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## THE TAKELMA LANGUAGE OF SOUTHWESTERN OREGON

By Edward Sapir

## § 1. INTRODUCTION

The language treated in the following pages was spoken in the southwestern part of what is now the state of Oregon, along the middle portion of Rogue river and certain of its tributaries. It, together with an upland dialect of which but a few words were obtained, forms the Takilman stock of Powell. The form "Takelma" of the word is practically identical with the native name of the tribe, $D \bar{a}^{a} g^{\prime} \operatorname{lma} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ those dwelling along the river (see below, $\S 87,4$ ); there seems to be no good reason for departing from it in favor of Powell's variant form.

The linguistic material on which this account of the Takelma language is based consists of a series of myth and other texts, published by the University of Pennsylvania (Sapir, Takelma Texts, Anthropological Publications of the University Museum, vol. in, no. 1, Philadelphia, 1909), together with a mass of grammatical material (forms and sentences) obtained in connection with the texts. A series of eleven short medicine formulas or charms have been published with interlinear and free translation in the Journal of American Folk-Lore (xx, 35-40). A vocabulary of Takelma verb, noun, and adjective stems, together with a certain number of derivatives, will be found at the end of the "Takelma Texts." Some manuscript notes on Takelma, collected in the summer of 1904 by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, for the Bureau of American Ethnology, have been kindly put at my disposal by the Bureau; though these consist mainly of lexical material, they have been found useful on one or two points. References like 125.3 refer to page and line of my Takelma Texts. Those in parentheses refer to forms analogous to the ones discussed.

The author's material was gathered at the Siletz reservation of Oregon during a stay of a month and a half in the summer of 1906, also under the direction of the Bureau of American Ethnology. My informant was. Mrs. Frances Johnson, an elderly full-blood Takelma woman. Her native place was the village of Dakits!asiñ or Daldani ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ', on Jump-off-Joe creek ( $D^{\prime} p!\bar{p} l t s!i^{\prime} l d a$ ), a northern affluent of Rogue river, her mother having come from a village on the upper course of Cow creek (Hagwãl). Despite her imperfect command of the English language, she was found an exceptionally intelligent and good-humored informant, without which qualities the following study would have been far more imperfect than it necessarily must be under even the very best of circumstances.

In conclusion I must thank Prof. Franz Boas for his valuable advice in regard to several points of method and for his active interest in the progress of the work. It is due largely to him that I was encouraged to depart from the ordinary rut of grammatical description and to arrange and interpret the facts in a manner that seemed most in accordance with the spirit of the Takelma language itself. ${ }^{1}$

## PHONOLOGY (§§ 2-24)

## § 2. Introductory

In its general phonetic character, at least as regards relative harshness or smoothness of acoustic effect, Takelma will probably be found to occupy a position about midway between the characteristically rough languages of the Columbia valley and the North Californian and Oregon coast (Chinookan, Salish, Alsea, Coos, Athapascan, Yurok) on the one hand, and the relatively euphonious languages of the Sacramento valley (Maidu, Yana, Wintun) on the other, inclining rather to the latter than to the former.

From the former group it differs chiefly in the absence of voiceless $l$-sounds ( $L, l,{ }^{2} L!$ ) and of velar stops ( $q, g, q!$ ); from the latter,

[^0]in the occurrence of relatively more complex consonantic clusters, though these are of strictly limited possibilities, and hardly to be considered as difficult in themselves.

Like the languages of the latter group, Takelma possesses clearcut vowels, and abounds, besides, in long vowels and diphthongs; these, together with a system of syllabic pitch-accent, give the Takelma language a decidedly musical character, marred only to some extent by the profusion of disturbing catches. The line of cleavage between Takelma and the neighboring dialects of the Athapascan stock (Upper Umpqua, Applegate Creek, Galice Creek, Chasta Costa) is thus not only morphologically but also phonetically distinct, despite resemblances in the manner of articulation of some of the vowels and consonants. Chasta Costa, formerly spoken on the lower course of Rogue river, possesses all the voiceless $l$-sounds above referred to; a peculiar illusive $q$ !, the fortis character of which is hardly as prominent as in Chinook; a voiced guttural spirant $\gamma$, as in North German Tage; the sonants or weak surds $d j$ and $z$ (rarely); a voiceless interdental spirant $\varphi$ and its corresponding fortis $t c!$; and a very frequently occurring $\hat{u}$ vowel, as in English hut. All of these are absent from Takelma, which, in turn, has a complete labial series ( $b, p^{\circ}, p!, m$ ), whereas Chasta Costa has only the nasal $m$ (labial stops occur apparently only in borrowed words, $b \bar{o} c i^{\prime}$ cat <pussy). The fortis $k!$, common in Takelma, seems in the Chasta Costa to be replaced by $q!$; the Takelma vowel $\ddot{u}$, found also in California, is absent from Chasta Costa; $r$ is foreign to either, though found in Galice Creek and Shasta. Perhaps the greatest point of phonetic difference, however, between the Takelma and Chasta Costa languages lies in the peculiar long (doubled) consonants of the latter, while Takelma regularly simplifies consonant geminations that would theoretically appear in the building of words. Not enough of the Shasta has been published to enable one to form an estimate of the degree of phonetic similarity that obtains between it and Takelma, but the differences can hardly be as pronounced as those that have just been found to exist in the case of the latter and Chasta Costa.

This preliminary survey seemed necessary in order to show, as far as the scanty means at present at our disposal would allow, the phonetic affiliations of Takelma. Attention will now be directed to the sounds themselves.

## Vowels (§§ 3-11)

## § 3. General Remarls

The simple vowels appear, quantitatively considered, in two forms, short and long, or, to adopt a not inappropriate term, pseudodiphthongal. By this is meant that a long vowel normally consists of the corresponding short vowel, though generally of greater quantity, plus a slight parasitic rearticulation of the same vowel (indicated by a small superior letter), the whole giving the effect of a diphthong without material change of vowel-quality in the course of production. The term pseudo-diphthong is the more justified in that the long vowel has the same absolute quantity, and experiences the same accentual and syllabic treatment, as the true diphthong, consisting of short vowel $+i, u, l, m$, or $n$. If the short vowel be given a unitary quantitative value of 1 , the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) and ordinary diphthong will have an approximate value of 2 ; while the long diphthong, consisting of long vowel $+i, u, l, m$, or $n$, will be assigned a value of 3 . The liquid ( $l$ ) and the nasals ( $m$ and $n$ ) are best considered as forming, parallel to the semi-vowels $y(i)$ and $w(u)$, diphthongs with preceding vowels, inasmuch as the combinations thus entered on are treated, similarly to $i$ - and $u$-diphthongs, as phonetic units for the purposes of pitchaccent and grammatic processes. As a preliminary example serving to justify this treatment, it may be noted that the verb-stem bilw-, bilu- Jump becomes bilau-with inorganic $a$ under exactly the same phonetic conditions as those which make of the stem k!emn-make $k$ !eman-. We thus have, for instance:
bilwa ${ }^{\prime 8} s_{s}$ jumper; bila'uk" he jumped
$k!$ ! mna $^{\prime s}$ s maker; k!ema'nk' he made it
From this and numberless other examples it follows that $a u$ and an, similarly ai, al, and am, belong, from a strictly Takelma point of view, to the same series of phonetic elements; similarly for $e, i, o$, and $\ddot{u}$ diphthongs.

## § 4. System of Vowels

The three quantitative stages outlined above are presented for the various vowels and diphthong-forming elements in the following table:

| I. Short. | II. Long. | Short diphthong. | III. Long diphthong. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $\bar{a} a,(\bar{a})$ | ai, au, al, am, an | $\bar{a} i, \quad \bar{a} u, \quad \bar{a} a l, \bar{a} a m, \bar{a} a n$ |
| $e$ | $e^{e},\left({ }^{\text {e }}\right.$ ) | $e i, e u, e l, e m, e n$ | èi, èu, eel, eem een |
| $i$ | $\bar{\imath} i,(\bar{\imath})$ | $i u, ~ i l, ~ i m, ~ i n ~$ | $\bar{\imath} u, \quad \bar{z} i l, \bar{\imath} i m, \bar{i} i n$ |
| o, (u) | $\bar{o} u,(\bar{o})$ | oi, ou, ol, om, on <br> (ōu) (ul) (um) (un) | $\bar{o} i, \quad \bar{o} u(u), \bar{o} u l, \bar{o} u m, \bar{o} u n$ |
| $\bar{u}$ | $\bar{u} u,(\bar{u})$ | $u i, \bar{u} w, \bar{u} l, \bar{u} m, \bar{u} n$ ( $\bar{u}^{u}$ ) | $\bar{u} i, \quad \bar{u} u(w), \bar{u} u l, \bar{u} u m, \bar{u} u n$ |
| $\ddot{\square}$ | $\bar{u} \ddot{i},(\bar{u})$ | $\ddot{u} i, \quad u ̈ w, u ̈ u, u ̈ m, u ̈ n$ ( $\bar{u}^{i j}$ ) | $\bar{u} i, \quad \ddot{u} \ddot{u}(w), ~ \ddot{u} \ddot{u} l, \bar{u} \ddot{u} m, \ddot{u} \ddot{\sim} n$ |

It is to be understood, of course, that, under proper syllabic conditions, $i$ and $u$ may respectively appear in semivocalic form as $y$ and $w$; thus $\bar{o}^{u}$ and $\bar{u}^{u}$ appear as $\bar{o} w$ and $\bar{u} w$ when followed by vowels; e. g., in $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{u}$ - throw away, $\bar{u} w$ and $\bar{u}^{u}$ are equivalent elements forming a reduplicated complex entirely analogous to -elel- in helelsing. Similarly $a i, a u, \bar{a} i$, and $\bar{a} u$ may appear as $a y, a w, \bar{a}^{a} y$, and $\bar{a}^{a} w$; and correspondingly for the other vowels. Indeed, one of the best criteria for the determination of the length of the first element of a diphthong is to obtain it in such form as would cause the second element ( $i$ or $u$ ) to become semi-vocalic, for then the first vowel will adopt the form of a short vowel or pseudo-diphthong, as the case may be. The following phonetic (not morphologic) proportions will make this clearer:
 him: he $e^{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{i}^{i} w i^{\prime \prime}} n$ I went away from him
 grow
gayau he ate it: gayawa $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I ate it = hant gāu over land: Latg ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{a} w a^{\prime s}$ one from Lat'gāu [uplands]
Sometimes, though not commonly, a diphthong may appear in the same word either with a semivowel or vowel as its second element, according to whether it is or is not followed by a connecting inorganic $a$. A good example of such a doublet is haye ${ }^{e} w a^{\prime} x d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ or hayèu $x d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ in his returning (verb stem yèu-, ye $e^{e} w$ - return). It is acoustically difficult to distinguish sharply between the long vowel or pseudo-diphthong $\bar{o}^{u}$ and the $u$-diphthongs of $o$ (both ou and $\bar{o} u$ are often heard as $\bar{o}^{u}$ ), yet there is no doubt that there is an organic difference between $\bar{o}^{u}$, as long vowel to $o$, and $\bar{o}^{u}=o u, \bar{o} u$. Thus, in lohō ${ }^{u} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cause him to die, and lohona' $n$ I shall cause him to die, $\tilde{o}^{u}$ and $o$ are related as long and short vowel in parallel
fashion to the $\bar{a}^{a}$ and $a$ of $y \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} n a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ you wert, and yanada's you will Go. On the other hand, the $\bar{o}^{u}$ of $p^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u} p^{\prime} a u$ - (aorist stem) BLow is organically a diphthong ( $\bar{o} u$ ), the $\bar{o}^{u}$ of the first syllable being related to the $a u$ of the second as the $i u$ of $k^{\circ i} u k^{\circ} a u$ - (verb stem) braxdish is to its au. Similarly, the $-\bar{o}^{u}$ - of $s^{\cdot} \cdot o^{\prime} \mathbf{u s} k^{\circ} \hat{o} p^{\circ}$ - (verb stem) Jump is organic shortened ou, related to the -owo- of the aorist stem $s^{*} o^{\prime}$ wo $^{\varepsilon} k^{*} \hat{\circ} p^{\circ}-$ as the $-e^{i}$ - of $h e^{\prime i} x$ - (verb stem) be left over is to the -eye- of heye ${ }^{8} x$ - (aorist stem). A similar acoustic difficulty is experienced in distinguishing $\ddot{u}^{u},\left(\bar{u}^{u}\right)$ as long vowel from the $u$-diphthongs of $\ddot{i},(\bar{u})$.

Examples of unrelated stems and words differing only in the length of the rowel or diphthong are not rare, and serve as internal eridence of the correctness, from a native point of view, of the rowel classification made:
gai- eat, but gāi- grow
verb-prefix $d \bar{a}^{a}-$ ear, but $d a$ - mouth
$w \bar{a}^{\alpha} x a$ his younger brother, but wa'xa at them
It may happen that two distinct forms of the same word differ only in rocalic quantity; $y \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} d a^{\prime s} t^{\prime}$ He will swim, yad $a^{\prime} t^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he swims.

It is, naturally enough, not to be supposed that the long vowels and diphthongs always appear in exactly the same quantity. Speed of utterance and, to some extent, withdrawal of the stress-accent, tend to reduce the absolute quantities of the rowels, so that a normally long vowel can become short, or at least lose its parasitic attachment. In the case of the $i$ - and $u$-diphthongs, such a quantitative reduction means that the two rowels forming the diphthong more completely lose their separate individuality and melt into one. Quantitative reduction is apt to occur particularly before a glottal catch; in the diphthongs the catch follows so rapidly upon the second element ( $i$ or $u$ ) that one can easily be in doubt as to whether a full $i$ - or $u$ - vowel is pronounced, or whether this second rowel appears rather as a palatal or labial articulation of the catch itself. The practice has been adopted of writing such diphthongs with a superior $i$ or $u$ before the catch: $a^{i z}, a^{u \xi}, e^{u s}$, and similarly for the rest. When, howerer, in the course of word-formation, this catch drops off, the $i$ or $u$ that has been swallowed up, as it were, in the catch reasserts itself, and we get such pairs of forms as:
naga ${ }^{\prime \text { js }}$ he said; but naga'ida $a^{\varepsilon}$ when he said
sgele ${ }^{\prime \text { mz }}$ he shouted; but sgele'ud $d a^{i}$ when he shouted

On the other hand, vowels naturally short sometimes become long when dwelt upon for rhetorical emphasis. Thus ga tнat sometimes appears as $g \bar{a}^{a}$ :
$g \bar{a}^{a} l o h o^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}$ in that case I shall die
$g \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ for that reason
As regards the pronunciation of the vowels themselves, little need be said. The $a$ is of the same quality as the short $a$ of German mann, while the long $\vec{a}^{a}$ (barring the parasitic element) corresponds to the $a$ of matn.

A labial coloring of the $a$ (i. e., $\hat{o}$ as in German voll) frequently occurs before and after $k^{w w}$ :
gũhôk ${ }^{\text {wo }}$ planted, sown
$\bar{\imath} k^{*} w \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{*} w o \hat{k} k^{*}$ he woke him up
But there were also heard:
$s_{e} \mathbb{K}^{\circ} a k^{*}$ w shot
malãk' wa he told him
The $e$ is an open sound, as in the English let; it is so open, indeed, as to verge, particularly after $y$, toward $a .^{1}$ Also the long vowel $e^{e}$ is very open in quality, being pronounced approximately like the $e i$ of English their (but of course without the $r$-vanish) or the $\hat{e}$ of French fête; $e^{e}$, though unprovided with the mark of length, will be always understood as denoting the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) corresponding to the short $e$; while $\grave{e}$ will be employed, wherever necessary, for the long vowel without the parasitic $-e$. The close $\bar{e}$, as in German ref, does not seem to occur in Takelma, although it was sometimes heard for $i$; in the words $l \bar{a}^{a} l \bar{e} \bar{\prime}$ he became, $l \bar{a}^{a} l \bar{e} t{ }^{t} a m$ you became, and other related forms, $\bar{e}$ was generally heard, and may be justified, though there can be small doubt that it is morphologically identical with the $\bar{\tau}^{i}$ of certain other verbs.

The $i$ is of about the same quality as in English hit, while the long $\bar{i}^{i}$ is closer, corresponding to the ea of English beat. Several monosyllables, however, in $-i$, such as gwi where, $d i$ interrogative particle, should be pronounced with a close though short vowel (cf. French FINI). This closer pronunciation of the short vowel may be explained by supposing that $g w i, d i$, and other such words are rapid pronunciations of $g w \bar{i}^{i}, d \bar{\imath}^{i}$, and the others; and indeed the texts sometimes show such longer forms.

[^1]The $o$ is a close vowel, as in German sohn, as far as the quality is concerned, but with the short quantity of the $o$ of voll. This closeness of pronunciation of the o readily explains its very frequent interchange with $u$ :
its'!o' $p^{\prime} a l$ sharp-clawed
dets 'Iugu't' sharp-pointed
and also the $u$-quality of the parasitic element in the long close vowel $\bar{o}^{u}$. The short open $\hat{o}$, as in German voll, never occurs as a primary rowel, but is practically always a labialized variant of $a$. Thus in Takelma, contrary to the parallelism one ordinarily expects to find in vocalic systems, $e$ - vowels are open in quality, while $o$ - vowels are close.

The vowel $\bar{u}$ is close, as in the English word rude, the long mark over the $u$ being here used to indicate closeness of quality rather than length of quantity. The $\ddot{u}$ is not identical with the German $\ddot{u}$, but is somewhat more obscure in quality and warers (to an unIndian car) between the German short $\ddot{u}$ of mütze and $u$ of muss; sometimes it was even heard with the approximate quality of the short $\ddot{o}$ of Götz. The long $\ddot{u}^{i}$ is, in the same way, not exactly equivalent to the long $\ddot{u}$ of the German sëss, but tends in the direction of $\bar{u}^{u}$, with which it frequently varies in the texts. It is somewhat doubtful how far the two rowels $\bar{u}$ and $\ddot{u}$ are to be considered separate and distinct; it is quite possible that they should be looked upon as auditory variants of one sound. Before or after $y$ or $w, \ddot{u}$ is apt to be heard as $\bar{u}$, - $k!\bar{u} u \bar{u}^{\prime s}$ they rax away, $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} s^{\prime}$ he LaUGHed, $\bar{\imath} g \bar{u} y \bar{u} g \bar{\imath}^{\prime} i s i$, he keeps nudging me, --otherwise often as $u$.

The only short rowel not provided for in the table is $\hat{a}$ (as in English sux), which, however, has no separate individuality of its own, but is simply a variant form of $a$, heard chiefly before $m$ :
$h e^{e^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} l e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon} x u m}$ he killed us off (for -am)
xum in water (for xam)
The absence of the obscure rowel $E$ of indeterminate quality is noteworthy as showing indirectly the clear-cut vocalic character of Takelma speech. Only in a very few cases was the $E$ heard, and in the majority of these it was not a reduced rowel, but an intrusive sound between $m$ and $s$ :
dak't $t^{\prime} b e^{\prime e=k^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{t}^{\prime}$ bagames he tied his hair up into top-knot (in place of -ams).

Even here it may really have been the strongly sonantic quality of the $m$ in contrast to the voiceless $s$ that produced the acoustic effect of an obscure vowel. The exact pronunciation of the diphthongs will be better understood when we consider the subject of pitch-accent.

## §5. Stress and Pitch-Accent

Inasmuch as pitch and stress accent are phonetic phenomena that affect more particularly the vowels and diphthongs, it seems advisable to consider the subject here and to let the treatment of the consonants follow. As in many Indian languages, the stress-accent of any particular word in Takelma is not so inseparably associated with any particular syllable but that the same word, especially if consisting of more than two syllables, may appear with the main stress-accent now on one, now on the other syllable. In the uninterrupted flow of the sentence it becomes often difficult to decide which syllable of a word should be assigned the stress-accent. Often, if the word bears no particular logical or rhythmic emphasis, one does best to regard it as entirely without accent and as standing in a proclitic or enclitic relation to a following or preceding word of greater emphasis. This is naturally chiefly the case with adverbs (such as he ne then) and conjunctive particles (such as ganēh $i^{\varepsilon}$ and then ; agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ and so, but then) ; though it not infrequently happens that the major part of a clause will thus be strung along without decided stress-accent until some emphatic noun or verb-form is reached. Thus the following passage occurs in one of the myths:
ganēh $i^{\varepsilon}$ dewenxa $l \bar{a}^{a} l \bar{e}$ honos $p^{\wedge} e l e^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$, literally translated, And then to-morrow (next day) it became, again they went out to war

All that precedes the main verb-form $p^{\bullet} e l e^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ they went out to war is relatively unimportant, and hence is hurried over without anywhere receiving marked stress.

Nevertheless a fully accented word is normally stressed on some particular syllable; it may even happen that two forms differ merely in the place of accent:
naga'-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he said, but
naga-id $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ when you said
The important point to observe, however, is that when a particular syllable does receive the stress (and after all most words are normally
accented on some one syllable), it takes on one of two or three musical inflections:
(1) A simple pitch distinctly higher than the normal pitch of unstressed speech ( - ).
(2) A rising inflection that starts at, or a trifle above, the normal pitch, and gradually slides up to the same higher pitch referred to above ( $\sim$ ).
(3) A falling inflection that starts at, or generally somewhat higher than, the raised pitch of (1) and (2), and gradually slides down to fall either in the same or immediately following syllable, to a pitch somewhat lower than the normal ( - ).

The "raised" pitch ( $\because$ ) is employed only in the case of final short vowels or shortened diphthongs (i. e., diphthongs that, owing to speed of utterance, are pronounced so rapidly as to have a quantitative value hardly greater than that of short rowels; also secondary diphthongs involving an inorganic a); if a short rowel spoken on a raised pitch be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable (as will always happen, if it is not the final rowel of the word), there will evidently ensue a fall in pitch in the unaccented syllable, and the general acoustic effect of the two syllables will be equivalent to a "falling" inflection ( - ) within one syllable; i. e. (if - be employed to denote an unaccented syllable), $(-)+-=(\dot{\prime})$. The following illustration will make this clearer: you sang is regularly accented helela't', the $a^{\prime}$ being sung on an interval of a (minor, sometimes even major) third above the two unaccented $\epsilon$-vowels. The acoustic effect to an American ear is very much the same as that of a curt query requiring a positive or negative answer, DID HE GO? where the $i$ of DID and $e$ of HE correspond in pitch to the two $e$ 's of the Takelma word, while the $o$ of go is equivalent to the Takelma $a^{\prime}$. The Takelma word, of course, has no interrogative connotation. If, now, we wish to make a question out of helela 't', we add the interrogative particle di, and obtain the form helela't $\check{u} d i$ did he sing? (The $\check{\imath}$ is a weak vowel inserted to keep the $t^{\prime}$ and $d$ apart.) Here the $a^{\prime}$ has about the same pitch as in the preceding word, but the $\breve{\iota}$ sinks to about the level of the $e$ rowels, and the $d i$ is pronounced approximately a third below the normal level. The Takelma interrogative form thus bears an acoustic resemblance to a rapid English reply: so he did Go, the o of so and
$e$ of HE corresponding in pitch to the unaccented $e$ - vowels of the Takelma, the $i$. of DID resembling in its rise above the normal pitch the $a^{\prime}$, and the $o$ of go sinking like the $i$ of the interrogative particle. ${ }^{1}$ If the normal level of speech be set at A, the two forms just considered may be musically, naturally with very greatly exaggerated tonal effect, represented as follows:


The " rising" pitch ( $\simeq$ ) is found only on long vowels and short or long diphthongs. The rising pitch is for a long vowel or diphthong what the raised pitch is for a short vowel or shortened diphthong; the essential difference between the two being that in the latter case the accented vowel is sung on a single tone reached without an intermediate slur from the lower level, whereas in the case of the rising pitch the affected vowel or diphthong changes in pitch in the course of pronunciation; the first part of the long vowel and the first vowel of the diphthong are sung on a tone intermediate between the normal level and the raised pitch, while the parasitic element of the long vowel and the second vowel ( $i$ or $u$ ) of the diphthong are hit by the raised tone itself. It is easy to understand that in rapid pronunciation the intermediate tone of the first part of the long vowel or diphthong would be hurried over and sometimes dropped altogether; this means that a long vowel or diphthong with rising pitch ( $\tilde{a}, a \tilde{\imath})$ becomes a short vowel or shortened diphthong with raised pitch ( $\left.a^{\prime}, a^{\wedge} i\right)^{2}{ }^{2}$ Diphthongs consisting of a short vowel $+l, m$, or $n$, and provided with a rising pitch, ought, in strict analogy, to appear as $a \tilde{n}, a \bar{l}, a \tilde{m}$; and so on for the other vowels. This is doubtless the correct representation, and such forms as:
$n a \tilde{n} k^{\circ}$ he will say, do
gwalt ${ }^{\text { }}$ wind
dasmaya $\tilde{m}$ he smiled
wulx enemy, Shasta
were actually heard, the liquid or nasal being distinctly higher in pitch than the preceding vowel. In the majority of cases, however,

[^2]these diphthongs were heard, if not always pronounced, as shortened diphthongs with raised pitch ( $a^{\prime} n, a^{\prime} l, a^{\prime} m$ ). The acoustic effect of a syllable with rising pitch followed by an unaccented syllable is necessarily different from that of a syllable with falling pitch ( $\dot{\sim}$ ), or of a syllable with raised pitch followed by an unaccented syllable, because of the steady rise in pitch before the succeeding fall. The tendency at first is naturally to hear the combination $-\simeq-$ as $-\mathcal{-}$, and to make no distinction in accent between yewe'ulas whex he returned and yeweit $\varepsilon^{8}$ I retcraned; but rariations in the recorded texts between the rising and falling pitch in one and the same form are in erery case faults of perception, and not true rariations at all. The words t!omõm he killed him and yawait $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I SPOKe may be approximately represented in musical form as follows:


The falling pitch $(-)$ affects both long and short rowels as well as diphthongs, its essential characteristic being, as already defined, a steady fall from a tone higher than the normal level. The peak of the falling inflection may coincide in absolute pitch with that of the rising inflection, though it is often somewhat higher, say an interral of a fourth abore the ordinary level. The base (lowest tone) of the fall is not assignable to any definite relative pitch, the gamut run through by the roice depending largely upon the character of the syllable. If the accent hits a long rowel or diphthong not immediately followed by a catch, the base will, generally speaking, coincide with the normal level, or lie somewhat below it. If the long rowel or diphthong be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable, the base is apt to strike this unaccented syllable at an interral of about a third below the level. If the rowel or diphthong be immediately followed by a catch, the fall in pitch will be rapidly checked, and the whole extent of the fall limited to perhaps not more than a semitone. As soon, howerer, as the catch is removed (as often happens on the addition to the form of certain grammatical elements), the fall runs through its usual gamut. The words

F'wede'i his name
yewe'idat when he returned
yewe ${ }^{\prime \text { is }}$ he returned
will serve to illustrate the character of the falling pitch.


The pronunciation of the diphthongs is now easily understood A shortened diphthong ( $a \overparen{\imath}, a^{\prime i s}$ ) sounds to an American ear like an indivisible entity, very much like $a i$ and $a u$ in high and now; a diphthong with falling pitch ( $a^{\prime} i$ ) is naturally apt to be heard as two distinct vowels, so that one is easily led to write naga'-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ instead of naga'ida when he said; a diphthong with rising pitch (aĩ) is heard either as a pure diphthong or as two distinct vowels, according to the speed of utterance or the accidents of perception. All these interpretations, however, are merely matters of perception by an American ear and have in themselves no objective value. It would be quite misleading, for instance, to treat Takelma diphthongs as "pure" and "impure," no regard being had to pitch, for such a classification is merely a secondary consequence of the accentual phenomena we have just considered.

One other point in regard to the diphthongs should be noted. It is important to distinguish between organic diphthongs, in which each element of the diphthong has a distinct radical or etymological value, and secondary diphthongs, arising from an $i, u, l, m$, or $n$ with prefixed inorganic $a$. The secondary diphthongs ( $a i, a u, a l, a m, a n$ ), being etymologically single vowels or semivowels, are always unitonal in character; they can have the raised, not the rising accent. Contrast the inorganic $a u$ of
 with the organic $a u$ of
gayaũ he ate it; cf. gayaw ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I ate it
Contrast similarly the inorganic an of
$k!e m a ` n k{ }^{\prime}\left(=* k!e m n ' k\right.$, not $* i!$ emañ $k$ ) he made it; cf. $k!e m n a^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ maker
with the organic $a m$ of
dasmayañ he smiled; cf. dasmayama $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I smiled
Phonetically such secondary diphthongs are hardly different from shortened organic diphthongs; etymologically and, in consequence, in morphologic treatment, the line of difference is sharply drawn.

[^3]It was said that any particular syllable, if accented, necessarily receives a definite pitch-inflection. If it is furthermore pointed out that distinct words and forms may differ merely in the character of the accent, and that definite grammatical forms are associated with definite accentual forms, it becomes evident that pitch-accent has a not unimportant bearing on morphology. Examples of words differing only in the pitch-accent are:
$s e^{\prime} \ell l$ black paint, writing; sẽl kingfisher
$l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\bullet}$ leares; (1) lãp ${ }^{\circ}$ he carried it on his back, (2) lãa ${ }^{\circ}$ become (so and so)!
$s \bar{a}^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ his discharge of wind ; sãt mash it!
wilī' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ his house; wili house, for instance, in dak'wili on top of the house
$h e^{\prime e l}$ song; hél sing it!
Indeed, neither rowel-quantity, accent, nor the catch can be considered negligible factors in Takelma phonology, as shown by the following:

```
waya` knife
way \(\bar{a}^{\prime a}\) his knife
waya's he sleeps
wayãn he put him to sleep
\(k!w \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} y a^{\prime}\left(=k: w \bar{a} \tilde{z}^{s} a^{\prime}\right)\) just grass
```

It is impossible to give any simple rule for the determination of the proper accent of all words. What has been ascertained in regard to the accent of certain forms or types of words in large part seems to be of a grammatic, not purely phonetic, character, and hence will most naturally receive treatment when the forms themselves are discussed. Here it will suffice to give as illustrations of the morphologic ralue of accent a few of the cases:
(1) Perhaps the most comprehensive generalization that can be made in regard to the employment of accents is that a catch requires the falling pitch-accent on an immediately preceding stressed syllable, as comes out most clearly in forms where the catch has been secondarily remored. Some of the forms affected are:
(a) The first person singular subject third person object aorist of the transitive verb, as in:
t!omoma's $n$ I kill him
t'omoma'nda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ as I killed him
(b) The third person aorist of all intransitive verbs that take the catch as the characteristic element of this person and tense, as in:
$y a^{\prime s}$ he went
$y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he went
(c) The second person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for this person and number is $-{ }^{-8} t^{*}$, as in:
$t!\bar{i}^{\prime i} i^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ your husband
ela $a^{\prime s} t^{\circ}$ your tongue
Contrast:
$t!t t^{t} K^{*}$ my husband
ela't'k' my tongue
There are but few exceptions to this rule. A certain not very numerous class of transitive verbs, that will later occupy us in the treatment of the verb, show a long vowel with rising pitch before a catch in the first person singular subject third person object aorist, as in:
$k!e m e e^{s} n$ I make it
ditt!üy $\tilde{u}^{\Sigma} n$ I wear it
The very isolation of these forms argues powerfully for the general correctness of the rule.
(2) The first person singular subject third person object future, and the third person aorist passive always follow the accent of $1 a$ :
$d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall kill him
t!omoma' $n$ he was killed
Contrast:
$x \bar{o}^{u} m a{ }^{\prime} n$ he dried it
Like $k!e m \tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n$ in accent we have also:
$k$ lemẽn it was made
(3) The first person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for that person and number is $-t \%$ shows a raised or rising pitch, according to whether the accented vowel is short or long (or diphthongal):
$k^{\prime} w e d e a t{ }^{\prime} k$ my name
$p!a ̃ n t$ ' $k$ ' my liver
t!ibagwant'k' my pancreas
Contrast:
$k^{*}$ wede' $i$ his name
$p!a^{\prime a} n t^{\prime}$ his liver
$t!i b a g w a^{\prime} n$ his pancreas
(4) The verbai suffix -ald- takes the falling pitch:
sgelewa'llas $n$ I shouted to him
syelewa'lt he shouted to him
Contrast:
ywalt' wind
Many more such rules could be given, but these will suffice at present to show what is meant by the "fixity" of certain types of accent in morphological classes.

This fixity of accent seems to require a slight qualification. A tendency is observable to end up a sentence with the raised pitch, so that a syllable normally provided with a falling pitch-accent may sometimes, though by no means always, assume a raised accent, if it is the last syllable of the sentence. The most probable explanation of this phenomenon is that the roice of a Takelna speaker seeks its rest in a rise, not, as is the habit in English as spoken in America, in a fall. ${ }^{1}$

## Vocalic Processes (\$§ 6-11)

## § 6. VOWEL HIATUS

There is never in Takelma the slightest tendency to avoid the coming together of two rowels by elision of one of the rowels or contraction of the two. So carefully, indeed, is each rowel kept intact that the liatus is frequently strengthened by the insertion of a catch. If the words y $\dot{a}^{\prime} p!a$ max and $a^{\prime} n \bar{z}^{s}$ гot, for instance, should come together in that order in the course of the sentence, the two $a$-vowels would not coalesce into one long vowel, but would be separated by an inorganic (i. e., not morphologically essential) catch yap!a $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\Sigma}$. The same thing happens when two verbal prefixes, the first ending in and the second beginning with a vowel, come together. Thus:
de- in front
$x \bar{a}^{a}$ - between, in two
$+\bar{\tau}$ with hand
gencrally appear as:
$d e^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau}_{-}$
$x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} \bar{i}-$
respectively. The deictic element $-a^{\prime}$, used to emphasize preceding

[^4]nouns, pronouns, and adverbs, is regularly separated from a preceding vowel by the catch:
$m a^{\prime s} a^{\prime}$ but you, you truly
$b \bar{o}^{2 s} a^{\wedge}$ nowadays indeed
If a diphthong in $i$ or $u$ precedes a catch followed by a vowel, the $i$ or $u$ often appears as $y$ or $w$ after the catch:
$k!w \tilde{a}^{s} y a^{\prime}$ just grass $\left(=k!w a \bar{\imath}+-a^{`}\right)$
$\bar{a}^{\prime}$ y $y a^{\prime}$ just they $\left(=\bar{a} i\right.$ - they $\left.+-a^{\prime}\right)$
$h a^{s} w \bar{i}-(=h a-u-$ under $+\bar{\imath}-$ with hand)
If the second of two syntactically closely connected words begins with a semivowel ( $w$ or $y$ ) and the first ends in a vowel, a catch is generally heard to separate the two, in other words the semivowel is treated as a vowel. Examples are:
$g e^{\prime s} w \tilde{o} k^{\prime \prime}\left(=g e^{\prime}+v_{o} k^{\prime}\right)$ there he arrived
$b e^{e \varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{a} d \bar{i}^{\prime i}\left(=b e^{e}+w \bar{a}^{a} d \bar{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ day its-body $=$ all day long
$g e^{\varepsilon} y \bar{a}^{\prime} a h i\left(=g e+y \bar{a}^{\prime} a h i\right)$ just there indeed
Such cases are of course not to be confounded with examples like:
$m e^{\varepsilon} w \tilde{o} k^{\prime}$ he arrived here, and
méyè̃ cone here!
in which the catch is organic, being an integral part of the adverb $m e^{\varepsilon}$ HITHER; contrast:

ge gini $i^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he went there.
The same phonetic rule applies even more commonly when the first element is a noun or verb prefix:
ha ${ }^{\boldsymbol{E}} \mathrm{wini}^{\prime i} d a$ inside of him; but habe ${ }^{e} b i n i{ }^{\prime}$ at noon
de ${ }^{s}$ wiliwia ${ }^{\prime \mu s}$ they shouted; but dexebe $e^{\prime s} n$ he said so
abais ${ }^{\text {s }}$ was yewẽnhi he returned inside with him; but abaigini's $k^{\circ}$. he went inside
wis $w a \tilde{a}$ my younger brother; but wiha' $m$ my father
It is interesting to note that the catch is generally found also when the first element ends in $l, m$, or $n$, these consonants, as has been already seen, being closely allied to the semivowels in phonetic treatment:
als ${ }^{\varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{a} d i d e \tilde{e}$ to my body; but als ${ }^{\cdot}{ }^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ to the mountain
als ${ }^{8}$ yow ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he looked; but alxisi $k^{*}$. he saw him
$b \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{ge}^{\prime} \mathrm{l}^{s} y o$ he lay belly up; but gelk!iyi's $k^{\prime \prime}$ he turned to face him
gwen ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wat geits: $\mathrm{Ik} \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ wa his (head) lay next to it; but gwenliwila'us he looked back
yiwins $w \hat{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{s}$ ( $=$ yiwin speech $+w \hat{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{s}$ without) without speech

It groes without saying that the catch separates elements ending in $l, m$, or $n$ from such as begin with a vowel:

$a l^{\varepsilon} \overline{i t}^{\prime}$ baga' ${ }^{\prime}$ bak" he struck them

## § 7. DISSIMILATION OF $u$

A diphthong in $u$ tends, by an easily understood dissimilatory process, to drop the $u$ before a labial suffix $\left(-g w-,-p^{\dot{ }},-b a^{\varepsilon}\right)$. Thus we have:
wahawaxi ${ }^{i} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ rot with it, for ${ }^{*} x i u g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$
Compare:
hawaxi'us he rots
wahawaxiwigwa'n I shall rot with it
Similarly :
bilifi'w he jumped having it, for ${ }^{*}$ biliunk ${ }^{*} w$ (stem biliu-)
wilik ${ }^{*}$ he proceeded with it, for ${ }^{*}$ wiliũk ${ }^{* w}$ (stem wiliu-)
Observe that, while the diphthong $i u$ is monophthongized, the original quantity is kept, $i$ being compensatively lengthened to $\bar{z}^{i}$. In the various forms of the verb yèu- Return, such dissimilation, wherever possible, regularly takes place:
$y \tilde{e} k^{*}{ }^{*}$ he returned with it, for ${ }^{\prime} y \grave{e} \tilde{u} k^{* w}\left(=y \grave{e} \tilde{u}-g w-k^{*}\right)$
$m e^{\varepsilon} y \tilde{e} p^{\circ}$ come back! (pl.), but sing. $m e^{\varepsilon} y e ̀ \tilde{u}$
yeeba's let us return! for *yèuba ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$
It is interesting to note how this $u$ - dissimilation is directly responsible for a number of homonyms:
$y \tilde{E^{*} w}$ bite him!
(al)yép show it to him!
A similar dissimilation of an $-u$ - after a long vowel has in all probability taken place in the reduplicating verb $l \bar{a}^{a} l i w i^{\prime s} n$ I Call him by name (leela'usi he calls me by name) from * lāuliwi's $n$ (* lèula'usi).

## § 8. I- UMLAUT

Probably the most far-reaching phonetic law touching the Takelma vowels is an assimilatory process that can be appropriately termed " $i$ - umlaut." Briefly stated, the process is a regressive assimilation of a non-radical $-a$ - to an $-i-$, caused by an $-i-\left(-i^{i}\right)$ in an immediately following suffixed syllable, whether the $-i$ - causing the umlaut is an original $-i$-, or itself umlauted from an original $-a-$; the $-i$ - of the
§§ $7-8$
pronominal endings -bi- thee, -si- he to me, -xi- he me, fails to cause umlaut, nor does the law operate when the $-i$ - is immediately preceded by an inorganic $h$. The following forms will make the applicability of the rule somewhat clearer:
wak!ayayini's $n$ I caused him to grow with it (but k!ayayana's $n$ I caused him to grow, with preserved -a-, because of following $-a^{\prime \varepsilon} n, \operatorname{not}-i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ )
wak!eyeya'nxi he caused me to grow with it
wak!ayaya'nxbi${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I caused thee to grow with it
$\overline{\text { }}$ yulu $u^{\prime} y_{i l i}{ }^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ rub it (from -yali $n$ )
zyulu'yalhi he rubs it
It should be carefully noted that this $i$ - umlaut never operates on a radical or stem-vowel, a fact that incidentally proves helpful at times in determining how much of a phonetic complex belongs to the stem, and how much is to be considered as belonging to the grammatical apparatus following the stem. In:
$w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I brought it to him (from -awis $n$; cf. $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s t i^{\varepsilon} n$ I brought it to you)
the $-a$ - following the $g$ is shown to be not a part of the aoristic stem $w \bar{a}^{a} g$ - by the $i$-umlaut that it may undergo; on the other hand, the corresponding future shows an un-umlauted $-a-$ :
wagawi'n I shall bring it to him
so that the future stem must be set down as waga-, as is confirmed by certain other considerations.

It would take us too far afield to enumerate all the possible cases in which $i$-umlaut takes place; nevertheless, it is a phenomenon of such frequent recurrence that some of the more common possibilities should be listed, if only for purposes of further illustration:
(1) It is caused by the aoristic verb suffix $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - denoting position: $s^{*} \cdot a s \cdot i n \tau$ he stands (cf. $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} \cdot a n t \bar{a}^{a}$ he will stand)
$t$ !obig $\tilde{\imath}$ he lies as if dead (cf. future $t$ !obaga'sd $\bar{a}^{a}$ )
(2) By an element $-i$ - characteristic of certain nouns, that is added to the absolute form of the noun before the possessive pronominal endings:
$b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i^{\prime} t^{\prime} k^{*}$ my arm (cf. $b \bar{u}^{u} b a^{\prime} n$ arm)
$t^{\circ}$ ga'lt gilixdek' my belly (for * t'galt'gali-)
(3) By the common verbal "instrumental" vowel -i-, which, for one reason or another, replaces the normal pre-pronominal element
$-\alpha-$, and often serves to give the verb an instrumental force. This instrumental - $i$ - may work its influence on a great number of preceding elements containing -a-, among which are:
(a) The - $a$ - that regularly replaces the stem-rowel in the second member of a duplicated rerb:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{i} m i l i ' s m i l i=n \text { I swing it (cf. ismilsmal swing it!) }
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) The causative element -an-:
wap! $\bar{a}^{a} g i n i^{\prime \prime} n$ I cause him to swim with it (cf. p! $\bar{a}^{a}$ gana $a^{\prime z} n$ I cause him to swim)
See abore:
wak!ayayinis $n$ I cause him to grow
(c) The element -an-added to transitive stems to express the idea of for. in behalf of:
wat!omominis $n$ I kill it for him with it (cf. t'omomana's ${ }^{\prime}$ I kill it for him)
(d) The pronominal element -am-, first personal plural object:
alxi'iximits one who sees us (cf. $a l x i^{\prime \prime} x a m$ he sees us)
4. By the suffixed local element $-d \bar{i}{ }^{i}$ ox top of added to the demonstrative pronoun $g a$ that to form a general local postposition:
gid $\bar{i}^{i}$ on top of it, over (so and so)
Compare the similarly formed:
gada'k" above
gada'l among
and others.
5. By the pronominal element $-2 g-\left(-i k^{*}\right)$, first personal plural subject intransitive:
t'omoxinik' we kill each other (cf. t!omoxas $n$ they kill each other)
daxxinigam we shall find each other (cf. dãxan ${ }^{\varepsilon} t^{t}$ ther will find each other)
This list might be greatly extended if desired, and indeed numerous other examples will meet us in the morphology. Examples of a double and treble $i$ - umlaut are:
loh $\bar{o}^{u} n i n i n i^{\prime s} n$ I caused him to die (i. e., killed him) for him (cf. lohöunana'nhi he killed him for him)
很! $\bar{u} m i n i n i n i n k{ }^{*}$ he will fix it for him (compare $\bar{z} k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ he fixed it)

The semivowel corresponding to $i$, namely $y$, is also capable, under analogous circumstances, of causing the $i$ - umlaut of a preceding nonradical $a$. Examples are:
daxoyo'xiyas $n$ ( $=-x a y a^{s} n$ ) I scare them around; daxoyo' $x i$ ( $=-x i y$ $=-x a y$ ) he scares them around
alsit'ge'it giyak ${ }^{* w}$ (=-t'gay-) rolled up
alh $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} h \bar{\imath}^{i} x(=-h i y x=-h a y x)$ he used to hunt
saniya' ( = sanaya') to fight him
dõamk'wiya ( $=-k^{*}$ waya) to kill him; and numerous other infinitives in -k'wiya ( $=-k^{\prime}$ waya)

## § 9. K- SOUNDS PRECEDED BY U- VOWELS

An $u$-vowel ( $o, u, \ddot{u}$, and diphthongs in $-u$ ) immediately preceding a $k$ - sound (i. e., $g, k, k!, x)$ introduces after the latter a parasitic $-w$-, which, when itself followed by a vowel, unites with the $k$ - sound to form a consonant-cluster ( $g w, k \times w, k!w, x w$ ), but appears, when standing after a (word or syllabic) final $k^{*}$, as a roiceless - ${ }^{w}$. The introduction of the excrescent $w$ simply means, of course, that the labial rounding of the $u$ - vowel lingers on after the articulation of the $k$ sound, a phonetic tendency encouraged by the fact that the production of the guttural consonant does not, as in the labials and dentals, necessitate a readjustment of the lips. A few examples will illustrate the phonetic process:
gelgulugwa's $n$ I desire it
gelgulu' $\Re^{* w}$ he desires it (contrast gelgula $K^{\prime}$ he desired it, without the labial affection of the $-k^{*}$ because of the replacement of the $-u$ - by an $-a-$ )
güxw $\bar{z}^{\prime i}$ his heart
$d \ddot{u}^{i ̈} y w i ' t$ 'gwa her dress
dunkew woman's garment
$y \tilde{o}^{u} k!w \bar{a}^{a}$ his bones
As also in the upper Chinook dialects (Wasco, Wishram), where exactly the same process occurs, the $w$ - infection is often very slight, and particularly before $u$ - vowels the $-w$ - is, if not entirely absent, at least barely audible:
yok! ${ }^{w} \bar{o} y a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I know it
yo'k'yan I shall know it
In one very common word the catch seems to be treated as a $k$ - sound in reference to a preceding $u$ when itself followed by an - $\bar{\imath}$-:
$s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} w i l z$ he sits; but
$s \cdot u^{\prime s} a l t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ he will sit

The first form was, for some reason or other, often heard, perhaps misheard, as $s^{r} i^{s} u u^{7}$.

## § 10. INORGANIC $a$

It frequently happens in the formation of words that a rowel present in some other form of the stem will drop out, or, more accurately expressed, has never been inserted. Consonant-combinations sometimes then result which are either quite impossible in Takclma phonetics, or at any rate are limited in their occurrence to certain grammatical forms, so that the introduction of an "inorganic" $-a$-, serving to limber up the consonant-eluster, as it were, becomes necessary. Ordinarily this $-a$ - is inserted after the first consonant; in certain cases, after the two consonants forming the cluster. The theoretical future of gini'k'de i go somewhere should be, for example, *ginl: dee; but, instead of this somewhat difficult form, we really get $!i n a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{e}$. That the $-a^{\prime}$ - is here really inorganic, and not a characteristic of the future stem, as was at first believed, is clearly shown by the imperative $g i^{\prime} n k^{\prime}$ (all imperatives are formed from the future stem). Similarly:
$k!!y a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall go, come; aorist, $k!i y \eta^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} d e^{s}$
alxik!a'lhik: (=theoretical *alxik!lik') he kept looking at him; aorist first person alxik!illit $n$ I keep looking at him
k!ema'n make it! (=theoretical *k!emn): cf. k!emna'n I shall make it
 sickness
sgela'ut'e ${ }^{e}$ I shall shout ( $=$ theoretic *syelwt ${ }^{*} e^{\epsilon}$ ); aorist second person, sgeleva' $t$ ' you shouted
As an example of an inorganic $-a$ - following a consonantic cluster may be given:
wisma' $t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall move (stem wism-); aorist, wits $!i \pi t^{\prime} \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I moved ${ }^{1}$ The exact nature of the processes involved in the various forms given will be better understood when stem-formation is discussed. Here

[^5]it will suffice to say that there are three distinct sorts of inorganic or secondary $a$ - vowels: the regular inorganic a first illustrated above, inserted between two consonants that would theoretically form a cluster; the post-consonantal constant $a$ of certain stems (such as wism-above) that would otherwise end in more or less impracticable consonant clusters (this - $a$ appears as $-i$ under circumstances to be discussed below); and a connecting a employed to join consonantal suffixes to preceding consonants (such suffixes are generally directly added to preceding rowels or diphthongs). The varying treatment accorded these different secondary $a$ vowels will become clearer in the morphology.

## § 11. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE DIPHTHONGS

By a double diphthong is meant a syllable consisting of an ordinary diphthong (long or short) followed by a semivowel $(y, w)$ or by $l, m$, or $n$. Such double diphthongs are, for instance, aiv, āiw, auy, auy, ain, $\bar{a} i n, a l w, \bar{a}^{a} l w ;$ those with initial short vowel, like ain, have, like the long diphthongs (e. g. $\bar{a}^{a} n$ ), a quantitative value of 3 morae, while those with initial long vowel, like $\bar{a} i n$, have a quantitative value of 4 moras and may be termed over-long diphthongs. Double diphthongs may theoretically arise when, for some reason or other, a connecting or inorganic $a$ fails to lighten the heavy syllable by reducing it to two (see particularly $\$ 65$ for a well-defined class of such cases). Double diphthongs, however, are nearly always avoided in Takelma; there is evidently a rhythmic feeling here brought into play, a dislike of heavy syllables containing three qualitatively distinct sonantic elements.

In consequence of this, double diphthongs are regularly simplified by the loss of either the second or third element of the diphthong; in other words, they are quantitatively reduced by one mora (the simple double diphthongs now have a value of 2 morae, the overlong diphthongs 3 morae like ordinary long diphthongs), while qualitivetatly they now involve only two sonantic elements. An exception seems to be afforded by double diphthongs in -uy (e. g. -auy), which become dissyllabic by vocalizing the $y$ to $i$, in other words, -auy becomes -awi:
ts!awi'k' he ran fast; cf. ts!a-uya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$ fast runner, ts!awaya ${ }^{\circ} t^{\circ}$ (aorist) you ran fast
yawi't'e $e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall talk; cf. yawaya ${ }^{\prime} t^{`}$ (aorist) you talked

The -awi- ( = theoretic -awy-) of these forms is related to the -awayof the aorist as the -ilw- of bilw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ Jumper to the -iliwe of the aorist biliwa't' you jumped.

Such double diphthongs as end in $-w$ (e. g. -aiw, $-\bar{u} a l w$ ) simply lose the $-w$ :
gai eat it! ( $=$ *gaiw ) : gaik he ate it ( $=$ *gaiuk ${ }^{*}$ ); compare ga-iwa' $n$ I shall eat it
Other examples of this loss of $w$ are given in § 18, 2. All other double diphthongs are simplified by the loss of the second nowel ( $i, u$ ) or consonant ( $l, m, n$ ); a glottal catch, if present after the second vowel or consonant, is always preserved in the simplified form of the double diphthong. Examples of simplified double diphthongs with initial short rowel are:
gelhewe ${ }^{\prime} h a^{{ }^{5}}{ }^{n}\left(={ }^{*}-h a u^{s} n\right)$ I think; compare gethewe'hau he thinks $i m i^{\prime} h \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ ( $=$ *- $\mathrm{ham}^{\mathrm{s} n}$ ) I sent him; compare $i m i^{\prime} h a m$ he sent him mo'lo $0^{\varepsilon} m a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\left(={ }^{*} m a l^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I stir it up; mo' ${ }^{\varepsilon} m$ an ( $={ }^{*}-m a \ln$ ) I shall stir it up; compare parallel forms with connecting a: mo $l o^{\varepsilon_{-}}$

$m \bar{a}^{a} n m \mathbf{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ ( $={ }^{*}-m a a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ ) I count them; compare dam $\bar{a}^{a} n m \mathrm{in} i^{\prime \delta} n$ (umlauted from-man- $i^{\prime s} n$ ) I counted them up
$k!$ em $x a^{\prime} t e^{e} \quad\left(=* k!\right.$ emn $\left.x a^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}\right)$ I shall make; compare $k!$ emn $a^{\prime z} \varepsilon_{s}$ maker and $k$ ! ema'n make it! (with inorganic a because accent is not thrown forward)
Examples of simplified over-long diphthongs are:
dāal $d i^{\prime} n\left(=* d \bar{a} i l d i^{\prime} n\right)$ I shall go to him for food; compare dāit' $e^{e}$ I shall go for food
$e \bar{\imath} t^{\prime} g \overline{\mathrm{e}} 1 x \bar{\imath}^{i}\left(=\right.$ * $^{\prime}$ gè̀ll $x^{i}{ }^{i}$ ) wagon (literally, rolling canoe); compare $t^{\prime} g e^{e}$ va'lx $x$ it rolls
 a fire

oyõ $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(=\right.$ *oyõn $\left.^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I give it; compare third person oyõn he gives it
In the inferential, less frequently passive participle and imperative, forms of the verb, double diphthongs, except those ending in $w$, generally fail to be simplified. If coming immediately before the inferential $-k^{-}$- the double diphthong is preserved, for what reason is not exident (perhaps by analogy to other non-aorist forms in which the last element of the double diphthong belongs to the following syllable):
§ 11
$t s^{*}!$ aĩmk" (but also $t s^{*}!$ ayàm $k^{*}$ ) he hid it; compare $t s^{*}!a-i m a^{\prime} n$ I shall hide it
oink' he gave it; compare oin $a^{\prime} n$ I shall give it
If the inferential $-k$ - does not immediately follow, an inorganic $a$ seems to be regularly inserted between the second and third elements of the diphthong:
gelts'!ay $a^{\prime}$ mamk'nas since he concealed it from us
Examples of other than inferential forms with unsimplified double diphthong are:
ts! !aimhak'whidden
oin give it! (yet $t s$ !aya'm hide it! with inorganic $a$ )
Consonants (§§ 12-24)

## § 12. System of Consonants

The Takelma consonant system is represented in the following table:

|  | Aspirated tenuis. | Voiceless media. | Fortis. | Spirant. | Lateral. | Nasal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labial . . | $p$ | $b$ | $p!$ | $\begin{array}{cr}\text { V. } & \text { unv. } \\ w & -{ }^{\text {a }} \text { ( }\end{array}$ |  | $m$ |
| Dental . . | $t *$ | $d$ | $t!$ |  | $l$ | $n$ |
| Sibilant |  |  | $t s!, t s$ ! | $s, s$. |  |  |
| Palatal . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  | $y$ | (l) |  |
| Guttural | $k^{*}$ | $g$ | k! | $x$ |  |  |
| Faucal . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | $\varepsilon$ | $h$ |  |  |

The spirants have been divided into two groups, those on the lefthand side of the column (labeled $v$.) being voiced, while those on the right-hand side (labeled unv.) are unvoiced. The rarely occurring palatal lateral $\ell$ (see § 2, footnote) is also voiceless. Every one of the consonants tabulated may occur initially, except the voiceless labial spirant - ${ }^{-w}$, which occurs only with $k$ at the end of a syllable. Properly speaking, $-k^{* w}$ should be considered the syllabic final of the labialized guttural series ( $k^{*} w, g w, k!w$ ); a consideration of the consonant-clusters allowed in Takelma shows that these labialized consonants must be looked upon as phonetic units. The catch ( ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ) as organic consonant is found only medially and finally; the $l$ only
initially. In regard to the pronunciation of the rarious consonants, $u, s, y, h, l, m$, and $n$ do not differ naterially from the corresponding sounds in English.

The first two series of stops-tenuis ( $p^{\prime}, t^{*}, k^{\prime}$ ) and media $(b, d, g)$ do not exactly correspond to the surd and sonant stops of English or French. The aspirated tenues are, as their name implies, voiceless stops whose release is accompanied by an appreciable expulsion of breath. The roiceless mediae are also stops without roiced articulation: but they differ from the true tenues in the absence of aspiration and in the considerably weaker stress of articulation. Inasmuch as our English mediae combine sonancy with comparatively weak stress of articulation, while the tenues are at the same time unvoiced and pronounced with decided stress, it is apparent that a series of consonants which, like the Takelma roiceless mediae, combine weak stress with lack of voice will tend to be perceived by an American ear sometimes (particularly when initial) as surds, at other times (particularly between rowels) as sonants. On the other hand, the aspirated tenues will be regularly heard as ordinary surd-stops, so that an untrained American ear is apt to combine an uncalled-for differentiation with a disturbing lack of differentiation. While the Takelma tenuis and media are to a large extent morphologically equiralent consonants with manner of articulation determined by certain largely mechanical rules of position, yet in a considerable number of cases (notably as initials) they are to be rigidly kept apart etymologically. Words and stems which differ only in regard to the weak or strong stress and the absence or presence of aspiration of a stop, can be found in great number:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \bar{a}^{a} n \text { - ear: } t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} n \text { squirrel } \\
& \text { b } \bar{o}^{u} \text { now: : } p^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u} \text { - to blow } \\
& g a \text { that: } F^{\circ} a \text { what } \\
& \text { di} i^{i} \text { - on top; } t^{\prime} \bar{i}^{i} \text { - to drift } \\
& b^{0} \bar{o}^{u} d \text { - to pull out hair; } p^{\cdot} \bar{\sigma}^{u} d-\text { to mix } \\
& d \bar{a}^{a} g \text { - to build fire; } d \bar{a}^{a} g \text { - to find: } t^{+} \bar{a}^{a} g \text {-to cry } \\
& \text { gai- to eat; } k^{*} a i \text { - thing, what }{ }^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^6]§ 12

The fortes ( $p!, t!, k!, t s!\left[=t s^{\bullet}!\right]$, and ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, which has been put in the same series because of its intimate phonetic and morphologic relation to the other consonants) are pronounced with the characteristic snatched or crackly effect (more or less decided stress of articulation of voiceless stop followed by explosion and momentary hiatus) prevalent on the Pacific coast. From the point of view of Takelma, $p!, t$ !, and $k$ ! are in a way equivalent to $p^{\varepsilon}, t^{\varepsilon}$, and $k^{\varepsilon}$, respectively, or rather to $b^{\varepsilon}, d^{\varepsilon}$, and $g^{\varepsilon}$, for the fortes can never be aspirated. In some cases it was found difficult to tell whether a fortis, or a voiceless stop followed by a glottal stricture, was really heard:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yap!a' and yap } p^{s} a^{\prime} \text { man } \\
& g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i^{\prime} \text { and } g \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{s} p^{s} i i^{\prime} \text { two }
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, a final tenuis + a catch inserted, as between vowels, to prevent phonetic amalgamation, regularly become, at least as far as acoustic effect is concerned, the homorganic fortis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{a} k!a^{\prime} \text { he indeed }\left(=\bar{a} k^{\prime} \text { he }+ \text { deictic }{ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} \text {; cf. } m a^{\prime} a^{\prime} \text { you indeed }\right) \\
& \text { sãk!eit' you shot him }\left(=s \tilde{a} k^{\prime} \text { he shot him }+\left(^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { eiti you are }\right) \\
& m \tilde{a} p!a^{\prime} \text { just you [pl.] }\left(=m \tilde{a} p^{\prime} \text { you [pl.] }{ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Nevertheless, $p^{\varepsilon}, t^{\varepsilon}, k^{\varepsilon}$ are by no means phonetically identical with $p!, t!, k!$; in Yana, for instance, the two series are etymologically, as well as phonetically, distinct. One difference between the two may be the greater stress of articulation that has been often held to be the main characteristic of the fortes, but another factor, at least as far as Takelma (also Yana) is concerned, is probably of greater moment. This has regard to the duration of the glottal closure. In the case of $p^{\varepsilon}, t^{\varepsilon}$, and $k^{\varepsilon}$ the glottis is closed immediately upon release of the stop-contact for $p, t$, and $k$. In the case of $p!, t!$, and $k!$ the glottis is closed just before or simultaneously with the moment of consonant contact, is held closed during the full extent of the consonant articulation, and is not opened until after the consonant release; the fortis $p!$, e. g., may be symbolically represented as ${ }^{\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon}$ (or ${ }^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon}$, better as ${ }^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon}$, i. e., a labial unaspirated stop immersed in a glottal catch). As the glottis is closed throughout the whole extent of the fortis articulation, no breath can escape through it; hence a fortis consonant is necessarily unaspirated. This explains why fortes are so apt to be misheard as voiceless mediae or even voiced mediae rather than as aspirated tenues ( $p!$, e. g., will be often misheard as $b$ rather than $p$ ). The cracked effect of the fortes, sometimes quite incorrectly $3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt $2-12-3$
referred to as a click, is due to the sudden opening of the closed chamber formed between the closed glottis and the point of consonant contact (compare the sound produced by the sudden withdrawal of a stopper from a closed bottle); the hiatus generally heard between a fortis and a following vowel is simply the interval of time elapsing between the consonant release and the release of the glottal closure. ${ }^{1}$ That the fortis consonant really does involve an initial glottal catch is abundantly illustrated in the author's manuscript material by such writings as:

$d i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s^{2} t!i \hbar n=d \ddot{u}^{\prime} l t!i l i n$ I shall stuff it
leme ${ }^{\prime 8} k!i a-u d a^{s}=7 e m e^{\prime} k:!a-u d a^{\varepsilon}$ as they go off
Many facts of a phonetic and morphological character will meet us later on that serve to confirm the correctness of the phonetic analysis given (see $\$ 13$, end; also $\$ \S 30,4 ; 40,6 ; 40,13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{p} .113 ; 40,13 \mathrm{~b}$ ). Here it is enough to point out that $p!, t!, k!, t s^{!}!$are etymologically related to $b, d, g, s^{*}$ as are ${ }^{i \varepsilon},{ }^{\varepsilon \varepsilon},{ }^{\varepsilon} l,{ }^{\varepsilon} m,{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ to $i, u, l, m, n$.

There is no tenuis or media affricative ( $t s-d z ; t s^{*}, t c-d z^{*}, d j$ ) corresponding in Takelma to the fortis $t s!$, $t s^{*}$ !, though it seems possible that it originally existed but developed to $x$ (cf. yegwexi they bite me [upper Takelma yegwe'tci]; ts $!i^{\prime} x i$ dog [from original *ts !its ${ }^{\prime}$ ? ${ }^{?}$ ]). Morphologically $t s!, t s!$ stand in the same relation to $s, s$ that $p!, t!$, and $k!$ stand in to $b, d, g$. For example,
Aorist stems:
t!omom-kill, p!ügüg-start (war, basket), k!olol- dig-are related to their corresponding
Future stems:
$d \tilde{o}^{u} m-, b \ddot{u}^{u} g-, g \bar{o}^{u} l_{-}$-, -as are the
Aorist stems:
ts! !adad-mash, ts'!elel-paint-to their corresponding
Future stems:
$s \cdot \bar{a}^{a} d-, s \cdot e^{e} Z_{-}$
Of the other consonants, only $x,-^{*}$, and $s, s$ call for remark. $x$ is equivalent to the ch of German dach, though generally pronounced further forward $(x)$. It frequently has a $w$ tinge, even when no $u$-vowel or diphthong precedes, particularly before $i$; examples are $h \bar{a}^{\prime} p x^{w} i$ child and haxwiya' (ordinarily haxiya') in the water. $-k^{{ }^{w} w}$,

[^7]in which combination alone, as we have seen, - ${ }^{+\infty}$ occurs, is the aspirated tenuis $k^{*}$ followed by a voiceless labial continuant approximately equivalent to the wh of English which, more nearly to the sound made in blowing out a candle. $s$ is the ordinary English $s$ as in SELL; while $s$ is employed to represent a sibilant about midway in place of articulation between $s$ and $c(=s h$ in English shell), the fortes $t s$ ! and $t s!$ corresponding, respectively, in place of articulation to $s$ and $s$. The two sounds $s$ and $s$ have been put together, as it is hardly probable that they represent morphologically distinct sounds, but seem rather to be the limits of a normal range of variation (both sal- with foot and sal-, e. g., were heard). The only distinction in use that can be made out is that $s$ occurs more frequently before and after consonants and after ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ :
$s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall stand
ogu's $i$ he gave it to me, but ogu'sbi he gave it to you
l $\overline{0} u^{\prime} \cdot \bar{i}_{i}^{\prime i}$ his plaything 110.6
$\bar{\imath} l a s g i{ }^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall touch it
$l e^{e} p s i$ feathers
yôls steel-head salmon
ha-uhana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon_{s}}$ it stopped (raining)

## § 13. Final Consonants

By a"final" consonant will always be meant one that stands at the end of a syllable, whether the syllable be the last in the word or not. Such a final position may be taken only by the aspirated tenues, the voiceless spirants, the catch, the liquid ( $l$ ), and the nasals, not by the voiceless mediae, fortes, and semivowels ( $y$ and $w$ ); $h$ occurs as a final only very rarely:
la`h excrement
lohlaha'nk' he always caused them to die
A final semivowel unites with the preceding vowel to form a diphthong:
gayau he ate it (cf. gayawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathbf{I}$ ate it)
$g \bar{a} \tau$ grow! (cf. $g \bar{a}^{a} y a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ he will grow)
A final voiceless media always turns into the corresponding aspirated surd; so that in the various forms of one stem a constant alternation between the two manners of articulation is brought about:
$s e^{e} b a^{\prime s} n$ I roasted it; sép $p^{\circ}$ he roasted it
$x e b e^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ he did it; xép $g a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ did it
xuduma'ldas $n$ I whistle to him; xuduma'lt', xuduma'lt'gwa he whistles to him
t!ayaga's $n$ I found it; t!aya'k' he found it, dãk ${ }^{*} n a^{\varepsilon}$ since he found it

A final fortis also becomes the corresponding aspirated surd (-ts! becoming $-\varepsilon_{s}$ ), but with a preceding catch by way of compensation for the loss of the fortis character of the consonant. This process is readily understood by a reference to the phonetic analysis of the fortes given above (§ 12). Final $p!$, for instance, really ${ }^{\varepsilon} b\left({ }^{\varepsilon}\right)$, is treated in absolutely parallel fashion to a final $b$; the final media implied in the $p!$ must become an aspirated surd (this means, of course, that the glottal closure is released at the same time as the stop, not subsequently, as in the ordinary fortis), but the glottal attack of the ${ }^{\varepsilon} b$ still remains. Examples are:
wasg $\bar{a}^{\prime} p!$ in I shall make it tight; wasga $\bar{a}^{\prime s} p^{\prime}$ make it tight
$k^{*} a p!a^{\prime} k^{\prime} a p^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I throw them under (fire, earth); future, $k^{\prime} a^{s} p^{*}$ -

$b \bar{a}^{a} x \bar{o}^{\prime} t!a n$ I shall win over him; $b \bar{a}^{a} x \bar{o}^{\prime} \varepsilon t^{a}$ win over him! $b \bar{a}^{a} x \bar{o}^{\prime s} t^{\circ} g a^{\varepsilon}$ I won over him
$a l x \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k!i n$ I shall see him; $a l x i^{-s} k^{\prime}$ see him! (contrast $a l x i^{-\prime} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I saw him; $a l x i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} k^{*}$ he saw him)
 make it stop raining!
$n \bar{o}^{\prime}$ ts!at gwan next door to each other; no $\bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} \cdot$ next door


## Consonant Combinutions (§ 1f-1\%)

## § 14. GENERAL REMARKS

Not all consonant combinations are allowable in Takelma, a certain limited number of possibilities occurring initially, while a larger number occur as finals. Medial combinations, as we shall see ( $\$ 17$ ), are simply combinations of syllabic final consonants or permissible consonant combinations and syllabic initial consonants or permissible consonant combinations.

## § 15. INITIAL COMBINATIONS

If, as seems necessary, we regard $g w$ as a single labialized consonant, the general rule obtains that no combinations of three or more consonants can stand at the beginning of a word or syllable. The following table shows all the initial combinations of two consonants possible in Takelma, the first members of the various combinations being disposed in vertical columns and the second members, with which the first combine, being given in horizontal lines. Examples fill the spaces thus mapped out. Inasmuch as the mediae and fortes,
the liquid, nasals, semivowels, and $h$ never appear, or with very few exceptions, as the first members of initial combinations, it was not considered necessary to provide for them in the horizontal row. Similarly the tenues and fortes never occur as second members of initial combinations. A dash denotes non-occurrence.

|  | $p$ | $t^{\prime}$ | $k$ | $s$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | - | t'băag-hit | - | sbin beaver | ? |
| d | - | - | - | $s \cdot d \bar{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{~s} \cdot d a g w a$ - put on style | rdeit flate |
| $g$ | - | t'geib-roll | - | sgi'si coyote | - - |
| gw | - | l'gwa' thunder | -- | sgwini ' raccoon | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & s \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\iota$ | - | -- | - | $?$ | xliwi war feathers |
| $m$ | - | t'mila` $p x$ smooth | - | sma-im-smile | $?$ |
| $n$ | - | - | - | $s \cdot n \bar{a}$ mamıa! | snik' acorn mush |
| ${ }^{y}$ | -- | - | - | - | - |
| $w$ | - | t'wap!at'wap' - blink | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\begin{array}{c} k \text { 'wãag } w- \\ \text { awaken] } \end{array}\right] .} \end{gathered}$ | swat'g- pursue | $?$ |

It will be noticed that only $t^{\prime}$ ( $p^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime}$ were given mainly for contrast) and the two voiceless spirants $s$ and $x$ combine with following consonants $\left(k^{*} w\right.$ - is not to be analyzed into $k^{\circ}+w$, but is to be regarded as a single consonant, as also $g w$ - and $k!w-$, both of which frequently occur as initials) ; furthermore that $s, x$, and $y$ never combine with preceding consonants. The general law of initial combination is thus found to be: tenuis $\left(t^{*}\right)$ or voiceless spirant $(s, x)+$ media ( $b, d, g$ ) or voiced continuant $(l, m, n, w) .^{1}$ Of the combinations above tabulated, only $t^{\prime} b-t^{\prime} g$-, $s b-, s g$-, and perhaps sgw- and $s w$-, can be considered as at all common, $t^{\prime} m-, t^{\prime} w-, s d-, s n-, x d-$, $x l$-, and $x n$ - being very rare. $s l-, s b-, x m$-, and $x w$ - have not been found, but the analogy of $x l$ - for the first, and of $s b-, s m-$, and $s w$ for the others, make it barely possible that they exist, though rarely; there may, however, be a distinct feeling against the combination $x+$ labial $(b, m, w)$.

Only two cases have been found of fortis or media + consonant:
t!wep!e't!wapx they fly about without lighting; future dwep'$d w a^{\prime} p x d \bar{a}^{a}$

[^8]
## § 16. FINAL COMBINATIONS

Final consonant combinations are limited in possibility of occurrence by the fact that only aspirated tenues and voiceless spirants ( $p^{*}, t^{*}, k^{*}, k^{\bullet}, s$, and $x$ ) can stand as absolute finals after other consonants. The following table will give examples of all final combinations of two or three consonants that have been discovered in the a railable material.

|  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $t$ | ${ }^{\prime}$ | $l$ | m | $n$ | $s$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p^{*}$ | - | eit'p' yeare | - | bélp' swan | - |  | - | - |
| $t$ | - | - | - | sqetexa 1 t ' he shouted to him | ts!elela'mt' he paints it |  | - | - |
| $k^{*}$ | $x e{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ he did it |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a fk' silver-side } \\ & \text { salmon } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x a^{\prime} \mathrm{mk} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime} \text { grizz- } \\ & \text { ly bear } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dōuma'nk' } \\ & \text { he nill } \\ & \text { hill him } \end{aligned}$ | mill ${ }^{\text {' }}$ \&k' her | K' $u a^{\prime} a^{s} x k^{-}$ he's awake |
| 1:" | - | - | - |  | $?$ | $\begin{aligned} & y: 8 \mathrm{~m}^{*}{ }^{*} \text { me } \\ & \text { took it } \\ & \text { along } \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| $p^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | - | - | - |  | - | se'nsanp' $k$ " he whooped | - | - |
| $t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dōuma'lt'k' my } \\ & \text { testicles } \end{aligned}$ | $x_{\bar{a}} a l a ' m t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my urine | bilga'nt'k' my breast | - | - |
| $s$ | la'ps blanket | - | - | bils moss | gūms blind | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p:c'ns } \\ & \text { squirrel } \end{aligned}$ | -- | - |
|  | $t^{\prime}$ geya'px round | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t'geeya'lx it } \\ & \text { rolls } \end{aligned}$ | ya'mx grease | $\begin{gathered} \text { bans hun- } \\ \text { ger } \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { des'ipxk* } \\ & \text { closed } \end{aligned} \text { it }$ | - | - | gü' $7 k$.'alxk' it was blazing | dats 'a'mxk' it hurt | ügua'nxk' <br> hedrank | - | - |
| $p^{\prime}$ | - | - | - | sgiilpx warm your back! | - | ? | - | - |

No examples of $-m k^{*}$ and $-n p x$ have been found, but the analogy of -lpx makes the existence of the latter of these almost certain ( $l$ and $n$ are throughout parallel in treatment): the former (because of the double labial; cf. the absence of $-m p^{\circ}$ ) is much less probable, despite the analogy of $-7 k^{*}$ and $-n k^{* w}$. It is possible also that $-l s k^{\circ},-m s k^{*}$, and $-n s 7^{\circ}$ exist, though their occurrence can hardly be frequent. Of final clusters of four consonants -nt $p \%^{\prime \prime}$ has been found in $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ ant $t^{\prime} p^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he stood, but there can be small doubt that the $-t$ - is merely a dental tenuis glide inserted in passing from the dental nasal to the labial tenuis; compare the morphologically analogous form se'nsanp ${ }^{\prime} K^{\circ}$ не whooped. However, the combinations -lpxk and -npxk: (if -npx exists), though not found in the available material, very probably ought to be listed, as they would naturally be the terminations of morphologically necessary forms (cf. des'ipxk'). Most, if not all, of
the preceding final combinations may furthermore be complicated by the addition of ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, which is inserted before the first tenuis or voiceless spirant of the group, i. e., after a possible liquid or nasal:
$\bar{u}^{\prime i} \varepsilon_{s} \cdot k^{*}$ he laughed
$k^{\prime} o^{\prime s} p x$ dust, ashes.
$t s!u^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s$ (deerskin) cap
As compared to the initial combinations, the table of final clusters seems to present a larger number of possibilities. It is significant, however, that only those that consist of $l, m$, or $n+$ single consonant can ever be looked upon as integral portions of the stem (such as $x a^{\prime} m k^{\prime}$ and $\left.t^{\prime} g w e^{\prime} 7 k^{*} w\right)$; while those that end in $-s$ can always be suspected of containing either the verbal suffix $-s(=t+x)$, or the notn and adjective forming element $-s$. All other combinations are the result of the addition of one or more grammatical elements to the stem (e. g., $\left.s^{*} u^{\prime s} a l p^{*} k^{*}=s^{*} u^{\varepsilon} a l-+p^{\prime}+k^{*}\right)$. Further investigation shows that only two of the combinations, $-t^{*} p^{\prime}$ (second personal plural subject aorist) and $-t^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}$ (first personal singular possessive) are suffixal units; though $-t^{\circ} p^{\circ}$ might be ultimately analyzed into $-t^{\circ}$ (second personal singular subject aorist) $+-p^{\circ}$. It is interesting to note that these clusters are at the same time the only ones, except $t^{\prime}$ gw-, allowed initially, $t^{\prime} b$ - and $t^{\prime} g$-. The constitution of the Takelma word-stem may thus be formulated as
tenuis (or voiceless spirant) + media (or voiced continuant) + vowel (or diphthong) + liquid or nasal + stop (fortis or media-tenuis),
any or all of the members of which skeleton may be absent except the vowel; $h$ may also be found before the vowel.

## § 17. MEDIAL COMBINATIONS

A medial combination consists simply of a syllabically final combination or single consonant + an initial combination or single consonant, so that theoretically a very large number of such medial combinations may occur. Quite a large number do indeed occur, yet there is no morphologic opportunity for many of them, such as $k^{*}-l, n p^{*}-m$, and numerous others. Examples of medial combinations are:
t!omoma' $n-m a^{\varepsilon}$ when he was killed
hêlk:-nas when he sang
dak'-t $g u^{\prime} u b a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put hollowed object (like hat) on top (as on head)

The occurrence of such clusters as $-k n$ - must not for a moment be interpreted as a contradiction of the non-occurrence of the same clusters initially or finally, as they are not, syllabically speaking, clusters at all. Had such combinations as, say, ttgn- (in which $-t^{*}$ would be the final of one syllable and $g n$ - the initial of the next) occurred, we should be justified in speaking of an inconsistency in the treatment of clusters; but the significant thing is, that such clusters are never found. A Takelma word can thus ordinarily be cut up into a definite number of syllables:
gazk $n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he ate it $\left(=g a \imath k^{\circ}-n a^{s}\right)$
yo'k'yan I shall know it (=yo'k'-yan)
but these syllables have only a phonetic, not necessarily a morphologic ralue (e. g., the morphologic division of the preceding forms is respectively gai-k'-nas and yok'y-an). The theory of syllabification implied by the phonetic structure of a Takelma word is therefore at complete variance with that found in the neighboring Athapascan dialects, in which the well-defined syllable has at least a relative morphologic value, the stem normally consisting of a distinct syllable in itself.

One important phonetic adjustment touching the medial combination of consonants should be noted. If the first syllable ends in a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd, the following syllable, as far as initial stops are concerned, will begin with a media (instead of aspirated surd) or aspirated surd + media;i. e., for a cluster of stops in medial position, the last can be a media only, while the others are aspirated surds. As also in the case of single consonants, this adjustment often brings about a rariation in the manner of articulation of the final consonant in the cluster, according to whether its position in the word is medial or final. Thus we have:
$x \tilde{e} p^{\prime} g a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ did it ; xép $k^{\prime}$ he did it Contrast, with constant $-k^{\prime}-$ :
$a l x i^{-s} k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ I saw it ; alxi-s $i^{-\varepsilon} k^{-1}$ he saw it
the $-g$ - of the first form and the $-k$ of the second being the same morphological element; the $-p^{2}$ of both forms is the syllabically final $b$ of the stem $x e^{c} b$ - Do, so that $x \tilde{e} p^{\circ} g a^{\varepsilon}$ stands for a theoretical $*_{x e} b k^{*} a^{\varepsilon}$, a phonetically impossible form. Other examples are:

[^9]$g a-i w a^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ ye shall eat it; gayawa ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ ye ate it $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} x g a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ (as long object) was stretching out; $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} x k^{\prime}$ long object was stretching

## Consomunt Processes (§§ 18-24)

## §18. DROPPING OF FINAL CONSONANTS

There is a good deal to indicate that the comparatively limited number of possible final consonant-clusters is not a primary condition, but has been brought about by the dropping of a number of consonants that originally stood at the end.

1. The most important case is the loss of every final $-t^{\text {e }}$ that stood after a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd. Its former presence in such words can be safely inferred, either from morphologically parallel forms, or from other forms of the same stem where the phonetic conditions were such as to preserve the dental. Thus gwidi ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{w}$ нe threw it represents an older reduplicated *gwidi $\mathcal{K}^{*}{ }^{*} t^{*}(=g w i d-i-g w d-)$, as proven by the corresponding form for the first person, qwidi' $k^{*} d d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I threw it and gwidi'k'dagwa he threw him (122.13). Similarly all participles showing the bare verb stem are found to be phonetically such as not to permit of a final $-t^{\prime}$, and are therefore historically identical with the other participial forms that show the $-t^{\prime}$ :
sãk* shooting $\left(=* s a ̃ k{ }^{\prime} t^{*}\right)$
dõ $x$ gathering $(=* d \tilde{o} x t)$
$h a-t$ !ülk' following in path ( $=$ *t!ülk't')
sana' $p^{\prime}$ fighting $\left(=*_{s a n a}{ }^{\prime} p^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime}\right)$
Compare:
yana't' going
loho't' dead
sebe' $t$ ' roasting
dõmt ${ }^{\circ}$ having killed
se'nsant te whooping
yilt* copulating with
The combinations $-k^{*} w^{*} k^{*}\left(-k^{*} w^{*} g\right.$-) and $-k^{*}{ }^{w} t^{*} x$-, however, seem to lose, not the $-t^{\prime}$-, but the $-k^{*} w_{-}$, whereupon $-t^{\prime} k^{*}\left(-t^{\prime} g-\right)$ remains, while $-t^{*} x$ - regularly becomes - $s$ - (see § 20, 2):
$h e^{e \varepsilon} g w i d a^{\prime} t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\left(={ }^{*}\right.$ gwida ${ }^{\prime} k^{`} w t^{\prime}-k^{\prime}$, inferential of gwidik $\left.{ }^{*}{ }^{w} d-\right)$ he lostit $h e^{e s}$ gwida't $t^{\prime} g a^{s}\left(={ }^{*} g w i d a^{\prime} k^{*}{ }^{*} t^{*}-g a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ I lost it
xamgwidi'sgwide $\quad\left(={ }^{*}\right.$ gwidi'k ${ }^{*} w t^{2}-x-g w i-$ or possibly ${ }^{*} g w i d i^{\prime} k^{*} w t^{2}$ -gwi-) I drown myself
2. Somewhat less transparent is the former existence of a $-w$ after consonants. The following examples have been found in the material at disposal:
lãl she twined basket ( $=$ *lãlw); cf. $l \bar{a}^{a} l w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I twine it (that $-w$ really belongs to the stem is shown by the forms $l \bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime} n$ I shall twine it; lèũx twine it for me!)
$k!e \bar{l}$ basket bucket $(=* k!e i w)$; cf. $k!e l w \bar{\imath}^{i}$ her bucket
$k^{\circ} a \bar{l}$ penis $\left(=* k^{*} a \grave{l} w\right)$; cf. $k^{\circ} a l w \bar{i}^{\prime}{ }^{i}$ his penis.
 sgelwa'lt ${ }^{e} e^{e}$ I shall keep shouting
alsgãlk" $a^{\varepsilon}\left(={ }^{\varepsilon} s g a ̃ l w k^{*} a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ I turned my head to one side to look at him; cf. alsgāa ${ }^{a} l w i^{\prime} n$ I shall turn my head to look at him
alsgelēlxi ( $=$ *sgelēlwxi) he keeps turning his head to one side to look at me; cf. alsgalãa $\bar{a} i w i^{\prime \prime} n$ I keep turning my head to look at him, future alsgalwalwi'n
This process, as further shown by cases like gã Eat it! ( $=$ *gã w), is really a special case of the simplification of double diphthongs (see § 11). Perhaps such "dissimilated" eases as $l \bar{a}^{a}-$ and $l e^{e}$ - (for $l \bar{u} u$ and lèu-), see § 7, really belong here.

Other consonants have doubtless dropped off under similar conditions, but the internal evidence of such a phenomenon is not as satisfactory as in the two cases listed. The loss of a final $-n$ is probable in such forms as īhegwe'hak'w не works, cf. īhegwe' $h a k^{* w} n a^{e} n$ I work, and ihegwe'hak'wana'k: we work. Certain verb-forms would be satisfactorily explained as originally reduplicated like gwidi $\%^{2} w$, if we could suppose the loss of certain final consonants:
gini $i^{\prime} \xi k^{*}$ he went somewheres ( $=? * \cdot \operatorname{lin}-i^{\prime}-\varepsilon 7 i^{*} n$ )
gelgulu' $k^{\cdot} w$ he desired it ( $\left.=?^{*}-g u l-u^{\prime}-k^{\circ} w l\right)$
In the case of these examples, however, such a loss of consonants is entirely hypothetical. ${ }^{1}$

## § 19. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE CONSONANTS

Morphologically doubled consonants occur very frequently in Takelma, but phonetically such theoretic doublings are simplified into single consonants; i. e., $l^{*}+g$ become $k^{*}$ or $g$, and correspondingly for other consonants. If one of the consonants is a fortis, the simplified result will be a fortis or aspirated surd with preceding catch, according to the phonetic circumstances of the case. If one of the

[^10]$k$ - consonants is labialized, the resulting $k$ - sound preserves the labial affection. Examples of consonant simplification are:
$m o^{\prime} t^{\prime} e k^{\circ}$ my son-in-law ( $=m o^{\prime} t^{*}-+-d e k^{\circ}$ )
lãk' $w o ̂ k^{\prime}$ he gave him to eat $\left(=l \tilde{a} g-+-k^{*} w \hat{k} k^{*}\right)$
dek!iya' $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ if it goes on ( $=$ dek!iya'g- $+-k^{\prime} i^{s}$ )
$l \bar{\imath}^{i} g w a{ }^{\prime} n$ I shall fetch them home $(=l \bar{\imath} i g-+-g w a n)$; cf. aorist ligigwa's $n$
 make him)
A good example of three $k$-sounds simplifying to one is:
ginãk $k^{*} w i^{\varepsilon}$ if he comes ( $=g i n \tilde{a} g-k^{*} w_{-}-k^{\bullet} i^{\varepsilon}$ )
The interrogative element $d i$ never unites with the $-t$ of a second person singular aorist, but each dental preserves its individuality, a light $\check{\imath}$ being inserted to keep the two apart:
xemela' $t^{\prime} u d i$ do you wish to eat? $(=x e m e l a ' t+d i)$
The operation of various phonetic processes of simplification often brings about a considerable number of homonymous forms. One example will serve for many. From the verb-stem $s \bar{a}^{a} g$ - shoot are derived:

1. Imperative sãk $k^{*}$ shoot it!
2. Potential sãk ${ }^{*}$ he can, might shoot it
3. Participle $s \tilde{a} k^{*}$ shooting $\left(=* s \tilde{a} k^{\prime} t^{*}\right)$
4. Inferential $s \tilde{a} k^{\prime}$ so he shot it ( $\left.=*_{s} \tilde{a} g-k^{*}\right)$

The corresponding forms of the stem yana- Go will bring home the fact that we are here really dealing with morphologically distinct formations:

1. yana` go!
2. yana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he would have gone
3. yana't' going
4. yana'k' so he went

Another simplification of consonant groups may be mentioned here. When standing immediately after a stop, an organic, etymologically significant $h$ loses its individuality as such and unites with a preceding media or aspirated tenuis to form an aspirated tenuis, with a preceding fortis to form an aspirated tenuis preceded by a glottal catch (in the latter case the fortis, being a syllabic final, cannot preserve its original form). Thus, for the $k$ - series, $g$ or $k^{\prime}+h$ becomes $k^{\prime}, k!$ (or ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ ) $+h$ becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\circ}$; gw or $k^{*} w+h$ becomes $k^{\prime} w$, $k!w\left(\right.$ or $\left.^{\varepsilon} k^{*} w\right)+h$ becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{*} w$. Under suitable conditions of accent
(see $\S 23$ ) the contraction product $k$ or $k$ may itself become $g$ or $g u$, so that all trace of the original $h$ seems to be lost. Examples for the $k$ - sounds are:
 nagan $\bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}\left(=n a g a n \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{s} h^{\prime}+\right.$ quotative $-h i^{\varepsilon} ;$ see $\left.\$ 22\right)$ he always said, it is said
gwen-he' $\mathcal{F}^{*} \omega \bar{a}^{a} g w-\left(=\right.$ reduplicated $\left.h e^{\prime} g w-h \bar{a}^{a} g w-\right)$ relate; with accent thrown forward gwen-hegwa'a ${ }^{\prime a} g w-a n-i-\left(=h e g w-h \bar{a}^{\prime} a g w-\right)$; compare, with preserved $h$, gwen-hegwe'hagw-an-i tell to
 ua; see § 9) he jumps: compare s.owo'k!anas $n$ I cause him to jump
Similarly, $d$ or $t^{\prime}+h$ becomes $t^{\prime}, t$ ! (or ${ }^{s} t^{\prime}$ ) $+h$ becomes ${ }^{s} t^{\prime}: b$ or $p^{\prime}+h$ becomes $p^{\prime}, p$ ! (or $\left.{ }^{s} p^{*}\right)+h$ becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime}$ :
gana't"i (=gana't' + emphatic -hi) of just that sort
yo't'i ( $=y 0^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ being + emphatic $-l i$ ) alive: compare plural yot $i^{\prime}$ hi
he ${ }^{\epsilon \varepsilon} s g \bar{u}^{\prime} u s t^{\prime} \hat{o} k^{\prime} w\left(=s g u^{\prime} u t!-h a k^{*} w\right)$ cut away; compare he ${ }^{f \varepsilon} s g \bar{o}^{\prime \prime} t!a n$ I shall cut it away
$s^{\text {s }}$ and $x$ also generally contract with $h$ to $s$ and $x$, e. $g$. : $n \bar{o}^{u}{ }^{*} \cdot i^{\prime s}\left(=n \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\cdot}+-h i^{\varepsilon}\right)$ next door. it is said.

## § 20. CONSONANTS BEFORE $x$

No stopped consonant or spirant may stand before $x$, except $p$. The dentals, guttural stops, and sibilants all simplify with $x$ into single sounds; the fortes (including ts!) following the example of the ordinary stops and of the $s$, but learing a trace in the vicarious ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

1. All $k$ - sounds ( $k^{\prime}, ~ g, k!, k^{\prime} w, q w, k!w$ ) simply disappear before $x$ without leaving any trace of their former existence, except in so far as $k$ ! and $k!w$ remain as ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; if $x$ is followed by a rowel, the $w$ of the labialized $k$-sounds unites with $x$ to form $x w$ :
$a l x i^{-1} x i$ he saw me ( $\left.=a l-x i^{-1} g-x i\right)$; cf. $a l x i^{-1} g i^{\text {n}} n$ I saw him
$\mathcal{F}^{*} w \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} x d c^{\varepsilon}$ I awoke ( $\left.=k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} a g w-x-d e^{s}\right)$; cf. $\bar{i} k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} a g w i^{s} n$ I woke him up
gelgulu'xbisn I like you (=-gulu'gw-x-bisn); cf. -gulugw $a^{\prime s} n$ I like him
$\sigma_{a^{\alpha}} d i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ (clouds) spread out on high ( $\left.=-d i n i^{\prime} k!-x\right)$; cf. $d i^{\prime} n i k!a^{\varepsilon} n$ I stretch it out
$l \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} x w a^{\prime}$ to $\operatorname{trap}\left(=l \bar{u} k!^{v-x}-x a^{\prime}\right)$; cf. $l o^{\prime} k$ ! wan I shall trap (leer)
yéxwink: ( = yëgw-xink ${ }^{\circ}$ ) he will bite me; but yéxda $\left(=y \tilde{e} g w-x-d a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ you will bite me
2. $t x$ always simplifies to $s, t!x$ to ${ }^{\varepsilon} s$. Whether the combination $t x$ really spontaneously developed into $s$ it is naturally impossible to say; all that can safely be stated is that, where we should by morphologic analogy expect $t+x$, this combination as such never appears, but is replaced by $s$. Examples are numerous:
lebe'sa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ she sews ( $=l e b e^{\prime} t-x a^{\varepsilon}$ ); cf., for $-t$ of stem, lebe't she sewed it, for suffix -xas, lobo' $x a^{\varepsilon}$ she pounds
sgelewa'lsi he shouts to me ( $=$ sgelewa'ld-xi) ; cf. sgelewa'lda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I shout to him
$d \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ i$b o d o b a^{\prime} s a^{\varepsilon} n$ they pull out each other's hair, with reduplicated stem bodobad- $+x$ -
$x \bar{a}^{\alpha} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime \epsilon \varepsilon} k^{\prime} t^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a g a m s$ it is all tied together ( $=-t^{\prime}$ bagamt-x); cf. $x \bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ gamda $a^{s} n$ tie it together
hansg ${ }^{-\quad \text { us }}$ s he cut across, lay over (road) ( $=-$ sgo $^{-\quad \iota} t!-x$ ); cf. hansgo ${ }^{\prime \prime u}$ !!an I shall cut it across
This change of $t x$ to $s$ is brought about constantly in the course of word-formation, and will be incidentally exemplified more than once in the morphology.
3. $s x$ simplifies to $s, t s!x\left(={ }^{\varepsilon} s x\right)$ to ${ }^{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}}$. Examples are:
yimi's ${ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ he dreams ( $=y i m i^{\prime} s^{r}-x a^{\varepsilon}$, with suffix $-x a^{\varepsilon}$ as in lobo $x a^{\varepsilon}$ above
ha-uhana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s_{s}$ it stopped (raining) ( $={ }^{*}-h a n a^{\prime \varepsilon} s x$, stem hanats!-+ $-x)$

## § 21. DISSIMILATION OF $n$ TO /AND $m$

If a (generally) final $n$ of a stem is immediately followed, or, less commonly, preceded by, a suffix containing a nasal, it dissimilates to $l$. The following examples have been found:
yalalana't you lost it (cf. yalnanada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will lose it, with $n$ preserved because it forms a consonant-cluster with $l$ )
$h a-g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a$ ' $m$ in the road (cf. gwãn road)
Dūdala'm Grant's Pass (probably =over [di-] the rocks[da'n])
$x \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\top} m t^{\prime} k^{*}$ my urine; xala'xamt'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I urinate (cf. xãn urine)
$b a-i s \cdot i n-x i^{\prime} l i k!w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I blow my nose, with $l$ due to $-n$ of prefix sin- nose (cf. xin mucus)
$s^{\cdot i n p}{ }^{\circ} i^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ flat-nosed, alongside of $s^{\cdot i n p} i^{\prime} n^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$
The possibility of a doublet in the last example shows that the prefix $s i n$ - is not as thoroughly amalgamated with the rest of the word as are the suffixes; probably, also, the analogy of forms in - $p^{\prime \prime}$ in $n^{\varepsilon}$ with other prefixes not containing an $n$ would tend to restore an anomalous-sounding $s^{\cdot i n p} i^{\prime} l^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ to $-p^{\prime} i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s$.

A suffixed - (a) $n$ dissimilates to $-(a) l$ because of a preceding $m$ in the stem:
s.imil dew (cf. such nouns as p!iyin deer)
$d a k^{\circ}-s \cdot \bar{o}^{u} m a l$ on the mountain ( $s \cdot o \tilde{m}$ mountain)

With these compare:
$d \bar{a}^{a}-t s!\bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime} n$ by the ocean (ts!āu deep water)
In $x \bar{a}^{a}$-gulma'n among oans, the $l$ immediately preceding the $m$ seems to have prevented the dissimilation of the -an to -al.

It is practically certain that the -am of hagw $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{`} m$, Dīdala'm, and $x \bar{a}^{a} l a a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} k$ ' is at bottom phonetically as well as functionally identical with the suflix -an (-al), seen in $x \bar{a}^{a}-g u l m a i n(g u l u ' m$ олк) and daki$s \cdot o^{u}$ mall, and rests on a second dissimilation of the nasal lingual ( $n$ ) of the suffix to a labial nasal ( $m$ ), beeause of the lingual $(l)$ of the stem. The history of a word like haqwāala'm is in that event as follows: An original *hagw $\bar{a}^{a} n a$ ' $n$ in the road (stem $g w \bar{a}^{a} n-+$ nominal characteristic -an) becomes first *haqwāala'n by the dissimilation of the first $n$ beeause of the following $n$, then hagw $\bar{a}^{a} 7 a^{\prime} m$ by the dissimi lation of this second $n$ because of the preceding $l$. Similarly Dīdala'm and $x \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ would go back to ${ }^{*} D \bar{d} d a n a^{\prime} n$ and ${ }^{*} x \bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ respectively; with the second form compare the reduplicated verb xala'xam( $=$ *xanaxan-) urinate. The probability of such a dissimilation of $n$ to $m$ is greatly strengthened by the fact that nearly all nouns with an evidently suffixal noun-forming element $-(a) m$ have an $l$ in the stem as compared to an $-(a) n$ of nouns not so affected. Contrast:

| -m | -n |
| :---: | :---: |
| he la'm board (cf. dīihe'liya sleeping on wooden platform) | daga'n turtle |
| gela'm river | wigin red lizard |
| $t s!e l a{ }^{\prime} m$ hail (cf. stem ts!el- rattle) | $p!i y i$ in deer ( $-n$ here as suffix shown by p!iya'x fawn) |
| xila'm sick, ghost | $y u \bar{u} t$ 'u'n white duck (ef. yut!$u^{\prime} y i d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I eat it greedily) |
|  | $y \bar{u}^{\prime} x g$ an trout |
| habila'm empty | $x d a ̃ n$ eel (cf. $h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-x d \bar{a}^{\prime} \cdot x d a y w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I throw something slippery far away) |
| lap'ãm frog | $w \bar{o}^{u} p$ ! ${ }^{\text {an- eyebrows }}$ |

[^11]| $y u l u$ 'm eagle (also $y u l a$ ' $m$ is found) | $d \bar{a}^{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{ear}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| gulu'm oak | bebe'n rushes |
| $\mathfrak{K}^{\prime} \ddot{u} 1$ üum fish (sp.?) | $g a^{\prime} k$ ! an house ladder |
| legem- kidney | gwit!'in-wrist |

It should not be concealed that a few words (such as hülün ocean, t!aga'm Lake, and yuk!um-a- bones) do not seem to conform to the phonetic law implied by the table; but more exact knowledge of the etymology of these and similar words would doubtless show such disagreement to be but apparent. It is probable that in delga'nbuttocks, bilga'n- breast, and do'lk"in-i- anus, the $g$, $\left(k^{*}\right)$ immediately following upon the $l$ prevented the expected dissimilation of $n$ to $m$; in le'kwan- anus the dissimilation was perhaps thwarted by a counter-tendency to dissimilate the two labials ( $k^{* w}$ and $m$ ) that would thus result. *yalan-an- lose (tr.), dissimilated, as we have seen, to yalal-an-, fails to be further dissimilated to *yalal-am- because, doubtless, there is a feeling against the obscuring of the phonetic form of the causative suffix -an-. The great probability of the existence of a dissimilatory tendency involving the change of $n$ to $m$ is clinched by the form do'lk:im- $i$ - anus alongside of do'lk'in-i-.

A dissimilation of an original $l$ to $n$ (the reverse of the process first described), because of an $l$ in the stem, is found in
> $y i l \imath^{i} n m a^{\prime s} n$ I keep asking for it ( $=$ original ${ }^{*} y_{i l i}{ }^{i} l m a^{\prime s} n[l$ inserted as repetition of stem $-l$ - in iterative formation from yilima ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n_{n}$ I ask him])
> $l e^{e} b a^{\prime} n x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I am carrying (object not specified) ( $=$ original *le $e^{e}$ $\left.b a^{\prime} l x d e^{5}\right)$; cf. identical suffix -al-x-, e. g., gayawa'lxde $e^{\varepsilon}$ I eat.

In $\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} n x d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ drink (stem $\bar{u} g w-$ ), it hardly seems plausible that $-a n-x$ - is at all morphologically different from the -al (-an) - $x$ - of these words, yet no satisfactory reason can be given here for a change of the $l$ to $n$.

## § 22. CATCH DISSIMILATION

If to a form with a glottal catch in the last syllable is added a syntactic (conjunctive) element, itself containing a catch, the first catch is lost, but without involving a change in the character of the pitchaccent; the loss of the catch is frequently accompanied by a lengthening of the preceding vowel (or rather, in many cases, a restoration of the original length). This phonetic process finds its most frequent
application in the subordinate form of the third person aorist intransitive:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y \bar{a}^{\prime} a d a^{\varepsilon} \text { when he went (cf. } y a^{\prime s} \text { he went) } \\
& \text { gini }{ }^{\prime \prime} k_{i}^{*} d a^{\varepsilon} \text { when he went to (cf. gini } i^{\prime} k_{k}^{*} \text { he went to) } \\
& \text { yawa'idas when he spoke (cf. yawa'is he spoke) } \\
& \text { loho'idas when he died (cf. loho'is he died) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The connectives $-h i^{\varepsilon}$ it is said, and $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ but, and are, in regard to this process, parallel to the $-d a^{s}$ of the preceding forms:

```
naga'ilis}\mp@subsup{i}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ he said, it is said (cf. naga'is}\mathrm{ he said)
n\overline{o}
a}n\overline{n
```




```
    -w\mp@subsup{i}{}{\primes}}\mathrm{ as is }y\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\primea}d\mp@subsup{a}{}{s}\mathrm{ to }y\mp@subsup{a}{}{\primes}
```


## § 23. INFLUENCE OF PLACE AND KIND OF ACCENT ON MANNER of articulation

The general phonetic rule may be laid down that an aspirated surd, when not immediately followed by another consonant, can, with comparatively few exceptions, be found as such medially only when the accent immediately precedes, provided that no consonant (except in certain circumstances $l, m$, and $n$ ) intervene between the accented rowel and the aspirated surd; under other conditions it appears as a media. This phonetic limitation naturally brings about a constant interchange between the aspirated surd and the corresponding media in morphologically identical elements. Thus we have as doublets - $d a$ and $-t^{\prime} a$, third person possessive pronoun of certain nouns:
bémt ${ }^{-a^{a}}$ his stick
se $e^{\prime} l t^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{a}$ his writing
wila'ut ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ his arrow
ga'lt ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ his bow
mo't ${ }^{\text {ax }}$ his son-in-law: but
da'gaxda his head
and numerous other nouns with $-x$-. This consonant in itself, as we have seen, demands a following media. Another pair of doublets is $-d e^{\varepsilon}$ and $-t^{*} \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$, first person singular subject intransitive aorist ( $-d e^{e}$ and $-t^{\prime} e^{e}$ to correspond in future):
$p^{\prime}$ ele'xade ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ go to fight; $p^{\circ}$ elxa't $\mathrm{t}^{e} \mathrm{e}^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall go to war
yãnt'e ${ }^{\frac{s}{s}} \mathrm{I}$ go; yana't'e I shall go
nagatt $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ say ; na't'e $e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall say
but:
wits! Issmade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I keep moving; future wits! !e'smade ${ }^{e}$ (contrast wits $!i \pi n t{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$ I move and wisma't $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{I}$ shall move)
Other examples of interchange are:
$s g \bar{o}^{u} t^{\prime} s g a^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\circ} i$ he cut them to pieces; $s g o^{-\quad u} t^{\prime} s g i \mathrm{~d} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut them to pieces
ts ${ }^{\prime}$ !um $\tilde{u} m t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I boil it, $s \cdot \tilde{u} m t^{\prime} a n ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ b o i l ~ i t ~(s t e m ~ s . ~ i u ́ u m-t ' a-) ; ~$ $s^{\circ} \mathrm{mod} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I boil it, $s^{\circ} o m d a^{\prime} n$ I shall boil it (evidently related stem $s \cdot o m-d-)$
$s^{\prime} a s^{\prime} i n i p \quad i k k^{\prime}$ we stand; $e^{e}$ bi'k' we are
This phonetic rule must not be understood to mean that a media can never appear under the conditions given for the occurrence of a surd. The various grammatical elements involved are not all on one line. It seems necessary to assume that some contain a surd as the primary form of their consonant, while others contain an organic media. The more or less mechanical changes in manner of articulation, already treated of, have had the effect, however, of so inextricably interlocking the aspirated surds and mediae in medial and final positions that it becomes difficult to tell in many cases which manner of articulation should be considered the primary form of the consonant. Some of the medially occurring elements with primary tenuis are:

```
\(-t^{*} a\), third person possessive
\(-t^{*} a\), exclusive (as in \(k!w a^{\prime} l t^{\prime} a\) young, not old; younger one)
\(-t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}\), first person intransitive aorist (future, \(-t^{i} e^{e}\) )
\(-t^{t} e k^{\prime}\), first person singular possessive (as in ga'lt ek my bow)
```

Such elements show an aspirated consonant whether the preceding accent be rising or falling; e. g., bẽmt $a$ like $h e^{\prime} f\left(t^{\prime} a\right.$. Some of those with primary media are:
$-d a$, third person possessive with preceding preposition (corresponding not to first person $-t^{*} e k^{*}$, $-d e k^{*}$, but to $\left.-d \tilde{e}\right)$

- $a^{\prime} l d-$ and $-a^{\prime} m d$ - indirect object
$-d a^{\varepsilon}$, subordinating element
This second set regularly keep the media whether the accent immediately precedes or not. The first two of these generally, if not always, require the preceding accent to be a falling one:
dak'wití'ida on his house
hat $g a^{\prime} a d a$ in his country
$x \bar{a}^{a}{ }^{a} a^{\prime} l d a$ between his toes
$x \bar{a}^{a} h a^{\prime} m d a$ on his back
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40, pt 2-12-4
hau'a'nda under him
sqelewa'ldan I shout to him
ts!elela'mda乏 $n$ I paint it
The third retains its primary character as media when the preceding verb form has the falling accent:
yeue'idas when he returned
naga'-idas when he said
baxa'mda when he came
hele'ldawhen he sang
xebe'nda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he did it
On the other hand it appears as an aspirate tenuis when preceded by the rising accent:

$s^{\circ}$ as in it $a^{*}$ when he stood
The rule first given, when interpreted in the light of a reconstructed historical development. would then mean that a rising accent preserved an immediately following aspirated surd (including always those cases in which $l$, $m$, or $n$ intervened), and caused the change of a media to an aspirated surd; while a falling accent preserved a similarly situated media or aspirated surd in its original form. That the change in the phonetic circumstances defined of an originaı media to an aspirated surd is indeed conditioned by a preceding rising accent, is further indicated by such rather uncommon forms as hadedil-tia everywheres. Here the $-t^{*} a$ is evidently the same as the $-d a$ of hawiti'i $d a$ in his house, and the difference in manner of articulation is doubtless in direct relation to the difference of accent.

A modification of the general phonetic rule as first given remains to be mentioned. After $l, m$, or $n$ an original aspirated tenuis retains its aspiration even if the accent falls on the preceding syllable but one; also after a short rowel preceded by $l$, $m$, or $n$, provided the accented rowel is short. Examples are:
alu' $e^{\prime} k$ ! alt ' $e^{e}$ I shall shine; alue $e^{\prime} k!$ alp 'igam we shall shine; alue ${ }^{\prime}-$ $k$ !alk'va to shine
$k^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a \mathrm{lt}{ }^{\prime} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be absent; $k^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a \mathrm{lk}^{\prime} u{ }^{\prime} a$ to be absent
uvilü'hamt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I have menstrual courses for the first time
xa7a'xamt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ urinate
$\bar{I}^{\prime} m h a m k{ }^{\prime} a m$ he was sent off ( $\bar{\imath}$ is short, though close in quality; contrast domhigam he was killed)
$\mathrm{mi}^{\prime} h a m k$ "wit" he sent himself

$s^{\circ} a^{\prime}$ s.ant ${ }^{\circ} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall stand; $s^{\cdot} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n p^{\circ}$ igam we shall stand; $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n-$
k'wa to stand
sene'sant ${ }^{\circ} e^{\varepsilon}$ I whoop; se'nsant ${ }^{e} e^{e}$ I shall whoop
$d e^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} w \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime} g a n k{ }^{\wedge} w i d e^{\varepsilon}$ I spread (it) out for myself
dasga'lit' $\bar{a}^{a}$ (grain) will lie scattered about
With $-t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ and $-t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ above contrast the morphologically identical elements $-d \bar{a}^{a}$ and $-d e^{\varepsilon}$ of the following examples, in which the same accentual condition prevails but with a consonant other than $l, m$, or $n$ preceding the affected dental:

$$
t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} i t s s^{\prime}!\mathrm{d} \bar{a}^{a} \text { (round object) will lie (there) }
$$

$s^{\prime} u^{\prime} k^{\prime} d i d \bar{a}^{a}$ (string) will lie curled up
$d a k^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} e k!e^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I smoke (but future $-x a^{\prime} t^{t} e^{e}$ because of immediately preceding accent)

## §24. INORGANIC $h$

Whenever two morphologically distinct vowels come together within the word (rerbal prefixes and postposed particles, such as deictic $-a^{\prime}$, are not considered as integral parts of the word), the first (accented) vowel is separated from the second by an "inorganic" $-h_{-}$:
it!ana'his $n$ I hold it (aorist stem t!ana- + instrumental -i-), but future $\bar{t}$ ! ani'n (stem t!an-)
dak*-da-hala'hin I shall answer him (future stem hala- + instrumental -i-), but aorist daki-da-h $\bar{a}^{a}{ }^{\prime} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (stem $h \bar{a}^{\alpha} l-$ )
This inorganic $h$ is found also immediately following an $m, n$, or $l$ preceded by the accent:
wayãnhasn I put him to sleep (cf. same form with change of accent wa-y $\bar{a}^{\alpha} n a^{\prime s} n$ )
$d \bar{a}^{\alpha \varepsilon} a g a ̃ n h i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ used to hear about it (cf. -agani ${ }^{\prime s} n \mathrm{I}$ hear it)
liwilhaut $e^{\varepsilon}$ I kept looking (cf. liwila'ut' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked)
$x a-i t^{\prime} g i^{i} l t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} 7 h i$ he broke it in two (cf. with identical -i- suffix $x \bar{a}^{a}$ salt 'gwi'lt'gwili he broke [somebody's arm] by stepping)
$\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ mhamk'am he was sent off (also in aorist stem imiham-)
wadõmhik: he killed him with it (stem $d \bar{o}^{u} m-+-i-$ )
It will be observed that the insertion of the $h$ is practically the same phonetic phenomenon as the occurrence of an aspirated tenuis instead of a media after an accented vowel. The vowel, nasal, or liquid may appropriately enough be considered as having become aspirated under the influence of the accent, just as in the case of the mediae.

## MORPHOLOGY (§ 25-114)

## § 25. Introductory

Takelma conforms to the supposedly typical morphology of American languages in that it is thoroughly incorporating, both as regards the pronominal, and, though somewhat less evidently, the nominal object. If by "polysynthetic" is merely meant the introduction into the rerb-complex of ideas generally expressed by independent elements (adrerbs or the like), then Takelma is also polysynthetic, yet only moderately so as compared with such extreme examples of the type as Eskimo or Kwakiutl. The degree of intimacy with which the pronominal objective elements on the one hand, and the nominal objective and polysynthetic (instrumental and local) elements on the other, are combined with the internal rerb-structure is decidedly different. The former combine as suffixes to form an indissoluble part, as it were, of the verb-form, the subjective elements of the transitive rerb, though in themselves absolutely without independent existence, being secondarily attached to the stem already provided with its pronominal object. The latter rary in degree of independence; they are strung along as prefixes to the verb, but form no integral part of its structure, and mar, as far as grammatical coherence is concerned, fall away entirely.

The polysunthetic character of the Takelma verb (and by discussing the verb we touch, as so frequently in America, upon the most rital element of the sentence) seems, then, a comparatively accidental, superimposed feature. To use the term "polysynthetic" as a catchword for the peculiar character of Takelma, as of many another American language, hardly hits the core of the matter. On the other hand, the term "incorporation," though generally of more value as a classificatory label than "polysynthesis," convers information rather as to the treatment of a special, if important, set of concepts, than as to the general character of the process of form-building.

If we study the manner in which the stem unites in Takelma with derirative and grammatical elements to form the word, and the rocalic and consonantic changes that the stem itself undergoes for grammatical purposes, we shall hardly be able to find a tangible difference
in general method, however much the details may vary, between Takelma and languages that have been dignified by the name "inflectional." It is generally said, in defining inflection, that languages of the inflectional as contrasted with those of the agglutinative type make use of words of indivisible psychic value, in which the stem and the various grammatical elements have entirely lost their single individualities, but have "chemically" (!) coalesced into a single formunit; in other words, the word is not a mere mosaic of phonetic materials, of which each is the necessary symbol of some special concept (stem) or logical category (grammatical element).

In support of the actual existence of this admired lack of a one-to-one correspondence between a grammatical category and its phonetic expression is often quoted the multiplicity of elements that serve to symbolize the same concept; e. g., Lat. $-\bar{\imath}, \cdot a e,-a,-\bar{e} s,-\bar{u} s$, all indicate that the idea of a plurality of subjects is to be associated with the concrete idea given by the main body of the words to which they are attached. Furthermore, variability of the stem or base itself is frequently adduced as a proof of its lack of even a relative degree of individuality apart from the forms from which by analysis it has been abstracted; e. g., German bind-, band-, bund-, bänd-, bünd-. These two characteristics are very far indeed from constituting anything like a definition of inflection, but they are often referred to as peculiar to it, and hence may well serve us as approximate tests.

As regards the first test, we find that just such a multiplicity of phonetic symbols for the same, or approximately the same, concept, is characteristic of Takelma. The idea of possession of an object by a person or thing other than the speaker or person addressed is expressed by $-x a,-a,-d a\left(-t^{*} a\right), \iota^{*}$, or ${ }^{\iota}$, all of which are best rendered by his, her, its, Their (the ideas of gender and number do not here enter as requiring grammatical expression). Similarly, the idea of the person speaking as subject of the action or state predicated by the main body of the verb is expressed by the various elements $-t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}\left(-d e^{\varepsilon}\right),-t^{e} e^{e}\left(-d e^{e}\right),-{ }^{\varepsilon} n,-n,-k^{i} a^{\varepsilon}\left(-g a^{\varepsilon}\right)$, all of which are best rendered in English by "I." -t $e^{\varepsilon}$ is confined to the aorist of intransitive verbs; $-t^{\circ} e^{e}$ is future intransitive; ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ is aorist transitive; $-n$ is future transitive; and $-k^{*} a^{\varepsilon}$ is used in all inferential forms, whether transitive or intransitive.

As for the second test, it soon appears that the Takelma stem may undergo even more far-reaching changes than we are accustomed to in German or Greek. As examples may serve:
$d \bar{\partial}{ }^{u} m-, d i u^{i} m-$, t!omom- (t!omo $\bar{u}^{u}$-), t!ïmiüu${ }^{u}$ - kill
$n \bar{a}^{a} g-$, ne $e^{e}$-, naga-, nege- say țo
The first form in each of these sets is the verb-stem, properly speaking, and is used in the formation of all but the aorist forms. The second is employed in non-aorist forms when the incorporated object of the verb is a first person singular, and in several derivative formations. The third is characteristic of the aorist. The fourth is used in the aorist under the same conditions as determine the use of the second form of the stem in other groups of forms. It needs but a moment's thought to bring home the general psychic identity of such stem-variability and the "ablaut" of many German verbs. or the Latin stem-variation in present and perfect:

```
frang- : frēg- break
da-:ded-give
```

If the trpical rerb (and, for that matter, noun) form of Takelma is thus found to be a firm phonetic and psychic unit, and to be characterized by some of the supposed earmarks of inflection, what is left but to frankly" call the language "inflectional"? "Polysynthetic" and "incorporative" are not in the slightest degree terms that exclude such a designation, for they have reference rather to the detailed treatment of certain groups of concepts than to morphologic method. Ererything depends on the point of riew. If chief stress for purposes of classification is laid on the relative importance and fulness of the verb, Takelma is polysunthetic; if the criterion of classification be taken to be whether the verb takes the pronominal object within its structure or not, it is incorporating; if, finally, stress be laid on the general method of building up the word from smaller elements, it is inflective. Not that Takelma is in the least thereby relegated to a peculiar or in any way exceptional position. A more objective, unhampered study of languages spoken in various parts of the world will undoubtedly reveal a far wider prevalence than has been generally admitted of the inflectional type. The error, however, must not be made of taking such comparatively trivial characteristics as sex gender, or the presence of cases, as criteria of inflection. Inflection has reference to method, not to subject-matter.

## Grammatical Processes (§§ 26-32)

## § 26. General Remaris

There are four processes employed in Takelma for purposes of grammatical modification and word-formation: affixation (pre-, in-, and suffixation), reduplication, vocalic change (ablaut), and consonant change (consonant ablaut). Pitch-accent is of grammatical importance, but is most probably a product of purely phonetic causes. Of the processes mentioned, suffixation is by far the most important, while the presence of infixation will have to be allowed or denied according to the definition given of it.

## § 2\%. Prefixation.

Prefixation is either of the loose polysynthetic type already referred to, or of the more firmly knit inflective type. Loose prefixation is extremely common, nominal objects, instruments, and local ideas of one kind or another finding admittance into the word-complex, as we have seen, in this manner. Examples of such loose prefixation are:
gwen- $a^{\prime}$ l-yowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he looked back (gwen- in back; al- is difficult to define, but can perhaps be best described as indicative of action away from one's self, here with clear implication of sight directed outward; yowo ${ }^{\prime s}$ he was, can be used as independent word)
$s \cdot i n-\bar{\imath}-l a t s!a g i^{\prime s} n$ I touched his nose ( $s \cdot i n-$ nose; $\bar{i}$ - with hand; lats!agi' $n$ I touched him, as independent word)
gwent'ge' $m$ black necked (gwen- nape, neck; t'ge'm black)
The first example shows best the general character of loose prefixation. The prefixed elements gwen-, al-, sin-, and $\bar{\imath}$ - have no separate existence as such, yet in themselves dirently convey, except perhaps al-, a larger, more definitely apperceived, share of meaning than falls to the lot of most purely grammatical elements. In dealing with such elements as these, we are indeed on the borderland between independent word and affix. The contrast between them and grammatical suffixes comes out strongest in the fact that they may be entirely omitted without destroying the reality of the rest of the word, while the attempt to extract any of the other elements leaves an unmeaning remainder. At the same time, the first example well illustrates the point that they are not so loosely attached but that they may entirely alter the concrete meaning of the word. Prefixation of the inflective type is very rare. There is only one
such prefix that occurs with considerable frequency，wi－，first person singular possessive of nouns of relationship：
wiha＇m my father
hami＇st＇your father

## § 28．Sufixation

Suffixation is the normal method employed in building up actual forms of nouns and verbs from stems．The suffixes in themselves hare for the most part very little individuality，some of them being hardly exident at all except to the minute linguistic analyst．The notions they conrey are partly derivational of one kind or other． In the rerb they express such ideas as those of position，reciprocal action，causation，frequentative action，reflexive action，spontaneous activity，action directed to some one，action done in behalf of some one．From the verb－stem such adjectival and nominal derivations as participles，infinitives，or abstract nouns of action，and nouns of agent are formed by suffixation．In the noun itself various suflixed elements appear whose concrete meaning is practically nil．Other suffixes are formal in the narrower sense of the word．They express pronominal elements for subject and object in the verb，for the pos－ sessor in the noun，modal elements in the verb．Thus a word like t！omóxinil＊we hill one another contains，besides the aorist stem $t$ ！om $\bar{o}$－（formed from $d \bar{o}^{u} m$－），the suffixed elements $-x$－（expressing general idea of relation between subject and object），－in－umlauted from－an－（element denoting reciprocal action［－x－in－＝EACH OTHER， one another］），and－ik．（first personal plural subject intransitive aorist）．As an example of suffixation in the noun may be given t！ibagwa＇n－t＇k＇my pancreas．This form contains，besides the stem $\dot{t}!i b a-$ ，the suffixed elements－gw－（of no ascertainable concrete signifi－ cance，but employed to form several body－part nouns；e．g．，t！ibaそれo pancreas 47．17），－an－（apparently meaningless in itself and appear－ ing suffixed to many nouns when they are provided with possessive endings），and $-t^{t} \hbar^{\prime \prime}$（first personal singular possessive）．

## §29．Infixation

Infixation，or what superficially appears to be such，is found only in the formation of certain aorist stems and frequentatives．Thus the aorist stem mats！ag－（from masg－Put）shows an intrusive or
infixed - $a$ - between the $s$ (strengthened to $t s!$ ) and $g$ of the stem. Similarly the aorist stem wits!lim- (from wism- Move) shows an infixed $i$. Infixation in frequentative forms is illustrated by:
yonoina ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ always sing (aorist stem yonon-)
ts!ayaik ${ }^{\prime}$ he used to shoot them (cf. ts!aya' $K^{c}$ he shot them)
On examination it is found that the infixed element is invariably a repetition of part of the phonetic material given by the stem. Thus the infixed $-a$ - and $-i$ - of mats!ag- and wits $!$ im- are repetitions of the $-a$ - and $-i$ - of the stems masg- and wism-; the infixed $-i$ - of yonoin- and ts!ayaig- are similarly repetitions of the $y$ - of yonon and $-y$ - of ts!ayag-. It seems advisable, therefore, to consider all cases of infixation rather as stem-amplifications related to reduplication. An infixed element may itself be augmented by a second infixation. Thus we have:

Verbstem
hemy- take out ts!a-im-hide masg- put yawi-talk baxm- come

Aorist stem
hemeg-
ts!ayam-
mats!ag-
yawa-i-
baxam-

Frequentative
heme ${ }^{e}$ mg-ts!aya-im-
mats! $\bar{a}^{a} s g-$
yāwa-iy-
baxāaxm-

## § 30. Rerluplication

Reduplication is used in Takelma as a grammatical process with surprising frequency, probably as frequently as in the Salish languages. The most interesting point in connection with it is probably the fact that the reduplicating increment follows the base, never, as in most languages (Salish, Kwakiutl, Indo-Germanic), precedes it. It is, like the infixation spoken of above, employed partly in the formation of the aorist, partly to express frequentative or usitative action. Some nouns show reduplicated stems, though, as a process, reduplication is not nearly as important in the noun as in the verb. Some verbs, including a number that do not seem to imply a necessary repetitive action, are apparently never found in unreduplicated form. Four main types of reduplication, with various subtypes, occur:

1. A partial reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the vowel and final consonant of the stem:
aorist helel- (from hell- sing)
aorist t!omom- (from $d^{u} \bar{o}^{m}$ - kill)
The reduplicated vowel is lengthened in certain forms, e. g., hele el-, tlomõ ${ }^{u} m$ -

1 a. A subtype of 1 is illustrated by such forms as exhibit an unreduplicated consonant after the reduplicated portion of the word, the second rowel in such cases being generally long
aorist ts ! !ümüù $m t^{\circ} a$ - (from $s^{*} \ddot{u}^{u} m t^{\circ} a$ - boil)
 follow trail)
usitative aorist ginēing- (from rerb stem ging-, aorist ginig- go to; ging-, ginig- itself is probably reduplicated from gin-)
2. A complete reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the entire base with a change of the stem-rowel to $a$ :
aorist t!èut!au- (from t!èu-play shinny)
aorist bot bad- (from bōud-pull out one's hair)
aorist $b \bar{a}^{a}-$ sal- xo(x)xag come to a stand (pl.) ; aorist sal-xog-íistand (pl.)
3. A complete reduplication, as in 2, with the addition of a connecting rowel repeated from the rowel of the stem:
aorist yuluyal- (cf. verb stem yulyal- rub)
aorist frequentative hogohag- keep running (from $h \bar{o}^{u} g$ - run)
aorist frequentative s.uilis wal- tear to pieces; rerb stem $s$ wil$s^{\prime}$ wal- (from aorist $s^{\cdot}$ wills wal- tear; verb stem $s^{\cdot}$ wīl-)
If the stem ends in a fortis consonant, the reduplicating syllable regularly shows the corresponding media (or aspirated tenuis):
sgot!osgad- cut to pieces (from rerb stem $s g \bar{o}^{u} t!$-, aorist $s g \sigma^{u} d$ - cut)
$3 a$. A subgroup of 3 is formed by some rerbs that leave out the $-a$ of the reduplicating syllable:
gwidik: ${ }^{*} d$ - throw (base gwid-)
4. An irregular reduplication, consisting of a repetition of the rowel of the stem followed by $\left.-{ }^{( }{ }^{8}\right) a-+$ the last and first (or third) consonants of the stem in that order:
frequentative aorist t!omoamd-, as though instead of *t!omo-t!am-; cf. non-aorist dōamdam- (from aorist t!omom- kill)
frequentative aorist k!eme $e^{\varepsilon} a m g$ - (from kileme-n- make; terb stem k!em-n-)
frequentative aorist $p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} a u g-$, as though instead of $* p!\bar{u} w \bar{u} p!a u g-$ (from aorist $p!\bar{u} w \bar{u} \bar{k}!-$ name)
It will be noticed that rerbs of this type of reduplication all begin with fortis consonants. The glottal catch is best considered a partial representative of the initial fortis; in cases like $k!e m e^{\varepsilon} a m g$ - an original
-k!am (i. e., - E gam) may be conceived of as undergoing partial metathesis to $-^{\varepsilon} a \mathrm{mg}$.

Other rarer reduplications or stem-amplifications occur, and will be treated in speaking of aorist formations and frequentatives.

## §31. Towet-Ablaut

Vowel-ablaut consists of the palatalization of non-palatal stemvowels in certain forms. Only $o$ and $a$ (with corresponding long vowels and diphthongs) are affected; they become respectively $\ddot{u}(\bar{u})$ and $e$. In sharp contradistinction to the $i$ - umlaut of an original $a$ to $i$, this ablaut affects only the radical portion of the word, and thus serves as a further criterion to identify the stem. Thus we have weega'si he brought it to me (from stem $w \bar{a}^{a} y$-, as shown also by $w \bar{a}^{a} g-i w i^{\prime s} n$ I brought it to him), but wege'sink: he will bring it to me (from stem waga-, as shown also by waga$w i^{\prime} n$ I'll bring it to him), both $i$ - umlaut and stem-ablaut serving in these cases to help analyze out the stems. Vowel-ablaut occurs in the following cases:

1. Whenever the object of the transitive verb or subject of the passive is the first person singular:
mele'xi he told it to me 172.17, but mala $a^{\prime} x b z^{\varepsilon} n$ I told it to you (162.6)
nege's $i$ he said to me 186.22 , but naga'sam he said to us (178.12)
dümxina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I shall be slain (192.11), but dõmxbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ you will be slain (178.15)
gel-lūhūigwa'si heavenges me, but-lohoigwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ Iavengehim(148.3)
Not infrequently vowel-ablaut in such cases is directly responsible for the existence of homonyms, as in yeweyagwa'si he talks about me (from yaway-talk), and yeweyagwa'si he returxs with me (from yewei-return).
2. With the passive participial endings $-a k^{*} w,-i k^{*} w$ :
wase $g i \not{ }^{\circ}{ }^{*}{ }^{w}$ wherewith it is shot (from $s \bar{a}^{a} g$-shoot)
$m e^{\prime} x a k^{*}$ whaving father (from $m a^{\prime} x a$ his father)
was-ī-duxitk ${ }^{*} d e k^{*}$ my gathered ones ( $=$ I have been gathering them) (from $d \bar{o}^{u} x$ - gather)
$d a l^{\varepsilon}-w a-p^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} t!i k^{*}{ }^{w}$ mixed with (from $p^{\prime} \bar{o} t!-$ mix) 178.5
3. In some verbs that have the peculiar intransitive-forming suffix $-x$-, by no means in all:
geyewa'lxde ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ eat (136.15) (cf. gayawa's $n$ I eat it 30.11)
le $e^{e} b a^{\prime} n x$ he carries 178.6 (stem $l \bar{a}^{a} b$-)
did $\bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime e s} k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a g-a m s(=-a m t x)$ they had their hair tied on sides of heal (from base $t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{a} g$-) 142.17; cf. $-t^{t} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a m d a^{8} n$ I tie his hair (27.1)
Nosatisfactory reason can be given why most verbs in $-x$ - do not show this stem-palatalization. It is quite possible that its occurrence is confined to a restricted number of such verbs; at any rate, there is some limitation in its employment, which the material at hand has not been found extensive enough to define.
4. In nouns ending in $-x-a p^{*}\left(-s-a p^{\circ}=-t-x-a p^{\circ}\right)$, probably derived from such verbs in $-x$ - as were referred to under 3:
$x \bar{a}^{a} l_{e^{\prime}}$ 'sap' belt (cf. $x \bar{a}^{a} / \bar{a}^{\prime} a d a^{s} n$ I put it about my waist)

5. In verbs provided with the suffix -xa-, which serves to relieve transitice verbs of the necessity of expressing the olject:

"Tr'p.ratuvank: she shall pound with (stone pestle) (cf. lobo'p' she pounds them)
$k:!d e i x a d \varepsilon^{s}$ I was out picking (cf. $k: a d \tilde{a}^{z} n$ I pick them. k!adã he picks them)
ts'eye'mxades I hide things (cf. ts!ayama's $n$ I hide it)
6. In reflexive rerbs ending in -gwi- or $-\boldsymbol{k}$ wa- (-qua-):

 his own face)
ilets! ter ide $^{\text {s }} \mathrm{I}$ touch myself (cf. ilats!agi's $n$ touch him)
kiledèm $u a^{\varepsilon} n$ I pick them for myself (aorist stem k!adāi-)
aln $\bar{u}^{\prime} u f^{\prime \prime}$ wa he painted his own face (stem $n \bar{o}^{u} g u-$ )
Yet many, perhaps most, reflexive rerbs fail to show the palatal ablaut:
p!ayãnk"wit he bathed himself
 trap deer for myself)

igacaga'xywa'n I scratch myself
Whe have here the same difficulty as in 3. Evidently some factor or factors enter into the use of the ablaut that it has not been founp possible to determine.
7. Other cases undoubtedly occur, but there are not enough of them in the material gathered to allow of the setting up of further groups. All that can be done with those cases that do not fall
within the first six groups is to list them as miscellaneous cases. Such are:
gwel-leĩsdee I shall be lame (cf. gwel-la'is k!emna'n I shall make him lame
$l e^{e} p s i^{1}$ wing (if derived, as seems probable, from stem $l \bar{a}^{a} b$ - carry)
t!emeya'nwiaus people go along to see her married 178.1 (cf. t!amayana ${ }^{\prime 8} n$ I take her somewheres to get her married [148.5])
Palatal ablaut, it should be noted, does not affect the $-a$ - of the second member of reduplicated verbs:
$t^{*} g \bar{a}^{a} 7 t t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} l$ it bounced from her 140.8
t'ge eltg' a'lsi it bounced from me
The connecting vowel, however, of verbs reduplicated according to the third type always follows the stem-vowel:
$d a k^{\prime} d a$-hele $e^{\prime} h a l x a d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am accustomed to answer (stem -h $\bar{u}^{\alpha} l-$-)
It is difficult to find a very tangible psychic connection between the various cases that require the use of the palatal ablaut, nor is there the slightest indication that a phonetic cause lies at the bottom of the phenomenon. If we disregard the first group of cases, we shall find that they have this in common, they are all or nearly all intransitives derived from transitives by means of certain voice-forming elements ( $-x-,-x a-,-y w i-,-k^{*} w a-$ ), or else nominal passives or derivatives of such intransitives ( $-a \mathbb{k}^{*}{ }^{w},-x-a p^{*}$ ); $-k^{*} w a-$, it is true, takes transitive pronominal forms; but it is logically intransitive in character in that it indicates action in reference to something belonging to the subject. The only trait that can be found in common to the first group and the remaining is that the action may be looked upon as self-centered; just as, e. g., a form in -xa-denotes that the (logicaliy) transitive action is not conceived of as directed toward some definite outside object, but is held within the sphere of the person of central interest (the subject), so, also, in a form with incorporated first person singular object, the action may be readily conceived of as taking place within the sphere of the person of central interest from the point of view of the speaker. No difficulty will be found in making this interpretation fit the other cases, though it is not conversely true that all forms implying self-centered action undergo palatalization. The explanation offered may be considered too rague to be convincing; but no better can be offered. In any event, the palatal ablaut will be explained as the symbolic expression of some general mental attitude rather than of a clear-cut grammatical concept.

Besides these regular interchanges of non-palatal and palatalized vowels, there are a number of cases of words showing differing vowels, but whose genetic relationship seems evident. These vocalic variations have not been brought into the form of a rule; the number of examples is small and the process apparently touches rather the lexical material than the morphology. Variations of this character between $a$ and $e$ are:
!yala-b-a $a^{\prime s} n$ I twist it; p! $\bar{i}^{i}-w a-g e l e-g-i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n$ I drill for fire with it (88.12), dīisal-gelegal-a'mdas I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2)
$d \bar{a}^{a}-d a l a-y-a^{\prime} m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I pierce his ear (22.1); $d \bar{a}^{a}-d e l e-b-i^{\prime} n_{n}$ I stick it through his ear
la" excrement 122.2; le' $k^{\prime \prime} w-a n-t^{*} k^{\prime}$ my anus
Variations between $o(u)$ and $\ddot{u}$ are:
$s^{\prime}$ omoda $a^{\prime s} n$ I boil it ( 58.10 ) ; ts ! üm $\tilde{\mathrm{u}} m t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I boil it (170.17)
$x$ uma` food 54.4 ; xümü'k'd $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I am sated (130.18)
An $a-i i$ variation is seen in:
hau-hana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon_{S}}$ it stopped (raining) 196.8: p!ai-hunū ${ }^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{S}$ he shrank 33.16

Variations between $a$ and $i$ are:
yawait $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ talk (132.3); yiwiya'ut $e^{\varepsilon}$ I keep talking, I converse (194.5); yiwin talking, (power of) speech 138.4
laba'n I shall carry it (124.5); libin news (what is carried about from mouth to mouth[?]) 194.9
Of $o(u)-e$ variations there have been found:
lohout' $e^{s}$ I die 184.18; leheĩt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I drift dead ashore (75.5)
$x \bar{a}^{a}-h u k!u^{\prime} h_{a k} n a^{\frac{5}{n}} n$ I breathe; $x \bar{a}^{a}$-hege'hak' $n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I breathe (79.2) t!os' $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ little 180.20; al-t! ees'i't little-eyed 94.3
An $e-i$ variation is found in the probably related:
p!eyēnt' $e^{s} \mathrm{I}$ lie 71.5 (future p!è't $e^{e}$ [146.9]) ; gwen-p!iyi'nk' was $n$ I lie on pillow (future awen-p!ili'wan)
$t^{\prime} g e^{e} y a^{\prime} l x$ it rolls; $a^{\prime} 7-t^{\prime} g \bar{i}^{i}$ ya ${ }^{\prime} l x$ tears rolled from (his) eyes 138.25

## §3:. Consomant-Ablaut

Consonant-ablaut, ordinarily a rare method of word-formation, plays a rather important part in the tense-formation (aorist and nonaorist) of many verbs. The variation is in every case one between fortis and non-fortis; i. e., between $p!, t!, k!, t s!$, and $b, d, g, s$, respectively. Three main types of grammatical consonant change are to be recognized:

1. An initial fortis in the aorist as opposed to an initial media in non-aorist forms:
aorist $k$ !olol- (stem $g \bar{o}^{u l} l-$ dig)
aorist t!ebe- (stem de $e^{e} b$ - arise)
aorist t!ayag-(stem $d \bar{a}^{a} g$ - find)
2. A medial fortis followed by a vowel in the aorist as opposed to a medial tenuis followed by a consonant in non-aorist forms:
aorist lop!od- (stem lop`d-rain, snow, or hail)
aorist lats!ag- (stem lasg- touch)
3. A medial media in the aorist as opposed to a medial fortis in the remaining forms:
aorist $n \bar{u}^{u} d$ - (stem $n \bar{u}^{u} t!$ - drown)
aorist $w \tau^{i} g$ - (stem $w i k!-$ spread)
Needless to say, this consonant-ablaut has absolutely nothing to do with the various mechanical consonant-changes dealt with in the phonology.

A few examples of consonant-ablaut not connected with regular grammatical changes have also been found:
s'omod- boil; ts'!ümüümt $a$ - boil
hau-gwen-yut!uyad-i- swallow down greedily (like duck or hog) 126.10; hau-gwen-yun $u^{\text {s }}$ yan- $i$ - dit.

The second example illustrates an interchange not of fortis and nonfortis (for $n^{\varepsilon}$ is related to $n$ as is $t$ ! to $d$ ), but of non-nasal stop and nasal.

## I. The Verb (§§33-83)

## §33. Introductory

The verb is by far the most important part of the Takelma sentence, and as such it will be treated before the independent pronoun, noun, or adjective. A general idea of the make-up of the typical verb-form will have been gained from the general remarks on morphology; nevertheless the following formula will be found useful by way of restatement:

Loosely attached prefixes + verb-stem (or aorist stem derived from verb-stem) + derivational suffixes + formal elements (chiefly pronominal) + syntactic element.

This skeleton will at the same time serve to suggest an order of treatment of the various factors entering into verb morphology.

Before taking up the purely formal or relational elements, it seems best to get an idea of the main body or core of the word to which these relational elements are attached. The prefixes, though not entering into the rital grammatical structure of the verb, are important for the part they play in giving the whole verb-form its exact material content. They may, therefore, with advantage be taken up first.

## 1. Terbal Prefixes ( $\$ 3 \mathbf{4}-38$ )

## § 34. GENERAL REMARKS

Verbal prefixes may be classified into four groups when regard is mainly had to their function as determined largely by position with respect to other prefixes: incorporated objects, adverbial (including local) clements, incorporated instrumentals, and connective and modal particles. These various prefixes are simply strung along as particles in the same order in which they have been listed. Inasmuch as the exact function of a prefix is to a considerable extent determined by its position, it follows that the same prefix, phonetically speaking, may appear with slightly variant meanings according as it is to be interpreted as an object, local element, or instrument. Thus the prefix $i$ - always has reference to the hand or to both hands; but the exact nature of the reference depends partly on the form of the verb and partly on the position of the prefix itself, so that $\bar{\imath}$ - may be translated, according to the circumstances of the case, as mavd (s):

$$
\bar{i}-p!\bar{\imath}^{i}-n \bar{r}^{*} u k^{*} w a^{s} n \text { I warm my hands }
$$

with tife havd:
$\bar{\tau}^{-\varepsilon} \bar{o}^{u} d i n i^{\prime s} n$ I hunt for it with the hand (= I am feeling around for it)
in the hand:
$p^{\prime} i^{\prime \prime}-\bar{i}-h \tilde{o}^{u}$ gwagwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I run with salmon in my hand
In the first of these three examples the $\bar{i}$ - as object precedes the incorporated instrumental $p!i^{i}$ FIRE, so that the form means literally I wapm ay hands with fire. In the third form the $\bar{i}$ as local element follows the incorporated object piim salmon. Such a triplicate use is found only in the case of incorporated nouns, particularly such as refer to parts of the body. These incorporated elements are to be kept distinct from certain other elements that are used in an
adverbial sense only, and regularly occupy the second position. The line between these two sets of prefixes is, however, difficult to draw when it comes to considering the place to be assigned to some of the prefixed elements. It is doubtful whether we are fully justified in making absolutely strict distinctions between the various uses of the body-part prefixes; at any rate, it is certainly preferable, from a native point of view, to translate the three examples of $\bar{\imath}$ - incorporation given above as:

## I-hand-fire-warm(-as-regards-myself)

I-hand-hunt-for-it
I-salmon-hand-run-with
leaving in each case the exact delimitation in meaning of the element hand to be gathered from the general nature of the form. The following examples will render the matter of position and function of the various prefixes somewhat clearer:

| Object. | Locative adverb. | Instrument. | Modal. | Verb proper. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b \bar{e} m$-sticks | wa-together | $\epsilon_{\bar{\imath}}$ - hand |  | $t$. oxo ${ }^{\prime} x^{\varepsilon} n$ I gather (them) (=I gather sticks together) |
|  | he ${ }^{\varepsilon_{-}}$- away | wa-with it |  | wäagiwi'n she is bought (=she is brought with it) 176.17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { gwãn-road } \\ & \text { dan-rocks } \end{aligned}$ | $h a-\text { in }$ |  | yaxa-continuously | t!ülüülga's $n$ I follow (it) ( $=\mathrm{I}$ keep following the trail) |
|  | $b a ̄ a-u p$ | 'i-hand |  | sget !e'sgidien I lifted (them) (=I <br> lifted up the rocks) |
|  | han-across | waya-knife |  | switswa'lhi he tore him (=he tore himopen with a knife)73.3 |
|  | dak'- above | $d a-\text { mouth }$ | wala' ${ }^{\text {sina }}$ - truly | $h a ̈ a l l^{\prime} n d a^{\xi} \mathrm{I}$ answering $\operatorname{him}$ ( $=\mathrm{I}$ did answer him) |
|  | $x a$ - between, in two | $i$ - hand | $m \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \imath^{\varepsilon}$ wa- probably | $s g^{\prime} i^{\prime} i b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut him ( $=$ I'll probably cut him through) 31.13 |

If two adverbial (local) elements are used, the body-part prefix follows that which is primarily adverbial in character; thus:
$b a-i d e^{\prime s} d i d i^{\prime} n i k!a t^{\prime}$ did you stretch it out? ( $=b a-i$-out $+d e$-lip, in front $+d i$ interrogative particle $+d i^{\prime} n i k!a t^{\circ}$ you stretched it)
In general it may be said that instances of a body-part prefix preceding a primarily adverbial element (like $b a-i-, b \bar{a}^{a_{-}}, h e^{e \varepsilon_{-}}$, and others) are rare or entirely lacking.

From what has been said it might seem that the connective and modal elements (like yaxa, $m \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} \varepsilon$ wa, and $d i$ ) are more closely associated with the verb form than are the other elements, yet this is only apparently the case. Properly speaking all these modal elements are post-positives that normally attach themselves to the first word of
the sentence, no matter what part the word plays in the sentence. Thus in a form like $m e^{\prime \varepsilon}$-di-giniga ${ }^{\prime}$ ' DID you come? ( $=m e^{\varepsilon}$ - HITHER + $d i$ - interrogative particle + giniga' $t$ ' you went to), the modal (interrogative) clement $d i$ regularly stands nearest the verb; but as soon as another word is introduced before the verb, the interrogative particle shoves back a step, and we have a form of sentence like, e. g., hoida ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon_{s}}$ di mésginiga't' did you come as singer, i. e., to sing? From this it becomes fairly evident that the $d i$ in the first example is not properly a verbal prefix at all, but merely a post-positive particle depending upon the preceding $m e^{\prime \varepsilon}$, in the same way that, in the second example, it depends upon the noun hoida $a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ singer. This inference is clinched by a form like giniga't tudi did you go (somewhere)? for here the $d i$ is evidently an enclitic element, not a prefix.

In sharp contradistinction to such movability, the body-part and adverbial prefixes occupy rigidly fixed positions before the verb; they therefore belong to a class quite distinct from the modal particles. These latter are verbal prefixes only in so far as their postpositive tendency may force them to become embedded in the verb-complex, in which case they seem to cut loose the incorporated object, adverbial prefix, and instrumental element from the verb. Diagrammatically the last form tabulated may be represented by $x a-\bar{i}-\left[m \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon w a\right]-s g \imath^{-i} b i^{\varepsilon} n$. We may then dismiss the modal elements from our consideration of verbal prefixes, to return to them when speaking of connective and adverbial particles.

## § 35. INCORPORATED NOUNS

It may seem strange at first sight to interpret in the examples given above such elements as $b \bar{e} m$ sticks, gwãn road, and $d a^{\prime} n$ rocks as incorporated objects, when they occur as absolute nouns in that form as well, though a faint suggestion of incorporation is given by gwãn-ha-yaxa-t!ülüülga's $n$ I keep following the trail, in that the modal post-positive yaxa follows not gwãn, but rather ha-, as though the direct object were not quite felt to be an element independent of the verb. Without laying particular stress on this latter point, there are, it would seem, good reasons for considering the nouns referred to as incorporated, though in any event the incorporation must be called a loose one, and not at all comparable with the Iroquois usage.
§ 35

1. In the first place it is evident from such examples as $\bar{i}-p!\bar{\imath}^{i}-$ $n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I warm my hands and han-waya-swilswa'lhi he tore him open with a knife, that nouns (in these cases $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ fire and waya KNife) occur as incorporated instrumentals, for such elements as $\bar{i}$ and han- can not possibly be isolated from the verb (han- does not occur as independent adverb, but only as prefix; $\bar{i}$ - is inconceivable as independent noun); furthermore, if, in the forms just quoted, $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ and waya be looked upon as absolutely independent nouns, they lose all semblance of grammatical form, there being, indeed, nothing but a definite position in a verb-complex that could here suggest the notion of instrumentality. It is also possible to isolate waya, but that would involve considerable readjustment of the verbal structure. To be stamped as an instrumental, waya must in that case be followed by a postposition wa with, so that the sentence then reads, han-swilswa'thi wa'ya wa' (the phrase wa'ya wa' may also precede).

If we wish to incorporate the instrumental idea into the verb, and yet keep the noun outside of the verb-structure, we may let the wa, which seems properly to denote with it, occupy the place of the incorporated waya, which, as an appositive of wa, then either precedes or follows the verb-form, wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'thi, or han-wa-switswa'lhi waya` he-across-with-it-tore-him (it, i. e.), the-knife. This construction is identical with the well-known appositional structure of Nahua or Chinook (e.g., i-it-killed the-dog), except that the incorporated element is here instrumental and not objective in character. The noun and its representative can not both be incorporated in the verb, such a form as han-waya-wa-swilswa'lhi, for instance, being quite impossible.

It becomes clear, therefore, that an incorporated instrumental noun like wa'ya is quite analogous to an instrumental bodypart prefix like $\bar{i}$ - mand, with the difference that wa'ya may be isolated in that form, while $\bar{i}$ - must, when isolated, be provided with a possessive pronominal element. The form han-iswilswa'thi 1 tore him open with my hand is strictly analogous to han-waya-swilswa'lhi; the sentence $\bar{\imath} \bar{u} x d e ' \not{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ han-wa-swilswa'lhi myHAND I-ACROSS-wITH-IT-TORE-HIM corresponds to wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'lhi; and, finally, han-swilswa'lhi $\bar{\imath} u \bar{x} d e^{\prime} k^{*}$ wà I-ACROSS-TORE-HIM my-hand with (-it) is parallel to han-swilswa'lhi wa'ya wa'. Whatever is true morphologically of $\bar{i}$ - must be true of $w a^{\prime} y a$; the evident
incorporation of $\bar{i}$-involves the incorporation of $w a^{\prime} y a$ in the analogous form.

As the incorporation of the noun as an instrument seems a rather important trait of Takelma, a number of further examples may be given:

```
\(x \bar{a}^{a}-b e^{e}-n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n\) I warm my back in (really \(=\) with) the sun (bee sun) ; cf. 188.20
```

$h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-x i-l e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime \prime} i$ he destroyed them with water ( $x i$ water)
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-p!\bar{i}^{i}-$ leme $e^{\prime s} \hbar^{\prime} i$ he destroyed them with fire ( $p!!^{i}{ }^{i}$ fire) 98.12
$x a-d a n-t{ }^{-1} g i l t \cdot g a ' l h i$ he broke it with a rock (dan rock) 24.4
 with his knife, apart from verb-structure) 144.5, 22
$x \bar{a}^{a}-b e^{e} m-k!w \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} k!w i d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I broke it with a stick (be ${ }^{e} m$ stick)
$d \bar{a}^{a}-h e^{e} l-y e b e b i^{\prime s} n$ I sing for him, literally, I engage (?) his ears with song (hel long; al-yebeb-i- show to)
d $\bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u} g a l-l e w e^{\prime s} l i w i^{\Sigma} n$ I shake my ears with twisted shells (attached to them) ( $t^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u} g a l$ twisted shell) 122.1
$d i^{i}-k^{\prime} a l-p^{\prime} \dot{i l} i^{\prime} p^{\prime} i l i^{*} n \mathrm{I}$ squash them with my penis ( $k^{\circ}$ al penis) 73.14
de-ye't'-baxamaguana' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' we came crying, literally, we came haring (our) mouths with tears (yet tears)
yap!a-dauy $\bar{a}^{a}$-ts!aya'k'i he shot people with his shaman's spirit (dauy $\bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{*}{ }^{*} d a$ his shaman-spirit, apart from verb-structure); cf. 164.14

All these, except the last, begin with elements ( $x \bar{a}^{a}-, h e^{e \varepsilon}-$, gwen-, $d \bar{a}^{a}-$, $d \bar{i}^{i}$-, de) that can not be isolated from the verb.

Instrumentals, whether nouns or body-part prefixes, can occur only in transitive verbs. The forms noxwa' yana-wa-lobobis $n$ I POUND ACORNS WITH a PESTLE and noxwa'-i-loboxagwa's $n$ I pound With a pestle, as compared with $\bar{o} b \bar{o}^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I pound, will serve to illustrate this. The first sentence reads, when literally translated, pestle (noxwa') i-acoris (yana') -with-it-pound. The logical instrument (noxwa') stands outside the verb-complex and is in apposition with its incorporated instrumental representative (wa-), yana' being the direct (incorporated) object. The form lobo'xades I pocid is made intransitive by the element -xa- (hence the change in pronominal form from transitive $-\varepsilon_{n} n$ to intransitive $-d e^{\varepsilon}$ ), and allows of no instrumental modification; a form like $\bar{\imath}$-lobo'xade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ could hardly mean i pound with the hand; at most it could signify I pound in the haxd. If we wish, however, to express the logical instrument in some manner, and yet neglect to specify the object, we must get around the difficulty by making a secondary transitive of
the intransitive in -xa-. This is done by the suffixed element -gwhaving, attended by. The grammatical object of a transitive verb in - $g w$ - is never the logical object of the action, but always dependent upon the comitative idea introduced by this suffix. Hence the second form is not provided with a true instrumental (with a pestle), but takes the logical instrument (noxwa') as a direct object, while the $\bar{\imath}$ - is best rendered by in tie hand; to translate literally, the form really means i pound having a pestle in the hand.

It sometimes happens that a verb form has two instrumentals, one, generally $\bar{i}$ - with the hand, expressing indefinite or remote instrumentality, the second, a noun or demonstrative, expressing the actual instrument by means of which the action is accomplished. In such cases the second instrument is expressed outside of the verbcomplex, but may be represented in the verb by the incorporated wa witil it following the first instrumental element (i-). Examples of such double instrumentals are:

fall, i. e., he caused them to fall by means of a wind (that he made go up) 168.2
ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau}$-wa-molo ${ }^{\varepsilon} m a^{\prime} l h i$ that she-hand-with-it-stirs-it-up, i. e., she stirs it up with that (incidentally, of course, she uses her hand too) 170.16
dan (object) k!ama (instr.) p!ai- ${ }^{\varepsilon}-w a-s g \bar{a}^{\prime} a{ }^{2}{ }^{*} s g i g i^{\varepsilon} n$ rocks tongs down-hand-with-it-pick-up, i. e., I pick up the rocks with the tongs (and put them) down
2. The noun as instrument has been shown to act in a manner entirely analogous to the instrumental body-part prefix. The latter can, without phonetic change, become the direct object of the verb by occupying the proper position:
$s \cdot i n-\bar{i}-$ lats!agis ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his nose with my hand ( $s \cdot i n$ - nose) but, theoretically at least,
$\bar{i}-s \cdot i n-l a t s!a g i^{\prime s} n$ I touched his hand with my nose
If we bear in mind that such elements as $s \cdot i n$ - and $\bar{\imath}$ - are really nothing but nouns in their stem form (with possessive pronoun: $s i n-i-x-d a$ HIS vose; $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}-\bar{u}-x-d a$ his HANd), the parallelism with such nounobjects as $\mathfrak{b e m}$ and gwãn (see examples on p . 65) becomes complete. The fact that they may occur independently, while $s \cdot i n$ - and $\bar{i}$ never do, is really irrelevant to the argument, as a body-part noun must necessarily be associated with some definite person. Entirely
analogous to the nominal elements $-\bar{i}-x-$ and $-\bar{u}-x-$ of $s \cdot i n \tau x d a$ and $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u} x d a$ is, e. g., the -am- of $g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my road. Just as they drop off when the body-part nouns are incorporated, whether as object or instrument, into the verb, so, also, the -am- of $g w \bar{a}^{a} l$-am( $=g w \bar{a}^{a} n$-an-) drops off when the noun is used without pronominal or prepositional modification. That the -am- has nothing per se to do with the pronominal affix, but is really a noun-forming element added to the stem, is proven by forms like ha-gwāalåm in tife road. Thus:
object $b \bar{e} m$, in $b \bar{e} m-w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!o x o^{\prime} x i^{\varepsilon} n$ I gather sticks, is related to object $s \cdot i n$-, in $s \cdot i n-\bar{i}$-lats!agis $n$ I touch his nose, as
instrument bẽm, in $x \bar{a}^{a}-b e^{e} m-k!w \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\top} k!w i d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I broke it with a stick, to
instrument $s \cdot i n-$, in $\sin$-t!ayagis $n$. I find it with my nose ( $=\mathrm{I}$ smell it)
In view of the complete parallelism of noun and body-part element and the transparent incorporation of the noun as instrument, nothing remains but to look upon the simple noun without pronominal affixes, when placed immediately before the local and instrumental prefixes of the verb, as itself a loosely incorporated object. Examples of noun-objects in such form and position are to be found in great number; in fact, the regularity with which the object is put before the verb, as contrasted with the freely movable subject, argues further for the close relation of the noun-object to the verb.

A few further examples of incorporated noun-objects are given by way of illustration:
he ${ }^{〔}$-gel-gulugwa's $n$ I desire to sing (literally, I-song-breast-desire; $h e^{e l}$ song)
he $\ell$--yununa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ sing a song (106.7)
wili-wa-i-t! ! $a^{\prime} n i d a^{\epsilon}$ you shall keep house (literally, you-house-together-hand-will-hold; wili house) 28.13
abai ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xuma-k!emna ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ cook (literally, in-the-house food-maker; xuma food) 54.3
wai-s‘ügü's ${ }^{\prime} u ̈ x g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I am sleepy (literally, I-sleep-am-confused?having; wai sleep)
$p!\bar{\imath}^{i}-d a-t!a g \bar{a} \bar{\tau}$ he built a fire ( $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ fire) 96.17
$p!\tilde{\imath}^{i}-b \bar{a}^{a}-y \tilde{a} n k^{*}{ }^{w}$ he picked up the fire (literally, he-fire-up-wenthaving) 96.25
$x i^{\varepsilon} \bar{u} g w a{ }^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime}$ he will drink water ( $x i$ water) 162.17
$s ' \tau x$-ligi' $k^{w}$ he brought home venison ( $s \cdot \tau x$ venison) 134.4

In none of these would the placing of the object after the verbform be at all idiomatic; in some (as in heel-gel-guluywa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ and wai$s \cdot u ̈ g \ddot{u}^{\prime} s \cdot \ddot{x} x q w a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) it would be quite inconceivable. The incorporation must be considered particularly strong in those cases in which the object is what might be called a root-noun identical in form with a verb-stem of corresponding significance:
wai ${ }^{1}$ sleep, to sleep
heel- song, to sing
se el- black paint, to paint
likewise where the object gives special color to the verb, determining the concrete significance of the form, as in xuma-k!emna'ss and wili-wa-i-t!!a'nida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
3. Besides being used as instrumentals and direct objects, a few incorporated nouns are found employed in set phrases, apparently as subjects. Such are:
$b \bar{a}^{a}-b e^{e}-k!i y \tilde{z}^{-i} k^{*} d a^{\varepsilon}$ forenoon (literally, up-sun-going, or when-itgoes) ( $b \bar{a}^{a}$ - is never used as independent adverb, so that $b e^{e}$ sun must here be considered part of the verb-complex)
$n \bar{o}^{u}-b e^{e}-k!i y i^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime} d a^{\xi}$ afternoon (literally, down-river [i. e., west]-sun-going)
$m o t^{\prime}-w \bar{\sigma} F^{\prime \prime}$ as son-in-law he visits wife's parents ( $=$ mot - son-inlaw $+w \bar{o} k^{\circ}$, probably identical with $w o k^{*}$ he arrived) 17.13 , in which mot'- must be considered an integral part of the verb, because unprovided with pronominal affix (cf. mo ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ his son-in-law), and, further, because the whole form may be accompanied by a non-incorporated subject (e. g., bo'mxi mot $w{ }^{-} \bar{F}_{i}$. Otter visited his wife's parents, literally, something like: Otter son-in-law-arrived)
4. Several verb-forms seem to show an incorporated noun forming a local phrase with an immediately preceding local prefix; in such cases the whole phrase must be considered an incorporated unit, its lack of independence being evidenced either by the fact that it is itself preceded by a non-independent verbal prefix, or else differs in phonetic form from the corresponding independent local phrase. Examples are:
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-ts !elei-sgalawis ${ }^{\prime \prime} n$ I looked at them out of the corners of my eyes (literally, I-alongside-eye-looked-at-them) ${ }^{2}$; cf. $d \bar{a}^{a}-t s \cdot!e-$ leidẽ alongside my eyes

[^12]ha-t ${ }^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}-g w i d i{ }^{\prime} k_{i}{ }^{x}$ he threw it into the open (literally, he-in-earth-threw-it); cf. $h a-t^{\prime} g \bar{a} \tilde{u}$ in the earth
$b a-i-d a k^{\prime}-w i l i-t!\bar{a}^{a} d i^{\prime} \leqslant$ I ran out of the house (ba-i- out, adverbial prefix $+d a k^{\circ}-$ on top of + wili house) 24.13 ; cf. daki-wili on top of the house
ha-yau-t ge'nets!as $n$ I put it about my waist (literally, I-in [under?]-rib-put-it-about) ; cf. ha-yawadẽ inside my ribs
Such verbs with incorporated local phrases are naturally not to be confused with cases in which a local prefix is followed by an incorporated (instrumental) noun with which it is not. howerer, directly connected. Thus the ha- of ha-tgãa-guidi'k'w is not directly comparable to the ha- of a form like:

Here ha-p! $t^{i}$ - cannot be rendered in the fire.
Some rerb-forms show an evidently incorporated noun that has so thoroughly amalgamated with the stem that it is difficult to make out its exact share in the building up of the material content of the verb. For example:

doubtless contains the incorporated noun $s$ on movitan; but the implied allusion is not at all erident, except in so far as the protecting spirits of the $s^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{mloho}$ 'la $a^{\varepsilon} s$ are largely mountain-spirits. The verb itself is probably a derivative of the verb-stem loho- die (aorist lohoi-).

## §36. BODY-PART PREFIXES

Haring disposed of the modal prefixes, which on analysis turned out to be verbal prefixes only in appearance, and of incorporated nouns, which one would hardly be inclined to term prefixes in the narrower sense of the term, there remain for our consideration two important sets of genuine prefixes, body-part elements and adverbial, chiefly local, prefixes. The former will be taken up first. By "bodypart prefix" is not meant any body-part noun in its incorporated form (many of these, such as ts! !elei- eye, t!iba- paxcreas, not differing morphologically from ordinary incorporated nouns), but only certain etymologically important monosyllabic elements that are used to indicate in a more general way what body-part is concerned in a particular action, and which may be regarded as in some degree verbal classifiers. With the exception of $\bar{i}$ - Haxd and sin- yose, classed with the rest
because of their very extended use, they differ fundamentally from other body-part nouns in that they have, besides their literal, also a more formal, local value; in this capacity they are regularly employed, also, as the first element of noun and pronoun İocal phrases, and, some of them, as the second element of local postpositions. In the following list the second column gives the literal body-part significance; the third, the generalized local meaning; the fourth, the corresponding independent noun (in a few cases, it will be observed, there is no such corresponding noun) ; and the fifth column, an example of a local phrase:

| Prefix. | Body. | Local. | Noun. | Phrase. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & d a k^{\prime}- \\ & \int d a-, d e- \end{aligned}$ | head mouth, lips | over, above | $d a^{\prime} g-a x-d \epsilon k^{\prime}$ my head $d \tilde{e}^{x}-d e k_{0}$ | $d a k *$-wili over the house |
| [ de- |  | in front |  | $d \bar{c} t^{\prime} g w a$ in front of himself |
| $d \bar{\alpha} a-$ | ear | alongside | $d \bar{a} a-n-x-d c^{\prime} k^{*}$ | däa-gcla' $m$ along the river |
| s.in- | nose |  | $s^{\prime} i^{\prime \prime}-\bar{i} i-x-d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ |  |
| gwen- | neek, nape | in back,behind | [ $\left.b o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a n-x-d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right]$ | gwen-t $g \tilde{a} \tilde{u}$ on east side of the land |
| 亿- | hand |  | $\vec{\imath}-\bar{u}-\mathrm{x}-d e^{\prime} l^{\prime}$ |  |
| $x \bar{a} a=$ | back, waist | between, in two | $x \bar{a} a-h a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | $x \bar{a} a$-gweldẽ between my legs |
| $d \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}$ | back | on top of |  | dīi-īūdẽ over my hand |
| gel- | breast | facing | $g e ̃ l-x-d e k^{\prime},\left[b i l g-a n-x-d e^{{f7510386d-0cff-4446-8380-c594e5ae5f9b} l$ to the mountain |  |
| $d \bar{\imath}{ }^{i \epsilon} a l-$ | forehead ( $=$ above eye) |  | dī ${ }^{\text {c }} a^{\prime} l-t^{\prime} k^{*}$ | $d i^{i} i^{2} a^{\prime} l d a$ at his forehead |
| gwenha-u- | nape (=neck under) |  | gwenha-u-x-dc' $k^{\prime}$ | guenha-udĕ at my nape |

The last two are evidently compounded; the first of $d i^{i}-$ above and al- eye, face, the second of gwen-neck and probably adverbial prefix $h a-u$ - under. The noun hau- $x$ - woman's private parts may possibly be connected with this prefix ha-u-, though, in view of the fact that ha- appears as the incorporated form of the noun, it scems more probable that the resemblance in form and meaning is accidental. It is possible that other rarer body-part prefixes occur, but those listed are all that have been found.

In not a few cases, where the body-part prefix evidently has neither objective nor instrumental meaning, it may yet be difficult to see a clearly local idea involved. This is apt to be the case particularly
with many intransitive verbs, in which the share of meaning contributed by the body-part prefix is apparent enough but where the logical (syntactic) relation of its content to that of the verb proper is hardly capable of precise definition. Thus, from $y_{o w o^{\prime \varepsilon}}$ нe is are formed by means of body-part prefixes:
al- ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yowo $^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he-eye-is, i. e., he looks 62.6
$d \bar{a}^{a}-\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} y^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {s }}$ he-ear-is, i. e., he listens, pays attention 96.9
$b \bar{a}^{a}$-gel- ${ }^{-}$yowo ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he-up-breast-is, i. e., he lies belly up 140.5
In these cases it is obviously impossible, yowo- being an intransitive verb not implying activity, to translate $a l-$ - $d \bar{a}^{a}$-, and gel- as instrumentals (with the eye, ear, breast); nor is there any clear idea of location expressed, though such translations as at the eye, ear, breast would perhaps not be too far fetched. In many verbs the body-part prefix has hardly any recognizable meaning, but scems necessary for idiomatic reasons. In a few cases prefixes seem to interchange without perceptible change of meaning, e. g., al- and dak* in:
aldèmxigam we shall assemble (186.7)
dak'dẽmxiaust' people (indef.) will assemble (136.11)
Where two body-part prefixes occur in a verb form, they may either both retain their original concrete significance, the first prefix being generally construed as object, the second as instrument (e. g.,
 my hand) ; or the first prefix may have its secondary local significance, while the second is instrumental in force (e. $g$., $d e-e^{-} \bar{\imath}-w \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} g i^{i s} n$ i-front-hand-spread-it, i. e., i spread it out); or both prefixes may have secondary local or indefinite significance (e. g., gwel-ge'l${ }^{\varepsilon} y_{0}{ }^{2}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ he-leg-breast-is, i. e., he faces away from him); rarely do we find that two body-part prefixes are concrete in significance and absolutely coordinated at the same time (see footnote to 12 below).

To illustrate the various uses of the body-part prefixes it seems preferable to cite examples under each separate prefix rather than to group them under such morphologic headings as objective, instrumental, and local, as by the former method the range of usage taken up by the various prefixes is more clearly demonstrated. The examples are in each case divided into two groups: (a) literal signification (objective, instrumental, or local) and (b) general adverbial (local) signification.

1. $\boldsymbol{\text { laki}}$
(a) HEAD, With head, in head:
dak'ts!ayãp ${ }^{\circ} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ washed my head (literally, I washed in my head
dak' $t{ }^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a m t^{2}$ he tied together (their head hair) 27.1
dak'ilats!agis $n$ I touched top of his head
dak'hagā̃̄t"e $e^{\varepsilon}$ felt thrill in my head (as when sudden cold tremor goes through one)
aldak'sāamsa' $m$ he bumped (with) his head against it 79.7
dak'k'iwi'k'auk'wasn I brandish it over my head
(b) ON TOP OF, ABOVE:
dak't$t^{\circ} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u b a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put rounded scooped-out object (like hat or canoe) on top (of head) (61.9)
dak'tek! $e^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I smoke (literally, I raise [sc., tobaccosmoke] over [one's head]) (96.23)
dak'lim $\begin{gathered}m x g w a t\end{gathered}$ it (i. e., tree) falls on you (108.12)
dak' $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I finish it (literally, I bring it on top) (110.17)
wili dak'y $\bar{a}^{a} n g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I pass house (?literally, I go with house above me) (150.8)
dak $\partial a \hbar \bar{a}^{a} l i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ answer $\operatorname{him}(61.6 ; 180.18)$
dak't!emẽxik' we assembled together ( $43.9 ; 136.11$ )
dak'hene ${ }^{e} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wait for him
The last three or four examples can hardly be said to show a transparent use of $d a k^{\circ}$-. Evidently the meaning of the prefix has become merged in the general verbal content, becoming unrecognizable as such; cf. under in English understand, UNDERGO.
2. da-, de-

It seems possible that we have here two distinct prefixes to begin with, $d a$ - inside of mouth (cf. dats!ayãp ${ }^{\circ}$ He Washed his moUTH) and $d e$ - LIPS (cf. de ${ }^{\mathrm{e} t s!a y a ̃ p i}$ HE WASHED HIS LIPs and noun $d e^{e}-x$ - LIPS), from the second of which developed the general local significance of an front; contrast also hada't ${ }^{2}$ gwa in HIS own moutir with dētggwa in front of himself. The strict delimitation of the two, however, is made difficult by the fact that $d a$-, alone in this respect among non-radical verbal elements, undergoes palatal ablaut (thus becoming $d e$-) whenever the stem shows a palatal vowel, whether primary or itself due to ablaut; observe also the stem-change from $d a-$ to $d e$ - in hada' $t^{\prime} g w a 170.2$ and hadede in my mouth. 'These
apparently secondary $d e$ - prefixes will be listed together with and immediately following the $d a$ - prefixes, while the true, chiefly local, de-, (da)-prefixes will be put by themselves.
( $a^{1}$ ) dele, (de-) mouth, in mouth, with moutif, lifs, teetif, TONGUE:
(da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ogoithi he gave him to eat (lit., he mouth-gave him) (186.25)
lde ${ }^{\varepsilon} \ddot{u g} \ddot{u}^{\prime} s i$ he gave me to eat 186.2
dat!aya ${ }^{\prime s}$ he went to get something to eat 75.9
dada'k'dāak' sharpen your teeth! 126.18; 128.23
dats!ala'tstilizs I chew it
aldat!elét $t i l i^{\varepsilon} n$ I lick it
dalats!agi's $n$ I taste it (literally, I mouth-touch it)
aldap $p^{\prime} p^{\prime} i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I blow at it (194.1)
dadama ${ }^{\text {s }} x$ he was out of wind 26.5
dasmayama ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I smile
hada $a^{s} y o w o^{\prime u} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (creek) gring into (river) (literally, in- mouthbeing)
[dalỡuls he lied (literally, he mouth-played) 110.23; 156.14
\delünhixi he lied to me
dayuw ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ he suddenly stopped (singing, talking) (literally, he mouth-started, as in fright) 138.23
$\left\{d a k^{*} \mathrm{dah} \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{i}^{\prime s} n \mathrm{I}\right.$ answer him (180.18)
\dak'dehêlsi he answers me
( $a^{2}$ ):
$h e^{e} \mathrm{dele} e^{\prime} l e k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I finished (story, talking) 50.4
delümü'sgade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I tell truth (184.3)
dexebena' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' you said it (literally, you mouth-did it) $14.10 ; 15.6$
aldets $!\ddot{u}^{\prime} l \ddot{u} k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I suck it
dedets $!u^{\prime} l u i k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I kiss her (first de- as object, her lips; second de- as instrument, with my lips)
dehememi ${ }^{\prime} n$ I taste it (cf. $\bar{\imath}$-hemem- wrestle)
$b a$-idehenena't you are through eating (literally, you are out-mouth-done) (136.16)
deligia'ldas $n$ I fetch it for him to eat (130.9)
dehe'yek! $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I left food over
$d a$ - can not stand before $\bar{\imath}$ - hand, because of the palatal timbre of the latter. Examples of $d e^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}$-:
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} d a^{\prime} m k!i n k{ }^{\prime}$ it will get choked
de ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {illats }}{ }^{\text {agi }}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his mouth ( $d e-=d a$ - as object; $\bar{\imath}$ - as instrument. Contrast above da-lats!agi's $n$ I tasted it, with $d a$ - as instrument)
Similarly other palatal non-radical elements cause a change of $d a$ - to de-:
de-his-gulu-gwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I want it in my mouth ( $=\mathrm{I}$ desire to eat [his = trying])
(b) de-, (da-) in front, ahead, at door of house:
de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ik!ala'k!ilin (house) was scratched on door 154.1, 2, 3
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} s e^{\prime e} k^{\prime}$ he opened door of house (cf. alse ${ }^{\prime e} k^{\circ}$ he bowed to him) 63.12
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} p^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 0^{\prime} \varepsilon k^{\prime}$ he bent it
$b \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{de}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ yeweya ${ }^{\prime} \gtrless^{* w}$ he started traveling again (literally, he up-ahead-went-again-with it) $22.4 ; 24.9 ; 25.6$
dewiliwa'lsi she is fighting me 27.3
de ${ }^{2}$ gwidi $\neq{ }^{\circ}$ w he stuck (threw) it into (fire) 27.8
dek'iwi'k'auk'was $\operatorname{I}$ brandish it before my face (172.12)
gasa'lhi de'hits! $\bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ fast stepper (literally, quickly aheadstepper)
$b a$-ide ${ }^{\varepsilon} d i^{\prime} n i x i a^{u \varepsilon}$ they marched by in regular order (literally, they out-ahead-strctched) 144.14
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} w \imath^{\prime \prime} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I spread it out (120.1)
 ahead-goes-if) 146.4
damats! $a^{\prime} \%^{\circ}$ he put it point foremost (into their cyes) 27.8
As in the case of $d a \hbar^{\circ}$-, so also here, not a few forms occur in which the meaning of the prefix $d a$-, $d e$ - is far from being clearly in evidence:
dat!agã ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ build a fire (96.17)
\{aldatc! $\left.u^{\prime}\right\urcorner \bar{u} u \varepsilon k^{c}$ he caught fire 98.3
\{aldetc! $\ddot{u}^{\prime} l \bar{u}^{u s} x i$ I caught fire
degülü̈'k! alx it glows (142.1); 188.15
aldat'guy $\bar{u}^{\prime i}{ }^{s i}$ (fire) blisters my face (25.11)
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} t^{\prime} a^{\prime} m a k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I put out the fire
dat $a m a^{\prime s} x$ the fire goes out
dat!abaga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I finish it (176.6)
dasgayana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I lie down
As the first seven of these examples show, $d a$-, $d e$ - sometimes imply a (probably secondary) reference to fire.
3. $\boldsymbol{d} \bar{a}^{\mu}-$
(a) Ear, with ear (referring to hearing), in ear, Cheek, sides of head:
dāa$t s!a y \tilde{a} p^{2}$ he washed his ear
 dā $\bar{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}$ lats!ag $i^{\prime} n$ I touched his ear, cheek d $\bar{a}^{a \varepsilon} a g a n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n I$ heard it (55.3; 108.16)
d $\bar{a}^{a} d \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I am able to hear it (literally, I can ear-find it) (100.12)
dāa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{e} \mathrm{e}^{\text {lagwa }}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ listen to him (55.1; 96.2; 146.5)
dāàts !émxde $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ hear big noise 90.21
$a n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ ye da's $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ yowos he did not listen to it (literally, he not there ear-was) 96.9

dāayehè he went where he heard (noise of people singing or gambling) 106.10.
d $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} d e l e^{\prime} p^{\circ} i$ he stuck it across his ear
dāàdalaga'mt' he made holes in his ears
$d \bar{\imath}^{i} d \bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime \varepsilon \varepsilon} \xi^{\prime} t^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ agams they had their hair tied on sides of head ( $d \bar{\imath}^{i} \bar{d} \bar{a}^{a}$ - probably as incorporated phrase, over ears) 142.17
da $\bar{a}^{s}$ ibo $t^{\prime} b i d i^{s} n$ I pull out his hair (from side of head) (194.7)
(b) Along, on side:
wi'la ${ }^{\prime}$ dāa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ wat $b \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ gamdina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ arrows shall be tied along (their length) with it (i. e., sinew) 28.1
4. s-ill- vose, in yose, with vose:

sint!ayagi's $n$ smell it (literally, I nose-find it) (160.20)
sindalaga' $m t^{*}$ he made holes in septum (cf. under $d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$) 22.1
$\sin \bar{l} \bar{o}^{\prime} u F^{\circ} i$ he stuck it into nose
s-inde ${ }^{\epsilon}$ le' $p^{\prime}$ gwa he stuck it up into his own nose
s'ingeya' $n$ he turned away his nose
s-inyuwo's he dodged with his nose (as when fly lights; cf. under da-)
$\operatorname{s} \cdot \mathrm{in} t^{\prime} \bar{u} w u k^{*} d e^{s}$ I feel warm in my nose

sinwilū ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ a $p^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I blow my nose
als $\cdot$ in $l \bar{o}^{\prime} u x a^{z} n$ they meet each other (24.12)

## 5. guen-

(a) леск:
gwensg $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime} d a^{\Sigma} n$ I cut his neck (144.2, 3, 5, 22)
gwents!ayaga's $n$ I washed his neck
ha-ugwenyunu's.yinis $n$ I swallow it greedily (cf. 126.10)
gwen $\bar{o}^{\prime} u{ }^{\prime} i \mathfrak{i}$ he stuck it in his throat (cf. under $\sin$-) 25.4
gwen ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ilats! ag $^{\prime s} n$ I touched back of his neck
gwenwayanagãnki he swung his knife over their necks 144.2
(b) BACK, Behind:
gwe'nsalyowo ${ }^{\text {s }}$ he looked back
gwenyeweĩt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I went back (152.13; 188.19)
gwe'nliwilaus he looks back (on his tracks) 59.14; 94.9
gwenhegwāa'agwanhi he related it to him 17.11
In gwena-ia $a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ good singer, the part played by the prefix is not clear.
3. $\overline{\boldsymbol{r}}$ - hand, in hand, with mand

No body-part prefix, except perhaps al-, is used with such frequency as $\bar{\imath}$-, the scrupulousness with which verbs implying action with the hand incorporate it seeming at times almost pedantic. Only a small selection out of the great number of occurrences need here be given:
īts!ayãp ${ }^{2}$ he washee his hand $\overline{1} p!\imath^{i} n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I warm my hands
wila'u ${ }^{\varepsilon} 1 / h o y o d a g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I dance with arrow in hand $n \tilde{a} x$ īhele elagwa ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I sing with pipe in hand
$\overline{1} g g^{-i} n a$ he took it $15.1 ; 31.8 ; 44.8 ; 47.9$
$\overline{\mathrm{i}} k^{\prime} w \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime a} g w i^{s} n$ I woke him up 16.4
īgaxagixis ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I scratch him
$\overline{1} \mathrm{gis} \cdot \mathrm{igis} \cdot i^{\prime \kappa} n$ I tickle him
īhegwe'ha下e ${ }^{w} n a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ am working $x u^{\varepsilon} i t s^{\circ}!i w i^{\prime} t^{*}$ he split it open 26.6
$\overline{1}$ heme' $m$ he wrestled with him $26.11 ; 27.10,11$
1 yon $\bar{o}^{\prime} u \varepsilon 7^{*}$ he pulled it
íguyu'sk' she pushed her 55.14

he ${ }^{e \varepsilon} \mathbf{i l}=m e^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{e}$ he killed them off $55.1 ; 144.6$.
$\overline{1} t!a^{\prime} u t!i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I caught hold of her (29.12; 140.15)
$\overline{\mathrm{i}} t^{\prime} w^{i}{ }^{i} y i i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ make it whirl up
alsi $1 y u l u^{\prime} y i l i^{\star} n$ I rub it
it'gwanye egit' you enslaved her 16.14
In some cases one does not easily see the necessity for its use:
$w^{-\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} y e^{\varepsilon} x i$ they are round about me (48.5)
alīwulu${ }^{\prime u s} x b i$ he ran away from you
7. $x \bar{a}^{\prime \prime}-,(x a-)$
(a) BACK, WAIST:
x $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} t s!a y \tilde{a} p^{*}$ he washed his back
$p!\bar{\imath}^{i} \mathrm{xa}^{\mathrm{a}} d a t^{\prime} g u y \bar{u}^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ sgwa his back got blistered 25.11
xā̄lats!agi's $n$ I touched his back
$x^{\mathrm{a}} p!\bar{\imath}^{i} n \bar{o}^{\prime u}{ }^{\prime}$ ' $w a$ he warmed his back 188.20
xāal $\bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put (belt) about my waist
(b) Between, in two (in reference to breaking or cutting):
x $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} p!a$-its $!$ iudi'n I shall split it by throwing (stone) down on it (140.7)
x $\bar{a}^{a} w i s \bar{a}^{a}$ go-between (in settling feuds) $178.11,13,18$
$\mathrm{xa}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{2} g o^{\prime} u d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut, saw it $(21.2,4)$

xāadant gyill ga'lhi he broke it with rock 24.4
$x^{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime \varepsilon \varepsilon} k^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ bagams it is all tied together 27.13
$\mathrm{xa}^{\mathrm{a}}$ salt gwe'lt'gwili he broke it by stepping on it 31.4, 5 $x^{-a} b e^{e} m k!\tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} k!i d i^{s} n$ I broke it with stick
In xahege'hak*na $n$ I breathe (79.2) and xahuk! $u^{\prime} \hbar a k{ }^{\circ} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I breathe, the xa-may refer to the heaving motion up from the waist.
8. $\mathbf{1}^{i}-$
(a) BACK:

The local uses of $x \bar{a}^{a}$ - and $d \bar{i}^{i}$ - (in middle, between, and above, respectively) would indicate that, in their more literal signification, they refer respectively to the LOWER BACK about the waist and the UPPER вАСк, though no direct information was obtained of the distinction.
dīìts!ay $\tilde{a} p^{+}$he washed himself in back of body
dīi$h \tilde{a} x$ his back is burning
dīit $t^{*} b \bar{o}^{u} k!a^{\prime} l x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I have warts on my back 102.20
dīi $d \bar{u}^{u} g w a{ }^{\prime} n k^{*}$ she will wear it (i. e., skirt) 55.9
(b) AbOVE, ON TOP:
dīihe'liya sleeping on board platform 13.2
dicd $\bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ gamt'gwide I tie my hair on sides of my head (see under $\left.d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}\right)(140.11 ; 142.17)$
dīis ${ }^{\text {s }}$ algelegala' $m a^{\varepsilon} n$ I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2)
dī ${ }^{\varepsilon} u y u^{\prime} t s!a m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I fool him (aorist uyuts!- laugh)
dīhinx $\bar{o}^{\prime} u g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I scare him
dīimãs (earth) is lit up (78.1)
dīi $h i l i^{i} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ am glad 22.2
$d \bar{i}^{i}$ - is used in quite a number of verbs of mashing or squeezing, the primary idea being probably that of pressing down on top of something:
 gel-bẽm-pilíp ${ }^{\circ}$ ilén I whip him on his breast (literally, I-breast-stick-whip-him) (cf. 76.1, 2, 3)
dīit!iyīisis 1 I mash them
$b a-i d i ̄ g w i b i^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime} w a p^{\prime}$ it popped all around 27.14
dīit $t^{\prime} g u m u^{\prime} t^{\prime} g i m i^{\varepsilon} n$ I squeezed and cracked many insects (such as fleas)
In many cases, as in some of the forms given above, the primary signification of $d \bar{v}^{i}$ - is greatly obscured. It is not at all certain but that we are at times (as in $d \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} u y u^{\prime} t s!a m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) dealing really with the phonetically similar prefix $d i^{\varepsilon}-$ REAR.
9. gel-
(a) breast, with breast (mental activities):
gelts!ayãp ${ }^{*}$ he washed his breast
gel ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{l}$ ats!agis ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I touched his breast

gelgulugwa's $n$ I desire, want it 32.5, 6, 7
gelhewe'hau he thought $44.11 ; 124.3 ; 142.20$
gellohoigwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ avenge him (apparently $=\mathrm{I}$ breast-die-with him) ( 146.8 ; 148.3)
gelt!aya' $k$ ' they thought of it (see under $s \cdot i n-$ and $d \bar{a}^{a}$ ) 152.10
gelyalãxaldisn I forgot him (lit., I breast-lost him) (7̄.10)
gelts' !aya'mxamk' she hid (certain facts) from us 158.7
geldulu'k'de $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am getting lazy
gelheye $e^{s} x$ he is stingy (literally, he breast-leaves-remaining $=$ keeps surplus to himself) 196.5
(b) FACING:
gelt!ana'hi she pushed him (?literally, she held him [away] facing her $)^{1}$ (25.10)
gelwayãn he slept with her (literally, he caused her to sleep facing him) 26.4 ; ( $108.3 ; 190.2$ )
wa't'gwan gelsyowo's they faced each other (literally, to each other they breast-were) 26.15
gel $k!i y i^{\prime \varepsilon} k \cdot$ he turned around so as to face him 170.2
10. $\boldsymbol{1 t}^{\mathrm{s}}-$
(a) ANUS:
dists!ay $\tilde{a} p^{\bullet}$ he washed his anus
ba-idīstogats! $a^{\prime} t^{\circ} g i s^{\Sigma} n$ I stick out my anus (164.19; 166.1)
di $\varepsilon h \tilde{a} x$ his anus is burning 94.13
dī $\%$ hagã̃ $t^{\circ} e^{\varepsilon}$ I feel ticklish in my anus (as though expecting to be kicked) (cf. under $d a \hbar^{\circ}$-) 166.1
$\operatorname{di}^{\varepsilon} x \bar{o}^{\prime \prime} u_{s}$ (food) is spilling out from his anus, (acorns) spill out from hopper 94.2, 4, 5
(b) IN REAR, BEIIIND:
dísalyomo'hin I shall catch up with him in running
$b e^{e} \mathrm{dī}^{\prime} \xi k!i y i^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}$ afternoon came (lit., sun went in rear) (124.15) $d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} l$ dis $h i w i l i u t e^{s}$ I ran close behind
As happens more or less frequently with ail body-part prefixes, the primary meaning, at least in Eng'ish translation, of $d i^{s}$ seems lost sight of at times:
abaidīs yow $\bar{o}^{\prime u} d a^{\varepsilon}$ coming into house to fight (abai-into house; yow ${ }^{-\quad}{ }^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon}$ being) 24.14

[^13]$p!a-i d i=h a n a^{\prime} s$ it stopped (wind, rain, snow, hail) 152.16
In a number of verbs $d \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ - expresses: felling, digging under, or erecting a tree or stick, the fundamental notion being probably that of activity at the butt end of a long object:
dissgot: ötha bëm he was always cutting down trees 108.8
dīk! olola'n (tree) was dug under 48.5
dīisisg $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} u l$ ! in (tree) was made to fall by being dug under 48.7, 8, 12
p!a-idī̊ $\bar{o}^{\prime} u g{ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I make (stick, pestle) stand up (by placing it on its butt end) ( $116.18 ; 176.1,2$ )
p! !u-idīisgimi'sgam they set (house posts) down into ground 11. Tu
(a) WOMAN'S PRIVATE PARTS:
hats!ayãp' she washed her private parts
hasilats!agis $n$ he touched her private parts
haiwesga'hak'v she spread apart her legs 26.4
(b) IN:
(dãnxdagwa) hats!ayãk: he washed inside (of his ear)
(dexda) halō ${ }^{\prime} V_{k}{ }^{\prime} i$ he stuck it into (his mouth)
( $s$ inixda) hadele' $p^{\prime \prime} i$ he stuck it up into (his nose)
halohon he caught them in trap (literally, he caused them to die in) (100.8)
(quãn) hat!ülügua's $n$ I follow in (trail) $(96.8,9)$
halō'ulk she put on (her dress), they put on (their skins, garments) 160.6
ha ${ }^{s}{ }^{i} / i_{i j} ' l \bar{u}{ }^{u} h a l$ they skinned them 160.5
haya-ut ge'nets! $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put on (my rest)
As the last examples show ha- sometimes convers the special notion of putting on or taking off a skin or garment.

## 12. !ncel-

(a) LEG, IN LEG. WITH LEG:
gwelts!ayäp he washed his legs
gwelléyésdes I am lame
gwellō'usk: $\dot{R}^{* x}$ put on (your leggings)!
gwelīivi's $n$ I beat him in running (lit., I-leg-left-him) (184.14)
gwelsalt!eyẽsna天 $n^{1}$ I have no fat in my legs and feet 102.22
(b) UNDER. AWAY FROM VIEW:
gwelmats!a' $k$ they put (food) away (sc., under platforms) 124.22: (132.8)
gwelge' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ you $\bar{o}^{u} d a^{\varepsilon}$ he having his back to him (literally, facing him away from riew) 122.7
(a) front of body (probably belly as contrasted with gelBREAST):
lats!ayãp he washed himself in front of body
(b) BURST, RIP OPEN:
lat ${ }^{6} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} x$ it burst 24.17
$1 a^{\varepsilon} \pi t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime} a k!i t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ you (pl.) shall rip them open (like game after roasting) 118.5
lasalt ${ }^{b} \bar{a}^{\prime} a g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I burst it with my feet (140.22)
la ${ }^{\text {² }}$ wayat $b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g i^{\Sigma} n$ I rip it open with knife (waya knife, as incorporated instrument)

## 14. sal-

(a) FOOT, WITH FOOT:
sallats!agi's $n$ I stepped on it (instrument sal-: I foot-touched it) (196.18)
sal ${ }^{\text {s }} 7$ lats!agis $n$ I touched his foot (object sal-; instrument $\bar{\imath}$-)
salts!ayãp ${ }^{\text {' }}$ he washed his feet
salxugi they are standing 63.2
$h e^{e \varepsilon_{\text {salt }} \text { alt }}$ un kick him off! (24.17)
alsalt ${ }^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he kicked him $86.16,17,18$
gelbam salgwi't'gwat kick it way up!
salyuwo ${ }^{\prime s}$ s he suddenly lifted up his foot (as when frightened) (cf. under $d a$ - and $s$ in-)
salp! $\bar{\imath}^{i} n \bar{o}^{\top} u \hbar^{\prime} w a^{s} n$ I warmed my feet
15. al- face, with eie, to, at

This is in all respects the most difficult prefix in regard to the satisfactory determination of its exact meaning. In a large number of cases it seems to involve the idea of sight, not infrequently adding that concept to a form which does not in itself convey any such implication. In most of the verbforms, however, many of which have already been given under other prefixes, the al-seems to have no definitely ascertainable signification at all. In some cases it may be considered merely as an empty element serving as a support for a post-positive modal particle. For example:
al-his-gulugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I am desirous of something
where his trying can not occupy an initial position
al-di-yok!oya't did you know him?
Here alyok!oya' $t^{\prime}$ in itself hardly differs in content from yok!oya't' you kNew him. The most satisfactory definition
that can be given of al- in its more general and indefinite use is that it conveys the idea of motion out from the sphere of the person concerned, whether the motion be directed toward some definite goal (object) or not; an approximate translation in such cases would be то, ат. The correctness of this interpretation is borne out by the fact that al- at times replaces a more definite local phrase, as though it were a substitute for it, of the same general formal but weaker material content.
$w \bar{a}^{a} d a l \bar{o}^{u} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ to-him I-thrust-it, where $w \bar{a}^{a} d a$ definitely expresses a local pronominal idea то, ат нim.
Compare:
all $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime} u g w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I stretched it out to him
where the exact local definition of the action is not so clearly expressed; the direct object of the verb being here not the object thrust, but the person aimed at, while the indirectness of the action is interpreted by means of al- as an adverbial or local modification of the verbal content. The change of vowel in the ending, $a-i$, is closely connected, as we shall later see, with this change of "face" in the verb. The first form may be literally translated as to-ming i-it-thrust; the second, as I-mini-to-thrust (it). Similarly, in al ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} l a t s!a g i^{\prime \delta} n$ i touched iIIs bodr, the al- is probably best considered as a general directive prefix replacing the more special prefixes (such as sal-, s.in-, and so on) that indicate the particular part of the body affected, or, as one might put it, the exact limit of motion. The use of al- in local phrases shows clearly its general local significance: als $\bar{o}^{u} \mathrm{ma}^{\prime} l \mathrm{l}$ ат, to the mountain; gas $a^{\prime} l$ то тнаt, as postposition equivalent to to, FOR. From.
(a) face, ete:
al ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{o}^{u} d i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I look around for him (cf. $\bar{o}^{u} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hunt for him) (92.27)
al $x i^{\prime i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I see, look at him ( $-x i^{i} g$ - never occurs alone) 186.7 ; 188.11.
algaya' $n$ he turned his face
a lyebebi's $n$ I showed it to him (77.8)
alyowõt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ looked (cf. yowõt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I was) (64.3)
alts!ayaga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I washed his face (64.5)
$m a ̃ n x$ al $n \bar{u}^{\prime} u F^{\circ} w a$ he painted his (own) face
alt!aya ${ }^{\prime} k^{*}$ he found, discovered it (literally, he eye-found it; cf. under s•in-, $d \bar{a}^{a}$-, and gel-) 47.10; 92.27; 194.13
alsgalāaliwis $n$ I looked at them (moving head slightly to side)
alt $b \bar{o}^{u} k!a^{\prime} l x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I have pimples on my face (cf. 102.20)
alt'wap! a't'wap $n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I blink with my eyes 102.20
alwe'k!alas $n$ I shine
$x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ lt!anahi they watched it (literally, they-between-eyeheld it; $x \bar{a} \overline{-}^{\varepsilon} a l$ as incorporated local phrase[?]) 136.8
(b) TO, AT:

It is at least possible, if not very probable, that al- то, Aт, and al- eye, face, are two entirely distinct prefixes. As many preceding examples have incidentally illustrated the local use of al-, only a few more need be given:
alp'oup'auhi he blew on it 15.1
alhūyũxde $e^{\varepsilon}$ I go hunting (42.1; 58.14; 70.2; 126.21)
algesegasa'lt' $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ was washing
alheme' $k$ ' they met him 24.11
${ }^{2} 1^{\text {si }} x$ xlep! $e^{\prime} x l a p^{\circ}$ he mashed it up into dough-like mass 94.11
al ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} t s^{\prime}!\bar{o}^{\prime \mu} d i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ touch, reach it
alse $e^{\prime e} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I bowed to him (172.10)

## 16. $\boldsymbol{d}^{i \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{a l}$ - forehead:

diicalts!ayãp he washed his forehead
dīisalgelegala'ms he tied his hair up into top-knot 172.2
dī́alk' $\bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime} g w a$ he put (dust) on his forchead 136.28
17. gwenha-u- nape:
gwenha'-uts!ayaga ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I shoot off nape of neck
gwenha-ut'be'egams he has his hair tied in back of his head
It will have been noticed that several of the body-part prefixes have developed special uses that almost entitle them, at times, to being considered verbal in function. Thus $x \bar{a}^{a}$ - back, between has been seen to develop, from its latter local use, the more strictly verbal one of cutting, splitting, breaking, or rending in two; the ideas of between and of division in two are naturally closely associated. The specialized semiverbal uses of some of the prefixes may be thus listed:
$d a-, d e-$ activity in reference to fire (burn, set on fire, glow)
$x \bar{a}^{a}$ - rend in two (cut, split, break)
$d \bar{i}^{i}$ - crushing activity (mash, squeeze)
$d \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$ - fell, erect (long object)
$h a$ - dress, undress
la- burst, rip open
al- look, see
The resemblance between this use of the Takelma body-part prefixes and the Siouan use of verb prefixes denoting instrumental activities (e. g., Ponka ba- by pressing with the hand, ma- by cutting, çawith the mouth, by blowing) is not far to seek, although in Takelma the development seems most plausibly explained from the local, rather than the instrumental, force of the prefixes. Neither the employment of Takelma body-part nor of Siouan instrumental prefixes with verb stems is in any morphologic respect comparable to the peculiar composition of initial and second-position verb stems characteristic of Algonkin and Yana. The same general psychic tendency toward the logical analysis of an apparently simple activity into its component elements, however, scems evident in the former as well as in the latter languages.

## § 37. LOCAL PREFIXES

The purely local prefixes, those that are not in any way associated with parts of the body, are to be divided into two groups:
(1) Such as are used also in the formation of noun and pronoun local phrases or of postpositions, these being in that regard closely allied to the body-part prefixes in their more general local use; and
(2) Such as are employed strictly as verbal prefixes, and are incapable of entering into combination with denominating elements. The following table gives all the common prefixes of both groups, examples of noun or pronoun local phrases being added in the last column:

| Prefix. | Translation. | Local phrase. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| han- <br> $h a-u$ - <br> $h e^{e s}$. <br> dal- <br> hấsa- <br> $h \bar{a} Q_{-}{ }_{-}$ <br> $m e^{e}$ - <br> $w \bar{i}-$ <br> hawi- <br> wa- <br> $b \bar{a} \nsim$ <br> ba-i- <br> $p!a-\mathrm{i}-$ <br> aba-i- <br> bam- <br> xam- | across, through <br> under, down <br> away, off <br> away into brush, among, between <br> on both sides <br> yonder, far off <br> hither <br> around <br> in front, still <br> together <br> up <br> out, out of house <br> down <br> in house, into house <br> up into air <br> in river | hanwarga'n across the creek <br> hawandé under me <br> hetधs.öuma`l beyond the mountain <br> dan gada'l among rocks <br> $h \bar{a}^{\prime} \varepsilon$ yade on both sides of, around me |

Of these, the first five belong to the first group, the last nine to the second. The position of $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon_{-}}$and $m e^{\varepsilon}-$ is somerrhat doubtful; but the fairly evident etymological connection of the former with $h \bar{a}^{a s} y a$ and the correlative relation in form and meaning between me ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ - and $h e^{e \varepsilon}$-, make it probable that they are to be classed with the first group. While some of these prefixes (such as dal- and han-) are inconceivable as separate adverbial elements, others (particularly $a b a-i$, which is apparently composed of demonstrative element $a$ this $+b a-i$ ) are on the border-land between true prefix and independent adverb. $m e^{\varepsilon-}$ - and $h e^{e \varepsilon}$-, though they are never used alone, stand in close etymological relation to a number of local adrerbs (such as $e m e^{\varepsilon}$ here and ge there), which also, though not so rigidly as to justify their being termed prefixes, tend to stand before the verb. The difference between local prefix and adverb is one of degree rather than of fundamental morphologic traits; in any case, it is rather artificial to draw the line between $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - in such forms as me $e^{\varepsilon} y$ èu $\overline{\text { come back! and ge in, e. g., ge } e^{\varepsilon} y o w o^{\prime s} \text { there it is. Sometimes, }}$ though not frequently, two local prefixes, neither of them a body-part element, occur in a single verb form. See, e. g., p!ai-hau- under 2 below, also abai-bāa ${ }^{-}$- 62.1 .

