibm gejchrieben，that book is written by him．2．Of．3．From．
$3 \mathfrak{l}, 1$ ．to：as，fomm $\mathfrak{H i t m i r}$ ，come to me．2．At，of place：as， $3 \mathfrak{u}$ Shinofor，at Windsor； $\mathfrak{z u}$ Saufe，at home；孔u $\mathfrak{W a f i e r , ~ b y ~ w a t e r , ~ a t ~ s e a ; ~ z u r ~ S e e , ~ a t ~ s e a ; ~ ; ~ 子 u ~}$ Rande，on land．3．At，of time ：as， $3 u$ jener Seit，at that time．4．At，of proportion：as，Die Guinee zu ein und zranjig Sdifllingen，the guinea at twenty－one shillings；סаз 凹jund $\mathfrak{z u}$ fechzebn $\mathfrak{U n z e n}$ geredynet，the pound reckoned at sixteen ounces．5．On：as， $3 \mathfrak{l}$ Wferbe，on horseback；子u Fuße，on foot．－In English，
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the preposition to forms the dative; in German, as that case is distinguished by the article, and frequently also by the termination of the substantive, $\mathfrak{z u}$ must not be employed, except where motion, place, direction, are to be expressed. $3 u$ is found before the infinitive mood, as in English, tc.-It is used as an adverb, and signifies as such: 1. the shutting, or closing of a thing: as, Mach bie shin zu, shut the door; if bas fenfter zu, is the window shut? 2. Haste: as, (5el) $\mathfrak{z u}$, go on; fahre $\mathfrak{z u}$, drive on. 3. Too, overmuch : as, $\mathfrak{3 u}$ bill, too much.

3ufolge; in consequence of, according to. Always put after the dative ; it is sometimes, but rarely, joined to a genitive case, which it precedes: as, $\mathfrak{N u f o l g e} \mathfrak{\$ h r e}$ Befchles̀, in consequence of your order.

Sumiber, against, in opposition to. After the case.
The following prepositions: $\mathfrak{Z u s e r b a l b}$, without; in= nerbalb, within; oberfalb, above; unterbalb, below; ungead) tet, notwithstanding-sometimes take the dative case ; but most commonly the genitive. See Sect. I.

## SECTION III.

## PREPOSITIONS WITH THE ACCUSATIVE CASE.

(Durd), 1. through. 2. By, noting an instrument, or means: as, Durd cinen ફiffolenfduß getobet, killed by a pistol shot; burch) ©turm crobert, taken by storm. 3. It notes duration, when it is put after: as, Die ganje Facht durd), all the night through; Das ganje Jabr burdt, all the year through. In this sense, binourch,
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adverb, is also frequently used, bie ganje Nacht bin= Durd), \&c.

Fiur, for.
(Geegent 1. to, towards; expressing direction, and tendency. 2. Against.-(5sen is an ancient abbreviation of gegen, not in use at present; except in a few phrases, as, gen Șimmel, to, or towards, heaven.

Shne, without, not with, Fr. sans.
Conber, the same in siguification, as the former, but not much used. It can only be put, when the substantive has no article: as, ©onber (3)ift, without spirit; fon= ber $\mathfrak{S}$ meifel, without doubt.
 about the table; um die Stadt gehen, to go around the town, that is to say, round the circumference of the town. 2. It signifies succession, change, vicissitude: as, Einen Kag um ben andern, every other day. 3.vA loss: as, Utm etroas fommen, to lose a thing; ce ift um ihn geidelen, it is over with him, he is lost ; ber Menid bat mich um mein Sjeld betrogen, the man has cheated me out of my money. 4. It marks an object: as, $\mathfrak{U m}$ © $\mathrm{Sel}^{2} \mathrm{l}$ fpielen, to play for money; um ben Sieg fechten, to fight for the victory. 5. A comparison: as, $\mathfrak{U m}$ zmen $\mathfrak{D r i t}=$ tel reidher, more rich by two thirds; um zehn §ahre jưnger, younger by ten years. 6. It expresses a reference, with respect to, as far as relates to : for example, Ěz it eine ungewiffe Sache um ben §rieg, as for the war, it is an uncertain thing; wie fteht es um ihre (Sc $=$ fundheit, how is it with respect to your health ?- $\mathfrak{U m}$ sometimes precedes the preposition $\mathfrak{z u}$, before the infinitive; and indicates purpose, and design: as, $\mathfrak{u m}$ fut fidreiben, for the purpose of writing.-As an adverb, um denotes a circuit : as, Diejer Weg ift um, this way is
about-; and conclusion: as, bas Sahr ift um, the year is brought to a conclusion, has passed through its circle. $\mathfrak{U m}$ und um, means, on all sides.
$\mathfrak{F s i b e r}$, against, in opposition to.

## SECTION IV.

prepositions with the dative and accusaTIVE CASES.

These prepositions depend, for the most part, upon the notion of locality, with which they are connected, to determine the case they are to goveru. If a state of rest, or permanent locality, is understood, the dative case is required to be joined with them: and the aqcusative should be made use of, when motion to a place is signified. This distinction may be rendered easy and intelligible, by applying the questions, where, in what place? and whereto, to what place? A few examples will show this. 2(n, means on, near to, agaiust. Der æifid) felft an ber $\mathfrak{W a n b}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$, the table stands near, or against, the wall. Here the preposition governs the dative: for the question, where, in what place? may be applied-where, in what place does the table stand? and a state of rest, or permanent locality, is intimated. In the following: Sekfe ben ifich an bic $\mathfrak{Z B a n b}$, put the table against the wall; a motion to the place is implied, and the preposition united with the accusative. The table is to be moved to some place; and naturally the question, whereto, to what place? is suggested. Thus with auf,
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upon: (Das æud) liegt auf bem Iifdhe, the book lies upon the table. Dative case; where, in what place? $\mathfrak{Z e g e n ~ C i e ~ b a s ~ ß u c t ~ a u f ~ b e n ~ T i i d ) , ~ l a y ~ t h e ~ b o o k ~ u p o n ~}$ the table: accusative. The book is to be moved to some place, and the question may be put, whereto, to what place? Sinter, behind: ©er febt binter mir, he stands behind me. . Dative; question, where, in what place? תommen ©ie kinter midh, come behind me: accusative; for here a motion to a place is thought of. The same in the next example : 3mifden, between: Er fã zwiidhen Dem Brtber und Der ©dymefter, he sat between the brother and the sister; dative. Er trat zwi= ichen ben Bruber und die Schmefter, he stept between the brother and the sister; accusative. Hence $\mathfrak{N n}$, with the dative case, signifies in; and with the aceusative, into. The question depends upon the idea of motion, or permanency: the latter requires the dative, the former the accusative. When that idea is not preciscly determined, an uncertainty likewise arises in the use of the cases. For example, ©゙in Şaus auf bem ßerge bauent to build a house upou the mountain; auf, with the dative: and, ein Scauß auf ben Berg bauen; auf, with the accusative. If the notion prevails, that the operation of building is carrying on, in such a place, the dative case is proper. But if motion be imagined, by which, through the process of building, a house is, as it were, conveyed upon the mountain, then the question, whereto, to what place? presents itself; and the accusative may be admitted. Daß Seer lagert fidy auf bent Љerge, the army encamps upon the mountain. Here is the dative case, after auf, in consequence of the question, where? But the accusative may stand, if, in idea, motion, towards the mountain, procedes the act of eucamping: Dả Sceer

## $3^{44}$ [34.5] On the Preposition: Part I. Chap. 7.

lagert fich auf den $\mathfrak{B e r g}$. Sometimes, those two cases involve a difference of signification. For, Der תnabe lauft in bem (3arten, (in with the dative), and ber Snabe láuft in ben (Sarten, (accusative), greatly differ in meaning. The former expresses, the boy runs, or takes the exercise of running, in the garden; and the latter, he runs into the garden. Er reitet auf bem $\mathfrak{B e r g e}$ (dative), he rides, takes the exercise of riding, upon the mountain; and, er reitet auf ben $\mathfrak{B e r g}$ (accusative), he rides to the top of the mountain.
$\mathfrak{Z} \mathfrak{n}$, with the dative, notes, 1 . locality, at, on, near, in: as, $\mathfrak{Z n}$ einem $\mathfrak{D r t e}$ moknen, to live at a place; an bem Ufer eines fluffes, on the bank of a river; an ber æburt, at the door; also, upon the door; an meiner ©telle, in my place. 2. The object of an action, in, at: as, Die
 ward the merits of the father in the child; mein $\mathfrak{F r e u n o}$ arbeitet in einem $\mathfrak{B u c h e}$, my friend works at, or is engaged in, a book. 3. A cause, by, of: as, Der junge gienfh if an einer 2tusiebrung geforben, that young man died of a consumption. 4. A state, condition, manner, in, by: as, Æeidh an freunden, rich in friends; grosan $\mathfrak{F}$ Rume, great in fame ; fawad an Serfande, weak in understanding; main fernt ben $\mathfrak{B o g e l}$ an ben Febern und das Cilber an bem תlange, the bird is known by its plumage, and the silver by its somnd. To this signification the first, or adverbial, form of the superlative degree may be referred*: as, $2\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$ \{d) ${ }^{\text {nnffen, in }}$ the finest manner; ambeften, in the best manner: and the expression, am Leben, living, alive: as, (Er if nod)
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am $\mathfrak{l e}^{2}$ en, he is still alive*. 5. Time, in, at, on: as, $\mathfrak{Z m} 2 \mathfrak{a n f a n g e}$, at the beginning; ami britten Tage, on the third day.
$\mathfrak{2} \mathfrak{n}$, with the Accusative, expresses, 1. direction, to: as, $2 \mathfrak{Z n}$ cinen $\mathfrak{F r e u n d}$ farciben, to wrile to a friend; ich) werbe bas $\mathfrak{B u c h}$ an meinen $\mathfrak{B r u b e r}$ ichiden, I slall send the book to my brother. 2. An object: as, $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{n}$ cine Sadhe glauben, to believe in a thing; an etras benlen, to think of, to remember a thing. 3. Extent of space and time: for example, $\mathfrak{B i} i \mathfrak{a}$ andie See, as far as the sea; bis an Den 2fbend, to, or until, the evening.

2fuf, upon, with the Dative, notes, 1. the locality of a higher place: as, $\mathcal{X u f}$ Dem $\mathfrak{S h} \mathfrak{u r m e}$, upon the tower; auf bem ßerge, upon the mountain; auf bem Dache, upon the roof; auf bem Sablofie, upon the castle. Likewise, auf ber Stube, or auf bem Simmer, in the roon, or in the apartment, if the room, or the apartment, is in the upper story of a house, and the person speaking, below. If it be on the floor, or in the same story with the person speaking, the preposition in, is generally made use of, in ber Stube, in bem Sinmer.
2. It signifies locality, in general: for example, $\mathfrak{Z u f}$ Dem Dorfe fern, to be in the village; auf bem Rande mobnen, to live in the country; auf ber Sago, at the chace; auf ben $\mathfrak{B a l l e}$, at the ball; auf ber Đoft, at the post office; auf Der ©iante, in the street; auf ber Uni= verfitåt, or plur. auf $\mathfrak{u n i v e r f i t a t e n , ~ a t ~ t h e ~ u n i v e r s i t y ; ~}$ nuf ber Sdule, or plur. auf Schulent at school; auf ber Æeife, on the journey, or plur. auf 凡eifen, on one's travels.
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$\mathfrak{W u f}$, with the Accusative, has the two foregoing significations, attended with the question whereto? and therefore denotes, 1. direction to a higher place: as, Kuf ben $\mathfrak{x h u r m}$ fteigen, to mount upon the tower, \&c. 2. Local direction, in general: as, $\mathcal{L u f}$ bas sand reifert, to travel, or to go into the country ; auf ben ßall gehen, to go to the ball; auf bie Giane laufen, to run into the street. 3. It notes an object; and thay be variously rendered, in English. For instance, Xuf eine ©adje benfen, to think of a thing; aufmerffam auf etwas feyn, to attend to a thing; auf iemaneen zurnen, to be incensed against somebody: auf einen freund barten, to wait for a friend. 4. It notes proportion: as, Eine Mablzeit auf vier झerfonen, a dinner for four persons; fo viel auf ben Mlank, so much upon the man. 5. Extent, when preceded by bis: as, Љis auf hen lekten Wpennig, up to the last farthing; bis auf ben legten Blut3tropfen, to the last drop of blond; biz auf vier æhaler, up to four dollars. 6. It signifies a way and manner: as, $\mathcal{Z u f}$ Deutidye $\mathcal{Z}(r t$, in the German way: auf Englifden $\mathfrak{F u}$ ß , in the English mamer. Thus, auf Deutid), in German; auf æransofiiid), in French; auf Englijd), in English-applied to the languages. To this may be added the expressions, with the superlative degree of adjectives, auf $\mathfrak{D a \xi}$, or $\mathfrak{a u f s}$, beffe, in the best manner; aufz vortrefflidjfe, in the most excellent manner*. Likewise the following: $\mathfrak{Z u f} \mathfrak{Z n g r i f f , ~ u p o n ~ a t t a c k , ~}$ or upon the offensive; auf ben Sciidb, literally, upoa the cut, as with the broadsword; auf ben ©toß, or auf bett ©tidy, upon the thrust, or point, as with the small sword. 7. It notes future time : as, 2 (uf Den Miontag, on Mon-

[^74]day, or on next Monday. 8. Duration of time: as, Sorrath auf viele Sahre; stock for many years; auf zmey Monathe, for two months; auf furse 3eit, for a short time. - 2 Cuf cimmal, at once, $-2 \mathcal{U} \mathfrak{f}$, as an adverb, answers to the Englislı up: as, ©tel auf, get up. It is put before the conjunction $\mathfrak{D}$ ह́, to signify purpose, or design: as, $\mathfrak{Z u u f}$ Dañ, in order that, for the purpose that. It is used as an interjection, to animate, and encourage: as, 2fuf! folget mir, come, follow me.

Bey,* with the Dative, notes 1. proximity, by the side of, beside, near to, by, Lat. juxta: as, (Er fteft bey) Dem תoinige, he stands by, or near, the king. 2. with, in company of, Fr. chez, auprès de: as, Der $2 \mathrm{Zrg}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{if}$ it bey ihm gemefelt, the plysician has been with him. 3. At, present at, with, noting co-existence of time: as, $\mathfrak{B e y}$ Der Sdyopfung, at the creation; bey bem Gebanfen, at the thought; bey alfen bem blieb er unentidflofien, with all that he remained irresolute. 4. It serves for quotation; in Lat. apud, Fr. chez: as, B̌ey Dem plato, iu Plato; beym (Sicero, in Cicero. 5. For swearing, and oblesting, by,

* The preposition $\mathfrak{b e y}$, was, in the two former editions, placed in the 2d Section, among those, which exclusively govern the dative. This was done in deference to Mr. Adelung's authority: but I find it necessary, upon consideration, to remove it to its present station, The reasons for this change are: 1. Analogy, as stated in the next page. 2. Prevailing usage in the grealest part (as I conceive), of Germany. 3. The authority of respectable writers; and lastly 4. the decision of some eminent Grammarians. Mr. Alelung insists, that it is against the idiom of the High German, to join that preposition with the accusative; and yet he finds it with this case, in several wassages of Luther's translation of she Bible: which surely is no indifferent authority in a question, touching the High German. See Adelung's Dictionary, and compare with it that of Campe.
upon : as, Æey ふupiter, by Jove; bey meiner ©bhre, upon my honour.
$\mathfrak{B r} 1$, with the Accusative, is used in the first signification, when motion to a place is implied: as, ©eken fie fid) bey midh, seat yourself near me; lege diefe Feder bey baj Sapier, put this pen by the paper; tritt bey Deinent Bruber, go, and stand by your brother. Thus it is current in a great, and perlaps the greatest, part of Germany; and is by analogy, since it denotes locality, as much entitled to the two cases, as other prepositions.

By, in English, frequently expresses the agent, cause, or instrument; which power is never assigned to the German şery.

Siinter, behind.-When connected with the particle her*-hinter=her, behind, after, following-it only admits the dative. For example, Jd) ging binter beth Manne lier, I walked after the man, I followed him ; er Fommet binter mir her, he comes after me.

Sin, with the Dative, in.
Sin, with the Accusative, 1. into. 2. With bib, it marks extent of space, or time: as, $\mathfrak{B i}$ is in bas 3 inmer, as far as the clamber; bis in die Madt, till night; bis in Emigfeit, to eternity.

Mebent, beside, at the side of, Lat. juxta.
Ueber, with the Dative, 1. over, above; with respect to place. 2. above; with respect to excellence, or rank. 3. During; with respect to time, or occupation : as; Ueber ber 2rbeit, while at work; fiber bem Rejen, while reading; uber $\mathfrak{T i j} i d)$ e, at dinner, while at table.

Ueber, with the Accusative, 1. over, implying motion to a place. 2. Beyond, above, exceeding, more than:

[^75]as, ûber meine $\mathfrak{R r a f f f t e , ~ b e y o u d ~ m y ~ s t r e n g t h ~ ; ~ i f b e r ~ v i e r z i g ~}$ Sabre, above forty years. 3. With the adverb bia, it expresses extent: as, $\mathfrak{B i} 3 \mathrm{Bl}$ uber $\mathrm{Die} ~ \$ \mathfrak{W r e n}$, over the ears. 4. It notes a cause, about, on account of: as, Sid $\mathfrak{i b e r}$ eine ©ache árgern, to be angry on account of a certain thing; fid riber etwas gramen, to grieve about something. 5. It notes an object, upon, concerning: as, Ueber einen gewiffen Gegenfand iprechen, fohreiben, to speak, or write, upon a certain subject; $\mathfrak{u b e r}$ Die æ゙ugeno, upon virtue; úber das Rafter, upon vice. 6. It signifies future time, when it is placed before the case: as, Seeute ưber adht Tage, from this day se'nuight, or in a se'might from hence; uiber bas, or ubber, Jabr, next year; úber Nacht, to night ; úber lang oder Eurz, literally, over long or $^{2}$ short, that means, some time or other. 7. It also notes continuance of time, or duration, when it is put after: as, Den Sommer iiber, during the summer; bas Sahr ưber, during the year, or the year through; Den Iag fiber, during the day.
unter, 1. under, beneath. 2. Among. 3. With the dative, it has the power of denoting time, in, under, during: as, Unter ber Regierung ©jeorg bes britten, in the reign of George the third; unter Dem \&flen, during dinner, while at dinner; unter bem \&efer, while reading. In this sense, it seems to have formerly governed the genitive case: for there exists a provincial expression, unter ßageß, during the day; and another, which is in general use, unter $\mathfrak{W e g e s}$, on the way: both of them construed with that case.
$\mathfrak{B o r}$, before. With the dative it sometimes involves a cause: as, Sor bem feinde fliehen, to fly from the enemy; vor bem æobe eridireden, to be frightened at death; vor Freube weinen, to weep for joy; vor $\mathfrak{E a d j}$ en

350 [349] On the Preposition. Part I. Chap. 7. zerplataen, to burst with laughing; vor ©ram ferben, to die with grief. With the same case it also denotes time past, signifying ago: as, Mor Drey $\mathfrak{F}$ aljuren, three years ago.

3witden, between. It is sometimes, but improperly, used instead of unter, among.

## ADDITIOXAL REMARKS ON THE PREPOSITIONS.

I. The proper use of the prepositions requires an iutimate acquaintance with the character of the German language. For it is not sufficient to render them literally: but the peculiar nature of every expression must be considered. The phrase, which, in English, demands such a preposition, may, in German, have one totally different in signification; one language may employ for, where the other will use upon, with, \&c. It is, therefore, not enough to peruse a list of the prepositions; but it is necessary to attend to their application, in phraseology.
II. The prepositions are always placed before their cases, a few only excepted. In Englisl, a preposition may be put quite at the end of the sentence; and this must be done, when the relative pronoun is to be understood: as, The man'I spoke of, for, the man of whom I spoke; the books he referred to, for, the books to which he referred; the subject I am engaged with, for, with which I am engrged. Nor is it unusual withinterrogative pronouns: as, Who for? who to? what for? who is this money for? what is that for? These modes of speaking are common, in English; but the German language nei-
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ther allows the omission of the relative pronoun, nor the transposing of the preposition.
III. It has been remarked, in the foregoing pages,* that instead of pronouns demonstrative, relative, and interrogative, the local adverbs, Gier, Da, wo, are joined with prepositions: as, biermit, biervon ; bamit, baneben, Darau̧̧, Daruber; mobey, worant, \&c. Now, bier, here, (which, in this composition, is sometimes changed into Gie, as Giemit), holds the place of the demoustrative, biefer; $\mathfrak{D a}$, there, is put for berfelbe, or Der; mo, where, for weldyer, wer, was. The preposition in, combined with such an adverb, is made eint, when it signifies into. You are to say, ©̧3 ift Darin, it is in it; but, thue ç bar= ein, put it into it. So bierin, herein, in this; herein, in here, into this place.-Those adverbs are, occasionally; separated from the prepositions: as, Da babe idy nid) ant geoacht, for baran habe id nidyt gebadet, of that I have not thought; ba buiten Sie fich vor, for Davor buiten Sie fich, beware of that; ba bat er Feine গreigung zu, for bazu hat er feine Meigung, for that he has no inclination; wo einem vor efelt, for wovor cinem efelt, which one loathes. It is better to preserve those words united. The separation is, however, very usual in these phrases: Da fey) (Gott vor, God forbid; Da (Sott vor fey, which God forbid. -The following contractions are to be met with: dran, brauf, braus, Drein, brin, brunter, bruiber, brum, \&c. for, Daran, Darauf, Daraus, Darein, barin, Darunter, Darubber, barum; but they are improper.-It may be noticed, that prepositions are permitted to stand before adverbs, as in English : for instance, von bier, from hence; von ba, von反ort, from thence, from yonder; von oben, from above;

[^76]von unten, from below; feit geftern, since yesterday; auf beute, for to-day.
IV. Some prepositions coalesce with the definite article. With the dative, masculine, and neuter, Dem, the following: an, in, vont, zu; an bem becomes am; in Dem, im ; von Dem, vom; zu Dem, zum; With the dative feminine, ber, fu only: as, zur, for fu ber. With the accusative neuter, Das: an, auf, Durch; fur, in; as, ans, for an bas; aufz, for auf bas; סurchs, for Durch
 other coalitions, but not equally sanctioned by good language: as, vorzె, from vor Da亏ె; vorm, from vor Dem; ubbern, from ưber ben; uibers, from ưber bas; unternt, from unter $\delta$ em; unfers, from unter bas. With regard to those first mentioned, they may either be adopted, or the preposition be put to the article, without being incorporated with it. In some phrases, however, the contraction alone is admitted: as, am 2nnfange, or im $20 n=$ fange, at, or in, the beginning; am \&eben, alive; am $\mathfrak{b e}=$ ften, am fidonften, in the best, in the finest manner; jur sloth, if necessary.

## CHAPTER VIII.

ON THE CONJUNCTION.

CONJUNCTIONS are particles, which serve to connect words and sentences, and to bring them into a certain relation with one another. As this connection, and relation, may be effected in different ways, the conjunctions. may, accordingly, be divided into various classes. Those, which merely join: for instance, and, also, \&c. may be denominated COPULATIVE; those, which imply opposition: as, either, or, neither, nor, though, although, yet, nevertheless, \&c. disjunctive. Some note a condition: as, if, otherwise, \&c.; and may be called condiTIONAL. Others a cause: as, for, because, since, therefore, \&c. CAUSAL. Some make a comparison: for instance, as, so, like, than, \&c.; these may be named comparative. And those which refer to tinie, on the succession of events: for example, then, when, while, before, after, \&c. may either be styled TEMPO. ral, or CONSECUTIVE.

That classification may be made still more precise and minute, and the distinction rendered more accurate, But this is rather an object for speculation, than for practical purposes. Let it suffice, for our views, to subjoin a list of the most obvious German conjunctions, in alphabetical order, accompanied with the necessary observations.

2Cber; but. It does not always place the sentences in opposition, but like the Latin, autem, vero; sometimes only joins them; in other words, it has not only a dis junctive, but a!so a copulative power.
$\mathfrak{Z}$ (fein, but, Lat. sed, at, is merely disjunctive.
$2(t 3$, has, 1. a comparative signification, expressing as and than: for instance, So warn ats im ©ommer, as warm, as in summer ; reidyer alz ©rójus, more rich than Creesus. 2. It notes quality and condition: as, ञid alt Serr von diefem Şaufe, I as master of this house. 3. It is temporal, or consecutive, and signifies when: 2dt id) in £onbon anfam, when I arrived in London.-2 $2(13$ wenn, as if; fo mokl alz auch, as well as.

20150 , 1. so, thus. 2. Therefore.
2uch), also.
2uf dań, in order that.
ßevor, before; Lat. priusquam.
Da, 1. then. 2. When. 3. Since, as, implying a cause. As an adverb, it signifies, there.

Daker, therefore. Adverb, Daker, thence.
Dann, then. 2atsbann is the same in signification. Adverb, bann und wann, now and then.

Darum, on that account, for that reason. Daruim, therefore. When the accent is on the first syllable, it is strongly demonstrative.

Đaß̃, that.
Denn, 1. for, because; Lat. nam. 2. Then. 3. Unless, after some verbs in the subjunctive mood: as,
 zroinge, I shall not go out, unless it be, that necessity should compel me. Du folff nidht ferben, bu babeft Denn ben Seern geiehen, thou shalt not die, unless thou have seen the Lord. It is, however, not very common in this sense, except after $\mathfrak{e \xi}$ fer, it be. 4. Than : $\mathfrak{W e r}$ ift beffer Denn (50tt, who is better than God? (Ebe benn id) flothe, sooner than I should run away. This signitication is rather antiquated ; modern language would prefer als,
in the first example, and omit benn in the second, without substituting for it another word.

Dernoch, notwithstanding, nevertheless, still.
Derbarben (berohalben), deefhalben (bephalb, or Des= batb), therefore, on that account.

