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## PREFACE.

The twenty-seven years, which have elapsed since the first publication of this Grammar, have been exceedingly fruitful in the philological and exegetical study of the Old Testament. And important progress has been made toward a more thorongh and accurate knowledge of the grammatical structure of the Hebrew language. This edition of the Grammar has been carefully revised throughout that it may better represent the advanced state of scholarship on this subject. Nearly every page exhibits corrections or additions of greater or less consequence. And the Syntax particularly, which was not fully elaborated before, has been greatly enlarged, and for the most part entirely rewritten. The plan of the Grammar, the method of treatment, and in general the order of the sections are unchanged. And little occasion has been found to alter the more general and comprehensive statements, which are distinguished by being printed in large type. The changes are chiefly in the addition of fuller details enlarging and multiplying the paragraphs in small type.

The principle of eschewing all supposititious forms and adducing none but such as really occur in the Old Testament, has been steadfastly adhered to as heretofore, with the view of rigorously conforming all rules and examples to the actual phenomena of the language. The text of Baer is preferred so far as published, the disputed orthophonic Daghesh-forte excepted, though it is recognized and its rules are stated. In the discussion of the
poetic accents free use has been made of the elaborate treatises of Bacr and Wickes; and the names which they employ are given as well as those which previously were more familiar. The intricate rules for the employment of Methegl are also drawn from Baer. The position of the aceent is indicated as in previous editions by a small vertical stroke above all Hebrew words except monosyllables.

The convenience of students has been consulted in removing the paradigms of pronouns, verbs, and nouns from the body of the volume and placing them together at the end. A new paradigm has been introduced, affording a succinct view of the formation of nouns of different classes, with their respective significations. The declensions of nouns have been simplified by an arrangement which correspouds at once with their etymological structure and with the vowel changes to which they are severally liable. While every part of the Syntax is much more fully developed than before, special attention has been paid to the use of the tenses, which is so thoroughly discussed in the admirable treatise of Dr. Driver. The old names preterite and future are, for reasons given on pp. 299-302, preferred to perfect and imperfect, which are now so generally adopted ; but the latter are used in conjunction with the former for the convenience of those who like them better. The various kinds of compround sentences, involving relative, conditional, cirmmstantial, and co-ordinate clauses, receive the attention which is due to their peculiar character and separate importance. The different rules and statements of the Grammar, and particularly of the Syntax, are illnstrated and confirmed by a copious citation of passiges in which they are exemplificd. Full indexes, as before, accompany the Grammar to facilitate its use.

PBinceron, August i2, 1888.
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## PART FIRST.

## ORTHOGRAPHY.

$\S$ 1. Language is the communication of thought by means of spoken or written sounds. The utterance of a single thought constitutes a sentence. Each sentence is composed of words expressing individual conceptions or their relations. And words are made up of sounds produced by the organs of speech and represented by written signs. It is the province of grammar as the science of language to investigate these several elements. It hence consists of three parts. First, Orthography, which treats of the sounds employed and the mode of representing them. Second, Etymology, which treats of the different kinds of words, their formation, and the changes which they undergo. Third, Syntax, which treats of sentences, or the manner in which words are joined together to express ideas. The task of the Hebrew grammarian is to furnish a complete exhibition of the phenomena of this particular language, carefully digested and referred as far as practicable to their appropriate causes in the organs of speech and the operations of the mind.

## The Letters.

$\S 2$. The Hebrew being no longer a spoken tongue, is only known as the language of books, and particularly of the Old Testament, which is the most interesting and
important as well as the only pure monmment of it. The first step towards its investigation must accordingly be to ascertain the meaning of the symbols in which it is recorded. Then having learned its sounds, as they are thus represented, it will be possible to advance one step further, and inquire into the laws by which these are governed in their employment and mutations.

The symbols used in writing Hebrew are of two sorts,
 the letters is twenty-two; these are written from right to left, and are exclusively consonants. Thee alphabetical table upon the opposite page exhibits their forms, English equivalents, names, and numerical values, together with the corresponding forms of the Rabbinical character employed to a considerable extent in the commentaries and other writings of the modern Jews.
$\S 3$. There is always more or less difficulty in representing the sounds of one language by those of another. But this is in the case of the Hebrew greatly aggravated by its having been for ages a dead language, so that some of its sounds cannot now be accurately determined, and also by its belonging to a different family or group of tongues from our own, possessing sounds entirely foreign to the English, for which it consequently affords no equivalent, and which are in fact incapable of being pronounced by our organs. The equivalents of the following table are not therefore to be regarded as in every instance exact representations of the proper powers of the letters. They are simply approximations sufficiently near the truth for every practical purpose, the best which can now be proposed, and sanctioned by tradition and the conventional usage of the best Hebraists.

1. It will be observed that a double pronunciation has been assigned to seven of the letters. A native Hebrew

| Order. | Forms and Equivalents. | Names. |  | Numerica, values. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $N$ - | 5ik Áleph | 6 | 1 |
| 2 | $2 \quad \mathrm{Bh}, \mathrm{B}$ | Beth | 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 Gh, G | 号翟Gímel | $\downarrow$ | 3 |
| 4 | $7 \mathrm{Dh}, \mathrm{D}$ | - Datath | 7 | 4 |
| 5 | $\pi \quad \mathrm{H}$ | הֵ Hē | $\bigcirc$ | 5 |
| 6 | 7 V or W | רָ Vāv (Wāw) | 1 | 6 |
| 7 | \% Z | \% Zayin | ; | 7 |
| 8 | $\pi \mathrm{Hh}$ | ה- Hhēth | $\Gamma$ | 8 |
| 9 | $\bigcirc \mathrm{T}$ |  | $\cup$ | 9 |
| 10 | Y | - Yoidh | , | 10 |
| 11 | כ $\dagger$ Kh, K | - Kaph | 72 | 20 |
| 12 | ל L | $\rightarrow$ - ¢ Lámedh | $b$ | 30 |
| 13 | \% - M | - | 01 | 40 |
| 14 | 2 ] N | \% Ninn | 12 | 50 |
| 15 | - S | ¢T\% Súmekh | D | 60 |
| 16 | $\geqslant-$ | T- Áyin | $\nu$ | 70 |
| 17 | E. $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {P }} \mathrm{P}$ | ציָא Pē | 99 | 80 |
| 18 | $\because \because \mathrm{Ts}$ | דָT Tsádhē | is | 90 |
| 19 | P K | -ip Koph | P | 100 |
| 20 | 7 R | R- Rēsh | 7 | 200 |
| 21 | $\pm \quad \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{S}$ | \% Shin | E | 300 |
| 22 | ก $\mathrm{Th}, \mathrm{T}$ | ¢ ¢ָּ Tāv | ת | 400 |

would readily decide without assistance which of these was to be adopted in any given case, just as we are sensible of no inconvenience from the various sounds of the English letters which are so embarrassing to foreigners learning our language. The ambiguity is in every case
removed, however, by the addition of a dot or point indicating which sound they are to receive. Thus $\mathfrak{Z}$ with a point in its bosom has the sound of $b, \beth$ umpointed that of the corresponding $v$, or as it is commonly represented for the sake of uniformity in notation, $b l i=\sin$ pronounced as $g$, a mpointed had an aspirated sound which may accordingly be represented by $g h$, but as it is difficult to produce it, or even to determine with exactness what it was, and as there is no corresponding sound in English, the aspiration is mostly neglected, and the letter, whether pointed or not, sounded indifferently as $g$; $\overline{7}$ is $d$, 7 mpointed is the aspirate $d h$, equivalent to the in the; כ is $k$, 2 unpointed its aspirate $k h$, perlaps resembling the German ch in ich, though its aspiration, like that of $z$, is commonly neglected in modern reading; $\bar{z}$ is $p$, $\overline{\text { un- }}$ pointer $p h$ or $f ; 5$ is $t, \pi$ umpointed th in thin. The letter $\because \dot{U}$ with a dot over its right arm is pronounced like $s h$, and called Shin; with a dot over its left arm is called Sin, and pronounced like $s$, no attempt being made in modern usage to discriminate between its sound and that of a Samekh. Although there may anciently have been a distinction between them, this can no longer be defined nor even positively asserted; it has therefore been thought unnecessary to proserve the individuality of these letters in the notation, and both of them will accordingly be represented by $s$.
a. The double sound of the first six of the letters just named is purely euphonic, and has no effect whatever upon the meaning of the words in which they stand. The case of $\because$ is ditferent. Its primary sound was that of sh, as is evident from the contrast in Julg. 12:6 of re'e. shibhoteth with rem sibboth. In certain words, however, and sometimes firr the sake of crating a distinction between different words of like orthography, it received the sound of $s$, thas almost assuming the character of a distinct letter, e. g. $-\dot{\square}$ to breok, $-\frac{1}{-}$ to mpo. That Sin and Samekh were distinguishable to the ear, appears probable from the fact that there are words of separate sipnifications which difier only in the use of one or the other of these letters, and in which thry are never interchanged, e. g. $==\operatorname{con}^{2}$ to be
bereaved,
 to destroy. The close affinity between the sounds which they represent is, however, shown by the equivalence of such roots as קָּ

 is apparent from their being used interchangeably in the aiphabetic psalms Ps. 119:161-168 and other biblical acrostics Lam. 3:61-63, as well as
 in which bread is leavened; כָּנִּ horrible, causing a
 letters into two distinguished by diacritical points is carried to a much greater length, the alphabet of that language being by this means enlarged from twenty-two to twenty-eight letters.
$b$. The palatal aspirate $g h$ is still preserved in the spelling of many English words, although it is now lost in pronunciation, being either compensated by lengthening the vowel, e. g. light (Ger. licht), knight (Ger. knecht), plough (Ger. pflug), or changed to a labial, e. g. laugh, tough, or to an unaspirated surd, e. g. hough.
2. In their original power $\Delta t$ differed from $n t$, and $\exists k$ from $p k$, for these letters are not confused nor liable to interchange, and the distinction is preserved to this day in the cognate Arabic; yet it is not easy to state intelligibly wherein the difference consisted. They are currently pronounced precisely alike.
3. The letter $\pi$ has a stronger sound than $n$ the simple $h$, and is accordingly represented by $h h ; \neg$ is represented by $r$, although it had some peculiarity of sound which we cannot at this day attempt to reproduce, by which it was allied to the gutturals.
4. For two letters, $s$ and $\ddot{z}$, no equivalent has been given in the table, and they are commonly altogether neglected in pronunciation. $\mathbf{s}$ is the weakest of the letters, and was probably always inaudible. It stands for the slight and involuntary emission of breath necessary to the utterance of a vowel unattended by a more distinct consonant sound. It therefore merely serves to mark the beginning or the close of the syllable of which it is a
part, while to the ear it is entirely lost in the accompanying or preceding vowel. Its power has been likened to that of the smooth breathing (') of the Greeks or the English silent $h_{4}$ in hour. On the other hand $y$ had a deep guttural sound which was always heard, but like that of the corresponding letter among the Arabs is very difficult of utterance by occidental organs; consequently no attempt is made to reproduce it. In the Septuagint it is sometimes represented by $\gamma$, sometimes by the rough and sometimes by the smooth breathing; thus rer
 Jews give it the sound of $n g$ or of the French $g n$ in campagne, either wherever it occurs or only at the end

$\S 4$. The forms of the letters exhibited in the preceding table, though found without important variation in all existing manuscripts, are not the original ones. An older character is preserved upon the Jewish coins struck in the age of the Maccabees, which bears a considerable resemblance to the Samaritan and still more to the Phenician. Some of the steps in the transition from one to the other can still be traced upon extant monuments. There was first a cursive tendency, disposing to unite the different letters of the same word, which is the established practice in Syriac and Arabic. This was followed by a predominance of the calligraphic principle, which again separated the letters and reduced them to their present rectangular forms and nearly uniform size. The cursive stage has, however, left its traces upon the five letters which appear in the table with double forms; when standing at the begiming or in the middle of words terminate in a bottom horizontal stroke, which is the remnant of the connecting link with the following letter; at the end of words no such link was needed, and the
letter was continued vertically downward in a sort of terminal flourish thus, $7 \boldsymbol{7} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, or closed up by joining its last with its initial stroke, thus a .
a. The few instances in which final letters are found in the middle of
 2:13, : 3 Job $38: 1$, are probably due to the inadvertence of early transcribers which has been faithfully perpetuated since, or if intentional they may have had a connection now unknown with the enumeration of letters or the signification of words. The same may be said of letters larger than

 and the older editions, e.g. that of Steplanus in 1541), or with extraordinary points, as concealed meanings of the most fanciful and absurd character. Thus in
 ters described were descended from Moses but had the character of Manasseh. In Linc 11:42 the Vav, which is of unusual size, is the middle letter of the Pentateuch; over the second Yodh, is the only instance in which the word is written with that letter (the correct reading 1 Kin 15:19 is in Deut. 6:4 emphasize the capital article of the Jewish faith. All such anomalous forms or marks, with the conceits of the Rabbins respecting them, are reviewed in detail in Buxtorf's Tiberias, pp. 152 etc.
$\S 5$. All the names of the letters were probably significant at first, although the meanings of some of them are now doubtful or obscure. It is commonly supposed that these describe the objects to which their forms originally bore a rude resemblance. If this be so, however, the mutations which they have since undergone are such, that the relation is no longer traceable, unless it be faintly in a few. The power of the letter is in every instance the initial sound of its name.
a. The opinion advocated by Schultens, Fundamenta Ling. Heb. p. 10, that the invention of the letters was long anterior to that of their names, and that the latter was a pedagogical expedient to facilitate the learning of the letters by associating their forms and sounds with familiar objects, has met with little favour and possesses little intrinsic probability. An interesting corroboration of the antiquity of these names is found in their preservation in the Greek alphabet, though destitute of meaning in that language, the Greeks having borrowed their letters at an early period from the Phenicians, and hence the appended $a$ of " $A \lambda \phi \alpha$, etc., which points to the Aramæic form N.
b. The Semitic derivation of the names proves incontestably that the alplabet had its origin among a people speaking a language kindred to the Hebrew. Their most probable meanings, so far as they are still explicable, are as follows, viz: Aleph, an ox; Beth, a house; Gimel, a camel; Daleth, a door; He, doubtíul, possibly a window; Vav, a hook; Zayin, a weapon; Hheth, probably a fence; Teth, a snake or a bag; Yodh, a hand; Kaph, the palm of the hand; Lamedh, an ox-goad; Mem, water; Nun, a fish; Samekh, a prop; Ayin, an eye; Pe , a mouth; Tsadhe, a fish-hook or a hunter's dart; Koph, perhaps an axe-head; Resh, a head; Shin, a tooth; Tav, a cross mark.

Eusebius (Praep. Evang. x. 5) and Jerome give a mystical explanation of the alphabet, in which the names of the letters are read as a continuous sentence. See Fürst's Aramäisches Lehrgebäude, p. 26.
$\S 6$. The order of the letters appears to be entirely arbitrary, though it has been remarked that the three middle mutes $ニ$ - 7 succeed each other, as in like mamer the three liquids $\boldsymbol{b}^{2}: \mathbf{2}$. The juxtaposition of a few of the letters may perhaps be owing to the kindred signification of their names, e. g. Yodh and Kaph the hand, Mem water and Nun a fish, Resh the head and Shin a tooth. The antiquity of the existing arrangement of the alphabet is shown, 1 . by psalms and other portions of the Old Testament in which successive clauses or verses begin with the letters disposed in regular order, viz. Ps. 25 ( $p$ omitted), 34, 37 (alternate verses, $\mathbf{y}$ omitted), 111 (every clanse), 112 (every clause), 119 (each letter eight times), 145 ( 2 omitted), Prov. 31:10-31, Lam. ch. $1,2,3$ (each letter three times), 4 . In the first chapter of Lamentations the order is exactly preserved, but in the remaining three chapters $\boldsymbol{y}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sum}$ are transposed. 2. By the correspondence of the Greek and Roman alphabets, which have sprung from the same origin with the Hebrew.
a. The most ingenions attempt to discover a regular structure in the Hebrew alphabet is that of Lepsins, in an essay upon this subject published in 1836. Omitting the sibilants and Resh, he finds the following triple correspondence of a breathing succeeded by the same three mutes carried through each of the three orders, the second rank being enlarged by the addition of the liquids.

|  | Breathings. | Mutes. |  | Liquids. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | $\times$ | こ 7 | (כ) |  |
| Smooth | ה | - |  |  |
| Rough | シ | P P |  |  |

Curious as this result certainly is, it must be confessed that the alleged correspondence is in part imaginary, and the method by which it is reached is too arbitrary to warrant the conclusion that this scheme was really in the mind of the author of the alphabet, much less to sustain the further speculations built upon it, reducing the original number and modifying the powers of the letters.
$b$. It is curious to see how, in the adaptation of the alphabet to different languages, the sounds of the letters have been moditied, needless ones dropped, and others found necessary added at the end, without disturbing the arrangement of the original stock. Thus the Greeks dropped 9 and $F$, only retaining them as numerical signs, while the Roman alphabet has $F$ and $Q$; on the other hand the Romans found $u$ and $O$ superfluous, while the Greeks made of them $\theta$ and $\xi$; $y$ and $T$, in Greek $\gamma$ and $\zeta$, become in Latin $\mathbf{C}$ and $G$, while $\pi$, in Latin H, is in Greek converted like the rest of the gutturals into a vowel $\eta$.

## § 7. The letters may be variously divided:

1. First, with respect to the organs by which they are pronounced.

| Gutturals | $N$ | $\pi$ | $\pi$ | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palatals | $\pm$ | , | - | P |
| Linguals | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | ) | J |
| Dentals | $\dagger$ | 0 | - | - |
| Labials |  |  |  | פ |

7 has been differently classed, but as its peculiarities are those of the gutturals (wins) it is usually reckoned with them.
2. Secondly, according to their respective strength, into three classes, which may be denominated weak, medium, and strong. The strong consonants offer the greatest resistance to change, and are capable of entering into any combinations which the formation or inflection of words may require. The weak have not this capacity,
but when analogy would bring them into combinations foreign to their nature, they are either liable to mutation themselves or occasion changes in the rest of the word. Those of medium strength have neither the absolute stability of the former nor the feeble and fluctuating character of the latter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Strong, }\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\hline & \Xi & \\
\vdots & 0 & p \\
7 & n & 0
\end{array}\right\} \text { Aspirates and Mutes. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The special characteristics of these several classes and the influence which they exert upon the constitution of words will be considered hereafter. It is sufficient to remark here that vowel-letters are so called because they sometimes represent not consonant but vowel-sounds.


#### Abstract

a. It will be observed that while the $p, k$, and $t$-mutes agree in having smooth $\sum \supset \rightarrow$ and middle forms $\beth \lambda$, which may be either aspirated or unaspirated, the two last have each an additional representative $\mathcal{F} \boldsymbol{ت}$ which is lacking to the first. This, coupled with the fact that two of the alphabetic Psalms, Ps. 25, 34, repeat $\sum$ as the initial of the closing verse, has given rise to the conjecture that the missing $p$ mute was supplied by this letter, having a double sound and a double place in the alphabet. In curious coincidence with this ingenious but unsustained hypothesis, the Ethiopic has an additional $p$, as the Greek alphabet has both $\pi$ and $\phi$.


3. Thirdly, the letters may be divided, with respect to their function in the formation of words, into radicals
 which comprise just one half of the alphabet, are never employed except in the roots or radical portions of words. The latter may also enter into the constitution of roots, but they are likewise put to the less independent use of the formation of derivatives and inflections, of prefixes and suffixes. The serviles are embraced in the memorial
 besides other uses， $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\sim} \times$ are prefixed to form the future of verbs，and the remainder are prefixed as particles to nouns．The letters are used in the formation of nouns from their roots．The only exception to the division now stated is the substitution of $e$ for servile $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ in a certain class of cases，as explained $\S 54.4$.
a．Kimchi in his Mikhlol（פְבְלֹ）fol．46，gives several additional ana－ grams of the serviles made out by different grammarians as aids to the
 I Solomon am writing；שותב מישה כהב אלימ：；like a branch of the father of multitude Moses has written to us．To which Elias Levita added שים אלחהי עבת the name of Elias is written；and Nordheimer שאל המן מכהבי consult the riches of my book．
§8．In Hebrew writing and printing，words are never divided．Hence various expedients are resorted to upon occasion，in manuscripts and old printed editions，to fill out the lines，such as giving a broad form to certain letters，ハーフーロー，occupying the vacant space with some letter，as p，repeated as often as may be necessary， or with the first letters of the next word，which were not，however，accounted part of the text，as they were left without vowels，and the word was written in full at the beginning of the following line．The same end is accomplished more neatly in modern printing by judi－ cious spacing．
$\S 9.1$ ．The later Jews make frequent use of abbre－ viations．There are none，however，in the text of the Hebrew Bible；those which are found in the margin are explained in a special lexicon at the back of the editions in most common use，e．g．רום for et completio＝etc．

2．The numerical employment of the letters，common to the Hebrews with the Greeks，is indicated in the table of the alphabet．The hundreds from 500 to 900 are re－ presented either by the five final letters or by the combin－
ation of $\pi$ with the letters immediately preceding; thus
 Y or 95900 . Thousands are represented by units with two dots placed over them, thus $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 0}$, etc. Compound numbers are formed by joining the appropriate units to the tens and hundreds, thus san 421 . Fifteen is, however, made not by $r$, which are the initial letters of the divine name Jehovah, -nrr, but by $9+6$.

This use of the letters is found in the accessories of the Hebrew text, e. g. in the numeration of the chapters and verses, and in the Massoretic notes, but not in the text itself. Whether these or any other signs of number were ever employed by the original writers of Scripture, or by the scribes in copying it, may be a doubtful matter. It has been ingeniously conjectured, and with a show of plausibility, that some of the discrepancies of numbers in the Old Testament may be accounted, for by assuming the existence of such a system of symbols, in which errors might more easily arise than in fully written words.

## The Vowels.

$\S 10$. The letters now explained constitute the body of the Hebrew text. These are all that belonged to it in its original form, and so long as the language was a living one nothing more was necessary, for the reader conld mentally supply the deficiencies of the notation from his familiarity with his native tongue. But when Hebrew ceased to be spoken the case was different; the knowledge of the true pronunciation could no longer be presumed, and difficulties would arise from the ambiguity of individual words and their doubtful relation to one another. It is the design of the Massoretic points (ñon tralition) to remedy or obviate these inconveniences by supplying what was lacking in this mode of writing. The
authors of this system did not venture to make any change in the letters of the sacred text. The signs which they introduced were entirely supplementary, consisting of dots and marks about the text fixing its true pronumciation and auxiliary to its proper interpretation. This has been done with the utmost nicety and minuteness, and with such evident accuracy and care as to make them reliable and efficient if not indispensable helps. These points or signs are of three kinds, 1 . those representing the vowels, 2. those affecting the consonants, 3. those attached to words.
$a$. As illustrations of the ambiguity both as to somd and sense of individual words, when written by the letters only, it may be stated that
 Prov. 25: 11 תָּ oracle or most holy place of the temple, in Ex. 9:3 pestilence. So Fur is in Gen. 29:10 Fry and he ucatered, and in the next verse Finn and he kissed; אביִ occurs twice in Gen. 29:23, the first time it is wand


 putting. This ambiguity is, however, in most cases removed by the connection in which the words are found, so that there is little practical difficulty for one who is well acquainted with the language. Mudern Hebrew is commonly written and read without the points: and the same is true of its kindred tongues the Syriac and Arabic, though each of these has a system of points additional to the letters.
$\S 11$. 1. The alphabet, as has been seen, consisted exclusively of consonants, since these were regarded as a sufficiently exact representation of the syllables into which in Hebrew they invariably enter. Aud the omission of the vowels occasioned less embarrassment, because in the Semitic family of languages generally, unlike the Indo-European, they form no part, properly speaking, of the radical structure of the word, and consequently do not aid in expressing its essential meaning, but only its nicer shades and modifications. Still some notation of vowels was always necessary, and this was furnished in
a scanty measure by the vowel-letters, or, as they are also called, quiescents, or matres lectionis (guides in reading). The weakest of the palatals * was taken as the representative of the vowels $\bar{\imath}$ and $e$ of the same organ to which in sound it bears a close affinity; the weakest of the labials 9 was in like manner made to represent its cognates $\bar{\iota}$ and $\bar{o}$; and the two weak gutturals $\mathbb{N}$ and $\pi$ were written for the guttural vowel $\bar{c}$, as well as for the compound rowels $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{o}$ of which $a$ is one of the elements. Letters were more rarely employed to represent short vowels: -7 or ${ }^{n}$ for $\breve{e}$ is the most frequent case; others are exceptional.
a. Medial $\bar{a}$ when written at all, as it very rarely is, is denoted by x ,


 error in the text perhaps for $e m \bar{a} \subset \bar{a} l$; final $\bar{a}$ which is much more frequently

 27: 31 K 'hibl, Nהב $g \bar{a} h \nmid h \bar{a}$ Ezek. 31:5 K'thibh. The writing of $\vec{e}$ and $\bar{i}$, $\bar{o}$ and $\bar{u}$ is optional in the middle of words but necessary at the end, e.g.
 former position " stands for the first pair of vowels, and ' for the second,


 47: 11. At the end of words $\vec{e}$ is commonly expressed by ${ }^{\eta}$, and $\bar{o}$ by , thouglı $n$ is frequently and $\mathbb{x}$ rarely employed for the same purpose. e. $g$.
 by $n$, medial $\breve{e}$ by ${ }^{7}$, though this is only written in a few particular forms, e. g. if not a textual error, is at least quite unusual.
b. The employment of the vowel-letters in conformity with the scale just given, is further governed, (1.) By usage, which is in many words and forms almost or quite invariable; in others it fluctuates, thens siobleblh is

 Büref ethim according to the analogy of similar grammatical forms would




him is ${ }^{4}$, though these are occasionally interchanged; $z \overline{0}$ is written both $\pi$ and $\pi$ \% and $p \bar{o} \bar{\pi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, (2.) The indisposition to multiply the

 employment in the later books of the Bible, e. g. m $k \bar{o}^{a} h h$ Dan. 11: 6,
 the books of Chronicles Ezra, Nehemiah and Zechariah, elsewhere commonly דור . This must, however, be taken with considerable abatement, as is shown by such examples as addirim אדידים Ex. 15: 10, אדרים Ezek. 32: 18.

It is to be observed that those cases in which $\mathbf{x}$ is used to record vowels must be carefully distinguished from those in which it properly belongs to the consonantal structure of the word, though from its weakness it may have lost its sound, as $x \leq$ māts $\bar{a}, \quad$,
2. When used to represent the Hebrew vowels, $\bar{a}$ is sounded as in father, $\breve{b}$ as in fat, $\bar{e}$ as in there, $\breve{e}$ as in met, $\bar{\imath}$ as in machine, $\breve{\imath}$ as in $p i n, \bar{o}$ as in note, $\bar{b}$ as in not, $\bar{u}$ as in rule, and $\breve{u}$ as in full. The quantity will be marked when the vowels are long, but not when they are short.
§ 12. There are nine points or massoretic signs representing vowels (רְתוּעּוֹת motions, viz., by which consonants are moved or pronounced); of these three are long, three short, and three doubtful. They are shown in the following table, the horizontal stroke indicating their position with reference to the letters of the text.

Long Vowels. Short Vowels.


## Doubtful Vowels.



All these vowel-points are written under the letter after which they are pronounced except two, viz., Hholem
and Shurek. Hholem is placed over the left edge of the letter to which it belongs, and is thms distinguished from the accent R'bhia, which is a dot over its centre. When followed by $\because \in$ or preceded by it coincides with the dia-
 when it follows $\dot{\sim}$ or precedes $\mathfrak{ש}$ it is written over its opposite arm, e. g. in these cases must accordingly be determined by the circumstances. Since a vowel-sign (or Sh'va § 16) is regularly written with every initial or medial consonant,
 consonant without a vowel-sign; if it have itself no vowel-sign, $\underset{\sim}{*}$ will be so and $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ shō, except at the end of worls. If Shin be preceded by a letter which itself represents a vowel the point over its right arm will not be Hholem, e. g. rishom, but a dot in the bosom of the letter Vav, thus 9 . It will be observed that there is a double notation of the vowel $u$. When there is a 9 in the text this vowel, whether long or short, is indicated by a single dot within it, and called Shurek; in the absence of 9 it is indicated by three dots placed obliquely beneath the letter to which it belongs, and called Kibbuts.
a. The division of the vowels given above differs from the common one into five long and five short, according to which Hhirik is counted as two, viz., Hhirik magnum ${ }^{n}=\bar{\imath}$, and Hhirik parvum $-=\check{\imath}$; and Shurek is reckoned a distinct vowel from Kibbuts, the former being $\bar{u}$ and the latter $\check{u}$. To this there are two objections. (1.) It confuses the massoretic signs with the letters of the text, as though they were coeval with them and formed part of the same primitive mode of writing, instead of being quite distinct in origin and character. The massoretic vowel-sign is not $\%$ but -. The punctuators never introduced the letter ${ }^{-}$into the text; they found it already written precisely where it is at present, and all that they did was to add the point. And instead of using two signs for $i$, as they had done in the case of $a, e$, and $o$, they used but one, viz., a dot beneath the letter, whether $i$ was long or short. The confusion of things thus separate in their nature was pardonable at a time when the points were supposed
to be an original constituent of the sacred text, but not now when their more recent oriwin is universally admitted. (2.) It is inaccurate. The distinction between ${ }^{?}$. and - ,, and - , is not one of quantity, for $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{u}$ are expressed indifferently with or without Yodh and Vav.

Gesenins, in his Lelirgebäude, while he retains the division of the vowels into five long and five short, admits that it is erroneous and calculated to mislead; and it has been discarded in the latest editions of his smaller grammar. That which was proposed by Gesenius, however, as a substitute, is perplexed and obscure, and for this reason, if there were no others, is unfitted for the wants of pupils in the early stage of their progress. On the other hand, the triple arrangement here adopted after the example uf Ewald, has the recommendation not only of clearness and correctness, but of being, instead of an innovation, a return to old opinions. The scheme of five long and five short vowels originated with Moses and David Kimchi, who were led to it by a comparison of the Latin and its derivatives. From them it was adopted by Renchlin in his Rulimenta Hebraica, and thus became current among Cluristians. The Jewish grammarians, before the Kimchis, however, reckoned Kibbuts and Shurek as one vowel, Hhirik as one, and even Kamets and Kamets Hhatuph as one on account of the identity of the symbol employed to represent them. They thns made out seven vowels, the same number as in Greek, where the distinction into long, short and doubt ful also prevails.
b. The names of the vowels, with the exception of Kamets-Hhatuph, contain the sounds of the vowels which they are intended to represent, Kibbuts in the last, the others in their first syllable. Their signification is indicative either of the figure of the vowel or the mode of pronouncing it. Kamets and Kibbuts, contraction, i. e. of the mouth; Pattahb, opening; Tsere, bursting forth; Seghol, cluster of grapes; Hhirik, gnashing; Hholem, strength; Kamets-Hhatuph, hurried Kamets; Shurek, whisting. It is a curions circumstance that notwithstanding the diversity of the vowelsystems in the Syriac, Arabic, and Hebrew, the name Pattalib is common to them all.

## $\S$ 13. This later and more complete method of noting

 the vowels does not displace but is superinduced upon the scanty one previously described. Hence it comes to pass that such vowels as were indicated by letters in the first instance are now doubly written, i.e. both by letters and points. By this combination each of the two methods serves to illustrate and explain the other. Thus the added signs determine whether the letters (which have been formed into the technical word $\left.E h^{c} v \bar{\imath}\right)$ are in any given case to be regarded as vowels or as consonants. If these letters are themselves followed by a vowel or aSh'va, § 16 , or have a Daghesh-forte, § 23, they retain their consonant sound; for two vowels never come together in Hehrew, and Sheva and Daghesh-forte belong
 mitserth (where $\ddot{\Xi}$ being provided with a separate point,
 roldy, ap lixyym. Otherwise they quiesce in a preceding or accompanying vowel-sign, that is to say, they have the somed indicated by it, the vowel-sign merely interproting what was miginally denoted by the letter. At the end of words, where Sh'va and Daghesh-forte are rarely written, " is a vowel-letter if preceded by the sign for cither of its homogeneous rowels $e$ or $i$, and 1 if accompanied by the sign for $o$ or $u$; otherwise they are consonants, thus $\because \bar{\sim}$
 combimation $r_{T}$ is pronounced $\bar{i} v$, and 5 , sthiv. In consequence of its extreme weakness, N not only quiesces when it is properly a vowel-letter, but may give up its consonant character after any vowel
 $\boldsymbol{N}$ is always either quiescent or otiant, $\S 16.1$; B is never used as a vowel-letter except at the end of words, and there it always quiesces mess it receives a Mappik, $\$ 26$.
a. As a letter was scarcely ever used to express $\breve{b}$, the quiescence of • in Kamets-Iflatuph is very rare, and where it does occur the margin ai-


 resents or quiesces in the still briefer ob of Inhateph-Kitmets, § 16. 3.
b. In a few proper names medial $n$ quiesces at the end of the first




$\S 14$. On the other hand the vowel-letters shed light upon the stability of the vowels and the quantity of the
doubtful signs. 1. As $\breve{\imath}$ was scarcely ever and $\breve{\iota}$ seldom represented by a vowel-letter, Hhirik with Iodh (?.) is almost invariably long and Shurek (i) commonly so. 2. The occasional absence in individual cases of the vowelletters, does not determine the quantity of the signs for $i$ and $u$; but their uniform absence in any particular words or forms makes it almost certain that the vowel is short. 3. The occasional presence of 9 and , to represent one of their homogeneous long vowels proves nothing as to its character; but if in any word or form these letters are regularly written, the vowel is, as a general rule, immutable. When 9 and * stand for their long homogeneous vowels, these latter are said to be written fully, e. g. cent letters they are said to be written defectively, e. g.

a. Hhirik with Yodh is short in ${ }^{\text {a }}$ anditive 1 Sam. 17:35,
 2 Chron. 31:7. In 1 Chron. 12: 1, 20, $i$ is probably long, although the word is always elsewhere written without the Yodh; as it sometimes has a secondary accent on the first syllable and sometimes not (see 1 Sam. 30:1), it may have had a twofold pronunciation tsik'lag, and tsiklag.

 Ezek. 16: 34.
$\S 15$. The vowels may be further distinguished into pure, $a, i, u$, and diphtlongal, $e, o ; e$ being a combination of $a$ and $i$, or intermediate between them, and $o$ holding the same relation to $a$ and $u$.

## Sh'va.

§16. 1. The absence of a vowel is indicated by Sh'va (שְׁׂnptiness, or as written by Chayug', the oldest of Jewish grammarians, Nニּׁi reader that one has not been inadvertently omitted. It
is accordingly placed under all vowelless consonants except at the end of words, where it is regarded as unnecessary, the absence of a vowel being there a matter of course. If, however, the last letter of a word be 7 , or if it be immediately preceded by another vowelless letter, or be doubled by the point called Daghesh-forte, § 23, Sh'va is written to preclude the doubt which is possible in these cases, e. g. Sh'va is not given to a quiescent letter, since it represents not a consonant but a vowel, e. g. -aperen nor as a general rule to a final consonant preceded by a quiescent;
 this case it is sometimes written, e. g.
 17:13. s at the end of a word, preceded either by a vowelless letter or a quiescent, is termed otiant, and is
 the middle of a word, when followed by a vowel-letter and preceded by a consonant to which it has surrendered this vowel, its own consonant character being lost, § 57, 2 (3), e. g. .

a. Final $\rceil$ may receive Sh'va for the sake of distinction not only from 7 , as already snggested, but also from 7 with which it might be in danger of being confounded in manuscripts; Freytag conjectures that it is properly a part of the letter, like the stroke in the corresponding final $\int$ in Arabic. In such forms as Sh'va is omitted with the closing letters because the ${ }^{\square}$ is not sounded.
2. Sh'va may be either silent ( $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ quiescens), or vocal (כָ mobile). At the close of syllables it is silent. But at the beginning of a syllable the Hebrews always facilitated the pronunciation of concurrent consonants by the introduction of a liatus or slight breathing between them; a Sh'va so situated is consequently said to be vocal, and has a sound approaching that of a hastily uttered $e$, as
in given. This will be represented by an apostrophe, thus,

a. According to Kimchi (Mikhlol fol. 189) Sh'va was pronounced in three different ways, according to circumstances. (1.) Before a guttural it inclined to the sound of the following vowel, e. g.

 it inclined to $i$, e. g. sounded as Hhirik, e. g. ב. liyadh. (3.) Before any other letter it inclined
 as Pattahh e. g. תibinpup bamakhē̄oth.
3. Sh'va may, again, be simple or compound. Sometimes, particularly when the first consonant is a guttural, which from its weakness is in danger of not being distinctly heard, the hiatus becomes still more audible, and is assimilated in sound to the short guttural vowel $\breve{\iota}$, or the diphthongal $\breve{e}$ or $\breve{o}$, into which it enters. This assimilation is represented by combining the sign for Sh'va with those for the short vowels, thus forming what are called the compound Sh'vas in distinction from the simple Sh'va previously explained.

These are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hhātēph-Pattahh -; thus, } \\
& \text { Hhātéph-Seghol } \# \text {; thus, hiss emōr. }
\end{aligned}
$$

a. Hhātêph ( hurried character of the sounds represented by these symbols.
$b$. The compound Sh'vas, though for the most part restricted to the gutturals, are occasionally written under other consonants in place of simple Sh'va, to indicate more distinctly that it is vocal. Luzzatto specifies the following cases: Hhateph Pattalih is fumd under the first of two similar letter:, e. g. -itz? Num. 10:36, or a letter from which Daghesh-forte has
 9: 18, Lev. 25:34, Ezek. 26:21, after a vowel which has arisen from Sh'va
 quent, but sometimes occurs where Hholem has been dropled


instances－ Hhateph－seghnl occurs but once＝－\％ 2 Sam．6：5（edition of Stephanus）． Mamuscripts and editions differ greatly in this use of the compound Sh＇vas；



## Pattahi Furtive．

§ 17．A similar hiatus or slight transition sound was used at the end of words in comection with the gutturals． When $\ddot{z}, r$ ，or the consonantal $n$ at the end of words is preceded by a long heterogeneons vowel（i．e．another than（1），or is followed by another vowelless consonant，it receives a Pattahh furtive $二$ ，which resembles in sound an extremely short $a$ ，and is pronounced before the letter


a．Some grammarians deny that lattahh furtive（ニから stolen）can be found under a penultimate gnttural，contending that the vowel－sign is in such cases a proper Pattabh，and that $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ should accordingly be $\mathbf{r}$ ead shämout，and $\underset{\sim}{T R}$ ． gihhad．But both the Sh＇va under the final letter，§ 16 ， and the Daghesh－lene in it，$\S 21$ ，show that the guttural is not fohowed by a vowel．The sign beneath it must consequently be Pattahh furtive，and represent an antecedent vowel－sound．In some manuscripts Pattahn furtive is written as Hhateph－Pattahh，or even as simple Sh＇va；thus， for ジワアッ．

## Syllables．

§ 18．1．Syllables are formed by the combination of consonants and vowels．As two vowels never come together in the same word in Hebrew withont an inter－ vening consonant，there can never be more tlan one vowel in the same syllable；and with the single excoption of accurring at the legiming of words，no syllatle ever consists of a vowel alone．Every syllable，with the ex－ ception just stated，must begin with a consonant，and may login with two，but never with more than two． Syllables ending with a rowel，whether represented by

 begins, it will be perceived, with the consonant $\ddot{\ddot{y}}$, though this disappears in the notation given of its sound.) Syllables ending with a consonant, or, as is possible at the close of a word, with two consonants, are said to be
 Sh'vas, whether simple or compound, are not rowels properly speaking, but simply involuntary transition sounds, they, with the consonauts under which they stand, camot form distinct syllables, but are attached to that of the following rowel. Pattahh furtive in like manner belongs to the syllable formed by the preceding vowel. Thus
2. Long vowels always stand in simple syllables, and short rowels in mixed syllables, muless they be accented. But accented syllables, whether simple or mised. may contain indifferently a long or a short vowel.
a. The following may serve as a specimen of the division of Hebrew words into their proper syllables; thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gen. 5: 1. בִּדֶ } \\
& \text { ō-thó ā-s } \bar{d} \text { éō-lи́m bidh-múth }
\end{aligned}
$$

$b$. The reason of the rule for the quantity of syllables appears to be this. In consequence of their brevity, the short vowels required the addition of a following consonant to make the utterance full and complete, unless the want of this was compensated by the greater energy of pronunciation due to the accent. The long vowels were sufficiently complete without any such addition, though they were capable of receiving it under the new pmergy imparted by the accent. This pervading regularity, which is so striking a feature of the Hebrew language, was the fomdation of the systemu worarum advocated by sone of the older grammarians of Holland and Germany. The idea of this was, that each syllable was equal to three morae, that is, three rests, or a bar of three beats; a long vowel being equivalent to two morae, or two beats, a short vowel to one, and the initial or final consonant or consonants also to one: thes $k(1)+$ $\bar{a}(2)=3, t(1)+a(1)+l t(1)=3$. An accented syllable might have one mora or beat either more or less than the normal quantity. This system
was not only proposed by way of grammatical explanation, but also made the basis of a peculiar theory of Hebrew prosody. See Gesenius, Geschichte d. Heb. Stprache, p. 123.
c. 'The cases in which short vowels occur in unaccented simple syllables, are mostly due to the disturbing influence exerted hy the weak letters
 like are formed after the analogy of $7 \div$. A lon vowel in an unaccented mixerl syllable is found in but one word, and that of fore!gn origin,
 primary, yet the secondary accent. The same is the case when a long vowel is retained before Makkeph, e. g. - $-\frac{3}{T}$; so also in the proper names : segholate form as though it were a separate word. In the Arabic, which is exceedingly rich in vowels, there are comparatively few mixed syllables; nearly every consonant has its own vowel, and this more frequently short than long. The Chaldee, which is more sparing in its use of vowels than the Hebrew, observes in general the same rule with respect to the quantity of syllables, though not with the same inflexible cunsistency.
3. When the consonant which concludes one syllable is at the same time attached to that which follows, the first syllable is in strictness neither simple nor mixed but may be denominated intermediate. The vowel in an intermediate syllable is short as though the following consonant belonged to it entirely, while yet this is likewise linked to a succeeding vowel or vocal Sliva, thus nitornharbhōth.
a. Such syllables are often occasioned by the special characteristics of the guttural letters, thus $n$ in which $\because$ belongs in a measure to both sylla-

 and § 22. $a$.

## Ambiguous Signs.

§ 19. It will now be possible, by aid of the principles already recited, to determine the quantity of the doubtful vowels, and to remove the ambiguity which appears to exist in curtain vowel-signs.

1. Hhirik, Shurek, and Kibbuts, in unaccented simple syllables, must be long, and in unaccented mixed syllables, short, e. g.


 לִ only exception being that Hhirik is short in the mono-
 breviated verbal forms of the class called Lamedh-He, e. g. are those in which these vowels are followed by a letter with Sh'va, either simple or compound. If the former, it might be a question whether it was silent or vocal, and consequently whether the syllable was simple or mixed. If the latter, though the syllable is of course simple, the weak letter which follows may interfere with the operation of the law. Here the etymology must decide.
a. The vowel is long or short as the grammatical form may require; thus in $\quad$ Gen. 22: 8, which follow the analogy of
 first vowel is long. In a few instances the grammatical form in which Hhirik is employed is itself doubtful; the distinction is then made by means of Methergh, $\S 44$, which is added to the vowel-sign if it is long, but not if it is short; thus,
 a second time.
2. Kamets $\bar{a}$ and Kamets-Hhatuph $\breve{o}$ are both represented by the same sign ( $)_{r}$ ), but may be distinguished by rules similar to those just given. In an maccented simple syllable it is Kamets; in an unaccented mixed syllable it is Kamets-Hhatuph; in an accented syllable,
 distinction is mostly made by Methegh, $\$ 44$; without Methegh it is always Kamets-Hhatuph, with it commonly
 guttural with Hhateph-Kamets or Kamets-Hhatuph it is frequently $\breve{b}$, though standing in a simple syllable and
accompanied by Methegh, e. g. -ran bo-hinv, aina to-uhblhem. The surest criterion, however, and in mamy cases the only decisive one, is found in the etymology. If the rowel be derived from Hholem, or the grammatical form requires an or a short vowel, it is KametsHlatupls; but if it be derived from Pattahh, or the form requires an a or a long vowel, it is Kamets.



 Nam. 2:3: 7 and the like, and the first two vowels in such words as $=$ and

 shortened from Hholem. On the other land the first vowel is $\bar{a}$ in ming Job 16: 19 from $7 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ is in J's. 86: 2 the imperative shomrit, in Job $10: 12$ the preterite sham'rä.


 the rule would seem to require.
b. In a very few instances Kamets-Hhatuph is found in a sylable bearing a conjunctive accent, viz.: - =-7, Ps. $38: 21$ (in some editions), in Ps. 35: 10, Prov. 19: 7, and in the julyment of Ewald $7=5$ comp. ver. 8, and $=\underset{\pi}{7}$ Ezek. 41: 25; in Dan. 11:12 Eyan the points belone to the maryinal readingr $\quad-\square$, and the vowel is consequently Kamets. There are also a few cases in which Kamets remains in a mixed syllable, deprived of its accent hy Makkeph, § 43, without receiving Methegh, viz.: - - Po Ps.
 unaccented Kamets is not affected-by the insertion of Daghesh-forte conjunctive, $£ 24$, in the initial letter of the following word, e. g. 둔 31: 1: When an accent takes the place of Methegh, it serves equally to
 úmälili'位, § 45. 5.
c. Lhasmuch as be suspected to be $\bar{a}$; but as it is so constantly written with HhatephKamets, the preceding vowel is probably conformed to it. It is consequently regardel and promomed as $\check{o}$. Kimehi (Mikhlol, fol. 188) declares that the
 was universally held to he kamets, and that with the exception of Rabbi Jonah bun Gamach, who was of a contrary mind, the same manimity prevailed in rearad to the first vowel of 保 Ezek. 40: 43. As, however, this last word is in every other place written without the Met regh, and there is no analogy for such words as those mentioned above having $\vec{a}$ in
their initial syllable, the best authorities are now agreed that the vowel is $\breve{o}$, and the words are accordingly read dorblian, etc, In --T: emerald, Ezek. 28:13, which are mentioned by Kimehi in the same connection, the first vowel is Kamets.
d. In some manuscripts and a few of the older printed books, e. g. Stephanus' Hebrew Bible and Reuchlin's Rudimenta Hebraica, KametsHhatuph is denoted by ( $\quad$ ). It then differs from Kamets, but is liable to be confounded with Hhateph-Kamets. It can, however, be distinguished from it by the circumstance that Kamets-Hhatuph is always followeri either by simple Sh'va, Iaghesh-forte, or Methegh: none of which ever immediately succeed Hhateph-Kamets. Such a form as is an impossible one if ( $r_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) have its ordinary meaning.
e. It is surprising that in so minute and careful a system of orthography as that of the Massorites, there should be no symbol for $\breve{b}$ distinct from that for $\bar{a}$; and some have felt constrained in consequence to suppose that the signs for these two vowels were originally different, but hecame assimilated in the course of transcription. This seems unlikely, however. The probability is that $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{o}$, whose resemblance even we can perceive, were so closely allied in the genuine Hebrew pronunciation, that one sign was thonght sufficient to represent them. enpecially as the Massorites were intent simply on indicating somds without concerning themselves with grammatical lelations. This is further confirmed by the occasional se'ection of Hhateph-kamets to accompany kamets, as
 and by the fact that the article undergoes the same euphonic change before $T_{T}$ and $T$ : ${ }_{\mathrm{T}}$ § 231. 4.
$\S 20.1$ As simple Sh'va is vocal at the beginning of a syllable and silent at its close, there can be no doubt as to its character when it stands under initial or final letters. Preceding the first rowel of a word it must of course be rocal, and following the last vowel it must be silent, word, the question whether it belongs to the syllable of the preceding or the following vowel must be determined by the circumstances. If a complete syllable precedes, that is, either an maccented long vowel or a vowelless consonant serving as the complement of a previous short vowel, it is vocal. If it be preceded by a short vowel which cannot make a complete syllable without the aid of a following consonant, or by a long accented vowel,

 forte, $\$ 23$, is vocal, such a letter being equivalent to two, the first of which completes the previous syllable,

 begin with more than two consonants, § 18.1, two vocal Sh'vas can never come together. And two silent Sh'vas can never come together except at the end of a word, since none but final syllables can close with two consonants. When two simple Sh'vas concur in the middle of a word, therefore, the first is necessarily silent and the second vocal: ה-
3. In addition to this it is to be remarked that Sh'va is vocal after intermediate syllables; that is to say, when the consonant under which it stands performs the double office of completing one syllable and begimning the next. Thms, when it follows a consonant from which Daghesh-
 y'bluk-h'shū, or the first of two similar letters, in order that the reduplication may be made more distinct,
 and in several other cases, which will be more particularly described in $\$ 22$.
a. Elias Levita ingeniously represented the rules for vocal Sh'va by the first five letters of the Hebrew Alphabet, thus $\mathbf{x}(=1)$ when it stands
 after a long vowel; $7(=\boldsymbol{\sim}$ under the first of two similar letters.

## Daghesh-Lene.

$\S 21$. The second class of signs added to the Hebrew text are those which are designed to guide in the promunciation of the consonants. These are the diacritical point over Shin, Daghesh-Fene, Daghesh-forte, Mappik,
and Raphe. The use of the first of these has already been sufficiently explained, § 3. 1 .

