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## PREFACE.

Tire value of grammatical exercises and of selected courses of reading, carefully annotated to suit the wants of begimers, has long been recognized in the classic tongues. But the study of Hebrew, even in our best institutions, is prosecuted at comparative disadrantage for lack of such aids. The student plods laboriously through the Grammar, adding paradigm to paradigm and rules to rules, until his memory is overloaded with the confused and ill-digested mass. He is then set at translating, but is embarrassed in his use of the Lexicon by his imperfect familiarity with the letters, and especially by the difficulty of distinguishing the radical or primary forms of words in all the metamorphoses to which they are subjected from prefixes, suffixes and inflections; and what renders this process yet more vexations and trying is, that words thus painfully sought for are forgotten almost as soon as they are learned, and must be looked for afresh perhaps in the very next sentence. He is next confronted by the idioms of the language in the arrangement of words, the structure of sentences, and the use of peculiar forms of expression. Unless these are pointed out, and the true key furnished for their explanation, the finer and more delicate sort will likely be unnoticed, while others will be passed over with a vague and imperfect understanding of their real nature.

Those methods may well be distrusted, whieh propose to impart knowledge without proportionate toil. No valuable result can ever be gained without effort. The acquisition of a langrage involves a familiar acpuaintance with its grammatical forms, the meanings of its words, and the entire multitude of its idioms: and this cannot be secured withont time and labour. The problem is not how these can be dispensed with, but how they can be expended in the most profitable manner and be
made productive of the largest results. So douht energy and pains may be sucersful in surmounting the obstructions which beset the most rugred path. But if the way were first carefully prepared, mmecessary obstacles removed, and a helping hand given in case of neen, a wearisome toil might be conserted into a pleasant oreupation, and patient diligenee would be crowned with an ampler reward.

The thorongh mantery of the Iterew, as of any other tongue. implics a facility both in tran-lation and in composition. These are so distinct that exclusive oreupation with one will not beget the other, and yet sorelated that neither ean be perfect meses both are posessed. While, therefore, the former is the end principally aimed at, the latter may serve an important purpose as subsidiary to it. Accordingly the first part of this Chrestomathy is devoted to the work of analysis and tramblation; the second part to that of composition.

The first part begins with aseries of exercises designed to accompany the original study of the (irammar. Those on page 1 are for the practice of the student in the orthographic rules contained in the sections there designated. Those on pages $2-8$ illustrate the verbal paradigms. These are to be tramslated, and each form should at the same time be analyed or divided into its significant clements, the meaning of each scparately stated, and the law of their combination given. Thas, Erepy (mase.) hare killed consists of sep, the ground form of the Kal pret.
 the former losing its pretonic kamets in the combination s. 5. 2. a (t). And :تק? they (mase.) will hill comsists of ? from $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}^{\text {pon. }}$.
 the future $\$$ s.t. 3 , which loses its vowel §s... 2. a (2) before abridged from the plur. ending $\mathfrak{i}$ 各s. 1. a ( $\because$ ) Botore proreeding to any given exerrise the corresponding paradigm and the verbs of that class in the vocabulary should be thoronghly committed to memory.

The noms of the vocabulary are to he hamed in comection with the rules for gender, number and suftixes, and will furnish examples for derebnsion and the practice of these rules. To facilitate their cmplownent for this purpuse. they are elassified
according to the nature of their final syllable, and a fresh survey is given of all the rules applicable to each. The rest of the vocabulary is to be committed in connection with those part. of the Grammar to which they relate. The student will thas: become familiar with the practical meaning and employment of grammatical forms as he learns them. And by the time that he has completed the Grammar, he will know the meanings of 250 words of frequent occurrence, being the entire number that is to be found in the first three chapters of Genesis. These chapters he will then be prepared to read without being obliged to have recourse to a dictionary.

The passages selected for reading have been chosen with a view to their intrinsic interest, their progressive difficulty, and the variety of their style and character. The notes are at the outset chiefly grammatical and of the most elementary kind, directing the attention of the student to those matters of form and of construction, which he is expected thenecforward to investigate for himself. The aid thus given both by the suggestion of principles and by references to the Grammar, is gradually withdrawn as the presumed promress of the student renders it superfluons; and questions of criticison and interpretation are admitted to greater prominence, thus applying the knowledge of the language as it is gained to its most important end, the exposition of the Holy Scriptures.

This Chrestomathy is not designed to supply a complete course of IHebrew reading for theological students. Its aim is not to supplant the more general study of the Old Testament in the original, but to prepare the way for it. It has long been, and still is, a favourite wish of the author that a knowledge of Hebrew might be required in order to admission into our theological seminaries. If students entered upon their theologieal course with such a measure of forwardness in IIebrew as is required in Greek, the two great departments of Biblical learning could be brought nearer to a level. The time now spent upon mere grammatical rontine and elementary linguistic training might then be devoted to the more serious work of the interpreter. In view of the growing importance of Old Testament studies, which bid fair to be yet more than they have been the battle-ground of Christianity and mbelief, and in view of the
vastness and momentous character of subjects, which from the limited time afforded are now of necessity utterly neglected or but slightly tonched, the suggestion is here earnestly made to my brethren in theologieal instruction and in ecelesiastical superrision, whether that cannot be done in this country which the last Gencral Assembly of the Free Chureh of Seotland has recommended there. Cannot the study of Hebrew be begun and some satistactory progress made in it in our colleges and academies?

It may be thought that this would be to crowd what belongs purely to a single profesion into institutions of a broader basis and a more general character. Pint why might it not be introduecel as an optional study, as it is in the Prussian gymmasia, and as the modern languages are in the most of our collegiate institutions! Much might be said to vindicate for the IIebrew another than a purely professional interest, and to challenge for it the attention of the liberally educated generally, both as the representative of a family of tongues strikingly different from that with which we are most familiar, and as containing a literature vencrable from its antiquity and sacred as the gift of inspiration, with its products of exalted genius and its peculiarities as remarkable as those of the people amonget whom it had its origin.

If, however, this be aspiring to more than can be hoped for, and the theologieal curriculum must remain as it is, this volume is oflered as a manual for the first year of IIebrew study. Its size has been graduated hy the amome smprosed possible for that term, and it has been prepared with the definite design throughout of fitting students to appreciate and profit by those lectures in criticism and interpretation which form the more advancel parts of their course. The text adopted is, with a few slight corrections from other sourees, that of Hahm, except in the P'salms, where Baer's new and acemrate edition has been followed. In the preparation of the motes the best critical commentators have been consulted; and though the pedantry of parading their names in so elementary a work has been avoided, this opportunity is taken of acknowledging in the general the aid which has been lerived from these sources upon every page.
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# HEBREW CHRESTOMATHY. 

## PART FIRST.

andiysis and translation.

$$
\text { Shiv and Hholem § } 12 .
$$

The sections referred to throughout these exercises are those of Green's Hebrew Grammar.



The Vowel Letters § 13. Aleph Otiant § 16. 1.




Kamets and Kamets Hiatutif § 19. a.


## PERFECT VERBS.

## 1. Paradigm of Kep. Kale.

Analyze and translate the following forms. The figures annexed to certain forms denote the number of times that they may be found in the Paradigm.


```
\
```


2. Paradigm of Nétifial.
, , (2) (2) (吅)
3. Paradigm. of Peele and Pul.

 R ,
 ?
4. Paradigm of








#  <br>  

## 5. Tile entire Paradigm of rét.

Supply the vowels. The figures denote, as before, the number of places in the paradigm represented by the preceding form.


 תקשטלנה (12), קטלגי (3), תקטּל (10), מקטּל (3), הקטיללו (2), התקטלח,








## 6. Additional Examples.

[Repeat the perfect verbs on page 57, with their significations.]

1. The following long vowels, viz.: Hholem of the Kal infinitives §87, future $\S 88$ and active participle $\S 90$, Shurek of the Kal passive participle $\S 90$, Hhirik of the ultimate of Hiphil § 94 , Tsere of the Hiphil absolute infinitive $\S 94 . b$, and final Kamets of the Preterite masc. sing. $\S 86 . b$ ( 2 m. ), though commonly written as in the paradigm, may be expressed with or without their appropriate vowel letters, e. g., 光々 or
2. Kibbuts and Kamets Hhatuph are oceasionally exchanged in the Pual §93. $a$ and Hophal §95. $a$; e. g., קוּ
3. When the final radical is 2 or $n$ and the personal endings begin with the same letter, they are united by Daghesh-forte $\S 86 . b$ ( 2 m .), § 88 (2 and 3 f. p.); e. g., דִ for
```
:
```








## 7. Forms modified by the Accents, Makkeph and Euphonic Letters.

1. The panse accents §36. 2. $a$, lengthen short vowels, restore such as have been dropped in the course of intlection, convert simple Sh'va to Seghol, and compound Sh'va to the corresponding long vowel \& 65 .
2. The removal of the accent from a long mixed ultimate, whether by shifting it to the penult $\S 35$. 1, or by Makkeph $\S 43$, occasions the shortening of the vowel $\& 64$. 1 .
3. The original final Nun is sometimes retained in those verbal forms which end in u, viz., 2 and $3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{n}$. future $\& 88$, and more rarely 3 pl. proterite $\S 86 . b$. Nun is also occasionally addod to $2 \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{s}$. future which ends in is 88.




## 8. Paragogic and Apocopated Future and Imperative, and Vay Conversive.

The signification of the tenses when preceded by Vav Consersive is not absolute but relutive, being dependent upon the time denoted by the antecedent verb or expression. In this and the fillowing exercises the preterite with Vav Conversive should be translated as though eonditioned by a provious future or imperative; and the future with Var Conversive as though conditiuned by a previous preterite.



## 9. Paradigm of wer witil Supfixes.







 ?

## 10. Other Perfect Verbs witil Suffixes.

1. Verbal forms ending in $\bar{i}$ or $\bar{u}$ often drop their final vowel letter before suffixes § 11. 1. $a, \S 104 . l$.
2. Kal Futures and Imperatives with $a$, retain it even before those suffixes which cause the rejection of $\bar{u} \S 105 . d, \S 125.1$.








## IMPERFECT VERBS.

## 11. Pe Guttural Verbs.

[Repeat the Pe Guttural verbs on p. 57, with their significations. Verbs with $\urcorner$ in the root are in these exercises classed with perfect verbs, unless $\urcorner$ is the second radical and the verb used in the Piel species.]

1. The guttural sometimes has simple instead of compound Sh'va §112.5.
2. In the Hiphil preterite ( $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$. and $1 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{s}$.) m m becomes $=\mathrm{r}$ when Vav Conversive is prefixed $\S 112.3$.





 .

## 12. Pe Guttural Verbs with Suffixes.

Vav Cunversive prefixed to the preterite has the same variety of pointing with Var Conjunctive $8100.1, \S 234$.





## 13. Ayin Guttural Verbs.

 וִיֵּׁק





## 14. Lamfdii Guttural Verbs.








## 15. Pe Nun Verbs.

ne to take resembles Pe Nun verbs in the rejection or assimilation of its first radical \& 132. 2.







## 16. Ayin Doubled Verbs.

1. The addition of suffixes causes the insertion of Daghesh-forte in a final contracted consonant; and the consequent shifting of the accent occasions the rejection of pretonic Kamets or Tsere, and the shortening of a long vowel before the doubled letter $\S 141.3, \S 61.5$.
2. In the Kal and Hiphil futures of these verbs, as well as of Pe Yodh. and Ayin Vav or Ayin Yodh verbs, the accent is drawn back ly Vav Conversive from a mixed ultimate to a simple penult, and the vowel of the ultimate shortened in consequence §99. 3. $a, \S 140.1$ and 5.








## 17. Pe Yodi Verbs.

Construct infinitives of the form $\begin{gathered}\text { שֶׁ? } \\ \text { drop } \\ \text { dre Seghol of the ultimate be- }\end{gathered}$ fore suffixes, and either retain the preceding Seghol or change it to IHirik or Pattahh; thus






## 18. Ayin Vav and Ayin Yodi Verbs.

Suffixes and paragogic letters occasion the rejection of pretonic Kamets and Tsere § $157.3, \S 158.2, \S 160.2$ and 3.







## 19. Lamedi Aufpi Verbs.








## 20. Lamedi Ife Virbs.







21. Promiscuovs Examples.





SELECTIONS FROM THE OLD TESTAMENT．

## I．The Creation and Fall．Genesis 1－3．

## CHAPTER I．к
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## CHAPTER III. 】
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II. Tine Life of Josepil, Genesis 37, 39-46: 7 .
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## לט CHAPTER XXXIX.
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## CHAPTER XL. ص
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## Chapter Xli. sa
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## מב
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## CHAPTER XLIII．מג





4 אֲחֵּ ה
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## CIIAPTER XLIV. מ
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## CHAPTER NLV．מה
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CIIAPTER XLVI. מ
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 רֶּיָּ \%
III. The Tes Commandments, Exodus $20: 1-21$.
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IV. The Life of Samson, Julges 13-16.

CHAPTER XIII. :
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## Chapter XiV．יר
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## Cifapter xv. טו
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## Chapter xvi．$\because$
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## V．David and Goliath， 1 Samuel 17.
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## VI．The Prophet Elijaif， 1 Kings 17－19． Chapter xvil．י
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## CHAPTER XVIII.
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## CHAPTER NLX. ニ
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VII. Tife Confession of the Levites, Nelemialu 9.
 2
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VIII．Judail Comforted，Isaiah 40－42．

## CHAPTER XL．מ
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## Chapter NLI．Na
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## מב Chapter XliI．
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IX. Messian's IUmiliation and Glory, Isaial 53.
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X．The Restoration of Israel，Ezekiel 37.
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XI. Tile Prophecy of Obadiaf.
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## CIIAPTER II．ב
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## XIII．Twelve Selected Psalms．

## PSALM I．ぶ

 2的解 4 ז ：

## PSALII II．＝
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## PSALM III．ג









## PSALM IV．7
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## PSALM V．$\quad$
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## PSALM VI．
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## PSALM VII．
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## PSALM VIII．$\pi$
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## PSALY XXII．כב
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## PSALII XLV. מ
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## PSALM LXXII. ע
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## PSALM CX. P


 3: 3: 4:בְשִבּ



## XIV. The Exhohtation of Wisdon, Proverbs 8.
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XV．Job’s Complaint and Triumph，Job 3． 19.

## CHAPTER III．ג
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XVI．Tie Loye of tife King and his Bride． The Song of Solomon，1， 2.
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prosev． $11 . \quad$ p＂に rapv． 4.
＇ 14.



## V OCABULARY．

This Vocabulary contains all the words used in the preliminary exercises on pp ． 2－8，and in Genesis i－iii，except pronouns，numerals，and proper names．The iuitials K．N．P．，ete．，denote the verbal specics．

## PERFECT VERBS．

ฐัּ H．to separate．N．to be sepa－ rated．
$P=T$ K．（pret． $\bar{e}$ in pause，fut．a）to cleave，adhere．H．to cause to cleare．Ho．to be caused to cleave．
ฺั่ K．P．to subdue．N．to be sub－ dued．
 wear，be clothed with．H．to cause to put on，to clothe． Pu ．to be clothed．
ที่ II．to cause to rain．N．to be rained upon．
b⿺𠃊⺀大ท K．to rule．H．to cause to rule．
าั๋ K．K．to shut up，close．N．Pu． to be shut up．II．to cause to shut $u p$.
＊Tp K．（pret． $\bar{e}$ in pause，fut．a）to be holy．P．II．to make holy，sane－ tify．N．Pu．to be sanctified．IIth． to sanctify one＇s self．
ジッ K．to creep．
อַּ K．to be wise．P．to aet wisely． H．to make wise，act wisely．
 cause to rest．N．to be caused to rest．
† P．H．to cause to duell．
ָּ K．to keep．N．Mith．to keep one＇s self，take heed．
ケาแ゙ K．to ereep，teem with．
ПЕร K．P．to sew．

## PE GUTTURAL VERBS．

Sַฟ K．to eat，devour．N．Pu．to be eaten．H．to cause to eat．
רTK K．to say．N．to be said．II．to cause to say．Hith．to talk of one＇s self．
Tin K．to turn．N．Mo．to be turned． Hith．to turn one＇s self．
KT K．P．to desire．N．to be de－ sired．
 to be served．II．to cause to serve． IIo．to be caused to serve．
ーI゙ K．to leave，forsalie．N．Pu．to be forsaken．
Win K．to stand．II．to cause to stand．Ho．to be caused to stand．

## AYIN GUTTCRAL VERDS．

＝nci K．（pret．ē in pause）P．to love． N．to be loved．

7．M．K．P．to bless．N．Pu．to be blessed．H．to cause to kneel．Hith． to bless one＇s self．
シx．K．to redecm．N．to be re－ de＇med．P．to defile．Pu．to be defiled．IIth．to defile one＇s self．
－K．P．to drive out．N．Pu．to be driven out．
Tー．K．P．H．to separate．N．Pu． to be separated．Hith．to separate one＇s self．
ーズア．P．to cry．II．to convole by a crier（prop．to cause to cry）． N．to be conroked．
$=ワ า$ P．to brood，hover over．

## L．AMEDLI GUTTURAL V＇ERBS．

־ーラ K．to sow．N．Pu．to be sown． II．to produce seed．
下丅．K．to open．N．to be opened．
הーM K．P．to sprout，shoot forth． II．to cause to sprout．
K．K．P．II．to sond．N．Pu．to be sent．
Kuck to hear．N．to be heard．
I＇．II．to cause to hear．

## IE NUN VERBS．

K．K．to take．N．Pu．Mo．to be taken．
－i：H．to tell．IIo．to be told．
：－：K．to touch．P．to touch with violence，smite．N．Pu．to be smit－ ten．II．to cause to louch．
$\therefore$ K．（fut．a）N．to approach． II．to cause to approach，bring nrar．Ilo．to be bronght near． Hith．to bring one＇s self near．

ジジ K．to plant．N．to be planted．
HE：K．II．to breathe，blow：Pu．to be blown．
Kig．to fall．H．to cause to fall， throw down．Hith．to throw one＇s self down．
īp K．to give，N．Ho．to le given．

AYIN DOUBI，ED VERBE．
7 K．K．P．to curse．N．Ho．to be cursed．
 to be rolled．Hith．to roll one＇s self．
K．הָּ K．to lite．
シーּ I．to begin．Ho．to be begun．
$\mathfrak{F} \boldsymbol{\square} \mathrm{K}$ ．to be gracious．P．to make gracions．Ho to be treated gra－ ciously．lith．（？（on）to make to be gracious to one＇s self，en－ treat．
Ilith．
コニア K．N．P．to turn，surround． II．to cause to turn or surround． IIo．to be caused to turn．
Kip to bow．
K to be cril．H．to do evil．

## pe yodil verbs．

K．to know．N．to be known． II．to cause to know，to make knorn． Ho．to be made known．Hith．to make one＇s self known．
ーロ゙に K．to bear．N．Pu．Mo．to be born．II．to cause to be born． begel．Hith．to represent one＇s self as born，i．e．have one＇s name recorded in genealogical lists．

H. to cause to go. Hith. to go for one's self, walk about.
 IIo. to be formed.
רָּׁz K. to dicell. N. to be dwelt in. P. II. to cause to duell. Ho. to be caused to duell.
K. cause to sleep. N. to be old, applied to grain which has long slept in its repository.
ayin vav and ayin yodil verbs.
K. K. to shine. II. to cause to shine, give light.
 cause to come, bring. Ho. to be brought.
 ashamed. II. to make ashamed.
$\mathrm{n} \because \mathrm{O}$ K. to die. P. II. to cause to die, kill. Ho. to be killed, put to death.
ֵַ K. to rest. H. to cause to rest, put. Ho. to le caused to rest.
M K. P. to fly. Hith. to fly auray.
$=\mathrm{p}$ K. to rise, stand. P. II. to cause to rise or stand. Ho. to be caused to rise. Hith. to rouse one's self.
ברי K. II. to contend.
שטים K. II. to place. Ho. to be placed.
$=\backsim{ }^{-6} \mathrm{~K}$. to return. P. II. to cause to return, bring back. Pu. Mo. to be brought back.

- K. to briwise, crush.
K. to put. Ho to be put.


## LAMEDII ALEPII VERBS.

בּקָ K. to create. N. to be created.
sּדָּ K. to spring up, said of grass. II. to cause to spring up, bring forth grass.
Hָה H. to hide. Pu. IIo. to be hid. N. Hith. to hide one's self.

יָּה K. to go forth. II. to cause to go forth, bring forth. Ho. to le brought forth.
ירֵی K. to fear. ${ }^{\text {T }}$ N. to be feared. P. to cause to fear, terrify.

אמָּ K. to fill or be full. N. to be filled or full. P. to fill. Pu. to be filled. Hith. to complete each other.
מָּה K. to find. N. to be found. II. to cause to find.

یセָָ II. to deceirc. N. to be deceired.
NTM. to call. N. Pu. to be called.

## LAMEDIl IIE VERBS.

בִּהּ K. to build. N. to be built.
K. P. to uncover. Pu. to be uncoverel. H. to carry into captivity, prop. to strip a land of its inhabitants. Ho. pass. Hith. to uncorcr one's self.
K. to be. N. to become.

כְּלָּ K. to come to an end. P. to finish. Pu. to be finished.
范 K. to go up. II. to cause to go up, bring up. N. Ho. to le brought up. Hith. to lift one's self up.
 done, made.
K. K. to be fruitful. II. to make fruitful.

- PT to command. Pu. to be commanded.
הTM N. to be gathered together.
- K K. to see. N. Pu. to be seen.
be shourn. Hith. to look at one another.
K. K. to be many, multiply, intrans.
P. H. to make many, multiply, tr.
K. to subdue.

שָׁק H. to cause to drink, to water. Pu. to be watered.

## nouns.

The nouns which follow are classified according to the character of their ultimate syllable, in order to facilitate the application of the rules for their declension and for the appending of suffixes.
A. Nouns not having a feminine ending.
I. When the ultimate is an accented mixed syllable.
a. With Kamets in the ultimate.

1. Kamets in the ultimate is shortened to Pattahh in the singular both in the construct state $\$ 215.1$ and before grave suffixes \$221. 1.
2. It remains unchanged in the absolute dual and plural \$207. 1, and in all numbers before light suffixes $\$ 221.3$ and 4 .
3. It is rejected in the dual and plural in the construct state $\$ 216$. 1 , and before grave suffixes $\$ 221.1$, and also before light suffixes if the noun has the feminine ending in the plural §221. 2.
4. Those nouns, which double the final consonant before plural and dual endings, and before suffixes, at the same time change Kamets to Pattah ¿ 207. 2, §221. 6.
5. If the penult have pretonic Kamets or 'Tsere, this is rejected from the construct singular $\$ 216.1$ and from all forms in which the noun is increased by the addition of a suffix, or of the feminine, dual and plural endings $£ 210$. In the construct plural and dual, where an additional rejection (3) occurs, the formation of a new syllable is demanded by the concurrence of two vowelless letters at the begiming of the word $\$ 216.2$, and
a short vowel is inserted in an intermediate syllable in consequence.


```
זיָּה m. gold
mime male ctornity
```




```
ב. m. star
万ֹּ wing
    צ. F . (con.
    侯) adj. little, small
```

b. With Tsere in the ultimate.

1. In the singular Tsere remains in the construct $\% 215.1$,
 and is rejected before other suffixes $: 221.3$, unless the nom has pretonic Kamets ; in which case it is retained before light sulfixes and is changed to Pattahh in the construct $\$ 215$. 1 , and before grave suffixes $£ 221.1$.
2. Tsere is retained in the dual and plural both in the absolute state and before light suffixes, if the noun be a monosyllable or have pretonic Kamets; otherwise it is rejected $¢ 207$. 1, $\div 2.21 .3$. And in either case it disappears in the construct $\because 216.1$ and before grave suffixes $\$ 221.1$; so even before light suffixes if the noun has the feminine plural ending $\$ 221.2$.
3. Nouns which double the final consonant before plural and dual endings and before suffixes, at the same time change Tsere to Hhirik :207. 2.
4. If the penult have pretonic Kamets or Tsere, this is rejected from the construct singular and from all forms in which the noum is increased by the addition of a suffix, or of the feminine, dual and plural endings $\div 210$, !216. 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ※ૂ m. mist, vapour } \\
& \text { Esif. (nies) mother }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { צֵּ m. tree }
\end{aligned}
$$

c. With other vowels.

1. These sulfer no change from the addition of sulfixes and of the endings for gender and number, except that Itholem is
changed to Kibbuts in those noms which double the last radical $\$ 20$ \％．2．When the ultimate vowel is Pattahh the last conso－ nant is always doubled，muless it is a guttural，in which case Pattah may be lengthened to Kamets $\$ 207$ ．2．$a$ ．

2．Pretonic Kamets and T＇sere are rejected from the penult as in the preceding classes of nouns．

| －＊m．lisrlut | ¢＇2 m．speries，liend |
| :---: | :---: |
| － |  |
| T－x me．Gool | －íg m．forel，Lirds |
|  | ר＇y m．（־i）sliin |
| －m． |  |
| 成 brlcllium |  |
| ל－ד̇דּ adj．great | ごํา adj．cummiugr |
| 门－in m．belly |  |
|  | S＂p m．（ni）roice，sound |
|  | ¢rp in．thorn |
| 隹年 m．couceptiou | กִּ m．f．（－i）brecth，rind，spirit |
| $\cdots$ adj．（ה）living | －adj．（ |
| ＝－n m．pl．life |  |
| 二＇tas adj．good |  |
|  | E\％in mi f．（－i）oceat，the deep |
|  |  |
|  |  |

11．When the ultimate is an accented simple syllable．
a．With Seghol．
1．Seghol is changed to＇Tsere in the construct singular 8215．2．It is dropped before dual and plural endings $!209.1$ and before suflixes $\frac{8}{6} 2 \mathrm{l} .7$ ，though $e$ may be retained as a con－ necting vowel before suflixes of the third person $\$ 221,7$ a．

2．Pretonic Kamets is rejected from the construct of both singular and plural $\$ 216.1$ and before grave suffixes $\$ 221.1$ ， but not with light suffixes or in the absolute dual and plural $\$ \supseteq 10, \therefore 221.3$ ．Light suflixes added to the feminine plural end－ ing，as they canse a removal of the accent，occasion the rejection of the pretonic rowel 8221.2 ．
m．gathering together m．face
 －m．leaf
b．With other vowels．
1．Nouns ending in quiescent $\boldsymbol{x}$ preserve their final vowel
 in other respects they follow the law of nouns ending in a mixed


2．For nouns in ${ }^{\circ}$ ，＂and isee $\mathbb{S} 209.2$ and 3.

III．When the ultimate is unaccented．（Segholates．）
a．With perfect and guttural letters．
1．The unaccented vowel falls away，and the noun reverts to its monosyllabic form in the singular before suffixes $\$ 221.5$.

2．In the plural and sometimes in the dual both in the abso－ lute state and with light suffices pretonic Kamets is inserted and the monosyllabic vowel also falls away $¢ 20 \mathrm{~S} .3$ and $4, \div 221.3$ and 4 ，but in the construct and before grave suffixes pretonic Kamets is rejected and the monosyllabic vowel restored in an intermediate syllable 5216.1 and $2,: 221.1$ ．

| FES m．f．stone |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Y－is m．f．（תi）earth，land | （\％）m．help |
|  | צֶּ m．pain，sorrow |
| 隹 |  |
| 心ゼT゙ m．grass | \％m．f．（ni）evening |
| 隹 |  |
|  |  |
| ה \％m．darliness | －m．image |
| ¢ m．greemuess | －－p．m．eust |
| 翟 m．flame |  |
|  |  |
|  | \％m．reptile |

b．With quiescents．
1．Medial quiescents rest in their homogencous diphthongal
vowel in esery form except the absolute singular $£ 208.3$ ．$c$ ， \＄216．1．d，！221．5．$\downarrow$ ．

2．Final quiescents recover their consonantal character in the singular before light suffixes $8221.5 . c$ ，as well as in the different forms of the plural \＆2u8．3．d，§1S4．$\langle$（ت゙ン roots）．
－m．cmptiness

fone

פx．fiuit
m．desolateness


B．Nouns having a feminine ending．
a．Those ending in $\boldsymbol{n}_{\text {，}}$ ．
1．When the root takes before $n$ ，the form of a monosyllable ending in concurrent consonants，pretonic Kamets is inserted in the plural，and the monosyllabic vowel rejected．In other cases the plural is formed by a simple change of termination \＆ 211.

2．The construct requires in both numbers the rejection of pretonic Kamets or＇Tsere，if there be one， 216.1 ，and in the singular the additional change of $n$ ，to $n . \$ 214.1$ ．If this rejec－ tion occasions the concurrence of vowelless consonants at the begimning of the word，a new syllable must be created by the insertion of a short vowel between them © 216． 2.

3．＇The construct form is assumed before all suffixes ；except that in the singular $\Omega$ ．becomes $\Omega$ ，before light suffines $\AA 221.2$ ， as also before the dual ending $\& 202, \therefore 211$ ．


```
    - s. emmity
```



```
-ncen f. beust
    #
```



```
#ְ f. apron
    #ח% f. animal, bcast
```




ט．
－：f．fomale － －f．east

Ts．nf．desire
Mincin（こ．）figtrce
－f．generution
－inon deep sleep
－寝盛f．desire

## b．Those ending in $\Omega$ ．

These nouns experience the same changes as the masculines from which they are derived $\delta 211$ ；only in those of Segholate formation the penultimate vowel is changed before suffixes in the singular agreeably to the law of Segholates $\ddagger 221.5$ ，and if it be Hholem it is dropped in the plural i 207．1．d．

<br><br>I．knowledge

## IRREGULAR NOUNS．




侅m．（בָּ
NUMERALS．See §§ 223－227．

## PREPOSITIONS．

| － 3 in |
| :---: |
|  |
| 䀎 on account of ？according to，as to |

from
Zefore，over against
unto
放 over，upon
with
5n under

## ADVERBS，CONJUNCTIONS AND INTERJECTIONS．

| xavere <br> צֵי nothing，there is not －ヘ also צֵּuthat，because <br> ：sign of definite object not <br> ロּג also <br>  <br> ？and |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

not yet，before
that，because，for
jo so
ズ not
—nightily，very
睹 therefore
now
－
טָּ there

## GRAMMATICAL AND EXEGETICAL NOTES.

## GENESIS, CIIAPTERI.

 1, with Daghesh lene § 21. 1, and the noun nיאר of class IV, derived from שxin head by the addition of the vowel. § 194 and the feminine ending $n$ § 196, § 198. a (4), and denoting 'that which belongs to the head' i. e. the beginning. The accent is on the ultimate for a double reason $\S 32.1$ and 2 . The expression is indefinite § 248 , comp. iv $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{n}$ John 1:1, and equivalent to the adverbial phrase at first. See Alexander on Acts $11: 15$. It does not of itself designate a fixed and determinate point of time, but simply the order of occurrence. The particular time intended must be inferred from the subject spoken of. The absence of the article is thus sufficiently accounted for, and there is no necessity of assuming that the noun is definite without the article, and hence is in the construet state $\S 246.3, \S 256$, before the following words, which must then form a relative clause with the relative omitted § 255. 2. This needlessly complicates the simple and obvious construction by making ver. 2 a continuation of the sentence begun in ver. 1, "in the beginning when God created the heavens and the earth, then ( $\}$ after a statement of time § 287.3 ) the earth was etc.," or by a construction still more forced and unnatural ver. 2 is regarded as a parenthesis and ver. 3 as a continuation of ver. 1 , "in the beginning, when God created etc. (and the earth was etc.) then God said etc." These constractions have been advocated by those who would have Moses teach the eternal and independent existenee of matter, or at least that it existed prior to God's act of creation. But this conclusion would not follow even if the strained renderings which they propose were adopted.
 $=$ = with paragorgic, § 218) i. e. largo Beth, the initial letter of the book
being above the ordinary size § 4. a. The Rabbins profess to see in this a mystic allusion to the magnitude of the work of creation, as well as to the twofold product of creative power, ' the heavens and the earth,' inasmuch as the numerical value of $=$ is $2, \S 2$. See Buxtorf Comment. Masorethicus p. 154.

N time absolutely § 262.1 ; it agrees in sense though not in form with its subject in the singular § 275 . 3. Daghesh lene § 21. 1. The accent is on the ultimate §32. 2. The verb precedes its subject, and this is followed by the object, which is the natural order in Hebrew § 270. $c$. Created: this verb does not necessarily or invariably denote production out of nothing, as is shown by its use ver. 27, comp. 2:7, to describe the furmation of man from the dust of the ground, and Ps. $51: 12$ where an inward spiritual change is spoken of as the creation of a clean heart. It properly signifies the production of an effect, for which no natural antecedent existed before, and which can only be the result of immediate divine agency. It is hence used only of God in the Kal species, which is thus distinguished from the Piel, where it has the sense of cutting or forming §78.1. That the creation here described is ex nihilo is apparent, however, from the nature of the case. The original production of the heavens and the earth is attributed to the immediate and almighty agency of God. And as the earth, even in its rude, unformed and chaotic condition is still called 'the earth' ver. 2 , the matter of which it is composed is thereby declared to owe its existence to his creative power. Creation is also described as a voluntary act, and as one which took place in time or at 'the beginning' of time ; consequently matter can neither be eternal nor an emanation from the divine essence. The Mosaic account is, therefore, equally opposed to hylozoism and to pantheism; and the only alternative is creation ex nihilo. The word $x-\frac{\pi}{7}$ is further used in this chapter only in ver. 21 upon the creation of fishes and birds, which was the first introduction of the entirely new principle of animal life, and in ver. 27 where it is thrice repeated to emphasize the creation of man, who is a being not only of a higher grade but of a different order from those which had preceded, not merely another animal made of the same constituents in a more sublimated form or more curiously compounded, but a person possessing elements of intellectual and spiritual life not before communicated.

E-ise a monosyllabic noun of class I. § 183, from the root some have thought to be an equivalent of $3 \times$ to be strong, hence the Mighty One, but which is better explained from the corresponding Arabic root to fear, alore, hence the object of reverence, or adoration. It
is in form a plural § 109, although only one Being is referred to, and hence the verb agrees with it in the singular; when it is used in a plural sense of false gods, words belonging to it are put in the plural $\$ 275.3$. a. The singular is rarely used except in poetry, and particnlarly in the poetical chapters of the book of Job, where it occurs alnost to the exclusion of the more ordinary plural. The current use of the plural form of this word is not, as some have fancied, a relic of polytheistic times, the term "gods" which then became the fixed designation of what was divine having been retained after the transition to monotheism, for if the faith was changed the words which described it would change also. Nor can it be an anticipation of the doctrine of the Trinity, as is shown by its application to heathen deities and occasionally even to creatures of exalted dignity. It is a plural of majesty, and expresses not numerical multiplicity, but rather the combination of many in one, the concentration of whatever is adorable § 201. 2. As a proper noun it is withont the article $\$ 246$. 1 , though when used as a common or appellative noun it may receive the article to designate the true God in distinction from those which are not really gods, Deut. $32: 21$, but only falsely called so $\S 246$. 1. $a$. Accent on ultimate § 23,1 .
$5 \times$ the sign of the definite object $\S 238.2, \S 270$, very frequently connected with the noun by Makkeph $\S 43$, but here perhaps from the emphasis of this opening sentence accented as a separate word.

Exw the article §220. 1, distinguishing the object before which it stands as the only one of its class § 245.4 ; and a plural noun from the
 Nevi to be high, and hence denoting the upper regions, i. e. heaven, the plural designating not distinct heavens of various elevation, but rather extent in all directions, and comprehending all the parts of the vast expanse alove us. The accent on the penult is contrary to the analogy of ordinary plurals, and appears to be an assimilation to the dual ending § 203. $c$.
ney the conjunction § 234, and the sign of the definite object § 238. 2, § 270 .
: r-m the article § $229.3, \$ 245.4$, and the segholate noun of class I. $\gamma^{-}$§ $£ 183$, the first vowel being assimilated to that of the article $\S 229.4 . b$, or changed to Kamets by the pause accent $\S 65$. It is of common gender though mostly feminine § 197. $b$, plural nix-ָָ. Accent on the penult § 32. 3. Soph Pasuk § 36. 1 .

The verse is divided by the accents into two clauses or branches. The first, embracing the verb and its subject, is limited by Athnahh
under a - B ; and the second, containing the compound object, is limited by Silluk under the last word of the verse $\S 36.1$. Silluk is preceded by the conjunctive Merka under the closely related particle $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}}$, and the disjunctive Tiphhha under the other term of the compound object E: Rexue the Tiphhha being preceded by the conjunctive Merka under the
 under $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{s} 7 \mathrm{~T} \\ \text {, }\end{aligned}$, which is thus linked with its subject, and by the disjunctive Tiphhha under , mhich is not directly dependent on what follows, and not so closely related to it $\$ 38$. 2. The disjunctive accents indicate the pauses which a reader would naturally make or ought to make after the words to which they are affixed; these vary according to the strength or value of the accent, from such as are almost imperceptible to those of more considerable duration. Words marked with conjunctives admit no interval between themselves and those which succeed them.

Upon one view of this verse, it describes the initial act in the work of creation, viz. the original production of the matter composing the earth and the heavens, which it was the work of the six days either to organize or to reconstruct, according as the Mosaic narrative unfolds, directly from this point, or an interval is assumed between ver. 1 and 2 covering the geologic eras, the changes which took place upon this planet prior to its being fitted up for the habitation of man being then supposed to be passed over in silence, as not falling within the province of revelation to disclose. In favour of this it is urged that the next verse commences with and, implying that the narration does not begin there but is continued from the preceding. That the term 'earth' may denote the matter of the globe in a chaotic and unformed state, appears from ver. 2, where it is so employed. According to another view of ver. 1 it is a title or summary statement of the contents of the following section, $1: 1-2: 3$, and connected with it by 'and,' as in Isa. 2:2, Hos. $1: 2$, Amos $1: 2$.

Upon either hypothesis the entire section is divided into seven parts by the seven days whose work or rest is recorded, viz. the first day vs. $1-5$, the second day vs. $6-8$, third vs. $9-13$, fourth vs. 14-19, fifth vs. 20-23, sixth vs. 24-31, seventh 2:1-3.
2. emphatically before the verb $\$ 270$. $a$. This inversion of the customary order is frequent in descriptive clauses or sentences, occurring at the beginning or in the course of a narrative, e. g. $2: 12,3: 1,37: 3$, both because the attention is there more strongly drawn to the subject to be described, and because this collocation admits of a preterite instead of a
future with Vav conversive $\S 99.1, \S 265$. The latter is proper only in statements which are a sequence from the preceding, and which directly continue a narrative previously begun. This form of the sentence is pressed by those who suppose that the inspired writer indicates by it that the state of things described in this verse was not the immediate sequence of the creative act, ver. 1.
 distinguishes Kamets from Kamets Hhatuph § 19. 2. It is here used as a copula, vas., $\S 258.3$, or it may be itself part of the predicate existed, and that in the condition stated in the succeeding words.

R lene § 21.1, the first with two accents $\S 30.1$. Abstracts used rather than adjectives § 254. 6. a, to express the idea in a stronger and more absolute manner, wasteness and desolation ; in occurs in but two other passages, Is. $34: 11$, Jer. $4: 23$, in both of which it is joined as here in assonance or paronomasia with : m to add intensity to its meaning. Inasmuch as these words are used in other passages of the desolateness produced by devastation, some have supposed that they here contain the implication of a preceding catastrophe or convulsion by which the creation spoken of in ver. 1 was reduced to the chaotic waste here described.

וֹתְּׁה Hholem combined with the diacritical point § 12, Sh'va with final Kaph § 16.1. Abstract nouns used in a general or universal Eense, receive the article $\S 245.5$; but as its totality, and does not mean all darliness but a certain portion or amount of it, the article is omitted. The sulject is joined to its predieate without a copula § 258 . 1 .
-ivpreposition over from the root $n$ to ascend, which when contact is implied, as in this case, becomes upon $\S 237$. 1, with Makkeph 843.
: $:$ p plur. noun I. 2. § 185. $d$, from the obsolete singular § 201. 1, res, $i \rightarrow$ root rist to turn, the parts turned towards any one, i. e. the face or surface ; in the construct $\S 214.2, \S 216$. 1, here signifying possession $\S 254$. 1 , which does not admit the article $\S 246.3$, its definiteness being indicated sufficiently by that of the following noun.
 raging mass, elsewhere applied to the ocean, Gen. 7:11, Job $28: 14$, here to the vast expanse of water enveloping the earth prior to the formation or appearance of the dry land. Those who adopt the scientific hypothesis of La Place, suppose that the period here spoken of was prior to the separate existence of our planet, and that $=$ ?nin denotes the agitated
nebulous mass or ocean, in which all the matter of the solar system, our earth among the rest, was confusedly blended, although the inspired account speaks only of the earth as in this chaotic state because the formation of the earth is the principal thing to be deseribed. The arm: is in the next clause spoken of as waters; but this, it is urged, may denote that attenuated etherial fluid from which the terrestrial waters were subsequently condensed, as in ver. 7 , 'the waters above the firmament,' are evidently not to be understood of water in its condensed and liquid state. This noun is used almost exclusively in poetry with the exception of this passage and twice in the account of the flood, Gen. $7: 11,8: 2$. In the singular it never receives the article, perlaps because it partakes somewhat of the character of a proper noun $\S 246$. 1. It is of common gender $\S 197 . b$; plural $n$ now $\S$ 200. $a$.
monosyllabic noun of class I. from yoot \$ 186. $c$, of common gender, though mostly feminine § 197. b, plur. n:n:n, meaning breath, hence wind, hence also spirit, which resembles breath as an invisible agent and connected with vitality. It cannot here signify 'wind,' whether by 'the wind of God' be understood a divine, i. e. a powerful wind upon the doubtful assumption that the name of God is used to make a mere superlative of greatness, or a wind divinely sent; it must signify spirit, since the action attributed to him could only be predicated of a living agent. It is definite without the article by being in the construct before a proper noun $\S 246.3$; this is here not the construct of apposition or designation merely $\S 254$. 3, 'the spirit viz. God' or 'God who is a spirit,' but either that of possession $\S 254.1$, 'the spirit belonging to God' or of the source § 254. 7, 'the spirit proceeding from God.' That the spirit here spoken of is neither a periphrasis for God himself nor a mere influence issuing from him, but the third person of the Godhead, we learn from other passages of Scripture which ascribe the performance of divine works ad extra and particularly the work of creation to this sarred agent.

ค fem. $\S 205$, without the article, sinee it is a predicate $\S 259$. 2, which here follows its subject in a descriptive clause as in the preceding branches of this verse. It expresses continuous action § 266. 1, belonging to the time before spoken of $\S 266.3$; brooding or hovering, the word is applied Deut. $32: 11$ to the eagle cherishing its young.
: a;ch noun used only in the plural § 201. 1, § 203. c, Pattahb changed to Kamets by the pause accent $\S 65$; the water viz. that of the deep or ocean previously spoken of $\S 245.3$.

The verse is divided by Athnahb under an § 36. 1 into two clauses
describing respectively the physical condition of the earth and the ageney of the divine Spirit. The first is subdivided by Zakeph Katon over rizi, and again by R'bia over ץ-קוּק § 36. 2, the emphatically prefixed subject heing thus erected into a minor subdivision, as though it stood absolutely and required a brief pause after it, 'as for the earth-it was, ete.' Zakeph Katon is preceded by the disjunctive Pashta on the other term of the compound predicate, and this by the conjunctive Merka on the closely related verb or copula § 38. 4, comp. §38. 1. a. Athnahh is preceded by Munalh on the elosely related construet, and by Tiphhla which marks the opposition of the subject to the predicate §38. 2. The Silluk clause is subdivided by Zakeph Katon on $\mathbf{E}$. § 36. 2, thus balancing the prefixed subject against the predicate. Zakeph Katon is preceded by Munabh on the closely related construct $\S 38.4$; and Silluk by Merka on the construct and Tiphhla on the participle whose relation to what follows is less intimate § 38. 1.
3. 2 . $\S 99$, which draws back the accent to the penult $\S 33.4, \S 99.3$. a , and changes Pattahh of the ultimate to Seghol § 111.2. a; this continues the narration begun by the preterite $n$ norn ver. $2 . \S 265$. All the verbs of this verse precede their subjects $\$ 270$. $a$. Each creative act is preceded by the going forth of the divine word; some have found or fancied in this an obscure allusion to the second person of the Trinity, called in the New Testament 'the Word,' John 1:1, and to his agency in the work of creation. It may be remarked that the phrase 'and God said' oecurs ten times in this chapter, once before each of the eight creative acts, a duplicate work being assigned to the third and sixth days respectively, and twice, vs. 28, 29, after the creation of man.

Kal apoc. fut. § 97.2 of $\boldsymbol{i}$ ל jussive sense; here not a copula, but the predicate be, i. e. exist. - - ? Daghesh-forte omitted after Vav Conv. § 25, § 99.3, with Methegh § 45. 2.

The verse is divided at $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}$ § 36.1 into a command and its result. Each verb is closely connected with its subject by the appropriate accents in the first clause § 38. 2 and by Makkeph in the second § 43.
 $\kappa$ otiant $\S 16$. 1. Some read beheld the light, i. e. looked upon it with favour or approbation, because it was good. But this sense is forbidden, 1. by the parallel expressions in subsequent verses, particularly in ver. 31, where the form of statement is varied; and 2 . by the fact that in this sense is fullowed not by the direct olject but by the preposition , intimating that the sight was not only directed to the object but was
fastened upon it or bound up in it. Savo the light that it was good asserts a vision of the object itself as well as of its quality, and thus there is a recognizable shade of difference between this and the phrase, which accords better with the English idiom, 'saw that the light was good,' which merely asserts the perception of the quality; this latter form of speech is used in Hebrew likewise, e. g. $3: 6$. . רֶ the article before an object previously mentioned § 245.1. 2ume the subject omitted, since it is sufficiently plain from the connection $\$ 243.1$, predicate adjective without the article § 259. 2. ל:z? Hiph. fut. of
 darkness for between the light and the durkness. This idiom is trans-

 the throne etc., and the elders. Sometimes the second member is pre-
 article either because they had been mentioned before $\$ 245.1$, the light, the darhness, or possibly the words may be used in their universal sense, in which case the English does not admit the article § 245. 5, light, darkness.
5. אip: name directly, and the person or thing to whom the name is given by the preposition 3 ; or the preposition may be omitted, when the person or thing naned is in a very few instances also made a direct object, Num. $32: 41$, Isa. $60: 18$, but more frequently the word name is inserted, Gen. $3: 20,41: 51,52$, God called (to) the light, day etc. i. e. he made them to be what these names denote; he gave them the fixed character and relations suggested by these terms. The majestic significance of this divine naming is heightened by its restriction to those grand objects in nature which were the work of the first three days, light and darkness-the firmament, ver. 8-land and sea, ver. 10, and to man the crown of the whole, $5: 2$. The inferior animals received their names not from God but from Adam, 2:20, who thus recognized and expressed the nature given them by their creator.
 the article § 231.5. aid day, noun irregular in the plural $\begin{aligned} \text { \& } \\ \text { \& 207. } \\ \text { 20 }\end{aligned}$. $f$. Ne for the sake of varying the construction, is placed before the verl, which necessitates a return to the preterite $\S 265$; the conjunctive accent is thrown back upon the penult by reason of the follow-
 $i$ root § 184.b, with He paragogic \$ 61. 6, § 219, which no doubt originally had the adverbial sense of at nighi, but in usage came to be
equivalent to the simple noun, which it has almost supplanted, $3:$ only occurring once, Isa. $16: 3$; with a pause accent § 6.5 ; in the plural


 1 , is placed afier its noun, with which it agrees $\S \underset{2}{ } 50.1$.

And it was cvening and it was morning, one day. This is by many understood to mean that the evening followed by the morning constituted one day, the first of ereation. This view has been thought to be recommended by its agreement with the usage prevalent among the Jews and several other nations of antiquity, of begiming the day with the evening, and also by Dan. 8:14, where enigmatical equivalent of day. According to another and perhaps preferable opinion, the days of creation are to be reckoned from morning to morning. In favour of this it may be urged, 1. The statement is not that 'the evening and the morning were the first day.' This could not be so expressed in Hebrew. The separate verb before $\boldsymbol{z}$. shows that we have here not a compound subject but a twofold statement, and $\pi \cdot \pi$ in the sense of become or constitute is commonly followed by 3 , see ver. 14.15 , so that if this were the meaning the proper phrase would be were to (or for) one day. 2. The evening of this as of the other days of creation is spoken of as coming on after the work of the day is over, the future with Vav conversive implying a sequence, § 265. a. The day began when the light broke forth at God's command; then followed the uther acts of the day, the divine contemplation of the light, dividing the light from darkness, and giving names to each; finally when all was finished it was evening. and this was succeeded by morning. One day is now ended and another begins. 3. From evening to morning would be a night, but not a day, unless the terms are taken with a latitude of meaning which they do not properly possess and which they do not have elsewhere. $\quad$ means simply evening, not the entire period of darkness, and morning, not the entire period of light. For these reb night and cio day had just been stated to be the proper words. Dan. 8:14 aff serds no justification of this extension of the evening and the morning over the whole day. The prophet merely says that there shall be so many evenings and mornings before the fulfilment of his prediction. Ite might have said with the same propriety the sun shall set and rise so many times. But it could not be inferred from t.i. is that sunset and sunrise covered the entire day. 4. If the first day began with the evening, this must have been the darkness which preceded the creation of light, but that darkness
was eternal. So that the first day would upon this hypothesis consist of an evening of infinite duration followed by a finite morning, which involves an enormous disproportion not only in its own parts but between this and the succeeding days. 5. An additional confirmation may perhaps be found in the narrative of the deluge. It appears from a comparison of Gen. $7: 11$ and $8: 3,4$ that time was then reckoned not by lunar but by solar months of thirty days each. When the beginning of the month was fixed by the appearance of the new moon, it was natural that the evening should be regarded as the commencement of the day, in order that it might be determined at the outset by the aspect of the moon to which month the ensuing day belonged. But if solar time is used in the account of the deluge, it seems not improbable that it is used in that of the creation likewise; and solar days are reckoned from sunrise to sunrise.

This view, if correct, is interesting from its incidentally proving how far the account of the creation is from containing anything distinctively Jewish. It has been said that the week of creation is modelled on the Jewish week: that its six days of work followed by a day of rest were invented to give sanctity to the Jewish Sabbath from the divine example. This suggestion is sufficiently confuted by the traces of a septenary division of time among other ancient nations, and by the coincidences in several remarkable particulars between the narrative of the ereation and widespread traditions showing that Moses has given no invention of his own, but a trusty report of the primeval revelation. But apart from this, the calendar of creation is not Jewish at all, the very days, as it would appear, being reckoned by a different standard.

As to the duration of the days of creation, the first impression made upon the common reader doubtless is that they were days of ordinary length limited by the regular succession of light and darkness. It has unquestionably been so understood by the vast majority of students of the Scriptures from the beginning, and we are not prepared to affirm positively that this may not be the real meaning. The scientific difficulties which beset this hypothesis may be disposed of by two considerations. 1. Physical science has no knowledge of the origin of the world. It merely ascertains existing phenomena and existing laws, and from these concludes that if the laws and properties of matter have always been the same, the present condition of things might have been brought about in a particular way. But as to the mode in which these things actually did come into being, it can affirm nothing. If creation be miraculous, it transcends the limits of scientific inquiry. 2. If the
specific purpose of Gen. 1 is not the complete history of this planet from the beginning, but the immediate preparation of it for the abode of man, how is seience to demonstrate that after its geologic epochs were over, God did not in the exercise of his almighty power do in six natural days all that this ehapter records?

And yet there are considerations deserving the attention of the careful student, which make it doubtful whether this was in fact the meaning of Moses, and still more so whether this was intended by the Spirit of God, whatever the meaning of Moses may have been.
(1) There is a measure of indefiniteness in the term 'day.' In this very verse it is used in two distinct senses. In the first clause it denotes the periods of light alternating with the darkness of the night, and exclusive of the latter. In the second clause, even on the strictest and most literal hypothesis, it denotes the entire diurnal period, embracing, along with the day proper, the night also. In 2:4 it has a wider meaning still, and is applied to the whole week of creation. And its frequent use throughout the Scriptures in the metaphorical sense of a period of indefinite duration shows what a latitude was allowed to it in the Hebrew idiom. Thus we read of the 'day of prosperity' and the 'day of adversity,' Ecel. $7: 14$, the 'day of troulle,' Ps. $20: 1$, the 'day of affliction,' Jer. $16: 19$, the 'day of evil,' Jer. $17: 17$, the 'day of vengeance,' Isa. 61:2, the 'day of salvation,' 2 Cor. $6: 2$, the 'day of temptation in the wilderness,' Ps. $95: 10$, which lasted for forty years, the day of human life succeeded by the night of death, John $9: 4$, the day of final glory which is to follow this night of darkness and sin, Rom. 13:12, that eternal day which no night shall limit, Rev. $21: 25$.
(2) The days of prophecy, it is universally acknowledged, denote not ordinary days of twenty-four hours, but periods of much longer duration, see Dan. 12:11, 12, Rev. 11:3, etc., etc. If this term may be used enigmatically in prophecy in order to conecal the absolute duration intended, at the same time that its proportions and relations are made known, the same might be the case here, if it was the design of God darkly to image forth more than was to be absolutely disclosel. If the Creator has indeed left traces of the progress of his work in the crust of the globe, which it was his design that man should in the course of time decipher, and if he has also given a written revelation of his creative work, not with the design of enabling men to anticipate these scientific discoveries or to decide in advance between rival scientific hypotheses, but such as should be in aceurate accordance with the facts when they cane to be known, and should impress all readers with the conviction that He inspired it who knew the end from the beginning, how could
this be accomplished better than by such an enigmatical use of words as could hold a latent signification unsuspected until the time should arrive for it to be brought to light? This is what the analogy of Scripture would lead us to expect, since its predictions of the future are usually so veiled that they cannot be thoroughly understood until the event explains them, though they then become so plain, oftentimes, that they cannot be mistaken.
(3) The apostle Peter tells us, 2 Pet. $3: 8$, 'that one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day.' Comp. Ps. 90:4. This might make us hesitate about a rigorous application of our puny measures of time to the eternal God. The day is, in the language of our Lord, John $9: 4$, the period of work as opposed to the night when no work is done. Now though the same word may be applied to things human and things divine, it does not follow that they are upon a level. A day is man's working-time ; God's working-time is also a day, but it may have an inconceivably grander scale of duration, as the work wrought in it is one to which human work bears no proportion.
(4) The divine Sabbath, with which the work of creation concludes, may naturally be supposed to have been of the same character with the days which preceded it. But if this Sabbath was a day of twenty-four hours, God rested no more on the seventh day than he did on the eighth or ninth. That the Sabbath of creation in the intention of the Scriptures was not an ordinary day, but a long period, which still continues, may be inferred (a) From the circumstance that while the regular formula of 'the evening and the morning' occurs at the end of each of the six preceding days, it is wanting in the seventh. This has had no evening, and no fresh morning has since dawned. (b) The apostle appears to teach the same thing in Heb. 4. The works of God were finished from the foundation of the world, ver. 3 . Creation was then complete: and into the divine rest then inaugurated men are still invited to enter. The human week and the human Sabbath are modelled after those of God, but so reduced in dimensions as to be adapted to our short-lived insignificance. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are God's ways higher than our ways. Isa. $55: 9$.
(5) An additional ground of doubt respecting the length of the days of creation might be suggested by the fact that they certainly were not all solar days. The sun was not created, or at least was not made the measurer of time for the earth until the fourth day.
(6) The surprising correspondence between the successive works of the six days and the order in which according to geological researches
animated beings would seem to have made their first appearance on the earth, creates a strong presumption that the periods of geology and the days of Moses are identical.