Defto, stands always before a comparative degree, and notes proportion ; which, in English, is expressed by the definite article: for example, Sch erwartete Shre 2rifunft nid)t umb defto gróper ift meine Freube, I did not expect your arrival, and the greater is my joy. When two comparative sentences are brought together, the first generally begins with the conjunction $j e$, and Defto answers it in the second: as, Je rubiger bas $\mathfrak{l c b e n}$ ift, Defto geichicfter ift es zum Nacboenfen, the more quiet life is, the more fit is it for reflection. Sometimes, Defto is placed in the first member of the sentence, and je in the second: as, ein Fumftwert ift befto foto iner, je voll= fommener es ift, a work of art is the more beautiful, the more perfect it is.

Diemeil, because; obsolete.
Doch, yet, nevertheless, however, but; Lat. tamen.
Ehe, before that, Lat. priusquam.
Entweber, either; always followed by ober, or.
Falle, in case that. Fallz ez gefdefien follte, in case it should happen.

Ferner, farther, moreover.
Folglid, consequently.
Scingegen, on the other hand.
$\mathfrak{S}$, is proportional, before a comparative degree: See Defto.-Sometimes it is used, instead of befto: as, Se eher, ie lieber, the sooner, the more agreeable; ie mehr, je beffer, the more, the better; for, Defto lieber, Defto beffer, -Sie nadbeem, according as.

Séocnnoch, yet, nevertheless.
Sebodt, yet, the same as Docd.
Im Falle, in case that, if.
Immaßen, whereas, since.
Sitbem, 1. while. 2. Because, since.
Sndeffen, in the meanwhile.
Jngleiden, or Jimgleiden, likewise.
תaum, scarce. Saum katte er ausgerebet, alz ibn ein ploferiches Giraujen ubberfiel, scarce had he fuished his speech, when a sudden horrour seized him.

Mithin, consequently.
গachoem, after; Lat. postquam. - Je nachoem, according as.

Nåmlid), namely.
Sid)t allein, nidet nur, not only; followed by fondern auch, but also.

গod, 1. yet, still; Lat. adhuc. Noch nicht, not yet. 2. Nor; preceded by weber, neither.

शun, now.
Db , whether, if.
Sogleid), Dbichon, $\subseteq$ bwokl, though, although. These conjunctions are often divided: as, $5 \mathfrak{b}$ idh gleid franf war, although I was ill; ob er fcton ou Seanfe war, though he was at home. That separation generally, and almost necessarily, takes place, when a monosyllable follows; such as, ict), Du, $\mathfrak{e r}$, ç, wir, ifyr, fie, or the oblique cases of these pronouns. Even two or three of these monosyllables may be put between: as, $\subseteq \mathrm{Db}$ id) mich gleid) frene, though I am glad; ob wir ms ibm gleid) gezeigt baben, though we have shewn ourselves to him. With other words it is optional, whether those conjunctions are to be divided, or not. When they begin a sentence, the following member corresponds to them by
means of the conjunctions boch, Demnoth); or similar particles, as, Demungeaditet, notwithstanding that, nidets Defo meniger, nevertheless. $\quad 5 \mathrm{ber}$ gleid) alt ift, fo bat er Dody ben willigen (sfebrauch feiner ©eiftesfrafte, although he is old, he still has the perfect use of his mental faculties.
Sber, or.
Seit, Seitbem, since, from the time that.
Sintemal, or Sintemalen, because, since; antiquated.
So, 1. so. 2. It serves to connect the subsequent member of a sentence with the foregoing*, commonly, when the conjunctions, wenn (when), weil, $D a$, nachbem, wie, Damit, um, (before the infinitive with $z u$ ), obgleidh, obidjon, precede; and alnost always after wenn, if, beginning the sentence. For example, $\mathfrak{W e n n}$ mein $\mathfrak{G r u =}$ ber fommt, fo fagen Sie es ihm, when my brother comes, tell it him. Daer in Nom eintraf, fo fand er bie Stabt in ben Stanben feiner freinde, when he arrived at Rome, he found the city in the hands of his enemies: $\mathbb{B e m n}$ ber תnabe fleifilig ift, fo Fann er zu grozen Efhren gelangen, if the boy be diligent, he may arrive at great honours. Sometimes the conjunction wenn, if, is understood, in the first member; and fo must likewise begin the following member: as, פwarreft bu bier geblieben, fo mirben die Sachen beffer ftehen, hadst thou staid here, (which is the same as-if thou hadst staid here), affairs would be in a better condition. 3. So, occasionally notes, therefore. 4. If, on condition that: as, ©o Gottnill, if God please, or please God. 5. So wobl, alz audh, or fo wobl alj; as well as. Likewise, with the same meaning, [o als; but seldom. 6. When audd follows, it is to be rendered

[^77]by, however: So groß Dic ©chrecten bes Srieges auth fenn mogen, fo fonnten fie boch feinen Sinn nid) bain= bigen, however great the terrours of war may be, yet they could not curb his spirit.-So wie, as.

Sonbern, but, disjunctive. It is only used, when a negative goes before. Nid)t ebel, fonbern $\mathfrak{F l e i n m i t h i g , ~}$ not noble, but pusillanimous.

Sonft, else, otherwise.
なheils-theils, partly, partly.
Uebrigens, as for the rest, however.
Ueberbiés, besides.
$\mathfrak{H n b}$, and.
Ungeadhtet, notwithstandirg.
Wabrend, wåbren dem, wábreno daß́, while.
$\mathfrak{B a n n}$, for wenn, when, provincial. Adverb, Damt und wann, now and then.
$\mathfrak{W}$ Weber, neither, followed by nod, nor.
$\mathfrak{W e i l}$, because. (Sometimes, while.)
Wenn, 1. when. Thus it is synonymous with $\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (ala, wie; for these occur under the same meaning, as Da ); though it is differently applied. $\mathfrak{D a}$, is always connected with preterite tenses, and declares an action that is passed. $\mathscr{W e n n}$, on the other hand, refers to what is present, or future. It is, therefore, peculiarly appropriated to convey gencral ideas; whereas da relates to particular events. This will be better understood, from some examples. Da er nadd Eonbon tam, fo fand er feinen freund, when he came to London, he found his friend. He came, and he found, are preterite tenses: the actions that are intimated, are passed, and consequently, ba must be einployed, to express when. $\mathfrak{V B e n n}$ id) $\mathfrak{j u}$ ibm fomme, fo finbe id ihn immer bei) Den Bitchern, when I come to him, I always fiud him at his books. I came, I find, are
present tenses, and the subject is of a general import ; therefore, wemt is made use of. In the following, one verb is in the future, the other in the present; and wenn is likewise required, to answer to the English when. Wenn ibe meine Stimme boren werbet, fo eilt, when you shall hear my voice, hasten. Wenn Sie narh sparis fommen, fo merben Sie bie alten ふunftwerfe nidet mely feben, when you come to Paris, you will see no longer the ancient works of art. The use of wenn entirely depends upon the time of the action: when that is considered as gone by, wenn is not to be employed; but when the action is present, or yet to come, then it is the right conjunction. It may, therefore, stand before the perfect tense, when the action alluded to, is not really passed, but only stated as such, by anticipation: for example, Wुenn Sie bieß verrid)tet Gaben fo jareiben ©ie mir, when you have done this, write to me. On the other hand, the historical style, to enliven the narration, sometimes assumes the present for the past tense. But still the action it refers to, is passed; and wemn, under these circumstances, cannot be put instead of ba, mie, or alj. -The English interrogative when, is always rendered by wenn, never by Da.-Some persons write mann, instead of wemn, with a view to distinguish the first signification of this conjunction, from the second (if). Sbann, however, is nothing more than a peculiarity of the Upper German, and in Upper Germany promiscuously denotes when, and if. 2. If, supposing that. It never stands in the signification of whether, after indirect, or disjunctive questions, in which sense if is used by the English: in other words, it never expresses the English whether, or the Latin an. In these phrases, for example: "I do not know if (or whether) it is so;
ask him, if (or whether) he has got it ?"-the German wenn could not be employed. The proper word would be ob.

Wenngleid), Wennidjon, although: They may be separated in the same namer as Wbgleidh, $\left.^{56 j d}\right)$ ne ${ }^{*}$.

Wie, 1. how. 2. As, both in a comparative, and consecutive sense; when. Wile die Jugend, fo das 2ater, as the youth, so old age; comparative. Wie er bask fah, rief er aus, as, or when, he saw that, he exclaimed; consecutive. It also expresses than, though als is better adapted to this purpose.
$\mathfrak{B i e f e r n}$, how far. In miefern, in how far.
Wiemohl, though.
W30 sometimes stands for if. Otherwise it signifies where, and is an adverb.
: W3ofern (and Dafern), if.
$\mathscr{T S O H l}$, indeed, perhaps, may be; Lat. quidem. It has the nature of a suppletive particle, and canuot always be exactly translated. Das ift robl mabr, that, indeed, may be true. Wifien ©ie mobl, do you perhaps know? As an adverb it signifies well.
3 war, indeed, it is so, allowing it; generally followed by aber, allein, Doch, Dennoch, or a similar disjuuctive.

Dbzwar, sometimes occurs with the signification of obgleid), obf(t)on, although.
Some of the conjunctions appear, in certain situations, to govern the subjunctive mood; and some have the power, in the structure of a sentence, of removing the verb to the end. These circumstances will be noticed, at large, in the second part of the grammar.

[^78]
## CHAPTER IX.

## ON THE INTERJECTION.

The interjection is arbitrarily inserted, in any sentence, to express emotion in the speaker, or writer. It is the most simple of all the parts of speech, and has justly been considered as the first element of language. Interjections were the primitive sounds, which marked the feelings of man. They are not founded upon the association of ideas; but produced by the immediate impulse of sensation. They were gradually combined, and led to the formation of words. As they are not liable to any grammatical changes, themselves, nor influence the state of other words, they occupy but little room, in either part of grammar. Here, those may be mentioned, which occur most commonly in the German language. Some indicate joy: as, $a \mathfrak{b}$ ! $\mathfrak{b a}$ ! Mirth and gaiety: as, fa! bey! Keyfa! Loud exclamations of the same kind: juch) iuctikey! The following betoken sorrow, and displeasure: ach! ab! ob! Pain: add! wek! au! auweh! Disgust: fi! pfui! The next are expressive of admiration: 0 ! $\mathfrak{a b}$ ! $\mathfrak{e y}$ ! Of surprise, in a small degree: $\mathfrak{h u m}$; in a greater degree:
 ravelled a thing; either denoting surprise, or satisfac-
tion.-Sye! and bolla! are used for calling to a person. Other words are employed, in the capacity of interjections: for example, Gracious Heaven! Good God!but, though they are exclamations, proceeding from our feelings, they must not be confounded with those simple sounds, which properly belong to this class.

# GERMAN GRAMMAR. 

> PARTII.

CONTAINING

THE SYNTAX.

HAVING gone through the parts of speech, we arrive at the second division of grammar, which is called the Syntax of In this, the subjects that were separately expounded before, are brought into contact with each other. First of all, we shall observe their mutual influence; and the relation they bear to one another, when placed together. It will be seen that in those, which are susceptible of yariations, certain modifications take place. Words either agree with, or govern, one another. The agreement consists in this, that they are put in the same gender, number, case, person, tense. And one word is said to govern the other, when, by the power of the former, the latter must necessarity assume a particular shape; for example, a certain case in declension, or such and such a mood, im conjugation. Therefore, the agreement and government of words will constitute the first Chapter of the Syntax. The second will contain a collection of peculiarities, which are not embraced by the first chapter. And lastly, in the third Chupter, we shall speak of the order and arrangement of words, in a sentence.

# - SAMM(AN(x) AMNMSE) <br> <br> CHAPTER. I. 

 <br> <br> CHAPTER. I.}

> ON THE AGREEMENT AND GOVERNMENT OF words.

> CONTAINING:

1. The Article, Noun, and Pronoun.
2. The Verb, and Participle.
3. The Adverb, Preposition, Conjunction, Interjection.

## SECTION 1.

ARTICLE, NOUN, AND PRONOUN.
The Article.
Rule. The article, whether definite or indefinite, must agree with the noun it belongs to, in case, number, and gender.

It can only be joined to a substantive. If it stands before an adjective, it either refers to a substantive preceding, or following; or turns the adjective into a substantive*. Consequently, the agreement of the article is with a noun substantive.

The Definite Article distinguishes, or determines the word, to which it is prefixed.-It is not every where required; but omitted in the following instances:

> * See p. 175. VIII.

1. Before the vocative case, because, when a person, or any other object, is spoken to, it is by this circumstance sufficiently marked.
2. Before the name of the Supreme Being, Gott, God. But when a heathen deity is signified, the article is used; ber Giott, plural, bie Giotter.
3. Before proper names of persons: as, ©aifar, 2le= xanber. In the oblique cases, however, it is employed for the purpose of declension. See p. 151. And sometimes it also precedes the nominative, in speaking familiarly of a person: as, Der Sant, Kant; Der Jones, Jones: Der ハáftner, Kästner.
4. Before names of towns and countries, where it is not even admitted in the oblique cases: as, $\mathfrak{E}$ ondon; bon Eondon, of London. England; von Erngland, of Eng: land. There are, however, some names of countries, which are never expressed without the article: as, Die Tuirfey, Turkey; bie Wallachey, Wallachia ; Die ©dmpeit, Switzerland; bie $\mathfrak{l a u f i t}$, Lusatia, and a few more.
5. The definite article does not stand before certain words, denoting the quarters of the globe: SDfen, East ; Weften, West ; Siben, South; Norben, North; and the synonymous terms, Morgen, 2(bend, Mittag; Mitternad)t.
6. It is suppressed before titles, when these are placed after the proper names: as, ©̧eorg, תoinig von Ėngland, und (Shurfirit son Seannover, George, King of England, and Prince Elector of Hanover. Jokann Ramub, Doctor Der Rechte, John Ramus, doctor of laws.Sometimes, likewise, when the title is put before the name: as, תo̊nig Ģeorg, King George; ßaifer ケranz, Emperour Francis; Firfit Notemlin, Prince Potemkin; Doctor $\mathfrak{L u t h e r}$, Sprofefior ©anberion. And even in the
oblique cases: as, תơnig Friebrich's Fellozuige, King Frederick's campaigns; Doctor Ruther's (Ejelebriamifeit, Doctor Luther's erudition.
7. In the language of the law, a few appellatives occur without the article, which properly ought to have it. Such are, Beflagter, defendant; $\mathfrak{F l a ̊ g e r , ~ p l a i n t i f f ; ~}$ $\mathfrak{I n b a b e r}$, holder, proprietor: 2fppellant, he that appeals; Supplicant, petitioner.
8. It is left out before some other expressions, which, in English, would require the article. For example : U.ber= bringer Diefes, the bearer of this; in befter $\mathfrak{D r o n u m g}$, in the best order; vor Ernoigung Dẻ Schuipidles, before the conclusion of the drama. To which may be added the plarases, Jot babe es in Seinben, I have it in my hands; idd habe es vor 2fugen, I have it before my eyes. Before Şainben and $\mathfrak{Z u g e n}$, some determining word, either the article, or (as in English) a pronoun, ought to be inserted; but custom has justified the omission.
9. When a word is put after the genitive case, which it governs, it cannot have an article. This is the same in English, as in German. For example: $\mathfrak{G e r}$ ther'马 \&eiben, Werther's sufferings. The genitive, Wer= there's, stands before the word, by which it is governed, consequently the latter can have no article. So, John= fon'马 $\mathfrak{W e r f e}$, Johnson's works. Des Sater Sanus, the father's house ; Şaus without an article.
10. Several substantives of the same gender, number, and case, following, the article is prefixed to the first, and generally understood before the others: as in this
 goodness, affection, and indulgence of the mother. These three substantives are of the same gender, number, and
case ; therefore, one article is sufficient for all. Thus it is before two adjectives: as, Die reich)fe und angenebmite Belegrung, the most rich, and agreeable instruction. But when the gender, number, or case, is different, the article must be adapted to each substantive: as, Der æ゚od, Die 2fuferfefhung, uno das Reben, death, resurrection, and life. Here the genders differ, and the repetition of the article, accordingly, is necessary. Two substantives being joined by und, and serving as a title, or superscription, the article is commonly put before each, though they should be of the same gender, number, and case: as, Der ケabe unt ber fuchz, the raven and the fox.

The English and German languages agree, for the most part, in the foregoing observations: but in the following there is a diversity.

1. The English leave out the article before words, that convey a general idea. The Germans, on the other hand, employ the article. For example: Der Menid iff ferblid), man is mortal; bie æugeno fưbrt zum, or zu Dem, Bilurfe, virtue leads to happiness; Das $\mathfrak{L a f t e r}$ ftirtzt feine $2 \mathfrak{C H b}$ ainger int $\mathfrak{B e r b e r b e n t}$, vice plunges its followers into perdition. Here the words, which produce the general sentence, man, virtue, vice, are, in German, accompanied with the article. This is also peculiar to other tongues, for instance, theFrench, and Italian.
2. The English have certain expressions, without the article, where the German language cannot digpense with it. Such are, History, Die (Geiddichte; Holy Writ, bie heilige ©chrift. Saint Paul, Saint Peter, ber beilige §aulus, Der beilige झృetruş; unless Saint be reudered by the Latin word Sanct (Sanctus), which is sometimes done: as, Sanct Paulus, Sanct Petrus.-They omit it before most: as, most of his cotemporaries, where the

Germans say, bie meiften.-In town, in der ©taot; in church, in der תirche; to go to church, in die Æircthe geben.
3. They place the article always after the word half, when followed by a substantive: as, half the number; whereas, in German, the article stands before it, Die balbe 3abl. The English article frequently stands after both: as, both the brothers, the Germans either put the article before beibe, as, Die beiben $\mathfrak{B r u b e r}$; or omit it, as, beibe Bruber.
4. In English, the preterite participle is, sometimes, put after the substantive; and the article is then omitted. For example, Paradise Lost, Paradise Regained. In German, that participle always precedes the substantive, and the article is retained, as in these examples: Das verlorene Waradies, Das mieder gewonnene Waradies.

The Indefinite Article is nearly employed in the same manner, as in English. In some phrases it is made use of, where the English assume the definite article. This is done in speaking of collective bodies, attended with certain epithets, or titles: as, Eime bobe Sbrigfeit, the worshipful magistrates; cin hoch weifer $\Re$ かath, the most learned senate; eine Piblid e U Univerfitit, the honourable university ; ein geefrtes publicum, the honoured publick. -In the English language, the indefinite article has its place after such, as, such a man; in German, it comes before folder, as, ein folder Mam. Instead of cint foldher, you will sometimes find, fo cin, (or, fold ein): for instance, fo cin Mant (or, fold cin Mann), the same as, cin fordjer Manne, such a man, which may be thought to resemble the English construction.-The English put the indefinite article before, few, hundred, thousand, as,
a few, a hundred; a thousand (pounds); in German, the corresponding words are used without that article:

## Noun Substantive.

Rule I. Two or more substantives, referring to the same object, or forming an apposition, as it is called, are put in the same case: as, Der ®oonig, unjer Freund uno $\mathfrak{B a t e r}$, the king, our friend and father. The two last substantives relate to the same object with the first, and therefore stand in the same case, viz. the nominative.
 love the king, our friend and father. Here they are again in the same case, but in the accusative, governed by the transitive verb liebern.

Rule II. One substantive governs another in the genitive case, signifying possession, quality, or some other relation: for example, Das ફ઼us meines freunoés, the house of my friend; bie æugend bes $\mathfrak{B a t e r}{ }^{2}$, und bas $\mathfrak{E a f t e r}$ bes ©obnezs, the virtue of the father, and the vice of the son. The English use the preposition of, to express those relations.

An exception is made by the words of measure, and quantity. These do not demand the genitive case, though of is put in English: for example, 3ehn (Eblen Tuch, ten yards of cloth; zwen $\mathfrak{F}$ anfer $\mathfrak{W e i n}$, two casks of wine; cin Regiment ©oldaten, a regiment of soldiers. The genitive, however, takes place when the sulstantives, that follow those words of measure or quan-
tity, are joined with a pronoun: as, 3 ehn Chlen biefe3 Tuches, ten yards of this cloth; zwey Faffer ieneß Weinez, two casks of that wine*. The adjective also renders the genitive sometimes proper: as, ©in Æegiment guter Solbaten, a regiment of good soldiers.

The genitive case is, frequently, supplied by the preposition $\mathfrak{v o n}$, of, with the dative. This happens,

1. When the article is excluded: as, Der ভdhein von Redlidfecit, the appearance of honesty; ber notolide Theil von Englanb, the northern part of England; Die Grenzen won Franfreity, the boundaries of France. And, therefore,
2. When quality, condition, proportion, are implied: as, Ein Mrann von $\mathfrak{F e r f t a n b e}$, a man of sense; cin Şerr von bohem 2 2bel, a gentleman of high nobility; vin alter Serffunft, of ancient origin; cine æeife von zehn Mri= len, a journey of ten miles; ein Sdiff won zwey bun= Dert Romnen, a ship of two hundred tons; eine Summe son zwanzig 刃funben, a sum of twenty pounds; cin Mann yon adtzig §ahren, a man of eighty years.
3. When the matter is expressed, of which things are made: as, Eine Uhr von Golb, the same as, cine golberte $\mathfrak{U h r}$, a gold watch; ein Betber von ©ilber, a silver cup; ein ©tubl von ©ffenbein, an ivory chair.
4. Before the indefinite article, to denote character: as, (Fin Wbiden won einem Meniden, a horrour of a man; ein $\mathfrak{Z}$ (2bund won einem efrlidyen Mame, a pattern of an honest man; ein 2nufer won cinem guten ©obnk, a model of a good son; cine ভdurle von cinent
[^79]Bebienten，a knave of a servant ；ein $\mathfrak{X e u f e r}$ von einem Weibe，a devil of a woman．

6．To prevent a repetition of the same endings：as， Die Uriache von Dem fonderbaren §etragen Des 刃nannes， the reason of the singular conduct of the man－instead of，Die Urjacte Des fonderbaren æetragen3 deร 刃nannes．

Sometimes it is indifferent，whether von be made use of，or the genitive case：for example，Den Sdjein pon Redlichfeit baben，or，Den Sduein ber ßedlidfeit baben， to have the appearance of honesty；ber noiroliche Wheil von Englano，or，ber notroliche な్beil Englands，the northern part of England；einer von meinen Freunoen， or，einer meiner freunbe，one of my friends．But where the genitive is not distinguished by the article，or the termination，von must be employed．Before the article， it is often superfluous：as，Den Shhein von ber æugenర Gaben，to have the show of virtue．Der æugend，as the genitive case，would be sufficient，without that prepo－ sition．

Let it be observed，that the words yon and of，are here mentioned，as being placed between two substan－ tives ：nothing is said of their other capacities，as pre－ positions．

Rule III．The situation of the genitive is after the word，by which it is governed．

Sometimes it precedes the governing word，in which circumstance the latter loses its article ：for example， $\mathfrak{D e \xi}$ \＆ebens freube，life＇s joy；for，Die freube bes \＆ebens， the joy of life．It may happen，that the governing word，though put after the genitive，keeps the article； but then the genitive is deprived of it：as， $\mathfrak{F o l f}$ ）bie

372 Agreement and Government. Part II. Chap. 1. Menge, a multitude of people; Freube bie Fuflle, abundance of joy. This, however, is not to be extended beyond the phrases, established by custom.

The position of the genitive, before the governing word, should be easy and unaffected: otherivise it is best, to leave that case in its natural place. Thus two, or more, genitive cases, when transposed, produce a heavy and unharmonious sound, as in this example: De3 groben æhilojophen אantz Reben, which had better be, das $\mathfrak{E c b e n}$ des grosen splilojowhen Sant, the life of the great philosopher Kant. And it is worse, when of two genitives, before a third word, one governs the other: as, Des Sitters ber Somme Wbentheuer, the knight's of the Sun adveutures; for the adventures of the knight of the Sun.

## Noun Adjectire.

Rule I. The adjective must agree with its substantive, in gender, number, and case.

This rule applies not only to the adjective in its first, or positive, state, but also to the degrees of comparisou. The substantive is sometimes understood, yet the agreement remains: for example, Der gute Mann, und ber boje, understand Mamn, the good man, and the bad (man); Den zwofften biefes Monathes, the twelfth of this month; supply æ゙ng, day.

Rule II. The place of the adjective is before the substantive.

## Except:

1. When it is joined to a proper name, as a title

Sect． 1.
of distinction：as，Friedrid Der ふúbne，Frederick the Bold ；2flexander ber ©rofe，Alexander the Great．

2．Wen it assumes the function of a relative sentence： for example，Der Selo，mádhtig im Feloe und weife ins Rathe，the hero powerful in the field，and wise in the council．This stands for：melderer mádtig in felbe und weife im $\Re$ Rathe ift．

3．In these，and similar expressions： 2 chn $\mathfrak{P}$ fund， Englijch，ten pounds English；zwanjig Marl Rubecficia， twenty marks of Lubeck；bunbert $\mathfrak{F u} \mathfrak{\beta}$ ミibeinlándif（币）， one hundred feet Rhenish．

Rule III．Some adjectives govern cases．
1．The following the genitive：Bedirftig，in want of； benothigt，in need of；bernupt，conscious，（with the reci－ procal dative，and the genitive of the object，as，id bin mir ber Sache nidtht bewupt）；cingebent，mindful；fáhig， capable of，（it is also joined with the preposition zl ）； froty，glad，satisfied，（also with the prep．ưber，and the accusative）；geroahr，informed of，aware of，（also with the accusative ；it generally occurs with the verb werben， to become，as，er waro ber（Gefahr，［genitive］，or，Die （Sefahr，［accusative］，gewabr，he perceived the danger）； gewié，certain；geroohnt，accustomed to；fundig，skilled in，experienced in； $\mathfrak{l o \xi}$ ，free from，rid of；mádtig，in possession of；muibe，tired of；quitt，rid of；fatt，tired of；fduldig，guilty；theilhaft，partaking of；úberbrúfiig， tired with；verbiddtig，suspicious，suspected；verluftig， laving forfeited，or lost ；volf，full of，（also with von）； merth，deserving；mirbig，worlhy．

2．The next are followed by a dative case：2eflynlidh， like，resembling；angemeffen，adapted，suitable；ange＝ nefm，agreeable；bcfannt，known to；bequem，conve－ nient；bange，anxious，fearful，（as，mir if bange，I am
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fearful); befdneerlict, troublesome; bienlidy, serviceable; furchtbar, formidable; geforjam, obedient; gemáß, suited to; getrel, faithful; geroogen, inclined to, favourable; gleid, like; nabe, near; vermanot, related to; no̊thig, necessary; nůtzlidh, useful; ;chádlid, hurtful; zutraglid), conducive, useful: and others, signifying advantage, or disadvantage.-Prepositions may be employed in many instances, instead of the mere dative case, such as firt, for; gegen, lowards, against, $\mathfrak{i u}$, to,\&\&.
3. Those which note measure, weight, age, value, with a numeral following, require the accusative, and are put after their case. Of this kind are : $\Omega^{2}$ ang, long; breit, broad; boch, high; tief, deep; gro師, great; fdhwer, heavy; alt, old; werth, worth; 'fdulbig, indebted, owing. For example : Зehn $\mathfrak{F u}$ lang, ten feet long; zwodf פjfuno (c)wer, weighing twelve pounds: funf= zig Sabre alt, fifty years old ; brey Shater werth, worth three dollars; er ift viel Geld iduuldig, he owes a great deal of money.