1. Daghesh-lene (
 to indicate the loss of their aspiration, e. g. $=b h, \beth b$, etc. As these letters are always aspirated after a vowelsound, however slight, and never as an initial utterance or when following a consonant, they invariably require Daghesh-lene whenever they are not immediately preceded by a vowel or a vocal Sh'va. It is consequently inserted in the initial aspirate of a word which begins a verse, $\boldsymbol{Z}$ Gen. 1: 1, or which follows a word bearing a disjunctive accent (inasmuch as this represents a
㒛 Gen. 3: 22, or ending in a consonant, Gen. 24: 42; but not if it follows a word ending in a vowel and having a conjunctive accent, Gen. 1: 2. The sacred name is followed by Daghesh-lene, even though it may have a conjunctive accent, Num. 10:29, Deut. 3:26, Josh. 10:30, 11: 8, Ps. 18: 21, because in reading the Jews always substitute for it the word which ends in a conson-

 not inserted after a vowel-letter, which retains its consonant sound.
2. Daghesh-lene is inserted in a medial or final aspirate preceded by a vowelless consonant, whether this be accompanied by silent Sh'va or Pattalhh furtive, e. g.
 vocal Sh'va, whether simple or compound, e. g. $\boldsymbol{n}=\frac{-\pi}{-}=$,踪
a. The primary signification of the name Daghesh is commonly explained from the Syriac
gives the sense of piercing. This is by some applied to the puncture or point which is its written sign, by others to its power of sharpening the sound of letters by removing their aspiration or doubling them. Buxtorf, however, in his Chaldee Lexicon, disputes the existence of such a root in either syriac or Chaldee, alleging that in Prov. 12:18, the passage quoted
 receive Daghesh-lene in Hebrew have the same twofold pronunciation in Syriac, a red dot called Rukhokh ( $\underset{\sim}{\circ}=\begin{gathered}\text {; } \text {; softness), being written beneath }\end{gathered}$ them when they were to be aspirated, and another called Kushoi ( $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ harlness), being written above them when they were not.
$b$. Grammarians are not agreed whether the aspirated or unaspirated sound of these consonants was the original one. There being no data for the settlement of the question, each decides it by his own theory of phonetic changes. The correctness of the Massoretic punctuation has sometimes been questioned in reyard to this matter, on the ground of the improbability of such fluctuation in the sound of these letters in the same word. But besides the Syriac analogy just referred to, the Sanskrit language shows the almost unlimited extent to which euphonic changes may be carried by a people possessing a sensitive and discriminating ear. The Sanskrit aspirates, besides being subjected to other mutations which cannot here be detailed, regularly lose their aspiration when finals, and under certain conditions when medials, throwing it back, where this is possible, upon a previous letter. Bopp Kritische Grammatik, pp. 30. 42. Similar laws


$\S 22$. The absence of Daghesh-lene in an aspirate sometimes shows a preceding simple Sh'va to be vocal when this would not otherwise have been known. In most of the cases referred to, a letter origimally belonging to the succeeding syllable is by the prefixing of a short vowel drawn back to complete the syllable before it; instead, however, of giving up its previous comection altogether, it forms an intermediate syllathe, $\S 20.2$, the Sh'va remaining vocal though the antecedent vowel is


a. The particular instances in which this may occur are the following, viz.: (1) The Kal imperative of verbs and the Kal infinitive with suffixes, e. g. ᄃ: successive letters would have vocal sh'va, contrary to $\S 20.1$. (2) Those
forms of Pe Guttural verbs in which the first radical assumes a short vowel in p＇ace of the silent Sh＇va in the regular inflexion，e．g．

 Cant．8：6，but－P－Ps．76：4；－
 ting Daohesh in tlie singular with suffises．（4）Three feminine nouns ending
 from 7 ，but not $\rightarrow$ ，Also a few other nouns of different forms，viz．：
 He interrogative，e．g．$\quad$ Gen． $29: 5$ from
 not miform in the case of Kal infinitives following inseparable prepositions，
 －
下：

These rules are sometimes of importance in etymology；thus， E»ek．27： 12 must have as its ground form $\mathfrak{\sim}$ 7：6，＝テָำ Ps．90： 10 camot be infinitives with suffixes，but must be from the segriolates $=-\mathfrak{K}$ ，$=$－ 7 ．
$b$ ．The omission of Darheslh－lene from the final letter of Foin Prov． $30: 6$ ，abbreviated from - or names ceptional．The Daghesh occasionally occurring in initial aspirates after worls ending in a vowel and having a conjunctive accent，is for the most lart Dazhesh－forte conjunctive，§ 24，e．g．

 （comp． Ex．15：11．16，Isa．54：12，Jer．20：9．But Daglesh－lene may be inserted in the first of two similar aspirates，if it have no vowel，for greater distinct－ uess of pronunciation；viz．in $\underset{i}{\mathrm{f}}$ followed by $=$ Gen．39：12，Jer． $3: 25$ or by卫 Ex．14：4．17，Lev．25：53，or by a（in Baer＇s text）Gen．32：11，40：7； in $\Xi$ followed by J Josh．8：24，10：20，Isa．10：9；also in biblical Aramæic in 7 fo lowed by $\Omega$ Dan．3： 3 （in some copies）and in $=$ followed by $\pi$ Ian． $3: 3$ ．The old strife as to the Dashewh in the word $\begin{aligned}-a \\ \text { tro } \\ \text { is not yet }\end{aligned}$ settled．Kimchi explained it as Dayhesh－lene upon the supposition that the word was abridged from ex；Schultens as Daghesh－forte arising from an assimilated $y$ ，contending that it was for $=$ from $=$ ； as an anomalous Daghesh－lene，introduced as a euphonic expedient to pre－ vent the combination of an aspirated $n$ with a sibilant，such as is obviated in the Hithpael of verbs by a transposition that would here be inadmissible． The puzzle is still further perplexed by the circumstance that it once appears with the preposition i？without the Daghesh，
 showing the Sh＇va to be vocal，as might also be inferred from the fact that Daghesh－forte has been omitted．

## Daghesh-Forte.

$\S 23.1$. When the same consonant was repeated with a rowel or even the slightest hiatus intervening, so that successive movements of the organs of speech were required in the pronunciation, the Hebrews invariably wrote the letter twice. When, however, there was no interval between the reduplicated consonants, and the only audible result was a more protracted or vehement utterance of the same sound effected by a single effort of the organs, the letter was written but once. This fact the Massoretic punctuators have indicated by placing a point called Daghesh-forte ( (ָָּ Thin letter so affected, to show that it is to be doubled in the
 be formd in any letter with the exception of the gutturals $\mathfrak{N} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{y}$, which on account of their weakness do not admit of reduplication. The letter -, partaking of this with other peculiarities of the gutturals, receives it only
 ת Prov. 14: 10.
a. For other examples of Resh with Daghesh-forte see Prov. 11: 21, 15:1, Jer. 39: 12, Ezek. 16: 4, also § 24. b.
2. The aspirates, when doubled, always at the same time lose their aspiration; thus, $-\frac{1}{\sim}$ ? yippaikedh. Dagheshforte in these letters is readily distinguishable from Daghesh-lene by the consideration that a consonant cannot be pronounced double except after a vowel. A point in one of the aspirates is, therefore, Daghesh-forte if a vowel precedes, otherwise it is Daghesh-lene.
3. Daghesh-forte in 1 may be distinguished from Shurek in the same way. Inasmuch as two vowels cannot come together in the same word, if a vowel precedes it is Daghesh-forte, if not it is Shurek.
a. Some Grammarians speak of Daghesh-forte implicitum in the gutturals, by which they mean that these letters appear in certain cases to complete a foregoing syllable as well as to begin that in which they properly stand, in spite of the omission of Daghesh, which analogy would require them to receive.
b. The Arabs have a sign of reduplication, Teshdid (*), which is written above the doubled letter. The Syrians have no written sigu for this purpose, and it is disputed whether their letters were ever doubled in pronunciation. According to Asseman Biblioth. Orient. III. 2. p. 379, the Western differed from the Eastern Syrians in this respect, "Occidentales nullibi literas geminant."
$\S 24$. Different epithets have been applied to Dagheshforte to describe its various uses or the occasions of its employment. 1. When separate letters, whether originally alike, or made so by assimilation, are by the inflection or formation of words brought into juxtaposition, the Daghesh-forte which represents such a doubling is called compensative; e.g.
 syllable and the root $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{\sim}}$, whose last letter is changed to $n$ to conform with that which follows; "סַּ 2. When the reduplication is indicative of a particular grammatical form the Daghesh-forte is called characteristic, e. g. in the Piel, Pual, and Hithpael of verbs; as,品, and certain forms of nouns, as, it has arisen from the necessity of converting a previous simple syllable into a mixed one in order to preserve the quantity of a short vowel which it contains, it is Dagheshforte conservative; e. g. =ep: for letter of a word is doubled under the influence of the final vowel of the word preceding, it is Daghesh-forte conjunctive; e. g. . last letter of an intermediate syllable is doubled in order to make the following hiatus or vocal Sh'va more distinct, it is Daghesh-forte dirimens or separative, because the letter which receives it is thus separated in part from the syllable to which it belongs; e. g. "עִּׁn imber for

- in'ble. 6. When the first letter of a final syllable is doubled under the influence of a previous vowel bearing the accent (mostly a pause accent, § 36. 2. a.), for the sake of increased fullness and force of pronunciation, it is Daghesh-forte emphatic; e.g. following a guttural with silent Sh'va is in some manuscripts and in the edition of Baer and Delitzsch regularly doubled by what is called Daghesh-forte orthophonic to show that there is no hiatus after the guttural; e. g.下ּ In the first three uses named above Daghesh-forte is said to be essential, in the last four it is euphonic

a. Daghesh-forte conjunctive occurs regularly after the pronouns $B$
 Num. 34: 6. 7. 9 ; also in the initial letter of monosyllables and of words accented on the first syllable after a final Kamets or Seghol in words

 33: 5, provided this was originally the accented syliable, or, if the accent has been shifted from the ultimate, was the place of the secondary accent
 Ezek. 17:8; this proviso does not attach to the futures and participles of ל verbs Makkeph is not fullowed by Danhesh-forte unless the syllable containing the Kamets begins with two consonants sian Gen. 27: 26 or is immediately preceded by Methegh $G$ Gen. 30: 1, but Words having the secondary accent Methegh on the first syllable likewise admit Daghesh after a fiual unaccented Kamets or Seghol, unless they begin with an aspirate $G$ Gen. 49:31, but The inseparable particles $\underset{\sim}{2}, \underset{,}{2}, ?$, when prefixed without a vowel, do not admit Daghesh-forte conjunctive, except Fo which follows the ordinary rule Sibilants and liquids may be doubled after an maccented final $\bar{u}$ Gen. 19:14, is rarely found after an accented vowel, yet Ex. 6: 10, 29, 13: 1, 14: 1, Num. 31: 25. It also occurs in a few exceptional cases not embraced in the rules above given. See the preface to Baer's edition of proverbs. In a few instances words thus united are written as


b．Daghesh－forte separative occurs only in the following examples：

|  | ```# Prov. 30:17. \M1 Sam. 28: 10.```  | －Deut．32： 32. <br> － 2 Sam．23： 27. <br> Jer．29： 27. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20 .$ | $\text { שַּבְ Isa. 58: } 3 .$ |
| ת：Gen．37： 32. | $3$ |  |
| 侃 |  | $\text { Gen. 49: } 17 .$ |
|  | ローローグク Job 9： 18. | Judg．5：22． |
| $\text { 17:25. } 2 \text { Kin. }$ | T？Nah．3： 17. | $\text { Ps. } 89: 52 .$ |
| 6.32 | בק Ex．15： 17. | Ps．77： 20. |
| 氏゙ُ |  | $13$ |
| （\％）Judg． 20 （？ | ov．4： 13. | 88： 17 |
| 43. |  | $37: 15$. |
| ， |  | Isa．5： 28. |
|  |  | OַPs．58： 9. |
|  |  |  |

This list is corrected and enlarged from Gesen．Lehrg．pp． 86 ff．Those words which are followed by a note of interrogation（？）are found in some editions but not in others．Daghesh separative may be found after He interrugative in some instances not included in the above list．
c．Daghesh－forte emplatic occurs only in sin Judg．5：7． 1 Sam．2：5；

 Isa．41：17； 13： 9 （not in pause）．
d．Daghesh－forte orthophonic also occurs according to the Massora in the initial letter of a word，when the preceding word ends in the same letter；accordingly the edition of Baer and Delitzsch has $\quad=n \mathfrak{e x}$ Gen．
 5：6．Occasional instances also occur of the doubling of an initial liquid after another final liquid，e．g．$\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ ，
 K דָּ a final $\Omega$ ，e．g．ニּ 4：4， 4 Lev．15：32．See Gesenius＇Lehrgebäude pp．90． 91.
$\S 25$ ．In order to the distinct utterance of a redupli－ cated consonant，it must be followed as well as preceded by a vowel－sound．Daghesh－forte is consequently never written in a final vowelless letter，with the exception of the two words $\underset{\sim}{\text { Rncnen }}$ ，both of which end in aspirates whose pronunciation would be changed by the removal of the Daghesh．In every other instance the doubling is
neglected, even though the letter be an aspirate, which will for this reason resme its aspiration; e. g. לp, =0, $-\mathfrak{\square}$ a medial letter with Sh'va Daghesh may be written, because the Sh'va being thus rendered vocal the reduplication can be made audible by means of the hiatus which it represents; it is, however, quite as frequently omitted, the Sh'va commonly remaining vocal as if it were inserted, and compound Sh'va being occasionally substituted for simple to indicate this fact, § 16. 3. b.; e. g. Judg. 16: 16, particularly after prefixes, as Vav Conversive, the article and preposition s, so
 accomnt of the change in its sound involved: yet even

 instances it is dropped from a letter followed by a vowel, when the laws of syllables will permit and the pronum-
 1:13 for 13 Isa. 60: 4.

## Mappik.

 point in one of the letters $N$ п $\boldsymbol{\sim}$, showing that it represents a consonant and not a vowel, or in other words that it does not quiesce in the preceding vowel-sign. It is unnecessary, however, to employ any notation for this purpose in the case of $\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{\circ}$, for their quiescence can be readily determined in all cases by the rules already given, § 13. Althongh it is much more extensively used in mamuscripts, therefore, Mappik is in modern editions of the Hebrew Bible only inserted in final -
when it retains its consonantal power; e. g. אַרְ
 times found in $\mathfrak{N}$, Lev. 23: 17, the Massoretic notes in the margin, is probably to be regarded as Mappik.
a. In some manuscripts and in the Hebrew Bible printed at Brescia in 1494 Mappik is placed not in the body of the letter He, but underneath it.

## Raphe.

§ 27. Rāphe ( (- רָ uceak), is a small horizontal stroke placed over a letter, and denotes the opposite of Dagheshlene, Daghesh-forte, or Mappik, as the case may be. As no inconvenience can arise from its omission, it is only occasionally used in modern Bibles, and not with entire uniformity in the different editions. It is chiefly found where a Mappik has been omitted in $-\pi$, which according to analogy might be expected to be inserted, e. g. החד?
 32:42, הִּ Ex. 20: 4, Deut. 5: 8, it is the opposite of Daghesh-forte, and shows that $\dot{y}$ may either be doubled agreeably to
 Deut.5:17, it is the opposite of Daghesh-lene, and shows that the $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ may either have its unaspirated sound, as the Daghesh indicates, or may be aspirated.

## Accents.

$\S$ 28. The third class of Massoretic additions to the text are those which relate to the words. These are the accents, Makkeph, Methegh, and the K'ri. An accent (unturn) is written upon every word with a twofold design, 1 st , of marking its tone-syllable, and 2 dly , of indicating
its relation to other words in the sentence. The great number of the accents has respect entirely to this second function, there being no difference in the quality of the stress laid upon particular syllables, such for example as is marked by the Greek acute, grave, and circumflex, but only that difference in its amount which arises from the unequal emphasis naturally laid upon the different members of a clause or period. The punctuators have attempted not only to indicate the pauses to be made in reading, as is done by the stops in use in other languages, but to represent to the eye the precise position held by each word in the structure of the sentence, and the various grades of attraction or repulsion arising from the relations whether co-ordinate or subordinate which subsist among them. Every sentence is fancifully regarded as a territory, which, partitioned into its several clauses, forms empires, kingdoms, and principalities, ruled by their respective sovereigns, each of whom has his own train of inferiors and dependants. The accents are accordingly divided into Disjunctives or Rulers ( $-\mathfrak{\square} \boldsymbol{\square}$ ), and Conjunctives or Servants ( former indicate that the word upon which they are placed is more or less separated from those that follow; they mark thus the end of a clause or of the section of a clanse over which they exert control. The latter indicate that the word over or under which they are written is comnected with what follows and belongs to the clause or section ruled by the next succeeding Disjunctive.

- a. The stress of voice denoted by the accent must not be confounded with quantity. An accented syllable may nevertheless be short, the energy with which it is pronounced not necessarily affecting its length.
b. The Jews mate use of the accents as musical notes in the cantillation of the synagogue, whence they are also called -itug. In the judgment of some this is a part, and perhaps a luading part, of their original design. Their great variety, the frequent nceurrence of accents of opposite powers upon the same word, and the distinct system of poetical accents, favor
this opinion．Such as are curious to know the details may find the mode of their employment for this purpose explained at length in Bartoloccii Bibliotheca Magua Rabbinica，vol．iv．pp．427－444．
$\S 29$ ．The Disjunctive accents may be divided into four classes of various rank or power，as follows，viz：

Class I．Emperors．

| $*_{1}$ ．Sillūk | $(1)$ | ¢0\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊2．Athnāhh | $\left({ }_{n}\right)$ |  |

Class II．Kings．

| 3．S＇ghōlta | （＇） | ¢ postp． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4．Zākēph Kātōn | （＇） | 隹 |
| 5．Zākēph Gādhōl | （ ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| ＊6．Tiphhhā | （，） |  |

Class III．Dukes．
＊7．R＇blinia
＊8．Shalsheleth
＊9．Zarkā
10．Pashtā
11．Y＇thībh
12．T＇bhīr

（＇）
（ ${ }^{\circ}$ ）
（＇）
（．）
（．）

า
シּู
※ֹpenpostp．
ふ postp．
品 prep．

Class IV．Counts．
＊13．Pāzēr
14．Karnë Phārā
15．T＇līshā Gh＇dhōlā
16．Geresh
17．G＇rāshayim
＊18．P＇sīk

（＂）
（i）
（＇）
（＂）
（1）

ה prep．
ய
ロロ：＂：


The Conjunctive accents，or Servants，are the follow－ ing，viz：
＊19．Merkä
（，）
מֶּבּקּא
＊20．Mūnāhh
（，）
מוּנn

| 21. Merkà Kh'phūlà | ( ${ }_{\prime \prime}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *22. Malıpakh | ( ${ }_{2}$ ) | \%'ּ |
| 23. Dargà | (,) | תַךְ |
| *24. Kadhmà | (') | - |
| *25. Yeralıh ben Yōmō | (4) |  |
| 26. T'lishā K'tannà | $\left({ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ ) | - |

a. Merka Kh'phula has sometimes been reckoned among the Disjunctives, as by Gesenius in his Lehrgebäude; but the absence of Daghesh-lene in the word following that on which it stands in Ex. 5: 15, Ezek. 14: 4, proves that it is a Conjunctive.
$b$. According to their most probable significations, the names of the accents appear to be in part borrowed from their forms and in part from their uses. Thus the Disjunctives: Silluk, end; Athuahh, rest; Segholta lunch of gropes; Zakeph, small and great, causing suspension; Tiphliha, palm of the hetd; R'bhia, square or reposing; Shalsheleth, chain; Zarka, dispersion; Paslita, expansion or letting down (the voice); Y'thibl, sitting still; T'bhir, interruption; Pazer, separator; Karne Phara, a heifer's horns; T'lisha, great and small, shield; Geresh, expulsion; G'rasbayim, double Geresh; P'sik, cut off. Conjunctives: Merka, prolonging; Munalhh, (a trumpet) at rest, i. e., in its proper position; Merka Kh'phula, doulle Merka; Mahpakh, (a trumpet) inverted; Darga, progress; Kadhma, leginning, Yerahh ben-Yomo, moon a day old.
$\S 30.1$. Fourteen of the accents are written over, and eleven under, the words to which they are attached. P'sik, whose only use is to modify the power of other accents, is written after the word to which it belongs, and in the same line with it. The place of the accents is either over or under the letter preceding the tonevowel, with the exception of the prepositives I'thibh and 'Tlisha Gh'dhola, which always accompany the initial letter of the word, and the postpositives S'gholta, Karka, Pashta, and I"lisha K'tama, which stand upon the final letter. Y'thibh is only used when the first is the tonesyllable. Pashta is repeated if the word on which it stands is accented on the penult, e. g. hin Gen. 1: 2, or ends with two vowelless letters, e. g. רִ Ruth 3: 7, or if the last letter has Pattahh furtive, e.g. Gen. 33:13, and in some manuscripts and editions there is a like re-
petition of S'gholta, Zarka and T'lisha K'tanna. When this is not the case, there is nothing to mark the tonesyllable of the word unless this may chance to be the one upon which the nature of the accent in question requires it to be placed.
2. Silluk has the same form as Methegh, $\S 44$; but the former invariably stands on the tone-syllable of the last word in the verse, while Methegh is never written under a tone-syllable. Pashta is likewise distinguished from Kadhma only by its position upon the last letter of the word, and after the superscribed vowel, if there be one, e. g. Gen. 1:7, Kadhma is placed upon the letter preceding the tone-
 final letter the laws of consecution only can detide; thus,
 but in 1 Sam. 29:6, it is Kadhma. Y'thibh is distinguished from Malpakh by being written under the first letter of the word and taking precedence of its vowel if this be subscribed, e. g. ב゙ּׁu, Gen. 1: 11, Gencer 31: 6, Deut. 10: 17; Mahpakh belongs under the consonant which precedes the tone-vowel, and after its vowel-sign if this be subscribed, e. g. Gen. 2:14, Gen. 32: 33, Dent, 4: 7. When the initial syllable bears the tone and there is no subscribed vowel, the laws of consecution must decide; thas, in wis the accent is Y'thibh in Gen. 3: 15, 44:17; Deut. 10: 17; but Mahpakh in Josh. 17: 1.
§ 31. The accents already explained are called the prosaic accents, and are found in all the books of the Old 'lestament with the exception of the Psalms ( $-\times \rightarrow \square$
 whose initials form the technical word ת"ay. Here a different system of accentuation prevails. Thirteen of
the prosaic accents, one-half of the whole number, nowhere occur in the books just named, viz.: S'gholta, Zakeph-Katon, and Zakeph-Gadhol of the Kings, Pashta, Y'thibh, and 'T"bhir of the Dukes, Karne Phara, T'lisha Gh'dhola, Geresh, and G'rashayim, of the Counts, Merka Kh'phula, Darga, and T"lisha K'tanna of the Conjunctives. Such as are common to both systems are in the previous table distinguished by an asterisk. The powers of some of these, however, are altered, so that a new arrangement of them is necessary; and they are supplemented by additional signs formed by combining the prosaic accents or assigning them unusual positions. The scale of the poetical or metrical accents thus constituted is as follows, viz:

Disjunctive Accents.
Class I.

| 1. Sillūk | (1) | סִלְּקוּ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Merkā-Mahpakh |  |  |
| or Ōlev'yörēdh | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |
| 8. Athmāhh | $\left({ }_{n}\right)$ | narex |

## Class II.

4. $R^{\prime} b h \overline{1}^{a}$
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## Conjunctive Accents．

| 13．Merkà | （，） | \％\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14．Mūnāhh | （1） | ציֶ |
| 15．Mūnāhh superior or Illūy | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | 戒 |
| 16．Tiphhhā or Tarhhā | $(1)$ | 20\％ |
| 17．Yerahh ben Yōmō or Galgal | （4） | 为景 |
| 18．Mahpakh | $\left({ }_{5}\right)$ | － |
| 19．Kadlmã or Azlā | （＇） | N10x |
| 20．Shalsheleth | （ ） | －نِّ |

Tsimnörith（ ${ }^{\sim}$ ）is not a distinct accent，but is written over a simple syllable preceding Merka or Mahpakh in
 syllable，in which case it supplies the place of Makkeph ה＂， has the same form but is written over the last letter of the word on which it stands，
a．Olev＇yoredh has a compound sign，resembling in form a combina－ tion of Merka and Mahpakh，the former written under the tone syllable， the latter over the syllable next preceding，$=\mathfrak{F}$ the initial syllable）over the last syllable or letier of the preceding word， 7， ultimate）over the first letter of the word to which it properly belongs or between the two words，$x$ for Olev＇yorerlh is divided between two words，which should be united by
 for R＇bhī ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mugrāsh resembles a combiuation of R＇bhiria and Geresh，the former on the tone syllable，the latter on the initial letter of the word，
 to indicate a slight pause without affecting the consecution；but it may likewise，when combined with three of the Conjunctives Shalsheleth，Kadhma or Azla，and Mahpakh，convert them into weak Disjunctives，capable of governing small sections of their own．
b．The names of the accents occurring in prose are explained § 29．$b$ ． Olev＇yoredh means ascending and desceuding；R＇bhīa Mugrāsh．Gereshed－ $R^{\prime} b h^{a}$ ；Tsinnor and Tsinnorith，a uater－course；D＇hhi，thrust back；L＇ghar－ meh，by itself，i．e．independent；Illuy，hiyh；Tarhha，toiling along；Galgal， wheel；Azla，going on．Tiphhha and Shalsheleth，though Dixjunctives in prose，are Conjunctives in poetry，hence the absence of Daghesh－lene after the former in $\operatorname{por}$ Prov．8：3，and the presence of Daghesh－forte Conjunctive after the latter in 4 ？

## Position of the Accent．

$\S 32$ ．The accent in Hebrew may fall either upon the ultimate or the penultimate syllable，but never at a greater remove from the end of the word．In the former case words are technically termed Milra（ from be－ low），and in the latter Milel（－う：from above）．

1．The position of the accent may be considered in relation either to the syllabic or to the etymological structure of a word，that is to say，as effected by the nature of its syllables on the one hand or of the elements of which it is composed as a significant part of speech on the other．It is so far determined by the syllabie structure of words，that a long mixed syllable or a short simple syllable，whether in the ultimate or the pemulti－ mate，must receive the accent，$\S 18.2$ ，thus：print，
 Sh＇va，or upon a vowel which has arisen from Sh＇va．

2．Considered in reference to their etymological structure，words exist in two conditions，（1．）their primary minflected state，by which their essential and proper meaning is conveyed；（2．）with added affixes and pre－ fixes，by which that meaning is variously modified．In their nude or primary state all words，whether primi－ tives or derivatives，are accented upon the ultimate，and so continue to whatever flexion，involving no terminational appendages，they may be subjected．Thus，信票，㑊，



3．The only exception is a class of words called Se－ gholates，in which the last rowel does not belong origin－ ally or essentially to the form，but is introduced for the sake of softening the pronunciation，§ 61．2：these


 the antepenult. The proper tone-syllable of this word is the ultimate, but upon the recession of the accent by $\S 35$, the vowel next preceding, which has arisen from Sh'va and is unessential to the form, cannot receive it, so that it necessarily falls upon the one still further back. In - Ex. 15: 8, a word of the same formation the accent takes the place of Methegh, $\S 45: 5$, comp. words in which the principal accent is followed by a compound Sh'va.
$\S 33$. The additions which words may receive at the begimning or end affect the accent in proportion to the respective weight accorded to them. Additions to the end of words are of two sorts, which may be distinguished as affixes and suffixes. Affixes are so welded to the word or merged in it that in the popular consciousness they have become an integral part of it, and their independent existence or separate origin is no longer thought of; such are the personal inflections of verbs and the terminations indicating gender and number in nouns and adjectives. Suffixes are not so intimately blended with the word to which they are attached as to have lost their individual identity and independent character, and consequently are of greater weight as respects the accent; such are the fragmentary pronouns appended to verbs, nouns, and prepositions.

1. If the appendage consists of a vowel (as $\pi_{+}, \pi, \pi$,
 $\square_{\tau}, \eta_{r}, \square_{0}, 7_{n}$ ), and can consequently only be pronounced by the aid of the final consonant of the word to which it is attached, it will attract the accent to itself or to its initial vowel from a noun, adjective, participle, or preposition, as解 a suffix, will receive the accent, unless the verb be in the 3 fem. sing. of the preterite, when the accent will retain
its original position unchanged. The accent will alsn remain in its original position on the verb, if the appendage be an affix, unless it is either dissyllabic or canses the rejection of the vowel previously accented; with a suffix a suffix


 TR. It is to be observed, however, that a paragogic $\Pi_{\mathrm{v}}$ or $\bar{T}_{6}, \S 61.6$, attached to nouns, pronouns, and adverbs, and occasionally a paragogic ". does not disturb the position of the accent, e. g. $\mathfrak{\gamma N}$,
 neither does the feminine ending $\Omega$, which is a Segholate formation, § 185, e. g.
a. The affix receives the accent in 2 Ruth $2: 8$ from not in the corresponding form latter instance a monosyllable follows, in consequence of which the accent would have been shifted to the penult by $\S 35.1$, even though its proper place had been on the ultimate. Paragogic $\pi_{y}$ receives the accent, though

2. The appending of a simple syllable, such as the suffixes $\sim$, will not alter the position of the accent provided it originally stood upon the ultimate; if, however, its original place was the penult, or if the syllable in question be attached to the word by a union vowel, the accent must be carried forward one syllable to prevent its standing

 appended to a word ending with a consonant mostly require a connecting vowel, and consequently shift the position of the accent. Affixes, by reason of the less weight accorded to them, commonly do not. The suffix

Ti follows the general rule when preceded by a union vowel, but draws the accent upon itself when it is not, e. g. $\mathbb{T}_{\widetilde{c}}, \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ long unaccented vowel, imasmuch as it converts the ultimate into a mixed syllable, necessarily draws the accent


3. A mixed syllable, whether an affix as $\quad$,

 .nּ. In the unusual form ם 2 Sam. 23: 6, the accent stands upon the union vowel.
4. The only prefixes which exercise any influence upon the position of the accent, are the Vav conversive of the future, which draws back the accent from a mixed ultimate to a simple penult, the Vav conversive of the preterite, which throws it forward from the penult to a simple ultimate, $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$

a. Some languages invariably accent the same part of the word; thus, Bohemian and Lettish the initial syllable, Polish and Lazian, one of the Caucasian tongues, the penult of all polysyllables. Others, in which more freedom is allowed, have no respect to the etymological structure of words, but are guided entirely by the character of their syllables. Thus, in Arabic and Latin words are accented according to the quantity of the penult; the accent is given to the penult if it is long, to the antepenult if the penult is short. In others still the etymological principle is the prevailing one, and this often has a wider scope than in Hebrew. Thus, in Greek the accent has the range of the last tluree syllables. In Sanscrit it may stand upon any syllable whatever even of the longest words. In English it is almost equally free, e. g. péremptorily, inconsiderátion, its removal from its primary position upon the radical portion of the word being conditioned by the respective weight of the formative syllables appended, e. g. pérson, pérsonate, pérsonally, persónify, personálity, personifuátion.
$\S 34$. The location of the accent being thus influenced by the etymological structure of words, it may serve to distinguish words of like appearance but different formation. Thus,
ciples, but rites, the feminine affix receiving the accent in one case


 23: 9 I shall see from
 was bitter from ing up.
$\S 35$. The position of the accent may be shifted from the following causes, viz:

1. A Conjunctive is frequently removed from the ultimate to a simple penult if a Disjunctive immediately follows, whether upon a monosyllable or a dissyllable accented on the penult, in order to prevent the unpleasant concurrence of two accented syllables in closely

 few exceptional cases the secondary accent Methegh remains to mark the original tone-syllable, after the principal accent has been thrown back, $\prod_{P_{\pi}}$

a. But the accent will not be shifted from one of the so-called grave


 94: 16. A mutable Tsere or Hholem may, however, be shortened and the
 infinitive and participles of verbs Tsere in the ultimate cannot be shortened to Seghol, but the accent may still be shifted and the secondary accent

 on the ultimate after the removal of the accent, if the word ends with the same letter with which the next begins, in order that the stress of the
 Num. 17: 23, or if it ends with the weak letter 3 preceded by Pattahh方:
2. The special emphasis given to the last word of a clause or section, and represented by what are called the pause accents, $\S 36,2$, $a$, is sometimes rendered more bistinct by a change of the accented syllable from the ultimate to the penult, e. g. "צw,
 cularly in the case of forms with Vav conversive of the future a few instances attracted to a short final syllable ending in a weak letter, e. g.
 final $n_{\tau}$ followed by an initial guttural, when greater energy of voice is necessary to distinctness of utterance, thus Num. 20: 4. 5, 21:5, before 3 Judg. 12: 3, 15: 10;


a. which the Jews read Isa.47:1,5, to prevent the confusion of similar sounds concurring at the end of one word and the begiming of the next. In $\begin{gathered}\text { Gが, } \\ \text { Gen. } 28: 2,5,6,7\end{gathered}$ the accent cannot be shifted. § 32.1, but the seconlary accent Methegh is given to the final syllable; so frequently to a final guttural preceded by


## Consecution of Accents in Prose.

$\S 36.1$. The second use of the accents is to point out the relation of words to one another. The Disjunctives indicate a greater or less separation between the word on which they stand and the following one; the Conjunctives indicate a comnection. The greatest separation of all is effected by Silluk, which is written under the last word of every verse, and is followed invariably by
 end of the verse). The next in power are Athnahh and S'gholta. When a verse was to be divided into two
clauses, Athnahll was placed under the last word of the first clause, Silluk maintaining its position at the end of the verse. If it was to be divided into three clauses, which is the greatest number that any verse can have, the last word of the first clanse receives S'gholta, the last word of the second Athnahh, and the last of all Silluk. Verses of one clanse range from Gen. 26:6, containing three words, to such as Jer. 13: 13 and 1 Chron. 28: 1, containing more than twenty: the most common division is into two clauses, e. g. Gen. $1: 1$ : three clauses are much less frequent, Gen. 1: 7 ... :
a. In Job 1:8 (except in Baer's edition) S'gholta occurs in a verse of two clauses without Athnahh, probably because the accentuation is conformed to that of Job 2:3.
2. Each of these clauses is capable of subdivision to whatever extent its length or character may seem to demand by the Disjunctives Zakeph Katon, Zakeph Gadhol, R'bhis', Pazer, and 'Tlisha Gh'dhola, accorting to the number of sections to be made and the various degrees of their completeness. Thus, in Josh. 1:8 the clanse of Athnalh is divided into five sections,

 choice of the accent to govern a particular section depends not only upon its power, but likewise upon its rank, the more exalted officer standing in ordinary cases nearer the sovereign. Accordingly toward the begiming of a clause an inferior Disjunctive will be used, even though the separation is such as would require an accent of much higher power to indicate it in a more advanced portion of the same clause. These accents, moreover, have not a fixed value like the stops in other languages; their power is not absolute but relative, and
varies endlessly with the circumstances of the case. Athmahh in Gen. 1: 1 marks the greatest division in the verse, but that is not sufficient to require a comma. In the next verse Zakeph Katon is equal to a semicolon in the first clanse and less than a comma in the second. In Gen. 27:16 the separation indicated by R'bhir is wholly rhythmical.
a. Those accents which, as above described, mark the limits of clauses and sections, are denominated pause accents.
$\S 37$. In the sections thus created the accents are disposed relatively to the Disjunctive which marks its close. Each ruler has his servant and subordinate officer, whose function it is to wait upon him. In other words, each Disjunctive is regularly preceded by a particular Conjunctive and inferior Disjunctive; and the train of accents in each section is formed by arranging the Disjunctives in their fixed order of succession with or withont their regular Conjmetives until all its words are supplied. The trains proper to the different sections are shown in the following table (p.52).
a. Accents of like forms are readily distinguishable in the table by the column in which they stand. Where perspicnity requires it the distinction will hereafter be made by appending their initial letters, thus: Kadhma ${ }^{\prime} k$ Pashta ${ }^{\prime n}$, Mahpakh \& $m$, Y'thibh \& $y$.
§35. Explanation of the Table.-The trains preceding the three principal accents are exhibited in the horizontal lines of the upermost division; those of the ordinary dependent sections in the middle division, and those of rare occurrence at the bottom.

1. Train of Silluk:-If Silluk be immediately precedel by a Conjunctive, it will be Merka; if a Disjunctive precede it in the same section, with or without an intervening. Merka, it will be Tiphhla, Gen. 1: 1. If there be a Conjunctive before Tiphhha, it will be Merka, Gen. 1: 1; if two Conjunctives, which occurs but fourteen times,

they will be Merka Khphula and Darga, Gen. 27: 25, Lev. 10: 1, 2 Chron. 20: 30. The next Disjunctive before Tiphhha, in the same section, will be T"bhir, Gen. 1:4. If T"blir be preceded by one Conjunctive, it will be Darga,

Gen. 1: 12, or Merka, Gen. 1: 26; if by two, the second will be Kadhma, 1 Sam. 15: 33, or Munahh, Gen. 2: 4; and if by three, the third will be T'lisha K'tanna, Gen. 2: 19. The next Disjunctive before T’bhir, in the same section, will be Geresh, Gen. 26:11, 27:4, or G'rashayim, Ex. 23: 4. If Geresh be preceded by one Conjunctive, it will be Kadhma, Gen. 24: 7, or Munahh, Isa. 60:17; if by a second, it will be T'lisha K'tanna, Gen. 2: 5, or Munahh with P'sik, Gen. 28: 9; if by a third, it will be Mmahh, 1 Sam. 14: 34; if by a fourth, it will also be Munahh, Deut. 1: 19.
a. The parentheses of the table contain alternate accents. Thus, Merka is substituted for Darga and for Mahpakh (before Pashta in the clause of Zakeph Katon) if no more than one vowel intervenes between the Conjunctive and the king which it precedes, e. g. Gen. 1:22; Gen. 1:24, 26; Gen. 5: 17; Deut. 1:2, 35. Munahh is also regularly substituted for Kadlıma, whenever the accent stands on the initial letter of the word, Gen. 25: 8; Gen. 19: 35; 1 Kin. 19: 7; Deut. 1: 28; Gen. 19:12; Eccl. 5: 7, unless 'T'lisha K'tanna precedes Gen. 2:5. G'rashayim takes the place of Geresh provided the accent is on the ultimate and it is not preceded by Kadhma either on the same or the previous word, Ex. $16: 23,36: 3$. When two accents are included in a parenthesis the meaning is that if an additional accent is requirel, these two will take the place of the one before the parenthesis. P'sik has no separate place in the consecution, but is joined with the other accents to modify their power. It is constantly associated with the Disjunctive Shalsheleth to add to its strength, and occasionally with the different Conjunctives to reduce their strength, but without disturbing the order of their consecution, e. g. with Merka Ex. 16:5, Munahh Gen. 22:11, Mahpakh Ex. 30:34, Kadhma Lev. 11:32, Darga Gen. 42: 13, T’lisha K'tamna $1 \mathrm{Sam} .12: 3$. It is frequently used to indicate a slight pause between closely related words, as where the same word is repeated, Gen. 22:11, 46:2, Ex. 16:5, or before or after the name of God Gen. 1: 5, 10, 27.
2. Train of Athnalh.-If Athnahh be preceded by a Conjunctive, it will be Munahh, Gen. 1: 1; if by a Disjunctive in its own section, it will be Tiphhha, Gen. 1:1. The accents which precede Tiphhha have already been mentioned in explaining the train of Silluk.
3. Train of S'gholta.-The first Conjunctive before S'gholta will be Munahh, Gen. 3: 3; if there be two, the
second will be Munahh, Lev. 8: 31, or Merka, Gen. 3:14. The first Disjunctive in its section will be Zarka, Gen. $1: 28$; and if this be preceded by one Conjunctive, it will be Mumahh, Gen. 1: 7, or Merka, 1 Chron. 5: 18; if by two, the second will be Kadhma, Gen. 30: 16, 31: 32, or Munahh Ex. 20: 9; if by three, the third will be T"lisha K'tanna Gen. 3: 14, or the second may be Munahh and the third Kadhma, Lev. 4: 35. The next Disjunctive before Zarka will be Geresh, Gen. 24: 7, or G'rashayim, Ex. 39: 3. The accents preceding these have been explained in 1.
4. Train of Zakeph Katon.-The first Conjunctive before Zakeph Katon will be Munahh, Gen. 1: 2, the second likewise Munahl, Gen. 3: 12, 27: 45. The first Disjunctive will be Pashta, Gen. 1: 2; or, if the proper place of the accent be the first letter of the word, Y'thilh, Gen. 1: 11, 2: 11. The first Conjunctive before Pashtia will be Mahpakh, Gen. 1: 9, or Merka, Gen. 1: 2; the second, Kadhma, Gen. 39:19, or Mumahh, Gen. 1: 12; the third will be T'lisha K'tanna, Ezr. 3: 11. The Disjunctive before Pashta will be Geresh, Gen. 1: 24, or G'rashayim, Gen. 1: 11; the further consecution is explained in 1.
a. In some instances Pashta is found not in the train of Zakeph Katon, but seeming to govern an independent section, e. g. Gen. 27: 37, Ex. 29: 20, Deut. 9: 6, Josh. 10: 11, 1 Sam. 14: 45, 2 Sam. 14: 7, 1 Kin. 18: 21, 2 Chron. 18: 23.
5. Zakeph Gadhol is mostly used instead of Zakeph Katon when no other accent precedes it in its own section, whether upon the same word or one before it.
6. Train of $\operatorname{In} b \bar{\imath}^{a}$.-TThe first Conjunctive before R'bhī ${ }^{\text {² }}$ will be Mmahh, Gen. 1: 9; the second, Mumahh commonly with P’sik, Gen. 2: 5, or Darga, Gen, 6:15; the third, Munahh with P'sik, Gen. 7: 23, 19: 14, 31: 29, or Merka, Ex. 14: 10. The Disjunctive before R"bhī ${ }^{\text {a }}$ will
be Geresh, Ex. 16: 3, or G'rashayim, Deut. 1: 11, which are preceded as in 1.
7. Train of Pazer.-Pazer may be preceded by one Munahh, 1 Sam. 14: 34, by two, Ezek. 9: 2, by three, 1 Sam. 14: 34, or by four, Isa. 66: 20.
8. Train of T"lisha Gl'dhola.-T"lisha Gh'dhola is the weakest of the Disjunctives which are ever set to rule independent sections. Its weakness is in fact such, that it is sometimes drawn into the section of a stronger Disjunctive; thus, in Gen. 1:12, Lev. 4: 7, 1 Sam. 17: 51, Isa. 9: 5, Neh. 5:18, it takes the place of T'lisha K'tanna among the antecedents of Pashta, standing between it and Geresh or G'rashayim; in Gen. 13:1, 21: 14, Deut. 26: 12, it stands similarly between T"bhir and Geresh or G'rashayim. And in many cases, perhaps in most, when it rules a section of its own, this is a mere subsection, not so much a division of one of the principal clauses as a fragment broken off from one of the larger sections at a point where T'lisha K'tanna would have stood had the comnection been sufficiently close to require a Conjunctive, e. g. Gen. 19: 2, 1 Kin. 20:28. That this is not always so appears, however, from examples like Gen. 7: 7, 37:7, 1 Sam. 17:51, 2 Sam. 14:32, Isa. 66:19, Jer. 39:5. T"lisha Gh'dhola may be preceded by one Munahh, Gen. 27: 46, by two, Josh. 2: 1, by three, or by four, 1 Kin. 2: 5.
9. Shalsheleth occurs but seven times, viz., Gen.19:16, 24: 12, 39: 8, Lev. 8: 23, Isa. 13: 8, Am. 1: 2, Ezr. 5: 12, and in every instance stands upon the initial word of the verse, and is accompanied by P'sik. It has consequently no antecedents.
10. Kar ae Phara is only used sixteen times. Its section never contains less than three words: its immediate predecessor is always Yerahh ben Yomo, to which may be
added one Munahh, Num. 35:5, Neh. 5:13, 13:5, 2 Chron. 24: 5; two, 2 Kin. 10: 5, Jer. 38: 25, Est. 7: 9, Neh. 1: 6, 2 Chron. 35: 7; three, Josh. 19:51, 2 Sam. 4: 2, Jer. 13:13; four, 1 Chron. 28: 1; or five, Ezek. 48: 21.
$\S$ 39. 1. The complete trains of the several accents contain one Disjunctive from each of the inferior orders, disposed in due succession of rank, with one Conjunctive immediately preceding the first class of Disjunctives, two Conjunctives preceding the second class, three the third class, four or more the fourth class. These trains are adapted to sections of different length and character by omitting such of the Conjunctives, and more rarcly by repeating such of the Disjunctives, as the mutual relations of the words may seem to require, and breskmg ofi the series as soon as every word in the section is supplied. Thus, while the general order of consecution is fixed and invariable, there is the utmost liberty and varjety in particular cases.

> a. In a very few instances the Conjunctives go beyond the number here assigned. Thus, Athmahh is preceded by two Munahhs in Gen. 40: 16 , Ex. $3: 4,1$ Sam. $17: 39$, Isa. $48: 11$. T'bhir is preceded by four Conjunctives, Josh. 10: 11,2 Chron. $22: 11$, Isa. $66: 20 ;$ Pashta by four, Ex. $5: 8,1$ Sam. $15: 9,2$ Kin. $5: 1$, and even by five, Jos. $19: 51,1$ Sam. $7: 10$.
2. If a section consists of but a single word, this will receive the appropriate Disjunctive, the entire antecedent series of the table being then omitted as umecessary;


 This, as has been already said, is the regular length of the sections of Zakeph Gadhol and Shalsheleth; but those of S'gholta are never composed of less than two words, and those of Karne Phara never of less than three.
3. In sections of greater length there is a disposition towards a regular alternation of Disjunctives and Con-
junctives upon successive words, e. g. Gen. 23:11, 24: 7, and consequently though two or more Conjunctives may be allowed before a particular Disjunctive, only the first of these is in the majority of cases employed. The actual relations of words may, however, so interfere with this regularity as on the one hand to cause the intervening Conjunctives to be dropped entirely, e. g. Gen. 1: 22, 1 Chron. 15: 18, or, on the other, to introduce as many Conjunctives as the table will admit, e. g. Gen. 3: 14. But if either of the three primary sections consist of but two words, the first must have a Disjunctive accent, however close its relation may be to the second, e. g.
 Gen. 19: 4.
a. Sometimes an excluded term of the series will take the place of the secondary accent Methegh, § 44. Tiphhhat is thus five times written upon the same word with Silluk, e. g. Num. 15: 21, and eleven times with Athnahh, e. g. Num. 28: 26. Mumahh, Gen. 21: 17, often stands upon the same word with Zakeph Katon; if the accent stands upon a mixed sillable and upon another than the initial letter Kallhma will take its place, Gen. 18:20, 44: 24, 45:14. Kadhma is also joined in this manner with Darga, Job 1:15, Munahh, Lev. 10:12, Merka, Judg. 21:21, Neh. 12: 44, Mahpakih, Lev. 25:46, and Geresh, Ex. 16: 15, $21: 22,35$. Mahpakh with Munahh, Lam. 4:9.
4. Occasionally a sulbordinate Disjunctive or its alternate is repeated in the same section with or without its
 Deut. 30: 20, 1 Sam. 20: 21, 2 Kin. 17: 36. Zarka, 2 Kin. 1: $16^{*},{ }^{\circ}, \quad$, so ver. 6, Gen. $42: 21$, Jer. 21: 4, Neh. 2:12. Pashta, Gen. 24: 14, 42, 48, 65; 1 Kin. 20: 9. Pashta, Pashta and Y'thibh, 2 Kin. 10: 30, Ezr. 7: 25. Geresh and G'rashayim, Gen. 28: 9.
a. There is a donble accentuation of a part of Gen. 35: 22, and of the entire decalogue, both in Ex. 20: 2-17, and Deut. 5: 6-21, which involves a double vocalization in certain words, e. g. Ex. 20:3, i. e. either : or or



## Poetic Consecution.

$\S 40$. 1. The principle of the consecution is the same in the poetic as in the prosaic accents, although there is considerable diversity in the details. There is a like division of verses into clanses and sections ruled by a Disjunctive at the end, which imposes upon them its own special train of accents. The sections are fewer, however, and the trains shorter than in prose, on account of the greater brevity of the sentences in poetry for the most part. But this reduction is more than compensated by the new complexity arising from the latitude allowed in the choice of Conjunctives.
a. The numerous errors in the poetical accents as found in ordinary Hebrew Bibles have been carefully corrected by Baer in his edition of Job, Psalms and Proverbs; and the laws of their consecution are elaborately stated by lim in the Appendix to Delitzsch's Commentary on the Psalter (1860) and by Dr. William Wickes in his Treatise on the Accentuation of the three so-called Poetical Books of the Old Testament (1881).
2. Verses may consist of one, two, or three clauses, distinguished by the three Disjunctives of the first class. If the verse contain but one clause, Silluk will be written upon the last word, Ps. 4: 1, 29:7; if it contain two clauses, the division will be made by Athuahh, Ps. 1: 4, 5,6 , or by Olev'yoredh, Ps. 1:2, 3:3, upon the last word of the first clause; if it contain three, the last word of the first will have Olev'yoredh, the last word of the second Athnahh, and the last word of the third Silluk, Ps. 1: 1, 2: 7, 3: 6. Clauses may consist of a single section when no subdivision of them is necessary; or they may consist of two or more sections, when the subdivision is effected by R'bhĩa ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Pazer, Great Shalsheleth or L'gharmeh.
a. Thus the Silluk clause is subdivided by R'bhin $^{\mathbf{a}}$ and L'gharmeh in Ps. 18: 51, and by Pazer Ps. 18: 2; the Athnahh clause by R'blin (twice),

Pazer, and L'gharmeh (3 times) in Prov. 30: 4; the Olev'yoredh clause by Pazer Ps. 5: 10 and by R'bhis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and L'gharmeh Ps. 5: 11. Great Shalsheleth is rarely used and only to subdivide the clause of Silluk, Ps. 34: 8, Job 32: 6; except in Job 11:6 it is only found on the fourth word from the end of the verse.
$\S 41$. The order of the accents in the various sections is exhibited in the following table:

|  |  | Conjenctipes. |  | Conjunctives. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal Sections. | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & s^{i}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 1< \\ , i \end{array}\right. \\ & ,\}_{1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | - | , 14 |
|  | $\wedge$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll} , & , \end{array}\right\}<\left({ }^{\perp i}\right)$ | $\checkmark$ | د $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text {, } i \\ <\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | < | $y\binom{1}{<}$ |  | $,\}<$ |
| Secondary <br> Sections. |  |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\cdots$ |
|  |  |  | $1^{\prime}$ | , ' |
|  |  |  | $1<$ |  |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{\prime}$ |  |

## Explanation of the Talle.

The accents, which are disposed horizontally, exhibit the series by which any given Disjunctive may be preceded. Alternate accents are disposed vertically or inclosed in parentheses. Illuy is represented thus $\lrcorner^{i}$, in distinction from Munahh .
a. (1) Train of Silluk. If Silluk is preceded by a single Conjunctive, this is almost invariably Munahh, provided the tone is on the first syllable, Ps. 1: 1, 2:10; otherwise it is Merka, Ps. 2:7, 12, to which Tsinnorith will be added, if a simple syllable precede in the same word Ps. 3: 2, 4, or in an antecedent monosyllable, Ps. 18: 8, 20; if L'gharmeh precede, it will be Illuy, Ps. 3: 1, 10: 14. If it be preceded by two Conjunctives, they will be Munahh, Tarhha, Ps.2:9, 3:6, or, (as Baer affirms, lut Wickes, p. 71 denies) if they are on adjacent syllables, Merka, Tarhha, Ps. 12: 8, Job 15: 22; in the inscriptions to eight Pralms ( $36,44,47,49,61,69,81,85$ ) they are two Illuys, and in three exceptional instances they are llluy and A 7 la , Ps. 109: 16, Prov. 8: 13 or Illuy and Mahpakh with Zimorith Ps. 68:20. If it be preceded by three Conjunctives, the first two will be Munahh and Tarhha, and the third Malpakh, if the tone is on the first syllable, Ps. $24: 10,39: 12$, or Malpakh with Tsimorith, if the tone is preceded by a simple syllable in the same word, Ps. 3: 5, 28:8, or in an antecedent monosyllable, Ps. $25: 15$; otherwise it will be Azla, Ps. 25: 8, Prov. 3: 27; the occurrence of llluy, Ps. 4: 8 is exceptional, and Wickes, p. 72, esteems it incorrect. Four Conjunctives only occur in the following instances, Ps. 3: 3, 32:5, 42:2, 47:5, and in some editions 54: 5 .
(2) R'Uhīa Mugrash. If Silluk be preceded by a Disjunctive in its own section, it will be R'blin Mugraslı. Silluk sections cannot have less than two, nor more than five words. If there be but one word before Silluk in its own section, this must have $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ bhi' Mugrash, however closely it may be connected with that which follows Ps. 4:6, 7:11; unless it have three vowels or two vowels and a vocal Sh'va, in which case it may have Merka, 1's.9:17, 11:5, 19: 10, 21:10. If there be two or more words befure silluk, these may according to the nature of the case all have Conjunctives, or R'bhir ${ }^{2}$ Mugrash may stand on the next before Silluk Ps. 5: 4. 8, or on the second with Munahh or Merka intervening P's. 3: 7, 4: 4, or on the third with Illuy and Mahpakh ligarmeh between I's. 3:1, 10:14. Two Conjunctives never come between Silluk and R'blīa Mugrash; Baer excejts only Ps.46:8,12, Wickes admits no exception. R'blīa Mugrasll may be preceded by one Conjunctive, Merka Ps. 5: 4, with Tsinnorith on a previous simple syllable in the same word, Ps. 5: 7, or in an antecedent monosylable, Ps. 12: 2; by two Conjunctives Merka, Tarhiha Ps. 5:8, 18:3; or by three, Merka, Tawha, Mahpakh, Ps. 47: 8, 66: 20, with Tsimnorith if there be a simple syllable before the tone P's. 119:52; Ps. 18:1, 34:8, 68: 15, 137:9 are exceptional.
b. (1) Train of Athnahh. If A thnahh be preceded by a single Conjunctive this is commonly Munahh, if it come after D'hhi, Ps. 2:7, 3: 2, other-
wise it is commonly Merka, Ps. 3:9, 5:9.10. If it be preceded by two Conjunctives, these will both be Munahhs, Ps. 2:4, 3:8, or with P'sik they will be Merka, Tarhha, Ps. 5: 2, 18:50. If by three, the first two will be as before, and the third will be Mah口akh Ps. 2:5, with Tsinnorith if there be a simple syllable before the tone, whether in the same word, Prov.17:12. or in an antecedent monosyllable, 1s.5:5; if, however, there be two vowels or a vowel and a vocal Sh'va before the tone, the third Conjunctive will be Illuy, Ps. 32:2, 40:9. Ps. 72:3, Prov. 1:9, 6:27 are exceptional. Athnahl is twice preceded by four Conjunctives, Ps. 65: 2, 96:4; and once by five, Prov. 3: 12.
(2.) D'Mhi. If Athnahh be preceded by a Disjunctive in its own section, this will be D'hhi. The section of Athalh may consist of but a single word, if Olev'yoredh immediately precede Ps. $3: 6,5: 13,29: 9$, otherwise of not less than two. In a section of two words Athnalh is sometimes preceded by D’hhi Ps. 7: 11, 11:3, more commonly by Merka Ps. 4: 6. 10: 10, which with the sole exception of Job 33:9 is always used after Olev'yoredh Ps. 10:18, 14:3. In sections of three or more words D'hhi may stand on the first word before Athmahh, Ps. $2: 8,5: 11$, or on the second, Ps. 2: 7, 3:4, but never at a greater remove. D'hhi may be preceded by one Conjunctive, Munahlh, Ps. 4: 4, 5: 6; or by two, when thw first will be Munahh and the second will follow the same law as the thind Conjunctive before Athnalh, thus it may he Mahpakh, P's. 4:3, 5:3, with Tsinnorith, Ps. 6: 11, 32:5, or it may be Illuy, Ps. 35: 15, 78:45 It is once preceded by three Conjunctives, Job 34:37; Baer finds two other examples Ps. 23:6, 56:1, which Wickes disputes. In a very few instances D'hhi occurs before Silluk in place of R'bhīa Mugrash, Ps. 25: 4, 31: 17. 109:16, Prov. 8: 13; more frequently before R'bhīa Mugrash in verses which have no Athabh clause, this being in a manner thus replaced, Ps. 4:5, 6: 1, 7: 10, 11:6, 22: 1 .
c. (1.) Train of Olev'yoredh. Olev'yoredh may be preceded by one Conjunctive, and one only, which is almost always Galgal, Ps. 1: 1, 3:3; but three times before P'sik it is Mahpakl, Ps. 68: 20, 85: 9, Prov. 30: 15, and three times Merka after Small R'bhin, Ps. 35: 10, 42:5, Prov. 30: 9. The section of Olev'yoredh never has less than two words. If it has but two, the first must receive the Disjunctive Small R'bhina, Ps. 4:5, 9:21. If it has three or more, and a Disjunctive stand on the word next preceding Oles'yoredh, it will be Small R'blī², Ps. 3:6, 35: 20; if one stands on the secomd word before Olev'yoredh, whether fullowed by R'blīia or by Galgal, or on any previous word of the section, it will be Tsinnor Ps. 3: 3, 22: 15, $28: 7$. Small R'blin ${ }^{3}$ is in but three instances written on the second word beforOlev'yoredh viz. those in which, as above stated, it is followed by Merka. Tsinnor is with like infrequency written immediately before Olev'yoredh, Ps. 55: 22, 106: 47, Prov. 8: 13.
(2.) Small R'bhī ${ }^{a}$. R'bhī${ }^{2}$, when it immediately precedes Olev'yoredh and is included in its section, is called Small R'blin ${ }^{-2}$ in distinction from Great $R^{\prime} b h^{-a}$ or $R^{\prime} b \overline{i n}^{\mathrm{a}}$ proper, which, though it has the same sign, fulfils
quite a different function, viz. that of dividing the principal clauses of a verse, and governing a section of its own, §40.2. Small R'bhir may be preceded by one Conjunctive, Merka, Ps. 9:7, 15, or by two, Merka, Malıakh, I's. 18:1, Prov. 6:26, to which Tsinnorith may be added, Prov. 23: 5.
(3.) Tsinnor. Tsinnor may be preceded by one Conjunctive, which will be Merka, if the tone is on the first syllable, Ps. 24:8, 32:9, or if the letter under which it stands has Daghesh either lene or forte, Ps. 6:3, 16: 4; otherwise it is Munahh, Ps. 12:7, 18:7. If it have two Conjunctives, the second will be Mahpakh, Ps. 24: 10. 27: 4.
d. Train of $R{ }^{\prime} b h \bar{q}^{a}$. R'blis ${ }^{2}$, when governing an independent section of its own, i. e. Great R'bhir may be without any antecedent, its section consisting of but a single word, Ps.4:4,5:4, or it may have one Conjunctive, which is commonly Mahpakh, Ps. 2:8, Prov. 7: 7, unless Pazer or L'gharmeh precede, when it is mostly lluy, Ps. 2: 12, 17:14, though if a simple syllable stand immediately before the tone it will be Malpakh with Tsinnorith, Ps. 4: 2, 15: 5; the Conjunctive, however, is Merka, when another R'blir precedes, P's. 17: 1, Prov. 4:4, and in a few other individual cases, Ps. 1: 1, 32: 2, Job 14: 19. It has two Conjunctives according to Baer in Ps. 55: 24, e6: 14; though Wickes points differently.
e. Train of Pazer. The section of Pazer may consist of but a single word, when it will have no antecedent, Ps. 17:14, 18:2. If it have one Conjunctive, this will be Galyal, Prov. 23: 29, except in a very few instances with I'sik, where it is Mahpakh, Ps. 50: 1, 59: 万. If it lave two Conjunctives, the first will be as before, and the second Malpakh if its place is on the initial letter of the word, Ps. 11:2, 31:11, otherwise it will be Azla, Ps. 13: 3, 31: 12. If it have three Conjunctives, the second and third will be Azla and Malpakh, Ps. 22: 25, 23: 4, Prov. 6: 3, in all of which Wickes clanges the pointing.
f. Train of Great Shalsheleth. Shalsheleth is preceded by Conjunctives in but three passages; it has Merka Ps. 89: 2, and Merka, Tarhha Job 32: 6, 37: 12.
g. Train of L'gharmeh. The section of Mahpakh L'gharmeh never consists of more than one word. The Conjunctive before Azla L'gharmeh follows the same rule as the third Conjunctive before Athnalhh, see $b$ (1); thus it may be Malipakih, l's. 8: 3, 12:5, with Tsinnorith, 1's. 3: 8, 9:21, or it may be Illuy, 1s. 7: 10, 9: 14. When it has two Conjunctives, the second is Merka; of this there are two examples, Ps. 117: 2, 143: 3.
$\S 42$. The trains of these several accents are adjusted to sections of varying length by expedients similar to those employed with the prose accents, viz.: 1. Omitting the Conjunctives in whole or in part. 2. Repeating the Disjunctives, e. g." Ps. 14:1 (in some editions), Ps.