- The Pentateuch is divided by the Jews into 669 sections or paragraphs, the end of each being sometimes, as here, marked by the letter $\mathbf{s}$, at others, as $3: 15,16$, by 0 . The former is the initial of $-r, p r y$ opened, indicating that the rest of the line was to be left vacant in the manuseript. The latter is the initial of rexro closed, indicating that after leaving a blank space the writer should recommence in the same line. It is also divided into 54 larger sections or lessons for the public reading of the synagogue. These are not noted in this chrestomathy; but in ordinary Hebrew Bibles they are marked by 5 en or 050 as they coincide at the beginning with one or the other sort of smaller sections.

6. Epun I. 2.8185 from sp to spread out, denoting that which is spread out, expanse. As the root also means 'to hammer out,' some have judged that this word involves the conception of the sky as a solid arch, like the Greek $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu a$ and the Latin firmamentum. Even if this were so, however, which is by no means certain, the sacred writers cannot be held responsible for the etymology of the words which they employ, any more than a modern astronomer, who should speak of the 'fixed stars,' would be held to sanction the notion that they are fastened to the celestial sphere. The Scriptures nowhere profess to give a physical description of the sky; the language employed respecting it is purely phenomenal and figurative. Thus while in Job 37:18 it is spoken of as firm and like a molten looking.glass, the Psalmist, $104: 2$, compares it to a curtain, and Isaiah, $40: 22$, to a tent or veil of the thinnest and most subtile texture ( $p$ in).
 Hiph. part. of and referred by the tense of the accompanying substantive verb to the
 waters, the interval beginning with the first and having respect also to the second: our idion requires and instead of $t$.
 $\S 109.3, \S 171.1, \S 172.4$, the accent on the renult $\S 32.3$. the article before an object spoken of before $\$ 245$. 1, Methegh in its regular place $\S 44$. En with the artiele becanse it is defined by the accompanying words § 245. 2. nrne two prepositions $\%$ § 232 and nng \& 237. 2 (1). In computing direction the Itebrews measure from the object spoken of toward themselves or toward the object to which it has relation, which is then indicated by $\frac{t}{} t$, or may stand without 3 ,
ver. 9. Thus, instead of saying that one object lay to the right of another, they would say that it lay from the right with respect to it. So here a downward direction from the firmament or beneath it is expressed by the phrase 'from under to the firmament'; and an upward direction or above it by from over to it. Exe composed of and : $r_{\text {. }}$ adverb $\S 235.3$ (4). The verse has three accentual clauses § 26. 1. In the subdivision of Zakeph Katon, Pashta is repeated § 39. 4.

The waters above the firmament were by some ancient interpreters understood to imply a literal ocean above the vault of heaven. And unbelieving expositors of modern times have sought to fasten this conception upon the sacred writer. But the figurative expression occurring in the account of the deluge, Gen. 7:14, "the windows of heaven were opened," does not warrant the conclusion that he imagined sluices to be really existing in the sky through which the supernal waters poured in time of rain, any more than Malachi, $3: 10$, and the Israelitish lord mentioned 2 Kin. 7:1 supposed a literal granary of provisions in the sky to be poured down through these imaginary apertures. That the Hebrews were well aware that the rain came from the clouds, and that the clouds were formed by evaporation, is plain from numerous passages in every part of the Bible. Those who adopt the hypothesis of Laplace find the waters of this verse in the nebulous fluid. The waters beneath the firmament formed this terraqueous globe, both the water and the land of ver. 9 ; the waters above the firmament formed the heavenly bodies. The ordinary interpretation makes the waters above the firmament to be the clouds, which might be so called as well as the birds can be said, ver. 20 , to 'fly over the face of the firmament.'
 agrees $\S 252.1$, a second day. The several days of creation are spoken of indefinitely, the sixth alone, upon which the whole was completed, being distinguished by the article, ver. 31 .

The words 'and God saw that it was good' occur with regard to the work of every day but the second. Whence some have conjectured that they have here been dropped from the text, or, as these words occur twice in the account of the third day, that they have by some accilent been misplaced, or that the formula announcing the end of the second day has been transposed from the end of ver. 10 , and properly belongs there. The true explanation of the omission appears to be, that the first part of the third day's work is really a continuation of that which was begun on the second, and the divine approbation is withheld until the division and segregation of the waters was complete. The insertiou of this clause in ver. 8 by the LXX without authority affords a good illustra-
tion of the manner in which various readings have arisen from transcribers or translators paying too much regard to parallel passages.
 stand $\S 190 . b$, signifying that in which one can stand, i. e. pluce § 191. 3 , of common gender though mostly masculine $\S 197 . b$, plur. תisher
 Methegh \& 44. The apocopated future rarely occurs in the Niphal, and never in the strictly passive species, because it did not accord with Hebrew conceptions to address a command to the object of the action 897. 2, b. The future expresses simple futurity, leaving the fact of its being spontaneous or coustrained and every other modal quality, for which occidental languages employ distinet forms, to be inferred from the circumstances of the case $\S 263.1$. In both instances in this verse it denotes not only what will occur, but what must and shall take place, and is therefore virtually equivalent to a command. II. § 187. 1, intensive from $z$ : 4 , dry, used only in the fem. 1, or nợ: § 205, with the generic article § 245.5.a, the dry, viz. land.
10. rearth here used in its strict sense of the dry land exclusively, but in ver. 1 the world, embracing land and water, so named from its principal and most important part. Wertur conj. \& 234, prep. § 231. 1, and noun III. root קיק $\S$ 190.b, that which is gathered, collection $\S 119.5$, in the const. $\S 215.2$, followed by the material of which it consists $\S 254.4$, definite without the article $\S 246$. 3. En: plur. § 207. 2 of $E_{7}$, I. from an obsolete $\begin{gathered}\text { y } \\ \text { ront } \\ \text { § 186. 2. } c \text {, seas, because }\end{gathered}$ distributed into separate basins, though as these all communicate they may be viewed in their totality as one $\begin{aligned} \text {. } \\ \text {. }\end{aligned}$
 in its strictest sense its cognate noun кy § 271.3, and with a wider extent of meaning also $=\underset{y}{ }$, and $\mathfrak{Y}$, which are not in apposition with א and the former were its subdivisions, but they are co-ordinated with it, and constitute together the three great branches of the vegetable kingdom, not according to a scientific classification, but a popular and obvious division, grasses, seed-bearing plants and trees. Methegh § 45. 2. בeve masc. noun with phur. in ni § 200. $a$, which occurs but once, commonly as here a collective $\sum_{S}^{201 .} 2$, from root Y'thibh § 30. 2, § 38. 4. En Hiph. part. of 's guttural verb § 123. 3, follows the noun to which it belongs § 249. 1, governs a cognate noun $\S 271.3$, expresses what is permanent and habitual § 266.1. s masc. collective $\S_{\S} 201.1$, only once found in the plural.' res has both
an individual and a collective signification, tree and trees, pl. 1, probably abridged from $\frac{5}{6}$ root to be firm, hard \& 185. $d$, in the const. § 215. 1, with the following word, which denotes its quality § 254.6. shayim §38. 1. $a$. پ. Hholem preceding sin § 12, Kal act. part. of it verb $\$ 168$. The accent, which is not Y'thibh but Mahpakh, since it stands before Pashta in the subdivision of Zakeph Katon § 30. 2, $\S 38.4$, is shifted to the penult by $\S 35$. 1. The foint in the first letter of the next word is Daghesh-forte conjunctive \& 24. a. aterep. § 231. 1, ביץ I. from an obsolete root § 186. 2. b, and pronom. suffix § 220.1. : 1 , formed by appending the suffix to the noun $\S 221.5$, which is the governing word, whose seed is in it, or the relative might be connected with the suffix of the preposition \& 233 and governed by it, in which is its seed. - yot not to be connected with descriptive of the tree in distinction from shrubs and grass prolucing fruit over (or above) the earth, but with and referring alike to grass, shrubs and trees which were all to be produced upon the earth.
12. 12. Hiph. fut. of with Vav conv. $\S 99.3$, § 166.4, the accent remaining on the ultimate § 147. 5. א T'lisha Gh'dhola § 38. 8. . 3 pers. suf. with the connecting vowel $e \S 220.1 . b, \operatorname{sing}$. in a distributive sense referring to the preceding collective § 275.6 .
13.
14. .e. singular verb preceding a plural subject $\S 275.1$, or it may be explained by supposing $r \cdot$ to partake of the nature of an impersonal verb, 'let there be,' comp. es gebe, qu'ily ait $\$ 275$. 1. c. Fise masc.
 thus differing from the noun ${ }^{\circ} \times$ ver. 3 , which signifies the element of light; plur. has either E , or $\mathrm{n}: \$ 200$. c. Hholem written defectively §11.1. $a, \S 14$. B-ty prep. expresses design, to, in order to, and requires the construct form of the infinitive $\S 267 . b$.

7 pret. with Vav conv. $\S 100.1, \S 265$, continuing the command begun with $-\%$, in the plural because it comes after its subject $\$ 275$. 1. $b$. $\mathfrak{A}$. mark, with the fem. ending $n$ § 196, which is retained contrary to the ordinary rule before the plural ending $\S 199 . d$, for signs, i. e. of whatever the heavenly bodies serve to indicate that is not included in the following particulars, whether ordinarily or in extraordinary cases in which they may become portents of momentous events, Matt. $2: 2$, or of divine
judgments, Jocl 2:31, Mat. 24:29, Luke 21:25. There is no need of assuming that for signs and for seasons is put by hendiadys for signs of scasons, nor of making the subsequent words dependent upon nim signs both in respeet to seasons and in respect to days and years.
 scason, plur. in E ., though once it has ni. Munabh takes the place of
 repeat, hence a year, in which the sun and the seasons repeat their course; the fem. ending causes the suppression of the last vowel proper to the form $\S 185 . d$, § 209. 1, plur. both in 5 . and $n i$, the latter only in poetry $\S 200 . d$.

The works of the first three days have, as has often been remarked, a certain measure of correspondence with those of the last three.

1. Light.
2. Division of the waters by 5 . Aquatic animals and birds. the firmament.
3. Dry land and plants.
4. . in the Kal pret. §82. 1. a (3), with the prep. § 267. b.
5. see ver. 7. er cardinal number \& 223. 1, in the construct hefore its noun § 250.2 (2), without the article § 251. 4, the two great lights, not two of the great lights, which would be expressed by omitting ns before the indefinite object $\S 2 \imath 0$, and inserting the preposition in in
 after resm gender, though the termination is different $\S 200$.e. The noun is definite because the oljects are well known $\S 245$. 3. 'They are called 'the great lights,' not from their absolute but their apparent magnitude, or rather from the measure of their effulgence as compared with the stars. חקגָּד"位 absolute or emphatic use of the positive degree $\S 260.2(2)$. Fity takes the form with the pause accents $\S 65$. $a$, or when declined,
 to rule, meaning dominion, rule § 191. 4, a segholate form in the comstruct $\S 214.1$. $k$, the following noun denoting the olject $\S 254.9$. The preposition expresses design, in order to, for. :
 \$187. 1. e , hence globule, stur. Methegh \$4.4.
6. 滑 ohject with pron. suf. $\S 238.2, \$ 270$, which has less independence than a noun, and nsually, as here, follows the verb immediately, instead of coming after the sulject $\S 270$. $a$; see also ver. 22.
7. $3 \pm r_{i}^{\prime}$ ? const. infin. with prep. $\S 267 . b$, followed by 2 , which is not here used in its temporal sense, in or during, but according to the Hebrew idiom links this verb to its object. The day and night (with the generic article § 245.5 ) were the domain in which the sway was exercised. Our idiom requires rule over, which is based on a different conception,
 §45.2. $a$.

No functions are here assigned to the heavenly bodies but those which they perform in relation to the earth, because these were all that the sacred writer was concerned to state. But it does not follow of course that this was the sole aim of their creation. The work of the fourth day need not include the original formation of these bodies; if ver. 1 describes the first act in creation the contrary is explicitly declared. But they then made their appearance in the sky, and their relation to the earth was definitely determined.
20. : $:$ : command expressed by the simple future $\S 263$. 1 , in a person which has no distinct apocopated form $\S 97.2 . b$, governs its
 mean that fish were made from the element in which they move; which, though not explicitly asserted, is not improbable in itself, and has in its favour the analogy of terrestrial animals, which were made of earth, 2:19. P2 I. 1. vital principle, soul, here put for the animated being, common
 $c$, in apposition with the preceding noun $\S 233.1$.
 that when joined with $2 \varepsilon$ g the former alone receives the article, ver. 21, and also that the compound expression is construed as a masculine, $2: 19$, shows that it is a noun, life, and $n=$ in the construct before its, attribute § 254.6. تiv collect. fowl, birds § 201. 1, not the object of : יִּ, with the relative understood, fowl, which shall fly § 285. 3, a construction which has sometimes been distorted into a contradiction
 $\S 154.2$, to which it is emphatically prefixed §270.a. $\because \because=$ over or across the face of the firmament, i. e. the part turned toward us.
 to stretch § 187. 1, hence that which is greatly extended, the monsters of the sea, whales, etc., so called from their length, the article before that which is well known § 245. 3. Hhirik of the ultimate long $\S 19$. 1. Ex? before an object made definite by construction $\S 270 . b$. תmay be resolved into the relative with the verb that creep or move.
=.:. plur. noun with 3 masc. plur. suffix § 220.2.b, referring to nouns of different genders $\S 276.3$. nix before $-i=\S 270 . c$, shortened from $\vdots=$ by Makkeph § 43. ⿹iz l. 2, root $\ddagger: \geq$, hence a covering, a wing, fem. as donble organs usually are § 197. a, occurs in the dual § 203. 1, and plur. in ni § 217, which is used in a different sense $\S 203$. a, exPresses the quality of the preceding construct $\$ 254.6$, fowl of wing, i.c. winged fowl.
 §!9.3. $a$, no Daghesh lene in = since the preceding Sh'va is vocal $\S 25$,
 so as to say, i. e. in saying. $=\sim$ Kal apoc. fut. $\S 171.1$, Hhirik short though accented $\S 19.1$, subject emphatically prefixed.
24. אظin see ver. 12 ,
 plied chiefly to the larger quadrupeds, and especially to the domestic
 collective §201.1. $\quad$ ending $\S 207.2$, from $\quad \div \operatorname{root}$ to live, hence living thing, beust, with. $i$ paragogic $\$ 218$. The article is constantly omitted from when preceded by this archaic or poetic form, perhaps by $\S 247$, though when the ordinary form is used, the phrase is of the earth, i. e. wild beasts. Methegh §45.2. Daghesh forte omitted, and no Daghesh lene in $n \S 25$.
25. ground, so called from the colour of the soil.
26. to be explained as a royal style of speech, nor as associating the angels with God, for they took no part in man's creation, nor a plural of majesty which has no application to verbs, but as one of those indications of the plurality in unity in the divine Being which are repeatedly met with in the Old 'Testament, and which must be regarded as foreshadowingrs of the doctrine of the Trinity $\S 275.3$. a. The future tense expresses the divine determination, we will make $\$ 263.1$, or, as the paragogic future rarely has a distinct form in $\therefore$ verls $\$ 172.3$, and may therefore be regarded as included under the simple future, it may have

 dark, hence shadore, and, as this resembles the object by which it is cast, image, with 1 plur. suf. $\S 220.1, \S 221.5$, and prep. $=$ in $\S 231.1$, the model being conceived of as enclosing the copy, every line of which is
directed by and drawn in the corresponding line of the former. $:$ : to be like, hence likeness, not here distinguishable in its sense from the preceding word, to which it is added for the sake of emphasis, comp. §280. 3. a. That there is no special significance in the change of prepositions appears from their being reversed in the same phrase $5: 3$. i-T: from $\S 275.2$, followed by $=$ which indicates the territory in which the dominion is exercised. $\mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{prep} . \S 231.2$, const. $\S 214.1, \S 216.1$, of the
 hence $f i s h$, from their rapid multiplication.
27. ris might be taken distributively in the sense of the plural $\S 275.6$, but more probably the singular pronoun contains an allusion to the fact that Adam was first created alone, 2:20. : 2 es pronoun referring to both genders put in the masculine $\S 276.3$.
28. to sleak of or in reference to. ? tiz § 84.4, and pronominal suffix § 101; $\tilde{u}$ written defectively $\S 11.1$. a, § 14 .
29. Nh: from mity with a purpose already formed $\S 262.1 . b$. - -izn § $\S 270 . c$.
 to the nearest collective suljeet $\S 276.1$, or taken distributively $\S 275$. 6 , with 3 , be to you, i. e. you shall have it, be for food, i. e. answer for, become.
 tion $\S 254.3$, greenness of herb, i. e. green herb.
31. puast in relation to another past, i. e. pluperfect § 262. 1. -ive primarily a noun, might, then with an adverbial sense, mightily, very $\S 235.3$ (1), follows the word which it qualifies $\S 274.1$. $\quad$; article omitted before the noun $\S$ 249.1. c.

## CIIAPTER II.

 $\S 276.1$, Daghesh forte omitted from ', Sh'va remaining vocal $\S 25$,
 to go forth to wor, masc. pl. suf. referring to nouns of different gender $\S 276.3$. The phrase 'host of heaven' occurs repeatedly both of celestial beings 1 Kin. 22:19 and celestial bodies Deut. $4: 19$. The host of the earth, an expression occurring only in this (ne passage
prior to the derangement of the fall, denotes its inhabitants and all that it contains. They are called 'a host' from their vast numbers and orderly array, and possibly also becanse they are under God's command and fighting against the kingdom of evil.
2. 之ی: ing portion, so that there is no need either of supposing that part of the work of creation was performed on the seventh day, or of changing 'seventh' to 'sixth' to evade the fancied difliculty. הַשְּ ordinal number $\S 227.1$, its position and agreement $\S 251.1, \S 249.1$. 'rasiz

 sense of the pluperfeet $\leqslant 202.1$.
3. $5:-2$ blessed, i. e. conferred upon it special honour and distinction, and made it fruitful of blessing. the noun in a definite phrase $\$ 249.1 . c$, or chse the noun is in the con-
 Ezr. 7: 8. צiva sanctificel, i. e. made sacred, set apart to a sacred use. The natural interpretation of the language is that this was done at the time of creation, and not ages afterwards at the giving of the law upon Sinai. This too agrees with the traces of weeks, Gen. 7: 4, 8:10, 17: $12,50: 10$, and the sacredness of the number seven in the patriarchal age, Gen. 21:28 etc., as well as among several ancient nations, with the observance of the Sabbath in Israel before they came to Sinai, Ex. $16: 23$, etc. and with the exhortation in the fourth commandment to remember the Sabbath day as though it were an institution with which they were already acquainted and not then introluced for the first time.
 created so as to make, i. e. created not in its elements only, but so as to give it its completed form and full accomplishment, or the first verb may qualify the second $\$ 269$. a, made in a creative manner, or by creation.
4. rixu demonstrative \& 73. 1, predicate $\mathcal{S} 259.2$, referring either to what precedes, Gen. $10: 5,20,31,32$, or to what follows, Gen. $10: 1$. This verse may be regarded as a formal conclusion of the foregoing narrative of the ereation, these are the generations, i. e. such was the origin of the heavens and the carth. But it seems better to adopt the Jewish division of the text, and make it the heading of the ensuing section, $2: 4-1: 26$; for (1) A like plrase oceurs eleven times in Genesis, and invariably as the heading of snccessive portions of the book, e. g. $5: 1$, $6: 9,10: 1$ etc. (2) These titles in every other instance introduce an account, not of the ancestry of the persons named in them, but of their descendants and family history ; hence the generations (ח;
const. from soot rity to bring forth § 190. b) of the heavens and the earth record not how they cane into being, but the origin and history of man who sprang from them or was formed out of them. In strictness indeed it was from the earth alone that man was made, but the heavens and the earth form one whole, the common theatre of the history now to be unfolded. For that reason the creation of both was described together, 1:1 etc. and now follow their generations or the further developments upon the scene thus prepared. This first stage of human history embraces a more minute account of the creation and original state of man, $2: 4-25$, as preliminary to ch. 3 , the fall, the sequel of which is ch. 4 , the sundering of the race into two opposite branches, and the initiation of the struggle foreshadowed, $3: 15$, between the seed of the woman and the seed of the serpent.

Exค suf. $\$ 106 . a, \S 276.3$, here representing the subject $\$ 102.3$, in their being created, i. e. when they were created. 'the marginal note is
 the Rabbins explain as a mystic reference ${ }^{\circ}$ to the future diminishing and passing away of the material creation, or as suggestive of the anagram םTּTM in Abraham, for whom, together with his seed, the universe was created, and which some critics have doubtfully conjectured to indicate a reading with $n$ omitted § 91. b. E : m in the day indefinitely for at the time of, Lev. 14:57, 2 Sam. $23: 20$; there is no implication, as some have imagined, at variance with ch. 1 , that the creation occupied but one day. This may be parallel to and explanatory of the preceding Exnm, , comp. Num. $3: 1$, or it may be the beginning of a new sentence which is continued in ver. 5 , comp. $5: 1 . \quad$.
 Jehoval III. § 192. 1, with the vowels of $\operatorname{Lord}$ § 47, from the root
 will unfold or reveal limself hereafter, or he who will be, i. e. who is to come, but, as proper names so formed invariably do, a permanent essential characteristic, he who is, who has existence in its full st and highest, sense, the self-existent and hence eternal and unchangeable, paraphrased Rev. 1:4, 8, 'which is and which was and which is to come,' whence the conclusion has sometimes been erroneonsly drawn that is compounded of the future $n \cdot:$, the participle $n$ and the preterite $\pi$. Hitherto God has been called exclusively eress; from this verse to the end of ch. 3 he is prevailingly called eren and in ch. 4 . This interchange, which is too remarkable to be accidental, and which though less conspicuous is yet perceptible in the rest of Genesis, gave
rise to the critical hypothesis that the sections using different divine names have proceeded from different authors, one being distinguished
 But this hypothesis, notwithstanding the ingenuity with which it has heen constructed, fails to account for the very unusual combination
 Ex. $9: 30$, and but rarely in the rest of the Bible, and also for the frequent occurrence of one divine name in the midst of a section characterized mainly by the other. This shows that the phenomenon in question has not arisen from an uneonscions peculiarity of style in different writers, but is due to the intelligent selection of the appropriate word as determined by the thought and the connection. Although these names are in a multitude of eases used indiscriminately, as 'God' and 'Lorl' are in English, and it would be vain to seek a reason in every case why one is employed rather than the other, there is a real distinction between them, which is sometimes observed. Thus in the opening chapters of Genesis erts, which is the more general name of God, is employed in the acconnt of the ereation ; hut in that of the fall, with its promise of redemption, from which all the subsequent revelations of mercy are unfolded, the covenant name -in? is used, which specialty belongs to him as the fod of revelation and of grace. In the first step of the transition from one to the other both names are combined to indieate their identity; is no other than ress, the God of the covenant is the same with the God of creation. The exceptional use of E-ris in $3: 1.3 .5$ is because the serpent is either speaking or addressed, where the covenant name of God would be as inappropriate as in language uttered by idolatrous Gentiles or directed to them, Jud. 3 : 20 , comp. ver. 28,1 Sam. $4: 7.8,30: 15,1$ Kin. $20: 23$, comp. ver. 28. Again, in 4:25 Eve speaks of erse because she has respect to (God as working in nature, whereas in $4: 1$ it is n-w, who had granted her a pledge of the promised redemption.
$:=0,7-x$ earth, named before hearen, as in but one other passage, P's. $148: 13$, as some suppose, to indicate the order of their formation, 1:9-19, the earth on the third day and the heavenly bodies on the fourth; but more probably because the earth was chiefly concerned in the following narration. This inversion of the aceustomed order imparts to the expression a sort of poetic character, whence the omission of the article $\S 247$.
 olject of nize in ver. 4, comp. Ex. 12:34; so the LXX, Vulg. and Eng. Ver. (2) It may be the subjeet of $\cdots$ and the begiming of a new
sentence, and no § 256. c, shrub of the field was yet in the earth, comp. 1 Sam. 3:3.7. (3) The sentence may begin with airm and ? simply form the connection with this statement of time $\S 287.3$, comp. Gen. $19: 4$, in the diy etc. then no shrub etc. This last construction seems
 peated occurrence in ch. 2 and 3 , and that even in combinations in which $\gamma$ is found in ch. 1, e. g. perverted into an argument for diversity of authorship. The simple explanation is that denotes eurth in contrast with heaven, and lend in contrast with water. But throughont this section there is a tacit opposition between the garden, or the space enclosed for man's primeval habitation, and the open space without, or the field. E-u. followed by the future referring to past time $\$ 263.1 . b$, accent Y'thibh $\S 30.2 .{ }^{4}$ " because assigns a double reason for the non-existence of vegetation at the time spoken of, the lack of rain to prepare the ground for its production, as well as of man for whose use it was designed. The period referred to is before the creation of plants and trees upon the third day, or, in the judgment of others, a vegetation suited to the wants of terrestrial animals may first have been brought into being on the sixth day, prior to their creation and that of man. It is next stated how these two requisites were supplied, in ver. 6 rain, in ver. 7 man. Every thing to the end of this chapter is in the strictest sense preliminary to the history of the fall. Details necessary to acquaint us with the situation, vs. 5-17, and the actors, vs. 18-25, which, though falling within the period covered by chap. 1, would have been unsuited to its majesty and would have marred its symmetry, were reserved for this place. in the sense of the pluperfect $\S 262.1$. $\quad$ : $\S 236$, in the absolute state $\S 258 . b$.
6. יִ: conj. ?, not adversative but, as thongh it introduced a substitute for the missing rain, but copulative and, proceeding to show how
 c, rapour, which rising from the earth was condensed into rain and
 denoting repetition $\$ 263.4$; the following pret. with Vav conv. $\S 100.1$, has a like sense $\S 265$.
7. $\because: \ldots$ from ${ }^{2}$ verb $\S 144.2$, with a donble olject $\S 273.3$. Vav conv. draws back the accent and changes Pattahh to Seghol § 147.5; the postpositive accent $\S 30.1$ is repeated in some editions. In $1: 27$, where the immediate exercise of divine power in the creation of man was to be made prominent, $\times \underset{\sim}{n}$ was employed; here the thought is directed to the material of which he was made, and the proper word is คั, to form, used of a potter moulding vessels of clay.
and $\$$ gutural § 123.1. $\quad$ י
 breather, nose, here in the dual nostrils, with Daghesh-forte $\S 207.2$,
 before its quality $\S 254.6$, which is expressed by the abstract $=n ;-$ ) life $201.1 . a$. ל.... implying a change of state or condition, hence became, see 1: 14. 29.
 that ch. 2 contradicts ch. 1 in making the creation of man prior to that of plants. But (1) the plants and trees here spoken of are those of the garden of Eden, not those of the earth generally. (2) The priority accorded to man, even as respects this garden, lies in the order of thought rather than in the succession of time. To prepare the way for an account of the garden of Eden, the writer, ver. 5 , reverts to the time when there were yet no plants in the earth. As these, and especially the trees of Eden, which he has chiefly in mind, were for the sake of man, he speaks, ver. 7 , of his creation, then, ver. 8 , of planting the garden and putting man in it, then, ver. 9 , in order to reach the idea that it was not only an abode but a place of trial, of the trees which were made to grow there, and finally, ver. 15 , of man's being placed there to dress and to keep it. That this narration, thongh linked throughout by futures with Yav conversive, does not aim at strict chronological succession, is obvious, since the act of placing man in the garden could not both have preceded and followed the production of the trees. And if the succession is that of the association of ideas rather than of ehronology, then the circumstance that the formation of man is named before the planting of the garden, does not prove that it preceded it in actual fact. It is indeed much more natural to assume that the contrary was the real order of occurrence. iz. I. § 180. c, from y $^{2}$ root iss to protect, hence a place protectel, securely fenced about, a garden. in this orthography is appropriated exclusively to Eden, the abode of our first parents. The Eden of later times, 2 Kin. $19: 12$, is $\mathfrak{i} \%$. $=-\frac{1}{6}$. As the Hebrews estimated direction by measuring from the object spoken of and not toward it , as we are accustomed to d , see on r , $1: 7$, their from east is equivalent to our eustuard, i. e. from the rest of the land of Eden, and conserquently in the eastern part of the land. = As $\mathrm{E}_{-}$- also has a temporal sense, antiquity, this verse gave rise to the legend that paradise was older than the world, 2 Esdras $3: 6$.
9. repo Vav conv. compresses Hhirik in 1 liph. fut. to Tsere \& 99. 3 , which in $\because$ gutt. verbs becomes l'attahh $\leqslant 123.2, \$ 126.1$. with simple Sh'va \& 112.5 , the participle expressing not only a constant
experience but a permanent quality, not merely desired but desirable § 266.1. .
 this is often a collective, some have been of opinion that there was not only one but many trees of life and trees of knowledge ; the pronoun referring to the latter, however, is always in the singular, ver. $17,3: 3$, etc. The article is prefixed to the fullowing word $£ 240.3, \S 256$, to render
 $\S 148.2$, and $\zeta$ gutt. verb $\S 123$, receives the article contrary to the general rule § $245.5 . b$, comp. $1 \mathrm{Kin} .10: 19$. : بin are the direct object of the verb, the tree of knowing good and evil. The rbetorical character of the accents is exemplified in the pause made upon the name of this fatal tree and the deliberate manner in which it is to be pronounced, $\geqslant>$ though in the close connection of the construct state having Zakeph Gadhol, and nexn having Tiphhha.
10. . וְיָ I. 2. from to flow, subject preceding the verb in a descriptive clause, see on $1: 2$. §צint time of participle § 266.3.
 or so as to. waters the garden and will be diviled, i. e. is afterwards divided § 263. 5. a. pret. with Vav conv. assimilated in sense to preceding future § 265, with ל be unto, i. e. become, ver. 7. . 1, peculiarity of form $\S 223.2$, and construction $\S 250.2, \S 251$. : $=$ I. 1. § 207. 1. $f$.
11. E one, where we would use the ordinal number, because in a series or enumeration the first is counted one. IV. § 193, from to overflow, like most other objects in antediluvian geography of doubtful if not impossible identification. necessarily making a complete circuit of the land, but going around one
 ending, perhaps from bin sand (root bin to whirl about), the sandy region, Havilah, with the article on account of its original appellative sense §246. 1.a. E $\S 245.5$ (see note $c$ ); for the same reason in ver. 12 reme § 195.1 and : ER ,
 (3), $\S 73.3, \S 47$, with the article $\S 249.2$. ziv predicate fullows the subject in a descriptive sentence $\S 259$. a.
 region settled by the descendants of Cush, the son of Ham, Gen. $10: 6$,
though it is uncertain which portion of that vast territory is here desig. nated by this name.
14. ip-r notwithstanding its seeming diversity is really a modification of the name Tigris by interchanging smooth and middle mutes and
 cast of, when $\mathfrak{\sim}$ 토 Assyria must be restricted to Mesopotamia, or in front of, i. e. between the region where Moses was and Assyria, which will then denote the empire so called exclusive of Mesopotamia. may be used adverbially or may be the direct object of $\overline{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ § 271.2. אי\% copula § 258. 2. : Euphrates, which was too familiar to need further deseription.
 2. न-n mity kal inf. const. with suf. §101.3, no Daghesh lene in $\rightarrow-\frac{S}{-22 . a(1)}$, both the positive and the negative side of his task.
16. command is regarded as something laid upon the man, elsewhere occasionally ly $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}$ or before the person to whom it is directed: more frequently the person commanded is, as in Euglish, the direct object of the verb. The language of the command is introduced by -xak so as to
 missive ${ }_{8}^{2} 263$. 1.
17. ises, and stands, even in adversative clauses, where our idiom requires but $\S 287.1$.

 $\$ 282$; the phrase for the penalty of death in the laws of Moses is modelled after this, though as it was to be executed by man it is varied by the substitution of the Hophal for the Kal, e. g. Ex. $19: 12$, n: nour, he shall be put to death.
18. nim § 177.1, const. before $=\pi \times \pi$ and subject of sentence $\$ 242$. $l$, with a mase. predicate alj. 8.27 .5 .1 , or as infinitives even when they have a fem. ending regularly take a mase. adj. it may be explained as a substitute for the neuter $\$ 196$. a. inger prep. $\}$, th, which when motion is not implied becomes at or in, as in Lat. $\alpha$ t, (ir. cis; root
 :-a: his comterpart, corresponding to him, lit. as over against him.
19. $7 x:: \$ 147.1$ and 4 , see ver. 7 . The alleged discrepancy between this account and ch. 1 in respect to the time and the occasion of creating the inferior animals is purely imaginary. It is not here declared that they were created after man and for the purpose of providing
a help meet for him. To suppose them made for this purpose is to charge God with failure in his first attempts, which the writer surely cannot have intended to do. And the future with Vav conv. does not always imply strict succession of time, see on ver. 8 , also Gen. 12: 1 , comp. 11:32. Especially where two such verbs are connected as here
 to which the first is in fact, though not in form, subordinate, the phrase being really equivalent to 'he brought the animals which he had formed etc.' So Deut. $31: 9$, 'And Moses wrote this law and delivered it,' can only mean 'he delivered this law which he had written.' $x=?: ?$ $3, \S 166.4$, object omitted because sufficiently plain from the connection,
 ceding past, would call § 263.1, government see on $\times$ wr: $1: 5$. ל sing. in distributive sense $\S 275.6$, masc. $\S 276.3$. ${ }^{2} \xi:$ in apposition with is $\S 253.1$. $x:$ copula, for which our illiom requires the substantive verb was §258.2.
20. § 246. 1 .
21. " $3_{3}$ ! $\S 129.1, \S 90.3$, that which is divinely sent is represented as descending from above. sleep denotes a deep and in most instances a supernatural sleep. rix §223. 1. a. : : 3 f. s. suf. with a epenthetic, a form used mostly with verbs $\S 238.1 . b, \$ 101.2, \$ 105 . b$, under, then in place of, in. stead of.
22. $b$, root $亡 \dot{Z}$ ș to be feeble, sickly, the proper terms for the male and fcmale of the human species, while $\begin{aligned} \text { tiver } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { are common to them with other }\end{aligned}$ animals, and are therefore used, $1: 27$, when man is contemplated as the head of the animate creation. $-:=1$ § $153.1, \S 157.3, \S 160.3$.
23. צix demonstrative § 73. 1. transferred to marking time. from שֻ̣ like vir, dún, denotes a man as distinguished from a woman, or in the married relation a husband as opposed to the wife; $\mathrm{E}_{\substack{ \\\text { N }}}$ like homo, ${ }_{u} \nu .9 \rho \omega \pi o s$, is the generic name embracing both sexes, and is used, particularly with the article, in a collective sense of mankind, or individually of $A$ dum, the progenitor of the race. When contrasted with each other,
 par excellence, one distinguished by manly qualities or high position. Both may have the sense of indefinite pronouns, a man, i. e. some one, any one, each. $\quad$ Trperthegh § 45. 2, compound Sh'va § 16.3.b, Daghesh forte omitted § 25 .
24. The language of Adam continued, or a remark of the writer. iz-iz upon, or, since the effect rests upon its cause, because of, on ac-
 § 220. 1. c. Eニン: clecre, followed by $=$ in denoting combination, in union with, elsewhere by bor $3:$ to, or in the sense of ardent pursuit by $\cdots$ ajter.
 which would require the insertion of the prep. © , ecomp.
 but as this verb has a different sense, it is perhaps better to regard it as a shortened form of $E$ g. 1 . from $9: y$ to be naked § 193. c. The marginal note is $\overline{7}$ קוגב
 from the preceding, were not ashamed in consequence § 263.5. a.

## CIIAPTER III.

 stands first in a descriptive sentence. $=\mathfrak{O}$ predicate $\S 259.2$, superlative $\$ 260.2$ (1). -5 also that as an exclamation, It is then true also that God has said! or as a question, though without the usual sign of interrogation $\S 284$, Is it true also that etc.? The acecssion implied in $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}$ is to whatever would be naturally understood. In addition to everything else has he also or
 lated not from any $\S 256$. c, as though the tempter purposely exaggerated the rigour of the prohibition; this is the usual sense when to is indefinite, but as it is here definite by construction it seems better to real not from all, i. e. from a part only, comp. Num. 23:13.
2. :

 lowed by $\because$ (as are also other verbs of sense, e. g. smell, hearing, and sight, though verbs of sight are modified in meaning by this construction, see on $1: 4$ ), indicating the intimate conjunction of the sense with its object; or if the prep. be taken in the fartitive sense which it likewise lias, it will resemble the Greek construction of this same class of verbs with the partitive genitive, 'to touch in a thing', i. e. somewhere within its entire extent as opposed to uniform contact throughout. The verb is also sometimes followed by is to, ? unto, Z , upon, or by the direct olject \$ 272.2. : the rejection of Kamets $\$ 157.3$.
4. nipprominent by being prefixed to the whole phrase, instead of standing in its customary place between the infinitive and the finite verb.
 with $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{m}$
 agree with the preceding noun $\S 275.3$. $a$, or better perhaps with the subject of the sentence $\S 276.3$, const. before its object $\S 254.9 . b$.
6. delight. $\mathfrak{\gamma}$ Eng. Ver. to make wise. $\because \because-5$ 221. 5. c.
7. abst. noun for adj. naked $\$ 254$. 6. a.

 gird.
 ver. 3 ; with $;$ or 3 it means to hearken to. $; j_{i}$ the kindred verb is obsolete in Heb. though in use in Arabic, to say, here not voice but sound,
 Fr. se promener) being without the article is a predicate and not a qualifying participle §259.2. It was consequently the noise of the walking which was heard, not the voice of God as he was walking. The subject
 just as it might be to alone § $\S$ 273. 4.
 بיר from the face or presence of, generally used before persons as before things.
9. : r-p § § 236. 3, § 104. b, § 105. b.
10. reflexive § 77. 2.
11. fure (prep. quently the direct object of the person. - $\S$ 亿 230.1 . . . . relative governed by $\ddagger 844, \S 285.1$. lowed by the direct object of the person, more rarely by upon, unto, or $>$ to, the command being conceived of as laid upon a person or directed to him. . §61. 6. $a$, to the obsolete rem from to waste avay, be reduced to nothing ; this is always used instead of $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{ }$ before the infin. with 3 .
12.
of the prep. E? occurs only before 1 sing. suffix. אחֵ § 71. a (3), § 281 thex, § 111.2.b.
14. - - , prep.
 The posture to which the serpent is doomed and his eating dust, not as his proper food, comp. Ps. 102:10, but as an ineidental consequence of his prostrate form, is a standing type of the humiliation and doom of Satan the real seducer. $r$ from $\mathbf{E}$ ' § 20i. 1. $f$.
 wicked men, Mat. 13:38, John 8:44. .in her seed, i. e. in its widest sense, mankind limited only by the opposition just suggested in the 'seed of the serpent,' the defection of those who attach thenselves to the party of the enemy. The tempted, ruined race shall trample under foot its enemy and seducer. So the apostle Paul applies it, Rom. 16 : 20. At the same time this victory is really achieved by One from amongst mankind, the Messiah, and the expressions are so framed as to be specially applicable to him. His birth of a virgin makes him peenliarly the seed of the woman, while the singular pronoun $\mathrm{k}: \mathrm{a}$ and his being said to bruise or crush Satan himsolf $7 \mathbb{F} \cdot t$, rather than his seed, gives it the appearance of a personal and individual conflict. That olject indicating the part affected $\$ 271.4, \$ 273.2$.
16.
 as if for the sorrow of thy conception; the meaning is thy sorrow and especially thy conception considered as a painful suffering conditivn.


 struction with $\geq$, see on 1:18.
17. c . $=1$, here, as $2: 20$, a proper name, and therefore without the article $\S 246.1$. The on thy account. The origin of this sense has been variously accounted for; perhaps the simplest explanation is
 which passez out of something else, its 'product' or 'consequence'; as a noun it is used of the produce of the earth; as a preposition, in which case it is always compounded with $\mathbf{3}$, it means in consequence of, on account of ; pause accent § 65. (3). . $\quad$ ? Nun epenthetic § 101. 2, eat il, i. e. the fruit of it, comp. Isa. 1:7.
18. Fip? thorn from ipp to cut, on account of its pricking or cutting.

 shifted by Vav conversive $\$ 33.4, \S 100$. a, eat the herb of the field, in contrast with the trees of the garden.
19. I. f. from rom rix to flow \& 181. $b$, Tsere retained in the const. § 216.1. $b$; the prep. $\geq$ expresses the condition in which he should eat bread, a condition induced, as is implied, by the toil necessary to procure it; or it may be used, as it often is, to denote the price, the thing purchased being regarded as contained in that which is paid as its equivalent, in return for or at the cost of the sweat, etc. 祘, pri-

 must return to the ground ; the second $\because$ is coordinate with the first, stating the same reason but with greater fulness.
20. א root dispenser of life; כִי assigns the reason, because through her, as appeared from ver. 16 , the race was to be preserved from extinction.
 § 276. 3.
22. -reve construct though followed by a prep. § 255.1 ; not an ironical reference to the language of the tempter, ver. 5, but an assertion of its truth, though in a very different sense from that in which he
 take, as he has done, of the forbidden tree, but also etc. § 39. 4. The concluding sentence of this verse is incomplete: it is broken off by an abrupt change from word to deed, and instead of a mere declaration of the divine purpose the actual expulsion of man from Eden follows.
23. : ب- . . . 7 § 74.
24. Shekinah, the name given by the later Jews to the symbol of the divine residence in the tabernacle and the temple. 5 , see on $1: 7$, $2: 8$. jective fluming sword § 254. 6. a, from לְה to burn. $=-\frac{\square}{\top}$ to be waste or lay waste. $\overline{-7}$ ?. const. § 254. 9. a.

## Chapter XxxViI.

1. בing fut. with Vav conv. continues the narration § 99. 1, though the succession thus indicated is not always that of time or of actual occurrence, see on $2: 8$. 19. The writer here resumes the direct narrative
$35: 27$, from which he had diverged to introduce Isaac's death; $35: 28$. 29, and what he thought it necessary to say about Esau's line, ch. $\mathbf{3 6}$. Having thus dismissed them from the history, he can now pursue uninterruptedly his account of Jacob's family. In like manner the history of Isaac's family, $25: 19$, follows the record of Abraham's death, $25: 7$, and of Ishmael's line, $25: 12$; and Shem's descendants, $11: 10$, succeed those of Noab's other sons, $10: 1$. The statement of this verse accordingly, though not posterior in time to what immediately precedes, is so in the order of uarration to which the writer steadfastly adheres throughout the brok, the direct line of descent of the chosen seed being postponed until the lateral branches lave first been traced. $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{s} \mathrm{y}$ § 246.3.品
 count of his descendants. This is the uniform style of the titles prefixed to the different sections of Genesis, see on 2:4. It appears to have been selected on account of the prevailingly genealogical character of the history, the genealogies constituting, as it were, the frame work of which the narrative is the filling up. Jacob is henceforth contemplated not as an individual but as a patriarch, the father and head of a family, whose fortunes are interwover with his own. -in \$215.1.b. Terms of natural relationship are extensively employed in Hebrew to express various kinds of relation or dependence § 25.4.6.a. Time is viewed as the parent of that which is produced within it, and a person or thing as the offispring of the time during which he or it has existed. The ordinary mode of stating the age is that here employed, son of seventeen years, i. e. seventeen years old. The chronological difficulty, which some have fancied here, is purely imaginary, and has arisen from neglecting to observe that though the writer in pursuance of his plan (see on ver. 1) has recorded the death of Isaac, $35: 29$, before procceding to the history of Jacob's family, that event did not take place for several years after the occurrences now to be related. $\underset{F}{F}$, in the singular after seventeen § 251.2.a. descriptive clause. זר. This verb is often followed by ne, the sign of the direct object. If that is the case here it must be read superintending his brothers (who were) with the flock. But as it would more naturally have $;$ s. for its object, and it is sometimes elsewhere construed with $\underset{y}{3}, \pi \times$ is doubtless the preposition with, and it is to be rendered feeding or lending the flock with his brothers int for from mex § 63. 1. $a, \S 207.2$. b. The preposition $x$ will then indicate the sphere within which the action of the verb was exercised, lit. acting as shepherd in the flock.
from
 the noun is consequently indefinite, and no article is required by § 249. 1. b, an evil report respecting them. Corp. Num. 14:37.
 one possessed of the qualities which usually accompany age, as wisdom and the like, but one born when his father was old, comp. 21:2.7, 44 : 20, and the similar expression wife of thy youth; Prov. 5:18. The expression is indefinite, and the construct relation paraphrased by the following is § 257 , perhaps because he was not the only son born to him
 $\chi^{\iota \tau} \hat{\omega} v a$ тoккídov, Vulg. tunicam polymitam. Eng. Ver. coat of many colours. This explanation is based on the assumption that $\mathbf{b}$ opeans picces: a 'coat of pieces' might then mean one of patchwork, or of pieces of various colours stitched together, or perlaps one so embroidered as to present the appearance of being so composed. In 2 Sam. 13:18. 19, the only other passage in which the expression occurs, it is rendered by the LXX $\chi^{\iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ к а \rho \pi \omega т o ́ s, ~ a n d ~ b y ~ t h e ~ V u l g a t e ~ t u n i c a ~ t a l a r i s . ~ I n ~ c o n-~}$ formity with this the latest and best authorities understand by exo extremities; a tunic or undergarment of extremities is one reaching to the wrists and ankles in contrast with those in ordinary use, which were without sleeves and extended only to the knees.
 is commonly followed by 3 , , לֻ to or E (prep.) with, but here and in Num. 26:3 by the direct object of the person addressed. Ebebt in peace, peaceably, comp. on design.
2. $\S 145.1$, added again to hate, i. e. hated yet more $\S 269$. a. lt is characteristic of Hebrew narrative that upon the first mention of Joseph's dreams the result of his telling them to his brethren is stated, before a more detailed account is given of them ; after which the resulting hatred or jealousy of his bretbren is mentioned again, vs. 8.11. See an instance of the same sort, $2: 8$, comp. ver. 15 . No critic, even of those most disposed to discredit the original unity of Genesis, has ventured to impute this repetition to an intermingling of different narratives, and to ascribe vs. 6-11 to a writer distinct from the author of ver. 5 . And yet this would be as reasonable as the like charges based on similar repetitions occurring elsewhere.
 § 34, rose up in contrast with
subsequent to the preceding § 263.5.a, afterward encircled it. It is better to give this word its proper verbal force than to regard it as simply qualifying the verb which follows, prostrated themselves around. juran: § 82. 5, § $176.1, \S 88$ ( 2 and 3 f. pl.).
3. नind emphatic infin. § 282, followed, as is usual, by over, since the monarch is over his subjects or exalted above them; more rarely by $y_{1}$ in, to define the sphere in which the sway is exercised, sinee the lueal use of the prep. to designate the royal residence, e. g. reign in Jerusulem etc., makes this ambiguous. On the other hand bev to rule, is commonly followed by $\geq i n$, and rarely by 2 over, see on $1: 18$. Ex... - § 283.2 shalt thou reign as king or even rule in any way? "rin, plur. used indefinitely, his dreams, though only one had been aetually related, it being regarded by his envious brothers as a representative rather than an individual fact, comp. $8: 4,21: 7,46: 2$, Judg. $12: 7,1$ Sam. $17: 43$, Neh. $6: 2$, also Mat. $2: 20,27: 44$, where an apparent diserepancy with Luke $23: 39$ is thus reconciled.
4. the direct object of the thing narrated, though in rare instances this is preceded by $\boldsymbol{i z}$ concerning or ix in reference to, rarely followed by the direct object, commonly as here like other verbs expressivo of hostility by $\geq$, the hostility being conceived of as not only directed towards its object, but reaching and penetrating it. It expresses more, therefore, than our preposition $a t$, which may be used in like connections, e. g. 'to scold at.' Tere?, Leah, or in the julgment of others, Bilhah ver. 2, or Joseph's own mother, Rachel, who was already dead, $35: 19$, but is here mentioned by Jacob for that very reason, to make the ap-


 frequently by the direct object or by $\geqslant 10$, i. e. envious in reference to. res., Vav in a disjunctive sense § 287. 1 ; the noun on account of this implied contrast is put emphatically before the verb and receives a disjunctive accent.
5. -ris. The marginat note is rx . cording to the Masora such extraordinary puints, \& 4. $a$, oceur ten times in the books of Moses, four times in the I'rophets, and once in the Hagiographa. The Rabbins explain it by saying that, though pretending to feed their father's flocks, they were in reality feeding their own spleen against their brother. It may possibly have been designed to call attention to the construction: $\underset{\sim}{n}$ and $\equiv$ follow $n_{i}^{2}$ here as in ver. 2, but with a different sense.
 repe.ted change of subject, it is omitted from this and the following verbs, because it can create no real embarrassinent § 243.1.
6. and is: before the person to whom or place to which it is brought. Here the person is regarded as the remote object $\S 273$. 3. a, bring me back word, instead of to me.
7. : 2, uhat art thou seeking?
8. gives confirmation. צֵּ § 273. 4.
 Mn R 80.1 , made themselves subtle or deceitful, i. e. acted deceitfully, here with a direct object, elsewhere with $n$, see on ver. 10 . : $\S 160.4$.
9. -8 -
10. 


21. 5 Ent out of their hand, i. e. from their power or threatened violence. It is on account of this derived sense of the phrase that the
 3 , § 101. 2, the verb followed by a double object $\S 271$. 4, we will not, or let us not smite him as to life, i. e. kill him.
22. - - with the apoc. fut., which here does not differ from its simple form $\S 97.2 . l$, is equivalent to a negative imperative, shed not.
23. - § 285. 2, according to the time that, i. e. when. T: § 287. 8, § 273. 2.
24.

28. as Ishmaelites, ver. 25 , as Midianites, and as Medanites, ver. 36 ; for though these were, strictly speaking, distinct tribes, yet from their close affinity in origin, $25: 2.12$, and character, the names might in a general sense be used promiscuously. The fact appears to be that both here and Judg. 8:24, comp. vs. 22. 26, Ishmaelite is a generic term, embracing not only the proper descendants of Ishmael, but other Arab tribes, just as Hellenes was used to designate the Greeks generally, though properly denoting one subdivision of them. Or each of these three tribes may in actual fact have been represented in this company of travelling merchants. Upon this interchange of names, which is so readily explicable, certain critics have based the assertion that two contradictory narratives are here blended. One is represented in vs. 25-27 and in
ver. 28 from in ver. 36. According to the first Joseph's brethren sold him to the Ishmaelites, who carried him down to Egypt. According to the second certain Midianite merchants, aceidentally discovering him in the pit where his brethren had left hiin to perish, drew him out and sold him into Egypt. But, 1. This is founded on a false theory of the constitution of the Pentateuch, as though it were not one continuous composition, but made up of detached portions from different pens. 2. It gratuitously impugns its credibility, as though it were a record of conflicting traditions instead of a consistent and well accredited history. 3. It is merely an ingenious cross-reading, made out by dividing one sentence and dislocating others. 4. It is apparent that the writer, or, if the critics please, the compiler saw no inconsistency in the different parts of what he has presented as one connected narrative; neither was such an inconsistency suspected by any of his readers until very recently. The mention of Joseph's being taken down to Egypt both at the beginning and close of the paragraph, vs. 28.36 (comp. on ver. 5), and again 39 : 1, where the subject is resumed after a digression (comp. $37: 1$ with $35: 27$ ) lends no countenance to this critical hypothesis.
: ianites but the brethren of Joseph, $45: 5$, see on ver. 13. 5 , the prep. denotes the price, see on $3: 19$. לקֶׁi shekels, which was the current standard of value, is to be supplied \$ 251.2 . $c$, with which 95: is in apposition $\S 253.2$; for the price, comp. Ex. 21:32, Lev. 27:5.
32. - בַמֶּר §

 the exclanation is admirably suited to the oceasion. $\quad$ O
34. . We would say upon his loins, and the Heb. might use §§, Jer. $13: 1,48: 37$; but here it has 3 in, denoting intimate conjunction, i. e. in contact with, sce on $3: 3$. $=$ ssn: $\S 80$. 1 , used chiefly in prose, the Kal being more usual in poetry, followed by $\because=$ over, since the common attitude of mourners is that of bending over the object of their grief, or the prep. may mean on account of, the effect being conceired as resting upon its cause, the grief resting upon its source, see $2: 24$. It sometimes takes $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ in reference to.
35. ${ }^{*}$ 上 3, § 102.3. vanne § $80 . a(2)=$ might be explicative, that, which commonly introduces an indirect citation, but is occasionally used even before a direct eitation ; but it is better to regard it as confirmatory, for, refering
to the implied language of his refusal, ' I will not be comforted, for, ete.' or but, to which is often equivalent after a negative clause. the continued and conscious existence of the dead is implied. : : § 172. 4, followed here by the direct object, elsewhere by ${ }^{2}$ over, \} or לאֶ in reference to, for.

## CIIAPTER XXXIX.

1.     -         - § $\S 265$, was brought down, not only because there was an actual descent in leaving Palestine southward, but because of the moral elevation attaching to it as the centre of religious hopes and aspirations. Hence men are said to 'go up' to Palestine and to Jerusalem from all directions, Zech. 14:16—19, et passim.
2. $\cdot \mathfrak{r l a}$ :? The name Jehovah occurs significantly here and in a like connection in vs. 3. 5. 21. 23. God's favour to Joseph was not a mere providential benefit to him, but belonged to Jehoval's plan of grace and his merviful dealings with his covenant people. After this chapter חיְ: speaks to the wife of Potiphar, ver. 9, to the servants of Pharaoh, $40: 8$, to Pharaoh himself, $41: 16-32$, or to his brethren or they to him while they thought him an Egyptian, $42: 18,43: 29,44: 16$, or when Pharaoh speaks, $41: 38.30$, or the steward of Joseph's house, $43: 23$, the more general name אֵלחּים is the appropriate one. So in other cases, where the thought is principally of God as ruling in providence, $42: 28$, or a contrast is implied between what man devised and God appointed, 45:5. See on 2:4. 2. tian master.
3. $n$ n-uׁun plain from the connection $\S 243$. 1, see $37: 13.28$, ellipsis of the relative, comp. ver. $5 ; \S 285.3$.
 his elevation above what was thus subjected to him. - ְִin all, this was the seat of the blessing.
4. ins suf. refers not to Potiphar, he knew not anything with him, i. e. which was in his own possession, but to Joseph, comp. ver. 8, and is not dependent on in Joseph's charge, but, as the order of the words requires, on $\operatorname{zir}$ did no4 know with him, i. e. Joseph had not only the entire charge but the exclusive knowledge of everything; his master shared with him only the knowledge of what came upon his table. - $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}$ § 254. 10.