Observations. (1) The cardinal numbers, and the words viel, much, or many, and wenig, little, or few, govern the genitive; and are always put after it. They are frequently combined with the personal pronouns: as, Unfer jwoilf, twelve of us; cuerer (or cuer) zwangig, twenty of you; ihrer breifig, thirly of them; unfer viele, many of us; ifrer wenig, few of them.*
(2) The word all has, in English, generally, the definite article after it : as, all the world; all, in German, is without the article: as, "alfe Welt, all the world; al= res Geld, all the money. There is ouly one iustance, in which the article is required, namely, before possessive

[^80]pronouns, when used substantively: as, 2 Ale bie Meini= gen, all my friends; alle be bas lufrige, all we possess. And it may stand before adjectives, which are employed as substantives: as, alle bie (S3uten, all the good people; alle $\mathfrak{b}$ D $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{e}$, all the evil : otherwise it is not necessary, except when a relative follows, nor even then always: as, alle Die nadhtheiligenFolgen, welde Daraus entfanden, all the disadvantageous consequences, which arose from it. -2 Cll sometimes follows the word, to which it belongs: for example, Die Benjpile alle, all the examples; Die, Ibyainen alle, all the tears; von ben uibrigen allen, of all the rest ; Diejes alles, all this, for alle biefes; alles, bas, all that. It is always put after the personal, and relative pronouns: as, $\mathscr{W S i r}^{2}$ alle, all of us; fie alle, all of them; Die \&ente welthe alle fugegen waren, the people, who all were present.

## The Pronoun.

Rule I. Pronouns agree with the substantives, to which they are prefixed, in gender, number and case; and the relative corresponds with the antecedent substantive, to which it belongs, in gender, and number, the case depending upon other circumstances.
Rule II. The pronouns are put before the substantives, with which they are joined, never after them. This applies particularly to pronouns possessive, and demonstrative. In $\mathfrak{S a}$ ater unfer, our father, which is the begiuning of the Lord's prayer, it might seem, as if the possessive were put after the substantive, $\mathfrak{B a t e r}$ : but umier, there, is the genitive plural of the first personal pronoun, in imitation of the Greek.* The personal pro-

* See p. 202.

K K 2
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riouns are not combined with substantives, as the otliers are, but only bear a reference to them, and, in that reference, they answer to them in number, and gender. This is likewise to be observed of pronouns demonstrative and interrogative, when they stand by themselves. The connection between the relative, and its antecedent, is of a similar description.

Observations. 1. Speaking of any inanimate object, the English use the neuter of the third personal pronoun, it, all such objects being considered as of the neuter gender; the Germans, having three distinct genders, even for lifeless things, apply the pronouns accordingly. Scier ift ein neuer Shut, here is a new hat-er ift fehr fein, (he) it is very fine; wo baben Sie ifn gefautt? where did you buy (him) it? Sut is of the masculine gender; therefore, the masculine pronoun belongs to it. So, Wie gefaflt Shnen biefe Witterung? how do you like this weather? Sie ift febr unangenekm, (she) it is very unpleasant. The personal pronoun is in the feminine gender, on account of the substantive. Dus פferd geht recht gut, nber esift $\mathfrak{z l ~ K i f i g , ~ t h e ~ h o r s e ~ g o e s ~ v e r y ~ w e l l , ~ b u t ~ i t ~ i s ~}$ too hot. The neuter, eร, it, because bas פffero is of that gender.
2. A demonstrative pronoun is sometimes preferred to the third personal, especially in the oblique cases, both for the sake of distinction, and of sound. When a nearer object is alluded to, biefer is put; when a distant one, jener. Derfelbe is frequently substituted for the personal pronoun, without any particular modification. It is chicfly employed in the genitive and dative, in speaking of inanimate objects. When assigned to persons, it occasionally is expressive of respect. Scine ふóniglide פRajeftát haben einen $\mathfrak{B e f e h l}$ ergefen

Yafien, worin Diefelben cinen Faftag veroronen, his Royal Majesty has issued an order, in which (the same) he commands the keeping of a fast day. Diefelben gives to the sentence a more respectful form, than $\mathfrak{C r}$, he, or Sie, they, would do. Hence this pronoun constitules a term of address, for Sie, you; and when relating to individuals of high rank, it is commonly attended with certain epithets, as, Šochbicjelben, 5oxdjfbiefelben, $201=$ lerthoidiftpiefelben: where boch, high, boidif, highest, at= lerbodhit, highest of all, mark the degree of nobility, belonging to the person addressed,
3. It has been observed, in the first part, that the neuter of the third personal often begins a sentence, in connection with a noun of a different gender, and number: for example, © $\mathfrak{E} \mathfrak{i f}$ it ein Nam, it is a man; $\mathfrak{e j}$ if eine $\mathfrak{F r a n}$, it is a woman. $\mathbb{E}_{3} \mathfrak{\xi}$, here corresponds with a masculine, and a femininine. However, the English language admits the same mode of expression in these instances. 'The peculiarity of the German appears in the following: © $\mathfrak{z}$ find viele Menid)en Da, there are many people; es fommt ber תonig, the king is coming; es ruft ber §ater, the father is calling; c5 fommen \&eute, people are coming. This frequently answers to the English there: as, There is a quarrel in the house, es ift ein ©treit im Şauie; there is a doubt among the learned, es iff ein Sweifel unter ben (Gelefyrten. Sometimes, that way of opening a sentence is calculated to give it more expression, than if the subject itself were placed at the head. For, the attention of the hearer is raised by the expectation of the word, which is to follow. It is, therefore, very usual with the subjunctive mood, to convey a forcible sentiment: for instance, ©゙る lebe ber $\mathfrak{\text { Ro̊nig, long }}$ live the king; es fonme mir feiner su nabe, let no one
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approach me. The neuters of the demonstrative pronouns are used in a similar manner: as, Diés ift mein Freunb, this is my friend; jenes mein feino, that my enemy; Das fino Soldaten, those are soldiers.
4. The genitive case of the demonstrative pronoun, Der, Die, Das, viz. Deffen, Deren, beffen, in the singular, and berer, or beren, in the plural, is put instead of the third possessive, to avoid confusion: for example, $\mathrm{C} i=$ cero liés bie Mitneridmorenen bes eatilina in Deffen Şaufe ergreifen, Cicero ordered the accomplices of Catiline to be seized, in his (Catiline's) house. Here, bef $=$ fen stands for feinem, and prevents, at once, all misconception; but feinem, his, might be referred to Cicero. Der ©omiul wande fidh an ben ©enat, weil er aufbeffen Muth traute, the consul applied to the senate, becanse he trusted to its (the senate's) courage. If it were feinen, his, (Serat being of the masculine gender), it would be uncertain, whether the courage of Cicero himself, or that of the senate, was to be understood. Dic Eint wotner úberlieffen ben Englo̊nbern bie serthcibigung Der Stabt, weil fie im falle cines 2fngriffes auf beren Entif)lofienkeit redfneten, the inhabitants resigned the defence of the city to the English, because, in case of an attack, they reckoned on their resolution, namely, the resolution of the English. By means of Deren, it is immediately clear, whose resolution is meant; whereas, if ihre, their, had been employed, it might relate to the inhabitants as well, as to the English.*-That genitive,

[^81]moreover, counteracts the repetition of the same possessive. It is also common to put it, instead of the latter, in refereuce to inaninate objects: for example, Der Feind hat bie ©tiot erobert und Deren Einwohner ge= fuid)tigt, the enemy has conquered the town, and chastised its inhabitants. Deren here stands for the possessive ihre. Der menidlidye Rorper und beffen Serrid)= tungen, the human body, and its functions. Deffen instead of feime. As the genitive of the third personal, e ${ }^{2}$, is not usual, beffer supplies the vacancy: as, Sid bin Deffen ưberbruffig, I am tired of it.
5. The English make use of the pronoun that, not expressing the substantive, to which it refers, but understanding it: as, " Have you scen my house?" "No, but I have seen that of your brother." This turn of expression seems not to have belonged to the German idiom, but only to have been introduced into it, from foreign tongues, of late years. The Germans would rather repeat the substantive, and say: Šaben Sie mein Shaus gefehen? Nein, aber id babe das l2aus Shres Sbrubers gejehen. Have you seen my house? No, but I have seen the house of your brother." It is, however, become pretty current with recent authors.
6. The manner, in which the two relative pronouns, meldjer and ber, are used, has been described in the first part; in addition to which, it may here be remarked, that the genitive of ber is, in general, preferred to that of wel= der: as, Der Mann, Defien idy gebachte, the man I mentioned; Die Ehre, beren er fo murbig ift, the homour of which he is so deserving; bie Máaner, Derer Werbienfte To gron find, those men, whose merits are so great.Der must be made use of, when a vocative case precedes: as, $\mathfrak{D}$ Gott, Der Du alles mit $\mathfrak{J e i}$ beit regiereft, O God,
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who governest all things with wisdom. The repetilion of the personal pronoun, which, in such instances, is necessary, after the relative ber, gives force to the sentence. -The particle als is sometimes found before meldher, as a mere expletive: : Die fremben, als, weldue bier angefommen fino, the 'strangers, which have arrived here. Now and then it may have an explanatory capacity, similar to the Latin quippe qui; but, generally speaking, it is superfluous, and improper.- The relative camot be conveniently joined with the imperalive mood; it sounds uncouth : for example, meldjes fiefe, which see; better, fieke bieß̂, see this.

## SECTION II:

## VERB AND PARTICIPLE.

## Persons and Numbers.

Rule I. The verb must agree with the subject nominative, in number and person.

Observations. 1. When the verb belongs to two, or more substantives, of the singular number, it should itself be in the plural: as, Sape, \&idhe und (Eiferfuch) fino beftige \&eidenichaften ber menidulidyen Geele, hatred, love, and jealousy, are violent passions of the human mind. Sometimes, the verbstands in the singular, after two or more substantives, which though perhaps incorrect, cannot absolutely be condemned as a fault, since the custom of the language is not quite against it., For example : Morb uno ßerwiftung kerribet iu ganbe, murder and destruction reign in the land.
2. When the subjects are of different persons, the first person is preferred to the second; and the second to the third : consequently, the verb will be in the first person plural, when one of the subject nominatives is of the first person, and in the second, if there is a second, and no first personal : for example, Sch, Dut unb Dein $\mathfrak{B r u}=$ ber, or, Du, bein Bruber und id wollen pakieren gehen, you, your brother, and I will take a walk. WSOllen is in the first person plural, because one of the subjects (out, Dein Sruber und idh) happens to be in the first person, namely, ich. Du, bein ßruber und beire ©chmefter fend heute eingelaben worben, you, your brother, and your sister, have been invited to-day. Seno is the second person of the verb, on account of $\mathfrak{b u}$, the second personal pronoun, which takes the lead, in absence of the first.
3. The verb is put in the plural number, with a subject nominative of the singular, in titles of address: as, ©uere Excellenz haben befohlen, your Excellency has ordered; Euere silaieftát geruben, your Majesty is graciously pleased ; Shre Ginaben bemerfen, your Grace observes. In the above, baben, geruhen, bemerten, are in the plural number. Persons of title, or rank, are sometimes spoken of, in this form, even when absent: as, Der Seer Baron fint bier gewefen, my Lord Baron has been here ; ber Scerr Graf baben es, mir gefagt, my Lord Count has told me. This is, indeed, carrying the point of respect and politeness very far, but it is by no means uncommon.

Rule II. The personal pronouns are always to be expressed, unless some other word is substituted for them.

Observations. 1. It is of course understood, that when a subject nominative accompanies the verb, no additional
pronoun is wanted. Therefore, in the third person, the pronoun is not required, when a substantive, in the nominative case, is already with the verb: for example; Der Mann fchreibt, the man writes, where it would be wrong to say, ber Mann er fdureibt, the man he writes; one nominative being sufficient. In the same manner, when you address a person with a title, the second pronoun personal would be superfluous: as, Fhre Ginaben beweifen mir fehr viele ©ifte, your Grace, or your Lordship, shows me great kindness; where the insertion of a pronoun, after your Grace, would be improper.
2. The imperative mood takes no pronoun in the second person, except for the sake of emplasis, and distinction. But the third person cannot be used without thep ronoun, not even when it stands for the second, in speaking to any one. See the Conjugation of Verbs.
3. When two or more verbs, of the same person, meet together, one pronoun, or substantive, may serve for them all: for example, Sch leje und jobreibe, I read and write; er fam fu mir, ging aber bald mieder weg, he came to me, but soon went away again; wir haben $\mathfrak{F b r e}$ Einlabung erbaltert, Danfen fir Shre ©site uno werden uns das હergnugen machen, Sie zu befuchen, we have received your invitation, thank you for your kindness, and will do ourselves the pleasure of calling upon you. In these instances, the pronoun is only employed once, before the first verb. It is the same, when a subslantive, or proper name, is joined to the verb: as, ber feino fam und verkeerte bas Rand, the enemy came, and desolated the country.
4. The first personal pronoun is sometimes omitted is old, and formal language, particularly in addressing persons of superior rank: as, EWere (3maben finn hier=
mit verfichern, I can herewith assure your Lordship; Dero Sabreiben babe erbalten, I have received your letter. This notion seems to have sprung from an imaginary kind of reverence, by which he that spoke, or wrote, was too modest to mention his own person, at the same time with the one spoken to. But, thanks to better times, those Gothick ideas are now abaudoned.

## Tenses.

Here, a few things only are to be remarked.

1. The preterimperfect tense is, in German, not commonly employed to express an action quite passed, and unconnected with any other. For this purpose the preterperfect is, in general, appropriated, though the English prefer the preterimperfect: for example, S(d) babe bas nie gefelen, I never saw it; idd bin nie ba gewefen, I never was there; find ©ie nie in $\mathfrak{B e r l i n}$ gewefen, were you never at Berlin? When the action is connected with another, that happened at the same time, or in consequence of the former, the preterimperfect is proper. Da wir bier anfamen, po jobicfen wir unfern Bedienten zuricf, when we arrived here, we sent back our servaint. We arrived and sent back, are two actions connected with, and following out of, one another. Such a connection of actions is the subject of historical narration. The preterimperfect is, therefore, peculiarly adapted to that sort of composition.
2. In historical style, the present tense is, frequently, substituted for the past imperfect, to enliven the representation. This is sometimes done in Euglish, but more seldom, than in German.
3. The present tense is occasionally applied to a future action. Sill reife morgen ab, I (shall) set off to morrow;
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in brey 530 hen fehen Sie mich wieder hier, in three weeks time you (will) see me here again ; idh fomme gleid) wic= ber, I (will) come back immediately.

## The Moods.

The Indicative, and Imperative require no elucidation.

Rule I. The Subjunctive, or as the Germans call it, th Conjunctive, is, or ought to be, used:

1. When a state of uncertainty is implied. It is, therefore, to be found after some conjunctions, which convey that idea. Such are, wenn, if; als wenn, as if; $\mathfrak{o b}$, whether; Damit, in order that; Da $\bar{\beta}$, that. But the subjanctive mood must not be supposed to be governed by those conjunctions. It solely depends upon the uncertainty, or doubtfuluess, with which the action of the verb is conceived. It appears, for instance, that the subjunctive follows after da $\bar{\beta}$, when any one of these verbs precedes: $\mathfrak{B i t t e n}$, to beg; rathen, to advise; ermahnen, to exhort; bejorgen, to apprehend; furchten, to fear; fheinen, to appear, to seem; - be= Dingen, to make conditions; wuinfden, to wish; mot= len, to desire; jweifelt, to doubt, \&c. For, when we beg, advise, exhort, apprehend, fear, wish, desire, that a thing be done, a degree of uncertainty exists, as to the event. Ont this ground, the subjunctive mood is employed, in German. This is farther manifest from the verb [agen, to say, and similar ones, as, antworten, to answer; behoupten, to maintain, \&c. When that, which is said, or maintained, remains, in our idea, liable to doubt, the subjunctive should follow after

Daß́: for example, Man fagt mir, Dаร eร gebonnert babe, they tell me that there has been thunder; unfer Freund behauptet, bas biés cin fruchtbares $\mathfrak{J a b r}$ feyn merbe, our friend maintains, that this will be a fruitful year. Here, I am told something, and a person has maintained, that such and such an event will take place. Butin as far as I do not regard these things as perfectly. certain, and as there may be a doubt about them, it is proper that the notion should be expressed in the subjunctive mood. : When, on the other hand, the idea is considered as positive, and unquestionable, the indicative must be made use of: for instance, when a person speaks of himself, as, Эch behaupte, baf es mahr ift, I maintain that it is true. Here, the subjunctive would be wrong, because the notiou is strongly affirmative. If what a person maintains were not certain, in his own conception, he should look for another expression, such as,
 men bat, I know he has received the money: the indicative, for the same reason. Hence it is evident, that the subjunctive rests upon the opinion, that it is formed, of the certainty or uncertainty, in the action of the verb. It is a natural consequence of this, that, in some circumstances, it may be questioned, whether the indicative, or the subjunctive be more proper : the decision will proceed from the point of view, in which the sentence is contemplated.-The subjunctive is, sometimes, unnecessarily recurred to, because the condition, on which it depends, is not always sufficiently understood.
2. The subjunctive mood takes place, when D a $\bar{\beta}$, and wenn, are to be supplied: as, Ex glaubt, es fey nicht moglid), he thinks (that) it is not possible; man fagt, ber תaijer babe frieden gemandt, they say (that) the Ent-
peror has made peace. In those examples, the indicative might also pass, without censure. But not so in the following: Waire idh an Sbrer Stelle, were I in your place, instead of, wemn idy an Sbrer Stelle ware, if I were in your place; bátte er bie Sd)áke bes (Sroffus, had he the treasures of Cresus, for, wenn er bie Sdnaike bes ©rofus hitte, if he had the treasures of Croesus. Thus, Sollte fich bas eveignen, should that happen; follte er nidy fommen, should he not come: for, if that should bappen, if he should not come.

- 3. It frequently stands in a potential signification, expressing a wish: as, Der Scimmel gebe e 3 ; may heaven grant it; Gott behite, God forbid ;-or a permission, and concession : as, Er gehe, mokin er wolle, let him go where he pleases;-or a supposition: as, © $\mathfrak{z}$ ware befier, wenn wir $\Im$ gren $\Re$ rath befolgt batten, it would be better, if we had followed your advice; pie franzojen baitten bie (Sbladt nidyt gewomen, wenn fie nicht eine po grofe Uebermadyt an \&euten gehabt bitten, the French would not have gained the battle, if they had not had such superior numbers :-surprise, or wonder, Scaitte idjs bod nidht geglaubt ! I should not have thought it!*

Rule II. The Infinitive Mood occurs either without the preposition zu , or with it.
A. Without $\mathfrak{z u}$,

1. When it stands by itself, and unconnected, for example, in a vocabulary: as, lieben, to love; feben, to see.
2. When it is in the room of a substantive, either as the subject, or as the object: as, verfprechert unt erfitfen fint gmey verfdiebene Saden, to promise and to fulfil are two different things; bas nemic id fechten, that I

$$
\text { - } \mathfrak{L e f f i n g}, \text { die Juben. }
$$

call to fight, or fighting ; Das heiffe id graufam veffay= ren, that I call to act cruelly, or acting cruelly.
3. After the verbs: Eínnen, moigen, laffen, Dúrfen; follen, wollen; minflen; and werben, when it is the auxiliary to the future tense.
4. After the verbs: beifien, to bid; belfen, to help; lehren, to teach; lernen, to learn ; yoren, to hear; fe= ben, to see; fỉblen, to feel. For example: Sich bieß ihn gelhen, I bid him go; er bilftmir fotreiben, he helps me to write, that is, he assists me in writing ; Der Sater lebrt Dаз תino lefen, the father teaches the child to read; wir lernen tanzen, we learn to dance; idh bore fie fingen, I hear them sing; idfl febe ifn fommen, I see him come, or coming; er fûhlte fein ßblut giffren, he felt his blood boil, or boiling.-After some of those verbs, the English more commonly use the participle; the Germans constantly employ the infinitive. £efren and lernen sometimes admit $\mathfrak{z u}$, before the infinitive that follows them.
5. Some verbs are joined to an infinitive, without gu, in particular phrases. They are:
$\mathfrak{B l e i b e n}$, to remain: with the infinitive, it signifies continuance of locality-as, Err bleibt liegen, he continues lying; er bleibt fifen, he continues sitting, he keeps his seat, he does not move from his seat ; er bleibt fteben, he coutinues standing. Thus with ftedfen, to stick fast; bangen, to hang; fnien, to kneel; Eleben, to adhere, to stick.

Fahren, to go in a carriage, with fpakieren: as, Widh fabre fpakieren, I drive out for exercise, for an airing.
finden, to find, is occasionally followed by the infinitive, where the English put the participle. : Er fand fie foblafen, he found them sleeping, or asleep; idh fano
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 upon the table. The participle might here be used, even in German.
(Sehen, to go: as, Sd) geffe fataierem, I take a walk; er geht idlafen, he goes to sleep, that is, he goes to bed; and with some other verbs.

Saben, to have, in these and similar phrases: Ery bat gut reifen, he has good travelling; fie baben gut ppre= den, you have fine talking ; mir baben Gelo auf Sinjen fteken, we have money standing out on interest.
(id) legen, to lay one'sself down, with fdlafen, to sleep: as, Tid lege midy folafen, I lay myself down to sleep.

Mratben, when it signifies to cause, to occasion: as, Er macht midh ladten, he makes me laugh; er madht mid) weinen, he makes me cry.
s Reiten, to ride on horseback, with fpatieren: as, §dy reite fipakieren, I take a ride.
§hun, to do, with nichts, and nl3, after it: as, Dic Frau thut nichts alj zanfen, the woman does nothing but quarrel ; ber ganu thut nichts als fchelten, the man does nothing but scold.
B. The Infinitive with the preposition $\mathfrak{j}^{\prime \prime}$, $t 0$, before it :

1. After nouns, when, in Eiglish, eitlier to, with the inimitive, or of, with the participle, is used: for example, after a substantive, $\mathfrak{E l f f}$ zu ipiclen, an inclination to play; Da3 Bergnugen Sie fu fehen, the pleasure of, seeing you; Der $\mathbb{W}_{3}$ unfd. gelobt gu werben, the wish of being praised;-afier an adjective; id) war froh mei= nen Freund wieber ou feben, I was happy to see my friend again ; múte gu ftebent, tired of slanding.
2. After verbe, when purpose and design are inti-
mated. Sth ging fu bem Mame, ihm bie Sadfe vorju= frellen uno mit ibm baruber fuf furethen, I went to the man, to represent the thing to him, and to converse with him about it. And here the particle $\mathfrak{u m}$ is frequently joined with $\mathfrak{f u}$, which expresses the design still more distinctly. Liebet die æugeno, um gluctlid) $\mathfrak{z u}$ fenn, love virtue (for) to be happy.
3. After the following, and verbs of a similar signifi-. cation: 2enfangen, to begin; aufhoren, to cease; befef)= tent, to command; bitten, to beg; erwarten, to expect; hoffen, to hope; furchten, to fear; oroken, to threaten; pflegen, to be wont; bebaupten, to maintain ; erḱennen, to acknowledge; befennen, to confess; fcheinen, to appear, to seem; wurmichen, to wish ; verlangen, to desire; ermangeln, to fail; erlauben, to permit; geftatten, to allow; verbienen, to deserve; magen, to venture; ba= ben, to have, as, ich babe Эlyen etwas fu fagen, I have something to tell you; feyn, to be, as, es ift $\mathfrak{z u}$ firchten, it is to be feared; miffen, to know: and these verbs, belfen, nuken, frommen, when they signify to be of use, to answer a purpose.
4. The preposition ohne, without, requires $\mathfrak{z u}$ before the infinitive. The English construe it with the participle: as, Đbne of wiffen, without knowing, Fr. sans savoir.

In English, the infinitive, with to, is put, after some verbs, where the Germans prefer the conjunction Daf., with the indicative, or subjunctive, for example, I knew him to be the man, ich wufte, bañ er ber Mann war; they thought me to be mistaken, fie bachten, Dá ich) mich irrte; he believed it to be true, er glaubte, Dás es wahr ware.-The infinitive with to, is also employed, by the English, after words, which form indirect ques.
tions, such as, when, where, how, which, what, whose, whom, when certain verbs, such as, to know, to tell, to be told, and the like, precede. For example, you know how to write it; 1 will tell you what to do; teach me what to say. In German, the iudicative, or subjunctive, of some assisting verb, such as, mún, joll, must, ought, shall, is to be made use of: as, ©ie minfen, wic ©ie ç fchrciben muffen, you know how you must, write it ; ich will $\$$ hnen fagen, was Sie thun múfien, I will tell you what you must do; Yehren Sie mich, was̉ ich fagen foll, teach me what I shall say.

The Auxiliaries.
When the same auxiliary belongs to more than one verb, it need only be once expressed: as, Jih bake e $\mathfrak{y}$ gehort und gejeben, I have heard, and seen it ; wir haben gefchrieben, gelefen und gefprochen, we have written, read, and talked; Der Rónig wiro von feinen Unterth $\mathfrak{=}=$ nen geliebt und geefrt, the king is beloved, and honoured by his subjects. It would encumber the sentences, to have the auxiliary more than once, in those several examples. The English coincides in this with the German language. But the latter owns a peculiarity, with which many other tongues are not acquainted; namely, that the first and second auxiliary, when placed at the end of a sentence, may be omitted. For instance: Miein Freund hat mir gefagt, Dás er Jhren Bricf gelejen, my friend has told me, that he has read your letter. After gelejen, the auxiliary, habe, or bat, is to be understood. It would have been fully as well, if it had been expressed, though sometimes the omission has a good
effect, by preventing the monotonous repetition of the same auxiliary word.* The third auxiliary, though placed at the end, can never be left out.