17: 14, or their equivalents, e. g. D'hhi before "Ps. 7: 10, 9:1 $\S 41 . b(2)$. 3. Writing two accents upon
 4ne Ps. 18: 16. 4. Uniting two or more words by Makkeph, so that they require but a single accent. 5. Writing the different parts of a compound accent




## Makkepf.

§ 43. Makkeph (- joining) is a horizontal stroke by which two, three, or even four words may be mited.

 Ex. 22: 8, to the accentual system, words which are closely related being often connected in this mamer in order to obviate the necessity of moduly multiplying Conjunctive accents. Thus, the first fifteen words of Ex. 22:8 are in this mamer reduced to eight. Monosyllables are frequently, and some almost constantly, linked with the succeeding or preceding word, to which they are closely related. Examples are not wanting, however, of longer words
 1 Kin. 17: 21, Man Isa. 31: 4. This use of Makkeph is not to be confounded with that of the hyphen in modern languages between the members of a compound, as self-same, master-builder. Words united by Makkeph are still as separate as ever in character and signification; but they are pronounced together and are accented as though they formed but one word. Hence, whatever number of words be thus joined, the last only will receive
an accent. And, as a further consequence, if a word precoding Makkeph properly ends in a long mixed syllable, its vowel, if matable will, by the loss of the accent, be shortened, commonly receive the secondary accent Methegh, ת
a. The particles b, Makkeph, so are the nouns 7 , 5 and when in the construct state; the particle $\mathfrak{N}$ ? is commonly preceded by it. Before monosyllables or dissyllables accented on the penult Makkeph is inserted after the particles is, , לִּ , as well as sometimes after larger words which do not admit of the retrocession of the accent, e.g. $=\square$ ex. 22:4, Gen. 27: 32, is followell by Makkeph before words whose initial letter admits Dayherh-
 Makkeph in Gen. 15: 4, Num. 35:33, Neh. 2: 2; in all other passages $\mathbf{~ E x ~ i s ~}$

 following word. Sare united ly Makiph before a word bearing th diyjuctive accent Tiphhha, provided it has sh'va moder its initidl letter: otherwise the Makkeph follows אל, thas

 , it once remains according to some editions in
 Ps. 47:5, 60:2, Prov. 3: 12. Methegh remains in the place of the accem on tread similar words ending in Pattahh and a guttural, when they are united by Makkeph to words having a disjunctive accent on the first syllable

c. Makkeph is occasionally found in the middle of a long word, whici.
 laps rifore Isa. 61: 1. Sometimes worls are thus divided without a Makkeph to unite the sundered parts, e. g. ニ゙!
 (See Dr. Alexander's Commentary on this passage.) The last two examples are plainly intended by the punctuators to be read as separate words. This might likewise be done in the preceding examples if they were pointed -בּnּ

## Methegh.

§44. Methegh (arabille), a small perpendicular stroke under the initial letter of the syllable to which it
belongs, is a secondary accent denoting a stress of voice inferior to the main accent. As this latter always has its place in Hebrew either upon the ultimate or the penult, distinctness was promoted and monotony relieved, especially in long words, by giving prominence to one or more of the antecedent syllables. There is a natural tendency to heighten the force of the accent by passing lightly over the immediately preceding syllable, this diminished force creating in its turn a new stress upon that next beyond it, and so on in alternate elevations and depressions to the begiming of the word. Agreeably to the principle just stated, Methegh regularly stands in polysyllables upon the second syllable before the accent, and again mon the fourth if the word have
 Sitivone And so uon two or more words connected by Makkeph, which are pronomed as one, e. g.

a. When the nature of the syllalles requires it, $\S 32.1$, Methegh takes the place of the principal accent befure Makkeph irrespective of the position
 Num. 21: 33, ל,
$b$. It is to be observed that the position of Methegh is determined by that of the tone-syllable, not by that of the accentual sign when these are not coincident, as frequently happens with prepositives and postpositives,
 penult; and
$\S 45$. The secondary accent is liable to be shifted from its normal position for the following reasons, viz.:

1. If the syllable which should receive it is mixed, it may be given in preference to an antecedent simple
 ת such precede, it may be omitted altogether, e. g. Expers


6: 25 , except in certain cases which require it, e. g. ה.
a. Methegh regularly stands (see Baer in Merx Archiv for 1868):
(1) On a short vowel in a mixed syllable (except Kamets Hhatuph) in words having a disjunctive accent, provided it be separated from the accent or an antecedent Methegh by both a vowel and a vocal Sh'va (other than Hholem before a gutural with Hhateph Pattahh,
 24: 11 .
(2) On $\breve{e}, \breve{\imath}$ or $\breve{u}$ in the first of two mixed syllables, immediately preceding a disjunctive accent, when the vowel of the second syllable is $\breve{a}$ or ĕ, 5-5 followed by simple Sh'va is reckoned a short mixed syllable in the appli-

(3) On the first syllable of the Kal future sing. of perfect verbs, when the second vowel is shortened to Kamets Hhatuph before Makkeph, (2) Pob 3: 5, but 9: 9. 9 ,
(4) With the first syllable of junctive accent, as Gen. 3:15, Lev. 26:16; but Gen. 9: 9,

A very few isolated instances are not embraced in the preceding rules, e. g. הֶּ
2. It is always given to a long vowel when followed by a vocal Sh'va, whether simple or compound; also to a short vowel followed by compound Sh'va or a vowel which has arisen from Sh'va, the slight pronunciation proper to the Sh'va or its derivative giving new prominence to the preceding vowel,
 times to other intermediate syllables, § 20. 2, e. g. קמשׁׁ
 where a simple syllable precedes), חָּ Ina. 63: 7, 7 , Obad. ver. 11, particularly before the first radical of ה דָּ
 syllable standing in the first place before the principal
 14: 2, 的率 Jon. 1: 14, 4: 2.
a. Monosyllables ending in a long vowel, when followed by Makkeph, take Methegh in the first place before the accent, provided a vocal Sh'va
 dissyllables this is rare and exceptional 1 Chron. 28: 9.
b. Methegh is regularly attached to intermediate syllables in the following cases (See Baer in Merx Archiv for 1868):
(1) To the article $\boldsymbol{I}$ or the inseparable prepositions $コ, \Sigma, 3$ with the vowel of the article, before a vowelless consonant (other than Yodh) from which Daghesh forte has been omitted, provided they stand in the second place before the accent,

(2) To He Interrogative pointed with Pattahh (except before Yodh or a dagheshed letter), provided it stands in the second place before the accent, the Methegh in this case preceding the vowel for the sake of distinction, 9:2. In the books of Psalms, Proverbs and Job Methegh follows the vowel,
 Daghesh-forte, which sufficiently shows the following Sh'va to be vocal, Methegh is omitted as unnecessary, - 국 Isa. 27: 7.
(3) To the first syllable of or or before Makkeph or with the accent Pashta, a simple syllable precedes Methegh will in all cases be transferred to it, -
c. It hence appears how Methegh comes to be of use in distinguishing the doubtful vowels, $\S 19$, and to what extent it can be relied upon for this end. As it invariably accompanies the vowel of a simple syllable when followed by vocal Sh'va, it must always be found with $\bar{a}, \bar{x}$, and $\bar{u}$ preceding Sh'va, inasmuch as this will necessarily be vocal. Initial s $\bar{u}$, the unemphatic conjunction, is an exception with which it is not written, e. g.

 The absence of Methegh except in the case just mentioned, is consequently conclusive evidence of the shortness of the vowel. As, however, short vowels in intermediate syllables, and in a few rare instances even in mixed syllables, may receive Methegh, the presence of this sign does not of itself determine the vowel to be long; the uhimate decision must in this case depend on other considerations.
3. When by the operation of the preceding rule Methegh comes to stand in the first place before the accent, another Methegh is nevertheless occasionally found in the second place, the two thus standing in immediate succession, e. g. $G$ Gen. 32:22, and even three occur upon successive syllables, e. g.

Isa. 22:19 in some editions. But commonly where there is more than one Methegh, their position relatively to each other is governed by the same rules as the position of Methegh generally with relation to
 -20n
4. Methegh is sometimes written under an initial
 בֶּ
a. A Methegh so situated is called Gayā (Nellowing) by Jewish grammarians, and, according to Elias Levita, it occurs eighty-four times, the number yielded by its name arithmetically reckoned. The traditional rule was that the Sh'va, on which it stands, should be pronounced as a full vowel. Baer and others give the name of Gay a likewise to Methegh in mixed syllahles. Methegh upon a short vowel before a compound shiva was


5. The place of Methegh is frequently supplied by an accent chosen agreeably to the laws of consecution,

 22: 12.
a. Methegh with Sh'va occurs chiefly in connection with the poetic accents, and has relation to the intouing which it is one of their functions to direct. It indicates that the vowelless letter, upon which it stands, is to be dwelt upon and thas additional space gained for the various modulations of the voice that are prescribed. Baer gives the following rules:
(1) When Methegh would regularly fall upon an initial simple syllable beginning with two consonants, in words having a strong disjunctive accent not preceded by a Conjunctive, it is transferred from the vowel to the antecedent vocal Sh'va, Methegh is interposed hetween its two constituents, n Mr
(2) The divine names when, when , when accented with R'bhir not preceded by a Conjunctive, take Methegh on the initial Shiva, e. g. Ps. 2: 7, 25: 2, 68:8, 90: 1.
(3) Words accented with Olev'yoredh, R'hhia or D'hhī not precedel by a Conjunctive take Methegh on initial Sh'va, provided there is at least one vowel between it and the accent, and this is without Metheorh -

 Ps. 36: 7, Ps. 91: 15.
(4) with Munahh before D'hhī takes Methegh on the initial Sh'va, Ps. 17: 6, 116: 16.

Methegh falls on initial Sh'va with the prose accents
(1) When the word has G'rashayim or Pazer not preceded by a Conjunctive, provided there are at least two vowels before the tonesyllable and the first is without Methegh, (see rule (3) above), $=-$ ロ Ex. 25: 33.
(2) When the word has Darga as the second Conjunctive before R'bhia ${ }^{\text {a }}$, or Kadhma as the second Conjunctive before Pashta or T'bhir, or Munahh as the third Conjunctive before T"lisha, provided a nowel precedes the tone-
 34: 21.

Methegh in these cases requires a more vigorous pronunciation of the vowelless letter, which if slightly uttered might be inaudible.

## K’ri and K'thibh.

$\S 46$. Various notes extracted from the Massora
 are found in the margin of the Hebrew Bible, which are explained in the glossary at the end of most editions. The most important of these are the various readings
 If in any instance traditional usage sanctioned a reading different from that which was written in the text or the K'thibh, the punctuators did not venture to alter the text itself for the sake of making the correction; they went no further than to connect with the letters of the text the vowels of the word to be substituted for it in reading or the K'ri, with a reference to the margin where the letters of the substitute might be found. Thus, with
 יריצים. The vowels here attached to the K'thibh belong not to it but to the umpointed word in the margin, which is accordingly pronumciation of the K'thibh are not written, but must
be supplied from a knowledge of the form indicated by the letters, which in this case is in the text refers to ' $p$ ק is here $\cdots$, and the K'thibh, whose vowels are left to
 where the marginal note is "אیהז; the K'ri is accord-
 that a given word was to be omitted in reading, it was left umpointed, and the note $\quad$, $\boldsymbol{\square}$, written but not read, placed in the margin, e. g. פמבש 2 Kin. 5: 18, $\boldsymbol{T}^{7 \longrightarrow}$ Jer. 51: 3. If, on the other hand, a word was to be supplied, its vowels were inserted in the text and its letters placed in the margin, with the note
 the text ,., and in the margin Jer. 31:38 玉"ญ.
 To: is explained by the margin to stand for 18: 23 ? 23 for
a. The number of these marginal readings differs in different editions. Elias Levita states that there are 848. Others have computed them to be 1,000 ; others still, 1,200 .
$\S 47$. Sometimes a different reading from that of the text is suggested by the points alone without a marginal note being added in explanation, as when a particulare word or orthography is regularly substituted for another of frequent occurrence. These cases are presumed to be so familiar to the reader as to require no other index of their existence than the presence of the appropriate vowels. Thus, the divine name inrr, which the Jews had a superstitious dread of pronouncing, was and still is read by them as if it were
 in immediate connection, when, to avoid repetition, it is
read $\square$ as and pointed ring Gen. 15:2, Hab. 3: 19. The antiquity of this superstition is attested by the Kupros of the Septuagint, followed in the English as well as in other modern versions by the rendering Lord. The true sound of the name never having been noted, is now lost; the only clue that is left being its etymology and the form which it assumes in composition, $\S 62.1$, from which the conclusion has been drawn that it was mir Yahve. The common pronunciation Jehovah is manifestly founded upon the error of combining the consonants of this word with the vowels of another and an entirely different one. Other standing K'ris, unnoted in the margin, are wir, which is read Ni!, though the sound indicated by the


$\S 48$. In the absence of definite information respecting the origin and sources of these various readings, it is difficult to determine with absolute precision the weight to which they are respectively entitled. The current opinion of the ablest Hebraists, based upon a careful scrutiny of their intermal character and the relation which ordinarily appears to subsist between them, is that while the K'ri may perhaps, in a few cases, correct errors in the K'thibh, and so restore the original reading, it is in the great majority of instances an explanatory gloss rather than an emendation. With the rare exceptions already suggested, the K'thibh is esteemed the true reading, the object of the K'ri being to remove orthographical anomalies, secure grammatical uniformity, substitute usual for unusual, prevailing for obsolete words and forms, and occasionally to introduce euphemistic expressions. While the K'ri is probably not to be esteemed the original reading, therefore, it deserves attention as
the grammatical or exegetical comment of a steadfast tradition.

## Accuracy of the Points.

$\S 49.1$. All the Massoretic additions to the text designed to facilitate its reading have now been considered. The correctness of the pronunciation, which they yield, is vouched for not only by the esteem in which they are universally held by the Jews, but by the scrupulous minuteness of the system, its consistency with itself and with the vowel-letters of the text, its affinity with and yet independence of the vocalization of the kindred languages the Arabic and Syriac, and the veneration for the already established text which evidently characterized its authors, since they did not venture to change the text even in the slightest particular.
2. The only additional information which has come down to us respecting the true somnd of Hebrew words, is furnished by the mode of writing proper names in the Septuagint version, and the few Hebrew words prexerved by ancient authors, particularly Origen and Jerome. These have been subjected to an elaborate comparison with the Massoretic punctuation, and the result has been to establish their substantial agreement in the main, with, however, not a few remarkable points of divergence. In relation to this subject it should be observed, that the Hebrew prommeiation of the Seventy is inferred entirely from their mode of spelling proper names, not from words in living use in the language. The chances of inaccuracy, on the part of the translators, are here peculiarly great. Many names were not familiar and were of rare occurence; and as no system of vowel notation then existed, they were left entirely to their independent know-
ledge of the sound of each individual word. These words were written by them in a foreign alphabet, whose sounds did not coincide precisely with those of the Hebrew, and in which the proper equivalents varied somewhat according to their combinations. The true sound was also departed from sometimes because the laws of Greek euphony forbade its exact reproduction. The negligence with which they are chargeable elsewhere was also probably aggravated here, and in fact there are many instances in which they not merely deviate from the vowels but transpose or change the letters. Leaving out of view, therefore, such incidental discrepancies as are to be accounted for in the ways now suggested, a thorough and extended examination of the subject reveals, with all the general agreement, a number of regular and systematic deviations.
a. These are thus stated by Ewald, Lehrbuch, p. 71. (1) An $\breve{e}$ or $\breve{\imath}$ de-
 Maplou; and on the other liand, $a$ is sometimes written é, הonn


 their constituents $a i$ and $a u$ are substituted, ${\underset{F}{T}}^{F} \mathrm{~K} \alpha \iota \alpha \nu$, $\mathrm{N} \alpha \beta \alpha \cup$. (4) The vowel letters are softened into their homogeneous vowels x, in ourca, M-M oubaprp. (3) Vocal Sh'va is written as a full vowel, commonly $a$, or if an o follow, o, $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ Sodoura; tlee final vowel of Segholates is also written $\breve{o}$ if o precedes,

3. The regularity of these deviations seems to be best accounted for by the assumption that the pronunciation represented in the Septuagint is that which prevailed among the Jews in Egypt, which would naturally be less pure than that of Palestine represented in the vowel points, and which, moreover, betrays in the particulars recited above a strong leaning to Aramæan forms and sounds. Accordingly the view now commonly entertained is that the vowel notation of the Massorites is correct,
at least in all essential particulars, and that it is properly to be made the basis of all investigations into the phenomena of the language.

## Orthographic Changes.

$\S 50$. The signs thus far described represent all the sounds of the Hebrew language. Its stock of words is formed by combining these in various significant ways. The laws of such combinations, and especially the mutations to which they are subject, or which they occasion, next demand attention. When a particular idea has been attached to a certain combination of sounds, its different modifications may naturally be expressed by slightly varying those sounds. This may take place,

1. By the substitution of one letter for another of like character, and for the most part of the same organ, e. g.:
 plied to words, to prophesy; $\underset{F}{ }$ to strangle, $F \underset{\sim}{E}$ applied to sounds uttered in strangulation, to groan; go about as a spy, לֵּ to go about as a merchant; מָּנְ to collect, treasures; delicate, $F=$ thin; to make straight, balance, to urigh; $-=\begin{gathered}\text { first born, first ripe, } \\ \text { gen } \\ \text { the first portion of }\end{gathered}$ the day, the morning; Biּ - צָּ

 to bind, לבּ to bound;


 engrave, its causative its reflexive $=\underset{\sim}{2}$.
2. By the transposition of letters, e. g.:
$\mathfrak{O}-\underset{\sim}{\square}$ to deal violently,
 ntorehouses.

## 3. By the addition of a letter:

Thus, from the letters $\boldsymbol{\sim}$, in which inheres the idea of compression
 straitened, are formed to cut, res to cut off, in to cut loose, go auay, to shear,


$\S 51$. Such literal changes as those just recited not only serve to express new shades of meaning, but even where the meaning remains precisely the same, they may represent diversities of other sorts. Thus, the distinction may be,

1. In point of currency or style: One form of the word being in more common and familiar use, the other more rare and savoring, perhaps, of the elevated or poetic style, e. g.:

 to be quenched, once

2. Of antiquity: The pronunciation of a word or its form may undergo changes in the lapse of time. Of the few instances of this sort, which our imperfect data enable us to fix upon with some measure of confidence, the following may be taken as specimens, e. g.:

To laugh in the Pentateuch Frist, in other books (Judg. 16: 25 excepted) F which is the more frequent form in other books; $=, ~$, in the Pentateuch interchangeably with forms found in other books; a sceptre
 . היחך: היחק
3. Of Dialect: The same word may come to be pronounced differently by those who speak distinct though related languages. Thus, the cognate dialects,
particularly the Biblical Aramæan and Syriac, in very many words regularly substitute $\boldsymbol{x}$ for the Hebrew final $\pi$, and the corresponding linguals for the Hebrew sibilants, $\because=$ being sometimes still further weakened by the loss even of the lingual sound to that of the guttural ; ; e. g.:

Heb.


 Arab.
 ", ",
4. Of simple euphony: An alternate form of a word may be produced to facilitate its pronunciation or make its sound more pleasing, e. g.:



a. Mere varieties of orthography must not be mistaken for consonantal changes, e. g. 大iל occasionally for it and vice versâ, probably rizer for
 of the Samaritans, who, making no distinction in the sounds of these letters, perpetually confounded them in writing, Gesen. Sam. Pent. p. 52. A like faulty pronnnciation has been attributed to the Galileans, to which there is a probable allusion in Matt. 26: 73. Buxtorf Lex. Chald. p. 434.
$\S 52$. The changes thus far described result in the production of distinct words, and belong to the domain of the lexicon rather than of the grammar. The lexicographer regards such words as cognate, and traces them back to their common source; but, in the view of the grammarian, they are totally distinct. The mutations with which the latter concerns himself are such as take place in the direct derivation and inflection of words. 'Ihese are altogether euphonic, are more restricted in
their character, and take place within far narrower limits, than those heretofore considered. When words are subjected to grammatical changes their sounds are brought into new comections, attended, it may be, with a difficulty of utterance which demands some measure of relief, or they pass readily and naturally into other somens, which are easier of pronmenciation or more agreable to the ear. The mutations thus induced are of tliree sorts, viz.: Consonant Changes, the Conversion of Consonants into Vowels, and Vowel Changes. These will require to be considered separately.

## Consonant Changes.

§ 53. The first class of changes embraces those which affect the consonants. These mostly arise from the concurrence of two consonants, creating a difficulty in the pronunciation or yielding a sound displeasing to the ear. This may take place either at the beginning or the close of a syllable. Syllables in Hebrew may, and often do, begin with two consonants, § 18. 1; but the necessity of this is avoided in certain cases by the following expedients:

1. In the beginning of words the weak letter $\boldsymbol{i}$ is sometimes prefixed with a short vowel, thus creating a new initial syllable to which the first consonant may be transferred.
a. The only instances of this are afforded by the second and seventh conjugations of verbs, the Niphal and Hithpael, e.g. .药 probably for comp. Arab. takuttala. In fixed instead of $n$. Prosthesis is more common in the domain of the lexicon, where $\mathbb{X}$ is always the letter used, e.g. day. A prefixed $\underset{\sim}{x}$ is even occasionally employed to soften the pronunciation
 in Chaldee currence of two consonants at the beginning of a word is regularly obviated


## 2．The first of the concurrent consonants，if it has a

 comparatively feeble sound，is sometimes dropped．a．This occurs regularly in verbs whose first radical is ${ }^{-}$or $:$，and in nouns derived from such verbs，e．g．שיׁ for用 for בָּ
$x$ is thus dopped in from the begiming of the second syllable of words，e．g．年：Ezek．28： 16

 1 Kin．5： 25 with Daghesl－forte conservative for riss ；ニck Irov．8： 17
 examples likewise admit of a different explanation；© may give up its con－ sonantal power，losing its sound in that of the preceding vowel，agreeably to $\$ 57.2$（2），after which it may readily be dropped altogether．
$D$ is occasionally dropped from the participles of the Pual or fourth

 which appears to be for
$b$ ．The rejection of a consonant from the beginning of a syllable，when not immediately followed by another consonamt，is exceptional；as Judg．

 haps Jer．42：10，which seems to be for

## 3．The second consonant is sometimes dropped，if it

 is a letter of feeble sound．a．This is regularly the case with $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ of the article and of verbal pre－ fixes，and a the final radical of verbs，e．g．as for


It occurs besides in a few sporadic examples with these same letters， and more rarely still with s，＂，and $\ddot{y}$ ，e．g．It for －，， for $n$ and
 13： 20 for 2 ， for Am．8：8（K＇thibh）for
 Accho is ingenious and favomed by the occurrence of math in the parallel clause；but it is at variance with the points，which，upon this hypo－ thesis，should be
b．In rare cases this rejection occurs even after a mixed syllable，whose

for forte conservative for Fex.
$\S 54$. When the concurrence takes place at the close of a syllable, whether the second consonant belongs to the same syllable with the first as at the end of words, or to a different syllable as in the middle of words, the following changes may be produced.

1. An aspirate following another consonant loses its aspiration, $\S 21$; or if it be brought into juxtaposition with its like so as to form a doubled letter, the aspiration of both will be removed, $\S 23.2$, unless the combination occurs at the end of a word, where the reduplication is not expressed, $\S 25$. Thus,

 1: 14 for
2. The first of two concurring consonants is in certain cases assimilated to the second, the doubling thins occasioned being expressed as in the case of letters originally alike by Daghesh-forte, except at the end of words, $\$ 25$, where Daghesh disappears or is only virtually present, being resumed upon the addition of a fresh vowel or syllable. This is most frequently the case with the liquid 2 , rarely with $b$ and $\rightarrow$ and only in particular words; so $\boldsymbol{n}$ of the Hithpael of verbs before 7 and $\boldsymbol{\square}$, and in a few instances before sibilant and other letters, and 7 at the end of a few words before $\Omega$. Thus, $\bar{\beta}$ ? for
 א \% for
a. So perhaps y in enocording to Gesenius for actorn and for
 il-logical, ir-religion, im-mature formed by the negative prefix in.
3. A few isolated cases occur of the reverse process more common in Biblical Arameeic and Syriac, by which a doubled letter is resolved into two different consomants by the change of the first or the second member of the redupli-

 judgment of some for ends. though others make the a a radical, and give the word the sense of suares. The conjecture that Ps. 64: 7, Lam. 3: 22 is for 15 unnecessary and muwarranted.
4. When $\boldsymbol{n}$ of the Hithpael of verbs would stand before a sibilant, it is transposed with 0 and $\Psi$, and with 3 it is in addition changed to $\because$. Thus, Froce for -
a. In sequence of the number of similar letters which would thus be brought into proximity. In the cognate lamuages $\boldsymbol{T}$ is likewise transposed with ; and changed to 7 : thus, Aram. Arabic. The only example of a Hebrew verb whose first letter is i appearing
 Compare with these transpositions the frequent Doric change of $\zeta(=\delta \sigma)$ into $\sigma \delta$, as $\sigma \cup p i \sigma \delta \omega$ for $\sigma \cup \rho i \zeta \omega$.
$\S 55$. The occurrence of a consonant at the end of a word may, inasmuch as the succeeding word must necessarily begin with one, be regarded as an additional case of the concurrence of consonants. As the contact is less close, however, than when they meet in the same word, it is less fruitful of changes than in the cases already cousidered.
5. There are three instances in which it has been doubtfully conjectured that a final $;$ has been assimilated to a following initial $\because$; viz. be for

a. Final comsonants are in Sanskrit perpetually modified by the initial letter of the following word. But it is by no means clear that this is so in

Hebrew, even in the examples alleged, as the forms admit of a different explanation. See in regard to the first passage, Dr. Alexander's Commentary.
2. A few cases occur of the rejection of a letter, chiefly $j$ and $\Xi$, from the end of a word.
a. i of the verbal endings $i$ and $i n$. is almost alwars dropped, being only retained as an archaic or emphatic form, and chiefly at the end of a clause, e. g. monly
 in the derivative
b. In like manner $=$ is rejected from the dual and plural terminations of noms upon their entering into the close connection of the construct

$c$. If the feminine endings $n_{\text {_ }}$ and $n_{\tau}$ have, as is probable, a common origin, this may be best explained by the assumption that - is in many cases rejected from the termination, leaving only the vowel, though it is always retained when any addition is made to the word: thus, the con-

 does not estallish the possibility of an interchange between the consonants $\pi$ and $r$, because $\pi$ in this case represents not $h$ but the vowel $\bar{a}$.
$\S 56$. A few other changes remain to be mentioned which are due to special causes.

1. Nun is often inserted in certain forms of verbal
 Jer. 5: 22, or §53.3. a
 the English indefinite article an.
2. Vav at the begimning of words is changed to ",

 11: 30, 2 Sam. 6: 23 (K'ri), and the prefixes Vav Conjunctive and Vav Conversive.
3. Vav, though capable of being reduplicated, e. g. M Mn in most instances relieved from this necessity by the substitution of ${ }^{\wedge}$, or by doubling the following letter

a. In one instance after such a chanse of ${ }^{9}$ to ${ }^{4}$, a following " suffers the contrary change to "to prevent the triple recurrence of the same letter,
4. Yodll before the plural termination $\square^{\text {. }}$. is in a few cases changed to $\boldsymbol{N}$ to prevent the conjunction of like


 some read, -..־ショ) ver. 11.
a. In like manner 9 is changed to $\mathbb{N}$ before $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ in the word -ix for ninom from; it is consequently unnecessary to assume, as Gesenius does, a singular ixiz which never occurs.

## Change of Consonants to Vowels.

$\S 57$. The second class of changes is the conversion of consonants into vowels, or the substitution of the latter for the former. This occurs,

1. Occasionally in reduplicated syllables or letters,


2. Much more frequently with the quiescents.
(1) A prefixed $\eta$ is softened to its homogeneous vowel $\bar{u}$ before other labials or vowelless letters, e. g. n- $n=-\cdots ;$ the softening of an initial , to $i$ only occurs in 1 Chron. 2:13 for 13 : ver. 12, 2 Sam. 14: 19, Mic. 6: 10 for
(2) Medial or final quiescents without vowels of their own often lose their sound in that of a preceding vowel. This is invariably the case with 1 and ${ }^{\prime}$ following their
 , בִּ casionally even then, e. g. and medial $\boldsymbol{x}$ frequently, gives up its consonant sound after any vowel whatever, e. g.
a. Medial x regularly loses its consonantal power in the future Kal of Pe Aleph verbs, e. g.

 ing examples are of a more individual character, e. g. רַx, 1 Kin. 11:39 for הַ, Isa. 14: 23. In a few cases this has led to a change of orthography, the $\mathbf{x}$ which is no longer heard being dropped, or another vowel letter substituted for it, e. g. : for
$b$. The consonant $n$ never loses its sound in that of a preceding vowel like the rest of the quiescents. The letter $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is ofters used to denote a vowel, but if in any word it properly expresses a consonant this is never converted into a vowel, or vice vers $\hat{a}$. The exceptions are apparent not real, as in the
 חִוְקי The change here does not consist in the rejection of the vowel and the softening of the consonant $n$, but the syllable is dropped, whereupon final Kamets is written by its appropriate vowel letter, § 11. 1, $a$, just


 root of kindred meaning, of whose existence, though otherwise unattested, this word is itself a sufficient voucher.
(3) Medial $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ often gives its vowel to a preceding vowelless letter and rests in its sound; - occasionally does the same with a homogeneous vowel, when preceded by a vowelless prefix.



 for ON: for

 this with 1 , on the contrary, mize Cant. 5: $2,12$.
(4) At the end of words 4 and ?, when without a vowel of their own and preceded by a vowelless letter, invariably quiesce in their homogeneous vowels, $\rightarrow$ in an unaccented $\bar{u},{ }^{\cdot}$ in $\bar{i}$, which draws the accent upon itself and frequently causes the dissolution of a previous syllable and the rejection of its vowel, for
(5) When preceded or accompanied by heterogeneous vowels, $\rceil$ and are sometimes dropped, or if the vowel be $a$, they not unfrequently combine with it, forming the
 for
 of בִוּת
a. Vav rarely remains with a heterogeneous vowel unless accompanied by weak letters, by contrast with which it becomes comparatively strong, e. g. רִוֹחת, צִּוֹר,

## Vowel Cifanges.

$\S$ 58. 1. The third class of changes embraces those which take place in the vowels. The primary office of the vowels is to aid in pronouncing the consonants, to which consequently they are quite subordinate, merely occupying, so to speak, the interstices between them. Their number and variety being greater, however, than is demanded for this single purpose, they have besides to a certain extent an independent value and meaning of their own in the constitution of words. (1) Changes of vowels, while they camnot like a difference of consonants create distinct verbal roots, are yet fruitful of those minor modifications of which etymology takes cognizance, such as the formation of derivatives and grammatical inflexions, e. g. .
 killed; こo a horse, ה্No a mare. (2) They may indicate differences in the forms of words which have arisen in the lapse of time; in the Pentateuch means indifferently girl or boy, in later books girl is an in the Pentateuch he or she, in other books she is always wri; the form of the demonstrative is found only in Genesis, THin writers after the tine of Moses, in Ezekiel;
the plural of the demonstrative in the Pentateuch or res, elsewhere, with a single exception, ris. The imperfect notation of the vowels in the original mode of writing by letters alone has, however, left us without the means of ascertaining to what extent such changes may have taken place. (3) They may indicate diversity
 "َتَّ
2. The vowel changes with which orthography is concerned, on the other hand, are purely euphonic, being in themselves void of significance, and springing solely from the natural preference for what is easier of utterance or more agreeable to the ear. Orthographically considered, vowels are either mutable or immutable, the latter being maffected by those circumstances which occasion changes in the former. A vowel may be immutable by nature, or made so by position. A short vowel in a mixed syllable before the accent is ordinarily immutable by position, being beyond the reach of the
 vowels are immutable by nature in certain words or classes of words; but they are only distinguishable as such by a knowledge of the etymological forms which require them. It may, however, be observed, as a general though not an invariable rule, that the vowels of such words and forms as are prevailingly written with the vowel letters are less liable to mutation than those which are prevailingly written without them. Mutable vowels are liable to changes both of quantity, from long to short, and the reverse, and of quality from pure to mixed ( $u$ to $o, i$ to $e, a$ to $\breve{e}$ ) and the reverse, these changes being confined, except in rare instances, to the cognate forms; thus, $i$ never passes into $u$ or $o$, nor these into $a$. Only as $\breve{e}$ stands in relation to both $i$ and $a$, it serves to mediate
the interval between them，and thus accounts for the


a．The exceptional change from $u$ or $o$ to $e$ occurs only in the pro－ nouns，e．s． suffixes－i．There are also a few examples of the change of short
 plural ハージック・•
$\S 59$ ．The mutations of vowels are due to one or other of the following causes，viz．：1．Syllabic changes． 2．The influence of consonants．3．The influence of vowels． 4．The accent．5．The shortening or lengthening of words． As the vowel of unaccented mixed syllables is always short，and that of simple syllables long，§ 18.2 ，it is evident that a change in the character of a syllable will involve a corresponding change in its vowel，unless the accent interfere to prevent．Accordingly，when for any cause a mixed syllable becomes simple，its short vowel will be converted into a long one；and when a simple syllable becomes mixed，the reverse change will take place，e．g．- － $i$ and $u$ there is frequently an additional change of quality，
 in place of
a．Daghesh－forte is thus resolved by the prolongation of the previous
作々；ニーロ Lam．1：8，if this is for $\begin{gathered}\text { 2her } \\ \text { 2 }\end{gathered}$ see ver． 17 ；and if the conjecture of Cesenius （＇Theran rus，p．483）be correct as to the true reading in 1 Chron．23：6，

$\$ 60$ ．Contiguous consonants may give rise to vowel changes by their individual peculiarities，as is the case with the gutturals，or by their concurrence．The peculi－ arities of the guttmals are fourfold，viz．：

1．A preference for the vowel Pattahh of the same organ，into which，consequently，a preceding or accom－
panying vowel is frequently converted，e．g．惓 for
 from $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{E}$ ．
a．The instances in which this permutation occurs cannot easily be embraced under any general rules．In some cases it was optional；in others， usage decides for it or against it without，however，being absolutely uni－ form．The following statements embrace what is of most importance． （1）The stability of the vowel often depends upon the weight attached to it in the etymological form；thus，$\because=\sim$ in the imperative but not in the in－
 preceding the guttural is more liable to change than that which succeeds it，e．g．ニッּ （3）An accented vowel is sometimes retained where one unaccented would suffer change，e．g． to alteration than $i$ and $e$ ，e．g．for $; \vec{a}$ which is already cognate with the gutturals is mostly retained，though it occasionally becomes $\breve{a}$


 of this prefrence for $\breve{a}$ to a lmited extent，e．g． x－n from－ixa．

2．The reception of Pattahh furtive，$\S 17$ ，at the end of a word after a long heterogeneous vowel（i．e．any other than $a$ ），or before a vowelless final consonant，e．g．

a．This is necessary when the vowel preceding a final guttural cannot be converted into Pattahlı．Sometimes the form with Pattahh and that with Pattalıh furtive occur interchangeably，e．g．Find and rer with a slight distinction，as few instances a guttural preceding a final vowelless letter takes simple Sh＇va intearl of Pattahh furtive，e．g．rir 1 Kin．14：3，and in most editions $\underset{\sim}{2}$ Jer．13：25．As final $x$ is always either quiescent or otiant， it never receives Pattahh furtive．The letter 7 never takes it unless it be in a single instance，and that in a penultimate syllable 5n．Ps．7：6，which is probably to be read $y i^{a} r d \bar{o} p h$ ；thongh it might be pronounced yiradiloph， which some conceive to be an anomalous form for sin，after the analogy of $F$ ris． $\operatorname{Fi}$ ，6，the compound Sh＇va being lengthened into a vowel followed hy euphonic Daghesh，as in the related words ה Isa．1：6， and $ー-ワ$ Fsa．53：5，while others adopt the explanation of the old Jewish Grammarians，that it is a peculiar combination of the Kal $\quad$ ？ Piel $=1$－

3．A preference for compound rather than simple Sh＇va，§ 16．3，whether silent or vocal，inasmuch as the
gutturals are more readily made andible at the begiming than at the close of a syllable，and the hiatus accompany－ ing them assumes more of the complexion of a vowel than is usual with stronger consonants．
（1．The gutturals occasionally retain simple Sh＇va when silent．This is remulaty done by a final radical $n, \pi$ or $\mathfrak{y}$ ，followed by a servile letter， e．g．דֶּ， Hos．8：2，7，Gen．26：29，＝r， 2 Sam．21：6．Other cases have more of a casual or sporadic chanacter，and occur chiefly with the stronger gutturals $n$ and $\pi, \pi, n$, ，品品 but 4 ， rarely with $\mathfrak{x}$ and $\because$ ， ה－ the most part simple Sh＇va－：

b．（1）Among the compound Sh＇vas the preference，unless there is some reason for choosing another，is ordinarily given to Hhateph Pattahh，as the simplest and most in accordance with the nature of the gntturals，and to this an antecedent Hhirik，when unessential to the form，is commonly made to correspond，e．g．．

 frequently becomes Hhateph Pattahh upon the prolongation of the word
 forward of its accent
（2）If，however，$\breve{\imath}$ or $\breve{b}$ ，characteristic of the form，precede，this commonly determines the Sh＇va to be selected，e．g．． － intermediate syllable，§ 18．3，resolved into a simple one by prolonging the vowels，e．g．
 Daghesh－forte has been omitted from the guttural，e．g．．incris Jer．3：8， though even in this case the assimilation sometimes takes place，e．g．
 rejected from the form，the corresponding Hhateph is generally preferred，

 casional instances of the same word being variously written in this respect，
 ， －－ง．⿰亻弋 1 Sam．28： 14.
c．Before mother guttural the compound Sh＇va is fiequently replaced by the correxponding short vowel，e．g．המקרק ＝－ner for ans and occasionally under x by a long vowel before other letters as well as gutturals，or by a short vowel with Daghesh，e．g．
 disposition to render the gutturals more audible by the aid of a vowel is further shown by their attracting to themselves the vowel of another letter， particularly in triliteral monosyllables，e．g．$\because=7$ for דִ，
 םun Zech．7： 14 for cedent or accompanying vowel to be retained where analogy would require



4．An incapacy for being doubled，whence they never receive Daghesh－forte，and the previous sythable thus becoming a simple one，its vowel is generally lengthened， §59，$\breve{a}$ to $\bar{a}, \breve{\iota}$ to $\bar{e}, \breve{u}$ to $\bar{\sigma}$ ，е．g．

a．Sometimes an intermediate syllable，$\S 18.3$ ，is formed，and the vowel remains short．（1）This is commonly the case before $\pi$ ，frequently be－ fore $\pi$ ，less often before $\dot{z}$ ，rarely before $x$ ，never before $\rightarrow$ ，e．g．$E$ ，

 from Fジャ．（3）When the guttural comes to stand at the end of the word
 Ps．141： 8 from stances in which Dawhesh－forte is found in 7，e．g． $7:$ Ezek．16：4，下曰 Prov．14：10， see also $\S 24 . b$ ．
$\S 61$ ．The concurrence of consonants gives rise to the following vowel changes，viz．：

1．When two vowelless letters come together at the beginning of a syllable in contravention of the law in $\S 18$ ，the impossible combination is relieved by giving to the first of them a short vowel．This，if there be no reason for preferring another，will be the briefest of the vowels， Hhirik，e．g． If a vowel has been omitted from the word，the correspond－ ing short vowel is frequently employed，e．g．
 for tural，the vowel mostly conforms to the compound Sh＇va，


a. Vav lefore a guttural follows the rule just given; before $a$, and sometimes lofore a or $n$ followed by n, it takes Hhirik; before other vowelless letters it gives up its consonant sound and quirsces in its homo-
 ニทำ.
b. In triliteral monosyllables or final syllahles with the vowel Pattahh, the first letter sometimes receives an accented seghol, to which the fol-
 Fニּn for rata, the Seghols being liable to be changed to Pattahbs by

 different syllabses, and the introluction of the new vowel makes it necessary to lengthen the one before it.
2. Although two vowelless letters are admissible at the end of a word, $\S 18$, the hashmess of the combination is commonly relieved by the insertion of Seghol, e. g. $=-\ldots$.
 is mostly used instead, e. g. ras, If cither letter is ", its homogeneons vowel Hhirik is used; if the second letter is 7, it will rest in Shurek, § 57. 2. (4.), e. g. nent . בֶּ
a. The only instances in which two vowelless consonants concur at the end of a word are the pron. jussive and Vav Conversive forms of $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ verbs $\$ \$ 174.4,177.3$, Epin § 153. 2, the nouns
$b$. When the penultimate letter is $n$ or $n$, it in a few instances takes
 otiant, $\$ 16.1$, or requires Seghul. fuiesces in the antectent vowelm antracts it to itself, § 60.3. $c,-\times \mathbb{O}$
 turals lefore a vowelless letter at the end of a word by means of Pattahh furtive, has been explained $\$ 60.2$.
3. When the same letter is repeated with or without a mutable vowel intervening, there is often a contraction into one doubled letter, and the vowel is rejected or thrown lack upon the preceding consonant, e. g. :ex for


（see 4．below）；if another consonant immediately follow the contracted letters，a diphthongal vowel＂or $\ddagger$ may be inserted to render the reduplication more audible and prevent the concurrence of three consonants，＂תַּine，


4．In accented syllables the diphthongal vowels $e$ and $o$ are employed before two consonants or a doubled con－ sonant in preference to the pure $\bar{i}$ and $\bar{u}$ ，e．g．$=\underset{T}{\sim}$
 This is still the case when at the end of a word an auxiliary Seghol or Pattahh has been inserted between
 from no longer heard and the Daghesh－forte does not appear， § 25 ，e．g．
$a$ ．The vowel $\bar{e}$ is in like circumstances often reduced to one of its con－ stituents $a$ ，e．g． to its other constituent $i$ ，e．g．ニッ．from In from and from and $a$ is the orignal vowel of the second syllable，of which $\vec{e}$ and $\bar{\imath}$ are modifications．The only example of Shurek in a Segholate form is $\boldsymbol{r}$ Lev．5： 21.

5．In unaccented syllables $\breve{\imath}$ and $\breve{u}$ are preferred to $\breve{e}$ and $\check{\circ}$ before doubled letters，$\Omega$ ，




6．A vowel is occasionally given to a final consonant to soften the termination of the word，and make the transition easier to the initial consonant of that which

 15：10；㧚発：Ex．15： 5.
a．These paragogic vowels have established themselves in the current forms of certain words，as exceptions，they are chiefly found in poetry．The vowels ${ }^{7}$ ．and i are mostly attached to words in what is called the construct state，$\pi_{\tau}$ to words
in the absolute; and all of them to the feminine ending $\Gamma$. Examples of i: Num. 23: 18, 24:3, 15, Ps, 114:8. Examples of 1 :
 ver. 6, ver. 7 v,
 It is also attached to the first member of the compound in many proper


 3:3, 80:3, Jon. 2: 10, 34: 5, Ps. 124: 4, ה-- עob 5: 16, Josh. 19: 43, Judg. 14:1, and regularly in the third person feminine of the preterite of $n^{\prime \prime}$ berbs. In modern Persian $\breve{\imath}$ is similarly appended to noms in close connection with a following word, to remove the obstruction of the final consonant and serve as a uniting link. And in Hebrew they seem to be traces of forms once current but which became obsolete § 201. $e$.
$\S 62$. The changes due to the influence of vowels may arise from their concurrence or proximity.

1. Concurring vowels may coalesce; $a$ uniting with $a$ forms $a$, uniting with $i$ or $u$ it forms the diphthongal $e$
 jection of $x$ by $\S 53.2 . b$; nen

 an abbreviation of $\boldsymbol{r r r a}, \S 47$.
2. One of them may be hardened into its corresponding semi-vowel; $i{ }^{n}$. with $i^{\cdot}$. may form $i \cdot$., or the first $\bar{\imath}$ may be changed to $\check{\Perp}$, which, upon the reduplication of the " to preserve the brevity of the antecedent vowel,

 e. g. into $/ 1 r$, forming ${ }^{\circ}$. , which, by $\$ 56.3$, becomes ${ }^{\circ}$, e. g.
 lowed by $\bar{\pi}$ forms ix,

into $\breve{a y}$, which, joined with the appropriate semi-vowels, becomes ", and $r_{+}$, the virtual reduplication of the final consonant in the one case preserving the short vowel, which is lengthened in the other; thus "onth ". be-
 ... occurs before final 7 , forming 7 T:-, and by $§ 61.2$ F...,

a. Grammarians have disputed whether in such words as enבִִ, n whe the point in ${ }^{4}$ is Daghesh-forte or Mappik, § 26, and accordingly whether they are to be read ibhriyyim, malkhuyyoth, or ibhiyim, malkhīyöth. If the explanation given above be correct, it is Daghesh-forte Conservative. Comp. Eif,
b. Such forms as are only apparent exceptions to the above rules. The word is properly , and to this the additions are made, the auxiliary Hhirik being dropped with the cessation of
 from יַם and the vowels are kept separate by an interposed $\mathbb{N}$.
 $n_{\tau}$, i, $\Sigma^{\square}$, it might appear as though one vowel were rejected before another. But the correct explanation is that $\bar{\square}$ is the true final radical, and the forms above given are for ${ }^{n}$ is rejected by $\S 53.3$. In the same way . retained by preserving the antecedent vowel, which, before Daghesh-forte Conservative, becomes Hhirik, § 61. 5.
$\S$ 63. The following euphonic changes are attributable to the proximity of vowels, viz.:
3. Pattalih before a guttural is often changed to Seghol if another $a$ follows, and the same change sometimes occurs after a guttural if another $a$ precedes.

The particular cases are the following:






$b$. When (_) before a guttural is followed by another consonant with

 for
c．In הベゥが， 1 Sam．28： 15 and the combination change takes place after a guttural to prevent the repetition of the vowel $\bar{a}$ ；so in $P$ Ps．20：4，and

2．Pattahh is sometimes assimilated to a following Seghol，or to a preceding Kamets or Tsere．
a．The assimilation to（．）takes place regularly in what are called Segholate forms，in which an auxiliary Seghol has by $\S 61.2$ been intro－
 $\because-\mathbf{x}$ ，but $\pi$ ，

 ceding 9 ．
b．The assimilation to（ ${ }_{\sim}$ ）occurs in a few cases after a guttural with


c．The assimilation to（．．）occurs in the Kal future of Pe Yodh verbs where the altermate forms are $=\mathfrak{n}$ and
$\S 64$ ．The following vowel changes are due to the accent，viz．：

1．If a long vowel in a mixed syllable be deprived of its accent，it will be shortened，§ 18，e．g．．

a．If a vowel preceding Makkeph is incapable of being shortened，it will receive the secondary accent Methegh，agreeably to § 43.

2．The accent prefers to be immediately preceded by a simple syllable and a long vowel．Accordingly an antecedent vowelless letter often receives what may be called a pretonic vowel．This is commonly the simplest of the long vowels $\bar{t}$ ，e．g．${ }^{*}$ ally $\bar{e}$, e．g．． Such a vowel is sometimes inserted，even though a pre－ existing mixed syllable is thereby destroyed，e．g．in the plurals of Segholates and of feminine nouns derived from

$\S 65$ ．The special emphasis，with which the last word of a clanse is dwelt upon，gives rise to certain vowel changes in comection with the panse accents，$\S 36.2 . a$ ．

These are (1) lengthening short vowels, viz., (.) and not infrequently (.) which has arisen from (.) to (.), e. g. back Kamets Hhatuph shortened from Hholem to its original length nand ninn. (2) Restoring vowels which have been dropped in the course of inflection, e. g. .荡, (3) Changing simple Sh'va in triliteral syllables and before the suffix oit to Seghol,
 pound Sh'va to the corresponding long vowel, e. g.

a. Jittahh sometimes remains without change, Ps. 132: 12, 2 Sam. 2: 27, : $\because$ Jer. 7, 10, Neh. 5: 14, and once restored in a simple syllable 2 Sam. 3:34.
 mostly maltered; only Tsere is in mixed syllables occasionally changed to Pattahh, : bay Gen. 21: 8, 7h Gen, 25: 34; in one word of Segholate formation it
 Where the same word has alternate forms, one is sometimes selected as the
 -
 times, instead of changing the Sh'va before $T_{1}$ to Seghol, its vowel is shifted,
 pause accent, so far as it differs from that of the ordinary accent, has been explained § 35. 2.
b. Of the panse accents, or those which mark the limits of clauses and sections, the first class, viz., Silluk, Athnahh and Olev'yoredh almost. always give rise to the vowel changes which have been described; the second and third classes, S'gholta, Zakeph Katon, Zakeph Gadhol, R'bhîa and Shalsheleth, e. g. Shat Isa. 13:8, do so frequeutly; the fourth class, Pazer, e. g. 2 Kin. 3: 25, Prov. 30:4, and T'lisha Gh'dhola, e. $\underline{\text { g }}$. Ezek. 20: 21, but seldom. Pausal forms are occasionally found with other Disjunctives, thus, Tiphhha
 Ezek. 17: 15, 2 Chron. 2: 31.
§ 66. 1. The shortening and lengthening of words has an effect upon their vowels. The shorteming may take place
(1) At the end of a word by the rejection of a vowel.

