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## EXTRACTS FROM THE RREFACE

## to the first Edition of the Translation.

The deficiency of the Greek Grammars in use in this country', has been generally felt and loudly complained of. Till a comparatively late period, use was made almost exclusively of the small Latin compend, usually called the Westminster Greek Grammar. The Gloucester Greek Grammar was chiefly translated from this, and jmperfectly supplied its numerous deficiencies. Of late years Valpy's Greek Grammar has been extent sively used, and with great advantage, being in many respects worthy of high commendation. That it is, however, but an insufficient guide to the student who seeks a thorough acquaintance with the language, will be generally admitted, and it is also not wholly free from the imperfections of the former scholastic compends.

Under these circumstances; the translator has been led, not less by his own reflection, than by the advice of judicious friends, to prepare a translation of the most approved of the Greek Grammars in use in Germany. It is well known that the Germans have paid a greater attention to philological pursuits than any other people of the present day, and that among themselves the study of the Greek has been carried much farther than that of the Latin. In consequence of the zeal with which every department of Greek literature has been pursued in that country,
that of grammar has been enriched with many very valuable elementary works. It will be sufficient to quote the names of Hermann, Buttmann, Matthiae, and Theersch. Buttmann and Matthiae have particularly distinguished themselves as the authors of the Greek Grammars in most extensive use. Considered as an historical analysis of the language, the Grammar of Professor Thiersch may be thought to deserve the preference. It is, however, as its title indicates, a Grammar not so much of the classical language as it appears in the mass of the writers, as of that earlier form of it which is called the elder, the Homeric, or epic dialect.* While it needs but a slight inspection of this Grammar, to feel the necessity of studying Homer almost as a work of another language, this fact itself equally suggests the conclusion, that a Grammar, particularly founded on this more ancient form of the language, is not well adapted to be a guide to the classical writers at large. The larger Greek Grammar of Matthiae was translated into English by the late Mr Blomfield, and is thought to have made a great accession to the stores of grammatical criticism accessible to the English student. As a philosophical and practical grammarian, however, Professor Buttmann, of the University of Berlin, is allowed by his countrymen to hold the first rank. Three Greek Grammars, drawn up by him, are now before the public. They are his Greek Grammar for Schools, the larger Greek Grammar, and the Complete Greek Grammar. The latter work, as the title suggests, is intended to contain a complete grammatical index of the Greek language, in which all the facts furnished by the study of all the authors, should be referred to their systematic place, so far as they establish principles or exceptions to principles. The first volume of this work was published in

[^0]1819, and not till the larger Greek Grammar of the author had attained its eighth edition.

This larger Grammar is a work of more than 600 , for the most part, finely printed pages, and is that by which its learned author attained, in the public estimation, the place he is now atlowed to fill as a Greek philologian. That it is not adapted to the use of schools, might be anticipated even from its size, and is not less apparent from the minuteness of critical detail into which it runs. The author was in consequence led to prepare an abstract from it for the use of schools, which, under the name of the School Grammar, has gone through many editions in Germany, and is the work which is now presented to the American public in a translation.

Although the superiority of this work, not only for philosophical investigation but learned criticism, can scarcely fail to be apparent to all who are able to judge of it ; the translator is not without fear that, at least at first, it may be found somewhat in advance of the state of philological studies in this country. Though professedly an abstract, for the use of schools, from a larger work, itself but an outline, filled up in a third still more complete, there is nevertheless reason to fear that some pprtions of this grammar may be thought beyond the requirements of some of the American schools. The great improvements, however, which have been made in elementary instruction in some of these institutions, and the consequent elevation of the standard of excellence in this department, authorize the hope that this farther contribution to the same end, will not be unacceptable to judicious teachers and diligent learners of the language. The translation of the valuable tables of Mr Thiersch, by Professor Patton of Middlebury College,* has already served to

[^1]awaken the public to the value of the German works in this department of learning, and it is hoped that the Grammar of Buttmann will raise them still higher in the estimation of scholars.

In making use of this grammar for the purpose of elementary instruction, much must be left to the discretion of the jadicious teacher. While it probably contains nothing, of which use may not be made in reading the Greek authors usually studied in our schools and colleges, it is not designed of course to be committed to memory or studied at first without discrimination. It must be remembered that if the grammar be the first book put into the learner's hands, it should also be the last to leave them; and that it must therefore combine elementary principles with critical detail. A Greek accidence, which should embrace only that which it is absolutely necessary to commit to memory, in commencing the study of the language, would probably be found useful to beginners; and such a one it was the intention of the translator to compile from the grammar.* He has for the present omitted it, from the consideration, that it is in the power of the judicious teacher, to attain nearly the same object, by marking the portions of the guammar, which it is necessary to commit to memory.

The translator trusts that he shall be thought to have rendered a service not wholly insignificant to the study of classical literature. The increased attention, which has lately been paid to this department, leads him to hope his labour will not be unacceptable. The translation of Mr Thiersch's tables by Professor Patton, will be found a valuable contribution to the means of cultivating this study; and the English Greek Lexicon; which

[^2]is in preparation by Mr Pickering, will remove one of the obstacles to the pursuit of the Greek in our schools. It is the design of the translator to adapt for use in this country the text-book of Mr Jacobs, a work of singular merit and of extensive use abroad, and which, as it refers throughout to the Grammar of Buttmann, will be particularly useful to those who are well grounded therein.*

Cambridge, Aug. 1822.

[^3]
## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

In preparing a new edition of the translation of Buttmann's Greek Grammar, the sole object has been to follow the original with exactness. Nothing has been added, and nothing omitted, except an occasional remark relating to the German idiom and not true of the English. The division into sections, though not common in our school books, has yet been retained. The pages of the former edition are marked in the margin of the present, so that references already made to the Grammar will not lose their value. Our opinion of the general merits of the Grammar and its adaptation to the purposes of instruction need not here be expressed. In what manner we have acquitted ourselves of the task of preparing the new edition the public will judge.

GEORGE BANCROFT.
GEORGE H. BODE.
Northampton, May 1, 1826.

1. The Greek, like all other languages; had various dialects, which however may be all reduced to two fundamental dialects, the Ionic and Doric, belonging to the two great divisions of the Grecian race, which bore these names respectively.
2. The Dorian tribe was most extensive, but its diallect was rough, and upon the whole less cultivated. A branch of this dialect was the E.Elic, which early attained a considerable degree of improvement, particularly in the Æeolian colonies of Asia Minor and in the neighbouring islands:
3. The Ionian tribe inhabited in earlier times for the most part what was afterwards called Attica; and sent out from this quarter its colonies to the coasts of Asia Minor. Inasmuch as these colonies attained a high degree of refinement, earlier than their mother country, or any other Grecian tribe, the appellations of Ionians and Ionic were appropriated to them and their dialect, while the original Ionians in Attica were called Attics and Athenians. The Ionic dialect, from the multiplication of vowels, is the softest. But the Aluic soon surpassed the others in refinemept, by avoiding, in the ease peculiar to itself, the Doric harshness, and the Ionic softness. Although the-Attic race, geographically speaking, was the original, the Ionic dialect of the colonies in Asia Minor is considered as the mother of the Attic dialect, because it attained a high degree of cultivation at a period, when it had least departed from the common source of both, the old tongue of the Ionian race.
4. As mother, however, of all the dialects, we must assume an original ancient Greek language. But of this it is only by means of philosophical deduction that we can ascertain or rather conjecture the forms. Every dialect naturally retained more or less from this ancient language, 'and of consequence each preserved
in itseif, from the same source, mach that was gradually lost in kindred dialects. Hence may be explained the fact, that the grammarians speak of Doric, 太olic, and even Attic forms, in the old Ionian bard Homer. In general, it has been the practice to name that, which was customary or of frequent occurrence in a dialect, after that dialect, although it should likewise occasionally be found in some other. In this way we must explain the Doricisms, so called, in the Attic writers,* and the-Atticisms traced in authors pot in that dialect. $\dagger$
5. To this same original language belong, for the most part, the poetical forms or poetical licenses, as they are called; for the oldest poets formed themselves a language, out of the manifold phraseology of their age. Many peculiarities of this phraseology became obsolete: but the later poets, having their predecessors for guides, were unwilling to lose this richness of language; and thus what was originally dialect, and ought to be classed as such, got to be, in the end, poetic peculiarity, or as it is commonly called, poetic license.
6. In every cultivated nation, some one of ite prevailing dialects generally becomes the foundation of the common language of literature and of good society. This did not take place, at an early period, among the Greeks. Cultivation advanced far among them, while they were still divided into several states, separated from each other by position as well as political relations. The language of literature, thesefore, as well poetry as prose, till near the time of Alexander, depended upon the dialect to which the writer had been educated, or which he preferred. Hence arose Ionic, Æolic, Doric, and Attic writers of poetry and prose; from each of which classes more or less is still extant.
7. Meantime Athens attained a political elevation so important, that it possessed for some time a sort of general government ( ${ }^{\dot{\eta}} \gamma \varepsilon \mu o v i \alpha$ ) over Greece, and became, at the same period, the

[^4]centre of hiterary improvement. Greeks from all the tribes went to Athens for their education, and the Attic works became the models in every department of literature. The consequence was, that when Greece soon after, under the Macedonian monarchy, assumed a political unity, the Attic dialect, having taken rank of the others, became the language of the court and of literature, in which the prose writers, of all the tribes and of whatever region, henceforth almost exclusively'wrote. The centre of this later Greek literature formed itself in Alexandria in Egypt under the Ptolemies.
8. With the universality of the Attic dialect, as was to be expected, began its degeneracy. Writers introduced peculiarities of their provincial dialects ; or, in place of anomalies peculiar to the Athenians or of phrases that seemed artificial, made use of the more regular or natural forms; or instead of a simple phrase, which had become more or less obsolete, introduced a more popular derivative formi.* Against this however the grammarians, often pedantically and unreasonably, struggled; and, in their treatises, placed by the side of these offensive or inelegant modernisms, the true forms from the old Attic writers. And bence it became usual to understand by Attic, only that which was found in the ancient classics, and was in the strictest sense pecnliar to them; and to give to the common language of literature, formed in the manner indicated, the name of wocvi,' the rulgar,' or $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota x \eta$ ', ' the Greek, i. e. the valgar Greek.' Hence also the subsequent writers were called oi xouvol or oi" EגA $\eta$ ves, in distinction from the genuine Attic writers. Their language, however, is not to be
 mained essentially Attic, and of course every common Greek grammar assumes the Attic dialect as its basis.

It follows from this, that not every thing which was called Attic is on that account peculiar to this dialect, even in the classic age. Moreover there were several Attic forms, which were not

[^5]exclosively weed even in Athens, but which were interchanged with other universally adopted forms, as gidoi $\eta$ with $\varphi<\lambda i o i n$, and guv with $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v}_{\text {; }}$ as there were also several Ionic forms not wholly unknown to the Attics, as the not contracted forms in the place of contracted ones.
9. To the universality however of the Attic dialect an exception was pade in poetry. In this department the Attics remained the models only in one branch, the dramatic. As dramatic poetry from its nature, even in tragedy, is necessarily the language of actual life, the Attic stage admitted nothing but the Attic dialect, which was retained in the sequel on all the other Grecian theatres. In addition to this, the dramatic poets, particularly in the dialogue, especially in that part written in trimeters, with the exception of a freer use of the apostrophe and contráction, indulged themselves in but few of the poetical Heenses, as they are called, and substitutions of other forms.

10: For the other. sorts of poétry, particularly those which were composed in herameters, viz. the epic, didactic, and elegiac, Homer, and the other elder Ionic hards, who continued to be read in the schools, remained the models. Among them the old Ionic and Homeric hnguage was retained, with most of its peculiarities and ancient forms, and became, as had been the case with the Attic dialect in prose, the reigning dialect or universal language in this department of poetry in all ages. It is therefore best denominated the Epic language, as its origin was exclusively in the epic poetry.
11. The Doric dialect, however, even in later days, was na excluded from poetry. On the contrary it sustaired itself in some of the subordinate branches of the art, particularly the pastoral and humorous.' When, however, the language which prevails in the lyrical portions of the drama-that is, in the choruses and passiònate speeches-is called Doric, it is to be remembered that the Doricism consists in little else than the predominance of the long $\alpha$ particularly in the place of $\eta$, which was a feature of the ancient language in general, and for its dignity continued in use in sublime poetry, while in cómmon life it remained a peculiarity of the Dorians.

## PART 1.

## ACCIDENCE AND ETYMOLOGY. <br> CBADAONLR ANT PRONUNCEATYON.

## § 2.

The Greeks borrowed their characters principally from the Phenicians, as sufficiently appears from the oriental names of the letters in the Greek alphabet. They are the following;

| A | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 2 | "Adpo | Alpha |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta} 6$ | b | $\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Beta |
| F | $\gamma \Gamma$ | g | Го́мли | Gamma |
| 4 | $\delta$ | d | $\Delta^{\prime} \lambda i \tau \alpha$ | Delta |
| E | c | c | ${ }^{\text {e }}$ \% $\psi$ aióv | Epsilion |
| $Z$ | $\zeta$ | 2 | Z $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text {ta }}$ | Zeta |
| $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\eta$ | $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ | ${ }^{+H \tau \alpha}$ | Eta |
| $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ | $4 \theta$ | th - | $\Theta_{\text {®ñ }}$ | Theta |
| $I$ | $\cdots$ | i | Inota | Iota |
| K | $\boldsymbol{*}$ | k | K ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Kappa. |
| $\wedge$ | $\lambda$ | 1 |  | Lambda |
| $M$ | $\mu^{*}$ | m | M | Mu |
| $N$ | , | n | $N \boldsymbol{v}$ | Nu |
| S | $\xi$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | \% | $\mathbf{X i} \cdot$ |
| 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ |  | Omicron |
| II | $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ぁ | p | II | Pi |
| $P$ | $\rho$ | r | $\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | Rho |
| $\Sigma$ | c 5 | $s$ |  | Sigma |
| T | $t 7$ | t | Taü | Tau |
| $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\nu$ | u |  | Upsilon |
| $\Phi$ | $\varphi$ | ph | $\Psi i$ | Phi |
| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\chi$ | ch | Xis | Chi |
| $\Psi$ | $\psi$ | ps | $\Psi i$ | Psi |
| $\Omega$ | $\infty$ | $\overline{0}$ | ${ }^{\prime} \Omega \mu \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}$ | Omega |

1. The twofold mode of writing some letters is indifferently used, with the exception of $\sigma$ and $s$ : $\sigma$ is only used at the beginning and in the middle of a word, and $s$ only at the end.* The latter is not to be confounded with 5 .
2. Of the abovementioned letters, a large number of abbreviations and characters have been formed, several of which are less compendious than the common letters themselves, for which they were designed as substitutes. Their use has accordingly been much limited in modern times, and little difficulty will be found in reading recent editions of Greek authors, if the following characters are understood.

| stands for ov |  | 5 stands for $\sigma \boldsymbol{z}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | for $\sigma \theta$ | $y^{\prime}$ | for xai |
| (6) | for os | $x$ | for $\sigma X$ |

Several of the characters, so called, are mere contractions of the common letters, as $\lambda \lambda$ for $\lambda \lambda$, src.
3. The Greeks made use of the letters of their alphabet as numerical signs. To fill out the numbers the stigma 5 was introduced after $\varepsilon$, the $S$ after $\pi$, and the $\$$ after $\omega$.* All the numerals moreover, have the accent, as $\alpha^{\prime} 1, \beta^{\prime} 2,5^{\prime} 6, c^{\prime} 10$, c $\alpha^{\prime} 11, x^{\prime}$ $20, \alpha 5^{\prime} 26, \rho^{\prime} 100, \sigma^{\prime} 200, \sigma \lambda \beta^{\prime} 232, \& c$. The thousands begin with $\alpha$, but with a mark underneath, $\alpha, \beta$, \&rc. $\beta \sigma \lambda \beta^{\prime} 2232$.

## $\$ 3$.

1. It is impossible to ascertain the ancient pronunciation: Among the modes in which Greek is pronounced in modern times, two principally may be distinguished, the Erasmian and the Reuchlinian. The pronunciation adopted in England and partly in America, resembles the Erasmian most nearly in the consonants, but differs from both in the vowels. $f$

[^6]2. $\Gamma$ before another $\gamma$ and also before $x, x, \xi$; sounds like ng; e. g. Ėyyv́s, eng-gus, like ng in angler, or in the Latin word angustus;

$Z$ does not correspond to the English $z$, but has the sound of $d s$.
$K$ in Greek wonds written in Latin, even before e and $i ; i s$ represented by c; as is also the Latin crepresented in Greek by $x$; as Ki $\mu \omega \nu$ Cimon; Cicero Kıxé $\omega y$, the Romans having always pronounced their $\boldsymbol{c}$ like $\boldsymbol{k}$ before a vowel.

## § 4. division of the letters.

1. The Letters are divided into Consomants and Vowels.
2. Among the consonants are first to be distinguished the three compound letters, $\zeta, \xi, \psi$, each of which in reality consists of two letters, represented however by a simple sound; $\zeta$ of $\delta s, \xi$ of $x s$, and $\psi$ of $\pi s$.
3. The simple letters are divided, a) according to the organs with which they are pronounced, viz.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\beta, \pi, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mu, & \text { are labials. } \\
\delta, \tau, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nu, \lambda, \rho, \sigma, \text { linguals. } \\
\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}, & \text { palatics. }
\end{array}
$$

b) The letters, according to their qualities, are either

Smonvows,* which are the following, $\lambda, \mu, v, \rho$, called also, siquide, and the simple sibilant $\sigma$; or
many internal arguments against the Renchlinian. According to this propunciation $\eta$ is pronounced liks $a, \alpha \subset$ like a long, and $\varepsilon \varepsilon, 06, v$ and $v \iota$ are all pronounced like $c$, and $v$ when it is second in a diphthong, with the exception of $o v$, is pronounced like $f$, as $\alpha v^{\prime}$ ós aftos, $\mathbb{Z} \in \dot{\prime} \varsigma s$ sefs. Thin pronunciation grounds itself on the modern Greek pronunciation, though it can be proved that the latter has in the lapse of time departed from the ancient. It is called, from the multiplication of the iota sounde, iolacismus, or from the sound of $\eta$ [ $\bar{i}$ on the continent of Eprope] itacimm; the Erasmian, etacismus.

- So called by the ancients, as forming by their humming or sibilant: sound a transition to the articulate monnd of the vowels.

Mutes, which are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { aspirates } \varphi, \chi, \forall \\
& \text { medials } \beta, \gamma, \delta, \\
& \text { soft } \quad \pi, x, \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this it appears, that each organ posesesses the three mutes, and that the nine letters, arranged thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi, x, \theta, \\
& \beta, y, \delta, \\
& \pi, x, \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

correspond to each other, both in the horizontal and perpendicn-' lar directions.
4. No genuine Greek form terminates in any consonant, except $g_{4}, y_{,} \rho$; for those which end in $\xi$ and $\psi$ are to be considered as terminating in $x s$ and $\pi \varsigma$. ' $E x$ and ou'x form the only exception, and these never occur at the end of a clause.

## § 5. Diphthonas.

1. The ancient pronunciation of the diphthongs is the least known. The manner in which they were pronounced by the Romans will appear from the following examples-

| $\alpha$ | twaideos | Phædrus | Phàdrus | on the contiment of Europe. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E6 | Neìlos. | Nilus | Nêlus |  |
| - | Muxeion | Lyceum | Lycaum |  |
| 06 | Bocotia | Bæotia | Bãotia | - |
| $v$ | EinslOvia | Ilithyia |  |  |
| $\alpha \nu$ | Inaṽxos | Glaucus |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ruvoos } \\ & \boldsymbol{\eta v j o v} \end{aligned}$ | Eurus* |  |  |
| ov | Moṽ $\alpha$ | Musa. |  |  |

It is to be observed, that the Latin usage is not uniform, particularly in the case of $\varepsilon$. This appears from the different modes of


[^7]clitus, Modurksıros Polycletus, as also from the examples above given of Nilus and Lyceum. A few Greek diphthongal forms in . $\alpha \alpha, 0 i \alpha$ remain unchanged when written in Latin, except that the i probably passed into the $i$ according to Latin usage, as Maía, Axoía, Tooia, Maja, Achaja, Troja.
2. From the above mentioned diphthongs are to be distinguished those which are called improper diphthongs, which are formed by iota.subscript placed under the following vowels,
$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}
$$

The sound of these vowels is not affected by the iota subscript, which serves only to indicate the derivation of the word. Anciently perhaps it was heard in the pronunciation. The ancients moreover wrote the iota in the line, and in capital letters, this is still practised, as THI $\Sigma O \Phi 1 / A I, \tau \tilde{y} \sigma 0 \varphi i \alpha, \tau \tilde{q}$ " $A \iota \delta \eta$ or $\ddot{\phi} \partial \eta$.

## § 6. breathings.

1. Every.word beginning with a vowel has over that vowel one or the other of the two following breathings, viz.
(') The spiritus lenis or soft breathing.
( ${ }^{\text {( }) ~ T h e ~ s p i r i t u s ~ a s p e r ~ o r ~ a s p i r a t e . ~}$
The aspirate is our modeṛn $h$; the sofl breathing stands where in modern languages we simply begin with a vowel,* as
 pos Homeros, $\boldsymbol{v} \delta \omega \rho$ hudor.

The two sorts of words, for all purposes of grammar and prosody, are alike considered as beginning with a vowel.
2. In the case of a diphthong in the beginning of a word, the breathing is placed over the second vowel of the diphthong, as Eiputidns, olos. This, however, is not the case with the improp-


[^8]3. The aspirate is always attached to $\rho$ when it begins a word, and two $\rho$ in the middle of a word are thus written, $\rho \rho$. This had its foundation in the mode of pronouncing, for it was retained by


The Æolians in several words made use of the soft breathing instead of the aspirate; which was also sometimes done in the old
 $\ddot{\eta} \lambda c o s$, \&c. The 厤olians moreover had in many words a peculiar aspiration of their own, denoted by a particular letter ff, which from its form was called digamma or double gamma, and was pronounced like $v$ or $f$. It is probable that this sound wàs originally found in the Greek language.

## § 7. PROSODY.

1. Prosody, as now understood, includes only the subject of quantity, that is, the length or shortness of the syllables*.
2. Epery word and every form had for each syllable (with a few exceptions) a fixed quantity, which followed the pronunciation of common life, and which must therefore be learned, in order to pronounce correctly.
3. Quantity is denoted by two marks, (v) for short, and (-) for long, thus
$\bar{\alpha}$ short $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ long $\alpha, \overline{\tilde{a}}$ uncertain or doubtful.
4. Every syllable which cannot be proved to be Iong is to be considered short.
5. A syllable is long, first by nature, secondly by position.
6. I. A syllable is said to be long by nature, when its vowel is long, as in Latin amãre and docēre. . In Greek, this is partly ascertained in the character itself, as $\eta$ and $\omega$ are always long, $\varepsilon$ and $o$ always short. The three others

$$
\alpha, \quad \iota, \quad v
$$

[^9]are, in Greek, as in Latin all the vowels, both long and short, and for this reason are called doubtful (ancipites.)*
7. Among the sounds naturally long are also to be reckoned those, in which two vowels are united into one sound.
a) All diphthongs are accordingly long without exception, as the penult of $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon c o s$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \delta \omega$.
b) All contractions for the same reasons are long; and therefore the doubtful vowels when they serve as contractions. Thus $\alpha$ in
 for $\beta$ ót $\rho v \alpha$. This does not extend however to such contractions as are to be regarded in the light of elisions;- thas the penult of $\alpha^{\prime} \pi \alpha^{\prime} y \omega$ for $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}-\alpha_{\alpha}^{\prime} y \omega$ is short.
8. All the other cases, in which $\alpha, b$, and $v$ are long, are ascertained by usage alone, and can accordingly be leąried, for the most part, only by observing the use of them in the poets. As far as the radical syllables ate concerned, this must be acquired by every person by his own observation, with the exception of a few rules, which will presently be given. The quantity of the syllables used in the formation and inflection of the words, and the cases in which the radical syllable changes its quantity in the inflection and formation of words, are taught in their proper places in the Greek grammar.

It is moreover to be considered, that in general those cases only are noticed where in the inflection or formation of words $\alpha, c$, and $v$ are long, and syllables of which nothing is said, or where the reverse does not result from the general rule, are considered as short; as the penult of $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \tau 0 \rho, \dot{z} \tau v \psi \alpha \mu \eta \nu$; and in the formation of words, as $\xi \dot{v} \lambda \iota \nu o s, \delta i x \alpha c o \sigma v \dot{v} \eta$.
9. II. A syllable, even if its vowel be short, is long by position; that is, when it is followed by two or more consonants or a

[^10]


10, A mute before a liquid, howeever, is in general not considered in position; accordingly the penult is short in ${ }^{\alpha} \tau e x v o s, ~ d i-$
 these syllables as long, and hence it is often said that mutes beforethe liquids make the syllable doubtful.*
11. A true exception to the rule of mates and liquids is formed by the medials $\beta, \gamma, \delta$, when they precede $\lambda, \mu, \nu$, in which case they render vowels long by position. Thus in the.following words


5. The following are the most important cases, where the doubtful vowels are long in the penult, and which it is of great moment to learn correctly.

|  avcapós тıવ́ga önodós $\alpha v^{\prime} \vartheta \alpha^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \eta s$ | tattle sorromenul turban companion proud | xó $\beta$ a $\lambda$ os axoazos <br>  | knave pure mustard jaw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

with -words derived from $\tilde{\alpha}^{\gamma} \gamma \omega$ and $\check{\alpha} \gamma v \nu \mu \iota$ and ending in $\alpha \gamma \dot{\rho}$, as Loxayós captain, vatoyós a shipwrecked person.

|  | stove | o otóólıos | fruit of the pine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o xadevos | rein | $\pi$ ¢ $¢$ didov |  |
| oekelov | parsley | $\eta \chi^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ $\lambda \iota \delta \omega \nu$ | swallow |
| xípevov | cumin | \%o८vos, | labourer |
| xuxióucvov | cyclamen. |  | exact |
|  | $a x$ | аххóvıтоv | aconite', a poisa |
| sivtivn | fask |  | pickled fis |
| óxivduros | - danger | ท่ лахлข¢оя | papyrus, a reed |
| o $\beta$ óvuvos | ditch | 入áquoov | spoil |
| civoivy | account | пíveov | bran |
| $\pi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \eta$ ¢ | an old man |  | anchor |
| тȯ xè̇ıưos | shell or pod |  | bridge |
| óörılios | crowd | őlveo | a sort of grain. |

[^11]So too ioxvós strong (from ioxưou I am able.) On the other. hand éxyóos and óxupós farm (from éxow $I$ hold) have a short $v$, like other adjectives in voos.

It is safer to pronounce the following with the penult long, though they sometimes are found short:

| $\mu v o i x \eta$ | tamarisk | xdoúvn | club |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| плй $\mu \mu \nu \rho \alpha$ | flood | cogù | trowel. |

The following proper names are long in the penult : ' $\Sigma$ rivup $\alpha-$

 (Serapis.)

 тpirn.


6. The first syllable of the following words is long:

| ч̌ı̇ós, | bare | нıx¢ós | small |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ó xolós | fodder | тгMin | honour |
| - demos | hunger | $\boldsymbol{v}$ ix $\eta$ | vietory |
|  | ${ }_{\text {kkin }}$ | x $21 \nu \eta$ | couch |
| 2 2eós | simple | div $\eta$ | vartex |
| óornós | mind | ó $\mathrm{zu} \mathrm{\lambda ós}$ | chyle |
|  | pole.of carriage | ó zupos | cheese |
|  | juice | - лиขoós | wheat* |
| o zevoós | gold | ¢ ¢ 入n | tribe |
| Evvos | common | $v \lambda \eta$ | wood or matter |
| xugós | bent | גu゙tท | grief. |

Of the verbs which terminate in a simple $\omega$ attached to the radical syllable it is to be observed in general, that the $\alpha$ is short, as in $\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \omega$, $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\prime} \varphi \omega$, The $\iota$ and $v$ are long, as in $\tau \rho i \beta \omega, \sigma \dot{v} \rho \omega, \psi \dot{v}$ $\chi \omega$, excepting $\gamma \lambda \dot{v} q \omega$ I engrave, which has the $v$ short.

The case of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, i \nu \omega$, and $\dot{v} \nu \omega$ will be separately treated below.
Of the contracted verbs, the following, whose first syllable is long, require particular notice :

| x<v̇́o | 1 move | dt¢ ${ }^{\text {coum }}$ | I dip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I shudder | cuháa | I plunder |
| ouyčo | I am silent | $\varphi \nu \sigma \dot{\alpha} \omega$ | I blow. $\dagger$ |

* On the contrary $\pi \tilde{v} \rho 0 \varsigma$, the genitive of $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tilde{v} \varrho$, fire.
$\dagger$ The learner should be taught to apply these quantities to ascertain
 $\beta \omega,{ }_{z}^{z} \mu \beta \rho \vartheta \vartheta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \dot{\prime},{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \sigma v \lambda o v, \& c$. also of the proper names, as Hermotimus, Demonicus, Euphyle, \&c.

7. Some words are deserving of notice, which, being derived from verbs, instead of the long vowel of the present, take the short vowel of the second aorist. Thus some substantives in $\eta$, as $\tau \rho i \beta \dot{\eta}, \delta \iota \alpha \tau \varrho i \beta \dot{\eta}, \alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha \psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta}, \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \psi \tilde{v} \chi \dot{\eta}$. But $\psi u \dot{u} \dot{\eta}$ is long.
 $\pi \alpha \lambda c \nu \tau \rho i ̋ \beta$ ク́s.
8. The rule, that one vowel is short before another; which wants certainty in Latin, is still less certain in Greek; although a long vowel occurs seldomer before another vowel, than before a consonant. The nouns in cos, cov, co, have the $\iota$ always short, with these exceptions, where it is commonly long, viz. xadcó nest, xovi $\alpha$ dust, $\alpha^{\prime v i \alpha}$ sorrow.

The termination of the present tense in $\dot{v} \omega$ and $i \omega$ must be learned from observation. It is very often long.

The penult of the following words deserves notice, as being long :

| o $\lambda$ coós | people | $\dot{\eta} \dot{z}$ | the olive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x $\alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ for $x \alpha i \omega$ | $I$ burn | $x \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$ for $x \lambda \alpha i \omega$ | I weep |
| óvaós | temple | 'Evua' | Bellono |

Those also in $\alpha \omega \nu$ and $i \omega \nu$, which take $o$ in the genitive, such. as the comparatives like $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i \omega v$, and proper names, have their penultimate long; as $M \alpha \chi \alpha \omega \nu$, ' $A \mu v \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$, ' $A \mu q i\left(\omega \nu,{ }^{\prime}\right.$ 'ricolav,* gen. ovos. On the other hand the penult is short in Azvxadicuv, Фoouiav, gen. $\omega v 0$. Proper names in $\alpha 0 s$, compounded from
 is long, but Oivóncos is short.
9. The accents are of great use in deciding the quantity of many words.

## § 8. accents.

1. Besides the quantity of the syllables, the Greek language recognized a tone (róvos) or what we call the accents; of which, however, it is difficult, according to our ideas, to make any use in pronunciation. Inasmuch as the accent is found as often on a short syllable as a long one, we cannot express it as we usually express accent, without injuring the quantity, as in $\tau i \vartheta \eta \mu \mu$ and $\Sigma \omega x \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \xi^{\prime} \dagger$
[^12]So long therefore as it is out of our power to indicate both the quantity and the accent in our pronunciation, it is safer to follow the quantity in reading the Greek.
2. Notwithstanding this, an acquaintance with the accents is essential to a thorough knowledge of Greek; nor are they without advantage even for common use. They often indicate, by their position, the quantity of a syllable ; many words and forms of different signification, but otherwise written alike, are distinguished by the accents; and even in cases where they are not thus of immediate use, they serve to fix the laws of their position, by which we are to be guided in the cases where they are of use. The following are the chief rules relative to the accents.

$$
\$ 9
$$

1. Every Greek word, generally speaking, has the accent on one of its vowels, and this is properly the acute, ỏgeia ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \not \rho \delta i \alpha$ accent being understood, ) that is, the sharp accent, which is written thus '.
2. Of every syllable, which has not this accent, it was held by the ancients, that it received the heavy or grave accent ; that is that, in which the voice descended, $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon i ́ \alpha$ or gravis. The mark of this is ', which, however, is not in common writing affixed to the syllables to which it belongs.
3. A long vowel, moreover, may receive the circumflex, called in Greek $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \eta$, that is wound about, and written thus . Such a syllable is to be considered as composed of two short vowels drawn together, of which one has the acute and the other the grave accent ; thus $\dot{0} \dot{0}$, whence $\tilde{\omega}$. When, however, two short vowels marked thus òo pass into one, it is written $\omega^{\prime}$.
$\$ 10$.
4. The accent, acute, grave, or circumflex, can only be placed on one of the three last syllables of the word; the circumflex only on one of the two last.*

[^13]2. The character of the last syllable, in respect to the accent, gives a name to the whole word. According as this syllable has, 1st the acute, 2d the circumflex, or 3d the grave, the wond is called

- '

| Ox | Ocós, ös, tervpwis |
| :---: | :---: |
| Perispomeńon, as | ¢ $\lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}, \nu 0 \tilde{v}$ |
| Barytone; as | $\tau v \pi \tau \omega, \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha, \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ |

Thus barytone verbs are distinguished from the contracts, which are perispomena or circumflexed.
3. Again all barytones, which are dissyllables or polysyllables, according as they have the acute, 1st upon the penult, 2d the antepenult, or 3d the circumflex on the penult, are called

Paroxytona, as . . $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega, \tau \varepsilon \tau v \mu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o s$

Properispomena, as $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$, $\varphi \iota \lambda o \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$.
4. Barytones of one syllable, or words wholly without accent, are the following, all beginning with a vowel:

And these nominatives of the prepositive article,

$$
\dot{0}, \quad \dot{\eta}, \quad 0 i, \quad \alpha i .
$$

When it is said that these words are without accent, it is meant that they take none, in their connexion with other words. But when at the end of a sentence, or after a word dependent on them in the construction, they are sometimes written with the acute;


$$
\text { § } 11 .
$$

When an oxytone precedes in connexion other words, the acute accent is considered as softened into the grave, and the ' is changed into the ', which, except in this case, is never written. But. at the end of a sentence, or before a period, or colon, the mark of the acute accent is retained, thus

Thesinterrogative $\tau d s, \tau l$, is the only exception to this rule, as will appear in its place.

[^14]
## § 12. PLACE OF THE ACCENT.

On what syllable each word receives the accent, is best to be learned from observation and the lexicon. The following rules, however, particularly in reference to the choice between the two kinds of accent, may be applied with advantage.

1. The circumflex requires a rowel long in itself, and not
 $\sigma \mu \tilde{\eta} \gamma \mu \alpha$. Also $\tau<\mu \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon, \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \pi \tilde{v} \rho$, for in these words the doubtful $\alpha, c, v$, are long. A short vowel can accordingly receive no


This furnishes an instance of the use of the accents in determining the quantity of the doubtful vowels; for since a circumflex cannot stand over a vowel which is merely long by position, the $\alpha$ in $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$ and $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\alpha}$ is recognized as long in itself.
2. The acute accent may also stand on a long vowel, as $x \varepsilon v \omega^{\prime}-$

3. The cases in which the last syllable, being naturally long, receives the circumflex, can only be learned from the lexicon and some rules which are to follow in other parts of the grammar, especially the rules of contraction and declination.
4. If the penult, when long by nature, is accented, it must always be with the circumflex, whenever the last syllable is short, or long by position only, as $\dot{\varrho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, oivocs, $\psi \tilde{v} \chi o s, \beta \tilde{\omega} \lambda \alpha \xi$ (G. $\dot{\alpha} \times 0 \varsigma \cdot$ )

This accent shows the learner that the $\alpha$ in $\mu \alpha$. and $\alpha \xi$ of these words is short, and the $v$ in $\psi \tilde{v}$ zos long.

This rule; however, does not apply to the cases where an enclitic forms a part of the word. We accordingly write circ, ov̀rz, $\omega ̈ s \pi \varepsilon \rho, \ddot{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma$, $\tau 0 \dot{v} \varsigma \delta \varepsilon, \& c$.

The only real exceptions are words formed of $\varepsilon i$ and $\nu a i$ by protracting them, as $\varepsilon \ddot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon$ voould that, and valx\& yes certainly.*
5. If the last syllable is long by nature, a circumflex cannot stand on the penult; for instance $\varrho \rho^{\prime} r \omega \rho, o^{\prime \prime} \nu \eta, \psi v^{\prime} \chi \omega, \vartheta \omega^{\prime} \varrho \alpha \xi$ (G. $\bar{\alpha} \times 0 \mathrm{~s}$.)

[^15]6. On the antepenult no accent but the acute can stand. If the last syllable is long, whether by nature or by position, the antepenult can receive, no accent whatever; accordingly we write $\Sigma \omega x \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \varsigma, \sigma v \lambda \lambda \dot{\gamma} \omega, \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \subset \beta \tilde{\omega} \lambda \alpha \xi$.
7. The terminations $\alpha \iota$ and $o c$, however, though long in themselves, are regarded as short in reference to the preceding rules.
 $\dot{\pi} \rho о \varphi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s)$ and $\pi \tilde{\omega} \lambda \sigma \iota, \& c$.

Exceptions to this principle are, (1) The third person of the the optative in $o c$ and $\alpha \ell$, as qei'yoc, $\pi о \cdot \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \iota$; (2) The adverb oitxoc at home, although oixoc houses follows the rule; (3) The words compounded of enclitics, as oíhoc wooe is me.

The $\omega$ in the terminations of the Attic declension, though long, also admits an accent on the antepenult, as $\pi \delta \delta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \omega^{-}$ $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \nu$; also the Ionic genitive in $\varepsilon \omega$.

From the preceding rules, the use of the accents in ascertaining the quantity of many words is apparent, e. g.

1. The circumflex shows the syllable on which it stands to be long.
2. By rule 4, the acute in such words as xooxivos, $\beta$ cidoov, shows that the penult is short.
3. The accent of such words as $\pi \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha, \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho o v \rho \alpha$ shows by 4 and 6 that the last syllable of these words is short.
4. The acute on the penult of $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha, \mathcal{\eta} \dot{\delta} \delta \alpha \& c$. shows by 4,5 , that the last syllable of these words is long.

Even in words and forms, from whose own accent no immediate inference as to their quantity can be drawn, the comparison with other words will furnish us often with a direction. Thus

 xos from $\delta i x \eta$, $\delta i x \alpha e$. But the circumflex on monosyllables will afford no inference with respect to the lengthened forms, because monosyllable nominatives of the third declension are always long;


## § 13. change of the accent by inflection.

When a word undergoes a change by declension, conjugation, or in any other way, the accent is variously affected.

1. The accent is necessarily affected by such a change, when the word is so altered by this change, that the accent cannot remain as it was, without a violation of the above rules. E.g.

The circumflex must pass into the acute; as oinvós, oìvov


The acute must pass into the circumflex, as $\varphi \in \dot{\prime} \dot{\prime} \omega$, imperative $\varphi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon$ ( $\oint$ 12.4.)

Or the acute must pass from the antepenult to the penult, as

2. But even when the accent might have remained as it was, without violating the rules, though not altered indeed in this case, yet it is often made to change its place. E. g.

It is thrqwon back, either when any addition is made to the be-
 —ácaidsutes,-or when the cause is removed, which in the radical form fixed it to the penult, as $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega, \pi \alpha i \delta \varepsilon v e$.

It is thrown forward, principally when the word receives one of the terminations which are marked with an accent, either al-


## § 14. ENCLITICS.

1. There are a number of words, which, considered in themselves, have an accent like others, but which-some always, some commonly, and some often-connect themselves so closely by sense and pronunciation to the preceding word, as to throw their accent upon it. This is called $\tilde{z}^{\prime} \gamma x \lambda e \sigma \iota s$ or inclinatio toni, and the words subject to this inclination are called enclitics.*
2. The following are enclitics.
a) The indefinite pronoun $x i s, \pi i$, in all the cases, with the forms $\tau 0 \tilde{u}$ and $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ belonging to it.
b) The following oblique cases of the personal pronouns, $\mu \circ \tilde{\nu}$ $\mu 0 i, \mu \varepsilon^{\prime}, \sigma o \tilde{v}, \sigma o i, \sigma \varepsilon^{\prime}, o j, o i, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \mu i \nu, \nu i v$, and some of those which' begin with $\sigma \varphi$.
c) The present indicative of $\dot{\varepsilon}\langle\mu i$ and $\varphi \eta \mu i$, with the exception of the monosyllabic second person singular.

[^16] are distinguished only by their enclitic accent, from the corresponding interrogatives $\pi \tilde{\omega}$, nóv $\varepsilon$, \&c.
e) The particles $\pi a^{\prime}, \tau \varepsilon^{\prime}, \tau o i^{\prime}, \vartheta \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu, \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime}, x \varepsilon^{\prime}$ or $x \not \xi^{\prime} \nu, \nu \dot{u}$ or $\nu \dot{v}^{\prime}, *$ $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \varrho, \varrho \dot{\alpha}$, with the inseparable particle $\delta \varepsilon$.
3. If the preceding word be a proparoxytone, as $\alpha \nu \hat{\jmath} \rho \omega \pi 0 s$, or a properispomenon, as $\sigma \tilde{j} \mu \alpha$, the accent of the enclitic is thrown upon the last syllable of such preceding word, but always as an acute, whatever be the accent of the enclitic in itself considered. Thus ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi o ́ s ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau c, ~ \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu 0 v$.

If the preceding word has no accent, as $\varepsilon i$, it takes that of the enclitic, as $\varepsilon \iota \not \tau \ell s$.
4. If the preceding word has already an accent on the last syllable, or an acute on the penult, this accent serves for the enclitic. Moreover in this case the acute accent on the last syllable, instead of being written like the grave, as it would otherwise be according to $\S 11$, is written as the acute, as $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \eta^{\prime} \rho \tau<s^{\cdot}$ xai $\sigma 0 \iota^{\prime}$ $\varphi \iota \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon^{*} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \alpha \iota x \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu^{*} \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{*} \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \iota$.
5. If, however, the enclitic be a dissyllable, the accent of the preceding paroxytone will not thus suffice for it, and it retaips its own accent, as $\lambda o ́ y o s ~ \pi o \tau \varepsilon^{\prime}, \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha \nu \tau i o s ~ \sigma q^{\prime} i \sigma \iota v$, which is also done when the preceding word undergoes an apostrophe, as $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon i \sigma i \nu$.
6. If one enclitic follows another, in general, the first, while it throws its own accent on the preceding word, takes on itself the accent of the second, and the second of the third, and so on; so that the last enclitic alone remains without accent, as $\varepsilon \ddot{\imath} \tau i ́ s \tau \iota \nu \alpha$ $\varphi \eta \sigma i \mu 0 \iota \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon i \nu \alpha \iota$.
7. The enclitics remain orthotone, only when some peculiar emphasis lies on them; and as this can never be the case with many of these particles, they are never found but as enclitics.

As many of these enclitics are so closely united with the preceding word as to constitute but one, and to have, as such, an ascertained sense, it is usual to write them as one, as $\dot{\omega} s \tau \varepsilon, o \ddot{v} \tau \varepsilon$,


[^17]must be carefully distinguished from the conjunction dé but, occurs

§ 15. STOPS AND MARKS.

1. The period and comma are used in writing Greek as in Eng-lish.-The colon and semicolon are not distinguished from each other, but are both written by a point at the top of the line, as ovंx $\eta^{3} \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \nu^{\cdot} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$-. The note of interrogation is the semicolon (;) of the modern languages.
2. The comma is not to be confounded with the diastole or hypodiastole, which serves to indicate more distinctly certain words compounded of enclitics, and to discriminate them from words not otherwise different; thus $0 \ddot{o}, \tau \iota$ neuter from östcs, and $\tau 0, \tau \varepsilon$ and that, to distinguish them from the particles öre and cóve.

Apostrophe, (').
Diæresis (..) placed over a vowel which does not form a., diphthong with the vowel that precedes it, as öiç a sheep, ro $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ứ } \\ & \text { S }\end{aligned}$ mild, pronounced o-is and pra-us.

## § 16. change of letters; consonants.

1. In the formation and inflection of words in Greek many changes take place, principally for the sake of euphony, which often make it hard to trace the root, but which still are commonly made on fixed principles.
2. Those consonants, which are of the same organ, or of the same corresponding character in different organs, are prone to pass into each other, when a change takes place in the inflection.
3. This is the foundation of the diversity of the dialects, as the following sketch will show.

Rem. 1. The dialects interchange frequently:
a) The aspirates with each other, as $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, Att. $\varphi \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, to crush.
b) The medials, as $\gamma \lambda \dot{\eta} \chi \omega v$, Att. $B \lambda \dot{\eta} \chi \omega v$, pennyroyal ; for $\gamma \dot{\eta}$, the old Dor. is $\delta \tilde{\alpha}$, earth.
c). The smooth; thus the interrogative and kindred forms, instead of the common $\pi$, as in $\pi 0 \tilde{v}_{2} \pi \omega \underline{s}, \pi 0 i o s, o \pi o i o s, ~ \pi \omega \prime$, \&c. have with the Ionians always $x$, as $x o \tilde{v}, x \omega s, x o i ̃ o s, o x o i o s, x \omega$. So too for $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \varepsilon$ five, the 左olics say $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \varepsilon$.
 the lonics for $\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ said $\pi \lambda \varepsilon v i \mu \omega \nu$ lungs. The Ionic $\mu i \nu$ him is with the Dorics and Attics viv.
e) The letters of the same organ. Thus the Attics preferred yvapsús to $x \nu \alpha \varphi \varepsilon u^{\prime}$ a fuller; and the Ionians occasionally changed the aspinate into the corresponding smooth, as $\delta^{\prime} x o \mu \alpha \iota$ for $\delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi o-$
 yos, asparagus.
f) The $\sigma$ with the other linguals, particularly with $\tau$, as for $\sigma v$, Doric $\tau v$, thou;
with $\nu$, as for the ending $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, the Dorics have $\mu \varepsilon \varsigma$, as $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \pi r o-$ $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, Doric $\tau$ v́тго $\mu \varepsilon$.
g) The double letters with the corresponding single ones, particularly, $\delta$ with $\zeta$, as $\zeta o ́ n \xi$ another form of $\delta o ́ \rho \xi$ a roe; $\mu u{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime \prime} \dot{\prime} \alpha$ Doric for $\mu \alpha{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \alpha$ dough, \&c. Still more commonly for $\zeta$, in the middle of a word, the Dorics make use of $\sigma \delta$; as $\sigma v \rho i \sigma \delta \omega$ for $\sigma u \rho i \xi \omega, I$ whistle.
2. We must not, however, think that these or any similar changes prevail throughout a dialect without exception. The dialects have only a tendency toward certain changes, which we must make use of to explain the cases, that actually occur. Sometimes the change takes place only in a single case, as for instance for $\sigma \dot{v} v$ the old form is $\xi \dot{v} v$, which change of $\sigma$ and $\xi$ is found in the beginning of no other word whatever. Two changes are so frequent as to deserve specification, viz.
$\tau \tau$ and $\sigma \sigma$
$\varrho \varrho($ and $\rho \sigma$
of which $\tau \tau$ and $\varrho \varrho \varrho$ are favourite forms of the Attic dialect, and $\sigma \sigma$ and $\rho \sigma$ of the Tonic. Thus

| Att. | Ion. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| то́ztstv | т $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ | to arrange |
| $\gamma^{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \tau \alpha$ | $\gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ | tonyue |
|  | $\propto 0 \sigma \eta \nu$ | male |
| xóó¢ | xógon | cheek. |

The Ionic forms of these words are, found, however, occasionally in Attic writers.

## $\oint 17$. THE ASPIRATES.

1. Each aspirate may be considered as having had its origin in the kindred smooth mute, combined with the rough breathing; hence the mode of writing them in Latin $p h$, th, ch.
2. When therefore in the composition of words a smooth mute comes in contact with the rough breathing, an aspirated letter is formed; thus the words $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi l, \delta_{\dot{\prime} x}^{\prime} \alpha, \alpha \dot{v} \tau o^{\prime}$, after an elision of their last syllables, form in combination with $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \alpha ~ d a y, \dot{\varepsilon} q \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho 0 s, \delta \varepsilon-$ $\chi \eta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \rho о s, \alpha v^{\prime} \vartheta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho o s$.
3. The same happens in two words not thus combined; thus 'oúx becomes ou' $\mathcal{X}$ in $o v^{\prime} \chi \dot{o} \sigma / \omega s$,-and with the addition of an apostrophe $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi \dot{o}^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$, becomes $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime}$, as $\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime}$ o $\dot{v}$, and $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} v \tau i, \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} v \tau^{\prime}$, becomes $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{*}$, as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \vartheta^{\dot{*}} \omega^{\dot{\omega}} \nu$.

But the Ionians retain the smooth mute in both cases, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\circ}$ ő $\sigma 0 \nu, \mu \varepsilon \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \alpha \iota$ for $\mu \varepsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha^{\circ}$ from iot $\alpha \nu \alpha \iota$.

$$
\oint 18
$$

1. It was a principle in the Greek language that two successive syllables' should not each begin with an aspirate. This rule was not without exception; where it operates, however, the first aspirate is usually changed into the corresponding smooth mute. Thus from $\varphi<\lambda \varepsilon i v$ and $\chi \omega \rho \varepsilon i v$ are formed, in the reduplication, $\pi \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \eta x \alpha$, and $x \varepsilon \chi \omega^{\prime} \rho \eta \chi \alpha$, instead of $\varphi \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \eta x \alpha$ and $\chi \varepsilon \chi \omega^{\prime} \rho \eta x \alpha$.

- 2. Some few words have, in their radical form; two successive aspirates, of which the first agreeably to this rule a passed into the $^{\text {p }}$ corresponding smooth mute. But in those parts of the word, where the second aspirate undergoes a change by other laws of inflection, the first aspirate returns. E. g.

From the root $\Theta P E \Phi$ is the present tense roźqw Inourish; future $\vartheta \varrho \dot{z} \psi \omega$. Derivátives, $\tau \varrho \circ \varrho \eta \eta^{\prime}, \vartheta \varrho \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \varrho \iota \nu, \vartheta \varrho z^{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha$.

From the root $\Theta P E X$, present rė́ $\chi \omega$ I run; future middle

3. In a few words of this kind, the first aspirate retains its place in the leading forms, such as the nominative of a noun and the present of a verb, and not in the derivatives. E. g.

From the root $\Theta P I X$, nom. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{i \xi}$ hair, gen. roixjos, dat. pl. $\vartheta \rho \iota \xi i v . ~ D e r i v a t i v e ~ r \rho \iota x o ́ \omega . ~$

From the root $\Theta \boldsymbol{A} \Phi$, pres. $\boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ I bury, aorist pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} q \eta \nu$. Derivative $\tau \dot{\alpha} \underline{q} 0 \varsigma$.
4. The second of two aspirates is seldom thus changed. It is regularly done, however, in the imperatives in $\vartheta \iota$; as $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \iota$, चv́q-


Rem. 1. In some words the Ionics change one of the aspirates and the Attics the other; thus ó $\chi \iota \tau \omega_{\nu} \nu_{\text {, }}$ lon. $x \iota \theta \omega v, a$ garment; $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \nu$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha$, Ion. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{v} \tau \varepsilon v, \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$.

Rem. 2. The passive ending $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \eta \nu$, with its derivatives, has the effect of changing the preceding aspirate into a smooth mute only in the verbs $\hat{\vartheta} \dot{v} \varepsilon \iota \nu$ to sacrifice, and $\theta \varepsilon \tilde{i} v a c ~ t o ~ p l a c e ; ~ a s ~ ह ै ं \tau v ่ \vartheta \eta v, ~$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\vartheta} \eta \nu, \tau \varepsilon \vartheta \varepsilon i \prime$. In all other verbs, no change is effected in this
 $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{\prime} \lambda \hat{\vartheta} \eta v$. Morover in most of the remaining cases of derivation and composition the same license prevails, and we say $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \chi^{\prime}$ -


Rem. 3. This rule perhaps extended not only to the aspirated letters, but to the rough breathing, which it turned into the smooth breathing. Of this, however, there is but one trace remaining, viz. from the root ' $E X$ is formed present $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega$ I have, fut. $\tilde{\xi} \xi \infty$, derivative éxcixós, where the aspirated breathing is changed into the smooth breathing in the present, on account of the following
 where $\xi$ and $x$ take the place of $x$.
§ 19. multiplication of consonants. -

1. The Greeks avoided every roughness arising from the sequence of consonants not easily pronounced together.
2. In pursuance of this, three consonants, or one with a double consonant, can never (except in the case of composition like digs-
 last be a liquid, or a $\gamma$ before the palatics $\gamma, x, \chi$, as $\pi \varepsilon \mu \varphi \boldsymbol{v} i($, oxi ${ }^{\prime} \varrho o s, \tau \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \xi \xi \omega$. In other cases such a concurrence is avoided or a letter dropped.
3. But a roughness may be produced even by the concurrence of two consonants, which is avoided by the application of the following rules.

Rem. 1. In a few rare cases the pronunciation is relieved, by introducing a third consonant. As when e. g. the liquid $\mu$ or $\nu$, by omission of a vowel, comes to stand directly before $\lambda$ or $\rho$, then the middle mute corresponding to the first of them ( $\beta, \delta$ ) is interposed;
 arose the epic form $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, , and $\alpha v \eta \eta_{0}$ man has in the genitive ávdoós.

Rem. 2. A consonant is sometimes, but not often, by transposition, placed where it will be more easily pronounced, as én $\rho \alpha \theta 0 y$ from $\pi \in e_{\rho} \neq 0$, and $x a \rho \delta i a$, epic form nocơin heart.

$$
\$ 20 .
$$

1. When' two mutes of a different organ meet, it is the rule that before a smooth mute nothing can stand but another smooth, before an aspirate nothing. but another aspirate, before a middle nothing
 pós.
2. When therefore, in the formation of words, two mutes of an unlike character meet, the former commonly assumes the character of the latter. Thus by adding the termination ェós; $\delta \eta v, \vartheta \varepsilon i s$,
 I twine, $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \chi \vartheta q$ is.
3. But of two like mutes already combined, one alone cannot be changed, but always both together, as from $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$, óxtá are
 cond, by the addition of the rough breathing, becomes an aspirate, the first becomes an aspirate atso; thus from $\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{z} \rho \alpha$ is
 wohole ńight.*
4. The preposition $\langle x$ alone remains unaltered before all con-

[^18]
## $\oint 21$.

1. The doubling of the same consonant is not very common in Greek. It takes place most frequently in the liquids, and next, to them, in $\tau$.
2. When $\rho$ stands at the beginning of a word, if a simple vowel is made to precede it in composition or inflection, the $\rho$ is usu-
 $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \rho \varrho \varrho 00 s$ from $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ́$ and $\varrho \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega} \omega$. This, however, does not hold in the case of diphthongs, as $\varepsilon v \ddot{\varrho} \omega \sigma \tau 0 s$ from $\varepsilon \tilde{u}^{3}$ and $\oint \omega^{\prime} \nu v u \mu c$.
3. The aspirates are never doubled, but instead thereof an aspirate must be preceded by the kindred mute; thus $\Sigma \alpha \pi \varphi \omega^{\prime}, B \alpha^{\prime} x-$


Rem. 1. Those peets who do not use the Attic dialect, double a consonant very often for the sake of the metre, as ö $\sigma \sigma o v$, öx $\bar{\tau}, z^{\prime \prime} \nu-$ $\nu \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$, for öбov, \&c. This however is not wholly arbitrary, but takes place often in some words, and never in athers, as ćz $^{\prime \prime}$, ére$\rho o s, \alpha \mu \alpha, \alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu 0 s$.

Rem. 2. The poets also make use of the opposite practice, in employing the single consonant, where the common dialect has

§ 22.
When $\beta ; \pi, \varphi$, and $\gamma, x, \chi$, come before $\sigma$, they pass with the $\dot{\sigma}$ into the kindred double consonant $\psi$ or $\dot{\xi}$. Thus, in the future ending, which is regularly $\sigma \omega$, are made from $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi-\omega$, $\lambda \varepsilon i \psi \omega$, from $\lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega, \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \xi \omega$, from $j \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega, \gamma \varrho \alpha \dot{\psi} \omega$, from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon i \chi \omega, \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \xi \omega, \& c$. And in. the ending $\sigma \iota$ and $\sigma \iota \nu$ of the dative plural, we find from " $\mathcal{A} \rho \alpha-$ $\beta \varepsilon \varsigma, " A \rho \alpha \psi \iota$, from $x \delta \varrho \alpha x \varepsilon \varsigma, x \circ \rho \rho \xi \iota \nu$.

$$
\$ 23
$$

1. Before $\mu$, in the middle of a word, the labials are uniformly changed into $\mu$; thus in the perfect tense passive, from $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$, $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon}$ $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu-\mu \alpha \varepsilon$. So too from $\tau \rho i \beta \omega, \tau \rho i \mu \mu \alpha$, from $\gamma \varrho \alpha \dot{q} \varphi \omega, \gamma \varrho \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\eta}$.
2. The palatics and linguals are often changed before $\mu,-x$ and $\chi$ into $\gamma$, and $\delta, \vartheta, \tau, \zeta$, into $\sigma$; thus $\pi \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} x \omega \pi \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \mu \mu \alpha, \tau \varepsilon v^{\chi} \chi \omega \tau \varepsilon^{\prime}-$

§ 24.
The linguals $\delta, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \zeta$, can only stand before liquids. They are dropped before $\sigma$, as $\ddot{\phi} \delta \omega \omega \ddot{\psi} \sigma \dot{\omega}, \pi \varepsilon i \vartheta \omega$ $\pi \varepsilon i \sigma \omega$, $\sigma \omega_{\mu}^{\prime} \mu \tau \alpha \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$,


Before other linguals they are changed into $\sigma$; thus $\ddot{\eta} \delta \omega \ddot{\eta} \sigma$ -

§ 25.

1. The $\nu$ remains unaltered, in general, only before $\delta, \vartheta$, and $\tau$. Before the, labials it is changed into $\mu$, and before the palatics into $\gamma$, pronounced as ng. Accordingly in composition ov́v with and



- An apparent exception is made in the enclitics, which are not considered as forming one word sufficiently to authorize the change of the $\nu$; thus we write zovy, öv $\nu \varepsilon \rho$.

2. Before one of the liquids, the $v$ passes over into the same


But the preposition $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu$ commonly remains unchanged before $\rho$, аз $\grave{v} ข \varrho \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega$.
3. Before $\sigma$ and $\zeta$, the $\nu$ in composition is sometimes retained, sometimes changed into $\sigma$, and sometimes dropped. In inflections the $\boldsymbol{v}$ is commonly dropped before $\sigma$, as in the dative plural, $\delta \alpha l$ $\mu 0 \nu-\varepsilon \xi \delta \alpha i \mu 0-\sigma \nu, \mu \tilde{\eta}-\varepsilon \xi \rho \eta$ - $\sigma i \nu$.
4. When after the $\boldsymbol{\nu}$; a $\delta, \boldsymbol{\theta}$, or $\tau$, has been omitted before $\sigma$ (by § 24.) the short vowel is made long, as $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau-\varepsilon \varsigma \tilde{\alpha}-\sigma v$, , $v \dot{\psi} \psi \alpha v-$ $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma, \tau v ं \psi \bar{\alpha} \sigma u$, for which end $\varepsilon$ passes into $\varepsilon \ell$, and $\circ$ into $o v$, as


Rem. 1: The exceptions to these rules, as $\pi$ típavad ( 2 pers. perf. pass. of $q \alpha i \nu \omega)$ are rare, and aqre learned by observation:

Rem. 2. Before $\sigma$ and $\zeta$, $\bar{z} \nu$ is always unchanged, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \sigma \varepsilon i \omega$. $\Sigma_{v i v}$ changes its $\nu$ into $\sigma$ before a single $\sigma$, as $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau i \alpha$, but if another consonant follow, and also before $\zeta$, the $\nu$ is dropped, as $\sigma \dot{v}$ $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha, \sigma v \sigma x c \dot{\alpha} 5 \omega, \sigma \nu \zeta v \gamma i \alpha$.

## § 26. change of vowels.

1. No certain laws regulate the change of the vowels, in the formation and inflection of Greek words. It includes under it the lengthening and shortening of squads; since it rarely happens that when $\varepsilon$ or o for instance, from auy cause are lengthened, that they pass into $\eta$ or $\omega$, but generally into $\varepsilon \iota$ or $o v$.

These changes also, like those of the consonants, can be best observed, in the comparison of dialects.

Rem. 1. The Ionics are prone to lengthen the $\varepsilon$ and $o$ of the other dialects, but principally only, when a semi-vowel follows;

 $\mu \alpha$ name, лоגv's much, xóoŋ maiden; or when the $\varepsilon$ is followed by another vowel, as xpúc-ccos for-zos golden; which licenses are particularly abundant in the poets. But this is not wholly arbitrary, as there are some words never subjected to this license, such as $\pi \dot{i} \ell e s, ~$ róvos, $\mu$ évos, $\pi \in \rho l$, \&c.

Rem. 2. When $\alpha$ and $o$ are lengthened by the Ionians; they' pass into $\alpha \iota$ and $o t$, as $\alpha \in r o ́ s \cdot c a g l e, ~ \dot{\alpha} \in i$ always ; Ionic, wierós, wici. So nóa grass, Ionic noin.

Rem. 3. In other cases, the reverse is practised by the Ionics;

 the accusative in ovs the Dorics use os. See below in Declension If.

Rem. 4. In other cases, the Dorics for o and ov make frequent
 deṽ̉os' a slave.

Rem. 5. The $\eta$ in most cases had ite origin in $\alpha$, which prevailed in the ancient Greek language, and remained also afterwards the characteristic sound of the Doric diatect, which commonly
 port, $\sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha} \nu \alpha<$ for $\sigma \tau \eta \eta^{2} \alpha c$ to stand. ... (See § 1. 11.)

Rem. 6. The Ionics, on the other hand, preferred the $\eta$ and

 tive $\vartheta \hat{\omega} \rho \dot{\alpha} \alpha 0 \varsigma)$, $\pi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$, $\pi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \gamma \mu \alpha$, for $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega, \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$.

Rem. 7. It is a pecullarity of the Attic dialect borrowed from the Tonic, when $\alpha$ long stands before $\theta$, to change the $\alpha$ into $\varepsilon$ and
 $\nu \varepsilon \omega^{\prime}$.

Rem. 8. The Ionic dialect frequently changes the short a into
$\varepsilon$ before liquids and before vowels, as̀ véocegcs for zz' $\sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \in s$, four;
 na; and in the verbs in $\alpha \omega$. In other cases $\alpha$ is used for $\varepsilon$, as



Rem. 9. In the compounds of avixós self, and the words $\theta \alpha \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ wonder, and $\tau \varrho \alpha \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ wound, the Ionics change $\alpha v$ into $\omega v$, as $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \varepsilon-$


Rxm. 10. Other changes are the following; $\pi \rho \mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}$ the first,
 $\mu \propto$ name, Æolic ö̀vu

## §27: PURE vOWELS; CONTRAGTIONS.

1. A vowel immediately preceded by another vowel, in the same ward, is called a pure poweh, being pronounced without the aid of a consonant; and particularly the terminations in $\alpha, o s$, and $\omega$, are called pure, when another vowel precedes, as in oogi $\alpha$, סiz_lóos, gulíco.
2. The characteristic difference of the Ionic and Attic dialects is, that the former, in most cases, seeks the concurrence of vown els, and the latter avoids them.
3. The common means by which the Attic dialect avoids them are the following, viz.
I. Elioion, by which one vowel is cast away and the other retained.
II. Contraction, by which several vowels are drawn into one long sound. This takes place principally in the formation and in_ flection of words, according to the following principles.
a) Two vowels form of themselves a diphthong; thus $\varepsilon t$ and


The other proper diphthongs have generally a different origin; but the improper diphthangs may all be considered as formed by


b) Two.vowels pass into a kindred long vowel or diphthong; and generally as follows:
$\eta$ from $\varepsilon \alpha-\tau \varepsilon i \chi \varepsilon \alpha \tau \varepsilon i \chi \eta, x \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \tilde{\eta} \rho$ heart.




c) The doubtful vowels $\alpha, \iota, v$, when they are short, absorb



d) A long sound absorbs a short vowel, without farther change.* This is particularly the case with the following, viz.

With $\varepsilon$ both before and after almost every long sound, as quitcos


With $\alpha$ and $o$ principally by kindred sounds and by $\omega$, as $\tau \iota$


4. When a diphthong compounded with $\iota$, the improper diphthongs not excepted, is to be contracted with a preceding vowel, the two first vowels undergo a change, according to the preceding rules, and the $\iota$ either becomes subscript, as $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \pi \tau-\varepsilon \alpha \iota \tau \dot{v} \pi \tau-\eta$, $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon i-$
 $\iota$ is dropped if the contracted sound is not of a nature to admit $\iota$


Rem. 1. Such are the regular contractions; but several exceptions to these rules occur, as will be seen in their places. The Ionics particularly neglect the contraction, and resolve a long sound into its original amponent parts, as 2 pers. sing. pass. $\tau \cup \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi-$
 is commonly still farther contracted into $\pi 0 \Omega \eta$ ), \&ec. Many of these forms are common to both the Ionic and Doric dialects.

Rem. 2. The tendency of the Ionic dialect to resolve the long sounds is the source of the separation of the vowels in the diphthongs, which prevails among the epic poets in certain words, as

[^19]




Rem. 3. The Ionics sometimes produce a concurrence of vowels, by thrusting out the consonants which separate them, as $\tau t^{-}$ oxos for tí $\rho$ azos.

Rem. 4. There are nevertheless cases, where the Ionics contract and the Attics do not; as ioós (with 6 long) Ionic for iceós sacred. The Ionics and Dorics have also a contraction, peculiar

 monly used.

## Accent of contracted syllables.

Rem. 5. When of the two syllables to be contracted, neither has the accent, the syllable formed by the contraction generally


Rem. 6. If however one of the syllables to be contracted has an accent, the contracted syllable is accented; if the penuit or antepenult, it is accented according to the rules in § 10 and $\S 12$; if the last syllable, it is accented with the circumflex in almost every case, as voos voũs, notéo riocow.

## § 28. hiatus and crisis.

1. When one 'word ends with a vowel and the next begins with one, whether aspirated or not, an effect called hiatus is observed, which was still less agreeable, purticularly to the Attics, than the concurrence of vowels in the middle of a word.
2. This hiatus was accordingly avoided in poetry, particularly in the Attic poetry. Even in prose, with the exception of the lonic writers, its frequent recurrence was disliked. The principal means of avoiding it were, first synalœphe, or the union of the two syllables in one; and secondly the addition of a consonant, as the $\boldsymbol{v}$, called $\boldsymbol{v}$ द̀q $\varepsilon \lambda x u \sigma x i x o \dot{v}$.
3. The synalœphe is of two kinds, viz.
a) Elision, where one vowel is wholly dropped.
b) Crasis, where the vowels form a long one. This last, particularly in prose, has a very limited application.

Rem. 1. Crasis, over which a comma or smqoth breathing (') is commonly placed as a sign, is oftenest used in the article and
in the conjunction $x \alpha l$. It is governed, for the most part, by the rules given above for the regulation of contractions in the mid-
 övo $\mu \alpha, \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ for $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\mu} \mu \dot{\alpha}, \tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ for $\tau \dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{v} \alpha \dot{\alpha}$. So by the Ionics
 commonly absorbs every, rowel in the article, as to cod $\alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\prime}$ be-


Rem. 2. A syllable contracted by crasis, is, of necessity long,
 xai doper $\eta$. The c'subscript is used only when, besides the contraction, the $\iota$ is still found'in the last syllable, as uai $\varepsilon i \tau \alpha, x \not{ }^{\circ} \tau \alpha$.

Rex. 3. Some of the most common instances of crasis, which at the same time most need explanation to the learner, are tyoj-



## § 29. $\triangle$ POSTROPHE.

1. By elision in Greek; as in other languages, the short vowel at the end of the word, when the next begins with a vowel, is cut off. To denote this a comma ( ${ }^{\circ}$.) is placed over the space thus left vacant, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \mu 0 \hat{v}$ for $\dot{\xi} \pi \bar{z} \dot{\xi} \mu 0 \tilde{v}$; and when the vowel of the second word has the rough breathing, the smooth mute of the first is aspirated (§ 17. 2.) as $\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime}$ ov̉ for $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ ov́.
2. In prose it is only certain words of frequent recurrence,

 frequent combinations, as $\nu \dot{\eta}\left\langle l \alpha, \nu \dot{\eta}^{\prime}\left\langle i^{\prime}\right.\right.$ by Jove; $\pi \alpha v \tau^{\prime} \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ for $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \nu$, \&cc. In other cases it is rare, in most wholly unused, particularly in Ionic prose. The poets, on the other hand, avail themselves of this license in the case of almost all the short vowels. Only the short $v$, monosyllables 'in $\alpha, i, o$, and the preposition $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$, are never elided.

Rem. 1. If the vowel cut off had an accent this aocent in par-
 from ou $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t} \text { t. }}$. In every other sort of word, the accent passes to the preceding sÿllable, and is always acute, as $x \alpha^{\prime} x^{\prime} \dot{k} \pi \eta \eta$ from $x \alpha x \alpha$ z $\pi \eta$ :

[^20]The poets elide, though more rarely, the diphthong al, but
 èzour.
§ 30.
of $\nu$ éqedxuotcxóv, and other final consonants.

1. Certain words and terminations have a twofold form, with and without a consonant at the end, of which the first is commonly used before a 'rowel, the other before a consonant.
2. This secondary form is especially made by what is called
 dative plural in $\sigma \iota$, and in the verbs, by all third persons in $\varepsilon$ and

 this $\boldsymbol{v}$ must always be used before a vowel.
3. A similar $v$ is applied to the terminations in $\sigma t$, expressing a place, which are formed from datives plural, as 'Ohv $\mu \pi i \alpha \sigma \iota$, and to the words $\pi$ népusi a year ago, and élxogu twenty, though not always to this last.

Rmm. 1. The Ionics omit this $\boldsymbol{v}$ even before a vowel; on the other hand, the poets use it before a consonant to effect a position for the preceding vowel. This is also sometimes done in Attic prose, and at the end of a sentence it is rarely omitted.
4. Of a similar character with this $\nu$ is the $\varsigma$ in oütcu ovizd s uhus; and also, though chiefly by the lonics, in $\mu^{\prime}$ 'x $c^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ 'xots,

5. The particle oú not becomes oúx before a vowel, and consequently passes into ouं $x_{1}$ before an aspirate. ( $\$$ 17. 3.)

Rem. 2. The reverse polds with this $x$ in'oux from what was observed of the $\boldsymbol{\nu}$; for as no Greek word by itself can terminate in $x$ ( $\$ 4.4$.) this $x$ is dropped at every pause, even when the next sentence begins with a vowel; as $\boldsymbol{O}^{\prime \prime} \dot{a}^{\circ} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ötav-Xenopk. . Sympos. vi. 2.

## § 31. the parts of speech.

1. Strictly speaking there are but three principal parts of speech. For all that belongs to the name and designation of objects is included in the noun; the word, by which any thing is expressed relative to objects, is the verb; and the other parts of speech, by which the objects thus named and distinguished are farther qualified and connected, are included under the head of particles.
2. It is usual, however, to make some chief subdivisions of the three main parts of speech; and there are usually counted eight parts of speech in most languages ; thus (1) The noun, which remains divided into substantive and adjective, is further distinguished from (2) The pronoun which includes the article, and (3) The participle, which in the syntax is considered a part of the verb. (4) The verb remains undivided, but the particles are divided into (5) adverb, (6) preposition, (7) conjunction, (8) interjection, of which the latt is often reckoned by the Greek grammarians as an adverb.

THE NOUN AND ITS DECLENSION.
§ 32. gender.

1. The gender of the noun is either masculine, feminine, or neutet, and appears in part by the termination, as will be.remarked in each declension. To indicate the gender, use is made of the article $\dot{o}$ for the masculine, $\dot{\eta}^{\dot{y}}$ for the feminine, and $\tau o{ }^{\prime}$ for the neuter.
2. The names of person's, as man, woman, god, goddess, \&c. follow always the natural gender, be the termination what it will; as $\dot{\eta} \vartheta v \chi \dot{\alpha} \mp \eta \varrho$ daughter, $\dot{\eta} \nu v o ́ s$ daughter in law. From this is excepted the diminutive $o v$, which is always neuter, as $\tau \dot{o}$ yuvolov, from $\gamma v v \eta^{\prime}$ wife, vò $\mu \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha ́ x \iota o \nu$ from $\mu \varepsilon i ́ \rho \alpha \xi$ a youth.

Rem. 1. Hence every personal denomination, which is common to the natural genders, is of commion gender in grammar; thus we
 $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ Өsós god and goddess; $\dot{i}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ x oọös guardian and nurse ; $\dot{o}$ and $\eta \varphi \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \xi$ a male or female watcher. Of several of these words, however, there are separate feminine forms, as $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \dot{\alpha}$ the goddess, which with the Attics supersede the use of the common forms.

Rem. 2. Several of the names of animals are in like manner common, as io and $\dot{\eta} \beta$ ouss the ox or cozw; í and $\dot{\eta} i \pi \pi \pi o s$ the horse or mare. In most of these, however, one gender is used for both sexes, and this is called, by the Latin grammarians, when it is masculine or feminine, genus epiccenum; as ó $\lambda \dot{v} x .0 s$ wolf, and $\dot{\eta}$ $\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \omega \dot{\prime} \pi \eta \xi$ fox', whether masculine or feminine. But even in substantives, which are of the common gender, one or the other gender usually predominates, to denote the species; thus of intos is used in general of the horse kind, and of any individual of the kind whose sex is not specified. In $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime} \rho x \tau o s$ bear and xá $\mu \eta \lambda o s$ camel, in general, and in cil $\alpha$ gos stag and $x v \dot{c} \omega v$ dog, often, the feminine gender prevails. The feminine $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\check{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \pi \%$ has the additional and peculiar signification of cavalry.
3. The names of trees, as $\dot{\eta} \varphi \eta j o{ }^{\prime} s$ the beech, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ गitus the pine, and the names of cities and countries, as $\dot{\eta}$ Kópuv $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ os, $\dot{\eta}$ Aifvaros, $\dot{\eta} \Lambda \alpha x \varepsilon \delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$; are; with a few exceptions, feminine.

## § 33. Declension.

1. The Greek nouns have the five first cases of the Latin, without the ablative, of which the place is supplied partly by the genitive and partly by the dative.
2. The Greek language in nouns and in verbs has a dual number, used of two persons. It is not however always used; by some writers not at all ; and most frequently by the Attics.
3. The dual has never more than two endings, one for the nominative, accusative, and vocative ; the other for the genitive and dative.
4. The division into three declensions is most convenient, corresponding to the three first declensions of the Latin, and with terminations as exhibited in the following table.

N. B. The Attic second declension, so called, is omitted in the above table, for the sake of simplioity; it will be given hereafter in its place; see $\$ 37$.
5. When the terminations as here given are pure, and contraction ensues, the contracted declension takes place, as will be seen below, in each of the three declensions.

Remarks on the Table.

1. The genitive plural, in all three declensions, ends in av.
2. The dative singular, in all three declensions, ends in 1 ; which, however, in the two first is concealed under the form of the iota subseript.
3. The dative plural, properly, in all three declensiops, ends in $\sigma \iota v$ or $\sigma u$; for $\alpha u s$ and ous are only abbreviations of the more ancient form alouv and ouбvv, or $\alpha \iota \sigma \iota$ and ouбん
4. The vocative is generally the same as the nominative; and even where it has a separate form, the nominative is often used for the yocative, particularly by the Attic writers.
5. The neutere, as also in the Latin 「anguage, have three cases alike, viz. the nominative, accusative, and vocative; and in the plural of neuters all these cases end in $\alpha$.
6. The three declensions resemble the three first in Latin; But it is to be remarked that out of os in the nominative the Lat-
ins make us; otut of os in the genitive they make is; ont of ov and $\omega v, u m$; and that, in general, $\mu$ in Greek becomes $n$ in Latip.
7. In regard to accent, it is a gemeral rule, that the endings of the genitive and dative, if long and accented, must have the circumflex; the nominative, accusative, and vocative, the acule. It is, however, to be observed, that the last syllable, in the nomins tive and vocative singular of the third declension, is not properly considered as the termination, as will be seen in its place.

## § 34. FIRST DECLENSION.

1. Alt words in $\alpha \varsigma$ and $\eta \varsigma$ are masculine, and all in $\alpha$ and $\eta$ are feminine.
2. Words in $\alpha$ have their genitive in $\alpha \varsigma$, and retain the $\alpha$ through all the terminations of the singular, if it is preceded by another vowel, ( $\alpha$ pure §27.1), as $\sigma o \varphi i \alpha$, or by $\rho$, as ij $\mu \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \alpha$. The $\alpha$ is also retained by the contracted nouns, as $\mu \nu \tilde{\alpha}$ (see below in Rem. I) - by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha$, gen. - $\tilde{\alpha} s$, the warcry; and by some

3. All other nouns in $\alpha$ have the genitive in $\eta s$, and the dative in $\eta$, but in the accusative and vocative they resume the $\alpha$. In the dual and plural, all the four eadings, the nominative, dative, accusative, and vocative, retain the $\alpha$. The rest may be learned from the following table, where the' changes of the accent, according to the general rules, are observed.

EXAMPLES.

| Sing. | $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, honor. | $\dot{\eta}$, wisdom. | \%e: | zen. | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ | бо¢ia | Mov̈の | тoilt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | veavias |
| Gea. | reuns | cooplas | Movíns | noditov | vea |
| - Dat. | $\tau<\mu \eta$ | -opia | Mov́on | $\pi 0 \lambda l \tau \%$ | vea |
| Acc. | $\tau \tau \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ | oopiav | Movóav | пodiviv | veavía |
| Voc. | $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \mu \dot{\prime}$ | ooqio | Moũ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | тодĩ $\alpha$ | $\nu \varepsilon \alpha v i a ~$ |

Dual.