The Verb governing. Cases of Derlension.
Rule I. The Nominative case, as the object, is required,

1. By the following verbs: ©eyn, to be; werben, to become; bleiben, to remain; heiffert, to be called, to bear a name; 'flyeinen, to seem. Examples: Friedrich mar ein großer $\mathfrak{K o j n i g}$, Frederick was a great king; mein Bruber if Colbat geworben, my brother is become a soldier; or Gleibt ein Thor, wie er immer war, he remains a silly man, as he always was; biefer Memich Keist ber Sberfe, this man is called the colonei ; es fcheint ein guter ælan, it seems a good plan. The nouns, after the verbs, are here all in the nominative case.
2. By the passive voice of such verbs, as, in the active, govern a double accusative : for instance, nemen, to call, to name-er wird ein ehrlicher Minn genannt, he is called an honest man ; beiflen, to call, to nane; tou=
 the child has been clristened Henry. Seeimrich is here the nominative case. Schelten, to abuse-er wirb ein Betruger gefcholten, he is abused as a cheat; Fchimpfen, to insult by opprobrious appellations-er waro eine Memme gefchimyft, he was insulted as a coward. Some
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verbs occur, in English, with two accusatives, in the active, and two nominatives (namely, one as the subject before, and the other as the object after, the verb) in the passive voice: whereas, in German, the second case is construed with a preposition. Such are the verbs, to appoint, to choose, to declare, and the like: for example, Ere ift zum Doctor gemacht morben, he is made a doctor; er ift zum Ridhter ernamnt morben, he has been appointed judge; er wart fúr cinen ©pigbbuben erflart. he was declared a thief. Here the prepositions $z u$ and für, are employed, while, in English, the nominative case is sufficient. Some grammarians join the verb Yehren, to teach, with a double accusative, in the active voice: as, iemandenMufīt lehren, to teach a person musick. Therefore, it would be right to say, in the passive, er ift glufit gelefrt worben, he has been taught musick. But this mode of expression would be considered as rather unusual. It would be less so, to say, ibm ift $\mathfrak{M u f i f} \mathfrak{g e}=$ lehrt worben, musick has been taught to him. Then the active voice must exclude the double accusative, and substitute one dative. This is preferred by many, who accordingly, say, cinem etwas lehren, to teach something to a person.
3. The reflective verbs are followed by a nominative, afteralz, or wie, as: for instance, (Er betrigt fid) ats ein redtifdaffener Mam, he conducts himself as an honest man; er zeichnet fich ats ein guter ©olont aus, he distinguishes himself as a good soldier. But it must be observed, that this nominative case is not governed by the reflective verb. That phrase is elliptical, and, at full length, would be, (er betraigt fich), aļ̉ ein recdtichaf= fener Mann ficth beträgt, he conducts himself, as an honest man conducts bimself; er zeidynet fich $\mathfrak{a u s}$, $\mathfrak{a l}$ ein
guter Solbat fidid) ๙uszeidnet, he distinguishes himself as a good soldier distinguishes hinself. The circumstances are the same after verbs, which are not reflective: as; Der $\mathfrak{\Omega n a b e}$ fahreibt alt ein Mamn, the boy writes as a man; for ber §nabe fareibt, ats ein Mrann fabreibt, the boy writes, as a man writes. If the case be referred to the reflective verb, it must be the accusative: for example, (Er zeigt fid ald einer tiddtigen Feloberm, he shows himself an able general.

Rule II. The Genitive case, governed :

1. By the verbs: 2fnelagen, to accuse of ; bebirfen, to be in need of, (sometimes, it is construed with the accusative case); beidulfoigen, to accuse of, to charge with; berauben, to rob; uberbeben, to disburden, to free from; witroigen, to deign, to think worthy of. The thing that we are accused of, in need of, charged with, robbed of, freed from, thought worthy of, is put in the genitive case: for example, Semanben Des Morbes anflagen, to accuse a person of murder; jemanoen ber Berrátherey beid ult Digen, to charge a person with treachery; jemanbelt fei= nes $\mathfrak{S e m}$ mógens berauben, to rob a a person of his fortune; jemanben ber Mưke ulberbeben, to free a person from the trouble; jemanden groker ©hre wirbigen, to thiuk a person worthy of great honour.
2. The following take the genitive case of the thing, and the accusative of the person; but they may also be placed in some other construction: Gemáhren, to graut ; entblópen, to strip; entlafien, to dismiss; entlaben, to disburden; entledigen, to free from; entfeken, to displace; úberfúbren, to convict; ubberjeugen, to convince; werfichern, to assure ; perweifen, to banish, For example: Semanoen feines. Wisulfites gewaihren, to grant to a person his wish, that which he wishes; cinen Ihtann
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feinez 2cmtes entiefen, to discharge a man from his office; fidd einer £aft entledigen, to disencumber one'sself of a burden; Den Schulbigen feines Werbrechens ủber= fublen, to convict the guilty person of his crime; 价 verfichere bid meiner freumbid)aft, I assure thee of my friendship. Those beginning with ent and uber, may be construed with the preposition von, and the dative following: as, Semanden bon feiner \&aft entlaben, to free a person from his burden; jemanben von feinem Dienfte entlaffen, to dismiss a person from his office; jemanben von feinem Srrthume ưberzeugen, to convince a person of his errour. ©Semáhren, and verfidfern, may be joined with the dative of the person, and the accusative of the thing: as, Sidh gewabre bir deine Bitte, I grant (to) you your request; idf verfichere es dir, I assure (it to) you. Berfictern is likewise combined with the preposition von: as, ich bin von ber ভache verfichert, I am assured of the thing.- Belebten has the genitive of the thing, in the expression, iemanden cines beffern beleh) ren, to inform a person of what is better, to set him right. But commonly vort is made use of-er bat mich von ber Sache beleyrt.
9. These govern either the genitive, or the accusative, the former being more asual in some of them, and the latter in others: Wddten, to imind, to care about; bce burfen, to want; begehren, to desire; entbehren, to want, to do without; ervaibnen, to mention; genieffen, to enjoy; pflegen, to foster, to take care of; (ib)onen, to spare; [potten, to mock; vergeffen, to forget; war= ten, to attend to, to take care of, as, feines $2\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { metes } \\ \text { wat } \\ \\ \end{array}\right.$ ten, to altend to one's office.-(Gebenfen, to remember, to think of, may have the genitive after it, or the preposition an, with the accusative.
4. Several reflective verbs are construed with the genitive case of the thing. Such are: Sid) anmaken, to claim, as, fich cines æitels anmafen, to claim a title, (also with the accusative, fich cinen æitel ammanen) ; fich (einer Sache) amelymen, to interest one'sself in a thing; fich bebanfen, to thank for, as, id bebanke midd Defien, I thank you for that; fich bebenfen, to consider; fict) befinnen, to think upon; fidd bebienen, to make use of; fid begeben, to resign, to give up; fith bemaddtigen, (iid) bemeiftern, to make one'sself master of a thing, to gain possession of it; fich enthalten, to abstain; fich entichla= gen, to get rid of a thing; fich entifinen, to recollect; fich erbarmen, to have mercy, to have compassion; fich erinnern, to remember; fich erwehren, to resist; fich freuen, to rejoice ; fich getroffent, to hope for with confidence; fich rithmen, to boast of; fich fchimen, to be ashamed; fich unterfangen, unterwinben, to venture on, to undertake; fich berjehen, to be aware of; fich wei= germ, to refuse.* Add some impersonals: EF马 gereut mich, I repent ; mich jammert, I pity, am sorry for; es verlangt mich, I desire ; es verlohnt fich (ber Mufte) it is worth (while). Many of these verbs also admit other cases, and prepositions.
5. The verbs fenn, to be, and werben, to become, have the genitive case after them, in some phrases: as, Der Meinuig feyr, to be of opinion; Borbabens feyn, to be in the intention, to intend ; $\mathfrak{W i l l e n z}$ fern, to purpose; $\mathfrak{U n m u t h} \mathfrak{j e n n}$, to be in a state of displeasure,

* The reflective, or reciprocal pronoun, in most of these verbs, is in the accusative case: anmafien has it in the dative; which appears, when it is put in the first person, as, ity mafe mir dief an, this I pretend to.

396 Agreement and Government. Part II. Chap. 1. dissatisfaction, dejection, to be displeased, to be dissatisfied, to be dejected;-Worbabens merben, to resolve, to determine.

The combination of the genitive case with verbs, was formerly more frequent, than it is at the present day. It is found in old writings, after many verbs, which are now joined to other cases, or followed by, prepositions. For instance, to express a part of a thing, the mere genitive was put, where a preposition is now employed: as, $\mathfrak{j}$ Bes $\mathfrak{W r o t e s , ~ e a t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ b r e a d ; ~ t r i n f e ~ D e § ~} \mathfrak{W s c i =}$ ne马, drink of the wine; nimm des Getreibes, take of the corn. In modern language it would be, in von bem Brote, trinfe vondem Weine.*

## Rule III. The Dative case after the verb.

1. After transitive verbs, which, at the same time, govern the accusative. The accusative is thien called the case of the thing, aud the dative the case of the person ; for example, (Geben Sie bem Manne bas $\mathfrak{B u c t}$, give the book to the man. Dem Manne is the dative case of the person, and סas Buch, the accusative of the thing.The following transitives take the dative of the person: Seben, to give; nefmen, to take from a person; $[0=$ gen, to say; ergaiblen, to tell; antworten, to answer ; bringen, to bring; befeblen, to command; begablen, to pay; foften, to cost ; bieten, to offer;borgen; to sell upon credit; Keiben, to lend; glauben, to beliese; gónnen, not to envy, not to grudge; Flagen, to complain of, to state in the form of complaint; licfern, to

[^83]furnish; leiften, to show, to render, as, Dienfe leiften, to render services; erlafien, to remit; ermiebern, to return, to give in turn, to reply; gebieten, to command; geloben, to vow; erlauben, to permit; geffatten, to allow; wiberrathen, to dissuade from. Add verbs compounded with $a b$ : abbitten, to beg pardon, einem ci= nen Fehler abbitten, to beg a person's pardon for a fault; abforbern, to demand; abzwingen, to force from; ab= rathen, to dissuade; abjalagen, to refuse; abiprecten, to give sentence against a person, cinem etras abjpre= あen; $\mathfrak{a b f a u f e n , ~ t o ~ b u y ~ o f . ~ S o m e ~ w i t h ~ a n : ~ a n b i e t e n , ~}$ to offer; anrathen, to advise ; anbeuten, to signify; an= bidften, to attribute falsely; anzeigen, to announce; and others. Some with bey: beybringen, to impart; beylegen, to attribute; beymeffen, to impute. Some with ent: entreiflen, to suatch away; entziefen, to take away, \&c.* Subjoin lastly the reflective verbs, fiid) ammafern, to claim, to pretend to; fict einbilben, to fancy, to imagine. Examples: Sd) mẫe mir ben sitel $\mathfrak{a n}$, I pretend to the title; id Gilde mir ben $\mathfrak{U m f f a n d}$ ein, I imagine the circumstance. After fidd) anmaj̄en, the genitive may be put, in the room of the accusative. $\dagger$
2. After verbs intransitive: as, $\mathfrak{Z u b}$ angen, to adhere to ; anliegen, to apply to, to solicit ; gehoren and ange= boiren, to belong to; begegnen, to meet; befommen, to agree with, said of things, that refer to health and constitution, for instance, of eating and drinking; bevor= ftehen, to impend ; benfallen, to agree with a person in opinion ; benfommen, to come near, to get at ; beypflidf)= ten, to coincide with in opinion; beyffeben, to assist;

[^84]Danfen, to thank; Dienen, to serve; broken, to threaten; entfliehen, entgeben, to escape; entipred)en, to answer to, to correspond to; and other compounds with ent;* einfallen, to occur to the thoughts, or the memory; eingehen, to enter the mind, to be understood; einfom= men, to euter the thoughts, to enter the mind ; cinleudh)= ten, to be clear, to be evident, to appear; forgen, to follow; frolgnen, to do service without pay ; gebuihren, to be due; gedeiben, to succeed, to prosper ; gehorchen, to obey; gefallen, to please; gerathen, gelingen, to succeed; gleidjen, to be like ; gejiemen, to become, to be fit; belfen, to help; nuiken, to be useful, to be of use; obliegen (einer ©adhe) to apply one'sself to a thing; un= terliegen, to be overcome, to yield; fohbeen, to hurt; icheinen, to seem; fomeichern, to flatter; fleuern, to check, to restrain; trosen, to bid detiance; wehren, to check; weichen, nuspeeidhen, to give way, to yield; fiid) wiberfeken, to oppose, to resist; wiberftelen, to resist; mokhwollen, to wish well; zufallen, to fall to ; juboten, to listen to, to hear ; fugeboren, to belong to: fufom= men, to become, to be suitable, to belong to, to be due; zureben, to speak to, to exhort; and other compounds with $\mathfrak{z u}$. And these impersonals ; © 5 abnet, or abnbet mir, it misgives me, I forsee; es belight, it pleases; ç gebridyt, it is wanting; es graut mir, I am fearful; es triumt mir, I dream; es jduwinbelt mir, I am giddy; ç fhnuert mil, I shudder; ç ver= foblaigt mir nid)ts, it makes no difference to me.
3. Some verbs admit both the dative, and the accusative: $\mathfrak{2 f n f o m m e n , ~ t o ~ c o m e ~ u p o n - m i r , ~ o r ~ m i d ) , ~ f o m m t ~}$ $\mathfrak{F u r d}) \mathrm{t}$ an, fear comes upon me; mir, or mid), báud)t,

[^85]it seems to me; mir, or midi), Dunft, it scems to me (the accusative is more usual); es fchmerzt mix, or midt, it pains me ; mir, or mich efelt, I loathe. Seeiffer, to bid, to desire, occurs with the dative of the person, and the accusative of the thing-wer kat bir bas gekeiflen? who desired you to do that? but the person may also be put in the accusative, wer Gat Dich Daş gekeiffen? The same is to be said of rebren, to teach, which either is followed by two accusatives, one of the thing, and the other of the person; or by the dative of the person, and the accusative of the thing. I think the latter more proper.
4. The dative expresses advantage, or disadvantage, and answers to the English prepositions, to and for: as, Dir ficheinet Die Some, for thee the sun shines; Dir $\mathfrak{l a}=$ den die felber, to thee the fields smile; Dir Geulen Die Winde, to thee (against thee) the winds howl.

Rule IV. The Accusative is governed by verbs transitive.

These are verbs, which imply an action, passing over to an object: as, I love my country. I love is the verb transitive, the action of which passes over to an object, my country.

That verbs neuter may be transformed into transitives, and govern an accusative, has been noticed upon a former occasion:* as, einen guten Sampf fampfent, to fight a good fight; where fampfen is joined with the aocusative, though it is generally used without any case.

There are verbs that take a double accusative: as, Seiflen, to call; nennen, to name; fikelten, to abuse; fhimpfen, to call by an opprobrious name. For ex-

* P. 291.
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ample: Sch beifie, nenne, ibn einen Scelben, 1 call him a hero; er fdalt, ichimpfte, ihn einen §betriger, he called him a cheat. . To which may be added fragen, to ask, as, cinen etroas fragen, to ask a person something; but here it is better to make use of a preposition, as, ei= nen um etwas fragen, to ask a person about something. Of lefreet, to teach, and Beiffer, to command, which sometimes have a double accusative, mention has been made, just before.

## The Participle.

The most essential points, concerning the participle, have been anticipated in the First Part.-Besides its connection with the verb, the participle is, in the syntax, liable to the rules of the adjective.-The preterite is combined in a particular way, with the verbs mollen, baben, and wiffen: as, Sch wollte Sie gefragt baben, I would have you asked, that is to say, I should wish to ask you; idy mollte Sie gebeten baben, I would have you requested, that [is, I would request you. Diefe马 ßer= brechen wollen wir geabndet wiffen, we would know this crime punished, that is, we wish to have it punished; er mill nidhts von ber Sache gefagt wiffen, he wishes not to know any thing said of the matter, that is, he does not wish to have any thing said about it. - With the preterite participle an absolute accusative case may be combined: as, Seinen ausgenommen, no one excepted.

## SECTION III.

of the preposition, conjunction, and INTERJECTION.

This section only furnishes a few short observations.

## Of the Preposition.

When the same preposition belongs to more than one noun, it need only be once expressed : as, Won meinem $\mathfrak{F a t e r , ~ m e i n e m ~} \mathfrak{B r u b e r}$ und meiner ভdmefter, from my father, my brother, and my sister.

## Of the Conjunction.

The subjunctive mood follows after some conjunctions: yet it is not governed by them, as sometimes is erroneously conceived, but depends upon other grounds, which have been fully explained above.*

## Of the Interjection.

The interjection stands quite by itself; it neither is governed by, nor governs, any other part of speech. Therefore, the nominative and vocative, being independent cases, that is, such as are not governed by a preceding word, are most proper after interjections. 2(d)! id unglưdicther 刃enid, ah! I unhappy mortal! (Ey! Der ভdhale, ah! the rogue. פ! was fûr ein Sdheufal, 0 ! what a horrible object! 2(ch! Yieber Freund, al!

[^86]The genitive case is found after interjections: for example, O(d)! Deş ElenDes, ah! the misery! פ! Der Freube, olh, what joy! Xed! ! Des Undantbaren, ah! the ungrateful wretch! פjui! Des ichamlojen Mremiden, fie upon that shameless man. That case was not, as I suppose, originally the effect of the interjection, but of some other word, either substantive, or preposition, which, in process of time, has been omitted.

The dative of advantage, or disadvantage,* occurs after certain terms, that may be called interjections, though they are not strictly of that description: as, wobl ifm ! happy him !-wohldem ねrenfden ! happy man !weh mir! woe is me !

The accusative is sometimes observed, after the interjection, as it is in Latin. $\boldsymbol{D}$ ! midy Ungludflidfen ! o! me miserum! O, unhappy me! This also may be explained by; an ellipsis. Perhaps a verb might be supplied, after the interjection, such as see, pity!

$$
\dagger \text { See page 399. } 4 .
$$

## CHAPTER II.

ON SOME PECULIARITIES IN THE LANGUAGE.

The first topick, in this chapter, to which we will direct our altention, shall be

## The Composition of Words.*

By this operation, the Gerinan language has the means of creating new terms, out of its own substance, and of supplying itself with expressions, for any' ideas that may arise. Though almost every tongue possesses this resource, to a certain degree, yet the German enjoys the advantages, resulting from it, more amply than others. It is rich in compounds, and has the faculty of exercising its formative power, to a considerable latitude, under certain easy, and useful restrictions. The Greek language may perhaps exceed it in the number of compound words, with which the vocabulary abounds; but it must yield to the former, in the convenience, and precision, with which the act of composition is managed.

A compound word is produced by the union of two,

* Mr. Adelung has fully, and ably, discussed this subject, in his System (£ebrgebiube) Vol. II. p. 209-274; and his Orthography, p. 305-337.
or more terms, into one. Such expressions, therefore, as these : newspaper, tablecloth, fortuneteller, bosomfriend, winterseason, bookbinder, bookseller, 'watchmaker, belong to that class.

The rules for composition, which are tacitly acknowledged, in German, and ought to be uniformly observed, are these two:

Rule I. A compound word should consist of two distinct, and clear ideas. The terms, which enter into the composition, mnst furnish two ideas: and these ideas must be so perspicuous, that, when combined in one word, they may, at the first perception, render it intelligible. They ought to bring into the compound neither obscurity, nor ambiguity.

Rule II. The prior term of the compound should define, and limit the other. Hence the first component may be called the definitive, or particular term; and the second, the fundamental, or general. For example: Winterseason. Two terms, giving two distinct ideas, winter, and season. The latter is the fundamental, or general term ; which by the former, winter, is defined, and limited. Season is thereby circumscribed, and confined to one particular kind. Newspaper:--paper is undetermined, and liable to be variously conceived; but a definite term, news, being joined with it, the acceptation of the word is particularised: it is specified, what paper is meant. Watchmaker:-a maker is a person that makes any thing; but by the prior term, watch, it is stated, how his art of making is defined, to what particular object it is limited.

These are the two rules of composition, to which some farther observations are now to be added.

1. In substantive compounds, the second component, or fundamental term, furnishes the gender.*
2. When two words are joined together, without the circumstance stated in the second rule, of the one's defining the other, such words are not to be regarded as compounds. Two terms may be in connection, without that qualification, standing merely in apposition to one another. Such are Prince Bishop, Queen Empress. These words imply, that one person has two attributes, which in other instances would be linked by means of a conjunction, as Emperour and King, Doctor and Professor: but the first term cannot be said to define, and circumscribe the second. It is, therefore, wrong to write such words in one. All that may be allowed, in compliance with custom, is, to unite them by the sign of hyphen: as, Prince-Bishop; Queen-Empress, or Em-press-Queen.
3. It may be considered as a subordinate precept, after those two rules have been duly put in practice, that the compound should neither be too long, nor harsh to the ear. Respecting the length, it may be remarked, that when it is insisted, that two terms, containing two ideas, should constitute the compound, this does not preclude a previous compound from being employed in the composition. Though one of the terms be a compounded word, yet in the composition, for which it is required, it is supposed to give only one simple idea. For example, Das ভilberbergwerfe, the silver mine, consisting of ©ifber, silver, and $\mathfrak{B e r g w e r f , ~ a ~ m i n e , ~ h a s , ~ f o r ~ i t s ~ s e - ~}$ cond term, a compound word, Bergmerf: for, this is to

[^87]be analysed into $\mathfrak{\Re} \mathrm{erg}$, a mountain, and $\mathfrak{W}$ erk, wo:k; yet, the idea which this word affords towards the composition of Silberbergmert, is simply one. Consequently, words may be doubly, or more times, compounded, without being disqualified from serving to a new composition, according to the second rule. But, on that account, the intimation is here given, that it is not advisable to make the composition too long. If, however, it be found necessary to admit such words, the sign of hyphen $\Leftrightarrow$ ) is resorted to, in order to break their extended appearance: as, Beneral= ̌elozeugmeifter, Master ge-
 field-marshal general of the empire.
4. The sign of hyphen is, moreover, employed, when either both components, or one of them, are foreign words: as, Daß §eidy $=$ =Collegium, the council of the Empire ; bas Criminal-(5jeridht, the criminal court of justice; Der -Justitz - Rath, a council, or counsellor, of justice; Daş Intelligenz-Blatt, a paper for advertisements; Das Intelligenz-Comtoir, the advertising office; Daß̉ Justitz-Collegium, the court of justice. Not unfrequently, those foreign words are written in their own type, as is done in these examples, not in the German character.
5. By the process of composition are produced
(1) Substantives, in the following manner:
a. Both terms being Substantives. Examples: Die 2fbenoftunoe, the evening-hour-from Der 2thend, the evening, aud bie Stunbe, the hour; Der 2 fpelbaum, the apple tree-ber $\mathfrak{K p f e l}$, and Der $\mathfrak{B a u m}$; Das $\mathfrak{R a t h}=$ Kaus, the council house-ber 凡ath, Das Scus ; Der 2frbcitłlohnt, wages for work-Die 2atbcit, ber $\mathfrak{Q} 0$ hn; ber

Sonntag, Sunday-bie Somite, ber æing; Der Gottes= dienft, divine service-(53)tt, God, Der Dienft, the service; Der Seldermuth, heroick courage-ber Secto, the hero, ber Muth, the courage ; Die Seerzensgute, goodness of heart-bả Jecri, die ©jute.
b. The first term being an Adjective. Die (Grosmuth, magnanimity - groÉ, great, Der Nuth, spirit; Die ©chmermuth, heaviness of spirit, melancholy-ichwer, heavy, Der SMuth, ${ }^{\text {T }}$, spirit ; Die EFigenliebe, self loveeigen, proper, and die Ridbe.
c. A Numeral the first term. Der Drevfuß̃, the tri-pod-Drey, three, ber $\mathfrak{F u} \tilde{\text {, }}$, the foot; Das Siereff, the square-vier, four, Die ©fde, $\dagger$ the corner; Das 2dettect, the octagon-acht, eight.
d. The Pronoun ©elbit the first term. Das ©elbit= gefubl, self-feeling-bas (Gefuthl; Die Selbitprufung, self examination-bie झrufifung; Der Selbffituß, or Selb= โఉu shooting.
e. $A$ verb the first term. Der Fectitboben, the fencing school-fechten, to fence, ber Boben, the floor; Dic $\Re$ Reitbahn, the riding school-reiten, to ride, Die $\mathfrak{B a h n}$, the course, the ground; baß $\mathfrak{M a r t g e l o}$, pay for waiting, for attendance-warten, to wait, Das ©selo, money.
f. A Particle the first term, such as, ab, an, ein,

[^88]$\dagger$ Gender varying; see the foregoing note:
\＆c．Die 2 Ubreife，the departure；Die $\mathfrak{Z n f}$ unft，the ar－ rival ；Der ©ingang，the entrance．
（2）Adjectives．
a．A Substantive being the first term．ञugenbreid）， rich in virtue－bie æ̌ugend，virtue，reid，rich；fraftroll， full of strength，or power－bie תiraft，voll；ci弓falt，cold as ice－bas Eij，Ealt；gologelb，yellow as gold－baら Gold，gelb；pechiduwarz，black as pitcl－Dn3 \＄led， idmary；gottesfiurd）tig，pious，fearing God－（fiott， God，and fírchtig（an adjective，unusual out of compo－ sition）；bưffabeourrftig，destitute，wanting help－bie Şưlfe，bedưrftig．
b．An Adjective the first term． $\mathfrak{L e i d}$ tfertig，thought－ less，flighty；bellblau，sky－blue；fremmillig，voluntary； altflug，wise as an old man．
c．A Numeral the first term．Dreyedig，three－cor－ nered，triangular；vierečig，quadrangular，square； fedujfůkig，six－footed；adhtfeitig，having eight sides．
d．A Particle the first term．Wfbhaingig，dependent； anftinndig，becoming；ibbermithig，overbearing，inso－ lent；孔ufỉnftig，future；anglåubig，unbelieving；un＝ gerect）t，unjust．
e．A Verb the first term．Denfwirbig，memorable－ benfen，to think of，and wirbig，worthy；babjudtig， avaricious－baben，to have；liebensmintoig，lovely，ami－ able－lieben，to love，wirbig，worthy；Yobenswerth， praiseworthy－loben，to praise，werth，deserving．
（3）Verbs．
a．A Substantive being the first term．Brano＝ fotaken，to raise contributions，by the menace of fire －Der Brano，fire，f（b）akent，to raise contributions； luftwanbeln，to walk for pleasure，to take a walk－

Die عuft, pleasure, wandeln, to walk; wetteifern, to enulate-bie $\mathfrak{F e t t e}$, the wager, eifern, to be eager, or zealous; wetterleude) ten, to lighten without thunderDaEl $\mathfrak{W e t t e r , ~ t h e ~ w e a t h e r , ~ t h e ~ t e m p e s t , ~ l e u d h t e n , ~ t o ~ s h i n e ; ~}$ bandhaben, to handle-bie 5and, the hand, baben, to have ; ehebrecten, to commit adultery-bie Ehe, wedlock, brechen, to break.
b. An Adjective the first tern. Frobloden, to exult -froh; vollbringen, to accomplish-woll; vollziehen, to execute.
c. A Particle the first term. This species of composition has been treated of, at large, in Part I. Chap. 4. Sect. 7. p. 302.
(4) Participles.