1. hen- through, across:
hanyada't $t^{8}$ I swim across
hangwidi $\mathcal{K}^{*}$ w he threw it across 120.22
han ${ }^{\varepsilon} w a^{\varepsilon} a l x \bar{i}^{i} k^{*}$ he looked through it
hanyewe'is he went back across 178.16
$g w a ̃ n$-hansgo ${ }^{\prime} u s d e^{\varepsilon}$ I lie stretched across the trail (literally, I-road-across-cut) (148.8)
2. ha-u- UNDER, DOWN:
ha-ugwenyut! $u^{\prime} y i d i^{s} n$ I swallow it down greedily, making grunting noise (126.10)
ha-us $\tilde{k} k^{* w}$ he paddled him down river ( $b \bar{a}^{a}$ - up river)
ha-uyowo $t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I sweat (literally, I-under-am)
ei p!a-iha'-ut $y \bar{u}^{u} p x$ canoc upset 60.8
ha-uhana ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ it stopped (raining) 196.8
3. $h \boldsymbol{e}^{e s}-\mathrm{ofF}$, AWAY:
he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ileme $e^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\circ}$ he killed them off $14.13 ; 110.21 ; 144.6$
he ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{\varepsilon}_{g} \bar{o}^{\prime} u d a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ cut it off (44.4); 72.10; $(92.14,16)$
he ${ }^{e s} g w i d i \not k^{*} w$ he threw it away
he ${ }^{\text {es }} \bar{u} \tilde{u}{ }^{\prime} w a$ he went away from him ( 23.12 ; 146.18)
he ${ }^{\text {es }}$ salt'gũnt ${ }^{\text {ginin }}{ }^{\text {n }}$ I kick him off (24.17)
he ${ }^{\mathrm{e} \varepsilon} \bar{i} h \bar{u}^{\prime} l u p!i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ beat off bark (with stick)
he ${ }^{e s} \tau k^{\prime} a p!a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i b i^{*} n$ I chipped them off (92.3)
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I buy it (literally, I carry it off) (176.17)
he ${ }^{e s} t^{\prime} g u y \bar{u}^{\prime i} \varepsilon_{s}$ it is blistered
4. dal- into brush, anong:
dalyewe ${ }^{\prime \text { is }}$ he ran off into brush 14.6; 110.10
dalgwidi ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {w }}$ w he threw it into brush
dal $p^{\circ} \bar{o}^{\prime} u d i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ mix it with it (178.5)
dalxabili'us he jumped between them 106.20
5. hāasyou- on both sides:
hā ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yagini $i^{\prime} k^{\prime}$, they passed each other
hã ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yawat!eméxia ${ }^{u s}$ they assemble coming from both sides 144.23
6. $\boldsymbol{h} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\prime s}$ far off:
$h^{-1 a s} y^{\prime 2} w e^{i s}$ they returned going far off 146.22 ; (47.4; 188.1)
hā ${ }^{\varepsilon} x d \bar{a}^{\prime a} x d a y w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I threw something slippery way off
This prefix is evidently identical with the demonstrative stem $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ seen, e. g., in $h \bar{a}^{\prime s} g a$ that one yonder.
7. $m e^{\varepsilon}-$ Hither:
mes $g i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ he came here 146.24 (ge gini $i^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ he went there 77.7)
$h a^{\prime} n$ me $^{\varepsilon}$ ginin $^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ they come from across (note two local prefixes; hanginis ${ }^{*} k^{\prime}$ they go across)
$\mathrm{me}^{\varepsilon} y$ yèu come back! (yèu return!) (23.11,12,13,14; 96.5) ; 59.5
meshiwili'us he came running this way
Not infrequently $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - conveys the fuller idea of come то -_, as in:
me $^{\varepsilon}$ bép ${ }^{\prime} x i p{ }^{\prime}$ come (pl.) and chop for me! 90.16
8. $w \vec{\imath}$ - around:
$w^{-s}{ }^{s} t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} y e^{\varepsilon} x i$ they are surrounding me (48.13; 190.14)
wit'ge'ye ${ }^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} k^{\prime} i$ they put it round about 176.14
9. hawi- in front, still:
$\left\{\right.$ hawiyãnt ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ go in front
thawiyana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{s}$ front dancer
hawibaxa ${ }^{\prime *} m$ still they come, they keep coming 146.1
$b \tilde{o}^{u}$ hawidegü̈ $7 k!$ !alxd $\bar{a}^{a}$ after a while it will blaze up ( $b \tilde{o}^{u}=$ now)
10. wa- together:
wak!oyoxinik: we go together
wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau} t s^{*}!o^{\prime} m^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ squeeze (your legs) together! (26.5)
$b \bar{a}^{a}$ wawilik'w he traveled up along (river) (literally, he went up having it together with him) 21.14
wayãnk ${ }^{*}$ he followed him (literally, he went having him together with him) 23.11
wat'eméxia ${ }^{u s}$ they are assembling together (110.3); 144.23
wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ it! $!x o^{\prime} x i$ he gathered them together 112.6
wat!ilik' $n i$ she gave them one each 130.4
wāahimi't' he talked to him 59.16; 63.10
da'gaxdek' wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alt ${ }^{\prime}$ geye ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ giyis $n$ I tied it about my head (literally, my-head I-together-to-surround-it)
$p!\bar{a}^{a} s$ wak $!e^{e} w a^{\prime} l x g w a$ snow is whirling around
Sometimes wa-seems to indicate simultaneity of activity, as in:
walāala'uhi she kept twining basket (while talking) 61.5
In many cases the adverbial meaning of $w a$-is hardly apparent, and one is sometimes in doubt whether to look upon it as the prefix here discussed or to identify it with the instrumental element wa- with, with it; the two may indeed be at bottom identical.
11. $\boldsymbol{b} \bar{a}^{a^{-}}$UP $(55.16 ; 59.10 ; 60.11 ; 63.6,12)$ :
bāadini ${ }^{\text {² }} x$ (clouds) were spread out in long strips (literally, they stretched up) 13.3
bāáatebe't ${ }^{\text {e }} e^{\varepsilon}$ I get up 186.14; (196.1)

bāayank ${ }^{\text {a w }}$ he picked it up 15.9; 24.3; 59.15
$k!i y \bar{\imath}^{i} x$ bā ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} w \tilde{o} \mathcal{K}^{\text {c }}$ smoke comes out (literally, up-arrives) 29.3
(dãnxda) baªlgwili's he turned up (his ear)
(dak'wil̄$) \mathrm{b} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} g i n i^{\prime} k^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he went up (on top of house) 30.6
bāas $\bar{a}^{2} \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ stand up!
$\mathrm{b} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} y$ ewe ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he got better (literally, he-up-returned) (15.2)
bāa ${ }^{a} h a w a^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\circ}$ she dipped up (water)
12. ba-i- out, out of house, out of water to land, from plain to mountain:
ba-iyewe ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ they went out again
ba-ixodo'xat' she took off (her garment) 13.4
ba-isili'xgwa he lands with (boat) 13.5
ba-is $\tilde{a} k{ }^{*} w$ he came to land
ba-i ${ }^{\text {s}} a^{\prime}$ lyowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he looked outside
ba-ihimima ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I drive him out
 he threw it (from in the water) on to land (31.2)
ba-ibiliwa't' you jumped out of house 24.15; (46.6)
(hadedē) ba-iyeweyini ${ }^{\prime \prime} n$ I took it out (of my mouth) (literally, I-out-caused-it-to-return)
ba-idehenena' $t$ ' you are through eating (literally, you-out-mouth-are-finished) (132.14)
ba-it!ixi'xi he pulled (guts) out 92.17
( $d a k$ ' $s^{\prime} \bar{o}^{\mathrm{u}} m a^{\prime} l$ ) ba-iwõ $k^{\circ}$ he got up (on the mountain) 124.4; (60.9)

In certain idiomatic turns the primary signification of $b a-i-$ is as good as lost:
(heel-)ba-imats! a'k' he began to sing (lit., he-song-out-put) 102.17 ba-ik!iyi'sk' he comes 92.1, 2; 156.24; 168.13
13. p!a-i- down:
p !ai $\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}$ !ana $a^{\prime} h i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ held him down
p!a-igwidi $K^{* w}$ he threw it down
p !a-iwaya' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he went to lie down, to sleep (lit., he down-slept) 25.9
p !a-ilohoit ${ }^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ I fell down (literally, I down-died)
p !a-iyewe ${ }^{\text {is }}$ (arrow) fell down back 22.5; 48.14
p !a-1 ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ lyowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he looked down 26.14
plaiyowo's they sat down (literally, they down-were) 56.2
p!a-isgaya'pxde I lay down
14. aba-i- in house, into house

It would perhaps be best to consider this an independent adverb (demonstrative pronoun $a$ - тHIS $+b a-i$-, formed analogously to $e m e^{\varepsilon}$ here [ $=$ demonstrative adverb $e$ - here $+m e^{\varepsilon}$ ]) ; its correlative relation to $b a-i$ - makes it seem advisable to give examples of its occurrence here:
abaigini' $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$ he went inside $25.8 ; 27.7,13 ; 64.3$
abaihiwili'us he ran inside 16.12
aba-iwõk they went into house 29.6; (44.7); 160.19
aba-iyowõt ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I stay at home
abaits! $\vec{a}^{a} k^{\circ} t s!a^{\prime} \varepsilon k^{*}$ he stepped into house 31.3

## 15. bame- Up into Air

This prefix occurs often with preposed elements gel- or $d \bar{i}^{i}$ - as gelbam- or di$i b a m$-, which would seem to mean respectively with belly side up and with back side up, or in front of and directly over one:
bamgwidi ${ }^{*}$ w he threw it up gelbamgwidi ${ }^{\prime} k^{*}{ }^{w}$ he threw it up
di'bamgwidi'kw he threw it up
gelbamsãk $k^{* w}$ he shot it up 22.5
gelbam ${ }^{\text {s }} a^{\prime} l y o w o^{\varepsilon}$ he looked up
gelba'ms $i^{*} i^{\varepsilon} u l$ he was sitting up (in tree) 48.7
16. xam-in river, into water, fron mountain to plain:
xamalts!ayãp ${ }^{\circ}$ he washed himself in river
xamgwidil火' ${ }^{*}$ he threw it into river (33.6); 108.5
xamhiwili'us he ran to river 29.13; 94.16
xa'mhiläp 'iauk' they became in river (=were drowned) 166.16
xam $^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ yowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he looked down from top of mountain 124.4 (contrast p! ai ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l y o w o^{\varepsilon}$ he looked down from ground 26.14)

## § 38. INSTRUMENTAL wa-

It is somewhat difficult to classify this prefix, as it does not belong either to the body-part or the purely local group. Strictly speaking it should be considered the incorporated form of the demonstrative pronoun in its instrumental function. As was seen above, it may represent an instrumental noun, but, while the noun may itself be incorporated to denote the instrument, this is not the case with the demonstrative pronoun. For example:
ga wede yap!a-wa-dõm7igas that not I-people-with-shall-kill ( = I shall not kill people therewith)
In other words, it would seem likely that such a form as fa al* wats!ayagis $n$ I wash him with that is related to an alswats!ayayi's $n$ I wash him with it as, e. g., xi alswats!ayajis $n$ I wasi him with water, to the form alxits!ayagi's $n$ I water-wasil hin, i. e., the wain alswats!ayafis ${ }^{\prime s} n$ is to be regarded as an incorporated ga тнат, it (such forms as *algats!ayagis $n$ have never been found to occur). It will be noticed that the verb-forms with incorporated wa- are normally characterized by a suffixed $-i$ - or $-7 i-$; as soon, howerer, as the verb loses its instrumental "face," this $-i$ - is replaced by the normal $-a$-. Thus:
wilau wats!ayagi's $n$ arrow I-shoot ${ }^{1}$-him-with-it (with incorporated wa-, wila'u arrow being outside the verb-structure and in apposition with $w a$-)
but:
ts!ayaga's $n$ wi'lau wa' I-shoot-him arrow with (in which also wastands outside the verb-complex, acting as an instrumental postposition to wila'u)
Examples of instrumental wa- are:
(salxdeki) salswalats!agis ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I touched him with my foot (literally, my-foot I-foot-with-it-touched-him)
( $x i^{i}$ ) wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} n \neq i$ I drink (water) with it
(yap!a)wat!omomis $n$ I kill (people) with it (but yap! a t!omoma's $n$ I kill people)
alwats!eyēk ${ }^{\text {² }}$ wide $e^{\varepsilon}$ I washed myself with it
ga his d $\bar{o}^{u}$ mia gelwagulugwis ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I try to kill him with that (literally, that trying killing-him I-with-desire-it)
seel-wats!elelamda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I write with it
( $\bar{u} \bar{u} x d e^{\prime} \neq{ }^{\prime}$ ) wagaya-iwis $i^{\prime \prime}$ I used to eat with (my hands)

[^14](p`im) wasana'hink' they will spear (salmon) with it 28.15 (cf. sana'nk' they will spear it)
Although, as was suggested before, the prefix wa- as instrument may be ultimately identical with the adverbial wa- TOgether (the concepts of dong something with, by means of it and doing something together with it are not very far removed), the two can not be regarded as convertible elements. This is elearly brought out in such forms as bẽm wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}$ wadat!oxo $x i^{\varepsilon} n$ I Picked them together with stick. Literally translated, this sentence reads, stick i-together-hand-with-it-picked-them; the first $w a$ - is the adverbial prefix; $\bar{\imath}$-, the general instrumental idea conveyed by the character of the verb (Gather with one's ilands); and the second wa-, the incorporated representative of the more specific instrument bèm sтick. If preferred, $\bar{\imath}$ - may be interpreted, though less probably, as a local element (-izwa- $=$ with it in hand).

## 2. Formation of Verb-Stems (§§39, 40)

## § 39. GENERAL REMARKS

By a verb-stem will be here understood not so much the simplest possible form in which a verb appears after being stripped of all its prefixes, personal elements, tense-forming clements, and derivative suffixes, but rather the constant portion of the rerb in all tense and mode forms except the aorist. The verb-stem thus defined will in the majority of cases coincide with the base or root, i. e., the simplest form at which it is possible to arrive, but not always. Generally speaking, the aorist is characterized by an enlargement of the base that we shall term "aorist stem," the other tense-modes showing this base in clearer form; in a minority of cases, however, it is the aorist stem that seems to coincide with the base, while the verb-stem is an amplification of it. Examples will serve to render these remarks somewhat clearer:

| Aorist stem | Verb-stem | Probable base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t!omom- <br> naga- <br> hāal- <br> ōud- <br> lohoi- <br> yuluyal- | dōum. <br> $n \bar{a} a g-$ <br> hala- <br> odo- <br> loho- <br> yulyal- | dōum- kill <br> $n \bar{a} a g-(n a g-)$ say to <br> $h \bar{a} a l$-answer <br> ōud-hunt for <br> loh-die <br> yul- rub |

By far the larger number of verbal bases are monosyllabic. Where the simplest radical element that can be analyzed out remains dissyllabic (as in dawi- fly, agan- perceive, yimi- lend), the probability is always very great that we have to reekon either with amplifications of the base, or with suffixes that have become so thoroughly amalgamated with the base as to be incapable of separation from it even in formal analysis; in some cases the dissyllabic character of the verb-stem is due to a secondary phonetic reason (thus dawi- is for dawy-, cf. dauy-; while in agan- the second $a$ is inorganic, the real stem thus being *agn-). Most bases end either in a vowel or, more frequently, in a single consonant; such as end in two consonants (as yalg- dive, $s^{\circ} \circ \mathrm{md}$ - BoIL, bilw- JUMP) may often be plausibly suspected of containing a petrified suffixed element.

The few examples of verb and aorist stems already given suffice to indicate the lack of simple, thorough-going regularity in the formation of the aorist stem from the base. Given the verb-stem, it is possible only in the minority of cases to foretell the exact form of the aorist stem. Thus, if $d \bar{o}^{u} m$ - had followed the analogy of the phonetically parallel $n \bar{a}^{\alpha} g$-, we should have in the aorist not t!omom-, but domo-; similarly, the phonetic similarity of odo- and loho- would lead us to expect an aorist stem $l \bar{o}^{u} h$-, and not lohoi-, for the latter. Nor is it safe to guess the form of the verb-stem from a given aorist stem. Thus, while the aorist lohoi- corresponds to a verb-stem loho-, yewei- corresponds to yèu- return; nagai-, to na- say, do; and k!emèi-, to k!emn- do, make. Mere phonetic form has, indeed, comparatively little to do with determining the relation of the two stems. This is clearly evidenced by the following cases of homonymous but etymologically distinct bases with corresponding aorist stems.

| Verb base | Meaning | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heem- heegw- | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { 1. mock } \\ \text { 2. wrestle } \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l} 1 . \\ \text { 2. work } \end{array}\right. \\ \text { 2. relate } \end{array}\right.$ | hemeham- <br> hemem- <br> heguchagw- <br> hegw(h)āagw- , hegwe- <br> hagw- |
| heen- | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. be finished } \\ \text { 2. wait for }\end{array}\right.$ | henen- <br> henee- |
|  | 1. find | t'ayag- |
| d $\bar{a}^{\text {a }}$ - | 22. build fire | thagdi- |

The signification of the verb-stem gives almost no information as to the form of the aorist stem, the various types of aorist formation being each exemplified by a heterogeneous array of verbs, as far as any discernible similarity of meaning is concerned. It is true that, in a comparatively few cases, certain types of aorist formation can be shown to be characteristic of intransitive verbs; but in these the formation of the aorist stem involves the addition of a distinct phonetic element that has every appearance of being a worn-down suffix.

Not the least remarkable feature of tense-formation lies in the fact that the most frequently used of the tense-modes, the aorist (equivalent to immediate future, present, and past), generally shows the derived or amplified form of the base; while the far less important tense-modes, the future, inferential, potential, and present and future imperatives employ the generally more fundamental verb-stem. In its naked form the aorist stem appears as the third person subject third person object aorist transitive. For example:
t!omõm he killed him
naga' he said to him
-hãl he answered him
$\bar{o}^{\prime} u t^{\prime}$ he hunted for him
The bare rerb-stem appears as the second person singular (third person object) present imperative intransitive and transitive. For example:
dĩo ${ }^{u}$ kill him!
odo' hunt for him!
na' say! do!
and as the first element of the periphrastic future, that will later receive treatment.

In striking contrast to the extensive use in Athapascan of distinct and unrelated stems for the singular and plural, only a rery few such cases have been discorered in Takelma; and eren in these the singular stem may, it seems, also be used in the plural.

| Sing. verb-stem | Pl. verb-stem | Sing. form | Pl. form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s \cdot a s *-s t a n d$ | sal-xoge- | $s^{*} a s^{*} i n i$ he stands | sal-rogwi they stand |
|  |  | bäa-sāasa'sdce (=sāas-sas-) I come to a stand | bāasal-xo'xiginak' (= rog-rag-) we come to a stand |
| $s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} a l-\mathrm{sit}$ | al-xalii | $\begin{aligned} & s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} u i l i t e^{\varepsilon}\left(=s^{*} u^{\varepsilon} a l i-\right) \\ & \text { I am seated } \end{aligned}$ | al-xatīyana ${ }^{*}$ * we are seated |

It is interesting to observe that, while stand and sit are intransitive in the singular, the plural stems sal-xog ${ }^{w}$ - and al-xali${ }^{i}-$ make transitive forms with a third personal object (-ana $\%_{i}^{\circ}$ first person plural aorist transitive, -i\% intransitive; cf. t!omomana $\%^{\circ}$ we kill
 stay).

The great majority of verb-stems are either necessarily transitive or intransitive, or are made such by appropriate suffixes. Only a few cases occur of verbs that are both transitive and intransitive, the respective forms being kept distinct only by the rarying pronominal suffixes. Such are:
moyūgw- $a^{\prime} n-t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am spoiled, and moyu$g w-a n-a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ spoil him
ligi-n- $t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ rest, and $\operatorname{lig} \bar{i}^{i}-n-a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I rest him
$k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime s}$ they ran away in flight, and $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}$ he sowed, threw them about

Certain forms are alike for both transitive and intransitive; e. g., second person plural subject: $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u} w a^{\prime} \not t^{\prime} p{ }^{\prime}$.

## § 40. TYPES OF STEM-FORMATION

In looking over the many examples of verb and corresponding aorist stems obtained, it was found possible to make out sixteen types of stem-relations. Of this large number of types about half are of frequent occurrence, while of each of the rest but few examples have been found. It is not claimed for a moment that all of these types should be regarded as being exactly on a par, but merely that they have the value of forming a convenient systematization of the somewhat bewildering mass of methods of radical or base changes encountered. It is very probable that some of these are ramifications of others, while some types show more or less petrified suflixes that for some reason or other became specialized in certain tenses. As comparative linguistic material is entirely lacking, however, we can not make a genetic classification of types; a purely descriptive classification must suffice.

In the following table of types of stem-formation, $c$ means consonant ; $v$, vowel ; $c!$, the fortis correspondent of $c ; c_{1}, c_{2}$, and so on, other consonants; $v^{v}$ denotes pseudo-diphthong; other letters are to be literally interpreted.

Table of Types of Stem-Formation

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trpe } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Formula verb-stem | Formula aorist stem | Example verb-stem | Example aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $t+c$ | $v v^{+c}$ | ob-dig up | $\overline{\text { oub }}$ |
| 2 | $v+(c)$ | $v+c+v$ | $y o$ - be | yowo- |
| 3 | $\underline{i}+\mathbf{c}+c_{1}$ | $v+c!+v+c_{1}$ | \{üts!- laugh | üyüts!- |
| 3 | $c+c+c_{1}$ | $v+c \cdot+v+c_{1}$ | (masg-put | mats!ag- |
| $4 a$ | $v v+c$ | $v+c+v+i$ | $t^{\prime} \bar{a} a g-\mathrm{cry}$ | t'agai- |
| $4 b$ | $v+c+v$ | $v+c+v+i$ | loho-die | iohoi- |
| 5 | $v+c+v$ | $v v^{0}+$ | yana-go | $y \bar{a} a n-$ |
| 6 | $v \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{c}$ ! | $v v+c$ | $p^{\prime}$ ott'- mix | $p^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u}$ d- |
| \%a | $c+v v+c_{1}$ | $c!+v+c_{1}+v$ | deeb-arise | t!ebe- |
| 76 | $c+v v+c_{1}$ | $c!+v+c_{1}+\bar{v}+i$ | dūugu- wear | t!ügūi- |
| 8 | $c+v v+c_{1}$ | $c!+v+c_{1}+v+c_{1}$ | gōul-dig | k!olol- |
| 9 | $c+v o+c_{1}$ | $c!+v+y+v+c_{1}$ | $d \bar{a} a g$ - find | t'aygo- |
| $10 a$ | $c+v\left(+c_{1}\right)$ | $c+v+c\left(+c_{1}\right)$ | lōu-play | löul- |
| 10 b | $c+v+c_{1}$ | $c+v+c_{1}+c(+v)$ | sana-fight | saans- |
| 11 | $c+v+c_{1}+c$ | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c$ | yawy-talk | yawai- |
| 12 | $c+v{ }^{2}+c_{1}$ | $c+v^{v}+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$ | $t!e ̀ u$ - play shinny | t!èut'au- |
| $13 a$ | $c+v+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$ | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c+a+c_{1}$ | sensan-whoop | senesan- |
| $13 b$ | $c+v+c_{1}+c!+a+c_{1}$ | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c!+a+c_{1}$ | duilt!al-stuff with | dülüt!al- |
| $13 c$ |  | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c+c_{1}$ |  | lobolb- be accustomed to pound (also lobolab-) |
| 14 | $v+c$ | $v+c+v+n$ | xecb-do | reben- |
| (15a | - | - $\bar{i} i$ | s.as'an-stand | s.as'inīi-) |
| (15b | -as | -īi | dink!as- lie spread out | dinkrêi-) |
| (16 | $v+c+c_{1}+i$ | $v+c+v+c_{1}$ | k!alsi - be lean | k!alas-) |

Not all forms find an exact parallel in one of the sixteen types here listed. There is a considerable number of more or less isolated cases left, particularly of frequentative or usitative forms, that it is difficult to classify ; but on closer examination some at least of these are seen to be secondary developments. Verb-stem al-sgalwal(w)heep looking by turning head slightly to side, as compared to aorist stem al-sgalāa${ }^{a} l(a w)$-, looks anomalous because of its apparently inserted first -w-; but these two forms become explicable as frequentative developments, according to Type 8, of their corresponding simplexes, verb-stem al-sgalw- look by turning head to side and aorist stem al-sgalaw-. It will be convenient to dispose of such anomalous and difficult cases under such headings as allow them to appear as at least comparatively regular formations. It should not be supposed that a particular verb-stem always and necessarily involves a fixed aorist stem in all possible derivations of the verb, though in probably the larger number of cases such a fixed parallelism may be traced. As examples of the occurrence of more than one aorist stem to match a verb-stem may be mentioned:
verb-stem $-x \bar{i} k!-$ see; aorist Type $6-x \bar{i}^{i} g$ - and Type $2-x i k!i-x a-$ see (without object)
verb-stem yèu-return; aorist intransitive Type 4 yewei-, causative Type 2 yewe ${ }^{e}-n$-, and, according to Type $\delta$, yewew-aldgo back for some one
There are few if any verbs whose verb and aorist stems absolutely coincide. If in nothing else the two differ at least in the quantity of the stem vowel, the aorist stem always tending to show a long vowel. In some cases the two (dissyllabic) stems seem identical in phonetic form because of the persistence of an inorganic $a$ in the second syllable of the verb-stem and the presence of a repeated radical $a$ in the second syllable of the aorist stem. Sometimes only certain of the forms built on the rerb-stem exhibit the inorganic $a$; in such cases the secondary character of the $a$ is directly proven by the forms that lack it. A case in point is:
aorist stem $t s!a y a m-$ hide; verb-stem $t s!a y[a]^{1} m$ - and $t s!a-i m-$
Other verbs, however, are phonetically so constituted as to require the presence of the inorganic $a$ in all forms derived from the verbstem. Such are:
aorist stem agan- feel, hear; verb-stem ag[a]n-
aorist stem p!ahan- be ripe, done; verb stem p!ah[a]n-
Under such circumstances ambiguous forms may result; e. g., wasagani't' may be construed either as an aorist (YOU FELT IT) or as a potential (you would feel it) derived from the stem ag[a]n-. But evidence is not lacking even in these cases to prove the inorganic character of the second $a$ in the non-aorist forms. Onc test has been already referred to in another connection-the incapability of a secondary diphthong (a diphthong involving an inorganic a) to have a rising accent. Thus:
aorist $d \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ agañ (-aga'n) he heard it ; but imperative $d \bar{a}^{a s}{ }^{a g}\left[a^{\prime}\right] \mathrm{n}$ hear it!
A second test is the failure of inorganic $a$ to become ablauted to $e$. Thus:
aorist p !ehen- $a^{\prime} n x i$ he causes me to be done; but future p !eh[a]n$a^{\prime} n x i n k{ }^{\prime}$ he will cause me to be done
The various types of stem-formation will now be taken up in the order of their occurrence in the table.

Type 1. Verb-stem $v+c$; aorist $v^{v}+c$. In this type are embraced partly monosyllabic and partly dissyllabic verb-stems that either seem to undergo no change at all in the aorist or merely lengthen the stem-vowel. The number of verbs that follow the type does not seem to be very great. Examples:
woga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ he will arrive (196.20)
oba' $n$ I shall dig it up
yi'lt copulating 86.5
$\overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{w} a^{\prime} n$ I shall drink it (162.17)
hogwana'n I shall make him run (138.2)
hin ${ }^{\varepsilon} x$-nīw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ coward 76.5; (160.19)
witt $e^{e}$ I shall travel (17S.11)
t !ila'mxade I shall go fishing
yimi'hin I shall lend it to him (98.14)
hūli'nt $e^{e}$ I shall be tired out
hagait' $e^{e}$ I shall have a cold thrill lohona'n I shall cause him to die

## Aorist stem

wõk' he arrived 47.15
$\bar{o}^{u} \mathrm{~b} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I dug it up (48.7)
yī ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I copulated with her 26.3 $\overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{gw} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I drank it 156.3
$h \bar{o}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{gwana}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I made him run (79.2)
$\operatorname{hin}^{\varepsilon} x-n i^{i} w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ was afraid (17.7)
wite $e^{\varepsilon}$ I traveled (90.1)
t !iila'mxade I went fishing
yi'miya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I lend it to him (98.15)
hūulin $n t^{\circ} e^{\varepsilon}$ I was tired out (102.1)
hagailt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I had a cold thrill 166.1
lohō ${ }^{\mathrm{u}} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I caused him to die (100.S)
$a l-g e^{\prime} y$ ande $e^{e}$ I shall turn my face al-geyan $a^{\prime s} n$ I turned my face
As regards the accent of the stem syllable, the examples show that, whenever accented, it takes the rising pitch when long, the raised pitch when short (and final). Compare further:
$\tilde{o}^{u} p^{*}$ he dug it up 124.5, 12
$\tilde{u} k^{\circ}$ w he drank it 162.20
$\operatorname{hin}^{\varepsilon} x-n \bar{\imath} \tilde{u}$ he was afraid al-geya' $n$ he turned his face

Type 2. Verb-stem $v+c$; aorist $v+c+v$. If, as seems probable, the second consonant of verbal bases ending in two consonants is in many cases really a petrified suffix, a very large proportion of those verbs that might be listed under Type 3 really belong here, thus making Type 2 probably the most numerously represented of all types. In some forms it is possible to detect the derivative character of the second consonant by a comparison of etymologically related forms that lack it; e. g., in $t s^{\circ}!$ elm- Rattle (aorist ts! elem-), the $-m$ - is shown to be a suffix, though of no determinable signification, because of its absence in the corresponding frequentative ts.!elets!al-. A corroborative phonetic test lies in the treatment of the first consonant of the cluster, in so far as verbs following Type 3 show a fortis in the aorist as against a media or tenuis in the verb-stem, while those
of Type 2 suffer no change in this respect; e. g., verb-stem wismmove has aorist according to Type 3 , wits! im-, as contrasted with verb-stem t'gism- GET GREEN with aorist of Type $2 t^{\prime}$ gisim- ( $t^{\prime}$ gismshould therefore be analyzed as base tigis- + suffix $-m$-). This criterion enables us to pick out an otherwise unsuspected suffix in verbs like t!ap'g- finish, aorist t!abag- (nut Type 3, *t!ap!og-), but can be applied only where the first consonant of the rerl)-stem is $s$, $b, d$, or $g$. A more general phonetic test would seem to be the position occupied by the inorganic vowel -a-. In those eases in which we have most reason to consider the second consonant as part of the base, this -a-follows the cluster as "constant" $a$; while otherwise, and indeed in the majority of cases, it is inserted between the two consonants: wisma't $e^{e}$ I shall move (base wism-), but $t^{\prime} g i s a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I (as plant) shall get green. An application of these various criteria, were sufficient material at hand, would probally show that but a comparatively small number of verbs follow Type 3 . Examples of verbs of Type 2 are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| ni'n I shall hold him (28.11) | $\overline{\text { i }}$ t !ana'his $n$ I |
| $w a-\mathrm{k}!0^{4} \mathrm{y}^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall go with him | wa-k!oyõn I went with him (33.15) |
| $\mathrm{o}^{\prime} \operatorname{sbin}(=$ ? ok-s-) I shall give it to you (178.15) | Ogu'sbien I gave it to you 23.3 |
| oina' $n$ I shall give it | oyona ${ }^{\prime} n$ I gave it (180.20) |
| yãlxaldan I shall lose it (188.18) |  |
| yo'tte $e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be (33.10) | yowõt $\epsilon^{8} \mathrm{I}$ was (42.1) |
| nâk'ink' he will say to him (94.16) | naga' he said to him 180.7 |
| $d a$-sgãipxde I shall lie down | da-sgaya'pxdes Tamlying down |
| $t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{4} g a^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime}$ it will get hot | "umisk' it got hot 94.15 |
| s.omda'n I shall cook it | s.omoda' $n$ I cooked it (ss.10) |
| Examples illustrating the intrusive $-\alpha-$ are : |  |
| Verb-ste | 1orist |
| bila'ut'e ${ }^{e}$ I shall jump (160.17) | biliutt $c^{\text {a }}$ I jumped ${ }^{1}$ ( 45.14 ) |
| milada'n I shall love her | mililid $e^{\prime s} n$ I love her |
| k liy $a^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{de} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall come 196.1 | -k!ivik'de ${ }^{\text {I }}$ came (156.24) |
| $\operatorname{gin} a^{\prime} k^{\circ} d e^{e}$ I shall go somewhere | gini'k'des 21.10 I went somewhere |
| dūw ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ de ${ }^{e}$ I shall be good | dūwūk'de I was croot (1-46.7) |


xumü' $k \cdot d e^{\varepsilon} \quad I$ was satiated (130.18)
wiyi'lide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I groaned (192.11)
xudumit $c^{\varepsilon}$ I whistled (33.16)
ts ${ }^{\text {! }}$ ele $\tilde{m} t^{\prime} e^{z}$ I rattled (102.13)
ts'lus'unt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I made whistling noise ( $75.9,10,12$ )
ligint ${ }^{\circ} e^{s}$ I rested (79.2,4)
yalañt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am lost (note difference in accent between aorist and future)
It is to be understood, of course, that this $-\alpha$ - is in no sense a characterizing future or non-aorist element, as, when the phonetic conditions allow, it drops out altogether. This takes place when the consonant following the intrusive -a-is itself followed by a vowel. Thus the second person singular future ( $-a d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ) of some of the verbs listed has no -a-: bilwada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$, gingad $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, $d \bar{u}^{u} g a d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, wī ${ }^{i}$ gada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, yalnada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$. Similarly the simple stem $x u d$-whistle appears in $x u t^{\prime} m a^{\prime \varepsilon_{s}}$ whistler.

In regard to vocalic quantity it will be observed that the verbs of this type divide themselves into two classes--those with short verbstem rowel (such as $t!a n-$, og-, s.om- $d-$, gin-g-, yal-n-) and those with long verb-stem vowel ( $k!\bar{o}^{u} y-, y \tilde{a} l-x-a l d-, \bar{l}^{i} g-[a] n-, t^{t} \bar{u}^{u}-g$-, $\left.m \bar{u} l-[a] d-\right)$. The first and second stem vowels of the aorist of verbs of the first class are regularly both short (tlana-, ogo-, s'omo-d-, gini-y-, yala-n-); the aorists of the second class seem generally to have a short first but long second vowel ( $k!0 y \bar{\sigma}^{u}-$, yala $\left.\bar{a}^{a}-x-a l d, l i g \bar{u}^{i}-n-, t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{u}-g-, m \bar{u} \overline{u^{i}}-d-\right)$. The verb $n \bar{a}^{a} g$ - (aorist naga-) say to and perhaps a few others (sgāi-$p$-x-, aorist sgaya-p-x-; al-ts!āi-g- Wası aorist al-ts!aya-g-; but al-ts! $\bar{a} i-p^{\prime}$ - WASH oneself, aorist al-ts! $\left.a y \bar{a}^{a}-p^{-}-\right)$do not follow this rule. Of the rerb yo- (aorist yowo-) forms of both accent classes are found (yõot $e^{e}$ as well as $y o^{\prime} t^{t} e^{e}$, yowo't $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ as well as yowõt $e^{\varepsilon}$ ), and indeed a lengthening of the second vowel of aorists of the first class seems to occur with considerable frequency. The rising for long and the raised for final short stem vowels seem to be the normal accents for verbs of Type 2, whether the stress falls on the first or second (in aorists) vowel. If, however, the accented vowel is followed by a
glottal catch or fortis consonant the accent, as generally in such a case, is a falling one. Thus:

Such forms as wa-k!oyóos are only apparently opposed to the rule (see § 65).

Type 3. Verb-stem $v+c+c_{1}$; aorist $v+c!+v+c_{1}$. The most satisfactory test of a verb of this type is the intervocalic fortis consonant of the aorist stem as contrasted with the corresponding non-fortis consonant of the verb-stem. As only the minority of base-final consonant-clusters begin with a consonant that is capable of being changed to a fortis, there are in the material available only a few verbs to which the test can be applied. Those showing an intervocalic fortis (changed from non-fortis) in the aorist stem are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{\tau}$-lasgi'n I shall touch it | $\bar{\imath}$-lats $\operatorname{aggig}^{\prime \prime} n$ I touched it |
| masga' $n$ I shall put it (102.15) | mats $\operatorname{lag} \alpha^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ put it 74.13 |
| wismada's you will move | wits'!ima't' you moved 148.16 |
| yo'k'yan I shall know it (162.6) | yok!oy $a^{\prime \prime} h$ I knew it 50.5 |
| lop 'dia'ust' it will rain | lop!odia ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ it rained 152.11 |

In other verbs of this type the only characteristic of the aorist stem is the repetition between the consonants of the cluster of the stem-vowel. The following verb-forms exemplify this group, with the reservation that if in any case the second consonant of the cluster be really a suffix, the form should be assigned to Type 2.

## Verb-stem

t!amyana' $n$ I shall go to get her married (150.5,19)
ts!a-uy $a^{\prime \varepsilon_{s}}$ fast rumner 138.2
$d \tilde{t}^{\varepsilon}$-ū̀its $\cdot a m t^{*}$ fool him!
baxma't ${ }^{e e^{1}}(=b a x m-)$ I shall come ga-iw $a^{\prime} n$ I shall eat it 128.18 moigwana' $n$ I shall spoil it
yo ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\text {es }}$ snan Ishall scare him (186.10)
malgini' $n$ I shall tell him
$b a-i$-xilgwi'n I shall snatch it out

Aorist stem
t!amayana's $n$ went to get her married (148.5)
ts!arait $e^{s}$ I ran fust
$d z^{s i}$ ÿyüts'lamdas $n$ I fooled him
baxañt' $e^{8}$ I came (114.16)
gayaw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I ate it 30.11
moyūgwana ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ I spoiled it (31.12)
yowossnasn I scared him (186.10)
malaginis $n$ I told him (30.15)
$b a-i$-xiligw $i^{\prime} \varepsilon_{n}$ I snatched it out (33.4)

[^15]| gwel-leīsde ${ }^{e}$ I shall be lame $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { dawit } e^{e} e^{e} \text { I slall fly (166.18) } \\ \text { da-uy } a^{\prime} s_{s} \text { flyer }\end{array}\right.$ $b a-i$-hemg $a^{\prime} n$ I shall take (food) out (16.10) <br> han-gilba'n I shall put (beam) across <br> $b a-i-\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{si}^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall take it out |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

p'elga'n I shall go to war against them (124.19)
yamda' $n$ I shall ask him (70.6)
yi'ms:aldan I shall dream about him
Tha-u-ha'ns $s d \bar{a}^{a}$ it will stop (rain- ha-u-hana'ss it stopped (raining) (198.9)
youga'n I shall marry her (192.16) yowoga ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I married her (43.3)
As long as the first consonant of the cluster is a semivowel $(w, y)$ or a liquid or nasal $(l, m, n)$, the question as to whether the verb belongs to Type 2 or Type 3 is a purely etymological or historical one. Descriptively it makes no difference whether a form like $p^{\prime}$ elega's $n$ I Went to war against them is derived from pateg- by the insertion of the stem-rowel $-\epsilon-$ between $l$ and $g$ (Type 3 ), or from $p^{\prime} \varepsilon l-g-$ by the addition of the $-\ell$ - to a base $p^{\circ} \epsilon l-($ Type 2). From a purely descriptive point of view, then, the most typical aorist formation in Takelma may be said to be characterized by the repetition of the stemrowel immediately after the first consonant following the stem-rowel.

From the point of view of vocalic quantity the verbs of Type 3 fall into the same two classes as those of Type 2-such as hare a short vowel in the stem (t!amy-, ts!awy-, malg-, pelg-, hants!-) and such as have a long vowel ( $\bar{u} i t s!-, g_{i}^{-i} l b-, k!\bar{a}^{a} 7 s$ ), these latter being apparently much less numerous than in Type 2. The quantity of both the stem vowels of the aorist is regularly short, even when the verb-stem rowel is long (gitil-, k!alas-); only rarely is the second vowel of the aorist stem long (leyees-, üyüuts!!-). The accent of stressed stem vowels follows the same rules as in the case of verbs of Type 3 (dowaĩt' $e^{s}$, han-gili'p' with rising or raised pitch; but hana's $s$, $h e^{f i z} x-d \bar{u}^{a}$ he will be left over, $\ddot{u} y \ddot{u}^{\prime \mu z} s \cdot d e^{\varepsilon}$ I lavgh, with falling accent because of the glottal catch).

Type 4. Verb-stem $v^{v}+c(+v)$; aorist $v+c+v+i$. Verbs of this type are intransitive, the $-i-$, though confined to the aorist, being evidently in some way connected with the intransitive character. That it is really a derivative element characteristic of the aorist is shown by its conduct in transitive forms derived from the intransitive. In the causative in $-n$ - it drops out:
$t^{\prime} a \operatorname{ga}^{-a} n a^{\prime s} n$ I make him cry
while in certain other transitive derivatives it is preserved:
t'agayagwa's $n$ I cry haring it
The contradiction in treatment is here only apparent, as the absence or presence of the $-i$ - would seem to depend not so much on the transitive or intransitive form of the verb as on whether the action expressed by the verb is logically transitive or not (in a causative the action is necessarily directed toward an object, in a comitative the formal object is not concerned in the action of the verb at all). Types $4 a$ and $4 b$ may properly be considered subclasses of Types 2 and 1 respectively, though it should be noted that the -i- occurs nowhere except in one special tense-the aorist. Examples of Type $4 a$ are:

## Verb-stem

yèntut $e^{e} I$ shall return (92.24)
p!ak'de I shall bathe (58.5; 118.7)
t'ãk'de $e^{e}$ shall cry (29.11)
na $^{\prime} t^{t} e^{e}$ (irregular) Ishall say, do 196.5

Aorist stem
yeweit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I returned (58.9.13)
p!agait $e^{\varepsilon}$ I bathed 58.2
t'agaitt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I cried (29.13; 62.2)
nagaite $e^{s}$ Isaid, did 126.3;180.1 Even less numerous are the examples of $4 b$ that have been found:

Verb-stem
loho't' dead (98.10; 170.1; 186.21) lohoit'e $e^{\varepsilon}$ I died 184.1S
lehe ' $t$ ' drifting dead to land

## Aorist stem

lehe'is he drifted dead to land 75.5

The aorist of verbs of Type 4 regularty have the rising accent on the $i$ - dipthong formed by the repeated stem vowel and the $i$ - suffix. The stressed stem-vowel of forms built on the verb-stem regularly has the rising (4a) or raised accent (second vowel of 4b). na-, which is irregular also in other respects, has a short vowel in the rerb-stem and takes the raised accent in non-aorist forms under appropriate conditions (na't' saying; na` say it!).

Trpe 5. Verb-stem $v+c+v$; aorist $v^{\prime \prime}+c$. This type of verb is morphologically very difficult to understand, as it is in effect the very opposite of Type 2. Morphologically yana- Go : t!an- hold $=y / \bar{a}^{a} n-$ :
t!ana-; but phonetically the proportion would gain in symmetry by reversing the positions of its first and third terms. Examples are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\int_{(45.6)}^{\text {wagawi'n I shall bring it to him }}$ | wa $\bar{a}^{\text {agiwi }}{ }^{\prime \prime} n$ I brought it to him (176.17) |
| wege' sink' he will bring it to me | weega'si he brought it to me (194.11) |
| yana't'e ${ }^{e}$ I shall go 14.3 | yant ${ }^{\text {e }} e^{\text {E }}$ I went 14.7 |
| haxa'tie ${ }^{e}$ I shall burn (92.29) | hãx $e^{\text {e }}$ I burnt ( $\left.98.1,4\right)$ |
| dak'-da-hala'hin I shall answer him | dak'-da-hāalis $n$ I answered him <br> (122.4; 146.14; 180.18) |
| laba' | lap ${ }^{\text {c }}$ he carried it 160.9 |
| sagwa' paddle it! 112.3,9 | $s \bar{a}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{gw} a^{\prime s} n \mathrm{I}$ paddled |
| wede'k'ink' he will take it from him ( $16.10,11 ; 17.10,11$ ) | wetty he took it from him 16.13; (76.1) |
| lebe' $n$ I shall pick it up and eat it sebe' $n$ I shall roast it (44.6) | le $\mathrm{eb} a^{\prime \varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{I}$ picked and ate it $94.5,12$ <br> se ${ }^{e} b a^{\prime \prime} n$ I roasted it (118.10) |
| he ${ }^{e s}-1$ iwi'xink' he will go away from me | $h e^{e \varepsilon}$-iũs $i$ he went away from me (184.14,15) |
| hawax-xiwit' ${ }^{e}$ e shall $\operatorname{rot}$ (194.8) | hawax-xiunt $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I am rotting(100.1) |
| odo' $n$ I shall hunt for it ( $116.7,11$ ) | $\bar{o}^{11} \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime s} n$ I hunted for it (13.9) |
| oo'nk' he will go to get it (162.8) | wo ${ }^{\prime \prime} 1 t^{\prime}$ he went to get it 160.4 |
| p'uyumda'n I shall smoke them out | p'oyramdan I smoked them out (76.11) |
| yomo'n I shall catch up with him <br> (46.7; 136.12.13) | robmiyas $n$ I caught up with him (final $-i^{i}$ - of aorist stem unexplained) (140.14) |

The two stem vowels of the verb-stem are always short in quantity, the second regularly having the raised accent (imperatives yana', lebe', odo', woo'). ${ }^{1} \quad$ The long stem vowel of the aorist, when stressed, takes the rising accent. To this latter rule there is one curious exception. The verb odo- huxt for always has the falling accent on the $\bar{o}^{u}$ of the aorist ( $\bar{o}^{\prime} u t^{*}$ IIE iUUNTED For it $13.9 ; 88.8$, never * $\tilde{\delta}^{u} t^{t}$ ), but the nonaorist forms follow in everything the analogy of other verbs of this type. This anomaly is quite unexplained. Can it be that a leveling out of two originally distinct paradigms has taken place ( ${ }^{*} \tilde{o}^{u} d-$, odo' of Type 5 and $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime}\left(d-\right.$, $* \bar{o}^{\prime \prime} u t!-$ of Type 6)?

Type 6. Verb-stem $v^{(v)}+c$ !; aorist $v^{v}+c$. Most of the verbs that follow this type have as second consonant in the aorist one capable of

[^16]becoming a fortis; such as do not, introduce a catch before the second consonant in non-aorist forms. There seem to be no primarily intransitive verbs of this type. Examples of the type are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\int_{\text {- }} \mathbf{k}{ }^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime a} \mathrm{k}$ !win I shall wake him up | $\bar{i}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{wa}^{\prime}{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{gwi}^{\varepsilon} n$ I woke him up 16.4; (75.6) |
| k $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime a \varepsilon} x d e^{e}$ I shall wake up (190.5) | $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{wa}^{\prime a} x d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ woke up $(16.3,5)$ |
| $x \bar{a}^{a}-\bar{a}^{\prime} t$ !an I shall put it about my waist | $x \bar{a}^{a}-l \bar{a}^{\prime a} \mathrm{~d} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put it about my waist |
|  (118.5) | $l\left(a-\varepsilon \bar{\tau}-\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} \bar{a}^{\prime 2} \mathrm{~g} i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}\right.$ burst it (24.17) |
| wa-sga'p p in I shall make it tight | wa-sga ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\text {b }} i^{s} n$ I made it tight ( |
|  | $a l-\mathrm{xi}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon} n$ I saw him 18S.9 |
| $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-w \bar{z}^{\prime} k!i n$ I shall spread it out $(120.1)$ | $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-\mathrm{wi}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}$ g $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I spread it out |
| $d a k^{\circ}-t^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}$ !in I shall give him to smoke (170.13) | $d a k^{2}-t^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{g} i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ gave |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}$-xō't!an I shall win over him (170.9) | $b \bar{a}^{a}-\operatorname{xo}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I won over him (168.5) |
| al-lō'k!wan I shall thrust it | $a l-10^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{gw} a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ thrust it (152.19) |
| dal-p'o't!in I shall mix it (178.5) | dal-p $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I mixed it |
|  | $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-n \bar{u}^{\prime u} d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I drowned him (118.9) |
| $d e-b \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} k$ ! in I shall fill it | $d e-\mathrm{bu} \ddot{\prime}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{g}} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I filled it (140.3) |
| $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}-\mathrm{g}^{-\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ na take it! (102.14) | $\overline{\text {-gis }}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{n}$ a he took it $15.1 ; 45.13$ |

Despite the change of the second consonant from fortis to nonfortis, it is not certain that it is always an integral part of the stem; in $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime} \ddot{g} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ the $g(k!)$ seems to be a verbifying suflix (cf. de-bii's full as adjective). The accent of the base of verbs of Type 6 differs materially from that of verbs of types heretofore discussed. The normal pitch-accent of most verb-bases is the rising tone for long, the raised for final short, vowels, unless a catch immediately follows. Thus in Type 5 dak゚-da-hãl he axswered mim; Type 2 naga' ne said to him; but with catch Type 4 naga ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he said. The verbs, however, of Type 6, as will have been noticed, all have the falling accent in both aorist and non-aorist forms. This variation from the accentual norm becomes intelligible if we remember that a fortis is the equivalent of a catch $+a$ media; e. g., alxith!in I shall see mim; alxit' $k^{\prime}$ ' see him! As the catch tends to bring about a falling accent before it, the falling accent peculiar to verbs of Type 6 may plausibly be ascribed to the fortis (i. e., glottal catch) quality of the final consonant of the stem. Compare also, in Type 3, he'ik!in
i silall leave it over. The retention of the falling accent in the aorist, although the presumable cause of it has been removed, is an example of form-parallelism, and argues, at least in verbs of this type, for the secondary origin of the aorist stem. The relation between $x \bar{o}^{\prime} t$ tan and $x \bar{o}^{\prime} u d a^{\varepsilon} n$ is, then, the same as that which obtains between yowo ${ }^{\prime s}$ ine was and yow $\bar{o}^{\prime} u$ das when he was 79.7 .

The organic character of the fortis consonant of verbs of this type is still further evidenced by many derivative forms (iteratives, continuatives, -xa-forms used to imply lack of object) which are regularly derived from the verb-stem, not the aorist stem, even in their norist forms. Thus from $s g \sigma_{0}^{\prime \prime} u t!-45.10$ (aorist $s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u d-72.10$ ) CUT are derived the derivative aorists sgot!o'sgade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I cut frequentatively (62.1), sgot!õl-has $n$ I KeEP CUTTING IT (108.8), sguitt!u'xade $e^{\varepsilon}$ I CUT (without object) (92.2). Parallel forms are derived from most other verbs
 verbs of Type 6, however, form the aorists of these derivatives from the aorist stems of the simple verbs. Such forms are the frequentatives t'baga't $t^{\prime} b a g-14.12$ (from $t^{\prime} b a^{\prime} u k!-136.20$ ) and sege'sag-172.10 (from se'ck!- NOD TO, OPEN DOOR 138.18).

Type 7. Verb-stem $c+v^{v}+c_{1}$; aorist $c!+v+c_{1}+v(+i)$. The second sub-group ( 76 ) of this sparsely represented type of verbs is apparently related to the first ( $\bar{r} a$ ) as are verbs of Type $4 a$ to those of Type 2. It is very improbable, however, that the characteristic -i- element of the aorist is morphologically the same in both Type 4 and Type 76 , as verbs of the latter type are clearly transitive, while in Type 4 the $-i$ - was found to be a clearly intransitivizing element. A further difference between the two types lies in the marked length of the repeated vowel in verbs of Type $i b$. This vocalic length is perhaps responsible for the loss of the $-i$ - in certain forms; e. g., d $\bar{i}-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u} \bar{\imath}$ he wore it, but $d \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} g \tilde{u}^{\Sigma} n$ I wore it. (See § 65.)

Of Type $\bar{i} a$ only the following examples have been found:
$b \bar{a}^{\alpha}$-dēp ${ }^{\prime} d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall arise 196.3 wa-dilnhin I shall distribute them
dwe ${ }^{e}{ }^{\prime}$ dwa' $p x d \bar{a}^{a}$ they will fly without lighting

Aorist stem
$b \bar{a}^{a}$-t ${ }^{\text {tebe }}{ }^{\prime} t^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ arose 186.14
wa-t tilik' $n i^{\varepsilon} n$ I have distributed them (130.4)
t!wep!e' t!wapx they flew with out lighting

The last example follows also Types 6 and $13 a$.
§ 40

To Type $7 b$ belong:

Verb-stem
da-dãk` build a fire!

t $\mathrm{gwi}^{2} \mathrm{x} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ gwide I shall tattoo myself
$\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{a}^{2} d a^{\prime} n k^{\prime}$ he will piek them
(116.17)

## Aorist stem

$d a$-t !agāi he built a fire 96.17
$d \bar{i}$-t!ügūī she wore it 00.16
t‘gwaxāilk wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I tattooed myself
k!adain he picked them swadaĩ he beat him in gambling

The last three verbs happen to have stems beginning with a consonant or consonant-combination that does not allow of development into a fortis, so that there is no initial modifieation in the aorist. $\Lambda$ few other transitive verbs have aorist stems like those of type 7b, hut form their non-aorist forms according to other models, as the aorists $k!e m e ̀ i-$ make (only with third personal object; otherwise k!eme ${ }^{(e)}-n-$, corresponding verb-stem k!em-n- of Type 2) and yehèi- hear singing far away (verb-stem yehi ${ }^{i}$-). In both norist and non-aorist forms the stem vowel or long $i$-diphthong, when stressed, bears the rising or raised accent ( $k$ ! $\tilde{a} t^{*}$ pick tilen! bāa-t! ebe't he arose).

Type 8. Verb-stem $c+v^{v}+c_{1}$; aorist $c!+v+c_{1}+v+c_{1}$. The aorist stem of this type is characterized by reduplication of Type 1 (see § 30) combined, wherever possible, with change to fortis of the in:tial consonant. Examples are:

Verb-stem
gāit $e^{e}$ I shall grow (77.9)
gō" ${ }^{\text {T }}{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} n$ I shall bury him (118.3)
gō ${ }^{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall dig it
$\mathrm{g} \bar{u}^{u}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w} a^{\prime} n$ I shall plant it (94.10)
dō ${ }^{\mathbf{u}} \mathrm{m}^{\prime} a^{\prime} n$ I shall kill him (178.14) $w a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}$-dõxin I shall gather them
$b a-i$-dixin I shall pull (guts) out
dāả $a^{\prime} n$ I shall crack it
de ${ }^{\text {g }}$ waldan I shall wateh for
him (116.20; 126.20)
$w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}$-de ${ }^{e} \mathrm{mi}^{\prime} n$ I shall gather wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-$ teme'm he gathered them (for war)
bāaba'n I shall chop it (90.16)
$d \bar{\imath}-\mathrm{büu} g \mathrm{gw} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall start (war, basket) (110.21; 170.10)
$s^{\cdot} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{d} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall mash it

Aorist stem
k!ayait' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I grew (77.9)
$k!o d o d a^{\prime s} n$ I buried him (96.16)
k !olola $a^{\prime s} n \mathrm{I}$ dug it 73.10,14
k !ūwūw $a^{\prime s}$ n I planted it (132.10)
t!omom $a^{\prime 8} n$ I killed him 71.7
wa $a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{\text { - }}$-toxo' $x^{\varepsilon} n$ I gathered them (112.6,11; 192.4)
ba-i-t tixi'xís $n$ I pulled (guts) out (92.17)
t talala $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ cracked it
t!egwegwa'lda $n$ I watched for him (118.2; 158.12)
them (for war) 110.3
p!ababa $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I chopped it (90.11)
dī-p!ügügwa's $n 1$ started it
ts : !adad $t^{\prime s} n$ I mashed it (1;30.23)

| Terb-stein <br> $\mathrm{s} \cdot \tilde{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{m} t{ }^{\prime} a n$ I shall boil it (170.16) |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |
| ye ${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{w} a^{\prime} n$ I shall bite him ( 88.2 ) |
| lō"ba'n. I shall pound the (16.6) |
| $a^{\prime s} t^{*}$ tree |
| I shall sing (100 |

Aoriststem
ts ! ümî̃mt $a^{n} n$ I boiled it
$(170.17)$
de $e^{\varepsilon}-\overline{\text {-ts }}$ ! libibiber $n$ I closed door (30.5)
yegwegwa's $n$ I bit him (88.3)
lobobas ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I pounded them (16.9)
limi'sm tree fell (108.11)
helelit $t^{\star} e^{\Sigma}$ I sang (104.2, 5, 6)

In the transitive verbs of this type the repeated consonant of the aorist is found only when the object is of the third person; otherwise it is dropped. with lengthening of the preceding vowel. Thus:
t!omom he killed him 16.15: butt'omüxbīn he killed you(cf.178.12) Before certain intransitivizing derivative suffixes, particularly $-x$ (see §56) and -xa- (see §53), the same loss of the repeated consonant of the aorist stem is to be noted. Thus:
$p^{\prime} \cdot a b a^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ he chopped it 90.11: but $p^{\prime} e b e^{\prime} x a^{s}$ he chopped 55.6
wasi-i-t!emem he gathered them together; but daki-t!emex they are gathered together 43.9:136.11
With -x-the preceding rowel is lengthened, with -xa- it remains short. The second consonant of the stems of rerbs of Type \& never involves a radical glottal catch, hence the falling accent is never found on either the first or second stem rowel.

Type 9. Verb-stem $c+v^{r}+c_{1}$; aorist $c!+v+y+v+c_{1}$. This type is not at all a common one. It differs from Type $\bar{i} a$ in that the added rowel (in every case $a$, as far as the material goes) is put before the last consonant of the base, the $y$ serring perhaps merely to connect the stem $-a-$ and added $-a$ -

Of Tspe 9, examples are:

Verb-stem
$\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{g} a^{\prime} n$ I shall find it (110.15)
$\operatorname{sia}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{g} u^{\prime} n$ I shall shoot him da-dīit $e^{e}\left(-d \bar{a}^{a} y^{-}\right)$I shall go to get something to eat (33.9)
$d a-\mathrm{d} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} l d \dot{t}^{\prime} n(=$ dāild - , see §11) I shall go to get it to eat (33.9)

## Aorist stem

t!ayaga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I found it (27.12) ts! ajaga $a^{\prime} n$ I shot him (45.13) da-t!ayait $e^{\varepsilon}$ I went to get something to eat ${ }^{1}$ (75.9) da-t!ayaldi's $n$ (=t!ayaild-, see § 11) I went to get it to eat (76.9)

[^17]Type 10. Verb-stem $c+v(+c)\left(+c_{1}\right)$; aorist $c+v+\left\{\begin{array}{l}c\left(+c_{1}\right) \\ c_{1}+c\end{array}\right\}(+v)$. This type embraces the few verbs that form their aorist stem by merely repeating the initial consonant of the verb-stem. Of $10 a$, that is, those that introduce the initial consonant immediately after the stem-vowel, there have been found:

| Verb-ste | Aorist s |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10^{u} \times$ to play 31.7; $(31.6,8,9)$ | lõult $e^{\text {e }}$ I played |
| lãp ${ }^{\text {d }} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall become (25.2) | lãa $\mathfrak{\imath} t t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I became (also of Type 15a) 186.19 |
| lãa ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\prime} n$ I shall twine basket |  |
| $h e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-\mathrm{le}^{\prime}(\mathrm{l}) k!i n$ I shall let him go <br> (182.20) | $h e^{\varepsilon-i-1}$-le ${ }^{\prime} l e k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I let lim go (50.4) |

The last verb differs from the others in that it repeats in the aorist both the consonant and the vowel of the verb-stem; it is the only verb known which shows perfect duplication of the verb-stem (assuming the suffixed character of the -k!-). ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps -lek!- is misheard for -lelk!-.

The only certain example of $10 b$ is:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Verb-stem } \\
\text { sana spear it! }(33.9) & \text { Aorist stem } \\
\text { sans he speared it }(110.20)
\end{array}
$$

The verb-stem here is of Type 5. The simple base (san-) is best seen in the fully reduplicated $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n$-sinia $a^{u s}$ they are fighting each other 23.14. An aorist of Type $10 b$ is probably also:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ha-u-gwen-yut!i'hi ( }=\text { *yut! } y-[h] i) \\
& \text { he gobbled it down (cf. fre- } \\
& \text { quentative yut! uyad-) }
\end{aligned}
$$

See also aorist $y \bar{o}^{u} m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ - under Type 5. Stems of this type are more frequent among nouns than verbs, e. g., belp swan (see § 86, 5).

Type 11. Verb-stem $c+v+c_{1}+c$; aorist $c+v+c_{1}+v+c$. Verbs belonging to this type differ in the aorist from those of the preceding type in that they introduce before the repeated initial consonant also the vowel of the stem, thus approaching in form the more fully reduplicating Type 13. Only a few examples of the type occur:

| Verb-stem | A orist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| loma'lt ${ }^{\prime} e^{e}$ ( $a$ is inorganic) I | lomõlt' $e^{s}$ I choked |
| shall choke |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { xalx } a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} e^{e} \text { I shall urinate (cf. } \\ & x \bar{a}^{a} l-a m \text { - urine) } \end{aligned}$ | xala'xamt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ E urinated ${ }^{3}$ |

[^18]| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { yawi't } e^{e} \text { I shall talk (cf. base } \\ & \text { yiw- talk) }(126.2) \end{aligned}$ | Yawait $e^{\varepsilon}$ I talked (30.4; 126.2) |
|  | da-bo'k!op'nas $n$ I made bubbles (base bobk!-) 102.22 |
| $b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$-al-mo' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ man I shall turn things orer (base mols-) | $b a^{\varepsilon}-a 7-\mathrm{mo}^{\prime} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{o}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I turned things over |
| $d \bar{a}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{ye}^{\prime} \mathrm{h}^{-\mathrm{i}} n \mathrm{I}$ shall go to where singing is heard | $d \bar{a}^{a}$-yehèi he went where there <br> was singing (see Type 7b) 106.10 |
|  | legwel $a^{\prime} m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I suck it out of it (186.18) |
|  | lãamal ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I quarrel with him $(27.2)$ |

It is quite possible that many rerbs whose rerb-stem ends in a consonant identical with their initial consonant (and that one would be inclined to list under Type 2) really belong to Type 11. In such cases as:

```
ging- go somewhere (aorist ginig-)
k:iy[a]g- go, come (aorist k!iyig-)
\(g e l-g u l[a] g\) - desire (aorist- gulug-)
```

it is not easy to decide whether the final $-g$ - is a suffixed element, as in many verbs of Type 2 , or a repetition of the initial consonant of the base. As to the genesis of the form in terbs of Type 11, it seems clear that it is only a secondary development of the far more richly represented Type 13. This is indicated by the existence of second forms of Type 13 alongside those of Type 11:
> $d a$-bok!oba'knnan I make bubbles yiwiya'ut $\epsilon^{s}$ I talk (148.9) mo'lomala $n$ I turn things over (170.16)

A form like motomat you turned things oter may go back to a *mo'tosmlat' (Type 13b), itself a reduced form of the fully reduplicating molo ${ }^{\text {s malat' }}$; but see $\$ 65$.

Type 12. Verb-stem $c+v^{r}+c_{1}$; a.orist $c+v^{\prime \prime}+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$. Verbs of this type form their aorist by reduplicating the rerb-stem according to Type 2 (see §30); the $a$ of the second syllable of the aorist stem is regularly umlauted to $i$ by an $i$ of the following syllable (see § S, 3a). Morphologically such aorist stems are practically identical with the rerb-stems of Type 13a, though no further deductions can be drawn from this fact. Contrary to what one might expect, most rerbs of the type show no marked iterative or frequentative signifi-
cation. Examples of this rather frequently recurring type are:

> sana' $n^{1}$ I shall fight him (28.15)
> $h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$-sal-t'gūuni'n I shall kick it off
> t !èūt $e^{e}$ I shall play shinny
> $\bar{\imath}-\mathrm{t}!\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ wi'n I shall catch him (33.8)
> $b \bar{a}^{a}-\mathrm{d} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall make it stand up
> $h e^{e \varepsilon_{-S} \cdot \mathrm{wil}} x k^{\prime}$ it is torn
> ts $!\bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} t^{\circ}$ he will step
> $d \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-\mathrm{b} \bar{o}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{d} \bar{i}^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall pull out his hair
> $b \bar{a}-\bar{\imath}$-sgă $\bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} n$ I shall pick it up
> lāawi'n I shall call him by name
> Aorist stem
> sāansa'nt $e^{\mathbf{s}}$ I was fighting 184.13 here-sal-t'günt'ginis $n$ I kicked it off (24.17)
> t!èut!a'ut' $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I played shinny (47.7)
$b \bar{a}^{a}$-dik' ${ }^{\text {dag }} a^{\varepsilon^{n}} n$ I made it stand
up (59.10)
$\bar{\imath}-\mathrm{s}$ wils ${ }^{\text {wilit } n}$ I tore it (73.3)
ts! $a^{2} k$ ts $!a^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\circ}$ he stepped 32.9
$d \bar{a}^{s}$ - $\bar{i}$-bõt bid $\imath^{s} n$ I pulled out his
hair (194.i)
$b \bar{a}-\bar{i}$-sgik'sgiginn I picked him
up (32.12)
lāaliwisn I called him by name
(for $l \bar{a}^{a}-=l \bar{a} u-$ see $\& 7$ ) (116.3)

There is a tendency to prevent a long $u$-diphthong of the first syllable of the aorist stem from standing immediately before a diphthong-forming semivowel or consonant ( $y, w, l, m, n$ ) of the second syllable. In such cases the $u$ is cither lost, as in the last example above (dissimilation is also a possible explanation) or a connecting - $i$ - is introduced between the $u$, which now becomes $w$, and the following consonant. Examples are:

Verb-stem Aorist stem
lèũxink: he will call me by name le ${ }^{e}$ wila'usi ${ }^{2}$ he calls me bỵ name 59.7
līñt $e^{e}$ I shall look (142.18) liwila' ut $t^{\varepsilon^{z}} e^{3} I$ look (59.14)
The stem vowel of verbs of Type 12 is regularly long, and, when stressed, as it generally is in aorist forms, receives the rising accent. The $a$ of the second syllable of the aorist stem is stressed only when forming a secondary diphthong with a following repeated radical element, in which case it receives a falling (lāala'uhi ne called min) or raised accent (hees-sal-tigūu$n t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} n$ ).

[^19]Type 13. Verb-stem $c+v+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$; aorist $c+v+c_{1}+v+c+a$ $+c_{1}$. For $i$ - umlaut of the $a$ see $\S 8,3$ a. This type embraces a very large number of verbs, chiefly of iterative, usitative, or intensive signification. Of these, some are the iterative or usitative derivatives of simpler verbs; others, again, are hardly found in simpler form, the action they express being of a necessarily repetitive character (e. g., rub, Rattle, chew) ; in still others the repetitive idea is not strongly marked or is even absent. Of Type $13 a$, which covers practically the whole number of type-cases, examples will be given under the characteristic stem-vowels.

## (1) $a$ :

$\bar{i}$-gaxgixi'n I shall scratch him $d a$-ts!a'lts!iin I shall chew it
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-k^{\prime} a^{\prime s}{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime} k^{\prime} \mathrm{ibin}$ I shall chip them off
(2) $e$ :
$\bar{i}$-ts !e'lts•!ilin I shall rattle it
$\bar{\imath}$-he ${ }^{e}$ gwa $^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\text {ew }}$ nan (see § 19) I shall work
al-gesgas $a^{\prime \prime} l t^{*} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be washing
se'nsant $^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall whoop
hemhamank' he will imitate him
(3) $o(u)$ :
$d \bar{\imath}^{i}$-t'gumt'ga'm squeeze and crack (insects)!
$\overline{\text {-yulya`l rub it! }}$
al-p! $\bar{t}^{i}$-ts $\cdot$ !u'lts ! !alhip ${ }^{\prime}$ do ye put it on fire!
(4) $i$ :
$\bar{\imath}$-sminlsmilin I shall swing it
$\bar{i}$-s wi'ls wilin I shall tear it to pieces
ts $!i^{\prime}$ nts $!a n x d e e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be angry
$\bar{i}$-s $\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{al} / \mathrm{i}$ distribute it!
de-k'iūk'auk'wan I shall brandish it before my face (172.11)
yiwiyaw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ one who talks 148.18

## Aorist stem

$\bar{\imath}$-gaxagixi $i^{\prime s} n$ I scratched him $d a$-ts !ala'ts $!i l i^{\varepsilon} n$ I chewed it
$h e^{e \varepsilon-i}-k^{\prime} a p!a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I chipped them off (118.11; 120.16)
$\bar{\imath}$-ts $\cdot$ ele ${ }^{\prime}$ ts $\cdot \mathrm{ilil} \imath^{\varepsilon} n$ I rattled it $\bar{i}$-hegwe'hak ${ }^{*} n a^{s} n$ I worked
al-gesegas $a^{\prime} l t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ was washing
sene'sant' $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ whooped (180.15) heme'ham he imitated him 24.4, 8
$d \bar{i} i-t^{\prime}$ gumu' $\operatorname{tg}^{\prime} \mathrm{im} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I squeezed and cracked (insects)
$\bar{i}$-yulu' yilis $n$ I rubbed it
al-p! $!i^{i}$-ts $\cdot!$ ulu'ts $!i l i^{\varepsilon^{s} n}$ I put it on fire (152.20)
$\bar{\imath}$-smili'smilis $n$ Iswung it (72.10) $\bar{\imath}$-s $\cdot$ wili's $\cdot$ wili $i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ tore it to pieces
ts $!$ !iní ${ }^{\prime}$ ts $!$ an $x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I was angry (24.16; 148.15)
$\bar{\imath}$-s $\cdot \mathrm{ili}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{alh} i$ he distributedit 31.1 de-k'iwi'k'auk'was I brandished it before my face (172.12)
yiwiya'us he talks, makes a sound 148.9

The verb-stem of the last example seems at first sight identical with the aorist stem, but the second $i$ is to be explained as a connective element similar to the $i$ of lewilau- above (see under Type 12); yiwiyawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ s is thus developed from a theoretical *yiwyawa's.

The verb $k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} p^{\circ} k^{\circ} a b$ - above illustrates a slightly divergent subtype of Type 13a. If the final consonant of the stem is a fortis, it appears as a non-fortis (voiceless media or aspirated surd according to the phonetic circumstances) when repeated. This phenomenon is best explained as an example of catch dissimilation ; *$k^{*} a p^{\prime} a k^{\prime} a p!-$, i. e., $k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} a k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon}-$ is dissimilated to $k^{*} a^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} a k^{\circ} a b-$, $k^{\prime} a p!a k^{\prime} a b-$ (see § 22). In non-aorist forms, where the fortis becomes a syllabic final, it naturally gives way to the equivalent catch aspirated surd. Further examples of this subtype are:

| Verl |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{i}$-sgo ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{8}$ t'sgidin I shall cut them one after another (21.2,4) | $\bar{i}$-sgot!o'sgidi $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut them on after another ( $144.2,3$ ) |
| $h a-u-g w e n-\mathrm{yu}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{t}}$ yidin I shall gobble them all down | ha-u-gwen-yut!u'yidi $n$ I gobbled them all down (126.10) |
| $x a-\varepsilon$ - sgi $^{-1}{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ 'sgibin I shall cut them through (21.2) | $x a_{-\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon}$-sgip! $i^{\prime}$ sgibi $i^{\varepsilon} n$ cut them through (22.9; 138.7) |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{t} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\top} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime} x d \bar{a}^{a} \quad\left(=-t a^{\circ} g-x-\right)$ they will all bob up | $b \bar{a}^{a}$-t'ek!e't'ax they all bobbed up |
| $a-i-d i^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{ga}^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{st}^{\prime} \mathrm{ga}^{2}{ }^{2}$ s stick out your anus! 164.19; 166.1,6 | $b a-i-d t^{i}-t^{\prime}$ gats! $a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ gis $\tau^{i} n$ I stuck out my anus (166.8) |

In regard to rocalic quantity it will be noticed that both the stem vowel and the repeated vowel are generally short. Comparatively few cases are found with long stem-rowel in non-aorist forms (hee-gwagw-, swīilswal-, sgōust'sgad-). Indeed the shortness of the rowel of the verb-stem is about the only mark of difference between verbstems of Type 13 and aorist stems of Type 12. Thus:
$\bar{i}-s^{\cdot} w i^{\prime} l s^{\cdot}$ wal (non-aorist of Type 13) tear it to pieces! ; but $\bar{\imath}-s^{*} w i^{i}{ }^{i} 7-$ $s \cdot w a l$ (aorist of Type 12) he tore it (with one tear)
A few verbs allow the repeated vowel, particularly in third personal forms, to be long; when stressed, as it generally is, it has a falling accent. Besides $t s!i_{n i}{ }^{-i} t s!$ !an $x$ - (also $t s!i^{\prime} n i{ }^{i} t s^{\prime}!a n x-$ or $t s!i^{\prime} n i t s!a n x-$ 190.19), may be mentioned:
gwen-hegwe ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ hagwanhi he related it to him 57.9; cf. 59.6
$p!\ddot{u l u} \ddot{u}^{\prime} \dot{p}$ !alli $i$ they marched in single file 192.3
In non-aorist forms the rowel, if long and stressed, takes the rising accent; before a glottal catch, however, we regularly have the $3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt $2-12-8$
falling accent ( $s g \bar{o}^{-\prime u s} t^{\prime}$ sgad-, $s g \imath^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \varepsilon} p^{\prime} s g a b-$ ). In the aorist the stress generally falls on the repeated vowel.

Only two verbs have been found that at first sight conform to Type 13 b. They are:

Verb-stem Aorist stem
$d e^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}$-ge'uk!iwin I shall tie (a de $e^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}$-gewe ${ }^{\prime} k!i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I tied it bowsalmon) bowstring-fashion string-fashion (cf. 88.5)
düilttilin I shall stuff them into it dülī't tilis $n$ I stuffed them into it (122.19; 138.17)
This curious type of verb is easily explained if we assume that the bases are not gew- and dül-, respectively, but geus- and düls-. They are, then, strictly comparable to verbs like sgot!osgad- discussed abore; instead of having a fortis consonant, i. e., a stop with glottal closure, as the final consonant of the base, they have a semivowel or diphthong-forming consonant ( $w, y, l, m, n$ ) as the base final. The verb and aorist stems of geus- and düls-, formed according to Type
 respectively. Allowing, as in the case of the forms like $k^{\prime} a p!a k^{\prime} a b-$ discussed above, for catch dissimilation, these forms are seen to be phonetically equivalent to geuk!aur-, gewek!au- and dült!al-, dülüt!al-, respectively (see $\S 12$ ). If the initial consonant of the rerb happens not to be a media, then there is no opportunity for the development of a fortis in the second syllable of the verb-stem. It is clear, then, that the following verbs are further examples of 'Type $13 b$ :

Verlu-stem Aorist stem
$b \bar{a}^{a_{-} \varepsilon} a l-\mathrm{mo}^{\prime} 1^{\varepsilon}$ malan $I$ shall turn $b \bar{a}^{a} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} a l-\mathrm{mo}^{\prime} 1 o^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mal} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I turn things over
$d \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u} g a l-l e^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon}$ liwin I shall $d \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u} g a l-l e w e^{\prime \varepsilon} l i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I shook shake shells in my ears shells in my ears 122.2
 gobble them down bled them down (cf. yut! uyadabove)
The stem syllable of verbs of Type $13 b$, when bearing the stress, naturally have the falling accent.

Examples of Type $13 c$ are not common and have also by-forms of Type $13 a$ :

## Verb-stem

Aorist stem
gwida' $k^{*}{ }^{w} \mathrm{~d} a n$ I shall throw it gwidi' $k^{*}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~d} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I threw it(122.13); ( $a$ inorganic)
cf. $\overline{-}$-gwidigwidi's $n_{n}$ (108.21) lobo'lpnas I used to pound them; cf. lobo'lap $n a^{\varepsilon} n$ (57.14)

It is very probable that the $-a$ - in the second member of reduplicated stems (Types 12 and 13) is the inorganic -a- we have already met with. Its persistence, even in cases where the otherwise resulting phonetic combination is a possible one, may be ascribed to the analogic influence of the probably larger number of cases where its presence is phonetically necessary.

Type 14. Verb-stem $v+c$; aorist $v+c+v+n$. The $-n$ of the few verbs that make up this class is probably a petrified derivative clement, yet it must be considered as characteristic of the aorist stem in an even more formal sense than, for example, the aoristic - $i$ - of Type 4. The only examples that have been found are:

| Verb-stem | lorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| xēp'de ${ }^{e}$ I shall do so (110.22) | xebeñt' $c^{\varepsilon}$ I did so ( $\left.14.10 ; 168.10\right)$ |
| wait ${ }^{\text {e }}$ e I shallsleep ( $71.15 ; 142.14$ ) | wayant ${ }^{\text {e }}$ I slept (188.22) |
| gwen-p!ik'wan (=-p!iy-) I shall lie on pillow | gwen-p!iyi'nk'wån I lay on pillow |
| $\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he will be lying down 146.9 | pleyẽnt' $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ was lying down 71.5 |

The last verb seems to insert a $-y$ - in the aorist, between the $-\ell-$ of the verb-stem and that of the aoristic addition, in the mamer of verbs of Type $9 b$. In regard to vocalic quantity these rerbs difler among themselves. The verb-stem of all but wai- is long in rocalism. The first vowel of the aorist stem is short in every case, the repeated vowel is sometimes short (xeben-, p!iyin-), sometimes long (way $\bar{a}^{\alpha} n-$ ) $p!e y e^{e} n$-. The stressed stem vowel hears a rising accent.

The -n of wayāan- and p!eyeen- is eclipsed before a catch in the third person:
waya ${ }^{\prime 8}$ he slept $152.22 ; 154.6$
pleye ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he was lying down 49.5
but:
$x e b e^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ he did it 78.9; 118.14
The loss of the $-n$ takes place also in the third person aorist of $!\pi^{a} n-$ go (Type 5). Thus:
$y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he went $15.3,11 ; 59.1 ; 92.26$
subordinate form $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon} 58.8$ and (rarely) y $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ nd $a^{\varepsilon}$ whex lie went.
Type 15. Verb-stem $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-a s\end{array}\right\}$; aorist stem $-\bar{i}^{2}$. The conding $-i^{i}$, found in a considerable number of verbs of position, is not, properly speaking, a stem-forming element at all, as shown by the fact that
suffixed elements may intervene between it and the base; yet, being wanting in the non-aorist forms of many verbs, it has something of the appearance of such. The non-aoristic -as- of a few verbs has absolutely no appreciable derivative force, and may be regarded as a purely formal element characterizing the non-aorist forms of the verb. As examples of Type $15 a$ may be given:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| s'a's'anter I shall stand (cf. 23.6) | s'as.init ${ }^{\text {e }} e^{\varepsilon}$ I stand (34.1; 77.9) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s}^{\cdot} \mathrm{u}^{\prime s} \text { alt } t^{\prime} e^{e} \text { I shall sit }(55.11 \text {; } \\ & 186.21) \end{aligned}$ | s ${ }^{\cdot} u^{\varepsilon}$ wilìt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I sat (21.1; 178.21) |
| $k^{\prime} e^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ alt $e^{e}$ I shall be long absent | $k^{\text {cebilit }}{ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I was long absent (124.20) |
| 1ap ${ }^{\prime} d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall become (92.11; | l $\bar{a}^{\text {a }}$ it $e^{\text {e }} \mathrm{I}$ became (see also |
| 166.14) | Type 10a) 186.19 |

Of examples of Type $15 b$ may be mentioned:

| Verbstem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| dink! a'sld $\bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie stretched out | dink!ì it lies stretched out |
| t!obaga's $d \bar{a}^{a}$ he will lie like one dead (148.8) | t!obigi he lay like one dead |

This non-aoristic -as-seems to occur also in:
$d a$-sma-ima's $d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall smile $\quad d a$-smayam he smiled
which otherwise belongs to Type 2 or 3 (if the second $-m$-is part of the base).

Type 16. Terb-stem $v+c+c_{1}+i$; aorist $v+c+v+c_{1}$. This type embraces only an inconsiderable number of verbs. They are:

Verb-stem
$d \bar{i}-\mathrm{k}!a^{\prime} l$ side $e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be lean in my rump
gwel-sal-t! $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ iside ${ }^{e}$ I shall be lean in legs and feet

Aorist stem
$d \bar{\imath}-\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{ala}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} n a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ am lean in my rump 102.22
gwel-sal-t!eyēsna ${ }^{\hat{\varepsilon}} n$ I have no flesh on my legs and feet 102.22

Several verbs of position that show an $-i^{i}$ - in the aorist show an $-i$ in non-aorist forms. Whether this $-i$ - is merely a shortened form of the aoristic $-i^{i}$-, or identical with the non-aoristic $-i$ - of verbs of Type 16 , is doubtful; but, in view of the absence of the $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - in non-aoristic forms of verbs of Type 15, the latter alternative seems more probable. Such verbs are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d a$-sga'lit $\bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie scattered about | da-sgalī it lies seattered about |
| p'ildi't $\bar{a}^{a}$ flat thing will lie | pildi flat thing lies |
| t'ge'its'!id ${ }^{\text {a }}$ round thing will | t'geits"!i round thing li (138 24) |
| s eini' $t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie with opening on top (like box) | seinĩ it lies with opening on top |
| s 'u'k'did $\bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie curled up | s*ugwidil it lies curled up) |
| $w \mathrm{i}^{-\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} d i d \bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie heaped about | wik!idi.at lies heaped about |

Of similar appearance, though the aorist (not the future) is transitive in form, is:

Verb-stem
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-sge ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}!i t^{\prime} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall listen

Aorist stem
$d \bar{u}^{a}$-sgek!iya ${ }^{\prime} n$ Ilistened (third person $d \bar{u}^{a}$-sgck!i 102.s)

In speaking of verbs of Types 15 and 16, the terms verl-stem and aorist stem are used in a purely relative sense, the portions of the listed forms printed in Roman characters not being really on a par with those similarly marked in the first fourteen classes. These last two types have significance as such only in so far as certain elements of an essentially derivative character ( $-i^{i}-,-i-$, as-) are at the same time formal means of distinguishing aorist from non-aorist forms. It is not difficult to show that in several cases these elements are themselves preceded by non-radical elements.

One or two aorists have been found in the material obtained that can not be well classified under any of the sixteen types illustrated above. They are:
gwen- xoxog[w]as $a^{\prime s}$ I string (salmon) together ( $=$ fully reduplicated xogxog- : otherwise to be analyzed as xoxo-g- of Trpe 10 a) 74.14
sal-s $\bar{a}^{a} \times s$ ix he slid
This latter verb with its mysterious $\hat{i}^{i}$ in the repeated syllable is absolutely without known parallel. Irregular is also the defective verb $e i-\mathrm{BE}$ (see $\S 60$, fourth footnote).

## 

## §41. GENERAL REMARKS

Although the absolute number of non-pronominal suffixes in the verb is considerable (almost or quite thirty), the number of those that have a well-defined, more or less transparent signification is not large (hardly more than a dozen or so) when compared with what
one is accustomed to in certain other American languages. Of these, barely one or two (a frequentative and a comitative) can be said to convey anything like a material notion, the rest boing of the more or less formal or relational character met with in suffixes of inflective lan-guages-intransitivizing elements, causative, reflexive, passive, reciprocal, and others of less easily described signification. Those suffixes that have no clearly defined value may be put in a class by themselves as "petrified" suffixes, the justification for such a classification being purely descriptive; genetically they probably form a heterogeneous group.

## § 42. PETRIFIED SUFFIXES

In speaking of verbs of Types 2 and 3 , it was pointed out that in a large number of cases certain consonants that one would naturally be inclined to consider part of the verb-stem could be shown by more careful analysis to be really of a suffixal character. The criteria for such a suffix are partly, as was there indicated, the existence of evidently related forms in which the consonant is lacking, partly certain phonetic features. In a considerable number of cases different suffixes are found joined to the same verbal base, yet hardly ever determining so specific a meaning that their primary signification can be detected. The following examples,
t'geits'! $\mathrm{\imath}$ something round lies (138.24)
t'geyeba's $n$ I roll it
$t^{\prime} g e^{e} y a^{\prime} l x d e^{s}$ I run around
al-t'geye't giya $n$ I tic it around ( my head) 188.5
$w_{i} \bar{\varepsilon}^{-}-\bar{i}-t^{\prime}$ geye $e^{\prime}$ e: $:$ in he is surrounded on all sides 48.13
evidently all contain the same radical element or base (t'gey-), which has reference to circular action or position. The suffixes $-t s!-,-b-$, and $-k!-$, however, can not be shown to be directly responsible for the specific meanings of the different forms, these being determined chiefly, it would seem, by the succeeding suffixes, the prefixes, and the general form (transitive or intransitive) of the verb. Similarly, the forms he $e^{e \varepsilon}$-sgaya'pxde $e^{\varepsilon}$ lie down, da-sgaya$n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I lie down, and possibly also da-syali it lies scattered about (like grain), contain the same radical element (sga[y]-); but, as in the examples first cited, the abstracted suffixes $-p-,-n-$, and $-l$-, refuse to yield anything tangible. The stems galb- twist and gelg- twirl fire-drill are very probably related, though neither
the difference in vowel nor the use of different consonants can be explained. The same difficulty is met with in di'nik! $a^{\star} n$ I stretched it out (62.1) and $b \bar{a}^{a}-d i n \tilde{u}^{\prime i} \mathrm{t}!a^{\varepsilon} n$ I huyg them ox line (59.9). In some cases a difference of suffix is associated with a difference of direction of verbal action, tronsitive and intransitive. Thus we have:
al-ts!ayaga's $n$ I wash him (64.5): al-ts!ayãp’des I wash myself (not reflexive in form)
p!alag $a^{\prime s} n$ I relate a myth to him: p!ala'p'des I relate a myth ts!ayamas ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I hide it (124.23): ts!ayãp ${ }^{\prime} d \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I hide
The various petrinied suffixes found will be listed with examples under each.

1. -b-. There seem to be two quite distinct -b-suffixes, one characteristic of transitives, the other of a certain group of intransitives. Examples of transitive - $b$ - are:
$t^{\prime}$ geyeba's $n$ I roll it (base tgey-), with secondarily intransitive derivative:
al-t'geya' $\mathrm{p} x$ it is round (literally, it rolls)
hees-syaya'pxde ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ lie down (derived, like al-t'teya' $\mathrm{p} x$, from some such transitive as *he es-syayala'sn I lay it down flat, that, howerer, does not happen to occur in the material at hand)
des-i-genép'gwa he lay curled up like dog (also -gfncûk'wa)
galaba's $n$ I twist it by rolling (cf. gelg- twirl fire-drill)
$\operatorname{sgil} \mathrm{p} x$ warm your back! (seems to imply *syil $\mathrm{m}^{i} \mathrm{~b} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall warm his back) (25.8, 9)
All intransitives in $-b-\left(-p^{2}-\right)$, whether or not secondarily derived from transitives, belong to that class of verbs to be later discussed as Intransitive Verbs, Class II. Among those with primarily intransitive $-p$ - are:
al-ts!ayãp"de $e^{\varepsilon}$ I washed my face
ts!ayãp"des I hid
p!ala' ${ }^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ tell a myth
s-in-xinixanp'de ${ }^{\varepsilon} I$ sniff (cf. xin mucus)
$s^{*} \cdot a s^{\prime} a^{\prime} n h a p \cdot d e^{\varepsilon}$ I stand around (not trying to help anyone) (cf $s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\cdot a n t} t^{e} e^{\circ}$ shall stand)
s.in-wi'tikik'ap'de I blow my nose
$b \bar{a}^{a}-s \cdot o^{\prime} w \bar{u}^{u s} k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ jump up (48.15; 49.1)
A number of Class II intransitive veriss show a suflixed -pi- in all forms but the aorist. It is not possible to say whether this $-p^{2}$ - is morphologically identical with the $-p^{\prime}$ - of rerts like
$t s!a y a \tilde{a} \cdot d e^{\varepsilon}$ or not, but such seems likely. Intransitives with non-aoristic - $p^{\prime}$ - are:
lãp"dee I shall become (92.11) (aorist lãalutie $e^{\varepsilon}$ ) 186.19
sana'p'dee I shall fight (aorist sāansa'nt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ [184.13])
tgũnp’de $\operatorname{I}$ shall be cold (aorist t'gunũk"d $e^{s}$ [90.3])
Finally, all Class II intransitives have a $-p^{\circ}$ - before the formal elements in the first person plural and impersonal of the aorist and future and in the imperative and inferential modes:
$s^{\prime a s}{ }^{\prime i n i p}{ }^{\prime} i k^{*}$ we stand
$s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p^{\prime} i a^{u s} t^{\prime}$ they (indef.) will stand
$s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a n p^{\prime}$ stand!
$s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\prime a n} p^{\prime a n p}{ }^{\prime}$ do ye stand!
$s^{\cdot} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a n p^{\prime} g a^{s} m$ stand! (future)
$s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n \mathrm{p} k^{\circ}$ he stood, it seems
There is small doubt, howerer, that this $-p^{2}$ - is quite distinct from the non-aoristic - $p^{\circ}$ - of verbs like lãpdee, which occurs in the entire future. A form like lãp become! is in that event perhaps to be analyzed as $l \bar{a}^{a}-p^{\prime}-p^{\prime}$, the first $-p^{\circ}$ - being the nonaoristic element found also in lãp ${ }^{\prime} d e^{e}$, while the second $-p^{\prime}$ - is identical with the imperative-inferential $-p^{\prime}$ - of $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p^{\prime}$. This analysis is purely theoretical, however, as contraction to a single $-p^{2}$ - is unaroidable in any case.
2. -p!'-. This consonant is evidently a suffixed element in:
 them all 160.5)
3.     - $m$ - Apparently as transitive element $-m$ - appears in:
ts!ayama $a^{\prime \delta} n$ I hide it (124.23) (cf. ts!ayãp'de ${ }^{s}$ I hide [24.2])
As intransitive suffix it appears in:
$t^{\prime} g i s i^{\prime s} \mathrm{~m}$ it gets green
sudunit $e^{s} e^{\mathrm{I}}$ whistle (base xud-; related to $x d e i t t^{\prime}$ flute [?]) (33.16)
$t s$ : us $u n \bar{n} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I make noise by drawing in breath between teeth and lower lip ( $75.9,10,12 ; 79.1,3,5 ; 96.9,10,12$ )
It may not be altogether accidental that the latter two verbs both express the making of a noise. This idea is found expressed also in:
$t s$ !eleñt $t^{s}$ I rattle (102.13) (cf. ī-ts !ele ${ }^{\prime} t s!i l i i^{s} n$ I rattle it) but the $-m$ - of this verb may be really an otder $-n$ - dissimilated to $-m$ - because of the preceding $-l$-. The $-m$ - corresponds to an eridently identical suffixed -am- of the related noun $t s$ '!ela'm hail 152.12,16.
4.     - $\boldsymbol{d}-$, -t $\boldsymbol{t}^{\prime}$ - seems to be found only with transitive verbs:
$w \bar{a}^{a} \hbar i m i d a^{\prime s} n$ I speak to him (but with unexpressed object $w \bar{a}^{a} h i m i^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I was talking [to somebody]) (59.16; 6.3.10)
daki-hene $e^{e} \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wait for him (cf. hene'xade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I wait)
$k!\bar{u} y u \bar{u} m i \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ call his name from distance, greet him (19s.11) (probably derivative of $k!\bar{u}^{\prime}$ yam friend! $31.6,8$ )
$s^{\circ}$ omoda $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cook it (58.10) (cf. s‘ümǘxade I cook)
$t s!$ !̈̈mümt $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I cook it (170.17,19); future $s \cdot \tilde{u} m t^{\prime} a n^{1}$ (170.16) (cf. s‘umxi' stirring paddle 170.14)
$d \bar{a}^{a}-\min \tilde{\imath} \mathcal{F}^{*} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I taught him; future $d \bar{a}^{a}-m i n ̃ t^{\circ} a n$
lawadana ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I hurt him (186.12)
yamada $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I ask him (70.6;74.10; 120.16)
wiyimada's $n$ I "wish" to him, work supernatural power on him (57.1)
$m i l i d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I love her
$x a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-t s!i w i^{\top} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ he split it (26.6) (cf. $\bar{\imath}-t s \cdot!i w^{-1} t s!$ !au he split it up)
It will be noticed that most of the verbs listed imply, not direct physical action, but rather the direction of one's thought or words toward another person. It is therefore highly probable that the -d- (except possibly in s.omd- соок) is identical with the $-d$-implied in the $-s^{\circ}-(=-t x-)$ of the indirect object ( $\left.\$ 45\right)$. Unlike the $-d$ - here discussed, howerer, the $-s$ - of the indirect object can be used only if the indirect object is not of the third person. It is clear that $-d$ - is not really quite in line with the other suffixes that we have termed "petrified," this being shown, among other things, by the fact that it may be preceded by other suffixes, as in $d \bar{a}^{a}-m i n \tilde{\tau}-k^{*}-d a^{s} n$.
Evidently quite distinct from this indirective $-d$ - suffix is the $-(a) d$ - suffix of a few intransitive class II verbs in which the $-d$-is followed by $-\tau^{i}$ - in aorist, $-i$ - in non-aorist forms (see s. 40 , 16). This aoristic -ad- appears always umlauted to -id-.
cugwid $\bar{\imath}{ }^{i}$-, non-aorist cuth $^{2}$ di- lie curled up
$w \bar{i} k!$ id $\bar{\tau}^{i}$-, non-aorist $w_{i}^{-s} k^{i} d i$ - lie heaped about
t gup!iď (box, canoe) lies bottom side up
5. -t!-. This consonant has been found as an erident sullix in:
$b \bar{a}^{a}-d i^{\prime} n \bar{t} t!a n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I strung (dentalia) on line (59.9) (cf. dink!stretch out)
t'gemẽt!ia $a^{u \varepsilon}$ it gets dark 188.14 (cf. tyge $m t^{\prime} y a^{\prime} m x$ it is quito dark [cf. 196.7]; alt'ge'm black 162.4; [196.6])

[^20]6. $-\left(\int-,-R^{\circ}-\right.$. As in the case of $-b-$, it seems advisable to recognize two distinct - $g$ - suffixes, the one appearing as a transitivizing element, the other as a rerb-making element added on to nouns or adjectives. Examples of its transitive use are:
$p!a l a g a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n$ I tell him a myth
al-ts! (ayaga's $n$ I wash him ( 64.5 )
$p \cdot \bar{t}^{i}-w a-g c l e g i^{\prime *} n$ I drill for fire with it ( $8 \mathbf{5} .12$ )
 always pinches me)
da-t! abaga's $n$ I finish it (61.8: 176.6)
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-dalaga'mdas $n$ I put holes in his ear (22.1) (cf. d $\bar{a}^{a}-d c l e^{\prime} p \not{ }^{\circ} i$ he stuck it across his ear)
swadãt' gas $n$ I run after him (59.13; $75.3: 120.19,20$ )
Examples of its use in adjectival intransitives are:
$t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ he feels hot, it is hot 94.15 (cf. $t^{\prime} \tilde{u}$ hot $5 \overline{5} .15$ )
$d \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ it is good, he does right 150.11 (cf. d $\bar{u}$ good, beautiful $58.7,5)$
t'guñk'dts I feel cold (90.3) (cf. t'günp ioiast it will be cold)
xuma'k'dє $\epsilon^{\epsilon}$ I shall be full, satiated (128.11) (cf. xu'ma food 54.4 and $s \cdot \tau x$-xu'm dried renison 43.12,13)
get-dulu'kंlle I am lazy
Further examples of $-k^{*}$ - that are elifficult to classify are:
de-7ïmiu'strade ${ }^{5}$ tell the truth (184.3)
s.in-wilik' ap dam rou blow your nose
yala'k'de ${ }^{s}$ I dive (connected with yal-lose [?]) $(60.10,11$; 61.11)
In $w a-t i j \hbar k^{*} i^{5} n$ I GAve EACH ONE (130.4) (future wa-dulnhin) and in the morphologically analogous $d \bar{a}^{a}-m i n i k^{\circ} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I tatugit mim (future $d \bar{a}^{a} m i n t t a n$ ), the $-k^{*}-$ is confined to the aorist. In wet ${ }^{\prime}$ gi He TOOK IT FROM HIM 16.13, the $-g$ - is found only in the third personal object of the various tense-modes (wet'gin IT was TAKEN FROM HIM 13.11; wede'k'ink* HE WILL TAKE IT FROM $\operatorname{HIM}(17.10 .11)$. All other forms of the aorist stem wetd- (verbstem $w e d e-)$ lack it:
wesi (from *wet si) he took it from me (17.3)
wede'stink ${ }^{*}$ he will take it from you $(16.10,11)$
 sitives. Examples are:
$w_{i=-}^{-\tau}-t^{\circ} g e^{\prime} y e^{\epsilon} \mathrm{k}!i n$ he is surrounded on all sides (transitives and passives are closely related) $48.5,13$; (176.14)
al-p! $!^{i}-t s!u^{\prime} l u k!i^{E} n$ I burn it ( $73.9,12 ; 96.26$ ) (cf. al-p! $i^{i}-t s^{\prime}!u^{\prime} l-$ ts! !alhip ${ }^{2}$ do ye burn it! 198.10)
$d \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-s g \ddot{u}^{\prime} y \ddot{u} \mathrm{k}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I make it fall (48.7, $\mathrm{S}, 12$ )
$7 e^{e s}-d e-l e^{\prime} l \mathrm{k}!i^{\Sigma} n \mathrm{I}$ finish talking 50.4
di'nik! $a^{s} n$ I stretch it out (see under suffix -t!-) (59.9; 62.1 )
$h e^{\prime} y$ ek! $i^{〔} n$ I left it over ( $61.7 ; 196.8$ )
$p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} u \mathrm{k}!a^{s} n$ I name him (15S.5) (cf. $p!\bar{u}^{\prime} w \bar{u} p!a u s i$ he keeps calling me)
ts $!$ ! $n^{\prime} i^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{*}$ he pinched it 31.1 ; (32.7)
ba-i-yunu'k!i$n$ I pull it out forcibly
$h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon-i}-l e^{\prime} m e k!i^{s} n$ I killed them off (14.13; 43.1; 108.20)
$\bar{\imath}$-go' yok! $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I pushed him ( 49.2 ) (cf.i-goyoginitisn I kept pushing him)
ba-i-s'in-xillik!wisn I blow my nose (cf. xin mucus)
$p!a-i-t^{\prime} g w_{i l i} k!$ wanas I spill (water, blood) (55.1; 72.8) (cf. $t^{i} g w_{i l i}{ }^{\prime}$ it $^{\prime} g w a l^{\Sigma}$ it keeps dropping)
-k!- seems to occur also in the perhaps only secondarily intransitive: $b \bar{a}^{a}-s^{*} o w \bar{o}^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \mathrm{k}^{\prime} a p^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}\left(=-s^{\circ} 0 w \bar{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{k}!\right.$-hap'-) I jump up (48.15; 49.1) (cf. $s^{\cdot} o^{\prime} w w^{\bar{u}}{ }^{\prime} \cdot a^{u s}$ he keeps jumping $[112.5,10]$ )
8. -ts:!-. Only in a very few cases is this suffixed consonant met with:
t'geits:! round thing lies (13S.24)
$d \tilde{i}^{i}-\hat{t}^{\circ} g u m u^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{tc}!^{{ }^{\Sigma}} n$ I squeeze and crack it (cf. $d \bar{i}^{i}-t^{i} g u m u^{\prime} t^{\prime} g i m i^{i} n$ I squeeze and crack many insects)
yowóns ${ }^{-1}$ s he starts 186.10 ; yow ${ }^{-1}$ uts! ana ${ }^{s} n$ I cause him to start
ha-yau-t'ye'nets! $i^{i} n$ I put it about my waist
$h a^{s} w-i-h a^{\prime} n a t s!i^{s} n$ I made it stop (raining) (152.16)
Judging from these few examples. -ts:!- is characteristic, like $-b$-, $-g-,-p!-,-k!-$, and $-t!-$, of transitive verbs; $t^{\prime}$ geits $!~ i s$ is probably related to a transitive * *'ge yets $!a^{\wedge} n$, as is dink!i it lims stretched out to di'nik! as $n$.
$-\boldsymbol{s}$ - occurs as an evident suffix in:
 one after another)
9. -(a)l-. This suffix includes both intransitives and transitives:
al-gesegasa'lt $e^{s} I$ was washing
$k^{\prime} e b i l i t t^{\varepsilon} e^{8}$ I was long absent (124.20)
$s^{\cdot} u^{\varepsilon} w \mathrm{ilil}^{2} e^{e} e^{\varepsilon}$ I sit (21.1) ; 72.9; (178.21)
yamlit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I look pretty ([ [ ] = fat, sleek; cf. ya'm. fat, grease 54.5)
al-we'k!alas $n$ I shine (126.3; 128.14)
$\bar{\tau}-t^{\prime} w \bar{\tau}^{i} y \mathrm{yil} \ell^{\prime s} n$ I make it whirl up
$\bar{i}-k!e^{e} w i l h^{\prime s} n$ I whirl it around
$\bar{\tau}-t^{\prime} g e^{e} y i l i^{\prime s} n$ I roll it around
al-t $t^{i} i^{-i} y{ }^{i}{ }^{`} 1 x$ (tears) roll down his face 135.25
$b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-t=1 q w \bar{a}^{a} 7 a \ x$ (children) run about
$k^{\prime} e w e^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ awa ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ he barks
de-guiliu'k!alx it was blazing 188.15

The idea of unbroken continuity is fairly evidently shown by these examples to be connected with the suffix -(a)l-.
10. -( $\boldsymbol{t}) \boldsymbol{n}$-. Quite a number of intransitives are found that have this element, to which no particular meaning can be assigned. Such are:
s.as ${ }^{\text {inint }} e^{\varepsilon}$ I stand (34.1; 77.9; 144.14,17)
moyūnwa'nt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I'm spoiled
$h \ddot{u}^{u} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{n} t^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am tired (102.1) (cf. hülu'hilint $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I used to be tired [48.11])
ligint $t^{s}$ I am resting (100.14) (ef. ligilaga'nt' he kept resting 102.1)
In a large number of transitives a suffixed $-n$ - is also found, without its being clearly possible to identify it either with the causative $-n$ - or the indirect objective $-n(a n)$ - FOR:
lawadan $a^{\prime z} n$ I hurt him (186.12)
ts $!$ !ibina $a^{\prime s} n$ I make a speech to him (146.11: 178.11)
$w a-t$ tilik'n $i^{-5} n$ I gave each one (130.4)
k!emna'n I shall make it (2S.2,13,14) (aorist without object k!eme' $\mathrm{n} x a^{\varepsilon}$ he makes)
$w a^{\varepsilon}-u^{u} g w$ in $i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I drink it with it ( $\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} n x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I drink)
hees-was-w $\bar{a}^{a} g i i^{\prime} n$ she is bought with it
The last two examples are rather different in character from the others. See $\& 64$.
11. - $\quad$ - - . Two apparently quite distinct $-w$-suffixes must be taken account of.
(1) A sufficed $-w$ - is found to characterize in all forms a group of intransitives belonging to Type 2 ; it is only in certain derivative forms that the $-w$ - is lacking, and thereby possibly shown to be a non-radical element:
hiwilint $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I ran to (24.1), but hiwililt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I used to run to sgeleñt $e^{s}$ I shouted (190.1), but sgeleltt $e^{s} 1$ I kept shouting (59.3)
Examples of this group of verbs are:

| Aorist | Future (non-aorist) |
| :---: | :---: |
| styele'us he shouted 59.4; 90.8 | sgelw $a^{\prime *} t{ }^{\text {c }}$ he will shout |
| Tivili'us he ran to 47.1; 70.7 | hiwilw $a^{\prime s} t^{*}$ he will run to (136.21) |
| bili'us he jumped $489 ; 58.3$ | bilw $a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he will jump (160.16) |
| $d e$-wiliwa'ldan I fight him (derivative of intransitive)(27.3) | de-wilina'ldan I shall fight him <br> (3.3.2,3) |
| hiti ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ \% he climbed (\%7.S) | hilwast ${ }^{\prime}$ he will climb |

[^21] that it dropped olf as a scllabic final after a consonant (see \& 18). Then sgelẽlt' $\varepsilon$ s is for an older*sgelēluft $e^{\varepsilon}$. This supposition is greatly strengthened by the future sgelwa'l'ee I'Ll keep shouting (cf. sgelieada's you Will shout).

In non-aoristic forms the phonetic conditions may, as usual, necessitate an inorganic - $a$-:
ge wila'u run there! (29.10)
sgela'ut $t^{e} e^{e}$ I shall shout
bila'ut $e^{e}$ I shall jump (160.17)
In these cases the evidence for the suffixal character of the $-u$ - is rather slim. In one verb, however, it has a clearly intransitivizing influence:
t!emeyana ${ }^{\prime \prime \text { us }}$ (second $a$ inor- : t!amayana's $n$ I take her to her ganic) he goes with woman husband (148.5) to see her married 148.6
t!emeya'nwia ${ }^{u s}$ they (indef.) go
with her to see her married 178.1
(2) $-w$ - (-aw- after a consonant in the aorist) is characteristic of all tense-modes but, in some cases, the present imperative and inferential (probably for phonetic reasons, see § \$ 11 and 18) of a number of transitive verbs, provided the object is of the third person. Such rerbs are:
gayawa ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I eat it 30.11 (gayañ he ate it 54.5); future ga-iwa'n 128.18; noun of agent $g a-i w a^{\prime s} s$ eater (of it) 94.3 ; but imperative gai eat it! 32.4; gaik: he ate it (inferential) 142.19
al-sgalaw $i^{\prime s} n$ I turn my head to look at him; future $s f \bar{u}^{a} l w i^{\prime} n ;$
 at him turning my head (inferential)
al-sgaläaliwis ${ }^{a} n$ (Type 8) I keep turning my head to look at him; future syalwalwi'n; but sgelēlxi he keeps turning his head to look at me
$b a-i-d e-y e^{e} g i$ iwida $a^{\prime s}$ you will drive (sickness) out of (body) 198.4,5; imperative -yeega'u
$w \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} i^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} n$ I brought it to him (176.17); future wayctwi'n; but $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s b i^{\star} n$ I brought it to you (194.11)
$l \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} u \hbar i$ he caused them to become ( $l \bar{a}{ }^{a} l$ - become) 43.1
It is very likely that the absence of the $-w$ - is conditioncd, at least in certain forms, rather by phonetic than by morphologic motives (ga亢 from * gaiw; sgãlk' $a^{\varepsilon}$ from $\left.{ }^{*} s y a ̈ l w k^{*} l^{\varepsilon}\right)$. This is rendered plausible by a form like ga-iwawa'tsbink' ther will always eat you 26.8 (repetition of $-w$ - in frequentative as in al-sgalwalwi'n), in which the object is not of the third person. The -w-seems to have been retained here because of the following vowel. The form $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n_{n}$ i broughit it (110.17) as com-
pared with $w \bar{a}^{a} g i$ wis $i^{\prime s} n$ I brougit it to him (future waga'n: wagawi'n) suggests that the signification of the $-w$ - in transitive verbs is to indicate the indirect object, at least for the third person. It is, however, almost certainly accidental that $w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ stands by the side of $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ with $-s$ - to indicate the indirect object. That $-w$ - is not the morphologic equiralent of $-s$ - is eridenced by the fact that it stands also by the side of the transitive connective consonant $-x$ - (cf. al-sgalawi's $n$ : al-sgala' $x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I turn my head to Look at you). It must be confessed that after all no very distinct signification can be attached to either the intransitive or transitice -w-
12. Constont -a. A number of verbs whose stem (including petrified suffix) ends in two consonants add to this stem an $-a$ that appears in all their forms, eren though the consonant combination is one that may stand in a final position (cf. footnote, $\& 10$ ). No reason can be assigned for the retention of the $-a$ in all forms, except the ruling analogy of the aorist; in this tense-mode the $-a$ is in all probability directly due to the consonant-cluster, as the aorist verb-forms to be presently given differ in this very respect from the aorist forms of other stems ending in two consonants (e. g., non-aorist $s \cdot \ddot{u} \ddot{m} t^{*} a$ - boil with constant $-a$-, though ending in a finally permissible consonant-cluster, because of aorist ts!!ümüümt $a$-; contrast non-aorist $s$ oomd- boil without $-a$ - because of aorist s.omod-). The following are examples of verbs of the character described:

| orist | Non-arist |
| :---: | :---: |
| swadãt a a he followed him 75.3 | swa'tiga follow him! |
| mats!üsga he always put it 132.9 | masga' put it! 104.5 |
| ts ! uim ${ }^{\text {u }}$ mt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a he boils it 30.2 | $s \cdot u \frac{1}{t}$ 'a boil it! |
| $d \bar{a}^{\alpha}-$ minik ${ }^{\circ} d \mathrm{la}$ he taught him | $d \bar{a}^{a}-m i n ̃ t{ }^{\prime} a$ teach him! (contrast $w \bar{a}^{a} h i m t^{*}$ talk to him! with aorist -himid-) |

If the rerb is instrumental in rocalism (see § 64), the constant $a$ is replaced by the instrumental $i$. Thus:
$\bar{i}$-k!os: $\tilde{\sim} \cdot g i$ he keeps pinching him
That this constant $-a$ is felt to be somewhat different in character from ordinary inorganic or connective - $a$ - (as in $t s^{*}!\epsilon l a^{\prime} m t^{*} e^{*}$ or $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ ) is shown by the fact that it is changed to $-i$ - when-
ever the object is not of the third person, in reciprocals, in reflexives, and in verbs with non-agentive $-x$-:
swedẽt'gixi he followed me
$d \bar{a}^{a}-\min \tilde{\imath} k ; d i x b i$ he taught you
 with connecting $a$ )
wayãnhixbitn I put you to sleep; wainhixigam I was put to sleep
$\bar{\imath}-k!u ̈ s^{\prime} \tilde{u} s^{\prime} g i x i$ he keeps pinching me; $\bar{\imath}-k!u s^{\prime} i^{\prime} x i n k k^{\prime}$ he will pinch me
$\bar{i}-$ t!ene'hisdam you hold me 86.13,14.
$\overline{\text {-lasgi'xant }}{ }^{\prime}$ ' touch one another!
$\bar{\imath}$-lesgi'k'wit' touching himself
$b \bar{a}^{a}-t{ }^{\prime}$ ek!èlhixde $e^{\varepsilon}$ I keep bobbing up ( $60.11,13,14$ )

## § 43. FREQUENTATIVES AND USITATIVES

Frequentatives, continuatives, and usitatives are formed from simpler verb forms in great part by various methods of repetition of all or part of the phonetic material of the stem, to a somewhat less extent by means of suffixation. In many repetitive forms a distinct tendency to use a long vowel provided with a rising pitch-accent is observable. As it has not been found feasible to draw anything like sharp lines between the exact significations of the varions repetitive forms, it seems best to dispose of the material from a purely formal point of view rather than to attempt to classify it rigilly into frequentatives, iteratives, usitatives, and continuatives. The methods of forming repetitives will be taken up in order.

1. Type 13 of Stem-Formation. It was remarked before that most verbs of this type normally employed in that form are such as to imply a repetition of the action they express. The type may, moreover, be freely formed from bases implying non-repetitive action whenever it is desired to convey a general frequentative or usitative meaning. The frequentative idea may have reference to the repetition of the act itself (iterative or usitative) or to the plurality of the transitive object or intransitive subject affected (distributive); any sharp characterization of the manner of the frequentative action in each case is, however, doubtless artificial apart from the context. The following examples of repetitive with corresponding non-repetitive forms will illustrate the general frequentative force:

| Non-repetitive verb-stem | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
| lebe-pick up and eat (seeds) | le e ${ }^{\text {p }}$ 'lap' (non-aorist) pick |
|  | and eat many (seeds) ! 34.2 |
| loho-n-cause to die | loho'lanana ${ }^{\text {E }} n$. I used to kill them |
| wog- arrive | wogowa ${ }^{\prime s} k^{\prime}$ many arrived 112.2 |
| [toxox- (aorist) gather | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { was-i-t!oxo't!ixis} n ~ I ~ u s e d ~ t o ~ \\ \text { gather them } \end{array}\right.$ |
| dō ${ }^{u} x$ - (non-aorist) | $w a^{\varepsilon}-i-d \bar{o} x d a^{\prime} x k k^{*}$ they hare been gathering them (inferential) |
| hen-d-wait for | hene'handan I always used to wait for him |
| odo- hunt for | odo ${ }^{\prime 8}$ at' she always hunted for them 116.6 |
| $o g$-give to | ogo ${ }^{\prime z} a \mathrm{~F}^{\circ} i$ he always gave them 112.17 |
| doum- kill | ```dō them (inferential) 25.1; 27.15``` |
| $w^{-i}$ - go, travel | wiyiwit $e^{\varepsilon}$ I used to go (there) (96.1) |
| $p!\bar{a}^{a} g-$ swim | $p!a g a^{\prime} p!a^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ he used to swim |
| ts:!iu-d-split | $x a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-t s \cdot!i w^{-\prime} t s^{\circ}!a u$ he split it to pieces |
| sgip!- cut | sgis ${ }^{-i s} p^{\prime}$ sga'p ${ }^{\prime}$ gam they had been all cut up (21.2; 138.7) |
| hül-p!-skin, peel off bark | he ${ }^{e \varepsilon_{-}-i-\text { Tuij'liihal he kept peeling }}$ off bark (160.5) |
| hog-run | hogo'hak'de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I am always running |
| heel-sing | hele ${ }^{\prime}$ hal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ he used to sing |
| al-hü̈i-x-hunt | $a l-h \bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} \not \bar{u}^{i} x$ he always hunted $\left(-h \bar{i}^{i}=-h a y-, 88\right) 86.1$ |
| It will be observed that th ilt up on the rerbal base, n | etitive form is, on the whole, e verb or aorist stem. Thus, |
| g., the verb-stems lebe- and the frequentatives at all, w | e formed, according to Type |
| $a$, directly from the simple elab-) and loh- (rerb-stem | $b$ - (verb-stem le p'lab-, aorist aorist loholah-). Similarly, a |
| the simplex; rerbs of Type | ally show the fortis consonant |
| CLT it to PIECES (144.2) (cf. | $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I CUT it 72.10 , base sgōt!- |
| § 43 |  |

45.10). Suffixes with no distinct derivative signification drop off in the frequentative (cf. ts $!i u-d$ - and $h u ̈ l-p!-$ above, also $\$ 42$ passim), but, if they are functional elements, are put after the reduplicated complex (cf. loho-n- and hen- $d$ - above); frequentatives thus become, as was indicated in the treatment of petrified suffixes, criteria for the determination of the simple base. Some rerbs, howerer, retain a petrified suffix in the frequentatise without apparent reason: ts!!ümümt'a he boils it; ts'!ümü'ts'!amt'a he always bolls it.

The only use made of the aorist stem in the formation of frequentatives is in the case of such forms as have an initial fortis in the aorist as against a media in the verb-stem, mainly verbs of Type 8. The aorist of the corresponding frequentative also shows the initial fortis, but is not otherwise influenced by the form of the aorist stem of its simplex; e. g., aorist of simplex, t!oxox-, but of frequentative, t!ox-o-t!ax- with retained t!-. Such rerbs as aorist t!oxot!ax, non-aorist d $\bar{o}^{u} x d a x$-, are to be considered as of mixed type (in this case partly 8 , partly $13 a$ ).

Verbs like odos $a d-$ and $o g o^{8} a g$ - with a secondarily dereloped glottal catch in the aorist (see §6) seem to retain this catch in non-aorist forms, a stop + the catch resulting in a fortis:
aorist ogo ${ }^{\prime} \dot{a} a g$ - always give to; non-aorist $o^{\prime} k![w] a y-$
A small sub-class is formed by those frequentatives that omit the -a- of the repeated base (Type 13c). Such are:

Verb-stem
wa-yanagwa' $n$ I shall run after him
wait̀ ${ }^{e} e^{e}$ I shall slcep (71.15; 142.14)
 (106.7)
waga' $n$ I shall bring it

Iepetitive
wa-yama-inaywa $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I used to run after him
wayanhide ${ }^{\text {s }}$ I used to sleep (-h-couditioned by accent) yonoin $a^{\prime s} n$ I always sing it
wagao $\mathrm{k}^{n} n a^{\text {s }} n$ I used to bring it (? = *wayauq-, but sce 4, fortnote) (4.5.6)

A very peculiar type of frequentative formation is illustrated by:
loha'lhike ( $a^{\prime}$ is inorganic) they used to die (inferential) (16ヶ.9); aorist stem doubtless loholhi-
derived from aorist lohoi- die, non-aorist loho- (contrast aorist loho-lah-an-, non-aorist Zohlah-an in the causative). The otherwise purely aoristic - $i$ - of Type 4 is here dragged into the non-aorist forms.
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt $2-12-9$
2. Type $\pm$ of Reduplication. This method of forming the frequentative seems to be but a variant of the first (the repeated initial consonant coming last instead of immediately after the connecting vowel, or the initial consonant not being repeated at all if there is a petrified suffix), and is found in only a few verbs, where it takes the place of the first method. A glottal catch generally separates the repeated rowel of the stem from the immediately following $a$. Examples are:

| Aorist stem | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\text { kleme }\left\{\begin{array}{l} -n- \\ -i- \end{array}\right\} \text { make }$ | $k$ !eme $e^{\prime 8} a m g a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ always make it (instead of *h!eme'$k!\left(a m a^{\varepsilon} n\right)(7 \pi .5) ; k!e m^{6} a^{\prime} m k{ }^{*}$ ( $=-\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{amg}-k^{\prime}$ he used to make it (inferential) 122.18 |
| t!omom- kill | t!omo'amdan $n$ used to kill them (instead of *t!omo't!ama ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ ) (13.10; 54.3) |
| $k: \bar{u} w \bar{u} w-$ throw away (pl. obj.) | $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{{ }^{\varepsilon}} a u g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to throw them away (instead of *k! $\cdot \bar{u}$ $w \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a w a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) (134.6) |
| $p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}-k!$ - call, name | $p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a-u g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I keep calling his name (100.21) (instead of *p! $\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} p!a u \hbar!a^{\varepsilon} n$; cf. $p!\bar{u}^{\prime}-$ wūp!aus'i he keeps calling me by name) |
| $d e-t s{ }^{\prime}!i n i^{i}-x-(=t s!!i n i-k!-x-)$ die | de-ts! !iníanx he always died (instead of *ts!!ini'ts ! $a n x$ ) 74.7 |
| leme-k! - take along (cf. 105.10) | leme'ami: he used to take (everything) (instead of *leme'lamki) |

If the initial consonant is a fortis, it becomes a media when repeated, as illustrated in the first three examples. This may be explained by catch dissimilation (see $\$ 22$ )—e. g., a theoretical *k! $\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a u^{\varepsilon} k^{\circ}$ (from $* k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a u$ ) is dissimilated to $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a u k^{*}$. Similarly a theoretical ${ }^{*} p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime s} a u^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ (from * $p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} p!a u^{s} k^{*}$ ) is dissimilated to $p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} \varepsilon u u \bar{k}^{\prime}$. The non-aorist frequentative forms of these verbs sometimes follow the first method of formation (cf. d $\bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} m k{ }^{\circ}$ under method 1 ), sometimes the second (ask!emamg-).
3. $c+v+c_{1}+v+c$. The few verbs that belong here differ from the preceding in that they repeat only the initial consonant after the repeated stem-rowel (Type 11). An example is:

| Aorist stem | Repetitive <br> $d \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u} i-$ wear |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u}^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he keeps wearing |  |
|  | it, used to wear it |

As in the first method, so also in the second and third, nonradical functionless elements of the simplex disappear in the fre-
 k!eme'nxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ нe makes, also the aorist characteristic of $d \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} q \bar{u} \tau$ ne wore it, are not found in their corresponding frequentative forms.
4. $\boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{c}}+\boldsymbol{c}$. The large number of verbs whose frequentatives follow this formula ( $1 a$ of types of reduplication) always hare another consonant, whether part of the stem or a petrified suflix, after the nonfortis repeated consonant characterizing the frequentative, so that the appearance at least of infixation is often produced. Externally, frequentatives of this type resemble aorists of verbs of Type 8, but differ from them in the consistent length of the repeated vowel. In signification these verbs are generally continuative or usitative rather than properly frequentative or iterative. As examples may be given:

| Aorist stem | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
| $k!0 s \cdot 0-g-$ pinch | $\bar{i}-k!o s \cdot \tilde{o} s \cdot g i$ he is always pinching him |
| himi-d- talk to | $w \bar{a}^{a}$ - $\hbar i m \bar{i}^{i} m d a^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }^{n} I$ I used to talk to him |
| baxam-come | baxãxmiaus they keep coming (194.13) |
| t!ülü-g- follow | ha-t! !uiuiulga'sn I keep following in (trail) |
| al-sgal-aw- turn head to look at | al-sgalāaliui's $m$ I keep tuming my head to look at them |
| gaya-w- eat | gayäiwa's $n$ I used to eat it |
| hene-d-wait for | hene $n d a^{\prime s} n$ I keep waiting for him |
| p!alag-tell a myth | $p!a l \bar{a} a l g a^{\prime} n$ the myth is always told |
| hem-g-take out | ba-i-hemeermga'sn I always took them out |
| $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} s^{*}-$ laugh | $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} \bar{q}^{i} s \cdot d e^{\varepsilon}$ (dissimilated from * $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime s} \imath^{i z} s^{*}-[?]$ I kecplanghing |
| ts!ayag-shoot | ts!ayaiki' he used to shoot them 154.14 |
| yilim-ask for | yilīinma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n 1$ keep asking for it (see § 21) |


| Aorist stem | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
| ts!aya-m- hide | ts!aya-ima's $n$ I always hide it (134.8) |
| gini-g go to | $\operatorname{ginink}$ they went there one after another 46.11 |
| mats!ag- put | mats!ãsga they always put it away 132.9 |
| wits ! im- more | wits! ismade ${ }^{\text {E }}$ I keep moving |
| sgelew-shout | sgelêlt'e (see § 18) I keep shouting (59.3) |
| Fiviliu- run to | hiwililt $e^{\varepsilon}$ (see § 18) I keep running |

The rerb yewei- retcras seems to form its frequentative according to method 4 , but with added $-9-$ :
yewè'ok' he used to come back 47.4; 116.2; yewèoga't you used to come back; yewèo' $k^{\prime}$ de $e^{\varepsilon}$, yewè $\tilde{k} k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon 1} \mathrm{I}$ used to come back

There is not enough material arailable to determine in every case the non-aoristic forms of the frequentatives of this group. As a general rule, howerer, it seems that the non-aoristic stem of the frequentative is formed by repeating a consonant or semi-rowel, but in such a manner as to indicate the non-aoristic simplex back of it. Thus the frequentative of the inferential $t s^{\circ}!a i m k^{\circ}$ HE HID IT is $t s^{\circ}!a-i m \tilde{\imath} k^{*}$ не was always hiding it: of bit[à]uk* he scmped 160.17 it is bilwàth. (? = *bilwà $7 w k^{\circ}$ ) they always Jtaiped 160.16. From gazk' (inferential) he ate it 142.19 is formed gayauk: (if really inferential in form: perhaps third person subject aorist gayaig- in contrast to -gayāiw of other persons, see above) he used to eat it 54.6 , which, though resembling the aorist in the repetition of the stem-vowel, differs from it, probably for phonetic reasons, in the absence of the -v-. The form wits $!e_{e} s$ made ${ }^{e}$ нe will кeep moring, given as the future of wits! ismade $e^{\varepsilon}$, can not, for want of parallel forms, be accounted for. From $s g \bar{a}^{a} l w$-: non-aorist of sgalaw-, is formed the frequentative sgalw-alw- (perhaps according to Type $8,7 w$-being a consonatic unit).
5. Towel lengthening. Many verbs, particularly such as belong to Type 2, obtain a usitative signification by merely lengthening the short repeated rowel of the stem, this rowel, when stressed, assuming the falling accent. Examples of this simple process are:

[^22]Simplex
yimi's $a^{\varepsilon} a^{\text {h }}$ he dreams lük! $\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a^{5}$ he sets traps geyewa'lxdeed $a^{s}$ ba-ik!iyi'sk' when

I ate he came
$\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ ewe'k'awa ${ }^{\varepsilon} 7$ he barks

Repetitive
yime $i^{\prime} s^{\prime} \alpha^{8}$ he is always dreaming luk! $\bar{u}^{\prime} u x a^{\varepsilon}$ he used to set traps geyeewa'lxdeedas ba-i-k:!iyjitis $i^{-}$
whenever I used to eat he came
$k^{*}$ ewe $e^{\prime} k^{*}$ awa $a^{\varepsilon} l$ he is always barking

As the last example shows, by this method verbs which are already frequentative in form can be made to take on a usitative meaning.
6. $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}+(\boldsymbol{c}+)$ har. The accented rowel ( $\hat{\mathrm{v}})$ of frequentatives conforming to this formula is either the second vowel of the stem of the simplex or the repeated vowel of the stem not found in the simplex, and is followed by the last consonant (semi-vowel) of such verb-stems as end in two consonants. The forms that belong to this group seem in some cases to have rather a continuative than iterative force. Examples are:

> Simplex Repetitive
lohõn he caused them to die lohõnha he keeps killing them (100.8)
liwila'ut' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked (59.14)
$w \tilde{o}^{u} l t t^{\prime}$ she went for (wood) (nonaorist woo-) (162.8); 186.6
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-sgek! $\tilde{\imath}$ he listened 102.8
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-agani ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I heard it (55.3)
$s^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon}$ wili he sits, stays 21.1
s.as intit $e^{\varepsilon}$ I stand (34.1)
liwithaut' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I kept looking(144.19) wos $0^{\text {u }}$ ha she used to go for wood 43.15; 158.18
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-sgek!eiha he listened around 102.3 $d \bar{a}^{a}-a g \tilde{a} n k i^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to hear about it
[ $s \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime s} a l h a^{\varepsilon}$ they always stayed (together) 112.2
$s^{\circ} \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ alhibiki we always stay together
s'as'a'nhap'de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I stand around

The last two examples do not show a rising pitch-accent, because the vowel ( $-a$-) preceding the $-l-$ and $-n$ - respectively is inorganic and therefore incapable of carrying a rising or raised accent (cf. as parallel bila'ut'e $e^{e}$ shall Jomp, not *bilaũt $e^{e}$, because of inorganic $-a-$-). They also illustrate the loss in the frequentative of a nonradical element $\left(-\bar{i}_{-} i_{-}\right)$of the simplex; in $s \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime} \varepsilon a l h a^{\varepsilon}$ the loss of the $-i^{i_{-}}$ involves also the transfer of the verb to the first class of intransitives (second person singular, Class I, $s^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime s} a l h a t$ you stay around; (lass II, $s^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon} w i l i t t^{\prime} a m$ You sit).
7. $\dot{i}+l / h a$. It is very probable that the verbs that belong here contain the continuative $-l$ - treated under the head of petrified suffixes (see §42, 9). The formula may then be considered morphologically identical with that listed as method 5 , except that the continuative $-l$ - is introduced before the -ha. Examples of this group are:

| - 1 orist (or verb) stem t!oxox- gather |
| :---: |
| (ba'a ${ }^{a}+t^{\prime} e k \cdot!-x$ emerge) |
| (sgāp!- cut) |
| $k!o t \geqslant!a d-b r e a k ~$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (al-xik!- see) } \\ & \text { gwidi( } \left.k^{*}{ }^{w} d\right) \text { - throw } \end{aligned}$ |
| (lok!- trap) |

wa-s $\frac{\varepsilon}{-t}$ toxozlhisn I always gather them

| $b \bar{a}^{a}$ |
| :---: |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{c}b \bar{a}^{a}-t t^{2} \text { ek! }!\text { élhixde } e^{\varepsilon} \text { I keep emerg } \\ (60.14)\end{array}\right.$ |

$x a-\frac{s}{i}$-sgip!ilhi he cut them all through 26.11
$x a-\varepsilon \bar{\eta}-y \bar{a}^{a}-k!$ ododh $i$ he always just broke them in two 29.1
al-xik! $i l h i^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to see him
gwiditha he kept throwing it (164.11)
lok!ôlha he was always trapping them 78.4: 100.4

The non-aoristic forms of these frequentatives dispense with the repeated rowel ( $\check{c}$ ) characteristic of the aorist, so that the introduction of an inorganic $-a^{\prime}$ - is necessitated:
gwida'than I shall keep throwing it
al-xik! a'lhik: I used to see him (inferential)
The remarks made under method 1 in regard to the formation of frequentatives directly from the verb-stem rather than the aorist stem apply also here (sgot!ôtha 108.8 from verb-stem sgōt!- cut, aorist sgō ${ }^{u} d$-, like sgot!o'sgat').
S. $x+w+r+$ tha. Only two verbs have been found that follow this very irregular formula for the frequentative:

Simplex
lãp ${ }^{\prime}$ become! 25.2
lāal $\bar{p}{ }^{\prime}$ it became 22.7 )
ligigwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon_{n}}$ I fetch (game) home ( $70.3,5 ; 164.4$ )

Repetitive
[lawa'lhip' always become! (78.5) dahõa lawa'lhida ${ }^{\text {e }}$ whenever it became erening 44.1; 78.6
liwi'lhagwan I always come home with (game) (136.2)

The latter of these shows at the same time an unaccountable loss of the $-g$ - of the stem; the future of the simplex, $l_{i}^{i} g w a^{\prime} n$, probably does not exhibit an absolute loss of the $-g$-, but rather a contraction of $l \bar{i}^{i} g-g w-$ to $l \bar{i} g w-$.
§ 43

## TRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 44-51)

## § 44. General Remarks

Under this head may be conveniently listed a number of suffixes that either transitivize intransitives (causative, comitative, indirective -amd-, -ald-) or are characteristic of transitive verbs (indirective $-s-=-t x-$ то, indirective -an(an)- For, indirect reflexive). It must be confessed, however, that the various suffixes may be so thoroughly interwoven among themselves and with the purely formal elements that follow, that a certain amount of arbitrariness can hardly be avoided in treating of them. The suffixes will now be taken up in order.
§ 45. Causative -(a)n-

Causatives are formed from intransitives by the addition of $-n$ to the intransitive form, minus, of course, its formal pronominal elements. If the final sound preceding the $-n$ - is a vowel, the suffix can be directly appended, the vowel being generally lengthened; a final consonant (or semivowel), however, generally, though not always, requires a connective $-a-(-i$ when umlauted) between it and the suffix; doublets (with and without connective $-a-$ ) sometimes occur, the combination of consonant $+-n$ - then taking a constant $-a(-i)$ after it. If the accented vowel ( $\tilde{v}$ ) of the aorist immediately precedes the $-n$ in all forms, an inorganic $-h$ - must be introduced, the combination $-n h$ - then necessitating a following constant $-a$; doublets, conditioned by the position of the accent, here also occur. Certain suffixed elements $\left(-i-,-\bar{i}^{i}-\right)$ characteristic of intransitives drop off before the causative $-n$-, yet in some forms they are retained; intransitivizing elements naturally remain, for without them the verb would itself be transitive and incapable of becoming a causative. The aorist and nonaorist forms of the causative, with the qualification just made, are built up on the corresponding tense-mode forms of the primitive verb. Examples of causative -(a) $n$ - are:

Intransitive
yelnada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will be lost (a
palatalized by preceding $y$
to $-e-$ ) 14.3
yowo ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he is 21.1

Causative
yalnanada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will lose it
$b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}$-yowon $i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I woke him up (literally, I caused him to be up with my hand) 16.4
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shooting ( $?=$ I cause him to be out) (138.5)
ba-i-yowõnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$
$t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} \varepsilon k^{\prime}$ he is hot 94.15
ba-i-biliwa't you ran out 24.15
hãx it burns 94.18
$t^{\prime}$ aga $^{\prime \boldsymbol{}}{ }^{\text {e }}$ he cries 62.2
\{hoyo ${ }^{\text {s }} t^{\prime}$ he dances 46.12
\{hoida's $t^{\prime}$ he will dance
$y \bar{a}^{a} n$ - go (aorist)
yana- go (non-aorist)
hene $e^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ they were used up 184.6
yow ${ }^{\prime \prime} s_{s}$ he started, was startled 186.10
$y \bar{o}^{\prime \mu s} s d \bar{a}^{a}$ he will start 186.10
t!obigu he lies like dead
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { t!obaga'sd } \bar{a}^{a} \text { he will lie like } \\ \text { dead (148.8) }\end{array}\right.$
s.as iñ he stands 144.14
$s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ he will stand
de-gülü'k!alx it blazes 188.15
$p^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} e^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ he goes to war 126.13
daki-limĩmxgwas (tree) falls on him (108.12)
$t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u} g a n a^{\prime s} n$ I make him hot
ba-i-biliwana't' he ran him out [hãxna he burned it 98.8
hãxank'wa he burned him up 27.16
$\left\{t^{\prime} a g \bar{a}^{a}{ }^{n} \alpha^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right.$ I make him cry
t'egẽnxi he makes me cry
hoyodan $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I make him dance hoidana'n I shall make him dance
( $y \bar{a}^{a} n a^{\text {'n }}$ he made him go; $y \bar{a}^{a}{ }^{-}$ $n$ an $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I made him go
yãnha ( $=$ * yãn-nha $^{\text {a }}$ he made him go; yãnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I made him go.
yana $\bar{a}^{a} a^{\prime} n^{1} I$ shall cause him to go
$i$-henenini $i^{\prime s} n$ I used them up y $y \bar{o} \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} u t s!\mathrm{an} x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I startled you yow $\bar{o}^{\prime \mu s}$ snixbis $n$ (for change of $a$ to $i$ see $\S 42,12$ )
$\left\{y o^{\prime} u t s!\mathrm{an} a n \mathrm{I}\right.$ shall startle him y $\bar{o}^{u s}$ nan
t!obigũnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I make him lie like dead
t!obaga'snan I shall make him lie like dead
 blaze
$p^{\prime} e l e^{\prime} x a n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I make him go to war
daki-limimxgwadinisn I chop (tree) on to him

Intransitive Causative
yewe ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he returned $49.10 ; \quad b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}$-yewẽn he cured him (lit88.5 erally, he caused him with his hand to return up) 15.2 The causative in - $\tilde{v} n h a-$ is sometimes usitative in meaning:
lohõnha he used to kill them; lohõn he killed them 142.9
Examples occur of transitives in $-n$ - formed from intransitives in which no causative notion can be detected:
$d a-l o ̃ n h a^{\varepsilon} n$ I lied to him; de-lünhixi he lied to me (intransitive da-lõt' $e^{e}$ I shall lie [110.23])
gel-way $\bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{n} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I slept with her (26.4); gel-wa-ina' $n$ I shall sleep with her (108.3) (intransitive wayãnt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I sleep [188.22]; wait' $e^{e}$ I shall sleep [188.20]); but wayãnha $n$ I cause him to sleep (162.1); wainhan I shall cause him to sleep, wainha put him to sleep! 106.4,8
The connective $a$ of the causative suffix-an-in the aorist is treated differently from the $a$ of the non-aorist forms in so far as in the former case the -an- diphthong, when stressed, receives a raised accent, while in the latter the $a$, as a strictly inorganic element, takes the falling accent. Thus:

Aorist
$h \tilde{o}^{u} g w^{\prime} \mathrm{n}$ he made him run (yewẽn he caused him to return)
( $p!$ agãn he bathed him [186.25])

Non-aorist
hogwa'n make him run!
yeewa'n make him return!
$p!\bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} n$ bathe him! 186.24

In other words, the phonetic relation between aorist and non-aorist illustrated by several verb types (e.g., agan-: ag[a]n-) is reflected also in the causative suffix ( $-a n-:-[a] n-$ ). The same is true of other $-[a] n-$ suffixes not causative in signification (see $\S 42,10$ ):

Aorist
$\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ he fixed it 150.13
(k!emẽnxbin I make you 27.9)

Non-aorist
$\bar{i}-k!\bar{u} m a^{\prime} n$ fix $i t!$
k!ema'n make it! 186.24
§ 46. Comitative -(a) gw-
Comitatives, i. e., transitive forms with the general meaning of to do some action (expressed by verb-stem) together with, attended by, having something (expressed by object of verb), may be formed only from intransitives by the suffix -gw- (final $-k^{* w}$, rarely $-k ; w a$ in monosyllables); after a consonant (including semivowel) a connective $-a$ - appears before the $-g w$-, though in a few cases (as in aorist $y \bar{a}^{a} n$ - GO) the $-g w$ - is directly appended. Dissyllabic stems ending in vowel $+-g$-or $-w$ - often add the comitative $-g w$ - directly, in
which case the preceding rowel is generally lengthened; doublets, however, are sometimes found with connecting $a$. The second vowel of aorist stems is apt to be lengthened in comitative forms, yet not as consistently as in the case of causatives. Differing in this respect from the causative -n-, the comitative suffix does not require the loss of a final aoristic intransitive element (e. g., $-i-$ ). From aorist lohoidie are formed lohou-n-Cause to die, but lohoy-agw- die together witif. The reason seems clear. While the action of a causative verb is logically transitive, that of a comitative is really intransitive, and the verb is only formally transitive. In the former case the subject of the verb does not undergo the action that would be expressed by the intransitive stem (lohoi-); in the latter it does. Examples of the comitative are:

| Intransitive | Comitative |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\int^{y \bar{a}^{a} n-\mathrm{go}}$ (aorist) | $y \tilde{a} n \mathrm{k}^{\prime w}$ he takes it along (lit., he goes having it) 17.13 |
| yana- (non-aorist) | yanagwa'nk' he will take it along |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ligi- come home from hunt } \\ \text { (aorist) }\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { l } \\ 7 z^{i} g \text {-(non-aorist) }\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}l i i^{2} \text { gwank } \quad\left(=l i \bar{i} g-g w a n k k^{\circ}\right) \text { he } \\ \text { will fetch game home(130.6) }\end{array}\right.$ |
| gini $(g)$ - go to | ginīi ${ }^{\mathrm{g} w} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ take it to (31.11); also giniyagwa's $n$ (13.12); future ginagwa'n (=ginaggwa'n with inorganic $a$ because of preceding $n$ ) (146.6) |
| dal-yewey-run away | dal-yeweya' $k$ 'w he ran away with it |
| $w \bar{i}^{i}$ - travel | wik'wa he travels around with it 14.2 |
| $l \bar{o}^{u l}$ l- play | lōulagwa'sn I play with him (124.14) |
| daway-fly | bāa ${ }^{a}$-wa-dawaya' $k^{\prime}$ 'w he flies with it |
| henen- use up, be satiated yewey- return | henenagwa $a^{\prime} n$ I eatit all (43.12) yeweyagw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I fetch them back (30.1; 47.13) |
| yaway- talk | yawayagwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I talk about it (lit., I talk having it) 108.12 |
| \{he el. sing (non-aorist) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}n \tilde{a} x-\bar{i}-h e^{e} l a g w a^{\prime} n \text { I shall sing } \\ \text { with pipe in hand }\end{array}\right.$ |
| Welel- (aorist) | i-helelagw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I sing with it in |
| § 46 | hand |

Intransitive
t!obagas- lie like dead (non-
aorist)
$\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} s$ - laugh
baxam-come
biliw- fight, jump

Comitative
näx-da-t!obaga'sgwanti le lies like dead with pipe in mouth $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} s^{*} g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I laugh at him
da-yawix baxama'k* they came talking (literally, mouth-talking they-camewith) 126.2
[ ${ }^{2}{ }^{u} x$ biliwagwana'k' we play at fighting (literally, play we-fight-having)
wa-bilitig ${ }^{-1}$ w $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I jump having it $\left(=*\right.$ biliugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$, see $\$ 7$ )

If the object of the comitative verb is other than a third person, the suffix - $g w$ - is followed by the indirective $-d-$, which does not ordinarily appear as such, but unites with the immediately following transitive connective $-x$ - to form - $s$-; a connective $-a$ - is inserted between the $-g w-$ and the $-s$-, so that the whole comitative suffix for a first or second personal object is -(a)gwas-. Examples are:
$\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }_{s} \cdot$ gwasi he laughs at me
henenagwa'sam he ate us up (192.15)
$b \bar{a}^{a}-w a$-dawiyagwa'sbink: he will fly up with you
The form -gwad- of the comitative suffix appears as such preceding -in- (umlauted from -an-) in the third personal object of indirect Forforms built up on intransitive verbs derived from transitives:
lük! $\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a$ gwadini ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I trap for him (probably $=I$ cause $[-i n]$ him to be having [-gwad-] [some one] to trap [7ük!ü-xa-] [for him]); but luk! $\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a g w a s i$ he traps for me
$p^{\prime}$ eléxagwadinien I go to war for him; but p'ele'xagwasi he goes to war for me
It is highly probable, however, that in such cases the -qwad- is to be definitely analyzed into a comitative element -gwa- + an indirective element $-d-\left(-t^{*}-\right)$ To, FOR; this seems to be pointed out by the fact that when the FOR-object becomes identical with the subject, i. e., when the verb becomes an indirect reflexive (for one's self), the -llimmediately precedes the regular reflexive suffix -gwi-, leaving the causative suffix - (a) $n$ - between it and the comitative suffix -gw-:
lük! $\ddot{i}^{\prime} \times a$ gwant $^{\prime}$ gwide $e^{\varepsilon}$ I trap for myself (probably $=\mathrm{I}$ cause $[-a n-]$ myself [-gwi-] to be having [-gw-][some one] to trap[7ük! iu-xa-] for [-t $\left.\left.t^{-}-\right][\mathrm{me}]\right)$

Comitatives in -gw- are formed not only from intransitivized transitives in -xa- (e. g., $\overline{\text {-lüubu' } x a k * w ~ S H E ~ p o u n d s ~ w i t h ~ i t ~ i n ~ h a n d ~[55.10] ; ~}$ 56.1), but also from non-agentive intransitives in $-x$ - (see below, $\S 56$ ). Examples are:
ba-i-s $\cdot i l i x$ he landed

Comitative
$s g o^{-1} u_{\text {sgwa }} a^{\text { }} n$ I got tired ${ }^{x}$ of it (21.6)
$h e^{e z}-w a-t^{\prime} b \tilde{b}^{u} k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a^{\prime} x$ gwa he lay down with it clasped in his arms 154.6
wa-t ge $e^{e} y a^{\prime} l x g w a^{s} n$ I roll with it $w a-i-s \cdot \ddot{u g} q \ddot{u}^{\prime} s \cdot \ddot{u} g \mathrm{gw} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I am sleepy (literally, something like: I am confused having sleep)
$b a-i-s \cdot i i^{\prime} x g w a$ he landed with (his canoe) 13.5

The obverse, as it were, of these transitive forms in - $x$-gwa-, is given by certain rather curious Class I intransitive forms in -x-gwa-built up on intransitive, not, like normal $-x$ - deriratives, on transitive stems; they may be literally translated as to be with (or having) (something) dong or beng. Thus from the intransitive aorist daki-limim- (tree) falls on top of is formed the intransitive dak:limimxgwades it falls on top of me (108.12), in which the logical subject (trees) becomes an implied object, while the real object or goal of motion (ME) is treated as the grammatical subject. The form quoted would hare to be literally translated as I AM With (or having) (it) falling on top of (aie). I (as tree) fall having it, together with it would probably be something like *dak'limīimgwa's $n$. Morphologically similar to dake-limimxgwade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ are doubtless:
hewe'hoxgwades I yawn (literally, I am having - [ ? ])
yele ${ }^{\prime s}$ sgwade $e^{\varepsilon}(=y e l e t!-x-g w a-$ ) I am sweating (literally, I am -having it, i. e., perspiration [?])
With such an interpretation, the form daki-limimxgwadinisn I chop it on to him becomes readily intelligible as a causative built

[^23]up on an intransitive in -xywa-; literally translated it would read I CaUSe ( $-i n$ ) him to be with ( $-g w a d-$-) ( it ) falling ( $\mathrm{limim}^{i} m-x$ - ) on TOP of ( $\left.d a k^{\circ}-\right)$ (нім). This chimes in well with the interpretation given above of the really very perplexing "for" forms in -ywadin- and -gwant gwi.

As will have been noticed from some of the examples already' given (yawayagw- talk about, $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{i \varepsilon} s$ gwa- laugh at, $s \bar{o}^{u}{ }^{u} s g w a-\mathrm{Be}$ tired of, henenagw- CONSUAE), the primarily comitative meaning of the $-g w$ - suffix is sometimes greatly obscured, at times practically lost. Other examples illustrating this weakening of the fundamental signification are:

| Intransitive | Comitaive |
| :---: | :---: |
| hoyod- dance | hoyod-agw- dance (a particular kind of) dance $100.15 ; 102.9$ |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}-y \bar{a}^{a} n$ - go up | $b \bar{t}^{a}-y \bar{a}^{a} n-g w$-pick up 24.3 ; 59.15 |
| $b a-i$-ginig-go out to, come | bu-i-gini ${ }^{i}-g w-$ take out (no leg motion necessarily implied) |
| xeben- do (so) | xebeey-agw-1 hurt, destroy 136.23 |

```
§47. Indirective - d-(-s-)
```

The -d- of the indirect object never appears in its naked form (except, as we have seen, in certain forms in -rywad-; see also under - $d$ - in petrified suffixes), but always combined into -s- with the following element $-x$ - that serves to bind pronominal objects of the first and second persons to the verb-stem with its derivative sulfixes (see $\$ 64)$. The indirect object of the third person is not normally expressed by this $-d$-, but, like an ordinary direct third personal object, is left unexpressed, the general character of the rerb being impliedly indirective. As a matter of fact, an incorporated pronominal indirect object is used only when the direct olject is of the third person, never of the first or second; and, since the pronominal object of the third person is never expressed in the verb, this means that what is translated as the indirect object is in reality morphologically the direct object of the verb. The indirective idea is merely a derivative development; or, more correctly, certain transitive rerbs with indirective " face" require an $-\delta-(=-d-+-x-)$ instead of $-x$ - with an incorporated object of the first or second person. I give it to him is, then, really rendered in Takelma by i-min-give; i give it to your, by i-

[^24]you-give; i give him food, by i-him-food-give, in which the logically indirect object him must be looked upon as the direct object of the rerbal complex food-give (food, not being a pronominal object, is loosely incorporated as a prefix in the verb) ; i give you food, by I-YOU-FOOD-GIVE, the pronominal combination I you being expressed at the end of the verb-complex in the same form as in a simple transitive like r-rou-see, except that it is preceded by -sinstead of $-x$-; such combinations as i give you to hims, me and he gives me to you, him can not be expressed by one verb-form. In these latter cases the grammatical object of the verb is no longer indirectly affected by the action; hence another, though probably etymologically related, verb-stem is employed, while the indirect object is expressed by a local phrase outside the rerb: i give you to him ( $=$ I-You-give [ not indirective "face"] hmi-to), $-x$-, not $-s$-, preceding the combination y you. The idea of to in intransitives like go, prex, and so on, is regularly expressed by such an extra-verbal local phrase. Many verbs that, from our point of view, seem ordinary transitives, are in Takelma provided with the indirective $-s$-. Examples illustrating the use of this $-s$ - are:

| orist | Future |
| :---: | :---: |
| ogoyis ${ }^{\prime} n^{1} \mathrm{~F}$ give it to him 180.11 | $o^{\prime} \mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ in (170.13; 180.9,16) |
| oyu's $b i^{\text {² }} n$ I give it to you 23.3 | $o^{\prime}$ sbin (178.15) |
| (oyõnxbicn I give you) | (oinxbin I shall give you) |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { wêt } \left.g i^{\varepsilon} n \text { (for }-g-\text { see } \S 42,5\right) \text { I } \\ \text { took it from him } 76.1 \end{array}\right.$ | wede' $k$ 'in $(17.10,11)$ |
| wẽs $h i^{\text {en }}$ I I took it from you (17.3) | wede'sbin ( $16.10,11$ ) |
| \{al-da-p $\hat{o}^{u} p^{\circ} \mathrm{iw} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I blew atit (15.1) |  |
| $\left\{a l-d a-p^{\prime} \tilde{u} p^{\prime} a u s b i^{\varepsilon} n\right.$ I blew at you |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & w \bar{a}^{\alpha} g i w i^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{n} \text { I brought it to him } \\ & (\text { for }-w \text {-see } \S 42,11)(176.17) \end{aligned}$ | wagawi'n I shall bring it to him |
| wa $a^{a} g a^{\prime}$ s $a m^{2}$ he brought it to us (194.11) | wege'sink' he will bring it to me |
| Seiyis $n$ I hurt him |  |
| \{eiss $i^{\text {s }} n \mathrm{I}$ hurt ${ }^{\text {cou }}$ |  |
| fgayaũ he ate him 54.5 | ga-iwa'nk' 130.5 |
| \{ gayausbis $n$ I ate you | gaisbink: he will eat you 26.8 |
| $\left\{a l-y e b e b i^{\prime s} n\right.$ Ishowed it to him(77.8) | al-yebbi'n I shall show it to him |
| al-yebe $p$ shis $n$ I showed it to you | al-yépsi show it to me! |

[^25]Some verbs that belong here show the $-s$ - only in the aorist, other forms having only $-x$-. Examples are:

Aorist Future
[he $e^{\epsilon \varepsilon-i} w^{\prime} w i^{\prime s} n$ I went away from $h e^{e \varepsilon-i} w i^{\prime} n$
him 23.12
 you (184.14,15)
$y \bar{\imath}^{i} m i \pi s b i^{i} n$ I lent it to you 98.15 yimi'xi lend it to me! $95.14,21$
[ $\overline{\mathrm{z}}$-t!aüt!iwizn I catch him 33.4
\{ī-t!aut! $a^{\prime} u s b i$ he caught you
\{naga ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I said to him 72.9
naga'sbi ${ }^{\text {® }} n$ I said to you 108.4
$d a k^{\prime}-d a-h \bar{a}^{a} l i^{\prime \prime} n \mathrm{I}$ answered him
$\bar{i}-t \cdot \bar{a}^{a} w i^{\prime} n$ (33.8)
i-t!āũxbink: (140.15)
$n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} n(15.15 ; 196.20)$
nãxbin (60.3)
dak'-da-hala'kin (61.6)
$d a k^{*}-d a-h \tilde{a} l s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I answered you $d a k^{*}-d a-h a l a^{\prime} \times b i n$ (134.20)
$\int s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I fight him (110.20) sana'n (28.15; 33.9)
$\left\lfloor s \tilde{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n s b i^{\varepsilon} n\right.$ I fight you
sana'xbin

## § 48. Indirective - $\left(a^{\prime}\right) / d-$

This suffix is probably composed of the continuative - -7 (see $\$ 42.9$ ) and the indirective $-d$-, though, unlike the latter suffix, it is always employed to transitivize intransitives, a characteristic intransitive element of the aorist (e.g., -i-) regularly remaining. After vowels, the suffix appears simply as -ld-; afier consonants and semivowels, a connective $-a$ - is generally introduced, which, when accented, receives a falling pitch. The general idea conveyed by the suffix is that of purposive action toward some person or object, so that it may be conveniently translated by moving at or toward, in order to reache, going to get. Examples of its use are:
hiliũt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I climb
yada't $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ swim (yadad-)
bili $^{\text {'us }}$ he jumped 32.13; 78.11
(da-t!ayáis they went to get da-t!ayaldi's $n$ I went to got it 1. (something) to eat 75.9
$d a-d \bar{a}^{a} y a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ (future) (33.9)
sgele ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ he shouted 59.4; 90.s
 yadada' lda $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I swim for him (tw save him from drowning)
yededa'lsi he swims for me
biliwa'lsa ${ }^{\text {n }}$ n they fought (literally, they jumped at, for each other) 27.4
rat; da-t!ayãlt' he went to get it to eat (a shows hy its accemt that it is part of stem) T(6.!
$\left.d a-d \bar{a}^{\alpha}\right] \mathrm{d} \bar{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ (future) (33.9)
syelewa'lt' he shouted to, for him 59.4; (94.1)
> wiliw- go, run
> $x u d u^{\prime} \varepsilon_{m}$ he whistled
> ligi ${ }^{\prime k}{ }^{* w}$ he fetched home (game)
> $70.3 ; 128.12 ;$ ligi's $^{\text {s }}$ he came home (with game) 124.22
fwiliwa'ld $a^{s} n \mathrm{I}$ go and show it to him lde-wiliwa'ldasn I fight him (27.3) xuduma'ld $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I whistled to him (33.16)
de-ligia'lt he fetched it for him to eat 126.9; 130.9
yonoba'lt" they held nets waiting for fish 32.1

In $w \tilde{o}^{u} l l^{\prime}$ he went after it 29.12 the -ld-is confined to the aorist;
 after it (162.8,10).
§ 49. Indirective - $\left(a^{\prime}\right) m d-$
There hardly seems to be any significant difference between this and the preceding suffix, except that the indirective force of $-\left(a^{\prime}\right) m d-$ seems in many cases to be much less clear and that it may be appended to transitive as well as to intransitive stems. It is quite probable that in some of the examples the $-m$ - of the suffix is really the dissimilated product of an original $-l$ - because of an $-l$ - of the stem (see § 21 ); yet this explanation could not be made to apply to all the cases. Those forms that contain a radical $-l$ - are given first:
malayia ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ they are jealous (cf. malag-, malagan- tell)
yala'kide I dive (61.S)
(lagag-feed)
legwe'l he sucked it (186.18)
(geleg- twirl)
uynūts!- laugh
ya'mt' ask him! 70.6
k!emen- make
$d a k^{*}-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u b a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put (hat-like object) over as covering
$-\left(a^{\prime}\right) m d-$
$\left.t!i^{i}\right]^{\prime} \mathrm{md} a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ fish for(salmon)
ts:lelela'mdas I paint him ( $=\mathrm{I}$
put paint-s $\cdot e^{\prime \cdot l}$-on to him)
s-in-delega'msdam you put holes in my nose 22.2
malaga'msbi $n$ I am jealous of you
yalaga'mda $a^{〔} n$ I dive for it (60.10)
lagaga'mda ${ }^{\text { }} n$ I paid him (184.17)
legwela'md $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I sucked it out of him
dīis-al-gelegala'mda $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.3)
$d \tau^{\varepsilon}-\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} t s!$ amd $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I fool him
yamda'mt' (go and) ask of him !74.10
p;oryamd $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I smoke them out (76.11)
$b \bar{a}^{a}-k!$ emena'md $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I make him ready to go (76.13)
dak' $-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u b$ amt she covered it (basket) over 61.9

## § 50. Indirective - ( $\alpha$ )n( $\alpha n$ )-" for"

From transitives, never from intransitives, are formed verbs in -(a) $n$ or -(a)nan- (the first -a- is the connective vowel alrearly spoken of) signifying to do (the act expressed by the verb-stem) for, in behalf of (the object of the verb). No rule can be given as to when -(a)n- or -(a)nan- is to be used, the two suffixes being frequently found to interchange in the same form. It is not likely that -(a)nanis a mere duplication of the simpler -(a) $n$-, as no other case of suffixreduplication could be shown to exist in Takelma, but rather a compound suffix consisting of two distinct elements that happen to be homonymous. Neither of the -(a)n- elements in-(a)nan-, however, can be identified with either the causative -(a) $n$ - or the petrified -(a) $n$ of certain transitive verbs (see $\S 42,10$ ), for the full -(a)nan- suffix is found suffixed to them (e. g., lohōninini ${ }^{\prime \prime} n$ I killed him ror Him [ = I Caused him to die for him]). As in the case of the ordinary indirect object-suffix - $-s$-, only the third person (and that, as far as the pronoun is concerned, by implication) is tolerated as the logical object, the grammatical object being alwars the person in whose behalf the action is done. If the formal (i. e., indirect) object of the verb is of the third person, the - (a)n- or - (a) nan- is nearly always followed by the "instrumental" $i$ (see § 64), an umlaut of the suffix to $-(i) n$ - or $-(i) n i n-$ necessarily resulting (see $\& \delta, 3 c$ ). The longer form of the suffix -(a)nan is apt to be limited to the rorist forms with third personal object; non-aorist forms and aorist forms with first or second personal object generally have the shorter form of the suffix, -(a)n-. What was said above of a phonctic character in regard to the causative $-(a) n$ - applies also here. Examples are:

Transitive Indirective
wa $a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-t!o x o x^{\varepsilon} i^{i} n$ gather them (192.4)
$\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ he fixed it
(150.13; 186.16,18)
 them for him
wa $a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-t!\bar{u} x u x a n x i$ he gathers them for me
 him
$\bar{i}$-K!umininini'nk' he will fix it for him
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u} \text { manan' }^{\prime} x i \text { he fixed it for } \\ \text { me } \\ \bar{i}-k!\bar{u} m a n a{ }^{\prime} n \hbar i \text { fix it for him! }\end{array}\right.$ § 50

| Transitive | Indirective |
| :---: | :---: |
| $l \bar{a}^{a} b a^{\prime s_{n}}$ I carry it ( $\left.178.4, \overline{5}, 6\right)$ | $\int l \bar{a}^{a} b i n i n i^{\prime s} n$ I carry it for him l $\bar{a}^{a} b a^{\prime} \mathrm{n} h a^{\text {s }} n$ |
|  | $l e^{e} b a^{\prime} \mathrm{n} x i$ he carries it for |
| $\bar{o}^{\prime} u g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I trap them (78.5) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} l^{u} g^{u} \operatorname{inin} i^{\prime s} n \text { I trap them for } \\ \operatorname{him}_{o^{\prime} u g i n} i^{s} n \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} (p!i y i n) l \bar{u}^{\prime} u g a n x i \\ \text { (deer) her me } \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $7 \bar{o}^{\prime} k!$ inin I shall trap them for $\quad$ him |
| [7!adāi- pick (aorist) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} k!a d a y i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I pick them for } \\ \quad \operatorname{him}^{k!a d \bar{a} \tilde{i} h i n i^{\xi} n} \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $k!$ !edeya'nxi he picks them for him |
| $\bar{k}!\bar{a}^{a} d$ - pick (non-aorist) | $k!\bar{a}^{a} d$ inini $i^{\prime} n ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ p i c k ~ t h e m ~$ for him |
| $d e^{\varepsilon-i-w i^{\prime} i g i^{\varepsilon} n}$ I spread it out (120.1) | $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-w \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} g$ an $x i$ he spreads it out for me |
| lilemen- make | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} k!\text { !emenini'ধ } n \text { I make it for } \\ \mathrm{him} \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | k!emnini'n I shall make it for $\quad$ him |
| limimana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I fell tree (cause it to fall) (108.11) | limiminini ${ }^{\prime \prime} n$ I fell it for him |
| lohō ${ }^{u} n a^{\prime s} n$ I cause him to die (142.9) | $\left(l o h \bar{o}^{u} n \operatorname{ninin} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right.$ I killed him for |
|  | loh. $\bar{o}^{u} n$ ana'n $h i$ he killed him for lim |
|  | $l \bar{u} h \bar{u}^{u} n a^{\prime} n x i$ he killed him for me |
| dṍmk'wank: he will kiil him (116.18) | dō ${ }^{u}$ mana'nk' $^{\prime}$ wank' he will kill him for him |
| $s \bar{a}^{a}$ gwas $n$ I paddle it (60.1; 112.9) | han-se ${ }^{e} g w a^{\prime} \mathrm{n} \sin \mathrm{I}$ am paddled across (literally, it, i. e., canoe, is paddled across for me) |
| p!ahanana's $n$ I cause it to be cooked, done | p!ahayinini $i^{*} n^{1}$ I make it done for him |

A number of transitive rerbs in $-(a) n(a n)$ - in which the for (in behalf of) idea is not clearly apparent nevertheless doubtless belong here. Such are:
$a l^{\varepsilon}-\bar{o}^{u} d \mathrm{in} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I look around for him (92.27)
$\bar{i}^{\varepsilon}$-odon $i^{\prime} n$ I shall feel around for it
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\left(\bar{o}^{u} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I hunt for him }\right. \\ [116.8])\end{array}\right.$ malagana'nhi he told him 30.15 (mala' $x 6 i$ he told you [162.6]) It not infrequently happens in verbs where the logical relation existing between the subject and a first or second personal oobject can hardly be other than an indirect one, that the for idea is expressed by means of the simple transitive form with $-x$ - or $-s$ - insteal of the more explicit indirective $-(a) n(a n)-$, as shown in the following examples:
$k!e d e ̀ i s i ~ h e ~ p i c k s ~ t h e m ~ f o r ~ m e ~(l i t e r a l l y, ~ h e ~ p i c k s ~ t o ~ m e, ~ a l o n g-~$ side of $k!e d e y a^{\prime} n x i$ he picks them for me) ${ }^{x}$
$m e^{\varepsilon} b \tilde{e} p^{\prime} x i p^{\prime}$ come and chop out (a hole) for me (to enable me to get out) (literally, come and chop me!) 90.16
gel-ts!eye'mxi he hid it from me (158.7); but gel-ts!ayaminés $n$ I hid it from him
The idea of doing something for some one when the action is an intransitive one can not be expressed in the verb itself, so that periphrases of one kind or another are resorted to; e. g., I GO FOR mine is expressed by i go, he having sent me. In verbs that are intransitive only in form, but logically still transitive, that is, in transitive verbs with unexpressed object, the For idea is expressed by the complex suffix -gwa'dan- (with first or second personal object-gwas-), the analysis of which has been attempted above (see § 46). Thus we have ( $p$ ! iyin) $l \bar{o}^{\prime} u \operatorname{gin}(i n) i^{\varepsilon} n$ I TRAP (DEER) for him built up on a transitive in both form and meaning (i. e., $7 o^{-\quad} \mathrm{g} a^{\varepsilon} n$ ), but lük!ü'ragwa$d i n i^{\varepsilon} n$ I TRAP FOR him built up on a formal intransitive (liuk! $u^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ ). The idea of FOR, in behalf of one's Self is rendered in transitive verbs by adding to the indirective suffix $-(a) n(a n)$-the regular reflexive suffix -k'wi- (-gwi-):
d $\bar{o}^{u}$ mana'nk' wid $\bar{a}^{a}$ he will kill them for himself
t! $\bar{u} m \tilde{u} k ' w a n k ' w i d e^{\varepsilon}$ I kill them for myself
$d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-w^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{i} g a n k k^{*}$ wide $e^{\varepsilon}$ I spread it out for myself
han-seegwa'nk wides ${ }^{\boldsymbol{I}}$ paddle myself across, really, I padlle (canoe) across for myself

[^26]In intransitive verbs with implied transitive force a $-t^{\prime}$ - is inserted between the indirective -(a)n(an)- and the reflexive -gwi-:
lük! $\ddot{u}^{\prime}$ xagwant ${ }^{\prime}$ wit ${ }^{\prime}$ he traps for himself
Also this form in -gwant gwi- was explained above.

## § 51. Indirect Reflexive -gwa-

By indircet reflexive is here meant action in reference to something belonging to one's self, not action in behalf of one's self. From the latter idea (expressed, as we have seen, by -[a]n[an]liwi- and -[a]n[an]$t \cdot g w i-)$ the indirect reflexive in -ywa-differs in being always found in a transitive setting; from the comitative - $(a) g w(a)$ - it differs phonetically in being formed only from transitive verbs with expressed object and in the constancy of the final $-a$ - (third person aorist $-k^{*} w a$, not $\left.-k^{*} w\right)$. Examples of its use are:
s'in- ${ }^{-} \overline{-}-t t^{\prime} g i i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ sgwa ${ }^{1}$ he scratched his own nose $14.11 ; 15.7$
$m a ̃ n x$ al- $n \bar{u}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a\left(=g w-k^{*} w a\right)$ he painted his own face (cf. $n \tilde{o}^{w^{\prime}} g w-$ $i^{\xi} n$ I paint it)
$\bar{i}$-gaxaga'xgwa $n$ I scratch myself, i. e., my own (cf. $\bar{i}$-gaxagixisis $n$ I scratch him)
$\overline{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{p}!\bar{\imath}^{i}-n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ wa warm your nands! (188.20) (cf. $\bar{\imath}-p!\grave{\imath}^{i}-n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \bar{k}^{\prime} w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I warm his hands)
s'in- $d e^{e} l e^{\prime} p$ gwa he stuck it into his own nose (cf. $d \bar{a}^{a}$-delé $p^{\circ} i$ he pierced his - another's - ear)
bills ${ }^{\text {Eal-giliga'lk'was } n ~ I ~ c o v e r e d ~ m y s e l f ~ w i t h ~ m o s s ~(48.14) ~(c f . ~ b i l l s ~}$ $\bar{i}$-giligilis $n$ I covered him with moss)
bils ${ }^{\varepsilon_{1}}$-giliga' $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I covered my hands with moss
gwen-p!iyi'nk'wa he lies on pillow (probably $=$ he causes his neck to lie) ${ }^{2}$
k!edè̀k'wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I pick them for myself (literally, I pick my own)
de-k: $\mathfrak{u} \mathrm{u}^{\circ}$ auk wak' he brandished it before his face 172.11
$\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\prime} n \mathrm{k}$ wa he prepared himself, got ready 172.2 (cf. $\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u}-$ $m a ` n$ he fixed it, got it ready 114.7)
It will be noticed that whenever what in English we are accustomed to consider a direct reflexive is really such only in form, not in fact, the Takelmaidiom requires the indirect $-k$ ' $w a$ - form, not the direct reflexive in -ywi-. Thus, i see or scratch myself is not logically a reflexive in the same sense as i kill, drown, or hang myself, the former involving strictly action on what belongs to the subject, not on the subject itself: i see or scratch my own (flesh). Still such distinctions can

[^27]hardly be insisted upon; much depends on idiomatic usage. The indirect reflexive suffix, it would seem, is employed only when the direct object is incorporated in the verb; if the direct object is taken out of the verb-complex and provided with a possessive pronoun, all ambiguity as to the relation between subject and object is removed and the -gwa-falls out. Thus we have $d \bar{a}^{a}-d e^{e} l e^{\prime} p$ gwa he pierced his own ear with indirect reflexive -gwa- to show the possession of the object ( $d \bar{a}^{a}-\mathrm{EAR}$ ) by the subject; d $\bar{a}^{a} d e l e^{\prime} p^{`} i$ would mean me pierced another's ear. The former sentence can also be expressed more analytically by dãnxdagwa hadele' $p$ ' $i$ His-own (-daywa) -Ear he-in-pierced-it; dãnxda hadele' $p^{\prime} i$ would then have reference to the piercing of another's ear. In other words, the reflexive idea is expressed in the verb or in the noun according to whether the latter is incorporated or independent.

## INTRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 52-57)

## § 52. General Remarks

Under this head are included such suffixes as intransitivize a transitive verb by removing the object ( $-x a-$ ), transferring the object from without to within the sphere of the subject (reflexive, reciprocal), or changing the character of the action altogether (non-agentive, positional). The passive intransitivizes by remoring, not the object, but the subject, the former remaining in exactly the same form in which we find it in the corresponding transitive; the voice is characterized by peculiar suffixes that differ for the various tense-morles, and which, following as they do the pronominal clements of the rerl), will receive appropriate treatment in discussing the purely formal verbal elements. The normal transitive, its ancillary passive, the active intransitive $(-x a-)$, the reflexive, the reciprocal, the non-agentive, and the positional may be looked upon as the seven voices of a transitive verb, of which only the first five (possibly also the sixth), however, can be freely formed from any transitive stem. Of the seven roices, the first two are provided with a distinct set of pronominal object (and transitive subject) suffixes; the third and the fifth, with (lass I intransitive subjects; the remaining, with Class II iutransitive subjects.

Before giving examples of the intransitive suffixes, it may be useful to rapidly follow out a particular transitive stem (dink!- STRETCL OUT [ = base din-+ transitive petrifiedsuffix-k!-]) in its various voices. First
of all, we may form an ordinary active transitive verb with expressed object by attaching to the verb or aorist stem the appropriate pronominal suffixes: $b a-i-d e-d i^{\prime} n i k!a^{\varepsilon} n$ i stretch it out (like a rubber band or the like) (62.1). Secondly, from this may be formed a passive by the addition to the stem (dinik!!) of the pronominal object and characteristic passive suffix: $b a-i-d e-d i^{\prime} n i k!a n$ it is or was (actively) stretched out. Thirdly, the transitive stem may be made intransitive by a failure to specify the object: ba-i-de-di'nisxade i stretch (something) out. Fourthly, a direct reflexive is formed by the suffix -gwi-: $b a-i-d e-d i^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} w i d e^{\varepsilon}$ I (actually, if such were possible) stretch myself out, in as literal a sense as in, e. g., i kill myself. Fifthly, the transitive form may be made reciprocal by the compound suffix - $x$-(or $-s$-) an-: $b a-i-d e-d i^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon} x a^{s} n$ THEY (actively and literally) stretch one another out. Sixthly, the non-agentive roice is formed by a suffixed $-x-: b a-i-d e-d i n i^{\prime s} x$ it stretches out (144.14), in the sense in which a sore might be supposed to spread, without rolition and without apparent agency; this particular form is idiomatically employed to refer to the stretching out, adrancing, marching, of a single column, the figure here being evidently that of a long stringlike line moving out without distinctly sensed agency. Similarly, $b \bar{a}^{a}-d i n i^{\prime s} x$ (CloUdS) SPread UP in long strips 13.3 are not actively spread out by some one, do not spread out some unexpressed object, are not conceived of as actually spreading themselves out, and are not conceived of as being in the static, purely positional condition of lying extended. Seventhiy, the last, positional voice is expressed by an aoristic $-\bar{i}^{i}$-, non-aoristic -as-: dink! $\check{\imath}$ it lies spread out, referring to a long string or other elongated body extended on the ground; future dink!!a'sd $\bar{a}^{a}$. A synopsis for the second person singular (and reciprocal plural) of dink!-(dinik!-) spread of the seren roices in the sir tense-modes is given in Appendix A. The intransitive suffixes will now be taken up in order.

## § 53. Active Intransitive -xa-

The -a- of this suffix is a constant element except before a personal ending beginning with a vowel: pele'xik' we go to fight. Like other non-radical -a-rowels it may be umlauted to $i: s^{*} o m-l u ̈-$ hūixiyaus they (indef.) operate as somloho'lxass (class of medicine men) 172.14. The final consonant of the aorist stem of verbs of Type

8 falls out before the $-x a$-, also an indirective $d$ (including the $-d$ - of $-[a] m d-$, $[a] l d$; a final radical - $d$-, however, unites with $-x a-$ to form $-s a-$-). Verbs of Type 5 employ not the aorist, but the verb-stem, in the aorist of the -xa-derivative (cf. the parallel phenomenon in the formation of the frequentative, $\S 43,1$ and 6 ; for exceptions see $\S 40,5)$, inserting the repeated stem-vowel between the fortis consonant of the stem and the suffix; -xa- derivatives of Type 5 verbs thus belong to Type 2. For the vocalism of the stem of -xa-forms, see $\S 31,5$. Verbs in -xa- of Types 2 and 3 regularly have a short second stem vowel, even if the quantity in the primitive verb is long; this short vowel may, however, be secondarily lengthened, with falling accent, to express a frequentative idea. In non-aorist forms the stress tends to fall on the $-x a-$. Verbs in $-x a-$ can be formed, of course, only from transitives, and, although in form they are strictly intransitive, they always logically imply an object. Examples of -xa- are:
lūb $\bar{u}^{\prime} \times a^{\varepsilon}$ she pounded 16.9 ; $\bar{\imath}-l \bar{u}^{\prime} p \times a g w a n k{ }^{\prime}$ she will pound having it (pestle) 55.10 (aorist transitive lobo'p' she pounded them 16.9)
$t!\imath^{i} l a^{\prime} m \mathrm{xade} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ went fishing ( $t!t^{i}{ }^{i} l a^{\prime} m d a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ fished for them)
$k!\bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime} n \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ she sifts 57.15 ( $k!\bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime} n d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I sift acorn meal [16.10])
daki-t $t^{\prime} e t!e^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ he smokes 96.23 (Type 5 dak'-t $e^{\prime e}$ eficin I give him to smoke [170.13])
p!ebe' $\times a^{\varepsilon}$ he beat off (bark) 55.6 (p!abab-chop [90.11])
lebe'sade $e^{\varepsilon}$ sew (lebeda's $n$ I sew it)
sgūt! $\bar{u}^{\prime} \times a^{\varepsilon}$ he is cutting 92.2 (Type 5 aorist $s g \tilde{o}^{u} d-72.10$ )
$a l-x i k!i^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ he looked around 102.12 (Type 5 aorist al-xity-124.8)
 dinin I shall trap for him
$w \bar{a}^{a}-h i m i^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I was talking to somebody ( $w \bar{a}^{a}-$-himidia ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I talked to him [59.16])
$d a k^{i}-d a-h c l e^{\prime} h a l x a d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ alwavs answer ( $d a k^{\prime}-d a-h \bar{a}^{a} l^{\prime \prime} n I$ answer him [146.14])
$d a k k^{\prime}-h e n e^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ he waits; future dak'-henxa't ${ }^{\prime} e^{e}$ I slall wait (dakihenced $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wait for him)
$y i m i^{\prime} \mathrm{s}^{\cdot} \mathrm{a}^{s}\left(=-s^{s}-\mathrm{xa} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ he dreams; future yims $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{*} e^{e}$; imperative yims ${ }^{\prime} a^{\prime}$
In $k!e m e^{\prime} n \times \operatorname{xad} e^{\varepsilon}$ I was making, working (future $k!e m x^{\prime} a^{\prime} t^{e} e^{e}$ ) the loss of the $-n$ - in the non-aorist forms (cf. K!emna'n 1 shall make it [28.14]) may be due to a purely phonetic cause (see § 11)

## § 54. Reflexive -gwi-

The final consonant of the aorist stem of some verbs of Type 8 is eclipsed, with lengthening of preceding voweł, also before the reflexire -gwi- (see § 40. §), in the case of others it is preserved. Where the -gwi- reflexive is derived from indirect transitives in $-d$ - ( $-a m d-$, -qwadan-), there is often practically no difference in signification between it and the indirect reflexive -qwa-. Examples of -qwi- are:
t!omõk'wides I kill myself (from t!omom-)
al-yebe' $p^{\prime}$ gwit he showed himself (yebeb-)
$a l-x \ell^{-i} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ wit he looked at himself
$p!a g a ̃{ }^{2} \mathrm{k} w i d \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I bathed (literally், I caused myself to bathe; cf. $p!a g \bar{a}^{q} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ bathe him)
se ${ }^{e} l a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} g w i d e^{e} I$ shall paint myself (se ${ }^{e} l a^{\prime} m d a n$ I shall paint him)

\{t'gwāáa $x a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} \mathrm{g}$ wide ${ }^{e}$ I shall tattoo myself ( $=$ for myself)
$\bar{i}$-gis iga's.gwide ${ }^{\text {s }}$ I tickle myself
al-wa-ts!eyek'wides I washed myself with it
$d \bar{a}^{a}-d c l e y a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} \mathrm{gwid} e^{s}\left(=d \bar{a}^{a}-d \in l e^{\prime} p^{\prime} g w a^{\Sigma} n\right)$ I pierce my ears
(yük') k! 'emenk 'wit they made themselves (strong) 27.12
xuma ogoik'wides I give food to mrself ( $=$ I food-gire myself)
$i$-lesgi'k'wide 1 shall touch myself
Before the imperative endings $-p^{\prime},-p^{\prime}$ an $p^{\prime}$ the reflexive suffix becomes lengthened to $-g w \bar{u}^{i}$-:
$k!$ ett $\mathrm{grmi} p^{1}$ pick them for yourself!
detqua'lt ${ }^{\prime}$ gwīip'anp' take care of yourselves! 126.20; (12S.24)
The reflexive of naga-say to is irregular in that is is formed not from the transitive stem, but from the corresponding intransitive nagai-say: nagaik" wit" he said to himself 104.1 (cf. nagatk"wa, §62).

$$
\text { 855. Reciprocal }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-x \\
-s
\end{array}\right\} \text {-an- }
$$

The $-x$ - and - $s$ - preceding the characteristic reciprocal -an- (umlauted -in-) suffix are nothing but the connective consonant of direct and indirect transitive verbs respectively, the choice in the reciprocal form between the two depending entirely upon which is used in the corresponding simple transitive. A difference, however, in the use of this $-x$ - ( $-s-)$ between the transitive and reciprocal is found in so far as in the latter it appears with a third as well as first and second

[^28]personal object. The phonetic form of what precedes the $-x-(-s)$ is the same as in the transitive from which the reciprocal is derived. Tho reciprocal element -an- is the only one of the verbal sulfixes that is placed between the connecting $-x$ - and the personal endings, so that it may rightly be. looked upon as in a way equivalent to the incorporated objective pronouns. Examples of $x-a n$-are:
$k!o y o ̃ x i n i k{ }^{\prime}$ we go together, accompany one another (33.15)
$t!e ̀ u \bar{x} i n i b a^{\varepsilon} n i$ let us play shinny!
$\bar{i}$-lats! $a^{\prime}$ xinik' we touch one another
$a l-s^{*} \cdot \mathrm{in}-\bar{l}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they meet each other (literally, they thrust noses to one another)
t!omõxa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they kill one another (33.10)
gel-wayãnxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ n they were sleeping together (literally, they caused each other to sleep facing each other) 190.2
al-xíi${ }^{i} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they looked at each other
Examples of $-s-a n-$, i. e., of indirect reciprocals, are:
naga'sas $n$ they said to each other 31.9 (cf. naga'stis $n$ I said to you [100.1]) ; future nãxan ${ }^{\text {st }}{ }^{2}$ (cf. nãxbin [60.3])
$s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n s a^{\varepsilon_{n}}$ they fight one another (23.14; 1S4.13) (cf. s $s \bar{u}^{a} n s u^{\prime} n s-$ $b i^{\varepsilon} n$ ); future sana' $x^{8} n^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime}(23.15)$ (cf. sana'xbin)
hees-ius ${ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they went away from one another (ef. Were-instiven

$l \bar{a}^{a} m a^{\prime} l_{\text {sa }}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they quarreled with each other $27.2: 86.10$
$w \bar{a}^{a}-h i m i^{\prime} \mathbf{s a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they talked to one another 124.14(cf. w $\left.\bar{u}^{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha}-h i m i^{\prime} \operatorname{sic} n\right)$
lṑ ${ }^{u}$ gwa's'iniba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ let us play 32.5 (cf. lō ${ }^{u}$ gwa'sbin future)
$t!i^{\prime} l t!a l s \cdot i n i b a^{\varepsilon}$ let us play at gambling-sticks ( $t$ !iil) 31.3
al-sege'sak'sinik' we keep nodding to one another'; se $k$ 'sa' $k k^{\prime}$ sank" they nodded to one another (inferential) 1 ㄹ. 10 (but unreduplicated al-se exinik' we nodded to each other)

## § 56. Non-agentive $-x$ -

The difference in signification between the nom-agentive $-x$ - and the intransitive -xa- may be well brought out by a comparison with the distinctly double signification of English intransiticely used transitives. If such a transitive word as split be reliered of its object, it may be employed in two quite distinct senses, either to indicate the same sort of action that is expressed by the transitice, but without explicit direction (as, the carpenter can split, i. c., can split beams, boards); or to indicate a spontaneous non-volitional activity resulting in a static condition identical with that induced by the corresponding transitive action (as, the beans, boards, split, i. e., spontaneously
undergo motion resulting in that condition which is brought about by corresponding activity from without: the carpenter splits the beams, boards). split in the former case is rendered in Takelma by $x \bar{a}^{a}-t s!$ ivi'xa (aorist transitive $t s!$ iwi- $d-$ ); in the latter, br $x \bar{a}^{a}-$ ts : !ivi's. ( $=-t s$ : invi $d-x$ ). It is true that in some cases the use of $-x$ -
 al-ho-yoiya's $n$ I HUNT THEM) ; but something must be allowed for idiomatic, not literally translatable usage. Such petrified suffixes as -d- do not drop out before the-x-; the repeated consonant of Type 8 rerbs falls off as usual (ret cf. forms like 7imim-x-gwa-, \$46). Examples of the non-agentive are:

| Transitive | Xoul-agentive |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{i}-F^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} a g w^{i} n$ I awakened him 16.4 (future $\bar{i}-k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} k!$ win) | $k^{r} w \bar{a}^{\prime} a_{\mathrm{X}} d \epsilon^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ awoke (16.3) (future $k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime a \xi} \mathbf{x} d e^{e}$ [190.5]) |
| leme'sk' they took them along 144.17 | leme ${ }^{\prime s} \mathrm{x}$ they all went 136.7 |
| $\bar{i}-t^{\prime} g e^{\epsilon} y i j i i^{\prime s} n \mathrm{I}$ roll it | $t 9$ |
| de-ts 'tibi $p$ ' he closed door | de-ts !iti'x (door) shut |
| $p!a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ he upset | $p!a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u p$ it upset 60.8 |
| was-i-t!eme' $m$ he assembled them 110.3 | wa-t.eméxia $a^{u s}$ people assembled $14+23$ |
| has w-i-ha'nats $i^{t^{*} n}$ I made it stop | $h a-u-h a n a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\left(=-a^{\prime} t s!\mathrm{x}\right)$ it stopped <br> (152.15; 198.9) |
| $d \bar{i}-s y i i^{\prime} y u ̈ k!i^{\xi} n$ I knock it down ( $48.7,8$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { di-sgioiss } \mathrm{x} k \text { it fell (nobody push- } \\ & \text { ing) }(59.11: 62.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\bar{i}$-qwidigua'ti he threw them <br> (108.21; 138.3) | $\hbar \ddot{u ̈}^{\prime} \ddot{u}^{\prime} n k{ }^{\circ} w a$ (tiredness) gwidigwa's ( $\left.=-a^{\prime} t \mathrm{x}\right)$ he was plumb tired out (probably $=$ he tot tered with tiredness) 120.12 |
| $\bar{i}$-smili'smili $n$ I swing it | smili'smalxde ${ }^{\text {a }}$ I swing ${ }^{1}$ (73.2) |
|  | $b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} c k!!e^{\prime} t a x$ it bobs up and down (60.11.13,14) |

In some verbs -alx- ( = continuative -al- + non-agentive $-x-$ ) seems to be quite equiralent to the intransitive $-x a-$ :
geyewa' $\mathrm{x} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am eating (31.3) (but, hortatore, yeixaba let us eat)
le $e^{e} b a^{\prime} \mathrm{n} \mathbf{x} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I carry (178.6) ( $l \bar{a}^{a} b a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I carry it [178.3,4])
$\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} n x d e^{s}$ I drink (see §21).
The non-agentive character of verbs in $-x$ - may be reflected in transitives (causatives) derived from them, in that in such causatives

[^29]the subject is not thought of as being the direct cause of the state or activity predicated, but is rather considered as indirectly responsible
 blood drops, drips 58.1) are formed:
$p!a-i-t t^{\prime} g w i l i^{\prime} k!w a n a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ (voluntarily) drop, spill it
$p!a-i-t^{\prime} g w i i^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon} x a^{\varepsilon} n$ I have it drop (unavoidably), spill it (72.8,16)

## § 57. Positional-i $i_{-}$

As we have already seen ( $\$ 40,15$ ), this suffix, though of clearly derivational character, is generally, probably always, confined to the aorist. A positional verb in $-i^{i}$ - may be defined as expressing the state or condition resulting from the completed action of a transitive or non-agentive; e. g., p! $a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime}$ 'gup!id $\tau$ It (box-like object) lies upside down is a verb expressing the result of the action defined in $p!a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime} g u^{\prime} u b a^{\varsigma} n$ I UPSET IT and $p!a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime} y \bar{u}^{\prime} u p x$ IT UPSET 60.8 . From one point of view the suffix $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - serves to mark off a class of purely positional verbs, a.different verb-stem being used for each general form-category of the object described. Such verbs of position are:
dink!ī long, stretched out object lies (transitive aorist dinik!-)
t'geits! !i round object lies (138.24) (t'geyets'!-)
pildī flat object lies
t'obigī corpse, dead-looking body lies
seini box-like object with opening on top lies
p!a-i-ha-u-t'gup!idi box-like object with opening below lies ( $t^{t} g \tilde{u}^{u} b$-)
$s \cdot u g w i d i ̄$ curled-up object (like bundle of rope) lies
da-sgalī scattered objects (like grain on floor) lie
$w \bar{i}!t i l \bar{i}$ several objects heaped together lic ( $w \bar{i}^{i} g-$ )
s.as-ini erect object is, he stands 34.1; 45.12; 77.9
$s \cdot u^{\text {swili }}$ sitting object (person) is, he sits, dwells 21.1; 57.2
F'ebiti absent object is, he is long absent 124.20
Not so clearly positional are:
$l \bar{a}^{a} l_{\overline{1}}$ (generally heard as $l \bar{a}^{a} \overline{e^{\prime}}$ ) it becomes $33.17 ; 45.3$ yamlī he looks pretty
Of these verbs those that are directly derived from transitives, it will be observed, use in the aorist the verb-stem, not the anrist stem, of their simplex (thus dink!-, not dinik!-). The derivational - (a)d(see $\S 42,4$ ) that seems to characterize a number of positional verbs can not be explained.

Certain Takelma place-names in $-\hat{\imath}$ (or $-\hat{i}-k^{\prime},-i^{\prime}-k^{\prime}$ with suffix $-k^{*}$ characteristic of geographical names) can hardly be otherwise explained than as positional verbs in $-\imath^{i}$-, derived from nouns and provided with local prefixes defining the position of the noun. Such are:
$D i^{\varepsilon}$-dani ${ }^{1}$ Table Rock (probably $=\operatorname{rock}\left[d a^{\prime} n\right]$ is $[-\bar{\imath}]$ west $\left[d i^{\varepsilon}-\right]$ ) ; west of the rock would be dis-dana' (cf. dana ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my rock)
Dak'-t'gamī- ${ }^{\prime}$ (cf. Dak'-t gamiya's person from D.) (= place where [ $-k^{\prime}$ ] elks [ $t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} m$ ] are [ $i$ above, on top [dak'-])
Dal-dani $k^{*}$ (cf. Dal-daniya's one from D.) ( $=$ place where $\left[-k^{-}-\right]$ in brush, away from creek[dal-] is [-i] rock [da' $n$ ])
han-xilmi ghost land (= across river [han-] are [-ī] ghosts [xila'm])
$d e-d i_{i} i v i \bar{i}$ near the falls of Rogue River ( $=$ in front $[-d e-]$ are $[-\bar{i}]$ falls [dīū])

## §58. IMPERSONAL -iau-

Verging toward the purely formal (pronominal) elements of the verb is the suffix -iau-. Forms in -iau- are intransitive, and may be formed from all intransitives and all transitives with incorporated pronominal object, the function of the suffix being to give an indefinite, generalized collective, or impersonal, signification (cf. German man, French on) to the always third personal pronominal (Class I intransitive) subject. Examples are:
$y \bar{a}^{a} n$ ia' $^{\prime \text { us }}$ people go $58.14 ; 152.5$ future yana a a $^{\prime \mu \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$
 ble 144.23
$e^{e} b a^{\prime \prime \mu \varepsilon}$ people are 192.7 (cf.
$e^{\prime} b i^{\prime} k$ " we are 180.13)
$t s!\bar{a} \tilde{u} y \bar{o}^{u} y^{\prime}{ }^{`} u k^{\prime}$ there was (inferential)deep water(cf.188.14)
$s \bar{u}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n s i n i a^{u s}$ fighting is go- future sana'xinia ${ }^{n \varepsilon} t^{*}$ ing on 23.14
dãmxbiya ${ }^{u s} t^{t}$ people will kill you
(intransitive; but transitive
with definite third personal
subject domatink: they will
kill you) (33.10)
In particular, states of the weather or season, necessarily involving indefiniteness of subject, are referred to by forms provided with the indefinite suffix -iau-. Examples are:

[^30]lop!odia'us it is raining, hailing, or snowing 90.1; 152.11 (but definitely nõx lop!o't' it rains 90.1; (198.9) ; ts'!elam lop! ' ' $t$ ' it hails; $p!\bar{a}^{\prime a} s$ lop!o't it snows 90.2 ; 196.7)
lep'niya'uk' it has gotten to be winter
samgia ${ }^{\prime u s t}$ it will be summer (92.9)
samgiaugulugwa'n it is about to be summer (literally, it is sum-mer-intended, see § 6S) (cf. 48.13)
t゙u$w \bar{u} g i a^{\prime u \varepsilon}$ it is hot (i.e., it is hot weather; but $t^{\prime} \bar{u} v \bar{u}^{\prime s} \hbar^{\circ}$ it, some object, is hot [25.10]: 94.15)
we $e^{\prime}$ gia-u $d a^{\varepsilon}$ when it is daybreak $73.6 ; 126.13$

## 

§ 59. INTRODUCTORY
Every Takelma verb except, so far as known, the defective copula eitt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I AMr, has forms of six tense-moles-aorist, future, potential, inferential, present imperative, and future imperative. Of these, all but the aorist, which is built up on a derived aorist stem, are formed from the verb-stem. A special tense or mode sign, apart from the peculiar stem of the aorist, is found in none of the tense-modes except the inferential, which, in all the voices, is throughout characterized by a $-k-(-g-)$ following the objective, but preceding the subjective, pronominal elements. Each of the tense-modes except the potential, which uses the personal endings of the aorist, is, however, characterized by its own set of pronominal endings. It is for this very reason that it has scemed best to use the term tense-modes for the various modes and tenses, instead of attempting a necessarily artificial classification into tenses (aorist and future) and modes (indicative, potential, imperative, and inferential), the method of distinguishing the latter being fundamentally the same as that employed to form the former, i. e., the use of special pronominal schemes.

The purely temporal idea is only slightly developed in the verb. The aorist does duty for the preterite (including the narrative past), the present, and the immediate future, as in now i siamld go; while the future is employed to refer to future time distinctly set off from the present, as in i shall go this evening, to-mornow. A similar distinction between the immediate and more remote future is made in the imperative. The present imperative expresses a command which, it is intended, is to pass into more or less immediate fulfillment, as in go Away! while the command expressed by the future
imperative is not to be carried out until some stated or implied point of time definitely removed from the immediate present, as in come to-morrow!, give her to eat (when she recovers). The uses of the potential and inferential will be best illustrated by examples given after the forms themselves have been tabulated. In a general way the potential implies the ability to do a thing, or the possibility of the occurrence of a certain action or condition (i cas, could go if I care, cared to), and thus is appropriately used in the apodosis of an unfulfilled or contrary-to-fact condition; it is also regularly employed in the expression of the negative imperative (prohibitive). The peculiar form of the potential (rerb-stem with aorist pronoun endings) seems in a measure to reflect its modal signification, the identity of its stem with that of the future indicating apparently the lack of fulfillment of the action, while the aoristic pronominal elements may be interpreted as expressing the certainty of such fulfillment under the expressed or implied circumstances by the person referred to.

The inferential implies that the action expressed by the verb is not directly known or stated on the authority of the speaker, but is only inferred from the circumstances of the case or rests on the authority of one other than the speaker. Thus, if I say the bear killed the man, and wish to state the event as a mere matter of fact, the truth of which is directly known from my own or another's experience, the aorist form would normally be employed:

> mena` (bear) yap!a (man) t!omofk wa (it killed him)

If I wish, howerer, to imply that it is not definitely known from unmistakable eridence that the erent really took place, or that it is inferred from certain facts (such as the finding of the man's corpse or the presence of a bear's footprints in the neighborhood of the house), or that the statement is not made on my own authority, the inferential would be employed:
mena' yap!a domk'waki it seems that the bear killed the man; the bear must have, evidently has, killed the man
Inasmuch as mythical narration is necessarily told on hearsay, one would expect the regular use of the inferential in the myths; yet, in the great majority of cases, the aorist was employed, either because the constant use of the relatively uncommon inferential forms would have been felt as intrusive and laborious, or because the events related in the myths are to be looked upon as objectively certain.

The inferential is also regularly employed in expressing the negative future.

Not only do the pronominal elements vary for the different tensemodes, but they change also for the two main classes of intransitive verbs and for the transitive (subject and object), except that in the present imperative and inferential no such class-differences are discernible, though even in these the characteristic -pi- of Class II intransitives brings about a striking formal, if not strictly personal, difference. We thus have the following eleven pronominal schemes to deal with:

Aorist subject intransitive I.
Aorist subject intransitive II.
Aorist subject transitive.
Future subject intransitive I.
Future subject intransitive II.
Future subject transitive.
Inferential subject.
Present imperative subject.
Future imperative subject intransitive I and transitive.
Future imperative subject intransitive II.
Object transitive (and subject passive).
The transitive objects are alike for all tense-modes, except that the combination of the first person singular object and second person singular or plural subject (i. e., THOU or Ye me) always agrees with the corresponding subject form of intransitive II. Not all the personal forms in these schemes stand alone, there being a number of intercrossings between the schemes of the three classes of verbs. The total number of personal endings is furthermore greatly lessened by the absence of a dual and the lack of a distinet plural form for the third person. The third person subject is positively characterized by a distinct personal ending only in the aorist subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive II, and the future subject transitive; as object, it is never characterized at all, except in so far as the third person object, when referring to human beings, is optionally indicated by a special suflix -kiwa-(-gwa-). In all other cases the third person is negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending. The second singular subject of the present imperative is similarly negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending, though the -p of the present imperative intransitive II superficially contradicts this statement (see $\$ 61$ ).

The pronominal schemes, with illustrative paradigms, will now be taken up according to the verb-classes.

## § 60. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS I

This class embraces most of the intransitives of the language, particularly those of active significance (e. g., Come, Go, run, dance, play, sing, die, siout, jump, yet also such as be, sleep), verbs in -xa-, indefinites in -iau-, and reciprocals. The tense-modes of such verbs have the following characteristic subjective personal endings:

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Present lmperative | Fiture Imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | $-t^{\prime} \epsilon^{\varepsilon},-d \varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ | -t'ce, -dee | $-k^{*}-a^{\varepsilon 1}$ |  |  |
| Seeond person | -( $a^{\prime}$ ) $t^{\prime}$ | -(a)da ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ | $-k^{*} \varepsilon^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ | - | $-\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ |
| Third person. | - $\varepsilon$ | -( $\left.a^{\prime}\right)^{\ell} t^{\prime}$ | - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | -1\% | -(i)ga'm | $-k^{*}-a n a{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | -(a) $b a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ |  |
| Seeond person | -(a)t $t^{\prime} p$ | $-\left(a^{\prime}\right) t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ | $-k^{*} \varepsilon_{C i l}{ }^{\prime} p$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\left(a^{\circ}\right) n p^{\prime} \\ -a^{\prime}\end{array}\right.$ | ? |

${ }^{1}$ It is possihle that this suffix is really $-k^{\kappa} a^{\varepsilon} n ;-n$ after a eateh is practieally without sonority, and very easily missed by the ear. The first person singular and plural inferential endings are then both transitives in form (cf. $-a^{z} n$ and -ana $\%^{*}$ as first person singular and plural subjeet of transitives); the third person is withont ending in both. The ending $-k^{k}-a^{\varepsilon} n$ is made particularly likely by the subordinate in $-k^{\prime}-a^{\prime} n-d a^{s}($ see § 70$)$.

The imperative is necessarily lacking in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural in $-(a) b a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ of the present imperative is used as a hortatory: yanaba's let us go! 158.11; (cf. 168.11). This -(a) $b a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ is not infrequently followed by emphasizing particles: $-n i^{\prime}$ (e. g., $y u b \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} n i^{\prime}$ LET US BE! [cf. 158.8]) ; -hi (e. g., yeeba's $\hbar i$ LET US REtURN! 63.1; see § 114,2 ), or $-h a^{\wedge} n$ (e. g., ya'naba ${ }^{\varepsilon} h a^{\prime} n$ Let us GO 64.1), the last of these being clearly identical with the nominal plural ele-ment-han (see §99); -nihan is also found (yánabāasniha`n LET US ALL go, pray! [cf. $150.24 ; 152.6]$ ). No true future hortatory and second person plural imperative seem to exist; for the latter, the ordinary indicative form in $-t^{\varepsilon} b a^{\varepsilon}\left(-d a b a^{\varepsilon}\right.$ in the other classes) was always given. The connective - $a$ - is used with most of the consonantal endings, as indicated in the table, when the preceding part of the word ends in a consonant, otherwise the ending is directly attached; in the reciprocal $-t^{\prime} p^{\prime},-{ }^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime}$, and $-t^{t} b a^{\varepsilon}$ are directly added to the suffix -an-. Before the only vocalic ending, $-i \xi^{\circ}$, a glide $-y$ - is introduced if the preceding sound is a vowel (e. g., al-yowoyik' we Lоок). In the first person plural of the future $-i g a^{\prime} m$ (-aorist $-i g-+-a^{\prime} m$; cf. $-d a^{\prime} m$ in possessive
pronouns, $\S \S 91-3$ ) is used after consonants, -ga'm after vowels. The first form of the second person plural imperative ( $-a^{\prime} n p^{\prime}$ ) is used to follow most consonants ( $-n p$ " to follow a "constant" $-a-$ of the stem), $-^{-} p{ }^{\prime}$ being found only after vowels and probably $m$ and $n$ (e. g., yu' $p$ ' BE YE! ; yana' $p^{\prime}$ go Ye!).

In regard to the etymology of the endings, it is clear that the second person plural aorist is derived from the corresponding singular form by the addition of a characteristic $-p^{\prime}$ (cf. the imperative), that the second persons of the future are differentiated from the aorist forms by an added $-a^{s}$, and that the first person singular future is identical with the corresponding form in the aorist, except for the lack of a catch. The second persons of the inferential are periphrastic forms, consisting of the third personal form in $-k^{*}$ (modesign, not personal ending) plus eitt thou art, eit' $p^{\prime}$ ye are.

As paradigmatic examples are chosen a stem ending in a vowel (aorist yowo- Be), one ending in a consonant (aorist baxam- COMe), a reciprocal (aorist $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a n-s a n-$ Fight with one another), and an indefinite in -iau- (aorist $t^{\dagger} \bar{u} w \bar{u}-g$-iau- ве нот).

AORIST


FUTURE

| Singular: <br> First person <br> Second person <br> Third person <br> Plural: <br> First person <br> Second person |  | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\text {e }}$ <br> $y u \mathrm{da}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ <br> $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ <br> yuga'm <br> $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{ba}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ | baxma't'e ${ }^{e}$ <br> baxmada' ${ }^{\text {s }}$ <br> baxma'st' <br> barmaga'm <br> barma't'bas | sana'xanet' <br> sana'xinigam <br> sana'xant'bas | $t^{\prime} \bar{u} u g i a^{\prime} u \varepsilon \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POTENTIAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Singular: <br> First person <br> Second person <br> Third person <br> Plural: <br> First person <br> Second person | $\cdot$ . . | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$ <br> $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}$ ' <br> $y u^{\prime} \varepsilon$ <br> yuwi'k' <br> $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}$ ' | barma't'e ${ }^{\text {s }}$ <br> barma't' <br> baxma's <br> baxmi' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ <br> bax?na't' ${ }^{\text {º }}$ | sana'ratn <br> sana'xinik' <br> $\operatorname{sana} a^{\prime} x a n t^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $t^{\prime}$ '̄̆ugia'u |

INFERENTIAL

${ }^{1}$ The $-i$ - of -iba $a^{\varepsilon}$ evidently corresponds to the $-i$ - in the first person plural aorist -ik*, future -igam, but appears, so far as known, only in the reciprocal, and, of course, in such cases as require connective $-i$ instead of -a-(see below, $\S 64$ ): $h a^{\varepsilon} w-i-k \cdot c m n i b a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ Let US Sweat, with $-i$ - because of instrumental $\bar{i}$-.

FUTURF, IMPERATIVE

| Singular: <br> Second person | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\cdot}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- |

A few intransitives of this class add the consonantal pronominal endings directly to the final semi-vowel ( $-y-$ ) of the stem, instead of employing the connective vowel $-a$-. Such are:
eit ${ }^{1}$ thou art 108.2 , eit' $p^{\prime}$ ye are 14.10 (contrast yeweya ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ thou returnest [58.13], but yeweĩt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I return [188.4] like eĩt $e^{\varepsilon} I$ am 198.2)
nagaitt thou sayest 56.5 , nagaĩt $p^{\prime}$ ' ye say 170.4 (contrast $t^{\prime}$ agaya ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ thou criest, but $t^{\prime}$ agañt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ cry [180.5] like nagaĩt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I say 180.1) To this somewhat irregular group of verbs belongs probably also $l \bar{o}^{u_{-}}$ play, though, not ending in a semi-vowel in either the verb or aorist stem, it shows no forms directly comparable to those just given; its third person aorist, however, shows a rising accent before the eatch: $l \tilde{o}^{u l^{\varepsilon}} 70.4$ (not $* \bar{o}^{\prime} u l^{\varepsilon}$ ), a phenomenon that seems connected (see below, § 65) with the lack of a connecting vowel before the personal endings.

A few stray verbs, otherwise following the normal scheme of intransitive Class I endings, seem to lack a catch in the third person aorist:

[^31]lop!o't ${ }^{1}$ it rains 90.1, 2 (yet lop!oda't you are raining 198.9; lop ${ }^{\prime} d a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ it will rain; lop $^{\prime} d a^{\prime} x$ to rain, § 74, 1)
$h \tilde{a} x$ it burns 98.1 (yet haxa's $t^{\prime}$ it will burn)
Several intransitive Class I usitatives seem to lack the catch of the third person aorist also:
ginink' he always went to 46.11 (from ginis ${ }^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ he went to)
witc!isma he keeps moving (from witc! $i^{\prime s} m$ he moves 148.12)
yewèo'k' he is wont to return 47.4; 116.2 (yet yewioga't' you are wont to return)
No explanation can be given of this irregularity.
The inferential endings, as has been already remarked, are identical for all classes of verbs, so that the following applies to Class II intransitives and to transitives as well as to Class I intransitives. The mode-sign $-k$ is added directly to the final rowel or consonant of the verb-stem (or stem with its added derivative and pronominal object suffixes) without connecting $a$. All combinations of consonants are here allowed that are at all possible as syllabically final clusters (see § 16); indeed some of the final consonant clusters, as $-s k^{\prime},-p^{\prime} \vec{k}^{\prime},-n p^{\prime} k^{\prime},-l p^{\prime} k^{\prime}$, hardly occur, if at all, outside the inferential. If the resulting consonant combination would be phonetically impossible an inorganic $a$ is introduced between the two consonants that precede the inferential $-k$; secondary diphthongs with raised accent may thus arise:
k!ema' $n k$ ' he made it (verb-stem k!emn-)
bila'uk' he jumped 160.17 (verb-stem bilw-)
Double diphthongs are often allowed to stand unaltered before $-k^{*}$ (e.g.,oink* he gave them; also imperative oin give them!) ; sometimes doublets, with double diphthong or with inorganic $a$, are found (e. g., ts!aimk' or ts!ayámk' HE нID 1 T ; also passive participle ts!aimhak'w HIDDEN, but ts!aya' $m$ Hide it! ts!eya' $m x i$ Hide me! ts!aya'mxamki He Hid us [158.7]). With a final $-g$ - or $-g v^{-}$the inferential $-k$ unites to form $-k^{*}$ or $-k^{*} w$, but with lengthening of the precerling vowel; $-k!-+-k$ becomes $-{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon k^{\prime}$. Examples are:
$h e^{e} n \tilde{a} k^{* w}\left(=-a^{\prime} g w-k^{\circ}\right)$ he consumed them (cf. 48.10); but heena'k'w consume them!
wa-yanãk ${ }^{* w}$ (=yana $\left.{ }^{\prime}-g w-k k^{\circ}\right)$ he ran after them 98. 10; but wayana' ${ }^{*} w$ run after them!

[^32]$y \tilde{o}^{u} k^{*}{ }^{w}\left(=y o g w-k^{*}\right)$ she married him 192.16
$h e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-7 e^{\prime} m^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime \prime}\left(=7 e m k!-k^{*}\right)$ he destroyed them (146.20); 154.11; also imperative ( $=$ *lemk!)