Pim.

|  |  |  | Movored | noditar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dat |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | поגitas |  |
| $\mathrm{Nc}$ |  |  | Mo | nok |  |


| Sinct | Lijumice | n) opiniom | $\dot{\eta}$, tridene | i, knife | O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | tior |  | тpiose |  |  |
| 6 | d dears | \%rom | треairys | maxaipas |  |
| D. | dixy | тrem, | тесаіуу | (ex |  |
| $\lambda$ | dixyy |  | трiastav трiay |  | Acpeidyy |

nol
 Pir.

|  | dixal | rr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dexeöy |  |  |  |  |
|  | dixaus |  |  |  |  |
|  | as |  |  |  |  |
|  | dixat | тгшрая | трíarab | нох ${ }^{\text {acpar }}$ | -Atpezida |

Of the rocative of masculines.
4. Of the nouns in $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$, those which end in $\boldsymbol{r \eta} \boldsymbol{\rho}$, several compounded verbals which are formed merely by adding ins to the
 Sc. and national names, as $\Pi \dot{f} \rho \sigma \eta \rho, ~ \Sigma x v^{\prime} \eta \eta \rho$, have $\alpha$ in the pocative. The others, which, however, are by far the smallest number, have $\eta$, particularly the patronymics in dris, as "Atpetions in the table.

Remarks on the foregoing examples.

1. Contraction. The contracts of this declension contract the nominative into one of the nsual terminations, and then proceed regularly; except that the contracts in $\overline{\text { a }}$ preserve this vowel

- onchanged throughout, as being originally pure, and those in $\tilde{\alpha}_{S}$ always have the Doric genitive in long $\alpha$ (Rem. IV. 3.) They may all be known by the circumflexed termination, as $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma v t t^{\prime} \alpha$, contr. $\lambda \varepsilon o v \tau \tilde{\eta}$, genitive $\lambda \varepsilon o v \tau \tilde{\eta} s$, \&cc. plural nom. $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \tilde{1}$, accus.


 earth.
II. Quantity. 1. The nominative $\alpha$ which has $\eta \varsigma$ in the genitive, is always short.

2. The nominative $\alpha$ which has $\alpha s$ in the genitive, is in general long, though in many words short.
3. The vocative in $\alpha$ of masculines in $\eta s$ is short, of those in $\alpha s^{\prime}$ long. The dual termination in $\alpha$ is always long.
4. The termination $\alpha \rho$, throughout the first declension, is long; and the accusative plural is in this distinguished from the third declension, where it is short.
5. The accusative singular in $\alpha \nu$ follows the quantity of the nominative.
III. Accent. 1. It is characteristic of the first declension that the genitive plural always has the accent on the last syllable, wherever it may be in the other parts of the word, as Moũo $M o u \sigma \omega \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \nu \forall \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \nu \theta \omega \tilde{\omega}$. - Exceptions to this rule are the following, viz.
a) Feminines of adjectives and barytone participles in os, as
 $\tau v \pi \tau o \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \varsigma,-\eta$,-gen. pl. $\tau v \pi \tau о \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$.
 winds, äqún a sort of fish.
6. With this exception, the accent of the substantives, as far as the general rules admit, remains on the syllable, where it is found in the nominative, as nom. pl. $\sigma o \varphi i \alpha l$, voc. sing. roditi $\alpha$,
 The feminines of the adjectives in os, on the contrary, cast the ac; cent, whenever the termination admits, upon the syllable where

7. It has already been remarked, that the endings of the genitives and datives, in general, if accented at all, are circumfexed; as those of $\tau \iota \mu \eta$ in the table. See § 33 Rem. 7.

[^21]IV. Dialect. 1. The Dorice, in all the terminations, $\mathbf{n o s}$ a $\operatorname{long} \alpha$ for $\eta$, as rucí, $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{q}, \dot{\alpha} v$. The Iomice commonly use $\eta$ for $\operatorname{long} \alpha$, as $\sigma o \varphi!\eta, \eta s, \eta, \eta \nu^{\circ} \mu \alpha \prime \chi \alpha \rho \alpha, \eta s, \eta, \alpha \nu^{\circ}$ o vevvins, \&cc. This however is never done in the accusative plural.
2. The oldeat form of the genitive singular of the masculines is $\bar{\alpha} O$, and of the genitive plural of all endings $\bar{\alpha} \omega y$. Hence in

3. The Dorics contracted these genitives into long $\alpha$, as $\tau 0 \tilde{u}$ 'At@eida, rãv rifũv. This Doric genitive, in some few words, particularly proper names, remained in common use, as 'Avwi $\beta$ as, cov̄'Avißa, Hannibal.
4. The Ionics, on the other hand, converted the $\bar{\alpha} 0$ into $\varepsilon \omega$, in which however the $\omega$ has no effect in bringing the accent forward, as rolitew. So too from ajw the Ionics made éwn, as Movatav.
5. On the ancient form of the dative plural, as runciöor, Movi$\sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \omega$, see above in $\$ 33$ Rem. 3. The Ionic dialect has $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \iota \gamma_{,}$ $\eta \sigma \omega$, and $\eta!$.

Words for practice.

|  | market | $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma \dot{\sigma} \alpha$ | sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {che }}$ Axions (c long) | Anchises | Qu'pa ( $v$ short) | door |
| வंঠonéoxps | prater | Kex@oridivs (cshort) | Cecropides |
|  | Mineroa | $x \in \varphi \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta}$ | head |
| Aivelas | Eneas |  | thief |
| ${ }_{\alpha} \times \alpha v \theta \dot{\alpha}$ | thorn | xópy | girl |
| $\ddot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | combat | xporvis | judge- |
|  | field | $\boldsymbol{x \tau \iota \sigma \tau} \dot{\eta}^{\text {s }}$ | maker |
|  | business | $\lambda \alpha \nu^{\prime} \rho \alpha$ | lane |
| $\gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$, | weasel | $\lambda v^{\prime} \bar{\lambda} \eta$ ( $v$ long) | grief |
| $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ | earth | $\mu \alpha \vartheta \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ | disciple |
|  | tongue |  | bee |
| סósa | opinion |  | care |
|  | viper | Midoss (\% short) | Midas |
|  | girdle | ноī¢ | share |
|  | day | vixy (c long) | victory |


| ข $\boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\square}$ | bride | - $\quad$ аĩ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | sphere . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oborn' | anger | $\sigma \varphi \tilde{v} \rho \alpha^{*}$ | hammer |
|  | fower | oxohn | . leisure |
| Hípons | Persian | courngla | salvation |
| zievod | side | $\tau \alpha \mu 1 \alpha{ }^{\text {c }}$ | steward |
|  | pugilist | $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta s$ | arsiat |
| múan ( $v$ short) | gate |  | zoood |
| disa | root | geila. | friendship |
| $\boldsymbol{\sigma x}$ ¢ ${ }^{\circ}$ | shadow | $\chi$ до $\alpha^{\prime}$ | joy |
| Exions | Scythian |  | -outer garment |
| ocèy | roof | x@jo | country |
| обo\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | porch | $\psi v \times{ }^{\prime}$ | soul. |

## § 35. `second declension.

1. All words in ov are of the neuter gender ${ }_{2}$ and most of those in os are masculine.
2. There are, however, several feminines in os, not only those alluded to above in § 32, the names of persons, animals, trees, and cities ; but many others, such as $\dot{\eta}$ ódós road, $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta i \beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ os book, $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ oos island, $\dot{\eta}$ vóoos disease, with many names of stores and plants, particularly also several, which are in reality adjectives with a

 atom (oviola understood); $\eta^{\circ}$ ävvo $\rho o s$ desert (xaj $\rho \alpha$ understood); and others of this description.

## EXAMPLES.



| Nom | S | ¢ | ภทันo | $s$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | hoyov |  |  | $\nu$ vbcimov |  |
| Dat. | lóyos | $\varphi 1$ |  | $\dot{\alpha} \nu \boldsymbol{\imath}$ | (a) |
| Acc. | doyov | ¢ท\%óv | ¢ทйov | $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ | สuxov |
| Voc. | doye | ¢ $\boldsymbol{\text { ¢ }}$ ' ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \varepsilon$ |  | のบัห๐ข |

[^22]Dual
 Plural.

| Nom | גójo | ¢ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i}$ |  | c | のüra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. | 2oyon | ¢ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ | $\delta \eta \mu \omega \nu$ | $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ |  |
| Dat. | dojous | ¢ryois | סทั้ucs | $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\text { ¢ }}$ ¢о́лоия | 0ux0 |
| Acc. | dojous | ¢ryoús |  |  | $\alpha$ |
| Voc. | dojoc | ¢ $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{r o i}$ |  |  | бüx |

Remarks.

1. The Attics sometimes make the vocative like the nominative; $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ عós God is always the same in the vocative as the nominative. [But an exception is found Matt. 27: 46.]
2. By the Epic writers the genitive in ov is changed into olo, as $\lambda o j o c o, ~ \dot{q} \gamma \boldsymbol{i}$ the accusative plural $\omega s$, and rarely, $0 s$.

Words for practice.

|  | messenger |  | part |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | eagle | ขótos | south wind |
|  | combat | รúdov | wood |
|  | prize | oixos | house |
|  | vine | maldiov | child |
| čoyvoos | silver | @ódov | rose |
| cioyúpıov | silver | aidnoos | iron |
| Ěeyov | work |  | emerald |
| \& ${ }^{\text {ngoos }}$ | east wind |  | spasm |
| Séqu@os | west wind. | бт@ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ о́s | army |
| $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \boldsymbol{\prime}$ L@os | continent, | 甲а́оцахоv | medicine |
|  | outer garment | ¢о@тion | burden |
| ïov. | violet | $x \alpha \lambda x o ́ s$ | copper |
| xa@xivos | crab | x@vods | gold |
| $\mu \eta \chi^{\prime} 0 \nu$ | apple |  | gold. |

§ 36. contracts of the second declension.
Several words in $00 \varsigma$ and $o 0 v$, zos and $\varepsilon 0 \nu$, commonly underge contraction, according to the general rules given above, except that $\alpha$ of the neuter absorbs in contraction the preceding $\varepsilon$ or 0 , and becomes long, as ỏ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha$ ȯ $\sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{o}^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \tilde{\alpha}$.

## examples.

Sing. ó, voyage. • Plur. . Sing. rò, bone. Plur.






G. D., $\pi \lambda o ́ o u v ~ \pi \lambda o i v ~ \| o ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ̇ o \iota v ~ o ́ \sigma \tau o i ̃ \nu ~$

Remark. There are not many substantives of this kind; of yóos understanding, and ó óóos stream, may be taken as examples.
§ 37. second declension of the attics.
To the second declension is referred, under the name of the Attic, the declension of several words of the masculine and feminine gender in $\omega s$, and of the neuter in $\omega v$. It has in all the cases an $\omega$, instead of the usual vowels and diphthongs, and an iota subscript where or or $\boldsymbol{q}$ is found in the regular second declension. The vocative is always like the nominative.

EXAMPLES.

| $S$. | ¢, temp | rò, hall. | Dual |  | Plural. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G. | $\nu$ ข¢ |  |  |  | $\omega_{\nu}$ | ${ }_{\alpha}$ |
| D. | $\boldsymbol{\nu \in \oplus ّ}$ | àvóyeq, |  |  | \% | sos |
| A. | $\nu$ ข¢ | $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \omega y \in \omega \nu$ |  |  | vears | ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \omega$ |
| V. | vew's |  |  |  | $\nu \in \chi^{\text {a }}$. |  |

Remarks.

1. The expression Attic second declension is by no means to be understood, as if the Altics were accustomed to inflect all nouns in os in this way. It is, on the contrary, an ancient and peculiar declension of a very limited number of words, of some of which moreover there exist forms in the common secotd declension, as o $\lambda \alpha o ́ s$ people, vaós temple, also $\lambda \varepsilon \omega^{\prime} s, \nu \varepsilon \omega \prime s$. So o $\lambda \alpha y \omega$ 's hare,

Ionic $\lambda \alpha y \omega o ́ s$ and $\lambda \alpha y o ́ s$. Other examples are $\dot{o}$ x $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega s$ cable, and $\dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$, paternal and maternal uncle. Of those words of which two forms are actually current, that which falls under this declension is commonly peculiar to the Attic dialect.
2. This declension has a peculiar accusative in $\omega$, particularly
 the dawn (accus. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tilde{\varepsilon} \omega$ ), which is the Attic form for riais of the lonics.
3. The accent of the genitive $\nu \varepsilon a^{\prime}$ is contrary to the rule laid down § 33 Rem. 7. With respect to the other anomalies in the accent of this declension; see above under § 12.7.

## THIRD DECLENSION.

> § 38. Gender.

1. In consequence of the diversity of endings in this declension, the determination of the gender by the termination admits of no general rale, and resort must be had to observation of the individual cases. A few rules however with respect to some terminations are given below.
2. In general, the $s$ is found at the end chiefly of mascalines and feminines, and the short vowel, at the end of nenters. No neuters end in $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ or $\psi$.

Remark. The following is an enumeration of those endings, whose'gender is fixed. In giving the exceptions, no notice is taken of personal appellations, such as $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ mother, $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho$ spouse, whose gender is apparent. Where however $U$ (aniversally) is placed, there no personal appellation of another gender exists.

## Mäsculines.


2. All substantives which have vios in the genitive; as o $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{-}$
 only exceptions to this are some names of cities.
3. Those which end in $\eta \varrho$, as $\dot{o} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \omega \sigma \pi \eta \eta \rho$ girdle; except $\dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha-$ ozvŋ belly, $\dot{\eta} \times \dot{\eta} \rho f a t e$. By the poets also $\dot{\eta}$ a $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho$ air, mist, which is usually masculine; and the neuter contracts, of which hereafter.

## Feminines.

1. All in $\omega$, as $\dot{\eta} \chi a^{\prime}$ echo. U.
2. Those in $\alpha s$, gen. $\dot{\alpha} \delta o s$, as $\dot{\eta} 2 \alpha \mu \pi \alpha s$ torch; with the exception of some adjectives of the common, gender.
 serpent, ó é $\chi \iota s$ adder, ó xógıs bug, ó $\mu \alpha 0 \iota s$ a certain measure, ó xis


3. Nouns of quality in $\tau \eta S$ (the Latin tas); as $\dot{\eta} \mu \iota x \rho o \sigma^{\tau} \eta \rho_{s}$ parvitas. U.

## Neuters.

 ब̈̈vv city. U.
2. All whith terminate with short-syllables in $\varepsilon$ and $o$, as to т тíxas wall, zo ${ }^{3}$ roo breast, and the neuter adjectives in $\varepsilon s, \varepsilon v$, ov. U.

 ox $\eta \varrho$ tallow. Excepting only of $\psi \alpha \varrho$ the starling.
4. Those in wo, which are not personal appellations ; as $\tau 0 \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ -
 a blister.
5. Those in $\alpha s-\alpha \tau 0 s$ and $-\alpha 0 s$, as $\tau 0^{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \alpha s=\alpha \tau 0 s$ miracle, $\tau 0^{\circ}$
 xgatós head.

There are no other neuters of this declension, excepting to


Nouns in $\alpha \varsigma$, therefore, are generally masculine when they make the genitive in $\alpha \nu \tau 0 \varsigma$, feminine when they make it in $\alpha \delta_{0} S_{\text {g }}$ and neuter when they make it in $\alpha$ asos and $\alpha o s$.

## § 39. INFLECTION OF THE THIRD DFCLENSION.

In the declension of every noun, a distinction must be made between the root, and the changeable termination of the case. In the first and second-declensions, the rominative has such a termination of case ; in the third declension, however, such termination is attached only to the oblique cases-thus:

- Dec. II. $\lambda o ́ \gamma-0 s_{2} \lambda o ́ \gamma-0 v, \lambda o ́ \gamma-q$
- ill. $\vartheta \dot{q} \varrho, ~ \vartheta \eta \varrho-0 \dot{s}, \vartheta \eta \varrho-\mathbf{i}$.

Still in the third declension, the nominative is rarely so unchanged, as in $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\jmath}$. In most cases its last syllable is modified either by addition, as gen. $\mu \bar{v}$-os, from the root $\mu \check{v}$, where the nominative is $\mu \tilde{\nu} \tilde{s},-$ or by dropping a letter, as gen. $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha z o s$, from the root $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau$, nom. $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$,-or by sibstitution, as gen. sixoivos, from the root $\varepsilon i x 0 v$, nom. $\varepsilon$ eixaiv.

Remark. In order to decline correctly a noun in the third declension, it is absolutely necessary to know beforehand the nominative and one of the remaining cases. If, however, one only is known, the rules are much more simple for finding the nominative from the genitive, than for finding the genitive from the nominative; becanse in general the radical form is found uncorrupted in the genitive, but not in the nominative. It is also accordingly necessary in the lexicon to take note as well of the genitive, as of the nominative. But as in reading, some oblique case is more likely to occur than the nominative, and it is accordingly requisite, in order to ascertain the meaning of the word, to discover the nominative from said oblique case, the rules which follow may be applied for this purpose.

## § 40.

1. The most common changes, which the root of the word suffers in the nominative, are the following, viz.
a) The assumption of $\varsigma$, as ö $\mu \tilde{v} s, \mu \dot{v}-0 \varsigma$ mouse ; ${ }^{*} \dot{o} \dot{a} \lambda \varsigma, \dot{\alpha} \lambda-\sigma \dot{\rho}$, salt.
b) That instead of $\varepsilon$ and $o$ of the root, $\eta$ and $\omega$ are found in the nominative, in masculines and feminines, as $\dot{\eta}$ eixáv, sixóv-os, image ; $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} s, \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \vartheta \dot{t}-\mathrm{os}$, true.
2. With respect to the more exact application of these principles, two principal cases must again be distinguished, viz. (1) That of a consonant before the inflectional termination; (2) That of a vowel before the inflectional termination.
[^23]
## § 41.

1. When a consonant precedes the inflectional termination, and the nominative takes the $s$, $I^{i}$ is understood in the first place, that this $\varsigma$ with $\gamma, x, \chi$, and with $\beta, \pi, \varphi$, passes into $\xi$ and $\psi$, as $x \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha \xi^{\prime}$

2. These nominatives in $\xi$ and $\psi$ never change the $\varepsilon$ and $o$ of
 ing ouly $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \pi \eta \xi, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega^{\prime} \pi \varepsilon x 0 s$, the fox.
3. If however the consonant immediately preceding the inflec-- tional termination is a $\delta, \tau$, or $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$, it is dropped before the $s$ assumed by the nominative, as $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \dot{s} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha^{\prime} \delta o s, ~ प \omega \rho i s, ~ \Delta \omega \rho i \delta o s, x \eta-'$


4. In like manner $\nu$ and $\nu \tau$ are dropped before this assumed $s$; but in this case the short vowel, always with $\nu \tau$ and commonly with $\nu$, is lengthened in the manner given above ( ( 25. 4.) as $\gamma i-$ -


5. When $s$ is not assumed in the nominative, $v$ and $\rho$ are the only consonants, which can remain at the end of the nominative, as $\vartheta \dot{\eta} \rho \vartheta \eta \varrho-o ́ s, \alpha i \omega \dot{v} \alpha \alpha^{i} \omega \nu-0 s$. It would be necessary to drop all the others; though this, however, actually occurs only with $\tau,{ }^{\boldsymbol{t}}$.


In either case, $\varepsilon$ and $o$ of the masculine and feminine are al-


6. Some neuters, which make $\alpha \tau 0 s$ in the genitive, take $\rho$ instead of $S$ in the nominative, as $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau o s$.

[^24]7．According to the premises，the usual cases，in which a con－ sonant precedes the inflectional termination，are as follows，viz．

$\omega$ แ u dos，ros，壬OS froma nom．in $s$（ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ́ s ;$ дарл兀むdos \＆c．） but especially
＂$\alpha$ ros from a nom．in $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha(\sigma \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha-\alpha \tau 0 s) \\ \alpha s(\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha s-\alpha \tau 0 s) \\ \alpha \rho(\eta \pi \alpha \rho-\alpha \tau 0 s)\end{array}\right.$

but especially
＂$\varepsilon$ voos and oues from a nom．in $\eta v$ and $\omega v$


 but especially
＂seos and ooos from a nom．in no and wo
 and from two neuters in o $\rho$ ，viz． ${ }^{\alpha} 0 \rho$ sword and ${ }^{z}$ no＠breast．
8．The following cases require particular attention，viz，$i, \dot{\eta}$


 below．

## Remarks．

I．The quantity of the penult of the genitive in $\alpha, \varphi, v$ ，is only fixed，like that of the nominative of other nouns，by authority．In general it is short；those cases therefore only will be noted where it is long．

1. All substantives which make, the genitive in $\alpha v o s$, avos, and vvos, have the penult long; as Móv Havós, ла兀óv па兀ävos, $\delta / s$ фьvós, $\delta_{\varepsilon} \lambda \varphi i_{\varsigma} \delta_{\varepsilon} \lambda \varphi i v o s, ~ \mu o ́ o v v ~ \mu o ́ \sigma v v o s . ~$
2. In like mamer the penults of several in is -coos are long; and as these are all oxytones in the nominative, the long syllable in the genitive is circumflexed, by which mark they are known;

3. Of others not included under the preceding heads, the following are to be noted as baving the penult of the genitive long:


|  | garlic | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{j}$ ¢i $i \psi, \pi 0 ¢$ | rush [red-colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | locu | ${ }^{\text {o }} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\iota} \psi$, nos | (an insect) |
| $\dot{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{j}} \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma x \subset \xi$, yos | scourge. |  | breast plate. |
|  | partridge | $0^{\circ}$ Lípas, xos | hawk |
|  | top | ó oilsk, xos | helm |
|  | palua branch | o. x Ópdak, nos | a dance |
| o ovopqus, xas | filh | mpógek, wos | herald |
|  | deceiver |  | (a marine bind) |
| Daias, x 0 s | Phacacion |  | silkworm |
| P2ás, xos | otupid | ó xóxxvs, yos | auck |
|  | grape |  | vulture |
| Káp | Carian |  | starling. |

II. All monosyllable nominatives, with the exception of the pronoun $\tau i s$, are long; therefore $\pi \tilde{v} \rho, \pi v j \rho^{\prime} s$.
III. When the termination $\varepsilon \iota s-\varepsilon v \tau 0 \varsigma$ is preceded by $\eta$ or 0 , a

 -oüvog. Other examples are the names of cities in ous oüroos, as 'Otoṽs, \&z.

## § 42. Vowel before the ending.

1. Those nouns of this declension which have a vowel before the inflectional ending, (or os pure in the genitive § 27.1), take hlmost universally a $s$ in the nominative; a few neuters only in 6 and $v$, and a few feminines in $\omega$, are excepted.
2. Moreover as nenters only ( $\$ 38$ Rem.) have nominative endings short in $\varepsilon$ and $o$, hence in masculines and feminines the $\varepsilon$ of the other cases becomes $\eta$ or $\varepsilon v$, and the $o$ becomes $\infty 0$ or $o v$, in the nominative.

Thos in particalar are derived the following, viz.

" " " $\cos$ and vos from the nom. in $c s, \iota$, and $v s, v$,
(xis xiós, dáx $\left.{ }^{2} v-v 0 s\right)$.
" " " oos from \{ the nom. in ous ( $\beta$ oũs $\beta$ ooós). the feminines in $\omega$ and $\omega \varsigma$,


In this place is especially to be noted yoaṽs youós an old woman. Fbr vaüs see § 66.4.

4: Besides these, the genitives zos and $\varepsilon 005$ are formed by a change of vowel, e. g.
a) From the numerous neuters in os, as $\tau \varepsilon i ̃ \chi o s, ~ z e l \chi \in 0 s$.
b) From most nominatives in $c s$ and $\varphi$, and some in $v s$ and $v$, as лólıs tódzos, थ̈ $\sigma x v$ ä $\sigma \tau \varepsilon o s$.

Remari. The vowels $\alpha, \dot{c}, v$, before the termination of the genitive (with the single exception of yocós) are short. The monosyllable nominatives are here also long, as $\mu \tilde{v} s \mu v i o ́ s . ~$

$$
\$ 43 .
$$

The following examples will serve, in essential points, for all the varieties in this declension.

| Sing. |  | ס, | $\boldsymbol{o}, \underline{\eta}$, divinuty. | ion. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. |  | aiow | おаiucov | lėoun | $\gamma^{\prime} / \chi^{\circ}{ }_{s}$ |
| Gen. | 9ท¢о́s | aiounos | daínovos | גéov | .rijavzos |
| Dat. | งทŋ¢i | ai@uv | баінїи | déov | pilyavic |
| Acc. | งทo ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | cieinva | дхіроук | héove | plyavza |
| Voc. | Ono | $\alpha^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | даïuov | léó | rly $\alpha \nu$ |

Dual.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.A.V. } \\ & \text { G. D. } \end{aligned}$ | $\theta \tilde{\eta}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ Өทอоі̃ | aicuve aiavour | даіноує dalmóvoıv | rouv |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plural. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nom. |  | $\alpha$ ciai |  |  |  |
| Gen. | Өทюшิ |  |  | $\lambda$ ג̇óv |  |
| Dat. |  | $\alpha i \omega \omega^{\circ}$ | סа/ $\mu \sigma \sigma \iota($ v $)$ | héoval (v) |  |
| Acc. | $\theta$ Əños | aiauvas | daiuovas' | ȧéovzas |  |
| Voc. | Өn¢¢s | aiouv | ס<ipov | heove | yivar |



| Nom | xó $\rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ | $\pi \alpha i s$ | $\theta$ ás | xls. | $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | xópaxos |  |  |  | $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ тоя |
| Dat. | xíouxt | $\pi \alpha c d i$ | $\theta \omega \boldsymbol{t}$ | xud |  |
| A | xópoxa | паïda | Өิ̃ | xiv | - |
| Voc. | x'¢ $\rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | $\pi \alpha i ̃$ | өo's | xis |  |

Dual.

Plur.


## Remarks.

1. These examples will sufficiently illustrate the declension; for as soon as the iominative and genitive are ascertained by means of the foregoing rules and of the lexicon, the leamer's reflection will easily suggest, that all nouns which end in $\xi$ and $\psi$ are declined like $x 0 \rho \alpha \xi$,-all which have the genitive in $\delta o s, \vartheta 0 s$, and

 tos like $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha-z \tau \pi c$. It is only necessary to make some particular observations with regard to the accusative and vocative singular, and the dative plural, which will be presently done.
2. Quantity. The $c, \alpha$, and $\alpha \varsigma$, in the terminations of the cases, are always short. Compare Remark II. 4, on Dec. I. For the quantity of the penult of the genitive, see the preceding sections.
3. Accent.' The following are the principal rules relative to the accent.
a) In dissyllable and longer words, the accent remains on the same syllable as in the nominative, so long as its nature admits; see above in xóo $\alpha \xi$ and ciór.
b) Monosyllables throw the accent, in the genitive and dative of each number, upon the termination of the case. On the termination $\omega \nu$ it becomes a circumflex. See above $\begin{aligned} \text { グ } \rho \text { and } x i s . ~\end{aligned}$
c) On the contrary, the nominative, accusative, and vocative never have the accent on the termination of the case.*

Exc. From the second of these rules are principally excepted
 adjectives $\pi \alpha \tilde{s} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ s, \pi \alpha \nu \tau i$,$) pl. G. \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, D. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu,-$ and the genitive plural of some few others, as $\theta$ 佔s and $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} s$ above.

## §44. of the accusative singular.

1. The principal termination of the accusative in this declension is $\alpha$, but in some. words in $c s, v \xi, \alpha v s$, and'ovs, there is also an accusative in $\nu$, formed, as in the other declensions, by changing the $s$ of the nominative into $v$, and retaining the same quantity. This is the only form of the accusative for those nouns which have a vowel before the termination of the case; as $\beta$ ovis $G . \beta 00 \rho-\beta o \tilde{v}$.

2. Those on the other hand which have a consonant in the genitive, where the last syllable of the nominative is accented,
 tóda. But if the last syllable be unaccented, they commonly talise an $\nu^{\prime}$ in the accusative, though sometimes an $\alpha$, as $\varepsilon$ épcs -coos-zgev



## § 45. OF THE vOCATIVE.

1. It is very common in this declension, for a moun to have a vocative of its own, but yet generally, especially in the Attic writers, to make the vocative like the nominative. The following are accordingly the rules, by which nouns in this declension may form their vocative; but it most be left to observation in particular cases, whether they do actually so form them, or make. the vocative like the nominative.
2. The terminations $\varepsilon v \varsigma$, $\iota \varsigma$, and $v \varsigma$, with the words $\pi \alpha i \tilde{}, \gamma \rho \alpha \tilde{v} s$,

[^25]and $\beta$ oüg, drop the $s$ in the vocative, and thewe in cu'g aseurne the


3. The same holds of those in $\alpha \varsigma$ and acs, which drop $v$ before their s. They commonly, however, resume this $v$ in the voca-


4. Nouns, which in the termination of the nominative have $\eta$ or $\omega$, only shorten this in the vocative; but this in general only when the other cases also hàve $\varepsilon$ and 0 ; see above $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega y$ and


5. Feminines in $\omega^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{s}$ form the vocative in $0 \tilde{I}_{y}$ as Eargoi,


Rem. 1. The three following throw the accent back, viz. $\pi \dot{\alpha}-$


Rem. 2. The words, which retain the long vowel in the other cases, remain also unaltered in the vocative, as $\omega^{3} \boldsymbol{I I}_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} \tau \omega \nu$ G.
 There are three only of this kind, which shorten the vowel in the

 be observed, that the accent is thrown back.

## § 46. OF THE DATIVE PLURAL.

1. When the termination $\sigma \iota v$ and $\sigma \iota$ of the dative plural is preceded by a consonant, the general rules again operate, as in the $s$ of the nominative ( $\oint 41$ ); see above in $\pi 0 \rho \alpha \xi, \pi \alpha \tilde{\rho} s, \alpha i \omega \nu$,
 \&c.
2. When in these instances the vowel of the nominative is altered in the oblique cases, it remains altered in the dative plural;
 - $\alpha^{3} \lambda \omega^{\prime} \pi \varepsilon \xi \imath v$. But when $v \tau$ is omitted, the lengthening of the vowèl mentioned above ( $\oint$ 25. 4.) takes place; see above $\lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$, $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \alpha s$,

however，$v$ alone has been omitted，the short vowel remains，as xteis xtevo＇s－xteolv．

Rem．1．Also the adjectives（not participles）in $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma-\varepsilon \nu \tau 0 s$ have only an $\varepsilon$ ，as 甲auy

3．When the termination $\sigma \iota v, \sigma \iota$ ，is immediately preceded by a vowel－of course when there is an os pure in the genitive－this vowel also remains unaltered，as in the other oblique cases；as
 Only when the nominative singular of such words has a diphthong，
 roaüs yoaós－yoavai－$\beta$ oüs $\beta$ oós－$\beta$ ovoiv．

Rem．2．In the ancient and Epic dialect，instead of $\sigma \varepsilon$ and $\sigma \nu$ ， in all words，$\varepsilon \sigma \iota$ and $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \nu$ ，or $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ and $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ，are used；which ter－ mination，as it begins with a vowel，is appended precisely like the terminations of the other cases，as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} k \tau-\epsilon \sigma \mu, x 0 \rho \dot{\alpha} x-\epsilon \sigma u$ ，$i x \theta \dot{v}-$ モのбะข．

## § 47．syncope of some nouns in $\eta$ そ．

1．Some nouns in $\eta \rho$ ，G．egos，drop the $\dot{\varepsilon}$ in the genitive and dative singular，and also in the dative plural，where they take an $\alpha$ after the $\rho$ ，as $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ father，

A．$\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha$, V．$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \longleftarrow \rho$ ．
Pl．$\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varrho} \rho \epsilon \varsigma$, G．$\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$, D．$\pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota$, A．$\pi \alpha t \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \varsigma$.
2．The same is the case，with some anomaly of the accent，in


 makes in the accusative $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta r \rho \alpha$ ．For $\alpha^{\alpha} v \eta^{\prime} \rho$, see the anomalous nouns，§ 56． 4.

Remars．The poets sometimes neglect this syncope，and say for instance $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho 0 \rho$ ，and sometimes they adopt it where in general

§ 48. contracted declension.

1. Of the nouns that have os pure in the genitive, there are very few which are not, in the common language, more or less contracted; although it is by no means always done, where by the general rules it might be.
2. In some respects, moreover, the mode of contraction varies from that prescribed by the general rules, and one species of this variety is expressed in the following canon, viz.

The contracted accusative plural of the third declension is formed like the contracted nominative plural.
 contracted, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon \tilde{\varrho}, \beta$, $\tilde{u} \varsigma$, and, contrary to the general rules, the contraction of the accusative $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \dot{\theta} \alpha \varsigma, \beta \dot{\beta}^{\prime} \alpha \rho$, is exactly the same.

Words in $\eta \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \varsigma$, G. $\varepsilon 0 \varsigma$, which are almost exclusively adjectives, neuters in os and $\varepsilon 0 \rho$, and the feminines in $\omega$ and $\omega s$, G. oos, are contracted in all cases, where two vowels meet.

## NXAMPLES.



Dual.

Plur.


## Remarks.

1. The uncontracted forms of the feminine in $\omega$ and $\omega c$ are not used even by the Ionics. These words moreover are commonly used only in the singular. The dual and plural when used are formed according to the second declension:
2. The neuter adjectives in $\varepsilon_{5}$ are declined like the neaters in os; accordingly, in the plural we have $\tau \dot{\alpha} \alpha^{\dot{\alpha}} \eta \eta \dot{t}_{\dot{\prime} \alpha, \alpha, \alpha} \alpha \eta \hat{\theta} \tilde{\eta}$.
3. The dual in $\eta$ formed from $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ departs from the general rule in § 87.3.
4. One masculine in $\omega \mathrm{s}$, G. wos, viz. ทijous the hero, admits a contraction, of which however no use is made in prose, except in ク̈॰

$$
\$ 50 .
$$

1. All other words admit the contraction only in the nominative, accusative, vocative plural, and partly also in the dative singular, particularly those in vs, G. vos, as ó ixovis fish.

Sing. N. ixtv's, G. ixəvios, D. ixtvít, A. ix૭viv.
 A. ixtías contr. ixtüs.
2. In the same manner are formed those in cs , if , according to the Ionic and Doric mode, they have $20 s$ in the genitive; as in
 -and these have also in the dative singular $\pi$ ólu contr. лódi.
3. Another example is $\beta$ oũs ox, cow.

Sing. N. $\beta$ oũs, G. $\beta$ oós, D. $\beta$ oí, A. $\beta$ oüv, V. $\beta$ oũ.
Phr. N. ßóes contr. Boũs, G. $\beta$ oũv, D. $\beta$ ouol (v), A. póas contr. $\beta$ oüs.
Also yooũs an old woman.



$$
\text { A. }(y \rho \tilde{\alpha} \alpha s) \text { contr. y } \varrho \alpha u \bar{s} .
$$

In, this last word is to be remarked the uncommon contraction of reães into y@aṽ.

- Rurr. 1. It is worthy of note that, by this contraction, the plural number is again made similar to the nominative singular; and even where the quantity is different, the accent sometimes remeins the same ; as nom. sing. of $\beta$ óreüs the cluster of grapes, acc. pl. rov̀s
Bóroūs. $\beta$ óroùs.

Rem．2．The word öis sheep follows the example of ródes above，and accordingly makes Gen．öios，and Nom．and Acc．pl． öis，with the 6 long．Commonly，however，even the nominative singular is contracted，as $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\circ} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{i} s$ ，and then the word is thus declined：

| Sing． | Pl． |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nom．olss | oliss，oils |
| Gen．oiós． |  |
| Dat．oili |  |
| Acc．oiv oliss，ois． |  |

Hence we can say $\dot{\eta}, \alpha \dot{i}$ ，and $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ ，oìs．＇

1．Most nouns in $c s$ and $\iota$ ，and some few in $v \rho$ and $v$ ，retain in common language the vowel of the nominative only in the accu－ sative and vocative singular ；in all other cases they change it in－ to $\varepsilon$ ．In these words，also，the dative $\varepsilon i$ is changed into $\varepsilon \ell$ ，and the plural $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \alpha \varsigma$ into $\dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \rho$ ，and the neuter．$\varepsilon \alpha$ into $\eta$ ，but no farther contraction takes place．

2．The substantives in is and $v \rho$ have besides what is called the Attic genitive，by which，instead of os in the genitive singular they make $\omega S$ ，and in the dual，instead of ocv they make $\varphi \nu$ ，but accent all three genitives as if the last syllable were short．

3．The neuters in $v$ and $\iota$ have the common genitive，as $\dot{\alpha} \sigma r v$ ，


EXAMPLES．＇

| $S$. | $\boldsymbol{r}$ ， | ，ell． | ， |  | Plur． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N． | módes | п $\tilde{\eta} \chi \cup S$ |  | $\pi$ ódeus | $\pi \eta$ ¢ $\chi$ ces |  |
| G． | $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \omega s$ | $\pi \eta \chi \chi \varepsilon \omega s$ |  | тódean | $\pi \eta \chi<\omega \nu$ |  |
| D． | по́入є6 | $\pi \eta \chi^{2} \chi 6$ |  |  | $\pi \eta \chi \chi \chi^{\circ}(\nu)$ | ${ }_{\sim}^{\alpha} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \iota(\nu)$ |
| A． | jiódev | สที้xv | $\stackrel{\text { 人̀ }}{ }$ | лódecs | $\pi \eta \chi<u s$ |  |
| V． | то́גc | $\pi \tilde{\eta} \chi \nu$ | ${ }^{\alpha \prime \prime} \sigma \tau$ | ло́deıs | $\pi \eta \chi \varepsilon \iota S$ | ${ }_{\sim}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \eta$ |
|  | Dual | N．A． G．D． | $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$ $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \propto \nu$ | $\pi \eta \eta_{\chi \varepsilon \varepsilon}$ <br> $\pi \eta \chi \varepsilon \omega \nu$ | थै $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \tau \varepsilon$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi$ と́ou |  |

[^26]Rem. Adjectives in vg, $v$, have the common genitive, and al-
 os, Plur. $\mathfrak{\eta} d \varepsilon i s$ neut. $\mathfrak{\eta} d z \dot{\alpha}$.
$\$ 52$.

1. Nouns in $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \varsigma$ have also the Attic genitive, but only the singular in $\omega s$, and without any peculiarity of accent. In these too the contraction extends only to the dative singular and nominative and accusative'plural, in which last case, however, the e'as is more common.

EXAMPLE.

| Sing. | ó, king. | Deal | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | $\beta$ - ${ }^{\text {ajuleús }}$ | $\beta \alpha \sigma c \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon$ | $\beta$ ¢asheís |
| Gen. |  | $\beta \alpha \sigma c \lambda$ żoı | $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \chi^{\prime} \omega v$ |
| Dat. | $\beta \alpha \sigma!\lambda \varepsilon \tilde{L}$ |  |  |
| Acc. | $\beta$ ßajidéa |  | $\beta \alpha \sigma c \lambda \varepsilon \bar{\alpha} \rho$ and $\beta \times \sigma<\lambda \varepsilon i \sim s$ |
| Voc. | $\beta \alpha \sigma c \lambda \varepsilon v$ |  | 阝aбcheís |

Rem. The length of the $\alpha$ in the accusative singular and ploral is an Attic peculiarity: The earlier Attic writers contract the nominative plural into $\eta, s_{\text {, }}$ as $\beta a \sigma \lambda \tilde{\eta} s$. The Ionians make mi-

§ 53.

1. There are some peculiarities in the contraction of the third declension adopted by the Attic writers, when another vowel precedes and follows $\varepsilon$. In that case the termination $\varepsilon \alpha$ is contracted, not into $\eta$, but into $\alpha$, as iysirs healthy, Acc. sing. and Neut. pl.


Rem. 1. Even some in $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} s$ drop the $\varepsilon$ in this manner before
 Acc. pl. $\chi 0 \tilde{\alpha} s$.
9. In proper names in $x \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \eta s$ contr. $\dot{x} \lambda \tilde{\eta} s$, a double contraction arises, which, however, is confraed in general to the dative.

| N | IIepcxiéns |  | contr. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ITepox ${ }^{\text {dézos }}$ | contr. | Пєрях入е̇ойs |  |
|  | Mr@cxdézi' | 6 | Пıgoxażz |  |
| A. |  | " | Перокגг'а |  |
| V . | Me@ix |  | contr. | Mepixdecs |

1. Of the neuters in as these two, viz. xépas horn and répas miracle, make $\alpha$ ros in the genitive, but drop the $z$ in the Ionic $d$ -
 ing, viz yinjoas old age, yípus howour, and zotas fech, always have aos only.
2. Hence arises the following contraction.

> EXAMPLE.

Sing. . Dhal Plural




Rex. The Iquians often change the $\alpha$ into $\varepsilon$, in the inflectloh,


$$
\bigcirc 55 .
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The comparatives in $\omega v$, neat. ov, gen. ovos, drop the $v$ in the accusative singular and the nominative, accuative, and vocative plyral, and contract the two vowels. It is here, however, to be remarked, that without this contraction the $\boldsymbol{v}$ is never dropped, even in the Ionic dialect.

## EXAMPLE.

Sing.
Nom. Meifar greater
Gen. Melisovos
Dat. $\mu \mathrm{L}$ i'Sove

Voc. $\mu$ हitov

Plur.

- $\mu$ elicones contr. meifous $\mu$ ç
$\mu \in 150 \sigma L^{(v)}$
$\mu e i{ }^{2}$ ovas contr. $\mu$ ei'íovs


Neuter pl. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon l \zeta 0 \nu \alpha$ contr. $\mu \varepsilon \ell \zeta 0$.
The dual remains unaltered.
Rex. Of the same character, though more violent, is the contraction familiar to the Attics of the accusative of the two names 'Anóldar -avos, and Hogeiowy -avos Neptune, viz.


Examples for practice in all the rules of the third declension.
Such letters preceding the termination of the case, as cannot be ascertained by the foregoing rules, are given in parentheses.
L. Examples of such as have consonants before the termination of the cuse.

| óajyxáv | elbow |  | Greece |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \eta \delta \omega^{\prime} \nu^{(0)}$ | nightingale |  | a Greek |
| óajo (z) | air | $\dot{\eta} \dot{\chi} \lambda \pi i s(\delta)$ | hope |
| ó aiving ( $\varepsilon$ ) | sky |  | strife |
| $\dot{\eta} \alpha \ddot{L} \xi(\gamma)$ | goat | ó $\vartheta \varepsilon ¢ \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu(0 \gamma \tau)$ | ) servant. |
|  | ray ${ }^{+}$ | o Ols (iv) | heap |
|  | statue |  | - hawk |
|  | axle |  | thong |
| ท ${ }^{\chi} \chi^{\top} \lambda \alpha \xi \xi$ ( $x$ ) | furrow |  | stair |
| $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime} \xi(\chi)$ | cough | $\dot{\eta}$ x $\eta$ dis (id) | spot |
| ó $\gamma^{\prime} ¢ \ldots \nu$ ( $0 \nu \tau$ ) | old man | ó $x \lambda \omega^{\prime} \nu$ | branch |
| ó ¢ $^{\text {¢ }}$ v่ $\psi(\pi)$ | griffin |  | splint |
| ó $\chi^{\prime} \psi \psi(\stackrel{v}{v}$ ) | vulture | ท̇ xógus ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ) | helmet |
| $\dot{\eta}$ dais ( $\boldsymbol{x}$ ) | meal | or xtzis ( $\varepsilon \dot{\nu}$ ) | comb |
|  | torch | ท̇ xúhıe (x) | cup |
| o dedøis (iv) | dolphin | тò $x \hat{u} \mu \alpha$ | wave |
|  | serpent | $\dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sim i \lambda \alpha \psi(\pi)$ | storm |
| ó $\Lambda \alpha^{\prime} x \omega \nu$ | Lacedemonian |  | flesh |
| o $\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} v_{\gamma \xi}(\gamma)$ | throat | ท $\boldsymbol{\text { ofconv }}$ | siren |
| $0^{\circ} \lambda<\mu \mu \dot{\eta} \nu(\varepsilon)$ | port | тó $\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | mouth |
|  | $\operatorname{lyn} x$ | $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\xi}(\gamma)$ | the Styx |
|  | scourge |  | Sphinx |
| o $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. $\quad$ : | month | $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ Tiguvs ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ) | (name of a city) |
| ó $\mu$ óvuv (iv) | (wooden tower) |  | louse. |
| xò yéx cao | nectar |  | vein |
|  | nail, talon |  | flame |
| - orpave ( $\gamma$ ) | quail | óque. | thief |
|  | udder | тò $\varphi \omega \bar{\omega}$ ( $\tau$ ) | light |
| ó $\pi \alpha \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(\bar{\alpha})$ | paean | ó $\chi \chi^{\prime} \lambda \nu \psi \psi(\beta)$ | steel |


| - тevons ( $\tau$ ) | poor man |  | swallow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o $\pi i v \alpha \xi(x)$ | tablet | $0^{\circ} \chi \chi{ }^{\text {n }}$ | goase |
| - $\pi 0<\mu \mu \chi^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ | shepherd | ท $\chi \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\theta} \omega^{\prime \prime}$ | earth |
|  | wing | ท $\chi$ ¢ $\omega^{\prime \prime \nu}$ (0) | snow |
| $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{(})$ | fold | $\dot{\eta} \chi^{\lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \nu s(\delta)}$ | military robe |
| io pis (iv) | nose | ó \% $\alpha^{\prime} \rho(\bar{\alpha})$ | starling |
| $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ Sodauis (iv) | Salamis | $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ | visage. |

II. Examples of such as have a vowel before the termination of the case, and are more or less contracted.

|  | flozeer | ó ógeús | mule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ó $\beta$ óxpus | grape | xò ǒoos | mountain |
| xò $\chi^{\text {zívos }}$ | race |  | sight, vision |
| \% $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{y}$ | jaze bone |  | persuasion |
|  | cover | ó пย่ไะxv¢ | $\alpha x$ (see § 51. 2.) |
|  | oak | тò тéxte¢ | pepper |
| of iллevis | - horseman | ท $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ itus | pine |
| тò хóruc | gum | ท $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ оinous | poetry |
| ท $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega^{\prime}$ | Latona | ท่ $\pi \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \xi \iota \varsigma$ | action |
| ó piáves | prophet | ó $\sigma \tau \alpha \chi \chi \nu$ ¢ | ear |
|  | mouse • | ท $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ qứ儿s | nature. |

§ 56. irregular declension.

1. Irregularity in the declension of nouns, as in the conjugation of verbs, has its origin for the most part in the existence of a twofold form of the same word. It is frequently the case.that the Greek language, particularly in the ancient and poetical dialect, has words of several terminations and forms of inflection, while the signification remains the same; as $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \rho$ and $\Delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\eta} \rho \alpha$. Ceres; $\delta \alpha \dot{x} \varrho v o v$ and $\delta \alpha \dot{x} \rho v$ tear. This latter form is older.
2. Occasionally, moreover, one form remained in use in one case and one in another; and thus 'a word became a true anomalon; see below Zev́s, pvví, vidoog, \&c. But often both forms con-
tinued in use in the same case, as viós som, G. vioù and vizós, and such an instance is called by the grammarians an aboudams.
3. When both forms suppose one nominative, from which they variously descend, the word is called a Heteroctice, as when Oiotmous makes in the G. Oidizodos and Oidinov. Whem however one of the forms supposes a different obsolete nominative, it is called a Metaplasm, as ồveцpov dream, Gen. óveipov and óveiparos, from the obsolete ojvijas.
4. The following words, of which some are Heteroclites and some Metaplasms, are worthy of particular note for the irregularity of their declensions.
5. 'Avig man belongs to the class of words like נexrif ( $\oint$ 47), bat it admits the syncope in all increasing cases, with an insertion
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \tilde{v}, \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma$. The poets, not Attic, make use of the original form of the genitive ávépos, \&c.
 बi, xúvas.
 $\pi u ́ x v \alpha$, afterwards also $\pi v w x o ́ s$, \&c.
6. Xei $\rho, \eta_{\dot{\eta}}$, hand, $\chi \in \iota \rho o_{S}$, in the G. D. dual $\chi \varepsilon \rho o i ̃ \nu$, and the $\mathbf{D}$. pl. $\chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma i .-B y$ the poets also $\chi \varepsilon \rho \circ \rho, \chi \varepsilon \rho i .{ }^{\prime}$
7. Opis hair has rocxós, \&zc. D. pl. Ө $\rho \iota \xi i$, according to § 18.3.

8. Г'́̀ $\lambda \alpha, \tau o ́, ~ m i l k, ~ G . ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha x \tau o s, ~ D . ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha x \tau \epsilon . ~$
9. "Tiw тós, \&c. D. pl. v̈ $\delta \alpha \sigma \iota$, \&c.
10. Гóvv, tó, knee, and dó $v^{\prime}$, tó, spear, have G. yóvazos, dó@ocos, \&rc. D. pl. yóvaou, \&c.-There is also an ancient genitive and dative, dooós, doןi, from dópv.-Ion. yoúvazos, dov́ןazos, \&c: Epic jovyós, dovípos, Pl. тà yoũva, doṽpa, \&cc.
11. $\Theta^{\prime} \mu / \rho, \dot{\eta}, j u s t i c e$, iThemis, $G$. in the ancient and epic form

12. Mágzvs witness, $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho o s, ~ \& z c . ~ A . ~ \mu \alpha ́ \rho z v \rho \alpha ~ a n d ~ \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v y, ~$ D. pl. $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \tau v \sigma \iota v$.
13. $N a \tilde{v} \dot{\rho}$, $\tilde{\eta}$, ship, Ionic $\nu \eta \tilde{v}$, is thus declined by the Attics,
 veшuv, D. vavaiv, A. vaṽs. (See $\beta$ oũs $§$ 50. 3.) The Ionians have




14. Zzu's Jupiter, G. Aiós; D. $\Delta u i$, A. $A l \alpha$, V. $Z \varepsilon \tilde{v}$, by the
 and $Z_{n} \boldsymbol{r}$.
15. Tuví woman, pvraıxós, puracxi, puvaixa, cò yúvac. . PI.


## Remarks.

1. To the Heteroclites are also to be added those in $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$, which are declined according to the first and third declensions; especially proper names like $\theta \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} s$, which makes commonly $G$. $\theta \alpha \lambda o \tilde{v}$, (or by the Ionics with a change of the accent, $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda z \omega$, D. $\Theta_{\alpha} \lambda \eta$, Acc. $\Theta_{\alpha} \lambda, \tilde{\eta} \nu,-b u t$ also $\Theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ vos \&cc. This holds of others in the accusative alone. All compound proper names, which have eos in the genitive, make the accusative in $\eta$ and $\eta \nu$, as
 manner "Apis Mars, G. "Apzos which is never contracted, D. "Apei," $A \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon$, Acc. "A $10 \eta$ and "A $\rho \eta y$. On the other hand many words in $n_{5}$, which belong to the first declension, are formed by the Ionics in the accusative singular and plural like the third, as


2. Another sort of Heteroclites are those in $c \varsigma$, which in their inflection sometimes do, and sometimes do not, assume a conso-



- All names formed like patronymics, as Midzcódns, Eteonilöns, \&c. and most others not compounded like $\Sigma \omega u x \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta s$ \&c. viz. Aioxivys,
 the firat declenion; with the exception of the lonicism mentioned in the text. The Latinn, on the contrary, form them according to the third declension, as Miliadia, Xerxis, \&c.

3. The nominative endings in $\omega s$ and $\omega \nu$ also exhibit a variety of changes, vizs

b) " $\omega$, G. $\omega$ and wos, as Mivos.
c) " $\omega s, G . \omega \tau 0 s$, which also sometimes drop the $\tau$. The word oi ídews sweat, ídןcute, ídןwiu, has also another form with
 contraction like xદ' $\rho \alpha \pi \iota, x \varepsilon^{\prime} \varrho \alpha$, but which also corresponds with the forms of the second declension Attic. The word of yélows -wros laughter has in the accusative $\gamma \dot{z} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ and yel $\chi \rho \omega \tau 0 s$ skin makes the dative $\chi \rho \tilde{j}$, but only in the proverbial

d) Nom. $\omega \mathrm{s}$ and $\omega v$. In these words, the double form occurs even in the nominative, as $\dot{\delta} \tau v \varphi \omega \dot{s}-\omega$, and $\tau v \varphi \omega^{\prime} \nu-\omega \nu 0 s$, zohirl wind.
4. The word viós is regularly declined according to the second declension, but receives also the following forms of the third declension, particularly in the Attic writers, viz. G. vízos, $D$.
 and visís.
 $\delta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \iota$, x $\rho i v \varepsilon \sigma$, , and also other cases, which suppose a nominative singular in os of the third declension.
5. It is also an instance of Metaplasm, when sometimes from masculines in of of the second declension plurals in $\alpha$ are formed, as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha}, \zeta \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha}, \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \dot{\alpha}$, $\sigma \tilde{i} \tau \alpha$, from ó $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu o ́ s f$ fetter, $\zeta u y o ́ s ~ y o k e e_{2}$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \cup \mu o ́ s$ balance, $\sigma \tilde{\tau} \tau 0 s$ food.
6. Some words of more recent or foreign origin have a very simple declension, as $\Phi_{\iota} \lambda \tilde{\eta} s, G . \Phi_{b} \lambda \dot{\eta}$, D. $\Phi_{\iota} \lambda \tilde{\eta}$, A. $\Phi_{\iota} \lambda \tilde{\eta} v$. .l $\eta-$

7. An anomaly of a very curious kind exists in the epic dialect, in the very common final syllable $\varphi \iota \nu$ and $\varphi \iota$, which is used instead of the dative or genitive singular or plural, being appended to words in the following manner, viz. ot@atós army, orן



- 

§ 57. defectives and indeclinables.

1. Defective nouns are chiefly such as, in their-nature, cannotwell occar in more than one number, particularly the following. plurals; $\tau \alpha^{\prime \prime} \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma x \alpha \tau \alpha$ entrails, of $\bar{\varepsilon} \tau \eta \sigma i \alpha c$ trade winds, and the names of festivals, as $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ पıovvíco the feast of Bacchus:
2. Certain words are defective, which only occur in particular connexions; such are the following, viz.

The neuters övaן vision, and $\ddot{\text { v̈rá }}$ real appearance, only used as nominative and accusative.



M'́ $\lambda \eta$, instead of $\mu \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \eta$, shoulder, in the phrase vino $\mu \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda \eta s$ emender the arm.
3. Lastly there are nouns defective in particular cases; such in prose are the following, viz.
 ¢ןváce, all which are cases of an obsolete nominative $A P P H N$, Gea. $A P P E N O \Sigma$, and by syncope $\alpha \rho \gamma o$ g. The want of a nom-


Hez'opus an old nan has-in this signification only Acc. лৎع́oßuv, V. лৎz'oßv. In the signification of ambassador it has only oi $\pi \rho$ ofecs \&cc. $\mathbf{D}$ sןéoßéoc. The cases here wanting are borrowed from $\pi \rho \varepsilon \in \beta \dot{v} \tau \eta \zeta$ an old man, and $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \tau \eta$ 's an ambassador.
4. Indeclinabtes are for the most part only some foreign names, .as $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \chi \alpha$ Easter, and among them the names of the letters of the alphabet, as $\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda \varphi \alpha, \mu \tilde{v}, \& c$. Of pure Greek words, most of the cardinal numbers are also indeclinable. ( $\S 70$.

$$
\S 58 . \text { ADJECTIVES. }
$$

1. There are in Greek, as in Latin, adjectives both of two and of three endings; in the former, the masculine and ferninine gender have a common form.
2. The feminine of adjectives of three endingstalways follows the first declension of nouns.
3. The neuter has in the nominative, and of course in all the like cases (see § 33. Rem. 5), always one form ; which, however, in the remaining cases is uniformiy declined like the masculine.

Remira. It is therefore only necessary, in order to decline the adjective correctly, to know all the parts of the nominative, and the genitive of the masculine.

## § 59. adjectives in os.

1. Most numerous are the adjectives in os, which correspond to the Latin in $u s$, and have, like those, either three endings, viz. masc. os, fem. $\eta$ or $\bar{\alpha}$, neut. $0 v$,-or two endings, viz. com: os, neut.'ov.

Note. For the few with the neuter in 0 , see the pronoun § 74.
2. The greater part are of three endings, and these, when a vowel' or $\varrho$ precedes, have in the fem. $\bar{\alpha}, G$. . $s$, otherwise always



 olos wonderful, जrios divine, roũgos light, גeios smooth, גevxós white, $\mu$ óvos alone, $\sigma 0$ pós wise, oxג noós hard.

Rem. 1. But those in oos have $\eta$, as.ö $\gamma \delta 00$ s the eighth, ö $\gamma \delta 0 \eta$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} 0 o^{\prime}, ~ s w i f t, \vartheta \circ \dot{\eta}$. But if $\rho$ precede, these also have $\alpha$, as $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\theta} \rho_{o ́ o s}$ frequent, $\alpha \vartheta \rho^{\prime} \alpha$. The feminine in $\alpha$ is long. With respect to the accent see above § 34 Rem. III.
3. Of two endings are such as these, viz. $\delta^{\circ}$ and $\eta^{\dot{\eta}} \beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \beta \alpha \rho o s$
 others also, which are commonly of three endings.
4. In an especial manner belong to the adjectives of two endings all compounds, which, without any particular derivational


 whitish, although the simple is $\lambda \varepsilon u x o^{\prime} s, \dot{\eta},{ }^{\prime} \nu$.

Also adjectives formed in this manner from compoend vertm,

5. All adjectives derived from other worth by the manifest addition of the derivational endings xos, 205 , vos, pes, ros, res.an $\mu a v \tau i x o ́ s$ from $\mu \alpha \dot{v c t s}$, dectós and detvós from 1EIS, qeveroio,
 least in prose, of three endings.

On the other hand, among the adjectives in mos, cos, zas, acos. are several of common gender. -

Rem. 2. When an adjective has one of these terminationa, ad is also compounded, a confict of the different analogies emmen with respect to which the following is to be obeerved.
a) The compounds in xos have not their immediate origio ina composition, bat are only derived from compound words; they have therefore always three endings, as zindectxrixós, $\dot{i}$, óv, from

b) Other verbals, when they are first compounded adjec
 Өєóлvevaros, ov, inspired; лаidevtós, $\dot{\eta}$, óv, from лeudeiom, cizeidevios, ov, untaught. When, however, they are derived from compound verbs, the usage varies between the two farmatione.

## §60. CONTRACTS in ous.

1. Some adjectives in oos are contracted, viz.
a) Those of common gender, which are formed by campai tion from contracts of the second declension, like roūs, $\pi 200 \dot{v}_{\text {, }}$ a عüvoos, દüvoov, favourably disposed, contr. єüvous, züvour, G. \&ưvou, $\& c$. 'The neuter ploral in o $\alpha$ remains unaltered in this form, $a$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\partial} v o \alpha$ from $\tilde{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ous senseless.
 twofold, \&c. which have the peculiarity, that they uniormhty costract $\dot{\partial} \eta$ and $\dot{o} \alpha$ into $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$. E. g.



[^27]2. Some adjeotives also in eos, expressing a mberance or material, are contracted, with a transposition of the accent; e.g.


When another vowel or $\rho$ precedes, the feminine is contracted


 like óoría ógrã. See above § 36.

## §61. adjectives in $\omega$.

Adjectives in $\omega s$, resembling the second Atlic declension, are
 So too $\alpha \xi c o ́ x \rho \varepsilon \omega s$ worthy, and $\varepsilon \dot{y} \gamma \varepsilon \omega s$ fruifful.

Rem. 1. Some of these form the neuter also in $\omega$, as $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{n} \rho \omega=$ s not growing old, neut. $\alpha \gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega=1$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \rho \omega$. - For the abundants, -which make in the gen. $\omega$ and $\omega \tau 0 \varsigma$, see below $\S 63$ Rem. 2.

Rem. 2. Of three endings there is only one simple, viz. ateows, full, $\pi \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha, \pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega v$, neut. pl. $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$. For $\sigma \omega \bar{s}$ see below in § 64.3 .

The remaining forms of adjectives of three endings are the following, viz.

1. $v S, \varepsilon \iota \alpha, v .-\gamma \lambda u x u ́ s, y \lambda v x \varepsilon i \alpha, \gamma \lambda u x \dot{v}$, sweet, (G. eos) G. masc. and neut. pluxéos.
 ทidu's sweet, ōve sharp, wxus swift.
2. $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma, \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha, \varepsilon \nu$.- $\chi \alpha \varrho i \varepsilon \iota \varsigma, \chi \alpha \rho i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha, \chi \alpha \rho i \varepsilon \chi_{,}$charming; .

$$
\text { (G. } \varepsilon v z o s) \quad \text { G. } \chi \alpha 0 \text { pievtos. }
$$


3. $\alpha \varsigma, \alpha \iota \nu \alpha, \alpha v$.— $\mu \dot{z} \lambda \alpha \varsigma, \mu \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha, \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \alpha v$, black, (G. avos) G. $\mu \dot{z} \lambda^{\alpha} \dot{v} v o s$.

The only other is $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varsigma$ woretched.
4. The following separate 'eramples, vin.

тégทv, זégesva, xépev, G. evos, tender.
ėxàv, ėxoũ $\alpha$, ėxóv, $G$. óvros, willing.
 , $\pi \tilde{\kappa_{s}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha, ~ \pi \tilde{\alpha}, ~ G . ~ \pi \alpha v t o ́ s, ~ a l l, ~ t h e ~ w h o l e . ~}$
Comp. ब $\dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma, \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \varsigma$.
Rem. 1. The neuter roũv is long only as a monooyllable; in composition it is, agreeably to analogy, short ; as $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \varsigma, \ddot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$, $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu$, all together. With respect to the accent on the genitive and dative plural, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu, \pi \alpha \sigma \omega$, see above § 43 Rem. 3.

Rem. 2. A part of the participles are declined like éxón and $\pi \tilde{\alpha} s$. For these, all of which have three endings, see below $\oint$ 88. 8.

Rem. 3. From the adjectives in $\varepsilon \iota \rho$ arise several contracts,
 o $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha_{2} \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \nu$, into oũs, oữ $\sigma \sigma \alpha$, oũv, viz.
$\tau \iota \mu \gamma_{1}, \tau \iota \mu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \sigma \alpha, \tau \iota \mu \tilde{\eta} \nu$, G. $\tau \iota \mu \eta \nu \tau 0 \varsigma$, from $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ honoured, \&c.
 full of homey, \&c. (See $\& 43$ Rem. III.)
§ 63. adjectives of one or two endings.

1. The remaining forms of adjectives in two endings, all according to the third declension, are the following.
a) $\eta \varsigma$, neut. $\varepsilon \varsigma--\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \forall \eta \eta_{\rho}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \varsigma$, true, .
(G. èos, contr. oũs) G. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta a \tilde{v} s$.



(G. ovos)

Examples, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{v} \mu a v$ with long $v$, blameless, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu \omega v$ uroccupied, cúyvópuv well disposed.

(G. 605)

There are very, few examples of this last kind.
d) The following simple word, viz, $\alpha^{\rho} \rho \varrho \eta \nu$ or $\mu^{\mu} \rho \sigma \eta \nu, ~ n e ́ u t$.

2. Besides these, there are adjectives formed from a substantive merely by composition, and retaining as closely as possible the termination and declension of the substantive, as may best be seen in the examples. These are all of common gender, and have a neuter, when analogy admits of one; e. g.

$\alpha^{\alpha} \delta \alpha x \rho v s, \tilde{\alpha} \delta \alpha x \rho v$, G. vos, from $\tau \dot{\partial} \delta^{\alpha} \dot{x} \rho \varrho v, v o s$.
Sometimes, however, there is in the termination a change of $\eta$ into $\omega$, and $\varepsilon$ into 0 , as from $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, é $\varrho o s$, comes $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \rho, ~ o \rho, ~ G$. o@os, fatherless ; from $\varphi \rho \eta^{\prime} \nu, \varphi \rho \in \nu \dot{s}$, understanding, comes $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \varphi \rho \omega{ }^{\prime}$, ov, G. ovos, intelligent.

Rew. 1. Compounds of noũs, $\pi$ ooós, foot, regularly follow their substantive, as ditious, ditodos, twofooted; but in the neuter they have $\delta i \pi o u v$ (as $\varepsilon \dot{v} v o u s$, $\varepsilon \underset{v}{v} v o u v$, from the contracted second declension), which they decline according to the general rule, like the masculine. ( $\$ 58.3$.)

Rem. 2. Compounds of yédos, wios, laughter, commonly forsake the declension of this substantive, and follow the Attic second declension (§61); as also those formed from x'́ $\rho \alpha \varsigma$, x $\dot{\in} \rho \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma$, horn, with a change of the $\alpha$ into $\omega$. Both, however, have also the genitive $\omega \tau 0 \varsigma$, as $9 \iota \lambda_{0}^{\circ} \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega s, \delta i x \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$, neut. $\omega \nu$, G. $\omega$ and $\omega \tau o s$. (See § 56 Rem. 3. c.)
3. When analogy does not admit of the formation of a neuter, it remains an adjective of one ending, which, however, is only masculine and feminine, and not also neuter, as it is in Latin; thus $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta} \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota s, \delta o s$, childless, from $\pi \alpha i ̃ s ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta o ́ s, ~ a l s o ~ o ~ a n d ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha-$ x $\rho \circ \dot{\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho, ~} \rho \circ$ s, long handed, from $\chi \varepsilon i \rho$, \&c.

Rem. 3. There are some common adjectives of one ending in



Rem, 4. There are several common adjectives in $\alpha s$, G. a $\delta O S$, as quyós fugitive, $\lambda o \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ chosen, \&c. and a few in us and vg, G. $\iota \delta o s, v \delta o s$, as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota s$, z̈r $\eta \eta \lambda v s$. Commonly, however, those in $\alpha s$ and us, G. Dos, are only feminine, and become, by the omission of the substantive, substantives themselves, as $\eta^{\eta} \mu \alpha \nu \alpha^{\prime} S$ ( $\mathrm{sc} . \gamma v \nu \eta^{\prime}$ ) the Bacchante, $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i s(s c . \gamma \tilde{\eta})$ native country.

Rem. 5. Several adjectives also are only masculines; so particularly $\gamma \ell \rho a v$, ovzos, old ; rétopvs old ( $(57.3$ ); $\pi \dot{\prime} \eta \eta s$, rtiv-
 to the first declension.

## gXamples of the declension or adjectives．

Sing．

| N． $\mathbf{N o p o s}$ | $\sigma 0 \varphi \eta \eta^{\prime}$ | póv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00qที่ | －090 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ |
| D．$\sigma 0 \varphi \boldsymbol{\psi}$ |  | 0090 |
| A． －0¢ón | коч $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\nu}$ | co¢óv |
| V．бочé | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ | бо甲óv． |

Dual．
N．A．V．$\sigma о \varphi \omega \dot{\sim} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha^{\prime} \sigma о \varphi \omega \dot{\prime}$
G．D．$\sigma 0 \varphi o i v$ бogaiv $\sigma o g o i v$

| Plur． <br> N．бочоi | бояas： | боя\％${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G． $00 \varphi \omega{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| D．oogoís | oopais | oopoís |
| A．oopous | oopós | бо甲 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| V．оо甲ol | ooqai | б0\％$\alpha^{\prime}$ |

Maxpós long．
Sing．
N．$\mu \alpha x \rho o ́ s{ }_{\boldsymbol{s}} \mu \alpha x \rho \dot{\alpha}$ $\mu \alpha x \rho o ́ v$


A．$\mu \alpha x \rho o v$ $\mu \alpha x \rho \alpha v$ $\mu \alpha x \rho o ́ v$

Dual．
N．A．V．$\mu \alpha x \rho \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha x \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \quad \mu \alpha x \rho \omega^{\prime}$
G．D．$\mu \alpha x \rho o i ̂ \nu \mu \alpha x \rho \alpha i ̃ \nu ~ \mu \alpha x \rho o i ̃ v$
Plur．

ildews gracious．

| Sing． | ildeny | Dual． | Plur． <br> N． | \％2800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G．inem | deav |  | G．${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \in \omega \nu$ |  |
| D．ī̀qa！ |  |  | D．inzups |  |
| A． $7 \lambda \varepsilon \omega \nu$ |  |  | A．ildews | Tild 0 |
| V．inz ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ëlecov |  | V．ildeas | ilicos |

yגuxúg sweet．
Sing．
N．$\chi^{2} \nu x v ́ s ~ j \lambda u x \varepsilon i \alpha ~ \gamma \lambda u x v ́$


A．$\gamma \lambda \nu x v ่ v \quad \gamma \lambda v x \varepsilon i \alpha v y \lambda u x \nu ́$
V．yגuxú $\quad$ д $\lambda v x \varepsilon i ̃ \alpha ~ \gamma \lambda u x u ́ ~$
Dual．
N．A．V．$\gamma \lambda \nu x \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \quad-x \varepsilon i \alpha \quad-x \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon}$

Plur．
N．$\gamma \lambda v x \varepsilon \in \varepsilon s$ zis－xsĩal－xéa
G．$\gamma \lambda u x \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$－xecionv－xé $\omega \nu$

A．$\dot{j} \lambda \nu x z^{\prime} \alpha s$ عis－xeias－－x＇́a
V．$\not \lambda u x \varepsilon ́ e s$ عĩs－xeĩą－xéa
xopises charming．
Sing．
N．$\chi \alpha \rho i \varepsilon \iota s-\rho i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha-\rho i \varepsilon \nu$


A．$\chi \alpha \varrho i \varepsilon v \tau \alpha-\rho l \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu-\rho i \varepsilon v$
V．$\chi \alpha \rho l \varepsilon i(\varepsilon v)-\rho i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha-\rho i \varepsilon v$
Dual．
N．A．V．$\chi \alpha \rho l \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon-\varepsilon \dot{\theta} \sigma \sigma \alpha-\varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon$
G．D．$\chi \alpha \varrho \iota \varepsilon ̇ \nu \tau 0 \iota \nu-z^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \nu-\varepsilon ่ \nu \tau 0 \omega \nu$
Plur．
N．$\chi \alpha \varrho i \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma-\rho i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha i \quad-\rho i \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$


A．$\chi \alpha \rho t \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon s-\rho \in \notin ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha s-\rho l \varepsilon v \tau \alpha$.
V．$\chi \alpha \rho i \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma-\rho i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota-\rho i \varepsilon v \tau \alpha$

| meilas black. | Iėxaiv muilling. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sing. <br>  | Sing. exaiv exxoũo ex exáv |
|  | G. éxóvios Exovions exozotos |
|  | D. ėxóvet exxoùn éxóvze |
|  |  |
|  | -V. éxour ėxoǘa éxón |
| Dual. | Dual. |
|  | N.A.V. ėxóvet éxovod eixovie |
|  |  |
| $P$ | Plu |
|  | N. ėxóvees ėxoṽбаи |
|  | G. exóvzav exxovaion éxóvzov |
|  | D. ėxoũa ėxov'dats éxoũa |
|  | A. exoóvzas exovías exóvia |
| V. $\mu$ ¢ $\lambda \alpha \nu \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \iota ~ \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha$ | V. ėxóvtes ėxoũ̃ą ėxóvta |


| $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ all. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sing. rias all. |  |  | Sing. | $\alpha \lambda \eta t \varepsilon$ |
| G. maviós |  | пuvtós | G. ádrotios oũs. |  |
| D. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ | $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ | $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ |  |  |
| A. $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ | $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ | $\pi \hat{\alpha}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ | A. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \eta \theta \dot{c}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ | $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \forall \neq \dot{s}$ |
| V. $\pi \bar{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dual. <br> N.A.V. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \quad \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ <br> G. D. $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau 0 \iota \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota \nu$ |  |  | Dual. |  |
|  |  | $\pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | G. D. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ย̇óv |  |
| Plur. ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\underset{N T}{ }$ | jinicon |
|  | $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\pi \alpha \sigma \omega \bar{\nu}$ | $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ |  | $\alpha \lambda \eta \geqslant r \alpha \eta$ |
| D. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ | $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha<\bar{s}$ | лã̃ı | D. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ |  |
| A. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ | $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha ¢$ | $\pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ |  | $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{i}$ |
| V. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon ¢$ | $\pi \dot{\alpha} \alpha{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \hat{\theta} \dot{\alpha} \alpha \hat{\eta}$ |

## $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ blameless.

Sing.

Duăl.

D дцијроия


G. D. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \mu o ́ v o ̣ \imath ~$
G. $\alpha \mu \nu \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \omega \nu$


| üdois skilful． |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sing． |  | Dual． | Plur． |  |
| N．idocs | ïdo | N．A．V． İdote $^{\text {d }}$ | N． 1 İotes | żdoca |
| G．＂idocos |  | G．D．idoloir | G．idpiav |  |
| D． 1 ídou |  |  | D．${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \rho 6 \sigma 6$ |  |
| A．$̇$ İpav |  | － | A．ídocas | 180¢ |
| V．${ }^{\text {čdo }}$ |  |  |  | idoca |

## § 64．anomalous and defective adjectives．

1．The two adjectives $\mu$＇íras great，and modu＇s much，many，make from this simple form，in the nominative and accusative singular
 All the rest，with the whole feminize gender，is derived from the obsolete forms MELAAOL，$\eta, o v$ ，and $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o^{\prime}$ ，$\dot{r}$ ，óv．E．g．

| N．$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \underline{\alpha}$ |  | $\pi 0 \lambda$ | $\pi$ | поди̇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | тоגдой | тоג入壁 | nodiovi |
| D．$\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} ¢$ |  | по入גï | тоגд！ | тодג\％ |
| A．$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \nu$ | $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$ р $\epsilon \gamma \alpha$ | поגı̈y | поג八̈ㄴ | лои⿱亠乂． |

The dual and plural are regularly formed as from adjectives in os，viz．$\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega, \alpha, \omega^{\cdot} \mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda o t, \alpha c, \alpha \cdot \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i, \alpha l, \alpha^{\prime}, \& c$ ．
 lar forms of rodús are found in the Epic dialect，as лohéos，rodi $\dot{\varepsilon}$ ， Eis，\＆c．

2．requos mild，meek；is in this form used only in the maśculine and neuter singular．The feminine and the neuter plural are bor－ rowed from a form $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\ddot{s}}$（Ion $\pi \rho \eta \ddot{v}$ s）used in the dialects ；ac－ cordingly we find fem．$\pi \rho \alpha \varepsilon i \alpha$, neut．pl．$\pi \rho \alpha \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha$ ．We also．find in the nom．pl：masc．both $\pi \rho \tilde{c} c \iota$ and $\pi \rho \alpha \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \varsigma$ ，G．only $\pi \rho \frac{\varepsilon}{\dot{c}} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ．

3．$\sigma \tilde{\omega} s$ safe，contr．from $E A O \Sigma$ ，has from this form only ow̃s of the common gender，Acc．and neut．$\sigma \omega \tilde{\nu}$ ，Acc．pl．$\sigma \tilde{s}$ ．Rarely the fem．sing．and neut．pl．$\sigma \tilde{\alpha}$ ．All the rest is from $\sigma \tilde{\omega} o s, \alpha$, ov．

4．Defectives are chiefly these，viz．qœoũ $\delta o s, \eta$ ，ov，vanished， gone，which is used only in the nominative of all the genders and numbers；$\pi \dot{r}$ rvc $\alpha$ venerable，sovereign，used only in the feminine．
§65. DEGREES or comparison.
72. 1. The Greeks have the three degrees of comparison, Positive, Comparative, and Superlative, and a separate form for each. , This form is common to the three genders, which are distinguished only by their appropriate termination.
2. The most common form of comparison is $-\tau \varepsilon \rho \circ s, a$, ov for the comparative, and - $\tau \alpha \tau 0 s, \eta$, ov for the superlative.
3. Adjectives in os drop their $s$ before this termination, if $\alpha$ lang syllable precede; and they retain their a unchanged; e.g.

ioxūós strong, íquyóze@os, tazos
тlozós faithful, ruorérspos, zeros.
Also after mutes before liquids (§ 7. 10.) in prose, as eqodpós vehement, opodoózazos.
4. If a shert syllable precede, the $o$ is changed into $\omega$; e.g.

xalocos timely, xalonóregos, rates
x $\alpha \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \rho о ́ s ~ p u r e, ~ x \alpha \vartheta \alpha \rho a ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho о s, ~ \tau \alpha \tau 0 s . ~$

Rem. 1. Some adjectives in os, particularly in the Attic writers, instead of 0 or $\omega$, take $\alpha \iota$ or es or $6 s$, as pécos in the midst,
 ג $\alpha \lambda i \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho o s$.

Rem. 2. Some in acos wholly omit the $o$, as $\dot{\gamma}$ goato's old, $y$ spaiť@us. So too $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ́ s, ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha i o s, ~ \pi є \rho \alpha i ̃ o s . ~$

Rem. 3. qidos dear, friend, commonly doee the same, as pthzupas, quidatas, or inserts $\alpha \iota$, as guioírepog, razes.

Rem. 4. The contracts in $\varepsilon 0 \varsigma, o v s$, contract the $\varepsilon \omega$ into $\omega_{\text {, as }}$
 hand, take an $\varepsilon \varsigma$, in the uncontracted form, according to Rem. 1, as

§66. adjectives in $v \varsigma_{2} \alpha \xi, \eta \xi, \varepsilon<\zeta \subseteq$.

1. Of other adjectives, those in $v s$ merely drop the $s$, as $\varepsilon \dot{v} \rho v^{\prime} s$ broad, sụ̉úżŋos, zatós.
2. The same holde of those in ws, G. avos, which however 73 here resume the $\%$ which had been dropped before the $\rho$, as $\mu \mathrm{d}$ $\lambda \alpha s$ black, G. $\mu$ é $\lambda \alpha \nu 0 s-\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \varepsilon @ o s$.
3. Those in $\eta \rho$ and $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ shorten this termination into $\varepsilon \varsigma$; e. $g$.


$\chi \alpha 0 i \in e s$ charming, G. evtos, $\chi \alpha \varrho \iota$ żotaros

4. The other adjectives take most frequently terorpas, more rately larepos, and undergo the same change before it, as before the termination of the case; as $\tilde{\alpha}^{\alpha} \varphi \rho \omega \nu$ irrational, G. $\dot{\alpha} q \rho o v-06$, compar. $\alpha^{\alpha} \varphi \rho о \nu-\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho 0 \varsigma^{*}$ ä $\rho \pi \alpha \xi$ rapacious, G. $\alpha^{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma$-os, compar. $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma-$ - $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma$.

## §.67. COMPARI*ON BY cov, cetog.

1. A much less frequent form of comparison is the following, viz. com.-iov, neut. -iov, for the comparative, and '-iotos, $\eta$, ov for the superlative.

Nore. For the mode of declension, see above in § $55 \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} l \boldsymbol{\zeta} \omega v$.
2. This form of comparison is adopted as follows, viz.
a) By some adjectives in $v s$, as $\mathfrak{\eta} \dot{\jmath} \dot{s} s$ sweet, $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta l \omega \nu, ~ \eta ̈ \delta \iota \sigma \tau o s . ~$
b) By some' in $\rho 0 s$, with the omission of the $\rho$, as aio $\chi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ base, aioxion, diбxlotos.
3. In some comparatives of this form the preceding consonant
 xorios, bas this for its most common form of cemparison, and also takes $a \theta$ in the begining; as $\theta_{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, neut. $\theta_{\dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o v, ~ A t t . ~}^{\hat{L}} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \tau$ $\tau \omega v, \theta \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau o v$. Hence it appears that the $\tau$ in $\tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \nu \bar{s}$ had its origin in $\dot{\theta}$, according to § 18 .