A Substantive being the first term. a. The Present Participle: ©hrliebeno, loving honour, generous, noble -Die ©Fhre, the honour, liebend, loving; gefeegebend, legislative-Das ©jefet, the law, gebend, giving; madt)= babend, having the guard, being on duty, as an officerDie Wadhe, the guard, habeno, having. b. The Preterite Participle: Blumenbefrainzt, crowned with flowers - Die æhlume, the flower, befranzt, crowned; ; $\ddagger$ nneebe $=$ Decfit, covered with snow-ber Schnee, snow, bedeeft, covered: feegebohren, born of the sea-bic See, the sea, gebohren, born.
(5) Particles.

Compounded with Particles. Worwairt3, forward; zurůd, back; Kinein, into; beraus, out of; mithin, therefore; Daker, thence; nummehr, now.
6. The components often remain unaltered : as, $2 \mathfrak{L p f e} \mathrm{~F}^{2}=$ baum, Uhrmacher, tugenoreid; but in many instances, the first term undergoes some change, either by letters being added, or omitted.
(1) Letters Added:

Es: as, (Der ©3ottesdienft, divine service-from (50tt; Die Geiftesfraft, power of mind-Der Geift ; Die æJDes= noth, agony of deatl-ber Tod.
$S:$ as, Das Şimmelslidht, the light of heaven-ber Shimmel ; Das ©fel $30 h r$; an ass's ear, the corner of a leaf in a book, turned down, a dog's ear-ber Efel; Das 2 $2 r=$ beithbauss, the work-house-bie 2frbeit ; Der (Seburt5tug, the birth-day-bie (Gefurt ; Das Scuilfsmittel, means of assistance-bie §̌uilfe.
Ns, ens: as, Die Friebenŝfeier, the celebration of peace-Der §riede; Die Şerjenģgutê, gooduess of heart -bas Šerz.

Those additional letters seem to mark the genitive case, in the first component.
$\boldsymbol{E}:$ as, Das Šergeteio, affliction of heart-Da3 Şerz; bie gififethat, the misdeed, the crime-from the particle mís; ber $\mathfrak{y}$ ferbeargt, the horse doctor, the farrier-
 Der ©ianjebraten, the roast goose-bie Gans, the goose.

In some of these examples, the inserted $e$ may be taken for the characteristick letter of the plural number.
$N$, or en: as, Das Freubenfeft, the festival of joy, the jubilee-bie Freube; Das Dradjenblut; dragon's blood-Der $\mathfrak{D r a c h}$; Der Seldenmuth, heroick courageDer Şelo, the hero; Das Sirtenleben, pastoral life-ber Şirt, the herdsman; Der Sauernfolz, vulgar prideDer Bauer, the rustick, the clown.

The additional $n$, or en, may, in some instances, involve the genitive case, in others the plural number.

Er: as, $\operatorname{Der}$ Eyprfichen, the omelet - Das (Ev, the egg, and ber Sud)ent; the cake; bie ßilberddrift, figurative writing- $\mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{B i l b}$, the image, figure, and Die ©durift,
the writing; bie Wsciberlift, craft of women-bas Weib, the woman, and $\mathfrak{D i e}$ @ift, the cunning.
The letters er correspond, in these words, with the termination of their plurals.
$I$, only in two very ancient compounds: Die शachti= gall, the nightingale, and Der ßraiutigam, the bridegroom.
(2) Letters omitted.
$\boldsymbol{E}$, in substantives: as, Die (Endiplbe, the final syllable -bas Enbe, the end ; Dic Erbfolge, the succession-Das Grbe, the inheritance, bie Folge, the act of following, or succeeding; Der Somntag, Sunday-bie Sonne; ber תirfchbaum, the cherry tree-bie תiriche.
$E n$, in infinitives: as, Der Fechtboden, the fencing-school-fechten, to fence; die æeitbahn, the riding-school-reitet, to ride; benfwuirdig, memorable-bent fen, to think of, and wurbig, worthy; babjuichtig, ava-ricious-baben, to have.
7. It is not settled by rule, when, and how, those changes, in the first component, are to be made. The judgment of the person, who frames a compound, seems alone to determine the question. Sometimes, a diversity occurs in the state of the first component, 'as it is combined with different words: as, Der ßaterthof, and ber $\mathfrak{B a u t r n f r i e g - b o t h ~ f r o m ~ b e r ~ B a u e r , ~ t h e ~ h u s b a n d m a n , ~}$ the peasant; Die (Fhrfurcht, and Der Ehremfchinderfrom die ©hre: ber Feutherb, and bie Feuer马brumitfrom dab Jeutr; Das Serjblatt, bas Serzeleio, and bie Şerjensangit-from Das Seerz; bas תindbett, bas̉ sin= Destheil, and Die Rinderfube-from bas Sind. But even in the identick compounds, an uncertainty occasionally prevails: for example, Đer Eichenbaum, and ber (Eich)baum, the oak tree-from Die Eiche, the oak; ber

Erbflo , or Groenflof, the clod of earth-from bic Erbe; Das ভchweinfleifly, or Schweinefleifch, the pork -from das Gchmein.
8. There are a few instances, in which a change of signification is effected by the mode of composition: as in, ber £anbmann, and ber $\mathfrak{L a n d}$ mann, the former denoting a husbandman, a peasant, and the latter, a compatriot; Der $\mathfrak{E u n d} 3 \mathfrak{h e r r}$, the sovereign of a country, and ber $\mathfrak{E a n o l h e r r}$, in former times, a great landholder, a lord of the manor.
9. The more ancient compounds cannot always be reduced to that analogy, by which the composition is now regulated.
10. When it happens that two, or more compound words occur in a sentence, having the second component the same, this component is frequently omitted in the first word, or words, and only expressed in the word which is last: as, פŋaffen = uno 23 ciberlift, craft of priests, and of women; ת্rieg $=$ und $\mathfrak{F r i e d e n s j e i t e n , ~ t i m e ~ o f ~}$ peace, and war; $\mathfrak{B c t =} \mathfrak{B u} \beta=$ und $\mathcal{F} a f t \mathrm{ftag}$, day of prayer, repentance, and fasting. The hyphen is then put after the first word, or words*.

## The Use of the Genitive Case:

It often occurs, when there is apparently no word to govern it. Thus it expresses:

1. Relation of time. Des 2fbenos, in the evening; Des Morgenst, in the morving ; Dȩ̉ Mittag3, at noon;

* See p. 28. This peculiarity of omitting the second component in preceding words, and expressing it only in the last, exists in the Spanish language, and also in the Swedish, Danish, and Dutch.
+ The same idiom prevails in the Greek language: as, ${ }^{0} \rho \rho_{\rho} \rho o v$, early in the morning; vox oos, in the night.

Des ఇachts＊，in the night ；Somnabenos，on Saturday； Montagez，on Monday；cineร æage马，one day，on a certain day ；beutiges સages，this day；cinmaldez Mro nathes，once in－a month；viernaldes Silhres，four times in a year；zwery ganjer Stunben，two whole hours．

2．Relation of place．Diefes $\mathfrak{D r t e 3}$ ，in this place： gehórigen $\mathfrak{D r t e} \xi_{\text {，}}$ in，or at，a proper place；aller 5 vite， in all places，every where．

3．Way and manner．Serabeß（or geraben）Wegeş，
 ftalt，in this manner；folgender（§eftalt，in the following manner；meines $\mathfrak{I h}$ eils，on my part；umfern æheils，
 nȩ̉ ßedintenes，in my opinion；einiger Maß̧en，in some measure ；gemifier Magen，in a certain measure ；unver＝ rid）teter Sadhe，not having effected one＇s purpose．Add the following phrases：Shungers fterben，to die of hun－ ger；eines fitmerglichen æodes fterben，to die a painful death ；Der Soffung Yeben，to live in hope；Deß $3 \mathfrak{Z} u=$ trauens reben，to live with confidence，that is，to place confidence in a thing．With the verb fern：as，Willen马 fern，to intend；ber Meinung feyn，to be of opinion； des なodes fenn，to perish．
The peculiar use of this case has been adverted to，in the first Partt．It owes its existence，indisputably to the omission of some governing word：but it is not，in ge－ neral，easy to fill up the ellipsis．In practice，it is suffi－ cient to know，that such is the usage．

[^89]
## The Use of the Aceusative.

This case is employed to mark time, both as to date, and duration.-Date: Den zefnten æag nad ber Sdbladyt, the tenth day after the battle; fo mar es bas erfte $\mathfrak{J a h r}$, thus it was (in) the first year; Den neunten $\mathfrak{J u l}$, the ninth of July; vorigen Dienfag, last Tuesday; brey mal die Wocke, three times a week.-Duration: Sch bin ben ganzen æag zu Şaufe gewefen, I have been at home the whole day; ich werbe nock einen Mronath in ber Stabt bleiben, I shall remain yet a month in town; verweilen ©ic einen 2 fugenblidé, stay one moment.

After certain adjectives, and verbs, signifying weight, measure, extent, age, price, value, such as, great, broad, heavy, to weigh, to cost, the accusative follows. Einen Guß breit, a foot broad; cinen Monath alt, a mouth old; cem wiegt einen 3 entner, it weighs a centweight.

Space and motion are indicated by the accusative. Einen langen $\mathfrak{W e g}$ geben, to go a long way; Den $\mathfrak{B e r g}$ himinter Kaufen, to run down the mountain; er geht cinen guten ©chritt, he walks a good pace.

## Nouns of Measure and Quantity

leave the words, which follow them, unaltered: as,
 Fleifch, five pounds of meat; $\mathfrak{z c h n} \mathfrak{Z}$ arler Silber, ten dollars of silver coin; cine Menge Fifche, a quantity of fish. Sometimes, however, the genitive is used: as, EFine Summe Gelloç, a sum of money; cin Saufen Golbes, a heap of gold; cine Menge $\mathfrak{Z o l f c} \xi$, a crowd of people; cine $\mathfrak{R e i l e} \mathfrak{W}$ ©gej, a mile of the way, that means,
a mile distant; more especially, when an adjective precedes the substantive: as, ein Gerid)t fabored Fiicthe, a dish of fine fish*.

## The Gender

is deviated from, when the meaning of the word is more regarded, than its grammatical nature. Diefes $\mathfrak{F r a u e n =}$ zimmer ift am fchonften, wenn fie nicht fchminft, this lady is most handsone, when she does not paint herself. Frauensimmer is of the neuter gender, but the pronoun fie, she, is feminine, because this is the gender, which the subject ought naturally to have, though, from a grammatical combination, the appellative differs. Er liebt fein Wseib nicht, fondern mifbandelt fie, he does not love his wife, but ill treats her. Weib is neuter, and the feminine fie answers to it. Add these passages from Gellert: ©in fraucuzimmer, Die æ̧ugend uno Berfand befiet-Ein fabines frauenzimmer, die gegen den Rieb= baber $\mathfrak{n u r}$ gar $\mathfrak{z u}$ lange fprobe thut. The relative, bie, does not agree with the grammatical gender of $\mathfrak{F r a u e n}=$ zimmer, wich is neuter. In the Latin grammar, this would be called constructio ad sensum. Thus the English often admit a different number, which comes under the same denomination: namely, when a collective noun precedes, though in the singular, the verb, belonging to it, frequently stands in the plural: as, " all the com_ pany were present; the army of martyrs praise thee." It should be was, and praises, because company, and army, are of the singular number. But being collectives, that is to say, words which comprehend several

[^90] - individuals, the idea of plurality is followed, and the grammatical number set aside. The Germans, however, do not allow this deviation, but always adhere to the number of the subject nominative.

## The Dative Singular

of the first and second personal pronouns, mir, Dir, is, in familiar language, often inserted, only as an expletive: Du bift mir ein fchoiner 凡erl, thou art a fine fellow! Sich Yobe mir Den Mibcinmein, I give the preference to Rhenish wine. Das mag bir eine Freube gewefen fenn, that must have been a (great) joy! The plurat also is thus found, especially of the secoud person: Das mar eud) eine $\Omega^{\prime}$ ft, that was a pleasure! Das mat euch ein Feft, that was a fentivity!-Those pronouns may be supposed originally to have meant, with regard to me, to thee, to you; or, in my idea, thy idea, your idea.

## The Possessive Pronoun

is, sometimes, put after the genitive case: as, Deక̧ $\mathfrak{F a t e r}$ fein Bruber, the father his brother; Der Frau ifr find, the woman her child, iustead of, the woman's child. It seems, that the pronoun acts as a substitute for the termination of the noun: consequently, it is wrang to say,
 of, DeE̊ હater fein ふino, Deรె Mkan fein Bud); though this circumstance may not be always attended to, in practice. When, in English, an individual object of possession is to be expressed, from a greater number of the same kind, the possessive pronoun absolute, with of before it, is placed after the substantive: as, a friend of
mine, a servant of yours, an acquaintance of ours, a book of his. In German, this is to be differently expressed: for instance, E゙in Freunio von nit, a friend of me, or einer won meinen Freunben, one of my friends; ein Bebientet von $\mathfrak{H}$, a servant of us, or einervon $u n=$ fern Sbedienten, one of our servauts; eines von fetnen Buichern, one of his books.

It has been noticed, in another place*, that the genitive of the demionstrative pronoun, beffen, Deren, and in the plural Derer, forms occasionally a useful substitute for the possessives, fein and ihr.

The Demonstratives, Diefer, biefe, biefer,
may be referred to what is past, present, or future. Dieje Aladtt, may signify, this night, that is to say, the night which is now existing, or which is to come; and also last night, or that which is gone by. The English pronoun this, is hardly ever used in the latter sense.

## The Relative Pronoun

is, in English, sometimes omitted, and to be understood ; in German, it must always be expressedt. With aud), or aud) immer, following, it signifies, whoever, whosoever, whatever, whatsoever: :as, WSer audh ber Mann reyn mag, whoever may be the man; was aud immer die Foige fenn mag, whatever may be the consequence.$\mathscr{D}_{3}$ ² occurs, instead of etwas, something.

$$
\text { * P. } 378 .
$$

$$
\dagger \text { See p. } 223 .
$$

## Einige, and etlide, some,

joined with numerals, denote an uudetermined excess of the number mentioned: as, Einige, or etlide, 子man= fig gifunb, some Iwenty pounds, that is, twenty pounds, and some odd ones besides. When prefixed to a hundred, or a superior number, they indicate a repetition of the same: as, (Einige hunbert ఇrenfden, some hundreds of men; etliche taufend Shaler, some thousands of dollars.

Whe und iebe, all and every one.
This is almost the only instance, in which jeber suffers the plural number.

## 2atue

in common life, signifies, sometimes, that a thing is consumed, finished, that nothing of it is left: as, Der $\mathfrak{W}$ Sin ift alle, the wine is finished; Die Erobeeren find afle, the strawberries are eaten.
Meines gleiden, beines gleid)ent, feines gleidjen, ibres gleidhen, cures gleidhen, mean, people of an equal situation with me, thee, him, her, them, you.

Srgend cin, irgend eine, irgend ein, any, or any one. Ein paar, a few. See p. 149.

## The Infinitive

of the active voice, after certain verbs, occasionally has a passive signification. $\mathfrak{L}^{2} \tilde{\beta}$ ihn rufen, let him call, may
also signify, let him be called; ber Ridhter bies ihn binben, the juge ordered him to bind, also, to be bound; wir faken ihn follagen, we saw him beating, also, being beaten. Sun war nidts fu thun, now there was nothing to be done, literally, to do. ESj ift zu koffen, it is to hope, that is, to be hoped ; es if $\bar{z} u$ befirctiten, it is to fear, that is, to be feared. But in the use of this construction, care must be had to avoid any ambiguity of meaning, which, in certain circumstances, might exist.
The Infinitive of the verbs birfen, folfen, Formen, mogen, laffen, nuiffen, moklen, Goiren, fehen, is substituted for the Preterite Participle, when an infinitive precedes*. Sch babe es nid)t thun Durfen, (for gedurft), I was not allowed to do it; bu battef frommen follen (for gefoltt), you ought to have come; wir bitten es fehen formen (for gefonnt), we might have seen it ; er bat ein Şaus bauen lafien (for gelafien), he has, caused a house to be built; idy babe ihn anboiren mutfen (for gemuft), 1 have been obliged to listen to him; Gatten wir ausgehen wollen (for gerwollt), had we been inclined to go out; id babe ihn reden bóren (for gehoirt), I have heard him speak; idy habe ihn reiten fehen (for gefegen), I have seen him ride.-\&egren, to teach, and $\mathfrak{Y e r r e n}$, to learn, likewise allow the use of this infinitive; though the preterite participle is, at present, more frequently employed: as, Er bat midd farciben lehren, or geleyrt, he has taught me to write; ich babe bey ihm zeichnen lemen, or gelernt, I have learnt of him to draw.

The English admit the Infinitive after the words, who, what, where, how : $\dagger$ for example, "I do not know what

[^91]$\dagger$ See p. 390.
to do; I will tell you how to act, where to go, whom to address." The German infinitives cannot be placed in such a construction; the sentences must, therefore, be differently formed: as, Jch meiz nicht wab ich thun foll, I know not what I must do ; ich will Shnen jagen, wie Sie bandeln mǔfen, mokin Sie geken múfen, mit mem Sie fprecten múffen, I will tell you how you ought to act, where you ought to go, whom jou ought to address.

The Infinitive, with $\mathfrak{j u}$, is put, where the English avail themselves of the participle, with a preposition, such as of, from, and others. For example, Daş ふergnŭgen cinen Freund zu feken, the pleasure of seeing a friend; Gelegenbeit zit reifen, opportunity of travelling. J(b) ward abgebalten $\mathfrak{z u}$ fchreiben, I was prevented from writing. This peculiarity has been remarked in the First Chapler*.

That Infinitives nay be turned into substantives, by having the article, of the neuter geuder, prefixed, has been already noticed. $\dagger$

## The Preterite Participle

of certain verbs, is united with the verb fommen, to come, in an active signification, to express the manner of coming: as, Ere fommt geritten, he comes riding, on horseback; er fommt gegangen, he comes walking, on foot; er fommt gefahren, he comes driving, in a carriage; er fommt gelacht, he comes laughing. See above. $\ddagger$

> P. 388. B.
> + P. 326. See also p. 111.5. $\ddagger$ P. s28.

## $\mathfrak{W} \mathrm{Sobl}^{2}$,

whether considered as an adverb, or a conjunction, is often found, where the English can affix no distinct meaning to it. It seems to be a sort of expletive; in this character, however, it conveys certain ideas, which give to the sentences a peculiar modification. Sometimes the notions, perhaps, probably, indeed, may correspond with it. It occurs in questions: as, ફృaben Sie wobl gefort, was man bavon redet? have you perhaps heard, what is said of it? and accompanies verbs, in an undetermined, and conditional construction: as, Sid modhte mokl refen, I should like to read (if I could). Not seldom, it resembles the Italian ben, bene, and pur, pure, in its expletive capacity.-The adverb Well, noting, of a good quality, in a good manner, not ill, is, in German, generally rendered by gut; by which means the confusiou, that might arise, between this signification and the expletive, is obviated. For example : Sch mein nidt, too man biefe Sachen gut kaufen $\mathfrak{f a n n}$, I know not, where one may buy these things well. In this instance, if mobll were used, it would, by the generality of readers, be accepted with an expletive meaning.

Sjern, willingly ; comparative, lieber, more willingly; superlative, am liebften, most willingly.

By this adverb, the idea, to like, is afforded: as, Etwas gern thun, to do a thing willingly, to like to do it; etwas gern effen, to eat a thing willingly, that is, to like
it: etwas gern mógen, to like to eat a thing. Etwas gern feken, to see a thing willingly, to see it with approbation; hence, to like, to approve. Er ist ©semuife lieber alz gleifch, he likes vegetables better than meat; literally, be eats more willingly. Sie fehen é am lieb= flen, they see it with most pleasure, that means, they like it best. The use of lieber, and am liebften, will be readily understood, from that of gern.

## Sin and Seer.

The former signifies motion from the place, in which you are, to another; and the latter from another place, towards you. Thus it has been explained before. $\dagger$ Sin und Ker, backwards and forwards.

## Dod),

put after an imperative, has the power of entreating, and exhorling, answering, in general, to the French dome, and, frequently, to the English pray! Examples: Sagen Sie mir bod, pray, tell me; feyn Sie boch fo gut, pray, be so good. It is made use of in questions, and exclamations: $\mathscr{W a s}$ jagte er bodh, pray, what did he say? W3ar baş boch ein \&árm, what a noise that was !In Lower Saxony, it is used for the affirmative $\mathfrak{J a}$, when a negative precedes.

$$
\mathfrak{F a}
$$

besides its affirmative signification, yes, has an expletive faculty, in which it may often be answered by, indeed, truly, certainly, I see, I wonder, forsooth.

Examples: ©ie fommen ja jpadt, you certainly are coming late, or, 1 wonder you come so late. ©ie find $\mathfrak{j a}$ redft groé geworben, you, indeed, are grown very tall. Er ftellt fich ja febr fonderbar an, he, forsooth! behaves in a very singular manner. - Ja mobll, certainly, yes certainly. $-\mathfrak{J a}$, combined with a negative, strengthens it : as. Sa nidft, on no account ; ja nie, never, spoken emphatically.

## Mitten,

before the prepositions in, and $\mathfrak{u n t e r}$, signifies, in the midst of : as, פNitten in feiner $\Re$ Rede, in the midst of his discourse; mitten unter ben feinben, in the midst of the enemy.

গoct immer,
still, constantly, by continuance. (er bleibt noch immer in Renbon, he still continues in London.

## A Comparison

of things equal, is, in Englisb, made by the repetition of as : for example, 'as brave as Cæsar.' In German, the first particle is translated by for, and the second by alt, or mie: ©o tapfer alz ©ajar. The word than, after the comparative more, is expressed by alb. Sometimes, the English put but after a comparative degree, instead of than: for example, 'There was nothing farther to be done, but to fight.' The German alj, must here be retained. Wie is occasionally substituted for als.

## The Negative.

Mid)t, is often used by the Germans, where the English
 feitoem ich Sie nicht gefehen habe, it is above a month since I have [not] seen you. The sentence certaiuly contains a negative idea, which, however, is, in Englislh, omitted.

In interrogative exclamations, the negative gives emphasis. Wie viele Menichen find nidet in biefem תriege umgefommen, how many men have [not] perished in this war! SWie folz fohien er nid)t, how proud did be [not] appear! The French also make use of the negative. Quel bruit ces hommes n'auroient ils pas fait, s'ils m'avoient attrapé à un tel banquet.

Not a, Not any, are, in German, commonly rendered by Ěein, none.

Two Negatives, in the same sentence, are improper, because they are useless. For, they do not affirm, as in Latin, nor add to the force of the negation, as in Greek. Yet they occur not only in the language of common life, but also in the best writers. Der תiaifer unb bie £igueftanden gewaffnet und fiegreidy in Deutidulano, uno nirgende feine 凹ladyt, Die ihnen Wiberftano leiften fonnte, the Emperour, and the league now stood armed, and victorious, in Germiany, and there was no where no power to resist them. Er barf alles was er famm, unb ift feinem andern nid)tz fdulbig, he dares to do, what he can do, and is under no obligation to no one.t Da er

[^92]jich wollfommen mokl bewust ift, nie feine (Ebre gehabt ou haben, as he is perfectly conscious never to have possessed no honour.* Nur fein selt bat fie nicht, only she has not no money, that is, not any money. $\dagger$. Sometimes, indeed, there may appear to be energy in the repetition of the negative ; but, altogether, it should be rather considered as a species of negligence in composition.

## About, nearly.

This idea, accompanying numbers, is differently expressed. 1. By certain adverbs, viz. beinabe, etraa, faft, ungefăhr, wobl, biz. Ȩfino wohl brev) Jabre, it is about three years; ungefafbr bebn פfund, about ten pound: zwey biz brey $\mathfrak{W}$ Schen, from two to three weeks. 2. In common life, but incorrectly, by cine, which seems to be?corrupted from cinige: as, ©Fine adht tage, about eight days. 3. By cin being prefixed to the substantive, and the termination er added to the latter: for example, ©Fin Sticfer zelyn, about ten pieces, (bas ©tưd, the piece): cin Ebler brey, about three yards, (bie Ehle, the yard); cin Sabrer funf, about five years, (bas $\mathfrak{S a b r}$, the year). The syllable or is supposed to be the remaius of ober, or ; consequently, cin Stidefer zehn, would be said, instead of ein Stuod Dber zefn, one piece or ten. Thus, eine ©5ble Dber brey, one yard or three; cin Sahr oder fưnf, one year or five. Whatever may be the true origin of this construction, it is not to be recommended for imitation. Nor is the following entitled

[^93]- 03
to more regard, which consists, 4 . in the use of the prepositions, an, bey. Xin bie zwanjig, nearly twenty; bey die vierzig, nearly forty.-(5iegen would be more proper.