5. $\because \& 263.4$, he, i. e. my master, is not; the rendering 'there is none greater, etc.' would require $\mathfrak{r}$ without the suffix, comp. $40: 8$, 41:8. $\quad$. $\quad$ for if, which after a negative clause (see on $37: 35$ ) is equivalent to but if or but when, i. e. except. Tsy in that. i. e. because, the effect being regarded as involved in its cause.
 of the offence.
 strictly expressing the resemblance or identity between the time of her speaking and his not hearkening, and thus receiving the temporal sense

6. 5 ETE § 231. 5. $a$, since the particle of comparison may express not only identity but a resemblance more or less remote, it is applied to measures of time, space or quantity, not only to indicate exactness af, but a more general correspondence about, comp. Gr. $\dot{\varsigma}, \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$. ; ; prep. partitive.
7. $-:-3$ 22. $b, \S 221.5$. $a$, the prep. may be taken in its original local sense as designating the part immediately affected by the seizure, in his garment, or, which is more natural in English, it may indicate the means, in which the action is regarded as involved, since that alone rendered it possible, by his garment.
 1:14, which is here inferred from the result, comp. Ex. $17: 3$, Lev. 20 : 3. $:=\S 34$, according to the turn given to the preceding verb the prep. may, as after verbs of hostility, see on $37: 10$, indicate the object at which the laughter is directed, and in which it rests, mock at us, or it may denote conjunction, see on $3: 3,37: 34$, sport with $u s . \quad:=$, the prep. may denote the cause, means, or as here the instrument, since anything may be regarded as residing in that, by, through or with "hich it is effected.
8. -4 §
9. rens
10. prist might be dependent on $5 \times-\quad \$ 160.2$, see ver. 14 , but is more probably to be connected with $x \underline{=}$.
 the future and Var conversive
11. 

 for which the K'ri substitutes $\gamma \underset{\sim}{x}$, the usual form of the noun, whereas


21． graciously wrought favour toward him，in the mind of the keeper： ： the prison，while Joseph＇s master，the captain of the guard，held the supreme direction of its affairs，comp． $40: 4$.

## CIIAPTER XL．

1．חֵּ fut．with Vav conv．might have been used，comp．39：7， §287．3，with prep．ל，comp．39：9．
 whom the anger is directed；it might also have $3 \times$ in respect to ；our idiom requires at． § 210．a．

3． $\mathfrak{E}$ TR \＆ 255.2 ，comp． $39: 20$.
 but put him in charge with them，associated him with them to have the care of their necessities，to wait upon them，as is immediately added． According to the analogy of oriental courts these were officers of high rank，and Potiphar assigns them his servant as their attendant．Eex， § 274．2．a．

7．：ニテー표 § 245．3．b．
8． $\mathfrak{i}$ ，the absolute form is only used when it is the last word in its clause § 258．$b$ ．

9．－ח？§ § 287． 3.
10． comparison，as if it were blossoming，i．e．it seemed to blossom，or time it was about blossoming，see on $39: 10$ ．11．Frive，construed transitively with the result of the action，went up，i．e．grew，put forth its flowers
 a single flower． transitive construction with the result of the action，ripened into grapes． remex § 183．c，§ 210．e，§ 216．1．c．It has been objected to the truth and the antiquity of this narrative that，according to Herodotus，
 and according to Plutarch，De Is．et Osir．6，wine was not in use there
 $\tau \in \rho o v \delta^{\prime}$ о ${ }^{\prime} \kappa{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi(v o v$ oivov）．But Herodotus is only speaking of the region
 and even in regard to this his language must be taken with some limita－
tions．See Rawlinson＇s Herodotus，II．p．108．Plutarch is speaking of the free indulgence in wine by kings and priests as introduced by Psammetichus in place of the restricted use which prevailed before． The monuments of Egypt show incontestably that wine was used and the grape cultivated there in the earliest periods by their delineations of the vintage，the winepress，vessels for drinking and for holding wine， and even persons in a state of intoxication．

13． time，as in 30：20 of place，when，the time in which．

14．קָּ，see on $39: 9$ ，but if thou hast remembered me，etc． with the implication as I trust thou wilt have done syory then do kind－ ness with me，I pray thee $\S 287$ ．2，or if may be equivalent to $O$ if，and express a wish，but if thou wouldst remember me，etc．and wouldst do，etc． Literally，wouldst have remembered，the pret．§ 262．1，denoting a past in relation to the fut．？？§ 835.1 ；this tense is used here because the act of memory at that time implies that he had been remembered during the entire preceding period，comp．the use of memini as a present in Latin．The following verbs זי゙ּ etc．as they follow the future obtain a future sense from Vav conv． $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ § $65 . a$ ．$\because$ ，see on $3: 12$ ．
 accent is remarked in the marginal note $\S 32$ ．

16．ニi well not correctly，for this could not yet be known，but ac－ ceptably．： y Herodotus， 2.35 ，states it as one of the customs in which the Egyptians differ from the rest of the world，that the men carry burdens upon their heads and the women upon their shoulders．

20．r－§ 150．5，day of Pharaoh＇s bing born，the subject of the passive verb receiving $r$ ，since it is really the object of the action $\S 271$ ．

23．：・ーンシ：，Vav in an adversative sense．

## CHAPTER XLI．

1．Hem from，denoting separation in point of time，i．e．after the end
 in apposition with the material $\$ 2.53 .2$ ．－iv over，because a person standing on the bank is above the surface of the river；the English idiom requires by．：ר．．The number of Egyptian words occurring in this chapter affords an incidental proof of its genuineness and truth．

2．$\pi$ 亿⿻上丨龰
agriculture，and is here represented as coming up from the Nile，which was the source of Egypt＇s fertility．nig？§ 254． 10.

3．ne tip，then edge or brink § 3．1．a．nign § 216．1．a（1）．
4． ceding adjectives agree in definiteness with the noun to which they belong $\S 249.1 . a$ ；the adj．themselves could not receive the art．，being in the const．§ 256． 25 ．
 stulk，because forming part of it，in intimate union and conjunction with it，see on 3：3．
 desert．Observe that $a$ is a radical，not the plural ending．
 －א צ צ ently，according as the dream is contemplated as one or two．

9．
10．－－y ジi
11．
12． according to the dream of each．The construction of $w$ ，when used as an indefinite pronoun，and standing in a possessive relation to nouns， often follows the analogy of the relative $\S \begin{gathered}\text { 285．} \\ \text { 28，comp．} 9: 5,15 \text { ：}\end{gathered}$ 10，Num． $26: 54$ ；though it may also preserve its usual construction as a noun，comp． $42: 35$.

13．20 according to that which，i．c．as § 285．2． the subject is not Joseph，as though he were said to do what he pre－ dieted，but Pharaoh，who though addressed is reverentially spoken of in the third person，comp．ver．10．Examples of a like change of subject abound，see on $37: 13.28$.
 might have been expected，as in English we can say he shaved，for he shaved himself．Another correspondence with Egyptian customs，which are here the reverse of the Hebrew．The Egyptians were ordinarily shaven，only suffering their beards and hair to grow in token of grief， Herod．2．36，whereas to be shaven was a token of grief among the Hebrews，Isa． $15: 2, A \operatorname{mos} 8: 10$ ．

15．ザッドン respecting thee，lit．＇upon thee，＇the discourse being founded


16． 2 刻 not to me belongs this faculty of interpreting dreams．
21． 3 f．pl．suf．with appended vowel $n, ~ § 220$ ．1．$b$ ，which occasions the change of the preceding Kainets to Seghol．Comp．$\$ 63$ ． 1．$b$ and $\epsilon, \S 219.1 . b$ ．
shown by the adj． y －；the suf．in is occasionally though rarely attached to singular nouns \＆220．1． b ．

24． 誛二ワ：§88（2 and $3 \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{pl}$ ）．
25．א
26．
28．
 § 253． 2.

31．بִּ：from the face of，or from before，i．e．by reason of，the ef－ fect being regarded as proceeding from the canse．
32.3 in respect to，lit．upon the subject of，see on ver． 15. this was done because etc．$\S$ 153． 3.

33．$£ 171.1, \S 35.2$ ，some editions have Tsere in the ultimate，
 § 157.3 ，receives a jussive sense from its connection with $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{I}$ ．

34．－ shown by its association with the preceding and following apoc．futures． －

35．Sx：？aud they shall gather，or that they may gather § 263． 1. rissi S 249．2．

38．אy：§ 230.1 ，Kal fut．though the form might also be found
 $=-$ es is not a proper but a common noun，and the expression is con－ sequently indefinite，a divine spirit，lit．a spirit of divinity．

39．אیnetains its strict temporal sense，after God had taught him this，he had a superiority to others which he did not possess before． ת § 267．b，followed by a double object，§ 273．1．：

40． token of fidelity and homage．But it is objected to this that the kiss of fealty was upon the hand or the foot，not upon the mouth，and that this verb meaning to kiss is never construed with by $^{2}$ ，but either with the direct object or with ？before the person to whom the kiss is given． Consequently others translate，according to thy bidling all my people shall dispose themsclees，the primary notion of the verb being assumed to be to adjust or dispose，from which both its other senses to hiss and to arm are derived．The preposition by obtains the meaning according to from the conception that when one thing lies in every part precisely upon another，it is conformable to it．The mouth，as the organ of speech，is here put，as it frequently is，for speech itself，or for command． K

42． king＇s signet－ring，the possession of which gave authority to act in the
 chain of gold customary as a mark of distinetion $\S 245.3$ ．

43． the sccond order，or the sccond chariot，i．e．the one immediately follow－ ing that of the monarch in state processions．یַּבֵ，properly an Egyp－ tian word，though assimilated in its orthography to abs．inf．Hiph．of TVT which has a kindred sense § 94．b，see Gesen．Lex，fir；properly expresses the abstract idea of the verb，but when it continues a narra－ tion it is modified in sense by the tense，number and person of the pre－ ceding principal verb，here by was a setting him over，etc．＇

44．Pharaoh，i．e．the king，as the word signifies in Coptic． וגי and（our idiom requires or $\S 287$ ）his foot，i．e．to take a step．The expression is a proverbial one．

46． § 22．a（1），§ 101．3，in his standing，i．e．when he stood．NE： sumption after the intervening mention of Joseph＇s age，of the statement at the close of the preceding verse with a view to continue the nerrative， see on $37: 5$ ．
 prep．properly signifies according to，see on iarct $1: 11$ ．

48．シーシーシコール § 270．c．49．
50． calls attention to the fact that the vowel of the ultimate is prolonged， though without a pause accent $\S 65 . b$ ，whence some bave unnecessarily inferred that it is an abbreviated participle § 53．2．$a$, § 93．$e$. א § 157．3，§ 263．1．b．

51． noun，whose etymology is to be explained ；the direct is substituted for the indirect quotation．
 § 62．2．$b$ ．

55．$\because$ § 151．1，§ 197．d，§ 275．2．b．

57． body came．＇General terms are necessarily limited by their application and the connection in which they are found．People came from all
quarters, not from the whole earth in its widest sense, of course, but from the entire region which under such circumstances would naturally look to Egypt for supplies. So ver. 54, all the lands, must mean the countries adjacent to Egypt, and with which the Hebrews were most familiar.

## CIIAPTER XLII.



4.
6. א: § § 30.2 , § 258. 2. -274. 2. e.
7. ni=p fem. in sense of neuter § 190. a.
9. $\begin{gathered}\text { Ti? }\end{gathered}$ in reference to, respecting them.

11. was presumptive evidence that they were peaceful traders and not a band of adventurers or emissaries. are not now acting as spies § 266. 3. a.
12. - جִ for which after a negative is equivalent to $b u t, 37: 35,39: 9$.
葹 § 260.2 (2), comp. minimus natu. remaining one \& 245. 3.
15. nimbly this, see on 39:12.14. § 215. 1. d, uttered as an exclamation, the life of Pharaoh! a formula of swearing, which in our idiom would require the preposition 'by.' -ex if, which in an oath obtains a negative sense. The complete form of the oath would be, 'God do so to me and more also if you shall go forth,' i. e. I swear that you shall not, etc., 1 Sam. $3: 17$. - שִ? except by the coming, see on 39:9. : ת § \$ 235. 3 (4).
 of the emphasis of the contrast with rexen § 230. 3, indirect question § 283. 1; § 205. b. $\overbrace{3}$ that, depending on the preceding form of oath, as if it were, 'I' swear thet.'

18.

19. contrary to the ordinary rule $\S 246.2$, is here indefinite, one of your
brethren, lit. 'one your brother.' Comp. ver. 33 הּאָּד, after a selection
 object $\S 254.9$, grain for the fumine, the latter being the object for

20.: a brief reference to a nother subject, related in detail from ver. 26 onward. See on 37:5.

 § 216.1. $a(1)$. .
 ing that wherein the sin is committed, in the matter of the boy; or the prep. may be used, as elsewhere after verbs of hostility, to indicate the object reached, and as it were penetrated by it, sin against the boy, see on $37: 10$.
23. $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ 20 the interpreter, usual and necessary in the intercourse of Egyptians with foreigners §245. 3. : : singular form of this preposition is used with singular suffixes (except twice in the K'ri, Josh. 3:4, 8:11), and the plural form with plural suffixes, the fem. plural being mostly preferred when the suffix embraces both the objects the interval between which is spoken of, and the other forms when the objects are separately stated, and the preposition repeated before each. Comp. Gen. 26:28. Before nouns the singular form of the prep. is employed except in one passage, Ezek. $10: 2.6 .7$.
 elerated seat above them ; or as one projects over that beside which he stands, the idea of superior elevation may be merged, as it often is, in that of contiguity, and the meaning be from by or from beside them. : $=$ hence in their eyes or their sight.
25. איִּ Raphe $\S 27$, with an indefinite subject $\S 243.2$, and a doulle object § 271. 1, § 273. 3, and they filled. 2 2, 2 , dependent upon he commanded to restorc. The change of construction may have arisen from this order being addressed to a different person from those who fulfilled the preceding : and this may be still further intimated by the change of number in $=:-2$ to the
 in distributive apposition to the preceding suffix, see on ver. 21, their money, viz. that of each; or, after the analogy of the relative, it may be connected with the suffix in tive into the suck of each, see on 41:12. חתּ? §

27． $\operatorname{con}^{7}$ the one，distinguished as such in an enumeration，is of course the first，so that the cardinal is here practically equivalent to an ordinal． It is not here added that the others made the same discovery，but we learn from 43：21 that they did：and this is not inconsistent with ver． 35．$\quad 5=215.2 . b$ ．

28．：－ーラ：§ 2．2．a（2），with they trembled unto，i．e．turned trem－


29．
30．ins：he gave，made，i．e．regarded and treated us as，ete．
33． were to say，take the need of your houses，i．e．what is necessary to sup－ ply it，comp．ver． 19.

 on 41： 12.

36．$u$ ． § 220．1．$b$（3 pers．）．

37．

## CIIAPTER XLIII．

2． 7 水
 warned us：for the use of $x$ see on $37: 10,42: 22$ ．

 （see on 11：40）the sound or tenor，lit．mouth；or the distinct sense of a as a noun may be lost，as it not infrequently is，and the phrase signify simply according to．$\because \underset{z}{ }$ fut．relative to preceding pret．$\S 263$. 1，were we to know？or，as the implied negative rests on the assumed impossibility，could we know？

9． him，§262．1． （1），followed by b，see on 39：9．： 5 © 245.5.

10．（x）depends on $\begin{array}{r}\text { ver．} 8 \text { ，assigning a reason why the request }\end{array}$
 $\S 137, \S 141.2($ p．175），rret．in relation to a past $\S 262.1 . \quad-\quad$ de－ pending on an ellipsis，＇the fact is that，＇or＇I affirm that．＇＂נבּ fied by the conditional particle $\times \mathfrak{i}$ ל we would have returned．$\quad \mathrm{m}$ ，used adverbially $太 235.3$（4）．

11．
which is made the theme of song，its most celebrated productions；others explain the word in this place from a different signification of the root， that which is cut or obtained from the land，its productions．
 ＇double money，＇comp．Ex． $16: 22$ ，as $\begin{gathered}\text { unquestionably does in } \\ \text { un }\end{gathered}$ ver． 15 ，or＇a duplicate parcel of money＇，when it will be equivalent to
 the separate mention of the＇money which was brouglit back．＇ $5=?$ $\S 221$ ．1．$a$ ；the sing．form of the noun is due to the special significance of the phrase，which docs not mean literally in your hands，but in your possession or along with you，see on $37: 21$.

14． § 262．1，when（lit．according to the time that § 285．2）I shall have been bereaved，I shall have been bereaved．If this results in my bereave－ ment，it must be so ：there is no avoiding it．Comp．John $19: 22$ ．

15．ヲロシーnィit，§24．4，apposition of the quantity with the material $\S 253.2$ ，comp．41：1．$\quad$ 上 is here the direct object of $\S 271.2$ ； it might with equal corre tness have been 46 ：3． 4.

16．
 noon § 245． 3.

17．
19．$\pi$ 上
 2．
 to the point in this letter，which is commonly explained as Mappik $\S 26$ ． －

27．לیֵּ： subject to which the question was directed．Eise used absolutely to in－ dicate condition，in health $\S 274.2 . e$ ；there is no need of assuming it to be an adjective．ins．$\$ 249.1$ ，your old father．

28．
30．．．to the inner apartment，or it may mean to his chumber §245．3．a．：：نּ thither is used，when previous motion is implied， even thongh this is not expressed by the immediately preceding．verb， wept on coming thither，where our idiom requires＇wept there．＇

32．The laws of caste in Egypt forbade promiscuous intercourse with foreigners，Herod．2． 41 ；and Joseph，who was allied to the priestly

m. pl.), § 263. 3. ${ }^{2}$, abhorrence.
33. . another by looks or words.
 parts, five times; 0:I, would mean hunds, the fem. plur. is commonly used for the derived senses § 203. a. ทרְ:?, drank to satiety, as much as they wanted, not necessarily to intoxication, though the word is often so used, comp. Hag. 1:6.

## CIIAPTER XLIV.

1. 43:32, § 267.b.
 comp. on 42:19. כְִ § § 22. a (5). : :
2. רis § 82. 1. a (3), § 156. 2.

3. nit this, assuming the presence of the cup, and their knowledge of what he referred to. -re? § 263. 4 , with $m$, a person being said 'to drink in a cup, because he drinks what is in it. ENabs. infin. § 92. d .

4. -3ָ. § 263. 2, why will my lord speak, the thought being directed not only to the fact that he has just spoken in this manner, but
 fane to thy servants from doing, we esteem it utterly profane and detestable, so that we would not do. 'The idiomatic plirase may perhaps be best rendered in English, 'far be it from thy servants to do.' n: i. e. so as not to do.
 should we after that steal.
 § 231.3. a.
 Kamets with Zakeph haton, 乌9. 1. Emov, emphatic contrast § 243. 1.
5. BiTaミ $£ 260.2^{\circ}(2)$, in or at the eldest, comp. in Lat. maximus


6.     -         - צ pron. used adverbially


17．ロ该管，in peace，comp．2：18，37：4．
 $37: 10$ ．assigns the reason why he deprecated Joseph＇s anger． ： authority．

20． the implication that he still does so．

22．ביㅑ퓬，lit．and he will leave his father and he will die．It is put in the form of an affirmation that he would do so，and this result would follow，the implied condition being if the father＇s inability to part with his son were disregarded．

23．
 yourselves．

28．
 mined by the immediately preceding §ֵּ § $\S 265 . b$ ，and now ye are taking，etc．

32．קefers generally to what precedes，and assigns the reason why Judah in particular was so urgent in the matter．I speak as I do，for，etc． בּוּ pledged the lad from with my father，i．e．obtained him from my father by the pledge or security which I gave．

33． other removes it and takes its place，in place of，instead of．

34． $\mathfrak{F}$ depends on the implied answer to the preceding question，I cannot go up lest，etc．אֶר with ni，gaze upon，is stronger than with the direct ohject，when it means simply see．The prep．denotes that the sight not only falls upon the object，but remains fixed，rests in it，see on $1: 4,3: 3$ ．

## CHAPTER XLV．

1． 3 לete in reference to all，he could not maintain a self－restraint such as had regard to bystanders． from by me，or 3 ，may retain something of its original force，from upon $m e$ ，their presence being represented as burdensome and lying as an oppressive load upon him，comp． $42: 36$ ．
2．：
4．א．．．．

5．בa let it not burn in your eyes，i．e．let not anger be kindled there．Anger is here and $31: 35$ spoken of as manifesting itself in the eye．

6．$\quad \mathrm{r}$ ，used adrerbially § 235.3 （1），see $43: 10$ ．－ 20， $40: 13$ ． junctively，or $\$ 28$ 亿． 1.
 $\S 207.1 . c$ ，the fem．may be used as an abstract，unto a great deliverance， or as a collective $\S 198$ ，unto a large escape，so that not a mere fraction lut a numerous body might escape this peril．

8．$=\frac{\mathrm{ri}}{\mathrm{y}}$ ，pronoun expressed because of the contrast with the following $=-\therefore \leq 243.1$ ．$\because$ ，for，after a negative equivalent to but，see $42: 12$. د⿺𠃊：－Iharach had the highest regard for him，was guided entirely by his counsels，and hal entrusted the supreme management of everything to him．

9．- －§ 148.3.
10．$-\cdots \times!$ § 100．a（1），§ 276． 1.

 ject and - the predicate，the mouth speaking to you is my mouth．

15．F？：？with the direct object，or more commonly，as here，with b § 272．2．a，gave a kiss to，clc．

16． $\mathrm{r} \because \S 274.2 . b$ ．18．$\because=\mathrm{m}$ cat ye；the imper．is permissive．
19．$-\underset{-}{2}$ צ $886 . b(2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$ ）$) \S 262.2$ ，thou art charged to say to them，etc．

20．上ここ？，see on $37: 21$ ；pity like other emotions expresses itself in
 have compassion upon，spare，followed by $\vdots \geq$ ，since the act proceeds from a superior and reaches down＂pon an inferior．：s：－§ 258． 2.

21．iz－TN2，preliminary statement of what is more fully described in detail from ver． 25 onward，comp． $42: 20$ ． in $^{2}$ continues the narra－ tion according to the succession of ideas in the mind of the writer， though it is not subsequent in the order of time to the statement of the preceding clause，see on 2：8．19． see on 41：40．
 sense of an indefinite pronoun it rarely receives the article．Where it does recelve it，as here and 1 Sam． $26: 93$ ， 1 Kin． $8: 39$ ，Prov．20：3．17， the article has its generic or miversal foree $\$ 215.5$ ，＇a suit of clothes to the man＇throughout the entire company，i．e．to each person．nize changes，i．e．a suit；the plur．is used with reference to the different ar－ ticles composing the dress，for each of which a change was furnished． $t^{2}=\sum_{j}^{251.1 . a .} n^{2} \times s$ ，supply＇shekels，＇as that was the most familiar denomination $\S 251.2 . c$ ；so＂a million of money＇would mean dollars in America and pounds sterling in Great Britain．

23．F．xrs．Some refer the pronoun to what precedes，like this，i．e． the same as he had given his brothers，and in addition ten asses，etc． As，however，there is no conjunction before r－we，it is more natural to refer nis to what comes after，as in vs． 17.19 ，so that like this is equi－ valent to＇as fullows．＇במש：prep．in partitive sense．

24．Th－nes，see on $37: 22$ ，Ges．renders tremble not，be ye not timid，but the ordinary meaning of the word yields a more suitable sense，be not angry，do not get into angry altercation with each other as to the part which you respectively took in this crime against me as well as against my father．

26．${ }^{-1}$ and that depends upon $-\mathfrak{R}$ the direct to the indirect mode of citation．with $n$ ，see ver． 8 ． 2p：2 § 153．5，was benumbed，remained cold and without emotion，or perhaps stunned by the intelligence which he was unable to credit． Then followed by before the person or thing to which faith is given．

27．
28．シי．．Although the names Jacob and Israel are often used in－ terchangeably as simple equivalents，there appears to be a significance in putting this language in the mouth of prevailing Israel，32：28． ：nnew § 263．1．b．

## CHAPTER XLVI．

1．$火$ covenant there made with Isaac，and probably upon the altar which Isaac had built，26：23－25．

2．
3．$\S$ ． $144.3, \S 148.2$ ，the prep．${ }^{2}$ usual after verbs of fearing may be explained as indicating the source from which the fear pro－ ceeds，or that from which the fear would incite to flee．Upon the latter hypothesis $\begin{array}{r}\text { ren would here have a negative sense before the infin．axay }\end{array}$ from going down，i．e．so as not to go down，see on $44: 7$ ．

4． phasis of the repetition is increased by the unusual position of the infin． which here stands after instead of before the finite verb，and by the particle＝a which implies accession，I will bring thee up，yea，briny thee up．Ge？，the subject stands emphatically before the verb $\S 270 . a$ ． ：יֶּׁה וגי shall put his hand upon thine eyes，pay the last tribute of af－ fection by closing the eyes in death．

5．：5゙5 § 276.2.

## EXODCS. CHAPTER XX.

2. O The sections of the Masoretic text were doubtless intended to distinguish the several commandments, though it is remarkable that the division thus indicated agrees neither with that of the ancient Jews represented by Josephus and Philo, nor with that which prevails among the molern Jews. The former, like the majority of the Christian fathers and the Reformed Churches of the present day, regarded the prohibition of idolatry, ver. 3 , as the first commandment, that of imageworship, vs. 4-6, the second, and under the tenth they included the whole of ver. 17. The latter find the first commandment in ver. 2, though it has not the form of a command, combine the prohibition of idelatry and image-worship, vs. $3-6$, as the second, and include the whole of ver. 17 in the tenth. The sections of the text, on the contrary, agree with the division of Augustine, which after him becamo current in the Latin church, and was retained likewise by Luther. According to this the first commandment, vs. 2-6, prohibits both idolatry and image-worship, no distinction being made between offences against the unity of God and against his spirituality; and two commandments are devoted to the $\sin$ of coveting, ver. 17 , though this is attended with the inconvenience of creating a distinction in things fundamentally identical, and is moreover preclnded by a variation in the order of the clauses in Deut. 5 , where the decalogue is repeated.

The b after ver. 7 indicates a wider separation than o (see on Gen. $1: 5$ ), and is perhaps designed to mark the limit of the first table, a more equable division of the matter being attained thus than by dividing at any other point.

This passage is provided with a double sustem of aceents, § 39. 4. a, one having relation to its division into verses and the other into commandments. Thus vs. 2-6 are aceented both as separate verses and as forming together one paragraph: so also vs. 8-11. And the sixth, seventh, eighth, and minth eommandments (aecording to the ordinary reckoning), are accented both individually and as forming together a single verse; they are so numbered in Deut. 5 , though the common enumeration in Fix. 20 makes them foar distinct verses. Where the limits of the verse and of the commandment are identical, as in the third, ver. 7 , and the fifth, ver. 12 , the two systems of accents coincide and are reduced to one. In ver. 17 there is but a single series of accents, its first clause having no separate aceentuation to distinguish it as a complete commandment ; the $\rho$ in this verse is also omitted in many manuseripts and in a few printed editions.

When considered as one paragraph, vs. 2-6 are divided into three clauses, $\S 36.1$, the first ending at exan ver. 5 , and the second at品, ver. 5. The Segholate clause is subdivided at and $n$, second clanse both the subdivisions and the inmediate antecedents of Athnahh coincide with the accents before Silluk, which marks the last clause of ver. 5 taken by itself. The third or Silluk clause is subdivided at $=$ ate in ver. 2, the Zakeph Katon of the first is preceded by Munahh and Pashta, the R'blia of the second by Munahh and Geresh, and this by Kadhma, T'lisha K'tanna and Munahh. This same verse, when ac-
 which is preceded by Munahh and Tiphhha, while : $e,: \geq$ is preceded by Merka and Tiphhha, and this by Merka and T"bhir, and this by Darga. In most editions, though not in all, 5 vere has an Athnahh additional to the two accents already explained. This indicates a paragraph of two clauses, of which the first is ver. 2, and the second ver. 3 , and consequently represents the ordinary Reformed view of the length of the first commandment.
3. word and receive Methegh, or it may receive Munahh whether as the second conjunctive befure 'l"bhir or as the fourth before Geresh. :הקית. § 275. 1. : : formed in the presence of another being said to be upon his face, just as we speak of anything adjacent in a lateral direction as 'upon one's side' or 'upon his right or his left hand.' Others give to face here the seuse,
 above me, or besides me, the preposition denoting something superadled.
4. - ¢ § 243. 2, may either be followed by Makkeph or have one of the conjunctives by which it is accompanied; in the former case the following; will have Daghesh forte conjunctive, in the latter it will remain without it, as is indicated by the Raphe § 27 . -i=: § 256 . c, see on Gen, 45:6. an image or any form of God (out of) that which is, etc. but has nincs

 tion, though not explicitly mentioned, is not to be excluded, is both obvious in itself and apparent from 34:7. : : § 102. 3, in reference to those hating me. This law of divine retribution holds in regarl to God's enemies, who are regarled as perpetuating from generation to generation an organized opposition to the divine government, and thus
as justly liable for the sins of their predecessors which they justify and inerease by accessions of their own. The links of this fatal connection can only be broken by leaving the ranks of those who 'hate' God, and becoming allied to those who 'love' him.
6. E-FNb to thousomeds, not contemporaneous individuals merely, but counted down the line of descent, i. e. so many generations, as ajpears hoth from the contrast with the preceling verse and from the parallel

7. N: Thou shett not lift "ip the name of Jehovah thy (rool to vanity ur fulsehood, i. e. bring it into connection with what is false, or as the 'lifting "p' intended is by means of the voice, the verb may be translated tholl shalt not utter. $N \times \Im \geqslant 16.1$, the article before an abstract noun in a universal sense § 245.5.
$8: \because 268.2$. The trifling differences in the text of the commandments in Exolus and in Deuteronomy are no disparagement to the accuracy of either book. Exodus gives us doubtless an exact transeript of the tables of stone upon which they were engraved. Deuteronomy contains the law as reiterated and enfored by Moses in his adress to the perple, in which ease it is natural to expect less regard to verbal precision than $t$, the substantial meaning. Accordingly in
 word is reservel to introdnce the special consideration which is there urged for the observance of the Sabbath: "And remember that thou "ist a servant in the land of Egypt and that the Lomd thy God brought thee out thence through a mighty hand and by a stretched-out arm; therefore the Lom, thy (fod commanded thee to keep the Sabbath-day," This is not inconsistent with the appointment of the Sabbath to commemorate the rest of God after the work of creation. In conformity with this grand ideal, man weekly finishes his toil and enters into rest, the rest which (iod has appointed, a type and foretaste of the ultimato release which (iod is preparing for him in commmion with himself. The release from ligyptian bondage was a preliminary realization of this great sabbatic idea, and a fresh type and pledge of the final consummation. It affords a fresh reason, therefore, and one of peenliar force to Israel, why the Sabbath should be faithfully kept. It is accordingly quite appropriate, in the address of the lawgiver to the people, white the latw itself as engraved on stone presents a motive more in accordance with its universal and perpetual obligation. The other variations are still more mimportant, and consist of the insertion or omission of the conjunction, aut; the substitution of one word for another which is synonymous, as $x=$ for fulsehood in the ninth com-
mandment, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ : plification, as in both the injunction and the promise of the fifth, the insertion of ox and ass along with cattle, as well as of the clanse 'that thy man-servant and thy maid-servant may rest as well as thou' in the fourth, and of field after house in the tenth; and the alteration in the order of the clauses of the tenth, the importance of which in the question of the proper division of the commandments has been already adverted to, see on ver. 2 .

Considered as one paragraph, vs. 8-11 are divided into three clauses, the first ending with mer. 9 , and the second with

 11, in which the two systems of accentuation coincide.
9. Еウe § 274.2.a. :
10. Ė:2?, the article omitted before the noun, though retained before the aljective $\$ 249.1 . c$, or more probably the noun is in the construct, sce on Gen. 2:3. § 221. 2. a. ' but of the gates of public edifices or of cities: here of course the latter.

 some of which addressed themselves to a different sense than that of sight, comp. Gen. 2:19, 12:1. 侯 predicate § 273. 4. א: 2. a. : rin: in, see on Gen. $1: 7,37: 18$.
 precede the particle, 2 Sam. 14:20, 17:14, or follow it, 1 Chron. 19:3. nies to try you, i. e. as explained in the following clause, whether you can thus be made to fear him and avoil sin. that lis fear § 254. 9 may be before you. This may mean either that the fear of God may be inspired by the spectacle transacted before you, or that his fear may be the thing to which you look in all your conduct,
 11, commonly followed by the infin., only in a few instances, as here, by the finite verb. : : § 112.4.
21.

## JUDGES. CHAPTER XIII.

 a, LXX то̀ по
of each section of this book, $2: 11,3: 7.12,4: 1,6: 1,10: 6$, affords a strong incidental proof of unity of authorship. that which was evil in his view, as judged of by him. - $-\frac{y}{2}$ into the hand of, i. e. into their power. The noun is singular on account of its secondiry sense in this phrase, see on Gen. 37:21. The prep. 3 properly means in ; it retains this sense after a verb of motion, deaoting that the thing spoken of not only comes to a particular place, but remains in it. $=$ eren, commonly, as here, without the article, berause Philistin, Gen. $10: 14$, is the proper name of the nation, like Israel, Edom, Amalek. It is in this plural form rarely used as a Gentile derivative, so as to receive the article $\$ 245.5 . u$, thus $=$ anzen, 2 Sam.
 2. a. These forty years extend beyond the life of Samson to the deeisive victory gained over the Philistines at Mizpeh by Samuel, 1 Sam. 7: 13 .
 Haphtarah or lesson from the prophets corresponding to or to be read in connection with the Parashah or lesson from the law beginning Num. $4: 21$, and ca'le 1 Kzz , because this word occurs near the commencement of it. Josh. 15:33, but subsequently transferred to Dan, Josh. 19:41. . The supernatural circumstances connected with the birth of Samson, as with that of Isaac, of Samuel, and of John the Baptist, make inore conspicuous the fact that he was not a product of nature but a gift of divine grace, in this a type of the great deliverer whose birth was supernatural in a still higher sense.
3. - - repeatedly appears in the Old Testament as the messenger of Jehovah, and yet is expressly identificd with Jehovah, ver. 22, L... 3:2. 4, 23: 20. 21, Judg. 6:12.14. Vav conv. is letermined by its being a sequence of the present indicated by $-: 826.5 . b$.
5. nety part. with the inflection of the pret. $\$ 90$ ( $2 \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{s}$. ). consecrated to Gorl, const. before the olject $\$ 254.9$, and hence not necessarily definite © 219.1. b, a Nazarite unto God. :-․․ § 135. 2. Samson only begon what was reserved for Samuel, Saul and David to complete.
6. Erseren the man of Got, the person of whom she speaks is clearly defined in her own mind, and in the vividuess of her impressions she speaks as though he were also known to her husband.


кง่，the indirect quotation，which in Hebrew is much less frequent than the direct．
 ：ד O Pu．pret．§ $93 . b$ ，signifying a past in relation to the preceding future $\S 262.1$ ，with the art．in the sense of the relative pron．$\S 245$. 5．b，who shall have been born．Others explain it as an abbreviated Pu．part．for

9． the intimate contact of the sense with its object．אֵ，see on Gen． $40: 8$ ．
 3．$b$ ，but in the well known day，or as we should say，＇the other day．＇

11．：י！$\quad I$ am．As there is no word in Hebrew answering to＇yes，＇ an aflirmative answer is mostly given by means of the personal pronom， Gen． $27: 24$ ， 1 Kin． $18: 8$ ，or by repeating the verb contained in the question， 1 Sam．23：11．12．

12．ホミュ §97．2．$b, \S 275.1$. ： under sort of a child will he be）and what will he do？But it is plain both from Manoah＇s prayer，ver．8，and from the angel＇s answer，vs．13，14， that the question relates not to the appearance and actions of the child， but to the duty of the parents．The true rendering，therefore，is，what is the judgment of the chill，const．of object $\S 254.9$ ，the law or re－ quirement respecting him，and the treatment of him，suf．denoting the object，what must we do to him，or even before his birth in relation to him？

16． bread，i．e．eat of it．for is connected with ver． 15 ，and explains how Manoah came to make such a request．

17．who $\S 75.1$ is used because the reference is to a person， though owhat might have been expected and actually occurs elsewhere in the like connexion，Gen．32：28，Prov．30：4．－assigns the reason for asking after his name，which is presented not conditionally but in the form of an assertion，comp．（ien． $44: 22$ ，for thy words shall come to pass and we will honour thee．בִּ，marg．note＇ superfluous，so that the K＇ri is $7: \overline{1}$ ？，agreeing in number with the verb $\S 48$ ，while the K＇thibh

18．ל上゙セ § 263．2，with of the subject respecting which the in－ quiry is made，see on Gen． $40: 27,43: 7$ ，why is this that thou will ask in respect to my name？The state of mind，from which the question proceeded，still continued，and it was liable to be asked，until it should
be answered, comp. Gen. 41:7. אar?, marg. note, abbreviated for MEx Man instead of havin' a disjunctive acent is joined by Makkeph to the following worl. : wis, marg. \& Aleph superfluous, so that the

 K'thilh is - P? , an alj. derive 1 fom the preceding noun § 184.
19. $\dot{x}$ subject omittel $\$ 243$. 1, makiny marvellous to act, i. e. acting marvellously \& 269. $a$, see on Gen. 2:3. : : respect to the repectition in the following verse, see on Gien. $37: 5$.

20 . 2 . As there is no mention of the construction of an altar, doubtless the rock, ver. 19, upon which the offering was made is so called. The sarrifice of Manoah has sometimes been represented as a violation of the laws of the Pentatench regarding the priesthood and the sanctuary. It is so only in appearance, however, not in reality. It was prescribel, Lev. 17:3—7, Dent. 12:5—14, that sacrifiees should be offered only at the sanctuary, becanse there God ordinarily manifested himself; and he coald be acceptably approached only through the appointed intervention of the priesthonl, Num. 3:10, 16:40. But if Gol in an extraordinary way manifested himself in any other place, that became for the time a sanctuary: and if he appeared to any man without the intervention of the priesthood, that constituted him for the time a priest, and was his warrant for paying his worship directly without the aid of those officially appointel for the purpose. This extraordinary investiture, however, lasted only while the cause to which it was owing continued. While it was no violation of the law for Manoah to act as he did under the circumstances, it would have been sinful for him to have arrogatel to himself thenceforward the functions of the priesthood, or to have establishel a permanent worship at the altar thus signally honoured.
21. -s?
23. $--\frac{1}{2}$ pet. conditioned by the preceding clanse, he would have tuken $\S 202.1$. : $: 175.1$. 824.5.3. $b$, see on (ien. 39: 10.
 circmustance related 18:1:, and which ocenred in the early settlement of the land, 18:1, Josh 19:17, long before the time of Samson, so that there is no anachronism in the mention of this name here. This was in the vicinity of Samson's residence ver. 2 , and of the place of his burial, 16:31. Marg. note abbreviatel from ins lit. until so, i. e. thus far. This marks the limit of the lesson beginning at ver. $\boldsymbol{\sim}$.

## CIIAPTER NIV.

1. $\begin{aligned} \pi \\ \pi\end{aligned}$ prep. vineyards at Timnath. In Josh. 19:43 the vowel termination is added merely to prolong the name, without reference to its local or directive force § 61.6.a. It lay southwest of Zorah, in the direction of the plain of the sea-coast, and hence Samson 'went down' to Timnath, and 'went up' as he returned.
2. The marriage contract was usually made by the parents, Gen. $21: 21,24: 3$, etc., $34: 4.6$. $-p_{i}$ take, the verb which is commonly used in speaking of matrimonial alliances, her to (or for) me to wife, the prep. implying a transition from one state to another, comp. Gen. 1:29.
3. .
 she has been and still is right, approved, pleasing.
4. 13:15. 19 .
5. 
6. घ from, indicating separation in point of time, i. e. after days, an indefinite period, see on Gen. 41:1. בִביִיִי in the body, i. e. the skeleton, to which jackals and birds of prey would reduce it in a very short time. The attempt has been made to fasten upon the sacred writer the notion once prevalent among the Greeks and Romans that bees were bred by a putrefying carcass, Virg. Georg. 4. 290, etc. But his language suggests nothing of the kind. A parallel more to the purpose is Herod. 5. 114, the swarm of bees which took possession of the skull of Onesilus and filled it with a honeycomb.

7. 

 friends of his wife, who are suggested by the context, though not expressly mentioned : for use of prep. see on Gen. $39: 10$.
12.

14. The lion which sought to derour Samson, the representative and champion of Israel, was slain, and out of his carcass came sweetness and food. Tho riddle, like the incident which gave occasion to it, had a latent meaning for the Philistines and for every other foe, whether of the people of God or of the great captain of their salvation.
15. תִּנְבּיצּי . The rise of various readings from supposed difficulties
is well illustrated by the fact that several ancient versions here substitute fourth Doubtless they began their urgency as soon as they abandoned the hope of discovering the solution for themselves, but on the seventh day they enforced their request with the threat here mentioned. with fire $\S 245.5$, for the prep. see on Gen. $39: 12.14$. editions omit Methegh converting into the Kal inf., though Yodh is nowhere else retained in that form.

1f. : אֲמִּ § 284.
17. from the time that the solicitation was first made of her, as in Josh. 4: 14 ' all his life' for 'all the rest of his life.'
18. $\times \underset{=}{ }$ § 263.1.b; to go in applied to the sun is to set, as to go out к্, is to rise. According to the Jewish reckoning the day ended at sunset. Gen. 39: 14, ploughed with my heifer, performed the work by aid which I furnished. $\quad$ 上rser pret. modified by a previous condition § 262.1.
19. the inhabitants of the place, as is plainly enough implied, though they had not been expressly mentioned. $\mathbf{~ c}$ 9. b. $\quad$ §

## CIIAPTER XV.

1. $\quad$ E sce on Gen. $41: 5$, with a kid, taking a kid along with him.
2. 的 § 260.2 (2).
3. . $\because . .3$ with $\mathfrak{i}$, which may be taken in a comparative sense § 260. a, or in its ordinary signification, I am guiltess from the Philis. tincs, a parte Philistcorum, i. e. as judged from their stand-point, guiltless so far as they are concerned, comp. Num. $32: 22$; prop. I have
 in what he was guiltless, that $I$ am doing, etc.; part. expresses the proximate future $\$ 266.2$.
4. :n ¢ junction, vineyards and olive trees, comp. Deut. 24:17; according to most modern interpreters $\begin{gathered}\text { ºn } \\ \text { is in }\end{gathered}$ ine const. olive-yards.

5. iverin § 263. 2, if ye will do like this, if you are going to act in this manner, the action being regarded as not wholly past but as proceeding from a still existing state of mind, and therefore liable to be re-
peated, see on $13: 18$, Gen. 44:7. I declare that, see on Gen. 43 : 10 , if I shall have avenged myself § 77. 2, § 262. 1, of you, mexpressing hostility, see on Gen. $37: 10$, then $\S 287.2$ aftervards I will cease, but not before.
 or the dreadful character of the slaughter, but whose precise signification is obscure. According to some authorities leg upoo thigh, the phrase standing absolutely $\S 274.2$. e, comp. Gen. $42: 6$, means that their mangled members were piled promiscuously in heaps, or it might refer to the confusion of the fray as they were huddled together in combat or in flight. According to others 3 ypon here signifies in addition to, he smote them in leg and thigh, the phrase being directly governed by the verb, which will then have a triple object $\S 271.4$ utterly disabling them; with this the English phrase 'to have one on the hip' might be compared. consequently makes the whole phrase definite § 246.3. A particular well-known cave is doubtless meant.
6. against. $\quad$ י
7. 45: 8. 26.
8. § 243. 1.

13 - for, after a negative but. चit: § 130.1 , with m, see on 13 : 1. Ė:
14. אni § 243.1.
 with indef. subject $\S 243.2$, or intrans. and agree with see $14: 15,15: 6$.
15. - -p prep. in instrumental sense, comp. ver. 13.
16. $4 \div$ n heap $\S 280$. a, this form of the word is selected instead of the more usual one $\begin{gathered}\text { ¢ich } \\ \text { § 184 }\end{gathered}$. a (2), for the sake of the assonance or paronomasia, see on :hinin Gen. 1. 2.
17. . on Gen. 1:5.
18. Tre thou, not I myself § 243.1. rase, declaratively, showing his expectation, I shall die, or interrogatively $\S 284$. 픈 $\S 245.5$, see on Gen. 39:12. 14.
19. ם. The prayer was made to $n \cdot \pi$, it is answered by -ロッ: this may illustrate the facility with which these divine names are interchanged, and how slender a basis the employment of the one
or of the other affords for the critical hypotheses built upon it in respect to the Pentateuch, see on Gen. 2:4. تnane the socket, a cavity in Lehi ( some fancied resemblance to the socket of a tooth. קרבא 3 m . s. indefinite
20. $:$ : 2 . The judyes of Israel were not appointed for the purpose of deciding civil suits between man and man, nor were they simply civil maristrates receiwing this specific title, because in states where the legislative, executive and judicial functions are combined in the same hand, the administration of justice is one of the most important as well as the most familiar attributes of sovereignty. But they were extraordinary oflicers divinely raised up and commissioned to judge Israel, i. e. to do the chosen people justice against their oppressors by delivering the former and punishing the latter, $2: 16,18,10: 1.2$. Several of them, as necasion demanded, diseharged civil functions likewise. But there is no evidence of this in the case of all of them, none for example in the case of Samson; and at any rate this was not the main design of the office. : $n: 5251.2$ and $a$. This preliminary statement of the length of time that Simson judged Israel, which, after further details are given, is repeated at the end of his life, $16: 31$, is quite in accordance with the style of Hebrew history, see on Gen. $37: 5$. It, therefore, is no warrant for the suspicion that the life of Samson originally ended here, and that the following chapter was added subsequently, nor that this verse has by some crror been transposed from its true position at the close of ch. $\mathbf{1 6}$.

## ChAPTER XVI.

2. Equiet mutil. 2 x may be in the inf. const. \$ 157.1 or in the pret. \& 156. 2 , in the sense of the future perfect, being conditioned by the idea of futurity involved in the preceding particle $\begin{gathered} \\ 262.1\end{gathered}$.
 contact with the thing graspel, see on Gen. 3:3, as we say, 'to lay

$\therefore$. B- $^{-}$might be a qualifying adj. with the article omitted \& 249. 1. $l$, but it is more natural to regard it as the predicate $\$ 259 . a$, by what, sce on (ien. 39:12.14, his strength is or is made great. 法 § 35. 1, with $\frac{2}{1}$ prevail in respeet to him, i. e. over him. Sintu, you do that and we will do this §213.1. -in? § 130. b, marg. the Tav with Pattahh.
 2 , which is to be surplied $\$ 2.51$. 2. c.
3. :anch the like an ordinary man, see on Gen. 2:23, lit. one of the mass of men $\S 248$. $a$; in ver. 17 the expression is $5 \times{ }^{\circ}$ like all other men. $=$ ence properly means mankind, the human race § 245.5.
 dicating lostility and imminence of approach, already pouncing down
 inn fire smells it, even if this yielded as good a sense, for the interposition of the suf. shows that the inf. is not in the const. before $=\$ 256$.
4. Fir- § 142. 3, § 141. 2 (p. 174), with denoting hostility.
5. a ב.... of this verb has Pattahh in all the forms which occur both of the pre-

6. An illustration of the manner in which various readings arise under the influence of parallel passages is afforded by the LXX, which inserts after this verse, 'and fastenest it with the pin to the wall, then shall I be weak as another man. And it came to pass when he was asleep that Delilah took the seven locks of his head and wore them in the web.'
7.     -         - § § 246. 3. a.

 (Raphe), i. e. without Daghesh forte $\S 27$.
8. been shaven, then my strength would have departed, with special reference to the period embraced in the preceding statement, or as a general truth, §262.3, If I were shaven, my strength would depart.
9. the K'thibh
10. withe man whose business it was, the barber § 245. 3.
11. घּ בּze prep. $\frac{7}{\text { I }}$ denoting conjunction, see on Gen. $3: 3$, as though time were placed $b y$ or beside time in a continuous series.
12. זיאט:
13.     - -ris, referring to a single idol § 201. 2.
14. = 'כִּ K'thibh, for which the K'ri substitutes the infin. of the



 24. $-\cdots$, see on Gen. 43:30. the part. with the art. may be resolved into the relative with the finite verb, the ones !/azing nt. i. e. who gazel at ; on the construction of

$\because 4$.
 ir lofor the thing on account of which vengeance is taken, considered as the cause from which this effect proceeds : and also before the person on whom rengeance is taken, this being regarded as a compensation for past injuries exacted from them: in $15: 7$ it is followed by m. reser vengeutuce of once $\S 235.3(3), \S 254.6 .6$, which shall at one time avenge the entire wrong. Others make nnow refer to ey/e, and take the following $\quad r_{\text {in }}$ a partitive sense, vengeance of (or for $\S 254.9$ ) one of my two cyes, supposing that he regards the vengeance, which he intends, as but half a satisfaction for the injury inflicted upon him. The Rabbins say that rengeance for the other was to be postponed to the retributions of the worhl to come.
 is parenthetic, and the following clause must be connected with nè?
15. $\because \because ?$ § 172.4 . see on (ien. 3:3.

## 1 SAMUEL, CILAPTER XVII.

 from the val'ey pron, ver. 2, in which the encampments were. the Hebrews say from theis, where our idiom requires 'in this direction' or 'on this side' see on (ien. 1:7; me repeated is this-that, the finger being supposed to point first in one direction and then in its opposite. K:- , this is the central ravine, while the valley for embraced the entire depression between the mountains, including the elevated plateans on which the rival armics lay. : $=-\cdots \frac{n}{1}$, see on (ien. 12:23.

 68, speaks of a skeleton seven cubits lon"g. l'liny, Nat. Hist. 7. 16, speaks of an Arabian of his own day who was nine feet nine inches high, and two men in the reign of Augustus who were lialf a foot taller.
 \& 2.73. 2.
8. • eutire body.
9. וִ, marg. abbreviated for Judg. 16:5. 11. Nא-: § 19. 1, § 147. 1.
12. of $16: 1$ : etc. $2: 13-15$, perhaps one may have died in early life or without issue.
 come into days or advanced in days, Gen. 24:1, Josh. 13:1, 23:1; advanced among men is here used as an equivalent phrase.
 on Gen. 37:5.
14. א־ی § 258. 2.
15. Gen. $42: 24,45: 1$, although the original force of the prep. may possibly be preserved, from waiting upon Saul; as the servant stands while his lord sits, he may be said to be not only by him but over him. : may be the object of = ָ to Bethlehem § 271. 2, or stand absolutely, at Bethlehem § 274.2.b.
17. 5 he § 251. 2. c, § 253. 2.
 their welfare or of their receipt of the articles sent them.
20. シַ upon a keeper, in his charge, the care of them being devolved upon him, as though it were a burden to be carried. b:in?,
 be a predicate since it has the article § 259. 2. צי § 160. 2.


 armies or ranks.

26.
29. been required to come, or better, perhaps, was it not merely a word which did not deserve such severe censure, Isa. 29:21.
32. stood to be the subject of diseourse, though he is not mentioned in the immediately preceding verses because of him the effect being regarded as resting upon its cause ; or it may, after the analogy of like expressions occurring elsewhere, l's. $42: 5,142: 4$, Hos. 11:8, let no man's heart fall upon him, sink down upon itself under the burden of its own emotions.

d, comp. the wolf, John $10: 12$. $=\boldsymbol{T}$, K 271. b. Kithibh re-
 object of the verb.
35. :-rin? \& 112.3 , with $m$ comecting the verb with its object, see on Judy. 16: 3.26 , or denoting the means or instrument of seizure, see on (ien. 39:12. 14. : the Tar with Daghesh.

37. Marg. prown wize pause in the middle of a verse.

 ly, are identical, it must be translated even, comp. $28: 3$.
41. $=$ -
43. r.in en indefinite plur. though only one is meant, see on Gen. $37: 8$. : ing is by some derived from its signification of conjunction or nearness, cursed by his gods, uttering in their immediate presence the imprecation which they were expected to fulfil, and by others from its instrumental sense, comp. the Latin per, by his gods, as the instruments or agents in fulfilling his imprecation.
 of; or with the name, etc., as what he brought to oppose the weapons of the Philistine, the name of God, as that by which he is known, being equivalent to God himself as revealed. $\quad$ marg. abbreviated for ros houn mag Pattahh with Soph Pasuk, i. e. with Silluk § 36. 1 .
46. §on § 275. 2. b. : a Gorl, or Giod is for Israel, on his side.


51. - whto after a verb of rest where previous motion is implied: ran aud stood unto the Philistine is equivalent to ran unto the Philistine and stood, comp. -ris, Gen. 43:30. -re: \$ 10.5. a.
$52 .-\cdots, 3 \%$. The schism in the time of Rehoboam only deepened and perpetuated a distinction, which had in varions ways and for various causes been created long before between the powerful tribe of Jutuh, to whom Jacob hat promised the sovereignty, Gen. 49:10, and the rest of Israel, comp. Josh. 11:21. . 2 m . s. indef. § 213. 2.
54. E \& 4, although the citalel was not taken until the reign of David, Q Sun. 5:7, part of the city was held by the Israelites from
the time of Joshua, Josh. $15: 63$, Judg. 1:8.21. : not in the strict sense of tent, as David was not connected with the army, but in the wider one of halitution, dwelling, compare $13: 2,1 \mathrm{Kin}$. 8:66. David now took Goliath's armour home with him as his lawful spoils, though he must subsequently have deposited Goliath's sword in the tabernacle, $21: 9$.
 that Saul should make these inquiries about one who had played the harp before him and been his armour-bearer, $16: 21$, etc. But we do not know what interval had elapsed, nor how much David had altered. Besides, the question concerns his parentage, which Saul had now a special reason for wishing to know, ver. 25 , but which he might easily
 see on Gen. $42: 15$.
58. :

## 1 KINGS, CIIAPTER XVII.

3. or as $:=2$ includes in its signification the valley with the brook, it may have its primary sense $i n$. identified, it is doubtful whether this means before or cast of.
4. : -
5. ra , see on Gen. $41: 1$.
6.     - 



18. $\mathfrak{\sim}$ n , declaratively or interrogatively $\S 284$.
20. Marg. see 1 Sam. 17:9.
22.
24. - this I know, or adv. this time § 235.3 (4).

## CHAPTER XVIII.

1. the beginning of the drought, but from Elijah's arrival at Zarephath, Luke 4:25, James 5:17. Marg. Itapltarah of gins the lesson in the prophets corresponding to the lesson of the law, Ex. 30:11 etc. so called from its opening words, uecording to the custom of the German Jews.
2. $\pi$ : transitive verbs are often followed by a direct object.

4． vib § 273． 3.