## §61. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS II

Most verbs of Class II intransitives, unlike those that are most typical of Class I, are derived from transitives, the majority of examples falling under the heads of non-agentives in $-x$-, reflexives in $-q w i$-, positionals in $-\tau^{i}$-, and verbs with intransitivizing $-p^{2}$ - either in all their tense-modes or in all but the aorist (see $\$ 42,1$ ). Besides these main groups there are a straggling number of not easily classified verbs that also show the peculiarities of the class; such are:
sene'sant $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ whoop (110.20; 180.15)
wit ${ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I go about (90.1; 92.29; 122.23)
liginnt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I rest (48.11; 79.2, 4; 102.1)
$\hbar \ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}} i^{\prime} n t t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I am tired (48.4, 11; 102.1, 8 ; 120.11)
In a rough way the main characteristic of Class II intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, is that they denote conditions and processes, while Class I intransitives are in great part verbs of action. Following is the scheme of subjective pronominal endings characteristic of Class II:

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $-t^{t} \epsilon^{\varepsilon},-d \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ | t'ee, -dee | $\left(-p^{*}\right) \cdot g a s$ |  |  |
| Second person . | -t'am, -dam | $-t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon},-d a^{\varepsilon}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-k^{\prime} \varepsilon_{e} i t^{\prime}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-g a^{\varepsilon} m$ |
| Third person . | $\{-\mathrm{C}$ | $-t^{\prime} \bar{a} a,-d \bar{a} a$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-k^{*}$ |  |  |
|  | $l{ }^{-2}$ | - |  |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | $\left(-p^{*}\right)-i k^{\prime}$ | (-p ${ }^{\prime}$ )-igam | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-q-a n a^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | $\left(-p^{\circ}\right)-a b a^{2}$ |  |
| Second person. | $-t^{\prime} a p^{\prime},-d a p^{*}$ | $-t^{\prime} a b a^{\varepsilon},-d a b a^{\varepsilon}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-k^{\prime} \varepsilon_{e i t} p^{\prime}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-a n p^{*}$ |  |

In comparing these endings with those of Class I intransitives, it is seen that the characteristic peculiarities of Class II intransitives are: the -am of the second person singular aorist and future imperative ( $-t^{*} a m\left[=-t^{\prime}+-a m\right],-g a^{\varepsilon} m\left[?=-\varepsilon k^{*}+-a m\right]$ ) ; the $-a$ - between the $-t^{*}$ - and the $-p^{-}-(-b-)$ in the second person plural aorist and future; the lack of a catch in the third person aorist; the ending $-t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ of the third person future; and the presence of a $-p^{\circ}-(-b-)$ in the first person plural aorist and future and in the inferential, present imperative, and future imperative forms. The last feature is, however, absent in the non-agentive $-x$ - verbs and in the future of reflexives. The labial in
the first person plural of the aorist and future is evidently connected with the -b- of $e^{c} b i{ }^{\prime} k$ we are (see § 60 , fourth footnote) ; the parallelism is made complete by the fact that impersonal forms in -iauderived from Class II intransitives (except non-agentives) show a -p ${ }^{\text {- }}$ before the suffix, analogously to $e^{e} b i a^{\prime u s}$ :
sene'sanp $\mathrm{ia}^{u \varepsilon}$ there is whooping, se'nsanpia $a^{u s} t^{\prime}$ there will be whooping
In the third person of the aorist, positionals in $-\bar{i}^{i}$-, non-agentives, and verbs in $-p^{2}$ - and other consonants (except $n$ and probably $l, m$ ) lack a positive ending, while reflexives and most of the miscellaneous verbs (ending in a vowel or $n, l$, and $m$ ) show a final $-t^{\circ}$. There is every reason to believe that the absence of $a-t$ in the former group of forms is due to phonetic conditions that brought about its loss (see § 18).

As examples of verbs of this class will serve a non-agentive (aorist $h a-u-h a n a^{\varepsilon} s$ - stop), a reflexive (aorist $\bar{i}$-lets!ek'wi-Toucir one's self), a positional (aorist $s \cdot a s \cdot i n \bar{\imath}^{i}-$ stand), and one of the miscellaneous verbs ( $w i^{i}-\mathrm{GO}$ About).

AORIST.


FUTURE

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . | $h a^{\prime} n \epsilon s$ de ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | lesgi'k'wide ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n t^{*} e^{\circ}$ | witee |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}$ sda ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | lesgi' $k^{*}$ wida ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n t^{\prime} a^{\xi}$ | wit'as |
| Third person . | $h a^{\prime} n{ }^{s} s \mathrm{dảa}^{\text {a }}$ | lesgi'k'widā | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n t^{\prime} \overline{t^{2}}$ | wit' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}$ sigam | lesgi'k' wigam | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p$ 'igam | wip'iganı |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s d^{\text {abab }}$ | lesgi'k' widaba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n t{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ab} a^{\varepsilon}$ | wiṫaba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

POTENTLAL.

| Singular: <br> First person . <br> Second person <br> Third person. | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon_{S}} \mathrm{de}^{\epsilon}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s d a m$ <br> $l a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon s}$ | lesgi'k' wide ${ }^{\text {n}}$ <br> lesgi'k widam <br> lesgi' ${ }^{\prime}$ wit' | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a n t{ }^{\prime} e^{s}$ <br> $s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n t$ tam <br> $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot a n t}$ (?) | चint ${ }^{\text {es }}$ <br> wit'am <br> wit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plural: <br> First person . <br> Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{sik}^{\prime}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}{ }^{s} \mathrm{dap}^{\prime}$ | lesgi'k'wibik' lcsgi'k'widap ${ }^{\prime}$ | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p^{\prime} i k^{\prime}$ $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n t^{\prime} a{ }^{\prime}$ | wip'ik' <br> wit'ap" |

INFERENTIAI,

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . | ha'nesgas | lesgi'k' cip'ga $^{\prime}$ | $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n \mathrm{p}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ga}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ | wip'gas |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\boldsymbol{s}} \mathrm{sh}^{\text {l }}$ eit* | lesgi'k'vip'k!eit' | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{-a n p}{ }^{\prime} k!$ elt $^{\prime}$ | wip'k!eit' |
| Third person . | ha'nEsk' | lesgi'k'wip'k' | $s{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n p^{\prime} k^{*}$ | uip'k' |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | ha'nesgana ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | lesgi'k'uip'gana'k' | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a n p{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{gana}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ | uip'gana ${ }^{\text {k }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Second person | ha'nssk!eìt'p' | lcsgi'k'uip'k!eit'p* | s'a's'anp'k!eit'p' | wip'k!eit'p' |

PRESENT IMPERATIVE

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\text {c }}$ | lesgi'k' $w \bar{i} i{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n p^{\prime}$ | uip ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | ha'nssabas | lesgi'k'wīip'abas | $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n p^{\prime} a^{\text {ab }}$ | wip'abas |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n \leqslant \operatorname{san} p^{\prime}$ |  | $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ | wip'anp' |

FUTURE IMPERATIVF

| Singular: <br> second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{s} s g^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {m }}$ | lesgi'k' $\chi^{\text {àip'gasm }}$ | $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n p{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{Hl}$ | wip'ga'm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Those verbs of this class that are characterized, either throughout their forms or in all non-aorist forms, br a suffixed $p^{*}$ have this element coalesce with the $-p^{0}$ of the first person plural, inferential, and imperative, but with lengthening of an immediately preceding vowel. In the imperative this lengthened rowel seems to take on a falling accent:
p!alāáa $p^{\prime}$ tell a myth! (cf. p!ala' $p^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall tell a myth, with inorganic second $a$ )
san $\bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ fight! (cf. sana' $p^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall fight, with radical second $a$ )
The terb wog-arrive is peculiar in that the aorist is formed after the manner of Class II verbs (wõk* he arrives 47.15; wõk'dam you arrive), while the non-aorist forms belong to Class I (e. g., woga ${ }^{\prime s} \varepsilon^{*}$ he will arrive). It is further noteworthy that many, perhaps most, Class II intransitives form their usitative and frequentative forms according to Class I. Examples, showing the third person aorist catch, are:
$s^{\cdot} \bar{u}^{\prime s} a 7 h u^{\varepsilon}$ they always dwell 112.2 (from $s^{\cdot} u^{s} w i t i ~ 21.1$; but first person plural $s^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime s}$ alhibik') ; contrast Class II $s^{*} a s^{\prime} a^{\prime} n h a p^{\prime}$ he keeps standing (from s.as'ine 34.1 )
wogowa's $k^{\prime \prime}$ they keep arriving 112.2 (from wõk $k^{*}$ )
$s^{\cdot} o^{\prime} w \bar{o}^{u}{ }^{\prime} \cdot a^{u s}$ they keep jumping $(112.5,10)$ (from $s^{\cdot} o w \bar{o}^{\prime} u \xi k^{*} a p^{\circ}$ 48.15)

Several non-agentives in $-x$ - drop the $-x$ - and become Class I intransitives in the frequentative:
$p!a-i-t^{\prime}$ gwilì ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ gwals (water) keeps dripping down (cf. p!a-it'gwilīis ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ it drips down 58.1)
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}x \bar{a}^{a}-\text { sgot } 0^{\prime} o^{\prime} s g g^{\varepsilon} t^{*} \text { it breaks to pieces } 62.1 \text { (cf. } x \bar{a}^{a}-s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u_{s}=-s g \bar{o}^{u} d-x \text { it } \\ \text { breaks [61.13]) } \\ x \bar{a}^{a}-s \bar{o}^{\prime} \bar{o}^{\prime} t^{\prime} s g a d a^{\varepsilon} t^{*} \text { it will break to pieces (cf. } x \bar{a}^{a}-s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u \varepsilon s d a \text { it will } \\ \text { break [148.s]) }\end{array}\right.$
TRANSITIVES, CLASS III (§8 62-66)
§ 62. General Remarks
The subject pronominal elements of the transitive verb combine with the objective elements to form rather closely welded compound endings, yet hardly ever so that the two can not separately be recognized as such; the order of composition is in every case pronominal object + subject. It is only in the combinations thou or ye me that such composition does not take place; in these the first person singular object is, properly speaking, not expressed at all, except in so far as the stem undergoes palatalization if possible (see §31, 1), while the second person subject assumes the form in which it is found in Class II of intransitive verbs. The pronominal objects are decidedly a more integral part of the verb-form than the subjects, for not only do they precede these, but in passives, periphrastic futures, nouns of agency, and infinitives they are found unaccompanied by them. For example:
dõmxbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ you will be killed (178.15)
dõmxbigulu'k'w he will kill you
dõm. $x i^{\varepsilon}$ s one who kills you
dõmxbiya to kill you
are analogous, as far as the incorporated pronominal object ( $-b i-$ ) is concerned, to:
dõmxbink he will kill you; t!omõxbi $n$ I kill you
The pronominal objects are found in all the tense-modes, as far as the meaning of these permits, and are entirely distinct from all the subjective elements, except that the ending of the second person plural coincides with one form of the second person singular present imperative of the intransitive, -anp ${ }^{\circ}$. These elements are:

Singular: First person, $-x i$ (with third subjective); second person, $-b i$; third person, -- ; third person (human), $-k$ wa. Plural: First person, -am; second person, -anp (-anb-).

It does not seem that $-k$ wa-, which is optionally used as the third personal object when reference is distinctly had to a human being (or to a mythical animal conceived of as a human being), can be combined with other than a third personal subject (at least no other examples have been found); nor can it be used as an indirect object if the rerb already contains among its prefixes an incorporated indirect object. These restrictions on the use of $-k^{*} w a$ - enable us effectually to distinguish it from the indirect reflexive $-k^{*}$ wa- which has already been discussed, this element normally requiring an incorporated object prefixed to the verb. Examples of the objective -kixa- are:
t!omõk wa ${ }^{1}$ it killed him 15.16; 28.11
h $\epsilon^{\varepsilon-}$-ink wa he went away from him
hãxank'wa he burnt him 27.16
sāansa'nk' wa he fought with him 28.10
nagaik' wa he said to him 152.3 (with very puzzling intransitive $-i-;$ contrast naga' he said to him)
wettyigwa she took (it) away from him (49.6)
lãk'uak" (inferential) he gave him to eat
In several respects this $-k \times a$ differs fundamentally from the other object suffixes. It allows no connective $-x$ - to stand before it (see § 64): the indirective $-d$ - of $-a^{\prime} l d-($ see § 48) drops out before it:
gayawa'tl' wa he ate him; cf. gayawa'lsbi he ate you (26.8) and, differing in this respect from the suffixless third person object, it allows no instrumental $i$ to stand before it (see § 64):
i-t!ana'hagwa he held him (25.10); cf. $\bar{\tau}$-t!ana'ki he held it 27.4
dak*-da-hälk'wa he answered him 180.18; cf. dak**-da-häa ${ }^{a} i^{\prime s} n$ I answered him (146.14)
It is thus evident that forms with suffixed -kiwa approximate intransitives in form (cf. nagaiki wa above). With a stem-final $g, g w$ the suffix unites to form $-k{ }^{*}$ wa, the preceding vowel being lengthened and receiving a rising accent; with a stem-final $k!$ it unites to form $-\xi^{\circ} w a$, the preceding rowel being lengthened with falling accent. Examples are:
t!ayãk* wa he found him 71.14; cf. t!aya'k' he found it 43.4; 134.17 malãk' wa he told him 22.8; (72.14); cf. malagana'nhi he told it to him (see § 50) 30.15

[^33]$d a-k!o s o^{u} k{ }^{*} w a$ they bit him 74.5 (arist stem -k!os $\circ g_{-}$-)
 destroyed them (110.2)
 him (73.1)
Verbs that have a suffixed comitative - (a)dwa- show, in combination with the objective $-k{ }^{*} w a$-, a probably dissimilated suffix -yik' wa (-gigwa), the connecting a preceding this compound suffix being of course umlauted to $i$ :
xebeyigi'k'wa he hurt him (cf. xebeyagwa's $n$ I hurt him [136.23])
 him [71.7])
It is rather interesting to observe how the objecti e-k'wa-may serve to remove some of the ambiguities that are ap', to arise in Takelma in the use of the third person. he gave it to mim is expressed in the inferential by the forms $o^{\prime} k^{\prime} i k^{*}$ and $o^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}$ iywak $k^{\prime}$, the latter of which necessarily refers to a human indirect object. If a noun or independent pronoun be put before these apparently synonymous forms, sentences are framed of quite divergent signification. In the first sentence (noun $+o^{\prime} k^{\circ} i k^{\circ}$ ) the prefixed noun would naturally be taken as the object (direct or indirect) of the verb (e. g., ne $k^{\prime} d i o^{\prime} k^{\prime} i k$, he who-gave it? [ = To whom did he give it?]); in the second (noun $+o^{\prime} k^{\prime} i g w a k^{\prime}$ ), as subject, a doubly expressed object being inadmissible (e. g., ne'k'di o'k'igwak' who gave it to him?). то whom did he bring it? with incorporated object ne'k'di reads ne $k i d i$ $m e^{\varepsilon}-w a \tilde{a} k^{e}$ literally, he-who-hither-brougit-It? wio brought it то нім? with subject $n e^{\prime} k k^{\prime} d i$ reads (as inferential form) ne $k_{i}^{\prime} d i$ wagawo' $k^{\circ}$ wak ${ }^{\circ}$ ( $-o$ - unexplained). he Found the ants is expressed
 The usage illustrated may be stated thus: whenever the third personal object refers to a human being and the subject is expressed as a noun, suffixed -k'wa must be userl to indicate the object; if it is not used, the expressed noun will most naturally be construed as the object of the verb. An effective means is thus present in Takelma for the distinction of a personal subject and object.

## § 63. Transitive Subject Pronouns

The various tense-modal schemes of subject pronouns in the transitive verb are as follows:

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | $-\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\varepsilon} n$ | $-\left(a^{\prime}\right) n$ | $-k^{*}-a^{s}$ |  |  |
|  | $\int^{-\left(a^{\prime}\right) t^{\prime}}$ | -(a)da | -k* $\varepsilon_{\epsilon} t^{\prime}$ |  | $-\left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq k^{\prime}$ |
| Second person | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} - \text { dam (1st sing. } \\ \text { obj. }) \end{array}\right.$ | -da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (1st sing. obj.) |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} -g a^{s} m \\ o b j .) \end{array}\right) \text { (1st sing. }$ |
| Third person . |  | -( $a^{\prime}$ ) $n k^{*}$ | $\cdots \cdot$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | -(a)naki | -(a) naga'm | $k{ }^{\prime \prime}$-anak' | -(a) $b a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ |  |
|  | $\int^{\left(a^{\prime}\right) t^{\prime} p^{\prime}}$ | -( $a^{\prime}$ ) $t^{\prime} a^{¢}$ |  |  |  |
| Second person | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} - \text { dape } \\ \text { obj. }) \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} -d a b a^{\varepsilon} \\ \text { obj.) } \end{gathered} \text { (1st sing. }$ | $\}^{-k^{*} \varepsilon^{i l t^{*}} p^{*}}$ | $\left\{-(a) n p^{\text {a }}\right.$ |  |

Setting aside the peculiar second personal subject first personal singular object terminations, it will be observed that the subjective forms of the transitive are identical with those of the intransitive (Class I) except in the first person singular and plural aorist and future, and in the third person aorist and future. The loss in the future of the catch of the first person singular aorist ( $-t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}: t^{t} e^{e}=$ $\left.-\varepsilon_{n}:-n\right)$ and the addition in the future of $-a m$ to the first person plural aorist ( $-i k^{\circ}$ : -igam $=-n a k$ : -nagam) are quite parallel phenomena. It will be observed also that the first person plural, probably also singular, aorist of the transitive, is in form identical, except for the mode-sign $-k^{*}$-, with the corresponding form of the inferential, so that one is justified in suspecting this tense-mode to consist, morphologically speaking, of transitive forms with third personal object (see § 60 , first footnote).

The forms of $d \bar{o}^{u} m$ - (aorist t!omom-) kill will show the method of combining subjective and objective pronominal elements.

AORIST

| Subjective | Objective |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singula: <br> 1st per. <br> 2d per. <br> 3d per. <br> Plural: <br> Jst per. <br> 2d per. | $t: \ddot{u} m u ̈ x d a m$ t! $!$ müxi <br> t'iimũudap* | t!omōrbiqn <br> t!omōxbi <br> t'omōrbinak* | t.omoma ${ }^{\prime 2} \mathrm{n}$ <br> t!omoma't' <br> t'omõm <br> t'omomana'k' <br> t'omoma't'p ${ }^{\text {º }}$ | t!omozximit* <br> t!omōxam <br> t.omõximit ${ }^{*} p^{*}$ | $t$ 'omöxanbasn <br> t'omōxanp' ${ }^{1}$ <br> t'omõxanbana'k' |

[^34]FUTURE


PRESENT IMPERATIVE


FUTURE IMPERATIVE

| Singular: <br> 2d per. | d ${ }^{\text {un }} \mathrm{mga}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m}$ | dōuma's ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | $?{ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{1}$ These forms were not actually obtained, but can hardly be considered as doubtful.
2 Probably expressed by simple future dõmximida ${ }^{\xi}$.
It is not necessary to give the transitive potential and inferential forms, as the former can be easily constructed by substituting in the future forms the aorist endings for those of the future:
dümxi he would kill me
$d^{u} m a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I should, could kill him
$d \tilde{o}^{u} m$ he would, could kill him
The inferential forms can be built up from the corresponding future forms by substituting for the subject endings of the latter those given in the table for the inferential mode:
dümxik' he killed me
dõmxamk!eitt you killed us
dõmk ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ I killed him
dõmxanp'gana'k' we killed you
The only point to which attention need be called in the aorist and future forms is the use of a connecting vowel -i-instead of - $\alpha-$ when the first personal plural object ( $-a m-$ ) is combined with a second singular or plural subject ( $-i t^{\epsilon},-i t^{\epsilon} p^{\varepsilon},-i d a^{\varepsilon},-i t^{\top} b a^{\varepsilon}$ ) ; this $-i$ - naturally
carries the umlaut of -am- to -im- with it, but -am-reappears when $-i$ - drops out, cf. inferential dommxamk!eit. With the $-i$ - of these forms compare the $-i$ - of the first person plural intransitives $-i k$, - iga'm, -ibas ( $\$ 60$ and $\$ 60$, second fontnote).

## 864. Connecting $-x$ - and $-i$ -

It will hare been observed that in all forms but those provided with a third personal object the endings are not directly added to the stem, but are joined to it by a connecting consonant $-x$ - (amalgamating with preceding $-t$ - to $-s^{-}$-). This element we have seen to be identical with the $-x-(-s-)$ of reciprocal forms; and there is a possibility of its being related to the $-x a$ - of active intransitive rerbs, hardly, however, to the non-agentive $-x$ - . Though it appears as a purely formal, apparently meaningless element, its original function must have been to indicate the objective relation in which the immediately following pronominal suffix stands to the rerb. From this point of riew it is absent in a third personal object form simply because there is no expressed pronominal element for it to objectivize, as it were. The final aoristic consonant of Type $\delta$ verbs regularly disappears before the connecting $-x$-, so that its retention becomes a probably secondary mark of a third personal pronominal object. The fact that the thirl personal objective element -k* wa- (-gwa-) does not tolerate a preceding connective $-x$ - puts it in a class by itself, affiliating it to some extent with the derirational suffixes of the rerb.

There are, comparatively speaking, few transitive stems ending in a rowel, so that it does not often happen that the subjective personal endings, the third personal object being unexpressed, are directly attached to the verb or aorist stem, as in:
> naga's $n$ I say to him 72.9, cf. naga' he said to him 92.24
> sebe' $n$ I shall roast it (44.6); future imperative odos $s k k^{*}$ hunt for him! (116.7)

Ordinarily forms inrolving the third personal object require a connecting vowel between the stem and the pronominal suffix. Not all verbs, however, show the purely non-significant-a- of, e. g., t'omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$, but have a to a large extent probably functional -i-. This $-i$ - occurs first of all in all third personal object forms of verbs that have an instrumental prefix:
ts!ayaga's $n$ I shoot him (192.10); but wa-ts!ayagi's $n$ I shoot (him) with it
$\bar{\imath}$-lats!agit' you touched it

The greater number of cases will probably be found to come under this head, so that the $-i$ - may be conveniently termed instrumental $-i$-. Not all forms with -i-, by any means, can be explained, however, as instrumental in force. A great many verbs, many of them characterized by the directive prefix al- (see $\S 36,15$ ), require an $-i$ as their regular connecting rowel:

> lagaagis $n$ I gave him to eat (30.12)
> $l \bar{a}^{a} l i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I call him by name (116.17)
lōuginini ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I trap them for him (and most other for-indirectives in -anan-)
Examples of $-i$-verbs with indirect object are:
> ogoyi $i^{\prime \delta} n$ I give it to him 180.11 (contrast oyona ${ }^{\prime \delta} n$ I gave it [180.20]) $w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\prime s} n$ I brought it to him (176.17) (contrast $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime s} n$ I brought it [162.13])

A number of verbs have -a- in the aorist, but $-i$ - in all other tensemodes:
$y^{i}{ }^{i} m i y a^{\prime s} n \mathrm{I}$ lend it to him, but yimi'hin I shall lend it to him $n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I said to him (second $-a$ - part of stem) 72.9 , but $n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} n$

I shall say to him; $n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime s} k^{\circ}$ say to him! (future) $196.20 ; n \widetilde{a} k^{\prime} i k^{*}$ he said to him (inferential) $94.16 ; 170.9 ; 172.12$
The general significance of $-i$ - seems not unlike that of the prefixed directive al-, though the application of the former element is very much wider; i. e., it refers to action directed toward some person or object distinctly outside the sphere of the subject. Hence the $-i$ - is never found used together with the indirect reflexive -k'ua-, eren though this suffix is accompanied by an instrumental prefix:
$x \bar{a}^{a}-p!\bar{\imath}^{i}-n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I warm my own back (188.20)
In a few cases the applicability of the action of the rerb can be shifted from the sphere of the subject to that of another person or thing by a mere change of the connective $-a-$ to $-i-$, without the added use of prefix or suffix:
$x \bar{a}^{a}-\overline{a^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime a} t!a n \mathrm{I}$ shall put it about my waist, but $x \bar{a}^{a}-\overline{a^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} t!i n$ I shall put it about his waist
In the form of the third personal subject with third personal object of the aorist, the imperative with third personal object, and the inferential with third personat object, the $-i$ - generally appears as a suffixed -hi- (- $i-)$, incapable of causing umlaut:
malagana'nhi he told him 30.15, but malagini $i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I told him (172.1) wa-t!omõmhi he killed him with it
$\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u}$ manana'nhi he fixed it for him
$\bar{i}-k!\bar{u} m a n a^{\prime} n h i$ fix it for him!
$\bar{i}-k!u \bar{m} a n a^{\prime} n h i k^{*}$ he fixed it for him (infer.), but $\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{l} \mathbf{m i n i n i n i}^{\prime} n k{ }^{*}$ he will fix it for him

It should be noted, however, that many verbs with characteristic $-i$ - either may or regularly do leare out the final - $-i$ :

$\bar{i}$-lats! $a^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he touched him (cf. i -lats!agis $n$ I touched him)
$b a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-y e^{\epsilon} w a^{\prime} n$ revive him! (15.2) (cf. $b a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-y e w e^{e} n i^{\prime s} n$ I revived him)
[he $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-l e l e^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ he let him go (13.6) (cf. he $e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-l e^{\prime} l e k!i^{s} n$ I let him go [50.4])
he $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-l e^{\prime} l^{\prime} k^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ let him go! 182.15 (cf. he $e^{\varepsilon}-i-l e^{\prime} l k!i n$ I shall let him go) $b a-i-d i-t^{\prime} g a^{\prime \delta} s t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}{ }^{s}$ stick out your anus! 164.19; 166.6 (cf. ba-i-di-tt gats! $a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ gisi ${ }^{8} n$ I stuck out $m y$ anus [166.8])
$\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{`} n$ he prepared it 190.22 (cf. $\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^{u}$ mini $\left.^{\prime} n ~ I ~ p r e p a r e d ~ i t\right) ~$
It must be confessed that it has not been found possible to find a simple rule that would enable one to tell whether an $i$-verb does or does not keep a final -hi $(-i)$. Certain verbs, even though without instrumental signification, slow an $-i-$ (or $-h i-$ ) in all forms with third personal object. Such are:
aorist ogoy-give to (ogoih he gave it to him 156.20)
aorist weet ${ }^{t}-g$ - take away from (wett gi he took it from him, 16.13) aorist lagag-feed (laga'ki $i$ he gave him to eat 30.12 ; lãk ${ }^{*} i$ give him to eat! lãk igana' $\%$ we seem to have given him to eat)
and indirective verbs in -anan-. Irregularities of an unaccountable character occur. Thus we hare:
$h e^{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath} \tilde{u}}$ he left him (cf. he $e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon-i} i^{i} u i^{\prime s} n$ I left him); but imperative $h e^{\varepsilon_{-}-\bar{i} w i^{\prime} h i}$ leave him! (not *-i$w i$, as we might expect)
In many cases the loss or retention of the final thi seems directly connected with syntactic considerations. A large class of verbs with instrumental prefix (generally $\bar{i}$-) drop the final $-h i$, presumably because the instrumentality is only indefinitely referred to (cf. § $35,1)$. Examples of such have been given above. As soon, however, as the instrument is explicitly referred to, as when an instrumental noun is incorporated in or precedes the verb, the $-h i$ is restored. Thus:

[^35]la- $-\bar{q}-t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{\prime}$ he burst it (cf. $-t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime} a g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I burst it)
( $\bar{\imath}-s^{\cdot}$ wili's'wal he tore it to pieces (cf. $-s^{r}$ wili's'wili ${ }^{\varepsilon} n 1$ tore it to pieces)
$\overline{-}-s^{\prime} w i^{\prime} l s^{\prime}$ wal tear it to pieces!
$\bar{i}-s \cdot w i{ }^{i} l s \cdot w a l$ he tore it (once)
$\bar{i}$-heme'm he wrestled with him 22.10 (cf. -hememi's $n$ I wrestled with him
despite the prefixed $-\bar{\imath}-$; but:
la-waya-t'bāak:i he burst it with a knife
han-waya-s'wils'wa'lhi tear it through in pieces with a knife! (73.3)

Similarly:
 him up)
but:
$k!a^{\prime} m \bar{a}^{a} d a n b \bar{a}^{a}$-sg $\bar{a}^{a} k^{\prime} s g a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} i$ tongs rocks he-picked-them-up-with ( $=$ he picked up rocks with tongs) 170.17
despite the lack of an instrumental prefix in the verb. Explicit instrumentality, however, can hardly be the most fundamental function of the -hi. It seems that whenever a transitive verb that primarily takes but one object is made to take a second (generally instrumental or indirective in character) the instrumental - $i$ - (with retained -hi) is employed. Thus:
$m a^{\prime} x l a ~ k!\bar{u} w u$ he threw dust
but:
$m a^{\prime} x l a^{\varepsilon} a l k!\bar{u} w u h i$ dust he-threw-it-at-him (perhaps best translated as he-bethrew-him-with-dust) cf. 184.5
where the logically direct object is $m a^{\prime} x l a$, while the logically indirect, perhaps grammatically direct, object is implied by the final -hi and the prefix al-. Similarly, in:
$k^{\prime} o^{\varepsilon} p x b a b a b a^{\prime} t^{\prime} i w \bar{a}^{a} d i^{\prime} x d a$ ashes he-clapped-them-over his-body (perhaps best rendered by: he-beclapped-his-boly-with-ashes) 182.9
the logically direct obiect is $\mathbb{F}^{\circ} o^{\varepsilon} p x$, the logically indirect object, hisbody, seems to be implied by the $-i$. This interpretation of the $-7 i$ as being dependent upon the presence of two explicit objects is confirmed by the fact that most, if not all, simple verbs that regularly retain it (such as give to, say to in non-aorist forms, bring to, verbs in -anan-) logically demand two objects.

As soon as the verb ceases to be transitive (or passive) in form or when the third personal object is the personal $-k^{*} w a$, the instrumental - $i$ - disappears:
gel-yalāáa ${ }^{\prime}$ alt'gwit he forgot himself 77.10 (cf. gel-yalāa'axaldis $n$ I forgot him)
ogoik' wa he gave it to him 96.18 (cf. ogoihi he gave it to him 188.12) It is possible that in wettgigwa he took it from him the -gi- is a peculiar suffix not compounded of petrified $-g$ - (see $\S 42,6$ ) and instrumental - $i$-; contrast $\overline{-}$-t!ana'hi he held it with $\bar{\imath}-t$ !ana'hagwa he held mim. Any ordinary transitive verb may lose its object and take a new instrumental object, whereupon the instrumental -ibecomes necessary. Examples of such instrumentalized transitives are:
ga'l $\varepsilon^{s}$ wa-ts!ayagis $n$ bow I-with-shoot-it (cf. ts!ayaga's $n$ I shoot him) wa- ${ }^{-} \bar{u}^{u} g w i^{\prime s} n$ I drink with it (cf. $\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ drink it)
If, however, it is desired to keep the old object as well as the new instrumental object, a suffix -an- seems necessary. Thus:
yap! $a$ wa-s $\bar{a}^{a} g$ inina $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ people they-will-be-shot-with-it
$x \bar{i}^{\prime i}$ wa- ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{u} g w i n i^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ water I-drink-it-with-it
It is not clear whether or not this -an-is related to either of the -anelements of -anan- (§50).

A final $-i$ is kept phonetically distinct in that it does not unite with a preceding fortis, but allows the fortis to be treated as a syllabic final, i. e., to become ${ }^{\varepsilon}+$ aspirated surd:
$h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-\bar{i}-\overline{-} e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon} k^{*} i$ he killed them off, but-le $m e k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I killed them off
Forms without connective vowel whose stem ends in a vowel, and yet (as instrumentals or otherwise) require an $-i$-, simply insert this element (under proper phonetic conditions as $-h i$-) before the modal and personal suffixes:
wa-woo'hin I shall go to get it with it (contrast woo'n I shall go to get it)
$\bar{i}$-t!ana'his $n$ I hold it; $\bar{\imath}$-t!ana'hi he holds it 27.4
di-s:al-yomo'hin I shall run behind and catch up with him; di-s'al-yomo'hi catch up with him! (contrast yomo'n I shall catch up with him)
wa-sana'hink they will spear them with them 28.15 (verb-stem sana-)
A constant $-a$ - used to support a preceding consonant combination is, in $-i$ - verbs, colored to $-i$ :
$\bar{\imath}$-lasgi` touch him! (cf. masya' put it! [104.S])

It is remarkable that several verbs with instrumental vocalism lose the $-i$ - and substitute the ordinary connective $-a$ - in the frequentative. Such are:
$\bar{\imath}$-go'yok! $1^{\varepsilon} n$ I nudge him; $\bar{\imath}$-goyogiya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I keep pushing him $d \bar{i}^{i}-t!\tau^{i} i^{\prime} 1^{\prime}{ }^{\kappa} n$ I crush it; $d \bar{\imath}^{i}-t!i y z^{\prime} t!i y a{ }^{{ }^{\varepsilon}} n$ I keep crushing them
It can hardly be accidental that in both these cases the loss of the $-i$ - is accompanied by the loss of a petrified consonant ( $-k!-,-s-)$.

The following scheme of the instrumental forms of $d \bar{o}^{u} m-$ kill (third personal object) will best illustrate the phonetic behavior of $-i$ :

|  | Aorist | Future | Potential | Inferential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | t'omomi' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{n}$ | $d \bar{u} u m \mathrm{i}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{n}$ | $d \bar{o} u m i^{\prime} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$ | dotmhiga ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| Second person . . | t'omomi't | dōumida' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | döumi't' | dzmhik!eit ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | đömhi | dōmhi'k ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Third person . . | $t!o m 8 m h i$ | dōumi' ${ }^{\text {n }}{ }^{\prime}$ | dömhi | d $\check{m} \mathrm{mhik}^{*}$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | t'omomina'k' | dōuminaga'm | dōumina $\mathrm{k}^{*}$ | dõmhigana 'k' | dōmhibar |  |
| Second person . . | t'omomi't'p' | döumi't'ba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | dōumi't'p' | drmhik!eīt ${ }^{\text {p }}$ ' | đōmhip |  |

§65. Forms Without Connecting Vowel
A considerable number of transitive verbs whose aorist stem ends in a long diphthong with rising pitch (long vowel + semivowel, nasal, or liquid) treat this diphthong as a rocalic unit, i. e., do not allow the second element of the diphthong to become semivocalic and thus capable of being followed by a connective - $a$ - before the personal endings (cf. intransitive forms like eĩ-t', $\S 60$ ). If such a long diphthong is final, or precedes a consonant (like $-t^{\prime}$ ) that is itself incapable of entering into diphthongal combination with a preceding rowel, no difficulty arises. If, however, the long diphthong precedes an $-n$ (in such endings as $-^{\varepsilon} n,-n,-n a k^{*}$ ), which, as has been seen, is phonetically on a line with the semivowels $y(i)$ and $w(u)$, a long double diphthong (long vowel + semivowel, nasal, or liquid $+n$ of time-value 4) results. Such a diphthong can not be tolerated, but must he reduced to an ordinary long diphthong of time-value 3 by the loss of the second element (semivowel, nasal, or liquid) of the diphthong of the stem (see § 11). Thus the coexistence of such apparently contradictory forms as dāa-yehè̀t l' you go where there is singing and $d \bar{a}^{a}-y e h e \tilde{n}$ (with passive $-n$ ) it was gone where there was singing (from *yeheĩn) can be explained by a simple consideration of syllabic $3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt $2-12-12$
$\$ 6.5$
weight. The rising pitch-accent, it should be noted, is always preserved as an integral element of the diphthong, even though a $-{ }^{-} n$ follow, so that the first personal singular subject third personal object of such verbs ( $-\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} n$ ) stands in sharp contrast to the corresponding form of the great mass of transitive verbs $\left(-v^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right) .{ }^{1}$ The first person plural subject third person object and the third personal passive are always parallel in form to the first person singular subject thirl person object in $-{ }^{-} n\left(k!a d \bar{a}^{a} n a^{\wedge}{ }_{k}{ }^{\prime}\right.$ and $k!a d a ̃ n$ like $\left.k!a d \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n\right)$. Examples of transitives with aorist stems ending in long diphthongs not followed by connective -a- are:
$t^{\prime}$ gwaxã $n$ I tattoo him
$d i ̄-t!\ddot{u g} \tilde{u ̈}^{\mathrm{s}} n \mathrm{I}$ wear it
d $\bar{a}^{a}-y e h \bar{e}^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ go where there is singing
d $\bar{a}^{a}$-yehēn (third person passive)
d $\bar{a}^{a}$-yehe $n a{ }^{\text {º }}{ }^{\text {(first }}$ person plural)
$k!a d \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ I picked them up
$d a-t!a g a ̃{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I built a fire
swadan (passive) they got beaten in gambling
oyõ $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} n$ give it ( $=$ *oy $\tilde{o} n^{\varepsilon} n$ )
but also oyona ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ with connecting -a-
$k!$ !emé $n \mathrm{I}$ did it 74.13
: t'gwaxāīt' you tattoo him
: dī-t!ügūĩ he wears it 96.16
: dāáachehèit' you go where there is singing (106.10)
: k!adāī he picked them up
: da-t!agāì he built a fire 88.12; 96.17
: swadaîsa $n$ they are gambling with one another
: k!emèì he did it 92.22 ; 144.6; $176.1,4,5,7,8,9,14$
In aorist $k!$ !emèi- make the $-i$-, actually or impliedly, appears only when the object is of the third person (singular first, $k!e m e e^{\varepsilon} n$; second,
 all other aoristic and all non-aoristic forms replace the $-i$ - by a $-n$-:
$k!e m e ̃ n x b i{ }^{\circ} n$ I make you 27.9
$k!e m e n n a a^{\varepsilon} n$ they make one another; future $k$ !emnan $n k{ }^{\prime}$ he will make it 28.14
A few reduplicated transitives ending, in both aorist and verb-stems, in a short diphthong (-al-, -am-, -an-, -aw-), lack a connective -a-

[^36]before the personal endings, so that a loss of the final consonant $(-l,-m-,-n-,-w-)$ takes place in third personal objective forms before a consonantal personal ending. Such verbs are:
heme'has $n$ I mocked him ( $=$ : heme'ham he mocked him
$$
\left.-h a m^{\varepsilon} n\right) \quad 24.4,5,8 ; 182.6,7
$$
$\bar{\tau} m i^{\prime} h a^{\varepsilon} n$ I sent him $\left(=-a m^{\varepsilon} n\right)$ : imi'hamsin I was sent (43.2)
\{gel-hewe'has $n^{1}$ I think $\left(=-a u^{s} n\right)$ : gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11;
$\{$ gel-hewe'hat you think 142.20
$p!a-i-d i^{\varepsilon}$-sgimi'sgan ${ }^{\Sigma}{ }^{2}$ Isetthem : $p^{!}\left(a-i-d i^{\varepsilon}\right.$-sgimi'sgam he set in ground ( $=-a m^{\varepsilon} n$ )
them in ground
(bāa -s $a l-m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon} m a^{s} n$ I turned them : $b \bar{a}^{-\varepsilon} a l-m o^{\prime} l 0^{\varepsilon} m a l$ he turned over $\left(=-a l^{\varepsilon} n\right) \quad$ them over (170.16)
bā-sal-mo' ${ }^{\text {s}}$ man I shall turn them over (=-aln)
$s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime \delta} n$ I fight him $\left(=-a n^{\varepsilon} n\right)$ : $s \bar{a}^{\alpha} n s a^{\wedge} n$ he fights him (28.10) (but also sãns, see § 40, 10b)
$m \bar{a}^{a} n m a^{\prime s} n \quad \mathrm{I}$ count them : $d\left(a-m \bar{a}^{a} n m i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right.$ I count them (=-ans $n$ ) up (156.14) (but also $m a ̃ n=$ *mãnm he counted them 78.8 ; 100.8)

How explain the genesis of these two sets of contract verb forms, and how explain the existence of doublets like $m o^{\prime} T o^{s} m a^{s} n$ and $m o^{\prime}-$
 and sãns? The most plausible explanation that can be offered is that originally the personal endings were added directly to the stem and that later a connecting $-a$-developed whenever the preceding consonant or the personal ending was not of a character to form a diphthong. Hence the original paradigms may have been:

because of the analogy of a vast number of verbs with connecting $-a$ - in both first and second persons, e. s., ts!ayagu's $n, t s!$ aymga't'. Forms like $m o^{\prime} / 0^{\varepsilon} m a t t^{\prime}, s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{`} \not t^{\prime}$, would arise from leveling to the first

[^37]person by the analogy of such forms as t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$, t'omoma't ${ }^{\prime}$. The third person generally brings out the original diphthong, yet sometimes the analogy set by the first person seems to be carried over to the third person (e. g., sãns beside $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n$ ), as well as to the third person passive and first person plural subject transitive. Such forms as $o y \tilde{o}^{s} n$ are best considered as survivals of an older "athematic" type of forms, later put on the wane by the spread of the "thematic" type with connecting $-a$ - (e. g., gayawa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$, not *gayã $^{\varepsilon} n$ from ${ }^{*}$ gayaũ $n$ ). Owing to the fact that the operation of phonetic laws gave rise to various paradigmatic irregularities in the "athematic" forms, these sank into the background. They are now represented by aorists of Type 2 verbs like naga's $n_{\text {I SAY to }}$ нm and wa-k!oyõ- $n$ I Go with him, ${ }^{1}$ non-aorist forms of Type 5 verbs (e. g., odo' $n$ ), and such isolated irregularities as intransitive eĩ-t and nagaĩ-t' (contrast yewey-a ${ }^{\prime} t^{\circ}$ and $t^{\prime}$ agaya ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ ) and transitive contract verbs like $k!a d \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ and $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$.

## § 66. Passives

Passives, which occur in Takelma texts with great frequency, must be looked upon as amplifications of transitive forms with third personal subject. Every such transitive form may be converted into a passive by the omission of the transitive subject and the addition of clements characteristic of that voice; the pronominal object of the transitive becomes the logical, not formal, subject of the passive (passives, properly speaking, have no subject). The passive suffixes referred to are $-(a) n$ for the aorist, $-(a) n a^{\varepsilon}$ for the future, and $-a m$ for the inferential. Imperatives were not obtained, nor is it certain that they exist. Following are the passive forms of $d \bar{o}^{u} m$-, instrumental forms being put in parentheses:


[^38]The connective -a-, it will be observed, is replaced by $-i$ - when the formal object is the first person plural (-am-); compare the entirely analogous phenomenon in the second personal subjective first personal plural objective forms of the transitive ( $\$ 63$ ). It is curious that the third person aorist of the passive can in every single case be mechanically formed with perfect safety by simply removing the catch from the first personal singular subjective third personal objective of the transitive; the falling accent (rising accent for verbs like $\left.k!e m \tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n\right)$ remains unchanged:
$\bar{\imath}-t!a^{\prime} u t!i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I caught him : $\bar{\imath}$-t!a'ut!iwin he was caught 29.12 $n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I said to him 72.7, 9 : $n a g a^{\prime} n$ he was spoken to 102.16 $k!e m e ́ s n$ I made it 74.13 : k!emẽn it was made 13.12178 .12 It is hardly possible that a genetic relation exists between the two forms, though a mechanical association is not psychologically incredible.

Not only morphologically, but also syntactically, are passives closely related to transitive forms. It is the logical unexpressed subject of a passive sentence, not the grammatical subject (logical and formal object), that is referred to by the reflexive possessive in -gwa (see §§ 91, 92). Thus:
 his-own-horns) with (in other words, they dug him up with their own horns) 48.5
There is no real way of expressing the agent of a passive construction. The commonest method is to use a periphrasis with xebe'sn he did so. Thus:

ẽ salk!omo'k!imin p!iyin xebe's $n$ canoe it-was-kicked-to-pieces deer they-did-so (in other words, the canoe was kicked to pieces by the deer) 114.5

## § 67. VERBS OF MIXED CLASS, CLASS IV

A fairly considerable number of verbs are made up of forms that belong partly to Class I or Class II intransitives, partly to the transitives. These may be conveniently grouped together as Class IV, but are again to be subdivided into three groups. A fow instransitive verbs showing forms of both Class I and II have been already spoken of (pp. 162-3, 166).

1. Probably the larger number is taken up by Type 13 verbs in $-n-$, all the forms of which are transitives except those with second person singular or plural subject. These latter are forms of Class II (i. e., aorist singular -dam, plural -dap ${ }^{\text {; }}$ future singular -du ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, plural

- $\left.d a b a^{\varepsilon}\right)$. The $-n$ - appears only in the first person singular and plural (aorist $-n a^{s} n$ and -nana $k^{*}$ ), yet its absence in the other persons may, though not probably, be due to a secondary loss induced by the phonetic conditions. The forms, though in part morphologically transitive (and, for some of the rerbs, apparently so in meaning), are in effect intransitive. The object, as far as the signification of the verb allows one to grant its existence, is always a pronominally unexpressed third person, and the instrumental $-i$ - can not be used before the personal endings. Among these semitransitives in $-n$ - are:
(gwen-sgut! $u^{\prime}$ sgat nasin I cut necks
gwen-sgut! 'u'sgat he cut necks 144.2 (cf.transitive instrumentals gwen-waya-squt! 'u'sgitīn, gwen-waya-sgut! 'u'sgat i 144.3 )
$\left\{d a-b o k!o b a^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}\right.$ nas $n$ I make bubbles (or da-bok! $o^{\prime} p^{\wedge} n a^{\Sigma} n 102.22$ )
da $a-b o k!o^{\prime} p$ dam you make bubbles
$b \bar{a}^{\alpha}$-xada'xat nasn I hang them up in row

llobo'lp'dam you used to pound them

i-lay $\bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{*}$ : she coils a basket
k!ada'k!at nasn I used to pick them up (116.11)
da-dagada' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ na ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ I sharpen my teeth (126.18)
$\bar{u} g \bar{u}^{\prime s} a k^{\prime} n a a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ I always drink it
wagao'kinasn I always bring it 43.16 ; 45.6)

Morphologically identical with these, yet with no trace of transitive signification, are:
i-hegwe'hak ${ }^{*}{ }^{n}{ }^{n}{ }^{s^{s} n}$ I am working
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}x a-h e g e^{\prime} \hbar a k{ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon_{n}} \text { I breathe (78.12; 79.1, 2, 4) } \\ \text { ra-huk! u'hakinasn (third person xa-huk! } u^{\prime} h a k \text { ) }\end{array}\right.$
\{al-t'wap! $a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ wa p'nasn I blink with my eyes 102.20
lal-t wap! a't wap' dam you blink with your eyes
The following forms of i-hegwehagw- (rerb-stem i-he eqwagw- [ $=$ -héguchagu-]) work will serve to illustrate the $-n$ - formation:

|  | -torist | Future | Inferential | Present imperatire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. | hegut'hak'rnasn | hecgra'l'unan | $\begin{gathered} \text { heegua } a^{\prime} k^{\prime} \text { was } \quad\left(=-k w^{\prime}-\right. \\ \left.k^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 2 d per. | heguc'hak'rdam | heegura'f: veda: | heqgua'k!wei't' | he'k‘ uāak' u |
| 3 d per. | hegue'hak* | [?] | heegua k'w |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| 1 st per. | heguc 'hal' ${ }^{\text {cmana'k' }}$ | heєgua'k'rnanagam | heegua'k'rana'k' | hegua'k' uaba ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2 d per. | hegue'hak'udap' | heєgua'li'udabas | heegra'k! weit'p* | he'k'rāagua'np' |

2. Practically a sub-group of the preceding set of verbs is formed by a rery few verbs that have their aorist like $\bar{i}$-hegwe'hak* ${ }^{*} n a^{\varepsilon} n$, § 67
but their non-aorist forms like Class II intransitives. They evidently waver between Class II, to which they seem properly to belong, and the semi-transitive $-n$ - forms. Such are:

| $d \bar{\imath}-\mathrm{k}!a l a^{\prime} s \mathrm{na}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ (but also | future dī-k! $a^{\prime}$ lside ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left.d \bar{\imath}-k!a l a^{\prime} s \mathrm{c}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ I am lean in my rump |  |
| $d \bar{\imath}-k!a l a ' s d a m$ (second person) | future dī-k! ! $a^{\prime} l s i d a^{\varepsilon}$ |
| gwel-sal-t!eyẽsna ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I have no flesh on my legs and feet | future-tleĩside ${ }^{e}$ |

It may be observed that the existence of a form like *gwel-sal-t!eisinan was denied, so that we are not here dealing with a mere mistaken mixture of distinct, though in meaning identical, verbs.
3. The most curious set of verbs belonging to Class IV is formed by a small number of intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, with a thoroughly transitive aorist, but with non-aorist forms belonging entirely to Class II. This is the only group of verbs in which a difference in tense is associated with a radical difference in class. Examples are:


To these should probably be added also da-sgayana ${ }^{\prime} n$ I lie down (3d da-sgayañ), though no future was obtained. Here again it may be noted that the existence of ${ }^{2} d a-s m a-i m a^{\prime} n$ as a possible (and indeed to be expected) future of da-smayama ${ }^{\prime s} n$ was denied. ${ }^{1}$

[^39]
## 5. Auxiliary and Subordinating Forms (§§ $\boldsymbol{6 8}-\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{2}$ )

## § 68. PERIPHRASTIC FUTURES

Periphrastic future forms are brought about by prefixing to the third personal (unexpressed) objective forms of the aorist stem -gulug ${ }^{*}$ - desire, intend the verb-stem (if transitive, with its appended pronominal object) of the rerb whose future tense is desired. The pronominal subject of such a form is given by the transitive subject pronoun of the second element (-gulugu-) of the compound; while the object of the whole form, if the rerb is transitive, is coincident with the incorporated pronominal object of the first element. The form of the verb-stem preceding the -gulugw- suffix is identical with the form it takes in the inferential. Thus:
$b a-i-h e m a^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} u l u k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ he will take it out (cf. inferential ba-i-he$\left.m a^{\prime} k^{\prime}=-h e m g-k^{\prime}\right)$, but imperative $b a-i-h e^{\prime} m k^{\prime} 16.10$
but, without inorganic $a$ :
i-hemgulu' $k^{*}$ w he will wrestle with him (cf. inferential hemk')
Indeed, it is quite likely that the main verb is used in the inferential form, the $-k$ of the inferential amalgamating with the $g$ - of -guiug ${ }^{w}$ to form $g$ or $k^{\circ}$. This seems to be proved by the form:
loho' $k{ }^{\prime}-d i$-gulugwa' $t^{\prime}$ do you intend to die? ( $d i=$ interrogative particle)
Morphologically the rerb-stem with its incorporated object must itself be considered as a verb-noun incorporated as a prefix in the verb -gulug ${ }^{w-}$ and replacing the prefix gel- breast of gel-gulugwa's $n$ i desire it 32.5, 6, 7. Alongside, e. g., of the ordinary future form $d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ I shall kill him may be used the periphrastic dōum-gulugua $a^{\prime s} n$ literally, i kill (him)-desire, intexd. This latter form is not by any means a mere desiderative (i desire to kill him would be expressed by d $\bar{o}^{u}$ mia' gel-gulugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ [ $=$ то-кILL-HIM I-IT-Desire]), but a purely formal future. Similarly, dẽmxi-gulu'た'u is used alongside of the simpler dümxink' he will kill me. As a matter of fact the third personal subjective future in -gulu $\Re^{\circ} w$ is used about as frequently as the regular paradigmatic forms heretofore given:
yana'-k゙ulu $\Re^{\circ}$ "s he will go (128.9)
sana' $p^{\circ}-g u l u k^{*} w$ he will fight (cf. 48.10)
yomo'k wagulu'k'w she was about to catch up with him 140.18
alxis'sxbi-gulu $\Re^{*}{ }^{\omega}$ he will see you

The reason is obvious. The normal futures (yana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{*}$ he will go; $\operatorname{sana}^{\prime} p^{\prime} d \bar{a}^{a}$; alxī${ }^{-\varepsilon} x b i n k{ }^{\prime}$ ) imply a bald certainty, as it were, of the future action of a third person, a certainty that is not in ordinary life generally justifiable. The periphrastic forms, on the other hand, have a less rigid tone about them, and seem often to have a slight intentive force: ine intends, is about to go. The difference between the two futures may perhaps be brought out by a comparison with the English i shall kill him ( $=d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ ) and i'm going to kill hins (d $\bar{o}^{u} m$-gulugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ ).

Though a form like dümxi-gulu' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ нe will kill me is in a way analogous to $s \cdot i n-i$-lets!e'xi he touches my nose, the incorporated object dümxi- Kill-me of the former being parallel to s.in- nose of the latter, there is an important difference between the two in that the object of the periphrastic future is always associated with the logically ( $\left(\bar{o}^{u} m\right.$-), not formally ( $-g u l u y^{w-}$ ), main verb. This difference may be graphically expressed as follows: he-[ kill-me]-intends-it, but he-[ nose-hand]-touches-me; strict analogy
 ME, a type of form that is not found. It is not necessary to give a paradigm of periphrastic future forms, as any desired form can be readily constructed from what has already been said. The incorporated pronominal object is always independent of the subject-suffix, so that you will kill me, for example, is rendered by d $\tilde{u} m x i$-gulugua't', the ordinary you-me forms (singular -dam, plural -dap') finding no place here.

Inasmuch as all active periphrastic futures are transitive in form, passive futures of the same type (all ending in -gutupwa'n) can be formed from all verbs, whether transitive or intransitive. When formed from transitive stems, these forms are equivalent to the normal future passives in -(a)na :
d $\bar{o}^{u} m$-gulugwa' $n$ he will, is about to, is going to be killed $d \bar{u} m x i-g u l u g w a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ am to be killed, it is intended to kill me
As the intransitive stem in the periphrastic future is never accompanied by pronominal affixes, there is only one passive future form that can be constructed from an intransitive verb. This form always refers to the third person, generally to the intended or imminent action of a group of people:
hoida-gulugwa'n (verb-stem hoid- + inorganic -a-) there will be dancing
lō ${ }^{u}$-gulugwa'n people are going to play (literally, it is playintended)

The passive future in -gulugwa' $n$ can also be used with the indefinite form in -iau-:
sana'xiniau-gulugwa'n it is intended, about to be that people fight one another; there will be fighting
The extreme of abstract expression seems to be reached in such not uncommon forms as:
we'egiau-gulugwa'n it was going to be daylight (literally, it was being-daylight intended) 48.13
As the suffixed pronominal objects of reciprocal forms are intransitive in character, the first element of a periphrastic future of the reciprocal must show an incorporated intransitive pronoun, but of aorist, not future form:
> $\bar{\imath}$-di-lasgi'xant $p^{\prime} p^{\prime}$-gulugwa't $p^{\prime}$ are you going to touch one another? (aorist $\overline{\text {-lats }}{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} x a n t ' p$; future $\bar{\imath}$-lasgi'xant ${ }^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ )

## § 69. PERIPHRASTIC PHRASES IN $n a(g)$ - DO, ACT

The verbal base $n a(g)^{1}$ (intransitive $n a$-; transitive $n \bar{a}^{a} g$-) has hitherto been translated as say (intransitive), say to (transitive). This, however, is only a specialized meaning of the constantly recurring base, its more general signification being DO, ACT, BE in motion indefinitely. It is really never used alone, but is regularly accompanied by some preceding word or phrase with which it is connected in a periphrastic construction; the $n a(g)$ - form playing the part of an auxiliary. As a verb of saying, $n a(g)$ - is regularly preceded by a quotation, or else some word or phrase, generally a demonstrative pronoun, grammatically summarizing the quotation. Properly speaking, then, a sentence like i shall go, ite said (to me) $\left(=y a n a^{\prime} t^{e} e^{e}[g a] n a y a^{\prime i}\right.$ [or nege's $\left.\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}\right]\right)$ is rendered in Takelma by $\mathbf{I}$ shall go (that) he did (or ie did to me), in which the quotation yana'te $e^{e}$ I shall go, or else its representative ga that, is incorporated as prefix in the general verb of action.

The most interesting point in connection with periphrastic phrases in $n a(g)$ - is the use of a number of invariable, generally monosyllabic, verbal bases as incorporated prefixes. The main idea, logically speaking, of the phrase is expressed in the prefix, the na(g)-

[^40]element serving merely to give it grammatical form. This usage is identical with that so frequently employed in Chinookan dialects, where significant uninflected particles are joined into periphrastic constructions with some form of the verb-stem - $x$ - Do, make, becone (e. g., Wasco tq! $u^{\prime} b$ itciux he cut it [literally, cut he-itmade]), except that in Takelma the particles are identical with the bases of normally formed verbs. It is not known how many such verb-particles there are, or even whether they are at all numerous. The few examples obtained are:
$n a^{\varepsilon}$ do (cf. $n a^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall say, do)
$s^{*} \cdot a s \cdot$ come to a stand (cf. s.as iñ he stands 144.14)
$s \cdot i l$ paddle canoe (cf. ei-ba-i-s'ili'xgwa he landed with his canoe 13.5)
$t^{\prime}$ get ${ }^{\text {E }}$ fall, drop
$t s!e l$ rattle (cf. $t s \cdot e l e^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ it rattles 102.13)
$t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{\prime} u x$ make a racket (cf. $t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{\prime} u_{x}{ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I make a noise)
liwa $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ look (cf. liwila'ut' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked [60.7])
le'yas lame (cf. gwel-le'ye ${ }^{e} s d e^{\varepsilon}$ I am lame)
$p^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ was jumping lightly (cf. piowits!ana ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I make it bounce)
we'k!alk' shining (cf. al-we'k!ala $n$ I shine)
sgala' $u k^{\circ}$ look moving one's head to side (cf. al-sgalawi'n I shall look at him moving my head to side)
The last two are evidently representatives of a whole class of quasiadverbial $-k$-derivatives from verb-stems, and, though syntactically similar to the rest, hardly belong to them morphologically. The $-k^{-}$ of these invariable verb-derivatives can hardly be identified with the inferential $-k$, as it is treated differently. Thus:
we'k!al-k' shining 126.3; 128.14, but inferential al-we'k!al-pe-ke (Class IV, 3) he shone
Most frequently employed of those listed is $n a^{\varepsilon}$, which is in all probability nothing but the base na- Do, to forms of which it is itself prefixed; its function is to make of the base $n a(g)$ - a pure rerb of action or motion in contradistinction to the use of the latter as a verb of saying:
 182.4; 184.4
$g a$-naga ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he said that 72.12 , but ga-nas naga $a^{\prime i}$ he did that 58.3 gwaitt $a-n a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ the wind will blow as it is blowing now (literally, wind [gwalt $]$ this $[a-]$-do [ $\left.n a^{\varepsilon}\right]$-act-will $\left[n a^{s} t^{\prime}\right]$ ) (152.s)
$g a-n a^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} x$ thus, in that way (literally, that do-acting, doing) 71.6; 110.21; but ga-ne' $x$ that saying, to say that 184.10

Examples of the other elements are:
ei-s $\cdot i^{\prime} l-n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon} 1$ he paddled his canoe (literally, he canoe-paddle(lid) 13.5
$s^{*} a s^{*}$-naga ${ }^{\prime s}$ he came to a stand $22.6 ; 31.14,15 ; 55.12 ; 96.23$
$s \cdot a s^{*}-n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} n$ I shall bring him to a halt (literally, I shall $s^{*} a s^{*}-$ do to him)
liwa $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$-nagaìt $e^{\text { }}$ I looked (55.6; 7S.10, 13; 79.5)
$t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} l^{s}-n a g a i t e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ fell, dropped down
t'gel= nagana ${ }^{\prime} a s k^{*}$ he always fell down 62.8
ts! $e^{\prime} l$ naga ${ }^{\prime s}$ (bones) rattled (literally, they did ts!el) 79.8
$t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} x$ naga ' they made a racket so as to be heard by them 192.9
we'k!alk'-naga'ts he shines
syala' $u k^{\prime \prime}$-nagana $a^{\prime a s} k^{\prime \prime}$ he looked continually moving his head from side to side $144.14,17$
gweèlxdāa léyas-na'k" his leg was laming 160.17
$p^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ was-naga'is he jumped up lightly 48.8
Syntactically analogous to these are the frequent examples of postpositions (see § 96), adverbs, and local phrases prefixed to forms of the undefined verb of action $n a(g)$-, the exact sense in which the latter is to be taken being determined by the particular circumstances of the locution. Examples are:
gada' $k^{\prime}$-naga'is they passed orer it (literally, thereon they did) 190.21
ganau-nagana'sk' he went from one (trap) to another (literally, therein he kept doing) 78.5
hawi-nãli $i$ tell him to wait! (literally, still do to him!)
hagwa $\bar{a}^{a} l a ' m$ (in the road) -naga'is (he did) ( $=$ he traveled in the road)
haxiya' (in the water) -naga'is ( $=$ he went by water)
$d a k^{\prime}-s^{\prime} \cdot i n i^{\prime i} d a$ (over his nose) $-n a b \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime s} h a^{\prime} n$ (let us do) ( $=$ let us [flock of crows] pass orer him!) 144.11
$d a^{\prime} k k^{\prime} d \bar{u}^{a} d a$ (over him) -na' (do!) ( = pass over him!)
daki-yawadé (over my ribs) -naga ${ }^{\prime i}$ ( $=$ he passed by me)
ge (there) -naga ${ }^{\prime i s}$ ( $=$ they passed there) 144.18
he $e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$-wila'mxa-hi (beyond Mount Wila'mxa) -nãk ${ }^{*}$ (do having it!) ( $=$ proceed with it to beyond Mount Wila'mxa!) 196.14
These examples serve to indicate, at the same time, that the particles above mentioned stand in an adverbial relation to the $n a(g)$-form:
$s^{*} a s^{*}-n a g a^{\prime i s}$ he come-to-a-stand-did, like ge naga ${ }^{\prime i s}$ he there-did Compare the similar parallelism in Wasco of:

[^41]$k!w a^{\prime} c$ gali'xux afraid he-made-himself ( $=$ he became afraid) (see "Wishram Texts," 152.9)
$k w \hat{o}^{\prime} b a$ gali'xux there he-made-himself ( $=$ he got to be there, came there)
Here may also be mentioned the use of verb-stems prefixed to the forms of $k$ !emn- make and $n \bar{a}^{a} g$ - say to. Such locutions are causative in signification, but probably differ from formal causatives in that the activity of the subject is more clearly defined. Examples are:
wede wo'k' k!emna`t` do not let him arrive! (literally, not arrive make-him!)
wo'k' k!emana'nxi let me come! (literally, arrive make-me!)
gwel-leis k!emna'n I shall make him lame (literally, be-lame I-shall-make-him)
yana nãki $i$ let him go (literally, go say-to-him)
The forms involving k!emen- are quite similar morphologically to periphrastic futures in $-g u l u g^{\omega}$-, the main point of difference being that, while k!emen- occurs as independent verb, -gulug ${ }^{w}$ - is never found without a prefix. The forms involving $n \bar{a}^{a} g$ - are probably best considered as consisting of an imperative followed by a quotative verb form. Thus yana nãk" $i$ is perhaps best rendered as "go!" say it то нin! The form hoida-yo' $k^{\prime} y a^{\text {s }} s$ (hoid- Dance + connective -a-) one who knows how to dance suggests that similar compound verbs can be formed from yok y- know.

## §70. SUBORDINATING FORMS

A number of syntactic suffixes are found in Takelma, which, when appended to a verbal form, serve to give it a subordinate or dependent value. Such subordinate forms bear a temporal, causal, conditional, or relative relation to the main verb of the sentence, but are often best translated simply as participles. Four such subordinating suffixes have been found:
$-d a^{\varepsilon}\left(-t^{*} a^{\varepsilon}\right)$, serving to subordinate the active forms of the aorist.
$-m a^{\varepsilon}$, subordinating those of the passive aorist.
$-n a^{\varepsilon}$, subordinating all inferential forms in $-k$. Periphrastic inferential forms in eit and eit $p^{\circ}$ are treated like aorists, the form-riving elements of such periphrases being indeed nothing but the second person singular and plural aorist of ei-BE.
$-k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}\left(-g i^{s}\right)$, appended directly to the non-anrist stem, forming dependent clauses of unfulfilled action, its most frequent use being
the formation of conditions. Before examples are given of subordinate constructions, a few remarks on the subordinate forms themselves will be in place.

The aoristic $-d a^{\varepsilon}$ - forms of an intransitive rerb like $\hbar \bar{o} g^{\omega}$ - rux are: Singular:

| Sigr | Independent | Subordinate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person | $h \bar{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ run | $h \bar{o}^{\prime} k_{i}^{\prime} d e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when I ran I running |
| Second person | Ћōqwa't | hogwada ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ |
| Third person | $h \bar{o}^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime}$ | $h \bar{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{F}^{\prime} d a^{\text {s }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |
| First person . | hōqwi\% | hōgwiga'm |
| Second person | högwa't ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\hbar \overline{o g w a ' t}{ }^{\prime} b a^{\text {s }}$ |
| Impersonal | högwia'us | $h o ̄ q w i a^{\prime}-u d a^{\varepsilon}$ |

Of these forms, that of the first person plural in $-a^{\prime} m$ is identical, as far as the suffix is concerned, with the future form of the corresponding person and number. The example given above ( $h \bar{o}-$ gwiga'm) was found used quite analogously to the more transparently subordinate forms of the other persons (alxi'ixam hōgwiga'm
 the stem is all that keeps apart the future and the subordinate aorist of the first person plural (thus hogwiga'm $\pi$ e shall rux with short $o$ ). No form in - $i^{\prime} k d a^{\Sigma}$, such as might perhaps be expected, was found. The catch of the first and third person singular of class I rerbs disappears before the $-d a^{\varepsilon}($ see $\S 22)$. The falling accent of the stem, howerer, remains, and the quantity of the stressed vowel is lengthened unless followed by a diphthong-forming element. Thus:
$y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he went 58.5 ( $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he went 96.8); cf. 188.17
$b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he came ( $b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime \prime} k^{\circ}$ he came 156.24)
yawa'idas as they were talking 130.13 (yawa ${ }^{\prime \text { is }}$ they talked)
$x e b e^{\prime} n d a^{s}$ when he did so 142.10 ( $x e b e^{\prime s} n$ he did so 118.14)

The subordinate form of the third person aorist of class II intransitives ends in $-t^{\prime} a^{8}$ if the immediately preceding vowel has a rising accent. Thus:
s'as'init'as when he stood ( $s^{*} a s^{\prime}$ int he stood 120.12)
lop!õt $a^{\varepsilon}$ when it rained (lop! $0^{\text {'t }}{ }^{*}$ it rained 90.1)
In the second person singular the personal $-t$ and the $-d$ - of the subordinating suffix amalgamate to $-d-$. The subordinate second person plural in $-t^{t} b a^{\varepsilon}$ is not improbably simply formed on the analogy of the corresponding singular form in $-d a^{\varepsilon}$, the normal difference
between the singular and plural of the second person consisting simply of the added $-b-\left(-p^{\prime}\right)$ of the latter; similarly, e-idas when thou art and eitt ba when ye are. Judging by the analogy of the subordinates of transitive forms in -dam and -dap the subordinate forms of the second persons of class II intransitives end in $-t^{t} a^{\varepsilon}\left(-d a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $-t^{\circ} a b a^{\varepsilon}\left(-d a b a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ :
$s^{\prime} a s^{\prime} i n i t t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ when you stood ( $s^{*} a s^{\cdot}$ inititam you stood)
$s^{*} a s^{*}$ initit $b a^{\varepsilon}$ when ye stood ( $s^{*} a s^{*}$ init $t^{*} a p^{*}$ ye stood)
Note the ambiguity of the form $s^{*} a s^{*}$ initit $a^{\varepsilon}$ when he or you stood; compare the similar ambiguity in naga'-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when ie said and naga-ida's when you said $130.14 ; 132.23$.

The transitive subordinates of the aorist are also characterized by a suffixed $-d a^{\varepsilon}$, except that forms with a third personal subject invariably substitute - (a)na ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (-ina ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ with first person phural object), and that the personal endings -dam (тноU-me) and -dap, (ye-me) become simply $-d a^{\varepsilon}$ and $-d a b a^{\varepsilon}$ respectively. The latter forms are thus distinguished from non-subordinate futures merely by the aoristic stem ( $a l-x \bar{u}^{-1} x d a^{\varepsilon}$ when you saw me, but al-xis $x d a^{\varepsilon}$ you will see me). Analogously to what we have seen to take place in the intransitive, $-t^{*} p^{*}$ becomes $-t^{*} b a^{\varepsilon}$. The subordinate aorists of t!omom- Kill are : ${ }^{1}$

| Subjective | Objective |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | fecond person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | t'omorbindas <br> (t!omõrbisn) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t!omoma'nda } a^{\varepsilon} \\ & \quad\left(\text { t!omoma } a^{\prime}{ }_{n}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | t'omōxanbanda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ <br> (t.omoranbasn) |
| 2d per. | $t$ !iimũux $d a^{s}$ <br> (t!üm $\mathfrak{u} x d a m$ ) |  | t'omomada's <br> (t!omoma't') | t'omõximidas <br> (t.omõximit') |  |
| 3d per. | $t!i i m$ üxina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (t!ümüxi) | t!omöxbinas (t!omōxbi) | t'omomanr's <br> ( t !omẽ̃ m ) | t'omoximinas (t!omõram) | t!omõxanbanat (!'omõxanp') |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | t'omoxxbinagam (t'omõxbinak') | t!omomanaga'm (t!omomana` ${ }^{\prime}$ ) |  | t'omõxanbanagam (t'omóranbanaf:') |
| 2d per. | $t!i i m \tilde{u} x d a b a^{\varepsilon}$ <br> (t!üm $\left.\tilde{u} I d a p{ }^{\prime}\right)$ |  | t!omoma't ba <br> (t'omoma't' $p^{\prime}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { t'omõrimit'ba } \\ \left(\text { t'omõrimit' } p^{\varepsilon}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |

The forms with first personal plural subject ( $-n a^{\prime} k_{i}$ ) and sccond personal object were not obtained, but the corresponding forms in $-i g a^{\prime} m$ (first person plural intransitive) and -anaga'm (first person plural subject third person object) leave no doubt as to their correctness. These forms differ from ordinary futures of the same
number and person only in the use of the aorist stem. Only very few examples of subordinate -anaga' $m$ have been found:
aga'hi ligigwanaga'm just-these which-we-brought-home 134.18; contrast $\bar{z}_{i}{ }^{i}$ gwanaga' $m$ we shall bring them home
yewẽ xebeeyagwanaga'm if we should slay him (literally, perhaps that-we-slay-him) 136.23; contrast xeebagwanaga' $m$ we shall slay him The use of the aorist stem in the subordinate, it will be observed, is also the only characteristic that serves to keep distinct the third personal subjective subordinates and the future forms of the passive:
$a l-x i^{\prime \prime} x b i n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he saw you, but $a l-x i^{-\varepsilon} x b i n a^{\varepsilon}$ you will be seen It may be noted that the third personal subjective aorist forms of the transitive may be mechanically formed, like the passives of the same tense, from the first person singular subject third person object aorist by merely dropping the glottal catch of the latter form and adding $-a^{\varepsilon}$. Thus:
gel-hewe'hana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he thought 45.2 ; 142.10, 13, 16 (cf. gelhewe'has $n$ I thought); but gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11
The subordinate of the form with personal object $-k^{*} w a$ is formed by adding -na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ :
malãk' wana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he told him 72.14 (malãk'wa he told him 142.4)
The aorist passive subordinates cause no trouble whatever, the characteristic -ma being in every case simply appended to the final $-n$ of the passive form:
t!omoma'nma when he was killed 146.22 (from t'omoma' $n$ he was killed 148.3)
t!omõxanbanma ${ }^{\text {s }}$ when you (plural) were killed
The complete subordinate inferential paradigm is rather motley in appearance; $-n a^{\varepsilon}$ is suffixed to the third personal subject in $-k$ :
> $p!\tilde{a} k^{\circ} n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he bathed
> laba'kna ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he carried it 126.5
> gaik $n a^{s}$ when he ate it
> d $\bar{u} m x i k{ }^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he killed me

The first person singular in $-k^{k} a^{\varepsilon}(n)$ becomes $-k^{\prime}$ anda $a^{\varepsilon}$; the first person plural subordinate was not obtained, but doubtless has $-k^{\prime a} a n a y a^{\prime} m$ as ending. The subordinate of the passive in $-k \times m$ is regularly formed by the addition of $-n a^{\varepsilon}$ :
gaikiamnas when it was eaten
dõmxamk: amna ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when we were killed

The periphrastic forms in eĩt and eĩt $p^{\prime}$ become $-k^{*}+$ eid $a^{\prime s}$ and $e \overline{i t} b a^{\varepsilon}$ in the subordinate; e. g., w $\bar{a}^{\alpha} h \bar{\imath}^{i} m t^{\prime} k!$ eid $a^{\prime s}$ wnen you answered him. The active inferential subordinates of $d \bar{o}^{u_{m}}$ - with third personal object thus are:

Singular:
First person, dômkianda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
Second person, doumk!eida ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$
Plural:
First person, dõmk'anaga'm
Second person, d̄̄̄umk!eît bas
Third person, dõmknas ; personal, dõmk wak na ${ }^{\text {s }}$
Impersonal dō ${ }^{u}$ miaũk'na $a^{\varepsilon}$
The subordinating clement -na also makes a subordinate clanse out of a $-t^{t}$ participle (see §76):
gwi na't'nas gas a'ldi naga'n how-he-looked (gwi na`t how-looking) that all he-was-called 60.5; (cf. 78.3)
yap!a ga na't $n a^{\varepsilon}$ that number of people 110.15
Also adjectives and local phrases may be turned into subordinate clauses by the suffixing of $-n a^{\varepsilon}$ :
xilam-na's when she was sick 188.10
aga dõ $u k{ }^{\prime}$ gwelda-na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ this $\log$ under-it when ( $=$ while he was under this $\log$ ) 190.20
Examples will now be given of constructions illustrating the use of subordinate forms. It is artificial, from a rigidly native point of view, to speak of causal, temporal, relative, and other uses of the subordinate; yet an arrangement of Takelma examples from the view-point of English syntax has the advantage of bringing out more clearly the range of possibility in the use of subordinates. The subordinate clause may be directly attached to the rest of the sentence, or, if its temporal, causal, or other significance needs to be clearly brought out, it may be introduced by a relative advert) or pronoun (where, wilen, how, wio). Both constructions are sometimes possible; e. g., a sentence like i do not kiow who kilien нim may be rendered either by not I-IT-KNow who Ine-InM-K1LIING or not i-whom-know he-mis-killing. Subordinate constructions with causal signification are:
$t s^{s}!o l x$ (1) $\ddot{u}^{\prime} s^{i} i$ (2) $t!u ̈ m \tilde{u} x d a^{s}$ (3) give me (2) dentalia (1), for you have struck me (3) (cf. 15.8)
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) gel-gülii'xi (2) gayawa'nda (3) he docs not (1) like me (2), because I ate it (3)
gũxde (1) gayawana's (2) goyo' (3) yap!a (4) ald ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (5) $h e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-$ leme' $k!i t^{\prime}$ (6) you killed off (6) all (5) the people (4), because shamans (3) ate (2) your wife (1) 146.11
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (2) $g \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (3) $m e^{\varepsilon}-w \tilde{o}^{u} k^{\circ} d e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ (5) he did not (1) go (2), because I (3) came (4) ; ga $a^{\varepsilon} a^{\dagger} 7$ (on account of, for) is employed to render preceding subordinate unambiguously causal
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) $s \cdot i n-h o^{\prime} k$ wal (2) $y u^{\prime} k^{*} n a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) $g a$ (4) $g a^{\varepsilon} a l$ (5) $s b i n n^{\varepsilon} a$ (6) $x a^{\prime} m-h i$ (7) $l \tilde{a} p^{\prime} k^{*}$ (8) not (1) being (3) nose-holed (2), for (5) that (4) (reason) Beaver (6) got to be (8) under water (7) 166.18

A temporal signification is found in:
$h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}-y e w e^{\prime i}$ (1) aldil (2) t!omoma'nma $a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) they all (2) returned far off (1), after (many of them) had been slain (3) 146.22
goyo (1) gel-lohoigwa'nma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (2) when shamans (1) are avenged (2) 148.2
$b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ (1) $p^{\circ} i m$ (2) gayawa'ndas (3) he came (1) when I was eating (3) salmon (2)
$a l-x i^{-i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ (1) $g w i^{\varepsilon} n e$ (2) $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) I saw him (1) when (2) he went (3)
Relative clauses of one kind and another, including indirect questions, are illustrated in:
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) nek. (2) yok!oyas $n$ (3) legéxina (4) I do not (1) know (3) who (2) gave me to eat (4) (literally, not I-whomknow he-giving-me-to-eat)
yok!oya's (1) nek. (2) laga'ximina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (3) I know (1) who (2) gave us to eat (3)
$m \tilde{a} n$ (1) $m i^{\prime} x a l$ (2) ha-loh $\bar{o}^{u} n a n a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (3) he counted (1) how many (2) he had trapped (3) 100.8
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) yok!ō̃ (2) gwi (3) giniyagwa'nma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (4) he did not (1) know (2) where (3) she had been taken to (4) 13.12
$g a^{\prime} \hbar i$ (1) $d \tilde{u} k{ }^{*}$ (2) $d \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u} \bar{\imath}$ (3) wa- $k!o d o d i^{\prime} n m a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) they wore (3) the same (1) garments (2) with which they had been buried (4) 96.16
$g \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (1) $n a^{\varepsilon} n a g a i t t^{i} e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $n a^{\varepsilon} n a^{s} k^{*}$ (3) do (future imperative) (3) what I (1) am doing (2)
$\bar{\imath}-k \cdot w e^{\prime} x i$ (1) ulum (2) waiki andas (3) they awoke me (1) who (or while, when I) before (2) was sleeping (3) 74.5; 75.6
Purpose may be implied by the subordinate in:
pim (1) gayawana's (2) laga'ki (3) he gave them (3) salmon (1) to eat (2) 30.11

The subordinate serves very frequently as a clause of indirect discourse after such verbs as KNOw, SEE, DISCOVER. With a regular
verb of saying, such as $n a(g)$-, it is nearly always necessary to report the exact words of the speaker.
> $a l-x \bar{i}^{\prime i} g i^{i} n$ (1) xebeyigi'kiwana (2) I saw him (1) hurt him (2) yok!oya ${ }^{\prime 8} n$ (1) piom (2) gaik na (3) I know (1) that he has been eating (3) salmon (2) (literally, I-know-him salmon he-having-eaten)
> $a l-x \bar{x}^{\prime} i x i$ (1) t!omõxanbandas (2) he saw me (1) strike you (pl.) (2) $a l-x \bar{i}^{\prime i} g i^{i} n$ (1) dal-yewe'id $a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) I saw him (1) run away (2)

Not infrequently an adverb is to be considered the main predicate, particularly when supported by the unanalyzable but probably verbal form wala'ssi(nas), while the main verb follows as a subordinate clause. Compare such English turns as it is here that i saw him, instead of here i saw him:
$e m e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) wala ${ }^{\prime s} s i$ (2) eit ${ }^{t} e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) I am (3) right (2) here (1) (literally, here it-is really [?] that-I-am)
$e m e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) wala ${ }^{\prime s} s i$ (2) eida ${ }^{\prime s}$ (3) you are (3) right (2) here (1)
$m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (1) wala's $s i$ (2) $\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u} m a n a n a^{\prime} n h i k{ }^{\circ} n a^{s}$ (3) he had already fixed it for him (literally, already (1) it-was-really (2) that-he-had-fixed-it-for-him (3))
Examples of subordidates depending on predicatively used adverbs without wala's si are:
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) wañ (2) eme (3) nè'ida (4) [it is] not (1) even (2) here (3) that they did (4) (probably $=$ even they did not get here) 61.3
hop! $e^{\prime s} n$ (1) $p!\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ s (2) hi's (3) lop!õt $a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) it used to snow long ago (long ago [1] that snow [2] almost [3] stormed [4])
ali (1) he $e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}$-leme $e^{\prime} k!i_{n d a}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ (2) [it is] right here (1) that I destroy them (2) 108.20
An example of a subordinate depending on a demonstrative pronoun is:
$\bar{i}^{\prime} d a g a$ (1) yap!a (2) $s^{\circ} a s^{\prime} \cdot$ init $^{*} a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) that man is standing (literally, [it is] that [1] man [2] that is standing [3])
The form walarsinas is in all probability a third personal aorist transitive subordinate form in -na $a^{\varepsilon}$, as is shown by its use as a substantive verb for the third person when following an adverb, apparently to supply the lack of a third person in the regular substantive verb ei-:
eme ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (1) walas ${ }^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{sina}^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $\bar{a}^{\prime} k!a$ (3) he (3) is right (2) here (1) (literally, something like: [it is] here that-it-really-is he)
ge (1) walas ${ }^{s}$ sinas (2) he is oter there (literally, [it is] there [1] that-he-really-is [2])

Most astonishing is the use of wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \cdot{ }^{s} \cdot i n a^{\varepsilon}$ as a modal prefix of a subordinate verb (of the movable class treated above, see § 34) to assert the truth of an action in the manner of our English Did in sentences like he did go. Thus, from daki-da-hãls $b i$ he answered you, is formed the emphatic daki-da-wala's $\sin a^{\varepsilon}-h a ̃ l s b i n a^{\varepsilon}$ HE DID answer you. The only analysis of this form that seems possible is to consider the verbal prefixes $d a k^{\circ}-d a$ - as a predicative adverb upon which wala $a^{\prime s} \sin a^{s}$ is syntactically dependent, the main verb-hãlsbina itself depending as a subordinate clause on its modal prefix. The fact that $d a \mathbb{K}^{\circ}-d a$ - has as good as no concrete independent existence as adverb, but is idiomatically used with the verbal base hal- to make up the idea of ANsWER, is really no reason for rejecting this analysis, strange as it may appear, for the mere grammatical form of a sentence need hare no immediate connection with its logical dismemberment. The above form might be literally translated as (it is) above ( $d a k^{\circ}$-) with-his-mouth ( $d a$-) that-it-really-is that-he-anstiered-you.

## § 71. CONDITIONALS

Conditionals differ from other subordinate forms in that they are derived, not from the full verb-form with its subject-affix, but, if intransitive, directly from the verb-stem; if transitive, from the verbstem with incorporated pronominal object. In other words, the conditional suffix $-k^{*} i^{s}\left(-g i^{s}\right)$ is added to the same phonetic rerbal units as appear in the inferential before the characteristic $-k$, and in the periphrastic future before the second element -gulugw-. The phonetic and to some extent psychologic similarity between the inferential (e. g., dümxiki' he evidently struck me) and the conditional (e. g., dümxigit if he strikes, had struck me) makes it not improbable that the latter is a derivative in $-i^{\varepsilon}$ of the third personal subjective form in $-F^{*}$ of the latter. The conditional, differing again from other subordinates in this respect, shows no variation for pronominal subjects, the first and second personal subjective forms being periphrastically expressed by the addition to the conditional of the third personal subjective of the appropriate forms of $e i-\mathrm{BE}$. From verb-stem yana- Go, for example, are derived:

Singular:
First person, yana' $k^{\prime} i^{s}$ cit $e^{\varepsilon}$
Sccond person, yana'k $i^{*} i^{s}$ eit
Third person, yana'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$

## Plural:

First person, yana'k' $i^{\varepsilon} e^{e} b i^{\prime} k^{c}$
Second person, yana' $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ eit $p^{\prime}$
Impersonal: yanayaũk $i^{\varepsilon}$
The conditional is used not merely, as its name implies, to express the protasis of a condition, but as the general subordinate form of unrealized activity; as such it may often be translated as a temporal or relative clause, an introductory adverb or relative pronoun serving to give it the desired shade of meaning. Examples of its use other than as a conditional, in the strict sense of the word, are:
yok!oya's $n$ (1) nek (2) lãxbigis (3) I know (1) who (2) will give you to eat (3)
dewe'nxa (1) al-xi'k!in (2) gwis ne (3) yana' $k^{\prime} i^{s}$ (4) I shall sec him (2) to-morrow (1), when (3) he goes (4)
al-xisisxink (1) gwis $n e$ (2) yana'ki $i^{\varepsilon}$ eit $e^{s}$ (3) he will see me (1) when (2) I go (3)
gwen-t $g \bar{a}^{a}-b o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a n d a$ (1) ts $s^{\prime} \bar{o}^{\prime u} t!i d j^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ (3) hic $n e$ (4) $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ (5) $x e^{e} b a g w a^{\prime} n$ (6) just (3) ${ }^{1}$ when they touch (2) the eastern extremity of the earth (1), just (5) then (4) I shall destroy them (6) 144.15
It has a comparative signification (AS THOUGH) in:
$p!\imath^{i}$ (1) de-g $\ddot{u}^{\prime} k!a l x g i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) nas naga'is (3) it was (3) as though fire (1) were glowing (2) 142.1

Conditional sentences are of two types:
(1) Simple, referring to action of which, though unfulfilled, there yet remains the possibility of fulfillment.
(2) Contrary to fact, the hypothetical aetivity being beyond the possibility of fulfillment.

Both types of condition require the conditional form in the protasis, but differ in the apodosis. The apodosis of a simple conditional sen tence contains always a future form (or inferential, if the apodosis is negative), that of a contrary-to-fact condition, a potential. Examples of simple conditions are:
$g a$ (1) $n a^{s} n \tilde{a} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ e it $^{\prime}$ (2) haxada's (3) if you do (2) that (1), you'll get burnt (3)
$\bar{a} k^{i}$ (1) yana' $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) g $\bar{i}^{i}$ (3) honos (4) yana'tee (3) if he (1) goes (2), I (3) go (5) too (4)
wede (1) yana' $k^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) g $\bar{\imath}^{i}$ (3) hono (4) wede (5) yana' $k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ (6) if he does not (1) go (2), I (3) won't (5) go (6) either (4)
gwailt (1) mahai (2) wo $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (3) ga (4) $n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ (5) if a creat (2) wind (1) arrives (3), say (5) that! (4) 196.19

The apodosis of such conditions is sometimes introduced by the demonstrative pronoun ga тнлт, which may be rendered in such cases by tilen, in tilat case:

> aga (1) $x \bar{a}^{a}-s g g^{\prime} u_{s g} g i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $g a$ (3) loho $t^{\prime} e^{e}$ (4) if this (1) string parts (2), in that case (3) I shall be dead (4) 59.10 , (11)

Of this type are also all general conditions referring to customary action that is to take place in time to come, such as are often introduced in English by words like whenever, wherever, and so on. ${ }^{1}$ Examples of such general conditions are:
wi'lau (1) k!emniyaũk $i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) wa-t $b \bar{a}^{\prime} a g a m d i n a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) whenever people will make (2) arrows (1), they (arrows) will be backed (literally, tied) with it (3) (with sinew) 28.2
$w \bar{a}^{a} d \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$ (1) $d \tilde{u}$ (2) ba-i-ginãk $w i^{{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{2}}$ (3) goyo' (4) he ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e$ (5) $d \bar{o}^{u_{-}}$ mana $^{\prime 8}$ (6) whenever a shaman (4) goes out with ${ }^{3}$ (3) one whose body (1) is good (2), then (5) he shall be slain (6) 146.6
goyo (1) gel-lohogwiaũk $i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) he $n e$ (3) $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} s^{\varepsilon} \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ (4) yap!a (5) gama' $x d i$ (6) p! $\grave{e}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}$ (7) whenever one takes vengeance for (2) a shaman (1), just (4) then (3) ordinary (6) people (5) will lie (7) (i. e., be slain) $146 . S$
wede (1) hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (2) ne $k^{\prime}$ (3) al-xís $\bar{i}^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime} w a k^{\prime}$ (4) yap!a (5) loho' $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (6) no (1) one (3) will see him (4) again (2), when a person (5) dies (6) 98.10
gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} x$ (1) $y o^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ (2) yap!a (3) gā̃ $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (4) thus (1) it shall be (2) as people (3) grow, multiply (4) 146.15
Examples of contrary-to-fact conditions are:
aldǐ (1) yuk'ya' $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon} e i t t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ (2) mala' $x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ (3) if I knew (2) all (1), I should tell it to you (3) 162.5
$n e k^{\prime}$ (1) yó $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) dak'-limxgwa (3) if it were (2) anyone else (1), it (tree) would have fallen on him (3) 10S.11, 13
$\bar{z}^{\prime}$ daga (1) ge (2) $y u^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (3) wede (4) $d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime s} n$ (5) if that one (1) had been (3) there (2), I should not (4) have killed him (5)
$g \bar{i}^{i}$ (1) ge (2) yu'k ${ }^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ eitt $e^{\varepsilon}$ (3) b $\tilde{o}^{u}$ (4) yana' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (5) haga' (6) if I (1) were (3) there (2), he would have gone (5) in that event (4)
In the last example, haga' is a demonstrative adverb serving to summarize the protasis, being about equivalent to our in tiat event, under those circumstances. This word may be the adverbialized

[^42]form of the demonstrative pronoun $\hbar \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} g a$ that one; it is used also with persons other than the third:
yana't $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ haga I should have gone in that event

## §72. USES OF POTENTIAL AND INFERENTIAL

The potential and inferential modes differ from the aorist in the negative particle with which they may be combined. An indicative non-future statement, such as is expressed by the aorist, is negatived, without change of the verb-form, by means of the negative adverb $a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$ :
$y \tilde{a} n t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ went; $a^{\prime} n \tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon} y \tilde{a} n t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ did not go
An imperative or future form, however, can not be directly negatived, but must be expressed by the potential and inferential respectively, the non-aoristic negative adverb wede being prefixed. Thus we have:

Negative future:
yana ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ ' he will go : wede yana'k' he will not go
yanada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will go : wede yana'k!eitt you will not go
yana't $t^{\prime} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall go : wede yana' $k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ I shall not go
dõmxbin I shall kill you : wede dõmxbiga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I shall not kill 178.15 you (cf. 178.15)
dōama'nk' he will kill him : wede (1) ne'k' (2) yap!a (3) gama'xdi (4) dõ̃aki (5) no (1) one (2) will slay (5) a person (3) who is no shaman (4) 146.16

Negative imperative:
yana' go! (sing.) : wede yana't' do not go!
yana`np’ go! (pl.) : wede yana 't $p^{\prime}$ do not go! (156.9)
dõoum kill him! : wede d $\bar{o}^{u} m a \not{ }^{\prime} t{ }^{*}$ do not kill him!
ga na $a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\prime}$ do that! : wede gana $n a^{\varepsilon} n t^{\star}$ do not do that!
The particle wede is used with the inferential and potential, not only to form the negative future and imperative, but in all cases in which these modes are negatived, e. g., wede d $\bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I should not have killed him, i would not kill him. There is thus no morphologic distinction between a prohibitive do not go! and a second person subject negative apodosis of a contrary-to-fact condition, you would not have gone. It is probably not a mere accident that the negative particle wede is phonetically identical with the verb-stem wede-take away. This plausible etymology of wede suggests that the origin of
the negative future and imperative constructions lies in such periphrastic sentences as:

Remove (all thought from your mind) that I (inferentially) go (i. c., I shall not go)

Remove (all thought from your mind) that you might, would go (i. e., do not go!)

The inferential, as we have seen above (see § 59), is used primarily to indicate that the action is not directly known through personal experience. An excellent example of how such a shade of meaning can be imparted even to a form of the first person singular was given
 was sleeping ! 74.5 In the myth from which this sentence is taken, Coyote is represented as suffering death in the attempt to carry out one of his foolish pranks. Ants, however, sting him back into life; whereupon Coyote, instead of being duly grateful, angrily exclaims as above, assuming, to save his self-esteem, that he has really only been taking an intentional nap. The inferential form waik' anda is used in preference to the matter-of-fact aorist wayãnte ${ }^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ I sleeping, because of the implied inference, i wasn't dead, after all, else how could they wake me? i was really sleeping, must haye been sleeping. Closely akin to this primary use of the inferential is its frequent use in rhetorical questions of anger, surprise, wonder, and discovery of fact after ignorance of it for some time. Examples from the myths, where the context gives them the necessary psychological setting, are:
geme ${ }^{s} d i$ (1) $g i^{i}{ }^{i}(2)$ wayaũxagwat (3) $y u^{\prime} k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) how (1) should I (2) be (4) daughter-in-lawed (3) (i. e., how do I come to have any daugher-in-law?) 56.10 I didn't know that you, my son, were married!
$g \imath^{i}$ (1) $d i^{\prime}$ (2) $7 a^{\prime} m i^{\varepsilon} t^{t} b a n$ (3) $d \tilde{o}^{u} m k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) did I (1) kill (4) your father (3) ? (2) 158.2
$s^{\prime}-y w i d \bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ (1) le'mk!iauk' (2) where (1) have they all gone (2), any way? $90.25,27$ says Coyote, looking in vain for help $\bar{o}+$ (1) $m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (2) $d i^{\prime}$ (3) s'amgia`uki (4) Oh! (1) has it gotten to be summer (4) already (2)? (3) says Coyote, after a winter's sleep in a tree-trunk 92.9
$g a$ (1) $d i^{\prime}$
(2) $x e \bar{p}{ }^{\prime} k$
(3) $g$
(4) $d i^{\prime}$ (5) $g \bar{u}^{u} x d e^{\prime} k^{*}$ (6) $g a \tau k^{*}$ so it is those (1) that did it (3) ? (2) those (4) that ate (7) my wife (6) ? (5) 142.18
$e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) $d a b a^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ (2) $d i$ (3) ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon} \tau^{\varepsilon} a$ (4) $y u \not{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (5) are (5) canoes (4) (to be found) only (2) here (1) ? (3) 114.7 (i. e., why do you bother me about ferrying you across, when there are plenty of canoes elsewhere?)
ga (1) $d i^{\prime}$ (2) $p!\bar{a}^{\prime a} n t^{\prime}$ (3) gaik'a (4) so that (1) was their livers (3) that I ate (4) ? (2) 120.14 says Grizzly Bear, who imagined she had eaten not her children's, but Black Bear's children's, livers, on discovering her mistake
A peculiar Takelma idiom is the interrogative use of $g w \tau^{\varepsilon} n e$ when, how long followed by wede and the inferential, to denote a series of repetitions or an unbroken continuity of action. Examples are:
$g w \tau^{\varepsilon} n e$ (1) $d i^{\prime}$ (2) wede (3) waik. (4) he kept on sleeping (literally, when [1] did he not [3] sleep [4] ? [2]) 142.11; 152.24 $g w i^{e} n e^{\prime}$ (1) $d i$ (2) wede (3) ho' $k{ }^{*}$ (4) he ran and ran (literally, how long [1] did he not [3] run [4] ? [2]) 78.14.
$g w \tau^{\varepsilon} n e$ (1) dí (2) wede (3) dãk'am (4) he kept on being found, they always stumbled upon him again (literally, when [1] was he not [3] found [4] ? [2]) 110.15
Similar psychologically is the non-negative future in:
$g e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon} d i$ (1) hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (2) al-d $\bar{a}^{a} g i^{\top} n k i^{\text {( }}$ (3) they never found him again (lit., when [1] will they find him [3] again? [2]) 190.25

## 6. Nominal and Adjectival Deviratives (§§ 78-83)

## § 73. INTRODUCTORY

Although such derivatives from the verb-stem as infinitives and nouns of agency should logically be treated under the denominating rather than the predicative forms of speech, they are in Takelma, as in most other languages, so closely connected as regards morphology with the latter, that it is much more convenient to treat them immediately after the predicative verb-forms. The number of nominal and adjectival forms derived from the Takelma verb-stem is not very large, comprising infinitives or verbal nouns of action, active and passive participles, nouns of agency, and a few other forms whose function is somewhat less transparent. The use made of them, however, is rather considerable, and they not infrequently play an important part in the expression of subordinate verbal ideas.

## § 74. INFINITIVES

Infinitives, or, as they are perhaps better termed, verbal nouns, may be formed from all verbs by the addition of certain suffixes to the stem or stem + pronominal object, if the verb form is transitive.

Inasmuch as infinitives, being nothing but nouns in form, may take possessive affixes, forms may easily result that combine a transitive object and a possessive pronoun; e. g., dõmxbiyat $\hbar^{*}$ му ( $-t^{\prime} \hbar^{*}$ scheme iil § 92) killing you (-bi-), for me to kill you (cf. yéxbiyaxdeki my biting you $116.9 ;-x-d e k i$ scheme in § 92 ). The classification of verbs into classes is reflected also in the infinitive forms, each of the three main classes being distinguished by a special infinitive suffix. The suffixes are:

Intransitive I - $\left(a^{\prime}\right) x$.
Intransitive II -k' $w a$ ( $-g w a$ ).
Transitive -ia (-ya).
The peculiar sub-classes that were grouped together as Class IV all form their infinitives in $-k^{*} w a(-g w a)$. Besides these three main suffixes, -(d)epx-(-apx-) with possessive suffixes is employed to form infinitives from reflexives in -gwi-, while active intransitives in -xaform their infinitives by employing the bare stem-form with verbal derivative $-x a$. Infinitives in $-x a^{\prime} k^{\prime} w a$ also occur. The infinitive often shows the stem in a purer form than the non-aorist finite forms; in particular the non-aoristic $-p^{\circ}$ - of Class II intransitive verbs regularly disappears before the -gwa of the infinitive.

Examples of infinitives are:

1. From Class I intransitives:
waĩxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ your sleeping yana'x to go
$b \bar{a}^{a}-d a w i x$ to fly up
hogwa'x to run
$t!e^{e} w a^{\wedge} x$ to play shinny
$n e ` x$ saying $108.16 ; 184.10$
hoida'x to dance
$l \tilde{o}^{u} x$ to play 31.7
$n a^{\varepsilon} n e^{`} x$ doing 94.10; 72.4; 148.13
gina'x to go (176.8) (from simple base gin-; contrast third person future ging-a's $t^{\prime}$ )

Stems ending in long diphthongs either take $-x$ or $-a x$. Thus we have either $h a-y e ̀ \tilde{u}-x-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ or $h a-y e^{e} w-a^{\prime} x-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ in their returning 124.15.
2. From Class II intransitives:
$k^{\circ} w \bar{a}^{\prime a s} x y w a$ to wake up (in- tigelxgwa to run around, roll transitive)
geiwa'lxgwa to eat ba-i-di'n $n^{\varepsilon} x y w a$ to mareh
lãk'wa to become
$p!a l a a^{\prime} k^{\prime} w a$ to tell a myth
$s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a n k{ }^{\prime} w a$ to stand sana'k'wa to fight
3. From Class IV verbs:
$\bar{i}-h e^{e} g w a^{\prime} l l^{*} w a \quad\left(=-h e^{e} g^{w} h a g^{w}-\right.$ k'wa) to work
al-we'lk!alk' wa to shine
da-bo $k$ : $b a^{\prime} x g u a$ to bubble under water (observe verbsuffix -x- of infinitive; but da-bo7! oba'kinas I make bubbles)
4. From - $x a$ - verbs:
$7 \bar{u}^{\prime s} x w a^{\prime}\left(=l \bar{u} k!-x a^{\prime}\right)$ to trap $\quad p^{\prime} e^{\prime} 7 x a$ to go to war (but also $\left.p^{\prime} e l x a^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} w a^{1}\right)$
5. From reflexives:
t'gwāa ${ }^{a} x a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} g w i d e p x d a y w a \quad$ to sela'mt'gwideprdeki to paint tattoo himself myself
$l \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} x a g w a n t{ }^{\prime}$ gwiapxde ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ to trap for myself
han-se eqwa'nt qwiapxdtk' to paddle myself across

From non-reflexive verbs are derived:
ga-iwiapxde'ね" my eating wãxiapxd $\bar{a}^{a}$ his coming to get me
6. From transitives:
p!ala'xbiya to tell you a myth
$\bar{\imath}$-gaxga'xgwia to scratch one's self
$\left\{\bar{i}-k{ }^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime a} k!w i a\right.$ to wake him $\quad \overline{\text {-gi's.gis }}$ ia ${ }^{2}$ to tickle him
\{ $\bar{\imath}-k^{\prime} w e^{\prime \epsilon} x i y a$ to wake me (164.20)
d $\bar{a}^{a}$-agania` to hear about it
$w a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}$-d $d x i a$ to gather them
wayanagwia' to run after him lōugwia' to play with it
dõml'wia ${ }^{3}$ to kill him

The syntactical usage of verbal nouns of action is illustrated in the following examples:
hüli'nk'wat'k' k!emnank' he will make me tired (literally, mytiredness he-will-make-it)
t!omõx $\bar{a}^{a} d a$ wiyina ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I help him kill (literally, his-killing $[$ no object] I-aid-it)
ho'gwax gel-gulugwa's $n$ I like to run (lit., running I-like-it)(196.5) $a^{\prime} n \tau^{\varepsilon}$ yok!ō̃ nexde' $k^{\prime}$ he does not know what I said (literally, not he-knows-it my-saying)
$x i^{-\varepsilon}$ uqwia gasa'l in order to drink water (literally, water-drinking for)
 came seeing-you for)

[^43]The normal method of expressing purpose, as the last two examples show, is by the use of an infinitive followed by the general locative postposition $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ то, $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$, FOR. The infinitive, as its inclusion of the object shows, preserves its verbal character almost completely, and may itself govern another infinitive:
> k!emnia' al-we'k!alk'w $\bar{a}^{a}$ to make it shine (literally, to-make-it its-shining)

Not a few infinitives have become more or less specialized as regular nouns, though it is extremely doubtful if the transparently verbal origin of such nouns is ever lost sight of. Such nouns are:
$p!a l a^{\prime} k^{\prime} w a$ myth $50.4 ; 172.17 \quad$ ts ! !ip'na'x speech, oration (cf.
t'ge $^{\text {e }} \mathrm{mt}{ }^{\prime}$ ga'mxywa darkness
gina ${ }^{\prime} x$ passage-way 176.9
$y e^{\prime} \xi^{\varepsilon}$ sgwix sweat (cf. ye $e^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} s$ sfwade $e^{e}$ I shall sweat [140.1])
$t s^{\prime} \cdot i^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ nan I shall make a speech to them [146.11])
sana'k'wa fight, battle
$t s^{\cdot}!e^{e} m a^{\prime} x$ noise (cf. d $\bar{a}^{a}-t s!e ̂ m-$ $x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I hear a big noise 90.21)

## PARTICIPLES (§§ 75-78)

## §75. General Remarks

Participles are either active or passive, and may be formed with considerable freedom from ali verbs. They have not been found with incorporated pronominal objects, the active participles being more adjectival than verbal in character, while the passives naturally hardly allow of their incorporation. The passive participle is often provided with possessive affixes that correspond to the transitive subjects of the finite verb; the active participle, on the other hand, undergoes no modification for person, but, like any adjective, is brought in connection with a particular person by the forms of the copula $e i-\mathrm{BE}$.

## § 76. Active Participle in $-t^{2}$

This participle is formed by simply appending a $-t^{\prime}$, one of the characteristic adjectival suffixes, to the verb-stem. Inferential and imperative - $p^{\circ}$ - of Class II intransitives disappears before this element (e. g., se'nsant whooping), but not the non-aoristic $-p^{\prime}$-, which is characteristic (see $\S 42,1$ ) of some of the verbs of the same class; e. g., sana ${ }^{\prime} p{ }^{\prime}$ fightrng (from *sana` ${ }^{\circ} t t^{\prime}$ ). Participles in $-t{ }^{\prime}$ never denote particular action, but regularly indicate that the action predi-
cated of a person is one that in a way marks him off from others, and that may serve as a characteristic attribute. Not infrequently, therefore, a - $t$ '- participle has the value of a noun of agency; the fact, however, that it never appears with pronominal elements, but is always treated as an adjective, demonstrates its attributive, non-substantival character. It is possible to use it with a preceding nominal object, so that sentences may result that seem to predicate a single act definitely placed in time; yet an attributive shade of meaning always remains. For example, within dõmt eĩt $e^{s}$ (literally, my-mother hay-ing-killed i-Am) and wihin t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ both mean I killed my мотнER, but with a difference. The latter sentence simply states the fact, the emphasis being on the act itself; the former sentence, on the other hand, centers in the description of the subject as a matricide, i am one who has killed his mother. The latter sentence might be a reply to a query like what did you do? the former, to who are you?

Examples of $-t^{*}$ participles are:
[gwi-na't how constituted, of what kind? (gwi- [how, where]

+ na't'[from na-do, act]) 14.4, 9, 10; 15.6
ga-na't' of that kind, so in appearance 63.12; 192.7

$t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a} h a x a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ burnt field (not passive, but really $=$ field that has at one time burned) 92.29
hélt eitt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I know how to sing (literally, singing I am)
yap!a lohõnt' eitt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I have killed (many) people (literally, people causing [or having caused]-to-die I am)
loho't' having died, dead 148.13
Thawa' $x$-xiwi't' (it is) rotting
xuda'mt $t^{\prime}$ eit $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am whistler
$n i^{\prime} x a y i^{\prime} \not t{ }^{\prime}$ having copulated with his mother (insulting epithet applied to Coyote) S6.5, 6, 16
Examples of participles with lost $-t^{t}$ have been given above (see § 18).

$$
\text { § 77. Passive Participle in }-(a) k^{\prime} w,-i k^{\prime} w
$$

Nominal participial forms in $-k^{2 w}$ of passive signification can be freely formed from all transitive verb-stems, the stem invariably undergoing palatalization (see § 31). The suffix $-k^{w}$ ordinarily requires a preceding connective -a-replaced, as usual, by an instrumental $-i$ - in such passive participles as are derived from verb-forms themselves provided with $-i$. Participles in $-a k^{*}$ tend to be accented on the
syllable immediately preceding the suffix, in which case an inorganic $-h$ - generally appears before the $-a-;-h a \mathcal{E}^{* w}$ is also regularly used with preceding fortis (see § 19). It is not unlikely that the suffix is organ-ically-haliw, the -ha-implying continuity (see § 43, 5). Instrumental passives in $-i k^{+w}$, on the other hand, are generally accented, with raised pitch, on the $-i$ - of the suffix. For example, dumhak'w (always) killed or struck person, but wa-d $\bar{u}^{u} m i \not{ }^{\prime} k^{w} w$ thing with wiife one kills (literally, killed-with thing). Inasmuch as $-k^{w_{-}}$participles, differing in this respect from active participles in $-t^{*}$, are distinctly nominal in character, they may be provided with possessive suffixes; e. g., dumhake ${ }^{*}$-dek my struck one. Forms thus arise which, like - $t^{*}$-participles supplemented by forms of $e i-\mathrm{BE}$, have independent predicative force. What we have seen to apply to - $t^{t}$-participles, however, in regard to particularity of action, applies with equal if not greater force to predicatively used passives in $-k^{\cdot} w$. While a sentence like $\bar{u}^{\prime} d a g a$ t!omoma'n (dõmkiam) that one was slain, with finite passive, implies the fulfillment of a single act, a sentence whose predicate is supplied by a passive participle (like $\bar{u}^{\prime} d a g a ~ d u ̈ m h a k^{\prime 2}$ thint one is [regularly] slain, struck) necessarily refers to habitual or regularly continued activity: $\bar{\tau}^{\prime} d a g a$ dümhak ${ }^{*} w d e{ }^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ that one is my (Regularly) struck one thus approaches in signification the finite frequentative $\bar{u}^{\prime} d a g a$ t!omo'amda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ that one i (always) strike, but differs radically in signification from both $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ daya t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I killed tilat one and $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a d o \tilde{m} t^{i} e \tilde{\imath} t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ I am one that has killed tilat one.

Examples of $-k^{r w}$ - participles are:
gwen-sy $\bar{u}^{\prime \prime s}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \hat{o} \mathrm{~K}^{\text {ew }}$ (those) with their necks cut off (21.2, 4, 5)
$x a-\bar{\imath}-s g \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \overline{i s}^{\prime \varepsilon} p^{\prime}$ sgibik' ${ }^{* w}$ (bodies) cut in two 21.2; 22.3
( $m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ ) gela' $p^{\prime} a k^{* w 1}$ something which is (already) twisted gühak'w nas néx like something planted, sown
$w a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-d \tilde{u} x i k^{\prime} w d e k^{\prime}$ I have been gathering them (literally, my gathered ones)
$d a l^{\varepsilon}-w a-p^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} t!i \mathrm{k}^{* w}$ (manzanita) mixed with (sugar-pine nuts) 178.5 $t^{\prime} \tilde{a} n t$ tgwil gũt'ôk ${ }^{*}{ }^{*} d \bar{a}^{a}$ squirrel has been burying ( $g \bar{o}^{u} d-$ ) hazelnuts (literally, squirrel hazel-nuts [are] his-buried-ones) ${ }^{2}$
sêk' ${ }^{*} \mathrm{k}^{\text {'w }} d e e^{\prime} k{ }^{*}$ I (always) shoot ( $\left(\bar{a}^{a} g\right.$-) him (literally, my shot one) müla'shak'wdek' I love her (literally, my loved one)

[^44]As the last example shows, the indirective $-s$ - of verbs with indirect object is preserved in $-h a k^{*} w$ participles (contrast mīla $t^{\prime}-k^{\prime}$ He LOVED HER [inferential]).

Participles of instrumental signification in $-i^{\prime} k^{w}$ are freely employed to make up instrumental nouns, such as names of implements. Examples are:

```
d\tilde{o}}\mp@subsup{}{}{u}\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}-sg\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\prime}ut!ik\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}w log-cut-with (= saw)
sel-wa-seela'mdik'w black paint (writing)-therewith-painted
    (written) (= pencil)
\imath}\mathrm{ -smi'lsmilik'w (thing) swung (=swing)
d\tilde{u}\mp@subsup{k}{}{*w}-wa-sg\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\prime}ut!ik\mp@subsup{k}{}{*}\mp@subsup{}{}{w}\mathrm{ dress-therewith-cut (=scissors)}
k!w\overline{a}\tilde{-}-b\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{a}-sgẽk```sgigik*w grass-up-pitched-with (= pitchfork)
yap!a-wa-d\overline{o}}\mp@subsup{}{}{u}mi\mp@subsup{}{i}{}\mp@subsup{}{}{*}\mp@subsup{}{}{w}\mathrm{ people-therewith-killed, e. g., arrow, gun
dacma'xau al`-wa-x\mp@subsup{\overline{i}}{}{\prime}ik!ik\mp@subsup{k}{}{*}w}\mathrm{ far therewith-seen, e. g., telescope
mülmili'k*w something to stir (mush) up with
```

It is interesting to note that forms in $-k^{w^{w}}$ may be formed from the third person possessive of nouns, chiefly terms of relationship. These are shown by the palatalized form of the stem to be morphologically identical with passive participles in $-k^{\top w}$. Examples are:

## Noun

$t s$ 'tele' $i$ his eye S6.7, 9
$n i^{\prime} x a$ his mother $17.11 ; 126.7$
$m a^{\prime} x a$ his father $17.12 ; 126.6$
$k^{*} a^{i \varepsilon} l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\circ} i k!\bar{z}^{i}$ his woman (178.8)
$t!\bar{i} \varepsilon{ }^{i} l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ her husband 46.1

Participle
$t s$ !ele'ik ${ }^{*}$ w eye-having 27.9 $n i^{\prime} x a \mathrm{k}^{*}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ he has a mother me'xak'w he has a father $k^{\prime} e^{i \varepsilon} e^{\prime} e^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!i \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ w he has a wife 142.6
$t!i^{i \varepsilon} l e^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!i \mathrm{k}^{*}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ she has a husband

Such forms in $-k^{* w}$ may well be compared to English adjeetives of participial form in -ed; e. g., left-handed, four-cornered. They may be further adjectivalized by the addition of -at (see below, § 108); e. g., méxagwat father-having.

## §78. Passive Participles in -xa $\rho^{( }\left(-s a \rho^{\prime}\right)$

Less common than passive participles in $-(a) k^{* w}$ are certain forms in -xap ${ }^{\prime}\left(-s a p^{\circ}\right)$, which, like the former, show a palatalized form of the stem, and seem to be identical in function with them. Like $-k^{w_{-}}$participles, again, they may be provided with possessive pronominal suffixes, though these belong to another scheme of endings:
gel-güla' $k^{\circ} a k^{*} w-d e^{\prime} k^{*}$ my liked one, I like him (=gel-güla'xab-at' $k^{\prime}$ )
gel-güla' $\mathbb{k}^{\prime} a k^{*}{ }^{*}-d a$ they like him ( $=$ gel-güla'xap')

Forms in -xap are in particular use as names of articles of clothing. Examples are:
gwen-w $\bar{\imath}^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ xap ${ }^{\circ}$ handkerchief, neckerchief 188.5 (cf. gwen-w $\bar{u}^{\prime i} k!a n$ I shall wind it about my neck)
$d a k^{\prime}-w_{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon$ xap ${ }^{\prime}$ something wound about one's head
$x \bar{a}^{a}-l e^{\prime \varepsilon \varepsilon}$ sap $^{*}\left(=-t!-x a p^{\circ}\right)$ belt (cf. $x \bar{a}^{a}-l \bar{a}^{\prime} a t!a n$ I shall put it about
my waist)

$h a-l \bar{u}^{\prime} u s \times a p{ }^{\prime}$ shirt (cf. $h a-l \bar{o}^{\prime} u k!^{w} i n$ I shall put on shirt)
ha-ya-u-t'ge'n ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sap" $^{\prime}\left(=-t s!-x a p^{\prime}\right)$ vest (cf. ha-ya-u-t'ge'nts!an I shall
put it about my middle, ribs)
sye ${ }^{\prime e \varepsilon}$ xap ${ }^{2}$ man's hat

## NOUNS OF AGENCY (§§ 79-82)

## §79. Introductory

Four suffixes have been found that are employed to form nouns of agency from verb-stems, $\varepsilon_{s},-s \bar{a}^{a},-s \bar{i}^{i}$, and $-x i$. The first of these is more strictly verbal in character than the other three, being capable, unlike these, of incorporating the pronominal object. $-s \bar{a}^{a}$ and $-s \bar{i}^{i}$, probably genetically related suffixes, are used apparently only with intransitive stems (including, however, such as are partly transitive in form, i. e., that belong to Class IV). $-\varepsilon_{s}$ and $-x i$ are used with both transitive and intransitive stems.

$$
\text { § 80. Nouns of Agency in }-\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon_{s}
$$

This suffix is used to form agentives with more freedom than the others seem to be. The ending $-{ }^{-} s$ is added directly to the verb-stem, with connective $-a^{\prime}$ - (instrumental $-i$-) if phonetically necessary. No examples have been found of agentives in $-{ }^{\varepsilon} s$ from intransitives of Class II. Examples are (49.4; 60.10):

$\hbar \bar{a} p x i-t \bar{t}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ child-crier (= cry-baby)
sut'ma's whistler
$k^{\prime} a i w i^{\prime s}$ wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-d \tilde{o} x i^{\varepsilon} s$ one who gathers everything
xuma-k!emna ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ food-maker (=cook) 54.4
dõmxbis s one who kills you
mala'ximi ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s one who tells us
The last two examples show incorporated pronominal objects; the first personal plural object-am-is, as usual, followed by the connec§ 79-80
tive $-i$. The strongly verbal coloring of the agentive in $-^{s} s$ is perhaps best indicated by its employment as a final clause. Examples of this use are:
$b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime} k k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$ al-xîis ${ }^{\prime i} x b i^{\varepsilon}$ s I came to see you (literally, as one-seeing-you)
$m e^{\varepsilon}-y i n i^{\prime \xi} i^{\prime} a l-x i^{\prime i \varepsilon} x i^{\varepsilon} s$ he came to see me
hoid $a^{\prime \varepsilon} s_{s} d i$ mes-yiniga ${ }^{\text {t }}$ did you come to dance? (i. e., as dancer)
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} m e^{\varepsilon}-g i n i^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} l \overparen{o}^{u s} s^{\text {. }}$ I did not come to play, as player 31.6 (cf. $\S 74$ for another method of expressing this idea)
§ 81. Nouns of Agency in $-s \bar{i}^{i},-s \bar{a}^{a}$
These, as already observed, are less distinctly verbal in force than the preceding. Some verbs have agentives in both $-s_{s}$ and $-s \bar{a}^{a}$; e. g., $h e^{e} l a^{\prime s} s$ and $h \tilde{e} l s \bar{a}^{a}$ singer. Not infrequently there is a distinct feeling of disparagement in a $-s \bar{a}^{a}$ - agentive as compared with one in - ${ }^{-} s$; e. g., $h o g^{w} a^{\prime s} s$ good runner, but $h o^{\prime} k k^{\circ} s \bar{a}^{a}$ one who always runs (because of fear). Both of these suffixes are added directly to the stem without connecting vowel. If stressed, they have the falling accent. $-s \bar{a}^{a}$ is the regular agentive ending of Class II intransitives; $-p^{2}$ - is or is not retained before it under the same conditions as in the case of the participial -t (see § 76).

Further examples of agentives in $-s \bar{\imath}^{i}$ and $-s \bar{a}^{a}$ are:
$\bar{\imath}-h e^{e} g w a^{\prime} k^{*} w_{\text {Si }}{ }^{i}$ worker
$d a$-lõsi liar (but non-disparaging $l \tilde{o}^{u s}$ s player)
$\bar{u}^{\prime i \varepsilon_{S} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~T}}^{\mathrm{i}}}\left(=\bar{u}^{\left.\prime i \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}^{-}}-s^{\prime} \bar{i}^{i}\right) \text { l!emerer }}\right.$ I make him laugh (literally, laugher)

$x \bar{a}^{a}-w i s \bar{a}^{a}$ go-between (settler of feud) $1 \overline{7} 8.11$
$d \bar{a}^{a}-p!i y a \quad w i s \bar{u}^{a}$ one going, dancing by side of fire (=medicineman)
yims $\cdot \bar{a}^{\prime a}\left(=y i m s^{\cdot}-s \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime a}\right)$ dreamer (=medicine-man)
waĩsāa ${ }^{a}$ big sleeper
eseūs ${ }^{a}{ }^{a}$ big sneezer
se'nsans $\bar{a}^{a}$ one knowing how to whoop
$\operatorname{san} a^{\prime} p$ 's $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ one knowing how to fight
$s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n s \bar{a}^{a}$ one always standing
$s \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a l s \bar{a}^{a}$ one ahways sitting
nōts!adam yu's $\bar{a}^{a} e^{e} b i k k^{\prime}$ we are neighbors (literally, neighboring-to-us being [stem $y u$-] we-are)
t!obaga's $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{a}\left(=-a^{\prime} s-s \bar{a}^{a}\right)$ eit you are always lying like dead
A few nouns in $-s i^{i}$, in which an agentive meaning can not well be detected, nevertheless doubtless belong here: $l \bar{o} u s i ̉$ playtining
(110.6,11) (cf. verb-stem $l \bar{o}^{u}$ - play) ; less evidently, le $p s i{ }^{\text {e feather }}$ 2S.2; ala'ksī ${ }^{i}$ His Tall $(86.21,23)$

## § 82. Nouns of Agency in $-x i$

Only a few verbal derivatives in $-x i$ have been obtained. They are: al-hūyũxi $(=-x-x i)$ hunter ye ${ }^{e}$ xi' needle, awl (literally [?], biter [cf. verb-stem $y e^{e} g^{w}$ - bite]) 122.8
gel-dula'xí eit $^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ I am lazy, one who is lazy gel-he $e^{\prime i}$ xi stingy (cf. verb-stem $h e^{i \varepsilon} x$ - be left over) $s \cdot u ̈ m x i{ }^{\prime}$ paddle stirrer (cf. $s \cdot \ddot{u}^{u ̈} m-t^{\prime} a$ - boil) (170.16) ei t tyēlxīi wagon (literally, canoe one-that-rolls)

## § 83. FORMS IN -i'ya

Two or three isolated verb-forms in - $i^{\prime} y a^{1}$ have been found that appear to be of a passive participial character. There are not cnough such forms available, however, to enable one to form an idea of their function. The few examples are:
$t g \bar{a}^{a}$ (1) haxani'ya (2) $m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (3) al-t!aya' $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ (4) then (3) he dis-
covered (4) a burnt-down (2) field (1) 92.26
yap!a (1) d $\bar{o}^{u} m i^{\prime} y a(2){ }^{\varepsilon} a l-t!a y a{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ (3) he discovered (3) killed (2) people (1)

Both of these forms in $-i^{\prime} y a$, it will be observed, are derived from transitive stems (haxani'ya from causative haxa-n- Cause to burn, BURA), and would seem to be best interpreted as attributive passives corresponding to the attributive actives in $-t$. To these forms belongs probably also:
$d i{ }^{i}-h e^{\prime} l \mathrm{liya}$ (1) wa-iwín (2) girl (2) who sleeps on a raised board
platform (1) (literally, perhaps, up-boarded girl [cf. he ${ }^{\bullet} 7 a^{\wedge} m$
board]) 13.2

## II. The Noun (§§84-102)

## § 8t. Tutroductory

Despite the double-faced character of some of the nominal derivatives of the verb-stem (e. g., the passive participles), there is formally in Takelma a sharp line of demarcation between denominating and predicative elements of speech. This is evidenced partly by the distinct sets of pronominal suffixes peculiar to noun and verb, partly by certain nominal elements appearing before the possessive affixes and serving, perhaps, to distinctly substantivize the stem. Only a

[^45]small number of stems have been found that can, without the aid of nominal (or verbal) derivative elements, be used as both nouns and verbs. Such are:

| Noun | Verb |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s e^{\prime} \mathrm{l}$ l black paint, writing | $s e^{e} l-a^{\prime} m d-a^{\varepsilon} n$ I paint it |
| he ${ }^{\prime e l}$ song 106.7; (164.16) | hêl sing! (170.12) |
| liw- $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ naga'is he looked (per- | liwila' $u$-t'e $e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked (152.17) |
| haps $=$ his-look he-did) 55.6 | (imperative lĩu 14.11; [60.2]) |
| dunk ${ }^{\text {c w }}$ shirt 96.16 | dì-duk̇* wear it! (55.9; 96.16) |
| $t!\ddot{u} l$ gambling-sticks in grassgame | t!ü'lt!al-sinibas let us gamble at grass-game 31.9 |
| $x l e^{\prime \epsilon \varepsilon} p^{\prime}$ dough-like mass of camass or fat | $\bar{\imath}$-xlep! $e^{\prime} x l i b-i^{\Sigma} n \mathrm{I}$ mash it into dough (94.11) |
| $x a ̃ n$ urine | xala'xam-t' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I urinate |

A number of cases have been found of stem + suffix serving as noun and verb (e. g., wiülha'm menstrual "round" dance 100.10, 16: wiütha'mte e $e^{e}$ I shall have first courses $162.7,8$ ); but in these it is probable that the verb is a secondary derivative of the noun. Even in the first two examples given above, a difference in pitchaccent serves to distinguish the noun from the verb-stem: hēl-gulu'l"w he will sing, but he $e^{e l}$ gel-gulu $k^{*}{ }^{w}$ he lines, desires, a song. The use of a stem as both noun and verb in the same sentence may lead to such cognate accusative constructions as the English to live a life, dream a dream:
$s e^{\prime e}$ l-se $e^{e} l a^{\prime} m s i$ write to me!
$d \bar{u}^{u} g w \imath^{\prime i}{ }^{i} d \bar{l}-d \bar{u}^{u} g w a{ }^{\prime} n k k^{\prime}$ she shall wear her skirt 55.9
If we analyze noun forms like t!ibagwa'nt' $k$ ' my pancreas and $d \bar{a}^{a} n x d e$ ' $k^{\prime}$ ' my ear, we find it necessary to consider five more or less distinct elements that go to make up a noun with possessive suffix, though all of these but the radical portion of the word may be absent.

First of all we have the stem (t!iba-; d $\bar{u}^{\alpha}$-) which may or may not be similar in form to a verbal base, and which occurs either as an absolute noun unprovided with a pronominal suffix (body-part nouns and terms of relationship, however, do not ordinarily appear in their naked stem-form), or as an incorporated noun; e. g., t!iba-wẽsin i am pancreas-deprived, my pancreas has been taken from me.

Appended to the stem are the purely derivational or formative elements of the noun. Takelma is characterized rather by a paucity than an abundance of such elements, a very large proportion of its nouns being primitive, i. e., non-derivative, in character. Of the
two nouns that we have chosen as types $d \bar{a}^{a} n x d e^{\prime} \not k^{*}$ shows no formative element in the proper sense of the word, while the -gw- of $t!i b a-$ gwa'nt ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ is such an element (cf. from stem līu- Lоок liu-gw-ax-de ${ }^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ my face).

More characteristic of the Takelma noun than derivational suffixes is a group of elements that are never found in the absolute form of the noun, but attach themselves to it on the addition of a pronominal suffix or local pre-positive. The $-n$ - and -(a)n- of $d \bar{a}^{a} n x d e^{`} \xi^{*}$ and t!ibagwa'nt $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$, respectively, are elements of this kind (cf. $h a-d a-n-d e ̈$ in my ear; ha-t!ibagw-an-dé in my pancreas), also the -a- of dana ${ }^{\circ} \neq k^{\circ}$ му воск (cf. $h a-d a n-a^{\prime}$ in тile воск [from $d a$ ' $n$ rock]), and the $-u$ of ha-t'gā̃u in the earth 33.7 (from $t^{\prime} g \tilde{a}$ earth). The function of these elements, if they have any and are not merely older formative suffixes that have become crystallized in definite forms of the noun, is not at all clear. They are certainly not mere connective elements serving as supports for the grammatical suffixes following, as in that event it would be difficult to understand their occurrence as absolute finals in nouns provided with pre-positives; nor can they be plausibly explained as old case-endings whose former existence as such was conditioned by the preceding pre-positive, but which now have entirely lost their original significance, for they are never dependent on the pre-positive itself, but vary solely with the noun-stem:
> $h a-d a n-a^{\prime}$ in the rock; d $\bar{a}^{a}-d a n-a^{\prime}$ beside the rock; dal-dan- $a^{\wedge}$ among the rocks; dan-a'-t $k^{*}$ my rock; dake'dan-a-dẽ over my rock (with constant $-a$ - from da' $n$ rock 16.12)
> $h a-g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m$ in the road 62.6; d $\bar{a}^{a}-q w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m$ along the road; gwāa ${ }^{a} l-a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{*}$ my road (96.8) ; dak'-gw $\bar{a}^{a} l$-am-dẽ over my road $(48.6,8)$ (with constant-am-from gwãn road 148.7)

For want of a better term to describe them, these apparently nonsignificant elements will be referred to as noun-characteristics. Not all nouns have such characteristics:
> ha-gela'm in the river (from gela'm river 21.14) as opposed to $x \bar{a}^{a}$ -gulm-a'n among oaks (from gulu'm oak 22.10, 11)

Whether such nouns were always without them, or really preserve them, but in a phonetically amalgamated form, it is, of course, impossible to decide without other than internal evidence.

A fourth nominal element, the pre-pronominal $-x$-, is found in a large number of nouns, including such as possess also a characteristic
(e. g., $d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x-d e e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ ) and such as are not provided with that element (e. g., sal-x-de' $k^{\prime}$ му ғоот) ; a large number, on the other hand, both of those that have a characteristic (e. g., t!ibagw-a' $n-t^{\prime} \hbar^{\circ}$ ) and of those that lack it (e. g., bém-t $\bar{a}^{a}$ HIS stick) do without the $-x$-. A considerable number of nouns may either have it between the characteristic and the pronominal ending or append the personal endings directly to the characteristic, no difference in signification resulting. In such doublets, however, the pronominal suffixes belong to different schemes:
$b i l g-a n-x-d e^{\prime} \not k^{\circ}$ and bilg-a'n-t'k' my breast
$s e^{e} n s-i-x-d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ and $s e^{e} n s-i^{\prime}-t^{e}$ your hair
$w \bar{a}^{a} d-i^{i}-x-d a$ (92.24) and $w \bar{a}^{a} d-\bar{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{i}$ his body 146.6
The characteristic $-a$ - never tolerates a following $-x-$. Where doublets occur, these two elements seem to be mutually equivalent: ey-a't ${ }^{2} F^{\circ}$ (112.6) and $e i-x-d e `{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my canoe (from eí canoe 114.3). Such doublets, together with the fact that nothing ever intervenes between it and the personal suffix, make it possible that this $-x$ - is a connective element somewhat similar in function to, and perhaps ultimately identical with, the connective $-x$ - of transitive verbs. This, however, is confessedly mere speculation. What chiefly militates against its interpretation as a merely connective element is the fact of its occurrence as a word-final in phrases in which no possessive element is found:
dagax wô'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$ head without
$h a-d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x$ molhi't in-ear red (i. e., red-eared) 14.4; 15.13
If the local phrase involves a personal pronominal element, the $-x$ disappears:
$d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x-d e^{`} k^{*}$ my ear, but $h a-d a-n$-dẽ in my ear
This treatment marks it off sharply from the noun-characteristics.
Fifthly and lastly, in the integral structure of the noun, comes the possessive pronominal suffix (the first person singular of terms of relationship, however, is a prefixed wi-). The following tabulated summary shows the range of occurrence of the various elements of the noun:

1. Stem. Occurs as absolute noun ( $g w a \tilde{a}$ ), or incorporated in verb (d $\left.\bar{a}^{a}-\right)$.
2. Dericutive element. Occurs as ending of absolute form of noun whose stem appears only in incorporation: t!iba' ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime} v$ pancreas.
3. Noum characteristic. Occurs with all increments of absolute form of noun; i. e., with pronominal suffix ( $g w \bar{a}^{\alpha} l-a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{*}$ ), with pre-positive ( $h a-g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m$ ), and with pre-positive and pronominal element ( $h a-q w \bar{a}^{a} l$-am- $\left.d \bar{e}\right)$.
4. Pre-pronominctl-x-. Occurs with pronominal suffix ( $\left(\bar{a}^{a}-n-x-\right.$ $d e^{`} k^{*}$ ) and pre-positive ( $h a-d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x$ ), but never with pre-positive and pronominal element.
5. Promominal suffix. Occurs in two distinct forms: one for nouns without pre-positives ( $d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x-d e^{`} k^{*}$ ), and one for nouns accompanied by pre-positive (ha-da-n-dè).
A tabulated analysis of a few typical words follows:

| Stem | Derivative | Character- istic | Pre-pronominal | Pronominal | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} k^{*} u- \\ k^{\prime} w .- \\ a n .- \\ l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a .-k!- \\ s^{\cdot i^{\prime} \cdot-} \\ x a b .- \\ g u- \end{gathered}$ | $g-a^{\prime} n$ $a n-$ $n-$ $i-$ $a_{-}$ $h a^{\prime} m-$ $i-$ $a_{-}$ $a^{\prime} \ell-$ $a^{\wedge} m-$ $\bar{u}-$ $\bar{u}-$ | $x-$ $x-$ <br> $a x$ - <br> $x$ - <br> $x-$ | $t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ $d e^{\circ} k^{\prime}$ $d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ de ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ $t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ $t^{\prime} k$ $t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ $d \epsilon^{`} k^{\prime}$ $d a$ $d e \eta:=$ $d \varepsilon$ $t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ $t^{\prime} k^{*}$ $d c^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ $d e$ | in the creek my anus my medicine-spirit my ear my neck my woman my plaything my hat my face on his back my backbone in my ribs my testicles my urine my hand in my hand] |

1 A point (.) shows the absolute form of the word.

## 1. Nominal Stemes (§§ 85̆, 86)

## § 85. GENERAL REMARKS

The stem is in a very large number of cases parallel in form to that of a verbal base (e. g., with da'n rock, som mountain, méx crane, cf. t!an-hold, som- boll, he em-wrestle). An extensive number of noun-stems, however, are apparently amplifications of a simpler monosyllabic base, and have all the outward appearance of an aorist stem in the verb. It becomes, then, not only possible, but fundamentally important, to classify noun-stems into types that seem, and ultimately doubtless are, entirely analogous in form to corresponding verbal types. The noun-stem wili- house, for example, can be conceived of as formed from a base wil- in the same manner
as the aorist naga- is formed from the verb-stem $n \bar{a}^{a} y$ - say to some one. Similarly, the noun yele'x burden-basket is phonetically related to a hypothetical base *yelx-, as is the aorist leme-k!- to the non-aorist lem-k!-. A small number of nouns appear in two forms, one corresponding to the aorist stem, the other to the verb-stem of a verb: gulu'm оак, but with characteristic -(a)n-:gulm-an-(the nonaorist gula'm with inorganic -a- also occurs). Similarly, yulu'm and yula'm eagle. In such variable nouns we have a complete morphologic analogy to Type 2 (or 3)) verbs like aorist xudum- whistle, verb-stem xut $m$ - (with inorganic -a-: xudam-). In both gulu'm and xudum- the $-m$ - is almost certainly a suffixed element. It must be carefully noted, however, that, while in the verb we very often have both the aorist stem and the base (as verb-stem) in actual existence, in the case of nouns we rarely can go beyond the stem as revealed in an absolute or incorporated form. It is true that sometimes a hypothetical noun-base phonetically coincides with a verbal base, but only in the minority of cases can the two be satisfactorily connected. Thus, yut!-, abstracted from yūt!u'n DUCк, is very probably identical with the yut!- of aorist yut!uyad-swallow greedily like hog or duck. On the other hand, little is gained by comparing the yul-of yulu'm eagle with the yul- of aorist yuluyal- rdb; the p!iy- of $p!i^{\prime} y i n$ Deer and $p!i^{\prime} y a x$ FAWn with the aorist -p!iyin-( $\left.k^{\prime} w a-\right)$ LIE on pillow (cf. gwen-p! ixap pillow), unless the deer was so called, for reasons of name-taboo, because its skin was used for the making of pillows (or, more naturally, the reverse) ; ${ }^{1}$ the way- of waya riflfe with way-sleep; or the noun-stem yaw- rib (occurring as ya-u-when incorporated) with the verb-stem yaw- (yiw-) тalk. It is not justifiable to say that noun-stems of apparently non-primitive form are necessarily amplified from the bases that seem to lie back of them (e. g., wili- from wil-; yulu-m from yul-), but merely that there is a strong tendency in Takelma for the formation in the noun of certain typical sound-groups analogous to those found in the verb.

## § 86. TYPES OF STEM FORMATION

Though it is probably impossible to duplicate all the various types of aorist and verb stem found in the verb, most of those that are at all frequent occur also in the noun.

[^46]1. The most characteristic type of noun-stem in Takelma is the monosyllabic group of consonant (less frequently consonant-cluster) + vowel (or diphthong) + consonant (less frequently cluster). This type may be considered as corresponding to the normal monosyllabic verb-stem. Out of a very large number of such primitive, underived noun-stems are taken a selection of examples.

Occurring as naked stems only when incorporated:

```
s.in- nose
d\overline{a}}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}\mathrm{ - car
gel- breast
gwen-neck
day-head
s*al-foot
```

Occurring as absolute nouns:
nư. rain 90.1
$p!\tau$ fire 62.10; 78.13
bé sun 54.3; 122.15; 160.20
bẽm tree, stick 25.5; 48.7
$x i^{\prime}$ water 15.1; 57.14
t'ga and 49.12; 73.9
t'gwa' thunder 55.8
$p!\bar{a}^{\prime a} s$ snow $90.2,3 ; 152.16$
p'i'm salmon 17.12; 30.10
lãn salmon-net $31.2 ; 33.4$
mal salmon-spear shaft 28.7
$t^{\prime}$ ywa' $n$ slave 13.12
gwãn trail 148.7
büs fly
dẽl yellow-jacket 73.7, 10
mẽx crane 13.1
xe'm raven 162.s, 12
s'em luck 55.2; 166.10
sell kingfisher
mẽl crow 144.9; 162.7
$y \tilde{a} k^{* w}$ wildcat 42.1; 46.9
xa'mk' grizzly bear 106.14
dip' camass 10s.1s; 124.12
k!wā̃ grass 31.8
hix roasted camass 178.4
$\sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ tobacco 194.1
k!wal pitch SS.13; $15 S .9$
yйp ' woman's basket-cap 178.3
gwel-leg
yaw-rib
$\bar{\imath}$ - hand
$x \bar{a}^{a}$ - back
de ${ }^{e}$ - lips, mouth
ha-woman's private parts
mo' $x$ grouse
$t^{\prime}$ gwe $7 k^{*}$ rat (sp.?)
$t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{i} s$ gopher $78.4,7$
sbin beaver 112.1; 166.12
$s$. x . bird $22.4 ; 166.10$
da'n rock 13.6; 16.12
$l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\circ}$ leaves
si.x. venison 16.6; 55.1
xin mucus
la" excrement 122.2
t'ga'm elk 15S.4; 196.6
t!ãk" mussel 26.7
$b \tilde{o}^{u} n$ acorn-hopper
$x o^{\prime}$ fir 24.10; 54.6
hülk' panther 42.1
bik ${ }^{\text {"w }}$ skunk 164.2
$t$ 'an squirrel $94.2,4$
som mountain 43.6
$x a ̃ n$ urine
dõo ${ }^{u} m$ testicles 130.20
$d \tilde{o}^{u} m$ spider
hōũ jack-rabbit 108.8
ga' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bow
hā̃ cloud 13.3
bī̃ grasshopper $92.2 \mathrm{~S}, 29$
xni ${ }^{\prime}$ ' acorn dough 16.12
$g \bar{u} \tau$ thick brush 71.1
t'gwil hazelnut 116.5, 11, 14

Occurring generally with possessive suffix:

| $\mathrm{ma-}^{-1}$ \}father 17.12; 70.7; 158.3 | $w \bar{a}^{a} d-\text { body } 9224 ; \quad 130.24$ $146.6$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ham- | $x \bar{u}^{u}{ }^{\prime}-$ brains |
| $\begin{aligned} & n i- \\ & \text { hin- }\} \text { mother } 17.9 ; 76.10,13 ; \\ & 172.17 \end{aligned}$ | ```seen-skin dely- buttocks 45.9; 72.10; 94.15``` |
| $g \bar{u}^{u} x$-wife $13.2 ; 45.3 ; 64.5 ; 142.12$ | bilg-breast |
| $t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{i}$ - male, husband $45.14 ; 126.14$ | $k^{\prime} \bar{u}^{u} b$ - hair 24.8; 162.4 |
| $n \bar{i}^{i}$ - teats 30.14 ( $n i$ ' found as absolute form 130.9) | $a-i s *$ property 23.2 ; 154.13 |
| $p!\bar{a}^{a} n$ - liver 120.15 ( $p!\tilde{a} n$ found as absolute form $57.9,13$ ) |  |

These lists might be very greatly increased if desired. It will be noticed that a considerable number of the nouns given are such as are generally apt to be derivative or non-primitive in morphology.

In regard to accent monosyllabic nouns naturally divide themselves into two classes:-those with rising or raised accent, embracing the great majority of examples, and those with falling accent. Of the latter type a certain number owe their accent to a glottal eatch of the stem. Besides $g a^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon}$, already given above, may be cited:

## $t^{\prime} g_{0}{ }^{\prime i s}$ leggings

$k!a^{\prime} l^{*} s$ s sinew 27.13; (2S.1)
$p!^{\prime} e^{\text {e }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ basket-plate 168.15
$k^{\prime} o^{\prime s} x$ tar-weed seeds 26.15
These offer no special difficulty. There is a fairly considerable number of monosyllabic nouns, however, in which the falling accent can not be so explained, but appears to be imherently characteristic of the nouns. Besides $\bar{o}^{\prime u} p^{\prime}, p!\bar{a}^{\prime} a_{s}, t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} i_{s}$, and $l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$, may be mentioned: $n e^{\prime} \epsilon$ l song $106.7 \quad t!e^{\prime} c k^{*}{ }^{w}{ }^{w}$ yellowhammer90.18;194.15
se'el black paint, writing
ge'et $t^{\bullet}$ xerophyllum tenax ye et $e^{\prime}$ tears
$w \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ bush (sp.?) 25.12
$t^{\prime} b e^{\prime} t l^{\prime} w$ shimny-ball
$a^{\prime} t k^{\prime}$ silver-side salmon
$p!e^{\prime e} s$ (with derivative $-s$ ? see $\S \$ 7$,
8) flat rock on which acorns are pounded 74.13; 75.2; 118.17
For two of these nouns (he $e l$ and $s e^{\prime} \epsilon 7$ ) the etymology is obrious. They are derived from the verb-stems heel- sing and sel-(emd-) paint; it may well be that the falling accent here characterizes substantives of passive force (that which is sung, panted). Possibly $l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ and $\bar{o}^{\prime} u p^{\prime}$ are to be similarly explained as meaning those that

[^47]ARE CARRIED (BY BRANCHES) and THAT WHICH IS DUG UP ${ }^{1}$ (cf. aorist stems $\bar{a}^{a} b-$ CARRY and $\bar{o}^{u} b-$ DIG UP).
2. A rery considerable number of noun-stems repeat the vowel of the base, corresponding to aorist stems of Type 2 verbs. Such are:
$w \mathrm{i}^{\prime} 7 \mathrm{i}$ house $13.1 ; 14 . S ; 192.6$
$t s^{\prime}$ ! $\mathrm{i}^{\prime} x \mathrm{i}$ dog
moxo' buzzarct 105.23
sgi'si coyote $13.1 ; 70.1 ; 10 \mathrm{~S} .1$
sgwini' raccoon
$k!\mathrm{a}^{\prime} m \mathrm{a}$ spit for roasting 170.17
yap!a' person $14.12 ; 96.2 ; 12 \mathrm{~S} .2$
yana' acorn $15.16 ; 16.9 ; 58.9$
gwit!i-(n)- wrist
$k^{\circ} \mathrm{a} b \mathrm{a}-\operatorname{son} 23.2 ; 12 \mathrm{~S} .5 ; 13 \mathrm{~S} .14$
xaga-maternal aunt
$x 7 \mathrm{i}^{\prime} w i$ war-feathers 110.18
waya ${ }^{\prime}$ knife $73.3 ; 144.20 ; 172.12$
goyo'shaman $47.11 ; 142.7 ; 188.7$
$w \bar{o}^{u} p!u-(n)-$ eycbrows

With probably derivative final consonant are:
lege'm- kidney
lap’ãm $\operatorname{frog} 102.10 ; 196.3$
yulu'm eagle $77.2 ; 122.15 ; 164 . \delta$
gulu'm oak 22.10
$k^{*}$ ü $7 \tilde{\ddot{u}} m$ fish (sp. ?)
loxo'm manzanita 126.17; 17S.5
$y \overline{\mathrm{u}} t!$ 'u'n white duck 55.5
$p!\mathrm{i}^{\prime} y \mathrm{in}$ dcer $17.1 ; 42.2 ; 54.2$
ga'k!an ladder 176.S
daga'n turtle
$t s \cdot!\mathrm{ax} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime a} n$ blue-striped lizard
wigīn red lizard
li'bin news $108.20 ; 194.9$
$y \mathrm{i}^{\prime} w \mathrm{i} n$ specch $126.10 ; 136.12$
ts.!amãl mouse 102.10; 104.9; 142.4
s•imil dew
( $k!\ell l$ )mehel- $\bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$ basket for cooking 17S.4

Here again it will be obscrved that the rising or raised accent is the normal one for the second syllable of the stem. But here also a well-defined, if less numerous, group of noun-stems is found in which the repeated long vowel bears a falling accent. Examples are:
$t^{\prime} g w a \bar{l}^{-\prime a}$ hooting owl 194.9
$h \bar{u}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathcal{S}^{\prime \overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime}}{ }^{\mathrm{u}}$ chicken-hawk 142.6
$s^{\bullet} \mathrm{u} / / \overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime \mathrm{u}}$ quail $70.2,5 ; 71.4$
$t!\mathrm{i} b \mathrm{is} \cdot^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ ant 74.4; 75.5
da-uyā${ }^{\prime a}$ shaman's spirit (? from dawy-fly) 164.14
maya $\bar{a}^{\prime a}-k^{*} x_{-}$orphan 154.5
 3) owe their falling accent to the presence of a glottal catch.

Very remarkable is the stem formation of the noun t!üx $\bar{u}^{\prime} i$ Driftwood 75.5. It is evidently formed from the verb-stem $d \bar{o}^{u} x$ - (aorist stem t!oxox-) gather (wood) according to aorists of Type 7b, at the same time with vowel ablaut (cf. theoretic $t!u ̈ x \tilde{u}-x i$ he gathers me) and falling accent, perhaps to give passive signification (see $\S 86,1$ ); its etymologic meaning would then be that which is gathered. No other noun of similar stem formation has been found.

[^48]3. It is not strictly possible to separate noun-stems corresponding to aorists of verbal Type 2 from those that are to be compared with aorists of Type 3. The doubt that we found to exist in the verb as to the radical or suffixal character of certain consonants is present also in regard to the final consonant of many dissyllabic nouns. The following nouns with repeated rowel show final consonants that are not thought to be elements of derivation. If this view is correct, they are to be compared with Type 3 aorist stems.
libis crawfish 30.2
nihwi'k' ${ }^{\text {w }}$ black bear 116.1; 118.1
$t s \cdot i l i l^{\prime} i k!-$ elbow
$s$ •idib-i- (house) wall 176.4, 9
lep!ès cat-tail rushes
$t^{\prime} b e l e^{\prime s} s$ pine-nuts
t!ewẽx flea
$s \cdot e \mathrm{e}$ ē $k^{*}{ }^{w}$ pestle 56.1
$s \cdot u \mathrm{u} l \tilde{\mathrm{u}} k^{*}$ cricket
t!onō'us•humming-bird (perhaps with derivative -s)
4. Analogous to aorist stems of Type 4 verbs (e. g., yewei-) are a few nouns with repeated vowel and following $-i$ - to form a diphthong. Of such nouns have been found: 138.17
ü'lük!- hair 27.1; 140.6; 158.1 deges ${ }^{1}$ - sifting basket-pan 196.13
$k$ !aba's porcupine-quills
t'ywaya'm lark 22.1; 160.3
hül(ĩn ocean 60.8; 154.14
oho 'p' black shells (sp.?) 55.9
mot!o'p" seed-bcater'
yuk! tum- salmon-tail 198.9
dugu'm baby 126.9
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t s!\text { !elei- eye } 27.8 ; 86.7 ; 92.20 & d a-k!o l o \prime \text { 'i-d } d \alpha-x \text {-cheek } \\
k i w e d e \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{name} 100.21 & \text { maha'i (adjective) large } 196.10 \\
k!\text { elei- bark } 54.6 & \text { (cf. plural mahmi } 130.4 \text { for } \\
k!\text { oloī storage basket } 61.5 ; & \text { base) }
\end{array}
$$
\]

$$
0
$$

That the final $-i$ - of these nouns is not an added characteristic, but an integral part of the noun-stem, is proven by the facts that no examples have been found of vowels followed by noun-characteristic $-i$ - (ordinarily $-n$ - or $-m$ - is employed), and that $t s^{\circ}!e l c i$ - has been found incorporated in that form.
5. A few nouns are found that show a repeated initial consonant; they may be compared to Type 10 aorist stems. Examples are:

| seens- hair 136.28 (cf. se $e^{e} n$ - skin) | bo'p' alder (94.1ヶ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| lüul- throat 25.2 (? cf. aorist | ts $!u^{\prime} n^{s} \mathrm{~s} \quad$ (ts $!$ !unts ${ }^{\text {! }}$-) decr- |
| lomol choke) | skin cap embroidered with woodpecker-scalps |

suñs thick, deep (of snow) 90.3
bebe' $-n$ rushes
$\mathrm{b} \bar{u}^{u} \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a}^{\prime} n$ arm 23.2, 4; (172.4)
sẽns bug (sp.?)
ts $!e^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{s}^{*}\left(t s^{\prime}!e n t s!-\right)$ wild-rose berry 92.23
bãp’ seeds (sp.?) (34.1; 79.9; 94.19)
ts $!a^{\prime i \varepsilon} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ bluejay (onomatopoetic) $22.14 ; 102.10 ; 166.11$ belp ${ }^{\text {c } 2}$ swan 102.10; 104.14

Here may also be mentioned $k!a^{\prime}$ mak! $\bar{a}^{a}$ his tongs (also $k!a^{\prime} m \bar{a}^{a}$ ).
6. Reduplicated nouns are not frequent in Takelma, particularly when one considers the great importance of reduplication as a grammatical device in the verb. Examples corresponding in form to Type 12 aorists (i. e., with $-a-$ [umlauted to $-i-$ - in second member) are:
$t^{\prime} g w i^{\prime} n t^{\prime} g w i n-i$ - shoulder (also $\quad t s^{\prime}!e^{\prime} k^{\prime} t s!i g-i$-backbone 112.4;
$\left.t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} n t^{\prime} g w-i-\right)$
gelga'l fabulous serpent (cf. aorist gelegal-amd- tie hair into top-knot 172.3)
siinsa`n decrepit old woman gwi'sywas chipmunk
$y \bar{u} \kappa^{\prime} y a^{\prime} \mathrm{K}^{\prime} u-a$ (place name)
188.13
t'ga'lt gil-i- belly
195.6
gi'xgap' medicine, poison (irreg.) 18S.12
$p^{\prime} \bar{a}^{〔} t^{\prime} p^{\prime} \dot{i l}-i$-salmon-liver (with dissimilated catch) $120.19,20$
$b \tilde{o}^{u} t^{t} b i d-i$ - orphans (also $b \tilde{o t} t^{\prime} b a$ )

Also $w a-i w i^{\prime i}$ G1RL 55.7; 96.23 doubtless belongs here; the $-w \bar{\imath}^{-i}$ of the second syllable represents a theoretic $-w i^{\prime} y$, umlauted from $-w a^{\prime} y$, the falling accent being due to the inorganic character of the repeated $a$. A very few nouns repeat only the first consonant and add $a$, leaving the final consonant unreduplicated. Such are:
$b u^{\prime} k^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{a}$ red-headed woodpecker (onomatopoetic) 92.2, 6
ha'sk' $\bar{a}^{a}$ ( $=$ *hak! $-h \bar{a}^{a}$ ) goose 102.10; 106.2, 5
bõt $b \bar{a}^{a}$ orphan 122.1, 5
A few nouns, chiefly names of animals, show complete duplication of the radical element without change of the stem-vowel to $-a$ - in the second member. This type of reduplication is practically entirely absent in the verb. Examples are:
$t s^{\bullet}!e^{\prime s} t s^{\prime}!e^{\varepsilon}$ small bird (sp.?)
dalda` dragon-fly 21.1; 28.6 $p^{\prime} a b \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ manzanita-flour
Even all of these are not certain. Those with radical -a-might just as well have been classified with the preceding group (thus

[^49]dalda'l may be very plausibly connected with aorist t!alat!al- from t!alal-, non-aorist d $\bar{a}^{a} l d a l$ from $d \bar{a}^{a} l$ - сRack); while $p^{\prime} a b \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ and bobo' $p$ ' may, though improbably, show Type 1 reduplication ( $p^{\circ} a b-\bar{a}^{a} b-$ like $p!a b-a b-$ снор). This latter type of reduplication seems, however, to be as good as absent in the noun (but cf. sgwôgwô'k'w Robin; mele' $7 x$ burnt-down field 92.27 may be morphologically verbal, as shown by its probably non-agentive $-x$ ). The fullest type of reduplication, that found exemplified in the aorists of Type 13 verbs, has not been met with in a single noun.

## 2. Noun Derivation ( $\$ 88 \%, 88$ )

## § 87. DERIVATIVE SUFFIXES

The number of derivative suffixes found in the noun, excluding those more or less freely employed to form nominal derivatives from the verb-stem, are remarkably few in number, and, for the most part, limited in their range of application. This paucity of live wordforming suffixes is, of course, due to a great extent, to the large number of nominal stems in the language. The necessity of using such suffixes is thus greatly reduced. The various derivational affixes found in the Takelma noun will be listed below with illustrative examples.

1. $\boldsymbol{t}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{a})$-. This is the only derivational prefix, excluding of course such considerably individualized elements as the body-part prefires of the verb, found in Takelma. It is employed to form the words for the female relationships corresponding to elder brotirer and YOUNGER BROTHER.
wãxa his younger brother 54.1,5 t'awãxa his younger sister 55.2 wi- ${ }^{-} o b \tau$ my elder brother $46.10 \quad$ wi-t'obi my elder sister (55.14)
2. $-7 \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{7} \cdot!-)$. This suffix is found only in a number of nouns denoting ranks or conditions of persons; hence it is not improbable that it was originally a separate word meaning something like PERson, people. That it is itself a stem, not a mere suffix, is shown ly its ability to undergo ablaut (for-lè'p ${ }^{\prime} i-$ see § 77 ). - $k!$ !- is added to it in forms with possessive or plural affix. For example, from $t!i^{i \epsilon]} \overline{a^{\prime}} p^{\prime} c l$ 178.7 male, husband are formed $t!\imath^{i \varepsilon} \ell \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!i t \ell^{\prime}$ my nusband (142.7) and $t!\tau^{i} \bar{l} \overline{a^{\prime}} p^{\circ} a k!a n$ husbands, men $(130.1,7)$. The fact that the stem preceding $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ appears also as a separate word or with other elements indicates that words containing $-7 \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ may be best considered as compounds.

Examples are:
$t!i^{i s} \overline{a n}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ a male, husband 178.7 (cf. $t!\bar{i}^{i}$ - husband, male)
$k^{\prime} a^{i \varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{p}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ a woman 259,12 ; 108.4, 5 (cf. $k^{\prime} a^{i c} c^{\prime} o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a$ giri who has already had courses)
mologola' 'p'a old woman 26.14, 16; 56.3 (cf. mologo'l old woman 16S.12; 170.10)
$\left.b \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{a}\right] \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{ak}!a n$ orphans (cf. $b \tilde{o} t^{*} b a$ orphan and $b \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} b i d-i-i k^{\prime} k^{*}$ my orphaned children)
lomt $!\bar{t}^{-i} \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{ak}!$ !an old men 12S.11: 130.1 (cf. 7omt! $\bar{u}^{\prime i}$ old man 24.11; 126.19)
os $\cdot^{u}{ }^{u} \bar{a}^{\prime}$ p'a poor people
3. - $\boldsymbol{N}^{*}$. A number of place-names with suffixed $-k^{\circ}$ have been found:

La'mhik' Klamath river
Sbink Applegate creck (cf. sbin beaver)
Gwen-p'u $\tilde{n} k^{\prime}$ village name 114.14 (cf. $p^{\prime} u^{\prime} n$ rotten 140.21)
$H a-t!\tilde{o} n \mathrm{k}$ village name
Daki-t'gamiki village name (cf. $t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} m$ elk)
Gel-yãk' village name 112.13; 114.S (cf. yãl pine)
Somolu'k' ${ }^{1}$ village name
Dal-dani'k' village name (cf. da'n rock)
4. $-\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime s}(\boldsymbol{u})$. Nouns denoting person coming fron are formed by adding this suffix to the place-name, with loss of derivative $-k$. Examples are:

Ha-gw $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime s}$ person from Ha-gwãl, Cow creek
Lamh ${ }^{-i} y^{2} a^{\prime s}$ person from La'mhik', Klamath river
$S b \bar{i}^{i}{ }^{n} \mathfrak{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Sbink', Applegate creek
Dal-sa'lsana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ person from Dal-salsañ, Illinois river

Gwen-p $u^{\prime} u^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}$ person from Gwen-p ${ }^{\text {'uñ }}{ }^{\text {e }}$
Dal-daniya's person from Dal-dani'k'
S.omota ${ }^{\text {s }}$ person from S'omolu'k' (see footnote)

Ha-t! $\bar{o}^{u} n a^{\prime s}$ person from Ha-t!õnk'
La-t $t^{\prime} y \bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime s}$ person from La-t'gāū, uplands 192.14
Dake-t'gamiya's person from Dak'-t'gamík'
$H a-t^{\prime} i i^{\prime} a^{\prime s}$ person from Ha-t'il
Gel-y $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Gel-yãlk
[Daki-ts! $\bar{a}^{\alpha}$ wana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from dak'-ts! ${ }^{2}{ }^{a}$ wa'n, i. e., above the lakes ( = Klamath Indian)
Dakiots! $\bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{mala}^{\prime s}$

[^50]$D \bar{a}^{a}$-gelma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{L}$ person from $\mathrm{Da}^{\mathrm{a}}$-gela'm, Rogue river ( $=$ Takelma Indian)
Dī-dalama ${ }^{\prime s} \mathrm{n}$ person from Dīdalañ, Grant's Pass
Judging from the material at hand, it seems that $-a^{\prime} \varepsilon n$ is used only when the place-name ends in $-m$, though the ease with which $-a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ may be heard as $-a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (see first footnote §60) detracts from the certainty of this generalization.
5. -gu-. This element occurs as a suffix in a number of terms relating to parts of the body. Examples are:
t!iba ${ }^{\prime} k^{* w}$ pancreas 47.17; t!ibagw-a'n-t'k' my pancreas (47.5, 6, 7, 13) (incorporated ttiba-46.1, 9)
$l i^{\prime} u g w-a x-d e k^{\prime}$ my face (cf. verb-stem līu- look)
$d a^{s}$ madagw- $a^{\prime} n-t^{\prime} k^{*}$ my shoulder
$d a-u y \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{+}{ }^{W}-d e k^{*}$ my medicine-spirit (incorporated da-uy $\bar{a}^{a}$ 164.14)
$l e^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} w-a n-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my rectum (cf. $l a^{\prime \prime}$ excrement 122.2)
$m a^{\prime} p!a g w-a-t^{\prime} k k^{*}$ my shoulder-blade
6. -(a) $\boldsymbol{u}$ - (or -m-, -l-). There are so many nouns which in their absolute form end in -(a) $n$ or its phonetic derivatives -(a) $m$ - and -(a) l(see § 21) that there is absolutely no doubt of its suffixal character, despite the impossibility of ascribing to it any definite functional value and the small number of cases in which the stem occurs without it. The examples that most clearly indicate its non-radical character will be conveniently listed here:
he ela'm board 176.5 (cf. di $i^{i}$-he'liya slecping on board platform 13.2)
ts ! tela'm hail 152.12, 16 (cf. verb-stem $t s$ ! let-rattle)
$p!i^{\prime} y i n$ deer $13.10 ; 42.2$ (cf. p! $i^{\prime}$ yax fawn 13.11 ; 49.11)
$y i^{\prime} w i n$ speech $126.10 ; 138.4$ (cf. verb-stem yiw- talk)
li'bin news 194.9 (? cf. verb-stem laba- carry)
$y u \bar{t}!u$ 'n white duck 55.5 (cf. verb-stem yut!- eat greedily)
do' $l k{ }^{\prime}$ am- $a$ - anus (also $d o^{\prime} l k k^{\prime}-i$ - as myth form $106.4, ~ S$ )
$d o^{\prime} l k^{\prime} \mathrm{im}-i-$
do'lk'in-i- 106.6, 9
$x d \tilde{a} n$ eel (cf. reduplicated $k \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-x d \bar{a}^{\prime a} x d a g w a{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I throw away something slippery, nastily wet [49.7])
sugwa'n root basket 124.5 (cf. s'ugwidt it lies curled up like bundled roots or strings)
dan ye ${ }^{\prime}$ ewald-in- $\imath^{i}$ rocks returning-to- them, myth name of Otter 160.10, 13 (cf. verb-stem yeew-ald-return to)

Other examples, etymologically untransparent, will be found listed in § 21. The difference between this derivational $-n(-m)$ and
noun-characteristic $-n-(-m)$ lies in the fact that the former is a necessary part of the absolute form of the word, while the latter appears only with grammatical increments. Thus the -am of hela'm board can not be identified with the -am of ha-gwa $\bar{a}^{a} l a ' m$ in the road, as gw $\bar{a}^{a} l a$ ' $m$ has no independent existence. The exact morphologic correspondent of $g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a m$ - is he $l a m-a$ - (e. g., he elam-a $a^{\prime}-t^{\prime} k^{*}$ m board). A doubt as to the character of the $-n$ - can be had only in words that never, or at least not normally, occur without possessive suffix:
lege'm-t'k my kidneys
$w \bar{o}^{u} p!u^{\prime} n-t^{\prime} k=$ my eyebrows ${ }^{1}$
7. - $\boldsymbol{a}$. There are a rather large number of dissyllabic nouns or noun-stems with final $-a$, in which this element is to outward appearance an integral part of the radical portion of the word. The number of instances in which it occurs, however, is considerable enough to lead one to suspect its derivational character, though it can be analyzed out in an even smaller number of cases than the suffix $-n$ above discussed. The most convincing proof of the existence of a suffix - $a$ is given by the word $x u^{\prime}$ ma food, dry food, 54.4 ; 18S.1, a derivative of the adjective $x u^{\prime} m$ DRy 168.15 (e. g., pion xu'm dried salmon; cf. also xümü'k'de $e^{\varepsilon}$ am sated [132.1]). Other possible examples of its occurrence are:

```
yola` fox (? cf. verb-stem yul- rub) 70.1, 4, 5; 78.2, 3, 9
mena` bear 72.3; 73.2, 3, 4, 5; 106.7, 10
p!elda` slug 105.25
noxwa` small pestle
t'e'lma small pestle 62.1; 116.18, 19; 118.2
ma'xla dust 172.3; 184.5, 9
k!eda` grass for string (sp.?)
t!ela` shinny-stick (? cf. verb-stem t!èu- play shinny)
t!ela` louse (? cf. verb base t!el- lick) 116.3, 6, 7, S, 11
t!iba-pancreas 46.1, 9; 49.7
ela- tongue (characteristic -a-?)
dola` old tree 24.1
yana` oak 22.11; 168.1, 2, 3, 6, 7 (cf. yangwa's oak sp.; with
    -gwas cf. perhaps al-gwa's-i- yellow)
```

It is of course possible that some of the dissyllabic nouns in $-a$ listed above ( $\S 86,2$ ) as showing a repeated vowel (e. g., ya'p!a) really belong here.

[^51]8. -s. This element is in all probability a derivational suffix in a fairly considerable number of words, as indicated particularly by the fact of its frequent occurrence after a consonant. Examples are: $p!e^{\prime} e_{\mathrm{S}}$ mortar-stone fastened in ground (cf. verb-stem $p!\grave{\ell}$ - lie) 74.13; 120.17
la'ps blanket (? cf. base lab-carry on shoulder) $98.14,15,19,21$ $p!e$ 'ns squirrel
gūms (adj.) blind 26.14 (? cf. gomha' ${ }^{*}{ }^{w}$ rabbit)
bēls moccasin

yôls steel-head salmon (? cf. yola' fox)
bils moss 43.16; 44.1; 47.15
bami's sky 79.7 (cf. verb-prefix bam- up)
bãls (adj.) long 14.5; 15.12, 15 (? cf. da-balni'-xa [adv.] long time)
Also some of the dissyllabic nouns in $-s$ with repeated vowel listed abore ( $(\$ 6,3$ ) may belong to this set.

A few other stray elements of a derivational aspect have been found. Such are:
-ax in $p!i^{\prime} y a x$ fawn 13.11; 16.8; 17.1, 2 (cf. $p!i^{\prime} y i n$ deer)
$-x i^{1}$ in bomxi otter $13.5 ; 17.13 ; 154.13 ; 156.14 ; \bar{u}^{\prime s} x i$ seed-pouch; $h \bar{a}^{a} p x i{ }^{\prime}$ child $13 . S, 13$ (cf. $h a \tilde{a} p^{\prime} d a$ his child 98.13 and $h \bar{a}^{a} p^{\prime}$ incorporated in h $\bar{a}^{a} p^{*}-k!$ !emnas ${ }^{\prime s}$ Children-maker 172.15)
pluralic $-x$ - in hãpxda his children 16.3; 113.1, 14
$-x$ - varies with -s- in adjective hãpsdi small; $h \bar{a}^{a} p x i^{\prime} h a p s d i$ little children 30.12
A large number of dissyllabic and polysyllabic nouns still remain that are not capable of being grouped under any of the preceding heads, and whose analysis is altogether obscure:
bäxdis wolf $13.1 ; 16.10 ; 17.10$
domxa`u Chinook salmon
$y^{2 k} k{ }^{\prime} a{ }^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime}$ red deer
yiba'xam small skunk
bixal moon 196.1
$k!a^{\prime} n a k!a s$ basket cup (probably reduplicated and with derivative $-s$ )
§ 88. COMPOUNDS
Of compounds in the narrower sense of the word there are very few in Takelma. Outside of personal words in $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$, which we lave suspected of being such, there have been found:
lomt $!i^{\prime i}$ old man 24.11, 12; 126.19 (cf. $t!\bar{i}^{i}$ - male)
$\mathbb{F}^{*} a^{i s} s^{\circ} o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a$ girl who has had courses (cf. $F^{*} a^{i \varepsilon} \bar{l} a^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ woman)

Independent nouns may, however, be juxtaposed without change of form to make up a descriptive term, the qualifying noun preceding:
hapxi-t! $i^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ child male-person ( $=$ boy) $14.1,6 ; 17.3,6 ; 156.10$
hapxi-wa-iwí ${ }^{\prime i}$ child female-person ( $=$ girl) 29.7; 30.1; 71.3
hap. $x-t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime s} s$ child crier ( $=$ cry-baby)
da'n mologo ${ }^{\prime}$ l rock old-woman 170.10, 15, 20; 172.1
dan hapxi-t! $\bar{i}^{\prime} i t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ rock boy 17.8
dan $w^{-i} i \bar{\imath}^{i} i$ his rock knife 142.20
gwa's ${ }^{\prime}$ wili brush house (for summer use) 176.14
yãx wili graveyard house $14.8,9 ; 15.5,6$
wilīi heela'm house boards 176.5
xamk" wa-iwī ${ }^{\prime i}$ grizzly-bear girl 124.10; 130.6, 7, 26
mena dap! $\bar{a} ’$ la-ut an bear youths 130.11
yap!a goyo Indian doctor 188.12
Examples of compounds in which the first element is modified by a numeral or adjective are:
wili $h a^{\text {sig }} \mathrm{go}^{\prime}$ yap! $a^{\prime}$ house nine people (= people of nine houses) 150.16
yap! a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alt ${ }^{\prime}$ gu ${ }^{\prime i s}$ s. goyo' person white doctor ( $=$ white doctor) 188.11
A certain number of objects are described, not by a single word, but by a descriptive phrase consisting of a noun followed by an adjective, participle, or another noun provided with a third personal possessive suffix. In the latter case the suffix does not properly indicate a possessive relation, but generally a part of the whole or the fabric made of the material referred to by the first noun. Such are:
lasgu'm-īuxgwa't' snake handed (= lizard) 196.4
$t^{\prime} g w i l t s^{\prime}!\bar{t}^{\prime} i k^{\prime} d a$ hazel its-meat ( = hazel-nut)
t'gwa he lama $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ thunder its-board (=lumber) 55.8, 10
p!iyin sge'es $x a b \bar{a}^{a}$ deer its-hat (not deer's hat, but hat of deerskin)
p!iyin ts $!u^{\prime} n t s!\overline{0}^{i}$ deer its-cap-embroidered-with woodpeckerscalps
k゙ai mologol $\bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a x d \bar{a}^{a}$ what its-woman (=what kind of woman?) 122.3
wi'li gwala` houses many (=village) \(t s!i^{\prime} x i\) maha \(i\) dog big (=horse) piom sinūxde salmon its-nose (=swallow) (perhaps so called because the spring run of salmon is heralded by the coming of swallows) mena` ${ }^{\text {salt'guna'px }}$ bear + ? ( $=$ dormouse [?])
xi'lam sebe'ť dead-people roasting $(=\operatorname{bug}[s p . ?])^{1} 98.13,15$
$p^{\prime} u n-y i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{`}$ rotten copulating-with (=Oregon pheasant)

## § 89. 3. Noun-Characteristics and Pre-Pronominal-x-

As noun-characteristics are used four elements: -(a)n (including $-a m$ and $-a l),-a-,-i$, and $-u-$. Although each noun, in so far as it has any noun-characteristic, is found, as a rule, to use only one of these elements, no rule can be given as to which of them is to be appended to any given noun. Nouns in suffixed -(a) $n$, or -(a) $m$, for example, are found with characteristic $-i-\left(b \bar{u}^{u} b i n-i\right.$ - [from $b \bar{u}^{u}-b a^{\wedge} n$ ARM]), $-a-$ (he ${ }^{e} 7 a m-a-\left[\right.$ from he $e^{e} 7 a^{\prime} m$ BOARD]), $-(a) n$ (gulm-an-[from gula'm OAK]), and without characteristic (bo'k'dan-x-dek' мY Neck [from bo'k'dan 15.12, 15]).

1. $=(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{n}$. Examples of this characteristic eiement are:
gwit! $!$-n- wrist (cf. variant gwit! $!\bar{i}-\bar{u}-$ )
t!ibagw-an- pancreas 45.15; 46.5 (absolute t!iba ${ }^{\prime} k^{v}{ }^{v} 47.17$ )
dasmadagw-an-shoulder
lek'w-an- rectum
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-n- $x$ - ear 14.4; 15.13 (incorporated $d \bar{a}^{a}-$ )
ts! $\bar{a}^{a} w$-an- lake, deep water 59.16 (absolute $t s!\bar{a} \bar{u} 162.9$; 166.1.5) gulm-an- oak (absolute gula'm)
$b o b-\mathrm{in}-{ }^{1}$ alder 94.17 (absolute bo ${ }^{\prime} p$ )
Its phonetic reflexes -al and -am occur in:
$s \cdot \bar{o}^{u} m$-al- mountain 124.2; 152.2 (absolute $s \cdot 0 \pi / 43.6 ; 122.16$ )
d $\bar{o}^{u}$ m-al- testicles 130.8 (absolute $d \tilde{o}^{u} m 130.20$ )
ts $!\bar{a}^{a} m$-al- (in Dak'-ts $!\bar{a}^{a} m a l a^{\prime s}$ Klamath Indian, parallel to Dak'-ts! $\bar{a}^{a}$ wana ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ )
gwāal-am- trail 48.6, 8; 96.8, 9 (absolute gwãn 148.7)
$x \bar{a}^{a} l$-am- urine (absolute xãn)
-am- is also found, though without apparent phonetic reason, in $x \bar{a}^{a}-$ ham- васк (incorporated $x \bar{a}^{a}-$ ). Certain nouns add - $g$ - before taking -an- as their characteristic:
wax-gan- creek (absolute wa'x)
del-gan-(x-) anus 45.9; 72.10; 94.15
bil-gan-( $x$-) breast
gel-gan- breast (cf. variant gel-x-)
2.     - $a=$. More frequently occurring than -(a) $n$ - is - $a-$, examples of which are:
dana- rock (absolute dan 17.8 ; dal-am- as possible variant in place-name $D \bar{i}$-dala' $n$ over the rocks [?])
ey-a- canoe $112.6 ; 114.5,13 ; 156.2$ (cf. variant $e i-x-$ )
t'gwan-a-slave (absolute t'gwa'n 13.12)
heelam-a- board 55.8, 10 (absolute heela'm 176.5)
$y \tilde{o}^{u} k!w$-a- bone 186.1; 196.17 (absolute $y \tilde{o}^{u s} k^{*}{ }^{*}$ )

[^52]pim-a-salmon 31.1; 32.4 (absolute $\left.p^{\circ} i \not m 30.10,1.1 ; 31.3.\right)$

$m a^{\prime} p!a g w-a-$ shoulder blade (absolute $m a^{\prime} p!a k^{*}{ }^{*}$ )
yaw-a- rib 194.10 (incorporated $y a-u$-)
xiy-a- water $58.6 ; 156.19 ; 162.13$ (absolute $x i{ }^{`} 162.7,8,14$ )
$p!i y$-a- fire $118.4 ; 168.19$ (absolute $p!\tau$ SS.12, 13; 96.17)
All nouns in -xab-take -a- as their characteristic, e. g., sge ${ }^{\epsilon \varepsilon} x a b-a-t k^{*}$ MY HAT (from syef ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} x a p{ }^{\circ}$ нat)
3. -i-. Examples of nouns with $-i$ - as their characteristic are:
$d \bar{u}^{u}!w-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{shirt} 13.4 ; 96.26 ; 192.4$ (absolute $d \tilde{u} \mathrm{~K}^{\text {wo }} 96.16$ )
búubin-i- arm $31.4 ; 172.4,5,6$ (absolute $\left.b \bar{u}^{u} b a^{\wedge} n 23.2,4,9\right)$
$t^{\prime}$ gwi'nt'gwin-i- shoulder
$t s!u g u l-i-$ rope (cf. absolute $t s \cdot!\tilde{u} \mathrm{~h}^{\circ}$ )
$k \cdot u^{u} b-\mathrm{i}$ - hair, skin $24.5 ; 160.6$
ülük!-i- hair $27.1,4 ; 126.11 ; 136.20 ; 158.1 ; 18 S .4,5 ; 194.7$.
$k$ !alts!-i- sinew 28.1 (absolute $k!a^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ 27.13)
$\cdot b \bar{a}^{a} b$-i- seeds (sp.?) $34.1 ; 79.9 ; 94.19$ (absolute $b \tilde{a} p^{\circ}$ )
$k!e l w$-i- basket bucket $170.14,16,1 \mathrm{~S}, 19$ (absolute $k!e\urcorner 186.17$ )
$m \bar{a}^{a} l$-i- spear-shaft 156.1 (absolute $m \tilde{a} l 28.7,9,10$ )
$d \bar{u}^{u} l$-i- spear-point (absolute $d \bar{u} l$ 2S.s, $9 ; 156.19,20$ )
lüü-i-(x-) throat 25.2
$m \bar{u}^{u} l-\mathrm{i}$ - lungs
t!egilix-i- skull 174.3
t'galt'yil-i-( $x-$ ) belly
ts ${ }^{\prime}$ !ef'ts! ! $y-\mathrm{i}-(x-)$ backbone 112.4
ham-i- father 158.3 (e. g., ham- $i^{\prime}-\varepsilon t^{\circ}$ your father, but wi-ha'm my father 138.19)
A number of terms of relationship show an $-i$ - not only in the second person singular and plural and first person plural but also, unlike ham-i- father, in the first person singular, while the third person in $-x a(-a)$ and the vocative (nearly always in $-\tilde{a})$ lackit. They are:
wi-k'abaĩ my son $(23.2,3): k{ }^{\circ} a b a^{\prime}$-xa his son 138.16
(wis-obĩ my elder brother . : $o^{\prime} p$-xahis elder brother $48.3 ; 62.2$ (46.10)
wi-t obì my elder sister wi-k! $a^{\prime} s \mathrm{i} \mathrm{m}$ y maternal grandparent 14.2; (15.12)
wi-xdāi my paternal uncle wi-hasi' my maternal uncle
wi-t'adi` my paternal aunt 22.14
wi-xagaī my maternal aunt
wi-ts!ai my (woman's) brother's child 22.1; 23.S, 10; my (man's) sister's child 148.19; 150.4
: t'o' $p$-xa his elder sister $55.14 ; 56.6$
: $k!a^{\prime} s$-a his maternal grandparent 16.1, 2 ; (154.18)
: $x d \tilde{a}$-xa his paternal uncle
: $h a^{\prime} s$-a his maternal uncle
: $t^{\prime} a^{\prime} d-a$ his paternal aunt (63.9; 77.14)
: xaga'-xa his maternal aunt
: ts! a'-xa her brother's child; his sister's child

Still other terms of relationship have an $-i-$ in all forms but the vocative. It is probable, though not quite so certain for these nouns, that the $-i$ - is not a part of the stem, but, as in the preceding group, an added characteristic element. Such nouns are:

Vocative

| gamdi'-xa his paternal grandparent (170.21; 188.13) | gamdã |
| :---: | :---: |
| siwi'-xa her sister's child; his brother's child | siwã |
| wak'di'-xa his mother's brother's son $77.6 ; 88.14$; (188.9) | wak'dã 77. |
| t!omxi'-xa ${ }^{1}$ his wife's parent | t!omxã |
| lamts! $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$-xa her brother's wife | lamts!ã |
| yidi'-xa her husband's sister | yidã |
| nanbi'-xa his brother's wife; his wife's sister | nanbã |
| ximni'-xa his relative by marriage after linking member has died | ximnã |

The $-i$ - has been found in the vocative before the - $\tilde{a}$ (but only as a myth-form) in obiyã o elder brother! 59.3; 62.4 (alongside of obã), so that it is probable that the vocative $-\widetilde{a}$ is not a mere transformation of a characteristic vowel, but a distinct element that is normally directly appended to the stem. Other examples of myth vocatives in - $\tilde{a}$ appended to characteristic - $i$ - are ts!ayã o Nephew! 23.1 (beside $t s!\tilde{a})$ and $w \hat{o}^{\prime} k k^{\circ} d i a^{\prime}$ o cousin! 88.14, 15 (beside wak'dã). The stem ham- with its characteristic $-i$ - is used as the vocative: hami o father! 70.5; 71.7; also o son! Quite unexplained is the not otherwise occurring $-i$ - in the vocative of mot - Son-rn-LAW: mot ia' 166.6, 7. As already noted (see § SS, 2), nouns in -lā'p ${ }^{\prime} a$ regularly take an - $i$ - after the added- $k!$ - of possessive forms: $-7 \bar{u}^{\prime} p \cdot i \bar{i} \cdot!-i$ -
4. -u-. Only a few nouns have been found to contain this element as their characteristic. They are:
$\bar{\imath}-\bar{u}-x$ - hand 58.2 ; 86.13 (incorporated $\bar{\imath}$-)
gwit! $!\bar{\imath}-\bar{u}-x$ - wrist ${ }^{2}$ (cf. variant gwit! !i-n-)
$h a-u-x$ - woman's private parts 108.4; 130.8 (incorporated $h a-$ )
$t^{\prime} g \bar{a}$-u- earth, land $55.3,4 ; 56.4$ (absolute $t^{\prime} g \tilde{a} 73.9,11,13$ )
-t!omxa'u wife's parent (cf. t!omxi'xa his wife's parent 154.16; 164.19; see footnote, sub 3).

[^53]The pre-pronominal element $-x$ - is in some words appended directly, to the stem or stem + derivational suffix; in others, to one of the noun-characteristics - (a) $n,-i$, and $-u$ (never $-a$ ). A considerable number of words may or may not have the $-x$ - after their characteristic; a few show variation between $-a$ - and $-x$-; and but a very small number have $-x$ - with or without preceding characteristic (e. g., gel- $x$-, gel-gan-, and gel-gan-x- breast). Examples of $-x$ - without preceding characteristic are:

```
dag-ax-head \({ }^{1} 90.12,13 ; 116.8 ; 188.4,5\) (incorporated dak*-)
sal-x- foot 120.18 (incorporated sal-)
gwel-x- leg 15.15; 86.18; 122.10; 160.17 (incorporated form
    gwel-)
```

$d e^{e}-\mathrm{x}^{-}$- lips (incorporated $d e^{e_{-}}$) 186.18
gwen-lua-u-x- nape (incorporated gwen-ha-u-)
ei-x- canoe (absolute $e \bar{\imath}$ )
dīmo-x-hips (incorporated dizso-)
liugw-ax- face
bok'dan-x-neck (absolute bo'k'dan)
$\hbar \bar{a}^{\alpha} n-\mathrm{x}^{2}$ brothers 136.7

Rather more common than nouns of this type seem to be examples of $-x$ - with preceding characteristic, such as have been already given in treating of the noun-characteristics. A few bodypart nouns in $-x$ - seem to be formed from local third personal possessive forms ( $-d a$ ) ; e. g., $d \bar{\imath}^{\prime \varepsilon} a l d a-x-d e k k^{*}$ my forehead from $d \bar{\imath}^{\prime s} a l d a$ at his forehead (but also $d i^{i} a^{\prime} a^{l}-t^{*} k^{\prime}$ with first personal singular possessive ending directly added to stem or incorporated form ditisal-); da-k!olo'ida-x-dek' my снеек is evidently quite parallel in formation. Body-part nouns with pre-pronominal $-x$ - end in this element when, as sometimes happens, they occur absolutely (neither incorporated nor provided with personal endings). Examples of such forms follow:
haũx woman's private parts 130.19
da'gax head
$y \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a l x$ teeth 57.4
dayawa'nt!ixi $\varepsilon_{\bar{i} \bar{u} ’ x \text { other hand } 56.13}$
gwelx dayawa'nt!ixi other leg 86.18

[^54]
## 4. Possessive Suffixes (§§ 90-93)

## § 90. GENERAL REMARKS

The possessive suffixes appended to the noun embrace elements for the first and second persons singular and plural and for the third person; the form expressing the latter is capable of further amplification by the addition of an element indicating the identity of the possessor with the subject of the clause (corresponding to Latin suus as contrasted with $\bar{e} i u s)$. This element may be further extended to express plurality. Altogether four distinct though genetically related series of possessive pronominal affixes are found, of which three are used to express simple ownership of the noun modified; the fourth is used only with nouns preceded by pre-positives and with local adverbial stems. The former set includes a special scheme for most terms of relationship, and two other schemes for the great mass of nouns, that seem to be fundamentally identical and to have become differentiated for phonetic reasons. None of these four pronominal schemes is identical with either the objective or any of the subjective series found in the verb, though the pronominal forms used with prepositives are very nearly coincident with the subjective forms found in the future of Class II intransitives:
$h a-w i l i d e \tilde{e}$ in my house, like $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} a n t^{e} e^{e}$ I shall stand $h a-w i \bar{l}^{\prime \prime} d a$ in his house, like $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} \cdot a n t \bar{a}^{a}$ he will stand

The following table gives the four possessive schemes, together with the suffixes of Class II future intransitives, for comparison: ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ A complete comparative table of all pronominal forms is given in Appendix A.

It will be observed that the main difference between the last two schemes lies in the first person plural; the first scheme is entirely peculiar in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural possessive suffix ( $-d a^{\prime} m$ ) resembles the endings of the subjective future of the same person (-iga'm, -anaga'm) in the falling accent; evidently there is a primary element $-a^{\prime} m$ back of these various endings which has amalgamated with other suffixes. As seen from the table, reflexive suffixes exist only for the third person. The plural reflexive in -gwan has often reciprocal significance:
wu'lxdagwan their own enemies ( $=$ they are enemies)
The suffixes of the first and second person plural may also have reciprocal significance:
wulxda'm eebi'k' we are enemies (lit., our enemies we are) cf. 180.13

## § 91. TERMS OF RELATIONSHIP

ham- (ma-) father, hin- ( $n i$-) mother, $k$ ! as- maternal grandparent, and beyan- daugiter may be taken as types of the nouns that form this group. ${ }^{1}$

| Singılar: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . | viha'm | wihi'n | wik!asi ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | wibeya'n- |
| Second person . . | hami'st' | $h i^{\prime} n \varepsilon t^{\prime}$ | k!asi'st' | $b e y a^{\prime} n t^{\prime}{ }^{\text {²}}$ |
| Third person . . | $m a^{\prime} x a$ | $n i^{\prime} x a$ | $k \cdot a^{\prime} s a$ | beya'n |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . . . | hamida'm | hinda'm | k!asida'm | beyanda'm |
| Second person . | hami'st'ban | hi'nst'ban | $k!a s i^{\prime} \epsilon t^{\prime} b a n$ | beya'ntt'ban |
| Singular reflexive: <br> Third person. | ma'xagua | ni'xagua | $k!a^{\prime}$ sagua | beya'nt'gua |
| Plural reflexive: |  |  |  |  |
| Third person . . . . | ma'xagwan | ni'xaguan | $k!a^{\prime}$ saguan | beya'nt'guan |
| Vocative . . . . . . | hamī | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} h i n d \tilde{e} \\ {\left[s^{-n} \tilde{a}\right]} \end{array}\right\}$ | klasã | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \{\text { hind } \tilde{e} \\ s \cdot n \tilde{a}] \end{array}\right.$ |

The first two of these are peculiar in that they each show a double stem; the first form (ham-, hin-) is used in the first and second persons, the second (ma-, ni-) in the third person. Despite the phonetically symmetrical proportion ham-: ma-=hin- : ni-, the two words are not quite parallel in form throughout, in that hin- does not show the characteristic -i- found in certain of the forms of ham-.

[^55]Of the other words belonging to this group, ouly that for friend shows, or seems to show, a double stem: wik! $\bar{u}^{u} y a^{\prime} m$ MY FRIEND and $k!\bar{u}^{\prime} y a m$ o friend! $31.6,8 ; 32.4,6$ but $k!\bar{u}^{u} y a^{\prime} p x a$ his friend 190.2, 4 and $k!\bar{u} y a b a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\circ}$ (with inorganic rather than characteristic $a$ ) your friend 198.2. Irregular is also wi-k! $\bar{o}^{u} x a^{\prime}$ my son's wife's parents: $k!\bar{o}^{u} x a^{\prime} m-x a$ his son's wife's parents 178.9, in which we have either to reckon with a double stem, or else to consider the $-m$ - of the latter form a noun-characteristic. Other terms of relationship which, like hin-, append all the personal endings without at the same time employing a characteristic are:
$w \bar{a}^{a}$ - younger brother 42.1; 64.4 (also $t^{\prime} a w \bar{a}^{a}$ - younger sister 58.1, 5; 188.10)
$k!e^{e} b$ - husband's parent
wayau-daughter-in-law ([ ?] formed according to verb-type 11 from way-sleep) 56.s, 9
$s \cdot i y \bar{a}^{8} p^{2}$ - woman's sister's husband or husband's brother
hasd-1 man's sister's husband or wife's brother 152.22
$k!\bar{u} y a\left\{\begin{array}{c}m- \\ b-\end{array}\right\}$ friend $180.13 ; 196.19 ; 198.2$
beyan-- daughter 13.2; 70.1, 4; 118.1, 4 belongs, morphologically speaking, to the terms of relationship only because of its first personal singular form; all its other forms (the vocatives really belong to hin-) are built up according to Scheme III.

As far as known, only terms of relationship possess vocative forms, though their absence can not be positively asserted for other types of nouns. The great majority of these vocatives end in - $\widetilde{a}$, which, as in $w \tilde{a}$ o younger brother! may be the lengthened form with rising accent of the final vowel of the stem, or, as in $\bar{k}$ ! $u s \tilde{u}$ o GRandmotifer! $16.3,5,6 ; 17.2 ; 154.18$ added to the stem, generally with loss of the characteristic - $i$-, wherever found. wayau- and $s \cdot i y \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime}-$, both of which lack a characteristic element, employ as vocative the stem with rising accent on the $a$ - vowel: wayan o daUgiter-IN-LAw! and siy $\tilde{a}^{s} p^{2}$ o brother-in-law! (said by woman). This method of forming the vocative is in form practically equivalent to the addition of $-\tilde{a}$. $s \cdot n \tilde{a}^{2}$ mamma! and haik! a o wife! husband! are vocatives without corresponding noun-stems provided with pronominal suffixes. beyanDaUghter and kiaba- son, on the other hand, have no rocative

[^56]derived from the same stem, but employ the vocative form of mother and father respectively. Of other vocatives, $k!u^{\prime} y$ gam $^{1}$ o friend! $31.6,8 ; 32.4,6$ is the bare stem; hami 70.5; 71.7, the stem with added characteristic - $i$-; hindē o mother! daughter! 56.7; 76.10, $13 ; 186.14$ is quite peculiar in that it makes use of the first personal singular ending (-dè) peculiar to nouns with possessive suffix and preceding pre-positive. Only two other instances of a nominal use of -dẽ without pre-positive or local adverb have been found: mo't'ee my SON-IN-Law! (as vocative) 164.19; and $k{ }^{*} w i^{\prime}$ naxdee my folks, relations, which otherwise follows Scheme II (e. g., third person $\left.k{ }^{\prime} w i^{\prime} n a x d \bar{a}^{a}\right)$.

The normal pronominal suffix of the third person is $-x a ;-a$ is found in only four cases, $k!a$ 'sa his maternal grandparent, ha'sa mis maternal uncle, $t^{\prime} a^{\prime} d a$ his paternal aunt, and $h a^{\prime} s d a$ ihis brother-in-Law. The first two of these can be readily explained as assimilated from *k!a'sxa and *ha'sxa (see § 20, 3): *tadxa and *hasdxa, however, should have become $*^{\prime} a^{\prime} s a$ and $* h a^{\prime} s a$ respectively. The analogy of the first two, which were felt to be equivalent to stem $+-a$, on the one side, and that of the related forms in $-d-$ (e.g., $t^{\prime} a d \tilde{a}$ and hasd $\tilde{a}$ ) on the other, made it possible for $t^{\prime} a^{\prime} d a$ and $h a^{\prime} s d a$ to replace ${ }^{*} t^{\prime} a^{\prime} s a$ and $* h a^{\prime} s a$, the more so that a necessary distinction in form was thus preserved between ha'sa his maternal uncle and ha'sda (instead of *ha'sa) his brother-in-Law.

The difference in signification between the third personal forms in -xa and -xagwa (similarly for the other pronominal schemes) will be readily understood from what has already been said, and need not be enlarged upon:
$m a^{\prime} x a w \bar{a}^{a}-7 i m i{ }^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime}$ he spoke to his (some one else's) father ma'xagwa w $\bar{a}^{a}$-him ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he spoke to his own father
There is small doubt that this -gwa is identical with the indirect reflexive -gwa of transitive verbs with incorporated object. Forms in -gwan seem to refer to the plurality of either possessor or object possessed:
k'aba'xagwan their own son or his (her) own sons
exxdagwan their own canoe or his own canoes
The final $-n$ of these forms is the indefinite plural -an discussed below (§ 99). Plural (?) -gwan is found also in verb forms (144.12; 150.24).

[^57]
## § 92. SCHEMES II AND III

As examples may be taken dagax- head, which follows Scheme II, and wili- house, dana- поск, t!ibagwan- liver, and xáa $h a m-$ васк, which follow Scheme III.


A third person plural -dan also occurs, as in dümhak wdan inis slain ones or their slain one 180.2 .

Scheme II is followed by the large class of nouns that have a prepronominal - $x$-, besides a considerable number of nouns that add the endings directly to the stem. Noun-characteristics may not take the endings of Scheme II unless followed by a $-x$ - (thus $-a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ and -anxde' ${ }^{\prime}$ '; -i't' ${ }^{\prime}$ and -ixde ${ }^{\prime} \kappa^{\prime}$ ). Examples of Scheme II nouns without preceding $-x$ - are:
$a-i s \cdot d e^{\prime} \not{ }^{\prime}$ my property (though $-s^{*}-$ may be secondarily derived
from $-s \cdot x$ - or $-t x-$ - $23.2,3 ; 154.18,19,20 ; 1.58 .4$
$m^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ ek' my son-in-law (1.52.9) (incorporated mot -

he 'elt' ek' my song (164.16; 182.6) (absolute he $e^{\prime e} \mathrm{l}$ 106.7)

wila'ut' ek' my arrow ( 45.13 ; 154.18) (absolute wila'u $22.5 ; 28.1,2$; 77.5)
ga'lt ek' my bow ( $154.19 ; 190.22$ ) (absolute ga' ${ }^{s}$ )
la'psdek' my blanket (absolute la'ps $98.14,15,19,21$ )
ts ! !ixi-maha'it ek' my horse (absolute ts ! ! 'xi-maha'i)
Scheme III is followed by all nouns that have a characteristic immediately preceding the personal suffix or, in nearly all cases, whose stem, or stem + derivative suffix, ends in -a- (e. g., t!ela ${ }^{\circ} k^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\circ}$ my shinny-stick [from t!ela']), -i-, -ei- (e. g., ts !eleitt ki my exe [from $\left.t s^{\circ}!e l e i-\right]$ ), $-n$ (e. g., sent $k^{\prime}$ my skin), $-m$, or $-l^{1}$ (e. g., dīis $d^{\prime} l t^{\prime} k k^{\prime}$

[^58]my forehead [from $d \bar{i} \bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} a l-$ ] ). The third person is, at least superficially, without ending in all nouns of this group whose pre-pronominal form is not monosyllabic. The third personal form is characterized by a falling accent on the final syllable, $-a$ - and $-i$ being lengthened to $-\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ and $-\bar{i}^{\prime} i$ respectively. Other forms are:
$t s \cdot!e l e{ }^{\prime} i$ his eye 27.8; 86.7, 9; (cf. 54.6)
d $\bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ his testicles $130.8 ; 136.5$
$x \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$ his urine
gwit! $i^{\prime} n$ his wrist
There is no doubt, however, that these forms without ending originally had a final $-t$, as indicated by the analogy of third personal forms in -da in Scheme II, and as proved by the preservation of the $-t$ - before the reflexive suffix -gwa and in monosyllabic forms:
$p!\bar{a}^{\prime a} n t{ }^{\prime}$ his liver 120.2, 15
$n \bar{i}^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ her teats $30.14 ; 32.7$
$t!\bar{i}^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ her husband (17.13)
$s \bar{a}^{\prime a} t^{*}$ his discharge of wind 166.8
Though the conditions for the loss of a final $-t$ are not fully understood, purely phonetic processes having been evidently largely intercrossed by analogic leveling, it is evident that the proportion wilīit his house: $n \bar{u}^{\prime} i t^{*}$ Her teats $=s \cdot a s \cdot i n t$ he stands: wit he travels About represents a by no means accidental phonetic and morphologic correspondence between noun and verb (Class II intransitives). The falling pitch is peculiar to the noun as contrasted with the verbform (cf. he ${ }^{\prime \ell} l$ song, but hél sing !). Monosyllabic stems of Scheme III seem to have a rising accent before - tgwa as well as in the first person. Thus:
lãt gwa his own excrement 77.1
$t!i t \neq g w a$ her own husband (despite $\left.t!i^{\prime} i t t^{*}\right) 45.14$; (59.16; 60.2); 128.22

Nouns with characteristic $-i$ - prefer the parallel form in $-i^{\prime}-x-d a g w a$ to that in $-i^{\prime}-t^{\prime}$ gwa. Thus:
$b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i^{\prime} x d a g w a$ his own arm, rather than $b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} g w a$, despite $b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i t t k{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} y y ~ A r m y$
The limitation of each of the two schemes to certain definite phonetically determined groups of nouns (though some probably merely apparent contradictions, such as $g a^{\prime} l_{-1} t^{\prime} e \hbar^{\circ}$ MY BOW and $d \bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} a l-t^{\prime} \xi^{\circ}$

[^59]my forehead, occur), together with the evident if not entirely symmetrical parallelism between the suffixes of both, make it practically certain that they are differentiated, owing to phonetic causes, from a single scheme. The $-a$ - of $-d a$ (-dagwa) and - $d a b a^{\varepsilon}{ }_{n}$ (as contrasted with $-t^{\star}$ and $-t^{-} t^{\prime} b a n$ ) may be inorganic in origin, and intended to support phonetically difficult consonant combinations:
gũxda his wife (from *gũx-t') 13.2; 43.15; 49.6, like $\bar{\imath}$-lasga' touch it (from stem lasg-)
The -e-, however, of -dek* 32.6 and $-d e^{\varepsilon} 31.1$; 59.3 can not be thus explained. It is not improbable that part of the endings of Scheme III are due to a loss of an originally present vowel, so that the primary scheme of pronominal suffixes may have been something like:

Singular: First person, $-d-e k^{*}$; second person, $-d-e^{\varepsilon}$; third person, $-t^{*}$. Reflexive: Third person, $-t^{*}-g w a$. Plural: First person, $-d-a^{\prime} m$; second person, $-t^{-}-b a^{\varepsilon} n$.

It can hardly be entirely accidental that all the suffixes are characterized by a dental stop; perhaps an amalgamation has taken place between the original pronominal elements and an old, formerly significant nominal element $-d$-.

## §93. POSSESSIVES WITH PRE-POSITIVES

As examples of possessive affixes attached to nouns with prepositives and to local elements may be taken $d a k^{\circ}$ - over, wa-1 to, haw-an- Under, and ha- $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \bar{u} \overline{-}$ In hand.

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . | dak'dè over me | wadẽ to me | hawandẽ under me | hasiùdẽ in my hand |
| Second person . . | $d \bar{a} \mathcal{L}^{\prime} d d^{\varepsilon}$ | wada's | hawanda's | $h a^{\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}} \mathbf{u} d a^{\text {a }}$ |
| Third person . . | $d a^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} d \bar{a} a d a$ | $w a^{\prime} a d a$ | hawa'nda | $h a^{s \varepsilon^{\prime}} \bar{u} d a$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . . . . | dak' ${ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} m$ | wada'm | haxanda'm | hasīūda'm |
| Second person . . | $d a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a b a^{*} n$ | $w \bar{a}^{\prime} a s t^{\prime} b a n$ | hawa'nst'ban | $h a^{5 z^{\prime}} \bar{u} t^{\prime} t^{\circ} \mathrm{ban}$ |
| Singular reflexive: <br> Third person | da'k゙dagwa | $v a^{\prime} t^{\prime} g w a$ | hawa'nt'gua | hasis'ut'gua |
| Plural reflexive: <br> Third person | de'k'dagwan | wa't'guan | hawa'nt'gwan | hasē'ūt'guan |

The apparently double ending - $d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ of the third person of $d a k^{\circ}$ is not entirely isolated (cf. $h a-y e^{c} w a^{\prime} x-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ In their thine of returning ; $h e^{\prime e \varepsilon}-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ beyond hinr), but can not be explained. The use of

[^60]-daywa and -dabas $n$ on the one hand, and of -ttqwa and - $\varepsilon t^{t} b a n$ on the other, is determined by the same phonetic conditions as differentiate Schemes II and III. A third personal plural in tian (apparently $=-d$ -$+-h a n$ ) is also found: $d e^{e} e^{\prime}$ an in front of them 190.13 (but $d e^{e} e d a$ before him 59.14); x $\bar{u}^{a}-s^{\circ}$ ogw $\bar{u}^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ an between them (see below, p. 240); wáatáan to them 160.15. A form in -xa seems also to occur with third personal plural signification: wa'xa ts ! in $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} i t s!$ !anx не сот angry at them; dihaũxa after them, behind their backs 132.13.