The omission of the Conjunctions Dan, that, and wenn, if,
has been adverted to, in other places.* When these conjunctions are to be understood, the verb is sometimes put in the indicative mood, and sometimes in the subjunctive. Indicative, Daß being understood: as, Sid) behaupte, es miro regnen, I maintain (that) it will rain; id glaube, cs ift Beit, I believe (that) it is time. Wenn being understood: as, תommft bukeute nidht, fo fommft Du morgen, (if) thou dost not come to day, thon witt come to-morrow. Wsillt du reid) in Der 2 (rmuth fern, $\dagger$ (if) thou wilt be rich in poverty.
 Folg' ibm willig und frob, willt du nicht forgen, bu muft. +
(If) Fate bears with thee, bear thou again with Fate, Follow it willingly, (if) thou wilt not follow, thou must.

Subjunctive, Dañ being understood: as, ©er jagt, \&s Fen wahr, he says (that) it is true. Wenn being understood: as, W̧arre ich in Franfreich, (if) I were in France, were I in France.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * P. 385, 2. } 141.13 . \\
& + \text { 5erber's 3erftreute Blátter, vol. 2. p. } 10 . \\
& \text { + Ibid. vol. 1, p. 21. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Conjunction, fo

is employed to connect a sentence, when the prior member of it begins with a consecutive, causal, or conditional conjunction.* Da er fich ber ©tadt náberte, fo fand er bie Wsalle von ben feinben befelat, when he approached the town, he found the ramparts occupied by the enemy. $\mathfrak{H e m t}$ man fich in ben $\mathfrak{B i f f e m i c h a f t e n ~ a u s z e i c h n e n ~ w i l l , ~}$ fo mus man ununterbrochenen $\mathfrak{F l e i}$ है befigen, if a person would distinguish himself in the sciences, he must possess unceasing industry. - © $\bigodot_{0}$ is not always required, after the consecutive, and causal, such as $\mathfrak{D a}$, when; als, as ; wie, as; weil, because: but it is rarely left out, after the conditional conjunctions, such as wenn, if; objchon, obgleich, wennichon, menngleich, although. Wenn the conditional is not given, in the prior member, but to be understood, it is necessary to make use of fo, in the subsequent: as, Şåtte ich bas gemust, fo máre id) nid)t gefommen, had I known that, I should not have come ; which stands for, $\mathscr{W e n n}$ id) das gewupt hatte, if I had known that-therefore, fo must be inserted, in the following member. It is to be recommended after consecutive, and causal conjunctions, when the antecedent member is of some length, or consists of several links.

When $\mathfrak{D b g l e i d}$, $\mathfrak{o b j d j o n}$, or a similar word, noting, though, although, precedes: Doch, yet, or a symonymous conjuuction, generally follows. $5 \mathfrak{b}$ er gleich jung ift, fo bat er bocb viele Erfabrung, although he is young, he has (nevertheless) great experience.

[^94]Sonbern, but,
has a disjunctive sense, and is exclusively, and solely, used after a negative: as, ©̧b friert nicht, fonbern e₹ thaut, it does not freeze, but it thaws."

Cases Absolute.
The accusative case, joined to the preterite participle, is taken absolutely.t Examples: Dab̨ (seficht nadi) Sifen gefehrt, his face being turned to the east ; Den slicf nad) Dem SBaterlande gerwenbet, his looks being turned towards his country ; bie 2ugen nadh dem Seimmel geridhtet, his eyes being directed towards heaven. Diefen Umftano vorausgefetst, this circumstance being supposed; Den (Sseminn abgered)net, the gain being deducted; Dién auggenommen, this being excepted.

## Contractions

have been mentioned, upon former occasions; for example, of the pronoun $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{E}}$, it, with other words : t mirを,
 ç, wars -war es ; and of the definite article, with prepositions $: \& \mathfrak{a m}$, from an bem, im -in bem, ins-it bab, $\mathrm{zur}^{\mathrm{z}}$ - $\mathfrak{u}$ ber. Contractions shorten the expression, but it is not always an advantage to do this. They should be avoided, when they interfere with the softness, and fluency of the language : and even the best of them are more calculated for common speech, than for dignified composition.

- P. $358+$ P. $400 \ddagger$ P. 208. § P. 352.


## CHAPTER III.

## ON THE ARRANGEMENT OF WORDS.

The verbal arrangement, in German, is neither regulated by the natural construction, so that the words should be placed, in a sentence, in the same succession, as they depend upon, or govern, one another: nor coulducted according to the loose dictates of cadence, or rhythm.* The several parts of speech claim their posi-

* The ancient, and most of the modern tongues, have no sure standard for the collocation of their words. The instruction, which Cicero gives upon this suhject, is, that a proper symmetry should be observed in a sentence, and the words harmoninusly combined. Collocabuntur igitur verba, aut ut inter se quàm aptissimè cohereant extrema cum primis, eaque sint quàm suavissimis voribus; aut ut forma ipsu concinnitasque verborum conficiat orainem suum, aut ut comprehensio numeroıè et aptè catat. Orator, 42. This only affords a vague and fluctuating idea: for, thie notions of symmetry and harmony, of cadence and rhythm, are undefined, and variable; because they must be referred to the perception, and judgement of every individual. The ear alone is to decide, and that may be variously affected, in various persons. Cicero, indeed, seems to think, that there is a latent principle of correctness, in the sense of hearing, which may be relied oll: Aures enim, vel animus aurium nuntio naturalem quandam in se contınet vocum omnium mensionem. ibid. 53. Esse in oratione numerum quendam, non est difficile cognoscere: judicat enim sensus. ibid. 55. But the competency of that criterion may well be doubted, when it is recollected, what a diversity there exists in the faculty of that sense, and the justness of its perception. If we allow, that the ear of
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tion, by virtue of certain rules, which are indisputably established, and interwoven with the very character of the language. To violate those rules, is as much an offence, as to disobey any other leading precept of grammar. It would mark the ignorance of a person as strikingly, as, for instance, an errour in the gender. By a fault of this kind, a foreigner would be most easily betrayed. For these reasons, it appears of consequence, that this subject should be thoroughly understood: but, strange to say! it has, in general, been overlooked, and neglected. The natives thenselves seem, for a long time, to have been unconscious of this extraordinary property in their language, which had become familiar, and habitual to them, from their infancy. To this circumstance it must be attributed, that it has passed unnoticed by so many grammarians, as have written on the German tongue. Foreigners have, theuce, been induced to believe, that the collocation of words, in German, is arbitrary, and that it is not an object of grammatical necesty, and inportance, but one superficially recommended for imitation. This statement of the question is, in the highest degree, fallacious, and absurd. An infringement of the laws of position is felt by a native the noment it occurs : and I ask, could such a sensation possibly take place, if that, from which it proceeds, did not exist ? The negative of any proposition being remark-
an orator, favoured by nature, may, through practice and attention, become so habituated to the rhythm of eloquence, as to produce that proportion and harmony, in his speech, to which Cicero alludes: yet other means will be wanted for those, who do not possess such advantages.
c.l, the mind, which notices that negative, must surely, at the same time, be impressed with an idea of the affirmative. When I say, that a thing is not black, I should not be qualified to make that declaration, unless I had previously conceived the positive nution of black. Thus, when a fault is observed, it would be contradictory to assert, that there is no rule for what is correct. If that were the case, I wish to be told, by what means that fault might have been detected. It is impossible to see errour, when we are ignorant of the truth, or to be sensible of what is wrong, without having a perception of what is right.

By this mode of reasoning I was led, very early, to conclude, that the arrangement of words, in the German language, was definable by rule. In my intercourse with foreigners, the manner in which they placed the words, when they attempted to speak, or write, German, engaged my attention. I kuew enough of language, in general, to judge, that there was not au accidental difference of rhythm, but a radical, and permanent defect: the source of which I endeavoured to trace, and to discover the necessary remedies. I confess, that I pursued this speculation entirely unassisted: and appropriated to myself the result of the enquiry, as far as it went, without dividing it with any other person.* The system, which I established, had for its foundation, the common division of the parts of speecit. To each, I assumed that a proper place belonged, in a sentence,

* I advert to this circumstance, because I have since found, that Mr. Adelung had entered into a similar investigation: with which if I had been acquainted, the progress of my own researches would probably have been accelerated.


## 432 On the Arrangement of Words. Part II. Chap. 3.

that they stood in a certain relation, and influenced one another, in point of rank, and order. That theory appears to be uncontradicted in practice, and I have, by repeated experience, been fully convinced of its real utility. Without the benefit of such aid, the labour, to a foreigner, of acquiring the German tongue, is almost endless. He may know, with accuracy, the various topicks of grammar, and be abundantly provided with the stores of pliraseology ; he may have learnt the best pronunciation, and made himself master of every other arvantage: yet, if he is not initiated in the mystery of the arrangement of words, his language will ever remain ungainly, and defective. By long and unwearied attention, united with a nice, and discerning ear, he may perhaps approximate to that hahit, which the natives have imbibed, from their cradle: but he will not stand on safe ground, if he is merely to depend on habitual, and obscure sensations, which may leave him in doubt, whenever the peculiarities of other idioms intrude upon his recollection. These observations rest upou simple, and obvious facts, which cannot escape the notice of any one, who is competent to judge of these mattors. I have met with that deficiency, of which I have been speaking, in foreigners, who had diligently studied, and long practised the German language ; and might, in every other respect, be said to be completely in possession of that acquirement.

All those embarrassments, and difficulties, the following rules undertake to remove; and if they succeed, to any considerable degree, in this object, which, 1 coufidently affirm, they do, their value cannot be mistaken. Indeed, I look upon this portion of the pre-
seat work, as the most interesting of the whole: for I may say, that the disquisition was new, and the elucidations obtained, satisfactory, and useful. The subject certaialy could not be ounsidered as trite and common, when, even in our days, it was so imperfectly comprehended by the natives, that several enlightened writers have been led into errour. So little was its nature understood, that some men of literary eminence have proclaimed their ignorance of it, by their rash attempts to change this constitutional part of the language. But whatever the weight of their authority, and example, might be, it was impossible, they could accemplish so ill-conceived a project*. The verbal arrangement will

- I have always regretted to class with these innovators the venerable Pcatner; and wondered, that a philosopher, like him, should have fallen into such a mistake. It seems, that he was desirous of giving to his writings every facility to be understood; to effect which, he adopted, in a great measure, what is called the natural construction, imagining that this was correspondent to simplicity, aind plamiess of composition. But the consequence was, that a new language was thus produced, which was not German, being deprived of the essential characteristick of verbal arrangement. Hence difficulty, and embarrassment are experienced, in reading the works of that author. For by not allowing the ideas to flow in their accustomed channel, but forcing them to pass through a new and unusual direction, a restraint is imposed upon the operation of the mind, and its mechanical habits are impeded. The reader will find in the Philosophical Aphorisms, enough to justify these observations. Mr. Campe, whose grammatical labours claim the regard and gratitude of all who know how to value the German language, has noticed that aberration from the true idiom, in his valuable Treatise, on the Principles, Rules, and Limits of Purity in the German Language©rundfáae, Regeln und ©ranzen der Berdeutictung (pre- directed against it, by a false spirit of reformation. The feelings of the whole nation will oppose themselves to those erroneous, and unprofitable designs.

The following rules are abstracted from the practice of the best writers, and the manner of speaking among the well educated classes of society. It need scarce be remarked, that to the poet a certain latitude is granted, in regard to the position of words, so as to favour the exigencies of rhyme, and metre. The Chapter will consist of the following sections:

1. Position of the Noun Substantive.
2. Of the Noun Adjective.
3. The Pronoun.
4. The Verb.
5. The Participle.
6. The Adverb.
7. The Preposition.
8. The Conjunctior.
9. The Interjection.
[^95]Seet. 1.

Noun Substantive.

## SECTION I.

## POSITION OF THE NOUN SUBSTANTIVE.

Rule $I$. The Substantive, in the nominative case, being the Subject of a sentence, is placed before the verb*.

Note. The subject is to be distinguished from the object: the former governs the verb, and the latter is governed by the verb : for instance, Der Sater liebt feinent Sobnt the father loves his son. Here, Der Sater is the subject, which governs the verb, that is to say, the verb must agree with it, in number and person; $\dagger$ feinen Sohnt is the object, which is governed by the verb, because it must, in compliance with the nature of the verb, stand in a particular case. To give another example: Der Mrann fdreibt cinen Brief, the man writes a letter; - Der $\mathfrak{M a n n}$ is the subject, and einen Brief the object. These terms must be distinctly recollected, throughout the subsequent pages.

## Exceptions to the first Rule.

1. The Subject is put after the verb, in a direct question: as, Sdureibt ber Mam, does the man write? Scureibt, the verb-Der Mann, the subject. It is the same, when the question begins with an interrogative pronoun, or interrogative adverb: as, $\mathfrak{x}$ Gaj fagt Der $\mathfrak{S a}=$ ter, what says the father? welches Buch lieft ber Sd) $=$

[^96]$\dagger$ See p. 380.
fer, which book does the pupil read? marum lacht ber Snabe, why does the boy laugh? meşmegen weint die. ©dyweffer, what does the sister weep for?
2. When, for the purpose of emplasis, the object is placed at the head of a sentence*. Forinstance: Di $=$ feş çlưd genieft ber æugendhafte, this happiness the virtuous man enjoys. ©er $\mathfrak{I u g e n D h a f t e}$ is the subjects which follows after the verb, genieft; because the object, Diefeß © Slucta, begins the sentence.
3. When the dative, or accusative, case of person $\dagger$ stands first: as, Dem Menfden ift Das Reben theuer, to man life is dear; Diefen Rnaben bat mein frento bie gramoofifde Eprad) gelebrt, this boy my friend taught the French language. The sentences here begin with a case of person, and the subjects are found after the verb. This, and the preceding exception, may perhaps be together comprehended in these words, that, when any oblique case of declension begins a sentence, the subject must go after the verb.
4. When an adjective, or pronoun, serving for, or belonging to, the object, begins the sentence. (Sut ift ber Wein zroar, aber auch theuer, the wine indeed is good, but also dear. The arljective gut has, in this instance, the capacity of the object, and, beginning the sentence, moves the suhject, ber $\mathfrak{W e i n}$, from its place. Siut firbect mein Bater ben Wein, \&c, my father finds the wine good: here gut refers to the object, Den $\mathfrak{W e i n}$, and has the same influence upon the subject. This is also to be observed in the pronoun, when it acts as the object: as, פ2ein ift Das Sૂaub, mine is the house; mein represcuts

> See p. 442. R. II, 1
> $\uparrow$ See p. 44s. R.IV. V.
the object, and $\mathfrak{D}$ S $\mathfrak{S}_{\text {nut }}$, which is the subject, is put after the verb. Sein nemt Der Sonig Die Walbung, welde int Sitben von Englano befindlid) ift, the kiag calls the forest his, which is in the south of England. Sein serves for the object, and therefore brings the subject after the verb.
5. When the pronoun ©̌3 begins the sentence: for example, C゙ß fommt ber 爪ơnig, the king is coming; ©ङ entifand cin Råm, a tumult arose.*
6. When an infinitive is put at the beginning, for the sake of emphasis: as, (Gehorthen molken Die Reute nid)t, obey people will not.
7. The participles, with an adverbial power, to express the manner of acting, being, or suffering, $\dagger$ or participles generally, when placed in the begiuning of a sentence, make the subject follow the verb. For instance: Beineno fprach Der Bater, weeping the father spoke: trauerno gingen die (5efåh)rten fu bem (3nab= mahle, mourning the companions went to the sepulchre. Thus with the preterite participle; (5eliebt und ange= betet verließ ber Selo feine Mitburger uno eilte ints
 adored, the hero left his fellow citizens, and hastened into the field of battle to obtain new laurels.
8. The subject must be after the verb, when an adverb, or certain cases of nouns, used in an adverbial signification, commence the sentence. ßaro fommt ber Winter, soon winter comes; fomell geht bie Beit Dahin, quickly time passes. Unglửdlidyer Wुeife traf Dab $\mathfrak{L O O}^{2}$ feinen ßruber, unfortunately, the lot fell upon his bro-

> See p. 208, 4. and p. 377, 3.
> + See p. 322.
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ther. The interrogative adverbs, (as, warum, why; wesmegen, what for, wherefore), have naturally that qualification.
9. When a preposition, with its case, takes the lead. Mit freubiger Mine gingen Die © Einwohner ihrem $\mathfrak{B C}=$ freier entgegen, with a joyful countenance, the inhabitants went to meet their deliverer. When, however, the preposition, with its case, forms an exclamation, it is considered as an interjection, and does not move the subject from its place: as, ঞBey meiner ©bre, ber Menid if unichuldig, upon my honour, the man is innocent.
10. The following conjunctions have the same effect, in transposing the subject, when they commence the sentence: but they may themselves be placed after the verb. 2djo, signifying so, thus; Da, then ; Daher, thence, therefore; Damn, then, (the same as benn); barauf, thereupon, then ; Darum, for that reason ; Demnadh, consequently ; benn, then ; De马halb, DeShalben (berobalben), for that reason; Deswegen, on that aceount ; besgleid)en, likewise ; bodt), yet, still, (it does not always affect the situation of the subject); ferner, moreover; folglid, consequently; gleidhwobl, nevertheless; imbeffen, in the mean while; ingleiden, likewise ; faum, scarce; mithin, consequently; noct), yet, nor; theil3, partly; fo, either meaning so, or beginning the subsequent member of a sentence; úberbiés, besides; $\mathfrak{u b r i g e n s}$, in other respects. -When the conjunctions, audh, also; entwever, either; zroar, indeed, are in the begimning of the sentence, the subject may be put after the verb, by which means a stress falls either upon the subject, or the verb: as, 2fuc) rief Das $\mathfrak{B o l f}$, the people even exclaimed; entwc $=$ Der lieft ber sinabe, ober er fatribt, the boy either reads or writes; znar fabeinet Dic Some, aber, \&c. the sun in-
deed shines, but, \&cc. When the emphasis is to be on the subject, it should remain before the verb: as, Xucd)

- Das 刃olf rief, even the people cried out.-In old and formal language, the subject is sometimes placed after the verb, when unb, and, or fonbern, but, precedes. Uno hat $B_{\text {Bligagter erviefen, and the defendant has proved. }}$ Here $\mathfrak{B e f l}$ lagter, the subject, is after the verb, in consequence of und.

11. In quoting, the subject is put after fagen, or any similar verb, whell part of the quotation goes before:
 tune, says the wise man, is treacherous.
12. The subject always follows the verb, in the subsequent member of a sentence.

Note. The Subsequent member of a sentence is that, which comes after one beginning with a relative pronoun, (such as waas, what), or relative adverb, (such as wo, where), or a conditional, causal, and consecutive conjunction, (such as wenn, if; meil, because; ba, when*). Examples: $\mathfrak{F b a j}$ ber $\mathfrak{F a t e r}$ fagt, thut ber ©obn, what the father says, the son does. The first member of this sentence begins with the relative pronoun, wab; in the second, or subsequent, member, therefore, the subject, Der Sohn, stands after the verb, thut. $\mathscr{Z}_{30} \mathfrak{D a s} \mathfrak{Z a b}$ ift, verjammeln fith bie 2 coler, where the carrion is, the eagles are collected. Here, the first member commences with the relative adverb, wo; and the subject, in the following member, is after the verb $\dagger$. Wenn dab Wetter gint

[^97]ftigbleibt, fo fommt mein Freund in menigen Ragen, if the weather continues favourable, my friend comes, (or will come), in a few days. This sentence also consists of two members: the first, if the weather continues favourable; the second, my friend comes, or will come: in the latter, which is the subsequent member, the subject is placed after the verb. Thus, $\mathfrak{W e i l}$ Die 3eit \{dnell verftreitht, fo benukt Der Weife jeden 2fugenblič, because time passes quickly, the wise man turns every moment to profit. Here are again two members, the antecedent, because the time passes; and the subsequent, the wise man turnsevery moment to profit. The subject in the latter, of course, stands after the verb. Da (Safar fith) náherte, entmithen die Feinde, when Cæsar approached (antecedent), the enemy withirew (subsequent). The subsequent mẹmber, after ant antecedent, with a conjunction of the description alluded to, frequently begins with the particle fo, concerning which it will be proper to refer to some remarks in Part I.* This particle serves as a connecting link, between the antecedent, and subsequent members.t It is not always made use of,
ence to wo. By these words, which are to be supplied, it is, that the subject, in the second member, is brought behind the verb. See a note to the Exercises, p. 160, 2. The subsequent member may, perhaps, altogether be said to depend upon connecting words, which are to be understood. As to the relative terms, beginning a sentence, there hardly occur any other, besides was and wo, which thus influence the subsequent member.
$$
\text { * P. } 357 \text {, and } 427 .
$$

+ It seems, that such a link, between the antecedent and subsequent members, may always be supposed; and that, if it is not expressed, it may be understood, as is intimated in the note, on the foregoing page. Compare a note to the Exercises, p. 161, 2.
when the prior member begins with a consecutive, or causal conjunction; but rarely omitted after a conditional, such as, menn, if; obichon, obgleid, wemmidhon, wenn= gleidh, though, althougl. The conjunction wern, if, is sometimes understood in the antecedent; and with this circumstance, the subsequent member, generally, takes fo, and the subject goes after the verb. For example: Ware ber flus faiffbar, fo murtbe ber Şanbel bluthen, if the river were navigable, trade would flourish. The antecedent member should properly be thus expressed: $\mathfrak{W e n n}$ ber $\mathfrak{F l u}$ fabiffbar wåre.-The conjunction befto, and also ie, when equivalent to defto,* constitutes a subsequent member.-A subsequent member farther arises, when an infinitive begins the sentence, expressing purpose and design. Um reidy $\mathfrak{z u}$ merben, unterzieft fich Der 刃erifd oft Den gro̊ grow rich, man often uudergoes the greatest hardships. The latter " man undergoes," \&c. is the subsequent member, where the subject must be put after the verb.

13. The last instance, in which the verb precedes the subject, is, where the conjunction menn, if, is to be supplied: as, Wsire mein $\mathfrak{F}$ ater hier gerwefen, fo máre Das Unglidef nid) gefdelfen, had my father been here, the misfortune would not have happened. W્sare mein Water lier gewefen, stands undoubtedly for, wenn mein Sater bier gewefen waire, if my father had been here ; and the subject, as appears, is behind the verb.

From all the exceptions to the first rule, which have been enumerated, this general conclusion may be drawn, that the position of the Subject is affected by the collocation of the other words, in the sentence; and parti-
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cularly, that when these are moved out of their natural place, the subject loses its original situation.

Rule II. The Substantive, being the Object, is put after the verb: for example; Șd liebe meinen $\mathfrak{F a t e r , ~ I ~}$ love my father; meinen 乌ater is the object.

## Exceptions:

1: When a stress is to be laid upon the object, it may be placed in the begiming of the sentence: as, Der Şomer leje id) mit Sergnigen uno §erwunberung, Homer I read with plesure and admiration.
2. The verb is sometimes thrown to the end of the sentence;* then the object, naturally, comes before it.

Rule III. The Substantive, in the Genitive case, not being the object $t$, generally stands after the word, by which it is governed: as, Der Sohn meines greunbes, the son of my friend.

But it is found

1. Before the substantive that governs it, when it bears an emphasis. De3 彐aterß Cegen bauet ben תinbern Şåujer, aber ber Mlutter fluch reifiet fie nieber, a father's blessing builds houses to the children, but a mother's curse pulls them down again.
2. Before adjectives: as, Deßె §erbrect)en3 iduulbig, guilty of the crime; Des $\mathfrak{I o b e s}$ wúroig, wortly of the praise; Der Corge unmerth, undeserving of the care.
3. Before some prepositions. $\ddagger$

Rule $I V$. The Dative has its place after the verb, and if there be an objectise case, besides, before the latter.

* See Sect. IV. of this Chapter.
$\dagger$ The genitive may be the object, when it is governed by the verb. See p. 393. Rule II.
$\ddagger$ See p. 335-337. Likewise p. 457.

Er gibt dem Mame bas ßuch, lie gives the book to the man. The dative, Dem Mame, here stands between the verb, and the object.
When it is to be marked with an emphasis, it should be moved from its place, either before the verb, or after the object. The first is the most powerful: as, Đent Manne gibt er bas Buch: the second does not so much alter the force of the sentence, er gibt baj $\mathfrak{B u d})$ Dem Manne.-If the object be a monosyllable, or short word, and the dative case consist of more syllables, the former should be put first, because a long word fuishes the sentence better than a short one: for example, Er fagte e 5 Dem $\mathfrak{S a t e r}$, he told it to the father-e $¢$ is the object, and comes before the dative. It is remarkable, that the object, being a case of a personal, or reciprocal pronoun, is generally put before the dative, though the latter shrould be of. no greater extent, and likewise proceed from one of those pronouns. For example: Sch babe es ihm gefagt, I have told it him ; er bat ihn mir gezeigt, he has pointed him out to me; wir empfehlen uns dir, we recommend ourselves to thee. The words here printed in Italicks, are dative cases, those immediately before them, in Roman type, the objective accusatives.*

Rule $V$. The Accusative serves to some verbs as the case of person, when there is another accusative of the thing, or object. The former is then placed in the same manner as the dative, according to the preceding rule. Examples: Sid nenne ben Mann freund, I call the man friend; idb beife einen folden 2nann cinen Seloen, I call such a man a hero; er lehrt ben Sempronius die 凡e= denlumft, he teaches Sempronius arithmetick.
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Should the subject, the object, and the case of person meet together, either before, or after, the verb, they would follow in this order: subject, case of person, object. For example, before the verb: Da ber Ronig bem Feinbe ben frieben angeboten bat, since the king has offered peace to the enemy. After the verb: $\mathfrak{X u f}$ diefe Weife verfd)afite ©afar feinen Freunben (Senugthuung uno benabm feinen Feinoen alle 5offinug zur $\Re a c h e$, in this mamer Cæsar procured satisfaction for his friends, and deprived his enemies of all hope of revenge.

Rule VI. The Dative and Accusative cases, governed by adjectives, stand after the same.*

Rule VII. The Vocative case may be put any where, either before, or after, the verb, at the option of the speaker.

## SECTION II.

## POSITION OF THE NOUN ADJECTIVE.

Rule I. The Adjective, being joined with a substantive, precedes the same: as, Gutce $\mathfrak{W e i n}_{\text {, good wine }}$; Das imone תind, the pretty child.