5．$n=:=$ destroy，be forced to kill them on account of our inability to feed them；others suppose it to mean simply suffer to perish． ：


7．－－- nen as in English，is this you？

10．：חasexpa will not，because u＇able to do so，hence equivalent to cannot，or，as cond tioned by the preceding preterite，could not find thee．

15． $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ \text { ，see on Cren．} 42: 16 \text { ．}\end{array}$
18．：ニックplur．because of the various epithets he bore，descriptive of the different characters under which he was worshipped，as Baal－berith Judg．8：33，Baal－zebub， 2 Kin．1：6，ete．

19．$-\therefore=8254$ ． ，cating at the table，prop．eaters belonging to the table．

20．Marg．Mipphtarah of according to the custom of the Spunish Jews．

21．Érọ̆ limping upon tuo opinions instead of treading firmly upon one．The L．XX has upon both knees，iqvious，in which it is followed by a few modern interpreters．

22．As the prophets of $\Lambda$ starte，ver． 19 ，are not separately mention－ ed here，or in vs． 25,40 ，some have supposed that they were not present， but as the false prophets generally were gathered，ver． 20 ，and all of them were slain， $19: 1$ ，it is probable that the prophets of Baal only were named，since they were the most prominent and principal actors．

23．－：ニ：§ § 243． 2.
24． 5 prep．instrmental，call with the name，i．e．loudly utter the name $\$ 272.2 . b$ ，lere by way of invocation；sometimes its proclama－ tion is intended．$\$ 2.58 .2$ ．

25．
26．：rosy and they limped beside（see on Gen．42：24，45：1）the altar，contemptuously said of the danciug which formed part of their


30． larly destroyed in different parts of the laad，19：10，was doubtless erected by the true worshippers of Jehovah after the time of the sehism， when they were prohibited from going up to the temple at Jerusalem． In this period of defection，as in the corresponding period in the days of Samuel，the prophets were invested with extraordinary powers adapted
to the emergency，and，as the immediate messengers and representatives of God，assumed the functions and prerogatives of the priesthood，who had either abdicated their office or had been excluded from it．

31．： merely，to which it had then been unlanfully restricted．

32． §57．2（3），as two seahs or three pecks seem too small for the capacity of a trench surrounding the altar，some have thonght that it oecupied as much ground as would suffice for sowing two seahs of seed．But this on the other hand would make it too enormous．The suggestion is here offered whether the meaning may not be that its dimensions，viz．it．s．
 Such a measure，which may have been a familiar one，would contain something less than a eubic foot；the trench would consequently be about a foot wide by a foot deep．

33．The order of procedure and even the terms employed are bor－ rowed from the Mosaic law of sacrifice，Lev．1：6－8．

34．$=\frac{2}{2}$ S 273 ． 3 pl．fut．，some editions are without Methegh，when it will be 2 pl．imper．

36．テーニ゙ーン prep．indicates either the eause，see on Gen． $30: 14$ ， or the rule，as Gen．1：26；marg．see on Judg．13：17．

37．$=$ Tisw nected with $\cdots \cdots$ ，the subject，and separated from
 already past at the moment of speaking，but what will have oecurred， when they shall know it to be the ease $\mathcal{S} 262.1$ ．nack again to the faith and worship of their fathers，the patriarchs just recited．

39．sio § 258．2．Marg．see on Judg．13：25．
41．${ }^{-}$either noise or abundance．
43．$=$－
 phrase is by some supposed to have been originally accompanied by a gesture of the hand，until one ean do so and then so．Or the repeated adverb so and so may have an indefinite sense，whence until so and so means after an indefinite but brief period，as in English，＇by and by．＇

46．Marg．Here begins the Huphtarah of $\mathrm{or}_{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} \mathrm{B}_{3}$ ב，Num． $25: 10$ ，ete．

## CIIAPTER NIX．

1．－en，used adverbially，＇the way in which，＇hoov．
2．$-\underset{\sim}{2}$ ，as the formula of the oath precedes，equivalent to＇I swear that，＇Gen． $42: 16$ ．

3．－ix in reference to，for the sake of．
 or himself（1）die，i．e．that himself might die．$=\mathfrak{i}$ ，comp．（ien． $45: 28$.


13．ח
 comp．on（ien． $41: 40$ ；marg．§ 32 ．

20．一䈌：marg．the Shin with IHateph Kemets．


## NEHEMIAII，CIIAPTER IN．



5．上㤂：
 the reader that the Pattahh under Mem is not an error for Kamets．

7．


17．－marg．see Judg．13：17．
18．$-\frac{1}{5}$ ，implying a fresh partienlar and one of greater magni－
 §63．1．＂．

19．－・ツース § 271. b．
22. －xj？in respect to a corner，or collectively corners，so that they occupied every corner of these subjugated kingloms，or that the distri－ bution of the land was made with fixed corners and boundaries between
 Heshbon，Dent．1：4．

24．．．．both－ant，as in Lat．ei－ct．


29．$E=$ repeats the nom
 direct object or be construcl with $\} \varrho 272.2 . a$ ；one construction is adopted with the pronom，the other with the nouns in apposition with it，hapyencd us，viz．to our kings，ete．

$87 .: 9$ ．

## ISAIAII. CIIAPTER XL.

The last twenty-seven chapters of this book form one connected prophecy, of which the foresight of the Babylonish captivity, $39: 6,7$, was the starting-point and the historical occasion, and which was designed to remove the despondency produced by the prospect and especially the experience of this great calamity. The prophet is enabled to look out over the entire future of God's scheme of merey, and he aims to comfort the people by shewing them that they had a grand mission to fulfil and a glorious destiny which should be accomplished notwithstanding all present and future evils.

The work of consolation is begun in ihis chapter by the assurance
(1) vs. 1-11, the Lord who seemed to have forsaken Jerusalem is about to return and achieve her salvation.
(2) is. 12-26, the possibility of what appears so incredible is confirmed by an appeal to Cod's incomparable greatness.
(3) vs. $27-31$, the despondency of the people is therefore groundless.

The theme of the whole prophecy is contained in ver. 1,2 , which not only characterize it in the general as consolatory, but even foreshadow its triple division, with the special topic of each.

1. $\%$, the repetition is emphatic. The persons addressed are not specifically the priests (LXX), prophets (Targ.), elders, nor certain inquirers supposed to have consulted Isaiah respecting the future fortunes of the people, but all who hear the summons. The imperative form is unessential and does not belong to the main idea to be expressed. The thing insisted upon is not so much the duty and obligation of the work of consolation as the certainty that God's people were to be consoled. It was of no consequence who should administer the comfort; that is accordingly left indefinite. The point of real interest was that there was ground for comfort and that the people would receive it. シِ , not a vocative (Vulg.) but object of verb : this expression contains already the seeds of consolation, since it is a recognition of the relation as still existing between God and the people, which the latter might be tempted in their dejection to imagine had been broken off. saith or is saying § 263. 2, for the utterance, though begun, is not completed; not will say, as though God would at some future time direct that comfort should be given to his people. For though it largely respects an emergency which had not yet arisen, $29: 8$, the comfort is not postponed to another time, but is given in this prophecy. And the same phrase is frequently used throughout Isaiah, and always in a present sense. to say, introduces the very words of a speaker, while
volves no citation of the exact language. Marg. Maphtarah of $;$ i. e. corresponding to the Parashah or lesson of the law, beginning Deut. 3:23.
 able to the heart or feelings; or the strict local sense of the prep. may be retained, speak not to the ears merely, but so as to reach down to and remain upon the heart. ='s denotes the whole interior nature of man, including both the understanding. 1 Kin. $3: 9$, and the affections, Deut. 30:6. The meaning here is, speak so as to affect the feelings; the words themselves do not determine in what way, whether joyously or the reverse, but usage confines it to the former. it: $\S 47$, the city considered as the centre and capital of Gol's earthly kinglom, put for that kinglom itself or for the people who compose it (as Rome for the Roman empire), and hence equivalent to of ver. 1. These critics, who deny the genuineness of this prophecy and refer it to sume imaginary writer at or near the close of the captivity, are compelled to understand by 'Jerusalem' the desolated city itself, or its inhabitants in exile, though it is hard to see why these should be thus singled out from the rest of the exiles as the recipients of special comfort. w-r? procluim, cry in a loul tone, thus differing from 7 . 7 . The proclanation embraces the three things which now follow. - usually mase. here fem. means both a host or army, and military service. In Num. $4: 23$ it is applied to the Levitical ministrations in the sanctuary as a militia sacra, an orderly and well appointed service by a special body organized and devoted to that particular function. It here denotes Jerusalem's period of suffering, comp. Heb. 10:32, conceived of as a toilsome service, and for a definite term. This is now full, i. e. completed. render pardoned, a sense which the word does not have; Gesen. translates is satisfied or discharged by the infliction of the merited penalty; others her punishment is accepted as sufficient, but this gives an unproved meaning to $i \%$; the verb properly means to be uccepted, and is technically used of the acceptance of sacrifices, whenee the most probable opinion is that $\quad$ ? offering,' an atonement for her iniquity is accepted.
$\because$ is most naturally taken in the same sense with the two that precede it, that, introducing the third particular of the comfort to be proclaimed. If rendered for, it assigns the reason of the preceding; she is released from further suffering, for, ete. 六r, the preterites of this verse are prophetic $\$ 262.4$. $=$ res double, not in a strict numerical sense 'two things,' whether, as some old writers explained it, justification and sanctification, or the two particulars before mentioned, but in-
definitely to denote the abundance or largeness of the blessings to be received, comp. 61:7. Those who render the preceding for, must refer 'double' not to blessings but to punishment or sufferings, as Jer. 16.18, Rev. 18:6, not as though she had suffered twice as much as her sins had deserved, or as God had intended to inflict, but amply for the purposes of punishment ; their punishment was 'double,' not so as to ex. ceed but to be commensurate with the vastness of their sins. : - raxincien the prep. may have its local sense in all her sins, in the midst of them, and by implication in spite of them ; or it may denote the price, comp. Gen. $3: 19,37: 28$, for all her sins, by a gracious recompense of good for evil.

The rest of the book may be divided into three principal sections, of nine chapters each, indicated by the refrain, $48: 22,57: 21$, and answering in a general way to the three topics of consolation just announced. The prominent though not the exclusive subject of
(1) ch. $40-18$ is the overthrow of Babylon and Israel's deliverance from exile, culminating in ch. 45 . This is a plelge and a preliminary fulfilment of the declaration that 'her warfare is accomplished.'
(2) ch. 49-57, the sufferings and reward of the Messiah, culminating in ch. 53 : 'her sin-offering is accepted.'
(3) ch. 58-66, the future glory of Israel, culminating in ch. 60 : 'she hath received of the Lord's hand double for all her sins.'

The remainder of the first division of this chapter consists of three stanzas of three verses each:
(1) vs. $3-5$. In confirination of what has just been announced, and as the method by which it is to be effected, it is declared that God will re turn to his long-forsaken people.
(2) vs. 6-8. This is indubitably certain : for it does not depend on frail and feeble man, but is secured by the unfailing word of God.
(3) vs. 9-11. It is represented as actually taking place before their eyes; God is seen returning to his people.
3. $\because \mathrm{Z}$, not a continuation of the command, vs. 1. 2, with stood, 'let there be a voice,' but an exclamation, a voice! equivalent to 'hark!' or 'I hear a voice;' const. as in LXX, Eng. Ver. 'voice of one
 following the injunction to cry, this voice is heard erying. The voice itself is undefined, only the quarter is recognized from which it comes,習 in the wilderness. This may be connected with what precedes and designate the locality where the voice is heard, or with what follows and show where the way is to be prepared. The parallelism of the last clause is urged in favour of connecting it with what follows: but the
different collocation of the words in the two clauses, together with the fact that one of its most remarkable fulfilments, as testified by all four of the evangelists, Mat. 3:3, Mark $1: 3$, Luke $3: 4$, Joln $1: 23$, was in John the Baptist, who eame preaching in the wilderness of Judea, favours the other view. At the same time, while strietly belonging to what precedes, it will naturally be understood also with what follows; the road was to be preparel where the voice was heard. a wilderness, a waste, uncultivated region, producing a scanty herbage, fit only for pasturage; ${ }^{\text {O }}$ is a desert, a sterile, arid region, totally destitute of products. Those interpreters who see no allusion in this prophecy to anything except the babylonish exile and the restoration from it, understand by 'the wilderness' and 'the desert ' the region between Babylon and Palestine, through which God here promises a safe and easy passage to his people returning from exile. But not a word is here sail about the return of the people from eaptivity. The road is not for the people to march over, but for (iod himself. The figure is not even that of God marehing at the heal of his people, and leading them from bondage, as when he brought them out of Egypt. But it is Gold returning to his people who had alienated him by their sins and in consequence fallen into their present extremity. They are now exhorted to prepare the way for his return to accomplish their salvation. It has further been made a question whether 'the wilderness' is to be understood literally or figuratively, and accordingly whether it denotes the wilderness of Judea, where John preached repentance in fulfilment of this prediction, or a place of destitution, privation and trial, and represents the condition of sin and suffering in which the people were. But in point of fact these two meanings do not exclude each other. John's preaching in the wilderness, like his dress and his ascetic life, was itself symbolical of the spiritual and moral wazte which Julah then presented, and which it was his mission to endeavour to reclaim. IIis appearance in a locality conformed to the literal terms of the prophecy was an index pointing hin out as its sulject, and one by whom it was fulfilled in its higher spiritual sense. A like mingling of the literal and the figurative is frequent in the prophecies, comp. Zech. 9:9. Ps. 22: 18. It may be remarked, in addition, that this is a generic propheey, and was fultilled in the entire series of instruments and messengers from Isaiah onward, by which (iod wrought reformations among his people at various periods, and thus prepared the way for his more or less conspicuous return to them. In this class of predietions it is not umsual for the prophets to employ terms, which are in a general sense applicable to all the partieulars included within the seope of the fultilment, but which
are in a more special and strict sense descriptive of some one of marked prommence，comp．Gen． $3: 15,2$ Sam． $7: 12-16$ ．So here，while all God＇s messengers to the people preached repentance in a moral and spiritual waste，John the Baptist did so in a literal wilderness likewise．
？ of $\sin$ by a timely repentance． $5-\%$ ． 254.9 ．a，the way which Jehovah will use and over which he will come；this is a general term under which is embraced as a particular kind of road，highway，or causeway raised above the ordinary surface．in ，if the reference be to linear obliquity，make straight，if to superficial inequality，make leacl；the next verse shows that the latter idea is here prominent．

4．An amplification of the preceding idea．The meaning is of course not that the valleys shall be converted into mountains and vice versâ，but that the one shall be raised and the other depressed，so as to form a smooth and level course．N？，declarative，shall be raised，or perhaps mandatory，as this is included among the senses of the future， and might here be suggested by the preceding command，let it be raised．
 while $-\cdots$ means a valley that is bread and open，or even one that is
 follows the LXX，crooked，in contrast with $\begin{gathered}\text { ，} \\ \text { ，straightness：but as }\end{gathered}$ the latter，comp．：ֹּּרּ，ver． 3 ，may refer to superficial equality，cvenness， the former may denote an cminence，or as an adj．uneven，broken into numerous hillocks．こי゙̣ニーir？difficult passes，narrow gorges should be opened out to wide valleys or plains，or according to Dr．Alexander， ridges．

5．$-\sum_{4}$ ？and as a result of the preceding preparations shall be re－ vealed．This is to be preferred to the indirect subjunctive rendering that the glory of the Lord may be revcaled．The former is more forcible， as it certainly assures of this result，which the latter only does by im－
 or display of his perfections：used also of that symbolical brightness which often accompanied God＇s revelation of himself，as at the dedication of the temple， 1 Kin． $8: 11$ ．When the way was prepared for him by the penitence of his people，God himself would come and display his glorious perfections in the salvation of his people．This was true of their deliverance from exile，and other tokens of his presence，but es－ pecially of his personal coming in the flesh，of which the apostle John says，in language borrowed perhaps from this passage， $1: 14$ ，we beheld


[^3]flesh, in its widest sense, Gen. 7:21, all living animals; here, as often elsewhere, all mankind. The glory displayed by the coming of God to his people should be so conspicuous that all mankind (not the chosen people only) should behold it. This was more conspicuously true of Christ's advent than of the deliverance from Babylon. $\stackrel{1}{5} \mathrm{r}$ : toyether, may qualify the verb and denote identity of time, shall see it immediately on its being displayed, or qualify the noun, all flesh toyether. $\quad=$ might be the object of :ר shall see that, etc.: but it is better to make 'see' govern an olject understood, 'the glory of God,' and translate כ for ; this is its meaning wherever else the phrase occurs. It then confirms what precedes, the mouth of Jehovah, not man, hath spoken it.
6. It has just been announced on the authority of God that his glory would be revealed in the salvation of his people. The next stanza, vs. $6-8$, declares how reliable and unfailing that word is. $2 \times 3$, as in ver. 3 , an exclamation, either const. voice of one saying or, app. a voice saying. Some make the voice that of God, and the person addressed the prophet, a siew of the case which has led in a few MSS. to pointing the next verb Vulgate dixi. But there is no necessity of defining who the speaker is. ? plies. Junius and Tremellius (quoted by Dr. Alexander) assume but a single voice and make $\quad$-p the subject, 'a voice says cry, and says or tells
 perhaps that may accomnt for the use of the article, all the flesh just spoken of: this is not necessary, however, as the article may be used in its generic sense § 215. 5. . חֲ grass, a comparison frequent in the Scriptures, the point of resemblance, as is phain from parallel passages and from this connexion, being that of evaneseent frailty. The respect in which human frailty is here asserted will depend upon the meaning given to - n. Its primary signification is that of kindness or benevolent regard. It is used (1) of God's farour to men, (2) of men's love to God, or piety, (3) of men's benignity or kindness to one another. On the assumption that the precise thing lere asserted is the vanity of human greatness and power, some interpreters assume that the word must have the sense of beauty; ccmp. in, and the English grace, which mean both favour and beanty. So Eng. Ver. goodlincss, LXX $\delta 0$ 24. The adoption of this rendering by the apostle does not prove its areuracy as a verbal translation, but only that the sentiment expressed is true, and that it was sufficiently accurate for the purpose which he lai 1 in view in quoting it. But as the word occurs nowhere else in this sense, and as its meanings in other places yicld a good sense here, there
is no need of departing from them. It may mean favour shewn to men-human favour is precarious and feeble; we cannot build much therefore on human promises, but this is the word of God. Or love to God, piety: it is used in this sense by Hosea 6:4, "your goodness is as a morning cluod." The meaning then is, human goodness is too feeble and frail to merit such an interposition as is here predicted. But the prediction is not based on any expectation of human merit, it rests solely on the gracious word of God. : flower of the field, i. e. ' wild flower,' as 'beast of the field' denotes wild beast. It is not probable that any stress is to be laid here upon the distinction between wild and cultivated flowers, the former being less cared for, and especially liable to be trodden upon or cut down. The individualizing is due to the vividness of poetic conception, or it may have been suggested by association with the grass previously mentioned. The preceding clause contains a metaphor, here a particle of comparison is introduced. Those who insist on a literal understanding of our Lord's words 'this is my body,' should here believe, on the basis of this passage, that all flesh is, not by a figure but in its aetual substance, grass.
7. The comparison suggested before is here developed, and the point of comparison stated. Man resembles grass because it dries up, and a flower since it fades or wilts. $\$ 262.3$. editions vary in giving Merka or Methegh to the ultimate syllable. קיק for; some render when, a sense which the particle sometimes has, but it is best to adhere to the ordinary meaning when practicable. Sphe Spirit, $^{2}$ since God's infinite Spirit conducts and presides over all operations of nature, great or minute; or, the breath of Jehovath has blown upon it; or, which amounts to the same thing though it is less poctical, the wind of Jehovah, i. e. sent by him, see on Gen. 1:2. izָּ, not a particle of inference, therefore, but of asscreration, yea, or surely. $\quad$ 꾹. Some suppose without reason that the Chaldeans are meant ; yes, this powerful oppressing people is grass which his breath can wither. This word, when standing absolutely, often means the people by way of eminence, i. e. God's chosen people, Israel, as on the other hand ${ }^{\circ}$ a stands for heathen nations; some so understand it here, yes, even Isracl is grass, their goodness fleeting and void of all merit. But there is no need of restricting it in either of these ways; it is better to take it, as in $42: 5$, for people generally, mankind, equivalent to "all flesh," vs. 5. 6. Subject with article, predicate without, as commonly in Greek, though not a universal rule.
8. An emphatic repetition for the sake of making plainer the contrast to be presented. וירְ and, where we must employ the adversative
but § 2S7. 1. Word in its wide sense, not limited to promise or prophecy, much less to the specific utterance which precedes, though that is of course included: nor to the gospel to which it is applied by Peter. $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{F}_{;}$, stand, i. e. be ralid, firm, opposed to fail of accomplislment. According to the meaning of i-sp, the sense will be, (1) No lack of goodness on the part of man can prevent (iol's word of grace from taking effect. (2) The feebleness and frailty of man is no argument against the completion of so glorious a salvation, since Golls word assures it. Or (3) the fleeting favour of man only heightens by contrast the endless favour of God and the certainty of his word.
 how varions readings may arise from the proximity of clauses or paragraphs of like ending.
9. In the confidenee of faith God is actually seen coming to Zion, and the capital city is directed to announce the fact to the rest of the land. more widely: some suppose an allusion to the mountains on which Jerusalem was built and by which it was surrounded. use of the pronoun, for ther, for thyself. n-z.zannouncing glad tid-
 denotes a messenger, irrespective of the character of his message. It may govern the following word, "bearing glad tidings to Zion;" then fem. because it was the custom for women to celebrate victories with songs and dances, or as a term of office, comp. nierp § 198, or as a collective $==\cdots$ with Zion; Zion herself is to announce the glad message to juferior citics. C :
 no fear to make the announcement, as though there were danger of being disappointel in the issue. - - Uelhold him or here he is, either visibly coming or actually arrivel, already in the midst of Jerusalem.
10. impressiveness. Rina, not in strength, for pin is not an abstract, nor against "strong one, nor with a strong one, in conjunction with the Messiah as his agent and coaljutor, but in the capacity or character of a strong one. ithe not over him, as the ohject of which is commonly followed ly z , but for him; he shall come as a sovereign. in in his revard, that which he bestows, or possibly that which he receives, has merited or acquired, viz. his people, whom he saves, or the salvation which he bestows. frix with him, i. e. in his possession. 'niver work, bence the roges which are its equivalent.
11. F.: God is often' compared to a shepherd from the days of the patriarchs, Gen. 49:24, and David, Ps. 23:1; the figure is adopted by our Lord in the parable of the good shepherl, John 10. The possible constructions are as a shepherd who feels his flock, as a shepherd his flock, he, Jehovah, will feed, as a shepherd he will feed his flock. . not only feed, but the whole work of a shepherd. יצִרי: , will gathes with his arm, i. e. take up in his arms. n § $\S(53.1$, not pregnant, but giving suck. : :-rn? lead; others render sustain.

Vs. 12-26. God's incomparable greatness is presented as a ground for trusting him to accomplish what in itself might seem incredible.
12. one,' as though it were designed to exalt the vastness of the material creation, which man could never compass with his puny measures. It is rather implied that this which no one has done or can do, God has done. He has determined with the utmost nicety the measure and weight of all the constituents and parts of the world, Job $28: 25$; he has balanced its masses and forces with a precision, which the investigations of science serve but to disclose more and more: so that the main idea is not the vastness of the universe, nor merely the harmony of its parts, but the infinite superiority of him by whom these vast masses were apportioned with the utmost ease and nicety. He measures and regulates without difficulty material nature, though in itself so vast as to be incomprehensible by us. And hence the measures spoken of in the verse are ordinary and diminutive ones: if the intention had been to enhance the magnitule of the world, measures of large capacity would have been employed, but God can measure the universe by the inch and the ounce. hand, distinguished from $0=$ the palm and $\boldsymbol{T}$, the hand. o indef., water as an element in the constitution of the world. Some have sought to explain the order in which the parts of the universe are mentioned from Gen. 1, water, then heaven or the firmanent, then the earth. $\S 50.1$, to straighten, as the beam of a balance in weighing, thence extended to

 comp. the English measures quart, ticree. O not merely superficial dust, but the mass of the earth itself. Note the climax : meusure the
 a balance, probably an instrument like a steelyard, and so distinguished from: 0 ,
13. $\Lambda$ fresh climax; none can measure God's works, still less can any measure their maker, fathom his spirit, and understand his plans,
or what is yet more incredible, outdo him in wisdom and suggest plans to him. This unbelief would do, fancying that he has overlooked, ver. 27 , what he should have attended to. $y_{5}$, not directed, but as in the preceding verse measured. © ${ }^{2} \times \mathbf{y}$ his man of counsel, or counsellor, so l's. 119:24. This is better than to govern ive by the verb, who, a man, will cause him to know his counsel. The combination of the preterite and the future in the verse embraces all time $\S 263.5$. $a$; who has done this or who will do it?
14. Expands the last elause of the preceding verse. :any, not that he might instruct him, expressing the design of the consultation, but and lie instructed him, its actnal result. The subject of the preceding verb is the object of this. $n=\frac{1}{-1}$ prep. has its local sense, taught, i. e. guided in the path. to accomplish a desired end, or judgment, the proper course for him as the universal judge, the ruler and arbiter of all things. ny:an, signification beightened by the plural form $\S 201$. 1. c. The future and the preterite employed in different clauses of the verse.
15. T'o the exhibition of God's infinite superiority to any individual creature now follows his infinite superiority to whole nations, vs. 15-17, and even to all nations combined. 10 ! It is impossible for God to be beholden to individuals, for see! whole nations are reckoned as a drop. כִּ occurs nowhere else, but without doult means drop. to have compared nations to a bucket of water would have implied their insignificance, but it is rather to a drop from a bucket which, when taken out, leaves no appreciable difference in the mass left behind, its abstraction is not noticeable; the contrast thus suggested giving a stronger impression of littleness than simply to have said a drop of water. It does not mean a drop hanging from a bucket. prons primarily a cloud, which might be intended here as an imponderable body; but it is better to take it in its derived sense 'cloud of dust,' then dust, the fine particles left on scales after weighing substances, which have no appreciable effect in disturbing its balance. LXX pomì ̧uyov and Vulg. momentum stuterer, the turning of the seales, that small quantity which is sufficient to decide the balance. But the figure denotes rather that which is wholly inappreciable. The English Version needlessly supplies the substantive verb in the first clanse, 'nations are as a drop,
 habitable lands as opposed to water, especially of islands, maritime regions, and territories beyond the sea which are distant and little known. (1) Istunls are reckoned as an atom which he, any one, or it, the wiml, taketh "p, from
b:u. (3) He will, if he chooses, i. e. he can take up islands like an atom.
16. As for Lebanon there is no sufficiency for burning: : § § 215. 1. $d$, followed by that for which anything is not sufficient. The meaning is not that the vastest sacrifice would be an inadequate expiation for human sin; nor is it an assertion of the inefficiency of the Old Testament ritual offerings; but such is God's infinite superiority that the grandest offerings on the most magnificent scale are unworthy of his acceptance. This is stated not as an abstract proposition, but is exhibited in a striking example. tine from Syria, the highest mountains with which Israel was familiar, from ${ }^{7} \div$ ? white, so called by reason of the snow resting upon its peaks, or the whitish colour of its limestone rock. incrivich collective.
17. A still stronger assertion of the truth in ver. 15 , not merely nations but all the nations combined are not a drop which, however insignificant, still has existence and a certain magnitude, but as nothing: the prep. qualifies the expression, they are not absolutely nonexistent, but as if they were nothing. בֶגתחף before him, not merely in his judgment or esteem, but confronting him or compared with him.
 of being, nonexistence : the former is here strengthened by ans emptiness. The prep. is comparative, less than nothing, lit. more of nothing tharb nothing itself. Others make it partitive, of nothing, or indicative of the
 clauses. : $: \frac{1}{i}$, not by him, but in respect to him, or compared with him.
18. Sums up the preceding argument. things being so, to whom, etc. iosm poetic form §172. 1. 之s, the mighty God, derived from se to be strong. - , what similar thing will ye compare to him, or what similitude will ye institute in respect to him.
19. The question of ver. 18 suggests the likenesses which men in their fully have dared to make as representations of the infinite Gud. The puerile absurdity of idolatry is brought out by dwelling on the details of the proeess of making a god, its materials being selected and put together by human toil. דֵּק may be the direct object of or the answer to the previons question with the relative supplied, the image which a workman has wrought! this would better account for the article and for the order of the words. It properly denotes a yraven image; some surpose that it here describes the wooden interior over which the metallic surface is cast. But the metallic plating follows: it must therefore be used in a wide sense for idol, irrespective of the mode of its

 then to cover with such plates．nien，silver chains，for ornament， or support．（1）母－：$\quad$ ，noun as before and repeat verb of preceding clause，a goldsmith is beating out or preparing silver chains．（2）घ－is， participle，melting or casting chains，or，as chains are not made by cast－ ing，soldering the chains，melting them so as to make them alhere to the image．The change of tenses represents the image as in process of ma－ nufacture；part is completed，part is yet to be performed $\S 263.5$ ．$a$ ．

20．Exa one poor as to oblation，who cannot afford to offer gold and silver to his god，must make his idol of something less precious．Or impoverished by oblation，but still persisting in his poor way in what
 chooses as an oblation a tree，etc．$\quad \because \underset{j}{ } .$. not wood but tree，he selects it while growing in the forest． $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}-$ ，as the god cannot preserve itself from rotting，he must be particular as to the quality of the wood．غ̇구 skil－ ful in his business or profession．it，for himself；others for it，i．c．the
 move．

21．This description is broken off abruptly by an indignant question and a renewed description of God＇s infinite superiority，vs．21－24． ：－will ye not know？Is this ignorance and stupidity to continue？ are you never going to know？$\quad$ ， lowing clause and suppose an allusion here to the revelation of God in his word as there in his works． beginning，which has been varionsly explained of the origin of their lives，the origin of Israel as a nation，and the beginning of the world． The last is the most natural and agrees best with the parallel expression which follows．n：－Eig formdutions，not an actual material basis on which the world was imagined to be built，but concrete for abstract，the fomulin！or original construction of the curll，which is here compared to an e lifice．It is the olject of the verb 5 er：$\because=\frac{0}{}$ ．
2.2 ．シーer may be connected with the preceding and governed by er：an or with what follows，in apposition with the suffix in $\because \cdots=$ ， ver． 2.5 ，which is however too remote；or the substantive verb may bo surpliol，as in Eng．Ver．＇lt is he that sittetls．＇Perhaps it may best be recradul as an abrupt and uncommectel exclamation．The presence of the article shows that it is to be mulerstood substantively，and is not a sulatitute for a finite tense，＇he sittetlı．＇It may mean derell，or better， sit as a monarch，enthroned．an occurs in two other passages，Prov． $8: 27$, Job $22: 14$ ，in which it denotes the hemispherical arch of the
heavens. The 'circle of the carth' is by some supposed to denote the arch which appears to rest upon the earth, and by others the earth itself, orlis terrurum. シ̈ will in the one case mean upon and in the other over. Tan: stands with designed allusion to , rex who sits or dwells above the earth being contrasted with those who dwell in or inhabit it.
 bably has the article, as is usual in comparisons $\$ 245.5 . d$, though the pointing does not determine. 15) variously explained as a veil, awning or curtain. הins, this part. as the preceding denotes present time, or rather expresses the agent irrespective of time $\S 266$, 'the spreader out' who has done, does, and shall continue to do it. The continuous agency of God in preserving and upholding the universe is implied. The expression shows that the IIebrews did nut conceive the vault of heaven as a solid sphere, see on Gen. 1:6. It is compared to the thinnest possible material; and even this is not a physical but poetical description. Ensm:? , a construction begun with a participle or infinitive often passes over into a preterite or future, since these are the fundamental tenses and embrace all the divisions of time § 28.2. c. : $\boldsymbol{n}$, not connected with the preceding verb, spreads them out to dwell in whether for himself, for celestial beings, or for men who dwell under this spacious roof; but with
23. $=\mathbf{a}$ rulers. $\quad \underset{\sim}{\circ}$, not the territory over which he places them, gives them to rule over nothing, but the condition to which they are themselves re-
 their weight and influence, properly judge, but as this was one of the functions of sovereignty, used in the wider sense of rulers. : of construction from participle to preterite $\S 282$. c.
24. $-\mathfrak{y y}$ also not. The first clause of this verse may be regarded as a sequel of the preceding, or as introductory to what fullows. If the former, the annihilation is so complete that it appears as though they had not even been planted. No vestige remains to show that this had ever been the case; others understand it to mean that they have not been replanted, nor even a seed remaining been sown. If the latter, 'they were not even planted, and he blew upon them,' he can destroy them at any antecedent stage of their power as well as when they have arrivel at the height of it. struction, with the additional idea of worthlessness. If the figure were to be pressed, earthly rulers are as chaff which serves an important purpose during the maturing of the grain, but when the end for which they were brought into existence is answered, they are blown away as worth-
less chaff．The oriental method of winnowing was by casting the grain and chaff together up to the wind，that the former might be separated and the latter blown away．n－yeg，not ordinary wind，but violent storm， whirluind．：Es，ni，change of tense；the process is begun but not ended． They have withered and shall be blown away．The verb means either （i）take up or to carry amay．
．2．）．Substantial repetition of the question of ver． 18 ，but God is here
 be equal． continue by his word and works to say，see on $40: 1$ ．：zíp，no article， as it assumes somewhat the character of a proper name．The primary idea is that of separation．As applied to things，e．g．the temple，its vessels，ete．，it denotes separation from those of ordinary character and uses，setting apart，consecration．As applied to persons，it implies separation in a moral sense likewise，spiritual purity．Used of God， it denotes his separation from his ereatures both in exaltation， which is chiefly dwelt upon in the coutext，and in his moral purity and excellence．

26．An appeal to the stars，and what they declare of the greatness of him who made and controls them，still further to exhibit God＇s in－ finite superiority．He who brings forth his heavenly host，calls all by name，and loses none，will not overloo＇s the concerns of his people．？s－r， absolutely，see，viz．the heavens，not joined to what follows，see who hath created，etc．－iss，evidently referring to stars，though they have not been expressly mentioned．$\underset{\sim}{9}$ ，not the answer to the preceding question，but a continuation of it，＇Who is the one bringing out，ete．＇ As $x:$ ；is used of the rising of the sun and stars，some render cuusing them to rise．But it is rather a military firure，leading forth a：t army．此（1）by mumber，denoting orderly arrangement．（2）in full number，completely；or（3）in great number，numerously．＝x．ジ，see on Cen．2：1．E゙ミ may be used as an indef．pron．in relation to things， but is here perhaps suggested by the figure of a host，＇not a man is missing．＇Marg．see on Julg．13：2．7， 1 Kin 18：39．

27．The third and last division of the chapter begins here，shewing the unreasonableness of Israel＇s dejection and distrust．$\quad$ ris．The demand for a reason implies that there was none．Ans not merely dust thon say，hut wilt thou say，why continne to say or persist in saying． $=-\cdots$ ．The original name of the patriarch，never used of his descendants except in poetry．$\underset{\sim y}{*}=\mathbf{y}$ is listinguished from cratic or sacred from the secular or gentile name．At the time of tho schism the ten tribes composing the mass of the people usurped the name
of Israel for themselves, leaving the other kingdom to be called Judah, from the dominant tribe. "Israel" is here used in its sacred or theocratic sense, as describing the chosen people, and that although the kingdom of Judah is alone referred to. The ten tribes were apostate, and had been virtually exscinded by their overthrow and eaptivity. Judah was the true Israel in whom the continuity was preserved in spite of the rejection of the mbelieving mass. r-ma: hidden, out of sight. whether unknown and forgotten or unatten led to. ${ }^{*}=-\geq$ uay, sometimes figuratively denoting course of conduct, but here condition. ت my cause, in its forensic sense, or my right. : ר רizan shall petss auroy, either my cause shall be neglected, the controversy with my enemies not coming up before God for trial, or being dismissed unsettled; or my right shall pass away, my rightful claim to protection against the injustice of my foes shall fail to be secured. Marg. Maphtarah of $\bar{j}-\overline{7}$, (ien. 12: 1 .
28. The unreasonableness of this distrust is apparent from what they knew or ought to know. The infinite greatness of God is urged by sceptics as an argument against the salvation of the gospel. He who created and watches over the vast universe would not bestow such extraordinary attention on this speck of earth as the gospel supposes. But the objection is guilty of the very depreciation of God which it deprecates. If this earth is as nothing, is the rest of the universe any greater in comparison with him? To the prophet God's infinite greatness is an invincible ground of trust; no vastness of cares ean so distract him that he shall be unable to do all that is needful for the feeblest and the least. ᄃx——§283. 2. Hast thou not known, or hast thou not at least heard? names which are here emphatically accumulated; others make $\quad$ the subject and ェiּi -is predicate, Jehovah is the God of etcrnity § 25.4.6. nïn extremities, including all that is between them, the entire earth from one extremity to another. وī? fuintness, primarily arising from running, $\because=:$ weariness from toil ; they are here combined as equivalents to intensify the idea; fut. because this never will occur, involving of course a denial that it ever has occurred or is possible. mean 'there is no searching to his understanding,' his knowledge is intuitive, not diseursive, is not gained by investigation. Their meaning here is, it is impossible for man to investigate the divine understanding, it is limitless. As he cannot desert Isracl for lack of power or through exhaustion, neither can he from want of knowledge whether of their wants or of the methods of supplying them.
29. He is not only the possessor of strength but the source of it. He not only never wearies himself but recuperates those who do. ing
§ 259. 2. E: : rrin, he not only gives but multiplies, gives abundantly. Who among the powerless shall be thus succoured, is explained in what follows.
30. Human strength, even the most vigorous and active, is inadequate. What has been denied of God is here affirmed of the stoutest men and those in the prime of life. $=\because \cdot \square \cdot$, the part. but in the special sense of clonice young men, selected for their fitness for military duty, it has for distinction $=\cdots \because \because \cong 210 . a$.
31. $\$ 254.9 . b$, wail for, expect him with faith and patience, which is also the sense of 'wait upon' in the Eng. Ver., though this phrase in modern English rather suggests the idea of persumal attendance. This verb may be construed with the direct object or with 3
 By, not shall go up into feathers, i. e. put forth feathers, comp. Ps. 103 : $\overline{5}$, nor mount up with vinge, but shall raise the pinion. Fip and a again as in ver. 28: they who trust in God shall no more faint than God himself.

## Cilapter xli.

In the preceding chapter the incomparable greatness of Jehovah had been asserted as a ground for Israel's trust in the salvation he had promised. Here the questions of $40: 18.25$. are as it were resumed, and his supremacy demonstrated a arainst all opposers. This is presented under the figure of a majestic trial, to which Jehovah, as the one party, smmons all the nations and the gods whose claims they put forth or defend, challenging them to exhibit proofs of deity compared with his. The clapter consists of two unequal parts, viz. :

1. vs. 1-24, the trial in detail, with its result ;
2. vs. 25-29, a brief recapitulation.

The process of the trial is subdivided into:
(1) The setting forth of the evidences of Jehovalis power and foreknowledge, as these would be conspicuonsly displayed in what he was about to achieve :
a. The raising up of Cyrus, vs. 2-7.
b. Making Israel victorious over all foes, vs. 8-20.
(2) The futility of all other claims to divinity. The clamants can neither foretell anything nor bring anything to pass, vs. 21-24.

1. The summons of the nations and their gods to trial, to vindicate their claim to divinity in comparison with Jehovah. be silent unto me, i. e. be silent and turn to me. Some understand
this of conversion, cease your raging lostility and turn quictly and submissively to me, and thus you shall gain the new strength promised, 40:31. Others, be reduced to silence, as the result of the trial which follows, this being already anticipated at the outset. It would then be a summons to be silenced by entering into trial with God, and finding themselves nnable to make out their claims. It is better to regard it as a call to attention; listen silently to me, and then with your utmost vigour maintain your cause. God is the speaker thronghout this chapter. En, see on $40: 15$. The summons to the most distant nations implies that those nearer at hand are likewise challenged. allusion to $40: 31$, where this is asserted of those waiting for Jchovah. Let the nations, who will not wait on him, renew it for themselves, summon all their strength, and, if possible, redouble it. Change of person $\$ 279$. The future has an imperative sense, as is shown by the preceding imperative and the cohortative at the end of the verse. approac! not one another but God, and this not as worshippers but as adversaries. :nene , after the preliminary silence during the presentation of Gol's claims to divinity, they may set forth their own or those of the idols which they worship. יT: together, God and his adversaries. BHe: (1) judgment or trial (2) judgment seat, place of trial.
2. The first proof of Gol's power and foreknowledge adduced is the annumciation of his purpose to raise up Cyrus, whose appearance and correspondence with what is here predicted of him would give evidence both that God controlled human history, and that he foreknew whatever comes to pass. Cyrus is described as, in prophetic vision, already raised up ( $(\underset{\sim}{-n})$ and pursuing his career of conquest (other verbs future). That $\because \pi$ is a prophetic preterite $\S 262.4$, and Cyrus, though ideally present, belongs to the distant future, is apparent from the fact that the announcement of his coming proves Gol's divinity against the idols. God foretold the coming of Cyrus and brought it to pass, while the idols could do nothing of the kind. He is here spoken of in general terms, simply as a great conqueror from the East, or, as this is supplemented by ver. 25 , from the North and East, i. e. Persia, which lay in this direction from Palestine. In the progress of the prophecy he is $m$ re fully describel, and his very name announcel. $\bar{r}-\underset{\text {. }}{ }$. Some suppose Abraham, and others Christ, to be referred to, and make $--:$ the object of 7 , and abstract for concrete $=$ = ject of $\cdots$ is not expressed, and the relative is to be supplied $\S 285.3$, raised "p hiin whom not victory meets at every step, which gives to F-Y an unauthorized sense, but righteousness calls to its foot as its servant and follower. He is raised up as an instrument of God's righteousness.

 strords (collective) as dust, i. e. numerous, and his bows fleet as chaff; but this firmere is more appropriate to the subdued than the subjugator. (2) suf. colleet. referring to kings, make their sword as dust. (3) may best be regardel as an absolute expression of the manner or instrument § 27.4. 2.e, make nations and kings as dust by his sword, i. e. that of Cyrus.
 feet, or previously passed over: this violates the tense of the verb. (2) a vay that with his feet he shall not come, he shall not be compelted to retrace his steps. (3) the way at his foet, i. e. after him one shall not come, no one shall pursue hiin. (4) a way he shall not go with his feet, such shall be his speed that he shall rather fly than walk. The last is the best rendering.
3. The question of ver. 2,3 is resumed. קron (1) an answer to the question he calling the generations from the beginning has done it, he who is the universal controller of providence and history has controlled it in this instance. (2) continues the question and agrees with $\mathbf{x}$ : this is favoured by the absence of the article. C'alling may mean calling into existence, or proclaiming, heralding, amouncing beforehand. Who has exhibited this evilence of power and foreknowledge by raising up Cyrus? The answer is-I the Lord; first and with the lust-before all and not survived by any. $N=;$ (1) I am the same, unchangeable; this gives a supposititious sense to the pronoun; (2) I am he, the one in question who has done this; (3) I am first and with the last $\$$ 258. 2.

Vs. $5-7$ express the terror of the nations, their endeavours to nerve each other, and to put their gods in the best condition to render effectual help. The sarcasm lies in the fact that idols needing the services of ordinary workmen slould be looked to in opposition to the infinite God. The immetiate occasion of their terror may be Cyrus, whom God has raised up for the judgment of the nations, or this evidence of divine power and fore'snowledge accomplishes their discomfiture in the grand trial which is represented as proceeding, and fills them with dismay. Yet instead of abandoning the contest and renouncing their follies for Gol's service, they but confirm one another in error and fly more frantieally to their senseless idols, that these may establish by eounter proofs their equality or superiority.
5. : $=-\rho_{r}$, they drew near to one another for mutual consultation and assistance, or to God, taking up the challenge or summons of ver. 1, and engaging in the unequal trial.

6．Мּז゙：ニ，they seek to relieve one another＇s fears by mutual exhorta－ tion to courage and persistence ；fut．beeause descriptive of what is pass－ ing．The prophet places himself in the midst of the action；a part is performed and a part to come $\S 263.5$ ．$a$ ．

7．All who have had to do with making the idol encourage one an－ other，each striving to remove the fears of the rest，and pronouncing his part of the work upon the idol good，or repairing what is yet weak or lacking，so that there may be no failure in this contest from its imper－ fect manufacture．$\overline{i=2}$ ，respecting the soldering，it is good，see on Gien． $1: 28$ ，not it is good i．e．realy for soldering．

8．The second proof of the divine omnipotence and foreknowledge is Israel＇s deliverance from all his foes，and their utter discomfiture and destruction，notwithstanding the weakness of the former and the power of the latter．＇This，when effected，as it certainly would be，would afford a grand proof of the divinity of Jehovah．As this is addressed to Israel＇s despondency，it is largely dwelt upon，and presented first in literal terms，vs． $8-13$ ，then under two distinct figures，a worm thresh－ ing the momntains，vs．14－16，and a supernatural flow of waters for those perishing with thirst，vs．17－20．Isracl is addressed and characterized， vs． 8.9 ，his relation to God stated as a ground of confidence in what follows．God could not and would not desert to his foes，those for whom he had done so much，and whom he had destined to so great an end． Sージ，the substantive verb is not to be supplied，Thou art Israel，or thou Isruel art my servent．The people are again addressed by the two names of their ancestor，used as poetic equivalents；the sacred name，however， is put first and gives its colour to the other，as the relation to God is prominent in his thoughts．In $40: 27$ ，where the sinful weakness of the people is prominent，the order is the reverse．servant，one em－ ployed to do a certain work．Moses is called God＇s servant，Deut． 31 ： 5，Nebuchadnezzar，Jer．25：9，the material creation，Ps． $119: 91$ ；here Israel． $\bar{T}-\Pi \geq$ ，not only engaged in God＇s service but appointed of God himelf to be so，selected from others and rather than others for
 promised to bless，and to ma＇e a blessing to all nations．： 102. 3 ，my lower or who loved me，implying of course reciprocal affection．

9．God designated them as his and brought them from remote parts for his service the pains bestowed upon them making it more sure that he will not desert them now．$\quad \mathfrak{a}$ ，neme refer to Abraham＇s call from Mesopotamia，others to brin ing the people out of Egypt． Tッ： $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{sc}} \mathrm{E}$
in that relation：＇thou art my servant＇par excellence，as no other is． Israel，including the Messiah，who was of the seed of Abraham，as are also all his true people，is Gol＇s servant in a peculiar and the lighest sense，the one who above all others is appointed by him to do his work in this world．：Treser ，not I will not reject thee，which violates the tenses，but I hate not rejected thee；this choice has never been re－ rokel，implying，though not directly stating，that it never will be．

10． $\mathfrak{*} \because$－א．This is the exhortation addressed to the person de－ scribed in the two preceding vers．s．It refers not to the victorics of Cyrus which，ver．5，alarmed other nations，but need occasion no fear to them；but to perils foreseen or calamities experienced at any time and from any quarter．－- ，the ground of exhorted fearlessuess is（rod＇s presence，which implies his protection．ver，not be dismayed，but look around with ansiety and perplexity for help．towe not I will strengthen thee，which violates the tense，but I have strengthened thee， either their past experiences of God＇s protertion are appealed to as an argment of confilence for the future，or，I have already provided theo with strength adequate for th se future emergencies，as shall be mani－ fested when the trial comes．－se，cumblative，thongh no climax is traceable in the sense of the verbs，yet heaping together equivalent forms of expression gives intensity or emphasis to the thonght．בִּex my right hand of righteousness § 254．6，not right hand of my righteous－ ness，the attribute personified and a right hand attributed to it．The right land is an instrument of action and a symbol of strength．
 the accumulation of synonymons words makes the statement more em－ phatic．Shame denotes the frustration of plans and disappointed expect－
 striving with thee．

12．Expansion and repetition of the last clanse of the prece ling verse． $シ ー ス ー ー$ ，an expression often used to denote total disappearance．They shall vanish not only to a careless inspection，but the most earnest
 40： 17 ．

13．The reason of Israel＇s safety and of the destruction of their foes． Fore（1）causative，making strong．This yields a good sense，but is not the nemal meaning of the word in Hiphil，and is not its meaning in ver．a：above．（2）holding fast，or firmly；the illea is not so much that of guilance out of perplexity and danger，as of preservation from falling or sinking．Not will hohd，E．V．but ann holding or the holder of for all time S ？ 26.
the onc saying to thee, or (3) I the one saying to thee, etc. have helped thee. According to (3) the thing said is simply (2) the whole to the end of the verse. According to (3) I who say to thee fear not have actually helped thee, and in this given a pledge that you have no oceasion to fear; according to (2) I the Lord am the one saying this, therefore it is no vain word but efficacious, truthful and
 pledge of present and future protection, or, have already granted the aid which you require in this case. The rendering $I$ will help violates the tense.
14. The literal is, as is frequently the case in Isaiah, succeeded by a figurative statement. The first figure, vs. 14-16, is a worm, helpless and despicable, in danger of being crushed by the fuot of every passer by, converted into a mighty engine which pulverizes the mountains and scatters them to the winds. The accomplishment of such a result by such an instrument is a clear proof of the omnipotence of God and his
 hortation not to fear, $\mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{l}}$ lies the strong temptation they were under to do so; fem. to agree with remin $\S 253$. 1. or $\S 254$. 3. This verse is a repetition and expansion of the divine voice at the close of ver. 13 , the first clause dwelling upon the person addressed, the second on the person of the speaker. -=se § 90 . (pass.) the only form of the word which occurs, except $t!e$ future, which is found in combination with it, Jer. 23 : 31. It is used of a divine utterance, almost always in connection with the name of God, more rarely of an inspired man. fers to payment of a ransom. It is used repeatedly of God's delivering Israel from the bondage of Egypt and the power of other foes, as the converse pinc to sell is constantly used of lis subjecting them to the bondage of their foes, though no price was paid in either case, so that it may simply mean deliverer. But in the typical institutions of the law this word was used to express one, who as a near kinsman of one impoverished or slain, redeemed his property and restored it to him, or avenged his death. How far Ssis, as applied to God, have been associated with that type, or how much it may hive retained of the radical signification of the word, we cannot tell. But that type teaches what is more fully unfolded in the New Testament, that God is the redeemer of his people from present and eternal evil by the payment of an equivalent, even the life of the Son of God, who is the manifested Jehoval of the Old Testament, though this distinction of persons in the godhead was not clearly revealed to the consciousness of the saints of that economy. $\sqrt{i}$, $n$, see on $40: 25$, in-
cludes the ideas of infinitely exalted and perfectly pure, the holy God who is the God of Israel.
15. rin, see on ver. 11. 范 I have placed thee for, converted thee into. This is what God has already made them, as will be shown in due time. Sthreshing instrument, sharp, nex, not worn and dulled, possessed of edyes or bludes. nerere the reduplicated form is by some supposed to express number, many bades, strictly mouths: the 'edge' of a sword or sharp instrument is called its 'mouth.' En m, thou shalt thresh mountains, comp. Mic. 4:13, Dan. 2:34.35. in, not speeifically a symbol of kingdoms, but belonging to the imagery of the figure, which is that of a worm reducing mountains to powder.
16. The figure is continued; after the threshing comes the winnowing, which was performed by casting up to the wind; they shall be dispersed and driven away as completely as chaff from the threshing floor. resw, the pronoun is used to indicate the opposition of Israel to the enemies just spoken of $\S 243.1$. may be conjunctive, and thou shalt rejoice in consequence of the destruction of fues, or alversative, and on other hand, i. e. but, while they perish thou shalt have cause to rejoice. niver in Jchoral, in virtue of your relation to him, as to rejuice in wealth is in the possession of it or in the advantage it brings. : : boast, glory: He shall be the ground of trimmphant confidence. Marg. see on $40: 26$.
17. Anotherfigure; water is given in overflowing abundance to those perishing with thirst, when and where it could b. least expected. This similitude is often used by Isaiah, suggested probably by the experience of Israel in the wilderness when coming up from Egypt. First the necessity is described. It is not the literal thirst of the exiles returning from labylon which is intended, for (1) the language would then be hyperbolical and fanatical; no such miraculous gift of water occurred on their return; (2) this is a fresh imare of what had been set forth under a difficent emblem in the preceding verses. There is no more reason for regarding this as literal description than the worm pulverizing mountains. Thirst is a figure for misery and destitution, for which an abundant and unexpected supply shall be provided. It is not to be eonfined to the suffering of the Babylonish exile, thongh that is of course included, comp. Amos 4:11-13. . lout as that word has the sense of plucin!, not of parehing or drying up, which the context riquires, it is prohably from rewith Daghesh-forte emphatie $\Sigma_{5}^{2} 4$. $r$. Secondly, the plentiful supply, a. its source, then $b$. in the: next verse the suphy itself. E:E, hear prayer favourably, unswer them; no prayer had been inentioned, but it was implied in the wrotehed-
ness above described；first affirmatively，then negatively，as is usual with Isaiah，I will not desert them．
 nather water shall abound everywhere，in hills and valleys．This is not a description of physical changes which shall be wrought，but figures of abundant blessing．The interpreter must not particularize cliff，valley，desert，and make each a symbol of some distinct individual thing，but take the whole image together as forming one picture of wel－ come and overflowing supply．

19．The wilderness， even the desert，$\pi_{i}-\frac{2}{-1}$ ，absolutely destitute of verdure，comp．on $40: 3$ ， shall be made to produce stately trees．This is not a new figure，but a carrying out of that already employed．The trees are not designed to suggest shade and shelter from the heat，nor to delight the eye by beauti－ ful groves，but to evidence the thoroughness of the change produced by this miraculous abundanee of water；that is made fruitful which was sterile before．

20． pl．indef．§ 243．2．b，it may be seen，or，the nations opposed to God in this strife．： created it，implying something altogether new and above the operation of natural causes，see on Gen．1：1．

21．The idols and their worshippers are addressed and challenged to exhibit like proofs of divinity．$ニ ミ ン$ produce your cause，i．e．your side in this great contest． on which you rely．Some make it＇your champions，＇i．e．idols．The Eng．Ver．better，＇your strong reasons．＇：： ruler and defender of Jacob．

22．： though some translate let them bring near their idols，and let them，the
 ferently understood；either the proximate and the renoter future，or more probably the former things are past predictions already uttered and accomplished，while the coming things are predictions now to be made of what is yet future． whether they are fulfilled or not．We，i．e．God and his people on one side；they，i．e．idols and their followers on the other．

23．Change of person $\$ 270$. ． N－r， thing either good or but，comp．Jer． $10: 5$ ，Zeph．1：12．． 3．אר：\％§ 97．2．u．：：：
ties together; others with the verbs, look about and see together, or at the same time; others still with the object, see the good and evil ye hare done tugether.
24. As they are unable to accept the challenge, and to adduce evidence to sustain them in their clam of divinity, sentence is given against them; they are proved worthless and condemned as such. $i=$ ss of nothin!, composed of it and equal to it, or less than nothing, see on 10 : 17. Eziz: your work, your ituls which are of human workmanship, or which is better suited to the connection, your dect, what you, the idols, have done. $\quad$, abomination, an object of religions abhorrence, comp. Gen. 43:32. $\quad 3 \sum_{i=1}$ according to some $=$ nass worse then a viper, but the parallel expressions show it to be equivalent to, if not an orthographic variation for esex.
25. The trial is recapitulated : the two great arguments of Jehovah's deity are repeated, with the failure of the idols to exhibit similar proofs, whereupon sentence is pronounced again. 1st proof: the raising up of Cyrus, ver. 2.5, the idols neither did it nor predicted it, ver. 26; 2nd proof: foretelling and accomplishing Israel's deliverance, ver. 27, the inability of the idols is manifested again, ver. 28, they are worthless, ver. 29. -r・ー・, similarity of expressions to ver. 2: the preterite here, as there, is shown to refer not to what is actually past, by being adduced
 Palestine from the north, and Chaddea is called the north country, whereas this conqueror is said, ver. 2 , to be raised up from the East, some refer the first clanse to God's raising up Babylon to be a scourge to Israsl, and the next to Cyrus' march to overthrow it. But this assmmes a change of smbject not intimated in the text. Others combine the North of this clause with the East of the folloning, and apply it to Cyrus as from both North and East, i. e. the North East. There may perhaps be an allusion to his twofold origin, as he was deseended from both the royal honse of Media in the North and that of Persia in the East. $-\underset{\sim}{*}$ cither lecshull callny, i. e. upon my name, or he shall call with, i. e. proclaim my uame, see on 1 Kin. $18: 21$; for the fulfilment in either case soc his
 lomish mbles. $-\because n$, trample them down, as something utterly worthless and vile, indicating the completeness of the subjugation and their inability to make resistance.
26. "whe from the beginning, not as $40: 21$ from the creation, but either indefinitely of old, or in contrast with $\quad \underset{-}{-}=$, which means 'after the end of,' Gen. 41:1, =x-r may mean 'before the begiming of.' 'The question will then be, who amounced Cyrus' coming before his appearance?