Rem. This form of comparison always has the accent on the antepenult, if the quantity of the last syllable admits it; as $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{u} s$,


Rem. 2. Of the adjectives in vs only vioús and záqus adopt this form commonly ; most of them adopt the regular form uxegos and $\dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha{ }^{2} 0$, and a few only take the other form, particularly in the poets.

Rem. 3. Of those in oós the following belong here, viz. ai-
 these the other form is also in use, and of oixcoo's the comparative in $i \omega v$ is not used.

Rem. 4. Here too is to be reckoned $\mu \alpha x \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ long, on account of its forms of comparison $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega v$ for $\mu \alpha x i \omega \nu$, superl. $\mu \dot{\eta} \times \iota \sigma \tau 0 s,-$ in which latter there is also a change of the vowel, so as to conform to $\tau 0 \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} x o s$ length. More common, however, are the forms махюо́тє९оя, $\mu \alpha \times \rho о ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma . ~$

## § 68. lrregular comparison.

Sey̧eral adjectives have quite an anomalous comparison, by which they derive the comparative and superlative degrees from obsolete forms of the positive. . Where there is more than one form of comparison for one positive, each of the comparative forms usually has one of the more definite significations of the positive, or is used by preference in particular connexions; of which, however, the single instances must.be left to observation.

EXAMPLES.

Comp.
 $\beta$ عдтíav
$x \rho z i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ or x@cítr $\omega \nu$


75 In the elder poets the regular comparative corresponding to $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 s$ is still found, viz. $\alpha \rho \varepsilon i \omega v,{ }^{*}$ and of $x \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \sigma \tau o s$ even the


[^28]2．xaxós bad

| sackion <br> xeloar <br> グбown or $\ddot{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |

> xáx_бzos
> zeipuazos
> ク̈хцбтоs.

The form $\ddot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ has only the signification of worse，weaker， more useless，and is always ppposed to x＠elizcu．The superlative－ $\ddot{\eta} \times c \sigma \tau 0 s$ is little used；though the neut．pl．$\ddot{\eta} x<\sigma \tau \alpha$ occurs fre－ quently as an adverb．

3．$\mu z ́ \gamma \alpha s$ great，$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$（Ion．$\mu$ źSonv）$\mu z ̇ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o s . ~$

5．ádiyos few，$\quad \mu \varepsilon i \omega v>$ ỏdijıaros．
The ancient positive was EAAXTE The regtar forms


The Attics in certain phrases use $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$ for the neut．$\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ o v$,




The Ionians，who make opidios in the positive，compare thus，


 mon in the masculine and feminine．

|  |  | reлaitazos． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11．$\pi$ liwn fat； | тио́тв¢оя | льо́tктоя． |

## § 69．DEFECTIVE COMPARISON．

1．There are also defective comparisons，which have no pos－ itive；and in this yiew we may regard some of the anomalous comparisons given above，as $\ddot{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \nu, x \rho \varepsilon i \tau \tau \omega \nu, \lambda \nLeftarrow \sigma \pi o s$, ，src．।

2．To the class of defective comparisons may be referred the 76 adjectives derived from particles，and those which＇indicate sequence．

Most of them have other additional ariomilies, to be learned by particular observation, viz.
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha i \tau \varepsilon p o s-\tau \alpha \tau o s$, from $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ near ; as also in Latin, prope, propior, proximus.
$\pi \rho o ́ z e \rho o s ~ t h e ~ f o r m e r, ~ p r i o r, ~ \pi \rho o u ̈ t o s ~ t h e ~ f i r s t, ~ f r o m ~ \pi \rho o ́ ~ b e f o r e . ~$


vorepos -totros, the latter, the last.
Rem. 1. In the poets, particularly the epic, many forms of comparison of this kind are found, as qéprepos, qégraros, also qéocoros, braver, better, which may be connected with the positive $\alpha y \alpha \vartheta \vartheta{ }^{\prime} \prime$ s. In the same way may be considered as defective some in iov, cotos, when there is a corresponding abstract substantive in os, as deylowy more dreadful, néedcoros most crafiy, ï $\psi c o t o s$ highest, from the substantives $\tau$ o eíyos horror, xepoos cunning, v̈чos height.

Rem. 9 . It is a case somewhat different, when from a substantive used adjectively, degrees of comparison are formed, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{\sim}$
 x $\lambda$ sfriatatos moat thievish.

## § 70. numerals.-The cardinal numbers.

1. The candinal el's one is thus declined:

| M. | F. | N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. eft, | $\mu \mathrm{lo}$, | Ev |
| G. ėvós, | $\mu \sim \tilde{\alpha} S_{\text {, }}$ | Evoog, erc. |

Here is to be observed the irregularity of the accent in $\mu i \alpha, \mu c \tilde{\alpha} s$, $\mu<\tilde{q}, \mu i \alpha \nu$.

From $\varepsilon \tau \bar{s}$ are formed, by composition with the negative particles ov'dé and $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime}$, the negative adjectives, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M. - F. N. }
\end{aligned}
$$

77 In the declension of these derivatives the accent of the primitive is retained, as ovidzvós, ovidzuious, \&ec.
2. The cardinal foo two has of coume the dual form, vis. N. A. дive, G. D. סvaĩr.

The Attics also said duciv, but only in the genitive. They also use dío indeclinably in the genitive and dative. The dual ä $\mu$ gow both will be given below in $\oint 78$.
3. The cardinal tpein three is thus declined:
M. \& F.
N. vesĩ
N.
G. ' трапй
D. rpati (v)
A. tןeĩs. $\quad$ t
4. тéaccupes or zétrapes four.
M. \& $\mathbf{F}$.
N.
 тย่бокра


A. téoo $\alpha \rho \alpha s$ т $\dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \propto$
5. The remaining single numbers as far as ten, and the round numbers up to a hundred, are not declined. They are as follows, viz.

| มévxe | five |
| :---: | :---: |
| 號 | six |
|  | seven |
| óxrá | eight |
|  | nine |
| de'xa | ten |
| عixoor (v) | trwenty |
| тоса́вогта | thirty |
|  | forty |
|  | fifty |
|  | sixty |
| i $\beta$ dou $\dot{\eta} \times 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ | seventy |
| ỏydoj̀xоvta | eighty |
| Ėvข¢vท่xovta | ninety |
| ėxczóv | hundred |

6. The following is the usual form of those cardinals which are compounded of the units and tens, viz. ह̈vdex elèven, $\delta$ wid $\varepsilon x \alpha$ tweloe, $\tau \rho \iota \varsigma x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha$ thirteen, $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha$, $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha$, $̇ x x \alpha i d \varepsilon x \alpha$, द̇лt $\alpha x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha$, óx $\tau \omega x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha$, $̇ v \nu \varepsilon \alpha x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha$.

Less common are $\delta \varepsilon x \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon i g, ~ \delta \varepsilon x \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \varepsilon, \& c$.
In these compositions $\tau \rho \varepsilon i ́ S$ and $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$ are also inflected, as $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha, \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \sigma \iota x \alpha i \delta \varepsilon x \alpha, \delta \varepsilon x \alpha \tau \rho \omega \tilde{\nu}, . \& c$.
7. The remaining compound numbers are usually written separately, and when the smaller number precedes, they are connected


8. The round numbers after a husdred are regularly inflected, viz.

| ঠ८ахо́б८оя, | $\alpha \iota, \alpha$ | twoo hundred |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| т@८ахо́бсои, | $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | three hundred |
| тєт¢ахо́б儿O¢, | $\alpha \iota, \alpha$ | four hundred |
|  | $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | five hundred |
|  | $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | six hundred |
|  | $\alpha \iota, \alpha$ | seven hundred |
| ỏxčuxóvoon, | $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | eight hundred |
|  | $\alpha \ell, \alpha$ | nine hundred |
| xideoc, | $\alpha \ell, \alpha$ | a thousand |
| desxilicoe | $\alpha l, \alpha$ | two thotsand |
| - tocsxilicou, | $\alpha \iota, \alpha$ | three thousand |
|  | $\alpha c, \alpha$ | four thousand |
|  | $\alpha!, \alpha$ | ten thousand |
| dısuv@ıgo | $\alpha \ell, \alpha$ | twenty thousand. |

Remark. When other words are compounded with these num-
 four ; as $\mu$ ovoxs $\rho \omega s$, , $\delta$ ixe@ws, \&c. The other numbers are usually formed in 0 and $\alpha$, as $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha-\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho o s, \chi(\lambda c o-\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha v \tau o s$.

* The $\alpha$ in $\pi \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \kappa o ́ \sigma c o \iota$ and the subsequent numerals is short.

$\ddagger$ Mvoloc used indefinitely, like the English word myriad, is distinguished by its accent.


## § 71.` ordinal and other derivative nukbers.

1. The two first ordinal numbers are two defective forms of $7 \mathbf{7}$ comparison, viz.

devitegos the second.
The others are the following, viz.

| reiros | third |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | fourth |
| $\pi \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \tau 0 S^{*}$ | fifth |
| ėx | sixth |
| \%pdouos. | seventh |
| öydoos | cighth |
| Ėvoros | - minth |
| 8̇zatios | tenth |
| Ėvḋxatos | eleventh |
| doodéxaitos | twelfth |
|  | thirteenth |
|  | - fourteenth \&c. |
| عixoбtós | twentieth |
| тpıarootós | thirtieth |
| тfббんのахобtós | fortieth \&c. |
| éxurootós | hundredth |
| diaxoбcootós | two hundredth \&e. |
| xeגcootós | thousandth |
|  | ten ìhousandth. |

Here also in composition, the small number usually precedes
 xai ioctuontós. To these ordinal numbers the interrogative form sóoros refers, which cannot be rendered by any one word in English; in.Latin quotus?
2. The numeral adverbs, which answer to the question how


[^29] is soodंx८s, how many times?

The following are the adjectives, which answer to the question how-many-fold? viz. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \dot{o} o s$ contr. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o u ̃ s ~ s i m p l e, ~ \delta \ell \pi \lambda o u ̃ s, ~ r \rho \ell-~$

4. The words which express the several numbers taken as sub-





PRONOUNS.

## § 72. pronouns substantive and possessive.

1. The substantive or personal pronouns, of the first and sec-

2. The third person (of which the accusative is $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ ) has no nominative singular, like the Latin se, which, in the Attic writers, it also resembles in the reflexive signification of self. In the plural number it has a particular form for the neuter, which however rarely occurs.

Rex. 1. This pronoun is but little used by the Attic writers, for in the drrect sense of him, her, it, they substitute for it the oblique cases of aviós, and in the reflexive sense $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \sigma^{\prime} \nu$.

DECLENSION.

| Sing. | I | thou | he |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | ċgá | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{v}^{\prime}$ |  |
| Gen. | énoũ, $\mu 0 \tilde{v}$ | oovi | ov |
| Dat. | żıoi, $\mu$ оi | б0i | $0 \%$ |
| Acc. |  | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ |


| al | we two | ye two |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. A. |  |  | $\sigma$ |
| G. D. | $\nu ต ิ ้ \nu$, ข¢ิ้ | $\sigma \Phi \omega \bar{i}$, $\sigma \varnothing \underline{\nu}$ | oquil |


| Plur. | we | ye | they |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | ท̇ucîs | $\underline{\boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{E}} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | б¢rizs N. $\sigma$ ¢ $\mathrm{c}^{\prime} \alpha$ |
| Gen. | ทัน ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ | $\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ |
| Dat. | ทi¢iv | viuiv | $\sigma \boldsymbol{q} / \boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota(\nu)$ |
| Acc: | $\tilde{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \tilde{\alpha} \boldsymbol{s}$ | v $\mu$ ã | ogas N. $\sigma$ ¢ta |

Rem. 2. The oblique cases of the first and second persons in the singular, and of the third person in all numbers, with the exception of the circumflexed forms $\sigma \varphi \tilde{\sim} \nu$ and $\sigma \varphi \tilde{\alpha}$, are subject to inclination ( $\$ 14$ ). In the first person, the monosyllable forms gre always enclitic; the dissyllable forms, orthotone. These enclitics, moreover, become orthotone, (1) as is the case with other enclitics, when a particular emphasis rests on them ; (2) especially when they are governed by a preposition, as $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \operatorname{cov}, \dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\sigma o l$,


Rem. 3. For the purpose of emphasis the particle $\gamma \dot{z}$ is appended to these pronouns, in which case the accent is thrown back in


- Rem. 4. Dialocts. The following are the forms which the pronouns assume in the different dialets.
a) The Dorics for $\sigma v$ make $\tau v$, -for the enclitic ooi they make $\tau 0 i$, -also for the enclitic oz' they make $\tau v^{\prime}$.
b) The genitive in ov of these pronouns has its origin in $\varepsilon 0$,
 $\sigma \varepsilon \tilde{i} 0$, $\varepsilon \tilde{i} 0$ : Hence the Ionians and Dorians have $\tilde{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{v}, \mu \varepsilon \tilde{v}, \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{v}$, $\varepsilon \mathcal{v}(\$ 27$ Rem. 5).
c) The poets make use of a genitive of a peculiar character,
 See also § 116.
d) The Ionians resolve the contraction of the plural, and say

e) The Dorics, on the other hand, abbreviate the plural in the first and second person, as $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{v} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} s$, and in the accusative they adopt the termination $\varepsilon$, which is otherwise peculiar to the
 and accent being changed, the following epic forms arise: .

Nom. ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon,{ }_{v}^{\boldsymbol{v}} \mu \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$,

Acc. $\quad \propto \mu \mu \varepsilon, \tilde{v} \mu \mu \varepsilon$.
f) There is also a similar abbreviation of the plural in the third person, viz.

Dat. oqiv or $\sigma g i$,
Acc. $\sigma \varphi \varepsilon^{\prime}$.
This abbreviated form of the accusative the Attic poets use as an-
 $\dot{\eta} \nu, \dot{o}$, and $\alpha \dot{v} \boldsymbol{z} o v i s, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha$.
g) Finally, there is also a merely enclitic accusative of the third person, viz. Ionic $\mu i v$, Doric and Attic viv, also used for all genders, him, her, and $i t$, and for the plural them. The Attic viv, however, is used only in poetry.
4. The possessive pronouns derived from the foregoing personal pronouns are regular adjectives of three endings. Their common form is inflected from the genitive singular; e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gen. ov̉ - ös, } \ddot{\eta} \text {, öv, his, her, its. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Also from the nominative plural; e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{v} \mu \varepsilon i ́ s-\dot{v} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \rho 0 \varsigma, \alpha, o v, \text { your }{ }^{-} \\
& \text {- } \sigma \varphi \varepsilon i ́ s ~-~ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon ̇ \tau \varepsilon \rho o s, ~ \alpha, ~ o v, ~ t h e i r . ~
\end{aligned}
$$

 and for ös they make | és |
| :---: |,$\dot{\alpha}(\dot{\eta})$, ${ }^{\prime} \nu$. , But for the plural there is an older and shorter form, $\dot{\alpha} \mu o s, o r \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{o} s$, and $\dot{\nu} \mu \dot{o} \dot{s}, \dot{\eta}, \dot{o} \nu$.

 the duals voii, $\sigma \Phi \tilde{\omega} i$, are found only in the ancient poets.
§ 73.
To the substantive pronoun also belongs $\dot{0}, \dot{\eta}, \tau \dot{\partial} \dot{\delta}_{\dot{\varepsilon} i v a} \dot{\text { a }}$ any one, a certain one. It is thuis declined, viz. Nom. and Acc. $\delta \varepsilon i v \alpha$, G. $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\prime}-$ vos, D. $\delta_{\varepsilon i v}$, Pl. oi $\delta_{\varepsilon i v \varepsilon s . ~}^{\text {. }}$

Remark. We sometimes, though vety rarely, find $\delta \varepsilon i ̃ \alpha$ wholly indeclinable, as còv deiva, tò zoü deiva sc. vióv.

$$
\text { § } 74
$$

1. The four following adjective pronouns are regularly declined, except that they have o in the neuter.

> aùvós, aùví, avitó, self.
> ėxęivos, èxeiv

$$
\begin{aligned}
& o ̈ s, ~ \ddot{\eta}, o ̈ \text {, see in } \S 75 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Rex. 1. Ėxeivos comes from exeĩ there. The Ionic form of 83 it is xeivos, $\eta, 0$.
2. The pronoun $\alpha \dot{v} \tau{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ s has a threefold signification ; (1) self; (2) in the oblique cases, him, her; it; (3) with the article, the same. Farther details on this subject will be found in the syntax (§ 127.) It may here only be added, that in this last meaning, it is often contracted with the article (according to § 28 Rem. 1), as $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau o \tilde{v}, \tau \alpha v j \tau \tilde{\psi}, \tau \alpha v i z \tilde{y}$, for $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \alpha \dot{v} \tau o \tilde{v}$, \&cc. where it is also to be remarked, that in this case the neuter ends in ov as well as in
 confound $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{y}$ and $\tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ with $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau y$ and $\tau \alpha v \dot{\tau} \tau \alpha$ from ovizos.
3. From aúzós is formed the common reflected pronoun,* viz. by compounding aviós with the accusative of the substantive pronouns ( $\left.\dot{\xi} \mu \varepsilon^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$, and then declining it through the' oblique cases.

G. $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o \tilde{\text { or }} \sigma \alpha v \tau o \tilde{v}, \& \mathrm{c}$. thine, thee.

The last has also an accusative neuter $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau \tau \delta^{\prime}, \alpha \dot{v} \dot{\prime}$, and is declined throughout the plural, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \omega \tilde{\nu}, \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o v ' s$, \&c. The two first persons form the plural without composition, as $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\nu}$, , $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha} u \dot{\tau} \tilde{\omega} \dot{\nu}$, \&c.

Rem. 2. In all these compositions of auju's the lonics have $\omega v$ instead of $\alpha v$ ( $\S_{2} 26.9$ ), and do not elide the $\varepsilon$. They accord-

4. From ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o s$ is formed the reciprocal pronoun, viz.
G. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega v$. D. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o c s, \alpha \iota \varsigma . ~ A . ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v s, \alpha \varsigma, \alpha$.

Dual. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \dot{\omega}, \alpha \cdot \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota \nu, \alpha \iota \nu$, each other.

[^30]
## § 75. . THE ARTICLE.

84 $\vartheta \rho \alpha$, to the two simplest signs, which, partaking of an adjective character, serve to point out a substantive, and which, in two clauses of a complete sentence, refer to each other. In the modern languages one of these is called the definite article (the), and the other the relative pronoun (who, which).*
2. Of these two articles, the one is the prepositive article, viz. $\dot{0}, \dot{\eta}, \tau \delta^{\prime}$, the. This coincides in its inflection with the adjective pronouns above given, with the following exceptions, viz.
a) That the masculine and feminine of the nominative singular and plural are unaccented ( $\oint 10.4$ ) and have the rough breathing, instead of which all the other parts have a $\tau$.

* An example of such a complete sentence, where both the articles appear, is this: "this is the man, woto will save us," outzós éotcv of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \grave{\rho}$ ös $\sigma \omega \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \iota \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} s$. Hence, as these two words correspond to each other exactly like joints, and thus unite two sentences as members of one bory, the Greeks have called them $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\jmath} \rho \rho$, articulos, articles, or, literally translated, joints. Now that the first of these two articles, $\dot{o}_{\boldsymbol{j}}, \dot{\eta}, ~ r o ́$, the, so frequently stands alone with its simple sentence, and thus, strictly speaking, ceases to be an article, is accounted for by the consideration, that in a muititude of such cases the second part of the sentence is retained in the mind, being some such phrase as "of which we are treating," or "which you know," or "which is here in question," \&c. and in this way it gradually became the usage of language to attach the prepositive article, the, to any object, which is to be mentioned as sufficiently defined by the nature of the sentence and the attendant circumstances. The grammars of the modern languages preserved the name of article for the prepositive article only, without reflecting on the origin and cause of this name; but the postpositive article was called (and correctly when considered by itself) the relative pronoun. And as in modern languages another pronoun (in English a or an), which is nothing but a weaker $\tau i S$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i}$, quidam, in like manner shows the substantive to be undefined, just as the points it out definitely, the latter was called the definite, the former the
b) Not only the netter, but in the nominative singular the 85 masculine also, ends in 0 .

The other is the postpositive article, ${ }_{0}, \ddot{\eta}, \hat{o}$, who, which. This is declined precisely like the adjective pronouns in $\S$ 74. 1.

## declension.

Prepos. Art. Postpos. Art.
Sing. M. F. ${ }^{-} \quad$ N. M. F. N.


Dual


Plur.

3. The postpositive article or relative pronoun is often strengthened, partly by the enclitic $\pi \epsilon \rho$, as ö $\varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$, ク̈ $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, ö $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, \&c. and partly by composition with ris, as osstcs, \&c. for which last see below in § 77.

Rem. 1. The peculiarities of the dialects are the same, as in the first and second declensions, as $\tau 0 i ̃ o$ for $\tau 0 \tilde{u}, \alpha$ for $\dot{\eta}, \tau \tilde{\alpha} s$ for $\tau \ddot{\eta} \dot{S}$, \&cc.
indefinite article, although the two words have nothing in them that connects, or can be called a joint. It is therefore but reasonable for the Greek grammarians to follow the ancient Greek names, as they contain in themselves their own justification. At least, the articles need not be considered, in any. language, as forming a distinct part of speech. They are essentially adjective pronouns, and therefore should be classed among them.

Rem. 2. In the ancient language the two articles were in form the same, and were only distinguished by their place and accent; as is still the case with $\ddot{\eta}, ~ o i \prime, \alpha i \prime$. The epic poets have also "ö for ős, and all the forms of the prepositive article which begin with $\tau$, are used by the lonics and Dorics for the corresponding forms of the postpositive article, as $\tau \dot{o}^{\prime}$ for $o{ }^{\prime}, \tau \eta^{\prime} \nu$ for $\eta \eta^{\prime \prime}, ~ \& c$. Besides this, the Dorics use $\tau 0 i, \tau \alpha i$, both for oi, $\alpha i$, and for oil, $\alpha i$.

Rem. 3. In strictness, however, both forms are nothing else but the ancient simple demonstrative pronoun this; and, as will appear in the syntax, are both often úsed for this pronoun in the writings of the ancients.
§ 76. demonstrative pronoun.

1. The Greeks have a double form for the general demonstrative pronoun this, that. The one is formed simply by appending the enclitic particle $\delta \varepsilon$ to the prepositive article, viz. ö $\delta \varepsilon, \not{\eta} \delta \delta \varepsilon$,

2. The other; ovizos, is derived from the same article, and conforms itself to it, throughout a very anomalous inflection. For where the prepositive article has the aspirate or the $\tau$, this pronoun has the same; and where the article has or or $\omega$, this pronoun has $o v$ in the first syllable; and where the article-has $\eta$ or
 $\tau \omega \tilde{v}-\tau Q u \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v, \tilde{\eta}^{-}-\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \eta, \tau \dot{\alpha}^{\prime}-\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha, \& c$.

Sing.

|  | M. | F. | N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. | ov̇tos | 人v゙r ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | roṽ |
| G. | toútov | т $\alpha$ ùtทs | ขovíov |
| D. | тoũ่ | т $\alpha$ ¢́ty | тoṽ̃ |
| A. | toũzov | т $\alpha$ vit ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | тоข̃тo |




## § 77. interrogative and indefinite phonoun.

The interrogative pronoun for who? which? what? is $\tau i s$, neut. $\tau i$, G. $\tau$ ivos. It has the accent always on the $\ell$, as $\tau i v \varepsilon s$, D. pl. ri $\sigma$, and is thereby distinguished, as it also is in the nominative singular, by the invariable acute accent (§ 11), from the indefinite pronoun ris, neut. $\tau i$, G. $\tau$ viós, a certain one, any one; which, moreover, as enclitic, is commonly used without accent. The declension of $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{s}$, both as interrogative and indefinite, is regular, 87 according to the third declension, and the $\iota$ is short throughout.

Rim. 1. In the few cases, where the monosyllable $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i s} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i}$, in consequence of other enclitics following it, receives the acute, the context or the accent of the preceding word will distinguish it from the interrogative; as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho \tau l s$ лot $\varepsilon$.
2. For the genitive and dative of both pronouns, the following forms are often used, viz. тoũ and $\tau \tilde{\tilde{u}}$ (for all three genders), orthotone for tivos tiv, and enclitic for rivós rovi.*

For the neuter plural of the indefinite pronoun we find ${ }^{\alpha \prime} \tau \tau \alpha$, Ion. $\check{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \alpha$, not enclitic, instead of $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$, as $\delta_{\varepsilon} \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \ddot{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha$ for $\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$.
3. The compound relative östcs, which is a strengthening of ös, has a twofold inflection, viz.

Nom. östıई, $\quad \ddot{\eta} \tau i \varsigma, \quad \ddot{o}, \tau \iota($ see § 15. 2.)
Gen. oṽtuvos, $\mathfrak{\eta}$ stivos,
Dat. 凶ixuv, jixivu, \&c.
Also the following form, analogous' to the secondary form of
 not for the feminine, and also $\ddot{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha$, Ion. $\ddot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \alpha$, for $\ddot{\alpha} \tau \iota v \alpha$.

Rem. 2. The secondary form $\tau 0 \tilde{v}, \tau \tilde{\omega}$, must be carefully distinguished from the genitive and dative case of the article, from which it is shown to be distinct by the threefold gender and the usage of the dialects. The tou of the article is by the epic poets resotved into zoío, but the zoû for tivos and ruyós is resolved into téo by the lonics, and $\tau \varepsilon \tilde{u}$ by the Dorics.

[^31]4. By composition with ov and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ are formed from the in-
 which are lechimed like ris.
§ 78. correlative pbonouns and adjectives.

1. Correlatives are words, referring to each other, of which the one contains a question, the other the various most simple anawers to it. The general correlatives are already contained in the foregoing pronouns, viz.

Interrogative ris who?
Demonstrative ó, ö ois, oũtos, this.
Indefinite tis, any one, some one.
Relative ös, compound östes, who.

Each of these has its feminine and neuter.
2. When, however, the ideas contained in those words are directly referred or confined to two objects or divisions of the subject, they are expressed by the following, viz.

Interrogative nóvegos, $\alpha$, ov, which of two.

- Demonstrative.$o_{q}$ öds, oủtos, this.

Relative oinotepos which of two.

Remark. of éregos often forms with those portions of the article, which end in a vowel, a crasis, in which however a long $\alpha$ is



 when'one bas' been alreauly named, itwis to be rendered the other.

3. To the question ris and nóz $\rho 0.5$, may be answered enery one. This: anower has in Greek the form of a comparative or superlative; viz. '̇xa'repos, $\alpha$, ov, each of two ; Ëxcoros, $\alpha, 0 n$, each of.many.

[^32]


 last we find, in certain conmezions, simply the dual N. A. ${ }^{\mu} \mu \varphi \omega$, G. D. áupeiry, with the accent thrown forward, and for all three geaders.

## §79. OTHER CORrELATIVES.

1. Besides these general correlatives, there are others more ${ }^{-}$ precise, referring to the properties or relations of the object, such as how made, where found, \&ec. These are formed in Greek by a very distinct analogy, but as they are partly in the adjective, and parthy in the adverbial form, the latter must remain to be considered below.
2. Every such series of correlatives has its radical form and termination of inflection common; but is peculiar in its initial letters. The interrogative begins. with a $\pi$, as módas quantus, how much? how large? how many? The same form, with a change however of accent, is sometimes used indefinitely, as jogós aliquantus, of a certain size or number. When, instead of a $\pi$, it begins with a $\tau$, it is in the demonstrative, as cóvos tantus, so great, so much, so many. If, instead of this consonant, the word begin with the aspirate, it is relative, as öбos quantus, as large as, as much as. The negatioe of these forms is not found in the common dialect.
3. In addition to the simple relative, there is also the compound, which is used by preference in certain connexions. It corresponds to östcc, özov, among, the general correlatives, and is formed by prefixing the syllable $i$, without variation; to the interrogative. form, as лóvos, relative öøos and óло́бos.
4. The simple dempnstratioe tóvos is used as a perfect demonotrative pronoun, for the most part only in the poets Reeort. is commonly had to a strengthened form; and as the article $o$ (the primitive demonstrative, subsequently used merely as an article,) is strengthened either by the enclitic $\delta \varepsilon$ ( $o \boldsymbol{\circ} \delta \varepsilon$ ), or by being chang-
ed into oviros, so the corresponding process is observed here, -os being in the latter case changed into -oṽtos, e. g. tó⿱os, tooós ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon$ or coaoviros. The first of these is inflected in the middle of the compound, thus roбós $\delta \varepsilon, \tau \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon$, $\tau 0 \sigma o ́ v \delta \varepsilon$, G. тoбoṽ $\delta \varepsilon$, \&ce.

The form with -ouros governs itself, with respect to ov and $\alpha v$, according to the simple form ovizos. In the nenter, however, it has. both ov and $o$. Accordingly we have the following forms, viz.


Pl. гобои̃то, тобаच̃т $\alpha$, , тобаüтu, \&c.
5. The following are accordingly the three most entire series of correlatives.

| Interrog. | Indef. | Demonst. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| по́бos; | togos | tóos | Öбos |
| how great? how many? quantus? |  | tocós $\delta \varepsilon$ тобойтоя | о́то́боs |
| nôos; | тooós | roĩos | otos |
| of what kind? |  | rooósot | ómoíos |
| qualis? |  | totouros |  |
| $\pi$ nhidios; how old? | $\pi \eta \lambda i x \eta s$ |  |  |

'Note. For the Ionic forms xóoos, xoĩos, oxó $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma$ s, \&c. see $§$ 16. 3. c.

Rem. 1. There are still other imperfect correlatives, which in addition to the interrogative form bave only the compound relative, as particularly $\pi о \delta \alpha \pi \delta \dot{\prime}$, oл $\frac{\delta \delta \alpha \pi \dot{\prime}}{}$, where born? and the derivatives from tóros and nootós (for which we have no corresponding English word*); $\pi о \sigma \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota o s$ how many fold? ónó-
 and ¢́то́tг ¢os mentioned above.

Rem. 2. As the root of these words acquires its correlative force by virtue of the initial letters $\pi, \tau \& c$. some of them attain ather shades of signification, by composition with the general cor-


[^33] kind.

In like manner, to, $\pi 0 \delta \alpha \pi o ́ s ~ c o r r e s p o n d s ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \delta a \pi o ́ s ~ o f ~ a n o t h-~$
 from $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{i}$ is.

## \$80. Apfixes.

1. All the compounded and strengthened relatives, such as ös-91 cıs, ö́tov, ös $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, ó $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma o s$, \&c. receive upon all their forms the affix $0^{3} v$, which retains the accent on itself, and in this connexion corresponds precisely with the Latin cunque, and expresses the completeness of the relation, as östis who, ósrisoũv quicunque, whoever,



Rem. 1. To strengthen still more this signification, use is
 © © $\sigma 0$ viñot¢, \&c. which is, however, often written in two separate words.
2. In like manner among the Attics, and in the familiar style, the demonstratives, for the sake of greater strength, append to all their forms what is called the demonstrative $i$, which in like manner retains the accent, is always long, and absorbs all short vowels at the end of the word to which it is affixed, as ovizos ovizosi this here,
 $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$, ódi from öde, ėxsıvosi that there, żx тобovdi, \&c.

Rem. 2. When the enclitic $\gamma^{\prime}(\$ 150.2)$ is attached to the demonstrative, this $i$ follows it, as rovió $\gamma \varepsilon$, tuvroyi.

## §81. THE VERB.

1. The parts of a Greek verb, such as the modes and tenses, may be presumed to be known, from the analogy of other langua* ges. The Greek, however, is richer than either the English or Latin, particularly by the distinction of the Middle Voice, of the Optative as a different mod from the Subjunciive, of the Aorist as a separate tense, of the Dual as a separate number, and by a
great diversity of modet apd participhes, in reference to the tenses. Meantime it should here be remarked, that by no means all' that can be formed by conjugation and decleasion is actually found to have been used in every verb, although for convenience, all the parts are exemplified in one verb, in the grammar.
2. In the second place it should be premised, that in the Greek, more than any other language, a certain form endowed by the general analogy with a certain signification, may yet, in single cases, have another and even an opposite signification; as a passive form max have an active meaning. The grammar of course must treat of the forms as they are in themselves, and then attach to them .their most usual signification. It is impossible, however, that the significations should be fully known, till they are systematically unfolded in the syntar.
3. All that is necessary to the understanding of the formation of the verb is here for the most part supposed to be known from other languages, such as the general idea of the vartous voices, modes, and principal tenses. With respect to the optative mode and middle voice, sufficient preliminary information will presently be given. The tenses alone of the Greek verb require a more detailed prevịous description.
4. The most obvipus distinction of the tenses is into present, past, and future. The past time, however, in common laaguage admits of more subdivisions than the others. Among the tenses which fall under this head, (and which bear in Latin the common name of proterita, ) is this'difference to be observed, that in one of them the mind of the speaker remains in the present time, and makes mention of a thing past or happened. This is the perfect tense. In the other preterite tenses, the mind transports itself to the past time, and narrates what then bappened.* This narrative tense has in the Greek the subdivisions of imperfect, plaperfect, and aorist, whose signification will be unfolded in the syntax. 5. Hereupon is founded the division of the tenses into the

[^34] ases, viz impporfect, pluperffoct, and aorist.
6. All the tenses ane distinguisbed from ench other in a twofold manner; (1) oll of them by their respective terminations, and (2) the past tenses by a prefix, called the augment. The historical tenses are farther distinguished from all the others, and among them from the perfect, by an augment appropriated to themeelves, and by a peculiar manner of declénsion.--Of each of these, in order, an account will be given.

## § 82. the syldabic augment.

$1:$ The augment is of two kinds, accorting as the verb begins 93 with a vowel or a consonant. If the verb begin with a consonant, the augment makes a syllable of itself, and is therefore called the Syllabic Atugment.
2. The augment of the perfect tense is formed by prefixing the first letter of the verb with an $\varepsilon$, as $\tau v i \pi \tau \omega$, perf, $x i-\tau v y \alpha$, and therefore the angment of the perfect is also called a reduplication augment, or simply a reduplication. If the first letter is an aspirate, it follows from what was said in § 18, that instead of the aspirate; the corresponding amooth is used, as 'puhtow I love, nt-øid $\eta$ we' \#viow I sacrifice, $t t^{\prime}-\theta u x \alpha$. The third fature, which is derived from the perfect ( $\delta 99$ ), retains this augment.
3. The historical tenses, on the other hand, simply prefix an
 which according to its form and signification is derived from the perfect, prefixes this $\varepsilon$ to the reduplication of the perfect, as $\tau v^{\prime}$ -

4. If the verb begin with $\rho$, this letter is doubled after the $\varepsilon$,
 perfeat and pluperfect take no other augment than thin, instead

5. When a verb beging with dauble consonant, inmead of the reduplication, calone is ased, which memains without change

 same takes place in most cases where two consonants begin a word; as perf. $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \theta 0 \rho \alpha$ from $\varphi \theta \varepsilon i \rho \omega$, perf. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ from
 I fold.

## Remarks.

1. From this last rule the following are excepted, and, of course, are subject to the general rale, viz.
a) Two consonants, of which the first is a mute and the second
 \&c. But $\gamma \nu$ and often $\gamma \lambda$ assume only a simple $\varepsilon$, as $\gamma^{\nu} \omega \rho i \zeta \omega$, , $\grave{z} \gamma-$

b) The perfects $\mu \dot{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ and $x \dot{\varepsilon} x \tau \eta \mu \alpha \iota$, from $\mu \nu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ I remember, and $x \tau \alpha<\mu \alpha \iota I$ ácquire.
c) Some anomalous perfects, as $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$ and $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \eta \times \alpha$, in which, however, the $\pi \tau$ is formed by syncope from $\pi \varepsilon \tau$. See in the list of anomalous verbs $\pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \mu \iota, \pi \varepsilon^{\prime}<\mu \alpha \iota, \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
2. A few verbs beginning with liquids, instead of the reduplication, take the syllable $\varepsilon i$ or $\varepsilon i$, as $\Lambda H B \Omega$ cill $\eta q \alpha$. See in the anomalous verbs $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, \lambda \dot{z} \gamma \omega, \mu \varepsilon i \rho o \mu \alpha \iota$, and $P E \Omega$ under $\varepsilon i \pi c i v$.
 shall, the Attics often add the temporal to the syllabic augment, as $\eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\nu} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu$ for $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \eta \nu$. For the syllabic augment before a vowel, directions will presently be given.
3. The augment of the historical tenses is often onitted by the Ionics and all the poets, except the Attics; as $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$ for $\tilde{\varepsilon} \beta c \lambda \varepsilon$,



4. In the epic writers the second aorist active and middle often takes the reduplication, which in this case is retained through
 from $\pi \varepsilon i \theta \omega$.

## § 83. temporal augment.

1. When the verb begins with a vowel, aspirated or not, the augment, with that vowel, is converted into one long voreel; and this kind of augment, which is called the Temporal, remains unchanged through all the preterite tenses. In general in this angment, $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ are changed into $\eta$, and $o$ into $\omega$; as $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{v} \omega$ I fulfil,
 $\pi \iota 50 \nu$, perf. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \lambda \pi \iota x \alpha$, plup. $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \pi l x \in \iota \nu,-\dot{\circ} \mu c \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\omega}$ I $I$ associate with, impf. $\omega^{\prime} \mu i \lambda \varepsilon о \nu$, perf. $\omega_{\mu} \lambda^{\prime} \eta x \alpha$, plup. $\omega^{\prime} \mu \iota \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} x \varepsilon \nu$.





Ram. 1. See also $\varepsilon i \lambda o v, ~ \dot{z} d \in i v$, among the anomalous verbs under ai $\rho$ z' $\omega$, and the verbs belonging to the radical form ${ }^{*} E \Omega, \S 108$.
3. The vowels $\iota$ and $v$ can only be augmented when they are
 and even when the vowel is already long by position, this augment ought to be indicated in pronunciation; as iбXúఱ "iбXuov, v $\mu \nu z^{\prime} \omega{ }^{\text {" }} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \nu 0 \nu \nu$.
4. Of the other vowels already long in themselves, $\bar{\alpha}$, according to no. 1 , usually becomes $\eta$, while the others, $\eta, \omega, \bar{i}, \bar{v}$, admit no augment whatever, as $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\prime} \alpha \mu \iota$, impf. $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{i} \tau \tau \omega \mu \eta \nu$, perf. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \tau \eta \mu \alpha \iota$, .plup. ทं $\tau \quad \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta{ }^{\prime}$, excepting in the case of the accent, as specified below.
5. A diphthong is susceptible of augment, when its first vowel can be altered in the above mentioned manner ; in which case, if the second vowel be $e$, the iota subscript is used. Accordingly



Many verbs, however, neglect this augment, as is stated in the next remark, and with ov it never takes place; as oỳ $\alpha^{\prime} \zeta \omega$, oü $\tau \alpha$ Sov.

Rem. 2. In general many verbs, in which the augment would destroy the euphony or lead to confusion, remain unchanged. Among these are many beginning with an $\alpha, \alpha v$, or $o c$, followed by a vowel, as $\alpha^{i} i \omega$, $\alpha v \alpha i v \omega$, oiaxi ${ }^{\circ} \omega,-$ only that the short $\alpha$, as in $\alpha^{i} i \omega$, is lengthened,-impf. $\alpha^{\prime} i o v$ ( $\alpha$ long), ázaivero, oíaxi§ev, \&cc.- Some others also beginning with oc have no augment, as oivilsou, oixov@ $\varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$, oívicto. In like manner also all which begin with $\varepsilon \epsilon$, as $\varepsilon i x \omega \omega, \varepsilon i x o v, \varepsilon\{\xi \alpha$, with the single exception of $\varepsilon i x \alpha 500$ I conjecture, which in the Attic, writers receives an augment, as $\varepsilon i ̈ x \alpha \sigma \alpha$, عïx
 form in this respect, as $\varepsilon u ̛ \chi o \mu \alpha$, , $\eta u^{\prime} O^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ and $\varepsilon v^{\prime} \chi o^{\prime} \mu \eta v$. Those
compounded with $\varepsilon$ ṽ will be mentioned below, $\oint 86$ Rem. 5. The
96 Ionics and the poets not Attic often omit this augment, as they do also the syllabic, in verbs of all sorts; as $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} \varepsilon \tau 0$ for $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \varepsilon / \beta \varepsilon \tau о$,


Rem. 3. Inasmuch as the increase, effected by this augment, consists only in lengthening a short vowel, it has the name of Tem-
 denotes also the quantity of syllables.

Rem. 4. This augment has its origin in the contraction of the syllabic augment. $\varepsilon$ with the vowel of the verb; as äyou $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{-\alpha}$-ayov $\eta \eta^{\eta} \gamma v$. In this, however, the contraction of $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ into $\eta$, and $\varepsilon 0$ into $\omega$, departs from the common practice (see § 27 ); while that of $\varepsilon \alpha$
 contractions.

Rem. 5. Hence is to be explained the accent of some compounds. For while the tone, as far as possible, inclines to the antepenult, we find in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tilde{\eta} \pi \tau 0 \nu$ from $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha \pi \tau \omega$ a circumflex on the penult, which had its origin in this contraction. In this manner, the augment is occasionally visible only in the accent; as from $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon i \rho \gamma \omega$ is formed the imperative $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varrho \gamma \varepsilon$, but the third person of the imperfect tense is $\alpha \pi \varepsilon i \varrho \gamma \varepsilon$.

Rem. 6. The syllabic augment, moreover, has actually maintained itself in many cases before a vowel. Among these cases are reckoned, in the common dialect, the following three verbs, which by the general rule should not have the temporal augment, viz.

Rem. 7. In like manner, in the perfect tense, the temporal angment has its origin in the syllabic $\varepsilon$, for, since the common reduplication consists in repeating the first consonant with an $\varepsilon$, when the verb began with a vowel it admitted only of prefixing the $\varepsilon$, which was then with the initial vowel of the verb transformed into the temporal augment. Even the $\varepsilon$ of this kind is retained unaltered in the verbs just quoted, as perf. éa'vทuac from ávéopal, and besides this in three other perfects, viz. èocx $\alpha$, z'o $0 \pi \alpha$, z'opy $\alpha$, from $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}(x \omega$, ż $\lambda \pi \omega$, z' $\rho \gamma \omega$. The $o$ in these perfects is.formed by a mutation of the vowel of the root-which will be treated of belowand the $\varepsilon$ is a reduplication, so that we have e"pyo $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}-00 \gamma \alpha$, like


Rem. 8. As we saw above ( $\oint 82$ Rem. 3) that the syllabic augment was increased by the temporal, so in the verb ojocu I see the temporal augment is commonly increased by the syllabic, retaining the aspirate, as impf. éájov, perf. è $\omega \rho \alpha \alpha \alpha .1$

REM. 9. When a verb begins with $\varepsilon 0$, the second vowel takes the augment. This occurs in the verb fográ\}o I celebrate a festi-
val, eoojorasov, and in the plaperfects belonging to the perfects mentioned in Rem. 7, viz. $\dot{\text { tiqux }}$

## § 84. attic reduplication.

Though a reduplication like that of the syllabic augment does not exist in verbs that take the temporal augment, yet several of them have, in the perfect tense, a peculiar, and, as it is called, the Attic reduplication; which, however, is so far from being found in Attic writers alone, that most of the verbs which assume it, reject altogether the above described simpler form. It consists in this, that in the perfect tense, before the ordinary temporal augment, the two first letters of the verb, without changing the vowel, are repeated, e. g.
ajelow I assemble,
\&̇ $\mu$ źo 1 spit,
ógútt 1 I dig,
ö $5 \omega$. I smell,


Rem. 1. This form inclines to a short vowel in the third syllable, and therefore shortens the long, vowel, as in $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon l \varphi \omega$; perf.


Rem. 2. The pluperfect sometimes takes a new temporal augment, most frequently in $\dot{\alpha} \times \dot{\eta} \times 0 \alpha, \dot{\eta} \times \eta x \dot{0} \varepsilon \iota v$. This however is not generally the case ( $\$ 82$ Rem. 4.)

Rux. 3. As the second aorist in the poets, with the temporal augment, sometimes assumes the reduplication of the perfect (§ 82 Rem. 5 ), the same also happens with this Attic reduplication, in such a way that the temporal augment precedes it ; as $A P \Omega$, perf.
 omalous verbs) has such an aorist, viz. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma 0 v$. This reduplication also remains in the other modes, which drop only the temporal augment, as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon i v, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} r$.

$$
\text { § } 85 .
$$

THE AUGMENT IN REFERENCE TO THE MODES AND PARTICIPLES.
All.the augments prevail as well in the passive and middle, as 98 in the active voice. As far as the modes and participles, however,
are concerned, the aoriat and perfect only come into consideration, since the imperfect and pluperfect tenses are confined to the indicative mode. Here the following rule prevails, viz.

The augment of the perfect is retained through all the modes and participles; that of the aorist, only in the indicative.

Thus with the syllabic augment, e. g. from rùmzw.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Part. tùuac. }
\end{aligned}
$$

So alsp with the temporal augment, e. g. from $\dot{\alpha} \times \rho \iota \beta o j_{0}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aor. } \dot{\eta} \times \rho i \beta \omega \sigma \alpha \text { Inf. } \dot{\alpha} \times \rho \iota \beta \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \alpha \quad \text { Part. } \dot{\alpha} \times \rho \iota \beta \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \varsigma \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remari. This rule may be more precisely stated, viz. Every thing that is a reduplication, or stands in the place of reduplication, (consequently the irregular reduplication of the aor. גéda $\theta 0 \nu$, part. $\lambda \in \lambda \alpha \theta a v$, and the like, $\S 82$ Rem. 5 .) remains through all the mode while the simple augment is confined to the indicative. For this reason the irregular aorist $\tilde{\eta}$ yayov drops in the infinitive mode the temporal augment, but retains the reduplication, as äyayeiv ( ( 84 Rem. 3.)

## § 8́6. of the aughrat in composition.

The following is the chief rule for the use of the augment in the compound verbs, viz.

In the verb compounded ivith a preposition, in the augmented tenses the augment follows the preposition.



In most other forms of composition the augment is prefired,



Rem. 1. In some cases, where the simple verb is nearly or quite obsolete, the augment precedes the preposition ; as $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{x} \dot{\prime} \theta \varepsilon v-$
 sometimes use the other form, as zaө $\eta$ üdov.

Rem. 2. Properly speaking, all such verbe have the augment prefixed, as are not so much themselves componnded with another word, as derived from a compound word of another part of
 $\mu$ ćco, øxodó $\mu \mathrm{ovv}$, from oixodó $\mu$ os.-With these, however, are classed in respect to the place of the augment, the other compound verbs not compounded with a preposition, although they retain the single verb without change, as $\mu \varepsilon \lambda о \pi o \iota \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \omega, \dot{\alpha} \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$, \&c.

Rem. 3. Hence it'results, that even some verbs compounded with prepositions prefix the augment ; as $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota 0 \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \iota, \tilde{\eta}_{\nu \alpha \nu \tau}$ $\mu \eta v^{\cdot} \alpha \nu \tau \iota \beta o \lambda \tilde{\omega}, \eta \geqslant \tau \iota \beta \dot{\prime} \lambda o v \nu$, the former of which has its origin in evavilos, and the latter is formed altogether by composition, without a previous existence as a simple verb. It is most usual, however, that even in such verbs the augment should follow the preposition. Hence we find uniformly $\mathfrak{z} \xi \in x \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha \sigma \alpha v, ~ \dot{v} \nu \varepsilon x \omega \mu i \alpha \zeta 0 \nu$,






Rem. 4. The following verbs usually take the augment in both

 $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \varrho \nu \eta x a$.-Still more anomalous is this in the verbs duaxoveiv to minister to and $\delta \iota \alpha \iota \tau \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ to decide, $\delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \eta x o ́ v \eta x \alpha, x \alpha \tau \varepsilon \delta \iota \eta \dot{j} \eta \eta \sigma \alpha$, inasmuch as these are derived from $\delta \iota \alpha x o v o s, \delta i \alpha \iota \tau \alpha$, where the $\alpha$ does not begin a new word.

Rem-5. The words compounded with $\varepsilon \underset{\sim}{\tilde{Z}}$ and $\delta v s$ assume in the middle only the temporal augment; as $\varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon i v, ~ \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \eta \rho \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \tau 0 v v^{-}$ fusa@cбтєiv, dusn@źatouv. When, however, an immutable vowel or a consonant follows those particles, they either receive the augment at the beginning, as $\varepsilon \delta \delta \nu \sigma \dot{\sigma} \pi o v v$ from dusw núqpaivero, or those beginning, with $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{J}}$ more commonly take no augment, as $\varepsilon \dot{v} \omega \chi 0 \dot{v} \mu \eta \nu$ from $\varepsilon v \omega \chi \varepsilon i \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$.

$$
\oint 87
$$

## INFLECTION BY TERMINATION. - NUMBERS AND PERSONS.

1. All terminations of the Greek verb are divided, in virtue of their ending and their inflection by numbers and persons; into two leading classes, plainly distinguished from each other. In signification the one class is for the most part active, and the other passive. In consequence of this, notwithstanding the departure
in single tenses from the prevailing signification, the one class is called the active ooice, and the other the passive.
2. In each of these classes, the leading tenses, viz. the present, perfect, and future, follow an analogy in some degree peculiar to themselves, and in which they are distinguished from the historical tenses.
3. All this is apparent from the following table, which contains the usual terminations of the different tenses, and their inflection by the three numbers and persons. It is applicable in the present form only to the indicative mode. Its application to the subjunctive and optative will be explained below.

ACTIVE FORM. PASETVE FORM.
Leading tenses.


Historical tenses.

 first person of the leading tenses of the passive form of dúw I loose. All that intervenes between the termination $\mu \alpha c$ and the root $\lambda v$, or if nothing intervenes, is the peculiarity of the particular tense; and this will be treated of below.

## Remarks.

1. The terminations in the foregoing table, begin with that consonant from which the remainder of the word onward, in the same tense, is in the main the same. A portion of the conjugational form attaches this consonant immediately to the ropt of the tense (see below the perfect passive and the conjugation in $\mu$ c); but by far the greater portion of the conjugational form interposes another vowel, called the connecting vowel between, which is far from being uniform, as $\lambda \dot{v}-0-\mu \varepsilon \nu, \lambda \dot{v}-\varepsilon-\tau \delta, \lambda \dot{v}-\varepsilon \omega-5$. The more precise detail therefore of the mode, in which the termination is attached to the root, must appear from the paradigm below. The
foregoing table exhibits only in one point of view, that in which the various forms of tenses coincide.
2. The first and third persons singular Act. are not indicated in the table, because in most cases they do not terminate in a consonant, but have as it were, the connective vowel alone,* which, however, differs widely in the different tenses. Compare e.g. 1. 101 $\lambda \dot{v}-\omega$, 3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\varepsilon \iota$, with $\lambda \dot{v}-0-\mu \varepsilon v$, or 1. हैं $\lambda v \sigma-\alpha, 3$. ह̀̉ $\lambda v \sigma-\varepsilon$, with $\dot{z} \lambda \dot{v} \sigma-\alpha-$ $\mu \varepsilon v$. In the greater portion of the historical tenses, the first person has a permanent $\nu$ ( $z \lambda \nu-0-\nu$, $̇ \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \dot{u} x-\varepsilon \varphi-\nu$, and the third person,
 In the infrequent conjugational form in $\mu c$, both persons have a termination in the present, altogether peculiar, viz. $\mu \iota, \sigma \iota,(\$ 106)$.
3. The third person plural, active voice, in the leading tenses, is given according to the common usage of language. It is proper, however, to remark here, that in the Doric dialect it terminates in $v \tau \iota$, and that the vowel before the $\sigma t$ in the common form is always long, because an $v$ has dropped out; as rústrovar, Dor.

4. The terminations $\sigma \alpha \iota$ and $\sigma 0$, in the second person of the passive, are only to be regarded as the foundation; for in most cases they undergo some change. The manner in which they are combined with what precedes them, will be explained in its place below; see § 103 Rem. II.
5. With regard to the peculiarities, in which the historical tenses differ from the leading tensea, the following points must be attended to in reference to the preceding table.
a) A character, which runs through the whole active and passive form, is that the third person dual, which in the leading tenses is the same as the second, (as pres. túntziov, iv́rrzzrov, pass.



b) Besides this, the third person plural active affords but one other permanent distinction between the leading and the historical tenses. In the former it always terminates in $\sigma \iota \nu$ or $\sigma \iota$ (ovocv, $\alpha \sigma \iota v$, or $\alpha \sigma \iota$, while, in the historical tenses, it has a fixed $\nu$, (ov,' $\alpha \nu, \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu, \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.)
c) In the passive form, on the other hand, the two classes of tenses throughout the whole singular number and all the third

[^35]persons are distinguished. From the $\mu \alpha \iota$ of the leading tenses is uniformly derived $\mu \eta \nu$ in the historical ; and from the ral, both singular and plural, in the former is always derived $\tau 0$ in the latter. Equally constant is the distinction between the terminations $\sigma \alpha \iota$ and $\sigma 0$.
6. The dual is wholy wanting in the first person of the active voice, that is, it does not differ from the plural.

## § 88. INFLECTION BY MODES AND PAETICIPLES.

1. The imperfect and pluperfect exist only in the indicative mode. All the other tenses exist in the other modes and participles, though by no means found in actual use in every word. The future only always wants both the imperative and subjunctive.
2. The Greek language has the optative, in addition to the other usual modes, which derives its name from the signification implying a wish, but is used in various others. Its precise force is taught in the syntax; it need here only be remarked, that its import is substantially that of the imperfect tense subjunctive mode in Latin, which is nọt found in Greek.
3. This remark is intimately connected with the, following main rule, relative to the inflection of the optative and subjunctive, viz.

In the subjunctive mode, all the tenses are inflected according to the analogy of the leading tenses of the indicative; in the optative mode, according to that of the Historical tenses.*

Consequently, in the table given above (§ 87. 3), the upper row contains the terminations also of the subjunctive mode, and the under row those of the optative.
4. The subjunctive uniformly connects with the terminations of the leading tenses the vowels $\omega$ and $\eta$, instead of the peculiar vowels of those terminations in' the indicative. The subjunctive therefore, both active and passive, of the common conjugation, as in $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$, may be easily forned by the following rule, viz.

[^36]Where the indicative mode has $\omega, 0, o v$, the subjunctive has $\omega$; where the indicative has $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \iota, \eta$, the subjunctive has $\eta, \eta!$. . g.

Ind. $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega, ~ o \mu \varepsilon \nu, ~ o v \sigma \iota, ~ o \mu \alpha \iota, \& c$.
Subj. $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega, \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu, \omega \dot{\sigma}, \omega \mu \alpha \iota, \& c$.
Ind. $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \& c$.

Ind. $\tau \dot{\imath} \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota s, \varepsilon \iota$, ,n, \&rc.

The subjunctives of all the different tenses and conjugations, follow these endings of the present of the usual regular conjugation.
5. The optative has, as its peculiar characteristic, an $\iota$, which it combines, with a vowel of the verb or the termination of the tense, in a diphthong, that remains unchanged through all numbers and persons. The termination of the first person active is either $\mu \iota$ or $\eta \nu$, as $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau 0 \iota \mu \iota$, $\tau \iota \vartheta \varepsilon i \eta \nu$, and in the last case this $\eta$. remains, together with the diphthong, through all the other terminations, as $o \iota \mu \iota, 0 \iota s, o u, \& c$.- $\varepsilon i \eta \nu, \varepsilon i \eta s, \varepsilon i \eta, \varepsilon i \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, \& c$. In thé passive voice this diphthong stands uniformly directly before the terminations of the historical tenses, as $\tau v \pi \tau o i-\mu \eta \nu, \tau \omega \tau \tilde{i}-\tau 0$.
6. The imperative has a second and third person in all the numbers. Its terminations in all the tenses are these, viz.

| Active | S. $\ldots, \tau \omega$ | D. $\tau 0 \nu, z \omega \nu$ | P. $\tau \varepsilon, \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ or $\nu \tau \omega \nu$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Passive S. $(\sigma 0), \sigma \vartheta \omega$ | D. $\sigma \theta \sigma \nu, \sigma \vartheta \omega \nu$ | P. $\sigma \theta \varepsilon, \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ or $\sigma \theta \omega \nu$. |  |

7. The infinitive has the following terminations, viz.

Active $\dot{\varepsilon} \iota \nu$ or $\nu \alpha \iota$ or $\alpha l$.
Passive $\sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$.
8.. The participles are all adjectives of three endings; the feminine is therefore, agreeably to the rule in $\S \mathbf{5 8} .2$, formed after the first declension of nouns. The masculine active has vios in the genitive, which requires $s$ or $v$ in the nominative, and in the feminine $\sigma \alpha$. E. g.
$\omega \nu$ or ovs, $0 v \sigma \alpha$, ov
$\bar{\alpha} s, \bar{\alpha} \sigma \alpha, \ddot{\alpha} \nu$
G. oytos.
$\varepsilon u s, \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha, \varepsilon \nu$
G. $\varepsilon$ vios.

From this the participle of the perfect active is wholly different, being uniformly as follows, viz. w's, via, ós, G. ótos.

The participles of the passive voice all end in $\mu \varepsilon v o s, \eta, o v$.
Remark. Among the modifications which the preceding inflections undergo, attention must be particularly paid to the contraction, not so much of the contract verbs properly so called, as of some parts of the usual conjugation, where contraction takes place; as will be shown below. See $\S 95$ Rem. 6. § 103. III. 6.

## §89. inflection of the activ́e, passive, and middle

 voices.1. The idea of passive includes in it the case, in which the action that I suffer, is performed by myself. Such an action máy therefore be expressed by the forms of the passive voice. This is what is called the reflective sense. The Greek language, however, goes farther, and uses the passive voice, in connexions in which the verb has only a secondary connexion with the subject, as I prepare myself a house. All these cases, which will be farther explained in the syntax, make out the idea of middle; and the passive, when used to express them, is called the Middle Voice.
2. We have already seen above ( $\oint 87$ ) the general difference of the active and passive forms. According to that difference, every active is converted into its natural passive; which is here, for greater convenience, exhibited only in the first person of the indicative of the general tenses.

|  | ACTIVE. | Passive. |  | ACTIVE. | PASSI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pres. | $\omega$ | о $\mu \alpha \ell$ | Impf. | $0 \nu$ | $o \mu \eta \nu$ |
| Perf | a, | $\mu \alpha \ell$ | Plup. | $\varepsilon \iota \nu, x \in \iota \nu$ |  |
| Fut. | $\{\sigma \omega$ | оория | Aor. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma \alpha\end{array}\right.$ | $\sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ |
|  | ¢ | оขцая |  | 30V | $0 \mu \eta \nu$ |

3. Now in this natural passive form the present, the imperfect, the perfect, and the pluperfect tenses express in all cases, where the idea of middle can exist, that idea; so that it is only from the context, that it can be determined, in any given case in these tepses, whether the signification is passive or middle. But in the aorist and future, the above form of the passive is, for the most part, used only as a middle, and for the passive idea a particular form is used, which has this peculiarity, that the aorist, notwithstanding its passive meaning, assumes nevertheless in its inflection of person and number the active form ; while the future, formed from this aorist by increment, passes again into the passive form, viz.

> Aor. Pass. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \\ \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu\end{array}\right.$
> Fut. Pass. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\vartheta \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \mu \mu \iota \iota \\ \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota .\end{array}\right.$

In distinction from these forms, the above mentioned forms of the natural passive are called in the Greek grammar the Future and Aorist Middle. The four first named tenses, however, the present, imperfect, perfect, and pluperfect, which may be used equally in both significations, and might hence well be called Passive- 105 Middle forms, are in the Greek grammar simply called passive, and can only be called middle in connexions, where they have a reflective sense, and this must be ascertained by the syntax.

Remark. It may be observed here that the medial form of the aorist is not only banished from all verbs, which do not admit the idea of the middle voice; but that in many, the passive aorist in $\vartheta \eta \nu$ and $\eta \nu$ has adopted the medial significntion, and is therefore used only in a limited, though not an inconsiderable class of words. Here, however, every verb is assumed in the grammar to be entire; and it must be left to further remark to ascertain in what parts any particular verb is defective. ,

1. As the tenses in general are comprehended in what has been stated above, it is only further to be remarked, that some of them appear in two forms, which bear in the grammar the names of first and second, without any diversity of signification. The double form of the perfect is found only in the acfive voice, that of the future and aorist in the active, passive, and middle voices.
2. Besides this, the passive has still another third future, or paulopost future, as it is called, which takes the reduplication of the perfect, and of which the signification will be given in the syntax.
3. All the tenses, belonging to the Greek verb, will now be detailed according to the division given above of active, passive, and middle.

Note. In the following table the augments and the terminations of the first person singalar are indicated. The-larger dash stands for the proper root of the verb; the shorter, in the beginning, for the first letter repeated in the augment. The aspirate over the termination denotes that the preceding consonant is aspirated.


106 4. The connexion of these terminations of the tenses or temporal forms, as they might be called, with the root of the various verbs, requires a particular explánation, which is called the doctrine of the formation of the tenses.-This, howeyer, must be preceded by the consideration of the characteristic of the theme.

- § 91. characteristics of the theme.

1. That letter, which immediately precedes the chief vowel of a temporal termination, is called the characteristic of said tense, viz. according to the foregoing table, $\sigma$ is the characteristic of the first future and first aorist active and middle.
2. More particularly, however, the letter which remains (after casting away every thing which belongs to the termination of the conjugation) at the end of the root itself, is called the characteristic of the verb. It is necessary therefore only to cast away the $\omega$ of the present tense, and the last letter or the two last letters are the characteristic, as in $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma-\omega$ the $\gamma$, in qovєvंш the $\varepsilon v . *$

## § 92. a twofold theme.

1. That however, which remains, after dropping the $\omega$ of the present tense, is not always the pure. root of the verb. For when the other tenses are divested of their peculiar augments and terminations, there remains with many verbs a root, more or less diverse from țhat of the present.
2. Some of these differences consist merely in the changeable nature of the vowel, or its being shortened or lengthened, as $\boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\text { g }}$ -
 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \omega \not{\varepsilon} \beta \beta \alpha \lambda o v$, which are to be considered merely as changes incident to inflection.
3. In others the difference is more considerable, where the pure and simple root of the verb, as recognised in the other tenses, is in the present by additions or changes rendered more full and larger; as $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\pi} \nu$, root $T T \Pi$, in the present


[^37] $B A N$.
4. It appears, therefore, that the ancient and more simple form, which has been preserved in some of the tenses, has passed over into a more strengthened form in the present. But since the grammar, for the sake of uniformity, always starts from the present, in all verbs where more considerable discrepances of this kind appear, an obsolete or ancient present, corresponding with the form preserved in the other tenses, is assumed for the convenience of grammatical use.
5. Every form of the present tense, whether obsolete or not, from which you start in forming the single parts of any verb, is called a theme. To prevent the unnecessary multiplication of themes in this grammar, instead of an obsolete theme in $\omega$, its root alone in capital letters is sometimes given, as TTII, TAT, \&c.
6. In reality, this confounding of forms, like the similar one in the declension of nouns ( $§ 56$ ), is an anomaly; and accordingly the catalogue of anomalous verls (to be given below) consists principally of verbs of this class. When, however, the difference of the usual from the obsolete or assumed theme is common to a considerable number of verbs, which also coincide in the characteristic of the present tense in use, this also is reckoned among the diversities of the usual conjugation.
7. Here are to be reckoned those verbs, in which the true characteristic is only concealed in the perfect tense by insertion or change of letters. These are of three sorts, viz.
a) In verbs whose characteristic is $\pi x$, the $\pi$ is an addition for the sake of strength, while the true characteristic is one of the labials $\beta, \pi, q$.* E.g.

b) Of most of the verbs in $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$, the true characteristic is one of the palatics $\gamma, x, \chi$. E. g.

т $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ I dispose, 甲oíow I shudder, $\beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$ I cough.
TAIS . $\boldsymbol{P} / \mathrm{K} \Omega$ BHX $\Omega$
Some, however, have one of the linguals; see Rem. 2 and 3 below.
c) Of most of those in $\zeta$ (Dor. $\sigma \delta$ ) the true characteristic is 108 $\delta$, but several have $\gamma$. E.g.

ФPAA $0 A \Omega \quad K P A \Gamma \Omega$
8. All these verbs retain the fuller and less simple form in the present and imperfect of the active and passive, while every thing else is formed from the simple theme. For the sake, however, of brevity and grammatical uniformity, these differences of the two themes are usually treated as.common inflections; and as if e. $g$. in $\tau \dot{\prime} \psi \omega, \tau v \pi \varepsilon i s$, \&c. the $\tau$ of the present $\tau \dot{u} \pi \tau \omega$ were dropped; or as if before the $\sigma$ in $q \rho \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ (fut. of $q \rho \alpha^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \omega$ ), not the simpler characteristic $\delta$, but $\zeta$ were omitted.

Rem. 1. To the verbs in 5 , whose pure characteristic is $\delta$, belongs the greatest number of deriyatives in $i \xi \omega$ and $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$. To the cbaracteristic $y$ belong all that iudicate a sound or call, as $x u \alpha \dot{\beta} \omega$ I scream, $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ I groan, tui ${ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$ I chirp, oi $\mu \omega \omega^{\circ} \omega$ I lament \&c. with some others, particularly $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \omega$ I drop, $\sigma \tau i \zeta \omega$ I prick, oinol $i \xi \omega$ I prop, oqui ${ }^{\circ} \omega$ I palpitate. The three following, viz. $\pi \lambda \alpha{ }_{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{I}$ I drive -about, $\times \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ I sound, $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ I sound a trumpet, on the contrary, have $\gamma \gamma$ as the true characteristic, (future $\pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \xi \omega$ \&cc.)

Rem. 2. On the other hand, some verbs in $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$ have, as the true characteristic, not the palatic, but the lingual, and follow therefore the analogy of those in $\zeta$, as $\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ I form, $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega I$ stroke, $\pi$ tíaje I husk grain, fut. $\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \omega$ \&c.

Rem. 3. Some verbs vibrate between the two characteristics $\delta$ and $\gamma$. See in the list of anomalous verbs $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} g \pi \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \omega, \pi \alpha i \zeta \omega, \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha-$ $\zeta \omega, \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$.

Rem. 4. It has been stated in general terms above, that in the characteristic $\pi r$, the true characteristic is always one of the labial mutes; and in $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$, one of the palatics, or according to Rem. 2, one of the linguals. Which particular letter, however, it may be in any single cnse, is for the most part indifferent; since, as we shall see below, most verbs are in use only in those tenses (the first future, first aorist, and perfect,) which are obliged, in obedience to the general rules ( $(18 \& c$.) to change this pure charac-
teristic ; and indeed the three mutes also in the like way.' Forexample, from the future $\beta \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\xi} \leqslant \omega$ it is clear, that the true characeteristic of $\beta \dot{p} ; \sigma \sigma \omega$ is a palatic, but not which. It is true we are able, in these cases, to conjecture from kindred forms, which palatic is the true characteristic ; but as the declension of the verb is not thereby affected, it is not unsafe in all verbs, whose true characteristic is not obvious from the conjugation, to regard $\pi$ as the true characteristic of those in $\pi \tau$, and of those in $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$ either $\gamma$ or $\delta$ (Rem. 2 $\downarrow$, which latter is the basis of the kindred termination $\zeta$. It will remain therefore only to take note of the few verbs, which really, in one of their tenses, retain unchanged a different letter from the regular characteristic of that tense, viz.
a) In $\pi \tau,-\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi \omega$ I injure, xoúnta I conceal, in both of

 true characteristic is $q$.
b) In $\sigma \sigma, \tau \tau,-q \rho i \sigma \sigma \omega$ I shudder, the true characteristic of which is $x$.
§ 93. formation of the tenses.

1. The attaching of the temporal endings, as they are given above ( $\$ 90$ ), cannot take place directly, nor without consideration of the general rules of euphony; which require, that the characteristic of the verb, if it do not bermonize with the ending, should undergo various changes and modifications. In addition to this, various peculiarities, founded in usage, are to be considered.
2. The subject will be more intelligible, if we observe what tenses are derived one from another, or coincide one with another. The tenses in this respect are divided into three classes, in which they are arranged in the order, in which, in most verbs, they are found.
3. Present and imperfect active and passive.
II. First future and aorist active and middle.

First perfect and pluperfect, with perfect and pluperfect passive, and paulopost future.
First aorist and first future passive.
III. Second future and second aorist active and middle, second aorist and second future passive, second perfect and pluperfect.

Should any particular verb, made use of ás a paradigm, want any of the preceding tenses, such tense of that yerb is neverthe-- less inserted in the grammar, as a guide to other verbs, in which it is used.

Every change made in a verb in the tenses quoted first in ei- 110 ther of the preceding series, takes place in the other tenses of the same series, unless some particular rule or exception prevent.

Remark. The circumstances in which the tenses, in each of the preceding series, for the most part, agree with each other, are principally the following, viz.
a) The tenses in series 1. make no alteration whatever in the radical form of the present active, which is in real use; and where the present active belongs itself to a strengthened form of the root (in conformity with what was stated above), it is found in
 of the second series, for the most part, and of the third series altogether, are derived from the simple form.
b) The series II. comprises all those tenses, in which the characteristic of the verb is generally changed by inflection, particularly by the addition of a consonant in the termination, as $\tau \boldsymbol{v}$ -廿u \&c.
c) The series III. on the ather hand, retains unchanged the characteristic of the verb, as $\varepsilon \tau v \pi \eta \nu$, and alters only occasionally the radical vowel. In this geries of tenses alone, therefore, -when the first series contains a strengthened form-the true characteristic of the verb is to be recognised, since in the second series, should the said characteristic be a palatic, though this fact may be known, yet it cannot be ascertained by mere inspection, which of the palatics is the characteristic.

## § 94. THE TENSES.

1. In order to learn the formation of the tenses, it is necessary to assume only one part or form of the verb, from which to derive them all ; and the present indicative active is made use of for this. All the other varieties of person and mode-as soon as this one person is known-are derived uniformly in all verbs, according to the manner to be unfolded in the paradigms below, with the qualifications expressed in $\S \S 87,88$.

Remurs. The perfect alone is of a formso peculiar, that geveral of its personal, and modal inflections must be learned at the same time, as being in some degree independent of each other (§§ 97, 98.)
2. Several tenses are formed in a manner so simple and regular throughout, that they may be satisfactorily learned from the examples, which follow below. For more convenient inspection, however, they are here detaited in the usual conjugation in $\omega$.The tenses then are derived as follows, viz.
a) From the present in $\omega$, the imperfect in $0 v, \tau \dot{\tau} \pi \tau \omega$ हैँ $\tau v \pi \tau o y$.
b) From every tense in $\omega$, a passive in $o \mu \alpha c$. From the present active, the present passive, $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega \tau v \pi \tau u \alpha \kappa$, and from the fir ture, the future middle, $\tau \dot{v} \psi \omega \tau \dot{v} \psi o \mu \alpha \iota$. Under this moreover is included the second future or the circumflexed future in $\tilde{\omega}_{\text {, middle }}$ ой $\alpha \iota$ (§ 101. 2.)
c) From every tense in $0 \nu$, a passive in $\rho^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$. From the imperfect, the imperfect passive, z'tvituov, zitvató $\mu \eta \nu$, and from the second aorist active, the second aorist middle, ż้
d) From the first aorist, the aorist middle, merely by appeading the syillable $\mu \eta \nu,{ }^{z \prime \prime} \tau v \psi \alpha$ żi $\tau v \psi \alpha \mu \eta \nu$.

- e) From the perfect in every case the pluperfect; in the ac-
 passive voice, by changing $\mu \sigma_{\imath} \iota$ into $\mu \eta \nu$, $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \cup \mu \mu \alpha \iota$ ż $\tau \varepsilon \tau \dot{v} \mu \mu \eta \dot{\nu}$.
f) From each of the two forms of the aorist passive, the corresponding future passive is formed by changing $\eta v$ intọ $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha t$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{v} \varphi \mathfrak{\vartheta} \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{v} \pi \eta \nu-\tau v \varphi \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota, \tau v \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$.

The other tenses have their particular rules.

## § 95. future active.

1. The principal form of the Greek future is the termination $\sigma \omega$. It is found in by far the greatest number of verbs, and is thence called the first future, as $\pi \alpha v v^{\prime} \omega$, fut. $\pi \alpha v \sigma \omega$.
2. When the characteristic of the verb is a consonant, the changes incident to $\sigma$ take place, viz.



3. In verbs in $\pi t$, in $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$, and in $\zeta$, the real characteristic according to $\S 92$ is adopted. In consequence $\pi \tau$ is changed into $\psi$, $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$ into $\xi$, and $\zeta$ into $\sigma, ~ e . g$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega(T A \Gamma \Omega) \text { - } \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \xi \omega \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the rarer cases, as is also taught in the same place, $\zeta$ is changed into $\xi$, and $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$ into $\sigma$, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \rho \alpha \dot{\prime} \omega_{( }(K P A \Gamma \Omega) \text { fut. x } \propto \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega \\
& \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega(\Pi \Lambda A \Theta \Omega)-\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. When the characteristic of the verb is a vowel, the syllable 112 before the ending $\sigma \omega$ is by rule long, whatever be its quantity in the present, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { тion (i) - тión (i) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In consequence of which rule $\varepsilon$ and 0 are changed into $\eta$ and $\omega$, as $\varphi c \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega, \quad \delta \eta \lambda o ́ \omega,-\varphi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega, \delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$.

For exceptions to this, see Rem. 3 below.
5. The characteristic $\alpha$ is changed into $\eta$ in the future, except when one of the vowels $\varepsilon, c$, or the consonant $\rho$ precedes, in which case the future has long $\alpha, *$ e.g.
$\tau \tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega}, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, fut. $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$



For exceptions see below Rem. 4.

[^38]6. On the other hand, the penult syllables of the futures in $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega, i \sigma \omega, \dot{v} \sigma \omega$, are always short when they come from verbs in $\zeta \omega$ or in $\sigma \sigma, \tau \tau$, as in $\varphi \varrho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega, \delta \iota x \alpha \sigma \omega, \nu 0 \mu i \sigma \omega, x \lambda v \dot{v} \dot{\omega}$, from $\varphi \varrho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, $\delta \iota x \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \omega, v o \mu i \zeta \omega, \times \lambda \dot{v} \zeta \omega$, and in $\pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega, \pi \tau i \sigma \omega$, from $\pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega, \pi \pi i \sigma \sigma \omega$.

## Remarks.

1. When the $\sigma$ of the future is preceded by a labial, the change takes place mentioned $\S 25$. 4, as $\sigma \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \dot{d} \omega$, fut. $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \sigma \omega$. '
2. In the Doric dialect, in the first future and aorist, most of the verbs in $\zeta, \sigma \sigma, \tau \tau$, which commonly have $\sigma$, take an $\xi$, as $x о \mu i \xi \omega, \delta \iota x \alpha \prime \xi \omega$, from $x o \mu l \zeta \omega, \delta \iota x \alpha^{\prime} \xi \omega$.
3. Several verbs, that have a short vowel as a characteristic, have the same unchanged in the future, as $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha, \omega$ I laugh, $\sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}$

 Some verbs vibrate between both forms, partly in the future itself, as noit'் I desire, fut. $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega$ and $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, partly in the tenses which are derived therefrom (compare § 92. 2.) as $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$ I loose, fut. $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \omega$, perf. pass. $\lambda \varepsilon ่ \lambda \check{j} \mu \alpha \iota$. See in the anomalous verbs áivz' $\omega$, aiqż $\omega$,

4. The verb $\alpha x \rho \circ \alpha, \mu \alpha \iota I$ hear has $\alpha x \rho o \alpha \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, contrary to the analogy of $\beta \circ \dot{\alpha} \omega$ fut. $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$. On the other hand, $\chi \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega, \chi \varrho \alpha о \mu \alpha, \mathrm{f}$. $\chi \varrho \eta \sigma \omega \omega, \& c$. is contrary to the analogy of $\delta \rho \alpha \omega, \alpha \sigma \omega$.
5. The following six, viz. $\chi$ éc I pour out, éżo I flow, véw I swim, $\pi \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ I, sail, $\pi \nu \varepsilon ́ \omega$ I blow, $\vartheta$ z'o $I$ run, have $\varepsilon v$ in the future, as $\chi \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \omega$, $\varrho \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, \&c. see anothalous verbs. The two following, xai I burn and x $\lambda \alpha i \omega$ I weep, whose original form, preserved in the Attic dialect, is $x \lambda \alpha^{\prime}(\alpha), x \alpha \omega$, with a long $\alpha$, take $\alpha v$ in the future, as $x \alpha \dot{v} \sigma \omega, x \lambda \alpha v \dot{v} \omega$, see anomalous verbs.

## ATTIC FUTURE.

6. When the termination $\sigma \omega$ is preceded by a short vowel, the $\sigma$ is occasionally omitted, in the Ionic dialect, and, in the Attic dialect, the two syllables are contracted into one, and marked with a circumflex, as from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ I finish,

Fut. $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \omega \omega$ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \iota s, \& \varepsilon$.


From $\beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ I lead,
Fut. $\beta \iota \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega 1 \beta \iota \beta \alpha \alpha_{\sigma \varepsilon \imath s ~ \& c . ~}^{\text {\& }}$

The same prevails in the modes and participles, and in the middle voice. See the present tense of the contract verbs below.
7. If the short vowel be $\iota$, the two vowels do not admit of contraction. In this case, after the omission of the $\sigma$, the $\omega$ is circumflexed, and inflected; in every respect, like a contract verb in $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega}$, as from xoul $\xi \omega$,

Fut. xоцiбы xощiбels \&c.

In the Attic writers, this is the most usual form of the future in verbs of this class.

```
SECOND FUTURE.
```

8. When, in order to form the future, the termination $\varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$, and the $\tilde{\omega}, \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \mathcal{s} \& c$. oũccu \&c. formed from it, are attached to the characteristic of the verb, it is called the second future; which form of the future is the most common with verbs, whose characteristic is $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$, with respect to which more precise rules are given below in § 101. A formation of the same kind, in some other verbs, is to be regarded as wholly anomalous; see in the anomalous verbs $\mu \alpha ́ x o \mu \alpha \iota$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime} \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota$.
9. The Dorics attach the terminations $\tilde{\omega} \& z c . ~ o \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon v$, o $\tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha c$ (or, more exactly in the Doric dialect, $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon v, \varepsilon \tilde{\sim} \mu \alpha \iota$, ) to the $\sigma(\xi, \psi)$ of the common first future, as $\tau v \psi \omega, \tau v \psi o \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ or $\tau v \psi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon \nu$, and this form is also used in the Attic and common diaiect, as the future middle of some verbs; aṣ from $\pi v / \gamma \omega$ I suffocnte, Fut. Mid.