This occurs only with (..) or (..) in certain forms of $n^{\prime \prime}$ verbs, e. g.
 In the last two examples the short vowel is lengthened upoa its receiving the accent, comp. §64. 1. If the rejected vowel was preceded by two consonants, these will now stand together at the end of the word, and be liable to the changes described $\S 61.2$, e. g. ה.
(2) In the body of a word by shortening a long vowel in a mixed syllable, which must, of course, be the one bearing the accent, $\S 32.1$, or rejecting a long vowel in a simple syllable before the accent (the pretonic vowel, § 64. 2),
a. This is in general the only reduction possible. The vowel of a mixed syllable, if short already, is capable of no further abbreviation, though $\breve{a}$ and $\breve{e}$ are in a very few instances attenuated to $\breve{\imath},-\cdots$ const. ץ בִּ or there would be a concurrence of vowelless consonants which the language seeks to avoid (prove $30: 6$ is an exception). And the vowel of a simple syllable, if short, must have the accent, $\S 32.1$, which preserves it from rejection. The changes above recited are confined to the last two, or, in case the accent is upon the penult, the last three syllables of the word; for the antecedent portions of polysyllables are already abbreviated to the utmost. Contractions due to the peculiarities of certain letters, as the gutturals and quiescents, which have been before explained, are not here taken into the account, e. g.

b. Where the last vowel cannot be shortened, it sometimes experiences a change of a quality from pure to diphthongal, such as is produced by the


2. If a word be lengthened by additions at the end, its vowels are liable to changes in consequence.
(1) Such additions create a tendency to shorten the previous part of the word in the manner just described. For the normal length of words in Hebrew being dissyllabic, the genius of the language is opposed to transcending this limit any further than is absolutely necessary. If the addition is not of sufficient weight to affect the position of the accent, no abbreviation results. But if it is of weight enough to remove the accent, an abbreviation
follows if it is possible for one to be made, e. g. ${ }^{-}$

(2) They produce changes in an ultimate mixed syllable. If the appendage begin with a consonant, the antecedent vowel will now be succeeded by two consonants and be liable to the changes consequent upon

 appendage begin with a vowel, it will attach itself to the final consonant, which will in consequence be drawn away from its own syllable to begin the new one. This may occasion the following changes:
(a) If the preceding vowel is an auxiliary Seghol or Pattahh, introduced to facilitate the pronunciation of the second of two vowelless consonants, § 61. 2, it will be rejected, inasmuch as it is no longer required for this

(b) If it be a short vowel, it must either be lengthened to adapt it to the simple syllable in which it now stands, or rejected on account of the disposition to abbreviate words upon their receiving accessions at the end, e. g.
 which a short vowel remains unchanged in consequence of its having the accent, § 18. 2, e.g. . 1 Kin. 19:15 from nanek. S: 2 from
(c) If it be a long vowel, it may be rejected, as

 of quality from pure to diphthongal or the reverse,



TABLE SHOWING TIIE CHARACTER AND AFFINITIES OF THE VOWELS AND THE ORDINARY LIMITS OF EUPHONIC CHANGES.


## PART SECOND.

## E T Y M O L O G Y.

Roots of Words.
$\S 67$. Etymology treats of the various kinds of words, their formation and inflections. Three successive stages are here to be distinguished. The first is the root or radical portion of words. This embraces those fundamental sounds, in which the essential idea originally inheres. Roots do not enter, in their nude or primitive form, into the current use of language, but they constitute the basis upon which all actually occurring words, with the exception of the inorganic interjections, are constructed. The second stage is the word itself in its simple uninflected state; this is formed, if a primitive, directly from the root, if a derivative, from a pre-existing primitive, by certain changes or additions, which serve to convert the radical idea into the precise conception intended, which is as yet, however, expressed absolutely. The third and only remaining stage is the word as it appears in the actual utterances of speech, so modified by inflections as to suggest the definite qualifications of the idea, such as the tense of verbs, the gender and number of nouns, and the degree of adjectives, or its relations whether of agreement or subordination, such as the persons and modes of verbs and the cases of nouns.
$\S 68$. There are in Hebrew, as in most languages, two
classes of roots, which may be denominated respectively pronominal and verbal. Pronominal roots form the basis of such words as express the relations of things to the speaker or to one another, viz., pronouns and certain prepositions, adverbs, and other particles. From verbal roots, which are by far the more numerous, spring words expressive of ideas, viz., verbs, nouns, and such particles as are derived from them. Verbal roots consist exclusively of consonants, and are ahmost invariably triliteral. The introduction of a vowel or vowels, even for the sake of pronouncing them, destroys their abstract radical character, and converts them into specific words of this or that description. Nevertheless, for reasons of convenience, the letters of the root are usually pronounced by the aid of the vowels belonging to them in the simplest form of the corresponding verb, which is mostly the third person singular of the preterite, e. g. הַטְ be suffered, however, to lead to the confusion of identifying that particular verbal form with the proper radical, nor of supposing the verb to be the radical part of speech from which nouns in all cases are derived: verbs and nouns are rather to be regarded as co-ordinate branches springing from a common root.
a. The few quadriliterals and quinqueliterals which occur are mostly formed from pre-existing triliterals by the addition of a weak letter, or a letter similar to one of the original radicals, e. g. to to lay waste comp. =ֹ; thoughts comp. 5 -令; to spread comp.習 to be fresh composed of - אַּ which are not thus reducible, may perhaps be of foreign origin.
l. Many of the triliteral roots appear to be based upon pre-existing
 the two letters id with the associated idea of cutting, $\$ 50.3$. The frecuent examples of this description, together with the fact of the ex:stence of a
 thought that the ultmate roots may in all cases have been biliterals, and
that the triliterals were a secondary formation. Various ingenious but unsuccessful attempts have been made to demonstrate this position by an actual analysis, and to effect the reduction of all roots to two primitive letters. Still more extravagant and fanciful is the endeavour, which has actually been made, to explain the origin of roots from the individual letters of which they are composed, and to deduce their meanings from the names, the shapes, or other peculiarities of those letters. The existence of roots and the meanings attached to them must be accepted as ultimate facts. Some have arisen, no doubt, from the imitation of sounds in nature; but in most cases no satisfactory reason can be given why a given combination of sounds has that particular sense, which is in fact connected with it.
$\S 69$. The formation of words and their inflection are accomplished partly by internal changes and partly by external additions. The internal changes are the insertion of vowels and the reduplication of consonants in various significant ways, e. g. . additions are significant syllables welded to the root or to the word, either at the begimning or the end, e. g. .
a. The triliteral and exclusively consonantal character of Semitic roots is their most remarkable peculiarity in distinction from those of the IndoEmopean languages which are as prevailingly monosyllabic, the vowel being an essential constituent, while the number of consonants is variable. The fact of the vowel being an integral part of the root in these languages interferes with their employment of internal changes for purposes of derivation and inflection, and confines them almost entirely to external additions, e. g. voco, vocabam, vocatio, vocabulum, vocito, etc. The composition of words of which such large use is made in the Indo-European tongues, e. g. ad-voco, in-roco, etc., is almost unknown in Hebrew except in the formation of proper names.
b. Different langnages differ greatly in their flexibility, that is to say, in the variety of words which may spring from a common root, and the number of forms which the same word may assume to express the various relations into which it enters. Relations, which in some languages are expressed by flection, as the cases of nouns, tenses of verbs, concord of adjectives, are in others indicated by additional words, as prepositions, auxiliary verbs, etc., or suggested by the order of words in the sentence.
$c$. Formative syllables, added either at the beginning or the end of words for the sake of inflection, are, in the ordinary consciousness of those who use the language, completely amalgamated with them, so that their separate origin and signification is never thought of. They are thus to be distinguished from those words which, by reason of their dependent character, are attached to others as prefixes or suffixes, but yet preserve
their separate identity as prefixed conjunctions and prepositions and suffixed pronouns.
$\S 70$. The parts of speech in Hebrew are either declinable as pronouns, verbs, and nouns (including adjectives); or indeclinable, as the article, adverbs, prepositions, conjunctions, and interjections. As most if not all of the syllables employed in the formation and inflection of verbs and nouns are of pronominal origin, it will be necessary to consider the pronouns first.
a. The classification usual with the Jewish grammarians is into verbs (

## Pronouns.

## PERSONAL PRONOUNS.

$\S 71$. The Hebrew pronouns are personal, demonstrative, relative, and interrogative or indefinite. The personal pronouns are the following, viz.:


There are, it will be perceived, distinct forms for singular and plural in the three persons, and for masculine and feminine in the second and third. There is no form for the neuter, as that gender is not recognized in Hebrew.
a. (1) The alternate forms of the first person singular ${ }^{\text {n }}$ (in pause (x) are used interchangeably and with perhaps equal frequency. It has been observed, however, that while the former is the more common in the Pentateuch, it never occurs in the books of Chronicles, and but once in Ezekiel, viz.: 36: 28, a passage borrowed from the Pentateuch. The usual
plural of this person is Ex. 16:7.8, Num. 32: 32, 2 Sam. 17:12, Lam. 5: 42; ; צֻׁt though common in later Hebrew, occurs but once in the Old Testament, viz., Jer. 42: 6 K'thibh, where the K'ri substitutes the usual form.
(2) The second person masc. sing. (in pause occasionally 2:7, 25: 27, 40:18, 70:6, but mostly ins is in five instances written without the final He , which is however restored in the K'ri, viz., 1 Sam. 24: 19, Ps. 6: 4, Job 1: 10, Eccles. 7: 22, Nel. 9: 6, and in three instances rux without the final vowel Num. 11: 15, Deut. 5: 24, Ezek. 28: 14. The feminine $\underset{\sim}{\text { na }}$ is occasionally written Judg. 17:2, 1 Kin. 14:2, 2 Kin. 4: 16, 23, 8: 1, Jer. 4: 30, Ezek. 36: 13; the K'ri invariably retrenches the superfluous $"$, though it is probable that the original pronunciation proper
 34: 31, where a few manuscripts read 伿; the alternate form Gen. 31: 6, Ezek. 13: 11, 34: 17; in Ezek. 13: 20 most editions have wx.
(3) The third person fem. sing. books of Moses, viz., Gen. 14: 2, 20:5, 38: 25, Lev. 11: 39, 13: 10. 21, 16:31, 20:17, 21:9, Num. 5:13, 14. In its stead is found N ? of the letters of the masculine with the vowel of the feminine. The explanation of this is that $h \bar{u}$ was at that early period of common gender and used indifferently for both masculine and feminine. As this primitive usage subsequently became obsolete, the word, when used for the feminine, was read nin according to the uniform practice of the later books, and the punctuators have suggested this by giving it the corresponding vowel, §47. According to Kimchi Ruth 1:13 and natin Sam. 4: 6, Jer. 50:5, stand for the masculine plural; this assumption is unnecessary, however, as in the first passage the feminine may have the sense of the neuter "these things," and in the last two it is an adverb of place, meaning here.
b. Words in such constant and familiar use as the pronouns are subject to more or less irregularity in all languages. The original plural termination, as will be shown more fully hereafter in the case of verbs and nouns, is 5 or $\ddagger \$ 85$. 1. $a(1), 201 . e$. In the first person the nasal is omitted ally traces in the inflections of verbs, e. g. . The vowel $\bar{u}$ however, which in the plurals of masculine nouns has been converted into $\bar{i}$, has in the pronouns undergone a still further modification into the diphthongal $\bar{e}$ ■ or cren. The distinction of gender is indicated in the plural not by affixing the characteristic termination of that gender as in nouns, but by a change of the final nasal. An unaccented $\pi_{T}$ is often added by § 61. 6 , to relieve the harshness of the consonantal ending.
c. In the technical language of the Jewish grammarians pronouns are called $\begin{gathered}\text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { cognomina; the first person is the the second }\end{gathered}$ present, the third בְבְצָא
$\S 72$. When the pronouns are used in their separate form as distinct words they have the forms already given.

When, however, they stand in a relation of dependence to verbs, nouns, and particles, they are appended to them in the following abbreviated forms, called the pronominal suffixes: (See Paradigm I, at the end of the volume.)

|  | simadiar. |  |  | muras. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Com. | . | ? |  | 2 |
| 2. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Masc. }\end{array}\right.$ |  | 7 |  | כֶ |
| 2. Fem. |  | 7 |  | \% |
| 3. Dasc. |  | T | $\square$ | - |
| Fem. | $\cdots$ | 7 | 1 | P\% |

In the first person singular ${ }^{4}$. is attached to nouns, and $\because$ to verbs. In the second person the palatal $\boldsymbol{\square}$ is substituted for the lingual $\pi$ of the separate pronoun. For a similar change in the first person see § \$5. a. (1). The modifications in the forms of the suffixes, occasioned by the endings of the words to which they are attached, will be considered hereafter, $\S \S 101,222$. The third plural forms $\square$, 际 are used with plural nouns; $\square, j$ with verbs and singular nouns.

The suffixes of the second and third persons plural
 former being mixed syllables, always receive the accent, $\S 33.3$, and tend more strongly to shorten the words to which they are attached than the latter.

## Demonstrative Pronouns.

## $\S 73$. 1. The ordinary demonstrative is-



The poctic form 7 is sometimes a demonstrative, Ps. 12: 8, Hab. 1: 11, but more frequently a relative (like the English thut), in which case it is used without change
for both genders and numbers. The feminine is occasionally written without the final $\Omega$ and with a different vowel letter it or i . The plural, coming from a different root, is sufficiently distinguished without the usual termination; and once in 1 Chron. 20: 8; in all other places the consonantal termination is softened by an appended $\pi_{\vartheta}$.
2. The singular of this pronoun is in a few instances compounded with $ל$ either without any change of meaning, or, as Ewald and Nordheimer follow Jarchi in supposing, in the sense of the remote demonstrative that. Thus (with the article in prefixed)-
a. The first form occurs twice in Genesis ( $24: 65,37: 19$ ), the third six times in the post-Mosaic books as a masculine (Judg. 6: 20, 1 Sam. 14: 1, 17: 26, 2 Kin. 23:17, Dan. 8:16, Zech. 2:8), and once as a feminine ( 2 Kin. 4:25), the second once in Ezekiel (36: 35).
3. The personal pronoun of the third person is used for the remote demonstrative that.

## Relative Pronoun.

$\S 74$. The relative who, which is wher which may be employed as a separate word, or may be shortened to a prefix שֶׁ with Daghesh-forte compensative in the following letter, unless it be a guttural and consequently incapable of receiving it, § 23.1. In a few instances the prefix $\because \in$ takes the vowel (_) followed by Daghesh-forte, Judg. 5: 7, Cant. 1: 7, Job 19:29; once it has ( $\left(_{r}\right.$ ) before $\aleph$ Judg. 6: 17, and twice (:) Eccl. 2: 22 (in some copies), 3: 18. The relative suffers no change for gender or number either in its separate or its prefixed state. Its objective relation to verbs and particles and its possessive relation to nouns are expressed without changing the
relative itself, or removing it from its position at the begimning of its clause by appending the appropriate
 who he sent him, i. e. whom he sent, תֵּun which its seed, i. e. whose seed. It may also receive an adverbial sense from being followed by the pronominal adverb
 whence.
a. The prefix $\underset{\sim}{\dot{~}}$ occurs to the exclusion of the full form of the relative in the Song of Solomon, and with great frequency in Ecclesiastes. There are besides occasional examples of it in other books, e. g. Judg. 5: 7, 6: 17, 7: 12, 8: 26, 2 Kin. 6: 11, 1 Chron. 5: 20, Job 19: 29, Ps. 122-124, 129, 133-137, 144, Lam. 2: 15, 16. The word Gen. 6:3 is in several ancient versions and in the common English translation rendered as though it were made up of the preposition $\underset{\sim}{\square}$, the relative and the particle $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\circ}}$ for that also; but the most recent interpreters derive it from the verb to err, and translate ir their erring.
b.

## Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns.

$\S 75.1$. The pronouns ${ }^{7}$ ? who? or whoever relating to persons, and $\rightarrow \underset{\sim}{*}$ what? or whatever relating to things, are employed both as interrogatives and in an indefinite sense. They experience no change for gender or number.

The vowel of T is regulated by the initial sounds of the succeeding word. Before a letter capable of receiving Daghesh-forte it is pointed -2 and the following letter is doubled, e. g. gutturals $\pi$ and $\pi$ it also commonly receives (_), e. g.
 weaker gutturals $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{y}$ and $\urcorner$, it commonly takes $\left(\begin{array}{r}\text { ) , e. g. }\end{array}\right.$
 9: 48. Before $n, \pi$ and $y$ with Kamets it takes ( $)$, § 63.

 ally when it has a disjunctive accent 1 Sam. 4:14,

范2 Kin. 1:7. In a few instances the final vowel letter is omitted and the interrogative is joined with the
 Mal. 1: 13, פָ: Ezek. 8: 6 K’thibh.
2. Another interrogative is formed by prefixing the particle what? 1 Kin. 13:12, Eccles. 11: 6, אָּ for what? why? Jer. 5: 7.
3. The words when when are always used in combination, or contracted into one equivalent to an indefinite or indeterminate pronoun, Eng. a certain one, Lat. quidam, Gr. o osiv $\alpha$; they are, however, derived not from pronominal but verbal roots.

## Verbs. <br> THEIR SPECIES.

$\S$ 76. 1. Hebrew verbs have seven different forms which have been denominated species or conjugations (בִּיְּנִּים buildings). These represent as many modifications of the verbal idea, and are as follows, viz.:

| 1. | ל | Kal | Simple active. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | 号 | Niphal | " passive. |
| 3. | بٌ\% | Piēl | Intensive active. |
| 4. |  | Pual | " passive. |
| 5. | ¢ | Hiphīl | Causative active. |
| 6. |  | Hophal | passive. |
| 7. | ! | Hithpaēl | Reflexive. |

a. The term conjugations was introduced by Reuchlin, and is very generally employed in Hebrew grammars and in those of the cognate languages. It must be borne in mind, however, that Hebrew conjugations are totally unlike the conjugations of Latin and Greek. The latter denote the various modes of inflection adopted by different roots. The former are modifications of the same root, which differ in meaning while their inflections are substantially alike. They correspond rather with voices or with derivative verbs, such as frequentatives and causatives, although they not
infrequently require to be translated by words radically distinct．The term species proposed by Schultens，though less commonly adopted，is more descriptive．

2．Kal means light，and denotes that species in which no other than the three radical letters appear，and these only in their single power．The other species are called heary（כְּבְים），because burdened by the reduplication of the radicals or the addition of other letters．Their names are derived from to do，which was the model for in－ flection，the form assumed by this verb in each species serving as its designation．Unusual verbal forms are in like manner denoted by the corresponding forms imposed upon its radicals．

3．Other techmical expressions，such as the names of the various classes of verbs，are also to be traced to this source．A verb whose first radical is a guttural，a Nun， or a Yodh，is called a Pe Guttural，Pe Nun（ $; / \bar{\prime} \mathbf{\#}$ ），or Pe Yodh（＂＂E）verb，Pe as the initial of becoming the techmical designation of a first radical generally．So a verb whose second radical is Vav is called an Ayin Vav （ $1 \times$ ）$)$ ；one in which He takes the place of the third radical， a Lamedh He（■ジ்）；one whose second and third radicals are alike an Ayin Doubled（ $シ^{\prime \prime} \dot{)}$ ），etc．
$\S 77$ ．The general idea of the several species already stated is liable to certain modifications in the variety of cases to which it is applied．

1．The Niphal is commonly the passive of Kal or of the simple idea of the verb， to steal，Ni．to be stolen； ニַֹּ to urite，Ni．to be written．

2．Sometimes，like the Greek middle voice which coincides with the passive in certain of its forms，it has

 repent，lit．to grieve one＇s self，$\mu s \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda, z \downarrow \alpha \iota$ ；or expresses
reciprocal action,
 some verbs it has both a passive and a reflexive sense, Ni. to be sold and to sell one's self; - ַַָּּר Ni. to be seen and to let one's self be seen, to appear.
3. Sometimes when the Kal is intransitive and does not admit of a proper passive, the Niphal is either identical with it in sigmification, $=-\mathcal{T}_{\tau} \mathrm{K}$. and Ni. to approach, or retains a shade of its original force by representing the state or condition not absolutely as in Kal, but as something effected and involving a change from another previous condition, be, Ni. to become.
$\S 78.1$. The Piel gives new intensity to the simple idea of the verb, by which its meaning is variously modified according to the nature of the case, Pi. to be very few; 枵 follow, Pi. to follow ardently, to pursue; to ask, Pi. to ask repacatedly and earnestly, to beg; create, as God, Pi. to form with pains and labour, as man; コַּּ to write, Pi. to write murh with the implication that
 great mumbers.
2. The energy resident in this species displays itself by signifying the producing or causing of that which is denoted by the simple idea of the verb, thus quickening intransitive verbs into transitives, and making such as were transitive before to be doubly so. In this, which is the more frequent case, it becomes virtually equivalent to a causative, Nָ to perish, Pi. to make to perish, to destroy; to learn, Pi. to teach, i. e. cause to learn. Both these senses are occasionally found united in the same
 to be very corrupt and to corrupt or destroy.
a. If the action be directed to the removal of its object, the Piel becomes privative, xָָ to sin, Pi. to free from sin, expiate, to free from stones.
3. Pual is the passive of Piel, and therefore can only exist wheu the sense of the latter is such that a passive is possible.
§79. 1. The Hiphil denotes the causing or producing of that which is signified by the simple form of the verb, and, as in the corresponding case of Piel, intransitive verbs become transitive, and such as admitted of one object before are now capable of receiving two: זיָּד to descend, Hi. to cause to descend, bring down; Niּ to come, Hi. to bring;
a. The causative sense in both Piel and Hiphil is sometimes weakened into a simple permissive, بִּלָּ permit to live Ex. 22: 17, Deut. 20: 16, perhaps permit to suffer Ex. 22: 21, הִּקִּ permit to be redeemed Ex. 21: 8 .
2. In some verbs Hiphil has an intransitive sense, but in most of these cases there is either an ellipsis of the object or the idea of production and causation can still be obscurely traced, zep Hi. to be attentive, prop. to make (one's ear) attend; Fin Hi. to be sweet, prop. to cause sweetness;
 old, prop. to acquire age. In a few instances both senses are found united in the same verb, פָּר Hi. to cause to bud and to put forth buds;
 fat and to become fat (comp. Eng. fatten).

## 3. Hophal is the passive of Hiphil.

[^0]species (from which it is formed, $\S 882$. 5), the particular shade of meaning being modified according to the circumstances of the case. (1) It indicates that the subject is likewise the direct object of the action, $\begin{aligned} \text { Pi. to deliver, }\end{aligned}$ Hith. to escape, deliver one's self; ; بְּ Pi. to justify, Hith. to justify one's self; ? Pi. to seek, Hith. to disyuise one's self, prop. to let one's self be sought for; חִּהּה Pi. to make sick, Hith. to make one's self sick whether in reality or in the esteem of others, i. e. to feign sichness; $\mathbf{n}$-Trer Hith. to show one's self wise whether in reality or in his own conceit. (2) Or that he is the indirect object of the action, which is for his benefit, or relates entirely to him, Pi. to open, Hith. to open for one's self; 異 Hith. to inherit (for one's self); ; Tive Pi. to make gracious, Hith. to implore favour, prop. to makie to be gracious to one's self. (3) Or that the action is mutual between two or more parties, Tep Pi. to bind, Hith. to conspire, prop. to band together; -iñ to see, Hith. to look upon one another.
2. This species is sometimes a mere passive like the
 Hith. to be atoned; بִּת Pi. to prepare, Hith. to be prepared. In a few instances the reflexive and the passive senses are found in the same verb, to be sold.
a. (1) The affinity between the Piel and Hiphil species is such as in very many verbs to render it unnecessary to retain them both, and one or the other has been allowed to fall into disuse. Where both exist, they are
 and Hi. to sanctify, or differ only in the frequency of their employment,
 other cases they are distinguished by adhering to those significations of the species in which they depart palpably from one another, ries Pi. (intens.) to grow luxuriantly, Hi. (caus.) to make to grow, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{P}$ P. (caus.) to make foolish, Hi. (intrans.) to act foolishly; or by developing them from different significations of the root, בדּ Pi. to bless (prop. to kneel in worship), Hi. to cause to kneel (as a physical


incense (to God); $\operatorname{Fin}_{\substack{2}}$ Mi. to change, Pi to change (the clothes); to strip, Pi. to strip (the slain in battle).
(2) It is still less common to find both Niphal and Hithpael in the same verb. Where this does occur they are sometimes used interchangeably, at others a distinction is created or adhered to, Ni, and Hith. to be poured
 to bless one's self; הָהר Ni. to be ploughed, Hith. to keep (one's self) quiet; - $\operatorname{FF}_{\mathrm{F}}$ Ni. to be bound, Hith. to conspire.
(3) When in particular verbs two species have substantially the same sense, it sometimes happens that parts only of each are in use, one supplementing the deficiencies of the other, or that one of the active species, losing its proper passive, is supplied by another whose corresponling active is wanting. Thus ריָ to be able has a Kal preterite and infinitive; but its future is Hophal (strictly, to be made able, but in usage the equivalent of Kal); シכֹ to be pale, דָּ to draw near, to be poured out, have their futures in the Kal but their preterites in the Niphal; Kal preterite but futnre Niphal (Ni. pret. only in Daniel); קָּחָּ to lead has the preterite and imperative Kal, but future and infinitive Hiphil (Hi. pret. twice); $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{r}} F_{\mathrm{T}}$ to wait for is used in the Piel except the participle which is Kal; Eov to adl has both a Kal and a Miphil preterite, which are synonymous,
 Kal has yielded to the Iliphil (strictly, to canse separation, destruction) but the Niphal is retained as its passive; in the active the Kal form and in the passive the Pual.
(4) All verbs are found in one or more of these species or conjugations, but very few in the whole of them. Of the 1,332 triliteral verbs in the Hebrew Bible, 530 appear in some one species only, 360 in two species, 235 in three, 118 in four, 70 in five, 12 in six, and but 7 in the entire num-
 know, 甬 to bring forth, species in which a given verb appears, is sometimes limited by the necessity of the case, as when its meaning will not admit of the modifications denoted by all the species; or by usage, as when certain species are dropped as unnecessary, the ideas which they would convey being expressed in another mamer; or by the circumstance that in the small volme of the Old Testament, examples may not occur of all the species which actually were in use.
b. Instances occur in which the active species, and less frquently the passives, derive their meanings not directly from the root, but from sume noun which las sprung from it. These are called Denominatives. Thus,



 Iu. almon t-shaped (


supply one＇s self with provision（ד）（ǐn）．A verbal form may occasionally
 an interjection， Hi ，and he stilled（הַ hush！）．

## Perfect Verbs．

$\S 81$ ．There is one normal standard for the formation of these several species and their further inflection，to which all verbs conform unless prevented by the character of their radicals．There are no anomalous or irregular deviations from this standard，such as are found in other languages，for which no explanation can be given but the fact of their occurrence．Whatever deviations do occur result from the presence of letters in the root which do not admit of certain combinations and forms，and compel the adoption of others in their stead．Verbs are hence distinguished into perfect and imperfect．They are styled perfect when their radical letters are capable of entering into all those combinations and exhibiting all those forms which conformity with the standard requires． They are imperfect when the root contains a weak letter， $\S 7.2$ ，or is otherwise so constituted as to lead to a de－ parture from the standard inflections．
$\S 82.1$ ．In perfect verbs the Kal is formed by giving Pattahh，or more rarely one of its compounds，Tsere or Hbolem，to the second radical as its essential or cha－ racteristic vowel，and to the first radical a pretonic Kamets，§ 64．2，thus：：
$a$ ．The number of verbs，perfect and imperfect，whose second radical has Tsere or Hholem or as they are technically called mildle $\bar{e}$ and middle $\bar{o}$ ，is quite inconsiderable．They are mostly of an intransitive signification．
（1）The following have Tsere，viz．：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 維 to be old. } \\
& \text { 范 to delight. } \quad \text { to be clean. }
\end{aligned}
$$


(2) The following have Tsere in pause, $\S 65$, or as a pretonic vowel, §64. 2, before a suffix, but Pattahh in other cases. Such as only occur in pause or with suffixes are printed with Tsere.


Several others are marked with Tsere in the lexicon of Gesenius, in which that vowel does not occur.
(3) The following have Hholem:

2. The Niphal is formed by prefixing to the letters of the root; thus,
3. The Piel and Pual are formed by doubling the second radical and attaching the appropriate vowels; thus,
4. The Hiphil and Hophal are formed by prefixing ה with the proper vowels; thus,
5. The Hithpael is formed by prefixing 5 ? to the con-
 radical be one of the sibilants $\boldsymbol{0}, \dot{\sim}$ or $\boldsymbol{U}$, the $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ of the prefixed syllable will be transposed with it,


## and in addition changed to $\because$, e. g,

 radical be one of the linguals 7 , $\because$ or $\Omega$, the $\Omega$ will be assimilated or united to it by Daghesh-forte,
## 

 transposition, which would bring three linguals in close connection, and
 wise assimilated to the sibilant $;$ in the only Hithpael form in which that

 remains without assimilation before 7 . The $\Omega$ may either be assimilated or
 It is assimilated to the $\mathcal{D}$ of


b. The seven species may, agreeably to their formation, be reduced to three with their derivatives, viz.:

| Active | 1. Kal | 2. Piel | 3. Hiphil |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Passive |  | Pual | Hophal |
| Middle | Niphal | Hithpael |  |

(1) The prefixed letters of the Niphal and Hithpael : and $\pi$ (with $\pi$ prosthetic, § 53. 1. a) are probably in their origin fragmentary pronouns signifying self. The idea primarily suggested is that of performing an action upon one's self; but in the Niphal usually, and in the Hithpael occasionally, the reflexive signification has, as in certain tenses of the Greek middle and in the reciprocal verbs of some modern languages, given place to the passive. In the Aramæan the forms with a prefixed $\underset{\sim}{\text { Pr }}$ have not only quite lost their original character as reflexives, but have superseded all other passives.
(2) The idea of causation in the Hiphil and Hophal, is not due, as in the Indo-European causatives, to the introduction of a syllable directly suggesting it. It appears to be primarily another intensive form, with which usage has ordinarily connected, as it frequently has with the Piel, the notion of productive energy or the quickening of an intransitive into a transitive As in the Piel and its derivatives, the idea of intensity is suggested by giving a doubled and consequently more intense pronunciation to the central radical; so in the Hiphil, by a like symbolism, the power of the root is augmented by the accession of a new initial syllable, the weak letter in merely supporting the vowel, like the corresponding $x$ in Aramæan and Arabic.
(3) The distinction between active and passive in the intensive and causative species is made by the vowels alone. In Arabic all the active forms have the vowel a, katala, kattala etc., and the passives $u$ and $i$, kutila, kuttila etc. So in Hebrew, as is obvious from their inflections, a was originally the vowel not of Kal only but of all the active species,

B-n being modifications of kattal and haktal. When in Arabic $i$ or $u$, as in lifebrew $\bar{e}$ or $\overline{\bar{o}}$, is the second vowel of the first or Kal species, it has an intransitive signification.
$\S 83$. If ${ }^{\text {Evep }}$ to kill be taken as the representative of the regular verb, the various species with their significations will be as follows, viz.:

$a$. It is in each case the third person masculine singular of the preterite which is given above, and the strict signification therefore is he has killed, etc. These being the simplest forms of the various species, however, and destitute of any sign of tense or person, are commonly used to represent the species; and in this sense the proper equivalent is the infinitive, which is the form used for designating verbs in English.
b. The verb ${ }^{2}$ is well fitted for a model, and is now generally so employed. The consonants, which compose its root, have no peculiarities to interfere with its inflection, it has a signification capable of being carried through all the species, and as it exists likewise in the cognate languages, it offers a good basis for their comparison. It occurs, indeed, but three times in the Bible, Job 13:15, 24: 14, Ps. 139: 19, and in but one species; still the very rarity of its occurrence only restricts it more completely to its use as a representative or typical verb. The old Jewish model
 count of the twofold sound of its initial radical $£$, which, with its Dagheshlene, might prove perplexing to begimers.
c. (1) The existence of other and less usual species is a needless assumption. The Poel, Pilel, Pilpel and the like, are not additional species but identical in character and signification with those already numed. The more copious Arabic, with its nicer shades of distinction, has greatly multiplied the number of its species or conjugations, incorporating into its standard paradigm forms corresponding to some of these which the Hebrew only occasionally employs. In the latter language, however, they are at the utmost alternate forms substituted in place of the ordinary ones, and found for the most part in the imperfect verbs, to the nature of whose radicals they owe their peculiarities of structure. When, as is the case in a very few instances, there is a double form to a particular species in the same verb, usage has mostly created an arbitrary distinction between them,
e．g．Pi．نֵי to uproot and applied to covenants and oaths，to ratify，and ap，in a physical sense，to raise up；Hi． alone．There is no objection to the employment of these names as con－ venient designations of particular modes of formation，provided it is under－ stood that they mean nothing more．
（2）There are very few instances of what may be called compound species：thus，Niphal of Pual ：户⿱口龰：Isa．59：3，Lam．4：14，to be exceed－ ingly defiled，stronger than the simple Niphal Le：Niphal of Hithpael

$\S 84$ ．To each of these species belong a preterite or perfect and future or imperfect，two forms of the in－ finitive，an absolute and a construct，a participle，and， except to the Pual and Hophal which as pure passives camnot express a command，an imperative．The Kal has both an active and a passive participle，one more，con－ sequently，than the other species．The preterite or perfect of each species is the form alrearly described， $\S 83$ ．The remaining parts are formed in the following manner，viz．：

1．The absolute infinitive is formed by changing the last rowel in Hiphil and Hophal to Tsere，and in each of the other species to Hholem，observing likewise that Hhirik in the penult of Piel and Hiphil is to be changed to Pattahh．（See Paradigm of the Perfect Verb．）This rule gives to Niphal the infinitive 流？！？，which form actu－ ally occurs，§ 91．b．If，however，the original Sh＇va be suffered to remain after the prefixed $\boldsymbol{z}, \S 82.2$ ，thus， לuF：a prosthetic will be required in order to its pro－ nunciation，$\S 53.1$ ．a，after which z will be assimilated to the following letter，$\$ 54.2$ ，and a pretonic Kamets， $\S 64.2$ ，added to the $P$ in order to give full effect to the reduplication；thus 虽，which is the form written in the paradigm．

2．The construct infinitive is formed from the absolute in the Kal by rejecting the pretonic Kamets，$\S 82.1$ ，in

Niphal by changing the last vowel to Tsere，and in the remaining species by making the last vowel conform to the corresponding vowel of the preterite．

3．The future or imperfect is formed from the con－ struct infinitive by the appropriate personal prefixes；if the first letter of the infinitive be - ，it is rejected，§ 53．3， and its vowel given to the prefix．
a．As the preterite tense is in certain cases used of the present or the future，and the future of the present or the past，it has been supposed that primarily and radically they denote the mode of an action as complete or incomplete rather than the time of its occurrence．It has hence become customary to designate them as the Perfect and the Imperfect．
b．（1）Some verbs take Pattahh in the last syllable of the Kal future instead of the Hholem of the construct infinitive．This is particularly the case with intransitive verbs．Snch as have Tsere in the preterite regularly take I＇attahh in the future；of the list given §82．1．a．（1）anl（2）but three ニ品， Hholem or Pattahh．Of verbs with middle $\bar{o}$ in the preterite three $3{ }_{\vec{F}}$ ，
 the future，or have imperfect letters in their root which obscure their true formation．
（2）The following verbs with Pattahh in the preterite have Pattahh likewise in the Kal future．Those which do not occur in the Kil preterite， or occur only in forms which do not reveal the character of the vowel fol－ lowing the second radical，are distinguished by an asterisk．Verbs having a Pattahh in the future，which is due to imperfect letters in the root， （e．g．Pe Yodh，Ayin Guttural，Lamedh Guttural），are not included in this list．

| るご to mourn． <br> ＊ลֵּ to learn． | קָּ to come near． <br> ？ּּׁ | $F_{7}$ to be attentive． <br> $\rightarrow$ to lie down． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊－®ָ to be strong． | P\％to kiss． | to rage or tremble． |
| S to be angry． | ＊－1．${ }_{\text {－}}^{\text {P }}$ to be poured． | ＊ニセָ่ to le wet． |
| To to berome vain． | Fごご（§86．b．）to ascend． | 7 to |
| －to be strong． | ！to love | －to spread． |
| T to be wise． | － | ，to rot． |
| ¢ $\square_{\text {¢ }}^{\square}$ to be dark． | ت to be remored． | ¢ to lie down． |
| ＊อ⿹勹巳．to be foolish． | צ to be righteous． | to rule． |
| to learn． | P to be lightly | to be |
| \＃to be sweet． | teemerl． | ＊－${ }^{\text {ere }}$ to grow fat． |

（3）The following with Pattahh in the preterite have both Pattahh and Hholem in the future．

| to deal treacher－ ously． | ＝חֲ to be hot． to be gracious． | To to bite． <br> 子䍗 to do． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fut． $\bar{o}$, to tear，fut． a，to resolve． |  fut．a，to be silent． | 踻 to strip off． ＝Dָ to use divination． |
| （mostly fut．ē）togo． | － | － $\mathrm{P}_{\text {¢ }}$ fut．$\overline{0}$ ，to cut off，fut． |
| sto to curse． | ， | ，to be short |
|  | 累 to trespass． |  |
| ＊ | So flee． | $\cdots$ to be finished． |
| fut．a，to be weak． | － |  |

c．Some imperfect verbs，chiefly Pe Yodh，take Tsere in the second syllable of the Kal future，e．g．a！ne，

4．The imperative has the same form with the con－ struct infinitive except in Hiphil，where the last vowel is Tsere as in the infinitive absolute．
a．Where the Kal future has Pattahh or Tsere the imperative takes the same．

5．The Kal active participle takes the form pund the passive $2 \boldsymbol{t}$ ．The participle of the Niphal lengthens the last vowel of the preterite from Pattahh to Kamets； those of the other species are formed by prefixing $\because$ to the construct infinitive，rejecting $\pi$ where this is the initial letter，$\S 53.3$ ，and lengthening the last vowel where this is short．
$\S 85.1$ ．The preterite and future are inflected through three persons，the imperative only in the second person， a command presupposing the form of direct address． There are also distinct forms for the singular and plural numbers and for the masculine and feminine genders． Verbal inflections are made by means of pronominal frag－ ments added to the end of the preterite and imperative， and for the most part prefixed to the future．
$a$ ．The following are the fragments used for this purpose in the various parts of the verb：

## Preterite or Perfect（עָָָּּ

（1）Singular． 3 rd pers．masc．The third person alone has no per－ sonal ending in any of its forms；as each of the others has such a termi－
nation, none was needed for the sake of distinction. Nothing more was required than to indicate the gender and number. The masculine singular is expressed by the simple form of the species with no appended sign whatever.

3 fem. The original feminine termination is $\Omega_{-}$, which, appended to
 Lamedh He verbs and occasionally elsewhere, $\S 86 . b$. Commonly, however, in verbs as in nouns and adjectives, the final $n$ is dropped, §55. 2. $c$, and the previous vowel, which thus comes to stand in a simple syllable, is lengthened,

2 masc. The appended ${\underset{T}{T}}^{\sim}$ is derived from
2 fem. $\quad$ from
1 com . changed from of of the suffixes $\underset{\sim}{7}$, $k$ unaltered, katalku.

Plural. 2 com . The original plural termination $\S 71 . b$. is a nasal $\boxminus$ or i preceded by the vowel $\%$. The full ending is still found in a very few instances, $\S 86 . b$, generally the $;$ is dropped $\S 55.2$. $a$.

2 masc. $=\underset{\sim}{\text { n }}$ from
2 fem. 证 from
1 com. from wá

## Future or Imperfect (

(2) In the inflections of this tense the signs for person are prefixed, those for gender and number are mostly affixed; the fragmentary pronoun stands first as the subject and the verbal predicate assumes the appropriate forms of gender and number to agree with it.

Singular. 3rd pers. masc. The prefixed is from ; the vowel $\bar{u}$, which distinguishes the masculine pronoun, is changed to the corresponding semivowel $\uparrow$, and this at the begiming of words becomes ${ }^{7}, \S 56.2$.

3 fem. $\quad$, the sign of the feminine, is here prefixed.
2 masc. and fem. The prefixed $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{O}}$ is from which is derived the appended ${ }^{4}$. of the feminine.

1 com . The prefixed $\underset{\sim}{x}$ is from
Plcral. 3 masc. and 2 masc. The same plural termination as in the preterite is appended to the corresponding singular forms.

3 fem. and 2 fem. The feminine plural is, as in the pronouns neng ה: tion ${ }^{7}$. being dropped as superfluous.

1 com . The prefixed 2 is from șִ.

## Imperative (דִּ), etc.

(3) No designation of the person is here necessary as the second is the only one in use. Gender and number are indicated by the same terminations as in the corresponding person of the future. The future forms will, in fact, in every case directly yield those of the imperative by rejecting the prefixed $\underset{\sim}{\text { n. }}$, the sign of the second person, and restoring the $\pi$ in those cases in which it has been suppressed.
(4) The Infinitive ( an abstract verbal noun commonly masculine, but sometimes with a feminine termination.
(5) The Participle (בֵיהּ intermediate between the preterite and the future) shares the inflections of nouns and adjectives. The $\geqslant$ prefixed in several of the species is from the indefinite pronouns ${ }^{\circ}$ or or

## 2. The inflections of the perfect verb in all the species

 are shown by Paradigm II at the end of the volume.a. In order to a better understanding of the paradigm, it should be observed that certain changes result, from attaching the personal inflections to the verb, which are to be explained by the general laws of sounds and syllables.
(1) The prefixes of the future occasion no changes unless they stand before $n$ which is rejected, and its vowel given to the prefix, $\S 53.3$, e. g. ? prefix becomes Hhirik, §61. 1, thus forming a new syllable to which the initial radical is attached, e. g. .ent. Where N of the first person singular would receive Hhirik, it takes the diphthongal Seghol instead, §60.1. $a$ (5), e. g.
(2) Terminations consisting of a vowel, viz., $\boldsymbol{i}_{\Gamma}$ and ${ }^{-}$. of the feminine singular and $s$ of the plural, occasion the rejection of the vowel in the ultimate, § 66. 2, which is no longer needed, except in the Hiphil whose long ${ }^{7}$. is retained in the preterite and future, and takes the place of (.) in the imperative, e. g. . the rejection takes place although it creates a necessity for the furmation

(3) Terminations consisting of a consonant 0 or a simple syllable חָ, , occasion no change, except the compression of the antecedent vowel, which now stands before two consonants, to (_) in the jreterite, and from 9 . to (.) in the future, $o$ retain the Hholem in the Kal preterite,
(4) Terminations consisting of a mixed syllable n , same compression of the vowel of the ultimate, and inasmuch as they always receive the accent, $\S 33.3$, they likewise cause the rejection from the penult of the Kal preterite of the pretonic Kamets, which owes its existence to the proximity of the tone syllable, § 82. 1,

# Remarks on the Perfect Verbs. 

## KAL.

§ 86. a. Preterite. Verbs with middle Tsere exchange this for Pattahh upon the accession of a personal affix beginning with a consonant. Those with middle Hholem retain this vowel, unless it be deprived of the accent when it is shortened to Kamets Hhatuph, The second vowel, whatever it be, is regularly dropped before affixes beginning with a vowel, but here, as elsewhere throughout the paradigm, is restored and if need be lengthened on the reception of a panse accent,
 are by Kimchi, Mikhlol fol. 5 regarded as Kal preterites from howed, in which case the second must be added to the list of forms with Dagheshforte emphatic, § 24. $c$, by Gesenius as Niphal preterites from comp. הֵּ
b. Sing. 3 fem . The old form with $\Omega$ is found constantly in Lamedh He verbs, occasionally in Lamedh Aleph, and in two instances besides, Fbits Deut. 32:36 (with the accent on the penult because of a foliowing mono-
 once written in place of n, xiza Ezek. 31:5 K'thibh, § 11. 1. a.

2 masc. The vowel letter $\pi$ is sometimes appended as in the pronoun xrom which the termination is taken, 17:4; so in other species besides Kal, now Gen 31:30,
 is united by Daghend-forte with the $\pi$ of the personal affix; this union regularly occurs between roots ending with $n$ and affixes beginning with



2 fem . The full termination of and Ezekiel and occasionally elsewhere,



1 com . The vowel letter ${ }^{\wedge}$ is, contrary to the ordinary rule, § 11. 1. $a$, omitted in four instances in the K'thibh, though it is supplied by the K'ri,
 haps
解 1 Isa. 26:16, and $\mathfrak{F}$ Hholem before the panse accent causing the rejection of the Kamets, which is a pretonic vowel and can only remain in the immediate vicinity of the accent; the form is thus sufficiently explained withont the necessity of assuming it to be the future of a verb with nowhere else occurs. An otiant $\kappa, \S 16.1$, is twice added to this person, as is regularly the case

appearance character as the x is in these a radical, whose vowel has been shifted to the preceding letter, § 57. 2. (3). The occasional omission of the vowel
 Deut. 21:7, דָה Josh. 18: 12. 14. 19, difference of reading. The words of the text are in the singular, and
 : jects, § 48.

2 masc. and fem. There is no example of a verb middle $\bar{o}$ in the second person plural; the forms in the paradigm are inferred from analogy, to indicate which they are enclosed in parentheses. In is added to the 2 fem . as to the corresponding pronoun.

1 com . When the last radical is ; it combines with the $J$ of this person by Daghesh-forte,
§ 87. Infinitive. The Hholem of the construct is usually written with-
 and before Makkeph is shortened to Kamets Hhatuph, § 64. 1, - בְקְ Gen.
 absolute infinitive is usually though not invariably written with 9 , e. g. בָּ Isa. 48: 8 but $\operatorname{\text {نָּ}}$ Lev. 15:24, and is immutable. The construct infinitive has Pattahh in place of Hholem in 1 Kin. 1:21 et passim and Eccles. 12: 4. The feminine form of the construct infinitive occurs repeatedly in imperfect thongh it is of rare occurrence in perfect verbs, e. g.


 description with the exception of $\operatorname{Hi}$ Hos. 5: 2. In Pe Yodh and Lamedh He verbs the feminine is the customary form.
§ 88. Future. 3 masc. The Hholem is commonly written without Vav, though often with it
 rejected by the K'ri if found in the K'thibh, e. g. - Mos. 8: 12; in -לִּ The Josh. 18: 20 the Hholem remains. The vowel of the last syllable is rejected, as is the case throughont the paradigm, upon the reception of a vowel affix, §66. 2, unless retained or restored by the pause accent, $\S 65.2$, Mrov. 8: 15, Mer, 10:2; twice, however, instead of rejection Hholem is changed to Shurek form appears in the K'thibh, Prov. 4: 16 .

3 ferr. The sign of the feminine is in two instances added both at the
 22: 21, paragogic $i_{r}$ being appended to the former, § 97.1 , and a pronominal suffix to the latter. A like duplication of the sign of the second



2 fem . $;$ is sometimes added to the long vowel with which this persor
 45: 10 . Occasionally the feminine ending is omitted and the masculine form used instead, e. g. חִּ Isa. 57: 8.

1 com. For Ps. 139:8, though by some grammarians referred to Foi,
 forte conservative inserted in the previous letter, § 53.3.

Plur. 2 masc. and 3 masc. The full plural termination $\dagger$ is of more frequent occurrence here than in the preterite, the vowel of the second radical being either retained or rejected, Ruth 2: 9, 9 ,

 species, Ps. 58: 2, 2 Kin. 6: 19, 2 , Mic. 2: 8, : 2 , chiefly found at the end of a clause or verse, the pausal emphasis delighting in lengthened forms, or before words beginning with a weak letter, to separate the final vowel more completely from that of the following initial syllable. In the judgment of Nordheimer ridicu Isa. 35: 1 preserves this ending in a still older form; Ewald thinks the final ; has beon assimilated to the initial $D$ of the following word, § 55. 1; possibly however, - may here be, as it usually is, the 3 plur. suffix, and may be rendered as in the common English version shall be glad for them.

3 fem . In a very few cases the initial ${ }^{4}$ of the masculine form is retained, the distinction of gender being sufficiently marked by the termination Dan. 8: 22, other hand, the termination $:$ of the masculine is retained, the gender being
 37: 7; sometimes the gender is ueglected entirely and the masculine form used for the feminine, e. g. Hos. 14: 1. The assmmption that the
 17: 16, necessary; in the first passage inne, the subject of the verb, is used in a collective sense, wars shall occur. Comp. $1 \mathrm{Kin} \mathrm{5:} \mathrm{17;} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{others} \mathrm{are} \mathrm{to}$ be similarly explained with the exception of the last, where may be the suffix with Nun epenthetic in place of the more usual form her hanl-she puts it forth. Comp. Obad. ver. 13.

2 and 3 fcm . The vowel letter A is occasionally in the Pentateuch, and
 when there are other vowel letters in the word,
 Pentatench, three times in Ezekiel, and once in 1 Samuel.

When the root of the verb ends with; this is united by Daghesh-forte with the affix
 editions. So in the fem. plur. imperative, הַקָּ Gen. 4: 23.
§ 89. Imperative. Sing. masc. The Hholem of the last syllable, as in the future and infinitive construct, is mostly written without 9 , e. g.
 Hhatuph מְְ: Judg. 9: 14. lt may perhaps be similarly shortened without Makkeph in שְֶׁ Judg. 19:5, comp. ver. 8, § 19. 2. b, or the vowel may be Kaniets lengthened from Pattahh by the accent, which does occur, though rarely, with conjunctives, § 65. $b$.

Fem. sing. and masc. plur. The vowel of the first syllable is commonly Hhirik, but under the influence of the rejected Hholem it is occasionally
 Ex. 12: 21, for the Methegh see §45. 2), שָּלָּ Zeph. 3: 14,
 the restoration of the original vowel by the pause accent, the vowel under the first radical is dropped as no longer necessary, Zיבּלדו: Nah. 2:9. When the third radical is an aspirate it rarely receives Dagheshlene in this mood though preceded by Sh'va, § 22. a. (1); such cases as

 occasionally $\pi$ is not written though the vowel remains, $\quad$, $\quad$ Ruth $1: 9$, . קרקרא Ruth 1: 20.
$\S 90$. Participles. Active. The Hholem of the first syllable is written indifferently with or without Vav, mand mithout when additions are made to the word. In $\boldsymbol{r}$ Prov. 25: 19 Shurek is substituted for Hholem, unless, as Ewald suggests, it is a Pual participle with $P$ omitted; or, as others propose, it is to be taken as an abstract noun. The Tsere of the second syllable is written without n except $2 \begin{gathered}\text { h } \\ 0\end{gathered} 2 \mathrm{Kin} .8: 21$; it is shortened to Seghol in Deth Isa. 41: 7, upon the recession of the accent,
 38:5, Eccles. 1: 18 have been improperly regarded as participles with Hhirik in place of Tsere. The former is the Hiph. fut. of the verb $\bar{\square}$ found in Arabic though it occurs only in this place in Hebrew, and means thou wilt enlarge; the latter is the ordinary Hiphil future of construction is elliptical, $I$ (am he who) will add. Participles are rarely formed from neuter verbs, yet adjectives of the same form with the preterites middle $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{o}$ being mostly used instead,

Passive. This, in the few cases in which it is in use in intransitive verbs, has the sense of the active, dwelling,



There are a very few instances in which participles appear to be inflected in the different persons by means of the terminations proper to the preterite. This, although common in Syriac and Palestine Aramæic, occurs in Hebrew only in the following examples.

2 fem. sing. $\quad$ -
 have regarded these terminations as personal inflections, because the simple form of the feminine participle and that which it always has when joined with a noun of the third person, is $n=$ Gen. 17:19, and with " paragogic


2 masc. plur. $\operatorname{Ezek}$. 8: 16, the Hithpael participle of The prophet instead of simply describing their act, may be supposed to repeat the language of the idolaters themselves regarding it, thus indicating their complacency in their iniquitous worship, and they (said to one another) ye are worshipping; the anomalous word, which is less surprising in Ezekiel than it would be elsewhere, being indicative, as Lightfoot and others suggest, of the anomalous character of the transaction. Such, however, is the abruptness and the difficulty of the construction that the greater number of interpreters assume an error of the text for proper plural of the participle.

3 plur.:
 Gesenius, as a confusing of two distinct readings, the participle
 conjecture is unsustained by a single manuscript, and Nun epenthetic never occurs with participles. The word may be regarded as the plural of the participle inflected after the manner of the preterite, with the added suffix, so that the proper pointing would be that the initial $\boldsymbol{a}$ belongs to the preceding word, comp. $2 \mathrm{Sam} .23: 6$, and that the verb is a preterite.