The number of local elements that directly take on possessive suffixes seems fairly considerable, and includes both such as are bodypart and local prefixes in the verb (e. g., $d a k^{\circ}$-) and such as are used in the verb only as local prefixes (e. g., wa-, dal-); a few seem not to be found as verbal prefixes. Not all adverbially used verbal prefixes, however, can be inflected in the manner of $d a k{ }^{\prime} d e ̃ ~ a n d ~ w a d e ́ ~(e . ~ g ., ~$ no *hade can be formed from ha-). A number of body-part and local stems take on a noun-characteristic:
haw-an- under (from ha-u-)
$x \bar{a}^{a}-h a m$ - $d \bar{e}^{1}$ about my waist (from $x \bar{a}^{a}$-)
The local elements that have been found capable of being followed by pronominal affixes are:
dak`dẽ over me (56.9; 110.18); 186.4, 5
wade to me (56.15; 60.1; 63.14; 8S.13; 150.18; 194.1)
$x \bar{a}^{a} h a m d e ̃ ~ a b o u t ~ m y ~ w a i s t ~$
gwelda' under it 190.17
gwe'nda (in Gwenda yu's $\bar{a}^{a}=$ being at its nape, i. c., east of it)
$d \bar{u}^{\prime}$ ida close in back of him, at his anus 138.2
dindẽ behind me (? = verb-prefix $d \tau^{\varepsilon}$ - anus, behind + noun-characteristic -n-) ( 86.9 ; 138.3; 170.1)
hawandé under me (71.1, 5, 12)
gelde in front of me, for (in behalf of) me
dede in front of me (59.14; 124.20)
hā́syadẽ around me
$h e^{\prime e \varepsilon} d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ beyond him 148.9
$h a^{\prime} n d a$ across, through it
da'lt gwan among themselves 98.2
gwen-ha-udẽ at my nape; gwen-haũt'gwa in back of his own neck 75.2
di-ha-udê after I went away, behind my back (132.10; 186.8; 192.4)

[^61]§ 93
$d \bar{\imath}^{i \varepsilon}-a^{\prime} l d a$ over his eyes, on his forehead (172.3)
$n \bar{o} t s!a d a m$ neighboring us ( $=$ stem nōts!- next door + nouncharacteristic -a-) (98.13)

When used as local pre-positives with nouns, these local stems drop, their characteristic affixes, and thus appear in the same form in which they are found in the verb (e. g., x $\bar{a}^{a}$-gwelde $\bar{e}$ between my legs), except that ha-u- under as pre-positive adds an -a-: hawo- (e. g., hawa-salde under my feet). The various pre-positives found prefixed to nouns with possessive suffixes are:
$h a$ - in
hawa- under
$d a k ゚-$ over
$d \bar{i}{ }^{i}$ - above
$d \bar{a}^{a}$ - alongside
al- to, at
$d e-, d a$ - in front of
$x \bar{a}^{a}$ - between, in middle of
gwen- at nape, east of
$d \tau^{\varepsilon}$ - at rear end, west of
dal- away from
han- across (?)
gel-facing
gwel- under, down from
The noun itself, as has already been seen, appears with its characteristic. tigã earth, however, perhaps for some unknown phonetic reason, does not retain its characteristic $-u$ - before the possessive suffixes (ha-t'gā̃ in the country 33.7, but ha-t'g $\bar{a}^{\alpha} d e$ in my couxtry 194.4) Examples of forms of the type $h a^{s i} \bar{u} d \tilde{e}$ in my hand are:
$h a-d \imath^{\prime} t^{\prime} y w a$ in back of him, in his anus (incorporated $d \bar{\imath}_{-}$-) 94.11
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-yawadēe ${ }^{1}$ aside from me (literally, alongside my ribs)
daki-s.aldẽ on top of my feet 19S.6; (cf. 44.8)
hawa-lüülidẽ under my throat
$d a k^{*}-s \cdot i n \bar{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} d a$ over his nose 144.11
al-guxwida'm wofki we have enough of it (literally, to-our-hearts it-has-arrived) 128.1
ha-wilidẽ in my house (64.2; 88.18; 120.14)
ha-ye ${ }^{e}$ waxdẽ in my returning ( $=$ when I return) (124.15)
dī-delga'nt'gwa behind himself, at his own anus (72.10)
$a l-w \bar{a}^{a} d i^{\prime} t{ }^{t}$ gwan at one another (literally, to each other's bodies; $w \bar{a}^{a} d-i$ - body) ( $96.22 ; 146.2$; 190.19)
ha-sa'lda (thinking) of her (literally, in her footsteps) 142.13
dīi-dandè over my ear
dīi-ts! !eleidẽ over my eyes
ha-dedẽ in my mouth (170.2; 182.17)
gwen-boridande at my nape

gwel- $-w \bar{a}^{a}$ dide down from my body 195.4
Several such forms with apparently simple local signification contain after the pre-positive a noun stem not otherwise found:
$x \bar{a}^{a}-s \cdot o g w i d a^{\prime} m$ between us
ha-swinite è inside of me (73.1; 92.17)
di-bō $\bar{u}^{u}$ widẽ at my side
$d a^{s}$ oldide e close to me (124.9) (cf. adverb $d a^{\varepsilon} O^{\circ} l$ near by 102.6)
Such a non-independent noun is probably also ha-u- in gwen-ha-uand $d i-h a-u-$, both of which were listed above as simple local elements.

Instances also occur, though far less frequently, of pre-positives with two nouns or noun and adjective; the first noun generally stands in a genitive relation to the second (cf., § 88 , the order in juxtaposed nouns), while the second noun is followed by the third personal possessive - da. Such are:
! wen-t $t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{\alpha}-b o^{\prime} k^{\circ} d a n-d a$ at nape of earth's neck (= east) 79.6; 102.4
$d \bar{\imath}-t^{\prime} y \bar{a}^{a}-y u^{\prime} k!u m \bar{a}^{\alpha}-d a$ at rear of earth's tail ( $=$ west) $146.1 ; 198.9$
ha-t'g $\bar{a} \bar{a}^{a}-y a w \bar{a}^{\prime} a-d a$ in earth's rib ( $=$ north) (cf. 194.9)
$d \bar{a}^{a}-x i-t s \cdot!e l k^{\prime} t s!$ lig $\bar{u}^{\prime \prime}-d a$ alongside water's backbone ( $=$ not far from shore)
$x \bar{a}^{a}-x i-t s!!c \kappa^{\prime} t s!!g \imath^{-1} d a$ in middle of water's backbone ( $=$ equally distant from either shore) 112.4
$H a-y \bar{a}^{a} l-b \bar{a}^{\prime} l s-d a^{1}$ in its long (i.e., tall) (bãls) pines (yãl) (= placename) 114.9
Di-p!ol-ts! $i^{\prime} l-d a$ over ( $d \bar{\imath}^{i}$ ) its red (ts!il) bed ( $p!o l$ ditch) ( = Jump-off Joc creek)
Al-dan-k!olo'i-da ${ }^{1}$ to its rock (da'n) basket (k!oloi) ( $=$ name of. mountain)
Rather difficult of explanation is $d e-d e-w i i^{\prime \prime}-d a$ DOOR, AT DOOR OF house $63.11 ; 77.15 ; 176.6$, which is perhaps to be literally rendered in front of (first de-) house (wili) its ( $-d a$ ) mouth (second de-) (i. e., in front of doorway). The difficulty with this explanation is that it necessitates the interpretation of the second now as a genitive in relation to the first.

[^62]
## 5. Local Phrases (§§ 9t-96)

§ 94. GENERAL REMARKS
Local phrases without possessive pronouns (i. e., of the type in the house, across the river) may be constructed in three ways.

A local element with third personal possessive suffix may be used to define the position, the noun itself appearing in its absolute form as an appositive of the incorporated pronominal suftix:
$d a^{\prime} n$ gwelda' rock under-it (i. e., under the rock)
$d a^{\prime} n$ handa through the rock
dan $h \bar{a}^{\prime a s} y \bar{a}^{a} d a$ around the rock
dan $d a^{\varepsilon}$ old $\bar{t}^{\prime \prime} d a$ near the rock
dan ge'lda in front of the rock
dan di'nda behind the rock
There is observable here, as also in the method nearly always employed to express the objective and genitive relations, the strong tendency characteristic of Takelma and other American languages to make the personal pronominal affixes serve a purely formal purpose as substitutes for syntactic and local cases.

The second and perhaps somewhat more common method used to build up a local phrase is to prefix to the noun a pre-positive, the noun itself appearing in the form it assumes before the addition of the normal pronominal suffixes (Schemes II and III). Thus some of the preceding local phrases might have been expressed as:
gwel-dana` under the rock han-dana` through the rock
$h \bar{a}^{\prime a s} y a-d a n a^{\prime}$ around the rock
gel-dana' in front of the rock
$d \bar{\tau}-\bar{d} a n a{ }^{\prime}$ behind the rock
These forms have at first blush the appearance of prepositions followed by a local case of the noun, but we have already seen this explanation to be inadmissible.

A third and very frequent form of local phrase is the absolute noun followed by a postposition. The chief difference between this and the preceding method is the very considerable amount of individual freedom that the postposition possesses as contrasted with the rigidly incorporated pre-positive. The majority of the postpositions consist of a pre-positive preceded by the general demonstrative ga- тHAT. da'n gada ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ OVER THE ROCK is thus really to be analyzed as rock that-over, an appositional type of local
phrase closely akin in spirit to that first mentioned: dan $d a^{\prime} k^{\circ} d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ rock over-it. daki-dana', according to the second method, is also possible.

## § 95. PRE-POSITIVES

The pre-positives employed before nouns without possessive suffixes are identical with those already enumerated (\$94) as occurring with nouns with possessives, except that hawa- under seems to be replaced by gwel-. It is doubtful also if he ${ }^{e \varepsilon}$ - beyond (also hanackoss ?) can occur with nouns followed by possessive affixes. Examples of pre-positives in local phrases are:
han-gela'm across the river
han-waxga'n across the creek
han-p!iya` across the fire 168.19 \(h a^{\prime}\)-waxda' \(n\) in the creek \(h a\)-xiya' in the water \(58.6 ; 60.3 ; 61.11 ; 63.16\) \(h a-b i n i\) in the middle 176.15 (cf. de-bi`n first, last 150.15)
ha-p!ola' in the ditch
$h a-q w \bar{a}^{a} l a ' m$ in the road $62.6 ; 158.19$
$h a-s \cdot u g w a \tilde{n}$ in the basket (cf. 124.18)
$x a^{\prime}-s \cdot \sigma^{u}$ ma' $h$ halfway up the mountain
$x \bar{a}^{\alpha}$-gulma' $n$ among oaks
$x \bar{a}^{a}-x o\left(y \bar{a}^{\prime a}\right)$ (right) among firs (cf. 94.17)
gwel-xi'ya under water 156.19
gwel-tt $g a \bar{u} \tilde{a}$ down to the ground 176.8
$d \bar{a}^{\alpha}-t s!\bar{a}^{a} w a^{\wedge} n$ by the ocean 59.16
$d \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} y \bar{a} \tilde{u}$ alongside the field
gwen-t yā $\tilde{u}$ east of the field $55.4 ; 56.4$
gwen-waxga $n$ east along the creek
Gwen- $p^{\prime} u \tilde{n} k^{\prime}$ place-name ( $=$ east of rotten $\left[p^{\prime} u^{\prime} n\right]$ ) 114.14
de-wili in front of the house ( $=$ out of doors) 70.4
dak'-s. $\tilde{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ on top of the mountain 188.15
dali-wili over the house 59.2; 140.5
dak'-p!iya` over the fire 24.6, 7
$h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-s^{\bullet} \bar{o}^{u} m a^{l} l$ beyond the mountain 124.2; 196.13
al-s $\cdot \bar{o}^{u}$ ma'l at, to the mountain 136.22 ; 152.8; 192.5, 7, 8
$h \bar{a}^{\prime s} y a-p!i y a^{\wedge}$ on both siles of the fire 176.12
$h \bar{a}^{\prime s} y a-s^{\cdot}{ }^{u}{ }^{u} \mathrm{ma}{ }^{\prime}$ 的 on both sides of the mountain 152.2
di-t $g \bar{a} \tilde{u}$ west of the field 55.3
$d \bar{i}$-waxga' $n$ some distance west along the creek
$d \bar{i}-s^{\cdot}{ }^{u}{ }^{u}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} l$ at foot ( $[?]=$ in rear) of the mountain
$D_{i^{11}}$-dala'm place-name ( $=$ over the rock [?])
Gel-yãlk' place-name ( $=$ abreast of pines) 112.13

[^63]A few cases of compound pre-positives occur:
$h a-g w e l-p!i y a{ }^{\prime}$ under the ashes (literally, in-under-the-fire) 118.4
ha-gwel-xiya` at bottom of the water $60.12,14$
ha-gwel-t'ge $e^{\prime} e^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ gam down in dark places 196.7
An example of a pre-positive with a noun ending in pre-pronominal $-x$ is afforded by ha-d $\bar{a}^{a} n x$ molhi't in-ear red 14.4; 15.13; 88.2 (alongside of d $\bar{a}^{a}$ molhi' ${ }^{\prime}$ red-Eared 15.12; 86.6). It is somewhat doubtful, because of a paucity of illustrative material, whether local phrases with final pre-pronominal $-x$ can be freely used.

## § 96. POSTPOSITIONS

Not all pre-positives can be suffixed to the demonstrative ga- to form postpositions; e. g., no *gaha', *gaha`n, *gagwe'l are found in Takelma. Very few other words (adverbs) are found in which what are normally pre-positives occupy the second place: \(m e^{\prime s} a l\) toward this direction 58.9 ; ye' \(k\) 'dal in the brusii 71.3 . Instead of -ha in, -na'u is used, an element that seems restricted to the postposition gana`u in. The ga-postpositions that have been found are:
gada ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ on $48.15 ; 49.1$
gid $\bar{i}{ }^{i}\left(=g a-d \bar{i}{ }^{i}\right)$ on, over 49.12
$g i d \bar{\imath}^{-\varepsilon}\left(=g a-d \tilde{\imath}^{\prime \varepsilon}\right)$ in back
gana' $u$ in $47.2 ; 61.13 ; 64.4 ; 110.9$
gada'l among 94.12
gasal to, for, at, from 43.6; 44.4; 55.6; 58.11
gad $\bar{a}^{a}$ by, along 60.1
gax $\bar{a}^{a}$ between
gede in front (?) 28.8, 9
and possibly :
gasal in adverb gasa'lhi quickly $28.10 ; 29.14 ; 160.1$
Examples of their use are:
wi'li gada' $k$ ' on top of the house $14.9 ; 15.5$
$d a^{\prime} n$ gada' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' on the rock
$t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}$ gidi upon the land 49.12
$p!\bar{\tau}^{i}$ gada ${ }^{\top}$ in between the fire 94.12
$d a^{\prime} n$ gada' l among rocks
$d a^{\prime} n$ gadã alongside the rocks (cf. 60.1)
wïulham-hoidigwia gad $\bar{a}^{a}$ ginis ${ }^{\prime} k^{\text {c }}$, he went right by where there was round-dancing (literally, menstruation-dancing-with by he-went) 106.13
e亢 gana' $u$ in the canoe $96.24 ; 112.3$
dola' gana' $u$ in the old tree 24.1
$w a-i w \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime} a^{\circ} g a^{\Sigma} a^{\prime} l$ to the female 15.14
$g a^{\prime} g a^{8} a^{\prime} l$ for that reason $50.2 ; 124.6 ; 146.20,21 ; 188.6 ; 194.11$
bixal wisin-wis gas al ya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he goes every month (literally, month different-every at he-goes)
$d a^{\prime} n$ gax $\bar{a}^{a}$ between the rocks
diù gedé right at the falls 33.13
Yūk'ya'k'wa gede ${ }^{1}$ right by Yūk'ya'k'wa 188.17
Postpositions may be freely used with nouns provided with a possessive suffix; e. g., ela't $k^{\prime}$ gada $\xi^{\prime}$ on mr tongue; wilī${ }^{\prime} i$ gana' $u$ in his nouse, cf. 194.7. There is no ascertainable difference in signification between such phrases and the corresponding pre-positive forms, dali-elade and ha-wilīida. Sometimes a postposition takes in a group of words, in which case it may be enclitically appended to the first:
$k!i y \imath^{\prime} x$ gan'au ba-igina'xd $\bar{a}^{a}$ smoke in its-going-out ( $=$ [hole] in which smoke is to go out) 176.7
Although local phrases involving a postposition are always pronounced as one phonetic unit, and the postpositions have become, psychologically speaking, so obscured in etymology as to allow of their being preceded by the demonstrative with which they are themselves compounded (cf. $g a g a^{\varepsilon} a^{9} l$ above), they have enough individuality to render them capable of being used quasi-adverbially without a preceding noun:
gada'k: s.u wilitt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ sat on him
gadak' ts! $\bar{a}^{a} k^{*} t s!a^{\prime} k^{*} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I step on top of it (148.17)
gidīi gaixawa thereon eating ( $=$ table)
gid $\bar{z}^{-\varepsilon}-h i$ closer and closer (literally, right in back)
gad $\bar{a}^{a}$ yeweya $\mathscr{K}^{* w}$ he got even with him (literally, alongside he-returned-having-him) 17.5
mãl yaxa aba`i dũl gede' salmon-spear-shaft only in-house, spearpoint thereby 28.7, 9
$g \bar{\imath}^{i}$ gana'u I am inside
ga'nau naga'is wili't' $k^{\prime}$ he went through my house (literally, in he-did my-house [for naga ${ }^{\prime \text { is }}$ see § 69]) cf. 78.5
Other postpositions than those compounded with $g a$ - are:
$d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\dagger} l$ near (cf. da $a^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\circ} l-$ as pre-positive in da $a^{\varepsilon}$ oldide $\begin{gathered}\text { near me): }\end{gathered}$ wili't $k^{\prime \prime}$ daso $10^{\circ} l$ near my house
wa with (also as incorporated instrumental wa-, § 38) 25.5; 47.5

[^64]$h a-b i n i{ }^{\prime}$ in the middle: wili $h a^{\prime}-b i n i^{1}{ }^{1}$ in the middle of the house; ha-bee-bini` noon (literally, in-sun [=day]-middle) 126.21; 186.8
-di's away: eme ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} d i s$ away from here; dedewilitit $d a d i ' s(?$ outside of) the door 176.6
It is peculiar that mountain-names generally have a prefix al- and a suffix -dis:

```
al-dauy \(\bar{a}^{\prime} a k^{\prime} w a-d i s\) (cf. dauy \(\bar{a}^{\prime} a k^{*} w\) supernatural helper) 172.1
al-wila'mxa-dis
al-sawẽnt \(a\)-dis
```

That both al- and -dis are felt not to be integral parts of these mountain-names is shown by such forms as hees-wila'mxa beyond Alwila'mxadis 196.14 and $a l-d a u y \bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{〔}{ }^{\text {w }}$. In all probability they are to be explained as local phrases, at, to (al-) . . . distant (-dis), descriptive of some natural peculiarity or resident supernatural being.

Differing apparently from other postpositions in that it requires the preceding noun to appear in its pre-pronominal form (i. e., with final $-x$ if it is provided with it in Scheme II forms) is wa'k $k^{*} i^{s}$ without, which would thus seem to occupy a position intermediate between the other postpositions and the pre-positives. Examples are:
ts $!$ !elei $w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ without eyes 26.14; 27.6
dagax wa'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$ without head
$y \bar{u} k!a l x w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ without teeth 57.4
nixa $w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ motherless
As shown by the last example, terms of relationship whose third personal possessive suffix is $-x a(-a)$ use the third personal form as the equivalent of the pre-pronominal form of other nouns (cf. also § 108,6 ), a fact that casts a doubt on the strictly personal character of the $-x a$ suffix. No third personal idea is possible, e. g., in maxa $w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ eitt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am fatherless. wak $i^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon}$ is undoubtedly related to wa with; the $-k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ may be identical with the conditional particle (see $\$ 71$ ).

On the border-line between loosely used preposition and independent adverb are nogwa` below, down river from (? =n \(\tilde{o}^{u}\) DOWn river + demonstrative ga that) : nogwa wilĩ below the house 76.7; and hinwa` above, up river from (cf. hina` u UP river) : lit'nwa wili above the house 77.1.

[^65]
## 6. Post-nominal Elements (§§ 9\%-10\%)

## § 97. GENERAL REMARKS

Under the head of post-nominal elements are included a small group of suffixes which, though altogether without the distinct individuality characteristic of local postpositions, are appended to the fully formed noun, pronoun, or adjective, in some cases also adverb, serving in one way or another to limit or extend the range of application of one of these denominating or qualifying terms. The line of demarcation between these post-nominal elements and the more freely movable modal particles discussed below (§114) is not very easy to draw; the most convenient criterion of classification is the inability of what we have termed post-nominal elements to attach themselves to verb-forms.

## §98. EXCLUSIVE - $t^{*} a$

The suffix - $t^{*} a$ is freely appended to nouns and adjectives, less frequently to pronouns, in order to specify which one out of a number is meant; the implication is always that the particular person, object, or quality mentioned is selected out of a number of alternative and mutually exclusive possibilities. When used with adjectives - $t^{\circ} a$ has sometimes the appearance of forming the comparative or superlative; e. g., aga (1) t!os ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ (2) this (1) is smaller (2), but such an interpretation hardly hits the truth of the matter. The sentence just quoted really signifies this is small (not large like that). As a matter of fact, $-t^{\prime} a$ is rather idiomatic in its use, and not susceptible of adequate translation into English, the closest rendering being generally a dwelling of the voice on the corresponding English word. The following examples illustrate its range of usage:
haprit! $\bar{i}^{\prime}{ }^{i} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ a child male (not female) (i. e., boy) 14.1; 156.8
$w a-i w i^{\prime i} t^{\prime} a \operatorname{la} a^{\varepsilon} a l$ yewe ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ the-woman to he-turned (i. c., he now proceeded to look at the woman, after having examined her husband) 15.14
maha'it'a $a^{\prime} n \bar{i}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwī nasnaga'is the-big (brother) not in-any-way he-did (i. e., the older brother did nothing at all, while his younger brother got into trouble) 23.6 ; (58.3)
aga wãxat'a $x e b e^{\prime s} n$ this his-younger-brother did-it (not he himself)
$k!w a ' l t$ a younger one $24.1 ; 58.6$
$\bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ da dũt'a $g \bar{i}^{i}-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} l t s!a k^{*} w e \bar{u} t{ }^{\prime} e^{s}$ he ( $\bar{a} k^{*}$ ) (is) handsome (d $\left.\tilde{u}\right)$ I-but ugly I-am
$u^{\prime} s{ }^{-i}$ nãxdek ${ }^{*}$ al-ts! $i^{\prime} t t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\text {a }}$ give-me my-pipe red-one (implying others of different color)
waga't ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ di which one?
aga t!os or ${ }^{\prime} u \mathrm{t}^{\prime} a \bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a$ yaxa maha'it'a this (is) small, that but large (cf 128.7)
$\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ daga $s \cdot \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon}$ maha'it'a that-one (is) altogether-big (=that one is biggest)

It seems that, wherever possible, $-t^{\prime} a$ keeps its $t^{\prime}$ intact. To prevent its becoming $-d a$ (as in $\bar{a}^{\prime} k k^{\prime} d a$ above) an inorganic $a$ seems to be added in:
$k!u l s a^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ soft 57.9 (cf. $k!u^{\prime} 7 s$ worm ; more probably directly from k!ulsa't' 130.22)

## § 99. PLURAL (-t'an, -han, -k!an)

As a rule, it is not consilered necessary in Takelma to specify the singularity or plurality of an object, the context generally serving to remove the resulting ambiguity. In this respect Takelma resembles many other American languages. The element $-(a) n$, however, is not infrequently employed to form a plural, but this plural is of rather indefinite application when the noun is supplied with a third personal possessive suffix (compare what was said above, $\S 91$, in regard to -gwan). The fact that the plurality implied by the suffix may have reference to either the object possessed or to the possessor or to both (e. g., beya'nhan his daughters or their daughter, their daughters) makes it very probable that we are here dealing, not with the simple idea of plurality, but rather with that of reciprocity. It is probably not accidental that the plural.- $(a) n$ agrees phonetically with the reciprocal element -an-found in the verb. In no case is the plural suffix necessary in order to give a word its full syntactic form; it is always appended to the absolute noun or to the noun with its full complement of characteristic and pronominal affix.

The simple form - (a) $n$ of the suffix appears only in the third personal reflexive possessive -gwa-n (see § 91) and, apparently, the third personal possessive -t'an of pre-positive local phrases (see p. 238). Many absolute nouns ending in a vowel, or in $l, m$, or $n$, also nouns with personal affixes (including pre-positives with possessive suffixes) other than that of the third person, take the form -han of the plural
suffix; the $-h$ - may be a phonetically conditioned rather than morphologically significant clement. Exampics are:

Noun
sinsan decrepit old woman
$t s^{\prime} \cdot i^{\prime} x i$ dog
ya'p!a person 176.1, 12
eì canoe 13.5; 112.3, 5
wik! $\bar{u}^{u} y{ }^{\prime} m$ my friend wits !a亢 my nephew 22.1
$b \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} b i d i t{ }^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ my orphan child nō'ts!adẽ neighboring to me hindẽ O mother! 186.14

> Plural
> sinsanhan
> ts : ixithan
> yap! a'han 32.4
> eîhan
> wik! $\bar{u}^{u} y \hat{u}^{\prime} m$ han
> wits ! !âhan 23.8, 10; 150.4
> bõut ${ }^{u}$ bidit $k$ 'han
> nō'ts!ade than
> hindēhan O mothers! 76.10, 13

A large number of chiefly personal words and all nouns provided with a possessive suffix of the third person take $-t^{\prime}$ an as the plural sulfix; the -t an of local adverbs or nouns with pre-positives has been explained as composed of the third personal suffix $-t^{\circ}$ and the pluralizing element -han: $n \bar{o}^{\prime} t s!\bar{a}^{a} t^{t} a n$ his neighbors. In some cases, as in $w a-w t^{-1} t^{\circ} a n$ gribs $55.16 ; 106.17$, -t an may be explained as composed of the exclusive $-t^{*} a$ discussed above and the plural $-n$. The fact, however, that $-t^{\prime}$ an may itself be appended both to this exclusive $-t^{*} a$ and to the full third personal form of nouns not provided with a pre-positive makes it evident that the $-t^{\prime} a$ - of the plural suffix -t $a n$ is an element distinct from either the exclusive $-t^{\prime} a$ or third personal $-t^{i}$. - $t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} a-n$ is perhaps etymologically as well as phonetically parallel to the unexplained $-\left(d \bar{a}^{a} d a\right.$ of $d a^{\prime} k^{*} d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ over mim (see §93). Examples of $-t^{t}$ an are:

| Noun | Plural |
| :---: | :---: |
| lomt. $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{i}$ old man 112.3, 9; 114.10; 126.19 | lomt $i^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ an |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { mologo't old woman } 168.11 ; \\ & 170.10 \end{aligned}$ | mologo'lt ${ }^{\prime}$ an |
| wa-iwž'i girl 124.5, 10 | $\begin{aligned} & w a-i w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} i \text { t }^{\prime} \text { an } \\ & \quad 106.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\bar{a}^{\prime} i-h i{ }^{\prime}$ just they (cf. 49.11 ; 138.11) | $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ 't'an they |
| ts ! ixi m -mana' $i$ horse | ts'!ixi-maha'it'an |
| $l \bar{o}^{u_{S i} i^{\prime \prime}}{ }^{i}$ his plaything 110.6, 11 | $l \bar{o}^{u} \overline{s i z}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ an |
| $m \bar{o}^{\prime} u t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ his son-in-law |  |
| t!ela' louse (116.3, 6) | t!elätat'an |
| hapxi-t $1 \bar{i}^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{a}$ boy 14.6; 156.8, 10 | hapxi-t! $\bar{i}^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime}$ an 160.14 |
| \{dap!ā'la-u youth 132.13; 190.2 | dap! ${ }^{\prime}$ 'la-ut'an 132.12 |
| lbala ${ }^{\text {a }}$, young | bala'ut'an |
| $w \bar{o}^{u} n \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{2 w}$ old 57.1; 168.2 | $w \bar{o}^{u} n \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{*} w_{\text {l }}$ lan |

[^66] tives gained by marriage of the sister.

The plural form -k!an is appended to nouns in $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ and to the third personal $-x a(-a)$ of terms of relationship. As $-k!^{-1}$ is appended to nouns in $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ also before the characteristic $-i$ - followed by a possessive suffix, it is clear that $-k!a n$ is a compound suffix consisting of an unexplained $-k!-$ and the plural element $-(a) n$. Examples of -k!an are:
$t!\bar{i} i \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a k!a n m e n ~ 128.11 ; 130.1,7,25 ; 132.17$
$k^{\prime} a^{i \varepsilon} l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a k!a n$ women 184.13
mologolā' $p^{\prime} a k!a n$ old women $57.14 ; 128.3,10$ (also mologo'lt'an)
$o^{\prime}$ pxak!an her elder brothers 124.16, 20; 134.8; 138.7
$k^{\prime} a b a^{\prime} x a k!a n$ his, their sons $132.10 ; 156.14$
$m a^{\prime} x a k$ !an their father $130.19,21 ; 132.12$
t'awãxak!an their younger sister 148.5
$k!a^{\prime}$ sak!an their maternal grandmother 154.13; 156.8, 15, 18, 21
§ 100. DUAL -dill
The suffix $-d \bar{d} l(-d \bar{i} l)$ is appended to a noun or pronoun to indicate the duality of its occurrence, or to restrict its naturally indefinite or plural application to two. It is not a true dual in the ordinary sense of the word, but indicates rather that the person or object indicated by the noun to which it is suffixed is accompanied by another person or object of the same kind, or by a person or object mentioned before or after; in the latter case it is equivalent to and connecting two denominating terms. Examples illustrating its use are:
$g \bar{o}^{u} m \mathrm{~d}^{\top} \mathrm{l}$ l we two (restricted from $g \bar{o}^{u} m$ we)
gadil $g \bar{o}^{u} m$ īhẽmxinigam we two, that one and I, will wrestle (literally, that-one-and-another [namely, I] we we-shallwrestle) 30.5
sgi'sidī'l two coyotes (literally, coyote-and-another [coyote])
wãxadīl two brothers (lit., [he] and his younger brother) 26.12
syisi ni'xadīl Coyote and his mother 54.2
The element -dĩl doubtless occurs as an adjective stem meaning all, every, in aldil all 134.4 (often heard also as aldi 47.9; 110.16; 188.1); hadedilt'a everywhere 43.6; 92.29; and hat'gäadiltt $a$ in every land 122.20.
§ 101. -wi's every

This element is freely appended to nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, but has no independent existence of its own. Examples are:
$b e^{e}$ wi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ every day (literally, every sun) $42.1 ; 158.17$
$x \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ every night ( $x \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} n, x \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} n e^{\prime}$ night, at night)
bixal wisinwis $b a-i-w i i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ month comes after month (literally, moon different-each out-goes)
gwel-s $w \tilde{a} k^{*}$ wiwis every morning ( $g w c l-{ }^{\varepsilon} w a \tilde{k} k^{*} w i^{\varepsilon}$ morning 44.1)
$d a-h \overline{0} u x a w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ every evening
ha-bee-biniwi's every noon
$k^{*} a i{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ everything, something ( $k^{*} a-, k^{\prime} a i-$ what, thing) $180.5,6$
$a d a^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime}$ wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ everywhere, to eacl $30.12 ; 74.2 ; 120.13$
As illustrated by $k^{\prime a} a i i^{\prime \varepsilon}$, the primary meaning of $-w i^{\varepsilon}$ is not so much every as that it refers the preceding noun or adverb to a series. It thus conveys the idea of some in:
$d a l^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{wi}^{\prime} \varepsilon$ sometimes, in regard to some 57.12
$x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} n e w i^{\prime}$ sometimes 132.25
With pronouns it means too, as well as others:
$g_{i^{i} \mathrm{wi}^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon}}$ I too
$m \bar{a}^{a}$ wi' $^{\prime s}$ you too 58.5
Like -dĩl, -wis may be explained as a stereotyped adjectival stem that has developed into a quasi-formal element. This seems to be indicated by the derivative wisin every, different 49.1; 160.20; 18S.12.

## § 102. DEICTIC $-\varepsilon a^{\prime}$

It is quite likely that the deictic $-{ }^{-} a$ is etymologically identical with the demonstrative stem $a$ - THIs, though no other case has been found in which this stem follows the main noun or other word it qualifies. It differs from the exclusive $-t^{\prime} a$ in being less distinctly a part of the whole word and in having a considerably stronger contrastive force. Unlike - $t^{\prime} a$, it may be suffixed to adverbs as well as to words of a more strictly denominative character. Examples of its occurrence are extremely numerous, but only a very few of these need be given to illustrate its deictic character:
$m u^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ you ([I am ——] but you ——) 26.3; 56.5; (cf. 49.8, 13)
malia' $\hat{t}^{\varepsilon}$ a' big indeed
ga $a^{\Sigma} a^{`}$ ge wilù ${ }^{\prime}$ that one's house is there (literally, that-one there his-house [ that house yonder belongs to that fellow Coyote, not to Panther, whom we are sceking]) 55.4; cf. 196.19
$b \bar{o}^{u s} a^{`}$ but nowadays (so it was in former days, but now things have changed) 50.1; 194.5
ge'-hi gine ${ }^{-i \varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ yok! !oya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ that-far I-for-my-part know-it (others may know more) 49.13; 154.7
$p^{\prime} \ddot{i}^{\prime} m^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ gayaũ he ate salmon (notling else.

## III. The Pronoun (§§ 103-105)

## § 103. Independent Personal Promomes

The independent personal pronouns of Takelma, differing in this respect from what is found to be true of most American languages, show not the slightest etymological relationship to any of the various pronominal series found incorporated in noun and verb, except in so far as the second person plural is formed from the second person singular by the addition of the element $-p^{\circ}$ that we have found to be characteristic of every second person plural in the language. The forms, which may be used both as subjects and objects, are as follows:

Singular: First person, g 9 г 5.10 ; 122.8; second person, $m a^{\prime}\left(m \bar{a}^{a}\right)$ 26.7; 98.8; third person, $\tilde{a} k^{*} 27.5$; 156.12. Plural: First person, $g \tilde{o}^{u} m 30.5$; 150.16; second person, mãp; third person $\bar{a} i 49.11$; xilamana` 27.10; 56.1

Of the two third personal plural pronouns, $\bar{a} i$ is found most frequently used with post-positive elements; e. g., $\bar{a} y a_{a}^{\prime a}$ JUST THEY ( $\left.=\bar{a} i \bar{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime a}\right) 160.6 ; \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} y a^{\prime}$ THEY $\left(=\bar{a} i^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}\right)$ 49.11. When unaecompanied by one of these, it is generally pluralized: $\bar{a}^{\prime} i t$ an (see $\S 99$ ). The second, xilamana', despite its four syllables, has not in the slightest yielded to analysis. It seems to be but little used in normal speech or narrative.

All the pronouns may be emphasized by the addition of $-w i^{\varepsilon}$ (see $\S 101$ ), the deictic $-^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ (see §102), or the post-positive particles $y \bar{a}^{\prime} a$ and enclitic $-h i$ and $-s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ (see § 114, 1, 2, 4):
mayāa ${ }^{\prime a}$ just you 196.2
$m a^{\prime} h i$ you yourself
$\bar{a} i \hbar i$ ' they themselves 104.13 (cf. 152.20)
$g \bar{\imath}^{i} s^{i} \cdot i^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ in my turn 47.14; 18S.s; (cf. 61.9)
A series of pronouns denoting the isolation of the person is formed by the addition of $-d a^{\varepsilon} x$ or $-d a^{\prime \varepsilon} x i\left(=-d a^{\varepsilon} x+-h i\right)$ to the forms given above:
griid $d a^{\varepsilon} x(i)$ only I
$m \bar{a}^{a} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} x(i)$ you alone
$\tilde{a} \mathbb{R}^{〔} d a^{\varepsilon} x(i)$ all by himself $61.7 ; 90.1 ; 142.20 ; 144.6$
$g \bar{o}^{u} m d a^{\prime \epsilon} x(i)$ we alone
$m \tilde{a} p^{`} d a^{\varepsilon} x(i)$ you people alone
$\bar{a} i d a^{\prime \varepsilon} x(i)$ they alone 138.11

The third personal pronouns are not infrequently used with preceding demonstratives:
$h \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} g a$ (or $\left.\tilde{u}^{\prime} d a g a\right) ~ \tilde{a} k^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon} x$ that one by himself ( $\tilde{a} k^{*}$ used here apparently as a peg for the suffixed element $-d a^{\varepsilon} x$ by one's self) $h \bar{a}^{s} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t^{\prime} a n$ and $\bar{u} d a^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ an those people
$h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - and $\bar{i} d a$-, it should be noted, are demonstrative stems that occur only when compounded with other elements.

The independent possessive pronouns (it is) mine, thine, ins, ours, yours, are expressed by the possessive forms of the substantival stem ais- having, belonging, property: $a$ - $i s \cdot d e{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ it is mine 23.2; 154.18, 19, 20; $a-i s \cdot d e^{\prime \varepsilon}$ yours; $a^{\prime}-i s \cdot d a$ uls $23.2,3$; (156.7) and so on. These forms, though strictly nominal in morphology, have really no greater concreteness of force than the English translations mine, thine, and so on.

## § 10t. Demonstrative Pronoums and Adverbs

Four demonstrative stems, used both attributively and substantively, are found: $a$-, $y a$, $i d a$-, and $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{-}$. Of these only ga that oceurs commonly as an independent word; the rest, as the first elements of composite forms. The demonstratives as actually found are:

Indefinite. ga that 60.5; 61.2; 110.4; 194.4, 5
Near first. $a^{\prime}$ ga this 44.9; 186.4; alı this here 110.2; 188.20
Near second. $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ daga that 116.22 ; idali that there 55.16
Near third. $h \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} g a$ that yonder 186.5; $h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} l \bar{\imath}$ that over there
$a$ - has been found also as correlative to $g a$ - with the forms of $n a(g)$ DO, SAY:
ana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} x$ like this 176.13 (ga-nasne'x that way, thus 114.17; 122.20)
$a n a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\circ}$ it will be as it is now ef. 152.8 ( $g a-n a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{t}$ it will be that way)
perhaps also in:
$a d a^{\prime} t^{\prime} w i^{\varepsilon}$ everywhere $\left(=a d a^{`} t^{\wedge}\right.$ this way, hither [see § 112,1$]+-w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ every) 30.12 ; 74.2 ; 120.13
$\bar{\imath} d a$ - (independently $46.5 ; 47.5$; 192.6) seems to be itself a compound element, its first syllable being perhaps identifiable with $\bar{\imath}$ - hand. $\bar{\imath} d a^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t^{\prime} a n$ and $h \bar{a}^{a s} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t^{\prime} a n$, referred to above, are in effect the substantive plurals of $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a$ and $h \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} g a$. $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ - as demonstrative pronoun is doubtless identical with the local $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ - yonder, beyond, found as a prefix in the verb.

By far the most commonly used of the demonstratives is that of indefinite reference, $g a$. It is used as an anaphoric pronoun to refer to both things and persons of either number, also to summarize a preceding phrase or statement. Not infrequently the translation timat or those is too definite; a word of weaker force, like it, better serves the purpose. The association of $\bar{i}^{\prime} d a g a$ and $h \bar{a}^{\prime a s} g a$ with spacial positions corresponding to the second and third persons respectively does not seem to be at all strong, and it is perhaps more accurate to render them as that right around there and that yonder. Differing fundamentally in this respect from adjectives, demonstrative pronouns regularly precede the noun or other substantive element they modify:
$a^{\prime}$ ga sgi'si this coyote 108.1
$\bar{z}^{\prime}$ daga yap! $a^{\prime}$ that person
ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l d i l l$ all that, all of those 47.12
A demonstrative pronoun may modify a noun that is part of a local phrase:
$\bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} \mathcal{S}^{\cdot} \bar{o}^{u}$ ma' $l$ beyond that mountain 122.22; 124.1
Corresponding to the four demonstrative pronoun-stems are four demonstrative adverb-stems, derived from the former by a change of the vowel -a- to -e-: e-, ge, ìde-, and hee $e^{e \varepsilon}$. Just as $!a$ that was found to be the only demonstrative freely used as an independent pronoun, so ge THERE, alone of the four adverbial stems, occurs outside of compounds. $e-$, $\imath d e-$, and $h e^{e \varepsilon}$-, however, are never compounded with $g e$, as are $a-, \bar{\imath} d a-$, and $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}-$ with its pronominal correspondent $g a$; a fifth adverbial stem of demonstrative force, $m e^{\varepsilon}$ (hituer as verbal prefix), takes its place. The actual demonstrative adverbs thus are:

Indefinite. ge there $64.6 ; 77.9 ; 194.11$
Near first. eme $^{\prime \varepsilon}$ here 112.12, 13; 194.4; me ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ - hither
Near second. $i^{\prime}$ deme $e^{\varepsilon}$ right around there 46.15
Near third. $h e^{\prime \varepsilon \varepsilon} m e^{\varepsilon}$ yonder 31.13
Of these, $m e^{\varepsilon}$-, the correlative of $h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$-, can be used independently when followed by the local $-a l: m e^{\prime \varepsilon} a l$ on this side, hitherwirds 58.9 ; 160.4. he $e^{e \varepsilon_{-}}$away, besides frequently occurring as a verbal prefix, is found as a component of various adverbs:
he ${ }^{e} d a d a^{\prime \varepsilon}, h e^{e} d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ over there, away from here, off $46.8 ; 194.10$
$h e^{\prime \varepsilon} n e^{\prime}$ then, at that time $120.2 ; 146.6 ; 162.3$
$h e^{\prime \varepsilon} d a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ on that side, toward yonder
$m e^{\varepsilon}$ - can be used also with the adverb ge of indefinite reference preceding; the compound, followed by $d i$, is employed in an interrogative sense: geme ${ }^{\prime s} d i$ where? when? $56.10 ; 100.16 ; 190.25$. The idea of direction in the demonstrative adverbs seems less strong than that of position: $h e^{\prime \epsilon \varepsilon} m e^{\varepsilon} b a x a^{\prime s} m$ he comes from over there, as well as $h e^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{m} e^{s} g i n i^{\prime s} k^{\prime}$ he goes overthere. me $e^{\varepsilon}$ - and $h e^{e \varepsilon}$ - (h $\left.\bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}-\right)$, however, often necessarily convey the notions of toward and away from the speaker: me ${ }^{\prime s}$-yewe $e^{i s} h \bar{u}^{\prime a s}$-yewe $e^{i s}$ he came and went back AND Fortif.

Demonstrative adverbs may take the restrictive suffix $-d a^{s} x$ or - daba's $x$ (cf. - $d a^{\S} x$ with personal pronouns, $\S 103$ ):
emes $d a^{\prime s} x$ 114.4, 5
emesdaba's 114.14$\}$ here alone

## § 105. Interrogrative and Indefinite Pronoms

As independent words, the interrogative and indefinite stems occur with adverbs or adverbial particles, being found in their bare form only when incorporated. The same stems are used for both interrogative and indefinite purposes, a distinction being made between persons and things:
nek" who? some one $86.2,23 ; 108.11$
${ }^{*}$ ai what? something 86.5 ; 122.3; 128.8
As independent adverb also perhaps:
k゚ai t!iumũxi perhaps he'll strike me 23.3
As interrogatives, these stems are always followed by the interroga tive enclitic particle $d i, k^{*} a i$ always appearing as $k^{\circ} a$ - when $d i$ immediately follows:

$$
n e^{\prime} k^{\prime}-d i \text { who? } 46.15 ; 86.4 ; 142.9
$$

$$
F^{\prime} a^{\prime}-d i \text { what? } 47.9 ; 60.11 ; 86.8
$$

$k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i \quad$. . di occurs with post-positive $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ :
$\kappa^{\prime} a^{\prime} i$ gasal di' what for? why? 71.15; 86.14; 98.8
As indefinites, they are often followed by the composite particle $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d i=$
$n e k^{\prime}-s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon} w a^{\prime} k^{\circ} d i$ I don't know who, somebody 22.8
$k{ }^{\prime} a i-s \cdot i^{\xi} w a^{\prime} k ; d i$ I don't know what, something 96.10
As negative indefinites, ne $k^{\prime}$ and $k^{*} a i$ are preceded by the negative adverb $a^{\prime} n \tau^{\varepsilon}$ or wede, according to the tense-mode of the verb (see § 72):
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} k^{*}$ nobody 63.4; 90.8, 25
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a^{`} i$ nothing $5 S .14 ; 61.6 ; 128.23$
we'de nek' $\ddot{u}^{\prime} s^{\prime} i k^{*}$ nobody will give it to me (cf. 98.10)
$w e^{\prime} d e k \cdot a i \ddot{u}^{\prime} s \cdot d a m$ do not give me anything
With the post-nominal $-w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ every, $k^{\prime} a i$ forms $k^{\prime a}$ aiwis everything, something. No such form as *nekiwis, however, occurs, its place being taken by aldīl, aldi all, everybody. In general, it may be said that $k$ ai has more of an independent substantival character than neki; it corresponds to the English tiring in its more indefinite sense, e. g., k'a'i gwala many things, everything 96.15; 102.11; 108.8

The adverbial correspondent of $k$ iai is gwi How? WHERE? 46.2; 78.5. In itself gwi is quite indefinite in signification and is as such often used with the forms of $n a(g)-$ DO, ACT $47.11 ; 55.7$ :
gwi'di nagaitt how are you doing? (e. g., where are you going?) 86.17; (138.25)

As interrogative, it is followed by $d i$ :
gwi'di how? where? 44.5; 70.6; 73.9; 190.10
as indefinite, by $-s^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon} w a^{\prime} k^{i} d i$ (cf. 190.4):
$g w i s^{*} i^{\varepsilon} w a^{\prime} k^{*} d i$ in some way, somewhere $54.7 ; 96.8 ; 120.21$ (also gwi'hap somewhere)
as negative indefinite, it is preceded by $a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ or wede:
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} g w \bar{\imath}^{i}$ in no way, nowhere 23.6; 62.11; 192.14
$w e^{\prime} d e$ gwi na't' do not go anywhere!
As indefinite relative is used $g w^{-1} h a$ wheresoever $140.9,13,15,19$.

## IV. The Adjective ( $\S 106-109$ ) <br> § 106. General Remarois

Adjectives can not in Takelma without further ado be classed as nouns or verbs, as they have certain characteristics that mark them off more or less clearly from both; such are their distinctly adjectival suffixes and their peculiar method of forming the plural. In some respects they closely approach the verb, as in the fact that they are frequently preceded by body-part prefixes, also in the amplification of the stem in the plural in ways analogous to what we have found in the verb. They differ, however, from verbal forms in that they can not be predicatively used (except that the simple form of the adjective may be predicatively understood for an implied third person), nor provided with the pronominal suffixes peculiar to the verb;
a first or second personal relation is brought about by the use of appropriate forms of the copula $e i$ - Be. They agree with the noun and pronoun in being frequently followed by the distinctly denominative exclusive suffix $-t^{\prime} a$ (see § 98) and in the fact that, when forming part of a descriptive noun, they may take the personal endings peculiar to the noun:

As adjectives pure and simple, however, they are never found with the possessive suffixes peculiar to the noun; c. g., no such form as *maha'it'ek' alone ever occurs. It thus appears that the adjective occupies a position midway between the noun and the verb, yet with characteristics peculiar to itself. The most marked syntactic fcature of the adjective is that, unlike a qualifying noun, it always follows the modified noun, even when incorporated with it (see § 93). Examples are:
wa-iwíi du girl pretty 55.7; 124.5
yapia daldi' person wild 22.14
sgi'si da-sga'xit Coyote sharp-snouted S6.3, 20; SS.1, 11
p'im xu'm yele' $x$ deb $\overline{u ̈}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ salmon dry burden-basket full ( $=$ burdenbasket full of dry salmon) 75.10
Rarely does it happen that the adjective precedes, in which case it is to be predicatively understood:
gwa'la yap!a' many (were) the people 180.16 (but ya'p!a gwala' people many 194.10)
Even when predicatively used, however; the adjective regularly follows the noun it qualifies. Other denominating words or phrases than adjectives are now and then used to predicate a statement or command:
> $y \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a l x$ (1) $w a^{\prime} k^{*} i^{\varepsilon}$ (2), ga (3) gasal (4) deligia'ltt ${ }^{\circ}$ (5) gwãs (6) [as they were] without (2) teeth (1), for (4) that (3) [reason] they brought them as food (5) intestines (6) 130.22
> masi ${ }^{\prime s}$ (1) al-na $\bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (2) naga-idas (3) [do] you in your turn (1) [dive], since you said (3) "I can get close to him" (2) 61.9

## § 10\%. Adjectical Prefixes

Probably all the body-part prefixes and also a number of the purely local elements are found as prefixes in the adjective. The material at hand is not large enough to enable one to follow out the prefixes of the adjective as satisfactorily as those of the verb; but
there is no reason to believe that there is any tangible difference of usage between the two sets. Examples of prefixes in the adjective are:

1. Ark'-.
dak'-maha'i big on top
dak'-d $\overline{\bar{u}}^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon}$ s big-headed
2. $d \bar{a}^{\prime \prime}=$
dāa-molhi't' red-eared $14.4 ; 15.12 ; 96.13$
d $\bar{a}^{2}-7 o^{\prime} k$ wal with holes in ear $166.13,19$
dāa-maha ${ }^{2}$ big-cheeked
3. $\boldsymbol{s}$ •审-。
s'in- $h o^{\prime} k$ 'wal with holes in nose $166.13,18$
s•in-hü's'gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6
$s \cdot i n-p \cdot i^{\prime} l^{\prime} s$ flat-nosed
4. de-
de-ts $!\ddot{u g} \ddot{u} \nmid '$, de-ts ${ }^{\prime}!\ddot{u} g \bar{u}^{\prime u}$ sharp-pointed $74.13 ; 126.18$
de- $t^{\prime} \dot{u l} \ddot{u}^{\prime s} p^{\prime}$ dull
$d e e^{\varepsilon}$ winit $t$ proceeding, reaching to 50.4
5. dra-
da-sga'xi(t') long-mouthed 15.13; 86.3; 88.1, 11
da-sguli' short 33.17
da-ho'li'wal holed 176.7
da-maha'i big-holed 92.4
da-t!os ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} u$ small-holed

## 6. gueи-.

gwen- $x d i^{\prime} 7^{s} s$ slim-necked
gwen-t ge' $m$ black-necked 196.6
7. $\bar{\imath}-$ -
$\mathrm{i}-t s^{\prime}!o^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ al sharp-clawed $14.4 ; 15.13 ; 86.3$
$\mathrm{i}-g e^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} x$ crooked-handed
i-k!ok!o'k' ugly-handed
8. $x \bar{a}^{a}=$
x $\bar{a}^{a}$-maha $i$ big-waisted, wide
$x \bar{a}^{a}-x d i^{\prime} l^{\Sigma} s$ slim-waisted, notched 71.15 ; 75.6
9. $d \bar{t}^{i}-$.
dīi-k!êlix conceited
10. $d \bar{v}^{\varepsilon}-$.
dī-maha` \(\imath\) big below, big behind \(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40 , pt \(2-12-17\) \(d i^{\varepsilon}\)-k! \(a^{`} l s\) lean in rump
11. gwel-.
ha-gwel-bila'm empty underneath, like table (cf. ha-bila'm empty)
gwel-ho'k' wal holed underneath 43, 9.
12. her-
ha-bila'm empty (literally, having nothing inside, cf. bila'm having nothing 43.6, 8,14 )
13. sal-.
sal-t!a' $i$ narrow
sal-ts'!una'px straight
14. al-. (Referring to colors and appearances)
al-t'ge'm black 13.3; 162. 4
al-ts $!i l$ red
al-t $t^{\prime} u^{\prime i \varepsilon} s^{\prime}$. white $55.2 ; 188.11$
al-sgenhi't' black 92.19
al-gwa'si yellow
al- $t^{\prime}$ gisa' $m t^{\prime}$ green (participle of $t^{\prime} g i s i^{\prime} \varepsilon_{m}$ it gets green)
al-k!iyī̀x-nat blue (literally, smoke-doing or bcing)
al-k!ok! $0^{\prime} k$ ' ugly-faced $47.2 ; 60.5$
al-t!e es $i^{\prime} t^{\circ}$ little-eyed 94.3; (94.6, 14)
al-t'geya'px round
al-t'mila' $p x$ smooth

## 15. hren-.

han-hogwa'l with hole running through 56.9, 10
A few cases have been found of adjectives with preceding nouns in such form as they assume with pre-positive and possessive suffix:
$d a^{\prime} k!o l o i-t s!!i l$ red-cheeked
gwit! $\bar{\imath} \bar{u}-t!a^{\prime} i$ slim-wristed
An example of an adjective preceded by two body-part prefixes has already been given (ha-gwel-bila'm). Here both prefixes are coordi-nate in function (cf. ha-gwel-p!iya', § 95). In:
$x \bar{a}^{a}$-sal-gwa'si between-claws-yellow (myth name of SparrowHawk) 166.2
the two body-part prefixes are equivalent to an incorporated local phrase (cf. § 35, 4)

## § 108. Adjectival Derivative Suffixcs

A considerable number of adjectives are primitive in form, i. e., not capable of being derived from simpler nominal or verbal stems. Such are:
§ 108

```
\(h o^{\prime}\) s au getting older
maha`i big 23.1; 74.15; 146.3
bus \({ }^{\text {. wiped out, destroyed, used up 42.2; } 140.19}\)
dü good, beautiful 55.7; 58.7; 124.4; 146.6
\(t{ }^{\prime} u\) hot \(57.15 ; 186.25\)
piun rotten 140.21
yo't \({ }^{\prime \prime} i\) alive ([?] yo't being + enclitic \(-h i\) ) (12S.16)
```

and many others. A very large number, however, are provided with derivative suffixes, some of which are characteristic of adjectives per se, ${ }^{1}$ while others serve to convert nouns and pre-positive phrases into adjectives. Some adjectival stems seem capable of being used either with or without a suffix (cf. $d a-s g a^{\prime} x i$ and de-ts!!ïgü't above, § 107):
maha' $i$ and maha ${ }^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$ big
al-gwa'si and al-gwa'sit yellow

1. $-(i) t$. Probably the most characteristic of all adjectival suffixes is $-(i) t^{*}$, all $-t^{\prime}$ participles (see $\S 76$ ) properly belonging here. Non-participial examples are:
al-gwa'sit' yellow
al-sgenhi't' black 92.19
al-ttee ${ }^{e}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ 't ${ }^{\prime}$ little-eyed 94.3
(?) ha'nt' half ([ ?] cf. han- through) $146.22 ; 154.9 ; 192.7$
t!outt' one-horned 46.7; 47.7; 49.3.
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-molhi't ${ }^{\prime}$ red-eared 14.4; 15.12; S8.2; 96.13
de-ts'!ügü't' sharp-pointed 126.18
k!ulsa't` soft (food) (cf. k! u'ls worm) 130.22
p!ala'k'wa-goy $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ eĩt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am story-doctor (cf. goyo' shaman)
2. -al. Examples of adjectives with this suffix are:
$\bar{\imath}-t s^{\prime}!o^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ al sharp-clawed 14.4; 86.3 (ef. de-ts'!ügü't' sharp-pointed; for $-p^{2}$-: - $y$ - cf. § $\left.42,1,6\right)$
$t!i^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$ al thin
(?) dēhal five ([ ?] = being in front ${ }^{2}$ ) 150.19, 20; 182. 21
s.in-ho'k' wal with holes in nose 166.13, 18; (56.9; 166.19; 176.7)
s.in-hü's.gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6
$h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ al flat
( $m i^{\prime} x a l$ how mueh, how many (used interrogatively and relatively) 100.8; 182.13
mixa'lha numerous, in great numbers $92.28 ; 94.1$

[^67]3. - lli. A few adjectives have been found with this suffixed element:
hapsdi`little 192.6; h \(\bar{a}^{\prime} p\) di 24.12; 60.15; 61.5 (cf. \(h \bar{a}^{a} p x i` ~ c h i l d ~\) 128.16)
yap! a daldi' wild man (cf. dal- in the brush) 22.14
gama'xdi raw $94.3,6 ; 144.5 ; 182.4$
gweldi' finished (cf. gwel-leg) 34.1; 79.8; 94.18
4. -ts!- (- $\varepsilon_{s} s$. In a smail number of adjectives this element is doubtless to be considered a suffix:
$\bar{i}^{\prime} l \mathrm{lts}!a k^{*}$ bad, ugly 182.1; 186.22; 198.4 (cf. pl. $\bar{l}^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l \mathrm{ls} a \mathcal{K}^{*}{ }^{w}$ )
$s^{\prime}$ in-p $p^{\prime} i^{\prime} \varepsilon^{s}$ s flat-nosed
$x \bar{a}^{a}-x d i^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon}$ s slim-waisted 71.15 ; 75.6 (cf. inferential passive $x \bar{a}-\bar{i}-$ xdi'lxdalk'am they have been notched in several places)
A few adjectives in $-s$, evidently morphologically connected with the scattering nouns in $-s$, also occur:
güms blind 26.14
bãls long 14.5; 33.16; 158.1
$\boldsymbol{s}^{\prime} u \tilde{n} s^{*}$ thick 90.3
5. -( $\boldsymbol{\pi}) x$. This suffix disappears in the plural (see below, $\S 109$ ), so that no room is left for doubt as to its non-radical character. Whether it is to be identified with the non-agentive $-x$ of the verb is somewhat uncertam, but that such is the case is by no means improbable; in some cases, indeed, the adjective in $-x$ is connected with a verb in $-x$. The $-a^{`} p x$ of some of the examples is without doubt composed of the petrified $-b$-found in a number of verbs (see § 42,1 ) and the adjectival (or nonagentive) $-x$.
al-t'geya`px round (cf. al-t'geye'px it rolls) sal-ts! !una`px straight
da-ts:!ãmx sick $90.12,13,21 ; 92.5 ; 150.16$
al-t mila'px smooth
$d a-p o^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{x}$ crooked (cf. $p^{\circ}$ owo ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ it bends)
$\bar{\imath}-g e^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{x}$ crooked-handed
More transparently derivational in character than any of those listed above are the following adjectival suffixes:
6. -gucrat' having. Adjectival forms in -gwa't are derived partly by the addition of the adjectival suffix $-(a) t^{t}$ to third personal reflexive possessive forms in -'t'gwa (-xagwa), or to palatalized passive participial forms in $-\hbar^{\circ} w$, themselves derived from nouns (see §77), partly by the addition of $-g w a{ }^{\top} t{ }^{\prime}$ to nouns in
their pre-pronominal form $(-x)$. The fact that these various -gwa ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{*}$ forms, despite their at least apparent diversity of origin, clearly form a unit as regards signification, suggests an ultimate identity of the noun reflexive -qwa (and therefore verbal indirect reflexive -gwa-) with the passive participial $-k^{*} w$. The -gwa- of forms in -x-gwat is not quite clear, but is perhaps to be identified with the comitative -ywa- of the verb. An adjective like $y \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a l-x$-gwat teeth-having presents a parallelism to a verbal participle like dak"-lim-x-ywat witir (trees) falling over one (from aorist daki-limim-x-gwa-de $e^{\varepsilon}$ am witif it falling over me, see $\S$ 46) that is suggestive of morphologic identity. Examples of -ywa't' adjectives are:
waya'uxagwat' having daughter-in-law 56.10 (cf. waya'uxagwa her own daughter-in-law)
t'gwana't'gwat' slave-having (cf. t'gwana't'ywa his own slave)
$D a-t t^{\prime} \bar{a} n$-ela $\bar{a}^{\prime a} t^{t}$ gwat ${ }^{1}$ Squirrel-Tongued (literally, in-mouth squirrel his-tongue having [name of ('oyote's daughter]) 70.6; 72.4; 75.11
$n i^{\prime} x a g w a t{ }^{\prime}$ mother-having (cf. $n i^{\prime} x a k^{\prime w}$ mothered)
$m e^{\prime} x a g w a t{ }^{\prime}$ father-having (cf. me'xak'w fathered)

$g \bar{u}^{u} x x^{\prime g w a}{ }^{\prime} t$ ' wife-having 128.4 (cf. $g \bar{u}^{u}$ - $x$-de ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my wife 142.9)
dagaxgwa't’ head-having (cf. da'g-ax-dek' my head 90.13)
$t s^{*}!u^{\prime}$ uggwat having Indian money (cf. ts'!u'lx Indian money 14.13)

A form with -!wat and the copula $e i$ - (for persons other than the third) takes the place in Takelma of the verb mave:
ts $!u^{\prime}$ lxgwat eit $e^{s}$ I have money (literally money-having or moneyed I-am
$t s^{\prime}!u l x-g w a ' t$ he has money
Aside from the fact that it has greater individuality as a distinct phonetic unit, the post-positive $w a^{\prime} k^{\prime}{ }^{i \varepsilon}$ witirout is the morphologic correlative of -gwat having:
dagax wa'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$ eit ${ }^{t}$ head without you-are
da'gaxgwat' eit ${ }^{\prime}$ head-having you-are
Similarly:
nixa wa'kris eatt e $e^{\varepsilon}$ mother without I-am
$n i^{\prime} x a g w a t{ }^{\prime}$ eit ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ mother-haring I-am

[^68]7. -imil:i . A few adjectives have been found ending in this suffix formed from temporal adverbs:
hop! $t^{\varepsilon} n$ imik! (men) of long ago 168.1 (hop $t^{\varepsilon} n$ long ago 58.4, 7, 11)
$b \bar{o}^{u s} \overline{1}^{\prime}$ mik! (people) of nowadays ( $b \tilde{o}^{u}$ now 188.8 ; 194.5)
8. -(i) $1:: i$. This suffix, evidently closely related to the preceding one, forms adjectives (with the signification of belonging to, always being) from local phrases. Examples are:
ha-wilt'yik! belonging to good folks, not "common" (from ha-wili in the house)
$x \bar{a}^{a}-b e \bar{e} m \mathrm{ik}!^{\varepsilon}$ being between sticks
ha-bami'sik! $i^{s}$ dwelling in air
$x \bar{a}^{a}-d a^{\prime} n \mathrm{ik}$ !i belonging between rocks (e. g., crawfish)
$d a k^{*}-p!i^{\prime} y a k!i^{\varepsilon}$ staying always over the fire
$h a-p!i^{\prime} y a k!i^{\varepsilon}$ belonging to fire
9. - - $^{\varepsilon} x i$. A few adjectival forms in $-^{\varepsilon} x i$, formed from local phrases, seem to have a force entirely coincident with adjectives in-(i)k! $i$ :
$h a-p!i^{\prime} y a^{\varepsilon} \times i$ belonging to fire
$h a-x i^{\prime} y a^{\varepsilon} \times i$ mink (literally, always staying in the water [from $h a-x i y a^{\prime}$ in the water 33.4])
10. $-\varepsilon^{-\imath^{\prime}} x i$. This suffix seems to be used interchangeably with $-(i) k!i$ and $-\varepsilon x i$. Examples are:
$h a-b a m i^{\prime} s a^{\varepsilon 1_{1}^{\prime}} \times i^{\varepsilon}$ belonging to the air, sky
$x \bar{a}^{a}-d a^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon} \overline{1}^{\prime}{ }^{1} \mathrm{xi}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ belonging between rocks
$h a-w i i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}$ xi belonging to the house
ha-xi'ya $a^{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}}{ }^{i} x i$ belonging to the water
$h a-p!i y a^{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}}{ }^{1} \times i$ belonging to fire
The following forms in - ${ }^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-i} x i$, not derived from local phrases, doubtless belong with these:
$g e^{\varepsilon_{1}{ }^{i}} \mathrm{xi}$ belonging there 160.24
goyo ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{xi}$ belonging to shamans (used to mean: capable of wishing ill, supernaturally doing harm, to shamans) 170.11

## § 109. Plural Formations

A few adjectives form their plural or frequentative by reduplication:

Singular
$d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime \ddot{u} \varepsilon}$ full $49.14 ; 116.5$
$\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ lts!ak'w bad 182.1; 198.4
maha`i large 23.1; 74.15
§ 109

\begin{tabular}{|c|}

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\varepsilon} b a^{`} x$ (dissimilated from |
| :--- |
| $\left.-b \ddot{u}^{\varepsilon} b a^{\varepsilon} x\right) 122.17$ |
| $\bar{\imath} \tau^{5} a^{\prime} l s a k^{\prime} w$ (dissimilated from $\imath^{\varepsilon}$ alts!-) |
| mahmĩ 32.15; 49.10; 130.4 |} <br>

\hline <br>
\hline <br>
\hline <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

mahmĩ 32.15; 49.10; 130.4

Of these, the first two are cleariy verbal in type. The probably nonagentive $-x$ of $d e-b \ddot{u}^{s} b a^{\top} x$ (also singular $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime \mu i z} x$ from ${ }^{*} d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime \mu} k!-x$ [cf. $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime} \ddot{ } k!$ in 1 shall fill itj) and the apparently passive participial $-a k^{* w}$ of $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} l t s!a k^{*}$ strongly suggest that the first two of these adjectives are really adjectivally specialized verb-forms. malmi is altogether irregular in type of reduplication. t!os $\cdot \bar{o}^{\prime u}$ little $56.15 ; 74.16$ forms its plural by the repetition of the second consonant after the repeated vowel of the singular: dak!oloi-t!os' $\bar{u}$ 's gwat he has small снеекs. In regard to $t^{\prime} u t^{\circ} 170.18$, the plural of $t^{\prime} \bar{u}$ нот 57.15 , it is not certain whether the $-t^{\circ}$ is the repeated initial consonant, or the $-t^{\prime}$ characteristic of other adjective plurals.

Most adjectives form their plural by repeating after the medial consonant the vowel of the stem, where possible, and adding to the amplified stem the element - $i t^{\circ}$ (probably from -hit', as shown by its treatment with preceding fortis), or, after vowels, $-t^{\circ} i t^{\circ}$; a final non-radical - (a) $x$ disappears in the plural. ho's au getting bigger (with inorganic $-a$-) forms its plural by the repetition of the stemvowel alone, hos $\tilde{o}^{u} 156.11 ; 158.11$; similar is $d u^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{\prime} 58.10$ which seems to be the plural of du pretty 5s.s. yo' $t^{\prime} i\left([?]\right.$ yot $t^{-}-h i$ ) alive forms the plural yot $i^{\prime} h i$ ([?] yot ${ }^{\prime} i-h i$ ) 12s.16. Examples of the peculiarly adjectival plural in $-\left(t^{\prime}\right) i t^{*}$ are:

$\bar{\imath}-g e^{\prime} w a^{s} x$ crooked-handed ( $=-a k!-x$; cf. aorist gewe-k!aw- carry [salmon] bowfashion)
de-ts $!\ddot{u g} \ddot{̈} \nmid \nmid$ sharp-pointed 126.18
de-t $t^{\prime} \ddot{l} u^{\prime s} p^{\prime}$ dull
al-ts $!i ’ \mathrm{red}$
al-t ${ }^{\prime} g u^{\prime i}{ }^{2}$. white $55.2 ; 188.11$
al-t'ge'm black 13.3; 162.4
bãls long 14.5; 15.12, 15

Plural
al-t'geye' $p$ 'it'
al-t' mili' ${ }^{\prime}$ 'it ${ }^{\prime}$
sal-ts'!u'nup 'it'
sal-t! 'ayat'it'
 armed
$\bar{\imath}-g e^{\prime} w e^{\epsilon \varepsilon} k^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$
de-ts! !ügūhit
de-t'ullü's ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime i t}{ }^{\prime}$
$d a^{\prime} k!$ !oloi-ts'! $i^{\prime} 7 \mathrm{lit}$ it ${ }^{\prime}$ he has red cheeks
$d a^{\prime} k$ !oloi-t'guyu ${ }^{\varepsilon} s^{s}$ it' he has white cheeks
$d a^{\prime} k$ !oloi-t'ge'met'it' he has black cheeks
$\operatorname{s}$ inīxd $\bar{a} a^{\prime} t^{\prime} a n ~ b \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} s i t^{\prime}$ their noses are long
§ 109

That these plurals are really frequentative or distributive in force is illustrated by such forms as $d a^{\prime} k!o l o i-t s^{\prime}!i^{\prime} l i t^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ red-cheeked, which has reference not necessarily to a plurality of persons affected, but to the frequency of occurrence of the quality predicated, i. c., to the redness of both cheeks.

## V. Numerals (§ $\$ 110,111$ )

## § 110. Carelimals

## Cardinals

Adverbs

1. $m \bar{u}^{\prime i \varepsilon} s q a^{\varepsilon} 13.2 ; 192 . s ; m \bar{\imath}^{\prime \varepsilon} s \quad m \ddot{u}^{u ̈ s} x d a^{\prime} n$ once $182.20 ; 18 S .13$ 188.9
2. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}f \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m 22.7 ; 110.11 \\ g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i m i^{11} 55.7,12 ; 116.1\end{array}\right\} \quad g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m \hat{u} n$ twice
3. xi'bini 150.8
4. gamga'm 148.5; 184.17
$x i^{\prime} n t{ }^{\prime}$
5. dẽhal 150.19, 20; 182.21
gamga'man
dihaldan
6. $\hbar a^{\varepsilon}{ }^{i} m e^{-s} s 150.12$
hásmi'ts!ada'n
7. $h a^{\varepsilon} i g a^{\prime \varepsilon} m$
8. $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} x i^{i} n$
9. a $^{\varepsilon \pi}$ т $g o^{\prime} 150.14$
10. $i^{\prime} x d \bar{\imath} l 13.1 ; 150.5 ; 152.22$ ixd̄̄lda'n
11. $i^{\prime} x d \bar{\imath} l m^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} g g a^{\varepsilon}$ gada ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{*}$ ten one on-top-of
12. $i^{\prime} x d \bar{l} l$ ga's $\bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ gada ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$
13. yap!ami's 182.23
14. $x i^{\prime} n i x d \bar{\imath} l$
15. gamga'mûn ixdīl
16. dēhaldan ixdı゙l
17. hasimi'ts!adan ixdīl
18. $h a^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ madan ixdīl

S0. $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} x i^{\prime} n d a n ~ i x d \bar{\imath} l$

100. t!eimi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ s 23.2, 4, 9, 12, 13
200. g $\bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m \hat{u} n t$ teimi's $s$
300. xin t!eimis ${ }_{s}$
400. gamga'mûn t!eimíss

1,000. i'xdūldan t!eimi'ss
2,000. yap!ami'ts!adan t!eimi ${ }^{\prime 2} s$
$m \bar{\imath}^{\prime i \varepsilon} s g a^{\varepsilon}$ is the usual uncompounded form of one. In compounts the simpler form $m \bar{\imath}^{\prime \varepsilon} S$ (stem $m \bar{\imath} t s!-$ ) occurs as the second element:
$h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{m} n i^{\prime s} s$ six $(=$ one[finger] in the hand)
yap!ami's twenty ( $=$ one man)
$t$ teimi ${ }^{\prime s} s$ one hundred (probably $=$ one male $\left.\left[t t \tilde{i}^{i}-\right]\right)$
$m e^{e} l t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}-m i^{\prime s} s$ crows earth-one ( $=$ land packed full of crows) 144.9, 11, 12, 13
$d e^{e} m \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ in-front-one ( $=$ marching in single file)
almi ${ }^{\prime s}$ s all together $92.23,24 ; 190.17$
Of the two forms for two, $g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i$ ' seems to be the more frequently used, though no difference of signification or usage can be traced. $g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i \backslash$ two and $x i^{\prime} b i n i{ }^{\prime}$ three are evident compounds of the simpler $g \bar{a}^{\prime s} m$ and $x i^{i} n$ (seen in $h a^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} x i^{\top} n$ eight) and an element -bini that is perhaps identical with -hini of $h a^{\prime}-b i n i$ in the midde. gamga' $m$ Four is evidently reduplicated from $\int \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ Two, the falling accent of the second syllable being probably due to the former presence of the catch of the simplex. An attempt has been made ${ }^{1}$ to explain dēhal five as an adjectival form in -al derived from $d e^{e}$ in front. The numerals sin, seven, eight, and nine are best considered as morphologically verbs provided with the compound prefix $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}-$ in the hand (see $\S 35,4$ ), and thus strictly signifying one (finger) is in the hand; two, three, four (fingers) are in the Hand. No explanation can be given of $-g o^{\wedge}$ in hasigo nine, except that it may be an older stem for four, later replaced, for one reason or another, by the composite gamga'm two + Two. $i^{\prime} x d i l$ TEN is best explained as compounded of $\bar{\tau}-x-$ hand (but why not $\bar{\imath} \bar{u} x-$ as in $\bar{i} \bar{x} x-d e^{\prime} k^{*}$ MY hand?) and the dual - $\left.d \bar{i}\right\rceil$, and as being thus equivalent to two hands.

It thus seems probable that there are only three simple numeral stems in Takelma, $m \bar{z}^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ one, $g \bar{a}^{\prime \prime} m$ two, and $x i n$ Three. All the rest are either evident derivations from these, or else (dẽhal probably and $i^{\prime} x d \bar{\imath} l$ certainly) descriptive of certain finger-positions. While the origin of the Takelma system may be tertiary or quinary (if $-g o^{\prime}$ is the original stem for four and dehal is a primary element), the decimal feeling that runs through it is evidenced both by the break at ten and by the arrangement of the numerals beyond ten.

The teens are expressed by ten oneabove (i. e., ten over one), ten two above; and so on. gáa $a \nmid$ thereto may be used instead of gada $k^{*}$ over. Twenty is one man, i. e., both mands and feet. One hundred can be plausibly explained as equivalent to one male person. ${ }^{2}$ The other tens, i. e., thirty to ninety inclusive, are expressed by

[^69]multiplication, the appropriate numeral adverb preceding the word for ten. $x i^{\prime} n$ ixdil Thirty, however, uses the original cardinal xin, instead of the numeral adverb xintt. The hundreds (including two hundred and one thousand) are similarly expressed as multiplications of one hundred (t!eimi'ss), the numeral adverbs (xin instead of $x i n t^{\prime}$ in three hundred) preceding $t!e i m i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ s. Numerals above one thousand ( $=10 \times 100$ ) can hardly have been in much use among the Takelma, but can be expressed, if desired, by prefixing the numeral adverbs derived from the tens to t!eimi'ss; e. g., dêhaldan ixdīldan t!eimi' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ s $5 \times 10 \times 100=5,000$.

As far as the syntactic treatment of cardinal numerals is concerned, it should be noted that the plural of the noun modified is never employed with any of them:
wa-iwīi gäp!ini girl two (i. e., two girls) 55.2, 5, 7, 12 (wa-iwí ${ }^{\prime i_{-}}$ t'an girls 56.11)
mologolāa' $p^{\prime} a g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i$ old-woman two 26.14 (mologolāa' $p^{\prime} a k!a n$ old women 138.10)
$\hbar \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} d a g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i$ his child two 154.17 ( $h \bar{a}^{\prime} p x d a$ his children)
Like adjectives, attributive numerals regularly follow the noun.

## § 111. Numeral Aderers

The numeral adverbs denoting so and so many times are derived from the corresponding cardinals by suffixing -an (often weakened to $-\hat{u} n$ ) to $g \bar{a}^{\prime s} m$ Two and its derivative gamga'm FOUR; - $t^{\prime}$, to $x$ in three; -da`n, to other numerals (-ada`n, to those ending in $-\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} m$ and $\left.-t s!-=-{ }^{\varepsilon} s\right)$. $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ SEven and $h a^{\varepsilon} i x i^{\prime} n$ eight, it will be observed, do not follow $g \bar{a}^{\prime s} m$ and $\operatorname{xin}$ in the formation of their numeral adverbs, but add -(a)da'n.

It is not impossible that $m \ddot{u}^{i z \varepsilon} x$ - in $m \ddot{u}^{u ̈ s} x d a^{\prime} n$ once is genetically related and perhaps dialectically equiralent to $m \bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} s-$, but no known grammatic or phonetic process of Takelma enables one to connect them. $h a^{s} \mathrm{q} g \bar{o}^{u}$ gada' $n$ nine times seems to insert a -ga- between the cardinal and the adverbial suffix -dan. The most plausible explanation of the form is its interpretation as nine ( $h a^{\varepsilon} \imath g o^{\prime}$ ) that ( $g a$ ) number-of-times ( $-d a^{\prime} n$ ), the demonstrative serving as a peg to hang the suffix on.

From the numeral adverbs are derived, by prefixing ha- in, a further series with the signification of in so and so many places:
$h a-g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m u n$ in two places
ha-gamgama'n 176.2, 3 in four places
ha-ha $a^{\varepsilon}$ $g \partial^{u} g a d a{ }^{\prime} n$ in nine places

Cardinals with prefixed ha- are also found, apparently with an approximative force, e. g., ha-dēhal about five 194.2.

No series of ordinal numerals could be obtained, and the probability is strong that such a series does not exist. debin occurs as first (e. g., wili debi' $n$ - $h i$ first house), but may also mean last 49.2; 150.15, a contradiction that, in view of the probable etymology of the word, is only apparent. debi'n is evidently related to ha-bini in the middle, and therefore signifies something like in front of the middle; i. e., at either end of a series, a meaning that comports very well with the renderings of both first and last. It is thus evident that no true ordinal exists for even the first numeral.

## VI. Adverbs and Particies (§§ 112-114)

A very large number of adverbs and particles (some of them simple stems, others transparent derivatives, while a great many others still are quite impervious to analysis) are found in Takelma, and, particularly the particles, seem to be of considerable importance in an idiomatically constructed sentence. A few specifically adverbial suffixes are discernible, but a large number of unanalyzable though clearly non-primitive adverbs remain; it is probable that many of these are crystallized noun or verb forms now used in a specialized adverbial sense.

## § 112. Adverbial Suffixes

Perhaps the most transparent of all is:

1. -da't'. This element is freely added to personal and demonstrative pronouns, adverbs or verbal prefixes, and local phrases, to impart the idea of direction from or to, more frequently the former. Examples of its occurrence are:
```
\(g \tilde{\imath}^{i} \mathrm{da}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{t}{ }^{\prime}\) in my direction ( \(g \tilde{\imath} \mathrm{I}\) )
wadẽdat' from my side (wadẽ to me)
\(a d a\) 't' on, to this side 112.17; 144.2
\(\bar{u}^{\prime} d a d a{ }^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime}\) in that direction, from that side (ida- that)
\(h \bar{a}^{\prime a s}\) da't' from yonder ( \(h \bar{a}^{a s}\) - that yonder)
gwi'dat' in which direction? 190.18 (gwi how? where?)
geda't from there 144.8
emes \({ }^{\prime}\) dat from here
\(m e^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{da}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\text {e }}\) hitherwards \(32.10,11\); 55.3 ( \(m e^{\varepsilon}\) - hither)
\(h e^{\prime s}\) dat thitherwards (hes- away)
\(n \bar{o}^{u}\) da't from down river 23.9 ( \(n \tilde{o}^{u}\) down river)
```

handa't' (going) across (han-across) 30.4; 31.16
$h \bar{a}^{a} n d a d a ' t{ }^{\prime}$ from across (the river) (ha'nda across it) $112.17 ; 114.17$
habamda't' from above (ha- in + bam-up)
haxiya'dat' from water on to land (ha-xiya' in the water)
$d a k^{*}-w i i^{\prime} i d a t{ }^{\prime}$ from on top of the house (dak'-wilt over the house) 27.5; 62.5
gwen-t'g $\bar{a}^{a}-b o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a n d a d a^{\prime} t^{+}$from the east (gwen-t'g $\bar{a}^{a}-b o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a n d a$ cast) 144.23; (cf. 146.1)
More special in use of -dat are:
honõxdat' last year (honõx some time ago)
dewe'nxada't' day after to-morrow (dewe'nxa to-morrow)
$d e^{e} d a^{`} t^{\prime}$ first, before others 110.5
2. -xcr. A fairly considerable number of adverbs, chiefly temporal in signification, are found to end in this element. Such are:
$\hbar \bar{o}^{u}{ }^{\text {xa' }}$ ' yesterday 76.9; 98.21
$d a-h \bar{o}^{u_{\mathrm{x}}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ this evening 13.3; 16.15; 63.8; 78.4
dabalni'xa for a long time (cf. bãl-s long and lepini'xa in winter) 54.4; 108.16
$y a^{\prime}$ xa continually, only, indeed (cf. post-positive $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ just) 54.5 ; 63.3; 78.10
dewe'nxa to-morrow $77.14 ; 112.15 ; 130.17 ; 194.1$
dap! $a^{\prime}$ xa toward daylight, dawn 45.4
$d e^{\prime e}$ exa henceforth (cf. $d e$ - in front of) 196.5
sama'xa in summer (cf. sa'ma summer 188.13; verb-stem sam-gbe summer 92.9) 162.16; 176.13, 15
lep'ni'ха in winter $162.20 ; 176.15$
de-bixi'msa ([ ? ] = $t-x a$ ) in spring ([ ? ] cf. bi'xal moon)
$d a-y \bar{o}^{u} g a^{\prime} m x a$ in autumn 186.3
$t s: i^{\prime} \mathrm{s}: a([?]=-t-x a)$ at night 182.20
xamíi ${ }^{i}$ xa by the ocean (cf. xam-into water) 21.1; 55.1
(?) $b \bar{o}^{u}$-nẽxa-da $a^{\varepsilon}$ soon, immediately (cf. $b \tilde{o}^{u}$ now and $n e^{e}$ well! or $n a-^{-1}$ (1)) $90.10 ; 105.2$
(?) da $m a^{\prime}$ xaufar away (for $d a^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{cf}$. d $l^{\varepsilon}-o^{7}$ l near) $14.3 ; 188.21 ; 190.6$
In lep'nix 90.6, a doublet of lep'ni'xa, -xa appears shortened to $-x$; this $-x$ may be found also in honox some time ago (cf. hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ again). Here perhaps belongs also da-yawa'nt!i-xi (adjectival?) in half, on one side (of two) 94.3.

It will be noticed that a number of these adverbs are provided with the prefix $d a$ - (de-before palatal vowels, cf. $\S 36,2$ ), the application of which, however, in their case, can not be explained.
3. -ne'. A number of adverbs, chiefly those of demonstrative signification, assume a temporal meaning on the addition of -ne, a
catch intervening between the suffix and the stem. Etymologically -ne may be identical with the hortatory particle $n e^{e}$ well, let (us) $\qquad$

Adverb
$h e^{e \varepsilon}$ - there yonder
$g e$ there $14.3 ; 15.5,12$ $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - hither
$e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon}$ here $31.3 ; 192.9$
gwi how? 46.2; 78.5
$h e^{\prime s}$ ne ${ }^{\text {temporal }}$ then, at that time 45.6 ; 49.14
$g e^{\varepsilon}$ ne' so long 92.10; 195.9
$m e^{\varepsilon} n^{e}$ at this time 24.14 (cf. also mas nai around this time 178.4) eme ne $\left(y \bar{a}^{\prime a}-h i\right)$ (right) here $([?]=$ now) 190.23
$g w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ne some time (elapsed), how long? 44.2; 48.9; 148.7

To this set probably belong also:
$x \tilde{u}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}, x \bar{u}^{\prime s}$ ne $^{\prime}$ at night, night $45.3 ; 46.12 ; 48.10 ; 160.22$
béen by day 166.2 (cf. bẽ sun, day)
hop! $\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon}$ n long ago 58.4; 86.7, 9; 192.15; 194.4
$x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ ne $w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ sometimes 132.25
$b \bar{o}^{u}$ nē now, yet 130.23 (cf. $b \tilde{o}^{u}$ now)
$\bar{z}^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime}$, which the parallelism of the other forms in -ne' with de, monstrative stems leads one to expect, does not happen to occurbut probably exists. Curiously enough, he sene not infrequently may be translated as like, particularly with preceding $k a i(\$ 105)$ :
$k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i h e^{\varepsilon} n e$ bem something like wood 186.11
$k{ }^{\prime} a i$ gwala $h e^{\prime \varepsilon} n e$ like various things 196.3
A number of other adverbial suffixes probably occur, but the examples are not numerous enough for their certain determination. Among them is -ada`: \(n \bar{o}^{u}\) gwada` some distance down river 54.2 (cf. $n \tilde{o}^{u}$ down river and $n \bar{o}^{u} g w a `$ down river from 75.14)
hinwada` some distance up river \(56.4 ; 100.18\); 102.4 (cf. hina`u up river and hinwa' up river from 77.1)
$h a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} a d a$ across the river 98.5 ; 192.3; (cf. ha'nt' across, in half) Several adverbs are found to end in - $(d a) d a^{\varepsilon}$, perhaps to be identified with the $-d a^{\varepsilon}$ of subordinate verb-forms:
bō ${ }^{u}$-nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ immediately $90.10,12 ; 108.2$
$h e^{e}(d a) d a^{\prime 8}$ away from here $92.5 ; 172.5 ; 194.10 ; 196.11$
gwel-swãk' wie early in tile morning $44.1 ; 63.9 ; 77.14 ; 190.1$ seems to be a specialized verb-form in $-k^{i} i^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{I F}$, whenever. It is possible that there is an adverbial $-t$ suffix:
gwe'nt' in back, behind 94.15
ha'nt' across, in half $146.22 ; 154.9 ; 192.7$

It may be that this $-t^{t}$ has regularly dropped off when final in polysyllables:
$d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} l$ near 100.15 ; but $d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} l t^{\prime} i\left(=d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} 7\left[t^{\prime}\right]+-h i\right) 136.7$

## § 113. Simple Alluerbs

The simple adverbs that are closely associated with demonstrative stems have been already discussed ( $\$ 104$ ). A number of others, partly simple stems and partly unanalyzable derivatives, are listed here, such as have been already listed under adverbial suffixes not being repeated.

1. Local adverbs:
$n \tilde{o}^{u}$ down river 17.9; 63.1; 124.15
$n \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathcal{s}} \cdot$ next door ([?] related to $n \tilde{o}^{u}$ ) 17.4; 188.2
lina'u up river ([?] compounded with $n \tilde{o}^{u}$ ) 22.7; 23.1; 61.13; 192.14
$d a^{\varepsilon}-o^{\prime} l$ near (cf. $-t$, § 112, and see § 93) 100.15; 102.6; 126.2
dihau (yāa $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ ) last of all (see § 93) 120.18
gésiswa far off 4S.8; 192.1
$a b a ' i$ in the house (cf. §37, 14) 28.8; 43.13; 140.5
$h \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} y a^{\prime}$ on both sides, mutually (cf. § 37,5 ) 172.10; 176.6
2. Temporal adverbs:
bõّ now, to-day 49.13; 50.1; 56.11; 61.11
$h a^{\prime} w i$ still, yet (cf. § 37, 9) 78.1; 126.21; 192.8; 198.11
bō̃ne hawi
$\left.h a^{\prime} w i b \tilde{o}^{u} n e^{\prime}\right\}$ soon 128.18
olo'm (ulu'm) formerly, up to now $43.11 ; 63.1 ; 71.15 ; 166.2$
hemd $i^{\prime}$ when? 132.24; $a^{\prime} m \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$ hem never
$m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ now, already (often proclitic to following word) $22.4 ; 63.1$; 190.9
gane then, and then (often used merely to introduce new statement) 47.14 ; 63.1, 2, 16
A noteworthy idiomatic construction of adverbs or phrases of temporal signification is their use as quasi-substantives with forms of $\bar{u} \bar{u}^{a} \bar{i} \bar{i}^{i}$ - become. Compare such English substantivized temporal phrases as afternoon. Examples are:
sama'xa lãp"k' in-summer it-has-become 92.11
$h a y e^{\epsilon} w a^{\prime} x d \bar{a}^{a} d a l \bar{a}^{a} \bar{e} \bar{e}^{\prime}$ in-their-returning it-became ( $=$ it became time for them to return) 124.15
habëbini diha'-uda $\overline{\operatorname{a}} \bar{a}^{a} \bar{i} t{ }^{\prime} a^{s}$ noon after-it when-it-became ( $=$ when it was afternoon) 186.8
3. Negative and affirmative adverbs:
hitt' no 134.19, 21
$h a^{\prime}-u$ yes $24.13 ; 64.1 ; 170.12$
$a^{\prime} n \tau^{\varepsilon}$ not (with aorist) 23.3, 6; 64.3; 78.1
$a^{\prime} n d i$ not? $56.10 ; 90.26$ (e. g., $a^{\prime} n d i k^{\prime} a i$ are there not any?) 56.8 $n \bar{\imath}^{i}$ not? (with following subordinate): $s \cdot n \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$ naga'sbinda didn't I tell you? 136.10
naga-di' do (you) not? 116.12
wede not (with inferential and potential) 25.13; 122.22, 23
4. Modal adverbs:
hono ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (rarely heard as honõ ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ 74.8; this is very likely its original form, cf. ${ }^{-} n$ for $\left.{ }^{-} n e, ~ § ~ 112,3\right) ~ a g a i n, ~ t o o, ~ a l s o ~ 22.4 ; ~ 58.5 ; ~$ 134.1
ganga only 54.4; 94.5; ganga'-hi anyhow 94.8; 142.13; ganga-s $i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ just so, for fun
wana` even $47.10 ; 61.3 ; 71.8 ; 76.4 ; 186.2$
$y a x \bar{a}^{\prime a} w a$ however (cf. yaxa, § 114, 9; for -wa cf. $g \imath^{-i}{ }^{i \varepsilon} w a, ~ § 113,1$ ) 72.11; 74.15
$h a^{\prime} g a$ explanatory particle used with inferential 28.10; 45.11 (e. g., ga haga wa'lá $y u^{\prime} k^{*}$ so that one was really he 170.8)
$n a k!a^{\prime}$ in every way, of all sorts (e. g., $k^{\prime} a d i^{\prime} n a k!a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{i}^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} g \bar{\imath}^{-1} n a n$ what kind was not taken?, i. e., every kind was taken 60.11) yewé perhaps 136.23 ; 180.8; 196.1S
$s^{*} o^{\varepsilon}$, $s^{\cdot} \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon}$ perfectly, well $136.20 ; 166.1$ (e. g., $s^{\cdot} o^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$ deegwa'lt ${ }^{\prime}$ gwī $p^{-}$ take good care of yourself! 128.24)
amadi' $\left(s i^{\varepsilon}\right)$ would that! 142.10 (e. g., amadi's $s i^{\varepsilon}$ t!omoma $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wish I could kill him; amadi loho ${ }^{\prime i s}$ would that he died! 196.2)
wi'sa ${ }^{\text {s }} m$ (cf. wis, § 114,8 ) I wonder if $150.2,3$ (e. g., $m \bar{\imath}^{i} w i^{\prime} s a^{\varepsilon} m$ $y a^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ wonder if he went already)
It is a characteristic trait of Takelma, as of many other American languages, that such purely modal ideas as the optative (wocld that!) and dubitative ( I wonder IF ) are expressed by independent adverbs without modification of the indicative verb-form (cf. further $w i^{\varepsilon} o b i h a^{\prime} n y e^{e} w a^{\prime} t^{\circ}$ wi'sa $m$ MY-ELDER-BROTHERS THEY-WILL-RETURN I-WONDER-IF $150.2,3$ ).

Several of the adverbs listed above can be used relatively with subordinates, in which use they may be looked upon as conjunctive adverbs:
$b \bar{o}^{u}$-gwan ${ }^{1}$ (1) y $\bar{a}^{a} n i a^{\prime}-u d a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) bai-yeweya ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime w}$ (3) as soon as (1) they went (2), she took him out again (3) 128.20
yewe e (1) xebeeyagwanaga'm (2) yewẽ (3) wāada (4) hiwili'us (5) perhaps (1) that we destroy him (2), perhaps (3) he runs (5)
to her (4) (=should we destroy him, perhaps he would run to her)
waya' (1) he $n e^{\prime}$ (2) de-k'iwi'k'auk'wanma (3) ga (4) na $n a \tilde{k} k^{`} i k^{〔}$ (5) just as (2) a knife (1) is brandished (3), that (4) he did with it (5) 172.12 (cf. he $n e^{\prime}$ in its meaning of Like, $\S 112,3$ )

## § 114. Particles

By particles are nere meant certain uninflected elements that have little or no meaning of their own, but that serve either to connect clauses or to color by some modal modification the word to which they are attached. They are never met with at the beginning of a clause or sentence, but occur only postpositively, generally as enclitics. Some of the elements listed above as modal adverls $(\S 113,4)$ might also be considered as syntactic particles (e. g., wana, $h a^{\prime} g a$, nak! a', which never stand at the beginning of a clause); these, however, show no tendency to be drawn into the verb-complex. Whenever particles qualify the clause as a whole, rather than any particular word in the clause, they tend to occupy the second place in the sentence, a tendency that, as we have seen (p. 65), causes them often to be inserted, but not organically incorporated, into the verbcomplex. The most frequently occurring particles are those listed below:

1. $y \bar{a}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ just. This element is not dissimilar in meaning to the post-nominal emphasizing - ${ }^{-} a^{\prime}(\$ 102)$, but differs from it in that it may be embedded in the verb-form:
$\bar{\imath}-y \bar{a}^{\prime a}-$ sge ${ }^{e} t^{\prime}$ sga ${ }^{\prime} t^{\circ}$ he just twisted it to one side 31.5
It only rarely follows a verb-form, however, showing a strong tendency to attach itself to denominating terms. Though serving generally to emphasize the preceding word, it does not seem to involve, like $-^{-} a^{\prime}$, the idea of a contrast:
$x \bar{a}^{a}$-xo $y \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{a}$ right among firs (ef. 94.17)
he ne $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ just then, then indeed $63.13 ; 128.22 ; 188.1,18$
dõ ${ }^{u}$ mxbin $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} \mathrm{I}$ shall just kill you 178.15
It has at times a comparative force:
$g \bar{\imath}^{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime a} n a^{\varepsilon} n a d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will be, act, just like me (cf. 196.2)
2. hi. This constantly occurring enclitic is somewhat difficult to define. With personal pronouns it is used as an emphatic partiçle:
$m a^{\prime}$ hi you yourself (cf. 104.13; 152.20

Similarly with demonstratives:
$g a^{\prime} 7 i$ just that, the same $64.6 ; 96.16 ; 144.3 ; 190.21$
In such cases it is rather difficult to draw the line between it and $y \bar{a}^{\prime a},{ }^{1}$ to which it may be appended:
ga y $\bar{a}^{\prime a} 7 i$ gwelda' just under that 190.17
han-y $\bar{a}^{\prime a}-h i b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} e^{\prime} e x$ just across the river she emerged 58.3
As emphasizing particle it may even be appended to subordinate verb forms and to local phrases:
yãnt ${ }^{e} e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon} h i^{i}$ just as I went (cf. 138.23; 152.5, 7)
diha-udẽ $h i{ }^{\prime}$ right behind me, as soon as I had gone
It may be enclitically attached to other particles, $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}-h i$ 192.1 being a particularly frequent combination:
$g \bar{z}^{i}$ yaxa'-hi I , however, indeed 71.8
Its signification is not always, however, so specific nor its force so strong. All that can be said of it in many cases is that it mildly calls attention to the preceding word without, however, specially emphasizing it ; often its force is practically nil. This lack of definite signification is well illustrated in the following lullaby, in the second line of which it serves merely to preserve the rhythm - $-:$ :
mo'xo wa'inhā buzzard, put him to sleep!
$s \cdot \bar{i}^{\prime} m h i$ wa'inh $\bar{a}$ (?) put him to slecp!
p'e'lda wa'inhā slug, put him to sleep!
The most important syntactic function of $7 i$ is to make a verbal prefix an independent word, and thus take it out of its proper place in the verb:
$d e^{\prime}-h i$ ahead (from de-in front) 33.15; 64.3; 196.1; 198.12
$h a^{\prime} n-h i$ e $i$-s $\tilde{a} k^{* w}$ across he-canoe-paddled
but:
ei-han-sãkew he-canoe-across-paddled 112.9, 18; 114.11
where han-, as an incorporated local prefix, takes its place after the object ei. A number of adverbs always appear with sullixed $h i$; e. g., gasa'lhi quickly 16.10. Like - ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$, from which it differs, however, in its far greater mobility, $h i$ is never found appended to non-subordinate predicative forms. With hi must not be confused:

[^70]3. - $\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{i}^{\varepsilon}$. This particle is found appended most frequently to introductory words in the sentence, such as $m \bar{\imath}^{i}$, gane $\bar{e}$, and other adverbs, and to verb-forms:
$m \bar{i}{ }^{i}-h i^{\varepsilon} t^{*} a g a^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ then he returned 62.2; (cf. 188.15)
gane $\bar{e}-h i^{s} a b a-i-g i n i^{\prime} \varepsilon i^{\prime}$ and then he went into the house 55.16
naga' $-i-h i^{\varepsilon}=n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ he said $+-h i^{s}$ (sce § 22) 22.6; 57.1; 128.15; 192.9

As no definite meaning can be assigned to it, and as it is found only in myth narration, it is highly probable that it is to be interpreted as a quotative:
ga naga'sas $n-h i^{\varepsilon}$ that they said to each other, it is said 27.1, 3; 31.9
$-h i^{\varepsilon}$ is also found attached to a verbal prefix (22.1; 140.8, 22, 23). 4. $-s^{-} i^{\varepsilon}$ and, bUt. This is one of the most frequently occurring particles in Takelma narration, its main function being to bind together two clauses or sentences, particularly when a contrast is involved. It is found appended to nouns or pronouns as deictic or connective suffix:
$\tilde{a} k s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ he in his turn 61.11; (cf. 47.14; 104.8, 13)
hülk' sgi'sidi'l mexss $i^{\varepsilon}$ Panther and Coyote, also Crane
An example of its use as sentence connector is:
ga nagañhan ha-t g $\bar{a}^{a} d \tilde{e}$ hop! $\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n, b \bar{o}^{u}-s^{\prime} i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ eme $e^{\prime \varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \tilde{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}$ ga naga'n that used-to-be-said in-my-country long-ago, now-but here not that is-said 194.4; (cf. 60.9; 118.3; 122.17)
$-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ is particularly frequently suffixed to the demonstratives $g a$ that and aga this, gas $i^{z}$ and agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ serving to connect two sentences, thesecond of which is the temporal or logical resultant or antithesis of the second. Both of the connected or contrasted sentences may be introduced by gas $i^{\varepsilon}$, agas $i^{\varepsilon}$, or by a word with enclitically attached $-s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$. In an antithesis agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ seems to introduce the nearer, while $g a s^{*} i^{\varepsilon}$ is used to refer to the remoter act. Examples showing the usage of gas $i^{s}$ and agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ are:
gas $i^{\prime \varepsilon} d e^{e} l h a-d e-d \bar{u} l t^{\prime} a d \bar{r}-b \bar{u} m \bar{a}^{\prime} a k^{\prime}$ (I smoked them out), andthen (or so-that) yellow-jackets everywhere swarmed 73.10
k'aiwi's t!omoma'ndas gas $i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ gayawa ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ something I-having-killed-it, thereupon you-ate-it 90.8
gas $i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ gux $x d a$ hülüü $n$ wa-iwī ${ }^{\prime i}$ t!omxi'xas $i^{\varepsilon}$ aba $i$ on-one-hand hiswife (was a) sea woman, her-mother-in-law-but (lived) in-thehouse 154.15
agas $\imath^{\varepsilon} y \tilde{o}^{u} k!^{w} a t^{*} k^{*} y \bar{a}^{\prime a} x u^{\prime} m a-s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ de $e^{\varepsilon} \ddot{u} g \ddot{u}^{\prime} s^{\circ} i$ now my-bones just (I was) (i. e., I was reduced to a skeleton), food-and not she-gave-me-to-eat 186.1
agas $\cdot i^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} m \bar{\imath}^{\prime \varepsilon} w a$ al-t!eye'xi naga'is yulum ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ aga's $\cdot \dot{\imath}^{s}$ xamk' wa$i w^{-i} m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ al-t!ayã⿸iwa on-one-hand " Not probably she-has-dis-covered-me," he-said Eagle-for-his-part, but Grizzly-Bear girl now she-had-discovered him 124.9
$g a s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ and agas $\dot{i}^{\varepsilon}$ as syntactic elements are not to be confused with the demonstratives $g a$ and $a g a$ to which a connective $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ happens to be attached. This is shown by:
$g a-s \cdot i^{\prime \varepsilon} g a^{\varepsilon} a l$ that-so for $(=$ so for that reason)
where $g a^{\varepsilon} a l$ is a postposition to $g a$. There is nothing to prevent post-nominal $-s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ from appearing in the same clause:
$a g a^{\prime} s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ mells ${ }^{i} i^{\varepsilon}$ but Crow-in-her-turn 162.14
When suffixed to the otherwise non-occurring demonstratice ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}$ (perhaps contained in $\bar{i} d a-$ that) it has a concessive force, despite, although, even if 60.1:
 although-indeed mountain to everywhere he-went, not hefound deer 43.6
$i^{\prime} s^{\cdot} \dot{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}$ ts!aya'k' $a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ t!omõm gũcdagwa although he-shot-at-her, not he-killed-her his-own-wife 140.17
 resulting forms, with catch dissimilation (see § 22), being ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{l}^{\prime} s^{\circ} \cdot i \hbar i^{\varepsilon}$ and ${ }^{\varepsilon} \imath^{\prime} s \cdot i s^{\circ} i^{s} 47.11 ; 148.12$. When combined with the idea of unfulfilled action, the concessive ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau} s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ is supplemented by the conditional form in $-k^{*} \tau^{\varepsilon}$ of the verb:
${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i$ gwala nãabiyauki $i^{\varepsilon}$, wede ge $l \bar{\imath}^{i} w a^{\prime} t^{*}$ even-though things many they-should-say-to-you (i. e., even though they call you names), not there look! 60.3

Compounded with $-s^{*} i^{*}$ is the indefinite particle:
 cle brings about the corresponding indefinite meaning (see § 105), but it has also a more general syntactic usage, in which capacity it may be translated as PERCHANCE, IT SEEMS, PROBABLY:
$m a^{\prime} s^{s} i^{s} w a k^{\circ} d i$ henenagwa't perhaps (or probably) you ate it all up 26.17

The uncompounded wak'di also occurs:
ulu'm wô'k'dik゙ai nãk'am formerly I-guess something it-was said to him 166.1
ga wa'k'di hogwa's sd $\bar{a}^{a}$ that-one, it-seems, (was) their-runner 49.3
Similar in signification is:
6. $m e^{-i \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{\prime}$ probably, perhaps $45.8 ; 63$ 15. This enclitic has a considerable tendency to apparently be incorporated in the verb:
$\bar{i}-m \bar{u}^{\prime i s} w a-t!\bar{a} u t!!i w i n$ maybe he was caught ( $\overline{-}-t!\bar{a} \tilde{u} t!i w i n ~ h e ~ w a s ~$ caught)
$x a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-m{ }^{-1}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon w a-s g i^{\prime} i b i^{\varepsilon} n \quad m \ddot{u}^{u} s v d a^{\wedge} n h i$ I'll-probably-cut-him-in-two once just 31.13
7. his, hīis nearly, almost, trying 44.7; 56.14. This element implies that the action which was done or attempted failed of success:
$m_{i}{ }^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t!omõk' wa-his mãl then also he-killed-him nearly spearshaft (personified), i. e., spear-shaft almost managed to kill him, as he had killed others 28.11; (cf. 188.20)
A frequent Takelma idiom is the use of $h i{ }^{\text {' }} s$ with a form of the verb of saying na(g)-to imply a thought or intention on the part of the subject of the na(g)-form that fails to be realized:
"ha-xiya' misiwa sgāatiap'de $e^{s "}$ naga'is- $7 i i^{\prime}$ "in-the-water probably I-shall-jump," he thought (but he really fell among alderbushes and was killed) 94.17
Sometimes his seems to have a usitative signification; probably the main point implied is that an act once habitual has ceased to be so:
dak-his-t ek! $e^{\prime}$ exade $e^{\varepsilon}$ I used to smoke (but no longer do)
8. wis, wivis it seems, noubtless. This particle is used to indicate a likely inference. Examples are:
$m \bar{i}$-wis dap ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} l a-u$ moyūgwana'n now-it-seems youth he's-to-bespoiled (seeing that he's to wrestle with a hitherto invincible one) 31.12
$m \bar{\imath}^{i} w \bar{\imath}^{-i}$ s a ak! a t!omoma'n now apparently he-for-his-part he-has-been-killed (seeing that he does not return) $88.9,(6)$
9. yaxa continually, only. The translation given for yaxa is really somewhat too strong and definite, its force being often so weak as hardly to allow of an adequate rendering into English. It
often does not seem to imply more than simple existence or action unaccompanied and undisturbed. It is found often with the scarcely translatable adverb ganga only, in which case the idea of unvaried continuance comes out rather strongly, e. g.:
ga'-hi yaxa ganga naga'is that-indeed continually only he-said (i. e., he always kept saying that) 24.15

From ganga it differs in the fact that it is often attracted into the verb-complex:
ganga ge'l-yaxa-hewe'hau only he-is-continually-thinking (i. e., he is always thinking) (cf. 12S.1S; 146.15)
 indicated in the translation, wala ${ }^{\prime 8}$ indicates the more or less unexpected resolution of a doubt or state of ignorance:
ga haga wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ wili wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-t a^{\prime} n i k^{\prime}$ that-one so really house he-keptit (i. e., it was Spear-shaft himself who kept house, no one else) 28.10

Certain usages of wala ${ }^{\prime s} s i\left(n a^{s}\right)$, evidently an amplification of wala's, have been already discussed ( $\delta 70$ ).
11. di interrogative. The interrogative enclitic is consistently used in all cases where an interrogative shade of meaning is present, whether as applying to a particular word, such as an interrogative pronoun or adverb, or to the whole sentence. Its use in indirect questions is frequent:
mãn $t^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ is mixal di' t!omomana's he-counted gophers how-many had-been-killed
The use of the interrogative is often merely rhetorical, implying an emphatic negative:
$k^{\circ} a-d i^{\prime}$ ma wili was- $\overline{-}-t a^{\prime} n i d a^{\varepsilon}$ literally, what you house you-willkeep? (=you shall not keep house) 27.16; (cf. 33.1; 47.9)
Ordinarily $d i$ occupies the second place in the sentence, less frequently the third:
$y \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a l x d e^{\varepsilon} m \bar{\imath}^{i} d i^{\wedge}{ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a^{`} i$ your-teeth now (inter.) not any (i. e., have you no teeth?) 128.23

Besides these syntactically and modally important enclitic particles, there are a few proclitics of lesser significance. Among these are to be included $m \bar{i}^{i}$ now and gané then, and then, which, though they have been included among the temporal adverbs and may
indeed, at times, convey a definite temporal idea, are generally weak unaccented introducers of a clause, and have little determinable force:
gane $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ then he went $92.26 ; 118.19 ; 152.7$
$m^{\bar{\imath}^{i}}$ loho ${ }^{\prime 2 \varepsilon}$ then he died 71.13; 98.19; 122.13
The proclitic ne well! is used chicfly as introductory to a hortatory statement:
ne $g \bar{o}^{u} m-s^{-i^{\prime} \varepsilon} d a k^{\prime}-s s^{i n} \bar{i}^{\prime} i d a \quad n a b \bar{a}^{\prime a s} h a^{\wedge} n$ let us-in-our-turn over-his-nose let-us-do (i. e., let us pass over him!) 144.11
ne ${ }^{e}$ t!omoma ${ }^{\prime} n$ let me kill him. (cf. 96.4)

## § 115. VII. Interjections

Of interjections and other words of an emotional character there are quite a number in Takelma. Some of them, while in no sense of definite grammatical form, are based on noun or verb stems. Not a few involve sounds otherwise foreign to the language (e. g., nasalized vowels [expressed by ${ }^{n}$ ], $\ddot{a}$ as in English bat, $\hat{a}$ as in saw, $d j$ as in Judge, voiceless palatal $l$ [written 7 ], final fortis consonant); prolongation of vowels and consonants (expressed by + ) and repetition of elements are frequently used.

The material obtained may be classified as follows:
i. Particles of Address:
ama come on! 96.24
Tene' away from here! get away! 148.8, 10, 11, 13, 14
dit gwãlam O yes! (with idea of pity) 29.13; dit'gwáas ${ }^{\prime a \varepsilon} l a m$ wiswã my poor younger brother! 64.4
hati used by men in talking to each other
ha'ik! $\bar{a}$ ' used by women in talking to each other (cf. ha-ik! $\tilde{a}$ wife! husband!)
2. Simple Interiections (expressing fundamental emotions):
$\bar{a}+$ surprise, generally joyful; weeping 28.5; $58.2 ; 150.2$
$\breve{a} ; \breve{a} ;{ }^{\varepsilon} \breve{a}$; ${ }^{〔} \breve{a}$ ' sudden surprise at new turn; sudden resolve 28.6;29.7; 55.7; 78.9
$a^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$ sudden halt at perceiving something not noticed before 26.12
$o^{\prime}$ doubt, caution 136.23
$\bar{o}+$ sudden recollection; admiration, wonderment; call 92.9 ; 138.19; 188.17, 19
$\hat{a}+$ fear, wonder 17.3
${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{e}$; ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{e}$ displeasure $27.16 ; 32.9$; 33.6; 122.12
${ }^{\varepsilon} \dot{e}$; $h \grave{e}+$ (both hoarsely whispered) used by mythological characters (crane, snake) on being roused to attention 122.10; 148.17, 18
§ 115
$h \bar{e}+; \bar{e}+$ call $59.2 ; 73.7 ; 75.10 ; 76.8$
${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{n^{\star}}$; ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{n}$ disapproval, "what's up?', sarcasm $28.11 ; 32.10$
${ }^{\varepsilon} E^{n} \varepsilon_{E^{n}}$ protest $112.6,11 ; 114.3,6,13 ;{ }^{\varepsilon_{E}}{ }^{\prime n},{ }_{E}{ }_{E}{ }^{\prime n}$ decided displeasure 198.2
he $e^{n}$ scorn, threat $140.9 ; 152.14$
$\epsilon^{n^{2}}$ sniffing suspiciously 160.20
$E^{n^{\circ}} E_{n^{n^{2}}} E^{n^{e}} E^{n^{*}}$ smelling suspiciously 124.23
dja disapproval, warning 156.18
$m+m+$ gentle warning, pity 29.8; 31.11, 14
$h m+h m+$ reviving hope (?) 32.3
$w \ddot{a}+w \ddot{a}+$ (loudly whispered) cry for help 29.12
$h a-i$ alas! 62.4, 7
$A^{n}+$ groan 182.11
$h o^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (hoarsely whispered) on being woundel 190.24
$h \hat{a}^{\prime} h a ̂ h a ̂$ groans on being wounded 192.10
he' he he he laughter 118.22; 120.6
Those that follow have a prefixed $s$ - frequently used by Coyote. They are probably characteristic of this character (see also 71.14; 90.12).
$s^{\varepsilon} e^{\prime}$ hehehe derisive laughter 71.7 ; 72.11; 73.15; 74.15
$s^{\prime} b e^{\prime} p^{*}$ sharp anger S6.6, 22, 24
$s^{\cdot} b \grave{e}^{\prime}+{ }^{u}$ call for some one to come 92.1
$c^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} i$ say there, you! 92.18, 21
$s \cdot g \bar{a}+$ sorrow 100.3
3. Set Calls (including cries in formulas and myths):
$p^{*} \ddot{a}+$ (loudly whispered) war-whoop 190.15
$b \ddot{a}+b \ddot{a}+$ (loudly whispered and held out long) war-whoop 136.26 bä $w \ddot{a}^{\prime} \ddot{a} u$ wä' $\ddot{a}^{\prime} u$. . . . . (loudly whispered) war-whoop $110.19 \mathrm{gw} \ddot{a}^{\prime}$ lä $l \ddot{a} l \ddot{a} l \ddot{a}$ (loudly whispered) war-whoop on slaying one of enemy
$w \hat{a}$ wâ wâ cry to urge on deer to corral
$b \bar{o}+$ yelling at appearance of new moon 196.5
$h \ddot{a}+$; $b \ddot{a}+$ (both loudly whispered) urging on to run 46.5, 7; 47.6; 48.1, 3, $9 ; 49.3$
$h^{w}+$ blowing before exercising supernatural power $96.19,20,22$; 198.7
$p{ }^{\prime}+$ blowing in exercising supernatural power 77.9
$p^{w}+$ blowing water on person to resuscitate him 170.3
hĕ blowing preparatory to medicine-formula addressed to wind 198.4
do do do do do do cry (of ghosts) on catching fire 98.4 (cf. Yana du' $\left.d u d u d u^{\prime} d u d u\right)$
$x i m \bar{\imath}{ }^{\prime}+x i m i$ cry of rolling skull 174.5, 6
$\bar{o}^{\prime}+d a d a d a d a d a$ cry of people rumning away from rolling skull 174.9, 10
do'lhi dolhi taunt (of Pitch to Coyote) 86.2, 8, 10, 17, 21, 23; SS. 1, 2
da'llalwaya da ldalwaya da'ldalwaya formula for catching crawfish (explained in myth as derived from dalda'l dragon-fly) 29.14, 16
wi'lik! $i s i$ "cut off!" (cf. wīi $\bar{i}^{\prime i}$ his stone knife 142.21) ChickenHawk's cry for revenge 144.1
sgilbibī $+{ }^{i} x$ "come warm yourself!" 25.7 (cf. sgili' pxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I warm myself 25.S)
gewe ${ }^{\prime}$ ¢ $k$ ! ${ }^{\text {! }}$ we ${ }^{e}$ (cf. gewe'k!iwi ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I hold [salmon] bow-fashion) said by Pitch when Coyote is stuck to him 88.5, 9, 11, 12
$p!i d i-t-p^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} t^{*} p^{\prime} \dot{i d i t} k^{\prime}$ "O my liver!" (cf. $p^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} p^{\prime} i d-i$ - salmon liver) cry of Grizzly Bear on finding she has eaten her children's livers 120.19, 20
The last three show rery irregular types of reduplication, not otherwise found.

## 4. Animal Cries and Imitative Sounds:

wa'yañ cry of Jack-Rabbit 108.9, 14, 17
$\left(s^{*}\right) h u^{\prime} u, h a^{\prime} u$ cry of Grizzly Bear 106.12, 19; 140.12
$w \bar{a}^{\prime}+{ }^{u}$ (hoarse) death-cry of Grizzly Bear woman 142.3
h $\hat{a}^{u}$ Bear's cry 72.15
$p!\tilde{a} k^{*} p!\tilde{a} k^{*}$ "bathe! bathe!" supposed cry of crow
bak" bak' bak" bak" bak' bak' sound made by Wooclpecker 90.11 ; 92.2
(cf. $b a^{\prime} k^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{a}$ red-headed woodpecker 92.2)
p!au p! au p!au p!au p!au p! au sound made by Yellowhammer 90.19
bum + bum + noise made by rolling skull 174.4
tc!e'lelelele (whispered) sound of rattling dentalia 156.24 (cf. aorist stem tc!elem- rattle)
$t^{\prime} u l$ t'ut t'ul noise made by Rock Boy in walking over graveyard house 14.8
$d_{E m}+d_{E m}+d_{E m}+$ noise of men fighting 24.1
$x a^{\prime}-u$ (whispered) noise of crackling hair as it burns 24.8
l'gi'l imitating sound of something breaking 24.4(cf. $x a-d \bar{a}^{a} n-t^{\prime} g i l-$
$t^{\prime}$ ga'lli he broke it in two with rock 24.4)
$t^{\circ} u t^{\top} t^{\prime} u t^{*} t^{*} u t^{\prime}$ noise of pounding acorns 26.12
$b_{A k}$ ! "pop!" stick stuck into eye 27.8
$h u^{n}+$ confused noise of people talking far off 190.7
$k!i^{\prime}$ didididi sound of men wrestling 32.14

## 5. Song Burdens:

wa'yawene $\overline{\text { ō' }}$ wana medicine-man's dance 46.14
wainhā round dance; lullaby (cf. wainha put him to sleep!) 104.15; 106.4, 8; 105 note
$k!i^{\prime} x i n h i$ round dance (said by Frog) 102.18
${ }^{\varepsilon} o^{\prime} c u^{\varepsilon} o^{\prime} c u$ round dance (said by Frog) 102.23
gwa'tca gwatca round dance (said by Bluejay) 104.:
tc!a'itc! $\bar{a} \bar{a}$ round dance (play on $t c!a^{\prime i \varepsilon} c$ bluejay) 104.7
$b e^{\prime} b e b i n i b \bar{c}^{\prime} a$ round dance (said by Mouse; play on bebe'n rushes) 104.10
beleldō round dance (play on belp swan) 104.15
$b i^{\prime} g i b i^{\prime} g i b i^{\prime} g \bar{\imath}+$ Skunk's medicine-man's dance ([?] play on $b \tau k^{* w}$.skunk) 164.18, 22; 166.5
$h \hat{a}^{\prime s}$ gwatci hás ${ }^{\prime s}$ gwatci said by s'omloho'lxass in doctoring

## § 116. CONCLUSION

The salient morphologic characteristics of Takelma may be summed up in the words inflective and incorporating, the chief stress being laid on either epithet according as one attaches greater importance to the general method employed in the formation of words and forms and their resulting inner coherence and unity, or to the particular grammatical treatment of a special, though for many American languages important, syntactic relation, the object. Outside of most prefixed elements and a small number of the post-nominal suffixes, neither of which enter organically into the inner structure of the word-form, the Takelma word is a firmly knit morphologic unit built up of a radical base or stem and one or more affixed (generally suffixed) elements of almost entirely formal, not material, signification.

It would be interesting to compare the structure of Takelma with that of the neighboring languages; but a lack, at the time of writing, of published material on the Kalapuya, Coos, Shasta, Achomawi, and Karok makes it necessary to dispense with such comparison. With the Athapascan dialects of southwest Oregon, the speakers of which were in close cultural contact with the Takelmas, practically no agreements of detail are traceable. Both Takelma and Athapascan make a very extended idiomatic use of a rather large number of verbal prefixes, but the resemblance is probably not a farreaching one. While the Athapascan prefixes are ctymologically distinct from the main body of lexical material and have reference chiefly to position and modes of motion, a very considerable number of the Takelma prefixes are intimately associated, ctymologieally and functionally, with parts of the body. In the verb the two languages agree in the incorporation of the pronominal subject and
object, but here again the resemblance is only superficial. In Athapascan the pronominal elements are phonetically closely combined with the verbal prefixes and stand apart from the following verb-stem, which never, or very rarely, loses its monosyllabic individuality. In Takelma the pronominal elements, together with the derivative affixes, enter into very close combination with the preceding verb-stem, but stand severely aloof from the verbal prefixes. The radical phonetic changes which the verb-stem undergoes for tense in both languages is perhaps the most striking resemblance between the two; but even in this regard they differ widely as to the methods employed. Neither the very extended use of reduplication in Takelma, nor the frequent use in Athapascan of distinct verb-stems for the singular and plural, is shared by the other. Add to this the fact that the phonetic systems of Athapascan and Takelma are more greatly divergent than would naturally be expected of neighboring languages, and it becomes clear that the opinion that has generally been held, though based on practically no evidence, in regard to the entirely distinct characteristics of the two linguistic stocks, is thoroughly justified.

The entire lack of nominal cases in Takelma and the lack of pronominal incorporation in Klamath indicate at the outset the fundamental morphologic difference between these stocks. In so far as nominal cases and lack of pronominal incorporation are made the chief morphologic criteria of the central Californian group of linguistic families, as represented, say, by Maidu and Yokuts, absolutely no resemblance is discernible between those languages and Takelma. As far, then, as available linguistic material gives opportunity for judgment, Takelma stands entirely isolated among its neighbors.

In some respects Takelma is typically American, in so far as it is possible at all to speak of typical American linguistic characteristics. Some of the more important of these typical or at any rate widespread American traits, that are found in Takelma, are: the incorporation of the pronominal (and nominal) object in the verb; the incorporation of the possessive pronouns in the noun; the closer association with the verb-form of the object than the subject; the inclusion of a considerable number of instrumental and local modifications in the verb-complex; the weak development of differences of tense in the verb and of number in the verb and noun; and the impossibility of drawing a sharp line between mode and tense.

Of the more special grammatical characteristics, some of which are nearly unparalleled in those languages of North America that have been adequately studied, are: a system of pitch-accent of fairly considerable, though probably etymologically secondary, formal significance; a strong tendency in the verb, noun, adjective, and adverb toward the formation of dissyllabic stems with repeated vowel (e.g., aorist stem yowo- be; verb-stem loho- die; noun moxo' buzzard; adjective hos: $\tilde{o}^{u}$ [plural] getting big; adverb olo'm formerly) ; a very considerable use of end reduplication, initial reduplication being entirely absent; the employment of consonant and rowel changes as a grammatical process; the use in verbs, nouns, and adjectives of prefixed elements, identical with body-part noun stems, that have reference now to parts of the body, now to purely local relations; the complicated and often irregular modifications of a verbal base for the formation of the most generalized tense, the aorist; the great differentiation of pronominal schemes according to syntactic relation, class of verb or noun, and tense-mode, despite the comparatively small number of persons (only five-two singular, two plural, and one indifferent); the entire lack in the noun and pronoun of cases (the subjective and objective are made unnecessary by the pronominal and nominal incorporation characteristic of the verb; the possessive, by the formal use of possessive pronoun affixes; and the local cases, by the extended use of pre-positives and postpositions) ; the existence in the noun of characteristic suffixes that appear only with prepositives and possessive affixes; the fair amount of distinctness that the adjective possesses as contrasted with both verb and noun; the use of a decimal system of numeration, tertiary or quinary in origin; and a rather efficient though simple syntactic apparatus of subordinating elements and well-modulated enclitic particles. Altogether Takelma has a great deal that is distinct and apparently even isolated about it. Though typical in its most fundamental features, it may, when more is known of American languages as a whole, have to be considered a very specialized type.

1. Comparative Table of Fronominal Forms


## 2. Scheme of 7 Voices in 6 Tense-Modes (2d per. sing. of dink!- spread)

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Potential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trans. (2d per. subj.) <br> Passive <br> Act. intr. <br> Reflexive <br> Recipr. (pl.) <br> Non-agentive <br> Positional | di'nik!at* <br> di'nitxbin <br> di'nisxat ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> di'nisk'widam <br> di'nicxant $p^{\prime}$ <br> di'nisxdam <br> dinklit'am | dink!ada' <br> dinerbina' ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> $\operatorname{din} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} x a d a^{\prime} \varepsilon$ <br> di'n $n k^{\prime}$ wida ${ }^{6}$ <br> di'ntrant bas <br> di'nexdas <br> dink! $a^{\prime}$ sda ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} k^{*} \varepsilon_{c}{ }^{2} t^{\prime}$ <br> di'nexbigam <br> di'n $\varepsilon_{r a k}{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{e i l}{ }^{\prime}$ <br> di'nek'wip'k' $\varepsilon_{e}{ }^{2} t^{2}$ <br> $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a n k^{\prime} \varepsilon \epsilon i t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ <br> di'n ${ }^{\varepsilon} x k^{\prime} \varepsilon e i t^{2}$ <br> di'nk!ask' \&eĩt' | di'nk!at' <br> di'nerbin <br> $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon x a t}{ }^{*}$ <br> di'nck'widam <br> di'nexant'p' <br> di'ncrdam <br> dink!a'sdam | $d i^{\prime} n k^{\prime}$ <br> $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{r} a$ <br> $d i^{\prime} n \varepsilon k^{\prime} u \bar{\imath} i p$. <br> $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} x$ | $d^{\prime} n k!a^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ <br>  <br> $\operatorname{di}^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} k^{\top} w i p^{\prime} g a^{E} m$ <br> $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {rga }}{ }^{\varepsilon} m$ |

3. Forms of $n a(g)-$ say, do
A. Intransitive

|  | Aorist | Future | Potential | Inferential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singuiar: <br> 1st per. <br> $2 d$ per. <br> $3 d$ per. | nagaìt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ <br> nagaitt <br> naga' iє | na't'e <br> nada's <br> $n a^{\prime s} t^{\prime}$ | $n a^{\prime} t^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ <br> $n a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ <br> $n a^{\prime s}$ | {f102b9459-16c7-4c54-af17-ead17234ab07} na'k!'cit $n a^`} \%$ & $n a^{\prime}$ & $n a^{\prime} k^{\prime}$  \hline $n a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ <br> $n a^{\prime} k!e^{\prime t} p^{\prime}$ & \[ \begin{aligned} & n a b \bar{a}^{\prime} a s\left(h a^{` n\right) |  |  |
|  | n a^{\wedge} n p^{\prime} \end{aligned} \] |  |  |  |  |  |

FREQEENTATIVE

 of course possible to have also na $a^{\varepsilon}$-nañt ${ }^{\prime} c e, n a^{s}$-nanada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, and so forth.
"Also nankak' is found, so that it is probable that doublets exist for other non-aorist forms, e. g., nañhada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, nañhabaध.

## B. Transitive

## forist

| Subject | Ubjeet |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second persou singular | Third persou | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ist per. |  | $n a g a ' s b i=n$ | $n a g a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n$ |  | $n a g a^{\prime}$ sanbas $^{\prime}$ |
| 2d per. | nege's'dam |  | naga' ${ }^{\prime}$ | naga'simit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3d per. | nege's $\cdot i$ | naga'sbi | naga' | naga'sam | naga'sanp ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nagasbina'k' | nagana' ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | naga'sanbana'k' |
| 2 d per. | nege's $\cdot$ dap ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | naga'simit ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ |  |

## 3. Forms of $n a(g)-$ sAY, Do

## B. Transitive-Continued

Future

| Subject | Object |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Seeond person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | $n \tilde{a} x b i n$ | năagi'n |  | nãranban |
| 2 d per. | nẽxdas |  | $n$ nak' $i d a^{\text {s }}$ | nãximida ${ }^{\text {E }}$ |  |
| 3d per. | nẽxink | nãxbink* | nãk'ink' | nãxamank* | nãxanbank* |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nãxbinagam | $n \bar{a} a g i n a g a^{\prime} m$ |  | nãxanbanagam |
| 2 d per. | nẽxdaba |  | $n \bar{a} a g i^{\prime} t^{\prime} a^{\text {a }}$ | nãximit bas |  |
| Imper. condit. | nēxiauk ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | nãxbiauk'is |  |  |  |

Infermatal

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st per. | nẽxik!eit' <br> nêxik* | nãxbigas | nãk'igas | nãxamk!eīt' <br> nãxamk' | nãxanp ${ }^{\prime}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2d per. |  |  | nãk' 'ik! tèt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 3d per. |  | $n a ̃ x b i k '$ | nãk'ik' |  | nãxanp'k' |
| Plural: $\quad$ and |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nãxbigana`k. & nãk‘igana'k* & & nãxanp'gana`k' |  |  |  |
| 2 d per. |  |  | nâk'ik!eथt ${ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ | nãxamk!eat ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

Poteutial


Present Imporctire


Pinture Imeperatice

| Singular: <br> 2d per. | $n \tilde{e} x g c^{\varepsilon} m$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |

3. Forms of $n a(g)-S A Y$, no
B. Transitive-Continued

Prssive


FNEQUENTATIFE
forist

| Subject | Object |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | $n a g a n s b i s n$ | nagañha¢n |  | nagañsanbasn |
| 2 d per. | negtñs dam |  | naganhat | nagañsimit |  |
| 3d per. | neģeñs ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | nagañsbi | nagañha | nagañsam | nagañsanp ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nagañsbinak. | nagañhanak' |  | падайsапbana'k' |
| 2d per. | negeñ ${ }^{\text {dap }}$ |  | nagañat ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | nagansimit $p^{\text {. }}$ |  |

Future


Pussire


## 3. Forms of $n a(g)-S A Y, D O$

C. Causative in -n-1

Aorist

| Subject | Object |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nagãnxbis $n$ | nagāana $a^{\prime}{ }^{n}$ <br> (nagāani'єn) |  | nagãnxanbasn |
| 2d per. . . . | negẽnxdam |  | nagāana 't' <br> (nagāani't') | nagãnximit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3d per. . . . | negẽnxi | $n a g a ̃ n x b i$ | nagān <br> (nagãnhi) | nagãnxam | nagãnxanp ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. . . |  | nagãnxbinak | nagāanana 'k' |  | nagānxanbana`k* |
| 2d per. . . . | negennxdap ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | (nagāanina ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ) <br> nagāana' $t^{\prime} p$ <br> (nagãani't'p') | nagãnximit ${ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ |  |

Future


Passive

${ }^{1}$ Though these forms are simply derivatives of intransitive aorist naga( $i$ )-, verb-stem $n a-$, they have been listed here because of their great similarity to transitive frequentatives, with which they might be easily confused. In the aorist, the two sets of forms differ in the length of the second (repeated) vowel, in the connecting consonant, and to some extent in the place of the accent, though this is probably a minor consideration. In the future, they differ in the connecting consonant and partly again in the place of the accent.
${ }^{2}$ Forms in parentheses are instrumental.
${ }^{3}$ Imperative (sing. subj. and third person object): nänha.
$3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40, pt 2-12-19

## 3. Forms of $n a(g)-$ SAY, Do

D. Reciprocal Forms

|  |  | Aorist | Future |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plural: |  |  |  |
| 1 st per. | - | naga'sinik' | nãxinigam |
| 2 d per. | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | naga'sant' ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | nãrant bat |
| 3d per. | -• | $n a g a^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ | nãxantt' |
|  |  | (frequentative nagañ$s a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) |  |

E. Nominal Derivatives

INFINITITES
Intransitive: $n e^{`} x$

|  | Object |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | First person <br> singular | Second person <br> singular | Third person | First person <br> phural | Second person <br> plural |
| Transitive . . . | nẽxiya | nãxbiya | nãagia' | nãximia | näxanbia |

## PARTICIPLE

Active: $n a^{\circ} \ell^{\prime}$
Other forms derived from verb-stem $n a(g)$ - than those given above are of course found, but are easily formed on evident analogies. Observe, however, intransitive aorist stem nagai- in transitive derivatives nagañk'wa he said to him (personal) and nagaĩkiwit he said to himself. Comitatives in-(a)gw-are not listed because their formation offers no difficulty; e. g., second person singular present imperative $n \tilde{a} k^{{ }^{r}}{ }^{w}$ do so and so having it! It is possible that $b \bar{o}^{u_{-}}$ nexada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mmediately is nothing but adverb $b \tilde{o}^{u}$ now + subordinating form * nẽxada $a^{\varepsilon}$ of -xa-derivative from $n \bar{a}^{a} g$-with regular palatal ablaut (see $\$ 31,5$ ) ; literally it would then mean something like when it is becoming (doing) now.

## APPENDIX B

## THE ORIGIN OF DEATH

xi'lam ${ }^{1}$ sebe't ${ }^{\text {² }}$ hãp ${ }^{\prime}$ da $^{3}$ loho'k ${ }^{0}{ }^{4} \quad$ sgi'sidi'l ${ }^{5}$<br>Roasting-Dead-People his child it died.<br>He and Coyote<br>nóts!at'gwan ${ }^{6}$<br>neighboring each other


$1 x i^{\prime} l a m$. Used indifferently for SICK, DEAD (as noun), and GHOST. $-a m(=-a n$ ) is probably noun-forming suffix with inorganic $-a$ - (cf. han-xilmi ABODE OF GHOSTS, literally, ACROSS-RIVER ARE GHOSTS as verb with positional - $i$ ). As base is left $x i l$ - or $x i n$ - ( $-n$ - of radical syllable dissimllates to -l-before nasal suffix); xi'lam from* xin-an or * xil-an. This $x i n$-Is perhaps etymologically ldentical with $x i \bar{n}$ mucus (verb-base $x i n$-SNIff).

2 sebe' $t^{\prime}$. Partlciple in $-t^{\prime}$ of verb seeba's $n$ Type 51 ROAST IT; aorist stem se $b$-, verb-stem sebe-. Roast-ING-DEAD-PEOPLE is Takelma name for specles of black long-legged bug. He is supposed to be so called because responsible for death, as told in this myth.
${ }^{8} h a \tilde{a} p^{\prime} d a$. Base $h \bar{a} a p^{*}-$ sMALL, CHILD (cf. hap-s-di'sMALL). Thls is one of those comparatively few nouns that add possessive pronominal suffixes of Scbeme II directly to stem. With suffixed ([?] pre-pronominal) -x-It becomes plural in signification: hãpxda HIS CHILDREN. This sort of plural formation stands, as far as known, entirely isolated in Takelma. In its absolute form $h \bar{a} a p^{\prime}-$ takes on derivative suffix $-x i, h \bar{a} p x i$ CHILD.
©loho`k'. Third personal inferential of verb lohoit' $e^{*}$ Type 4b I DIE; aorist stem lohoi-, verb-stem loho-. - $k^{\prime}$ inferential element. Inferentlal mode used because statement is here not made on personal authority, but only as traditlon or hearsay. According to this, all myth narrative should employ inferential forms instead of aorist. This myth employs partly inferentials and partly aorists; but in most other myths aorists are regularly employed, probably because they are more familiar forms, and perhaps, also, because myths may be looked upon as well-authenticated fact.
${ }^{5}$ sgi'sidi'l. sgi'si coyote, formed by repetition of base-vowel according to Type 2. -di'l is dual suffix sgi'sidi'l by itself might mean two coyotes, but -di'l is never properly dual in signification, meaning rather HE (indicated by preceding noun) AND SOME ONE ELSE (indicated by context).
o nō'ts!at'gwan. From local adverbial stem nöts!- next poor, neighboring; it is formed by addition of characteristic $-a$ - and third personal plural reflexive pronominal suffix $-t^{\prime} g w a n\left(=-t^{\prime}-[\right.$ third person] $+-g w a-$ [reflexive] $+-n$ [plural]). First person singular nōts!adë; second person singular nōts lada'є.
${ }^{7} y u^{\prime} k^{*}$. Third personal inferential of verb yowo't*es Type 2 I AM; aorist stem yowo-, verb-stem yo( $y u-$ ). $-k^{*}$ inferential element as in loho ${ }^{\circ} k^{\prime}$. Corresponding aorist, yowo ${ }^{\prime}$.
${ }^{8} g a s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$. $g a$ is general demonstrative тHAT, here serving to anticipate quotation: "laps (2) . . . yimi'x'(3)." $-s^{*} i^{\varepsilon}$ as general connective indicates sequence of $n \tilde{a} k^{*} i k^{\prime}$ upon loho $k^{*}$ (1).
${ }^{9} n a ̈ k^{*} i k^{*}$. Third personal inferential of verb naga's $n$ Type 2 a say to HIM; aorist stem naga-, verb-stem nāag-. Corresponding aorist, naga!. Non-aoristic forms of this transitive verb show Instrumental -i- (see 864).

10 laps. Noun of uncertain etymology, perhaps from base lab-CARRY ON ONE'S BACK. -s nominal derivatlve suffix of no known definite signification.
${ }^{1} y i m i^{\prime} x i$. Present imperative second person singular subject, first person singular object (-xi) of veri) $y \bar{i} i m i y a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ Type 1 I LEND IT TO HIM; aorist stem yīimīi-, verb-stem yimi-. Non-aoristic forms show instrumental $-i$ - as in $n a \tilde{k} k^{*} i k^{*}$; e. g., yimi'hin I SHALL LEND IT TO HIM.
${ }^{12} h \tilde{a} p^{*} d e k^{*}$. See $h \tilde{a} p^{\prime} d a(1)$. $-d e^{\wedge} k^{*}$ first person singular possessive pronominalsuffixaccording to Scheme II.
${ }^{13}$ loho'ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Subordinate form, with causal signification, of $l o h o^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ HE DIED. Aorist stem lohoi- = verbstem loho- + intransitive element -i- characteristic of aorist of Type 4;-є, third personal aorist subject intransltive Class I, dissimilated because of catch in subordinating suffix -das. Syntactically lohóidas is subordinated to yimi'xi.
$14 n a g a^{\prime}-i h i^{\varepsilon}{ }_{*}=n a g a^{\prime} i s$ HE SAID + quotative enclitic $-h i^{\varepsilon}$. naga'is third person aorist of Irregular verb nagait' $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ Type 4 a I say; aorist stem nagai-, verb-stem na-. Both transitive and intransitive forms of $n a(g)$ 8AY incorporate object of thing said; ga in gas* $\dot{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}(2)$ is incorporated as direct object in näk: ik' (it wouid be theoretically more correct to write $g a\left[-s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}\right]-n a \tilde{k} k^{*} i k^{\prime}$ ); whlle quotation " laps . . . yimi'xi"' is syntactically direct object of $n a g a^{\prime}-i h i^{\varepsilon}$ which, as such, it precedes. ga-näk*ik' anticipates "laps . . . yimi'xi"' naga'-
$i^{i} i^{\varepsilon}$. Observe use of aorist lnstead of inferential from naga'-ihie on.
${ }^{15} a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} \varepsilon$. Negative particle with following aorist. True negative future would be ucde yimi'hixbiga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
$5 \mathrm{wa}^{\prime 2} \mathrm{da} .{ }^{31} \quad$ "laps ${ }^{10} \quad$ yimi'xi ${ }^{11}$ to him.
$\qquad$
you said?" Roasting-Dead-People
hāa ${ }^{2}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{12}$ my child
loho'ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$." ${ }^{13 \ldots}{ }^{6} k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} i^{\prime 32}$
since it died." "What
" $\mathrm{bo}^{4} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{a}^{1}{ }^{34} \quad \mathrm{ma} a^{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{a}^{35}$
"Last time yous
${ }^{16} y^{\bar{i} i m i s b i \epsilon} n$. First person singular subject ( $-{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ ) second personal singular object ( $-b j$-) of verb $y \bar{i} i m i y a^{\prime e} n$ (see yimi'ri above). -s-Indirect object used only in aorist of this verb, elsewhere -x-; e. g., future yimi'xbin ishall lendit to you. Aorist is used because idea of futurity is here immediate; i. e., time of action is not put definitely forward.
${ }^{12}$ gwidi's $s \cdot i$. gwi-general interrogative and indefinite adverb where? somewhere. di interrogative enclitic serving to give gwi-distinct interrogative signification. $-s^{\cdot} \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ has hereslight causal tinge: FOR WHERE would they all be, if they returned?
$18 y 0^{\prime} s t^{\prime}$. Third personal future of verb yowo $t^{\prime} \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I AM (see $y u{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ above). -st third personal subject future intransitive Class I.
${ }^{19}$ yè $0 k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$. Third personal conditional ( $-k^{\prime} i^{\epsilon}$ ) of verb yeweit' $e^{5}$ Type 4 a return; aorist stem yewei-, verb-stem $y$ èu- (yéw-).
 adverbial prefix to yewe ${ }^{\prime}$.
${ }^{21}$ yewe $e^{\prime} i \varepsilon$. Third personaorist of verb yeweit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ (see yèūk' $i^{\varepsilon}$ above ( $-i$ and $-\varepsilon$ as in $l o h o^{\prime} i \varepsilon$ and naga'it above)
$22 k$ !odo ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$. Third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb klododa' $n$ Type 8 I BURY HIM aorist stern $k!o d o d-$, verb-stem gōud-.
 Dead-People) buried his (Coyote's) child.
${ }^{24}$ loho'idac. In this case subordinate form serves merely to explain hãp"dagwa, and may thus be rendered as relative, who had died.
${ }^{25}$ ganēhis. $\Rightarrow$ gane and then (compound of demonstrative $g a$ ), used to introduce new turn in narrative, $\dagger$ quotative $-h i^{\varepsilon}$.
${ }^{26}$ dabalni'xa. Temporal adverb LONG time. Like many other adverbs, it is difficult of satisfactory analysis. da-is local body-part prefix, as in several other temporal adverbs; but its application here is quite obscure. bal- radical element, cf. adjective bäl-s LoNg. -xa adverbial (chiefly temporal) suffix-$-n i-=$ ? (cf. lep ${ }^{\prime} n i^{\prime}$ fa wINTER).
${ }^{27}$ lāalē̌. Third person aorist intransitive Class II of verb lāalit' $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ Types 10a and 15a i become; aorist stem lăalē-, verò-stem $\bar{l} \bar{a} a-p^{*}-. \quad-\bar{e}-=\bar{i} i$ - of positional verbs. Corresponding inferential $l a ̆ p^{\prime} k^{\prime}$.
${ }^{28} m \bar{i} i h i^{\varepsilon} . \quad=m \bar{i} i$ weak temporal adverb NOW, THEN, serving generally to introduce new statement, + quotative -hic.
${ }^{29}$ toho'ic. See loho'idas (2).
${ }^{30} \mathrm{gini}^{\prime} \epsilon \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$. Third person aorist of verb gini'k'dé Type 21 go (somewhere); aorist stem ginig-, verb-stem ging-, ginag- (present imperative gink'; future gina $^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{dece}^{\prime}$ ). $\quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ third person aorist intransitive Class I. Inasmuch as forms occur derived from base gin. (e. g., reduplicated giniginia'us), $g$ - must be considered as cither petrified suffix, or as trace of older reduplication with vanished vowel in second member: gin-i-g- from (?) gin-i-gn. ginig-can be used only with expressed goal of motion (in this case $n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \xi^{\prime}$ and $u \bar{a}^{\prime} a d a$ ). HE Went without expressed goal would have been ya'e. Similarly: baxam- come, mes-ginig-come here; högro- RUN, hiwiliw- RUN (somewhere); s.ow $\delta^{\prime} u \varepsilon k^{\circ} a p^{*}-$ JUMP, biliw- JUMP at.
${ }^{3}$ wā'ada. Formed, like $n \bar{o}^{\prime} t s!a t ' g w a n$ (1), by addition of third personal pronominal suffix -'da to local stem wa-; first person wade. These forms are regularly used when motion to some person or persons is meant: if goal of motion is non-personal, postposition $\left.g a^{\Sigma} a^{\urcorner}\right\urcorner$TO, $\mathrm{AT}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is employed.
${ }^{32} k^{\prime} a d i^{\prime}$. $k^{\prime} a$ (before $d i$, otherwise $k^{\prime} a i$ ) is substantival indefinite and interrogative stem (THNQ), what, corresponding to adverblal gwi- (4). di serves also here to give $k$ 'a distinct interrogative force.
${ }^{33}$ nagait'. Sccond person singular aorist of verb nagait' $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ (see naga'-ihic above). This is one of those few intransitives that take personal endings directly after stem ending in semi-vowel (nagay-), without connective-a. (see $\delta 65$ end).
 adverblal (temporal) suffix (cf. dabalni'xa above). $-a^{\prime}$ ' serves to contrast last time with now.
as $m a^{a} a$. = ma second person singular independent personal pronoun + deictic $-a^{\prime}$, which here contrasts you (as former object of supplication) with I (as present object of supplication).

${ }^{80} \mathrm{ga}$. Anticipates quotation "yapla (10) . . . yè̀ūki $i^{\varepsilon}$ (11)."
${ }^{87}$ nege's $s$ dam. Second personal singular subject, first personal singular object (-dam) of verb naga'є $n$ (see nãk ik' above). nege- shows palatal ablaut characteristic of forms with first person singular object. .$s^{*}$ - indirect object in aorist only, elsewhere -x-; e. g., nẽxdas you will say to me. Direct object is ga.
 stem naga- + indirect object $-s-+$ second personal singular object $-b i-+$ first personal singular subject sn. naga'sbinda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ is subordinated to main verb nege's'dam; its direct object is quotation "laps yimi'ri" (10).
: 39 yap!a. Noun formed apparently by repetition of base vowel according to Type 2. It is employed for people in general without regard to sex.
${ }^{40}$ hawa'xiue. Third person aorist intransitive Class I of verb hawaxiũt'e Type 5 I am rotting; aorist stem xiu-, verb-stem xiwi-. This verb is evidently compounded of hawa'x Matter, pus and verbal base riu-, whose exact meaning can not be determined, as it has not been found alone.
${ }^{11}$ sgāt. Words spoken by Coyote often begin with s-, which has in itself no grammatical significance.
${ }^{12}$ taga'ie Third person aorist intransitive Class I of verb t'agait $e^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ Type $4 a \mathrm{ICRY}$; aorist stem t'agai-, verb-stem $t^{\prime} \bar{a} a g$-. $-i \varepsilon$ as in $y e w e^{\prime} i \varepsilon, l o h 0^{\prime} i \varepsilon$, and $n a g a^{\prime} i \varepsilon$ above.
${ }^{43} g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\eta}$. Postposition то, AT, on $\operatorname{AcCount}$ or, used with preceding demonstrative $g a$; $g a g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\wedge} l=$ therefore. $g a^{\epsilon} a^{`} l$ is itself compounded of demonstrative $g a$ and local element al at, то.
${ }^{4} b \tilde{o} u$. Temporal adverb now, to-day. First ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ of ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{z}^{\varepsilon}$ NOT intended merely to keep up distinct hiatus between final -öu and initial $a$-.
[Translation]
The child of Roasting-dead-people died. He and Coyote were neighbors to each other. Thereupon he said to him, "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died. Lend me a blanket," said Roasting-dead-people. "I'll not lend you a blanket, for where are they going to be, if dead people come back?" said Coyote. And next door returned Roasting-dead-people, and buried his child that had died.
Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now Coyote's child became sick and died. Now next door he went to Roasting-dead-people. "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died."_" What did you say?" Roasting-dead people said that. "Yesterday indeed when I did say to you, 'Lend me a blanket,' you, for your part, did say that to me, 'Where will the people be, if they return?' Now my child is rotting," said Roasting-dead-people. So next door Coyote returned. "Sgā+!" he cried. For that reason people do not nowadays return when they die.

# HOW A TAKELMA HOUSE WAS BUILT 


 theyset it down, yonder again they set it down. In four places thes set them down. he $^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{ne}^{10}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hangili'p ${ }^{11}$ gada ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime 12}$ hagamgama'n, gada'k's $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon 13}$ Then also they place (beams) on top thereof in four places, and on top thereof across

just once theypiace Then andjust house itswall theymakeit;
 then and on top
they put them
k!emèi. ganē ${ }^{21}$ dak' $\mathrm{da}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{22}$ datlaba'k', ${ }^{23} \mathrm{ha}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ya}^{24}$ datlaba ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$. ganē theymake And then from on top they finish it, on bothsides theyfinish it. And then them.
dedewili ${ }^{\prime}$ dada's ${ }^{25}$ k!emèì dak'dat's $\cdot \mathrm{i}^{\prime 8}{ }^{25}$ dahó $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ wal ${ }^{27}$ k!emèi k!yyī $\mathrm{x}^{28}$
door theymake it, and from on top holed they makeit smoke
 theren its going out. And then ladder they makeit, they notch it in several

[^71] they finish it all cleaned inside. $\quad$ And $\underset{\text { rush }}{\text { rats }}$ theyspread them of that kind
 thereon theysit people; fire its place in the center, so that they beiug seated
 on both sides of the fire.

their of that But in summer inthisway theysit, not house therein
 habini' ${ }^{61}$ gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e x$ sama'xa alxalī, anī${ }^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{lep}^{\prime} \operatorname{ni}^{\prime} x a$ nat 62 wi'li gana'u. in themiddle. In that way in summer theydwell, not in winter like house therein.

[^72]The people are making a house. A post they set in the ground, and here again they set one in the ground, yonder again they set one in the ground, in four places they set them in the ground. Then also they place beams across on top in four places, and above (these) they put one across just once. And just then they make the house wall; and then on top they place the house boards, those they make out of sugar-pine lumber. Then they finish it on top, on either side they finish it. Then they make the door, and on top they make a hole for the going out of the smoke. And then they make a ladder, they notch out (a pole), for going down to the floor they make it; and the house wall they make.

Then they finish it, all cleaned inside. Now rush mats they spread out inside, on such the people sit. The fireplace is in the center, so that they are seated on either side of the fire. In that way, indeed, was the house of the people long ago; in winter their house was such. But in summer they were sitting like now, ${ }^{1}$ not in the house. Just a brush shelter they placed around, so that the fireplace they made in the middle. Thus they dwelt in summer, not as in winter in a house.
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## INTRODUCTION

The material on which this account of the Coos language is based was collected at the Siletz reservation, Oregon, during the summer of 1909. I obtained nineteen complete myths and other texts with interlinear translations, and linguistic material consisting chiefly of forms, phrases, and sentences. I have also had at my disposal a number bf texts and grammatical notes collected by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, during the summer of 1903 , which were of great assistance on many points.

This material was obtained chiefly from James Buchanan and Frank Drew, both of whom proved to be intelligent and reliable informants. To the former especially I am indebted for the complete and rich collection of myths and texts, while the latter was my chief source of information on points of grammar and lexicography. Frank Drew's untiring efforts and almost perfect command of English made him a very valuable interpreter, in spite of the fact that this advantage was offset in a great many cases by his knowledge of the Hanis and Miluk dialects of the Coos, and by his inability to draw a dividing-line betreen the two dialects. Hence his information was very often contradictory, and showed many discrepancies; but, on the whole, he was found trustworthy and reliable.

In conclusion I wish to express my deep gratitude to my teacher, Professor Franz Boas, for the many valuable suggestions made in connection with this work, and for the keen and unceasing interest which he has taken in me during the many years of our acquaintance. It was at his suggestion that this work was undertaken; and its completion is due mainly to the efforts and encouragement received from him. He it was who first imbued me with an enthusiasm for the primitive languages of the North American continent, and the debt which I owe him in this and in a great many other respects will be of everlasting duration.

Columbia University, April, 1910.

## C0OS

By Leo J. Frachtenberg

## § 1. DISTRIBUTION AND HISTORY

The Kusan stock embraces a number of closely related dialects that were spoken by the people inhabiting (until 18:57) Coos bay and the region along the Coos river. Their neighbors were Siuslauan, ${ }^{1}$ Kalapuyan, and Athapascan tribes. On the north they came in contact with the Umpqua ${ }^{1}$ Indians, on the east they bordered on the Kalapuya, while on the south they were contiguous to the Rogue river tribes, especially the Coquelle. ${ }^{2}$ In 1857, when the Rogue river war broke out, the United States Government, acting in self-defence, removed the Coos Indians to Port Umpqua. Four years later they were again transferred to the Yabatc reservation, where they remained until 1876. On the 26th day of April, 1876, Yahate was thrown open to white settlers, and the Indians of that reservation were asked to move to Siletz; but the Coos Indians, tired of the tutelage of the United States Indian agents, refused to conform with the order, and emigrated in a body to the mouth of the Siuslaw river, where the majority of them are still living.

Of the two principal dialects, Hanis and Miluk, ${ }^{3}$ the latter is now practically extinct; while the former is still spoken by about thirty individuals, whose number is steadily decreasing. As far as can be judged from the scanty notes on Miluk collected by Mr. St. Clair in 1903, this dialect exhibits only in a most general way the characteristic traits of the Kusan stock. Otherwise it is vastly different from Hanis in etymological and even lexicographical respects.

The name "Coos" is of native origin. It is derived from the reduplicated stem $k u^{\prime} k w i ̂ s$ south, which appears very often in phrases like $x k u k w \hat{\imath}$ 'sume From where south is, kūsemì'tcîtc southwards, etc.

[^73]The Coos call their own language $h \bar{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s ~ L!\bar{e}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s$ the hanis tongue. The present work deals with this dialect only, as sufficient material could not be obtained for the purpose of writing a grammar of the Miluk dialect.

Texts of myths and tales were collected by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, and by the author of the present sketch, and were published by Columbia University. ${ }^{1}$ All references accompanying examples refer to page and line of that publication.

## PHONOLOGY (§§ 2-14)

## § 2. Vowels

The phonetic system of Coos is rich and fully developed. Clusters of consonants occur very frequently, but are void of difficult complications. The vowels show a high degree of variability, and occur in short and long quantities. The obscure vowel $E$ is very frequent, and seems to be related to short $e$ and $a$. Resonance vowels occur very often, and are indicated in this work by superior vowels. The diphthongs are quite variable. Long $\bar{e}$ is not a pure vowel, but glides from $\bar{e}$ to $\bar{\imath}$; it can hardly be distinguished from long $\bar{\imath}$, to which it seems to be closely related. In the same manner long $\bar{o}$ glides from $\bar{o}$ to $\bar{u}$, and was heard often as a long $\bar{u}$-vowel.

The following may be said to be the Coos system of vowels and diphthongs:

| Vowels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Semi-vowels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diphthongs |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $e$ |  | $i$ | $\hat{\imath}$ | $o$ | $u$ | $\hat{u}$ | $w, y$ | $a i$, | $a^{u}$, | $e^{u}$ |
| $\bar{a}$ | $\ddot{a}$ | $\bar{e}$ | $\bar{i}$ |  | $\bar{o}$ | $\bar{u}$ |  |  |  | $\bar{e}^{i}$ |  |
| $\bar{o}^{u}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Short $e$ is pronounced like $e$ in the English word helmet, while the umlauted $\ddot{a}$ corresponds to the open $e$-vowel in German wählen. It very often occurs as the umlauted form of long $\bar{a}$. $\hat{\imath}$ represents the short $y$-vowel so commonly found in the Slavic languages; while $\hat{a}$ indicates exceedingly short, almost obscure $u$. $\bar{o}$ can not occur after the palatal surd $k$ and fortis $k!$.

## § 3. Consonants

The consonantic system of Coos is characterized by the prevalence of the sounds of the $k$ and $l$ series, by the frequent occurrence of

[^74]aspiration, by the abundance of long (double) consonants $\bar{l}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}$, and $\bar{y}$, and by the semi-vocalic treatment of the nasals $m, n$, and of the lateral sounds (indicated in this sketch by a circle under the consonant). Surds and sonants were not always pronounced distinctly, especially in the alveolar series. No aspirated consonants were found besides the aspirated $t^{t}$ and $k$. The fortis is pronounced with moderate airpressure and glottal and nasal closure.

The system of consonants may be represented as follows:

|  | Sonant | Surd | Fortis | Spirant | Nasal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Velar | $(\mathrm{g}$ ? $)$ | I | $q!$ | $y, x$ | - |
| Palatal . . . . . . .-...... | $g, g(2 w)$ | h, $k(w)$ | $k!!, k!(x)$ | - | - |
| Anterior palatal .-...... | $g$ • | $k \cdot$ | $k \cdot!$ | $x \cdot$ | - |
| Alveolar | $d$ | $t, t^{*}$ | $t!$ | $s, c$ | $n, \bar{n}$ |
| Affricative . . . . . . . . . . . | $(d z ?), d j$ | $t s, t c$ | ts!,tc! | - | - |
| Labial | 6 | $p$ | $p!$ | - | $m, \bar{m}$ |
| Lateral .-. . . . - .-. --. -- | $\underline{L}$ | $L$ | $L$ ! | $l, \bar{l}, t$ | - |
| Glottal stop . . . - - - - - - - | $\varepsilon$ | - | - | - | - |
| Aspiration ............... | - | - | - | $x x$. | - |
|  | $y, \bar{y}$ |  | $h w$ |  |  |

The glottal stop, when not inherent in the stem, may occur independently only before $l, m, n$, and $w$. It always disappears before velar and palatal sounds. The aspiration is always accompanied by a stricture corresponding to the quality of the vowel preceding it. After $a, o$, and $u$ (and $u$ diphthongs) it is of a guttural character; while when following $e, i$-vowels, or the $i$-diphthongs, it becomes palatal. It disappears before a following $w$ or $y$.

| $n h c^{u^{\prime} x} x s$ I make it 10.4 | ${ }_{\text {n }}{ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{u}$ wee ${ }^{-i^{i}}$ wat I have it 18.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{\imath} s s o^{x} t \hat{\imath} t \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}$ we two trade mutually 15.6 |  |
| $n \not \overbrace{\bar{o}}{ }^{u x} t \bar{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} y a$ I am watching it 26.11 | tōnêtī̀ yeqEm he took care 66.3 |
| $p^{-{ }^{\prime \prime} x^{*}} p^{\bar{\imath}}$ he went home 28.2 | xpīye'etc backwards, homewards 42.7 |
| $q a i^{x} q a^{\prime} y \bar{o} n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a \quad$ be became afraid of it 42.3 |  |

## §4. Sound Groupings

As has been stated before, clusters of consonants are extensive, but present few complications. Whenever difficulties arise in pronouncing them, there is a strong tendency, inherent in the language, to
simplify them. Thus, combinations of more than two consonants are rare, except in cases where one of the component elements (frequently the middle consonant) is $m, n$, or one of the lateral series. Such combinations are made possible through the semi-vocalic character of these consonants. I have also found $x p q, x c x$.

| helq- to arrive | halqtsōu' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ cat she would bring it to him 72.8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a^{\prime}$ lqas fear 66.4 | aqalqsîtōu' wat he scared him 92.20 |
| $d E^{\prime} m s i ̂ t ~ p r a i r i e ~ 22.12 ~$ | demste' $t$ t through a prairie 22.11 |

In the same manner initial clusters, of which $m, n$, or $l$ is the first element, are syllabified by vocalization of the first consonant either initially or terminally. A similar process takes place in clusters consisting of two consonants that belong to the same group.

The only consonantic combinations that are inadmissible are those of a $t, t s$ or $s+m$ or $n$.

Terminal clusters of three consonants are admissible only in cases where one of the component elements is a consonant easily subject to vocalization (a lateral, $m$ or $n$ ).

| $t^{\prime} n q 7.5$ | $q a^{\prime} m 7 t 102.16$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| yixa ${ }^{\text {antonts } 60.3}$ | tqa'nuts 28.1 |

Terminal clusters of two consonants are confined to the combinations of $m+t, m+s, m+x ; \quad n+$ alveolar or affricative, $n+k, n+s$; $l+$ alveolar or affricative (excepting $l+n$ ), $l+m ; \quad l+t$ and $l+t c$. All other combinations are inadmissible (see §11).

The following examples of terminal sound groupings may be given:

|  | $\bar{i}^{i} i l t ~ 7.8$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| hatä'yı̂ms 20.14 | mîlt! 76.12 |
| ŷ̂'cumx 122.22 | tcîls |
| $k \cdot$ 'int 5.2 | $b e^{\prime} l^{\prime} d j$ |
| xwöndj 6.8 | he'wîlts 140.14 |
| k! wints 96.11 | tc. l ltc! 26.26 |
| Lōwe'entc 6.1 | $t k \cdot \mathrm{elm} 136.7$ (St. Clair) |
| denk 82.9 | xalt 10.9 |
| hans 7.1 | $q e^{\prime}$ 'tcc 6.4 |

An exceptional instance of a usually inadmissible sound grouping was found in xyíhelq 20.21.

All inadmissible terminal clusters are avoided through the insertion of $\mathfrak{a}$ (weak) vowel between the two final consonants.

| demst- | $d E^{\prime}$ msît prairie 22.12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| helq- | $h e^{\prime} l a q$ he arrived 20.18 |
| Lhînp- | ${ }_{\text {L }} \hat{c}^{\prime}$ '̄$a p$ he went through 22.11 |
| mûtx- | $m \hat{l}^{\prime}$ tax lunch 28.15 |
| $a l q-+-s(\$ 25)$ | $a^{\prime} l^{\prime}$ lqas fear 66.4 |
| wînq-+-s (§ 25) | wr̂'nqas mat, spider 58.5 |

Inadmissible medial clusters are avoided through the insertion of a weak vowel or vowels:

| winq- + -xEm | winn' qaxem it is spread out 32.14 |
| :---: | :---: |
| helq-+-xEm | heia' qaxem it is the end 44.14 |
| m $n$ - $+-a$ | unx lna'qa they two went down |

## §5. Accent

With the exception of the monosyllabic particles, that are either enclitic or proclitic, each word in Coos has its stress accent, designated by the acute mark ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) or by the rising tone rendered here by ~. The former accent is not inseparably associated with any particular syllable of a word. It may, especially in cases of polysyllabic stems, be shifted freely from one syllable to another, although it is very possible that this apparent shifting of accent may be largely due to the rapidity with which the words in question were pronounced by the natives. The circumflex accent appears mostly on the last syllable, and may best be compared with the intonation given to the word so in the English interrogative sentence Is that so ?

The accent very often modifies the syllable on which it falls by lending a specific coloring to the vowel, or by making it appear with a long quantity. This is especially the case in syllables with the obscure vowel, which, under the influence of accent, may be changed to an $a$ or an $e$.

A very peculiar use of the accent is found in connection with the verbal stem helaq. This stem expresses two different ideas, that are distinguished by means of the two kinds of accent. When occurring with the stress accent ('), he'laq denotes to get, to arrive; while heia $q$ with the rising tone of $\tilde{a}$ expresses the idea то climb UP.

## Phonetic Laws (§§ 6-14)

## § 6. Introductory

The phonetic laws are quite complex, and in a number of instances show such appalling irregularities that they defy all attempts at systematization. This is especially true of the contraction of two or more vowels into one, and of the law of hiatus. Broadly speaking, the phonetic processes may he said to be due to contact phenomena and, in rare instances, to the effects of accent.

## Vocalic Processes (§§7-11)

The processes treated in this division may be classified as follows:
(1) Vocalic Harmony.
(2) Consonantization of $i$ - and $u$ - diphthongs.
(3) Contraction.
(土) Hiatus.
(5) Processes due to change from terminal to medial position.

## 8 7. VOCALIC HARMONY

The most important phonetic law in the Coos language is the law of vocalic harmony. This tendency towards euphony is so strongly developed in the language, that it may safely be said to be one of its chief characteristics. Its purpose is to bridge over as much as possible the difficulties that would arise in trying to pronounce in quick succession syllables with rowels of widely different qualities. The process may be of a retrogressive or progressive character; that is to say, the suffix may change the quality of the stem-vowel, or vice versa. Only the vowels of the $a$ - and $e$-series are affected by this phenomenon, which is not always purely phonetic.
The following suffixes cause a change from $a$ to $\ddot{a}$, a process called the $i$-umlaut:
$-\bar{\imath}$ neutral § 31 -it pronominal § 46 -īye transitional § 35
ñ $h \bar{a}^{\prime}$ wîts I make it grow $\quad$ ä'w $\bar{\imath}$ he grew up 64.24
ntsxaū'wat I kill him 26.22
$n h \bar{a}^{\prime} k l^{\prime u} t \hat{\imath} t s$ I draw it up
ñtsxewe' $\bar{t}$ she kills me 24.14
 up 30.1

A change of $a$-vowels into $e$-vowels due to other causes is effected by the pronominal suffixes $-\bar{u}(\S 46)$, $-\hat{e} m(\$ 30)$, and by the imperative $-E(\S 43)$.

| ${ }_{2} n \bar{a}^{\prime} k k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \hat{t} \hat{t}$ ts I draw it up | ñ $h \ddot{a}^{\prime} k$ ! ${ }^{\prime}$ titts $\bar{u}$ he draws me up |
| :---: | :---: |
| $k!a^{\prime}$ wat he pecks at it 20.14 | $n k \cdot e^{\prime} w i ̂ t \bar{u}$ he pecks at me |
| kwāa'nı̄̀ya he knows it 26.18 | kwee'năyẽm they know it 24.22 |
| pa'yat he shouted 32.1 | L $p_{\text {Ever }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ te you must shout 32.2 |
| tsxa ${ }^{u}$ - to kill | tsxe'we kill him! 68.3 |

The following suffixes change the $e$-vowels of the stem into $a$-vowels:
$-\bar{a} m \hat{\imath},-\bar{a} \stackrel{\imath}{s}$ pronominal $\S 46$
-āȳam distributive § 37
-anāya §50
tcîne'hen̄ he is thinking 24.13, e etcînahanā'm I am thinking

14
he'wes a lie
$x^{\cdot} n e^{\prime} e t$ it is on top 10.1
k! le'es black
$x \ddot{a}{ }^{\prime} n \grave{\imath} s$ sick 42.18
pLpü'wîs hat 136.14
of you
$e^{\varepsilon}$ huwasan $\bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s$ you are lying to me
nx $x \cdot n a a t a^{\prime} y a$ I am riding (a horse)
$k!l a \bar{a} \bar{y} a m$ blackish (black here and there)
$x \bar{a}^{\prime} n a n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a$ he made him feel sorry 42.18
pLpā'wîsanāya he made a hat out of it
[Note.-The suffix -anāya is composed of en $\bar{\imath}+-\bar{a} y a$. The long $\bar{a}$ of - $\bar{\alpha} y a$ affects the $e$ of $-e n \bar{n}$, and the compound suffix changes the quality of the stem-vowel.]

Here may also belong the qualitative change of $y \hat{\imath} x \bar{e}^{-i}$ ONE and $y \hat{u}^{\prime} x w \ddot{a}$ two into $y \hat{\imath} x a h \hat{\imath} \bar{n} a$ ONE EACH and $y \hat{u} x w a h \hat{\imath} ' \bar{n} a$ Two EACH (see p. 374), and changes like -
̂̂s we'läñ hant we two fight will 116.11 (will- to fight)
qamelänī'we he commenced to swim around (mîl- to swim)
[Compare also the change of the possessive pronoun lä, līye, into la, $l \bar{y} y a$, when preceding stems with $a$-vowels (see $\S 98$ ).]

Progressive assimilation occurs very frequently, and affects almost all suffixes that have $e$-vowels. The following suffixes change their $e$-vowels under the influence of an $a$-vowel of the stem:
-e auxiliary $\$ 44$
-en̄̄ verbal §45
-̌̌ye transitional §35
-etc adverbial §68
-īyawa nominal $\S 62$
$n w \hat{c}^{\prime} t \hat{i} n e$ with blood it is ( $w \hat{\imath}-l a^{u} n k \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} h a$ they with ropes are
tin blood) 20.6
it ntc!wä'te they with fire are $n m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l a q a$ with an arrow he is ( $m \hat{\imath}^{\prime}-$ (tc!wä't fire) 42.12
hätct.enà yeqEm the story is it qanatcan $\bar{z}^{\prime} w a q$ they began to being told (hä'tcît! story) make fun (qa'natc joke) 50.12 44.14, 15
$\hat{\imath} c h e w e s e^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}$ you two are lying $\hat{\imath} t k w \bar{a}^{\prime} x a L a n \bar{\imath}$ they are making 28.13, 14 (héwes lie) bows (kwāáxal a bow)
qatîm $\bar{z}^{\prime}$ ye morning it got 20.4 nhainahā'ya I active became (hai'-(qatîm- morning)
$\bar{a}^{\prime} y u \hat{c}_{\hat{a}} \cdot x^{-} \bar{z}^{\prime} y e$ surely a canoe it was ( $\hat{\imath} x \cdot$ canoe) 126.10
demste'tc Lhềnap through a prairie he went 22.11 ( $d_{E^{\prime}} m$ sît prairie)
$y \hat{\imath x \ddot{̈} ' w e x e t c}$ la into the house be went (ŷ̂xä'wex house) 28.10, 11
$n a$ active)
${ }_{n}{ }_{n} d \bar{o} w \bar{a} y a h \bar{a}^{\prime} y a \mathrm{I}$ happened to want it (d $\bar{o} w a-$ to desire)
$t^{E} k!$ 'w $\hat{\imath} l l x \bar{a}^{\prime} a p a t c$ he dove into the water ( $x \bar{a}^{\prime a} p$ water) 26.27
!! ${ }^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime}$ atc tsxawíyat on the ground he put it down ( $x!t \bar{a}$ earth, ground) 36.20, 21

The same progressive assimilation may have taken place in the change of the transitive suffix $-\bar{e}^{i}$ wat into $-\bar{o}^{u}$ wat (see p. 337) whenever suffixed to stems ending in $u$-diphthongs.

Another assimilatory process of this type is the change of the particle $\hat{\imath l}$ into $e l(h e l)$ after a preceding $n$ or $L$ (see p. 388).

$$
l_{E^{\prime} \gamma^{-}}^{\imath} \hat{\imath} l \text { good, indeed } 5.3 \quad \bar{\imath} n \text { hel not so! } 42.23
$$

$l_{E^{\prime} \gamma \bar{\varepsilon}} y \bar{u}^{\prime} L e l$ good it would be indeed 70.5

In spite of this great tendency towards euphony, numerousinstances will be found showing an absolute lack of vocalic harmony. Whether these cases are the result of imperfect perception, due to the rapid flow of speech or to other causes, cannot be ascertained with any degree of certainty.

## § 8. CONSONANTIZATION OF I- AND U- DIPHTHONGS

The $i$ and $u$ of diphthongs are always changed into the semi-vocalic consonants $y$ and $w$ when they are followed by another vowel.

The only exception to this rule occurs in cases where the diphthong is contracted with the following vowel (see § 9).

| penlo${ }^{\text {a }}$ wai whale 30.10 | pento'wayEtc a whale with 88.30 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ux tîla'qui they two are living $24.1$ | he'laq $l_{E}$ mä tâla'qayeto he came to the people (who) lived (there) |
| $t^{\prime}{ }^{E} \hat{c}^{\prime} t a^{u}$ flint point |  have the arrows 62.27 |
|  | Lōwā'ucas food 22.14 |
| $x w \hat{c}^{\hat{\prime}} \hat{l} \hat{u} x^{u}$ head 30.14 | xL.'ts xwî'luxwītc she hit him over the head 66.5, 6 |
| $k^{u}$ perhaps $+\hat{\imath}$ s we two | $k w \hat{s}$ let us two 26.15 |

## § 9. CONTRACTION

In Coos the contraction of two vowels immediately following each other is so uncertain that it is difficult to formulate any rule that would cover all irregularities. The main difficulty lies in the fact that contraction of vowels, and hiatus, seem constantly to interfere with each other. The following rules may be said to apply in all cases:
(1) Two vowels belonging to the $u$-series are contracted into a long $\bar{u}$. $x t c \bar{\imath} ' t c \bar{u}+\bar{u} L \quad x t c \bar{v}^{\prime} t c \bar{u} L$ how would (it be) 5.2 $y \hat{i} k u+\bar{u} L \quad y \hat{\imath} h \bar{u}_{L} \bar{u}_{L}$ perhap.s it would (be) 17.7
(2) Two long $\bar{i}$-vowels are contracted into a long $\bar{i}$.
$h \ddot{a} ' k!^{\prime \prime} t \bar{\imath}+-\bar{\imath} y e \quad \hat{u} \underline{Z} h \ddot{a}^{\prime} k!^{\prime u} t \bar{\imath}$ 'ye they were drawn up 30.1
heñ̄t-īye he'nīye a while 42.17 (hénēhen many times 88.1)
(3) Long $\bar{e}$ or $\bar{c}$ are contracted with a following $\bar{a}$ into long $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{e}$.
-enā+-āya -anāyu (see § 50)
pıpü'wîsena he is making a plpāwîsa'nāya he is making a hat hat out of it
$-n \bar{e}{ }^{i}+-\bar{a} w a s$
-nēivas (see § 59)
(4) Vowels of rery short quantities are usually contracted with the following rowels of longer quantities, regardless of quality. The quality of the longer rowel predominates in such amalgamations. In the process of contraction, an $h$ preceding the second vowel disappears.
$c^{E}+$ hant
canl a particle denoting certain expectation (see § 90)
$t s \hat{\imath}+h a n L$
tsanz only then shall . . . 78.15

An exception to this rule is found in the case of the $u$-vowels, which change a following $h$ into a $w$.
$y \bar{u}+h e$
yuwe' whenever 16.6
$t s \bar{o}$ then
tsowe' as soon as 52.14

An interesting case of contraction is presented by the amalgamation of the personal pronouns and the negative particle $\bar{i} n$.
$n_{0} \mathrm{I}+\bar{i}$ n not is contracted into $n \bar{i}$.
$e^{\varepsilon}$ тHOU $+\bar{\imath} n$ NOT is contracted into $\tilde{e} n$.
$x w i ̂ n$ we two $+\bar{i} n$ not is contracted into $x w \hat{\imath}^{i} n$.
$\ell \hat{\imath} n$ We $+\bar{\imath} n$ Not is contracted into $\ell_{\imath} \imath^{i} n$.
$c \hat{i} n$ YOU $+\bar{i} n$ not is contracted into $c \hat{\imath}^{i} n$.
$n \bar{\imath}$ tcītc la $a^{u}$ tsxan'uat not I how that one (to) kill it 62.21
en hanl dìit you not will (be) something 10.5
$x w \hat{\imath}^{i} n$ kwa $\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{y} y a$ we two not know it 120.23
$\chi_{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{i} n$ canl rtcītc sqats we (can) not seize her 56.18
$c \hat{\imath}^{i} n k \cdot e t l e^{-i}$ wat you not forget it 40.18
Following are examples of uncontracted negative forms:
$\hat{u} x \bar{i} n$ kiwa $\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{y} y a$ they two (did) not know it 22.9, 10


## § 10. hiatus

The same uncertainty that exists in the case of contraction of vowels is found in the law of hiatus. Broadly speaking, it may be said that the coming-together of two vowels of like quantities and qualities is avoided by means of infixing a weak $h$ between them. Two vowels of dissimilar quantities and qualities are kept apart by means of the accent.

Examples of insertion of $h$ :

|  | Kwaä'nìyahä'ya (they) came to know it 102.29 |
| :---: | :---: |
| n'ne + -iye | nnela'ge I came to be (the one) |
| sil $L^{\prime} n \overline{e s}^{i}+$-ìye | sī̀'nēh $h=$ ye joined together it became 13.4 |
| $t s!x a+-a$ | nts!xa'ha $l_{E}$ kwā'xaL (covered) with skin is the bow $62.27,28$ |
| $h \bar{u}^{u} m \ddot{u}^{\prime} k \cdot e+-e$ |  wives are 42.15 |
| $h e l m \bar{\imath}+-\hat{\imath} s$ | helmè ${ }^{\prime}$ ¢̂s next day 6.7 |

Examples of division by means of accent:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l_{E}+-\hat{\imath} t c & \text { xle'îtc L!ats with it he spoke } 16.2 \\
L^{\prime} t \bar{a}+- \text { atc } & L^{\prime}+\bar{a}^{\prime} \text { atc lemíy } \\
& \text { he stuck it } 64.1
\end{array}
$$

## § 11. PROCESSES DUE TO CHANGE FROM TERMINAL TO MEDIAL POSITION

Terminal consonantic clusters are avoided by inserting a weak vowel between two consonants standing in final position (see § 4). But as soon as a suffix is added to a stem thus expanded, changing the cluster from a terminal to medial position, the inserted vowel is dropped, and the consonants are combined into a cluster.

| mê'lax lunch 28.15 | mêtra'nem lunch make me 114.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d E^{\prime} m s i t$ prairie 22.12 | dEmste'tc Lhềnap to the prairie he came 22.11 |
| Lhê'ñap he went through 22.11 | ûx Lhinnprye they two came through 112.1 |
| $a^{\prime} \mathrm{lqas}$ fear 66.4 | $\hat{u} x$ ulqsă'ya they two are afraid of it 7.5 |
| hü'tcît! story 20.2 | hätct.'enū'yeqEm a story is being told 44.14, 15 |
| tcîlats he was astonished 22.28 | $t c \hat{c}^{\prime} l t s^{E} x_{E m}$ he was astonished 128. 15 |
| kwä' ${ }^{\text {chal }}$ bow 60.14 | ux nkwā'raca they two have bows 12.9 |
| mî'lat he swam 30.7 | $m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} 7 t^{E_{\text {qE }}}$ m he swam (out) 100.16 |

On the whole, Coos shows a marked tendency toward clustering of consonants in medial position. Thus, when a suffix beginning with a long vowel is added to a stem that has already been amplified by means of a suffix whose initial vowel is weak, the vowel of the first suffix is dropped, and its consonants are combined with the final consonants of the stem into a cluster.

| hä'Lätc elder brother 72.27 | hältcô'yas elder brothers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $e^{\prime} k k^{u} u^{\prime} \ddot{t} t c$ father 20.25 | $e k^{2}{ }^{2} t c^{-2} y$ yas fathers |
| $l a^{\prime} x_{L} \hat{s} s$ mud 52.10 | $x^{i} l_{\text {Ls }} a^{\prime}$ Etc with mud 52.13 |
| $n h \bar{u}^{\prime}$ m $\hat{\text { ĉêts }}$ I marry her | $h_{u} \bar{u}^{u}$ mists $\bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}$ wat he married 26.14 |

This change from a terminal to a medial position effects sometimes the dropping of a whole syllable.
 72.1 brothers (mutually) 84.20
$\hat{\imath l u w e} e^{\prime x} t c \hat{c} s$ heart 5.3
paī̀yat he took him home xwîn $\epsilon^{\varepsilon} p^{n} \bar{\imath} t \bar{u}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}$ hanl we two thee 30.13
$\hat{\imath} l \bar{u}^{\prime} t c \hat{1} s i \bar{t} c c \overline{l o}^{\prime} q^{u}$ tats in his heart she was boiling 108.27 take home will 126.19, 20

Another effect due to this law is the weakening of the vowel of the syllable immediately preceding the suffix. This change takes place regularly when two or more suffixes have been added to one and the same stem.

Lĥ̂nptsō ${ }^{\prime}$ wat he takes him ñzhînptsō'wîtū he takes me through
$h \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath} s t s \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}$ wat he is marrying $e^{\varepsilon} h \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath} s t s o ̄ w \hat{\imath} t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}$ hanz I marry them 26.14 thee will 184.6

## Consonantic Processes (§§ 12-14)

## § 12. TYPES OF CONSONANTIC PROCESSES

Consonantic changes are few in number, and due to contact phenomena. The following are the processes affecting consonants :
(1) Consonantic euphony.
(2) Simplification of doubled consonants.

## § 13. CONSONANTIC EUPHONY

This law affects the palatal sounds only, and results from a strong tendency, inherent in the language, to assimilate, whenever possible, the consonants of the $k$-series to the character of the preceding or following vowels. As a consequence of this tendency, $i$-vowels are invariably followed or preceded by the anterior palatals, while $u$ vowels change a following palatal into a $k$-sound with a $u$-tinge (a labialized $k$ ).

そā'nîk river 14.6 ts $\ddot{a}^{\prime} y u x^{u}$ small 20.5
wîx $\cdot \bar{z} l \hat{l} \hat{s}$ food $14.7 \quad m \bar{a}^{\prime} l u k^{u}$ paint 10.2
tah $\bar{a}^{\prime} l{ }^{2} h^{\prime}$. quiver 66.26
$x$ nek. hair 50.3
$g \cdot \hat{i} m g \cdot \hat{\imath} \hat{\prime}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} s$ rain
xwî'lux ${ }^{u}$ head 30.14
$m e \bar{l} \ddot{a}^{\prime} k u k k^{n}$ salmon heart 34.25
$g \bar{o}_{s}$ all 9.3

Instances are not lacking where actual palatalization has taken place, or where an anterior $k^{\circ}$ has been changed into a palatal $k$ so as to conform to the character of the vowel following it.
$k!a^{\prime} l a t$ he shouted 36. $\quad$ qak'eleníwe $\hat{u}$ mẽn they began to shout 24.22
$k!a^{\prime} w a t$ he pecks at it $20.9 \quad k \cdot!e^{\prime}$ wîtẽm some one is pecking
$h a^{\prime}$ kat he crawled 32.12
$t k a^{\prime} l m i ̂ t s$ be sinks it
$k!x^{\prime} y e^{\prime} e s$ he is talking to him 30.23
pkāk. grandfather 28.19
tahā'lîk. quiver 66.26
$a x^{\cdot \bar{z}^{\prime}}$ axatc uncle
$k^{n} m \bar{a}^{\prime} x \cdot$ horn 86.25
$x h a^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{z} t c$ crawlingly 32.10
$t^{E} k \cdot e^{\prime} l$ mâxem (a) deep place 84.24
$k$ ! !xe'em yées talk to me
$p \boldsymbol{k} \bar{a}^{\prime} k a t c$ grandfather 30.6
tahä'likkatc into the quiver 116.19
ax $\bar{a}^{\prime} \cdot x^{*}$ uncle 34.9


The only cases of consonantic assimilation that occur in Coos are the changes of sonants into surds, under the influence of a following surd.
$y a^{\prime} b a s$ maggots 40.12
nya'bas yaptî'tsa läa . . . maggots
ate up his . . . (literally, mag-
goted his . . . ) 40.6
bîsk' $e^{\prime} t c y \hat{\imath}^{\prime}$ xumx he had it (the $p^{E} s_{i} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} t$ sem a cup give me 68.17 water) in a cup 128.25

## § 14. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLED CONSONANTS

Doubled consonants are simplified in consequence of the tendency to aroid the clustering of too many consonants. The process consists in the simplification of a long (doubled) consonant, when followed by another consonant. Owing to the fact that only $l, m, n$, and $y$ appear in doubled (long) quantities, they are the only consonants that are affected by this law.
mîlat he swam 30.7
tcî́lats be was astonished 22.28
$L h \hat{\imath} \bar{n}$ ap he went through 22.11 $n m \ddot{a}^{\prime} h e n ̃ e t$ it is (crowded) with people 20.1
$m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l t^{E} q E m$ he swam (out) 100.16
$t c \hat{i} l t s^{E^{\prime}} x E m$ he was astonished 128.
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Lhînptsöouwat he tookhim through $x m \ddot{a}$ 'hentītc like a person $30.22,23$

## § 15. GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES

All grammatical categories and syntactic relations in Coos are expressed by means of one of the five following processes:
(1) Prefixation.
(2) Suffixation.
(3) Reduplication.
(4) Syntactic particles.
(5) Phonetic changes.

The number of prefixes is very small, and by far the majority of grammatical ideas are expressed by means of suffixes and syntactic particles. Reduplication, although frequently resorted to, is used to express only a limited number of categories; while the phonetic changes are very rare, and exhibit a decidedly petrified character.

## § 16. IDEAS EXPRESSED BY GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES

All stems seem to be neutral, and their nominal or verbal character depends chiefly upon the suffixes with which they are used. Consequently two different suffixes - one of a verbal and the other of a nominal character - may be added to the same stem, nominalizing or verbalizing it, according to the requirements of the occasion. In the following pages a distinction is made between verbal and nominal stems, which is based solely upon the sense in which the stem is used.

All prefixes express ideas of an adverbial character.
By far the majority of verbal suffixes indicate ideas of action and such concepts as involve a change of the subject or object of the verb. Hence ideas indicating causation, reciprocity, reflexive action, the passive voice, the imperative, etc., are expressed by means of suffixes. The pronouns denoting both subject and object of an action are indicated by suffixes. Only semi-temporal ideas, such as the inchoative, frequentative, and transitional stages, are expressed by means of suffixes; while the true temporal concepts are indicated by syntactic particles. Instrumentality and agency are also indicated by suffixes.

All local relations are expressed by nominal suffixes. Abstract concepts are formed by means of suffixes.

Ideas of plurality are very little developed, and, with the exception of a few suffixes, are expressed by different verbal and nominal stems. Distributive plurality occurs very often, especially in the verb, and is indicated by suffixes or by reduplication. Reduplication expresses, furthermore, continuation, duration, and repetition of action.

A great variety of concepts are expressed by syntactic particles, especially ideas relating to emotional states and to degrees of certainty.

In the pronoun, three persons, and a singular, dual, and plural, are distinguished. Grammatical gender does not exist. The first person dual has two distinct forms,- one indicating the inclusive (I AND тнӧ) and the other the exclusive ( I and HE ).

The demonstrative pronoun shows a variety of forms, but does not distinguish sharply between nearness or remoteness in relation to the three pronominal persons.

The numeral is very well developed, exhibiting special forms for the ordinal, multiplicative, and the distributive, which are indicated by means of suffixes.

The syntactic structure of the Coos sentence is very simple, and is characterized by the facility with which the different parts of speech may shift their position without changing in the least the meaning of the sentence. Incorporation and compound words are entirely absent, and the various parts of speech are easily recognizable through their suffixes.

## MORPHOLOGY (§§ 17-95)

## Prefixes ( $\$$ 17-24)

The number of prefixes is small. Three of the six prefixes found in this language - namely, the local, discriminative, and modal $x$ - must have originally expressed one general idea incorporating these three concepts, because the phonetic resemblance between these suffixes is too perfect to be a mere coincidence. In addition to these prefixes, the article and the personal pronouns may be treated in this chapter, as they are loosely prefixed to the nominal (or verbal) stems, and in a great many cases form a phoneric unit with the words that follow them.