10. An entirely anomalous form of the future, viz. in $o \mu \alpha \iota$, may be seen under rivo and $\bar{\varepsilon} \sigma \hat{v} i o n$, in the list of anomalous verbs.

## § 96. FIRST AND SECOND AORIST.

1. The Aorist terminating in $\alpha$ is called the First Aorist. This, however, has a twofold formation, adding either $\sigma \alpha$ or simply $\alpha$ to the characteristic of the verb. In the same cases where the future, according to the rule, ends in $\sigma \omega$-that is, universally, except in verbs in $\lambda, \mu, \bar{v}, \rho$-the first aorist ends in $\sigma \alpha$, wherein the same changes take place, as in $\sigma \omega$, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x о \mu i \xi \omega, x о \mu i \sigma \omega,-\dot{\epsilon} x о \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma \alpha \\
& \text { 甲 } \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega, \varphi \iota \lambda \eta \dot{\prime} \sigma \omega,-\quad \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi i \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Verbe, on the other hand, in $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$, whose future ends not in $\sigma \omega$, but in $\tilde{\omega}$, have this aorist not in $\sigma \alpha$, but in $\alpha$ alone; the particular rules for which will be given in treating verbs of this class below in § 101 .

Rem. 1. A few verbs of the anomalons class, though they are not verbs in $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$, form their first aorist in $\alpha$ instead of $\sigma \alpha$, as,
 $x \alpha$, see those verbs below.
2. The aorist in ov is called the Second Aorist. The termination is immediately attached to the characteristic of the verb; where, however, three things are to be observed, viz.
a) The second aorist is uniformly derived from the pure and simple characteristic, when the same exists, according to $\oint 99$, in a strengthened form in the present.
b) The penult of the present is commonly shorlened in the second aorist.
c) The $\varepsilon$ in the radical syllable of the verb is usually changed into $\alpha$, in the second aorist.
3. It is only by these changes that the second aorist is distinguished, in form, from the imperfect; and all verbs which cannot
 would be no difference but the quantity of the vowel (as in $x i i v \nu)$ ), have no second aorist.*
4. It is also altogether wanting in derivative verbs, formed from other words with a regular termination, like $\alpha^{\prime} \xi \omega, i \xi \omega, \alpha i v \omega$, $\dot{v} \nu \omega, \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega, \dot{o} \omega, \dot{\alpha} \omega$, and $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\prime} \omega$.

Rem. 2. Of other verbs, moreover, the greater part have the first aorist, and much the smaller portion the second, although it is assumed in the grammar, even in verbs which do not poseess it, in order to teach the formation of other tenses, particularly the second aorist passive. $\dagger$

[^39]5. In conformity with these principles, the changes and abbreviations (indicated generally above in no. 2,) of the characteristic and vowel of the present into the characteristic and vowel of the second aorist, are accomplished in the respective cases, as follows, viz.


Rrm. 3. To avoid the danger of mistaking an imperfect, or in other modes a present, for the second aorist, or the reverse, it is to be observed in addition to the rule im no. 3, that, as was taught § 94. 2, the real imperfect tense of a verb always conforms exactIy to the actual present tense, and consequently, in the indicative mode, that only is the true aorist, which differs in form from the imperfect in actual use, and, in the other modes, from the present in actual use. Accordingly z̈ $\gamma \varrho \alpha q \frac{1}{}$ from $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\prime} \varphi \omega$, can only be imperfect, and yó́qus only subjunctive present.

Rem. 4. In some verbs; the second aorist has the syllable before the termination long, content with the other points of difference noted in no. 2, as $\varepsilon \dot{v} \rho o v, ~ \tilde{z} \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau o v$, \&c. see the anomalous Verbs $\varepsilon \dot{v} \rho i \sigma x \omega, \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega 0$. In a few cases, where the vowel would otherwise be long by position, a transposition restores the common relation between the present and second aorist; as dé $0 x \omega$, žo $0 \alpha x o v$. See the anomalous verbs dégxa, n'ǵ $\hat{\sigma} \omega$.

Rem. 5. The second aorists in $\eta v, \omega \nu, \dot{v} \nu$, and the syncopated aorists, are treated below under the head of verbs in $\mu c, \oint 110$ Rem. 5, 6.

[^40]1. The first perfect has two terminations, both ending in $\alpha$, $\alpha s^{\prime}, \& c$.
a) If the characteristic of the verb be $\beta, \pi, \varphi$, or $\gamma, \chi, \chi$, this letter is (or remains) aspirated, and $\alpha$ is attached to it. . E. g.


If the characteristic of the present is changed ( $\$ 92$ ), it can still be recognised in the future; and as the same letters, which effect , in the future a change into $\xi$ or $\psi$, produce in the perfect a $\chi$ or $\varphi$, so to form the perfect from the future it is only necessary to change those double letters into these aspirates. E.g.
$\tau \alpha \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega\left(\tau \alpha^{\prime} \xi \omega\right)$, perf. $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \chi \alpha$
$\tau v \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega(\tau v \not \psi \omega),-\tau \varepsilon^{\prime} \tau v \varphi \alpha$.
b) In all other cases the first perfect ends in $x \alpha$. This termination in the verbs which make the future in $\sigma \omega$, is attached to the root in the same manner, and with the same changes, as the $\sigma \omega$, e.g.

| тiow | ( | perf. $\tau$ ċıixa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\varphi<\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ) | - $\pi \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \eta x \alpha$ |
| $\tau \ell \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \omega$ | ( $\tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \omega$ ) |  |
|  |  | - ク̇¢vө¢iãx |
| $\sigma \pi \alpha \omega$ | ( $\sigma \pi \bar{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ ) |  |
| $\pi \nu$ ¢́¢ | ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{1} \sigma \omega)$ |  |

So also the following, with the omission, of the linguals, viz.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\pi \varepsilon i \vartheta \omega \omega^{-} & (\pi \varepsilon i \sigma \omega) & & \text { perf. } \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \pi \varepsilon \iota x \alpha \\
x о \mu i \zeta \omega & (x о \mu i \sigma \omega) & -x \varepsilon x о ́ \mu \iota x \alpha
\end{array}
$$

The verbs in $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$ will be considered below in $\S 101$.
2. Several verbs have a second perfect'in $\alpha$. It is this form, which, in the elder grammarians, in consequence of its being ${ }_{2}$ in - few rare instances, found to have an intransitive or reflected

[^41]meaning, was called the Perfect Middle., In reality, however, it is found, both in virtue of its prevalent signification and of the ' analogy of its formation, to be a second form of the perfect active. This form attaches the same terminations, as the first perfect, to 117 the characteristic of the present, without any change, as $\lambda \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \omega$

3. There are three things here to be noted, viz.
a). When the characteristic of the present is not simple (§92) the simple characteristic appears in the second perfect, precisely as in the second aorist, e.g.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega(\boldsymbol{\Pi} \Lambda \boldsymbol{H I S} \text { ) - } \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { o้5 } \quad(O \Delta \Omega) \text { - ö } \delta^{\prime} \omega \delta \dot{\alpha} \alpha \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

b) In general this form prefers a long vowel in the penult, even when the second aorist has a short one. Hence the second
 $\alpha$, accordingly, whether it exists simply in the present, or has been introduced into the other tenses by shortening the $\eta$ or $\alpha \iota$ of the present, is commonly changed in the second perfect into $\eta$, e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \text { (fout. } \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega)_{\text {) }} \text { - } \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \hat{\eta} \eta \lambda \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

 ẋ̇̇́päya.
c) This perfect, moreover, is inclined to the vowel 0, and it therefore not only remains unaltered, as in xómio ( $K O \Pi \Omega$ ) xéxo$\pi \alpha$, but it is also adopted as a change of $\varepsilon$, as $\delta^{\prime} \rho x a$, $\delta^{\prime} \delta o \rho x \alpha$, TEK $\Omega$, $\tau \dot{z} \tau 0 \times \alpha$ (see anomalous verbs $\tau i x \tau \omega$ ). This circumstance operates in a twofold manner on the $\varepsilon \iota$ of the present, according. as $\varepsilon$ or $c$ is the basis of this diphthong, which is to be determined from those tenses that shorten the vowel, as the second future and second aorist. If the radical letter be $\varepsilon$, which is the case only

[^42]In verbs in $\lambda_{9} \mu, v, \rho$, then $e t$ is changed in $\theta$; if it be $\varphi$, then it is changed iato $0 L$, e. g.
4. The same remark may be made of the second perfeot, which was made above ( $(96.4$ ) of the second aorist, viz. that it exists 118 only in primitive verbs, and that the greater number of these, as also all derivatives, have only the first perfect.

Rew. 1. Some first perfects also change $\varepsilon$ into o. Such are
 $\tau \rho \dot{q} \boldsymbol{q} \omega$ Inourish, ríz $\rho o \neq \alpha$. See also $\lambda$ 'épo, ouveilio $\chi \alpha$, among the anomalous verbs. In like manner $\varepsilon \iota$ is changed into $o \iota$ in $\delta \varepsilon \delta \delta o c x \alpha$ from the anomalous $A E / \Omega$.

Rem. 2. It has already been remarked ( $\S 84$ Rem. 1), that after the Attic redaplication the vowel of the perfect is shortened,


Rem. 3. For several shortened forms of the perfect, as $\beta \dot{\beta} \beta \alpha \alpha$


## § 98. perfect passiye.

1: In the Perfect Passive the terminations $\mu \alpha \boldsymbol{}$, $\sigma \alpha, \tau \alpha \iota$, \&c. and in the Pluperfect, $\mu \eta \nu, \sigma 0, \tau 0, \& \mathrm{cc}$. are attached to the characteristic of the verb, not, as in the other passive forms, by means of the vowel of connexion ( $\S 87$ Rem. $1, o \mu \alpha \mu, \varepsilon \tau \alpha l$, \&cc.) but immediately, inasmuch the characteristic precedes the or $x<0$ of the regular first perfect active, from which the perfect passive is formed.

Rem. 1. When therefore a verb has no first perfect in use, it is supplied in the grammar, as in $\lambda$ eiteco ( $\lambda$, dioiza) the first perfect Ridecta is supplied, to form therefrom the perfect passive $\lambda$ did $\varepsilon \mu$ $\mu \alpha$.
2. Thene ane accordingly two general rules for the formation of this tense, viz .
I. If the first perfect have $\varphi, \chi$, these letters undergo a change
before $\mu, \sigma, \tau$, according to the general rules in $\$ \varnothing 20,29,23$. Hence from $\tau$ z'zupa and $\pi z^{\prime} \pi \lambda \varepsilon \chi \alpha$ are formed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau v-\mu \mu \alpha \iota, \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau v-\psi \alpha b, \tau \varepsilon ̇ \tau v-\pi \tau \alpha b,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \varepsilon-\gamma \mu \alpha \iota, \pi \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \pi \lambda \varepsilon-\xi \alpha \iota_{2} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \pi \lambda \varepsilon-x \tau \alpha \varphi_{,} \text {" } \\
& \text { for - } \chi \mu \alpha i \text {, - } \chi \sigma \alpha t \text {, - } \tau \tau \alpha \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to avoid the concurrence of three consonants ( $\$ 19.2$ ) in the farther inflection of this tense and the pluperfect, the $\sigma$ is omitted from the terminations $\sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon, \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \omega$, \&rc. e. g.
 Inf. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \boldsymbol{\theta} \alpha \iota$ for $-\dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\theta} \alpha \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ or $-\xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \delta$.
The third person plural in $\nu \tau \alpha \iota$ and $v \tau 0$ cannot be formed, consistently with the analogy of the Greek language; and its place is therefore supplied by an union of the participle with a tense of civac to be ; see the paradigm of $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$. below.

Rzm. 2. In the 'lonic dialect, however, instead of $\nu \tau \alpha \iota$ and $\nu \tau 0$ there is found $\alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ and $\alpha \tau 0$, see $\delta 103$ Rem. III. $E$.
II. The seciond general rule for the formation of the perfect passive is, that when the first perfect active is formed in $x \alpha$, this termination is merely changed into $\mu \alpha \iota$, and this as follows, viz.
a) If the characteristic of the verb be a vowel, this change is directly éffected, e.g.

$$
\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 i \eta x \alpha-\pi \varepsilon \pi o i \eta \mu \alpha \iota, \sigma \alpha \iota, \tau \alpha \iota, \& c .
$$


b) But when before the $x$ of the first perfect active, as also before the $\sigma \omega$ of the future, a lingual has dropped out, its place is sapplied by an $\sigma$ before the terminations of the perfect passive, e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \varepsilon i \vartheta \theta \omega \text { ( } \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \chi \alpha \text { ) - } \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \iota, 3 \text { pers. } \pi \varepsilon ่ \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \& c .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \rho \alpha ́ s \omega(\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varrho \alpha \times \alpha) \text { - } \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varrho \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \iota, \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \& c \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Before another $\sigma$, however, this $\sigma$ is again omitted, as 2. pers. sing. $\pi \varepsilon ่ \pi \varepsilon \iota-\sigma \alpha \iota, ~$ Q. pl. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \epsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$, 3. pl. as above.
c) The rules for the perf. pass. of verbs in $\lambda, \mu, v, \rho$, are given separately in $\S 101$ below.

Rem. 3. The $o$ of the perfect active, which is derived from an $\varepsilon$ in the present, does not pass into the perfect passive, as $x \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau$
 turn, г $\varrho \dot{q} \dot{\varphi} \omega$ I nourish, ot $\varrho$ t́q $\omega$ I turn (trans.) have in the perfect passive a peculiar change of the $\varepsilon$ into $\alpha$, as $\tau \varepsilon ̇ \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota, ~ \tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \alpha$,
 $\mu \alpha$.

Rem. 4. Some verbs change the diphthong $\varepsilon v$, which exists originally in their present, or is assumed by them in the future, into $v$ in the perfect passive, as z $\varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$, ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha$ ) $\tau \varepsilon ่ \tau v \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$. So also $\varphi \varepsilon \dot{v}^{\prime} \gamma \omega$, and $\pi \nu \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \omega \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \pi \nu \varepsilon v x \alpha$ ) $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \pi \nu \bar{v} \mu \alpha \iota$. ln $\chi^{\prime} \omega(\gamma \varepsilon \dot{v}-$ $\sigma \omega$ ) this change is already made in the perfect active $x \notin \chi v x \alpha$, $x \varepsilon ́ \chi \dot{v} \mu \alpha$. Of the variable quantity of some verbs in $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega, \boldsymbol{v} \omega$, see above § 95 Rem. 3.

Rem. 5. The $\sigma$ before the termination of the perfect passive is assumed by several verbs, which have no lingual, but a vowel for
 $x \in x \in \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \mu u \ell$, and particularly several of those which retain a short vowel unchanged, as $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega$ ) $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha c$.

Rem. 6. When $\gamma \gamma$ is brought to stand before $\mu$, one $\gamma$ is omitted,
 other terminations follow the rule, as $\dot{\ell} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \xi \alpha \iota, \gamma x \tau \alpha \iota$, \&cc. $\bar{\varepsilon} \sigma-$ $\boldsymbol{\text { ¢ }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathfrak{\xi} \alpha \iota$, \&c.

Rem. 7. In like manner where the perfect passive would have $\mu \mu$, and another $\mu$ is added from the root of the verb, one $\mu$ is naturally omitted, as $x \alpha^{\prime} \mu \pi \tau \omega$, $x \dot{\varepsilon} x \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota$, x $\dot{\prime} x \alpha \mu \psi \alpha i$, \&c.

REx. 8. The subjunctive and optative can only be formed, when the termination is preceded by a vowel allied with the termination of the subjunctive, or which combines with the $c$ of the optative, e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega, \pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \iota, \text { Opt. } \pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha i \mu \eta \nu, \& c
\end{aligned}
$$

Also when the vowel is cor $v$, optative tenses may be formed (af $\dot{v}$ is a kindred vowel) by the suppression of the $\ell$. The vowel must, however, be long, as $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$, $\lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda \nu \mu \alpha i$ (see $\oint 95$ Rem. 3.) Opt. 3. pers. $\lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \bar{v} \tau 0$. The use, however, of all these forms is very limited, and usually superseded by composition with the tenses of sival. See the paradigm.
§99. THIRD FUTURE.
The Third Future or Paulopostfuture of the passive, in respect to signification (§ 139) and form, is derived from the perfect passive, of which it retains the augment, substituting oomac for the termination of the perfect pasoive. It is therefore only necessary to take the ending of the 2d pers. perf. pass. in $\sigma \alpha t$ ( $\psi \alpha t, \xi{ }_{c t}$ ) and change the $\alpha z$ into $o \mu \alpha \iota$, e.g.

```
\tau\varepsiloṅ\tauv\mu\mu\alphaь (\tauध̇\tauv\psi\alpha\iota) - \tau\varepsilon\tauv\psiо\mu\alpha\iota
\tau\varepsiloń\tau\rho\alpha\mu\mu\alpha\iota (\tau\varepsiloń\tau\rho\alpha\psi\alpha\iota) - \tau\varepsilon\tau@\dot{\alpha}\psiо\mu\alpha\iota
\pi\varepsilon\varphii\\eta\mu\mu\alpha\iota (\pi\varepsilon\varphii\lambda\eta\sigma\alpha\iota) - л\varepsilon\varphi८\lambda\etaं\sigmaо\mu\alpha\iota.
\pi\varepsilon'\pi\varepsilon\iota\sigma\mu\alpha\iota (\piध̇\pi\varepsilon\iota\sigma\alpha\iota) - \pi\varepsilon\pi\varepsilonl\sigmaо\mu\alpha\iota.
```

Rem. 1. In those cases, in which the vowel of the first future is shortened in the perfect passive, the third future makes it long again as $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \bar{v} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ : See $\oint 95$ Rem. 3.

Rem. 2. The verbs which have the temporal augment, and the verbs $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$, have no paulopostfuture.
§ 100. FIRST AND SECOND AORIST PASSIVE.

1. All verbs form the aorist of the passive either in $\theta \eta v$ or $\eta \nu$, and many in both ways at once. The former is called first aorist, the latter second aorist; see above $\S 89.3$.
2. The first aorist passive attaches $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} v$ to the characteristic of the verb, e. g.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \dot{v} \omega \text { - } ̇ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta \nu \tag{K}
\end{align*}
$$

It is here understood (see § 20), that the characteristic of the 121 verb, when it is a smooth or middle mute, is changed into one of the aspirates, e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega(T A \Gamma \Omega) \text { - } \boldsymbol{z} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. In respect to the remaining changes of the root, which take place in the series of the first future ( $\$ 93.2$ ), the first aorist passive governs itself principally according to the perfect passive, inakmuch as it assumes $\sigma$ in the same, cases, e. $g$.

The radical vowel is also in most cases changed in the same way, as in the perfect passive, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega(\pi \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \iota)-\dot{z} q \subset \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu \\
& \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega(\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \mu \alpha \iota)-\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The second aorist passive attaches $\eta y$ to the pure characteristic of the verb, and in so doing, follows, all the rules given above for the second aorist active. It is necessary therefore to form the second aorist active, whether it is used or not, and then change the $o v$ into $\eta \nu, ~ e . g$.

- 

Rem. 1. A few verbs, whose characteristic is a vowel, assume $\sigma$ in the first aorist passive, without having it in the perfect passive,
 For the opposite exception $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \zeta \omega$, $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \omega,-\mathcal{c}^{\prime} \sigma \omega^{\prime} \theta \eta u$, see anomalous verbs.

Rem. 2. Those which, without being verbs in $\lambda, \mu, \nu, 0$, change their $\varepsilon$ into $\alpha$ in the perfect passive ( $\$ 98$ Rem. 3), retain their $\varepsilon$



Rem. 3. As it is not possible in the passive voice, for a confasion of the imperfect and second aorist to take place as in the active, so those verbs have a second aorist passive; which, according to § 96.3 , cannot have it in the active. In this case it may be formed from the imperfect active, as in other cases it. is formed from the second aorist active. The rule; however, prevails that the long vowel is made short in the second aorist, e. g.

Rem. 4. For the same reason also some verbs, whose radical vowel is $\varepsilon$, form a second aorist passive, without changing $\varepsilon$ into $\alpha_{0}$ as $\varphi \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega 0$, $̇ \varphi \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \eta v$.

## § 1Q1. verbs in $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$.

1. The verbs, whose characteristic is one of the four letters $\lambda$, $\mu, \nu, \rho$, depart so extensively from the analogy of the other verbs, that it is necessary here to exhibit their peculiarities in one connected view.
2. All verbs of this class, strictly speaking, want the first future in $\sigma \omega$, and have instead of it the second fature ( $\$ 95$ Rem. 8.)
 \&c. and this in the common dialect is contracted as follows, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \omega \text {, fut. } \nu \varepsilon \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha}, \text { com. } \nu \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega} \\
& \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega, \text { fut. } \mu \varepsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega, \text { com. } \mu \varepsilon \nu \tilde{\omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Of this future the farther inflection ( $\nu \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}, \varepsilon \tilde{i} 9 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{i}, ~ o u \tilde{\mu} \varepsilon \nu$, $\varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$, oũ $\sigma$, \&cc. Mid. oũ $\mu \alpha t, \tilde{?}$, , $\varepsilon i \pi \alpha u$, \&cc. see in the paradigm,) is to be compared with the present of the contract verbs in too ( $\$ 105$.)
3. The syltable before the termination, when it is leng in the present, is without exception shortened in this future, e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega, \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \omega, \text { fot } \psi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega}, \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \tilde{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

To this end, the diphthong $\alpha l$ is changed into short $\alpha$, and $\varepsilon \ell$ into

4. The first aorist of these verbs is formed also, without $\sigma$, in $\alpha$ alone. They retain therewith the characteristic it in in the fature, but lengthei again the syllable before the ternination, independently however of the present, as they cither simply tengthen, the vowel of the future, e.g.

| тid入ш | (xixat) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (xoivã) | - eẋórva |
|  | ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega\right)$ | - $\eta^{\prime} \mu \bar{\nu} \nu$ |

or they change the $\varepsilon$ of the future into $\dot{\varepsilon}$, and $\alpha$ into $\eta$, e. $g$.


Several verbs, however, which have $\alpha \ell$ in the present, take a long 123


Rum．1．The verbs ailpow and äddouai beginning with $\alpha$ ，have $\alpha$ in the first aorist，which，only in the indicative，in consequence of the augment，is changed into $\eta$, as $\tilde{\eta}^{3} \rho \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \rho \alpha \iota, \ddot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \varsigma^{*} \dot{\eta} \hat{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ ， «́ $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o s$ ．＊

5．The second aorist retains the vowel exactly as it is in the fature．E．g．

But the $\varepsilon$ of the future in dissyllable verbs is changed into $\alpha$（comp． § 96．2．）．E．g．
 $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$（ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \tilde{)}$－a． 2 pass．$\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta v_{\rho}$

6．The second perfect，when it is used，is formed entirely ac－ cording to the rales given above（§97．2，3．）E．g．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { } \triangle P E M \Omega \text { - d } \varepsilon ́ \rho о \mu \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\varepsilon \iota$ of the present，since（as appears from the future）it has its origin，in verbs of this class，not in the radical $c$ ，but in $\varepsilon$ ，passes


7．The first perfect，the perfect passive，and frst aorist passive， follow the general rules in attaching the terminations $\pi \alpha, \mu \alpha c$, \＆c． $\vartheta \eta \nu$, to the characteristic，retaining the changes of the future．E．g．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \varphi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega\left(\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda(\tilde{)})-\varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha, \text { z̈́v甲 } \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \iota\right. \\
& \text { 甲аivш ( } \varphi \alpha \nu \tilde{\omega}) \text { - } \pi \dot{́} \varphi \alpha \gamma \alpha \alpha, . \dot{\varepsilon} q \alpha^{\prime} \nu \vartheta \eta \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

The perfect passive also drops the $\sigma$ of the terminations $\sigma \vartheta \alpha$, $\sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ ，\＆c．（ $\$$ 98．2．）E．g．
$\sigma \varphi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$, ह̈ $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \epsilon, 2$ pers．pl．$z^{\varepsilon} \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon$


[^43]8. Here, however, the two following departures from the analogy of the other verbs are to be observed, viz.
a) When the future has an $\varepsilon$; the dissyllables in these tenses change it into $\alpha$. E.g.
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \overline{)}$ -

b) The fallowing verbs in iva, sivoo, vevo, viza, xolvo, xalwow, zeivep, srivivo, $\pi \lambda i{ }^{\prime} w$, drop the $v$ in these tenses, and moume the thort vowel of the future, but in such a way, that those in sixcu change that short vowel, which is $\varepsilon$, into $\alpha$. E. g.

Rem. 2. The polysyllables, according to the rule, retain $\varepsilon$ unchanged in the penult, as $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda(0), \tilde{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda x \alpha, \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \vartheta \eta \eta \nu$. This is done also in the perfect passive of dissyllables which begin with


Rem. 3. The verbs which retain $\nu$, occasion difficulty in the perfect passive. They preserve, however, the $v$ unchanged in the following cases, viz.
a) In the second person singular, where it even remains before $\sigma$, as $\varphi$ otiva, $\pi$ t́q $\alpha \nu \sigma \alpha$.
b) In the terminations which begin with $\sigma \theta$, in which however the $\sigma$ is dropped in consequence of the $v$, as inf. $\dot{\pi} \varepsilon \varphi \rho^{\prime} v \theta \alpha c$. See no. 7 above.
c) In the 3d sing. as $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha c$ he has appeared.

In the same manner, however, the 3 d pl. is formed, (as xéx $\varrho \alpha v \tau \alpha$ cemark. But this form is extremely rare on account of this very confusion, and the compound form with cial is preferred.

Rem. 4. Before the terminations beginning with $\mu$, the following is the usage with respect to the $\nu$.
a) The $\nu$ passes into $\mu$, as $\eta \sigma \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha$, from $\alpha i \sigma \chi v i v \omega$.
b) The $v$ is dropped, retaining the long vowel, as $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota$ from reaxuvo.
c) Most commonly instead of $v$ we find $\sigma$, as qaival ( $q, \alpha \nu 0$ ), $\pi \dot{z} \varphi \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha c^{\circ} \mu 0 \lambda \dot{v} v \omega, \mu \varepsilon \mu o ́ \lambda v \sigma \mu \alpha c$.

REM. 5. The elder and the सolic dialects formed the future and the first aorist, even of these verbs, with $\sigma$, as $x \in i \rho \omega$ éx $x<\rho \sigma \alpha$, vei $\rho$ ré $\rho a \omega$, which form is the most usual in some verbs, as qú $\rho$ I knead, qúpow.

1．With the formation of the tenses must be connected that of the verbal adjectives in tós and réos，which，in signification and ise，nearly resemble participles．See the Remark below．

2．Both terminations always have the accent，and are attached immediately to the characteristic of the verb，which ondergoes the changes required by the general rule．The vowel is in va－ rious cases changed．These changes coincide in every respect with those of the aorist passive，except that of course when the aorist has $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, \chi \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ，these forms have $-\pi \tau, \boldsymbol{x \tau}$ ．We can therefore compare with these verbals the 3 d sing．perf．passive，which has also $\tau$ ，though in respect to the leading syllable it departs，in many verbs，both from the first aorist and the verbals．

3．Accordingly we have the following forms of verbals，viz

| $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \chi \omega$ |  | $\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda z^{\prime} \chi \theta \eta \nu\right)$ | － | $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \times x$ ós |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ， |  |  |  | गגexzżos |
| $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \omega$ | （ $\lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda z x \tau \alpha \downarrow$ |  | － | dextós |
| yóápo | （уغ́yoaxtal， |  | － | yoautrós |
| ot ¢ı́¢ ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ |  |  | － | бтеzлто́s |
| qu＠áa | （ $\pi \varepsilon q \omega^{\text {copar }}$ ， | $\dot{\varepsilon} q \omega \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu)$ | － | ¢00patébs |
| q玟の | （ $\pi \times \varphi i \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ ， |  | － | qı $\lambda \eta t$ cios |
| aipéco | （1̣＠ทrą， |  | － | 人ipetós |
| паv̇ढ | （ $\pi$ ¢＇л $\alpha v \tau \alpha$ ， | $\dot{e} \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \theta \eta \nu)$ | － | паvarzóos |
| тtėג $\lambda$ oo | （èotadtal， | $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \vartheta \eta \eta \nu)$ | － | radtéos |
| relvo | （ $x \dot{z} \tau \alpha \tau \alpha{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\dot{\varepsilon ̇ \tau} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\ddots} \eta \eta \nu)$ | － | catzos |
| $\chi \chi^{\text {éa }}$ | （ $x \dot{\prime} \chi \chi \tau \tau \alpha$ ， |  | － | xutós |
| $\pi \nu$ éa |  |  | － | $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \sigma \tau o ́ s$. |

Remarx．The verbal in cós corresponds in form with the Latir participle in tus，and has in fact the same signification；e．g．$n \lambda \in x-$ tós woven，orosarós twisted．But most commonly it conveys the idea of possibility，like the Latin termination ilis，as orocirios ver－ satilis，flexible，oparós visibilis；visible，dxovarós audible．The ver－ bal in $\tau$ źos，meantime，has the idea of necessity，and corresponds with the Latin participle in dus，as qidचréos amandus，a person to be looed．See § 134．Rem． 4.
§ 103. the baryton verb.

1. The foregoing rules, as well as the other details of the various modes and tenses, will now be all illustrated in an example with the common baryton verb $\tau \dot{u} \dot{\pi} \tau \omega$, to which will be subjoined some other peculiar examples of baryton verbs as they are used, and lastly one of the, class in $\lambda ; \mu, \nu, \rho, v i z{ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \gamma y \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.
2. Baryton verbs, as was explained above in $\S 10.2$, are in their natural form, in which the termination of the present tense is always unaccented; in distinction from those, whose two last syllables are contracted and marked with a circumflex, and hence called verbá contracta by the Latin grammarians, and perispomena by the Greek. The latter will be treated separately below.

Rizm. 1. The learner will bear in mind that rústrow is here used only as a paradigm or example, in which every thing is exhibited in one view, which belongs to the various verbs of this kind, although neither $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$ nor any other single verb is found in all the modes and tenses here given.* See $\$ 104$.
2. It was formerly usual to give the second future active and middle with the paradigm of $\pi v \pi \tau \omega$. Inasmuch, however, as this form is wanting in all the verbs of the class to which túncou belongs viz. those whose characteristic is not $\lambda ; \mu, \nu, \rho$, of course in the greatest number of verbs, it was here omitted in the preceding edition, but introduced in full in $\alpha^{\prime} \gamma y \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$, in the paradigm of verbs in $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$. It is now subjoined also in xúnzq.

Rem. 3. In order to have the whole conjugation in one view; a table is subjoined, which gives the first person of the declinable modes, the second person of the imperative, the infinitive, and the masculine gender of the participle, in all the tenses of the active, passive, and middle voices. This is immediately followed by the same verb, inflected at full length.

[^44]

* For the regular subjunctive and optative, which occur in only a few verbe, see above in ' $\$ 98$ Rem. 8 For that which is here given, eee $\$ 100$. IV.
modcative mode．


## Present，I strike．

| Sr－tư̇tw， | тv่л | тข่лтยย， |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D． | 2บ่งtetov， |  |
| P．тv่лтоцev， | тย่สชยโย， |  |

Imperfect，I was striking．

| S．${ }^{\text {cher }}$（ | żvvintes， | èvvite（v）， |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D． |  | ย̇ธข |
|  |  | ěrv̇tov． |

First Perfect，I have struck．

|  |  | тżxu甲e（v） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D． | тETúq行Ov， | т¢̇́tv甲atav |
| P．revúq $\chi^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ， | тहtv́qute；， | тєтv́qǖt（v） |

First Pluperfect，I had struck．

D．



 －

Second Perfect，I have struck．

S．rétuлa，
D．
P．$\tau \varepsilon \tau \dot{u} \pi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ，
$\tau \varepsilon ̇ z v \pi \alpha \mathrm{~S}$ ；
тєtท่ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} 0$ ， тєтย่ォबтє，
$\tau \dot{\tau} \tau v \pi \varepsilon(\nu)$



Second Pluperfect，I had struck．

D．





## First Future，I shall strike．

S．zu่ $\psi \omega$ ，
D．＇
P．$\tau \dot{v} \psi 0 \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ，

тu่ $\psi \varepsilon \iota ร$,

ธข่ษยะย，

тv่ $\psi \varepsilon$ ィ，
ขข่ษยะวข，
เúษovoiv．

First Aorist, I struck.
S. ह̈rvua,
D.
P. $̇$ ह̇ $\dot{v} \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$,

ż̇úqazov, ż่ $\mathfrak{v} \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$,

ह̈ँ $\tau v \psi(\boldsymbol{y})$,
 ětuษa».

Secoñd Future, I shall strike.
S. $\tau \boldsymbol{\pi} \tilde{\omega}$,
D.
P. ฮขสย์ขั $\mu \varepsilon \nu$,

тvлєĩs,
ขvสยĩov тขлеїтє,

тvлєi, тขпยі̃ั๐ท, тขл๐ขัสย ( $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ).

## Second Aorist, I struck.




subjunctive mode.

## Present.

| S. $\tau \dot{u} \pi \tau \omega$, <br> D. <br> P. $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$, | тúлгїร, <br>  <br>  |  ชบ่าะททัov, <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Perfect.
S. च $\varepsilon \tau \cup \cup ́ \varphi \omega$,
D.
P. $\tau \varepsilon \tau \dot{u} \varphi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$

т $\varepsilon \tau \dot{\prime} \varphi \eta \xi_{\Omega}$,
тєтúqทтov,
т $\varepsilon \tau \dot{\varphi} \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon$,

## First Aorist.

S. тu่ $\psi \omega$,
D.

vú $\psi \mathfrak{\eta}$ s,
«ข́чที่ov,


т $\dot{v} \psi \boldsymbol{\eta}$, тivintov,


S．ェข่ยผ，
D．
P．｀ข่ยтшןєข，

Second Aorist．

тข่ォท่นับ，
тu่ภทัย，
тข่गทтоv， т ข่лผสย（v）

OPTATIVE MOOD．
Present．
S．＇тย́ттоィце，
D．
P．тข́лтоџцєข，



Perfect．

S．Ezrúpospe，
D．
P．тeтúqou $\mu \varepsilon v$ ，

TETひ́qoct0v，
тรтúqoırを，

тeтv́goi，
тєธขчotтทข，


First Future．

D．
P．тúษouney，
тข่чoءs，
тข่ч0я，
тข่廿ơrov，
тข่ษоธ兀є，＇
тv世oirnv， тบ่ษ०८ะข．

## First Aorist．



ェ ช́世acs，
тข่ษณยтоข，
тข́భaยtะ，
Second Future．
S．ruroî́ue，
D．
P．тขлоїนєข，




S．тч́жосри，
D．
P．ェข่ точusy，

IMPERATIVE MODE．
Present，Strike．

S．
D．
P．

S．
D．
$\dot{\mathbf{P}}$.

S．
D．
P．

Second Aorist．
túzots，túnoú，





－Perfect．



Finst Aorist．

S．
S．
D．
P．
S．
D．
P．
тบ่ษ๐ข，
тข่чагоу，
тข่廿ศгє，
Second Aorist．

infinitive mode．

| Present | тư̇tยì | to strike． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Perfact |  | to have etruck． |
| Second Perfect |  | to have struat． |
| First Future | Tv̇wetw | to be about to strike． |
| First Aorist | тข่ ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ | to have struck． |
| Second Future | xขлをi้ | to be about to strike． |
| Second Aorist | тขสระข | to have struck． |

PARTICIPLES．
Present，striking，

| $\mathbf{N} .$ | тv่лт．av， | тúrzovба， | rústov， |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | тúntovoos， | тvлто⿱亠乂⿰丿⺄， | тúrtovzos，\＆ |

Perfect，having struck．


First Future，about to strike．
－N．tiviuar，
G．тíyovzos，

т $\dot{\psi} \psi \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v a} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，
тขчоข์oทร，
First Aorist，having struck．

N．тvi $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，
G．tù $\psi a v \tau 0 s$ ，
$\dot{\tau} \dot{v} \psi \bar{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$,
гvчкंबทs，

г $\boldsymbol{v} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，
rvi千avrọ：：

Second Future，about to strike．
N．สvainv，
тขт๐ข̃สа，
ขข兀оขั้，
G．ะvxoüvтos，
тขtoviovs，


## Second Aorist，having struck．

N．тขォสóv，
G． $\boldsymbol{\text { cunóvzos，}}$

тยสัข่ัทร，
$\tau \cup \pi o ́ v$,
тunóvzos．

Passive



| モモтứwo have been struck <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \tau \varepsilon \tau v \dot{v} \vartheta \alpha \iota \\ & \text { to have been } \end{aligned}$ struck | $\tau \varepsilon \tau v \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s, \eta$, ov having been struck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> т $\varepsilon \tau \dot{\varphi} \varphi \theta \omega$ |  |  |
|  <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau \dot{u} \varphi \vartheta \omega a \alpha \dot{y}$ or $\tau \varepsilon \tau \dot{q} \varphi \vartheta \vartheta \omega$ |  |  |


| Imperat．wanting | \ $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ to be about to be struck |  $\eta$ ，ov about ta，be struck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| тข́gůทุtc be struck $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \tau v \varphi \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v a c \\ \text { to have been } \\ \text { struck } \end{array}\right.$ | $\tau v \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon i s$ struck <br> $\tau v \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha$ <br> тvqひ்่ข <br> Gen． |
|  <br> $\tau ข q \vartheta \eta \tau \omega \nu$ |  | тv¢ปิ่ขtos |
| $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ |  |  |
| $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ |  |  |

## Modes like the 1 Future <br> Modes like the 1 Aorist

Modes like the 1 Future
used in the 1st and 2d persons，and always in the 3d．

## MIDDLE



The verbal adjectives ( $\$ 102$ ) are


## examples of other baryton verbs as they occur in use.

I. utorosvio I educate, Middle I cause to educate.

ACTIVE VOICE.


Imperf.


| Perf. Ind, $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha i \delta \varepsilon u x \alpha$, $\alpha s, \varepsilon(\nu) \& c$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |

Pluperf.



## PASSIVE VOICE.

| $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha \iota$. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta^{2}$ $\pi \alpha \iota \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ \&c. | Subj. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega \mu \boldsymbol{}$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v ́ n \neq$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \alpha \iota \& c$. Inf: <br>  | Opt. $\pi \alpha \iota ঠ \varepsilon v o l \mu \eta \nu$ $\pi \alpha \downarrow \in \dot{v} o c o$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{0} 0 \iota \tau 0$ \&c. Part. $\pi \alpha \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \frac{0}{\mu \varepsilon \nu \nu}$ | Imper. <br>  $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v$ ย̇ $\sigma \vartheta \omega$ \&c. $\eta, o v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Imperf.



| Pluperf. <br>  दे $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \varepsilon ย \sigma 0$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha i \delta \dot{\delta} v \tau 0$ |  <br>  <br>  <br>  $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \eta \nu$ ย̇ย $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \varepsilon u \nu \tau 0$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Future. Ind. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \nu \vartheta \eta \eta^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \iota$ | Opt. ภ $\alpha \downarrow \delta \varepsilon \vartheta \vartheta \eta \sigma o l \mu \eta \nu \quad$ Inf. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \vartheta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ Part. л $\alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \nu \vartheta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \varsigma$ |
| Aor. Ind. <br>  |  Inf. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \cup \vartheta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \quad$ Part. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \nu \vartheta \varepsilon$ 'S |
| 3 Future. Ind. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma 0 \mu \alpha \iota$ | Opt. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \nu \sigma \circ i \mu \eta \nu \quad$ Inf. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ Part. лєла८סะÚбójevos |

MIDDLE VOICE.
Future. Ind. | Opt. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \sigma o i \mu \eta \nu \quad \operatorname{Inf} ; \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$



Verbal Adjectives mauঠzutús, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon ̇ o s . ~$
II. osigu I shake, Middle I move myself vehemently.

ACTIVE VOICE.
 Imp. $\quad \sigma \varepsilon \ell \varepsilon, \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon ̇ \tau \sigma \omega$ \&rc. Infin. $\sigma \varepsilon i \varepsilon \iota \nu$. Part. $\quad \sigma i \omega \nu, \sigma \varepsilon l o v \sigma \alpha, \sigma \varepsilon i ̃ o \nu$.

 Imper. $\sigma \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \sigma o v, ~ \alpha ́ \tau \omega \omega$ \&c. Inf. $\sigma \varepsilon \tilde{i} \sigma \alpha \iota$. Part. $\sigma \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha \varsigma, \sigma \varepsilon i \bar{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \alpha, \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{i} \sigma \alpha v$.

PASSIVE VOICE.
Pres. $\sigma \varepsilon i o \mu \alpha \iota \quad$ Imperf. $̇ \sigma \varepsilon \iota o ́ \mu \eta \nu$.


Subj. and Opt. wanting. Imp. $\sigma \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma o, ~ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} i \sigma \theta \omega$ \&c.
Inf. $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \vartheta \not \subset \iota \quad$ Part. $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ̇ \nu O$ S
Pluperf. $̇ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i \sigma \mu \eta \nu^{`}$
D. $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i \sigma \mu \varepsilon \forall o \nu-$ P. $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i \sigma \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha$


Fut. $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha \theta$ Aor. ̇̇ $\sigma \varepsilon i \sigma \hat{\vartheta} \eta \nu \quad 3$ Fut. $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$

## MIDDLE VOICE.

Fut. $\sigma \in i \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$
Aor. ėoz $\sigma \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$
Verbal Adjectives $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s, ~ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ o s$.
III. $\lambda$ _itan I leave, Middle (poetical) I remain.

ACTIVE VOICE.
Pres. , $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega \quad$ Subj. $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$ Opt. $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi 0 \iota \mu \iota, \lambda \varepsilon i \pi i o u s, \lambda \varepsilon i \pi 0<\& c$. Imp. $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \quad$ Inf. $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \ell \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \quad$ Part. $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega \nu$
Imperf.
Perf: (2) $\lambda \varepsilon$ ' $\lambda o \iota \pi \alpha$
Pluperf. $̇ \lambda \varepsilon \lambda o i \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$
Fut. $\quad \lambda \varepsilon i \psi \omega$



PASSIVE VOICE.

| Pres. | $\lambda \varepsilon і \pi о \mu \alpha \iota$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perf. |  | Subj. and Opit. wanting. |
|  |  | Imp. $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \psi 0, \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \varphi \vartheta \omega \omega$ \&c. |
|  | $\lambda \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha<$ \& 2 c. |  |

Pluperf. द̉̀ $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon i \mu \mu \eta \nu ; \psi 0, \pi \tau o ~ \& c$.
Fut. $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ Aor. $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon l \varphi \vartheta \eta \nu$
3 Fut. $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda_{\varepsilon} i \psi \sigma \mu \alpha$.
MIDDLE VOICE.
Fut. $\lambda \varepsilon i \psi о \mu \alpha \iota$
Aor. (2) $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota \pi \dot{\partial} \mu \eta \nu \quad$ Subj. $\lambda i \pi \omega \mu \alpha \iota \quad$ Opt. $\lambda є \pi о / \mu \eta \nu$


Verbal Adjectives $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \tau o ́ s, \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \tau \varepsilon ̇ o s$.
IV. joćqן I worite, Middle I worite for myself, I accuse. ACTIVE VOICE.
Pres. $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ Imperf. $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi o v$

Fut. ую $\quad$ Aor. $z^{\prime \prime} \gamma \varrho \alpha \psi \alpha$.

## PASSIVE VOICE.



Pluperf. દ̇ $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varrho \dot{\rho} \mu \mu \eta \nu, \psi 0, \pi \tau 0$ \&c.
1 Fut. $\gamma \varrho \alpha \varphi \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ seldom used


2 Aor. ${ }^{2} \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\varphi} \eta \nu$
3 Fut. $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi о \mu \alpha$.
MIDDLE VOICE.

Verbal Adjectives yoaлrtós, y@artéos.
V. ${ }^{2}$ exaw I lead, rule, Middle I begin.
active voice.

Perf. ( $\eta=\chi \alpha$ ) and Pluperf. are very rarely used
Fut. $\alpha_{\rho} \rho \underline{\xi}$
Aor. ท̉̀ $\xi^{\circ} \alpha$
Subj. ${ }^{20} \rho \xi(\omega$


Inf. $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \downarrow$ Part. $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \varsigma$.

Passive volce.

Pres. , аัехоцац
Perf. ที้

${ }_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \rho \times \tau \alpha$

Imperf. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\chi} \chi^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$
D. ท̈е $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ . ทัexテov ท้อxatov
P. $\eta^{\eta} \sigma \gamma \mu \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha$

3d pers. wanting

Subj. and Opt. wanting. Imp. $\eta_{\varrho} \xi^{\xi} \xi a, \tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \varrho \chi \vartheta \omega$ \&c.

Inf. $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\theta} \alpha$
 ทัอxษด


Part. ク̀ ${ }^{2} \gamma \mu$ évos
P. $\eta^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$

- ทัอ $\chi^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$

3d pers. wanting

Fut. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$
 Inf. $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \boldsymbol{\eta} \tilde{\eta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \iota \quad$ Part. $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$
3 Future wańting (see § 99 Rem. 2.)

MIDDLE VOICE.
Fut. ${ }^{2} \rho \xi \xi \rho \mu \alpha \iota$



Verbal Adjectives (in an active and middle meaning) ๙́pxzós, ápxtźos.

V1. . $\alpha x \in v \alpha ́ 5 \omega$ I prepare.

## active voice.

Pres. axzuásou ․ . Imperf. èoxsúa̧ov
Perf. i̇oxeviaxa
Suthy. Zoxeváxa Opt. ̇̇สxeváxocuc Imp.not wsed Inf. द̇oxevaxtıak Part. ėoxevaxa's'
Pluperf. éaxeváxect
Fut. oxevíoos
 Imp. oxeviaбov Inf. oxeváóal Part. oxeváoas.

Passive voice.


Subj. and Opt. wanting Imp. i̇oxzúaco, ė̇oxeváoधo \&ec.

Pluperf. è $\sigma x \varepsilon \cup \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \eta, \alpha \sigma o, \alpha \sigma \tau 0$ \&c.
Fut. $\sigma x \in v \alpha \sigma \vartheta \not{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$
Aor. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma x \in v \alpha \sigma \vartheta \neq \nu$
3 Fut: ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma x \varepsilon v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \mu a l)$ not in use.

## MIDDLE VOICE.

Fut. $\sigma x \varepsilon v \dot{\alpha} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$
Aor. $̇ \sigma x \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta^{\nu} \quad$ Subj. $\sigma x \varepsilon v \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega \mu \alpha \iota^{\prime} \quad$ Opt. $\sigma x \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \alpha i \mu \eta \nu$ Imp. $\sigma x \varepsilon i \mu \sigma \alpha \iota ; \sigma x \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{\sigma} \theta \omega$ \&c. Inf. $\sigma x \varepsilon \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ Part. $\sigma x \in \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu=$.
, Verbal Adjectives axevaбrós, oxevaбtéos.
VII. xopi弓 as I bring, Middle I receive.

ACTIVE VOICE.



 Imp. xо́ $\mu<\sigma o v, ~ I n f . x о \mu i \sigma \alpha s, ~ P a r t . ~ x о \mu i \sigma \alpha s . ~$

PASSIVE VOICE.
Pres. xоцlگолає Imperf. èxоц८ऽо́ $\mu \eta \nu$
Pert. $\quad x \varepsilon x \dot{o} \mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ (compare $̇$ er $\sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ )
Subj. and Opt. wanting Imp. xєxó $\mu \iota \sigma 0, i \sigma \theta \omega$ \&cc.

Ploperf. ह̇xєxоціб $\mu \eta \nu$
Fut. $\boldsymbol{\chi о \mu \iota \sigma \vartheta \boldsymbol { \eta } \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota}$
Apr. èxouiбध $\eta v$
3 Fut. (xعxоцібо $\mu \alpha \iota$ ) not used.
MIDDLE VOICE.
Fut. $\quad$ коцібоцає


[^45]VIII．甲ùdंббow I guard，Middle I guard myself．

## active voice．

Pres．qu入д்ббш
甲uда́тza
Perf．$\pi \varepsilon q u$ úlaza
Fut．$\quad$ quiág $\omega$

Imperf．Ėqú2aббov
equúhatrov
Pluperf．̇̇лє甲u入áxєıv
Aor．$\dot{\varepsilon} q u \dot{u} \lambda \alpha{ }_{\xi}{ }^{2} \alpha$

PASSIVE VOICE．


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Perf．$\pi \varepsilon ч u ́ \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu a \imath$
D．$\pi \varepsilon q u \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu \varepsilon \forall \circ \nu$
P．$\pi \varepsilon \varphi u \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \mu \varepsilon \forall \alpha$ $\pi \varepsilon q u{ }^{2} \alpha \chi^{\theta} \neq \nu$

лєqúa $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\theta}$
$\pi \varepsilon \varphi \tilde{v}^{2} \alpha \kappa \xi \alpha$

$\pi \varepsilon \varphi u ́ \lambda \alpha x \tau \alpha \iota \quad \pi \epsilon q u ́ \lambda \alpha \chi \vartheta O v$ 3d pers．wanting



| Plu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | zле¢ù ${ }^{\text {coxico }}$ |  | 3d pers．wantin |

Fat．，$\quad v \lambda \alpha \chi$ Өウ்боцаи
Aor．$\dot{z} q u \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \dot{\vartheta} \eta \nu$
3d Fut．$\pi \in \varphi v \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi} о \mu \alpha u$ ．

MIDDLE VOICE．

Verbal Adjectives $\varphi u \lambda \alpha \pi \tau o ́ s, ~ \varphi u \lambda \alpha x \tau$ ǒos．

## IX．ógúvow I dig．

ACTIVE VOICE．

Pres． $\begin{gathered}\text { ojov́ora } \\ \text { ónúzat } \\ \text { ond }\end{gathered}$
Perf．ouciovza

## Imperf．凶ัpuббov ఱ̈లutzov

 Imp．not used Inf．ópwouxíva

Part．ó＠w＠ux＇s
Pluperf．o＠o＠úzew
Fut．$\dot{\rho} \rho v^{\prime} \xi \omega$
 Inf．önúsac Part．ópúgas．
passive voice．

PreE．ó óvォбоца兀 дৎúrtouar



Perf．о́＠а́оиуиає
Subj．and Opt．wanting Imp．ó＠ajovéso，ópeopúxfou \＆t．

Pluperf ó＠w＠ú $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta}$

1 Aor．${ }^{\prime} \rho \dot{\chi} \chi \theta \eta \nu$
2 Fut．ө́＠vyウंбouas

3 Fut．wanting（see § 99 Rem．2．）

MIDDLE VOICE．

Aor．$\omega^{j} \varrho v \underset{\xi}{ } \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \eta \dot{\nu}$



Verbal Adjectives ópuxtós，ỏpuxtzós．
§103.1. paradigm of aypétho. 151

example of verbs in $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$.

aby $y^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega I$ announce.

ACTIVE VOICE.



Imperfect




Pluperfect
ny $\boldsymbol{y}^{\text {idnuecr. }}$
2 Future
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}$

Indicative.


Optative.
S. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \varepsilon \lambda 0 i ̃ \mu \varepsilon$
D. -
P. $\alpha^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda_{0} \mu \in \boldsymbol{y}$



or
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma^{2} \lambda o i \eta \nu$, oi ns, oi, \&c.*







[^46]
## PASSIVE VOICE.

 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha \iota$. Inf. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$, Part. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \rho^{\prime} \mu \in \nu \theta s$.

Imperfect
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu$.


Pluperfect

|  <br> D. $\eta^{\eta} \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \circ \nu$, <br> P. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha$, | $\eta_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime} \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \sigma 0$, <br>  <br> $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta}$, |  <br> ท่ $\gamma \gamma$ ย́ $\lambda \nabla \eta v$, <br> ( $\left.\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \mu \varepsilon ̇ \nu 0 \subset \eta^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \sigma \alpha \nu.\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Future <br>  |  |  |
| 1 Aor. Ind. Subj. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ <br> $\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$. Inf. |  |  <br>  |

2 Future
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \mu \iota$, \&c.
2 Aor. Ind. $\mid$ Subj. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}, \quad$ Opt. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \eta v, \quad$ Imp. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \eta \vartheta \vartheta$,


3 Future wanting. (See $\$ 99$ Rem. 2.)

MIDDLE VOICE.

Future


P. $\dot{\alpha} y \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \dot{u} \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$,

Indicative. ${ }^{\alpha} \neq \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \ddot{n}$ or $\varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$, «̌y $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \theta \varepsilon$,
$\dot{\alpha} y \gamma \varepsilon$ еєĩ $\alpha$,



OPTATIVE
 वंy ${ }^{2}$ zioĩo, $\dot{\alpha} y{ }^{2}$ едoĩto,

 $\dot{\alpha} y \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \dot{o} i \sigma \theta \eta \eta$,
P. $\dot{\alpha} y \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{0} i \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$,
 $\dot{\alpha} y$ と $\lambda$ oivzo. Inf. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, Part. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \frac{\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon v o s, ~}{\eta}$, ov.

1 Aor. Ind. Subj. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon i \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \iota$, Opt. $\alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon є \lambda \alpha i \mu \eta \nu, \dot{\alpha} \gamma y \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \iota o$, \&ec.
 Imp. $\alpha^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha$,





## REMARKS.

## I. Accent.

139 1. As the foundation of the doctrine of accent in the verbs, it is to be understood, that it is placed as far back as possible, and, in consequence, always on the first syllable of dissyllables, as $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \pi$ $\tau \omega, \tau v ่ \pi \tau \varepsilon, \varphi \varepsilon v ่ \gamma \omega, q \varepsilon \tilde{i} \gamma \varepsilon$.

In trisyllables and polysyllables, whenever the nature of the last syllable admits, it is placed on the antepenult, as $\tau \dot{v} \pi z o \mu s y$,
 imperatives, as $\varphi u{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \varepsilon, q u ́ \lambda \alpha \xi o v, q u ́ \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha$, , where the present is $\varphi v \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \varphi$, inf. $\varphi v \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \iota v$, in consequence of the long final syllable.
' Hence verbs of two syllables, when componnded, throw the accent, if the last syllable admits it, on the preposition, as $\varphi \in \rho \varepsilon$,

2. Apparent exceptions to this rule are cases, where a contracvion takes place, viz.
a) Cases where, according to § 83 Rem. 4, 5, the temporal augment had its origin in a contraction, as in such compound verbs as $\alpha \nu \tilde{\eta} \pi \tau 0 \nu$ from $\dot{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \tau \omega$.
b) The case of the circumflexed future of every kind, according to $\oint 95$ Rem. 6 seq. Also the aorist of the subjunctive passive (see below Rem. III-6) $\tau v \varphi \hat{\partial} \tilde{\omega}, \tau v \pi \tilde{\omega}$.
3. Real exceptions to the general rule are the following, viz.
a) The second aorist (for the sake of distinction from the present) has the accent on the termination ir the following cases, viz.
(1) In the infinitive and participle active, and infinitive middle,

(2) In the $2 d$ sing. of the imperative of some verbs, as $\gamma \in v o \tilde{u}$ zīt', \&又.
b) The infinitive and the participle of the perfect passive, are distinguished from all the rest of the passive form, in having the accent regularly on the penult, as $\tau \varepsilon \tau \dot{\varphi} \varphi \forall \alpha \iota$, $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \iota \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, $\tau \varepsilon \tau \cup \mu-$

c) Infinitives in $\nu \alpha \iota$ have the accent on the penult, as $\tau \varepsilon \tau v \varepsilon^{\prime}-$ $v \alpha \iota, \tau v \varphi \vartheta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota, \tau v \pi \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$.
d) The infinitive of the first aorist active in $\alpha i$, and the 3 d person of the optative active in $0<$ and $\alpha \iota$, retain the accent on the penult, even when they are polysyllables, e. g.

Inf. qu $\alpha^{\prime} \xi \alpha \iota, ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$.
3d pers. Opt. $\varphi v \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau 0 \iota, ~ \varphi v \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi} \alpha \iota, ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \delta ́ \sigma \alpha \iota . *$

[^47]5. All participles in $\omega s$ and $\varepsilon \iota$ s have the acute on the last syl- 140
 the participles in $\epsilon \iota \varsigma, \alpha \varsigma, v u s$, and $v \varsigma$.
6. Where the masculine of a participle has the accent, the other genders retain it, without any other consideration than that



## - II. Second person singular passive.

1. The original termination of the second person of the passive form $\sigma \alpha \iota$ and $\sigma o$ (see the table above in $§ 87$ ) has been retained, in the common conjugation, only in the perfect and pluperfect, and in the verbs in $\mu$. . It was found originally also in the present and imperfect, as $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varepsilon, \dot{z} \tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma$, in the imperative $\tau \dot{u} \pi \tau \in \sigma 0$, in

2. The lonics dropped the $\sigma$ from this ancient form, and, accordingly, form it in $\varepsilon \alpha \iota, \eta \alpha \iota, \varepsilon 0, \alpha 0$. The common dialect again contracted these forms into $\eta$, ov, and $\omega$, as follows, viz.


In like manner in the optative, from ocoo was formed oco, which, as it does not admit of contraction, was retained as the common form.
3. The Attics had the peculiarity, that instead of contracting the $\varepsilon \alpha \iota$ into $\eta$, they contracted it into $\varepsilon \varepsilon$, (see the paradigm.) This form is only used in the future active, and in the verbs $\beta$ oudoucu,



## III: Particular Ionismis and Atticisms.

1. The Ionic dialect forms, from the imperfect and the two aorists, a peculiar form in $\sigma x 0 \nu$, passive and middle $\sigma x \rho \mu \eta \nu$, which however, is formed in the indicative alone, and has commonly no augment, e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { т } \dot{\psi} \psi \alpha \sigma x 0 \nu, \quad \tau v \psi \alpha \sigma x \dot{j} \mu \eta \nu \text { from } \varepsilon^{\varepsilon / \tau} \tau \psi \alpha,-\alpha \mu \eta \nu,
\end{aligned}
$$

This form is only used of a repeated action.

[^48]2. Instead of the optative in ocرu, there was also a form' in oinv, oi $\eta \rho$, oi $\eta$, plural oi $\eta \mu \varepsilon v$, oint $\varepsilon$, oin $\sigma \alpha \nu$, that bears the name of the Attic. It is found chiefly, however, only in the contract verbs (see below,) and hence also in the $2 d$ fut. as $\varphi \alpha v o i \eta v$ from وкiv $\omega$, fut. $\varphi \alpha \nu \omega$. See in $\alpha \gamma \gamma \xi^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
3. Instead of the Opt. 1st aorist active in $\alpha \iota \mu$, , there was a provincial form in $\varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ( $\tau v \psi \varepsilon \ell \alpha, \alpha s, \varepsilon, \& c$.) of which the forlowing terminations were much more common than the regular form, viz.

> Sing. $2 \tau v ่ \psi \varepsilon \iota \alpha s$,
> 3. $\tau ข ่ \psi \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon(\nu)$, for $-\alpha \iota s, \rightarrow \alpha \iota$,
> Plur.

141 4. The form in $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ and Pass. $\sigma \vartheta\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu \\ \text { of the 3d pers. pl. of the }\end{array}\right.$ imperative is called the Attic, because it is the most common in the Attic writers. In the active voice, it is always identical with the genitive plural of the participle of the same tense, with the exception of the perfect.
5. In the third pers. pl. pass. in the indicative and optative, but never in the subjunctive, the Ionic dialect converts the $v$ into $\alpha$, as follows.

> Opt. тvitoiato for túrtocvto
> Perf. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \dot{v} \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ for $\pi \varepsilon ่ \pi \alpha \nu \nu \tau \alpha \iota$

This never takes place in the termination ovi $\alpha \ell$, though occasionally in ovto, with the change however of $o$ into $\varepsilon$, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta o v-$
 and pluperfect passive formed by the help of this Ionism, when 1 the characteristic of the verb is a consonant, (see $\delta 98.2$. ) e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \varepsilon \tau v \dot{q} \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \text { for }-\varphi \nu \tau \alpha \iota \text {, } \\
& \text { ร̇ข } \tau \tau \alpha \chi \chi \tau \pi \text { for }-\chi \nu \tau 0 \text {, } \\
& \text { ̇̇ } \sigma \tau \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \ell \text { for }-\lambda \nu \tau \alpha \iota \text {, } \\
& \text { from } \tau \dot{u} \pi \tau \omega, \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \omega \text {, } \sigma \tau \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \omega \text { \&c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

6. The circumflexed forms are by the Ionics resolved with a change of accent, and this not only in the $2 d$ fut. ( $\$ 95$ Rem. 6 , and § 101. 2,) but also in the infinitive 2d aorist active in siv, as quyt$\varepsilon \& \nu$ for quyziv from qeíyal, żquyov, and in the subjunctive of both aorists passive in $\tilde{\omega}$, (comp. the subj. of verbs in $\mu \iota$ ) e.g.

> Subj. 1 aor. pass. $\tau v \varphi \theta \varepsilon$ for $\tau v q \vartheta \tilde{\omega}$,
> Subj. 2 aor. pass. $\tau v \pi t \dot{c}$ for $\tau v \pi \tilde{\infty}$.

This $\varepsilon$ is, by the Epic writers, lengthened into $\varepsilon \iota$ or $\eta$.

## IV. Additional peculiarities of dialect.

1. The 3d pers. plur. of the leading tenses instead of ouy or 06 has commonly in the Doric dialect vic, as was remarked in $\oint 87$ Rem. 3, and hence the long vowel before the $\sigma$ in the common form is explained, viz.



2. The Doric dialect forms the 3 d plural of the aorist passive in $\varepsilon \nu$ instead of $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, as $\tilde{\varepsilon} \tau v \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon \nu$, żz $\tau \pi \varepsilon \nu$, for $-\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. See below in the conjugation of verbs in $\mu c$.
3. The ist pers. plur. active in $\mu \varepsilon v$ is converted in the Doric dialect into $\mu \varepsilon \xi^{\text {( }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{v} \pi \tau \quad \mu \varepsilon \varsigma, \dot{z} \tau \dot{v} \psi a \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$ ); and in the 1st plur. and dual pass. in $\mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha, \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \frac{}{}$, the Dorics and the poets interpose $\sigma$, as

4. The infinitives in $\varepsilon \nu$ and $\nu \alpha \sigma$, in the ancient language and in the dialects, had a form in $\mu \& \nu$ and $\mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota$, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \varepsilon \tau v g \dot{\beta} \mu \varepsilon \nu,-\varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota \text { for } \tau \varepsilon \tau v \text { gívac } \\
& \tau v \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon v, \tau v \pi \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota \text {, for } \tau v \pi \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

5. The Dorics more particalarly formed the infinitive partly in $\varepsilon \nu$ or $\eta \nu$ instead of $\varepsilon \iota \nu$, and so also the $2 d$ pers. of the present in $\varepsilon \varsigma$ instead of $\varepsilon \epsilon \varsigma$.
6. The ancient language, in the 2d pers. of the active form, has instead of $\rho$ the termination $\sigma \vartheta \alpha$, which in the poets is still frequently found appended to the subjunctive and optative, as
 lect this is retained only in certain anomalous verbs (see below $\varepsilon \epsilon \mu i, \varphi \eta \mu i$, and oì $\bar{\delta} \alpha)$.
7. The epic poets, in the 3d person of the subjunctive, have


## § 104. list of baryton verbs.

1. In the foregoing rules and tables, the manner of forming the several modes and tenses in different sorts of verbs has been given, as far as it results from the examination of several regular verbs. But in the numerous cases, in which a verb has several formations of the same tense, it is not possible from the foregoing rules and examples, to fix with certainty, what form is actuaHy most in use. And as in Latin, particularly in the third conjugation, it requires to be remarked in each single case, what the perfect and sapine are, so in Greek, it is necessary to observe what is the usage in each single verb; that is, to observe each of the tenses, which has been made the subject of separate remark above.
2. Principally, however, it is important to know whether, in any particular verb, the second aorist active, the second perfect and the second aorist passive, are in use. For since the other form, viz. the first perfect, and first aorist passive is-taking the whole catalogue of verbs-by far the most usual, it is to be assumed in each verb, if the use of the other form is not particularly known. It must also be known, with respect to every one of the enumerated tenses; since it by no means follows, that a verb, which has the second aorist active, has also the second aorist passive, \&c:
3. Here, however, prevails the following fired rule, that all 'trisyllable and polysyllable derivative verbs, which have for the
 $\dot{o} \omega, \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \omega, \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$, and are such as the following, viz.
143, غ́oŋл $\dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ from $\dot{\varepsilon} о \varrho \tau \dot{\eta}, \quad \nu 0 \mu i \zeta \omega$ from vó $\mu 0$, $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i \nu \omega$ from $\sigma \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, - $\varepsilon \dot{v} \vartheta \dot{v} \nu \omega$ from $\varepsilon v^{\prime} \vartheta v v^{\prime}$,
 $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ from $\tau \iota \mu \dot{r}$, gedín from $\varphi$ ildos, form without exception, only the 1 Aorist active, 1 Perfect (in , oo,g) 1 Aorist passive.

Remark. Some of these verbal terminations, however, are to be regarded in several verbs not as derivative terminations, but as merely lengthened. forms. This is the case when the verbs are not derived from a noun or adjective, but are only a mpre simple form of the present lengthened ( $\delta$ 92). These latter are able, from their simple form to derive some tenses, as the 2 dd Aorist, and are accordingly placed in the anomalous verbs, as ódь $\sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha i v \omega$ from
 termination $\alpha \nu \omega$ is always merely a lengthened form of this kind, and the verbs which have it, belong accordingly to the anomalous verbs.
4. For all other verbs and for all forms which are not fixed to particular cases in the preceding remarks, individual observation in reading the classics must be recommended. To aid this obsetvation, however, lists of the baryton and contract verbs will be given, containing the verbs of most frequent recurrence, particularly the primitives, with an enumeration as exact as possible of the forms in use.

## Explanations of the lists.

1. As the lists are intended to serve as collections of examples of the preceding rales, besides the primitives the most common derivatives are also contained in them.
2. It is to be' assumed of each verb, when nothing else is stated, that it has its aorists and perfect after $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$, and that its whole inflection may be known from the preceding rules. The same holds of every tense not expressly given, so that when, for instance, under any verb, nothing but the second aorist stands, this holds only of the second aorist active (and middle;) while the aorist passive and perfect active follow the paradigm.
3. Where the second aorist passive is given, it is necessary also always to form the first aorist passive, as very commonly.it exists, as a less frequent form, together with the second aorist, and the verbs, which actually want it altogether, cannot be given with any certainty.
4. The perfect active in many verbs is not in use; but this also can seldom be asserted with entire confidence; and it is therefore necessary in each verb to form it according to analogy, and derive the perfect passive from it.
5. The formation of the passive can without scruple be also applied to intransitive verbs, as there are cases, in which the third person of the passive is also used in intransitive verbs.:
6. But to form also the middle of those verbs, in which that voice is not used, would be an exercise in barbarisms of no utility. In order, therefore, to do this with confidence, it must be marked in each single case, where it is found. It needs only to be noticed that in many verbs, where it is found, it is only in compounded forms, which are ta be learned from the lexicon. For mere exercise, however, the simples may be made use of. Where nothing but MID. is given, there the aorist and future middle are formed from those tenses in the active.
7. All verbs are regarded as regular, whose tenses are constructed by the preceding rules; without regarding the signification or their anomalies. For this reason not'only the deponents of the passive and middle voice ( $\$ 113.3$ ) are here inserted, but also verbs, whese single tenses do not correspond in signification with their forms; as, in the more important cases, is especially remarked.
8. When future middle is immediately subjoined to the active, it signifies, that such a verb has its future of the middle formation (according to $\S 143.4$,) though with the signification of the active voice.
9. The expression 'PASS. has $\sigma$ ' refers only to the first aorist and perfect, and is found only under verbs, in which the $\sigma$ in these tenses is not a matter of course ; see above § 98 and 100.

List of baryton verbs.
$\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \omega$ adorn, MID. am proud.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma y^{i} \lambda \lambda \omega$ announce, MID.-2d Aorist active and middle, little used.
$\dot{\alpha} y \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ assemble,-Attic redap.-MID.
${ }_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \chi \omega$ strangle, transitive in the Act.-MID. intransitive.
$\ddot{\alpha} \partial \omega$ contracted from $\dot{\alpha} \in i \delta \omega \omega$ sing, Fut. Mid.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho o i \zeta \omega \omega$ collect.
$\dot{\alpha}$ viow play.
qixilis abuse.

aịa lift, § 101 Rem. 1, MID.
ब̈̈toow act, and depon. rush, hasten. Attic $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \sigma$.
aícuivo put to shame, § 101 Rem. 4. PASS. am ashamed.
$\alpha^{3} \hat{t} \omega$ hear. Only the present and imperfect. For augment see §. 83 Rem. 2.
 § 84 Rem. 2.)-PASS. has $\sigma$, perfect without reduplication $\eta^{2} \times 0 \cup \sigma$ $\mu a c$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ halloo, fut. $\xi \omega$, § 92 Rem. 1.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon i \varphi \omega$ anoint, perf. § 84. MID.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$, , $\tau \omega$, change,-PASS. second aorist.
ä $\lambda \lambda$ о $\mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. spring, see § 101 Rem 1.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{v} v \omega$ blunt.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon i \beta \omega$ change, MID.

$\dot{\alpha}^{\mu} \mu \dot{v} \nu \mathrm{va}$ defend. Perfect wholly wanting. MID.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha i v o \mu \alpha \iota$ (not a compound) MID. deny, has no other form except first aorist, which takes $\eta$, § 101. 4.
ávív $^{2}$ fulfil, § 95 Rem. 3. PASS. has $\sigma$. MID.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \alpha \dot{v} \omega$ enjoy. Has the augment in the middle, though the simple is not used. See § 86 Rem. 1:
$\ddot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ kindle.
$\alpha_{\pi} \pi \omega$ fasten. MID. cleave to, touch.
äp $\delta \omega$ irrigate. PASS. has only present and imperfect.

$\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$ rob, commonly $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \omega$ \&c. $\dot{\eta} \rho \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$. The dialects,

$\dot{\alpha} \varrho \dot{v} \omega \omega$ draw out, like $\dot{\alpha} v v^{\prime} \omega, ~ M I D . ~$
ä $\rho$ Xow rule, MID. begin.

$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha i \rho \omega$ gasp.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \pi \tau \omega$ lighten.
$\beta a d i \zeta_{0} g o$, future Mid.
$\beta \alpha^{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$ dip, characteristic $\varphi$. PASŚ. second aorist.
$\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\prime} \zeta \omega$ bear, fut. $\sigma \omega$. In the Passive it takes the other characteristic, $\gamma \mu \alpha \iota, \chi \vartheta \eta \nu$. See § 92 Rem. 3.
$\beta \delta_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ milk.
$\beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega, \boldsymbol{\tau \tau} \omega$, cough.

$\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi{ }^{\prime} \omega$ injure, characteristic $\beta$, PASS. 2 aorist.
$\beta \lambda \xi \pi \pi \omega$ see, second aorist, PASS. see § 100 Rem. 4.
$\beta \lambda \dot{v} \zeta \omega$ flow out.
Boukevंa counsel, MID.
Bói $\omega \omega$ sound, has no aorist nor perfect.
$\beta \rho \dot{z} \chi \omega$ moisten, PASS. am damp, second aorist.
rípo am full, has neither aorist nor periect.
ysiou cause to taste, Mid. taste.
$\gamma^{\lambda} \dot{\prime} q \omega$ cul, augment of the perf. see $§ 82$ Rem. 1.
propi ${ }^{2} \omega$ recognise.
yod́gos write, second aorist, PASS. § 100 Rem. 3. MID.
סaxpúa weep.
daveí ${ }^{\circ} \omega$ loan at interest, MID. borrow at interest.
dé $\rho($ fay, PASS. second aorist.

devico moisten.
ס́' $\chi o \mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. receive. PASS. see below.'Anomaly of signification,' § 113 Rem. 3.
$\delta_{x x \alpha ́ j \omega}$ judge, Mid.
סicuso (not a compound) pursue.
doudóa enslave.
decioo do, act, not to be confounded with the forms of the anomalous dıд $\rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma x \dot{\omega}$.
$\delta \rho \in ̇ \pi \omega \omega$ pluck, MID.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \hat{1} / 5 \omega$ accustom, angment $\varepsilon$.
Eixáso conjecture. Augm. see § 8 8́3 Rem. 2.
eïxo yield, augm. see $\delta 83$ Rem. 2 and 5 , not to be confounded with the anomalous $E I K \Omega$.
fiegou shut out, augm. $\$ 83$ Rem. 2 ád 5.

eilionc, rtw, wind, augm. el.-MID.
Exxw draw, augm. El.-MID.
enaiso hope.
Ëlıow cause to hope, ż̀ $\pi \pi=\mu \alpha c$ hope, has besides the present and
 § 83 Rem. 7 and 9 , with the signification of present and imperfect, hope, hoped.
éootáso celebrate a festival, augm. § 83 Rem. 9.
żziyo (not a compound) promote, PASS. hasten.


, ęeido prop, Attic reduplication--MID.

£ounveúw interpret.
zécúrow spit out, second aorist.-MID.
iei $i \xi \omega$ contend, rival, Attic reduplication.
їола creep, augm. $\varepsilon$.
$\dot{z} \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ commonly $\mathfrak{z \xi} \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \xi \omega_{n}$ examine.
\&ủقv́vo make straight, direct.
єi'xoual Mid.' pray, augm. § 83 Rem. 2.
ท̈ठo please, Mid. enjoy, rejoice.
$\ddot{\eta} x \omega$ come, arrive.
$\vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ sprout, second perf.
$\vartheta_{\alpha} \lambda \pi \omega$ zarm.
.$\vartheta \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$ bury, characteristic $\dot{q}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 2$ aorist, PASS. see § 18.3.
$\dot{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\alpha v \mu} \hat{\sigma}^{5} \omega$ admire, fut. mid. .
Өìjow fascinate.
Otpísar reap.
Onjyw whet.
Olipew crush, second aorist, PASS. § 100 Rem. 3.
O@av̇o break; shatter, PASS. has $\sigma$.
© $\varrho$ vírtco rub, characteristic $\varphi$, 2d aor. pass. see § 18.3.
Oŕa, see anomalous verbs:
idovia place, put.
ioviva straighten.
ixerev̇o supplicate.
í $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ scourge, fat. $\sigma \omega$.
inci@w act. and pass. depon. desire.
inteviou ride.
íxuvo am able.
x $\alpha \theta \alpha i \rho \omega$ (not compounded) purify, 1 aorist has $\eta$.-MID.
xalvo kill, 2d aorist. The perfect is wholly wanting. PASS.
has neitherpperfect nor aorist.
xadúrtew hide, MID.
$x \alpha ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega$ bend, PASS. perf. $\$ 98$ Rem. 7.
net $\rho$ ou shave, PASS. 2d Aorist.-MID.
xelev́aj order, PASS. has $\sigma$.
xethiou land, fut. x't $\ell \sigma \omega$, see §̧ 101 Rem. 5.
$x \dot{y} \delta o \mu a c$ care for, only present and imperfect. The active
$x$ nidoo injure, only in the poets.
$\boldsymbol{x} \varrho \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega, \tau \tau \omega$, proclaim.
x.vduvevia incur danger.

selelow shut; PASS. both with and without $\sigma$.
жdėrzw steal, fut. mid.-Perf. see § 97 Rem. 1.-PASS. 2 d
aorist.
xגiva bend, § 101. 8. b.-PASS. 1st and 2d aorist-MID. rarely used.
$x \lambda u{ }^{5} 00$ rinse.
xulfou twitch, burn'.
xoh ${ }^{2} 50$ p punish, fut. mid.
xoloviou mutilate, PASS. with and without $\sigma$.
xopijou bring.-MID. obtain, receive.
novío be dust, (xovíco, xexóvíucu.)
xо́лtw cut, epic 2d perf.-PASS. 2d.aor.-MID.
x $\rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ scream, characteristic $\gamma$, perf. x $\dot{\varepsilon} x \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \alpha$, 2d aor. - 3d fut. instead of fut. act.
roaivo fulfil.
xoivw judge, § 101. 8. b. MID.
x $\varrho 0$ vio knock, PASS. has $\sigma$.-MID.
x $\rho \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$. hide, characteristic $\beta$.-PASS. 1st and 2d aor.-MID.
$\chi \tau \dot{\alpha} о \mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. acquire, perf. xéxะทuaь possess.
xctiva kill, see § 101. 8, 1st and 2d aor. 2d perf.
xtis $\omega$ found.
xudic roll, PASS. has 0 .
x $\omega$ גı́c prevent.
$\lambda \dot{\gamma} \omega \mathrm{say}$, MID. See this verb, for some of its compounda, in the list of anomalous verbs.
$\lambda \varepsilon / \beta$ ow pour out, shed.
$\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$ leave, 2 aor. 2 perf.-MID.
дغ̇л $\omega$ 'shell, PASS. 2d aorist. see § 100 Rem. 4.
ג $\eta$ 'ro cease.
גoyiSual Mid. reckon, conclude.
$\lambda \nu \mu \alpha i v \omega$ destroy, 1 st aorist has $\eta$.-M1D.
$\lambda \dot{v} \omega$, see anomalous verbs.
$\mu \alpha i v o \mu \alpha \iota$ depon. rave, 2 d aor. pass.-2d fut. mid. or 2 d fut. pass. The active is found only in the compound ixpaives madden. The perfect active, $\mu \neq \mu \eta \nu \alpha$ has the intransitive signification. $\mu \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega ; \tau \tau \omega$, softem.
$\mu \alpha 0 \alpha i \nu \omega$ wither, 1st aorist has long $\bar{\alpha}$. PASS. wither in its intransitive sense.
$\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \varphi о \mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. blame.
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$, see anomalous verbs.
$\mu \varepsilon \rho i \xi \bar{s} \omega$ divide, MID.
$\mu \eta \nu v i \omega$ interpret.
$\mu \alpha i v \omega$ pollute，1st aorist has $\eta$ ．
modévou contaminate．
wó $\sigma \sigma \omega, \tau \tau \omega$, fill，fut．$\xi \omega$ ．It hat in the PASS．the other form $\sigma \mu \alpha \iota, \sigma \vartheta \eta \nu$ ．See § 82 Rem． 3.
$\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \omega$ ，see anomalous verbs：
ขェv́m wink，nod．
ขท่าо $\mu \alpha \iota$ Mid．swim．
vipw snow．
vopi ibou think，believe．
ỏdógo $\mu \alpha$ Mid．lament．
oixtziow bewail．

ỏxéld＇̀ disembark，trans．
ỏ $\xi u ́ v \omega$ sharpen，stimulate．
ojveldiSow reproach．
òvo $\mu$ á 5 n name．
ódij\％arm，MID．
©ózo reach，Att．redup．MID．
óplらa limit．
$\dot{0} \rho \dot{́} \sigma \sigma \omega, \tau \tau \omega$, dig，Att．redup．MID．

$\pi \alpha i \xi \omega$ play，fut．$\pi \alpha i \xi 0 \mu \alpha \iota$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \xi 0 \tilde{\mu} \mu \iota$ ，see $§ 95$ Rem 9．But


таio，see anomalous verbs．
ладаiow wrestle，PASS．has $\sigma$.
$\pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ shake，PASS．2d aorist．
лúco $\sigma \omega$ bestrean，fut．$\sigma \omega$ ．－MID．
лог $\alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ strike，MID．
$\pi \alpha v i \omega$ put to rest，PASS．1st aor．see § 100 Rem．1．－MID．reat． $\pi \varepsilon i \vartheta \omega$ persiade，PASS．believe，which signification is shared al－

$\pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ perforate，PASS．2d aorist．
л亡́ $\mu \pi \omega$ send，perf．§ 97 Rem．1，perf．pass．§ 98 Rem：7．MID．
$\pi \dot{v} v o \mu a \iota$ am poor，used only in the present and imperfect．
re＠aivo finiih，1st aorist，see § 101． 4.
$\pi \iota$ i'So compriess, oppress.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ believe.
$\pi \lambda \alpha^{5} 5 \omega$ cause to wander, characteristic $\gamma \gamma$, see $§ 92$ Rem. 1.-
PASS. wander.
$\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega, \tau \tau \omega$, form, fut. $\sigma \omega$, MID.
$\pi \lambda e ́ x \omega$ braid, PASS. 2d aor.-MID.
$\pi \lambda u ́ v \omega$ wash, see § 101 Rem. 8.
mviyos suffocaté, trans.-Fut. mid. Dor. § 95 Rem. 9.-PASS.
suffocate, intrans. 2d aorist, see § 100 Rem. 3.
пo@\&v'c bring, lead, PASS. journey.
$\pi o \rho i \zeta \omega$ procure, MID. acquire.
$\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\sigma} \omega$, rta, do. It has $\bar{\alpha}$ throughout.-1st perf. I harve done, 2d perf. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} y \propto 1$ have been, MID.
$\pi \rho \varepsilon ̇ \pi \omega$ adorn, become, only in active.
roiow saw, PASS. has $\sigma$.
$\pi \tau \alpha i \omega$ stumble, PASS. has $\sigma$.
$\pi \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \omega$ crouch.
זri $\sigma \sigma \omega$ stamp, fut. $\sigma \omega$.
$\pi \tau v ่ \sigma \sigma \omega$ fold, MID.
$\pi \tau v i \omega$ spit, PASS. has $\sigma$.
กข̛亍の rot.
80!ztan sew.
¢́̇̇t sink.
pitric, see anomalous verbs.
oaivo wag the tail, flatter, only in active, 1st aor. has $\eta$.
oaigo sreep, lst aor. has $\eta$. 2d perf.
$\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ sound a trumpet, characteristic $\gamma \gamma$, see § 92 Rem. 1.
ฮغ́ $\beta$ opar depon. reverence.:
ocio shake, PASS. has $\eta$, MID.
onnaivo denote, mark, 1st aorist has $\eta$, MID.
151 ovinw cause to decay, PASS. rot, has 2d aorist. This meaning extends also to 2 d perf.
olvo $\mu \alpha$, ingure.
oxásou limap.