## NIPHAL.

§ 91. a. Preterite Sing. 3 masc. Some copies have Jer. 50: 23 with Seghol under the prefixed Nun for
b. Infinitive. The following may be mentioned as examples of the
 2:27, אֲ Jer. 32:4, which once appears with prosthetic $x$ in place of $n$ Ezek. 14:3
 16:36, but is in one instance $\operatorname{Ps}$. 68: 3, formed as in Kal by rejecting the pretonic Kamets from the absolute. There are a few examples of the
 The prosthetic is commonly retained after prefixed prepositions לְ which are less closely connected with the word than the formative prefixes of the future; it is, however, rejected in Dan. 11: 34, three times in rix(where some suspect that the infinitive is Kal and propose to point rive) but תixane 2 Sam. 17:17, and in rixite Ex. 10:3. The Tsere of the last syllable of the construct infinitive, as well as of the future and imperative which are formed from it, is shortened to Seghol upon losing its accent.
 2In Mob 18: 4. In the Imperative one, that with Tsere only occurring in Isa. 7:4. The pretonic Kamets of this species is singular in not being liable to rejection on the shifting of the

c. Future Sing. 1 com. The prefixed $x$ occasionally has Hhirik,


Plur. fem. Tsere rarely remains in the second syllable ratara Ruth 1: 13, being, as in the Piel preterite, commonly changed to Pattahh before the concurring consonants, $-24: 2$, so with a pause accent, Msa. 13: 16 K 'ri, Zech. 14: $2 \mathrm{K’ri}$, the original form, is, however, placed in the paradigm.
d. Imperative. Ewald regards צִּקְ Isa. 43: 9, Joel 4: 11, Jer. 50: 5, as imperatives without the usual $\pi$ prosthetic; Böttcher as preterites used in a precative sense; both assumptions appear to be needless and unwarranted.
e. Participle. In 1 Sam. 15: 9 contemptible, is in form a Niphal participle from the noun $-\underset{\sim}{-1}$

## PIEL.

§ 92. a. The intensive species is usually formed by doubling the second radical; in is doubled instead, an expedient resorted to repeatedly in Ayin Vav verbs and occasionally in Ayin guttural. In $\operatorname{Pr}$ Ps. 88: 17 both radicals are doubled; the entire second syllable is repeated in $\operatorname{Pr}$ Ps. 38: 11, Lam. 2: 11, 1: 20 a passive form, as shown by the Hhateph-Kamets, $\S 82$. 5. $b$ (3), and in $H$, 4: 18, which is probably to be read as one word, $\S 43 . b$; according to the division in the Massoretic text, separate word, and is the imperative of to give, though this is always elsewhere pointed to be repeated; but as such a formation would be unexampled, it is more probably a pasxive (hence $\breve{o}$ under the first letter) of

b. Intensity may likewise be denoted without a reduplication by inserting the long vowel Hholem in the first syllable of the root. This is often done in Ayin doubled verbs, but only in the following instances in others,


 are called Poel forms, and those in the preceding paragraph Pilel, Pulal, Pealal, etc. to denote their peculiar formation. They are in reality, however, only modified forms of the Piel, whose signification they share.
c. Preterite Sing. 3 masc. The original Pattahh of the first syllable § 82. 5. 6 (3) is preserved in ${ }^{\text {Gex }}$ Gen. 41:51. The second syllable has

Seghol in 7 (in pause

 the pausal form tive construct and future, and with the exception of Ps. 55: 10, in the imperative; though throughout the species it is shortened to Seghol upon losing the accent,
d. Infinitive. The primitive form of the infinitive absolute is of rare
 Josh. 24: 10. Most commonly it has Tsere in the second syllable like the
 Mic. 2: 12, syllable like the preterite $\mathfrak{\beta}$ ? 2 Sam. 12:14. There is no need of assuming a similar form for the infinitive construct in 7 Lev. 14:43, which can readily be explained as a preterite. Tsere of the construct is shortened to Seghol before Makkeph, 一 Isa. 59: 13, or on the recession of the accent
 are a few examples of the construct infinitive with a feminine termination,

e. Future Sing. $1 \mathrm{com} . \mathrm{x}$ is commonly prefixed with Hhateph-Pattahh; it has, however, the diphthongal Hhateph-Seghol in אֶָּ Lev. 26: 33, $\S 60.3$. $b$, and draws to itself the full vowel which has hence arisen to $a$


Plur. 2 and 3 fem. Tsere under the second radical is sometimes changed to Pattalh, though not with the same frequency as in the Niphal, Isa. 13: 18, but שְּרַּרְנָ Job 27: 4, and in pause Prov. 24: 2.

## PUAL.

§ 93. $a$. Of the vowels proper to the first syllable of the passive, $\S 82$. 5. $b$ (3), Pual ordinarily has $\breve{u}$, which is preferred before a doubled con-
 distinction is not steadfastly adhered to, however, and Pual occasionally



 as Piel forms with ( ) lengthened to ( ) on tine omission of Daghesh forte, §59. $a$; but the absence of Methegh, which Gesenius inserts without anthority, shows the vowel to be $\check{o}$ not $\bar{a}$. Others think that Kal future for previous letter, § 60.3.c. There is no difficulty, however, in regarding them all as Pual forms, and translating severally may you be slain, armed with the tomgue (of a slanderer), shall be made to consume him. In Ps. 62:4 the reading of Ben Naphtali is probably to be preferred to that of Ben Asher, which is found in the common text; the former is a Piel and
has an active sense: (how long) will ye slay or murder? In Ps. 101:5 the K'thibh is an active Poel form, slandering.
$b$. The vowel $\breve{u}$ of the first syllable is occasionally written with Vav,
 Ezek. 27: 19, but mostly without it.
c. Preterite Sing. 3 masc. An instance of paragogic $\pi_{\text {. }}$ appended to the preterite is found in שֶׁ? Ezek. 31:15, unless it may better be regarded as a verbal adjective.
d. Infinitive. The absolute form occurs in Gen. 40:15; there is no example of the construct.
e. Participle. As is omitted, Daghesh-forte euphonic) Ezek. 21: 15, 16, a §59. a. Some of the forms in which this has been alleged may however be better explained as preterites.

## HIPHIL.

§ 94. a. Preterite. The first vowel is usually Hhirik but occasionally Seghol, e. g. הֶą 1 Sam. 25: 7, particularly in Pe guttural and a few Lamedh He verbs. Once $x$ is prefixed instead of $n$, : 4 , Isa. 63: 3; in Isa. 19: 6 הֶצָּנְ is a denominative from occur in its simple form but is justified by the analogy of ת takes the place of in in
 preterite is

Sing. 3 masc. The $\bar{\imath}$ of the second syllable is almost always written with Yodh, rarely without it, e. g. 1 Sam. 12:24, but in every other


b. Infinitive. Absolute. The Tsere of the second syllable which before Makkeph is shortened to Seghol Prov. 24: 23, 28:21, is mostly written


 rare and exceptional, instead of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ in wַּuְ is a Hebrew and not a Coptic word.

Construct. The second vowel is commonly Hhirik written with a,

方 Dan. 11:35. In a few instances the first vowel is Hhirik as in the

 retained after prefixed prepositions, though it is sometimes rejected, as
 1sa. 3:8. 8.
c. Future Sing. Tsere is substituted for Hhirik in $\operatorname{Ex}$. $19: 3$, 2 Chron. 5: 2, and without Yodh 1 Sam. 14:36, F.fin Isa. 42: 6.

Plur. In a very few instances Hhirik is rejected upon the addition of the masculine plural termination 1 Sam. 14:22, 31:2, $9: 2$. There is no example of this without the presence of Vav conversive unless it be wob 19:3, which may be regarded as Kal.
d. Imperative Sing. masc. The second syllable usually has Tsere with-
 1 Sam. 23: 11, הַבֶּ Isa. 64: 8. There are a very few examples with Hhirik


e. Participle. In wirit Ps. 135: 7, Tsere is taken in place of Hhirik upon the recession of the accent; - 1 Isa. 53: 3 is not a participle but a noun, Alexander in loc. Hhirik is, in a few exceptional cases occurring in




## HOPHAL.

 ה, is occasionally Kibbuts, both vowels even appearing in the same


 29: 18.
b. Preterite. In mam I obliged to leave? Judg. 9: 9. 11. 13, the characteristic $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is rejected after $\boldsymbol{i}$ interrogative.
c. Infinitive. The absolute las Tsere in the second syllable, הָּ

 Jer. 49: 8.
e. Participle. In Ezek. $46: 22$ remains after the preformative $\Rightarrow$.

## HITHPAEL.

§ 96. a. Preterite. In two instances ņ̧ is prefixed instead of rẹ, viz., x 2 Chron. 20:35, 76: 6. In the verb is omitted in the second radical and the previous vowel lengthened $\S 59 . a$.
 the vowel of the prefixed syllable is $\bar{o}$ in $1 \mathrm{Kin} .20: 27$. In three verbs upon the assimilation of $n$ to the first radical,
the prefix takes $\breve{u}, \S 61.5$, Deut.24:4 (but in the future always wev. 21:1 and repeatedly
 Hothpaal and regarded as passives of Hithpael. Where both forms exist in the same verb, however, as in and there appears to be no distinction in their meaning; they seem rather to have arisen from a disposition to give to the Hithpael, where it has a passive signification, $\S 80.2$, the vowels of a proper passive species, § 82. 5. b. (3). In ? Jer. 25: 16, , Jer.46: 8 (elsewhere $\bar{u}$ on account of the absence of Daghesh-forte, is for a like reason given to the first radical.
b. The last vowel of the preterite, infinitive construct, future, imperative and participle, is Tsere written without Yodh, which before Makkeph
 6: 16. Frequently, however, Pattahh is used, or, with a pause accent,
 $\bar{e}$, fut. $a$ and $\bar{e}$ ), sometimes found in the feminine plaral of the future,

管 Lev. 11:44, 20:7, each of which has Vav conversive, throwing the accent more strongly on the final syllable.
$c$. There is no example of the infinitive absolute. The infinitive construct once has'a feminine ending

## Paragogic and Apocopated Future and Imperative.

$\S 97$. The pancity of moods in Hebrew is partially compensated by modifications of the future, known as the paragogic and apocopated futures.

1. The paragogic or intentional is formed from the ordinary future by appending the termination $\Pi_{r}$ to the first person singular or plural, and in a very few instances to the third person singular, thus converting it from a simple declaration of futurity to an expression of desire or determination, צֶּ $I$ will surely
 us cast away, Ps. 2: 3; $\operatorname{Hivin}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$ let him hasten, Isa. 5: 19.
a. The name "intentional" proposed by Böttcher seems more appropriate than "cohortative", which though commonly adopted is only applicable to the plural forms. The third person of the paragogic future occurs
besides the example just given, in
 accept (as fat), or, according to Kimchi, may he reduce to ashes, Ps. 20: 4, Mrov. 1: 20, 8: 3, and after Vav conversive ver. 16 K'ri. It has also been suspected in Lev. 21:5 K'thibh.
 20:4, § 63. 1. c; so in the imperative or or or prov. 24: 14.
2. The apocopated or jussive future is an abbreviation of the second or third person singular and expresses a wish or command, or with a negative, dissuasion or prohibition. In the perfect verb it has a separate form only in the Hiphil species, the ${ }^{\bullet}$. of the ultimate being changed
 cleave, 䀎may he or let him cause to cleave; nown wilt understand, stand thou, Dan. 9: 25, , may it not or let it not rule, Ps. 119:133. In some classes of imperfect verbs, as in the Ayin-Vav and particularly the Lamedh-He, it is used in other species still.
a. The 2nd. pers. of the Jussive is rare except with לx. The 1st. pers. of the future is abbreviated in a very few instances, $1 \mathrm{Sam} .14: 36,2 \mathrm{Sam}$. 17: 12, Job 23: 9. 11, Isa. 41: 23 K'thibh, 28.
b. The paragogic and apocopated futures, which have been aptly denominated voluntatives, may be regarded as mutually supplementary, and as forming together something like a complete Optative or Subjunctive mood. The apocopated future has, it is true, no separate form for the second fem. sing. or the second and third pers. plur., in which the verb has terminal inflections, but it may be regarded as coinciding in these with the ordinary future, except that it never has the final 7 . See Ruth 2:8 and comp.'Deut. 20:3 with 1:29. So in those species in which it is indistinguishable from the ordinary future, it may yet be regarded as included under it. Neither the apocopated nor the paragogic futures occur in the strictly passive species, viz., the Pual and Hophal, self-determination and command both implying that the subject is the originator of the action. The more flexible Arabic has three varieties of the future in addition to the ordinary one, to express as many modifications or moods.
c. The apocopated future derives its name from the apocopation of the final letter by which it is characterized in $\mathbf{H}^{\prime \prime}$ verbs; the brevity of its form is adapted to the energy and rapid utterance of a command. On the other hand, the speaker dwells upon the word expressive of his own desire or determination, thus giving rise to the prolonged form of the paragogic future. The appended $n_{r}$ may perhaps be identical with a like
termination added to nouns to indicate motion or direction, denoting as it does the direction of the speaker's will or wishes towards that which the verb expresses.
$\S$ 98. 1. Paragogic $\pi_{T}$ is sometimes appended to the masculine singular of the imperative, softening the command into an earnest entreaty or expression of strong

 vowel to the imperative and to the future causes, as in the regular inflections of the paradigm, § 85. 2. a. (2), the rejection of the vowel of the ultimate syllable, except in the Hiphil where ${ }^{-}$. remains in the future and is restored in the imperative. In the Kal imperative this rejection occasions the concurrence of two vowelless consonants, the first of which must accordingly take a short vowel, $\S 61$. 1; if the rejected vowel was Hholem this will be Kamets-Hhatuph, otherwise it will be the briefest

 vowel of the second radical is restored by a pause accent the inserted vowel falls away :


a. In a few instances the vowel-letter remains in the K'thibh though invariably thrown out in the Kri, e. g., צחרופח K'thibh, צָּשְ K'ri Ps. 26: 2,
 8:25; אשׁקוכה K'thibh, צֶּשְ K'ri Isa. 18: 4. This may not indicate, however, the retention of the full vowel but only of an audible remnant of it, $\S 13$. $a$, which is likewise attested by the occasional appearance of Hha-
 Hhateph Pattahh דָאשׁׁקְקָּ Ezr. 8: 26, Jer. 32:9, and by the fact that the resulting Sh'va, even when simple, is alwayo vocal, § 22. a. (1). Occasionally Kamets-Hhatuph is found in the paragogic imperative when the vowel of the ordinary imperative is Pattahb; thus, ב-pev. 9: 7, Ps. 69 , 19,
 Daghesh separative) Ps. 141:3, though Delitzsch regards it as a noun.
3. As the imperative is itself a shortened form there is little room for further abbreviation; it sometimes, how-
ever, suffers apocopation of the final $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\tau}$ of the feminine
 for of the masculine singular, - 2 Kin. 6:18 for
 Judg. 11:37, but without any evident change of meaning.

## Vav Conversive or Consecutive.

$\S 99.1$. The primary tenses the preterite and the future are supplemented by two secondary tenses, formed in a peculiar manner by what is called Vav Conversive
 able effect, from which its name is derived, of converting the ordinary future into a preterite and the ordinary preterite into a future. The following appear to be the reasons of this singular phenomenon. Past and future are relative and depend for their signification in any given case upon the point of time from which they are reckoned. This may be the moment of speaking, when all anterior to that moment will be past, and all posterior to it future. Or by some conventional method understood between the speaker and his hearers, an ideal present may be fixed distinct from the real present and the measurements of past and future made from the former. Now Vav Consecutive placed before a future indicates that its tense is to be reckoned not from the actual present but from the time denoted by some previous word, whether verb, noun, or adverb. And when the stand. point is thus taken in the past, events may be described as future with reference to it, though they have actually taken place at the time of narration. Vav is properly the copula and; when this is prefixed to the future for the purpose already designated, it is followed by Pattahh
and Daghesh-forte, which give to it the force of and then or and so, indicating that what follows is the sequel of what precedes. Consequently a narration begun in the preterite may be continued in the future with Vav Consecutive, the opening words fixing the initial point from which all that come after proceed in regular succession; and the future so employed is converted into what may be called a continuative preterite. Thus, in the account of the creation in Gen. 1, the original condition of things is described in the preterite, ver. 2, the earth was without form and void. The subsequent scene is then surveyed from this point. The next statement is accordingly made by a future with Vav Consecutive, ver. 3, and God said, in its primitive import, and then God says or will say, his speaking being future to the state of things previously described. This fixes a new standpoint from which the next step in the process is a fresh advance; it is hence followed by another future with Vav Consecutive, ver. 4, , he divided, ver. 5 ,
$a$. The nature of this prefix is more precisely expressed by calling it Vav Consecutive, as Ewald and others propose. But as Vav Conversive is the name in common use, and as this sufficiently characterizes its most striking effect, there is no impropriety in retaining it. There have been various conjectures respecting its origin. Some have fancied that $\underline{y}$ is an abbreviation of the verb was, hence when he was or it was (so that) he will say i. e. he was about to say or was saying, which is then likened to the Arabic combination of the preterite of the substantive verb with the future tense to express past action; but 9 evidently has the sense of the conjunction and, garded it as an abbreviation of Schultens, Instit. p. 424, conjectured that $\S 53.3$; - prefixed to a noun is the definite article, and points it out as one previously known; its use in this particular case might be to define the time of the action of the verb before which it stands by pointing it out as known from what preceded. The vowel of this prefix would upon this hypothesis be analogous both in its origin and its effects to the augment $\varepsilon$ in Greek, or $a$ in Sanskrit, by which a preterite is formed from a present

a pronominal root having a demonstrative sense, Vergleichende Grammatik pp. 786 ff . The fact that the Samaritan Pentateuch sometimes substitutes $\pi$ for a consecutive might seem to lend confirmation to this theory of its derivation. But as $n$ stands with equal frequency for 9 copulative, and 9 for the article $n$, it is probable that these commutations are to be classed with the other numerous inaccuracies of this edition. Perhaps the best suggestion is that of Rödiger, who attributed no inherent significance to the vowel, but thought that it was attached to Vav Consecutive on account of the emphasis of its peculiar use.
2. Vav Consecutive, it has already been stated, is prefixed to the future with Pattahh and Daghesh-forte in the following letter, of the future be Yodh with Sh'va, Daghesh is commonly omitted, $\S 25$, but rarely if it be $?$, and never if it be $\AA$, since its removal in this case would change the sound of

 cannot receive Daghesh, $\S 23.1$, Pattahh is lengthened
 few exceptions, e. g. 7 Ps. 105 : 28, compressed to (..) as in the apocopated future, וhen,
 singular, however, ${ }^{\circ}$. remains in the Hiphil, and a paragogic $n_{\tau}$ is not infrequently appended in all the species, e. g.
 occurs though more rarely in the first pers. plur.

a. The tendency to abbreviation produced by Vav Consecutive is much more apparent in some classes of imperfect verbs. Thus, final $n_{v}$ is re-
 the accent is drawn back from a mixed ultimate to a simple penult in the Kal and Hiphil of Ayin doubled verbs and of those which have a quiescent for their first or second radical, in consequence of which the vowel of the

 accent and shortening of the ultimate syllable occurs in the Piel of the
 - יָּרְ
curs also in the Niphal of a few verbs, which form the exception, however, not the rule, जn,


 plural ending $j$ is very rarely used after Vav Consecutive; it does, however, occur, e. g. 11: 18.
b. In a very few instances Vav Consecutive takes Pattahh before w, its vowel being conformed to the compound Sh'va, which follows, e. g. Yیֻגּר


$\S$ 100. 1. Vav Consecutive prefixed to the preterite makes of it a continuative future or imperative, by connecting with it the idea of futurity or command expressed in a preceding verb. It is properly the conjunction ? and, whose pointing it takes, its peculiar force being derived from its comnecting power. Accordingly, in speaking of coming events, the stand-point is first fixed in the future by the opening words, and the description is then continued by the preterite with Vav Consecutive. Thus, in Samuel's recital, 1 Sam. 10: 1-8, of what was to happen to Saul, he first refers the whole to the future by the word, ver. 2 , ing, and then proceeds with preterites with Vav prefixed,

 junctions begun in the imperative are continued in the preterite with Vav Consecutive. Thus the Lord directed Elijah, 1 Kin. 17: 3 לָּ 3 (imper.) go, (pret.) and turn, (pret.) and hide,
2. This prefix commonly has the effect of removing the accent to the ultimate in those forms in which it ordinarily stands upon the penult; and if the penult be a long mixed syllable, as in the Kal preterite of verbs with Hholem, it will in consequence be shortened,垵:
a. The shifting of the accent, which served in some measure to indicate to the ear the alteration in the sense, takes place chiefly in the following cases, viz.:
(1) It occurs with great regularity in the first and second persons sin-

 1: 17, except in $\mathbf{N}^{\prime \prime}$, and $\mathbf{n}^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{z}$ verbs, where the accent usually remains in its original position although the usage is not uniform,




(2) It occurs, though less constantly, in the third feminine singular and third plural of the Hiphil of perfect verbs, and of the various species of Ayin-Vav and Ayin-doubled verbs,


## Verbs with Suffixes.

$\S$ 101. Pronouns are frequently suffixed to the verbs of which they are the object. The forms of the suffixes have already been given $\S 72$. It only remains to consider the changes resulting from their combination with the various parts of the verb.

1. The personal terminations of the verbs undergo the following changes:

## Preterite.

Sing. 3 fem. The old ending n_, § 85. 1. $a$ (1), takes the place of $n_{r}$.
2 masc. $\overline{\text { T }}$ sometimes shortens its final vowel before the suffix of the first person.
2 fem. The old ending ${ }^{\circ}$ ת
Plur. 2 masc. : from the old pronominal ending $\square \pi /$, § 71.b, takes the place of $\square$ תֶ. The feminine of this person does not occur with suffixes.

## Future.

Plur. 2 and 3 fem. The distinctive feminine termination is dropped, and that of the masculine assumed, for for fon
a. In several of these cases it would be more correct to say that it is he uncompounded state of the verb in which the change has taken place, and that before suffixes the original form has been preserved, the added syllable having as it were protected it from mutation.
2. (1) Changes in the suffixes: The suffixes are joined directly to those verbal forms which end in a vowel; those forms which end in a consonant insert before the suffixes of the second pers. plur. $\left.{ }_{\nabla}^{2},\right\rangle_{ֶ}$, and the second masc. sing. 7 , a vocal Sh'va, and before remaining suffixes a full vowel, which in the preterite is mostly $a$ and in the future and imperative mostly $e$.
(2) The 3 fem. sing. preterite inserts $\breve{a}$ before the suffixes of the third pers. plural, and $\breve{e}$ before the second fem. singular; when it stands before the third sing. suffixes $\pi, \pi$, there is frequently an elision of $\pi$, requiring Daghesh-forte conservative in the verbal ending $\Omega$ to
 for
(3) When the third masc. sing. suffix is preceded by $\left(_{\tau}\right)$, the $n$ may be elided and the vowels coalesce into

 for :
(4) When the third fem. suffix $\underset{\sim}{T}$ is preceded by $\left({ }_{\tau}\right)$, final Kamets is omitted to prevent the recurrence of the same sound, perent for proñ
(5) When $\pi$, $\underset{\sim}{ }$ of the third pers. singular are preceded by (...), the vowel of union for the future, a $\mathbf{2}$, called Nun Epenthetic, is sometimes inserted, particularly in emphatic and pausal forms, to prevent the hiatus between the two vowels, (..) being at the same time shortened to
(.); $\pi$ is then commonly elided and a euphonic Daghesh-
 shortening of the (..) and insertion of Daghesh may occur in the first person singular and plural and the second masculine singular; this, like the preceding, takes place chiefly at the end of clauses.
a. The Nun Epenthetic of the future and the Preterite vowel of union $a$, which is abbreviated to Sh'va before $\pi, E \geqslant, ~ i \geqslant$, may be relics of old forms of the verb still represented in the Arabic, where the preterite ends in $a$, and one mode of the future has an appended Nun. Daghesh-forte in the suffixes of the first and second persons may be explained, as is usually done, by assuming the insertion and assimilation of Nun Epenthetic, for $\uparrow$ 号; or it may be Daghesh-forte emphatic, $\S 24.6$, and the few cases in which Nun appears in these persons may be accounted for by the resolution of Daglesh, $\S 54.3$, instead of the Daghesh having arisen from the assimilation of Nun, so that
$b$. The suffixes, since they do not in strictness form a part of the word with which they are connected, are more loosely attached to it than the pronominal fragments which make up the inflections; hence vowels of union are employed with the former which serve to separate as well as to unite. Hence too the vocal Sh'va, inserted before the suffixes of the second person, does not so completely draw the final consonant of the verb to the appended syllable as to detach it from that to which it formerly belonged; this latter becomes, therefore, not a simple but an intermediate syllable, $\S 20.2$. A like distinction exists between prefixed prepositions, etc., and the personal prefixes of the future. The latter form part and parcel of the word, while the former preserve a measure of their original separateness. Hence when they form a new initial syllable by the aid of the first consonant of the word, this is properly a mixed syllable after a personal prefix but inter-
 to contraction in one case which does not exist in the other, but

3. Changes in the body of the verb:
(1) Except in the Kal preterite those forms which have personal terminations experience no further change from the addition of suffixes; those which are without such terminations reject the vowel of the last syllable before suffixes requiring a vowel of union and shorten it before the remainder, but . of the Hiphil species is almost always preserved, .
(2) In the Kal imperative and infinitive the rejection of the vowel occasions the concurrence of two vowelless letters at the beginning of the word, which impossible combination is obviated by the insertion of Hhirik to form a new syllable; or, if the rejected vowel was Hholem, by the insertion of Kamets Hhatuph.
(3) In the Kal preterite, where both vowels are liable to mutation, a distinction is made by rejecting the first before suffixes and the second before personal inflections
 קִשְׂלֹ. Accordingly upon the reception of a suffix the vowel of the second radical, whether it be $a, e$, or $o$, must be restored, and if need be lengthened, whenever, in the course of regular inflection, it has been dropped, and the vowel of the first radical, wherever it remains in the regular inflection, must be rejected.
a. Final mixed syllables, as shown in $2 b$, ordinarily become interme-
 withstanding the following vocal Sh'va. This is invariably the case before כֶ and $\rightleftharpoons \underset{\sim}{ }$, unless the word to which they are attached has a long immutable vowel in the ultimate which is of course incapable of being shortened; it is also usually the case before $\eta$, the principal exception, so far as verbal forms are concerned, being the $a$ and $e$ of the Kal preterite, $a$ of the Kal future, and $i$ of the Hiphil,

$\S$ 102. 1. The first and second persons of the verb do not receive suffixes of the same person with themselves, for when the subject is at the same time the object of the action the Hithpael species is employed or a reciprocal pronoun is formed from the noun as myself. Suffixes of the third person may, however, be attached to the third person of verbs, provided the subject and object be distinct.
$a$. There is a single example of a verb in the first person with a suffix of the first person, but in this case the pronoun expresses the indirect ob-

2. Neuter verbs and passive species, whose signification does not admit of a direct object, may yet receive suffixes expressive of indirect relations, such as would be denoted by the dative or ablative in occidental languages, be forgotten by me, Isa. 44: 21.
3. The infinitive may be viewed as a noun, in which case its suffix is to be regarded as a possessive, and represents the subject of the action; or it may be viewed as a verb when its suffix represents the object, $m y$
 fort me. The participle may also receive the suffix either of a verb or a noun, the pronoun in either case denoting
 my haters, Ps. 35: 19.
a. In a few exceptional cases a verbal suffix with the infinitive represents the subject at my returning Ezek. 47: 7, or a nominal suffix the object
$\S$ 103. Paradigm III. exhibits certain portions of the regular verb
a. The parts of the verb selected are sufficient representatives of all the rest, and by the aid of the rules already given will enable the student to determine any other required form for himself. The third person singular of the Hiphil preterite, which undergoes no change in the body of the verb, will answer mutatis mutandis for all the forms in that species ending with the final radical. The third singular of the Piel preterite, which suffers a change in its last syllable only, will in like manner answer for all the forms in that species ending with the final radical. The Kal preterite is given in all the persons, both on account of the peculiarity of that tense, which suffers changes in both its vowels, and in order to exhibit the changes in the personal terminations which apply equally to the preterites of the other species. The Kal infinitive and imperatıve are peculiar in forming a new initial syllable which echoes the rejected vowel. The third person singular of the Kal future affords a type of all the forms in that tense which end with the final radical; and the third plural of the same tense is a type of all the future forms in this and in the other species which have personal terminations appended. The participles undergo the same changes in receiving suffixes with nouns of like formation and are therefore not included in this table.

## Rematiks on the Perfect Verbs with Suffixes.

## PRETERITE.

§ 104. $a$. There are a few examples of (..) as the union vowel of the
 forte euphonic is twice inserted in the suffix of the first pers. sing., Ps. 118: 18,

 form with the future may perhaps be indicated by the K'thibh in Hos. 4:6 'אמטאטא this form of the suffix is of frequent occurrence, : Than final $a$ is represented by the vowel letter $n$, and the suffix is written $n \underset{\sim}{n}$,
 Ezek. 40: 4.
c. The suffix of the second fem. sing. is commonly $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$,解 Isa. 60: 9, except after the third fem. sing. of the verb, when it is
 later Psalms, it has the form corresponding to the pronoun wֶּ Ps. 137:6, 6 , 19. 103: 4.
d. The suffix of the third masc. sing. is written with the vowel letter
 1 Sam. 1:9, where it would be feminine; this form is more frequently appended to nouns than to verbs.
$e$. In a few instances the $i$ of the third fem. suffix is not pointed with Mappik, and consequently represents a vowel instead of a consonant, (with the accent on the penult because followed by an accented syllable)
 the future, ציחֵmex 2:3.
$f$. The suffix of the third masc. plur. receives a paragogic $i$ once in prose, inn ex. 23: 31, and repeatedly in poetry, once 1 is appended, Meut. 32: 26.
 34:16, Hab.2:17, Zech.11:5; more frequently the masculine $\square$ is substituted
 Num. 17: 3, 4, Josh. 4: 8, 2 Kin. 18:13, Hos. 2:14, Prov. 6: 21; $\boldsymbol{i}$ is never used with verbs. When attached to infinitives a paragogic $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is sometimes

$h$. Verbs, which have Tsere for the second vowel in the Kal preterite,
 24:3, : $\quad$, Job 37: 24. The only example of a suffix appended to a preterite whose second vowel is Hholem, is
the Hholem being shortened to Kamets Hhatuph by the shifting of the accent. Tsere of the Piel species is mostly shortened to Seghol before - ${ }^{-}$,

 Isa. 25:1, Ex. $31: 13$, Expen troduced by a pause accent it is rejected, 11:12. Hhirik of the Hiphil species is retained before all suffixes with very
 verb has the form of the apocopated future.
$i$. The third fem. preterite sometimes takes the third masc. sing. suffix


 Job 21: 18. The third fem. suffix is always contracted, צֻחָin Jer. 49: 24, B-n is $\square_{-}$, not $\square_{5}$, with this person of the verb, the accent falling on the penult,
 In the intermediate syllable before $\bar{T}$ the vowel is usually short in this person, Cant. 8: 5, as it regularly is in pause and

$j$. The second masc. sing. preterite usually takes Pattahh before ex-
 takes the third masc. sing. suffix either in its full form, :


$k$. The second fem. sing. preterite assumes (.), commonly without Yodh, §11.1. $a$, before suffixes, and is accordingly indistinguishable from the first person except by the suffix which it receives, $\S 102.1$, or by the connection
 1 Sam. 19: 17, Cant. 4: 9, Jer. 2: 34, Ezek. 16:19. 58; once it takes (.), Tosh. 2: 18, and in a few instances the masculine form is adopted
 2 Sam. 14: 10 .
l. The plural endings of the verb may be written fully $\rightarrow$ or defectively
 second 1 Chron. 13: 3.

## FUTURE.

§ 105. $a$. The union vowel $a$ is sometimes attached to the future, thus

 35: 8, Eccles. 4:12, 1 Sam. 21:14, so in the K'thibh, 1 Sam. 18:1 ייאהבי where the K'ri has ${ }^{2}$,

 the K'thibh has the vowel letter m representing the ordinary e, "יושיבים. The union vowel $\bar{a}$ is also occasionally found with the imperative, Ps. 69: 19, Deut. 31: 19, Isa. 30: 8, Am. 9: 1.
b. The suffixes with Daghesh inserted occur chiefly in pause; thus


 (once with an infinitive Judg. 5: 26, Obad. ver. 13; the unemphatic form of the suffix and that with Daghesh occur in conjunction, a very few examples, found only in poetry of $;$ inserted between the verb and the suffix without further change,
 Ex. 15: 2.
c. The plural ending ${ }^{\boldsymbol{h}}$ is in a few instances found before suffixes, chiefly in pause,
 twice it has the union vowel $a$,
$d$. When the second vowel of the Kal future is 0 , it is rejected before suffixes requiring a union vowel, compound Sh'va being occasionally substituted for it in the place of simple, , $\operatorname{Hos} 10: 10$, 10 , : ה: once the vowel remains, but is changed to Shurek, $:=\sim$ Prov. $14: 3 ; a$, on the other hand, is retained as a pretonic vowel, $\S 64.2$,



 though the vowel letter 4 is occasionally written in the K'thibh, Jer. 1: 5 .
$e$. The following are examples of feminine plural with suffixes: 2 fem. plur.
 Cant. 6: 9.

## INFINITIVE AND IMPERATIVE.

§ 106. a. Kal infinitive. Before $\underset{\sim}{T}$, , 7 , Hholem is shortened to Kamets Hhatuph,
筑 Isa. 30: 18; sometimes the vowel of the second radical is rejected before these as it is before the other suffixes, and a short vowel given to the first radical, commonly Kamets Hhatuph,




Ezek. 25: 6. In the feminine form of the infinitive, as in nouns the old
 Ex. 30: 32. The Niphal infinitive retains its pretonic Kamets before suffixes,


b. Kal Imperative. The first radical commonly receives Kamets Hhatuph upon the rejection of Hholem, $\quad$ Jer. $15: 15$, but occasionally it takes Hhirik, גְּרְ (with Daghesh-forte euphonic) Prov. 4: 13. In the Hiph.


## Imperfect Verbs.

§ 107. Imperfect verbs depart more or less from the standard already given, as the nature of their radicals may require. They are of three classes, viz.:
I. Guttural verbs, or those which have a guttural letter in the root.
II. Contracted verbs, two of whose radicals are in certain cases contracted into one.
III. Quiescent verbs, or those which have a quiescent or vowel letter in the root.

These classes may again be subdivided according to the particular radical affected. Thus there are three kinds of guttural verbs:

1. Pe guttural verbs, or those whose first radical is a guttural.
2. Ayin guttural verbs, or those whose second radical is a guttural.
3. Lamedll guttural verbs, or those whose third radical is a guttural.

There are two kinds of contracted verbs:

1. Pe Num verbs, or those whose first radical is Num, and is liable to be contracted by assimilation with the second.
2. Ayin doubled verbs, or those whose second and third radicals are alike, and are liable to be contracted into one.

There are four kinds of quiescent verbs:

1. Pe Yodh verbs, or those whose first radical is Yodh.
2. Ayin Vav and Ayin Yodh verbs, or those whose second radical is Vav or Yodh.
3. Lamedh Aleph verbs, or those whose third radical is Aleph.
4. Lamedh He verbs, or those in which a quiescent He takes the place of the third radical.

The guttural differ from the perfect verbs in the vowels only; the first division of the contracted verbs differ only in the consonants; the quiescent and the second division of the contracted verbs differ from the perfect verbs in both vowels and consonants.
a. The third class of imperfect verbs may either be regarded as having a quiescent letter in the root, which in certain forms is changed into a vowel, or as having a vowel in the root, which in certain forms is changed into a quiescent letter. As the settlement of this question is purely a matter of theory, the usual name of quiescent verbs has been retained as sufficiently descriptive.
b. The origin of these various technical names for the different kinds of imperfect verbs is explained $\S 76.3$.

## Pe Guttural Verbs.

$\S 108$. Gutturals have the four following peculiarities, $\S 60$, viz.:

1. They often cause a preceding or accompanying vowel to be converted into Pattahh.
2. They receive Pattahh furtive at the end of a word after a long heterogeneous vowel or before a vowelless final consonant.
3. They take compound in preference to simple Sh'va.
4. They are incapable of being doubled, and consequently do not receive Daghesh-forte.
§ 109. Pe guttural verbs are affected by these peculiarities as follows, viz.:
5. The Hhirik of the preformatives is changed to Pattahh before the guttural in the Kal future, if the second vowel be Hholem, 7 ,urn for has Pattahlh this change does not occur, because it would occasion a repetition of the same vowel in successive syllables, § 63. 1.b. In the Kal future $a$, therefore, in the Niphal preterite and participle, where the vowel of the second syllable is likewise $a$, and in the Hiphil preterite, where $\breve{\imath}$ is characteristic and therefore less subject to change, Hhirik is compounded with Pattahh, or, in other words, is changed to the diphthongal Seghol, pim,
 singular of the Kal future, § 60.1. a (5), and Kamets Hhatuph, characteristic of the Hophal species, suffer no shange. The same is true of Hholem in the first syllable of the Kal participle, Hhirik of the Piel preterite, and Kibbuts of the Pual species, for the double reason that these vowels are characteristic of those forms, and that their position after the guttural renders them less liable to mutation, $\S 60.1 . a(2)$; the second reason applies likewise to the Hhirik of the feminine singular and masculine plural of the Kal imperative, which, as the briefest of the short vowels, is besides best adapted to

6. As the guttural does not stand at the end of the word, there is no occasion for applying the rule respecting Pattahh furtive; this consequently does not appear except in doubtful example, § 114.
7. Wherever the first radical should receive simple Sh'va the guttural takes compound Sh'va instead; this, if there be no reason for preferring another, and especi-
ally if it be preceded by the vowel Pattahh, will be Hhateph Pattahh, whose sound is most consonant with that of the gutturals; this is the case in the Kal second plural preterite, construct infinitive, future and imperative with Hholem, and in the Hiphil, infinitives, future, imperative, and participle, preceded by another vowel than Pattahh the compound Sh'va will generally be conformed to it; thus, after Seghol it becomes Hhateph Seghol as in the Kal future and imperative $\bar{a}$, the Niphal preterite and participle, and the Hiphil preterite, pren, tuph it becomes Hhateph Kamets as in the Hophal species, inflection comes to be followed by a vowelless letter, it is changed to the corresponding short vowel, $\S 61.1$, thus, (.) becomes ( $)_{\text {) in }}$ ine second feminine singular and the second and third masculine plural of the Kal future; (..) becomes (.) in the third feminine singular and the third plural of the Niphal preterite; and $\left({ }_{r}\right)$ becomes $\left({ }_{r}\right)$ in the corresponding persons of the preterite and future Hophal,
a. The simple Sh'va following a short vowel thus formed, remains vocal as in the corresponding forms of the perfect verb, the new syllable being not mixed but intermediate, and hence a succeeding aspirate will


 kitlī, kitlū.
8. The reduplication of the first radical being impossible in the infinitive, future and imperative Niphal, the preceding vowel, which now stands in a simple syllable, is lengthened in consequence from Hhirik to Tsere,

$\S$ 110. 1. The verb עָ to stand, whose inflections are shown in Paradigm IV, may serve as a representative of

Pe guttural verbs．The Piel，Pual，and Hithpael are omit－ ted，as they present no deviation from the regular verbs． The Niphal of $\boldsymbol{T}_{2}$ is not in use，but is here formed from analogy for the sake of giving completeness to the paradigm．

2．The Kal imperative and future of those verbs which have Pattahh in the second syllable may be represented by $\underset{\sim}{\operatorname{Tin}}$ to be strong in the same Paradigm．

3．Certain verbs，whose first radical is $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ ，receive Hholem in the first syllable of the Kal future after the following，which is distinctively called the Pe Aleph（ $\aleph^{\prime \prime} \Xi$ ） mode．

## Future of Pe Aleph Verbs．



Five verbs uniformly adopt this mode of inflection，
 say，risc to bake；a few others indifferently follow this or the ordinary Pe guttural mode， hold，马ֹx to gather．

## Remarks on Pe Guttural Verbs．

§ 111．1．The preformative of the Kal future $a$ has（ $)$ in one instance，

 in the first syllable when the Hholem appears，but Seghol in those forms in which the Hholem is dropped，binin Job 12：14，， 2 Kin．3： 25 but



2．a．If the first radical be $\kappa$ ，the preformative takes Seghol in most verbs in the Kal future，whether $a$ or $\bar{o}, ~ ק \mathfrak{m}$个ผッ， the（＿）of the second syllable usually becoming（．．）in pause，and in a few
instances without a pause accent, verbs it becomes (\%) after Vav conversive, accent Hholem in these verbs is probably modified from $\bar{a}$, so that 3 is for fom hown § 201.e.
b. As $\mathbf{x}$ is always quiescent after Hholem in this latter form of the future, §57. 2. (2) $a$, Pe Aleph verbs might be classed among quiescent verbs, and this is in fact done by some grammarians. But as N has the double character of a guttural and a quiescent in different forms sprung from the same root, and as its quiescence is confined almost entirely to a single tense of a single species, it seems better to avoid sundering what really belongs together, by considering the Pe Aleph as a variety of the Pe guttural verbs. In a few instances $\boldsymbol{N}$ gives up its consonantal character after (.), which is then lengthened to (..), Mic. 4: 8. When thus quiescent after either Tsere or Hholem, $\boldsymbol{x}$ is always omitted in the first person singular after the preformative $\mathfrak{x}$,
 persons,

 for
c. A like quiescence or omission of N occurs in Num. 11: 25 Hi .
 fut. for 35: 11 Pi part. for


 with Vav conversive for junctive for for
d. Hholem is further assumed by Pe Aleph roots once in the Niphal preterite,
 for 2 Sam. 20:5 K'ri for
e. $x$ draws the vowel to itself from the preformative in Prove 1: 22 Kal fut. for
 with the vowel attracted to the $\approx$ from the preformative; it is simpler, however, to regard it as a Pual future with Kamets Hhatuph instead of Kibbuts, § 93. $a$, as משְ מix Nah. 2: 4,
3. a. Kamets Hhatuph for the most part remains in the Kal infinitive and imperative with suffixes or added vowels, as rarely changed to Pattahh, as in מַבְבֵּהּ Prov. $20: 16$, or Seghol, as Num. 11:16, צֶרְָּׂ Job 33: 5. In the inflected imperative Seghol occurs
once instead of Hhirik,

 in the compound Sh'va of a pausal form, שָּ Isa. 44: 27. Ewald explains
 the excluded Hholem giving character to the preceding vowels; the forms, however, are properly Hophal futures, and there is no reason why the words may not be translated accordingly be induced to serve. In a few Kal
 Hos. 7: 4.
b. In a very few instances Pattahh is found in the first syllable of the


§ 112. 1. The guttural invariably receives compound Sh'va in place of simple, where this is vocal in the perfect verb; and as in these cases it stands at the beginning of the word, it is more at liberty to follow its native preferences, and therefore usually takes ( ) . In =ñon 2 plur. pret.,
 the following ${ }^{7} ; \mathbf{x}$ receives ( ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) in the second plural of the Kal preterite, and in the feminine and plural of the passive participle, Endorn, but commonly ( $\left(_{\pi}\right.$ ) in the imperative and infinitive, $\S 60.3 . b$, imper., (but


2. Where the first radical in perfect verbs stands after a short vowel and completes its syllable, the guttural does the same, but mostly admits an echo of the preceding vowel after it, inclining it likewise to begin the syllable which follows. In the intermediate syllable thus formed, § 20.2 , the vowel remains short, only being modified agreeably to the rules already given by the proximity of the guttural, which itself receives the corresponding Hhateph. The succession is, therefore, usually (, , $)$, (, ) or $\left({ }_{r,}\right)$. In a very few instances this correspondence is neglected; thus, in
 of the preformative remains and the guttural takes Hhateph Pattahli; in (once, viz., Hab. 1:15 for rites of of to pass over, the guttural is entirely transferred to the second syllable, and the preceding vowel is lengthened. The forms
 in having simple vocal Sh'va.
3. Where ( $\ldots$ ) or ( ${ }_{w n}$ ) are proper to the form these are frequently changed to (, ) or ( ., , ) upon the prolongation of the word or the removal




花 Lev． $23: 30$ ；
 ตִּ
 Neh．10：33，Ezek．37：2．The change from（．，，to（ $\quad$ ，，r）after Vav con－ versive occurs once in the third person of the Hiphil preterite，



4．A vowel which has arisen from Sh＇va in consequence of the rejec－ tion of the vowel of a following consonant，will be dropped in guttural as in perfect verbs upon the latter vowel being restored by a pause accent， －萑，

5．Sometimes the silent Sh＇va of the perfect verb is retained by the guttural instead of being replaced by a compound Sh＇va or a subsidiary vowel which has arisen from it．This is most frequent in the Kal future， though it occurs likewise in the Kal infinitive after inseparable preposi－ tions，in the Niphal preterite and participle，in the Hiphil species，and also though rarely in the Hophal．There are examples of it with all the gut－ turals，though these are most numerous in the case of $\pi$ ，which is the strongest of that class of letters．In the majority of roots and forms there is a fixed or at least a prevailing usage in favour either of the simple or of the compound Sh＇va；in some，however，the use of one or the other ap－ pears to be discretionary．
a．The following verbs always take simple Sh＇va under the first radical in the species whose initial letters are annexed to the root，viz．：

| Hi．to be red． <br> Ni．Hi．to be illus－ trious． | 以 Ш⿺辶丅冖 K．to beat off． lence to． |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hi．to close． | （Then Mi．to join together． |
| ＊－טָּרָ K．to shut． | חָּ K．to gird．חָּטר K．to ferment． |
| N K．to le |  |
| d on | ¢оֹ K．to devour． |
| K．Ni．（not to be guilty． |  |
| vain |  |
| הָהָה K．Hi．to meditate． <br> ำ．K．to thrust． | K．Ni．to be panic－ |
|  | $\dagger$ ¢ֹ¢ K．to delight． |
| $\cdots \mathrm{K} . \mathrm{Ni}$ to be． | $7 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{Ni}$ to desire． |

[^1]King K．Hi．to blush．
 tuous．
Kin（not Hi．）to hew．


＊กָ K．to tremble．
ornament．
پ．
K חָּ
5 Hin to gather much． moved．

Ho．to be swaddled．treat．
b．The following are used with both simple and compound Sh＇va，either in the same form or in different forms，viz．：

| Wָ to bind． | －דָּ to trust． | בָּ to wear． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To nowithhold． | 放 to encircle． |
| － | To to uncover． | －ָּלָּ to conceal． |
| 宁 to bind． |  |  |
| T to be strong． | T－${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ to be dark | ニヴק to supplant． |
| חָ to be sick． | to pass over． | \％ |
| דֵּק to divide． | רָכT to help． | 戒 to be rich． |

c．The following have simple Sh＇va only in the passages or parts al－ leged，but elsewhere always compound Sh＇va，viz．：

Tָָ Ps．65：7，to gird．
－ibov Ps．47：10，to gather．
Ps．109：23，to go．
敢 2 Kin．10：9，to slay． ロָֹּ Job 39：4，Jer．29：8，to dream．Ps．5：12，to exult．
Theb 20：24，to change，pierce．Isa．44：7，to set in order．

All other Pe guttural verbs，if they occur in forms requiring a Sh＇va under the first radical，have invariably compound Sh＇va．

The use or disuse of simple Sh＇va is so uniform and pervading in cer－ tain verbs，that it must in all probability be traced to the fixed usage of actual speech．This need not be so in all cases，however，as in other and less common words its occurrence or non－occurrence may be fortuitous； additional examples might have been pointed differently．
§ 113．1．The Ihhirik of the prefix is in the Niphal future，imperative and participle，almost invariably lengthened to Tsere upon the omission of Daghesh－forte in the first radical，


[^2]32: 17, 2 Sam. 17: 23, which is in one instance expressed by the vowel letter $\square$, Ex. 25: 31. The only exception is explained by § 42. a) Ezek. 26: 15 for According to some copies, which differ in this from the received text, Seghol
 24: 3, בֶּשׁׁun Lam. 2:11. In Job 34: 31 is neither the infin. nor the imper. Niph., as it has sometimes been explained, but the Kal pret. with He Interrogative, § 232. 4.
2. The initial $\boldsymbol{i}$ of the Hiphil infinitive is, as in perfect verbs, rarely

 2 Sam. 18: 3 K'thibh; and still more rarely that of the Niphal infinitive,
§ 114. The letter 9 resembles the other gutturals in not admitting Daghesh-forte, and in requiring the previous vowel to be lengthened in-



 nates with הִךְגָה as Hiphil preterite, and once with Vav conversive preterite,


 the simple Sh'va of perfect verbs whether silent or vocal $\mathfrak{F i n}$ רְ Gen. 44: 4,
 to receive Pattahh furtive contrary to the ordinary rule which restricts it to the end of the word $\S 60.2$. a.
§ 115. The verb wָּ reduplicates its last instead of its second radical in the Pual, Lam. 2: 11, § 92. $a$.

עerbs.
For the peculiar forms of

## Ayin Guttural Verbs.

§ 116. Ayin guttural verbs, or those which have a guttural for their second radical, are affected by the peculiarities of these letters, § 108, in the following manner, viz.:

1. The influence of the guttural upon a following vowel being comparatively slight, this latter is only converted into Pattahh in the future and imperative Kal,
and the feminine plural of the future and imperative Niphal, Piel, and Hithpael, where the like change sometimes occurs even without the presence of a guttural品, for
2. No forms occur which could give rise to Pattahh furtive.
3. When the second radical should receive simple Sh'va, it takes Hhateph Pattahh instead as the compound Sh'va best suited to its nature; and to this the new vowel, formed from Sh'va in the feminine singular and masculine plural of the Kal imperative, is assimilated, for
4. Daghesh-forte is always omitted from the second radical in Piel, Pual, and Hithpael, in which case the preceding vowel may either remain short as in an intermediate syllable, or Hhirik may be lengthened to Tsere, Pattahh to Kamets, and Kibbuts to Hholem, §60.4, .
$\S$ 117. The inflections of Ayin guttural verbs may be shown by the example of some species means to redeem, and in others to pollute. The Hiphil and Hophal are omitted, as the former agrees precisely with that of perfect verbs, and the latter differs only in the substitution of compound for simple Sh'va in a manner sufficiently illustrated by the foregoing species.
[^3]
## Remaris on Ayin Guttural Verbs.

§ 118. 1. If the second radical is 7 , the Kal future and imperative commonly have Hholem; but the following take Pattalh, to knecl,
winter, cover; to tear in pieces, has either Hholem or Pattahh; win to plough has fut. $\bar{o}$, to be silent has fut. $a$.
2. With any other guttural for the second radical the Kal future and imperative have Pattahh; only to roar, and -范 to curse, to trespass, and to do, have either Pattahh or Hholem; the future of
3. Pattahh in the ultimate is as in perfect verbs commonly prolonged to Kamets before suffixes, where Hholem would be rejected, אֲהֶּה Prov.
 Gen. 29:32.
4. The feminine plurals of the Niphal and Piel futures have Pattahh with the second radical whether this be 9 or another guttural,
 Tsere occasionally in pause, $\pi=T=T$ Jer. 9:17.
§119. 1. With these exceptions the vowel accompanying the guttural is the same as in the perfect verb; thus the Kal preterite mid. $\bar{e}$ : =ñu


 1 Sam. 20:6, 28, and once anomalously with prefixed $\kappa$, (a like substitution of $\mathcal{N}$ for $\boldsymbol{n}$ occurring once in the Hiphil preterite : אְֶָָּ Isa. 63:3); future =ity Ex. 14: 14, with Vav conversive, : ©xa: Job 7:5,

 imperative, 1 Sam. 18:17, or with the accent thrown back, Th Gen. 13:9; Hiphil infinitive, 1 Sam. 27:12, Finn Gen. 21:16, 1 ,
 12: 4, with Vav conversive, 1 Kin. 22: 54, Zech. 11: 8; impera-
 - Deut. 4: 10, with a pause accent the last vowel sometimes becomes
 8:3. Hophal infinitive, $=-2$ Kin. 3:23. Tsere is commonly retained in the last syllable of the Piel and Hithpael, which upon the retrocession or




 the perfect verb, Pattahh is taken instead, thus in the preterite,
 ( $-\frac{1}{1}$ rarely occurs except in pause), Isa. 25: 11, and more rarely still in the imperative,

2. לֹהּ, which has Kamets in pause, Tsere before suffixes,
 1 Sam. 1: 28.
3. Kamets Hbatuph sometimes remains before the guttural in the Kal imperative and infinitive with suffixes or appended $\pi$, , Hos. 9: 10,

 - Ezek. 8: 6, and sometimes is changed to Pattahh, Isa. 57: 13, に or with simple Sh'va under the guttural, צַּ 2 Ps. 68: 8, 2 Chron. 26: 19. In the simple syllable. Once the paragogic imperative takes the form

4. Hhirik of the inflected Kal imperative is retained before 7 , דִרְתוּ



§ 120. 1. The compound Sh'va after Kamets Hhatuph is ( $\left(\begin{array}{rl} \\ \text { ) }\end{array}\right.$, after Seghol ( . $^{\text {) , in other cases ( }}$ ), as is sufficiently shown by the examples al-


2. The letter before the guttural receives compound Sh'va in
 preceding vowel and its expression by the vowel letter $\aleph, \S 11,1 . a$. This latter form, though without an exact parallel, is thus susceptible of ready explanation, and there is no need of resorting to the hypothesis of an error

3. Resh commonly receives simple Sh'va, though it has compound in some forms of
§ 121. 1. Upon the omission of Daghesh-forte from the second radical the previous vowel is always lengthened before $\urcorner$, almost always before $\mathfrak{x}$, and prevailingly before $\Sigma$, but rarely before $\pi$ or $\pi$. The previous vowel
 shake, and porys to cry. It is sometimes lengthened, though not always, in Tַּ שָּ to ask; to consume,
 to be dull, which only occurs Eccl. 10: 10. The only instances of the pro-

 which may, however, be regarded as nouns. Daghesh-forte is retained and
 unless the point in the latter example is to be regarded as Mappik, § 26 .
2. When not lengthened, Hhirik of the Piel preterite commonly re-

 Ps. 51: 7.
3. When under the influence of a pause accent the guttural receives


§ 122. 1. cated in place of the second; opnon doubles the second syllable; and wanc : הֵos. 4: 18, is by the ablest Hebraists regarded as one word, the last two radicals being reduplicated together with the personal ending, § 92. $a$.
 7. 8; : is sometimes derived from form would however be unexampled. The vowels show it to be the Hiphil

 upon the basis of a Pual, $\S 83 . c$. (2). infinitive from דָּרָּ, which some regard as Kal, others as Piel.