Sometimes, it is placed after the substantive, by way of apposition, serving for a relative sentence; as, Der Minifter, nidht weniger geredt, als ftaat3flug, that at= $\mathfrak{l e s}, ~ u m$ Diefem Ereignifie vorjubeugen, the minister, not less just than politick, did every thing to obviate this event. Here the adjectives, being put after the substantive, occupy the place of a relative sentence: weldder

[^99]nidyt weniger geredht afs ffant3flug war, who was not less just than politick.

Rule II. When the adjective is not united with a substantive (nor turned into a substantive itself-for thus it would have all the rights of the latter), it is considered as the object, and put after the verb: as, Der Mann if gut ; or before it, with an emphasis, gut ift Der Mann.

Rule III. The numerals rank before other adjectives, preceding a substantive: as, (rey gute, ehrlidhe Mien= foljen, three good honest men.

When cardinal and ordinal numbers meet before a subslantive, it seems indifferent, which is put first ; whether, for instance, it be, die Drey erften, the three first; or, Die erfen drey, the first three. This applies also to the words, bie anbern, the others, and bie lefren, the last, which may either be put before, or after, the cardinals. Perhaps adjectives of a súperlative siguification may be, generally, included in this observation. Examples: Die orey leften, or die leften סrey, the three last; bie vier anbern, or bie andern vier, the other four; bie fedja beften, or bie beften fedjz, the six best; die jebn faoditen, or bie fabinften zehn, the ten finest. It is to be noticed, that the emphasis, in these instances, falls upon the word, which is put last.-The words, alle, all; mancle, several; viele, many; jeber, each, stand before the numerals, and the adjectives.

Rule IV. Adjectives usually follow the cases they govern: as, Diefer (5hre murbig, worthy of this honour; Deß Serbrechen̉ fchuldig, gult of the crime; zefn (Ebler lang, ten yards long; brey $\mathfrak{f u} \mathfrak{b}$ breit, three feet broad;
 likewise, frequently put after, when they are comected with uouns that are governed by prepositions: as, ©®̧ if
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$z_{\text {zur }}$ Unterbaltung fohr núglid, it is very useful for entertainment; bie ©̧efundbeit ift sur ©flůdeligfeit un= entbefyrlity, health is indispensably necessary to happiness.

## SECTION III.

POSITION OF THE PRONOUN.
Rule. The pronoun either stands in the room of a substantive, or is connected with it, in the character of an adjective; and has, accordingly, either the position of the one, or of the other.

Therefore, when used substantively, it may serve as the subject, and as the object, in a sentence, the collocation of which is seen in the first section. The personal pronouns never occur otherwise, than as substantives; and the demonstratives occasionally assume that quality. When the latter are employed as adjectives, they occupy the place of the article, and go before any other word, that may be joined with the substantive: as, Diefe bren) guten $\mathfrak{L e n t e}$, these three good people; jene vier erften tapfern Mainner, those four first brave men. The word all, however, may precede them.

The personal pronoun, in the accusative, or objective case, is commonly put before the dative: as, $\mathfrak{F r}$ gibt es mir, he gives it to me-eçె, the objective case, before the dative mir. Sdjiffe fie ihm, send then to him -fie, them, preceding ibm, to him.* The dative is found before the object: as, (3ib mir es̉; but not so frequently as after it, and then it is often contracted, $\dagger$

> Refer to p. 443. + See p. 428.
as, mir $\mathfrak{e s}$, into mirs, Dir es, into birs, euch $\mathfrak{e s}$, into cuchzs, (not so properly ibmङ, ihrs, for ihm eร, iht e弓.)

Farther, the personal pronomn, in the dative or accusative, is sometimes placed before the subject, when the verb is at the end of the sentence. $\mathfrak{B e n n}$ mir Daj chluif gunftig ift, if fortune is propitious to me; weil bid bein $\mathfrak{B a t e r}$ liebt, because thy father loves thee. The truth is, that the small personal pronouns are put as early, in a sentence, as may be, I suppose, from a fear of their making too faint an impression, if removed to any distance from the begiming.

The relative pronoun finds its place, naturally, at the beginning of that part of the sentence, to which it belor gs. The word all, may, sometimes, stand before it.

## SECTION IV.

position of the verb.
Rule I. The Indicative Mood stands after the subject, and before the object.

Except:

1. The instances, when the subject is placed after, and the object before it, which are mentioned in the first section.
2. When the verb must be at the end, that is to say, not only after the subject, but also after the object, and all words connected with the same. This is necessary:
(1) When the member of the sentenice, to which the verb belongs, commences with a relative pronoun, as, Der, weldher, wer, was̉; or a relative adverb, as, Daber, from
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whence: Darum, for what ; warum, weshalb, or wesbate ben, wesmegen, for which reason, for what, wherefore*; von wannen, from whence; no, where; and the compounds of wo, as, wovon, moker, momit, moraus, \&c. Examples: Der Sdrifffteller ift zu fóaten, welcher bie Seforberung ber æugeno zum Swedfe hat-that writer is to be esteemed, who has the promotion of virtue for his object. Shb fenne einen Mann, der fich mit Redt meinen freund nennt - I know a man who justly calls himself my friend. Wer bem Lafter und befien શeizen folgt, bereitet fich cine fabmerglid)e $\Re$ Reue, lie who follows vice, and its charms, prepares to himself a painful repentance. The verb, in the foregoing examples, is at the end of that member of the sentence, to which the relative pronouns, Der, welder, wer, belong. It is thus with the adverbs alluded to: for instance, Der 5 ntt, wo id beute meinen Freund sah-the place where to-day I saw my friend. Wohin man bas 2 Cuge nur wendet, crbliaft man niddte alt Elend, wherever one turns one's eye, one perceives nothing but misery. The verb fah, and wen= bet, are put last, in consequence of wo, and wobin.
(2) The verb goes to the end, after interrogatives, (whether pronouns, adverbs, or conjunctions), wheir they form indirect questions. Examples: (Er frigt mid), wer biefen Morgen bey Shnen war-he asks me, who was with you this morning? wiflen Sie, welches Buth er beute in bem Siarten las-do you know what book he read to-day in the garden? [agen Sie mir, was fir

[^100]einen Grumb er ưber fein 2ułbleiben angibt-tell me, what ground he alleges for his staying out. W̧er, wel= dher, waş fưr cin, iuterrogative pronouns, serve here to indirect questions," and the verb stands last. Sch fann niddt fagen, wie cs fich fugetragen hat - I cannot tell how it has happened; idh modthte wiffen, ob viele Reute bey jekiger heifler $\mathfrak{W i t t e r u n g}$ auf Dem Felde fenn werdenI should like to know, whether, during the present hot weather, many people will be in the field. Wife, and $\mathfrak{o b}$, constitute indirect questions, and the verb is at the end.
(3) After conjunctions, conditional, causal, and consecutive. They are: $\mathfrak{Z l t a}$, bevor, bis, Da, bafern, Da= mit (in order that); $\operatorname{Dan}^{\text {; }}$; auf $\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (in order that); ebe; falle, im Falle (in case that); gleidymie, inbem; im= maken, (since, because; old); nachbem ; mun (when it signifies, since, after-nun es cinmal gefdehen ift, since it once has been done) ; ob, objidhon, obgleidh, obwohl, feit, and feitoem; fintemal (since, whereas; old); fo (when it means, if); fo bald, or fo bald als; folange, or fo lange alz̉; fo weit, or fo weit alzs; weil; wenn, wenn= gleid, mennidhon; wie, wiemokl, wofern, wo nidyt. To these is to be added the comparative conjunction ie, which, beginning the prior member, moves the verb to the end. $\dagger$ Examples: Als er Den 2 Uufrubr in Der ©tabt bemerkte-when lie perceived the tumult in the city; bevor id) ben $\mathfrak{W a l o}$ erreid) thatte-before I had reached

[^101]the wood; bis bie Conne bie erftarten Felber auflofen wird-till the sun shall loosen the congealed fields; $d a$ Der $\mathfrak{K o f n g}$ in $\mathfrak{L o n b e n}$ ankum - when the king arrived in Loudon: je långer Der Rufufter Dieje Gegenftinde betrachtete, befto mehr berwunderte er fie, the more the artist contemplated these objects, the more he admired them.

By the force of the aforesaid conjunctions, the verb is put after every word belonging to the same member of the sentence, and sometimes even after a subordinate member, cunnected with it., For example: Da idd ben Shann, welther fo ebel gebacht, ebre und licbe, since I honour and love the man, who has thought so nobly. In this, the primeipal member is, Da idt ben Mann ehre uno liebe, with which is connected a subordinate, or secondary, member, welther fo coel gedacht, and the verb of the former is placed after this. It need not necessarily be so: but the sentence might also ran thus: (Da idf ben Mrann ebre und liebe, melder to edea gebad). It depends upon sound, and perspicuity, to prefer eilher the one, or the other. This likewise applies to the infinitive, with the preposition $\mathrm{z}_{4}$. The verb, influenced by the above conjunctions, may be put after the infinitive: as, $W_{\text {Seil }}$ id midy nidt) $\mathfrak{z u}$ zanfen mimide, because I do not like to quarrel-or before it: Weilid) niddt winfthe mide $z^{\prime}$ zonfen. When the infuitive, with $\mathfrak{z u}$, has other words belonging to it, for instance, cases of declension, which it governs, it is preferable, on account of the extent, which it then assumes, to place it in a distinct comma, after the verb; lest the sentence should become heavy, and intricate.* Being without the preposition $\mathfrak{z u}$, it is immediately governed by the verb, as mach as a

[^102]case of declension ; and the verb must, under those circumstances, stand after it : as, Wbenn er bas Buct lejen will, if he will read that book; where it would be wrong to let the infinitive follow after the verb, as, wemn er mill bas ßuth lejen.

The conjuictions, baÉ, and wenn, are occasionally understond, withnut being expressed.* Being thus absent from the sentence, they forfeit their power of moving the verb to the end.

Rule II. The Subjunctive Mood, is, in the arrangement of words, under the same regulations, as the indicative. When it denotes a wish, or curprise, it often begins the sentence: as, ઉjebe e5 ber Simmel, may heaven grant it : modthe bie Sonne boib ficheinen, 0 , that the sun would shine! Seaitte idha boch nid)t geglaubt, I should not have thought it ! Always, when the couldional conjunction, wenn, if, is omitted: Wåre idh bier gewefen, had I been bere; for, wenn id bier gerwefen mare, if I had beeni liere.

Rule III. The Imperative precedes the personal pronouns, that serve to it as subjects. Lobe du, praise thou; lobe er, let him praise; loben fie, let them praise.

Rule IV. The Infinitive comes after the object, and the other words of a sentence, except the indicative, and subjunctive, when these; for reasons assigned before, are put last. Examples; ©r wuimidht die Rateinifthe ©pra= dhe grínolich fu lernen- -he wishes to learn the Latin language accurately; Diefer Mamn fann Ennglifd, fran= zofifid, Deutich, uno verichiebene anbere Sprachen von Europa reden-this man can speak English, French, German, and several other tongues of Europe. $\mathfrak{e e r}=$ nen and reben, are infinitives.
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This rule affects the future tenses, because they are composed of an infinitive, and the third auxiliary. That infinitive, vamely, is placed in the same manuer, as in the examples above stated, that is to say, after the object, and other words: as, Jit werde morgen bie (begeno be-sehen-I shall to-morrow take a view of the country. Befchen is the infinitive, belonging to the auxiliary werbe, with which it constitutes the luture tense; but this connection does not alter its position, in the sell-tence.-When the future, in the indicative, or subjuictive mood, is to go to the end, the infinitive, which composes it, must be put before the auxiliary: as, Man glaubt, baÉs ber תaifer mit ben franzoien frieben madhen werbe, it is thought that the Emperour will make peace with the French. Here the infinitive, madhen, is before the auxiliary werbe. When the future tense of the passive voice is in that situation, the auxiliary verb, in the indicative, or subjunctive, is often put before the infinitive, which is done to prevent, by means of the intervening participle, the close reiteration of werben: for example, Sid) envarte, daj́ verichiebene Sachen nach unferm Saufe werben gebrad)t werben, I expect, that several things will be bronght to our house. Another expedient I have seen used by modern writers,* that of subatituting the intinitive of the second auxiliary, fern, for werben. But this is taking an improper liberty with the langinage, by forcing upon it a foreign idiom: for the auxiliary, belonging to the passive voice, in German, is not fenn, butwerben.

[^104]Sometimes, two infinitives stand together, of which one governs the other; and the governing one should be put after that, which is governed: as, (Er mollte fie nidit herein fommen laffer, he would not let them come in. Here, laffer, the governing infinitive is preceded by the other, $\mathfrak{H}$ erein fommen, which is goverued. But this rule is not always observed, as the following example proves:
 has been obliged more than'ten' times to hear himwhich should be, hóren múflen.

The infinitive is found, now and then, at the very beginning of a sentence, and in that position bears a strong emphasis: as, תommen mill idy zwar, come, indeed, I will ; aber fdreiben Darf id nidht, but write I must not.

The infinitive may be employed, in a substantive capacity, $\dagger$ as the subject, or object: and is, then, placed according to these qualifications.

Rule $V$. The verbs compound separable must here be noticed. The particle is separated from the verb (when this is not at the end), and placed after the object, and and other words, $\ddagger$ including even a relative, or intermediate, member of the sentence. Jid nebme Shr (Ge= ichenf mit Danfbarfeit an, I receive your present with gratitude; verb annebmen, to receive-the particle $\mathfrak{a n}$, at the end. Sie famen in 2 Onjefung Dieier Sadhe bald uiberein, they soon agreed with respect to this matter; verb übereinfommen. Nefmen Sic oas æuth mit, take the book with you; verb mitnehmer. When the verb,
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in consequence of a pronoun, or a conjunction, is brought to the end of the sentence, the particle is not divided from it: as, (1a id) Shr (Sejdent mit DanEbar= feit annehme, as I receive your present with gratitude. The verb annehme stands last, on account of the conjunction $\mathfrak{D a}$, and remains, for this reason, united with the particle.-The infinitive mood, and preterite participle have their places after the object, and at, or near, the end of the sentence; therefore, the particle is not separated, except by $\mathfrak{z u}$, in the infinitive, and by $g e$, in the preterite participle: as, mitzunehmen, mitgenommen. $\mathfrak{3 u}$, however, does not always accompany the infinitive. -From what has been said, it appears, that the separation principally occurs in the indicative, subjunctive, and imperative moods.

## SECTION V.

## POSITION OF THE PARTICIPLE.

Rule I. When the participle (either present, or preterite) is used as an adjective, it has the privileges of the same, in the position of words.

Rule II. The present participle is always preceded by the case it governs: as, Dic alles belebenbe Somne, the sun animating every thing; Die fleif() frefienoen æhicre, the animals that live upon flesh.*

Rule III. The preterite participle, combined with the auxiliary verbs, has its station after the object, aud other words; and is, therefore, generally found towards the

[^106]end of the sentence. Er ift in Ronbon gewefen, he has been in London-ift gerwefen, the participle gewefen at the end. Jdh babe beute bas §uch gelejen, I have read the book to-day-babe gelefen. (Er miro mit $\Re$ echt ein grober $\mathfrak{M a n n}$ genannt, he is justly called a great man. If the auxiliary, by the power of some pronoun, or conjunction, be removed to the end, the preterite participle stands before it: as, Dir æhbaten, melder von bem Dich = ter gefbildert werben, the deeds that are depicted by the poet. The auxiliary, werben, at the end, because of the pronoun welche; the participle, geiduilbert, before it. Sch weín e5, weil id ben Mann felbit geefen babe; I know ir, because I have seen the man myself. Weil, conjunction, brings the verb Gabe to the end, and the participle, gefehen, precerles it.-Should it so happen, that an infiuitive also is in the sentence, then the arrangement may eilher be thus, participle, infinitive, indicative (or subjunctive), nadibem idy bả ঞuch getejen baben merbe, after I shall have read the book; or the verb definite, that is to say, the indicative, or subjunctive, may be put before the participle, and infinitive, itady)= Dem idh Das Buch merbe gelefen baben. I think, it may be left to the judgment of every individual, which of these two ways should, upon different occasions, 位都 the preference.

## SECTION VI.

## POSITION OF THE ADVERB.

Rule I. An adverb, joined to an adjective, must always remain before it: as, ©ehr gut, very good; nidht fallecht, not bad.
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Rule II. When the adverb belongs to the verb, it is put after the latter, and, in general, also after the object. Er bebandelt Den (Segenftano vortreffich, he treats the subject excellently; vortrefflich is the adverb.

The verb being at the end of the sentence, the adverb, as well as the other words, must naturally stand before it. Nor can the adverb, with propriety be placed after the infinitive, or preterite participle. Moreover, unless the verb be at the end, the adverb cannot be suffered between the subject, and the verb.

Rule III. The adverb, being moved from its place, towards the beginning of the sentence, generally receives an emphasis: as, Эֹd babe heute Daふ ßuch gelefen, I bave to-day read the book. This makes the notion of heute, to-day, more perceptible than, Sch babe Das $\Re$ Budd beute gelejen. Adverbs that relate to time, such as, frequently, often, to day, yesterday, and the like, are, for this reason, not seldom to be seen before the object. But to render the emphasis so evident, that it cannot be mistaken, the adverb should be put quite at the beginning. Seente babe id) Den ronig gefehen, to-day I have seen the king. In this observation are not included the interrogative adverbs, such as mie, how; wem, when; warum, why; weswegen, what for; wo, where: which, as they can be placed no where but in the beginning, derive no particular distinction from that collocation.

There are adverbs, that in no position seem to be susceptible of an emphasis, viz. those which express chance, probability, and similar vague, and undefined, ideas-vidlcidht, perhaps; vermuthlid), probably ; wably $=$ fdeeinlid), very likely, and others. As no difference arises in the purport of the sentence, from their situation, they may stand any where, even between the subject nomina-
tive and the verb, where no other adverb can be placed. Diefer Mam vielleidt nirb es wiffen, this man perhaps will know- it ; fein Bruber vermuthlidy bat ihm daz̉ ge= fodrieben, his brother probably has written that to him. If they have any effect, when thus transposed, it may be, that they rather give force to the subject.

The negative, nicht, not, is commonly stationed after the object: as, Der Mann thut feine sjflidet nidht, the man does not do lis duty. Here the action of the verb is accompanied by the negative. If it is to be particularly referred to the subject, or object, or any other word, it must be put before such word. Siddt $\mathfrak{R e i d h}=$ thum, fondern Sufriedenbeit madyt bie Menfden glute= lidd, not riches, but contentment makes men happy. In this instance, the negative is applied to the subject, and stands before ít. $\mathfrak{Q a f f} \mathfrak{u m s ~ n i d h t ~ D e n ~ \mathfrak { S e r u f t ~ b e z ~ S c h i f f e s , ~ }}$ fonbern ben $\mathfrak{I o d}$ fo vieter trefflicher Geeteute beflagen, do not let us lament the loss of the ship, but the death of so many fine seamen. The negative before the object.

## SECTION VII.

## position of the preposition.

Rule-I. The preposition always continues with its case, and is, in general, prefixed to it.

Scalben, Galber, entgegen, zumiber, are constantly put after their cases. (Durdh, nadh), gegenutber, ungead)tet, wegen, zu, zuforge, zumider, sometimes before, and sometimes after. See the prepositions in the First Part.

Rule II. The preposition, together with its case, may be looked upon, in the position of words, as an adverb;

R R

438 [457] Arrangement of Words. Part. II. Chap. 3. accordingly, its place will be after the object. Sch labe einen $\mathfrak{B r i e f}$ aus Deutichland erbalten, I have received a letter from Germany. In Luther's translation of the Bible, and in other old writings, the preposition, with its case, is frequently, if not commonly, found after the verb, at the end of the sentence, as in this example, Sid babe einen Sbrief erbalten aus Deutidland. This practice, which had justly been corrected, by giving in the preposition the same rank with the adverb, upon grammatical principles, has of late been revived; and adopted by those, who were not aware of the ground, on which it had been altered.

Rule 111. From thence it may be transferred, before the object, for the purpose of emphasis: Эid) babe aus Deutioland cinen Brief erbayten-here the words, aus Deutichland, acquire energy from their position. But the stress is most forcible, when the preposition is placed in front of the sentence: $\mathfrak{X u}$ e Deutiduland babe idy einen Brief erbalten, from Germany I have received a letter.

Rule $I V$. It cannot be inserted between the subject and the verb, unless it solely belongs to the former: as, Der Mamn mit bem blauen Mode bat es gethan, the man with the blue coat (that is, wearing a blue coat) has done it. Jener mit bem Degen bat den Mord begangen, that one with the sword, (that is, he who has the sword), has committed the murder. It is not said, that the first has done it with the blue coat, or that the second has committed the murder with the sword: this would be a false construction. But, from the collocation of the words, it is to be understood, that the one, who wears a blue coat, is charged with a certain deed, and the other, who has a sword, has committed a murder. Therefore, if the preposition, with its case, is not exclusively referred
to the subject, it cannot be put, where we see it, in the examples adduced.

Rule $V$. If both adverb, and preposition meet in the same member of a sentence, the adverb should come before the preposition, especially, when the former consists only of one, or two syllables: for example, Er fidreibt gut mit biefer Feber, he writes well with this pen; mir geken keute auf Die Sagb, we go a lunting to-day; fie reijeten eilig ourch ${ }^{\text {Lonbon, }}$, they passed hastily through London; ex wirt morgen fu mir fommen, he will come to me to-morrow. The adverbs gut, beute, eilig, mor= gen, here stand before the prepositions.

## SECTION VIII.

## POSITION OF THE CONJUNCTION.

Rule 1. The conjunction is, in general, placed at the beginning, and before the subject.

Rule II. Some conjunctions force the verb to the end of the sentence, as has been stated in the fourth section.* They are: 2 2 İ, bewor, bis, Da, Dafern, Damit, aufoaß, ehe, fauts, gleichwie, indem, in Fralle, ie, nadbom, nun, ob, objcton, obgleid, obwobl, feit, feitoem, fin= temal, fo, fo balo, fo balo alz, fo lange, fo lange alz, po weit, fo weit alsz, weil, wenn, wenn gleid), wenn fohon, wie, wiefern, in wiefern, wiemokl, wofern, wo $=$ nidht.

Rule III. Others, when at the beginning, make the subject go behind the verb. 'riey are: Da, then;

$$
\text { See p. } 449
$$
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Daber, therefore; Dann, Darauf; Darum, for that reason; bemnadi, bennodh, jedoch, jebennody, ferner, folg= lid, kingegen, ingleidhen, faum, mithin, noch, io, ưberoiés, ubrigens. Da fam ber Mann, then came the man; mithin irrt fidis bein ßruber, consequently your brother mistakes. Excepting Da, and mithin, all the rest may likewise be put after the verb: as, Der Mann glaubt Daber, the man thinks therefore; idy zweifle je= Dod, I, however, doubt. Ferner, folglich, bingegen, ingleichen, ưberbieß̧, uibrigeņ̉, may even stand between the subject and the verb: Der Serfafier ferner behauptet, the author, moreover, maintains.-2 2 (fo, Docth, ent= weder, weber, zwar, are to be added to the foregoing; for, when they begin a sentence, they may, like these, bring the subject after the verb, though it is not necessary.* It is right to say, 2aflo ber $\mathfrak{B r u b e r}$ bat gefdrie= ben, and, alfo hat ber Brnder gefdrieben. Likewise thus: Der ßruber hat aljo gefdricben, and ber §ruber alfo bat gefdrieben. By this transposition, the stress of the sentence may be varied, which is no small advantage in composition.-The word namlidy, namely, which should be considered as a conjunction, may be included among those last mentioned; but, when at the beginning of a sentence, it never has the power of removing the subject after the verb.

Rule IV. The conjunctions, 2(ber, but, and 2(uth), also, may stand any where in the sentence.t At the beginining, 2(ber mein $\mathfrak{B r u b e r}$ weigert fidd), but my brother declines it. After another conjunction, $\mathfrak{D a}$ aber meint-Bruber fidh weigert. After an adverb, शun aber befieblt es der תomig, but now the King commands it ; or after a preposition with its case, Mit diefen leuten

[^107] can do nothing. And it makes no alteration in the influence of other words, upon the constitution of the sentence. Those, for instance, which move the subject after the verb, retain the same qualification, though aber be inserted after them; as appears from the preceding examples. It may farther be placed after the subject, Die Franzofen aber baben Den Englånoern Den frieg erflart. After the object, Die Franzofer baben Den Englånoern Den Rrieg aber erflart. When not in the beginning, it commonly gives energy to the word that precedes it. The personal pronouns are, generally, put before it, when the verb has quitted its original place: as, $\mathfrak{D a} \mathfrak{e r}$ aber nicht fommt, but since he does not come ; Das můnidhen wir aber nidyt, but this we do not wish; Das (Selt verlange ith aber, but the money I demand.What has been remarked of aber, may, almost entirely, be applied to aud); though perhaps, if we speak cautiously, we should say, that audh has nearly, but not quite the same licence of position. It is, moreover, possessed of the power, when placed at the beginning of a sentence, of bringing the subject after the verb, which power aber has not.

## SECTION IX.

POSITION OF THE INTERJECTION.
The position of the interjection is arbitrary; it may be placed wherever it presents itself, according to the feeling, and impulse, of tie speaker, or writer.*

[^108]
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## OMISSIONS.