## § 1\%. The Articles le and he

The article $l_{E}$, or $h_{E}$, is used in the singular and plural alike, and may denote a definite or indefinite object. The definite article indicates an object that actually exists or that is intimately known to the speaker. No fixed rules can be given for the occurrence of the two different forms $l_{E}$ and $h_{E}$, but the following general principle may be said to hold good: $h_{E}$ tends to occur at the beginning of a sentence and after words ending in vowels, dentals, and sibilants; while $l_{E}$ occurs in all other cases.

```
\(h_{E}\) hatā'yîms (1) mixx \(\cdot \bar{o}^{\prime} w \bar{e}^{i}(2) k!a^{\prime} w a t\) (3) he to' qras (4) the wood-
    pecker (4) is pecking at (3) the lucky (2) money (1) 20.15
\(h \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n s t \bar{o}^{u} q l_{E} d \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{o} t\) there stood the young man 22.27
wändj tcinnéhen \(\bar{\imath} h e ~ d \bar{\imath} ' \bar{l} t\) thus was thinking the young man
    24.13, 14
\(e^{\prime} \bar{n} e k \cdot l e\) l!tā sticking out was the earth 6.7

The article very often performs the function of the personal pronoun of the third person singular, and in such cases is to be rendered by he, she, or IT.
> he'ît \(l_{E}\) he'laq \(l_{E}\) wî̀nqas \(\hat{u}\) tem̂̂'snätc (in order) to gamble he arrived, the spider's grandson \(66.20,21\)
> \(h_{E} t s \bar{u}^{\prime} t s \bar{u}\) he was killed 96.14

The article has a general nominalizing function, and when prefixed to adverbs, adjectives, etc., gives them the force of nouns.
\(h_{E} g \bar{o}^{u} s \bar{z}_{\bar{i}}{ }^{2} k \cdot y E a i^{\prime} s\) tsxaw \(\bar{\imath}\) 'yat everything separately he put down 48.18, 19
 appearance (i. e., everything began to have its present appearance) 12.7
\(h_{E} q a^{\prime}\) Ltes the length
\(m \bar{a} \hat{\imath} l l_{E}\) ehe'ntc mä \(\gamma \bar{a}^{\prime} l a n \bar{\imath}\) surely, (whatever) the far-off people were talking 66.13
\(l a^{u} h e^{\prime} \hat{i} t k w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{l}_{L} l_{E} e^{\varepsilon} l \cdot \hat{v}^{\prime} L \tilde{o}^{u} t s\) that (was) their sweat-house, which you found 62.25
\(n_{n}^{\prime} n e \bar{a} t E l_{E} e^{\varepsilon} d \bar{o} w \bar{a} y E x t a ̄ ' \hat{\imath} s q a^{u \prime} w a \mathrm{I}\) am the one whom you wanted last night \(50.25,26\)

In some instances the article is prefixed to the personal pronoun of the third person singular for the sake of emphasis.
ta \(l E^{\prime} x \ddot{a}\) la quts \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{n} E x\) and he, he was just alone 68.2
ta le t̂'txä la \(a^{u}\) pencō'wai ît Lōwē \(\bar{e}^{i}\) wat and they, they whale are eating 130.13

It is also prefixed for the same purpose to the demonstrative pronoun \(l a^{u}\).
\(l_{E l a^{u}} q a_{L}!\bar{a} x e x \cdot \bar{e}^{\prime}\) we these began to flop around 17.6
qantc lelau fä́'yam wherever these went 22.17, 18
In certain local phrases the article prefixed to the whole and followed by the local term very often expresses local relation.
\(h_{E} d E^{\prime} m s i \hat{i} n t c e^{e} n e^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s h a^{u} t i \imath^{E} q t s \bar{u}\) at the edge (of) the prairie they sat down 22.15
\(h_{E}\) tskwa'x \({ }^{x} \hat{\imath} s{ }^{\prime} n h a L\) ! stó'waq at the lower part (of) the fir-tree be stood up 26.17
(For the article as a possessive prefix, see § 98.)
§. 17

\section*{§ 18. The Personal Pronouns}

The following are the personal pronouns in Coos:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular . . . . . . & 1st person . . . . . . . . . . . .
2d person . . . . . . . . . .
3d person . . . . . . . . . . . & \[
\begin{aligned}
& n- \\
& e^{\varepsilon_{-}} \\
& -
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Dual & Inclusive . . . . . . . . . . . .
Exclusive . . . . . . . . . .
2d person . . . . . . . . . .
3d person . . . . . . . . . . & \begin{tabular}{l}
i8- \\
xwin- \\
ic- \\
ux-
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural . . . . . . & 1st person . . . . . . . . . . .
2d person . . . . . . . . . .
3d person . . . . . . . . . . . & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lin- } \\
& \text { cin- } \\
& i z .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

There is no special form for the third person singular, which is expressed by the mere stem or by the article.

\(t s \hat{\imath} e^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime} q a t\) merely you are sleeping 68.19
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) tó \({ }^{\prime} h \hat{\imath} t s\) indeed! he hit it 13.3
\(\hat{\imath} s\) al̂̀'cañ hant we (two) will play 38.11
mä xwîn wutxā̄'yat a man we (two) brought home 128.8
\(t s o ̈ \hat{\imath} c l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma^{\bar{\imath}}\) now you two (are) well 120.20
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u \hat{u}_{x} L^{E} \widetilde{a} n\) surely they two went down into the water 54.16
t̂̂n \(p \bar{\imath}^{-x} \cdot p \bar{\imath} h a n L\) we will go home 120.21
cin sqats hanl te tc! wä̈t you will seize that fire \(40.18,19\)
as \(\bar{o}^{\prime}\) tcō \(\hat{\imath} l\) wu'tre again here they returned 30.5
The second persons dual and plural for the imperative form of intransitive verbs are \(\hat{\imath} c e^{\varepsilon}\) and \(c \hat{i} n \epsilon^{\varepsilon}\) respectively, instead of \(\hat{c} c\) and \(c \hat{c} n\).
\(\hat{\imath} c e^{\varepsilon} s t \bar{o}^{u} q\) you two stand up! 120.15
\(t s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x^{\prime} \cdot t \bar{\imath} \hat{\imath} c e^{\varepsilon} d j \bar{\imath}\) here you two come! 82.13
cîne \({ }^{\varepsilon} L \bar{\sigma}^{u} q\) you get up! 30.19

\section*{But compare-}
ic hemíye you two lay him bare! 24.10
\(t e^{i} \hat{\imath} c q!m \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t s_{E}\) this you two eat! 120.16
tcì cîn L! 'eर̀'yE ten \(k \cdot e^{\prime} t a\) there you put this my hand! 80.19
The pronoun of the third person plural ( \(\hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{t})\) very often precedes the article or the possessive pronoun of the third person singular in order to emphasize the idea of plurality.
 everything he is drying,-the salmon hearts, the gills, the tails 34.25, 26
xle'̂̂tc lîplū̀yap lä̈ä, âl lü \(k \cdot e^{\prime} l a\), ât la kxla with it she painted their faces, their hands, their feet 122.7
The numerical particle \(\bar{\iota}^{\prime} k k^{*} \cdot \bar{\imath}\) вотн very often precedes the dual pronouns in order to emphasize the idea of duality.
\(t s \overline{0} \bar{z}^{\prime} k \cdot \bar{\imath}\) qaxa'nto \(\hat{u} x \cdot{ }_{x} \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t s e t\) now both (of them) got on top 14.1
In the same way the particle \(g \bar{\sigma} u_{s}\) all is placed before the plural forms in order to bring out the idea of plurality.
\(l a^{u} g \bar{o}^{u_{g}}\) wändj it \(L^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \times E m\) these all that way are talking \(50.9,10\)
As has been remarked before, the pronouns are loosely prefixed enclitics. They form no integral part of the word, although with a few exceptions they precede immediately the noun or verb to which they belong. They are always placed before the prefixes cnumerated in §§19-24.
 two [are] with bows) 12.9
tsō nqaLōnū́we now I commence to eat
\(\hat{\imath} c\) exqantcu' wîs you two from what place (are)? 126.14
it \(k \cdot!\ddot{a} x \bar{a}^{\prime a} p\) they have no water (literally, they [are] without water) 38.2

The personal pronouns are contracted with the negative particle \(\bar{\imath} n\) into \(n \bar{\imath} 1\) мот, én тнои Not, etc. (see § 9). The prefixed personal pronouns are also used in the formation of transitive subject and object pronouns (see § 46).

\section*{§ 19. Inchoative qa-}

This prefix denotes the commencement of an action. The verb to which it is prefixed takes, with a few exceptions, the suffixes -i\(w e\) or -iye (see §§ 32, 35).
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y}\) « qaLōwi'we indeed (she) commenced to eat 24.11
qutcînehent'we (he) began to think 20.7
\(\hat{u} x\) qawelän \(\bar{z}^{\prime}\) we they two commenced to fight
tsō \(\hat{u} x\) qayuratiz'ye now they two commenced to travel 12.6
qamîliz'ye (he) commenced to swim 30.3
When prefixed to an impersonal verb or to a noun with a verbal force, the suffix is omitted.
läu \(L!a h \bar{a}^{\prime} w a s ~ l a a^{u} q a^{\prime} x t \bar{o}^{u}\) her garments (these) commenced to get stiff 110.3
qaŷ̂xumatā'̂̂s (he) commenced to travel around (literally, [he] commenced the traveling) 32.10

\section*{§ 20. Privative k:!ä-}

It has the same function as the English suffix -less. With the possessive pronoun, it expresses absence (p. 399).
it \(k \cdot!\) 'ätc! wä't they (have) no fire 38.1
\(k \cdot!\ddot{a}^{\prime} t e t c\) mî'lat (she) swam around naked (lit., without clothes) 86.1 \(k \cdot!a ̈ h u w \bar{a}^{\prime}\) was mîtsìiltīye suddenly she became pregnant (literally, without delay she became pregnant) 10.7

\section*{§21. Adverbialn-}

This prefix may be rendered by in, at, to, on, witir. When preceded by the article or those pronouns that end in a rowel, it is suffixed to them, and the unit thus obtained is loosely prefixed to the noun. The same rule applies to the discriminative and modal \(x\) -

ầ'wît le mä nL! \(t \bar{a}^{\prime} y a s\) he killed (all) the people in the village 112.9, 10
\(\tilde{a}^{\prime} y u\) yu'liwe län ŷ̂xä'vex surely he came ashore at his house (and not lä nŷ̂xä'rvex. 36.6
 28.27
nxala'wîs lau he'laq with heat she arrived 24.9
\(n\) - in the sense of with very often exercises the function of our auxiliary verb to have, to be. In such cases the noun to which it is prefixed takes the verbal suffix -e or \(-a\) (see § 44 ).
\(n w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t \hat{n} n e l a ̈\) l.uluä'yeq his excrements are bloody (literally, with blood [are] his excrements) \(20.6,7\)
is nhūmä'kehe we two have wives (literally, we two with wives are) 10.9
\(n \bar{a}^{a} n t m \ddot{a} l c^{u} t c!p \bar{a} \bar{y} a^{u} n k!\bar{a}^{\prime} h a\) many people have braided ropes (literally, many people those braided with ropes are) \(46.8,9\)
\(n t c!a\) ' \(h a\) d \(\bar{i} t\) animals (lit., with "walkers" something [that is]) 46.1

\section*{§ 22. Locatire x-}

The prefix \(x\)-signifies from.
xqanto \(l a^{u} s \imath^{-x} \cdot t^{E}\) tsa from where that one scented it 22.24
\(x q a t\) tqants from below he strikes it 28.1
When prefixed to nouns, the nouns usually take the adverbial suflix \(-{ }^{-i} t c \mathrm{IN}\) (see § 67 ).
\(x k w i \bar{l} e^{\prime} L \bar{e}^{i} t c n d j \bar{\imath}\) I came from the sweat-house (literally, from in the sweat-house I came)

In some cases the nouns take, instead of the suffix \(-e^{-i} t c\), the adverbial prefix \(n\) - (see § 21).
ha'lkun̂̀t he k! 'ă häx nk! wồnts he took the rope off his neck 98.23 (literally, he took off the rope his from on neck)

\section*{§ 23. Discriminative x-}

The prefix \(x\) - oceurs very often with the subject of transitive verbs, and denotes the performer of the action. (For \(x\) - preceded by the article or pronoun, see § 21.)
\(x y \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x e^{i}\) diá mît la \(a^{u}\) ha'lqait one man to him came 15.5
Woman 58.9, 10
\(x\) - is always prefixed to the subject of the sentence when the sentence contains both subject and object, or when the person spoken to may be in doubt as to which noun is the subject of the sentence.
\(l_{u} \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{u} s t s \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) wat \(l_{E x}\) dī̀lōt \(l_{E}\) y \(\hat{u}^{\prime} x w \ddot{a} h \bar{u}^{u} m \ddot{u}^{\prime} k^{\prime} \cdot e\) married the young man the two women 26.14
\(k^{*} \cdot \hat{\imath} \not \tilde{o}^{\prime} u \hat{\imath} t h_{E} w \hat{\imath} x^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} s l_{E x} h_{u^{u}}{ }^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} s\) saw the food the woman \(6+16,17\)
\(k \cdot \hat{\imath} \not \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{t} t l_{E x}\) dä'mît \(l_{E}\) xä́n nîs saw the husband the sick (man) 128.11, 12
sqa'ts häl hūu'mîk. lex swat seized that old woman grizzly bear 102.21, 22
\(x\) - is never omitted as a prefix when the subject of the sentence is an animal, an inanimate object, or any part of speech other than a noun.
 the bear)
xya'bas yaptê'tsa lä \(p \hat{c}^{\prime} l \hat{\imath k} k \cdot \hat{\imath}\) s maggots ate up his anus 40.6, 7
\(a k^{\prime} a^{\prime} n a k \cdot h e^{\prime i} l t a h E x x \cdot \bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a s\) sticking out is (the) tongue the snake 42.1, 2
xqainé es \(k \bar{a}^{a}{ }_{s}\) tsxa \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) wat cold (weather) nearly killed him 32.7
wwît notō'hîtsū some one hit me

 34.19, 20
.clala \({ }^{u}{ }_{\text {fo }}{ }^{u x} t \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) that is the one (who) watched it 94.6
in \(l E^{\prime} y \bar{\imath} x k w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n a^{u} t c\) it does not look good (literally, not good [the manner of] looking 34.18
\(x n \bar{a}^{a} n t l a^{u}{ }_{L \bar{o}}{ }^{u x} L \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) wax many (persons) her were clubbing 80.4, 5 \(x\) - is always prefixed to the vocative cases of nouns when they are used with the possessive pronouns. This is due to the desire on the part of the speaker to aroid ambiguity or obscurity of meaning.
\(t \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{\imath} n E x h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) mı̂s halloo, my wife! 54.2
\(e^{\varepsilon} d j \hat{\imath}\) nex dä́m îl you come, my husband! 70.16
 pet! 86.20.21
\(\epsilon^{\varepsilon} d j \bar{\imath} n E x\) temä'mis you come, my grandsons \(82.12,13\)
\(e^{\varepsilon} L \bar{o}^{u} k^{u}\) nex \(k\) !! ō'la sit down, my father
While the vocative cases (especially for nouns expressing terms of relationship) have special forms, the omission of the discriminative prefix could nevertheless obscure the meaning of the sentence, as the possessive pronoun coincides with the form for the personal pronoun.

Thus, if in the sentence \(e^{\varepsilon} L \bar{\partial} u k^{u} \quad n E x k!\bar{o}^{\prime} l a\), the \(n E x k!\bar{o}^{\prime} l a\) were deprived of its discriminative prefix, it might mean you sit down. I (am the) father. Since, however, the action is to be performed by the person addressed (in this particular instance, "the father"), it is discriminated by the prefix \(x\)-. Such an ambiguity can not occur in sentences where the vocative is used without the possessive pronoun, where the prefix is consequently omitted.
\(e^{\varepsilon} L \bar{o} u \hbar_{i}^{u} p k \bar{a}^{\prime} k \cdot\) you sit down, grandfather! 108.14
mituxa'nEm L \(\bar{u}^{\prime} m \bar{u}\) make me (necessarily) lunch, grandmother! 114.5

\section*{§24. Modal and Instrumental x-}

This prefix may be best translated by in the manner of. Its function is the same as that of our English suffix-Lr. There is an etymological relation between this suffix and the discriminative and locative \(x\)-, although I was unable to ascertain its exact nature. The suffix -tc is frequently added to stems preceded by the modal prefix \(-x\) (see § 36).
xLD\(w e^{\prime}\) entc \(k!w \hat{\imath}\) 'nts entirely he swallowed her 102.23
\(x t c \bar{z}^{\prime} t c \bar{u} e^{\varepsilon} x a^{\prime} t a t\) how are you? (literally, in what way you do?) 36.13

Lowee'ento Lowı̂'tat all (seals) ran (into the water) \(56.9,10\)
tcītcū ye \(e^{\varepsilon}\) îluwe \({ }^{\prime x} t c \hat{\imath} s\) what do you think? (literally, what your heart?) 6.9; 7.1
xqa'lyeqēētc \(\hat{\imath} t\) kwinna'ēe \({ }^{i} w a t\) as salmon they look upon it (literally, in the manner of salmon they see it [qa'lyeq salmon]) 130.1t
xpīye'etc qatnuwänḕ've backwards she commenced to pull them (literally, in the manner of going home [ \(p \bar{u}^{-x} p \bar{\imath}\) he goes home]) 80.8, 9
in xa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u w \bar{\imath} t c \bar{a}^{\prime} t s t u\) a small amount she gave her (literally, not in the manner of enough [ \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) sure enough \(]\) ) 64.21
no \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) wìts heve t! \({ }^{E} c \hat{y}^{\prime} t c\) I finished shoving (literally, I finished in the manner of . . .)

This prefix is used frequently to express the idea of instrumentality. The noun is then usually followed by the adverbial suffix -Etc (see § 70). The idea of instrumentality is here so closely interwoven with that of modality, that the instrumental use of a modal prefix is very natural.
\(k!\) 'wint \(x\) enîlaqEtc he shot at him with an arrow (literally, he shot at him in the manner of an arrow) 22.16
 lex tsnna'hetc l! \({ }^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\prime} t s\) with the thunder language he spoke 18.9
xmēke' \(e^{\prime}\) Etc tōwîtînū'ye by means of a basket he was dropped down 28.9, 10

\section*{Suffixes (§§ 25-80)}

\section*{§ 25. Generat Remarks}

The number of suffixes in Coos is quite small when contrasted with the numerons suffixes found in some of the neighboring languages. This number appears even smaller when we take into consideration the compound suffixes that consist of two, and in some cases of three, independent suffixes. A still more sweeping reduction may be obtained through an etymological comparison between the different suffixes. There can be little doubt that if the language, in its present status, would lend itself to an etymological analysis, many suffixes, apparently different in character and even in form, could be shown to be derived from one common base. Thus it is safe to say that the suffix -t primarily had a general verbal character, and that all the other suffixes ending in \(t\) are derived from this original form. This assertion is substantiated by the fact that the present transitive suffix -ts is added to a number of stems that have already been verbalized by the general verbal - \(t\) suffix, and that the causative passive suffix -et is always preceded by the transitive \(-t\) or \(-t s\) (see \(\S 26\) ).

In the same manner it may be said that \(-s\) was the general suffix indicating nouns, and that all nominal suffixes ending in -s eventually go back to this nominal suffix.

This theory of a close etymological connection between the different suffixes is practically proven by a comparison of the various adverbial suffixes ending in -tc. Such a comparison will show that all these suffixes must have been derived from one universal form, which may be reconstructed as *tc. Furthermore, all the suffixes expressing distribution have the element \(n\) - in common, which consequently may be regarded as the original suffix conveying the idea of distributive plurality; the more so, as in the following instances \(n\)-actually denotes distribution.
\(k \cdot e^{\prime} t a\) hand 48.17
dj \(\bar{\imath}\) it came 52.8
\(k\) 'tsas ashes
> \(k \cdot e^{\prime}\) tnatc \(7 t \overline{o^{u}} x x^{\wedge} \hat{\imath} t s\) he rubbed her in his hands (literally, with each of his hands he rubbed her) 108.20, 21
> \(l_{E}\) djû̀ \(\bar{n} \imath \bar{t}\) they came (singly) 52.17
> \(k \cdot \hat{\imath} t s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} s n e t c\) lēp \(\hat{\imath} t \hat{\imath} t\) tet with ashes he marked himself [all over] 28.16

tsel'ne \(e^{i} \hat{u} x\) lît \(k i n n e\) side by side they two were standing 62.22

There also seems to be an etymological connection between the suffix denoting neutral verbs and the suffixes expressing the passive voice, although in this case the relation is not as transparent as in the instances mentioned above; and there may have also existed an original relation between the verbal suffixes that end in \(-\bar{u}\).

The following list will serve to illustrate better the theory set forth in the preceding pages. The forms marked with an asterisk (*) represent the reconstructed original suffixes, while the other forms indicate the suffixes as they appear to-day.

\section*{VERBAL SUFFIXES}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline *-t general verbal & \(-n \bar{e}{ }^{i},-n \bar{z}\) distributive \\
\hline *-t transitive & -änè distributive \\
\hline -ts transitive & -îmè distributive \\
\hline -eet causative passive & -heña distributive \\
\hline -et causative passive & *-u modal (?) \\
\hline \(-\overline{-}, \bar{e}^{i}\) neutral & \(-u\) transitional \\
\hline \(-\bar{a} y u,-\bar{e} i y u,-\bar{z} y u\) passive & \(-\bar{u}\) present passive \\
\hline - \(\bar{a} \bar{y} a^{u}\) passive participle & \(-\bar{u}\) transitive subject and object \\
\hline -ixawa (?) agency & pronoun \\
\hline *-n general distributive & \(-\bar{u}\) reflexive plural \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
-änà distributive
-îmè distributive
-h \(\bar{n} a\) distributive
*-u modal (?)
\(-u\) transitional
\(-\bar{u}\) present passive
\(-\bar{u}\) transitive subject and object pronoun
\(-\bar{u}\) reflexive plural

\section*{NOMINAL SUFFIXES}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline *-s general nominal & *-tc general adverbial \\
\hline -is nominal & -tc modal verbal \\
\hline -Es, -tes abstract & -ütc (?) suffix of relationship \\
\hline -enîs abstract & -etc local \\
\hline - ãwas abstract & \(-\bar{e} i t c,-\bar{z} t c\) local and modal nominal \\
\hline -nēivas abstract & -ewîtc local \\
\hline \(-\bar{o}^{u} n \hat{\imath} s\) verbal noun & -Etc instrumental \\
\hline \(-s \bar{\imath}\) verbal noun & \\
\hline -îs local & \\
\hline -t̂s ordinal & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All suffixes may be classified into two large groups as verbal and nominal suffixes; that is to say, as suffixes that either verbalize or nominalize a given neutral stem. I have included adverbial suffixes in the latter group, on account of the intimate relation between nominal and adverbial forms.

\section*{Verbal Suffixes (§§ 26-55)}

\section*{TRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 26-27)}

\section*{§ 26. Transitive -t, -ts}
-t. This suffix may have been originally the verbal suffix par excellence. It points out not only the active, transitive idea, but also presence of the object of a transitive action. It has frequently a causative meaning. It transforms impersonal or passive verbs into transitives, and verbalizes any other part of speech. It is usually suffixed to the bare verbal stems whenever these end in a vowel, nasal ( \(m, n\) ), or lateral; in all other cases it is preceded by \(a\) or \(\hat{\imath}\), making the suffix -at or -itt. No phonetic rule has been discovered that will show when -at or -itt ought to be used. It may, however, be suggested that -at denotes transitive actions not yet completed, while -ît designates a finished, transitive action. These connectives disappear when other suffixes are added to the transitive \(-t\).
\(n p^{E} c \hat{c} \hat{\prime} t\) I blow it away
\({ }_{0} k^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime x} w \hat{\imath} t\) I lose it
\({ }_{0} x \cdot p \hat{\imath} t\) I burned it
nqa'ltcît I slacken it
tc̄̄ L! \(k w \hat{t} t ~ l a ̈ Z ~ h \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot c a\) there
covered (them) that old wo-
man (with blankets) 82.14
\(p^{E} c \bar{\imath} h E d \bar{c}^{\prime} l \bar{o} t\) blew away the young man 26.21
\(k!u^{x} w z^{\prime} l e^{\prime} \hat{u} x d \ddot{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} t\) got lost their (dual) husband 22.9
\(x \cdot p \bar{\imath}\) it burned down 58.12
\(x q e^{i l t c}\) slowly 17.7
L! \(!k w \bar{\imath}\) blanket 84.8
\(x \bar{a}^{\prime a}\) pate \(L \bar{o} w a^{\prime} h a i t\) into the \(L \bar{o} w a^{\prime} h a i l_{E} d \bar{\iota}^{\prime} \bar{o} t\) ran the young water she runs 56.8
man 78.27
\(e^{\varepsilon} \bar{l}^{\prime}\) 'kwît hanlawe you will \(\bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w a k^{u}\) lightning 18.5
make lightning 18.7
la qanō'tca l'nuwît le \(\bar{a}^{\prime} l_{a} l^{\prime} n u w \bar{\imath}\) very much 98.28 that one outside (it) pulled, the child 11.1, 2
ît \(\mathfrak{o} \gamma a^{\prime} a l t\) I am talking about wändj \(\gamma \bar{a}^{\prime} l a n \bar{\imath}\) thus they are talkthem ing 56.18
qamtt he bit her 100.16
\(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) xen \(L!x^{\cdot} \bar{\imath}^{i} n t\) once she examined it 86.18
\(k!w \bar{a}^{a} n t\) he heard it 24.8
\({ }_{0}{ }_{0}!{ }^{!} n^{u}{ }^{u} t h_{E} t c . \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l_{E}\) I opened the door 74.9
\(q a i^{\prime} c \bar{\imath} t c h a^{u} \hat{\imath} t y \bar{u}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} l t\) into small pieces that thing they divided it 130.26
nha'mLt I float it
mu'xwît la kxla she felt for nomu'xwat I am feeling it her foot 80.21
notc! \(p \hat{n} t\) I braided a rope ntc!'pat I am braiding a rope
wî'luwît he tsetse'kwîn he nuwîlat I am looking (around)
looked for the cane 28.18
\(a^{u^{\prime}}\) quat \(h_{E} l_{l}^{\prime} \cdot{ }^{\prime u} \bar{a}^{\prime}\) was he took off the shirt 78.11, 12
There are a few stems denoting intransitive ideas that occur with this suffix.
pînat \(l_{E}\) we' hel shaking was the stomach 58.24
kwilãt (the bow) was bent 64.3
-ts. This suffix has the same function as the previously discussed \(-t\). Not the slightest difference could be detected in the use of these two phonetically different suffixes.
-ts is either suffixed directly to stems ending in a vowel, nasal ( \(m, n\) ), or a lateral, or it is connected with the stem by means of \(a\) or \(\hat{\imath}\). The only phonetic law that I was able to observe in reference to the two connecting vowels, is that \(\hat{\imath}\) can never serve as a connective between the suffix \(-t s\) and a verbal stem ending in the velar surd \(q\).
\(n_{n} t s \tilde{o}^{u^{\prime}} x_{L t s}\) I greased it
\({ }_{n} n^{2} a i^{\prime} n t s\) I cool it
n. \(x a \hat{a}^{\prime}\) 'lts I made him warm
n. \(h \bar{a}^{\prime} w i ̂ t s l_{E}\) tcîcū'mît I grew the spruce-tree
\(x!k \cdot \hat{\imath} t s\) she poured it 102.12
\({ }_{n} p_{\bar{o}}{ }^{u \prime} k w \hat{\imath} t s\) I made him a slave
\(t s \bar{o} w e^{\prime x} L\) grease 122.6
nqai'na I am cold
\(x a \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l a\) she became heated 108.26
\(h \ddot{a} ' w \bar{\imath} h e\) tcîcíc mîl (it) grew up, the spruce-tree
\(\leq!k \cdot{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) it spilled 172.14
\(p \bar{o}^{w^{\prime}} k w i ̂ s\) slave
\({ }_{0} h \bar{u}^{u}\) m \(\hat{\imath} s \hat{\imath} t s\) I marry (her) \(\quad h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s\) woman 70.3
tc.'wä' \(\}\) etc tsī \(x \cdot \hat{\imath} t s\) in the fire tsîx here 106.8
he held him down 106.5
in ê'lxats not he looked at it ts \(\bar{o} e^{\varepsilon} i l i c\) now you look 17.3 40.17
\(\hat{u} x a^{\prime} a t s l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u} m \ddot{a}^{\prime} k^{\cdot} \cdot e\) they \(t_{a}\) he went (intransitive) 22.18 two went over (the water)
the women 128.4
\(n p \cdot \bar{\iota}^{\prime} x a t s\) I scatter it
\(p \bar{o} k w \hat{\imath} \hat{e}^{\prime} \ln \bar{e}^{i}\) t̂̂̀lqats opposite one another he set them down 112.12
netta'ts I am painting it
gō̃us qantc la \({ }^{u}\) p.'̄'yEx everywhere it is scattered 46.16
it tîla'qai they were living (literally, sitting) 84.20
\(n \neq t \not ̂ \hat{c}^{\prime} t s\) I painted it

There are a few stems that, in spite of this transitive sutlix, are sometimes translated as intransitive verbs.
\(\bar{i}\) n Lōwa'kats she was not home (literally, not she was sitting) ( \(L \bar{o}^{u} \hbar^{u}\) - to sit [down]) 58.7
kat \(E^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} s\) qa'lyeq la'ats län Lō\(^{\prime} p \hat{\imath} t\) five salmon got into his basket
34.23 (but \(k!' \bar{a} h a n c y \epsilon^{\varepsilon} n k!w \hat{\imath} n t s n_{0} l a^{\prime} a t s\) a rope I'll put around thy neck 94.12 )

\(l_{E x}\) tc! \(!\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a^{u}\) qa'lyeqetc he filled the house with dried salmon 36.3, 4)
\(g \bar{o}^{u} s\) mîl \({ }^{\prime}\) ätc he \(q a^{\prime} y a^{u} t s\) he always becomes afraid (of it) 126.1
That the transitive \(-t\) was originally a general verbal suffix, may best be demonstrated by the circumstance that in a number of instances neutral stems are verbalized by means of the suffix -ts, after they had previously been changed into verbs by means of the \(-t\) suffix. This double verbalization may be explained as due to the fact that the verbal function of the \(-t\) suffix was so conventionalized that it had become entirely forgotten.
\(m u^{\prime} x w \hat{\imath} t\) she felt for it \(80.21 e^{\varepsilon} m u x t \hat{\imath} t s{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) han I want to feel of you 108.18
ny \(\hat{u}^{\prime} x w \hat{\imath} t\) I rub it
ît yû'xtîts he'îtet they rub themselves 52.13
\(\hat{u} x\) in \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot \hat{\imath} t e \bar{m}\) those two no \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot t \hat{\imath} t\) s he touched him 106.20 one touched 122.25
nha'k! wat I draw it up \(\quad n h a^{\prime} k k^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t s\) I draw it up
\(l \imath^{\prime}\) cat \(h_{E}\) l! \(t \bar{a}\) shaking is the \({ }_{0} l \imath^{\prime} c t \hat{\imath} t s\) I shake it earth 16.2

\section*{§ 27. Causative -iyat}

This suffix is always added to the bare stem of intransitive or neutral verbs. Stems ending in the palatal surd \(k\) or palatal spirant \(x\) palatalize these final consonants (see § 13).
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u \bar{p}^{-\quad} \imath^{\prime} y a t ~ h a ̈ l t ~ t \bar{o}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} L\) indeed, \(p^{-\quad x} \cdot p \bar{\imath}\) he went home 56.11 he took home that old man 30.13

L! \({ }^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime}\) atc tsxawī'yat on the \(t s x \bar{u}\) he lies 20.12 ground he laid (them) down 36.20, 21
 that ball rolling is the ocean 6.2
 he took out the child 12.1
\(d \bar{u}^{i} \mathrm{n}\) ñaĩyat something I start \(l a\) he went 22.18
tîn helaqaī̀yat we took him up helãq he climbed up 13.10
There is practically no difference between this causative suffix and the transitive -ts, except for the fact that -ts seems to be regularly suffixed to stems ending in velar or palatal consonants. There is only one verbal stem ending in a velar surd ( \(q\) ) that takes the causative suffix -iyat; namely, the stem helãy- то Clinm. This stem infixes an \(a\) between its final consonant and the causative suffix, as shown by the last example above.

The reason why the causative -iyat is suffixed to this stem, and not the transitive \(-t\), may lie in the fact that there are two stems helaqdifferentiated by accent only (see §5); namely, he'laq to arrive, and \(h e i \bar{a} q\) то climb up.

Since the transitive -ts has been suffixed to he'laq to arrive (compare hatāyı̂ms halqtsō̄' wat she brought the money \(78.13,14\) ), the causative -īyat may have been suffixed to helãq to climb because confusion is thus avoided.

When followed by the pronominal suffixes, -iyat is contracted with them into \(-\bar{\imath} t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath},-\bar{\imath} t \bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s,-i^{\prime} t \bar{u}\), and \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} t a\) (sce \(\$ \$ 9,11\) ).
xwîn ép \(e^{\varepsilon} \bar{t} \not \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{c} h a n L\) we two will take you home 126.19, 20
\(e^{\varepsilon} t\) s.sawz̄t \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) र̂̀s you laid me down
nhelaqa'īt \(\bar{u}\) he took me up

\section*{INTRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§28-31)}

\section*{§ 28. Intransitive - \(\boldsymbol{\text { Ifli}}\)}

This suffix signifies that a verb usually transitive is without an object. It is consequently employed in the formation of intransitive verbs. With the exception of one or two sporadic instances, it is always suffixed to the reduplicated form of the verbal stem, thus denoting a repetitive action.

цqa'ai lät tō'mîL he believes that, old man 28.16
\(\bar{z}^{\prime} n t c\) d \(\overline{\bar{z}}{ }^{i} \underline{i}\) hexwînne' \(\hat{\imath} t c\) yōyō \(\bar{o}^{s} w a a i\) bad something with us is stopping 24.3
yuavé ŷ̂̀mat ha ga \(\vec{o}^{u}{ }_{s}\) mê'lätc lōk \(k^{u} l \bar{v}^{\prime} k w a a i\) whenever he twinkles (his eyes), there always is lightning 16.6, 7 ( \(\bar{o}^{\prime} w a k^{u}\) lightning)
 kwe'nes always bathing was their sister 84.21, 22
ak! 'a'laai \(l_{E} \quad h \bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\) mîs shout- \(k!a^{\prime} l a t\) he shouted 36.7 ing is the woman 56.5
sittsa'ataai he lüt \(\bar{h} \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot c a \quad\) sa'at murder-dance
she was usually dancing the murder-dance, that old woman 116.26, 27
\(\hat{\imath n}^{-1} \bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) naai (it is) nothing \(122.27 \quad \bar{\imath} n\) not 10.8
kwîtkwa'tacii he was dream- \(k w^{a} a^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} s\) dream 98.7 ing 98.6

\section*{§ 29. Reciprocal me}
-me \(\boldsymbol{e}^{u}\) is usually preceded by the transitive suffix \(-t\) or \(-t s\). Owing to the fact that the consonantic combination of \(t\) or \(t s+m\) is not permissible, this suffix appears as -Eme \({ }^{u}\) (see §4).
t̂t sqa'tseme \(e^{u}\) they seize one another
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u \hat{u} \hat{x}\) hā̄\(\hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \hat{c}^{\prime} t_{E m} e^{u}\) surely they two gambled together 38.23
 selves the Giant-Woman's money 80.29; 82.1
刘 \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n \bar{n} y e ~ k w e e n \bar{\imath}\) 'yExteme \({ }^{u}\) they no longer know one another 46.9
\(\hat{u} x w_{\hat{\imath}} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{me}^{u}\) they two fight (together) 48.16

\section*{§ 30. Suffixes Defining the Subject: qEm, -xEm; ū; -ēm}
\(-q E m(-x E m)\). This suffix serves a double purpose. The stem to which this suffix is added must have a singular subject. There is another suffix, \(-\vec{u}\), which expresses the same idea for plural subjects. This suffix will be treated in \(\S 52\) (p. 357).
(1) When preceded by the transitive suffix \(-t\) or \(-t s\), it assumes a reflexive character, indicating that the subject of the action is at the same time its object.
hän \(k \cdot e^{\prime} t a \operatorname{la}\) atsxem \(l_{E} x \cdot \bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a s\) into her hand came the snake (literally, put herself in) 86.4
Compare \(m \bar{z} k \cdot e^{\prime}\) etc noláats \(l_{E} x \cdot \bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a s\) into the basket I put the snake
 played, she there would go among them (literally, put herself among them) \(70.19,20\)
\(t_{q} \bar{a}^{\prime} l \hat{l s e t c}\) pana \(\bar{a}^{\prime} q t s x E m\) in the sun he is warming himself 32.8
tsx \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y a t{ }^{\prime} \bar{\rho}^{u^{\prime}} q\) tsxem in the morning he got up (literally, got himself up) 34.22
notc! \(\bar{o}^{\prime \prime}\) tsxem hanl I will go to bed (literally, I lay myself down will)
Compare tci\(\hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} t c!\bar{o}^{u}\) there they went to bed 50.12

ŷ̂qantce' wîtc tctcî'tsqem back she drew (herself) \(64.29,30\)
\(t s \bar{o}\) the'tqEm now it rested 88.16
\(t s \bar{o} L!h a^{\prime} t \operatorname{sq}_{E m} l_{E} h \bar{u} \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s\) then dressed (herself) the woman 86.6
Compare \(n_{L}!h a^{\prime} t s l_{E} \bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) I dress the child
\(h \hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath} t^{E} k \cdot e^{\prime} l m \hat{\imath} t s q E m\) there it let itself down 90.6
(2) When suffixed to the bare verbal stem, especially to intransitive stems or to stems expressing motion, it conveys the idea to be in a position, to be in a condition, to be in the act of. For this lastnamed purpose the suffix -xEm is mostly used.
 a rope (literally, fastened condition, neck, with a rope)
92.4
\(h \hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath} \hbar^{n} e^{i}{ }^{\prime} k \cdot E x E m\) there he may be among them 94.28
hats kwa \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y u l a^{u} w \hat{\imath} n \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{a} x E m\) just like a rainbow (it is) spread out 32.14
tsō \(b e^{\prime} l t c^{E} x_{E m}\) now he is warming his back 32.18
\(\bar{i} n t c!l e^{\prime} x E m\) tE \(\begin{aligned} & \bar{a}^{\prime} n \hat{n} h\end{aligned}\) not in a dry condition is that river 14.6 (tc.llis dry 166.2)
tsō le'tîx. he'lkwexem now from there she came out 108.28 (ha'lkwît she took it out 60.1)
hats he'nīhen tī̀wîxem \(\hat{\imath}\) la \(a^{u}\) tsxū just many times it coiled up as it lay 88.1
In some instances the suffix -xem is used to express the place of a certain action. This use of the suffix is in perfect accordance with its general function of indicating the condition, or position of an occurrence.
qantc \(l_{E}\) tc! we'xem where the \(\hat{\imath} t t c!\bar{o}^{u}\) they went to bed 50.12
bed was (literally, sleeping-
place or place of lying
down) 86.7
\(h \hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath} t^{E} k^{\cdot} \cdot e^{\prime} / m \hat{\imath} x E m\) there was \(\bar{\imath} t^{E} k \cdot \frac{e l m}{}\) it did not sink 136.7
a deep place (literally, the
place of sinking something
into the water) \(8 \frac{1}{8} .24\)
\(c^{i} \gamma^{i} t c x e m\) a circle (literally, n. \(c^{i} \gamma^{i} t c t \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) wat I surround it it is clear around [it])
(See also § 40.)
-ẽm. This suffix indicates that an indefinite person, unknown to the speaker, is the subject of an action. It is always added to stems expressing transitive ideas, or to stems that have already been verbalized by means of the transitive suffixes -t or -ts (see § 26). The pronominal objects of actions performed by an indefinite subject are expressed by prefixing the personal pronouns (see § 18) to the verb.
kwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{a} y a\) he knows it \(26.19 \hat{u} x\) kwee'nūyẽn those two somebody knows 19.10
nûxt- touch \(\quad \hat{u} x\) 解 \(n \hat{\imath} x \cdot \hat{\imath} t \bar{t} m\) those two not somebody touched 122.25
latsā'ya he goes after it 94.7 tatsōtēm somebody went after it 92.13
\(h \bar{a}{ }^{\prime} k!^{\prime} t\) - to draw up
hä'k!wîtèm somebody draws him up 92.9

\section*{§ 31. Neutral-i, \(-\vec{e} i f f\)}
\(-\bar{\imath}\left(-\bar{e}^{i}\right)\) is employed in the formation of neutral verbs. It changes the \(a\)-vowels of the stem to \(e\) (see § 7 ).
\(y \bar{o}^{\prime} q \bar{e} l_{E} k \bar{a}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath}^{\hat{l}}\) it split, the bas- \(\hat{a} x\) yō'qat they two split it 7.9
ket 8.1
\(k \bar{a}^{a_{s}}\) kwa tc! \(h \bar{e}{ }^{i}\) almost as if it tc! hats he put it out 128.26
went out (the light) 128.19
Lōwe'ente \(x \cdot t \bar{\imath}\) the whole thing \(n x \cdot t \hat{\imath} t\) I slide it down
(wholly) slid down 26.19
\(k w a k w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s p^{E} c \bar{c} l_{E} d \bar{\imath}^{\prime} l \bar{l} l\) like \({ }_{o p} p^{E} c \hat{v}^{\prime} t\) I blow it away
(a) feather blew away the
yoüng man 26.21
 grew up 9.3, 4
\(x \cdot p \bar{\imath} l_{E} y \hat{\imath} x a ̈ a^{\prime} w E x\) it burned \(x \cdot p^{\prime} ' t s \bar{\imath}\) débris 58.19
down, the house 58.12, 13
wîtcwehe'xtcì la \(\bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) it took waha'xtcas sickness
sick, his child 42.17
\(e^{\varepsilon} p \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \bar{\imath}\) hans you will get \(p \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} t s\) tet he warmed himself 32.8 warm 100.27

In a few instances verbs having this suffix were rendered by the passive voice, which may have been due to the fact that my informant could not express in English the intransitive neutral idea implied in the suffix.
 he was drawn up from above 98.2
\(m a^{w u x a^{\prime} \hbar \bar{\imath}} \hat{u}\) xwî'lux \({ }^{u}\) was mau'xat he chewed him up 68.10 chewed up his head 124.3
\(k!u^{\prime x} w \bar{\imath} l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s\) was lost \(k!u^{\prime} u^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) he lost it
the woman \(5 \pm .19\)
SEMI-TEMPORAL SUFFIXES (§§ 32-35)
§32. Inchoative -īwe
\(\mathbf{-} \boldsymbol{\imath} w e\) indicates the commencement of an action, and is suffixed to verbal stems expressing active or transitive ideas. If the stem to which it is to be suffixed does not express such an idea, it is preceded by the verbal -en \(\bar{\imath}(\$ 45)\), but never by \(-t\) or \(-t s\). It may also be preceded by the distributive -änī (see \(\S 37\) ). The verbal stem must always be preceded by the prefix \(q a\) (see \(\S 19\) ).
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) qaLōच̄̄'we indeed (she) begins to eat 24.11
tsō hand qacealctī'we now (he) will begin to work 26.18
qałnī've (he) commenced to hunt 106.16
\(\hat{\imath} t\) qaskweyän \({ }^{\prime}\) 'we they begin to talk (among themselves) 66.21
qatcinneheníwe (he) began to think 20.7
qax-întetänī'we (he) began to jump about 102.15
qakeläñ̄'we a mẽn began to shout at each other, the people (literally, mutually) 24.22
qamelänü've (he) began to swim around 176.16

\section*{§ 33. Frequentatives - \(\bar{e} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{v a} a(t),-\bar{o}^{w} w a(t)\)}
- \(\bar{e} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{a}(t)\) indicates repetition, frequency or duration of action. The verbal stem to which it is suffixed is very often reduplicated, thus bringing out more clearly the frequentative idea. It is added to stems regardless of whether they express real transitive actions or not.
tkwīL \(\bar{e}^{i}\) wat te to'qmas he is \(n{ }_{n} t^{E} k w i ̄ L t s\) I followed him
following that woodpecker
22.2

ting the basket 34.23
xqa'wax la kiwinna'è \({ }^{i}\) wat from qe'ttc \(\hat{u} x\) kun'nait down they two above these are looking at looked 6.4
it 6.4
\(g \bar{o}^{u_{s}} d \bar{i} \bar{i}^{i} \downarrow\) aiw \(\bar{e}^{i}{ }^{i}\) wat everything \(a i^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) he killed (them all) 112.9
he is killing 68.23
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u x w a ̈ n d j h a^{u} w e^{e^{i}}\) wat surely \(y \hat{\imath} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} w E x\) heuts a house he built that way he has been doing 32.18
it 92.8
\(t c \bar{\imath} \hat{\imath} t k \cdot \hat{\imath} x \cdot L!{ }^{\prime} \bar{o} w \bar{e}^{-i}\) wat \(l_{E} h \bar{\imath}^{i \prime} m e ~ n x \cdot{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u} t\) I put it in there they are putting in the children 52.9
Lehe \(e^{u^{\prime}} n \bar{e}^{i} l a^{u} h \hat{\imath} t h \bar{\imath} t o ̄ w \bar{e}^{i}\) wat side \(l a^{u}\) ln't\({ }^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u} t s\) she put them down by side she put them down 60.4 60.4
\(k \cdot!\hat{n} n k \cdot!\hat{\imath} \bar{e}^{i}\) wat \(h_{\text {e }}\) päL!! \(\ddot{a}^{\prime} y e \quad \hat{u} x k^{\prime}\) ! înt they two try it 7.4
he was trying the weight
78.18

This suffix appears sometimes as -īwat. For an explanation of this seeming irregularity, see \(\S 2\).
ax kwîskwi'wat they two are nskwi'wat hanl I will inform informing him 20.25 him 74.4
\({ }_{n} x x^{\prime} \cdot \imath^{\prime}\) wat I am hitting him \(x\) ! \(!t s\) he hit her with a club with a club 64.28

Instead of an initial reduplication, the verbal stem very often appears with a reduplication of the final consonant, denoting continuity and distribution of action (see § 83).
\[
\begin{array}{lc}
n t!^{\prime E} c \hat{\imath} c \imath^{\prime} w a t \text { I am shoving it } & t!c \hat{\imath} t s \text { he shoved it } 32.24 \\
\text { (back and forth) } & \\
\hat{\imath} t \text { p̂ctcatcī'wat they are warm- } & p \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} t s \\
\text { ing (themselves singly) } & 32.8
\end{array}
\]
tc \(\bar{\imath} t s \hat{\imath} x \cdot \hat{\imath} x \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w a t\) there he was holding him (for a long time) 104.15, 16 (tsîx \({ }^{*}\) here 24.4)
\(-\overline{\boldsymbol{o}}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{t})\) exercises the same function as \(-\bar{e}^{i} w a t\). The only difference between the two suffixes is, that \(-\bar{o}^{u}\) wat is added to the verbs already amplified by the transitive suffixes \(-t\) or \(-t s\), while \(-e^{i}\) veat can be suffixed only to the stem.
 follow him 9.9
tc! 'e'etc hīt! 'Etsōu' wat he pen- hí'yet! he came ashore 32.5 Lo' \(^{\prime}\) wai ashore it brought a whale 88.22, 23
tci halqtsō \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) wat there she is bring- he'laq he arrived 22.22 ing it to him 72.8
aqalqsîtō̃ \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) wat he is frightening \(a^{\prime} l_{q}\) as fear 66.4 him frequently 100.24

In a few cases \(-\bar{o}^{u}\) wat is suffixed to the verbal stem.
\(\bar{\imath} t \hat{\imath} s l \bar{o}^{u}\) wat \(l_{E}\) t \(\bar{e}{ }^{i}\) L! 'tā he recognizes this (here) land 30.28
\(k\) ! wen \(\hat{\imath}\) 'y \(a^{u}\) ñwîlo \(u^{\prime}\) wat food I am looking for 54.4
notsxaū'wat hans I will kill him 26.22
The suffixation of \(-\bar{o}^{u}\) wat instead of \(-\bar{e}^{i} w a t\) in these instances may have been caused by the law of euphony, as these stems end in a \(u\)-diphthong. Thus, the stem of \(t s x a u^{\prime} w a t\) is \(t s x a^{u}\)-, as shown by the form tsxaw-̄'yat (36.21) he laid him down, consisting of the stem tsxa \({ }^{u}\) - and the causative suffix - \(\bar{\imath} y a t\).

Whenever the pronouns expressing both subject and object are suffixed to verbs ending in \(-\bar{o}^{u} w a t\), this suffix changes to \(-\bar{o}^{u} w \hat{\imath} t\) (see § 11).
\(e^{\varepsilon} h \bar{u}^{u} m_{n} \hat{\imath} s t s \bar{o} w \hat{\imath} t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} h a n L\) I will marry you 184.6
\({ }_{0} h a l q t s \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w i ̂ t \bar{u}\) he brought me frequently

\section*{§ 34. Frequentative Causative -reiurat}

There can be little doubt that the \(-\bar{e}^{i} w a t\) in \(-u \bar{e} i u a t\) is identical with the frequentative suffix \(-\bar{e}^{i} w a t\), discussed on p. 336. Owing to the fact that a number of verbal stems ending in \(a\) take the suffix \(-\bar{e}^{i}\) wat, there is a good deal of confusion between these two suffixes.
\(x \bar{a}^{a} p l a^{u} l a a^{\prime}{ }^{i}{ }^{i}\) wat water car- \(l a\) he went 22.18
ried them away 46.16, 17
\(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40 , pt 2-12-22
\(\hat{\imath} c \sin a^{\prime} e^{i} v a t\) you two are hid- \(\hat{u} x\) slnīyat they two hide him ing him 24.11 24.9
 (they) are sitting usually (literally, they caused it to be a mat) 38.3

Compare, on the other hand,
\(x q a^{\prime}\) wax \(\hat{u} x\) kwîna' \({ }^{-i} w a t\) from kwina- to look
above they two are looking
at it 6.9

§ 35. Transitionals -īye, -uts, - 11
-iye indicates a transitional stage, -a change from one state into another, that has already taken place. It is suffixed mostly to nouns and particles, although frequently it is found added to verbs. It may best be rendered by it became, it got, it turned out to be, or by the passive voice. Stems ending in a vowel other than \(i\) insert an \(h\) between the final vowel and the suffix (see § 10), while stems ending in \(-i\) contract this vowel with the following \(-i\) of the suffix into a long \(\bar{\imath}\) (see § 9). When suffixed to a stem that has an \(a\)-vowel, it changes into -aya (see § 7).
demste'tc \(\hat{u} x\) Lhînpā'ye they two came through a prairie (literally, through a prairie they two went through, it got) 112.1 ( \(L \hbar \hat{k} \hat{'}^{\prime} \bar{n} a p\) he went through 22.11)
kwîna'êe \({ }^{i} w a t i ̄ ' y e ~ b e ~ b e g a n ~ t o ~ l o o k ~ a t ~ h i m ~\)
tîn kwîne'welì'ye we became poor 28.21
tstimà'ye \(c^{E}\) it got summer, indeed (tstîm summer 162.20) 30.20
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot \bar{\imath} y e\) surely it was a canoe ( \(\hat{\imath} x^{*}\) canoe 44.20 ) 126.10
ŷ̂xe'n qatîmè'ye one morning (literally, once morning it got) 20.4
it he'tīye they became rich \(8 \pm .17\)
nh hai'nahā'ya I became active ( \({ }^{\prime} h a i^{\prime} n a\) I am active)
\(q^{a^{u} w a h \bar{a}^{\prime} y a}\) in the evening (literally, whenever evening it got) ( \(q a^{u^{\prime}} w a\) evening 50.26) 82.7
 together became their two ar- arrows joined together are rows 13.4 13.7
it \(h \ddot{u}^{\prime} k\) ! \({ }^{\prime u} t \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y e\) they were drawn \(\hat{\imath} t h \ddot{a}^{\prime} k k^{\prime \prime} t \bar{t}\) they are (being) drawn up 30.1 up
ts \(\bar{o}\) cîl xwändj \(\bar{z}^{\prime}\) ye now surely it was that way (literally, that way it turned out to be) 8.2
nnehī'ye la \(a^{u} \not \bar{o}\) I became the owner of that thing (literally, me it became [to whom] that belongs)
go \({ }^{u} s^{-1} y e ~ l a a^{u}\) tsxa \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) wat all (of them) he killed 68.9
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) cîl \(\bar{\imath} n d \bar{z}^{i} \bar{i} \bar{z}\) 'ye surely, indeed, nothing it turned out to be
tsō la \(a^{u} \hat{\imath} l\) kwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{y} y a h \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) now they came to know it 92.14
When suffixed to the negative particle \(\bar{i} n\), or to the contracted forms of \(\bar{\imath} n+\) the personal pronouns (see \(\S 9\) ), it forms new particles, \(\bar{z}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} y e\),
 LONGER, thou no LONGER, etc.
ît \(\bar{z}^{\prime}\) nūye kwa'ánīya they no longer know it 50.18, 19 \(n \bar{\imath}\) ye ne nxä' \(n \hat{\imath} s\) I am no longer sick

It appears as a suffix to the stem he'n̄-, forming a compound

he'n̄̄hen tī̀wîxEm many times it coiled 88.1 (-en multiplicative suffix [see § 75]).
hénīye \(\hat{u} x\) ve'länn̄ a long time they two fought (together) 132.8
\(\bar{i} n h e^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} y e x a^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s l a \bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) not very long sick (was) his child \(42.17,18\)
It takes the place of the inchoative suffix -ive (see § 32) in verbs not expressing a transitive, active idea, or not transitivized by the transitive suffix - \(e^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\). (See also § 19.)
qamîluz ye (he) commenced to swim 30.3
\(\hat{u} x\) qayuwatz̄'ye they two commenced to travel 12.6
-ñts conveys an active transitional idea. The difference between this suffix and -izye lies in the fact that the change indicated by the latter came about without any apparent active cause; while -nts expresses a change from one state into another, that presupposes a subject of the action. It is hence best rendered by to change one into.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline notómîcnts note't I into an old man change myself & \(t \bar{o}^{\prime} m \hat{\text { a }}\) L old man 22.7 \\
\hline  making himself young that old man 22.7 & d \(\overbrace{}^{\prime}\) lat a young man 22.11 \\
\hline \(l_{E}\) híi'me \(\hat{u}\) láa make tsî la \(a^{u} y \hat{\imath} x a^{\prime}-\) ntonts (of) the children the & yince'ntce together, one by one \(64.8,9\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} bones only she gathered up (literally, she changed into one) 60.3
\(-u\) indicates a change from one state into another, that has not yet been completed. It is often preceded by the transitive -t.
he'mîstu le yîxä̈'wex getting big-
ger is the house 34.14
\(n \bar{a}^{\prime a} n t u l_{E} m \ddot{a}\) multiplying are \(n \bar{a}^{a} n t\) many 44.18
the people 12.4
\(\hat{\imath}\) c teltä́mêttu hans you two will dä'mât (strong) man 14.7
get strong 120.17, 18
\(q a i^{\prime} c u\) it is getting small
he \(\bar{\pi}\) ' \(\hat{\text { in }}\) big 14.5
qaic small, a clunk 128.29

\section*{MODAI, SUEFIXES (§§ 36-43)}
§ 38. Modal -te
This suffix appears in four different forms, as -itc, -utc, -tc, and -etc. \({ }^{1}\)
- \(\hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{c}\) is added to verbal stems ending in a consonant, except \(m, n\), and any of the laterals; -utc is suffixed to stems ending in vowels; -tc is suffixed to stems ending in laterals; and -eetc, to stems ending in \(m\) or \(n\). This suffix is always added to the bare stem. There can be little doubt that this suffix is identical with the adverbial suffix -tc (see § 25 ); the more so, as it implies, to a great extent, an adverbially modal idea. The Coos expresses by its means our participial ideas. The verb taking this suffix is usually preceded by the discriminative and modal prefix \(x\) - (see \(\S \S 23,24\) ).
qawîlaû'we \(x h a^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{\imath} t c\) (he) commences to look around crawling (literally, in the manner of crawling; hak- to crawl) 32.10
ņla \({ }^{\prime}\) 'yat \(h_{E x} n \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot \hat{\imath} t c\) I commenced to touch it (literally, I commenced in the manner of touching)
\(t s^{\boldsymbol{E}} x a^{u^{\prime}} t c\) ̂̂l dō\(w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) to kill they want him 66.22
\(\bar{z} n l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma \bar{\imath} x k w \hat{c} n a^{u} t c\) it does not look good (literally, not good as to the manner of looking) 34.18
\(n_{0} \bar{a}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t s h_{E x}\) tcî'cltc I finished splitting (ntcîcle \(e^{i}\) wat I am splitting it)
\(n_{0} \bar{a}^{\prime}\) wîts \(h_{E x}\) heme'etc I stopped bringing it out (nhamàyat I brought it out)
qaínîs wînéetc \(L^{E} \widetilde{a} n\) into the water wading out she goes (literally, she goes down into the water in the manner of wading; nwînat I am wading out) 58.2
\[
\text { § } 36
\]

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) [This is obviously the adverbial -tc, and might have been discussed with §§ 67-70.-Ed.]
}

This suffix is often used in certain phrases to express abstract ideas. Thus, for instance, the Coos will express our sentence I an getfing hungry by i am going into hunger. (See § 118.)
tqatc nla I am getting hungry (nlqa I am hungry)
\(p_{L}\) ! \(\hat{\text { itc }}\) ñta I am getting heavy
§ 37. Distributives -nēi, -ni; -ïn̄̄; -̄̄̄̄am: -waly
\(-n \bar{e} \bar{e}^{i},-n \bar{\imath}\), indicate distribution of \(3 n\) intransitive action. They are suffixed to intransitive verbs. Related to this suffix is the distributive \(-\hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath}(\$ 72)\).

Kat \(E^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} s\) tkwīL'n \(\bar{e}^{i} l_{E} d j \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{n} \hat{\imath} t\)
thwic -to follow
five (winds) following each other (they) keep on coming (singly) 52.17
\(t_{S E L}{ }^{\prime} n \bar{e}^{i} \hat{u} x t^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{i} n e\) side by side tsEL- (?) they two were standing 62. 22
\(l e^{\prime} \hat{u} x m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{l} a q \operatorname{sic} L^{\prime} n \bar{e}^{i}\) their (dual) siL- to join together arrows are joined together (literally, one after the other) 13.7
\(k!\bar{a}^{\prime} y\) en \(\bar{\imath}\) he'ûx \(x w \hat{r}^{\prime} \bar{l} u x^{u}\) ̂̂x \(k!\bar{a} \gamma\) (?)
\({ }_{L}!\overline{e ́}^{\prime x} \cdot \sin t\) against each other with their two heads resting they two go to bed 72.14
pōkwî'ln \(\bar{e}^{i}\) Lōwaka' \({ }^{i} w a t\) opposite one another (they) were sitting 120.4, 5
hîtcoónāhù ye \(\hat{u}\) mẽn were as- hātc- (?) sembled people, came together people 46.1
- \(\ddot{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{\imath} \overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}}\) is suffixed to stems expressing transitive ideas. It is often accompanied by duplication of the final stem-consonant (see § 83).
 another (mutually)
\(\hat{\imath} t L!x \cdot \bar{i} n \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \bar{z}\) they examine \(L!x \cdot \bar{i}^{i} n\) - to examine one another
\(\hat{\imath} t \operatorname{tsak}^{u} k w a ̈ ' n \bar{u}\) they continually \({ }_{0} t s k w i ̂ t s\) I speared him spear one another
\(\hat{\imath} t \operatorname{tqancLa} \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) they mutually tqa'nuts he struck it 28.1 strike one another
îl!?vanxuxä'n̄ they mutually \(\quad k!w a^{\prime} n x a t\) he cut his hair cut one another's hair

This suffix often changes the quality of the vowel of the stem to which it is suffixed (see § 7).
\(\bar{u}^{\prime} y u\) ît qaheyänz'we surely ha'yat he gambled 66.15 they began to gamble 66.25
it tsxewän \(n\) they kill one tsxa \({ }^{\prime}\) wat he killed (them) 68.9 another
\(\hat{u} x w^{\varepsilon} l \ddot{a n} \bar{\imath}\) they two fight wil- to fight 106.13

When suffixed to intransitive rerbs or to verbs expressing motion, it denotes an idea that may best be rendered by back and forth, TO AND FRO, UP AND DOWN, etc. It is hardly necessary to dwell upon the close relationship that exists between the idea of mutuality and the idea expressed by these phrases.
tsönnō kvâlelän n̄ le baltà'mîs kwîl-to roll both mays is rolling the ocean 6.2
qaínis la yaq \({ }^{E} q^{u} \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) away yeq he runs away 182.27
from the shore they run continually 36.1S, 19
 there is going back and forth (through his fingers) the little girl 10s.21
\(n L!\bar{e}^{i} t c \hat{\imath} t c \ddot{u}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) I keep on going \(\quad L!\bar{e} t c\) he went out 20.4 out and coming in
nstōva \({ }^{E} q \ddot{a}{ }^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) I keep on rising stö watq he stood up 20.7 and sitting down
t̄̄yet \(\ddot{u}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} l_{E} w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n q a s \bar{l}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} k \cdot\) continually looking for some supply was the Spider-Old-Woman 60.12
\(-\bar{a} \bar{y} a m\) is suffixed to intransitive verbs and to adjectives only. Its exact function is obscure. With verbs, it invariably denotes an action performed by more than one subject; while when suffixed to adjectives, it seems to conrey the idea of the English suffix-Ish. Most likely it has a distributive character, which the informant, not well versed in the Englisi language, could not bring out.
\(y \hat{u}^{\prime} x w \ddot{a} h \bar{u}^{u} m \ddot{a}^{\prime} k^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{dj} \hat{\imath} n \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a m l \cdot \hat{\imath} t^{\prime} u \hat{\imath} t\) two women coming (towards him) he saw \(126.13,14\)
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) kw \({ }^{\prime}\) yat \(\hat{\imath}\) la \(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a m\) surely now they were walking (singly) 32.7
ts \(\bar{o}^{u} t x \cdot L \hat{i} m \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a m x \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} p\) Etc he washed it with luke-warm water 120.9, 10
\(x q a \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a m\) whitish, gray (literally, white here and there; \(x q a^{\prime} s\) white)
-wac. I am not quite sure whether this sufix really expresses distribution. All attempts to explain it have proved unsuccessful. It is suffixed to verbal stems, and may be preceded by the suffix -eni (see § 45). The best explanation that may be offered is that it implies a continual action performed by more than one subject, although instances have been found where the action was performed by a single subject.
tsō \(\hat{\imath} t\) qanatcan \({ }^{\prime}\) 'waq now they make fun (of one another) (qua'natc joke) 50.12
yuwe \({ }^{-\hat{\imath}^{i}}\) me alicanī'waq whenever children played (together?) 70.19
cîma'ēwaq le cę̣'t' \(\bar{a}\) dragging (them singly?) was the pet 88.7
Compare also the nouns
sLtsä'waq a whale (?) 28.7
qatētä'waq ferry-men 140.15

\section*{The Passive Voice ( \(\$ \S 38-42\) )}

\section*{§ 38. Present Passive - \(\bar{u}\)}

This suffix expresses the present tense of the passive voice. It is suffixed directly to the verbal stem with initial reduplication (see \(\S>8\) ).
as \(\bar{o}^{\prime}\) tc \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} t E t \bar{z}^{\prime} k!\bar{u} l_{E} t c!\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l_{E}\) again \(t \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k!\) wîts he shut (the door) 74.6 there is shut the door 74.27
gōus qanto la qEqai'cū lü \(u \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ' qaic a piece 128.29 tin in all directions that is being clubbed his blood 10.5, 6
\(x \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot \hat{\imath} n t \bar{u} l_{E}\) tc!wäl is being \(x \cdot \hat{i} n t \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y c t\) he runs with it 42.5
taken away quickly the fire 42.5
\(c E c \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} L \bar{u}\) le \(e^{\prime} \hat{\imath}\) y \(y \hat{x} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} w E s\) fire is \(c \bar{u} L-\) to burn being set to their house 58.11, 12

By adding to this suffix the transitional -iye (see § 35), the past passive is obtained. The initial \(\bar{\tau}\) of -iye is contracted with the \(-\bar{\pi}\) into a long \(\bar{u}\) (see § 9 ).
qEqaicu'ye lü \(\hat{\imath} l u w e^{\prime x} t c \hat{s} s\) it was beaten to pieces, her heart 76.8 mä pep \(\hat{\imath} l s \bar{u}^{\prime} y\) ye the person was torn to pieces 48.16 (pîls- to crush)

\section*{§ 39. Post Passive -āyu, -ēlyu, -iyu}

These suffixes are added directly to the verbal stem, which is invariably reduplicated. Stems ending in \(w, 7, m\), and \(n\), immediately preceding these suffixes, appear with a glottal stop, no matter whether the stop is inherent in the stem or not (see §§ 81-82).
\(m \ddot{a} q E s q \bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) the person was \(s q a^{\prime} t s\) he seized it 68.8 seized 10.4
\(\hat{\imath} \not\) aiai \(^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} w \bar{a} y u\) they were killed aizuît he killed them all 68.11 58.8
qaxu.' \(\mathfrak{\imath} ' y u\) he was struck \(96.14 x x!t s\) he hit her 64.29
\(t s \bar{\sigma} k \cdot \hat{\imath} x \cdot t \bar{\imath} ' y u l_{E} m \hat{\imath} x \cdot{ }^{\prime} \cdot \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w \bar{e} i a^{\prime} l_{E c} \quad n x \cdot{ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime} t s\) I slide it down now was slid down the lucky stake 94.3
hwîlkwe \({ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime} l \overline{l e} y u} l_{E}\) hatā'yîms ñkwîlù'yat I roll it down \(a^{\prime} l_{E c}\) was rolled down the money stake 92.11
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u k w \hat{L} L k w \bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) surely it was \(n_{L} k w a^{\prime} a t\) I cut it off cut off 76.15
 ered up 84.16
hemhe \({ }^{\varepsilon} m \bar{e}^{i^{\prime}} y u\) it was brought hemíyat she took it out 62.23 out

\section*{§ 40. Passive -iyeqem}

This suffix is composed of the transitional -iye (see § 35) and the generic \(-q_{E m}\) (see § 30). It serves a triple purpose, according to the manner in which it is suffixed to the verbal stem.
(1) When suffixed to the bare stem, it expresses a verbal conception of a continued character, which may best be rendered by the passive voice. This rendering is due largely to the fact that the -iye-element of the suftix predominates in these cases.
\(t \overline{c^{u x}} t\) - to watch
kwina- to see
wîl- to look for
tqanuts he strikes it 28.1
tōwîtī'yeqEm he is watched 40.26
lîn kwînä'yeqem hans we shall be seen 30.23, 24
gōus qantc wîli'yeqem everywhere she is looked for \(56.1,2\)
\(t^{s} q a n u^{\lambda^{\prime}}\) yeqem xwa'lwalyetc she is continually struck with a knife 80.5

The verbal stem is often reduplicated in order to bring out more clearly the passive idea and the idea of continuation (see § 82).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline L \(\bar{o}^{u}-\) to buy &  \\
\hline & when that was being bought their food 88.13, 14 \\
\hline mintc- to ask &  \(w e^{\prime x} t c \hat{s} s\) he is being asked continually, "What do you think about it?" 70.9 \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{u} x\) - to club & \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{\imath} n E t c \quad\) L \(\bar{o}^{u} x L \bar{o}^{u} x w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y\) YeqE \(m\) with sticks she is being struck continually 80.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(2) When preceded by the transitive suffix \(-t\) (see § 26), it denotes an intransitive action, of which the person spoken of is the object. Hence it was sometimes rendered by the reflexive.
\(\hat{\imath} \hat{u} x L_{l d j i} t \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) yeqem when they two are fighting 122.25
ts \(\bar{o}\) sōwîtī̀yeqEm \(h_{E} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) now it is changing, the weather tsō tōwîtž'yeqem now he took care of himself 66.3
(3) When preceded by the verbal suffix -en \(\bar{\imath}\) (see § 45), it denotes a continued action, the subject of which is not intimately known to the speaker.
hä'tcît! story 20.1 wändj hätct.'en̄̄̌yeqEm that way they are telling the story \(44.14,15\)
skw- to inform, to tell la skweyenà'yeqem le tc!uät they are talking about the fire 38.5, 6

\section*{§ 41. Causative Passive -eet, -et; -yyEm}
-eet expresses the passive voice of causative concepts. It is suffixed to the verbal stem. The object that is caused to perform the action is always in the singular. The suffix -iyEm is used for plural objects (see § 53). This suffix may best be rendered by to be caused то. When suffixed to stems with \(a\)-vowels, it changes to -aat (see \(\S 7\) ).
 was caused to be high up; \(L!\) 'a- to be in an upright position) 22.1
 (literally, was caused to be hidden; sLn- to hide) 24.12
\(q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s E t c t_{s}{ }^{E} \varepsilon^{\prime}\) et \(l_{E} k!\bar{a}\) to the sky was stretched out the rope (tsn- to stretch) 28.20
xaya'n \(\bar{\imath} L a k \cdot \hat{\imath} t \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t \tan a^{\prime} a t\) old dog-salmon only he saw washed ashore (pencū'wai ta'ntan whale came ashore) 130.3
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) tc! ̂̂le'et te tc.'wät surely it was burning, that fire (literally, was caused to burn; notc. \(\hat{\imath} l \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y a t\) I kindle the fire) 38.8
 caused to be open I found the door; \(L!n \bar{\sigma}^{u}\) - to open) \(x \cdot n e^{\prime} e t\) he is on top (n. \(x \cdot \hat{\imath} n \bar{z}^{\prime} y a t\) I put it on top) 10.1 ņa'at I was carried away (literally, caused to go; n.

In certain instances this passive causative idea is not so apparent, owing, perhaps, to the fact that the verbal stem can not be analyzed.
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) L. \(!l^{\prime}\) et surely he kept his eyes shut 17.3
wändj Lōrve'et tetînne'r̂tc that way it is eaten among us 130.11
\(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{u} E x\) hewe'et Lōwa'kats alone it was supposed she lived \(60.10,11\)
-et. This suffix is always preceded by the transitive \(-t\) or -ts. Under the influence of the \(a\)-vowels of the stem, it changes to -at (see § 7).
\(g \bar{u}_{s} d_{\bar{i} i}{ }^{2} \nexists a i^{\prime x} \cdot\) tset everything was started (literally, caused to go [start]; nta I go) 12.7
\(x \bar{a} a p h E^{\prime}\) mtset water was laid bare 42.8
yuwe' \(q^{E} t \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) tset he'lakwetc whenever it got caught on a limb (literally, was caused to hang on a limb) 46.24
\(t s \ddot{a}^{\prime} y u x w \bar{z} t c ~ p \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l\) stat to pieces (the tree) was smashed (literally, was caused to smash to pieces) 124.14
\(h \bar{e}^{i} h a t s l^{\prime}!\bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) tat \(l_{E}\) tc! \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l_{E}\) suddenly came open a door (literally, was caused to open [itself]) 62.5
\(t c\). \(e^{\prime}\) etc stōu' \(q\) tset häl \(t \bar{o}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} L\) ashore was put that old man (literally, caused to stand [up] on the shore) \(32.4,5\)

In certain cases the passive idea is hardly recognizable.
\(g \cdot \hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\) mtset it commenced to rain 42.9
\(e^{\varepsilon} k a^{\prime} k^{u}\) tat you were left 62.20
\(q a^{\prime} l y e q\) ha'ltsat salmon came into the river 34.13
Layeta't he became hungry 32.9

Lowétat she ran (literally, was caused to run [?]) 56.9
la hanl \(\hat{u x} c^{E} a^{\prime}\) lctet these two shall work 68.26

Lōwa'haile dè̀ \({ }^{\prime} \bar{o} t\) was running the young man 78.27
xwändj \(c^{E} a^{\prime} l l^{\prime} \hat{t} l_{\text {lex }}\) îloxqui'n that way doctors him the medicine-man (literally, works on him) 128.16, 17

\section*{§ 42. The Past Participle -āȳau}

The past participle is formed by means of the suffix - \(\bar{a} \bar{y} \alpha^{u}\) added directly to the transitive or intransitive stems.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tc!p \(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a^{u} k!\bar{a}\) braided ropes 44.22 & notc! pat I braid it \\
\hline \(\underline{t} \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a^{u} \ddot{a}\) a painted face 10.3 & nottîts I pain \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline \(q!e^{\prime} \bar{e} \bar{e} t c \hat{\imath} c L \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} \bar{a}^{u} k!!^{\prime u} h \hat{c}^{\prime} l t\) (with) split pitch-wood she lighted them 84.1 & notci'cLt I split it \\
\hline \(x \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{a} p_{E t c} L^{E} \tilde{a} n l_{E} t c!l \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a^{u}\) into the water go down the dried (salmon) 36.18 & \(t c!l \hat{u} s\) dry 166.2 \\
\hline \(h e \bar{m} \hat{\imath} s \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a^{u}\) enlarged & he'mis hig 50.17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 43. The Imperative}

The imperative of transitive verbs is expressed by means of suffixes added directly to the verbal stem, or, more frequently, following the transitive suffixes. Intransitive verbs, with the exception of a few stems indicating motion or ideas like to hear, to listen, have no special suffixes. The imperative of such verbs is brought out by the (prefixed) pronouns of the second persons singular, dual or plural.
\(x l e^{\prime}\) îtc \(e^{\varepsilon} L!a ̈ t s\) with it speak! 16.5
\(e^{\varepsilon} t^{E} q^{a}\) wake up! 68.18
cine \({ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{o}^{u} q\) you (pl.) get up! 30.19
\(e^{\varepsilon} a l \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{can} \bar{\imath}\) you play! 60.21
The following are the imperative suffixes in Coos:
-E. It follows the transitive suffixes \(-t\), \(-t s\), and expresses, beside the imperative idea, the presence of the object of the verb. The causative verbs in -iyat, and frequentatives in \(-\bar{e}^{i}\) wat and \(-\bar{o}^{u}\) wat, lose their final (transitive) - \(t\) when followed by the imperative suffix. It very often changes the broad \(a\)-vowels of the stem into \(e\)-vowels (see § 7 ).
\(k!w \hat{r}^{\prime} n t e\) shoot it 13.3
 Woman! 6t.12, 13
\(t \bar{e} \bar{e}^{i} \hat{\imath} c q!m \hat{\imath} t_{s E}\) this you two eat! 120.16
\(\hat{\imath} c h e m{ }^{\prime} y^{\prime} y\) you two bring him out! (literally, cause him to come out!) 24.10
 122.4
tcī cîn L!'ē̄'yE ten \(\left.k \cdot e^{\prime}\right\} a\) there you put this my hand! 80.19
kwîn le tsxeéwe let us quickly kill him! 68.3
sqaistī̄\({ }^{u^{\prime}} w e\) stick itin a crack!
\(t \bar{e}^{i} L L \bar{o}^{u \prime} w E\) this you must eat!
Lō nî' \(\hat{\imath} \hat{t} t c a \bar{a}^{a} p h a^{u^{\prime}} w E\) in it a little water have! 68.17, 18

L! \(a^{\prime}\) й \(y a t\) she put it \(\mathbf{7 2 . 1 1}\)
notsxa \({ }^{\prime}\) 'wat I kill him 26.22
nsqaintō \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) wat I stick it into a crack
Low \(w \bar{e}^{i}\) wat she is eating it 24.5, 6
\(\hat{\imath} c h a^{u_{w}} e^{-i}\) wat you two have him 128.9
- \(\tilde{\text { en }}\) expresses, besides the imperative, the absence of the object of the action.
tōhûtsẽn you must hit!
Lōwẽn eat! 28.26
\(g \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime} k w a q i^{\prime} n a s \hat{\imath} c t^{6} a^{i} t t s \tilde{a} n\) a little closer to the fire you two dance! 82.19
-Ex, \(\boldsymbol{- E q}\), suffixed to a few stems, expressing motion, or ideas like то hear, to close one's eyes, etc.
\(e^{\varepsilon} k!\bar{a}^{\prime} y_{E x}\) tem \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} s \bar{\imath}\) listen, O grandson! 114.7
\(t s i x \cdot{ }^{\circ} t_{c}!\bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) wex here you must lie down! 126.20, 21
L! \(l_{E} E^{\prime} x\) L shat your eyes! 16.9
cin \(7 a^{\prime}\) Ex you (pl.) go! 30.23
hamíL the'teq (you) may take a rest!
\(-\bar{\imath} t\) suffixed to verbs that are transitivized by means of the transitive suffix -āya (see § t7).
```

${ }^{\prime} \bar{a} ' t s i t e^{\varepsilon} p k i \bar{a} k \cdot$ go and get your
grandfather! 28.19
tō ${ }^{u^{\prime} x} t \bar{\imath} t y e^{\varepsilon} t e t$ watch yourself!
74.3
$\bar{\imath} n d \bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t$ don't desire it!

```
\(\hat{u} x\) tats \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) they two went to get him 20.14, 15
t \(\bar{o} u x t \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) he watches it 92.3
dṑwā'ya he wants it 92.12
-Em expresses, besides the direct object, the indirect object of the first person. It is hence suffixed to verbal stems expressing ideas like to give, то make. It is highly probable that this suffix may be an abbreviated form of the pronominal \(-\bar{a} m \hat{\imath}\) (see § 46).
\(p^{E} \hat{s i}^{\prime} k \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} t_{s E} \underline{m}\) a cup give me! 68.17
tsä̀'yux \({ }^{u} k w \bar{a}^{\prime} x a_{L} e^{\varepsilon} h \alpha^{u^{\prime} x} t s E m\) a small bow make me! \(60.14,15\)
mîtxa'nem \(L \bar{u}^{\prime} m \bar{a}\) lunch make me, you must, O grandmother! ( mî'tax lunch) 114.5
-Eîs. This suffix expresses a command involving the second person as the actor, and the first person as the object of the action. From a purely morphological standpoint, it is a modified form of the pronominal suffix - \(\hat{a} \hat{\imath} s\) (see § 46).
ten nqatqai' \({ }^{2}\) yîxuxwe' \(\hat{s}\) s by this my belt you hold me! 54.12
\(t c \bar{\imath} \nexists a E^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s\) teka \({ }^{\prime x} t s \imath \bar{\imath}\) there take me, O granddaughter! 80.14
Compare \(e^{\varepsilon} t s a k \cdot \hat{\imath} n t \bar{a} \hat{\imath} s\) hanl you shall help me 80.16
In addition to these suffixes, the Coos language very often emphasizes the imperative idea by means of the particle \(L\) (see § 92).

\section*{VERBALIZING SUFFIXES (§§ 44-45)}

\section*{§ 44. Auxiliary \(-e(-a)\)}

This suffix exercises the function of our auxiliary verb то ве. The noun to which it is suffixed invariably takes the adverbial prefix \(n\) - with (see § 21). The phrase thus obtained expresses the idea то have. This suffix is always changed to \(-a\) whenever added to a stem having an \(a\)-vowel (see § 7).
\(n t!^{\prime E}{ }^{c} \hat{c}^{\prime} t a^{u}\) we \(l_{E} m \hat{r}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a q\) fint points have the arrows (literally, with flint points [are] the arrows; \(t!^{E} c \hat{c}^{\prime} t \alpha^{u}\) flint point) 62.27
\(n w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t \hat{i} n e l a ̈ k^{u} h \ddot{a}^{\prime} y e q\) bloody are his excrements (wî'tin blood) 20.6,7 \(n \hbar^{u} m \bar{a}^{\prime} x a l_{E} c \bar{z}^{\prime} t!\bar{a}\) horns had the pet (literally, with horns was the pet; lium \(\tilde{a}^{\prime} x\). horn) 88.7, 8
\(n \bar{a}^{a} n t m \ddot{a} t c!p \bar{a} \bar{y} a^{u} n k!\bar{a}^{\prime} h a\) many people have braided ropes (literally, braided with ropes [are]; \(\overline{1}!\bar{a}\) rope) \(46.5,9\)
It very often transforms nouns into intransitive verbs without the aid of the prefix \(n\)-. In such cases the \(-a\) form of this suffix is mostly used.
\(x b a^{\prime} l t \hat{\imath} d j l a^{u} k!w \hat{\imath} s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} s a\) from the west it blew ( \(k!\downarrow \ddot{a}{ }^{\prime} s \hat{\imath} s\) wind) 52.4 .5 \(n_{n} k w a a t \hat{\imath}\) 'sa I dream (kwa'tîs a dream)
\(x c^{i^{i}} \gamma^{i t c \hat{\imath} t c} l c^{u} x t \bar{\imath} ' s a\) elear around him (he put) slime (xlīs slime) 128.18
§ 45. Verbal -eni

This suffix expresses the idea to do, to make sometinng. It is usually suffixed to nouns and to verbal stems that do not imply an
active, transitive action. This suffix is changed to \(-a n \bar{\imath}\) whenever added to stems having an \(a\)-vowel (see § 7 ).
\(n p L p \ddot{a} \notin \hat{\imath} s e^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} \mathrm{I}\) am making a hat (pLp \(\ddot{a}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s\) hat)
\(n y \hat{\imath} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} w E x e n \bar{\imath}\) I am making a house (ŷ̂x \(\ddot{u}^{\prime} w E x\) house)
\(n k w \bar{a}^{\prime} x a L a n \bar{\imath}\) I am making a bow (kwa'ral bow)
\(e^{\varepsilon} l_{E q} a^{u_{r} \hat{\imath} y a^{\prime} \tan \bar{\imath}}\) you tell a story (leqa \({ }^{u}\) wîya'tas story) \(38.13,14\)
\(n h \ddot{a} t c \hat{t} t!e^{\prime} n \bar{\iota} \mathrm{I}\) tell a story (hä́tcĉt! story)
wändj tcînehe' \(n \bar{\imath}\) that way he is thinking ( (cin \(n[e]\) - to think) 40.14, 15
tsî \(k^{u} \hat{\imath} c\) hewesén̄ merely perhaps you two are lying (he'wes a lie) \(28.13,14\)
\(\hat{\imath} \ell\) al \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) can \(\bar{\imath}\) they play ( \(a^{\prime} l_{\text {E }}\) toy) 30.25
qawen \(\hat{\iota} e^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} t^{\prime} n u w \bar{\imath}\) he got mad very much 98.28
\(\hat{\imath} l \gamma \bar{a}^{\prime} l a n \bar{\imath}\) they were saying \(76.1 \overline{7}\); te \(h \bar{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s \bar{\sigma}^{\prime} l a \quad m \ddot{a}\) those (who) talk Coos (literally, those \(h \bar{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) [Coos] talker-people) 50.3
xwändje'ni that way she was doing it (xwändj that way [modal]) 164.6

\section*{PRONOMINAL SUFFIXES (§§ 46-50)}

\section*{§ 46. Transitive Subject and Object Pronouns}

The Coos pronouns expressing both subject and object of a transitive verb are, morphologically speaking, suffixes added to verbal stems, or to stems that have been verbalized by means of some transitive suffix. The transitive suffixes may, however, be omitted, as the mere addition of these pronominal suffixes is sufficient to transform an intransitive stem into a transitive verb. These suffixes occur in four different forms, expressing the first, second, and third person as subject, and the first and second persons as object, of the action, regardless of number.

First person subject - second person object (sing., dual, plural) - \(\bar{a} m \hat{\imath}\)
Second person subject-first person object (sing., dual, plural) - \(\bar{a} \hat{\imath} s\)
Third person subject \(-\bar{u},-\bar{t} t\)
Third person object-first, second, third person subject, no suffix.
Since these suffixes are frequently preceded by the emphatic or abbreviated forms of the personal pronouns (see \(\S \S 18,96\) ), the phonetic unit expressing the combined pronouns may be said to consist of the following elements:
(1) Personal pronoun for the subject.
(2) Personal pronoun for the object.
(3) Verbal stem.
(4) Suffixed form of the combined pronoun.

The following peculiarities in the manner of expressing the transitive subject and object pronouns are noteworthy:
(1) The forms having the third persons as object indicate the subject by the pronouns \(n\) for the first persons, \(e^{\varepsilon}\) for the second (see \(\S 18\) ), regardless of number.
(2) The form expressing the second singular subject and the first singular object uses for its pronominal prefix the second singular \(e^{\varepsilon}\).
(3) All other forms indicate the object by prefixing the personal pronouns according to number.
(4) The pronominal prefixes expressing the subject oceur in singularform regardless of the actual number that is to be indicated (see §96).

The following is a complete table showing the formation of the combined pronouns for the different persons:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & I, We Two, We & Thou, Ye Two, Ye & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{He, They Two, They} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Me \\
Thee \\
Him
\end{tabular} & \[
e^{\varepsilon} \cdot-\bar{a} m i
\]
\[
n-
\] & \[
\frac{e^{\varepsilon-a \bar{a} i s}}{e^{\varepsilon} \ldots}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& n-\bar{u} \\
& e^{\varepsilon-}-\bar{u}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& n-\overline{i l} \\
& e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{l} l
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Us (Incl) (Dual) Us (Excl) (Dual) You (Dual) Them (Dual) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{Z} \\
& \overline{\imath c-\bar{a} m i} \\
& \hat{u} \times n-\cdots
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\frac{x w i n-\bar{u} i s}{u x c^{\varepsilon}-\cdots}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
is-ū \\
xwin-ū \\
\(i c-\bar{u}\) \\
ux- -
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
is-it \\
xwin-it \\
ic -it \\
ux.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Us \\
You \\
Them
\end{tabular} & cin-āmi il \(n\)... &  & lin \(-\bar{u}\) cin \(-\bar{x}\) it . . - & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { tin -it } \\
& \text { cin -it } \\
& i t \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The personal pronouns are usually omitted for singular subjects. They always occur, however, when the subject is dual or plural.
\(n E^{\prime} x k a n\) wändj \(e^{\varepsilon \in}{ }^{\boldsymbol{i}} \mathrm{i} t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I that way told you 17.2
\(e^{\varepsilon} m u x t \hat{\imath} t s \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} \mathrm{I}\) (want to) feel of you 72.10
\(e^{\varepsilon} t a \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I take you along
notō'hîts I hit it 64.5
\(e^{\varepsilon} t_{o}^{\prime} l\) lûts you hit it 20.19
tō'kîts he hit him (or it) 20.19
notó \(h \hat{h} t s \bar{u}\) me he hit
\(e^{\varepsilon} t \bar{o}^{\prime} h \hat{\imath} t s \bar{u}\) thee he hit
cin kwina'z̄ you he sees
\(x w i ̂ n ~ e^{\varepsilon} p \bar{\imath} \bar{t} t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) hanl we two thee will take home 126.19, 20
lîn és \(\hat{\imath} t s{ }^{2}{ }^{i} n t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) we thee (came to) see 130.19, 20

The personal pronouns for the objective third persons dual and plural always precede the subjective pronouns.
\[
\hat{u} x \text { ñtō' } h \hat{\imath} t s \text { them two I hit } \quad \hat{\imath} \ell e^{\varepsilon} t \hat{o}^{\prime} h \hat{\imath} t s \text { then you hit }
\]

The suffixes for the combined pronouns are added either directly to the bare verbal stem or to the verb amplified by the transitive \(-t\) and \(-t s\). This double system of adding the suffixes for the combined pronouns to the verb serves as a means of differentiating the duration of the action indicated by the verb. The bare verbal stem amplified by the pronominal suffixes denotes an action that has been performed more than once, or that has not yet been completed; while the verbs to which the pronominal suffixes are added after the transitive suffixes indicate actions that have been performed only once, or that are completed. The same purpose is served by the double forms of the combined pronoun having the third person as its subject. The suffix - \(\bar{\imath}\) is always added to the verbal stem; while \(-\bar{u}\) is suffixed to the stem, in addition to the transitive suffixes. It must be understood, however, that this interpretation of the double system of adding the combined pronominal suffixes does not apply to each individual case. Verbs with the pronominal suffixes added to the bare stem are frequently employed to denote past, completed actions, and vice versâ.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(n E^{\prime} x k a n e^{\varepsilon} w \hat{\imath} \bar{l} \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I am looking for you & \(e^{\varepsilon} w \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} h w \hat{\imath} t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I have looked for you \\
\hline \(e^{\varepsilon} w \hat{\imath} w \bar{n} n \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I am cheating. you & \(e^{\varepsilon} w \tilde{\imath}^{i} n t s \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I have cheated you \\
\hline \(e^{\varepsilon} k!w \hat{n} n \tilde{a}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s\) you were shooting at me & \(e^{\varepsilon} k!\) wîntă'îs you took a shot at me \\
\hline \(e^{\varepsilon} s q \bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s\) you were seizing me & \(e^{\varepsilon}\) squtsä' \(\hat{\text { ' }}\) s you seized me \\
\hline \(n k!w \hat{i} n n \bar{l}\) he was shooting at & \(n k!w n \hat{n} n t \bar{u}\) he shot at me \\
\hline
\end{tabular} me

The imperative transitive pronouns have been described in \(\S 43\). They are -em to me, -Ê̂s Me.

\section*{§4.7. Transitive Verbs in - \(\bar{a} y a\)}

Language in general has a number of verbal ideas, which, strictly speaking, do not imply any actions on the part of the subject; or denote actions, that, while intransitive, may be performed for the benefit of or in connection with a certain given object. Verbs like то кnow, то understand, to desire, to believe, to watch, to be afraid, etc.,
express ideas that are not real actions, but which may be used as such in connection with some object. Thus, I may know him, understand them, desire it, believe her, watch myself, etc. On the other hand, verbs like to Go, to RUN AWAY, etc., express intransitive actions that may be performed in connection with a given object. Thus it is possible to go to him, to run away from me, etc.

Coos treats the stems expressing such ideas as intransitive verbs, which do not take any of the transitive suffixes; but since these intransitive verbs may, without the aid of any additional grammatical device, become transitive, and imply the existence of an object (which is usually that of the third person), there is a special suffix -aya which indicates the (mental) process described above. This suffix, always added to the bare verbal stem, denotes an intransitive action that has become transitive by being used in connection with the third person object. It may therefore be called the "pronominal suffix," expressing, besides the subject, the third person object of an intransitive verb.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\hat{u} x a l q s \bar{a} y a\) they two are afraid of it 7.5 & \(a^{\prime}\) lqas fear 66.4 \\
\hline nıdōwā'ya I want her 70.6 & \(t s i ̂ x \cdot t \bar{z}^{\prime} \quad d \bar{o}^{\prime} w a\) wu'txe tī'ye \(p \bar{u}^{\prime} y a t c\) here wants to come back thy uncle 122.15 \\
\hline \(n E q \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) he ran away from it 42.4 & \(n\) Eq he ran away 100.16 \\
\hline \(n x^{\cdot} \cdot n a^{a} t a \bar{a} y a\) I am riding (a horse) & \(x \cdot n e e^{\prime} e t\) he is on top 10.1 \\
\hline sqa \(^{\prime} y\) a lex swāl believed it the grizzly bear 94.25 & Lq- to believe \\
\hline mîtsîsìya she knows it 60.1 & \(m \hat{\imath}\) 'tsîs wise 132.6 \\
\hline \(\overline{\text { an }}\) kiwa \(\overline{a ̈}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} y a\) (they) did not know it 86.12 & kwāan- to know \\
\hline tatsä'ya he went after it 94.7 & \(7 a\) he went 22.18 \\
\hline \(\chi^{\bar{o}}{ }^{u x} t \bar{a}^{\gamma} y a\) he watched it 94.6 & \(t^{u x} t\) - to watch \\
\hline \(\hat{\imath} t \bar{o}^{u} k w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a \quad h_{E}\) L!tā they occupy the country 44.21 & \(e^{\varepsilon} L \bar{O}^{u} k^{u}\) you sit down! 38.22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The plurality of the object is expressed by the affixed numerical particle \(h E \bar{m} a \operatorname{ALL}(\$ 109)\), or by the separate suffix - \(\bar{i} t E x(\$ 54)\).
\({ }_{n}{ }^{2} \bar{o}{ }^{u x} t \bar{a}^{\prime} y a h E^{\prime} \bar{m} a\) I watch them all
The imperative of this form has the suffix -itt (see § 43).
\(3045^{\circ}\)-Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-23

\section*{§ 48. Subject and Object Pronouns of Verbs in - \(\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{I}\)}

The corresponding suffixes for the above discussed verbs, expressing, besides the object, also the subject of the first, second, or third person, are etymologically related to the suffixes treated on p. 351. They appear, however, in such changed form, that they require separate discussion. These forms are -
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & I, We Two, We & Thou, Ye Two, Ye & \begin{tabular}{c} 
He, They Two, \\
They
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Me \\
Thee \\
Him \\
etc.
\end{tabular} & - & \(e^{\varepsilon-y E x t a ̄ m i ~}\) & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

They are suffixed directly to the verbal stem.
> \(e^{\epsilon} d \bar{o} u \bar{a}^{\prime} y E x t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) thee I want \(e^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \bar{v}^{x} t \bar{\imath} y\) Ext \(\bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\). of thee I take care
> \(e^{\varepsilon} \bar{l}_{\bar{u}} x t \bar{i} y\) Ext \(\bar{a} \hat{\imath} s\) you take care of me 86.20
> nkwee'nyyextu me he knows
> \(e^{\varepsilon} d \bar{o} u \bar{a}^{\prime} y\) Ext \(\bar{u}\) thee he wants

The etymology of the first element in these suffixes ( \(-y_{\text {Ext }}\) ) is quite obscure. It may be suggested that -yEx- is the adjectival suffix (see \(\S 66\) ), and \(-t\) the transitive (see \(\S 26\) ), although we are no longer able to understand the psychological principles underlying this peculiar formation.

> § 49. Transitive Verbs in -"

This suffix is preceded by the transitive suftixes. Its function is varied. It may have expressed originally the indirect object; but verbal ideas requiring both a direct and an indirect object are very few in number in the Coos language, and the functional scope of this suffix is much wider now, permitting its use for other purposes. Thus it is rery frequently suffixed to transitive rerbs where the object of the action is actually expressed, and not merely understood; and it is often, but not as a rule, used as a suffix denoting plurality of the object. The most plausible suggestion that can be offered in explanation of this suffix is that it may denote an action performed upon an object that possesses another object. At any rate, there can be no doubt that the predominating function of this suffix is that of a special characterization of the pronominal object.
§§ \(48-49\)
nomîtrán na I made lunch for him
\(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) tsa he gave it to him \(28.7 \quad \bar{a} t s-\) to give
tete \(c^{E}\) alcta'texa clothes he made for (his child) 108.5
\(k w i \bar{n} \bar{a}^{\prime}\) was sitix \(t^{E} t s a\) smoke he scented 22.23
\(k w \bar{a}^{\prime} x a_{l} h a^{u^{\prime x}} t s a l_{E}\) tem \(\hat{\prime}\) 'snätc a bow she made for her grandson 112.25, 26
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) L! \(h a^{\prime} t s a l a ̈\) tetc surely (he) put on his clothes 28.23
\(k \bar{a}^{a}{ }^{a} y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \bar{e}^{i} p_{E^{\prime}} n^{\prime} t a l_{E}\) tsî' \(\boldsymbol{V}_{E n}\) nearly he tore off one handle 30.4 \(p_{i \bar{\imath}}{ }^{\prime} t a l e ~ m \ddot{a} a i^{\prime} v \hat{\imath} t\) he took to his house the people (pl.) whom he killed 112.11
\(e^{\epsilon}\) wutxacī'ta \(\quad l \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y e ~ \bar{u}^{\prime} m \bar{a} c \quad \hat{u} x\) \(p k \bar{a} \bar{k}\). you (should) take home, thy grandmother them two (and) grandfather 68.26
\(\hat{\imath} t \hat{n}^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l^{E} x t s a\) at them I looked
nsqa'tsa le quwai's I seized the boards
tk!'wa ŷ̂xu'xwa fern roots she had \(64.14,15\)

Compare, on the other hand,
\(t c!w \ddot{a}^{\prime} t e t c t!c \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime} t s a\) into the fire he shoved it (no object is actually expressed here) 32.26
wutxaī̀yat lä pkā'katc he brought home his grandfather 70.2
nı̂̀lxats I looked at him
nosqats \(l_{E}\) quwai's I seized the board
\(h \hat{\imath} ' n \bar{\imath}\) ŷ̂xuxwe \({ }^{i}\) wat there he was holding it \(64.3,4\)
tc!üra'teto t.'cîts into the fire he shoved it 32.24
or
\(y \hat{u}^{\prime} x w a ̈ \ddot{a}\) vutxaï' \(y a t ~ h e ~ t c \bar{o}^{\prime} x t c \bar{o} x\) two he brought home the rabbits \(p \bar{o} h w \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ln \(\bar{e}^{i}\) tî̀ lqats opposite each other he set (them) down 112.12
§ 50. Verbs in -anāya with Direct and Indirect Object Pronoun
This suffix is composed of two suffixes, en \(\bar{\imath}\) (see § 45) and - \(\bar{\alpha} y a\) (see § 47). The broad \(a\)-vowel of the second suffix effects the retrogressive assimilation of the -en \(\bar{\imath}\) into \(-a n \bar{\imath}\), and the final vowel of \(-e n \bar{\imath}\) coalesces with the initial of \(-\bar{a} y a\) into a long \(\bar{a}\) (see \(\S 7\) ). It may best be rendered by to do, to make something out of something.


la \(k\) !'wenîyawaná'ya le qa'lyeq he is making a supply out of the salmon ( \(k\) !we'nर̂ya \({ }^{u}\) supply) 34.24
yancawe dī̀ \({ }^{i} e^{\varepsilon} q a^{u_{w} w e n \hat{\imath} s a^{\prime} n a ̄ y a}\) whenever something you will get \(\operatorname{mad}\) at ( \(q \alpha^{u}\) wenise'n̄ \(\bar{\imath}\) he got mad) 16.4
la hanL e \(e^{\varepsilon \ell^{\prime} n u w a n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a}\) at that thing you shall pull ( (t'nuw \(\bar{\imath}\) very) 72.2
it wa'lwalanā'ya they (would) make knives out of it (wa'lwal knife) 136.14, 15

The \(a\)-vowels of this suffix very often change the \(e\)-vowels of the stem to which they are suffixed into an \(a\) (see § 7).
\(x \bar{a}^{\prime} n a n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) he made him feel sorry for it (xü'nîs sick) 42.18
n. \(p<p \bar{a}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s a n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) I made a hat out of it ( \(p L p \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s\) hat)

Whenever suffixed to reduplicated stems, this suffix is changed to -ōnāya.
aqa'lqsannáya la \(\bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) he became afraid of his child ( \(a^{\prime} l q a s\) fear) 28.24, 25
mîtsma'tsōnáaya lex dī̀lōt he became acquainted with him, the young man ( \(m \hat{\imath}\) 'ttîs wise) 116.1
\(q a i^{x} \cdot q a^{\prime} y \bar{\jmath} n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) he became afraid of it ( \({ }_{\circ} q a^{\prime} y a^{u} t s\) I am frightened [I fear]) 42.3

\section*{PLURAL FORMATIONS (\$§ 51-54)}

\section*{§51. General Remarks}

The question of plurality, as exhibited in the verbs, is, comparatively speaking, a complicated matter. The chief difficulty arises from the fact that Coos accords a different treatment to transitive and intransitive verbs, and that the phenomena connected with plural formation are by no means of a uniform character. As in most other American languages, the Coos intransitive verbs express plurality of subject, while stems expressing transitive concepts distinguish between actions relating to a singular object and those relating to plural objects.

As a rule, plurality of the subject of verbal ideas is not indicated. One and the same stem is used in the singular and plural alike. There are, however, a few verbal concepts that express such a plurality by means of different stems. While this question ought to be more properly treated under the heading "Vocabulary," it may nevertheless be found useful to give here a few examples of such different stems.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular & Plural & \\
\hline \(\bar{\imath} t\) ¢ёm 26.20 & \(n e^{\prime}\) tsī 74.1 & to do \\
\hline ŷ̂xu'me 10.3 & yuw \({ }^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} t\), yuwat-12.6 & to travel \\
\hline \(t s x \bar{u} 28.12\) & ha'yatî 58.19, 20 & to lie \\
\hline qa'qal 40.2 & tsî'msîmt \(7 \pm .1\) & to sleep \\
\hline \(x n e^{x} \cdot t-74.30\) & xwaitt-22.17 & to fly, to jump \\
\hline \(l_{\text {Eq }} a^{u^{\prime} w e ~} 42.18\) & \(e^{\prime} q\) e 84.14 & to die \\
\hline L! \(\ddot{a}-, 14.6\) & \(\gamma \vec{a}^{\prime} 7 a-50.3\) & to speak \\
\hline Lōwa'kats, 38.10 & tâla'qai 36.11, kwee'tî & to sit, to live \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

On the other hand, there are a few stems that seem to express singularity or plurality of subject by means of a grammatical process the history of which is not clear. This process may be said to consist in the change of the vowel connecting the suffix with the stem.

\author{
Singular \\ \(e^{\varepsilon} t c!a^{\prime} a t\) you walk 120.18 \\ n.xa' yat I am whittling not \(a^{\prime}\) lats I dance nowinat I wade out
}

> Plural
> it tc! \(a^{\prime}\) 'z \(t\) they walk
> it \(x a^{\prime} y \bar{z} t\) they are whittling \(\hat{\imath} c e^{\varepsilon}\) t'a'lùt you two dance 82.18 ît wîn nāt they wade out

This process is the more puzzling, as it also seems to be used for the purpose of distinguishing between duration of action (see § 26 ). It is quite conceivable that there may be an etymological relation between these two phenomena, and that the phonetic similarity exhibited by them is more than accidental.

\section*{§ 52. Reflexive Plural - \(\bar{l}\)}

In a number of cases intransitive verbs indicate plurality of subject by means of a suffix which is phonetically different from the suffix expressing the corresponding singular idea. This is especially true in the case of the suffix \(-q E m,-x E m\) (see § 30). This suffix is applied to singular subjects only, while the same idea for plural subjects is expressed by means of the suffix \(-\bar{u}\), which is always preceded by the transitive \(-t\) or \(-t s\).

Singular
the'tqEm it is resting 88.16 nowe'lextxem I went to bed
\(n n_{0} \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}} k^{u} t \operatorname{sxem}\) I sat down \(n k w e^{\prime} e t^{E} t s x E m\) I settled down \(n_{0} s^{\prime} n^{\prime} t_{\text {txEm }}\) I hide myself

Plural
ît the't \(t \bar{u}\) they are resting
\(\hat{\imath} c e^{s}\) ve'lextū you two go to bed 82.13
t \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} E q t s \bar{u}\) (they) sat down 22.15
îl kwe' et \({ }^{E}\) ts \(\bar{u}\) they settled down 48.5
\(\hat{\imath} t s n^{\prime} t \bar{u}\) they hide themselves

\section*{§ 53. Causative Passive Plural -īyEm}

The same principle is applied to intransitive verbs expressing passive causative ideas. Singular subjects are expressed by means of the suffix eet (see p. 345), while plurality of the subject is indicated by the suffix - \(\bar{y}\) Em. The most perplexing problem connected with this suffix is the fact that its initial \(\bar{\imath}\) disappears before \(u\)-diphthongs without changing the \(u\) of the diphthong into a consonantic \(w\) (see § 8).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline sLn- & Singular & Plural \\
\hline \(\hat{u} x\) sln \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} y\) at they two & slue'et 24.12 & sLn \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} y^{\prime} \mathrm{m}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hide him (caus.) } \\
& 2 \pm .9
\end{aligned}
\] & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\(x\) En- \\
\(n_{0} x \cdot \hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath} y\) yat I put it on top
\end{tabular} & \(x \cdot n e^{\prime}\) et 10.1 & \(x \cdot n \bar{r}^{\prime} y E m\). \\
\hline ```
lem-
    ux lem\overline{\imath}yat they two
        set it up }8.1
``` & leme'et 90.18 & \(\operatorname{lem} \bar{z}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathbf{z}} \boldsymbol{m}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(q^{\text {E }}\) to \({ }^{\text {u }}\)} \\
\hline \({ }_{0} q^{E} t \bar{o} w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y a t\) I hang it up & \(q^{\text {E }}\) tōwe \({ }^{\prime}\) et 46.27 & \(q^{E} t \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} y E m .84 .15\) \\
\hline (x)n \(\bar{o}^{\prime} w e\), right \(4 \pm .9\) & \(n \bar{o} w e^{\prime}\) et & \(n \bar{o}^{u \prime} y\) Em 44.22 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{L! \(a\) - to be on something} \\
\hline \(\hat{\imath}\) L! 'ā̄yat they put it on 80.20 & L. \(e^{\prime}\) et 22.1 & L! \(e^{\bar{z}} \mathrm{z}\) ELT 144.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 54. Direct Plural Object -itex}

The idea of plurality of objects in transitive verbs is not clearly developed. The treatment accorded to the different stems is so irregular that no definite rules can be formulated. The majority of stems make no distinction between singular and plural objects, and occur in one form only. Other stems have different forms for the singular and plural; e. g., tsxau- то kill one, aiw- то kill many, la- то PUT in one, \(x^{\cdot} L^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u}\) - to put in many, etc.; while a number of stems seem to express plurality of object by means of the affixed numeral particle \(h_{E} \bar{m} a\) (see § 109), or by means of the suffix - \(\bar{\imath} t E x\).

This suffix expresses the plural third person object, and may be added directly to the verbal stem, or after the transitive suffixes \(-t\), -ts.
\(x w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t s x \bar{u} t\) cîma \({ }^{\prime}\) t̀tex (many) deer he pulled 88.12
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) 设 Lana' \(\bar{t} t_{E x}\) surely they headed them off 56.16
hats Löwéentc la \({ }^{u}\) taaī̀tex just all (wholly) she dragged them 80.9

\section*{§55. MISCELLANEOUS SUFFIXES}

While the functions of the verbal suffixes discussed in the preceding pages are clear, and could be described fairly accurately, there are a few others that appear only now and then, and express ideas of a varying character. It is possible that these suffixes may represent the petrified remnants of grammatical formations that have become obliterated in the course of time. The following is a list of these suffixes:
-a. This suffix seems to express in a number of instances our infinitive idea.
lne \({ }^{-i}\) wat \(x w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t s x \bar{u} t\) he is habit- ln'ta e he le dä'mîl hunting (had) ually hunting deer gone the man 108.9 helmí \(h \hat{\imath} s a s{ }_{s}{ }^{\prime} l a l n^{\prime} t a\) next day again he went hunting 110.10
slaqa' \({ }^{i}{ }^{i} w a t\) she is bathing \(y \hat{\imath} x e^{\prime} n s l a^{\prime} q a \nexists a\) once bathing she him (caus.) 60.6 went (literally, to bathe) 84.24

In a few cases it has been found suffixed to neutral stems, and seems to denote impersonal actions.
\(l \bar{o} q^{u}\) - to boil
Jwồ̄̄ā'was smoke 22.23
lōqu'qua le \(l^{F} s^{\mathrm{F}}\) ! was boiling the pitch 102.11
\(\bar{i} n k w \hat{r}^{\prime} \bar{n} \alpha\) not it smokes 110.14

It is possible that this suffix may have the identical function with the \(-a\) (or \(-e\) ) suffixed to the modal adverbs (see \(\S 106\) ), and it may consequently be related to the auxiliary \(-e\) (see \(\S 44\) ).
-e. I am at a loss to detect the exact nature of this suffix and its etymological connection with any of the other suffixes. In the few instances in which it occurs, it was rendered by the passive, or else as an abstract verbal noun.
\(k \cdot \hat{\imath} \overline{o^{\prime}} w \hat{\imath} t\) she saw him \(54.2 \quad \bar{a}^{\prime} y u k \cdot \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \bar{o}^{\prime} w e \hat{\imath} l \alpha^{u} d j \bar{\imath}\) surely it was seen as it was coming \(52.7,8\)
\(k \cdot \hat{v}^{\prime} L \bar{o}^{u} t s\) be found it 32.10
\(h \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath}\) he grew up 64.12
k!'a'lat he shouted (at it) \(36.7 \quad a^{\prime} w \bar{\imath} n k \cdot e^{\prime} l e\) I quit shouting (literally, the shouts)
mílat he swam 30.7
\(\ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath} e^{\varepsilon} m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l e\) stop swimming (literally, finish your swimming)
-anu. This suffix occurs in two instances only, and expresses in both of them the infinitive. It seems to be related to the verbal suffix -en̄̄ (see § 45).
\(y u^{\prime} w e L\) a pack 70.22 \(\quad l a^{u} y u^{w i} L e^{\prime} n u\) he (went out in order) to pack (enu> anu [see § 7]) 162.25
\(a^{\prime} l_{E c}\) toy 92.10 mü he'laq tcī al̂̀'canu people came there (in order) to play 90.26
-ame occurs very rarely, and seems to denote the absence of the object of an action.
\(q!m i ̂ t s\) he eats it 32.9
\(L \bar{\sigma}^{u}\) - to eat 17.2
hats hanc \(\epsilon^{\varepsilon} q!a^{\prime} m t s a m\) just will you eat 42.23, 24
\(l a^{u}\) tsî. \({ }^{\text {. }}\) he Lō'wîyam she usually here eats \(24.4,5\)

\section*{Nominal Suffixes (\$§56-80)}

GENERAL NOMINALIZING SUFFIXES (§§ 56-65)
§ 56. Nominal -is

This suffix may be said to have a general nominalizing function. It is found suffixed to a great number of stems, and expresses general nominal ideas, including many of our adjectival terms. For a discussion of its etymological nature, see General Remarks, § 25.
\(h \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath}\) he grew up \(64.12 \quad h \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s\) ready 5.4
st \(\overline{\bar{o}}{ }^{u} y\) he stood 20.4
L!'̈̈ts he spoke 16.2
̂̂luwe'xtcîs heart 5.3
wîx \(x^{\prime}\) रे \(l \hat{\imath} s\) food 14.7
hele' yîs salmon-roe 34.27
\(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) m \(\hat{\imath} s\) woman 24.6
baltâ'mâs ocean 6.2
\(p^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} h \cdot \hat{\imath} s\) anus 40.7
\(\rho \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}} / \hbar\) wits slave
pLpü'wîs hat 136.14
tama't̂̂s custom, fashion 19.8
\(t_{q} \bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{l} \hat{l} s\) sun 24.2
sîh \(\cdot e^{\prime x} \cdot k \hat{k} s\) shield 28.7
tskwa \({ }^{\prime} x_{L} \hat{s}\) sfr-tree 9.2
tce \(n e^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) edge 22.15
\(g \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{o}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} s\) breakers 8.1
stō wa'qû̂s wall 90.18.
L! 'éy yı̂s language 16.1
\(k \cdot e ो e e^{\prime} L \hat{\imath} s\) corner 58.13
\(k \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{n} a^{\prime} w i ̂ s\) laziness 34.17
( \(k \cdot{ }^{2}\) ' \(n w i ̂ ̀ s ~ l a z y\) )
kwäye'îs ridge, mountain 22.13
\(k w a ̈ ' s i ̂ s\) ball 38.19
\(k w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) feather 26.21
\(k!w \ddot{a}\) 's \(\hat{\imath} s\) wind 22.11
\(q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) day, sky, world 6.1
qai'n \(\hat{\imath} s\) mouth of river 58.1
\(q^{E} m a^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} s\) fish-basket 36.7
xala' wîs heat 24.9
\(l a^{\prime x}{ }^{2} \hat{s} s \operatorname{mud} 52.10\)
ttce'îs ocean beach 7.11
цna'lîs sand beach 58.1
\(h e^{\prime} \bar{m} \hat{\imath} s\) large 14.5
hū'wîs poor 42.5
\(p_{L}\) ! \(\hat{\text { in }}\) s heavy
mîtsîs wise 132.6
\(t^{E} q a^{i}{ }^{i} L \hat{\imath} s\) solid 7.6
tc! \(\hat{10} \hat{e ̂}^{\prime} l \hat{\imath} s\) sweet 32.27
tc! lû̀. dry 166.2
\(k \cdot \hat{i n}^{\prime} n w i ̂\) lazy
kat \(E^{\prime} m\) ̂̂s five 5.4
\(x \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) lwîs deep
\(x a^{\prime} l w \hat{\imath} s\) hot 24.6
\(x a^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) sick 42.18
Le'mı̂s raw 32.23

\section*{§ 57. Nouns of Quality in -Es, -tEs; -enîs}
-Es, -tEs. This suffix changes adjectives (or adverbs) into abstract nouns. No explanation can be given for the phonetic difference between the two suffixes.
\(h e^{\prime} \bar{m} \hat{\imath} s\) big 14.5
\(n \bar{a}^{a} n t\) much, many 50.13
uqai'na I am cold
he'nïye a while 38.15
hethe'te rich 26.2
paa- to fill 15.7
\(e^{\prime} h e n t c\) far 26.23
qaL long
k:!le'es black 162.13
qat below 36.11
hats kua \(x \cdot n e k \cdot h e \bar{m} \hat{\imath}\) 'stes \(h_{E}\) \(x \cdot \bar{o} n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a s\) the snake was just as big as a hair (literally, just like a hair [is] the size [of] the snake) 86.2
ìn kwee'nāyẽm àtse'ts he'̂t na \(\bar{a}^{\prime \prime} n t E s\) no one knew how many they were (literally, not knew they how [was] their quantity) 78.2
xqaine' \({ }^{\prime}\) s \(k \bar{a}^{a_{s}}\) tsxaü'wat cold nearly killed him 32.7
t \({ }^{u}{ }^{u}\) henā̀yees nŷ̂xu'me (for) such length of time I travel 26.9
hethe'tees wealth
fa \(\hat{u}\) paa'wes he \(x \bar{a}^{a} p\) the water reached its full mark (literally, goes its fullness [of] the water) 44.19
ehe'ntces distance 52.16
\(q a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} t e s\) length
\(k\) ! lle'estes black color
\(q a^{\prime} t E s\), the lower part, half 16.10
-en̂̂s transforms adjectives expressing sensations and emotions into abstract nouns.
cîn Zqa youare hungry 70.12
\(q a^{u^{\prime}}\) net he got angry 32.25
tē \({ }^{i}\) mwinn \(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y}\) a laqe'n \(\hat{\imath} s\) these we two died from hunger (literally, these we two [are] hunger-dead) 36.13, 14
\(q a^{u} w e^{\prime} n \hat{s} s\) anger, wrath 16.4

\section*{§58. Nouns of Location in -Em}

This suffix expresses the abstract conception of a local idea. It is suffixed to adverbs only, and is (with one exception) preceded by the adverbial suffix -tc. It may best be rendered by the part of, the side of.
lexa'tca kiô'nait inside he lexa'tcemhann nqa'qal in the inside looked 62.6
(part of my eye) will I sleep 40.2
\(h_{E} y \hat{n} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} w_{E x} l_{\text {Exxa' }}{ }^{\prime}\) cem of the house the inside (part)
ŷ̂qantce'rû̀tc backwards 32.13
\(\bar{z}^{\prime} l a\) before, first 56.9
gat below 36.11
pencō'wai ŷ̂qa'ntcem djūa whale behind it was coming 88.22
餂 \(k \cdot \hat{\imath} t \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t ~ \bar{l} l a^{\prime}\) hatcem dj \(\bar{\imath}\) they saw it in front coming 88.5
léwî \(\hat{\imath}\) illa'hatcem dēw \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y a l \ddot{a} e^{\varepsilon}-\) nätc he liked his mother best (literally, it is [as] his first[-uess] he likes his mother) 120.19, 20
wwändj \(\gamma \bar{a}^{\prime} l a n \bar{\imath}\) le \(m \ddot{a} q a^{\prime} t_{E} m\) tîla'qai that way are talking the people (who on the) lower part (of the river) live 66.12
§ 59. Verbal Abstract -īuas, -nḕucas
\(-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{s}\) changes the verb into a noun. It expresses the abstract concept of a verbal idea. If the verb expresses an active, transitive idea, it is suffixed to the bare stem, while in intransitive verbs it is preceded by the intransitive suffix -en \(\bar{\imath}\) (see p. 349). In such cases the final vowel of the transitive suffix disappears, and the \(a\)-vowel of \(-\bar{a}\) was effects the retrogressive assimilation of the stem-vowels and suffix-vowels (see § 7).
\(c^{E} a^{\prime} l\) ctet he is working \(22.26 \quad \hat{\imath} l c^{u} \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath} c^{E}\) alct \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) was when he quit (the) work \(34.6,7\)
\(L_{\bar{o}} w \bar{e}^{i}\) wat she is eating \(24.5,6\)
\(\ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath} \hat{a}\) Lō wā' was she finished (her) food 24.13
n. \(L\) ! hats I put it on
̂̀s al̂̂'cañ̄ we two play 38.11
\(e^{\prime}\) qe dead 42.19
ha'yat he gambled 66.15
lä L!'aha'̀was her clothes 110.3
al̂̂canä'vas l̂̂n ha \({ }^{u x} t s\) a game we (should) arrange 90.14
aqan \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) was funeral
hayanä'was Indian game

In one instance this suffix has been changed to \(-\bar{a} w a z\).
\(q a^{\prime} y a^{u} t s\) he is scared 126.1 \(\bar{i} n y \bar{u} d \bar{i} \bar{i}^{i} q^{2} a y a w \bar{a}^{\prime} w a L\) hardly anything can scare him (literally, not very something scaring [to him] 40.24; qayawáa'waL a thing that scares)
-n \(\bar{e}^{i} \boldsymbol{w a s}\). Composed of the distributive \(-n \bar{e}^{i}\) (see § 37) and the nominal - \(\bar{a}\) was. Hence it expresses an abstract concept that has a distributive character.
hüqts̈̈̈' nlaxanei \({ }^{-i}\) was Lōwa'kats she was sitting between his teeth (literally, his teeth in the [mutual] between[-ness]) 102.18
sōwe't laxane \({ }^{-1}\) was between the ingers 108.21
sqailne \({ }^{i}{ }^{i}\) was the space between the fingers, a crack (sqai' \({ }^{E_{\text {ITEM }}}\) it was sticking in a crack 62.8 )
\[
\S 60 . \text { Verbal Nouns in }-\bar{o} n \hat{\imath} s,-s \bar{\imath}
\]
- \(\overline{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{n} \hat{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{s}\). This suffix indicates that something has become the object of a certain action. It may best be rendered by what became the object of. Either it is suffixed to the verbal stem directly, or it is preceded by the transitive suffixes \(-t\), -ts (see \(\S 26\) ).
\(\hat{\imath} t \gamma \bar{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} l a n \bar{\imath}\) they are talking 90.16 la \(a^{u}\) 解 \(\gamma\) aalto \({ }^{\prime} n \hat{n}\) s they begin to talk about it (literally, this they [have as their] object of speech) 76.22
\(\underset{\sim}{n} k \cdot \imath^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} t s\) I cut it
\(L^{\circ} \bar{o}^{u}\) - to eat 17.2
\(k \cdot \hat{\imath} t \hat{t} t s o ̄ n n \imath ̂ s ~ l a ~ k x l a ~ s h e ~ c o m m e n c e d ~\) to cut her foot (literally, object of cutting her foot [became] 80.21)
la \(a^{u}\) Lō̄̄nîs \(\hat{\imath}\) ha'k'îtc lau ŷ̂xu'me this became his food while he walked crawling (literally, that object of eating [it became] while crawling that one traveled) 32.11
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} t s a\) he gave it to him 34.10 atso' \(n \hat{\iota} s\) gift 188.26
\(\boldsymbol{- s} \overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}}\) is used in the formation of nouns from verbal stems. The best rendering that can be given for this sufini is the ruins, the remnants of.
\(a i^{\prime}\) wît he killed them 68.11
\(x \cdot p \bar{c}\) it burned down 58.12
\(\hat{\imath} s a i^{\prime} w i ̂ t s i \bar{\imath} t e\) we two (are the) remnants of the slaughter 62.18
 she commenced to look around (of) the house the débris \(58.18,19\)

It is very likely that the following example may belong here:
 32.11
he killed (literally, the remaining half he killed) 112.10

\section*{§61. Nouns of Quantity in -inn}

This suffix occurs in a few instances only. It is added to stems expressing adjectival ideas, and may be translated by piece, portion.
tcä'yux \({ }^{\prime}\) small \(42.6 \quad \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \bar{\imath}\) tcä'yuxwîn \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) tsa (to) both a small portion he gave 120.17
quic small 128.29
\(\epsilon^{\prime}\) hentc far 26.23 place they two are stopping 6.3 \(n \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} m\) ehentcessíne \({ }^{-i} t c\) dj \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{n} \hat{\imath} t\) because quite far apart it keeps coming (literally, because dis-tance-portion-modality, [they] are coming [singly]) 52.18

\section*{}

These suffixes indicate the performer of an action. The -eyäwe form is added to stems with e-vowels (see § 7). Since the informant was frequently at a loss how to express in English the idea conveyed by this suffix, he invariably translated it by to go and (perform the action in question).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t^{\prime} a^{\prime} l^{\prime}\) ats he dances & t'alīya'wa a dancer \\
\hline \(L^{\prime} \times x^{-r^{i}} \mathrm{n}\) t he examined it 32.23 & L! \(x^{\prime}\) ininy \({ }^{\prime}\) wa examiner \\
\hline \(n \hat{\imath} k\) 'în \(\operatorname{wood} 102.2\) & \(m \imath^{\prime} L a n ~ n o n i ̂ k \cdot \hat{\imath} n e y a^{\prime} w e ~ p e r m i t ~ m e ~\) to get some wood (literally, let me wood-getter be) 102.1 \\
\hline mî'laq arrow 12.10 & ̂s mîlaqayä've we two go and get arrows (literally, [we two are] arrow-makers) 160.6, 7 \\
\hline \({ }_{L} \bar{o}^{u}\) - to eat 17.2 & \(n_{0}\) Lōwīya'wa I am an eater \\
\hline & \(n e^{\prime x} t \hat{\imath} t s\) Lōw \(\bar{y} y a^{\prime} w a\) I go in and eat \(168.2,3\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 63. Nominalizing Suffix Indicating Place, -îs}

It is never suffixed to verbal stems.
\(q a^{\prime} n t c \bar{u}\) where? \(94.25 \hat{\imath} c\) aqantcū' \(w \hat{\imath} s\) from where are you two? (literally, your two selves' whence place) 126.14
\(t e^{\prime} t_{E x}\) medicine
tsä'yux \({ }^{u}\) small 20.5
qaic small 128.29
xwîn \(\chi^{E} \nmid x e y a ̈ w e^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s\) we two have been after medicine (literally, our two selves' medicine-makers place) 126.15
 on a small place is sticking out the land 44.26
qaicî'nîs \(\hat{u} x y \bar{u}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} y \bar{u}\) on (some) small place they two are stopping 6.3

\section*{§64. Nominalizing Suffix Indicating Locality, -ume}

It signifies where the . . . is. It is added to nominal (or adverbial) stems only.
\(\hbar i \bar{u}^{u}\) s south \(\quad x k u k v \hat{v}^{\prime}\) sume hā'yet!' he came ashore on the south side (literally, from where south is, he came ashore)
\(t s e^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} x\) over here
\(x u \hat{r}^{\prime} 1 u x^{u}\) head 30.14 tsetî' \(x\) •ume \(L \bar{o} h e^{u^{\prime}} h e^{u} h a^{u^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} w E\) here on this side make a knot! (literally, where this is, on it, a knot make) \(92.7,8\)
mwîluxu'me where the head is \(1 \pm 6.26\)

\section*{§65. Terms of Relationship in -ätc (-rıtc)}

Terms of relationship appear with the suffix -ätc or -atc (see § T), except in the vocative case, where the stem alone is used. A few nouns exhibit in the vocative case an entirely different stem, while others occur in the vocative form only.

The phenomenon so characteristic of many American and other languages, whereby the different sexes use separate terms for the purpose of denoting corresponding degrees of relationship, is not found in Coos. This may in part be due to the fact that the language does not differentiate in any respect whatsoever between the two sexes, and that grammatical gender is a concept entirely unknown to the Coos mind. On the other hand, Coos has one trait in common
with some of the languages of the neighboring tribes, namely, in so far as two different stems are used to denote the same degree of relationship by marriage. One is employed as long as the intermediary person is living, while the second is used after the death of that person.

The following table shows the nouns expressing the different degrees of relationship:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline English & Coos & Vorative case \\
\hline Father & \(e^{\prime} \chi^{u}\) Lätc & \(k \cdot \bar{o}^{\prime} l a . '\) \\
\hline Mother & \(e^{\text {s }}\) (ätc & nī'ぇ:'a.' \\
\hline Son & (?) & Lowa! \\
\hline Daughter & kway \({ }^{\prime}\) 'citc & kwā'ya! \\
\hline Older brother & \(h \ddot{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{Lätc}\) & häli! \\
\hline Younger brother & milkwi'yatc & \(m \hat{a}^{\prime} L \hat{i k}\) ! \\
\hline Older sister & henîkunätc & he'nikun! \\
\hline Younger sister & ku'ya'xLtc & kwe'ēL! \\
\hline Grandfather & \(p k \bar{a}^{\prime} k a t c\) & \(p k \bar{a}^{\prime} k \cdot!\) \\
\hline Grandmother & \(\bar{u} m \bar{a}^{\prime} c a t c, \bar{u}^{\prime} m \bar{a} c\) & ü'mã! \\
\hline Grandson & temísnätc, temî'sîn & temi'sī! (sing.) \\
\hline & & tcmä'mis!' ( H.\()\) \\
\hline Granddaughter & tek*itsi'nätc & teka'xtsī! \\
\hline Paternal uncle & \(p \bar{u}^{\prime} y a t c, p \bar{z} i_{s}\) & \(p i ' s i \bar{l}\) ! \\
\hline Maternal uncle & ax* \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) axatc & \(a x a^{\prime} x\) ! \\
\hline Paternal aunt & \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) tatc & \(\bar{a}^{\prime} a t!\) \\
\hline Maternal aunt & \(x u k u{ }^{\prime} n\) ät \(c\) & \(k w \ddot{a} k\) u'i ! \\
\hline Father-in-law & mitcL'tsinätc & ya'k!! (?) \\
\hline Mother-in-law & qali'ksätc & kua'lik! \\
\hline Son-in-law & mî'nkatc & (\%) \\
\hline Daughter-in-law & \(m E t \bar{u}^{¢} n a ̈ t c{ }^{1}\) & (?) \\
\hline Brother-in-law & ha'lîh: & hal! \\
\hline Sister-in-law & \(k u i^{\prime} h a t c\) & kwîhai! \\
\hline Relative, by marriage, after death of person whose marriage established the relationship. & \(x a^{\prime} y u s L a ̈ t c\) & (?) \\
\hline Nephew (son of sister) & tcwítätc & teu! \\
\hline Nephew (son of brother) & (\%) & kuine'uiL! (?) nexleu.' (?) \\
\hline Niece (daughter of sister) & upranä'catc & (?) \\
\hline Niece (daughter of brother) & (?) & \(p^{\prime} E K u \hat{i}^{\prime} n L \bar{\imath}\) ? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Alsea mastūn.
Besides the above-enumerated terms of kinship, there are two stems that are used as such, although they do not, strictly speaking, denote any degree of relationship. One of these is the term sla'atc (vocative \(s l a\) ), employed by the Coos in addressing a male member of the tribe, and even a stranger; and the other is \(x w^{2} t\), used in the same way in addressing females.

In one instance the term \(k w e^{\prime} n \bar{e} L\) is employed to denote sister, without mentioning the rank of her birth. All attempts to obtain the corresponding term for brother have proved unsuccessful.
```

§ 66. SUFFIXES -èx, -i`yEx, -iyetEx

```

These three suffixes, occurring in a few instances only, seem to express the idea pertaining to. They are suffixed to nominal and to adverbial stems.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(b e^{i} \mathrm{l}\) dj north &  bones those (are) the Umpqua Indians (literally, the Northern Indians) \(50.5,6\) \\
\hline \(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) qantc behind & \(y \hat{\imath} q a^{\prime} n t c \hat{\imath} m e \bar{x} x \ddot{a}\) the last generation 9.6 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
L!'an- \\
qu'lu winter (?) 162
\end{tabular} & \({ }^{\prime}\) ! \(a^{\prime}\) nēex qa'lyeq new salmon 36.25 qa'lēex old 35.18 \\
\hline qa'ian- up 14.1 & qaxaníyetex \(m \ddot{a}\) from above the people 150.5 \\
\hline \(q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\chi} s\) sky \({ }^{\text {b }}\). 1 &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{ADVERBIAL SUFFIXES ( \(\$ 67-70\) )}

\section*{§ 67. Local and Modal - \(\bar{e} i t c,-i \bar{i} t c\)}

This suffix indicates rest, and was rendered by in, at, on, under. It is added to nouns and (very rarely) to verbs. For the parallel occurrence of \(-\bar{e} i t c\) and \(-\bar{i} t c\), see § 2. (See note to § 36.)
ŷ̂xä'wex house 22.25
L!tā country 30.28
he'wîlts road 138.17
\(q^{u} w a z^{\prime} s\) board 52.14
\(x \bar{a}^{a} p\) water 6.9
\(\hat{\imath} x^{*}\) canoe 44.20
\(k w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l e{ }^{2}\) sweat-house 62.25
ŷ̂a \(\ddot{a}^{\prime} w e x \bar{e}^{i} t c\) Lōwa'kats in the house he is sitting
yEaí L!tā̃̀tc nītse'ts in another country I stay \(26.8,9\)
hewî'ltsìtc stō"y on the road he stood 36.16
\(\hat{\imath}\) lau queai'sitc tcî!ĉ̀le' et while she under the board was 58.25
\(x \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} n \bar{n} t c d j \bar{\imath} \hat{\imath} m \hat{v}^{\prime}{ }_{l}{ }^{2}\) in the water it was swimming 88.21
asî' \(L \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot \bar{\imath} t c\) ûx tc!ōnū yat in the middle (of the) canoe they two laid him down 126.23
\(k u u^{\hat{l}} e^{\prime} L \bar{e}^{i} t c\) tsx \(\bar{u}\) läl tō'min in the sweat-house lay that old man 28.11, 12
tîla'qai (many) live 36.11
hî'n̄̄ Lōva'kats \(l_{E}\) tīl \(l_{E}\) mä xqat tîla'qayitc there lived the kinsmen (among) the people (who) below lived 60.11
By prefixing to the noun the local prefix \(x\) - (see \(\$ 22\) ), and by suffixing to it the suffix \(-e^{i} t c\), \(-\bar{\imath} t c\), the idea from is expressed.
\(q^{\bar{a}} y \hat{\imath} s\) sky 6.1
L! \({ }^{\prime} t \bar{a}\) country 30.28
pqai' back 82.13
\(x q a^{\prime} y \hat{q} s i ̄ t c h e^{\prime} l a q\) from the sky he came
xyEai' L! tā'ītc fromanother country 26.6
\(x y \hat{u} ’ x w \ddot{a}\) mä \(L!' \bar{o} x k \cdot \stackrel{\imath}{n} \bar{e}^{i}\) wat xpqai'hītc two men were supporting him from the back 40.9

When preceded by the discriminative \(x\) - (see § 23), this suffix assumes a modal significance, exercising the same function as the English adverbial suffix -Ly or the word Like.
\(n m \ddot{a}^{\prime} h e \bar{n} e t ~ i t ~ i s ~ p o p u l a t e d ~ 12.4 ~ h a t s ~ k w a ~ x m a ̈ h e ' n t i ̄ t c ~ s t o ̄ ' w a q ~ j u s t ~\) like a person he stood up 114.23, 24
 persons she saw the beings (look) 54.18
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) sure enough 7.t
\(q^{a^{\prime}}\) lyeq salmon 34.14
\(y \hat{\imath}, c \bar{e}^{i \lambda}\) one 5.5
 killed a little of everything (literally, [of] everything not enough-ly he killed) 64.19, 20
\(x q a^{\prime} l y e q \bar{e}^{i} t c\) îl kwina' \({ }^{\prime} \bar{e}^{i}\) vat as salmon they look upon it 130.14 xta'nuxū̄̀tc Lōøva'kats sideways he was sitting 38.10
\(x y \hat{v}^{\prime} x e^{i} t c \quad d \ddot{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} t \quad x y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \bar{e}^{i} t c \quad h e^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t\) \(h \bar{u}^{u} m \ddot{a}^{\prime} k \cdot e\) each man has one wife (literally, one [modal] man, one [modal] their wives) 48.5

The prefix may sometimes be omitted, as shown by the following examples:
gantc where 8.8
qaic small 128.29
tsä'yux \({ }^{u}\) small 20.5
\(y \hat{i}^{\prime} k w a n s\) quantcīto ten \(l a\) perhaps shall which way this I go 100.18 qaícritc hau ît yūu\(x \hat{u} l t\) into small pieces they divided it 130.26
tsä'yuxwītc pî'lstat to pieces it was smashed \(124.1 \pm\)

Owing to its modal significance, this suffix expresses the idea of our collective numerals in twos, in threes, when added to the cardinal numerals.
\(\left.g \bar{o}^{u_{s}} q \alpha^{\prime} n t c \bar{\imath} t c x y \hat{u} x w \ddot{a}^{\prime} h \bar{e}^{i} t c \hat{\imath} t\right\} a\) everywhere in pairs they went 48.8 \(x y \hat{\imath} p s E^{\prime} n \bar{e} i t c\) in threes ( \(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} p s E n\) three)

\section*{§ 68. Local Suffix, Indicating Motion, -etc}

The suffix -etc indicates motion, action, and may be rendered by at, in, through, on, into.
\(t c \hat{\imath} c \bar{\imath}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} \neq\) spruce-tree \(20.5 \quad\) L!añ'yat le ts \(\ddot{a}^{\prime} y u x^{u}\) tc \(\hat{\imath} c \bar{\imath}^{\prime} m\) и̂letc he put it on the small spruce-tree 20.8
\(d E^{\prime} m s i \hat{t}\) prairie 22.12
\(y \hat{\imath} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} w E x\) house 22.25
tc!wäl fire 38.8
\(\ddot{a}\) face 10.3
\(l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma \bar{\imath}\) demste'tc Lhî̀ñap a good prairie through he goes 22.11
ŷरxä' wexetc \(l a\) into the house he went 28.10, 11
yîxä'wexetc \(d j \bar{\imath}\) to the house he came
tc!wä'letc t!cîts into the fire he shoved it 32.24
\(k w i n a^{\prime} \bar{e}^{i}\) wat \({ }^{\prime}\) 'hetc he is looking at (his) face

When suffixed to a stem with an \(a\)-vowel, the suffix is changed to -atc (see § 7).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(x \bar{a}^{a} p\) water 6.9 & \(t^{E} k!l_{i n} a \bar{a}^{\alpha^{\prime}} p_{p}\) atc into the water he dove 26.27 \\
\hline \(L^{\prime}!t \bar{a}^{\prime}\) ground 6.7 & L!táatc lemíyat on the ground he put it 64.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In some cases it may be suffixed to verts.
tîla' \(q a i\) (many) live 36.11
\(s t \bar{o}^{u} q\) he stands 20.4
alî'canī (they) play \(9 \pm .8\)
\(t c \bar{\imath} h e^{\prime} l a q l_{E} m \ddot{a}\) tîla'qayeto there he arrived, where the people were living 36.12, 13
tsō le mä qat stōurqetc he'laq now to the person (that) below stood he came \(92,4,5\)
he' \({ }^{\prime} a q l_{E}\) mäalâcaníwaqutc he came to the people (that) were playing 98, 14, 15
\(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-24

\section*{§69. Local-ewitc}

The local suffix -ewîtc is rendered by rowards.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(b e^{i} l d j\) north & bîldje'wîtc qaícît to the north he scattered \(48.2 t\) \\
\hline \(\bar{e}^{\prime}\) qatce aside 26.20 & équtce'wîtc kwîlhwe \({ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{e}^{i^{\prime}} y u\) to one side he was rolled \(94.19,20\) \\
\hline \(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) qante behind & ŷ̂qantce'wîtc \(\hat{\imath} l x\) backwards he looked 32.13 \\
\hline qaits inside 140.24 & \(q a i^{\prime} t s o ̄ w i ̂ t c\) îl te \(e^{\prime x} t \hat{t} t s\) (inside) they entered 22.29 \\
\hline  & yîxä̈wexe' wîto nta towards the house I am going \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 70. Instrumental -Etc}

It expresses our ideas with, against. When suffixed to a stem with an \(a\)-vowel, it is pronounced more like -atc; while, if suffixed to a stem with an e-vowel, it invariably sounded like eetc. When the instrumental idea with is to be expressed, the stem to which this suffix is added is very often preceded by the prefix \(x\) - (see § 24).
\(m \bar{a}^{\prime} l_{u} \hbar^{u}\) paint
\(\hat{2} \cdot x^{*}\) canoe 44.20
tc.'îltc!' hammer 26.26
\(m \hat{\imath} x \cdot{ }^{-} \bar{s}^{\prime} w \bar{e}^{i}\) lucky 20.14
q. 'e'lé pitch 82.23
\(m \bar{u}^{\prime} k \cdot e\) basket 28.27
\(q^{\bar{a}} y\) и̂̀s sky 6.1
tqä́l \(\hat{\imath} s\) sun 24.2
\(t c \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l_{E}\) door 62.5
\(m \bar{a}^{\prime} l u k w s t c \mid t \bar{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} a^{u}\) lä \(\ddot{a}\) red paint with was painted his face \(10.2,3\)
\(m \ddot{a} x \hat{\imath} x x^{\circ} E^{\prime}\) to \(y \hat{\imath} x u^{\prime} \bar{\pi} e\) people in canoes travel (literally, with canoes) 90.3
tqancts tc! \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l t c!\) !etc le kwî'la \(h e\) struck with a hammer the ice 2S.1,2
hatā'yîms mâx \({ }^{\cdot} \overline{s o}^{\prime}\) 'wetc al̂̀'can \(\bar{\imath} \hat{u}\) mẽn lucky money with they are playing 94.27
\(q\) ! eféy yetc la \(a^{u} \bar{a}^{a} t_{s}\) with pitch it was full 74.25
 he was dropped down (literally, with a basket) 28.9,10
\(q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{1} s E t c\) tskiw \(\bar{\imath}\) against the sky it struck 22.4
tq \(\bar{a}^{\prime} l \hat{l} \hat{s}^{\prime} E t c\) pana \(\bar{a}^{\prime} q t s x E m\) in the sun he is warming himself 32.8
\(x \cdot n e^{\prime x} t \hat{t} t s\) tc! \(\hat{\imath} l e^{\prime} h e t c\) she jumped against the door 76.2

In the following instance the suffix is changed, without any apparent cause, to -yetc.
wa'lwal knife \(78.11 \quad t^{E}\) qanlĩ'yeqem mwa'lwalyetc they \(^{2}\) hit her with a knife 80.5
In another instance it occurs as \(-a^{u} t c\).

When suffixed to the article or to the personal pronouns, this suffix is changed to -ittc.
\(l_{E}\) it \(5.1 \quad\) xle'îtc \(\hat{a} x k\). innt with it they two try it 7.4
n'ne I 50.25
\(e^{\varepsilon} n e\) thou 15.7
\(x \ddot{a}\) he 15.10
xwîn we two
nne'itc he'laq with (or to) me he came
\(y e^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime}\) îtc with, to thee 18.11
hexä'îtc with, to her 80.3
hexwinne'îtc with, to us two 24.3
§ 71. SUPERLATIVE -eyim.
This suffix indicates great quantity or quality. It corresponds to our superlative.
tsä'yux \({ }^{\prime}\) small 20.5. he tsë̈yuxwéyím \(\bar{a}^{\prime} / a\) the smallest child
\(h e^{\prime} \bar{m} \hat{s}\) big \(14.5 \quad h_{E}\) liemintséŷ̀m yîxä'uE,r the biggest house
It is added mostly to terms of relationship that denote either a younger or an elder member of the family. In such cases it implies that the member spoken of is the younger (or elder) in a family consisting of more than two members of the same degree of kinship.
henî'kinnätc elder sister wändj \(L!\) 'üts he henîkuntcéyîm (out of two) 50.8 that way spoke the eldest sister 126.16

\section*{§ 72. DISTRIBUTIVE -îme}
\(-\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{n} \bar{\imath}\) is suffixed to nouns of relationship only, and expresses a degree of mutual kinship. It is etymologically related to the verbal distributives -nē \({ }^{i}\), -̈̈n \(\bar{\imath}\) (see \(\S \S 25,37\) ).
sla'atc cousin 42.21
hä́Lätc elder brother 72.27
mîLkwā'yäte younger brother 72.1
\(\hat{u} x\) sla'tcint they two were mutual cousins \(\pm 2.15\)
l̂̂n hältcîn \(n \bar{\imath}\) we are brothers mutually
 (are) brothers (mutually) 90.8

\section*{§73. INTERROGATIVE - \(\bar{u}\)}

It is added only to the particles tcītc, qantc, mî'lätc, \(d \bar{\imath} i, u \hat{\imath} t, \bar{\imath} t c\), to the adverb \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c \hat{c} t c\), and to the stem \(\bar{\imath} t s e^{\prime} t s\) (see pp. 406, 407, 408, 411).
\(t c \bar{u}^{\prime} t c \bar{u} x a^{\prime} t a t \hat{u}\) men what are they doing? 92.18
\(x t c \imath^{\prime} t c \bar{u} t E n x \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) how is it that I am sick?
\(l a^{u} q a^{\prime} n t c \bar{u} l a\) that one where did he go? 94.25
mîlätcū hand e ewu'txe when will you return? 28.3,4
\(d \bar{i}^{i} t \bar{u}\) he \(t E e^{\varepsilon} w \hat{i} \bar{i} \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) wat what usually are you looking for? 54.3
di \(\bar{i} t t_{c e} t c \bar{u}\) hanl teîs \(k \cdot\) ! înt with what shall we two try it? 7.1, 2
\(\left(d \bar{i} t t c e^{\prime} t c \bar{u}=d \bar{\imath} \bar{i} t+-t c+-E t c+-\bar{u}\right.\) (see \(\left.\$ \$ 108,25,70,11\right)\)
\(x w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t \bar{u} t s \bar{\imath}^{-7} x^{\cdot} t \bar{z} \quad y a t\) who did it?
\(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c \bar{u} e^{\varepsilon} d \bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a e^{\prime} x k a n\) which one do you want? 50.17
\(\hat{\imath} t n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \bar{u}\) how many are they? (literally, [are] they a few?)
\(\bar{\imath} t s e^{\prime} t s \bar{u} h e \bar{m} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) stes \(\bar{\imath} \imath^{\prime} y e y \hat{\imath} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} v e x\) how big is your house? (literally, how [the] largeness [size] of your house?)

NUMERAL SUFFIXES (§§74-77)

\section*{§ 74. Ordinal -is}

The ordinal numerals are formed by adding to the cardinals (see § 101) the suffix -is. The first two numerals are irregular, especially the ordinal for one. The adverbial stem \(\bar{l} l a \operatorname{AHEAD}\), the temporal adverb \(y u w i ̂ n t ~ b e f o r e, ~ o r ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ a d v e r b ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ a d j e c t i v a l ~ e n d i n g ~-\bar{y} y E x\), are used in lieu of the missing regular ordinal numeral for one. The ordinal for two is formed by adding the suffix \(-\hat{\imath} s\) to the adverb asù again.
\(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} l a, y u w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t, y u w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t \bar{\imath} y E x\) first
as \(\bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s\) second
ŷ̂pse'n̂̂s third
hect \(L^{i \prime}\) Lîs fourth
\(k a t^{\prime} E^{\prime} m \hat{s} \hat{s} \hat{s}\) fifth
\(h \bar{e}^{i} x \ddot{a} \bar{z}^{\prime} l a\) Lorvî́tat she first ran (literaliy, ahead) 56.9
len yunvínt \(h \bar{u}^{u \prime}\) m \(\hat{\imath} s\) my first wife (literally, my wife [whom I had] before)
len \(a s \bar{o}^{\prime} \omega \hat{\imath} s h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s\) my second wife
Compare also helmíh \(h \hat{\imath} s\) next day (he'lm \(\mathrm{\imath}\) to-morrow 162.9) 6.7
Of an obscure composition is the indefinite ordinal tsî \(w \hat{\imath} s\) the last. Its first component can not be explained, while the ending is plainly the ordinal suffix -îs.
tsō cku tsiz'wîs now (this) must (have been) the last one 120.1

\section*{§75. Multiplicative -en}

The multiplicative numerals are formed by adding to the cardinals the suffix -en times.
1. yîxe' \(n\)
6. \(y \bar{\imath} x \bar{e}^{-i}\) iv \(\mathrm{\imath}\) eqen
2. \(t s \bar{o}^{u} x e^{\prime} n\)
7. yûxwä' wîeqen
3. ŷ̂pse'nen
8. \(y \bar{\imath} x e^{i} a h a ̈ l e n\)
4. hecsit Len
9. yuxwoü'ahäten
5. Kat' \({ }^{\prime}\) 'mîsen
10. Lep! qa'nien

The numeral for twice is irregular. It seems to be composed of the conjunction ts \(\bar{o}\) now, of the inclusive personal pronoun \(\hat{u} x\), and of the multiplicative suffix -en.
yâxe' \(n\) sLa'qu \(7 a\) once bathing she went \(84.2 \pm\)
tsō \({ }^{u} x e^{\prime} n\) hanc nuw'tre in two days will I return (literally, twice) 28.4

Kat' \({ }^{\prime}\) mîsen \(q a^{\prime} x a n t c x^{\cdot n} e^{\prime x} t \hat{\imath} t s\) five times upwards (they) jumped 76.4
tsō \(k^{u}\) ľwa nîctce'n qatîmī̀ye then, perhaps, in a few days . . . (literally, now, perhaps, it seems, a few times, morning it got) 56.21

To this group belongs also the indefinite weste' \(n\) so many times, formed from the stem wes so many.
 just as long as in the other country (literally, also so many times here, and also so many times in another country, I stay) 26.8, 9

\section*{§ 78. Ordinal-Multiplicative -entcis}

The ordinal-multiplicative numerals, expressed in English by at the first time, at the second time, are formed by means of the compound suffix -entcîs. This suffix consists of the multiplicative -en (see above), of the modal -tc (see §36), and of the ordinal suffix -is (see § 74).
 her heart 76.6, 7
\(x k a t^{\prime} E^{\prime} m i s e^{\prime} n t c \hat{\imath} s\) at the fifth time
The ordinal suffix -is may be omitted, as shown in the following example:
hecsi'Lentc qaf̂̂míye la latatā'ya lä sla'atc on the fourth day he went to his cousin (literally, four times [at] morning it got . . . ) 42.20, 21

\section*{§ 77. Distributive -hīñ}

Distributive numerals in the sense of one each, one apiece, are formed by adding to the cardinal numerals the suffix - hîna (see General Remarks, pp. 326, 327). The first two numerals, yîxeé and yûxwä, change their final vowels into \(a\) before adding the suffix. This change may be due to purely phonetic causes (see § 7). The numeral for three, \(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} p s e n\), drops its final \(n\) before taking the suffix.
> yи̂xaĥ̂'n̄a one each

yûxwal̂̂'ña two each
\(y \hat{\imath} p s e h \hat{\imath} \hat{\prime} \bar{n} a\) three each
\(h e c L^{i} L h \hat{n}^{\prime} \bar{n} a\) four each
kut'Emîshûna five each
 children have wives each (literally, all, one apiece, they with wives [are], the Spider's children) 58.9
ŷ̂xaht'ña hé \(\hat{\imath} s\) mílaq we two have one arrow apiece

\section*{PLURAL FORMATIONS (§§ 78-79)}

\section*{§ 78. Irregular Plurals}

The majority of nominal stems have the same forms in singular and plural. There are, however, a number of nouns and adjectives that show in the plural a formation which is distinct from the singular form. This formation is based upon two grammatical processes, suffixation and phonetic change, and may be said to be of a petrified character. It is impossible to describe, or even suggest, the processes that may have taken place in this formation; hence no attempt will be made to discuss them in detail.

The following is a list of nominal stems that occur in two distinct forms, - one for the singular, and the other for the plural:

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Plural } \\
h^{-i^{\prime}} \text { me } 20.3
\end{gathered}
\] & child \\
\hline  & woman \\
\hline \(t E \bar{m} \ddot{a}^{\prime} L e 24.1\) & old man \\
\hline tî'mîtì 56.18 & man \\
\hline mẽn 24.22 & human being \\
\hline \(k \cdot\) enéyese 30.16 & hunchback \\
\hline tsäyä'ne 48.7 & small \\
\hline tce'nर̂xet 46.19 & short \\
\hline Kale'mka 134.25 & tall \\
\hline aLî'maqa 44.20 & big \\
\hline tîtcä'ne 46.3 & kind, manner \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This distinction is not consistently carried out. Cases where the singular form is applied to denote plural concepts are quite numerous. This phenomenon is very natural, since in place of the idea of plurality we find rather the idea of distribution developed in Coos.

\section*{879. Plurai of Terms of Relationship, -iyas}

The only substantives that form a plural by means of a specific plural suffix are the terms of relationship. The suffix employed for this purpose (-iyas) may be added directly to the stem, or may be preceded by the suffix of relationship, -ätc (-atc) (see pp. 365, 366).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & meani'yas parents 86.12 \\
\hline  & hwíltci'yas younger sisters 82.14 \\
\hline hü̈'sütc older brother 72.27 & häLtcci'yas, häaz \({ }^{\prime} y a s\) older brothers 130.23 \\
\hline \(e^{\prime} k^{u} L u\) atc father 20.13 &  \\
\hline \(e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\) nütc mother 68.16 & \(\epsilon^{\epsilon}\) ntoùyas mothers \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This sufix may be present in the stem l! tá yas village, derived from l! \(t \bar{a}\) earth, ground, country. The initial \(\bar{\imath}\) of the suffix would amalgamate with the final \(\bar{a}\) of the stem into \(\bar{a}\) (see § 9), and the noun would express a collective plural.

\section*{§ 80. MINOR SUFFIXES}

Besides the suffixes discussed in the preceding pages, Coos has a few suffixes of obscure function, that occur sporadically only, and that are confined to certain given stems. These suffixes are as follows:
- \(\boldsymbol{i}\) occurs in one or two instances, and is rendered by and all.
> mî'laq arrow 12.10; nmîlaqa hemà yat le mä \(\hat{u} k w a \bar{a}^{\prime} x a l ~ n m i ̂ l a q u i ~\) with arrow he is 20.18 she took out a person's bow and arrow and all 62.23
> lā'mak. bones 40.12 \(n t^{x} t\) ta \(n \overline{a^{\prime}}\) mall: \(i\) with flesh and bones and all

-ca is suffixed to the noun \(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{i} h^{\circ}\). old woman. It was explained to me as having an endearing character, but instances are not lacking where the suffix is used in a derogatory sense.
wändj \(L!\ddot{a}^{\prime} x E m l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot c a\) thus talking is the (dear) old woman 82.19, 20

Lxant tc!wäte'tc \(l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot c a\) (she) threw it into the fire, the (bad) old woman (the Giant-Woman)
- \(\bar{a} y \hat{\imath} \mathrm{ims}\) occurs in three instances, and seems to have a nominalizing character.
tc! 'hats he put it out (the light) \(k\) !!dtc! !kā'ŷ̀ms lau tc! '̂̀le'et it (the 128.16 fire) is burning continually (riterally, without [being] put out it is caused to burn) \(40.25,26\)
\(\hat{\imath} c e^{\varepsilon} t \hat{\imath} t c\) ! you two come in! 82.14
hethe'te rich 26.2
tîtccácyâms nod \(\bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) to come in I (should) like
hatā’yîns money \(\because 0.15\)
\(-\overline{1} y / a L,-\bar{a} y a L\), are suffixed to a few verbal stems, and seem to denote the performer of an action.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t_{n}\) - to hunt 24.26 & \(l n \bar{u}^{\prime} y a L ~ m a ̈ ~ a ~ h u n t e r ~\) \\
\hline alî'cañ he plays & alîcanī'yal a player \\
\hline \(L_{\text {L }} \bar{\nu}^{\prime}\) - to eat & \(L \bar{o} w \bar{r}^{\prime} y a_{L}\) a person that eats \\
\hline & qacqay \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) yaL a shadow (?) \(10 \pm .9\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(-\bar{\imath} y e\), -äye. This suffix is added to a number of stems expressing adjectival ideas. It is idiomatically employed in the formation of comparison (see p. 417), and in some instances it is used to indicate plurality of adjectival concepts. When used for the purpose of expressing comparison, it seems to have a nominalizing function.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline pl! ̂̂s heary & \(y \bar{u} k w a p \not \ddot{a}_{L}!\ddot{a}^{\prime} y e x k w \hat{c}^{\prime} n a^{u} t c\) they (pl.) look very heavy (literally much as if weight [according to appearance) 64.8 \\
\hline \(x \cdot \hat{i}^{\prime} / 1, \hat{i} s\) deep & \(a s \hat{c}^{\prime} L\) Z \(a \hat{u} x \cdot \hat{\imath} l u w \tilde{r}^{\prime}\) ye \(l_{E x}\) ya'bas the maggots go halfway deep (literally, middle, goes its depth [of] the maggots) 40.12 \\
\hline :cü'us light & hîs xä ta héûx xwä'wīye le e \({ }^{\varepsilon} n e\) they two are as light as you (literally, also he and their two light weight [as] yours) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\quad\) Singular
\(p L l^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s\)
\(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t s \hat{\imath} s \quad 128.20\)
\(x \bar{u}^{\prime} u s\)

Plural püц! ' \({ }^{\prime} y e\) mätsäye
\(x w a ̈ w v^{\prime} y e\)
heavy wise
light
- \(\gamma \hat{\imath} y a\) is suffixed in one or two instances to local adverbs, giving them an adjectival coloring, as it were.
\(h \hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath}\) there 5.2
 there the people something know 128.19, 20
tsî he'ît tama't̂̂s hînī̀ \(\gamma \hat{1} y a\) mä just their fashion (of the) people from there 130.8, 9
The function of this suffix may best be compared to that of the German suffix -ige in phrases like-
der heutige Tag this day
die dortigen Einwohner the inhabitants from there
\(-\bar{\imath}\) has been found suffixed to the article only. It seems to express
the idea of instrumentality, although this idea may be due to the prefixed instrumental \(n\)-.
\(l_{E}\) it, he, the \(5.1 \quad n l e^{\prime} h \bar{\imath} l a\) with it he went \(42: 8\)
\(n l e e^{\prime} h \bar{\imath}\) wu'tre with it she returned 70.23

The infixed \(h\) is due to hiatus ( \(\$ 10\) ).

\section*{Reduplication (§§81-83)}

\section*{§81. Introductory}

Reduplication as a means of forming grammatical processes is resorted to frequently in Coos. The reduplication may be either initial or final. Initial reduplication affects the consonant, vowel, or whole syllable. It consists in the repetition of the weakened rowel or consonant of the stem, or in the duplication of the first stemsyllable. The connecting vowel between two reduplicated consonants is the obscure e-rowel; but, owing to the great tendency of Coos towards euphony, this obscure vowel is frequently affected by the stem-vowel (see § 7). Final duplication is always consonantic, and consists in the repetition of the final consonant by means of a connecting obscure vowel, which very often changes its quality in accordance with the stem-vowel preceding it, or with the vowel of the suffix that follows it (see § 7).
The grammatical use of reduplication is confined chiefly to the verb.

\section*{§ 82. Initial Reduplication}

Initial reduplication expresses, in connection with the proper verbal suffixes, intensity of action, repetition, duration, and customary action. It is employed, furthermore, in the formation of the passive
voice. Syllabie reduplication is used very often in addition to a phonetic device (see § St) for the purpose of forming a number of verbs expressing transitive ideas of continuous duration. These verbs do not then require any of the transitive suffixes. This latter application may be of a later, secondary origin.
Examples of reduplication of initial sound, or of initial consonant and following rowel:
\(w \bar{i}^{i} n\) - to cheat \(e^{\varepsilon} w \hat{\imath} w i n \bar{u}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I am cheating you
qaic small 128.29 qEqaícū läu wît tîn clubbed (into pieces) is his blood 10.6
\(a i^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) (he) killed them \(12 t .4\)
pîls- to tear up
\(t \bar{\imath}^{w}\) - to coil
\(L \bar{o}^{u}\) - to buy
it aiai \({ }^{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{a}^{\prime} y u\) they were killed 58.8 pepthlsü'ye he was torn up \(\$ 8.16\) ñtîtīwé \(\bar{e}^{\prime}\) wat I am coiling it
 88.13, 14

Examples of syllabic duplication:
tcine'henīhe is thinking 24.13 , è lanz tcintcinā'̂̀s you sha'n't 14 think of me 88.29
cim- to attract ĉmcima' \({ }^{i}\) waq it was attracting by means of its breath 88.25
\(\bar{u} t \hat{\imath} s l^{-} u^{\prime}\) wat he recognized it \(\hat{\imath} \hat{t}^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \hat{s} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \bar{u}\) (she) is being recognized 30.28
 down 7.4
ting down 34.8
tē \({ }^{i} p\) - to paint
\(L^{2}{ }^{u}, r,-\) to hit
\(p \overline{o^{\prime}} k u \hat{\imath} s\) slave
\(u \bar{e} L-\) to twist
síx \(x^{\hat{\imath}} t \mathrm{~s}\) he shook it off 49.3
xlét̂tc lîplíyapl läa \(a\) with it she painted their faces 122.6
\(x n \bar{a}^{a} n t l a^{u}\) L \(\bar{o}^{u} x \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) war many that one were hitting 80.4,5
\(m \ddot{a} p \bar{o} u k p \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}} v a k^{u}\) people she was enslaving 70.15
riet \({ }^{\prime i}\) le wínové \({ }^{i}\) yal slowly she is twisting him 60.7
n. \(\operatorname{sit} x^{*} s \imath^{\prime} y a x \cdot\) I am shaking it off

Owing to the fact that reduplication and duplication are based upon the principle of consonantic or stem weakening, the repeated element occurs very often in a changed form. The following rules have been observed in this respect:
(1) The semi-vocalic \(y\) reduplicates into a long \(\bar{\tau}\).
yûce'ntce together 64.8 yatée \({ }^{i^{\prime}}\) wat he is coaxing him wändj \(\hat{\imath} t \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y a t \bar{u}\) thus they were coaxed 98.4, 5
(2) The spirant \(x\) in consonantic combinations, when reduplicated, becomes \(k\). In the same manner alveolar \(s\) becomes the affricative \(t\).
\(\hat{\text { uts }} x^{\cdot} L \cdot \bar{o}^{u} t\) we two put it in \(\hat{\imath} t \cdot \cdot \hat{1} x \cdot L!\cdot \bar{o} w \bar{e}^{-i}\) wat they are putting
26.25
\(x \cdot t \bar{\imath}\) it slid down 26.19
yर̂rén \(n\) sla'qa la once to bathe isîsla'qaai she was bathing 84.21 she went 84.24
st \(\bar{o}^{u} q\) he stood 20.4 tsestōqe \(\bar{e}^{i \prime} y u\) he was made to stand on his feet
(3) The reduplication of the fortis palatal \(k\) ! consists in the mere amplification of the consonant by means of a prefixed \(\alpha\)-vowel.
k! a'lat he shouted 36.7
ak! a'laaile h \(\bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s\) shouting is the woman 56.5
(t) Combinations of two or more consonants, of which a relar, a palatal, a nasal ( \(m, n\) ), an \(h\) or \(l\), form the second element, reduplicate the second consonant. The lateral \((l)\) is in such cases preceded by a vowel, since initial combinations of \(l+\) velar are impossible.
skwitwat he informed him wädj kwishon'uet that way he is 164.22
tsxamezyat he put it down retwanree \({ }^{i}\) wat he is putting it 36.21

LFovoa'at he cut it off
sqats he seized it 36.20
L! want he threw it 42.10
\(a^{\prime}\) lqas fear 6e.4
L! /ha'tsa he put on 25.23
smeníyat he tipped it over mermene \({ }^{-i}\) wat he is tipping it over 46.26
\(q!\) mîts she ate it \(24.16 \quad m_{\text {q }} \cdot{ }^{\prime} m \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} y u\) it is eaten 142.6
\(x^{E} a l \bar{u}^{\prime} y a t\) he hugged him \(116 . \pm\) elxece \(\bar{e}^{\bar{e}^{i}} y^{\prime}\) u he was hugged
Compare also -
lâ̂'mût she jabbed him 112.17 îlercu'ye he was jahbed
\(L!n \bar{o}^{u} t\) he opens (the door) opening (the door)
(5) Syllables ending in an \(m, n, l+\) consonant omit the \(m, n\), and \(l\) in the repeated syllable.
kwîlt- to roar kwithwê'ltaai it is roaring 11 . 6
qals- to cut qasqa'lsaai he is cutting
\(x \cdot n e^{\prime} x t \hat{\imath} t s\) he jumped \(32.4 \quad x^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t x \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t a a i\) he is jumping
\(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t c \hat{t}\) s she asked him 62.15 mâtcmínte \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y e q E m\) he is asked
\(h a^{u} m x\) - to dress hides
\(d \ddot{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} t \operatorname{man} 14.7\)
l!!wanx \({ }^{u}\) - to cut (the hair)
tsille. to tie a knot silpp- to comb (hair)
70.9
\(\hat{u} x\) ha \(a^{u^{\prime}} x h a^{u} m a^{u} x\) they two are dressing hides 68.27, 28
̂̂c teltä'mîltu you two (will) get strong 120.17, 18
k! wa'xk!wanax he is cutting his hair
tsîk \(k \cdot t s i l a k \cdot\) he is tying a knot sípsilap he is combing (his hair)

A number of stems occur in paraliel forms showing both consonantic reduplication and syllabic duplication.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ŷ̂xe'ntce together 64.8 & \(\bar{i} y \hat{\imath}, x a n t c e^{\varepsilon} n \bar{e}^{i} y u\) it was gathered up \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
yExyîxentce \(e^{s^{\prime}} n \bar{e}^{i} y u\) it was gathered \\
up 84.16
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(x \cdot n e^{\prime} e t\) he is on top 10.1 & \(x \cdot \hat{\imath} n x \cdot \hat{\imath} n \bar{e}^{i}\) wat he is putting it on top \\
\hline & \(x^{\prime} \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x^{\prime} \cdot \hat{\imath} n t \bar{u}\) it is being put on top \\
\hline mîntc- to ask & mîtcmî'natc she is asking 80.12 memîntcūye he was asked \\
\hline \(c \bar{u} \bar{L}^{\prime}\) s he set afire & ĉ̂Lcū'Laai it is burning \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§83. Final Reduplication}

Final reduplication is used for the purpose of expressing distribution, mutuality, and, in intransitive verbs, an action that is performed now and then (see \(\S 37\) ). It is also employed as a means of forming neutral verbs that indicate actions of long incessant duration.
\(y E q\) he went away
\(s \bar{o}^{u x} t\) - to trade
\(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) mûs woman 26.7
\(s t \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) wall he stood 20.7
kuculùyat he rolls it
kwo \({ }^{a} a^{\prime} t \hat{c} s\) dream 98.7
qai'û̀s \(l a^{u} y^{2} q^{E} q \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) from the shore they are running a way (one after the other, singly) 36.18, 19
\(\hat{\imath} s\) sōuxt̂̂tü'ni hanl we two will trade (mutually) 16.7, 8
\(\hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath} E x a^{\prime} \bar{n} \bar{a} l^{u} a^{u} \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath} s \hat{\imath} s \ddot{c}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) themselves they marry 12.5
stōova'qEqäñ he is continually standing up and sitting down
\(k w \hat{\imath}{ }^{E} l \ddot{̈} ' n \bar{\imath} l_{E}\) baltū'mîs rolling is the ocean 6.2
\(l a^{u} k w \bar{a}^{a} t^{E} s \hat{\imath} s \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) he is constantly dreaming (literally, now and then) 72.1
\(l k!w \bar{\imath} t E x \bar{a}^{a} p\) runs down the \(l k!w a^{\prime} k^{u} t E x \bar{a}^{a} p\) is continually run-
water 16.9
\(x \cdot p \bar{\imath} l_{E} y \hat{\imath} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} w_{E x}\) it burned down, the house \(58.12,13\)
wu'tre he came back 28.9
ning down the water 17.4
\(x \cdot p a^{\prime} a p l_{E}\) yîxä'vers burning (down) is the house
wutxa'xa te'îs hini"me came back (one by one) our (dual) children 44.7
\(k!^{\prime u x} w \bar{u}^{\prime} l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) mîs the woman was lost 54.19
\(n E q\) he ran away 100.16
 lost (impersonal)
î neqa'qa they ran away (severally)

There are a number of stems expressing verbal, nominal, and adjectival ideas, that appear invariably in reduplicated or doubled form. Some of these expressions are onomatopoetic in character; others may have been borrowed from the neighboring languages; while still others may be new formations, necessitated by the introduction of new ideas and concepts through the contact of the Coos with the white people. (See also § 116.)

The following is a partial list of such stems:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(e^{\prime}\) qeq killing spot 80.14 (compare \(e^{\prime} q e\) - to die) & \(\{\hat{\imath}\) ' \(p\) t̂p white man's paint (compare \(\overline{\bar{e}^{i}} p\) - to paint) \\
\hline \(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} m y \hat{\imath} m\) eyelash (compare & \(t c o \bar{o}^{\prime} x t c \bar{o} x\) rabbit 60.23 \\
\hline yim- to twinkle) & \\
\hline wa'lwal knife 78.11 & \(g \cdot \hat{\imath} m g \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} s\) rain (compare \(g \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} m \bar{i} t\) it rains) \\
\hline \(h a^{\prime} x \cdot h a x\) wagon (compare \(h a^{i} x^{\prime}-\) to drag) & \(k \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n k \cdot \hat{\imath} n\) stick \\
\hline hethe'te rich 26.2 & \(k \cdot \hat{s}\) Sk \(\cdot a^{\prime}\) sîL fish-hawk \\
\hline \(h e^{u} h e^{u}\) knot 92.8 & ku'kum raven \\
\hline \(p \bar{u}^{\prime} s p \bar{u} s^{1}\) cat & qatqai' \(L\) belt 28.7 (compare \(t_{q} a^{i} L^{-}\) to put a belt on) \\
\hline \(p \bar{u}^{u^{\prime} x p u x}\) a spout 30.25 & qa'lqal digging-stick 26.17 \\
\hline \(m \bar{u} s^{\prime} m \bar{u} s^{1}\) cow & \(x \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n x \cdot \hat{i} n\) saddle (compare \(x \cdot n e^{\prime} e t\) it is on top) \\
\hline \(t a^{u^{\prime}} t a^{u}\) basket 112.4 & \(x a^{\prime}\) Lxatat ax (compare Lrat- to chop) \\
\hline tsetse'kwinn cane 28.18 & nuwa'lxual eye 40.1 \\
\hline tsElı̂'mtselîm button & muî'ts.müt deer 64.19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ta'ntan to come ashore (whale) 128.28
\(p^{\prime \prime} x \cdot p \bar{\imath}\) to go home 28.3
\(y \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u}\) to stop (while traveling) 5.2

\section*{Phonetic Changes (§ \& 84-85)}

Grammatical processes by means of phonetic changes are few in number, and not clearly developed. The phonetic change may be of a vocalic or consonantic character.

\section*{§ 8t. Vocalic Chon!es}

Vocalic change is confined to the verb, and consists in the amplification of the stem by means of a vowel (usually the \(\alpha\)-vowel), or in the modification of the rowel connecting a suffix with a stem. Stem amplification is employed for the purpose of forming active or transitive verbs from verbal stems, and of denoting duration of action. The latter application occurs in verbs that have already been transitivized by means of some transitive suffix. The stem is frequently duplicated before amplification is applied to it (see \(\$ \S 82,83\) ). For another explanation of this phenomenon see \(\S \S t, 11\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline thunil- to follow & \(\bar{i} n\) tcitc thwíyal (they) can not follow him \\
\hline tcinu- to reach & ŷ̂xä'vexxetc tcî'naL lä \(k^{\prime u} m \bar{a}^{\prime} ;\). to (the roof of) the house reached its horn \(86.25,26\) \\
\hline \(s t \bar{o}^{u} q\) he stood 20.4 & nhaz!' stō'waq at the foot of the tree he stood 26.17 \\
\hline \(\hat{u} x y \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u}\) they two stopped (for a moment) 5.2 & \(\hat{u} x y \bar{u}^{\prime w i} y \bar{u}\) they tro stopped (for a long time) 5.5 \\
\hline k: \(a^{u}\) - to peck & krîto the act of) pecking at it 20.9 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
sîp- to comb one's hair \\
minte- to ask
\end{tabular} & st'psîlap he is combing his hair wänd mîtcmînato that way she is asking 80.12 \\
\hline wiL- to twist & xqe'ltc uilue \(e^{-i} y a z\) slowly she is twisting him 60.7. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Modification of a connecting vowel, whenever it occurs, is employed for the purpose of indicating duration of action. As this phenomenon has been discussed more fully in connection with the transitive suffixes -t and -ts, the reader is referred to the chapters dealing with those suffixes (see \(\S 26\) and also p. 357), in order to aroid repetition.
\(\hat{u} x l \hat{l}^{\prime} c \hat{c} t\) they two shake it 13.8 l \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) cat \(h E\) L! \(t \bar{a}\) (he) is shaking the earth continually 16.2
n.mu'xurit I felt it
noftitts I painted it
nmu'xvat I am feeling it
nltats I am painting it

\section*{§ 85. Consonantic Changes}

The application of cousonantic changes as a means of forming grammatical processes is a very peculiar phenomenon, characteristic of the Coos language. Its use is confined to a very few instances; and the process, while to all appearances consisting in the hardening of the final consonant, is of such a petrified nature that it is no longer possible to analyze it. It occurs only in a few nouns of relationship, and its significance may be said to be endearing and diminutive. The following examples of consonantic change have been found:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Kwe'ts a young woman 86.1 & kwétil: a young girl 12.2 \\
\hline \(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) mîs woman 24.6 & \(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) m \(\hat{\imath} k\). old woman (used in the same sense as we use our phrase my dear old wife) 58.5 \\
\hline \(d \ddot{a}^{\prime} m \hat{t} \hat{l}^{\prime}\) man 14.7 & \(t \bar{\sigma}^{\prime} m \hat{l}_{L}\) old man 20.2 \\
\hline d \(\bar{i}^{\prime} \backslash \bar{o} t\) young man 22.6 & d \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} \backslash \bar{o} L\) y young boy 60.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Syntactic Particles (§§86-95)}

\section*{§ 86. Introductory}

By syntactic particles is meant here the great number of enclitic and proclitic expletives that are employed in Coos as a means of expressing grammatical categories and syntactic relations. They cover a wide range of ideas, and refer more properly to the whole sentence than to any specific part of it. With the exception of two particles, none of them are capable of composition; that is to say, they can not be used with any suffix or prefix, although two or even three particles may be combined into one. Such combined particles usually retain the functions of each of the component elements. All syntactic particles are freely movable, and may be shifted from one position to another without affecting the sense of the sentence.

\section*{§ 87. Temporal Particles}
1. Han about to. It denotes actions that will take place in the immediate future. Its position is freely movable, and it may be placed before or after the verb.
tsō han kwīit lie keitsimä'mîs now he was about to bend the half 62.29
\(x^{E} a l \bar{z}^{\prime} y a t h a n h_{E} d \bar{q}^{\prime} \bar{l} \bar{t}\) he is about to hug the young man 114.26 .
2. hanl shall, will. It is regularly used to denote a future action, and it is the sign of the future. It either precedes or follows the verb.
 \(g \tilde{o}^{u}{ }_{s}\) dī̀ \({ }^{i}\) hanl hä'wī everything will grow 9.3
cin sqats hanl te tc! wät you shall seize that yonder fire \(40.18,19\) ̂̂s al \({ }^{\prime}\) 'can \(\bar{\imath} h a n t\) we two will play 38.11
\(\bar{\imath}{ }^{n} l_{E^{\prime} \gamma \bar{\imath}}\) hant not good will (it be)
3. Eît intend, about to. It gives the sentence the force of a periphrastic future. It either precedes or follows the verb.
\(\hat{\imath}\) gantc Eitt e \(e^{\varepsilon \ell} \downarrow a\) when anywhere you intend to go 15.3
\(\hat{\imath}\) d \(\bar{i} \hat{i} \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}\) Lōw \(\bar{e}^{i}\) wat Eit \(t\) when something they intend to eat 38.2
qaiku \(\hat{u} x\) wutxa' \(x a\) Eît te'îs \(h \hat{\imath}^{i}\) me I thought that they two should come back, those our (dual) children 4.7
4. n̄̄k! wa used to (Be). It denotes an action that took place long ago. It is often used as a sign of the past tense. In such cases it is always preceded by the particle he usually (see below), and it follows the verb which is used in its repetitive form.
\(t \bar{e} \bar{i}^{i} n \bar{k} k!w a y e^{\varepsilon} n \epsilon^{u} n \bar{a}^{\prime} h \hat{\imath} n\) this used (to be) your shinny club 38.16 \(x \bar{a}^{a} p \eta l u^{\prime} q w \hat{i} t ~ n \bar{\imath} k!\) 'wa water I used to boil
nown̂wīnaai he nūk! woa I used to cheat
nosî'psîlap he nox \(n e^{\prime} k \cdot n \bar{k} k\) !' wa I used to comb my hair
By suffixing to \(n \bar{k} k!w a\) the obsolete suffix \(-l \bar{\imath}\), the temporal adverb \(n \bar{\imath} k\) ! wa'l \(\bar{\imath}\) yesterday is obtained.
\(n \bar{\imath} k:{ }^{\prime} \omega \bar{a}^{\prime} l \bar{\imath} n q^{\prime} a^{\prime} \bar{l} a\) yesterday I crossed (the river)

5. he usually, frequently, habitually, denotes an action that is performed very frequently. The particle either precedes or follows the verb. The verb is very often used in the repetitive form, whenever possible.
\(g \bar{o}^{u_{s}} m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \ddot{a} t c \mathrm{he} L^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\prime} x \mathrm{Em}\) always usually he is talking 15.4
 38.3

When following the future particle hans, or its potential form yans (see p. 391), he coalesces with them into hancawe and yantawe respectively.
yanvawe dīil e \(e^{\varepsilon} q a^{u} w e n i ̂ s a^{\prime} n \bar{\alpha} y a\), hanLawe xle \(\hat{\imath} t c l^{\prime} n u w \bar{\imath} e^{\varepsilon} L!\ddot{a}^{\prime} x \mathrm{xm}\) whenever you will get mad at something, you will talk with it
loud (literally, if shall usually something you get angry at it shall usually with it hard you be talking) 16.3, 4
yantawe xqantc mä hūu\(y a m, l_{E l a}{ }^{\prime}\) hanlawe \(e^{\epsilon} k w \bar{a}^{\prime} n a n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) whenever a person gets ready to come from somewhere, this you shall usually tell (literally, if shall usually from where a person get ready [to come] this shall usually you tell it) \(19.3,4\)
The particle he amalgamates with the adverb \(y \bar{u}\) very into a temporal adverb, yuwe whenever.
\(x a^{\prime} l w i \hat{s}\) he yuwe \(l a^{u} y \hat{\imath} x u^{\prime} \bar{m} e\) warm usually (it is) whenever that one travels 24.6
 (his eyes), it is always lightning 16.6, 7

The same process may bave taken place in the rare adverb towe when. The first component may be a stem, to-, while the second element is the particle \(h e\). The example given below will substantiate this assertion. We have here a complex of two sentences stating a fact of frequent occurrence. In the first sentence the repetitive particle occurs clearly, while it seems to be missing in the subordinate sentence. And since, according to the examples given above, all the components of a complex of sentences must show the particle \(h e\), it is safe to assume that the frequentative particle is one of the two elements in towe. The example follows:
 another country usually they two travel when(ever) a woman gets her monthly courses \(26.6,7\)

\section*{§ 88. Particles Denoting Degrees of Certainty and Knowledge}
6. Wwa it seems, as if, like, kind of, denotes an object or an action the quantity or quality of which is not intimately known to the speaker.
hats kwa tō'hîts just as if he hit it

hats kwa \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y u\) wîna'qaxem lät Lōvē \({ }^{i^{\prime}}\) wat just like a rainbow is spread out that (which) he was eating 32.14
hats kwa ntoto mîL just like an old man I (am)
7. \(\boldsymbol{y} \hat{\text { inkue }} \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}^{u}\) maybe, perhaps, I guess. Both forms appear without any apparent distinction. This particle may apply to any part of speech in a sentence, and its position is freely movalle. It has a \(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40 , pt. 2- \(12-25\)
dubitative character. It expresses the possibility of a certain action taking or having taken place, and at the same time doubts the certainty of its occurrence.
\(h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} k^{u} \bar{e}^{\prime} k \cdot E x E m\) là'ye hä́l\(L \ddot{a} t c\) there perhaps amongst (them) is your elder brother \(9 \pm .28\)
ts \(\hat{\imath} \hat{k} k^{u} \hat{\imath} c\) hewese' \(n \bar{\imath}\) merely perhaps you two are lying 28.13, 14
La' ' \(^{\prime}\) Etat \(k^{u}\) (she) may get bungry 64.15
\(e^{\epsilon} x \ddot{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s \hbar^{u}\) maybe (that) you are sick
This particle is very often followed by the negation \(\bar{i} n\) not.
\(y_{\imath} \hat{k} u\) \(\bar{\imath} n x \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) he is probably sick (literally, maybe [or maybe] not he is sick)

When followed by the future particle hans, it amalgamates with it into yîkwanl or kwanl (see \(\S \S 8,9\) ), and it is translated by (i) WONDER WHETHER, (I) SUPPOSE IF.
\(n \bar{\imath}\) kuanl \(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a n q \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) won't I loose my breath? (literally, not I perhaps will [be] gone my breath) \(54.13,14\)
lau noxl'ts kwanl suppose I hit that one with a club (literally, that one I hit it with a club perhaps shall) 124.16
\(y \hat{\imath} k w a n L ~ d \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t t \bar{e}{ }^{i}{ }_{0} n_{L} \bar{o} w \bar{e}^{-i^{\prime}}\) wat I wonder what I shall eat (literally, maybe will that there I eat it) \(32.19,20\)

It is contracted with the following \(\bar{u} L\) into \(y \hat{\imath} k \bar{u} L, k \bar{u} L\) (see § 9 and p. 391).
\(y_{\imath}^{\hat{\imath}} k \bar{u} L \bar{i} n l E^{\prime} \gamma^{\bar{\imath}}\) p \(\in\) rhaps that will be good (literally, perhaps would [be] that not good)
\(y \hat{\imath} k \bar{u} \bar{L}\) xtc \(\bar{\imath} t c\) y \(\bar{u} L\) Lîm ñha \(a^{u x t s}\) I wonder how it would be if I should make a dam (literally, perhaps would [be] how, if should a dam I make) 34.16
\(\bar{i} n k \bar{u} L\) qaic ha \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) pît ten \(x m \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n k a t c\) could not my son-in-law cut off a chunk? (literally, not perhaps would a chunk cut off this my son-in-law) 128.29
When followed by the particle \(\hat{\imath} l\) surely (see p. 388), it is contracted with it into \(y \hat{\imath} k w \hat{l} l\) or \(k w \hat{\imath} l\) (see \(\S 8\) ), and lends to a statement a high degree of probability.
\(q a^{\prime}\) wax \(k w \hat{\imath} l l^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y e ~ h a ̈ \prime\) 'ätc above may (be) surely your elder brother 96.4, 5

The particle \(y \hat{\imath} k u, k^{u}\), appears sometimes as yikiva, yikwe, or kwe. The reason for this phonetic change could not be found.
ŷ̂kwa qantc \(7 a\) where may it have gone? (literally, perhaps somewhere it went?) 88.3
 haps something this I see it) 108.11
kwa kwe \(y \bar{u} \bar{\imath} n \bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) L sla? (I) wonder if it is not so, cousin? (literally, as if perhaps very not surely [it] must be, \(O\) cousin!) 38.21
8. hakwat, kwat. A compound particle having the same significance as kwa. It consists of the unexplained prefix ha- (which seems to occur also in ham \(\bar{\imath} L\), see p. 392), the particle kwa, and the abbreviated form of \(d \bar{i} i t\) (see p. 407).
hakwat \(x^{\prime} \hat{\imath} \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a m l_{E} l \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k w \hat{\imath} t\) kind of reddish (were) the feathe \({ }_{2}\) s 20.10
\(k!w \bar{a}^{a} n t h a k w a t q a^{\prime} l^{u} x t a t\) he heard some kind of a noise (literally, he heard as if a noise were made) 60.29
9. q \(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{n}\) denotes suspicion. It is very difficult to render it in English otherwise than by a whole sentence.
\(k w a q e ̃ n d \tilde{\imath}^{i} t L!\hat{\imath}^{\prime} m E q\) she suspected some scent (literally, as if, suspicion, something [a] smell) 24.10
kwa qẽn mä \(\hat{\imath} c \operatorname{sLn}^{\prime} \bar{e}^{i} w a t\) it seems as if you two are hiding a person (literally, as if, suspicion, a person you two are hiding) 24.11
10. qaiku expresses a supposition on the part of the speaker. It was invariably rendered by i thovart. Its first component can not be analyzed, while the second is clearly the particle \(k^{u}\).
qaiku \(\hat{u} x\) wutxa'xa Eît te \(e^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s h \bar{\imath}^{i}\) me I thought they two were going to come back, these our two children 44.7
qaiku \(\bar{\imath} n \hat{\imath} l y e^{\varepsilon} n e^{u^{\prime}} \bar{\ell} \bar{o}\) I thought not surely (this was) your property 112.7
11. quint. Neither of the two clements of this particle can be analyzed. It indicates that a certain fact came suddenly into one's recollection, and may best be translated by oh, i recollect, i remember. It is usually amplified by the particle \(L\) (see p. 392), which either follows it immediately or else is placed at the very end of the sentence.
qain \(\bar{\imath}\) L nwa'wala \(\hat{u} q \bar{a} y \hat{\imath} s\) he recollected that this was a spider (literally, recollection, must be, with [its] spider, world) 30.3 qain \(\bar{\imath} h^{u} n \not \approx \bar{o}^{\prime} w e ~ \hat{u} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s L\) he came to remember that there was such a thing (literally, recollection, perhaps, with such a thing, the world, must [be]) 32.9
12. natsi. It is used by the speaker for the purpose of expressing doubt. It was rendered by i doubt.
nats \(x d \bar{\imath} \bar{i} \downarrow l a^{u} L \bar{o} w \bar{e}^{-i}\) wat I doubt (whether) some one (will) eat it 36.9
natsī xtcītc lîn sqats (we) doubt (whether) we (shall) catch it 56.19, 20
13. hẽn hearsay. It denotes that a certain occurrence or fact is known to the speaker from hearsay only. It may best be translated by I was told, it is said.
hä'wã hẽn le wî̀nqas \(\hat{u}\) tem \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} s n a ̈ t c ~ g r e w ~ u p ~ t h e ~ S p i d e r ' s ~ g r a n d s o n, ~\) it is said \(66.11,12\)
penlō'uai hēn ta'ntan whales are reported to (have) come ashore 128.28
ye \(e^{\varepsilon} n e^{u} \grave{L}^{\prime} l\) le hẽn \(l a^{u}\) nai' wît your enemies (as I heard you say) those I killed 110.16, 17
14. îl surely, certainly, confirms a statement, and gives it the appearance of certainty. It is often used in apposition to hẽn, whenever the speaker wishes to imply that he himself was a witness of a certain occurrence. It denotes knowledge by experience, and may be translated by I SAW ir. It either follows or precedes that part of a sentence which it is to specify more clearly.
\(m \ddot{a} \hat{\imath} l n_{n} \bar{o} w \bar{e}^{i}{ }^{i}\) wat persons I do eat, indeed 24.18
n̊̂loxqai'n̂̂s mä \(\hat{\imath}\) I I am a doctor, surely 10.2
tc̄\(\hat{\imath} l e^{\varepsilon} L \bar{o} u k^{u}\) there, indeed, sit down 38.22
\(n k \cdot \hat{a}+\bar{u}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) îl I saw him, for sure
\(x a^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s \hat{\imath} l\) he is sick (I saw it)
\(l_{E^{\prime} \gamma \bar{\imath}}\) hanlel it will be good certainly 15.9 (hanLel \(=h a n x+\hat{\imath} l\) see § 7)
in hel sla not so, cousin 42.23 (see § 7)
15. cku indicates knowledge by evidence. It is used whenever the speaker wishes to state a fact that occurred beyond doubt, but whose causes are not known to him. It is composed of \(c^{E}\) (see p. 389) and \(k^{u}\). It may be rendered by it must have been THAT.
\(y \hat{a}^{\prime} x w a ̈ \ddot{a} c k u h \bar{u}^{u} m \ddot{a}^{\prime} k \cdot\) e \(y u^{\prime} k w e\) two women must have gone ashore 126.11, 12 (the speaker knows this fact to be true by examining the tracks on the sand beach)
hats cku kwa xmä̈ la tcē hîth̄̄\(t \bar{o} w \bar{e}^{i}{ }^{i}\) wat just it must be as if a person that thing there put it 112.2 (the evidence of this fact was the finding of the object in question)

\section*{§ 89. Particles Denoting Connection with Previously Expressed Ideas}
16. \(y \hat{\imath} q a x, y \hat{\imath} q a\). The exact significance of this particle is not clear. It was rendered by still, anyway, at any rate, nevertheless, right away, just. In some cases it denotes a continual action.
ŷ̂qa in tō'hîts he to'qmas still not he hit the woodpecker 22.5 \(y \hat{\imath} q a ~ h a n s ~ t s \hat{\imath} x^{\cdot} e^{\varepsilon} h a k^{u} t \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) wat tī̀ye \(\hat{\imath} x^{\prime}\) at any rate, you will here leave your canoe \(54.10,11\)
ŷqqax hanc ñta right away I am going
\(m \bar{a} y \hat{u}^{\prime} x w a \ddot{a} m \ddot{a} l a, y \hat{\imath} q a\) ît tsxaū'wat even if two persons go, nevertheless they kill them 90.10
hats ŷ̂qa xqa' wax \(\hat{u} k k w i n a^{\prime} \bar{e}^{i} w a t\) just continually from above they two look at it 6.9
17. qats however, nevertheless, notwithstanding.
xqa'wax hä'k!wîtẽm, la quts kwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) Lōwa'hai qa'xantc from above, some one pulled him, however, it seemed as if he surely ran upwards (by himself) 92.9, 10
qats kwîlkwā'yu, hats \(l_{E q q u u^{\prime} w e ~}^{l_{E}} \bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) nevertheless it was cut off (and) it just died, the child \(76.15,16\)
18. \(m \bar{a}\) but, even if, really.
\(m \bar{a} y \hat{u}^{\prime} x w \ddot{a}\) mä \(\nexists a, y \hat{\imath} q a\) ̂̂l tsxaū'wat even if two persons go, nevertheless they kill them 90.10
ma yanlawe tî'mîtī dìit e \(e^{\epsilon} t \bar{o}^{\prime} h \hat{\imath} t s, y \hat{q} q a\) hanlawe la \(e^{s} t s x a \bar{u}^{\prime} w a t\) even if strong something you will strike, still you will kill it 124.11, 12
\(\boldsymbol{m} \bar{a}\) with the negative particle \(\bar{i} n\) is rendered by not at all.
\(m \bar{a} \bar{\imath} n ~ m \ddot{a}\) kwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{y} y a, m \bar{a}\) wändj L! \({ }^{\prime} ' x E m\) not at all people he saw, nevertheless that way he was talking (making believe that he saw them) 30.27
19. nā, uäy yim because.
\(e^{\varepsilon} a l q s i t t a \bar{a} m \hat{\imath}\), nāyı̂m wändj \(e^{\varepsilon} L!\ddot{a}^{\prime} x E m\) you scare me, because that way you are talking 110.15, 16
 river 36.26

\section*{§ 90. Particles Denoting Emotional States}
20. \(\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{E}}\) expresses slight surprise at a state of affairs that has come into existence contrary to one's expectations.
\(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s c^{E} l a \bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) a female (was) his child (a boy was expected in this case) 108.6
\(d \ddot{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} t c^{E} \bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) a man (it was) surely
tst̂̀míye \(c^{E}\) summer it got 30.20
tsō \(c^{E}\) Lq! now it was cooked 34.2
\(y \bar{u} c^{E}\) Le \(e^{\varepsilon} k^{!}!a^{\prime}\) lat too loud you shout (literally, very contrary to my expectations you shout [the speaker ordered the whale to shout loud, but he did not expect such a noise; hence the use of \(c^{E}\) in this sentence]) 36.15
\(h \ddot{a}^{\prime} \omega \bar{\imath} c^{E} l_{E}\) wî'nqas \(\hat{u}\) tem \(\hat{\prime}\) 'snätc grown up (has) the Spider's grandson (this statement was made by a person who believed the boy to have been dead) \(64.24,25\)
\(c^{E}\) is combined with the future particle hanL into \(\operatorname{can} L\), and with the potential \(\bar{u}_{L}\) into \(c \bar{u} L(\) see \(\S 9\) ). These new particles express expectation that will certainly be fulfilled, and may be translated by i hope, it ought.
\(e^{\varepsilon} l E^{\prime} \gamma \bar{\imath} \operatorname{canL}\) you will be all right (I hope) 124.14
\(n \bar{\imath}\) cans tcîte xa'ttī̀ (I hope) he won't do anything to me (literally, not to me, it ought, what he does) 116.2
\(y \bar{u} c \bar{u} L n k \cdot!\ddot{a} k \cdot \hat{n} \bar{n} a^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s\) y \(\bar{u} L n n^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} m l e t\) I ought to get very tired, if I keep on spearing (literally, very much, it ought to be, I without laziness, if should I spear it) 34.17
 not had been cut off 76.16
\(l a^{u} c \bar{u} L n^{\prime} \hat{c}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t c\) îs \(p^{\bar{u}} \bar{u}^{\prime} y a t\) (of) that a little we two ought to take home 112.3
\(\boldsymbol{c}^{E}\) is frequently prefixed to the demonstrative pronoun \(t E\), forming a new particle cte or cta. This particle often follows the interrogative forms of \(t c i ̄ t c, d \bar{\imath}^{i} t\), and \(w \hat{\imath} t\) (see pp. 407, 411), giving the interrogation a tinge of surprise, as it were.
\(e^{\varepsilon} w \hat{r}^{\prime} t \bar{u}\) cta who are you? (literally, you, who is it?)
\(\left.d \bar{i}^{\prime}\right\} \bar{u}\) cta \(t e n k \cdot \hat{\imath} \overline{o^{\prime}}\) 'w \(\hat{\imath} t\) what do I see? (literally, what is it that I see?) 106.16, 17
xtcī'tcū cta te la \(a^{u} \overline{i n} L!n \bar{o}^{u^{\prime}}\) tat why does it not come open? (literally, why is it that that one not comes open?) 76.4
21. cîl indeed. Composed of \(c^{E}\) and \(\hat{\imath} l\). It has retained the significance of both of its component elements. It consequently denotes a fact known by actual experience, at the occurrence of which the speaker is surprised, as it came into existence contrary to his expectations.
ĥs ĉll \(e^{\varepsilon} n e y e^{\varepsilon} m \bar{e}^{i} l \ddot{a}^{\prime} k u k^{n}\) la \(\hat{u} x^{\prime} n a^{\prime} a t\) also indeed, thou, O heart of salmon! runnest? 36.19, 20
tsō cîl xwändjî'ye now, indeed, that way it is 8.2
\(e^{\varepsilon}\) ne cill you it is, indeed 10.3

This particle occurs frequently with the transitional suffix -iye (see §35).
hî'n \(\bar{\imath}\) ĉ̂lùye māndj \(k!w \bar{a}^{a} n t\) there, indeed, already he felt it 32.16, 17
22. hêtc indicates surprise. The native Coos is unable to render it. Its meaning was deduced from the sense of the sentences in which it occurred.
\(h \bar{e}^{i} h a t s d \ddot{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} t k \cdot \hat{\imath} t \bar{t}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) tsx \(\bar{u} h \hat{\imath} t c l_{E n} h e n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k^{u} n a ̈ ̈ t c\) suddenly a man she saw lying with her elder sister \(50.22,23\)
mä hem'tset hîtc a person was laid bare 58.22

\section*{§ 91. Particles Denoting the Conditional}
23. \(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} L\) would, should. It puts the sentence in which it occurs in a potential mode. It may either precede or follow the verb to which it belongs.
kat' \(E^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} s e n ~ q a t \hat{\imath} m \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y e ~ \bar{u} L ~ w u ' t x e ~ t e n ~ a ~ a l l a ~ i n ~ f i v e ~ d a y s, ~ i f ~ s h o u l d ~\) return my child \(42.22,23\)
\(l a^{u} \bar{u} L n k \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{t} \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{i} t a l \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \operatorname{can} \bar{\imath} \hat{u}\) mẽn (I) should he the one to see them play, if-- 92.16
\(n k \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} L \bar{o}^{u} t_{s} \bar{u} L\) I should find it if-
\(x t c \bar{\imath}^{-1} t c \bar{u} L\) how would it be if- 5.2 (contracted from \(x t^{-1} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c \bar{u}+\bar{u} L\); see § 9).
24. \(y \bar{u} L \operatorname{IF}\)-ShoUld, IF -would. It gives the sentence a conditional tinge. It occurs usually in the subordinate sentence whenever \(\bar{u}_{L}\) has been used in the co-ordinate sentence, although it is frequently used independently of \(\bar{u} L\). It always precedes the verb. \(x t c \bar{v}^{\prime} t c \bar{u} L y \bar{u}_{L} \hat{\imath} s s_{\bar{o}} x t \hat{\imath} t \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) how would it be if we two should trade? 15.6
 they not would have been killed, the children 58.10, 11
\(l_{E^{\prime} \gamma \bar{\imath}} y \bar{u} L\) n nnLî'me good (would it be) if I should have a fish-trap 34.19
25. yanL if expresses the conditional in the present or future tense. It usually precedes the verb, and it is used in subordinate sentences in apposition to hanc. It also occurs independently of hand. Since the native Coos does not distinguish between the conditional present and future tenses, yanz is used to express also the present conditional.
̂̂ \(n \bar{\imath}\) hanc kwîna' \(\bar{\imath}\), yanl no \(c^{E} a^{\prime} l\) lctet they will not see me, if I [will] work 128.23, 24
yanl ēn dōwā'ya wwändj, ŷ̂xeíe d \(\bar{\imath}^{i} \hat{\imath}\) hant \(e^{\varepsilon} m \hat{\imath} t s m \hat{\imath} t s t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) if you don't want it that way, one thing I will teach you 124.7, 8
. . . yanl yeai' \(L!t \bar{t}^{\prime} a t c\) ̂̂s he'lag when in another country we two shall arrive 28.23
\(n{ }_{n} k \cdot\) !̂nt yancel I guess, I will try, surely (literally, if I shall try, surely; yanLel =yant \(+\hat{\imath} l\); see \(\S 7\) )

\section*{§ 92. Exhortative Particles}
26. L must, necessarily. It signifies that a certain state of affairs or an action must take place. It has therefore the force of an emphatic imperative. It is placed either before or after the verb (or noun), no matter whether the verb is used in its imperative form or not.
ltcîla'â̂s \(L\) c \(\hat{n}\) n \(l a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} x\) close to the shore you (must) go 30.23
\(q a^{\prime} x a n t c\) ц \(p \bar{z}^{\prime} t t_{E}\) loud you (must) shout (literally, shout upwards) 30.26
\(\bar{i} n\) L tcītc xa'tte ten dä'mît don't you do anything to my husband (literally, not [must], manner, do it, [to] that my husband) 26.15 cine \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) tîla'qai \(x\) you must stay (here)
\(e^{\varepsilon} l\) eqa \(a^{u}\) wîya' \(\tan \bar{\imath}\) L you (must) tell a story \(38.13,14\)
\(\ell_{\bar{o}}^{L} \bar{u}_{L} l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma_{\bar{\imath}}\) this must be good (literally, that thing, necessarily, should be good) 40.25
27. hamith, \(m \overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} L, \bar{z} L\). The exact function of this particle defies all attempts at an explanation. It was usually translated by eet me, i should like to, better (it will be, if), whenever it referred to the speaker. When referring to the person spoken to or spoken of, it was rendered by better, you may, please, a while.
humit ñkwina'ēe wat I should like to look at him
mīL dîttē \({ }^{i \prime}\) tō'hâts better hit this one 124.15
hamī̌ e \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) ne oxle'îtc \(e^{\varepsilon} k \cdot!\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t q E m\) you may with it try 92.1
hamīL \(e^{s} L!\) äts please, speak 16.2
mīL halt! e ene xle'îtc e \(e^{\varepsilon} L!\) äts now you with it speak (a while) 16.5
\({ }^{\imath} L\) hant atcitc xa'tat? what (would be) better to do? 86.10
In examining these sentences one must arrive at the conclusion that hamīL (or \(m \bar{\imath} L\) ) is of an exhortative character. By its means the speaker either asks permission of the imaginary person spoken to, to perform a certain action, or he conveys a polite command to the person spoken to. In both cases the granting of the desire is a foregone conclusion.
\(h a m \bar{i} L\) and \(m_{\bar{l}}^{L}\) are contracted with the periphrastic han into hamīLan and \(m \bar{\imath} L a n\), adding to the particle a future significance.
hamīLan nnL! \(\bar{e}{ }^{i} t c\) let me go out 28.26
hamīxan nî'k \(\cdot \hat{i} n ~ n w i ̂ ̀ \bar{l} \bar{o}{ }^{w^{\prime}}\) wat let me look for wood 102.3
mīlan e \(e^{\varepsilon}\) muxt \(\hat{\imath} t s \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) permit me to feel of you 72.17
28. Kwîs let us two. This particle is composed of the particle \(k^{u}\) PERHAPS and of the inclusive form of the personal pronoun \(\hat{\imath}\) we two. Its function is that of an imperative for the inclusive. The verb, which it always precedes, takes the imperative suffixes.
Kwîs \(L x a a^{\prime} t e\) let us two chop wood \(26.15,16\)
\(k w i ̂ s ~ t s E^{\prime}\) mtîtse te tahá \({ }^{\prime} \hat{l} \hat{k} k\). let us two loosen that quiver 122.27
29. Lewîn let us (aLl) exercises the function of the imperative for the first person plural. The first component is, beyond doubt, the particle \(k^{u}\) perfaps. The second element can be no other than the personal pronoun for the first person plural lîn. The contraction of \(\hbar_{i}^{u}+l \hat{\imath} n\) into \(k w i n\) may have been effected by the analogy of \(k^{u}+\hat{\imath} s\) into \(k w i ̂ s\).
kwin Le tsxe'we let us kill him quickly 68.3
kwîn sqa'tse let us seize it

\section*{§ 93. Particles Denoting Emphasis}
30. \(h \bar{e}^{i}\). By its means the Coos emphasizes any part of speech. It usually precedes the word to be emphasized.
\(h \bar{e}^{i} y \vec{u} x t c \ddot{a}^{\prime} y u x^{u}\) mä a very insignificant man (literally, emphasis, very small man) 42.6
\(h \bar{e}^{i} x \ddot{a} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} l a\) Low \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) tat \(x \bar{a}^{\prime a}\) pate she first ran into the water 56.9
\(h \bar{e}^{i} c \hat{c} l k w \bar{e}^{i} k \cdot \bar{v}^{\prime} y e\) surely, indeed, it was a girl 12.1, 2
Whenever \(h e^{i}\) precedes the conjunction hats, it forms a new particle, which is rendered by suddenly.
\(h \bar{e}^{i} h a t s m \ddot{a} k \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{t} \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) suddenly a person she saw 54.2
\(\hbar \bar{e}{ }^{i} h a t s L_{L}!n \bar{o} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) tat \(l_{E}\) tc. \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l_{E}\) suddenly came open the door 62.5
31. heīewaìn exceedingly (like the English colloquial awfully).

This particle consists of the following three independent and separable components: \(k \bar{e} i, k w a\), and \(\bar{i} n\). Literally translated, the particle means verily, it seems not. Since the phrase is used as a sort of an exclamation with an interrogative character, it may best be compared to our English exclamation ISN't this a fine day! which really means this is a fine day.
\(k \bar{e}^{i} k w a \bar{i} n l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma^{\bar{\imath}} \hat{u} \hat{\imath} l u w e^{\prime x} t c \hat{c} s\) she was awfully glad (literally, what, as if not her heart good?) \(64.9,10\)
\(h e^{-i} k w a \bar{n} n x h \bar{u} \neq \hat{\imath} s\) mä a very poor man (literally, what, as if not a poor man?) 42.5
\(k \bar{e} i k w a \hat{\imath} l \bar{\imath} n d \bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) they liked him very much (literally, what, as if they not liked him?) 24.29
32. \(\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{E}\) is used in direct discourse only. It always follows the word that is to be emphasized.
\(n_{0}^{\prime} n e \bar{\imath} t_{E} l_{E} e^{\varepsilon} d \bar{o} w \bar{a} y{ }^{\prime} x t \bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s\) q \(q u^{\prime} w a\) I am (emphatic) the one you wanted (last) night \(50.25,26\)
\(e^{\varepsilon} h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s \bar{\imath} t E!\) you will (be) a woman (emphatic) 24.20
\(t \bar{e}^{i} \bar{u} t e ~ k w \bar{a}^{\prime} x a l ~ l \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y e ~ e^{\prime} k^{u} L a ̈ t c o\) this (emphatic) (is) the bow (of) thy father 62.24
\(q a^{\prime} l y e q \bar{\imath} t_{E} \bar{\imath} n \bar{\imath} t t^{\prime}\) pencō wai it is salmon, not whale (literally, salmon [emphasis], not [emphasis] whale) 130.12, 13

\section*{§ 94. Restrictive Particles}
33. La only. It limits the action to a certain object. It always follows the word so limited.
lau La \(\bar{\imath} n\) tcītc xalt (to) that only not anything he did 68.13
wa'lwal \(L a \bar{a}^{\prime} t\) tsem a knife only give me \(80.14,15\)
wändj la ̂̂x kwee'nīyẽm that way only people know them two 19.10
34. tsî simply, merely, just. It has a slight restrictive character.
\(t s \hat{e} e^{\epsilon} q a^{\prime} q a t\) you were merely sleeping 68.19
ŷ̂xe'n qatîmìye tsî̀ \(\bar{\imath} n d \bar{\imath}^{i} \downarrow\) one morning, it was simply gone (literally, once, morning it got, simply, not something) 88.3
tsî contracts with the following hant into tsans (see § 9).
tsann \(e^{\varepsilon} t \bar{a}^{\prime} t c i \hat{n} t s\) only then shall you have it 78.15

\section*{§ 95. The Interrogative Particle i}
35. \(\overline{\mathbf{\imath}}\). This particle, exercising the function of our sign of interrogation, is used only in sentences that have no other interrogation. It is usually placed at the end of the sentence.
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u e^{\varepsilon} \hat{\imath} l o x q a i^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s \bar{\imath}\) surely (art) thou a doctor? 10.4
tsîx. \(\hat{u} x\) la \(\bar{\imath}\) did they two go (by) here? 96.18, 19
 96.18

When preceded by the particle han, \(\bar{\imath}\) is rendered by may 1 ?
n.q! mêts han \(\bar{\imath}\) may I eat it?

\section*{THE PRONOUN (§§ 96-100)}

\section*{§ 96. The Independent Personal Pronouns}

Coos has two sets of independent personal pronouns, formed from two different stems.

The first of these two sets is formed from the stem -xkan for the first and second persons, and \(-x k a\) for the third person, to which are prefixed the personal pronouns (see §18), giving the following series:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { st person . . . . } \\ 2 d \text { person . . . . } \\ 3 \mathrm{l} \text { person . . . . }\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(n E^{\prime} x k a n\) \\
\(e^{\prime} x k a n\) \\
\(x a^{\prime} k \ddot{a}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Dual . & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Inclusive . . . . } \\
\text { Exclusive . . . . } \\
\text { 2d person . . . . } \\
\text { 3d person . . . . }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
isne'xkan \\
xwinnE'xkan \\
icc'xkan \\
\({ }_{u} \times x \ddot{a}^{\prime} k \ddot{a}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 1st person . . . . } \\
2 \mathrm{~d} \text { person . . . . . } \\
3 \mathrm{~d} \text { person . . . . }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
linne'xkan \\
cine'skan \\
itxie' \(\hat{\prime} \dot{a}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The obscure vowel in \(n E^{\prime} x k a n\) is due to the law of consonantic clusters (see § 4).

For the dropping of the glottal stop, inherent in the second person singular, see § 3 .

The peculiar vowels in the third person singular may be the combined effect of accent and of the dropping of the final \(n\).

It will be seen from this table that the singular forms are the basis for the corresponding dual and plural forms. Thus, the inclusive is formed by combining the inclusive pronoun \(\hat{\imath} s\) with the singular for the first person \(n E^{\prime} x k a n\); the second person dual is composed of the personal pronoun for the second person dual \(\hat{\imath} c\), and the singular for the second person éxkan; etc.

These pronouns have the force of a whole sentence, and may be translated by i (thou, he . . . ) am the one, who -
\(n E^{\prime} x k\) an hans la \(n a^{u} \cdot \hat{i} n t \bar{t}^{\prime} y a t ~ t E x \bar{a}^{a} p\) I will be the one to run away with that water \(40.20,21\)
hîs hanl éxkan yîx \(e^{i^{\prime}} e^{\varepsilon} k\) ! wînt also thou shalt be the one to shoot one (arrow) 13.1

That the dual and plural forms of this set are not felt to be integral units, and may easily be separated according to their component elements, is best shown by the following example:
tsō hanl néxkan xwîn \(e^{\varepsilon} \bar{i}^{i} l t a a^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) now will we two tell thee 126.21, 22 ( \(n E^{\prime} x k a n x w i n\) instead of xwinnéxkan)

This use of the singular pronouns in place of the plural has been referred to in § 46.

The second set of independent personal pronouns may be called the "verbal set." These pronouns are formed by prefixing the personal pronouns \(n, e^{\varepsilon}\), etc., to the stem -ne, which seems to have a verbal significance. The pronouns thus obtained may be translated by it is I, it is thov, etc.

The third persons singular, dual, and plural have no special forms in this set; but they are replaced by \(x \ddot{a}, \hat{u} x x a \ddot{a}\), \(\hat{\imath} x x \ddot{a}\), forms related to \(x \ddot{a}^{\prime} k \ddot{a}, \hat{u} x x \ddot{a}^{\prime} k \ddot{k}\), and \(\hat{\imath} t x \ddot{a}^{\prime} k \not{ }^{\prime}\).

The series follows.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular & \(\left\{\begin{array}{llll}1 \text { st person . } & . & . & . \\ 2 d \text { person . } & . & . & \\ 3 \text { d person . } & . & . & \end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
n'ne \\
ene \\
\(x \ddot{a}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Dual . & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Inclusive . . . . . } \\ \text { Exclusive . . . . . } \\ \text { 2d person . . . . } \\ \text { 3d person . . . . . }\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\{'sne \\
xwin'ne \\
f'cne \\
и'xcä
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural & \(\left\{\begin{array}{llll}1 \text { st person . } & . & . & . \\ 2 d \text { person . } & . & . & . \\ 3 \text { d person . } & . & . & .\end{array}\right.\) & lin'ne cin'ne al'xä \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
hîs hanl no ne tcī nta I too will go there 94.22
halt! \(e^{\varepsilon}\) ne \(\operatorname{ts\hat {\imath }} \hat{x} \cdot e^{s} s t \bar{o}^{u} q\) now it is thy turn to stand here 64.32
\(h \hat{\imath} s x \ddot{a} c^{E} a^{\prime} l c t e t\) she too is working \(22.26,27\)

\section*{The Possessive Pronouns ( \(\S\) § 97-98)}

\author{
§ 9\%. The Sign of Possession, \(\hat{\mathfrak{u}}\)
}

The idea of possession is expressed in Coos by means of the possessive particle \(\hat{u}\), which follows the term expressing the possessor, and precedes that indicating the possessed object. The possessor is not infrequently preceded by the article.
\(k!w e^{\prime} h e \hat{u} z^{i} n \bar{e} k \cdot k \cdot \hat{\imath}_{L} \bar{o}^{\prime \prime w i} t s a\) leaves of a willow he found \(30.17,18\) \(h e\) hä'tcît! \(\hat{u} \bar{a}^{\prime} l a x \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t s e t\) Hetcit's child got on top 24.23
\(\hat{u} x\) lemà \({ }^{\prime} y a t l_{E}\) mexä'ye \(\hat{u} k w a ̈ ' x x^{u}\) they two set up the eagle's feathers 8.10
xwändj \(\hat{u}\) tn'nas \(h E\) tsä̈'yux \({ }^{u} \hat{q}^{\prime} n^{\prime} \hat{\imath} k\). such (was) the name of the small river \(46.10,11\)

The possessive sign very frequently takes the place of the possessive pronoun for the third persons singular and plural.
\(l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma_{\hat{\imath}} \hat{u} \hat{\imath} l u w e^{\prime x} t c \hat{s} s\) he was glad (literally, good his heart) 32.5
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a\) clcu \(\hat{u} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) she must have lost her breath (literally, gone must be her breath) 58.24, 25
la \(a \hat{u} h a^{u^{\prime}}\) we \(l_{E}\) tcîcí \(m \hat{\imath} t\) the spruce-tree is growing (literally, goes its growth, the spruce-tree) 20.16
la \(\hat{u} p_{\text {pa'wes }} l_{E} x \bar{a}^{a} p\) the water is filling up (literally, goes its fullness, the water) 44.17
\(\ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath} \hat{u}\) L \(\bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} w a s\) she finished eating (literally, it ended, her food) 24.13
\(h_{E} e^{\prime} s t \hat{\imath} s\) mä \(a L \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} m a q a \hat{u} \hat{\imath} x\). some people had large canoes (literally, some people, large their canoes) 44.20
\(y \hat{u} x w \ddot{a}^{\prime} \hat{u} h \bar{u}^{u} m \ddot{a}^{\prime} k \cdot e\) he has two wives (literally, two [are] his wives) 20.3
\(d j \bar{\imath} \hat{u} x \cdot n a^{\prime} a t l_{E} n \bar{o}^{u} s k \cdot \imath^{\prime} l \bar{\imath}\) the Big Woman came quickly (literally, comes her quickness, the Big Woman) 78.26

The possessive sigu is employed in impersonal sentences, where the subject of the sentence is \(q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) world or mẽn people. In these cases the subject is placed at the end of the sentence, and the possessive sign is affixed to the possessed object, immediately preceding the subject. The sentences are rendered by there was, they are.
\(k \cdot!\ddot{a} L!t \bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{a} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) there was no land (literally, without [its] land the world) 5.5; 6.1
\(\overline{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{n}\) tc! \(l e^{\prime} x_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{m} \hat{u} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{s}\) there was no low tide (literally, not [has] its dry condition [the] world) 15.8
\(n w a^{\prime}\) waLa \(\hat{u} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) there was a spider (literally, with its spider [is] the world) 30.3
qaicî \(n \hat{\imath} s k w e e^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} m e ̃ n\) people were living in a small place (literally, in a small place their living [place bave] people) 50.7
tc \(\bar{\imath} t \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{\imath} n e \hat{u}\) menn there they were standing (literally, there their standing [place, severally have] people) 74.28

\section*{§ 98. The Possessive Pronouns Proper}

The possessive pronouns proper are formed by prefixing to the personal pronouns \(n, e^{\varepsilon}\), etc., the article \(l_{E}\) or \(l_{E}\), or the demonstrative pronoun \(t E\). These forms may be regarded as loose prefixes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular . . & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 s t \text { person . . . . . } \\ 2 \mathrm{~d} \text { person . . . . } \\ 3 \mathrm{~d} \text { person . . . . }\end{array}\right.\) &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
len \\
Líya \\
fï, la
\end{tabular} & ten ti'ye tä (?) \\
\hline Dual . & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Inclusive . . . . . . } \\ \text { Exclusive . . . . . } \\ 2 d \text { person . . . . } \\ 3 \mathrm{~d} \text { person . . . . . }\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(h e^{\prime}\) \{s \\
he'xwîn \\
\(h c^{\prime}\) ic \\
\(h e^{\prime} 0 x\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(l e^{\prime}\) is \\
le'xuin \\
le \(e^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \mathrm{c}\) \\
\(l e^{\prime} \hat{u} . \mathrm{c}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(t e^{\prime}\) is \\
te'xwin \(n\) \\
te'ic \\
\(t e^{\prime} u x\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural. & \(\left\{\begin{array}{llll}1 \text { st person . } & . & . & . \\ 2 d \text { person . } & . & . & . \\ 3 d \text { person . } & . & . & .\end{array}\right.\) &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& l e^{\prime} t i n \\
& l e^{\prime} c i n \\
& l e^{\prime} \hat{\imath} l
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& t e^{\prime} \hat{\imath n} \\
& t e^{\prime} c i n \\
& t e^{\prime} \hat{\imath} l
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The second person singular \(l i^{\prime} y e\) has resulted from the combination \(l_{E}+e^{\varepsilon}\). This phonetic irregularity remains unexplained. The forms \(l \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y a\) and \(l a\) occur before nouns having \(a\)-vowels (see § 7).
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u c \hat{\imath} l \imath^{\prime} y e ~ h e n . ~ k w w^{a} a^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} s\) surely, true came my dream 100.14
\(l a^{u} k w \hat{i} n a^{\prime} e^{i} w a t ~ l i \bar{\imath} y e ~ i ̂ l u w e e^{\prime x} t c \hat{\imath} s\) that one is looking into thy heart 14.8
\(p \bar{i}^{i^{\prime}} n t s l \bar{u}^{\prime} y a k x l a\) bend thy foot 120.13
hän yées lau \(L!k \cdot \hat{\imath} t s\) into his mouth she poured it 102.12
la \(a^{u}\) hans he'̂̀s kala'l \(\hat{\imath} s\) these shall be our two subjects 124.6
halt! y \(\bar{u} n \bar{a}^{a} n t h e^{\prime} t \hat{i} n c^{E}\) alctā'was too great (is) our work 68.27
Lōwa'kats he'îl \(e^{\varepsilon} n a ̈ t c\) living is their mother 84.21
lä L!'ahā'was her clothes 110.3
Lōwa'kats la \(\bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) his child remained 110.10
\(x \ddot{a} n \hat{\imath} s l e^{\prime} x w \hat{i} n e^{\prime} k^{u} L_{L} \ddot{t} t c\) sick is our (dual) father 126.18, 19
̂̂c la'tsīt le'îc e \(e^{\prime} k^{u_{L}}\) ätc you two go and get your (dual) father 20.13
\(\hat{u} x k w \hat{s} k w{ }^{i}{ }^{\prime} w a t l e^{\prime} \hat{u} x e^{\prime} k^{u} u a ̈ t c\) they two were informing their (dual) father 20.25

notsxaü'wat hans ten mर̂'nkate I will kill that my son-in-law 26.22
tī'yex \(e^{\prime} k^{u} L \ddot{a} t c\) hans \(l a^{u} k \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} L \bar{o}^{u} t s\) tī ye \(\hat{\imath} x\). thy father will find thy canoe 54.11

A peculiar form of the possessive pronoun for the first person singular is the frequently occurring nen. This form may be explained as a reduplicated stem, in which the first \(n\) is, so to speak, the article for the first person singular, formed in analogy to \(l_{E}\) or \(h_{E}\).
nen \(p k \bar{a}^{\prime} k a t c\) hanl \(n k \cdot \hat{\imath} \not \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) my grandfather I shall see
aiai \({ }^{\varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) nen \(h i^{i}\) me killed were (all) my children 62.18

The personal pronouns without prefixes are often employed as possessive pronouns. In such cases the second person singular \(\epsilon^{\varepsilon}\) occurs as \(y e^{\varepsilon}\).
\(\bar{u}^{\prime} t c \bar{u} n d \ddot{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} t ?\) which one (is) my husband? 80.3
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a n n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a \mathrm{I}\) am out of breath (literally, dead my breath) 66.27
\(n \bar{a}^{a} n t\) hans yés \(\bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime}\) was you will have much to eat (literally, much will [be] your food) 54.6

In two instances the possessive pronoun of the third person singular is amplified by the addition of the possessive sign.
\(l_{E^{\prime}} y_{\bar{\imath}} h \ddot{a} \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} l u w e^{\prime x} t c \hat{\imath} s\) he is good-natured (literally, good [is] his beart)
\(d z \bar{u} l \bar{l} \bar{l} l \ddot{u} \hat{\imath}\) kwō'yōs a fur-seal (as) his \(\operatorname{dog} 132.2\)
A possessive pronoun expressing absence is formed by prefixing to the personal pronouns the prefix \(k \cdot!\ddot{a}\)-. The form for the first person singular only could be obtained in this series.
 grandmother 62.12

Besides these pronouns, there is another series of independent possessive pronouns. They are formed by prefixing to the verbal form of the personal pronouns \(n_{0}^{\prime} n e, e^{\varepsilon} n e\), etc., the article \(h_{E}\) or \(l_{E}\), or the demonstrative \(t_{E}\), and by suffixing the possessive sign \(\hat{u}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular & \(\left\{\begin{array}{lll}1 \text { st person } & . & .\end{array}\right.\) & hen'neu ye.ne \(e^{u^{\prime}}\) hexäu' \\
\hline Dual & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Inclusive . . . . . } \\ \text { Exclusive . . . . } \\ \text { 2d person . . . . } \\ \text { 3d person . . . . . }\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(h e s s n c u^{\prime}\) \\
hexwin'neu \\
heícneu' \\
пеиххх"̈и'
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural . & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { 1st person } . \\
\text { 2d person } . \\
\text { 3d person } .
\end{array}\right.
\] & hetin'neu hecin'neu heitxäu' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The second person singular shows a phonetic irregularity which I am at a loss to explain.