Pי: may be right, true, or the more exact meaning of the word may bo retained, righteous. He in whose favour the judge pronounces is justified in his case, be it what it may ; so in this case, give decision in favour of the idols if they have foretold anything, pronounce them on that ground righteous in the claim which they are putting forth to divinity.
27. supply 'say,' or introduce give from the last clause, i. e. give them the opportunity and privilege of saying beholl them.
28. The incapacity of the idols. $\quad$ prep. partitive, I saw of these, i. e. the iduls. $r=i$, giving advice or information respecting the future. :יָּ subjunctive that they may, etc., or interrogative, will they return, etc.
29. As they have failed to make out their claim to divinity, sentence is given against them. $\quad$ E? (1) all of them are vanity, their works or deeds are nought, but this violates the accents; (2) as for all of them, their works are vanity, nought.

## CHAPTER XLII.

Chap. 40 promised to Israel deliverance and salvation, confirming the certainty of it by an appeal to God's incomparable greatness. In chap. 41 the sole divinity of Jehovah is demonstrated to the confusion of idols and their worshippers by his protection and exaltation of Israel. The iduls can neither do good nor do evil, neither be the authors of any salvation to the people, nor retard the salvation God has promised. In this chapter the divinely appointed destiny of Israel, which God's power is pledged to accomplish, and which the idols cannot prevent, is more fully unfolded, and seeming difficulties in the present and past aspect of things are removed.

The chapter consists of 3 parts, viz. :

1. vs. 1-9. Israel is Gods chosen servant to extend his kingdom over the earth, and to enlighten and save the nations.
2. vs. 10-17. God's apparent apathy and inaction in the past presents a seeming improbability in the way of the accomplishment of this destiny: but this is to be exchanged for an activity which shall effect the most stupendous results.
3. vs. $18-25$. The character and condition of the people add a fresh improbability: but their sins shall not obstruct what God dues for his own righteousness' sake and the magnifying of his law : and their sufferings, so far from proving God's inability to protect and bless them, were sent for just reasons by God's own hand.

1．－ザ．The most important question connected with this entire prophecy is who is the servant of Jehovah，comp．41：8，who so frequently recurs in it．He cannot be Cyrus，who was not commissioned to spread the true religion，nor Isaial，or the prophets as a class，who were not sent to the Gentiles，nor Israel in its purely national character，whose sufferings were not vicarions，and from whom he is expressly distinguish－ ed，49：6．It is plain from the attributes and works ascribed to him， that the Messiah is prominently referred to：this further appears from frequent applications to Christ，in the New Testament，of language em－ ployed respecting this servant here and elsewhere．Yet he is not ex－ clusively intended，for（1）imperfection and sin are attributed to the servant of the Lord， $42: 19$ ．（2）The servant is repeatedly called Israel or addressed as Israel， $41: 8,44: 1,49: 3$ ．（3）The connection here demands not the introluction of a fresh subject，but a statement of what was designed for Israel．（4）What is here said of God＇s servant is ap－ plicable to the people as a whole in its ineasure．（5）Some of the expres－ sions used respecting the servant of Jehovah are applied to the people of Gorl，Jer． $11: 19$ ，Acts $13: 47,2$ Cor． $6: 2$ ．The proper view seems to be that God＇s servant is Israel considered as embracing the Messiah， who was to spring from the midst of them，and by whom mainly the task of the world＇s salvation committed to this people，comp．John 4： 22 ，was to be achieved ：as we might attribute to France what was per－ formed by Napoleon．This is precisely the sense of＇the seed of $A$ bra－ ham，＇and may be further confirmed by the scriptural doctrine of the unity of Christ and his people，comp． 1 Cor．12：12．－אֵּ I will up－ hold him or will hold him fast，retain him．There is no necessity for supplying the relative．This is applied to Christ，Mat．12：18，etc．，and twice by a voice from heaven，Mat． $3: 17,17: 5$ ，where the changes in the form of expression are for the sake of explanation or more exactly designating the person intended．ירִי but actually chosen．＂犃＂pon him rather than in him，to denote des－ cent from heaven．$\quad$ outyment，either the function of a judge，the administration of justice，not here the mere blessings of good govern－ ment in a worldly sense，but his empire，his spiritual reign，or that which is just and right，his righteous laws，true religion．Israel，instead of being longer lorded over by the nations，shall give law to them in the person of his great representative and ruler． c ，ith to the nations，i．e． mankind．：x‘in couse to go forth，i．e．from Jerusalem，the centre and seat of this empire，comp． $2: 3$ ，the facts of the new dispensation being presented under the emblems of the old．

2 ．This empire was not to be extended by such means as are em－
ployed in worldly conquests, not by noise and clamour, ver. 2 , nor by violence, ver. 3 , but by the truth. אं? lift up, not himself, nor faces Q the last word of the verse.
3. Figures of gentleness, and so applied by the evangelist Matthew 12:20, not merely as descriptive of the personal characteristics of the Redeemer, but of the method of extending his kingdom. הy, shown by the accompanying adjective dim and verb extinguish to mean wick; its primary sense is flax. $n \mathrm{nex}^{2}$ stands opposed to the methods of extending his empire previously described. The prep. admits of various explanations: (1) according to truth, truly, in a true and proper manner; (2) in reference to truth, i. e. by means of truth; (3) belonging to truth, i. e. in its service, acting as its embodiment and representative ; (4) unto truth, so as to secure its triumph and establishment. The rendering in perpetuity gives an unauthorized sense to the noun.
 suffer it from others, he shall not fail in the performance of his task. ヤ-ッ, some derive from run, (1) shall neither be dim nor run, i. e. be precipitate, not too slow nor too hasty; (2) run away, flee, be driven from the successful accomplishment of his work. It is more probably
 $=0$ isles, remote lands, see on $40: 15 . \quad: \quad$ :ns? shall wait for his law, may mean that they must remain deprived of the blessings of his kingdom until his reign comes to be extended over them, or that after their submission to him they shall wait for the utterances of the law from his mouth with a ready disposition to obey them.
5. The accumulation of titles heightens the sense of God's greatness and omnipotence, and thus gives confidence in his ability to effect what is promised in the following verses. not the Jews in contrast with the Gentiles, see on 40:7. Marg. Maph-

6. $\bar{T}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{p}$, summoned thee to this task, called thee to be my servant. בּק in the exercise of righteousness: this is shown both in faithfulness toward his servant, fulfilling all rightful claims to assistance and support, and in the nature of the work itself to which he is called, a work illustrative of and determined by God's righteousness.
 diating people, though this might describe Isral's function, but a covenant of the people, the mediator of a covenant with them, as light in the following clauso means a dispenser of light. $=\underset{T}{\text { may denote the Jewish }}$
people in contrast with $\varepsilon$ eיt, the Gentiles, or more probably is equivalent to it, denoting, as in ver. 5, mankind.
7. Figures of the removal of $\sin$ and suffering, which Israel especially through its great representative was appointed to accomplish.
8. Jehovah elaims to himself the honour of this glorious result in contrast with graven images whose powerlessness has been previously exhibited.
9. The fulfilment of previous predictions (or, as some say, those of the nearer future, i. e. about Cyrus, when they come to pass) pledges and assures the fulfilment of others made respecting events before they sprout or spring up. How completely the glorious future here heralded was yet buried in the soil and had not even sprouted, appears from what follows. Two great sources of the improbability of what has been announced are consillered, (1) God's sceming apathy and inaction ; (2) Israel's character and fortunes, so opposite from those described or presupposed. These the prophet now proceeds to dispose of: but first he proclaims a universal jubilee and summons all the world to rejoice.
10. $=$ in new song, indicating a fresh occasion of praise. $\quad$ nerp, the remotest parts of the earth are to utter their joyful thanksgivings at the salvation of the world from sin an I misery to be effected by Israel. N: K-, olject of $\underset{\sim}{-\quad,}$, 'going down to the sua and all that it contains,' or parallel to $\cdots$, and summoned to join in the praise, whether it denotes marine animals or inlabitants of lands in the bosom of the sea.

13. Jehovah will stir up h's zeal on behalf of his people, lay aside the seeming inaction of the past, and accomplish the most stupendous results. ي. , military phrase for going forth to battle. הw ? (1) zeal, excited feeling, (2) jealousy for his own name, or on his people's behalf. $\underset{\vdots}{2}+\frac{2}{}$, the battle-cry or shout to rouse the warrior's ardour.
14. God's pastapathy andinaction are contrasted with the new activity to be displayed on his people's behalf. E-nan, not interrogatively, but expressive of the determination formed during this period of seeming inaction, 'saying, I will be silent' =, the comparison has sometimes been referred to the suljeect, Gorl, as one bringing forth, travailing in birth with Israel, effecting their regencration and salvation, but it is better and more usual to connect the comparison with the actions described. Hirs, in the two other places in which it occurs, is a noun, viper; here it is a verb. E , not from =ev destroy, but E ; blow, breathe hard. ヲyes, not derour, but $p \mathrm{ml}$.
15. The effects produced by this zeal and activity of God metaphorically expressed. They are described as most surprising and stupendous,
implying the exercise of almighty power, and of a character precisely the reverse of those in $41: 18$. They represent mighty judgments on the foes of the people to accomplish the deliverance and welfare of the latter.
16. The result will be the safe guidance of those who could no more see a way of escape from perplexity than the lind. darkness into light, see $41: 15$. Ezered or uneven, as opposed
 done them these things, or for them, the people § $102.2, \S 273$. 3. a.
 God's people should be thus favoured and blessed, the worshippers of idols would be utterly discomfited and disappointed, unable to accomplish Isracl's destruction. The great temptation of the people was to distrust God's power and grace, and transfer their confidence to the idols whose worshippers had proved so much stronger than they. This is met here and repeatedly in this prophecy. 5ns, i. e. both the graven and molten image.
18. The improbability arising from Israel's character and condition: these shall not obstruct his achieving this high destiny on his own behalf and that of the world. dressed as especially characterized by moral deafness and blindness; or perhaps the deaf and blind as a class, the deaf might be expected to hear and the blind to see these evidences of the folly of idolatry.
19. And yet Israel neither hears nor sees them, or acts as if he did not. en , the question implies that his blindness is such that no other deserves the name; all other blindness disappears beside it. This shows that the servant of the Lord here spoken of is not the Messiah exclusively, for this can have no relation to him. Еִּ has been variously explained, (1) friend of God, (2) perfect, (3) devoted to God, (4) provided with Et: peace or welfare.
20. dressed in the first clause, and spoken of in the second $\S 279$, thou hast seen many things, i. e. evidences of divine power and grace, but wilt pay no heed to them. Tre, inf. for finite verb § 268. 1, God has opened his, Israel's, cars by his prophets, etc., or better, comp. ver. 7, it deseribes the destiny of Israel, set to open ears, and he will not hear himself, whether from indisposition or inability, or both.
21. This guilty incapacity and apparent gross unfitness of the people for their destined task shall not defeat it. God will accomplish this salvation for his own sake, not for theirs. •ب- , some refer suf. to Israel, in order to his, Israel's, righteousness; others to Messiah, on account of
his, Christ's, righteonsness. It must refer to God, on account of his own righteousness. $\quad \mathrm{P}$ - cannot mean exactly grace or mercy. It may here denote faithfulness to his promises and engagements, which is one phase of the divine righteonsness, or his righteousness in its ordinary sense, which the plan of salvation was designed to illustrate and display by putting away sin and diffusing holiness. Fin ber, not magnify lato by inflieting julgment on those who had violated it, which is inappropriate in this connection: nor give a great and glorious law, but illustrate and honour the lanr, i. e. the Old Testament dispensation, that system of things which God had ordained to issue in the salvation of the work, and which should so issue in spite of Israel's unfaithfulness. $\cdots$ from $\quad \pi$ instruct, not mere advice but authoritative guidance, lan?.
22. The condition of Israel presented as great an apparent obstacle to his achieving this salvation as his character. Can a people who could not save themselves, and whom their God did not rescue, be the saviours of the world? This anomaly is here explained. Eann Some derive the noun from $n: \geq$ or from nṇ it may be rendered, there is a snaring of young men, all of them, all their young men are captured as birds in a snare, or they all are the puffing derision of young men. But it is better to regard $=$ as a prep. a snaring them all in holes, they are caught like wild beasts, or penting in holes, i. e. dungeons. compound expression are put in the plural. The terms of this verse are figurative, and describe not merely the Babylonish exile, bu' the suffering and oppressel condition of the people through a considerable partion

 back, whether to their own land or to their former condition of prosperity.
23. The question implies the prophet's earnest desire that they should give ear, and at the same time his apprehension that few would do so. nir dues not refer to $-\cdots \cdot \pi$, ver. 21, which is too remote, nor to the preceling verse, but to the verse following, containing the solution of this anomaly, which is the main thing to be attended to. : ר'rest, not hearken to the past lout hear for the future, either describing the time of hearing, in time to come, or the object of it, hear with reference to the time to come.
24. Their sufferings do not prove that Jehovah is unable to deliver his people, for he gave them into their enemies' hand, and that for a suflicient canse.
25. and so he poured $\$ 90$. 1 . חֵּזה
 not unavares, unexpectedly, but expressive of stupid meoncern, as is shown by the parallel expression, he will not loy it to heart. The chauge of tense is significant, and is designed to embrace both periods of time §263. 5. a. Marg. see on Gen. 44:10.17.

## Chapter LiII.

That the Messiah is the subject of this chapter is evident from the following considerations:

1. Jtes terms are exclusively applicable to Christ. A spectacle is presented of extraordinary humiliation and suffering, terminating in a violent death. They, who first beheld it, mistook its real meaning and design, and despised what they should have honoured. This suffering and death were vicarious, due to no personal ill desert of the victim, and to no arbitrary infliction of God, but endured for the sins of others, and procuring for them justification and peace. The sufferer was himself righteous, vs. 9.11 ; not in a comparative sense merely, but absolutely so, since what he endured was not on his own account, but wholly for the sake of others. These sufferings ygere, moreover, voluntarily assumed and borne without complaint, and they were to issue in a glorious reward. This is all strictly true of Christ, but of no other.
2. The subject is expressly stated to be the servant of the Lord, ver. 11, 52: 13. To this servant Isaiah ascribes all that work which Israel, including the Messiah, was raised up and appointed to do for the glory of God and the salvation of man; see on $42: 1$. In some passages the language employed is applicable both to the people as a whole and to their great descendant. In others it is so framed as to refer only to one or the other of the constituents of this complex person. The imperfections charged upon this servant, $42: 19$, belong to the people alone. In the present chapter the Messiah is alone regardel. In proof of this it may be urged, (1) that what is here said of the servant of the Lord is true only of the Messiah, not of Israel as a people. Their sufferings were not vicarious, but as both Isaiah and other prophets testify, and as the facts declare, the just desert of their own sins. The church here possesses only a remote and distant resemblance to her head in so far as she takes part in the aflictions of Christ, and completes the destined
measure of that willing endurance for the good of others, which appertains to her as the body of a suffering heal, Col. 1:24. (2) Here, as in $42: 6,49: 5.6$, Israet is distinguished from the servant of the Lond. The speakers in the first part of the chapter are not gentile nations in contrast with Israel, with whom the prophet could not identify himself, and whom he could not thus introduce without explanation, but as in 59:9-12, 63:16-64:12, and as is distinctly intimated ver. 8, comp. ver. 4 , the people of (iod. (3) 'The expressions imply that an individual person is intended. The singular is used throughout; he is called "a man," ver. 3 ; his "soul" is spoken of, vs. 10. 11. 12, also his imprisoment and death, vs. 8.9 ; he is contrasted with the "many," vs 11. 12, whom he shall justify, and whose sins he bare. This is so convincing, that some of those who reject the Messianic interpretation, have sought to fix upon some other individual as the subject of the propheey, some prophet, or king, or martyr, otherwise unknown. And the Ethiopian eunuch was led to ask whether the prophet spoke this 'of himself or of some other man.' Acts 8:31.
3. The analogy of prophecy. (1) Although the prophets dwell more upon the glory and thesselness of Messiah's reign than upon his antecedent humiliation, and although it is peculiar to this passage to unfold the vicarious nature of his sufferings, he is yet elsewhere predietel as a sufferer, in whom the acme of human endurance should be reached, and who should rise thence to proportionate exaltation and glory. This is already intimated in the primal promise, Gen. $3: 15$, and more distinctly set forth in the typical P'salms, c. g. P's. 22,69 , and in the later prophets, e.g. Daniel $9: 26$, Zechariah $9: 9,12: 10,13: 7$. (2) This chapter stands in the relation of climax to others of like character in this same prophecy, see on $10: 2$, and must refer to the same subject. The declaration, 42:4, 'he shall not fail nor be discouraged,' implies that the servant of the Lord would meet with opposition and obstacles. He is spoken of, 49:4, as 'one whom man despiseth and whom the nation abhorreth;' $50: 6$, he gave his back to the smiters and his cheeks to them that plueked off the hair. (3) The 'tender phant' and 'root out of a dry ground,' ver. 2, strongly resemble expressions which are usel to characterize the Messiah elsewhere. These terms are douhtless identical in meaning with the rol out of the stem of Jesse and the branch growing out of his roots, $11: 1$, and 'my servant, the Branch,' Zech. 3:8.
4. The authority of the New 'Testament, which bears testimony to the Messianic character of this passage almont verse by verse; $52: 15$ is quoted and applied to Christ, Rom. 15:21; so $53: 1$ in John 12:38,

Rom. 10:16; ver. 4 in Matt. 8:17, and with the following verses in 1 Pet. 2:22-25 ; vs. 7.8 in Acts $8: 32.33$; ver. 12 in Mark $15: 28$, Luke $22: 37$. As a suffering Saviour is more clearly and fully set forth in this chapter than in any other prophecy of the Old Testament, it must be prominently referred to in such general statements as Mark $9: 12$, 'it is written of the Son of Man that he must suffer many things and be set at nought,' and Luke 24:25-27, 44-46, Christ ought, agreeably to the prophets, to have suffered these things and to enter into his glory. The terms employed by the writers of the New Testament in stating the doctrine of vicarious atonement are also frequently borrowed from this chapter or contain manifest allusions to it. Thus Rom. 4:25, 'who was delivered for our offences,' alludes to ver. 5 ; the 'Lamb' 1 Pet. 1:19, the 'Lamb slain'Rev. 5:6; the 'blood of the Lamb' Rev. $7: 14$ to ver. 7 ; 'the Lamb of God which taketh away ( $\delta$ aip $\rho \omega v$ ) the sin of the world, John $1: 29.36$ to vs. 7.11 ; 1 John $3: 5$, comp. vs. $9.11 ; 1$ Cor. 15:3. 4, 2 Cor. $5: 21$, comp. vs. 8-11.
5. This is the most ancient and the almost universally received interpretation. The oldest Jewish authorities refer it to the Messiah, notwithstanding its contrariety to the carnal expectations of that people. And it was only to escape the necessity of confessing the signal fulfilment of this prophecy in Jesus of Nazareth that the Jews of later times abandoned this traditional explanation. In the Christian church this continued to be the unanimous interpretation for seventeen centuries, unless Grotius be regarded as an exception, who explained it in its primary sense of Jeremiah, but in its fullest and highest sense of Christ. It was not until the prevalence of rationalism, with its bold denials of the reality or possibility of prophetic foresight, that any other subject than the Messiah was imagined or suspected.
6. No other satisfactory explanation ever has been or can be suggested. The perfect righteousness of the subject and his vicarious sufferings are wholly inapplicable to the Jewish people as a whole, to the sacred order of the priesthood, or the collective body of the prophets, as well as to Hezekiah, Josiah, Isaiah, Jeremiah, or any other individual, actual or supposable, but Christ alone.

The chapter may be divided as follows, viz.:

1. vs. 1-9 describe the sufferings of Messiah.
2. vs. 10-12 his consequent reward.

The former of these seetions may be subdivided into three stanzas of three verses each :
(1) vs. 1-3, his lowly and suffering condition led to his contemp. tuous rejection.
(2) vs. 4-6, these sufferings, so grossly misunderstood, were vicarious.
(3) vs. 7-9, he dies by a judicial sentence, uncomplainingly, though innocent, for the sins of others.

1. $\because$, While the Gentiles who 'had not heard,’ $52: 15$, shall pay heed to Messial's claims, the mass of the chosen people will reject him. The question does not necessarily imply that the unbelief was absolutely universal ; the few who believed are overlooked beside the vast numbers who did not. : 2 heard from us prophets, our report, so Eng. ver. ; or the subject ş 254.8, the thing heard by us the people of God; the latter explanation las the advantage of assuming the same speaker here as in the following verses. The prophetic teachings regarding the Messiah are intended in either case. تirin the arm of Jehoroh, i. e. his presence and power, which, though manifested in Christ, were discovered by few. \#n, not simply to whom, as if the prep. were $;$ or $\operatorname{is}$, but over whom, the figure being that of a celestial exhibition.
2. The reason why the Messiah was not recognized in his true character is found in his humble and unattractive exterior. $\quad: 262.4$, the icleal position of the prophet is between Christ's humiliation and his glory, so that what relates to the former is mostly spoken of as past, and what relates to the latter as still future.
 the sutlix to God, before him, an object of divine attention and care, comp. Gen. $17: 18$, others to the people, i. e. in their esteem. The objection that this involves a gratuitous change of person, since the people are the speakers throughout the first part of the chapter, may be relieved by understanding it distributively, $\S 275.6$, in connection with the implied answer to the preceding question, 'Almost every one disbelieved since Messiah grew up before him,' cte. ๖ー? , root, or more probably a shoot attached to and springing from it, in which the root as it were reveals itself. $\quad \underset{\sim}{-} \boldsymbol{T}$ ese and hence puny and insignificant; the explanations of the dry lund, as Galilee, or as the Virgin Mary, show what extravagance results from giving a separate significance to every particular in a figurative or symbolical description. --:- is by some interpreters connected with what precedes, and rentered that we should behold him in the sense of looking upon him with pleasure; this is recommended by the like construction of the following :naty. It is forbidden, however, by the accents, as well as by the faet that -ny? has this sense only when followed by $=$, see on Gen. 1:4. The prophet may here forsake his ideal stand-puint, and speak of that as future which is actually so, or the future may be used relatively to the preceding bsyn, § 263. 5. $a$, because
the act deseribed is subsequent to and consequent upon it, and we saw him.
3. $-n$, either in a passive sense, forsaken of men, or an active, ceasing to be of men, so disfigured by suffering as to be no longer counted a man, comp. Ps. 22:7. Ex § 207. 2.e. . acquainted with sickness, which may be used here as $1 \mathrm{Kin} .22: 34$ of the physical effect of wounds, but most probably stands by a poetic individualization for every form of suffering. an anomalous Hiph. part. like one causing to hide the face from him, or as the sense of the Hiph. in this verb is simply to hide, like one hiding the face from us in grief, 2 Sam. 15:30, or shame, Nic. 3:7, as the lepers, Lev. $13: 45$. As, however, such a form of the participle would be almost unexampled, $\S 94$. e, it seems better to regard it as a noun, § 191. 4, when or 3 m . s. with the relative onitted, $\S 285$. 3, like one from whom there is a hiding of face, whether the meaning be that we or men generally averted our faces to avoid the sight of so shocking a spectacle, or that he resembled one from whom God had hidden his face in anger, comp. $59: 2$, where $\mathbf{5}: \geq$ stands as here without any qualifying expression, also $54: 8,64: 6$, Ps. $22: 25$. $\quad-\quad: z$, not 1 pl. fut., but as at the berinning of the verse, Niph. part.
4. These sufferings were not in punishment of any sins of his own, nor were they mere calamities or arbitrary divine inflictions. The true explanation is given in the first clause. הַ, as subsequently : pressed on account of the emphatic contrast, $\S 243.1$. रizi, not simply took avay but bore, as it was only by bearing our sufferings that he could have removed them; this appears further from the parallel expression $コ ン=0$, about whose mea ing there can be no question, from the preceding verse where Messiah is characterized by the sickness and griefs which are here identified as 'ours,' and from the usage of this verb $x=$ in the phrase to which there is manifest allusion here, comp. ver. 11, 'to bear iniquity,' Ezek. 18:19. 20, Num. $9: 13$, i. e. to suffer its penalty. Matthew, $8: 17$, quotes these words as fulfilled in our Lord's miracles of healing; for, though they did not exhaust the meaning of the prophecy, they were types and incipient fruits of the salvation wrought by his vicarious sufferings. wexes \& 200.c. $5=0$ suf. repeats the noun, $\S 281$. ت, this verb and its cognate noun 2 are used of any plague divinely sent, and particularly of the leprosy, 2 Kin. 15 : 5, Lev. 13:1, whence the Jewish notion that Messiah was to be a leper. - -
5. $\because$ prep. indicates the ground or reason, on account of.
，we not instruction for our velfure，$\$ 254.9$ ，since the reference in the context is not to Christ as a teacher but as an atoning sacrifice， and the prep．$\cdot=$ upon him suggests the idea of bearing suffering，as in ver． 4 ；but chastisement or punishment of our peace，that by which our peace or welfare is $s$ cured．

6．The language of the perple of God is still continued． $\mathfrak{i}$ ※゙こ $\$ 245.5$ ．$d$ ，figure of $\sin$ and the helpless misery resulting from it．

7．Eit，not 1 pl．fut．Kal，we shall oppress him，but Niph．pret．，and not imprersonally，it ras exacted，viz．，the penalty due to our sin，but he weas oppressed．n－r：pron．expressed because the participle follows， which does not of itself indicate the person．Fio may be taken pass－ ively，afflicted，a synonymous expression added to strengthen the prece－ ding statement，or reflexively，§ 77．2，humbling himself，suggesting the idea that he voluntarily submitted to this affliction．－nes：fut．relative to the foregoing verbs，$\$ 263.5 . a$ ；in the vividness of the description the seene appears to be transacting before the prophet＇s eyes，and hence he uses suceessively the preterite，the participle，and the future to set it forth in its successive stages as in part past，in part present，and in part yet to come，he has leen oppressed，and he is being afficted，and he will not（quen his mouth．E二口 §28．5．3．Marg．see on（ien．44：10．mps． agrees not with ： H ？which is fem．，nor with -F which is too remote，but with Messiah，who is the principal subject．

8．prep．may have its instrumental sense，as Eng．Ver．marg．， lyy oppression and by judyment，i．e．a judicial sentence，or its local sense， from confinement and from judgment，i．e．the tribunal or judgment－ seat．nedhas been referred to his being talien to execution，as Prov． 24：11，or taken ont of life，as Ezek．33：4．6，or his assumption to leaven，as Gen． $5: 24,2 \mathrm{Kin} .2: 9,10$ ．Of the numerous interpreta－ tions proposed for the next clanse there are but two which are consistent with the true sense and usage of the words．The first，which has the authority of the carly versions in its favor，makes＇－：－the olject of the following verb，who shall speat（or think）his generation，i．e．who can in word or thonght recount their multitude？＇The＇generation＇of the Messiah will then mean those who belong to the same class with him， who are assimilated to him in spirit and in life，as in the phrases＇gene－ ration of the righteons，＇l＇s．14：5，＇generation of thy children，＇P＇s．73： 15．，＇generation of the upright，＇P＇s． $112: 2$ ．It is thus in fact，though not in form，equivalent to his posterity or spiritual seed，$=-\frac{7}{-}$ ，spoken of ver．10．The verb $\underset{\sim}{2}$ ，though commonly followed by the prep．F，may nevertheless take a direct object，as is shown by Ps．145：5．This ren－ dering assumes that a preliminary glimpse is bere afforded of Messiah＇s
exaltation and the reward of his voluntary endurance, while the entire context relates to his sufferings and the full and proper consideration of their reward does not begin until ver. 10. According to the other view of the clause, $\mathfrak{i n i - n}$ stands absolutely in apposition with the subject of the verb § 271.4.b, as for his generation, i. e. his contemporaries, who shall think (or say) that, ete., none of them, or comparatively few, shall recognize the fact that his sufferings are vicarious. בְt, most usually and naturally employed of a violent death. עיב , Jehovah may be the speaker, as in rs. 11.12, or the prophet, or as in the preceling verses the people of God, the singular being employed distributively § 275.6, as 1 Sam. 5:10, Zech. 8:21. : : 3 m. s. smiting was to $h i m$, but 3 m. pl. and paragogic Vav $\S(104 . f$, $\S 233$, with the ellipsis of the relative $\S 285.3$, to whom smiting belonged or was due, or the abstract $\mathfrak{Z}$ for the concrete, as a smiting, one smitten, comp. Lev. 13:4, etc., for them. The word alludes to ジ2 the stroke which he bore was one which had been deserved by themselves.
9. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{P}}$ indef. § 243. 2 and one gave, put, appointed, equivalent to
 burial, hence criminals, malefactors. With this is contrasted in the next clause the honourable burial of the rich. The servant of the Lord was destined to both, of course successively and by different parties. This enigmatical statement finds its explanation in the event. They who crucified Christ with malefactors, marked him out for a malefactor's grave; but God by his providence ordered it otherwise. He was laid, as Matthew $27: 57-60$ expressly informs us, with special allusion doubtless to this prophecy, in a rich man's tomb. The exactness of the fulfilment has given great trouble to unbelieving interpreters, who have ineffectually tried by every expedient to get rid of the plain sense of the passage. The text has been altered without the slightest warrant of external authority; קִשיר has been declared, in defiance of invariable usage, to mean wicked, and finally it has been said that 'rich' is here equivalent to 'wicked,' inasmuch as riches lead to pride and impiety. It is, however, not the rich man's life, but his burial which is here spoken of, and that manifestly presents not a parallel but a contrast to the grave of the malefactor. Christ had his grave with malefactors in the intention of his murderers, with a rich man in the purpose of God and in actual fact. to after his death, comp. 1 Kin. 13:31. The plural form has been supposed to express intensity $\S 201.2$, a death so dreadful that it seemed
like many deaths combined in one，or to be purely poetic，as Ezek．28： 8． 10 ，or to be such only in appearance，the suffix after $n$ ；following the analogy of those appended to fem．plur．nouns，comp．Ezek．6：8，16： $31, \S 173.2$ ．There is no necessity，therefore，of assuming either that the suffix refers to a collective person，or that the word is the plur．of $-\underset{\sim}{-1}$ in the sense of a sepulchral mound or tumulus， n meaning which this word never has；this would besides require an arbitrary ehange of the points to plained．ioprep．governing the following clause and hence equivalent to the conj． be stated as the reason why his grave was finally assigned him with the rich rather than with the wicked；or although，as in Job 16：17，a par－ ticular being added of a tenor contrary to the preceding，when the refer－ ence will be chiefly to the first clause，his grave was appointed him with the wicked，though he had committel no crime in deed or word．

10．Introduces the second portion of the chapter，descriptive of Mes－ siah＇s reward．This was the reason why Jehovah permitted his servant to be thus afllicted，nay，why he himself imposed these afflietions upon him．$\because$ ．$\$ 175.1$ is by some thought to govern and qualify the pre－ ceding verll $\$ 269$ ．a，vas pleased，crushed him grierously by $\$ 269$ for was pleased to crush，etc．，comp．Mic．6：13，but it is better to preserve
 put or pluce，i．c．make，not $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$ ．referring to Jehovah who is spoken of still in the third person，but 3 f ．s．and the subject is tes．This is not a mere periphrasis for the pronoun he，but has the emphatic sense of his soul，his life，which is represented as making the offering，because it was the life which was sacrificed．亡̇ex，the legal designation of the trespass－offering，Lev．5：15，16，a modification of the sin－offering，in which there was not only an expiation for the sin by the shedding of blood，but a pecuniary compensation or amends for the injury committed． An expiation for the forfeited life of the sinner and a full satisfaction to the law and justice of（iod are combined in the sacrifice of Christ．The mention of the condition is fullowed by that of the blessings suspended upon it，viz．，a numerous posterity，long life，and a successful prosecution of the task which God had been pleased to commit to him．Like bless－ ings were often promised and granted to the righteous，Job 5：23－26； they are here pledged to the servant of（iod in their highest spiritual meaning．in：in or by his hand，i．e．by his instrumentality，Lev．8：36．

11．准皆 prep．cansal，on account of，not partitive，as though he should see some but not all of the results of his toil．ran－，the object implied though not expressed is an ample reward，such as had been
promised in the preceding verse. inּ possesses, for Messiah is here spoken of as an atoning sacrifice and not as a teacher, but the suf. expresses the object $\S 254.9$, by the knowledge of him on the part of others, that practical knowledge and right apprehension of him which implies faith in him and reliance upon him. Pי:- not to make inwardly righteous, a sense which the verb has, if at all, only in one passage, Dan. 12:3, but in the forensic sense to justify $\S 272.2$. $a$. $\boldsymbol{F}$ - adj. contrary to the ordinary rule $\S 249$. 1. $a$, stands emphatically before its noun and in a significant proximity to its cognate verb. In such cases the article is omitted, the new prominence which the adjective assumes conferring upon it a measure of independence and perhaps something of the character of a proper name, comp. Jer. $3: 7.10$. יֶ, Jehovah is the speaker in this and the following verse.
12. Some render the first clause, I will divide (or apportion, comp. Job $39: 17$ ) to him the many, and he shall divide strong ones as spoil, i. e. his spiritual conquests shall embrace vast multitudes, and even the most powerful shall own him their victor. Others prefer to translate, I will divide to him among the many, and he shall divide spoil with the strong, comp. Prov. 16:19; he shall have the success which elsewhere attends multitudes and strength. He, like other great conquerors, shall have abundant spoil and reap large fruits from his victories. That his conquests are of a very different description from theirs, however, appears from the method by which they were gained as detailed in the remainder of the verse. en, either poured out or bared, exposed. : 2 :- fut. because not confined to the period of his humiliation, but still performed in his state of exaltation.

## EZEKIEL, CIIAPTER XXXVII.

This chapter contains,

1. A symbolical vision, vs. 1-10, with its explanation, vs. 11-14.
2. A symbolical action, vs. 15-17, with its explanation, vs. 18-28.

The former, which is a real vision, and not merely an allegory in that form, is not designed to set forth the corporeal resurrection of Israel's dead, as has sometimes been inferred from vs. 12.13 , but as is plain from ver. 11 a glorious change to be wrought in Israel's condition, a change which to human view was as hopeless as that dry bones should be raised to life.

If we could presume that the doctrine of a future resurrection was understood and was a part of the popular faith at the time of the prophet,
it would be natural to suppose an allusion to it here. God, who shall hereafter raise the dead, will restore Israel to a new life. Perhaps, however, it may better be conceived to be preparatory to the doctrine than built upon it, an obscure hint of what was afterwards to be more fully disclosed than an evidence that it was already familiarly known. Such premonitory intimations oceur with frequency in the Old Testament. A method often employed for this purpose, and it is singularly adapted to the end, is the use of figures, which, beside their obvious figurative interpretation, shall also have accomplishment as literal verities, see on Isa. 40 : 3. This view of the case is confirmed by the fact that the principle here asserted is the very one upon which the doctrine of the resurrection of the righteous rests. Israsl's relation to God as his people gave assurance that though dead he must rise again. If this was true of the people as a whole, it was applicable likewise to the individuals composing it so far as they personally sustained this vital and vivifying relation to God. If it was true of the death and ruin which had overtaken Israel as a body, it was also applicable to the corporeal death of individual believers. Death cannot annihilate or destroy those who belong to God. This is in fact the point of view from which the Old Testament chiefly developes the doctrine of the future state and of the corporeal resurrection. And this is urgel by our Lord against the Sadducees as underlying even the earliest periods of divine revelation, Luke $20: 37.38$.

1. --: the hand, as the organ chiefly employed in action, is used as a symbol of power, and here denotes that mighty spiritual influence, by which the prophet's ordinary consciousuess was suppressed and the condition of ecstasy produced, comp. $1: 3,8: 1,40: 1$. Fon in the Spirit, i. e. intimately united with this divine agent aud nuder his control; ;-ר may be in const. before rine; or as the accentuators seem to have judged in the absolute, when it will be definite without the article, as $8: 3, \$ 246.1$, and ra; will be the sulbject of the preceding verb. -ris verbal adj. governing a direct object like the verb from which it is derived

2. -rr-e expresses not possibility only, but futurity, shall they live? §
3. $=$ -
4. $=:-\ldots \sum_{\text {, }}$, possibly thunder and carthquake, betokening the divine presence and agency, but more probably noise and shaking of the

5. 谈, see on Gen. 40:8.
6. a $: \frac{1}{3}$, pleonastic use of the dative of advantage, for ourselves,
so far as we are concerned, Eng. Ver. 'for our parts;' others render to ourselves, i. e. cut off from all hope or help and left to ourselves.

7. 
8. Judg. 13: 25.
9. This spiritual resurrection is followed by a cessation of the schism between Judah and Israel, the type of all divisions among the people of God. Marg. The Haphtarah of שing Gen. $44: 18$, ete.
 selves to Judah from the other tribes, 2 Chron. $11: 12-17,15: 9,30$ : 11. 18. 25.

10. E:Eynant among the revolted tribes. He had ambitiously grasped in his own hand as many tribes as he could bring beneath his own control ; but all would be hereafter united in the hand of the Lord. print refers to Judah, and the following words are explanatory, upon or along with him, viz., with the stick: of Judah. Others render, I will put them, the tribes of Israel, together with him, Ephraim, with the stick of Juduh.


11. :

## OBADIAH.

This propheey is divisible into three parts, viz. :
(1) vs. 1-9, the utter destruction to which Edom is destined.
(2) vs. 10-16, the reason of it, viz.: Edom's unbrotherly conduct at the time of Jerusalem's calamity.
(3) vs. 17-21, the contrasted deliverance and enlargement of Jacob.

1. Marg. The Haphtarah of nem Gen. $32: 4$, etc. in the specific sense of an appearance beheld in an ecstatic state, but in the more general sense of a divine revelation or prophecy, affording an insight into the will and purposes of God. צin $\S$ 195. 3. After this brief title the nations are summoned to arise and make war upon Edom.
 lowed by language in which God is himself the speaker; here, however, it is used to denote that what comes after is a communication from God, even though he does not throughout speak in the first person.

This is simpler than to enclose the remainder of the verse in a parenthesis and connect this formula directly with ver. 2 ; or to assume an anacoluthon, 'we have heard tidings from Jehovah,' when the construction with which the sentence began would have required instead, 'I, Jehovah, have caused you to hear tidings;' or, more violent still, to resort to the hypothesis of an interpolation, which is commonly a mere cover of ignorance or unbelief, and is here peculiarly unfortunate, for if the words 'Thus saith the Lord concerning Edom' be stricken from the text, there will be nothing to intimate against whom war is to be prepared, nor who is addressed vs. $2-5$, nor what is the subject of the prophecy, until it is learned from ver. 6 . It would be better to allow the text to remain as it is, and confess the difliculty to be insoluble, than to get rid of it in such an unwarrantable manner. The pret. not here denote an action wholly past, as though the prophet were repeating what God had said at some former time, perlaps through the medium of a preceding prophet, but in accordance with the constant usage of this formula an action belonging to the present, alrealy begun but not yet finished, $\S 262.2$. And hence the fut. propriety be used in the same phrase, Isa. $40: 1$. $5-5$ in respect to, concerning Edom, or, if the remainder of the verse is parenthetic, to Edom, see on Gen. 1:28. : we, not the nations who are in the next clause spoken of in the third person, but the people of God who heard this in the prophet as their representative, or through him as their medium of communication with the Lord; the plural would then intimate that these tidings were received by the prophet not as an individual, but as a member and organ of the chosen peopte, and for the sake of the whole. Or he may possibly speak in the name of the prophets, to others of whom like disclosures were made, comp. Isa. 53:1. $\therefore 2$. 'This elause may be explanatory of the preceding, the tidings being that a messenger had been sent, ete. Or if the tidings have a more general relation to all that follows respecting Edon's overthrow, it may be confirmatory, showing that measures were alrealy taken to effect this end. It does not furm an opposition to the preceding clause, as though the meaning were, We, the chosen peophe, have heard a summons (which is not the sense of raver), and a messenger has also been despatched among the heathen that both Jews and Gentiles might be united in the war upon Edom. The messenger, if an ideal one sent by God to gather the nations, simply expresses the thought that the Lord would certainly bring about this result. The same idea is asewhere conveyed under the imare of calling distant nations by a hiss or whistle, or setting up a signal for them to congregate, Isa. $5: 26,7: 18$. Or the messenger
may be a real one, sent by one nation to solicit the aid of others. nite, Marg. see on Gen. 44:10. m:p, the language of the messenger addressed to the nations, which is simpler than to regard it as the mutual exhortations of the nations, roused by the messenger sent to them. It is quite unnatural, and contrary to the whole tenor of the following prediction, to suppose that the chosen people are in these words exhorting one another to engage in a war to which the heathen had already been divinely invited. $\quad$ Reprel we, i. e. both the party represented by the messenger and the nations addressed. If he has been sent by God, then by a bold figure God is represented as taking the initiative in the war against Edom, and inviting the nations to coöperate with him. Comp.

2. This gathering of the nations to war against Edom is in pursuance of the divine intention to reduce him to insignificance and to a despicable condition. $\S 262.1 . b$; the preterite is used because the purpose was already formed, though not yet executed in actual fact. It is not necessary, therefore, to refer this to something wholly belonging to the past, to the position which God originally assigned to Edom among the nations, as though it were intended by its contrast with what follows to set his arrogance in a more glaring light; God had made him a small, despised people, but his pride led him to fancy himself invincible. - Ty, this result, divinely resolved upon, is spoken of as if it were already effected.
3. The confidence which he had entertained and still continued to cherish in his inaccessible position, was a delusion. -un. This word is of rare occurrence, and interpreters are not agreed as to its precise sense. Gesenius renders it asylums: others clefts or excavations, which is more aptly descriptive, has the ancient versions in its favour, and admits of an equally satisfactory derivation. $\S 279$, the lofty place of his inhabiting, i. e. which he inhabits; this may
 or it may be governed immediately by direct object, inhabiting his lofty dwelling in the clefts of the rockis. , , the question implies that no one could.
4. Though his habitations were more difficult of access than they were, or than it was possible for them to be, God would dislodge him. ت- may be taken absolutely, if thou shalt mount high as the cagle; or as the eugle, in which ease the infin. number, and person, $\S 268.1$, though others regard it as a passive parti-

make high the pufting of thy nest，i．e．put thy nest high，$\S 269$ ．$a$ ，
 summits so lofty that，viewed from beneath，they might seem to be among the stars，but really．This is of course an impossible supposition， but its very absurdity only shows more clearly how inevitable was their doom．Comp．Amos 9：2，etc．

5．Transported in idea to the event which he was predieting，the prophet exclaims at the completeness of the ruin and the pillage，such as the ordinary causes and inages of desolation were inadequate to effect or to represent．E－ §35．1，robbers using violence to accomplish their purpose．If thieves came to thee，ete．，rould they not steal（ $二 ⿺ 𠃊 八$ ：fut．because subsequent to $\cdots \geqslant 263.5 . a)$ enough for them，as much as they wanted，could lay their hands on，or were able to carry away ；still they would have left some－ thing，they could not have plundered thee of every thing．That it was not ordinary thieves from whom Edom had suffered，but something far worse，appeared from their having stripped him of all and ruined him utterly，as is suggested by the interjected exclamation，how hast thou been destroyed！§ 86．$b$（ $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$ ．），§ 262 ．4．A similar sense may be obtained by rendering $=$ es interrogatively in both clauses of the verse， thongh this meaning of the particle is mostly confined to disjunctive questions，§ 283．2：Heve thicues come to thee，etc．？it might seem as if they had，and yet this would not account for such extreme desolation； do they not steal（fut．iu halitual sense，§ 263．4）enough for them？they do not despoil of every thing，as has been done in this case．Or $\quad \mathrm{k}$ both here and in ver． 6 may introduce an interrogation，though this is less foreible than the exclamation：If thieves had come to thee，etc．，how couldest thou have been destroyed（pret．modified by the preceding con－ dition，$\S 262.1$ ）as thou hast been？The least satisfactory of all the in－ terpretations which have been proposed，supposes that the prophet does not contrast the＇thieves，＇etc．with the actual plunderers of Edom，but identifies them．If thieres come to thee（prop．shall have come，pret．in relation to the following future，$\S 262.1$ ）as they certainly shall，ete．， will they not steal thrir fill？the inplication being not that they will feave something，but that they will take every thing that they can get． If grape gatherers，ete．，will they not leave mere gleanings，i．e．the least possible remnant？

6．שers agrees with as a collective noun，§ 275．2．：： cret pluces，§ 191．3，or hidden thinys，concealed treasures，§ 191． 5.

7．Edom＇s impregnable fosition could not protect him against this musparing pillage．His other grounds of dependence，the friendly dis－
position of allied nations and his own wisdom and valour would be equally unavailing. From the description of this desolation the prophet now reverts to the circumstances which preceded it. אַּשׁ men of thy covenant, i. e. those in covenant with thee; and as the relations spoken of are those of a nation, allied nations and not merely individuals must be intended. These have so far violated their engagements and disappointed thy reasonable expectations, that they have sent thee (pret. §262.4) to the border. When thou hast gone to them to obtain the stipulated aid against invading foes, they have conducted thee, in the person of thy representatives or ambassadors sent for this purpose, to the borders of their territory, not in token of respect and honour, as though the meaning were, they lavish every attention upon thee, and make fair promises which they never fulfil; because upon this understanding of their act, the most essential thought, the non-fulfilment of their promises, is not expressed. Their sending thee to the border is simply equivalent to dismissing thee, refusing the solicited aid, and sending thee out of the country. It has also with less probability been understood to mean that they refuse to harbour the fugitives escaped from the devastation before described; they sent thee, i. e. this fleeing remnant which alone survived, to the border; or to denote active hostility, the border upon this hypothesis being not the limit of their own territory, but that of Edom, they sent thee to thy border, i. e. expelled thee to it and beyond it. This, however, would more naturally be attributed to the nations spoken of in ver. 1. The allies of Edom refuse in his extremity to grant him aid; and what is yet more unexpected and trying, and upon whose neutrality, at least, if not assistance, thon couldest count, have deceived thee, have prevailed with respect to thee, i. e. over thee. They have by open force, or secret treachery, taken the side of thy
 either by a bold figure, or by an unusual ellipsis, although it is one easily supplied from the preceding words, for men of thy bread, befriended by thee in their times of want, or deriving their subsistence from thee, requite thy kindness with perfidy and injury. $w$, fut. because the prophet speaks as if in the midst of what he is describing, § 263. 5. a. Edom has found himself disappointed in two elasses, the nations with whom he was in alliance, and those with whom he was at peace; one class yet remains, those who had eaten his bread, and there a similar disappointment awaits him. It may be observed here, that these classes need not be exclusive of each other: the same nation might perhaps belong to one or to another, according to the aspect under which
it is contemplated. But all, upon whom Edon could have reposed any reliance, failed to meet his natural and legitimate expectations. hirs, variously rendered snare, fulsehood, and wound. The construction above proposed is on the one hand preferable to that which violates the accents ly comnecting 7 oreth with preceding clause, the men of thy peace and of thy bread have, ete.; and on the other to that which governs pen -i by $\approx=$ they, indef., §243. 2, will make thy bread a snare under thee, whe ther this be understood to mean that they treacherously entrap the fleing remnant of Edom under pretence of affording them subsistence, or that they ungratefully replace or requite the bread which they have received from Edom by setting a snare for him. : in suf. refers not to花 snare, there is no perceiving it, Edom does not perceive the snare set for him, which gives a wrong sense to ren; but it refers to Edom $\S 279$, there is no understanding in him. This is not here stated as an explanation of the ill-usaga just recited, as though he had brought it upon himself by his own folly ; nor as a deduction from it, as though his being so deceived and ensnared evidenced a lack of intelligence ; nor as a consequence of it, as though the perplexities resulting from the treachery of his supposed friends induced an entire confusion of counsels. It is rather a fresh particular in the hopelessness of his condition. Every resource fails him. He is not only deserted by others on whom he relied, but his own wistom, in which he prided himself, and for which he was famed, Jer. 49:7, forsakes him.
8. This is not aecidental or unexplained, but due to a special divine infliction. $x$ expects an affirmative answer. $\$ 287.3$.
9. They should be deprived of courage as well as of sagacity. --. $\$ 100.2$ a (2). expresses not simply the result, so that, but the design, in order that. 'Their bravery is taken from them with the view of giving them up to helpless slaughter. Ex, used as an indefinite pronom, every one. : out a battle, which does not give the nom its proper signification; nor cansal, on aceonnt of slunghter, thy slaughter of Jacol, for this was chargeable not upon Eilom, but upon 'the strangers,' ver. 11; but instrmmental, by sloughter. It violates the accents to connect this with the next verse, and real, on acconnt of the slanglter and on account of the violence, ete.
10. The crime by which Edrm has incurred so terrible a retrilution. $=--5$, prep. causal, noun constr. before its olject, § 254. 9. a, on account of riolence, wrong, done to thy hrother. 下F=e, not in allusion to bushes covering the countenance, nor to the disposition of those who
are ashamed to conceal or veil the face, but shame shall cover, overspread or overwhelm thee. 13:18; other copies accent 2 , in which case the Vav must be regarded as simply conjunctive, or else the accent remains on the penult contrary to the ordinary rule after Vav Conversive, § 100. 2.
11. The time and circumstances of the commission of this crime. Esau's hostility toward Jacob was transmitted to his descendants, and revealed itself in the whole course of their history. It culminated at the overthrow of Jerusalem by the Chaldeans. In this hour of Judah's calamity, Edom, instead of burying his rancour, and showing the commiseration which might have been expected from a kindred people, displayed a malicions joy at the downfall of his ancient rival. He made common cause with the foreign invader, and added his insults and outrages to those of the merciless enemy, Ps. 137:7, Lam. 4:21. 22. Passing by all inferior manifestations of this long cherished animosity, the prophet singles out this most glaring and wanton exhibition of it, which he was enabled to foresee. Enconnects not with what precedes, 'On account of the violence, etc. in the day;' but with what follows, 'In the day, etc., thou too wast as one of them;' indefinitely, as in Gen. 2:4. ֻ\% § 106. a. tor or as an enemy. $\quad$ = forces, host, as in ver. 20, or wealth, substunce, as in ver. 13. 心E, change of construction from the infin. to the pret. §8282. c, with a direct object, $\S 271.2$, though it is commonly followed by the prep. =into, or

12. Instead of procceding to describe the conduct of Edom at this time of Judali's sore distress, the prophet appears to be a witness of its atrocity, and in impassioned terms begs Edom not to commit the crimes which he is on the point or in the act of committing, viz., not to indulge in malicious joy at Judah's downfall, ver. 12, not to take part in the sack and plunder of Jernsalem, ver. 13, and not to slaughter or betray Judah's hapless fugitives, ver. 14. N-n-is? § 264, § 171.1, cannot mean, thou shouldest not have looked, bat must be rendered look not. As it would be unnatural and contrary to analogy to dissuade from that which had already been committed, the conduct of Edom here complained of must have been still future, and hence the preterites of ver. 11 are prophetic like those of vs. 2, 6.7. The prophecy must accord ingly have been delivered prior to the destruction of Jerusalem by Nebu chadnezzar, and we thus have an incidental corroboration of its date as inferred from its position among the minor prophets after Amos and be fore Jonah and Micah. -a:? may express the time of the action, in
which ease mose must stand absolutely without an object, look not at what may offer itself to your sight in the duy, etc.; or coürdinating still the second $=\cdot=$ of this clause with the first, $\geq$ may connect the verb with its olject, lenoting that the sight dwells upon it and rests in it with satisfaction, see on Gen. 41:34, Judg. 16:27, look, gaze not at the day of thy brother, i. e. the period of his calamity, comp. Ps. 137:7, at the day of, etc.; or, which the regular structure of the following clauses seems to require, the first $=0$ may express the object of the verb, and the second the time of the action, gaze not at the day of thy brother in the day, ete. $-=$, Ges., his strange fate, calamity; others, his being
 gesture of derision, Ps. 22:8, $35: 21$, Isa. $57: 4$, Lain. 2:16, or make great thy mouth, as the organ of speceh, i. e. utter proud and insolent things, comp. Ezek. 35: 13.
13. - This difficult form has been variously explained. Some make it 2 f . p ., the Edomites being addressed as women on account of the dastardly conduct ascribed to them, comp. Nah. $3: 13$;
 never written as one word with the verl, never has $n$ in place of $\kappa$, and when connected with a dissnasion its proper place is between sond the verl, comp. (ien. 18:3, the only exception is Jude. 19:23; others conceive - : to be $n$, paragogic precelell by a cpenthetic, a combination which never occurs. l'erhaps the simplest and best explanation, though it is nut free from difliculties, is to regard ritine as an unusual form for
 vi\%, thy hand, which the prophet has in mind without distinetly naming it. Like instances of the employment of a suflix with reference to an object not mentioned in the context, but easily deducible from it , occur elsewhere, Isa. $1: 6,8: 21$, I's. $18: 15,68: 11.15$. Comp. 1 Sam. $24: 11$.
15. -- introduces the reason ly which the preceding exhortations
 of Jehorah, which belongs esprecially to him, inasmuch as he shall then manifest himself in his true character, particularly in his attributes of merey and of justice. It shall be a day of gracious reward to his own people and of righteous retribution to his and their foes. In contrast with the day of Julah, ver. 12, the period of his humiliation and defeat, it is the period of Jehoval's exaltation and triumph, which his oppressed people shall share, but which shall bring ruin upon all who oppress them or oppose him. This day is further characterized by the words
 to denote the universality of the judyment then to be executed. By the
day of the Lord, of which the prophets speak, is manifestly meant not merely the final period of judgment to be executed simultaneously upon the whole world, from which the representation takes its form and colour ; but they likewise include under it the entire series of particular and partial judgments wrought successively on each of the nations in the course of God's providence. All spring from one source, and possess the same character. They form one work of divine retribution. The punishment of Edom is not viewed correctly, if it is regarded as an isolated fact. It is really a part of Gol's universal work of judgment, wrought in the course of human history, and consummated at its close. This day, which expands itself thus into a protracted period, is further said to be בijp near, because punishment would swittly follow the offence which has been described. When that time arrives, to which the prophet has in idea been transported, and out of the midst of which he has been speaking, when Jerusalem shall fall and Edom shall insult over its ruins, his own doom shall not be long delayed. That portion of 'the day of the Lord upon all the nations,' to which Edom's punislment is assigned,
 ishment is not an arbitrary infliction, but simply the recoil of sin, the return of one's own deeds upon himself. תִּ or contact, see on Gen. $2: 24,3: 3$. The head, as the most prominent and important member, is the representative of the person. Hence the symbolical acts of crowning, Zech. 6:11, or anointing the head, Ps. 23:5, uncovering the head, Lev. 13:45, $21: 10$, casting dust on the head, Josh. $7: 6$, laying hands on the head, Lev. 1:4. Hence, too, the head is spoken of as bearing good, Isa. $35: 10$, Prov. $10: 6$; and evil, Jer. 23:19; guilt and punishment, Josh. 2:19, Joel 3:4.7; this last is particularly appropriate when, as in the present instance, the offence is a capital one, and is to be capitally punished.
16. This verse confirms the statement of the preceding, that in the day of the Lord upon all nations an exact retribution slall be meted out to Edom. He has been guilty of drinking, indulging in festive carousals in his incolent exultation over Judah's calamity: and he shall be punished by having to drink, in common with other nations, a draught which shall canse his destruction. The sin of Edom is not, of course, the ground of the punishment of all nations, each of which is to suffer for its own crimes; but it suggests the figure under which the doom of all is set forth. As the experience of pleasure or pain may be aptly represented by tasting or drinking what is agrecable, l's. 36:9, or the reverse, Jer. 23: 15, the endurance of divine wrath finds its appropriate emblem in a bitter and deadly draught which men are compelled to
swallow, Job $21: 20$, Ps. $75: 9$, Isa. $51: 17.22$, Jer. $25: 15$, etc.
 cating the place of their revelry, which is more natural in the connection than orer, indicating its sulject or occasion. my mountain of holiness, i. e. my holy mountain. ר continually, not of course that eacll nation should continue for ever drinking, for the draughts are, as is immediately added, productive of speedy extinction; but they should drink in unending series until the entire number was exhansted, comp. the phrase continual burntoffering, Ex. 29:42, continual shew-breal, Ex. 25:30, 2 Chron. 2:3. Several manuscripts, and a few of the early printed editions, substitute for this word $=\because$ or around or in turn, which, though preferred by some commentators, is doubtless a gloss at first inserted in the margin by way of explanation, and subsequently transferred to the text. The common text has in its favour the best and most accurate manuscripts and all the ancient versions. It is an illustration of the tendency to substitute an easier reading in place of one which involves a real or fancied difficulty. The rendering of this phrase in the Scptuagint may also serve to illustrate, on the one hand, how errors may arise from the eye, transcribers or translators not seeing accurately what is before them, and on the other, how even the errors of a version may be turned to account by the critic, and afford him data from which to conclude upon the true form of the original text. For
 plain that the translator, misled by the similarity of the letters, has mistaken $\boldsymbol{T}$, for $=0$ wine. form of the verb, $\$ 282 . l$, drink and drink, i. e. continue drinking until the whole is exhausted. who, ete. In the exposition of this verse alrtady given, the word drink is taken in its literal sense in the first clanse, and figuratively in the second. Other constructions have been proposed, which preserve the same sense in both clauses. Thus literally in both: 1. As ye Edomites have drunk upon my holy mountain, exulting over the ruin of Jernsalem, all nations shall drink, exulting over your ruin. But all nations were not to be combined against Edom, ver. 1 ; the essential thing in this interpretation, that the drimking of all the nations had relation to Edom, or expressed their joy at his destruction, is not in the text, but must be supplied; and the kind of drinking intended is shown by its effect, they shull be os: those who have not lieen, who have never existed. Or, 2. As ye Ellomites huve drunk upou my holy mountuin, all nations shall do the sause, shall inflict similar injuries and insults, shatl irink there and $f$ erish in consequence, le as those who huve not been. But this is inap-
propriate to the connection；the particle for，with which the verse begins，must be followed by a confirmation of the preceding statement that，as Edom has done it shall be done to him．The same objection may be made to those constructions in which drink is understood figura－ tively in both clauses，viz．，3．As ye，Edomites，have drunk the cup of divine wrath，the preterite prophetic $\S 262.4$ ，on account of $m y$ holy mountuin，i．e．for your injurions treatment of God＇s people，all nations shall drink the same．And，4．As ye，Jews（who are，however，nowhere addressed thronghout the prophery，and whom there is nothing in the context to suggest），have drunk of the divine wrath upon my holy moun－ tain，all nations shall drink the same，but more copiously，and for a longer term．Thus understood，the verse would be parallel to Jer． 25 ： 29，49：12．

17．In contrast with the perdition of Edom and the nations，in con－ trast，too，with the injurious treatment that Judah shall experience at their hands，the concluding section of this prophecy dwells npon the ultimate salvation，victory，and enlargement of God＇s people．⿻彐丨．
 by others as a collective，an escaped，or delivered band，§ 198．While the nations，who are enemies of God，are doomed to extinction，God＇s kingdom，of which Zion is the centre and seat，shall be preserved．It must pass through sore trials，such as that referred to vs．11－14，and others beside，but there shall still be a remnant surviving them all， comp．Joel 3：5．$=$ may either be the subject，and there shall be holiness，viz．in mount Zion，or the predicate，and it，the body of those who have escaped，shall be holiness，or holy．This denotes not merely inward purity，but sacredness and inviolability．They belong to God， and are consequently under his special protection，comp．Joel 4：17， Jer．2：3．$=\underset{\sim}{2} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ not to be restricted to Judah，either here or in the next verse．E－a §216．1．a，their own possessions，from which they had previously been driven，see ver． 11 ；or it may mean the possessions of all the nations， ver．16．The people of God shall not only be protected from further injury from other nations，but they shall conquer and possess the world， comp．ver．21，Dan．7：27．Upon the latter view of its meaning，the idea is here expressed in the general，of which an individual application is made in the following verses appropriate to the subject of this propheey．

18．Fincer ner the house of Joseph properly denotes the tribes of Ephraim and Manasseh，who were descended from him，Josh． $16:$ t，but is here applied to the kinglom of the ten tribes，which was under the
leadership of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$ hrain, see on Ezek. $37: 19$. Although included in the honse of Jacob already spoken of, they are separately mentioned to preclude all doubt as to their interest in what is here declared, and to give greater prominence to the reunion of the sundered tribes against the common enemy, comp. Isa. 11:13. 14. The figure employed suggests the ilea of easy and complete destruction, comp. Isa. $5: 24,10: 17$.
19. The territorial enlargement of the covenant people in all directions. =ron \$ 275.2. b. The inhalitants of the southern part of Judah, contignons to Edom, should remove sonthward and occupy this vaeated turritory. The dwellers in the vale, the low country in the west of Judah, should spread westward over the territory of the Philistines. :an. The subject is not expressed. Julah is evidently intended, as may readily be inferred from the previous mention of the south and the vale which were parts of that tribe. Judah shall expand not only southward and westward, but northward into the territory of Ephrain and Samaria, thus dispossessing Benjamin, who shall in turn oceupy Gilead on the east of Jordan.
20. The ten tribes will thus be pushed northward into Phenicia. ri: and the captivity of this host, this eaptive host of the children of Isroul, the ten triles which, it is here presupposed, shall have been earried into captivity, shall possess what Cunaanites do, the territory of the Canaanites or Phenicians, comp. Isa. 23:11, wuto Zarepheth. This
 must then be coördinated with the following $n=3$ as the subject of $:$, in the last clause: the captivity, etc., who are Canaanites, ete., i. e. are eaptives in I'henicia and reside there, and the captivity of Jerusalem, etc., shall possess, etc. $-\underset{A-T}{5}$, some remote locality known only from this passage: it may perlapis be used in a general sense to denote a distant region. Some suppose it to be an appellative noun meaning dispersion, comp. root --5. Jerome identifies it with the Bosphorus, which may be a mere conjecture, from the somb of the name with the preposition prefixed. The rabhins give this name to Spain, 'E $\sigma \pi \in \rho^{\prime} u$, and make rerer to be Framee, though this latter is manifstly the town of Sarepta. $:=2:-$, as the restored inhabitants of Jernsalem more than fill their former residence, they spread over the eities of the south vacated by the occupation of Edom, ver. 19.
$\because 1$. prexiol raised up to deliver his people and pmish their oppressors, comp. Judy. 2: 16 , Neh. $9: 27$. Such divincly commissioned champiuns should again ascend momet Zion, or perhaps, as the captivity is alluded to in the prececting verse, come up out of exile to mount Zion, see on Gen.
$39: 1$. The people restored from exile should be provided with deliverers and saviours, includiug and culminating in the great antitype of all. U完 § 22. a (5), see on Judg. 15: 20. Marg. see on Judg. 13:25.