$\$ 104:$

бxéño cover.
охє́лгторає Mid. survey.
oxevá5as prepare, MID.
$\sigma \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \omega$ act. and mid. support myself.
oxpintas scoff.
बлहlow som, 2d perf.—PASS. 2d Aorist.
блt'vdos pour out, shed, see § 95 Rem. 1. MID.
бrev́do hasten.

- $\pi 0 v \delta \alpha ' 5 \omega$ pursue with zeal, fut. mid.
$\sigma \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$ drop, fut. $\xi \omega$, see § 92 Rem. 1.
ovży $\omega$ cover.
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon i \beta \omega$ tread, PASS. 2d aorist.
- $\quad$ zixu step, 1st and 2d aorist.
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ send, PASS. 1st and $\dot{2} d$ aorist, MID.
$\sigma \tau \dot{v} \omega$ sigh, only in the pres. and imperf.
бг $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ groan, fut. $\xi \omega$, see $\oint 92$ Rem. 1.
бt'̇́go love, am satisfied.
बт द́q $\omega$ fill, crown; MID.
бгทoi ${ }^{\omega} \omega$ prop, fut. $\xi \omega$, see $\$ 92$ Rem. 1.
orox $\alpha \dot{\zeta} \rho \mu \alpha$ MID. conjecture.
$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ act. and mid. take the field.
$\sigma \tau \varrho \dot{q} \neq \omega$ turn, trans. see $\oint 98$ Rem. 3, and § 100 Rem. 2. PASS.
1st and 2d aorist, MID.
ovoísw pipe.
бú@w draw, PASS. 2d aorist.-MID.
$\sigma \varphi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ deceive, PASS. 2d aorist.
$\sigma \varphi \alpha ́ c z \omega$ slay, PASS. 2d aorist.
oqiryw bind, PASS. perf. see § 98 Rem. 6.
$\sigma q u ́ \zeta \omega$ palpitate, fut. $\xi \omega, \S 92$ Rem. 1.
oxi5a split.
$\sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ am at leisure.
$\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega, \tau \tau \omega$, disturb, MID.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega, \tau \tau \omega$, arrange, PASS. 1st and 2d Àorist.-MID.
т $\dot{y} \gamma \omega \omega$ wet.
reiveo stretch, § 101.8.
eєxцaiןa limit，1st Aor．has ท．－MID．prove，testify．
rexiaivo build，1st Aor．has $\eta$ ．
tél $\lambda \omega$ ，an obsolete word little used but in composition，as ėtu－ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \omega$ cominit．See § 101．8．MID．
$\tau \varepsilon \psi_{\chi} \omega$ prepare，see $\S 98$ Rem．4，compare the anomalous $\tau v y$－

t $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{x}$ sofien，melt，PASS．melt intrans． 2 Ld Aor．－The ed perf． has the same meaning．
ridio tear out，see § 101． 4.
tion，see anomalous verbs．
$\tau i v \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ shatter，MID．
т $\rho \not{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega$ tremble，has no aor．nor perf．
$\tau \varrho \not \approx \pi \omega 0$ turn，see $\S 97$ Rem．1，§ 98 Rem．3，and § 100 Rem． 2. The 2d aorist is the most common tense in ACT．PASS．and MID．

 rare）$̇ \mathfrak{\vartheta} \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \vartheta \eta \nu$ ．—Fut．mid．for pass．—MID．
$\tau \varrho i \beta \omega$ rub，2d aor．PASS．§ 100 Rem． 3.
$\tau \rho l \xi \omega$ chirp，fut．$\xi \omega$ ，perf．$\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho i ̄ \alpha$ ．
$\boldsymbol{v} \beta \varrho i \zeta \omega$ to treat with indignity，abuse．
vquiva weave， 1 st aorist has $\eta$ ．
$\ddot{v} \omega$ rain，PASS．has $\sigma$ ．
qaivw show，PASS．together with the fut．mid．and 2d aorist pass．appean
q $\propto \rho \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega, \tau \tau \omega, p h y s i c$.
чعiסo $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ Mid：spare．

—2d aor．—2d perf．see § 97．2．－Perf．pass．see §98 Rem． 4.
$\varphi \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma 0 \mu \alpha \iota$ Mid．sound，perf．$\$ 98$ Rem． 6.
$\boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} i \rho \omega$ destroy，2d perf．－PASS．2d Aorist．
甲 $\lambda^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} \omega$ burn，trans．PASS．2d Aor．§ 100 Rem．4：
甲＠́́广 ${ }^{\circ}$ speak，indicate，MID．

甲＠íбo $\omega, \tau \tau \omega_{5}$ shudder，characteristic $x$ ，2d perf．
qpovilईw carefor．

甲＠úyou roast，PASS．2d aorist，see § 100 Rem． 3.
甲ví́ббш，$\tau \tau \omega$ ，guard，MID．
وv́pos knead，fut．qú $\rho \sigma \omega$ ，see § 101 Rem． 5.
甲vtevia plans．
xa＠lگo $\alpha$, Mid．am kind，grant．
zogevंa dance．
x＠ń $\zeta 0$ need，desiré，only in pres．and imperf．Compare the anomalous xóáo．
x＠íw anoint，PASS．has $\sigma .-M I D$.
$\psi \alpha \dot{d} \lambda \omega$ strike the harp，sing．
廿avia touch，PASS．has $\sigma$.
廿＇́yo blame．
$\psi \varepsilon v ́ \delta \omega$ deceive，PASS．lie．
$\boldsymbol{\psi} \eta \varphi / \zeta \omega$ count，number，MID．decree by vote．
$\psi v i \chi \omega$ ，see anomalous verbs．
－aidiva travail．

> § 105. CONTraCt verbs.

1．Verbs in $\alpha^{\prime} \dot{\omega}$ ，$\varepsilon^{\prime} \omega, o^{\prime} \omega$ ，in most of their forms，follow altogeth－ or the preceding rules and examples；and reference is uniformly had to these verbs，in the chapter on the formation of the tenses． But in the present and imperfect，of the active and passive voices， when the vowels $\alpha, \varepsilon, 0$ ，immediately precede the vowel of the termination，（and in the Ionic dialect partly remain there unchang－ ed，）a contraction takes place in the Attic and common dialect．

2．This contraction is subject to the general laws of contrac－ tion，given above in $\S 27$ ，with the exception of a few terminations in the verbs in $\dot{o} \omega$ ．－While according to the general rule，ofe should be contracted into $o v$ ，and on into $\omega$ ，the $c$ of the second and third persons prevails in the verbs in ó $\omega$ ，and the terminations óses and óps are contracted into ous，and oec and on into oc，as follows， viz．
－2d pers．Ind．Act．$\mu \iota \sigma \vartheta$ óscs
－Subj．－$\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ óns $\}$ contr．$\mu \iota \sigma \theta 0 i \check{s}$,

3d pers Ind. Act. $\mu$ ueotasu
Subj. - $\mu \iota \sigma \vartheta{ }^{\circ} \dot{\eta}$
contr. $\mu$ oovoî.

Inasmuch, moreover, as ooc is also contracted into ou, in these persons in the active voice, the three modes, indicative, sutijunctive, and optative, áre alike. The infinitive in $\delta \varepsilon c v$ is regularly contracted, viz. $\mu \in \theta \theta \delta \varepsilon \sigma, \mu \in \theta \theta 0 i ̃ v$.
3. Also the verbs in $\dot{\alpha} \omega$ have the whole indicative and subjunctive alike in the active and passive, in the contraction made according to the general rule, whereby both $\alpha e$ and $\alpha \eta$ are contracted into $\alpha,-\alpha \varepsilon \iota$ and $\alpha \eta$ into $\alpha$, ,and $\alpha 0, \alpha \circ \varphi, \alpha \infty$, into $\infty$.

| 1 I let． |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mu \operatorname{cotos}$ | 上Cotis |
| $\mu$ cotrizes | peotois |
| $\mu$ ¢Ótóz | $\mu \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{OO}$ |
|  |  |
| OGóetov |  |
| OOóztov | Mcovoũioy |
| otóopev | $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ |
| $\mu$ นо才о́ste |  |
| $\mu \mathrm{COOÓOvoc}(\nu)$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu \iota \sigma \vartheta 0 \sim ̃ \sigma \iota}$ |
| $\mu \operatorname{covotzel}$ | ค00＊＊บั้ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| －G．$\mu$ ¢o才óovtos |  |
|  |  |
|  | acoetovi |






|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |



mperfect.







All other tenses admit no contraction. But inasmuch as the declension of the perfect and pluperfect passive of these verbs is not sufficiently clear from the paradigms just given, they are here inflected at length. The other tenses are inflected like $\tau \dot{u} \pi \tau \omega$.

Perfect.

|  | S. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma i \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi о і \eta \sigma \alpha \iota$ <br>  <br> D. $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \iota \eta \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\eta} \circ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi \operatorname{coin\sigma \theta ov}^{2}$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 i \eta \sigma \theta o v$ <br> P. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \circ \iota \eta \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi=i \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 і \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \mu \alpha \iota}$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \alpha 6$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \forall 0 \nu$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau / \mu \eta \sigma \theta 0 v$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \vartheta 0 \nu$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\alpha}$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau l \mu \eta \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ <br>  | $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \vartheta \omega \mu \alpha \epsilon$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \forall \omega \sigma \alpha \varepsilon$ $\mu \approx \mu i \sigma \vartheta \omega \tau \alpha \iota$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu / \sigma \theta \omega \dot{\mu} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\theta} 0 \nu$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu l \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu с \sigma \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \forall \boldsymbol{\theta}$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \forall \omega v \tau \alpha \iota$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infinitiot. <br> Partieiple. | $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 ヶ \eta \tilde{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha \iota$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0<\eta \mu \varepsilon ̇ v 0$ S |  <br>  | $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \dot{\prime} 0$ OS |
| Subjunctioe. | $\pi \varepsilon \pi о і \omega \mu \alpha{ }^{*}$ $\eta, \eta \pi \alpha \kappa$, \&rc. | $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \omega \mu \alpha \iota^{*}$ ?, ทrau, \&rc. | $\mu \varepsilon \mu і \sigma \theta \omega \mu \alpha \iota^{*}$ $\boldsymbol{\rho}, \omega \tau \alpha l, \& c$. |
| Optative. | S. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \neq \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu^{*}$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0$ По $\pi \varepsilon \pi o i n ̃ \pi 0$ <br> D. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \circ \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \forall 0 \nu$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 01 \eta \sigma \vartheta 0 \nu$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi \circ \subset \eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ <br> P. $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \iota \eta \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \ell \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ <br>  | $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu^{*}$ <br>  <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \eta_{0}$ <br>  <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \vartheta 0 \nu$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta{ }^{2} \sigma \eta \nu \nu$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \boldsymbol{\theta}$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau 1 \mu \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ <br> $\tau \varepsilon \tau / \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau 0$ | $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \vartheta \varphi_{\dot{\prime}} \mu \eta \nu^{*}$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \vartheta \notin о$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \vartheta \nLeftarrow \tau 0$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma$ Ój $\mu \varepsilon$ Өоข $\mu \varepsilon \mu і \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} q \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \subset \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\prime} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta \eta$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \sigma \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \theta q \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \vartheta q \downarrow ข \tau 0$ |
| Imperative |  $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \circ \eta \sigma \vartheta \omega$ <br> D. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \circ i \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{\eta} 0 \nu$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \iota \eta \sigma \vartheta \omega \nu$ <br> P. $\boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i} \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \circ \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ | $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \overbrace{0}$ $\tau \varepsilon \tau \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \hat{\vartheta}$ $\tau \varepsilon \tau \mu \eta \sigma \vartheta \omega \nu$ $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon$ $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ | $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \forall \omega \sigma o$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu t \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{O}$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \hat{\sigma} \omega \bar{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \dot{\sigma} \vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega-$ $\sigma \alpha y$ |

[^49]





P. $\frac{\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi 0<\eta}{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$


${ }_{i}^{\prime 2} \tau \varepsilon \tau \mu \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$


 ย̇สєтіцク,
 ย̇ะ $\tau \tau<\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha$ ร่̇ $\varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon$

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \vartheta \omega^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \mu і \sigma \vartheta \omega \sigma \sigma$ $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}^{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \vartheta \omega \tau \sigma$ є $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \vartheta \omega^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \forall \circ \nu$ द̇น $\mu \mu i \sigma \vartheta \omega \sigma \vartheta 0 v$
 ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \mu} \mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \forall \alpha$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon$ - $\boldsymbol{z} \mu \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \theta \omega \nu \tau 01$
$\mu \subset \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \omega \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{}$

 $\mu \delta \mu \iota \sigma \vartheta \omega^{\prime} \sigma 0 \mu \alpha \iota$
$\mu \quad \mu \sigma \vartheta \omega^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \iota \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$

Verbal Adjectives.

| - лo८ทtós лo८ทréos | төиŋтós тєцитżos | $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau o ́ s$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau$ '́os |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Remarks.

1. The uncontracted or original form of these verbs is, in res, pect to verbs in '́w, peculiar to the Ionic dialect. In the other verbs, it is wholly disused, with the exception of a few poetical forms in $\dot{\alpha} \omega$.
2. In the Attic and common dialect, the foregoing contractions are never omitted; with the exception however, in general, of the shorter words in ća (as r@źa), from which, though we say rocí, žz

3. A few contract verbs in $\alpha \omega$, instead of the vowel of contraction $\alpha$, have a Doric $\eta$; see Rem. 9 below. They are principally the following, viz.

[^50]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta \tilde{\eta} \nu \text { to live, } \quad \text { ¢ } \tilde{\sim} \\
& \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu \text { to hunger d८ } \psi \tilde{!} \nu \text { to thirst, }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

from $\zeta^{\prime} \omega \omega$ ，$\chi \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ，（see both among the anomalous verbs below， $\pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha \omega, \delta \dot{\iota} \psi \alpha \omega$ ．Accordingly we have $\zeta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varsigma, \zeta \tilde{\eta}, z^{z} \zeta \eta, \chi \varrho \eta \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$ ，\＆ic．

## Further remarks on the dialects．

4．As the lonics form the 3d pers．of the common conjugation in $\varepsilon d \ell$ and $\varepsilon 0$ ，the verbs in $\dot{c} \omega$ are subject to a multiplication of vowels；such as $\pi ⿱ ⺌ 兀 \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha \iota, \dot{z} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \alpha \iota$ ，\＆ c ．which，however，with res－ pect to $\varepsilon \varepsilon$, ，is remedied by an elision of the $\varepsilon$ ，as $\varepsilon$ żroczo；

5．The Ionic dialect often converts $\alpha$ ，in verbs in $\alpha \omega$ ，into $\varepsilon$ ，


6．In the $3 d$ pers．plur．where the lonics，according to $\S^{\prime} 103$. III． 5 ，change the $o$ into $\alpha$ ，and in particular use żazo for ovio， they make use of the same termination，with the elision of one $\varepsilon$ ， also for z＇ovio of the imperfect，as $\varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu-\varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha \tau 0$ ，（for－$\alpha 0 \nu \tau 0,-\varepsilon_{0} \nu \tau 0$ ） commonly $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \chi \alpha v \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau o$ ．In the perfect，they not only change $\eta \nu$－ $\tau \alpha \iota$ into $\eta \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ ，but commonly shorten also the $\eta$ into $\varepsilon$ ，as $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \mu$－ $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ for $\tau \varepsilon \tau i \mu-\eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ．

7．The epic writers have the peculiar license，on account of the metre，to protract again the vowel of contraction by inserting



8．The Doric dialect，instead of contracting $\varepsilon 0$ into ov，com－ monly contracts it into $\varepsilon v$ ，and that this is also done by the lonics has already been remarked；see $\delta 27$ Rem．5．These dialects moreover not only say $\pi o \iota \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon \nu, \pi o \iota \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \varepsilon$ ，$\pi 0 \iota \varepsilon \tilde{v} \nu \tau \varepsilon \xi, \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \sigma i \varepsilon v \nu$ ，from verbs in＇ं $\omega$ ，but also in the verbs in $\omega \omega$ ，e．g．द̇८xaizuv，$\pi \lambda \eta \varrho \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$－ $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，from dexuców，лл пио́ш．

9．The Dorics，who uniformly use elsewhere $\dot{\alpha}$ for $\eta$ ，desert in this case this analogy，and make use of $\eta_{9}$ without e subscript， instead of all contractions of $\alpha \varepsilon \iota$ and $\varepsilon \varepsilon \iota$ ，as $\rho \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu$ for $\cdot \rho \rho \tilde{\alpha} \nu, \tau o \lambda \mu \tilde{\eta}$－ $\tau \varepsilon$ for $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \alpha \tilde{\tau} \varepsilon, x a \sigma \mu \tilde{\eta} \nu$ for $\kappa о \sigma \mu \varepsilon i \nu$ ．

## List of contract verbs．

The same explanations apply to this，as to the preceding List of baryton verbs．
$\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}^{2} \alpha \pi \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ love．
$\alpha^{3} y \nu o z \dot{c} \omega$ am ignorant of，fut．mid．
ádocxźo worong．
$\alpha i \delta z ' o \mu \alpha \iota$ depon．am ashamed of，fut．éoo $\mu \alpha \iota$ ，perf．and aorist have $\sigma$ ． גiцагó $\omega$ make bloody．
ai@z' $\omega$, see anomalous verbs.
aitéw demand, MID.
кitı́́o $\mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. criminate.
$\dot{\alpha} x \notin \dot{\prime} \rho \mu \iota$ Mid. heal. fut. $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$. perf. takes $\sigma_{,}$



$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\sigma}_{\mu} \mu \iota$ depon. vander.
cंlyz'ш suffer pain.
aंdén grind, retains $\varepsilon$ in its inflection, Attic redup.-PASS.
has $\sigma$.
cidoáas thresh, fut. \&c. § 95. 5.
«́ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ mow, MID.
$\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \varphi \ell \sigma \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$, contest, differ in opinion, nugment at the beginning.
$\alpha^{\prime} v \alpha^{\prime} \omega($ not a compound) Pass, with fut. mid. am grieved.
$\alpha^{\prime} \xi \iota o ́ \omega$ estimate.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$ meet, fut. mid.-Augment in the middle.
$\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi \alpha \alpha_{\alpha} \omega$ (not compounded) deceive.
ćлгsedéc (not compounded) threaten.
$\dot{\alpha} \varrho \dot{\alpha} о \mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. beg.

$\dot{\alpha} \rho x \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ suffice, retains $\varepsilon$ in its inflection. Pass. with the same meaning has $\sigma$.
ćovżopuc Mid. deny.
ć@óa plough, retains, 0 in the inflection, Attic redup. Pass. without $\sigma$.

$\dot{\alpha} \sigma x \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ exercise.
avidéo pipe.
$\beta$ cón, see anomalous verbs.
ßoáw cry out, see § 95 Rem. 5. Fut. mid.
Bouxodé $\omega$ feed, trans.
B甲ovića thunder.
$\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \omega$ laugh, fut. mid.-Has $\tilde{\alpha}$ in inflection. PASS. has $\sigma$.
yevváa beget，MID．
$\delta \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{\omega}$ Act．and depon．expend．

$\delta_{t}^{\prime} \omega$ ，see anomalous verbs．
ס $\eta_{\text {léẹa injure，MID．}}$
סクク！óo manifest．
סıaućáa（not a compound）am a judge，PASS．abide，live；för augment see § 86 Rem． 4.
diaxovén（not a compound）minister to，for augment see § 86
Rèm．4．－MID．same signification．

dovióo enslave，MID．
$\delta \rho \alpha ́ v o d o$ ，（different from di $\delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma x 0$, see anomalous verbs．）
סvorvziow am unfortunate．
èào permit，augm．$\varepsilon$ ．
＇ży $\gamma v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$（not compounded）pledge，MID．guarantee．

ètéáo pity．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \omega$ spit，has $\varepsilon$ in the inflection，Att．redup．－PASS．has $\sigma$ ．
ìvavicóoua兀 depon．am opposed to，augm．at the beginning．

żvox ${ }^{2}$ én annoy，augm．§ 86 Rem． 4.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ desire，augm．§ 86 Rem．3．，
ż $\pi \iota \chi \varepsilon \varphi \varrho^{\prime} \omega \dot{\omega}$ undertake，augm．§ 86 Rem． 3.
e $e \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ love， 1 aor．Pass has $\sigma$ with active signification．
éetvváo Act．and Mid．investigate．
é $\rho \eta \mu o ́ \omega$ make waste．
ėevOpcáa blush．
è＠ut $\dot{\omega} \omega$ ask．
モ̇otcáw entertain，treat，augm．＇$\varepsilon$ ．

súvধ $\beta \dot{\prime} \omega$ am．pious，augm．§ 86 Rem． 5.

$\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ，see anomalous verbs．
Séo boil intrans，retains $\varepsilon$ in its inflection．

కŋiów emulate, am zealous for.

$\zeta \omega y o u \dot{\varphi} \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ paint.
$\eta^{i} \beta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \omega$ am young.
ทi 'ío $\mu \alpha$ Mid. think, believe.

$\dot{\eta}^{i} \nu c o \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ drive.
j̀ $\sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} o \mu \alpha \iota$ PASS. am inferior, am overcome.
ท̉ $\chi$ Éa echo, sound.
$\vartheta \alpha \varrho \varrho \in \dot{\epsilon} \omega, \vartheta \alpha \varrho \sigma \varepsilon ́ \omega$, confide ám bold:
$\vartheta \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \iota$ Mid. behold, contemplate.
On@ća hunt.
$\vartheta \lambda \alpha \omega_{0}^{\prime}$ crush, $\dot{\alpha}$ in the inflection.-PASS. has $\sigma_{\text {: }}$
Эuцíáw burn incense, perfume.
Эvนów' am wrathful.
ėóo $\mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. heal.
íjó́m sweat.
i $\boldsymbol{\mu}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ draw up, MID.
ívogéa inquire.
naxóco injure, weaken.
коvx $\alpha о \mu \alpha \subset$ Mid. boast.
xعvгté $\omega$ prick, sting.
xuta mové.
sג'́a break, $\dot{\alpha}$ in the inflection. PASS. has $\sigma$, (not to be con-
founded with $x \lambda \alpha \omega, x \lambda \alpha i \omega$, see anomalous verbs.)
xג $\quad$ @ón choose by lot, MID. cast lots.
xváou scrape, contracts $\alpha \varepsilon$ into $\eta$. See above Rem. 3.
$x 0 \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ put to sleip, tranquillize; PASS. (Epic mid.) sleep.
zoıvóm Act. and Mid. make common, share.
xoıvayéa partake.
soddac g glue.
кодv $\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \omega$ swim.
xo@źш sweep, (different from xo@évvv $\mu$, see anomalous verbe.) xoбر $\varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ adorn.
rorén Act. and Mid. am angry, resent, retains $\varepsilon$ in its inflection: xparéa have power, take hold of.
x@otén strike, clap.
$\boldsymbol{x \tau \alpha ́ \boldsymbol { \alpha }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha c$ Mid. acquire, Perf. possess.
xtvaṫ́ sound, roar.
$x^{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\beta} \varepsilon \rho \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \omega$ pilot, direct.
Ladéo speak.

Loudooéo reproach, Act. Pass. and Mid.
גunté grieve.
$\lambda \omega \beta \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. abuse.
$\lambda \omega \varphi \dot{\alpha} \omega$ remit, rest.
$\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho t ̇ \omega$ testify.
$\mu \varepsilon \delta \delta i \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ smile.
166 䒑єт $\notin \dot{\prime} \omega$ measure, MID.
$\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. contrive, devise.


ข亡́ف spin, (for עéco swim, see anomalous verbs.)
$\nu$ vx $\alpha$ ' conquer.
vocèo think.
ขovधยtéa exhort, warn,
$\xi \varepsilon \omega$ abrade, smooth, polish, retains the $\varepsilon$ in the inflection, and in
PASS. has $\sigma$.
oixéa dwell.
oixodonéoo build, MID.
óxvév hesitate, am not willing.
ópodoyéc agree with, confess.
öntáw ronst.
 see § 86 Rem. 4.
© $\rho \mu \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ Act. and Pass. hasten, strive.
óouéa lie at anchor.
óex́̇ouac Mid. spring, dance.
oúpéc, augm. $\$ 83$ Rem. 6.
óxé $\omega$ drive trans. PASS. drive intransit.

$\pi \alpha \tau$ '̇o tread.

тєшव̛́a suffer hunger，see above Rem． 4.
$\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \dot{\omega} \operatorname{try}$ ，examine．－$\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \iota$ ，with fut．mid．and aor，pass．
attempt，undertake．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ pass over，fut．$\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega(\operatorname{long} \alpha) \& c$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ bring over，fut．$\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega$（short $\alpha$ ），Att．fut．$\pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha} s, \tilde{\alpha}$ ．
\＆c．PASS．has $\sigma$ ．
$\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \omega$ mislead，PASS．wvander．
л $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon x \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ am covetous．
т $\lambda$ поó $\omega$ fill．
поу＇̇́ Act．and Mid．labor．
лte oóa give woings to．
т $\omega$ ké $\omega$ sell．
defón cause to root，PASS．take root．
б $\eta \mu \varepsilon$ вóo mark，MID．
otyóa keép silence，fut．mid．
बит亡̇ouac．Mid．eat，feast．
бє $\omega \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$ keep silence，fut．mid．
бхє甲т $\alpha \omega$ leap． 1.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega$ scour，contracts $\alpha \varepsilon$ into $\eta$ ．See above Rem． 3 ．
$\sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ draw，$\dot{\alpha}$ in the inflection，PASS．has $\sigma$ ．MID．
बтeq $\alpha v o ́ \omega$ crown，MID．
oudáa plunder．
oq＠oy $\alpha$ swell，am puffed up．
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{f i n i s h}$, fulfil，retains $\varepsilon$ in the inflection，PASS．has $\sigma$ ．MID．
т $\eta$ éća observe．
tucán honor，MID．

тод $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ dare．
ré́o tremble，see above Rem．2．retains $\varepsilon$ in the inflection．
т $\varrho \boldsymbol{u \pi \alpha} \alpha$, bore，perforate．
revчর́a aun effeminate，debauch．
covøóa，make proud，PASS．am proud．．
$\varphi \vartheta$ 甲ovéف enpy．
甲起 ${ }^{\prime}$ lo love．
甲оßі̇о $\mu \alpha \iota$ depon．fear
وoirćáw visit．

甲 $v \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ blow.
$\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ yield, relax, $\dot{\alpha}$ in its inflection, PASS. has $\sigma$.
$\chi \varepsilon ⿺ \rho o ́ \omega$ Act. but more commonly Mid. subject.
x$\omega \rho \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ go, yield, fut. mid.
$\psi \dot{\alpha} \omega$ rub, contracts $\alpha \varepsilon$ into $\eta$, see above Rem. 3. MID.
áv̇́o $\mu \alpha \iota$ Mid. brvy, augm. § 83 Rem. 6.

IRREGULAR CONJUGATION.
§ 106. verbs IN $\mu$.

1. The first class of irregular verbs are those in $\mu \ell$, a class which contains but few verbs and parts of verbs, which differ also still further from each other in several points.

Rem. 1. The verbs, which are given in the grammar as examples of this formation, are almost the only ones in which it prevails in all those parts, to which it can be applied. Whatever else is governed by this analogy will be given in the list of anomalous verbs. For the most part, it is only single tenses of certain verbs.
2. All verbs in $\mu c$ have a root, which, according to the usual formation, should terminate in $\omega$ pure. It is therefore usual in the grammar to deduce the more frequent from the obsolete form, and to say that $\tau i \vartheta \eta \mu \iota$ is derived from $\Theta E \Omega$.
3. The conjugation in $\mu c$ is peculiar only in the Present, Imperfect and Second Aorist ; and the essentials of it consist in the terminations of inflection. This, $\mu \varepsilon \nu, \tau \varepsilon, \nu, \mu \alpha \iota$, instead of being attached to the root by a connective vowel ( $0 \mu \varepsilon \nu, \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, o v, o \mu \alpha \iota$ ), are joined immediately to the radical vowel of the verb, e.g.

See Rem. 8.
4. In addition to this, there are some peculiar terminations, viz. $\mu$-in the 1st pers. sing. pres. Indic. $\sigma \iota$ or $\sigma \iota y$-in the 3 d pers. sing. pres. Indic.
$\theta$ - in the 2 d pers. sing. Imperat.
Besides, the infinitive of those tenses always ends in $\nu \alpha$, , and the nom. masculine of the participle not in $\nu$, but in $s$, with the omission of $\nu$, whereby the radical vowel is lengthened in the usual
manner, as $\vec{\alpha}_{s}, \varepsilon \iota s$, ovs, $\bar{v} s$, which ending has always the acute accent.
5. The subjunctive and the optative combine the radical vowel of the verb with their termination into one long vowel, which thould regularly be always accented.-In the subjunctive, when the radical vowel is $\alpha$ or $\varepsilon$, this contracted vowel is $\omega$ and $\eta$, as

$$
\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\eta} \varsigma, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu, \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon ; \tilde{\omega} \sigma c \nu .
$$

But when the radical vowel is 0 , the contracted vowel is uniformly $\omega$, as

$$
\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\varphi} \varsigma, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu, \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon, \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu .
$$

The optative has a diphthong with $c$, to which is subjoined the termination in $\eta \nu$, as $\delta \omega \vartheta-\varepsilon i \eta \nu$, $i \sigma \tau-\alpha i \eta \nu$, $\delta \iota \delta-0 i \eta \nu$.

The verbs in $v \mu \iota$ most commonly form these two modes ac- 169 cording to the analogy of verbs in $\dot{v} \omega$.
6. Several short radical forms connect with the abovenamed changes a reduplication, wherein they repeat the first consonant with an $\ell$, as

$$
\triangle O \Omega \text { didouи, } \Theta E \Omega \tau i \vartheta \eta \mu \iota .
$$

If the root begin with $\sigma \tau, \pi \tau$, or an aspirated vowel, $c$ alone is prefixed with the aspirate, as

$$
\Sigma T A \Omega \text { ї } \tau \eta \mu \iota, ~ \Pi T A \Omega \text { ї } \pi \tau \alpha \mu \alpha,{ }^{\bullet} E \Omega \text { ї } \eta \mu \iota .
$$

And it is only in this way, that the second aorist can be formed in verbs of this kind; as it is only by the absence of this reduplication, that it is distinguished from the imperfect, and, in the other modes, from the present (see § 96 Rem. 3.) as

$$
\tau i \vartheta \eta \mu \iota, \text { Impf. } \dot{\varepsilon} \tau i \vartheta \eta \nu, \text { Aor. } z^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \eta v .
$$

7. The radical vowel, in its connexion with the terminations of this conjugation, in the singular of the indicative always becomes long; viz. $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ become $\eta$ (1st pres. $\eta \mu c$ ), o becomes $\omega$ (1st pres. $\omega \mu \iota$ ), and $v$ is lengthened, as $\bar{v} \mu c$. In the other terminations it almost always appears in its original shortness, as $\tau i \vartheta \eta$ $\mu に \tau i \vartheta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu, \varepsilon^{\prime} \vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu, \tau i \vartheta \neq \prime \nu \alpha \iota, \tau i \vartheta \varepsilon \tau \iota, \tau i \vartheta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \iota$, bat with some exceptions, which will'be seen as they occur in the paradigms, and in the list of anomalous verbs below.
8. All the other tenses are formed in the usual manner from
the root and without reduplication, as $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i} \eta \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\prime}(\Theta E \Omega)$, fat $\forall \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega$. The verbs, however, of this class, have, as anomalous verbs, even in these tenses, other peculiarities, which are not incident to their character as verbs in $\mu$, , and are therefore to be separately considered.
 el, even in those tenses of the passive voice, which are regular.

 The verbs riv $\eta \mu a$ and $i \eta \mu u$. (§ 108) do the same only in the 1 aor. e.g. $\quad \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \nu$ (for $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu$, from $\theta E \Omega$,)

$$
i \nexists z l_{S} \text { part. } 1 \text { aor. pass. (from }{ }^{\circ} E \neq \text {.) }
$$

In the perfect active and passive, these two change the radical vowel into $\varepsilon \ell$, e.g.

$$
\tau \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon x \alpha, \tau \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \alpha c \quad \varepsilon T x \alpha, \varepsilon \tau \mu \alpha l .
$$

Rem. 3. The three verbs $\tau i \vartheta \eta \mu c$, ï $\eta \mu$, , $\delta i \delta \omega \mu c$ have a form of the 1 aor. in $x \alpha$ wholly peculiar to themselves, as $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \eta \times \alpha, \eta \times \alpha$, z $\delta \omega \times \alpha \alpha$, which must be carefully distinguished from the perfect.

Rem. 4. In the imperative of the 2 aor. some verbs, instead of $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \iota$, have simply $s$, e. g.

Rem. 5. As the termination of the 2 pers. pass. in the common conjugation ( $\eta, \sigma v$ ) had its origin in $\varepsilon \sigma \alpha u$, $\varepsilon \sigma 0$ (see § 108 Rem. II. 1, 2.) and as the connective vowel is dropped in the verbs in $\mu c$, the termination of this person in these verbs is simply $\sigma \alpha$, , $\sigma 0$, as in the perfect and pluperfect of the common conjugation. Accord-




Rem. 6. The form in $\boldsymbol{v \mu c}$ belongs only to a few very anomalous verbs, which derive their tenses, with the exception of the perfect and imperfect, from a simpler form, as deixvu $\mu \mathrm{c}$ from $\triangle E / K \Omega, \sigma \beta \dot{\varepsilon \nu \nu v \mu \nu}$ from $\Sigma B E \Omega$ \&\&. ' and are therefore introduced here as defectives (see § 112.6.) In order to know with ease, when $v$ is long or short, it is necessary only to compare the verb ióv$\eta \mu \nu$. Thus $\delta \varepsilon i x v v \mu \iota$ has its $v$ long, as ïo $\nu v \mu \varepsilon \nu$ has $v$ short, as $\ddot{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ its $\alpha$. Aor. $2 \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\delta} \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu$ has long $v$,


Rem. 7. All verbs in $\mu \ell$ increase their anomaly in this, that, even in the present and imperfect, in various persons and modes, they very often abandon the analogy of verbs in $\mu c$, and are declined regularly like verbs in $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\prime} \omega, \dot{\alpha} \omega$, $\dot{o} \dot{\dot{\prime}} \omega$, that is, as contract verbs; and those in ipac like verbs in inw; retaining, however, the reduplication, that is, following an imaginary root, as 7/OES, \&
§ 107. 1. paradiam of the conjugation an $\mu$.
active voice.

## Present.



Indicative.

| S. $\boldsymbol{x i} \hat{\vartheta} \eta \mu \boldsymbol{c}$ rivjs $T \boldsymbol{V} \eta \sigma_{6}(v)$ |  | didounc didows didWol (v) | $\delta \varepsilon i x \nu \bar{v} \mu \iota$ deixyūs deixivá |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. $\underset{\text { titgrov }}{ }$ | (1) | - |  |
| tiozzoy | Titazov | 边 |  |
| P. $\tau \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | Iozauev | didom | סєixvvusv |
|  | İชтate | didouz |  |
| $\theta \dot{c}^{\prime} \bar{\alpha}$ | iozãoc ( $\nu$ ) | $\bar{\alpha} \sigma$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Infinitive.

Participle.

Subjunctive.


## Optative.



[^51]Of this optative there is in the dual and plural an abridged form， which in the $3 d$ pers．plur．is exclusively used，as follows，viz．

| D． $\boldsymbol{\text { civgitov }}$ | iotairoy | dedoĩov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| тยきzitท | israír力 | dıdoít ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| P．tevtimav | iotaimev | dıdoince |
| teveite | iotaite | didoíre |
| тияとíx | iotaiz\％ | dıdoíev |

Imperative．

| ＇ | ［ï | di | dxixvǔq ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ，com． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | c． |
| төя่т |  |  |  |
| Tcoz̀v | or iotóvecuv | or didóvteny | or dsoxvíviau |

Imperfect．

| S． $i i i q \eta \eta$ $i \pi i g \eta s$ $i \tau i \theta \eta$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \dagger$ <br> Z̈́vins <br> Íory | żठ18 $\omega \boldsymbol{} \dagger$ हdidows どठid $\omega$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon i x \nu \bar{u} \nu \dagger$ द̇סzixvūg è $\delta \varepsilon i x v \bar{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D．－ | － | ， 8 | － |
| Ėtiqtar | Ö＇totarov | Ėdidozov | ̇̇dsixvurov |
|  | iovór ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ç̇ıdót |  |
|  | İor $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ | ėdidomev | $\dot{d} \delta \varepsilon 1 \times \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu$ |
|  | ívtave | żdidote <br>  | żdzixpute |
|  | Ïorajav | Eठidoo | Ė\＆ixvvoay |
| Perf．tét | どбт $\quad$ xat | dz＇$\delta \omega \times x$ | from |
| Plup．ėtç̇ixecıv |  ziorinxecut | żḋdóxzev | AEIKS |
| Fut． $\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $\sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | désom | from |
| 1 Aor．$\left.{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime} \eta x \alpha \oint$ | غ゙бт $\eta$ \％$\alpha$ | édoux | AEIKS |

＊With regard to ti $\boldsymbol{\theta \varepsilon \tau \ell}$ see 18.4 ．The second person in $\vartheta \iota$ is lit－ tle used in prose．Instead thereof，in the abbreviated form，Iorn and $\delta \varepsilon i x \nu v$ are used ；and in the verbs declined like contracts，rive $\boldsymbol{i}$ and $\delta i \delta 00$.
$\dagger$ The singular of this tense，with the exception of ïбच $\eta \mu \ell$ ，is usually declined like the contracts，and like the form in $0 \omega$ ，as
$\ddagger$ With respect to the perfect and pluperfect of ḯvin $\mu \subset$ the following things are to be remarked；viz．

1．The augment；－inasmuch as the $\varepsilon$ ，which stands instead of the re－ duplication，is aspirated，contrary to the analogy of other verbs（see $\$ 82$. 5），and the pluperfect often increases this augment by the temporal aug－ ment $\varepsilon$ \＆．

2．The abbreviated forms in use，instead of those of the regular conju－ gation，which see below．

3．The change in signification，which will also be mentioned below．
－From this irregular aorist in $x \propto$（see $\$ 106$ Rem．3）no modes or par－ ticiples are formed．

*The 2 aor. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ departs from the analogy of the imperfect and of the verbs in $\mu c$ in general, by its long vowel in the dual and plural ( $\$$ 106. 7.)-The 3d pers. pl. évot $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ is the same with the 3 d pl , 1 st aor. and must therefore, as their significations differ, be ascertained by the connexion.

+ The singular (indic. act.) of $\varepsilon$ है $\vartheta \eta v$, é $\delta \omega \nu$, is very rarely used.
$\ddagger$ This tense, in these modes, is declined precisely like the present, apd the optative undergoes the same abbreviations in dual and plural.

1 The imperative $\sigma \pi \tilde{\eta} \vartheta \iota$ is in composition sometimes abbreviated, as $\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha$

PASSIVE VOICE．

## Present．

Indicative．

|  | тiverac | \％̈o | didomat | ixvyuas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | or | ïrasouc or | dídoouc | deix ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\theta \eta$ | їбт |  |  |
|  | тiteral | Iotou | 6 | $\alpha$ |
| D． | тヶヲíncヲov | iotáભc才ov |  |  |
|  |  |  | didioatov | \＆xขvoもov |
|  | тifrotov |  | didootov | \＆ixvvб才ov |
| P． | Э＇$\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | iбt $\dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ | dudóne $\dagger \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ |  | didonve | Expvover |
|  | $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 亿̈бт | didoveac | dzixuvví |
| Inf． |  | \％ | ภidoḋa |  |
| Part． |  |  | dıঠórevos | d\＆яxvúusvo |

Subjunctive．


| iovijuat | Sidojuas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| iのTทiat | didö̃tal |  |
| iбtwíçov |  | from |
| iot $\tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{O}$ | סidajovov | $\delta \varepsilon<x \nu v$ vo |
| iotnovov |  |  |
| iotajesta | dudajusta |  |
| $\mathfrak{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ |  |  |
| iotwviac | ס८dֹ̃vtą |  |

Optative．

| S．$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu^{*}$ тにとio Tn9をĩ̃o |  | ס $\delta \delta o i \mu \eta v$ didoîo dıdoíto |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | iotaluevov |  | from |
|  | ioraiovov | didoíaiov | סeuxyvo |
| $\tau \iota \vartheta \varepsilon i \sigma \vartheta \eta \nu$ | iotaiç ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | סıסoiov？ |  |
|  | iotaincia |  |  |
| тย才¢íver | iotaĩt¢ | סıdoíãe |  |
|  | íveaĩvo | ס८ঠoîvto |  |

＊The subjunctive and optative are here formed according to the strict rules．In the common language and in single verbs，some irrigularities， especially in the accent，take place．These irregularities consist in plac－ ing the accent nearer the beginning of the verb，as $\tau i \vartheta \omega \mu \alpha \ell, i \sigma \tau \alpha \iota z 0$ ，


Imperative．

Imperfect．

| S．èvciq̌̇ıทv | dor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ėtivsoo or | Ḯraoo 0 | ėdidooo or | ย̇̇हlxขvoo |
| ċzívov | Żato | Ėdidou |  |
| ชiti才cto | ＜＇бтато | द̇didoto | ¢cixvvio |
|  | iotáusヲov |  |  |
|  |  | edidoávov |  |
| モ̇ว | iot $6 \sigma \vartheta \eta \nu$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \iota \delta \dot{0} \sigma \vartheta \eta \nu$ |  |
|  |  |  | ėdecxvvjusもの |
|  | i＇́co |  | $\delta \varepsilon i x \nu v \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ |
|  | で́т $\alpha \nu \tau 0$ | Eסídovio | żzixuvvio |



From the other modes of the perfect it is easy to form the Infini－
 raбo，\＆c．The Subjunctive and Optative are not in use．

The 2d and 3 d fut．and 2 d aor．are wanting．

## MIDDLE VOICE．：－

| Fut． $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \dagger$ <br> Aor．$\varepsilon$ èv $\eta x \alpha \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ | $\sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$ | д $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\delta} \omega x \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ | from AEIK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

＊In $\tau \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ ，$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\prime} \vartheta \eta \nu$ ，the $\tau \varepsilon$ is not to be mistaken for the redu－ plicative augment．It is the radical syllable $\boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon$ ，which however is chang－ ed to $\tau \varepsilon$ ，in consequence of $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ in the termination（ 18. ）Othérwise it

＋The aorists $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta \eta x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu, \dot{z} \delta \omega x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ belong exclusively to the dia－ lects．The common prose uses in the middle voice，only the $2 d$ aorist of these verbs．

## Second Aorist．

Indicative．

| ¢ ¢ ¢̇¢ $\mu \eta$ |  | żסó $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |


| Infin． |  | $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ | 8ó $\sigma \boldsymbol{\sim}$ 人 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Part． |  |  | סópevos |  |
| Subj． | $\theta \omega \mu \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $\sigma \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ |  |  |
| Opt． | Э ¢iム | бтaíu ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Imper． |  | $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma})$ ，$\sigma \tau \omega$ | （dooo） $\mathbf{0} 0$ U |  | decline according to the present passive．



Verbal Adjectives．

| Orvós | otatós | ｜dorós | from ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Өยṫ̇os | oxatéos | ｜סотと̇os． | AEIS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

## II．Remarks on ïбrŋuc．

1 The verb ïбt $\eta \mu \iota$ is divided between the transitive significa－ tion to place and the neuter to stand（§ 113．5．）In the active voice the following tenses have the transitive signification，viz．Present ïб $\eta \eta \mu \iota$ ，Imperf．ï $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ，Fut．$\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ，Aor．1st $\grave{z} \sigma ı \eta \sigma \alpha$.

The following have the intransitive signification of stand，viz．


The passive has throughout the signification to be－placed，and the middle signifies variously to place one＇s self，to place，to erect．

2．In addition to this，the perfect active has the signification of the present，and the pluperfect of the imperfect（see $\S 113$ Rem．2．）

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { हัँ } \tau \eta \times \alpha \quad I \text { stand } \\
& \text { غ̇のт } \eta x \varepsilon \iota \nu \quad I \text { was standing } \\
& \text { غ̇бтทxás standing \&c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

3．In＇this case there commonly prevails，in the dual and plural 176 and in the other modes，an abbreviated form of the perfect and pluperfect，resembling the formation of the present of verbs in $\mu c$ ， which is found also in other verbs and will be explained below in $\$ 110$ ．

[^52]| Perf. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Du. | ह̈бzozov |





It is hence apparent, that this perfect and pluperfect, in the greater part of their declension, have both the formation and the signification of the present and imperfect.
4. In consequence of this present signification (and because the futurd $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ means I shalliplace, and $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a t$ I shall place myself;) there has been formed from $\ddot{6} \sigma \tau \eta \times \alpha$ I stand, a separate anomalous future $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \xi \omega$ or $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \xi \sigma \mu \alpha c$ I shall stand, with which may be compared the similar future of $\vartheta v \eta^{\prime} \sigma x \omega$ among the anomalous verbs.

## III. Remarks on the dialects in the verbs in $\mu$.

1. Several of the dialectical peculiarities of the regular conjugation of verbs are found also in the verbs in $\mu$. Such are the imperfects and second aorists in $\sigma \times 0 \nu$, which always before this termination, have the short radical vowel, as imperfect $\tau \boldsymbol{i} \theta \varepsilon \sigma x o v$, didoбxov, qd aor. $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} x o v, \delta^{\prime} \sigma x o v$. In like manner the infinitives

2. The Dorics use $\tau \iota$ for $\sigma \iota$, in sing. $\tau \ell \theta \eta \tau \iota$ for $\tau i \vartheta \eta \sigma \iota \& c$. and in the plural, replacing also the $\nu$ which had dropped out according

3. The-third pers. pl. in $\sigma \alpha \nu$ of the imperfect and of the 2 aor. act. is diminished a syllable by the Doric and epic writers, and ends merely in $v$ with a preceding short or shortened root, as $\tilde{z} \tau \iota-$


The subjunctive undergoes in the lonic dialect a resolution or lengthening, as



To which may also be added the mode of lengthening used by the epic poets, as $\boldsymbol{v} \in i \omega$, $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ \&rc. (see § roB Rem. III. 6.)

$$
\text { § 108. 'ER, 'ES, АND' } 1 \Omega
$$

Among the other irregular verbs in $\mu_{t}$ are some small ones', whose radical form is partly ' $E \cdot \Omega$, and partly ' $E \Omega$ and ' $L \Omega$, and which are therefore easily confounded, especially in composition, where the breathing is partly lost.-Thus nooseivac may come from $\varepsilon i v a \iota$ and fram civac, and although in $\dot{\alpha} q \varepsilon i v \alpha c$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \tilde{i} \nu \alpha$, , the effect of the rough and swooth breathing respectively is visible, yet even this distinction disappears in the Ionic writers, who do not aspirate the consonant.

The redical form ' $E \Omega$ has three ehief meanings, xiz 1.1 send, 2. I place, 3. I cothe ; ' $E \Omega$ has the signification $I$ amn; and ' $I \Omega$ is Iga.

> I. "I Ipuc send, throw, from 'ES.

This verb may be compared with riध $\eta \mu c$, from the analogy of which it departs bat little. The g , according to $\S 106$ Rem. 6, takes the place of a reduplication. When the short radical vowel s begins the word, it in susceptible of the augment in prásing into ec. See $\$ 83$. 2 .

Reminz. The comparison of this verb with xionmu is here necessary. Every tense and mode, therefore, which any where occurs, is given in the following paradigm.

ACTIVE VOTCE.
Indicatioe.
Present.
Dral. Plur.

Imperfect.



## Participles．

Present．<br>iéls，iễ̃o ，iév．

passive and middle voices．
Indicatioe．
Present（Passive and Middle）．

Perfect（Passive and Middie）．

First Aorist（Passive．）
$\ddot{\theta} \vartheta \eta \nu$ ，or with the augment $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \| \eta \nu$ ．
First Aorist（Middle．）
Sing．Dual．Plur．

Second Aorist（Middle．）
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \nu$ ，or commonly with the augment $\varepsilon i_{\mu}^{\mu} \eta \nu$ ．

| Subjunctiv | nd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperative | － | oṽ |
| Infinitive | － | ビoもat |
| Participle | － | ėpevos |

Verbal Adjectives．
ėzós，ėtéos．
Remara．This verb occurs but rarely in its simple form．It is， therefore，to be remarked chiefly for the use of the preceding forms in compounded verbs，as 2 d aor．$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon i v \alpha u, \tilde{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon s, \dot{\alpha} v \tilde{\tau} \mu \varepsilon v$ for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon i \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．Mid．$\pi \varrho о \dot{\delta} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \varsigma, \& \varepsilon$ ．The compound $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \mu \iota$ often takes the augment in the beginning；as $\dot{\eta} \varphi \boldsymbol{q}_{i} \sigma \alpha v$ ．

II. 'ES place, ${ }^{7} \boldsymbol{H}^{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ sit.

1. From this ' $E \Omega$, in a trancitive sense, the following forms only are in use, viz.

First Aorist $\varepsilon i \neq \alpha, \alpha$, Middle $\varepsilon i \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$,
where the diphthong is properly an augment, but passes nevertheless into other portions of the verb, as
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Participle } & \text { Eiods, } \\ \text { Future Middle } & \text { عïoonau. }\end{array}$
2. Another form of the perfect passive from this root has acquired the force of an intransitive present $\boldsymbol{r}_{2}$ viz. $\eta_{\mu} \mu \alpha_{6}$ I sit.

Indicative.
Present.
Sing.
Dual.
Plur.

Imperfect.

Imperative.
Present.


Infinitive.
Present. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.

Participle.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Present. } \\
\vec{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \nu-o s, \eta, o v .
\end{gathered}
$$

Of more common use is the compound $x \alpha^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \alpha c,{ }^{\prime} \dot{E} x \alpha \vartheta \eta_{\eta} \mu \eta \nu, 3 \mathrm{~d}$



> III. "Evvvuc I clothe, I pur on.

This verb is declined like $\delta \varepsilon i x \nu v \mu t$, and forms the defective portions from the theme $E E$, see $\S 112: 6$.

Except in composition its use is confined to the poets. Hence we find


Plap. 2 d pere. Ẽooo, Зd pers. éoro.
In prose, the compound $\dot{\alpha} \mu q u \dot{z} v \nu v \mu c$ is used, viz.



> IV. Eiph I ami, from 'ES.
1.

## Indicative. <br> Present.

| S. $z^{i \mu} i$, | fis or $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ I, | eioziv or zozi, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. | Ėozơv, | Ėeróv, |
| P. ėouėv, | '̇oute |  |

Subjunctive.
Present.
S. $\omega^{3}$,
D.
P. $w^{3} \mu \varepsilon \nu$,

ที่s,
भ้ธ
${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$,
$\eta$, 3xov,


## Optative.

## Present.



- Not to be confounded with iovel know, gee oiida, , 109.


## Imperfect.

S. $\tilde{\eta}^{2} \nu$,
$\eta_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{com} . \vec{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha(\S 176.6.) \quad \dot{\eta} \nu$,
D.
P. $\tilde{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{j}} \mu \varepsilon \nu$,

The Future is borrowed from the Midde:

|  |  | cóm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. Ėoónçov, |  |  |
| P. $\frac{\varepsilon \sigma \dot{\sigma} \mu}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, |  | ě̃ovtal. |




Verbal Adjective íariar.t
2. Besides the future tense there is also borrowed frpm the middle voice the imperfect $\ddot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ and thei imperative z̈oo, both -of the signification of the active voice, but rarely found in the older writers. Of this imperfect the lst pers. sing. is most in use, and commonly with the particle ${ }_{\alpha}^{*} \nu$ weere $I$, should I be, see $\S$ 140. 8.
3. The present tense $\varepsilon i \mu i$, with the exception of the second persan $\varepsilon \overline{\text { in }}$, is enclitic. It is actually subject to inclination, where it is the mare copula of a proposition ; but wherever it signifies real existence, it retains the accent. The third person singular in particular, has it in that case on the first ayllable, as $\boldsymbol{v} e e$ g ${ }^{\circ} \sigma-$ $\tau \iota \nu^{*}$ z̈бcı $\mu 0 \iota$ doüdos. "Eotcv, moreover, is always accented on the first syllable at the beginning of a sentence, after the unaccented particles $\alpha \dot{s}, o \dot{u}, \varepsilon \varepsilon$, and after roviro and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, when these
 however, the inclination is only obstructed (see § 14.5), the accent is placed on the last syllable, as in the other parts of the


$$
\text { V. } E \tau \mu \iota, I \text { go, from ' } 1 \Omega
$$

Ind. Pres. S. $\varepsilon i \mu c$ a $\varepsilon i \bar{s}$ com. $\varepsilon i \pi \quad \varepsilon i \pi \sigma(\nu)$
D. - îtov̀ , ítov

Subjunc. . ìw. Opt. iǒци or ioinv

Infin. uizvab
Part. ionv (with the accent always on the last syllaBle, as other verbs in the Part. 2d Aon).

##  <br> ぞ fus <br> 

D．
$\ddot{\eta} \varepsilon \iota \tau 0 \nu$ or ${ }^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau 0 \nu$
ท่\＆it $\eta \nu$ or $\eta \eta \tau \eta \nu$
P．$\prod_{1}^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu$ or $\eta_{\eta}^{x} \mu \varepsilon \nu$
ท้ँ $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ or $\eta^{3} \tau \varepsilon$
ที้ย $\sigma \alpha \nu$
＇The middle，with the signification of to hurry，is also only us－
 like ï $\ddagger \alpha l$ ，from ï $\eta \mu$ ．

Verbal Adjectives ìtòv，ìzéov，and ỉz $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ zéov．
Rex．1．In the common dialect no other parts of this verb occur，and it is therefore a true defective．It is to be noted，how－ ever，that according to osage，the preceding active forms belong to the anomalous verb verbs，）of which they supply the place of several obsolete tenses

Rem．2．With respect to the present indicative $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu c$ ，the fol－ lowing role must be carefully noted，viz．

The Present ci $\mu \mathrm{c}$ has the force of the Future I will co．
It accordingly takes the place of the rarer form eikevapaul（see the anomalous ex exouac）．

The other modes of $\varepsilon \pi^{\pi} \mu c$ may be used either as present or fu－ ture．
 $\mu \eta \nu$ ，the same as the corresponding tenses from $\varepsilon i \not i \delta \omega$（see oid § 109），with which，therefore，they must not be confounded．
§ 109．other irregular verbs in $\mu$ 。．
I．$\Phi_{\eta \mu i}$ I say，from $\Phi \boldsymbol{A} \Omega$ ．

Ind．Pres．S．$\varphi \eta \mu i$
$\Phi \tilde{\eta}^{\prime} S$
D．－ P．$q \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$
Subj．
Infin．
gatóv
$q \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\prime}$
nfin. $\varphi \alpha \dot{\nu} \alpha \iota \quad$ Part.qás
甲шे Opt. фainv Imperat. 甲 $\alpha \hat{i} i$
$q \eta \sigma^{i}(\boldsymbol{v})$
甲azóv
$\varphi \bar{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{l}(\nu)$

Impf. S. $\check{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta \eta$
D. -
P. ${ }^{\text {éq }} \neq \mu \mu \varepsilon$

žqazov
z"qate
" 4

z'q $\neq \sigma \alpha \nu$

Fut. . $\varphi \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega$. Aor. 1st $\bar{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta \sigma \alpha$.
The middle $q \dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \mu, z_{i}^{\dot{\varepsilon}} \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$, is also used; and, in a Passive acceptation, some perfect tenses, as $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \hat{\theta} \omega$ be it satd, $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{v} \nu 0$. Verbal adjectives qaaós, qatíos.
Rem. 1. The present indicative, with the exception of $\varphi \dot{\eta} \dot{\prime}$, is subject to inclination, see $\$ 14.3$.

Rem. 2. This verb, like the foregoing, is a defective, which in use combines with the anomalous verb $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\nu}$, and forms a whole with the tenses of the latter.

- Rem. 3. The single tenses of this verb are arranged and named above, according to their formation. In practice, however, it is to be observed, that the imperfect $\dot{\text { eq}} \varphi v$ is usually a genuine aorist, and synonymous with होंगov. To this $\dot{z} q \nmid \eta \nu$ is conformed the infinitive $\varphi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$, , which is always a preterite.*

Rem. 4. By aphæresis the following forms occur in the com- 184 mon language, viz. $\dot{\eta}^{\mu} / I_{\sim}$ say, inquam, and in the imperfect $\tilde{\eta}_{\nu} \tilde{\eta}_{\eta_{3}}$
 ös said he.

> II. Kعĩuac I lie, from KES.

Pres. $x \varepsilon i ̃ \mu \alpha \iota, ~ x \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \alpha \iota, ~ x \varepsilon i \tau \alpha \iota ~ \& c . ~ 3 . ~ p l . ~ x e i v \tau \alpha \iota ~$

Subj. xéa $\mu \alpha \iota$, xén \&c. Opt. xєoi $\mu \eta \nu$

Fut. xєioouac


## III. Oİ $\delta \alpha$ I know, from EIAS.

1. The ancient verb $\begin{gathered}\text { el } \\ \delta \omega \\ \text { p }\end{gathered}$ properly signifies $I$ see; a few of its tenses only signify I know. As these latter have several other anomalies, they are here considered separately. The signification may be seen in the list of anomalous verbs below, under the head of $\dot{\varepsilon} i \delta \omega \omega$.

[^53]2. Strictly speakiag, oidm is the second perfect from sid


- knoz, the force of the present tense, as the pluperfect does that of the imperfect (§ 113. 2.) In the regular declension of oidoa the
 are but little ased. In the place of old $\alpha g$ is used oiod $\alpha$, abbreviated from the ancient form oiz $\delta \alpha \sigma \theta a$ (see § 103 Rem. IV. 6); for the other part see the Rem. below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Perf. (Present) S. oli } \alpha \text { I know, oioto olds (v) } \\
& \text { D. - ïбtov ïбcov } \\
& \text { P. ї } \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \quad \text { ї } \sigma \tau \varepsilon \quad \text { ї } \sigma \bar{\alpha} \sigma t(v) \\
& \text { Inf. } \varepsilon i \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \alpha e \text { Part. } \varepsilon i \delta \omega \prime \text { s } \\
& \text { Sub. } \varepsilon i \delta \bar{\omega} \text { Opt. } \varepsilon i \delta \varepsilon i \eta \downarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

> ทौd $\delta 6$
> P. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \delta \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu$ or $\eta_{\eta}^{\tilde{j}} \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu$

> Future $\varepsilon i{ }^{\prime} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ (more rarely $\varepsilon i d \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ) I shall know. Verbal adjective iort́ov.

The aorist and the real perfect are supplied from geyvaiozo.
Remark. Instead of " $\bar{\sigma} \mu \varepsilon v$ the Ionics say ${ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \mu z \tau v$, from which it is apparent that all the forms " $\delta \mu \varepsilon v$ or $\overline{\prime \prime} \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ( $\oint$ 23. \&) $\overline{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \& c$. are formed by syncope from oï $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$, oï $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \& c$. (see $\oint 110$ Rem: 3.) In the Doric dialect there is a peculiar present íव $\eta \mu t(i / \sigma \alpha \mu \ell)$ which has the same origin, but is rare and very defective. $\dagger$

## § 110. © ANOMALY.-SYNCOPE AND mETATHESIS.

1. One species of anomaly in verbs arises from Syncope. In some verbs this takes place in the radical portion, from which the

[^54] alons verb $\pi \ell \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu v \mu e$.

Rem. 1. In some verbs the $2 d$ Aorist is formed in this manner
 żyzi@o.
2. The most common syncope is that of the connective vowel. To this class belong all the verbs in $\mu l$, as we have seen above. Some particular cases require separate remark here.

Rym. 2. A few verbs have suck a syncope only in some parts of the present and imperfect. Most complete is usĩ $\mu \alpha \iota$ (for x'o $\quad$ м $\alpha$, $x \varepsilon i o \mu \alpha \iota$.) Also the first person present and imperfect of oi $\mu \mathrm{O}$, gip $\mu \eta \nu$, for oío $\mu \alpha$, gió $\mu \eta v$. See also дóvar below.

Rwa. 3. In the perfect and pluperfect, shorter forms are some-times-produced by syncope; and when such perfects have the signification of the present ( $\$ 113.2$ ) they have also an imperative in $\theta u$, as from $x \rho \alpha \zeta \alpha$

Perf. xéx $\varrho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \alpha$, 1st plur. $x \varepsilon x \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$,
 Imperat. xéx $\alpha \chi \not \subset$.
Here too may be mentioned the example already referred to, of the shorter forms derived from oid $\delta \alpha$, which had their origin in the abbreviation of the diphthong, as ïd $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, $̈ \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$, and their deri-
 furaishes also several examples. This sort of abbreviation is very matural when the characteristic of the verb is a vowel, as déduc (which is another form or a second perfect from dédocka*) makes the following, viz.

$$
\text { Perf. Phur. } \delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v, \delta z^{\prime} \delta \tau \tau \varepsilon \text { (for } \delta \varepsilon \delta l o \mu \varepsilon v, \tau \varepsilon \text { ) }
$$


Imperat. diduct.
Rem. 4. Hence is to be explained the transition of some very 186 common perfects into the formation of those in $\mu \mathrm{c}$, as follows. In some perfects in $\eta x \alpha$ of verbs in $\alpha^{\prime} \omega$, there is assumed a simpler form, or a second perfect, in $\alpha \alpha$, several examples of which are actually preserved in the epic dialect, $\dagger$ and this is then in most terminations syncopated in the manner shown above. Thus $\tau \lambda \dot{c} c a$,
 Infin. rev $\alpha a \varepsilon^{\prime} v a \ell$, sync. $\tau \in \tau \lambda \alpha_{\nu} \nu \iota$ (with short $\alpha$ ). As this coincides

[^55]exactly with ïбqu $\mu \Sigma v$, iozávoc, the greater part of the other forms of the verbs in $\mu \iota$ are found, as well as these perfects, in the verbs in question. E.g.

> Perf. Plur. $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu, \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \tau \varepsilon \tau \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \quad(\nu)$
> D. $\quad \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \lambda \mu \tau 0 \nu$


Infin. $\quad \tau \in \pi \lambda^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \alpha_{l}$ (short $\alpha$ )
In inperat. $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \lambda \alpha \vartheta \iota, \tau \varepsilon \tau \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \omega$ \&c.
Opt. $\tau \varepsilon \tau \lambda a i \eta v$.
The Subj. of this verb in this form is wanting; see instead of it above (on éor $\eta x \alpha$ in Rem. II. under í $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ ) the subjunctive $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tilde{\tilde{\omega}}, \tilde{\eta} \varsigma, \tilde{\eta} \& c$.

The participle alone is not formed upon the analogy of verbs in $\mu c$, but ends in $\omega^{\prime} s$, contracted from $\alpha \omega^{\prime}$, , so that the masculine and neuter are the same, viz. $\alpha \omega^{\prime} s$ and $\alpha o ́ s, ~ G . ~ \alpha o ́ z o s, ~ c o n t r . ~ \omega ' s, ~$ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\tau} 0 \mathrm{~s}$, and this contracted form has a feminine peculiar to itself in $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha$, as from $\beta \varepsilon^{\prime} \beta \eta x \alpha$ (see anomalous verbs $\beta \alpha i \nu \omega$ ) Particip. $\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta$ xás, vía, ós

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta \varepsilon \beta \omega_{s}^{\prime}, \beta \varepsilon \beta \omega \tilde{\omega} \alpha ; \beta_{\varepsilon} \beta \omega_{\varsigma}^{\prime} \\
& \text { G. } \beta \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} \tau 0 \mathrm{~S} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Of the perfects governed by these laws, which are chiefly these four, viz. т $\varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \eta \eta \alpha \alpha$, $\tau \varepsilon^{\prime} \vartheta \eta \eta \eta x \alpha, \beta \varepsilon^{\prime} \beta \eta x \alpha$, é $^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta x \alpha$,* the singular alone in the perfect and pluperfect is used in the regular form ( $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \lambda \eta \chi \alpha, \alpha \varsigma, \varepsilon, \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \tau \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nless \varepsilon \iota \nu, \varepsilon \iota \varsigma, \varepsilon \iota)$, while all the other parts have these abridged forms, which are more used than the regular forms.

Rem. 5. Many verbs form by syncope an aorist in $\nu$, or a $2 d$ aorist, which distinguishes itself from the imperfect, principally by the absence of the connective vowel, and in respect to the radical vowel and its quantity, follows exactly the first perfect or perfect passive, as
$q v^{\prime} \omega$ (perf. $\pi \dot{\prime} q \bar{v} x \alpha$ ) Imperf. $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} q v o v$, 2d Aor. $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \varphi v \nu$ (long $u$ ).

The greater part, however, of the aorists of this class occur only in very anomalous verbs, whose imperfects admit no comparison therewith. In the other modes and participles they coincide with the formation in $\mu$, except that the vowel and its quantity are quite variable, and in consequence every such aorist requires to be learned separately, from the list of anomalous verbs; See
 $\boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega$.

[^56][^57]3. The metathesis of a vowel with a liquid (§ 19.2) alters the root of a verb, in the midst of its inflection. This, however, rarely occurs. See the anomalous verbs $\delta^{\prime} \rho x \omega, \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \theta \omega$, and also $\vartheta v \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma x \omega, \pi о \varrho \varepsilon i v$.
§ 111. anomalies from double themes.

1. By far the greater part of the anomaly in verbs, as in the declension of nouns, consists in twofold forms and variety of themes, which has been already treated above in $\oint 92$. Besides the cases there quoted, and which may be reckoned among the most common changes of conjugation, there is a great number, where the new form departs much farther from the regular form, commonly' without the slightest change of signification.

- 2. Often, moreover, the two forms are jointly in use, and many, as $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$ and $\lambda \iota \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\prime} \omega$ I leave, кtєiv $\omega$ and ктivvvرи I slay, are foumd both ways in the best prose writers. Often, however, the one form will belong rather than another to a certain dialect, (as
 with the Ionics;) or has remained in use solely with the poets, among which are to be reckoned most of the epic forms.

3. Commonly the new form, created by lengthening the simpler, does not extend beyond the present or imperfect, see § 92.8. If the simple form in these tenses is driven wholly out of use by the latter form, the verb is hereby constituted anomalous; inasmuch as the other tenses are then derived from a verb not in use, as $\beta \alpha i \nu \omega$, $z^{\prime} \beta \alpha \iota \nu 0 \nu$, fut. $\beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, perf. $\beta z^{\prime} \beta \eta x \alpha, \& c$. from $B A \Omega$.

Rem. 1. Often several such different forms are in use together, so that a verb, in the course of its declension, will have a mixture from three or even more sources. Thus from the root $I H H \omega$ or $\Pi A \Theta \Omega$ exists only the aorist $z^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \vartheta 0 \nu$. Another form, strengthened by $\nu$, viz. $\Pi E N \Theta \Omega$, from which comes the perfect л'л \$c. expelled the simpler form from use, but has, in its turn, yield= ed in the present and imperfect to $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, which, in the lexicons,
is placed at the head of the whole verb. From $\Pi E^{\prime} V^{\prime} A \Omega$ io formed $\pi \varepsilon \tau \dot{c} \sigma \omega$ \&ec. but in the perfect passe the syncopated form $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau=-$ $\mu \alpha t$, and in the present and imperfect, nothing but the increased form $\pi \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} v \nu v \mu c$ is used, \&c.

Rex. 2. Finally there are some verbs, which form single tenses from roots wholly diverse, whose present is more or less obsolete, as in Latin fero, tuli, latum. . Such, particularly, in Greek are aigéw,
 sulted in the list of anomalous verbs.

## § 112. other anomalies.

1. Many new forms of verbs are of soch a kind, that few or no examples precisely similar of such a change in the root are ertant in the language ; as the example given above of $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} y v^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ from ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \omega$, and $\pi \dot{a} \sigma \gamma \omega$ from $\Pi E N G \Omega$, and among those quoted below as
 ever, stand in some visible a nalogy with others, and must therefore be comprehended in one survey, to be more easily remembered.

Note. When, in the following examples, two forms are connected by and, this word shows that both are in use; where, however, the word from stands, the latter form is either wholly obsolete, and only to be recognised in the tenses formed from it, or belongs only to the ancient poets.
2. One of the easiest changes is $\omega$ into $\dot{z} \omega$ or $\dot{\alpha} \omega$ (contr. $\tilde{\omega}$ );


As often, therefore, as the regular inflection of a verb was attended with any difficulty, or even productive of indistinctness or want of euphony, it was inflected as $\mathbf{f}$ the present ended in $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, e. $\mathbf{g}$.
 $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega, \tau \dot{\psi} \psi \omega$ and $\tau v \pi r \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \omega^{\circ} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega, \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$.
3. The verbs in $\omega$ pure sometimes take the termination $\sigma \times \omega$,


4. Before the termination, $v$ is sometimes interposed, as $\delta \dot{\alpha} x \nu \omega$ from $\triangle A K \Omega$, whence $z^{z} \delta \alpha z o \nu$. See also below té $\mu \nu \omega$ and $x \alpha \prime \mu \nu \omega$.

By this process, from i $\omega$ and v́v, come ivo and v́vo, as Jivw from $\Pi I I \Omega$, riou and tivw, $\theta \dot{v} \omega$ and $\vartheta \dot{v} v \omega$, -and from $\alpha \omega$ come $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega$ and $\alpha i \nu \omega$, as $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ from $\Phi \Theta A \Omega, \beta \alpha i v a n$ from $B A \Omega$.
5. Trisyllables and polysyllables in $\dot{\alpha} v \omega$ and civo have, for the 189 most part, as a radical form a theme in $\omega$, which at the same time forms some tenses as from éa, viz.

 Those in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ are accustomed to insert a nasal in the radical syllable of the word, but also to shorten the radical vowel, if it be long, in the following manner, viz.
$\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \pi \omega$ and $\lambda \iota \mu \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, $\varphi \varepsilon v \dot{\gamma} \omega$ and $\varphi\urcorner \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, \lambda \dot{\eta} \vartheta \omega$ and $\lambda \alpha \nu \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$. See also below $\vartheta \iota \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, \mu \alpha \nu \vartheta \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \omega, \pi v \nu \vartheta \alpha^{\prime} \nu 0-$ $\mu \alpha \ell, \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega$.

Remari on nos. 4 and 5. The termination $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ has the penult short ; $i_{\nu}()$ and $\dot{v} \nu \omega$, on the other hand, have it long, both with very few exceptions.
6. A very common change is also $\omega$ into $\nu \bar{u} \mu \iota, *$ as $\delta \varepsilon i x \nu v \mu \iota$



When a vowel precedes this termination, the $v$ is usually doubled, and $o$ is changed into $\omega$, e. g.




7. Sèveral also, like the verbs in $\mu \mathrm{c}$, have a reduplication in the present, as yıyróaxo from $\Gamma N O \Omega$, whence $\gamma$ voigouac. So also
 cw from $\Pi E T \Omega, \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu 0 \mu \alpha i$ from $\Gamma E N \Omega$.

[^58]8. Sometimes from one of the tenses a new theme is formed, and the derivations from this occur partly as anomalies in the common dialect, and partly, and this more commonly, are used as poetical peculiarities; such as the Fut. $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \xi \omega$, (see above the. Ath
 $x \dot{\varepsilon} \hat{\vartheta} \nu \eta x \alpha$, derived as from present tenses. Imperative oìoc as from Fut. oḯco (see qréow.)
190 Particularly does the 2d Aor. occasion in some verbs a new formation as from $\varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$,—as well the $2 d$ Aor. active on account of its infinitive in $\varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$, as the $2 d$ Aor. passive when it has an active signifcation, by the ending $\eta \nu$. Examples of the former are several anomalous verbs belonging to no. 5 above, as $\mu \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon i \nu, \tau v \chi \varepsilon i v,-$ $\mu \alpha \vartheta \eta^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha \ell, \mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha^{\prime} \vartheta \eta \chi \alpha, \tau \varepsilon \tau v^{\prime} \not \eta \eta x \alpha$. Of the latter is' $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega, z^{\prime} \chi \alpha \rho \eta \nu$, - $\chi \varepsilon \chi \alpha ́ \varrho \eta \kappa \alpha, x \varepsilon \chi \dot{\alpha} \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \iota$.

## § 113. anomaly in signification.

1. This whole subject belongs properly to the Syntax, where we must treat of the signification of the several forms of the conjugations, which cannot be separated from the rules respecting the connection of words. Those cases only can here be enumerated, in which the variation in signification is in certain verbs so common, that this anomaly is to be observed as belonging to the formation itself, as in Latin odi; thortor; audeo, ausus sum. Cases of this kind are in Greek far more numerous and more varions.
2. The perfect sometimes has the force of the present. The transition from the former tense to the latter, may be rendered conceivable, by reflecting, that in the perfect tense the mind rests 'often not so much on the past action, as on the present state or condition that resulted from it. Thus $\tau \in \dot{\theta} v \eta \dot{x} \alpha$ signifies properly I have died ; but regarding the consequent stàte as permanent, it signifies $I$ am dead, and is accordingly a present tense. In some other verbs, the original perfect was still farther lost, as xríopal I acquire, in the perfect xéxr $\quad$ мa< $I$ have acquired. The consequence of acquisition is possession, and thus $x \varepsilon^{\prime} x \tau \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ came to signify simply I possess, without any thought of a past acquisition.

In every such case, it is to be onderstood that the plupeyfeet is an imperfect.

Rem. 1. Sometimes the perfect is to be understood, like the middle voice, reflectively. In this case, it becomes a present intransitive; as in the verb ívinuc I place, żor $\eta x a$ I have placed; reflectively I have placed myself, i. e. I stand.

Rem. 2.' It easily happens, moreover, where the ideas are neas akin, that the present tense itself assumes the signification of the perfect made present in this manner, or ihe reverse; whereby the present and perfect often come to have the same meaning, especially in the poets, as $\mu$ élet it goes to the heart, $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \lambda e$ it went to the heart, that is, I take an interest; hence both mean I feel interested, I care for. Aéoxa I perceive, dédooxa I have perceived, that is, I see. It is particularly common that the perfect becomes present in verbe, which signify to sound, to cáll, as xexpacya I scream, rolía and zér pīya I hiss.
3. To the anomaly of signification belongs a departure from the signification of the voice. The most prominent case of this is that of the deponent verbs, or those which, with a passive or middie form, have an active sighification. This irregularity is very common in Greek; as is apparent from the lists of verbs already given.