These pronouns are independent, and have a rerbal significance. They may be rendered by it is mine, it is thine, etc.
\(h_{n} n^{\prime} n e^{u} t \bar{t}\) te \(q E^{\prime} m a ̈\) my property is that camas 112.6,7
\(e^{\varepsilon} h e n^{\prime} n e^{u} z^{\prime} l e\) you (are) my enemy 118.3
\(y e^{\varepsilon} n e^{u} p^{i}{ }^{i} l_{L}\) ! \(a^{\prime} n \bar{e} x\) thy cradle is new 38.17
\(h e x a^{u^{\prime}}\) lō \(h e ̃ n\) it is his property (it is said) 116.21, 22

\section*{§ 99. The Reflexive Pronouns}

The reflexive pronouns are formed by prefixing the possessive pronouns to the stem tet body. The possessive pronominal prefixes for the first and second persons singular are \(n\) - and \(y e^{\varepsilon}\) - respectively. The third person singular has no pronominal prefix. The rest is regular.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular . & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { 1st person . . . } \\
\text { 2d person . . } \\
\text { 3d person . . . }
\end{array}\right.
\] & ntet yetet tet \\
\hline Dual & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Inclusive . . . . } \\
\text { Exclusive . . . . } \\
\text { 2d person . . . . } \\
\text { 3d person . . . . }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \(h e^{\prime}\) istet he'xwintet he'ictet he'uxtet \\
\hline Plural. & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { ist person. } \\
\text { 2d person } . \\
\text { 3d person } .
\end{array}\right.
\] & he'lintet hès cintet he'iltet \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
notō \({ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t s{ }^{\prime}\) ntet I hit myself tō \({ }^{u^{\prime} x} t \bar{\imath} t\) ye \(e^{\varepsilon} t e t\) watch thyself 74.3
wändj \(\hat{p}^{\prime}\) ctcîts tet thus he warmed himself 32.8
\(\hat{u} x l^{\prime} x x^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n x\) 'ît he' \(\hat{a}\) xtet they two examine themselves 84.3
ît yu'xtîts he'îltet they rubbed themselves 52.13
The particle \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} E x\) alone is not infrequently placed before the verb (see § 108), and emphasizes the subject.
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{i} E x\) notō \(h \hat{\imath} t s\) ntet alone I hit myself

\section*{§ 100. The Demonstrative Pronouns}

The demonstrative pronouns exhibit a variety of forms. Attempts have been made to discover whether the different forms may not indicate position from the standpoint of the speaker; but they have proved unsuccessful, owing to the fact that this idea does not seem to be clearly dereloped in Coos. Only the first two pronouns seem to accentuate this distinction. The following demonstrative stems have been found.
\(\boldsymbol{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}^{i}\) denotes an object that is near to the speaker, and may be translated by this here. It always precedes the object to which it refers. \(t \bar{e}^{i} h a n l ~ t \bar{o} h \hat{\imath} t s\) this here he shall hit 20.14 \(t \bar{e}^{i} e^{\varepsilon} p \bar{a}^{a} t s\) this here you fill up 78.12
It is frequently employed as an adverb in the sense of here.
tēe \({ }^{i}\) nŷ̂xu' \(\bar{m} e\) here I travel 26.9
not \(\bar{e}^{i} h \ddot{a} L^{i} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{am})\) here, O elder brother! 72.26.
\(\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{E}\) indicates an object that is away from the speaker, and may be rendered by that there. It usually precedes the object.
tkwī̄ē \({ }^{i \prime}\) wat te to' qmas he is following that (there) woodpecker 22.2 ux \(k \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{z} \bar{o}^{\prime}\) w \(\hat{\imath} t\) te \(L_{\text {! }}!t \bar{a}\) they two saw that (there) land 6.5
\(d \bar{i} t t c E^{\prime} t c\) te ne.!aqa \({ }^{-} \bar{e}^{i}\) wat with what (shall) I point my finger (at) this one (there?) 40.24
\(t_{E}\) often exercises the function assigned in English to the conjunction that.
\(x t c \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c \bar{u}\) te \(g \bar{o}^{u}\) m \(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \ddot{a} t c e^{\varepsilon} y \hat{\imath} x u^{\prime} \bar{m} e\) why (is it) that always you travel? 48.14
\(x t c \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c \bar{u} t E\) wändj \(e^{\varepsilon} \bar{i}^{i} l t \bar{a}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} s\) why (is it) that thus you tell it to me?
(For \(t_{E}\) as a prefix in possessive pronouns, see \(\S 98\). See also under \(l a^{u}\) below, and lew \(\hat{\imath}\), p. 402.)
\(\boldsymbol{d} \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} \boldsymbol{l} \bar{e}^{\bar{i}^{\prime}}\). A compound pronoun composed of the indefinite particle dīit something (see p. 407) and the demonstrative \(t \bar{e}^{i}\) this here. It may be translated by this here.
dûtte \(e^{i \prime} k^{u} \vec{\imath} \imath^{\prime} y e x\) this stone here 124.16, 17
\(\boldsymbol{d} \hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}\). A compound of \(d \bar{i} i t\) something (see p. 407) and te that there.
It is usually translated by that there.
dîtté te \(k^{u l} \bar{l}^{\prime} y e x\) that stone yonder
dîtte' \(m \ddot{a}\) the person yonder
\(\boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{a}^{u}, \boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{u}\). This pronoun has the force of a whole sentence. It applies to both subject and object, and it is used in singular and in plural alike. It invariably precedes the subject or object to which it refers. It may be translated by he, that is the one; He it is.
 out, (namely) that old man 20.4.
xqantc la \(a^{u} s^{\top} x \cdot t^{E} t s a l a^{u}\) tcī \(\mathfrak{l a}\) from where he (was the one to) scent it, there he (was the one to) go 22.24
\(l a^{u} l \ddot{a} x w \hat{v}^{\prime} l u x^{u} b a^{\prime} n x^{u} t a t\) that (was the one) his head became bald 30.14
 who) sit (on) that, usually 38.3
la \(a^{u} \bar{\imath} n l a^{u} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l x a t s\) he did not look at it (literally, he was the one, not, it was the thing, he looked at it) 40.8
lau hand \(0 x c^{E} a^{\prime} l\) lctet it is they two (who) shall work 68.26
\(k \cdot \hat{\imath} d a^{\prime}\) mînatc \(h a^{u} x^{\cdot}{ }^{L} \cdot \bar{\imath} t\) into the bowl she put it \(102.6,7\)
\(l a^{u}\) and \(h a^{u}\) are frequently emphasized by the prefixed article or by the demonstrative pronoun \(t_{E}\).
le láa'mak., lala te bîldjiz'yex the bones, those are the Umpqua Indians 50.5, 6
lala he Lōw \(\bar{e} \bar{e}^{i}\) wat that's what she usually eats \(24.5,6\)
\(t E l a^{u}{ }_{0} h a^{u x} t s t e ~ L!t a \bar{a} I\) am the one who made that land \(10.3,4\)
In composite sentences having one and the same subject, \(l a^{u}\) and \(h a^{u}\) are used in the subordinate sentence to avoid the repetition of the subject.
\(k w \hat{n} \bar{n} a^{\prime}\) was \(s \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot t^{E} t s a\left(l_{E} d \bar{\imath}^{\prime} l \overline{0} t\right) \hat{\imath} l a^{u} h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) st \(\bar{o}^{u} q\) smoke scented (the young man) as he stood there 22.23, 24
\(x \bar{a}^{\prime} n a n \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) la \(\bar{a}^{\prime} l a \hat{\imath}\) lau leqa \(a^{u^{\prime}} w E\) his child made him feel sorry, when it died 42.18, 19
leŵ̂, a demonstrative pronoun with verbal force. It is invariably followed by the article or by the demonstrative pronoun \(t_{E}\); and it is sometimes, for the sake of emphasis, preceded by \(l a^{u}\). It may be translated by IT IS, THAT is.
lew \(l_{E} \overline{e n}^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot\) exem that is it, sticking out 46.11
\(h e^{i} c \hat{l} l_{\text {lew }} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y e l_{E}\) tc. \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l_{E}\) surely, indeed, it was a door 72.25
lät, häl, a demonstrative pronoun used for subject and object, singular and plural. It precedes the subject or object. It denotes objects that have been previously mentioned. It is composed of the article \(l_{E}, h_{E}\), and of the abbreviated form of the particle dìit something (see p. 407).
\(q a^{\prime} n \bar{o} t c s t \bar{o}^{u} q\) läl tō'mîL outside stood that old man \(20.4,5\)
wändj L!äts läl \(\hbar \tilde{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) mîk. thus spoke that old woman 102.10
asō' sqats häl \(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} \hat{i} k \cdot l_{E x}\) swal again seized that old woman the grizzly bear 102.21, 22
\(\hat{u} x\) neqa'qa hät \(t_{E} \bar{m} \ddot{a}^{\prime} L e\) they two ran away, those old people 24.12, 13
hät and läl have a nominalizing function, and often take the place of our relative pronouns.
hats kwa la \({ }^{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} y u\) wînáqaxem läl Lōwē \(\bar{e}^{\prime}\) wat just like a rainbow was spread out (that thing) which he was eating 32.14
\(t c \bar{i}^{-} t c \bar{u} t E l a^{u} x t \bar{o}^{u}{ }_{S} h \ddot{a} \nmid e^{\varepsilon} L!a h a^{\prime} \bar{e}^{i} w a t\) why (is it) that that thing stiff (is) which you have on \(110.4,5\)
\(\boldsymbol{\ell} \bar{o}\) has a nominal force, and denotes that kind, SUCh a thing. It always precedes the object.
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u t \bar{o} k \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} L \bar{o}^{u} t s h_{E} p a^{\prime} x w \hat{\imath} y a\) surely, that kind he found, the manzanita berries \(32.10,11\)
\(t s \bar{o} \bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) \(\bar{o} h a^{u x} t s\) now surely, that thing she made 60.16
When preceded by a possessive pronoun, tō expresses the idea of property.
\(h_{n} n^{\prime} n e^{u}\) lō tE \(q E^{\prime} m \ddot{a}\) that camas belongs to me 112.6, 7
\(\boldsymbol{L} \bar{o}\) has a local meaning, and may be translated by in it, on IT. It always follows the object to which it refers.
\(p^{\Xi} s \hat{\imath} k \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} t s E m\) Lo \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c \hat{c} t c x \bar{a}^{a} p h a^{u^{\prime}} w E\) a cup give me, in it a little water have 68.17, 18
tsetî' \(x^{\prime} u m e ~ L \bar{o} h e^{u \prime} h e^{u} h a^{u^{\prime}} w s!\) on this side make a knot (literally, where this side is, on it a knot make) \(92.7,8\)
\(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot\) ! \(̈ \ddot{\sim}\). MY ABSENT. The prefix of this possessive pronoun may be regarded as a demonstrative pronoun (see pp. 323, 399).

\section*{THE NUMERAL (§§ 101-102)}

\section*{§ 101. The Cardinals}
1. \(y \hat{x} x \bar{e}^{i \prime}\)
2. yûxwäa
3. \(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) PSEn
4. \(h e^{\prime} c L^{i} L\)
5. kat' \({ }^{\prime}\) 'mîs
6. yîxeieir wîeq
7. \(y \hat{u} x w \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} e q\)
8. \(y \hat{\imath} x e^{-\bar{i}} a h a ̈ t\)
9. yûxwä̀'ahät
10. Lep! \(q a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\)
11. Lep! \(q \alpha^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} y \hat{\imath} x \bar{e}^{i} \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime} q t s \bar{\imath}\)
12. Lep! \(q a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) y \(\hat{x} x w a ̈ \hat{u} \hat{u} q t s \bar{\imath}\)
20. ŷ̂xwä̀'ka
30. ŷ̂psénka
40. hecs \({ }^{i}\) Lka
50. K'at' \(E^{\prime} m\) îska
60. yîx \(e^{i \prime}\) wîeqka
70. ŷ̂xwü̈' wîeqka
80. yîx \(\bar{e}^{i{ }^{i}} a l u \ddot{l l k} a\)
90. yûxwä' ahälka
100. ŷरx \(e^{i{ }^{\prime}} n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{\imath} n\)
111. yर̂̀re \(\bar{e}^{i} n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{\imath} n\) Lep! !qa'n
\(y \hat{\imath} r e^{i} \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath^{\prime}} q t s i\)

The Coos numeral system is of a quinary origin, and, strictly speaking, there are only five simple numeral stems; namely, those for the first five numerals. The numerals for six, seven, eight, and nine are compounds, the second elements of which can not be explained. In the same manner the numeral for ten defies all attempts at analysis.
Besides the cardinals, Coos exhibits special forms for the ordinal, multiplicative, and distributive numerals, formed by means of adding certain numeral suffixes to the cardinal numerals (see \(\S \S 7 t-7 \tau)\).

The collective numerals expressed in English by the phrases in twos, in threes, etc., are formed in Coos by means of suffixing to the numerals for two, three, etc., the adverbial suffix \(-\bar{e}^{i} t c\) (see § 67).
yûxw \(\ddot{a}^{\prime} h \bar{e} \bar{e}^{i} t c l a^{u} h \hat{\imath} t h \bar{t} t o ̄ w \bar{e}^{i}\) wat in pairs he is putting them down \(34.7,8\)
\(x y \hat{p} p s E^{\prime} n \bar{e}^{i} t c\) in threes
The collective numeral for one, yîxe'ntce, shows a peculiar formation. It consists of the cardinal \(y \hat{\imath} x e^{-e^{i}}\), the distributive suffix \(-n\) (see pp. 327,341 ), the modal suffix -tc (see pp. \(327,340,369\) ), and the suffix -e (see p. 359).
ŷ̂re'ntce sqats together he seized them 64.8, 9
ŷ̂re'ntce ît nu.'tā'yas together they (live) in (one) village 122.18.

\section*{§ 102. The Decimal System}

The units exceeding multiples of ten have forms exemplified by ten (twenty) one over. Thus lep! \(q a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) y \(\hat{\imath} \bar{x} \bar{e}^{i} \hat{u}^{\prime} q\) tsì eleven literally means ten one over, etc. The "tens" are formed by means of suffixing to the numerals from one to ten (exclusive) the suffix -ka. The numeral for one hundred, translated literally, means one stick, which indicates that the Coos may have used counting-sticks for the purpose of counting up to one hundred. Two hundred would mean two sticks, etc. The numeral one thousand does not seem to have been used at all. There is no special stem for it. The natives to-day form this numeral by adding the noun \(n \hat{c}^{\prime} k \cdot i \hat{i} n\) stick to the numeral stem for ten, expressing one thousand by the phrase ten sticks.

THE ADVERB (§§ 103-106)

\section*{§ 103. Introductory}

The dividing-line between adverbs and particles can not always be drawn very definitely. This is especially true in the case of the three particles expressing locality, time, and modality (see § 112). Adverbs express local, temporal, and modal ideas. A few of them may be said to express local phrases. In a number of cases two adverbs have been combined for the purpose of indicating a new adverbial concept, which is nothing more than an amplification of the ideas conduced by each of the two separate component elements. Some of the local adverbs seem to distinguish slightly between the idea of locality that is near the first, second, or third person; although

I am somewhat doubtful on that point, owing to the fact that this idea is hardly recognizable in the demonstrative pronouns.

The great majority of modal adverbs occur with the adverbial suffix of modality tc (see \(\S \S 25,36\) ), and are often preceded by the modal prefix \(s\) - (see \(\S 24\) ). It is conceivable that this suffix may have been originally adverbial par excellence, and that it gradually became confined to adverbs expressing mode and manner. This opinion may be substantiated by the fact that the adverbial suffix -tc, when added to nouns, expresses other adverbial ideas besides those of modality. It is also suffixed to a number of stems expressing local phrases.

The following is a complete list of adverbs that have been found in Coos:

\section*{§ 104. Local Adverbs and Phrases}
as \(\hat{S}^{\prime} L\) between, halfway
\(\bar{e}^{\prime}\) qatce to one side 42.3
\(\imath^{\prime} l a\) before, ahead, in front 56.9
\(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} h e l q\) close by 60.21
\(y \hat{q} q a^{\prime} t{ }^{t}{ }^{i}\) close there (?) 90.23
ŷ̂qa'ltsîx. close here 104.12
\(y \hat{\imath} q a i^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) so far, right here 14.4
\(h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) there 5.2
\(t \bar{i} u\) over there 90.21
tsix. here 24.4
tsî' \(x \cdot t \bar{\imath}\) over here 13.5
tse'tî. . over here
\(t c \bar{\imath}\) there 7.4
\(t c!e^{\prime} e t c\) back in the woods 88.11
\(q a y a^{\prime a} t c\), qa'tîtc down the
qa' was high up 8.11
qai'nas close to the fire 82.19
\(q a \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) away from the shore 36.18
qaits inside the house 140.24
gat below 36.11
qapu'kul the other side, across 140.18
\(q a^{\prime}\) xan up 34.4
qal down, below, under 116.9
\(x\) sese \(^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} x\) from here 136.3
\(x q a^{\prime} w a x\) from above 6.4
\(x_{q} a^{\prime}\) linn from under 90.4
\(x^{\prime} e^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} x^{\prime}\), le \(e^{\prime} \hat{\imath} \cdot x^{\prime}\) from there 12.2; 78.28
ltcila'ais elose to the shore 30.23
s! 'ha'waîs near, close to 50.20 stream \(24.24 ; 54.1\)
§ 105. Temporal Adverbs
asō \({ }^{\prime}\) again 6.1
ai'wa still, yet 7.6
yuwe whenever ( \(y \bar{u}+\) he [see § 9]) 24.4
yuwînt before 178.25
hats \({ }^{E} y \bar{u}\) always (hats \(+y \bar{u}\) [see § 110])
halt! now 15.6
\(m \bar{a} n d j\) already (used for the purpose of expressing the past tense) 20.1
\(t E^{\prime} \bar{m} a\) at the same time 17.3
\(t i^{\prime x} \cdot t\) tse to-day 19.9
kwēyat now 9.1
\(l^{E} a i^{\prime} w a\) while ( \(l_{E}+a^{i} w a ;\) the article is prefixed here for the sake of emphasis)

\section*{§ 106. Modal Adverbs}
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) sure, enough 16.2
\(y \bar{u}\) very, very much 11.5
halt! \(y \bar{u}\) (halt! \(+y \bar{u}\) ) too 44.18 .
wändj, swändj thus, that way 68.16; 6.8
\(p_{E}{ }^{\prime}\) lukwītc entirely 130.7
\(t a^{u}\), ta so, such 52.16
\(n \bar{a}^{a} n t\) much, many 44.18
nı̂' \(\hat{\text { 'ritc }}\) a few, a little 6.17
tsó' \(n o \overline{\text { b }}\) both ways 6.2
tsqe'ŷ̂xetc edgeways
\(g \cdot \hat{\imath}, g \cdot \imath^{\prime} k w a\) a little 36.6 ; 28.10
\(k \bar{a}_{s}\) almost 20.19
\(x^{u}, y \hat{u} x, y u ̂ x t \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{\imath}\) hardly 28.17
xwe'l̂रxetc in a stooping position 118.15
xpiye'etc homewards 42.7
txa'nuxwītc sideways 38.10
xtema'atc crossways 64.28
\(x n^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) we right 44.9
\(x c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \gamma^{i} t c \bar{t} t c\) clear around it 128.18
\(x e^{\prime i} l t c\) slowly 60.7
\(x a^{\prime} q a t c\) belly up and mouth open 102.11
xLeye'entc truly 148.1
\(x L \bar{o} w e^{\prime}\) entc wholly 44.17
lai'sama quickly, hurriedly 30.1
\(t^{\prime} n u w \bar{\imath}\) very, very much 15.6
\({ }_{L}{ }^{E} p e^{\prime} x e t c\) belly side down 58.14
L̄\(w e^{\prime}\) entc entirely 30.11.

A number of purely local adverbs occur with the modal suffix, implying the modal character of a local idea.
\(q a^{\prime}\) xante upwards (literally, in the manner of up) 14.1
\({ }^{2} a^{\prime} n o ̄ t c\) outside 20.4
qettc downwards 6.4
\(y \hat{\imath}\) 'qante backwards
\(l_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}\) xatc inside 62.8
\(e^{\prime}\) hentc far off (compare \(e^{\prime}\) he he was gone 108.9) 26.23
\(q a^{\prime} t \hat{\imath} t c\) down stream 54.1
tequi'tc up stream 160.15
The temporal phrase xtemítowetc from that time on 42.12 may also belong here, although the original stem is no longer recognizable.

Whenever these modalized local adverbs are used in connection with verbs expressing motion or active ideas, they take the verbal suffix -e (see §55).
\(e^{\prime} h e n t c\) stō\(u\) far off he stood \(\bar{i} n e^{\varepsilon}\) ehe'ntce yîxu' \(\bar{m} e\) not you far 26.23
\(q a^{\prime} n \bar{o} t c f \hat{\imath} n t s x \bar{u}\) outside we lay
50.10 away go 112.24
qanō'tca \(\hat{\imath} t ~ x!\bar{e} i t c\) outside they went
qettc \(\hat{u} x \hat{\imath} l x\) down they two qe'tce tsî'x \(\cdot \hat{\imath}\) he' \(\mathfrak{l} a q\) down right looked 14.2
here it came 13.5
§ 106

\section*{PARTICLES (§§ 107-112)}

\section*{§ 107. Introductory}

No formal distinction can be made between the stems that were termed "syntactic particles" (see §§ 86-95), and the words treated in the following chapters. Both exhibit practically the same phonetic structure. There is, however, a vast difference between these two sets of words, which asserts itself in the grammatical use to which they are applied, and in the morphological treatment that is accorded to them. None of the syntactic particles can be clearly and definitely rendered when used independently; or, in other words, the syntactic particles are capable of expressing concepts only in a complex of words. On the other hand, all particles proper express definite ideas, regardless of whether they are used independently or not. However, the most important point of distinction between syntactic particles and particles proper lies in the fact that the latter are capable of word composition. Hence all grammatical processes may be applied to them; and, as a matter of fact, the majority of them occur with a number of nominal and verbal suffixes.

\section*{§ 108. Pronominal Particles}

By means of these particles Coos expresses the ideas conveyed by our indefinite, interrogative, and relative pronouns. The following particles are employed for this purpose:
\(\boldsymbol{w} \hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{t}\) somebody is applied to persons only. It often exercises the function of a relative pronoun, and is then translated by who. \(\bar{\imath} n x w \hat{i} t l a^{u} k \cdot \hat{\imath} t \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t a\) nobody that one can overtake \(92.21,22\) kwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} y a\) wît lät \(\hbar \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} k\). she knew who it was that old woman 102.20
\(\boldsymbol{d} \overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{l}\) something is applied to objects other than persons. It always follows the object to which it belongs. \(h e^{\prime} \bar{m} \hat{\imath} s \bar{u}^{i}{ }^{i} t n k \cdot \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) big something I saw 62.21 \(g \bar{o}^{u} s d \bar{\imath} i t h a n L h \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath}\) everything will grow (literally, all something will grow) 9.3
\(y \hat{u}^{\prime} x w \ddot{a} d \bar{\imath} \imath^{i} \eta n k \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{t} \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t\) two things I saw \(112.26,27\)
\(n t c!a^{\prime} h a d \bar{i} i t\) tci he'taq animals arrived there (literally, something [that is] with legs [walkers] arrived there) \(46.1,2\) \(n L\) ! pe'ne dī̀ tcī he'laq birds arrived there (literally, something [that is] with wings arrived there) \(46.2,3\)
di\({ }^{i} t\) is very often abbreviated to \(l\).
\(k!\) wen \(\hat{\imath}\) 'yaul nurî̀ \(\overline{o^{u}}\) wat for some food I am looking
(See also under lät, hät, p. 402.)
By suffixing the interrogative suffix \(-\bar{u}\) (see § 73) to \(d \bar{\imath} \hat{i}\) and w \(\hat{\imath} t\), two interrogative pronouns are obtained that may be rendered by what and who respectively (see also p. 390).
\(d \bar{i}^{\prime} t \bar{u}\) he te e e wîlou \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) wat what are you continually looking for? 54.3 \(x w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t \bar{u} t s \bar{i}^{i} x \cdot t \bar{u} \bar{v}^{\prime} y a t\) who did it?
wictce takes the place of our interrogative pronoun. It always stands at the begiming of the sentence, and may be rendered by which one.
wîctce \(e^{\varepsilon} d \bar{o} w \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) which one do you want? 50.16
\(\bar{v} t c\) which occurs very rarely. It may be said to exercise the function of our relative pronoun.
\(\bar{\imath} t c y \bar{u} h e^{\prime} \bar{m} \hat{\imath} s\) whichever is the biggest (literally, which [is] very big) 30.21
\(\bar{\imath} t c\) he nq. \(e^{\prime i} l t s e\) whichever had a handkerchief 70.19
\(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m} \bar{\imath} E x\) alone. This particle exercises the function of the reflexive pronoun in intransitive sentences. It is usually placed at the beginning of the sentence, and precedes the verb. It is then rendered by myself, thyself, etc. (see also p. 400).
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} E x l \alpha^{u} L^{E}{ }^{E} \tilde{a} n\) alone they went down into the water 36.18
\(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} E x \cdot n c^{E} a^{\prime} l^{\prime}\) ctet alone I work, I myself work
\(\hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath} E x\) L \(\bar{o} w a^{\prime}\) kats alone he lived 106.24
This particle occurs sometimes as \(\hat{\imath} n \bar{n} E x a^{\prime} \bar{n} a\) or \(\hat{n} n \bar{\imath} E x a^{\prime} \bar{n} a\). These forms frequently precede verbs having reciprocal suffixes.
\(\hat{\imath} n \bar{n} E x a^{\prime} \bar{n} \bar{a} l a^{u} h \bar{u}^{u} m \hat{\imath} s \hat{s} s a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) they marry one another 12.5
\(\hat{\imath} n \bar{i} E x a^{\prime} \bar{m} a \hat{u} x \gamma \bar{a}^{\prime} l a n \bar{u}\) they two speak to each other

When used in connection with possessive pronouns, \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{n} E x\) assumes the function of a reflexive possessive pronoun, and may be rendered by my (THY) own.

\footnotetext{
\(x \hat{\imath} ' n \bar{\imath} E x n h a^{u x} t s\) n. \(y \hat{\imath} \mathfrak{r} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} w E x\) I build my own house xín \(n E x a^{\prime} \bar{m} a\) nh \(a^{u x} t s\) ny \(y \hat{x} x a^{\prime} w E x\) I build my own house
}

\section*{§ 109. Numeral Particles}
 another, may be called numeral particles. \(h E^{\prime} \bar{m} \alpha\) is used to indicate plurality of the object, and immediately follows the verb, while \(g \bar{o}^{u} s\) precedes the verb and usually denotes plurality of the subject (see § 18).
\(g \bar{o}^{u_{s}}\) wändj \(\hat{\imath} t L!\ddot{a}^{\prime} x\) em they all that way talk \(50.9,10\)
\(x g \bar{o}^{u}\) s mä la \({ }^{u}\) kwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} y a h \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) all people came to know it 102.29
\(n k \cdot \hat{\imath} t \hat{v}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t a h E^{\prime} \bar{m} a\) I overtook them all
alqs \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y a{ }^{\prime} E^{\prime} \bar{m} a\) he is afraid of them all
denk: \(k!w \bar{z} l \hat{l}\) s every night 82.9
halt! yeai' \(x \cdot n e^{\prime x} \cdot \hat{t} \hat{t}\) ts qa'xantc now another one jumped upwards 76.3, 4
halt! yeai' nä Lowî'tat now another man runs 78.28
\(\bar{i}^{\prime} k \cdot \bar{\imath}\) expresses the idea of duality in both subject and object of the sentence.
\(\bar{v}^{\prime} k \cdot \bar{\imath}\) tō \({ }^{\prime}\) lâts he hit both of them 114.4
\(e^{\prime} q e \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \bar{\imath}\) dead (are) both 120.5
\(\bar{\imath} k \cdot \bar{\imath} \hat{u} x t c!a^{\prime} a t\) both walked 120.19

\section*{§ 110. Conjunctions}

Coos has a number of stems that must be classed as conjunctions. The following may be regarded as such:
hûs also
\(t a\) and
\(\hat{\imath}\) when, as, since, while
hats just
tsō now, then
\(\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { \imath }} \boldsymbol{s}\) and \(\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{a}\) serve as copulas between nouns and sentences.
\(h \hat{\imath} s x \ddot{a} c^{E} a^{\prime} l c t e t\) also she is working \(22.26,27\)
kwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{y} y a h \bar{a}^{\prime} y a l a ̈ x ~ h a ̈ ' L a ̈ t c ~ h i ̂ s ~ l a ̈ x ~ e ́ n ~ e u ̈ t c ~ h i ̂ s ~ l a ̈ x ~ e^{\prime} k^{u} L a ̈ t c ~(t h e y) ~\) came to know it, her elder brother, also her mother, also her father 86.22, 23
sqats ta tc!wäte'tc L!xant he caught and into the fire he threw him 104.15
\(\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}\) connects subordinate clauses with the principal clause.
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{y} a \hat{u}\) ̂̂luwe \({ }^{\prime} x t c \hat{c} s \hat{\imath} l a^{u} l k!w a^{\prime} k^{u} l_{E} x \bar{a}^{a} p\) he was tired (waiting), while it was running down, the water \(17.3,4\)
laqtsō \({ }^{\prime}\) wat \(\hat{\imath} d j \bar{\imath}\) he waited, as he came 118.9, 10
 (literally, when that one seizes it, that one becomes he [to whom] that thing belongs) 92.22
hats serves to introduce a new idea. It was conventionally rendered by just, although it hardly conveys the idea expressed by our English word.
 he opened his mouth, as into the fire he looked. Just like a liver the little girl as she became warm 108.24, 25
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u t^{\prime} n u w \bar{\imath} t c\) ! \(\hat{\imath} \imath \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y a t ~ h e ~ t c!~ w a ̈ z . ~ H a t s ~ y \hat{\imath} ' q a x ~ q a ' q u t ~ l e ~ s w a t ~ s u r e l y, ~\) she built a big fire. Just right away fell asleep the bear 100.27, 28
hats . . . hats is usually rendered by as soon as. Hats prefixed to the adverb \(y \bar{u}\) very forms a new adverb, hats \({ }^{E} y \bar{u}\), which was invariably rendered by always (see § 105).
\(\boldsymbol{t s} \overline{\boldsymbol{o}}\) indicates a syntactic division with a continuation of the same thought. It was translated by now.
 \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) tsä' \(y u x^{u}\) mī'k.e sqats " (please) for wood I will look," thus said the old woman. Now, surely, a small basket she took 102.3, 4, 8
 knew that old woman the bear, now again he seized that old woman, the bear 102.21, 22
\(t s \bar{o} e^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} i l t \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) tsō hant \(e^{\varepsilon} l \mid x\) when I tell you, then you shall look (literally, now I tell it to you, now shall you look) 17.2, 3

\section*{§ 111. Interjections}
\(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) nta \(\boldsymbol{a}\) Lоок, Behold! It is always placed at the beginning of the sentence.
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} n t a\) tēe \({ }^{i} t \imath^{\prime} y e ~ m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l a q\) look! here (are) your arrows! 22.28
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} n t a k \cdot \hat{\imath} \hat{z} \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t E\) behold, see it! 94.2ら
\(\boldsymbol{t} \bar{a}^{\prime} \overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}}\) the greeting formula of the Coos. It was rendered by halloo. \(t \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) sla halloo, cousin! 44.3 \(t \bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) nex \(\bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) halloo, my child! 28.21

\section*{§ 112. Miscellaneous Particles}
\(\overline{\mathbf{i}} \boldsymbol{n}\) nor, a particle of negation. The particle of affirmation is \(\boldsymbol{E n}\). This
is, however, rarely used, being supplanted by the syntactic particle \(\hat{l} l\) surely (see p. 388).
\(\bar{\imath} n k \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} L \bar{o}^{u} t s\) he did not find it \(22.18,19\)
\(\hat{u} x \bar{\imath}\) n kwa \({ }^{\prime}\) 'n \(\bar{y} y a\) they two did not know it \(22.9,10\)
(See also § 9.)
qantc PLACE, WHERE.
 there went \(22.17,18\)
nkwa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{i} y a\) qantc I know where (it is) 80.14
\(g \bar{o}^{u}{ }_{s}\) qantc everywhere 46.22
\(\overline{i n}\) qantc \(k \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} L \bar{o}^{u} t s\) nowhere he found it
\(\boldsymbol{m} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l a ̈ t c\) тime. It is used mostly in connection with the numerical particle \(g \bar{o}^{u} s\), and is then rendered by always.
\(g \bar{o}^{u} s\) mîlätc x! \({ }^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\prime} x E m\) always he is talking \(14.5,6\)
\(m \hat{\imath} l\) lätcū hans 'éwu'txe when will you return? (literally, time, question, shall, you come back) \(28.3,4\)
tcītc manNer, kind, way, mode (see also p. 390).
gōus tcītc \(\hat{\imath}\) al \({ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) cañ all kinds of (games) they are playing 30.25
tcītc he Lōwēe \({ }^{i}\) wat whatever he is eating (habitually)
ût \(\bar{\imath} n\) tcītc tsxaū'rat they can not kill her (literally, they [have] no way [to] kill her) 80.24
\(\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u}\). whether or not. This particle is very rarely used.
\(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) watu \(n d j \bar{\imath}\) I may or may not come
\(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) watu \(\bar{\imath} n t s i \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x \cdot t \bar{\imath} h e^{\prime} \bar{l} a q\) (they) may or may not come here 90.15

\section*{§ 113. The Stem \(\bar{\imath}\) tse'ts}

Morphologically speaking, it is a verbal stem \(\bar{\imath} t s\)-, transitivized by means of the suffix -ts, but its application covers such a wide range of different ideas that each of them will have to be enumerated separately.
(1) It is used as an expletive particle with a significance that adapts itself to the sense of the sentence.
\(\bar{i} n k w e e^{\prime} n \bar{y} y e ̃ m ~ i ̀ t s e ' t s ~ h e ' \hat{\imath} t \bar{a}^{\alpha^{\prime}} n t_{E s}\) no one knew how many they were (literally, they [indefinite] not know it, what [was] their number) 78.2
\(y_{E a i^{\prime}}^{\text {L! }!\overline{t a}^{\prime} \bar{\imath} t c}\) noitse'ts in another country I stay \(26.5,9\)
\(x t c \bar{c} t c \bar{u} \bar{u} t \cdot s e^{\prime} t s h_{E} n \bar{o} u_{s k} k^{\prime} \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) what is the matter with the Big Woman 72.28
\(\bar{\imath} t s e^{\prime} t s y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k^{u} \hat{\imath} l l a^{u}\) henī \(\bar{n}^{\prime} y e E s h \hat{\imath} n \bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) Lōwa'kats he may have been sitting there for a long time 40.14
kwa'̄'nīya xtcītc hanc le \(\bar{\imath} t s e \bar{m} m\) he knew what was going to happen (the \(-\tilde{e} m\) in \(\bar{\imath} t s e m\) is the indefinite subject suffix [§ 30]) 26.19, 20
 was 22.9, 10

(2) When the transitive suffixes, other than -ts, are added to it, its siguificance is clearly rerbal.
ŷ̂kwanl xtcītc ñītsitsívat I wonder what I shall do with it 86.8
\(y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k w a n L\) xtcītc xwin \(\epsilon^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} t s \hat{\imath} t s \bar{a}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) I wonder what we two shall \(d o\) with you, how we two shall keep you \(24.3,4\)
 food? 6ł.17, 18
in kivee'nāyẽm xtcītc \(\hat{\imath} \hat{u}^{\prime}\) ítsetū no one knew what became of them 52.1, 2

\section*{§ 114. Verbs as Adjectives.}

The use of verbs as adjectives is confined to a few sporadic instances. These verbs are, as a rule, intransitive, although they occur with the transitive suffix - \(t\). (See also § 117.)
thwî'l̄̃t hau ŷ̂xu'me she travels blazing (red-hot) (7hwîl- to burn) 24.18, 19
thwî'līt tsaxa' lâsetc la \(a^{u} \bar{o}^{\prime} q^{u} t \hat{\imath} t s\) by means of red-hot pebbles she boiled it 102.6
Whether the phrases pa \(\bar{a}^{\prime} h \bar{\imath} t l_{E} y \hat{\imath} x \ddot{u}^{\prime} w e x\) the house is full, \(g \cdot \hat{\imath} m g \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{m} \bar{\imath} t\) it is raining, belong here, is a problem which is hard to decide, although the psychological relation between these examples and those quoted above is not inconceivable.

\section*{§ 115. Nouns as Qualifiers}

Substantives are often used to qualify other nouns. In such cases the qualifying noun always precedes the qualified substantive, and both nouns retain their nominal character.
\(d \bar{\imath}^{\prime} l \overline{0} L \bar{a}^{\prime} l a\) a young boy (literally, a young male child) 60.2
\(h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} k \cdot m \ddot{a}\) Lōwa'kats there lived an old woman (literally, an old female being) \(100.20,21\)
tō'mîL dü'mît tsxūun old man lay (literally, an old maie man) 50.21
tsäy \(\ddot{u}^{\prime} n e ~ t \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \bar{\imath} l e^{\prime} \hat{u} x h \bar{\imath}^{i^{\prime}} m e\) their (dual) little children were boys (literally, little male children) 42.16

\section*{§ 116. Vocabulary}

All Coos stems are either monosyllabic or polysyllabic (mostly bisyllabic). Monosyllabic stems consist of a vowel followed by one or two consonants, of one or two consonants followed by a vowel, or of consonants, vowel, and consonants. Some of the bisyllabic stems that are found in the language have been expanded by means of grammatical processes (see \(\S \$ 4,84\) ).
§§114-116

Examples of monosyllabic stems:
\(a i^{w}\) - to kill (many) 58.8
\(a^{w}\) - to quit 14.4
\(\bar{e}^{i} k \cdot\) - to be among 46.13
\(\hat{2} l x\) - to look 14.2
\(\bar{i}^{i} l\) - to tell 7.8
itn- to set up 34.23
\(h a^{i}\) - to gamble 38.23
\(h \bar{u}\) - to be ready 19.3
\(p \bar{a}^{a_{-}}\)to fill 15.7
sqa- to seize 10.4
Lqa- to believe 28.13
tsxa \({ }^{u}\) - to kill (one) 14.7
\(y E q\) - to run away 36.19
\(y \bar{o} q\) - to split in two 7.3
wîn- to wade 58.2
hak- to crawl 32.10
\(h a^{u} p\) - to tear off 58.14
pin- to shake \(58.2 t\)
mâl- to swim 24.27
\(t e^{x} t\) - to enter 22.29
\(t c \hat{\imath} \bar{l}-\) to be ashamed
k! al- to shout 24.22
winq- to weave, to pile 18.1
minte- to ask 62.15
\(t \sin m x^{\circ}-\) to fasten 46.7
\(k \cdot i ̂ m s t-\) to pick 17.1
thenit- to follow 9.9
iquan- to strike 28.1
tqa \({ }^{i}{ }_{L}\) - to put a belt on 28.22

Examples of polysyllabic stems:
\(e^{\prime} h e\) to be gone 38.15
yи̂'xux \({ }^{u}\) - to have, to carry 54.12
wu'tre to come back 28.4
\(h a^{\prime} k^{u} t\) - to leave 30.8
sîtsī \(n\) - to go and see 9.7
\(k^{k} \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u}\). to see 6.5

Kwî'na- to look 6.4
al' I' \(^{\prime} n a k\). to stick out 42.1
\(\bar{\imath} t \hat{\imath} s \hat{\imath} l\) - to recognize 30.28
ŷ̂xu'me to travel 10.3
tî̀k \(k \cdot i \hat{n} e\) to stand 62.22

With the exception of the terms of relationship, the nouns indicating parts of the body, and all other words of a denominative character, the Coos stems are neutral and receive their nominal or verbal character through the suffixes.
stō\({ }^{u} q\) - to stand 20.4
!! \({ }^{\prime}\) - to speak 9.3
!! ha- to put on 28.22
lō'vaku lightning 18.5
siōva'quर̂s wall 90.18
L. \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} y \hat{y}\) йs language 14.5
l.'ahā' was clothes 110.3
lō'kwît it lightens 18.8

In a few instances nouns have been formed by reduplication or duplication of a neutral stem.
tqais- to put around 28.22 tcō \({ }^{u}\) - to jump
Lxat- to chop wood 26.16
\(p \bar{u} x^{u}-\) to spout
\(7^{2} p p\) - to paint
\(x \cdot \hat{\imath} n\) - to be on top
yîm- to twinkle
qa'tqail belt 28.22
tcō'xtcōx rabbit 60.23
xa' Lxat ax
\(p \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} x p \bar{u} x^{u}\) a spout 30.25
fî́plâp paint
\(x \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n x \cdot \hat{i} n\) saddle
\(y \hat{\imath}\) 'myîm eyelash

\section*{§ 117. Structure of Sentences}

The structure of the Coos sentence is very simple, owing chiefly to the fact that in the absence of incorporation, subjects, objects, and predicates are expressed by means of independent words. No strict rules can be laid down for the consecutive order in which the different parts of a sentence occur. It may, howerer, be said in a most general way, that all adverbial ideas precede the verb, and that the subject of the sentence tends to appear at the very end, especially in subordinate clauses. The object may either precede the verb or follow it.

> Kwîle' \(L \bar{e}^{i} t c\) tsx \(\bar{u} l a ̈ \partial t \bar{o}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} L\) in the sweat-house was resting that old man 28.11, 12
> ŷ̂xä'wexetc la \(l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}}\) mîs into the house went the woman
> \(l_{E x}\) tsnna'hetc L! \({ }^{\prime}\) ts \(l_{E} m \bar{a}^{\prime} q a_{L}\) with the thunder-language spoke the crow
> wwändj \(\hat{u} \eta_{n}^{\prime} n a s h e ~ t s \ddot{a} ' y u x^{u}\left\{\bar{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} k \cdot\right.\) this is the name (of) the small river \(46.10,11\)
> sqats \(l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m_{\hat{\imath} s} l_{E x}\) swāt seized the woman the grizzly bear 102.21, 22
> mä xwîn wutxaï'yat a person we two brought home 128.8, 9
> \(n t_{o}^{\prime} l \hat{\imath} t s l_{E} d \bar{\imath}^{\prime} l \bar{o} t \mathrm{I}\) hit the young man

Nominal attribute complements precede the noun. When following the noun, they assume a predicative function.
tsä' \(y u x^{u} l \bar{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\lambda} l k^{\prime}\) a small river \(l \bar{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} k \cdot t s \ddot{a}^{\prime} y u x^{u}\) the river is small \(h e^{\prime} \bar{m} \hat{\imath} s\) ŷ̂xä̈'vEx the big house ŷ̃ä̈'wex he' \(\bar{m} \hat{\imath} s\) the house is big \(x \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) mü a sick person \(m \ddot{a} x \ddot{a}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} s\) the person is sick

No formal distinction is made between coordinate and subordinate clauses, nor is the succession of the parts of speech changed in different types of sentences. Subordinate clauses may precede the principal clauses whenever the occasion requires it. Subordinate clauses are distinguished by means of conjunctions that are placed at the beginning.
\(k^{\cdot} \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} L \bar{\partial}^{u} t_{s} l_{E} q E^{\prime} m \ddot{a} l_{E x} d \bar{\imath}^{\prime} l \bar{\partial} t \hat{\imath} l a^{u} h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} h e^{\prime} l a q\) the young man found the kamass when he arrived there
\(\hat{\imath} l a^{u}\) tsx \(l_{E} h \bar{u}^{u^{\prime}} m \hat{\imath} s k k^{\prime} \hat{\imath} \not \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} t l_{E} y \bar{u}^{\prime} m \bar{\imath}\) as the woman lay (there) she saw the stars

\section*{§ 118. Idiomatic Expressions}

An exhaustive discussion of the Coos idiomatic expressions is limited a priori by the scope of the present work. Consequently only the most salient features of this phase of the language will be pointed out in this chapter.

Perhaps the most striking examples of idiomatic phraseology are found in the manner of expressing verbal concepts, like it grows, it fills up, it runs, etc. These ideas are expressed in Coos by means of a phrase which consists of the verbal stem то Gо or то RUN and of the abstract derivative of the particular verbal concept preceded by the sign of possession \(\hat{u}\) (see § 97 ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(h \ddot{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath}\) he grew up 64.12 & Za \(\hat{u} h a^{u^{\prime}}\) we \(h e t c \hat{c} c \bar{v}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} t\) the sprucetree grew up (literally, goes its growth [of] the spruce-tree) 20.16 \\
\hline & ta \(\hat{u} h a^{u^{\prime}}\) ve le \(e^{\prime} \hat{u} x h a^{\prime} w \hat{\imath} s\), s! tā their (dual) ready land began to grow (literally, groes its growth [of] their [dual] ready land) \(8.10,11\) \\
\hline \(x \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l w \hat{\imath} s\) deep & as \(\hat{z}^{\prime} L\) ta \(\hat{u} x \cdot \hat{\imath} l u w \bar{z}^{\prime}\) ye \(l_{E x}\) ya'bas the maggots went halfway deep (literally, halfway went its depth [of] the maggots) 40.12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
fa \(\hat{u}\) paa'wes le r \(\bar{a}^{a}\) p the water is filling up (literally, goes its full [mark of] the water) 44.17
\(n l e e^{\prime} h\) la \(\hat{0} x^{\prime} n a^{\prime} a t\) with it he ran (literally, with it went his swiftness) 42.8
za \(\hat{\ell} x \cdot n a a^{\prime} a t h e c x \cdot m z\) the bear ran (literally, went his quickness [of] the bear)
dje \(\hat{\imath}\) m \(\mathrm{m} \hat{\imath}\) 'le [it] swam [towards her] (literally, came its swimming [motion of]) 86.3
ta ̂ h hamLaLă'was lä̈ tsä'ŷ̂̂x \({ }^{u}\) L!tā that small piece of land kept floating (literally, went its [conception of ] floating [of] that small place) 46.10

Another idiomatic expression worth while mentioning is the manner in which our terms there is, they are, are expressed. The Coos subject of such a sentence is either the noun \(q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) world or men people, which are invariably preceded by the sign of possession (see § 97).
tc.ll- to be dry
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{i} n\) wood, tree 26.25
wa'wal spider
to that thing 32.10
\(k w e e^{\prime} t \hat{\imath}\) many live
k!al- to shout
\(t \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{i} n e\) many stand
tc! \(!\bar{\imath} \hat{u} q \bar{u}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) there was low tide (literally, dry its [condition of the] world) 18.6
\(\bar{i} n\) tc.lle'xem \(\hat{u} q \bar{u}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) there is no low tide (literally, not dry its [condition of the] world) 15.8
\(k^{\prime}\) : än \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{\imath} n \hat{u} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) there were no trees (literally, without trees its [appearance of the] world) 8.7,8 nwa'wala \(\hat{u} q q^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) there was a spider (literally, with spider its [condition of the] world) 30.3
\(n \bar{o}{ }^{\prime}\) we \(\hat{u} q \bar{a}^{\prime} y \hat{\imath} s\) there was such a thing (literally, with that thing [was as] its [asset the] world) 32.9
qaicî'nîs kwee't̂̂ \(\hat{u}\) mẽn they were living in a small place (literally, a small place [had as] their living [place the] people) 50.7
qak:elenüwe \(\hat{\text { ut mẽn they began to }}\) shout (literally, began their shouting [act, of the] people) 24.22
\(t c \bar{\imath} t \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k \cdot \hat{i} n e \hat{u}\) mẽn they were standing there (literally, there [the] standing [place was of] people) 74.28

To the same group of idiomatic expressions belong phrases like \(\mathbf{I}\) (thou, he . . .) Am getting hungry, \(x\) (thou, he . . .) am gettina heavy, etc. The verb of such phrases in Coos is always the stem \(7 a\) то GO, which is preceded by the attributive complement amplified by means of the modal suffix -tc (see \(\S 36\) ). Consequently such a phrase, literally translated, means into a state of . . . i (thou, he . . .) go.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { tqa- to be hungry } & \text { tqatc nta I am getting hungry } \\
p_{L}!-\text { to be heavy } & p_{L} \cdot \overline{\imath ̃} t c \text { ta he is getting heavy }
\end{array}
\]

A very peculiar expression, though by no means confined to Coos alone, is the manner of forming sentences that have dual subjects. Such sentences present two possibilities. Either both subjects are actually expressed, or only one is indicated while the other is understood.
1. In sentences where one subject is understood, duality of subject is indicated in Coos by using the verb in its dual form, followed immediately by the (expressed) subject.
ŷxä̈'wexEtc \(\hat{u} x\) wu'txe hät tō'mîL into the house they two returned (the whale and) that old man 30.15, 16
ts \(\bar{o} \bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) tc \(\bar{u} \hat{u} x \quad l_{a}, l_{E} \bar{u} \bar{m}^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} c a t c\) now, surely, there they two went (he and) the grandmother 66.19
 ing they two went (he and) his wife 110.26
\(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) tci \(\hat{u} x\) la \(^{\prime} l_{E}\) teh \(\hat{\imath}\) tsî'nätc surely, there they two went (she and) the granddaughter 80.15, 16
2. If both subjects are expressed, it will be found that, in addition to the dual form of the verb, the dual pronoun is placed before either one or both subjects.
 (namely) the grandmother (and the) grandfather 68.28
wändj la ûx kwee'nēyẽm te ûx tsn'na ûx mádal thus only they two are known, that Thunder (and) Crow 19.10, 11

In a few instances a similar treatment has been found in sentences with plural subjects.
 women \(130.17,18\)

The last idiomatic formation worth mentioning here is the manner of expressing comparison of adjectives in accordance with the three degrees, - the positive, the comparative, and the superlative.

A comparative statement in the positive degree is expressed by means of a whole sentence in which the adjective is treated as a noun appearing with the nominal suffixes -Es, -tes (see § 57), or -ìye, -äye (see p. 376), and is placed between the subject and object with which it is compared. The sentence is invariably introduced by means of the conjunction hîs also (see § 110); and its comparative character is
further indicated by the use of the modal adverb \(t a, t a^{u}\), so, such (see §106), which immediately follows the subject of the sentence.
hîs n n'ne ta nothe'tees te éne I am as rich as you are (literally, also I such I [have] wealth [as] this you)
ĥ̂s no'ne ta n nxä̈́nîses \(l_{E} e^{\varepsilon} n e \mathrm{I}\) am as sick as you are

hîs non ne ta \(a^{u} n h^{\prime} \bar{m} \hat{\imath} s t e^{\prime} l e e^{\varepsilon} n e \mathrm{I}\) am as tall as you are
hîs xäd ta xwǘ wiye le \(e^{\varepsilon} n e\) he is as light as you are
ĥ̂s xä̈ tau päl.'ä'ye le tene he is as heary as you are
 that ocean

In many instances the abstract noun expressing the adjective concept is repeated after the object, in which case the object (and also the subject) assumes the function of a possessive pronoun (for pronominal subjects and objects) or of a genitive case (for nominal objects and subjects).
ĥ̂s nóne ta nqainées lū'ye qaine' Es I am as cold as you are (literally, also [of] me such [is] my cold [condition as is] your cold [condition])
hîs e \(e^{\varepsilon} n e ~ t o ~ y e^{\varepsilon} n e^{u^{\prime}} q!\bar{a} n a^{\prime} t E s t_{E} h e^{\prime} n e^{u} q!\bar{a} n a^{\prime} t E s\) you are as young as I am (literally, also [of] thee such [is] thy youth [as is] that my jouth)
The comparative degree is expressed by means of a sentence in which the adjective is used in its simple form, while the object is indicated by the use of the instrumental suffix -Etc (see § 70). There is a marked tendency to place the object at the beginning of the sentence.
ye \(e^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t c n_{o} l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma \bar{\imath} \mathrm{I}\) am better than you are (literally [as compared], with you I [am] good)
hexä' \(\hat{\imath}\) tc \(n l_{E^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{I}\) am better than he is
nne'îtc éqal you are taller than I am
\(x \ddot{a}\) nne' \(\hat{\imath} t c\) tsǘ' \(y u x^{u}\) he is smaller than I am
xwîn t \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} m \hat{l} \hat{t} \bar{\imath} y^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t c\) we two are stronger than you are
The superlative degree may be expressed in two ways. Either the numeral particle \(g \bar{\sigma}^{u} s\) all (see \(\S 109\) ), amplified by means of the adverbial suffix -etc (see § 70 ), is placed before the simple form of the adjective; or else the nominalized adverb \(\bar{\imath}\) la'hatcem (see \(\S \S 58\), 104) is used for that purpose.
\(x g \bar{o}^{{ }^{\prime}}\) sîtc \(n l_{0}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \bar{\imath} \mathrm{I}\) am the best of all (for the use of the prefix \(x\) see \(\S 2 t\) )

\(x \ddot{a} \bar{u} l a^{\prime} h a t c E m\) he'mîs hethe'te he is the biggest chief
\(x \ddot{a} \bar{\imath} l a^{\prime} h a t c e m t \bar{o}^{\prime} m \hat{\imath} L\) mä L. \(' t a^{\prime} y a s i \bar{i} t c\) he is the oldest man in the village

\section*{TEXTS}

\section*{Origin of Death}
 They cousins(were) These they lived These both theytwo with wives two mutually. together.
 are. Both small their (dual) male beings children. Once morning it got

 Merely just died his child. Sorry (it)makes his child, when that leqa \({ }^{\text {u'we. }}{ }^{21}\) Tson \({ }^{24}\) îl \({ }^{4}\) aqanāa'ya. \({ }^{25}\) Helmī'hîs \({ }^{26}\) in \({ }^{17}\) Lō'wîyam. \({ }^{27}\) died. Now they buriedit. Nextday not (he) eats.

 went to him his cousin. "Thou thinking art. Halloo, cousin! How

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Personal pronoun 3d person dual (§ 18 ).
\({ }^{2}\) sla-cousin; -atc suffix of relationship (§ 65); -ini distributive ( \(\S \S 72,11,7\) ).
\({ }^{3}\) Demonstrative pronoun ( \((100\) ).
4 Personal pronoun 3d person plural (§ 18).
\({ }^{5}\) Plural stem (§ 51).
\({ }^{6}\) Numeral particle (§ 109).
\({ }^{7} n\) - with (§ 21 ); hūumüke wives (§ 78); -e auxiliary (§§ 44, 10, 7).
\({ }^{8}\) Plural formation (§ 78).
\({ }^{9}\) Possessive pronoun 3d person dual (§ 98).
\({ }^{10}\) Plural formation ( \(\S \S 78,115\) ).
\({ }^{11}\) Plural formation (§78).
\({ }^{12}\) yixēei ONE (§ 101); -en multiplicative (§ 75).
\({ }^{13}\) qalim- Morning; iye transitional (§ 35).
\({ }^{14}\) Restrictive particle (§ 91).
\({ }^{15}\) witcwahartc- sick; -i neutral intransitive suffix (§§ 31, 7)
\({ }^{15}\) Possessive pronoun 3d person singular ( \((\S 98,7\) ).
\({ }^{17}\) Particle of negation (§112).
\({ }^{18}\) heni- A while; -iye transitional (§§ 35, 9).
\(19 x a ̈ n\) - sIck; -is nominal (§56).
\({ }^{20}\) Conjunction (§ 110 ).
\({ }^{21}\) Singular stem (§ 51 ).
\({ }_{2}^{22} x \ddot{n} n\) - sick; -anăya direct and indirect object pronoun ( \(\$ 550,7\) ).
\({ }^{23}\) Conjunction when, ds, since, while (§ 110).
\({ }^{24}\) Conjunction (§ 110).
\({ }^{25}\) eqe DEAD; -anāya direct and indirect object pronoun (§§ 50, 7).
\({ }^{26}\) helmī To-norrow; -is ordinal (§§ 74, 10).
\({ }^{27}\) Lōu- то еат; -am (§ 55).
\({ }^{28}\) maha- то Watch; -ēiwat frequentative (§ 33 ).
\({ }^{29}\) Definite article ( \(\$ 17\) ).
\({ }^{30} h e^{\prime} c L^{i}{ }_{L}\) FOUR; -entcis ordinal multiplicative (§ 76).
\({ }^{31}\) la-то GO; - \(t\) transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ); -aya non-active object pronoun (§ 47).
\({ }^{32}\) Personal pronoun 2d person singular (§ 18).
\({ }^{23}\) tcine- то тнiNк, -eni verbal (§ § 45,10 ).
\({ }^{34}\) Interjection (§ 111).
\({ }^{25}\) Vocative (§ 65).
\({ }^{36} x\) - modal (§ 24); tcitc particle (§ 112); - \(\bar{u}\) interrogative (§ 78).
}

\({ }^{77}\) Possessive pronoun 2d person singular (§ 98).
sifluwextc- HEART (?); -is nominal (§56).
\({ }^{39} \mathrm{kall} \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \mathrm{mis}\) FOUR; -en multiplicative ( \(\$ 75\) ).
\({ }^{40}\) Sjntactic particle denoting the optative (§ 91 ).
\({ }^{11}\) Possessive pronoun 1st person siugular (§98).
\({ }^{12}\) Modal adverb (§ 106).
\({ }^{13} L\) ! '̈̈- TO SPEAK; - XEM generic ( \(\$ 30\) ).
4 Syntactic particle denoting degree of certainty ( \(\S \S 88,7\) ).
\({ }^{5} q\) q.m. To EAT; -ts transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ); -am ( \(\S \S 55,11\) ).
\({ }^{46}\) Syntactic particle (§ 87).
\({ }^{4 i}\) îil- To TELL To; -t transitive (§ 26 ).
\({ }^{48}\) Syntactic particle (§ 89).
49 in Nот; - \(\mathrm{i} y \mathrm{ye}\) transitional (§35).
\({ }^{50}\) Sign of possession (§ 97 ).

62 hanl shall; \(\{1\) sürely ( \(\$ \S 87,88,7\) ).
\({ }^{\kappa 3}\) Modal adverb (§ 106).
\({ }^{54}\) Modal adrerb (§ 106).
\({ }^{55}\) Temporal adverb (§ 105).
\({ }^{56}\) Syntactic particle (§ 93); ta so [literally, verily, not so] (§ 106).
\({ }^{67}\) cil syntactic particle (§90); -iye transitional (§ 35).
\({ }^{58}\) dōw- TO WISH, TO DESIRE; -āya non-active object pronoun (§ 47).
\({ }^{69}\) Local adverb (§ 104).
\({ }^{60}\) Syntactic particle ( \(\$ \$ 90,91\) ).
\({ }^{\text {b }}\) Reduplicated stem wutxe то Come back (§ 83).
\({ }^{62}\) Syntactic particle ( \(\$ 87\) ).
\({ }^{63}\) Possessive pronoun inclusive, dual (§98).
\({ }^{64}\) Syntactic particie denoting degree of knowledge (§88).
\({ }^{65}\) Conjunction (§ 110).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline qats \({ }^{48}\) & \(1 a^{\text {u }} 3\) & En \({ }^{66}\) & dōw \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y \mathfrak{a}\) & xwändj \({ }^{67}\) & \(H \bar{e}^{\mathrm{i} 68}\) & h & \({ }^{r} \hat{1} q a^{69}\) & \\
\hline however & that & thou & didst want & thus. & (Emphatic) & shall & -still & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 returnsingly going to whenever beings die, because thou not didst want
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline xwändj. \({ }^{67}\) & Xnōwe 72 & \(\hat{1}^{23}\) cîl \({ }^{57}\) & tE \({ }^{73}\) & XWïndj \({ }^{67}\) & \(e^{\varepsilon 32}\) īltā'̂̂S. \({ }^{\prime 3} 74\) & Wändj \({ }^{42}\) \\
\hline thus. & Right & when indeed & that & thus & thou didst tell it & Thus \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Ts \({ }^{2} 2\)
1 So \(^{24}\) yîqai'nī \({ }^{78}\) helááqaxem. \({ }^{78}\)
heláqaxem.
it got (the story). \(\quad\) Wändj \(^{42} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { hätet!eníy } \\ \text { the story is being told. }\end{gathered}\)

\section*{[Translation]}

Once upon a time there were two cousins. They lived together. They were both married, and each had a little boy. One morning one of the boys became sick. He was not sick long before he died. The father felt sorry when the child died. Then they buried it.

The next day he (the father of the dead boy) could not eat. He was merely looking at the dead child. On the fourth day he went to his cousin. "Halloo, cousin! What do you think? Should my child return after five days?"-"Oh, no, cousin!" answered the other one. "You simply eat, and you will feel happy." He did not know what to answer. He was merely thinking to himself, "I will certainly get even with you."

After a short time the other man's child became sick. It was not ill very long before it died. The father was very much grieved when his child died. He therefore went to his neighbor and said to him, "Halloo, cousin! I think our two children ought to return. They ought to come back after five days." But the other man answered,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{66} e^{\S}\) тHoU (§ 18) ; in Not (§ 112, 9).
\({ }^{67} x\) - modal (§ 24); wändj THUS (§ 106).
\({ }^{\text {es }}\) Syntactic particle (§93).
\({ }^{69}\) Syntactic particle (§89).
\({ }^{70}\) yanl if (future)(§ 91); he customapily (§ 87 ).
\({ }^{7}\) Syntactic particle (§ 89 ).
\({ }^{72} x\) - modal (§ 21 ); nōwe all RIGHT.
\({ }^{73}\) Demonstrative pronoun ( \(\$ 100\) ).
\({ }^{i} \ddagger \bar{\imath} i l-\) TO TELL, \(-t\) transitive (§ 26); -āis transitive subject and object pronoun (§ 46).
\({ }^{75}\) Syntactic particle (§ 89).
\({ }^{76}\) Particle denoting the optative (§91).
\({ }^{7 T}\) l!'̈̈- to speak; -ts transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ).
\({ }^{78}\) Adverb (§ 104).
\({ }^{79}\) helaq TO ARRIVE; -xEm generic ( \(\$ 530411\) ).
\({ }^{80}\) hätcitt! story; -enī verbal (§§ 45, 11); -iyeqEm passive (§40).
}
"Oh, no, cousin! You just eat and you will feel happy again. I had intended that our dead children should come back, but you did not wish it that way. And now, whenever people die, they will not come back, because you objected to it. You were right when you spoke against it."

He was justified in thus addressing him. People would have come back after five days if he had originally consented to it. It would have been good if the dead people could come back. Here the story ends. In this manner people relate this story.

\section*{The Theft of Fire and Water}


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1} n\) - adverbial (§ 21); mä PEOPLE; -e auxiliary (§§ 44, 10); -n distributive (§§ 37,25 ); \(-t\) transitive ( \(\$ 926,4\) ).
\({ }^{2}\) Demonstrative pronoun ( \(\$ 100\) ).
\({ }^{3}\) Numeral particle (§ 109).
4 Particle (§ 112).
\({ }^{6}\) limx'- то mx; -nē \(i\) distributive (§ 37).
\({ }^{6}\) Personal pronoun 3d person plural (§ 18)
\({ }^{7} k \cdot!\ddot{a}\) - privative ( \(\$ 20\) ).
\({ }^{8}\) Conjunction (§110).
\({ }^{\bullet}\) Pronominal particle (§ 108).
\({ }^{10}{ }^{2} \bar{o} u\) - to e.t.; - \(\bar{c}^{i} w a t\) frequentative ( \((\$ 33,8\) ).
\({ }^{11}\) Syntactic particle (§ 87).

\({ }^{13} x\) - instrumental (§ 24 ); \(l E\) article (§ 17 ); -Etc instrumental (§ 70 ).
\({ }^{14} t^{\prime}\) al- TO DANCE; -ts transitive (§ 26 ).
\({ }^{15}\) Plural formation (§ 78).
\({ }^{16}\) tc.ícil Mat; -aēiwat frequentative causative ( \(\$ 34\) ).
\({ }^{17}\) pictc- TO BE WAPM; -ī neutral intransitive (§ 31 ).
\({ }^{18} q\) ! \(m\) - to eat: -ts transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ).
\({ }^{19} y \bar{u}\) VERY ( \(\$ 106\) ); he customarily (§ 87); see also § 9.
\({ }^{20}\) LtL!'- To SCOOP OCT; \({ }_{0}\)-iyat causative ( \(\S \$ 27,2\) ).
\({ }^{21}\) Modal adverb (§ 106 ).
\({ }^{22}\) Possessive pronoun 3d person plural (§ 98).
\({ }^{23}\) Lōu to eat; -āwas verbal abstract ( \((\S 59,8)\).
\({ }^{24} \mathrm{skw}\) - то TALK about; -enī rerbal (§ 45); -iyeqEn passive ( \((\$ 40,9)\).
\({ }^{25}\) Article (§ 17).
\({ }^{36} x\)-modal (§ 24); tcitc MaNner (§ 112); -u interrogative (§ 73).
\({ }^{27}\) Srntactic particle (§ 91 ).
\({ }^{23}\) Personal pronoun 1st person plural (\$18).
}

goto it?" " "There shall we go." Now surely there they went.
 Surely there they arrived. Surely to burn itis that fire, when they te \(^{\prime x t i ̂ t s . ~}{ }^{33} \operatorname{Hats}^{8}\) yîqax \({ }^{34} \mathrm{k} \cdot \hat{1} \not \bar{o}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath ̂ t}^{35} \quad \mathrm{le}^{25}\) xāap. Lōwa'kats \({ }^{36}\)
 tcl \({ }^{\text {te }}\) person (he) came to. Sideways (he) was sitting. "Halloo,
 Ypelwiltcume 44 'Lōwa'lat 30 Tso \({ }^{8} \quad\) he'nīye, \({ }^{45}\) tsō \({ }^{8} \quad \hat{1}^{\prime} l\) lxats. \({ }^{46}\)


 "Thou storytell must." "But itmust thou my at priority
 goes thy growth." Now, however, (he) went A while (he)was outside. out. gone
 Now again (he) entered. "Halloo, cousin!" Look this used (to be) thy

 (is) old; and this used (to be) thy shinny-club, and this used (to be)
\({ }^{29}\) ta- TO GO; -t transitive (§ 26); -áya non-active object pronoun (§ 47).
\({ }^{30}\) Local adverb (§ 104).
\({ }^{31}\) Syntactic particle (§ 87).
\({ }^{8} 2\) ct \(!i l-\) To burn; -eel causative passive ( \(\$ \S 41,7\) ).
\({ }^{3} 3\) texi- TO ENTER; -ts transitive (§ 26).
\({ }^{34}\) Syntactic particle (§ 89).
\({ }^{35} k \cdot i \hbar \overline{0} u\) - TO SEE; \(-t\) transitive ( \(\$ \S 26,8\) ).
\({ }^{36} L \bar{o} u k u\) - тo sIT; -ts transitive ( \(\$ \S 26,11\) ).
\({ }^{37} h \in l q-\) TO ARRIVE; - \(t\) transitive ( \(\$ \S 26,7,11\) ).
\({ }^{33} x\) - modal (§ 24); tanuxu-sIDE; -itc modal ( \(\$ \S 67,8\) ).
\({ }^{39}\) Interjection (§111).
\({ }^{40}\) Personal pronoun inclusive, dual ( \(\S 18\) ).
\({ }^{12}\) alec toy; -eni verbal ( \(\$ \S 45,7\) ).
\({ }^{2}\) Syntactic particle (§88).
43k!ayaha- to hear; -éiwat frequentative (§ 33).
4ix- locative ( \(\$ 22\) ); pekwil- OPPOSITE; -tc adverbial ( \(\S 25,104\) ); -ume nominalizing ( \(\$ 64\) ).
\({ }^{45}\) heni- a while; -iye transitional ( \(\$ \S 35,9\) ).
\({ }^{46}\) il \(x\) - TO LOOK; -ts transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ).
\({ }^{47}\) qaniya'la belonging to a different tribe, a stranger.
\({ }^{48}\) Personal pronoun 2d person singular (§ 18).
\({ }^{49}\) Possessive pronoun 1st person singular (§ 98).
\({ }^{50} \mathrm{~S}\) yntactic particle (§ 90 ).
\({ }^{51}\) Can not be analyzed.
\({ }^{52}\) L': \((\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{TO}\) SPEAK; -ts transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ).
\({ }^{53}\) leqquwiyatas STORY (compare leqauwe TO DIE); -eni verbal ( \(\$ \S 45,7\) ).
\({ }^{54}\) Syntactic particle (§ 92).
\({ }^{55} n\)-adverbial ( \(\$ 21\) ); īla BEFORE ( \(\S 104\) ); -tc adverbial ( \(\S \S 25,103,10,7\) ); -Em adverbial abstract (§58).
\({ }^{56}\) Possessive proncun 2 d person singular ( \(\$ \S 18,98\) ).
\({ }^{57}\) hau- TO GROW; -e (§ ع0); see also §§ 8, 118.
\({ }^{58}\) qanō- OUTSIDE; -tc adverbial ( \(\$ \S 25,104\) ); - \(a\) directive ( \(\$ 55\) ).
\({ }^{59}\) Temporal adverb ( \(\$ 105\) ).
\({ }^{60}\) L'an- New; -ex adjectival (§66).
\({ }^{61}\) qal- OLD (compare qalu WINTER); eex adjectival (§66).
 my shinny-elub, and this used (to be) ball, and this here
nīk!wa \({ }^{31}\) henn'ne \(^{499}\) kwii'sîs. \({ }^{62}\) L!ánēx \({ }^{60}\) ye \(e^{\varepsilon} n^{u 56}\) kwí'sîs. \({ }^{62}\) Qa'lēx \({ }^{61}\) used (to be) ball. New (is) thy ball. old (is)
 \(\underset{\text { here }}{\text { this }}\) my ball. Asif perhaps very not surely \(\underset{\substack{\text { must } \\ \text { (be) }}}{\text { cousin." }}\)
 There (he) put them down for surely (he) saw it. "Surely indeed cousin him.

 gamble together. "Perhapsshall that I pomething wint myfingerat
 when that puts (his)hands the players?" (He) is thinking. "Yerhaps would be
 in the if would a piece of in eye cause it to be inside? manner atalone shell
 Inside, the part shall 'I sleep. You support you-me shall, if shall.
 behind (my) back." condition condition. it to
 surely just that way. Now surely (he) points (the)finger when that at him, frequently
one
 puts(his) surely two persous supporthimsteadily. How sur- thinge bands behind (his) back.
 happened. Naggots ate up his anus, his face, his no.ce, his
\({ }^{62}\) kwäs- ? ; -is nominal (§ 56).
\(6{ }^{6} h i t o ̄ u\)-TO PUT DOWN; -ts transitive (§26); -tEx direct object pronoun plural (§54); -a indirect object pronoun (§ 49 ; see also §7).
\({ }^{64}\) cil syntactic particle ( \(\$ 90\) ); -iye transitional (§35).
65 Syntactic partiele (\$88).
\({ }^{66}\) Personal pronoun 3d person dual (\$ 18).
\({ }^{67}\) ha \(i\) - TO GAMBLE; - \(t\) transitive (§ 26); \(-t\) transitive (§ 26); -meu reciprocal (§ 29; see also §4).
\({ }^{68}\) yiku syntactic particle ( \(\$ 88\) ); hanl shall ( \((\S 87,8,9\) ).
69 dı̆ il something (§ 108); -tc adverbial (§ 25); -Etc instrumental (§ 70).
70 Personal pronoun 1 st person singular ( \(\S 18,98\) ).
\({ }^{71}\) ! !aqa-to POINT AT; - \(\bar{e}\) wat frequentative (§33).
\({ }^{72}\) L!teta TO PL'T ONE'S HAND BEHIND THE BACK (during a game).
\({ }^{73}\) tcin- TO THINK; -en \(\begin{gathered}\text { verbal ( } \$ \S 45,10 \text { ). } . ~ . ~ . ~\end{gathered}\)
\({ }^{7} 4 y i k u\) PERHAPS (§88); uL WOULD BE (§§ 91, 9).
\({ }^{75} x\)-modal (§ 24); tcitc particle (§ 112).
\({ }^{6} n\)-adverbial (§21); xwalxwal EyE ( \(\$ 883,116\) ).
"Personal pronoun 1st person singular (§ 18).

79 lexatc 1NSIDE (§ 104); -EM adverbial abstract (§58).
so Personal pronoun 2d person plural (§18).
\({ }^{81} L!\bar{\sigma} x k \cdot i n-T O\) STEADY, TO sUPPORT; -äis transitive, subjeet and object pronoun thou-ME (§ 46 ).
\({ }^{82}\) L! \(\ddot{i}\) - TO TALK; -xEm generic suffix (§ 30 ).
\({ }^{83}\) i il - TO SAY TO; - \(t\) transitive (§ 26).
\({ }^{84} L!\bar{u} x k \cdot \hat{i} n\) - TO SUPPORT; - \(\bar{e} i w a t\) frequentative (§ 33).
\({ }^{65}\) tcïtc particle (§112); -u interrogative (§ 73).
\({ }^{86}\) Syntactic particle denoting surprise ( \(\$ 90\) ).
\({ }^{87} \bar{\imath} t \&-\) то DO, тO BE (§ 113 ); \(-\tilde{\varepsilon} m\) suffix defining the subject ( \(\S 30\) ).
\({ }^{88} x\) - discriminative ( \(\S 23\) ); yabas magGot.
\({ }^{69}\) yab-MAGGOT; - \(t\) transitive (§ 26); -ts transitive (§§ 26, 25); -a indirect object pronoun (§49).
\({ }^{90}\) Possessive pronoun 3d person singular (§ 98 ).
\({ }^{91}\) pitik'- ANCs; -is nominal (§56).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(k^{u}{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} \bar{n}\) as. cars. & \[
{\underset{\text { Also }}{ }{ }^{\text {Hîs }}{ }^{8}}^{2}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
intheni'vees \({ }^{92}\) \\
(ii) no time
\end{tabular} & 2 xya'bas \(_{\text {inaggots }}{ }^{88}\) & \[
\text { q!mîts. }{ }_{\text {ate him. }}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{La}^{\mathrm{u}^{2}} \\
& \text { That } \\
& \text { one }
\end{aligned}
\] & in \({ }_{\text {not }}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{la}^{\mathrm{u}} \\
& \text { that } \\
& \text { thing }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(\hat{1}_{\text {(at) }}{ }^{\prime}\) lxa & \(\mathrm{Hasts}^{\text {Just }}\) & \[
\underset{\substack{\hat{1}^{\prime} q a^{34} \\ \text { continually }}}{\text { and }}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{tci}^{30} \quad \text { Lō } \mathrm{W} \\
& \text { there }
\end{aligned}
\] & S. \({ }^{36}\) & Xyûxw & & mä \\
\hline L! \(\bar{o}^{x} k \cdot \hat{1 n}\) support him & & xpqai'hintc. \({ }^{94}\) from (the) back. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Wín'yax } \\
\text { Abalone shel }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
x•L!ōw \\
(he) in
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}\) wat \\
aused to be nside
\end{tabular} & & \[
\operatorname{län}^{\operatorname{län}^{95}}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline , & Lexa'tcem \({ }^{79}\) & qa'qał. & \(L a^{42}\) & qats \({ }^{34}\) & kwa \({ }^{42}\) & \\
\hline eye. & Inside, the part & (he) slept. & That one & just & asii & \\
\hline
\end{tabular} kwî́nait. \({ }^{96}\) Hîtc \({ }^{50}\) wî̀yax le \({ }^{25}\) x'L! îy \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{97}\) lăn \({ }^{95}\) xwa'lxwal. Hats \({ }^{8}\) looked at it. Surprise abalone it inside it is his in eye. Just
lā'mak' Lōwa'kats. \({ }^{36}\) Asî́l \({ }^{30}\) ła \(\hat{\mathrm{u}}^{98} \mathrm{x} \cdot \hat{1} l u{ }^{\prime} \overline{i n}^{\prime} \mathrm{y}^{99}\) lex \({ }^{100}\) yábas, \(\hat{1}^{8}\) bones sitting. Halfway goes its growth (of) the maggots, when
 that the maggots eat him continually. May be surely he for some time hî'nī \({ }^{30}\) Lōwa'kats. \({ }^{36}\) Tsō \({ }^{8}\) wändj \({ }^{21}\) tcîne'henī. \({ }^{73}\) "Ŷ̂lkwant \({ }^{68}\) dīliltce'tc \({ }^{69}\) there (he) sat. Now thus thinking. "Perhapsshall something
ten \({ }^{70}\) L!aqa' \(\bar{e}^{i}\) wat? \({ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{71}\) Hats \(^{8}\) kwanl \({ }^{102}\) in \(^{4}\) yū \({ }^{21}\) dili \({ }^{9}\) qaya \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) wīye, \({ }^{103}\)
that point my finger at Just as if not very something seared, him frequently:" shall he becomes

if would with it \({ }^{\circ}\) I pointmyfingersat Thus thinking. sitill not
 at

fîn \({ }^{28}\) tqats. \({ }^{107}\) La \({ }^{32}\) hîs \({ }^{8}\) te \({ }^{2}\) xãa \(p\) cîn \({ }^{80}\) x întî'ta \({ }^{108}\) hanc." " \({ }^{31}\) we win (game). That one also that water you cause it to run shall."

 shall (be) the \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{I}\) run, caluse it that water- This the you to run, cause it shall one there here one

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{92}\) in negation (§ 112); \(l\) abbreviated form of dial (§ 108); heniyc a whis.e; -Es noun of quality (§ 57).
\({ }^{93} x\) - diseriminative (§ 23); yû'xwë̆ тwо (§ 101).
942 - From ( \(£ 22\) ); pqai back; -itc loeal suffix ( \(\$ \S 67,10\) ).
\({ }^{95}\) tä possessive pronoun 3 d person singular ( \(\$ 98\) ); \(n\) - adverbial ( \(\$ 21\) ).
\({ }^{96}\) kwina- то LOOK; - \(t\) transitive (\$26).
\({ }^{97}\) x'L!- To be inside (§ 54); -iye transitional (§ 35 ).
\({ }^{98}\) Sign of possession (§ 97 ).
\(99 x \cdot \hat{\imath} t u\) DEEP; -iye nominal suffix ( \(\$ \$ 80,8\) ).
\({ }^{100} l E\) article ( \(\S 17\) ); \(x\)-discriminative ( \(§ 23\) ).
\({ }^{101}\) See § 113.
102 kwa as 1F (§ 88); hanl Shall (§§ 87, 9).
\({ }^{103}\) qayau- to be arraid; -iye transitional ( \(\$ \S 35,8\) )
104 siL- To Joln; -nēi distributive (§ 37).
105 cin personal pronoun, 2d person plural (§ 96); in NOT (see § 97).
\(106 \mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{el}\) - to forget; -ētwat frequentative (§ 33); see § 83.
\({ }^{107}\) tq- To WIN; -ts transitive (§ 2 G ).
\({ }^{108} x \cdot\) Ent- To RLN; -iyat eausative (§ 27 ); - \(a\) indirect object pronoun ( \(\$ \S 49,11\) ).
\({ }^{109}\) Cardinal numeral (§ 101).
\({ }^{110}\) Personal pronoun 1st person singular (§96).
\(11 x\) Ent- To RUN; -iyat causative (§ 27 ).
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{tE}^{2}\) & te!wäł." & \(\mathrm{Ts} \overline{\mathrm{O}}^{8}\) & XWändj \({ }^{21} \bar{l}^{\mathbf{i}}\) lt. \({ }^{83}\) & \({ }^{6}\) Kwís \(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}\) at \({ }^{112}\) & balt! \({ }^{113}\) & \(e^{\varepsilon} 11 e^{114}\) & \(h e^{11}\) \\
\hline that & fire." & Now & thus (he) told & "Now & now & thou & eustom- \\
\hline there & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
it to \\
him.
\end{tabular} & & & & arily \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline tE'ta. 972 & Wändj \({ }^{21} \overline{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{l}\) t. \({ }^{83}\) & 68 & diltes'te & \(7^{70}\) & L!aqa' \({ }^{-i}\) wat? \\
\hline (thy) & Thus (he) told & "Perhaps shall & something & this & point the finger at \\
\hline hands behind & it to & & with & here I & him continually?" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \({ }^{6}\) Hats \({ }^{8}\) & \(\overline{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{n}^{4} \quad y \overline{\mathrm{u}}^{21}\) & dili \({ }^{9}\) & \(y \bar{u}^{21}\) & qayaw \(\overline{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}\) waL. \({ }^{115}\) & \(10^{116}\) & \(\mathrm{L}^{117}\) & 114 \({ }^{27}\) & \(\underline{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y} \overline{\mathrm{l}}\) \\
\hline "Just & not very & something & very & scaring. & That thing & necessarily & would be & good, \\
\hline \(y^{\prime} \overline{1} \mathrm{C}^{27}\) & X'তW \(\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\) y'as & \(C^{118}\) & \(n^{77}\) & L'aqa'eis wat. \({ }^{\prime \prime} 71\) & \(\mathrm{K} \cdot!\mathrm{a}\) & \(!\) ¢ & ms \({ }^{119}\) & \(l a^{u^{2}}\) \\
\hline if & snake w & & I & point (my) finger at & Witl & t dyi & down & that one \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\text { tc!îla'at. }{ }^{120}
\] & \(\underline{T S} \bar{O}^{8}\) & \(\bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{y} u^{21}\) & \(\mathrm{X}^{\circ} \overline{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{wa}^{\prime}\) y ascte \({ }^{118}\) & L!a'qat. \({ }^{121}\) & £ōwîti \({ }^{\prime}\) y eq Em. \({ }^{122}\) \\
\hline to burn, it is & Now & surely & snake with & \begin{tabular}{l}
(he) pointed \\
(the) tinger
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
( He ) is watching \\
himeelf
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

he'laq le \({ }^{25}\) xoowa'yas. Hän \({ }^{123}\) ye'es la \({ }^{42}\) kwa \({ }^{42}\) ł'nuwît. \({ }^{127}\) arrived the snake. His to mouth thatone as if threatens


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{112 \text { Temporal adverb (§ 105). }}\)
\({ }^{113}\) Temporal adverb (§105).
\({ }^{11 s}\) Personal pronoun 2d person singular (§96).
\({ }^{115}\) qayau- To FEAR; - \(\quad\) wal nominal suffix (§59).
\({ }^{116}\) Demonstrative pronoun (§100).
117 Syntactic particle (§92).
\({ }^{118} x\)-ōwāyas sNake; -Etc instrumental (§70).
\(119 \mathrm{k} \cdot\) ! \(\ddot{\text { - }}\) - privative ( \(§ 20\) ) ; tc!ha- To Extinguish; -āyims nominal (§80).
120 tc!il- TO BURN; -aat passive causative ( \(\S \S 41,7\) ).
\({ }^{121}\) l!aga- TO POINT AT WITH ONE'S FINGER; - \(t\) transitive (§ 26).
122 touxt- то watcir; -īyeqEm passive ( \(\$ \S 40,3,11\) ).
\({ }^{123}\) nd possessive pronoun 3 d person singular (§98) ; u-adverbiul (§ 21 ).
124 xalm- To Wrap AROUND; -ts transitive (§ 26 ).
125 Conjunction ( \(\$ 110\) ).
\({ }^{126}\) Temporal adverb (§ 105).
\({ }^{127}\) linuw̄̄ VERY, modal adverb (§ 106); -t transitive (§ 26).
\({ }^{128} a k^{\prime} a n k^{*}\) - TO STICK OUT (§4).
\({ }^{129} h E\) article (§ 17 ) ; \(x\)-discriminative ( \(§ 23\) ).
\({ }^{130}\) Syntactic particle (§ 87 ).
\({ }^{131}\) qayau- to Scare; -anāya direct and indirect object pronoun ( \(\S \S 50,3,82\) ).
\({ }^{132}\) six- To shake OFF; -ts transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ).
\({ }^{133}\) Local adverb ( \(\S \S 104,103,55\) ).
\({ }^{134} \mathrm{nEq}\) TO RUN AWAY; - \(\bar{a} y a\) non-active object pronoun ( \(\$ 47\) ).
\({ }^{135}\) L': xan- то тнROW; -āya (§47); -ém suffix defining the subject ( \(\S \S 30,9\) ).
\({ }^{136} x\) ent- To RUN; -ü present passive ( \(\S \$ 38,82\) ).
137 Syntactic particle (§ 93).
\({ }_{138} x\)-discriminative (§23).
\({ }^{139} h \bar{e}\) syntactic particle (§93); yū VERY, modal adrerb (§ 106),
}


[Translation]
The earth was full of people. All kinds of people lived in a mixed up fashion. They had no fire or water. Whenever they wanted to eat, they would put the food under their arms (in order to heat it). They would dance with it, or the old people would sit on it. And when the food became warm, then they would eat it. Whenever salmon came ashore, they used to scoop it out.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{140}\) t ! \(k w\) - To KICk: -ts transitive ( ( 26); -a indirect object pronoun (§49).
\({ }^{141} x\)-modal ( \(\S 21\) ); pix \({ }^{\text {- }}\) - To go home; -eetc modal ( \(\$ 30\); also § 3 ).
\({ }^{142}\) Lowaha \(i\) - To RUN; - \(t\) transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ).
\({ }^{143}\) Plural formation (§78).
\(144 k u h a^{\prime} \bar{n} a s\) EAR; -etc local ( \(\$ \S 68,7\) ).
\({ }^{145}\) lax- TO BE inside (singular object); -ayu past passive ( \(\$ 839,83,54\) ).
\({ }^{146} n\)-adverbial (§ 21 ); -lE article (§ 17); - \(\bar{i}\) instrumental ( \(\S 880,10\) ).
\({ }^{147}\) See § 118.
148 Syntactic particle (§ 88).
149 hEm - to lay open; -ts transitive ( \(\$ 26\) ); -et causative passive (§ 41).
\({ }^{150}\) Temporal adverb (§ 105).
\({ }^{151} \mathrm{~g} \cdot \hat{\mathrm{i} m}\) - To rain; -ts transitive ( \(\S 26\) ); - \(\epsilon t\) causative passive ( \(\$ 41\) ).
\({ }^{152}\) le article (§ 17); tsix HERE, local adverb (§ 104).
153 kumene \({ }^{\prime}\) il BRU'SH; -etc local (§ 68).
\({ }^{154}\) L! 'Lan- то тhrow; -a indirect object pronoun (§ 49).
\({ }^{155}\) k!wehe- willow; -etc local ( \(\$ 868,9\) ).
\({ }^{166}\) L! 'xan- то тнrow; - \(t\) transitive ( \(\$ 20\) ).
\({ }^{157}\) lkwil- to blaze; - \(t\) transitive; -u transitional ( \(\S \S 35,114\) ).
\({ }^{158}\) Local adverb (§ 104).
159 \(x\) - From, locative (§ 22); temītowetc (see § 106).
\({ }^{160} l e\) article ( \(\$ 17\) ); îl personal pronoun \(3 d\) person plural ( \(\$ 96\) ).
16i \(n\) - WITH, instrumental (§ 21); tc!uäl FIRE; -e auxiliary (§44).
\({ }^{162}\) See § 87 .
\({ }^{163} \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{i} \mathrm{m}\) - To rain; - \(t\) transitional ( \(\$ 20,114\) ).
\({ }^{164}\) Syntactic particle (§ 94).
\({ }^{165} k w a a n-\) то KNOw ; -āya non-active object pronoun ( \(\$ 47\) ); -ēm suffix defining the subject ( \(\S \S 30,7\) ).
\({ }^{166} \ddot{a} w\) - TO FINISH, TO end; - \(x E m\) generic ( \((\$ 30)\).
}

In this manner they had hardly any food. They were all the time talking about fire. "How would it be if we should go after fire?""Let us go." They went. When they arrived, they found the fire burning; and one of them saw the water. The chief of the people (to whom they came) was sitting indoors. He was sitting sideways. "Halloo, cousin!" said the earth-chicf. "Let us gamble (for the fire and water)!" The sky-chief acted as if he did not hear. The earthchief sat down opposite him. After a short time the sky-chief looked up and said, "You belong to a different tribe, so in what way are you my cousin? You must tell a story." But the earth-chief answered, "You are older than I," and he went ont. After a while he came back and said, "Halloo, cousin! Look! this here is your Indian cradle. \({ }^{1}\) Your Indian cradle \({ }^{1}\) is new, while mine is old. And this here is your shinny-club, \({ }^{2}\) while that there is my shimny-club. \({ }^{2}\) This is your ball, \({ }^{2}\) and that one is my ball. \({ }^{2}\) Your ball \({ }^{2}\) is new, but mine is old. Is it not so?" Then he put all these things before him. The skychief looked at them, and said, "Indeed, it is so, O cousin! Sit down here, we will gamble."

They began to play. The earth-chief thought to himself, "With what shall I point my finger at the player who puts his hand behind his back? Suppose I put a piece of abalone shell into my eye? I will sleep in the inside part of my eye." Then he said to his followers, "You shall support me when I put my hands behind my back;" and what he demanded was done.

Then he pointed his finger at him (the sky-chief) when he put his hand behind bis back. Two men were supporting him. Thus things happened. Maggots began to eat up his (the sky-chief's) anus, his face, his nose, his ears. Soon the maggots ate him up; but he did not notice it. He kept on sitting there. Two men were still supporting him from the back. He had an abalone shell in his eye, and was sleeping in that iuside part. Now it seemed as if the sky-chief were looking at it. To his surprise, he saw an abalone shell in the other man's eye. By this time only bones had remained of him, for

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) "Cradle" or "bed" is a piece of canvas (in former days tanned hide) spread on the ground and stretched by means of pegs or nails, before which the player participating in the so-called "game of guessing" was squatting, while mixing the sticks in his hands, which were held behind his back. Upon receiving the guessing-signal from a player of the opposite side, the sticks were thrown on the "cradle," usually one by one, while the marked stick was laid bare.
\({ }^{2}\) J'he informant was mistaken in the use of these terms. "Club" and "ball" are used in a game of shinny, while the game played by the two chiefs was the favorite game of "guessing."
}
the maggots had eaten up almost half of his body. The earth-chief was sitting there for a while, and began to think, "With what shall I point my finger at him? It seems that I ought to point at him with some very terrible thing." The sky-chief still did not look at the maggots. Only his bones, joined together, were sitting there. Still he did not look.

Now the earth-chief said to his people, "Don't forget to seize the fire as soon as we win the game.-And you take hold of the water." One of his men said, "I will run away with the water, and you ought to run with the fire." The earth-chief said to the head man of the sky-people, "Now it is your turn to put your hands behind your back." All the time he was thinking to himself, "With what shall I point my finger at him? It seems that nothing terrifies him. It will be very good if I point at him with a snake."

In the mean time the fire kept on burning. He then pointed at him with a snake. But he (the sky-chief) was on the lookout. The snake coiled around his thigh. Still he did not mind it. It crawled up to his waist and threatened to go into his mouth, all the while sticking out its tongue. Soon it seemed as if it were about to enter his nose. The sky-chief became afraid when he saw this. He shook off the snake and ran away. People were shouting at him.

The earth people quickly seized the fire. A very poor man ran away with the fire, while a little man kicked the water. They were running homewards. The man put the fire into his ear while running. As soon as the water was spilled, it began to rain. The fire was thrown into some willow-brush, and soon began to blaze. Thus they returned. From that time on, people have had fire; and from that time on, it has rained. Thus only the story is known. This is the end of it.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

In 1884 J. Owen Dorsey spent a month at the Siletz reservation, Oregon, collecting short vocabularies of the Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua, as well as of other languages. Prior to Dorsey's investigations the linguistic position of Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua was a debated question. Some investigators believed that these two dialects belonged to the Yakonan family; while others, notably Latham and Gatschet, held them to form a distinctstock, although they observed marked agreement with some features of the Yakonan. After a superficial investigation, lasting less than a month, Dorsey came to the conclusion that Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua were dialects belonging to the Yakonan stock. This assertion was repeated by J. W. Powell in his "Indian Linguistic Families" (Seventh Annual Report of the Bureau of American Ethnology, p. 134), and was held to be correct by all subsequent students of American Indian languages. This view, however, is not in harmony with my own investigations. A closer study of Alsea (one of the Yakonan dialects) on the one hand, and of Lower Umpqua on the other, proves conclusively that Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua form a distinct family, which I propose to call the Siuslawan linguistic stock." The term "Sinslaw" was given preference over "Umpqua" or "Lower Umpiqua," in order to avoid the ambiguity of meaning which might arise from the fact that we have become accustomed to call the Athapascan dialect, spoken on the upper course of the Umpqua river, the "Upper Umpqua."

The material on which the following sketch is hased was collected, under the joint auspices of the Bureau of American Ethnology and of Columbia University, on the Siletz reservation, Oregon, during the months of March, April, and May, 1911.

My principal informant was Louisa Smith, a Lower Umpqua Indian over 70 years of age. Her advanced years, her absolute lack of knowledge of the English language, her ill health, and, above all, the fact that prior to my arrival on the reservation she had

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) It is not at all impossible that this stock, the Yakanan, Kusan, and perhaps the Kalapuyan, may eventually prove to be genetically related. Their affinities are so remote, however, that I prefer to take a conservative position, and to treat them for the time being as independent stocks.
}
not used her native tongue for a considerable period, rendered her a poor, though willing informant. In the course of this investigation it was therefore necessary to employ such additional informants and interpreters as were arailable. By far the most important of these was William Smith, an Alsea Indian and the husband of Louisa, who had spent his childhood among the Siuslaw Indians, from whom he had gained a fairly good knowledge of their language. But be, too, was far from being an ideal informant. His command of English was imperfect, his degree of intelligence rather limited, his pronunciation of Lower Umpqua was affected by Alsea phonetics, and he was only too often unable to keep apart the Siuslaw, Lower Umpqua, and Alsea forms of a given word. However, in spite of these deficiencies, his services proved highly valuable, because, having previously assisted me in my work on the Alsea language, he knew more or less what was wanted of him. My other informants were Spencer Scott, a son of Louisa; Louis Smith, a full-blooded Lower Umpqua Indian; and Hank Johnson, the son of a Lower Umpqua father and of an Alsea mother. The three last mentioned were, comparatively speaking, young men, whose knowledge of Lower Umpqua was imperfect and rather vague. They were employed solely for the purpose of settling questions that pertained to phonetics, and of disentangling the frequent difficulties that were involved in the collection and translation of texts; and if I add that throughout the progress of this work, Louisa Smith was suffering from a severe ear-ache (which at times rendered her absolutely deaf), that William Smith had to undergo frequent surgical operations because of a poisoned finger, and that my other informants could give me only part of their time, I shall have mentioned all the difficulties under which the following material was collected. Should this sketch, therefore, be found deficient in completeness of treatment and clearness of interpretation, it will have to be accounted for by the extraordinary circumstances under which the work was conducted.

But if the actual work involved in this investigation was rather trying and tiresome, there were other features connected with it that rendered it pleasant and enjoyable. These features consist of the many courtesies and helpful assistance received from the inhabitants of Siletz; and it is a great source of pleasure to me to record my deep gratitude to these kind friends. My greatest obligations are due to whatever way he could, and to the latter for the motherly care with which she attended to my personal wants throughout my stay at the reservation. My sincere thanks are also due to Dr. Maximilian F. Clausius, the physician of the Siletz agency, for the numerous tokens of friendship received at his hand.

Columbia University,
September, 1911.

\section*{SIUSLAWAN (LOWER UMPQUA)}

\author{
By Leo J. Frachtenberg
}

\section*{§ 1. DISTRIBUTION AND HISTORY}

The Siuslawan stock embraces two closely related dialects-Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw-that were spoken by the people living on the lower courses of the Umpqua and Siuslaw rivers, in the southern part of Oregon. Their northern neighbors were the Alsea Indians \({ }^{1}\) (whom they called Hani's hūtc \({ }^{2}\) ), on the east they came in contact with the Kalapuya (chiefly the Yonkalla tribe, known to them as the \(\left.Q a^{i} x q q a, x\right)\), and on the south they were contiguous to the \(\operatorname{Coos}\left(Q \bar{u}^{\prime} y a x\right)\). The territory of the Lower Umpqua was bounded on the north by Five Mile lake, on the south by Ten Mile lake, while on the east they claimed the whole region adjoining the Umpqua river as far as Scottsharg. The possessions of the Siuslaw Indians extended as far south as Five Mile lake, on ihe north they bordered on the Yahach river, and castwards they extended as far as Mapleton. Thus it may safely be assumed that these two dialects were spoken in the western parts of what are known today as Lane and Douglas counties. No information pertaining to the previous strength of these two tribes could be obtained. Their numbers have been so greatly reduced, that, hesides the four individuals who served as my informants, and the two or three Siuslaw Indians said to be living near Florence, Lane county, there are no other members living; and since these people no longer converse in their native tongue, the Siuslaw family may be looked upon as an extinct linguistic stock.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) One of the two members of the Yakonan family.
\({ }^{2}\) For explanation of alphabet see pp. 443, 444.
}

The Lower Umpqua call themselves \(Q \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{t} t c\), and refer to their language as \(Q \bar{u}^{\prime} \hat{t}\) tcax wa'as. These terms are of native origin, and are formed from the stem \(q \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) or \(q \bar{q}^{\prime \prime} \bar{\imath}\) soutia. The Alseal called them Thiul-
 Indians. The Siuslaw refer to themselves as Cáyucca, and were called \(C \bar{a} ' y \bar{u} c L e ~ b y ~ t h e ~ C o o s ~ a n d ~ Q w a s ~ o r ~ K w a s ~ b y ~ t h e ~ A l s e a ~ I n d i a n s . ~\) The etymology of these names could not be ascertained.
Judging from the scanty notes on Siuslaw obtained by Dorsey and myself, the differences between this dialect and Lower Umpqua were very slight and of a purely phonetic and lexicographic character. No distinct morphological formations were found. The chief phonetic feature that seems to separate these two dialects is the change of a Lower Umpqua \(n\) into \(l\) in Siuslaw.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Lower Umpqua & Siuslaw \\
\hline \(p \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) & \(p \bar{a}^{\prime} \downarrow \cdot \bar{u}\) well, spring 76.12 \\
\hline qanīnal 19.6 & qali'nał knife a o 0.19 \\
\hline \(q a^{\prime} n n \hat{\imath}\) & \(q a^{\prime} l u \hat{\imath}\) (D.) \({ }^{1}\) face \\
\hline \(t \sin ^{\prime} w \hat{\imath}\) & tsla'we (D.) bone \\
\hline 珢wa'nuqu & trucu'luku (D.) hat \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The lexicographical differences cover a limited number of stems and words, of whieh only a few examples may be quoted here.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Lower Umpqua & siuslaw \\
\hline \(7 \bar{a}^{\prime} n-23 . \bar{i}\) & ltcîn- to call by name \\
\hline xip- & ŷq.' \(\mathrm{c}^{u}\) - to split (pitel wood) \\
\hline Li' \(\bar{i}^{\prime} \cdot \overline{4}-8.3\) & wumc-to come, to approaeh 23.2 \\
\hline t'āme 40.19 & \(t .1\) '̂lmês (D.) child \\
\hline wwāk'k 29.5 & qamî'Lîs (D.) bead \\
\hline \(1 \bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{l}^{\prime} . a^{\text {i }} 34.23\) & wits! 'u'we (D.) food \\
\hline li' \(w \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} s^{2}\) & cqa'atc \({ }^{3} \mathrm{dog}\) \\
\hline ko'tan \({ }^{4} 34.10\) & \(t a^{u^{\prime}} \mathbf{v e x}\) (D.) \({ }^{5}\) horse \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Texts of myths and tales in the Lower Umpqua dialect were collected by the author, and were published by Columbia University. \({ }^{\text {a }}\) All references accompanying examples refer to page and line of that publication.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Words marked (D.) are quoted from Dorsey's manuscripts in possession of the Bureau of American Ethnology.
\({ }^{2}\) Coos \(k w i\) iyos.
\({ }^{3}\) Apparently related to Alsea tcqend.
\({ }^{4}\) Chinook jargon.
\({ }^{6}\) Related to Alsea t'awã'yū.
\({ }^{5}\) Lower Umpqua Texts, Columbia University Contributions to Anthropology, vol. 4.
}

\section*{PHONOLOGY (§§ 2-17)}

\section*{§2. Vowels}

The vowels have short and long ruantities. Resonance vowels, marked here by superior vowels, are employed often, as is also the obscure vowel \(E\), which seems to be related to short \(a\). In some instances, due to contact phenomena, the obscure vowel partakes of the quality of a short \(o\), and is represented here by \({ }^{\circ}\). The open \(e\) vowel appears to be lacking, while the long \(\bar{e}\) frequently glides from \(\bar{\epsilon}\) to \(\bar{\imath}\) and resembles a long \(\bar{\imath}\). Significant pitch appears in a few cases (see p. 447).

The \(a^{i}\) - and \(\iota^{u}\) diphthongs occur in two distinct forms, one with the initial element short or long ( \(u^{i}, u^{u}, \bar{u}^{i}, \bar{a}^{u}\) ), and the other with the first element short and the second long ( \(a^{i}\) and \(a^{\bar{u}}\) ). The latter two forms are closely related to the long \(\bar{\tau}\) and \(\bar{u}\) with which they constantly interchange. This interchange usually takes place after \(u, l, m, n, q\), \(x\), and \(l\), although numerous instances will be found where the substitution of \(a^{\bar{i}}\) and \(a^{\bar{u}}\) for \(\bar{\imath}\) and \(\bar{u}\) respectively has taken place after vowels and consonants other than those enumerated, or where the interchange does not occur at all.

Examples of interchange between \(\bar{\tau}\) and \(a^{\bar{i}}\) :
̂̀nq! 'a' \(\bar{\imath} 30.23\)
mîta'tit \(\hat{n}\)
sînxāt 46.18
\(t \imath^{\prime} k^{s_{n}} n x\) here thou 56.19
hatc' \(\imath^{\prime}\) 'sum he was asked 66.16
\(t_{s i} i^{\prime} k\) ! yan híc'sitū hai I am very glad 25.8
inq! 'u'a \(a^{i}\) river 30.20
qumâtáa' \(a^{i} t=0\) my mother 100.12
cînxait he thinks 90.15
taikens here we two (incl.) 56.6
whwahai ram it is placed (in)
 we two (incl.) shall leave our canoe 56.5

Examples of interchange between \(\bar{u}\) and \(a^{\bar{u}}\) :
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & wai' \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) he says to him 20.7 \\
\hline waxu'yütsme he gave him his . . . 76.9 & thiwihu'luaitsme he buried his 40.22 \\
\hline \(k!\) '̂̀m \(\bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} L \bar{u} n\) & \(k\) k: \(\hat{i n} m a^{\bar{u}} L^{i}{ }_{L} \bar{u}_{n} \mathrm{I}\) am hitting hi \\
\hline \(h^{i} y\) atsî'tsūn lie put it on 11. & \(\bar{a} q a^{\prime} q a^{\bar{u}} n\) he took it off 13.1 \\
\hline \(p \hat{\imath} t q^{u} t s \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) made of raccoon (hides) \(70.23,24\) & hamaca \({ }^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath}\) made of tied (grass) 8.6 \\
\hline 'Futu I tire him & \(k \bar{a}^{\prime} \neq a^{u} \hat{t} \hat{i} n \mathrm{n}\) am tired \\
\hline place 38.19 & mith:' \(e^{\bar{u} \prime}\) L.'aye' in a bad place 12.10; 13.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Siuslaw \({ }^{1}\) system of vowels and diphthongs may be represented as follows:


The umlauted \(\ddot{a}\) occurs rarely, and is pronounced like \(\ddot{a}\) in German wählen; \(\hat{\imath}\) is pronounced like the Slavic short \(y\)-vowel; and \(\hat{a}\) indicates very short quantity.

\section*{§3. Consonants}

The consonantic system deviates in a great many respects from those of the neighboring tribes. Its chief characteristics are the total absence of the anterior palatal series \(\left(g^{*}, k^{\cdot}, k^{\cdot}!, x^{*}\right)\); the absence of all sonants excepting \(d\); the presence of a palatal lateral \(\left(l^{\circ}\right)\); and, above all, the occurrence of a double series of glottalized explosives differing in the quality and amount of stress employed in their production. The real explosives are followed in this sketch by the sign of exclamation (!), while the glottalized stops of ordinary strength will be found accompanied by the apostrophe (). The latter seem to be confined to the consonants of the dental series and to \(k\). The surds \(t\) and \(k\) occur also as aspirated consonants.

The following table illustrates the Siuslaw consonantic system:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Sonant & surd & Fortis & Aspirated & spirant & Nasal \\
\hline Velar & - & \(q\) & \(q\) ! & - & \({ }^{2}\) & \\
\hline Palatal & - & \(k(w)\) & \(k!(w)\) & \(\stackrel{F}{ }\) & - & \\
\hline Alveolar & \(d\) & (1) & \(t{ }^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\) & \(t\) & \(s, c\) & \(n\) \\
\hline Affricative & & ts, to & \[
\begin{aligned}
& t s s^{\prime}, t c c^{\prime} \\
& t s^{\prime}, t c^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\] & - & - & \\
\hline Labial & - & \(p\) & \(p!\) (?) & - & - & \(m\) \\
\hline Lateral. & - & L & L! & & \(l, r \cdot, t\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Glottal stop......... \(\varepsilon\)
Aspiration
\[
y \quad h \quad w \quad h^{u}
\]

The palatal \(l\) - is pronounced like \(l\) in the English word lure. The glottal stop occurs seldom, and seems to be associated with the explosive character of the consonants following it, although I did not succeed in verifying this connection definitely. The aspiration corre-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Whenever the term "Siuslaw" is used, it is to be understood as referring to the whole group, and not to the dialect only.
}
sponds to the character of the vowels and consonants that precede or follow it: that is to say, after palatal vowels it is of a palatal character; while before the vowels \(a, o\), and \(u\), and before velar consonants, it becomes guttural. When followed by a vowel, it is changed into an \(h\).
\(t u u^{\prime} t c\) - to spear 62.2
qaqū \(n\) - to hear 30.18
si̊ to grow (intr.) 98.10
\(q n \bar{u}\) - to find
\(w a^{\prime \prime} t \bar{u} x\) again shall \(\ldots 11.2\)
tuhatca'yūn he spears it
\(q^{a^{\prime}} q^{u} h a n t u \bar{n}\) he heard it 36.23
sihhítcîn xintyax I began to grow up 100.17
\(q n \bar{u}^{\prime} h \bar{u} n\) (they two) found it 56.9
waha'hūn \(h^{i} y a t s i ̂ t s u u_{n}\) again he put it on 12.1

In some instances the aspiration results from the dropping of a \(t\) before a following \(n\) (see \(\$ \S 16,58,59\) ).

\section*{§ 4. Sound Groupings}

Clusters of two consonants are admissible, except \(w+\) any consonant other than \(n\). Whenever a \(w\) is followed by a consonant other than \(n\), it changes into a voiceless \(w\), represented here by \({ }^{h u}\). Clusters of three or more consonants may occur medially or finally, provided a nasal or lateral forms the initial sound of such groupings.

When, owing to grammatical processes, three consonants that can not form a cluster come into contact, an obscure or weak vowel (mostly \(E, a\), or \(\hat{\imath}\) ) is inserted between two of the three consonants, thus facilitating the pronunciation of the cluster.

A similar insertion takes place in initial clusters beginning with \(m\) or \(n\), and between two consonants belonging to the same series. The latter rule applies to clusters in initial, medial, and final position.

Examples of clusters consisting of \(w+\) consonant:
```

$a^{i}$ tcnaw- to trade mutually +
$-t \bar{u} x+-t s$
Lōnaw- to hit mutually + -Em
$+-t c \hat{\imath}$

```
\(a^{i}\) tcna'hutūxts you two will trade mutually
Lōlna \({ }^{\prime h u}\) matĉ̂ you hit one another?
\(x n \imath^{\prime} w a\) he does 11.11

Examples of avoidance of clusters in initial position:

\footnotetext{
\(m\) - (prefix of relationship) mêtè father 54.22
\(+t a\) father
\(m\) - (prefix of relationship) mîtà mother 54.23
\(+z a\) mother
}

Examples of avoidance of clusters in medial position:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
w \hat{n} x x-(\text { to be afraid })+-n a w a^{u} x & \text { winn } n^{B} x a^{\prime} w a^{u} x \text { they two were } \\
\text { afraid of each other } 86.1,2 \\
q^{\bar{a} t x-(\text { to cry })+-t \bar{u} x} & q^{\bar{a}^{\prime} t x^{a} t} \bar{u} x \text { he will cry }
\end{array}
\]

Examples of avoidance of clusters in final position:
qatcinū̀tx (to keep on going) qatcînū̀tran I keep on going
\[
+-n
\]
\(q a^{i} x\) (night) \(+-n x \quad q a^{i} x^{B} n x\) (at) night thou . . . 70.18
ta \(a^{i} k^{E} n s\) here we tro (incl.) 56.6
\(h a^{i^{i}} q^{\text {E }}\) nean ashore we (excl.) 88.13
hatc'a'yūnatĉ ye askher 74.10
tci'namx they came back 72.23

Examples of aroidance of clusters of consonants belonging to the same series:
```

lumi'ntc (not) +-to kumíntcrtc not his 92.15
ants (that one) +c\overline{a}ya ants.s ca\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\prime}ya that penis
p\overline{u}<br>mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}wax\mp@code{(he intends to hunt)}
+-xun
litt!- (to eat) + -t\overline{u}.r
tcînt (how much) +tEx
s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{E}\mp@subsup{a}{}{i}t(\mathrm{ (such) + L!'a'ai
p\overline{\imath}u\mp@code{la'waxu}x\mp@code{ûn we two (excl.)}
intend to go hunting 54.22
lìt!'t\overline{u},\mp@code{(you) will eat o.0.2}
tc\hat{n}\mp@subsup{t}{}{\textrm{E}}\mp@subsup{t}{Ex}{\prime}\mathrm{ suppose 38.20,21}
. \& E}\mp@subsup{a}{}{i}\mp@subsup{t}{}{\textrm{E}}\quad\mp@subsup{L}{L}{\prime}\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{a}{}{ai}\mathrm{ such a place 15.1

```

Examples of clusters permissible in medial or final position:

Final
tsîng!'t poor 16.10
łakwa'ütto (their) . . . was
taken away 50.22
fohwíxametrix his . . . was
taken away from him 54.14

Medial
tsî'nq!'tanx you are poor
lakwa'ültran my . . . was taken
zakwi'xamittxaux their two . . . were taken away from them

The only consonantic cluster that does not scem to be permissible is the grouping of \(n x+k\). Whenever these three consonants would appear together in the above-named order, the \(x\) is always changed into \(a\).

```

    \(+k^{\prime u} n \grave{a}\)
    $k^{u} w \bar{a}^{\prime \prime} n \bar{\imath} n, w($ they will be beaten)
$+k^{u} n \grave{a}$

```

An exception to this rule is found in the following sentence:
\(z^{i} k w a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a n x k^{u} z t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} a^{i}\) you may get (some) salmon 48.18
In like manner the combination \(n x+\bar{u}\) is changed into \(a^{\bar{u}}\) (see § 132).
yáqu'yūnanx (thou art seen) \(+-\bar{u}\left(-a^{\bar{u}}\right)\)
yaqu'y \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n a n a a^{\bar{u}}\) thou art seen here

\section*{§ 5. Accent}

Siuslaw exhibits a stress accent, represented here by the acute mark ('); and a pitch accent, designated by the mark ('). Only a limited number of enclitic and proclitic particles show no accent whatsoever. The pitch accent occurs mostly in monosyllabic words that have a short vowel, and lends to the syllable a sharp, abrupt intonation. Both accents are freely shifted from one syllable to another. It seems, however, to be a fixed rule that in the past tense the accent is placed on the first syllable, and that the locative case-endings and the adverbial suffixes must be accented.
\(h a^{i} q a^{\prime} q\) he goes ashore 58.17
\(q \alpha^{i} x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x\) it gets dark 64.19
\(t^{0} w a t c \hat{\imath} t c \bar{u} n a^{u} x\) they two are spearing it 56.15, 16
ts! aln pitch 26.6
lī̀ \(t\) ' \(a^{i}\) food 34.23
Zqa \(a^{i{ }^{\prime} t} t \bar{u} \log 32.21\)
\(p k k^{\prime} \imath^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) lake 62.18
\(s i \bar{i}^{\prime} x a^{i}\) canoe 56.5
qu'xin above, up 34.21
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus 8.7
\(y a^{a} k!\hat{i}^{\prime} s k i \hat{i} n\) very small 36.23
\(h a^{i}{ }^{\prime} \hat{q} q y a x\) (having) come ashore 56.13
\(q a^{i^{i}} x \hat{x} x y a x\) it became dark 34.4 \(t^{0}\) wa'tcîtcyaxa \({ }^{\bar{u}} n\) I have been spearing it 66.17
ts! '̂̂lna' (locative case) 94.18
līt \({ }^{\prime}\) aya' (locative case) 13.7
Zqatūriyū's (locative case) 88.16
\(p k^{\prime i} \bar{t} \bar{i} y \bar{u}^{\prime} s\) (locative case) \(3 \pm .11\)
sE.x \(a^{\bar{u}} t c\) into the canoe 34.5
qaxuinteītc upwards
\(s^{E}\) ats \(\bar{i}^{\prime} t c\) in that manner \(\mathrm{S.1}\)
yāk! \(\hat{u} s k ' \imath n \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) in a very small 38.19

\section*{§ 6. Phonetic Laws}

In both dialects a number of phonetic laws are found which affect both vowels and consonants. All phonetic processes are due either to contact phenomena or to the effects of accent. They may be summarized as follows:

\section*{Vocalic Processes:}
(1) Diphthongization of \(\bar{z}\) and \(\bar{u}\).
(2) Consonantization of \(i\) - and \(u\)-.
(3) Contraction.
(4) Vocalic hiatus.
(5) Vocalic harmony.
(6) Effects of accent.

Consonantic Processes:
(1) Consonantic metathesis.
(2) Consonantic euphony.
(3) Simplification of double consonants.
(4) Modifications of \(t\) and \(k\).
(ऽ̆) Minor consonantic changes.

\section*{§ 7-12. Vocalic Processes}

\section*{§\%. Diphthongization of \(\overline{\mathrm{i}}\) and \(\overline{\mathrm{u}}\)}

This is by far the most important phonetic change, owing to the fact that it gives rise to a double form of stems that contain these vowels, and because it is employed in certain granmatical processes (see § \(\$ 111,112)\). The principle may be described as follows: For the purpose of expressing (in nouns) the discriminative case and (in verbs) intensity or duration of action, long \(\bar{\imath}\) and \(\bar{u}\) are changed into ya and wa respectively.

Examples of diphthongization of \(\bar{\imath}\) :
kĩna'yūn be brings him 23.2
hittsi'xam it is put on 11.8
\(\bar{i} t q a^{i \prime}\) he digs 84.2
cite- to flop
\(y a^{\prime} q^{u} h \bar{t} t u \bar{u} x=\) thou shalt see 36.25
utenx \(k\) ! \(\hat{y}^{\prime} n l i \bar{t} t\) they went to look for 60.5
\(Q a^{\prime} a^{i} t c i=x\) along the North Fork 32.19

Examples of diphthongization of \(\bar{u}\) :
\(q \bar{u}^{\prime} n \bar{u}^{\prime} x a m \bar{m} m e\) it was poured into his . . . 29.2
\(x!x \bar{u}^{\prime} x \bar{u}^{u} n\) he knows it 40.16
\(h^{i} y a^{\prime} n y u \bar{t} \tan x\) I'll take thee along 58.6
liyats \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} t s \bar{u} n\) he is putting it on 11.8
\(a^{\prime} n t s u x\) ya'tqa \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) those two (who) are digging (a hole) 84.5
\(c^{i}\) yatx it flops around 36.23
yoqu'ya'wax he intended to see 70.8
k! inkk'ya'wax(I) intend to go and look for 60.5
qui \({ }^{u^{\prime}} x u\) unyax along the sky 32.19
\(q w a^{\prime \prime} n y \bar{u} x\) pour it into his . . . 29.2
kumî'ntc \(c^{w} a x E_{E}{ }^{\prime} q \quad L!x^{u^{\prime}} w a x^{u}\) not they two anything knew it 54.16
takūkiun he takes it
\(t \bar{u} t c a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) he spears it 64.12
\({ }^{u}{ }^{u} a^{u} x\) tkēma'yūn they two made a dam 48.8
\(\bar{u}^{\prime} 7 t \bar{\imath}\) snow 76.10
\(p_{\text {E }} k \bar{u}^{\prime} y a x \bar{a}_{L}!a^{i \prime}\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) people make shinny-sticks 78.9

Zakwa'k \(\bar{u}^{u_{n}}{ }_{n}\) he took it 64.10
\(t^{0}\) watcî'tcūna \({ }^{u} x\) they two are spearing it \(56.15,16\)
ulns thusa'mīsūn we two (incl.) will keep on making dams 48.14
walt it snows
a'ntsux pākwa'wair those two (who) are about to play . . . shinny \(78.10,11\)

Owing to the interchange hetween \(\bar{\imath}\) and \(a^{i}\) and \(\bar{u}\) and \(a^{\bar{u}}\) (see \(\S 2\) ), these diphthongs are subject to the same amplification.
\(h \bar{u}^{\prime} q!a^{i} t\) he started \(22.6 \quad h \bar{i} q!y a^{\prime}\left(a^{u}\right.\) it will be started 32.1
meq! \(a^{i t}\) tx they dance i2.13 meq.'ya'wax (I) intend to dance 72.12
\(q \bar{a}^{\prime} t k \hat{i} n t_{E} a^{i} q a^{\prime} q a^{\bar{u}} t s\) (from) here he left me 60.4
\(k a^{\bar{u}} s \hat{i}^{\prime} s\) he keeps on following 92.7
tai'k \({ }^{E} n s\) aya'qyūn here we two (incl.) will leave it 56.16, 17
\(k^{i}{ }^{i}\) oas \({ }^{i} y \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} t s a n a^{\overline{1}}\) you will follow me 92.3

The change of \(\bar{\imath}\) into \(y a\) often takes place in the third person singular, which ends in \(-\bar{\imath}\) (see p. 46S).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Lūwat'în I com & Lī'vat.'̄ 68.5, (Lū̀rnat'ya) he came frequently \\
\hline cî'nxyat! ̂̂n I am thinking & (cî'nixyat'ī), ci'n'nixyat'ya 17.6 he is thinking \\
\hline ha'kwat.în I fall frequently & (ha'kwat.'̄̄), ha'kwat'ya 90.12 it falls continually \\
\hline \(x \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot x \hat{c}\) in I work &  working \\
\hline \(p_{\text {Eliz't }}\) cinn I (am) ahead & petì'tcya he was first 48.11 \\
\hline \(y a^{\prime} q^{u} \hat{\chi} \hat{n}\) I look & \(y a^{\prime} q^{u^{*}}\) y \(a^{\prime}\) he looked 70.16 \\
\hline sî'nxîn I want & sî'n \(n^{\text {ixy }}\) y \({ }^{\text {a }}\) he desires \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 8. Consonamtiantion of i- oud u-}

The \(i\) - and \(u\) - elements of the diphthongs are changed into the semivocalic consonants \(y\) and \(w\) whenever they are followed by vowels of different qualities. This law affects also the simple short or long \(i\) and \(u\) - vowels.

Consonantization of \(i\)-:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n t c a a^{i}} \text { (he goes over) }+-a^{u} x \quad \text { pittca'yaux they two go over } 88.15 \\
& \overline{l z}^{\prime} t!a^{i} \text { (food) + -a yā'xatccisstEnx līt!'aya' for food } \\
& \text { you will always try to look } 13.7 \\
& k \cdot \bar{x}^{i}(\text { not })+-a^{u} x \\
& q n \bar{u} h \bar{u}^{i} \text { - (he finds) }+-a^{i} \\
& t_{\text {Exm }} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} \text { (male) }+-a \\
& \text {. } 1 \hat{l} l: x c \bar{c}-\left(\text { to work) }+a^{i}\right. \\
& t . \bar{\imath} \text { (bear) }+-\bar{u} n \hat{\imath} \\
& s \hat{s}^{\prime} u x \bar{u}-\text { (to desire) }+-\bar{u} n \\
& \text { pitca'yaux they two go over } 88.15 \\
& \text { yā'xatc'īstenx līt.'aya' for food } \\
& \text { you will always try to look } 13.7 \\
& k \bar{u}^{\prime} y a^{u} x \text { not they two . . . } 98.11 \\
& q u \bar{u} h \bar{u} \prime y \bar{u} n \text { (they) found it } 60.7 \\
& \text { ra'kukyax texm } \bar{u}^{\prime} n y a \text { she took a } \\
& \text { mortal man } 60.23 \\
& \text { mil } \cdot x c y a^{i^{\prime}} \text { (they two) worked } 48.10 \\
& t!\bar{\imath} y \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} \text { made of bear (hides) } 70.24 \\
& \text { sín }^{\prime} n^{i} y_{y} \bar{u}^{\prime} \text { I want it } 15.8
\end{aligned}
\]

Consonantization of \(u\)-:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Līy \(a^{\prime} a^{u}\) (fire) \(+-a+-t c \quad h a^{i}\) qmas L̄̄ya'watc alongside of the \\
& fire 25.4,5
\end{tabular}
\(w \bar{\imath} \bar{u}-\) - (to affirm) + - axam
\(x a^{\prime} \bar{u}\) (he died) \(+-\bar{\imath} \bar{z}\)
\(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s!\bar{a}\) ( \(\mathrm{t} w \mathrm{o}\) ) \(+-a^{u^{n}}\),
hai ginas Līya'matc alongside of the fire \(2 \check{0} .4,5\)
witwa'xam he was assured 30.11
kumî'nte xa'wät not he dies 15.8
\(x \bar{a} ' t s!^{\prime} w a^{u} x\) two of them 40.18
A peculiar case of consonantization seems to have taken place in the objective case tci'wa 32.20 , formed from the noun \(t c \bar{\imath}\) TATER 36.20 .

\section*{§ 9. Contraction}

Contraction of two or three rowels following in immediate succession does not seem to be of regular occurrence, and there are no fixed rules governing this process. The following usages may, however, be stated to prevail:
(1) Short or long \(i\) or \(u\) following a vowel of different quality form diphthongs.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& a^{i}<a+i \quad u^{i}<u+i \\
& a^{u}<a+u
\end{aligned}
\]

The combination \(i+\prime\), however, does not form a diphthong (see § 10).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime} \text { - (to assemble) }+ \text { - itc } t_{E m} \bar{u}^{i} t c \text { x̂̀nt (they) assembled } \\
& \text { 30.15, } 16 \\
& q^{\prime} a^{\prime} n t c y a \text { (from where) }+ \text { - } \bar{t} t c \\
& \text { qatc } \bar{u}-\text { (to drink) }+-\bar{\imath} t x a^{\tilde{u}} n \\
& q \text { antcy } a^{i} t c \text { from where } \\
& \text { qatcu } \bar{u}^{i} t x a^{\bar{u}} n \text { (they) drink (from) it } \\
& 76.12
\end{aligned}
\]
(2) A short rowel preceding another short rowel or a diphthong is contracted with the following vowel into a short or long vowel or into a diphthong.

(3) The obscure vowel \(E\) is contracted with all vowels preceding it into a vowel of a clear quality.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
h a \bar{u}-(\text { to quit) }+-E m & h a^{\prime} \bar{u} m \text { quit! } \\
n \grave{a}(\mathrm{I})+-E m t & n a m^{E} \text { of me } 20.6 \\
s^{E} a^{i^{\prime}} n a(\mathrm{him})+-\mathrm{E}^{2} \mathrm{~m} & s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m t \text { of him }
\end{array}
\]

An exception is
wa- (to speak) + -Em wa'am speak!
(4) Two long vowels of similar qualities immediately following each other are contracted into one long rowel.
\(p_{E} k u-(\) to play shinny \()+-\bar{u} s \quad p_{E} k \bar{u}^{\prime} u_{s}\) (locative case) \(\tau 8.18\)
A peculiar case of contraction has apparently taken place in the genitive case \(l_{q}!\bar{a} n \bar{u}^{i}{ }^{\prime} m \underline{Z}\) or hides 102.1 , composed of \(\bar{z}_{q}!\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) hides, and - emt, the genitive case-ending (see § 87).

Another process of contraction takes place whenever a personal pronoun (see § 24) is added to the suffix -yaxs, which expresses the past durative tense (see p. 526). In such cases the suffix -yaxs is invariably contracted into -ixs. Attention may be called to the fact that in this case we are dealing with a process that is of a character opposite to the diphthongization of \(-\bar{\imath}\), which has been discussed in \(\S 7\).
\(a^{u} s\) - to sleep 24.1
qatcū- to drink 76.13
\(p_{\text {E }} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to play shinny 9.4
tīt! ! to eat 13.10
\(a^{u^{\prime}} \operatorname{six} x \sin 1\) have been sleeping, instead of \(a^{u}\) syaxsîn
\(q a^{\prime} t c w a a^{i}, x \sin\) I have been drinking, instead of qa'tciuyaxsin
\(p a^{\prime} k u u^{i} x \operatorname{san} x\) you have been playing shinny, instead of pa'kuya, \(\operatorname{sanx}\)
tī't! \(\bar{i} x s\) he has been eating, instead of \(\bar{z}^{\prime} t \cdot\) 'yaxs

\section*{§ 10. Vocalic Hiatus}

In cases where contraction has not taken place, two rowels occurring in immediate succession are separated by means of an inserted \(h\) or by means of the accent. No definite rules could be found that would show under what circumstances either of these processes may be employed. Separation of two vowels by means of an inserted \(h\) occurs more regularly than separation by means of accent.
\(h \bar{n}^{\prime} q^{\prime} \cdot a\) (dentalia shells) \(+-a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath} \quad h \bar{q} q!a h a^{\bar{u}^{\prime}} n \hat{\imath}\) consisting of dentalia shells 70.6
\(L_{x a \bar{u}^{\prime}}(\) pole \()+-\bar{i} n E\)
\(m E k i z^{\prime}(\) mother-in-law) \(+-\bar{t} t \hat{i n}\)
\(t t^{-} \bar{z}^{\prime} a^{i}\) (salmon) \(+-a n x\)
\(L \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) (he came) \(+-\bar{u} n\)

Lxa \(a^{u^{\prime} h} \hbar \bar{z} n e\) with a spear (in his hand) 64.11
mekiz̄̀hètin my mother-in-law \(l t^{\prime} \bar{\imath} a^{i^{\prime}}\) anx xaya \(a^{i \prime}\) salmon they catch 82.13. 14

Lī̄u'un he arrived 16.3

\section*{§ 11. Vocalic Harmony}

The tendency towards rocalic euphony is so inconsistent in Siuslaw, that one is almost tempted to deny the presence of such a process. The two examples I have been able to find are extremely unsatisfactory and do not permit the formulation of any clearly defined rules.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.h a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t \text { (all) }\right)+-E m t & h a^{i} m \bar{u} t \bar{u}^{\prime} m t \text { of all } \\
q a^{\prime} x \hat{u} n \text { high up, above } 34.21 & \text { qa } a^{u^{\prime}} x \hat{u} \text { on top } 32.19
\end{array}
\]

\section*{§ 12. Effects of Accent}

Besides the frequent tendency to lengthen the vowel of the syllable on which it falls, or to lend to it a clear quality, the loss of accent shortens or obscures the quantity of the stem-rowel as soon as it is shifted to one of the suffixed syllables. This law appears with such regular frequency as to make it a characteristic trait of Siuslaw phonology.

While examples covering the whole vocalic system could not be obtained, the following rules seem to prevail:
(1) The \(a\) - \(i\)-, and \(u\) - vowels of the stem, when they lose their accent, are changed into open \(i\) (written here \(\hat{\imath}\) ) or obscure vowels whenever they precede or follow non-labialized consonants.
(2) These vowels are changed--for the sake of barmonization-into short \(u\) whenerer they appear hefore or after labialized consonants or \(w\).
(3) The unaccented diphthongs lose the second element, especially in cases where the stem-vowel is followed by the accented verbalizing suffixes \(-a^{i}\) and \(-\bar{u}^{i}\) (see § 75).

Examples showing the change of \(a\)-, \(i\)-, and \(u\) - vowels before or after non-labialized consonants:
\(m \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) dam 48.10
ts!aln pitch 26.6
ma \(a^{a} t c\) it lay 32.22
yax- to see 34.4
tcinn (they) came back 7.7
tsīu. 'ĩ' arrow 50.11
\(s \bar{\imath}^{1} x a^{i}\) boat 56.5
smūt' - to end 20.5
\(h \bar{u}^{u_{n}}\) - to be dark 34.S, 9
\(s \bar{u} n\) - to dive 64.21
\(m \hat{t} t \hat{\imath}^{\prime} y \bar{u}^{\prime} u\) the art of making dams 48.11
metī'tex \(c^{u} x\) they two always made dams 50.12, 13
ts.'̂̂tna'te with pitch 24.1
\(m \hat{\imath} t c \bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime v i}\) many were lying 36.27
metcu'wanx they intended to lie down 38.23
ŷิ.c'a'yūn he saw it 5 ह. 13
tcente'tc xint he went back 58.15, 16
tsîL.' \(a^{i \prime}\) he shot 50.20
tsîL. 'î'tc by means of an arrow 15.8
sExaci" \({ }^{\bar{\prime}}\) c into (a) boat \(34 . \overline{5}\)
\(s m \hat{t} t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) it ends 14.6
\(h w i n \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) it is dark
\(\sin \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) he dives

Change of \(a\)-, \(i\) - (and \(u\)-) vowels before or after labialized consonants or \(w\) :
\(m \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{u_{L}}\) crow 34.23
\(y a^{\prime} w \bar{s} \bar{s} \bar{n}\) (you) will pick 36.18 âlqwa'a \(t_{E} m\) trunk of a tree 92.5, 6
\(m^{\prime} \bar{k}^{\prime} t \bar{u} x\) he will cut
Treatment of diphthongs:
\(x a^{i} t c\) - to roast (meat) 90.8
\(p^{a} a^{i} L n\) - to hunt 15.3
\(a^{u_{s}}\) - to sleep 23.9
tc! \(h a^{u} c\) - to be glad 23.3
\(q \bar{u}^{i} t^{\prime}\) - to dream 68.21
\(m^{u} q w a a^{\prime}\) LEm of crow 34.21
yuwa \({ }^{i^{\prime}}\) he digs 96.18
îtqūtmí' \(a^{u}, s\) qaa \(a^{i}\) into the stem they two went 92.6
\(m^{u} k w a^{i \prime}\) he cuts
xatcci \({ }^{i^{\prime}}\) he roasts (meat)
utence palnít.x they are hunting \(82.16,17\)
as \(\bar{u}^{i}\) he sleeps 70.2
tc! 'hacu \({ }^{i \prime}\) he is glad
\(q \bar{u} t^{\prime} a^{i^{i}}\) he dreams

Shortening of the stem-vowel frequently takes place after the suffixation of an additional syllable, regardless of whether the accent had been shifted or not.
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) much, many 8.5
t'āmc infant 40.19
tcîmtca'm \(\hat{\imath}\) ax 27.10
\(y a^{\prime} x t \bar{u} x\) (ye two) will multiply 32.6
 tinually multiply 98.12
\(t\). \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} m c t!^{i} t \bar{u} x\) (they) will raise children 32.3
tcîmtcî'mya (locative case) 29.1

In a few instances accent and suffixation have caused the loss or addition of a vowel, and hence that of an extra syllable.


\section*{§§ 13-17. Consonantic Processes}

\section*{§ 13. Consonantic Metathesis}

This change affects mostly the subjective suffix for the third person dual \(-a^{u} x\) (see § 24 ), and (very seldom) the consonantic combination \(n+s\) or \(n+t s\).

In the first instance \(-a^{u} x\) is transposed into \(-w_{a x}\) (contracted sometimes into \(-u x\) ) or whenever it is added to stems or words that precede the verbal expression (see § 26). This transposition never takes place when the pronow is suffixed to the verb.
\(t s i ̂ m\) (always) \(+-a^{u} x\)
\[
p_{E n} \hat{i}^{\prime} s(\text { skumk })+-a^{u} x
\]
ants (that one) \(+-\theta^{u} x\)
\(s^{E} a t s \bar{z}^{i} t c\) (thus) \(+-a^{u} x\)
\({ }^{u}\) (and, then) \(+-a^{u} x_{x}\)
\(a n^{\prime} t s i ̂ t c c(t h i s ~ h i s)+-a^{u} x\)
\(t s \hat{i}^{\prime} m^{w_{u x}}\) always they two . . . 50.10
ants perit'swax those two skunks 88.6, 7
a'ntsux those two 52.3, у
\(s^{E} a t s i^{\prime} t c^{w} a x\) thus they two 50.15, 16
\(u^{\prime} z^{w} a x\) and they two
\(a^{\prime} n t s i t c x x^{u}\) these their two 50.4

This transposition is seldom absent; and parallel forms, like \(a^{\prime} n t s a^{u} x^{x}\) and \(a^{\prime} n t s u x 50.12\), stī'ma \(a^{u} 50.21\), and \(s t i^{\prime} m^{w} a x 52.20\), are extremely rare. As a matter of fact, the tendency towards the metathesis of \(-a^{u} x\) is so great that it takes place even in cases where \(-a^{u} x\) is suffixed to stems ending in a vowel.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(q w o a^{\prime} t x a^{i}\) (beaver) \(+-a^{u} x\) & \(q w o a^{\prime} t x a^{i} w a x\) they two (he and) \\
beaver \(\tilde{5} 2.4\) \\
\(t s \hat{\imath} m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot \ddot{a}\) (muskrat) \(+-a^{u} x\) & \(t \operatorname{tsim} \hat{l} \cdot a^{\prime} w a x\) they two (he and) \\
& muskrat \(\tilde{5} 4.19\)
\end{tabular}

The transposition of \(n+s\) and \(t s\) actually occurs in a few instances only, although I have no doubt that under more favorable conditions a greater number of cases could have been collected (see also p. 599).
 he comes ashore \(82.5 \quad\) (this way) 62.21, 22
. . ants tkwa'myax when it tsa'ntĉ if you . . . 74.8 closed up 78.3
\[
k^{k} \bar{u}^{i} \text { nàts if not . . . } 29.7
\]

\section*{§ 14. Consonantic Euphony}

This law requires that the consonants of the \(k\)-series should correspond to the quality of the vowel preceding or following it. Hence all velar and palatal \(k\)-sounds following a \(u\)-vowel become labialized. Owing to the fact that Siuslaw does not possess anterior palatal sounds, harmonization of consonants does not take place after or before \(i\)-vowels.
th!anu'k \(k^{u}\) screech owl \(86.1 \quad\) thwa'nuq \({ }^{u}\) hat
\(t c u^{\prime} x^{u}{ }^{u}\) vulva \(90.16 \quad t^{\prime} a^{\prime} n t \bar{u} q!n \bar{\imath}\) moccasins
\(q q^{\sigma^{\prime}} x^{u} m\) off shore 34.6
cuqwa'an roast 90.12
\(q \bar{o}^{\prime} q^{u}\) knee
\(t s!^{\prime} x w \bar{\imath}\) spoon
\(k:!u^{x} u^{\hat{1}} n a^{i \prime}\) ice appears 76.13
cu'kwa sugar \({ }^{1}\)

\section*{§ 15. Simplification of Double Comsonants}

Double consonants, when not kept apart by means of an inserted weak vowel (see \(\S 4\) ), are usually simplified. This process espeeially takes place between two \(t\) and \(n\) sounds, in which case the repeated consonant is dropped. This phonetic law is of great importance; and it should always be borne in mind, because it affects the subjective suffix for the first person singular \(-n\), when following the transitive form in \(-\bar{u} n\). In such cases the subjective pronoun is invariably dropped; and since the third person singular has no distinct suffix, it becomes at times rather difficult to comprehend by which of these two persons a given action is performed ( \(\$ \S 24,28\) ).
hatca't (tall, long) \(+-t^{\prime} \bar{u}\)
\(y \hat{z} l i t\) (big) \(+-t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{w i}\)
wàn (now) +-nxan
\(s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) (he wants it) \(+-n\)
anxa', "a \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) (he gives it up) \(+-n\)
\(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t t c \hat{\imath} s t\) (he begins to burn) + tx
\(y \bar{a} k \cdot{ }^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c\) (in pieces) + -yax +
- ( \(a \cdots\)

Compare, on the other hand,-
Zikwa'yün (he takes it) \(+-n x\)
L! wā̀n̄̄sūn (he keeps on telling him) \(+-n x\)
\(h a^{\prime} t c t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} u\) a long (time) 48.2
yîkt \(t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) large size
wa'nxan now we (excl.) 30.13
sí' \(n^{i} x y \bar{u} n \mathrm{I}\) want it 30.4
\(a_{n x a^{\prime} x a^{\bar{u}}}^{n}\) I give him up 60.11
mêttcîstx Laa' his mouth begins to burn 29.3
\(y \bar{a} k!\bar{\imath} t c y a^{\prime} x a m\) into pieces it was cut 29.4
\({ }^{7 i}{ }^{2} w a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a n x\) you get it 48.18 L!'wä́nīsūnanx you keep on telling him 17.2

\section*{§ 16. Modifications of t and k}

Siuslaw seems to have a tendency to avoid as much as possible the clusters th and lin. Since the phonetic character of certain suffixes causes \(t\) and \(n\) to come into contact frequently, there are many cases of sound shiftings due to the influence of \(n\) upon the preceding \(t\). Combinations of this kind are the passive suffixes - \(\bar{u} t n e\) and \(-\bar{\imath} s \bar{u} t n_{E}\) (see \(\S \$ 58,59\) ). In these cases the \(t\) closure is not formed, but replaced by a free emission of breath, thereby changing these suffixes into \(-\bar{u} n_{E}\) and \(-\bar{i} s \bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) respectively. It is not inconceivable that this process may have a dialectic significance, differentiating the Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw dialects, because it was noticed that William Smith (who spoke the latter dialect) never used the forms -utne and -īsūtne; while his wife \({ }^{1}\) (a Lower Umpqua Indian) invariably hesitated to acknowledge the correctness of the use of \(-\bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) and \(-\bar{i} s \bar{u}^{\prime} n s\). But as I had no other means of verifying this possibility, I thought it advisable to discuss this change as a consonantic process. The dialectic function of the process under discussion may be borne out further by the fact that in a good many instances these two suffixes occur in parallel forms.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
wa \(a^{i \prime}\) he says 8.9 & waa'yūtne 20.6 & wa \(a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n E\) he is told \\
& & 72.3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llc} 
hatc' - to ask 66.16 & hatc' \(a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t n E 68.3\) & hatc'ay \(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime} n E\) he is \\
& & asked 66.23
\end{tabular}
k!ahua he invites tunx k!'aha'yūtue this one you are invited 24.3
\(t \bar{u}^{\prime} t c a^{i \prime}\) he spear's \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} t c a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t n E\) it is speared 8.7 62.2
\(h a k w a^{i}\) he drops hakwa'yūne it is thrown 8.7
tqūtūu he shouts
\(\operatorname{tq} \bar{u} \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) he is shouted at 78.3 92.6
hatî'tx they shout thatē's \(\bar{u}{ }^{\prime} n E\) he is continually shouted at 13.11
cill \(\cdot x\) - to move 27.3
\(h^{i}\) yats- to put on 14.2
cरे' 7 :Msutne he is continually shaken 27.2 11.8

The verbal suffix - \(t\) expressing periphrastically the idea to have, то be with something (see \& 76 ), is very often dropped when followed by the subjective pronouns that begin with \(n\) (see § 24 ; see also \(\$ 85\) ).
atsītcītîn ha \(a^{2}\) thus I think \(s^{E} a t \sin ^{i} t c i ̄ n\) ha thus I think 21.7
 36.13

L! 'a'ìtanxan our residence mi'melincun our . . . 102.5 100.3
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hīisincan hūtsíi good (was) our } \\
& \text { house } 100.13
\end{aligned}
\]

The same tendency of dropping a consonant prevails in clusters consisting of \(k+n\).
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\operatorname{ta}^{a} k\) (this here) \(+-n x\) & \(\operatorname{tanx}\) this one thou 20.6 \\
\(\operatorname{ta}^{a} k\) (this here) \(+-n x a n\) & \(\operatorname{ta}^{\prime} n x a n\) these ones we . . 25.3
\end{tabular}

The dropping of \(k\) in these instances may also be explained as having resulted from the abbreviation of \(t a^{a} k\) into \(t E\) (see § 115); the more so, as an analogous case is furnished by the local adverb
stimk there, which usually loses its \(k\) before all following sub jective suffixes (see § 119).
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { stīmk (there) } 30.18+-n x & s^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime} m^{E} n x \text { there they . . . } 32.3 \\
\text { stīmlits (there you two) } 32.12 & \text { stīmts there you two . . . } 32.6 \\
& \text { stī'mtĉ there you (pl.) } 32.8
\end{array}
\]

\section*{§ 1\%. Minor Consonantic Changes}

In this section those changes affecting the consonants will be discussed, for which not enough examples could be found to permit the formulation of clearly defined rules.

Here belongs in first place the apparent change of a sonant into a fortis in initial reduplication, a process exemplified by only three cases.
\(L^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) - to come \(9.2 \quad L!\bar{i} L{ }^{\prime} W^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} s \bar{u} \operatorname{tn} E\) he is continually approached 26.2
L!inc!wa'xam he is approached 16.3
\(t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3
t.'emt.'ma'xam people assemble about him (passive) 23.3

Another sporadic change is that of \(q\) and \(q\) ! into \(k\) before the suffix of place \(-a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) (see § 103).
yaq \(u^{\dot{\varepsilon}}\) - to look \(9.1 \quad y \hat{\imath} h^{\prime} y a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) a place from where one can see, a vantage point
\(m u^{\prime} q \cdot \hat{i}\) - to dance \(28.7 \quad\) mekya \(a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) a dance hall
Compare, however, on the other hand,
yaqui \(y a^{\prime}\) waxan I intend to look \(25.8,9\)
\(m \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime} n q!\) yem buy a woman!
A third doubtful process consists in the change which the modal adverb \(k \bar{u}^{i}\) ayal \(x\) almost, nearly (see § 121), undergoes whenever used with the subjective pronouns for the second person singular or third person plural (see \(\S 24\) ). In such cases the form obtained is always \(k w^{-1} n^{E x}\) yal \(x\) thou almost, they almost, which may be explained as a result of a simplification from \(k \cdot \bar{u}^{i}+-n x+x y a l \cdot x\) (see § 15).
\(k \bar{u}^{i} x y a l \cdot x \operatorname{sm} \bar{u}^{\prime} t^{\prime} a\) it almost is \(k w \tau^{\prime} n^{E x}\) yal \(\cdot x k \bar{u}^{\prime} n a^{\prime w} \bar{u} n\) you almost the end 10.9, 11.1 beat him
kwince yal:x Lín wil \(^{\prime}\) they had almost arrived 66.25

\section*{§18. GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES}

All grammatical categories and syntactic relations are expressed in Siuslaw by one of the following four processes:
(1) Prefixation.
(2) Suffixation.
(3) Reduplication.
(4) Phonetic changes.

Prefixation as a means of expressing grammatical categories is resorted to in only two instances. Almost all grammatical ideas are expressed by means of suffixes. A singular trait of the suffixes in Siuslaw is presented by the fact that the adverbial suffixes are added to the locative form of the noun and must precede the promominal suffixes. Reduplication is practically confined to the formation of intensive and durative actions; while phonctic changes are employed for the purpose of forming the discriminative case and of expressing duration and intensity of action.

\section*{§ 19. IDEAS EXPRESSED BY GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES}

By far the majority of stems that constitute the Siuslaw vocabulary are neutral, receiving their respective nominal or verbal significance from the functional character of the suffix that is added to them. All stems expressing our adjectival ideas are in reality intransitive verbs.

Of the tro prefixes employed as a means of expressing grammatical categories, one indicates relationship, while the other points out the performer of an action.

The suffixes are overwhelmingly verbal in character; that is to say, they indicate ideas of action and kindred conceptions. Hence they are employed for the purpose of expressing activity, causation, reciprocity, the passive voice, the imperative and exhortative modes, etc. The pronouns denoting both subject and object of an action are indicated by suffixes, as are also the possessive relations that may exist between the object of a sentence and its sulject. All temporal ideas are conreyed by means of suffixes, and Siuslaw shows a remarkable development of this category, having distinct suffixes that express inception, termination, frequency, duration, intention of performing an action, as well as the present, future, and past tenses. Other ideas that are expressed by means of rerbal suffixes are mainly
modal in character, indicating distribution, negation, location of action, and the attempt to perform a given act.

Nominal suffixes are, comparatively speaking, few in number, and express chiefly adverbial ideas, such as local relationships and instrumentality. They are used, furthermore, for the purpose of forming abstract concepts, diminutive and augmentative nouns, and also express cases of nouns.

Ideas of plurality are hardly developed; for, with the exception of tro suffixes that express plurality of the subject of the sentence, Siuslaw has no other grammatical means of indicating plurality of action or of nominal concepts. Distinct verbal and nominal stems for singular and plural subjects or objects, such as are employed in other languages, do not exist. Plurality of subject and object is sometimes indicated by particles.

Reduplication expresses primarily repetition and duration of action; while phonetic changes serve the purpose of denoting the performer and intensity of action.

The grammatical function of particles covers a wide range of ideas, pertaining chiefly to the verb. Some express finality of action, sources of knowledge, emotional states, connection with previously expressed ideas, others have an exhortative and restrictive significance.

In the pronoun, three persons, and a singular, dual, and plural, are distinguished. Grammatical gender does not exist. The first person dual has tivo distinct forms, - one indicating the inclusive (i and thou), and the other the exclusive ( and he). In like manner the first person plural shows two separate forms,-one expressing the inclusive ( I and ye), and the other the exclusive ( I and they).

The demonstrative pronoun, while showing a variety of forms, does not accentuate visibility or invisibility, presence or absence, and nearness or remoteness, in relation to the three pronominal persons.

The numeral is poorly developed, exhibiting forms for the cardinals only. Means of forming the other numerals do not exist. They are expressed mostly by the cardinals. The ordinals are sometimes indicated by means of an adverbial suffix.

The syntactic structure of the sentence presents no complications. The different parts of speech may shift their position freely without affecting the meaning of the sentence. Nominal incorporation and
words that are compounds of independent stems do not exist, and words denoting nominal or verbal ideas can be easily recognized through the character of their suffixes.

\section*{MORPHOLOGY (§ 20-136)}

\section*{Prefixes (§ 20-21)}

Siuslaw has only two prefixes,--a fact that stands out most conspicuously when we consider the large number of prefixes that are found in some of the languages spoken by the neighboring tribes. Of these two prefixes, one is employed for the purpose of denoting nouns of relationship, while the other forms the discriminative case of nouns and pronouns.

\section*{§ 20. Prefix of Relationship m-}

This prefix is found in a limited number of terms of relationship. All these terms occur also in Alsea, \({ }^{1}\) and it is quite conceivable that they represent loan-words assimilated by means of this prefix. \(\mathrm{By}_{\mathrm{y}}\) far the majority of nouns expressing degrees of relationship occur without the prefix \(m\)-. Owing to the fact that Siuslaw does not permit an \(m\) to appear in initial consonantic clusters, the prefix is often changed into \(m \hat{\imath}\) - (see § 4).
The following is a complete list of all terms employed in Siuslaw for the purpose of denoting the different degrees of relationship.

English
Father
Elder brother Younger brother
Elder sister
Younger sister
Grandfather
Grandmother
Grandson
Granddaughter
Paternal uncle, stepfather
Maternal uncle
Paternal and maternal aunt

\section*{Siuslaw}
\[
m \hat{t} t \grave{c}^{2}
\]
mêtà \({ }^{3}\)
\(m a \bar{a} t \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime 4}\)
\(m^{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} s h^{h^{5}}\)
\(m\) îsí \(a^{i^{\text {B }}}\)
mîctcīi
LîpL, Lîpl'mä (see § \(8 t\) )
kamı, kamb'mä (see \(\& 5 t\) )
Ł̄̄mù'skî̀n (see § sis)
ttekō'n
mât.' \(a^{\prime} s k i \hat{\imath} n\) (see § 83)
\(t \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\text {sith}} \hat{t} \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\top}\)
bū'te
A. Alsea \(h \bar{c}^{s t} .^{\prime}\).
\({ }^{6}\) Alsea \(m \bar{u}^{\prime} t s i k^{\prime}\).
\({ }^{6}\) Alsea \(\varepsilon^{8}\) a.
\({ }^{7}\) Alsea \(t \cdot a^{\prime} a t s a\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Parent-in-law & \(m E k i i^{\prime}{ }^{1}\) \\
\hline Son-in-law & \(m \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} n(\bar{\imath})^{2}\) \\
\hline Daughter-in-law & te'mran (.) \\
\hline Brother-in-law, sister-in-law & \(t^{\prime} \mathrm{m}^{\prime}\) maxt \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Stepmother & mitaskîit'l män (sen §§ 83, 84) \\
\hline Stepbrother & \(m \bar{u}^{u} s \hbar u^{\prime} l \cdot m \ddot{a}\) (see § 84) \\
\hline Stepsister & (?) \\
\hline Nephew (son of brother) & lip \\
\hline Nephew (son of sister); stepson & \(t \cdot \bar{a} t^{4}\) \\
\hline Niece (daughter of brother) & lî'pxan (? \({ }^{5}\) \\
\hline Niece (danghter of sister); stepdaughter (?) & tint \({ }^{6}\) \\
\hline Term of relationship, by marriage, after the death of the person that caused this kinship & \(\operatorname{vay}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s L^{7}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In addition to these terms of kinship, I have obtained a few other stems, whose exact rendering did not seem to be rery clear in the minds of my informants. Thus, William Smith maintained that q.' \(a^{i} s^{\prime} \hat{i}^{\prime} n t i^{8}\) denoted elder sister; while Louisa Smith thought she remembered that taq. \(\bar{\imath}\) 'ur \(\hat{\imath}\) signified brother-in-Law. Other terms that may belong here are the nouns tema'm (rendered by my interpreter by cousis), that seemed to be used in addressing a non-related member of the tribe: ts' \(\hat{l} \cdot \cdot m \bar{u}^{\prime} t\) friend, referring to a person outside the consanguinity and affinity group; tsí'mqma people, folks; and \(t e^{\prime} q\) relative (see § 123).

\section*{§ 21. Discriminative q-(qa-)}

This prefix is added to all terms of relationship and to all independent pronouns for the first and second persons, whenever they are the subject of a transitive action or whenerer the presence of both a nominal subject and object in one and the same sentence necessitates the discrimination of the subject. The discriminative case of nouns

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Alsea mak \(\cdot l\).
\({ }^{2}\) Alsea \(m u \bar{n}\).
\({ }^{3}\) Alsea temxt sister-in-law.
"Likewise so by Dorsey for "nephew." The use of this term for "stepson" contradicts the term for "stepfather."
\({ }^{5}\) Frequently rendered cousin.
\({ }^{6}\) The same contradiction as mentioned in note 4.
\({ }^{7} \operatorname{Coos} x a^{\prime} y u s L u ̈ t c\).
- Alsea qa'sint.
}
other than terms of relationship is formed by means of an internal phonetic change (see § 111). The same case for the independent personal pronouns for the third person will be found discussed in § 113 (pp. 575 et seq.). The rules of consonantic clusters change this prefix frequently into \(q a\) - (see § t).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline mîtà father \({ }^{\text {ont }} \mathrm{L} 22\) & qamîta'tc wî̀ltcîstūn her father sent her 92.20 \\
\hline \(m^{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} s k^{u}\) younger brother 56.6 & ut wàn waha'hau \(n\) qa'mskite now again (said to him) his younger brother 56.20, 21 \\
\hline mutà mother 54.23 & \(a^{\prime} Z^{a} q q^{\bar{u}} \bar{u} t c \hat{i}^{\prime} l \cdot m a ̈ a t a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n ~ q a m \hat{u} a^{\prime}-\) \(a^{i} t \hat{i} n\) one old woman kept (in her house) my mother 100.12 \\
\hline \(n \grave{a}\) I 21.8 & tsík!yanx qnà sîn'ixyūts very much thee I like 22.7 \\
\hline \(n a^{\prime} h a n ~ I 40.14\) & L! \(\mathrm{xu}^{\prime} y \overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{n}\) q qua'han I know it 19.9 \\
\hline \(n \bar{x} x^{\text {a }}\) ts thou 50.16 & \(h \bar{i}^{i}\) 'sanx mā'nāsūts qnī' \(x^{a} t\) s well thou shalt always take care of me \(22.2,3\) \\
\hline & ulnx \(q^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} x^{a}\) ts \(x n \bar{e}^{-\prime} w_{n i s} \bar{u} n\) and you will continually do it 98.10 \\
\hline \(n a^{u^{\prime}} x\) ¢̂n we two (excl.) 36.15 & qna'xûn Lelū'yūts we two (excl.) hit thee \\
\hline na'nxan we (excl.) & qna'nxan ya'qu\({ }^{u} \uparrow \bar{s} \bar{u} t s\) we (excl.) will watch thee 72.6 \\
\hline wate who, somebody 10.1 & qwate \(L!x \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{n}\) he who knows it 44.8 \\
\hline &  us (excl.) anybody will ever beat 72.17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Suffixes (§§ 22-105)

\section*{§22. General Remurles}

Besides the few ideas that are conveyed by means of other grammatical processes (such as prefixation, reduplication, etc.), Sinslaw employs suffixation as means of forming practically all of its morphological and syntactic categories. These suffixes are either simple or they are compounded of two or more distinct formative elements. The compound suffixes usually have the cumulative significance of their separate component parts. In many cases, owing to far-reaching
phonetic changes, the derivation of the compound suffixes can not be given with certainty.

From a functional point of riew all suffixes may be divided into a verbal and a non-verbal group; the former used in the formation of verbal ideas, the latter employed for the purpose of conveying grammatical concepts of a nominal, adjectival, or adverbial character. In one or tro instances we do find a suffix denoting both verbal and nominal ideas. This is especially true of the suffix \(-\bar{u}^{u},-\bar{u}^{w i}\), which may indicate an act performed by several subjects, or clse the abstract concept of that action (see \(\$ \S 79,97\) ); and of the anxiliary \(-t\), which is also employed in the formation of a number of words denoting adjectival ideas. (See \(\$ \$ 76,104\).) While it might have been more proper to discuss such suffixes in a separate chapter as "Neutral Suffixes," practical considerations have induced me to treat them in accordance with their functional ralues, notwithstanding the fact that this treatment entails some repetition.

The majority of Siuslaw stems are neutral, and receive their respective nominal or verbal meaning from the nature of the suffix that is added to them. There are, however, a few stems denoting adverbial ideas that can under no circumstances be amplified by nominal suffixes. Furthermore, it seems to be a general rule that nominalizing suffixes can not be added to a stem that has already been verbalized by some verbal suffix; while numerous instances will be found where a stem originally developed as a verbal idea, and nominalized by means of suffixes, can again be rerbalized by adding to the derivative noun an additional verbal suffix.

The following examples will serve to illustrate the three possibilities that prevail in the derivation of verbs and nouns.
(1) Neutral stems:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Stem. & erb & Noun \\
\hline tsī \({ }^{\text {! }}\) - to shoot 8.6 & tsîL ! \({ }^{\text {a }}\) i he shoots 10.3 & t.si'L.' \(\bar{\imath}\) arrow 50.7 \\
\hline làt.' to eat 13.10 & \(l^{i t}\). \(a^{\text {z }}\) he eats 44.19 & \(t \imath^{\prime} t\) ! \(a^{\bar{\imath}}\) food 34.23 \\
\hline hits - to live & \(h^{i} y\) ats \(\bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\text {i }}\) they live & \(1 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{tssi}^{\prime}{ }^{i}\) house 25.2 \\
\hline \(\bar{u} t\) - to snow & walt it snows & \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) 'tti snow 76.10 \\
\hline tsxal \({ }^{\text {- }}\) to shine (?) & tsxaya \(a^{i \prime}!^{\prime} a^{\prime} a^{i}\) day breaks 50.3 & tsxay \({ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime i}\) day, sun 7.3 \\
\hline itq- to dig 80.6 & \(a^{\prime} n t s u x y a^{\prime} t q a^{\bar{u}} n\) they two dig (the ground) & yatqa' \(a^{\bar{u}}\) hole (in the ground) 84.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
84.5
(2) Adverbial particles:
\(s^{B} a^{\prime}\) tsa thus 8.7
waha' again 19.5
(3) Nouns:
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \(q^{\bar{z}} \bar{u} t c \quad\) female 52.17 \\
\hline píctc-? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
waa- to speak 7.1

Noun
\(q \bar{u} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) woman qīutcna \({ }^{i \prime}\) (when) 30.21
pictcem summer 46.11
wa'as language 34.21

Verb he marries 76.8 p̂̂ctcimai (when) it gets summer 54.2
\(s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m\) ttc wa'as wa \(a^{a^{\prime}}\) syaxa \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) his
\(y \bar{a}^{\prime} t s a s^{E} a^{\prime} t s^{E} y a x\) for a long time he did it thus 11.3, 4 \(s^{E}\) atsi'xamyax thus it was done 32.16
waha'ha \(a^{\bar{u}} n a^{\prime} m s k^{v} t c\) again (said to him) his younger brother 56.21
wa'tūnx \(m^{u} q w a^{\prime}\) Lemto wa'as you will again (talk) Crow's language \(38.8,9^{1}\) language be spoke 36.14

Verbal Suffixes (§§ 23-81)

\section*{§ 23. INTRODUCTORY}

The study of the verbal suffixes of Sinslaw brings out a strong tendency to phonetic amalgamation between different groups of suffixes, by which the component elements are often obscured. For this reason the question of an ultimate relationship between many of the suffixes that occur in Siuslaw can not be ascertained as easily as might seem at first sight, owing chiefly to the fact that in most of the compound suffixes the oriminally separate elements have undergone considerable phonetic changes and have become to a large extent petrified. However, a careful examination of the phonetic composition of those suffixes that convey kindred psychological and gram matical concepts will show that certain phonetic elements of a given suffix may have served originally to conduce one leading idea, and have amalgamated, in the course of time, with other suffixes, thereby showing a genetic relationship between many of the rerbal suffixes.

Thus, \(-\bar{u}\) may have had primarily a transitive indicative function occurring in the suffixes - \(\bar{u} n\) (see \(\S 28\) ), \(-\bar{u} t s\) (see \(\S 29\) ), \(-\bar{u} x\) (see § 30 ), etc. In like manner, -ts- may have been the proto-suffix that indicated pronominal relations between subject and object, being present in suffixes like -uts (see § 29), -Emts (see § 31), -utsm- (see § 34), -ūtts (see § 36), -īts (see \(\S 42\) ), etc.; and \(-t\) - seems to have been originally a modal suffix, denoting chiefly the possession of the object of the verb by another person or thing, because it is found in suffixes like \(-\bar{u} t\) (see §.35), -ütts (see §36), -it (see §45), -ilts (see §46), etc. To all appearances \(-i\) must have been an independent suffix implying a command, for it enters into composition with imperative and exhortative suffixes like -ìs (see §62), -īts (see § 42), -imts (see § 44), -it (see § 45), -īts (see § 46), -ixm \(\hat{\imath}\) (see § 63), -inn (see § 41), etc.; and -tc was undoubtedly the general adverbial suffix.

The following talle will best illustrate the plausibility of relationships between some of the suffixes that occur in Siuslaw. The forms marked with an asterisk (*) represent the probable original suffix, while the other forms indicate the suffixes as they appear today.
*- \(\bar{u}\) indicative
\(-\bar{u} n\) direct object of third person (see § 28)
-ūts direct object of first and second persons (see § 29)
\(-\bar{u} x\) indirect object of third person (see § 30)
- utsin object possessed by sub. ject, but separable from it (see \& 3t)
- \(\bar{u} t\) object possessed by a third person object (see § 35)
-ūtts object possessed by a first or second person object (see § 36 )
\(-y \bar{u} n,-\bar{z} w y \bar{u} n\) exhortative (see §41)
- \(a^{20} \bar{u} n\) intentional (see \(\S 70\) )
*-ts pronominal relations between subject and object
-ūts direct object of first and second persons (see § 29)
-Emts indirect object of first and second persons (see §31)
-ūtsm object possessed by subject, but separable from it (see § 34 ) -ūtts object possessed by a first or second person object (see § 36)
-its imperative with direct object of the first person (see \(\S 4^{2}\) )
-imts imperative with indirect object of the first person (see § 44 ) -itts imperative with object possessed by a first person (see §46) -ts \(x\) imperative expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject (see § ti)
-itsme exhortative expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject (see § 48)
*- \(\bar{\imath}\) imperative
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline direct object of the third person (see § 41) & \begin{tabular}{l}
*-z possessive interrelations between object and subject \\
- \(\bar{u}\) object possessed by a third per-
\end{tabular} \\
\hline -its imperative with the dire & \\
\hline object of the first person (see § 42) & -ūlts object possessed by a first or second person object (see \\
\hline -imts imperative with indirect object of the first person (sce §44) & \begin{tabular}{l}
§ 36) \\
-uttx, -xamett:x passive with po sive relations of subject
\end{tabular} \\
\hline -it imperative denoting that object is possessed by a third person (see § 45) & \begin{tabular}{l}
§ 39) \\
-it imperative denoting that object is possessed by a third person
\end{tabular} \\
\hline -itts imperative denoting that object is possessed by a first person (see § 46) & \begin{tabular}{l}
(see § 45) \\
-îts imperative denoting object is possessed by a
\end{tabular} \\
\hline -ìtsme exhortative with possessive interrelations between object and subject (sec § 48) & \begin{tabular}{l}
person (see §46) \\
- \(t\) (?) exhortative (see § 64) \\
*-tc adverbial
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(-i s\) imperative for transitive verbs (see § 62) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(-t c^{\prime}\) tentative (see \(\S 5\) \\
-tc local (see \(\S 90\) )
\end{tabular} \\
\hline - \(\bar{x} x \mathrm{\imath} \hat{\imath}\) intransitive exhorta tive (see \(\S 63\) ) & -itc modal (see § 94) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In discussing these suffixes it seems conrenient to begin with the group that appears in the sentence in terminal position and proceed backwards with our analysis. According to this treatment, we may distinguish-
(1) Pronominal suffixes.
(2) Objective forms.
(3) Modal suffixes.
(4) Temporal suffixes.
(5) Verbalizing suffixes.
(6) Plural formations.
(7) Irregular suffixes.

\section*{PRONOMINAL SUFFIXES (§§ 24-26)}

\section*{§ 24. The Subjective Pronouns}

The pronouns denoting the subjects of an action, transitive and intransitive, as well as pronominal objects, are expressed by means of suffixes that invariably stand in terminal position. The third person singular has no distinct form. The first persons dual and plural have
distinct forms for the inclusive and exclusive. The same pronouns are used for all modes and voices. In the imperative the second person singular is omitted.

The following table will serve to illustrate what may be called the fundamental type of the subjective pronouns:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Singular & Dual & Plural \\
\hline 1st person sing. . & -n & -ns & -nt \\
\hline Inclusire du. and pl. & -n & -ns & -nt \\
\hline 2 d person & \(n x\) & -ts & -tcî \\
\hline 3d person & - - & - \(a^{u} x\) & \(-n x\) \\
\hline Exclusive du. and pl. & - - & - \(a^{u} x \hat{u} n,-a x \hat{n}\) & -nxan \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It would seem that the exclusive forms are derived from the third persons dual and plural and the first person.

These suffixes appear also in the independent personal pronouns (see §113). The suffix for the first person singular, \(-n\), disappears regularly after the transitive \(-\bar{u} n\) (see § 15), and the confusion that might arise from the fact that the transitive form for the third person singular ends in \(-\bar{u} n\) also, is aroided by accentuation of the first person singular as the subject of an action by the additional use of the independent pronoun that either precedes or follows the rerb.

The second person singular and the third person plural happen to consist of the same phonetic elements, \(-n x\). Ambiguity of meaning in both forms is avoided by addition of the independent personal pronouns. The suffix for the third person dual undergoes frequent changes, which have been fully discussed in § 13.

The rules regulating consonantic clusters require the insertion of an obscure (or weak) rowel between stems ending in a consonant and any of the subjective suffixes that begin with a consonant (see § 4).

According to the manner in which the subjective pronouns are added to a given rerbal stem, the verbs may be divided into the five following distinct groups:
(1) Verbs that add the pronominal suffixes directly to the stem or that take them after the verbalizing suffixes \(-a^{i}\) and \(-\bar{u}^{i}\).
(2) Verbs that end in \(-\bar{i}\).
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(3) Certain verbs that end in \(x\).
(4) Verbs that express the third person singular by means of stem amplification (see § 112).
(5) Verbs that end in -a.

The first group presents no difficulties whatsoever. The subjective pronouns are added directly to the stem or else follow the verbalizing suffixes \(-a^{i}\) and \(-\bar{u}^{i}\) (see § 75).

A number of verbs seem to end in \(-\bar{\imath}\), which undergoes a phonetic change whenever the pronominal suffixes are added to it. Thus, it is shortened when followed by the pronoun for the first person singular, and it undergoes the process of diphthongization (see § 7) whenever a pronoun for any of the other pȩrsons is added to it. Whenever the third person singular is to be expressed, the verb appears with \(-\bar{i}\), which is often diphthongized into \(-y a\). Verbs that take the tentative suffix \(-t c^{\prime}\) (see \(\S 52\) ) and the frequentative \(-a t \cdot \bar{\imath}\) (see § 68) are treated similarly.

A peculiar treatment is accorded to certain verbs that end in \(x\). Here belong only such verbs as have been amplified by means of the modal suffix -it' \(a x\) (see §51) and of the temporal suffixes -awax, -tūx, and -yax (see \(\S \S 70,73,74\) ). These suffixes do not change their phonetic composition when followed by the pronouns for the first person singular and second persons dual and plural. However, as soon as the subjective pronouns for any of the other persons are added to them, the final \(x\) disappears. An exception to this rule is offered by the future -tūx (see \(\S 73\) ) when followed by the pronoun for the third person dual. In this case the final \(x\) is always retained. Whether the disappearance of the \(x\) is due to contraction or to other causes, can not be said with any degree of certainty.

The last two groups comprise verbs the stems of which undergo a process of amplification whenever the third person singular is to be expressed. Verbs belonging to the fourth group show an internal change of the stem, while those of the fifth group add an \(a\) to the bare stem. A full discussion of the phonetic character of these two processes will be found in § 112, p. 574.

In accordance with these fire types of verbs, the following tabular arrangement of the pronominal suffixes may be presented:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1st type & 2d type & 3d type & 4th type & 5th type \\
\hline 1st person\{ \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Singular . . . } \\ \text { Dual (incl.) . } \\ \text { Plural (incl.) }\end{array}\right.\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -n \\
& -n s \\
& -n l
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -i n \\
& -y a n s \\
& -y a n l
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -x a n \\
& -n s \\
& -n l
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -n \\
& -n s \\
& -n l
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -n \\
& -n 8 \\
& -n l
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 2d person \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Singular } \\ \text { Dual } \\ \text { Plural }\end{array}\right.\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -n x \\
& -t s \\
& -t c i
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
-yanx \\
-yats \\
-yaici
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
-n. \\
-xts \\
-xtci
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -n x \\
& -t s \\
& -t c t
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -n x \\
& -t s \\
& -t c i
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(3 d\) person \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Singular } \\ \text { Dual } \\ \text { Dual } \\ \text { Plural }\end{array}\right.\). & \(-,-a i,-\bar{u} i\)
\(-a u x\)
\(-n x\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -i,-y a \\
& -y a u x \\
& -y a n x
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -x \\
& -x a u_{x,}-a u x \\
& -n x
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Amplified } \\
\text { stem } \\
-a u_{c} \\
-n x
\end{array}\right\}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -a \\
& -a u_{x} \\
& -n x
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Exclusive \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Dual } \\ \text { Plural . . . . . . . }\end{array}\right.\) & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}-a u x a n \\ -a x u \hat{n} \\ -n x a n\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
-yauxûn \\
-yaxún \\
-yanxan
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
-auxün \\
-axu゙n \\
-nxan
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
-auxûn \\
-axán \\
-nxan
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(-a u x u ̈ n\) \\
-axûn \\
-nxan
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(1) Pronominal suffixes added directly to the stem or following the verbalizing \(-a^{i}\) and \(-\bar{u}^{i}\) :
winx- to be afraid 17.6
waa- to speak 7.1
winx- to be afraid 17.6
\(\tau_{n} a^{u} w\) - to be rich 76.3
tqaq- to pass wind 86.7
tsinq!'- to be poor 16.10
\(z^{i} t!a^{i}\) he eats 46.5
tsinq!' to be poor 16.10
tcin- to come back
skwa'- to stand 10.9
tqūl- to shout 52.8
smūt'- to end 8.8
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t\) he goes 12.
xint- to start 23.1
tsing! - to be poor 16.10
\(y u w a^{i \prime}\) he gets pitch 96.18
\(n E q \bar{u}^{i} t x\) - to be cold
wînnîn I was af raid 58.22
wa \(a^{i} n\) I say
wî'nxîns we two (incl.) are afraid
tna \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) want we (incl.) are rich
Zqa'qanx thou passest wind 86.14
tsînq!ats you two are poor
\(l^{i}\) ! 'a' yats you two eat
tsìnq! atĉ you are poor
tsinq! he is poor
\(t c i ̄ n\) he returned 7.7
skwaha \(a^{i}\) he stands 14.4
\(\operatorname{tq} \bar{u} \bar{u} \bar{u}^{i}\) he shouted 92.6
\(s m \hat{i} t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) it ends 14.6
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t a^{u} x\) they two go 23.1
xî'ntanx they started 88.20
tsî'nq! \(a^{u} x u \hat{n}\) we two (excl.) are poor
yıwa'yaux \({ }^{u}\) n we two (excl.) will get pitch 94.17, 18
\(n E q \bar{u}^{i}\) txanxan we (excl.) are cold 76.20
(2) Pronouns added to verbs that end in \(\bar{\imath}\) :
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x c \hat{\imath}\) - to work \(50.3 \quad x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x c \hat{c} n\) I work
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} 7 \cdot x c y a n s\) we two (incl.) work
wîl'xcyant we (incl.) work
sî'nxī- to desire 18.5
\(\operatorname{sit}^{\prime} n^{i} x y a n x\) (if) you desire 44.6
sî' \(n^{i} x y a t s\) you two desire
wînkyatcî you are working w \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n k=\bar{c}\) he is working
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x c i \bar{\imath}-\) to work 50.3
\(x \hat{x}^{\prime} l \cdot x c \bar{c}\left(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x c y a\right)\) he is working 50.9
\(x \hat{i}^{\prime} l \cdot x c y a^{u} x\) they two work
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\wedge} l \cdot x\) cyanc they work
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x \cdot y a^{u} x \hat{u} n\) we two (excl.) are working
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x c y a n x a n\) we (excl.) are working.
(3) Pronouns added to certain verbs that end in \(x\) :
qatc \({ }^{E} n\) - to go, to start 8.2
\(\bar{a} q\) - to run away 52.10
\({ }^{u^{\prime}} \bar{u} \bar{u}\) - to come 8.3
\(\bar{a} q\) - to run away 52.10
xwis!'- to return 12.6
hūtc- to play 8.8
\(L^{\bar{\imath}^{\prime}} \bar{u}\) - to come 8.3
\(t a^{i}\) it lives 32.21
\(m \bar{z} h \bar{u}^{\prime}-\) to cut 82.14
tem \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3
\(L^{i^{\prime}} \bar{u}-\) to approach 8.3
\(\bar{a} q\) - to run away 88.3
\(t a^{i}\) it lives 32.21
\(L^{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{u}\) - to approach 8.3
\(\bar{a} q\) - to run away 88.3
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t u \bar{x} x a n\) I shall go 22.2
\(\bar{a} q a^{\prime}\) waxan I intend to run away 90.21
lī'ūyaxan I came
\(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) qtūns we two (incl.) shall run away 92.2
\(\bar{a} q a^{\prime}\) wans we two (incl.) intend to run away 90.23
ww \(\bar{\imath} L!\) 'tūnt we (incl.) shall return 60.9
\(x_{n u z^{\prime}}\) ! yant we (incl.) have returned
\(h \bar{u}^{\prime} t c t \bar{u} n t\) we (incl.) shall play 7.2
Lūva'wanx you intend to come 25.8
tai'yanx thou didst live
\(m \bar{z} h h^{u} u \bar{u}\).xts you two will cut 90.5
temū'tūxtc \(\hat{\imath}\) you shall assemble 30.7
Lín \(^{\prime} \bar{u} \bar{u} x\) he will come 8.9
\(a_{q} a^{\prime} w a x\) he intends to run away 86.15
\(t a^{i^{\prime}}\) yax (if) he lives 44.12
\(L^{\imath} \bar{u}^{\prime} t \bar{u} \dot{x} a^{u} x\) they two will come
\(\iota^{i^{\prime}} \bar{u} y a^{u} x\) they two came
\(\bar{a} q a^{\prime}\) va \(a^{u} x\) they two intend to run away 86.18
\(\bar{a} q\) - to run away 88.3
Li' \(\bar{u}\) - to approach 8.3
(4) Amplification of stem:
\(\bar{\imath} l q\) - to dig 80.6
cìtx- to flop
\(h a^{u^{\prime}}\) - to be ready 8.10
L! \(\bar{o} n\) - to tell 16.9
(5) Verls that end in \(-a\) :
\(h a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to quit 11.4
wa- to speak 7.1
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n\) - to go 12.1
witw- to affirm 17.7
\(\bar{a} q a^{\prime} w a^{u} x a n\) we two (excl.) intend to run away sí'ütūnxan we (excl.) will come 30.11

Lí'üyanxan we (excl.) have come
yalq (they two) dig 84.7
\(c^{i}\) yatx (they) flop (around) 36.23
\(h a^{\prime} w a\) it is ready 23.10
\({ }_{L}\) ! \(w a^{a} n\) he relates 16.6
\(h a^{\prime} w a\) it is ready 23.10
waa' he said 12.10
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{E} n a\) he goes 36.1
witwa' he affirms 58.9

\section*{§ 25. The Objective Pronouns}

The same forms as those discussed in \(\S 24\) are used to express the pronominal objects. In these terms the rerbal stem is followed by an objective element, which in most cases is followed first by the pronominal object, then by the pronominal subject. In all cases where this composition would bring two consonants into contact they are separated by a weak vowel ( \(a\) or \(\hat{\imath}\) ).

The objective elements here referred to are \(-\bar{u} n\), which expresses the relation to the third person object, and - \(\bar{u} t s\), which indicates the relation to the first and second persons. These will be treated more fully in §§ 27-29.

In all forms that express a relation of a second person subject or of an exclusive subject to a singular pronominal object, the latter is omitted, and the pronominal subject follows directly the objective element before referred to. Perfect clearness is attained here, since the objective element defines the person of the object. Thus the forms thou, ye two, ye, acting upon either first or second person, can refer only to the first person; I and he, and I and they, only to the second, for otherwise they would be reflexives. In the combination I-Thee the subject is omitted. In the combinations I-him, I-тhem two, I-them, the subject pronoun \(-n\) seems to have been contracted with the \(n\) of the objective element (see § 15); while in they-me the order of subject aud object is reversed.

These phenomena may be indicated in the following tabular form:
I. OBJECTIVE FORMS FOLLOWED BY SUBJECT
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Third person object} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{First and second persons objects} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Subjects} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Subjects} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Singular} & Dual & Plural & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Singular} & Dual & Plural \\
\hline Inclusive & - & -ūnans & - unanl & lnclusive & - & - & - \\
\hline Exclusive & - & - ūnauxîn & - unandian & Exclusive . & - & -ūtsaucîn & -ütsanxan \\
\hline 2d person & -ūnanx & -unnats & -unatcî & 2 d person & -ütsan.e & -ütsats & -itsatci \\
\hline 3d person & -ūn & - unaux \(^{\text {c }}\) & -йnanx & 3d person . & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
II. SUBJECT OMITTED

I-thee--ūtsanx.
III. INVERSION OF SUBJECT AND OBJECT

THEY-ME--ũtsanxin.
IV. SEQUENCE: OBJECT-SUBJECT

All dual and plural objects; all third person subjects (except therme ).

The following table may serve to illustrate more fully the forms that are used in Siuslaw to express relations between subject and object. Suffixes marked with an asterisk (*) are forms reconstructed by analogy.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Singular} \\
\hline & & I & Thou & He \\
\hline 我空 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Me \\
Thee \\
Him
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \quad- \\
& -\bar{u} \operatorname{tsanx} \\
& -\bar{u} n
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
-ütsanx \\
-īnanx
\end{tabular} & -ütsin -uttsanx - in \\
\hline E. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Inclusive . \\
Exclusive. \\
You. \\
Them
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\bar{u} t s a t s i ̂ n \\
-\bar{u} n a u x i n \\
-\bar{u} n
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *-ütsauxünanx } \\
& - \\
& \text {-ūnauxanx } \\
& \text {-ünanx }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
-ütsans \\
-ūtsaurun \\
-ütsats \\
-unnaux \\
-ün
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\underset{\text { Ein }}{\text { E }}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Incluslve . \\
Exclusive. \\
You. \\
Them
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text {-ūtsatcinn } \\
\text {-unnanxin } \\
\text {-īn }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
*-ïtsanxananx \\
-ūnantanx \\
-ūnanx
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
-ūtsanl \\
- ütsanxan \\
-ūtsatcí \\
-ūnans \\
-ūn
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Dual} \\
\hline & & luclusive & Exclusive & Ye & They \\
\hline \[
\dot{A} \dot{A}=\frac{W_{E}^{2}}{E_{0}}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Me ． \\
Thee \\
Him
\end{tabular} & －iknans & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ütsauxün \\
－ùnauxún
\end{tabular} & －iutsats －īnats & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ūtsinaux \\
＊－ütsanxaux \\
－unnaux
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\stackrel{\text { J }}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Inclusive ．． \\
Exclusive ．． \\
You ．．．． \\
Them ．
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\bar{u} n g u x a n s \\
\text {-ünans }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ītsatsauxún \\
－īnauxauxán \\
－ünauxún
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ütsauxunats \\
－īnaurats \\
－ünats
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ütsansaux \\
＊－ütsauxunaux \\
＊－ütsatsaux
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\underset{\text { B }}{3}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Inclusive ．． \\
Exclusive ．． \\
You ．．．． \\
Them ．．．．
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\bar{n} n a n x a n s \\
\text {-ünans }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ūtsatcyaxūn \\
－ìnanraurun \\
－йnauxün
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ütsanxanats \\
－ūnanrats \\
－ünats
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
＊－ütsanlaux \\
－uitsanranaur \\
－ūtsatcyaux \\
－ünanxaux \\
－ūnaux
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & & Plural & & \\
\hline & & Inclusive & Exclusive & You & They \\
\hline 皃答品 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Me . . . . . } \\
& \text { Thee . . . . } \\
& \text { IIim . . . . }
\end{aligned}
\] & －ıinant & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ütsanxan \\
－inanxan
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\mathrm{utsatc} \hat{\imath} \\
-\bar{u} t s i n a t c \hat{\imath}
\end{array}\right\} \text {. } \\
- \\
-\bar{u} \text { natc } \hat{\imath}
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ūtsanxin \\
＊－ütsanxanx \\
－ūnanx
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { تूg } \\
\text { है }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Inclusive \\
Exclusive \\
You \\
Them．
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text {-ünauxanl } \\
\text {-ūnanl }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
－iutsatsanxan \\
－ĭnauxanxan \\
－īnanxan
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
＊－intsaux \(\ddagger\) natci \\
－ \\
－unnauratĉ \\
－īnatci
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
＊－ūtsansanx \\
＊－ūtsauxûnanx \\
＊－ütsatsanx \\
－īnauxanx \\
－ünanx
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 跑 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Inclusive \\
Exclusive \\
You \\
Them．
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
- \\
- \\
- \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text {-ünanxant } \\
\text {-ünanl }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ūtsatcyanxan \\
－ūnanxanxan \\
－－иnanxan
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ütsanxanatc \\
－ūnanxatcí \\
－ūnatcî
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
－ütsanlanx \\
＊－ïtsanxananx \\
－ūtsatcyanx \\
－ūnantanx \\
－ünanx
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

While all these forms may actually appear suffixed to the verb， there prevails a tendency（discussed on p．479）to suffix the subjective pronouns to adverbial terms preceding the verb rather than to the verb itself．This transposition of the suffixes for the subject of the action considerably lessens the syllabic quantity of the whole verbal expression．

The pronoun I－thee coincides phonetically with the form for thou－me；and in order to avoid ambiguity of meaning，the subjects
of these combinations may be indicated by means of the discriminative forms of the independent personal pronouns (see \(\$ \S 21,113\) ).

All forms having a third person as the object do not, as a rule, indicate the number of the subject. This is rather done by means of the numeral \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s!\bar{u}\) two for the dual, and the numeral particle \(h a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t\) all for the plural.

The difficulty arising from the fact that the suffix -unanx may express thou-him, etc., and they-hin, etc., is bridged over by the additional use of the independent pronouns for thou and they (see § 113). This rule applies to all cases, so that it may be stated that, whenever, by some process of contraction, simplification, or abbreviation, two or more suffixes expressing identical relations be tween subject and object are phonetically alike, their subjects are indicated by the use of the independent pronominal forms. Thus, for instance, the form -utsanx may express I-thee, thou-me, and he-thee. These are usually distinguisbed by means of the pronouns qnà \(\mathrm{I}, q^{n} \bar{z} x^{a} t s\) THOU, and \(s^{F} \dot{a} s\) HE (see § 113), that are placed before or after the verb, denoting that the first, second, or third person respectively is the subject of the action.

The third person singular has no subjective element, owing to the fact that Siuslaw has no distinct form for that pronoun (see § 24).
sî'nxī- to desire 18.5 vîn \(n^{i} x y \bar{u} t s a n x ~ q n a ̀ ~ h u ̄ t c a ' ı c u x ~ I ~\) want thee to have fun 21.6
wad \(a^{i \prime}\) he says 19.3
\({ }^{i}{ }^{i k} w a^{i}\) he gets, he takes 82.6
hin- to take along 9.5
tcaq- to spear 68.18
yaqui- to look, to watch 9.1
yax- to see 34.4
\(x n{ }^{-}{ }^{w} n\) - to do 9.7
\(t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3
\(s^{E} a t s \bar{u}^{\prime} t c^{E} n, e^{w a a^{\prime} y u \bar{t} s \text { (when) thus }}\) thee I tell 36.19
\(s^{E} a^{\prime}\) tsanx tınx tikuca'yūts qnì that's why I (came to) get thee 21.3
\(h^{i} y a^{\prime} n y u \overline{t s a n x}\) hitsî́stcīn I'll take thee into my house 58.6
 spearing 68.8
ya'qu'yütsats quà I will look at you two
ŷ̀ra' yūnauxin quà I see them two
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s c^{u} x \hat{\imath} n\) maiyumī\({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) thus to them two I will do it 88.17
kumin'ntc \({ }^{E} t c \hat{\imath}\) nûctcìtc ta'tc \(\hat{\imath}\) temu \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) uts not you in vain these you I assembled 30.18, 19
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(s^{\text {E }} a^{\prime}\) tsa thus 8.7 & \(s^{\text {E }} a^{\prime}\) sa'ut \(u\) tsatĉ thus I (do it) for you 32.14 \\
\hline watai' he stys 8.9 & \(h a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t^{i} n x a n\) waa'yūn (to) all them I tell it \\
\hline \(t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3 & temū'ünanxin I assemble them \\
\hline tquel- to shout 52.8 & tqū̄ \(\bar{u} y^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a n x q^{\prime} n^{\prime} x^{a} t\) s thou art shouting at me \\
\hline \(m \bar{u} n\) - to take care of 38.13 &  thou shalt always take care of me 22.2, 3 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {L }}{ }^{\prime} w^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {n }}\) - to tell 16.5 & L! 'u'a'nāsūnanx \(s^{E} a t s \bar{s}^{\prime} t c\) thou wilt keep on telling him thus 17.2 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {LE }} \bar{u}^{i}{ }^{\prime}\) he is hitting & tna'tînx Letzu'yūtsaurcûn always thou art hitting us two (excl.) Lel \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a n x t \bar{u}^{\prime} c^{u} x x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s s^{\prime} \bar{u}\) thou art hitting those two \\
\hline \(y a q^{u^{2}}\) - to look 9.1 & \(y a^{\prime} q^{u} h \bar{u} s \bar{u} t s a n x a n h \bar{u}^{\prime}\) ssa thou shalt always watch us (excl.) well 70.14, 15 ya'qu'yūnanx qnī'xats thou wilt look at them \\
\hline waa' - to speak 7.1 & waa'cuätsîn he told me 58.18 atsītcîu wad'au\(t s\) thus me he told 58.20 \\
\hline hin- to take along 9.5 & \(u \not \imath^{i} n s^{E} \dot{a} s l^{-\quad} n \bar{\imath} x a^{\bar{u}} t s q a^{i} k a^{\prime} n t c\) and me he took way off 66.18 \\
\hline \(L^{\prime} \times x \bar{u}\) - to know 19.9 &  \\
\hline yax- to see 20.10 & \(t c \bar{u}^{\prime} k^{E} n x\) ŷ̂xa'yūts \(m \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{u} L \quad{ }^{u}{ }^{E} n x\) wa' \(a^{i} s \bar{u} t s\) tsim wherever thee sees Crow, to thee he will keep on talking always \(38.16,17\) \\
\hline skwa'- to stand 10.9 &  \\
\hline yax- to see 20.10 & \(y \hat{\sim} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) he sees it 70.2 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Le }} \bar{u}^{i}{ }^{i}\) he hits & LEtū \(y u ̈ t s a n s s^{E} \dot{u} s\) he is hitting us two (incl.) \\
\hline yax- to see 20.10 & \(y \hat{\imath} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a^{u} x \hat{u} n\) he is looking at us two (excl.) \\
\hline xintm- to travel 13.3 & \(u \neq a^{u} x\) xîntmis \(\bar{u} \bar{u} n\) he takes them two along 92.16 \\
\hline \(k \bar{u}^{*} n\) - to beat 78.18 & Kumî'ntc \({ }^{\text {En }}\) l quì̀tc \(k \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} n \bar{s} s u ̄ t s\) not us (incl.) any one will ever beat 72.17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline yaqu*- to look 9.1 & \(y a^{\prime} q u{ }^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a n x a n ~ s^{E} \grave{a} s\) he looks at us (excl.) \\
\hline \(L \bar{i}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) - to come 9.2 & \(h^{i} y a^{\prime} t c^{E} n x a n\) L! \(\bar{z}^{\prime} L!\bar{u} t s\) people us (excl.) came (to see) 100.8 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(L_{\text {ct }}{ }^{i \prime}\) be hits} & LE \({ }^{7} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) he is hitting you \\
\hline & \(s^{E} a^{\prime} s \bar{u} t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) LE \({ }^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s\) he is hitting you \\
\hline & LEl \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y\) unnan \(x s^{E}{ }^{\text {a }}\) s he is hitting them \\
\hline &  \\
\hline \(x n \overline{\chi^{w}} n\) - to do 9.7 & \(x n \bar{\imath}^{w} n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w^{\prime} y \bar{u} n, s \quad 10.5\) (abbreviated \\
\hline & from \(\left.x n \bar{z}^{w} n \bar{u}^{\prime} w_{y} \bar{u} n a n s\right)\) we tro (incl.) will do it \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{LEt \(\bar{u}^{i \prime}\) he hits} & LE \(\bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a^{u} x \hat{u}\) n we two (excl.) are hitting thee \\
\hline & \(q n a^{\prime} x \bar{u} n\) Let \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t\).s we two (excl.) are hitting thee \\
\hline \(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21 & xa \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n a^{u} x u n\) ants \(m \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a\) hitc we two (excl.) killed that bad man 96.8.9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{14}{*}{LEZ \(\chi^{i r}\) he hits} & qna \(a^{u^{\prime}} x u \hat{u}\) LEt̄̄̄yūtsats we two (excl.) are hitting you two \\
\hline & \(q_{n} a^{\prime} x u_{n}\) LEtū \(y \bar{u} n\) we two (excl.) are hitting him \\
\hline & LE \(\bar{\chi} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a^{u} x \hat{u}{ }_{n} t \bar{u}^{\prime} a^{u} x\) x \(\bar{a}^{\prime} t s!\bar{u}\) we two (exel.) are hitting those two \\
\hline & \(q n a a^{\prime} x \hat{u} n\) Let \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) we two (excl.) are hitting you two \\
\hline & qna'xun uqxan LEt \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n, t \bar{u}^{\prime} a_{L}!^{\prime} a^{\prime a i}\) we two (excl.) are hitting those (many) \\
\hline & LE \(\bar{\imath} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a t s ~ q u{ }^{\prime} x^{a} t s\) you two are hitting me \\
\hline & LE \(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\) 'yūnats you two are hitting him \\
\hline & \(q m^{\prime} x^{a} x^{a}\) Le \({ }^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a^{u} x i n\) you two are hitting us two (excl.) \\
\hline & LEł \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y\) ūnats \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} \epsilon^{u} x\) ra \(\bar{a}^{\prime} t r . ' \bar{\iota}\) you two are hitting those two \\
\hline &  \\
\hline & youtwo are hitting us (excl.) all \\
\hline & LEt \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u}\) nats \(h a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t\) you two are \\
\hline & hitting (them) all \\
\hline &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
hitting me
\(y a^{\prime} x\) - to see 20.10
qn \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to find
LE \(\bar{\ell} \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) he hits

L!emiya \({ }^{i \prime}\) he kills
k! \(a^{\prime}\) - to invite 16.3
hatc' - to ask 66.16
yaqu*- to look 9.1
sî́nxi- to desire 1 S .5

Lxūù - to drw 60.19

Let \(\bar{u}^{i \prime}\) he hits
anr- to give up 54.12
yaq \({ }^{u^{*}}\) - to look 9.1
hatc'- to ask 66.16
yaq \({ }^{u^{*}-}\) to look 9.1
wat he says 19.3
ŷ̂x \(\iota^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a^{u} x\) they two saw him \(62.20,21\)
\({ }^{u} \epsilon^{u}{ }^{u} x q n \bar{u} h \bar{u} n\) they two find it 56.9
\(s^{F} a^{\prime} s^{w} a x\) Lefüyutsans they two are hitting us two (inel.)
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} s^{w} a x\) Let \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s a n x a n\) they two are hitting us two (excl.)
\(t \bar{u} a^{\prime} s^{w} a x\) LEt \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y u \bar{u} t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) those two are hitting you two
tūa'swax Lelu'yūm ha'm̄̄t those two are hitting (them) all
L!xmīya'yūnant we (incl.) will kill \(\operatorname{him} 28.3\)
quìnt \(\frac{\left.\text { ! } x m \bar{y} y a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n ~ t \bar{u}^{\prime} a n x \text { we (incl.) }\right) ~}{\text { will }}\) will kill those (all)
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a n x a n l!!a h a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s\) that's why we (exel.) invite thee 24.10
a'tsanxan te hatc'a'yūts qnà that's why we (excl.) ask thee 74.15
qna'nxan \(y a^{\prime} q^{u} h \bar{\imath} s u t s\) we (excl.) will continually watch thee 72.6
sín\(n^{i} x y \bar{u} n a n x a n ~ L^{\prime} \bar{u} t \bar{u} x\) we (excl.) want him to come \(17.2,3\)
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} n x a n l t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} a^{i}\) Lxuy \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} m\) lots we (excl.) salmon dry it
qna'nxan LElu'yūtsats we (excl.) are hitting you two
qna'nxan Let \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n ~ t \bar{u}^{\prime} a^{u} x\) xa'ts' \(\bar{u}\) we (excl.) are bitting those two
qna'nxan \(L e t \overline{u^{\prime}} y u \bar{u} t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) we (excl.) are hitting you (pl.)
ha \(a^{i \prime} \overline{u t}^{i} n x a n\) LEtūyйn qù̀ we (excl.) are hitting (them) all
\(a^{\prime} n x a^{\bar{u}} t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) you (shall) let me alone 27.5
yaqu'y \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} w y \bar{u} t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) haya'm \(\bar{u} t\) you all shall look at me \(72.11,12\)
hatc'a'yūnatcî you (shall) ask her 74.10
y \(a^{\prime} q^{u^{*} y \bar{u} t s a^{u}, r \hat{u} n} q^{-} \bar{u}^{\prime} x t s^{E} t c \hat{\imath}\) you are looking at us (excl.)
atsìtcernan waa'yüts thus they told me \(46.20,21\)
sî'nxī- to desire \(18.5 \quad L!x m a^{\prime} y a n x \hat{\imath} n\) sî̀ \(n^{i} x y u \bar{u} t s\) (to) kill me they want 21.9
\(t s^{{ }^{i}} h a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) he kills it 46.5, \(6 \quad t s^{\top} h a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a n x\) ants Lê'mna'q they kill that elk 82.17, 18
L! wa \(a^{a} n\) - to tell 16.5 tūa's \({ }^{E} n x \quad\) L!ōna'yūtsant these told us (incl.)

\section*{§ 26. Position of Pronouns in Verbs Accompanied by Adverbial Forms}

As has been stated before (see p. 474), the pronominal suffixes stand in terminal position, and theoretically are added to the verb; but whenever an adjective, an adverb, or a particle precedes the verb, the pronouns are preferably suffixed to these and precede the verbal expression. The verb appears in all such cases in what may be called the fundamental type (see pp. 470, 474).
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} m\) because \(18.8 \quad n \hat{\imath}\) 'ctcîmîn meq'ya'wax because I intend to dance 72.12
kum̂̂nte not 12.2
\(t a^{i} k\) here
\(8 q a^{i} k\) there 14.6
\(s^{E} a t s i^{\prime} t c\) thus 8.1
\(h a^{i} n a\) different 58.9
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x \grave{a}^{i}\) much 8.5
tcilk where 34.2
\({ }^{u} t\) and, then 7.4
kumî'ntcernx plna \(a^{i \quad}\) not you are sick 86.14
\(t a^{i} k^{i} k^{E} n s\) ay \(a^{\prime} \eta a^{i} t \bar{\imath} t_{E} s i^{\prime} x a^{i}\) here we two (incl.) will leave this (our) canoe 56.5
sqaikts qa'tccentūx, squikits t'îmct! \({ }^{i} t \bar{u} x\) there you two shall go, there you two shall raise children 32.5
\(s^{\text {E }} \operatorname{tsti}^{\prime} t c^{w} a x\) wana'ua thus they two speak to each other 10.1, 2 ha \(a^{i}\) nant lū̄'tctūx differently we (incl.) will play 11.2
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime} x a^{i}{ }^{i} x a n}\) hu \(\bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) lots (of games) we (excl.) play 70.19
 where (ever) you play, thus you will keep on doing it \(72.20,21\)
utne wàn tci-in then they finally returned \(60.10,11\)
The same tendency to suffix the subjective pronouns to adverbial expressions that precede the verb is shown even in cases where a verbal expression is preceded by a nominal subject or object.
\(h^{i} y a^{\prime} t c\) people 60.25
\({ }^{1}!^{\circ} w a^{\prime} x\) messenger 7.7
\(h^{i} y a^{\prime} t c^{\mathrm{E}} n x\) liz̀t! ! \(\bar{s} s \bar{u} t s t x \bar{u}\) people thee will eat just 13.10
\(L^{o}\) wa \({ }^{\prime}, s^{E} n x a n ~ t E ~ L \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime}(\mathrm{as})\) messengers we (excl.) these come 30.6. 7
îlqwa \(a^{a^{\prime}} t_{E m}\) root, alder tree \(\hat{u} l_{q u} \operatorname{tmin}^{\prime} a^{u} x q a a^{i}\) an alder tree they
92.5, 6
\(y a^{\varepsilon} k^{u_{\mathcal{S}}}\) seal 62.4
\(q^{a^{i} x}\) night \(40.1 t\)
two entered 92.6
\(y E R \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} S^{E} n x\) tū tca \(a^{i}\) sea-lions they spear 62.2
\(q a^{a^{i} x^{E} n x} a^{\prime} l \cdot d \bar{u} y a^{\prime} q^{u} h \bar{\imath} t \bar{u} x(\) at \()\) night likewise you will watch 70.18, 19

\section*{OBJECTIVE FORMS (§§ 27-48)}
§ 27. Introductory
In sentences containing subject and object the interrelation between them is expressed with great definiteness by means of suffixes that precede the subjective and objective pronouns. My original intention was to treat these suffixes as pronominal elements; but the chief objection to such a treatment lies in the fact that the pronouns, subjective and objective, are repeated after them. Hence it was found advisable to treat them as objective elements. In the expression of the relations a distinction is made between third person objects on the one hand, and first and second persons on the other. Furthermore, the indirect object is distinguished from the direct object, and the same classification of persons is found. The possessive relations between the subject and the two objects are also expressed with great clearness; and, finally, a sharp line of demarcation is drawn between the indicative, imperative. and passive modes.

It would seem that the following table represents all the suffixes belonging to this group:


Some of these forms are applicable to the present tense only, showing different suffixes in other tenses. Thus, an entirely divergent treatment is accorded to the suffixes denoting possessive interrelations for the durative, intentional, and past tenses (see § 37).

For the purpose of greater elearness, these forms have been subdivided into the following four groups:
(1) Indicative forms denoting personal interrelations.
(2) Indicative forms expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject.
(3) Passive suffixes indicating pronominal and possessive interrelations.
(4) Imperative forms denoting pronominal and possessive interrelations.