## Lamedh Guttural Verbs.

§ 123. Lamedh guttural verbs, or those which have a guttural for their third radical, are affected by the peculiarities of these letters, § 108, in the following manner, viz.:

1. The vowel preceding the third radical becomes Pattahh in the future and imperative Kal, and in the feminine plurals of the future and imperative Piel, Hiphil, and Hithpael,
2. Tsere preceding the third radical, as in the Piel and Hithpael and in some forms of the other species, may either be changed to Pattahh or retained; in the latter case the guttural takes Pattahh-furtive, § 17, after

3. Hhirik of the Hiphil species, Hholem of the Kal and Niphal infinitives, and Shurek of the Kal passive participle, suffer no change before the final guttural,

4. The guttural retains the simple Sh'va of the perfect verb before all afformatives beginning with a consonant, though compound Sh'va is substituted for it before suffixes, which are less closely attached to the verb, Mrin
5. When, however, a personal afformative consists of a single vowelless letter, as in the second feminine singular of the preterite, the guttural receives a Pattahhfurtive to aid in its pronunciation without sundering it from the affixed termination, שָׁung
a. Some grammarians regard this as a Pattahh inserted between the guttural and the final vowelless consonant by § 61. 2, and accordingly
 not suffer even a compound Sh'va to be inserted before the affixed personal termination, it is scarcely probable that a full vowel would be admitted. And the Daghesh-lene in the final Tav and the Sh'va under it show that the preceding vowel sign is not Pattahh but Pattahh-furtive, § 17. $a$.
6. There is no occasion in these verbs for the application of the rule requiring the omission of Daghesh-forte from the gutturals.
$\S$ 124. The inflections of Lamedh guttural verbs may be represented by نَשׁׁH to send (Paradigm VI). The Pual and Hophal, which agree with perfect verbs except in the Pattahh-furtive of the second feminine preterite and of the absolute infinitive, are omitted from the paradigm. The Hithpael of this verb does not occur, but is here formed from analogy, the initial sibilant being transposed with $\Omega$ of the prefix, according to $\S 82.5$.
a. Instead of the Niphal infinitive absolute with prefixed $\pi$, which does not happen to occur in any verb of this class, the alternate form with prefixed $\delta, \S 91 . b$, is given in the paradigm,

## Remarks on Lamedh Guttural Verbs.

§ 125. 1. The Kai future and imperative have Pattahh except כְּ 43: 16; in one instance the K'thibh inserts 1, Jer. 5: 7, where the

K'ri is -אֶob in צֶדֶּקָּ ,
 14: 32, and in the imperative Hhirik is given to the first radical, بنּמשּה Job 32:10, שִׁלְלָה Gen. 43: 8. Hhirik appears in $25: 31$, but verbs whose last radical is 7 commonly take Kamets Hhatuph like perfect verbs both before paragogic $\pi_{\tau}$, and suffixes, Prov. 3: 3.
 17: 28, 一 15: 1. With a feminine ending, the first syllable takes Kamets Hhatuph,

 Num. 35: 19,
3. Most verbs with final $\rightarrow$ have Hholem in the Kal future and imperative. But such as have middle $\bar{e}$ in the preterite take Pattahh, § 82. 1. $a$; and in addition the following, viz.: now to grow pale, to shake, avay, שָּ Pattahh or Hholem, to be short.
§ 126. 1. Tsere is almost always changed to Pattahh before the guttural in the preterite, infinitive construct, future and imperative; but it is retained and Pattahh-furtive given to the guttural in pause, and in the infinitive absolute and participle which partake of the character of nouns and prefer lengthened forms. Thus, Niphal: infin. constr., ? Esth.
 tive, even in pause, הیN. Piel: preterite, Hev. 14: 8, 2 Chron. 34: 4, infin. constr., Hab. 1: 13, Lam. 2: 8, future, Mob 16: 13,
 apocopated future,
 and even in pause, Man. 11:40, 190, 106: 47; this species sometimes has Kamets
 hand, the absolute infinitives: Piel,
 occasionally in the construct state with Pattahh, $\operatorname{six}$ Ps. 94: 9, רַּ Isa. 51: 15, 1 Sam. 21: 15. Tsere is retained before suffixes of the second person instead of being either changed to Pattahh or as in perfect verbs shortened to Seghol, Pi. inf. const. ${ }^{\text {inn }}$ Deut. 15: 18, fut. There is one instance of Pattahh in the Hiphil inf. const., הín Job 6: 26,


2. In verbs with final $\urcorner$ Pattahh takes the place of Tsere for the most part in the Piel preterite (in pause Tsere), and frequently in the Hithpael (in pause Kamets); but Tsere (in pause Tsere or Pattahh, §65. a) is com-

 Two verbs have Seghol in the Piel preterite,
§127. 1. The guttural almost always has Pattahh-furtive in the second

 نָּ rence of consonants at the end of a word, $\S 61.2$ ), unless in $\boldsymbol{r}_{-1}$ ל Gen. $30: 15$, and $:$ Gen. 20: 16, the former of which admits of ready explanation as a construct infinitive, and the latter may be a Niphal participle in the feminine singular, whether it be understood it is rectified or thou art righted, i. e. justice is done thee by this indemnification. Pattahh is once inserted before the abbreviated termination of the feminine plural imperative,
2. The guttural takes compound instead of simple Sh'va before suffixes, not only when it stands at the end of the verb, Prov. 25: 17, but also in the first plural of the preterite,
 simple Sh'va before all personal terminations and suffixes,

3. In a few exceptional cases the letter before the guttural receives compound Sh'va,
$\S 128$. The Hiphil infinitive construct once has the feminine ending $T$,
 its anomalous form to its being assimilated in termination to the following
 the guttural $\boldsymbol{z}$ is elided, §53. 3 .

## Pe Nun ( $\dagger^{\prime \prime \Xi) \text { Verbs. }}$

$\S 129$. Nun, as the first radical of verbs, has two peculiarities, viz.:

1. At the end of a syllable it is assimilated to the following consonant, the two letters being written as one, and the doubling indicated by Daghesh-forte. This occurs in the Kal future, Niphal preterite and participle, and in the Hiphil and Hophal species throughout; thus, , becomes הִּunt. In the Hophal, Kamets Hhatuph becomes Kibbuts before the doubled letter, $\S 61.5$, for formin
2. In the Kal imperative with Pattahh it is frequently dropped, its sound being easily lost from the beginning of a syllable when it is without a vowel, A like rejection occurs in the Kal infinitive construct of a few verbs, the abbreviation being in this case compensated by adding the feminine termination $\Omega$; thus,

a. In the Indo-European languages likewise, $n$ is frequently conformed to or affected by a following consonant, and in certain circumstances it is

$\S 130.1$. The inflections of Pe Nun verbs may be represented by to approach (Paradigm VII). In the Piel, Pual, and Hithpael, they do not differ from perfect verbs. The last column of the paradigm is occupied by the Kal species of ${ }^{-1} \underset{\sim}{2}$ to give, which is peculiar in assimilating its last as well as its first radical, and in having Tsere in the future.
a. The Kal of the preterite and participle being supplied by the Niphal, which has substantially the same sense: the missing parts are in the paradigm supplied from analogy.
b. The future of was Pattahh in one instance before Makkeph, Judg. 16: 5.

## Remarks on Pe Nun Verbs.

§ 131. 1. If the second radical be a guttural or a vowel letter, Nun becomes strong by contrast and is not liable to rejection or assimilation,




2. Before other consonants the rule for assimilation is observed with rare exceptions, viz.: :



3. Nun is commonly rejected from the Kal imperative with $a$, 2 Sam. 1: 15 (once before Makkeph, - Gen. 19:9, in plural 1 Kin. 18:30 and in order to permit the retrocession of the accent, § 35. 1, Josh. 3:9,
 －Gen．27：26，though it is occasionally retained， 2 Kin．19：29， Ps．10：12，or by a variant orthography，בְּ Ps．4：7 but always else－ where $\mathbb{N}^{6}$ ．In imperatives with $\bar{o}$ ，and in Lamedh He verbs which have $\vec{e}$ in the imperative，Nun is invariably retained，


4．The rejection of Nun from the Kal construct infinitive occurs in but few verbs；viz：（with suffix，伿 and from

 commonly（for Gen．38： 9.

5．The absolute infinitive Niphal appears in the two forms דִּמָּ Jer． 32：4，and 气itu Judg．20： 39.

6．The $\pi$ of the prefix in the Hithpael species is in a few instances
 37：10，Jer．23：13，
§ 132．1．The last radical of in the Kal species， 5 Lev．26：25．The final Nun of other verbs remains
 which is found in the parallel passage Ps．18：41．简1 Kin．6：19．17：14 K＇thibh，is probably，as explained by Ewald，the Kal construct infinitive without the feminine ending（ $\%$ ）prolonged by reduplication，which is the
 regard it as the infinitive -8 with the 3 fem．plur．suffix or with $\dagger$ paragogic； Gesenius takes it to be，as always elsewhere，the 2 masc．sing．of the Kal future．． Kal const．inf．with the fem，ending $\pi_{*}$ instead of $\Omega$ ，comp．רִד Gen． $46: 3$ ．
 first radical is assimilated or rejected in the same manner as 2，Kal inf．
 pret．），once－Tp（by § 60．3．c） 2 Kin．12：9，with suffixes

 same form occurs without a suffix，$\Gamma p_{\mathrm{T}}$ Ezek．17：5，or this may be explained


3．In Isa．64：5 5 has the form of a Hiphil future from לָּלָּ but the sense shows it to be from for the previous vowel lengthened in consequence，§ 59．$a$ ．

## Ayin Doubled（ジシ）Verbs．

§ 133．The imperfect verbs，thus far considered，differ from the perfect verbs either in the vowels alone or in
the consonants alone; those which follow, differ in both vowels and consonants, § 107, and consequently depart much more seriously from the standard paradigm. The widest divergence of all is found in the Ayin doubled and Ayin Vav verbs, in both of which the root gives up its dissyllabic character and is converted into a monosyllable; a common feature, which gives rise to many striking resemblances and even to an occasional interchange of forms.
$\S$ 134. 1. In explaining the inflections peculiar to Ayin doubled verbs, it will be most convenient to separate the intensive species Piel and Pual with their derivative the Hithpael from the other four. That which gives rise to all their peculiar forms in the Kal, Niphal, Hiphil, and Hophal species, is the disposition to avoid the repetition of the same sound by uniting the two similar radicals and giving the intervening vowel to the previous letter,

2. In the Kal species this contraction is optional in the preterite; it is rare in the infinitive absolute though usual in the construct, and it never occurs in the participles. With these exceptions, it is universal in the species already named.
$\S 135$. This contraction produces certain changes both in the vowel, which is thrown back, and in that of the preceding syllable.

1. When the first radical has a pretonic Kamets, $\S 82.1$, as in the Kal preterite and infinitive absolute, and in the Niphal infinitive, future and imperative, this is simply displaced by the vowel thrown back from the


2. When the first radical ends a mixed syllable as in the Kal future, the Niphal preterite, and throughout the

Hiphil and Hophal，this will be converted into a simple syllable by the shifting of the vowel from the second radical to the first，whence arise the following mutations：
 syllable，contrary to § 18．2．This may，however，be con－ verted into a mixed syllable by means of Daghesh－forte， and the short vowel be retained，thus $20 . ;$ or the syllable may remain simple and the vowel be lengthened from
 or as the Hhirik of this tense is not an original vowel but has arisen from Sh＇va，§ 85．2．a（1），it may be neglected and $\bar{a}$ ，the simplest of the long vowels，given to the preformative，which is the most common expedient， thus ニジ．The three possible forms of this tense are con－


In the Niphal preterite בסַ．In a few verbs beginning with $\pi$ the short vowel is retained in an intermediate syllable，thus כִּ
 or as the Hhirik is not essential to the form but has arisen from Sh＇va，$\S 82.2$ ，it is more frequently neglected， and Kamets，the simplest of the long vowels，substituted in its place，thus ．ַ．The forms of this tense are，there－ fore，

In the Hiphil and Hophal species the vowels of the prefixed - are characteristic and essential．They must， therefore，either be retained by inserting Daghesh－forte in the first radical，or be simply lengthened；no other




3．The vowel，which is thrown back from the second radical to the first，stands no longer before a single con－ sonant，but before one which，though single in appear－
ance, is in reality equivalent to two. It is consequently subjected to the compression which affects vowels so situated, $\S$ 61. 4. Thus, in the Niphal future and impera-
 =-: tive which, partaking of the character of a noun, prefers longer forms. So in the Hiphil long Hhirik is compressed to Tsere,
$\S$ 136. Although the letter, into which the second and third radicals have been contracted, represents two consonants, the doubling cannot be made to appear at the end of the word. But

1. When in the course of inflection a vowel is added, the letter receives Daghesh-forte, and the preceding vowel, even where it would be dropped in perfect verbs, is retained to make the doubling possible, and hence preserves its accent, § 33.1,
2. Upon the addition of a personal ending which begins with a consonant, the utterance of the doubled letter is aided by inserting one of the diphthongal vowels, $\bar{o}(i)$ in the preterite, and $e(\because)$ in the future. By the dissyllabic appendage thus formed the accent is carried forward, $\S 32$, and the previous part of the word is shortened in consequence as much as possible, .
3. When by the operation of the rules already given, $\S 135.2$, the first radical has been doubled, the reduplication of the last radical is frequently omitted in order to relieve the word of too many doubled letters. In this case the retention of the vowel before the last radical, contrary to the analogy of perfect verbs, and the insertion of a vowel after it, are alike unnecessary, and the accent takes its accustomed position,
$\S$ 137. The Piel, Pual, and Hithpael sometimes pre-
serve the regular form, as ד. repetition of the same letter thus caused is in a few instances avoided, however, by reduplicating the con-
 Or more commonly, the reduplication is given up and the idea of intensity conveyed by the simple prolongation of the root, the long vowel Hholem being inserted after the first radical for this purpose, as בiva,
$\S$ 138. In Paradigm VIII the inflections of Ayin doubled verbs are shown by the example of $\begin{gathered}\text { ove to sur- } \\ \text { do }\end{gathered}$ round. The Pual is omitted, as this species almost invariably follows the inflections of the perfect verb; certain persons of the Hophal, of which there is no example, are likewise omitted. An instance of Piel, with the radical

a. The Hithpael of $\begin{gathered}\text { over does not actually occur; but it is in the para- }\end{gathered}$ digm formed from analogy, the initial sibilant being transposed with the $\Omega$ of the prefix, agreeably to $\S 82.5$.

## Remarks on Ayin Doubled Verbs.

§ 139. 1. The uncontracted and the contracted forms of the Kal preterite are used with perhaps equal frequency in the third person; the former is
 are no examples of it in the second; Gen. 49:23 and Job 24: 24
 uncontracted is added to the contracted form for the sake of greater emphasis. Compound Sh'va is sometimes used with these verbs instead of simple to make its vocal character more distinct, § 16. 1. $b$, :

2. The following are examples of the contracted infinitive absolute,
 termination) ibid.; of the uncontracted,
 once with $\bar{u}$ as in Ayin Vav verbs, $\overline{\text { an }}$ Eccles. 9: 1, and occasionally with
 Isa. 30:18 ( Ys. 102: 14); ; as the noun with the suffix their bread, is the infinitive of warm; c: prep. 3 , the abbreviated relative and $E$, is by the latest authorities regarded
as the infinitive of דָּ suffix. The feminine termination mis appended to the following infinitives,

 sometimes Pattahh, 3ı Ps. 119: 22 (elsewhere bì), Ps. 80: 16. Fürst regards nַ as a contracted participle from miñ , analogous to the Ayin Vav form $\mathrm{DP}_{\mathrm{T}}$.
3. The following uncontracted forms occur in the Kal future, Am.
 Mic. 6: 13, , Ezek. 3: 15,
 the same letter is avoided by the substitution of s for the second radical,


 Alexander in loc.
§ 140. 1. Examples of different forms of the Kal future: (1) With
 as the second vowel, ben, , (2) With Tsere under the personal prefix, vowel letter ${ }^{4}$,
 Vav Consecutive the accent is drawn back to the simple penult syllabe in this form of the future, and Hholem is consequently shortened, $\S 64.1$,


 Gesenius assumes the existence of and
2. The Niphal preterite and participle: (1) With Hhirik under the


 successive syllables is avoided by exchanging $a$ of the last syllable for Tsere,施 and verbs,
3. The Niphal future preserves the Tsere of perfect verbs in one ex-

 Isa. 24:3, pitnibid. If the first radical is a guttural and incapable of
 5in, , in some of their forms; and as the signification of these species is not always clearly distinguishable in intransitive verbs, it is often a matter of doubt or of indifference to which a given form should be referred. Thus,

3 them to be Kal, and Fürst the first two Niphal and the third Kal.
 Tsere in the last syllable, Ean 2 Sam. 17:10. The infinitive construct:
 Lev. 21:4. The imperative:
5. In the Hiphil preterite the vowel of the last syllable is compressed to Tsere,
 Tsere, thus the absolute:
 a final guttural, Non Job 21: 5 is a Hiphil and not a Hophal form as stated by Gesenius, the first vowel being Kamets and not Kamets Hhatuph. Futures with a
 with a lung vowel, ( $\bar{e}$ expressed by the vowel letter $\mathrm{x}, \S 11.1 . a)$ Eccles. 12: 5. When in this latter class of futures the accent is removed from the ultimate, whether by Vav Consecutive or any other cause, Tsere is shortened to Seghol, bisy,
 (
 very few instances the Hhirik of the perfect paradigm is retained in the last syllable of this species as in Ayin Vav verbs, מיִִין Judg. 3: 21, an Jer. 49: 20, , Num. 21: 30.
6. Hophal preterites:
 2 Sam. 23: 6; infinitive with suffix, ver. 43.
§ 141. 1. Upon the addition of a vowel affix and the consequent insertion of Daghesh-forte in the last radical, the preceding vowel and the position of the accent continue unchanged, the fem. part. הa preceding Pattahh sometimes remains short before $\pi$, but it is lengthened to Kamets before other gutturals, When the first radical is doubled, Daghesh is omitted from the last in the
 Ho. pret. Other cases are exceptional, whether of the shifting of the accent, Ps. 3:2, Ps. 55: 22, ening of the vowel, 49: 28 (with the letter repeated instead of being simply donbled by Daghesh,
 Daghesh,
 addition, the rejection of the vowel,

 for


 Prov．26： 7 for from $\begin{gathered}\text { frici 174．} 1 .\end{gathered}$

2．Upon the insertion of a vowel before affixes beginning with a con－ sonant，the accent is shifted and the previous part of the word shortened

 vowel remaining long before 7），（Pattahh instead of compound Sh＇va on account of the following guttural，§ € 0．3．c），
 be doubled，Daghesh is omitted from the last，and the customary vowel is in consequence not inserted，$\pi=\pi-\pi$ ；other cases are rare and ex－ ceptional， $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ ม not third plur．for men（Ewald），§ 54，3；
 the penult．

3．Before suffixes the accent is always shifted，and if possible the vowels

 from 7 ， and the requisite short vowel given to the first of the concurring conso－ nants，§ 61．1．In a very few instances a form resembling that of Ayin Vav verbs is assumed，Daghesh being omitted from the last radical and the preceding vowel lengthened in consequence，位 Prov．8： 29 for ver．27，葠
 ת Nuu is once inserted before the suffix in place of doubling the radical，$\rightarrow \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ Num．23： 13 for ${ }^{-1}=$ ．
$\S$ 142．1．Of the verbs which occur in Piel，Pual，or Hithpael，the fol－ lowing adopt the forms of perfect verbs，viz．：

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$ to curse． | to cry． | TקT to make a nest． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| İ to plunder． | －To smite，break． | 耳＇Sp to cut off． |
| $\rightarrow$－${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ to purify． | －¢ ¢ to take away the | บذํ to be many． |
| 家 to grope． | heart． | ヲヲํ to be tender． |
| Fit to refine． | PĖ̇ to lick． | טָּרד to harrow． |
| － | 放 to feel，to grope． | －ט่ to rule． |
| Y＇Țָ to divide． | \％to leap． |  |
| 隹 to be broken． | ®ַּ to judge，to inter－ | －ค่ํ to be perfect． |
| 隹 to cover． | cede． |  |

2．The following，which are mostly suggestive of a short，quick，re－ peated motion，reduplicate the radical syllable，viz．：

| burn． |  | ¢ָּut to sport，delight． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| บָּ to dance． | 住宁 to excite． | Fieie to run． |
| －ל－to be mad． | ¢ צnex to chirp． | U－5 to mock． |

3．The following insert Hholem after the first radical，viz．：
－jx to complain．
ל
F דָּ to empty．
永 to cut．
ค霛 to sweep away．
－דָּ to be still．
זּר To to break loose．
oָּ to fly．
－
כַּלַּ to occupy the thres－
hold．
צָּרִ to bind．

קָּט

4．The following employ two forms，commonly in different senses，viz．： S发：and bis to roll．


To make gracious，家 to be gracious．
to gather clouds，y to prac－ tise sorcery．

 －and שִׁיִּדּ



5．The following use different forms in different species，viz．：

． $\operatorname{ar}$ ．Pi．to measure，Hith．
Pi．to make bitter，Hith．




6．The following examples exhibit the effect of gutturals upon redupli－ cated forms：Preterite，


§ 143．1．The Pual species adheres to the analogy of perfect verbs with the exception of the preterites， ：Isa．66：12，and the participles，
 in loc．
 ： it is a similar contraction of the Hithpael of ל习习习习 thou wilt show thyself a
 unanimous in supposing a transposition of the second radical with the first and its union with $n$ of the prefix．

3．דָּ e．g． whence these forms are in the lexicons referred to the secondary root

## Pe Yodh（＂シ）Verbs．

$\S$ 144．In quiescent verbs one of the original radicals is $\mathbf{\Sigma}, 9$ or ${ }^{\bullet}$ ，which in certain forms is converted into or exchanged for a vowel．As $\boldsymbol{N}$ preserves its consonantal character when occupying the second place in the root， and also（with the exception of the Pe Aleph future， $\S 110.3$ ，and a few occasional forms，§ 111．2）when it stands in the first place，verbs having this letter as a first or second radical belong to the guttural class；those only in which it is the third radical（Lamedh Aleph）are properly reckoned quiescent．On the other hand，if the first，second，or third radical be either Yodh or Vav，the verb is classed as quiescent．All verbs into which either $\dagger$ or＂enter as a first radical are promiscuously called Pe Yodh，as the modes of inflection arising from these two letters have been blended，and Yodh in either case ap－ pears in the Kal preterite from which roots are ordinarily named，§ 83．a．In the second radical the Vav forms （Ayin Vav）preponderate greatly over those with Yodh （Ayin Yodh）．In the third radical the Yodh forms have almost entirely superseded those with Vav，though the current denomination of the verbs is derived from neither of these letters but from He （Lamedh He ），which is used to express the final vowel of the root in the Kal preterite after the proper radical has been rejected．
a. Verbs whose third radical is the consonant $n$ belong to the guttural class, e. g. $-\cdots, \cdots$ and are quite distinct from the quiescent verbs in

§145. 1. In Pe Yodh verbs the first radical is mostly Yodh at the beginning, $\S 56.2$, and Vav at the close of a syllable. It is accordingly Yodh in the Kal, Piel, and

 the Hiphil and Hophal species,
2. In the Kal future, if Yodh be retained, it will quiesce in and prolong the previous Hhirik, and the second radical will take Pattahh, e. g. w-? if the first radical be rejected the previous Hhirik is commonly
 lable being sometimes changed to Tsere to correspond with it, § 63. 2. c, e. g. =יֵ: ; in a few instances Hhirik is preserved by giving Daghesh-forte to the second radical as in Pe Nun verbs, the following vowel being either

3. Those verbs which reject Yodh in the Kal future, reject it likewise in the imperative and infinitive construct, where it would be accompanied by Sh'va at the beginning of a syllable, §53.2.a, the infinitive being prolonged as in Pe Nun verbs by the feminine termina-

§ 146. 1. In the Niphal preterite and participle Vav
 in the infinitive, future, and imperative, where it is doubled by laghesh-forte, it retains its consonantal character,


 more rarely still, the first radical is dropped and the
preceding short vowel is preserved，as in Pe Nun verbs， by doubling the second radical，

3．In the Hophal Vav quiesces in Shurek，aithr，aitr； occasionally the short vowel is preserved and Daghesh－ forte inserted in the second radical，
a．The Hholem or Tsere of the Hiphil arises from the combination of $a$ ，the primary vowel of the first syllable in this species，$\S 82.5 . b$ ．（3），with $u$ or $i$ ，into which the letters 1 and ${ }^{7}$ are readily softened，§ 57．2．（5）．The Hholem of the Niphal is to be similary explained：the Hhirik of this species， which has arisen from Sh＇va and cannot combine with Vav，is exchanged for the simplest of the vowels $a$（comp．ニכָ ，ニifu），and the union of this with $\boldsymbol{T}$ forms $\bar{o}$ ．The Hophal retains the passive vowel $u$ ，which is occasio－ nally found in perfect verbs，$\S 95 . a$ ．
$\S 147$ ．The inflections of Pe Yodh verbs may be re－
 Piel，Pual，and Hithpael are omitted as they do not differ from perfect verbs．The alternate form of the Kal future is shown by the example of to be dry．

## Remarks on Pe Yodh Verbs．

§ 148．1．The following verbs retain Yodh in the Kal future，viz．：

| to be dry． | Towto to be poured． | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －to toil． |  | － |
| 解 to delay． |  | ¢ to possess． |
| － | $\gamma$ ¢ to counsel． | －${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {to put．}}$ |
| Fine to suck． | － | \％to sleep． |

The concurrence of Yodhs in the third person of the future is some－ times prevented by omitting the quiescent receiving Methegh before vocal Sh＇va，and thus distinguishing the last two
 § 45． 2.

2．The following have Tsere under the preformative；those in which the second vowel is likewise Tsere are distinguished by an asterisk：

| to know． | － | ジビ¢ to be dislocated． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The to be joined． |  | －to go down． |
| רָ to conceive． | － | ＊ |

The second syllable has Pattahh in $\operatorname{ninn}$ Jer. 13: 17, Lam. 3: 48, and
 of Lamedh Aleph verbs; תישنּבנה (with the vowel-letter ${ }^{\circ}$ for $e$ ) occurs only in the K'thibl, Ezek. 35: 9, and of course has not its proper vowels. In : Tsere of the preformative. Comp. § 60. 3. c.
3. The following insert Daghesh-forte in the second radical, viz.:
 before a letter with Sh'va; יִרְבֵּ Job 16:11 is explained by some as a Kal future, by others as a Piel preterite.





 6: 12. Some copies have 7 mini Isa. 40: 30 for
5. In futures having Tsere under the preformative, the accent is shifted to the penult after Vav Consecutive in the persons liable to such a change, viz.: 3 sing., 2 masc. sing., and 1 plur., Tsere in the ultimate being in consequence shortened to Seghol, becomes Seghol in 9, M, (with a postpositive accent) Gen. 2: 7, 19, , Gen. 50: 26; but
 the Lamedh Aleph form xis, unless the following word begins with an accented syllable, e. g. in all these cases to its original position, : Prath 4: 1, 139: 1, 7 Ps. 18: 10, § 35. 2.
§ 149. 1. Kal construct infinitives with Yodh:
 31: 7, Daghesh conservative after $\breve{\imath}, \S 14 . a$; חive § 87 , once





 שׂיוֹ
 derived from the Ayin Vav verb

 see § 92. a), צֵ ( צֵ ( With both forms: .
§ 150. 1. The Niphal of Lam. 1:4; 19, 1 Chron. 3: 5, 20: 8 has $\breve{u}$ followed by Daghesh. - rex, which according to Gesenius is from nto be $\stackrel{r}{-}$
 Jer. 51:58, will not require the explanation suggested in § $24 . c$, but the K'thibh 2 Sam. 14:30 will be unexplained. Ps. 9: 17 is not

2. Yodh appears in the Niphal future of two verbs instead of Vav, bming Gen. 8: 12, 1 Sam. 13: 8 K'ri, ning Ex. 19: 13. In the first person singular x always has Hhirik,
$\S 151$. 1. In the Hiphil the following verbs bave Yodh preceded by
 change, and in the following instances in which the prefix has Pattahh as in perfect verbs,
 (K'thibh NsT), 1 Chron. 12: 2.
2. In 1:8), the radical Yodh attracts to itself the vowel of the preformative,
 (13. Sam. 17: 47, Ps. 116: 6. Both Yodh and Vav, quiescing in their appropriate vowels, are liable to omission,


3. Vav consecutive draws the accent back to the penultimate Tsere or Hholem of the Hiphil future in the persons liable to be affected by it, § 148.5, and shortens the final vowel, with a pause accent: Ruth 2: 14.
4. The following verbs insert Daghesh in the second radical in the Hiphil, viz.:
 K'thibh, see § 150. 1.
5. In the Hophal a few examples occur of $\breve{\imath}$ followed by Daghesh, ay

 .

§ 152. 1. In the Kal preterite Yodh is once dropped, 7 Judg. 19: 11 for and second persons singular with suffixes, and in the second person plural, which is perhaps due to the assimilating power of the antecedent Yodh,

2. In the Piel future the prefix Yodh of the third person is contracted
with the radical after Vav consecutive,

 is assimilated to the following 4 and contracted with it in Ezek. 23: 48 for :? a peculiar Niphal formed on the basis of a Hithpael,
 given to the preceding letter, § 53. 3. b.
 in the infinitive construct, future and imperative Kal follows the analogy of Pe Yodh verbs, as though the root were הךָ. Th. Thus, Kal inf. const.等 (
 sing.
 the imper. הַילִּיםבי Ex. 2:9, and once in the participle

2. forms. In the Hiphil future of now, $\bar{o}$ is twice represented by the vowel letter א, Kal future, when it follows the Pe Aleph inflection, § 110. 3, which it does only in the following instances, 2 Sam. 6: 1, Mic. 4: 6, 1 Sam. 15: 6, where the Hhirik, being abbreviated from Tsere, is short, notwithstanding the Methegh in the intermediate syllable,

 stance the vowel of the ultimate is dropped entirely,
3. E-Thech. 10:6 is probably, as explained by Gesenius and
 from = combination of both words suggesting the sense of both, in which he is followed by the English translators, I will bring them again to place them.

Isa. 30:5 "is regarded by Gesenius as an incorrect orthography
 synonymous with

Ms. $16: 5$, see § 90.

## Ayin Vav (ע) and Ayin Yodh (ע"

$\S 154$. Yodh and Vav, as the second radical of verbs, have the following peculiarities, viz:

1. They may be converted into their homogeneous vowels $i$ and $u$.
2. They may be rejected when accompanied by a
heterogeneous vowel，which is characteristic of the form． Yodh forms are confined to the Kal of a few verbs；in the other species Vav forms are universal．
a．Yodh is never found as a quiescent middle radical in any species but Kal：it enters as a consonant into the Piel of two verbs，and the Hith－ pael of two，$\S 163.1$ ，the Niphal of דָּ to be，and the Hiphil of to live．
$\S 155.1$ ．In the Kal preterite and active participle and in the Hiphil and Hophal species，the quiescent is rejected and its vowel given to the preceding radical． Thus，

Kal preterite：por for where $\bar{a}$ ，which arises from blending $\breve{a}$ with the pretonic Kamets，$\S 62.1$ ，is in partial compensation for the contraction，for ニフ for ニin．For an exceptional formation，see § 160． 1.

Active participle： $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ for $\xlongequal[\square]{\square}$ for seded by that of another verbal derivative，as is the case in some perfect verbs of a neuter signification，$\S 90$ ．

Hiphil and Hophal：芴 for longed in a simple syllable，$\S 59$ ．

2．In the Kal construct infinitive，future，imperative and passive participle，the quiescent is softened into its homogeneous vowel，arp，ב•；in the future the preforma－ tive commonly takes the simplest of the long vowels $\bar{a}$ ，


3．In the Kal absolute infinitive and in the Niphal species a similar softening of 9 occurs，which，with the accompanying or preceding $a$ ，forms $\bar{\sigma}, \S 57.2$ ．（5），aip



4．In the first and second persons of the Niphal and Hiphil preterites $\bar{o}(i)$ is inserted before the affixed tor－ mination in order to preserve the long vowel of the root
from the compression incident to standing before two consonants, $\S 61.4$; in the feminine plurals of the Kal future $\breve{e}(\because)$ is sometimes inserted for a similar reason, this prolongation of the word being attended by a shifting of the accent and a consequent rejection of the pretonic vowel of the first syllable, In the Niphal preterite, when the inserted i receives the accent, the preceding $i$ is for euphony changed to $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}$. "
5. In the Kal and Hiphil species the apocopated future takes the diphthongal vowels $\bar{o}$ and $\bar{e}$ in distinction from the ordinary future, which has the pure vowels
 the accent is drawn back to the simple penult, and the vowel of the last syllable is shortened, ב.
$\S$ 156. 1. In the Piel, Pual, and Hithpael, the form of perfect verbs is rarely adopted, the second radical appearing as 1 , e. g. -
2. Commonly the third radical is reduplicated instead of the second, which then quiesces in Hholem, Pi. aip, Pu.
$a$. In the Pual $o$ is the passive vowel here adopted in preference to $u$ : in the Piel and Hithpael it arises from the combination of $u$, to which ${ }^{9}$ is softened, with the antecedent $a$,
3. Sometimes the quiescent letter is omitted from the


a. The two forms of the intensive species, which depart from the regular paradigm, precisely resemble in appearance those of Ayin doubled verbs, though constructed upon a different principle, as already explained.
$\S$ 157. The inflections of Ayin Vav verbs are shown in those of pora to stand or rise, in Paradigm IX; the divergent forms of Ayin Yodh verbs in the Kal species are exhibited by $\begin{aligned} \text { T } \\ \text { to contend. }\end{aligned}$
a. Ayin Vav and Ayin Yodh verbs are named not from the Kal preterite, in which the quiescent is rejected, but from the construct infinitive, the simplest form in which all the radicals appear.
b. No Hophal forms occur in those persons in which the inflective terminations begin with a consonant. The same is true of the Ayin Yodh imperative.

## Remarks on Ayin Vav and Aytn Yodh Verbs.

§ 158. 1. Medial Yodh and Vav remain without quiescence or rejection in a few verbs, whose root contains another feeble consonant by contrast with which these letters acquire new strength. This is always the case in
 verbs and forms,
 are confined to the Kal species, and in חרְ to be airy or refreshing, which is besides found in the Pual participle.
2. The Kal preterite has Pattahh in two instances as in Ayin Vav verbs, Zַַּ Zech. 4: 10, to die, יֵ Isa. 17: 11 (unless this is a noun as explained by Delitzsch and in the common English version) but Jer. 50:3, and Hholem in to shine,

 Pattabh in the second person plural, ermal. 3: 20. The following participles have Tsere, $\quad$ a


3. The vowel letter x is written for $\bar{a}, \S 11.1 . a$, once in the preterite, ראר

 26. The consonant $x$ is once introduced in place of the omitted 9 , רָאטלד Zech. 14: 10 for -T: Ps. 22: 17 is in like manner for piercing, though the most recent and ablest expositors take it to be a preposition and noun like the lion.
4. The accent regularly remains upon the radical syllable before affixes consisting of a vowel or a simple syllable, though with occasional exceptions,
 In a few instances it is shifted by Vav conversive preterite, $\S 100.2$,



§ 159. 1. Hholem is in a few instances found instead of Shurek in the


where 2 , the participle from (Gesenius), math moaking forth, i. e. the cause of it Ps. 22: 10, see Alexander in loc.; Gesenius explains this form as a participle, but is obliged in consequence to assume a transitive sense which nowhere else belongs to the verb.
2. The following imperatives have Hholem,


3. The following futures have Hholem, אizn,
 paradigm is lengthened to Tsere under the preformative. Examples of the feminine plual: (in Baer's edition without Daghesh),解 Ezek. 13: 19 (Baer no Daghesh). The accent is shifted and Kamets rejected from the preformative upon the addition of a suffix or paragogic Nun, the latter of which is particularly frequent in this class of verbs both in the Kal and Hiphil future, ה Apocopated future:

 changed to Pattahh before a final guttural,
 he flew, anchin; the vowel of the preformative is likewise changed to Pattahh
 25: 14 .
$\S 160.1$. The verbs which exhibit peculiar Ayin Yodh forms in Kal, with unimportant exceptions, either do not occur in the Hiphil or retain the same signification in both these species. This has led some grammarians to entertain the opinion that these are not Kal but abbreviated Hiphil forms, while others suppose that the Hiphil in these verbs is a secondary formation, and has arisen from the Kal future having the form of the Hiphil. Only three examples occur of quiescent Yodh in the Kal
 139: 2) © Jer. 16: 16; Dan. 10: 1 has been variously explained as 3 pers. preterite, imperative or infinitive.
2. The following verbs have ${ }^{4}$ in the Kal future and imperative,
 23: 24 K 'thibh) to exult, (once

 place; חקחל to twist, writhe, has both Yodh and Vav. To these are
 as always elsewhere; the Hiphil according to Gesenius: but as the corresponding preterites ars not Hiphil but Kal, and there are no other forms of the Kal future, they
might with equal propriety be regarded as Kal futures of Ayin Yodh roots; the second of them is so regarded by Ewald. Apocopated futures: ; , and
解. Feminine plural:
3. The infinitives show a stronger disposition to adopt Vav forms. Yodh is only retained in the following absolute infinitives: Prov. 23: 1, חִּ בּ. Construct infinitives: Judg. 21: 22 K'thibh, بְּ where Deut. 25: 4, elsewhere דוּוֹ. In the difficult verse Hos. 7:4 has been variously explained, as the Kal infinitive preceded by the preposition p or as the Hiphil participle. The only certain instance of a Kal passive par-
 some explain بִים Num. 24: 21, Obad. ver. 4, as a passive participle, others as an infinitive.
4. Ayin Yodh verbs adopt the Vav forms in all the derivative species,
 only instance of a Niphal participle with Yodh.
 accidental Hhirik of the perfect paradigm is preserved in bith by means of Daghesh-forte in the first radical; in it is lengthened to Tsere before the guttural; in : $\boldsymbol{r}_{\underset{T}{T}}$ Jer. 48: 11 the radical 9 is rejected, which gives it the appearance of an Ayin doubled verb. Inflected forms: נָּלָּנָּ (part. fem. (!ְ , , , ,
 after the preposition Job 33: 30, § 91. b; once it has Shurek, Isa. 25: 10. Imperative,


§ 162. 1. The short vowel of the perfect paradigm is in a few instances preserved in the Hiphil by doubling the first radical, thus







 ה", With Nun paragogic and suffixes: Apocopated future: $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ and


4. Hiphil infinitive absolute: הָּ


5. In a few instances $\breve{u}$ is found in the Hophal before Daghesh-forte



$\S 163$. 1. The following verbs, which are only found in one or more of the three reduplicated species, double the middle radical either as Vav or as Yodh, viz.: דִּ to pervert, بּَ also other species, and
2. The following omit the quiescent in the Piel and double the result-

 24:17 and : Fô Ps. 139: 8 for Fow, $\S 88$, though Gesenius conjectures that it is an
 reduplication is
3. Other verbs double the third radical in the Piel and Hithpael. Examples of the feminine plural: Hholem is changed to $\breve{u}$ before the doubled letter in the contracted form, Mob 31: 15 for in like manner for this single instance in a transitive sense, בִּשַּשְׁמ Am. 5: 11 is probably a variant orthography for
4. The following are the only examples of the Pual in Ayin Vav verbs, viz.: With 9 doubled, biliteral, 1 Kin. 20: 27. The third radical reduplicated, 1 ,


5. Der. 25:34 is an anomalous preterite from to scatter, with $\Omega$ prefixed and inflected after the analogy of Niphal; some copies have the noun

In the prefix as though the word were from the related Pe Yodh verb
 rejected as though it were from an Ayin Vav verb.

## Lamedh Aleph ( $\mathbf{x}^{\prime \prime}$ ) Verbs.

$\S 164.1$. Aleph, as the third radical of verbs, retains its consonantal character only when it stands at the beginning of a syllable,
2. At the end of the word it invariably quiesces in the preceding vowel, § 57. 2. (2), this vowel be Pattahh, as in the Kal and Niphal preterites and in the Pual and Hophal species, it is in the simple syllable lengthened into Kamets, § 59,
 imperative, where N as a guttural requires $a$, יִבְּ
 Kamets occurs before medial $\boldsymbol{N}$ in the first and second persons of the Kal preterite,
3. With the single exception just stated, medial $\boldsymbol{N}$ quiesces in the diphthongal vowel $e$ before syllabic affixes; thus, in the first and second persons of the preterites of
 feminine plurals of all the futures and imperatives in

$a$. This $e$ may arise from the diphthongal preferences of $\mathrm{N}, \S 60.1 . a(5)$, or it may be borrowed from the corresponding forms of $i=1$ verbs, between which and $\times " 3$ verbs there is a close affinity and a strong tendency to mutual assimilation. In Palestine Aramaeic and Syriac no distinction is made between them.
$\S 165$. This class of verbs is represented in Paradigm XI by wanting in this verb, are supplied from analogy. The Pual and Hophal are omitted because they are of rare occurrence, and they present no peculiarities but such as are common to the other species.

[^4]
## Remarks on Lamedh Aleph Verbs.

§ 166. 1. Verbs having Tsere as their second vowel, § 82. 1. a, retain it in the first and second persons of the Kal preterite,

2. Quiescent $\mathbb{N}$ is occasionally omitted from the body of the word, e. g. Kal pret.

 const. inf. with prep. and suf. from נָּ נָּ נit Nosh. 2: 16,
 the end of the word after quiescent Vav or Yodh, e. g. -i $\quad$ Gen. 20:6 for

 and in three other passages; Ruth 3:15 is Hiph. imper. fem. for רָּ § 62. 2.
3. The vowel following $\boldsymbol{x}$ is in a few instances given to a preceding vowelless consonant, and the $\mathbb{N}$ becomes otiant or quiescent, § 57. 2 (3),


 and, on the contrary, quiescent $\&$ attracts to itself the vowel of the pre-
 3: 11 for
4. Final $\kappa$ resumes its consonantal character upon the addition of suffixes a previous Tsere or Sh'va is converted into Pattahh, § 60.1,

5. Kamets in the ultimate is mostly retained before suffixes and para-
 56: 12. Tsere is rejected אֵצָּה Neh. 2:13, 2 Chron. 1:10, or retained only in pause :
§ 167. 1. He is, in a few instances, substituted for א, Ren Ps. 60:4 for

 8: 21 for אי?
2. Sometimes $\kappa$ remains, but the vowels are those of Ps. 119: 101 for

 2 Kin. 2: 21 for ?
 part. const. for משּׁn 23: 49,
3. Sometimes the $\mathbb{N}^{\prime \prime}$ form is adopted both in consonants and vowels,

 ( Job 5: 18 for Jer. 25: 27 is 2 pl. imp. of x תָּ
 to be for $\begin{gathered}\text { Qup provoking to jealousy, and by others explained in the sense }\end{gathered}$ of the $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ verb selling (Israel to their foes).
§ 168. 1. The 3 fem. preterite has the old ending $\Gamma_{r}, \S 86 . b$. in ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Ex. 5: 16 for
 minine participle), to which the customary ending $A_{\sim}$ is further added in ( Josh. 6: 17 for 2 Sam. 1:26,
 added to the construct infinitive, e. g. Kal, ארָ call, שִּ 33: 12. Niphal,
 fixing $>$.
3. There are two examples of the Niphal infinitive absolute, צְקִֹ 2 Sam. 1:6 and tained in the paradigm for the sake of distinction from the construct. Piel

4. The Hiphil future with Vav consecutive commonly has Tsere in the


5. Kamets sometimes occurs in the ultimate of the Hithpael future, א ifntion ; more rarely in the preterite,
§ 169. 1. The following are the only Pual forms which occur. Pret.:


2. The following are the only Hophal forms: Pret. דֶּ




## Lamedi He (n"乡) Verbs.

§ 170. In these verbs the third radical, which is Yodh or Vav, does not appear at the end of the word except
in the Kal passive participle, e. g. ${ }^{4}$ ban ; in all other cases it is rejected or softened, the resulting vowel termination being usually expressed by the letter $\bar{n}$, § 11. 1. $a$.

In the various preterites $n$ stands for the vowel $a$, and is hence pointed $\pi_{T}$.

In the futures and participles it stands for $\breve{e}$, and is pointed $n$.

In the imperatives it stands for $\bar{e}$, and is pointed $\boldsymbol{n}_{\text {.. }}$.
In the absolute infinitives it stands for $\dot{o}$ or $\ddot{e}$; in the Kal it is pointed $\boldsymbol{r}$; , in the Hiphil and Hophal $\boldsymbol{n}^{-}$, in the Niphal and Piel $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ or $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. . There are no examples in Pual and Hithpael.

The construct infinitives have the feminine ending $\boldsymbol{n}$ i.


#### Abstract

a. In this class of verbs the Yodh forms have almost entirely superseded those with Vav. The latter are confined to the construct infinitive where $\pi$, occurring in all the species, is best explained by assuming 9 to  other sporadic cases, viz.: a single Kal preterite, duplicated forms of three verbs,  b. In the Kal preterite, Yodh is rejected after the heterogeneous vowel Pattahh, §57.2. (5), which is then prolonged to Kamets in the simple syllable, mate in the preterites of Niphal and Hophal, and besides was so originally in all the active species, as is shown by the Arabic § 82. 5. $b$ (3), the final Kamets of these species may be similarly explained. Yodh is in like manner rejected after the heterogeneous Hholem of certain infinitives, while it leaves the homogeneous Tsere of others unmodified. c. The futures, imperatives, and participles of certain of the species, (including the lliphil as shown by some of its inflections) have e (Arabic $\breve{\imath}$ ) as the normal vowel of their ultimate; in this Yodh can quiesce, leaving it unchanged. Those of the other species have or may have $a$ in the ultimate; this, combined with the $i$ latent in 9 , will again form $e$. In the future this hecomes $\check{e}($.$) in distinction from the ending \bar{e}(.$.$) of the more$ energetic imperative; and the absolute is distinguished from the construct state of the participle in the same way.


§ 171. 1. Before personal endings begimning with a vowel the last radical is occasionally retained as ${ }^{5}$, particularly in prolonged or pausal forms, is, however, commonly rejected and its vowel given to
 in the preterite 3 fem., which in these verbs retains the primary characteristic $\Omega_{\tau}, \S 86.6$, ,
 in pause
$a$. The $ה_{r}$ of the 3 fem. pret. is frequently explained as a second feminine ending added after the first had lost its significance in the popular consciousness. It might, perhaps with equal propriety, be regarded as paragogically appended, §61.6, comp. such nouns as nswes, in order to produce a softer termination and one more conformed to that which obtains in the generality of verbs. Nordheimer's explanation of the $n$ as hardened from $\pi$, , difficulty that there is neither proof nor probability for the assumption that the consonant $n$ could be exchanged for 5 , and that $\pi$ in the preterite of these verbs is not a radical nor even a consonant, but simply the representative of the vowel $a$.
2. Before personal endings beginning with a consonant the third radical ${ }^{7}$ remains but is softened to a vowel, so that in the Kal preterite it quiesces in Hhirik, in the Pual and Hophal preterites in Tsere, in the Niphal, Piel, Hiphil, and Hithpael preterites in either Hhirik or Tsere, and in the futures and imperatives of all the species in

3. Forms not augmented by personal endings lose their final vowel before suffixes, e. g.

 Tin
$\S$ 172. The Lamedh He verbs will be represented in Paradigm XII by all the species.

## SHORTENED FUTURE AND IMPERATIVE.

$\S$ 173. 1. The final vowel $n$ is rejected from the futures when apocopated or when preceded by Vav consecutive. The concurrence of final consonants thence re-
sulting in the Kal and Hiphil is commonly relieved by inserting an unaccented Seghol between them, §61.2, to which the preceding Pattahh is assimilated in the Hiphil, § 63. 2. $a$, the Hhirik of the Kal either remaining unchanged or being lengthened to Tsere in the simple syllable.

2. The final vowel $n_{\text {.. }}$ is sometimes rejected from the imperative in the Piel, Hiphil, and Hithpael species, e. g. Pi.

## Remarks on Lamedh He Verbs.

§ 174. 1. Kal preterite: The third person feminine rarely occurs with

 13: 19. Yodh is occasionally retained before asyllabic attixes, חָ Ps. 57: 2 , the only instance in which the feminine has the ending usual in other verbs, 26: 7, see § 141. 1; so in the imperative, צִּלָּ Isa. 21: 12; future,

为雨, imperative,
2. Infinitive: Vav is sometimes written for the final vowel of the infinitive absolute instead of $\pi$,
 There are also examples of the omission of this termination from the con-
 once it has the form רץשָּ
3. Future: There are a very few examples of Tsere as the last vowel of the future,
 other hand, there is one instance of an imperative ending in Seghol, viz., the Piel, רַ Judg. 9: 29. The radical ${ }^{4}$ remains and rests in Hhirik in (3 fem.) Jer. 3: 6, in the Hiphil, (2 masc.) Jer. 18: 23, and in the Kal imperative, חֲחִּ (2 masc.) 1sa. $26: 20$. Yodh appears once as a consonant before a suffix,

Ms．77：4，which is very rare in these verbs，but perhaps displaces
 In a few instances，${ }^{\text {a }}$ is restored as a quiescent before suffixes，

 and ${ }^{2}$ Mic． 7 ： 10.

4．The future of a few verbs when apocopated or preceded by Vav consecutive simply drops its last vowel，either retaining Hhirik under the
 ， Pattahh－furtive under the first radical of the Pe guttural，§ 17．1，or the vowel of the personal prefix changed to Pattahh，§ 60.1 ， but $x$ nen consonants，
 of the consonants is a guttural，$\S 61.2$ ；thus，in Ayin guttural verbs， m，hn，in m ， nin，or with the additional clange of the vowel of the prefix to Pattahh，为，from ， $15: 19,14: 32$ K＇ri，this same form is from or or W．The rejection of the final vowel takes place frequently eveu in the first person singular，which in other verbs is commonly exempt from short－ ening，§ 99．3．$a$ ，筫， and तus．e．In a few instances the final vowel is retained in other persons after Vav consecutive，e．g．הִ， 1 Kin．16：25，

 of

5．The passive participle drops the final 9 in דָּ
 1 Sam．25： 18 K＇thibh．
§ 175．1．In the Niphal preterite Yodh may quiesce in either Tsere or Hhirik，though the former is more frequent，בְּק


 once as though it were a plural noun，רהשר丶 Ezek．6：8，so the Kal

 $\mathfrak{n}$ 7：23，Ps．109：13，though Baer＇s edition omits the Daghesh－forte in the former passage，thns making it a Kal future．
 bow），having a guttural for their second radical，double the third insteac ， which in the reduplication appears as Vav，though the general law is ad．
hered to requiring its refection from the end of the word and the substitu－ tion of the vowel letter $\pi$ ．The only forms which occur are，of the former，
 the participle plur．constr．Gen．21：16．There are three examples




2．In the first person singular of the Piel preterite ${ }^{4}$ sometimes quiesces in Tsere；in all the other persons，however，and even in the first singular， when a suffix is added，it invariably quiesces in Hbirik，


3．Infinitive absolute：，



4．Future：in as ${ }^{\natural}, \S 156.1$ ，and the third appears as $9, \S 170 . a$ ；Ex．33： 3 is for并胃，§ 63．1．b．With Vav consecutive： －able so in the first person singular， to Kamets， $1 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 7\end{aligned} 1$ Sam．21：14；so in pause，：

5．The imperative has Seghol in a single instance，חy Judg．9： 29


6．Pual infinitive construct with suffix：Ps．132： 1.
§ 177．1．Hiphil preterite：The prefixed $n$ has occasionally Seghol，

 quiescent in the 8 masc．sing．，


2．The infinitive absolute has Kamets in hay way distinction
 struct：The prefixed $\pi$ has Hhirik in one instance，תich Lev．14：43；


3．The future，when apocopated or preceded by Vav consecutive，some－ times simply rejects its final vowel，
 inserted between the concurring consonants，לֻּר hrom
 is a guttural， －为： 1 Kin．16：17，18：42，Ezek．23：19，once the radical appears quiescing in Hhirik，（2 masc．apoc．for nen tion or rejection of the vowel is optional in the first person singular，等。

4．The imperative is sometimes abbreviated，הַּרְ （accent on the
ultimate) Ps. 39: 14 is for § 140.5.
5. Hophal infinitive absolute: הִקְּה Lev. 19: 20.
§ 178. 1. Hithpael: One verb שָׁ שָּהָה reduplicates its third radical, which

 $2 \mathrm{Kin} .5: 18$, the accent being thrown back by a following monosyllable. For the inflected participle,
2. In the preterite mostly quiesces in Tsere in the first person singu-


 , חִּׁnen , or with Kamets in the accented syllable,


## 4. The shortened imperative:

§ 179. 1. under the influence of the following Yodh, whence the Sh'va, though vocal,
 without a prefix it is $\pi$,
 3: 11 is apoc. 2 fem. sing. for the Sh'va from which it arose, §85.2.a(1), when the quiescence of the middle radical gives a vowel to the first. The same thing occurs in the peculiar form of the future بְהוּא Eccl. 11:3, where the second radical appears as 4 , which it sometimes does in the imperative, 27: 29 or $\sin$ Job 37: 6, and in the participle Neh. 6: 6, Eccl. 2: 22, fem.
2. pret. preterite 3 masc. it occasionally takes an Ayin doubled form, ${ }^{n}$, e. g. Gen. 3: 22, 5:5, and once in the 3 fem. an Ayin Yodh form : Ex. 1:16, or it may be explained as an Ayin doubled form with Daghesh-forte omitted, $\S 25$.
3. In a few instances $\mathbb{N}$ is substituted for the third radical in Lamedh




 those of Lamedh Aleph verbs in



## Doubly Inferfect Verbs.

$\S 180$. Verbs which have two weak letters in the root, or which are so constituted as to belong to two different classes of imperfect verbs, commonly exhibit the peculiarities of both, unless they interfere with or limit one another. Thus, a verb which is both $\kappa^{\prime \prime} \equiv$ and $\Pi^{-\prime}$ ל will follow the analogy of both paradigms, the former in its initial and the latter in its second syllable. But in
 treated as a perfect consonant, and the $-\pi^{\prime \prime}$ b peculiarities alone preserved. All such cases have been remarked upon individually under the several classes of verbs to which they respectively belong.