REM. 3-Several deponents of the middle voice are nevertheless used in the perfect passive in a passive sense, and form in addition to this tense only a 1st Aor. passive; see above, in the list


## - Future Middle.

4. Very common is the case of verbs in the active voice, which make little or no use of the future actioe, and employ instead the future middle, which then has the transitive or intransitive signification connected with the active voice; and of such a verb the remainder of the middle voice, with its appropriate signification, is usually not employed. This occurs in several of the most familiar verbs, as áxoúv I hear, áxovío $\mu \alpha$ (never áxovicoc) I shall hear. Examples also of this are furmished above in the lists of baryton and contract verbs. See also the 8th remark before the first of thedse lists:

Reim, 4. Very often the futare middle is used for the future Passive, § 136. 3.
5. Under the head of anomaly in signification, must also be reckoned the distinction of causative and immediate signification. This is commonly expressed by different verbs, of which the one is derived in part from the other. In all languages, however, and particularly in Greek, there are verbs, which express in one form an action both directly and as occasioned or caused. Thus paiveıv signifes immediately to appear, and causatively to make appear, that is, to shozo. Kaiti乡\&ıv, in like manner, signifies to sit and to set. In the older dialect this appears to have been very common, and thence it may be accounted for, that several verbs have one of these significations in one part, and one in another, and are hence accounted anomalous, as we have seen above in ïatopic

## $\oint 114$. LIST OF ANOMALOUS VERES.

Note 1. All wholly obsolete themes, which are assumed merely for the explanation of those in actual use, are here, as throughout this grammar, printed in capital letters. Every theme, on the other hand, in actual use, is given in the common character. It is to be observed of those obsolete themes, moreover, which are not in every instance set down, but are occasionally left to the attentive student to supply, that they'are merely formed by grammarians from analogy, and are not regarded as having any existence historically in the language.
2. To facilitate the use of the list, these obsolete themes, where it is requisite, are inserted alphabetically in the table. Supposing then, that the learner is able to discover the regular theme of the verbs, which occur in reading, according to the general rules, this theme is found in its alphabetical place, w, ith reference to the form in common use. 'Thus $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \nu$ is derived from IIP $A \Omega$, and this in the list refers to льл $\rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma x \omega$.

Yet the merely apparent themes, which are formed by syncope or metathesis, are given only by their first letters; thus $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda_{1} \eta x \alpha$ by $B .1$ which refers to $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
3. Of every verb, not the anomalous forms only are given, but all the forms in use, except such as are of themselves apparent. Here the same remark is to be made, as on occasion of the first catalogue of the regular verbs, note 2.
4. Whatever signification, active, passive, middle, or intransitive, prevails in the present tense, the same extends also to every other tense, where pass. or mid. or some other indication is not

is bortowed from the middle, and the aor. $\eta^{\prime} \beta$ oud $\eta \boldsymbol{\theta} \eta v$ from the passive, we are to understand, that only these two forms occur in the signification which $\beta$ ovidouac has in the present, and consequently that no aorist $\eta^{\prime} \beta o v \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ and no future $\beta \rho v \lambda \eta \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ is in use.

## List of anomalous verbs.

A.
 aor. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$.
 has commonly the syllabic augment, § 83 Rem. 6. Aor. $\ddot{\varepsilon} \alpha \xi \alpha$, aor.
 cation, am broken.
áyopsúa see simeĩ.
 (see $\S 84$ Rem. 3.)-Perf. $\eta \chi \chi$ and with ananomalous reduplication,

 pass. $\mathfrak{y y} v \dot{\theta} \hat{\eta} \nu$ (see § 95 Rem. 3.)
 $\varepsilon i \lambda o v$, eteiv \&c. from 'E:AS.-MID. The Ionics have in the per-' fect a pecoliar reduplication $\dot{\propto} \rho \alpha i \rho \eta x \alpha, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha / \rho \eta \mu \alpha!$, with the smooth breathing.
$\alpha i \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ perceive, f. $\alpha i \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota \& c$.-Aor. $\dot{\eta} \sigma \vartheta \neq o ́ \mu \eta v$ (see § 193 112. 5.)
 (from AMEK $\Omega$.)
$\dot{\alpha}^{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ or $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\theta} \omega$ grind, f. $\dot{\alpha} \ell \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \overline{)}$ perf. pass. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha u$.
$\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda i \sigma x o \mu \alpha \iota^{*}$ am captured, forms its tenses from 'AMOR, as follows, fut. $\alpha \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \alpha c$ and (with active form but passive meaning) syncop. Aor. ( $\$ 110$ Rem. 5,) $\ddot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$, better $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ (pl: $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$,
 Perf. (in like manner with passive meaning,) $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\lambda} 100 x \alpha$, zádowxa.--Avalioxas see in its order.

[^59] Ma@rov. (See § 112. 5.)
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda i \sigma x \omega$ miscarry, fut. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \omega^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ \&ec. from $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \dot{t} \not \subset \omega$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu \sigma \chi \nu o u ̈ \mu \alpha \dot{c}$, see below in $\check{z} z \omega$.

$\dot{\alpha} v a i v o \mu \alpha u$, see the list of regular verbs.
 the Attics it has no augment, as $\dot{\alpha}{ }^{2} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$. In other dialects it is alternately $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\eta} \nu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \omega \bar{\sigma} \alpha$, and the same in the perfect.
àvoiyo or $\dot{\alpha} v o i y v \nu p и$, see oìyш.

 present signification.
 with Fut. Mid. means increase intransitively.


## B.


 the compounds have also a passive, as $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha i v \omega$ I tranggress, Perf. Pass. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \dot{\xi} \dot{\beta} \dot{\mu} \mu c i$, Aor. Pass. $\pi \alpha \rho \ell \beta \dot{\alpha} \hat{\eta} \eta$. - Verbal Adjective $\beta \alpha=\dot{S}$.-All these forms come from $B A \Omega$, and conform entirely to ior $\eta \mu \mathrm{l}$, except in the Present.-The abbreviated forms of the Perfect, as $\beta_{\varepsilon} \beta \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota, \beta_{\varepsilon} \beta \beta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, (see § 110 Rem. 4, ) are in this verb exclusively poetical.

This verb has with the Ionics also the cadasative signification to bring. This:signification is exclusive in the Fut. Act. $\beta \dot{\eta}$ 'aw and


 1.-MID.

[^60]$B A \Omega$, see $\beta \alpha i \nu \varphi$.
 \&" $\beta \rho \omega \nu$. See § 110 Rem. 5 .
 of which, for the most part, the other modes only are in use, as $\beta \iota \omega \nu \alpha \ell$, Part. $\beta \iota 0 \cup v_{s}$, Opt. $\beta \iota \varphi \cdot \eta \nu$. See § 110 Rem. 5.—MD.
$B \Lambda$-see $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$.

$\beta o ́ \sigma x \omega$ feed, Fut. Boбx ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$, , \&c. See § 112. 9.
 $\eta$ '阝oudíध $\eta v$. With respect to the augment, see § 82 Rem. 3.

BPO-see $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} x \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ :

## I.


 as husband, marry.

TENS. To this root, which corresponds with the Latin gigno genui, belong two significations; the causative beget, and the immediate or intransitive am born, become. The voices are anomalously mingled. Of the Active nothing but the Perfect y'jova is in use; all the rest of either signification , belongs to the mediopassive voice. The whole, at found in actual use, may be reducadr to a twofold present as follown, viz.

1) yeivopiat has only the sigaification of birth, (poetically
 transitively, beget, bear.
2) yipvopoc (ancient and Attic ; more recently yivopac,) Fut.

voice) $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ' $\gamma$ ova. All these parts of the verb signify intransitively 195 born, or simply become, fieri. To these unites itself the signif-
 as preterites to eivac.





> 4.
 z̈ $\begin{gathered}\text { axov. } \\ \text {. See § } 112 . \\ 4 .\end{gathered}$
$\delta a \mu \dot{\alpha} 0$, , see under $\delta \dot{f} \mu \omega$.
 Oov. See \$112. 5.
det̃, see déw.

$\Delta E / \Omega$ Epic dia. From this ancient present is derived the 1st Perf. $\delta t \delta o u x \alpha$ (see 1st and 2 d Perf. § 97 Rem. 1.) and the 2 d Perf. $\delta i \delta c \alpha$ (short $c$ ), both of which have the force of the Pres. I fear. From dédica are derived the syncopated forms dédepev, diór. $\tau \varepsilon, \dot{e} \delta \dot{\delta} \delta \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$; and an Imper. dédıOt. See § 110 Rem. 3.-Fut. deico-

 §112. q.-MID. The same theme furnishes tenses also to $\delta \alpha$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ tame, Aor. $\bar{z} \delta \alpha \mu o \nu_{2}$ Perf. $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta \partial \mu \eta x \alpha$, Aor. Pass. $\bar{\delta} \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ and

 xov, see $\S 96$ Rem. 4, also $\dot{\delta} \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} x \eta \nu$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \vartheta \eta \nu$, all active.
 Rem. 3. The 3d Fut. $\delta \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha c$ (see § 99 Rem. 1,) takes the place of the 1st Fut. $\delta \varepsilon \vartheta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ which is not Attic.-MID.
$\delta^{\prime} \omega \omega$ fail, want, Fut. $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, \&c. is commonly impersonal, as $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} i$, there is wanting, il faut, Subj. Dén, Opt. déou, Inf. סeiv, Part. déov, Fut. $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon c$. \&tc. The Pass. diónac I need, is never impersonal,

$\triangle H K \Omega$, see $\delta_{\alpha}^{\prime} x v \omega$.
 MID.
did $\delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} x \omega$ escape, run awvay, is foond only in composition (ảno-


 Part. d@ós. See § 110 Rem. 5. . This must not be confounded with the regular
$\delta \varrho \alpha^{\prime} \omega d o$, see above in the list of Contracts.
' $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ give, see § 107.-MID.
$\delta_{0 \varkappa \varepsilon ̇ \omega}$ seem, think, from $10 K \Omega$, Fut. $力{ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$ \&c. The Perfect is borrowed from the passive dé $\delta o \gamma_{\mu} \alpha \iota$ harve appeared. The regular formation $\delta o x \eta j \sigma \omega$, \&c. is less usual.
$\Delta(1 \Omega$, see $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \epsilon$.
$4 P . A \Omega$, see $\delta \iota \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma x \omega$.
ס'̈v $\alpha \mu \alpha \iota$ can, Pres. and Imperfect like ï $\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$, 2d. pers. Pres. du'vaбal better than divvy, which is only. Subjunctive. With regard to the Augment, see $\oint 82$ Rem. 3. Fut. $\delta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \iota_{2}$ Aor, $\dot{\eta} \delta \nu v \dot{\eta}-$ $\vartheta \eta \nu$, (also $̇ \delta \delta \nu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu)$ ), Perf. $\delta \varepsilon \delta \dot{v} \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota$. Verbal Adjective $\delta \mu \nu \alpha \tau o ̈ s$,
$\delta \dot{v} \omega$. This verb originally comnects the immediate signification enter, with the causative inclose, see $\oint 113$. 5. In the common usage it has only the latter (to inclose, to sink, \&c.) and retains this meaning in Fut. and 1 st Aor. $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \omega$, z" $\delta v \sigma \alpha$, Pass. $\dot{\delta} \delta \tilde{v}-$ $\vartheta \eta \nu$. See § 95 Rem. 3. The MID. divouac inclose myself, díjo$\mu \alpha u, z ̇ \delta v \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ passes into the intransitive meaning enter, submerge, \&c. which, however, again reverts to a transitive meaning, as enter a garment, that is, dress. These significations of the immedique kind are retained in the active voice in the Perf. $\delta_{i} \delta j x \alpha$, and the
 is to be added a new active form $\delta \dot{v} \nu \omega$, which is almost equivalent in signification with the middle $\delta \dot{v} 0 \mu \mathrm{c} \mu$.
E.
$\dot{\xi} \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ azuake transit. regular in the Act.-Perf. $\begin{aligned} & \gamma \dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \rho x \alpha \text {. The }\end{aligned}$ MID. has the immediate or intransitive signification awoke, and has in the Aor. $\dot{\eta}$ goo $\mu \eta \nu$, see $\S 110$ Rem. 1. The 2d Perfect with an anomalous reduplication

197
belongs, like other 2 d perfects, to the rimmediate signification, but
passes over into a new presint signification, I heve awaked, that is, I am awake, I wake* Pluperfo with force of Impf. zyovrópety.

ĚJo, see Ėotiou.



é $\theta \omega$ an wont ; instead of this present, use is made of the anomalous perfect $\varepsilon i \omega \vartheta \alpha$.
reidow see, an ancient verb, of which, in this acceptation, only
 and are to be seen under that verb. In the Epic language; however, some other parts of sidou are found as tenses of the same verb. See on this subject and on the tenses which have the sig-

cizco. Of this verb there is used as a Present the Perf. "ouxu asn like, seem. Part. ciocxa's, Att. cixw's, Neut. cixós, (Ion. oixa, oixw's, oixós;) Pluperf. ẓ̇juzıy. See $\$ 83$ Rem. 7 and 9. The verb eixas yield, see among the regular verbs.

عїนргткц, see MEIPOMAI.
sì $\hat{i}$ and $\varepsilon i ̃ \mu 乡$, see $\$ 108$.
 mon than the 1st Aor. $\varepsilon$ itra, see $\oint 96$ Rem. 1. Innperat. Einóv with anomalons accent, siлátal \&cc. Inf. \&ĩrau.

With this Aorist, use has closely connected the Fut. Épö (lon zes'm) from eípom-and from PES the perfect cipqxa, see §8q
 3d Fut. instead of the common Fut. pass. عi@ทंбоцac.

For the present of this verb, $\varphi \eta \mu i$ is used, see $\S 109$, sometimes also'áyopev́sır (properly to speak in public), particularly in com-
 compounds $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\gamma} \omega$ furniches the present, as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\gamma} \omega, \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{i} \pi 0 r$.

$\varepsilon \ddot{i} \omega \theta \alpha$, see $\begin{gathered}t \\ \vartheta\end{gathered} \omega$.

[^61] perf. $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \nu$, Aor. $\dot{\eta} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu$, Verbal adj. $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \tau$ ós (less correct $\dot{\eta} \lambda \dot{\alpha}-$



${ }^{\prime} E A \Omega$, see aipíc.
ENETKת, ENEIK $\Omega$ \&c. see qé $\rho \omega$.
z̈vvut, see § 108.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{i} \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$ understand, Imperf. $\dot{\eta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu$ (ihus far like $\boldsymbol{\imath \sigma \tau \alpha -}$ $\mu \alpha \iota)$ Fut. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$, Aor. $\dot{\eta} \pi \iota \pi \tau \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \nu$.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ am employed, pursue. This ancient verb, of which for the most part compounds only are in use, has the augment $\varepsilon i$ ( (дezĩлov),
 which are rather poetical.)

ह̇ло $\mu \alpha \iota$ follow, zinó $\mu \eta \nu$, zั $\psi o \mu \alpha \iota$. This very common middre verb has an Aorist, which corresponds with that of the active $\varepsilon$ g̈ $\omega$, except that in the inditative it is aspirated, $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \nu, \sigma \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \hat{\sigma} \alpha$, $\sigma \pi o \tilde{\text {, }}$ which forms occur chiefly in composition.


é $\rho \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ ask, orcurs in the common language only as an Aorist, $\dot{\eta} \varrho \dot{o}^{\prime} \mu \eta \dot{v}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \varepsilon \tau 0$, whence also the other modes are found. The infinitive nevertheless is written both $\bar{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \theta \alpha$, ,-Fut. zovioomar. The defective parts are supplied from 'gouciou. The lonians however make use of the present, but write it
 teson.


 Perf. $k \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda v \vartheta \alpha$.-Besides this and especially in composition, sereral tenses of $\varepsilon i_{\mu c}$ are more in use than those which belong to this root. See §108.




 $\delta o v, x \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \tilde{v}_{0} v$, and $\dot{\varepsilon} x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon v \delta o v$.

 ment § 83 Rem. 2.-MID.
 if from $\Sigma X \Omega$ ) ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \chi o v, \sigma \chi \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{\nu}$, Subj. $\sigma \chi \omega \bar{\omega}, \sigma \chi \tilde{\eta} s$ \&c. (compound $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{-}$
 peral. $\sigma \chi o \tilde{v}(\pi \alpha \propto \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o u)$. Hence a new future $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, Perf. $z^{\prime} \sigma \chi \eta \times \alpha$ \&c. Aor. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta \eta \eta$. Verbal adj. éxcós and $\sigma x \varepsilon \tau O ́ s$.

From the aorist $\sigma \chi \varepsilon i v$ is derived a new form of the present, iax $\omega$, which in particular significations, such as hold, seize, \&ec. is preferred, in which also the Fut. $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ more properly belongs to this present. From éx $x$ there are the following anómalous compounds to he remarked, viz.
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega$ which, only in the middle $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \propto u$, with the signification to bear, endure, has the double augment in the imperfect and Aor. $\dot{\eta} v e c \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu, \eta_{\nu}^{\nu} \in \sigma \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu$, see $\oint 86$ Rem. 4.

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \not$ ह́' $^{\prime} о \mu \alpha \iota$, Aor. $\dot{\eta} \mu \pi \iota \sigma \chi \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \nu .{ }^{*}$



${ }^{\bullet} E \Omega$ and ${ }^{\top} E \Omega$, see § 108.
Z.
$\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ lize, has according to § 105 Rem. 3. $\zeta \tilde{\omega}, 5 \hat{\eta} 5,5 \hat{\eta}, \& \varepsilon c$. Imperf.


[^62]

$\zeta \omega^{\prime} \nu \nu v \mu \iota$ gird, f. $\zeta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \dot{\omega}$ \&c. Perf. pass. ${ }^{\text {ž§ }} \varsigma \omega \sigma \mu \alpha$, see § 112. 6. -MID.
${ }^{\eta} \mu \mu \mathrm{n}$, see § 108.
$\eta^{j} \mu i, \eta^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \nu$, see above in $\varphi \eta \mu i \oint 109$.
$\theta$.

$\Theta \mathcal{A} \Phi \Omega$, perfect as present $\tau \varepsilon^{\prime} \vartheta \eta \pi \alpha$ I am astonished, where the sepond aspirate is changed; while in the Aorist z'r $^{2}$, pou, the first is changed; see aspirates, § 18. This verb is to be distinguished from $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega_{,}, \bar{z} \varepsilon \dot{q} \dot{q} \eta y_{j}$ in the list of baryton verbs.

 The other tenses are supplied as in $\tau a \dot{\prime} \chi \omega$ :
 \&゙Ocyor:
 $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\theta} \nu \eta x \alpha$, as from $\Theta N A \Omega$, see $\oint 110.3$. Hence in common language the following abbreviated forms, according to § 110 Rem. 4.
 yatt. Part. $\tau \varepsilon \hat{\theta} \boldsymbol{v} \eta x \omega$, commonly masc. and neut. $x \varepsilon \theta v E \omega$ g (de-
 זะ'धे $\eta x \alpha$, however, is derived an Attic form of the future $r \varepsilon \in w^{\prime} \xi \omega$


Oúd sacrifice, $\mathfrak{v} \dot{\sigma} \omega$ \&c-1st Aor. pass. द̇túv $\dagger v$ (shoft v) see $\S 18$ Rem. 2. and § 95 Rem. 3.

## I.

 $x \alpha \vartheta \iota \zeta^{\eta} \sigma \omega$, or $x \alpha \dot{\theta} \epsilon \omega$ (for $x \alpha \hat{\theta} i \sigma \omega$ according to $\$ 95$ Rem. 7.) Aor. ex $\alpha^{\prime} \theta \iota \sigma \alpha \& c$.

 *s Epic.


їбทuc, see oli $\delta \alpha$, § 109.
iov $\eta \mu \iota$, see $\S$ 107, with Rem. II.- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$ see in $E$.

${ }^{\prime} 1 \Omega$, see $\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon} \mu c, \S 108$.
 ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\eta} \mu \alpha$, , i50
$\alpha \alpha i \omega$ burn transit. Att. xó $\omega$ (with long $\alpha$ and without contraction,) f. $x a v \dot{\sigma} \omega \omega$ \&cc. (see § 95 Rem. 5.) PASS. 1st Aor. $\grave{e} x \alpha v \dot{v} \eta \eta \nu$,
 The Epic poets have also a 1st Aor. without. $\sigma$, $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { è } \\ \eta\end{array}\right)$.
 $\lambda \eta \times \alpha$, ėx $\lambda \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \eta v, \& c$.-Perf. pass. xéx $x \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ am called, my name is.

$x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \omega$ tire, from KAMS, see § 112. 4. Aor. èx $x \alpha \mu \nu v$, Fut. $x \alpha-$ $\mu 0 \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha t$, perf. $x \in \dot{x} \times \eta \geqslant x \alpha$, as from $K M A \Omega$, see § 110. 3 .
$\boldsymbol{x \varepsilon i \mu \alpha \iota , ~ s e e ~ § ~} 109$.
 $\rho \alpha \sigma \alpha$ (with short $\alpha$ ).-A sypcope with a long $\alpha$ takes place in the



$x \lambda \alpha i \omega$ weep, Att. $x \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \omega$ ( $\omega$ ith long $\alpha$ and without contraction), $f$.



 $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \rho a c$ (Ion. xexó $\rho \eta \mu \alpha c$ ). This is not to be confounded with the

$x \rho \alpha ́ 5 \omega$ commonly x'̇x $\varrho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \alpha$ cry, see § 113 Rem. '2. x'́x $\varrho \alpha y \mu \varepsilon \nu$,


$$
\boldsymbol{K P} \mathcal{A} \text {-see } x \varepsilon \varrho \dot{\alpha} \nu v v \mu \tau .
$$

x $£ \varepsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu v \mu \iota$ hang, Pass. x $\rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu \mu \alpha \iota$ am hung, and as MID. hang. myself; x $\rho \nsucceq \mu \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$, (like ḯ $\tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$ ) hang intransit. to which belong
 $\sigma \omega$ (short $\alpha$ ), Att. $x \varrho \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha} s, \tilde{\alpha}, \& c$. The Aor. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \propto \varrho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \eta v$ is common to the Mid. and intransit. signification; but the Fut. pass. x $\rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ belongs solely to $x \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \nu v \mu \alpha 6$. In consequence of which the intransitive has a peculiar future, $x \rho \varepsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \boldsymbol{d}$ I will hang.
 v.) The compound n@osxuvéa kneel, adore, is regular.

$$
\Lambda .
$$

$\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} v \omega$ obtain (by lot or fortune,) from $\Lambda H X \Omega$, f. $\lambda \dot{\eta} \xi o \mu \alpha \iota$, Aor. $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \alpha \chi 0 \nu$, Perf. $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \eta \chi \alpha, \oint 82$ Rem. 2, or $\lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda . \gamma \chi \alpha$ (as if from AETXS.)
 $\varepsilon^{2} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \eta \varphi \alpha$, see $\oint 82$ Rem. 2.—MID. The Ionics form $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta x \alpha$, see § 112.8. and (from $A A M B \Omega) \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \psi о \mu \alpha \iota, ~ \dot{~} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \vartheta \eta \nu, \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota$.
$\lambda \alpha \nu \vartheta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega$, rarer $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \vartheta \omega$, am hid, $\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, है̉ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \circ \nu, \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \eta \vartheta \alpha,-M I D$.

$\lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ say, has in this simple form no perfect active whatever; in the pass. it has $\lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$, $z^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \vartheta \vartheta \eta \nu$. In its compounds, in the sig-
 Rem. 2,) 'Aor. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \eta \nu$, see $\oint 100$ Rem. 4, and a MID. Also $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma о \mu \alpha \iota$ convorse, has $\delta \iota \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$, but in the Aorist $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \vartheta \eta \eta$.
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda H B} \Omega$, see $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$.
$\lambda r_{1}^{\prime} \theta \omega$, see $\lambda \alpha \nu \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$.
AHX $\Omega$, see $\lambda \alpha \chi^{\alpha} \alpha{ }^{\nu} \omega \omega$.
dovic wash. In this verb the Attic dialect almost without exception omits the connective vowel before the termination, as 3d
 110 Rem. 2.-MID.
 § 95 Rem. 3.-MID.
 101 Rem. 5:-MID. ő $\rho \nu \nu \mu \alpha \iota$ arise, Aor. aj@ó $\mu \eta \nu$,-to which belongs 2d Perf. ő $\rho \omega \rho \alpha$.
 See §112. 5.
óqعi入a owe e.g. money, must, Fut. óqعidejow, \&cc. The 2d Aor. $\omega^{\nu} y \varepsilon \lambda 0 \nu$ occurs only as the expression of a wish. See $\S 151$.
ö $\varphi \lambda \omega$ and (more common in the present) $\dot{o} q \lambda \iota \sigma x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, am guily, condemned, Fut. ó $\varphi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega, \& c$.

## II.

$\pi \alpha i \omega$ beat, Fut. commonly $\pi \alpha \iota \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$, but the other tenses are żл $\alpha \iota \sigma \alpha, \pi \dot{\prime} \pi \alpha \iota \alpha \alpha$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha i \sigma \vartheta \eta \nu$.-MID. (Compare $\pi \alpha i \xi \omega$ in the regular verbs.)
 Perf. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \pi o \nu \vartheta \alpha$, Fut. $\pi \varepsilon i \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$, according to the rule § 25. 4. Verbal Adjective $\pi \alpha \vartheta \eta \tau o ́ s$.
$\pi \varepsilon i \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota, ~ \Pi E N \Theta \Omega$, see $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$.
лє่тюшт $\alpha$, see $\pi а \rho \varepsilon і ̃ . ~$
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \omega$, see $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
лह́ৎҰ
$\pi \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega$, $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \omega$, cook, Fut. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \omega, \& c$. from $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$, see $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \mu \iota$ expand, Fut. $\pi \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \omega$, \&c. See $\$ 112$. 6. Perf. Pass. $\pi \dot{\xi} \pi \tau \check{\alpha} \mu \alpha \iota$ (see § 110. 1.) but Aor. Pass. is again द̇л $\pi \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \eta v$.
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau о \mu \alpha \iota f l y$. From this root is formed by syncope an Aor. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi-$ $\tau \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$, $\pi \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \alpha \iota$, \&c. See § 110 Rem. 1. Fut. $\pi \varepsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$, commonly $\pi \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \alpha l$, which may be derived from the lengthened form лєг $\alpha о \mu \alpha \iota$. To this also belongs a formation in $\mu \iota$, partly in the present $\pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$ and $i \pi \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$, partly in a second form of the Aor. $\dot{z} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu, \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, \& c$. In addition to this there is a third Aor. $\varepsilon_{i}^{\prime} \pi \tau \eta \nu, \pi \tau \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota, \pi \tau \alpha \prime s, \& c$. and a Perf. $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \eta \alpha \alpha$ formed from the active, which is entirely obsolete in the present.
> $\Pi E T \Omega$, see $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
> $\pi \varepsilon v^{\prime} \vartheta \circ \mu \alpha \iota$, see $\pi v \nu \vartheta \alpha^{\prime} \nu 0 \mu \alpha \iota$.
$\pi \eta^{\prime} \gamma \nu v \mu \mathrm{make}$ fast, f. $\pi \dot{\eta} \xi \omega$, \&cc. See § 112.6. Aor. Pass. $\boldsymbol{z} \pi \alpha^{\prime}-$ $\gamma \eta \nu$, 2d Perf. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \gamma \alpha$ intransit. I stand fast.
$\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$ fill, $\pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha \iota$, follows ívz $\eta \mu \iota$ in present and imperf.
 $\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \Omega$ or $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \vartheta \omega$ which, last form, however, has in the present tense only the intransitive meaning am full. -When, in composition, $\mu$ comes before the first $\pi$, the $\mu$ in the reduplication is dropped, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \lambda \eta \mu l$, but returns as soon as the augment is interposed, as $\dot{\text { E }} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \nu$.
$\pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota$ burn transit. $\pi \iota \mu \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota$, follows $\overline{\text { İ }} \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{c}$ in the Pres and Imperf. the rest from $\Pi P A \Omega$ or $\pi \varrho \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$, as $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \theta \neq \eta v$.-The same holds of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota, \dot{z} \nu \varepsilon \pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \nu$, as of $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \nu$.
$\pi i v \omega$ drink, from $\Pi I \Omega \Omega$, Fut. $\pi i o \mu \alpha \iota$, see $§ 95$ Rem. 10. Aor.


 give to drink, $\S 113.5$, and have as present $\pi \iota \pi i \sigma x \omega$.
. $\pi \iota \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ sell, Fut. and Aor. wanting. The remainder from $\Pi Р А \Omega, \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} x \alpha, ~ \grave{z} \pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \vartheta \eta \nu, \& c$.
$\pi l \pi \tau \omega$ fall, forms from $\Pi E T \Omega$ (see §112. 7,) in the Dorian

$\Pi \Lambda A \Omega, \pi \lambda r^{\prime} \cdot \omega$, see $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \nu$.
 Rem. 5.-Pass. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \mu \alpha \iota, ~ द ̇ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \vartheta \eta \nu$.
$\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega, \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \omega$, strike-2d Perf.-This verb retains the $\eta$ in the 2 d Aor. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \nu$, except the compounds which signify affright, $\mathfrak{z} \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \nu, \times \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu$.
$\pi \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ blow, f. $\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \omega$ or $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \sigma o u ̃ \mu \alpha \iota \& c$. Aor. pass $\varepsilon \pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \vartheta \eta \eta$.
$\pi 0 \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ desire, f. $\pi \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma$ and $\pi 0 \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, , Perf. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \delta^{\prime} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha$, Perf.

 theme (with the idea assign) belongs, by means of a metathesis (§ 110. 3.) the perfect pass. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \omega \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ it is destined, Part. $\pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \omega-$ $\mu \dot{\mu} v o s$.
$\pi \rho l a \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ buy. A defective verb, whose forms (i̇лucá $\mu \eta v, \pi \rho i-$ $\alpha \sigma \theta \alpha c \& c$.) are used as the Aorist of $\omega v \varepsilon \tilde{e} \sigma \theta \alpha c$.

IIPO—see порЕĩ.
IITA-IITO-see $\pi \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota, \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \mu \mu \alpha, \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.



## $\boldsymbol{P}$.

 èopya.
 the same active signification is the qd Aor. Pass. दै@ं@́vŋv, with the
 § 112.8."
 2d Perf. $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \rho \varrho \omega \gamma \alpha$ with the intransitive meaning, I am torn.
¢ $i \pi \tau \omega$ and $\oint \iota \pi \tau \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \omega$ throw ; both forms are used in the present and imperfect; the other parts are formed only from fitz $\omega$, as




$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}
$$

$\sigma \beta \varepsilon \dot{z} \nu v \mu \iota$ extinguish, f. $\sigma \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ \& 112. 6.-The Perf. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \beta \eta x \alpha$ (with $\eta$ ) and the 2 d Aorist $\bar{\varepsilon} \sigma \beta \eta v$, plur. हँ $\sigma \beta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$, Inf. $\sigma \beta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota_{y}$ (see $\$ 110$ Rem. 5.) have the intransitive signification to go out, for which meaning however, the passive $\sigma \beta \dot{\varepsilon} v-$ $\nu v \mu \alpha \iota$ is more usual.
$\sigma x \varepsilon \delta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} v v \mu \iota$ ṣcatter, f. $\sigma x \varepsilon \delta^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \omega$ \&c. Perf. pass. $̇$ ह̇ $\sigma x \varepsilon^{\prime} \delta \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, see $\oint 112.6$.
$\sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ zwipe, $\sigma \mu \tilde{\eta} s$ \&c. see $\S 105$ Rem. 3. Fut. $\sigma \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \& \mathrm{c}$.-Aor. pass. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{\eta} \chi \vartheta \eta \nu$ (from $\sigma \mu \dot{\eta} \chi \omega$.)

$\sigma \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\nu}, \sigma \pi \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \vartheta \uparrow \iota$, see |  |
| :---: |
| $\pi$ |
|  | .


бтs@źف deprive, declined regularly; but in the passive much
use is made of the simpler form $\sigma \tau \varepsilon_{\rho} \rho \mu \alpha$, , Part. It Aor. oregols, Fut. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \eta^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha$.



$\sigma \chi \varepsilon i v$, ž $\chi \chi 0 \nu$ \&c. see $\varepsilon^{z} \chi \omega$.
$\sigma \dot{\prime} \zeta \omega$ save, has in Aor. pass. $\mathfrak{z} \sigma \alpha_{i} \theta \eta v$ without $\sigma$, from the elder form $\sigma \alpha \omega^{\omega}($ żo $\alpha \omega \dot{\theta} \eta v$.)-MID.

## I:

$\tau \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \tilde{\nu}$ and $\tau \alpha \varphi \eta \ddot{\eta \alpha \iota, ~ s e e ~} \dot{\Theta} A \Phi \Omega$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega$ § 104.
$T A \Omega$, the apparent root of $\tau \varepsilon i \nu \omega, \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \times \alpha \& c$. See § 101. 8.
TEKS, see $\tau 1 \times \tau \omega$.
$\tau \dot{́} \mu \nu \omega$ cut, forms from TEMS, see § 112. 4, f. $\tau \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}$, Aor. $\overline{\text { z̈ } \tau-~}$ $\mu o v$.-Perf. $\tau \dot{z} \tau \mu \eta x \alpha$, Aor. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \mu \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \eta \nu$. Less used is Aor. $\begin{gathered}\tau \\ \tau\end{gathered}$ $\mu o v$. The Ionians have also in the present $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \omega$.
$\tau \varepsilon \dot{y} \boldsymbol{\chi} \omega$. Two kindred, verbs must be carefully distinguished, viz.

1) $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \omega$ prepare, regular, as $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \xi \omega$, $̇ \tau \varepsilon v \xi \alpha, \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha$, $\tau \in$ тvyual, tevxtós.
 тúxทティ.
The idea of $\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ has its origin in the passive of $\tau \in \dot{v} \chi \omega$.
 wov, Perf. tė́roxa.-MID. poetical.
$\tau i v \omega$, see $\tau i \omega$.
$\tau \iota \tau \varrho \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ bore, from TP $A \Omega$, $\uparrow \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ \&c. Another form, more used by the Attics, is $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha i \nu \omega, \dot{z} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \eta \nu \alpha$. The perfect is always from the radical TP $A \Omega, \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \underset{\tau}{\rho} \eta \chi \alpha, \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \eta \mu \alpha \ell$.

riw honour, is used only by the poets, and is regularly declined. Perf. pass. $\tau \dot{\tau} \tau \iota \mu \alpha \iota$.-In the signification of expiate, it derives its tenses from $\tau i v \omega$ expiate, f. $\tau i \sigma \omega$, perf. pass. $\tau \notin \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \nu$, ist Aor. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau i \sigma \vartheta \eta v$. The MID. $\tau i v o \mu \alpha \iota$ ( $(i \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota, \dot{z} \tau \tau \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta v$ ) has the signification of avenge, punish.
$T M A \Omega \cdot s u f f e r$, a verb not used in the present, but from which the following tenses are derived, Fut. $\tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$, Aor. $\varepsilon^{\prime} \tau \lambda \eta \nu, \tau \lambda \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha u$, $\tau \lambda \alpha i \eta v, \tau \lambda \tilde{\eta} \vartheta \iota$ see $§ 110$ Rem. 5y Perf. $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta x \alpha$, whence the syncopated forms rév $\lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ \&c. see $\S 110$ Rem. 4.

TM—see $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \nu \omega$.
 Perf. pass. $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta \varrho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota, \tau \varepsilon \vartheta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi \vartheta \alpha \iota$, Aor. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \eta \nu$, more rarely $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \vartheta \rho \varrho \notin \varphi \vartheta \eta \nu$, Verbal adj. $\vartheta \varrho \varepsilon \pi \tau o ́ s$.
$\tau \rho ' z\left(\omega\right.$ run, forms its tenses rarely from itself, as $\vartheta \rho \varepsilon^{\prime} \xi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$,
 $\delta \varrho \alpha \mu 0 \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \iota$, Perf. $\delta \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu \eta x \alpha$, see § 112.8.

TP'ПФ $\Omega$, see $\vartheta \varrho \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$.
 $\tau ข \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{v} \omega$, see $\tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \chi$ б.
$\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$ strike, has in the Attic dialect commonly fut. $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau \tau \tau} \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, Verb. adj. $\tau v \pi \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon ̇ o s .-A o r . ~ p a s s . ~ غ ̇ \tau v ่ \pi \eta v . — M I D . ~$
$\tau \dot{\cup} \varphi \omega$ incense, smoke, burn, f. $\vartheta v ่ \psi \omega$ \&c. § 18. Aor. pass. द̇túqךข.

## Ф.

$\Phi A \Gamma \Omega$, see $\dot{z} \sigma \vartheta \neq i \omega$.
$\dot{\Phi} A \Omega$, see $\varphi \eta \mu i$ § 109. and qaivo § 101 Rem. 4.
وégo bear, has (fiom OIS) f. oïб人 and a particular Imperat. ol̈ $\sigma \varepsilon$, for which see $\$ 112.8$. Besides this it has (from $E T K \Omega$ or
 pare § 97 Rem. 1.) Perf. pass. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$, Aor. pass. $\eta_{\nu}^{\nu} \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \vartheta \eta v$.-Fut.


$\varphi \vartheta{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ come before, anticipate, forms from $\Phi \Theta A \Omega$ either $\varphi \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega_{2}$
 Rem. 5.)-Perf. ${ }^{\prime} \varphi \vartheta \hat{\alpha} \chi \alpha$.
$\varphi v ं \omega$ beget, $\varphi \dot{v} \sigma \omega$, žq $\varphi \sigma \sigma$.-But the Perf. $\pi \dot{\prime} \varphi v x \alpha$, and the-2d Aor. $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi v \nu, \varphi \tilde{v} \nu \alpha$, Part. $\varphi v \mathcal{U}^{\prime}$, see $\oint 110$ Rem. 5 , have a passive or intransitive signification, to be begotten, to become, to be, of which meaning are the present and future $q \dot{v} \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \iota, \varphi \dot{v} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$.

## $\boldsymbol{X}$.

$\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ rejoice，f．$\chi \alpha \iota \varrho \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ ．Aor．（from the passive）$\dot{z} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \nu$, whence again a perfect with the signification of the present，$\alpha \varepsilon \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta$－ $x \alpha$ ，commonly $x \varepsilon \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \mu \alpha u$ ，see § 112． 8.

 pass． $\begin{gathered}x \\ \chi \\ \dot{\prime} \\ \end{gathered}$
xodo．Of this verb there are five different forms，with as many distinct meanings．

1）रœ⿰⿱㇒日勺心㇒ I give an oracular response，declined regularly ；र 0 ， $\chi \varrho \tilde{q} \nu, \chi \varrho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ \＆c．Aor．pass．غ̇x$\varrho \dot{\eta} \sigma \vartheta \eta \nu$.



3）$\chi \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \iota \iota$ use，takes in its contraction（according to $\S 105$ Rem．3）$\eta$ instead of $\alpha$ ，as $\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta}$ ，2d sing．$\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota, \chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ \＆cc． The rest is regular，Aor．$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varrho \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$, Perf．x́́ $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \iota . * ~ V e r b a l$ adj．凤＠そбтós．

4）$\chi \varrho \dot{\eta}$ oportet，it is necessary，impersonal，is inflected partly like verbs in $\mu \nu$ ，as Inf．$\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, Opt．$\chi \varrho \varepsilon i \eta$ ，Subj．$\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta}$, Part．（ $\tau \dot{O})$ $\chi \varrho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu \dagger$ ，Imperf．$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \nu$（irregular accent，）or $\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \nu($ never $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varrho \eta$ ．） －－Fut．रœウ் $\sigma \varepsilon$ ，\＆c．

5）$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\chi} \chi \varrho \eta$ it suffices，pl．$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \varrho \omega ̈ \sigma \iota \nu$, Inf．$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \varrho \eta \ddot{\nu}$, Part． $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \chi \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu, \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha, \tilde{\omega} \nu .-I m p f . \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \chi \varrho \eta$ ．－Fut．$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \chi \varrho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \& \varepsilon$.
$\chi \varrho \dot{v} v \nu \mu \iota$ colour，f．$\chi \varrho \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \omega$ \＆c．see § 112．6，Perf．pass．«є́ $\chi \varrho \omega-$ $\sigma \mu \alpha \nu, \& c$.
$\chi \alpha^{\prime} v v v_{0}$ heap，dam；also the regular form $\chi \dot{o} \omega$ ，Inf．$\chi 0 \tilde{v} v$, －$\chi \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$, ，\＆c．Perf．pass．$x \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ ．
$\Omega$.

 $z^{\prime \prime} \omega \alpha \alpha, \ddot{c}^{\prime} \omega \sigma \mu \alpha \iota \& c$ ．

[^63]
## § 115. PARTICLES.

1. The particles are called by the ancient grammarians $I_{n}$ fexibles, because they admit of no declension, nor conjugation. Every thing, therefore, which regards their formation or derivation, belongs properly to the subject of the formation of words. Some points, however, which are closely connected with the other parts of speech, or by which several particles are placed in a certain relation to each other, and some small changes effected by position or euphony, shall here be detailed.
2. The most common adverbial form is the termmation $\omega s_{1}$ which may be regarded altogether as a part of the adjective, since it is necessary only to change the termination $0 s$, nominative or genitive, into $\omega s$ as follows, viz.



$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} s$, ṫos, contr. oũs, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \dot{\partial} \omega s$ contr. $\alpha \lambda \eta \vartheta \tilde{\omega} s$.
3. Certain cases and forms of nouns often supply the place of particles, either by virtue of their signification, as will appear in the syntax, or by ellipsis. When such a form occurs very frequently, it is regarded quite as an adverb. So with the dative, riz.
xoucoj̈ properly with care, hence very much.
блovoñ - with diligence, labour, hence hardly, scarcely.
Also a number of feminine adjectives (originally agreeing with ódä from $\dot{\eta}$ oi oós way, mode, method, e.g.
$\pi \epsilon \zeta \tilde{\eta}$ on foot, xouv $\tilde{n}$ commonly, idiq privately, in $\eta$ uociq publicly \&c.
So also in the accusative, viz.
$\dot{\alpha} \varrho x \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ properly in the beginning, in the foundation, hence totally.
$\pi \rho o i ̃ x \alpha$ gratis, for nothing, (from $\pi \rho o i \xi$ gifl.)


Rem. 1. Some also are cases with preceding prepositions, e. g. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\chi} \eta_{\eta} \mu$ immediately (properly during the thing.)


Some such compound words. have small peculiarities of orthography and accentuation, as
èxrodioy out of the way, aside, (for exx rooian.)
ianoda'y in the way, inconvenient, (grammatically irregular

4. The neuter of the adjective is also an adverbial accusative, when it stands instead of the adverb, as well in the singular as the plural. This use, as far as the positive is concerned, is for the most part peculiar to the poets; and is used in prose, in only a few instances, as $\tau \alpha \chi \dot{v}$ saifly, $\mu$ xx oóv or $\mu$ cx $\alpha$ á a little.
b. In the comparative degree, it is very much the usage, that the neuter singular of the comparative and the neuter plural of the superlative serve also as degrees of comparison for the adverb, as
 lived most shamefully. The appending of as to the form of comparison of the adjective, as $x \alpha \lambda \lambda c o ́ v \omega s$, is far less common.
6. Instead of $\omega s$, the more ancient dialect formed the adverb in $\omega$, hence oütcos and oüto, §30.4. Here are to be reckoned $\check{\alpha} \varphi v \omega$ suddenly, öriow behind, and several formed from prepositions,
 $\sigma \omega$ forwards, nó $\varrho \dot{\rho} \omega$ far. These form their degrees of comparison in the same manner, as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon \dot{\ell} \rho \omega, \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$, -and in like manner degrees are formed of some other particles, as $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \dot{\prime}$ from, $\dot{\alpha}-\dot{\prime}$


7. In all the particles, which take the degrees of comparison without being derived from adjectives in use, the analogy of the adjectives is observed in forming the degrees of comparison, as
 in just the same peculiarities and irregularities are observed as. there prevail, see Rem. $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}$ below. Particularly compare with " ¿ovv, cocos," (§ 67. 3) and 'irregular comparison' § 68, the following, viz.
$\tilde{\alpha} \gamma \chi \iota$ near, $\tilde{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \sigma \sigma o \nu, \tilde{\alpha} \gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$
$\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ very, $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v, \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$.
And the adverbial neuter corresponding to $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, (§ 68. 2.)

Rem. 2. The following deserve notice, viz.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ on the other side, over, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ or $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha / \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$, $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu$ near, $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \kappa \alpha i \tau \varepsilon \varrho o \nu$ and -̇ंбre@ov,

Rem. 3. A few forms of verbs become particles, by common use, particularly interjections. Thus $\omega$ n $\varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$, see the anomalous óqsiilu and § 151. idoí see, (see the anomalous ógów.)

${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} y \varepsilon, \varphi^{\prime}(\underline{\prime})$, come on, which is used without alteration as an address to several.
" $\mathcal{T} \iota$ ( to one), ív (to more), come on.
Rem. 4. The adverb deṽoo hither is also used as an imperative, come hither, and in this acceptation it has a plural, when addressed to several, $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{u} \tau \varepsilon$ ! which is explained as a contraction of


## § 116. CORrelative particles.

(Compare § 79.)

1. Some relations of place are indicated by particles appended to words, and that as follows; when the question is whence? by $\vartheta \varepsilon v$, as $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \vartheta \varepsilon \nu$ from some other place,
whither? " $\sigma \varepsilon$, " ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda o \sigma \varepsilon$ to some other place,
where? " Э८, " ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0} \vartheta \iota$ sotnewhere else.
The vowel before these terminations is a matter of some vari'ety, which, however, is best learned' by observation, e.g. ' $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ -
 the two sides, étég@O on the other side.
2. When the question is whither, the enclitic $\delta \varepsilon$ is also appended, and that to the accusative without any change; as ovjo avóvos
 c' $\rho \varepsilon \beta$ оs) to Erebus.

Rem. 1. Oïx $\alpha \delta \varepsilon$ home, from oĩxos, and $\varphi$ úyod to flight, from
 the $\delta$ of the particle $\delta \varepsilon$ together with the $\sigma$ of the accusative plur.
have passed over into $\zeta$ ( $\oint .3 .2$.$) Several words, however, as-$ sume the $\zeta$, although not in the plural, as ovipahe without, from


Rem. 2. When the question is where, the termination oiv or $\sigma \iota$ is attached to the names of several cities; $\eta \sigma \iota$ when a consonant, and $\alpha \sigma b$ when a vowel precedes, as

$$
\text { from 'Aヲทva!, IIhataءаl', } O \lambda v \mu \pi i \alpha . *
$$

Some other words take the termination ou, as


which termination has always the circumflex, except in oilxoc at home.
3. To the three relations of the place quoted, refer the three following interrogations, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { móvev; whence? } \\
& \pi 0 \tilde{l} ; \text { whither? } \\
& \text { נoú; where? }
\end{aligned}
$$

of which the first only coincides with the terminations quoted above under no. 1. $\dagger$ On the other hand, these and some othar interrogations, of which the most common are sóve and $\pi \eta v i x a$ when? $\pi \tilde{\omega} s$ how? $\pi \tilde{\eta}$ in what direction? in what way? stand with their immediate correlatives (indefinite, demonstrative, relative) in the'same analogy, which we saw above ( $(79)$ in the case of the correlative adjectives.


The signification of the foregoing correlative adverbs is obvious from that of the corresponding adjectives in $\S 79$.

[^64]- Rem. 3. As the relative pronoun ös, besides the compound östcs, is also strengthened by $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (ös $\quad$ g $\rho$, \& \&c.) the same is also found in several of the foregoing relative adverbs, as $\omega \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho, \eta \eta \pi \rho \rho$, oũxe@. For the Ionic forms xoṽ, öxws, \&c. see § 16 Rem. 1. c.

4. The demonstratives in this table are the original simple demonstratives, like $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}}, \boldsymbol{\eta}$, to among the demonstrative adjectives. None of them but $\tau o \dot{o} \tau \varepsilon$ then, is in common use; the others only in certain phrases or in the poets. It is also to be remarked that, instead of $\tau \omega \prime$, we sometimes find $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ used as a less common demonstrative, and that with the acute accent, to distinguish it from the relative particle ws.
5. With the foregoing must be reckoned two other demonstratives, which in signification belong to the questions $\pi 0 \tilde{v}$; $\pi \dot{0} \theta \varepsilon v$; but in form depart entirely from the preceding analogy; viz.

They are both, at the same time, relatives (like ov and ö̃ $\begin{gathered}\boldsymbol{v} r \text { ), }\end{gathered}$ and common in prose.
6. The demonstratives $\tau \eta v i x \alpha, z^{\prime} v \vartheta \alpha, z_{-}^{\prime \prime} \nu \vartheta \varepsilon v, \tau \tilde{\eta}$, and $\omega ゙ s$, are capable of the twofold strengthening, mentioned above, $\S 79.4$, from which the demonstrative particles, most used in prose, have their origit, e.g.

| т $\quad$ vix $\alpha$, | т $\eta \nu \iota x \alpha ́ d \varepsilon$, | тทvexavita , ~~ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\dot{\sim} \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ | $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ¢ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | тav่rท |
| $\omega$ | $\omega$ Ш $\delta \varepsilon$ |  |

2. The most of these demonstratives with others, not incloded in these analogies, take, besides this, a demonstrative $\quad$, see $\oint 80$. 2. E. g,
 vuvi from vũv now, $\delta \varepsilon v \rho i$ from $\delta \varepsilon v \tilde{\rho} o ~ h i t h e r . ~$
And the relatives, (like the adjectives above $\S 80$.) for the sake of strengthening the idea of universality, assume
ov̉v and $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$,

[^65]as oinovoũ wheresoever, oincosoũv (and with the interposed $\tau \nu, \dot{c}_{i x-}$


Res. 4. As the corresponding adjective forms (§79) create correlatives of still wider use, in appeniding their characteristic terminations to other general ideas, as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o i o s, ~ \pi \alpha \nu z o i ̃ o s, ~ \& c c . ~(s e e ~$ § 79 Rem. 2.)-so also it is with the adverbs ; as ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \tau \varepsilon$ another time; $\tilde{a}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$ (in answer to the question $\pi \tilde{\eta}$ ) in another way; $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu$ -

 commonly are the adverbs of this kind, derived from ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o s$, nodús, $\pi \hat{\alpha} s$, and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \alpha \alpha \sigma \tau o s$; lengthened, by the insertion of $\alpha \chi$, as
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi 0 \tilde{v}$ elsewhere, $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi 0 \tilde{v}$, $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi o \tilde{v}$, in every place, in many places,
éx $\alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \chi o ́ v \varepsilon v \nu$ from every side, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \tilde{\eta}$, \&c.
Rem. 5. Negatives of most of these relative particles are also found ; from $\pi \boldsymbol{o} \tau \varepsilon$ and $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, as from $\tau(\xi$, by simple composition are formed oüno

Most commonly, however, the negatives are formed from the



## § 117. mutation of some other particles.

## I. In the form.

According to fixed principles, oú, ovंx, oúx are interchanged for the sake of euphony, see § 30 . 5. Ln like manner $\dot{\xi} \xi$ out of, is allowed to stand only before a vowel, or at the end of a clause, as

Before consonants it is changed into $\varepsilon x$, as

Rem. 1. That some particles, for the sake of euphony, have a moveable $v$ or $s$ at the end, has been already remarked in $\$ 30$, as also the changes of $\sigma \dot{v} v$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ in composition, § 25.

Rew. 2. For oú not, and val yes, we find, for the sake of greater emphasis, oủxi, valx́, (§ 12 Rem. 4.)

Rem. 3. Varieties of form, without any change of signification, are the following, viz.
$\vec{z} d \boldsymbol{d} \nu, \vec{\eta} \nu, \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, , $\dot{f}$.

ouv, anciently $\xi \dot{\prime} v$, with. $-t i s$, Ion. $\varepsilon s$, in.

$\dot{\alpha} \in i$, Ion. and Poet. aizi and $\alpha i \notin \dot{v}$, always.
 Ion. ह̈ँtectev afterwards.

## II. Change in accent.

Several dissyllable prepositions, with the accent on the last syllable, as $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}, \pi \varepsilon \rho \ell$, \&cc. undergo an anastrophe, as it is called, that is, they drazw the accent back, in two cases, viz.

1. When they stand after the noun they govera, as
 $\vartheta \varepsilon \omega \bar{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \theta$ for $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \tilde{\omega} \nu$.
2. When they are used instead of the forms of the verb alvac compounded with them, (in which case, instead of iv, the Ionic $\dot{z} \nu i$ is used even in the common dialect,) as



## § 118. of the formation of words.

1. The doctrine of the formation of words, as a subject of grammar, does not extend to all words. This, on account of the obscurity attending the origin of language, is reserved for the particular investigation of etymology. It belongs to us here, to treat only of the formation of those words, which are derived from other words, according to a plain analogy, embracing whole classes of words.
2. In this place we have to treat only of Verbs, Substantives, Adjectioes, and Adverbs; since whatever might belong here respecting the other parts of speech, has already been discussed in former sections.

[^66]§ 119. dertyation by terminations.

## I. Verbs.

1. Those verbs only are here to be considered, which are derived from nouns (substantive and adjective). This derivation commonly takes place by the terminations $\dot{\alpha} \omega, \varepsilon^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \omega$, $\dot{\delta} \omega$, $\varepsilon \dot{v} \dot{\omega}, \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \omega$, $i \zeta \omega$, $\alpha i \nu \omega$, $v \nu \omega$.
2. These terminations take the place of the termination of the nominative, if the radical word follows the first or second declension, and in the third also if the nominative ends in a single vowel, or in $\varsigma$ with a vowel preceding it; as $\tau \varepsilon \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \sigma \mu \alpha^{\omega} \omega, \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho \rho^{\circ} \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho o ́ \omega$, $\vartheta \alpha \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \vartheta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \forall \eta^{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$. In other words of the third declension they take the place of the genitive os, as xó $\lambda \alpha \xi \times x=\lambda \alpha-$


Rem. 1. The words of the third declension ending in $\alpha, \alpha$, es, which take a consonant in the genitive, can be directly changed only into kindred terminations of verbs ( $\alpha$ and $\alpha S$ into $\alpha \omega, \alpha i v \omega$, and $\iota s$ into $i \xi \omega$ ), as $\vartheta \alpha \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \vartheta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$ and $\vartheta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha i \nu \omega, \dot{z} \lambda \pi l \bar{s} \dot{z} \pi \pi i \xi \omega$. Every other termination is attached to the consonant of the genitive, as $\varphi v^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \varsigma \varphi \nu \gamma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega, x \rho \bar{\eta} \mu \alpha$ кю $\rho \mu \alpha \tau i \xi \omega$.
3. With regard to the signification of these terminations, we can here consider only the most common usage of the language, and enumerate the chief signification of the majority of the verbs of each termination.
a) $\dot{\epsilon} \omega$ and $\varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$. -These verbs are formed from almost every termination, and chiefly express the condition or action of that which the radical word denotes, as ool $\rho \alpha v o s ~ r u l e r, ~ x o \iota \rho \alpha \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ rule ; xosvayós partaker, xouvavévo partake; ‘סoũlos slavé, סoulevio am a
 $\theta \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega}$ am true (speak truly); $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \cup ́ s, ~ \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v^{\prime} \omega \& c$. most frequently as intransitives; yet sometimes as transitives, as cilos friend, pı $\lambda$ éc love.

In general these two terminations are the common derivations, and are therefore used still farther for a variety of significations, which are also in part included in the terminations which follow ; thus in particular, for the exercise of that which the radical word denotes, as $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon i v, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \varepsilon i v, \pi o \mu \pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon \iota \nu, \chi o \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon \iota v, ~ \varphi o \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon \iota \nu$,

 dress an assembly ; intevect to ride on horseback, \&cc. -In particular, the termination $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, the simplest of all, is used in most of those

 terminations are most commonly intransitive.
b) $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \omega$.-These verbs are most naturally formed from words of the first declension in $\alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, but also from others; and imply chiefly the possession of a thing or quality in an eminent degree, and the performance of an action ; as xó $\mu \eta$ hair, xoגท' gall,-x0. $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ to have long hair, $\chi^{0} \hat{\alpha} \hat{\alpha} \nu$ to have, much gall (to be angry); kinos fat henầ to $^{2}$ have fat (to be fat) ; Bon outcry, yoos lamenting, Boăv,
 exercise of a thing towards others; as $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ honour, $\tau \iota \mu \tilde{q} v \tau w \alpha$ to honour any one:
c) $\dot{j} \omega$.-These verbs, formed for the most part from words of the second declension, express (1) The making or forming to that which the radical word signifies, as doudiou make a slave of, arslave; $\delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda$ os known, $\delta \eta \lambda$ ów make known. (2) The manufacturing or working with the thing denoted by the radical word; as kov-
 co@vow make with the to $\rho$ oos. (3) The providing with the thing,
 \&c.
d) $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega$ and $l \zeta \omega$. -Verbs with the first termination come most naturally from words in $\alpha, \eta, \alpha \varsigma$, \&c. and for the sake of euphong from nouns with other endings. Verbe with both terminations, however, embrace so many relations, that they cannot be rediced to definite classes. Yet it deserves notice that, if they are formed from proper names of nations and men, they denote the adoption of the manners, the party, or the language of the same; as $\mu \eta \eta^{\circ} \mathrm{b}$. $\zeta \varepsilon u v$ to incline to the side of the Medes, $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v i \zeta \varepsilon i v$ to speak Greck, doocóstiv to speak the Doric, фcictudiלcev to be of the party of Phil$i p$.
e) $\alpha i v \omega$ and $v i v \omega$.-The latter termination comes always from adjectives, and expresses the imparting of the quality of the adjective; as $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ to sweeten, $\sigma \epsilon \mu v i v \varepsilon \iota \nu$ to make venerable. And here it is to be observed, that those adjectives, of which the degrees of comparison ( $i \omega \nu, \iota \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma$ ) appear to presuppose an ancient positive in $v g$, form the verbs in $\nu \nu \omega$ after that positive, as aio-
 - $\mu \eta \kappa \dot{v} \nu \omega, \chi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \nu \omega$ \& $2 c$. The same signification often belongs to verbs in aivo, as devxaivelv to make white, xochaivev to hollow out \&c. yet several of these have a neutral signification, as «adssaiveiv, dusxepaivecv to grow angry \&cc. They also sometimes come from substantives, especially in $\mu \alpha$, with different modifica-
tions of meaning ; e. g. $\sigma \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ sign, $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha l v a s$ signify ; סモĩ $\alpha$ fear, סsıuaival I dread.
4. There is a particular method of forming verbs from nouns by merely changing their termination into $\omega$, but the preceding syllable, according to the nature of the consonant, is strengtherted in one of the ways described above in $\oint 92$.
E. g. Thus from $\pi 0 \iota x i \lambda o s ~ \pi o \iota x i \lambda \lambda \omega$, , $\alpha^{2} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o s \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma y^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega, x \alpha \vartheta \alpha-$
 $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi o ́ s ~ \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \prime \pi \tau \omega$, \&c. The signification is always that, which is most readily suggested by the radical word.
5. To these must be added the following more limited classos of derived forms of verbs.
a) Desideratives, denoting a desire, and most commonly formed' by changing the future in $\sigma \omega$ (of the verb cognate to the thing desired) into a present in $\sigma \varepsilon i \omega$, as $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon i \omega$ I should like to laugh, лод $\_\mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon i \omega$ desire war \&c.

Another form of desideratives is that in $\alpha \omega$ or co $\alpha$, , properly from substantives, as $\vartheta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau \alpha \nu$ long for death, $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma i \alpha \nu \nu$ wish to be a general; also from verbs, by first forming substantives from
 $\sigma \iota s) x \lambda \alpha v \sigma c u v v$ to be disposed to weep.
b) Frequentatives in $\zeta \omega$, as $\rho \iota \pi \tau \alpha \xi_{\varepsilon \iota \nu}$ (from $\rho i \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ) to throw from one place to another, Mid. to throw one's self this way and that, to be restless; $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ̇ v \varepsilon \iota v$ ) to sigh much and deep-
 to creep slowiy.
c) Inchoatives in $\sigma x \omega$, in part intransitive, as $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon i \alpha \sigma x \omega$ to get
 $\left.\beta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \omega\right)$; in part transitive, as $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol { \theta }} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma x \omega$ to intoxicate (from $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol { v } v \omega}$ am intoxicated.)

## II. Substantives.

6. Substantives are derived from verbs, adjectives, and other substantives.
A. Substantives derived immediately from verbs.

With respect to these, we have to premise in general the following remarks, viz.

1) Those terminations which begin with $\sigma$, follow the ana$\log y$ of the future ; those which begin with $\mu$ and $\tau$, the analogy
of the perfect passive; those which begin with a vowel, the analogy of the second perfect; even if the respective tense of the verb in question, is not in use.
2) The terminations which begin with a vowel (as $\eta$, os,

 smaller verbs are excepted, which cannot lose their vowel, as it belongs to the root, but only change it, as $\dot{e} \dot{\omega} \omega$, $\oint \eta^{\prime}$.

Rem. 2. With reference to no. 1 next above, two particular remarks are to be made, viz.
a) The $\sigma$ which is to be inserted before $\mu$ and $\tau$, is retained in words formed from verbs which have a lingual for their characteristic. Those formed from pure verbs (verba pura), on the contrary, sometimes assume it and sometimes not, uninfluenced by the ioflection of the verb.
b) In regard to the vowel, when $\sigma$ is not inserted, the analogy of the future is to be followed, and e.g. $\hat{\vartheta} \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s, \vartheta \dot{\theta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha, \vartheta \hat{v} \mu \alpha$, have the vowel long, like $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma о \mu \alpha$, , $\boldsymbol{v} \dot{\sigma} \omega$; with few exceptions.
7. To express the action or effect of the verb, there are chtefly the following terminations, viz. $\mu 0 s, \mu \eta$ or $\mu \alpha, \sigma \iota s, \sigma \iota \alpha, \eta, \alpha$, os masc. os neat.
a) $\mu 0 \varsigma, \mu \eta$ or $\mu \eta^{\prime}, \mu \alpha$.-These terminations may, it is troe, be compared with the perfect passive; but those in $\mu$ ós commonly take the $\sigma$, when a vowel precedes in the radical word; but the other two do not always, even when the perfect passive does; and those which do not adopt the $\sigma$, preserve the long vowel of the future, even where it is shortened in the perfect, (yet in such a manner


 to their signification; those in $\mu$ ós properly denote the abstract, as $\pi \alpha \dot{\lambda \lambda \omega} \pi \alpha \lambda \mu o ́ s ~ s h a k i n g ~ t o ~ a n d ~ f r o, ~ o \delta \dot{́} \rho o \mu \alpha \iota ~ o ́ d v \varrho \mu o ́ s ~ l a m e n t-~$
 termination $\mu \alpha$, on the contrary, expresses rather the effect of the verb as a concrete, and even the object, so that it for the most part accords with the neuter perfect passive participle; as $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha}$ -

 nation $\mu \eta$ varies between the two; as $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ memory, $\boldsymbol{e \pi} \pi \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ knowledge, $\tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime}$ honowr, 一 $\sigma \tau \iota y \mu \dot{\prime}$ a point, yoa $\mu \mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$ the line, which in their signification have only a shadow of difference from $\sigma \boldsymbol{i}$ iуи $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ a puncture, $\dot{\gamma} \propto \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha$ a letter.
b) $\sigma u s$, $\sigma / \alpha$.-These denote the abstract of the verb, and very seldom deviate from this signification, ss $\mu / \mu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ the imitating, $\pi \rho \tilde{\mu} \xi / \iota$ the action, $\sigma x \tilde{\eta} \psi k s$, \&c.- doxtha $\sigma$ ia the examining, tvaia the sucrifice.

Of the following the signification cannot be so accurately given; yet the idea of the abstract, is the prevailing one.
c) $\eta$ and $\alpha$, for the most part oxytone, as $\varepsilon \dot{v} \gamma \eta$ prayer, from $\varepsilon$ vै-
 from $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma x \omega$, fut. $-\alpha \xi \omega^{*} \chi \alpha \rho \alpha^{\alpha} j o y$, from $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega^{\circ} .-$ So also, with a change into the sound $o$ (after the manner of the second perfect), $\tau 0 \mu \eta$ from $\tau \varepsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega_{2} \varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} 0 \varrho \alpha$ from $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon i \rho \omega$, \&c.-Some also admit of a reduplication, which is similar to the Attic reduplication of the perfect, and always has an $\omega$ in the second syllable, as ácoyn

 $\beta \omega^{*} v i x y$ victory, from vax $\alpha \omega^{\circ}$.
z九a.-To the class of paroxytones, also belong those nouns in عic which are formed from verbs in $\varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$ by merely changing $\varepsilon \cup$ into ec, as nacosio from roudzvía. These nouns have always a long $\alpha$, and therefore have the acute on the $\varepsilon$.

Rem. 4. Let the following rules be observed as to the accent of all nouns in $\varepsilon \iota \alpha$, viz.

Properispomena, are the feminines of adjectives in $\dot{v} s$, as


Proparoxytona. 1) The abstract nouns from adjectives in $\eta s$, as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, see no. 10. a. - 2) The feminines of masculines in $\varepsilon \dot{U}$, as íépı $\alpha$ priestess, see no. 12. c. 4.

Paroxytona, are the aboive mentioned abstract nouns from verbs in súw.
d) os masc. as $\tau \dot{v} \pi 0 \varsigma$ blow, impression, from $\tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$. But by far the most have in the chief syllable an $o$, either by nature or in exchange for $\varepsilon$, as xoótos clapping, from xootéa* qधóvos envy, from


To these may be added the substantives in tos, which are commonly oxytone, as áa $\mu \tau$ ós mowing, reaping, xwxvtós wailing.
e) os neut. as $x \dot{o}^{x} \chi \tilde{\eta} \delta o s$ care, from $x \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta \omega^{\circ} \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi o s$ lot, from $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi^{\alpha-}$ 200 $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \gamma o s$, the same as $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha, \& c$. These verbals never have an $o$ in the chief syllable; hence $\tau o^{\prime} \mu$ ' $^{\prime} \rho ᄋ s$ part, from $M E 1 P \Omega$.
8. The subject of the verb, as male, is designated by the fol. lowing terminations, viz.
a) $\tau \eta \rho, \tau \eta \varrho, \tau \omega \varrho$. - Of these the termination $\tau \eta \delta$ following the first declension is the most common, and the words are partly oxy-






The terminations $\tau \eta \rho$ and $\tau \omega \rho$ are less frequent forms, as $\sigma \omega-$


c) os, for the most part only in composition, as $\zeta \omega y$ góqos painter, тагюохтóvos a patricide, \&c.
d) $\eta \boldsymbol{\xi}$, and $\alpha \varsigma$, Gen. ov. Only in some cases of composition, as $\mu \nu \varrho о \pi \omega \lambda \eta s$ vender of ointments, тюin $\varrho \dot{\rho} \varrho \chi \eta$ (and -os) captain of a

9. The names of instruments and other objects belonging to an action, are formed from or after the preceding names of the subject, viz.
a) $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \iota \circ \nu, \tau \rho o \nu, \tau \rho \alpha$ (from the terminations of the subject $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} S$ or $\tau \eta \varrho$ ), as doutทं oov bathing tub, doũcoov, water for bathing, bath,
 бт $\rho \alpha$ place for dancing.
 xovpev's barber and this from xeigety to shear, veopeiov the recompense for being educated from $\tau \rho 0 \varphi \varepsilon v^{\prime}$, sc.

## B. Substantives derived from adjectives.

10. This is also a principal class of substantives, which serve chiefly to express the abstract of the abjective. To this class belong the following terminations, viz.
a) $\not \approx \alpha$, always with long $\alpha$ (Ion. $\eta$ ), as бoqós wise, $\sigma$ oqia wisdom; in like manner, x $\alpha x i \alpha, \delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda i \alpha$, \&c. $\beta \lambda \alpha x i \alpha$ stupidity from $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi} \& c$.

From this termination arose the nouns in ع८ん and oco
by contraction; the $\alpha$ is here short, and the accent is on the antepenult; the former come from adjectives in $\eta \varsigma, \varepsilon o \varsigma$, as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\theta} \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ truth from $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta{ }^{2} \eta_{s}$, the latter from adjectives in ovs, as $\dot{\alpha} v o c \alpha$ want of sense from $\tilde{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{z}}$ ovs.
 thickness from $\pi \alpha \chi$ ús. They are generally paroxytones.
c) - $v i v \eta$, as $\delta \iota x \alpha c o \sigma v i v \eta$, most frequently from adjectives in
$\omega \nu$, G. ovos, as' ${ }^{\prime} \omega \varphi \varphi o \sigma \sigma^{\prime} v \eta$ discretion from $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \varphi \rho \omega v$, oxos. Those which have the fourth syllable from the end short, take an $\omega$ in the antepenult, as $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ woiv $\quad$ (compare the same rule in the comparison by $\mathbf{z \varepsilon \rho O S , ~ \tau a z o s ) . ~}$
d) os neut. chiefly from adjectives in $v \varsigma$, as $\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta 0 \varsigma$ depth from


## C. Substantives derived from oller substantives.

11. Among these, some terminations are first to be mentioned which are formed after the analogy of the verbals, viz.
a) Masculines in $\tau \eta S$ (of which all those in it $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{f}$ have long $\bullet$ ) often signify a man in some relation with the subject which the radical word denofes, as $\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \eta \xi$ citizen, from $\pi o^{\prime} \lambda c s ~ c i l y, ~ o ́ \pi \lambda i \tau \eta s$
 qudétys one of the same tribe from $\varphi v \lambda \dot{\eta}$.
b) In like manner those in $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \dot{\prime}$, as iseev's priest from ispóv tem-
 net, $\alpha \lambda \varsigma$ sea ; $\boldsymbol{\rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v s , ~ \& c . ~}$
c) Those in $\varepsilon \hat{i} \nu v$ particularly denote a place devoted to an object, as Mö̈бєío \&c. see no. 9 above.
12. The rest may be reduced to the following divisions, viz.
a) Those which designate a place where certain objects exist in numbers ; such are those in $\omega \nu, G_{\dot{;}} \tilde{\omega} \nu o s$, masc. and - $\omega v i a$, as.

b) Amplificatives in $\omega \nu$, $\omega v 0$ (masc.) as $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \omega i$ he who has a large belly, \&c.
c) Feminine appellations, viz.
(1) $\tau \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha, \tau \varrho \iota \alpha$ and $\tau \rho \iota \varsigma$, G. $\tau \rho \iota \delta o \varsigma$, properly from masculines in $\tau \eta \varrho$ and $\tau \omega \varrho$, yet also from masculines in $\tau \eta S_{\text {, }}$, as $\sigma \dot{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \epsilon \rho a \operatorname{afe}$ -
 on the flute (masc. oex $\eta \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s, \alpha \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta \tau \eta s$.)
(2) $\iota s, \mathbf{G} . \iota \delta o s$, is the most common termination, which takes the place of that of the masculine in $\eta s$ and $\alpha s$ of the first declension; as


(3) $\alpha \iota \nu \alpha$, chiefly from the masc. in $\omega \nu$, as $\vartheta$ © $\rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$ (ovzos),
 (ovos), $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ textacva a female artisan; also from some nouns in os, às Өtós, Өíauva goddess.
(4) $\varepsilon \iota \alpha$, from some masculines in $\varepsilon \dot{v} s$, as ité $\varepsilon \iota \alpha$ priestess from ieอsús, \&sc.
(5) $\sigma \sigma \alpha$, from several terminations in the third declension, as


d) Diminutives, as follows, viz.
(1) wor or $10 y$ ( $\mathbf{r o}$ ), which is the chief termination, as racion
 -To strengthen this diminutive form, this termination is often lengthened by a syllable, especially in -ldooy and - ${ }^{\prime} \rho 60 \%$, as ruvaxi-


Rem. 4. Several words in oo have entirely lost their diminttive signification, as $\theta$ noiov beast from ó $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\dot{\eta}} \rho, \beta_{6} \beta$ hiov book from ท ${ }^{\text {piphag. }}$

(3) is Gen. idos and idos, always feminine, as $\theta$ sparauris

(4) vios (rather Doric) as "Eowtuldos from "Eows.
: (5) docus used only of the young of animals, as aetidevis from áz
f) Gentilia, i. e. names designating one's country. These are partly mere adjectives of three terminations, in cos, aios, vos, wos (see below), and partly substantives.
A. Masculine, viz.


 Mìүaŋa, Mavtuvev́s from Mavzivela, Ma - Фwxactùs, better Фwxazús, Phocaean, from Фáxaca, Evipoei's from $E \cup \cup P o c \alpha$.
B. Feminine. These either do but change (see c. 2 , above) the $\eta s$ of the masculine termination into $c \varsigma$, as $\Sigma j \pi \alpha \rho \tau c a \sim \tau c s, \Sigma_{0}$ $\beta a \rho i t c s$ \&c. - or they annex the terminations is and $\dot{\alpha}$ s in the manner that the euphony of the radical word may require, as
 All these names, according as $\gamma v v \eta \eta^{\prime}$ or $\gamma \dot{\eta}$ is understood, are used of a woman and of the country.
g) Patronymics.
A. Masculine. Here the terminations are the following, viz.
(1) idins, aं $\dot{\eta} \mathrm{s}, ~ c \alpha i d \eta s$, Gen. ov, the most common forms, of which that in idys is derived from the greatest number of terminations, while that in $\alpha \delta \eta s$ is used only in nouns of the first declengion in $\alpha s$ and $\eta s$, as K Kóvos K Kovidivs, K'ix
 chiefly from names in cos, as Mevoitcos Mevocricidns, but is also attached to many other nouns which have a long syHable before


(2) $t \omega v$ Gen. $\omega v o s$ (seldom ovos), commonly with a long $b$, is a more rare form in use with the former, as Kooviav from Kpoves. "Axtoglov from "Axio@, opos.

Rew. 5. Patronymics from nouns in cús and $x \lambda \eta s$ have originally $\varepsilon i \delta \eta s$, and bence in the common language by contraction
 from 'Hoax ${ }^{\prime} \tilde{\eta} s$. The same is true with regard to the termination lov, as Medei $\omega v$. - So also 0 with $\iota$ is contracted in Mavđol-

B. Feminine. These in general correspond with the masculine terminations, and for the forms in i $i \delta \eta \xi$, $\dot{\alpha} \delta \eta \xi$, we have the feminine in is and $\dot{\alpha} s$, as Taviadls, 'Atiavzis, Oeoriás. For the masculines in cid $\eta s$ we have the feminines in $\eta i t s$, as $N \eta \varrho \eta t i s$. For those in $l \omega v$, we have others in cóvn and $i v \eta$, as "Axpcocoivn, $A$ доŋбтivy.

## III. Adjectives.

13. Of adjectives which clearly have the mark of analogical derivation, by far the most terminate in os, and here it is the preceding letter or letters which are to be taken into account.
a) $10 \varsigma$ is one of the most general terminations, of which it can ouly be said, that it comes immediately only from nouns, and that it signifies something belonging to the subject, having respect to
 répros \&c.-By means of it a new adjective is also sometimes
 eralis, becoming the free; $x \alpha \theta \alpha \rho o ́ s ~ p u r e, ~ x \alpha \vartheta \alpha \rho \iota o s ~ c l e a n l y, ~ \& c c .-~$ From this 105 , by attaching the $i$ to a preceding vowel, are formed the new terminations

$$
\alpha l o s, \text { elos, olos, gos, }
$$

 tŕeos Gen. zos summer; aidoĩos, voãs, from aidw's Gen. óos, jo's Gen. óos. Yet usage has sometimes made one of these terminations more particular in its signification and more expremsive; as
 relating to the father.

In particular, the termination $\varepsilon \iota 0$ is in use as a mode of derivation from such words as denote definite classes or individuals of living beings, as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\vartheta} \omega \dot{\pi} \varepsilon \iota \frac{s}{}$ human, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\nu} \alpha i x \varepsilon \iota o s$ \&c. next, of all classes of animals; and in particular it is the most common form of the derivation from proper names of persons, where the termi:
 @ะLOS, Ej
' b) zoS aignifies for the most part only the subject, from which any thing is made, and th contracted into ovis, see § 60. 2.
c) $x d s$ is to be understood in a manner quite as general as cos, and extends also to verbs (as youpcxós belonging to painting, apxcxós governing \&c.) The most common form is exos, and if
$\alpha \iota$ precedes, we usually find the form ciixós, as tooxaixós from rooxaĩos. From words in vs is formed -vxós, as $\vartheta \eta \lambda u x o ́ s$ from $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \tilde{\eta} \lambda v s$ zomanly. So also -axós from the terminations which are
 orovdzĩos, onbvoscaxós. Yet the termination caxós (like cádins)
 Kógevios.
d) $\nu 0 s$ is a more ancient passive termination (like tós, réos); hence d\&ıvós dreadful, $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \nu o ́ s$ (from $\sigma \varepsilon ́ \beta o \mu \alpha$, venerable, $\sigma \tau v y \nu o ́ s$ hated \&c.
ivos as proparoxytone almost always denotes a material, as
 tensive in its meaning as' $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma$. As an oxytone it forms adjectives from words expressing ideas of time, as $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota v o{ }^{\circ}$ from

$\pi \varepsilon$ divós and the words in -عciós show a fullness, or something prevailing throughout, as лєס८vós entirely plain, ó@عєvós mountainous, zv̉deยvós entirely serene, \&c.
ivos, $\bar{\alpha} \nu 0 s$, nuos, are merely names of nations \&c. as Tugav-

e) $\lambda o s$ is a more ancient active termination; hence $\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda o s$ he who fears. The lengthened terminations $\eta \lambda o ́ s$ and $\omega \lambda o ́ s$, which signify an inclination or habit, are the most common, as $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \gamma \lambda$ ôs deceptive, á $\mu \alpha \tau \omega \lambda$ ós he who easily errs, habitually sins, \&c.
f) $\mathrm{c} \mu \mathrm{os}$ is found only in verbals, chiefly denoting fitness for use both actively and passively, and is attached to the radical word according to very different analogies, as $\chi \varrho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \mu 0 s$ (from
 timos fit to be drunk.
g) @ós, e@ós, noós, chiefly express the idea of full of, as oixt@ós full of grief, ¢丹ove@ós full of envy, voac@ós sickly.
h) aleos signifies nearly the same, as $\theta$ ap’@oatzos bold, from

i) tós and téos, see § 102.
14. The other adjective terminations are the following, viz.
 $\pi \nu \rho о \varepsilon \iota s, f u l l$ of fire, $\varepsilon v j \varrho \omega \varepsilon \iota s$ of doubtful signification, from $\varepsilon \dot{y} \rho u ́ s$ or cujo

We have already seen that those in $\dot{\eta} \varepsilon \iota S$ and $\dot{o} \varepsilon \iota S$ admit of a cońtraction, $\delta 41$ Rem. 3. § 62 Rem. 2.
b) $\eta s, \notin$, Gen. ovs, are for the most part contractions (see $\delta$ 130); yet there comes from them the particular termination adjvs, $\tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon s$, Gen. ovs, properly with a change in the accent from
 xádrs womanlike; commonly denoting full of, especially by way
 stime.
c) $\mu \omega v$ Gen. ovos, verbals after the analogy of the substantives in $\mu \alpha$, and in part formed from these; for the most part signifying the active quality, suggested by the verb, as von $\mu \omega v$. from $\nu 0 \varepsilon i v$ intelligent, $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \pi \varrho \alpha \gamma \mu \omega \nu$ from $\pi o \lambda v v^{\prime}$ and $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$ or $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\tau}$ $\tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$, one woho makes for himself much business \&c. ${ }^{2} \pi \iota \lambda \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \omega \nu$ forgetful.