Indicative Suffixes Denoting Personal Interrelations (8§ 28-31)

\section*{§2s. Direct Object of Third Person -ūn (-a \(\left.\bar{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\)}

This suffix transforms nouns into verbs, transitivizes all verbs expressing intransitive aetions, and changes a transitive idea into a cansative concept. In all these cases the object must be a third person. All stems ending in \(i\)-diphthongs change the \(i\) of the diphthong into \(y\) before adding the transitive suffix (see § 8). This suffix immediately precedes the subjective pronouns, and hence invariably follows the tense signs. For the interehange between \(-\bar{u} n\) and \(-\alpha^{\bar{u}} n\) see § 2 .
 over \(94.2,3\)
\(t_{E} k^{\prime} \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} k_{L}!\operatorname{trap} 100.4\)
yalqa' \(a^{\bar{u}}\) hole 84.6
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus 8.7
\(h i^{i}{ }^{\prime} s a\) well 12.2
winx he is afraid 17.6
cîl: \(x\) it shook 36.10
maltc- to burn 25.2
\(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21
\(m a^{a} t c\) it lay 32.20
tek.' \(\bar{a}\) 'ks.' \(\bar{u} n\) he sets traps
\(a^{\prime} n t s u x\) ya'tqain those two (who) dig holes \(8+.5\)
\(s^{E}\) atisa'ün thus (he does it)
hīsa'ün he cures him
wînxau she was afraid of him 86.1
c \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x \bar{u} n\) she shook him 5.4
ma'ltcūun Lūya'ua he made a fire 94.23
xaū'ūn he killed him 96.13
qa \(a^{u}\) ma'tcun on top (ther) put it 80.9
\(3045^{\circ}\)-Bull. 40 , pt \(2-16-31\)
\({ }_{x n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w_{n E}}\) (they two) do 48.12
L! wa \(a^{a} n\) he tells 16.5
waa' he says 12.10
wa \(a^{2}\) he said 8.9
\({ }^{i} t!^{\prime}\left(^{a^{\prime}}\right.\) he eats 44.19
ŷ̂.rai (they) look 66.6
\(t \bar{u}^{\circ} t c a^{i \prime}\) (they) spear 62.2
\(t a^{i}\) it sits 32.21
\(q n \bar{u} h \bar{u}^{i}\) he finds
\(t q \bar{u} \bar{u} \bar{u}^{i}\) be shouted 92.6
\(y a^{\prime} q^{v} k a^{i} t\) he looked 25.3
\({ }^{u} t_{i}{ }^{u} x w^{\prime}\) w'tūt they two affirmed 90.6
wa'ayax he spoke
\(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t m^{i} y a x\) he traveled
\(x \hat{\imath} n t m \bar{\imath} s\) (you) will continually travel 13.3
\(w a^{\prime} a^{i} s\) he says continually 26.8
\(L^{z^{\prime}} \bar{u}\) (they) came 9.3
ra \(a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21
\(y \hat{i} x a^{i \prime}\) he sees
hatc' - to ask 66.16
\(x n^{\prime} w_{n} \bar{u}_{n}\) he did it \(94.1 t\)
 96.10
waa' \(a^{u_{n}} n\) he said to him 20.7
waa'yūn be told him 36.26
\({ }^{i} t t^{\prime}\) ' \(a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) he deroured him 15.2

 62.5
ta'yūn qamîta'a \(a^{i} t \hat{\imath} n \mathrm{my}\) mother kept her 100.12
\(t_{E}{ }^{\prime} q q n \bar{u} h \bar{u} \prime y \bar{u} n\) something he finds tqūtū'y \(\bar{u} n\) he shouts at him
\(y a^{\prime} q^{u} h a^{i} t \bar{u} n(\mathrm{I})\) look at them 25.5, 6
\({ }^{u} t m \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{u} L\) wàt \(\bar{u} t u \bar{u} n\) Crow answered him 36.6, 7
\(w a^{a^{\prime}} y a x u^{\tilde{u}_{n}}\) he spoke to him 36.11
\({ }^{u} t\) rî̀ntmi \({ }^{i} y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\) he took (them) along 92.13
\(q^{n \bar{u}^{\prime} x t s^{E} n x}\) rî'ntmīsūn you will alwars carry it 14.3
wa'ais \(\bar{u} n\) (you) keep on telling him 19.5

Līu'ūn he got (there) 16.3
xa \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n c u^{\prime}\) xûn we tro (excl.) killed him 96.8, 9
ŷ̂xa'yūnaux they two see it 62.20 , 21
hatci \(a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a t c \hat{c}\) you ask her 74.10
§ ユO. Direct Object of First and Second Persons-ūts (-aūts)
This suffix indicates that an action has been performed upon a first or second person as object. The person of the actor is expressed by suffixing to -üts the corresponding subjective pronouns (see \(\S 24\) ). Its use corresponds to that of \(-\bar{u} n\) for the third person object.

An explanation for the interchange between -uts and \(-u^{\tilde{u}} t s\) will be found in § 2. This suffix follows all other rerbal suffixes excepting, of course, the subjective pronouns. The \(\bar{u}\) unquestionably denotes the indicative mode, and is identical with the \(\bar{u}\) in \(-\bar{u} n,-\bar{u} x,-\bar{u} t \bar{t} s,-\bar{u} t\), etc. (see \(\S \S 23,28,30,35,36\) ).

This suffix has been referred to in § 25, where a tabular presentation of the different combined subject and object pronouns will be found.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline sî'nxíl to desire 18.5 & sî'n \({ }^{i} x y u \bar{t} \tan x\) quà hūtca'wax I want you to have fun 21.6 \\
\hline yaque - to look 9.1 &  you two \\
\hline \(m \bar{a} n\) - to take care 38.13 & \(h \bar{z}^{\prime} \operatorname{sen} x m \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{s} s u \bar{u} t s\) well thou shalt always take care of me 22.2 \\
\hline yaqui- to look 9.1 & \(y a^{\prime} q^{u} h \bar{s} s u ̈ t s a n x a n ~ h \bar{u}^{\prime i} s a \quad\) thou shalt always watch us (excl.) well 70.14, 15 \\
\hline waa' - to speak 7.1 & waa'ta \({ }^{\bar{u}} t s \hat{\imath}\) n he told me 5 S .18 \\
\hline \(y \bar{a} x\) - to see 13.7 & \(t c \bar{c}^{\prime} k^{E n x} y \hat{\imath} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s \bar{a}^{\prime} \eta^{u_{L}}\) whereever Crow sees thee \(38.16,17\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For further examples see § 25.

\section*{§30. Indirect Objert of Third Person -ūx (-ā̄x)}

Each language has a number of verbal expressions that require the presence of a direct and indirect object. Such rerbs are, as a rule, distinguished from other stems by means of some grammatical contrivance. Siuslaw uses for that purpose the suffix \(-\bar{u} x\) added to the bare stem. This suffix, however, is used only when the third person (singular, dual or plural) is the indirect object of the sentence. As soon as the first or second person becomes the indirect object, another suffix, -Emts, is used (see § 31).

The pronoun expressing the subject of the action always follows the suffix \(-\bar{u} x\).
> waxax- reduplicated stem of ul waxa'xaüx ants mín \({ }^{i} x w \bar{\imath}\) then he wax- to give 18.5
> hamts- to dip out
> \(h^{i} y a t s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t s-\) reduplicated form of hīts-, \(h^{i} y a t s-\) to put on, to wear 11.8
> taku- to take, to fetch 7.5 Zakwa'kūxan I took it away from him
> hamx- to tie 8.6. hamxínūx he tied it on him

\section*{§ 31. Indirect Object of First and Secoma Persons -Emts}

This suffix is used only with verbal stems that require a direct and indirect object. The direct object expressed by this suffix is always the third person, while the indirect object must be either a first or
\[
\S \S 30-31
\]
a second person, regardless of number. The suffix expressing the same idea with the third person as the indirect object has been discussed in § 30. The pronominal suffixes denoting the subject of the action and its relation to the direct object are the same as those used in comnection with the suflix -ūts (see § 29). The verbal stem to which this suffix is added has frequently terminal reduplication.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline hamm- to tie 8.6 & hamx \(\hat{l}^{\prime}\),remtsan I tie it on thee \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ware- to give 18.2} &  I will kecp on giving it 44.15 \\
\hline & waxa'xemtsanain they gave it to me \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{lintsici he put it on} & hītsa'yemtsanx quīxats you put it on me \\
\hline &  me \\
\hline & \(s^{E} a^{\prime} s^{E} n x\) hitsri'yEmts he put it on thee \\
\hline \(a^{i} y^{\prime}\) - to leare 56.5 &  \\
\hline war- to give 15.2 & wāxa'remtsanis täla he gives thee \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Indicative Suffles Expressing Possessive Interrelations Between Object and Subject (§§32-37)

\section*{§ 3?. Introductor!!}

The phenomenon of expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject of a sentence through the medium of distinct suffixes is by no means of uncommon occurrence in the American Indian languages. \({ }^{1}\) From a logical point of riew such a formation is perfectly justifiable, and may be said to have its origin in the actual difference that exists between the concept of an act performed upon a given object and the conveying of the same act performed upon an object that stands in some relation to the subject of the sentence. Thus the English sentence I whip my horse states a fact that is fundamentally different from the sentence I whip the horse, in so far as it expresses, besides the act performed by the subject upon the object. also the possessive relation that exists between object and subject. In the Indo-Enropean languages, in which each idea maintains an independent position in a complex of grammatical concepts, such

\footnotetext{
1see, for example, Sioux, Chinook, Kutenai.
}
relations are indicated by means of independent words, as a rule possessive pronouns; but in Siuslaw these relations are relegated to the verb, and consequently we find them conreyed by means of certain suffixes that are added to stems denoting verbal ideas.

The possessive relations that may exist between object and subject of a sentence are. of a threefold nature. The object may form an inseparable part of the subject (I wash my face); the object may be separably connected with the subject (I Lose my knife); or the object may stand in a possessive relation to another object (I LOSE HIS knife). Siuslaw distinguishes clearly between these three types of relationship, and expresses each of them by means of at distinct suffix.
§ 33. Sufix Indicating that the Object Forms an Inseparable Part of the Subject -itx (-aitx), -tx
This suffix indicates that the object of the sentence is inseparahly connected with the subject. Hence all stems expressing an action performed by the speaker upon any part of his own body (and eren upon his name) occur with these suffixes. Now and then they will be found added to stems denoting actions that do not necessarily involve an integral part of the subject as its recipient. All such formations must be looked upon as ungrammatical; that is to say, as due either to analogy or to an unintentional mistake on the part of the informant. \({ }^{1}\)

The verbal ideas which are expressed in this manner need not always be transitive in our sense of the word. Ther may, and as a matter of fact they do, denote conditions and states in which an inte gral part of the subject may find itself. Such expressions are possible, because to the mind of the Siuslaw they conrey transitive ideaThus the sentence I an sormy expresses, according to our interpretation, an intransitive idea. The Siuslaw treats it as a transitive sentence, and expresses it by saying I make my mind sick. In the same manner Siuslaw conceives of our expressions my hatr burned, his child died, it is cold, etc., at transitive sentences, and renders them by (I) burned wy mar, (he) Caused his cillid to die, the earth makes its body cold, etc.

No specific reason can be given for the occurrence of the parallel forms -ittx and -t.x, nor has any distinction been detected in the use of

\footnotetext{
1 My informant made such mistakes rather frequently, but corrected them promptly whenever her attention was called to them.
}
the two forms. It seems, however, that \(-t x\) tends to appear after other suffixes, while -itx is added to bare stems.
This suffix must not be confounded with the frequentative -itx (see \(\S 68\) ). -itx interchanges frequently with \(-a^{i} t x\). For an explanation of this interchange see § 2 .


In the following examples, terms of relationship are treated as inseparable parts of the subject:
\(p^{2} n\) - to be sick 40.21
pla \({ }^{a} n t x\) ants t'āmc (he) got sick bis boy 40.20
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{sî'nxi- to desire 18.5} & sî'nxittx ants t! \({ }^{\text {a }}\) me \(x w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} L\) ' 'tūxte he \\
\hline & wanted that his child should \\
\hline & come back (literally, he wanted \\
\hline & his, that child, return shall his) \\
\hline & 4.5.5, 6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(w a a^{\prime}\) - to say 7.1} &  \\
\hline & their (dual) mother told them \\
\hline & (literally, thus their two, told, \\
\hline & \\
\hline hant - to call & he nt itx mat. \(\uparrow\) he called his elder brother 58.16 \\
\hline \(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21 & \(t E^{\prime} q^{E} n x x a w a^{i^{1}} t x\) (when) their relatives died (literally, relatives they, die theirs) 68.13 \\
\hline \(w a a^{\prime}\) - to say 7.1 & \(s^{E} u t s^{-} t c\) wa' \(a^{i}\) tex ants Lxca \(a^{\prime} y a x\) thus he said to that his friend 42.7. 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
§ 34. Suffix Denoting that the Object is Possesseal by the Subject, but Separable from it -ūtsm- (-aūtsm-)

This suffix seems to be a compound consisting of two separate suffixes, \(-\bar{u} t s-\) and \(-m\). While the original function of the second element is unknown, the first component is undoubtedly the suffix expressing the direct object of the first and second persons (see § 29 and also § 23).

It expresses a transitive action whose recipient is possessed by the subject without forming an integral part of it. Terms of relationship, and all concrete nouns, excepting those nominal stems that denote parts of the body, are thus considered; but, owing to frequent errors on the part of the informant, this suffix will be found used also in connection with objects expressing parts of the body. \({ }^{1}\) All subjective pronouns are added to this suffix by means of a connecting weak vowel, as a result of the law regulating the use of consonantic clusters (see § 4); and, as the third person singular has no distinct form, this suffix appears in final position as \(-\bar{u} t\) sme. The \(\bar{u}\) of this suffix often interchanges with the diphthong \(a^{\bar{u}}\) (see § 2 ). The suffix follows the tense signs, and is frequently added to reduplicated stems.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{fa}^{\prime} k^{u_{-}}\)- to take, to get 7.5 & l \(\bar{a} k w a^{\prime} k \bar{u} t s m i ̂ n k^{s} \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) I take my bucket \\
\hline \(q n \bar{u}\) - to find 56.9 & qu \(\bar{u}^{\prime} h u \bar{u} t s m \hat{n} n\) qal'tc I found my knife \\
\hline L! \(\mathrm{mma}^{\text {a }}\) - to kill 15.3 & L!xma \(a^{i^{\prime}} y \bar{u} \operatorname{trman} x \quad m^{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} \times k^{u}\) you killed your younger brother \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Zuku- to take 7.5 lākwa'kūtsme \(k^{{ }^{s} \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{a}}\) she took

L! \(\overline{0} x\) - to send 16.10
waa he says 8.9
\(L \bar{l}^{\prime} \bar{d}\) (they) come 9.3
waa - to speak S. 1
míltcist he begins to burn 29.3
\(x \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x \bar{c}\) - to work 50.9
her bucket 90.21
L! \(\bar{o} x a^{\prime} x a^{\bar{u}} t s m_{E}\) hĩtc he sent his people 30.1, 2
atsītc waa'yūtsme \(q^{-\prime} \bar{u} t e\) thus he said to his wife 48.17
 lots (of) this (their) food (they) are bringing \(100.9,10\)
Līu'ūtsme hàts \(\hat{\imath}\) 'stc she came to her (own) house 58.7, 8
wa \(a^{\prime} a^{\bar{u}} t s m_{E}\) ants \(L!^{\prime} a^{\prime a i}\) hitc he said to his many people 7.1
ut \(q!a^{\prime}\) 'थ \(m \hat{l}\) 'tccistutsme then her pitch began to burn 90.22
\(x \hat{l} \cdot x c^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s m a^{u} x a^{\prime} n t s^{E} t c^{w} a x \quad m \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) they two worked at their (dual) dams 48.10

In the following instances this suffix has been used in connection with nouns that form an integral part of the subject:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline t! Emx \({ }^{24}\) - to cut &  they cut their hair 68.14 \\
\hline \(p \bar{a} x\) - to elose (eyes) 36.16 & paxa'xutsme kopx he shut his eyes 36.20 \\
\hline \(y a^{\prime} q^{u} h a^{i} t\) he looked 58.1 & yóquku \(i^{i} u \bar{u}^{\prime}\) tsme \(k \bar{p} p x\) he opened his eyes (literally, he looked with his eyes) 36.20 \\
\hline wîltê̂st he begins to send & wi'ltcistu'tsme w'as he began sending his message (word) 92.19 \\
\hline \(p m\) - to be sick 40.21 & planya \({ }^{i \prime}\) tîstūtsme haitc he was sorry (literally, he begins to make sick, his mind) 40.21 \\
\hline \(m \hat{e} n x^{u}-\) to lighten 38.5 & ut wàn mínxa \(\hat{c}^{u} t \bar{u}^{\prime}\) tsme \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) now he made lightning (literally, then finally caused to lighten her body, the world) 38.6 \\
\hline tent \({ }^{-1} t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) wind & tcīt' \(a^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{u} t s m_{E} \quad\) L.' \(a^{\prime a i}\) ants tsxu'n\(p_{L \bar{l}}\) Tsxumplī made a wind (literally, caused to blow his world, that 'Tsxunplī) \(94.6,7\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
§35. Suffix Indicating that the Object is Possessed by a Third Person Object -ūł (-a \(\bar{u}\) )

This suffix expresses an act performed upon an object that forms an integral part of or that is separably connected with another object. Hence it indicates the possessive relation that exists between two objects as seen by the subject of the sentence. The possessor of the object of the action must be the third person, regardless of number. If, however, it is absolutely necessary to indicate the number of the possessor, this is accomplished by means of suffixing to the possessed object the possessive suffixes for the third person singular, dual or plural (see § 88). It is noteworthy that the possessed object appears in the absolutive form, and not with the locative case endings, as might be expected. The pronominal suffixes expressing the subject of the action follow the suffix \(-\bar{u} t\); and as this suffix ends in a consonant, and some of the subjective pronouns begin with a consonant, the pronouns are frequently preceded by a connecting, weak rowel (see § 4). There exists undoubtedly an etymological connection between the \(\bar{u}\) of this suffix and the \(\bar{u}\) of the direct object of the third person - \(\bar{u} n\) (see \(\S \S 23,28\) ). For the \(\bar{u}\) of \(-\bar{u} t\) the diphthong \(a^{\bar{u}}\) is quite frequently substituted. This interchange has been discussed in \& 2.
sî'nxī- to desire 18.5
hamx- to tie 8.6
yax- to see 34.4
hin- to take along 23.2
\(y a^{\prime} q^{u^{2}}\) - to see 23.9
yax- to see 34.4
\(y a^{a} k!\cdot\) small 36.23
haw- to end, to make 14.6
tak \({ }^{u}\) - to take 7.5
sî' \(n^{i} \propto y \bar{u} t n ~ h i ̄ t s \imath^{\prime}{ }^{i}\) I like his house ha'mxaūln tcīl I tie his hands yīxa'yūtanx mîtà you see his father
ninna'yūtanx L!xmī'tū you took his bow along
ut ya', u'y \(y \bar{u} t\) mê'ch'la \(a^{i}\) and he saw her vulva (bad thing) 90.10
\(y \bar{a} x \hat{c}^{\prime} x \bar{x} t a^{u} x t c u^{\prime} x^{u}{ }^{u}\) he saw their (dual) rulvas 90.15
\(y \bar{a} k \cdot{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} t c^{i} t \bar{u} t: x w \bar{a}^{\prime} k a\) she cut his head into pieces 96.11
hui'na haū'ūt ha different she made his mind 58.9
t. 'i'ya lukwa'kū̀ ants māt.'ī' bear had seized that his older brother 5s.16
\(q w a^{\prime \prime} n\) - to pour 29.2

Rate'- to ask 66.16
ul wàn qwa'n nū Laaya'tce they two) now pour it into his mouth 96.7
uftcî hatcंa'yūt hai you ask her (literally, and you ask about her mind [opinion]) 74.8
§:36. Suffix Expressing an Object Possessed by a First or Second Person Object -ūlts ( - 凤ŭhts)

This suffix has the same function as \(-\bar{u} t\), but differs from it in so firr as the possessor of the object must be either a first or a second person. The number of the possessor, when required, is indicated by the possessire suffixes added to the possessed object (see § 88). Owing to the variability of the person of the possessor, this suffix convers, besides the idea of a possessive relation between two objects, also the connection that exists between subject and object. Hence it assumes a function, limited in scope, but similar in character to the suffix for the combined subject and object pronouns. This functional similarity is indicated even in the phonetic composition of the suffix. -utts is undoubtedly a compound suffix consisting of the previously discussed \(-\bar{u} t\) and of the suffix for the subject and object pronoun - \(\bar{u} t s\) (see \(\S 29\) ). It is not inconceivable that the original form may have been -ütuts, contracted later on into- ütts. A comparison of the Siuslaw transitive indicative suffixes shows that the majority of them have the \(\bar{u}\) in common. Hence it may be claimed that the \(\bar{u}\) originally conveyed the idea of a transitive indicative action (see \(\S 23\) ); and as the \(\bar{u}\) was already present in the first element of this suffix \((-\bar{u} t)\), it may have been omitted as superfluous in the second part.

Owing to this additional function of this suffix as a medium of expressing subject and object pronouns, the subjective pronouns are added to it in a method similar to the one employed in the suffixation of the subjective pronouns to the suffix -uts (see § 25 ). After certain consonants this suffix is changed into - \(a^{\bar{u}} \mathrm{l} t \mathrm{~s}\) (see §2).

> hin-to take along 23.2 hinna'yūttsanx \(L\) ! \(x=\bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} t \bar{\imath}\) I take along thy bow
> \(y \hat{\imath} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t t s a n x q a^{\prime} n n \hat{\imath}\) I look at thy face
> L.'xu'yūtsanx mît̀̀ qnà I knov thy father
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline wax- to give 18.2 & waxa'yūttsanx tā'kîn mî'nixw \\
\hline & will give thee my lightning 38.1, 2 \\
\hline L! \(x\) mā- to kill 15.3 & s!xmaíyūttsanx min \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) sk \({ }^{u}\) qnīxats \\
\hline tsxan- to comb & you killed my younger brother \\
\hline tsxan- to comb & tsxana'yūltsanx hī' \(q \bar{u}^{i}\) you combed my hair \\
\hline lak \({ }^{u}\) - to take 7.5 & tikwa'yüttsin ants qal•tc he took that my knife \\
\hline L! \(x(\bar{u})\) - to know 40.16 & L!xu'yüttsîn mîtì he knows my father \\
\hline & \(s^{E}\) às L! \(x \bar{u}^{\prime} y u \bar{u} t \operatorname{san} x\) mîtic he knows thy father \\
\hline \(y a x-\) to see 34.4 & \({ }^{\text {®àds }}\) ŷ̂x \(x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t t \operatorname{sanx} q a^{\prime} n n \hat{\imath}\) he looks at thy face \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 37. Suffixes Denoting Possessive Interrelations for Tenses other} than the Present -īsīti, -awītî, -yaxaitî

When possessive interrelations that occur in tenses other than the present are to be expressed, the Siuslaw language resorts to an interesting form of composition of suffixes. Thus the durative suffix -is (see § 69), the intentional (see § 70), and the past -y/ax (see § 74 ), are combined with the possessive suffix -itt (see § 88), forming new compound suffixes \(-\bar{i} s i t \hat{\imath},-a^{w} i t \hat{\imath}\), and \(-y^{2} x^{i} t \hat{\imath}\), that indicate semi-reflexire actions performed constantly, or about to be performed, or performed long ago. In these new suffixes no sharp line of demarcation is drawn between objects that are inseparably comnected with the subject, and objects that are possessed by the sulject.
y \(a^{a^{\prime}} k!\) !- small 36.23
\(y \bar{a} k^{\prime} \cdot \bar{i} s\) he is constantly (get- \(k w \bar{z}^{\prime} t c \hat{\imath} y \bar{a}^{\prime} k:\) 'issiti \(h a^{i}\) don't ye be ting) small
haw- to finish 14.6
 hect and you don't believe it thus (literally, and you, not thus, make continually your mind) 46.24
qa'xanto liou'wisitc lice downward make continually your hearts 8.10
\(h \bar{i} n\) - to take along 23.2
 will take along your knife (literally, you, and you, knife, take along will always yours) 50.16, 17
\[
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n} i^{w} n-\text { to do } 10.5 \\
& a^{-n} i^{-1} w_{n} s \text { (we) always do it } \\
& \quad 72.15
\end{aligned}
\]
hum, \({ }^{-}\)- to tie S. 6
ham.re \(\theta^{w}\) - to intend to tie
\(\nu \bar{a}, c-\) to shut (eye) 36.16
puat \({ }^{w_{-}}\)to be about to close
\(y \bar{a}^{\prime}\) xate'- to try to look \(13 . \bar{\imath}\)
\(y \bar{a} x a t c^{\prime} a^{w}\) - to intend to try to look
\({ }^{u}{ }^{2} E_{n} x^{2} n^{-1 x} n \bar{\imath} s i=\bar{\imath}\) still we will keep on doing cur . . . T2.17
hamax \(l^{\prime w} \bar{i} t \hat{\imath} n h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} q \bar{u}^{i} \mathrm{I}\) intend tying my hair
 my eyes
 tend to try to look for my boy tomorrow 60.1, 2
tquya \(a^{w}\) - to intend to boil
hamm- to tie.s. 6
hu'mxya, he tied
\(p \bar{a} x\) - to close \(3 f .16\)
\(p \bar{a}^{\prime} x y a x\) he closed
\({ }^{u} \eta^{E} n s\) tquya \(a^{\prime w} \bar{i} t \bar{\imath}\) we will cook (our camas) 98.3

Jea'm,ryund \(l^{i} \eta \bar{\imath} \hbar \bar{u}^{\prime} q \bar{u}^{i}\) he tied his hair
\(p \bar{a}^{\prime} a y a x a^{i} t \hat{\imath} n\) liop, I elosed my eyes
A similar process is resorted to whenever the prohibitive mode (see \(\S 40\) ) of an action denoting that the object is possessed by the subject is to be expressed. In such cases the durative -is (sce \(\S 69\) ) is combined with the possessive -itt - (see § S8), and the whole verb is preceded by the negative particle \(k \bar{u}^{i}\), kumu'nte not (see § 131).
torenue- to comb
\(l l^{\prime} \cdot a\) to open 25.2
hinn-to take along 23.2
haw- to tinish, to work 14.6
livènx tsxa'nū̀sīt̄ \(h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} q \bar{u}^{i}\) don't comb thy hair!
\(k \bar{u}^{i} t s\) th'.'a'a \(a^{i} s \bar{\imath} t \bar{\imath}\) Laa' don't you (pl.) open your mouths!
Ziwine hín nsiti \(s^{\prime} x u^{\bar{\imath}}\) don't take thy canoe along!
 Ja don't ye be continually downhearted (literally, not ye, downwards, make continually your, hearts) 8.10 (§§38-39)
§ 38 . Passive Suffixes for Verbs Requiring in the Lctive a Double Objert -ime, -ūme (-a \(\bar{u}_{\mathrm{mE}}\) )
-imer. This suffix invariably follows the verbalizing \(-\bar{\imath}\) or \(-a^{i}\) (see \(\S 75\) ), and seems to express the passive voice of verbs that require in the active the presence of a double (direct and indirect) object, although it will be found suffixed to verbal stems that do not necessarily require such a double object. Whenever the subjective pronouns are added to it, the obscure \(E\) of this suffix is changed into a weak \(a\) or \(\hat{\imath}\). The form -ime occurs in terminal position only. This suffix follows all temporal suffixes.
wax- to give 18.2 waxa'yimanx qanínal it (will) be given to you, (a) big knife 19.6 \(h \bar{u}^{\prime} q\) ! a waxa'yūsime \(a^{\prime} n t s^{E} t o\) mâtà dentalia shells are usually given to him, to that her father 74.19
hits- to put on 11.10
haw- to end, to make, 14.6
hūtsolyūmin it is put on me
trunx \(h \bar{\imath}^{i \prime}\) sa haw \(a^{\prime} y \bar{\imath} m e\) ha \(a^{i}\) they are just good-minded toward thee (literally, just thee well it is made towards, mind) 21.1

In two instances this suffix has been added to a stem without the aid of the verbalizing \(-\bar{i}\left(-a^{i}\right)\).

This suffix may be preceded-for the sake of emphasizing its passive function-by the present passive -xtom (sec §55). In such cases the verbalizing suffix is omitted.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline hīts- to put on 11.8 & wau' ants hitcsixamime said that one on whom it was put on 11.10 \\
\hline \(q \bar{u}^{e} n-\) to pour & \({ }^{u} \ell\) ẁn qu'm'xctmīme and now it was poured down into his . . . 29.2 \\
\hline \(\bar{a} q\) - to take off 13.1 & aqa \(a^{\overline{2}}\), camime it was taken ofl him \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- \(\overline{\prime \prime}\) me has the same function as -ime, and is used in connection with similar verbal stems. It differs from it only in so far as it is added directly to the stem. An explanation of the parallel occurrence of \(-\bar{u} m e\) and \(-a^{\bar{u}} m E\) has been given in \(\$ 2\).
> wa, to give \(18.2 \quad t_{E^{\prime \prime}}\) qin vaxa \(a^{\bar{u}} m_{E}\) what do yougive me (literally, something to me, it is given?) 18.2
> qani'nal waxa \(a^{u^{\prime}}\) manx a big knife is given to you 21.4
> \(p^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) - to be noisy 36.24
> \(w a^{i} y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) té \(q{ }^{u} \bar{l} p^{\imath^{\prime}} \bar{u} m E\) they made noise with everything (literally, although many things [they have], still it is made noise with) 29.1

§39. Passire Suffixes Denoting Possessive Relations of the Subject -ūltx, -xamltx

These suffixes express, besides the passive voice, also the fact that the recipient of the action is either possessed or forms an integral part of a given object.
\(-\bar{u} l t x\) seems to be composed of the suffix \(-\bar{u} l\), which indicates that the object forms an integral part of or is possessed by another object (see § 35), and of the suftix -tx, denoting that the object is an integral part of the subject (see § 33). If this is the origin of the compound suffix, the amalgamation of these two independent suffixes into one new formative element that expresses the passive roice, and at the same time contains the idea of a possessive relation between object (grammatical subject) and object, presents a problem that must remain unexplained. The person of the possessed subject is indicated by the suffixed subjective pronouns (see \(\S 24\) ). The stem to which this suffix is added occurs frequently in an amplified form (see § 112). Stems ending in \(i\) (short or long) change it into \(y\) before adding the passive suffix (see § 8).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { laku- to take, to get T.5 kumâ'ntc }{ }^{w} a x \text { lakwa' } \bar{u} l t x \text { ants qi'ūtc } \\
& \text { lakwa'ūttxa }{ }^{u} x \text { ta'tce } c^{w} a x q^{-\quad} \bar{u} t c \text { taken } \\
& \text { away were these their (dual) } \\
& \text { wives 52.3, } 4
\end{aligned}
\]
\(t \bar{u}^{*}\) - (also \(t!\bar{u}^{0}\) ) to buy \(74.8 \quad k u m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t c^{E} n x\) tx \(\bar{u} \quad t!\bar{u} h a^{\prime} \bar{u} \neq t x a n x\) t.āme not for nothing will they buy your child (literally, not [of] thee just bought [will be] thy child) 74.5
\(x \bar{a} L!\) '- to make 50.8
sîn \(n x \bar{\imath}-\) to desire 18.5
tsî'nxī- to scorch
hatc'- to ask 66.16
\(\sin ^{i} x y \bar{u}^{\prime} u x \bar{a}_{L}!a^{\prime} \bar{u} t t x\) they try to
find some remedy (literally, they desire [that] made [cured] be his mind) 15.5
hūya'ūtc.c hat his mind will be made different 19.2
sî'n \({ }^{i}\) ixyūttxanx t'amo thy child is desired (asked for) 74.4
\({ }^{u} l a^{u} x\) tsina \(a^{\prime} x^{i} y u \bar{l} t x q^{u}{ }^{2} \bar{t}^{\prime} m t\) and their (dual) anus [will] be scorched 88.7
ha'tc'yaxaūtex hai ants qī̄utcūn̂र्乞 (when) asked was her opinion, that woman \(\overline{74.16}\)
\(\left(-a^{\bar{u}} t t x=-\bar{u} l t x\right.\) see \(\left.\S 2\right)\)
In many instances this suffix is preceded by the verbalizing - \(a^{i}\) (see §§ 75,8\()\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline skwaha \({ }^{\prime \prime}\) he stands 14.4 & \({ }^{u} \bar{t}\) skwaha'yūttrx teqgy \(\bar{u}^{\prime u}\) then is stood up its (of the house) frame 80.7 \\
\hline \(t k w \vec{i}-\) to bury 80.10 & tkwīha'yūltix qaw \({ }^{u_{n}}{ }^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y \bar{u} w \bar{i} t c ~ a n t s\) lūtsi'i dirt is put on both sides (of) that house 80.10, 11 \\
\hline hatc'- to ask 66.16 & . . . ants hatc'a'yūttx hai (when of) that one is asked his opinion Tt. 4,5 \\
\hline waia \({ }^{\text {i }}\) he says 8.9 & vaa'yūttran mîtà \(m y\) father is spoken to \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
-xamltx is undoubtedly composed of the suffix for the present passive -xam (see §55), of the abbreriated - \(\bar{u} t\) (see § 355), and of the suffix -tx (see \(\S 33\) ). When it is remembered that this suffix can be added only to verbs that require a double object, the amalgamation of these three independent formative elements into one suffix for the purpose of expressing the passive voice of an act whose recipient (grammatical subject) stands in some possessive relation to one of
the three persons (speaker, person spoken to, or person spoken of), becomes at once apparent.
The use of this suffix may be illustrated by an example. The verb to put on requires a double object, because it implies the idea to put sometimeg on somebodr, or vice versâ. Hence Siuslaw renders the English sentence his hat was fut on (really, his hat was put on nim) by a complex consisting of the verbal stem and the compound suffix -xamttc. In this suffix the first element, -xam, indicates that the action is passive (performed by somebody upon the recipient); the second element, \(-t\)-, denotes that the direct object (in this case the noun нат) is possessed by the recipient of the action; while the last element, -trr (which when used alone indicates that the object forms an integral part of the subject), serves to bring out the idea that the action is performed upon the indirect object (on mim) which (in this case) can no be separated from the (logieal) subject (his hat).

The persons that are implied in the possessive relations as indicated by this suffix are expressed by means of the subjective pronouns added to it (see \(\S 24\) ). Since the first element of this compound suffix is the present passive -acam, the manner in which it is added to the verbal stem is similar to that employed in the suffixation of -xam (see §55).
\(\bar{a} q\) - to take off \(13.1 \quad a_{q} a^{i}, x a m t t r a n ~ t h i w a^{\prime} n u q^{u}\) taken off (me) is my hat
hits- to put on 11.8
\(t\) ' \(^{\prime} m, c^{u}\) - to cut off
taku- to take (array) 7.5
hītsi'xamttxan tkwa'nuqu put on (me) is my hat
t.'Emxwa'sumltxanx tcīl cut off (thee) was thy hand
\(\mathcal{s}^{E} a t \cdot i^{\prime} t c^{w} a x\) waa'xam a'ntsux zoFivi'xamltx qī'ūtc thus were told those two from whom the wives were taken a way (literally, thus ther two were told, those two [of] whom taken away were [those their dual] wives) 54.14

Imperative Forms Denoting Pronominal and Possessive Interrelations ( \(\$ 80-48\) )

\section*{§40. Introductory}

In the following sections there will be diseussed suffixes that express not only the imperative mode, but also the exhortative.
§ 40

Besides separate suffixes indicating the imperative of intransitive and transitive rerbs (see \(\delta \delta 61,62\) ), Siuslaw shows distinct suffixes that express the pronominal and possessive interrelations between subject and objert.

Another interesting feature that may be noted in connection with the formation of the imperative mode is the presence of a distinct negative form of the imperative or prohibitive mode, and the manner in which it is expressed. Generally speaking, the durative suffix \(-\bar{\imath} s\) (see \(\S 69\) ), used in connection with the subjective pronouns for the second persons (see \(\S 24\) ), and in addition to the particle of negation (see § 131), expresses the prohibitive mode. This idiomatic expression may be justified by the fact that a prohibitive command addressed to the second person has mach in common with the negative form of a durative action performed by the same person.

Owing to the fact that the imperative suffixes express other categories than a command, the prohibitive form of the imperative referring to such categories is expressed by adding to the durative \(-\bar{\imath} s\) the respective suffixes that denote the non-imperative idea (see \(\S \S 29,30,33,35,36,37\) ). Examples of the prohibitive mode and a detailed description of its formation will be found in \(\$ \$ 60-62,42-46\).
§41. Exhortutive Sufixes Expressing the Dirert Objert of the Third

These three suffixes express an admonition to perform an action having a third (not mentioned) person as its object. The difference between \(-y \bar{u} n\) and \(-\bar{i} y y \bar{u} n\) could not be traced to any particular cause, owing chiefly to the fact that the latter form occurs very seldom. The informant always rendered the first two suffixes by a transitive future, and they seem to have been employed quite extensively in this secondary function.
\(-y \bar{u} n\) is sufixed to verbs expressing transitive ideas only, and the stem to which it is added always occurs in an amplified form (see \(\S \S 7\), 112).
\(a^{i} q\) - to leave \(\check{56.6}\)

L! \(\bar{o} x-\) to send 16.10
> \(t a^{i \prime} k_{i} n s a y a^{\prime} q y \bar{u} n t E l t t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{i} a^{i}\) here we two (incl.) will leare this salmon (literally, let us two leave)
> n. \({ }^{\prime o u x a}{ }^{\prime}\) myūn lītc I will send these people (literally; let me send) 30.19
\(a n x\) - to give up 60.11

L!xmañ \({ }^{\prime}\) - to kill 15.3
\(\boldsymbol{l}^{i} t!-\) to eat 15.2
hamx- to tie 8.6
kumê'ntcîn \(\ddagger\) ana'xyūn not we (incl.) will give it up (literally, don't let us two) 16.8
L!xmeya'yünant we (incl.) will kill him (literally, let us kill him) 28.3

Kumê'ntc \(\overline{\imath^{\prime}} t \cdot \hat{\imath} y u n\) not he will eat it (literally, don't let him eat it) 34.22
hama'ryün he will tie it (literally, let him tie it)
\(-\overline{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{y} \overline{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \boldsymbol{n}\) exercises apparently the same function as the first suffix, but does not necessarily require amplification of the stem to which it is added.


In an analogous manner Siuslaw seems to have formed an exhortative suffix expressing the direct object of the first person. This is done by substituting -ts (see § 23) for the \(-n\). As but few examples of this formation were obtained, a full discussion is impossible. The examples follow.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(y a q^{u^{2}}\) - to look, to see 25.3 & \(y a q^{u^{i}} y \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w y u t s a t c \hat{\imath}\) ye look at me \\
& \(72.11,12\) \\
\(L!x \bar{u}\) - to know 40.7 & \(L!x^{u} w a^{\prime} x^{u} y \bar{u} t s a^{\prime} t c \hat{\imath}\) ye shall know \\
& me 30.17 \\
\(k a^{\bar{u}} \mathcal{S}\) - to follow 92.7 & \(k^{i} w a s^{i} y \bar{u}^{\prime} t s a n a^{\bar{u}}\) you shall follow me \\
& 92.3 \\
\(h \bar{u} n\) - to take along 9.5 & \(h^{i} y a^{\prime} n y \bar{u} t \tan x\) I will take you along \\
& 58.6
\end{tabular}
\(-\bar{\imath} n \bar{\imath}\) is suffixed to transitive verbs, and is always used in connection with the exhortative particle \(q a^{i} t\) (see § 129). The subjective pronouns for the first and third persons as the performers of the action are always added to the particle (see § 26). This suffix appears frequently as \(-a^{\bar{i}} n \bar{\imath}\) (see § 2 ).
§ 41

wa ' to speak 7.1
tqūz- to shout 52.8
hĩts- to put on 11.8
\(t \bar{u} n\) - to invite 16.2
(them)! 52.12, 13
\(q a^{i} \bar{l} w a a^{i} n \bar{\imath}\) let him speak to him!
 shout at him!
 \(q a^{i} t^{E} n t t \bar{u} n \bar{u}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) let us (incl.) invite

\section*{§42. Imperative Suffix Expressing the Direct Object of the First Person -its (-alts)}

This suffix is added directly to the stem, and commands the person addressed (subject) to perform an act upon an object which must be one of the first persons. The -ts of this suffix is undoubtedly identical with the -ts found in all suffixes that express first and second persons objects (see \(\S \S 23,29,34,36\) ). The combined pronominal forms that are added to this suffix can be only those indicating the second persons as the subject and the first persons as the object of the action (see table, \(p_{p}{ }^{2} .473,474\) ). In this connection the following peculiarities may be noted:
(1) The singular subject is not expressed, being understood in the command.
(2) Dual and plural objects are not expressed in the suffixes, but are indicated by means of the independent personal pronouns for the first persons.
(3) For a singular object the subjective pronoun for the first singular \((-n)\) is added to the imperative suffix.
(4) For dual and plural subjects the subjective pronouns for these persons are added to the imperative -itts.
The following table will best serve to illustrate these four rules:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Thou & Ye & You \\
\hline Me . . & -itsin & -itsats & -ìtsatcî \\
\hline Us two (exclusive) . . & -ītsauxûn & -ītsats & -ītsatci \\
\hline Us (exclusive) . . . & -itsanxan & -ītsats & -itsatci \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The subjective pronouns beginning with a consonant are added by neans of a weak \(a\)-vowel (see \(\S \S 4,24\) ).
This imperative suffix occurs often as \(-a^{i} t s\) (see § 2).
\(w a a^{\prime}\) - to speak 7.1
hin- to take along 23.2
L! wan- to tell 7.3
yaqu \({ }^{2}\) - to look 23.9
\(a^{i} g\) - to leave 56.5
wa'a \(a^{\bar{i}} t \sin n t \bar{a}^{\prime} k \hat{k} n\) wa'as speak to me (with) this my language! 36.10
\(h^{\prime}\) 'nūtsîn take me along!
L!'wā'nūtsin tell me!
\({ }^{\prime}\) ' \(w \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{n} t \operatorname{tanxan}\) tell us (excl.)!
ya'quithtsats te nà look ye at me! \(a^{i^{\prime}} q^{i} a^{i} t s a t c \hat{c}\) you leave me!

The prohibitive form is expressed by combining the durative -is with the objective form \(-\bar{u} t s\) and by placing the particle of negation \(k \bar{u}^{i}, k u m \hat{h}^{\prime} n t c\), before the verbal expression (see \(\S \S 69,29,60\) ). The pronominal suffixes are those used to express the second person as the subject, and the first person as the object, of an action (see \(\S 24\) and table, pp. 473, 474).
hin-to take along 23.2 Kwinx hī'nēsūts don't take me along!
\(k w \bar{z}^{\prime} n x a n ~ h \bar{t}^{\prime} n \bar{n} s \bar{u} t s\) don't take us (excl.) along!
\(q^{n^{u^{i}} \text { - to find } 34.12}\)
\(k w i n x q^{n} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) wīsüts don't find me!
§ 4.3. Imperative Suffix Indieatin! the Indirect Object of the Third Person-yūx

This suffix is etymologically related to the suffix \(-\bar{u} x\) discussed in § 30. It is added to verbs requiring the presence of a direct and indirect object, and it expresses a command that involves the third person (singular. dual and plural) as the recipient of the action.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline wax- to give 18.2 & wa'xyūx give it to him! \\
\hline & wa'syūxanx give it to them! \\
\hline qu \(\bar{u}^{*} n\) - to pour 29.2 & \(q w a^{\prime \prime} n y \bar{u} x\) Laaya'to pour it down into his mouth! 29.2 \\
\hline hetts- to put on 11.8 & \(h^{i} y a^{\prime} t s y \bar{u} x\) put it on him! \\
\hline hamer- to tie 8.6 & ha'mxyūx tie it on him! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The prohibitive mode is obtained by combining the durative \(-i s\) (see §69) with the suffix \(-\bar{u} x\) (see § 30) and by placing the particle \(k \cdot \bar{u}^{i}\) or kumíntc (see § 131), before the verbal expression.
wax- to give 18.2
hèts- to put on 11.8
\(q \bar{u} n\) - to pour 29.2
kwinn wa'xais \(\bar{u} x\) don't give it to him!
kwinx \(h^{i} y a^{\prime} t s i s \bar{s} \bar{x} x\) don't put it on him!
Eumê'ntce \(n x q\) qua'n \(n \bar{s} \bar{u} \cdot x\) don't pour it (into his mouth)!
§44. Imperative Suffix Denoting the Indirect Object of the First Person -imts

This suffix expresses a command to perform an act the indirect recipient of which is the first person. It is etymologically related to the imperative suffix -its (see \(\S 42\) ) and to the objective form -emts (see § 31), being composed of the initial element of the former suffix and of the whole of the latter formation (see \(\S 23\) ). The method of adding the pronominal forms to this suffix is identical with the method discussed on pp. 472-475.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline wax- to give 18.2 & wā'x \(\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{mtsin}\) give it to me! \\
\hline & \(w \bar{a}^{\prime} \times \bar{m} \mathrm{~m}^{\text {a }}\) anxan give it to us! \\
\hline hits- to put on 11.8 & \(h^{i} y a^{\prime}\) tsimtsîn put it on me! \\
\hline hamx- to tie 8.6 & ha'mximtsatc \(\hat{\imath}\) you (pl.) tie it on me! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The suffixed particle \(-\bar{u}\) (see § 132) is frequently added to this combined suffix. In such eases it denotes an act performed near the speaker.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline to return 12.6 & mwird. \({ }^{\prime}\) 'mtsin \(n \bar{u}\) give it back to me! \\
\hline hamx- to tie 8.6 & humxi'mtsinu tie it \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The prohibitive mode is expressed by combining the durative \(-\bar{i} x\) (see § 69) with the suftix -Emts (see § 31 and also § 40).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline wax- to give 18.2 & kwins wa'su'semts don't give it to me! \\
\hline hits- to put on 11.8 & kwinx hiya'tsīsemts nùtc don't put it on me! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
§45. Imperative Suffx Denoting that the Object is Possessed by a Third Person-il.

This suffix indicates that the possessor of the recipient of the action is the third person singular. Duality and plurality of the possessor is expressed by suffixing the subjective pronouns for the third persons dual and plural (see § 2t) to the possessed object (see §35). This suffix is added directly to the stem, and is related (phonetically and etymologically) to the suffix \(-\bar{u}\), indicating that the object is possessed by a third person object (see \(\S \S 23,35\) ). Duality and plurality of the subject of the action are expressed by adding the subjective pronouns \(-t s\) and \(-t c \hat{c}\) (see § 2t) to the suffix -it; and as these pronouns begin with
a consonant, they are merged with the imperative suffix by means of a weak \(\alpha\)-rowel (see § 4).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(y \bar{u}^{w i_{L}!\text { - to break } 94.4}\) & \(y \bar{u}^{\prime} L!\bar{\imath} t\) qal'tc break his knife! \\
\hline tsxanu- to comb & tsxa'nwīt lin'q \(\bar{u}^{i}\) comb his hair! \\
\hline \(h \bar{n}\) - to take along 23.2 &  \\
\hline fān- to call 23.7 & fā'n \(\bar{u} t \bar{z}^{\prime} n t c^{w} a x\) call their (dual) names! \\
\hline hamx- to tie 8.6 & \(h a^{\prime} m x i{ }^{\prime}\) tcī' \(L t c^{i} n x\) tie their hands! hamxítats tocil you two tie his hands! \\
\hline \(t!E^{\prime} m x \bar{u}-\) to cut 48.12 & \(t!E m x \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} k a t c \hat{\imath}, x w \bar{a}^{\prime} k a\) you cut (off) his head! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The prohibitive mode is expressed by combining the durative \(-i s\) (see § 69) with the suffix \(-\bar{u} t\) (see § 35) and by placing the negation \(k \bar{u}^{i}, k u m \hat{\imath}\) 'ntc мот before the verb (see § 40).
\(y \bar{u}^{w_{L} L_{L}!-}\) to break \(94.4 \quad k w \bar{\imath} n x y \bar{u}^{\prime} L!\overline{\imath ̃} s \bar{u} t ~ q a l \cdot t c ~ d o n ' t ~ b r e a k ~\) bis knife!
hamx- to tie S.6 Kumî'ntcin \(6 x a^{\prime}\) mxīsūt tcīL don't tie his hands!
tsxanu- to comb
\(k w \bar{n} n x\) tsxa'nwīsūt \(h^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u}^{i}\) don't comb his hair!

\section*{§ 46. Imperative Suffix Indicating that the Object is Possessed by a First Person -ilts}

It expresses a command to perform an action, whose recipient is either possessed or forms an integral part of the first person. It is related to the imperative \(-\bar{i} t s\) (see \(\S 42\) ) and to the suffix \(-\bar{u} t t s\) discussed in § 36. The combined pronominal forms that are added to this suffix for the purpose of indicating the number of subject and possessor are identical with those discussed on pp. 472-475.
\(x \bar{a} L!\) '- to make \(50.8 \quad x \bar{a}^{\prime} L\). \(\bar{\imath} t t s i n n q a l \cdot t c\) fix my knife!
ramL- to wash
hin- to take along 23.2
hamx- to tie 3.6
\(x a^{\prime} m L i ̄ t t s \hat{\imath} n q a^{\prime} n n \imath ̂\) wash my face!
\(h \bar{t}^{\prime} n i \bar{l} t s a t c \hat{c} s i^{\prime} x a^{i}\) you take my canoe along!
 hands!

The probibitive form is obtained by combining the durative -is (see § 69) with the suffix -ūtts (see § 36). The negative particle \(k \bar{u}^{i}\), kumíntc \(}\)
the person spoken to may be suftixed either to the negation or to the combined suffix (see \(\$ \$ 40,26\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tsxanu- to comb & lwinx tsxa'nwīsūtts hḕqū \({ }^{i}\) don't comb my hair! \\
\hline hamx- to tie 8.6 & kumîntccers ha'mā̃sūtts tcīL don't you two tie my hands! \\
\hline \(h \bar{n}\) - to take along 23.2 & \(k w \bar{i} t c \hat{\imath}\) hínissūlts \(\quad\) l!cmít \(\bar{\imath}\) don't you take along my bow! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
§47. Imperative Suffix Expressing Possessive Interrelations between Object and Subject -tsx

In the imperative the suffix -ts, is used for expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject in both cases, when the object forms an integral part of the subject and when it is only separably connected with it. Considering that actions involved in such a command presuppose the presence of a pronominal subject and object, it is not improbable that the suflix -tsx may be related to the suffixes -üts and -ittx (see \(\S \S 23,29,33\) ). For subjects other than the second person singular, the different subjective pronouns are added to -tsa (see §§ 24,4 ).
\(h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} k!y\) to rain 78.1
tsxanu- to comb
th!! \(a^{\prime}(1-\) to open 28.2
tak \(k^{u}\) - to take 7.5
L! \(\bar{o} x\) - to send 16.10
páx- to close 36.16
\(m \hat{n} n x^{u}\) - to lighten 38.5
\(a^{i} t c\) - to trade 36.4
\(7 a k^{u}\) - to get 7.5
\(h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} k!y\) - to rain 78.1
\(k \hat{\nu}^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}\) K! \({ }^{\prime} t s x x^{\prime}!^{\prime} a^{\prime a i}\) cause (thyy) rain to come down! T6.15
tsica'mutsx lei'y \(\bar{u}^{i}\) comb thy hair!
th:'u'utsid Lau' open thy month!
la'kutsx kea'nī get thy basket!
L.'ōxtsx hētc send thy man!
pāats, kopx shut thy eyes!
\(m \hat{u}^{\prime} n x^{u} t \operatorname{six} x!a^{\prime a i}\) make lightning! 38.5
\(a^{i} t c n a^{\prime h u} t s x^{x}+{ }^{2} s\) let us two (incl). trade!
le'kutsxats qu'ūtc you two take your wives! 52.17
 your min to descend \(\mathbf{i} 6.19\)
 make rain!

For the formation of the prohibitive mode see \(\S 37\).

\section*{§ \(\pm 5^{\circ}\). Exhortative Sufjix Expressing Possessive Interrelations Betuceen Object and Subject -itsme (-aitsme)}

This suttix may be called the imperativized form of the suffix - \(\bar{u} t s m\) denoting that the object is separably connected with the subject (see \(\$ \S 23,34\) ). It expresses, however, possessive relations between subject and object regardless of the kind of possession, and is used only in connection with the particle qaik (see § 129). By its means Siuslaw expresses a desire addressed to the first and third persons that a certain act be performed upon an object that either forms an integral part of or else is separably comected with the third person. All subjective pronouns are added to the particle \(q a^{i} \downarrow\) (see \(\S \S 2 t, 26\) ). Siuslaw has no distinct suffixes for the purpose of expressing possessive relations with the first or second persons as the possessor, or relations between subject and object. For the interchange between -itsme and - \(a^{i} t s m e\) see \(\S 2\).
 his eyes!
\(x \bar{a} L!'-\) to build 50.8
xamL- to wash
hits- to put on 11.8
 (incl.) fix his house!
\(q a^{i} t n x x a m \bar{u}^{\prime} t s m_{E} q a^{\prime} n n \hat{\imath}\) let them wash their faces!
 them two put on their (dual) hats!

\section*{MODAL SUFFIXES (§§ 49-64)}

\section*{§49. Introductory}

In the succeeding chapters will be discussed, besides the suffixes that indicate the passive voice and the imperative and exhortative modes, also the formative elements expressing such concepts as reciprocality, distribution, and tentative and negative actions. A separate section might have been devoted here to a discussion of the formative elements \(-\bar{u}\) and \(-\bar{\imath}\), the former expressing the indicative and the latter indicating the imperative mode. Since, however, these two elements never occur alone, and since they have been fully discussed in connection with other suffixes (see \(\S \$ 23,28,29,30,34,35,36,41,42,44,45,46\), 48 ), it has been thought adrisable to call attention here to their modal functions, but not to treat them separately.
§§ \(48-49\)
-naw(a) precedes all other suffixes, and is followed by the subjective pronouns. Owing to the fact that Sinslaw docs not permit chasters of \(w+\) any consonant (excepting \(n\) ), the \(1 \%\) of this suffix changes into a voiceless \(w\) (written here \({ }^{h u}\) ) before all consonants except \(n\) (see \(\S \pm)\). For that reason the reciprocal -nuw( \(\alpha\) ), when followed by the present \(-t\) (see § i2), the future -tux (see § TO), or by the imperative \(-E m\) (see \(\S 61\) ), is heard as \(-n a^{h u} t\), \(-n t^{h u} t \bar{u}_{c^{\prime}}\), and \(-n t^{h u} m\) respectively.

The stem to which this suffix is added is not infrequently followed by the reflexive particle tsîms (see \(\S 123\) ). The full form -nawa is added when the suffix stands in final position; that is to say, when it expresses the subjective pronoun for the third person singalar (see \(\$ 2 \pm\) ).
\(L \bar{\sigma}\) - to hit
winx- to be afraid 17.6
\(w a a^{\prime}-\) to speak 7.1
\(\sin ^{\prime} n x \bar{\imath}-\) to desire 18.5
winx- to fear 17.6
tqūt- to shont 52.8
\(a^{i} t c\) - to triade 36.4

Lōtna'uans we two (incl.) hit each other
 hit each other
Lotnc' wats ts \({ }^{\prime}\) èms you two hit each other
win \({ }^{E_{c}} n a^{\prime} w a^{u} x\) they two were afraid of each other \(86.1,2\)
wauna' wa \(a^{\prime}{ }^{c}\) they two talk to each other 10.4
\(s^{E} a^{-5} e^{-1} t c^{w} a, x\) wana'wa thus they two speak to each other 10.1, 2
waanu' wisu \({ }^{u} d\) ants \(m^{a} \bar{u}^{\prime} t \bar{t}\) they two keep on talking to each other, those chiefs 75.5. 9
\(a^{\prime} \operatorname{tsent}\) humînte mīk.'anu sinixna'wis thus we (incl.) won't try to abuse one another (literally, thus we not badly will desire [to abuse] one another continually) \(78.1 \approx .13\)
winernumanxan tsims we (excl.) are afraid of one another.
tquetna'ware they shout at one another.
\(a^{i}\) tenu \({ }^{\prime h u} t \bar{u} d^{* E} n . w^{\text {we }}\) wo (whel.) will trade 3 7. 7
 traded 36.7
\(L \bar{o} t-\) to hit
tqūl- to shout 52.8
yaqu \({ }^{u^{*}}\) - to look 23.9
waa'- to speak 7.1

Lṑna \(a^{\prime h u t} \bar{u} x t s\) you two will hit each other
Lōlna'humats you two hiteach other! tqūlna \({ }^{\prime h u} t \bar{u} n x a n\) we (excl.) will shout at one another
yaqukinna \({ }^{\prime h u}\) matc \(\hat{\imath}\) look you at one another!
waana \({ }^{\prime h u t x a n x}\) wa'as they speak one another's language

In two instances this suffix is followed by the verbalizing \(-a^{i}\) implying the commencement of a reciprocal action. For an explanation of this inchoative idea see \& 75.
wa \(a^{\prime}\) - to speak \(7.1 \quad a t s i^{\prime} t c^{w} a x\) wannawa \({ }^{i \prime}\) thus they two (begin to) talk to each other 78.13
\(k \bar{u}^{\prime} n\) - to beat 72.17
\({ }^{u}{ }_{\text {E }} n x\) wàn \(k{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n a^{\prime} w a^{i}\) now they (begin to) beat one another 80.1.

In a few instances this suffix is used to express distribution of action.
\(t!E^{\prime} m x \bar{u}^{u}\) - to cut 48.12 ut \(t!E m x^{u} n a^{\prime w} \bar{u}^{u} n\) he cut it into pieces (literally, he cut it here and there) \(52.23,24\)
tqu'nwî knot
\(s \bar{u}^{\prime} q u\) - to join 80.9
\(\iota \bar{a} p q\) - (?) 80.15
\(\bar{a}_{Y}\) - to take off 13.1
Zquanoináhutūn y \(\bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) he made lots of knots (literally, he made many knots here and there) \(s \bar{u} q^{u} n a^{\prime h} t_{t} \bar{u}_{n}\) he joined it together \({ }_{L} \bar{a} p q a n a^{\prime h u} t \bar{u} n\) he put them side by side
\(\bar{a} q n a^{\prime h u} t \bar{u} n\) he took it apart.
-mux"- has the same function as the preceding -naw( \(\alpha\) ), but is employed less often, and seems to be confined to a limited number of stems. This suffix is frequently affected by the shifting of the accent (see § 12).
 each other 10.6
\(s^{E} a t s i^{\prime} t c a^{u} x\) wa \(a^{i^{\prime}} m u x^{u}\) thus they two talk to each other 10.6, 7
atsi'tc \(c^{w} a x\) waa'yemxust thus they began to talk to each other 56.4
 talk to each other 48.13
tcanhatī- to club
\(k \hat{m} m a^{\tilde{u}_{L}!-}\) to hit
tcanhatī'mxutixa \({ }^{u} x_{x} q^{u} L_{i}{ }^{\prime} m t\) ants penê's they two were clubbing each other's anus, those skunks 86.9
kinma \(a^{\bar{\omega}} L_{\text {! }}\) muxwanx they hit one another

\section*{§ 51. Distributive -it'ux}

This suffix expresses the distributive of intransitive verbs. Owing to the fact that most nouns, even without the aid of any specific derice, may have the function of intransitive rerbs, this suffix will be found added to nouns, especially to terms of relationship. The initial \(\bar{\imath}\) is frequently changed into \(a^{i}\) (see § 2).

The form -ìt ax followed by certain subjective pronouns is subject to a peculiar law of contraction (see § 24 ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(k . \hat{i n}\) - to hear 70.5 & \(k!^{i} n a^{i} t\) 'axtc wa'as sā'ts! \(\bar{u} \hat{i}\) inq! \(a^{\prime}\) \(u^{i}\) two rivers will have one language (literally, hear mutually their language [the people of] two rivers) \(32.6,7\) \\
\hline \(s \bar{u}_{q} u\) - to join & \(s \bar{u}^{\prime} q \bar{u}^{i} t^{\prime} a x\) ants hītsīi \(i^{\prime} \bar{a} L \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime} y \bar{u} s n E\) adjoining these houses are built 80.9, 10. \\
\hline \({ }_{L} \bar{a} p q-(?)\) & atqa'to L!aya' ut cinnax hîtsīi \(x \bar{a}-\) L.' \(a^{\prime} y \bar{u}^{\prime} n e \quad L \bar{u}^{\prime} p q a^{i} t^{\prime} c\left(x x^{\prime}\right.\) on one place three houses are built side by side \(80.14,15\) \\
\hline nīcto- to fight &  mutually they two want (with them) 52.2 \\
\hline \(m^{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} s k^{u}\) younger brother 56.6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
 brother's mutually they two (were) 40.18 \\
 cat \(\alpha a a^{u},{ }^{\prime}\), māshuvitaxau \({ }^{\prime}\) (see § 24)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline mêctcı \({ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\text {i }}\) younger sister 40.2 & ma'ctcit̀ansan ( \(=\) ma'ctōt"uxanran ) sisters mutually we (excl.) are \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This suffix indicates an attempt on the part of the subject to perform a certain action, and may best be rendered by to attempt, to try . . . The native Sinslaw, unable to express its exact meaning, rendered it by various phrases, chiefly by sentences like to do something slowly, то "KIND OF" . . ., etc. Verbal stems ending in a consonant insert a weak vowel between its final sound and the suffix (see §4). In terminal position this suffix appears as -tc'ya (see § 24).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline yax- to see 34.4 & \(s t i ̄^{\prime} m^{E} n x\) yāxatc' \(a^{\prime} w a x\) there they intend to try to look 60.7 \(y \bar{a}^{\prime} x a t c{ }^{\prime} \bar{\imath} \operatorname{st}{ }^{E} n x\) līt!'aya' you (will) try to begin to look for food 13.7 \(y \bar{a} x u t c^{\prime} a^{u \prime}\) añt̂̂n \(t!\bar{a} m c\) I intend trying to look for my boy \(60.1,2\) \\
\hline Lxat- to run 12.3 & Lxa'tatc'乞st \(k!\bar{e} x \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) L!aya'tc he begins to attempt to run everywhere 13.s, 9 \\
\hline \(k \bar{u} n\) - to beat 72.17 & ut \(s^{E} a t s \bar{i}^{\prime} k!y c t k \bar{u} n \bar{u}^{\prime} t s w a\) that one very (hard) tries to beat (them) 78.18 \\
\hline \(t!\bar{u} 7 u a^{\prime}-\) to sell, to buy 74.5 & t'ūhatc' \({ }^{\prime}\) 'ntra \(a^{u} x\) (they two) try to sell their (dual) many (hides) \\
\hline lìt.' - to eat 13.10 & \(t z^{\prime} t^{\prime}\) !atc'în I eat slowly \\
\hline mix- to swim & \(m^{\overline{1}}\) 'xatc'ya he is "kind of" swimming \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\text { § 53. Negative -it }\left(-l^{i} t\right)
\]

This suffix expresses negation of action, and is used with intransitive verbs only. Negation of transitive verbs by means of a special suffix is not exhibited. The verbal stem to which this suffix is added must be preceded by the negative particles \(k \bar{u}^{i}\), kumíntc not (see § 131). An explanation of the parallel occurrence of \(-i t\) and \(-a^{i} t\) is given in § 2 .
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(a^{u_{s}-\text { to sleep } 23.9}\) & kumê'ntcenxan \(a^{u^{\prime}}\) sit not we (excl.) sleep 70.19 \\
\hline xintm- to travel 12.10 & Kumî̀nte nù \(k!a x \hat{\imath}\) 'ntmīt not alone he traveled 94.11 \\
\hline \(c \hat{c}^{\prime} n x \bar{i}-\) to think & ```
kumî'ntc n\hat{\imath}ctc\overline{\imathे}tc c\hat{\imath}
    anything he was thinking 60.
    20, 21
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x\) - to move, to shake \(27.2 k \bar{u}^{i}\) ci'l \(x \bar{x}^{\prime} t\) not he moved \(27.2,3\) §§ 52-53
\(w \bar{\imath} t w\) - to affirm, to answer 17.7 k \(\bar{u}{ }^{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime} t\) sa wítwit not (for) a long time he answered ot.t
\(t a^{i}\) - to live 32.21
sqū'ma "t Krumê'ntc ta'ìt înq! a'ītc pelican did not live in the bay 44.1
sinq!- to be hungry 44.11
\(x a \bar{u}\) - to die 40.21
\({ }^{u}\) tn \(k\) kumíntc sî'mq!ail I (am) not hungry 44.15, 16
Kumî'ntcxa'uйl he doesnot die 15.8
Modal Elements of the Passive Voice (§§54-59)
§ 5 4. Introductory
Siuslaw employs a great number of suffixes for the purpose of expressing the passive voice. Many of these suffixes express, besides the passive idea, some other grammatical category, and according to this secondary function they may be divided into the following elasses:
(1) Pure passive suffixes.
(2) Suffixes conveying the passive voice and temporal categories.
(3) Passive suffixes indicating pronominal and possessive interrelations.

The suffixes of the last category have been fully discussed in \(\$ \$ 38\) and 39.

\section*{§5.5. Present Possire-xam}

It expresses the present tense of the passive voice, and may be added directly to the stem or may be preceded by the verbalizing suflix \(-a^{i}\) \((-\bar{i})\) (see \(\S \S 75,2\) ). In the latter case it conveys an inchoative passive idea. In narratives this suffix assumes the function of an historic present. Stems ending in a consonant insert a weak vowel between their final sound and the suffix (see § 4).
 was sent 16.10
qaa- to enter 44.4
wa' - to speak 7.1
witw- to affirm 17.7
skwai - to stand 10.9
hatc'- to ask 66.16
\(t a k^{w}\) - to get, to take 7.5
seran'to qua'xam. into a canoe it was put in 34.5
wai'rem seatsi'tc he was told thus 8.1
witwa'xam he was answered "yes" 30.11
skwaha'xam ants sa \(a^{i}{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime}\) 'a \(a^{\bar{a}}\) placed was that roast (in the fire) 90.9
\({ }^{n} t\) hatc \(\bar{z}^{\prime}\) ram he was asked 66.16
toimtca'm̂̂ łokwīram an ax was seized 27.10

In two instances the verbal stem, to which this suffix is added, has been reduplicated (see § 107).
\(L^{\imath^{\prime}} \bar{u}\) he comes \(9.3 \quad u \neq\) wàn \(L!\bar{\imath} L!w a ' x a m\) finally he was approached 16.3
tEmūu- to assemble \(\uparrow .3\)
t!'emt!ma'xam wàn they come to see him now (literally, he is assembled about, now) \(23.3,4\)

For forms in -xamltx, expressing passives with indirect object, the grammatical subject being the property of the indirect object, see § 39 .
§56. Future P'ossives in -atam, -i (-al), -aau
These suffixes indicate the future tense of the passive voice. No explanation for the occurrence of the variety of forms can be given. Similarly, all attempts to correlate these different suffixes with certain stems have been without results.
-atam is added directly to stems. Stems ending in \(a\)-vowels contract this vowel with the initial \(a\) of the suffix (see § 9). Final \(\bar{\imath}\) and \(\bar{u}\) of the stem are diphthongized into \(y a\) and \(w a\) respectively before the addition of the suffix (see § \(\rceil\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tEm \(\bar{u}\) - to assemble 7.3 & nâctcī'tcertĉ̀ te tem \({ }^{v} w a^{\prime} t a m\). . . why these you, will be assembled 30.17 \\
\hline \(q^{u^{*}}\) - to find 34.12 & \(q n^{u^{\bullet}}\) wa'tamîn I will be found \\
\hline  & \(s^{z}\) atsa'tamên thus it will (be done) to me \\
\hline \(k!a^{\prime}\) - to invite 16.3 & \(k!\) aha'tamanx you will be invited \\
\hline waa'- to speak 7.1 & waa'tam he will be told \\
\hline kin- to take along 23.2 & hinna'tam it will be taken along \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

By adding to -atam the objective form - \(\bar{u} n\) (see \(\S 28\) ) a compound suffix -atam \(\bar{n}\) is obtained which exercises the function of a causative passive for the future tense. No examples of this formation have been found in the texts.
hīn-to take along 23.2 hinna'tamūn he will cause him to be taken along
skwa' - to stand 10.9
skwaha'tamūn I will cause him to be placed
skwaha'tamūn = skwaha'tamūnin (see § 15) be invited
\(-\bar{\imath}\left(-\boldsymbol{a}^{\bar{z}}\right)\). This suffix must not be confounded with the nominalizing suffix of identical phonetic value. The stem to which it is added invariably undergoes a phonetic change, which may be called stemamplification (see § 112). An explanation for the parallel occurrence of \(-\bar{\imath}\) and \(-a^{i}\) is found in \(\S 2\).
hīn- to take along 23.2
\(k u \bar{u} n\) - to beat \(\tau 2.17\)
Lōt- to hit
hakw- to fall 8.7
ana' \(x\) - to give up 16.8
L! \(x \bar{u} x^{u}\) - reduplicated form of L! \(x \bar{u}\) - to know 40.16
\(h^{i} y a^{\prime} n i n\) I shall be taken along \(k^{n} w a^{\prime \prime} m \bar{n}\) I shall be beaten
\(L^{o}\) wa'tinx you will get hit
\({ }^{4} a^{u} a^{x}\) totervatc hakwa' \({ }^{i}\) they two into the water will be thrown 88.7, 8
mēct.e \(\bar{e}^{u} a^{\prime}\) naxa \({ }^{i}\) suppose he be given up 64.26
L! ! ? \(n^{u}{ }^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\) xwin I shall be known
-a \(\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}\) occurs more frequently than the two previously discussed suffixes, and is added to the bare stem. Stems ending in \(a\) contract their final vowel with the initial \(a\) of the suffix (see \(\S 9\) ). Sometimes, but not as a rule, the stem is amplified before adding the future passive \(-a a^{u}\). This suffix usually requires the accent.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(x n \overline{z^{w}} n\) - to do 10.5 &  playing will be done 9.6, 7 \\
\hline L! \({ }^{\prime}\) ma \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) - to kill 15.3 & ulo kiumê'nte sìn nixyūn L! \(x\) maya' \(a^{2}\) I not want it (that) he shall be killed 15.8, 16.2 \\
\hline \(t \bar{u} n\) - to invite & \(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) tur \(n \mu^{\prime} c^{u}\) thus he will be invited 16.2 \\
\hline \(m u^{\prime} q \cdot \overline{\text { I }}\) - to dance 28.7 & atsis'to wra'ram meq! \(\bar{e}^{\prime} a_{n a} a^{\prime} a^{u}\) thus it wats said, "A dance will he arranged for him" 19.1, 2 \\
\hline L! \(\bar{o} x\) - to send 16.10 &  thinking that man (who) was going to be sent 19.8. 9 \\
\hline \(x a \bar{u}\) - to die 40.21 & sर्' \(n^{i} x y \bar{u}{ }^{i} n E\) xaw a' \(a^{u}\) it was desired (that) he be killed 24.1 \\
\hline hin- to take along 9.5 & wàn hina' ( \(l^{u}\) now he will be taken along 25.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(t \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) - to spear 62.2
lak \({ }^{u}\) - to get 7.5
\(t^{\circ}\) watca' \(a^{u}\) it will be speared 62.8
 \(a^{u} n x y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a\) not for nothing they will get you, they will buy you big (literally, not you just taken will be, bought you will be much) \(74.16,17\)

\section*{§5\%. Prost Passice -xamyax}

This suffix is (loosely) composed of the present passive -ram (see \(\S 55\) ) and of the suffix for the past tense -yax (see \(\S 74\) ).
\(q n \bar{u}\) - to find 56.9 Límma'g qnuewa'xamyax elk was found \(34.12,13\)
\(7 a h^{n \prime}-\) to seize 7.5
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus 11.10
kiq! - to start 1.5.1
ra \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21
ants hītc \(\overline{\text { on }} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x a m y a x\) that man (who) was seized 60.12
\(s^{E}\) atsix xamyax thus it was (done) 32.16
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a l i \pi q!a ' x a m y a x\) thus it was started 32.16
ra \(u^{u}{ }^{-1} x a m y a x\) he was killed 29.6
That the composition of this suffix is felt to be rather loose may be best inferred from the fact that the sign of the past (-yax) may precede the passive suffix -xam, as is shown in the following instances:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(c \hat{q}^{\prime} n x \bar{l}-\) to think 60.21 & c \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} x^{i} x y a x a m s^{E}\) atsī'tc it was thought thus 27.6 \\
\hline \(h \bar{u}^{i}-(?)\) to lose & \(h \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) yaxan (I) got lost 68.2 \\
\hline \(y \bar{a} z^{\prime} \cdot \bar{v}^{\prime} t c\) - in pieces 96.11 & y \(\bar{a} k!\bar{\imath} t c y a^{\prime} x a m x w \bar{a} k a t c\) into pieces was (cut) his head 29.4, 5 \\
\hline te.'ha \({ }^{u} c\) - to be glad 27.1 & tc! 'ha \(a^{u}\) cya'xam wàn gladness was felt now 23.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In all these instances the suffix -yaxam has resulted from an original -yaxram (see § 15 ).
```

\&%S. Passive Verbs in -ūtn- (-aūtn-), -ünE (-a\overline{u}nE)

```

These suffixes are extensively employed in the formation of the passive voice; alone they do not express any particular tense. They may be added either directly to the stem, or to the stem verbalized by means of the suffix \(-a^{i}\) (see \(\S 75\) ). The subjective suffixes are added to these suffixes by means of a weak vowel (see §4); but since the third person singular has no distinct form, and as clusters of §§57-58
consonants in final position are inadmissible, the form of this suffix in terminal position is always \(-\bar{u} t n E\left(-u^{\bar{u}} t n E\right)\).

The form \(-\bar{u}^{\prime} n_{E}\) has resulted from the change of the \(t\) of \(-\bar{u} t n\) - to a weak aspiration (see §16). The intercbange between \(\bar{u}\) and \(a^{\bar{u}}\) has been discussed in § 2 .
qalx- to count 8.5
\(x n \bar{\imath}^{w} n\) - to do 10.5
waa- to speak 7.1
gatcuíitx be drinks
thatã'tx- to shout continually 11.10
waai' he says 8.9
\(t \bar{u} t c a^{i \prime}\) he spears 62.2
\(x \bar{a} L!a^{i \prime}\) he makes 50.8
\(x n i^{w} n\) - to do 10.5
mîltc- to burn 26.9
waa \({ }^{i \prime}\) he says 8.9
\(x \bar{a}_{L}!\epsilon^{i \prime}\) he makes 50.8
\(k!a^{\prime}\) - to invite 16.3
sî'nxī- to desire 18.5
L! \(\bar{o} n \bar{z} t x-\) to tell continually
\({ }^{u} \ell q a^{\prime}\) Lxātne then it was counted
\(\delta^{E} a^{\prime} t s a x n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w_{n} n \bar{u} t n e\) thus it was done 62.9
kumî'nte nîctcī̀te wa \(a^{a^{\prime}} a^{\bar{u}} t n E\) nothing was said 18.3
\(p \bar{a}^{\prime} l \cdot \bar{u}\) qatcu \(\bar{u}^{i} t \cdot x a \bar{u} t n E\) (from the) well it is drunk 76.12
lhatī'ta \(a^{\bar{u} t n e ~ h e ~ i s ~ c o n t i n u a l l y ~}\) shouted at
atsiz'tcin wan'yūtne thus I am told 20.6
tū'tco'yūtne it is speared 8.7
tsī' \(L!\bar{\imath} \quad L!a^{\prime} a i \quad u \quad x \bar{a} L!a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t n e\) many arrows are mede 78.6
\(s^{E} l^{\prime} t \cdot s a x m^{\prime} \bar{b}^{\prime \prime} n \bar{u}^{\prime} n_{E}\) thus it is done 74.2
ma'tccūne ants hītsīi a fire was built (in) that house 25.2
\(s^{E} a t s \bar{z}^{\prime} t c\) waa'yüne ants lītc thus was told that man \(30.2,3\)
\(k: \grave{i} x\) téq \(x \bar{a}_{L}!^{\prime} a^{\prime} y \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} n e\) everything is made 78.5, 6
k! aha'yü'nin I am invited 17.9
k! 'aha'yū̆nanx thou art invited 16.3
k:'aha'yünatê you are invited 30.10
sî'ni, \(x y \bar{u}^{\prime} n a n x\) Lī'ūtūx you are wanted (to) come 19.7, 8
 quently said 16.9

When preceded by the sign of the past tense, -yax (see § if), these suffixes denote the passive voice of the past tense.
hatc'- to ask 66.16
atsis'to rraa ants ha'tcंyaxaintne thus said that one (who) was asked 66.24, 25
haw- to finish 14.6
. . . ants hētsīi \(h a^{u} w a^{i \prime}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{styaxa}^{\bar{u}}{ }^{t} n_{E}\) (when) that house began to be finished
§ 59. Durative Passices in -īsūtn- (-īsū'ne), -ūsn-
\(-\bar{\imath} s \bar{u} t u-(-\bar{\imath} s \bar{u} \quad n E)\). This suffix is composed of the durative \(-\bar{\imath} s\) (see \(\S 69\) ) and of the passive \(-\bar{u} t n\) - (see \(\S 58\) ). It denotes a passive action of long duration. Owing to its durative character, the verbal stem to which this suffix is added is frequently amplified (see § 112) or duplicated (see § 107). -īsūtn- interchanges constantly with -aisutn- (see \(\S \$ 2,69\) ). The subjective pronouns are added by means of a weak vowel. In final position it occurs as -īsūtne, because a final cluster of \(t+n\) is inadmissible (see \(\S \pm\) ). The change of the \(t\) to a weak aspiration in \(-\bar{i} s \bar{u}^{e} n E\) has been fully discussed in \(\S 16\) (see also \(\S 58\) ).
\(l \bar{a} n\) - to call by name la'nūsūtne ants hītc he is constantly called, that man 23.7
cill \(x\) - to shake 27.3
\(w a a^{\prime}-\) to speak 7.1
hīts- to put on 11.8
\(L^{-1} \bar{u}\) (they) come 9.3
\(y a q^{u^{\prime}-}\) to look 23.9
qaLx- to count 8.5
wa' - to speak 7.1
hat- to shout 13.11

L! \(!\bar{u}\) - to know 40.16
cîl \(l \cdot x \bar{\imath} s \bar{u} t n e\) he is constantly shaken 27.2
atsi'tc wa' \(a^{i} s \bar{u}^{\prime} n e\) thus he is always told 24.2
\(h^{i} y a^{\prime} t s \bar{s} \operatorname{sutne}\) it is frequently put on 11.7
\(L!\bar{\imath}_{L}!v^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{su}\) tne he is being approached 26.2
\(y a^{\prime} q^{u} h \bar{\imath} s \bar{u}^{\circ} n E\) he is continually watched 26.1
\(q a^{\prime} L x \bar{\imath} s \bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) it is being counted 62.11
atsí'tc was \({ }^{i \prime} \overline{s u}^{i} n e\) thus he is being told 23.10
thatī' \({ }^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\circ} n E\) he is continually shouted at 14.2
\(k \bar{u}^{i}\) L! \(\mathfrak{x} \bar{u}^{\prime} x \bar{u}^{i} s \bar{u}^{c} n E\) tca \(t c \bar{z}^{\prime} t c\) ants xint not it was known where that one went \(64.15,16\)
- \(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} s n\) - is a combined suffix. Its first element is undoubtedly the durative \(-\bar{u} s\) (see \(\$ 69\) ); while the second component seems to represent an abbreviated form of the passive suffix \(-\bar{u} t n-\), discussed in §58. It indicates a passive action of long duration or frequent occurrence, and may best be rendered by it would . . .

This suffix is always added to the verbal stem by means of the verbalizing - \(a^{i}\) (changed into \(-\bar{i}\); see \(\S 75\) ). Both \(-\bar{i}\) and \(-a^{i}\) are subject to consonantization before the initial vowel of the passive suffix, so that this suffix invariably occurs as \(-\bar{i} y \bar{u} s n-\) or \(-a y \bar{u} s n-(\) sec \(\S 8)\). In a few instances it appears as \(-\bar{e}^{i} y \bar{u} s n-\) (sce \(\S 2\) ). The subjective pronouns beginning with a consonant are added to this suffix by means of a weak vowel; and as a third person singular has no special form, and since a terminal cluster of \(s+n\) is inadmissible, these suffixes in terminal position always appear as -ūsnE, -īyūsnE or -ayūsnE (see § 4).
tqūz- to shout 52.8 tqū̄̄̄'y \(\bar{u} s n_{E}\) ants tĉxnū'ne he is always shouted at, that raceoon 76.16, 17
hat- to shout 13.11
wa' - to speak 7.1
tān- to call 23.7
\(t \bar{u}-\)-to buy 74.17
\(x n^{2}{ }^{\omega} n\) - to do 10.15
\(\bar{i} l_{q}-\) to \(\operatorname{dig} 84.2\)
\(x \bar{a} \bar{a}^{\prime}\) '- to make 50.8
Thatin'y \(\bar{u} n n e\) he would be shouted at 70.22
atsi'to wao'yūsne thus he would be told 24.7
zänct. \(\overline{\text { ' }}\) 'y \(\bar{u} s n e\) he is continually called 76.17, 18
tūhu'yūsne she would be bought 74.18, 19
 be done 76.5
 be the ground 80.6
\(x \bar{a} L\). \(\hat{u}^{\prime} y\) ūsue ants līt. \(\bar{z}^{\prime} i\) made is that house 80.13

In one instance this suffix has been added to a verbal stem means of the verbalizing \(-\bar{u}^{i}\) (see § 75).
tcîn \({ }^{u}\) - to pack
 pack it and go (literally, it is packed and carricd ofl) 100.20

In another instance the suffix appears as -ūusne.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& L^{-1} \bar{u} \text { (they) come } 9.3 \quad \text { L'm } \hat{u}^{\prime} \text { 'ic } \bar{u} \text { Livei'ūusne flounder is } \\
& \text { brought continually } 100.10
\end{aligned}
\]

This occurrence of the \(w\) before \(-\bar{u} s n E\) may be explained as due to retrogressive assimilation; that is to say, the original \(y\) has been changed into \(w\) to agree in character with the \(w\) of the stcu Limus comes.

\section*{§ 60. Introductory}

Attention has been called in \(\S 40\) to the variety of suffixes that are employed in Siuslaw for the purpose of expressing the imperative mode. By far the majority of these suffixes indicate, besides the imperative idea, also pronominal and possessive interrelations between subject and object. These have been treated as primarily objective forms, and have been fully discussed in \(\$ \$ 40-48\). In the following sections only such suffixes will be discussed the primary functions of which are those of an imperative mode.

Siuslaw makes a clear distinction between a true imperative, a prohibitive, and an exhortative mode, and expresses these three varieties by means of distinct formative elements.

The difference between the ideas expressed by the imperative and exhortative is one of degree rather than of contents. The imperative expresses a command more or less peremptory; while the exhortative conveys an admonition, a wish. Furthermore, the exhortative rarely applies to the second person as the subject of the action. All exhortative expressions are preceded by the particle \(q a^{i}(\) (see \(\S 129\) ) and are rendered by let me, him . . . , permitheto . . . , may i . . . . etc

\section*{§61. Imperative Suffix for Intransitive Ierbs -Em}

This suffix is added to intransitive verbs only, regardless of whether they express a real active idea or a mere condition. It is suffixed directly to the verbal stem; and when added to stems that end in a vowel, the obscure \(E\) of the suffix is contracted with the vowel of the stem. In such contractions the quality and quantity of the stem-vowel usually predominate (see §9). The second person singular is not expressed. The imperative for the second persons dual and plural is obtained by suffixing to -em the subjective pronouns -ts and -tĉ̂ respectively (see §24). These pronouns are added by means of a weak \(a\)-vowel (see § 4).
tīt .' to eat 13.10
\(k w i s\) - to wake up 40.9
waa'- to speak 7.1
qutc \({ }^{\text {r }}\) - to go 8.2
\(m a^{\prime} q \cdot \bar{\imath}-\) to dance 28.7
\(\ell \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t\) !' Em eat! 40.26
\(k w i\) 'sem wake up! 58.5
wa'am speak!
qa'tenem go!
maq!yEm dance!
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline haw- to quit, to end 14.6 & \(h a^{\prime} \bar{u} m\) quit! \\
\hline qatc \({ }^{\text {E }}\) - to go 8.2 & qa'tcnemats you two go! \\
\hline tqüt- to shont 52.8 & tqu'temats you two shout! \\
\hline \(q \bar{a} t x\) - to cry 58.15 & \(q \bar{a}^{\prime}\) txemate \(\hat{\imath}\) you cry! \\
\hline xat \({ }^{i} n\) - to climb up 12.4 & ¿a'thematĉ you climb up! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In negative sentences the imperative suflix -Em is replaced by the durative \(-\bar{\imath} s\) (see \(\S 69\) ). The whole phrase is preceded by the particle of negation \(k u u^{i}, k u m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t c\) NOT (see §131), to which are added the subjective pronouns for the second persons (see \(\$ 24,26\) ).
xintm- to travel 12.10
\(a^{u_{s}}\) - to sleep 24.1
\(q a^{i} h a^{\prime} n t c\) far 10.3
waa'- to speak 7.1
qutc \({ }^{E} n\) - to go 8.2
\(m a^{\prime} q!\bar{\imath}-\) to dance 28.7
\(k w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} n x x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t m \bar{\imath} s\) don't trarel!
\(k w i n x a^{u^{\prime}}\) sis don't sleep! 23.9
kwinx gaika'ntēs don't (go) far away! 56.21
Thwinx \(s^{E}\) atsīto wa'a \(a^{\bar{i}}\) s don't thus say! 50.1
\(k \bar{u}^{i} t s q a^{\prime} t c^{E} n \bar{\imath} s\) don't you two go! \(54.23,56.1\)
 dance!

By suffixing to the imperative the subjective pronouns for the first persons dual and plural (sce \(\S 24\) ), an exhortative mode for these persons is obtained.
tca'xum go! tca'xumans let us two (incl.) go! 58.5
li't!' Em eat! 40.26
\(n a^{\prime} Z_{E m}\) start!
\(\overline{z u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\).' Emans let us two (incl.) eat.
li't' Emant let us (incl.) eat!
na'temant let us (incl.) start!

\section*{§ 6?. Imperative Suffix for Transitire Verbs-is (-ais)}

This suffix expresses an imperative transitive idea. It must not be confused with the durative suffix -is (see \(\$ 69\) ), the phonetie resemblance between these two suthixes being purely accidental. It must be borne in mind that the durative -is indicates an intransitive action, and is made transitive by the addition of the transitive - \(\bar{u} n\) (see § 25 ).

The student is easily apt to confuse these two suffixes, becituse in the prohibitive mode the transitivized durative -isun (see p. 518 ) is used; but this use is perfectly lorical, since a transitive prohibitive is intimately connected with the idea of a (negated) action of long duration performed by a second person as subject.

The following table may best serve to distinguish at a glance between the diflerent suffixes in \(-i s\) that occur in Siuslaw:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Not related & -is transitive imperative & -is intransitive durative \\
\hline Related. & -isūn transitive prohibitive & -isūn transitive durative \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The imperative for the second persons dual und plural is not often expressed by suffixing to -īs the subjective pronouns -ts and -tc \(\hat{\imath}\) respectively (see \(\S 24\) ), because the subjective pronouns are usually suffixed to attributes and particles that precede the verbal term (see § 26). The interchange between \(-\bar{\imath}\) and \(-a^{i}\) has been discussed in \(\S 2\).
waa' - to talk 7.1
L!wan- to tell 7.3
\(t \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) - to spear 62.2
hin-to take along 9.5
skwa'- to stand 10.9
hamx- to tie 8.6
qutcern- to go 8.2
tīt! '- to eat 13.10
wa' \(a^{{ }^{2}}\) s talk to him! 76.18
L! wā'nṑs tell him! 30.13
\(h \bar{\imath}\) 'satc \(\hat{\imath}\) L!wā'nās well you tell (them)! 30.3
\(t^{0}\) wa'tčrs spear it! 64.2
\(h \bar{\imath} n \bar{n} s\) take it along!
skwa'hais set it up!
ha'mxīs tie it!
\(q^{a^{\prime} t c^{E} n \bar{s} a t s ~ y o u ~ t w o ~ m a k e ~ h i m ~ g o!~}\)
lū't! 'īsatĉ̂ you eat it!

In negative sentences the imperative suffix is replaced by the transitive form of the durative - \(\bar{s} s \bar{u} n(\) see \(\S 69\) ). The verb is preceded by the negative particle \(k \bar{u}^{i}, k u m \hat{u}^{\prime} n t c\), used in connection with the subjective pronouns for the second persons (see \(\S \S 131,40\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \({ }_{\text {L }}\) 'wan- to tell 7.3 & kwi'nx L!'wā'nīsūn don't you tell him! 17.1, 2 \\
\hline qatc \({ }^{\text {E }}\) n- to go 8.2 & kwinx qa'tc \({ }^{E} n \bar{n} s \bar{x} n\) don't you make him go! \\
\hline hin- to take along 9.5 & kumâ'nte \({ }^{i} n x\) hī'nīsūn don't you take it along! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The exhortative for the first persons is formed by adding to -iss the subjective pronouns for these persons (see \(\S 24\) ).

Zaku- to take \(7.5 \quad\) la'kwīsans let us two (incl.) take it!
haw- to quit, to stop \(14.6 \quad h a^{\prime} w \bar{z} s a n t\) let us (incl.) stop it!

\section*{§ 63. Intransitive Exhortative -ixmî (-aixmî)}

This suffix expresses an admonition, addressed to a first or third person, to perform an action that has no object. The verb must be preceded by the exhortative particle \(q \alpha^{i} \eta\) (see § 129), and the subjective
§ 63
pronouns indicating the subject of the action are added to this particle and never to the exhortative suffix (see § 26 ).
 discussed in § 2.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline qatc \({ }^{\text {E }}\) - - to go 8.2 &  \\
\hline \(a^{u}{ }_{s}\) - to sleep 23.9 & \(q a^{i}{ }^{i} n a^{u} s^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime}, m \hat{\imath}\) let me slcep! \\
\hline waa' - to speak 7.1 & \(q a^{i} \eta_{E} n_{n}\) wa \(a a^{i} \not x_{n} \hat{\imath}\) let us two (incl.) speak! \\
\hline \(m a^{\prime} q^{\prime \prime} \bar{\imath}\) to dance 28.7 &  dance! \\
\hline hat- to shout 13.11 & \(q a^{i^{\prime}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{t}\) hatī'xm \(\hat{\imath}\) let us (incl.) shout! \\
\hline qatcū- to drink 76.12 & \(q a^{i \prime}{ }^{\prime} E_{n x} q u t c u^{i \prime} x m \hat{\imath}\) let them drink! \\
\hline zīt \({ }^{\text {! }}\) - to eat 13.10 &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In one single instance the exhortative for a second person (singular) occurs. The suffix is followed by the future passive \(-\bar{\imath}\) (see § 56 ), and the exhortative particle is missing.
maltc- to burn 25.2
mîtcícminn you may get burned (literally, to burn [exhortative, future passive] thou) 26.9

\section*{§ 64. Exhortative -1}

This suffix admonishes the speaker to perform an act, the object of which must be one of the second persons, and may best be rendered into English by let me, thee . . . . The object of the action is expressed by adding the subjective pronouns to this suffix (see § 24 ) by means of a weak \(a\)-vowel (see §4). Singular subjects are not expressed phonetically; duality or plurality of subject is indicated by means of the independent personal pronouns (see \(\S 113\) ). The particle \(k^{u}\) (see § 127) frequently follows these exhortative forms, and, when preceding a form with the second person singular as the object (-tunc), it changes the final \(x\) into \(a\) (see § 4).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{L!} \text { 'wān- to tell } 17.1 \quad L_{\text {! }} \text { wántana let me tell thee! } \\
& \text { L } \overline{0} \mathrm{t} \text { - to hit } \\
& \text { hatc'- to ask } 66.16 \\
& \text { L!'wān- to tell } 17.1 \\
& \text { L! wä'ntanx let me tell thee! } \\
& { }_{L} \bar{o} t E^{\prime} \text { lats let me hit you two! } \\
& \text { lu'tcilatĉ̂ let me ask you! } \\
& \text { L. 'wa'ntunak let me tell thee! } \\
& <\text { L! wä'nlanax } k^{u}
\end{aligned}
\]

For other devices employed in Siuslaw for the purpose of expressing the exhortative mode, sce § 129.

TEMPORAL SUFFIXES (§§65-74)
§65. Introductory
Siuslaw shows a rich development of the category of time, and employs a variety of suffixes for the purpose of denoting the different tenses of actions and conditions. The simple form of the verb has an indefinite character and is used to denote past and present occurrences, but otherwise the temporal classification is strictly adhered to.

All temporal suffixes may be divided into semi-temporal and true temporal suffixes. Primarily, each of these suffixes expresses the tense of an intransitive action only; but by suffixing to the tense sign transitive suffixes, such as \(-\bar{u} n,-\bar{u} t s\), etc., the same idea of time for transitive occurrences is obtained. The only exceptions are found in the intentional and future tenses, which show two separate formsone for intransitive verbs and the other for transitive actions (see §§ 41, 70, 73).

\section*{Semi-temporal Suffixes (\$§66-70)}

\section*{§ 66. Inchoative -st}

This suffix denotes the commencement of an action, and assumes in some instances a transitional significance. Stems ending in a consonant insert a weak vowel between the final consonant and the initial element of the suffix (see § 4). When it is desired to express the inchoative tense of a transitive action, the transitive \(-\bar{u} n\) or any of the other transitive forms is added to the suffix (see \(\S \$ 27\) et seq.).
qwaxtc- to go towards 62.8. \({ }^{\text {ut }}\) qwa'xtcîst tci'watc and she began
maltč- to burn 25.2
L!'cutatc'- to attempt to run
\(q a^{i} n^{u}-\) to be tired
\(q \bar{a} t x-\) to cry 58.15
wîtc- to send
matc- to lie 38.21
to go towards the water 90.22
mî'ltcîst he began to burn 29.3
sxa'tatc'̂̀st k!ēxu \(\bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) L!aya'to he begins to attempt to run in all directions 13.8, 9
\(q a^{i} n \bar{u} s t a^{\prime} n t s^{E} t c m^{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} s k^{u}\) he began to get tired, his younger brotber 58.11
\({ }^{u} a^{u} a^{u}\) stīm qa'txast and they two there began to cry 58.17
qamîta'tc wî̀ltcîstūn her father (discriminative) began to send her 92.20
\(s^{\text {a }} a t s \imath^{\prime} t c\) mî'tcîstūn . . . thus he began to fell . . . 94.7, 8
ha'nînīt!'- to believe 78.1, 2
\(\left.{ }^{u}\right\}\) wàn \(h a^{\prime} n^{E} n \bar{u}^{\prime} t!\hat{u} s t u ̄ n\) and finally she began to believe him 46.3

In a few instances this suffix will be found added to a stem after the same has been verbalized by means of the suffix \(-u^{i}\) (see \(\$ 75\) ).
\(x \hat{\imath} n t m\) - to travel about \(12.10 \quad\) k.' \(\bar{e} x \tilde{u}^{\prime} t c\) L.'aya'tc \({ }^{\prime} \neq n x\) ầntmaist everywhere they began to travel about 72.20
\(s^{h} a^{i} t \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) peti't \(t c\) â̂'ntmaistūn the big one first he began to take along 92.18
wusi- to be sleepy
tīt! - to eat 13.10
wusya'a \({ }^{i}\) st ants mi'k.'є hātc began to feel sleepy that bad man 26 . 1, 2
wusya'a \({ }^{i}\) stin I begin to feel sleepy 26.8
\(w \bar{a}^{\prime} n w \hat{\imath} t s\) lt. \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) stūn already he (had) commenced to devour him 94.19 ( \(\bar{\imath}=a^{i}\) see § 2 )

It sometimes follows the other true temporal suffixes, lending to the inchoative action a definite tense.
 tense) to feel sorry for his (hoy) \(\pm 0.21\)
mattc- to burn \(25.2 \quad\) ut mattci'ust he will begin to build a fire 90.6

In a number of cases this suffix expresses an adjectival idea.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(p \not n-\) to be sick 40.21 & ants pluast be (who) begins to get sick, he (who) is siek: hence the sick (man) 86.15 \\
\hline \(y \hat{\imath}\) 'g! \(c^{u}\) - to split &  to split, split pitch \\
\hline haw- to finish 14.6 & \(h u^{u}\) w \(a^{i^{\prime}}\) st finished \\
\hline & tsîma'st any kind of a place (sic) 66.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

> §6\%. Terminutire -ixal (-alxal)

This suffix expresses termination of an action. The stem to which it is suffixed must be preceded by some form of the verb haū- To Exd, то finish. For the interchange between \(-\bar{i} x a^{i}\) and \(-a^{i} \times a^{i}\) see \(\S 2\).
pâtc- to go over 88.15
\(q \bar{a} t x-\) to cry 58.15
walt- to snow
hat- to shout 13.11
hamx- to tie 5.6
ln- to call
waa'- to talk 7.1
\(h a \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{u} n\) pîtca \({ }^{\bar{i}} x a^{i}\) I quit going over (logs)
ha \(\bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{n} n\) qatxa \(a^{i \prime} x a^{i}\) I quit crying haū'tx waltū'xai it stopped snowing
hau'twan hala \({ }^{i x} x u^{i}\) I stopped shouting
haū'ln hamxíx \(x a^{i}\) I quit tying his . . .
ha \(\bar{u}^{\prime} t n \ln a^{i \prime} x a^{i}\) līntc I quit calling his name
ha \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) ln wa \(a^{i} x a^{i}\) I quit talking to him

It seems that the terminative suffix is frequently subject to the law of vocalic harmony, in spite of the fact that Siuslaw makes but little attempt at the harmonization of its vowels (see § 11). I have found a few examples showing that the initial rowel of the suffix has been assimilated to the quality of the vowel of the stem. Whether this rule applies to all cases could not be determined with any degree of certainty.
> \(x \bar{u} n\) - to snore \(27.9 \quad\) lua \(\bar{u}^{\prime} \operatorname{txan} x \bar{u} n \bar{u}^{i \prime} x a^{i}\) (and not \(x \bar{u} n a^{i \prime}\) \(\left.x a^{i}\right)\) I quit snoring
> \(h a \bar{u}^{\prime} t x h \bar{u} n \bar{u}^{i} x a a^{i}{ }^{\prime}!a^{\prime a i}\) (and not \(h \bar{u}-\) \(\left.n a^{i} x a^{i}\right)\) it stopped getting dark
> haūtre tema \({ }^{u} y a^{u^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) lintu'tc (and not tema \(a^{\bar{u}} y a^{i} x a^{i}\) ) he quit assembling (the) people

\section*{§ 6S. Frequentritives -at!i, -itx (-altx)}
-at:' denotes frequency of action, and may best be rendered by frequently, always. In the first person singular the final long vowel of this suffix is shortened (see § 24). In terminal position the suftix -at.' \(\bar{\imath}\) is often changed into -at.'ya (see § \(\$ 7,24\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline  & \(c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{i} x y a t\) ! \(y a\) be is always thinking
\[
12.4
\] \\
\hline hakur- to fall 8.7 & ha'kwat'ya it always falls down 90.12 \\
\hline qatc \({ }^{\text {en }}\) - to go 8.2 & \(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n a t\) 'ya he frequently goes 14.5 \\
\hline nakwa \({ }^{\text {- }}\) to be poor & \(n \bar{a} k w a^{\prime} y a t y a n x a n ~ w e ~(e x c l) ~ a r e\). always poor 76.19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }_{L} \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) - to come 9.2
\(t a^{i}\) - to live 16.2
\(q a a^{\prime}\) - to enter 34.5
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} m\) sqaik \(L^{\prime} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} w a t!\bar{\imath}\) because there he came frequently \(68.4,5\) \(p \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime} t s i ̂ s ~ t u^{\prime} y a t . \bar{\imath}\) in the ocean he always lived 44.18
 \(q^{\prime} \cdot t^{\prime} a^{i} t c\) why do you, this one, not frequently come into the river: \(44.3, t\)

In one instance this suffix occurs as -t.' \(\bar{\imath}\).
 wars dry (may be) this river 38.2

When frequency of action in transitive verbs is to be expressed, the transitive suffixes are added to the frequentative \(-a t\). \(\bar{\imath}\). This suffix amalgamates with the transitive - \(\bar{u} n\) into -at!y \(\bar{u} n\) (see § \(\delta\) ).
\(c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n x \bar{\imath}-\) to think 60.21
\(c \hat{\imath} l^{\prime} \cdot x\) - to shake 27.2
\(p^{\prime} a n y a^{i \prime}\) he is sorry
\(t a^{i}-\) to sit to lire 16.2
- \(\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{x}\) has the same function as \(-a t . ' \bar{t}\), and was invariably rendered by constantly, always. It is usually preceded or followed by the temporal adverb that alwars (see \(\$ 120\) ). The phonetic resemblance between this suffix and the objective -itce (see § 33 ) I believe to be purely accidental. This suffix occurs often as \(-a^{i} t_{0}\) (see \(\S \because\) ).
qatc \({ }^{E} n\) - to go 8.2
\(p^{a} a^{i \prime}{ }_{L n}\) - to hunt 15.3
\(m a^{\prime} q \cdot \bar{\imath}-\) to dance 28.7
 much they two talk, those two (who) keep on going 56.7
ts \(\hat{v}^{\prime}\) mrquate \(u p{ }^{\prime}\) nx paLni'te some of them we constantly hunting \(-2.16,1 \%\)
meq! \(d^{i}\) t \(t\) he always dances 56.2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(x \hat{\imath} l \cdot x c \bar{\imath}\) - to work 48.10 & \(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot x c \bar{\imath} t x a^{u} x a^{\prime} n t s \hat{i} t c x x^{u} m \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) they two were constantly fixing those their (dual) dams 50.3, 4 \\
\hline sî'nai- to desire 18.5 & sर्'nxitr tetc mictcíi he always wants that her younger sister \(92.13,1 \pm\) \\
\hline \(t a ̄ q-\) to be full 60.19 & taqani'to hītu'stc it is always full of people \(70.3,4\) \\
\hline yôcum- to watch & ŷ̂xume't \({ }^{-1} a n a^{u} x\) they two were constantly watching him 94.1 \\
\hline qatc \({ }^{5} n\) - to go 8.2 & qatcînū̀txaün tnàt I always make him go \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In a few instances, especially when following other suffixes, the frequentative \(-\bar{\imath} t x\) seems to lose its initial \(\bar{\imath}\).
hazea it ends \(1 \pm .6\) hawa'stx ants Līya'wa he begine to finish (kindling) that fire (hawa \({ }^{i \prime}\) stx< hawai'st \(+-t x\), see § 15) 90.7, 8
t' \({ }^{\prime}\) hatc'in- to try to sell severally (?)
\(y^{\prime} \bar{u}_{L}\) '- to break
yaxa \({ }^{\bar{z}} t x a^{u} x\) ta'tc \(c^{w} a x\) tq \(!^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u}{ }^{u} a^{u}{ }^{u} x\) t' 'uhatc' \(\bar{\imath} n t x a^{u} x\) (when) they begin to multiply (have much) these their (dual) hides, then they two constantly tried to sell them 100.19
\(y \bar{u}^{w i} L!^{\prime} a^{\prime} t x q a^{u} x \hat{u} n \bar{u}^{\prime}\) it constantly broke on the top 94.4

These three examples may also be explained as demonstrating the application of the pronominal suffix -ittx (see § 33).
§ 69. Duratires -īs (-ais), -ūs

Duration of action is expressed in Siuslaw by means of the suffix \(-s\), which, howerer, never occurs alone. It invariably enters into composition with other suffixes, such as the suffix for the past tense, for the passive voice, etc., or it is preceded by either \(\bar{\imath}\) or \(\bar{u}\). It is not inconceivable that this durative \(-s\) may be related to the auxiliary \(-s\) (see \(\S i 6)\). The difference between \(-\bar{i} s\) and \(-\bar{u} s\) seems to be of a true temporal nature.
\(\mathbf{- \overline { \imath }} s\left(-\ell^{\overline{1}} \boldsymbol{s}\right)\) denotes duration, continuation of action of a clearly marked future significance, and, owing to this future character, it is employed extensively in the formation of the imperative mode (see § 69
\(\S \S 60,62\) ). Transitive verbs add \(-\bar{u} n\) or its equivalents (see \(\S 28\) ) to the durative \(-\bar{i} s\). For the interchange between \(-\bar{i} s\) and \(-a^{i} s\) see \(\S 2\).
xînt- to travel 23.1
ta \({ }^{i}\) - to live, to stay 16.2
\(m a^{\prime} q \cdot \bar{\imath}-\) to dance 28.7
\(x n \bar{z}^{w} n\) - to do 10.5
waa'- to speak 7.1
skwa' - to stand 10.9
\(x n \bar{z}^{w} n\) - to do 10.5
waa'- to speak 7.1
thum- to make a dam 48.8
qaLx- to count 62.8
tcívans \(x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t i \bar{\imath}\) s to the water we two (incl.) will keep on traveling 92.9
stim ta'īs there he kept on staying 70.12
wa \(a^{2} y \bar{a}^{\prime} t s a \quad\) uln \(m \bar{a}^{\prime} q\) ! \(\bar{\imath} s\) even for a long time 1 still keep on dancing 2.10
\(s^{z} a^{\prime} t^{\prime}\) sant \(x n^{\prime} w_{n} \bar{r} s\) thus we (incl.) will do every time 72.14, 15
atsīto va' \(a^{i}\) s ants hītc thus kept on saying the man 25.9
skrwa'liais ants hīto continually standing is that man 64.11
\(q^{n \bar{u}^{\prime} x t s s^{E} n x} x_{n \bar{u}}{ }^{\prime} w_{n} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} s \bar{u}_{n}\) you will continually do it 70.11, 12
atsītc wa'ais \(\bar{u}_{n}\) thus he kept on saying to him 64.14
utns thwa'missün and we two (incl.) still will keep on making dams 48.14
\(q^{a^{\prime}} L x \bar{e} s \bar{u} n\) ants tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w i \quad\) (they) keep on counting those days 8.5
- \(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{s}\) is suffixed mostly to stems that have been verbalized by means of the suffix \(-a^{i}\) (see §75), and expresses a continuative action performed in the present tense. It applies to transitive verbs having a third person object. Examples for similar forms with a second person object were not obtained.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { îtqai' he digs } 8 \text {. } 2 \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { L'xmay- to kill 1h.1 } \\
\text { lìt! } \text { - to eat } 13.10
\end{array}\right. \\
& t k \bar{u} m \text { - to make a dam } 48.8 \\
& \text { Lī' } \bar{u} \text { (they) come } 9.3 \\
& h a^{u} w a^{i} n a^{i} \text { - to finish } \\
& a^{\prime} n t s u x \text { îilqa'yūs ants } L!a^{\prime a i} \text { those } \\
& \text { two (who) contimually dig that } \\
& \text { ground }
\end{aligned}
\]

> he would kill and devour him 15.3, t
> tci'kiwax tkwamíy \(\bar{u} s L_{\text {L }}!^{\prime} a^{a i}\) whereever they two were making dams 52.24
> \({ }^{u} t a^{u} x t t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} a^{\bar{c}} L!\bar{\imath} L!w w^{\prime} y \bar{u} s\) to them 'wo salmon continually came 98.16
> \(h a^{u w} a^{i} n{ }^{i} y \bar{y} \bar{s}^{u} x\) wàn they two finish it finally \(84.6,7\)
yax- to see 20.10
\(q^{n^{u^{*}} w}\) to find 34.12
\(y \bar{a} x \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u} s^{i} n\) te \(s^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime} x a^{i}\) (whenever) I saw that canoe (coming) 100.8, 9 \({ }^{u}{ }^{2} n x\) qu \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w \bar{i}^{\prime} w \bar{u} s\) y \(\bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) h \(\bar{t} t c \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) they would find lots of people


By suffixing the durative \(-s\) to the sign for the past tense, \(-y\) ux (see §74) a compound suffix -yaxs is obtained which denotes an action of long duration performed in the past. This suffix is often contracted into -īxs (see § 9 ).
```

$a^{u}$ s- to sleep $24.1 \quad a^{u^{\prime}} \operatorname{syaxsîn}, a^{u^{\prime}} \sin x s \hat{z} n$ I had been
sleeping
qatc ${ }^{u w_{-}}$to drink $76.11 \quad q a^{\prime} t c w a^{i} x s, q a^{\prime} t c w a y a x s$ he had been
drinking
$\overline{l u}^{-\prime} t$ ' yaxsin I have been eating
$p \bar{a}^{\prime} k \bar{u}^{i} x s \hat{n} n, p \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{u} y a x s i ̂ n ~ \mathrm{I}$ have
been playing shinny

```
§ \%o. Intentioncl.s -awax, \(-\mathrm{a}^{\text {wun }}\)
-aurax. This suffix indicates intention to perform a certain action. Hence it was usually rendered by I (thou). . . am about to, I (ThOU) . . . AM GOING TO, I (ThOU) . . . WILL, I (ThOU) . . . want to. It is used with intransitive verbs only; and it is contracted with the subjective pronouns, for persons other than the first person singular and the second dual and plural, into -awanx, -awans, -awaux, -awant, -awanxan, and -awanx (see § 24).
\(\bar{a} q\) - to run away \(52.10 \quad \bar{a} q a^{\prime}\) waxan I intend to run away 90.21
ants ptna'st \({ }^{u} \ell \hat{c}^{\prime} n^{i} x y a t!y a \bar{a} q a^{\prime} w a x\) that sick (man) always thought of running away 86.15
\(L^{\bar{\imath}^{\prime}} \bar{u}\) (they) come 9.3
yaque - to look 23.9
\(q a q \bar{u} n\) - to listen
hūtc- to play, to have fun 7.2
\(p^{\bar{u} u} t-\) to hunt
\(m i{ }^{2}{ }^{u}\) - to cut 90.5

Livwa'wan \(x\) you intend to come 25.8
yoq \({ }^{u^{\bullet}} y a^{\prime} w a x\) he intended to see 70.8
yaqu'ya'wanxan we (excl.) are going to see
qaq \(\vec{u}^{-} n a^{\prime} w a x L!a^{\prime a i}\) they were going to listen 30.18
\(h \bar{u}^{\prime}\) tcawans we two (incl.) are going to play 10.5
p \(\bar{u} \bar{u} \not a^{\prime}\) wax \(x\) ûn we two (excl.) intend to go hunting 54.22
mikwa'waxts you two will cut
\(x a^{i} t c\) - to roast 90.9
\(L^{1}{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}\) (they) come 9.3
\(t_{E m \bar{u}}{ }^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3
\(m a^{a} t c\) it lay 32.22
> \({ }^{u} t^{u} x\) xaitca' wa \({ }^{u} x\) and they two finally intend roasting 90.8
> Liwa' want wàn we (incl.) are about to arrive now 66.1
> temūa' \({ }^{\prime}\) vaxtc \(\hat{\imath}\) you will assemble metca'wanx they intended to lie down 38.23
- \(\boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{w}} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{n}\). This suffix expresses the same idea as -awax, from which it differs in so far only as it implies a transitive action that has a third person as its object. It is probable that by some process of contraction this suffix represents an abbreviation from an original -awaxa \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) or -awaxūn.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline hīn- to take along 9.5 & hina \({ }^{\prime w} \bar{u} n\) ants plna'st she intends taking along that sick (man) 88.1, 2 \\
\hline waa'- to speak 7.1 & ut waa'ūun ants hītc \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) and he was about to talk to these people \\
\hline tak \({ }^{u}\) - to take, to get 7.5 & \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) t!ame takwa \({ }^{\prime 2} \bar{u} n\) many children he wants to have (to get) \\
\hline \(t_{\text {E }} m \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3 & \(t_{E m \bar{u}} a^{\prime u} \bar{u} n\) ants \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) lũtc many people are ahout to assemble 30.8 \\
\hline yaqu \({ }^{\text {c }}\) - to look 23.9 & \(s q \bar{a}^{\prime} t m a^{u} x\) yoq \(v^{u^{\prime}} y a^{\prime} w \bar{u} n\) from there they two intended to watch 62.18, 19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

True Temporal Suffixes (§§ 71-74)

\section*{§\%1. Introductory}

Siuslaw distinguishes between three true temporal categories. namely, present, future, and past. Excepting for the first of these, which is used to denote present and past, this differentiation is clearly marked and strictly adhered to.
\[
\S \because \because \text { I'reseur -t }
\]

It denotes an action performed at the present time. Stems ending in a vowel lengthen the rowel before adding the suffix - \(t\); stems ending in a \(t\) insert an obscure (or weak) vowel between their final consonant and the suffix (see §4). Transitive present actions are expressed by adding to the \(-t\) the transitive suftixes \(-\bar{u} n\) and \(-\bar{u} t s\) (see \(\S \S \geq 2 s, 29\) ).
witw- to affirm 30.11
hīq. - to start 15.1
sî'nxi- to desire 11.7
wa'sLsi- to be angry
tca'xu- to turn back 58.5
qatcen- to go 8.2
xatn- to climb up 62.7
qaiha'ntc way off 10.3
mattc- to burn 25.2
qaqün-to listen 30.18
līt.'- to eat 13.10
yaqu' - to see 23.9
witu- to affirm, to agree 30.11
uta \(x\) wàn wítūt they two finally affirmed 90.6
\(s^{E} a t s \bar{i}^{\prime} t o\) waa' ut \(l i \bar{i}^{\prime} q!a^{i} t\) thus he talks and starts (off) 22.5, 6
sínxīt tāqa \({ }^{i \prime} n a\) he wants something 18.5
\(w a^{\prime} s L s i t t\) ants tsîm \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot \ddot{a}\) was angry that muskrat 52.17
\({ }^{u} t\) tca' \(x a^{\bar{u}} t\) he turns back 16.5
\(q \alpha^{\prime} t c^{i} n t\) he goes 12.9
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t a^{u} x\) they two are going 23.1
\(t x \bar{u} x a^{\prime} t^{i} n t\) he just climbs up 12.4
\(q a^{i} h a^{\prime} n t c t a n t\) wàn we (incl.) have come far now \(66.3,4\)
\(h a^{i} m \bar{u} t m a^{\prime} t t c^{i} t\) everything burned (down) 34.18
\(q^{a^{\prime} q^{u} h a n t u ̄ n ~} p^{\prime} \bar{u}\) hītc he heard (make) noise (the) people 36.23, 24
\(\bar{t}_{\imath}{ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} t \bar{u} n\) he ate him (up) 94.19
yo' \(q^{u} h a^{i} t \bar{u} n\) ants \(\hat{\imath} n q!a^{\prime} a^{i}\) he looked at that river 36.21, 22
ut \(m \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{u_{L}}\) w'tūtun then Crow agreed to it \(36.6,7\)

\section*{§ ت3. Future -tūx}

This suffix is added to intransitive stems only, and it denotes an action that is to take place in the future. Stems ending in a rowel lengthen the same before adding this suffix. When added to stems that end in a \(t\), an obscure (or weak) vowel is inserted between the final \(t\) of the stem and the initial consonant of the ending. (see \(\S 4\) ).

Whenever \(-t \bar{u} x\) is to be followed by the subjective pronouns for the second person singular, inclusive and exclusive dual and plural, and the third person plural, it is contracted with them into -tūnx, -tūns, \(-t a^{u} x u n(?),-t \bar{u} n t,-t \bar{u} n x a n\), and \(-t \bar{u} n x\) respectively (see §24). The transitice future is rendered by means of the suffixes \(-y \bar{u} n,-\bar{\imath}^{* o} y \bar{u} n\) (see §41).
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { qatc }^{E} n \text { - to go } 8.2 & q a^{\prime} t c n t \bar{u} x a n \text { I will go } 22.2 \\
& q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t \bar{u} n x \text { thou shalt go } 22.2 \\
\text { L'wān- to teill, to relate } 17.1 & L^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} n t \bar{u} n x \text { thou shalt tell } 30.12 \\
& \text { L'wo'ntūxtcî you will tell } 7.3
\end{array}
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(s m \bar{u} t '\) - to end 9.1 & \(s m \bar{u}^{\prime} t^{\prime}{ }^{\text {e }}\) 㙰 \(x\) it will end 20.5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(L^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) - to come 9.2, 3} & \(L^{\prime \prime} \bar{u} u t \bar{u} x\) he will come 9.2 \\
\hline & Lū'ūtūnxan we (excl.) will come 30.11 \\
\hline quaxtc- to go down to the river 48.18 & nīkiant qwa'xtcitūx alone we (incl.) will go down 62.1t \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{hūtc- to play 7.2} & \(h \bar{u}^{\prime}\) totūns we shall play 10.6 \\
\hline & hu'tetent we (incl.) shall play 7.2 \\
\hline \(\bar{a} q\) - to run away 52.10 & \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) ptūns we two (incl.) will run away 92.2 \\
\hline sinq! - to be bungry 44.11 & sín\(n!\) ! tau \(x \hat{u} n\) we two (excl.) will be hungry \\
\hline \(m \bar{k} k^{u}-\) to cut & \(m \bar{u}^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime} t \bar{u} x t s\) tt'̃aya' you two will cut salmon 90.5 \\
\hline \(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.2 & \(x a^{\prime} \bar{u} t \bar{u} x a^{u} x\) they two will die 88.7 \\
\hline \(x w \bar{L}\) ! '- to turn back 12.6 & \(x w \bar{u}^{\prime} u!t \bar{u} n t\) we (incl.) will turn back 60.9 \\
\hline  &  \\
\hline & we two will look for . . . 56.17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§74. Pust-yax}

This suffix expresses an act performed long ago. The idea of a past transitive action is conreyed by suffixing to -yax the transitire - \(\bar{u} n\) and \(-\bar{u} t s\) (see \(\S \S 28,29,2\) ). It is subject to contraction whenerer followed by the subjective pronouns for the second person singular, inclusive and exclusive dual, third dual, inclusive and exclusive plural, and third plural (see § 24). The contracted forms for these persons are -yanx, -yans, -ya \(a^{u} x u n,-y a^{u} x,-y a n t\), yanxan, and -yanx. This suffix always requires that the accent be placed on the first syllable of the word.
> \(q \bar{u}^{i} t^{\prime}\) - to dream
> tkum- to close 48.8
> \(i \bar{i} h a-\) to pass by 80.12
> ta \({ }^{i}\) - to live 16.2
> xînt- to start 20.3
> \(L^{-1} \bar{u}\) (they) come 9.3
> hīte \(q \bar{u}^{i^{\prime}} t^{\prime} y a x\) a person dreamt 68.21
> ants thwa'myax (when) it closed 78.3

> Qu'a \(a^{i}\) cix Li'hayax along North Fork it passed by 32.19
> \(m^{E} y \bar{o}^{-\varepsilon} h^{u}\) s \(t a^{\bar{z}^{\prime}}\) yax \(L\) !' \(\neq y \ell^{\prime}\) in the beginning (they) lived in a place 82.11, 12
> ufn sihi'tc \(x \hat{i}^{\prime}\) rutyax (when) I begun to grow up (literally, then I [into] growing started) 100.18
> \(L^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{u} y a n s\) we two (incl.) came
hūtc- to play 7.2
\(L^{\prime} \imath^{\prime} \bar{u}\) (they) came 9.3
xintm- to travel 12.10
sî' \(n x \bar{\imath}\) - to desire 18.5
\(h \bar{n} n\) - to take along 9.5
wa' - to speak 7.1
L! \(w \bar{a} n-\) to relate 17.1
\(h \bar{u}\) 'tcyans (when) we two (incl.) play 78.9
\(h \bar{u}^{\prime} t c y a n t\) (when) we (incl.) play 78.13
\(L^{u^{\prime}} \bar{u}_{y}\) anxan we (excl.) came
\({ }^{u^{i}} x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t m^{i} y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\) and he took(them) along 92.13
\(\operatorname{tc\hat {n}} a^{\prime} t a^{u} \operatorname{sit}^{\prime} n^{i} x y a x a^{\bar{u}}{ }_{n}\) whoever desired it 11.6, 7
qa \(a^{i} k a^{\prime} n t c h^{\prime} n y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\) ya \(a^{\varepsilon} k^{u_{\mathcal{S}}}\) way off took him seal 68.17, 18
\(s^{E} a t s i t c \quad w a^{a^{\prime}} y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\) thas he told him 36.11
\(s^{E} a t s i^{\prime} t c \quad\) L! \(w a^{a^{\prime}} n y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\) thus he related to him 38.8

The past suffix is frequently added to a duplicated stem, denoting a past action of long-continued duration (see § 108).
\(t a k^{u}\) - to get, to have 7.5
hīq!- to start 22.6
\(h a^{i} q\) - shore 44.7
\(q^{a^{i} x}\) darkness, night 38.21
\(t \bar{u} t c\) - to spear 62.2
lā'k \(k^{w} k y a x\) lītū to texm \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n y a\) she was taking a male person
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} q!a q!y a x\) thus it started 15.1
tcīwane hai qiqquax from the water ashore it had come 56.13 \(q a^{i} x \hat{x} x y a x\) te L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) it was getting dark 34.4
\(t^{0} v a^{\prime} t c i ̂ t c y a x a^{u} n ~ t E ~ y a a^{\varepsilon} k^{u} s\) I have been spearing this seal 66.17

In a few instances it has been found following the present \(-t\), although for what purpose could not be ascertained.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline hiqq! - to start 22.6 & \(h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} q!a^{i} t 22.6\) & \(a^{\prime} n t s u x h^{\prime} q!a^{i} t y a x p^{u} k w a^{i^{\prime}} t\) those two who hadstarted to play shinny 78.15 \\
\hline t.ímct! ! \({ }^{\prime-}\) to raise children 30.23 & \(t \cdot \hat{\imath}\) 'mct \({ }^{\prime}\) ìt & \({ }^{u}\) ua \(a^{u} x\) wàn t. ̂̂'met! îtyax then they two finally raised children \\
\hline witw- to affirm 30.11 & \(w \bar{z}^{\prime}\) ¢ \(u t 90.6\) &  \\
\hline qatcs \(n\) - to go 8.2 & qa'tc \({ }^{\text {i }}\) nt 12.1 &  \\
\hline \(m a^{a} t c\) - to lie 32.22 & \(m E^{\prime} t c \hat{t} t\) & \(m \hat{1} t c^{i} t y a x a^{\bar{u}} n \quad\) L!ayū'stc I laid it down on the ground \\
\hline xaitc- to roast 90.8 & \(x a^{i} t c \hat{t} t\) & \(x a^{i} t \operatorname{cittyaxau}{ }^{\bar{u}} n\) he roasted it \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(For the idiomatic use of the past suffix in conditional clauses see § 136.)

VERBALIZING SUFFIXES (§§75-77)
§ 75. Verbalizing \(-\pi^{i},-\pi^{i}\)
While the majority of Siuslaw stems do not require the addition of a specific verbal suffix in order to convey a gencral verbal idea, these two suffixes have been found added to a large number of ncutral stems, especially in the present tense. They may therefore be explained as verbalizing a neutral stem and as expressing an intransitive action of present occurrence. They are frequently used to denote an action performed by the third person singular, for which person Siuslaw has no distinct suffix (see § 24 ). There can be no doubt, however, that these suffixes are identical with the Alsea inchoative \(-a \bar{\imath},-\bar{u},{ }^{1}\) and that \(-a^{i}\) bears some relation to the Coos intransitive -aai. \({ }^{1}\) While no difference in the use of these two suffixes could be detected, it was observed that \(-\bar{u}^{i}\) is never added to stems that end in a \(q, p\), or in \(a\).
\(p t n\) - to be sick 15.4
hūtc- to play 7.2
waa'- to speak, to say 7.1
tīt! ! to eat 13.10
\(h a^{i} q\) - shore 44.7
yax- to see, to look 20.10
skwa' - to stand 10.9
\(s m \bar{u} t\) '- to finish, to end 11.1
\(a^{u^{s} \text { - to }}\) dream, to sleep, 23.9
Lxas- to fly, to jump
tqūt- to shout 52.8
\(s \bar{u} n\) - to dive \(6+.21\)
ptna \({ }^{i \prime}\) he was sick 40.21
hütcai' 72.6, hūtcūil 23.8 he plays waai he says 8.9
\(t^{i} t!a^{i \prime}\) he eats 46.12
\(h a^{i} q a^{i^{\prime}}\) he comes ashore 82.5
!ĥxa \(a^{i=}\) he looks 66.6
skwahai he stands 14.4
\(\sin \hat{t} \hat{t}^{\prime} \bar{u}^{i}\) it ends 14.6
asu \({ }^{i \prime}\) he dreams 68.22
Lxusū \({ }^{i \prime}\) be jumps
tqūu \(\bar{u}^{i}\) he shouted 92.6
\(\sin \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) he dives

That these suffixes are not essentially necessary for the purpose of expressing a verbal idea, but that, like their Alsea equivalents, they may have originally conveyed inchoative ideas, is best shown by the fact that all such verbalized forms are parallel to bare stem-forms. In all such cases the amplified form seems to denote inception and (at times) finality of action.

\(L^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}\) (they) arrive 9.3
\(x \bar{a} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21
atsítc \(L!w a^{a} n\) thus he tells 58.22
\(a^{\prime} n t s^{E} n x x m i^{-\prime} w_{E}\) those (who) do it 78.20
\(t a^{i}\) he is sitting, he lives 16.2
Kumîntc yax not (he) sees \(3+4\)
ulnx hau\({ }^{\prime}\) they quit 11.4
ut wàn shwaha' now he stands (up) 28.8
ut waa' then be says 11.2
\(s m \bar{u}^{\prime} t^{\prime} a\) it ends 11.1
tcî'ntau hītc līwa \(a^{i \prime}\) whatever person came 24.7
xawa \({ }^{i \prime}\) hītc (when a) person dies 42.11
\(y \bar{a}^{a \prime} x a^{u} x\) ' \(^{\prime} \bar{o} n a^{i \prime}\) much they two begin to talk 56.7
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} \operatorname{tsan} x \times n \bar{u}^{w} n a^{i \prime}\) thus they begin to do (it) 78.19
tqa \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) witc tayair upstream (they) commence to live \(82.12,13\)
ŷ̂x \(x a^{i \prime}\) wàn (they) commence to look 66.9
\(s q a^{i} k\) wàn hawa here finally it ends 14.6
shwahair he stands 14.4
wai \({ }^{i \prime}\) he says 8.9
\(s m \hat{\imath} t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{i \prime}\) it ends 14.6

\section*{§ 76. Auxiliary -s, -t}

These suffixes express our ideas to have, to be with. A peculiarity that remains unexplained is the fact that they are always added to the locative noun-forms that end in \(-a\) or \(-\bar{u} s\) (see \(\S 86\) ).
\(-s\) is always added to the locative form ending in \(-a\), and never to the \(-\bar{u} . s\) form, which may be due to phonetic causes. The use of this suffix is rather restricted. It is not inconceivable that it may be related to the durative \(-\bar{\imath} s\) (see \(\S 69\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Absolutive & Locative & Auxiliary \\
\hline tsích \({ }^{\prime}\) ī arrow 50.14 & tsīL! \(\mathrm{ya}^{\prime} 50.9\) &  \\
\hline & & have an arrow 50.16 \\
\hline qal'tc knife & qal \({ }^{\text {toy }}{ }^{\prime}\) & \(q a^{\prime} l \cdot t\) cyas he has a knife \\
\hline lkwa'n̂̂ pipe & 17wa'nya & lkwa'nyasîn I am with a pipe \\
\hline lqu \(u^{i^{\prime} c} t \bar{u} \log 32.21\) & \(l q a^{\prime} t^{u} w a\) & lqa'tuwas be has a stick \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- \(t\) occurs very often, and is added to all forms of the locative case. It can never be confused with the sign of the present tense \(-t\), because it is invariably preceded by the locative forms in \(-a\) or \(-\bar{u} s\), while the suffix for the present tense follows vowels and consonants other than \(a\) or \(s\) (see § 72).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Absolutive & Locative & Auxillary \\
\hline \(q \bar{\imath} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) woman 30.21 & qı̄̄tcu'mya T¢.7 & qū̄\(t c \bar{u} n y a^{\prime} t\) he has a wife 48.8 \\
\hline \(k{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) tan horse 34.9 & kōtana' & kumî'ntc kōtana't not they had horses 100.20, 102.1 \\
\hline \(t^{\prime} \hat{\chi} x\) tooth & \(t^{\prime} \hat{x} x a^{\prime}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(t^{\prime} \hat{\imath} x u^{\prime} t\) c \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y u\) teeth has \\
(his) penis 90.19
\end{tabular} \\
\hline yîktı̂'l'ma big 40.6 & \(y \hat{1} k \cdot \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot m a\) & y飦t \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot m a t\) c \(\bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) he has a big penis 92.1 \\
\hline lı̄'t! \(a^{\bar{i}}\) food 34.23 & lit! 'ay \({ }^{\prime} 13.7\) & kumî'ntc līt! aya't(they) had no food 34.10 \\
\hline \(k \bar{c}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) ladder & \(k t \iota^{\prime}\) ¢ \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \(k f \bar{c}^{\prime} n w a t\) ants hītsīi a ladder has that house S0. 12 \\
\hline \(t E^{\prime} \nmid\) something 13.2. & tāqa \({ }^{\text {i }}\) na 18.5 & hai'mūt kumûntc tāqa \(a^{i \prime}-\) natite híq \(\bar{u}^{i}\) they all had no hair (literally, all not with something is their hair) 68.12 \\
\hline sixa \({ }^{\text {i }}\) canoe 56.5 & sExa \({ }^{\bar{u}^{\prime}} 48.18\) & sExa \({ }^{u^{\prime} t \hat{n}} \mathrm{I}\) I have a canoe \\
\hline \(t c^{-1} t!\bar{\imath}\) wind & tcit! yu's & F.umin'ntc tēt.'y \(\hat{u}^{\prime}\) st (there) was no wind \\
\hline mîtà father 54.22 & mîta'yus & mîta'y \(\bar{u}\) st he has a father \\
\hline mîlà mother 54.23 & mêta'yūs & mîta'yūst he has a mother \\
\hline Zqa \({ }^{i{ }^{\prime \prime}} t \bar{u} \log\), stick 32.21 & Tratüwiyū.s 88.16 & Iqatūwíy \(\bar{u} s t\) he has a stick \\
\hline \(h \bar{\tau}\) tsí \({ }^{\text {i }}\) house 25.2 & \(h \overline{t s} \hat{c}^{\prime}\) ' 4 S .7 & hitsî'st he has a house \\
\hline \(L \hat{l}^{\prime} m s t \bar{\imath} \mathrm{raw}\) & Lîmstî's & tcīk ants Lîmê'stist L.' \(a^{\prime a i}\) where (there was) that green place \(34.2,3\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
§77. Suffix Transitivizing Verbs that Express Natural Phenomena -L!
A suffix with a similar function is, as far as my knowledge goes, to be found in but one other American Indian language; namely, in Alsea. This suffix is added exchusively to stems expressing meteorological phenomena, such as it snows, it rains, the wind blows, night approaches, etc.; and it signifies that such an occurrence, otherwise impersonal, has become transitivized by receiving the third person singular as the object of the action. Its function may best be compared with our English idiomatic expression Rain, snow overtakes
him, night comes upon him, etc. By adding to - ! the subjective pronouns for the first and second persons (see § 24), the same expressions with these persons as objects are obtained. This suffix always follows the tense signs, and immediately precedes the pronominal suffixes.
\(q a^{i} x\) night, darkness 38.21 ułxun stīmk qaíxtūxL! us two (excl.) there night will overtake 94.18
tci't \(t^{\prime} \imath\) wind
tsxaya \({ }^{i \prime}\) day breaks 50.3
k! ap- low tide 36.18
\(\bar{u}^{\prime} t t \bar{\imath}\) snow 76.10
\(h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} k \bar{\imath} t\) it rains
\(t c c^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{i} I\) ! a storm overtook him
tsxayai'L!'a \(a^{u} x\) (when) day came upon them two 48.9
\(k!a^{\prime} p t \bar{u} x_{L}!\) low tide will overtake (them) 36.18
wa'ttEtūxL! în snow will overtake me
\(h \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} k!\bar{\imath} t^{i} L!a n x\) rain pours down upon them
It is not inconceivable that this suffix may represent an abbreviation of the stem \(L!a^{\prime a}\) al place, world, universe (see § 133), which the Siuslaw always employs whenever he wants to express a natural phenomenon.
tsxaya \({ }^{i \prime}{ }^{\prime}!^{\prime a} a^{\prime a}\) day breaks 50.3
\(h \hat{'}^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}_{!}!y a a^{\prime}!a^{\prime a i}\) it rains 78.1
\(k\) !'uwîna \({ }^{i!}{ }_{L}!a^{\prime} a^{a i}\) (there was) ice all over 76.11
\(q a^{i \prime} x i ̂ x y a x t_{E} L^{\prime} a^{\prime a i}\) it got dark 34.4
PLURAL FORMATIONS (§§ 78-80)
§78. Introductory
The idea of plurality in verbal expressions may refer either to the subject or object of the action. In most American Indian languages that have developed such a category, and that indicate it by means of some grammatical device, plurality of subject is exhibited in intransitive verbs, while plurality of object is found in transitive verbs. Such plurality does not necessarily coincide with our definition of this term. It may, and as a matter of fact it does, in the majority of cases, denote what we commonly call distribution or collectivity. Thus the Siuslaw idea of plurality is of a purely collective character, and seems to have been confined to the subject of intransitive verbs only. Even the contrivance so frequently employed by other American Indian languages, of differentiating singularity and plurality of objects by
means of two separate verbal stems-one for singular and the other for plural objects-is not found in Siuslaw. \({ }^{1}\) One and the same verbal stem is used in all cases; and when it becomes necessary to indicate that there are more than one recipient of a transitive action, this is accomplished by the use of the numeral particle \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) (see § 139) or of the stem \(L^{\prime} a^{\prime a i}\) (sce § 139), as may be seen from the following examples:
yuwa' \(y \bar{u} n\) ants \(q \cdot!a^{\prime} \bar{\imath} \bar{t}\) he gath- yuwa' \(y \bar{u} n y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) ants \(q!a^{\prime} \bar{i} t\) (they)
ered pitch
yîxa'yūn luâtc I saw a person
wa'aūtsme ants hītc he said to his man
\({ }^{\prime} \cdot \bar{o} x a^{\prime} x a^{\bar{u}} t s m_{E}\) hītc he sent his man
gathered lots (of) that pitch 88.5, 6
yîxa'yūn y \(\bar{a}^{a} x a^{i}\) kītc I saw many people
waa'a \(a^{\bar{u}}\) tsme ants \(x!a^{\prime a i}\) lītc he said to all (of) his people 7.1
L!'ōxa'xā̃tsme hītc L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) he sent many people 30.1, 2

But if Siuslaw does not employ a distinct grammatical process for the purpose of pointing out plurality of objects of transitive actions, it has developed devices to indicate collectivity of subjects of intransitive verbs. For that purpose it uses, besides the numeral particle \(y \bar{a}^{a} x u^{i}\) (see § 139) and the stem \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) (see § 133), two suffixes ( \(-\bar{u}^{u}\) and \(-t x\) ) that are added directly to the verbal stem. These suffixes are always added to verbal stems that denote an intransitive act, and their functions may best be compared to the functions exercised by the French on or German man in sentences like on dit and man sagt.

\section*{§ 79. Plural \(-\bar{\imath} " \prime,-\bar{u}^{w i}\)}

This suffix expresses an action that is performed collectively by more than one subject. Etymologically it is the same suftix as the verbal abstract of identical phonetic composition (see \(\S 97\) ), and the use of one and the same suffix in two functions apparently so differcut may be explained as due to the fact that there exists an intimate psychological connection between an abstract verbal idea and the concept of the same act performed in general. \({ }^{2}\) The following example, taken

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) I bave found only one case of such a differentiation. I was told that the stem \(q a a\) - to enter, to pUT in, refers to singular objects, while the stem Lxaa- can be used with plural objects only. But as this information was conveyed to me after much deliberation and upon my own suggestion, I am inclined to doubt the correctness of this interpretation. It is rather probuble that these two stems are synonymes.
\({ }^{2}\) The same phenomenon oceurs in Dakota.
}
at random, will serve to illustrate the comparison more clearly. The Siuslaw word \(x \hat{\imath} l \cdot x c \bar{u}^{\prime} w i\) (stem \(x \hat{\imath} \cdot \cdot x c \bar{c}-\) то work) may have two distinct meanings. When used nominally (as a verbal abstract), it may best be rendered by the concerr of working, work; when used verbally, it is to be translated by to work in general, all (many) work. This psychological connection between such terms as work and to work generally, collectively, may have led to the use of one and the same suffix in a nominal and verbal capacity (see §22). This suffix is added directly to the verbal stem, and its double form may be due to rapidity of speech rather than to any phonetic causes. It is frequently preceded by the temporal suffixes, especially the present \(-t\) (see § 72), and it was always rendered by they . . . The subject of the action is usually emphasized by the use of the numeral particles \(h a^{i} m \bar{u} t\) all, \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) many (see \(\S 124\) ), and of the stem \(L!^{\prime} a^{\prime a i}\) (see \(\S 133\) ). The particle either precedes or follows the verb. This suffix requires the accent.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t_{\text {Em }} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3 & \(t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\) they came together 30.16 \\
\hline hūtc- to play 7.2 & \(h \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} L_{\text {! }} a^{\prime a i}\) they play 8.8 \\
\hline \(p_{\text {E }} \mathrm{C}_{\bar{u}}\) - to play shinny 9.4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(p_{E} k \bar{u}^{\prime w i} \quad\) ! \(!a^{\prime a i}\) they play shinny \\
70.10
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(h^{i}\) yats- to live & \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} h^{i} y a t s \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) lots (of people) live \\
\hline hat- to shout 13.11 & hat \(\bar{u}^{\prime} u\) ants kītc \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) shout collectively, those people 70.9 \\
\hline \(m a^{\prime} q \cdot \bar{\imath}\) - to dance 28.7 & \(m E q!y \bar{u}^{\prime u}\) L.' \(a^{\prime a i}\) they dance 28.8 \\
\hline \(x n \bar{e}{ }^{w} n\) - to do 10.5 & \(s^{\Xi} a^{\prime} t s a x n \bar{z}^{w} n \bar{u}^{\prime u}\) ants \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) thus do it collectively, those people 70.22, 23 \\
\hline mik \({ }^{\text {u }}\) - to cut 90.5 & \(q \bar{\imath} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} \quad\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) uł \(m \bar{\imath} k \bar{u}^{\prime u} \nmid t t^{\prime} \bar{\imath} a^{i} a^{\prime}\) many women cut salmon 82.14 \\
\hline qatc \({ }^{\text {E }}\) - to go 8.2 & qute \({ }^{\text {E }}\) nat \(\bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) they walk about 34.19 \\
\hline tsîl \({ }^{\prime}\) - to shoot 10.3 & \(t_{s i} \bar{L}^{\prime} a^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} u y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} \quad{ }_{L}!^{\prime a i}\) they are shooting 8.6 \\
\hline \(m a^{a} t c-\) to lay 32.22 & \(y a^{\prime} q^{u^{i}} y \bar{u} n\) ants \(\overline{l \bar{\imath}}^{\prime} t!a^{i}\) mîtcū\({ }^{\prime w i}\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) he saw that food lying (around in great quantities) 36.26, 27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Owing to the frequent interchange between the \(\bar{u}\)-vowel and the diphthong \(a^{\bar{u}}\) (see § 2), this suffix occurs often as \(-\alpha \alpha^{\bar{u}}\), \(\sim a a^{\bar{u} w i}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline skwa'- to stand 10.9 & stim skwaha \(a^{\bar{i} w i} L!a^{\prime a i}\) there they are standing (collectively) 28.9 \\
\hline hiqq!- to start 15.1 & \(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a h \bar{n} y \cdot y a^{u^{\prime} u c i}\) ants \(L\) ! 'd \(a^{\prime a i}\) thus they (will) start \\
\hline \(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus 11.10 & \(s^{E} a t s u^{u^{i} w i}\) te hītc \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) thus (they do it) these people \\
\hline \(k!\hat{\imath} n k^{*}\) - to go and see 16.1 & l: '̂nk' ya' \(a^{\bar{u}}\) nû̀ctca te ta many (were) going to see how this (one was) living \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 80. Plural -t \(x\)}

This suffix exercises the same function as the preceding \(-\bar{u}^{u}\), differing from it in so far only as its subjects must he human beings. It is added either to the bare stem or to the stem verbalized by means of the suffixes \(-a^{i},-\bar{u}^{i}\) (see \(\S 75\) ), or it follows any of the temporal suffixes. The function of this suffix as a personal plural is substantiated by the fact that the verb to which it is added must be followed by the collective forms of hītc person, hītc \(\bar{u}^{u \prime}\), hītc \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w i\) (see § 97 ). Whenever this suffix is added to a stem that has been verbalized by means of the suffixes \(-a^{i},-\bar{u}^{i}\), it coincides in phonetic structure with the temporal and objective form -ittx (see \(\$ \$ 33,68\) ). But the following collective \(h \bar{t} c \bar{u}^{\prime} u\) differentiates these two forms. Stems ending in an alveolar or affricative add this suffix by means of a weak \(a\)-vowel (see \& 4). This suffix is always rendered by they, people.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t_{E} m \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3 & ut wàn temu'tx hītcuu finally the people assembled 7.6 \\
\hline & \(t_{E m}{ }^{u} w a^{i \prime} t x\) hitc \(u^{\prime} w i \quad s q a^{i} k\) people assembled there 66.15 \\
\hline \(s^{\mathbf{E}} a^{\prime}\) tsa thus 11.10 & ul wàn \(s^{\text {E }}\) ats \(a^{\prime} t x\) hîtc \(\bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) now they (began to do it) thus 7.5, 6 \\
\hline hutc- to play 7.2 & ul wàn hütci't.x hitccưu now they (commence to) play 9.3 \\
\hline wa \({ }^{\prime}\) - to talk 7.1 & ul wàn wua'tx hítcu'u then finally people said 16.1 atsīto waa inxustx hitcūu thus they began to talk to each other 64, 20, 21 \\
\hline qato \({ }^{\text {E }}\) - to go 8.2 & uf wàn qa'te \({ }^{i}\) ntx finally they went 16.2 \\
\hline \(t a^{i}\) - to live 16.2 &  all up-stream ther lived \(\$ 2.1\) ? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(p_{E} k \bar{u}^{\prime} u_{-}\)to play shinny 9.4 p \(\bar{a} k w a^{i} t x\) hītcu'u \(t_{E} \quad L^{\prime} a^{\prime a} a^{i}\) these people play shinny 78.7
hat- to shout
hatî̀'tx hītccu'u people shout 13.11

\section*{§ 81. IRREGULAR SUFFIXES -n (-im), -myax ( \(-m\) )}

Here belong two suffixes whose exact function and etymology can no longer be analyzed. It is even impossible to tell whether they represent petrified formative elements, or elements of an exceedingly restricted scope, which may be responsible for their sporadic appearance.

The first of these suffixes to be discussed here is the suffix -n- or -in-. It never occurs independently, being always followed by another verbal suffix, such as the transitive \(-\bar{u} n\) (see § 28), the temporal (see \(\S \S 65-74\) ) and the passive suffixes (see §§ 38, 39, 54-59). It seems to be related to the reciprocal -naw (a), and its function may be characterized as expressing a transitive action involving reciprocality or mutuality.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t . \bar{u}^{\prime} h a t c^{\prime}\) - to try to sell & t' \({ }^{\prime}\) hatc' \(\imath^{\prime} n \bar{u} n^{1}\) I try to sell it \({ }^{u} t a^{u} x\) t.'uhat'ci'ntxa \(a^{u} x^{1}\) they two try to sell their (hides) 100.19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\(m a^{\prime} q^{\prime} \cdot \bar{\tau}-\) to dance 28.7} & maq! \(\bar{e} n a^{\prime w} \bar{u} n\) I will cure him (literally, dance for him) \\
\hline & \(m e q \cdot\left(\bar{e}^{i} n a^{\prime} a^{u}\right.\) a dance will be arranged for him 19.2 \\
\hline & \(s^{E} \grave{a}\) ata's ants ma'q! \(\bar{\imath} n \bar{u} t n e\) (for) him only this dance is arranged 28.7 \\
\hline minq!- to buy (in exchange for a slave) (?) & \({ }^{u} \bar{\eta} m \hat{\imath} n q!\bar{\imath} n \bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) tsxaxu she is bought in exchange for a slave 76.3 \\
\hline \(L^{\prime} \bar{l}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) - to come 9.3 & Līuna \(a^{u^{\prime} w} y a^{u} x^{2}\) (when) they two come together 46.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The other irregular suffix is \(-m\), which, however, occurs by itself in only one instance. It is usually followed by the suffix for the past tense -yax (see § 74), and expresses in such cases an action that alnost took place. It was invariably rendered by alyost, very neali.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The use of this suffix may be justified here by the fact that the idea to sell requires a seller and a buyer.
\({ }_{2}\) The \(-n\) is used here because the action involves two persons-one that comes, and another that is approached.
§ 81
}
xînt he goes, he travels \(20.3 \quad n^{\prime \prime}\) tsî̀s xîntma in the ocean he travels (around?) 44.1, 2
hakw- to fall 8.7
\(a^{u}{ }_{s}\) - to sleep 24.1
\(q^{a t c}{ }^{E} n\) - to go 8.2
\(k \bar{u} n\) - to beat 72.17
\(q \bar{a} t x-\) to cry 58.15
\(h a^{\prime} k r m y a x a n\) I almost fell down
\(a^{u^{r}} s^{i}{ }^{i} y a x a n\) I very nearly fell asleep
qu'tcnimyaxan I very nearly went \(k \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} n a m y a x a^{\bar{u}} n \mathrm{I}\) almost beat him q \(\bar{a}^{\prime} t x^{R} m y a x\) he very nearly cried

\section*{Nomintel Suffixes (§§ \(8: \mathbf{1 0 5}\) )}

\section*{§ 82. INTRODUCTORY}

The number of nominal suffixes found in Siuslaw is, comparatively speaking, rather small, and the ideas they express do not differ materially from the ideas conveyed by the nominal suffixes of the neighboring languages. There is, however, one striking exception, for among the neighboring languages (Coos and Alsea) Siuslaw alone possesses nominal cases. Another interesting feature of the Siuslaw nominal suffixes is the large number of suffixed formative elements that require the accent, and their phonetic strength (see § 12).

\section*{§ 83. DIMINUTIVE -îsh'în}

This suffix conveys our diminutive idea, and may be added to stems that express nominal and adjectival concepts. Under the influence of the cousonant preceding it, it may be changed into -askîn. \({ }^{1}\) When added to stems that end in a vowel, the vowel of the suffix is contracted with the final vowel of the stem (see § 9). When followed by the augmentative \(-\hat{\imath} l \cdot m \ddot{a}\), the - \(\hat{i n}\) - element of this suffix disuppears (see § 84). This suffix requires the accent.
\(t\) ! \(\bar{a} m c\) infant 40.19
\(t t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} a^{i}\) fish 56.1
\(q^{\bar{\imath}} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) woman 30.21
mîtà father 54.22
lî̀pxan niece (?) 92.17
\(k{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) 'tan horse 34.9
t!āme \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} s k i \hat{\imath} n\) a little boy 94.16
\(l t^{\prime} \imath^{\prime} s \dot{k}^{\prime} \hat{\text { on }}\) L! \(\ell^{\prime a i}\) many small fish \(46.6,7\)
q \(\bar{\imath} \bar{u} t c \bar{u} n \hat{a} \dot{x} k \stackrel{i}{n}\) a little woman, a girl mât'a'skintetin my step-father (literally, my little father) 100.3, 4 l̂̀pxan̂̀'sk'̂̀ntco \({ }^{w}\) ax they two (were) his little nieces \(92.15,16\)
kötan \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) sk'în a small horse, a pony

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Owing to the fact that most of the texts and examples were obtained from William smith, an Alsea Indian (see p. 438), whose native tongue has no true alveolar spirants ( \(s, c\) ), this suflix appears frequently in the texts as -ick'în.
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\ldots!m \bar{a} k\) '- short & L! 'māk' \(\hat{l}^{\prime}\) 'sk' \(\hat{n}\) n very short 50.18 \\
\hline \(y \bar{a} k\) - small 29.4 & ya \({ }^{\prime \prime} k\) l \(\hat{v}^{\prime}\) 'skî̀n very small 36.23 \\
\hline xyal \(x\) almost, very neardy 11.1 & xyal'xi'sk'în qu'tc \({ }^{i} n t\) quika'nto he \\
\hline & went a little ways (literally, almost, a little, he goes, far) 12.1 \\
\hline hī'catca a while & hūcatca'sk'in a little while 64.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 84. AUGMENTATIVE -illmii}
-îl.mä expresses the idea of largeness, and, in terms of relationship, that of AGE; and it may be suffixed to stems expressing, besides nominal, also adjectival ideas. When added to stems that end in a lateral, the lateral of the suffix disappears in accordance with the law of simplification of consonants (see § 15). This suffix requires the accent.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(q^{\text {z }}\) 'u\(t e\) woman 48.17 & \(q \bar{u} \bar{u} t c \hat{c}^{\prime} \bar{l} \cdot m\) ä old woman 94.22 \\
\hline Lippl- grandfather & Lîpu'më̈ grandfather \\
\hline kamx grandmother 96.22 & waa \({ }^{i}\) tx ants kamL'mate she said to that her grandmother 96.21 \\
\hline \(t!' \bar{m} c\) infant 40.19 & \(t!^{\prime} \bar{a} m c \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot m \ddot{a}\) old infant, hence young (man) 54.22 \\
\hline \(t_{E E} x^{a} n\) strong 10.1 & \(t_{E x m \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot m} \cdot \ddot{a}\) very strong (man), hence old (man) 40.10 \\
\hline \(p_{\text {En }} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) 's skunk 86.1 & \(p_{\text {Enîsî̀l }}\) l mäa a large skunk \\
\hline \(y \hat{l k t}\) big 48.8 & \(y \hat{\imath} k t \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot / m a\) very big 40.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The diminutive suffix is not infrequently added to the augmentative for the purpose of mitigating the impression made by the augmentative, and vice versâ.
\(t . \bar{a} m c\) infant 40.19
mâtà mother 54.23
\(t\) !'āmcîl'ma'sk'în little big infant, hence little boy 94.20
mîtask' \({ }^{\prime}\) ' \(\cdot m \ddot{a}^{1}\) step-mother (literally, little old mother)

\section*{CASE-ENDINGS (§s 85-87)}

\section*{§ 85. Introductory}

Unlike the languages spoken by the neighboring tribes, Siuslaw shows a rich development of nominal cases. Two of these, the genitive or relative case and the locative, are formed by means of separate suffixes, while the discriminative case is formed by means of a vocalic change (see § 111). In addition to these distinct case-endings,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The contraction of milask' \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot m a ̈\) from milask' \(n_{n}{ }^{\prime} l\) ' \(m a ̈\) may be explained as due to the assimilation of \(n\) to \(l\) following the contraction of the vowels.
§§84-85
}
there exists a great number of nominalizing suffixes indicating nominal ideas of an absolutive (nominative) form; so that the Sirslaw noun may be said to show four possible cases, -the nominative or absolutive case, the diseriminative, the genitive or relative case, and the locative, which has an extended meaning. In discussing these ease-endings it will be found preferable to begin with the locative case, because of the important position it oceupies in the language.

\section*{§ 86. The Locative Case - \(1,-\pi\), .}

These two suffixes indicated originally local ideas of rest, and, as such, are best rendered by our local adverbs on, in, at, to, etc. It would seem, however, that this primary funetion was extended so that these suffixes may also mark the noum as the object of an aetion, thereby exereising the function of an accusative ease-ending. The use of these suffixes for the purpose of expressing objects of action and the adverbial idea of rest may be explained by the intimate psychological conneetion that exists between these two apparently distinet concepts. The following example will serve to illustrate this connection. The sentence I cut samon may, and as a matter of fact does, denote the idea I cut on the samon.

The correctness of this interpretation is furthermore brought out by the fact that the verb, upon which these suffixes are dependent, e:ln under no circumstance appear in transitive form. Should, however, such a verb appear with a transitive suffix, the noun will then oceur in the absolutive form; and, since confusion might arise as to the identity of the subject and object of the action, the subject of the action is always discriminated (see \(\$ \S 21.111\) ).
The importance of these two suffixes as formative elements may be deduced from the faet that they enter into the formation of the forms expressing our periphrastic conjugation to have, TO BE WITII (see. \(\S 76\) ) and that the adverbial suffixes (sce \(\$ 90,91,93\) ) can he added only to nouns that oceur with these locative endings.
-a expresses, besides the nominal object of an action, also the loral idea of rest. There is a tendency to have the accent fall upon this sullix.
\(t t^{\prime} \imath^{\prime} a^{i}\) fish 56.1
\({ }_{\text {Lī }}\) ya' \(a^{\text {ā }}\) fire 25.5
ts! aln pitch 26.6
 eut salmon 90.5

 two (will) get much pitch 94.17, 18
qīūtcū̀n̂̀ woman 30.21
pek \(\bar{u}^{\prime} u_{-}\)to play shinny 9.4
tcı̄ water 36.20
L.'a'ai ground, place 7.1
\(k \bar{o}^{\prime} \tan\) horse 34.9
ti \(x\) tooth
tcè water 36.20
hītc sî̀n \(n^{i} x y a ~ q u ̄ u ̄ t c u u^{\prime} n y a(a)\) person wants a woman 76.7
\(p_{E} k \bar{u}^{\prime} y a x \bar{a}_{L}!a^{i \prime}{ }_{L}!a^{\prime} a^{\prime a}\) many shinny sticks (they) make 78.5
tcī'wa moa \({ }^{\text {ate }}\) ants . . . in the water lay that . . . 32.22
tcīwa \({ }^{\prime \prime} k!!^{\prime} u^{x} w i n a^{i \prime}\) on the water ice appeared 76.13
\(m i ̄ k!a^{u^{\prime}} \quad\) !!aya' in a bad place 12.10; 13.1

Kumî'ntc kōtana't not they had horses \(100.20 ; 102.1\)
\(t^{\prime} \hat{\imath} x a^{\prime} t\) (it) has teeth 90.19
\({ }^{u l} a^{u} x\) toci watc hakwa' \(a^{i}\) they two into the water thrown will be 88.7, 8
tcī'wane \(h a^{i^{\prime}}\) qûqyax from the water (it) came ashore 56.13
tcîmtca'myate xawa' \(\alpha^{u}\) with an ax (he) killed will be 28.1
- \(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{s}\). Like the preceding - \(a\), it is employed for the purpose of forming the locative case of nouns and of expressing the local idea of rest. It is suffixed to nouns in \(-\bar{u}\) (see § 97) and in \(-\bar{\imath}\) (see § 98). When added to nouns in \(-\bar{\imath}\), the \(-\bar{\imath}\) of the noun is consonantized, so that the suffix appears to be \(-\bar{\imath} y \bar{u} s\) (see § 8); while, when suffixed to nouns in \(-\bar{u}\), the \(-\bar{u}\) of the suffix is contracted with the \(\bar{u}\) of the noun (see § 9 ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(k!u^{x} w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) ice & \(q a^{u} x a^{\bar{i}} x k!u^{x} w \hat{n} \bar{n} y \bar{u}^{\prime} s\) on top of the ice \(76.14,15\) \\
\hline \(p k^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \iota^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) lake 62.18 & tsî'sqan pkī̀t \(\bar{\imath} y \bar{u}\) 's \(t_{E m u}{ }^{\prime} y a x\) deer at (the) lake assembled 34.11. \\
\hline \(t s \bar{o}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) sand beach & \(t a^{\prime} \bar{\imath} s\) tsît̄̄y \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) 's (you) will keep on living on the sand beach 46.15 \\
\hline \(p_{E} k \bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{u}\) shinuy game & \(s^{E} a\) ku'nūtswa \(p_{E k} \bar{u}^{\prime} u_{s}\) L!aya' he always beats (people) at shinny 78.18, 19 \\
\hline \(h \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} \times i\) fun 8.5 & \(a^{\prime} l \cdot t \bar{u} t \bar{u} n x h \bar{u} t c \bar{u} u^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s c c\) thou also shalt come to the fun 22.8 \\
\hline \(u^{\prime} m \vec{\imath}\) thunder & umbīy \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) stc \(L \bar{u} \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) to thunder (it) came 36.8, 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A number of nouns undergo unexplained phonetic changes whenever the locative suffix is added, while others employ an abbreviated form of this case-ending. Since no fixed rules can be given that will cover each of these cases, it will be best to tabulate all such nouns, giving their absolutive and locative forms. These nouns are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Absolutive case & Locative case \\
\hline \(m \hat{u} s i^{\prime} a^{i}\) elder sister 90.23 & mûsa'yūs 40.12, 13 \\
\hline mîctcí \({ }^{-i}\) younger sister 40.2 & mर̂ctca' yu.s. \\
\hline mîtà fatber 54.22 & mâta'yü. \\
\hline mûlà mother 54.23 & mêta' y ses \(^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline L! \(a^{\prime a}{ }^{\text {a }}\) earth, many 7.1 & L.'ay \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) s 76.10 \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{z}\) q \({a^{i \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\) tu \(\log 32.21\) & lqatūwiy \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) s 88.16 \\
\hline \(a^{u^{\prime}}\) tcîŝ̂ camas 96.20 & \(a^{u}\) tory \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) s 98.11, 12 \\
\hline hītc person 15.2 & hìtu's 66.1t \\
\hline sî'max landing-place & ŝ̂ma' \({ }^{4}\) s 48.21 \\
\hline tseha \(\alpha^{u \prime} y a\) grass 8.6 & tseha \(a^{u^{\prime}} y a^{\text {i }} \mathcal{S}\) \\
\hline \(y a^{\bar{u}} x a\) fern-root 80.18 & \(y a^{u^{\prime}} x a^{u}{ }^{\text {d }}\) \\
\hline hītsì \({ }^{\text {i }}\) house 25.2 & hītsî's 5 S. \(¢\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In many cases one and the same noun shows in its locative forms both case-endings, as may be seen from the following examples:
```

L!'a'ai ground, many 7.1
a}\mp@subsup{a}{}{u}tc\overline{s
hītc person 7.1
L!aya' 13.1 and L.'ayü's T6.10
au'tc\overline{q}sya and autc\overline{yu}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}s 98.11,12
hitu's.s 66.14 and hítu'tc T.5

```

A few nouns appear with locative case-cndings that seem to bear no relation to the suffixes \(-a,-\bar{u} s\). The following have been found:
Absolutive
\(s \imath^{\prime} x a^{\bar{\imath}}\) boat 56.5
hamíctcc̄ whale 82.5


Lxa \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) spear 64.7
tilqutmá' \(a^{u} x\) qaa \(a^{i \prime}\) an alder tree they two entered 92.6
\(x w \bar{a} k \bar{i}^{\prime}\)
ta \(a^{\prime \prime}\) qat stwahuei'tx xwäkī' feathers (ther) placed on their heads 10.9

Locative
sExa \(a^{u^{\prime \prime} 1} 48.18\)
sexa \(a^{\bar{u}}\) te qua'xam into a canoe it was put 34.5
hamī̀tcū
ha \(a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t ~ h a m i ̄ t c \bar{u}^{\prime} l^{i} k w a^{i^{\prime}}\) all (some) whale got 82.16


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The locative form \(s E x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) mary be explained as a noun with the local suffix of rest used as the object of an action (see §91).
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline hitc person 7.1 & hītu'tc \\
\hline & \(\chi_{a^{\prime}} k_{\imath}{ }^{\prime} t \cdot w \hat{\imath} h \bar{\imath} t \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) a sheriff 7.5 \\
\hline \(m \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{u_{L}}\) crow \(3+23\) & \(m^{u} q w a^{\prime} L^{\prime} 34.21\) \\
\hline qay \(\bar{u}^{\prime 2 i} \mathrm{n}^{\prime}\) ts stone & qayuna'ts 62.7 \\
\hline \(q^{u_{L} \bar{t}^{\prime} m t \text { anus } 86.9}\) & \(q^{u} L \hat{\imath} m i^{\prime} t\) \\
\hline \(y^{6} a^{\varepsilon} k^{u}{ }^{\text {c }}\) s seal 62.4 & yekū's 62.2 \\
\hline Lad' mouth 28.2 & Latya' 29.2, 96.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Nouns that end in the augmentative suffix -il•mä̈ (see § 84) change the final \(\ddot{d}\) into a clear \(a\)-vowel whenever the locative is to be expressed.
\(y \hat{\imath} k \cdot t \hat{t}^{\prime} l \cdot m a\) very big \(40.6 \quad y \hat{i} k \cdot t \hat{l} \hat{l}^{\prime} l \cdot m a t c \bar{a}^{\prime} y a\) he has a big penis 92.1
\(q^{\bar{u}} \bar{u} t c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \cdot m \ddot{a}\) old woman 96.15 qūu\(t c \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot m a t c\) to the old woman 94.16

In a few instances the locative suffix \(-a\) has the function of an adverbial suffix of instrumentality.
tcîmtca'm̂̂ ax 27.10
\({ }^{u} t a^{u} x\) wàn tcîmtĉ'mya qa'tccint and ther two now an ax take along (literally, with an ax go) 96.10, 11

mtcî'mya they are standing, all those who have axes \(28.9 ; 29.1\)

\section*{§ 87. The Relative or Genitive Case -Emf, -Em}

These suffixes have the function of the Indo-European genitive caseendings.
-Emt is suffixed to the absolutive form of the noun; and when added to nouns that end in a long rowel, its obscure \(E\) is contracted with the long rowel of the nom and disappears (see \(\S 9\) ). The noun to which this suffix is added is always the object of the action.
\(t t^{\prime} \bar{t}^{\prime} \epsilon^{i}\) salmon \(56.1 \quad t t^{\prime} \bar{\imath} a y E^{\prime} m t\) txaine salmon's tracks
Iq! \(\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) hide \(100.15 \quad ~ Z q \cdot ' \bar{a} n \bar{u}^{i} m z^{1} y \hat{\imath} x \hat{\imath}^{\prime i}\) many hides (literally, of hides a multitude) 102. 1, 2
 \(p^{\prime} t q^{u} t s\) raccoon \(\quad \hat{u}^{\hat{2}} q^{u} t s E^{\prime} m \ell l q l_{q} \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) raccoon-hide \(t_{E x m} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) man 30.21 tExm \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n y E m \bar{t} L^{\prime}!x m \bar{\imath} t \bar{\imath}\) a man's bow

This suffix may be added to pronouns and particles, as may be seen from the following examples:
nà I 21.8
\(h a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t\) all 10.9
\(n \hat{v}^{\prime} c t c \hat{c} m^{E} n x \quad n a^{\prime} m^{E Z} t^{\prime} q\) because thou (art) of me (a) relative 21.5 \(h a^{i} m \bar{u} t \bar{u}^{\prime} m l^{1} m^{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{t}\) of all (the)chief

This suffix is also employed in the formation of the independent possessive pronouns (see \(\S 11\) ).
-Em differs from the preceding -Eml in so far as it can he added only to the locative form of the noun, and that in the few examples that were obtained it denotes the subject of an action.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Absolutive & Objeetive & Relative \\
\hline \(m \bar{a}^{\prime} \chi^{u} L\) crow 34.23 & \(m^{u} q w a^{\prime} L^{\prime}\) & \(m^{u} q w a^{\prime}\) LEm wa'as Crow's language 34.21 . \\
\hline \(u^{\prime} m \bar{z}\) thunder & \(u m t \bar{\imath} y \bar{u} s\) & umī'yūsem wa'as Thunder's language 36.8 \\
\hline hīto a person 7.1 & \(h \bar{t} \bar{u}^{\prime} t c 7.5\) & hītū'tcem L! \(x m \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} t \bar{i}\) (an) Indian's bow \\
\hline hītsis \({ }^{\prime \prime}\) house 25.2 & hitsî's 58.8 & hītsî'sEm tEqyu\({ }^{\prime}{ }^{i}\) i of house (the) frame \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

When followed by other suffixes, the obscure \(E\) of -Em drops out, and the consonants are combined into a cluster.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Absolutive & Objective & Relative \\
\hline mîlà mother 54.23 & mı̂la'y \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & mîla'yūsmītinn mîtà of my \\
\hline mita mother 54.23 & & mother (her) father; my grandfather \\
\hline \(m \bar{a} t \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) elder brother & \(m \bar{a} t \cdot{ }^{\prime} \bar{i}^{\prime} y \bar{u} s\) &  \\
\hline 58.11 & & elder brother's boy \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 88. THE POSSESSIVE SUFFIXES}

Possessive relations of the noun are expressed in Siuslaw he means of the suffix \(-\bar{\imath}\) that is followed by the subjective pronouns (see \(\S 24\) ). Posssession for the third person singular is expressed by the suffix -tc added to the noun without the aid of the sign of possession, \(-\bar{i}\). Possession for the third persons dual and plural is indicated by adding the subjective pronouns \(-a^{u} x\) and \(-n x\) to the suffix \(-t c\). Thus it would seem that Siuslaw employs two distinct suffixes for the purpose of expressing possession: \(-\bar{\imath}\) used for the first and second persons, and -tc for the third persons.

The possessive suffixes are verbalized by adding the auxiliary suffix - \(t\) (see § 76) to the sign of possession; so that Siuslaw may be said to possess two sets of possessive suffixes,-one purely nominal set and one with a verbal significance. In the latter set the suffixes for the third persons are missing.

All possessive suffixes stand in terminal position following even the case-endings and the adverbial suffixes.

The following table will serve to illustrate the formation of the possessive suffixes:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Sominal & Verbal \\
\hline Singular & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { st person } \\
2 d \\
\text { ad person }
\end{array} & .
\end{array} \cdot .\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -i n \\
& -i n x \\
& -t c
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-itin } \\
& -\bar{t} t n x \\
& -
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Dual . . . . . . &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -i n s \\
& -i x a n \\
& -i t s \\
& -t c w a x
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
-itins \\
-ìtauxan \\
-itits \\
-
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural & \(\left\{\begin{array}{llll}\text { Inclusive } & . & . & . \\ \text { Exclusire } & . & . & . \\ \text { 2d person } & . & . & . \\ \text { 3d person } & . & . & .\end{array}\right.\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -i n l \\
& -i n x a n \\
& -i t c i \\
& -t c^{E} n x
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
-itinl \\
-itinnxan \\
-ititct
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The pronominal suffix for the exclusive dual \(-a^{u} x \hat{u} n,-a x u n\), has been abbreviated here to \(-x \hat{u} n\). This abbreviation may be the result of contraction. The \(\bar{\imath}\) of the possessive suffixes appears frequently as a diphthong \(a^{i}\) (see § 2). The possessive suffixes follow all other nominal suffixes.
\(t s^{\prime} \hat{\imath} l \cdot m \bar{u}^{\prime} t\) friend 23.4
mîtà father 54.22
\(h \bar{\imath} t s \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}\) house 25.2
līn name 13.10
\(t_{x a^{i} n^{\varepsilon}}\) track, path 56.10
\(m \hat{\imath} s i^{\prime} a^{i}\) elder sister 90.23
\(w a^{\prime}\) as language 34.21
ts'̂̂l \(\cdot m \bar{u}^{\prime} t i n\) my friend 36.15
mîta'a \(a^{i} t \hat{\imath} n \cdots m^{2} \hat{l} a^{\prime} a^{i} t \hat{t} n\) my father . . . my mother (literally, I have a father . . . a mother) 100.1
tca'xumans hītsî'stcīn let us two go back to my house! 58.5
\(t_{i}{ }^{\prime} t c^{\mathrm{E}} t t_{i}^{\prime} n \bar{n} n x\) cougar (will be) thy name 13.5, 6
\(m a^{a}\) te txainiz'tcinx it lies in thy path 48.22
\(m \hat{u} s^{\prime} a^{\prime} a^{i} t c\) her elder sister 40.11
\(s^{E} a t s \imath^{\prime} t c ~ w a^{\prime} a s t c\) thus be said (literally, thus his language) 40.26
t'āamc child 40.19
kōpx eye 36.16
tcīL hand 50.18
mîtà father 54.22
\(x w \bar{a}^{\prime} k a\) head 29.5
\(m^{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) chief 11.2
\(x u^{\prime} n h a^{i}\) a bet 78.15
t!'āmcins tcíntūx our (dual incl.)
boys will return 42.7
kōpxa \(a^{i \lambda} x u n\) our (dual exel.) eyes
tcî \({ }^{\prime}\) ints your (dual) hands
mîta'tcoce their (dual) father 52.8
\(x w \bar{a}^{\prime} k a^{i} n t\) our (incl.) heads
\(m^{a} \bar{a} t \bar{t}^{\prime} n x a n\) our (excl.) chief \(m^{a} a \bar{a} t \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c \hat{\imath}\) your chief \(x u^{\prime} n h a^{i} t c^{E} n x\) their bets 70.7

The possessive suffixes may be added to particles and attributive elements that precede the noun. This is due to a tendency inherent in the language to keep the principal parts of speech free from all pronominal elements, and which finds its counterpart in the tendency to add all subjective suffixes to the adverbs that precede the verb instead of to the verbal stem (see § 26).

In many instances the independent possessive pronouns (see § 114) are used in addition to the possessive suffixes. This is done for the sake of emphasis; and in all such cases the suffixes are added to the independent pronouns, and not to the nominal stem.
\(s^{E} a t s \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c\) thus 8.1
nà I 21.8
nîctcî'tc how, manner 36.4
hīs good 38.21
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus 11.10
\(s^{E} a^{i} n a\) he, that one 15.4
\(n a ̀\) I 21.8
\(k i \bar{x} \varepsilon_{s}\) ten 8.1
\(h i ̄ s\) good 38.21
\(s^{\text {E }} a t s i{ }^{\text { }}\) tcin \(h a^{2}\) thus I think (literally, thus my mind) 21.7
 continually speak my language 36.13
netccitcinx ha how (is) thy mind 40.3
\(h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \sin x h a^{i} h a \bar{u}^{\prime} t \bar{u} x\) you will feel better (literally, good thy heart will become)
\(s^{E} a^{\prime}\) tsatc nîctê̂mámū thus (is) his custom 28.16
\(s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m\) ttc wa'as wa \(a^{a^{\prime}}\) syaxa \(a^{\bar{i}} n\) his language he spoke 36.14
\(n a^{\prime} m^{E t} \bar{i} n s\) kō'tan our (incl. dual) horses
\(k \bar{v}^{\prime} x^{E}{ }_{s} c^{w}\) ax haū'yax t'àmo they two had ten children (literally, ten their two, had become, children) 60.16, 17
\(h \bar{i}^{i} \sin t h a^{t}\) we are glad (literally, good our [incl.] heart) 72.18
\(h \bar{\imath} s\) good 38.21
\(n \grave{a}\) I 21.8
ants that there 7.1
\(h i^{i}{ }^{\prime} \sin x a n h \bar{\imath} t s \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}\) good (was) our (excl.) house 100.13
\(n a^{\prime} m^{E t} \bar{n} n x a n\) téq our (excl.) relative 102.5
\(t \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime} y a^{i}\) Zakwa'kiū \(n\) a'ntsîn māt \(\cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime}\)
(a) bear caught that there my elder brother 58.18

Nominal possessive suffixes are added to verbal stems in many cases when the object stands in some possessive relation to the subject of the sentence (see §33). Siuslaw uses for that purpose the verbal set of possessive suffixes (see table on p. 546) ; and, since the pronouns indicating the subject of the action are added to particles and attributive elements preceding the verb (see § 26), these suffixes occur mostly in terminal position.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(a^{i} q\) - to leave & \(t a^{i} k^{E} n s\) ay \(a^{\prime} q a^{i} t \bar{\imath} t_{E} s \bar{u}^{\prime} x a^{i}\) here we two (incl.) shall leave our canoe 56.5 \\
\hline haū- to become & \(h a^{i^{\prime}} \operatorname{nanx} h a^{\bar{u}} t \bar{u} x a^{i} t \bar{t} h a^{\imath}\) different will become thy mind 60.14 \\
\hline \(y \bar{a}^{\prime \prime} x a^{i}\) much 8.5 &  I (know) much (in) my mind 20.9 \\
\hline \(h \bar{\imath} s\) well 38.21 & tsīk! yanxan hī'sītū ha we (excl.) are very glad 24.5, 6 \\
\hline waa'- to speak 7.1 & atsisitct \(n x\) wa' \(a^{i} s i t i \bar{\imath}\) tsî'mqma thus you shall tell your people 78.10 \\
\hline \(y \bar{a}^{\prime} x a^{i}\) much, many 8.5 &  much food (literally, and they much their food) 80.17 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Lxu }}{ }^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) s dry 60.19 & \({ }^{2} x \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{\imath} s t c^{E} n x\) ants \(t t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} a^{i}\) dry (is) that their salmon 80.17, 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The possessive suffixes are sometimes added to the verbal stem, especially the suffix for the third person singular.
\(x w^{-1} L!t \bar{u} x\) he will return
waia he says 8.9
\({ }_{L}!x \bar{u} x^{u}\) - reduplicated form of L! \(x \bar{u}\) - to know 40.16
sînxītx ants t!āme xw \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} L!t u \bar{x} t c\) he wanted his boy to come back (literally, he desired his, that boy, shall come back, his) \(42.5,6\) kumî'ntc wa' \(a^{i}\) to ants qasLī' \(\bar{u}\) not she said (to) that her husband \(k \bar{u}^{i} L!x \bar{u}^{\prime} x^{u} t c h a^{i}\) not he knew his \(\operatorname{mind} 58.4\)

The subjective pronouns may at times perform the function of the possessive suffixes. This is especially true in the case of the pronoun for the first person singular when used in connection with a demonstrative pronoun.
\(t \bar{a}^{a} k\) this here 32.13
ants that there 7.1

bear caught this my boy 60.9, 10 wa'aitsin tāa \(k \hat{i} n\) wa'as speak to me (with) this my language 36.10 t. \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} y a^{i}\) Zakwa'k \(\bar{u}^{u_{n}} a^{\prime} n t s i ̂ n ~ m a \bar{a} t \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) (a) bear caught that there my elder brother 55.18

\section*{ADVERBIAL SUFFIXES (§§ 89-96)}

\section*{§ 89. Introductory}

Siuslaw expresses all adverbial relations derived from nouns by means of suffixes, that precede even the pronominal sulfixes. Of these, the local suffixes indicating motion and rest, and the local suffix expressing the ablative idea from, can be added only to the locative forms of the noun (see § 86 ). It is rather interesting to note that there is no special suffix denoting instrumentality. This idea is either expressed by means of the locative \(-a\) (see \(\S 86\) ), or it is conveyed through the medium of the local suffix of motion -tc (see §90) and of the local -ya (see § 93 ), or it may be contained in the suffix of modality -itc (see § 94). All these ideas are so closely interwoven with that of instrumentality, that the instrumental use of elements denoting primarily objects, motion, and modality, presents no difficulty whatsoever.

\section*{§ 90. Local Suffix Indicating Motion -tc}

It is added to the locative forms of the noun (see \(\$ 86\) ), and may be best rendered by to, into, at, on, upon, towards.
\(t c \bar{\imath}\) water 64.24
\(h \bar{\imath} t s i^{i}{ }^{i}\) house 25.2
\(m \hat{\imath} s i^{\prime} a^{i}\) elder sister 90.23
> ula \(a^{u} x\) tcī'watc hakwa' \(a^{i}\) and they two into the water will be thrown 88.7, 8
> ulnx wàn tci \({ }^{-i}\) n hittrístc they now returned into the house 60.10, 11
> wi'ttcîstūn mîsa'yūste he sent her to her elder sister \(9 \because 20\)

L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) ground 76.10
si'xa \({ }^{i}\) canoe 56.5
\(p k^{\prime} \imath^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) lake 62.18
\(q \bar{u} \bar{u} t c \hat{c}\) 'l \(\cdot m a ̈\) old woman 94.22
qay \(\bar{u}^{\prime w i n t s ~ r o c k ~}\)
\(m \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{u}{ }_{L}\) crow 34.23
k.'īx x! \(a^{\prime a i}\) everywhere

L!ayü'stc to the ground 94.8
sexa \(a^{\bar{u}}\) tc qua'xam into a canoe were put 34.5
\(p k^{\prime} \bar{\imath} t \bar{\imath} y \bar{u}^{\prime}\) stc \(t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime} y a x\) at the lake (they) came together 34.13, 14
Līū \(w a^{u} x\) qūu\(t c \hat{u}^{\prime} l \cdot m a^{\prime} t c\) they two came to an old woman 94.16
xalna \({ }^{i}\) qayuna'ts \({ }^{i}\) tc (one) climbs up the rock 62.7
\(L^{i} \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} m^{u} q w a^{\prime} L^{i} t c\) he came to Crow 36.3
k.'ēxū'tc \(\quad\) !'aya'tc wa'u \(\bar{u} n\) everywhere he said . . . 7.2

Local adverbs and stems denoting local phrases are not considered as nouns. Hence they can have no locative forms, and the adverbial suffixes are added directly to such words.
\(h a^{i} q\) shore 44.7
चnū outside 38.23

\(q a^{\prime} x a n\) up, abore 34.21
\(q a^{i}{ }^{i} \bar{a}^{\prime} n\) far 56.8
into the water all went 34.15
yîxai haiqtc (they) looked ashore 66.6
\({ }^{u}{ }^{2} \mathrm{E} n x \mathrm{fn} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) tc Li\(h a^{\prime}\) and they outside went 35.23
qa'xuntc hakwa'yüne upwards it is thrown 8.7
\(q a^{i} h a^{\prime} n t c\) tsîL! \(c^{i^{\prime}}\) he shot far 10.3

In like manner the local suffix is added to the independent pronouns; and all such pronouns, when followed by this suffix, have the function of objective pronouns (see § 11\%).
nà I 21.8
\(n \bar{x} x^{a} t s\) thou
\(t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime} t \bar{u} x t c \hat{\imath}\) nàtc you shall come to me 72.11
Kumî'ntc \(h \imath^{i}\) 'sa \(n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x^{a} t c\) not good (it is) on you 12.5

In a few instances the local suffix -tc has been found added to the absolutive form of nouns. This ungrammatical suffixation may be due either to imperfect perception on my part, or to errors on the part, of the informant. The instances referred to are as follows:
\(p a a^{\bar{u}} w \hat{\imath}\) sand beach
\(l k . \bar{i}^{\prime} a^{i}\) mouth of the river
pad \({ }^{\overline{\bar{v}^{\prime}} w \bar{\imath} t c \bar{x} x}\) qatcenatū \({ }^{\prime} u\) along the sand beach they walked 34.14
Līū'wanx \(7 k\). \(\bar{i}^{\prime} a^{\bar{i}} t c\) they came to the mouth of the river 66.11
\(\hat{\imath} n q!a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) river, creek 30.23
\({ }^{u} z^{u} x\) Lī̄\({ }^{\prime}\) inn.' \(a^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t c\) and they two came to a creek 56.4
In many instances the locative form of a noun or pronoun followed by the local suffix of motion -tc indicates the idea of instrumentality.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tcī water 64.24 & hãtsīi tä́qmîs toinwe'tc the house (is) full of water \\
\hline lintc person 7.1 & \(\operatorname{taquañ} \bar{v}^{\prime} t x\) lūtūu'stc it was always full of people \(70.3,4\) \\
\hline tcîmtca'mî ax 27.10 & tcîntca'myatc xava' \(a^{u}\) with an ax he will be killed 28.1 \\
\hline \(l u^{\prime} t\) t \(a^{\text {i }}\) food 34.6 & tā'qnîs līt.'aya'to ants lītsīi full with food (was) that house 54.5 \\
\hline ts!atn pitch 26.6 & si'n\(n^{i} x y \bar{u}^{\prime} n e\) ts! \(\hat{2} l n a^{\prime} t c ~ x a w a^{\prime} a^{u}\) it was desired (that) with pitch he should be killed 24.1 \\
\hline \(s^{\text {E }}\) à that one 10.1 & \(s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} t c x a w a^{\prime} a^{u}\) with that (thing) he will be killed 26.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 91. Local Suffix Indicating Rest - \(\overline{\prime \prime}\) ( \(-\pi^{\bar{u})}\)}

This suffix is added to such stems as are not considered nominal, and hence can not express the local idea of rest by means of the locative \(-a\) or \(-\bar{u} s\) (see \(\S 86\) ). It is consequently suffixed to adjectiveswhich are really intransitive verbs-and it performs for such terms the additional function of a locative casc-ending. The only noun to which this suffix has been found added in its local and objective meaning is the stem \(s i^{1} x a^{\imath}\) canoe (see § S6). This apparently exceptional use of the local suffix \(-\bar{u}\) in connection with a noun may be due to the fact that the informant, unable to recall a single instance of the noun \(s \imath^{\prime} x a^{i}\) in it proper objective form (sixaya'?), and not conscious of the grammatical processes of her language, has endeavored to form the objective case according to her own idea. The ideal implied by this suffix may be rendered by in, at, ox. The interchange between - \(\bar{u}\) and \(-a^{\bar{u}}\) has been discussed in \(\S 2\).
\(m i ̃ ' k!a\) bad 14.7

> nî'ctcī tex xi'ntmās hītc mīk! a \(a^{\bar{u}}\) c.'aya' how (can) always travel a person in a bad place? 12.10; 13.1 you everywhere will continually travel 13.6, 7
\(k!\bar{i} x\) each, every \(24.4 \quad t x \bar{u}^{\prime} n x k \cdot{ }^{\prime} \bar{e} x \bar{u}^{\prime}\) L!'aya' \(x \hat{i}^{\prime} n t m \bar{\imath} s\) just
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline k! 1 io each, every 24.4 & \(k!e \overline{e x} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) L!aya \(\left.a^{\prime}\right\}{ }_{s}{ }^{E} a^{i} t^{E}\) on each place such (was the world) \(14.6 ; 15.1\) \\
\hline \(s^{z} a^{i} t^{z}\) such, in that manner 15.1 & \(u t e_{n x} s q a^{i} k z^{i} t!a^{i \prime} s^{E} a^{i} t \bar{u}^{\prime}\) and they eat on such (a place) 62.5, 6 \\
\hline \(y a^{a^{\prime}} k \cdot \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) 'sk'in very small 36.23 &  very small place they lived 38.19 \\
\hline \(s \overline{1}^{i} x a^{i}\) boat 26.5 & Zqa \(a^{i \prime \prime} t \bar{u} t x \bar{u} m a^{a} t c a n t s ~ s E x a^{\bar{u} \prime}\) sticks merely were lying in that boat 48.20, 21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Instances where this suffix has the function of a locative caseending may be given as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline k:îx each, every 24.4 & \(k!\bar{e}^{\prime} x \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) L! aya'tc L. \(\bar{o} x a^{\prime} x a^{\bar{u}} t s m_{E}\) to each place he sent his . . .30.1 \\
\hline \(m \bar{u}^{\prime} k\) ! \(a\) bad 14.6 & \(L^{\imath^{\prime}} \bar{u} m \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k!a^{\bar{u}} t c\) L! \(a y a^{\prime} t c\) he came to a bad place \\
\hline \(s \bar{i}^{\prime} x a^{i}\) canoe 56.5 & \(s\) sxau \({ }^{u^{\prime}} n \hat{s}^{\hat{1}^{\prime}} n^{i} x y a\) a canoe I want sexa \({ }^{a^{21}} t c\) qaa'xam into a canoe were put 34.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
§92. Local Suffix \(-\bar{i} x\left(-a^{i} x,-y a x\right)\)
This suffix is used chiefly in connection with rerbs of motion, and is added to nouns, adjectives, and adverbs. Its function may best be compared to the function performed by our adverbs on, over, along, when used in connection with rerbs of motion. The long \(\bar{\tau}\) of the suffix is often changed into \(a^{i}\) (see § 2) or diphthongized into ya (see § 7).
\(Q a^{\prime} a^{i} t c\) a tributary of the Sius- \(Q a^{\prime} a^{i} t c i \bar{x}\) peīìtc Līha'yax along
lav river called at present North Fork at first it passed

North Fork
paa \({ }^{\bar{u} \prime} w \hat{\imath}\) sand beach
\(l a^{i}{ }_{q}\) shore 44.7
qa \(a^{u} x\) high, up 80.9
hūs well, straight 38.21
\(q a^{u^{\prime}} x a n\) sky
tcik where 34.2
32.19
pa \(a^{\bar{u}}\) wī̀tcīx qatc \({ }^{E} n^{\prime} a t \bar{u}^{\prime \mu}\) along (its) sand beach they walked 34.14
\(h a^{i} q a^{i} x a n t\) tca' \(x w \bar{i} t \bar{u} x\) along the shore we will go back \(66.12,13\) \(q a^{u} x a^{i} x k!u^{x} w \hat{i} n \bar{y} y \bar{u}^{\prime} s\) on top of the ice \(76.14,15\)
tci'vatc \(h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} s a^{i} x\) Lī \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) to the water on (a) straight (line) it was coming 32.20
\(q a^{u^{\prime}} x\) ânyax xint along the sky it traveled 32.19
tcīkyax Līhail te hītc whereon climb up people 80.13

In one instance this suffix is added to a demonstrative pronoun.
\(\delta^{E} \dot{a}\) that one \(10.1 \quad s^{E} u^{i} x x^{u} x p \hat{t} t c u^{i \prime}\) over that one they two stepped 88.18
§ 93 . Locai Suffixes -ya, \(-\boldsymbol{n}_{E}\)
\(-\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}\) is added to those locative forms of the personal pronouns and nouns that end in an alveolar or affricative consonant \((t, s, t c)\) and to adverbs the final consonant of which belongs to the same series.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(q^{u_{L i}{ }^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} t\) t anus 86.9 & \(q^{u}\) Lîmi'tyate Lilha' from his anns he came out 94.20 \\
\hline \(p \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime} t s \hat{\imath} s\) ocean (locative form) 44.1 & \(p^{u^{\prime} t}\) tî̀rya ha \(a^{i} q a^{i \prime}\) from the ocean he came ashore 82. 4 \\
\hline \(q \bar{u}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t c\) Umpqua river & qu'turcyacu from the Umpoua river (they came) 100.15 \\
\hline \(h \bar{\imath} t_{s i}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {i }}\) house 25.2 & hittsi'sya from the honse \\
\hline nù I 21.8 & \(n a^{\prime}\) tcya from me \\
\hline \(h a^{i} q\) shore 44.7 & \(h a^{i}\) ! \(a^{i} t c y a\) go away from the fire! (literally, what is shore like from it you go away 26.7 \\
\hline qantc where & qa'nteyanx Lī̄̄' from where (dost) thou come 66.16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{E}}\) is suffixed to nouns and to personal pronouns whose loeative forms end in a rowel (sce §s6), and to such stems as form the locative cases by means of the local suffix of rest \(-\bar{u}\) (see \(\S 91\) ).
 from every where 8.2
 he came ashore 56.13
\(x w \bar{a}^{\prime} k a\) head 29.5
\(s \bar{i}^{1} x a^{i}\) canoe 56.5
xreaki'ne from the head
sExicu'ne from the canoe
These local suffixes are frequently used as implying the idea of instrumentality.
qal•to knife
tcīL hand 50.18
\({ }_{\text {L }} \times a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) spear 64.7
 with a kuife (literally, from a knife)
tcithe lode'tun with the hand he struck him
Lxa \(u^{\prime \prime}\) hene ants hite skimaluir with a spear (in his hand) that person stood up 64.11, 12

\section*{§ 94. Adverbial Suffixes Indicating Modality -ītc (-aītc), -'uu}
-itc. This suffix has both a nominal and a verbal function. As a nominal suffix it signifies like. It is found suffixed to a number of modal adverbs (see \& 121), and it invariably requires the accent. The interchange between the long \(\bar{\imath}\) and the diphthong \(a^{\bar{i}}\) has been discussed in § 2.
\(c k o \bar{o}^{\prime} t c\) hill \(46.10 q a^{\prime} x a ̂ n t c q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t c k \bar{o}^{\prime} t c \bar{c}^{-1} t c\) he went up a hill (literally, upwards he goes, hill-like) 12.9
txa \(a^{i} n^{8}\) tracks, road 56.10
\({ }^{2}{ }^{a} q\) one 18.7
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus 11.10
tcīk ants Zqua \({ }^{i \prime} t \bar{u} L^{0} w a^{i r}\) txa \(a^{i} n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c\) wherever that tree falls across the road (literally, road-like) 84.2, 3
\(a^{\prime} t q a^{i} t c \hat{c} n \quad L!x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) qnà half I know it (literally, one [half] like I know it) 92.12
waa'xam \(s^{E} a t s \imath^{\prime} t c\) he was told thus 8.1
 you tell him anything 17.1, 2

My informant frequently rendered this suffix by the phrase what you wodld calla ..., sone kind of . . ., especially in cases where the noun employed did not convey the exact idea that was wanted.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(m^{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) chief 10.2 & \(m^{a}{ }^{a}{ }^{\prime} t \bar{t} t c t_{E}\) quo'tra \(a^{i}\) beaver (was) (what you would call a) chief 50.6, 7 \\
\hline \(m \bar{u} t \bar{c}^{\prime} y \bar{u}^{w i}\) chief, general & \(s^{E} a t \bar{s}^{\prime}\) 'tc waa' ants mātī'wītc ants \(s^{i} x a^{i}\) thus said that (what you would call) captain (of) that boat 64.26; 66.1 \\
\hline Inut \({ }^{u^{\prime} w i}\) rich man 86.4 & Zna \({ }^{u^{\prime}}\) wītc ants hītc (what you would call a) chief (was) that man 76.3 \\
\hline \(t_{\text {EYy }} \bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\) frame 80.7 & teqyū'wītc (what you would call a) frame \\
\hline llk. \(\mathrm{i}^{\prime} e^{i}{ }^{\text {a }}\) mouth (of river) & \(i k \cdot \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime} a^{i} w \bar{i} t c\) ants \(p k^{\prime} i^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) (something like the) mouth (of) that lake \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

When added to adverbs that convey local ideas, it must be preceded by the local suffix of motion -tc (see § 90 ).
sqaik there 14.6
qanîs- down
tq \(a^{u} w \bar{\imath}^{\prime}-\) up-stream 56.8
sqaiktcin'tc qa'tcintūx there (they) will go 30.22
qan̂̂stríte tx \(\bar{u}\) slōxu' \(x^{u}\) down simply he went (slid) 12.6
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{E} n t\) tqa \(a^{u} u^{2} t c \tilde{c}^{\prime} t c\) he went upstream 58.12

This modal suffix may also express the idea of instrumentality, as will be seen from the following examples:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tsī \({ }^{\prime}\). \({ }^{\text {c }}\) arrow 50.7 & Kumî'ntc xu'uit tsîL. \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) tc not he can die through (literally, with) an arrow 15.8 \\
\hline tsax \({ }^{4}\) slave 76.3 & \(t \bar{u} h u u^{\prime} h a^{\bar{u}},{ }^{\prime}\) tsexwi'tc he bought her in exchange for a slave \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

When added to verbal stems, -itc is almost invariably followed or preceded by the verbs xint- to go, to start, and híq!' то stakt, то begin; and the idea conveyed by such a phrase may best be compared with our English sentences I go into a state of . . ., I stalt . . . -Ly. The Siuslaw informant, unable to express this native phrase in English, usually rendered it by I, thou, he almost. . . .
tcīn- to go home, to return qātx tcenitc xint he cried as he
12.10
\(t_{E m} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3
\(L^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}\) - to arrive 9.2
\(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21
30.14 went home (literally, he cries when homewards he starts) 58.15, 16
tEm \(\bar{u}^{i}\) te xint \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) people came together (literally, into a state of coming together go many) 30.15, 16

Liuwitctux uàn wint they two are almost home (literally, in the manner of arriving they two finally go) 23.1
tcaxu- to go back, to return hī̀xss tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime} x i\) a'ntsin tcexuritc xint for ten days I was going back (literally, ten days this 1 returningly went) \(6(6.20,21\)
xEūitcenx lī'y? ya (when) you are near death (literally, [when] in the manner of dying you start) 34.25
k!ap- low tide 36.18
\(s \imath^{\bullet}-\) to grow 98.10
> ut \(k!\cdot a p \bar{\imath} ' t c\) xint \(\hat{\imath} ' t\) ants tcī and the water began to get low (literally, into a state of low tide went that water) 36.20
> sihītcin xíntyax (when) I began to grow up (literally, [when] into a state of growing I went) 100.17

In one instance this suffix occurs as \(-a^{\bar{u}} t c\), and is preceded by the stem qaten- то GO.
yax- to see \(20.10 \quad{ }^{u} \ell q a^{\prime} t c^{E} n t y E x a^{u^{\prime}}\) tc \(a^{\prime} n t s^{i} t c t c m \bar{a}{ }^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) he went to sce his cousin 40.24

The verbs xint- and hiq!- may be omitted, as is shown in the following example:
tca \(q\) - to spear \(68.8 \quad q a^{i} h a^{\prime} n t c \quad l \bar{i}^{\prime} n y a x a^{\bar{u}} n \quad y a^{\varepsilon} k^{u_{s}}\) tc \(\alpha\) \(q a^{i} t c\) the seal took him way off as he speared him (literally, way off took him, seal, spearingly) 68.17, 18
-na is added to adjectives only, and expresses an idea similar to that of the English suffix -Ly.
\(m \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k!a\) bad \(14.7 \quad k w^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} n x x_{L}{ }^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} s \bar{n} n m \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a^{\prime} n a\) don't tell it to him badly 17.1, 2
kumintcmín! \({ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} n a \hat{s i n}^{i} x n a^{\prime} w i s\) not badly (we shall) keep on thinking of each other \(78.12,13\)
\(t \cdot \bar{i}^{\prime}\) sa grease
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} m\) t. \(\imath^{\prime} \operatorname{san} n a n x\) lint \(!a^{\prime} w a x\) because greasy (things) they are going to eat 82.8

\section*{§ 95. Adverbial Suffixes Indicating Time -tita, -ïta}

These suffixes are added to nouns that indicate division of time, and to verbs expressing celestial phenomena, and they may best be rendered by towards, when the time of . . . comes. Both suffixes require the accent.
pīctcem summer 46.11
pîctcemtīta \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\underline{1}}{ }^{n} n x\) sqaik taya \({ }^{i \prime}\) towards summer (hence, in the spring-time) they there live 62.2, 3
\(q!\) Exauy \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath}\) salmon season \(\quad q!\) Exa \(a^{u} y u^{\prime} w i t \bar{\imath} t a^{\prime}\) ul tqa \(a^{u^{\prime}}\) wītc taya \({ }^{i \prime}\) when salmon-time comes (they) up-stream live \(82.12,13\)
\(q^{i} \bar{u}^{\prime} n E m t \bar{z} t a^{\prime}\) towards winter
hinn \({ }^{\varepsilon} k\) ! \(\bar{\imath} t a^{\prime}\) in the rainy season
qa \(a^{i} x \bar{\imath} t a^{\prime}\) towards night-time
mêctcînawūta' ula \(a^{u} x^{s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a x n \imath^{\prime} w_{n E}}\) towards spring-time they two thus do it 98.5

\section*{§ 96. Modal Adverbs in - \(\boldsymbol{r}\)}

This suffix may be called the suffix of modality par cxcellence. By its means all stems expressing adjectival ideas, and all particles, are transformed into adverbs. Many of these stems (amplified by means of the modal suffix -a) do not occur in their original form, being used adverbially only. All such stems are denoted here by an asterisk (*). Whether this suffix may not be ultimately related to the locative \(-a\) (see \(\S 86\) ) is a debatable question.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(h \bar{u} s\) good 38.21 & Kumî'ntc kīi'sa nàtc not well (it is) on me 19.2 \\
\hline \(L^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) near \({ }^{\text {4 }} 0.12\) & Līū'wa \(k^{u} n a ̀ ~ i ̂ n q!a^{\prime} \imath t c o t e ~ t a^{i}\) near, perhaps, the creek, these live 66.7, S \\
\hline \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) much, many 8.5 & \(h \bar{u}^{\prime} t c t \bar{u} n s y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a\) we shall play a great deal 10.6 \\
\hline \(y \hat{\imath} k t\) big, large 48.8 &  deep it would be dug (literally, down-like it is dug largely) 84.3, 4 \\
\hline *Lîmq- quick & Lî'mqan trī'ntūx right away I shall return 56.22 \\
\hline * \(h a^{i} n\) - different & \(h a^{i \prime} n a\) differently 58.9 \\
\hline * \(n\) īk!' alone & \(n \bar{z}^{\prime} k!a\) alone 94.11 \\
\hline \({ }_{*}{ }^{E}\) ats- thus & \(s^{\text {a }} a^{\prime}\) tsa thus, in that manner 18.4 \\
\hline *tsik!'- much, very & tsi'k! 'ya very, very much 13.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GENERAL NOMINALIZING SUFFIXES (§§ 97-108)}
\[
\S 97 \text {. Nominal }-\bar{u}^{n}(-\mu \bar{u}),-\bar{u}^{r e i}
\]

This suffix conveys a general nominal idea, changing any neutral stem into a noun, and is employed extensively in the formation of verbal abstract nouns. It is also nsed to express collectivity of action,
\[
\S \S 96-97
\]
an application that is in perfect harmony with its nominal character, as has been explained in \(\S 78\). The forms \(-\bar{u}^{u}\) and \(-\bar{u}^{w i}\) may be explained as due to imperfect perception on my part, while the double occurrence of \(-\bar{u}^{u}\) and \(-a^{\bar{u}}\) is caused by the phonetic relation that exists between the \(\bar{u}\) and the diphthong \(a^{\bar{u}}\) (see \(\S 2\) ).
hütc- to play 7.2
tem \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to gather 7.3
paLn- to hunt S2.17
\(x \hat{\imath} l \cdot x c \bar{\imath}-\) to work 48.10
sī- to grow 98.10
sxat- to run 12.3
xintm- to travel 12.10
yalq- to \(\operatorname{dig} 84.5\)
\(x a^{i} t c\) - to roast 90.8
anxī- to sing
\(h \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} w i, h \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\) fun, 8.5; 16.6
tcikk ants \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) tEmu \(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\) where (there is) that big assembly 88.3 qwà'tc L'!x \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n{ }^{n}\) paLn \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w i\) (he) who knows (the art of) hunting 82.18
\(t s \bar{u}^{\prime} k!y a \quad\) L! \(x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n \quad x \hat{\imath} l \cdot x c y \bar{u}^{\prime} u\) very (well) he knows (the art of) working 52.22, 23
\(s^{E} a^{\prime}\) tsatc sïy \(y a^{\prime} a^{\bar{u}}\) such (was) her growth 95.6
\({ }_{L x a t} \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) a race 78.18
wî'nxanx tcī'va xîntmu \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w i\) thou art afraid to go to the ocean (literally, thou fearest to water the journey)
yatqa' \(a^{\bar{u}}\) a hole 84.6
xaitca' \(a^{i}\) roast 90.9
anxy \(\bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) a song

This suffix is found in a great number of nouns whose original stems can no longer be analyzed. The following list may be given:
\(h a^{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u}\) shaft
pa \(a^{\bar{u}^{\prime}} w \hat{\imath}\) sand beach 34.14
pah \(\bar{u}^{\prime x i}\) codfish
páa'l \(\cdot \bar{u}\) spring, well 76.12
\(m a^{\prime} t c \bar{u}\) bed (place of lying?)
ma'ltcu chimney, stove (place of burning?
teqy \(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\) frame (of a house) 80.7
\(t \bar{u} q y a^{\prime} a^{\bar{u}}\) up the river 32.22
\(t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{x} x y \bar{u}\) pocket
nîctcîmám \(\bar{u}\) custom, fashion 36.28
\(c \hat{\imath} m \bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{L} t x \bar{u}\) upper lip
\(t s x a y \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) day, sun, weather 8.1
tcmît \(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime \omega i}\) ring (tcmîlq finger)
\(k^{u} t s \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) saliva
\(k \bar{u}^{\prime} c \bar{u}\) hog (from French through
medium of the Chinook jargon)
\(k \bar{u}^{\prime}\) tc \(\hat{\imath} y \bar{u}\) sea-otter
\(k m \bar{u}^{\prime} k \bar{u}\) pipe-stem
kcîky \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w i\) wall
\(k t \bar{i}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) ladder, stairway
Kwinn̄'ntxū throat
\(k!a^{\prime} l \cdot a p \bar{u}\) navel
qasıī' \(\bar{u}\) husband 48.20
\(q a^{i} w a^{\prime} a^{\bar{u}}\) bay, down-stream 80.6
\(q a^{u} \bar{l}^{\top}{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}\) bark 90.8
\(q!{ }_{E x} a^{u} y u^{\prime} w \overline{\mathrm{c}}\) salmon-time 82.12
lna \(a^{u^{\prime} w i}\) rich man, chief 86.4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t k^{w} u n a^{\prime} a t s \bar{u}\) live-coals & L̄\(y a^{\prime} a^{\text {a }}\) fire 25.5 \\
\hline \(l q a^{i^{\prime \prime}}\) tu tree, log 32.21 & Lxa \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) pole, spear 64.7 \\
\hline \(\chi_{q}!\bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) hide, skin 100.15 & L! mê'kcu flounder 100.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

When added to the numeral particle \(y \bar{a}^{a \gamma} x a^{i}\) mucir, mavy, it denotes the idea expressed by a noun of quality. This particle is to all appearances a stem amplified by means of the nominal suflix \(-\mu^{\text {t }}\) (see § 98); and since two nominal suffixes of a similar function can not be added to one and the same stem, the \(-a^{i}\) disappears, and the suffix \(-a^{\bar{u}}\) is added to the bare stem \(y \bar{a} x-\).