## NAHUM. CHAPTER I.

This prophecy is appropriately divided into three chapters, of which Cbap. i. announces the divine purpose to destroy Nineveh.
Chap. ii. describes its overthrow.
Chap. iii. assigns the reason for it, and declares its inevitable certainty.

1. The opening verse contains the title to the book, the first clanse of which explains its subject, and the second names its author. Like the titles prefixed to other prophecies, it forms part of the original and authentic text, and is to be regarded as written by the prophet himself. stre is by some rendered utterance, prophecy, by others burden. In favour of the latter may be urged, (1) the uniform usage of the word when employed as it is here. It is not applied to prophecies indiscriminately, but only to such as are of a grievous and threatening import, which inpose a burden of woe upon those who are the objects of them. (2) This is also its constant meaning, when not used in this technical sense ; and it springs most directly from the radical signification of the verb N:F: to lift up, to bear: to lift up the voice is a secondary application. The other meanings attributed to it by Gesenius, viz., song, in 1 Chron. 15:22. 27, and proverl, in Prov. $30: 1,31: 1$, are supposititious. See Hengstenberg's remarks on Zech. $9: 1$, in his Christology. (3) It never stands in the construct before the author of the prophecy, as it might be expected to do if it meant the utterance of, but (with the exception of Zech. 12:1, Mal. 1:1, where it is in the construct of apposition) only before its object, as here, the burden of Nineveh, the load which Nineveh must sustain. $7 \boldsymbol{T}$, see on Obad. ver. 1. : and has been explained as a patronymic, descended from Elkosh, but more probably denotes the place of the prophet's birth or residence, § 194. 1.

The chapter consists of two parts, viz. :
vs. 2-8, a majestic description of Jehovah in those attributes which determine him to destroy Ninevel.
vs. $9-14$, the completeness of the destruction which he has resolved to effect.
2. This is not a general account of the greatness and glory of the divine nature, but the prophecy is appropriately introduced by an exhibition of the basis upon which it rests. The overthrow of Nineveh is
grounded upon the inmutable perfeetions of Jehovah, his jealousy and avenging wrath. is from ins to be strong § $186 . e\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { y root }) \text { in the usage }\end{array}\right.$ of prose differs from $\begin{aligned} \text { riss in in never standing alone, but always associ- }\end{aligned}$ ated with a qualifying adjective, or another divine name, or in the construct before a following noun. In poetry, on the contrary, this rule does not hold, and is is often used without any adjunct, where the more prosaic $=$-rise might have been expected. It is in prose never joined witl: suffixes, and in poetry only with that of the first pers. sing. The rule of poetry might here be applied, and :xtaken separately as the subject, ( $r^{\prime}$ d is jealous. But the symmetry of the verse, which consists of three clauses with Jehovah as the suljeet, and a double attribute in in each, the collocation of the words, $\S 249$. 1, and the comparison of the parallel passages, Ex. $20: 5,34: 14$, Deut. $4: 24,5: 9,6: 15$, Jush. 24:19, make it preferable to translate Jehorah is a jealous and avenging God, or a jealous God and an avenger. N:\% § 187. 1, as in Josh. 24:19, clsewhere $x ; p$ zealous, denoting the energy of the divine nature, so that his love and hatred are not inoperative, as in the case of heathen deities, but active and efficient; and still more specifically jcalous, indicating the actuating motive of this divine zeal and its twofold direction, as it springs from a regard to his own honour and worship, wherein he camot endure a rival, Ex. 34:14, or from affection for his people, whom none may harm with impunity, Joel 2:18. Lnjuries suffered in either of these respects his jealousy leads him to avenge. $\quad$ bre, the triple repetition of these words is not designed to suggest an allusion to the trinity, nor to three successive injuries inHieted by the Assyrians or to be inflicted upon them, but emphasizes and renders prominent the idea expressed, $\S 280.3$. 6 . The first clause deduces God's avenging or revenging from its primary source, the zeal or jealonsy of the divine nature; the second reveals its ardour or intensity as attended by the heal of his wrath; the third indicates its oljects, his enemies. sient fervour, but that settled indignation against $\dot{e} v i l$ and determination to punish it, which is inseparable from God's holiness. keeping, retrining wrath, which is easily supplied from notre in the preceding clause, though the same ellipsis occurs elsewhere, Ps. 103:9, Jer. 3:5. 12 ; others render mateching with a view to punishment, comp. Job 10 : 11. The two verbs of this clause are likewise combined in Lev. 19: 18.
3. This avenging jealonsy is not discredited by the long delay of julgment, for it is associated, as the prophet adds, with the attribute of forthearance or long-suffering ( $-\aleph-216.1 . e, \S 254.10$ ), which is here particularly mentioned, as it hal been so remarkably exhibited in
the case of Nineveh, Jon. 4:2. This, however, it is immediately declared, involves neither weakness nor a relaxation of his purpose to pun-
 in its ordinary sense, as exercised in the production of effects ab extra, not power of endurance or self-restraint, as has needlessly been assumed with the view of finding an exact parallel to the words immediately pre-
 from Ex. 34:7, Num. 14:18. $\sim$ and repeated in the suffix at the end, $\S 281$; the LXX connect it with what precedes, but this violates the accents. This name oceurs five times in this and the foregoing verse, and ten times in the course of this chapter. The recital of the attributes of Jehoval is followed by a sublime description of his going forth in wrath to punish his enemies. This is not the description of a thunder storm or of other natural phenomena, which were conceived to indicate the presence of the deity. Nor is it a prediction that the overthrow of Nineveh would be accompanied by great catastrophes in the physical world. It is a poetical representation of the wrath and power of Jehovah, whose vengeance is here denounced. At the same time, the figures employed here and in other passages of a similar nature, have not only a symbolical fitness, but, to a certain extent, a real basis. Like phenomena have attended the manifestations of God's presence, as the cloud, tempest, and earthquake of the descent on Sinai, the drying up of the Red sea and of the Jordan, etc.; and they shall in vastly increased potency attend his final coming to judge the world. These occasional and transient occurrences in the past and in the future are manifestations of a permanent fact, which is valid for all time, the infinite superiority of Jehovah, and his absolute control over all the works of his hand, so that such majestic displays of his omnipotence are imminent every where, and might at any time be exhibited if such were his pleasure. The prophet consequently pictures to us the God who has convulsed external nature by his presence and coming, who shall hereafter do so on a far grander scale, and who is able to do so to any extent at all times. and threatening, are fit accompaniments or symbols of the wrath of God. The cloud, which envelopes or attends the advancing deity, is beautifully likened to the dust raised by a warrior marching to battle.
4. The wrathful and avenging mareh of God spreads desolation and terror. Grand and conspicuous objects of nature feel the weight of his displeasure, or tremble in dread of it. $\because:=$, see on Gen. $37: 10$. The vividness of the description is heightened by the use of the participle, which denotes present time, $乌 266.2$, and places the action, as it were,
before the eyes，He is rebuking．The following future with Vav Con－ versive is also to be rendered as a present，and denotes an action imme－ diately consequent upon the preceding，$\S 265$ ．$a$ ．The preterites that come after，announce in quick succession the further effects of this dis－ play of wrath，as it seizes upon one object after another．sinn \＆ 150 ． $2(1,180)$ ． the promontury of Carmel in the west，and the lofty range of Lebanon in the north，were the most conspicuous objects in l＇alestine，and distin－ gruished for their fertility and verdure．They instantly wither at the rebuke of God．The mention of them here affords an incidental proof that the prophet was himself in the holy land，and not，as some have imagined，in exile in Assyria．

5．$\cdot \underset{\sim}{6}$ ，prep．in its causal sense，on account of him，at him．Nジ．， intrans．，lifted itself up，heaved，as in an earthquake．This is better than the explanation，raised itself，i．e．went up in smoke，the figure being suggested by the melting of the hills before the fire of God＇s wrath，or the rendering lifted up its voice，eried out in terror．$=-r$ ， from the root $\dot{Z} ;$ ，to come forth，$\S 190 . b$ ，the productive or habitable earth，the morld．It is used exclusively in poctry，and never occurs with the article，$\$ 247$ ．The repeated conjunction ？－？，like the Latin et－et，signifies both—and．$\because=\because, ~ ミ 35.1, \S 255.1$.

6．Such being the fearful consequences of his displeasure，none can resist it or stand before it．$-\overline{-i}:$ poured out，like fire rained down from heaven，perhaps with allusion to the judgment sent upon Sodom． E－F．，singled out as a type of what is strongest and most enduring．

7．Another feature of the divine character，which，so far from being inconsistent with the preceding，is in reality but another side of the same essential attribute of righteousness．While to his enemies this be－ tokens vengeance，it assures those who trust in him of love and protec－ tion．The obverse side of this divine perfection is here presented，be－ cause it contains an additional ground for the judgment upon Nineveh． \＃－．．know，may be taken in an emphatic sense，involving acquaintance， intimacy，and friendship，comp．P＇s．14：3，Amos 3：2，Mat．7：23；or it may be restricted to its ordinary meaning of simple intelligence，it being sufficient to assert that he knows who they are who trust in him； his blessing and favour follow from that as a matter of course．

8．$\because シ ゙ ニ ン, ~ t h e ~ c o n j u n c t i o n ~ m a y ~ b e ~ a d v e r s a t i v e, ~ i n t r o d u c i n g ~ a ~ c o n-~$ trast to what immediately precedes，and on the other hand，but；or it may be copulative，the destruction of Nineveh being a sequence of God＇s regarl of his injured people，and consequently，etc．Isaiab， $8: 8$ ，had


Nahum declares that Nineveh herself shall be inundated; the figure is not to be restricted to an invading army, but includes the entire flood of evils by which she was to be visited and destroyed. Some commentators have supposed, that in aldition to this figurative fulfilment, the words of the propbet were literally accomplished in an actual overflow of the Tigris, which, as Diodorus Siculus, ii. 27, narrates (he calls it the Euphrates), threw down twenty furlongs of the city wall, and thus gave entrance to the besiegers, in fulfilment of an ancient prophecy, that the city could never be taken till the river became its enemy. This would accord with the analogy of other prophecies, see on Isa. 40:3. The only doubt arises from the uncertainty as to the actual facts of the case. It has been made a question whether the narrative of Diodorus is entirely reliable; and if so, whether the overthrow which he describes was subsequent to the time of Nahum, and was the same that is here predicted. $\quad$ pight agree with Jehovah passing with a flood, but is more naturally comnected with with an overrunning flood, i. e. passing its bounds. tion in its place, i. e. in the place allotted to it, but to Nineveh, $1: 1$, which is prominent in the prophet's mind as the theme of his discourse. This is an additional proof that the title forms an original and integral part of the text of the prophecy, since otherwise the subject would be unexplained, and the reader left in doubt until $2: 9$. Interpreters have needlessly perplexed themselves about the form of expression here employed, as though the p'ace of the city, or the soil on which it stood, was to be an olject of destruction distinct from the city itself. If Nineveh were destroyed, of course its site would be made a desolation. It is unnecessary, therefore, to assume that Nineveh is personified as a queen, comp. Isa. $47: 1$, etc., and that her place or residence is the city itself. This passage affords an illustration of the manner in which varions readings and wrong interpretations have arisen from a false view of the parallelism of clauses. Of the old Greek versions, Symmachus alone gives respond in sense exactly with those rising up against him, as if the reading were, or were equivalent to, $י$ rer . : be the subject of the verb, or stand absolutely after it to denote the place, into durkness, or instrument, with darkness, eomp. on Isa. $41: 2$.
9. The second division of the chapter opens with a direct address to
 reference to Jchorah? his nature and purpose being what has just been described, how do you propose to resist him, or avert the destruction
whish he is resolved to brivg upon you? Or the reference may be to offensive rather than defensive measures, as $\mathfrak{y y}$ = ye devising in reference to, against Jehorah? i. e. what new assault are you meditating upon his people? You shall fail in your design ; you shall not be allowed to afflict them again. Either of these explanations is lutter than to suppose Judah addressed, what think ye in reference to Thonah? what do yon imagine that he will do? : בnafliction shall not urise twice; the allusion to ver. 7 , where this same word is used of the distress endured by Gol's people, determines this elanse to mean, that Judah has suffered the oppression of Nineveh, but shall never be exposed to it again, sce also $2: 1$. Ancther interpretation is, that God would make such thorough work in his destruction of Nineveh, that he would have no occasion to afflict it a second time, comp. 1 Sam. 26:8,
 i. e. Nineveh shall not arise twice, shall not recover from this as from its previous overthrow by Arbaces, comp. Jer. $51: 64$.
10. $\because$ confirms the statement just made, that the Assyrians shall never aflict Judah again ; the reason is, for they shall have been themselves devoured, consumed as stubble, a figure of easy, speedy, and total
 ject is qualified by the preceding participial elause, which has been variously understood according to the signification attributed to the figures employed, and the sense put upon some of the terms. The simplest view appears to be that which finds in these words the circumstances or manner of their destruction. Eintangled unto the extent of thorns, to the degree that thorns are, like thorns, comp. 1 Chron. $4: 27$, i. e. joined together in an inextricable mass, and thus affording the readier and surer fucl to the flames, and drunken as by their drink ( $e_{i=0}=$, absolutely to tlenote the manner or instrument, $5274.2 . e$ ), or, accorling to their drink, with no other limitation than the amome of wine they have, that is to say, cither in a state of literal intoxication, as Diodorus Siculus records, or by a figure for the helplessness of drunken men, who are unable to resist or tlee. Others resolve the participles into verbal forms, to which they are often equivalent. Thus, if beiny interworen be taken to mean, because they are intirwoten, etc., this clause will suggest the reason why the Assyrians are to be destroyed, thorns, from their noxious character, being an emblem of wicked men, lizek. 2: 6, Mic. 7:4, and their lrumkenness being singled out as an evidence of their luxurious, abandoncel lives. On the assumption that $=\cdots$ has the sense of $n=0$ stupefied (the senses rutangled and confused) liy flesh-pots might also be refered to luxurious living. Or, if it mean, althongh they are inter-
woven，etc．，it will represent their real or fancied security，which never－ theless shall not protect them；though their phalanx presents an im－ penetrable front，as firmly knit together as thorns，and though they have all the confident security of merry drinkers，they are yet doomed to de－ struction．Or the participles may be connected not directly with the Assyrians but with $\begin{gathered}\text { en } \\ \text { ，and the clause describe the futility of resist－}\end{gathered}$ ance to their divinely ordained fate：even to，though they be like thorns woven together，which defy all attempts to handle them，and drenched as their drink，wet as wine itself，so that fire cannot burn them，they shall
 mature and therefore burning more readily，but an adverb，$\S 235.3$（3）， qualifying ェニッ，，or more probably $\because シ ミ$ ．

11．This is confirmed by the example of Sennacherib，whose doom foreshadowed that of Nineveh itself． of interpreters referred to Nineveh，out of thee proceeded or went forth． In the context，however，vs． $12.13,2: 1$ ，the $2 \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{s}$ ．suffix（except in T： $2: 2$ ）refers to Judah personified as a virgin，Isa． $37: 22$ ；in ad－ dressing Assyria the 2 masc．is used for the sake of distinction either in the sing．，ver． 14 ，or plur．，ver． 9 ．If this analogy be observed here， Judah must be intended，from thee has gone out，or gone away，retreat－ ed．$\quad$ ，Sennacherib，though it might also be taken collectively of all the Assyrian monarchs who had oppressed or would oppress the people of God，in which case $x \underset{\sim}{r}$ ，like ence of the preceding future．： negation of what is morally good implies that which is morally bad， wickedness，here used in its abstract serse；among the later Jews it came to be a name of Satan as the impersonation of wickedness， 2 Cor． $6: 15$ ．

12．The completeness of his overthrow in the height of his power， coupled with the assurance that Assyria should never be used to afflict Judah again．－Ex，the conditional clause，extends through $\operatorname{qan}_{\substack{N T}}$ ，the apodosis beginning with $\begin{gathered}\text { § } \\ \text { § } 287.2 \text { ；if they，the Assyrian army }\end{gathered}$ under Sennacherib，were complete in full strength and vigour，and so numerous，as they are well known to have been，and were so mown down， § 140．2，the figure perhaps suggested by the stubble，ver． 10 （others render shorn，comp．Isa．7：20），and he，Sennacherib，or the singular may have a collective or distributive force，the whole，or every one of them，passed away，perished，§275．6，then，by this be assured，I have afflicted thee，O Judah，and I will not affliet thee again．As certainly as Sennacherib and his host were overthrown，so certainly shall Assyria be humbled and prevented from trampling upon Judah again．Or the
apodosis might begin with :s: they vere complete, ete., yet so, in the manner well known, or thus, in this condition of completeness and numbers, were they mown down, etc. Those who find no special allusion to the fall of Sennacherib, of which the terms are so aptly descriptive, understand this verse also collectively, and refer it to the future complete destruction of the Assyrian power, by placing these preterites, as well as that of ver. 11 , under the infuence of the preceding future. The suf. in -Trote is by some commentators referred to Nineveh, and the sense supposed to be, that such a blow should be struck as would not require to be repeated; see on ver. 9 .
13. $-\frac{1}{2}=$, what Gol was about to do nore, contrasted with what he had alrealy done to the host of Sennacherib. $\$ 220.1 . l$ (3 pers.) his yoke, that of the Assyrians represented by Sennacherib; the reference of the suffix is to $\boldsymbol{n}$, ver. 11 .
14. $\begin{aligned} & \vec{n}=3 \\ & \text {, }\end{aligned}$, the oppressor spoken of in the immediately preceding verses is now addressed, $\S 279$; the prep. indicates the subject of the command, concerning thec, see on Gen. $41: 15$, though it sometimes also denotes the person commanded, see on Gen. 2:16. שיׁק, prep. in a partitive sense. The race shall be extinguished, not that of the monarch alone, but of the empire; the Assyrian name shall cease to be perpetuated. Sog, the idols cannot even protect themselves, much less their worshippers. The Medes, who overturned Nineveh, and the Persians, with whom they were associated in the eapture of Babylon, were the great iconoclasts of antiquity, comp. Isa. 21:9. . make thy grave, i. e. cause thee to be slain and buried. Or ere may, as it often dues, govern a donble object, $I$ will make it, viz., the house of thy gods, thy grave; this had a general fulfilment in a figurative sense, in so far as the idols of the Assyrians proved their ruin instead of their salvation, and a speeific literal fulfilment in the murder of Sennacherib in an idul temple, Isa. $37: 38$. This event oecurred several years after his invasion of Judah, and it is in this interval that the prophecy of Nahum was most probably uttered. Such a combination of the figurative and the literal is not unusual in the prophets, see on ver. 8. : thou hast been and art light, in a moral sense, equivalent to the sentence passed upon the Babylonish monarel, Dan. 5:27, 'weighed in the balances and found wanting.' Others render, thou art become insignificant, thy power is broken; but this could not be a reason for the divine order just reeited, except upon the forced assumption that 'I will make thy grave' means, thou shalt receive a dishonoured in place of a regal funeral.

## CHAPTER II.

The divine purpose to destroy Nineveh has been announced, and traced to its source in God's immutable attributes. The aetual execution of this purpose is now exhibited to view. This chapter, besides
an introduction, ver. 1, announcing the fall of the great oppressor, and
a conclusion, ver. 14, in which Jehovah pledges himself to effect it,
is mainly devoted, vs. $2-13$, to a vivid deseription of the overthrow of Nineveh. This may be further subdivided into,
(1) the preliminaries of the siege, by which the wrongs of Israel shall be avenged, vs. 2. 3 .
(2) the assault, vs. 4.5.
(3) the ineffectual defence, vs. 6. 7.
(4) the sack of the city, vs. $8-11$.
(5) the resulting desolation, vs. 12. 13.

1. A messenger is seen coming in the distance with the tidings of Nineveh's fall. That this is the subject of his message rather than the disaster to Sennacherib, is evident, since it is the former and not the latter which is detailed in the following chapter, and is the principal theme of the prophecy, and Judah was once successfully invaded by Assyria after the time of Sennacherib, when king Manasseh was taken prisoner, 2 Chr. $33: 11$. This verse, which forms a kind of intermediate link between the first and second chapters, is attached to the former in the English and other modern versions, as a sequel to the divine purpose therein declared, but to the latter in the Hebrew and in the ancient versions, as preliminary to the more detailed account of its execution. The existing division of the sacred text into chapters and verses, it should be remem. bered, is altogether of recent origin, and is purely conventional. It is in the majority of instances, though not always, skillfully made ; yet, however valuable for purposes of convenience, it is never to be regarded as authoritative, and should not be suffered to destroy the sense of the unity of that which, as originally prepared, formed one continuous composition, with no breaks or pauses other than the subject itself suggests. 문 lo! as if pointing to an object of sight, and one that was unexpected and surprising. E . specify the mountains in the direction of Ninevel, to which all eyes were directed. Mountains are spoken of, not as points from which a proclamation could be inore extensively heard, as Isa. $40: 9$, but where a coming messenger could first be seen. ב2, the feet are particularly mentioned,
as the organs used in running，comp．Acts 5：9．ニッチ，with the single exception of 1 Sam．4：17，used only of a bearer of good lidings，see on Isa． $40: 9.3$ ．$\S 141.1$（p．174），§271．3．The meaning of this ex－ hortation，which may be uttered either by the messenger or by the prophet，is not that the annual gatherings at Jerusalem，and the attend－ ance 1 pon the services of the temple，which had been interrupted by in－ vasion or the fear of it，might now with safety be resumed．But this distinguished benefit on the part of God demands a new and strenuous devotion to his service，and calls especially for a celebration of the joyful festivals commemorative of deliverances which were themselves types for all future time（see on Ex．20：8），and have now had a fresh fulfilment，and for a performance of the vows made in entreating relief from the recent
 －iv in thee，the land of Judah，for which，after the verb＇pass，＇our idiom substitutes through thee．$\underset{\sim}{2} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ as a significant name of the monarch or empire of Assyria，comp．the enigmatical designations Jareb，Mos．5：13， $10: 6$ ；Sheshach，Jer． 25 ： 26，51：41；Merathaim and Pekod，Jer．50：21；Rahab，Isa．51：9． This generic name shows that the person or olject so designated is not viewed simply as an individual，but as the representative of a class or the embodiment of a principle．He is the type of the wicked foes of God and his people．It is in this character that he is cut off，and for reasons grounded in those attributes of God which determine him to destroy all such．The fall of Nineveh is，therefore，a typical fact．The principles of the divine administration，which it illustrates，as these are exhibited in this prophecy，secure the fall of every other power of wickedness，the complete and final deliverance of the true people of God，and the estab－ lishment of the reign of righteonsness and peace．Nahum＇s propheey， and that of Obadiah（see particularly ver．21），may thus be said to be negatively predictive of the kinglom of the Messiah．The opening words of this verse are found again in Isa． $5: 2: 7$ ，where they are used generic－ ally of the good things in store for the people of God，of which the de－ liverance from the Babylonish exile was a type and pledge．The apostle Paul，Rom． $10: 15$ ，repeats them in application to the glad tidings of the gospel．＇This triple repetition of the same language is not to be regarded as a casual and undesigned coincidence，nor an accommodation of what was originally spoken in reference to one suljeet to another wholly difler－ ent．lant the identity in expression directs attention to a real identity in suloject．The destruction of Ninewh and the return from Babylon foreshad－ owed the salvation from sin，which is proclamed in the gospel．ה？$\$ 220$ ．

2. The advance of the invader, in view of which Nineveh is admonished to take every possible precantion. -izy , used technically of military expeditions, $1 \mathrm{Kin} .15: 17,20: 22$. Prov. 25 : 18, hammer, maul, but is more probably a part. dispersing, or, as others render, dushing in pieces. In either case it denotes the assailant of Nineveh, who is represented as already on his march against it, not the Messiah, though a name somewhat similar is applied to him Mic. 2:13, still less the Assyrians themselves, in their invasion of Jndah. T: : $_{1} \because \because$, not against thy face, the hardness of which, Ezek. 3:7-9, i. e. thy obstinacy this hammer shall break, but before, in front of thee, see on Ex. 20:3, with the implication of hostile intent. The 2 f.s. suf. refers not to Judah, as in the preceding verses, as though this verse were designed to encourage Jerusalem to hold out against Sennacherib, but to Nineveh. of the verse; the abs. inf. may, therefore, be modified by the preceding verb, $\$ 268.1$, he has besieged a siege, $-\cdots \underset{\sim}{-3}$ as as $, 3: 14$, or the fortress ; the majority of interpreters, however, regard it as a substitute for the imperative, $\S 268$. 2, and addressed to Nineveh. -rey, this and the following verbs may either be imperatives, or abs. infin. used for the imper. If the former, as is more probable, the masc. is employed because the admonition is directed to the king or people, not to the city as such, as in $7:=\mathrm{E}$. A careful watch must be maintained upon the ways leading to the city, to guard against surprise. EST strengthen, i. e. by means of the girdle, as Isa. $22: 21$. This is equivalent to a command to address himself or themselves to energetic action, inasmuch as girding up the loose oriental dress was a necessary preparation for activity.
3. against Nineveh. It was because Jehovalh had returned to his longforsaken people, and was taking their part against their oppressors. ? be applied either to an inward feeling, pride, or to that which produces it, that of which one is proud, or by which he is exalted, excellency, distinction; 'the excellency of Jacob' would then mean the advantages of which this people was possessed, and which formed their chief boast and glory, Ps. 47:5, Am. 6:8; in Am. 8:7 this expression is applied to God himself. $=$, pret. or part. The transitive sense assigned to the Kal of this verb in this and some other places by lexicons and commentators is entirely supposititious, see Hengstenberg Beitrïge, II. p. 104. It accordingly does not mean, the Lord has turned away, whether the excellency of Jacob, i. e. removed his privileges and advantages, or the pride of $J a c o b$, if const. before the sulbject, $\S 254.8$, sufficiently hum-
bled his arrogance, the pride which he has himself indulged, if const. before the ohject, S854. 9, is humbling the pride from which Jacob has suffered, viz., that of Assyria. Nor, for the same reason, does it mean, Jehorah is restoring the excellency of Jucol, bringing back to him his ancient privileges and prerogatives. The only meaning which the worls san have, is, Jehovah has returned or is returning to $\$ 271$. 2 the crallution or excellency of Jucob, i. e. to Jacob himself, who is exalted or possessed of eminent advantages, \&825.4. 2. u, comp. I's. 5:8, 'the multitule of thy mercy' for 'thy abundant merey.' Be=:, not the kingdom of the ten tribes in distinction from Julah, who is then supposed to be denoted by Jacob, as though the meaning were, God is returning in love and grace to both branches of the covenant people, to one as well as to the other. This interpretation finds no warrant in the contrast between Jacob and Joseph, Obad. ver. 18, as was shown in the exposition of that verse. Jacol was the ordinary name of the patriarch. Israel was imposed hy Gorl himself, and was signifieant of his relation to God and his prevalence with him. Transferred to his descendants, the former describes them simply on their natural side as a nation sprung from a common ancestor, the latter descriles them as in covenant with God, and the ohjects of his favour and love, see on 1sa. $40: 27,41: 8$. Jehoral is returning to the cxaltation of Jacob as to the exaltation of Israel, i. e. He will deal with Jacob in the manner implied in the name of Israel, his own chosen, peculiar people. Explains the reason; God returned to Jacob because his oppressors had reduced him to such a miserable condition, comp Judg. 2: 18. Erf, indefinite. Eror, suf, here and in E-rat refers not to the Ninerites, but to Israel; their vinc-lranches, not in a literal sense simply, of the desolation of their vineyards, but figuratively; Isracl is a vine which has not merely been emptied or robbed of its clusters, but mutilated and broken. The specific explanation of vinebranches as towns aud cities, or as indivilual Israelites, is at fault only in making too definite and precise what might better have a more general sense.
4. The attacking army and its fieree onset are deseribed vs. 4. 5.它冬216. 1. a (3). . ver. 2; some commect it with -jar, ver. 3, comp. Isa. 13:3, Joel $2: 11$. 5-se 593 . ", wither hecause covered with leather or copper, or stained with bood. njep-wx withe fire, flashing of irons, perhaps seythes with which chariots were armed, although it has been remarked that none have leeen found on the monuments of Ninevel. Or it may denote their pelished armature or ornaments, or the weapons of those whom they carried. $\because \because--\$ 102.3$ suf. not the chject referring to $=:-$, but the sub-
ject, and refers to the assailant of Nineveh; lis preparing, i. e. arranging

5. riseng fields, the open country outside of the city walls. n=arin the broad ways or spacious areas in the suburbs.
 which is mase., but as it deseribes inanimate objects, the fem. suf. may have the sense of a neuter, $\S$ 196. $a$.
6. The measures of defence. tar:, the subject is the king or people of Nineveh, comp. ver. 2. א, some understand satraps commanding in the different provinces, who are summoned to the relief of the capital, but stumble in their march to it, being eut off by the invading army; others, with greater probability, nobles within the walls, who stumble in
 2. $a$, for which the K'ri substitutes the sing. to Nineveh, see on 1:8. : בn, prop. the covering, though its precise sense as a military term is uncertain. Some understand by it the testudo or vinca, under shelter of which the besiegers approached to undermine or batter down the eity wall. Others think it to be a structure erected for the protection of the besieged, or a body of men charged with the defence of the wall. Jerome renders it umbraculum, a roof by which the besieged were sheltered from the rays of the sun as well as from the darts of the enemy. The tense of the verb ite but a secondary future, $\S 265$, appears to farour the opinion, that this like the preceding belongs to the measures of the besieged, not of the besiegers. But while they are thus actively engaged, the city, ver. 7, has already been attacked and carried in a different and unexpected quarter. The verbs of the next verse are accordingly preterites, while those in this are futures.
7. rime . In addition to the Tigris and a small stream which still flows through the ruins, there were artificial moats and channels probably surrounding the city, some indications of which yet exist. The gates opening upon these may have been left open, as in the case of Babylon, Isa. 45:1, through negligence or treachery, or else they were forced. This is simpler than to explain the gates of the rivers to mean breaches in the walls made by an inundation of the river, see on $1: 8$, or the gates of the city through which the streams of its population or of invaders pour, or sluices by which the city might be flooded, and even the palace submerged (: $\%$ ) as a measure of defence, or in a metaphorical sense, sluices through which the streams of calamity were let in upon the deroted eity. : : : melted or dissolved with terror, the palace being put for its oceupants, the royal houschold.
8. Then follows the sack of the captured city ; the captivity, ver. 8, or flight of its inhabitants, ver. 9 , the plunder of its treasures, ver. 10 , the terror which possesses all hearts, ver. 11. =a, this word has greatly embarrassed interpreters, and has been very variously explained. Gesenius derives it from $=\underset{\sim}{z}$, and connects it with the last clause of the preceding verse literally understood, the palace is dissolved and liquefied, the umburnt bricks, of which it was built, crumbling by the action of the water. Others regard it as a proper name, whether of the queen of Nineveh or symbolically applied to Nineveh itself. It is hest explained as the Hoph. of 2 : taken impersonally, \& 243.3, it is fixed, detcrmined, either it was so decreed of Gol or it is now decided by the event. nese, not is led awuy captire, which is the sense of the Hoph., but is uncovered, stripped of her clothing, comp. $3: 5$, Isa. $47: 2$. Nineveh is personified as a queen or lady of rank, fallen into the hands of her enemies and subjected to every indignity, amidst the impotent lamentations of her maids, which belong to the figure, and need not be too definitely explained, see on ver. 3 , either as the women of the city or its dependent towns, comp. Num. 21:25. 32. The tense of the verb should he preserved; the prophet deseribes the scene as if it had been transacted
 $\therefore \because-: ะ$, not lealing but moaning: the part. expresses a time contemporancous with the preceding preterites, $\$ 266.3$. $\quad=\div$, here referred to not merely as timid and helpless, but chicfly on account of their mournful note.
9. In the abundance of its wealth and the multitude of its inhabitants, Nineveh is compared to a pool of water, comp. Rev. $17: 15$, swelled hy an intlux from all quarters, which yet, when its banks are piereed or broken, speedily empties itself, and the outflow eannot be checked. may be supplied, 255.2 , from the days that she has been, i. e. from her origin, during the entire period of her existence. ri:. refers to $=\bullet \boxed{E}$, or as the figurative are immediately exchanged for lit.ral terms, to what these denote, the inhabitants laden with their wealth. : rey, emphatic pansal form $5.11 \%$. A, not the language of the enemy, but of those who would reassure the frightened fugitives and rally them again for the defence of the eity. :resc turning, either intrans., pausing in his own flight, or trans., arresting the flight of others.
10. While upon one side is heard the ineffectual cry, Stop! stop! upon the other resound the lond cries of the victors inciting each other to the spoil. $\quad \underset{\sim}{2}$, supply the substantive verb, there is an abundance, or it may be in apposition to the preceding nom, to the store, the abundance
of every precious article: the absence of the art. favours the former construction. מִּ, the prep. indieates the material from which the abundance is derived, or of which it consists. Comp. $3: 8$.
11. The absence of verbs converts the greater portion of this verse into a series of exclamations, and the energy of the original will be marred if its form is changed in this particular by the supply even of the
 1:2:n, here extended to three terms of increasing length from the same or kindred roots, may be feebly represented by vacancy and vacuity and evacuated! 5 \$ \$ 140. 2, not pret. but part., and melted heart! Like mental and physical effeets are often aseribed to terror, e. g. Josh. 2:11, 7:5, Isa. 13:7. 8, Ezek. 21:12. : ~-Ns, the old interpretation, blackness, as of a pot, $\cdots$, is quite superseded by the derivation from then be rendered gather a glow, are flushed with excitement and agitation ; or gather in, withdraw from the surface, lose colour, become pale, comp. Joel 2:10, $4: 15$.
12. $2 \times$, the question implies that it no longer exists. The figurative terms, by which its former power and conquests are described, imply the justice of the retribution which has at length overtaken it. The robber city has itself been robbed. Nineveh, enricherl with the spoils of other nations, is compared to a den of lions filled with slaughtered prey. This image is sufficiently distinct, without insisting upon a specific meaning for each of the details, as though the lion denoted the king, the lioness the queen, and the young lions the nobles, eitizens or soldiers, see on 2:3. 8.
13. Some supply ner or from ver. 12, but this is unneces-

14. The declaration of God, that he would destroy Nineveh, couched partly in literal and partly in figurative terms. $\quad \mathrm{B}$ 승, commonly rendered against thee, as if it were $\bar{\sigma} \boldsymbol{y}$; ; but the prep. properly indicates motion to, towards; lo! I unto thee, i. e. am coming to thee. That this is with a hostile intent is suggested not by the prep. but by the context ; so also $3: 5$, Jer. $50: 31$; and hence the same phrase is used, where the design of the coming is gracious, Ezek. $36: 9$. Comp. 'I'll to Fife' for I will go to Fife. under God's command, terrestrial persons and things and celestial beings and bodies constitute his hosts, see on Gen. 2:1. This title is particularly appropriate to Jehovah as the God of battles and the author of Nineveh's destruction. iz: a conspieuous manner, in a fire emitting a great smoke, and consequently
visible at a great distance, but so that they should be converted into smoke, Ps. $37: 20$, and vanish away. = treasures accumulated by extortion and conquest, or as a com of action, thy preying, plundering, thon shalt not be allowed to prey upon the rest of the world any longer. :nsesin § 220.2.c, bearers of royal edicts, Esth. 3:13, or sent to denource war and demand the submission of the nations, Isa. 37:9. The paraphrase of vs. $9-14$, given by Josephus, Ant. IX. 11. 2, is interesting, as showing that he followed the Hebrew text rather than that of the Septuagint.

## ChAPTER III.

This is not a second overthrow, distinet from that already deseribed, as those have imagined who refer ch. ii. to the taking of Nineveh by Arbaces, and ch. iii. to its final capture by Cyaxares. But the prophet recurs to the same sulject for the sake of exhibiting more distinctly the gromeds of it, fortifying it by a striking example, and declaring its unfailing certainty.

1. vs. 1-7, the crimes of Nineveh and their penalty.
2. rs. 8-11, the fate of No-Ammon slall be hers.
3. vs. 12-19, notwithstanding her strength and resources, her destruction shall be sudden, complete, and unlamented.
4. The sin of Nineveh and its punishment are first stated in literal, is. 1-3, and then in figurative terms, vs. 4-6. In her lust of dominion she scrupled not to extend it by every measure of fraud and violence. $\because-$ is denmeiatory, Wo! $=\times \pi$, plur. denotes drops of blood; hence
 the alj. taking a direct object like the verb from which it is derived, $\$ 271.1$, see on Gen. 42: 18; though others real, contrary to the accents, ull of $i t$ is deceit, and full of violence. $=\cong$ might be transitive, it will not let go the prey, restore it to its rightful owners; some suppose a particular allusion to Isracl retained in captivity. But as $\because \because$ is fem., and this form is mostly intrans., it is prolalily so here, the prey di-

5. This and the following verse describe not the bustle of the great city and the crimes perpetrated there, lust the onset of the attacking army and the resulting slaughter. The absence of verbs converts them into a series of alrupt exclamations, comp. $2: 11$. $: 6$ the somnd of, "quivalent to Mark! see on Isa. 40:3. Some supply this throughout
the verse, and even in the first clause of ver. 3, but without necessity. טiti, collective.
6. ت- . in the common version; nor is it to be rendered lifting up himself, i. e. mounting his horse, but causing his horse to rear or spring, making him bound along at a high speed. is so great as to impede the adrance of the assailants. The K'thibh is Stin:, the future of this verb being supplied from the Niphal, since the Kal future is not in use, $\S 80$. a (3).
7. Nineveh is figuratively charged with whoredom, which does not here denote idolatry and desertion of the true God, as when it is imputed to Israel, Hos. 1:2, but is tantamount to the deceit, violence and blood already charged upon her in literal terms, ver. 1. It refers, as in Isa. $23: 17$, Rev. $17: 2$, to promiscuous intercourse with other nations, whether in the way of trade or political alliances, which was of itself abhorrent to Jewish laws and usages, but became more offensive from the selfish ends pursued by means of these entanglements and artful solicitations. Under the pretence of love and friendship she was covertly but incessantly aiming at her own aggrandizement and the extension of her empire. To enhance her power and complete her conquests, this harlot relied not only upon her personal charms, the magnificence and attractiveness of Nineveh in the eyes of surrounding nations, but also upon sorceries. The allusion (comp. a like combination of ex: and
 incantations, to sccure the attachment of lovers and gain control over them. The crafty, designing schemes of Nineveh, and the supernatural aid invoked in giving them effect, are doubtless intended by these occult
 what precedes but with the following verse, on account of the multitude, etc. lo! I am coming to thec. selliny, reducing to bondage to herself, as when God is said to sell his people into the hand of their enemies, Julg. 2:14, possibly with the accessory idea of a literal sale of captives into slavery to her own citizens or to other nations, comp. Joel 4:6. Some have, from an Arabic analogy, given to this word in this place the sense of entangling, ensnaring; but its constant meaning in Hebrew renders this alike unnecessary and inadmissible.
8. This shameless conduct shall be punisked by a shameful exposure. She shall be stripped of her ornamental attire, and converted into a loathsome and revolting spectacle, to shock and disgust all beholders. From this and like figurative passages, e. g. Hos. 2:5.12, Isa. $47: 3$, Jer. $13: 26$, Ezek. 16:37-39, it cannot be inferred that harlots were
actually punished in this way. $-1: 5-2 y$, as $2: 2$; she shall be thus ignominiously treated to her face, seeing it, but unable to prevent it. --ּ § § 112. 3, § 114.
9. $\mathbf{6}$ abominable thinge, not idols, to which this term is frequently applied, as if the meaning were, she shall be buried beneath the oljects of her idolatrous worship, comp. 1:14, but whatever is filthy and offensive.
10. 7- agrees in form with $-i=\S 277$. u, or sing. with a distributive sense $£ 275.6$. 2ss?, the language of the spectators extends to $\quad$. $\because: \times \leftarrow$, Jehovah is again the speaker, or rather continues to be the speaker from ver. 5 to the close of this verse, for it is he who declares what all who behold her will say. The question implies that there would be none to pity or console her.
11. That the overthrow of so magnificent and powerful a city is not to be estcemed incredible, is shown by the fate of No-Anmon, or the Egyptian Thebes, one of the most famous cities of antiqnity for its strength and resources. With our imperfect and fragmentary knowledge of its history, it is difficult to determine to what event the prophet here alludes. It is not improbable that Thebes may have been reduced by Sargon, king of Assyria, see Isa. ch. 20, though this is nowhere explicitly recorded. Another opinion is, that a capture by some other power, African or Asiatic, is intended ; and another, the least probable of any, that the event referred to had not yet taken place, but that its capture by Cambyses is here predicted. $\quad=-\operatorname{ran} \S 117.4, \S 260$ a art thou better, §263. 2, not in moral character, but in condition, more impregnable or better defended, or shatt thou le better in the destiny that
 but as in Jer. $46: 25$, the name of an Egyptian deity ; whence $\mathfrak{r}$, x , in the I.XX. $\mu$ epiôs ' $\Lambda_{\mu \mu \omega \prime \prime}$ ', part or portion of $A \mathrm{mmon}$, must have been a city sacred to that god. It is more exactly identified by the LAXX., Ezck. 30:14.16, as Dcósmodes, not of course the place of inferior magnitude so called in Lower Egypt, which would not have afforded a fitting parallel to Nineveh, but that which by way of distinction received the name of liospolis the (ireat, or Thebes, the magnificent metropolis of Cifier Egypt, whose splendour and greatness are not only attested by ancient writers, but by the magnitude of its ruins. E? tian word for river, appropriated to the Nile and the artificial canals branching from it, constructed for irrigation or defence. Ey :-nex, the rembering those wall was a rampart to seu jrom sea, Mic. $7: 12$, i. e. extending to the Red sea from the Mediterranean, violates the accents; which was "fortress of the scu, i. e. a place fortified by the sea, gives an
 in the next clause, comp. $\S 247 . b$, whose rampart was a sea, i. e. the broad Nile, as in Isa. 19:5; the same term is applied to the Euphrates, Isa. 21:1; comp. the language of Isocrates respecting Egypt, in Busiris,
 the sea landward, nor rising out of the sea, but the prep. indicates the material, consisting of the sea; see a like use of the prep. 2:10, Ps. 16:4.
12. This city, so strong in its natural position, was stoutly defended by numerous and powerful auxiliaries. 5 ent tribes inhabiting Libya; or Lubim may be the general name and Phut a subordinate division. : : $\S 279$, the prep. may be explained as the Beth essentiae, in the character or capacity of thy help, comp. Ex. 18:4, Deut. $33: 26$, Prov. $3: 26$. See on Isa. $40: 10$; or it may be read, among thy help, i. e. helpers, auxiliaries.
13. -cą even. fore was to, became, see on Gen. 2:7, exiles, her inhabitants were exiled; or this word may be dependent on a-in went for exiles, as exiles, into captivity. ?? fut. relative to and conse-

14. reflexive sense, hiding thyself for fear, but hidden, reduced to obscurity, or completely destroyed. : though in her extremity she would be obliged to apply to her very cuemies for protection, but with rea a defence from, against the enemy.
15. Against the fate thus foretold and illustrated every reliance would be unavailing. 7 , either the fortifications of Ninevel itself or other fortified places guarding the access to the capital. =-2NT, figure of easy capture, comp. Rev. $6: 13$. $=-\mathrm{R}: 2 \mathrm{x}$, the early ripe figs were especially prized, Isa. 28:4. FE: § 287. 2.
16. Her population, and especially her armies, should be destitute

 may be connected with what precedes, but better with what follows. nirs § § 282. a. . from it, comp. Jer. 15:7, Zech. 11:1; others understand the gates of the various cities of the empire. : fastened. This is a continuation of the figure of the preeeding clause. Every obstruction is removed to the advance of the enemy, who penctrates even to the capital. The change of the text to 7 Throt thy fugitives is without authority, and is of no advantage to the sense.
17. As the outposts have fallen, the people have shown unmanly weakness, and the passes opening free admission to the heart of the empire have been forced, the siege of the capital cannot be much longer delayed. Every preparation should therefore be made to meet it. : $\S$ 191. 3, the walls of Nineveh were chiefly of brick.
18. These effurts would be vain. $\Sigma^{5}$, is never an adverb of time, then, though this sense has been attributed to it here and in a few other passages, but always of place, there, i. e. on the very spot where they are engraged in these defensive preparations. Others explain it demonstratively, as though the prophet was pointing to what he saw in prophetic vision, there! pho, not the olject, as though the allusion were to swarms of locusts checked by fires or combated with swords, but the subject, since locusts are more naturally and frequently contemplated as agents of destruction than as themselves liable to be destroyed. The devastation shonld resemble that effected by these devouring inseets. The mention of locusts as an emblem of the invaders suggests the employment of the same emblem in the next clause, under another aspect, to represent the vast numbers of the Ninevites, and their sudden disappearance. $i=$, from $i=;$ to lick " $p$, devour, is a poctical name of the locust,
 adilressed in the mase. to the people, and in the fem. to the city, see on 2: 2.
19. تロay spreds itself, or better, as in the text of the common version, spoilcth. They commit their ravages and fly away; so the traders, and all the busy multitudes that frequented Nineveh, should suddenly take flight. There is no good ground for the opinion that it: denotes the loent unwinged and not yet full grown, which must cast its skin before it attains its proper size and capacity of flight, and that the clause is to he translated, lermel locusts cast their shin aud fly avay.

 $=-2$ in the sing., the wther logically in the plur. \$275. 2, their place is not known, where they were, no trace remains of their former presence ; or where they are, no one can tell whither they have gone; or preserving more exactly the sense of the interrogative, their place is not known; where are they?
20. יבְ, not a figure for nerligent security, but the sleep of death.
 the change of tense, lume fullen uslecp, shall continue to lie. $v=\varepsilon$, , as the shepherds have perished, the flock is scattered. Comp. 1 Kin. 22: 17
21. ウว כ :

## PSALAI I.

This Psalm may be divided into two parts, vs. 1-3 describing the blessedness of the righteons, and rs. 4-6 the misery of the wieked, or better, perhaps, into three parts, in which the righteous and the wicked are successively contrasted in character, vs. 1. 2 ; condition, vs. 3. 1 ; and destiny, rs. 5. 6.

1. $\cdot \mathrm{E}: 201.1, \S 221.5 . d$, an exclamation, $O$ the felicities of the man! which is more natural as well as forcible than to supply the substantive verb, there are felicities. The person referred to is first described negatively, then in ver. 2 positively. He avoids all who are evil. Walk, stand, sit, "the three postures of a waking man express the whole course of life or conduct;" they also suggest a climax, or "successive stages of deterioration ; first, occasional conformity, then fixed association, then established residence." Alexander. There may also be a progression in the three names of the wieked, impii corde, peccutores opere, illusores ore. to another, but plan or purpose which one forms for himself. $=$ $\S 156.2$. The verbs of this verse are in the preterite, those of ver. 2 in the future, but neither exclude the present; combined they embrace all time, $\S 263$. ธ. a. It is first stated what he never has done, then what he designs and endeavours always to do ; evil is abandoned and past, that which is good alone remains before him in perpetual validity. In the freedom with which the conjunctive accents are used in the poetic consecution, $\S 40.1$, it will be sufficient to note the order of the disjunctives. This verse consists of three clauses, the first of which is limited at exper by Merka-Mahpakh, the second at by Athahh, the third



2. The happy estate, which was the subject of exclamation, ver. 1 , is set forth by the expressive figure of a flourishing tree. :-re, not wihl, but planted, and that in a most favourable position. -iٍ over, werhanging, or $b y$, see on Gen. 41:1. 5ise t2. 5, plur. does not express largeness or incessant flow, $\$ 201.2$, nor intimate that $; \because$ is coll ective, but artificial channels for irrigation are intended, and the same tree might overhang several. ine, not here a figure of good works, hut belongs to the emblem of a prosperous, happy condition. re;, the figure is exchanged for literal expressions. : roz:, intrans. shall prosper, or more probably trans. he shed cause to prosper, conduct to a successful termination.
3. In contrast with this vigorous growth, the wicked are compared to a lifeless vegetable product, not to a dead trec, which would be too exalted an image, but to chaff, which is utterly insignificant and worthless, and which therefore the wind is allowed to sweep away; an allusion to the oriental mode of winnowing, ly casting the grain up to the wind. $\because: 5-\quad$, fut. denoting customary action, §263. 4. : $r_{\square} 9$, indef. a wind, or ant. omitted ly pectic license, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}} 217$.
4. $\because-\geq y$, see on Gen. 2: 2 ; from this opposition of character and romdition the I'salmist infers their opposite destiny. $\quad$ vause no longer spoken of as a class, but as individuals. It is not merely said that the wicked as a body shall not stand, endure the test, be vindicated, but no wicked men whatever shall do so. ugat the judgment, not of men but of Gorl, whether temporal or eternal, see on Obad. ver. $1 . \therefore$. congregation, the body or class of the righteous. The term is commonly used of the congregation of Isracl, the church. Sinners shall not remain forever mingled with it, undistinguished from its true and fathful members.
(f. $-\cdots$. That such a distinction shall be made is proved by the divine omniscience. God knows the way of righteous men, i. e. either he is acquainted with the course of conduct which they pursue, it being implied, though not expressly stated, that he will deal with it as it deserves. Or way may, as in Isa. 40:27, I's. $37: 5$, include the destiny as determined by the character and conduct; the meaning will then be, God knows the issue of their course, and it shall be as he has declared it tole. : 7 leals to destruction.