P. 144. 1. 9, the word, Das fileinob, a small thing, a trinket, jewel, should have been mentioned. The regular plural of it, is תleinobe; but sometimes it is made fileinobien, which must be presumed to come from a different singular, such as bie Ileinobie, (of the first declension), now out of use, or to have sprung from the Latin Clenodium, of the middle ages.
P. 169. It ought to have been remarked concerning the numerals, which are said to govern the adjective in the fourth form, that this, in reality, only applies to Ein, eine, ein, throughout the singular, and to the oblique cases of Swey, and Drey, in the plural, as they are exhibited in that page. The cardinal numbers, in general, seem to have no effect upon the adjective, but to leave it, before the substantive, in the second form : for example, ©rey farle Måmer, three strong men; vier
 den, six young girls.
P. 383. In this place, where the use of the Tenses is spoken of, it would have been proper to notice an inaccuracy, which not unfrequently occurs, even in respectable writers. The tenses, that bear a reference to one another, should correspond, as they do in Latin: but this is not always attended to. In this instance, Man glaubte, Dã es wabr feg, they thought it was true-they do not correspond, and this is a fault: for glaubte is the preterimperfect, and fey the present. They should both

## Onissions.

be imperfects, as they are in English, thought-was, thus, Man glaubte, סa $\mathfrak{c}$ es mahr waire. Eer bebauptet, id wáre ba gewefen, he maintains that I lave been there. This is again wrong, behauptet being the present tense, and waire the preterimperfect. (I consider, here, wàre by itself, not as it forms the compound tense, mare geme= fen), which should be changed into fer, in order to make it correspond with the foregoing present-(Er behauptet, id feg da gerefen. I read, at this moment, in a German publication, the following passage: 2 Bir bitligten es aber fogleid Damals nidht, Dás Seerr $\mathfrak{F}$. mehrere fremoartige Unterfudhungen eingeichoben babr, but we did even at that time not approve of it, that Mr. V. had inserted several heterogeneous inquiries. Billigten, preterimperfect, and babe, present tense, do not agree: the latter should be hatte. Another example: Er fagt, Dadurch Gabe er die ©selegenbeit verloren, es wáre aber nadber ci= nem andern gelungen, lie says that he, by that means, has lost the opportunity, but that another has afterwards succeeded-babe and ware are at variance; the latter should be fey.


## Errours of the Press.

P. 173, at the top, read Declension of Adjectives, for, of Substantives.
P. 203. read thus the number of the page, instead of 03 .
P. 333, at the top of the page, read 333 for 233.
P. 385. 1. 1. Daß̃, for da̧.
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[^0]:    - It borders upon Bohemia, where the mass of the population is Slavonick, and where, to this day, a pure Slavonick dialect is spoken. In my journey through Bohemia, in the spring 1815, I was much struck with that language, the sounds of which are so soft and harmonious, that I do not hesitate to say, they rival the Italian.

[^1]:    * These are the circles of Westphalia and Lower Saxony; and the northern provinces of the Upper Saxon circle, viz. Pomerania, and Brandenburgh. The Low German is also spoken in Prussia, and traces of it are fuund in a part of Transylvania, which was peopled by a colony from the north. of Germany, in the thirteenth century, See Büsching's Geography.
    $\dagger$ This belongs to Franconia, Austria, Bavaria, Suabia, the southern part of the Upper Saxon circle, Silesia, and some of the Rhenish countries.
    $\ddagger$ Hoch Deutsch. signifying High German, is pronounced Hoch Doitch. This is sometimes erroneously rendered, into English, by High Dutch, a mistake which must have arisen from the similarity of the words Deutsch and Duteh; thomigh they are essentially different in meaning; the former denoting German, and the latter Hollandish.

[^2]:    * In this declaration I am supported by the eminent authority of Mr. Adelung, whom I have quoted, at length, in the first edition, page 12. See his system of the Gernian Language ( £ebrgebdube der deutitien Sprade) Vol. I. p. 84, 35 , and 89 . To which may be added his statements, in his Introduction to German Orthography, section 1, chap. 9 , from page 45 to 62 .

[^3]:    - See Shakspear's IIenry V.
    + See Roderick Random, and Pcregrine Pickle.

[^4]:    * Of this description is, $m$ substituted for $w$, as mir wollen, for wir wollen.

[^5]:    * I will here again quote a passage, cited in the two former editions, from Mrs. Piozzi's ()bservations and Reflections in the course of a Journey through France, Italy, and Germany, Vol. II, p. 187. That lady distinguishes Hanover (in Lnwer Saxony) as the syot where the best German is spoken; and l am not disposed to question this opinion, since my last visit to that country (in the years 1814 and 1815). Even I, says Mrs. Piozzi, can perceive the language harsher, the farther one is removed from Hanover on either side: for Hanover, as Madame de Bianconi told me, at 1)resden, is the Florence of Germany, and the tongue, spoken at that town, is supposed, and justly, the critcrion of perfect Teulsch (i. e. German). The High German, spoken at Göttingen (which is the second town of the kingdom of Hanover, and a university) appeared to me, when I was last therc, even more pure and harmonious than what is heard, in the capital itself. Indeed, I am inclined to think, that, in regard to pronunciation and sound, no better German is to be met with any where, than at Göttingen, among the natives of erlucation.
    + Being born in Lower Saxony (at Göttingen, in the kingdom of Hanover) I could not help being flattered by the manner, in which I sometimes lieard the Lower Saxon pronunciation commended, nay, I may say admired, evem at Leipzig and Dresden. A lady at Dresden, to whom I had the honour of being introduced, was so prepossed in its favour, 'that she had taken pains to correct her native pronunciation, and to imitate that of Lower Saxony. As she was a person of excellent understanding, and judgment, of at highly cultivated mind, and accomplished talents, her example is entitled to great respect.

[^6]:    * It may be thought that, in laying down this rule, I am proceeding in a circle : for I have elsewhere said, that you should speak as yoll write, and here it seems to be recommended, that you should write as you speak. Orthography and pronínciation must reciprocally assist each other; and it will happen that, in the case of a difference, the one may be ascertained, when the other appears doubtful; or, it is sometimes more easy to fix the one, that the other. That which is first established, will lend its assistance to the other. In this manner, I think, there remains no fallacy. The rule itself is sanctioned by the authority of Quintilian, who says, Inst. Or. I. 7. Ego (nisi quod consuetudo obtinuerit) sic scribendum guicque judico, quomodo sonat. Hic ensm ususest literarum, ut custodiant roces, et velut depositum reddunt legentibus: itaque idexprimere debent quod dicturi sumus.

[^7]:    - Sce Chap. III. Sect. 1. pagiz 200

[^8]:    - Sce Part II. Chap. I. Sect. 2. p. 388.

[^9]:    * Sometimes, however, they are not to be avoided, for example, when the metre comes into question, as in this passage of §erber's. Serftriute Fifitter, Vol. I. p. 21. (Gotha 1791):

    Tráget dag Sctictial dich, fo trage du mieder dage chitfal, Foig ibm wiflig und frob; wifft ou nidtt forgen, ou muft. In the second line folg, should have the apostrophe, folg', as it is put for forge, and the $g$ must retain the pronunciation it has in folge, which it would not do, if it were merely considered as a final $g$, See p. 50.

[^10]:    - Inst. Or. I, 11. Ut expressa sint verba, ut suis quague litera sonis enuntientur.

[^11]:    * See Adelung's System (Lehrgebäude der Deutschen Sprache) Vol. 1, p. 262.
    $\dagger$ The Lower Saxons pronounce several of the words, mentioned in this exception, with the acute sound, 2s, erft, Ers, Gdmer.

[^12]:    - It is probable, the Romans pronounced it in the same manner. Eor they confounded it with the vowel $u$, which seems to have had the sound of the French $u$. This we see in the word Sylla, which was also spelt Sulla; and in lacryma, which was likewise writen lacrume, from the Greek סáx ${ }^{2}$ va. Thus Suria, for Syria in some editions of Tacitus, AnIII, 77, 78, 79, 81, 82, 83. Cliupeus, for clypeus, or in an abbreviated state (upon some ancient coins) Clu for Cly. See a note by Gruter to Tacit. Ann. II, 83. ed. Gronov. Amstel ; 1685. It seems to be that sound, which Quinti$\operatorname{lian}($ Inst. Or, I, 4) describes as being between $u$ and $i$. Medius est quidam $U$ et I litera somus.
    $\dagger$ Mr. Adelung, the chief of the German grammarians, $\prec$ does not seem to have made up his mind upon this subject. In his Orthography, p. 26, he decidedly asserts that $y$ should, in sound, be exactly the same as $i$; this he contradicts $p$. 245 , hy saying, that in words taken from the Latin, and derived from the Greek, it ought to he pronounced almost like $\ddot{\text { ü. }}$

[^13]:    * Grammar of the English Tongue.
    $\dagger$ The Dutch retain the double $i, i j$, in their orthography: the Danes likewise in some words, as in the pronoun hijn.

[^14]:    *For example, the $a u$, $a w, o u$, of the English; the $u u_{2}$ ert, of the Italians; and ors of the Portuguese.

[^15]:    * Provided the word is not a compound. For in these, each component has its separate pronunciation: as, die jund. arbeit, the work of the hand, l. hant-arbeit; die Muncult, the dialect, 1. munt-art. Sce note to letter B.

[^16]:    * Adelung (Orthogr. p. 164) thence infers the rule, that it is always soft before the derivative syllable ling, though he admits, that in der $\mathfrak{M B}$ irbling, a wild unruly person, it is often pronounced hard, like wiltling.

[^17]:    - Adclung (Orthogr. p. 166) calls it a fault.
    $\dagger$ P. 7 and 10 .
    $\ddagger$ Sec below, p. 6 .

[^18]:    - I would, however, not venture to speak so positively as the writer in the Monthly Review (for May, 1808), who says, that the former is decidedly a faulty pronunciation. For it is impossible to disregard the prevailing usage of the country.

[^19]:    * Though, in some places, they speak this very word ang-cnehn.

[^20]:    * Hence it is justly considered as the flat $f$, and as beariag the same relation to this letter, as $b$ to $p, d$ to $t$, hard $\approx$ to $\%$, and $\approx$ to s .

[^21]:    * The Monthly Review (May 1808) objects to this observation; but it is founded upon the practice of the natiyes.

[^22]:    *The critick in the Monthly Review (for May, 1808) magisterially asks, "woud he really have us pronounce Genarle?" No, I would not; nor would the Reviewer have thought so, if he had read the above with attention. I suggested the insertion of a slight $e$ to those who are awkward in pronouncing those letters, as a means of facilitating the operation : and as such, I have found, from long experience, it is of essential advantage to beginners.

[^23]:    * Mr. Adelung, in his system of the German Language, his Grammar, and his. Treatise on Orthography.

[^24]:    * Mr. Morizarranges the parts of speech, according to

[^25]:    * Sce his System of the German Language ( (febryeffude ber Seutithen Spraste); his work on the German style (iiber ben Seutidhen Stil) ; and his Introduction to German Orthography (2nmeifunis зur ®eutiften Ditbograpbie). In the last work p. $13 \mathbb{\&}$ 14.-So says Quintilian, Inst. Or. I, 6. Non enim cùm primum fingerentur homines, analogiu demissa calo formam loquendi dedit; sed inventa est postquam loquebaniar, et notatum in sermone, quid quomodo caderet. Itaque non ratione nititur, sed exemplo: nec lex cst loquendi, sed observatio; ut ipsum analogiam nulla res alia efécerit, quàm consueludo.

[^26]:    * Prosody, p. 218.

[^27]:    * What I term the full accent, corresponds with Quintilian's Syllaba acuta. See Inst. Or. I, 5.

[^28]:    * P. 309, II.

[^29]:    * This word is not composed of der Mille, the will; but of an old adverb nill, which Adelung (in his Dictionary), says, signifies pleasantly, agreeably, and still exists in some of the lower German provinces. This accounts for the accent : for had it been from oer Mial, the syHable mill, as being derived from a noun, must have borne the demis accent, as it does in willfábrig, complying ; widflibrliot, arbitrary. Whether will may not have heen originally the same as the English well, in welcone, I will not decide: but the same particle, zeell, composes that word in the sister languages of the German, viz. in the Dutch, welkom, Swedish oülkommen, Danish velkommen.
    $\dagger$ The sign of the demi-accent is this (). If that accent is on the first, in words of three syllables, that syllable should be uttered, as if it were unconnected with the two that follow: as, frob)lo'itin, grofiomiitfig: ansfo'mment, allfs flaren, un:gi"tig, unzad'blig. The two last syllables are then pronounced by Rule II. It is not practicable to do justice to the demi-accent, before the full accent, in any other manner. Words beginning with un are thus spoken. It should, therefore, be unida'nfbar, as it is unfreu'nds lict, not u'ndantbar, ( $\left.{ }^{-\cdots}\right)_{2}$ as it is sometimes pronounced.

[^30]:    * In a word of four syllables, so compounded that, if reduced to three syllables, the first and third would have the demi-accent, and the middle one the full accent, the section should be in the middle, so as to make each half of two syllables, the first of which two syliables is to be accented. Of this kind is u'nda'nfsba'rer, u'nda'nf:ba're, u'nda'nf, ba'reg, in which the division, for the reason assigned, is nat made at the point of composition, namely after un, but in the middle, as if the word were no compound. Thus $\mathfrak{u}^{\prime} \mathfrak{n}=$
     compounds, beginning with dissyllabick prepositions, such as, iffer, unter, wider, the division cannot be made use of, hecause those prepositions are accentless; for example, úberre'den, unterere'ten, widerffereen.

[^31]:    * I think it proper to quote to this word Mr. Adelung's authority, (Orthography, p. 76,) who joins it with the rest, mentioned under this exception, though it is not, in every province, pronounced with the slender sound of the vowel.

[^32]:    * It would be exceedingly faulty to accentuate any word, in a manner different from the universal custom: to read, for instance, metaphor, metáphor; philosopher, philosópher: diametrically, diametrically; again, ágain; below, bélow; liberty, libérty; library, libráry; minstrelsy, minstrélsy.

[^33]:    * It is not difficult to meet with examples. The prefix ge, in the preterite participles, is accentless, and entirely short; but you will see it with a long quantity in Voss's Translation of Homer's Odyssee, 12 th Book, v. 124, ally $\bar{e}^{3}$ firengt: 160 , gēlangte.

[^34]:    * Concerning the accent, the 4th section of this chapter must be referred to. Here, p. 74, 77, 30.
    $\dagger$ See Sect. IV, p. 81:
    $\ddagger$ See Sect. IV, p. 32.

[^35]:    * The English language revolts at these metres. In German, some of the most excellent poems have heen composed in them. Klopstock's Messiah, Stolberg's and Voss's Translations of Homer, are written in hexameter: Herder and others have successfully made use of the pentameter.
    + See, for instance, the odes of Klopstock.
    $\ddagger$ The illustrious Klopstock has celebrated this peculiarity of the German language, in his Ode to Sponda, a nymph, whose absence, or concealment, the poct laments.

[^36]:    * Mr. Moriz. The title of the work, in which it is developed, is Berfuct einer Seuticten Wrojosic, von $\mathfrak{K}$ arl $2 l s$, ris. Berlin, 1786 ; that is, An Allempt at a German Pro- $^{2}$ sody, by Charles Moriz.

[^37]:    * Sce Moriz, p. 180, 187.

[^38]:    * See additional remarks on the gender.

[^39]:    ＊The relative pronoun，as well as any other，must cor－ respond with such names，in the neuter gender，for exam－ ple：Benedig，welthers an ber See liegt，Venice which is situated on the sea coast ；meldifi，is the neuter of the rela－ tive pronoun．

[^40]:    * Thus, in Greek, diminutives are often of the neuter gender, as rò rasfior, and the name Glycerium.

[^41]:    * I have to observe, that some writers have preferred to express it by in, without doubling the letter $n$. Wieland, and other respectable names, are among the number. But inn has the most ancient usage in its favour, and is likewise supported by recent authorities of distinction. Lessing, Nicolai, Adelung, (see the Orthography of the latter, p. 72) and others protect it. It may be noticed, that this termi-. nation affects the vowel in the masculine, if it be a word of one syllable, by changing it, for the feminine, into its corresponding diphthong, Wolf, Wiffinn, Sund, Siindint, Rilth, Rithinn.

[^42]:    * Wicland assigns to it the masculine gender. See his Mgatbon, 16 §. 3 cap. "dis-das Semúth nur in einen Latyrintb van 3 weifeln fubrten." He probably, and I think, unobjectionably, makes ©hor also a masculine.

[^43]:    - Adelung makes this word a feminine, which I think wrong. See his Dictionaries, and the Vocabulary added to the Orthography.
    $\dagger$ In sume parts they call it $\mathscr{M}$ andel, which mode of wrio ting, and speaking, is injudiciously preferred by Adelung.

[^44]:    - It is remarkable, that in all languages, which vary the terminations, in declension, the accusative case of the neuters is like the nominative.-Sa5 jerz is sometimes expressed by Serge, not only in provincial idiom, but also in writing, for instance, in poetry. The prevailing usage, to which we are compelled to submit, rejects the final e.

[^45]:    * One of these words, oer Sctaben, sometimes bears another mark of the second declension, namely, that it is, in the plural, expressed by Sctaden. Thus it is used by Wieland, Agathon, Vol. II, p. 259, Leipzig, 1794, 8vo. That change, of $a$ intu $\mathfrak{d}$, belongs decidedly to the second declension, and not to the third.

[^46]:    - See p. 370.
    $\dagger$ This is, in some provinces, turned into en, as bie 5 cy : nen, Mrs, or Miss, Heyne.

[^47]:    - Exercises, p. 16, note.
    + See p. 133. Obs. 5.

[^48]:    * For this practice Wieland, and other good authorities, may be quoted. The irregularity would be done away by understanding a personal pronoun, before the vocative: as, Jorlieten feute.
    $\dagger$ Wieland forms all the cases of the plural in en, after alle.

[^49]:    * This is a quotation from Herder.

[^50]:    * As most adjectives of the comparative degree, in the sccond furm, terminate in erer, ere, eres, it was erruneonsly imagined, that mefre ought to do the same. It was forgottell, that this worl (probably contracted from mefori) was already a comparative, and therefure only entuled to the additional endings er, $c$, es.

[^51]:    - Something analogous to this exists in the Greek language.

[^52]:    * See Additional Remarks on the Adjective IV. p. 175. Also p. 374.

[^53]:    * See a charming passage in Schiller's Don Carlos; at the end of the first act-I mean, in the original; for the translations give but a faint, and imperfect idea. It begins thus :

    Und jést nodjeine 马itte, £feber-nenne
    2xict Su-u. F.w.
    And now one more request, my dearest friend-Do call me thou, \&rc.

    + I found, in my last visit to Germany, since writing the above, that this practice of speaking, between children and parents, had very much gained ground, and was, in some parts, almost becoming general. I am among those, who do not approve it. For, though love, affection, and confidence should exist between children and parents, yet this particular relation seems to me to require a mixture of veneration and respect, on the part of the children, (according to the precept, Honour thy father and thy mother), and not to be improved by familiarity.
    $\pm$ There is a particular verb, which expresses the use of the second personal, viz. סußell, answering to the French tutoyer; in Englisb, to thou, according to Shakspear.

[^54]:    * The Danish language uses these pronouns nearly in the same manner. See Tobiesen's Æeue Sanifthe Spradt, febre, p. 34.

[^55]:    - After the first personal, of the plural, wir, it would be objectionable to use melefe, on account of the alliteration. It is proferable to say, wir, oie wir.

[^56]:    * In such a conneetion, the preceding personal is sometimes spared: as, die ibr ein fied der fiebe wagt, ye thas venture on a song of love, for ibr, Die ibr, \&re,-Herder ( Zers fersute $\mathfrak{B l a ́ t t e r , ~ V o l . 3 . ~ p . ~ 3 1 . ) ~}$

[^57]:    * In the Scotch idiom, the word to can is still found, for cx.: "What we first learn, we best can," i. e. what we first learn, we know best. See Ramsay's Scots Proverbs, Ch. XI. "Other prayer can I none," i.e. I know no other prayer. Walter Scott's Lay of the Last Minstrel, Canto II. St. 6.

[^58]:     ․․

[^59]:    § The transitive verb, to hang, to suspend, is bangen, for which bangen is sometimes mistaken. $\dagger$ See Adelung's Orthogr. p. 287.
    § The transitive verb, to hang, to suspend, is bingen, for which bangen is sometimes mistaken.
    $\ddagger$ It is the French connoitre, which is applied to the knowledge that we have of persons, or the exteriour
    

[^60]:    Berfiblingen, to devour.

[^61]:    *Thus in English, to befriend, to beguile, to behead, to bederv, from friend, guile, head, dew.

[^62]:    * Even in the verb, veriprecben, to promise, it is thus to be explained. Sprecben, to speak, combined with ver, literally signifies to speak awou, i.e. to part with, by your speech; to give up your' future independence of acting, respecting any particular thing, by a declaration made to that purpose.
    $\dagger$ This is answered by the adverb azoay, in the English language; as in these examples, to trifle azcay one's time, to drink awoay one's senses.

[^63]:    - Sce p. 418.
    + See p. 111. 5. and p. 420.

[^64]:    * Something similar, though not exactly the same, is to be found in other Teutonick languages, for example in the Swedish, komma tRgandes, to approach slowly, or solemnly. See Möller's Swedish Dictionary, word t8ga. In German, they are chiefly participles from verbs of motion, which are thus combined with fommen; but the last example, above adduced, er fiommt gelactit, which is taken from Lessing's comedy, the Jcw; (oie Juben) Scene 19th, shows that this construction may also be applied to other verbs.

[^65]:    * Quintil. Inst. Or. III. 11. Affectata subtilitas, circa nomina rerum ambitiosè laborans.

[^66]:    * See p. 153.
    $\dagger$ The genitive expresses, sometimes, state, or condition: as, der Meinung fenn, to be of opinion; Borbabens fenn, to be in the intention; Unmuthes feyn, to be in a state of displeasure, or in a state of dejection, to be displeased, to be dejected. See p. 396.

[^67]:    * P. 159. III.
    $\dagger$ See Lindley Murray's Grammar, p. 85.
    $\ddagger$ The adverbializing $s$, as it is called by an anonymous writer, in the Monthly Magazine for February 1802, p. 307, exists in the English language: for example, in the words, forwards, backwards, onwards, upwards, downwards, homewards, besides, straightways, perhaps, thus; to which may be added, as that writer observes, once, twice, thrice, hence, thence,

[^68]:    * Something of this kind has bcen attempted p. 197. II.

[^69]:    ${ }^{*}$ See Chap. II. Sect. 5.

[^70]:    * Those four prepositions sometimes govern the dative ease; and this is to be preferred, when another genitive follows. Example: Er felbet intrerbalb dem Biele feiner Ungnade, he is within the scope of his displeasure.

[^71]:     Vol. iii. p. 500.

[^72]:    * See p. 183.

[^73]:    * Thus, in old English, on life. Sir David Lindsay's Poetical Works: Auld Willie Dillie, were he on lyve. The adjective alive may have come from that expression.

[^74]:    - See p. 184.

[^75]:    - See p. 310.

[^76]:    - P, 217 \& 224.

[^77]:    * Compare p. 440.

[^78]:    - See p. 356.

[^79]:    * See p. 149.

[^80]:    - Compare p. 173.

[^81]:    * This is an advantage, which the German language has over the English, and others. It resembles the distinction, which is made, in Latin, between suus and ejus, though it is not absolutely the same. The Swedes, Danes, and Dutch avail themselves of a similar discrimination.

[^82]:    *The Swedes use a similar freedom.

[^83]:    * Voss. Odyssee, 1: 110. Einige mifteten bes Meineq, some mixed of the wine. This is an imitation of the ancient construction.

[^84]:    * See p. 313. $\dagger$ See p. 395. 4.

    M M

[^85]:    - See p. 314.

[^86]:    * See p. 384.

[^87]:    * See Additional Remarks on the Gender. Part I. Chap. 2. Sect. 2. p. 118. VI.

[^88]:    * It will be noticed, that in these two last examples there is a deviation from the first observation, relative to the gender of compound substantives; which circumstance is remarked, p. 119.

[^89]:    ＊Here the article corresponds with the termination， and not with the gender，of the substantive．See p． 331 ．，

    + Chap．VI．p． 331.

[^90]:    * See Part I. Chap. 2. Sect. 3. p. 149.

[^91]:    * See Adelung's Dictionary, under the word 5ijuren.

[^92]:    * Scbiller's (Sefatictute des dreifigiábrigen Sriegers. VoLa I. p. 227.
    + Wieland's agatbon, Vol. I. p. 154.

[^93]:    * Wieland's 2gatbon, Vol. 3. p. 109.
    

[^94]:    * See p. 357, and 440.

[^95]:    fixed to his Supplementary Dictionary), p. 105, first editiop, or p. 65 , edition of 1813; and expressed his disapprobation of it, though he has not placed it in that strong light of censure, in which I have felt myself obliged to represent it.

[^96]:    * I make no mention of the article, because it is obvious, that it must always stand before the word, to which it belongs.

[^97]:    * See the chapter on Conjunctions, Part I. p. 353.
    + Strictly speaking, we ought, in the second, here called the subsequent, member, to supply some demonstrative word, corresponding to the relative, inthe first; namely das, in reference to the preceding $w a \tilde{b}^{3}$, and $\partial a$, in correspond-

[^98]:    * Compare Sect. III. of this Chapter: and Exercises, p. 166, note 1.

[^99]:    * See p. 373. R. III. 2. and p. 574.3.

[^100]:    * The relative signification of these words must be distinguished from the other meanings which they bear, either as adverbs, or conjunctions. Baber, thence, therefore; barum, for that reason, therefore; warum, weswe: gen, why, for what reason-cause the subject to be placed after the verb. Sce p. 438, 10. 495, 1. 437, 8.

[^101]:    * It is probably understood by every reader, what is meant by indirect questions : but there will remain no doubt, if we change some of the foregoing into direct questions, to show the difference, viz. Wer war tey Jinnell, who was with you? welche $\mathfrak{B}$ Suct las er, what book did he read?
    $\dagger$ See p. 355. Compare the Exercises p. 81, n. 2. and $\mu$. 182. n. 3.

[^102]:    * See Exerc. p. 179. n. 5.

[^103]:    * See Gr. p. 385, 2. and p. 426.

[^104]:    - $\mathfrak{E a f o n t a i n e}$, in his 尺reinc Romane, vol. i. has this passage: After dennoch weif ich, daf ith verachtet und gebaft feon werbe. It may, however, be said, that, in this instance, the participles, veractiet and gebaft, are used as mere adjectives, (see Gr. p. 326) ; then the observation, in the text, would not apply.

[^105]:    * From £effing's Miliognn, p. 279. In the Dutch language, in which the same position of words prevails, as in the German, the governing infinitive is constantly put before that, which is governed.
    + See p. 420.
    $\ddagger$ Refer to p. 305.

[^106]:    *Scc 1 . 325

[^107]:    * See p. $438 . \quad+$ 2luth is mentioned, p. 438.

[^108]:    See Exercises p. 201. n. 9.