Finally, a multitude of adjectives are formed merely by contraction, of which we shall treat in the following section.

## IV. Adverbs.

15. Besides the simple method of forming adverbs by changing the termination of the declension of the adjective into $\omega s$ (see § 115), there are the following particular terminations of adverbs, viz.
a) l or $\varepsilon i$. These denote a circumstance connected with the action which the proposition expresses.-The verbals in particular terminate in $\tau i$ or $\tau \varepsilon i$, which are attached exactly after the manner of the termination tós, as óvouaбti by name, ajyєえaбti without laughing; $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \iota \delta \rho \omega \tau i$ without sweating, without toil ; àxท@vseci without announcing. - From this, and from what was said above (in no.3.d) of verbs in $i \zeta \omega$, comes the signification of adverbs in $\sigma \pi i$, viz. after the manner, custom, language of a nation, a class, an individual, as è $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega \sigma t$ ofter the Greek manner, in the Greek language, $\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota x \iota \sigma \tau l$ after the manner of women; so $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi о д \iota \sigma \tau i, \beta o-$ ioni \&c.

Those formed from nouns have merely $i$ or $\varepsilon i$ added to the termination of the declension; às exxovil willingly, $\pi \alpha \nu \delta \eta \mu \varepsilon i$ as a whole nation, that is, with united force; $\alpha^{\prime} \mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon i$ without contest, $\alpha \dot{v}$ тохモīן with one's own hand.
b) $\delta \eta \nu$ belongs to verbals of nearly the same signification as the preceding, the termination being attached partly after the manner of the termination tos (yet with the necessary change of the characteristic of the verb, and never with $\sigma$ ), as $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\beta} \beta \dot{\eta} \eta \nu$ collectively, that is, on the whole, in general ; x $\varrho \dot{v} \beta \delta \eta \nu$ secretly, $\beta \dot{\alpha} \delta \eta \nu$ by steps,
 form $\alpha \delta \eta \nu$ attached to the radical word with a change of the vowel into 0, as oло@ $\delta \dot{\partial} \eta v$ scattered, $\pi \rho о \tau \rho о \pi \alpha \dot{\partial} \eta \nu^{\prime}(\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu)$ directed forwards, without turning round, \&c.
c) $\delta o v, \dot{n} \delta o v$, are chiefly derived from nouns, and relate for the most part to external form and character, as aycindóv in herds,

v $\quad$ oo'v like a dog.- If they are verbals, they agree with those in

d) $\xi$, a rare form, which is chiefly made by means of a palatic already in the radical word, and has a general adverbial signi-
 nately.

## § 120. derivation by composition.

1. The first part of every composition is either a noun, or a verb, or a particle, either changeable or inseparable.
2. If the first word is a noun, its termination is commonly in 0 , which, however, when the second word begins with a vowel, nssally suffers elision. E. g.
 ixtús, vios), dıxoyó́pos (from dixท).


Yet in most cases where $v$ or $\iota$ is in the termination of the nom,



The same is true after ov and $\alpha v$; e.g. $\beta$ ovqó $\rho \beta o s, ~ v a v \mu a y i a$, from $\beta$ oüs, $\nu \alpha u ̈ s$.

Frequently also after $\nu$, as $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma_{0} \lambda i \alpha, \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda o s$, from $\mu-$ $\lambda \alpha \varsigma, \alpha \nu 0 \varsigma \cdot \pi \alpha \mu q \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma o s$, from $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma, \pi \alpha \nu \pi o s$.

Rem. 1. The $o$ sometimes keeps its place before vowels, as $\mu \eta-$
 $\tilde{\varepsilon} \beta$ Yov or $E P \Gamma \Omega$, the $o$ is commonly contracted with the $\varepsilon$, as $d \eta$ $\mu$ cquegós from dijulos and EP $\Gamma \Omega$.

Rax. 2. An $\omega$ proceeds either from the Attic or from the contracted forms of declension, as $\nu$ swxópos (from $\boldsymbol{o}^{2} \nu \varepsilon \omega^{\prime} s$ ), ópewxó-
 -From $\gamma \dot{\eta}$ the earth we have in all contractions $\gamma \in \omega 0$-, as $\gamma$ gayoupos, instead of $\Gamma A O$ - from the ancient form $\Gamma A A$, see $\oint 26$ Remark 7.

Rew. 3. Some in $\mu \alpha$, G. $\alpha$ zos, often change their $\alpha$ into 0 , or


Rem. 4. In some contractions, especially such as are poetical, the form of the dative singular or the dative plural in chosen for

 form in $\varepsilon \varsigma$ (from os, G. $\varepsilon 0 \varsigma$ ), is a contraction of the last form, as

3. If the first word is a verb, its termination is most commonly formed in $\varepsilon$ with the characteristic of the verb unchanged, or in $\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, e. g.

 $\therefore$ from ṫveipo.
Here too the vowel is struck out before another vowel, as géо $\alpha \sigma \pi \iota, ~ \varrho \varrho i \psi \alpha \sigma \pi \iota s, \& c$.

- Rem. 5. The cases are more rare, in which $\boldsymbol{c}$ is used without
 assumes,an 0 , as in the case of those from $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$, as $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi o \tau \alpha \xi \iota o v$.

4. The indeclinable words remain unchanged in composition, with the exception of the changes which follow from the general rules, and such as in the case of prepositions are effected by eli-




Rew. 6. The preposition $\pi \rho o$ sometimes submits to a contrac-
 this the case with the augment, as $\pi \rho o v \delta o w x \alpha$ for $\pi \rho \frac{1}{} \delta 00 \times \alpha$.

Rem. 7. In the preposition $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$, elision does not take place. So also, sometimes, in $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \ell$, as $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi i \alpha \lambda o s, \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi i \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \xi$, from $\alpha \lambda \overline{ }$, ธั̃ọ.

Rem. 8. In dividing the syllables, the rule is, that if the preposition ends in a consonant, this consonant in the division belongs

 second syllable; it does the same even when the vowel suffers elision in the composition, as $\pi \alpha-\rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \omega, \dot{\alpha}-\pi \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \tilde{i} v$.
5. Of the inseparable particles the most important are $\delta v s-$, which denotes difficulty, hardship, and the like, (as סúsfaros difficult to tread on, dus $\delta a(\mu o v i \alpha$ contrary fate, ) and the so called $\alpha$ privativum, which is directly negative like the English in- and un-, and the
 this $\alpha$ commonly takes $v$, as $\dot{\alpha}$ vaitios innocenl from $\alpha i \tau i \alpha$.

Rem. 9. Yet several words beginning with a vowel, assume

 with a change in the accent from $\ddot{\alpha}^{2} \varepsilon c \gamma o s$.
6. In all compositions, if the second word begins with $\rho$, before which there is a short yowel, this $\rho$ according to $\S 21.2$, is


7. We have already seen (§ 25 ) in what cases the $\nu$, especialIy of the prepositions $\dot{t} \nu$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$, remains in the composition unchanged, or passes into another consonant, or is drapped altogether.

$$
\$ 121 .
$$

1. The form of the last part of a compounded word decides, whether the whole word is a verb, a noun, or a particle.
2. The most frequent compounded form of verbs, is that in which the verb remains unchanged, and preserves its own inflec. tion with the augment and termination. Strictly speaking this takes place only with the common prepositions, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi i, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha} v \tau d$,
 viné, vinó. Every similar union of the unchanged verb with real adverbs and other parts of speech, is considered merely as jaxtaposition of words, and they are therefore commonly written sep-

3. With other words besides these prepositions, and with all the particles which are always inseparable, verbs can be compounded only by submitting to a change in their own form; that is, there arise peculiar compouaded verbal forms with terminations of derivation, as $\dot{\epsilon} \omega, \dot{\alpha} \omega$ \&c. and here $\frac{a}{2}$ noun, compounded in a manner to be shown below (no. 4) usually lies at the foundation; as frome é $\rho$ yov and $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ comes épyodáßos, and from

efactor and hence eveeyereir to do good; from dos and $\dot{\alpha}$ péaxo
 when instead of $\varphi \varepsilon / \delta \varepsilon \sigma t \alpha c$ to spare, the negative idea not to spare, to neglect, with $\alpha$ privative is required, $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \iota_{\delta \varepsilon i v}^{\nu}$ is formed from the adjective $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} s$.

Rem. 1. If in such compounded words the verb appears unchanged, the cause lies in an accidental coincidence between the derived termination and that of the radical verb, as note'o make,




Rem. 2. In the same way verbs are sometimes compounded with prepositions, as $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \tau \iota \beta=\lambda \varepsilon i v y ~ f r o m ~ \dot{\alpha v \tau i \beta o j o s, ~ c o m p o u n d e d ~ o f ~}$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ and $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
4. Substantives are seldom so compounded as themselves to remain the leading idea unchanged; thus $\xi \in \dot{v} 0 \mathrm{~s}$ the grest, $\pi \rho^{\prime} \dot{o}^{\prime} \xi \varepsilon$ vos the public or the nation's guest; ofós the way, coming, ouvodos the coming together. Adjectives, on the contrary, by this kind of composition may be simply modified in signification, as $\pi \tau \sigma \tau \circ \dot{s}$ trust-
 dear.

Rem. 3. When an abstract substantive, as $\tau i \mu \dot{\eta}$ honour for example, is to be made negative in its signification (dishonour), an adjective, as $\alpha$ " $\tau \iota \mu o s$, is commonly first formed, and from this a new subetantive $\dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \mu \mathrm{la}$ (see na 7.)
6. In most compounded nouns, of which the last word is an unchanged noun or came from a noun, this indicates only the near or remote object of the proposition, which is contained in the whole, as decoubalpour (from $\triangle E I M$ and $\delta a l p o v$ the divinity) one who fears the gods, ä $\pi \alpha u s$ he who has no child, childless, - $\mu \alpha x \rho \sigma^{\prime} x \in \rho$ he who has a long hand, änouxos he who is removed from his own home, an exile \&c.-SSo also, with the assumption of a particular
 he who runs after feasts, zivoivibxos he who exercises'direct right
 he who has a bad character ( $\left.\tilde{\eta}^{3} \theta 0 s\right)$, $\Phi$ oiox ney (хюӥ $\mu, \chi_{\rho} \eta_{\mu}^{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) \&c.
6. Yet most frequently, when a compounded novn is formed by the aid of a verb, the verb takes the last place, and receives the termination of a noun; and then the preceding word contains either the definite idea or the object of the action of the verb;
 ishes horses. The simple termination os is in compositions of this sort the most common; besides this we have for substantives the terminations $\eta \rho$ and $\alpha \varsigma$ of the first declension (see the examples § 119.8. d); and for adjectives, $\eta s$ of the third, as $\varepsilon \dot{u} \mu a t \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ he who learns well; also the other terminations of nouns, mentioned

7. From all such first compositions, other words are again formed by derivation, as $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu i \alpha$, $\delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta \alpha \iota \mu 0 v i \alpha, \nu 0 \mu 0 \vartheta \varepsilon \sigma l a, \nu 0 \mu о \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \tau \iota-$ xós \&rc. and in like manner the compounded verbs mentioned in
 \&c.
8. Among the changes which sometimes take place in the second word in the composition, it is particularly to be observed, that the words which begin with short $\alpha$, or with $\varepsilon$ and $o$, very frequently assume an $\eta$ or $\omega$; yet this does not apply to verbs compounded with prepositions in the manner described in no. 2, but does apply to the nouns derived from the same, and also to verbs compounded in the second manner (no. 3); as isnixoos obedient


 over, change the second $o$ into $v$, as $\dot{\alpha} v \sigma^{\prime} v \nu \mu o s, ~ \varepsilon v^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \nu u \mu o s$ \&c.
9. In relation to the accent, the general rule is, that the ac-- cent of the simple word (according to the established analogy $\delta$ 23. 2. a.) is thrown by composition as far back as the nature of the accent will permit. So e. g. from téxvov, $\vartheta \varepsilon \delta^{\prime} s$, we have





Rew. 4. Words which are not themselves compounded, but are derived from compounded word, follow in their accent the general analogy of their terminations; thus the abstract verbals in $\dot{\eta}$

 $\sigma \mu j^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ from $\pi \rho o s \delta o x q \nu, \pi \rho o s \delta o x \eta i o s$. But when compositions are again made from these, the accent is thrown back, as $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho^{\prime} o \sigma d o{ }^{\prime}-$ xytos.

Rem. 5. Compounded words of which the first half is formed from a noun, the second from a transitive verb, with the simple termination os. (not cos, vos, and the like) usually have, when their signification is active, the accent on the verb; but when passive, on the syllable preceding the 'verb. E. g.

גıधoßólos throwing stones.
גicóßolos thrown at with stones.

## PART II.

## SYNTAX.

$\$ 122$.

1. The syntar teaches the use of the parts of speech, whose formation has been shown in the preceding part of the grammar, in the following order, viz. noun, verb, particle, as stated above § 31 .
2. We shall accordingly treat of, 1st. The noun in itself, and connected with other kindred forms; 2d. The noun in connexion; 3d. The verb; 4th. Particles; 5th. Phrases and constructions of a more complicated character.
§ 123. the noun.
3. Every thing joined to the substantive of the nature of an adjective-whether adjective, participle, pronoun, or articlemust agree with it in gender, number, and case.

Rewarm. In the Attic dialect, however, the feminine dual commonly is joined with masculine adjectives, as $\alpha^{\prime} \mu \varphi \rho \omega$ zovizo ra' $\mu \varepsilon-$

2. The adjective is often found without any substantive, with which it may agree, the substantive having been omitted, or being easy to be supplied by the mind. In this case the adjective is said to be used substantively. E. g. of oopós the wise man, $\tilde{\eta}^{\circ}$ ävvd@os sc. $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ the desert, $\eta^{\circ} \dot{o}^{\circ} \rho \theta{ }^{\prime}$ sc. ofós the straight road, oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o l$ the multitude, $\tau \alpha^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha^{\prime} m y$ property. So also the pronouns ovizos, żxeivos, $\pi i s$, szc.
§ 124. THE' PREṔOSITIVE ARTICLE.

1. When the substantive is represented as a definite object, it regularly takes the prepositive article $\dot{0}^{\dot{\prime}}, \dot{\eta}$, tó, the.
2. The indefinite article of modern languages is notexpressed in Greek. When, however, an indefinite object is to be distinctly pointed out as an individual, the pronoun $\tau i s, \tau i$, is made use of. E. g. yuví tes öpver eİzev a certain woman had a ken.
3. Proper names receive the article, as ó Eaxpórtys, ai $217^{2}$ ${ }^{3} \mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\theta} \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$. It is however very often omitted, and always, when a more precise distinction with an article follows, as इouxpaicvs : griócoqos.

Rem. 1. The Greeks use the article in many cases where the modern languages do not, and also often omit it, although the object is definite.-With possessive pronouns, however, the use is invariable; thus oos doujos, (like dov ${ }^{\prime}$ ós $\sigma 0 v$ ) can mean only a slave of thee; ${ }^{\circ}$ oos doülos (like ó doũhós cou) means thy slave.

Rim. 2. In the older dialect, $\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$, tó was rather a demonstrative pronoun (see below $\$ 126$ ), and the substantives for the most part stond without the article, where we use the, as they do always in Latin. The more recent common dialect also frequently omits it.

$$
\text { § } 125 .
$$

1. The article is very often divided from its substantive, not only by the adjective, (as í $\mu \dot{\prime} \gamma \alpha s \beta \alpha \sigma c \lambda s v_{s}^{\prime}$ the great king, oi vićoxovtes wó $\mu$ ac the existing laws,) but also by'other qualifications
 bered the time passed in insanity. Often a participle, like $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ \&c.-may in these' phrases be supplied by the mind; e. g. by him before he reigned.
2. When the qualification thus interposed begins again with an article, two and even three articles may stand in this way together, if no cacophony ensue; e.g.
3. These qualifications of the substantive may for greater
emphasis or clearness come after, in which case the article is usually repeated, and with participles must be repeated; e. g.

चồ $\pi \alpha i \not \partial \alpha$ zò̀ oòv thy son.
 who is to bring the despatches.

Rix. 1. The repetition of the article is necessary with the participle; for otherwise the phrase becomes what is called the participial construction, which occurs very frequently in Greek, and will be explained below in $\S 145$.

Rex. q. When the adjective without an article stands before the article of the substantive, the object is thereby distinguished not from others, but from itself under other qualifications, as $\eta$ 放ero emi $\pi$ dovoiots tois nodicats signifies, not ' he rejoiced in the rich citizens,' but, he rejoiced in the citizens being rich, or inasmuch as
 they are highest i. e. quite up the mountains; ölचv $\tau \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ vixra the whole night.
4. When the substantive is understood from the connexion, it is often omitted, and the article stands alone with the qualification,
 friend.

Rem. 3. Here foo are to be noticed certain standing omissions, as in the case of the adjective § 123.2. E. g.

o Ewquovioxov the son of Sophroniscus, i. e. Socrates.


See \$128. 2.
oi ėv ánotel the people in the city.
$\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \Pi \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \nu i \alpha \nu$ the affairs of Pausanias.
$\tau \alpha$ zís rò $\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda \varepsilon \mu о \nu$.
oí $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \beta \tilde{\beta} \beta \sigma c \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{i}$.
5. As every qualification, though indeclinable in itself, may be declined by aid of the article, adverbs without farther change are converted into adjectives by its being joined to them, as from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v}$ between comes ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mu \tau \tau \xi \dot{v}$ vótos the intervening place ; from $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a s$ near, ai $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda u s x^{\omega} \mu \alpha a c$ the neighbouring villages.


$\dot{\eta}^{2}$ ävo nólıs the upper city.


. Or so, that the adverb with a repetition of the article follows,
 from this excessive negligence.

Rem. 4. If in this case the substantive, which suggests itself from the context or the idea itself, be omitted, the adverb acquires the character of a substantive, as from aṽolov tomorrow, by the
 Lydian mode, (apuovia being omitted); oi tóre the men of that age;
 may be regarded as omitted; although in the case of a neuter article, it is neither necessary nor possible always to supply a particular substantive.

Rem. 5. By another peculiarity, the article $\tau o ́$, with whatever 219 it is attached to, becomes adverbial, some word being omitted, as
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\circ}$ voù $\delta \varepsilon$ from henceforth. Compare § 131. 8 .
6. From all these cases, in which various parts of speech and even phrases acquire the character of substantives, by virtue of ' the remaining article of an omitted idea, are to be distinguished two cases, in which such words and phrases become substantives, by virtue of an article peculiar to themselves, viz.
 the speaking ill, $\ddot{\eta}^{\delta} 0 \mu \alpha \iota \tau \tilde{\sim} \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon i v ~ I ~ t a k e ~ p l e a s u r e ~ i n ~ w a l k-~$ ing. How extensive this use of the infinitive is in the Greek language will appear from § 141.
2) Every word and phrase, which is itself considered as a
 uses the maxim, know thyself.
§ 126. of $\dot{o}, \dot{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime}$, and ös, $\ddot{\eta}, \ddot{o}$, as demonstrative.

1. Not only the prepositive article $\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}, \tau \dot{0}$, but the postpositive ös, $\ddot{\eta}, 0 \ddot{0}$, were in the elder dialect used as demonstrative pronouns for ovizos or éxeivos, which neage remáined particularly in the language of epic poetry.
. 2. The same usage also remained in the common language in certain cases, particularly in the division and distinction of objects. In this case, $\dot{\delta} \mu \not z^{\prime} v$ commonly stands first, and afterwards once or
oftener of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}$, thio-that ; or (when speaking of indefinite objects) the one-the other-another, \&c. through all genders and numbers, e.g. zòv $\mu \dot{v} v \dot{E x i \mu} \alpha$, tòv $\delta E$ ovi, he honours this one, that one not.
 the other insame.

 at dice, some drank, some exercised themselves.



Isocrates says of the Athenians, who, on account of the excessive population, were sent to settle colonies, that in





 cities of Greece and reinslating the exiles in others. Dmoothenes.
2. In narration $\dot{\delta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime}$, is often used only once with $\delta \dot{\delta}$, in reference to an object already named, as $o d z \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon} \bar{\pi} \varepsilon$, but he said; $\tau \eta \nu$ $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ (accusative with infinitive) but that she went away.

Rem. 2. When persons are spoken of as the subject, such a clause may be connected by $x \alpha l$, in which case in the nominative the postpositive article ó, $\dot{\eta}$, ol, $\alpha \ell$, but in the accusative (with the


 $x \in \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ doũvail and that he commanded to give it him.

## § 127. pronouns and the adjective tãs.

1. The three chief meaninga of the pronoun aivors (see § 74. 2.) are to be distinguished ayf fullows.
I. 4 s signifies self:
a) When it belongs to another now so as ta be in a sort of apposition with it, that is, after the nous, or before ite article,

 wished to slay the king himself.
b) When it stands for myself, himself, \&c. the personal pronoun beling omitted, the context shows. In this way especlally it in ueed in the nominative, as aúrois épy he himself has said it ; $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \in \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ autros I myself went; and in the oblique cases only when they begin a clause, as auivòv $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ eitoov for I sow him myself.
II. It is thsed instead of the simple pronom of the third person only in the oblique cases; and in this signification can stand
 gave them fire; oúx éépaxas avizóv hast thou not seen him? nò dé-
 Fates, and he naited it.—See also no. 6.
III. When the article immediately precedes it, it means the
 soceiv he commaididd $k$ inn to do the oume thing. Cerrapare § 133. 2.
 3.) the pronoun autós loses its peculiar power. Aútòv of meians thee thyself, but deautóv merely thee, as a reflected pronoun, as z̈Ouse oavzóv accustom thyself. It is also ased, like the Latin se, to refer back to the first subject of two connected clauses, as voml-
 sorve him. In this dase the simple aútov, as also the simple $E$, ( $\alpha \tilde{v}, \boldsymbol{s c} . \sigma \varphi r i \tilde{s}, \sigma \Phi \tilde{c}_{s}$ ) may equally be used.
2. The indefinite pronoun tics is used in the same sense as the
 $\ddot{\alpha} v \tau c ̧$ ev̈poo, even where it is applied to a whole assembly or col-
 put himselff formard.

Remari. The neuter $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ often passes into a particle of limitation, in some degree, hence oürt, $\mu \eta{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathrm{c}$, not at all.
4. "Aldos, without the article, is equivalent to the Latin alius, another ; Eztegos, without the article, has the same signification, but with a stronger expression of diversity; $o$ érepos, on the other hand, is used only when two are spoken of, and is the Latin alter,

doc the othera, ceteri, the rest. Oi ízepoc implies a more distinct ref. erence to a division into two parts, as it were, the other party. The singular ó $\alpha$ äl part in contrast with it, as $\eta^{\prime} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\omega} \omega^{\prime} \rho \alpha$ the rest of the land.
5. The most of the pronouns, and the adjectives $\pi \tilde{\alpha}_{\varsigma}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \pi \alpha \varsigma$ stand commonly before the article or after the sabitantive, as
 ve $\tau \alpha u ́ r \dot{\eta} \nu$ he suffered this punishnent, $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon ร$ oi "Eadךves all the
 without the article commonly stands for ëxactos, as $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho$ each man.
6. The possessives of the third person (ős, $\sigma \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \ell \rho 0 \varsigma$ ) are but little used. Instead of them use is made of the genitives of the
 property. Also of the two other persons, the genitive is often ased instead of the possessive, but in the singolar number only the enclitic genitive, as ó viós $\mu 0 v m y$ son. As soon, however, as any emphasis is required, the possessive alone can be used. Bat to this is sometimes added a genitive, by a sort of apposition, as duap-
 the miserable. But most commonly, the possessive is altogether omitted in ideas that always stand in necessary connexion, as $f a$ ther, son, friend, master, hand, foot, \&cc. and its place is supplied by the article alone.

## § 128. of the neuter adjective.

1. The neuter of all words of the adjective kind stands withont a substantive, or as such, for every object conceived or represented as indefinite ; and particularly, as in Latin, extensive use is made of the neuter plural, e. g.

عime $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \alpha \alpha$ he said this (these things).
$\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ the beautiful (that is, all beautiful things.)
 the necessaries of life.
 general, what concrens me.
2. The neuter singular on the other hand, expresses more distinctly the abstract idea of the objects, e.g.
rò zadóv the beautiful, in the abstract.
rò $\theta$ ciov the divinity, and also every divine nature indistinctly conceived.
 ject nature of woman.
Rman. 1. The neuter of the article standing alone with the genitive ( $\$ 125$ Rem. 3.) is still more indefinite, and sigDifies only a
 $x \alpha i$ лóvev どбтa८, in respect to money, you are particularly desirous of
 necessary to bear what comes from the gods.

Rem. 2. Of the neuter adjéctive as an adverb, see above in § . , 115.4, 5.

> THE NOUN. IN CONNEXION.
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## § 129. SUBJECT AND PREDICATE.

1. The nominative of the neuter plural is generally joined with a verb singular, e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \propto ’ \text { §Ñ } \alpha \text { т } \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon!\text { animals run. } \\
& \text { т } \alpha \tilde{u} \tau \alpha^{\prime} \text { ह̇otev } \alpha^{\alpha} y \alpha \theta \alpha^{\prime} \text { this is good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

> increased.
> हैँore taüta this is, i. e. this is true.
> $\tau \omega \tilde{c}$ ทí $\mu \boldsymbol{i v}$ in our power).
2. When the adjective, being a predicate, is separated from the substantive, it is often neuter, though the substantive be masculine or feminine, and singular, though the substantive be plural ; the object, in this case, being considered as a thing in general, and the word thing being easily supplied.


3. As the dual is not a necessary number ( $\oint 33.2$ ), every sentence which speaks of two, may not only be wholly in the plural, but in the same clause a plural verb may be joined to a dual noun and vice versa, and different predicates or references to the subject may, as euphony dictates, be either dual or plural.

4．The subject，as in Latin，is commonly omitted where it is known of course from the vetb or the connexion，and no strese is laid on it ；and where，in the modern languages，its place is sup－ plied by the personal pronouns．

Rax．1．The subject thus omitted may however be in apposi－
 suanovqüцac aúroïs，that is，and $I$ ，the son of Maice the daughter of Atlas，zwait on them．

Ram．2．The subject is also omitted，where the verb expresses an action usually performed by said subject，as $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi i \zeta z t$ or $\sigma u \mu \alpha i-$ ves the trumpeter gives a signal．This usage also prevails where we supply it，and mean an operation of nature or of circumstances，e．g． vea it rains．
$\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ it announces itself（as in the air．） ed＇クं $\lambda \omega 0 \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ and so it showed itrelf．
Rem．3．What are commonly called impersonals，that is，verbs that belong to no subject or person，are different from the forego－ ing．In them the subject is not，as in the foregoing，left in obscu－ rity，but the action，to which they refer，whether expressed by an infinitive or another dependent clause，is the true subject of such verbs，whose peculiarity therefore consists in this alone，that their subject is not a noun（as an infinitive with the article is also regar－
 away is lawful to me．Of this kind are $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}, \chi \varrho \tilde{\eta}, \alpha \pi \circ \chi \rho \eta$ ，doxe亢 （see all these in the list of anomalous verbs），roénec it becomer， zvdzžtac it is possible，\＆zc．

5．When the nominative stands without the verb，some part of eivac is commonly to be supplied，e．g．

```
"EDAそv द̇za' I am a Greek.
\(\tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu\) glialy nocvá.
```



```
        avうo.
```



```
        ovirws \(\begin{gathered} \\ \text { ? }\end{gathered}\).
```

    § 130. THE OBJECT - OBLIQUE CASES.
    1．The object of an action，or that on which any action is exerted or to which it refers，must be either in the genitive，da－ tive，or accusative case ；and these three are called oblique ca－ ses．
9. The inenediate objebs of a transitive verb, that on which the action is exerted, is usually in the accusative case, as $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ciarido I take the shield; the remote object which is found together with the accusative and also after an intranoitive verb, is joined with a preposition, ás $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega \tau \dot{\gamma} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi l \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \tau 0 \tilde{v} \pi \alpha \sigma-$
 I stand on the ground.
3. Of such relations as form a remote object, those which most frequently recur are usually expressed by a case only; and in Greek, all three of the oblique cases are used in this manner.
4. Yet languages which have a genitive and dative, differ from one another in this respect, and a preposition is often used in the one, where the other uses only a case.

Rew. 1. When in Greek a relation is expressed by a case mereIy, withput a preponition, it must by no means be inferred, that a preponitign was ever used in such casea and afterwards omitted for the atere of krewity.
5. In the ancient languages, both the near and the remote objeet, when mention of them has already been made, and the relation of the verb to them is sufficiently clear, are very frequently omitted (just as in other instances the subject of the verb, or the possessive § 127.6); and in this manner the excessive use of pronouns is avoided.
 $\sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi o \lambda i ̃ \tau \alpha \iota$ (here $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \eta \nu$ is understood before $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi\end{gathered} \iota \nu \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$.)
 ing to take the command of the army dudóuouv oi Maxedaunovion


Yet the pronouns may be expressed, whenever emphasis or:harmony can thus be gained.

Rem. 2. Another case of the omission of the object, is that of the reflective pronoun éavzóv, ż $\mu a z \tau$ ón \&rc. which occurs or may be ascumed, wherever a verb atherwise transitive in its signification, becomes intransitive in certain connections; as, for example, several compounds of ${ }^{\prime} y^{\prime} y z t v$ to lead, in which the intransitive idea to go provaile, yet with ais ellusion to a train or mulfitude, as ägs-

way, as the tyrant drew near (as it were, moved himself forwards). Such cases arevexplained in the lexicon; yet it is to be observed, that the omission of $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o v$ is not always to be presumed, since it is frequently-more correct to suppose that the verb had originally the immediate as well as the causative signification (according to $\S$ 113. 5), as in ó $\rho \mu$ quv to hasten and to impel.

## § 131. accusative.

1. The most obvious use of the accusative, as designating the near or immediate object ( $\S$ 130. 2) needs no further explanation, and we therefore limit ourselves to the cases, in which the usage of the Greek differs from that of other languages.

Rem. 1. The cases in which the noun appears as the near object of the verb in the Greek language and not in others, must be
 where we'say, he swore by the gods. Other verbs which in Greek take an accusative as the near object and in English are govemed by a preposition, are $\lambda \alpha \nu \psi^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon \iota y$ ( $\tau เ \nu \alpha$ ) to lie concealed from, $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \delta^{\prime} \delta \rho \rho \alpha \sigma x \in \iota \nu(\tau \iota \nu \alpha)$ to escape from.
2. Intransitive verbs are sometimes used transitively, and are
 the fountains flow milk and honey.
3. Intransitive verbs govern an accusative of the noun, which expresses the abstract of the verb, e.g.
xuviuvzúaw zoũzov tòv xivduvov I will incur this danger.
$\zeta \tilde{\eta}$ piov ${ }^{\circ} \delta \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ he lives a most pleasant life.




4. The Greeks also use the accusative in many phrases, for that which, according to the nature of the thought and the words, is the remote object. Thus the near object of noleiv is the action,
 whom something is done or said; nevertheless, the Greeks always
 speak ill of any one, to slander him. There are some verbs which permit either of the two relations to be used as the near object;
as in English to fold, e. g. he folds himself (in the cloak), and he folds the cloak (round himself.)
5. From these two cases the peculiarity of the Greek usage is to be explained, that all such verbs have both these relations in the accusative case. In other words: Many verbs, especially such as signify to do, to speak', to clothe, to deprive, to beg, to ask, fce. govern two accusatives, of which the one usually denotes the person, the other the thing ; e. g.

 service.
 probity.


viтodisiv tiva x $\alpha \rho \beta \alpha \tau i v \alpha s$ to put coarse shoes upon a person.
öv $\tau \eta \nu \nu \psi u \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \propto \propto \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$ from whom he huth taken life.

тоט乌 $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu i o v s ~ \tau \eta े \nu \nu \alpha \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$.


6. The nown signifying the part, circumstance, or object, of which any thing is affirmed, is put in the accusative, e. g.
xadós éove tò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ he is comely in person.
лódas ${ }^{3} x \dot{v}^{\prime} s$ swift of foot.
Toveiv rà $\sigma x$ én $\eta$ to suffer in the legs.

$\vartheta \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o s, ~ i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ \pi o \lambda z ́ \mu o v ~ a d m i r a b l e ~ i n ~ w a r l i k e ~ a f f a i r s . ~$
इ'uos, ${ }^{3} \nu$ t $\eta \nu \nu \pi \alpha \pi \rho i \delta \alpha$ he was a Syrian as to his country.

Rem. 2. This is the Greek construction so familiar to the Latin poets, as os humerosque deo similis. Sometimes a preposition, as xot $\dot{\alpha}$, is actually expressed to govern one of the accusatives; and as a preposition must commonly be supplied in English, the learner is often taught to say, that one of these accusatives is governed by a preposition understood. It is so common a construction, however, that it ought to be taught as a principle of the language. Compare § 130 Rem. 1. § 134 Rem. 3.
7. The accusative of the pronown is found in this way with verbs, which would not admit a similar accusative of the noun, e. $\mathbf{g}$ :

 thee.
лóvica evidaupoveiv to be happy in all things.
Rem. 3. To the two preceding rules are to be referred instancos of the double accueative, like the following, zivixnor vois $\beta$ aco$\beta \dot{\alpha} \rho a u s$ tiv̀ हैv Ma@aivaive $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta v$ he conquered the barbarians in
 $\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu z{ }^{\prime} \delta l \times \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ he has injured me in many respects.

For the accusative joined to the passive and middle, see below $\oint 134,135$.
8. The noun expressing duration of time or measure of dis tance, is put in the accusative, e. g.

$x \alpha \vartheta \tilde{\eta} \nu \tau 0$ हैv Maxedovia réis ódous $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha s_{s}$ they remained in Macedonia three whole months.


Rem. 4. Certain adjectives and pronominals of the neuter gender, standing in the midst of a clause and rendered in other languages adverbially or with a preposition, are put in the accusative case, e.g.

 ed but could not.
 $\mu \varepsilon v$; but do we, according to the proverb, come after the feast?
 öve $\begin{gathered}\text { dos, but, as the poet saith, no labour is a reproach. }\end{gathered}$

## § 132. GEnftive.

1. The most familiar use of the genitive with another substantive belongs to the Greek as to other languages. Those uses of the genitive are accordingly given here, which are more peculiar to the Greek, especially those in which it is united with verbs, adjectives, and adverbs.
2. The genitive includes in its leading signification, the idea of the prepositions of and from.
3. The genitive is used in the following cases, viz.
a) With most verbs signifying to liberate, to reatain, to cease, to differ ; e. g.

๙ंscidóctreay ravò vóvov to free ome frome a disease.

$\pi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau ו \nu \alpha$ jovav to cause one to coase from his troubles.



 differs in nothing fram a good father. (With respect to ovdév see § 131. 7.)
b) The genitive is used in all expressions implying choice, exception, and part, viz.
(1) With adjectives and pronouns by which the object is dis- 227
tinguished from others, e.g.

ovidsis 'Eldinvouv not orte of the Greeks.

 $\hat{\eta}$ dovizu'ョw. See also the above mentioned example ( $\$$ 130.5) हiv $\dot{y} \delta^{\circ} \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varphi v \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ in which of the (different) tribes, i. e. in which tribe.
Particularly .with all superlatives, e. g.
 maladies.
 xai \&v̉vovs.
(2) In statements of time and place, given as parts of a larger duration or extension, e. g.

ofote rov žious at what time in the year?
xat' éxeivo routooũ at that point of time.
 ubi terrarum? )
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi 0 \tilde{v} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \alpha \tilde{s}$ every where in the market.
$\pi o ́ \rho \varrho(\tau \tilde{\eta} s$ ทiגıxias advanced in years.
(3) Wherever any thing is limited to a part, as $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau i \operatorname{\mu o\iota } \tau \omega \tilde{\nu}$
 idea of somewhat or a part can be supplied, e. g.
z̈ $\delta \omega x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma q \iota \tau \dot{\tilde{\omega}} \nu \chi \varrho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ I have given thee of $m y$ wealth.
(4) The notn expressive of the thing eaten, drunken, enjoyed, profited of, in the most general sense, e. g.
 nify to devour the flesh, viz. all of it ; and rivecv íd we may mean, to be a water-drinker.)
 ovivaб才ai tuvos to profit of any thing.
c) The material of which any thing is made, is in the genitive, even if the idea of to make is expressed; e.g.
 évòs $\lambda_{i} \hat{\vartheta}$
d) Quality or circunstance is put in the genitive, e.g.


4. The following classes of words also take the genitive, viz.
a) Adjectives derived from verbs take the object of the verb in the genitive; e.g.
 tovós understanding in a thing.
 tuvos fit for the investigation of a thing. oi $\pi \varrho \alpha x \tau \iota x 0 i \tau \omega i \nu ~ \delta \iota x \alpha i \omega \nu$ (from $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta i x \alpha<\alpha$ ).
b) Words expressive of abundance or want, value or worthless-- ness, e.g.

## 


$\delta \varepsilon i \tilde{\sigma} \vartheta \alpha \kappa$ र@ $\eta \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \hat{\nu}$ to be in zwant of money. Hence, also, when $\delta \varepsilon i \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ means $b e g$, it governs the genitive of the person, as $\delta \tilde{i} \sigma \theta=a \iota$ tuvós to beg any one that-

c) Verbs of the following significations, viz.
 $\lambda \alpha \nu \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
care for, admire, and despise, as $\boldsymbol{x} \dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha i \operatorname{tuvos}$ to care for some

spare, $甲 \varepsilon i \delta \delta \sigma \vartheta \alpha i \tau<\nu \rho s$.
desire, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \mathcal{s} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \vartheta v \mu \varepsilon \dot{i} \nu$. To this class belongs the verb
zeãv revos to love, with the primitive idea of desire; but



accuse, condemn, x $\alpha \tau \eta \gamma 0 \rho \varepsilon i v$, x $\alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma x \varepsilon} \imath \nu$.
All this, however, is not without various exceptions and limitations, since several of these verbs may have the accusative even in the same relation.
d) Most verbs expressive of the senses (except of sight), e.g.


 áxovom raıdiov xiaiovzos I hear a weeping child.*:
e) Especially is the genitive governed by the comparative degree, e. g.
$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ ! $\mu 0$ ṽ greater than $I$.



Rrm. 2. The more full construction of the comparative is that with $\ddot{\eta}$, the Latin quam (see $\S 150$ ), which, however, is only used where the genitive cannot stand.
5. In the following and other more remote references like them, the genitive case is used, although it is common to say that it is governed by a preposition, or other part of speech, understood.
a) The more particular qualification of a genefal expression, made in English by the phrase in respect of, e. g.
 kiti.

 סaбvs dévסן
Under this head should be reckoned the genitives for which zvexa on account of, is usually supplied, as evdacmovi弓a $\sigma \varepsilon$ coũ тро́тov I esteem thee happy on account of thy disposition.
b) The price of a thing, where $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ may be supplied, e.g. $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \tilde{\eta}{ }^{\circ} \alpha^{\prime} \gamma o \rho \alpha \dot{\zeta} \varepsilon \iota v$ t८ to bry a thing for a drachm.

[^67]c) The time wher, if indefinite and protracted, e. g.

 many days.
 ten' thousand years.
Ruw. 3. Verbs signifying to take hold of, govers the genitive of that part by which the whole is taken hold of $f_{3}$ e. $g$.
 the foot, the hand.
zที่s rscuos ä're lead him by the (his) hasuch
tov $\lambda \dot{v} x o v \tau \omega \nu \omega \tau \pi \omega v$ xpecteil I hold the wolf by the ears.
This, therefore, must not be confounded with dafein zavax $\chi$ lipi to seize one with the hand.

Rem. 4. Sometimes the omission of the idea on which the genitive depende, is very obvioun, as in the following phrises.
 man. ovं $\pi \alpha v i o s$ sives not to be the part of evory one.


## § 133. mative.

The dative case, the idea of which is properly the reverse of the genitive, is regularly used in all expressione where the idea of approach lies at the foumdation, and in consequence, in most of those where we supply the prepositions to and for. E. g. doävar
 roes wópross to be obedient to the lawes, tre.
2. The dative case is also used in expressions like the following, viz.
a) Of uniting or meeting, e. g. $\dot{\delta} \mu \subset \lambda \varepsilon i v$ tuv! to associate with any one. $\mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha i$ teve to fight with any one.
b) Of equality, e. g . ö $\mu$ owos riva like any one.
Hence oc osicás the same, govepas the dative, e. g.

And this even when the reference is direct, as $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ бoc I suffer the same the same things as thou.

c) Of benefit or injury, e. g.
 for the advantage of Menelaus.
3. The dative is also used to express 1) the instrument, 2) the manner, 3) the cause, and 4) the fixed time, è. g.

1) $\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha i \quad \tau \iota \nu \iota$ to make use of a thing. $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ § $\alpha \dot{\beta} \delta \omega$ to strike roith a stick. $\sigma \mu i \lambda \eta, \pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \iota \eta \mu \dot{y} \nu 0 \nu$ made with a knife.


доо́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \alpha \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ he came running.


xapuecv vóas icvi to labour with a disease.
बंגуiv atvi to suffer pain at any thing.
$\tau \varepsilon ่ \vartheta \nu \eta x \in \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \pi \lambda \eta \xi i q$, he died of apoplexy.


231
 the council.

## OF THE VERB.

§ 134. THE PASSIVE VOICE.

1. As in treating of the noun in connexion, the influence of the verb in its first and simple form, that is, in the active voice, was sufficiently explained; it remains here only to investigate the use of the passive and middle.
2. The passive, from its nature, has as its subject in the nominative, that which followed the active voice as the near object in the accusative. The subject or nominative of the active voice now becomes that from wohich I suffer; and hence if it is expressed, the passive voice is followed by the genitive (with the preposition $\dot{\psi} \pi \delta^{\prime}$ ) of what was the subject of the active voice, $\dot{e} . \dot{g}$.


Rem. 1. Often instead of $\boldsymbol{v} \pi \dot{o}^{\prime}$; the preposition $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$, is used
with the genitive, as $\pi \varrho \dot{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\vartheta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ to be served by all. Magó is also sometimes used in the same sense.

Rem. 2. The dative also not unfrequently stands after the passive voice, without any preposition ; e. g.
 affairs of the city were not conducted by them for their own advantague. Demostrenes.

Most commonly this takes place with the Perf. Pass. as $x \alpha-$ $\lambda \tilde{\omega}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \varepsilon x \tau \alpha i$ ooc it has been well spoken by thee.
3. When the active (according to $\$ 131.5$ ) governs two accusatives, the one of the person and the other of the thing, the passive often governs the accusative of the thing; e. g :
oi $\pi \alpha \tilde{\delta} \delta \varepsilon s$ dı $\delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma x=\nu \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \omega \varrho \varrho \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ the children are taught discretion.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \forall \varepsilon i s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ deprived of the sovereignty.
4. In such instances, the accusative of the person in the active voice beçomes the subject of the passive, and the accusative of the thing remains as the object of the passive. Further, the remote object of the active, expressed in the dative, often becomes the nominative of the passive, and the accusative of the active remains as the ob-
 to entrust to Sogrates the decision, we have in the passive,
 with the decision.



 means to tear out.)
Rem. 3. The accusative with the passive in no. 4, may often be referred to xará understood, in the manner mentioned in $\oint$ 131.6, as $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \tau о \mu \alpha \iota \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$. - In other instances, the verb, even in the passive voice (as in the active, according to § 131.3,) governs an accusative containing as a noun the idea of the verb, so that something more definite, as an adjective, may be added to


Rem. 4. As the verbal adjectives in tós and $\tau$ źos are of the nature of the passive voice ( $\oint 102$ ), they commonly have the subject of the active voice in the dative case, according to Rem. 2 above; e.g.


Yet very commonly the dative, when it is general in its nature, is omitted; e. g.

The neuter of the verbal in $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \rho$, both with and without $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$, corresponds to the Latin gerund in dum, (faciendum est,) e. g. $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ ло८ทีéov $\mu \circ \iota$, all this must I do.



## § 135. midde voice.

1. In explaining the use of the Middle Voice, it is necessary to distinguish between Middle in form and Middle in signification; for the usage of the Greek language is by no means regular, in discriminating between the significations of the Passive and Middle Voioes, even in those tenses, which have a separate form for each voice; so that under the name Middle, we cannot always consider both form and signification at the same time. In the syntax, a true middle has always a middle signification with a passive form.

Rem. 1. This statement includes of course also the passive aorist of all those verbs, where it has a middle signification. See below.
2. That the leading signification of the Middle Voice is the reflective, and that this arises naturally from the signification of the passive, has been shown above in $\oint 89$. 1. The proper reflective Signification, moreover, is that in which the subject of the verb is also its nearest object, and stands in the accusative with the active voice, as $\lambda o v i \omega$ tıvá I roash any one, $\lambda o \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \iota$ Pass. I am washed, Mid. I wash myself, that is, I bathe. So also $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\prime} y \chi \in \iota \nu, \alpha^{\prime} \pi \alpha^{\prime} y \xi \alpha$. $x \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ to strangle any one, to hang, Mid. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \xi \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ to hang one's self. So $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ' \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \chi \varepsilon i v$, to restrain, Mid. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha$, $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \sigma \chi \dot{\delta} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \ell$, to restrain one's self, i. e. refrain. This true reflective meaning of the Middle Voice prevails, bowever, in but very few verbs; principally in those which express some familiar corporeal actions like dress, sheer, crozon, \&c. All other verbs, when
the reflective sense is to be expressed, require the pronoun

3. The reflective sense of a verb may often more conveniently be stated as a new simple intransitive signification, so that the middle voice of many verbs becomes an intransitive; as otéd $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \gamma$
 to rest, $\pi \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha t$ to put one's self to rest i. e. to cease; $\pi \lambda \alpha \zeta^{\prime} \varepsilon \iota \nu$ to
 any one, $\varepsilon \dot{u} \omega \chi \varepsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon$ to revel.
4. But, on the other hand, the middle often becomes a true transitive verb. This is particularly the case where the active voice has two objects; as żvoúsıv rıvà $\chi \iota \tau \omega ̃ \nu a$ to clothe one in a robe, Mid. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \sigma \iota \chi \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha$ to put on a robe (on one's self.) Hence the following rule, viz.

The middle voice often governs the accusative precisely as the active voice governs it; e.g.

to carry one's self over, i. e. to pass, which middle verb, thus signifying actively to pass, governs its accusative, as $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha c o u ̈$ --00w zov Tirper to pase the Tigris.
$\varphi 0 \beta \varepsilon i v \tau \iota v \alpha$ to affight any one, $\varphi 0 \beta \varepsilon i \tilde{\sigma} \vartheta \vartheta_{c}$ (to affright one's self), that is, to fear. Accordingly goßziofac rove $\forall$ zou's to fear the gods.
 one's oron hair ; and, since this is an action of mourning, zid-- $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma t \alpha l$ reva signifies to mourn for any one by tearing the hair.
5. When the active governs two objects, the middle often retains one in the accusative; e. g.
$\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \quad 5 \omega^{\prime} \nu \eta v$ to unfasten one's girdle.


Among middle verbs of this class also, there are many from which a new simple and transitive sense arises, as $\pi 0 g i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ to procure something for one's self, i. e. to acquire.
6. Sometimes, when the active governs two accusatives, the middle retains both, with the addition of the reflective sense; e.g. wireo ve roüro I ask thee this (without its being defined whether for my own sake or another's.)
$\alpha i \tau 0 \tilde{u} \mu \alpha i$ бe roũto I ask thee thie for myself.
7. In general any remote reference of the action to the subject may be expressed by the middle voice; e. $g$.
 drase into myself.
 $\sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\bullet} \eta I$ weep for $m y$ own sufferings.
$\sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi 0 \nu \pi+\varepsilon$ sívil $\tau \tau \nu \alpha$ to make some person one's ally.
x $\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ gúhaxas to place guards for one's own seke.
a'pen it to raise any thing, a"psoval zo the same, bat only when it is raised for one's own use.
zúgiбxal I find, súpioxo $\mu \alpha \iota$ I find for my own use, i. e. I obtain, nanciscor.
incodsdscyu'vos xivo nowngiar he who has shown his oun malice.
8. The middle voice governs the accusative actively, signifying causation; thus xeloo $\alpha$ a I shave snyself, but also I lat myself be shaved; (the passive xaןŋ̈vab has only a passive meaning).This too implies á more remote relation; e. g.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau / \vartheta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \iota \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \varepsilon \zeta_{\sim} \alpha \nu$ I cause a table to be set before me.
$\mu \iota \sigma \hat{o}$
סL $\delta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha c$ sov yióv to cause to teach one's son.*
 I have caused him to be condemned i. e. I have gained a lawsuit against him.
Rem. 2. The middie voice is oflen eatirely active in its use and signification, without any trace of the reflective meaning; e.g.

trapézecv and ruot'zeotect to furmish, afford.
Of two or more meanfngs belonging to a vert, ore, though equally active, is often appropriated oaly to the middtle voice; in which case great care ought to be taken to avoid confusion ; e. g. aipzir to take, aipeĩoӨal to choose.
Rmu. 2. The middle voice often expresses a reciprocal or
 take counsel with one another ; deadúscv to reconcile (others), סsadúsofas to be reconoiled with each other.

* This is perhapá rather a rhetorical than a grammatical use. We say in English he cultivates a large farm, meaning he causes to cultivate; or applying the verb not to the instrumental bat to the remote performance of the action.

1. It was observed above (§89) that the forms, which compose the middle voice, are generally the present and imperfect, the perfect and pluperfect of the passive, and an aorist and future peculiar to the middle.
2. The aorist middle accordingly has neither in form nor meaning any connexion with the passive. Nevertheless in many verbs the aorist passive has also a middle signification; e.g.
x $\alpha \tau \alpha \times \lambda i \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha 6$ Mid. to lay one's self down. Aor. pass. xate-- xגiӨŋン I lay myself down.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a<$ Mid. to depart (take one's self awway.) Aor. pass. $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \nu$ I departed.
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma x \varepsilon i v$, \&c. e. g.
 departed.
xoı $\mu \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta$ ทг lie down to sleep.

$\dot{\eta} \sigma x \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \nu$ x $\dot{\chi} \chi \nu \eta \nu I$ exercised myself in the art.
Rzm. 1. In such verbs the aorist middle is generally obsolete or rare.-Sometimes it has one of the significations of the verb appropriated to itself. Thus the aorist pass. $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha c$ is attached with the medial signification to orédeavac to journey; whereas $\sigma \tau e l \lambda \alpha \sigma \hat{\theta} \alpha$, , the proper aorist middle, belongs only to $\sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma^{7} \alpha c$ to clothe one's self or send for.

Rex. 2. These verbs, even where the signification is a passive one, cannot be explained (by § 134. 4) as of the passive voice followed by an accusative; for they have the subject of the active in the accusative : in one of the above examples were xate$\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\gamma} \eta \nu$ in the passive, it would be $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta$ úro $\tau 0 \hat{v} \Phi i \lambda i \pi \pi o v$.
3. With the future middle the contrary usage holds; for while it is rare that the fature passive has the medial signification, the future middle is used passively in many verbs, as in aiqedeiv, ó $\mu$ -
 $\tau \mu \mu \tilde{q} v, \delta \eta \lambda o \tilde{v} v, \& c$. part of which have also a passive future.

## § 13\%. the second perfect as intransitive.

The Second Perfect, or Perfect Middle as it is commonly called, vibrates in its acceptation between all three voices; a circumstance to be ascribed to the intransitive signification, which is peculiar to it.-If the verb be an intransitive verb, the Second Perfect bears the same relation to it as any other perfect, (as may be seen in the catalogue of regular verbs, in the verbs $\vartheta \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$, $x \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega, \varphi \rho i \sigma \sigma \omega$.) If the verb possess both significations, the Second Perfect prefers the intransitive, as in $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$.-In many verbs, however, the original intransitive signification has passed over into the passive and middle voice (see $\S 113.5$,) and to this signification the Second Perfect therefore attaches itself where the verbs in question have the Second Perfect:-See the follow-235 ing words in the catalogue; viz.
 éaja am broken.


घ̇え
ö $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu \iota$, ó̀ $\dot{\omega}^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon x \alpha,-0 ̈ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \iota I$ perish, Perf. ö $\lambda \omega \lambda \alpha$.
ö $\rho v v \mu \ell$, ő $\rho v \nu \mu \alpha i$ I originate intransit. Perf. ő $\rho \omega \rho \alpha$.
 transitive, believe.
$\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \mu \mu,-\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \mu \alpha \iota$ stand fixed, Perf. $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \eta \gamma \alpha$.

$\sigma \eta \pi \pi \omega$ rot transit.- $\sigma \eta \pi о \mu \alpha \iota$ rot intransit. Perf. $\sigma \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \eta \pi \alpha$.

Rem. 1. In the same way are to be explained the perfects of


Rem. 2. In a few verbs, the 1st Perfect is similarly situated; see in $\check{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ and $\varphi v \tilde{v} \omega$.
§ 138. THE TENSES.

1. As the present, the imperfect, the perfect, the pluperfect, and the future, agree in the main with the corresponding tenses of other languages, it is necessary only to speak briefly of the: Aorist and the 3 d Future of the Passive voice.
2. The 1st and 2d Aorist are of course different forms of the same tenses, and differ not in signification. Few verbs have them both, and the 1 st Aorist is found much oftener than the second. In order to understand the Aorist, it' is necessary to have an accurate idea of the other preterite tenses. The perfect tense, then, is properly speaking not an historical tense. It does not relate the past as it happened, but brings the past into connexion with the present (as I know it, for I have seen it); although this connexion is not always expressed, but it is of itself implied in the mind; $I$ have seen it, i. e. I am one who saw it-now, at this time, it has already happened.* The pluperfect removes this connected past and present time into the past time, connecting a more remote with a less remote past time, $I$ had seen it. The imperfect relates the circumstances accompanying or attending the past action, I was seeing it. The aorist differs from all these, in expressing simply a past action without any connexion in idea, with present or any other past time, $I$ saww $\dot{u}$. The past or imperfect tense in English, therefore, is an aorist. In Latin the perfect, and in other languages the imperfect, is used to express the Greek aorist. E.g.

 neying, met wrth a dog watching a dead body, and comandexd him to be brought along with kim. By substituting has met, had met, or was meeting, for met, the aifference between the Aorist and the other past tenses will be felt.—So óalyacs de v̈orepov ทi $\mu \dot{e}$ eacs
 xaì $x \alpha \vartheta v \lambda \alpha \dot{x} \tau \varepsilon$ aviou's, a fow days after this were mon-

[^68]ine a review, and the dog was atrendiva. And he.saw* the murderers, and rax forti and remained baringe at them.
3. The aorist may be used for the perfect, and even for the pluperfect, where the circumstance of time is otherwise sufficiently clear from the connexion. It occurs most frequently for the perfect; e. g. Xenoph. Memor. I. 6. 14, Socrates says, rovis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ -
 ons yóंభavres-dif'exopai, where the sense obviopsly requires the perfect, which they have left us in boake.

Rrm. 1. Examples of the aorist instead of the pluperfect,
Xenopi: "her husband was in Bactria as ambassador, éne $\mu$ -
 sent him.


4. As the imperfect tense is used to express the limitation of cincumstances under which the thing related happened, a greater or less duration or continuance, of course, in suggested by it. Hence the imperfect, as in $x \alpha \vartheta v \lambda \alpha x r z t$ of the example in no. 2 , is used to express a continued action, whilst the Aorist expresses a momentary action. In consequence of this difference of signification, the imperfect and aorist are sometimes used alternately in a narration, the imperfect being introduced so often as the action is
 oi $\beta \alpha \dot{\rho} \beta \alpha \rho o i$ (received, a momentary action) xai é $\mu \alpha \dot{\chi} о v \tau 0$ (and
 (wohen the heavy armed were near, a regular imperfect, according to the rule at the end of no. 2) étoósiovio (they turned, a momen-
 mediately pursued them, a continued action.)

In this way the imperfect came to be used wherever an habitual or often repeated action was to be expressed in past time, as Mi-
 zoas accustomed to eat twenty minas of flesh.

[^69]Rem. 2. This difference of the aorist from the imperfect often suggests a difference in the clauses, which is easily overlooked.
 implies a continued barking; if it were $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \vartheta v \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon$, it would be 28 momentary as éşédjoupev. $^{2}$
5. It appears from the foregoing, that the aorist inclines to the expression of momentary action, or such as it is intended so to represent, in contrast with some more continued action, in the progress of the narrative. This distinction between continued and momentary action exists also both in the present and future. I exhort and I am exhorting; I will exhort, and I will be exhorting, differ in the same way as I exhorted and I was exhorting. . In the indicative mode there are no separate forms for this distinction, but in the other modes they are discriminated. There are in fact two views to be taken of the other modes, in respect to time. (1) Each has the definite time of its own indicative:- (2) They are also aoristical as well in the present tense as the aorist, containing (like the English infinitive) no exact expression of time, and corresponding in time as far as it is necessary, with the indicative on which they depend in the construction. In this case, therefore, we have a dowble form, without any distinction of time. Thus $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ and $\varepsilon \dot{v}-$ $\psi \alpha \iota$ are equally to strike, $\dot{\psi} \lambda \lambda \eta s$ and $q \iota \lambda \eta \sigma \eta s$ equally the subjunctive thou lovest; with the difference that the present tense of these modes is usually employed for a continued, and the aorist for a momentary action. Thus when Demosthenes says;


he would say that they should immediately fit out the ships, and therefore uses the aorist infinitive; but the state of opinion which he recommends by $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \alpha \rho \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$, is to be permanent, and therefore he uses the present infinitive. He continues
 , $\lambda \alpha x \tau o s \lambda \eta \varphi \vartheta \tilde{\eta}$ that either through fear he roill remain quiet (a continued action), or overlooking these measures, be taken (momentary) unprepared.
So, too, in the imperative,
 $\pi \rho o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, i. e. judge (momentary), while the state of mind expressed in the last clause is necessarily gradual in its formation, and therefore - $\pi \rho о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
Rem. 3. It is to be observed, however, that this distinction is often very slight, conveying only a trifing modification of idea, and that therefore there is often no choice between the present
 $\boldsymbol{y}$ and $\lambda e^{\prime} \xi 0 \boldsymbol{y}$. For want of a distinction corresponding to it in our own language, it is often altogether impossible to retain it in the English.
6. The participle of the aorist always expresses past time, to be rendered either by the phrase after that, or by the participle of the perfect tense having; though in consequence of the latter, it is often equivalent to the present; e. g. $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \beta \alpha \lambda \omega^{\prime} \nu$ who has lost, i. e. no longer possesses ; $\mu \alpha \theta \omega \dot{v}$ who has learned, i. e. ̀who knows; $\theta \alpha \nu \omega \dot{\nu}$ having died, dead; oi गeooivzes the fallen, the slain, \&c.

Demosthenes says, the true author of, an oration full of, just

 not he who has carefully prepared himself and endeavoured to speak what is right.

Rem. 4. Some verbs in their very signification destroy the natural import of the tenses, as $\eta^{\eta} \times \omega$ I come is always to be consid-
 thou just arrived, or long since? So oïxouac I depart often signifies I have gone, whereby the imperfect $\underset{\sim}{\Psi} \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ attains the character of the pluperfect. Thus also $\tau i x \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha$, besides the signification of beget or bear, has also that of to be father or mother to any one; and of consequence, this, in the present tense, may have the mean-


Rem. 5. The perfect has also a subjunctive and optative, and the future an optative, which are really used, when the kind of uncertainty, peculiar to these modes ( $\$ 140$ ), falls in with these
 ed. As in most of such cases the modes of the present and aorist are sufficient, those tenses are used only where distinctness requires it ; and even then it is more common to use the periphrastical form, as $\pi \varepsilon q \iota \lambda \eta x \omega^{\circ} \omega^{3}$ and $\varepsilon \ddot{i} \eta v$. - The imperative of the perfect occurs in the second person only in those verbs of which the
 the third person often serves as an emphatic expression, e.g.
 venture.
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota\left(\alpha \dot{\sigma} \vartheta \hat{\sigma}\right.$ be it attempted, i. e. ${ }^{2}$ do but attempt.
§ 139. THIRD FUTURE.

1. The third or paulo-post future is properly, both in form and signification, compounded from the perfect and future. It places what is passed or concluded, in the future, e.g.
 $\sigma x 0 \pi \tilde{\eta} q \dot{v} \lambda \alpha \xi$, the city will have been perfectly organized, if such a watchnan oversee it ; i. e. disponita erit not disponetur.
 § 134 Rem. 2.
Now as the perfect often signifies a continued state, (as e.g. żgy'́y $\rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota$ signifies not merely I have been inscribed, but I'stand on the list,) this signification remains in the third future, e.g.
 rןผ̄zov, '่̇ $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varrho \dot{\alpha} \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, no one's inscription shall be altered from favour, but as each was from the first, so he shall stand inscribed. Aristopa.
2. Consequently this is the natural future of those perfects, which have acquired a separate meaning of the nature of the present; as $\lambda \varepsilon$ ' $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \tau \alpha \iota$ has been left, i. e. remains; $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ shall have been lefi, i. e. shall remain ; $\lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \varphi \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ zwill be left or deserted. So xí-


Rem. 1. Besides this, the Attics employ the 3d future of several verbs in the passive, as a simple future passive. See the anomalous déa bind; so too $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \dot{\sigma} о \mu \alpha \iota, ~ x \in x о ́ \psi о \mu \alpha \iota$, \&cc.

Ress. 2. In some verbs the third future has a peculiar import,
 buried; or (2) a hastening of the action, as $\varphi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \varepsilon x \alpha i \operatorname{\pi \varepsilon \pi } \rho \alpha \xi_{6}$ tal, speak, and it shall be accomplished immediately. - It is on this acceptation that the name of paulo-post future rests.

> § 140. modes.

1. The Greek language appears to have the advantage of the Latin and of the modern languages, in the optative mode. On
comparing, however, the use of this mode with the rule given § 88. 3, it will appear that the optative mode is nearly equivalent to the subjunctiye mode imperfect and pluperfect tenses, which accordingly are wanting in Greek. For instance, in the expression of a wish, we.say, "had I but that;" this is equivalent to if I had, the subjunctive imperfect of our language, although the. time in reality is present. Hence the following rule is established.
2. The relatives and particles (except the compounds of $\tilde{a} v$, which in connexion with the present and future require the subjunctive), take the optative, in connexion with the historical tenses, e. g.
 tam, I know not whither I may turn myself.
 habebam, I knew not whither I should turn myself.


3. In consequence of this, the particles and pronóuns which $t$ ike the indicative mode in sermone directo, require the optative in sermone obliquo, e. g.



$$
\text { Use of } \varepsilon i \text { and } \stackrel{\mu}{\alpha} \nu \text {. }
$$

4. For the further use of the modes, it is necessary to understand particularly the force of the particles $\varepsilon i$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \nu$, which alone and in composition are variously employed.
5. The conjunction $\varepsilon i$ signifies if and whether. In either ac- 240 ceptation it is joined by correct writers with the indicative or optative, never with the subjunctive mode.
6. The particle ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}{ }^{*}$ can seldom be rendered by a corresponding Englich word. It adds an expression of uncertainty or possibility, which not only strengthens or modifies the natural meaning

[^70]of the subjunctive and optative, but communicates itself (though with the exception, for the most part, of the present and perfect) to the indicative and to other verbal forms. It always stands after one or more words of the clause, and is thereby distinguished from the $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu} \nu$ which is abbreviated from éa $\boldsymbol{\alpha} v$.
7. The particle $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ is attached to all relatives, and to certain particles, with some of which it coalesces into one word, as par-
 and is abbreviated into the wholly synonymous forms $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu$, which is distinguishable from the $\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu$ treated of in the foregoing paragraph, inasmuch as like tád it regularly begins a clause. All words of this class attain by the addition of $\ddot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ an expression of possibility, and consequently take the subjunctive mode. When the clause which contains them comes in connexion with past time or the sermo obliquus, it either remains unaltered-in the manner
 $\pi \iota s, o ̈ \sigma o s, \& c$. .) with the optative mode take its place, e. g.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \rho \mu \alpha$, zà $\alpha$ tı dín.

8. The Greek language is particularly rich in the expression of hypothetical or conditional propositions. The most important principles, in this respect, are the following, viz.

In eyery conditional proposition, the condition is either possible or impossible. The possible cases either do or do not contain an expression of certainty; and in the case of uncertainty, I either do or do not hold out a prospect of a decision : hence the following cases.

1) Possibility, without the idea of uncertainty, is expressed by $\varepsilon i$ with the indicative, e. g.
 lightened.
zï ru éxecs, סós, if thou hast any thing, give it.
2) Uncertainty with prospect of decision is expressed by ${ }^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} v$ with the subjunctive, e.g.
 give $i$.


Here there is understood in the protasis of the sentence, ${ }^{6}$ and that will appear," \&c.
3) Uncertainty, without any such qualification, is expressed'by $\varepsilon i$ with the optative mood, and in the apodosis the optative with $\stackrel{\circ}{\alpha} \nu, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}$.
 do this, he would render me a great service.
 investigate this for itself, he would find-
Here there is nothing supplied by the understanding, but "it is problematical whether this be done."
4) Impossibility or disbelief, or an assertion in general that a thing is not so, is invariably expressed, in the Attic writers, by the imperfect tense, either for present or indefinite time, with $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$ attached to it in the apodosis, e.g.

Here there is a necessary reservation of " but he has not."
9. When in this last case both clauses are in past time, the aorist is necessarily used instead of the imperfect, at least in the apodosis, e.g.
 given it.
In like manner, the clauses may be of different times, e.g.
 sick.
Rem. 1. All these cases are frequently modified by their connexion with the preterite, according to the foregoing principles, as observation, in the single cases, will show, e. g.
 commanded him to give it.
Here éxédevac belongs not to the apodosis, but to the previous 242 context; the optative is used, in consequence of being preceded by a preterite, see above no. 8. 2, and $\delta 0 \tilde{v} v a \iota$ constitutes the apodosis.
10. When the phrases and particles compounded with $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ have the aorist subjunctive, they constitute a conditional preterite, and
(if the context regard the future) a future preterite,-the Latin futurum exactum, e.g.

 you shall have passed them.
 heard all, then judge.
 $\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \tau \omega \nu \bar{\xi} \chi \vartheta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ till we shall have conquered the enemy.
The future lies at the bottom of these constructions, and the aorist only has its own preterite.
11. The optative with $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu} \nu$ is, according to no. 8. 3 above, only the apodosis of a supposition, with the suppression of which supposition the optative often remains. In consequence, the optative is often used in any simple proposition, intended to be represented merely as a wish, and where in . English might, could \&cc. is made use of, e. g.
 which [if he will] a man may touch.
 all things may happen.


 some may blame what has been said.
And hence comes it, that this phraseology, by the moderation of language conspicuous in the Attic writers, became ased in the place of the most confident assertions and predictions, e. g.
 duvp $\theta z i \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ for what has already happened, we could not with the present forces prevent.
oüx $\hat{\alpha} \nu$ 甲zuyous thou canst not escape.
243 This mode of expression is often used for the simple fature. So


Rrm. 2. Every conditional or uncertain proposition may be converted in Greek into an infinitive or' a participle, retaining $\tilde{\alpha}^{2} v$, wherein this language possesses an advantage, which others want, of imparting the expression of the Optative and Subjunctive to the Infinitive and Participle; e.g.

think, they might recover themselves by acquiring allies; (for$\left.\alpha_{\alpha \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \iota \nu \tau}^{\alpha} \hat{\alpha} \nu, \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \beta о \varepsilon \varepsilon y.\right)$
 could say;
 joav, who would, readily kill and bring to life again, if they were able; (for $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \iota \omega \sigma x=\nu \tau \not \approx \nu$.) Plato.
The sense of the Infinitive and Participle of the future is often also thus expressed; e. g.
 $\xi \alpha$, it is not possible, that one man should be able ever to do all those things. $\left\langle v \nu \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha l\right.$ пот , without ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu$ must have referred to the past. See also the example below $\oint 145$. 4. a.

After oḯ $\sigma \vartheta \sigma \alpha \iota$, ż $\lambda \pi i \xi_{\varepsilon \iota y,}^{2} \& c$. this is the common way to express the future.

Rem. 3. The position of $\ddot{\alpha} v$ is wholly decided by euphony. This is to be remarked, in order that, by observing the connexion, it may always be brought to the verb to which it belongs; e. g.

ย̇סóx
Here $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ is to be separated from the verb near which it stands, and to be joined to $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \xi \alpha \kappa$, he appeared to us, as if he would perform every thing willingly (or dian@ $\alpha^{\prime} \xi \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \nu \nu ้ \nu$.) Thus tovizov

 $\delta i x \eta \nu \tau \tau^{x i v}$ (would have been offended), $\varepsilon i \mu \eta \eta_{l}^{\prime} i^{j} \eta \mu \alpha c$ (i. e. had we not been.)


 $\tau \propto \pi \rho o s \dot{\eta} x 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ пoceiv $\dot{\alpha} \nu$, it seems to me also that, if any one should accuse him of impiety, he woould do right.
Rem. 4. The particle ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \nu$ often gives to the Indicative the signification of habitual performance of the action; e. g.

Demosthenes says, no one of the former orators has had so great influence in so many respects at the same time, $\alpha_{i} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ o
 ĚY@a甲غ, but he woho proposed lawes was not commonly an ambassador; and he who rvent on embassies did not commonly propose lawos.
Rem. 5. It is a peculiar use of the Optative, when it stands in the protasis instead of a preterite indicative, to signify the repetition of an action ; e. g.


he saw,' that is, 'so often as he saw any,' with which the '̇̇єєi $\pi \dot{v} \vartheta o u \tau 0$ connects itself.
 right to him.
 swered immediately.
In such constructions, care must be had not to attribute to the Optative any expression of uncertainty.

Rem. 6. The Subjunctive is not used alone except for exhortations in the 1st person, as ïwhzv let us go (where in the 2 d and 3 d person the Optative would be used), and in dubious questions, part-
ly with and partly without $\beta$ ovidec or $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ tidecs preceding; e.g.

$\beta$ ovike oùv $\sigma x о \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$; dost thou wish then that we examine?
ti now ; what shall I do?
$\pi \tilde{\eta} \beta \tilde{\omega} ;$ лої च $\rho \alpha \pi \omega \mu \alpha \iota$; whither shall I go? whither shall I turn myself?

ขüv áxoúvo aṽधıs; shall I hear again.

## § 141. infinitive.

1. The infinitive mode is used in Greek in the same cases as in the Latin' and modern languages, and in various others, particularly after verbs of saying, believing, promising, permitting, begging, \&c.
2. The infinitive is often used to express what is expressed in Latin by ad and the gerund, or by the participle in dus, viz. end or destination; e.g.
 ó ävto



3. The infinitive is governed by an adjective (or substantive) expressing fitness or qualification, e. g.

 in speaking, but he is incapable of keeping silence.
 ing devices.

It is also thus employed in a pasive sense, where, nevertheless, the form of the active voice is commonly used, (in Latin the supine in $u$, e. $g$.
jádios voñ̀ac easy to observe (io be observed).


The form of the infinitive passive, however, is not wholly unused; e. g. $\cdot \vartheta \eta \lambda v q \alpha \nu \grave{\jmath} \dot{o} \dot{\varphi} \theta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ feminine in aspect, as in Horace niveus videri.
4. Whenever an infinitive thus qualifying the preceding phrase 245 or clause, does not admit of a sufficiently obvious connexion, particularly in consequence of other words being interposed, it is commonly introduced by äste, (more rarely wis, which also, in an entire construction, will be found to refer to a preceding demonstrative, e. g.
 xoüvia, he was so brought up, as very easily to have what sufficed him.
 z̈vexa, he was very ambitious, so as to bear every thing for the sake of being praised.
 are too young to knowo of what fathers they are deprived.
 small things with great.
5. The infinitive is used as a neuter substanfive ( $\$ \mathbf{1 9 5 . 6 . 1}$ ) not only singly, but in connexion with phrases provided with an article, which are thus subject to all the constructions of nouns, e.g.

. property is harder than to acquire it.
 $\delta_{\varepsilon \iota x \nu \dot{v} v \alpha \iota, ~ \lambda o c i o o l a ~ l i z z l v, ~ t o ~ c a l l ~ o n e ~ p e r j u r e d, ~ w i t h o u t ~ s h o w-~}^{\text {- }}$ ing his deeds, is calumny.


Rew. 1. In this way, a preposition may be used, where otherwise only a conjunction would be admitted, e.g.
 $\varepsilon \tilde{i v} \tilde{\alpha} \mu \circ \rho q o v$ Minerva cast away the pipes, because they diefigured her countenance.

Other subordinate clauses also may be interposed between the article and its infinitive, e. g.
 fdoxi $\alpha a \xi$, he recommended properly to digest as much as nature receives with pleasure.
Rew. 2. The infinitive of some short parenthetical phrases admits of explanation from the foregoing constructions; as from no.
 as I think, which infinitive, though without $\tau \dot{0}$, takes the place of the accusative ; see § 131. 6 and Rem. 4.

## § 142. infinitive with a sobject.

1. When the infinitive has a subject, it is regularly put in the accusative case. Thus in the infinitive introduced by $\boldsymbol{o}$, e. g.
vò $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \vartheta \rho \rho_{i} \pi 0 v s$ ovं $\vartheta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \pi o ́ v$ that men should err is not surprising.
 because he was not there.
2. The infinitive is thùs construed with the accusative, when, after verbs on which another clause directly depends, especially verbs of saying and believing, the subject of the dependent clause passes into the accusative, and its verb into the infinitive, e. g.
 roṽ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ s, ~ m y t h o l o g i s t s ~ s a y, ~ t h a t . ~ U r a n u s ~ f i r s t ~ r u l e d ~ t h e ~ u n i-~$ verse.
3. The subject of the infinitive is often omitted, if it is in any degree already expressed in the preceding verb, as dio $\mu \alpha i$ oov $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \iota \nu$ I pray thee to stay, $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon i \nu ~ \dot{\rho} \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$ I confess that I
 Hike the latter, the Latin language, though addicted to this construction, would prefer the repetition of the subject, dixit se festinare.

## § 143. infinitive with cases.

1. If an adjective op substantive \&c. be attached to the above mentioned subject of the infinitive, as a farther qualification of
the idea, in the way of a predicate or attribute, such adjective or substantive is of course put in the accusative, if the subject-accusative of the infinitive be expressed, e. g. $\ddot{\mu}_{\mu} \mu \eta \nu \quad \sigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon i v \alpha \iota \mu \delta^{\prime}-$

2. If the subject of the infinitive be not thus expressed, an attraction, as it is called, takes place, whereby the aforesaid words. of qualification are placed, not in the accusative, but in the same case as the object to which they refer in the preceding clause.

Of this attraction there are two cases, viz.

1) If the subject omitted with the infinitive is likewise nominative to the preceding finite verb on which the infinitive depends, the qualification must also he in the nominative, as $o^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} A \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \xi \alpha v \delta \rho o s$
 is also done, though the subject of the first verb is not expressed, e.g.
 selves would not be saved.


2) If the omitted subject of the infinitive is the immediate or more remote object of the preceding verb, those qualifications are attached to the infinitive in that oblique case in which their subject is governed by the foregoing verb as its object ; e. g.
In the genitive,

Or in the dative,
 ed in Latin, licet illis esse beatis.
 gatots.


Or, finally, in the accusative, in which case it coincides with the


Rem. 1. The same attraction holds, where the clause which contains the infinitive, has the article xo before it, e.g.

$\because \quad$ ly for profit, because they are selfish.
 nes is proud in having escaped when accused.
 $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi 0 \mu \varepsilon ่ v o \iota S$ をìvą they (colonists) are not sent out as being like slaves, but as being like those which remain behind.
 on us to be reasonable or corrupt.
If, however, the subject of the infinitive is included in the preceding clause as accusative, the infinitive has as usual the accu-
 @as eivaı he showed that states had the advantage by being more just.

Rem. 2. Also in the construction with ${ }^{\omega}$ st $\varepsilon$ (see $\oint$ 141.4) the nominative is joined with the infinitive, if the first clause require
 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \dot{\eta} \delta o \tilde{v} \nu \alpha \iota$ dixnv let no one be so great among you, that, breaking the laws he can go unpunished.

Rem. 3. The infinitive is sometimes used quite absolutely, instead of wish, request, order ; and this, in the third person, either with the subject in the accusative, as $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o^{\prime} \nu \sigma \pi \varepsilon i \varrho \varepsilon \iota \nu, \gamma v \mu \nu o \nu d \dot{\varepsilon}$ Rouriv (where the subject is to be assumed to be the indenite third person, $\tau i s$, though Virgil has rendered it in the second, $n u$ -
 'A $\vartheta \eta v \alpha l o v s$ ti $i \sigma \alpha \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta}$, may it be conceded to me. Still more frequently the infinitive is used instead of the imperative of the second person, and in this case the subject and all that belongs to it, if expressed at all, are expressed in the nominative, e. g.
 НомеR.
 rwv, blame me not therefor.

## § 144. construction with the relative.

1. The construction with the relatives ös, öбos, oios, \&c. (of which the construction with the participle is only, an abridged form, ) is not used to express connexion alone, but also expresses the ground, cause, or other circumstance usually denoted by a conjunction, e.g.
 in that thou givest us nothing.
 i. e. ötc rocoúrav rex. ėxup. the Argive dames blessed the mother that she had such children.

So, particularly with the subjunctive, to express design or advantage; e.g.
 oxé $\psi \varepsilon \omega s$ opportunely has he sat down by us with zahom we can share the inquiry.
2. The nature of the relative construction properly requires,' that the first verb have a noun, and the second a relative referring to it, and each in the case demanded by the clause in which it stànds; e.g.

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \delta \omega x \in \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{i} \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau \nu$, ö́ $\sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \varrho \tilde{\eta} \nu$.


- But the substantive of the first verb is often omitted, and attached to the relative in the second clause, and in the same case, e.g.

oủx દ̇ธx hath not held.
Often, when emphasis requires it, the clause so constructed


3. When the noun (either in the genitive or dative) to which the relative refers, is without a demonstrative, like ovizos or żx\&ivos, the relative, otherwise in the accusative, is put in the same ease with the noun by attraction; e.g.
 to him of the food, wahich thou thyself hast.
Here ovince, on account of the genitive oicov, to which it re-
 which the verb $\varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \varepsilon u s$ requires. In like manner the following.
 whom he has.