## Defective Verbs.

$\S$ 181. 1. It has been seen in repeated instances in the foregoing pages that verbs belonging to one class of imperfect verbs may occasionally adopt forms from another and closely related class. Thus a $\aleph^{\prime \prime}$ ל verb may appear
 verst̂. The occurrence of an individual example, or of a few examples of such divergent forms, may be explained in the manner just suggested without the assumption of an additional verb as their source. Sometimes, however, the number of divergent forms is so cousiderable, or the divergence itself so wide, that it is simpler to assume two co-existent roots of the same signification, and differing only in the weak letter which they contain, than to refer all to a single root.
 a few $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$ forms occur in the sense not of the latter but of the former verb. They are accordingly held to be from バָָּ
 meet; but so many $\mathbb{x}^{\prime \prime}$ 3 forms are found with this latter signification thar
it seems necessary to assume a second root $\underset{\sim}{1}$ having that meaning. The
 , $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ form to be referred to that root; hence it is traced to another verb
 the cases in which divergent forms are to be traced to a single root, and those in which the assumption of a second is admissible or necessary. This must be decided in detail, and the best authorities not infrequently differ in their judgment of particular examples.
2. Where two verbs exist which are thus radically connected and identical in signification, it not infrequently happens that they are defective or mutually supplementary, that is to say, that one of them is in usage restricted to certain parts or species, the remainder being supplied by the other.
a. The following are examples of defective verbs: בit to be good, used in the Kal species only in the preterite, the corresponding future is from
 inf. to spit, fut. from $\underset{F}{ }$ and imp. from 7 ; pret. to be a prince, fut. from $-\mathfrak{n}$; ニ̄ Kal pret. and inf. to be many, fut.
 pret. and inf. from ${ }^{2}+{ }_{r}$, which is also used in the future; $\because \sim$ to counsel,
 Hiphil of $\because \cdot \square \mathrm{P}$, which is also used in inf. imper. and fut.; Z , to place, the reflexive is expressed by

 Dina to give, is only used in the Kal imperative, it is supplemented by of totally distinct radicals.

## Quadriliteral Verbs.

§ 182. Quadriliteral verbs are either primitives formed from quadriliteral roots, whose origin is explained, § $68 . a$, or denominatives, the formative letter of the noun or adjective being admitted into the stem along with the three original radicals. The former class adopt the vowels and inflections of the Piel and Pual species, while the latter follow the Hiphil.
a. The only examples of quadriliteral ver'bs are the following, viz..

initial syllable of the Piel，§ 82．5．b（3），is preserved；fut．with suf． he shall waste it，1＇s．80：14．Pual pret．＊－it freshened，Job 33：25，the Methegh and the Hhateph Pattahh being used to indicate that the Sh＇va is vocal，and that the form is equivalent to or resembling scales，Ex．16：14，צִּרְ clothed， 1 Chron．15：27．Hiphil
 from $r$ putrescent，which is simpler than to make it with Gesenius a double or anomalous Hiphil from $\quad$ ，§ 9 ． 9 ．a，comp．Alexander in loc．；
 ローロ
 To these may be added the form，which occurs several times in the K＇thibh位 1 Chron．15：24，etc．，and Chron．5：12，for which the
 trumpet，it has been suspected that the form first mentioned should be



## Nouns．

their formation（See Paradigm XIII）．
$\S 183$ ．Nouns，embracing adjectives and participles as well as substantives，may be primitive，i．e．formed directly from their ultimate roots，or derivative，i．e．formed from preexisting words．Those which are derived from verbs are called verbals；those which are derived from nouns are called denominatives．The vast multiplicity of objects to which names were to be applied and the di－ versity of aspects under which they are capable of being contemplated，have led to a variety in the constitution of nouns greatly exceeding that of verbs，and also to con－ siderable laxity in the significations attached to indivi－ dual forms．But whatever complexity may beset the de－ tails of this subject，its main outlines are sufficiently plain． All noums are，in respect to théir formation，reducible to certain leading types or classes of forms，each having a primary and proper import of its own．The derivation of noums，as of the verbal species，from their respective roots and themes calls into requisition all the expedients，
whether of internal or external changes, known to the language, $\S 69$. Hence arise four classes of nouns according as they are formed by internal changes, viz.:

1. The introduction of one or more vowels.
2. The reduplication of one or more of the letters of the root. Or by external changes, viz.:
3. The prefixing of vowels or consonants at the beginning of the root.
4. The affixing of vowels or consonants at the end.
a. The mass of nouns are to be regarded as primitives and not as derived from their cognate verbs. Many roots are represented by nouns alone, without any verbs from which they could have sprung, e. g. =אָ father, And where verbs of kindred meaning do exist, it is probable that they are not the source or theme of the nouns, but that both spring alike directly from their common root, as to to reign, and
 themes are destitute of vowels, and consequently are incapable of being pronounced in their primitive or abstract state, it is customary and convenient in referring to them to name the verb which though a derivative form has the advantage of simplicity and regularity of structure, and is often the best representative of the radical signification. Accordingly, king may be said to be derived from the root to reign, that is, it is derived from the root $\boldsymbol{j}$ : of which that verbal form is the conventional designation, § 68.
$b$. Infinitives, participles, nouns which follow the forms of the secondary or derived species, § 189. 2. a, and some others, are evidently verbals. Most nouns of the fourth class, as well as some others, are denominatives.

Class I.-Nouns formed by the insertion of cowels.
$\S$ 184. The first class of nouns, or those which are formed by means of vowels given to the root, embraces three distinct forms, viz.:

1. Monosyllables, or those in which the triliteral root receives but one vowel.
2. Dissyllables, in which the second is the principal vowel and the first a pretonic Kamets or Tsere.
3. Dissyllables, in which the first is the principal vowel and the second a mutable Kamets or Tsere.

## 1. Triliteral Monosyllables.

$\S 185$. The formative vowel may be given either to the second radical perpe, , prout , or to the first,
 is commonly interposed between the concurring consonants, $\S 61.2$, to which a preceding Pattahh assimilated,
 introduction of an auxiliary vowel are termed Segholates.
a. In this and the following sections $\quad$ is used as a representative root in order more conveniently to indicate to the eye the formation of the different classes of nouns. No root could be selected which would afford examples in actual use of the entire series of derivative forms; bep has but one derivative slaughter, and this only occurs in Obad. ver. 9 .
$b$. As $\breve{\imath}, \breve{o}$ and $\breve{u}$ rarely or never occur in mixed accented syllables, $\$ 19$, they are excluded from monosyllabic nouns. Every other vowel is, however, found with the second radical, thus $\breve{a}$,住honey, 葛
 and $\bar{u}$, which occur with greater frequency than any others. When the first radical receives the vowel, $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{u}$ are likewise excluded, in as much as they rarely or never stand before concurrent consonants, § 61. 4. Few of these nouns remain without the anxiliary Seghol wa ralley, win win


$c$. When the second radical receives the vowel, there is a concurrence of consonants at the beginning of the word, which is sometimes relieved by prefixing $\mathrm{\aleph}, \S 53$. 1. $a$, with a short vowel, mostly $\breve{e}$, § 60.1. a (5), but
 arm,
$\S$ 186. These nouns, standing at the first remove from the root, express as nearly as possible its simple idea

 as it is realized in some person or object which may be regarded as its embodiment or representative, lord from
 depth, $\mathfrak{\forall} \boldsymbol{\forall} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ vinegar prop. sourness.
a. That the position of the formative vowel before or after the second
radical does not materially affect the character of the form，appears from the following considerations：（1．）The sameness of signification already exhibited，and which may be verified in detail．（2．）The occasional ap－ pealance of the same word in both forms，e．g．ت and品 plant， ness．（3．）The concurrence of both forms in the Kal construct infinitive解 and arise alike from and monosyliables in Arabic，whose vowel precedes the second radical，answer to thuse whose vowel succeeds the same radical in Aramæan，and both to

$b$ ．The presence of imperfect letters in the root may occasion the fol－ lowing modifications：

א＂פ roots．Aleph，as a first radical，sometimes receives a long vowel

＝＂Guttural and $\mathfrak{z}^{\prime \prime}$ Guttural．If the third radical be a guttural，Pattahh is substituted for the auxiliary Seghol，§ 61．2， ing，mein height；if the second radical be a guttural，the preceding vowel if Hholem remains unchanged，otherwise it also commonly becomes Pattahh



 feminines and secondary derivatives；thus， initial Yodh，and $n \underset{\sim}{n}$ ， similation when it is a second radical，$\overline{5}$ 区ַ anger for $\boldsymbol{F}$
 ing to Baer in Ezek．28：18）wickedness，דֶד midst，unless the last radical is a guttural，mingace；is preceded by Pattahh and followed by Hhirik， nantal character and become quiescent，§ 57．2．Vav is rejected in a few

$\pi "\rangle$ roots．In a very few instances the proper final radical is rejected， as it is in verbs，and the final vowel written $n$ ，as of ofsh，weeping，

仿quail，or it may be changed to its cognate vowel $\bar{u}$ ，which combines with the preceding $\bar{a}$ to form $\bar{o}, \S 62.1$ ，
 Riemptiness；the lexicon of Gesenius contains the forms garment， קֶּ end，security，but these words only occur in the plural or with
 ，שָּלגוּ ，and may similarly be referred to

## 2. The main vowel in the ultimate.

$\S$ 187. 1. The second form of this class is a dissyllable with one of the long vowels in the second which is its principal syllable, and in the first a pretonic Kamets, for which Tsere is occasionally substituted when the second vowel is Kamets, thus Stitp, b:top.
2. These are properly adjectives, and have for the most part an intransitive signification when the vowel of the ultimate is $\bar{a}, \bar{e}$, or $\bar{o}$, and a passive signification when it is $\bar{\imath}$ or $\bar{u}$, n ever, prevailingly and the others occasionally used as substantives, and designate objects distinguished by the quality which they primarily denote, pir herbs prop.



a. The intransitive adjectives supply the place of Kal active participles to neuter verbs, $\S 90$, and in " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ verbs they have superseded the regular
 This formation with $\bar{\imath}$ in the ultimate is adopted in several names of seasons, בָּבָּ Abib, the time of ears of corn, ingathering prop. the being gathered, vest, Comp. § 203. 1. b.
$b$. Adjectives with $\bar{o}$ commonly express permanent qualities, those with $\bar{e}$ variable ones,
 the former are used of those physical and moral conditions which are fixed and constant, such as figure, colour, character, etc.,
 striped, And the latter are employed of shifting and evanescent states of body and
范 desiring, fearing,
c. The active signification asserted for the form 3 in a few instances
 is intransitive in Hebrew conception; so perhaps is or or for fouler, comp. Lat. aucupari, aucupatus. Other alleged cases are probably not
nouns but absolute infinitives of Kal, Jinc Jer. 6: 27 may as well be rendered I have set thee to try as for a trier (of metals); $\boldsymbol{\dagger}$ Isa. 1: 17 is
 loc.; and even peritit Jer. 22: 3 may in like manner be oppression instead of oppressor.
d. ה" $\begin{aligned} \text { boots are restricted to forms with } i \text {, in which the radical } n ~\end{aligned}$
 or with $a$ which combines with it to form $\breve{e}, \pi_{v}$, ֶָּ

 less, indeed, these and the like are to be regarded as primitive biliterals. Vav, as a final radical, may be preceded by $\bar{a}$,

## 3. The main vowel in the penult.

$\S 188$. 1 . The third form of this class is a dissyllable having an immutable vowel, mostly Hholem, though occasionally Shurek or Tsere in the first, which is its principal syllable, and a mutable Kamets or Tsere in the

2. These indicate the agent, and are either active par-


 prop. shining one.
a. A number of nouns, indicative of occupation, follow the participial form, which thus serves to express permanent and professional activity,隹 herdsman,



$b$. In a very few instances $u$ in the first syllable is shortened and followed by Daghesh-forte conservative, עnd and pipe,
c. reducing them to biliteral monosyllables, obliterates to a considerable extent the distinctions which have been described and which are possible only in triliterals. The contracted forms which arise from roots are
 formation, and is chiefly used of abstracts, purity, multitude, an in-

 may arise indifferently from either, F Y rottenness is an abstract noun for קוּקְ

Pattahh before the doubled letter, comp. § 135. 3; בל heart is for the dis-


 pair (with the exception of Kal passive participles) belong to the primitive monosyllabic formation, רִיב strife, goolness; the first pair to the first species of dissyllables, רָּ poor,



## Class II.-Nouns with reduplicated radicals.

$\S$ 189. 1. The simple form proper to adjectives is explained $\S 187$; it may be converted into an intensive by doubling the middle radical, retaining the long vowel of the second syllable and giving a short $\breve{\imath}$ or $\breve{a}$ to the first. This reduplicated or intensive form denotes what is characteristic, habitual, or possessed in a high degree. Adjectives of this nature are sometimes used as descriptive epithets of persons or things distinguished by the quality, which they denote, prop, (having eyes) wide open, prontrons, mighty man, null of grace, aneriful.
a. As a general though not an invariable rule, the first syllable has Pattahh when a pure vowel $\bar{a}, \bar{\imath}$, or $\bar{u}$ stands in the ultimate, but Hhirik when the ultimate has one of the diphthongal vowels $\bar{e}$ or $\bar{o}$. Several nouns with $\bar{a}$ in the second syllable are descriptive of occupations or modes of

 burdens, one who steals, but one who steals habitually, who makes stealing his occupation.
b. Since the idea of intensity easily passes into that of excess, the form ? hump-bucked, بִּ perverse.
$c$. In a few instances instead of doubling the second radical, the previous Hhirik is prolonged, §59. $a$, pettle prop. badly prick-


d. The following double the third radical in place of the second,

pearing as ${ }^{4}, \S 170$, לָֹּ feeble, where the long vowel Tsere is inserted to prevent the concmrence of consonants.
e. :" $=$ and more rarely ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ roots reduplicate the biliteral formed by their contraction, קיְּקָ
 upside down from
 lessly assumed by Gesenins. Sometimes the harsh concurrence of consonants is prevented by the insertion of a long vowel, (const. cymbal
 or the softening of the former of the two consonants to a vowel, $\S 57.1$,

 its assimilation to the succeeding consonant, cuit for

2. Abstracts are formed with a doubled middle radical by giving $\bar{b}$ to the second syllable and $\breve{\imath}$ to the first,
 in the plural כִּתִּרים atonement, commandments,

a. These may be regarded as verbals formed from the Piel. A like formation is in a few instances based upon other species, e. g. Hiphil 7h melting from urestlings; when derived from the Niphal means repentings, when from the Piel consolations.
b. $\because$ roots reduplicate the biliteral to which they are contracted, inflammation,
c. A few roots, which are either " y or " guttural, or have a liquid for $^{\text {g }}$ their third letter, double the last radical with $\bar{u}$ in the final syllable, thorn-hedge, for way-marks, $\bar{o}$ or $\bar{\imath}$ in the last syllable,
 dark. The concurrence of consonants is relieved in bricieve (in some editions) snail by Daghesh-forte separative.
$\S 190$. A few words reduplicate the two last radicals. These may express intensity in general, -ip-aperplete
 petition, twisted prop. twring again and again,

multitude prop. gathered here and there, nin inn spots or stripes, is consumed by repeated acts or exhibitions and so gradually weakened, this form becomes a diminutive when


a. The first of two concurring consonants is softened to a vowel in




## Class III.-Nouns formed by prefixes.

$\S 191$. The third class of nouns is formed by prefixing either a vowel or a consonant to the root. In the following instances the vowel $\bar{c}$ is prefixed with $\bar{c}$ in the ultimate to form adjectives of an intensive signification,


 ness, Isa. 59:10), or verbal nouns borrowing their meaning from the Hiphil species, claration.
a. This form corresponds with lative. Its adoption for Hiphil derivatives corroborates the suggestion, $\S 82.5 . b$ (2), respecting the formation of the Hiphil species and the origin of its causal idea.
$b$. The letter © is merely the bearer of the initial vowel and has no significance of its own in these forms; $n$ is substituted for it in הֵיָ
 cognate $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}$ to contain. So, likewise, in a few verbals with feminine ter-


 a like formation with the passive vowel $\boldsymbol{u}$, corresponding to the Hophal, $\S 95$. $a$, and with Daghesh-forte separative, $\S 24$. In some rare instances a sibilant is prefixed instead of $א$ or $n$, as in the Shaphel species in Aramaeic, .
$c$. The short vowel prefixed with x to monosyllables of the first species, as explained $\S 185 . c$, has no effect upon the meaning, and does not properly enter into the constitution of the form.
$\S$ 192. The consonants prefixed in the formation of nouns are $\eta, \Omega$, and $\because$. They are sometimes prefixed without a vowel, the stem letters constituting a dissyllable of
 they receive $\breve{\iota}$ or $\breve{\imath}$ followed by a long vowel in the ulti-

a. Pattahh commonly stands before $\bar{e}, \bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{u}$, and Hhirik before $\bar{a}$ and $\vec{o}$, unless the first radical is a guttural or an assimilated Nun when Pattahh
 bird, 5 Har a kind of gem. Seghol is occasionally employed before a gut-
 pair of tongs. These rules are not invariable, however, as will appear from
 the ultimate,


b. "g roots. The first radical appears as " resting in Hhirik or Tsere,

 תnand sorrow. In a few instances it is rejected, world, or assimilated to the following radical, כַּ
" 4 and roots. The root is reduced to a monosyllabic biliteral by the quiescence or rejection of the second radical, the prefix receiving Sh'va,

 strife, , race, adversary. The feminine form is almost always adopted after $\pi$,
yoots. The root is mostly contracted to a biliteral and the vowel compressed to $\breve{a}, \bar{a}, \bar{e}$ or $\bar{o}, \S 61.4$, the prefix sometimes receiving Sh'va which gives rise to a Segholate form, § 61. 1. $b$,
 ; more frequently it receives a pretonic Kamets or Tsere,
 the perfect root is preserved by means of Daghesh-forte in the first radical. $\pi$ is almost always followed by the feminine ending, ginning, ,
 apocopated in a few words, onfong lifting upher part, account of, and always disappears before the feminine ending $n_{r}, \S 62.2 . c$,
 feminine termination $n$ the final radical appears as quiescent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ or 9 , $\boldsymbol{n}$,
 as a consonant after $\bar{u}, \bar{a}$ andeases.
$\S$ 193. The letter 2 is a fragment of the pronoun who or $\boldsymbol{T}$ whert. Nouns, to which it is prefixed, denote

1. The agent who does what is indicated by the root, as the participles, $\$ 84.5$, formed by an initial $\leadsto$, and a few substantives, בַּשְִּׁ didactic pisalm prop. instructor,

2. The instrument by which it is done, , key from
 -כָּ to saus.
3. The place or time in which it is done, altar from $\begin{aligned}-1\end{aligned}$ to sacrifice, $\boldsymbol{\forall}$
a. Several denominatives are formed by prefixing $\triangleright$ in a local sense,




4. The action or the quality which is expressed by the root,
 sometimes approximate the infinitive in signification and construction, as $\S$ 168. 2. In Palestinian Aramaeic the infinitive regularly takes this form, e. g. . C to kill.
5. The object upon which the action is directed or the subject in which the quality inheres, :-a food from
 Pat things from that which is small, pirin that which is remote.
a. These different significations blend into one another in such a manner that it is not always easy to distinguish the precise shade of meaning originally attached to a word: and not infrequently more than one of these senses co-exist in the same word. Thus, hixhminary, may suggest the idea of agency, dispenser of light, or of place, reservoir of light; rever knife, may be so called as an agent, a lecourer, or as an instrument, used in eating;

 and time of sitting or dwelling as well as they who sit or dwell.
$\S 194$. Nouns formed by prefixing ${ }^{\circ}$ or $\Omega$ denote persons or things to which the idea of the root is attached.
6. ${ }^{-}$is identical in origin with the prefix of the 3 masc. future in verbs, and is largely used in the formation of
 in forming appellatives, $\because$ ••• adversary prop. contender,
 living thing prop. that (which) stands, $\rightarrow$, frest oil prop. that (which) shimes.
7. $\pi$, probably the same with the prefix of the 3 fem. future of verbs, which is here used in a nenter sense, is employed in the formation of a few concrete nouns, $-\frac{1}{\square}$ oak prop. that (which) entures, $\overline{-1}$.-5 cloali prop. that (which) wraps up, 7 晾 furnace prop. that (uhich) burns, TH: apple prop. that (uhich) exhales fragrance. But it more frequently appears in abstract terms like the feminine ending in other forms, bitterness, It is very rarely found in designations of persons, and only when they occupy a relation of dependence and subordination, and may consequently be viewed as things, ducelling on another's lands, tenant, vassal.
a. The great majority of nouns with a prefixed have likewise a feminine ending,
b. The few nouns formed by prefixing Nun are originally Niphal parti-
 bird from

## Class IV.-Nouns formed by affixes.

$\S$ 195. The nouns formed by means of an affixed letter or vowel are chiefly denominatives. The consonant $i$ appended by means of the vowel $\bar{\sigma}$, or less frequently $\bar{a}$, forms

1．Adjectives，last from after，forme
 from nuth：lrass．A very few are formed directly from the root，

2．Abstract substantives，the most common form of
 puin，ギウーッ paleness，though various other forms likewise
 בִּשְ success，offering．
a．In a few words the termination $\dagger i$ has been thought to be intensive，范 sabbath， once diminutive called from the image reflected in it．The word upright，is by some explained as a diminutive or term of endearment，while others think that the termination $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{7}$ has no further meaning than to make of the word a proper name，comp．
b． $\bar{i}$ is occasionally affixed with the vowel $\breve{e}$ ，
c．A few words are formed by appending E ，e．g．and an





$\S$ 196．The vowel ${ }^{n}$ ．forms adjectives indicating rela－ tion or derivation．

1．It is added to proper names to denote nationality
 listine， an Israclite，${ }^{\circ}$ DTּ Danite，

2．It is also added to other substantives，north－ erner， ＂•ִּ？mer from the plural to a few adjectives，
 propositions， $\cdots$－+ －
a．The feminine ending $ה_{T}$ is dropped before this ending， Jew $_{\text {，}}$ ，
 place，
 §62. 2, לֵי Levite and Levi, Shunite and Shuni.
b. In a very few instances ${ }^{-}$. takes the place of ${ }^{9}$., e. g. nin white
 ows,

## Multiliterals.

§ 197. 1. Quadriliteral nouns are for the most part

 radical receives a vowel, that of the first radical being either rejected, or preserved by the insertion of Daghesh-forte, flint,

2. Words of five or more letters are of rare occurrence and appear to be chiefly of foreign origin, 位尘: purple,

3. Compound words are few and of doubtful cha-
 and what, ness prop. no profit,
 zedek, ling of righteousness,


## Gender and Number.

$\S$ 198. There are in Hebrew, as in the other Semitic languages, but two genders, the masculine (
 no characteristic termination; the feminine ends in $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathrm{\tau}}$ or

a. The only trace of the neuter in Hebrew is in the interrogative, what being used of things as who of persons. The function assigned to the neuter in other languages is divided between the masculine and the feminine, being principally committed to the latter.
$b$. The original feminine ending in nouns as in verbs, § 85. 1. $a$ (1), appears to have been - , which was either attached directly to the word, p which, by $\S 61.2$, becomes $\boldsymbol{p}$, phe or added by means of the vowel $a$,
 the word, $\S 55.2 . c$, becomes $\boldsymbol{R}_{\text {. }}^{\text {. }}$. The termination $\Omega_{\text {, or }} \Omega_{\tau}$ is still found in a very few words, Temerald,

 Two other words, Pe Ps. 74: 19 and Ps. 61: 1, have been cited as additional examples, but these are in the construct state, which always preserves the original $\cap$ final; it is likewise always retained before suffixes and paragogic letters, § 61. 6. $a$,
$c$. The feminine ending $\boldsymbol{i}_{r}$ receives the accent and is thus readily distinguished from the unaccented paragogic $\boldsymbol{A}_{+}$. In a few instances grammarians have suspected that forms may perhaps be feminine, though the punctuators have decided otherwise by placing the accent on the penult,
 Ezek. 7: 25,
d. The rowel letter $\kappa$, which is the usual sign of the feminine in
 Isa. 19:17, Lam. 3: 12, Ps. 127: 2. No such form is found in the Pentateuch unless it be loathing Num. 11: 20, where, however, as Ewald suggests, $x$ may be a radical since it is easy to assume a root זורָ cognate to The feminine ending in pronouns of the second and third persons, and in verbal futures
 numeral ten, or rather teen, as it only occurs in numbers compounded with the units. For like unnsual forms in verbs see § 86. $b$, and § 158. 4.
$e$. The sign of the femiune in the Indo-European languages is a final vowel, corresponding to the vowel-ending in Hebrew; the Latin has $a$, the Greek $\alpha$ or $\eta$, the Sanskrit $\bar{\imath}$. And inasmuch as the feminine in Hebrew covers, in part at least, the territory of the neuter, its consonantal ending $\cap$ may be compared with $t$, the sign of the neuter in certain Sanskrit pronouns, represented by $d$ in Latin, id, illud, istud, quid; in English it, what, that.
$\S$ 199. It is obvious that this transfer to all existing things, and even to abstract ideas, of the distinction of sex found in living beings, must often be purely arbitrary. For although some things have marked characteristics or associations in virtue of which they might readily be classed with a particular sex, a far greater number hold an indeterminate position, and might with quite as much or quite as little reason be assigned to either. It hence
happens that there is no general rule other than usage for the gender of Hebrew words，and that there is a great want of uniformity in usage itself．
$a$ ．The following names of females are without the proper distinctive feminine termination：
an mother．$\quad$ queen．
So the names of double members of the body，whether of men or ani－ mals，which are feminine with rare exceptions：

| \％ |  | ถַ palm． | ¢ֶרֶ horn． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \％finger． | 7 hand． | คּ่ | 3 ¢7 foot |
| 砣 thumb． | $\bigcirc$ | \％eye． | \％tooth． |
| \％ | －כit wing． | צֵלָּ side． | － |

The following nouns are also feminine：

| חی̦ brazier． | $=\sim$ ¢ford． | צִּרי city． | morsel． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ר foots footep． | OTB cup． |  | － |
| 呺 well． | mex brightness． | צֶר゙ֶ couch． | －myriad． |
| belly． | ל： | ז＇workmanship． | \％oorld． |

b．The following nouns are of doubtful gender，being sometimes con－ strued as masculine and sometimes as feminine．Those which are com－ monly masculine are distinguished thus（＊）；those which are commonly feminine are distinguished thus（ $\dagger$ ）．

| †䧘约 stone． |  | fortress． | ナージ家 time（repe－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | tition）． |
| mix sign． | ＊Thty multitude． | ¢ |  |
| ¢ pleet． | 泫T | ＊ | － |
| － |  |  | bow． |
| M－ | － | －brass． | †たit spirit． |
| $\dagger$ †רֶ earth． | － | $\dagger$ †枵 soul． |  |
| －fire． | † right hand． | ר－pot． | ＊םกֶ่ womb． |
| ＊ヶגֶ่ garment． | 累 peg． | $\dagger$＋flour． | juniper． |
| ＊house． | ＊${ }^{\text {Tili glory．}}$ | ご cloud． |  |
| － | ¢ַpail． | － | － |
| 就 valley． | $\dagger$ †䍖 circuit． | ＊ご people． |  |
| ia garden． | ＊כֶ่ vineyard． |  |  |
| $\dagger$ †限 vine． | ＊heart． | 将evening． | ate． |
| Til threshing－ |  | יֵֵt time（dura． | 冎 oc |
| floor． | †诫tongue． | tion）． | ＊ |
| † †呚door． |  | ＊ | ＊ |

Gesenius ascribes only one gender to a few of these words, but $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ ? is

 duced by referring the vacillation in gender, wherever it is possible, to the syntax rather than the noun. Verbs, adjectives, and pronouns, which belong to feminine nouns may in certain cases, as will be shown hereafter, be put in the masculine as the more indefinite and primary form. While, on the other hand, those which belong to masculine names of inanimate objects are sometimes put in the feminine as a substitute for the neuter.
$\boldsymbol{c}$. Some species of animals exhibit a distinct name for each sex, the feminine being formed from the masculine by the appropriate termination,
 represented by a word of different radicals, $\rightarrow$ ass, fem. this is not the case, the name of the species may be construed in either gender according to the sex of the individual spoken of, as cattle,
 - אַרִּ hare,
d. The names of nations, rivers, and mountains are commonly masculine, those of countries and cities feminine. Accordingly, such words as
 construed in the masculine when the people is meaut, and in the feminine when the country is meant.
$\S 200$. The feminine ending is frequently employed in the formation of abstract nouns, and is sometimes extended to the formation of official designations (comp. his Honowr, his Excellency, his Reverence), Bovernor,
 humanity for menkind), בדָּ a fish,荡

a. (1) The feminine ending a.dicl to Segholates gives new prominence to the originally abstract character of this formation,


(2) So to monosyllables whose second radical receives the vowel, צִּדָ righteousness, which is more abstract and at the same time used more ex-
 ness, equivalent to $\mathfrak{b x}$, Or nouns of this description might be supposel to have sprung from the adjectives belonging to the second form of Class I., the pretonic vowel falling away upon the addition of the feminine ending,


2－hฐ julge．The following nouns，descriptive of the station or functions of a particular class，follow this form，


（3）The feminine ending occasionally gives an abstract signification to reduplicated forms， nomb ballness in front，施 anguish，or to those which have a prefixed letter $n$ ，
荤 salvation，
 ing，
 disease prop．freedom from duty，free；rancon ron redness，
 very few instances the termination $-{ }^{-n}$ is superimposed upon ${ }^{n}$ ．viz．：子n？
 of a different origin from that just explained and must not be confounded with it；${ }^{4}$ ．or $n$ is there the final radical softened to a vowel，§ 170 ，as

b．In Arabic，nouns of unity，or those which designate an individual， are often formed by appending the feminine termination to masculines which have a generic or collective signification．This has been thought to be the case in a few words in Hebrew，

c．Some names of inanimate objects are formed from those of animated beings or parts of living bodies，which they were conceived to resemble， by means of the feminine ending，taken in a neuter sense，Ex mother，nown metropolis， ה palm－branch，
$\S$ 201．There are three numbers in Hebrew，the
 The plural of masculine nouns is formed by adding ar，

 （men）．The plural of feminine nouns is formed by the addition of $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ，also written $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ ；the feminine ending of the singular，if it has one，being dropped as superfluous， since the plural termination of itself distinguishes the
 virgins，
 n
a. The masculine plural sometimes has $\boldsymbol{7}$. instead of
 - Mic. 3: 12, ending, which is the common one in Aramaeic, is chiefly found in poetry or in the later books of the Bible.
b. Some grammarians have contended for the existence of a few plurals in 9 . without the final $\square$, but the instances alleged are capable of another and more satisfactory explanation. Thus, 2 Kin. 11: 4,

 3: 14, and ? Cant. 8: 2, are in the singular with the suffix of the first

 but is the noun with the preposition = like the lion, § 158. 3.
c. There are also a few words which have been regarded as plurals in
 of the first person. In : $\operatorname{rim}_{i T} 2$ Cluron. $33: 19$, which is probably a proper name, and Am. 7: 1, Nah. 3: 17, which is a singular used collectively,
 the formative ending ${ }^{\natural}$, § 196. $b$; might be explained in the same way, though Ewald prefers to regard the former as an abbreviated dual for $n$ double (i. e. large and showy) windows, and the latter as a construct plual for $-\vec{c}$; the diphthongal $\vec{e}$ being resolved into ay, comp. §57.2 (5). Eרד Ezek. 13: 18 is commonly regarded as an absolute dual for $ニ$-itu, though it is always elsewhere my hands with 1 pers. suf., and may be so explained here. The divine name Almighty is best explained as a singular; the name plural of excellence, § 203.2 , with the suffix of the first person, the original signification being my Lord.
d. In a few words the sign of the feminine singular is retained before the plural termination, as though it were one of the radicals, instead of
 pillow, 上
 vided it be derived from in in the sense of pit; it may, however, signify destruction, from the root $-\underset{T}{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{\sim}$, when the final $n$ will be a radical.
$e$. The Arabic noun has three case-endings, nom. un, gen. in, acc. an, which drop their nasal in the construct nom. $u$, gen. $i$, acc. a. Although these have become obsolete in Hebrew, there are traces of their existence in $i$ and 9 . occasionally added to the construct, $\S 222$, and in $\pi_{\%}$ or $\square_{r}$ ad= ded to nonns in an accusative sense to indicate direction, $\S 223$, or to form adverbs, § 236. 2 (1). The plural endings are formed by lengthening those of the singular, nom. $\overline{\text { ente, gen. } \bar{\imath} n a \text {. The former is identical with the }}$ plural ending in verbs, in Heb. $i$; the latter corresponds to the Hebrew
plural in $\mathrm{ar}_{9}$. In Arabic the fem. sing. ending is $a t$, plur. $\bar{a} t=\pi i, \bar{a}$ as in the Kal part. ( $k a \bar{t} i l=$ ) tala) and the $x^{\prime \prime}$ fut. (yakul $=$ =
§ 202. The gender of adjectives and participles is carefully discriminated, both in the singular and in the plural, by means of the appropriate terminations. But the same want of precision or uniformity which has been remarked in the singular, $\S 199$, characterizes likewise the use of the plural terminations of substantives. Some masculine substantives take $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ in the plural, some feminines take $\mathbf{\square}$., and some of each gender take indifferently $\square$. or ni .
a. The following masculine nouns form their plural by adding ri: those which are distinguished by an asterisk are sometimes construed as feminine.

b. The following feminine nouns form their plural by adding a •: those marked thus ( $\dagger$ ) are sometimes masculine:

c．The following nouns＇form their plural by adding either $\mathbf{E n}$ ．or ri：
maSCULINE nowns．

| porch． | こう heart． | $\bigcirc$ | \％䊝 breach． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lion． | －i＇s light． | － |  |
| Tingeneration． | 隹 | ב－ | לֶּ grave． |
| ח | 2 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ foundation． |  | קרֶד reed． |
| －ivain memorial． | － | 隹 river． | － |
| ain day． |  | －o basin． |  |
| forest． | P号？boul． | －iniquity． | ジueek． |
| －laver． | ב－ | ＝$=$ Heel． |  |
| \％harp． | \％on n |  |  |

FEMININE NOUNS．


ה people．

## NOUNS CONSTRUED IN EITHER GENDER．


garment．sourt．nime．
בa rim．

צiר！arm．
d．The two forms of the plural，though mostly synonymous，occasion－ ally differ in sense as in Latin loci and loca．Thus is used of round

 of articles of furniture．Comp．§ 200．c．Sometimes they differ in usage


$e$ ．Nouns mostly preserve their proper gender in the plural irrespective of the termination which they adopt；though there are occasional excep－ tions，in which feminine nouns in E －are construed as masculines，e．g．
 masculine nouns in $\boldsymbol{n}$ i are construed as feminines，e．g．．prellings Ps．84： 2.
$\S 203.1$ ．Some substantives are，by their signification or by usage，limited to the singular，such as material nouns taken in a universal or indefinite sense，wive，

 $o x$ ，
many abstracts, hand some are found only in the plural, such as nouns, whose singular, if it ever existed, is obsolete, wewter,
 תancinuan aljant to the head, and abstracts, which have a plural form, govermment.
a. The intimate comection between a collective and an abstract is shown by the use of the feminine singular to express both, $\S 200$. In like manner the plural, whose office it is to gather separate units into one expression, is used to denote in its totality or abstract form that common quality which pervades them all and renders such a summation possible,
 the feminine or the plural form, redemption, setting of gems.
b. The form $\boldsymbol{E}$ ander is adopted by certain words which denote periods


c. Abstracts, which are properly singular, are sometimes used in the plural to denote a high degree of the quality which they represent, or repeated exhibitions and embodiments of it, might, rithe deeds of

2. There are a few examples of the employment of the plural form when a single individual is spoken of, to suggest the idea of exaltation or greatness. It is thus intimated that the individual embraces a plurality, or contains within itself what is elsewhere divided amongst many. Such plurals of majesty are arth, the supreme
 §201. $c$, and some other terms referring to the divine being, חִּרְ
 sense) lord,
 Teraphim, which seems to be used of a single image, 1 Sam. 19: 13, 16.
$\S$ 204. The dual is formed by adding ${ }^{[ }$?. to the sing-
ular of both genders, $n$ as the sign of the feminine remaining unchanged, and $\pi_{r}$ reverting to its original form $\Omega_{\tau}, \S$ 198. b, דָ hand du. . du.
a. The dual ending in Hebrew, as in the Indo-European languages, Bopp Vergleich. Gramm. § 206, is a modified and strengthened form of the plural ending. The Arabic goes beyond the Hebrew in extending the dual to verbs and pronouns. The Aramaeic scarcely retains a trace of it except in the numeral two and its compounds.
$\S 205$. The dual in Hebrew expresses not merely two, but a couple or a pair. Hence it is not employed with the same latitude as in Greek of any two objects of the same kind, but only of two which belong together and complete each other. It is hence restricted to

1. Double organs of men or animals, אַַּּשם

2. Objects of art which are made double or which consist of two corresponding parts, pair pair shoes,
 doors.
3. Objects which are conceived of as constituting together a complete whole, particularly measures of time


 way (comp.in English double dealing), pair of rivers, i. e. the Tigris and Euphrates viewed in combination.

 sevenfold, ם of two sorts.
4. A few abstracts, in which it expresses intensity,



[^5] Zech. 3: 9 seven eyes, all knees Ezek. 7: 17. Several names of double organs of the human or animal body have a plural form likewise, which is used of artificial imitations or of inanimate objects, to which these names are applied by a figure
 ת extremities, בְּ בְּ shoulders, shoulder-pieces of a garment,

 and תָּרִיִּ
b. The dual ending is in a very few words superadded to that of the plural, minin walls of a city, double boarding of a ship, name of a town in Judah, Josh. 15: 36.
c. The words water and heaven have the appearance of dual forms; they are, however, commonly regarded as plurals, and compared
 In : Jerusalem, or as it is comnonly written without the Yodh
 ciation is simply prolonged from though in this assimilation to a dual form some have suspected an allusion to the current division into the upper and the lower city.
$\S 206$. It remains to consider the changes in the nouns themselves, which result from attaching to them the various endings for gender and number that have now been recited. These depend upon the structure of the nouns, that is to say, upon the character of their letters and syllables, and are governed by the laws of Hebrew orthography already unfolded. These endings may be divided into two classes, viz.:

1 . The feminine $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, which, consisting of a single consonant, causes no removal of the accent and produces changes in the ultimate only.
2. The feminine $\pi_{\tau}$, the plural ${ }^{2}$. and $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, and the dual 0 ., , which remove the accent to their own initial vowel, and may occasion changes in both the ultimate and the penult.
$\S 207$. Nouns which terminate in a vowel undergo no


simer, $\boldsymbol{r}$ 它- $\sin , \S 200$. Nouns which terminate in a consonant experience a compression of their final syllable, which upon the addition of $\Omega$, ends in two consonants instead of one, $\S 66.2$, and an auxiliary Seghol is introducerl to relieve the harshness of the combination, $\S 61.2$. In consequence of this the vowel of the ultimate is changed from $\bar{b}$ or $\breve{b}$ to $\breve{e}, \S 63.2$. a, from $\bar{e}$ or $\bar{i}$ to $\breve{e}$, or in a few words to $\bar{e}$, and from $\bar{o}$ or $\bar{u}$ to $\bar{o}, \S 61.4$. fem. กーּ,
 and Brass. When the final consonant is a guttural, there is the usual substitution of Pattahh for Seghol,
a. In many cases the feminine is formed indifferently by $\cap$ or by $n_{T}$; in others usage inclines in favor of one or of the other ending, thongh no ab solute rule can be given npon the subject. It may be said, however, that adjectives in 7 . almost always receive $\Omega$; active participles, except those of $\because \because$,
 with the passive participles except that of Kal, from which it is excluded.
$b$. A final $;, 7$ or $\pi$ is sometimes assimilated to the feminine characteristic


 the ultimate vowel are due to its compression before concurring consonants.
$c$. The vowel $\bar{u}$ remains in Lev. 5: 21 deposit, and the proper name $\boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{\square}$ ritw̧ sister, ritit mother-in-law, the radical 9 , which has been dropped from the masculine, retaining its place before the sign of the feminine, comp. § 101. 1. $a ;$,
$\S$ 208. The changes which result from appending the feminine termination $\boldsymbol{r}_{r}$, the plural terminations $\square$. and $\boldsymbol{n i}$, and the dual termination $\sigma_{-.}$, are of three sorts, viz.:

1. Those which take place in the ultimate, when it is a mixed syllable.
2. Those which take place in the ultimate, when it is a simple syllable.
3. Those which take place in the penult.
$\S 209$. When the ultimate is a mixed syllable bearing the accent, it is affected as follows, viz:
4. Tsere remains unchanged, if the word is a monosyllable or the preceding vowel is Kamets, otherwise it is rejected; other vowels suffer no change, $n$ dead fem.

 f. pl.
a. The rejection of Tsere is due to the tendency to abbreviate words which are increased by additions at the end, $\S 66.1$. It is only retained as a pretonic vowel, $\S 64.2$, when the word is otherwise sufficiently abbreviated, or its rejection wonld shorten the word unduly. Tsere is retained contrary to the rule by $=\boldsymbol{\sim}$ generations, by a few exceptional forms, e. g. Jer. 3: 8. 11, Ex. 23:26, pause accents, § 65, e. g. שׁׂ
 Tiphhha), : Isa. 2: 20, appears in several feminine substantives, both singular and plural, e. g. בְּבֶּ witch. On the other hand, the following feminines reject it though preceded by Kamets, wild-goat, fem.

 ters, the singular of the feminine being 5 ºr for

b. Kamets in the ultimate is retained as a pretonic vowel, $\underset{\sim}{\text { Pr }}$ white, fem. only disappearing in a few exceptional cases,
 pause (with Hholem). So in the

 -
c. Hholem and Hhirik commonly suffer no change; but in a few words Shurek takes the place of the former, and in one Tsere is substituted for
隹隹 sueet fem.


 -
d. Hholem is dropped from the plural of $\operatorname{col}$ bird pl. as from the plural of nouns having the feminine characteristic $n$ in the singular; thus res shull, by the substitution of the plural ending mif for

 corn, pl.

$e$. Seghol in nouns with the feminine characteristic $n$ affixed mostly follows the law of the vowel from which it has sprung, § 207 ; if it has been derived from Tsere it is rejected, if from any other vowel it is still in some instances rejected, though more commonly it reverts to its original



 under the influence of a guttural, follows the same rule, reving pl.

$f$. A few nouns with quiescents in the ultimate present apparent exceptions which are, however, readily explained by the contractions which they have undergone. Thus




 and work, probably had in the absolute plural nitwhe, whence the construct is

5. The final consonant sometimes receives Dagheshforte before the added termination, causing the preceding vowel to be shortened from $\bar{a}$ to $\breve{\iota}$, from $\bar{e}$ or $\bar{\imath}$ to $\breve{\imath}$, and from $\bar{o}$ or $\bar{u}$ to $\breve{u}, \S 61.5$. This takes place regularly in nouns which are derived from contracted $y^{\prime \prime y}$ roots,

 or in whose final letter two consonants have coalesced, 5N for for woman, and it not infrequently occurs in other cases.
a. Nouns with Pattahh in the ultimate with few exceptions double their final letter being either contracted forms, weak pl. pl. ning, or receiving Daghesh-forte conservative in order to preserve the
short vowel，pool pl．
 in an intermediate syllable，re fresh pl．ant before other gutturals it is lengthened to Kamets，$\S 60.4$ ，שָּ fingers， loops，which do not occur in the singular，but are commonly referred to $\rightarrow$－ which omits Daghesh du．．ָֹּשִ．Pattahh is in the following examples changed to Hhirik before the doubled letter，§ 58.2 ，ת ת ת
 tribute， palm－branches， 2 threshing－sledge pl．
 it sort pl．


 in the construct，

b．The final letter is doubled after Kamets in the following words be－ sides those from roots，促 time，位 scorpion，though as it has a pause accent in this place which is the only one where it is found with Kamets，its proper form may perhaps have been コングロ，§65．The Niphal participle honored has in the plural both E： are in the lexicons referred to singulars with Kamets in the ultimate；but the vowel may，with equal if not greater probability，be supposed to have been Pattahh．Kamets is shortened to Pattahh before R，which does not
 confidence，§ 60．4．a．
c．The following nouns with Hholem in the ultimate fall under this rule，in addition to those derived from roots， sacred scribe， of the form bithe which are mostly written without the vowel－letter 9 ，
 dunghill takes the form ne in the plural．
$d$ ．There are only two examples of doubling when the vowel of the ultimate is Shurek， Esth．2： 9 from רָּ Kal pass．part．of
e． feminine，for the sake of distinction，the initial weak letter is dropped，

 in the plural．
$\S 210.1$. Segholate nouns, or those which have an unaccented vowel in the ultimate, drop it when any addition is made to them, §66.2. (a). As this vowel arose from the concurrence of vowelless consonants at the end of the word, the necessity for its presence ceases when that condition no longer exists. Segholates thus revert to their original form of a monosyllable ending in concurrent consonants, $\S 185$.
6. Monosyllables of this description receive the feminine ending with no further change than the shortening due to the removal of the accent, in consequence of which $\bar{o}$ becomes $\breve{o}$ or more rarely $\breve{u}, \bar{e}$ becomes $\breve{\imath}$ or more rarely $\breve{e} ; \breve{e}$ may be restored to $\breve{a}$ from which it has commonly arisen, § 185, or like $\bar{e}$ it may become $\breve{\imath}$ or $\breve{e}$,

 king, שִׁבְרָה
a. Nouns having either of the forms are consequently to be regarded as sprung from monosyllables with the vowel given to the first radical.
7. Before the plural terminations a pretonic Kamets is inserted, and the original vowel of the monosyllable

 work, pl.
a. Pretonic Kamets is not admitted by the numerals twenty


 have been regarded as examples of a like omission; though the first is

 also receive pretonic Kamets in the plural plan mernen mernants, unless the new letter creates an additional syllable, in which case the introduction of Kamets would prolong the word too much,

$b$. The superior tenacity of Hholem, §60.1. $a$ (4), is shown by the
occasional retention of 0 , not only as a compound Sh'va under gutturals
 as Hhateph-Kamets or Kamets-Hhatuph in threshing-floor pl. with art. ת
 §60.3.c, or shifted to the following letter so as to take the place of the pretonic Kamets in Comp.


c. Niddle Vav quiesces in the plural of the following nouns: 5 .
 Hos. 9: 4, as the plural of it riches in the former passage and sorrow in the latter, the primary idea out of which both senses spring being that of toil. Middle Yodh quiesces

 ロ象, goat pl. position from the regular form rava which is twice found in the K'thibh
 whether this be explained as for from sin to lodge. Middle Yodh always quiesces before the feminine and dual

d. Monosyllables in ${ }^{9}$. from $\operatorname{Hi}^{-3}$ roots belong properly to this formation, § 57. 2 (4) and § 186. $b$, and follow the rules given above both in the

 which also occurs in verbs, § 179.3, necklace pl.

 these singulars do not occur; בְּ בְּ
 only the construct forms
8. The dual sometimes takes a pretonic Kamets like the plural, but more frequently follows the feminine in not requiring its insertion, דֶּרֶּ
 cheek du.