## PSALM II.

The first Psalm exhihits it as a permanent fact in the moral government of God, in spite of contrary appearances and seeming contradictions, that the righteous are blessed and the wicked shall perish; the one is as the flourishing and fruitful tree, the other as the dry and driven chatf. The same idea meets us again in the second Psalm, which is thus a sort of seguel or commterpart of the first. We find here the same contrast presented in the first place of two oppesing characters and courses of conduct, viz. : resistance or submission to the authority and government of (iod, and in the second place of the issues that attend them, the prerdition of the one, :- ne ver. 12, comp. 1:6, and the blessedness of the other, $\cdots e s$, ver. 12, comp. 1:1.
'This common illea is, however, transferred to another sphere and ex-
hibited upon a different theatre. 1. What was in Psalm 1 asserted of individuals is here declared of nations and their rulers. 2. What was there asserted as a general moral truth is here prophetically declared: the prophet foresees the mad resistance of the nations to the kingdom of the Messiab, and predicts its calamitous result. Three different views have been taken of the subject of this remarkable Psalm.

1. Naturalistic, that it describes the unsuccessful attempt at revolt on the part of certain subject nations.
2. Typical, that while primarily describing such a revolt from some one of the kings of Israel, it at the same time has a secondary relation to the kingdom of Messiah.
3. Messianic, that it is primarily and directly prophetic of Messiah's kingdom.

Of the naturalistic interpreters, some have referred the Psalm to one or other of the wars in the reign of David. Apart from other difficulties which ' press this view, however, none of his wars can be found which answer the requirements of the Psalm, even as interpreted ly themselves. His wars with the Philistines, 2 Sam. 5:17-25, were before Zion could be called God's holy mountain, ver. 6. His wars, 2 Sam. 8, with Syrians, Edom, Moab, and others, were not against nations previously subdued, and who now threw off the yoke of Israel. His wars with Absalom and Ishbosheth were not against foreign but domestic enemies. Others refer it to a supposed revolt against Solomon, whereas, the history not only fails to record any such revolt, but expressly describes his reign as one of peace and quietness, 1 Chron. 22:9. Others, with still less probability, have referred it to later periods of the history, until the climax of absurdity was reached by Hitzig, who places it in the times of the Maccabees, and finds the occasion to be Alexander Jannaeus imposing circumcision on the Edomites.

The decisive objections to this view, however modified, are,

1. The universal and resistless sway of this prince, which belongs only to Messiah, and is always a characteristic of his reign among the prophets : this could be said of no actual monarch but by the grossest hyperbole.
2. The authority of the New Testament. In $\Lambda$ cts $4: 25.26$, it is quoted by the assembled apostles and applied to Herod and Pilate, the Gentiles and the Jews combining in the crucifixion of Jesus. In Acts 13:33 Paul quotes "Thou art my son," ete., in application to Christ; so in Heb. $1: 5$; so "thou shalt rule them with a rod of iron," in Rev. $2: 27,12: 5,19: 15$. This Psalm is also the basis of some of the characteristic names of Jesus, (1) the anointed, Messiah or Christ, only
found here and Dan 9:25, and ( ${ }^{2}$ ) Son of God, used even by Nathanael, John 1: 49, before he had been under Christ's instruction, so that it must have leen prevalently adopted as a name of the coming Redeemer.
3. The history of interpretation: the ancient authorities among the Jews always explained it of the Messiah, the later Jews abandoning this view unly to avoil the arguments thence drawn by Christians in favour of the claims of Jesus of Nazareth. This interpretation has always been the frevalent one among ('hristians.

The typical view may be presented under two different phases. The first supposes that the writer had primarily in mind some revolt of subjucated nations from the sway of an Israelitish king, but that his language was so franed, consciously or meonscionsly, under the guidance of the Spirit, as to allapt it to the higher subject of Messiah's reign.

This wouk accord with the analogy of many of the Psalms which are typical in this sense; it would also he consistent with the authority of the New Testament, which, in applying this Psalm to Christ, does not necessarily deny its applicalility also to a lower sulject. It is, however, forbidelen, (1) by the terms of the Psalm, which cannot, without the must strained exargeration, have heen meant to apply to any actually reigning king of lsrael. Dominion over all nations was never claimed, much less exereised by any of them; and the kings and nations of the whole earth were never combined arrainst any of them. (2) The suljection demanded is not a political but a religious one. Reledlion is directed against the Lord as much as against his anointel, and the thing demanded of the nations and rulers of the earth is, that they should serve the Lord as well as submit to his Son. It is on this ground that Hituig has based his conceit that a religions war, backing the demand for the circumesision of the Eslomites, is the one intended. His virw may be aecepted as a confession that no war for political freedom or suljuyation meets the comlitions of the case.

The second phase of the typical view supposes that the prerogatives and pewers of the kinglom of Israce, as such, are here intended, and that now one historical event is particularly alluded to. The kingdom of lsra.l was divimely estaldished and an ohject of divine protection; its monaths of the line of lavill strod in a filial relation to Gol, 2 Sam. 7 : 11, ats the oljects of his love and favour. This kingdom was destined ultimately to cover the earth, and he who is the Son of God in the high-e-t gense was to be also a son of tavirl, and to sit upon his throne. This view suppeses the kinglom here to her regarded as a whole, corresponding to its divine ideal, and the ling to enbrace all the monarchs of Davil's line, includine the greatest and the last.

This would accord with the analogy of propheer, e. g. with Dent. 18, which predicts the entire line of prophets, as well as Christ, the seal of the prophets, with the prediction 2 Sam. 7 , of the kingdom of the son of David, ete. The oljection to it is, that the terms of the Psalun suggest no other than the direct application to Messiah. Its language is all applicable to him, and to him alone, in its strict and proper sense, and seems to exclude all reference to any lower subject. Messial is presented, indeed, as the ideal king of Israel, but the gaze of the seer is directed to him alone in whom the kingdom would find its consummation, not to him merely as one of a line of monarchs, who are all equally regarded. This Psalm is, thercfore, not merely typical of Christ, but is directly and exclusively messianic.

The absence of a title deprives us of the usual means of settling authoritatively the date and author of this Psalm. This lack is supplied, however, by the New Testament, which in express language, Acts 4: 25 , refers it to David. It has been replied to this, that such a statement is merely a reproduction of the current belief of the time, and is not intended to vonch for its accuracy; just as we familiarly call the whole book the Psalms of David, though he did not write every individual Psalm. It would, however, be time enough to resort to such an explanation as this, if it could first be proved that the statement of the sacred writer is not strictly true in this casc. But, on the other hand, all the probabilities are in favour of, not against its composition by David.

1. The other Psalms of the first book, Ps. 1-41, almost without exception, are in their titles referred to him, whence the probability that this is likewise his.
2. The impression made by the tone of the Psalm is, that it was written at a time when the kingdom was undivided, and was in its highest strength and glory. At such a time the devout mind would naturally pass, as is here done, from the type to the contemplation of its antitype.
3. The prophetic basis of this l'salm is found in a communication to David by the prophet Nathan, 2 Sam. $7: 12-16$, where the perpetuity of his kingdom is promised ; the very words of that promise are here alluded to, and the sonship promised applied to Messiah in its highest sense. David no doubt understool the promise to be, that Messiah should spring from his seed, and we have here the lyric reproduction of the revelations he received.
4. Its typical basis is found in the life of David, and in the kingdom as it was under his reign. He was a man of war, to whom God had granted victory over all his foes. It is under the figures of successful war and a throne established in Zion, that he describes Messialh's sway.
vs. $1-3$, the vain rebellion of the nations and their rulers.
vs. 4-6, the Iord derides their impotent attempts.
vs. $7-9$, the relation which this king bears to Jehovah, and the authority based upon it.
vs. $10-12$, all rulers counselled to timely submission.
In each of these sections there is a different speaker: in the last verse of the first, the nations and their kingrs; in the last verse of the second, the Lord; thronghont the third, the Messiah; in the fourth, the l'salmist himsclf gives the lessons of the prophetic scene which he has been surveying.
5. The I'salmist beholds the nations of the world in the tumult of actual revolt against the Lord, and expresses his astonishment and indignation at their wickedness and folly. risu why, for what reason? The question implies that no good reason exists. The question probably extends through the verse, though some confine it to the first clause, and others extend it the second verse likewise. applied to the noise of a tmmultnous crowd ; the verb, though used both in the Biblical and later (hahlee, weurs in Hebrew only in this place; 'the corresponding noun is found twice in the Psalms, meaning ' noise' or 'tumult.' The past tense shows that the l'salmist is describing a point of time after the revolt has begun, though, as the following futures show, it is not yet consummated. Eris nations, mostly foreign, gentile nations, though the application to the Gentiles and people of Israel, Acts 4:27, combined in the crucifixion of Christ, shows that it way include the nominal Israel when they have ceased to be God's true people, and put themselves on a par with heathen in their mad rebellion. This word, as well as its synonym eress $^{3}$, is without the article. It is not yet brought to view that the revolt is absolutely universal, but only that it is one of vast dimensions, one of whole nations, not of petty neighbourhoods or indivichals. The particular fact to which it is applied, Acts $4: 27$, though an instance of the hostility here referred to, does not exhaust it. - - - - , , fint. Why will they go on to meditute, i. e. plan or plot. : F-. rain, not in the conception of the plotters, of course, but in reality and actual fact.

 and at the same time, as they are morely carthly kings, prepares for the contrast to follow with 'him who sits in heaven.' The masses already sern in revolt have the conntenance and aid of their legitimately constituted authorities. E:
 to rpreal a bed as a base or foumlation to rest upon; Niph. to spread a
bed for themselves, to lie down together upon a divan or oriental sofa, as was the custom for purposes of deliberation or consultation. The preterite is used because this mutual consultation has alrealy taken place, and the resolve been formed. $-\cdots$, even though in the intent of the actors (as in the crucifixion) only against Christ, it was still aganst the Lord. : spiritual gifts. Hence 'the Lord's anointed,' 2 Sam. 24:6, is synonymous with 'king.' The king of Israel, by way of eminence, receives the name Messial, as anointed by the Spirit above measure.
6. The language of the nations and their rulers abruptly introlueed. -Tris: : , paragogic form, §97.1, expresses strong resolve, we will, or mutual exhortation, let us. They will submit to this slavery no longer.

7. While on the earth all is turmoil and confusion, in heaven the almighty object of this impotent hostility is perfectly serene, and derides these vain attempts. =ine the posture of a king on his throne, indicative of authority as well as of repose. Fre: $\S 51.2$; the LXX and Vulgate supply $\quad \underset{i}{ }$ from the next clause, laughs at them, whieh is possible, but not necessary. It may better be taken absolutely, the laughter indicating perfect security from threatened evil, comp. Job $5: 2.2$. - : mocks or derides them; by a strong figure God is represented as employ. ing insulting gestures to indicate the absurdity of their attempt, and the utter contempt in which he holds it and them.
8. זs, not indefinitely, at some time, but then; after he has first derided them, and allowed them for a while to make their impotent attempts, he will then speak. ner, not in thunder, but the words that follow. : w-: terrify, throw into consternation and confusion, used of the rout of armies by a divinely inspired terror. The fright is produced by the announcement now to be made.
9. The words of God are introducel as abruptly as those of the nations and their rulers had previously been. $\because: 2, r$ and, connects with a thought suggested by what precedes; 'You rebel against my anointed, and $I$ have established him king; the pronoun is expressed on account of this implied opposition, $\S 243$. 1. - $-\frac{2}{-2}$, not anoint, but pour out in casting metals; hence constitute, establis!. 'בַּzy king, ruling in my name and by my authority. -iv, not oucr, to indicate the territory ruled, but upon, as the seat of empire, its centre and capital: those who render the verb anoint, understand the place of anointing. Zion, the eminence in the southwest of Jerusalem, where the palace and stronghold of David were, and where the ark and tabernacle were placed during his reign ; the place of God's manifested presence, consequently
as well the residence of the earthly king. It is in later times, even after the building of the temple, spoken of as the centre of the theocracy, Moriah being regardel as a part of Zion, an additional summit of the same monntain. Old 'Testament languare is here employed as usual in describing New Testament things. As Zion was the seat of the theocracy, and the residence of its kings, Christ, in whom this kinglom is perpectuated, is sail to bee estahlished on Zion, though he never locally sat on a throne there. We familiarly use "\%ion" in like manner in relugions language, without thinking of the locality so called. : S.2. dwne there.
10. in 42. 5, not in accordunce with nor unto a deceree, so as to berome a fixed law, hut in reference to. $\quad$ - is indefinite; some comnect it
 Isracl his son because he was the anthor of his national existence, and regardel him with tember love; the kings of David's line were also sons of (Gorl, 2 Sim. $\mathbf{7}$; so were the angels, Job $38: \mathbf{7}$. But the expression is here used in an emphatie sense, as appears from its being a ground of universal cmpire, vs. 8. !, and from the peenliar intimacy and relation to (ionl shown in the worls 'my king,' and in the rebellion leing directed alike against 'the Lord and his anointed.' We learn from Heb. 1:5 that it involves community of nature with (iol. $=\cdot \sim$, the point of time designated ly this expression depends upon the date of the decree referred to, and the determination of that will depend upon the substance of the decree itself. If ' 1 have begotten thee' denotes the cternal generation of the son, then the decree must date back from all eteruity. It secems more probable, howewer, that this phrase does not denute the origin of the filial relation, lout rather its solemn recognition, and is equivalent to ' I ann thy father,' I this day declare myself to be such. If this lee so, it belongs to some point of time at which this relation was thus prominently manifested. His resurrection is generally assumed on the lasis of Aets $13: 33$, Rom. 1: 4, thongh the former passare may refer to his being raised up or hrought into being as a man; this relation was also puldicly recognized ly a wice from heaven at the haptism and the transtiguration of Christ. I'erhaps it is not necessary to devile in favour of any one of these times and against the others, as the point of time may be an ileal one, the coromation of Christ, his recognition as the divinely constituted kiug, which was accomplished with increasing distinctness at several diflerent times. : -
11. On the gromen of this relation of sunship he hal a right to unisersal dominiom. Frit: thy inheritunce, thy portion as my son.
： extreme limits of the land，a sense which the expression never has．This gift is suspended on his simply asking for it：that he had asked and ob－ tained it，appears from his being in fact possessed of universal sway， against which the nations rebelled，and to which they are exhorted to submit．
 break，LXX as if 5 from－רִ sceptre of iron，the hardest of metals，expressing his power and severity． －

10．$-\underset{\sim}{-i}$ and now，in these circumstances，seeing these things are so．די be wise，prop．act wisely，§ 79．2． parallel to kings，since judging was a regal function，and the Hebrew judges were supreme magistrates．

11．הーツ with fear，religious awe；not merely political subjection． $\therefore-\because$ shout，in acknowledgment of the sovereign，or rejoice，as you have rea－ son with such a sovereign to rejoice and tremble too：not quake，a sense which Gesenius and others attribute to the word，but which it never has．

12．一个 1 Sam． $10: 1$ ；or of religious worslip， 1 Kin． $19: 18$ ，Hos． $13: 2$ ，Job $31: 27$ ． ．$_{2} \S 51.3$ ，Aramaeic for son，as in Simon Bar－Jonas．It oc－ curs three times in Prov． $31: 2$ ．Forms common in the other dialects， but rare in Hebrew，are often used in poctry．An additional motive in this instance may have been to avoid the conjunction of like sounds，is and $i=$ ．This is the only rendering consistent with the connection． The IAX and Vulg．render Embrace instruction ；this gives false mean－ ings to both verb and noun．Symmachus and Jerome render purely， and resolve the figure of the verb，adorate pure．Others take as an adjective，kiss the pure one，or the chosen one．Fire le，the son，be andry； not Jehorah，which is more remote，and the son has the iron sceptre， to dash in pieces．$\quad \therefore$ ？ ing against the anointed，without arriving at the capital；nor lose the way，wander from the right road of virtue and blessedness，but perish as to the way，comp．1：6，find that your way leads to perdition，and yon go to perdition in and with it． v little，but for his wrath will som burn，is almost burning，or hypotheti－ cally，might easily burn．：： sinful to trust in princes or in the son of man，a proof of his superhuman nature．Some refer the suffix to Jehovah；but that is too remote，and only to be admitted，if Messiah conld not properly be an olject of religious trust，or if the l＇ealmist could not have contemplated him in that light．

## PSALM 11 .

This Psalm is closely connected in subject with the preceding. Psalm 1 exhitits the contrast between the righteous and the wieked in their character and destiny. In Psalm 2 all nations are combined in vain rebellion agrainst God's constituted king, the Messiah. In Psalm 3 we find the same struggle on a smaller scale and in a preliminary form, the wickel and causeless rebellion against David, the divinely appointed head of the theocracy, and his assurance of protection.

1. The titles of the l'salms are of different sorts, sometimes containing merely musical directions, sometimes, as here, a statement of the author and the occasion of the composition. These titles are doubtless entirely reliable, for (1) they are part of the text, and are to be ascribed to the anthors of the several I'salms, there being the same external authority for their genuineness and correctness as that of the Psalms themselves. (2) Eien if they were prefixed by others at a later time, their being admitted and suffered to remain could only be accounted for on the assumption of their correctness. (3) Nothing in the P'salms is inconsistent with these inseriptions. the l'salms, and is prefixed to fity-seven. The verb 7 is is applied to instrumental musie, or to vocal with an instrmmental accompaniment, whence, like $\psi u \lambda \mu u$ s from $\psi u ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$, it denotes a composition designed for instrumental performance, as 7 , which is also frequent in the titles of Psalns, means one designed to be sung. Th-i S. 257, belongiuy to David, as its author. The oceasion stated is when he fled from Ibsulom his son; and the language of the I'salm is quite in accordance with the circumstances of that time. 'That the author was a monareh, may be inferred (1) from the vast numbers of his opposers, ver. 6 ; (2) from his pious prayer for the people as identified in fortunes with himself, ver. 8 ; and perhaps also (3) from his calling (iod 'his glory', i. e. the source of his offi-ial clevation and distinction. Hence it cannot be the effusion of a golly man in a private station, surrounded by enemies. If then the anthor was a king, he must have been either David or Solomon, as these are the only two kings to whom Psalms are ever referred. It conld not have been Solomon, for it does not agree with the characteristics of his reign, which was a peaceful one. If Inaill was its anthor, it must, from its tone, have been at one of the most perilous erises of his life. Of these thero were prineipally two, which might be thonght of as furnishiner an ocasion for such a patm, the perse ution by Sauland the revolt of Ahsalom. That it could not he the former appears (1) from the reference to former trials and prrsecutions, ver. 7, from which he had
been delivered, which must include the hostility of Saul. (2) Zion was not God's 'holy hill,' ver. 4, till David was king, and removed the ark to that place; no other mountain ever received that name. It cannot mean Sinai, for often as this expression occurs in Scripture, and especially in the Psalms, it always means Zion : and help is always sought from the present dwelling.place of God, not from the scene of a past revelation. Kimchi fixes the moment of the Isalm when David and the people went weeping, barefoot, and with the head covered, up mount Olivet, 2 Sam. 15:30. Hengstenberg refers it to the evening of that day ; but if it is possible to determine its time precisely, this may more naturally be the next morning after he had safely slept through that first night of most immediate peril, ver. 5 , and in this protection found a pledge of future deliverance. The Psalm describes his peril, vs. 2. 3; protector, vs. 4.5 ; confidence, vs. 6.7 ; and prayer, vs. 8.9.
2. $-\cdots$, exclamation, how, the force of which may extend through the verse, or only through the first clanse. saulting me, but is usually taken from my adversaries. : 証 general expression, equivalent to 'enemies,' Deut. 28:7; here it refers to rebels, insurgents.
3. $\dot{\subset} \because \square$, these may be his enemies before spoken of, or false friends, or disheartened followers. $\quad$, not a mere periphrasis of the pronoun $m e$, for this periphrasis, though frequent in Arabic, is always emphatically used in Hebrew, either where the life is in question, as Ps. $7: 3$, 'lest he rend my soul,' i. e. destroy my life. If it were so understood here, the meaning wonld be-sey of my life, there is no help for it in God; but since - ? is fem. the following $\rightarrow$ must refer, not to it, but to the person limsclf. Or where the feelings are concerned, say so as to affect his feelings deeply, cut him to the heart; this is the sense here. The preposition may be rendered in reference to, as Gen. $20: 13$, Isa. $41: 7$, or perhaps better to. Although this was not addressed to him, as is shown by the use of the third person in the next clause, it is yet
 $\S 196 . b$. If the ending have the sense of He directive, $\S 219$. 1, there is not to salvation for him, i. e. he cannot come to salvation. But as this appears forcell, it is better to regard the sense of the ending as weakened or lost, as in $-\frac{1}{2}$ by night, also night. It is here used for euphony, or at most as a poetic and emphatic form. $=-=x=$, fool will not help him because he is such a sinner, comp. 2 Sam. $16: 7.8$, or his condition is so desperate that even God camot help him. Though the former is doubtless to be included, yet the latter was also in his mind, as is shown by the counter assertion of ver. 9, 'salvation be-
longs to God,' he is able to save, and dues save. :rop occurs in the Psalms seventy-one times, and three times in Habakkuk, chap. 3. It has been varionsly explainel. (1.) It is accented as though it belonged to the preceling sentence: the Targum renders it $\mathfrak{i}$ Aquila, ácí: Symmachus, cis tòr aiùra. This is now universally abandoned. (2.) It is regarded as a musical term. a. Some make it an abbreviation, e. g. But this leaves too much to conjecture, and there is no evidence of such ahbreviations in Scripture. It is most probably derived either, $b$. from $\therefore$ ", be sileut, rest, denoting a pause in the singing to be filled up by the instruments, or $c$. from $-\dot{i}=\dot{E}$ to lift up , meaning clevation, loud tone, i. e. ronte, and intended as a direction to the orchestra to play with new force while the singers are silent, or repeat the stanza just sming. In spite of the double derivation and opposite sense deduced, it amomits therefore to the same thing. $A$ s to the form of the word, some
 others as a noun $r-0$ with $n$, parag. Though designed primarily for musical direction, it stands related to the sense of the passage, as the music was intended to conform to and express the sense. It occurs only after an important sentiment, which is thus emphasized, and commonly after some trimmpant statement, which is thus celelrated and finds expression.
4. But thongh men considered his situation desperate, it was mot so ; he had a helper and one who regarded his prayers. rexp and thou, in contrast with the conduct or the expectations of his enemies. ize shield, from ios to protect, a source of protection and defence, Gien. 15: 1 . -ay, not only before him, but aroned him; the primary sense of the prepsition, accordiner to (iesenius, is, close to me, on all sides of me; ateording to llupfeld, between me and everything dse. $\therefore=-=$ my glory or homour, i. e., the source amb permanent grombl of it, comp. I's. $27: 1$, 'the Lord is my light amd my salvation:' not merely the one who will mon vindicate my honour by saving me from my ellemigs, but the original source of my homor, or recgal dignity. As he has originally bestowel this royal authority, he will not suffer me to be thus viclently
 row lifts it up arain.
$\therefore \quad \because$, the immediate instrument of an action, instead of being preceden hy a prepssition, may be sulordinated to the proper sulject, either in alpusition with it, " my roiere, viz.: I cry," or as an aceusative, "I as (1) w! emicr cry!". This is no pleonasm, hut is equivalent to cry loudly.


Vav conversive after a future does not make a preterite, but indicates a consequent of the preceding verb, $\$ 265 . b$, and he hears me; the future in the same habitual sense as the preceding. Whenever I call, he hears me, or answers me, not merely in the sense of listening or speaking, but of granting the solicited and effectual aid.
6. God being thus his protector and helper, had already begun his work of protection from the present peril, and he had perfect confidence in him for the future. יֵen, the pronoun, when not necessary to perspicuity, is always emphatic, $\S \geq 43$. 1 , even $I$, whose case men regard as desperate, have been thus marvellously preserved. Fse: have lain down and slept, indicating his sense of security as well as his safety. ram I have cwaked: some understand this of the past generally, God has always protected me in the darkness and perils of the night, and granted me slumber and safety, I can therefore trust him now. But it seems better to explain it from existing circumstances and of a definite time, the night after his flight. The culmination of his danger and hour of his most extreme peril was, when Ahithophel counselled, 2 Sam. 17:1, to pursue David with a large force that night and cut him off at once. The failure of Absalom to adopt that advice, which was an answer (one of those referred to in ver. 5) to David's prayer, 2 Sam $15: 31$, and the consequent salvation of that night, was really the beginning of deliverance from the entire danger. : in habitual sense, $\S 263.4$, sustuins me, is my protector then, now and always. The meaning is either, I was protected that night because God is always my protector; or, I had this sense of security because of my consciousness that God always will sustain me.
7. Checerel not only by his knowledge that God is his protector in the general, but by this particular instance of marked and surprising deliverance, he would not be afraid, however great his perils. $\times-\times$, here and Ps. $27: 1$, followed by $\mathfrak{i}$, commonly with a direct object.
 sons; or nution, the people, viz., Israel, the article omitted as it often is in poetry. not who have set themselves, since this verb is never used in a reflexive or intransitive sense, but whom they, indef., referring to his
 and quiet confidence rather than lond triumph ; hence there is no Selah to dwell upon and celebrate it in jubilant strains of instrumental melody. That this is the temper in which it is spoken is further shown ly the following prayer for the granting of a deliverance not yet accomplished.
8. Having such a helper and such confidence, he does not intermit or discontinue prayer, but is enabled to pray with earnestness and hope.
nas arise from seeming inaction and inattention to the case of the sup－ pliant；assume an attitude of action，aldress thyself to my case．． my God，expressive of a personal relation．－－ and destroying my enemies，for thon hast been my deliverer in previous perils．$-=-$ thou hast smitten all my enemies as to the cheek，$\S 273$ ．2， treated them with deserved contumely，and by an anticipation of the following figure of beasts of prey，rendered them powerless by destroying their weapon of attack．-5 ．Some interpreters have needlessly stum－ bled at the fact that he says all，thourh enemies were now surrounding him．It is all his enemies on the former oceasions to which he refers． E：ジ？，both the persecution of David by Saul and this revolt of Absalom were characterized by opposition against the legitimate and divinely con－ stituted king．They were attempts to overthrow a divine ordinance，and defeat what was of divine appointment．Accordingly，the godly among the people were for David，and the ungodly against him．： m the teeth，as of wild beasts，destroyed their power of offence，thus render－ ing them harmless．Marg．，see on 1 Sam．17：45．

9．－is． חürロ \＆ prayer，be upoon thy preople．Their special relation to God is indicated by the use of the pronoun，and is the ground upon which the petition rests．David here，as often elsewhere，solicits not only individual bless－ ings for himself，but rises from these to embrace in his petitions the whole people of God，of whom he was the head，in whose belalf he was so deeply interested，and whose welfare was so intimately connected with his own．Ilis retition，it has been suggested，embraces even that mis－ guided portion of the people，who were then in revolt．

## P＇SALM IV．

This Pbalm bears an external resemblance to Psalm 3 ；in length， 9 verses，in Selah at the ent of the third and fifth verses，and in some of its expressions，viz．： ver．9，comp．3：6．With this corresponds an inward resemblance in the identity of situation．The l＇salunist is surrounded by foes who would rob him of his official dignity which he had ly Gol＇s gracious choice，ve． 3．4．So that it is probably to be referred to the same occasion，the re－ volt of $A$ bsalom．The absence of distinet mention of $A$ bsalom interposes no oljection any more than in the preceding palm：that his adversa－ ries are aldressed by the general term＂sons of men，＂is readily ac－
counted for，if Absalom is regarded as a tool in the hands of more de－ signing men rather than himself the leading spirit in the rebellion．

1．This title has relation to the musical performance of the Psalm and its authorship． Hab． $3: 19$ ．In this last instance it stands at the end of a metrical composition，but with that exception always at the beginning，and it is invariably the first word of the title in which it occurs，except in Ps．88； belonging to the leader or chief musician，i．e．committed to him as the one charged with its musical performance．n：not dependent on the preceding participle，to the leader in the music of stringed instruments， but a distinct direction respecting the mode of the performance of the Psalm，with stringed instruments．

The Psalmist utters，
1．ver．2，a prayer to God for deliverance．
2．vs． $3-6$ ，a warning to his enemies to desist from their vain and wicked course，and to return to the true service of God，whom they were opposing．

3．vs． $7-9$ ，his own joy and confidence in God．
2． possessor and source of righteousness，and righteous in his dealings，and as standing in an intimate relation to the Psalmist ；or，God of my right－ eousness，vindicator of my righteous cause，as＇God of my life＇means， not my living God，but the author and preserver of my life．prever means simply＇salvation．＇The first argument of his prayer is found in the title applied to God，who，as a righteous Being，could not but favour his servant and his righteous cause ；the next is found in God＇s past de－ liverances． § 245．3．$a$ ，in my distress，or is generic，§ 245．5，in distress．$\quad$ ， not to be rendered as an imperative，which would require ：conversive， but as a preterite，and not probably as referring to some single definite fact，but to the past generally；not＇thou deliveredst＇at some well－ remembered time，but thou hast delivered in every previous trouble．It mars the simplicity of the Hebrew style to supply the relative，＇thou， who hast delivered，etc．＇ ness as the vindicator of his just cause，it is still only for grace or unde－ served favour that he sues．

3． ing to God．God was his helper，his enemies were only men．Or，if
 of whom were engaged on the side of Absalom in this revolt．הַיד－بֵּ， of time，how long，or degree，how fur，to what extent．ジンニofficial
dignity, not merely personal honour: it was the former of which the conspirators songht to rob him, and which they aimed to bring to disgrace. The question extembs throngh the verse, and is not confined to its first clame. $=$ : $\quad$... pre may cither characterize their design as vain and decoptive, one which could not be accomplished, but would disap. point the ir expectations; or their measures and course of policy: they sought to advance their aims liy falsehool and mworthy deeds.
4. $\because-$, Var is alversative, $\$ 287.1$, yet know ; you are attempting the orerthrow of my royal authority, but know that it is of divine origin, and cannot be overthrown. Or it may be copplative; the previous verse is dissuasive, as though he said, 'cease from your vain attempt und know,' or recegnize the truth of the divine right of David to rute. Fere, the ronts $x=$ and $n$ nige thongh cornate, are mot to be confounded. Some
 and apply it to the great benefits granted to David, but its proper meaning is to st parate, select, distimymish, -5.5185 .2 , adj. derived from -5 , which denotes, see on Isa. 10: 6 , the lore of God to man, or of man to (iond, or to one another. It may have the passive sense of an olject of dirine reyarl, or the active sense of pions; ; both may here be combined, with spectial prominence to the former, thongh without excluting the latter. That (ion had selected him and made him the recipient of so great a benctit, ought to convince his foes that they cannot wrest it from him.
5. - - (1) be angry, so the LAX citel Eph. $4: 26$, 'he angry and sin not:' he angry if you can do so withont sin, but this, especially in this case, in which it is directed agsinst the Lord's anointed, being impossible, see to it that you do not sin by anger. (2) tremble before God my frotertor and atenger, and in comsennence aroid simning longer by gour humility to me. -ase, not morely sprak, hut suy, viz. : that you will discomtinne your sinful course, in your lener, in pivate meditation and
 in their mecting for consultation, lut now your loels, in the night, which upon the supposition of this being an evening palm, was at hamd, a time favmarahic to still reflection and reconsideration of their comrse. $=:-$ be still, quictly reflect, ponder, or desist fiom your undertaking.
(i. F-Z surcitites of rightionsuess, not morely externally right, agreeing in mmber and character with the legal prescriptions, lut offered in a righteons spirit, which alone comld make them acceptable. Howerer profuse their satrifices, while they continned in their present godless madertaking, they were sacritices of wickedness. An allusion pussibly 4) Alsadom's pretext, ロ sim. 15:7, cte. ane= and trust, a second ex-
hortation, trust in God, not in yourselves and your own powers; or, after the analogy of 'do this and live,' the second verb may denote a sequence of the first. Your present trust is presumptuous; but offer the sacrifices of righteousness, then you may trust in the Lord. The Psalmist, in this address to his enemies, which, though in form directed to them, was really designed for himself, reviews the two leading grounds of his confidence that God would interfere on his behalf, (1) that the royal dignity of which they would deprive him was Gol's gift to him; (2) that they were ungodly men, while he feared and trusted God.
 regarded by some as an idiomatic optative, like $\begin{gathered}\text { and }, ~ O ~ t h a t ~ w e ~ m i g h t ~\end{gathered}$ see. More probably it is the language of despondency, the question implying a negative answer; no one can show us good. To 'see good' is to experience it ; comp. Luke $2: 26$, 'see death.' -rin $=x^{2}$ unusual orthography is perhaps desigued to suggest an allusion to on, comp. Ex. 17:15 lift up as a banuer, display conspicuously, that we may rally beneath it. There is here a combined allusion to two parts of the sacerdotal blessing, 'the Lord lift up his face upon thee,' and 'the Lord cause his face to shine.' The light of the countenance is a token of favour. It was not outward good, but the Lord's favour, which the Psalmist desired.
8. This light of God's countenance, in fact, the Psalmist already possessed in the midst of his troubles, to such an extent that it gave him more joy than others found in the greatest outward prosperity. يren,
 as Ps. $65: 10$, and then the proverbial joy of harvest is intended. More probably it relates to his foes in their times of abundance, which is tacitly contrasted with David's own destitution. Corn and wine are often combined to express agricultural products generally. : agree directly with the preceding noms, or a relative may be supplied, time of their corn and wine which abounded, or in which they abounded.
9. $=\mathfrak{y y y}$, state of perfect safety or security, nothing to injure or awaken apprehension. Fin:, one act coincident in time with the other; no protracted wakefulness from anxicty, but fall asleep immediately on lying down. $-\frac{1}{2}=$ thou alone or dwell alone, not only separatel from all foes, but, as it was Israel's distinction, Num. $23: 9$, Deut. $33: 28$, separated from other nations, enjoying special protection and peculiar privileges.

## PSALAK V.

1. This Psalm presents another phase of the same great conflict betwen the righteous and the wicked. The hostility which in Psalm 2 was directed against Messiah, and in Psalms 3 and 4 against the king of the theoracy, his type and representative, is here in Psalm 5 expressed in terms applicable even to its humblest members, when the nhjucts of wicked persecution by deed or word. An external point of connection with the two preceling Psalms is, that this is a morning P'salm, ver. 4, following morning and evening I'salns; also mer nren $\because$, last verses of 4 th and 5 th Psalus. nis-raf-ix, a donbtful expression, varionsly explained as denoting the musical instrument, unto, i. e. to be sming as an accompaniment to, the pipes or flutes; the tune to the air or tune of Nehiloth, which must then be the name of some well-known meloly, or a leading word in some composition associated with such a melorly; or the sulject in reference to inlocriances. i. e. the respeetive lots or fortumes of the righteons and the wicked. LXX. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{c}_{\rho} \tau \hat{j} s \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o-$ voporions. Vulg. pro ca quac hereditatem consequitur. The Psaln consists of two parts or stanzas, vs. 2-8 aud vs. 9-13, wach containing a prayer, an argment, and an expression of confident assurance. These divisions, though counterparts, are not, however, exactly equivalent; the second being an advance upon the first in every respect, and not a mere repetition of it. The supplication uttered in general terms in the first stanza, vs. 2-4, becomes in the sceond a specific prayer for protection fron enemies and for the removal of dilliculties. The wieked, who are spoken of generally in the first stanza, are seen in the seeond to be the fues of the l'salmist, and their wickedness is deseribed with more exaetness and detail. In the first stanza he anticipates protection and favour for himself; in the second all the righteons are sharers in the benefit.
2. The iteas of this verse are very simple, but the words are all poetic.
 (nly here and in l's. 39:1; from the context there, and from an Arabic analory, (kesenius explains it to mean ferrome, applied to the heat of inwarl excitement or intense emotion ; more prohibly, however, $2 \cdots=-\underset{\sim}{2}$, which is used both of spech, and thonght as inward speceh addressed to one's self; most freguently the latter, so here. 'Then י玉ves, as the more erneral term, deseribibrg all he sail to food in prayer, is divided into inandihle, my thonyht, known to God only, and audible, the roice of my

of this verse and that in the next verse have the paragogic $n, \S 98.1$, converting them into earnest requests.
3. $-\underset{\square}{2}$, properly make attentive; its proper object is 'the ear,' which is often expressed, and is here understood. $c r y$, i. e. my loud cry. The nom in every other place but this has a feminine form, it is more usual, however, to assume the existence of a noun with the corresponding masculine form addressed contain a plea for his being heard, expressing as they do the character under which the Psalmist looked to him in this emergenc:. Ny king, not only as the great providential and moral governor of the world, who would redress wrong and vindicate the right, but specially as the immediate ruler of Israel, whose king he was in a peculiar sense. It was one of his own people, one of his immediate subjects, who appealed to him for protection and redress, which he surely would not withholl. causal, as though the hearing must necessarily follow because he prayed, but explanatory of the circumstances under which the request is made. I ask to be heard, for there is something to hear, I will pray. : to thee I will, perhaps also inclusive of the present, I do pray, as opposed to brooding sullenly over his grief, and to seeking relief from other sources.
4. 7 , time when, $\S 274.2$ a, in the morning, as the first thing in the day, showing his zeal and earnestness in it. This is a natural and appropriate time for prayer, and has been employed for this purpose among all nations. That it was so among the Jews appears from many passages in the Psalms. It was specially recommended in their case as the time of the morning sacrifice, which the people accompanied by the spiritual oblation of praise. In later times it was stereotyped among the canonical hours of prayer, of which mention is made in the New Testament, Acts $3: 1$. Perhaps it is here to be taken in a collective sense, cerery morning. God, his voice would be directed to him. Others, with less probability, take 'hear' in the sense of hear favourably, i. e. accept my prayer, grant nyy petition. It is then an expression, not of his own determination to persevere in prayer, but of his confidence that his prayer would be speedily answered; Thou wilt, I trust, hear my prayer in the morning, as in the morning I will arrange my prayer to thee ; as I pray early, so I confidently hope thou wilt early grant my petition. -men, not direct, but arrange, set in order, something consisting of various parts; its object here is the words of his prayer. It contains also an allusion to the
ritual service．This is the word technically used for several offices of the sanctuary，e．g．arranging the lamps or providing them with wick and oil，setting the shew－bread upon the table，and especially arranging the wood upon the altar of burnt－offering，and arranging the parts of the sacrifice upon it．This last was the first morning duty of the priest； and corresponding to that is the spiritual oblation which the Psalmist here pledres，and which could only be acceptable in connection with the atonement which the morning lamb procured or typified．：nger not I will look up，as a gesture of prayer，hut will watch，as the attitude of expectation．The figure is that of a watchman stationed upon a look－ out to desery objects in the distance；thus he would watch，expecting an answer to his prayer．It would be the prayer of faith，not of indiffer－ ence or criminal unbelief．He has solicited gracious andience，deelared his determination to pray，and expressed the hope and expectation of a favourable answer；but has not explicitly stated what his petition is． This is reserved until he comes to the beginning of the second stanza， where it appears that he desired deliverance from the persecutions of wicked cnemies；that this was already in his mind appears，however， from the reason immediately assigned why he should be heard．

5．Y．He argues from God＇s holiness．I look confidently for an answer，$\quad$ REsi for thon art not a God that hast pleasure in wickedness． He has not yet said what his petition was，he does not say in the verses immediately succeeding that the wicked men whom he deseribes are his foes；he explains all this more fully in the next stanza．Now he only urges that his prayer is of such a mature，that a God who hates sin and will punish sinncrs，will surely grant it．$\gamma=\begin{gathered}\text { ghe } \\ \text { ，verbal arljective with a }\end{gathered}$ direct ohject，see on Gen．42：18，Nah．3：1；the corresponding verb is sometimes followed hy $\geq$ ，and sometimes by a direct object．Fin 2 ，in the brief language of poetry this verb takes a suffix，though the re－ lation is indirect，lodye with thes，be found in thy company，share that regarl which the accorling of hospitality，particularly in the east，in－ volverl．：se mase．＂m evil person，or neut．eril；the latter affords a more exact parallel to ジー．（fod would seem to harbour sin if he toler－ atod it，or did not pmish it．
f． or the suffered to continue in thy presence isw，nonentity，emptiness， barren of all that is virtnous and grood，and since this negative state im－ plies what is positively baid，iniquity．

7．－הa，since Jehovah hates sin，he will punish the sinner with de－ struction．

8．The connection indicated by Var will depend upon the
sense put upon this verse. According to one view it is adversative : evil shall not dwell with thee, and thou wilt destroy the wicked, but I shall in thy great merey be permitted to enter thy house, to be thy guest, to be on terms of friendship and familiarity with God. According to another view it is copulative: thon wilt destroy my wicked foes, and I in consequence will enter thy house to praise thee for this deliverance. To enter God's house may be spoken of as a token of familiarity and friendship, or as intimating that new matter of praise and thanksgiving would be afforded by granting him the deliverance for which he prays.楽 $\S$ 189. $l$. The tabernacle erected by David on Mount Zion, 2 Sam. $6: 17$, is the one particularly intended. The word strictly denotes the sacred edifice as distinguished from the court; the house of God ineludes the court; hence he speaks of entering God's house, but worshipping toward the palace or temple. The temple or tabernacle proper none but the priests could enter. The same distinction is preserved between iefóv and vaós in the New Testament. :
9. Beginning of the second stanza. vailingly used of divine guidance. scribe that course or way in which he prays to be led; thy righteousness, i. e. that righteousness which thou requirest, or which thou givest, comp.
 of his enemies?' Various answers have been returned to this question; as lest withont this divine guidance my enemies should sednce or terrify me into sin, or lest I should give them occasion to scoff by my inconsistencies, or to rejoice at the calamities which would befall me in consequence of my transgressions, or lest I should be punishéd by being delivered into their hands. But the context shows that the prayer is not so much for spiritual steadfastness as for deliverance from hostile machinations. 'Righteousness' is here the attribute of God. Lead me in the exercise of thy righteousness, i. e. protect me from my wicked foes. This appeal to God's righteousness rather than his mercy, looks at first sight like a claim to justification on the ground of his own merits. But it is to be observed, (1) that it is not a justification in the sight of God, which is in question, but in this controversy with his wicked enemies, whose hostility was groundless and sinful. (2) The righteousness of God, when spoken of in relation to his true people, always in the Old Testament includes his merey. His righteousness is his rectitude in dealing with all aceording to their characters and relations. With the wicked he stands simply upon a footing of law, and his righteousness demands their punishment as their sins deserve. But the sins of the pious are atoned for, and he has made to them rich promises of grace,
so that his rectitude now requires the fulfilment of these promises. It is to this righteonsness, of which his eovenant faithfulness is an essential part, that the P'salmist here, and the people of God generally under the Old Testament, so confilently make their appeal. -ans. Gesenius assumes a root $-\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{E}}$, and makes this a Kal part. Others make it a Pi . part. with 2 omitted from $\cdot \boldsymbol{r}$, to ratch, lie in wait, comp. § 93 . e.
 4: $2 .$. Masorites in this verb appears from their removing it in the only other
 The V'ulyate transposes the suffixes and reals, 'make my way straight before thee.' Thy wouy is in this passage not the way which thon prescribest, the path of duty: make this level before rue, or easy to walk in. It is rather the way in. which thon leadest me; the path of my life, not of duty, lout of destiny: make that providential course in which thou lealest me a smooth and easy one, by removing obstructions, sufferings, and trials.
10. $\because$. The reason of this appeal to fod's righteousness against his enemies; for they are wicked and malignant. ive 2.58. $b$, see on Gen. $40: 8 . \quad \cdots=\$ 20$. 1. c. © The mouth, throa!, Ps. 115:7, and tongme. are spoken of is organs of speech, and their inmard purt or heart as the spring of what is outwarl. The singular suffix is distributive, 'mouth of each,' not as a pit endangering passers hy, lont as yawning to receive the dead, destructive and insatiable, I'ros. 30: 16. : ;-:- they muke smoth their fongur, use fair and flattering speeches, to cloak their mischievous designs.
 be !nuil!!, Hi. make !nvilty, cause them to be recognized as such, treat them as such hy infleting desersed pmishment. Fizer, some render, tet them foll, or they shall certainly full from their comusels, as in Lat. spe excidere, fail in their comsels, or their phans be frustrated ; lut there is no proof that ia iry can be nsed in this sense. Better, try them fall, i.e. perish, $i=$ in causal sense, in consequence of ${ }^{\prime}$, by means of. $==\mathrm{y}$, prep. may have its local sense in, or its instrumental sense by.
 in the hessings conse puent uron it. :T: T . The name of Gol expresses that which is known of him; it is the sum of his manifested attributes.
13. - ב. The reason of their cexultation. $\quad \cdots$, indef. It may be taken individually or collectively. rizz, the large shidd, covering the



## PSALAI VI．

The idea is still that of the righteous persecuted by wicked enemies． Some suppose that the condition depicted is that of sore and dangerous disease ；others，that what is said of bodily suffering，ver．3，is a figure for inward distress occasioned by fues；the correct view appears to be，that the Psalmist is persecuted by fues，ver． 8 ，etc．，which he regards as an evidence of the divine displeasure and rebuke for sin，ver． 2 ，and this is the occasion of physical exhaustion and undermined health．This is the first of the seven penitential Psalms，viz．，6， $52,38,51,102,130,143$. The period in David＇s life when it was written cannot be determined．

1．$\quad$ n it has been conjectured to mean an instrument of eight strings，or to de－ note the tone or key of performance，perhaps the bass．

The Psalm consists of two parts：
（1）v．2－8，a prayer enforced by the misery of his condition．
（2）vs． $9-11$ ，confidence in the divine protection and deliverance．
 24 ，as though the meaning were，rebuke not with the severity of anger， but with the gentleness of love．He seeks the removal of the chastise－ ment which always proceeds from displeasure against sin． rebuke of Cod，not uttered in words，but shown by his dealings，the in－ flictions of his providence．
 drooped，$\$ 279$ ．$a$ ，or abbreviated from $=\hat{F}$ ，either a verbal atj．or a Pual part．with 2 omitted，$\S 93$ ．e．The double accent being partly on one word and partly on the other，connects them as if they were joined by Makkeph．$\therefore=:$ are terrifich，affected by my terror，lose their strength and elasticity：＇bones＇are spoken of as the solid frame on which the body rests．

7．I cm already weary，and if matters continue so，$I$ will make my bed swim．$-\dot{-i}$ Psalms，from which the text is taken，Daghesh－forte is inserted in the initial letter of a word，whenever the preceding word ends in the same letter．

8．－$\quad$ rufers to the dimness of the eye produced by physical ex－ haustion or mental suffering．ごミ゙ン，grief or indignation at treatment so unjust．

9．L＇pon the utterance of his prayer the Psalmist receives an inward assurance of divine protection and deliverance．ane，their plans for his
destruction would be abortive, and might as well be abandonel. - - , for God had heard and would save. 'ip roice of my veeping, i. e. my loud weeping.
11. $=5$, they shall be bronght to shame by the frustration of their plans. which they have inflicted upon him, vs. 3. 4. : $=$, not in adverbial sense, 8269 . u, they shetl be ushumed uguin, nor of an inward change, they shull reqent, but, they shall return, retreat, be driven back, their assault repelled, or they obliged to desist from it.

## I'SALM VII.

This completes the first series of Psalms relating to the hostility of the wicked against the righteons, by an impressive appeal to the future and certain judgment of (iod.

1. ;
 hence a soug of intoxication or strong excitement, a wild and irregular verse or measure, 'a dithyramb.' Or it may deseribe the subject; 10 cm , hence 'error,' either in a physical sense, i. e. relating to David's wanderings, or, in a moral sense, transgression, i. e. relating to sin.
 concerniny the words of. In vs. A-G the l'salmist clears himself of a slanderous charge. $=:=$, perhaps the real name of some adversary of Bavil, utherwise unknown, one of those, it may be, who were perpetually afresh peisoning the mind of Saul towards him. Some have suspected that it is an enigmatical name of saul himself, who might be called an Eilhimpien, from the Dlackness of his heart. The Psaln may be divided as follows, viz.:
(1) vs. $2-6$, supplication and appeal to God.
(2) vs. 7 -18, (iod's julgment on transgressors.

Each part is capable of snlolivision : thens the first part,
vs. 2. 3, prayer for deliverance from extreme peril.
vs. 4 -ff, fortified ly an asseveration of his imocence of what has been falsely imputed to him.

The second part into three portions of four verses each:
vs. 7-10, prayer for God's judgment.
vs. 11-14, confident assurance of it.
vs. 1.i-18, its actual infliction.
2. He pleads from his relation to fiod, and from his having in time
past put his trust in him, that he may not be disappointed now. הּ denotes enlargement, positive salvation, from peril : they are used interchangeably as poetic equivalents.
3. Argument from the extremity of his peril. The plural of the preceding verse is here exchanged for the singular, which may be understood collectively or distributively, or may be an individualization, referring to the most prominent and dangerous of his foes, viz.: Saul, whose hostility alone made others formidable. :-and as a lion, the most formidable and savage beast of prey, my soul, because the life was the object of attack. pisc crushing, breaking bones.
4. vs. 4. 5 are conditional, and the apodosis is contained in ver. 6. He is willing to abjure the divine aid, if he is as guilty as he is charged with being. nkr may refer back to the title, 'the words of Cush,' or to what follows, and is more fully explained in the next verse. : in my hands, as the instruments of action, if they are soiled by contact with the pollution of crime; as the hands of a murderer are said to be stained with blood. Others suppose the hands to be regarded as instruments of seizure ; if there be plunder iniquitonsly taken in my hands as evidence of robbery and injustice.
 Minsin, Eng. Ver. makes Vav adversative and the clause parenthetic. More recent interpreters regard Vav as copulative, and render $;=-{ }_{-1}$ to spoil, a sense which nowhere else occurs in the verl, but is justified by its derivative spoils. There seems to be an allusion to the opportunities which David had of injuring Saul in the cave at Engedi and wilderness of $\mathrm{Zip}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{h}$, but which he forbore to use; and where, in exculpating himself to Saul, he referred to false accusations of this description, 1 Sam. 24:9, 26:19.
6. 5it: $£ 6$ 60.2. $a$. The future has a jussive sense, as is shown ly the following :יצ: renounce all claim upon the divine interposition, and to have permission given to the enemy to pursuc his soul, i. e. his life, with deadly intent, and not only pursue, but overtake and trample it to the eartl. E.:., complete, helpless, and contemptuous destruction, as of something vile and worthless. :כִ: honour, personal, official, or both; to bring this to the dust is to degrade him by the loss of it. Or it may denote the more honourable and exalted part of his nature, and thus be equivalent to 'life' and 'soul:' and to bring it to the dust will then be to sink him to the grave.
7. Having stated his case, he now appeals to Gol, the judge of all, for his judgment. Ite prays that God's judgment on the world may be-
gin，and that this particular portion of it，his own case，may be decided
 self，parallel to the preceding verb，or ascend the seat of judgment． n：ニンロ，prep．in the midst of，and so beconse of or ayainst． avake，as if from sleep and previous inattention or neglect．$\because$ unto $m e$ ，for my advantage，or a pregnant construction，$\S 272.3$ ，arwie and turn to me．$\because=4$, not aceusative of direction，＇unto the judgment which thon hast commanded，＇Lut the object of the verb，thon hast com－ manded jullyment，either justice which God has required to be practised， whence he is appealed to that he would now exercise the same，or rather a judicial process which he has resolved upon and appointed a time for executing．

8．The julgment scene is depicted． $\mathrm{Z} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { Z }}$ ，congregation of nations， i．e．all nations，either as spectators，or themselves to be judged．Fient either，after the judgment is complete ascend again trimphantly to heaven，or preparatory to the julgment resume the elevated judgment－ seat，here called the high place ；resume or return to it，because in suf－ fering iniquity to be mpunished，he appeared for a season to have for－ saken it．

9．He pleads from the necessary connection of God＇s universal work of judgront with the dispensing of justice in his own case．God is to judge，or judres，is the judge of nations；judge me，do me justice． ：$\stackrel{\square}{\circ}=$ ，supply the relative，which is upon me，rests upon me，is possessed by me．Others render，which is over me as a protection and defence， or supply the substantive verb，＇let it be upon me according to my righteousness．＇＇This desire to be treated according to his righteonsness is not inconsistent with his supplications for divine merey in the previous l＇salm and elsewhere．Ite was guiltess as regarded man，and especially as regarded these existing eharges and his existing foes，but not as re－ garded（iod．

10．The object of this judgment for which he prays，is the destruc－ tion of sin，rather than of the persons of the wicked，exeept so far as this is neecessary to it，and the cstablishment and security of the righteons． －יקֵ：：trans．or intrans．and 2n，subject or olject；hence three con－ structions are possible．（1）may evil（i．e．their sin）destroy the wieked； （2）may he put an end to the evil of the wicked；（：3）may the evil of the wiched cease．Since fod is aldressed both before and after，the last is to be preferred．：rove a righterous fiod tries，or is a trier of hearts （and reins．Or if the language of direct address is preserved in this clanse，＇thon，O righteons（iod，art a trier，ete．＇or＇thou art a trier of hearts and reins，a righteons（iol．＇＇1Icarts and reins＇denote the inte－
rior nature and state of men，their inward character as well as their inward acts or exercises．This is an assertion not only of God＇s omnis－ cience，that he is acquainted with what passes within men，but also of his justice，that he will deal with them accordingly，like a trier of metals who diseriminates dross from gold．

11．The petition is followed by a confident expectation founded on the divine justice．$\quad$ ，God，who is elsewhere called a shield，i．e． protection or defence，is here represented as sustaining the shield，pro－ viding for the defence of the Psalmist．My shield is upon God，rests upon him，is furnished or supported by him．The attribute ascribed to God in the following words is the ground of the confidence just expressed．

12．تی：God judyes，does justice to the righteous，or，God is a righteous julye．E；ip predicate，and Bs subject，God is angry every $d a y$ ，or the furmer might qualify $\dot{-s}$ ，if the prose usage of this word be insisted on，see on Nah．1：2，and eres be the subject，Gol is a God who is angry every day．

13．$=\div \mathfrak{H}$ ぶシーs if he，the wicked，shall not return，either inwardly repent or turn back from his assault and persecution．As God is the subject both before and after，some make it so in this clause by convert－ ing sis exy into an asseveration．In an oath，see on Gen．42：15，ex̣ has a negative sense，and $\stackrel{s}{ } \boldsymbol{z}$ en an opposite or positive sense；surely he will return，sharpen his sworl，will again sharpen it，§ 269．a．

14．＝－not ardent in pursuit，persecutors，but he will make his ar－ rows to be burning，with allusion to the practice of hurling blazing darts．

15．He conceives mischief or harm to others and brings forth false－ hood，that which disappoints his expectations；he ruins himself instead of those against whom he is plotting．

16．Figure from pits dug to entrap animals．：： or was about to make，fut．relative to the preceding preterite，$\S 263.1$.