Rem. 1. This construction became so prevalent as sometimes to remain, though the first noun had a demonstrative expressed;

4. The noun, by which the relative is thus attracted, is often transported into the clause with the relative, where, together
with the relative, it standa in the case governed by the yerb on which it depends; e. g.



 $\sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ пеобт $\alpha$ т $\eta$ to have a magistrate) having those magitrates, which I have named, they weere prosperous.
Sometimes there is at the same time an inversion, which sounds
 hath, he treats well.
5. The noun is sometimes wholly omitted, giving to the relative the appearance of belonging directly to the preceding clanse, .
e. g.




 $\mu \alpha l$.

Rem. 2. In one case the nominative of the relative undergoes this attraction. When in an entire clause the nominative of the relative oios would stand with the verb eivac (as návv nod'óvs $\chi \alpha$ -
 a man as thou art,) not only the demonstrative but the verb eival is omitted, and the relative is then so attracted by the leading clause, that together with all the nominatives connected with it, it assumes the case of the noun, to which it refers, and is even in-


Rem. 3. When the relative, by means of a verb like to be, to name, to believe, \&c. is joined with a noun, in the same case, it conforms itself, in gender and number, to this noun, and not to that, which is its proper antecedent; e. g.
 wie call modesty.

Or with omission of the first noun, $\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{g}$.
 us, which wee call hopes.

## § 145. CONSTRUCTION WITH THE PARTICIPLE.

1. The Greek language, having participles for most of the tenses, makes a far more extensive use of them, than other languages. By combining their use with that of the relative and infinitive, many clauses can be interwoven with each other, without confusion; e.g.
 Here $\ddot{\alpha}$ is the object of i $\delta \delta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha s$, this the subject of $\tau \varepsilon \vartheta \nu \eta x \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \alpha \iota$,
 he believed, that they had died, who saw them.
2. Not only those verbs, which are connected with other verbs by relatives, but almost evert verb introduced, in English with as, because, after that, so that, although, (whose subject has been already named with the preceding verb) is, with the omission of those particles, converted into a participle of the same case as its subject-
 according to the context, either, I visited my comrade, who was sick, or when or because he was sick.
 נ@оsжuעทбavios, it is not the hereditary custom of the great king, to hear a person who does not (or, if he do not) fall dowon before him.
 body has been composed by taking a small part from every thing.
Rem. 1. The participles, which express the ideas of after that or in that, in translating both from Greek and Latin, may often with advantage be made to precede the verb, with which they are connected, with the conjunction and between; e.g.
 $\nu \alpha \tilde{s} \alpha \dot{v} \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ द ̇ \mu \beta \hat{\beta} \alpha \sigma \iota$.
Here, as usual, the personal pronoun $\dot{\eta} \mu i v i s$ is omitted with $\dot{\pi} \lambda \varepsilon v$ -
 with $\dot{\eta \mu i \nu}$ understood, ) and the whole is to be rendered we must make up our minds, that we ourselves, if necessary, will embark on board the vessels and sail.
3. The participle of the future is used to express the force of in order to in English; e.'g.
 Cyrus, having learned this, sent Gobryas in order to see-
 in order that he be punished.
 $\tau \iota \omega \cdot \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ex $\times \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$, and to send forth troops in order to effect this.
4. Certain verbs (which will appear in the examples) govern a participle, in cases where we should use a verb with that. As in other participial constructions, such a participle (regarded as a verb) has either the same subject as the preceding verb, and in that case is in the nominative,-or it has a different subject, and stands with the same in an oblique case, as an object more or less direct of the preceding verb.
a) Examples of the nominative, where, as usual, the proper subject word may be omitted.
 or have done this. Whereas.aíxuivouac jociv would mean I am ashamed to do this.

ov́ ovvisoav $\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$ novoüvits they understood not that they laboured in vain.
$\delta i \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \notin \nu 0 s$ ov̉ $\mu \alpha \nu \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon S$; discernest thou not that thou hast been deceived?
 city he was conscious of having become happy,一oído yeyoun's meaning I know that I have become.
 vos on reflection I found that I could not do this otherwise. Isocr. (§ 140 Rem .2 .)
Hence too with a passive verb, e. g.
$\dot{z} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma x \tau \alpha \iota \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega} \nu$ he is convicted of having deceived us.
 nounced, that Philip besieged Olynthus.
b) Examples of the accusative.
 Persians relate that, Cyrus, had-, from which passively o

 dound to his advantage, who shall give the best counsel, (impersonal construction of $\sigma v \mu \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon$. .)
c) Examples of the genitive and dative.

 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau 0 v \varsigma$ by the attraction mentioned $\S$ 143. 2. 2.
 it has never repented me, that I kept silence, but often that I spoke, (from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu 0 \iota$ it repents me.) Snionidms.
 it differs not to the thief (in his punishment), whether he have stolen little or much.
Rem. 2. In such verbs as have the reflective pronoun, as oviv-
 case; e.g.


 say xaxoṽoyov övza.
Rem. 3. Also the verbs, which signify the cessation of an action, have a participle, where we employ the infinitive; e.g.
 general.
ovं $\lambda \dot{\eta} \xi \underline{\xi} \omega \alpha i \varrho \omega \Delta$, I woill not cease to rejoice.
Rem. 4. The participles of the verbs, from which a nominative 253 depends, as $\varepsilon i \nu \alpha l, \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \vartheta \alpha l$, change this nominative commonly into the case in which they stand; e.g.
 it is not becoming.
 where, in resolving the phrase, $\Theta \rho \tilde{q} \times s \xi^{\prime}$ is the subject of $\boldsymbol{x}\langle\lambda \tilde{i} \sigma \vartheta \neq \iota$.
Rem. 5. Sometimes that which in signification would be the chief verb, is made a participle, and depends on another verb, which itself takes the place of an adverb; e.g.
 he-happened to be going.
 үóv having done this, he escaped unpercived; or in respect to the subject itself, $\tau 0 \nu$ qoví $\lambda \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} y \in \iota$ ßóaxav he feeds un-

 before.
дactekeiv (remain) ; סtatedeĩ $\pi \alpha \rho \omega \dot{\nu}$ he continues to be present.
$\chi \alpha i \rho \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (rejoice) ; $\chi \alpha$ loovaıv ėmacvoüvzes they gladly praise.

## § 146. CASE absolute.

1. In the foregoing rules, the participle has depended on some of the nouns belonging to the leading verb of the sentence, and has been; of consequence, in the same case as those nouns. If a new noun be introduced as a subject, it is put with the participle in à case indèpendent of the verb, and called absolute.
2. The most common instance is that, where $\nexists$ noun and par'iciple are put absolute in the genitive. The original force of the genitive absolute was an expression of time, according to § 132. 5. 3. Now, as $\boldsymbol{\nu} v x \tau o ́ s$ means by night time, so also
 this happened.
 he spake as follows.


Rem. 1. If this duration of time is ascertained by a historical person, the preposition exic is often used with these genitives.
 rus.
3. This construction is adopted not only to express time, but every idea expressed in English by if, since, because, in that, \&c.
 'Pwuaiov while the enemies besieged the city, famine assailed the Romans.
 the king was dead, he applied to his son.
 vy prevaileth not.
 are thus circumstanced, it will be better to wait.
Rem. 2. If the noun be obvious from the context, the participle may stand by itself, in the genitive, as $\pi \alpha \rho o^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \dot{O} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \alpha$
 $\dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tilde{v}$ with $\alpha^{\prime} \pi \dot{\nu} \nu t o c$ roken he was absent. The same holds of impersonals, as v́st it rains, vovtos as it rained.

Rem. 3. In certain cases, nominatives and accusatives absolute are used. With such impersonals as $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \varepsilon \\ \xi \\ \varepsilon \\ \sigma \tau \iota \nu\end{array}\right)$ is permitted, $\pi \rho \dot{\varepsilon}-$

TE it is bacaming (see § 129 Rera. 3), the absolute case is always the nominative or accusative of the neuter participle, $\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}$.
 laxuful to depart?
Rem. 4. Datives absolute are also used, particularly in statements of time, e.g;
 as the year elapsed, they make another demonstration againgt Elis.
To this rule may be reduced such datives joined to the verbs


عí $\sigma 0<$ 乃ovdouivug éati if it be according to thy wish.
Rem. 5. When ay expression indicates a reason in the mind of a third person, why he does a thing, this is commonly done with the conjunction ws or wis ${ }^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon \rho$, and accusatives or genitives absolute; - e. g.
 peace, because all knerv \&c.

 strain their children from bad men, as intercourse with them is the destruction of virtue.
 моขбuxท̈s.
 gidovs, they neglect their brothers, as if no friends could be formed from them.

## THE PARTICLES.

## § 147. ADVERBS.

1. Adverbs derived from adjectives, especially comparatives and superlatives, govern the same case as their adjectives; as


 4. e.
2. Adverbs approaching the nature of prepositions, govern the case of the preposition which they resemble, as $\delta \mu o v ̃$ and ${ }_{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ together govern a dative, (like' $\sigma \dot{v} v$ with, and are sometimes used as adverbs, sometimes as prepositions. Thus all prepositions may properly be called adverbs.

Adverbs of time and place in like manner govern the genitive; as ċzyús near (ėy parate, without, $\varepsilon v i v v ं ~ o b v i a m, ~!o w a r d s . ~$
3. Other adverbs are related to verbs and whole sentences, and in this way connect two sentences; especially the relative adverbs,
 $i t$. This is the origin of conjunctions, and strictly speaking, every particle connecting in this manner, should be called a conjunction, especially if it has an influence on the verb (like that of the preposition on the noun), and according to circumstances governs a mode depending upon it. Thus $\tilde{\alpha} \chi \rho \iota$ or $\mu \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota$ and $\tilde{z \prime} \dot{\omega}$ g gov-. ern the subjunctive or optative mode, when uncertainty is express-
 certainty is expressed, the indicative, as rolทoov roข̃̃o ék $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ do this so long as it is still lazeful.

Remark. IIA'v signifies except, and may be connected with phrases, as $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ si except if. It alsógoverns the genitive, as $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ $\pi \alpha \dot{ }$ v ódilyw with the exception of very ferv.
4. It has already been observed, § 125.5; that particles joined with the article become nouns.

## § 148. PREpositions.

1. The following are the most common prepositions, express ing the most general notions of place, viz.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau l, \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha}^{\prime}, \dot{z} \xi(\dot{\xi} x)$, $\pi \rho o ́$ governing the genitive
$\dot{z} v, \sigma \dot{v} \nu(\xi \dot{v} \nu)$ the dative
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}, \varepsilon i s, \omega_{s}$ the accusative
$\delta \iota \alpha^{\prime}, x \alpha \tau \alpha^{\prime}, v \pi z^{\prime} \varrho$ the genitive and accusative
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi i, \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \prime, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha^{\prime}, \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha^{\prime}, \pi \varepsilon \rho i, \pi \rho o ́ s$, vitó the genitive, dative, and accusative.
2. Those prepositions which govern different cases, answer, for the most part, to the question whither with the accusative, and to the question where with the dative. The genitive admits of various significations, though more or less connected with the idea out of, from.

Rem. 1. Of the foregoing prepositions, the easiest and simplest, in respect to meaning, are these, viz.
ánó, from, ç̉ॄ out of
sis to or into, in answer to the question whither?
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ in, in answer to the question where?
$\pi \rho o ́ b e f o r e, ~ \sigma v ่ \nu$ with.
For most of the significations which these prepositions bear,
(with the exception of some peculiarities which the course of study will teach,) admit of being reduced to the leading idea here assigned to them respectively; as when $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ implies the reason and is rendered on account of, e.g.
éx coútov on account of that, (therefore).
Or when it signifies mere sequence of time, e.g.

So when eis, like the Latin in, has the force of against, or merely indicates reference or relation, e. g.

Or finally, when reó has the force of the Latin pro or the English in behalf of, e. g;

In all these cases the connexion of the modified meaning of the preposition with its original signification is obvious.

Rem. 2. On the other hand, the following are more arbitrary and difficult to remember.

- 'Avó signified at first on, (comp. ávo' and its compounds.) Rut its most common signification in prose is in, upon, through, understood of some large space or time; e. g.
$\alpha \nu \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \nu v \tilde{\eta} \nu \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$ in the whole earth.
$\dot{\eta} \varphi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \eta^{3} \lambda, \vartheta \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{\tau} \dot{\eta} \nu$ nó $\lambda \iota \nu$ the report prevailed through the whole city.
oi $\dot{\alpha} \nu \propto \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{o} \pi \varepsilon \delta i o v ~ t h o s e ~ t h r o u g h o u t ~ t h e ~ p l a i n . ~$

' Avti, as a single preposition, has lost its original signification of against (see Kem. 8 below), and most frequently signifies instead,'for, in the ideas of change, exchange, purchase, value ${ }_{2}$ \&c.

Aco toü, through, spoken of space and the means; ס८a cóv, on account of; e.g.
 the Lacedemonians.

A $\omega \alpha^{\prime}$ with the accusative also expresses through, when it signifies cause; e.g.

 poets, among (inter);
'A $\mu \varphi i^{\prime}$ and $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ róv signify about ; which lies at the pottom of all merely approximating specifications, e.g.

 to be occupied about something, see below § 151.

Msoi $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$, with the idea of care for sometbing, is attached to verbs of fear, anxiety, confidence, or contention. $A \mu \varphi i$ and $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ signify of (de), concerning, (as to speak of.) So too qoßeiotat,
 $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ in this sense.
 rabove. This last receives the modified meaning of instead, in behalf of, particularly in a sense of defence, care, e. g.
 the public.

Rem. 3. That the genitiye inclines to the idea of from, departure, \&c. is plain from $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}, \pi \rho o ́ s$ and $\boldsymbol{v} \pi \dot{d}$. These prepositions with the dative and accusative retain their peculiar significations, but with the genitive, they are all three most commonly to be translated by from. The following instances of their use deserve particular remark, viz.
sa@ $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \nu$, to; but in answer to the question where, near or next to.
Besides this it has the signification of the Latin praeter, besides, beyond; e. g .


 divine laws.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\circ} \dot{j} \xi a v$ against expectation, (praeter opinionem.)
 verbs signifying come, bring, receive, learn, \&c. and sometimes after the passive.

Hoos, to, with, has the accusative rather in answer to the question whither, and the dative in answer to where; noos tòv moreover, means against in every signification; л甲ós roü on the part of, after verbs signifying hear, receive praise or censure, and often after the passive. It is also used in obtestation, as $\pi \rho o \rho^{\boldsymbol{s}} \pi \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$ by the gods.
 place; vino zoú from, after passives, and actives involving a passive sense, as $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$. So also
$\hat{\theta} \alpha \nu \bar{i} v$ vjot cuvos to die by means of.
$\mu \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon i \nu \quad \dot{v} n^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} y x \eta s$ to be taught by necessity.
Even actions may in this way assume a passive form, as emoinoe zoũ̃o únò dèous from fear.

Rem. 4. The greatest attention is due to the prepositions $\dot{\dot{c} \pi} \boldsymbol{i}$ and $x a \tau \dot{\alpha}$, , with respect to which, though observation of the single
cases of their use must be resorted to, the following principles prevail, viz.
' $E \pi i$ has for its leading idea on; and in answer to the question where most commonly governs the genitive, though sometimes
 to) ; in answer to the question whither, the accusative, (as $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ ió-甲ov tev๙ xata¢svigs he flies to a certain hill.) But at the same time it is applied in a more general sense for at or in, and, in answer to the question whither, for towards or to, whenever the connexion of the sentence sufficiently indicates the more exact idea of these expressions; and with the accusative it particularly denotes a certain direction to or towards. But besides this, it governs the genitive after the question whither, meaning towards, to, e.g.

$\alpha_{0} \nu \eta \eta^{2}$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda z v \sigma \varepsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{z}^{2}$ oíxov.
'Eni zoũ often fixes a time by a cotemporaneous person or thing, e. g.


${ }^{3} B \pi i \tau \tilde{\omega}$, in denoting place, signifies hard by, e. g.
ėाi rñ ráq@a on the verge of the ditch.

It also expresses in addition to, like $\pi \rho \circ \underline{\xi}$, e. g.
ėní $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ rov́tous $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\alpha} s$ èvételvé $\mu \circ \iota$.
Very often it expresses design and condition, e. g.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \sigma x \varepsilon v \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ द̇лi icuc to prepare one's self for any thing.

 peace. Compare below $\S 151, \vec{c}^{3} \varphi^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w}^{\prime \prime}$.
Finally $\varepsilon \pi i \quad \tau \tilde{i}$ expresses pozer, in such phrases as

The accusative also often expresses the design, the end, but with a distinction like the following, viz:

è̉
$K \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$. The leading signification of this preposition, (as appears from the comparison of $x \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ and the compounds, ) is from; with the genitive down from; e. g.
$\chi \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \tilde{\nu} \nu \tilde{\sim}(\pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha$ to cast one down from the rocks.

More frequently $x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \tilde{v}$ occurs in the sense of to, against, chiefly with verbs signifying speak, think, \&c. e. g.
 $K \alpha \tau \alpha$ tóv, spoken of a place, expresses the being in a place, in the most general sense, without more particular specification, e. g.

oi xata tทे Aoiav vio $\beta$ aбolei üvtes those in Aria subject to $\because$ the king.
K $\alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \nu$ expresses therefore every modification of time, place, and relation, resulting from the ideas themselves, e.g.
oixoūo $x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \mu \mu \varsigma$ they dwell in villages (vicatim)
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma x \dot{\eta} \nu 0 v \nu x a \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \in \epsilon s$ they encamped in divisions.
 disease.
 'AOpuaiovs the Lacedemonians being at this variance with the Athenians.


Very often is $x \alpha \tau \alpha$ used to express the Latin secundum, according to, e.g.
 discontrse it is better thus.

xat $\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{j} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \tau 0 \nu$ according to the plumb-line.
 to the rescript of the king.
 way.
Rem. 5. The preposition wis must not be confounded with the adverb or conjunction ws. It signifies to, in reply to whither? and always refers to persons; e. g.

عis $\bar{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \nu$ ws $\bar{\xi} \mu \dot{z}$ he came in to me.
Rem. 6. The case after the preposition is sometimes omitted, -when it would require to be repeated from what precedes; the preposition thus used stands adverbially. In prose the Greek lan-
 ver, and further, besides.

Rem. 7. That prepositions in the anastrophe, that is, with change of accent, sometimes follow their case, and sometimes stand for the compounds with eivvac has already been observed above in § 117. 2.

Rem. 8. Prepositions in composition have in general their original significition. The compounds of $\dot{\alpha} v i$, however, have mosily the signification against, as $\alpha \nu \tau u \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \varepsilon \nu \nu$ to array against, $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau h \dot{y} \varepsilon \varepsilon \downarrow$ contradict ; those of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ to ascend, and those of xazá to descend, as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \alpha i \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu, \alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha i \mu \varepsilon \iota \nu$.

Of significations more or less remote from the primitive meaning of the word, the following deserve repmark, viz.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi-$ with the idea of two siden, as $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi / \beta o \lambda o s$ equivocal, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi l-$ otouos opening on both side.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha$-means often back, as $\dot{\alpha} v a \pi \lambda \varepsilon i v v ~ t o ~ s a i l ~ b a c k . ~$
dra-acquires the idea of the Latin dis, apart, as $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \tilde{q} v$ to sundet, dia 5 Euyviva digiungere, to sepurate.
xar $\alpha$ - most frequently has the idea of completion, as $x \alpha \tau \alpha$ -

 $\pi \rho \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \alpha c$ to consume. Hence it has come to express the idea


- tune at dice. In both cases it corresponds to the Latio per in composition.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha-$ is used to express the idea of change and transposition, (the Latin trans), as $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \iota \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} t i v$ to bring to another place, $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ avoiviv to change opinion, to repent.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ - from its signification of prater in some compounds implies failure, and falsity, as ruoo $\beta \alpha i v s i v ~ \tau o v i s ~ v o ́ \mu o v s ~ t o ~-~$
 of truce, (oлоvidul.)


## § 149. negatives.

1. The Greek language has two simple negatives, ovi and $\mu \dot{\eta}$, from which all the more qualified negatives are formed by composition. Every proposition, however, containing one or more of these qualifed negatives is, in general, affected in the same way as if the simple negatives only, of which it is compounded, occurred in it. Every thing therefore, about to be affirmed of ov, holds
 to $\mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime}, \mu \eta d \varepsilon^{\prime}$ ', \&c:-
2. But between ov and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and their respective componnds, there is a total difference of use, which requires for its comprehension an exact observation of the classical writers; but of which the foundation is as follows.
a) $O \dot{v}$ is the direct independent negation, which utters, without reference to any thing else, the judgment or decision of denial;
 $\delta \varepsilon i s ~ \pi a u \tilde{\eta} \nu \& c$. A direct negation of this kind can never be expressed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ or its compounds. Such a denial may, however, be 260 expressed as uncertain, as ovix ờv $\beta$ हowioiunv'I woould not wish; or
 why is he not present?
b) Mí, on the other hand, is uniformly a dependent negative. It is therefore used in all propositions where the negation is represented not as a fact, but as something dependent on the conception of a subject. It is accordingly, the necessary particle in neg-

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu, \& c$. since all these represent a fact, not as such, but as a sup-
 after that), take ov, because they always refer to actual facts, as
 I ain not the brother of Hector. Homer. - Dependent also is every

- proposition implying design; and hence $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is used after ivva, ws, ör $\pi \omega s$, $\omega s t \varepsilon$, whenever these particles have that import.
c) $M \dot{\eta}$, without any such particle, and standing by itself, expresses design, wish, prayer, prohibition, in cases, where the Latins use ne and not non.
d) In the multitude of cases, in which $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is used after relatives, with infinitives, and participles, it is commonly easy to point out the dependent or conditional character of the negation.

3. When $\mu \dot{\eta}$ expresses a wish, it has always the optative, as $\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{v} v o \iota \tau 0, \mu \dot{\eta}$ üdocs гоv̈zo. In negative prayers and commands, it takes the present tense or the aorist, according as the action is conceived of as permanent or momentary, (which however is often arbitrary', according to § 138.5.) with this rule, viz. That with the present it takes only the imperative mode, with the aorist only the subjunctive, as $\mu \eta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, or $\mu \eta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \eta \dot{s}$.
4. The expression of a fear, which is positive in English, is
 ne quid accidat illi; I fear lest somewhat befal him.

It is obvious from $\$ 140.2,3$, that this subjunctive in connection with the past time and in dependent clauses passes into the optative.

Rem. 1. Sometimes the verb, which expresses the fear or the warning, remains in the idea, and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ in this case makes of itself a proposition, e.g.
5. $M \dot{r}$ is often only an emphatic particle of interrogation (whose negative quality is extinguished), somewhat stronger than $\mu \tilde{\omega} v$,


On the other hand, ov' is the negative question, when the asker wishes to be understood as affirming the proposition; as ov xai
 pects $y$ es in reply ; while the question by $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ commonly expects no.
6. When to a proposition already negatived, other conditions of a general nature are to be attached, such as ever; any body, any where, it is usual to do this by compounds of the same negative term, e. g.
 this.
 vwviav éxeと. Plato.
Thus also to the negation of the whole is attached, in the same .sentence, the negation of the parts, e. g.

So that two negatives do not (as in Latin) cancel each other. On the contrary they strengthen each other.

Rem. 2. To this last principle there are some exceptions deserving of note, as the phrase ouvicis östus oú (nemo non); e. g. ovedic östas ovं noinoeb nemo non faciet, there is no one who will not do this.


## § 150. of some other particles.

1. The use of the particles in Greek is so various and in part so difficult, that the most important will here begiven.
$\omega \mathrm{s}$, as a relative adverb, has the following significations, viz. 1) as, so as, as if; hence 2) of time, as $\omega \dot{s} \delta \dot{\delta} \eta^{j} \lambda \ni o v, ~ o v i ~$ $\pi \alpha\left(\eta_{v}\right.$ as I came, he was not present. 3) It strengthens the superlative, particularly in adverbs, as ois $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ as quick as possible, and of some adverbs, the positive, as ois $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega}$ certainly. 4) about; as ws $\pi \varepsilon v \tau \dot{\eta} x o v \tau \alpha$ about ffty.
As a conjunction, it signifies 1) that, as $\pi \alpha v \tau e s$ ó $\mu \mathrm{o}$ oyoũ-
 subjunctive, optative, or future indicative. 3) so that, with the infinitive, (commonly äste,) see § 141. 4. 4) inasmuch

 me to yield, for it appears that thou wilt not give me up.

For the preposition ws see $\$ 148$ Rem. 5 .
$\omega^{\prime \prime} s$ with the accent (see § 116.4) for ouvtcs, is very common with the poets, particularly the Ionics. In prose it is chiefly used only in the phrases xai ös and even thus, and its opposite oư wis nor thus.
öncos, as an adverb, means as; as a conjanction, in order that.
Iva, as an adverb, where; as a conjunction (§ 140. 2) also in order that, as iva $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ i wherefore? as it were 'in order that what? [should happen].'
ẅste so that, commouly with the infinitive (§ 141. 4 and $\$ 133$
Rem. 2.) With the other modes it means the same, but may be rendered therefore, itaque.
örct that, used before words quoted without change, as $\dot{\alpha} \pi e x i_{i}^{\prime}-$
 \&c.
$\ddot{o ̈}_{\tau \iota}$ also signifies because, elliptically for $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \tilde{u} \tau 0$ öt $\tau$, or the abbreviation of this phrase, diozc. It strengthens all superia-
 $\boldsymbol{g i \alpha}$, \&c.
tovivexa (this is an epic form) therefore. Oüvexic 1) wherefore. "q) as a conjunction, because, (for toû ẽvexa, où ह̈vexa.)
zi if; in the indirect question, whether, (see § 140.5.)
After $\boldsymbol{\imath a v \mu} \mu \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$ and some other verbs of the affections, $\varepsilon i$ should properly signify $i f$, and be used of doubtful things; but Attic cattion, unwilling to assert too positively, uses this conjunction, not for probable things only, but even for those which are entirely, certain, and so it stands, for ö öt e. e. g.
 סuvatov Ėส兀ı гoũıo (he woondered that-).
$\varepsilon i \times a i$ with the indicative, although. On the other hand, xai $\varepsilon i$ and $x \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \dot{i}$, unless, even if.
eïzcs, zïrc, properly if any one, if any thing, This word, however, is used as wholly synonymous with the pronoun östcs,

$\varepsilon i \gamma{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ is an optative exclamation, for which we otherwise find eive, 0 that !,
ėлei after, then:' 2) quoniam, since, Fr. puisque. Before questions and imperatives, it has the force of for, as $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \epsilon i \pi \omega \hat{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma$ ס九axoivoupev, aúró for how then could we distinguish it? inel

ö̈nov where. 2) as a conjunction, siquidem, since.
$\ddot{\alpha} \nu($ poetically $x \dot{\varepsilon}, x \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ) see $§ 140.7$ seq.

${ }_{c}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu$, particularly after verbs that signify to investigate, to see,
 it be adequate.

グ

or, which signification it always retains in questions, e.g. oürcus ṡaxiv ñ oux oüst; is it so? or thinkest thou not? $x i \tau^{2}$ .or is it not plain, that-?
In comparisons it signifies than, quam, e. g.
 Wholly different is
$\eta^{\jmath}$ whose original signification is certainly, but which is commonly only a sign of interrogation num?
ral and $\tau \varepsilon^{\prime}$ have the same significations in reference to each other, as et and que. When $\tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ precedes $x \alpha l$, the former signifies not only, the latter but also; e. g.
 $x \alpha \pi<$ 'hıл $\varepsilon \nu$.
$x \alpha i$ and $d \dot{\delta}$ in one clause (but separated from each other in prose) signify and also ; $\mathbf{e}_{\dot{\sim}} \mathrm{g}$.

 so for your wives and children.
$\dot{d}^{\prime} \dot{\text { b }}$ ut, has by no means always a disjunctive signification. In most cases it is merely a particle of transition to something

- else, where in English either simply and, or nothing whatever is used. For the Greeks use it, where no other particle could be used, to avoid the entire want of connerion between two sentences.
$\mu \dot{t} v$ and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ are two particles referring to each other, of which the same may be observed as of $\delta \dot{c}$ alone. They form a connexion like that of indeed-but, but are continually employed in Greek, where no such opposition of ideas is intended, as would be expressed in English by indeed in the protasis and but in the apodosis of a sentence. Nay, it is not unusual in the Greek, for a section or even a book to end itself thus, xai
 tion or book would begin $\tau \tilde{\eta} \delta^{\circ}$ vorc@aia on the next day.
 which has its origin in this opposition of particles, has been treated above, § 126 . Similar modes of division are formed by aid of the adverbs united with the same particles'; and not only are the demonstrative and relative forms, but even the indefinite, thus used; лovè $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu-\pi 0 \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, now-now, or at this time-at that. . So also voté qr oivé (for vóvধ and öve) and r $\tilde{y}$
 respect to all such constructions, it may be observed, that ó $\mu \dot{z} \nu, \dot{o}^{\circ} \delta^{\circ}$ ov̉, sometimes stands alone without a verb, with
reference to a preceding proposition, in which case the $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ contains a kind of affirmation in itself; e. $\mathbf{g}$.
 ed, and not this one, indeed, but that one not.
 not this one to be sure, and that one not, but all.
oùve and $\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \varepsilon$,
ovid $\dot{z}$ and $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. Both these forms express negation in connexion, and correspond to the Latin neque. They signify 1) and not.

2) oüv or $\mu$ ท่t $\boldsymbol{\text { repeated neither-nor. }}$

The forms oude $\mu$ クjds signify also 1) nor, 2) not even, which last signification they uniformly have, in the middle of a clause.
$\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ strengthened. It is often used emphatically where no single corresponding word in English can be given.
$\gamma \alpha \rho$ for, always follows other words, like the Latin enim. It has an extensive elliptical use requiring a reference in idea to small phrases, such as "1 believe," or "no wonder," understood.
$\theta^{\boldsymbol{7}} \nu$ therefore, follows other words. Of ous appended (as ©́stus$o \tilde{v} \nu$, \&c.) see $\$ 80.1$. and § 116.7. Here are to be remarked the following, viz.

1) oủxoũv properly an interrogative of inference, as ov่xoũy $\varepsilon ข ้ \eta \vartheta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ; ~ i s ~ n o t ~ t h i s ~ t h e n ~ f o o l i s h ? ~ O f t e n, ~ h o w e v e r, ~ t h e ~$ interrogative force and with it the negation vanishes, and ouxouvy is to be translated simply therefore, and begins a clause.
2) oṽxouv is the strengthened negation. In the signification therefore not (without a question,) it is better to write oủx ov้̉.
$\alpha{ }^{5}$ again. 2) on the other side, vice versd. 3) farther, then too. rolv before, is a comparative in signification, and therefore, when it refers to another proposition, takes the particle $\ddot{\eta}_{\text {, }}$
 came. 'The $\eta$ 'h however is often omitted, and $\pi \rho / v$ becomes
 fers to the future.
$\nu \tilde{v} \nu \delta \dot{\eta} j u s t$ now, and with preterites $j u s t$ before.
r $\omega^{\prime}$ and $\pi \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon \varepsilon$ glance at past time, and commonly stand with
 never yet; from which negatives, however, they may be disjoined by other intervening words. The idea thus far, hitherto, prevails in all these phrases. Thence ovidizore means never in general, and in reference also to the future; ou'detcito $\varepsilon$ never, only in reference to the past.
z'z $\iota$ alone signifies yet, farther; and with the negatives ouxtris, $\mu \eta x z ̇ \tau i$, no more, no longer.
$\mu \alpha^{\prime}$ and $v \eta^{\prime}$ are particles of obtestation, always governing the accusative of the object sworn by, as vì Llia by Jupiter. $N \eta \eta^{\prime}$ is always an affirmative oath; $\mu \dot{\alpha}$, on the contrary, is attached both to affirmative and negative obtestations, as $\nu \alpha i \mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta l \alpha$, and ov $\mu \dot{\alpha} \angle$ Al' $^{\prime}$. When, however, it stands alone, it is negative, $\mu \alpha$ $1 i \alpha$, no, by no means.
2. These and other particles have an extensive use, which must be learned by individual observation. The older grammarians speak of expletive particles; but an expletive use of particles is the most that should be assumed. There are, in all languages, particles conveying shades of meaning so delicate as to prevent a translation, but not imperceptible to the skilful reader. The Greek language is preeminent for particles of this kind; and though their force must be left to be learned by careful study, the, following observations may be of use.
$\gamma^{\varepsilon}$ (enclitic) properly signifies at least, in which sense yoüv is more common. Besides this, it is almost always used whenever a single object or a part is named with reference to the whole or a greater number. For this reason it is often appended to $\bar{z} \gamma \omega^{\prime}(\tilde{z} \gamma \omega \bar{\varepsilon}$, ) whereby the individual thinks of himself in distinction from the mass, as it were, I for my part. It may often be translated by certainly.
á $\rho \alpha$ never stands at the beginning of the clause. It means, 1 ) and most frequently therefore; 2) where it appears expletive, it has a shade of meaning like in the nature of things, of course, ex ordine, rite. Hence it serves to mark the transition to an expected proposition. 3) After $\varepsilon i$, $z \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$, it signifies something like perhaps.

The interrogative $\tilde{\alpha}_{\alpha} \rho \alpha$, always placed at the beginning of the clause, signifies num ?
$\boldsymbol{\tau o i}$ (enclitic) is properly an ancient form of the dative for $\boldsymbol{\tau} \bar{\omega}$ and means in consequence of, which signification, though it has vanished in the single word, remains in composition, as zor-
 clusion or inference an idea like and now I say further, but now, is introduced. The $\tau 01$, which stands alone, retains the power of strengthening or rendering emphatic.
xaizoc and certainly. 2) and doubtless, and yet, indeed. 3) atthough.
$\mu \dot{\text { ív}} \mathbf{\tau}$ o c certainly; hence 2) but, however, a stronger expression of $\delta \dot{k}$.
$\delta \dot{\eta}$ properly now, for which $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$ is commonly used; whence it is used in various ways to strengthen the power of a phrase,
as $\ddot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \dot{\partial} \dot{\eta}$ come on then, ' $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ what then $?$ After relatives, as östus on', onov $\partial \dot{\eta}^{\prime}, *$ it adds generality to the ever ; whosoever, wheresocter.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ a confirmative particle truly. 2) But certainly, however, and $\gamma^{i} \mu \eta^{\prime} \geqslant$, certainly but. It is therefore also a strengthened $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Kai $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ immo, yea, and in contradiction atqui, and yet.

After interrogatives, which follow a negative of the interlocutor, $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is used to signify then, as nócz $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$; when then? $\tau i S ̧ \mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$; who then? (that is, who else?) $x i \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$; why not?
$\eta^{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is the common form of swearing or asseveration, sometimes with the indicative, e.g.

Sometimes with the infinitive, depending on other verbs; e.g. $\sigma^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu \mu \iota, \eta^{3} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ I promise solemnly to give.
 solemnly that they should not want food.
ovं $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ but not ; 2) a negative asseveration corresponding to the affirmative $\eta \eta^{\eta} \mu \nu$.
$\nu \dot{v}, \nu \dot{v} \nu$ (short and enclitical, except in the lonic dialect used only in the poets,) properly synonymons with $\nu \tilde{v} \nu$, more commonly, however, equivalent to owv now, therefore.
$\pi \varepsilon^{\circ} \rho$ (enclitic) altogether; hence $\omega ̈ \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ properly means altogether as ; xaitre although indeed, in which sense tí alone often stands.
лотé (enclitic) ever; in questions it has an expression of admiration, as tis noté '̇oriv oúros who may this be?
лои́ (enclitic) any where; 2) perhaps.

## § 151. various phrases.

$\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta_{n} n i s i$, except, but.
öt! $\mu \dot{\eta}$ after a negation except, but.
$\mu \eta_{i}^{\prime} t y^{\varepsilon}$ nedum.
 these phrases have, in the main, the same signification. Originally a verb was omitted with the negation, as ov $\lambda r^{\prime} y o$ I say not that, \&c. or $\mu \dot{\eta}$ einoló $\beta$ ỵs think not that, \&c:
a) If another proposition follow with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, all the phrases just given signify not only.
b) If $\alpha^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\wedge}$ ovjd' (but not evert), they all mean not only not.
c) If the other proposition precedes, and ovi ört, \&cc. follow, it means not to mention, nedum.

[^71]öбov oủ or óoovov', tantum non, neairly, almost, as zòv $\mu$ '̇̀ $\lambda$ ovic $\alpha$
 isting war.
öcos, in $\vartheta \alpha u \mu \alpha \sigma x o ̀ v o ̈ \sigma o v$, resembles the Latin mirum quantum, i. e. so much that it is to be wondered at, i. e. very much. In like manner, it precedes or' follows superlatives of quantity, às
 sible.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \vartheta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \nu$ stands (according, to the rule in § 144.5) for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \dot{z} \dot{e} x i-268$
 for what you gave me. It is also used for àvnì zoízov ör for
 thou camest. In like manner,
 for $\boldsymbol{z \pi i}$ - roitu as, and since $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi i$ with the dative carries an intimation of connexion ( $\left(148\right.$ Rem. 4.) $\dot{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{j}}$ signifies under the
 condition thou keep it secret.
 ever, commonly, an infinitive, with it ; e. g.
 the condition that they should make laws.

alos, before an infinitive, so constructed that, \&sc. e. g.

 structed as to cut-as receiving from them to grind.
 culated to profit by any thing.
oiós $\tau \varepsilon$. (or otóstz, oióstz) means, of persons, able; of things, possible, e, g.


$\tau^{J}{ }^{\tilde{\prime}} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, for $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$, in other respects, i. e. for the rest, else, as $\tilde{\varepsilon} \sigma-$
 spects, happy; hence
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha-$ with $x \alpha i$ in the following clanse, as in other things
-so, particularly, in this;- e.g.
 in other things he is prosperous, so particularly in having dutiful children. (Compare xai and $\tau \varepsilon$ in the precediag' section.)
Hence is formed the elliptical phrase $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \times \alpha \dot{\prime}$, which means strictly among other things also; but which is to be translated, in an especial manner.
äd_loos кє xal - signifies also especially.
roùvariov, to $\lambda \varepsilon y o \mu \varepsilon y o v, ~ a n d ~ o t h e r ~ p a r e n t h e t i c a l ~ p h r a s e s, ~ s e e ~$ in § 131 Rem. 4.

 gin in thy head, and that armed?
$\alpha v \pi \tilde{y}, \alpha \dot{2} \pi n_{1}, \& c$. with the omiseian of aúv, signify tagether with;
 ed, with their oremos.
rро̀ той, better прогой before, formerly, for noò coúzou roũ доо́vov.
 this time forward. (Compare § 132. 5. c.)
xodioũ deí, impersonal, it wants much thereto; for which is found aleo $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{0} \tilde{v}$ déo, I am far from e. g. dévecy coño anying this. Very often the infinitive absolute (see § 141 Rem. 2) is used, modiouvi d\&iv as it were so that it is fur from, i. e. certainly not,
 mould say that.

So too, for the opposite idea, use is made of $\mu$ uxpo $\tilde{v}$ or àlyou deit, d'̇o, deiv, to expsess non multum abest quin, nearly; as odiyov déw eimeiv I could almost say. Oxijov or $\mu c x \rho o \tilde{v}$ is often found alone in this senae.
 greatly prize, it is highly important to me that; so also $\pi \in \rho i$
 xoov \&c.
$\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{1} \lambda_{\text {doy }} \delta_{6}^{\prime}$ standing alone must alvays be transiated or rather.
$\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ̇ \nu$ (in reference to the following $\varepsilon i^{\prime} \delta \dot{z} \mu \eta_{\eta}^{\prime}$ ) it were best
 $\varepsilon i \delta z \mu \dot{\eta}$, ascquyiav, cordemn him, it were best to deach, if not, to perpetual exile.
$\omega \ddot{\omega} q \mathrm{z} 0 \mathrm{v}$ (in writers not Attic őqelov) I should have; hence it ac-
 O that I had never done it! partly with ais or with eive, cí yó $O$ that, utinam, e.g.
ws augadss $\pi \alpha$ квĩva $O$ hadst thou. been present.
$\varepsilon i ́ \gamma \alpha \varrho$ cóq edov $\vartheta \alpha \nu \varepsilon i \nu O$ that I had died.
oúuédec heed not ; hence doubtless, certainly.

 before a plural ; e. g.
 wounded.
 not thus.
This phrase was then regarded altogether as one word, as
 please some.


 thou admired some men for their wisdom?
 person or universally) all signify it is lawuful. More accurately considered, however, $̇ \nu \downarrow \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ refers to the physical possibility, it is possible; $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ to the moral possibility, it is lavaful; $\varepsilon$ ert is both the one and the other, as is also $\pi \alpha 0$ eozcv, with an expression, however, of ease.
 $\tau \iota y$ it is possible; hence with superlatives wis ève $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ as much at possible.
ws ěँлos eineiv so to say.
$z_{v}$ rois, when these words precede a superlative, they signify omnium; among all, before all, \&c.
 ent before all others.
 more severely than all others.
Eiv ioîs лןávy $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon y^{\prime} v z \tau 0$ she arrived before all the qthers.
These phrases are to be supplied by a participle, 㣌 $\begin{gathered}\text { y } \\ \text { coís }\end{gathered}$

oi $\alpha^{\prime} \mu \varphi i$ or oi $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ with'an accusative, as oí $\alpha \mu \varphi i^{\prime \prime}$. $A v v \tau o v$, commonly signifies not only those with or about Anỳtus, but Anytus and his company; of aunqi Oadiñ Thales and his sebsol. The Attic writers even use this phraseology in some cases, when a single person only' is spoken of; but always with some uncertainty, whether it be one or more.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \underline{\xi} v$ among, between. This particle is placed as an adverb before a participle, as follows.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ while he was walking.
 supper.
čerect, with an-adverb, means to be ; e. g.
xadâs ć' $^{\prime} \chi \varepsilon \iota$ it is well.
ws silxe as he was.
Sometimes with a genitive of specification; e. g.

ais raxoũs \&ilxov, eïnovro they followed, with what swiftness their nature admitted.
So too before prepositions; e. g.



## APPENDIX ON VERSIFICATION.

1. To the full understanding of what follows, it is necessary to. make a remark on the different sorts of verse and their connexion with the dialects.

All the varieties of Greek verse proceed originally from these three sorts, viz.

The Epic or narrative.
The Lyric adapted to singing.
The Dramatic.
3. Each of these different sorts of poetry appropriated to itsèlf, in its perfection, one of the Grecian tribes. The Epic attained its bighest cultivation among the lonians, the Lyric among the Dorians and Eolians, the Dramatic among the Attics. Hence it was, that each of these sorts of verse, in language, metre, and musical character, united the character and more or less of the dialect of the tribe to which it belonged, with the peculiarities which it possessed in its own nature. See § 1.9 seq.*
3. What particularly deserves notice in respect to the metre and poetical quantity, is, that the epic dialect inclines more to soffness, and, in order to bring the narration more easily under the restraints of versé, to freedom in the forms and pronunciation of words. On the other hand, dramatic poetry, particularly the comedy, having its origin in the language of real life, confines itself more closely to received forms, and of consequence to the language and pronunciation of the Attic tribe, of which few sacrifices

[^72]are made to the metre. The lyric poetry in this respect approaches nearer to the epic, from which, as the mother of all Greek poetry, it derived a considerable part of its poetical language and phraseology, uniting them, however, with the rougher and harsher peculiarities of the Doric dialect, and thus exempting the melody of the sang from the monotony of narrative poetry.

## Versification.

4. The alternation of long and short syllables is called Rhythm, Inasmuch as this alternation is ascertained by certain laws regulating it by measure, it is called Metre. And a single portion, which can be embraced at once by the ear, as-a metrical whole, is called a Verse.
5. Verses are divided into smaller metrical portions called Feet, of which the following are the most common.

| Spondee (- ) | S |
| :---: | :---: |
| Trochee (-0) | doũ̉os |
| Jambus (v - | dójous |
| Pyrrhic ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}u \\ u\end{array}\right)$ | גójos |
| Dactyle (-uv) | тข่лтย |
| Anapæst (uv-) | $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau<\alpha \iota$ |
| Tribrachys ( u uv) | $\lambda \dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. |

Rem. 1. In the examples here used, each word constitutes a foot ; but verses are measured by feet, of which the beginning and end may be in the middle of words.
6. In measuring feet and verses, the short syliable is assumed as the unit, and the long syllable is regarded as double the short. Every such unit is called a time or mora; so that the Tribrachys is equally long with the Trochee and Iambus, and the Spondee equally long with the Dactyle and Anapæst.
7. The length and shortness of the syllables is ascertained by the rules given in the grammar under the head of Prosody (§7), to which may be added, for metrical use, the followiag principles.
a) Position takes place even between two syllables belonging to words in immediate succession; and this without exception,
when the two consonants are also divided betweea the words, the one belonging to the former and the other to the latter; as in dójos radós, yos is long by position in consequence of the $x$, which follows it. In respect, however, to that position, where a short vowel closes a word, and the two consenants or a double consonant begin the next word, the urage fluctuates.
b) Mutes before liquids (see under Prosody § 7. 9, 10, produce position in the Ionic dialect. This position, therefore, generally lengthens the syllable in the epic language; while, on the other hand, a short vowel before the mute and liquid is always short in dramatic poetry.
c) The long vowel and diphthong at the end of a word, when the next begins with a vowel, lose their natural length, for the most part, in the epic and lyric languages, and become short, except when the stress falls upon them, as

| $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v}$ ă@ıбtos | $\bar{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ̌ \tau \alpha \check{~ \alpha \lambda y o s ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

In Attic poetry this case could not occur, see below, no. 8.
Rem. 2. In a few words, particularly in soceiv, the diphthong in the middle of a word before a vowel is short. See the example below, no. 17.
d). In most species of poetry the last syllable of the verse is common; that is, the long syllable may be used, though the foot require a short one, and the reverse.
8. With one or two exceptions, the Hiatus is wholly forbidden in Attic poetry.* In the other dialects, it oftener occurs, particularly before certain words. $\dagger$ The long vowel, at the end of a word, does not constitute a hiatus in the epic language, but is merely made short, according to no. 7. c.
9. In all kinds of poetry, it is common for two vowels to be written at length, which in scanning are to be read in one sylla-

[^73]ble, as a crasis, a contraction, or an elision; as $\mu \dot{\eta} \circ \dot{v}$, and the last syllables of $\tau \varepsilon i \chi \in \alpha$, лó $\lambda \varepsilon \omega s$, П $\Pi \lambda \eta i \bar{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \omega$, \&cc. This is called by the Greek grammarians a EuviGnous or $\sum u v e x \varphi \omega \dot{v} \eta \sigma u s$.
10. In some sorts of verse, a syllable sometimes remains at the end, when the verses have been divided into feet. Such a syllable is called Catalectic. A verse whose laws require such a syllable instead of a full foot at the end, is called a catalectic verse. If the syllable be regarded as superfluous, the verse is called hypercatalectic.

The kinds of Verse.
11. The most.common kinds of xerse are those which consist of the frequent repetition of one and the same foot; and among these the dactylie, the iambic, the trochaic, and the anapastic verse are the most familiar.
12. The best known among the dactylic verses is the hexameter, of which epic or heroic poetry avails itself in unbroken succession to the exclusion of every other kind of verse. It consists of five dactyles and a spondee.

$$
\operatorname{-\infty \cup |-uv|-uv|-uv|-uv|-\infty }
$$

Instead of either of the four first feet a spondee may be used, and in consequence of the last syllable of every verse being common (agreeably to no. 7. d) a trochee may stand instead of the last foot; e.g.








Rem. 3. Sometimes, instead of the dactyle in the fifth place, a spondee is admitted, and such a verse is called Spondaic ; e.g.

-vol-vol-vol-vul--1-v
or

$$
-\left.v\right|_{1-}-1-v o 1-1--1-v
$$

13. The dactylic pentameter consists of two halves united by a final syllable, each of which halves contains two dactyles and a catalectic syllable, e. g.

$$
-v \dot{\mid}|-v v|-\|-v v|-v v|-
$$

The two first dactyles alone may be supplied by spondees. The middle syllable is always long; the last, as the final syllable, may be long or short. This sort of verse is commonly found in connexion with hexameter, the two sorts being used in alternately succeeding lines. A poem in this sort of verse, is called "Eizyoc, Elegi, for which, at a later period, the name Elegia was used; and a maxim or inscription consisting of one such couplet (díotcxov), or a few, was called 'EleyEiov ; e. g.









$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - vo|--|-vu|-vo|-vo|-v } \\
& \text { - - |- - |-| - vo|-iv|v } \\
& \text { - vol- - |-vo|-vo|-vo|- - } \\
& \text { - v v|-vol-\|-vo| - vo|v } \\
& \text { - - |-vo|-vo|--|-vo|- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - vo|--|-vv|-vo|-vu|-v } \\
& \text {-vol--|-||-vol-vol- }
\end{aligned}
$$

14. The iambic, trochaic, and anapaestic verse is measured by dipodes or pairs of feet, in consequence of which, those consisting of four feet, are called dimesers, and those consisting of six feet are called trimeters, \&c. On the other hand, the Latin names of quaternarius, senarius, \&c. refer to the number of feet.
15. Every iambic dipode, instead of the first lambus, may have 277 a Spondee; accordingly we find,

$$
\text { . } v-, v-\text { or }+-, v-
$$

Hence it follows, that in every iambic verse in the odd place, (in sede impari, $1,3,5,7$.) a Spondee may be found.
16. In every foot, moreover, a fong syllable may be resolved into two short ones. Heace the Tribrachye may be used in all places instead of the Iambus, with the exception of the last Iambus in the verse, in the place of which only the Pyrrhich, and that in virtue of the final syllable being common, is admitted. In the odd places, a Dactyle or an Anapæst may be used instead of the Spondee.

Rem. 1. In feet of four times, the Anapæst may also stand in the even places.
17. Hence follows for the iambic trimeter the following scheme.


The irregular feet, however, particularly the trisyllables, must not be so common, that the iambic character of the verse is obscured.




$$
\begin{aligned}
& --, v-1 v-, v-1-\infty, v- \\
& v-, v-1 v-, v-1-, v- \\
& --, v-1-, v-1-\infty-
\end{aligned}
$$













18. Besides the senarius, the most common iambic verse, is the tetrameter catalecticus; e. g.


$$
--, v-|v-, v-|v-, v-| v-, v
$$

The rules and licences of this verse are in the main the same as those of the senarius, and the catalectic syllable is common.
19. The trochaic dipode may have a spondee in the place of the second trochee, e. g.

$$
\dot{-} v,-v \text { or }-v,-
$$

Hence it follows that in every trochaic verse, the Spondee may be admitted in the even places (in sede pari 2, 4, 6, 8.) Besides this the rule also prevails here, that every long syllable may be resolved into two short ones. The Tribrachys accordingly may stand
in all places, ind the Dactyle and Anapast (instead of the Spondee) in the even places.

Rem. 5 . Of the four timed feet the Dactyle is also found in the odd places.
20. The most common trochaic verse is the tetrameter catalecticus; e.g.

$-v,-1-v,-1-v,-v \mid-v, \infty$

vuv, - v| - v, vu- 1 - v, - - 1-v,
21. In anapaestic verse, by uniting the shorts into a long, the Spondee may stand instead of the Anapaest, and by resolving the long again into shorts, the Dactyle may be introduced. As an example, may be quoted a specimen of the tetrameter catalectious, so common in the comedians, as follows.







$-\vee v,--|--, \cup v-|\rightarrow-,--| \cup \cup-,-$


22. That part of a foot, which receives the ictus, the stress of the rhythm (the beat of the time) is called arsis or elevation. To denote it, the common acute accent is used ('). The rest of the foot is called thesis or depression. The natural arsis is the long syllable of the foot, so that the Spondee ( -- ) and the Tribrachys $(\sim \cup v)$ leave it alike uncertain where the arsis falls. The fundamentalfoot of a verse, however, (that is, the Iambus in iambic, the Dactyle in dactylic verse, , determines the arsis for all
the other feet, which may be used as substitutes for it. The Spondee, accordingly, in iambic and anapaestic verse, is accented thas - - , in trochaic and in dactylie, thus - -. Hence tovrov (without any respect to its prosaic accent), -when it stands instead of an lambus or Anapaest, must be read rouroú, when it stands instead of a Trochee or Dactyle, zovizov. Inammuch, too, as the stress or ictus of a long syllable, in consequence of the two moras or times which it contains, falls on the first of them, it is to be placed when the said long syllable is actually resolved into two short ones, necessarily on the first.

Therefore, when the Tribrachys stands for the Iambus, it is pronounced $\cup \dot{\cup} u$, when it stands for the Trochee it is pronounced $\dot{\sim} u u$. The Dactyle, instead of a Spondee, with the stress on the last syllable $(-\dot{-})$, is to be read $-\dot{\sim} \cup$; but the Anapaest, which is substituted for the Spondee with the opposite atress $\perp-$, is accented $\dot{\cup} \cup-$. It is therefore necessary to read $\lambda \dot{E}-$ yere, lépercu, when these worde stand as trochaic or dactylic feet, and $\lambda \in y \dot{\xi} \tau \varepsilon$, $\lambda$ eyércat when they stand as iambic or anapaestic. Yet in reading, care must be taken not to consume more time in pronouncing the short syllable on account. of the arsis, for this would make long syllables and so destroy the metre.

The following are intended for examples in laying the metrical stress; in doing which, of course, no regard is to be had to the natural or grammatical accent.

Hexameter.*

$$
\perp v o|\perp v o| \perp v o|\perp v o|-v o 1 \perp-
$$

Example.


$$
\perp \text { vol- } 1 \perp \text { vo } 1 \perp-1 \perp \text { vo } 1 \perp-
$$

[^74]
## Pentameter.

$$
\perp \text { vol } 1 \text { w }|\perp| 1 \perp \text { w } \mid \perp \text { uv } \mid \perp
$$

Example.
 $\perp-|\perp-1 \perp| 1-\left.v\right|_{1-v} ^{-} \mid-$

Senarius.

$$
v \perp, v \perp|v \perp, v \perp| v \perp, v \perp
$$

Example.



v v

Iambic tetrameter catalect.

$$
u \stackrel{\perp}{4}, v \perp 1 u-, v \perp 1 u \perp, v \perp \mid v \perp, v
$$

## Examples.





Trochaic tetrameter catadect.

$$
\perp v, \perp v|\perp v, \perp u| \perp v, \perp v \mid \perp v,-
$$

## Example.







Anapastic tetrameter catalect.


## Examples.




## Cosura.

23. Cæsura is properly the division of a metrical or rhythmical connexion, by the ending of a word. There is accordingly, 1) a cæsura of the foot, 2) a cæsura of the rhythm, 3) a cæsura of the verse, which must be carefully distinguished, as the word $C a=$ sura, without qualification, is applied to all three.
24. The coesura of the foot, in which a word terminates in the middle of a foot, is the least important, and without great influence on the verse, as the division into feet is in a great degree arbitrary.
25. The caesura of the rhythm is that, in which the arsis falls on the last syllable of a word, whereby the arsis is separated from the thesis. Such a final syllable receives by the ictus a peculiar emphasis; so that the poets often place a short syllable in this situation, which becomes long thereby, and sustains alone the arsis. This lengthening by caesura, as it is called, is particularly familiar in epic poetry, e.g.

[^75]26. The caesura of the verse exists, when the termination of a word falls on a place in the verse where one rhythm agreeable to the ean closes and another begins. The estimation of this belongs to the minuter acquaintance with versification. In a more limited sense, by the caesura of the verse is understood such a caesura in certain places in the verse, one of which is necessary to every good verse of the kind. Reference is had to this when it is said of a verse, that it has no caesura. Whereupon may be remarked:
a) That some kinds of verse have their caesura on a fixed place. Of this kind among the foregoing verses are 1) the pentameter which requires a word to end in the place marked above. This caesura can never be omitted. (2) The iambic, anapaestic; and trochaic_tetrameter catalectic, which all have their natural caesura at the end of the fourth foot. This caesura may be neglected.
b) Other kinds of verse have more than one place for the caesura, the choice of which is left to the poet. One, however, generally predominates over the rest. In hexameter this is commonly in the middle of the third foot, and either directly after its arsis, as


or in the middle of the thesis of a Dactyle, e. g.

The first species is called the masculine or male cæsura, the second the female or trochaic cæsura. It rarely happens that both. are absent from this third foot. Should they be wanting however, they are usually supplied by a cæsura in the second or one in the fourth foot, which are generally masculine, and the verse is the more harmonious, if both are used.

## THE DIGAMMA.*

In addition to the smooth and aspirated breathings, the ancient language had another, which remained longest among the Jtolians. This is most commonly galled, from the appearanc of the character $\mathcal{F}$, used to denote it, Digamma, that is a double 1. It was a true consonant, and appears to have had the force of $f$ or $v$. It was attached to several words, which, in the more familinr dialect, had the smooth or the rough breathing. The whole doctrine, however, of the Digamma, for want of literary monuments remaining from the period when it was most in use, is exceedingly obscure. With reapect to the application of the Digamma to the criticism of the text of Homer, a subject of so much note in modern times, the whole rests on the following remarkable observation. A certain number of words beginning with a vowel, among which the most common are the following, ov , ol, $\bar{z}$, zïdro, oixa,

284 kindred words, have a hiatus so often before them, that if these words be excepted, the hiatus, at present so common in Homer, becomes very rare, and in most remaining cases has some partlcular justification. The same words, in comparison :with others beginning with a vowel, are very rarely preceded by an apostrophe, and the immediately preceding long vowels and diphthongs are far less frequently made short, than before other vowels. The observation of these facts authorizes the assumption of something in the beginning of those words, to prevent the apostrophe and the shortening of the long vowels and to remove the hiatas. Since even short syllables ending in a consonant, as os, ov, are often made long before such words-although not in cæaura-just as if a position existed, the conjecture has been advanced in modern times, that all those words in the age of Homer were possessed of - this initial breathing $f$ or $v$, of a force equivalent to a coasonant, but had lost it before the poems of Homer, at a later period, were committed to writing. Inasmuch as in this interval, as well as afterwards, the poems of Homer were subject to no inconsiderable changes and accidents, affecting the condition of the text, it is easy to account for those instances in which even these indications of the Digamma have disappeared from the Homeric poems. To which may be added, that the transition or gradual disappearance of the digamma may already have begun in the time of Homer, and several words have been pronounced sometimes with and sometimes without it.

[^76]
## GREEK GRAMMATHCAL TERMO．



Bapuzovov，having a grave on the last syllable．
IEvos，gender．
IEv८xท，genitive． पQov́s，aspirate．

Alxoovov，doubtful．
Lozexท́，dative．
Mvixós，dual．
＊Eyx ${ }^{2}$ © $\sigma \varsigma$ ，mode．
＂Ex才luчıs，elision．
＇Evecynt $\iota x \ddot{\eta}$ ，active．
－Eveatós，present．
${ }_{-}^{2}$ Evixós，singular．
＇Eniverov，adjective，epithet．
＇Eni＠ŋŋ $\eta \mu \alpha$ ，adverb．
Eu̇धとía，nominative．．

EXixzıx ${ }^{\prime}$ ，optative．
285
＇Eq\＆$\lambda x v \sigma \tau \iota x o ́ v, ~ a t t r a c t e d, ~(e . g . f i-~$ nal $\nu$ before a wowef．）
${ }^{\text {＇}} H_{\mu}$ iquva，semi－vowelf，i．e．the liquids，and $\sigma$ ．
Ó $\mu \alpha$ ，theme．
Ocrixóv，simple，positive．
Onduxov，feminine．

Kdivev，to decline．
Kגiaıs，declension，conjugation．
K＠$\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \varsigma$, crasis．
Kúpov övo $\mu \alpha$, proper name．
M＇̇ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，future．
 future．

MÉvos，middlé＇
Msxox $\dot{\eta}$, participle．
＂Oroua，noun．
＇Ovo ${ }^{2} \alpha \sigma \tau \iota x$ र́，nominative．
＇Ogús，acute．
－OĖv̇rovov，oxyton．
＇O＠૭ウ́，nominative．


$\Pi \alpha \vartheta \eta \pi \iota x \eta_{,}$passive．
Парахєiцвvos，perfect．

IIopogúrovò̀，having an acute on the penultima．

Пॉeюбт ${ }^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ，having a circum－ flex on the last．
IIA $\dot{\gamma}$ bos，oblique．

ITnovvcteós, plural.
Пveĩua, a breathing.
Hoбórทs, quantity.
$\Pi \rho \dot{\partial} \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$, preposition.
Пролацоక̌vtovov, having an acute on the antepenultima.
Поотєюьблшнцуоv, having a circumflex on the penult.
IIoes $\eta$ yopocióv, substantive. Hios $\tau \alpha x \tau x \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$, imperative.
ПIoosqdi $\alpha$, accent.
IIро́soitov, person.
Пта́бus, case.
'P $\tilde{\eta}_{\mu \alpha}$, verb.
$\sum$ Kocxein, letters.
Ereyun', stop.
इuyxoctexóv, comparative. $2 u \zeta u y l a$, conjugation.

Ev $\lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \eta^{\prime}$, syllable.
$\sum^{2} \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \iota x \eta$, syllabic (augment).之íu甲arva, consonants.
Nuyadolg $\eta^{\prime}$, contraction.
Zív $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu O$, ; conjunction.
$\sum v v i 5 \eta \sigma \mu$, , contraction in verse.
$T \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \sigma \tau c y \mu \dot{\eta}_{2}$ a full stop.
Th'vos, accent.
${ }^{\text {' Typo }}$, liquids.




Фavinjevz $\alpha$, vowells.
X $\alpha \sigma \mu \omega \delta i \alpha$, hiatus.
$X \rho o \nu i x \eta \dot{n}$, temporal (augment).
Xoóvos, time, tense.
$\Psi i \lambda \dot{o}$, soft.

## EXPLANATION OF GRAMMATICAL TERMS．

Abundans a case of the same noun used in two different forms．
Anacoluthon a construction in which the end does not grammatically correspond with the beginning，used for brevity or emphasis．
Asastrophe moving the Accent back．
Aphaeresis the cutting off of one or more letters at the beginning I of a word，as $\varepsilon i \not \beta \omega$ for $\lambda \varepsilon i \beta \omega, \eta \eta^{\eta}$ for $\varphi \tilde{\eta}$ or $\tilde{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta$ ．
Apocope，cutting off one or more letters at the end，as $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha^{\prime}$ ．
Apodosis the last part of a sentence．
Apposition the adding of a noun to the preceding noun，in the same case，for the sake of explanation，as Küpos $\beta \alpha \sigma c \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v}{ }^{\prime}$ ．

＇Asyndeton different parts of a sentence not joined together by a connective particle．
Attraction see § 142 and 144.
Causative verbs，§ 114．1．note．
Characteristic the letter preceding the $\omega$ at the end of a verb．In $\pi \tau, \pi \tau, \mu \nu$ ，the former letter is the characteristic．$\S 91$ ．
Connective vowel（called also mode－vowel，）see § 87 Rem．1：
Correlatives，§ 78．1．§ 116.
Crasis a contraction of two vowels into a long one，§ 28.
Diaeresis the division of a diphthong in two syllables as äü $\pi v o s$, § 15．3．§ 27 Rem． 3.
Diastole and hypodiastole，see $\$ 15.2$＂stops and marks．＂
Elision the omission of the former of two successive vowels．
Ellipsis the omission of one or more words，as $\sum v$＇Alaxipıc⿱⿰㇒土儿口oov in the house of Alcibiades，$\delta \omega \omega_{\mu}$ being understood．
Epenthesis the insertion of a letter in the middle of a word，as $\pi \tau o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \mu 0 s$ for $\pi \delta^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \mu \sigma s$.
Heteroclite a noun of irregular declension．
Hiatus the concurrence of an initial with a final vowel．

Hyperbaton a construction where words are placed out of their na-
 in another.
Metaplasm the name given to a noun, that forms its cases from an obsolete nominative.
Metathesis transposition of letters, see § 19 Remi: q.
Paragoge adding a letter at the end, as $\bar{\varepsilon} \nu \mathrm{f}$ for Ev,
Prosthesis addition of one or more letters at the beginning of a word, as $\sigma \mu i x \rho o ́ s$ for $\mu$ cxpós.
Protasis, the first part of a sentence.
Symacresis the contraction of vowels.
Syncope omission of one or more letters in the middle of a word. Synizesis or synecphonesis, contraction in verse.
Tmesis separation of the preposition of a componnd varb from it
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[^0]:    * Griechische Grammatik vorzüglich des'Homerischen Dialects, 2d ed. 1818.

[^1]:    * Now of Nassau Hall, Princeton, N. J.

[^2]:    * A work of this kind has since been compiled and given to the public by one of the editers of the present edition of this grammar.

[^3]:    * This work has since been pablished, and is now extensively used in this country.

[^4]:    - The Doric future in $\sigma 0 \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \iota, \xi o \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \iota$.
    + Such as the Attic declension in $\omega S$; $\xi \dot{v} v$ for $\sigma \dot{v} v$ \&c.

[^5]:     to plough.

[^6]:    * Also by some modern writers at the end of a syllable, a distinction however which leads to great difficulty, if extended beyond the most familiar compositions, as those with the enclitics and with roós, $\varepsilon i^{\prime} s$, és and perhaps $\delta u c$.
    +5 is called sti or stigma, 5 koppa, and $O$ sanpi.
    $\ddagger$ We make use in this grammar of the Erasmian, because it incontestably approaches nearest the ancient. This appears from the manner in which Greek names are written by the Latins (as in the names of the letters above in the alphabet,) and Latin names by the Greeks. There are

[^7]:    * It is a modern error to write the $\alpha v$ and $\varepsilon v$ before a vowel in Latin with a $v$. It would be more correct to write Agaue, Euan, from' $A y \alpha v \eta$, Eứv.

[^8]:    * As the aspirate is represented in modern languages by $h$, so the soft breathing is the oriental Alif, and it has an actual force. Every vowel uttered without a consonant, and of course every one which is pronounded separately from the preceding letters, must be pronounced with an andible, though gentle impulse or breathing. The ancients were led to denote it the rather, as they. wrote without a division of words.

[^9]:    * The elder Greek grammarians included under the head of jooo@diac not only the quantity, but the accents, and breathings. The subject of quantity is here treated not in reference to poetry, but to pronunciation in general.

[^10]:    * We are not to suppose from this, that there is in the nature of the vowels $\alpha, \iota, v$, in every case something doubtful and wavering between long and short. All the single vowels are in certain words positively long, in certain others positively short. But only in the $e$ and $o$ sounds did the Greek alphabet contain for each case a separate sign or letter. 'In the other three we learn their quantity in each separate case, from the usage of the poets, as we learn it in Latin in the case of all the vowels.

[^11]:    * Great care must be taken in these cases to ascertain whether the vowel be not long by nature, for then it must remain long; as $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \vartheta \lambda 0 s$,
     $\alpha$ long.

[^12]:    * In English we still pronounce Amphi'on, but use has established Hype'rion; see Walker's key, 129 .
    † The modern Greeks, however, even in reading the poets, pronounce according to the accents, and their own versification is wholly founded upnn them.

[^13]:    * © $\boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \epsilon \nu$ and the like will appear, under the head of enclitics, to be only apparent exceptions to this rule.

[^14]:    * Care must therefore be had not to regard words ending in 'as barytones: on the contrary, they are all oxytones with the acute accent quiescent, on account of the connexion with other words.

[^15]:    * Nači, which is found in some works otherwise correct, is erroneous.'

[^16]:    * In opposition to this name, every accented word, and of course an enclitic itself, when not.thus deprived of its accent, is called orthotone, ógษotovoú $\mu$ zyov.

[^17]:    * Distinguished by its accent from $\boldsymbol{\nu} \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ now.

[^18]:    * The Greeks probably made as much audible difference between $\pi$ and $\chi_{i}$ as we hear between $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\theta$. We are unable in English to make this distinction. On the other hand, most of the continental nations of Europe distinguish between $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ and $\chi$, bat confound $\tau$ and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$.

[^19]:    * This is not to be considered as an elision, but as a true contraction, as is seen by the use of the circumflex to compensate for the short vowel dropped; as $\varphi \subset \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega, ~ \varphi \iota \lambda \omega \tilde{0}$.

[^20]:    * Tád $\lambda \alpha$, as this word is written in most editions, is wrong.

[^21]:    * The doubling of the $\rho$ in this word is merely an accidental peculiarity.

[^22]:    * The common mode of writing this word oøv́ŋa is incorrect, as the termination is short. See Aristoph. Pac. 566. Cratin. ap. Hephæst. p. 6.

[^23]:    * The learner is to understand in these and following examples, that from the radical form $\mu v$, which is detected in the genitive $\mu v v_{0}$, the nominative $\mu \tilde{v} \mathrm{~s}$ is derived.

[^24]:    * $\varepsilon i \bar{S}$ is the only additional like example. . See below in 170 .
    $\dagger$ Because all the other letters take the $\varsigma(\xi$ or $\psi$ ) in the nominative; $\mu$ and $\sigma$ do not at all occur before the inflectional termination of this declension, and of $\lambda$. the only example is ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda, \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \hat{o}^{\prime} s$.

[^25]:    - Care must be taken not to confound the termination of the word, as $\sigma \omega \tau-\eta \eta^{\prime} \rho$, with the termination of the case, as $\sigma \omega \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho-\alpha$.

[^26]:    ＊In general лódes signifies cily politically，and $\check{\alpha} \sigma \tau v$ geographically．

[^27]:    * With these numerical adjectives mast not be confoended the com-
    

[^28]:    * Traces of the original positive degree may be found in "A $\rho \eta \rho_{\text {, the }}$ name of the god of war, and in the abstract $\dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ virtue.

[^29]:    * From $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \varepsilon$ in the ancient and the ELolic dialect for névte. See 16 Rem. 1. c.

[^30]:    * So called, when the action refers back to the subject. For instance, in the phrase ' he clothes me,' me is the common personal pronoun; in the phrase, 'I clothe me,' it is the reflected pronoun. When an action is represented as mutual, the pronoun is called reciprocal. This last name, however, is usually considered to include both cases, and in many grammars the pronouns called reflected in the text, are classed as reciprocal.

[^31]:    * As $\tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon x \mu \alpha i \varrho \eta$ च $\tau \hat{\rho} \tilde{v} 0$; whereby provest thou this? yovacxós $\tau 0 \cup$ of a certain vooman; $\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \notin$ to use any thing.

[^32]:    *.This long. $\alpha$ probably has its origin in an.eldet Doric form of ëzepos for $\varepsilon$ ercpos, of which the short $\alpha$ becomes. long by a crasis with the article. as mentioned in the text.

[^33]:    *The-hovo-manyeth? would represent rodtós in English. In German: der wievielste?

[^34]:    - Ia a lively narration this is therefore often done by the prepent itself.

[^35]:    * It maystid be called the connective vowel, though in these cases it has nothing to connect, because in substance it is identical with that vowel, and is dropped in those forms which do not take the connective vowel.
     longs to the root.

[^36]:    * Accordingly the third person dual of the optative, even of the leading tenses, always ends in $\eta v$, and in the third person sing. and plur. of the passive voice always in $\tau 0$.

[^37]:    * The etymological root of the verb, which in qowとv́a is $\varphi 0 \nu$, is not here meant; but the grammatical root of the verb, of which $\varepsilon v$ is also a part. So in $\varphi \ell \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ and $\tau \iota \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega, \varepsilon$ and $\alpha$, not $\lambda$ and $\mu$, are the charactertics.

[^38]:    - Compare the similar rules in the first declension \$34.2, and in the feminine of adjectives \$59.2.

[^39]:    * They may have nevertheless a second aorist passive, as ézó́q $\varphi \eta \nu$, see 100 .
    $t$ The learner is therefore to be apprised, that in the following exam-
     either not found at all in Greek writera, or very rarely; and that $\bar{\varepsilon} r u \nLeftarrow a$,

[^40]:    $\bar{\eta} \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha, \& c$, are used instead of them. The former, however, are given to show the formation of the second aorist passive of these verbi, which actually occurs in the Greek writers.

[^41]:    * With 4 long as in the present.

[^42]:     rect.

[^43]:     and with the acute in the infinitives，às $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha b$ \＆c．is incorrect．

[^44]:    * The parts of $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \pi \tau \omega$ in actual use may be seen in the list of anomalous verbs; where it is placed, in consequence of another form of the future not here introduced, viz. $\tau \cup \pi \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$.

[^45]:    * See Rems II. 3, below.

[^46]:    * See below, Rem. III. 2.

[^47]:    * By this, and because, according to 12 Rem. 5, the 3d sing. optative never has the penult circumflexed, the three singular forms of the first

[^48]:     Imperat. mid. $\pi \alpha i d e w \sigma \alpha c$. Since, however, the number of syllables or the character of the penult rarely admits this accentuation, in general two of these forms, and in such verbs as $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$ all three, are liable to be confounded with each other.

[^49]:    * See ${ }^{\mathbf{~}} 98$ Rem. 3.

[^50]:     $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ to cause to let'to one's self, i. e, to hire.

[^51]:    * The third person plural in $\dot{\alpha} q \iota$ is the Attic; the circumflexed form
    

[^52]:    ＊The 2d Aor．middle of $\boldsymbol{Z} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ is not found in the Greek writers，and is only．inserted here as a guide in other verbs，e．g．for $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu v \nu \nu$ from ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi-$ $\tau \alpha \mu \alpha c$（see among the anomalous verbs $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau 0 \mu \alpha 6$ ）．

[^53]:    *That is to say, where a direct proposition, as $\tilde{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta \dot{\delta} \Pi \varepsilon \rho c x \lambda \tilde{\eta} s$ Pericles said, is converted into an indirect, as $\varphi \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \iota \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Pi \varepsilon \rho c x \lambda i \dot{\alpha}$, then $\varphi \alpha^{\prime} v \alpha \iota$ corresponds with $\check{\varepsilon} q \eta$. Whenever a present infinitive is requisite, resort is had to $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} y \varepsilon \iota \nu$ or $q \dot{\alpha} \sigma x \varepsilon \tau \nu$.

[^54]:    * Not to be confounded with $\grave{\sigma} \sigma \vartheta \iota$ from $\varepsilon i \mu i$.
    + It is to be observed that the lexicons commonly exhibit all these. tenses under the head of the present $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \delta^{\circ} \omega$, $\varepsilon i \delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$, and $\bar{c} \sigma \eta \mu u$.

[^55]:    * See the anomalous verb $\triangle E 1 \Omega$.
    + As $\beta \leq \beta \alpha^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota, \beta \leq \beta \alpha \omega^{\prime} s$, for $\beta \& \beta_{\eta}^{\prime} x \bar{\alpha} \sigma \iota, \beta \in \beta \eta x \omega^{\prime} s$, from the anomalous verb $\beta \alpha i \nu \omega$.

[^56]:    * See the anomalous TAAS, $\vartheta v \eta \dot{x} \sigma, \beta \alpha i v \omega$, and $\overline{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \eta \mu s$ above.

[^57]:    Rmm. 6. In the epic language there are also syncopated aorists 187 in the passive voice in $\mu \eta \nu, \sigma o,{ }^{\prime} \tau 0$, as $\lambda v \omega$, él $\lambda v \tau 0$ (with short $v$ ), even when the characteristic of the verb is a consonant, as $\delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi o \mu \alpha \iota$ $\varepsilon ̇ \delta \varepsilon ' \gamma \mu \eta \nu$, ż $\delta \varepsilon x \tau 0$ \&c.

[^58]:    * See above $\$ 106$ Rem. a

[^59]:    -The active of this verb never occurs, but instead of it always aifeiv.

[^60]:    *Shortened in composition, as к $\alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha$.

[^61]:    * In most lexicons this perfect is found under ż'y@ which are forms of a degenerate period of the Greek language.

[^62]:    * The $\pi$ stands here, on account of following $\chi$, instead of $\varphi$ : proper-
    

[^63]:    ＊This perfect is chiefly used in the sense of I need．

    + Has its origin in $\chi \varrho \tilde{\alpha} 0 \nu$ ，according to $\$ 26$ Rem． 7.

[^64]:    
    .t The poets, however, have also $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$ and $\pi \dot{\prime} \vartheta \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$.
    $\ddagger$ The Iota subscript in this series is omitted in those forms where no real nominative exists as a root. See Rem. 4 and 5.

[^65]:    * It is very obvious, that, as $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{5}$ are derived from the proper demonstrative $\delta, \dot{\eta}, \tau \dot{o}^{\prime}$, the strengthened form is derived from the strengthened demonstrative öס $\delta, 0 \stackrel{\text { ṽzos. }}{ }$

[^66]:    *. To speak more exactly, in all these cases the preposition stands independently, the accent being changed and the verb fival being understood.

[^67]:    * áxbús $\iota v$ most commonly governs the accusative of the sound, and the genitive of that which produces it ; but neither without exception.

[^68]:    * The pure perfect, especially in the Greek, always implies that the action is terminated or has ceased. He who mays in Greek, 61 have known it,' says that now he knows it no longer. He who says, oixav gixodó$\mu \cdot \lambda x \alpha$, considers the house as yet standing; if he says, eitiosó $\mu$ a $\sigma a$, he leaves it undecided, yet uses the same form if he actmally knows that it stands no longer.

[^69]:    * id ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} u$ is here rendered by à verb, because the English language has no Aorist participle. 'Remained barking,' because duration of action is expressed by the Imperfect.

[^70]:    * In the epic poets $x \varepsilon^{\prime}, x \hat{z}^{\prime} v$.

[^71]:    * Usually written separate; but, when $\pi$ óve is added, more commonly as one word. See $\$ 80$ Rem. 1. and 1116.7.

[^72]:    * It is here to be remarked, that when we speak of dramatic and Attic poetry, we allude principally to the Iambic and Trochaic portions of the drama, in which the proper dramatic dialogue is contained. The remaining portions belong more or less-the choral songs wholly-to lyric poetry.

[^73]:    * See Hiatus and Crasis, 828.2.
     yov, $\alpha v \alpha \xi$, \&c. from which has been inferred, that in the ancient language they had a stronger breathing, at the commencement, probably 2 digamma, see $\$ 6$ Rem. 2. and the appendix on the Digamma below.

[^74]:    * All the followine examples of the various kinds of verse, are of the leading or most regular scheme.

[^75]:    * As this usage is principally observed in the epic poets, and, as in hexameters, the arsis is always on the beginning of the foot, the cresura of the rhythm and the caesura of the foot coincide; this has led to the er--roneous doctine, that the caesura of the foot lengthened the syllable. With this was formerly connected another error, that of defining a caesura to be the division of a word by the measure; which would prevent a monosyllable from being in caesura, as it often is.

[^76]:    *The following account of the Digamma is translated from the author's larger Greek Grammar, page 19, (eighth edition,) and may be regarded as a supplement to what is stated above 9 Rem. 2.