$\S 211$. When the ultimate is a simple syllable, the following cases occur, viz:
9. Final $\pi$ is rejected before the feminine and plural
endings, $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ -
a. The last radical in words of this description is properly $\urcorner$, which is rejected after a vowelless letter, § 62. 2. $c$, so that יָּ יָּ is for and上,
 even strengthened by Daghesh-forte, § 209. 2, בדּ Lam. 1: 16 from

 young lamb

10. Final ${ }^{?}$. may combine with the feminine and plural endings, so as to form $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$.,, , or it may in the masculine plural be contracted to $\mathbf{Q}^{\bullet}$. , § 62. 2, ", Hebrew pl. and nouns in $\Sigma$. upon the exchange of the feminine singular for the plural termination .

 not occur in the singular, are assumed to be from
b. A few monosyllables in 7 . form their feminines in this manner, thongh in the masculine plural they follow the rule before given, § 210.3. $d$,


11. There are few examples of final 4 or $i$ with added endings. The following are the forms which they assume: , drink pl. yַtimumy pl. תitu, ? dual 0 ? inserts the sign of the feminine, or as others think of the plural $\S 205$. b .


 sence of the appropriate vowel points their precise pronunciation cannot be determined.
l. Nouns ending in a quiescent radical x may be regarded as terminating
in a consonant，since this letter resumes its consonantal power upon a； addition being made to the word．Comp．§ 164.0 ． －䍝．
§ 212．The changes，which occur in the penult，ariso from the disposition to shorten the former part of a word， when its accent has been carried forward by accessions at the end，§ 66．2．They consist in the rejection of Kamets or Tsere，great fem．

 distress pl． in $\boldsymbol{n}_{v}$ in which the place of the accent is not changed by the addition of the terminations for gender and number， § 211．1，家：beautiful fem．－
 smitten pl．：ִִים：．Other penultimate vowels are mostly exempt from change．
a．Kamets，which has arisen from Pattahh in consequence of the suc－ ceeding letter not being able to receive Daghesh－forte，as the form pro－ perly requires，is incapable of rejection．Such a Kamets is accordingly retained without change before 7 ，e．g．． pl．ロー：

 certain of the root by contraction or quiescence，e．g．的 fortress pl．
 exceptional， and $\boldsymbol{\sim}$
b．When Kamets following a doubled letter is rejected，and Daghesh－ forte is omitted in consequence，$\S 25$ ，the antepenultimate vowel is in a few


$c$ ．Tsere is not rejected if it has arisen from Hhirik before a guttural


南


d. Hholem is almost invariably retained in the penult, yet it yields to the strong tendency to abbreviation in the following trisyllabhes: sumb

 nian f. pl. sonants, §61. 4.
$e$. When the penult is a mixed syllable containing a short vowel, it is ordinarily not subject to change, §58.2. The tendency to the greatest possible abbreviation is betrayed, however, in a few examples by the re-




 § 59. $a ;$; ก光
$\S 213$. As precisely the same changes result from appending the feminine $-_{r}$ and the plural endings, except in the single case of Segholate nouns or monosyllables terminating in concurrent consonants, $\S 210$, nouns in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{r}}$ become plural with no further change than that of their
 tional case referred to a pretonic Kamets must be inserted
 after omitting the feminine ending, are liable to the rejection or modification of the vowel of the ultimate in forming the plural, as explained $\S 209.1 . d$ and $e$, repistle (from pl. of the feminine singular, but added to it, it is simply necessary in applying the rules for the formation of the dual, to observe that the old ending $n_{r}$ takes the place
 the dual שְדשֶׁם , brass du. ב.
a. In the following examples a radical, which has been rejected from the singular is restored in the plural, (fur nins) maid-servant pl.

 ferred to the assumed singular both ת

## The Construct State.

$\S 214$. When one noun stands in a relation of dependence on another, the second or specifying noun is, in occidental languages, put in the genitive case; in Hebrew, on the other hand, the second noun undergoes no change, but the first is put into what is commonly called the construct state ( is not so related to a following one is said to be in the absolute state ( absolute state; but in the expression regis, the word of the king, is in the construct state. By the juxtaposition of the two nouns a sort of compound expression is formed, and the speaker hastens forward from the first noun to the second, which is necessary to complete the idea. Hence results the abbreviation, which characterizes the construct state.
a. The term alsolute state was introduced by Reuchlin; he called the construct the state of regimen.
$\S 215$. The changes, which take place in the formation of the construct, affect

1. The endings for gender and number.
2. The final syllable of nouns, which are without these endings.
3. The syllable preceding the accent.
$\S$ 216. The following changes occur in the endings for gender and number, viz:
 handmaid const. بنִּשְ

a. The explanation of this appears to be that the construct state retains the old consonantal ending $\Omega_{-}$, the close connection with the following
noun preserving it as if in the centre of a compound word，§ 55．2．c； whereas in the isolation of the absolute state，the end of the word is more liable to attrition and the consonant falls away．
b．Some nouns in $n_{\text {，}}$ preceded by Kamets adopt a Segholate form in the construct，
 const．$-=-\cdots$ ， const．－or with the Seghols changed to Pattahhs under the influence of a gutural，wamily const．
 Deut．21：11， $1 \mathrm{Sam} .28: 7$, Ps．58：9，is mostly used as the construct of On the other hand，ran bottle has in the construct nen Gen．21： 14 （the accent thrown back by $\S 35.1$ ）as if from

2．The ending $\square$ ．of the masculine plural and $\boldsymbol{\square}$ ？of the dual are alike changed to ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ，nations const．
 no change תi゙p voices const．תi゙p．
$a$ ．In a very few instances the vowel ending of the masculine plural construct is added to feminine nouns（the accent invariably thrown back＇by $\$ 35.1$ ），commonly in the K＇thib $\quad$－感 1 Sam．26：12；this takes place regularly before suffixes，§ 219． 2.
$\S 217$ ．1．In a mixed final syllable Kamets is com－ monly shortened to Pattahh：so is Tsere when preceded by Kamets；other vowels remain without change，

 man const．
 gift，בֵּ



b．Tsere remains in fire const． breathing const． const．
 const． the absolute retains Tsere before Makkeph，Gen． $30: 19$ ，Ezek．18：10，has in the construct
 and $\mathfrak{b}$ 定， Lamedh guttural verbs，$\S 126.1$ ，and the following nouns with prefixed $\boldsymbol{p}$
in several of which a preceding Pattahh is likewise changed to Hhirik,

 matrix const.
c. Hholem is shortened to Kamets-Hlatuph before Makkeph in the construct of monosyllables from $:=3$ roots, pir statute const. Fr and Fros, rarely in other words -brov. 19:19, Ps. 145:8, Nah. 1:3 (in the last
 Ex. 30: 23, שִׁלֶּ Ex. 21: 11; this becomes Pattahh before the guttural in - for viz.: Ps. 35: 10, Prov. 19: 7, without a Makkeph following, § 19. 2. b; it must not be confounded with $\overline{\text { כָּ }}$ kāl Isa. 40: 12 he comprehended pret. of
d. The termination ? becomes ${ }^{\circ}$.. in the construct, § 57.2 (5),

$e$. Three monosyllabic nouns form the construct by adding a vowel,
 friend const. 2 Sam. 15:37, 1 Kin. 4:5, or 2 Sam. 16: 16, Prov. 27: 10 K'thibh. These may be relics of the archaic form of the construct, $\S 222$, or the monosyllables may be abridged from $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ roots, § 187. 2. $d$.
2. In a simple final syllable $\pi_{\because}$ is changed to $\pi_{.}$, sheep const. - -ith; other vowels remain unchanged.
a. This is an exception to the general law of shortening, which obtains in the construct. It has, perhaps, arisen from the increased emphasis thrown upon the end of the word, as the voice hastens forward to that which is to follow. In like manner the brief and energetic imperative ends in Tsere in $\tilde{H}^{* \prime \prime}$ verbs, while the future has Seghol, § 170. $c$. An analogous fact is found in the Sanskrit vocative. The language of address calls for a quick and emphatic utterance; and this end is sometimes attained by shortening the final vowel, and sometimes by the directly opposite method of lengthening it. Bopp Vergleich. Gramm. § 205.
b. mouth has in the construct.
c. Nouns ending in quiescent $x$ preserve their final vowel unchanged in the construct,
§ 218. 1. Kamets and Tsere are commonly rejected from the syllable preceding the accent, aip: place const.



a. Kamets preceding the accented syllable is retained (1) when it has arisen from Pattahh before a guttural in consequence of the omission of

const. (2) in words from
 ( בְop (from
 fortress const. const. הָּגֹ, (5) in the construct dual and







b. Tsere is retained in words in which it quiesces in the vowel-letters











c. Hholem is rejected from the syllable before the accent in const. pl. of 等 palace,
 see $\S$ 216. 2. $a$; it is changed to $\bar{u}$ in from
d. Medial Vav and Yodh, though they may retain their consonantal power in the absolute, quiesce in Hholem and Tsere in the construct, midst const. tains const. Ezek. 35: 8. Exceptions are rare, Ezek. 28: 18 iniquity, بִדְיִּ Prov. 19: 13 contentions, and


 const. $\boldsymbol{F}$
 struct, the corresponding absolute was probably shifts its accent in the construct, לity. On the other hand, while most Segholate nouns suffer no change in the construct, a few adopt the form

where花, 促, nine const.
2. When this rejection occasions an inadmissible concurrence of vowelless consonants at the beginning of a syllable, $\S 61.1$, it is remedied by inserting a short vowel between them, commonly Hhirik, unless it is modified by


 - wnone wise const. In the construct plural and dual of Segholates, however, the vowel is frequently regulated by the characteristic vowel of the singular which has
 const.




$a$. When in the construct plural the introduction of a new vowel is demanded by the concurrence of consonants, the syllable so formed is an intermediate one, so that the following Sh'va is vocal, and the next letter, if an aspirate, does not receive Daghesh-lene, thus,



 editions is inserted to indicate more distinctly the vocal nature of the Sh'va, $\S 24.5$,

 for the same reason, שיׁשE Gen. 30: 38. The presence or absence of Daghesh-lene in the dual construct depends upon the form of the absolute,
 curring consonants belong to different syllables a new vowel is not needed between them; one is sometimes inserted, however, after a guttural,

 be better explained, however, as Piel and Pual participles.
$b$. The second syllable before the accent rarely undergoes any change. In a very few instances Seghol becomes Hhirik or Pattahh, the pure vowels
being reckoned shorter than the diphthongal, comp. § 212. e. הֶ chariot const.
 vowels, $\S 63.1$; those in are consequent upon the dropping of Daghesh-forte, § 61.5; that in
 intermediate syllable, § 18.3.

## Nouns with Suffixes.

$\S$ 219. The pronominal suffixes, whose forms are given $\S 72$, are appended to nouns in the sense of possessive
 consequence, the following changes, viz:

1. Of the suffixes, which begin with a consonant, $\bar{T}$, O, of the second person are comnected with nouns in the singular by a vocal Sh'va, it of the first person plural and $\bar{\square}$ of the second fem. singular by Tsere, and $n, \pi, \square$, $\boldsymbol{j}$ of the third person by Kamets; $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is invariably contracted to $i$, rarely written $-\boldsymbol{i}, \S 62.1$, and $\Pi_{\mathrm{T}}$, to $\bar{m}_{\mathrm{T}}$, § 101. 2.
$a$. There is one example of a noun in the construct before the full form of the pronoun, her days Nah. 2: 9; but this is best explained as an abbreviated relative clause the days that she has existed.
b. First person: : is in a few instances preceded by Kamets, Ruth 3: 2, שקיאָּ Job 22: 20.

Second person. The final vowel of 7 is occasionally expressed by the vowel letter $\pi$, רִדְּד Mer. 29: 25. In panse the Sh'va
 Kamets may be inserted as a connecting vowel, particularly after nouns in $\Pi_{v}$, whereupon the final Kamets is dropped to prevent the recurrence
 rarely written 9 ,
 11:15, Pa Ps. 116: 19, 2 Kin. 4: 7 K'thibh, where the K'ri has ?ִשְׁun . Sometimes the distinction of gender is neglected in the plural and
 Jer. $9: 19 ; ה_{\tau}$ is sometimes added to the feminine suffix as to the full pronoun, 49.

Third person. The comnecting vowel before and הָ is occasionally $e$,


 occur before the plural $\Delta$ unless it is represented by the vowel-letter ${ }^{n}$ in 2 Chron. 34:5 K'thibh, where the K'ri has Entipe; it is once
 is commonly reckoned an archaism, Jer. 2: 21, so several times in the K'thibl ביחת , שיחה Gen. 49: 11, בשיחה
 Ezek. 48: 18, where the K'ri in each instance substitutes i. In a few instances the consonant is rejected from the feminine, $i$ being retained simply as a vowel-letter; where this occurs it is commonly indicated in modern
 a Massoretic note in the margin, 1 Isa. 23:17. 18 for substituted for $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, Ezek. 36: 5. The longer forms of the plural suffixes
 Ezek. 13: 17, שְׁב: Ezek. 16: 53, or with the connecting vowel Kamets, = 2 Sam. 23: 6, or with $n_{r}$ appended, $n$, 1 Kin. 7:37, 16:53. The vowel $\Pi_{+}$is also sometimes added to the briefer form of the
 is sometimes neglected in the plural, a or being used for the feminine,解
 fore suffixes, as they do likewise in the construct state,
 vowel, 4 אָּ
 the vowel-letter ${ }^{n}$ of the first person suffix is dropped after the final ${ }^{n}$ of the noun.
2. The masculine plural termination $\mathrm{D}^{\mathbf{n}}$. and the dual D?. are changed to ". before suffixes as in the construct state; the same vowel is likewise inserted as a connective between suffixes and feminine plural nouns § 216. 2. a. This ${ }^{\text {... remains unchanged before the plural suffixes; }}$ but before $T$ the second masc. singular and $\bar{T}$ third fem. singular it becomes ${ }^{n}$, and before the remaining suffixes the diphthongal vowel is resolved into ${ }^{n}$, which combined with ${ }^{9}$. the first singular forms ${ }^{\circ}$, with 7 the second feminine $T$ T, and with $i=$ the third masculine $\Gamma_{r}, \S 62.2$.
a. In a very few instances suffixes are appended to feminine plurals without the vowel 4 or its modifications, 2 Kin. 6:8 for 8 ,
 for ם Dinco. On the other hand, suffixes proper to plural nouns are occasionally
appended to feminine nouns in the singular, perhaps to indicate that they are used in a plural or collective sense,

b. The vowel-letter ${ }^{7}$ is not infrequently omitted after plural and dual



c. Second person. The vowel ${ }^{\text {n.. remains unchanged before the fem. }}$
 Sometimes, as in the full pronoun, ${ }^{4}$. is appended to the fem. sing. suffix
 13: 20.

Third person. The uncontracted form of the masc. sing. .רוּ. occurs in
 ailut by transposition of the vowels becomes $a u h i=\bar{o} h \bar{\imath} \bar{\eta}$ inhich is found once $\operatorname{\text {Pond}}$ Ps. 116: 12, and is the ordinary form of this suffix in Palestine Aramaeic. The final $a$ of the fem. sing. is once represented by $\mathbf{x}$, אַּתִ-קָ Ezek.41:15. In a few instances $\Pi_{T}$ is appended to the plural of either gender,
 ロ, Deut. 32:37,
3. The suffixes thus modified are as follows, viz.:

$\S 220$. Certain changes likewise take place in nouns receiving suffixes, which arise from the disposition to shorten words, which are increased at the end, § 66. 2. These are as follows, viz.:
 nouns, to which they are attached, to the greatest possible extent. Before them, therefore, nouns of both genders and all numbers take the form of the construct, לרְ heart, טִּבֵת pl.
a. .
2. Feminine nouns, both singular and plural, take the construct form before the light suffixes likewise, with
the exception that in the singular the ending $\Omega$ _becomes $\pi_{\tau}$ in consequence of the change from a mixed to a simple


$a$. If the construct has a Seghoate form it will experience the change
 coalesced in the final letter, it will receive Daghesh-forte agreeably to 6 ,
 § 207. $b$.
b. In a few exceptional instances the absolute form is preserved before
 from רֶשְ

3. Masculine nouns, both singular and plural, on receiving light suffixes take the form which they assume


a. Tsere in the ultimate is shortened to Hhirik or Seghol before $\bar{\eta}$, םב,


 plur.
4. Dual nouns retain before light suffixes the form which they have before the absolute dual termination,
 ם שַׁרְ
5. Segholate nouns in the dual and plural follow the preceding rules, but in the singular they assume before all suffixes, whether light or grave, their original monosyllabic form as before the feminine ending $n_{\tau}, \S 210$,
 ear; in like maner nucker, his sucker.
$a$. Tsere in the first syllable of Segholates is commonly shortened to Hhirik before suffixes, $\S 210.2$, but if the first radical be $T$ or $z$ it usually
 syllable. Hholem is commonly shortened to $\breve{o}$, but in a few instances to $\breve{u}$,
 3 pers. suf.
compound instead of simple Sh＇va before suffixes，which before $T_{\text {；}}$ and E ：is changed into the corresponding short vowel， ladical has Hholem in the absolute，Hhateph－Kamets or Kamets－Hhatuph is sometimes given to the second radical before suffixes，them

 ב－
b．Middle Yodh and Vav mostly quiesce in $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{o}$ before suffixes，ציצִי
 ass， iniquity．Final Yodb，which quiesces in the abs．sing．resumes its con－
 －בַדִיִּרֶּ
c．Triliteral monosyllables sometimes shift their vowel from the second radical to the first，thus assuming the same form with Segholates，comp． § 186．a，from from促

d．The noun blessedness，which only occurs in the plural construct and with suffixes，preserves before all suffixes the construct form，


6．Nouns in whose final letter two consonants have coalesced，or which double their final letter in the plural， $\S$ 209．2，receive Daghesh－forte likewise before suffixes， the vowel of the ultimate being modified accordingly，
 from
a．צֵּun lattice，garden，
 but before suffixes becomes Hhirik before the doubled letter as in the fem．and plur．，§ 209．2．a， in the following from ： sin，morsel，צֵּ sille．
b．In a very few instances a final liquid is repeated instead of being

 16：4 and 7 © of 2 ，

7．Nouns ending in $\pi_{\text {g }}$ drop this vowel before suffixes as hefore the plural terminations，§ 211．1，שָּשִׁי field市草，
a．The rowel $e$ commonly remains as a connecting vowel before sufr

$\S 221$. As the changes produced by the terminations for gender and number, the construct state and suffixes are thus dependent upon the character of the syllables, of which the nouns so affected severally consist, the declension of nouns may be best represented by dividing them according to their syllabic structure. Hence results the following scheme.

## A. Masculine Nouns.

Declension 1. Segholates.
Segholates drop their unessential vowel and revert to their primary monosyllabic form in the singular before suffixes; if the second radical is a guttural, it will take compound Sh’va, which before $\boldsymbol{T}_{\text {: }}$ : becomes a short vowel $\S 220.5$; in the plur. (as other nouns in both numbers) they take with light suffixes the same form as before the abs. phur. ending, with grave suffixes the form of the construct, $\S 220.1$ and 3 . In the plur. abs. they drop their principal vowel and take pretonic Kamets $\S 210.3$; this too is dropped in the plur. const., which gives rise to a new syllable §218. 1 and 2. Medial Vav and Yodh quiesce except in the sing. abs. § 210.3. c, 218. 1. $d, 220$. 5. b.

Declension 2. Mutable Kamets or Tsere in the penult. Nouns of this declension may be dissyllables or polysyllables whose first vowels are unchangeable as

These vowels are dropped in the const. $\$ 218$, before fem. and plur. endings, § 212, and suffixes. Kamets in the ultimate and Tsere preceded by Kamets are in the const. sing. changed to Pattahh, $\S 217.1$, and in the const.
plur. rejected, thus giving rise to a new syllable $\S 218$. 1 and 2.

Declension 3. Kamets or Tsere in the ultimate and no other mutable vowel.

Nouns belonging to this declension may be monosyllables or may have in the penult either a long unchangeable vowel as or a short vowel in a mixed syllable as
 do not belong to this declension.

Kamets becomes Pattahh in the const. sing. § 217. 1, and is dropped in the const. plur. § 218. 1. Tsere commonly becomes - or - before 7 , it is dropped in the plur. and before light suffixes except from monosyllables, which retain it in the abs. plur., $\S 209.1$, and sometimes also before light suffixes, § 220. 3.a.

Declension 4. With final $\pi_{:}$(accented).
These nouns are from $\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime \prime}$ b roots; $\boldsymbol{B}_{y}$ becomes $\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {.. }}$ in the const. sing., §217.2, and is dropped before fem. and plur. endings, $\S 211$, and before light suffixes. Kamets or Tsere in the penult, though rejected in the const., $\S 218.1$, is retained before fem. and plur. endings, $\S 212$.

Declension 5. Nouns which double their final consonant.

These are mostly from y yoots and shorten their last vowel (if long') before the doubled letter.

[^6]
## B. Feminine Nouns.

Declension 1. The feminines of Segholates.
This embraces the forms Ręer which reject their first vowel in the plur. abs. and insert pretonic Kamets $\S \S 210.3,213$, which in its turn is rejected in the construct thus causing the return of the original vowel, $\S 218.1$ and 2 . Nouns in $\pi_{\tau}$ have $\Omega_{-}$ in the const. sing., § 216.1, and ni in the plur. § 201; before suffixes they take the form of the const. except that they have $\Omega_{\top}$ in the sing. before light suffixes § 220. 2.

Declension 2. Nouns in $\Pi_{\tau}$ with pretonic Kamets or Tsere.

These are rejected in the const. and before suffixes, thus giving rise to a new syllable, if an initial vowelless consonant precedes § 218. 1 and 2.

Declension 3. Nouns with the fem. ending $\Omega$.
See $\S \S 213,209.1$. $d$ and $e$.
These declensions are shown in Paradigms XIV, XV and XVI. Nouns not embraced in these declensions undergo no change in the body of the word, whether in the construct or on receiving fem. and plur. endings or suffixes; they may be represented by 0 ond rivion which are shown in all the forms that they assume in both numbers and with suffixes in Paradigm XV.

## Paragogic Vowels.

$\S 222$. The termination ${ }^{\wedge}$. or ${ }^{i}$ is sometimes added to nouns in the construct singular, § 61. 6, בדּ Gen. 49:11 for
 n. This occurs chiefly in poetry and is regarded as an
archaism, $\S 201$.e. These vowels for the most part receive the accent, and commonly occasion the rejection of Pattahh or T'sere from the ultimate.
a. Examples of this antique formation of the construct are likewise preserved in proper names, as $\operatorname{an}$ Melchizedek,
$\S 223$. 1. The unaccented vowel $\boldsymbol{H}_{r}$ added to nouns indicates motion or direction towards a place, § 201. e,
 Tn to the house, oikover it is called He directive or He local. The subsidiary vowel of Segholates is rejected before this ending, $\S 66$. 2 (2) a, but other vowels are mostly unaffected,
 from the construct state بִּדַבּוּר.
 to the adjective i. e. be it far from, etc. It is rarely used to indicate relations of time,
 21: 19 for the third time, greater force and definiteness a preposition denoting direction is sometimes prefixed to words, which receive this ending, so that the latter becomes in
 31: 14 to the east,
b. The ending $n_{\tau}$ rarely receives the accent ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Deut. 4: 41; in it receives in some editions an alternate accent, § 39. 4. $a$, in others the secondary accent Methegh, §35.1. In Gen 14: 10 and
 81: 2 the vowel of the ending is itself changed to $e$.
2. Paragogic $\pi_{r}$ is sometimes appended to nouns, particularly in poetry, for the purpose of softening the termination without affecting the sense, $\S 61.6$.

## Numerals.

 of two kinds, cardinals and ordinals. The cardinals from me to ten are as follows, viz.:

|  | Masculine． |  | Feminine． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | Absol． <br> 7 N | Constr． <br> － | Absol． <br> ת | Constr． ถ |
| Two | שׁׂ | ט่ | － |  |
| Three |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Four | －\％ |  | （19\％ |  |
| Five | －10090 |  | 以界 | － |
| Six | بٌ\％ |  |  |  |
| Seven |  |  | ジニ゙イ | ジ® |
| Eight | － |  | － | － |
| Nine | 下®\％ | ！ | \％ | \％ |
| Ten | ה－ |  | \％\％\％ | \％\％ |

 it has arisen，upon the shortening of the following Kamets in the construct
 in the absolute in Gen．48：22， 2 Sam．17：22，Isa．27：12，Ezek．33：30，
 the sense of one or the same，Gen．11：1，joined in one Ezek．37：17，or some，Gen．27：44，29：20．Comp．Span．unos．
 omitted after Daghesh－forte，כudg．16：28．


occurs once with a paragogic syllable，נִּבְּלָּ once with a suffix in the form 2 Sam．21：9 K＇ri．

2．In all the Semitic languages the cardinals from three to ten are in form of the singular number，and have a feminine termination when joined to masculine nouns， but omit it when joined to feminine nouns．The expla－ nation of this curious phenomenon appears to be that they are properly collective nouns like triad，decad，and as such of the feminine gender．With masculine nouns they appear in their primary form，with feminine nouns， for the sake of distinction，they u dergo a change of termination．
a．An anaiogous anomaly meets us in this same class of words in Indo－

European tongues. The Sanskrit cardinals from five to ten, though they agree in case with the nouns to which they belong, are in form of the neuter gender and in the nominative, accusative and vocative they are of the singular number. In Greek and Latin they are not declined.
$\S 225$. The cardinals from eleven to mineteen are
 numeral ten with the several units, those which end in $\Pi_{\tau}$ preserving the absolute form and the remainder the construct. Thus,

a. There have been many vain conjectures as to the origin of the alternate of in the number eleven. R. Jona thought it to be an abbreviation for next to twelve. Comp. Lat. undeviginti, nineteen. Kimchi derived it from to think, ten being reckoned upon the finger, and eleven the first number which is mentally conceived beyond. It has how been discovered to be another form of the numeral one, of which this is the only trace in Hebrew, but which has been preserved in the Assyrian istin $=$ one.
 teen Judg. 20: 25.
$\S 226.1$. The tens are formed by adding the masculine plural termination to the units, שֶׁשְׁרים twenty being, however, derived not from two but from ten שֶֶּׁר.

| Twenty | \% | Forty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thirty |  | Fifty |  |


| Sixty | שִִּׁדִּים | Eighty | ְים |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seventy | ¢ ¢ | Ninety | -9\% |

a. These numbers have no distinct form for the feminine, and are used indifferently with nouns of either gender. ne: Ex. 18: 21, 25, Deut. 1: 15 means not twenty but tens.
2. The units are added to the tens by means of the conjunction ? and; the order of the precedence is not invariable, though it has been remarked that the earliest writers of the Old Testament commonly place the units first, e. g. latest writers as commonly place the tens first, sixty and two Dan. 9: 25.
$\S 227$. Numerals of a higher grade are -ix one hun-
 These are duplicated by affixing the dual termination שְׁne nỉ? twenty thousand. Higher multiples are formed by

號 one million.
$\S 228$. 1. The ordinals are formed by adding ${ }^{\text {. }}$. to the corresponding cardinals, the same vowel being likewise inserted in several instances before the final consonant; ? first is derived from שָּ head.

| First | Sixth |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second | Seventh |  |
| Third | Eighth |  |
| Fourth | Ninth |  |
| Fifth | or | Tenth |

The feminine commonly ends in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\boldsymbol{r}}$., occasionally in $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{t}}$.
a. There are two examples of the orthography Job 15:7, and one of fivin Job 8:8, in all of which the K'ri restores the customary form.
2. There are no distinct forms for ordinals above ten, the cardinal numbers being used instead.
3. Fractional parts are expressed by the feminine
 the following additional terms,


## Prefixed Particles.

$\S 229$. The remaining parts of speech are indeclinable, and may be comprehended under the general name of particles. These may be divided into

1. Prefixed particles, which are only found in combination with a following word, viz. the article, He interrogative, the inseparable prepositions, and Vav conjunctive.
2. Those particles, which are written as separate words, and which comprise the great majority of adverbs, prepositions, conjunctions, and interjections.
a. No word in Hebrew has less than two letters; all particles of one letter are consequently $\eta$ refixes. There is one example of two prefixes combined constituting a word $\cos$ Deut. 32: 6, though editions vary; three are combined in $E$ Eccles. 8: 17.

## The Article.

$\S$ 230. 1. The Definite Article (يָ of $\pi$ with Pattahh followed by Daghesh-forte in the first letter of the word to which it is prefixed,

a. As the Arabic article ${ }^{9}$ í is in certain cases followed by a like donbling of the initial letter, it has been imagined that the original form of the LIebrew article was $\operatorname{H}_{\text {G }}$ and that the Daghesh-forte has arisen from the assimilation of 3 and its contraction with the succeeding letter. Since, however, there is no trace of such a form, it seems better to acquiesce in the old opinion, which has in its favour the analogy of other languages, that the article $\underset{\sim}{ }$ is related to the personal pronoun whose principal consonant it retains, and that the following Daghesh is conservative, §24.3.

The Arabic article is supposed to be found in the proper name
 Prov. 30: 31.
b. There is, properly speaking, no indefinite article in Hebrew, although the numeral phet 1 Kin. 20: 13.
2. If the first letter of the word have Sh'va, Dagheshforte may be omitted except from the aspirates, § 25 ,

a. Daghesh-forte though usually omitted in this case is occasionally



3. Before gutturals, which cannot receive Dagheshforte, $\S 60.4$, Pattahh is lengthened to Kamets; the short vowel Pattahh is, however, commonly retained before $\pi$ and $\boldsymbol{i}$, and sometimes before $\boldsymbol{z}$, the syllable being converted into an intermediate, $\S 18.3$, instead of a simple one, Tַer. 12: 9.
a. The article very rarely has Kamets before $\pi$, דָּ Isa. 17: 8; in a very few instances initial $\boldsymbol{x}$ quiesces in the vowel of the article, ت完 Num. 11: 4.

## 4. Before $\boldsymbol{T}$ with Kamets or Hhateph-Kamets, Pattahh

 is changed to Seghol: before $\pi$ or $\boldsymbol{y}$ with Kamets, it is likewise changed to Seghol if it stands in the second syllable before the accent, and consequently receives the secondary accent Methegh,
a. This change very rarely occurs before x , Mic. 2: 7. When $\Pi$ is followed by Kamets-Hhatuph, Pattahh remains
$b$. The article does not usually affect the vowels of the word before which it stands; in mountain and people, however, Pattahh is changed to Kamets to correspond with the vowel of the article




receiving the article; so Num. 7: 15, but Judg. 6: 26. 28. The emphasis due to the article has in these few instances an effect analogous to that of the pause accent in prolonging the principal vowel of the word.
5. When preceded by the inseparable prepositions the letter $\pi$ of the article is mostly rejected, and its vowel given to the preposition, §53.3, § 232. 5.

## He Interrogative.

 fixed to words to indicate an interrogation; it is then pointed with Hhateph-Pattahh, is he not?
2. Before a vowelless letter this becomes Pattahh,
 8: 22.
$a$. The new syllable thus formed is an intermediate one, § 22 , and the succeeding Sh'va remains vocal, as is shown by the absence of Dagheshlene in such forms as Gen. 29:5. In order to render this still more evident recourse is frequently had to Daghesh-forte separative, $\S 24.5$,
 Job 38: 35, or compound Sliva, § 16. 3. b, דַקְרָּלָה Gen. 27: 38.
$b$. He interrogative has Pattahh and Daghesh-forte in one instance before a letter with a vowel of its own, Lev. 10: 19.
3. Before gutturals it likewise usually becomes Pat-


a. There are a few examples of He interrogative with Kamets before s,
 8:19 (in some editiuns) pointed as if before אֲדְ: so too most probably

4. Before gutturals with Kamets it is changed to
 2: 19; see also Num. 11: 12, 13: 18, 2 Sam. 19: 43, Job 13: 25, 21: 4.
a. This rule does not apply to Resh, 20: 13 .

## Inseparable Prepositions．

§ 232．1．The prepositions ב in，こ according to，ל to， are regularly prefixed with Sh’va，in the beginning，


2．Before vowelless letters this Sh＇va is changed to
 which Yodh quiesces

3．Before gutturals with compound Sh＇va it is chan－ ged to the corresponding short vowel，
a．Occasionally ${ }^{2}$ takes Pattahh or Seghol before an infinitive，whose first
 Initial $\mathbf{x}$ quiesces in the following words after the inseparable prepositions， §57．2．（2）$a$ ， ご会 God，and also in the inf．const．
 simple syllable， name the inseparable prepositions are pointed as they would be be－
 Ps．68： 21.
b．In a very few instances $\kappa$ with Pattahh and ，with Hhirik give up their vowel to the preposition and become quiescent，- Isa．10： 13 for


4．Before monosyllables and before dissyllables，ac－ cented upon the penult，these prepositions frequently re－

a．This regularly occurs with the Kal construct infinitive of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \equiv$ ，＂
 also with different forms of the demonstrative $\mathrm{n} \%$ and with personal suf－ fixes；and with monosyllabic or Segholate nouns when accompanied by disjunctive and especially pause accents．Before the pronoun $\begin{gathered}\text { wat } \\ \text { what they }\end{gathered}$ are commonly pointed occurs three times，all in the same verse 1 Sam．1：8．

5 ．Before the article its $\pi$ is rejected and the vowel given to the preposition，

a． remains eight times in $\begin{gathered}\text { e．g．Gen．} 39: 11 \text { ；also in such indi－}\end{gathered}$
 －פְnne Ezek．40：25，

2 Chron. 10:7, 2 , $25: 10$, Dan. 8:16. The initial $n$ of the Hiphil and Niphal intinitives is occasionally
 for
$\S$ 233. The preposition ${ }^{\circ}$ from, though used in its separate form, may also be abbreviated to a prefix by the assimilation and contraction of its final Nun with the initial letter of the following word, which accordingly
 is commonly retained in an intermediate syllable, but before other gutturals it is for the most part lengthened to Tsere, מִּ

a. If the first letter of the word have Sh'va, Daghesh-forte may be omitted. This is occasionally the case even when it is an aspirate מִבצ'
 initial vowelless Yodh, $\frac{1}{7} 2$ Chron. 20: 11,
 is sometimes poetically lengthened to struct plural, בְ Isa. 30: 11.
$\S 234$. These prepositions are combined with the pronominal suffixes in the following manner:

a. The syllable in inserted between $\boldsymbol{y}$ and the suffixes, and which is ir
poetry sometimes added to $\Xi, \Sigma$ and 3 without suffixes to convert them
 in its origin to the pronoun what, so that would in strictness denote like what I am, i. e. like me. The preposition $\quad \underset{r}{ }$, with the exception of some poetical forms, reduplicates itself before the light suffixes, . Comp. a similar reduplication of a short word, or or ornstruct of E : water.

## Vav Conjunctive.

$\S 235$. The conjunction and is expressed by 9 prefixed with Sh'va, $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{\Xi}, \S 57.2(1)$, or before a vowelless letter Vav quiesces in Shurek, vowelless Yodh it receives Hhirik, in which the Yodh quiesces, Sh'va it receives the corresponding short vowel, , Before a monosyllable or a dissyllable accented on the penult it frequently receives a pretonic Kamets, particularly if it be the second of two closely
 8: 22. See also 12: 19, 41:11, Num. 16: 16, Deut. 32:7, Job 4: 16, Ps. 55: 18, 63: 6, Eccles. 4: 8; and Prov. 24: 21, 25: 3, Isa. 65: 17, where a word is interposed.
a. After Vav with Shurek, compound Sh'va is sometimes substituted for simple Sh'va in order to indicate more distinctly its vocal character,

b. Vav receives Hhirik before He or Hheth followed by Yodh in the forms anchan 2 plur. preterite and imperative of the verbs דָּדיָ to be and live; before the 2 masc. sing. imperative of the same verbs it has Seghol,
c. The short vowel appropriate to the guttural is taken in a very few
 Job 4:2. N quiesces after Vav conjunctive as after the inseparable prepo-

 lengthened to Tsere in the simple syllable. Hence also arin when ancman has the vowels of Ane A very few instances occur in which $\mathfrak{N}$ with Pat. tahh and "with Hhirik give up their vowel to Vav conjunctive and become

d. The rule for pretonic Kamets is sometimes extended to the last of
 21, and even the last two
 n- Ex. 1:16, Lev. 18:5, 2 Kin. 7:4, Prov. 24:16, Isa. 6:10. Other cases are rare and exceptional e.g. as usual assimilated to a preceding Kamets, § 63. 2.b.

## Separate Particles.

## ADVERBS.

$\S 236.1$. A few adverbs of negation, place and time, are commonly classed as primitive, although they are probably related to pronominal roots, as and顽 there, is then.
a. It is natural to suspect that the pronominal root 3 , which gave rise to the near demonstrative of nearness or approach, $\}$ to, לrunto, and which has a remote demonstrative force in דָּלָּ yonder, beyond, may also be the basis of wiz and the idea of remoteness taken absolutely forming a negation. The same idea, in a less absolute sense, may be traced in the conditional conjunction it if. The pronoun n , of which probably $\underset{\sim}{~ i s ~ o r i g i n a l l y ~ o n l y ~ a ~ m o d i f i c a t i o n ~}$ (comp. the relative use of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}, \S 73.1$ ), is plainly connected with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$ at that time and $\begin{aligned} \text { in } \\ \text { in that place. }\end{aligned}$

## 2. Derivative adverbs are formed

(1.) By affixing the terminations $\square_{\Gamma}$ or $\square^{\prime}, \S 201$. e,


 fore yesterday from שָׁ three.
(2.) By abbreviation, as
(3.) By composition, as why? from when whin

3. Besides those adverbs, which are such originally and properly, other parts of speech are sometimes used as adverbs. Thus
 around prop. circuit, שוֹת again prop. repetition,
more prop. cessation; with a preposition, ְלַבַד in its union. Compare the adverbial accusative and adverbial phrases of Greek and other languages.
(2.) Absolute infinitives, which are really verbal nouns, = بֵּ well prop. recte faciendo,
(3.) Adjectives, particularly in the feminine, which is
 the second time, Hebrew,
(4.) Pronouns, -5 here, now prop. this place, this time, Thither prop. to these places, with a preposition nid thus prop. according to it, these things, though others explain it as an adverbial of the participle right, true, 䍝 here probably for in this (place).
$\S 237$. A few adverbs are capable of receiving pronominal suffixes, as to which may be added $; \mathbf{N}$ there is not prop. non existence and :ure there is prop. existence. As the idea of action or of existence is suggested by them, they take the verbal suffixes, frequently with $\boldsymbol{J}$ epenthetic. Thus

1. Wirst person ?




2. אַ, Second person when
3. צی. First person Second person masc.
 fem. يֵּ
 son

## Prepositions.

$\S 238$. 1. The simple prepositions in most common use, besides the inseparable prefixes, $§ 232$, are chiefly


 front of, before, unto, uַּ upon, wọ with, Most of these appear to have been originally nouns; and some of them are still used both as nouns and as prepositions.
2. Other prepositions are compound, and consist of







登 according to prop. at the mouth of.
(3.) A preposition and an infinitive, לִקְרח toward prop. to meet.


$\S 239$. The prepositions take suffixes in the same

 under, which before suffixes assume the form of nouns in the masculine plural, e. g. adopts sometimes a singular, sometimes a masculine plu-



 appears only in this single form, is used as an alternate of from بتُ with and the 1 pers. sing. suffix.
b. 37, 40, 48, and once has Nun Epenthetic before the suffix

$\S 240$. The preposition with is to be distinguished from $\Omega \mathbb{N}$ the sign of the definite object, which is prefixed to a pronoun or definite noun, to indicate that it is the object of an active verb. With pronominal suffixes the $\Omega$ of the preposition is doubled and its vowel shortened to Hhirik, thus令; the sign of the accusative becomes ris before suffixes or before grave suffixes commonly $\Omega \underset{\text { ® }}{ }$, thus

a. Sometimes, particularly in the books of Kings, Jeremiah, and Eze-


## Conjunctions.

$\S$ 241. 1. In addition to the prefixed copulative ?, $\S 235$, the following are the simple conjunctions in most common use, in or, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ אַ also, because, lest.
2. Compound conjunctions are formed by combining
(1.) Two conjunctions but, מִּי אִם how much more prop. also that.



(3.) An adverb with a preposition or conjunction,
 kiot.

Interjections.
$\S 242$. The Hebrew interjections, like those of other languages, are of two sorts, viz.:

1. Natural sounds expressive of various emotions, as


2. Words originally belonging to other parts of speech, which by frequent use were converted into interjections,
 prop. a demonstrative adverb, חדֹלִּלָ far be it! pray: from entreaty,


Suffixes, $\S \S 72,101,219$.
Simple With union Vowels of Verbs With Sing. Nouns


Demonstrative, § 73.
Masc. Fem.

Relative, § 74.

Interrogative and Indefinite, § 75.


| II．Paradigm of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kal． | ．niphal． | Piel． | pual． |
| Pret． <br> （Perf．） | 3 m. |  | \％ | \％ | Pִטְל |
|  | $3 f$ ． | － | \％\％ | －ibup | － |
|  | 2 m ． | P | ¢ ¢ ¢ | קִלְ\％ | PR |
|  | $2 f$ ． | קper | ¢ | ？ | PRen |
|  | 1 c. |  | \％ |  |  |
| Plur． | 3 c. |  |  |  | קִשְׁל＂ |
|  | 2 m ． | P\％ | \％ | － |  |
|  | $2 f$ ． | 号 | \％ | קR | קִ？ |
|  | 1 c ． | 为縣 |  | ？ | ？ |
| Infin．a |  | bivp |  | 2p | 20 |
|  | constr． | לip | 约管？ |  |  |
| Fut． <br> （IMPF．） | 3 m ． | ל゙ֹp゙． |  | ＂Pַ？ | \％pe？ |
|  | $3 f$ ． | ל－ |  | \％－8p\％ | \％ |
|  | 2 m ． | ¢ִּ |  | ¢08p\％ | 品 |
|  | $2 f$ ． | － | ¢ |  | －¢ |
|  | 1 c. |  |  |  |  |
| Plur． | 3 m ． | ＊ | ＂\％＂． | ＂קַp\％ | ¢ |
|  | $3 f$ ． |  | 下＂\％ | － |  |
|  | 2 m ． |  | ¢ | ¢0\％pen |  |
|  | $2 f$. | ה－ | － | － | ה－ |
|  | $1 c$. | 20゙ア！ | ？ |  | 20¢0 |
| Imper． | 2 m. |  | ¢ | קֵֶַל |  |
|  | $2 f$ ． | קִ？ | ¢ | קָּלִ |  |
| Plur． | 2 m ． | קִשְל゙\％ | ！ | קַשְׁל | wanting |
|  | $2 f$ ． | ה陾 |  | קR |  |
| Part． | act． | ל家 |  | 9 |  |
|  | pass． | \％ | \％ |  | 翟 |

Perfect Verbs，§ 81.

| mprut | nomulit | mumaxich |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 樶 | 为为雨 | t？ | 㬉 |
| 为为？ | － | Timen | － | － |
| Ftur | ㄷㅐㅐㅐㅜ | T¢ | 패TT | 隹为 |
| Nim？ | 파ㄴㅐㅜㄱ | F\％mp | T－T | 成为 |
| Tma | 패ํ | Matm | nt |  |
| \％ | 此为 | 12 | － | ： 5 |
| Cmber | ［ax $=$ Pr | Lximem | ¢－77］ | （ |
|  | 放碞 | Ftemer | －7\％ | （澵： |
| Miter？ |  | \％ber | 为： | \％ |
| Str | 3007 |  | TG？ |  |
| Stin | Eb | Sem | H？ | 館 |
| bere | buta | 施退： | Tar | 浱 |
| ， | Stor | 5 | Tセック | 3 |
| 为 | 309 | 5xan | － | 湤为 |
| 7－ | － | \％ | 为 | \％em |
| 3－m | 5 | \％ | 为为 | Strex |
| 吅比： | Wber | wemp | Hix： | 退？ |
| T，隹可 | －mba | 为 | － | － |
| Prem | \％ 4 ¢p | 为 | \％ | （mben |
| Tamp | － | ת | Trem | T |
| Stre | Etar | 36m？ | ＋ap | 号 |
| 569 |  | 20m？ | 号 |  |
| 边 |  | Pum | 7 |  |
| \％up | wanting | \％ | 为 |  |
| － |  | Cimer | 隹 |  |
| 5er |  | Werp |  |  |



| $\overline{\mathrm{V}_{\text {Eris }} \text { wint Suprixs，¢ } 101 .}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 com ． | 2 masa． | Plural． | ${ }^{\text {masac}}$ | s．fim． |
| \％ | － |  | － | ；${ }_{\text {PR }}$ |
| －river |  | ？ | םrip | R |
| 隹 |  | － | － | P |
| Memp | － | － | － | 限號 |
|  | － |  | －rimp |  |
|  |  |  | Biber | Fible |
| $\xrightarrow{-1}$ | － |  | Bixter |  |
| \％ | － | 妵琾 |  | 成뷰ํ |
| \％eper | － | 成哏： | 堮？ |  |
| werer： | － | 成䠔它： | Paplata |  |
| \％epr | － | － | Rex |  |
| \％ |  | Reper | Repr | Pep |
| ？ | － | ？ | ？ | ריקִים ？ |





|  | Kal． | NIPHAL． | HIPHIL HOPHAL． | каL． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { Pret. }_{\text {(Perf.) }} & 3 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 3 \mathrm{f} . \\ & 2 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 2 \mathrm{f} . \\ & 1 \mathrm{c.} \\ \text { Plur. } & 3 \mathrm{c} . \\ & 2 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 2 \mathrm{fl} \\ & 1 \mathrm{c.} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | \% פְ |
| Infin．Absol． Constr． | ゼ่าม <br> בּ |  |  |  |
| Fut． 3 m ． （ImpF．） <br> $3 f$ ． <br> 2 m． <br> $2 f$ ． <br> 1 c． <br> Plur． 3 m ． <br> $3 f$ ． <br> $2 m$ ． <br> $2 f$ ． <br> 1 c． | ช <br> 为 <br> ？ <br> ！ <br>  <br> － <br>  <br> ？ <br> － <br> ？ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ? } \\ \text { ? } \\ \text { ? } \\ \text { ? } \\ \text { ? } \end{gathered}$ |
| IMPER． $2 m$ ． <br> $2 f$ <br> Plur． 2 m． <br> $2 f$ | 凹！ <br>  <br> בּשׁׁ <br>  |  | 끄룬 <br> 男 wanting <br>  <br>  | (nֵon |
| Part．Act． Pass． | زِيُّن <br>  | جِ | ַַּלֹיש <br> 地方名 |  |


| VIII．Paradigm of Ayin |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KAL． |  | NIPHAL． | PIEL． |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\text { (Perf.) }^{3} f \text {. }$ | ージテロッ | ¢\％ | ーディップ |
| 2 m ． |  | ワู่¢ |  |
| $2 f$. | （「ごロッ） |  |  |
| 1 c． | －סַּ | ＂ | － |
| Plur． 3 c．${ }^{\text {co }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $2 f . \quad$（\％） |  |  |  |
| 1 c （ |  |  |  |
| Infin Absol． |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2 m ． | こอง－อง | －®\％ |  |
| $2 f$. |  | תִּ | ＂－ |
| 1 c. | ユอั่ ニอั่ | － |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $3 f$ ． | － |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 c ． |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $2 f$. | － | ＂包家号 | － |
| Plur． 2 m .0 （\％ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass． | ニロフ | －0ָ\％ |  |

Doubled Verbs, § 133.


and Ayin Yodi Verbs，§ 154.

| HIPHIL． | HOPHAL． | HITHPAEL． | KAL． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ロットำ！ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> タッロ゙アッ！ <br>  <br>  <br> －27゙ロロー！ |  |  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> ！ <br> 7 |  |
|  |  | E'pipr? | ריב רִּבוֹב |
|  |  |  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  | wanting |  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
| BMPM | $\text { B }\left.\right\|_{T} ^{1} 973$ | ִּתְקוֹלֵּם | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 299 \end{gathered}$ |


| X．Paradiga of Pe Yodh Verbs，$\oint 144$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pret． 3 m ． （Perf．） <br> $3 f$ ． <br> 2 m. <br> $2 f$ ． <br> 1 c． <br> Plur． 3 c． <br> $2 m$ ． <br> $2 f$ ． <br> 1 c． |  |  <br>  <br>  <br> 5ージジ่ <br> ＂ <br> \％ <br> ロ寝ニッツ <br> 寝二手 <br>  |  | ב••••・ワ <br> ージロッ <br> 5－ <br>  <br> ワァッロット <br>  <br>  <br> 寝二ニ！ <br>  |  |
| Infin．Absol． <br> Constr． |  | =! | - ロッ・•••• |  |  |
| Fut． 3 m ． （IMPF．） <br> $3 f$ ． <br> $2 m$. <br> $2 f$ ． <br> $1 c$. <br> Plur． 3 m． <br> $3 f$ ． <br> 2 m. <br> $2 f$. <br> $1 c$ ． |  |  |  |  |  |
| IMPER． 2 m ． <br> $2 f$ <br> Plur． 2 m ． <br> $2 f$. |  |  |  | wanting |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Part. Act. } \\ \text { Pass. } \end{array}$ |  | ユนั่ท่าต่ |  | =nixixa | \% |



|  | XII．Paradigm of Lamedh |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KAL． | NIPHAL． | PIEL． |
| $\begin{array}{cl} \mathrm{Pret.}_{\text {(Perf.) }} & 3 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 3 \mathrm{ff} . \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 2 m ． | ַּבּ |  | ¢ |
| $2 f$. |  |  | －¢ |
| 1 c. |  |  |  |
| Plur． 3 c． |  |  | 年 |
| 2 m ． |  |  | ロベ¢ |
| $2 f$ ． |  |  | － |
| 1 c． | ַּ3． |  | ִִּ3 |
| Infin．Absol． | ージ̇ |  | －\％ |
| Constr． |  |  |  |
| Fot． 3 m ． | － | ージT． | ージ习习： |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$（ f ． | － | ¢0\％ | － |
| 2 m ． | ¢®\％ | ¢ תֶּ | － |
| $2 f$. | ¢ ¢ ¢ | ¢ | －10 |
| 1 c ． | ¢ \％¢ | ¢ ¢ ¢ | אַבַּל゙ּ |
| Plur． 3 m． |  | － | ¢ |
| $3 f$ ． | － | － |  |
| 2 m ． | ִִּנְלִ | ¢ִִִּ |  |
| $2 f$ ． | תִּ |  |  |
| 1 c ． |  | 岛翟 | －\％ |
| Imper． 2 m ． | － | － | －בַּ |
| $2 f$. | \％ | －＂边 | － |
| Plur． 2 m． |  |  | － |
| $2 f$. | \％ |  |  |
| Part．Act． | ה－3 |  | ？ |
| Pass． | －${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |

He Verbs，§ 170.

| pual． | нipriL． | нориац． | mithpael |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ก－ | － | － | － |
| － | － | － | － |
| 「枵 | 隹 | Toun | －ִי |
| ก＂3 | 花 |  | דִ |
| － |  |  |  |
| 楼 | ִִדְּלּ | דָּדֶּ | ד9 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 仿为等 | 隹防为 |  | ？ |
|  |  |  |  |
| （－゙ヵ\％ | －דיֶּ | －\％ | （－゙ロッア） |
| กํ゙界 | 旯 |  | กี่อกด |
| － | 9－3ְ | －\％ |  |
| ก | 阿 | 阿 | － |
| ה－ | תַתִּ | 阿 | － |
|  | תַּנִדִיִ | －תַּדֵּ | － |
| － | צ－ | צָּדֵּ | －x |
| ？ | －ִּלְּ1 | 防 | 誛测 |
| ת | 回 |  | －תִּ |
| תִֵּּ | תַּדְּנִ |  | ก |
|  |  | － | ¢ |
| － | 晃 | 为碞 | ？ |
| wanting | Tַ－ | wanting | － |
|  | T0 |  | － |
|  | 相 |  | ד\％ |
|  |  |  |  |
| \％ |  |  | ִִִ\％ |
|  | מֶּ＊＊＊ |  |  |

Class I.

1. Monosyllables and Segholates; Abstract Nouns.


s prosthetic: N" unessential long vowel: -
2. Long ultimate with pretonic - or.Adjectives: $\bar{a}, \bar{e}, \bar{o}$ intrans.



$\bar{a}, \bar{o}$ qualities existing:
$\bar{e} \quad$ " becoming:



e variable states of body
mind


3. Long immutable penult, T $_{\mathrm{T}}$ or - in ultimate.

Occupations:

Formation of Nouns, § 183.
Class II. Reduplicated.
Intensive:



do. consonant softened ニָּ

Occupations: wm,

Abstracts:


Red. two Radicals:
repetition

Class III. With Prefixes.


instrument
place or time
action or condition


$n:$ abstracts
Class IV. With Affixes. Denominatives.

abstracts
augmentative

-• (




| 5 （\％゙y and the like）． |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | camel | garden | goat | statute |
| Sing．abs． |  | － | \％ | pin |
| const． | דַַַּּלִ | － | תֶ | Tin |
| Plur．abs． |  | － | ¢ |  |
| const． |  | 囫 | ¢ | 号 |
| Sing．l．suf． | － |  | ִִִִ？ |  |
| gr．suf． | － |  | － | － |
| Plur．l．suf． |  | 夏 | ¢ִ | － |
| gr．suf． | ロージּ |  |  |  |
|  | small | much or many | deep | fresh |
| Sing．mase． | 伃阿 | ニワ | \％ | טָר |
| fem． | ？ | ר－1 | － |  |
| Plur．masc． | ppopon | רִַּּ | － | שְׁרִ？ |
| fem． | ？ | า | ת |  |
| Nouns with Suffixes． |  |  |  |  |
| $A b s$ ． | Sg． 0 |  | סרּלָה | Pr． |
| Const． | O－0 |  |  |  |
| Sing． 1 c．my | סִֶּּ | סוּנַ |  | Oִּסוֹתַּ |
| 2 m ．thy | OTOTO |  |  |  |
| $2 f$ ．thy | סריֵּ | סוּלַּ7\％ |  |  |
| 3 m ．his | סֵ\％ | סוּלָּזי |  |  |
| $3 f$ ．her |  | סֶּ＊＊＊ |  |  |
| Plur． 1 c．our |  |  |  |  |
| 2 m ．your |  |  |  |  |
| $2 f$ ．your |  |  |  |  |
| 3 m ．their | סטרֹם |  | （1） |  |
| 3f．their | ס⿴囗十介＊ |  | ¢0ヶ\％ |  |


$\checkmark$



[^0]:    a. When Kal has both a transitive and an intransitive sense, Hiphil, as the causative of the latter, becomes substantially identical with the former, $\rightarrow \underset{T}{*} \underset{T}{*}$ K. to extend or to bend, trans. and intrans., Hi. $i d$, trans. In Job 23: 11, Ps. 125: 5, Isa. 30: 11, where the Hiphil of this verb appears to be used intransitively in the sense of turning aside, there is an ellipsis of its proper oljject, to bend (the steps).
    $\S 80.1$. The Hithpael is reflexive or reciprocal of the idea of the verb, mostly as this is expressed in the Piel

[^1]:    ＊$\tilde{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi \quad \lambda \cdot \varepsilon \gamma \dot{o} \mu s \% 0 \%$ ．

[^2]:    ＊$\tilde{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi \lambda_{\delta \gamma o ́ \mu z \nu 0 \nu .}$
    $\dagger$ Except Ps．44： 22.

[^3]:    $a$. The Pual infinitive is omitted from the paradigm as it is of rare occurrence, and there is no example of it in this class of verbs. As the absolute infinitive Piel mostly gives up its distinctive form and adopts that of the construct, $\S 92 . d$, it is printed with Tsere in this and the following paradigms.

[^4]:    a. In their ordinary inflection Lamedh Aleph verbs differ from the perfect paradigm in the vowels only.

[^5]:    a. Names of objects occurring in pairs take the dual form even when a higher number than two is spoken of, 1 Sam. 2:13 the thres

[^6]:    $a$. The first three declensions, as above given, correspond remarkably with the three divisions of Class I of nouns according to their formation, § 184. Declension 1 is identical with the first division. Declension 2 embraces the second division and in addition all other nouns, of whatever class they may be, which have mutable Kamets or Tsere in the penult. Declension 3 embraces the third division and in addition all other nouns which have but one mutable vowel and that a Kamets or Tsere in the ultimate. The two remaining declensions include peculiar forms arising from imperfect roots. Those belonging to 4 are from $\stackrel{\text { Ki }}{ }$, and a large proportion of 5 are from roots. Declension 1 in feminine nouns answers to masc. declension 1 ; fem. 2 and 3 embrace to a certain extent forms derived from masc. 2 and 3.