17．Comp．Obad．ver． 15.
18．Praise for God＇s just judgment on the wicked and his vindica－ tion of his servants．： the article，§ 246． 1.

## PSALM VIII．

A devout meditation upon God＇s condescending grace to man as shown in the rank assigned to him in the creation，and the dominion granted to him over the world．The divine goodness to man，which it is the aim of this Psalm to celebrate，is not to be estimatel by his pres－ ent fallen condition，which is the fruit of his own sin，but by the grifts
and honours with which le was crowned in his primitive estate. It is man as Cod made him and designed him to be, who is here described, primeval man, ideal man. This iteal, imperfectly exhibited in man as he now is, finds full realization in Christ, of whom $\Lambda$ dam was a type, and to whom the terms of the I'salm are therefore applicable in a more exalted sense than they were to him, Iteb, $2: 6-9,1$ Cor. $15: 27$. It shall hereafter be realized in all the people of God, who are to be restored in the image of Christ, and made partakers of his dignity and glory, and thus raised to a condition of which the primitive estate of man was but the image and the type.
 note a style of music connected with the vintage; by others from the city of (iath, whence the instrument or tume so designated may have been borrowed ; and by others still from $i_{2}$, in the sense of the music of striaged instruments.

The I'salm recounts,
(1) vs. 2-4, the evidences of Ciod's greatness, as preliminary to the consideration of,
(2) vs. i-10, his wonderful grace to man.
2. ? : - - - 201. 2, plur. suf., the l'salmist speaks not merely for himself as an individual, lut for all the pergle of (iod, or for all men. Te: the name of (iod, i. e. that which is known of him, the revelation which
 'This worl has greatly embarrassed interpreters. Some make it 3 f. pret.
 they glory ubow the henvens, i. e. has cansed thy praises to reach the skies. Others, as in all the other passaces in which this form occurs, imper. with $n$, paragromic, which give even thy glory abwere the heavens, make it still more conspicuous. But the conncetion seems to demand, not a prayer for emhancing (iod's eflory, lut a declaration of its conspicuity and greatuess. It serms better, therefore, to regrarel it as a Kal

 The glory of (iod is displayed in the heavens, and his name is thus made resplendent in all the earth.
3. This glory is so selfervidencing that batus may be trasted to defoml it against haspheming foen. 5 - $5: 9$, not babes in the spinitual kense, as upposed to the wise and prudent, Matt. 11 : 2.5, hat young children; enough is palpable to them to constitute an irrefragable argumont of Coid's greatness and glory. $\because$, ont of the utterances of these fieble : mbocates fiorl has foumble strength, constructed a power to still
the proudest foes. In such a cause a child can confute the most learned and subtle infidel. For 'strength' the LXX substitute ' praise;' this rendering is retained in Matt. 12:16 as substantially equivalent to the original, inasmuch as the strength referred to consists in the conscious or unconscious praises of infancy and childhood. The word it, however, does not properly mean 'praise,' though Gesenius incorrectly attributes this meaning to it here and in a few other passages.


5. :n-ren, God is said to visit men when he manifests limself to them either in merey or in judgment; the former is here particularly referred to. The future in this and the fullowing verbs has its habitual sense, §263. 4, describing what God is constantly doing. The sentence begun in the preceding verse extends through the first clause of ver. 7 .
 application of this Psalm to Christ it might also have its temporal sense, a little while, Heb. 2:7. 9. E-js, for 'God' the LXX substitute 'angels,' which is retained Heb. 2:7, since the main idea is expressed with sufficient accuracy. The Psalmist alludes to the fact that man was made in the image of God.
7. $i=$, man was constituted the head of the material and animal creation. But as applied to Christ, the ideal man, in whom and by whom our lapsed nature is restored, these words obtain a higher than their original sense, and 'all' may be pressed to the widest possible extent of meaning, and embrace, as the apostle in commenting upon it explains, absolutely all things but Cod himself, 1 Cor. $15: 27$, Eph. $1: 2 \cdot 2$, Heb. 2 : 8.
8. This verse contains two poetic forms, for $; \times$, or as it is once
 equivalent in sense to the more usual and prosaic $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{r}} \frac{n}{7}$. The enumeration begins with the domestic animals as the most useful and the most completely under human control, proceeds thence to wild beasts, and thence to the inhabitants of the other elements, the birds of the air above, the fish in the waters beneath.
 sive expression.
10. This verse repeats the sentiment with which the Psalm began, and of which a fresh proof has now been exhibited. God's name rendered glorious by the frame of material nature is still more exalted and ennobled by his condescending grace to man.

## PSALM XXII.

The basis of this Isalin is to be sought in the typical experience of the Psalmist, the prophetic significance of which is herein developed. David, though a true servant of God, was exposed to severe suffering from the persecution of wicked men, was finally delivered, and in consequence of this deliverance grateful homage was paid to God by lim and by others to whom this act of grace was mate known. This was not an anomalons expericnee, but a law of the kingdom of God, destined to be verified not only in other instances like his own, but on a vastly greater seale in One who should be the righteous sufferer par excellence, and whose sufferings, conpled with his final extrication out of them, should result in the offering of grateful praise to God from all mankind in every age. The extremity of the sufferings described, and especially the consequences to follow ujon their termination, are such as David could not, by the most strained exagreration, have imputed to his own case. It is evident that he is speaking in the name of the Messiah, considered as the ideal of righteous sufferers. The same thing appears from the adoption of the first words of this I'salm by our Lord upon the cross, and the application of its language to him in repeated instances in the New Testament.
 name of a tune or of a song whose melody was to be used in singing this Psalm; others think it to be an enigmatical description of the subject, 'the hind' perhaps a figure for persecuted innocence, with the 'dawn' of a happier morning after a night of suffering and sorrow; or, 'the hind of the dawn' may be a fanciful description of the breaking day, the first branching beams as they struggle into view being compared to antlers.

The I'salm consists of three parts, viz.:
rs. 2-11, prayer for deliverance enforeed by the speaker's relation to Gool.
vs. $12-2$, by the greatness of the peril.
vs. $23-32$, praise for ddiverance and its blessed results.
2. $\dot{-}$, see on Nah. $1: 2$. That God was his (Gorl was itself a plea whe he should be heard. rets 231.4. a. "r=te, for which oaßax9ari, "ith the subject of the preceding verb, or the interrogation may be repeatel, ' why art thon fur, ete.' - $=-$, courdinate with the preceding nom and huverned by the same preposition, iz; though others read, the worls "If m! rouring are fur \$ 27.5 .1 jrom my suluation, i. e. far from effecting it ; whl others still, 'm! (ion, ctc. ett.' are the trorld of my roaring.

4．wip．The God whom the sufferer addresses is holy，that is ac－ cording to the proper sense of the original expression，see on Isa． $40: 25$ ， $41: 14$ ，infinitely exalted and perfectly pure．He might，therefore，be expected to possess both the ability and the disposition to save his op－ pressed servant．$=4$ inhebiting，i．e．either dwelling among so as to be surrounded by，or sitting enthroned upon the praises of Israel．These praises imply attributes，to which he makes his urgent appeal．

5．Argument from God＇s past covenant faithfulness as shown to those who were Messialh＇s fathers aceording to the flesh．

7．nem，despicable and helpless，liable to be crushed．Comp．Isa． ．41：14，49：7， $53: 3$.

8． narrative of the crucifixion，Mat． $27: 39$ ，Mark $15: 29$ ．

9 ．$\because 纟$ ，not the infin．for the pret．he rolled，$\S 268.1$ ，as it is rendered by the LXX，but the imper．roll，devolve thy canse upon Jchovah，com－ mit it to him，comp．Ps． $37: 5$ ，Prov．16：3．A taunting and ironical suggestion made to the sufferer by the unfeeling beholders before de－ scribed，who then proceed to speak of him in the third person，$\S 279$ ． The evangelist records the utterance at the cross of these identical ex－ pressions and others like them，Mat．27：43．学筇，the subject is Jeho－ vah，not the sufferer，since this verb，is used to describe the feelings of God toward men，but never those of men toward God．

10．- ．The taunt was just．They may well say，（iod has de－ lighted in me，for thy kind care was bestowed upon me from my birth． －s．$\leqslant 157.1$ ，thou art my breaking forth，i．e．the one who brought me forth． conscions exercise，by thy gracious dealings with me．

11． $\begin{gathered}\text { ，this section of the I＇salm closes，as it began，with the argn－}\end{gathered}$ ment which has thus far been persistently pressed．

12．The second argument on which his petition is based，the proximity of the danger，and his destitution of any other souree of help．The sec－


13－16．His enemies，who have him completely in their power，are compared to bulls reared on the rich but solitary pastures of Basham， and to lions．The weakness verging on dissolution，to which they have reduced him，is set forth under the emblems of water，and melted wax， and dislocated bones．The vigour and moisture of his frame is dried out， it is dry as a potsherd，and his parched tongue cleaves to his jaws．It has been suggested that the physical effeets of crucifixion are here pre－ cisely described，the violent wrenching of the body，the extreme debility and exhaustion，and the raging thirst，John 19：28．：what
was accomplished by his murderous enemies was nevertheless, under another aspect, the act of God. The persecution has reached its last stage: then wilt, if this murderous treatment is allowed to proceed further, place me to the dust of death, i. e. the grave, bring me down to it and place me there.
17. - , the proof that he is, as he has just declared, at the very
 encircled or beset me us to my hands and my feet, $\$ 271$. 4, or as we might say, 'hand and foot,' so that I can neither resist nor flee. Dr. Alexauder suggests as a print of comparison, "the infliction of sharp wounds in those parts of the body, an idea common to the habits of the lion and the usages of crucifixion." The explanation of part. from $\urcorner=$, which, though it does not occur elsewhere, might easily mean to pierce, see Gesenius Lexicon, involves the donble anomaly of the invertion of $\approx \$ 156.3$, and the omission of $a$ from the plural ending, § 199. b. An inconsiderable number of manuscripts read : they pierced. They appear to be confirmed by the LAX, Aquila, the Syriac l'eshito, and Jerome, who render this word as a verb, though with some variety in the signification which they assign to it. The Masora (according to Jacob ben Chayim, the learned editor of the Venetian hablini al Bible, 1525) remarks that senses, viz: in Isa. $38: 13$, where it undoubtedly means as a lion, and I's. 2:2:17, where the K'ri has $-\mathbf{- x}$, and the K'thibh : (Variae Lectiones, vol. iv.), however, confesses that he conld find no such remark in any of the numerons copies which he possessed of the Masora. On the whole, the reading with , though adopted into the text, or at least put on a par with the received reading ly several able crities, seems to lave the overwhelming preponderance of critical authorities againct it.
15. - Rep, not his bones but his foes. : guze ut unf, feast themsclves with the spectacle of my misery.
19. divilin!, or proximate future, are chout to dividr, on the point of dividing. They are so sure of the death of their victim as to be already apportioning his garments amongst themselves. The exactness of its fultilument is testified ly all funr of the evangelists, Mat. $27: 35$, Mark 15:21, Luke 23:34, John 19:23. 21. conjunctive, see on 6:7.
 sis of the opposition. They are acting thus, and therefore do not thow refuse or delay thine aid.
21. $=\boxed{2} \%$, poetic individualization of deadly hostile weapons. FE: not merely me but my soul, i. e. my life, see on 3:2. hand, i. e. from the power of, see on Gen. $37: 21$. In this derived sense of the phrase it is here joined with 'dog,' as in 1 Sam. $17: 37$ with 'lion' and 'bear.' Others think it to be an indication that under the figure of 'dogs' men are intended. : pression ege to be an epith of his life; my only oue, either as possessing singular value, my darling, my most highly prized, or more strictly the only life he possessed or could have; or it may be my lonely one, my life deserted as it seems to be by God as well as men.
22. $=$ an for a - a , by $\$ 53.3$; and from the horns of the bufficloes thou hust ansuered me, i. e. answered my prayer proceeding from between their horns, or answered by delivering me from their horns, $\S 272.3$. Others, contrary to the accents, put a full stop after 'buffialoes,' connecting all that precedes with $\because \underset{\sim}{3}$. prayed for is granted. This sudden transition prepares the way for the third and last division of the Psalm. The Psalmist sets forth the severity of Messiah's sufferings, but makes no explicit mention of his death. The vicarious nature of these sufferings is not declared, nor the direct connection which they have with the salvation of the world; while stress is chiefly laid upon the results effected by his exaltation from this extremity of woe. The view presented is of course a partial one, being limited by the character of the type, which it is the province of the Psalm to unfold. In the respects referred to it is supplemented by Isa. 53.
23. $\boldsymbol{T}$. deelare thy name, i. e. recount the manifestations which God has made of his glorious perfections, see on $5: 11$. It is here said with special reference to the exhibition of his power and grace just made. Quoted as the language of Messiah, IIeb. 2:12.
25.
26. from thee. : י? י? , change of person, $\S 279$.
27. referred to in the last clause of the preceding verse, symbolizing communion with God and all spiritual blessings. י??, by some surposed to be the eustomary formula of benediction pronounced by the offerer of the saerifice upon those who partook with him of the festival; which might account for the change of person.
28. $-2 \boldsymbol{T}$. remember the evidence now afforded of Jehovalis grace to his suffering servant, or remember God himself, whom the heathen have forgotten, $9: 18$.
wrought shall be condensive with that which it was promised Abraham should be effected through his seed, Gen. 12:3.
29. All mations shall thus worship Jehovah, for they are rightfully his. Comp. Obad. ver. 21. לex, the pronoun is often omitted even before participles, when the subject can be readily supplied from the context, §243.1.
30. $\therefore=\underset{y y}{*}$, the figure of the sacrificial festival is continued. This salvation shall not only extend to all nations, but to all classes; the fat ones of the earth, they who have a prosperous abundance, as well as those who are perishing in alject destitution, going down to the dust, the grave, and he who, $\$ 28.5 .3$, has not kept his soul alive, though dying or actually dearl, he shall partake of this sonl-reviving food, and shall live.
31. These benefits shall further be shared by every age. the descentants of those just spoken of, or posterity in general. it shall be related of the Lord to the next generation; the LXX connect
 sary. This rendering gives to the verb the same sense as in ver. 23, and is to be preferred to it, posterity, shall be reckoned (a figure from the census or enrollment, as l's. 87:6) unto or by the Lord to the generation, comp. I's. 24:6, i. e. to the number of his true people.
32. -4 , the participle denotes a time contemporancous with the action of the preceding verb, $\S 266.3$, a people not now in existence, but who shall then be born.

## PSALM NLV.

Messiah is here presented as the ideal bridegroom. The typical hasis was prohably afforded by the marriage of Solomon to a foreign princess, perhaps, as many have conjectured, to the daughter of Pharaoh, kingr of Egypt. It cannot, however, be an ode composed in honour of that marriage. This is forbidden by its position in the book of Psalms, and its reception into the canon. A secular poem could find no place there, and would be entirely without analogy. The royal bridegroom is a divine person, ver. 7 , to whom a miversal reign, ver. 17 , and endless homagre, ver. 18, are promised. Tyre, which was never subject to any king of Israel, makes her submission to him, ver. 13. In addition to one who is designated the queen, other virgins and kings' danghters, her frllows, are upon the same occasion wedled to the king, vs. 9.14 .15 , which is contrary to the usages of real life, though significant as an alleGory. Martial qualities are imputed to the king, vs. $3-5$, and a line of
royal ancestors，ver． 16 ；neither of which could be attributed to Solo－ mon．Hence this Psalm has，from the earliest times，been regarded as a mystic epithalamium，representing under a figure frequently employed in both the Old and the New Testaments the union of the Lord and his people，of Christ and his church．Its admission into the canon，it is now generally confessed，proves that it was so understood at the time the canon was formed．The Targum so expounds it．The application of it to Christ，Heb．1：8．9，both implies that this view was then preva－ lent，and sets the seal of inspired anthority upon it．Its messianic character has been almost universally recognized by Christian interpre－ ters，and though denied by the unbelief of modern times in its repugnance to admit predictions of Christ，no other satisfactory solution has been or can be proposed．

1．E・シーシ upon lilies，which some explain to mean，lily－shaped instruments；others，a tune bearing that name，or associated with a song so called ；others，an enigmatical description of the subject，＇lilies＇being a figure for beautiful women，Sol．Song，2：2．$\quad$ 上2pーラ：belonging to the sons of Korah，a Levitical family，employed with others by the appoint－ ment of David＇in the service of song in the house of the Lord，＇ 1 Chron． $6: 16.22$（Eng．Ver．vs．31．37）， 2 Chron． $20: 19$ ．It belonged to them， probably，in the sense of being committed to them for musical perform－ ance，though others think that it was composed by them．an in－ structive or didactic Psalm；this title would only be appropriate upon the allegorical interpretation，and may have been prefixed with the view of suggesting at the outset that this is not a mere marriage ode，and of leading the reader to seek for a deeper meaning．：ịll lovely women， though some give to the fem．plur．a neuter seuse，lovely things，or an abstract，§ 201．1．a，love．

After an introduction，ver．2，declaring the I＇salmist＇s interest in his exalted theme，follow，
（1）vs． $3-10$ ，the praises of the king．
（2）vs．11－16，the queen and the wedding festivities．
（3）vs．17．18，conclusion．
In（1）and（3）the king is addressed ；in（2）the queen．
2． 7 국 is governed by accents． ing：my works are or let them be for the king，or respecting the king．
 record the language of my thoughts．

3．గr two radieals，and so it is in fact frequently explained．This would，
however, be entirely anomalons and without analogy. On the other hand, there are instances both in verbs, $\$ 92 . a$, and nouns, $\S 188$, of the reduplication of the last two radicals, e. g. . formation from $n: ;=\% 168$, would yield $\pi s=$, comp. the related aljective $-:=-r s:$. The first Yolh then receives Kamets Ihatuph as the vowel of the passive reluplicated species, $\S 93 . a$, and the second Yodh a pretonic Kamets, $\S 64.2$, lest in its weakness its sound might be entirely lost. The ascription of superhuman beauty to an earthly monarch would
 fuld sense in Hebrew as in English of favour and beauty, is predicated of the lips not as a feature of the face lut as an organ of speech. The reference is to 'the gracious words which procceded out of his mouth,' Luke 4:22. F-iv cannot mean becuuse, propterea quod, but must mean therefore. This is by some attenuated to the declaration that his more than human beanty and his grace of speech indieate that God has blessed him, and that forever ; a slender basis, it must be confessed, for so grand a conclusion, if external form and the charms of eloquence are intended. But even thus the everlasting blessing implies the unending life of its recipient; he must consequently be more than mortal man. If, however, the particle be allowed its proper foree, 'therefore' denotes not an index merely, but the ground. And as physical beauty and persuasive speech can be no ground for the bestowment of the divine blessing, this is an evidence of the allegorical character of the description. They must represent spiritual qualities, the beauty of holiness and words of heavenly grace and truth.
4. The conquests by which his empire is extended, are set forth not in a lakld, prosaic description, but more poetically, by summoning him to do what he actually will perform. He is bidden to gird his sword upon his thigh, i. e. to prepare for martial deeds, and at the same time to gird on, as theugh constituting the garment with which he is invested, his glory and his majesty, terms which are repeatedly combined elsewhere to denote the divine dignity, P's. $96: 6$, as well as the splendour of a divinely bestowed royalty, P's. $21: 6$.
5. F-: 2 27.2. $e$, in the royal dignity thus securely girt about him he should press on to valiant deeds and victories. $\quad$, either pass on, press through, or prosper; if the latter, it will qualify the following verb, $8069 . a .=\div$, either in the military chariot or on the war horse, Hab, 3: R, Rev. 19:11. Rex. \& 20.5. b, for the sake of truth and meekness and rightionsness, i. e. in vindication of those who possess these qualities or represent these principles, or else on account of his own posseskion of these attributes. जin", the right hand is personified and
represented as planning and achieving what is accomplished by means of it: let it show thee what it can do. nis-: $\ddagger$ § 266. 1.
 thesis. :arane the same who is adlressed, but here reverentially spoken of in the third person, see on Gen. $41: 13$.
7. Tine § $\$ 221.3$. a. The natural and obvious rendering of these words, found in all the ancient versions, and sanctioned by the authority of the New Test., Heb. 1:8, is, thy throne, O God, is forever and ecer. As the divine nature of Messiah is either tanght or implied in other passages both of the Psalms and of the prophets, it can create no difficulty that he is here addressed as God. At any rate, this evident sense of the words cannot be set aside by such forced and rare, if not impossible constructions as, thy throne of God, i. e. divinely bestowed or established, $\$ 256 . l$, or thy throne is the throne of God.
8. $\frac{5}{7}$ re, an allusion to the practice of anointing the body, particularly on festive occasions. To anoint with the oil of gladness is to make superlatively glad. eres might be a vocative, as in ver. 7, but it seems better to make it the subject of the preceding verb. : in regal dignity, i. e. other kings. The peculiar joy granted to him above others is in part, at least, that which belongs to the imposing nuptials about to be deseribed.
9. -ְֻ all thy garments are myrrh, ete., so filled with these perfumes that they appear to consist of them. $\ddot{\ddagger}$, palaces of ivory, the rooms of which were wainscoted or adorned with ivory, comp. 1 Kin. 2:2:39, Am. $3: 15 . \quad \$ 190 . l$, is by some thought to be an abbreviated plural for $\mathrm{e}: \mathrm{a}$ a stringed instruments have gladdened thee; but as the existence of such a form of the plural is, to say the least, extremely doubtful, it is better to regard it as a prolonged form of the prep. $i$ emphatically repeated after the noun, comp. Isa. $59: 18$, from ivory palaces, from them, I say. The subject of the following verb will then be indefinite, 8243.2 . All kinds of delights were provided in these sumptuous palaces for this festive occasion.
10. The queen is in the allegory the church or chosen people; the kings' daughters are representatives of their several nations. Fin §14.a, §21.b. rati, not merely stunds but is pluced at thy right hand, this being the post of honour.
11. The P'salmist has thus far been occupied with the king, his character and greatness, and his part in these grand festivities. He now turns to the queen, and reverting to the time immediately preceding the marriage which is already presupposed, ver. 10 , finds her still in her father's house, awaiting the nuptial procession, and directs to her some
preliminary counsels, vs. 10-13. ran, a familiar form of address adopted by seniors, Ruth 2:8, or by teachers and advisers, Mat. $9: 22$.
12. *s:? and let the king desire, equivalent to, so that he may de-
 prostration, either in token of respect or of religious worship, and is hence particularly appropriate in describing the homage to be paid to this divine bridegroom.
13. dered it, on the hypothesis that the Psalm celebrates the marriage of Solomon with a Tyrian princess, or still more extravagant and ineredible, that of Ahab with Jezebel, who was of the royal house of Zidon, 1 Kin. 16:31. Danghter of Tyre, like danghter of Zion, daughter of Bahylon, daughter of Egypt, is a personification of its iuhabitants, and is here the subject of the following verb which agrees with it as a col-
 Tyre is singled out on account of its wealth and commercial prosperity, with allusion to which 'the rich of the people,' i. e. the riehest $\$ 254$. 2. $u$ of every nation, $=\ddot{0}$, in the same wile, imlefinite sense as I $\times \mathrm{a} .42: 6$.
14. reserthin, i. e. in her father's palace, and ready for the procession which is to convey her to the house of the bridegroom.
1.5. 'To add to the signifieance of the allegory the usages of real life are here departed from, and the virgin companions of the bride, identical with the kings' danghters, ver. 10, are themselves also conducted to the king precisely as the bride was. They too are wedded to him, signifying that (ientile nations shall be with Israel, and like Israel esponsed to Nessiah, and share the blessings of his love and favour. $\boldsymbol{n}$ sense of prep. see on (ien. 2:18. : the king.
17. His sons shall replace or eclipse lis ancestors, he kings as they had been, and more illustrious, inasmuch as he would partition his wide empire among them, eomp. 2 sam. 8:18, 1 Kin. $4: 7,2$ Chron. $11: 28$. :i-s--:- not in ull the luml, but in all the earth, as appears from the suljugation, ver. fi, and homare, ver. 18 , of the mations, and the submission of 'Tyre, ver. 13.
18. The chureh, in whose name the Psalmist speaks, shall render a crlasting praise to the king, in which the nations shall join. E-ig the refore, stimulated by the praise of the church, or referring back to the previous contents of the Psalm, which are also the ground of the pledge given in the preceding clause.

## PSALM LAXII.

Messiah as the ideal Solomon. The reign of the former is depicted in features drawn from the latter, but freed from all imperfection and limitation, extended over all the earth and reaching through all time. Its universality and unending duration absolutely preclude any other subject. It could not, by the most strained exaggeration, be applied to Solomon himself, either in the way of deseription or of hopes indulged and supplications offered on his behalf. He neither ruled the whole world, nor cherished any expectation of doing so. This kinglum is described in its equity, vs. 1-4, perpetuity and everlasting consequences, vs. $5-7$, universality, vs. $8-11$, protection of the needy and defenceless, vs. $12-15$, prosperity and renown, vs. 16.17 . The Psalm is concluded or followed by a doxology, vs. 18. 19, and a subscription, ver. 20.
 mon' as its author. गuere, not thy rights or prerogatives, but thy judgments, judicial decisions, and this not merely as precedents for his guidance, but make thy infallible decisions his, give to his administration the perfection of thine. in in form a prayer; but as the Psalmist asks for that which he knows will certainly be granted, it is equivalent to a prediction with the added force of an earnest desire for its accomplishment. : clanse, who is thus declared to be of royal deseent.
2. ir: , the form of petition is exchanged for that of confident assertion. T:3 thy aflicted ones, cither equivalent to thy people, who are characteristically sufferers, or distinguishing those amongst them who are in a peculiarly suffering condition, inasmuch as God is the especial patron of such, and under a less leneficent and impartial government they would be exposed to injustice and oppression. : ine in the exercise of justice.
3. This equal government shall be productive of peace, which is represented as springing up from the soil, borne by the momtains and hills, which fitly represent the country as its prominent features and constituting so considerable a portion of the whole. The fertility of the hills of ancient Palestine, which were terraced and cultivated to their summits, adds to the beauty and appositeness of the figure. "wa: belongs to both clauses of the verse, and is qualified by: shows the mode of the proluction; this harvest of peace, in its wide sense of welfare and prosperity as well as freedom from strife and war, is brought forth by righteousness.
 merely poor，but burn in poverty，and therefore without rich and influ－ ential frients．

5．－T，$\because \cdot$ ，suf．refers to Gorl，who is addressed ver．1，while the king is spoken of thronghont in the third person．The unending prevalence of piety shall be the result of this righteons reign，of course implying the perpetuity of the reign itself．＂ぃサー＝with the sun，as long as it exists， comp．Wan．3：33．$\because=-$ befure the moon，as long as it is present and shines upon them．：Er，an idiomatic phrase，which oceurs like－ wise $10: 2: 25$, Is． $51: 8$ ，denoting perpetual duration，whether it is to be explained as an emphatic repetition，like 7 ．Ex．3：15，Prov．27： $\because 1$ ，or the more usual $7-\%-\% 280.2$ and $a$ ，generation upon genera－ lions，or as in the construct relation，generation of ！enerations，a super－ lative combination，乌55．2．a，like king of kings，servant of scruants， a period embracing all generations within itself，or beside which ordinary gencrations are insinificant．

G．Fignre denoting bencficent influence．
8．The extent of his dominion．It is the kinglom of Solomon ex－ panded to the dimensions of the earth itcelf．The language here used tiskes its shape from the bounds assigned to the promised land，Ex．23： 31，omitting its limitations．＇From the Red sea even muto the sea of the I＇hilistines＇becomes＇from sea to sea，＇i．e．from ocean to ocean，from side to side of the sea－surrommed continents．＇F＇rom the desert unto the river＇becomes＇from the river unto the ends of the earth，＇i．e． from the linplates in loth directions to the utmost limits of the world． $\cdots, ~ \$ 172.4$ ，let him have dominion，or may he have dominion，either an authoritative proclamation or a return to the form of petition with which the l＇salm began，see on ver． 1 ．

9．To this universal submission there shall be no exception．Even $=\cdots \because$ ，rule and harbarous tribes，shall own his sway．：a－－－fignre of complete prostration，sugeresting too，perhaps，that in them is realized the doom of the serpent and his seed，（ien．3：14．

10．Ho sha！l receive tribute from the most distant and wealthy mon－
 ［hement of tribute， 2 Kin．17：3；the same verb with－亏゙es，which here stanls in the parallel clans＇，in Ezek．27：15．Some have thonght that the werl，suggests the notion of the repeated payments exacted from vas－ sals，who are refuired to refurn with it argain and again；more prohably it implies a returu or compensation for benefits received．sei： $1 \mathrm{Kin} 10: 1$.

## 11．ーローズッ，вec on $45: 12$ ．

12．－The lomarre thus ！idfled to him by every nation，is due
to his character as a ruler. They shall serve him because, etc. -is? § 285. 3.
 upon their life and will not suffer it to be destroyed, comp. $116: 15$, 1 Sam. 26:21, 2 Kin. 1:13. 14.
15. $\cdot$ ! $\quad$, the subject of this and of the following verbs is the ransomed poor of the preceding verses taken distributively, $\$ 275.6$; and let him live and he will give to him, his liedeemer and King, of the gold of Sheba in acknowledgment of the favour shown him, and in token of subjection to his sway, and he shall pray for him for the increase of his glory and the advancement of his cause and kingdom. The jussive form has here a conditional force, as surely as he lives he will do this. Others make Messiah the subject of some or all the verbs in this verse.
16. Its prosperity set forth under the figure of the unexampled multiplication of the products of the earth. Let there be a hunlful of grain in the earth or land, on the top of mountains even, in spots least farourable for its eultivation and growth, and it shall produce a harvest that shall ware and rustle in the breeze like the cedars of Lebanon. --: the jussive, as in ver. 15 , is conditional. -rse, some render abundance. A like rapid inerease of its inhabitants. men, comp. Num. 2t:19.
17. •ap, apoc. fut. see on ver. 8. ت, see on ver. 5. i': K’ri, ian K'thibh. :=-snn § 35. 1, bless themselves by him, the richest blessings shall be his, so that men can desire nothing higher for themselves or others than to be like him, Gen. 48:20, or be blessed in him, i. e. in virtue of their nnion to him or connection with him.
18. According to the prevalent opinion this doxology, however appropriate after so enraptured a prospect of the glorious future, is not a part of the Psalm itself, but marks the close of the second division or book of Psalms, each of which ends in like manner, $41: 14,89: 53$, 106:48; P's. 150, the conclusion of the whole, is itself an extended doxology.

20. 'This sums up all that my father David desired and prayed for.' But such a subseription somnds strangely at the end of a Psalm written by another than David himself; and particularly as it follows instead of preceling the doxology, it may more naturally be thought to refer in like manner to the books or divisions of the Psalter. The l'alme (rer muyers, hence psalms which consist largely of supplications, comp. 17:1, 80:1, 102:1, Hab. 3:1) of Duvid the son of Jesse ure culcul. The Psalms of the
two preceding books, Ps. 1-41, 12-72, are with few exceptions those of David; the three bowks that follow, P's. 73-89, 90-106, 107-150, contain few that are ascribed to him. In a general sense, therefure, this is the point of transition from the Psalnis of David to those of other inspired singers. Some have dunbtfully conjecturel that this marks the end of an original collection of the Psalms, to which the name of 'the Psalms of Inavid' was given, because they were mainly written by him, just as the entire book in its present compass is frequently so denominated for the same reason; and that the remaining portion of the collection was incorporated with it at a subsequent period. The book of I'roverls affurds an instance of such an enlargement, see Prov, $9^{5}: 1$, as this hypothesis supposes in the I'salter.

## PSALM CX.

Messiah, the ideal Melchizedek, at once king and priest by express divine appointment; his sway is resistless and his priesthood perpetual. That the subject can be no other than the Messiah is evident, since by the established regulations of the Jewish economy the regal and sacerdotal oflices were preserved distinct, the one being hereditary in the family of lavid, and the other in that of Aaron. Saul lost the kingdom for presuming to offer sacrifice, 1 Sam . $13: 9 \mathrm{ff}$, and Uzziah was smitten with leprosy for venturing into the temple to burn incense, 2 Chron. 26 : 16 ff . Ouly he, in whom all the types centre, could be a priest upon his thron", Zech. 6:13. The messianic character of this Psalm is abundantly declared in the New Testament. Our Lord, in argument with the llarisees, Mat. 22:43. 44, Mark 12:36, Luke $20: 42.43$, sanctions this cxpusition of it, as well as its composition by David, and implies that these were universally acknowledged. Ver. 1 is quoted of Christ, Acts 2:31.35, 1 Cor. 15: 2.5, Ieb. 1:13, $10: 12.13$, and is the hasis of all these passares which speak of his sitting at the right hand of God, Mat. 210: 61 , Mark 16:19, Acts $7: 50$, Rom. $8: 31$, Eph. $1: 20$, Col. 3:1, 11el). $1: 3,8: 1,12: 2,1$ Pet. $3: 22$. Ver. 1 is quoted of him Heb, $5: 6,7: 17.21$, and is explained at large in ch. 7 of this Epistle.

1. $\because-\infty$, , Mavills calling Christ his Lord implies the mysterions constitution of his person, Mat. $22: 45 . \quad=0$, session at the right hand of Goul is "quivalent to sitting with him on his throne, Rev. $3: 21$, and implies association with God in supreme dominion. --پ. From this pass:uge taken singly it might be doubtful whether this particle is to be understood exclusively or inclusively, as Gen. 28:15, l's. $112: 8$, that
is to say, whether the session at God's right hand, which is to continue until the subjugation of all foes, shall then cease, or shall be perpetual, being thenceforward freed even from the semblance of opposition. From the exposition of the apostle, 1 Cor. $15: 24-28$, however, we learn that while the Messiah is to have an everlasting kinglom, as the prophets unanimously testify, his session at the right hand of God is subject to the limitation here affixed to it. The delegation of universal authority to the Messial is to last until the purposes of his administration are complete, but no longer. After his people are all redecmed, and his foes all subdued, he shall, in his capacity as Messiah, have no further oceasion to retain the control of the universe, but shall deliver it up unto his Father. Thenceforward he shall hold simply his headship over his own people, and God shall be all in all as before the mediatorial reign began. ĒT, figure of complete subjugation, comp. 1 Kin. 5: 17 (Eng. Ver. 3), Josh. 10:24.
2. $-\underset{\sim}{-2}$ the rod, as an instrument of chastisement, or as others suppose, in the sense of else, of thy strength, not thy strong rod, but the rod or sceptre of thy strength, the symbol of it, or that by which thy strength is displayed. Hex send forth on its errand of judgment from Zion the centre and seat of the theocracy; others, stretch forth, as 1 Sam. 14:27. -:-i, the command implies that there is nothing to prevent his doing as he is enjoined.
3. The instruments of his victories. Fi=- thy people are free-will offcrings, voluntarily offer thenselves to the service of their king in his conflict with his foes, comp. the use of the cognate verb, Judg. उ: 2. 9. Finday of thy pouer, when it is exerted, or of thy host, the marshalling of thy forces. sacred vestments ; not equipped as ordinary warriors, but in sacerdotal robes, since they are a kinglom of priests, Ex. 19:6, and it is not by carnal weapons that they prevail, comp. 2 Chron. 20:21. 20, where
 Ps. $45: 15)$. $=$ rerg from the womb of morning, from which the dew is poetically represented as born; others take the prep. in i's comparative sense, $\$ 260$, more than the womb. : 5 rize, in the only other passage in which this word oceurs, Eceles. 11:9. 10, it denotes the early period of life; taken in this sense here, the dew of thy youth would mean, thy fresh and vigorous youth, which is perpetually reneweil, like dew from the womb of morning. But it agrees better with the comnection to understand ' youth' as a collective for young men, and thus as equivalent to 'thy people' in the first clause. These are as numerons
and universally diffused as the drops of dew, 2 Sam. 17:12, with allusion likewise, perhaps, to their sudden and noiseless appearance, and the mysterious agency by which they are produced, Mic. $5: 6$ ( 7 ).
4. Exez, the solemnity of such an oath implies not only it inviolable truth, but the superlative importance of the subject. Eripe x\%, it is an oath that he never will recall. character, or order, not of Aaron, who was purely a priest, but of Melchizedek, § 195. 3, § 218 . $a$, who was both priest and king, Gen. 11:18.

5, 6. $\because-3$ 199. $c$, a form used only of God as the supreme Lord. The Lord at thy right hand is not Messiah here designated by a divine title, but Jehovah, since Messiah is throughout the person addressed. That Jehovah is here spoken of as at the right hand of the Messiah, whereas the converse is the case in ver. 1 , only shows that both expressions are figurative. He is at his right hand to aid and support him, $16: 8,109: 31$. verse shows that the ideal position of the Psalmist is in the midst of what he is descriling, 263. 5. a. He has already smitten kings; but not content with this he is going on still to judge $i \cdot-$; the nations; and now, as this majestic and fearful process has been proceeding even while the l'salmist speaks, he has filled the arena of the conflict, or ors intrans. it is full of corpses, $\$ 271$, he has smitten the head over much luml, either collectively, as in the Eng. Ver., or some one of the more prominent and powerful of his foes, possibly the head and lealer of the entire rebellious opposition, elsewhere denominated 'the prince of this work,' John 12:31, a passare which might on this view be regarded as parallel to the one now under examination ; mise might then be rendered the wide carth, as $:=5 \cdot=$, (ien. $7: 11$, 'the great deep.' This blow, $\mu$ wn this latter understanding of it, would end the strife.
7. The refreshing dranghts partaken of during or after the conflict with their reviving effect, comp, Judg. 15: 18. 19. He shatl not be so fatigued that he cannot prosecute the contest with virour, nor so exhamstell at its close that he camot enjoy the fruits of victory. Others think that 'drinking of the brook in the way' denotes the unrelaxing ardour of the pursuit. He turns not aside to rest or to refresh himself, only partakes hastily of what he finds in his way and presses without ceasing on. The subject in this verse is the Messiah, who by a change of persun is here spoken of.

## PROVERBS. CILAPTER VIII.

vs. 1-5. The publicity and universality of Wisdom's call.
vs. $6-21$. The excellence and value of her instructions.
vs. $22-31$. Her association with Gol himself in the production of his works.
vs. $32-36$. Appeal to men to secure their own welfare by embracing her.

1. אnen § 263. 2, action already begun but to be continued in the future, is she not crying?
2. Wisdom occupies the most conspicuous positions, near the great thoroughfares, addressing the multitudes of passers by. 1. $a$, see on Ps. 1:3, Gen. $41: 1$; we speak in the same sense of houses being on the street. res place of paths, where they meet or cross; others, within the paths, not only on eminences by the roadside, but in the very road itself.
3. :
4. $=$ are § 208.3. $d . \quad:=\frac{3}{\text { en }}$ may denote the intellectual faculty, cause your heart to understand, or better, as parallel to $r \boldsymbol{r}$ that which is rational and sensible, which men are exhorted to perceive or attend to.

5. ing, for which the K'ri substitutes the first person. See a like instance,
 dering, solid, durable, is still preferred by many critics, and is most directly deducible from the signification of the root: others alopt the sense of $s p l e n d i d$.
6. $\dot{-}$ is by some regarded as a noun meaning substance, wealth, lit. that which is or exists. There is no necessity, however, for departing from its usual sense, there is wherewith to give inheritance to those who love me.
7.     - 
8. יی: s , K'thibh plur., inasmuch as the preceding singular is to be taken distributively, $\S 275.6$; the K'ri substitutes $s=\sim$

## JOB. CHAPTER III.

2. 7-3, though nothing had as yet been said by his friends, there was a tacit demand in the circumstances of the case to which he makes reply. So Deut. 26:5, Isa. 14:10, where, as in this place, the Eng.

Ver．gratuitously substitutes＇speak＇for＇answer；＇comp．a like use of dтокрivoиа in the New Testament，e．g．Mat．11：25．

Job complains of three things：
（1）vs．3－10，that he was ever born．
（2）v． $11-19$ ，that he was not suffered to die as soon as born．
（3）vs．20－26，that he is still compelled to live in his incessant and intolerable anguish．

3．l＇y a bold personification Job conceives of the day of his birth and the night of his conception as actual beings，which have inflicted a wanton and irreparable injury upon him，and he wishes them blotted from existence．IIs wish is not that their anniversaries may have no place in the calendar，or may be regarded as unlucky and inauspicious， but that the illentical day and night may be non－existent．If they had never existed，he would not have been born．Job transfers himself in thought to the period before his birth，and the tenses are regulated by this ideal position．Ein，without the article because it is in the construct before a relative clause with the relative omitted，§255．2．95－－§ 35.1. －§ to read＇which said，＇than＇in which one said．＇： ，not a man－child， Eng．Ver．，but a man，the name proper to the mature state being ap－ plied by anticipation to the infant or embryo．The emphasis is not upon the sex，implying greater joy at the birth of a son than a danghter；Job says＇a man＇becanse he is speaking of himself．＇The two clauses of this verse are then separately expanded，the first in vs．4．5，the second in vs． $6-10$ ．The poetic accents begin with ver． 3 ，and extend through the poetical portion of the book，$\S 31$ ．

4． $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Z}$ be durkness，i．e．be no day at all．：neme seek it，to bring it out of this darkness into which he hat wished it converted．ה is used thronghout the puetry of this book almost to the exclusion of the customary plural．It is found besides in a few other poetical passages， and but rarely in prose．：$-\bar{i}$ ：oceurs only in this place，though com－ mon in Arabie．
 into their possession，as he who had parted with his property through stress of cireumstances might redeem it．Fe？

 many interpreters，not merely a single clome as $\ddagger ⿰ 氵 y$ ，but a mass or body of chouls covering the sky，$\S_{8}^{8} 198$ ；though（iesenius thinks the relation of these words to be precisely the reverse，冬 199． 1 ．$-\cdots$ ， $=$ the prefixed prep．，as the bitternesses of＂d dey，like whatever can make
a day bitter and dreadful. It is better, however, to regard it as a radical, and to derive the word from $n=5$ to be burned, then to be black, § 187. 2. e, hence obscurations. Let it suffer preternatural and alarming eclipse.
6. among the days of the year, Marg. Eng. Ver., not that it slould be a dismal, sorrowful day, but that it should not have the joy of belonging to the days of the year. The days pass along, a merry, joyous band, let it not be one of them. Of course not natural days, as in vs. 3. 4, but civil days, embracing the entire diurnal period, in which sense they include the night. The text of the Eng. Ver. renders it as though it
 nights included in the months.
8. He wishes everything dire and dreadful to be heaped upon it or employed against it, not oniy all real evils, but even such as are imaginary and fietitious. He, therefore, invokes the aid of sorcerers, who curse the day, who elaim the power of inflicting curses upon it, who are ready to rouse leviathan, who, armed with their incantations, do not fear to disturb the crocodile, as some understand it, while others suppose an allusion to serpent-charmers, and others still to the celestial serpent, whom they instigated to swallow the sun and moon, thus producing eclipses.
9. Let it be blaek throughout, its twilight darkened and no dawn succeeding it. lids of the dawn, the first tremulous and struggling beams proceeding from the sun, the eye of day.
10. The reason why he uttered these imprecations. בִלְ my womb, that which bare me. nope, Vav Conv. iuplies a close connection of this act with the preceding, it did not shut up, etc., and so hide. The negative belongs to both clauses.
11. The ideal position of the speaker is shifted to the time immediately after birth. Hence the futures nos, : TR:
13. - for depends on the implied wish that he had been suffered to perish uneared for. $\quad$ Tixe now, i. e. in the case supposel, I would have luin down and would be quiet. Mark the change of tenses in the verbs, all of which are affectell ly the preceding condition.
 in Isa. $58: 12$, rebuilt ancient ruins, thus showing their power and greatness, but built stately edifices which are now, or soon will be, in ruins.
15. 5 -ran , not their tombs, which some have imarined to be referred to in this and the preceding verse, but their palaces and treasuries. The
reference is not to sums of money buried with the dead，but to the


16．洰：＝．By a bitter irony on worldly prosperity，kings，princes， and an abortion are all put into the same category；their condition is ultimately the same．

17．5\％there，i．e．where kings，counsellors，ete．are，the place or state of the dead．Mark the change of tenses．

19． $\mathfrak{K}$－，not predicate，the same，which is not the meaning of the pronoun，but copula，乌．258．2．：

20 ．F．indef．§243．2，why give，or rather，as the future implies， why continue to give，equivalent to the passive construction，why shall light，i．c．life，comp．ver．1G，be gieen，not only why has it been and is it given，but why must it be given yet longer．Some supply＇God＇as the subject，but this is unceessary，and gives an uncalled for appearance of open and conscious murmuring to these moanings of uncontrollable anguish．
$21 . \quad-\quad-\quad \therefore$ ，change from participle to future with Vav Conversive， § 28：c．

23．$ニ エ ン$ ，construction resumed from ver．20．Although he still speaks in general terms，the expressions show that he has his own case particularly in mind：the way is thus prepared for the next verse，in which he speaks directly of himself．$\quad-{ }_{r-n}=$ ，pret．，not part．，as shown by the position of the accent，§34；whose way is hid，who can discover no methon of escape from these dreadful evils．To：，not as $1: 10$ ，to secure him from harm，but to shut him up to the endurance of suffering beyond the possibility of extrication．

24．${ }^{-}=$，confimatory；life is contimued to those who are in this condition，fior such is my case．？before，sooner than；perpetually repeaterl，with greater frequeney than his regular food．x＝ñ ，fut．in its


25．＇The meaning is not that he had apprehensions in his former prosperite，which have now been fulfilled；but all that is dreadful in his esteem has heen alreally，of is likely soon to be（ $x=$ ；fut．）realized in his experience．He endures all that he has ever conceved that is frightful．


2 U．His sufferings are without intermission．There are no intervals of repose before fresh pains and troubles come．The triple repetition is cmphatic．- －

## CLIAPTER NIX.

This chapter oceupies a central position in the discussion, since it belongs to the second of the three series of discourses, and is uttered in reply to the second of the three fiends. It is also the acme of Job's inward struggle. His greatest anguish arose from the thought which the tempter perpetually suggested, that God was cruelly pursuing him as an enemy. He here reaches the trimmphant assurance, that in spite of all contrary appearances God is his redeemer, and will ultimately manifest himself as such. His bodily anguish remains. The mystery of God's dealings is still unsolved. But his personal relation to God is settled, and this gives him comparative peace. Whatever perplexity still clouds his mind, we hear no more the accents of unrelieved despair such as he has uttered hitherto.
vs. 2-22. Job entreats his friends not to aggravate the misery which God has sent upon him.
vs. $23-29$. His confidence in God as his Redeemer.
2. $\because$,
3. - $\$ 235.3$ (4).
 tinuance of the same treatment, see on Gen. 44:7. doubtful meaning. The Eng. Ver. renders it 'make yourselves strange, comp. 2 . From Arabic analogies some explain it to mean stun, astonish, and others, treat unjustly, imjure.
4. Even if he was the guilty man that they suspected or allegenl, this did not justify their treatment of him. He was himself the only sufferer by these imaginary misdeeds. He had not harmed them, and they ought not to treat him as if he had done so.
5. The condition may extend through the first clanse, 'If ye will, etc., then $\S 287.2$ prove against me my reproach,' establish the charges with which you reproach me. Or it may extend throngh the entire verse, and the apolosis be found in that which follows.
6. $: \%$ The mystery which so perplexed Job and misled his friends was, that God appeared to be doing him a serions wrong; the sufferings inflicted upon him seemed like a declaration of his guilt, and was so understood and charged by his friends, when he was in fact innucent. This divine perversion of his case, this incunality in the divine proceedings, must not be urged in proof of his criminality. Others take the verb in the physical sense, bent we down, orerthrom me.
7. Fery at present with every prospect of continuing to du so in
the future, $\S 263.2 .2=-$, governed direetly by the verb; this was the cry that he utterel. Comp. in English, to ery murder !
8. The preterite $-:$ describes what God has already done; the future $\mathrm{E}=$; what he is going on still further to do. These are not mutually exclusive, but supplementary, and are only poetically distinguished. 1 uth the past, which is predicated of one act, and the future, predicated of the other, belong in fact to both. $15 . \because=2$
16. -a, -ress $\leqslant 263.1$, futures relative to the preceding pret. $\because-\cdots$, I called, he mrould not answer, I had to supplicate.
17. $\because:$ my breuth, others, my spirit, as excited and querulous. --...pret. :31, hus become strange, offensive; there is no need of assuming a new root, or that the word is used in another than its proper Hebrew sense, Feremight be Kal pret, of with Viav Conv. § 100. 2, which some render, I have to snpplicute, a sense which the verb has in llithpael but not in Kial, $\$ 80.1\left({ }^{2}\right)$, others, from an Arabic analogy, $I$ am loathsome, but such a departure from the ordinary Hebrew usage in regard to a word of frequent occurrence is inalmissible, unless in cases of absulute necessity. Gesenius regards it as a plur. noun from -:- with a suf. proper to sing. noms, $\$ 220$. 2 . u, then assuming a convenient sense and supplying the verb from the preceding clanse, my ontreutics are strange t", ete. It seems best to regard it as a Kal infin. with the fem. ending $n$, a few other examples of which oceur, nut my supplicuting, a sense which the hal camnot have, but my caressing (lit. being gracions) is strange, repulsive to the soms of my zomb, that from which I was horn, as $3: 10$, my uterine brothers, not 'sons of my loly,' my own children, none of whom were living, $1: 19$, though some have sought to escape this dilliculty by assuming that the children of coneuhines or else grandeliddren are intended.
14. 上éreparag fut, in a conditional sense, see on l's. $72: 15.16$, lit the rise up, i. e. whenever I rise, they speak against me, riliculing my painful and laboured movements; or it may mean, when I rise to lawe they slander me behind my hack.
19. --EE-: the plur. verb shows that the sing. suljeet must be undraterd as a collective.
20. - me, not an intin. from --ath the buring of my teeth, i. e. denuled of the guns, which were wasted liy disease, hut as in the presims part of this same verse a nom, with the skin of my teeth, the inrignifieant membrane which covers the gums, a proverbial expression for a hare eseape, though its origin is ohscure and doubtful.
$22 . \div 5 y^{\prime}$, figure from insatiable beasts of prey.
23. The second fart of the chapter consists of an introduction,
showing Jobs sense of the importance of what he was alout to utter, vs. 23.24 ; his triumphant testimony, vs. $25-27$; and a deduction from it in the form of a warning to his friends, vs. 28. 29. is. - who mill give, an idiomatic mole of expressing a wish, $O$ that, see on Ps. $4: 7$. $\dot{-}$ e, the words, which he wonld have written, not on a fugitive leaf merely, but recorded in a book for permanent preservation, are manifestly those which follow, not what he has said hitherto.
24. Not merely written, but cut in stone, and the letters filled with molten lead, so that they might endure for all time.
25. the intervening verses being parenthetic, 'You persecute me relentlessly, as though I were a friendless, heaven-forsaken man, and yet $I$ honow, if you do not, that $m y$ Aeenger lives.' ‘$\because \& 35.1$, not merely $m y$ Deliverer, but my Redeemer or Anenger, see on Isa. 41:14. It belonged to the Goel, who was the nearest kinsman, to espouse the cause of his suffering or injured relative, to redecm his property if he had been forced to part with it, to avenge his death if he had been unjustly slain. Such a friend and protector Job had in God. ;exil last, not merely after we are dead, nor generally at some future time, but in its absolute sense, at the latest period of time. Possibly this word may here be used as an attribute of God, Isa. $41: 4,44: 6,48: 12$, and be made the sulject of the verb. He who is the Last shall arise from his seeming inaction and indifference, comp. Ps. 3:8, or stand, make his appearance. not in the sense of the Latin pulvis, or arena, the seene of this contest, but either over the dust, i. e. over my grave, as Ps. 22:16. 30, or better, upon the earth, as $41: 25$.
26. -rert, 3 ph. indef. $\S 243.2$, pret. relative to the following future, § 262. 1, after my skin or body, which they shall have destroyed, i. e. which shall have been destroyed. msi, adverbially thus, in the manner in which it is now perishing ; others regard the fem. as standing for the neuter, and refer it to $\cdots m y$ skin, viz. this which you here behold, or to the declaration which he had just made, this shall take place, viz, the appearance of my Redeemer. $\therefore=\{$ and out of my flesh, disembodied; others render, from my flesh, which, as his present boudy has alrealy been spoken of as destroyed, must then denote his resurrection body. The terms of this and of the preceding verse show that Job conld not have meant that God would appear on his behalf in the present life, and restore him again after his great emaciation. That he refers not to recovery from disease, but to a divine vindication in the future state, further appears from (1) the solemnity with which these words are introduced. The idea of graving upon the rock to endure furever a state-
ment whieh was to have an open and manifest fulfilment in a few days at the furthest，is grandiloquent，if not absurd．（2）The condition of Job，who is on the verge of the grave， $17: 1.11-16$ ，and always repels the idea of any earthly expectation whenever it is presented to him． （3）＇The position maintained by Jub in opposition to his friends．They assert that men are rewarded in this life according to their characters． Job denies it．If now the confidence which he here expresses is that of an earthly reward，he comes over to their gromnd．（4）This is the old－ est，as it has always been the most prevalent interpretation．

27 ．-243 ．1，I，the very person whom you think abandoned of （iod．for $m e$ ，on $m y$ side．$s=$ ，pret．relative to preceding future， shall have beheld．－7，some make the sulject，$I$ ，and not a stranger in my stead，shall behold him；but better as the ohject，behold him and not cstranged，not inimical to me．$\vdots=m y$ reins are consumed with eager longing for this glorions anticipation，comp．Ps．119：123，or，according to uthers，ly this wasting disease，comp．P＇s． $73: 26$ ．

28．Conditional sentence，the apodosis being found in the next verse．Hhen ye sa！，How shall we persceute him，what new assanlts shall we make upou him，and the root of the matter，the cause of all my sufferings，is found in me，in sins of which you allege that I am guilty，－ when you treat me in this hard－hearted and unjust manner，then you may well be afraid of the avenging sword of my great Redeemer．

29．-5 wrath，the divine wrath awaits transyressions of the sword， such transgressions as call for the sword of God＇s vengeance．Others， wroth，such as you display in your harsh treatment of me，is transgres－
 tive，s 7.1 ；others，with less probability，think it to be a modified form of the word ${ }^{-}$ene $1 /$ mighty．

## SONG OF SOIOMON．CHAPTER I．


3． $\begin{aligned}-\quad ; & \text { in respect to odour thy ointments are good；thy name is }\end{aligned}$ vintment，etc．

4．ニーッツ，alstr．for concrete，the upright；others take it adverb－ ially，they lure thee＂prighlly，sincerely．
（i．＂se，thu abbreviated relative，\＆it here used as a conjunction，

7．$-\because \because=209$ ．1． 。
8．r：－S245．2，§ 260．2（2）．

9．＇rニデ’
15．：＝\％thy cyes are dowes．17．Marg．see on Judg．13： 18.

## CHAPTER II.

1. 
2. 
3. -ss in an oath has a negative sense, see on Gen. 42: 15.
4. הבהּשים , the majority of modern interpreters render singing, i. e. of birds: Gesenins follows the LXX and Vulgate in giving it the sense of pruning.
5. $\because{ }^{\prime}$ K'thibh, § 220. 1. $b$ (2 per.).
6. Marg. see on Judg. 13: 17.
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$$
\because \quad \dot{\prime} \cdot \cdots \cdot:
$$




[^0]:    

[^1]:    
    

[^2]:    

[^3]:    ＊ A common abbreviation for $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} \boldsymbol{i n}$ ．