\author{
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) much, many 8.5
}

> tcínt \({ }^{\prime}\) tr \(y a^{\prime} x r^{\bar{u}}\) xalna \(a^{i \prime}\) how many had climbed up (literally, what their number climbed up) 62.11

> as many relatives as that woman had (literally, how much their number [of] her relatives [of] that woman) 76.1
> Lxai \({ }^{i} p^{i}\) ste ya' \(x a^{u}\) five their number 100.15

\section*{§ 98. Nominal -i \(\left(-r^{\bar{l}}\right)\)}

This suffix is found in a large number of nouns expressing a variety of concepts. It occurs with nouns indicating instrumentality, with verbal abstract nouns, with nouns of relationship, with terms designating animals, with stems expressing natural objects, ctc. It is not altogether inconceivable that this nominal formative element may be identical with the verbalizing suflix -ai (see \& Th), eren though its nominalizing function can no longer be explained in a majority of caves. In many instances the original stem to which this suftix has been added does not occur in its independent form. The substitution of the diphthong \(-a^{i}\) for the long \(\bar{\imath}\) has been disenssed in \(\S \nu\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline pek \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to play shinny 9.4 & pa'keri shinny stick \\
\hline tsǐL. \({ }^{\prime}\) - to shoot 8.6 & tsì \({ }^{\prime}\) ! \(\hat{c}^{\prime}\) arrow 50.7 \\
\hline min \(x^{u}\) - to lighten 38.5 & \(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{i} x m^{\bar{c}}\) lightning 38.2 \\
\hline \(w \hat{\imath} n k \bar{\imath}-\) to work 50.6 & wî'naki work \\
\hline \(t a^{i}\) he sits 16.2 & \(t \bar{\imath} \bar{l}^{\prime} t a^{\bar{i}}\) chair \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
lī't! ' to cat 13.10
\(h \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) - to play 7.2
aswı̂t \(t^{\prime}\) blanket
înq! \(a^{\prime} a^{i}\), înq! \(a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\), river 30.20, 23
umbì thunder 36.8
\(\bar{u}^{\prime} t t \bar{t}\) snow 76.10
hamíctctē whale 82.4
\(h a^{\prime} k w \bar{\imath}\) mussels 82.2
\(h^{1} a^{i}\) clouds
hitsi \(i^{i}\) house 25.2
\(h a^{2}\) heart, mind 8.9
\(p k^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) lake 62.18
\(m_{\text {Ekitī' }}\) father-in-law
\(m a^{\prime} \imath\) kidney
\(m^{a} \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) chief 10.2
\(m \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) dam 48.10
\(m \bar{a} t .{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) ' elder brother 58.11
\(m \hat{\imath} \& \overline{\mathrm{a}} \bar{t}^{i} a^{i}\) elder sister 90.23
\(m \hat{c} c t c \hat{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{i}\) younger sister 40.2
\(m \hat{\imath} c l{ }^{\prime} l a^{i}\) something bad, vulva 26.5
\(t_{q} \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) hook
tqu'nì smoke
\(\ell^{1} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t!a^{i}\) food 34.23
\(h \bar{u} t c a^{i \prime}\) fun 10.5
sī \(x a^{i}\) canoe 56.5
tsalī'sualī beads (?)
tsîtī̀ \({ }^{\prime}\) sand heach
\(t s o ̄ t \bar{\imath}\) waves, breakers
tsxu'nplū coyote 88.9
tcı water 36.20
tci' \(t\) ! \(\bar{\imath}\) wind
ts. ' \({ }^{\prime}\) ' \(x w \bar{z}\) spoon
\(k^{E}{ }^{E} \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}\) basket 90.21
qu'xī chicken-bawk
\(q \bar{a}^{\prime} w \bar{\imath}\) blood
\(q^{u} n \hat{i} t \hat{\imath}^{\prime} i\) perforation in the ear
\(q^{w}\) unaxīi cheek
qwo'ta \(a^{i}\) beaver 48.6
\(q \cdot a^{\prime} t c t i \bar{c}\) cedar
\({ }^{2} t^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime} a^{i}\) salmon 56.1
\(z_{q}!\cdot \bar{a} ' s \bar{z}\) eel
\(L!a^{\prime a i}\) ground, world, earth, place, many 7.2
L. \({ }^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime} n \bar{\imath}\) floor
\(L!x m \bar{\imath} t \bar{\imath}\) bow

When added to stems that express adjectival ideas, this suffix forms nouns of quality.
\(h \bar{\imath} s\) good 38.21
yîkt big 48.8
\(y \bar{a} x\) - much, many 8.5
hissīi goodness
\(k \bar{\imath} t t \imath^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) SEm y \(y \hat{\imath} t \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}\) of the house the large (size)
\(l q \cdot \bar{a} n \bar{u}^{i \prime} m l y \hat{\imath} x \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}\) of hides a great number 102.1, 2

\section*{§ 99. Nouns of Quality in \(-t^{\prime} \overline{u^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{u}\left(-t^{\prime} \overline{u^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{u}^{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)\)}

There can be little doubt that the vocalic elements of this suffix are identical with the nominalizing suffix discussed in § 97 . The etymology of the initial consonantic element is obscure. This suffix is added to adjectives and adrerbs only. Owing to the fact that a number of adjectives end in \(-t\) (see \(\S 104\) ) and that double consonants are invariably simplified, these adjectives drop their final consonant before adding the suffix (see § 15).
§ 99
hatca't long 76.1
lnauwi rich 86.4
yikt large 48.8
\(q^{a^{\prime} x}{ }^{\text {an }}\) high 8.7
\(q a^{i} n\) - deep
\(h \bar{s} s\) good 38.21
\(q a^{i} h a^{\prime} n\) - far 10.3
\(t c c^{\prime} n t^{E} t c h a^{\prime} t c t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} u\) for a long time (literally, how much its long period) 48.2
\(\ln a^{u} w \hat{i} t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) wealth
\(y \hat{i} \mathrm{~K}^{\prime} \hat{u}^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) large size
\(q a x\) 亿nt \(\bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{i}\) height
\(q a^{i} n t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) depth
\(h \bar{s} s t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime v i}\) kindness
\(q a^{i} h a n t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime u}\) distance


Nomina actoris are formed by means of the following suffixes:
\(-\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{x}\) This suffix seems to have been used frequently.
Zak \(h^{u}\) - to fetch, to catch \(7.5 \quad\left\{a^{\prime} k^{u} k y a^{u} x\right.\) sheriff (literally, a eatcher [of people])
\(x \bar{u}^{u} n\) - to snore 27.9
la'wat!- to gamble
\(n\) n- to call (?)
tem \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to gather 7.3
\(x \bar{u}^{\prime} n y a^{u} x\) a snorer
la'wat!'ya \(a^{u} x\) a gambler
Ina'lya \(a^{u} x\) an interpreter
tema \(a^{u^{\prime}} y a^{u} x\) a person who assembles (people) 30.2
\(-\bar{\imath} t\left(-a^{i} \boldsymbol{\imath}\right)\). This suffix is easily confounded with the verbal negative suffx of similar phonetic structure (see § 53 ); but this similarity is purely accidental.
\(w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n k i-\) to work
\(x \hat{\imath l}{ }^{\prime} \cdot x c^{-1}\) ' to work 48.10
xintm- to travel 12.10
waa'- to speak 7.1
\(p_{E} k \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to play shinny 9.4
\(s^{\text {ra }} \mathfrak{a}\) tsīk!'ya uî'nkit he (is a) very (good) worker 50.5, 6
\(x \hat{\imath} l \cdot x c i t h\) a workingman
xíntmit a traveler
wa'tit a speaker
\(p a^{\prime} k\) wit a shinny player
-t! It is quite possible that this suffix may have some connection with the initial element of the suffix for nouns of quality, \(-t^{\circ} \bar{u}^{u}\) (see § 99).

L! \(\mathfrak{\text { unn }}\) to tell 8.2
tsic.' to shoot 8.6
yuw- to pick, to dig 96.18
paLn- to hunt 82.17
\(t!\bar{a} m e\) child, infant 40.20

L!'uānt!' an informant
tsiu!'t! a marksman
\(y \bar{u}^{\prime} y q^{\bar{u}} t\) ! a person who picks (berries [reduplicated stem])
pat'nt.' a hunter
t.'̂mct!' one who raises chiidren 30.23
-t' \(\mathbf{w} \boldsymbol{w} \hat{\imath}\) seems to be another form of the preceding suffix.
> zak \({ }^{u}\) - to fetch
> ... \(t E \quad l a^{\prime} k^{u} t!w \hat{\imath}\) hītū\(t c ~ t h i s\) gatherer of the people 7.5 \(\quad a^{\prime} k^{u} t!w \hat{\imath}\) a fetcher 22.9

\section*{§ 101. Nouns in -ax}

This suffix is used for the purpose of forming nouns from verbal stems, adverbs, and stems denoting geographical terms. When added to verbs or to adverbs, it is best rendered by person, people; while when used in connection with geographical terms, it denotes a tribal name and may be translated by inhabiting, belonging to.
\(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he died 40.21
L. \(\bar{o} x\) - to send 16.10
\(a^{u^{\prime}}\) stūx he will sleep 27.7
\(w \bar{a}^{\prime} n w i ̂ t s\) long ago 14.7
nîctĉ̀ma \({ }^{\epsilon} m \bar{u}\) custom, fashion 36.28
\(p_{E l \bar{l}}\) 'tc first 32.19
Lxa \(a^{w i}\) ?
\(q \bar{u}^{i}-, q \bar{u}^{\prime} \hat{\imath} t c\) south
\(q p a^{i}\) - north
\(q a^{i} x q\) - east
\(p^{-\imath^{\prime \prime}}\) tsîs ocean 44.1
\(c k \bar{o}^{-} t c\) mountain 46.10
k \(\bar{u}^{i}\) nàts \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} w a^{a} x a^{\bar{u}} t_{n E}\) if he had not been killed (literally, not had he been a person [who was] killed) 29.7
tcīn ants hītc \(L!^{\circ} w a^{\prime} x\) returned this human messenger (literally, returned that person [who was] sent) 7.7
( \(L!^{\circ} w a^{\prime} x\) instead of \(L!^{\circ} w a^{\prime} x a x\), see § 24)
sî' \(n^{i} x y \bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) ts! !̂̀na'tc xawa' \(a^{u} a^{u^{\prime}}\). st \(\bar{u} x a x\) it was desired (that) with pitch killed shall be the person (who) will sleep 24.1
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c i ̂ m ~ s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a \quad\) wa'n \(n w \hat{i} t s a x\) because thus (did it) the old-timers (literally, [people belonging to] long ago) 68.13
\(s^{E}\) atsī'tc wā' nwîtsax nîctcîma \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) mwax thus (was) the custom of the oldtimers (literally, thus [of people of] long ago the [things pertaining to their] customs) 76.6, 7
\(p\) Elì'tcax a first settler
Lxa \(a^{\prime \prime} y a x\) the other one, friend 42.8
\(q \bar{u}^{\prime} y a x, q \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{i} t c a x\) an Umpqua Indian (literally, a person inhabiting the south)
\(q p a^{\prime} y a x\) an Alsea man
\(q a^{i} x q a x\) a Kalapuya Indian
\(p^{\imath^{\prime \prime} t s i s a x ~ i n h a b i t a n t s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ o c e a n ~}\)
ckō" \({ }^{\prime \prime}\) cītcax a mountaineer

\section*{§ 102. Nouns in - \(\overline{l n} \hat{\imath}\left(-a^{\bar{\prime}} n \hat{i}\right)\)}

This suffix is added to adjectives, a few adverbs used in an adjectival sense, and to nouns. It has a double function. When added to adjectives or to adverbs, it transforms them into nouns, just as any adjective is transformed into a noun by adding one to it (compare our phrases the big one, the good one, etc.). When used with other nouns, this suffix has an adjectival character, which may be best rendered by made of, composed of.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t_{E x}{ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{m}\) strong 10.1 & texm \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) the strong one, a man 30.21 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {L }} a^{u^{\prime}}\) yax other 42.8 & \(L^{2} a^{u} y a x a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath}\) the other one 86.18 \\
\hline \(y \hat{k} k t\) big 48.8 & \(y \hat{i} k t \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) the big one \\
\hline \(s^{\text {b }} a^{i} t\) large & \(s^{h} a^{i} t \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) the larger one 92.18 \\
\hline \(y \bar{a} k!-\) small 38.19 & \(t \bar{u} y \bar{a} k!a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath}\) that small one 88.12 \\
\hline lı̂̀mñ̀ \(t\) c behind 86.11 & lîmnītcūn \(n\) mîctcīi the youngest sister 40.2 \\
\hline \(h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} q!a\) beads, Indian money, dentalia shells 74.19 & \(h \bar{\imath} q\) '. ah \(a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath}\) consisting of dentalia shells 78.14 \\
\hline \(p \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t^{u}{ }^{u} t s\) coon & \(p^{\hat{u}} q^{u}{ }^{u} t s \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) tahān \(n \hat{\imath} k\) made of raccoon (-hide) quivers \(70.23,24\) \\
\hline \(t \cdot \bar{i}\) bear 12.4 & t'īyu'nर tahā́n解 made of bear (-skin) quivers 70.24 \\
\hline \(k!\bar{\tau} x t^{\prime} q\) everything 24.4 & \(k\). \(\bar{e} x \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} t E^{\prime} q a^{u^{\prime}} n \hat{\imath} h \bar{u} t c a^{z^{\prime}}\) composed of every sort (of) fun 10.5 \\
\hline la'qlaq boards &  kinds of boards the house 80.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This suffix may be added to verbal stems provided the verb has been changed into an attribute of a following noun.
hamx- to tie uthamxa \(a^{u^{\prime}} n \hat{\imath}\) ants tseh \(a^{u^{\prime}} y a\) and that made of tied grass . . . 8.6

\section*{§ 103. Nominalizing Suffix Indicating Place -cismu}

This suffix indicates the place where a certain action is performed. When added to stems ending in a velar or palatal consonant, it appears as \(-y a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\), and changes the final velar of the stem ( \(\eta, q^{\prime}\) ) into a palatal \(k\) (see § 17). After all other consonants it occurs as \(-a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\). The short \(u\)-vowels following velar and palatal consonants disappear before this suffix. It is possible that the final \(\bar{u}\) of the suffix may be related to the general nominalizing suffix \(-\bar{u}\) discussed in \(\S 94\) (seo \(\S(23)\).

\author{
\(m a^{\prime} q!\bar{\imath}\) - to dance 28.7 \\ \(y a^{\prime} q^{u^{2}}\) - to sce 23.9 \\ \(p^{E} k \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to play shinuy 9.4 \\ nüctcat.'- to fight \\ tūhate'- to try to buy \\ sxat- to run 12.3
}
\(m_{E k y} a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) a dance-hall
yEkyám \(\bar{u}\) a vantage point
pekya \(m \bar{u}\) a place where shinny is played, ball-grounds
nūctcat.' \(a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) battlefield
tūhatc' \(a^{s} m \bar{u}\) a store
sxata \(^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) track (literally, a place where people run)
\(n \hat{c}\) ctcima \(a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) custom, fashion 29.9

\section*{§ 104. Adjectives in - \(t\)}

Siuslaw has no true adjectives. All stems denoting adjectival ideas are intransitive verbs, and may be used as such, as may be seen from the following examples:
\(m \bar{\imath} ' k!a k i ̄ t c\) thatbadman \(23.2,3\) tsìk!ya mík!a very bad it was 14.7
hatca't hīq! \({ }^{\prime}\) long (strings of) hatca't ants \(t_{q} a^{i \prime \prime} t \bar{u}\) there was a dentalia shells 76.1 tall tree 92.21

Oring to this verbal significance, the Siuslaw adjective shows no special suffixes. A few stems denoting adjectival concepts appear in duplicated form, mostly those expressing color (see § 109). There will be found, however, a number of words expressing attributive ideas that end in \(-t .{ }^{1}\) Whether this consonant is related to the auxiliary \(-t\) (see § 76) or whether it may be looked upon as a true adjectival suffix, is a question open to discussion. The following is a list of such adjectives:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(y \hat{2} h t\) big, large 48.8 & \(t^{\prime} x u \overline{\text { lin }}\) ' straight \\
\hline hawā'tsît new & \(s^{h} a^{i} t\) big, old 92.18 \\
\hline hatca't long, tall 76.1 & tsinq!'t poor 16.10 \\
\hline hix \(x\) wild & tsîlt thick \\
\hline \(p \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} s t^{2}\) spotted & lî'k't heary 11.9 \\
\hline pînî'lt sharp & \(k\) ! \(w \bar{\imath}\) 'act proud \\
\hline meket fat 90.16 & \(q^{u} c \bar{z}^{\prime}\) ct thin \\
\hline tîmsqayä't bitter, sour & Lqut red \\
\hline tint ripe & \({ }_{L}!\bar{a} q t\) wet 56.13 \\
\hline tqatīya't dear, expensive & L!nuwā'tît deep \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\text { § 105. Irregular Suffixes -Em, -īsi, -wî, }-y \bar{\imath} w \hat{\imath},-\bar{w} w \hat{\imath}
\]

These suffixes occur very seldom, and, while their function is to all appearances nominal, it can not be explained accurately.
- Em occurs with a few nouns.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\hat{\imath}\) qwa \(a^{a^{\prime}}\) tEm root, alder tr 92.5, 6 & tsumétsem chin qiū'n \({ }^{\prime}\) m winter 80.19 \\
\hline \(p^{\text {ñ'ctcem }}\) summer 98.8 & \(x a^{i} t^{\prime}\) Em woman's bask \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(-\bar{\imath} \boldsymbol{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}\) seems to denote an abstract idea.
\(p \not n\) - to be sick 40.21
\(q a^{i} x\) dark, night 38.21
hwu'nhwun black
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ctca (?) how 16.2
mîctcînwa \({ }^{i \prime}\) spring comes
\(p t n{ }^{\prime} ' s \hat{\imath}\) sickness, cough
\(q u^{i} x i^{\prime} s \hat{z}\) darkness, night
hō'nīsî dusk
\(n \hat{\imath}\) 'ctcīsर̂ arrival (?) 40.16
n̂̂ctccmū'wiŝ̂̀ year 92.12

The following nouns have analogous form:
\(a^{u^{\prime}} t c i \bar{s} \hat{\imath}\) camas 96.20
s!ntī"tcīsî crawfish
\(t \bar{i}^{\prime} x t s n i ̄ s i ̂ ~ s m a l l-p o x\)
The nouns tswa'sî frost and \(L^{u} w a^{\prime} s \hat{\imath}\) vose may also belong here.
\(-\boldsymbol{w} \hat{\imath}\) is found in a small number of nouns.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(s \hat{s}^{\prime} n a^{u} w \hat{\imath}\) grouse & \(k!\tilde{o}^{\prime} x w \hat{\imath}\) gnat \\
\(t s n a^{\prime} w \hat{\imath}\) bone & \(q^{u} k \bar{a}^{\prime} q w \hat{\imath}\) broom
\end{tabular}

In a fer instances this suffix seems to form nouns of agency, and may be related to the suffixes discussed in \(\$ \delta 97\) and 99 .
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tsxan- to comb one's hair & tsxa'nwr̂ a comb \\
\hline qatcu \(\bar{u}^{i}\) - to drink 76.12 & qatcwíui a person who waters animals (?) \\
\hline \(c^{u} x \bar{u}-\) to drive away, to scare & cūmwa'wî a driver (?) \\
\hline 56.11 & \\
\hline \(\bar{\imath} t q\) - to dig 80.6 & \(\hat{\imath} t\) q \(a^{\prime} w \hat{\imath}\) one who digs holes \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(-\boldsymbol{y} \bar{u} \boldsymbol{u} \hat{\imath},-\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{w} \hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}\). These two suffixes have a peculiar function. They seem to denote the nominal object of an action performed by a noun of agency (see § 100). The most puzzling phenomenon counected with their function is the fact that they can be added only to the discriminative form of a noun (see § 111), which seems to stand in direct contradiction to its objective significance, because the discriminative case points to the noun as the subject of the action.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Absolutive & Discriminative & objective \\
\hline penî's skunk 86.1 & pena's 86.7 & tsīL!'t! penasyū'ŵ̂ skunk-shooter \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Absolutive & Discriminative & Objective \\
\hline linte person 7.1 & \(h^{i} y a^{\prime}\) to 13.10; 15.2 & tsinc! !! hiyatcū \(w \hat{\imath}\) a man-killer \\
\hline & & \(t_{E m a} a^{\prime \prime} y a^{u} x\) hyatcíwî a gatherer of people \\
\hline swal grizzly bear & swāl 15.2 & \(t s \bar{\imath} L!t!s w \bar{a} l y \bar{u}^{\prime} w \hat{\imath}\) a grizzly-shooter \\
\hline (?) huckleberries & \(t E^{\prime} x y a\) & ```
la}\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{k}{}{u}t!w\hat{\imath}\mathrm{ taxy }\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\prime}w\hat{\imath}\mathrm{ a
    picker of huckle.
    berries
``` \\
\hline \(q w o^{\prime} t x a^{i}\) beaver 48.6 & \(q w o a^{\prime}\) txa \({ }^{\text {a }} 52.4\) & \(t s \bar{\imath} L!t!\) quoatxīw \(\hat{\imath}\) a beaver-killer \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Another nominalizing suffix that seems to be confined to one stem only is -as in the noun wa'as language, word, message 34.21 , formed from the verbal stem wad- to speak, to talk.

\section*{Reduplication (§§ 106-109)}

\section*{§ 106. Introductory}

Reduplication as a factor in the formation of grammatical categories and processes does not play as important a rôle in Siuslaw as in many other American Indian languages.

Considered from a purely phonetic point of view, the process of reduplication may affect a single sound, a syllable, or the whole word, while from the standpoint of position of the reduplicated elements it may be either initial or final. In accordance with these processes, a given language may show the following possible forms of reduplication: Vocalic or consonantic initial reduplication; consonantic final reduplication, commonly called final reduplication; syllabic reduplication, usually referred to as doubling or reduplication of the syllable; and word-reduplication, better known as repetition of the stem.

Of the forms of reduplication known actually to occur in the American Indian languages, Siuslaw shows only duplication of the (first) syllahle, duplication of the final consonant, and repetition of the stem. Syllabic duplication occurs rather seldom, final duplication is resorted to frequently, while repetition of the stem plays a not unimportant part in the formation of words.

Reduplication is confined chiefly to the verb; its use for expressing distribution-a phenomenon commonly found in American Indian languages-is entirely unknown to Siuslaw, which employs this process solely for the purpose of denoting repetition or duration of action.

\section*{§ 10\%. Duplication of the Initial Syllable}

This process occurs in a few sporadic instances only. The repeated syllable occurs in its full form, the original syllable losing its vocalic elements. Initial stops of both the original and repeated syllables are usually changed into fortis (see § 17).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tEm \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to assemble 7.3 & t!emt!ma'xam wàn they come to see him (literally, he is assembled about) 23.3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(L^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u}\) (they) come 9.3} & \(L_{\text {L }}\) in \(L\) 'wa'xam he is approached 16.3 \\
\hline & L! īL! wísūtne he is continually approached 26.2 \\
\hline & \(s^{E} a^{\prime} t \operatorname{sen} x t E L!\bar{v}^{\prime} L!\bar{u} t u t s\) that's why I came (to see) you 21.6, 7 \\
\hline & \(h^{i} y a^{\prime} t^{\prime} n^{E x} x a n ~ c!\bar{\imath}^{\prime} \pm!\bar{u} t s\) people us came (to see) repeatedly 100.8 \\
\hline \(t a^{\text {i }}\) - to sit, to live 16.2 & ants \(T \operatorname{sxuna}{ }^{\prime} p L \bar{\imath} t!\hat{\imath}^{\prime} t!\) ' \(y \bar{u} n\) (that) on which Coyote was sitting 94.6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{hal- to shout 13.11} & thatī'y \(\bar{u}_{s n e}\) he would be shouted at 70.22 (this form may be explained as derived froman original hathatī'yūsne) \\
\hline & Zhatizitxa \(a^{u^{i}} n E\) he is continually shouted at 11.10 \\
\hline yuw- to pick 96.18 & \(y \bar{u}^{\prime} y a^{\bar{u} w} t\) ! one who pieks \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§ 108. Duplication of Final Consonants}

This process is employed extensively, and consists in the repetition of the final consonant with insertion of a weak \(a\) - or \(\hat{\imath}\) - vowel. In many instances the quality of the connecting vowel is affected by the vowel of the stem. This is especially true in cases where the stem ends in a \(u\)-vowel, after which the connective vowel is assimilated and becomes a weak \(u\). The short vowel of the stem is not infrequently changed into a long vowel. This duplication plays an important part in the formation of the past tense (see \(\S 74\) ), and, in addition to denoting frequency and duration of action, it seems to be capable of expressing commencement, especially of intransitive actions.
\(a^{u} s\) - to sleep 23.9
qax dark 38.21
\(a^{u^{\prime}} \hat{s i}^{\prime} s\) he began to sleep 26.9
\(q u^{i} x \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x w^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} n w \hat{\imath} t s\) it grot dark long ago \(6 \pm .19\)
xint- to go 20.3
\(s L o \bar{x} x^{u}\) - to go down
\(h a^{i} q\) shore 44.7
loqw- to boil 96.1
\(m \bar{z}^{\prime} k!a\) bad 14.7
\(s m u \bar{t}\) ' - to end 11.1
tcit'- to blow 94.5
hūtc- to play 7.2
k! ap-low water 36.18
\(x \omega_{\imath}!\) - to go back 42.6
nat- to start
\(h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} q!-\) to start 22.6
Zak \({ }^{u}\) - to take 7.5
xumc- to come, to approach
hīts- to put on 11.8
\(t \bar{u}^{e} t c\) - to spear 62.2
tcaq- to spear 68.18
yax- to see 20.10
\(q n \bar{u}^{2}-\) to find 56.9
ut wàn xîntî't he kept on going now 56.23
\({ }^{u} \downarrow\) sLō \(x u^{\prime} x^{u}\) wis! \(a^{\prime} x L!\) he came down again 12.6
haiqa'q wan he then went ashore 58.17
\({ }^{u} t\) tx \(\bar{u} l \bar{o} q w a^{\prime} q^{u}\) and just he was boiling 96.7, 8
mēk!' \(a^{\prime} k\) ! ants tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) began to get rough that weather 64.15
wàn smut \(t^{\prime} a^{\prime} t^{\prime}\) it ends finally 9.1
tcite \(a^{\prime} t^{2}\) the wind blew 94.5
\({ }^{u} t^{E} n x \bar{a}_{L} h \bar{u} t c a^{\prime} t c\) and they now began to play \(72.23,24\)
\(k!\bar{a} p \hat{\imath}^{\prime} p\) low water (comes)
\(x w \bar{L}\) !'a' \(a^{\prime}\) ! wàn he finally came back 12.7
\(s q \bar{a}^{\prime} t E m n \bar{a} t \hat{\imath} ' t\) he started from there 68.10
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a \bar{i}^{\prime} q!a q!y a x\) thus it began 15.1
\({ }^{u} \ddagger a^{u} x\) lakwa'k \(\bar{u}^{u} n\) they two took (them) away 52.16
7a'kukyax she took 60.23
xumca'cau wàn they two are approaching now 23.2
\(h^{i} y a t s i \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t s \bar{u} n\) ants \(7 a^{i \prime} q a t\) he is putting that feather on 11.8
\(t^{t}\) watc \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} \operatorname{tc} \bar{u} n a^{u} x\) they two began to spear it 56.15, 16
\(t^{0} w a^{\prime} t c \hat{i} t c y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\) I have been spearing it 66.17
\({ }^{u}{ }^{\prime} a^{u} x\) tcaqa' \(q a^{u} n\) and they two began to spear it 56.19
\({ }^{u}{ }^{4} a^{u} x\) yaxî' \(x \bar{u} n\) they two saw it 56.15
\({ }^{u}{ }^{n} n q n \bar{u} h \bar{u}^{\prime} h \bar{u}^{u_{n}}\) I am finding it

A very interesting case of duplication applied to formative elements is presented by the nominal suffix \(-a x\). This suffix signifies people, belonging to, and, when added to the adverb \(w \bar{a}^{\prime} n w \hat{\imath} t s\) long ago, it was invariably rendered by old-timers (see § 101). Whenever the speaker wants to imply the intensive idea people of very long ago, he usually repeats this suffix.
\(w \bar{a}^{\prime} n w \hat{\imath} t s a x\) old-timer \(68.13 \quad w \bar{a}^{\prime} n w \hat{\imath} t s a x a x\) people of long, long ago 29.9
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a \quad x \bar{u}^{w^{\prime}} n \bar{u} t n E \quad w^{\prime} n w \hat{i} t s a x a x\) thus it was done (by) people of long, long ago 62.9
wa'nwittsaxax n \(\hat{\imath} c t c \hat{\imath} m a^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) (of) old, old-timers their custom 65.19

Similarly the modal - \(\mathrm{\imath} t c\) (see \(\S 94\) ) is found repeated in a few instances. \(t c \bar{a}\) where \(34.4 \quad\) tcaītc \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c n^{\prime} c t \bar{u} x\) where he will go 64.20

\section*{§ 109. Duplication of Stems}

While this process is, strictly speaking, of a lexicographical character, and as such ought to be treated more properly under the heading "Vocabulary" (see § 137), it will nevertheless be found useful to give here a list of doubled stems. Barring a few nouns, most of these terms are adjectives denoting color and quality.
hwou'nhwun black
\(p x \bar{u}^{i \prime} p x \bar{u}^{i}\) sorrel, yellow
tu'ktuk deaf
\({ }^{i} n^{\prime} k\) ! \({ }^{i n} k\) !! soft
\(k \hat{v}^{\prime} k^{\prime} \bar{\imath} t\) heavy 11.9
pūna'pūna' gopher, mole 96.19
\(m \bar{u}^{\prime} s m \bar{u} s\) cow \({ }^{1}\)
\(t!^{\prime} a \prime l \cdot t!^{\prime \prime} a l \cdot\) tongue
tsîy ̂̀'ktsîy \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} k\) wagon \({ }^{1}\)
qu'squs stiff, hard
\(q u^{\prime} L q u s\) white \(40.10,11\)
qtsînnqtsîn blue, green
xu'sxus naked
l̂̂ma't̂̀m blind
tsinin\(L t s \hat{i} \hat{i} \bar{u}^{\prime} L^{2}\) little bearer (!) 50.15
tcîmtca'mî ax 27.10
\(q^{u} l i^{i} L^{\prime} q^{u} l a^{i} L\) otter from ocean (!) laqluy board 80.7

Vocalic Changes (§§110-112)

\section*{§ 110. Introductory}

Siuslaw expresses two distinct grammatical categories by means of vocalic change. Of these two categories, one is nominal, while the other has a strictly verbal character pertaining to intensity and frequency of action. When applied to nouns, vocalic change expresses the discriminative case.

\section*{§ 111. The Discriminative Case}

The discriminative case is that form of the noun which singles it out as the performer of an action directed upon an object; i. e., it designates the nominal subject in sentences containing pronominal or nominal object.

The discriminative form of pronouns and of nouns of relationship is expressed by means of the prefix \(q\) - (see \(\S 21\) ). All other nouns express the discriminative form by means of a vocalic change that varies according to the quantity of the stem-vowel, and in polysyllabic stems according to the quantity of the vowel of the accented syllable. The following rules may be said to apply in all cases:
1. The discriminative form of nouns the stem-vowel of which is a long \(\bar{\imath}\) or \(\bar{u}\) is obtained through the diphthongization of these vowels into yu and wa respectively (see § 7). For purely physiological reasons a weak vowel corresponding to the quality of the diphthongized vowel is inserted between the diphthong and its preceding consonant.
hītc person, people 7.1
\(t_{i}{ }^{\prime} t c^{E} t\) cougar 13.3
mík! ! bad 14.7
līqu wild-cat 34.17
th! \(a_{n} \bar{u}^{\prime} k^{u}\) screech-owl 86.1
\(q \bar{u} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) woman 30.21
tExmūn n̂̂ man 30.21
tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) sun, day 8.1
\({ }^{u} t y a^{\prime} q^{u^{i}} y \bar{u} n\) li \(l^{i} y a^{\prime} t c\) and people looked on 70.4
tiya \(^{\prime} t c^{i} t h^{i} y a t s \hat{c} \hat{c}^{\prime} t s u \bar{n}\) Cougar put it on 13.4
\(m^{i} y a^{\prime} k .^{\prime} a l^{i} y a^{\prime} t c l^{i} t!a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) a bad person devoured him 15.2
\(h^{i} y a t s \imath^{\prime} t s \bar{u} n h^{i} y a^{\prime} q^{u}\) Wild-Cat put it on 11.11
 \(a n^{u} w a^{\prime} k^{u}\) Screech-Owl feared that Skunk very much 86.3
\[
h \bar{\imath} n a^{\prime w} \bar{u} n \text { ants ptna'st } l k!^{\prime} a n^{u_{w}} a^{\prime} k^{n}
\] Screech-Owl intended to take along that sick man \(88.1,2\)
\(c_{\hat{\imath}} \hat{l}^{\prime} \cdot x \cdot x \bar{u} n\) qī̄\(t c^{u} w a^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) (a) woman shook him 58.4
 agreed with her 58.7
mîthwồ tūtsin tsxay \(w a^{\prime} w \hat{\imath}\) (the) Sun had pity on me 72.14

Somewhat irregular discriminative forms are shown by the nouns \(t!\bar{\imath}\) grizzly bear and \(q \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u} t c\) wife, which occur as \(t \cdot \bar{\imath} y a^{i^{\prime}}\) and \(q a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t c\) respectively.
\(t . i ̄\) grizzly bear 12.4
\(q \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u} t c c\) wife 48.17
\(t\) ! \(\overline{1} y a^{\prime \prime} h^{i} y a t s \hat{\iota}^{\prime} t s \bar{u} n\) Grizzly Bear put it on 12.3
\(m a^{a} t c q a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t c^{E} t c\) (he and) his wife had lain 60.13
2. Nouns with short stem-vowels, or with short vowels in the accented syllable, change these vowels into an \(a\) in their discriminative forms. Short \(a\)-vowels of the stem are lengthened into \(\bar{a}\).
\(p_{E n \hat{\imath}}\) 's skunk 86.1
tsî'sqan deer 13.9
\(q w o^{\prime} t x a^{i}\) beaver 48.6
pûlquts raccoon 70.23, 24
\(q!a^{\prime} x a^{u} x t\) wolf 13.2
swat grizzly bear
sqūmá pelican 44.1
 (at) a rich man he always broke his wind, (namely) Skunk 86.6, 7 \(h^{i}\) yjatsí'tsūn tsa'sqîn Deer put it oa 13.8
\(a^{\prime}\) tsa \({ }^{u}\) kum \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t e\) sî̀ \(n^{i} x y \bar{u} n ~ q u o a^{\prime} t\) \(x a^{i 1}\) ants \(q^{u} L!\bar{t}\) 'te that's why not liked Beaver that Otter \(54.8,9\) pa'tquts hiyatsî'tsūn Raceoon put it on
q! \(\bar{a}^{\prime} x a^{u} x t h^{i} y a t s \hat{c}^{\prime} t s \bar{u} n\) Wolf put it on 12.8
swāt \(z^{i} t!a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) Grizzly Bear devoured them 15.2
waa' \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) sqūm \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) ants tq!al-ō'mä said Pelican to Sea-Gull 44.17
3. Stems containing diphthongs, or stems whose accented syllables end in the diphthong \(a^{i}\), add a short \(a\) to the diphthong for the purpose of forming the diseriminative case.
\(h a^{i}\) mūt all 9.5
\(h a^{i} n a\) different 58.9
haya'mūt hiya'tc \(x!x^{\prime} x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) all people know it \(60.24,25\)
yai \({ }^{u^{\prime}} y^{-{ }^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} t\) tsatc \(\hat{\imath}\) hayj'mūt you all shall look at me \(72.11,1\) :
haya'na hiyutsisi'tsün another (one) put it on 12. 8
4. Polysyllabic stems whose accented syllable ends in a consonamt and is followed by a syllable begimning with a consonant form the discriminative case by inserting a short a between these two consonants.
tsxu'npLā coyote 88.9
tExmî \(l \cdot m a ̈\) old people 58.25
ants Tsxuna'plī \(t \cdot \bar{z}^{\prime} t\) ? \(y\) ūn that (on which) he was sitting, (namely) Coyote 94.6
texmîl \(\cdot^{\prime}\) m \(\hat{\imath}\) l! \(x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) an old man knew it 75.15, 16
\(u m^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) thunder
\(q \bar{u} \bar{u} t c \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot m \ddot{ }\) old woman 96.15
\(t c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t a^{u}\) which one 90.1
\(s^{\boldsymbol{z}} a t s i^{\prime} t c\) wa \(a^{\prime} a^{\bar{u}} n\) uma'l̄ thus said to him Thunder 36.9
q \(\bar{u} \bar{u} t c \hat{c} \cdot \vec{l} \cdot a^{\prime} m \hat{\imath}\) ta' \(y \bar{u} n\) ants \(t s i{ }^{\prime} x!\bar{\imath}\) the old woman kept that arrow 96.2 tcîna'ta \({ }^{u} \operatorname{sî}^{i} n^{i} x y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\) ants ... whoever wanted that... 11.6, 7

\section*{§ 112. Intensity and Duration of Action}

Vocalic change as a means of expressing intensive and durative actions is of a twofold character. The change consists either in the diphthongization of the long \(\bar{\imath}\) - and \(\bar{u}\) - vowels of the stem (see \(\S 7\) ), or in stem-amplification. In both cases the underlying principle may be described as the change of a monosyllabic root into a stem having two syllables.

Diphthongization is applied to those stems only whose vowels are either long \(\bar{\imath}\) or \(\bar{u}\). A verbal stem with a diphthongized vowel expresses durative actions only in connection with other proper devices, such as the temporal suffixes or duplication of final consonants (see \(\S \S 41,56\), \(69,108)\). Owing to the fact that certain temporal suffixes-notably the inchoative, the frequentative, the durative, the present, the future, and the imperative-imply to a certain extent intensive actions, or actions that are being performed continually, the suffixes for these tenses are frequently found added to the verbal stem whose vowel has been diphthongized, while all other tenses are formed from the simple root.

L! \(\bar{o} n\) - to tell 16.9
\(k u^{\prime} n\) - to bend down
tk \(\bar{u} m\) - to close, to shat 48.8
\(t \bar{u}^{\prime} t c-\) to spear 62.2
\(q \bar{u}^{\prime} n\) - to pour 29.2
L! \(\bar{o} x\) - to send 16.10
\(s^{\text {E }} a t s i^{\prime} t c L!w a^{a} n\) thus he was speaking 16.6
\({ }^{u} \ell t x \bar{u} k w a^{\prime h} u_{n t}\) and (they) would just bend down 11.9
\({ }^{u} \ell k w a^{h u} n a^{\prime} t\) ! \(̂ s t\) and he would continually lower his head 13.5
ulns tkwa'mīsun and we two shall keep on making dams (literally, closing [the river]) 48.14
\(t^{0} w a^{\prime} t c i ̄ s\) wàn spear it now! 64.2
\(t^{0} w a t c \hat{c} \hat{c}^{\prime} c \bar{u} n a^{u} x\) they two are spearing it \(56.15,16\)
qwa"nyūx pour it into his . . . ! 29.2

L! \({ }^{\circ} w a^{\prime} x y u \bar{u} n(\mathrm{I})\) shall keep on sending (them) 30.19

L! \(x \bar{u} \bar{u}-\) to know 19.9
Zak \({ }^{u}\) - to take, to get 7.5
hits- to put on 11.8
cìtx- to flop
\(\bar{z}\) lqa \(a^{i \prime}\) he digs 84.2
tsī上!'- to shoot 8.6
\(L!x^{u} w a^{\prime} x^{u} y \bar{u} t s a^{\prime} t c \hat{\imath}\) you shall know me 30.17
wàn takwa'k \(\bar{u}^{u} n\) now (they two) were taking them 52.16
\(h^{i}\) yatsîtsūn he is putting it on 11.8 \(c^{i}\) yatx it is flopping 36.23
\(y a^{\prime} t q a^{\bar{u}} n\) (they two) are digging (holes) 84.5
ts \({ }^{i} y a s!\) !- to shoot

Intensity and duration of action of verbal stems whose root-vowels are vowels of quantities and qualities other than \(\bar{z}\) and \(\bar{u}\) are expressed by means of amplification of the root by the inscrtion of a weak vowel between its two final consonants. This process occurs in a few rare instances.
anx- to give up 60.11
hamx- to tie 8.6
\(x_{n} \bar{z}^{w} n\) - to do 10.5

Kumîntcînt ana' \(x y \bar{u} n\) not we shall give it up 16.8
\(k_{!}{ }^{\prime} E^{\prime} \bar{u} n\) hama'xy \(\bar{u} n\) tomorrow I will tie it up
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a^{u} x u n\) xn \(\bar{y} y u \tilde{z}^{w^{\prime}} y \bar{u} n\) thus to them two I will do it \(88.14,15\)
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a^{u} x u n\) xniyuna \(a^{\prime w} \bar{u} n\) thus to them two I intend doing it

Another example of stem-amplification for the purpose of expressing duration of action is furnished by the stem \(a^{i} \eta\) - to leave, which is changed into ayaq-.
\(t a^{i /} k^{E} n s\) aya \(\eta y \bar{u} n\) here we two (incl.) will leave it \(56.16,17\)
Stem-amplification may have also caused the change of the root \({ }_{L}\) ! \(x m a^{i}\) - то кILL into \(L!x m y y^{i}{ }^{i}\).
\(y \bar{a}^{a} x a^{i}\) hītc L!xmīya'yūn ants Swāt many people he is killing, that Grizzly Bear 94.9
L!xmīya'yūnant we (incl.) are going to kill him 28.3
Siuslaw possesses a number of stems that occur in such double forms, and I give here a few of the most important.

ц! \(\bar{n} n-16.9\)
k \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n\) -
thūm-48.8
\(t \bar{u} \cdot t c-62.2\)
\(q^{\bar{u}} n-29.2\)

L! vara \(^{{ }^{n}}\) - to tell 16.6
Kivahun- to lower one's head 11.9
thewam- to close, to shut 45.14
torvatc- to spear 56.15
qwa'n- to pour 29.2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline L! \(\bar{o} x-16.10\) & \(L^{1}{ }^{\circ}\) wax- to send 7.7 \\
\hline \(k^{u} t^{\text {s- }}\) & kwats- to paint one's face \\
\hline Lōt- & \(L^{o} w a t-\) to strike \\
\hline \(k a^{\bar{u}}\) s-92.7 & \(k^{i}\) was- to follow 92.3 \\
\hline ūtt- 16.10 & walt- to snow \\
\hline \(7 a k^{u_{-}-7.5}\) & takwa' to take, to get, to fetch 52.16 \\
\hline \(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}-40.21\) & \(x a w a^{\prime}\) - to die 15.5 \\
\hline hau's 11.4 & \(h a^{\prime} w a\) - to be ready 23.10 \\
\hline \(t . \bar{u}^{2}-74.5\) & \(t!\bar{u} h a^{\prime}\) - to buy 74.5 \\
\hline \(t{ }^{\prime} E^{\prime} m x \bar{u}^{u}-48.12\) & \(t!\) emxwa- to cut into pieces \\
\hline \(w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} l \bar{u}-58.7\) & wilwa'- to agree 30.11 \\
\hline yax- 40.11 & \(y a^{\prime} x a\) - to see 20.10 \\
\hline hīts 11.8 & \(h^{i}\) yats- to put on 11.7 \\
\hline hīn- 9.5 & \(h^{i} y\) an- to take along \\
\hline ìtq-80.6 & yatq- to dig 84.5 \\
\hline tsīL!- 8.6 & ts \({ }^{\text {i yal }}\) ! - to shoot \\
\hline \(q!\bar{u}^{i} p\) - & \(q\) 'uyap- to twinkle 36.14 \\
\hline cı̄tx-36.23 & \(c^{i}\) yatx- to flop 36.23 \\
\hline līt! - 13.10 & \(l^{i} y\) at! - to eat \\
\hline \(t c i ̄ n-12.10\) & tciyan- to come back \\
\hline \(a^{i} q\) - & aya' \(q\) - to leave 56.5 \\
\hline hamx-8.6 & hamax- to tie \\
\hline anx-60.11 & \(a n \alpha^{\prime} x\) - to give up 16.8 \\
\hline \(x n \bar{\imath}^{w} n-10.5\) & \(x n \bar{\imath} y u n\) - to do 88.14, 15 \\
\hline ц! \(\times \bar{u}-19.9\) & L! \(\times^{u}\) wa- to know 30.17 \\
\hline L! \(\times m a^{\text {i }}\) & L!xmīyai \({ }^{\text {- }}\) to kill 28.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Amplification of the stem seems to have been used in a few instances for the purpose of expressing intransitive actions performed by the third person singular. It will be remembered that this person has no special suffix, the same being understood in the stem or in the rerbal suffixes. In some cases, however, Siuslaw adds a weak \(a\) to the stem, provided the same is not followed by any of the subjective suffixes (see § 24 ).
\(h a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) to quit, to be ready 28.2 wā'nwîts ha'wa long ago it (was) ready 23.10
\(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) - to die \(22.5 \operatorname{txu} n x a^{\prime} w a\) sî'nixyūtne just I to die am wanted 20.8, 9
yax- to see 40.11
txūnx \(y a^{\prime} x a\) sí' \(n^{i} x y \bar{u} t n e\) merely thou to (be) see(n) art wanted 20.10
\(t!\bar{u}^{*}-\) to buy
waa'- to speak 7.1
tsa'ntĉ̀ tū \(h a s \hat{v}^{\prime} n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) if you to buy want ber 74.8
\({ }^{u} t\) waa' and he said 12.10

In one instance the quality of this weak vowel has been assimilated to that of the stem-vowel.
tquit- to shout 92.6

> mîta'tc \({ }^{w} a x\) ants tqūt \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) wa' their (dual) father, that one shouted (and) said (tqū \(\bar{t} \bar{u}\) instead of tqūu'ta) 52.8

\section*{The Pronoun ( \(\S\) § 113-115)}

\section*{§ 113. The Independent Personal Promomes}

The independent personal pronouns occur primarily in two forms, according to whether they are used as subjects or objects of an action; but, owing to the fact that from the subjective pronouns there is obtained by means of the prefix \(q\) - (see § 21) a discriminative form, the independent personal pronouns may be said to bare three distinct forms-the discriminative, subjective, and objective or locative sets. Both the discriminative and subjective pronouns refer to the subject of the sentence, differing, however, in so far as the former applies to subjects of transitive actions, while the latter is used mostly in connection with intransitive verbs. The discriminative form, moreover, is employed whenever the sentence absolutely requires that subjectivity of action be indicated (see \(\$ \S 21,111\) ). To be sure, cases where the subjective pronouns are used with transitive verbs are by no means rare.

Siuslaw, like so many other Indian languages, has no distinct pronoun for the third person singular, this person being supplied by the demonstrative pronouns \(s^{E} \grave{a}, s^{E} q^{i} n a, s^{E} \dot{d} s(\) sce \(\S 115)\). The first person dual has two separate forms, one for the inclusive ( 1 anis thou). and the other for the exclusive ( \(\operatorname{Iand} 1 \mathrm{iz}\) ). Similarly, in the first person plural are distinguished the inclusive (I axd ye) and excluwive (l and they).

These pronouns perform the function of a whole sentence, and may be rendered by I, thout, he, etc., an the one who. . . .

The tabular presentation of the independent personal pronouns is as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Subjective & Objective & Discriminative \\
\hline Singular. . & (1st person 2d person (3d person & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(n a^{\prime} \hbar a n, n a ̀\) \\
nixats \\
\(s^{E} \dot{d}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
nàtc \\
nixate \\
\(s^{E} a i^{\prime} n a, s^{E} a i^{\prime} n a t c\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& q n a^{\prime} h a n, q n a ̀ \\
& q n \bar{i} x a t s \\
& { }_{s} E_{\text {ás }}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Dual. . & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Inclusive . . . } \\ \text { Exclusive . . } \\ \text { 2d person . } \\ \text { 3d person . . }\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
nans \\
nau'xún \\
nixats \\
\({ }_{8^{E}} a^{\prime} w a x, s^{E_{a u x}}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(n a^{\prime} t c^{E_{n}}\) \\
na'tcauxün \\
\(n^{\bar{\prime}} x t^{E} c^{E}\) s \\
\(s^{\text {E }}\) ainattcaux
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
qnans \\
qna'xin \\
qnixats \\
\(\delta^{E} a^{\prime} s a^{u} x\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural. . . & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Inclusive . . . } \\ \text { Exclusive . . . } \\ 2 d \text { person . . . } \\ 3 \text { person . . . }\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
nand \\
na'nxan \\
\(n \bar{t}^{\prime} x^{\prime}{ }^{E_{t}}{ }_{\text {tc }}\) \\
\(s^{E} \dot{a} n x\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(n a^{\prime} t c\) int \\
na'tcinxan \\
\(n \bar{i} x t c^{E_{t c i}^{c}}\) \\
\(s^{E}\) aina'tcin \(x\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
qnanl \\
qna'nxan \\
\(q n i x^{\prime} x^{2} E_{t c i}\) \\
\({ }_{s^{E}} a s^{E} n x\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This table shows that the independent pronouns are derived from two stems - \(n a ̀\) for the first persons, and \(n \bar{u} x\) or \(n \bar{x} x t s\) for the second persons; the first singular and all dual and plural persons being obtained by suffixing the subjective pronouns for these persons (see § 24) to the singular forms. Thus the inclusive and exclusive dual nans and naxûn are composed of the first person singular nà and of the subjective suffixes -ns and \(x \hat{u n}\). In like manner the inclusive and exclusive plural nant and na'nxan consist of \(n \grave{a}+-n t\) and \(n a ̀+-n x a n\) respectively.

The second person dual \(n \bar{x} x^{a} t s\) is abbreviated from an original \(n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x t^{E} t s\). This abbreviation is due to simplification of double consonants (see § 15), causing a phonetic similarity between the pronouns for the second person singular and dual. In order to avoid possible confusion, duality of subject is indicated by suffixing to the verb the subjective pronouns for the second person dual. The second person plural is regular, consisting of the singular form for the second person plus the subjective suffix plural for that person.

The third persons dual and plural are obtained by adding the subjective pronouns for these persons to the subjective form of the demonstrative pronoun \(s^{\mathbb{E}} \grave{a}\).

The objective forms of the personal pronouns-that is to say, those forms that are used as objects of a sentence-are formed by adding to the subjective pronouns the local suffix indicating motion -tc (see § 90 ). The form for the second person singular is the result of an abbreviation from an original \(n \tilde{\imath}^{\prime} x t s^{E} t c\) caused perhaps by a reduction of the cluster of final consonants.

It will be noticed that the subjective suffixes employed in the formation of the corresponding dual and plural persons are added after the adverbial -tc, a trait which Siuslaw has in common with the Alsea language. The objective pronouns for the third persons have as their basis the corresponding forms of the demonstrative pronoun.

For the sake of emphasis the subjective suffixed pronouns are sometimes used in addition to the independent forms.

Examples of subjective pronouns:
na'han utn tsic.'iya's I have an arrow (literally, I am the one who [I] is arrow-having) 50.16
\(a^{\prime} t \operatorname{tsan} t_{E}\) ǹ \(L!\bar{o} x a^{\prime} x a m\) that's why this I was sent 21.8
\(n a^{\prime} h a n a^{\prime} n t s^{E} n x \hat{e}^{\prime} n^{i} x y \bar{u} t s\) I am that one whom you wanted 40.14 kumê'ntcîn nà nîctcôtc wa' \(a^{i}\) i not I anything will say (literally, not I, I am the one who anything will say) 74.9
\(k\). \(̂\) xxa \(a^{i \prime}\) nà alone (was) I 100.3
 ally, you are the one, you, knife take along will, yours) 50.16, 17
\(n \bar{\imath} x^{a} t s{ }^{7} t!^{\prime} a^{i}\) you are eating
\({ }^{u} t s^{E} a ̀ p E t z{ }^{\prime} t c^{i} t u \bar{u} x\) and he will he first 10.1
nans \(h \bar{\imath}\) 'sa we tro (incl.) are well
\(n a^{u^{\prime}} x \hat{u} n x \bar{a} ' t s!\bar{u}\) we two (exel.) are two 36.15
\(s^{\mathbb{E}} a^{u} x\) ata's \(L\) ! \(x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) they two only knew it 98.9
\(s^{E} a ̀ n x\) tsīk' \({ }^{\prime}\) ! ya \(L!x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) they rery (well) know it i2.1, 2
Examples of objective pronouns:
Kumềntc hīi'sa nàtc it is not good for me 12.2
\({ }_{u} \ln _{n}\) nàte \(L^{\bar{z}}\) 'wīs then you shall come to me 44.6
kumê'ntc hīisanís \(x^{a}\) tc it (does) not (look) good on you 12. 5
 these women 52.13
Examples of objective and diseriminative pronouns for the third persons will be found under "Demonstrative Pronoms." (see \& 115), while the discriminative pronouns for the first and second persons have been illustrated in § 21.

\section*{§ 114. The Possessire Promouns}

The independent possessive pronouns are compound forms consisting of the following three separate elements: the independent personal pronoun (see \(\S 113\) ), the relative case-ending -Emt (sce \(\$ 8\) ),
and the sign of possession \(-\bar{\imath}\) (see \(\S 88\) ). The sign of possession is not present in forms that express the third persons as the possessor. To these compound forms are added the suffixed subjective pronouns (see § 24) for the purpose of indicating the person of the possessor. The suffixed pronouns, to be sure, agree always with the independent pronouns that form the initial elements of the compound. The following peculiarities will be observed in connection with the pronominal forms that enter into the composition of the independent possessive pronouns:
1. For the first and second persons (singular, dual and plural) the subjective forms of the independent pronoun are used. The stems \(n a ̀\) and \(n \bar{x} x\) are employed for that purpose.
2. For the third person (singular, dual and plural) the objective form of the independent pronoun ( \(s^{E} a^{i^{\prime}} n a\) ) is used.
3. Singularity, duality, or plurality of the person is expressed, not in the initial pronominal element, but in the suffixed subjective pronoun. Consequently the initial element remains unchanged for all numbers.

Owing to the fact that Siuslaw has no distinct subjective suffix for the third person singular, the suffix -tc is added without the aid of the sign of possession \(-\bar{\imath}\). Duality and plurality of the third person are indicated by adding to \(-t c\) the subjective suffixes \(-a^{u} x\) and \(-n x\) respectively.

In \(\S 88\) the fact has been mentioned that possessive phrases are verbalized by adding the auxiliary suffix \(-t\) (see § 76) to the sign of possession. This \(-t\) often figures in the composition of the independent possessive pronouns, especially those for the first and second persons.

The following table shows the independent possessive pronouns:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Singular & \(\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}1 \text { st } & \text { person } & . & . & .\end{array}\right)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(n a^{\prime} m^{E}{ }_{l i n}, n a^{\prime} m^{E}{ }_{\text {litin }}\) \\
nī'xamlīnx, nìxambītinx \\
\({ }_{E^{E}}\) aina'mltc, \(^{E_{a i n a}}{ }^{\prime} m l\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Dual & \(\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Inclusive } & . & . & . & . \\ \text { Exclusive } & . & . & . & . \\ \text { 2d person } & . & . & . & \\ 3 d\end{array}\right.\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(n a^{\prime} m^{E}{ }^{\text {lins }}, n \alpha^{\prime} m^{E_{\text {tutitins }}}\) \\
 \(n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x a m l i ̄ t s, n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x a m l i ̄ t i t s\) \(s^{\text {E }}\) aina'mitcwax
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Plural &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be noticed that the obscure \(E\) of the relative suffix -Emt has been contracted with the preceding vowels of nì and \(\delta^{E} a^{i \prime} n a\) into a clear \(\alpha\)-vowel (see § 9). The weak vowel in \(n a^{\prime} m^{E l} \bar{i} n, n a^{\prime} m^{E l} \bar{n} n s\), etc., is due to the law of sound-groupings (sce §4).

The third person singular often loses its distinct suffix for that person ( \(-t c\) ). This loss is due to the fact that the form \(s^{F} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m t\) is in itself capable of expressing a possessive idea that has the third person as its possessor.

These possessive pronouns have the force of a whole sentence, and may be properly translated by it is mine, it is thines, etc. They are frequently used for the sake of emphasis in addition to the possessive suffixes that are added to nouns, and in such cases invariably precede the nominal concept.
> \(w a^{\prime} a^{i} s^{E n x} n a^{\prime} m^{E k i ̄} t \hat{\imath} n\) wa'as you shall continually speak (with) my language 36.13
> \(n a^{\prime} m^{E l} \bar{i} n q!a^{\prime} \bar{\imath} t\) my pitch, this is my pitch
> \(n a^{\prime} m^{E l} \bar{t} t \hat{t} n{ }^{n}\) lkwa'nuqu this is my hat
> \(n a^{\prime} m^{E l i} n n\) mîtò (he) is my father
> \(n \bar{i} x a m t \bar{n} n x k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tan\) your horse
> \(n \bar{\imath} x a m t \bar{i} n x\) mêt̀à (she is) your mother
> \(s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m\) ltc wa'as wa \(a^{a^{\prime}}\) syaxain his language he had spoken 36.14
> \(s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m t t c\) La \(a a^{\prime}\) his mouth
> \(s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m l k \bar{o}^{\prime} t a n\) his horse
> \(n a^{\prime} m^{E l} \bar{i} n s k{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} t a n\) our (dual, incl.) horses
> \(n a^{\prime} m^{E l} \bar{i} x a n\) tcīl our (dual, excl.) hands
> \(n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x a m z \bar{\imath} t s\) kwíyō's your (dual) dog
> \(s^{{ }^{z}} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m l t c^{w} a x k \bar{o}^{\prime} \tan\) their (dual) horse
> \(n a^{\prime} m^{E k} \bar{i} n t k \bar{o}^{\prime}\) tan our (plural, incl.) horses
> \(n a^{\prime} m^{E l} \bar{\imath} n x a n ~ t E^{\prime} q\) our (plural, excl.) relative 102.5
> \(n^{\prime} x a m t \bar{t} t c \hat{\imath} t E^{\prime} q\) your (plural) relatives
> \(s^{E} a^{i} n a^{\prime} m t t c^{i} n x q u l \cdot t c\) their (plural) knives

\section*{§ 115. The Demonstratire Pronoums}

Although Sinslaw has a number of stems that are used as demonstrative pronouns, there could not be detected in them such categories as visibility or invisibility, presence or absence, nearness to or remoteness from the speaker. It is true that in some instances the informant would render a certain demonstrative pronom as indicating nearness or remoteness; but this rendering was invariably caused by
the leading character of my questions, and never appeared spontaneously.

The demonstrative pronouns, however, present another striking feature that is not commonly found in the American Indian languages. This feature consists in the fact that some of them occur in two distinct forms, one being used with subjects of the sentence, while the other is applied to objects only. This fact serves as another instance illustrating the extent to which the category of subjectivity and objectivity permeates this language.

The following demonstrative pronouns have been found in Siuslaw:
\(\boldsymbol{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}^{a} \boldsymbol{k}\) has been invariably rendered by this, and in some instances by here. It may be used in connection with subjects and objects alike. Duality and plurality of subjects and oljects are indicated by the suffixation of the subjective pronouns \(-a^{u} x\) and \(-n x\) respectively (see § 24).
> \(t \bar{a}^{a} k p_{E n \hat{\imath}}{ }^{\prime} s\) this skunk
> \(t \bar{a}^{a} k\) tExm \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) this man
> \(t s \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k\) ! ya hīs \(t E^{\prime} q\) tā'kîn lakwa'kun (a) very good thing this here I have obtained 72.15, 16
> L! \({ }^{\circ}\) wa'xan \(t \bar{a}^{\prime} k \hat{k} n\) Li \(\bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) as a messenger here I come \(17.6,7\)
> \(t \bar{a}^{\alpha^{\prime}} k^{w a} a x q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t \bar{u} x\) these two will go 32.10, 11
> \(t \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} k^{i} n x t_{\text {Exm }} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) these men

\(\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{E}\) applies to subjects and objects. There can be no doubt that it is an abbreviated form of the demonstrative pronoun \(t \bar{a}^{a} k\) (see above). It was usually rendered by this or the. When followed by the subjective pronouns (see § 24 ), the obscure vowel assumes a clear tinge and appears as a distinct \(a\)-vowel.
\({ }^{u} l\) meq! \(a^{i}\) tx ha \(a^{i \prime}\) quas Līya'wa te \(l k!a n \bar{u}^{\prime} k^{u}\) and she danced near the fire, this Screech-Owl 86.11, 12
Līha'yax te lūya'ain it passed (by), this fire 32.19
\(t \bar{z}^{\prime} k_{i}^{i n} n t E t a^{i}\) this here is my house (literally, here I, this one, live) 58.8
\(s^{\mathbb{Z}} a^{\prime} t s a h^{\prime} t c^{E} t c\) nîctcîma \(m u t_{E} t!\bar{\imath}\) that's why bear acts like a per-
son (literally, thus [of a] person his fashion [has] the bear) 60.26
\(w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n x a^{u^{u}} n\) te \(p_{E n} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} s\) she was afraid of this skunk 86.1

\(n \bar{x}^{\prime} \operatorname{ctcanx} \tan x y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} q \bar{a} t x\) why do you cry much (literally, how [is it that] you this, much ery) \(94.16,17\)
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} \operatorname{tsa} \tan x s^{\prime} \hat{\iota}^{\prime} n^{i} x y \bar{u} t n E\) that's why this you are wanted 18.4
Zakwa' away (were) these their (dual) wives, (namely of) them two, Beaver and Muskrat 52.3, 4
. . . ta'nxan hūtcūù . . . . (as) these we (here) play 70.12
In some instances this pronoun may have a verbal force, and is then best rendered by this wio. . . .
\(s^{k} a^{\prime} t s a l^{i} t!a^{i \prime}\) te tai' \(y a x\) thus ate those who lived (there) 82.12
\(\boldsymbol{s}^{\boldsymbol{E}} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{s}\) is used with subjects of transitive verbs only, and seems to hare a distinct discriminative character. In this capacity it exercises the function of the missing independent pronoun for the third person (see § 113). It may either precede or follow the verb, although there is a prevailing tendency to place it at the end of the sentence. It may be translated by this or he.
\({ }^{u} t{ }^{i} t!a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n s^{E} \dot{u} s\) and he devours him 94.10
\(m \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a t E^{\prime} q x a \bar{u}^{\prime} \bar{u} n s^{F^{\prime} \grave{u} s}\) bad something this (one) had killed 96.12, 13
\(s^{\text {Fa }} s k^{u} n a ̀ c^{u} x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) ants \(l f^{\prime} \bar{i} ' a^{i}\) he, perhaps, has scared away that salmon 56.11
\(s^{\mathfrak{z}}{ }^{\text {a }} s q a t a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) ants \(L x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) he hooks that spear 64.7
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} s^{E} n t\) k! \({ }^{i} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} t s\) he killed us 28.3
\(\boldsymbol{s}^{\boldsymbol{E}} \boldsymbol{\mathscr { C }}\) refers to subjects of both transitive and intransitive rerbs. The difference between this pronoun and the above discussed \(s^{s} \dot{d} s\) lies in the strictly discriminative character of the latter. It may best be rendered by THIs, hes, and is mostly employed as a personal pronoun for the third person singular (sce § 113). Duality and plurality of the subject are indicated by suffixing to \(s^{E} \dot{a}\) the subjective pronouns \(-a^{u} x\) and \(-n x\) respectively (see \& 24 ).
\(s^{a} \grave{a}\) tExm \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) this man
\({ }^{u} \bar{t} \operatorname{tsim} \varepsilon^{\mathfrak{E}} \dot{a} y u^{\prime} y^{u^{*}} y \bar{u} n\) always he secs it 65.22

\(\mathcal{S}^{E} a^{u} x\) ata's \(L!x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n \bar{t}^{-\prime} t l a^{i}\) these two only know (where) food (is) 98.9
 play 72.1, 2
In four instances this pronom has been used as referting to objects. I believe this use to be the result of erroneous application on the part of the informant. The examples follow.
\(s^{E} a ̀ m!x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n t l l!a n^{u} w a^{\prime} k^{u}\) him she knows, Screech-Owl 86.7
\(s^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{a}\) u uln \(q^{\bar{z}^{\prime}} \bar{u} t c \mathrm{hawa} a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) that one I (will my) wife make \(90.1,2\)
\(s^{{ }^{\grave{a}}} \mathbf{a}\) ata's ants ma'q!inūtne (for) him only the dance was arranged 28.7
\(t^{0}\) wa'tcīs wàn s \({ }^{\text {E }}\) à \(y\) îhtît \(l \cdot m a ̈\) spear now that big (one)! 64.2
\(\boldsymbol{s}^{\boldsymbol{E}} \boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{a}\) refers to objects only, and serves as the objective form of the missing personal pronoun for the third person (see § 113). Hence it may be rendered by this, that, him. By adding the subjective suffixes to it (see \(\S 24\) ), the dual and plural persons for this pronoun are obtained.
\(y \bar{a}^{\alpha} x u^{i}\) hitc \(p^{\text {lna }}{ }^{i} t x\) ha \(a^{i} s^{E} a^{i} n a\) many people were sorry for that
15.4
kumî'ntcîn nà nâctcītc wa' \(a^{i} \downarrow\) petī̀tc \(s^{E} a^{i^{\prime}} n a\) not I anything will say first (without) her 74.9
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a^{u} x^{u t}\) kumíntc \(t^{i} q s^{E} a^{i} n a^{u} x\) that's why they two (cared) nothing about them two \(54.11,12\)
\(\boldsymbol{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{t} \bar{u}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{a}\), a demonstrative pronoun that may best be rendered by that one. It denotes subjects and objects alike. A comparison between this pronoun and the previously discussed \(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{a}}\) d suggests that the initial elements \(t\) and \(s\) may be petrified prefixes having the function of demonstrative pronouns. This assertion receives further substantiation from the fact that Siuslaw forms, in analogy to \(s^{\mathbf{E}} \mathrm{a} s\), a discriminative pronoun \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} a s\), and that it has two other demonstrative stems whose initial elements are \(t\) - and \(s\) - respectively. These pronouns are \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} u^{i} t\) that kind and \(s^{E} a^{i} t\) this kind, and they may be explained as being composed of \(t-(t \bar{u}-)+-a^{i} t\) and \(s-+a^{i} t\). The function of the second element can not be explained. The \(t\) - occurs, furthermore, independently as \(t_{E}\) (see p. 580). \({ }^{1}\)

The pronoun \(t \bar{u}, t \bar{u}^{\prime} u\), occurs also in dual and plural forms, obtained by adding the subjective suffixes \(-a^{u} x\) and \(-n x\) (see \(\S 24\) ) to it.
> \(t \bar{u} y \bar{a} k!a^{u^{\prime}} n \hat{\imath} q q^{\bar{u}} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) that small(est) woman 88.12
> Kumî'ntc lī̀s tū texmūn \(n \hat{\imath}\) not good (is) that man 90.23; 92.1
> \(t_{\bar{u}}{ }^{\prime} a \operatorname{tExm} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) that man
> qna'nxan LEt \(\bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n t \bar{u}^{\prime} a^{u} x x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s!\bar{u}\) we (incl.) are hitting those two
> t \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) anx texm \(\bar{u} \bar{n} \hat{\imath}\) those men
> LElūu\(y \bar{u} t \sin t \bar{u}^{\prime}\) as that one is hitting me
> \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} a^{i} t\) that (is the) kind 102.2
> kumîntc hās nàtc te \(s^{E} a^{i} t ~ L!a^{\prime a i}\) not good (is for) me this kind (of a) place \(44.4,5\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The \(\delta\) as a demonstrative element has been also found in Alsea.
}
> \(s^{E} a^{i t}{ }^{E} L!a^{\prime a i}\) such (a) world 15.1
> \(w a^{i} y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} t_{E} h \bar{\imath} t c, s^{E} a^{i} t \bar{u}^{\prime} s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{i} x y a\) although many (are) these people, that kind (of a thing every one) likes 102.2, 3

ants is the only pronoun that may be said to contain a locative force. It is invariably used in connection with objects that are away from the speaker, and may be rendered by that one. It may refer to subject and object, and is used in the singular, dual, and plural, although in most cases duality and plurality are accentuated by suffixing the respective subjective pronouns \(-a^{u} x\) and \(-n x\) (see § 24 ). This pronoun may also have a rerbal force, and is the a best rendered by that one who . . . , those who. . . . It always precedes the noun.
hamxa \(a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath}\) ants tseha \(a^{u^{\prime}} y a\) that tied (up) grass 8.6
\(s^{u} k w_{\bar{\imath}}{ }^{\prime} t c\) tsînq! \(t\) ants lītc very poor (was) that person 16.10; 17.1
ants \(q a^{i} x\) last night (literally, that night) 40.14
th! \(a n^{u} w a^{\prime} k^{u}\) wîn \(n x a^{\bar{u}} n\) ants \(p e n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} s\) Screech-Owl was afraid of that Skunk 86.5
ants \(Z q a^{i \prime t} t \bar{u}\) ants Tsxuna'pli\(\quad t!\bar{\imath}^{\prime} t!y \bar{u} n\) that tree on which Tsxunplī (Coyote) was sitting 94.6
\(x a \bar{u}^{\prime} n a^{u} x \hat{u} n\) ants \(m \bar{i}^{-} k!a\) hitc we two killed that bad person 96.8, 9
lakwa' \(k \bar{u}^{u} n\) ants quītcū \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) ents \({ }^{u} x\) tsinin' \(L t \sin n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} L\) those two otters took away those women 52.16
ants \(L!a^{\prime a i} h \bar{i} t c\) those many people 7.1
ants \(p_{E k} \bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{w i}\) those who play \(0.6,7\)
atsi'tc waa'xam ants kitc tca'xaūt thus was told that man who was going back \(30.13,14\)
lk!an \(\bar{u}^{\prime} k^{u}\) ya' \(q^{u^{\prime}} y \bar{u} n a^{\prime} n t s u x\) meq! \(a^{\bar{i}} t x\) Screech-Owl watched those two who kept on dancing 86.8
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a x n \bar{\imath}^{\prime}{ }_{n \bar{\tau}} s a^{\prime} n t s^{E} n x\) pukwa \(a^{i \prime}\) thus keep on doing those who play shinny 78.17
In a number of instances two demonstrative pronouns are used, following each other in immediate succession. This is done primarily for the sake of emphasis. In such sentences the second demonstrative stem may be rendered by a relative pronoun.
\(h a^{i}\) natc \(a^{i \prime}\) sxa \(\mathbb{z}^{i} t!a^{\bar{i}}\) tE \(s^{E} a ̀ q^{u} L!\) îtc that otter is eating a different food (literally, different her, also, food, [of] this here sea-otter) 54.7, 8
\(u \neq s^{\&} a ̀ t_{E} t \cdot \bar{a} m c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} s k^{\prime} i n\) and this here (is) the little boy 94.16
\({ }^{u}\) t waa'xam ants \(s^{E} a q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t \bar{u} x\) and was told that man who will go 16.7
 stay near here (literally, not they two, want it, near [to] keep on staying, that one here)
Parallel to these forms are the indefinite, interrogative, and reflexive pronouns. The following have been observed:
witc. It has the function of an interrogative, relative, and indefinite pronom, and applies to animate beings only. When used in an interrogative sense, it is best rendered by who, while as an indefinite pronoun, it is to be translated by somebody. The interrogative character of this particle can be recognized only by the interrogative tone of the sentence in which it occurs.
wátcitc koz'tan whose horse (is it)?
wàtc \(x a^{\prime}\) tutūx somebody will climb up
watc \(t^{\prime} x^{a} x^{m} t c h a^{i}\) (he) who strong (is) his heart 10.1
wìte \(L!' x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) Lxatū \({ }^{\prime \prime w^{i}}\) (he) who knows (the art of) running 78.18
\(\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}\) is used as an interrogative and indefinite pronoun, and applies to animals and inanimate objects only. It may best be rendered by what or something.
\(t E^{\prime} q\) what (is it)?

tsī̌k:'ya hīs tE'Y (a) very good thing 72.15, 16
kumê'ntcinx tE'q you (will be) nothing 13.2
ats tE'q waxa'yexayim when something will be given to him 18.5 \({ }^{u t} s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a t{ }^{\prime} q\) quathū'yūn that's why something he finds

In a few instances \(t E^{\circ} q\) has been rendered by relative. This free rendering is perfectly justitiable, because in the instances quoted \(t_{E}{ }^{\circ} q\) implies the idea of being something to the person spoken to or spoken of.
\(n u^{\prime} m^{E E T} n x\) té \(q\) you (are) my relative (literally, my something you [are]) 20.6
ts'imstc te'q ants zq! al. \(\cdot{ }^{\prime}\) 'mä her own relative (was) that pelican (literally, her own something) 46.1

An objective form of this particle has been found in one instance.
tE'q和na'nl la'kwīsūn something we (incl.) will always get \(72.17,18\)
\(\boldsymbol{t} \bar{a} q a^{i} n \boldsymbol{n}\) is the regular objective form of \(t E^{\prime} q\), and occurs frequently.
§ 115

Kumîntcxûn tāqai'na wû̀nx not we two (excl.) anything fear 94.17 sî̀ \(n x \bar{\imath} t\) tà \(q a^{i^{\prime}} n a\) he wants something 18.5
wa'sLisyanx tāqairna (when) you get mad at anything 36.11, 12
wa' \(a^{\bar{i} s^{E}} n x\) tāq \(q a^{i} n a\) (when) you will say something 38.4
Another objective form of this particle may be the form táqan, occurring in one single instance.
tádan tex tcaĩtcītc xî'ntmìs why do you want to go anywhere (literally, for something, perhaps, somewhere [you] keep on going) 48.1, 2
\(\boldsymbol{t c t u t}\), tcî̀nta", serves primarily as an interrogative pronoun, in which case it is rendered by which one? Its scope, howerer, has been widened, permitting its use as a relative pronoun and in some instances as a numeral adverb. In the latter sense the form teint is invariably used. It is then tramslated by whoevele, whatever, or by How auch, how many?
 90.1
tcî'nta \({ }^{u} n x \hat{v}^{\prime} n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) which one do you want? \(40.4,5\)
tcî'nta \(a^{u}\) notco \(a^{i l}\) ants lūtc whatever does that man 70.22
tcî'ntau lĩte Līwai whatever person came (here) 24.7
tocínta \(a^{u} y \hat{\imath}^{\prime} k t^{i} t{ }^{2}\). . . whosoever . . . i.s big 90.1
tcînt hìtc qa'ntcye Lūva'vad whatever person from somewhere is going to come 33.10, 11
tcî'ntīnx liñ \(q\) ! a how many shells, have you? (literally, how many thy dentalia shells?)
tcint kō'tan \(^{\prime}\) how many horses!
. . . toint tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w i\). . on such a day (literally, [ou] whatever [a] day) 7.3
\(\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{s}\) ' \(\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{m s}\) has the function of a reflexive pronoun, and is best rendered by (I) myself, (thou) thyself, etc., or, when used with nouns, by (MY) own, (THY) own, etc.
ts'îms s \({ }^{B} a t s i^{\prime} t c c \hat{v}^{\prime} n^{i} x y a t\) ' \(y a t\) to himself thus he always thinks 88.11 Lelū'yūn ts'ims I hit myself
 can (is of) that Sea-Gull 46.1, 2
L! 'xmaíy \(u \bar{u} t s m i n t s^{\prime} \hat{\imath} m s m^{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} s k^{u}\) I killed my own brother
\(q t^{\prime} w^{u} \boldsymbol{u t} \hat{\imath}, q a^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime} n t \bar{t} t c\), imparts the idea of reciprocality, and is best rendered by each other, mutually. The difference between the two parallel forms lies in the fact that the latter has been amplitied by means of the modal suffix -itc (see § 9t).
ut \(k\). \(\bar{\imath} x\) t \(E^{\prime} q\) skwaka'yūsne qa'wint̄ everything was placed on both sides 80.8
\(q a w^{u} n t \bar{t}^{\prime} t c^{w} a x\) winn \({ }^{\text {E }} x n a^{\prime} w a\) each other they two feared 86.2
\(q a^{\prime} w^{u} n t \hat{\imath}\) on both sides

\section*{The Numeral (§§ 116-117)}

\section*{§ 116. The Cardinals}
1. \(a t^{a} q 18.7\)
2. \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s\).' \(\bar{u} 30.23\)
3. \(c i^{\prime} n^{a} x 62.12\)
4. \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s!\bar{u} n 40.23\)
5. \(L x a^{i^{\prime}} p^{i} s 72.8\)
6. \(q a^{\prime} t \bar{i} m x\)
7. \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s .^{\prime} \bar{u} q t \bar{a}^{\prime} \max\)
8. \(c \bar{\imath}^{\prime} n^{a} x\) qtāa \(m a x\)
9. \(a^{\prime} z^{a}{ }_{q} x a^{a} t\)
10. \(k i x^{E_{S}} 8.1\)
11. \(k i^{\prime} x^{E} S^{u} a^{\prime} a^{a} q\)
12. \(k \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x^{E_{s}}{ }^{\text {u }}\) l \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s \cdot \bar{u}\)
13. in' \(\left.^{\prime} x^{E s}{ }^{u}\right\} c \bar{\imath}^{\prime} n^{a} x\)
14. kī' \(\left.x^{E s}{ }^{u}\right\} x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s\). \(\bar{u} n t c a a^{i} x w \hat{\imath}\) 'yu


By origin the Siuslaw numeral system is probably quinary, although there seem to be only four simple numeral stems; namely, those for one, two, three, and five. The numeral \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s\) ' \(\bar{u} n\) four is to all appearances a plural form of \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}\) тwo. The numeral qa'timx sir could not be analyzed. It is not improbable, however, that it may signify one (finger) up, in which event seven could be explained as denoting two (fingers) up, while eight could be rendered by three (fingers) up. In spite of incessant attempts, the numeral for nine could not be analyzed. Its probable rendering may be suggested as one (lacking to) ten. The numerals for fourteen and fifteen may be translated as by ten and four its addition and ten and five its addition respectively. The exact rendering of nineteen is obscure, while twenty evidently denotes two times ten, etc.

Siuslaw does not possess the series of ordinal numerals. These and the numeral adverbs, such as the multiplicative numerals, are expressed idiomatically by means of adverbs or adverbial suffixes. The adverbs peli'tc ahead and limnítc behind (see § 119) are very often used as ordinal numerals for the first two numbers.
\$ 116
penî's pelt̃'tc ut th!'anū'ku l̂̂mnū'tc Skunk (doctored) first, and Screech-Owl second 86.11
 92.18
\(Q a^{\prime} a^{i} t c \bar{x} x\) petī̀tc Līlua'yax te Līya' \(a^{\bar{u}}\) along North Fork at first it came, this fire 32.19
Multiplicative numerals are sometimes formed by adding to the cardinals the modal suffix -itcc (see § 94).
\(x \bar{a} t s\) ' \(\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} t c i \hat{n} n y \hat{i} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) twice I saw him \(a^{\prime} \not q a^{i} t c i ̂ n ~ L!x \bar{u}^{\prime} y u \bar{n}\) qnà once I knew it 92.12

Ordinal numerals in the sense of at the first, second, etc., are sometimes formed by suffixing to the cardinals the suffix \(-a^{i} t \bar{u}\).
alqa \({ }^{\prime \prime} t \bar{u} t s x a y \bar{u}^{\prime 2 w i}\) on the first day, in one day \(x \bar{a} t s\) ! \(\bar{u} w \bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{u}\) tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime} w i\) on the second day, in two days \(x \bar{a} t s!\bar{u} n a^{\prime \prime} t \bar{u} t s x a y \bar{u}^{\prime} w i\) on the fourth day, in four days
The suffix for the numeral five appears in a somewhat changed form. Instead of the expected \(-a^{\prime} t \bar{u}\), this numeral takes the suffixes -ta't \(\bar{u}\), -tyait \(\bar{u}\). The suggestion may be offered that the inital \(t\) - of these suffixes is the adjectival suffix -t (see \& 104), and the \(-u^{\prime} t \bar{u}\) the regular modal suffix. Of course, this does not explain the occurrence of the semi-vowel \(y\) in -tyá \(t \bar{u}\).
t. 'āmcīns tcīnt \(\bar{u} x\) Lx \(\alpha a^{i} \eta \hat{n} s t \bar{a}^{\prime \prime} t \bar{u}\) tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime \mu i}\) our (dual, incl.) boys will return in five days 42.7
 home 72.9
tcīnt \(\bar{u} x\) Lxa \({ }^{i} \hat{p} s t y a^{\prime \prime}\) tu tsxay \(\bar{u}^{\prime \mu i}\) he will come back in five days 40.25, 26

Two stems, \(k!!^{i} x\) and \(h a i^{\prime} m \bar{u} t\), are used as definite numerals. The former is best rendered by eacii, everiz; while the latter, to all appearances an adjective in \(-t\) (see \(\$ 104\) ), is best translated by mil. \(k!\bar{x} x E_{E^{\prime} q}\) everything 24.4
texmu'nîtcwex ants t'áme le'ix they two had each a boy (literally, males their two, those boys, each) 40.19
\(h a^{i^{\prime}}\) mūt ma'ttcit ants Lîmnu"'y all elks got burned 34.18, 19
\(h a^{i^{\prime} m u \bar{t}} q^{\prime} t c^{i} n t\) sqa \(a^{i} k t c^{-\quad} t c\) all go there 23.6

\section*{§ 11\%. The Decimal System}

The units exceeding multiples of ten are expressed by forms whose exact rendering would be ten (TWEATY) and one (TWO) as, for instance, \(k_{i}^{\prime} x^{E_{S}}\) uf \(a^{\prime} t^{a} a^{\prime}\) ten and one, etc. The "tens" are formed by means of
the suffix -tim, that is added to the cardinal numerals for ten. The numeral thus amplified is preceded by the cardinals from two to ten (inclusive). Thus twentr, literally translated, means two times ten, thirty signifies three times ten, and one hundred denotes ten times ten. The numeral for thousand was, naturally enough, never used. The informant invariably gave the English equivalent for it.

\section*{The Adverb (\$\$ 118-121)}

\section*{§ 118. Iutroductory}

Siuslaw has, comparatively speaking, a small number of adverbial stems. These express ideas of a local, temporal, and modal character. A few of them are compounds, - that is to say, they consist of two or more adrerbs that occur independently also,-while others occur with the adverbial suffixes whose function is always in harmony with the ideas expressed by the bare stem. Thus a few adverbs indicating local ideas appear with the local suffix -tc (see \(\S 90\) ), while most of the modal adverbs take the suffixes of modality -itc or - \(a\) (see \(\$ \S 94\) and 96 ).

It is quite conceivable that the final \(k\) in the local adverbs \(t i k\), stimk, and squik, may imply some local idea, especially in view of the fact that both stim and stimk occur.

A very important law applying to local adverbs (and phrases) is the fact that, whenever they are used in connection with nouns, the nouns invariably take the locative case-endings (see \(\S \$ 6\) ).

\section*{§ 119. Local Adverbs and Phrases}
\(a^{\prime} m h a^{i} t x\) in the middle
\(h a^{i} q\) ashore \(4+.7\)
huit quas alongside, near 25.4 \(h u^{u} w i^{\prime} ; s\) beyond
peliz'tc ahead, first 32.19
\(m^{E} y y^{-} h^{u_{y}}\) in the beginning \(q^{\bar{a}^{\prime} t h \hat{l}}\) from bere 60.4 82.11
tīu'ts \({ }^{1}\) here 17.3
tik, tulk here 56.5, 19
tūt̄̄̀ \(m\) there 72.3
\(t \bar{q} q a^{\prime} t^{\prime} E\) over there, across
tūqya' \(a^{\bar{u} 2}\) up-stream 32.22
\(q a^{\prime} t i t c^{3}\) across the river, opposite 80.16
qa'xantc \({ }^{4}\) under, down, below 8.10 \(q a^{\prime} x \hat{u} n, q a^{u^{\prime}} x \hat{u} n^{5}\) high up, above, on \(8.7 ; 34.21\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Probably related to the Coos tiu over there.
- Alsea to'qwī.
\({ }^{3}\) Coos qa'titc down the stream.
\({ }^{4}\) Related to Alsea qē'xan under, bejow. \({ }^{5}\) Coos quxan- UP.
}
\(q a^{u} x^{1}\) on top 76.14
\(q a^{i} u^{\prime} t c^{2}\) below, down the
stream 62.17, 18
\(q a^{i} w a^{\prime} a^{i \bar{u}}\) below, down stream 80.6
tq \(a^{u_{w}} \bar{u}^{\prime}\), tqa \(a^{u^{\prime}} w \bar{i} t c\) up-stream 56.8, 12
stīm, stīmk there \(30.23 ; 32.12\) sq \(\bar{a}^{\prime} t_{E m}\) from there 34.3

\(q a^{i} h \bar{a}^{\prime} n 56.8, q a^{i} h a^{\prime} n t c\) far \(10.3 ; 56.5\) qan, qunîstcītc down, below 12.6 \(q^{0^{\prime}} x^{u} m\) off shore, out in the water 34.6
gtsī inside
tâmmà'tc behind, after, second \(\$ 6.11\) Inū outside 38.23
\(L t^{\prime} \bar{u}\) near (used also as a verb in the sense to come, to approach) 40.12

\section*{§ 120. Temporal Aluerbs}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ats \({ }^{3}\) at that time, when 16.8 & \(t s a^{\prime} n x a^{i} t s\) yesterday \\
\hline \(a^{i} l a t\) then, afterwards 34.3 & tsim always 15.5 \\
\hline hínak! \({ }^{i}\) right away 20.1 & \(t s^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} x i t u t s\) early in the morning 40.9 \\
\hline wä'mwîts long ago, already & tcílyac L!a'ai sometimes 100.7 \\
\hline 14.7 & \(k \bar{u}^{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime}\) tsac \(!a^{\prime a i 4}\) after a while, \\
\hline \(w^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime} y \bar{u}\) still, yet & soon 7.7 \\
\hline \(y \bar{a}^{\prime}\) 'tsa a long time 11.3 & k! 'sa's't today 38.16 \\
\hline \(t a^{\prime}\) 'lits after a while 50.2 & \(k!E^{\prime} L \bar{u}^{5}\) tomorrow 60.2 \\
\hline tîl awhile & màt always 13.3 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {L }}\) 'r mqa qui & ht away 19.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{§121. Modnl Adverbs}
\(a^{\prime} t s a, a t s i^{\prime} t c\) thus \(15.5 ; 11.2\) \(h^{\prime}\) 'catca a little
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) much, many 8.5
\(y u x^{u}\) too much 12.2
\(t \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime} m w a\) together 40.18
nûctcama \(a^{i^{\prime} n a t ' E ~ d i f f e r e n t l y ~}\)
9.3, 4
\(s^{E} u^{\prime} t s a,{ }^{6}{ }^{E}{ }^{E} a t s \bar{i}^{\prime} t c\) thus \(8.2,7\)
\(s^{u k} i_{w} \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) very, very much 16.10
cî'ntcata in a circle
\(t s z^{\prime} k!y a\) very, very much 13.9
xyal \(x\), kīu \({ }^{i}\) xyal \(x\) almost, very nearly 11.1; 10.9, 11.1

Particles ( \(\$ 122-133\) )

\section*{§ 122. Introductor?}

Siuslaw has a great number of particles which serve to define more clearly a certain part of speech or eren a whole sentence. Their

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Alsea qaux high.
\({ }^{2}\) Possibly related to Coos qaya'atc down the stream.
\({ }^{3}\) See § 136.
- A compound adverb consisting of the negation \(k \overline{u n}^{i}\) vot, the adverb yätsa a Lovig thme, amplified by the obscure suffix \(-c\), and of the stem \(L!a^{\prime} a i\) (sec \(\$ 133\) ).
\({ }^{5}\) By prefixing to this adverb the demonstrative pronoun ants, Siuslaw forms a compound adrerb ants \(k!^{E \prime}{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}\), which is best rendered by yesterday.
- See § 125.
}
meaning was deduced mostly from the sense of the sentence in which they occurred. These stems are either monosyllabic (in which case they may be enclitic or proclitic) or they consist of two or more syllables. A limited number seems to be composed of two or more originally independent particles. As a rule, particles are not capable of word-formation-that is to say, they can not be amplified by means of any of the grammatical processes, such as prefixation, suffixation, etc. But owing to the fact that Siuslaw shows a tendency to keep the rerbal stem free from all subjective suffixes, these suffixes are preferably added to the particles that precede the verb (see § 26). Some of these particles seem to be in reality rerbal stems, but do not convey a clear rerbal idea unless used in conjunction with a proper verbal suffix (sce § 135).

In accordance with their syntactic function, the particles may be conveniently subdivided into the following categories:
(1) Pronominal particles.
(2) Numeral particles.
(3) Conjunctions.
(4) Temporal particles.
(5) Particles denoting degrees of certainty.
(6) Particles indicating comnection with previously expressed ideas.
(7) Exhortative particles.
(8) Restrictive particles.
(9) Miscellaneous particles.
(10) Suffixed particle \(-\bar{u}\left(-a^{\bar{u}}\right)\).
(11) The stem \(L!a^{\prime \alpha i}\).

\section*{§ 123. Promominal Particles}

The pronominal forms treated in \(\S 115\) are used sometimes without formative prefixes, and appear then like true particles. The following are particularly used in this manner:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(t \bar{n} a^{\prime} k\) this, here & \(t_{E^{\prime} q \text { what, something }}\) \\
\(t_{E}\) this & \(t c \hat{c} n t, t c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t a^{u}\) which one, who- \\
\(t \bar{u}\) that & ever, whatever, how much, \\
ants that one & how many \\
wàtc who, some one & \(t s^{\prime} \hat{\imath} m s\) (reflexive) self \\
& \(q a^{\prime} w^{u} n t \hat{\imath}\) mutually
\end{tabular}

Related to tcînt are the particles tcīk where, and tcā, tcaītcī'tc where to.
\(\boldsymbol{t c} \bar{\imath} k\), a local particle denoting rest. It may be used indicatively and in an interrogatory sense. It is best rendered by where.
> tcikk se \(a^{i} n a^{\prime} m t k \bar{o}^{\prime} \tan\) where is his horse ?
> tcīk quūhū yūn hîtc where (cver) he finds a person 94.9, 10
> \(k \bar{u}^{i}\) tcīk nowhere 56.11
> tcik ants \(k\) !'ālat \(\bar{u}^{\prime} u\) where that fun (is) 88.2
> tcūk ants yîktî̀l.mä qqu \(^{i \prime \prime} t \bar{u}\) where that big \(\log\) (is) 88.17, 18

\(\boldsymbol{t c} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{t c r a \overline { t } \boldsymbol { t } \overline { z } \boldsymbol { t } \boldsymbol { c } , \text { a local particle indicating motion. It is used in }}\) an interrogative and indicative significance, and is best rendered by where ( TO ). The form tcaītcītc may be explained as caused by the double suffixation of the adverbial suffix -itcc (see \(\S \S 90,94\) ). Such double adding of a suffix occurs in only one other instance; namely, in the case of the nominal suffix \(-\alpha x\) (see § 101).
kumî'ntc tc \(\bar{a}\) yax nowhere (anything to) see 34.4
kumî'ntcxûn qaiha'ntc tcā nû'ctc̄̀s not we two (excl.) far somewhere will go 56.2
. . . tcān te \(L \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime}\). . . where this I arrived 66.19
tcaītcíctc \(L \bar{o}^{\varepsilon} L n \bar{u}^{\prime} c t \bar{u} x\) (I) wonder where he will go 64.20
tcaītcī'tc qa'tc \({ }^{i} n t y a x\) he went somewhere

\section*{§ 124. Numeral Particles}

Here belong the following stems: y \(\bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) Many (see also § 12), \(t_{E^{\prime}} m x u t, \operatorname{ts} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n E x m a\), tsî̀n \(\bar{\imath} x t\) half, and \(k^{s} a^{i} t\) how many. The particles serving as fractional numerals in rariably follow the noun they define, while the two other numeral particles may either precede or follow it.
\(y \hat{\imath} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} h \bar{\imath} t c\) I saw many people
te'mxut tā'la half a dollar
\(\hbar^{-1} t c^{E} t c\) ts \(\hat{z}^{\prime} n\) exma ants \(t\). \({ }^{\text {e }}\) that bear is half a person (literally [a] person [is] his [one] half, that bear) 60.16
hìtc tsî'nūxt ants \(t \cdot \bar{\imath}\) half human (is) that bear 60.22
These forms might also be considered as adjectives. It will be noted that most of them end in the adjectival suffix -t (see § 104).

\section*{§ 125. Conjunctions}

Only three particles were found that may be properly said to have the function of our conjunctions. These particles are \(a^{\prime} l \cdot d \bar{u}, a^{i^{i}} s x a\), and \({ }^{u}\) ?
\(\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}\) refers to nouns only, and its function is of an inclusive character, indicating that the defined noun is included in the action. It always follows the noun and is best rendered by likewise. It is frequently used as a rerb (see § 135).
\({ }^{2} t\) t. \(\bar{\imath} a^{\prime} l \cdot\left(\bar{d} m a^{\prime} t t c^{i} t\right.\) Bear likewise got burned 34.16
\(h_{\imath} q^{u} a^{\prime} l \cdot d \bar{u} m \hat{\imath}\) 'ttê̂st Wild-Cat likewise burned 34.17
\(y a^{u^{\prime}} x a^{u} x a^{\prime} 7 \cdot d \bar{u} t^{i} t t^{\prime} a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) fern-roots they two likewise eat 98.15
 19
\(\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}\) 'sxa serves the same purpose as the preceding \(a^{\prime} l \cdot d \bar{u}\), but may either precede or follow the noun to which it refers. It is best rendered by also, too.
\(a^{\prime} t^{a} q\) texm \(\bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{u} a^{\prime} t^{a} q q^{\bar{u}} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} u t a^{i \prime}\) sxa sqa\({ }^{i} k t c \bar{u}^{\prime} t c q q^{\prime} t c^{i} n t \bar{u} x\) one man and one woman too will go there 30.21, 22
ha \(a^{i}\) nate \(a^{i \prime}\) sxa \(l^{\prime} \bar{t}^{\prime} t .^{\prime} a^{i}\) her food belonged to some one else (literally, different her, also, food) 54.7
\({ }^{u} \boldsymbol{l}\) has various functions. Its chief function is that of a copula between nouns and sentences, and in that case is best rendered by and. Its position is free, although it tends to follow the noun and to precede the verb.
\(a^{\prime} t^{a} q\) tExm \(\left.\bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}{ }^{u}\right\} a^{\prime} t^{a} q q^{\bar{\imath}} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) one man and one woman 30.21, 22 mîta' \(a^{i} t \hat{t}{ }^{n} u t\) mîta' \(a^{i} t \hat{i} n m y\) father and my mother
 Screech-Owl second 66.11
\(s^{\text {Eatsin}}{ }^{\prime} t c\) wa \(a^{\prime}\), \({ }^{u} t h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} q\) ! \(a^{\bar{i} t} t\) thus he said and started 22.5, 6 \(t a^{i}{ }^{u} t l^{i} t\) ! \(a^{i \prime}\) he sits and eats
It serves, furthermore, to introduce a new idea, in which case its functional character may best be compared to that of our syntactic period. Its exact rendering is a rather difficult matter, unless the arbitrary then be excepted.
 Ena \({ }^{u / w i}\) hitc \({ }^{u}{ }^{l}\) Eqaqa \(a^{\prime \prime}\) txa \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) pena's she knew him (to be) very bad. Screech-Owl knew that Skunk very well. At a rich man Skunk was hreaking his wind \(86.5,6,7\)

 Then that sick man thought of running away. Then thus said that Screech-Owl 86.14, 15, 16

§ 125

Finally, it may denote a connection with a previously expressed idea, especially when used in conjunction with the particle \(w a^{i}\) (see § 128).
\(w a^{i} y \hat{k} k t\) ants hītsī̀i, ul \(t \bar{a}^{\prime} q n \hat{\imath} s h i \bar{t} \bar{u}^{\prime} s t c\) although big (is) that house, still (it is) full (of) people 25.2, 3
wa tci'wa majatc ants lqua \({ }^{i \prime}\) t \(\bar{u}, u l\) mîttea \({ }^{i}\) 'although in the water lay those logs, nevertheless (they) began to burn 32.22
\(w a^{2} y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) hītc, ul ha \(a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t s^{\sharp} \grave{u} s{ }^{i} t!^{\prime} a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) although many (were) the people, still he devoured (them) all 94.10, 11
This subordinate function, as it were, is particularly brought ont when \({ }^{u} t\) is followed or preceded by the modal adverb \(a^{\prime} t s a, s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus (see § 121). This phrase is incariably rendered by that is wir.
\(a^{\prime} t s a^{u}{ }^{\eta}\) wàn \(t E m \bar{u}^{\prime} t x h \bar{\imath} t c \bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\) that is why now people assemble 15.5, 6
\(a^{\prime} t s a n u l n k u m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t o s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) that is why I don't want it 15.8
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a u^{u} l k u m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t o n \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a\) xi'ntmìl lītc that was why not alone traveled a person 94.11
\({ }^{u} \ell s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a \quad u \supsetneqq h a y a^{\prime} m \bar{u} t h^{i} y a ̀ t c ~ L!x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) and this is why all people know it

\section*{§ 126. Temporal Particles}

While Siuslaw employs distinct suffixes for the purpose of expressing the different tenses in the rerb, it has a few particles that are used to define more elearly the time, duration, or occurrence of a certain action. These are used mostly in conjunction with the proper temporal suffixes. The following particles serve this purpose:
\(\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{L}\) denotes commencement of an action, and has been rendered rather freely by now.
\(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) Lan \(\bar{\imath} t t^{\prime}\) 'a'wax now I commence to cat
\(\bar{a}_{L} \sin L a^{\prime} w a x\) now he commences to swim
\({ }^{u} \bar{q}^{2} n x \bar{a}_{L}\) hūtca'to now they began to play \(72.23,24\)
\(w_{\grave{a} n}\) indicates finality, completion of action. It cither precedes or follows the verb. The informant invariably rendered it by now, then, but the most proper rendering would be fivally.
\({ }^{u}\) l wàn \(t c i i^{i} n\) he finally returned 68.12
\(\bar{a} q \alpha^{\prime} q \sigma^{u} x\) wàn they two finally ran away 92.5
wàn smūut \(a^{\prime} a^{\prime} t\) ' finally it ends 9.1
sqa \({ }^{i} k\) wàn hawa \(a^{i \prime}\) there finally it ends 14.6
\(\boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{a}\), walla', expresses repetition of action, and is hest rendered by again. It rarely occurs as an independent particle, being mostly used as a verb (see §135). The explanation for the occurrence of the double form has been given in \(\$ 3\).
qa'tc \({ }^{i} n t\) ants hittc waha' that man went again 19.5
k! ink' ya'waxan waha'wax I will look again 56.20
\({ }^{u} t\) wàn waha' \(k a^{\bar{u}} n q a^{\prime} m s k^{u} t c\) finally again (said) to him his younger brother 56.20, 21
uin kumî'ntc \(x w \bar{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}!t \bar{u} x\) wa' tūx I will not go back again 46.8 waha \(a^{i \prime}\) xalna \({ }^{i \prime}\) ants ya \(a^{\varepsilon} k^{u} s\) again climb up those seals 62.10
tiyax- indicates short duration of action. It always occurs in verbal form (see § 135), and is best rendered by a while.
fī'yaxem q \(\alpha^{\prime} q^{u^{u}} n E m\) ! listen a while!
fī̀yax \({ }^{a} x y a x a n ~ a^{u}\) 'sissyax I slept a while
fīyaxa'waxan \(a^{u_{s} a^{\prime} w a x ~ I ~ i n t e n d ~ t o ~ s l e e p ~ a ~ w h i l e ~ 27.5, ~} 6\)

\section*{§ 12\%. Particles Denoting Degrees of Certainty and Emotional States}
\(\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{c k}!\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\ell} \hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}\) indicates a supposition on the part of the speaker, and is best rendered by perhaps, (I) thought. It consists of two etymologically obscure stems, \(a^{\prime} c k!a\) and \(l \hat{l}\). The subjective pronouns, when added to this particle, are always suffixed to the initial element, and never to \(t \hat{\imath}\). It is in rariably placed at the beginning of the sentence.
\(a^{\prime} c k\) ! ant t t̂ \(x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) (I) thought you (had) died 68.14, 15
\(a^{\prime} c k!a \downarrow \hat{\imath}\) atsìtc \(w w_{i} L!a^{\prime}\) wax ants \(t '^{\prime}\) moins (I) thought thus were going to return our (dual, incl.) boys 42.9, 10
\(a^{\prime} c k!a f \hat{\imath} q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t\) he went (away) perhaps
ha'uhan emphasizes a statement as having actually occurred. Hence it is rendered by indeed, to be sure. It precedes the verb.
\({ }^{u}{ }^{u}\) wàn ha'nhan \(s^{E} a t s a^{\prime} t x ~ h i z t c \bar{u}^{\prime u}\) now, indeed, thus people play 7.4 \({ }^{u} l\) wàn ha'nhan Līū'wanx hātsî'stc finally, sure enough, they were coming to different houses 30.6
hanl:! "kind of," like, has a double function. When used with rerbs, it implies that the action is not intimately known to the speaker. When referring to nouns (objects), it expresses a comparison between the defined noun and one already known to the speaker. It always precedes the noun or verb.
hank! tciktc ha he is in a way glad (literally, "kind of" somewhere his mind?) 70.15
hank! wî' \(n x^{i} t x h a^{i}\) he is rather afraid
hank! hî̀ tco \(c^{E} t c\) nîctcîmámū \(t_{E} q w a^{\prime} t x a^{i}\) the beaver acts like a person (literally, like a person bis actions [of] this beaver) 54.11
hank! hītc (he is) like (an) Indian 102.5
tex (I) woxder, suppose (If), (I) dox't know. This particle has a dubitative character, expressing doubt on the part of the speaker as to the possibility or advisability of a certain action. It may refer to any part of the sentence, but must always precede the verb.

Kîl. This particle occurs in the texts only once; but, judging from the examples obtained in conversation, it seems to express agreeable surprise.
\(h i^{i}{ }^{\prime}\) san kît wàn wan'yūts well he told me (I was agreeabiy surprised) 46.18
tai kit wàn he is here (literally, he stays, surprise)
E (I) may, perhaps. This is a dubitative particle, occurring also in Coos, \({ }^{1}\) and denoting possibility of action. Owing to its dubitative character, it has often an interrogative significance.
\(n \bar{z}^{\prime}\) ctca \(k^{u}\) what is the matter? (literally, how, perhaps . . .) 90.12 \(k\) ! înkiya'waxan tqa \(a^{u} w^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime} t c k^{w}\) waha'wax I may look again up-stream 56.20

that my elder brother, this here, not comes back? \(58.11,12\) \(t^{i} k w a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a n x k^{u} l t^{-} i^{\prime} a^{i}\) you may get salmon 48.18
\(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{n}\) è, a compound particle, consisting of the preceding one and of the particle of interrogation no (sce \& 131). Its significance is dubitative, and it may be rendered by it seems, perhaps, maybe, (I) guess. Its position is freely movable.
 \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} l t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} a^{\bar{i}}\) tq \(a^{u} w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k^{u^{\prime}} n \dot{c}\) much salmon may be up-stream 56.8
\(s^{F} \grave{a} s \bar{k}^{u} n \grave{a} c^{u} x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) he, I guess, drove it away 56.11
Zakwa'k \(\bar{u}^{u} n h^{u} n \dot{a}\) he took him (away), perhaps 58.14
Kumî'ntc \(k^{u} n \grave{a} s^{E}\) atsítc not thus (it is), I guess 21.10
\(\boldsymbol{x} \overline{\mathbf{r}}\) has the same function as the previously discussed hank! (see p. 594). It may best be rendered by (it) Looks like, as if.
\(x \bar{a}^{\prime} t s '^{\prime} \bar{u} x \bar{\imath} h \bar{\imath}\) tc te kî'nna (it) looks as if two people here were talking \(p i n a^{i} t x x \bar{i}\) (it) looks as if he were sick
\(t q a L a^{i \prime} \operatorname{txan} x \bar{i}\) I feel rather warm
\(\boldsymbol{L} \overline{\boldsymbol{o}}^{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{L}\) (I) WONDER, (I) DON'T KNOW. It either precedes or else follows the verb.
tcaītc \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c L \bar{o}^{\varepsilon} L n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} c t \bar{u} x\) (I) wonder where (he will) go 64.20 \(t c \bar{a} L \bar{o}^{\varepsilon} L L \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \bar{u} t \bar{u} x\) (I) wonder where he will stop (arrive) 64.24 \(p l n a^{i \prime} L \bar{o}^{\varepsilon} L\) (I) wonder whether he is sick

\section*{§ 198. Particles Denoting Connection with Previonsly Expressed Ideas}

Siuslaw has only two particles that serve this purpose. These are \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} m\) and \(w a^{2}\).
\(\boldsymbol{n} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \mathbf{c t c h} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{m}\) indicates causality, and is best rendered by because.
. . . \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} m\) sqaik \(L \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w a t . \bar{\imath}\). . . because there he frequently came 6S.4, 5
. . . \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{\imath} m s^{E} a ̀ s k!^{\prime i} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n ~ t E ~ h \bar{\imath} t c ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ b e c a u s e ~ h e ~ m a d e ~ d i s a p-~\) pear these people 18.8
. . . nî̀ctcîmîn meq! ya'wax . . . because I intend to dance 72.12

\(\boldsymbol{w a}^{z}\) is best rendered by although, even, in spite of. It may refer to the sentence as a whole or to any of its parts. The complex of ideas dependent upon \(w a^{i}\) is invariably introduced by the conjunction \(\left.{ }^{u}\right\}\) (see § 125).
cuqua'an hava'yūn, wa ca'dyatc be passes it as roast, although his penis [it was] (literally, roast he makes it) 90.13
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c \hat{c} m\) sqaik \(L \bar{\imath}^{\prime} w a t \cdot \bar{\imath}\), wa \(a^{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime} t s a\), because there he frequently came every time (literally, because there he came frequently, even for a long time) 68.4, 5
\(w a^{z} m \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a^{\bar{u}}\) L!ay \(a^{\prime}\) ut Lxata \({ }^{\prime \prime}\) even on a bad place he runs 14.1
\(w a^{i} y \hat{\imath} k t\) ants hītsíi ut tāà quis hītūstc although big (was) that house, nevertheless full (it was of) people 25.2, 3
§ 128
waì \(q a^{i} x\), ut xint in spite of (the fact that it was) night, (they) kept on going 64.24
\(w a^{i} t E^{\prime} q m \bar{r}^{\prime} k!a^{\text {ul }} l^{i} t!a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n s^{E} \dot{U} s\) even (if it is) something bad still she eats it 44.20

\section*{§ 129. Exhortative Particles}
\(q a^{i} \boldsymbol{b}\) expresses a polite command addressed to the first and third persons. It is hence employed in the formation of the exhortative mode. The verb usually oceurs with exhortative suflixes (see \(\S \S 41\), \(48,63,64\) ), although instances of idiomatic expressions are not lacking where these suffixes have been omitted (see § 139). This particle is best rendered by let (me, hin, us, etc.).
\(q a^{i} \downarrow q a t c^{i} n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x m \hat{\imath}\) let him go!

\(q a^{i}{ }^{i} n x \bar{a} \bar{u}_{L} \cdot{ }_{\imath}{ }^{\prime} t s m e ~ h \bar{u} t s \bar{\tau}^{\prime}{ }^{i}\) let me fix his house!
\(q^{a^{i}}\) wàn \(a^{u^{\prime}} s t u ̈ x\) let him sleep now! 27.8
\(\boldsymbol{t c} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}\) serves to emphasize the imperative and exhortative modes. It invariably follows the verb, which must occur in either of these two forms. It can not be translated easily. In some instances the informant rendered it by try to.
qaqü'nem tcū listen now!
fi't'! mand tcu let us (incl. dual) eat!
qa'txem toū cry!
\(a^{u}{ }^{\prime}\) sEm tcū try to sleep!
\(\boldsymbol{t E m a ̀}\) indicates a polite command addressed to any person. The informant rendered it by it is better ro. . . . Although it usually followed verbs having imperative suffixes, I was able to obtain examples showing the use of this particle in conjunction with verbal expressions of a non-imperative character.
quva'nyūx temà Laaya'tc better pour it into his mouth! 29.2
\(a^{u^{\prime}}\) sEm temă (you had) better sleep!
tEmá wa' \(t \bar{u} x\) it is better (that) he should talk
\(\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{k}^{u} \boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n}\) is apparently a compound particle, whose component elements can no longer be analyzed. It has an emphatic character, implying that a certain command addressed to the second person must be obeyed. It is best rendered by must, necessarily.
\(\left\langle\bar{z}^{\prime} t!\right.\) Em akiuha'n you must eat!
\({ }_{\text {L }}\) ! \(v \bar{a}^{\prime} n \bar{u} s\) ak \({ }^{\prime} h a^{\prime} n\) you must tell him!
L! î'tīs ak \({ }^{u} \hbar a^{\prime} n\) you must hit him!

\section*{§ 130. Restrictive Particles}
\(\boldsymbol{a t a} \boldsymbol{s}\) limits the action to only one object, and is to be rendered by only, merely. It usually follows the restricted object.

Iqqa' \(^{\prime} q^{i}{ }^{i} n x\) ata's your wind only (is sick) 86.16, 17
\(p \bar{a}^{\prime} l \cdot \bar{u}\) ata's qutc \(\bar{u}^{i} t x a^{\bar{u}} t n e\) from (one) well only it is being drunk (plural) 76.12
sqaik wín ata's hara \(a^{i \prime}\) only there now it ends 29.7
\(s^{F} \dot{\alpha} s\) atu's \(1 . '^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) he only knows it 44.8
Ha'tsithas a restrictive function, and is best rendered by vothing BLT.
līq!ank \(a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath}\) ants xu'nhai h \(a^{i}\) tsī nothing but dentalia shells these (people) bet 78.14
\(h a^{i^{\prime}} t \sin n k \bar{o}^{\prime} t u n y \hat{i} x a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) nothing but horses I saw
txē merely, only, just. It refers mostly to the rerb, and may either precede or follow it.
tx \(\bar{u}\) xyal \(x \hat{i}\) 'ski in \(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t\) just a little ways he went 12.1
tx \(\bar{u} \bar{c}^{\prime} t c^{E} t ~ t \imath^{\prime} n \bar{n} n x\) just Cougar (will be) thy name 13.5, 6
\(x a^{u} w^{i} y a^{i \prime} t x \bar{u}\) licatca'sk' in he merely came out for a little while 64.8
tī't.' Em txu just eat! \(40.26 ; 42.1\)
ci'n \(n^{i} x y a t\) ' \(y\) a \(t x \bar{u}\) be was only continually thinking 42.2
Kumînto txū quiutcūnya't hītc not for nothing a person gets a wife (literally, not just a woman bas [gets a] person) 74.1

\section*{§ 131. Miscellaneous Particles}
\(\boldsymbol{k} \overline{u ̈}^{\prime}\), lumi'ntc, xo, not. These are two etymologically related stems that are used as particles of negation. The final to in kum \(\hat{\imath}\) nto is the adverbial suffix (see \(\$ \S 23,94\) )
ki \(\bar{u}^{i} c \hat{c} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \cdot x i t\) he did not move 27.2, 3
\(k \bar{u}^{i}\) n \(\hat{i}\) 'ctca nū'ctcūtne nothing could ive done to him \(94.12,13\)
\(k^{i} \bar{u}^{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime}\) tsacu! ' \(u^{\prime a i}\) not long then . . . \(7 . \bar{i}\)
Kumê'nte Kiti'sa not good (it is) 12.2
kumin'ntc litt'aya't ants kō'tan not food had the horses 34.10
When followed by the subjective pronouns (see § 24 ), \(k \bar{u}^{i}\) is contracted into \(k w i\). This contraction is not based on any distinct phonetic law, but is the result of rapidity of speech.
\(k w^{-1} y a^{u} x\) y \(a^{\prime} x a^{\bar{u} l}{ }^{2} \hat{n}^{\prime} c k^{\prime} l a^{i}\) not he saw their (dual) rulvas 90.3
kwinx yā'tsa \(s^{\mathrm{E}} a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s^{\mathrm{E}} y a x\) not they long (did) thus \(11.3,4\)
§§ 130-131

In certain cases the negated verb takes，beside the negative particle， the distinct suffix of negation－it（see § 53）．
\(\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{\bar{u}}, \boldsymbol{h} \bar{a}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{n} \hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{k}\) ，yes，all right，are used as particles of affirmation．
\(h a^{u}\) yes，all right 21.8
\(h \bar{a}^{\prime} n i ̂ k\) yes
\(h a^{\bar{u}}\) Lî＇mqan tci＇ntūx all right，I＇ll come back right away 56．21， 22
\(h a^{\bar{u}}\) wa＇nxan hatc＇\({ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime w} \bar{u} n\) yes，now we（excl．）shall ask her 74.12
n⿳亠㐅⿸厂巳一 serves as a particle of interrogation，and refers to the sentence as a whole．Its phonetic similarity to the independent personal pro－ noun for the first person singular（see § 24）is merely accidental．
```

n\hat{ctcci'tcîn tEx nà wa'a'`. I wonder what shall I way? 74.7}

```
\(p t n a^{i} n a ̀\) is he sick？
\(p \bar{a} k w a^{\prime}\) wanx nà are you going to play shinny？
\(\boldsymbol{a}^{\bar{u}}, \boldsymbol{h} \overline{\boldsymbol{e}}\) ，have an exclamatory character，and may be called inter－ jections．
\(a^{\bar{u}}\) ，nîctcītc pla \({ }^{a} n\) nà waha＇what！is he sick again？
hè，kumíntc \(h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} i_{s a} n \imath^{\prime} x^{a} t c\) Hey！it（does）not（look）well on you 13.5
\(\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{\imath}, \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{t} \mathbf{\imath}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x t} \boldsymbol{\imath}\) ，an emphatic particle．It never occurs alone，being always preceded by the negation \(k \cdot \bar{u}^{i}\) ，Fumintonte（see p．598），and is then best rendered by not at all．
kumî＇ntc Katī＇\(x a^{u^{\prime}}\) wit not at all he came out（from water）64．7， 8 \(k \bar{u}^{i}\) katī＇xtî \(\quad\) ！\(x m a^{i}\) ants ya \(a^{s} k^{\prime} u_{s}\) he did not entirely kill that seal 64．12， 13
\(k \bar{u}^{i} k a t \bar{\imath} x t \hat{\imath}\) xa \(a^{u^{\prime}}\) wit not again he floated up 64．16， 17
mîntc，a temporal particle indicating time in general．It is ren－ dered by when，sonetrmes．The final \(t c\) is the adverbial sufix par excellence（see § 23）．
mîntc L！aya＇some time
mînte \(L L^{\varepsilon_{L}} L L \bar{z}^{\prime} \bar{u} t \bar{u} x\)（I）wonder when he will arrive
\(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t c^{i} n x\) tca＇xaūtyax when did you go home！
tsan，ants，kīù nùts．These three particles are etymologically related．The last one is composed of the particle of negation \(k \bar{u}^{i}\) not and of nàts．The forms unts and nàts resulted from the law of consonantic metathesis（see §13）；ants is easily confused with the demonstrative pronoun of similar phonetic structure（see \(\$ 115\) ）．

These particles serve to introduce conditional clauses, and are best rendered by if, since. k \(\bar{u}^{i}\) nàts is rendered by if not (see also § 136).
tsa'ntĉ̀ \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} h a s \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\). . . if you want to buy her . . . 74.8
tsa'ntc \(\hat{\imath} v_{i}^{\prime} n^{i} x y a x a^{\bar{u}} n\), ultc \(\hat{\imath}\) luate' \(a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n\) since you want her, (go and) ask her \(74.10,11\)
\(y \bar{a}^{\alpha^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) hītc tem \({ }^{u} w a^{i^{\prime}}\) sqaik, ants haiq\(a^{i \prime}\) ants hamin'tc̄ many people assembled there, when (if) those whales come ashore S2.21, 22 . . ants thwa'myax ants inq! 'a'a \(a^{i}\) when (ice) closed up that river 78.3

Whenever the subordinate clause is introduced by the negative \(k \bar{u}^{i}\) nàts, the co-ordinate sentence that follows must be preceded by the particle nùts.
\(k \bar{u}^{i}\) nàts \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} w a^{a} x a^{\bar{u}}\) tne , ut nàts tsī' \(k!\) ! \(y a a^{\prime} \bar{i}^{\prime} k!a \operatorname{L}!a^{\prime a i}\) if he had not been killed, it would have been a very bad country \(29.7,8\)
\(k \bar{u}^{i}\) nàts Lī̀ ūyax, uln nàts nakwa'yatīt̄̄ ha if he had not come, I should have been sorry
\(\boldsymbol{n} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{c t c a}, \boldsymbol{u} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{c t c a}, \boldsymbol{n} \overline{c t} \boldsymbol{c t}\). These three forms are undoubtedly etymologically related. Their primary function can not be easily defined, owing to the fact that they are used for the purpose of expressing grammatical concepts of a varying character. The most frequent uses made of these particles are those of an interrogative and indefinite pronoun. The function of an interrogative pronoun is chietly confined to the form níctca when followed by the demonstrative pronoun \(t_{E}\) (see § 115), while it serves as an indefinite pronoun whenever it is preceded by the negative particle \(k \bar{u}^{i}\), kum \(\hat{\imath}\) 'ntc nот. \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime} c t c a\) is frequently amplified by means of the modal suffix -itc (see § (9).
\(n \bar{u}^{\prime} c t c a k^{u}\) te cuqwa'an te ha'kwat! ya what may (be the reason that) this roast here continually falls down? 90.12
\(n \bar{u}^{\prime} \operatorname{ctcan} x\) tanx \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} q \bar{a} t x\) why do you (this one) cry (so) much? 94.16, 17
\(n \hat{\imath}\) 'ctcan tex nîctca'wax I doubt whether (we) shall accomplish anything 60.9
nи̂'ctcant tex xawa'ūn how can we kill him? 15.7
. . . nî'ctca te ta \({ }^{i}\). . . how this one was living 16.2
\(k \bar{u}^{i}\) ní'ctca nū'ctcūtne nothing could be done (to stop) him 94.12, 13
\(k \bar{u}^{i} n{ }^{\prime}\) 'ctca \(q a^{\prime} t c^{\omega} \bar{w}_{\bar{\imath}} t\) not able to get a drink 76.11
\(k \bar{u}^{i} n \hat{\imath}\) 'ctea \(\mathfrak{l a} a^{\prime} k w^{i} t\) litt! \(a y a^{\prime}\) she could not get food \(96.16,17\)
\(n \hat{\imath} c t c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t c^{\mathbb{E}} t t_{\hat{c}} t_{E} t_{E} m^{u} w a^{\prime} t_{a m}\). . . why you have been gathered 30.17
kum \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t c\) nîctcī'tc \(c \hat{\imath}^{\prime} \gamma x \bar{u} t\) he thinks of nothing (else) \(60.20,21\)
Kumîntcertcî nîctcīto ta'tcî temū'ūts not for nothing did I assemble you (here) \(30.18,19\)
nectx occurs in two instances only, and to all appearances has an interrogative significance.
\(n \bar{u}^{\prime} c t x a n k k^{u} a^{\prime} n t \sin n m \bar{a} t \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) tE \(k \bar{u}^{i} t c \bar{t}^{\prime} n \bar{u} t\) what may (be the reason that) my elder brother here does not come back? \(58.11,12\) \(n \bar{c} t x l^{i} a^{\prime} n a x a a^{i}\) how (would it be if) he were given up? 64.26
In a great many eases nîctca and \(n \bar{u}^{\prime} c t c a\) are used as verbs with a significance that adapts itself to the sense of the sentence (sce § 135). The particles are then verbalized by means of some of the verbal suffixes.
\(k \bar{u}^{i} n \hat{c}^{\prime}\) cíca nī'ctcūtne nothing could be done (to stop) him 94.12, 13 \(k \bar{u}^{i} n \hat{v}^{\prime}\) ctca tcaîtci'tc n \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ctcil not can any where (they) go \(76.1 \pm\) kumîntcxim nî̀cteīs not we two (excl.) will keep on going 56.2
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ctcan tex nîctca'wax I doubt whether (we) are going to do (anything) 60.9
\(n \bar{u}^{\prime} c t c a t^{\prime} u^{u} x\) sit' \(n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) to fight mutually they two want (it) 52.2
In one instance the addition of a nominal suffix has transformed \(n \hat{c}^{\prime} c t c a\) into a noun.
kum \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime} n t c\) qwate \(L t^{\prime} x \bar{u}^{\prime} x^{u} n n \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ctcatc ants \(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ctcīsî no one knows what happened to them (literally, how their arrival) 40.15, 16

\section*{§ 132. The Sufixed Particle -ū (-ā̄)}

It indicates an action, transitive or intransitive, that is performed near the speaker, and may be added to stems other than verbal. It always stands in final powition as a loose suffix. Since similar formative elements expressing other locative categories were not found in Siuslaw, and in view of the fact that Alsea employs, besides this suffix, many other suffixes denoting location of action, I am inclined to believe that this element represents a formative element borrowed from Alsea. The Siuslaw render it by here, tmis way. A peculiar pbonetic law seems to be intimately connected with this particle. When following the consonantic cluster \(n x\), it causes the dropping of the \(x\) (see \(\S 4\) ). The interchange between \(\bar{u}\) and \(c^{\bar{u}}\) has been discussed in \(\S 2\).
\(k a^{\bar{u}}=\) to follow \(92.7 \quad k^{i}{ }^{i} w^{2} a s^{i} y \bar{u}^{\prime} t \operatorname{tsen} a^{\bar{u}}\) you will overtake me 92.3
\(q a^{u^{\prime} x}{ }^{n} n\) above 80.12 \(y \bar{u}^{w i_{L}{ }^{\prime}, u^{\prime} t x} q u^{u} x \hat{u} n \bar{u}^{\prime}\) it broke on top 94.4
\(q a^{\prime} t c \hat{c} n t \bar{u} x\) he will come
\(x w \bar{u}^{\prime} L\) ! \(E m\) come back!
sīu'ūnanx they come (trans.)
xi'ntenx they travel 88.20
ya'qu'yūnanx thou art seen \(q a^{i} \hbar \bar{a}^{\prime} n\) from afar 56.8
\(s q \bar{a}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} E m\) from there 34.3
\(q a^{\prime} t c^{i} n t \bar{u} x a^{\bar{u}}\) nàtc he will come to me \(x w \overline{i x}\) !'Emaū come back this way! Līu'ūnanaü tcīvaa'ne they come out from the water
\(x \hat{i}^{\prime} n t a n a \bar{u} t \hat{\imath}^{\prime} m w a\) they travel this way together
ya'gu'yü"nanaiu thou art seen here \(q a^{i} h \bar{a}^{\prime} h a n a^{\bar{u}}\) Li \(\bar{u}^{\prime}\) he came from afar
\(s q \bar{a}^{\prime} \operatorname{tman} \bar{u} t \sin L!^{\prime} a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}!^{\prime} \bar{a}^{u} n \mathrm{I}\) shoot at him from there

\section*{§ 133. The Stem \(\mathrm{L}!\mathrm{a}^{\prime a 1}\)}

The original function of this stem is that of a noun denoting place, country, ground, world, and it occurs in this function in a great many instances. Its locative form is \(L!a y a^{\prime}\) or \(L!^{\prime} a y \bar{u}{ }^{\prime} s\) (see § 86).
\(m \imath^{\prime} k!a\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) a bad world 29.8
\(y \bar{a} k\) ! \(\hat{\imath} s k ' \hat{\imath} n \bar{u}^{\prime}\) L! \(\alpha y a^{\prime}{ }^{u} t \in \bar{i} y \bar{u}^{\prime w i}\) on a small place they were living 38.19
\(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t c \hat{\imath} s t \bar{u} n\) L!ayū'stc be made (them) fall to the ground 94.7 , 8
In most cases, however, it is used with a significance which, while intimately connected with its original meaning, seems to lend to it a peculiar function. Thus it is employed in the formation of verbs expressing meteorological phenomena, and serves as the (impersonal) subject of such verbs.

\(k!u^{x} w i \hat{n} a^{i \prime}\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) ice (appeared) all over 76.11
\(q a^{i} x \hat{x} x y a x\) te \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) it got dark 34.4
nā'qutyax \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) it got cold \(76.10,11\)
\(h \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} n y a x\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) it was dark (foggy) \(34.8,9\)
kum \(\hat{\imath}\) 'nto \(w \bar{\imath}^{\prime} L^{\prime} \bar{u}^{t}\) ants \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) there was no low tide 34.22
\(q \bar{u} \bar{u} n E m a^{i \prime}{ }_{L}!a^{\prime a} a^{i}\) (when) winter begins 78.5
From the Siuslaw point of view this application of \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) is perfectly justifiable, because to his mind verbs expressing natural phenomena represent real actions performed by the UNIVERSE as a personified subject. Consequently he renders our neutral phrases it rains, etc., by the world rains, etc., using the noun \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) as the general subject of the action.

As a further consequence of this general significance, \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) is used to denote plurality of subjects and objects, especially in cases where the verb is used in its singular form (see §§ 78, 79, 139)。
\(t!\bar{a} m c \hat{\imath} l \cdot m \ddot{a} L!a^{\prime a i}\) all the children 34.6, 7
q \(\bar{i} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) L ! \(a^{\prime a i}\) many women 82.14
sExaci \({ }^{\bar{u}}\) to qua'xam ants \(L!a^{\prime} a^{i}\) tE' \(q\) into the canoe were put many things 34.5
metci'tcerc \(x w \bar{a}^{\prime} k a\) ants \(L .^{\prime} a^{\prime a i}\) one-sided their heads (of) those (people) 70.5, 6
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i} x u^{\prime} n h a^{i}\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) they bet a great deal 70.6
\(h \bar{\imath} q\) ! aha \(a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath} L\) ! \(a^{\prime a i}\) many dentalia shells 70.6
tsīk! yamík! a wa' \({ }^{\prime}\) nû̂ts \(L\) ! \(a^{\prime}\) ai very bad (things existed) long ago
14.7
stīm \(I!a^{\prime a i} m a^{\prime} q!\bar{\imath} s\) there they keep on dancing 29.3
waa'aūtsme ants \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) kīto he said to all his people 7.1
\(p e k \bar{u}^{\prime u}\) ! \(a^{\prime a i}\) they play shinny 9.4
L!'oxa'xaūtsme , intc \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) he sent all his people \(30.1,2\)
\(k!u^{x} w \tau^{\prime} n u \bar{n} L!a^{\prime a}{ }^{i}\) he made ice all orer \(9 \pm .2,3\)
tent' \(a^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{u} n\) ! \(a^{\prime} a^{i}\) he caused the wind to blow all over 94.5
This stem occurs also as a suffix. In such cases it is abbreviated into \(-L\) ! (see § 77).

\section*{§ 134. Nouns and Verbs as Qualifiers}

Siuslaw has no means of indicating by a grammatical device the sex of a given noun; that is to say, it does not exhibit grammatical gender. Hence, whenever it is desired to distinguish betmeen the male and the female of a species, the nouns tExmu'n \(\hat{\imath}\) max and qūutcu'n\(n \hat{\imath}\) woman are used as qualifying a given appellative term. The qualifying noun either precedes or follows the qualified term.
\(q^{\bar{u}} \bar{u} t c \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} k w^{\prime} y \bar{s} s\) a female \(\operatorname{dog}\)
\(t_{E x m} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) kiō'tan a male horse, stallion
\(t s \hat{i} ' s q a n q \bar{u} \bar{u} t c \bar{u} ' n \hat{\imath}\) a female deer. doe
Za'kukyax hītū'to texmu'nya sho took a male person 60.23
\(t_{E x m} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{n} t c^{w} a x\) ants t.āmo ki'z \(x\) they two kad boys each (literally, male their [dual] those infants each [are]) \(\pm 0.19\)

Not infrequently verbs are used to qualify the actions implied by another verbal stem. The qualifier has then the function of a modal adverb, and its significance may best be compared to that of our adverbs ending in -Ly. The position of the qualifier is freely movable.
 he slid down and came back) 12.6
 will) not come back (by way of) return(-ing) (literally, not he comes hack [and] returns) 42.11
mîta'tc \({ }^{w} a x\) ants \(t_{1} \bar{u} \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime}\) waa' their (dual) father, that one, shouted, saying (literally, shouted [and] said) 52.8

\section*{\(\S\) 135. Particles as Verbs}

The frequent use of particles as verbs constitutes a characteristic feature of Siuslaw that is chiefly due to the fact that the majority of stems are neutral, deriving their nominal or verbal significance from the nature of the suffix that is added to them (see § 22). Consequently aus particle (or adverb) may serise as a verb when occurring with the proper verbalizing suffixes, mostly the pronominal and temporal elements.
\(h a^{i} q\) shore ( \(\$ 119\) )
\(s^{\mathbb{E}} a^{\prime} t s a\) thus (§ 121)
\(y \vec{a}^{a} x a^{i}\) many (§ 124)
\(a^{\prime} l \cdot d \bar{u}\) likewise (§ 125)
wa', wala' again (§ 126)
nīyax- a while (§ 126)
nî́ctca (§ 131)
\(h a^{i \prime}\) ĝ̂qyax it was (coming) ashore 56.13
\(y \bar{a}^{\prime} t s a s^{E} a^{\prime} t s^{E} y a x\) for a long time thus they (did) 11.3, 4
stīnts ya'xtūx there you two will multiply 32.6
al'twa'wanx also you (come) 16.4
\(a^{\prime} l \cdot t \bar{u} t \bar{u} n x\) hūtc \(\bar{u}^{u \prime}\) stc also you will (have) fun 22.8
\({ }^{u}\left\{a^{u} x\right.\) al \(\cdot t w a a^{i}\) hit \({ }^{\prime}\) 'stc they two again were among people 98.17 , 18
\({ }^{\text {ul }}\) wàn waha'h \(h a^{\bar{u}} n q a^{\prime} m s h^{h} t_{c}\) finally again (said to him) his younger brother 56.20, 21
wa'tūnx \(m^{u} q w a^{\prime}\) Lemte wa'as you will again (talk with) Crow's language 38.8, 9
liyaxa'waxan \(a^{u} s a^{\prime}\) wax a little while I intend (doing it), (namely to) sleep \(27.5,6\)
tcî'nta \({ }^{u}\) netcai ants hitc whatever does a man 70.22
kumî'ntcxûn nî'ctcĩs not we two (excl.) will keep on (going) 56.2

\section*{§ 136. The Conditional Clause}

The rendering of the conditional clause in Siuslaw is accomplished in so many different ways, that it was thought best, for the sake of
conciseness, to devote a separate section to this subject. The usual procedure is to introduce a conditional clause by means of the temporal adverb ats at that time, when (see § 120), or by means of either of these three related particles: tsan, \(k \bar{u}^{i}\) nàts, ants (see § 131).
ats téq waxa'yexayin if something (will) be given to him 18.5
tsa'ntĉ̀ \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} h a \operatorname{sî} n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) if you (to) buy want her 74.8

ple assemble there, when those whales come ashore \(82.21,22\)
\(k \bar{u}^{i}\) nàts \(x \bar{a}^{\prime} w a^{a} x a^{\bar{u}} t n E\) if he had not been killed 29.7
There are, however, other ways of expressing a conditional clanse that are resorted to more frequently than the process just mentioned. Of these, the use of the past tense as convering conditionality is of an exceedingly frequent occurrence, and is due to the participial function that is assigned by the Siuslaw to that tense (see § 7t). In such cases the conditional clause tends to precede the sentence expressing the co-ordinate thought, although instances of a reversed order are by no means rare. The verb of the co-ordinate clause takes usually (but not as a rule) the durative suffix (see § 69).

person, you will tell of it (literally, having seen . . . ) \(38.12,18\)
 at anything, you very loud will always talk (literally, having become mad. . .) 36.11, 12

river, they would find (literally, having come . . .) 66.21, 22 Līunna \({ }^{u^{\prime} w} y a^{u} x\), ut \(s^{F} a t s \bar{u}^{\prime} t c\) wa'y \(y\) when they two eame together,
then thus she said 46.7
inq!'a'îtc hītc ta \(a^{i} y a x\), \(\left.{ }^{u}\right\}\) y \(\bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) sinq! if in the ocean a man lives, (very) much he is hungry 44.12, 13
\(t s \imath^{\prime} k!y a h i s\) atsi'to wa \(a^{a^{\prime}}\) yax very good (it would have been) if thus he had said 42.13

The conditional clause is also expressed by the use of the future tense.
sín \(n^{i} x y \bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) ts!înna'to xauri' \(a^{u}\), ul \(^{u^{\prime} s t \bar{u} x u x}\) it was desired (that) with an arrow he (should) be killed, if he should (be a) sleep(er) 24.1 tsī'k! ya his t' \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) moins tci'ntūx very good (would it be) if our children (dual incl.) should come back \(42.6,7\)
 arrows, then we two (incl.) will shoot 50.14
 you will do it 98.10

The conditional clause may also be expressed by the verb in its present tense.
sî' \(n^{i} x y a n x\) līt!! \(\alpha y a^{\prime}, u_{l n} x\) nàtc \(L \bar{\imath}{ }^{\prime} w i ̄ s\) if you want food, then you will always come to me 44.6
 the ocean, he eats it (ii) having come ashore 44.19, 20
wila \(a^{i^{\prime}} L!a^{\prime a i}\) ul haíqmas tcī'wa xî̀ntme when the water is low, alongside of the beach he travels 46.16
tcin hītsî'stc ants qwo'txa \(a^{i}\), atsi'tc waa'yūtsme \(q^{q^{\prime}} \bar{u} t c\) when he gets home, that Beaver, thus be says to his wife 48.17

\section*{§ 137. VOCABULARY}

All Siuslaw words may be divided into two distinct classes, those of a denominating character and neutral stems. To the former belong all nouns of relationship, terms denoting parts of the body, animal names, words expressing natural objects, etc. These nouns never consist of more than three syllables. By far the greater part of the vocabulary consists of neutral stems, whose nominal or verbal function depends solely upon the sense in which they are used in a sentence and upon the functional value of the suffix with which they occur (see § 22). These stems are mostly monosyllabic, and consist of a rowel and consonant, of a consonant or consonantic cluster followed by a vowel, or (in most cases) of a consonant vowel and consonant.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
a^{v_{S}} \text { - to sleep } 2 \pm .1 & \bar{a}_{q} \text { - to take off } 13.1 \\
\text { anax- to give up } 16.8 & a^{i} q \text { - to leave } \\
\bar{a}_{g} \text { - to go away } \overline{2} 2.10 & a^{i} t c \text { - to trade } 36.4
\end{array}
\]
\(\bar{i}_{L}\) ! - to break \(94 . \pm\)
wa- to speak 7.1
\(t a^{i}\) - to sit, to live 16.2
siँ- to grow 98.10
meq!'- to dance 19.2
\(x \bar{a} L!\) '- to do, to make 50.8
yax- to see 20.10
winx- to be afraid 17.6
qua- to enter 34.5
\(x a \bar{u}\) - to die 16.8
lk! \(a\) - to open (one's mouth) 28.2
xîntm- to travel 12.10
tqūt- to shout 52.8
cill' \(x\) - to shake 27.2
L! wān-to tell 17.1
qatce \(n\) - to go 8.2
As examples of bisyllabic stems, the following may be given:
wastis- to be angry 36.11, 12 tEmu - to assemble 7.3
qaqū \(n\) - to listen \(k!\bar{a}^{\prime}\left\{a^{u}\right.\) - to be tired 36.21
\(\sin x i\) - to desire \(11.7 \quad x \hat{l} \cdot x \bar{\imath}-\) to work 48.10
\(h a^{\prime} n^{E} n \bar{u} t!\) ' to believe 46.3

Onomatopoetic expressions are exceedingly rare, being confined to three animal names and one verbal stem.
\(m \hat{\imath}^{\prime} t c m \hat{\imath} t c\) grouse (probably called so from its cry mît-mêt)
pūpuhū̀n飯! owl
\(q \bar{o}^{\prime} q \bar{o} q\) swan (white)
\(x \bar{u} n\) - to snore
\({ }^{u}\) wàn \(x \bar{u}^{u} n\) now he snores 27.9
A few terms appear in a reduplicated form (see § 109).

\section*{§ 138. STRUCTURE OF SENTENCES}

The absence of nominal incorporation and polysynthesis as grammatical devices renders the Siuslaw sentence subject to easy analysis, and prevents the many complications that are met with in many other American languages. Each part of the sentence-such as subject, nominal object, predicate, and attribute-is expressed by means of a phonetically independent word. The successive order in which these parts of a sentence are arranged is arbitrary and exempt from any well-defined rules. The subject may be placed at the beginning or at the end of the sentence, usage favoring its occurrence at the very end, especially in cases where the sentence contains a nominal subject and object.
\(l k!a n \bar{u}^{\prime} k^{u}\) ul meq! '(t \({ }^{i} t x\) ha \(a^{\prime \prime}\) quas Liya'wa Screech-Owl was continually dancing alongside of the fire \(86.2,3\)
lk!an \({ }^{u} w a^{\prime} k^{u}\) wîn \(n x a^{\bar{u}} n\) ants penî's Screech-Owl fears that Skunk 86.5
tsī\(i^{\prime} k!y a w \hat{\imath}^{\prime} n x a^{\bar{u}_{n}}\) ants penî's \(l k!a n^{u} w a^{\prime} k^{u}\) very much is afraid of that Skunk, Screech-Owl 86.3
pîtca'yaux lqutūwiyu's ants qīutcu'm they two go over logs, these women \(88.15,16\)
Nominal objects may either precede or follow the subject of the sentence.
\(h_{i n} a^{\prime w} \bar{u} n\) ants plna'st \(l k\) ! an \(w w a^{\prime} k^{u}\) she intends to take along that sick mañ, Screech-Owl 88.1, 2
waa' \(^{\prime} a^{\bar{u}} \sim\) sq \(\bar{u} m \bar{a}^{\prime}\) ants lq!al. \(\bar{o}^{\prime}\) më̈ said Pelican to that Sea-Gull 44.17
Of a similar free position are those parts of the sentence that express adverbial ideas. They may precede or follow the rerb.
uld \(^{u} x\) tci'watc hakwa' \(a^{i}\) they two into the water will be thrown 88.7, 8
xa'zint qa'xunto lyatūwiyū'sto he climbs up on a trec 12.4

\(\chi^{i} k w a^{\prime} y \bar{u} n a n x k^{\prime} u l t \bar{\imath}^{\prime} a^{i}{ }^{1}\) Exxa \(u^{\prime}\) you may get salmon in the hoat 48.18

Nominal and adverbial attributive complements may precede or follow the noun or verb, excepting the demonstrative pronouns ants, \(t_{E}\) (see \(\S 115\) ), which are usually placed immediately before the noun. Owing to the fact that all adjectives are intransitive verbs, they seldom refer to the noun, and are freely movable.
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) hĩtc ptnaits ha many people were sorry 15.4
ŷैráy \(y \bar{u} n\) hitc \(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) be saw many people
wî'nxa \(a^{\bar{u}} n\) tsi'k! ya te \(p_{E n i} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} s\) she was very much afraid of Skunk 86.1
\(t s \bar{z}^{\prime} k!y a^{u} x x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) sî̀ \(n n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) very much they two wanted him to die S6.19
yuwa' \(y \bar{u} n y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) ants \(q!a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}\) they collected lots of that pitch \(88.5,6\) Lxa \(a^{u}\) yaxa \(a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}\) ants \(p\) ent \(\hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ' that other skunk 86.18, 19
yîkt ants hitsī̄ \({ }^{\prime{ }^{i}}\) big (is) that house 25.2
\(h^{\prime} \bar{t}^{E} t c\) nûctcîmámu te \(t \cdot \bar{\imath}\) a person's fashion (has) this Bear 60.26
The same freedom of order as is exhibited by the different parts of the sentence is found in the relative position of coordinate and subordinate sentences. Subordinate clauses are usually introduced by particles, and they may precede or follow the principal clause.
wa tei'wa ma \(a^{a}\) te ants Zqa \(a^{i \prime} t \bar{u},{ }^{n} \hat{l} t t c a^{i^{\prime}}\) although in the water lay those logs, still (they) burned 32.22
\(n \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\) ctcim sqaik lī'wat. \(\bar{\imath}\), wa \({ }^{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime} t s a\) because there he came frequently, eren for a long time 68.4, 5
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) hītc, ul tEmu\(w a^{i^{\prime}}\) sqaik, ants hai \(q a^{i^{\prime}}\) ants ham \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime}\) tci many people assemble there, when those whales come ashore \(82.21,22\)
 dies in the ocean, he eats it after it has come ashore 44.19, 20

\section*{§ 139. IDIOMATIC EXPRESSIONS}

Here belongs in first place the manner of expressing comparison of adjectives. The comparative degree is expressed by using the objective form of the pronoun (or noun) for the compared object, which is invariably placed at the end of the sentence. In some cases the idea of comparison is brought out more forcibly by the adverb pelzitc aHEAD, fIrst, following or preceding the object.
\(s^{E}\) à \(h \bar{l} s\) nàtc he is better than I (am)
\(n a^{\prime} h a n h \bar{t}^{\prime} s a n \bar{u}^{\prime} x^{a} t c \mathrm{I}\) am better than you (are)

\(y \hat{\imath} k t s^{E} a ̀ p e l \hat{u}^{\prime} t c n a^{\prime} t c^{E} n t\) he is taller than we (are)
§ 139

The superlative is expressed in the same manner, although the ang-
 § 102) are preferably used to indicate the superlative degree.
\(t^{\prime} n a^{u w i} s^{E}{ }^{2} n a^{\prime} t c^{E} n x a n\) he is (the) richest of us all
\(s^{E} \grave{d} y \bar{a} k \cdot \hat{\imath} ' s k \cdot \hat{\imath} n\) t \(E x m \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) he is the smallest man
\(n a^{\prime} h a n y \hat{l} k t \hat{c}^{\prime} l \cdot m a ̈ \mathrm{I}\) am the tallest
\(s^{E} a ̀{ }_{2} \hat{a} k t u^{\prime} n \hat{\imath}\) that biggest one
\(t \bar{u} y \bar{a} k!' a^{\bar{u}} n \hat{u}\) that smallest one 88.12
A very important example of idiomatic phraseology is the (colloquial) use of the singular number for the plural. It will he remembered that Siuslaw has only two suffixes expressing plurality, neither of which is used consistently (see \(\$\) § 79, 80). in many cases the adverb \(y \bar{a}^{a} x a^{i}\) mocir, many (see § 121), the numeral particle \(h a^{i \prime} m \bar{u} t\) all (see § 124) or the stem \(L!a^{\prime a i}\) place, world (see § 1:33), is employed for the purpose of denoting plural subjects and objects, and, while these stems, are at times used in conjunction with one of the plural suffixes, they more frequently express plurality without the aid of these suffixes; that is to say, the verb is more often used in the singular form.
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) L! \(a^{\prime a i}\) hātc y ŷ̂ra' \(y \bar{u} n\) he saw many people \(\tau 0.2\)
\(y \bar{a}^{a^{\prime}} x a^{i}\) lute ptna \({ }^{i} t x\) hal many people were sorry 15.4
\(h a^{i^{\prime}} m \bar{u} t\). . . \({ }^{i} k w a^{a^{\prime}}\) all get it \(8 ? .6\)
 those people 10.9
Very often, however, the singular number has a plural function, even without the aid of any of these particles, as may be seen from the following examples:
\(s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a l^{i} t\) ' \(a^{i \prime}\) te ta \(a^{i} y a x\) thus eat those who lived here S\%. 12
\({ }^{u} l t_{q} a^{u}\) witic tay \(a^{i}\) they lived up stream \({ }^{2} \cdot .12,1\),
\({ }^{u} t^{2}\) tem \(^{u} w a^{i \prime}\) sqaik they assemble there \(52.21,22\)
\(c^{-1} n^{a} x t c y a^{\prime} x a^{\bar{z}}\) ants ya \(a^{\varepsilon k^{2}, y_{z}}\) three were the seals (literally, three his
number, that seal) 62.1 i, 17

sín \(n^{i} x y \bar{u} n Z_{q}!\bar{u}^{\prime} n \bar{u}\) they wanted (to buy) hides 100.15
h \(\bar{q}\) ! 'aha \(a^{\bar{u}}\) ' \(n \hat{\imath}\) ants \(x t^{\prime} n h a^{i}\) hai'tsī nothing lout dentaliar shells these (people) bet 78.14
Another peculiar idiomatic expression is found in the mamer of expressing an act performed hy two subjects, hoth of whom are mentioned. This is usually done by adding the subjeetive pronoun for
\(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40 , 1t \(2-12-39\)
the third person dual \(-a^{u} x\) (see § 24) to one of the subjects, using the other in its absolutive form. The noun taking the pronominal suffix occurs invariably in its diseriminative form (see § 111). It is not absolutely necessary that these two subjects should follow each other in immediate succession.
\(s^{E} a^{\prime}\) tsatc nîctcîma \({ }^{\varepsilon} m \bar{u}\) te sqū \(m a^{\prime}\) wa'nwîts lq!al \(\bar{o} a^{\prime} m a^{u} x\) thus was long ago the custom of pelican and sea-gull (literally, thus his custom, [of] this pelican long ago, [of] sea-gull, [of] them two) 48.4, 5
\(q w a^{\prime} t x a^{i} t \sin n \hat{l} l \cdot a^{\prime} w a^{u} x t a^{i}\) beaver and muskrat lived 48.6
\(s^{E} a t s \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t c^{w} a x\) halk! \(m \bar{a}^{\prime} q^{u_{L}} t_{E} u m a^{\prime} t \imath^{w} a x\) thus is told the story of Crow and Thunder (literally, thus their two, story, Crow [of] this [and] this Thunder [of them two] 38.18
 child lived together (literally, old woman, her grandchild, they two, lived together) 96.15
\({ }^{u} l a^{u} x\) stīm \(q a^{\prime}\) txast ants \(t_{E x m} \bar{u}^{\prime} n \hat{\imath} q a y \bar{u}^{\prime} t c^{E} t c^{w} a x\) they two there commenced to cry that man and his wife (literally, they two, there, commenced to cry, that man, his wife, they two) 58.17, 18 Lx \(a^{u} y a x a^{\bar{u} \prime} n \hat{\imath}\) ants \(p_{E n \hat{\imath}}\) 's tsī\(k!y a^{u} x x a \bar{u}^{\prime}\) sî'n \(n^{i} x y \bar{u} n\) ants plnast (he and) that other skunk very much they two wanted (that) that sick man (should) die 86.18, 19

An idiomatic expression of irregular occurrence is the formation of the imperative mode of a verb that is preceded by the stem hau- ro stor. Such a phrase consists of the imperative form of the verb ro stop followed by the demonstrative pronoun \(s^{E} \dot{d}\), and of the past tense of the verbal stem that expresses the prohibited action.
ha' \(\bar{u} m s^{E} a n x ~ q \bar{a}^{\prime} t x y a x\) quit erying! (literally, stop, this one you [who] has been erying)
\(h a^{\prime} u \bar{u} s^{E} a n x\) tsī'L! yax stop shooting!
\(h a^{\prime} \bar{u} m s^{E} a n x q \bar{a}^{\prime} L x y a x\) stop counting!
The verb expressing the prohibited action may sometimes occur without the suffix for the past tense.
lia'umatcô \(s^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} t c \hat{\imath}\) waana'wa stop talking to one another!
\(h a^{\prime} \bar{u} m s^{E} a n x c^{u} x \bar{u}^{\prime} y \bar{u} n t_{E} k \bar{o}^{\prime} \tan\) stop scaring these horses!
As the last instance of idiomatic phraseology may be mentioned the use of the durative as a negative imperative, a use that has been fully discussed in \(\S \S 40,60\), and 61.

\title{
TEXTS
}

\section*{The Deatil of Grizzly Bear \({ }^{1}\)}


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Leo J. Frachteuberg, Lower Lmpqua Texts, Columbia University Contributions to Anthropology, Vol. IV, pp. 15 et seq.
\({ }^{2}\) Temporal adverb ( \(\$ 120\) ).
\({ }^{3}\) Modal adverb (§ 121).
- See § 133.
\({ }^{5} \mathrm{k} / \bar{i} x \mathrm{EaCh}\), Every (§§ 124, 2); -ū local suffix of rest (§ 91).
\({ }^{5} L!\left(a^{\prime} a i\right.\) particle ( \(\$ 133\) ); -a locative case ( \(\{\mathfrak{j} 86,8\) ).
\({ }^{7}\) Conjunction (§ 125).
\({ }^{8}\) Demonstrative pronoun ( \(\$ 115\) ).
\({ }^{9}\) hiq!- to start, to commence (§ 108); -yax past tense (§ 74 ).
10 Discriminative form of mi'k!a (§ 111).
\({ }^{11}\) Discriminative form of hitc Person (§ 111).
12 lutt'- to eat (§ 12): -ai verbalizing (§ 75 ); -un direct object of third person ( \(\S \S 25,8\) ).
\({ }^{13}\) Discriminative form of swal Grizzey bear ( \(\$ 111\) ).
\({ }^{14}\) Transposed from paLnai' ( \(\$ 14\) ); paLn- тO HONT; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ 75\) ).
\({ }^{15}\) qaten- to GO, to start; -ai verbalizing ( \(\S \S 75,136\) ).
16 Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).
\({ }^{17}\) L!xmai- то Kill; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ \S 75,9,2\) ); -ūs durative ( \((\S 569,8\) ).
13 litt!- то еат; - \(a^{i}\) verbalizing ( \(\S \S 75,2\) ); -us durative ( \((\S 69,8\) ).
19 Modal adverb (§ 121).
\({ }^{29} p l n\) - TO BE SICK; -aït. suffix indicating that object forms nu inseparable part of the subject ( \(\$ 3: 3\) ).
\({ }^{21}\) Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).
22 temü- to assemble; -tx plural (§ 80).
\({ }^{23}\) hītc Person; -üu plural (§ 79).
21 sin.xi- to WANT, to desire; - \(\bar{u} u\) plural ( \(\S \S \frac{79}{}, \S\) )
\({ }^{2}{ }^{2} x \bar{a} L!-\) TO MAKE, TO FLX; -
\({ }^{26}\) Temporal adverb (§ 120).
\({ }^{27}\) 2aū- TO DIE; -aau future passive ( \(\$ 556, *\) ).
\({ }^{23}\) Modal adverb ( \(\S 121\) ); \(a^{\prime}\) tsa ul for tinat reasus ( ( 125).
29 Temporal particle (§ 126).
\({ }^{20}\) hitc person; -uwi plural (§79).
\({ }^{31}\) wad- to spfak; -aït. frequentative ( \(\S \S 68,9\) ).
32 ma \(\bar{a}^{\prime} t \bar{\imath}\) Chief (§ 98); - \(\bar{u} \cdot u\) plural ( \(\S \S 79,8\) ).
\({ }^{33}\) Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).
\({ }^{34}\) Abbreviated; for pla'ntxanxan; pln- тo besscí ( \(\$ 112\) ); -tx suftix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the subject (\$33); -nxan exclusive plural ( \(\$ 921,4\) ).

35 nîctca particle (§ 131); -nt inelusive plural ( \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}24 \\ 24\end{array}\right)\).
\({ }^{56}\) Particle ( \((127\) ).
\({ }^{27}\) 2‘aū- TO DIE (§ 112); -ūn direct object of third person (§2s).
}


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{39}\) Particle of negation（§ 131）．
\({ }^{39}\) xan̄－TO DE；－īl negative（ \(\S \S 53,8\) ）．
\({ }^{40} t s i^{\prime} L!\bar{\imath}\) arrow（§ 98）；－itc adverbial（§§ 94，9，12）．
\({ }^{41} a^{\prime}\) tsa THUs（§ 121）；－\(n\) lst person singular（§ 21 ）．
42 ul THEN（§ 125）；－n 1st person singular（§ 24）．\(a^{\prime}\) tian uln FOR THAT REASON（（§ 125）．Singular in－ stead of plural（\＄139）．Shonld have been a＇teanxan ulenxan．
\({ }^{43} \sin x \bar{i}-\) TO DEsife（§ 4）；\(-\bar{u} n\) direct object of third person（ \(\S(21,28,5\) ）．
\({ }^{44}\) L’mai－TO KILL；－aau future passive（ \(\$ 556,8\) ）．
\({ }^{45}\) waa－TO SAY；－t．plural（§ 80）．

\({ }^{47}\) Particle（§ 131）．
\(43 t\)－（？）TO LIVE，TO Reside；－ai verbalizing（§ 75）．
49 \(t \bar{u}^{\prime} n\)－TO INvite；－aau future passive（§ 56 ）．
\({ }^{50}\) quaten－TO START，TO GO（§ 4 ）；\(-t x\) plural（§ 80）．
6）\(k\) ：\(\imath \imath n k \cdot i-\) TO GO AND LOOK；－\(t ' \bar{u} w i\) nominal（ \(\$ 99\) ）．
52 Liū－TO COME，TO APPROACH（ \(\S \S 107,112\) ）；－x（1m present passive（ \(\S \S 55\) ）．
\({ }^{53}\) Līu－TO ARRIVE，TO COME；－ \(\bar{u} n\) direct object of third person（ \(\$ \S 29,10\) ）．

\({ }^{55}\) Contructed；for al twa＇ưaxanx（§9）；\(a^{\prime} t \cdot d \bar{t}\) LIKEWISE（§§ 125，135）；－awax intentional（§§ 70，8）；－nx 24 person singular（§§ 24．4）．
\({ }^{56}\) hütcū＇u FUN（§97）；－üs locative casc（§§ 86,9\()\) ；－tc local（§90）．
\({ }^{57}\) L！áa i GROUND（ \(\$ 153\) ）；－a locative case（ \(\$ 86,8\) ）；－tc local（ \(\$ 90\) ）．
\({ }^{58} a^{\prime} m h a\) whithisg；－tc possessive 38 person singular（§ 88 ）．
to tcaxu－To TVRN BACK ；－t present（§§－2，2）．
\({ }^{60}\) Demonstrative pronoun（\＄115）．

\({ }^{62}\) L．＇ひわ＂TORELATE（§112）．
63 hūtc－TO P玉Aぞ；－üu nominal（§ 97）．
\({ }^{6}\) tEmū－TO ASSEMBLE；－ \(\bar{u} x i\) nominal（§§ 97，9）．
6i waa－TO SPEAK，TO say；－ram present passive（§ 55）．
\({ }^{66}\) Demonstrative pronoun（ \(\$ 115\) ）．
\({ }^{67}\) quten－TO GO（ \(\ddagger 4\) ）；－tūx fu士ure（§ 73）．
Gs kumi＇ntc Not（§131）；－nt inclusive plural（§§ 24，4）．
\({ }^{*}\) anx－TO GIVE If；－yūn exhortative with direct object of third person（ \(8 \$ 41,112\) ）．
\({ }^{70}\) Femporal adverb（\＄1：0）．
\({ }^{31}\) xaū－TO DIE；－tūx filure（§ 73）．
72 u THEN（§ 125）；－nl inclusive plural（§5 24，4）．

\({ }^{7}\) Li＇ōr－TO TELL，TO SAY；－itx frequentative（§ 68）；－ā̃＇nE passive（§ 58 ）．
}


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{75} L!\bar{o} x\) - To SEND; -xam present passive ( \(\$ \S 5,5,4\) ).
\({ }^{i s}\) Modal adverb (§§ 121, 94).
" tsinq!- to be poor: - \(t\) nominal (§ 104).

\({ }^{79}\) ! \({ }^{\prime} n-\) TO TELL (§ 112) ; -is durative (§ 69); -ün direct object ú thin? jernen (§ 2§).
\({ }^{\text {en }} k \bar{u} \bar{i}^{i}\) Not (§ 131); \(-n \times 2\) d person singular ( \(\S 24\) ).
\({ }^{81}\) nî́ctca what ( \(\$ 131\) ); -ìtc modal ( \(\$ \S 94,9\) ).
82 mík'a EAD (§ 96): -'na modal (§ 94).
 singular (§§ 24, 4).
 Table, p. 473).
\({ }^{\varepsilon_{5}}\) Līū- то come; -tūx future (§ 73).
\({ }^{58}\) Local adverb (\$119).
87 tsi'k!ya Very ( \(\$ 121\) ); -nzan exclusive phural ( \(\$ 24\) ).
\({ }^{88} h u ̈ t c-\) to play, TO Have fun; - aqu future parsive ( \(\oint\{6\) ).
\({ }^{89}\) atsi'tc thuts ( \(\$(121,94\) ); -nx 2nd person singular ( \((\$ 21,4\) ).
\({ }^{80} y_{j} \tilde{a}^{\prime} x a^{i}\) much (§ \(1: 1\) ); -te possessive 3 rd person singular (§ \(x \varsigma\) ).
\({ }^{91}\) qaten- to START (§ 4); - \(t\) present (§ 72 ).

( \(\S \S 24,4\) ).
\({ }_{03}\) tā̃ \({ }^{k}\) THiS (§ 115); -n 1st person singular ( \(\S \S 24,4\) ).
94 wilū- TO AFF1PM, TO AGREE, TO ASSENT; -ai verbalizing ( \(\S \S-5, \delta\) ).

96 waa- тo SAy; -yax past (§ 74); -aüts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29).
\({ }^{97} L^{i} \bar{u}-\) то CoMe; -yaz past (§ 74).
\({ }^{98}\) waa- то Say; -at negative ( \(\$ \S 53,9\) ).
99 t.xū Just (§ 130);-n 1st person singular (§ 21).


102 atsi'tc тнUS ( \(\$ \S 121,94\) ); \(-n\) 1st person singrular ( \((\S 824,4\) ).
 and Table, p. 450).
io4 tei'híya very (§ 121); -nx 3d persen phinral (§24).
}


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{105} L^{\bar{i}} \bar{u} \bar{u}-\) то come; -awax intentional ( \(\$\{70,8\) ).
\(106 s^{E}\) atsítc THUS ( \(\$ \S 121,94\) ); \(-n\) 1st person singular ( \(\$ \S 24,4\) ).
\({ }^{107}\) Contracted; for \(L\) !owa'xa.c (§ 24); \(L!\overline{0} x-\) To SEND ( \(\$ 112\) ); -ax nominal ( ( 101).
108 waa- TO SAY; -ai verbalizing ( \(\S \S 75,9\) ).
\(109 t E^{\prime} q\) pronominal particle ( \(\S 123\) ); \(-n\) 1st person singular ( \(\S 24,4\) ).
110 wax- TO GIVE; - \(\alpha \bar{u} m E\) passive (§ 38 ).
\(11 k!a^{\prime}-\) To invite (§ 3 ); -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ū'nE passive ( \((\S 55,8\) ).
112 waa- то say; -aūine passive (\$58).
\({ }^{113}\) Restrictive particle (§ 130).
14 hūtc- to have fun; -ūu plural (\$79).
\({ }^{115} t \bar{a} a k\) THIS (§ 115); \(-n x 2\) d person singular ( \(\$ \S 24,16\) ).
116 sinna:i- TO Desire; -ūtne passive ( \(\$ \S 5 \Omega, 8\) ).
\({ }^{117}{ }_{g}{ }^{E}\) atsiztc THUS ( \(\$ \S 121,94\) ); -nx 2d person singular ( \(\$ \S 21,4\) ).
\({ }^{1: 8}\) L!ōn- TO Relate (§ 112); -iss durative ( \(\$ 69\) ).

120 Pronominal particle (§123).
\({ }^{121}\) Mis-heard for wácxaxaime; wāx- To give; -yax past denoting conditionality (§§ 74, 136); -ā̄me passive (§ 35 ).
\({ }^{122}\) uaz-TO SAY; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ 575,9\) ) ; rüts direct object of first and second persons ( \(\$ 29\), Table, p. 466, § 8).
\({ }^{123}\) Numeral (§ 116).
\({ }^{124}\) sinxiz- To Desire ( \(\$ \S 112,8\) ).
\({ }_{125}\) Particle (§ 128).
\(126 k\) : \(i x-\) тo DISAPPEAR; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ 75\) ); \(-\bar{u} n\) direct object of third person ( \(\S \S 28,8\) ).
127 naqq!- To DaNce; -in verbal ( \(\$ \S 81,2\) ); -aau passive ( \(\$ 56\) ).
128 hūya- To Change; -ülte passive (§ 39).
 tative (§68); -un direct object of third person ( \(£ 528,8\) ).
\({ }^{130}\) hal- mind, ineart ( \(\S 98\) ); -ic posseswive 3d person singular ( \(\$ 888,139\) ).
\({ }_{131}\) wax- TO GIVE; -y/ax past denoting eonditionality ( \(\$ \S 74,135\) ), -aūme passive (§ 38).
1.2 waa- TO SAY;-aüts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29 and Table, p. 480).
}


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{133}\) Temporal particle ( \(\$ 126\) ).
\({ }^{134}\) waa- то SAy; -ais durative ( \(\S \S 69,9\) ); -ūn direct object of third person ( \(\S 28\) ).
135 wax- To GIVE; -ai verbalizing ( \(\S 75\) ); -ime passive ( \(\S 38,8\) ); -nx 2 d person singular (§ 24).
\({ }^{136}\) L!ōn- тo relate; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ 75\) ); -ūn direet object of third person ( \(\$ \S 23,8\) ).
\({ }^{107}\) Lî'mqa RIGHT AWAY ( \(\$ \S 120,96\) ); -nx \(2 d\) person singular ( \(\$ 24\) ).

139 cinxī- To think (§ 4); -at.ì frequentative ( \(\$ \S 68,8,7\) ).
140 L! \(\bar{o} x\) - To Send; -aau future passive ( \(\$ 56\) ).
\({ }^{141} q\) - discriminative ( \(\S 21\) ); na'han personal pronoun 1st singular (§ 113).
142 waa- тo say; - \(a^{i}\) verbalizing ( \(\S 75\) ); - \(\bar{u} n\) direct object of third person ( \(\S \S 23,8\) ).

14 Temporal adverb (§ 120).
145 tsī̌k!ya very ( \(\S \S 121,96\) ); -nx 2d person singular ( \(\S 24\) ).
\(148 \sin x \bar{i}-\) to desire (§ 4); \(-\bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) passive (§§ 58,8 ).
147 Temporal adverb (§ 120 ).
148 smūt'- To END, TO FINISH; -tūx future ( \(\S \S 73,4)\).
\(1 \cdot 19\) waa- To SAy; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ütne passive ( \(\S(52,8\) ).
 (§88); -nx 2d person singular ( \(\S \S 24,4\) ).
\({ }^{151}\) Particle of negation (§ 131).
\({ }^{152} a^{\prime} m h a\) willing; - \(a \bar{\imath} t \imath \imath\) possessive ( \(£ \$ 8,9\) ).
\({ }^{153}\) waa- тo Say; -a \(n\) direct object of third per*on (§ 28 ).
\({ }^{154} y \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} x a^{i}\) such ( \(\$ 121\) ); -t.x suffix indicating that object formy an inseparable part of the subject (§ 33 ), \(-n\) 1st person singular ( \(§ \$ 24,4\) ).
\(155 \delta^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) THUS (§ 121); - \(n\) 1st person singular (§ 24).
}


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{156}\) Dubitative particle (§ 127).
\({ }^{157}\) xaū-то die (§ I12).
\({ }^{15 s}\) ul then (§ 125); \(-n\) 1st person singular (§ 24): \({ }^{\text {E }}\) Ea'tsa \(^{\prime}\) ul that's why.

150 txū JUST (§ 130); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).
\({ }^{161}\) yax- TO SEE (§ I12).
\(162 s^{E} a^{\prime} t s a\) tuus (§ 121): -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).
\({ }^{163}\) hĩ̀is GOOD; -a modal (§ 96),
\({ }^{16}\) haú- то make, to finlse; -ai verbalizing ( \((\S 85,8\) ); -ime passive ( \(\S \S 38,8\) ).
\(16 \delta t E^{*} q\) something (§ 123); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24,4 ).
168 laku - TO TAKE, TO FETCH ( \(\$ 12\) ); -ai verbalizing ( \(\S \S \%\), 8 ); -ūts direct objẹt of first and second persons (§ 29, Table, p. 450 and § 8).
\({ }^{167} q\) - discriminative (§ 21); nà personal pronoun 1 st singular (§ 113).
168 Modal adverb (§§ 121, 96).
160 wax- to give; -ā̄me passive ( \(\$ 38\) ); -nx 2d person singular ( \(\mathbf{s} 24\) ).
170 níctcim BECAUSE ( \(\$ 128\) ); - \(-3 x\) 2d person singular ( \(\$ \$ 24,4\) ).
\({ }^{1 ⁄ 1}\) nà pervonal pronoun ist singular ( \((113)\); \(-E m l\) relative ( \((\$ 87,9\) ).
\({ }^{172}\) Līū- то come; -ūts direct object of first and second persons ( \(\$ 29\), Table, p 4S0, § 10).
\({ }^{173} \operatorname{sinxi}\) - TO Desire; -üteanc direct object of first and second persons I-thee ( \(\S 29\), Table, p. 473, § 8).
\({ }^{174}\) hütc- TO PLAY; -awax intentional (§ 70).
\({ }^{175}\) Līu- to a Prioach (§ 107); -t present (§ 72); -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29 and Table, p. 480).
\({ }^{176} s^{E}\) atsi'tc THUS ( \(\$ \S 121,94\) ); -in possessive 1st singular (§ 88).
\({ }_{177}\) Objective form of personal pronoun 2d singular (§ I13).
179 Personal pronoun 1st singular (§113).
\({ }^{179}\) Particle of affirmation (§131).

181 sinxi- TO DESIRE; -ūts direct object of first and second persons ( \(\$ 529,8\), Table, p. 480 ).
}


 "Yes, start will I now. Not now something, die

if will I." Thus he sars and starts. "Not perhaps
 now thus. Go wilt thou?" Thus he tells him. "Very
 thee I like I-thee. Alsoshalt thou fun to." Thus
waa'ūn. \({ }^{192}\) "Qa'tcintūxan \({ }^{185}\) wàn." \({ }^{29}\) uf wàn \({ }^{28}\) qa'teint. \({ }^{91} \mathrm{Ci}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 1^{i} \underset{\text { º }}{ }\) he tells him.
"Go will I now." Then finally hestarts. Keeps
yat!īs \({ }^{193}\) ants \({ }^{60}\) híte \(\not a^{\prime} k^{u} t!w i \hat{1}^{194} \quad S^{\text {Eatsíte }}{ }^{61}\) cî́nixyat!īs. \({ }^{193}\)
on thinking that man fetcher. Thus bethinkscontinually.
 Go they two now. "Apprach, man- now go. So they tro thus ner oi, they two
 are told. "Come they two now. He brings him now this bad
hītc." Tc!ha \({ }^{4}\) cya'xam \({ }^{200}\) wàn. \({ }^{28}\) Wian \({ }^{29}\) teiln. T!emt!ma'xam \({ }^{201}\) wim. \({ }^{29}\) man." Gladness wasfelt now. Finally he lie is asembled about now. returns.
 "Very thou good this thon comest, \{riend. Play will we much."

\footnotetext{
182 Particle ( \({ }^{1}\) 131).

 (§§ 24, 4).
\({ }^{186}\) qaten- то GO (§ 4); -tūx future (§ 73); -n Ist person singular (§§ 2\{, 4).

\({ }^{187} q\) - discriminative (§ 21); nixats personal pronoun 21] singular (§ II3).
188 atsítc thus ( \(\$ \mathbf{j} 121,94\) ); \(-\bar{i} n\) possessive lst singular ( \(\$ \mathrm{~h} 4\) ).

190 hīq.' TO START, to COMMENCE; - \({ }^{i}\) verbalizing ( \(\$ \S 75,9\) ); -t present (§ 72).
 singular (§§̃ 24, 4).
192 waa- тo say; -un direet object of third person (§ 28).

194 laku- то таке, то Fetch; -t'wi nominal (§ 100).
195 qaten- TO GO (§4);-t present (§ 72); -aux 3d person dual (§ 24).
\({ }^{196}\) Lī̀u- то APPROACH; -itc modal ( \(\$ \S 91,8\) ); wax transposed for -aux 3 dual ( \(\$ \S 21,13\),
197 ul THEN (§ 125); -aux 3d dual (§ 24).
198 xumc- TO APPROACH, TO COME (§ 108); -aux 3 d dual ( \(\$ 24\) ).
199 hin- то take along; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ 75\) ); -ūn disect object of third person ( \(\$ \S 2 \varsigma, 8\) ).
\({ }^{200}\) Abbreviated; for tc! haucyaxam (§15); tc'hacu- TO FEEL G1.AD (§ I2); -yax pat (§74); -zam Jresent passive ( \(\$ 8.55,15\) ).
\({ }_{201}\) tEmū -TO ASSEMBLE (§ 107); -xam present passive ( \(\$ 55\) ).

203 yāax- MUCH; - \(a\) modal (§96).
}

```

    Thus sayg that man. Assemble (pl.) people those many. Although
    ```

```

    many those people, still all go now thereto, manner, that crowd.
    ```

```

    Iscalled con- that man. "Very good this thou comest. Much we
    tinually
    ```

```

havefun. Play will we two." - "All right!" Thus says that man.

```


```

    repeatedly told that man. Long ago it is ready. It is desired
    ```

```

    pitch with killedheshall when sleeper he Thus it is agreed. "Friend,
    be, will be.
    ```

```

    not thou sleep con- Play will we two." Thus he is repeatedly told. "Thins thou
        tinually.
    ```

```

this thou artinvited." Thus he is told continu- Many they

```

```

    different (of) inhabitants games. Each some- fun
    ${ }^{\text {ul }} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nx}}{ }^{225}$ yîxa'yūn. ${ }^{226} \quad \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{a}^{\prime} \operatorname{tsan} \mathrm{X}^{162}$ tanx ${ }^{115}$ k!aha'yūne. ${ }^{111}$ Tsīk!y-
and thou seest it. Thus thou this thou art invited. Very
anxan ${ }^{87}$ hī'sitīi ${ }^{227}$ ha' ${ }^{1}$. Kumî'nte ${ }^{38}$ téq ${ }^{120}$ mík!a'na. ${ }^{122}$ Atsistc ${ }^{73}$
we good is (our) beart. Not something badly," Thus

```

```

he is repeatedly told. Whatever person comes, so thus he frequently was $\begin{gathered}\text { told (by him). }\end{gathered}$

```

\footnotetext{
204 Particle ( \(\$ 128\) ).
\({ }^{205}\) Numeril particle (§ 124).
\({ }^{206}\) sqaik there (§ 119); -tc local of motion ( \(\S 90\) ); -itc modal ( \((94\) ).
\({ }^{207}\) l \(n\) - To Call by name; -isṻtne durative passive (§ 59).
\({ }^{208}\) yäax- mucr; -a modal (§96); -nxan exclusive plural (§ 24).
\({ }^{209}\) hütc- to play, to have fun; -ūi verbalizing (§ 75 ).
\({ }^{210}\) Contracted; for hü'tcturans (§ 24); hülc- то Play; -tūx future (§ 73); -ns inclusive dual (§§ 24, 4).
\({ }^{21}\) Contracted; for \(y a^{\prime} q u h i t u \bar{x} a n x\) (§ 24); yaqu'- TO LOOK (§3); -ai verbalizing (§§ 75,2 ); -tūx future (§ 73); -nx 2 d person singular ( \(\S \S 24,4\) ).
\({ }^{212}\) a 48 - To SIEEP ( \(\$ 12\) ); -is durative (§ 69).
213 waa- то SAy; -aīsünE durative passive ( \(\delta \$ 59,9\) ).
\(2 t / h a u ̈-T O\) MaKe, to have ready (§ 112).
215 ts.'aln P1TCH; -a locative case ( \(\$ \S 86,12\) ); -tc adverbial ( \(\$ 90\) ).

\({ }^{217} h a \bar{u}\) Yes (§ 131); -us durative ( \(\$ \S 69,9\) ); -imE passive (§ 38).
\({ }^{215} k!a^{\prime}-\) то INvITE; (§3); - \(\alpha\) i verbalizing (§ 75 ); -utne passive (§§ 58,8 ).
\({ }^{219}\) waa- To speak; -ainsutne durative passive ( \(\$ \$ 59,9\) ).
\({ }^{220}\) nictcamai'nat'- DIFFERENT; -uwi plural (§ 79).
\({ }^{2 n 1}\) tai- To LIVE (§ 2); -űwi nominal ( \(\S \S 97,8\) ).
\({ }^{222} h u ̄ t c-\) TO PJAY, to HaYe FUN; -ūwi nominal (§97).
\({ }^{223}\) Numeral particle (§ 124).
\({ }^{224}\) hütc- TO HAVE FCN; -ai nominal (\$98).
223 ut then ( \(\$ 125\) ); -nx 2 d person singular ( \(\$ \$ 21,4\) ).
\({ }^{226}\) yax- To see (§ 12); -ai verbalizing (§75); -inn direct object of third person ( \(\$ 828,8\) ).
\({ }^{227}\) hīs GOOD; -îtī possessive (\$88).
\({ }^{229}\) pronominal particle ( (\$123).
\({ }^{229}\) Liūü-To conse; -ai verbalizing ( \(£ \S 75,8\) ).

}


\footnotetext{
231 Temporal adverb (§ 120).
\({ }_{232} x n \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} w n-\) то DO; -īs durative ( \(\$ 69\) ).
\({ }_{233}{ }_{s} E^{\prime}\) 'tsa- Tifus ( \(\S \S 121,96\) ); -nxan exclusive plural ( \(\$ 24\) ).
\(234 \mathrm{k}!a^{\prime}\) - To invite (§ 3 ); -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ 75\) ); -ūts direct object of first and second persons ( \(\$ 29\),
Table, p. 450, § 8 ).
\({ }^{235}\) hīn-to take along; -aau future passive (§ 56 ).
\({ }_{2}^{236}\) Particle (§ 131).
\({ }^{237}\) Local adverb (§ 119).
\({ }^{238}\) maltc- To BURN: \(-\bar{u}^{\prime} n E\) passive (§58).
\({ }^{239}\) See § 98.
\({ }^{240}\) Sce § 104.
241 hitư's loeative form of hitc (§ 86); -tc adverbial (§90).
\({ }_{22}\) Loeal adverb (§ 119).
243 yequ*- то Look (§ 3); -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 9); -t present (§ 72).
244 tizk here ( \((119\) ); -nxan exclusive plural ( \(\$ 924,4\) ).
245 tãak tHis (§ 115); -nxan exclusive plural (§§ 24, 16).
248 Local adverb (§ 119).
\({ }^{247}\) tai \({ }^{\text {to }}\) sit (§ 2); -xam present pasqive (§55).
\({ }^{2 ; 8}\) Līya'aū FIRE ( \(\$ 97\) ); -a locative case ( \(\$ 88,8\) ); to loeal ( \(\$ 90\) ).
\({ }^{24} 9\) See § 97


\({ }^{252}\) Contracted from Līwa'zaxanx (§21); Lāū-то come; -avcux intentional ( \(\S \S 70, \$\) ); nx 2 d person
singular (§§ 24, 4).
253 tsǐk:! ya VERY (§ 121); - \(n\) 1st person singular ( \(\$ 2 \mathrm{t}\) ).

\({ }_{255} L\) ! \(a^{\prime} a\) i particle ( \(\$ 133\) ); - a docative case ( \(\$ \$ 86,8\) ).
\({ }^{256}\) waa- To sax; -ais durative ( \(£ \S 69,9\) ).
\({ }^{257}\) Lìya'aū Fine ( \(\$ 97\) ); -a locative case ( \(\$ \S 86,8\) ).

}

Wusya'a'sts \({ }^{253}\) ants \({ }^{60}\) mīkla hitc. L!in!!wísūtne \({ }^{260}\) wàn. \({ }^{20}\) "Kwīnx \({ }^{80}\) Beginstofeel that bad man. lle is continually now. "Not thou approached

always sleep, always look thou.
Līwa'wanx. \({ }^{252}\) Kwīn \({ }^{80}\)
intend to come tholl. Not thou
\(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{t} \operatorname{san} \times \mathrm{an}^{202}\)
Thus we
\(a^{12} \operatorname{Sis},{ }^{212}\)
sleep always,
ta'nxan \({ }^{245}\)
these we
waa'yūts \({ }^{122}\) tell we-thee

\author{
Atsis \({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{cc}^{73}\)
} Thus
 this thon art invited. Grodwe make our heart." Begins to feelsleery
 that badman. He is constantly watched. Is made ready for him that piteh.

That with killed he will be. He is eonstantly
those dancers many.
 "Shore-ilike from, friend, thou masest zet burned." Thus he is constantly told.
 "Not thou al ways sleep friend." - "Begin to feel sleepy I." Dance (pl.)
 many. He sleeps. "Shore-ilike from, mayest get burned Gladness is eonstantiy thou."
felt.
 Thus killed he will be. Ine begins to sleep finally, Thus he is constantly told,
 he is shaken con- "Shore-like from, mayest get burned stantly. Not he moves not.
thou."

So finally is brought in that boiled pitch. Dance (pl.)

many. "Shore-like from friend." Not wakes up not, very

he sleeps. Thus he says. "Leave alone you-me. A while intend I sleep intend."

\footnotetext{



\(2 \in 2 a^{\prime} t s a\) Thus ( \(\$ 121\) ); -nean exclusive plural (§ 24).
\({ }^{263} h \bar{z}\) is GOOD; -nxan exclusive plural ( \(\$ \S 24,4\) ).
\(2 u^{2} h a \bar{u}-\) To make; -aitx suffix indicating that object formsan inseparable part of the subject ( \(\$ 833,8\) ).
265 Sce \(\$ 95\).
\({ }^{266} h c^{\prime} u ̈ s\) ready, done; -ime passive (§ 38 ).
\({ }^{267} \delta^{E} E^{i}\) na 11 E , that one (§ 115 ): -tc adverbial (§ 90 ).

\({ }^{269}\) maq.! \(\mathrm{\imath}-\mathrm{TO}\) DANCE; - -u \(u\) nominal ( \(\S 997,8\) ).
270 ha iq SHORE (§ 110); -aītc modal (§ 94); -ya local (§ 93).
\({ }^{211}\) moltc- TO BURN ( \(\S 12\) ); -ixm intransitive exhortative ( \(\$ 63\) ); -i future passive ( \(\S \S 56,9\) ); -nx 2 d person singular (\$ 24).

273 asu- TO SLEEP ( \(\S \S 12,108\) ).
\({ }^{274}\) tc! hacu- To BE GLAD (§ 12) ; -isülne durative passive (§59).
276 cil \(x\) - TO SHAKE, TO MOVE; -isütne durative passive ( \(\$ 59\) ).
\({ }^{276}\) cil'x- то mOVE, To shake; -il negative (§ 53).
277 gaa- тo ester; -xam present passive (§ 55 ).

\({ }^{279} \mathrm{kwis}\) - TO WAKE UP; -il negative (§53).
280 anx- TO LET AloNe; -aütsatci direct object of firstand second persons you-me ( \(\S 29\), Table, p. 473, and
§§ 24, 4).

\(22_{2}\) asu- TO sLeep (§ 12); -awax intentional (§ 70).
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { up } \\
& \text { Then }
\end{aligned}
\]} & Wàn \({ }^{29}\) & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{cín' \(^{1}\) xyaxam \({ }^{283}\) it was thought}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { s }^{\mathrm{E}} \text { atsí }{ }^{\prime} \text { tc: }{ }^{01} \\
\text { thus: }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
{ }^{\prime \cdot} \mathrm{Q}^{2 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}\}^{284}}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Wàn }{ }^{23} \\
\text { now }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\mathfrak{a}^{4 \prime}\) stūx." \({ }^{285}\) he sleep shall."}} \\
\hline & now & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Ea'quîs \({ }^{286}\)
Boils con-}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ants }^{60} \\
\text { that }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Atsíte } \\
\text { Thus }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { waa' Xam, }{ }^{65} \\
\text { it is said, }
\end{gathered}
\]}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { "(Qailess } \\
\text { "Let }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 wìn \({ }^{29}\) xū \({ }^{u} n\).
now be snores.
 All many something is seized. Axes areseizel.
Kā'stitūx, \({ }^{289}\) uł txū \({ }^{113}\) tcîmtca'myatc \({ }^{280}\) xama'a \({ }^{\text {u }}{ }^{27}\) up wàn \({ }^{29}\) xū \({ }^{u} n\). Get up will be, then just ax with silled he will be. And now he snores. Ek!a'atc \({ }^{291}\) Laa' xūun. uf Wàn \(^{29}\) haū'tx \({ }^{292}\) hītcū'u. \({ }^{23}\) Tsī'k!ya \({ }^{9}\) Open his mouth he snores. Then finally quit (pl.) people. Very
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline mā'nīsū'nc. \({ }^{293}\) & 'L!xmīya'y ūnanł. \({ }^{294}\) & \(S^{E} a^{\prime} s^{E} \mathrm{n}^{205}\) & k! \({ }^{\prime \prime}\) xa'yūts, \({ }^{288}\) \\
\hline - & "Kill him will we. & He & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
he is watched constantly.
"Kill him will we.
He
us kills he-us,
80
 thus we kill will him." Is seized now that boiled (pitch).

"Shore-like, from friend, mayest get buraed thou." Not hemoves not.


\footnotetext{
233 cinxi- то тнink (§ 4); -yax past (§§ 74, 8); -xam present passive ( \(\S \S 55,15\) ).
234 Exhortative particle (§ 129).
\({ }^{225} a s^{u}-\) TO SLEEP (§ 12); -tūx future ( 373 ).
\({ }^{256} \mathrm{l} \alpha q q^{u}\) - то воіL; -is durative ( \(\$ \S 69,8\) ).
 (§55).
\({ }^{285}\) Sce § 109.
\({ }^{239} \mathrm{kast}\) - To GET UP; -tūx future denoting conditionality ( \(\$ \S 73,136,4\) ).

29t \(l k\) :'ac- TO OPEN ONE's MOUTH; -tc possessive 3 d singular ( (8 8 8 ).
223 haü- то QUIT; -t.c plural (§ 80).
\({ }_{203}\) tcīmản- то WATCH; -īsưंne durative passive ( \(\$ 59\) ).
\({ }^{294} L!x m \bar{\imath}-\) To Kill; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ \$ 75,8\) );-yūn exhortative with direct object of third person ( \(\S \S 41,8\) ) ; -nt inclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).
\(25{ }_{s}{ }^{E} a^{\prime} s\) HE (§ 115); -nl inclusive plural ( \(\$ \$ 24,4\) ).
 ble, p. 480, and § 8).
\({ }_{27}^{27}{ }_{8}{ }^{2} \alpha^{\prime} t \mathrm{~s} a\) THUS ( (§ 121, 96); \(-n\) ? inclusive plural (§ 24).
 ( \(3 \S 41,9\) ).

300 tc! hacu- to Feel glad (§ 12); -üwi plural (§79.
\({ }^{301}\) Restrictive farticle ( \(\$ 130\) ).
322 maq!i- TO Dance; -in Jerbal (§§ 51, 9); -ütne pusive (§ 5 ).
}
 mind thus. Thus those dance (pl.) many. Then finally he stands up.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Laaya'tc! \({ }^{309}\) & uf & wàn \({ }^{20}\) & qū'ní'xamīme. \({ }^{310}\) & Txū \({ }^{113}\) & mî'ltcîstx \({ }^{311}\) & \\
\hline outh into!' & So & w & \(t\) is poured into & Just & begins to bur & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Stīm \({ }^{242}\) L! a \({ }^{\prime a i 4}\) ma'q \(^{\prime}!\bar{i} s .{ }^{312} \quad\) Mî'łtcîst \({ }^{313}\) ants \({ }^{60}\) hītc. Mîłtcîstx \({ }^{311}\) There many keep on dancing. Beginsto burn that man. Begins to burn his all hair. There finally was cutinto picees head his




\footnotetext{
\({ }^{203}\) skua'- To STAND ( \((\$ 33,112)\).
304 skua'- To stand (§ 3 ); -aūwi plural (§ 79).
\({ }^{20}\) tcimtca'mi \(\Delta \mathrm{X}\) (§ 109); -a locative case ( \(\$ \S 86,12,8\) ).

\({ }^{\text {a/7 }} q \bar{u} \cdot n\) - TO POUR ( \(§ \S, 112\) ); -yūx imperative with indirect object of third person (§ 43).
\({ }^{203}\) Exhortative particle (§ 129).
\({ }^{379}\) Laayá locative form of Laa' mouth ( \(\$ 86\) ); -tc local ( \(\$ 90\) ).
\({ }^{310} q u \bar{\prime} n\) - TO POUR; \(-\bar{\imath}(-a i)\) verbalizing ( \(\$ 575,2\) ); -xam present passive ( \(\$ 55\) ); -ime passive ( \(\$ 38\) ).
\({ }_{31}\) Contracted from mi'ttcistEtex (§ 15); maltc- To EURN (§ \(\delta\) ); -st inchoative (§§ 66, 4); -tx suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the subject (§ 33 ).
812 maq. \(\bar{\imath}-\) TO DANCE; -īs durative ( \(\S \S 69,9\) ).
\({ }^{313}\) maltc- to burn (§ 3); -st inchoative ( \(\$ \S 66,4\) ).
\({ }^{14}\) Contracted from yāk!'̄'tcyaxxam (§ 15); yāk!- sMall; -itc modal (§ 94 ; ; -yax past (§ 74); -xam present passive ( \(\S \S 55,57\) ).
\({ }^{315}\) xuāáka head; -tc possessive 3d singular (§ 88).

\({ }^{317}\) xaū- то L1e; -ai verbalizing ( \(\$ \S 75,2,8,11\) ); -xamyax past passive ( 357 ).
sı haū- TO END, TO FINish; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8).
\({ }^{219}\) Evidently for \(x a^{\prime}\) ūyaraūthE; xaū- To DIE; yax past denoting conditionality (§§ 74, 136); -aütnE passive (§58).
820 wā'nuîts long ago (§ 120); -ax nominal (§§ 101, 108).
\({ }^{221}\) See § 103.
\({ }_{523}\) gmūt' - TO END, TO FLNISH (§ 12); -ūi verbalizing (§ 75).
}
(It happened) long ago. The world was very bad long ago. Everywhere it was so, and this was the cause of it: A had person was devouring (the people). Grizzly Bear was devouring them long ago. Whenever a man went out hunting, he would kill and devour him. Many people felt sorry because of that. So one day the people came together and tried to devise some remedy. (They all agreed that Grizzly) must be killed. For that reason they came together. Then the chiefs of that region said, "We feel very sorry, but how are we going to kill him? He can not be killed by means of arrows: hence we don't want to kill him with an arrow." Then finally someone suggested to go and see how Grizzly lived, and to invite him (to come to the meeting-place). So one man went in seareh of him. And (when the messenger) came to Grizzly's residence, (he said,) "You, too, are invited to come to the play-grounds." But Grizzly Bear was not willing to go: hence the messenger went back, and, upon returning, related thus: "He does not want (to come)." (In the mean while) the people who had assembled had lots of fun. (Then after a while another messenger was sent), and the man who was about to go was told thus: "We won't give up. When he is dead, then we will give up." Thus it was repeatedly asserted.

Then finally the man was ordered to go. He was a very poor man. "Speak to him carefully, don't tell him anything bad. Tell him thus: 'We want you to come here. We are going to have lots of fun.' Thus you shall tell him. Don't tell him anything bad. He is shrewd and very bad." Then that man started out, thinking (a great deal) to himself, for he was very much afraid (of Grizzly). (And when he came to Grizzly, he said,) "I come here as a messenger." (He then told him bis mission and departed. Not long afterwards Grizzly's friends came to visit him and inquired about the messenger's mission). One of them said, "What did the man tell you who came (here)?"-"He said nothing (of importance). I was simply informed that 1 am invited (to some games). Thus he told me: 'People want you to come very much. For that purpose I came here as a messenger.'" (After a while another messenger was sent to Grizzly, requesting him to come at once.) Then (Grizzly) said thus (to the messenger): "Will anything be given to me, if I come?"-"Nothing was said (about that). Pcople
are just playing, and that's why you are invited to come." (Then Grizzly said), "You tell them thus: 'He wants something. If something be given to him, then be will come.' Thus he says to you."
(The messenger went back to his people and told them what Grizzly said). And he (furthermore) said, "He is shrewd. He thinks (of not coming), because he bas killed (so many) people. That's why he is shrewd." Thus the messenger said. "He was (evidently) told (by some friend) that a dance had been arranged for the purpose of changing his (mean) disposition, and that everybody dislikes him. That's why be replied, 'If something be given to him, then he will come.' That's why he told me (so)."

Then another messenger went to Grizzly. "You tell him thus: 'A knife will be given to you.' Thus tell him. 'You shall start right away, you are invited to come. Many people are playing (there), and it is desirable that you should come.' Thus you tell him." And that messenger kept on thinking, "I will speak to him. I know what to tell him, so that he will start right away." Then the messenger started. "I will speak to him, and he will start right away." Thus he was thinking as he kept on going. Finally he came to (Grizzly, and said), "A messenger I come. You are wanted very much. Pretty soon the games will come to an end, and for that reason I was told (to come here). You are my relative. Why don't you want to go?" And (Grizzly) answered him thus: "I am wise, that's why I don't want to go. It seems to me that I am simply wanted (there) to be killed. That's why I am wise."-"Not so, they want you to see (the fun). For that purpose (only) you are wanted. Their intentions toward you are good. A present will be given to you. For that reason you are invited. You are my relative, hence I (came to) fetch you. That's why I came quickly. A knife will be given to you, because you are invited. I came right away, since you are my relative. The reason why I came to you is because I want you to have some fun. That's why I came to you. I don't think that anything bad will happen to you. That's why I was sent." (And Grizzly answered,) "Yes, you are a bad man. They want to kill me, that's why I don't want (to go)."-"I don't think (it will be) thus. (Not) for that purpose I was.sent. If it were as you say, I should not have been sent. Will you go now?"-"I shall go. You will have to take
good care of me." And (the messenger) said thus to (Grizaty): "All right, I don't think that anything bad will happen (to you) on the part of those who play (there)."-"All right, I will go. I don't care, eren if I die." Thus said (Grizzly) as he started. "I don't think (it will be) as (bad as you imagine). Are you coming?" Thus said (the messenger) to him. "I should very much like to have you, too, at these games." Finally (Grizzly) said, "I will go." So he started. And the man who came to fetch him was thinking continually. He was thinking thus.

Now they two kept on going; and when they were almost there, the two (ebiefs) were told, "They two are coming. He is bringing that bad man." So everybody was glad; and when he arrived, people assembled about him. 'It's very good that you came, O friend! We shall have a great deal of fun." Thus everybody said (to him). Many people assembled (around him). Although there were many of them, still they all went there (to Grizzly), shouting, "It's very good that you came. We will play a great deal. We two will play." (Then Grizzly would say,) "All right."-_"You shall watch (ur). You sha'n't sleep. We will play a great deal." Thus he was constantly told. (Everything) had been made ready long ago. It had been decided to kill him with pitch during his sleep. Thus it han been agreed upon. "Friend, don't sleep!' we two will plas." Thus people kept on telling him. "For that reason you were invited." Thus he was told. "p'eople who live here know different kinds of games, and you will witness all kinds of fun. For that purpose you have been invited. We are well disposed (towards you). No mishap, will befall you." Thus he was constantly told. Whoerer came in would tell him thus. •• It's very good that you came, O frimd! You will see, they will play for a long time." And he would (also) be told, "That's why we invited you. There is going to be a great deal of tum."

At last he was taken to the phay-groumds. A fire was started in the house, which, although very large, wan nevertheless full of people. Grizaly Bear was looking there. "Here we play. those who have invited you." He was seatmel near the fire, whinh consisted of pitch. "It seems to me I see (too) many peopir." Thus (irizaly was thinking. And the fire in the house kept hurning. "lom't sleep, () friend! (Not) for that purpose we anked you to come (here)."-"All \(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40, pt \(2-12-40\)
right! I am glad. I intend to watch the fun." Thus Grizzly was saying, seated close to the fire. He was constantly watched.
(After a while) he began to feel sleepy. Then people kept on approaching him, (saying,) "Don't sleep, look on! For that purpose we invited you. We have abandoned all our hatred." (Again) he began to feel sleepy, (and again) he was constantly watched. The pitch with which he was going to be killed was made ready; while many dancers went to him, (saying,) "Move away from the fire, you may get burned, friend!" Thus they were telling him. "Don't sleep, friend!"-"I feel slecpy." People kept on dancing, while he began to fall asleep. "Move away from the fire, you may get burned!" Everybody was glad, because he was going to be killed. At last he began to sleep. Then people kept on shaking him, saying to him thus: "Move away from the fire, you may get burned!" But he did not move. So the boiling pitch was brought in, while the people kept on dancing (and saying), "Move away from the fire, friend!" But he did not get up. He was very sleepy, and (merely) said, "Leave me alone! I intend to sleep a while." So the people thought thus: "Let him sleep." And while the pitch kept on boiling, they said, "Let him sleep. Move away from the fire, O friend!" But he did not move, and (soon) commenced to snore.

Then people took hold of all kinds of things. They seized axes, (because it had been decided that as soon as) he should wake up, they would kill him with an ax. He was snoring, keeping his mouth wide open. Then the people got ready. They watched him closely. "We will kill him, because he has killed (so many of) us." Then the boiling pitch was seized, (and one man shouted,) "Move away from the fire, friend, you may get burned!" But he did not move. Then they held the boiling pitch over his head, and everybody was glad, for the dance had been arranged with the purpose in view of getting rid of (the consequences of) his mean disposition. For that purpose so many people had been dancing. Finally (one man) stood up and took hold of the boiling pitch. And around Grizzly there were standing many armed with axes. They made noise with all kinds of implements, but he did not wake up. (Then one man said,) "Better pour it into his mouth!" So it was poured into his mouth, which began to burn (right away). And the people kept on dancing,
(as Grizzly Bear) was consumed (gradually) by the fire. His hair got burned, and then his head was cut into pieces with an ax. And while suffering death, he was constantly diffusing smoke.

Here (the story) ends. If (Crizzly Bear) had not been killed, this would have been a very bad place. Thus that man was killed. Such was the custom of people living long ago. Here at last it ends.

\section*{Invocation of Rain \({ }^{1}\)}

isee Leo J. Frachtenberg, Lower Umpqua Texts (Columbin University Contributions to Antbropology, vol. \(1 \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{pp} .76\) ct scq.)
\(2 k: u x u^{\prime} n-\) ICE (§ 12); -aitz suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the whbject (9 33).
\({ }^{3}\) Particle (§ 183).
4 See § 95.
\({ }^{6}\) L \(/ a^{\prime} a l\) ground (§ 133); -ūs locative case ( \(\S \S 86,9,8\) ).
6 naqut- то BE COLD; -yax past (§ 74).
\({ }^{7} k!u z u i n-\mathrm{ICE}\) (§ 12); - \(\mathrm{a}^{i}\) verbalizing (§ 75 ).
\({ }^{8}\) Particle of negation (§ 131).
\({ }^{9}\) Particle (§ 131).
\({ }^{10}\) qatcū- тo Drink; -il negative ( \(\$ \S 53,8\) ).
\({ }^{11}\) Demonstrative pronoun ( \(\$ 115\) ).
12 See § 97.
\({ }^{13}\) Restrictive particle (§ 130).
\({ }^{14}\) Conjunction (§ 125).

\({ }^{16}\) I) iscriminative form of \(h a^{i}\) maxt AJL ( 85111,124 ).
\({ }^{17}\) Discriminative form of kitc PERSON (§§ 111, 7).

19 Particle (§ 128).
\({ }^{20}\) Local adverb (§ 119).

\({ }_{22}\) tcē Water (§ 88); -a locative ease ( \(\S \S 86, \gamma\) ).
\({ }_{23}\) Particle ( \(\$ \S 131,94,108\) ).
24 níctca MANNER ( \(\$ \S 131,135\) ); -it negative ( \(\$ 853,9\) ).
\({ }^{25}\) tai-, \(t \bar{\imath}\) - to live (§ 2 ); - \(w^{w}\) i nominal ( \(\S \S 97,8\) ).
\({ }^{26}\) qaux HIGH, тор (§ 119); -aix local (§ 92).
27 kiuxwīnū ICE ( \(\$ 998,12\) ); - \(\bar{u} s\) locative case ( \((\$ 85, \delta\) ).
\({ }^{28}\) qaten- то GO (§4); -t present (§§ 72, 4); üи \(^{2}\) plural (§ 79).
\({ }_{29}\) Temporal particle (§ 126).

\({ }^{31}\) L!xu- то кNоw; \(-\bar{u} i\) verbalizing ( \(\S \S 7 \bar{n}, 9\) ); \(\bar{u} n\) direct object of thirl person ( \(\S \S 28,8\) ).
32 wán nuíts long AGO (§ 119); -ax nominal ( \(\$ \S 101,104\) ).
3. Sce § 103.

 Coyote．He is ealled constantly， （ぶN1 Raceoon， to rain eause 1 hy
 world！Tell to this Coyote！ your



Móluptsinní：\({ }^{1},{ }^{41}\)
hî＇n＇k！itsxats \({ }^{* 2}\)
Coyote，
to rain cause ye two your
 world！＂Then finally beginstorain

universe All people believe it．Thns and they
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & 114 & W⿺̀ \({ }^{20}\) & Mñ \(\mathrm{n}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Va}^{1 /}\)（x \({ }^{50}\) & I，.\(^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ai}\) ． &  & \({ }^{1} 114\) \\
\hline are shonted at continually， & then & finally & eanses to rain its（bolly） & world． & Thus & then \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

There now it nends．It nasally there．This I

know it．

```

.3: Conjunction (3 125).
${ }_{36}$ See es ${ }^{2}$.

```



```

40 Demenstrative fronom (\$115).
${ }^{11}$ Alsea term fur coyote.

```



```

imbicating that olject forms an inseparable part of subject ( $\S(30)$; -nan evelusive plural ( $\$ 524,4$ ).

```


```

    i7 heninit! - To believe; -ūa direct object of thirl person ( \(32 \$\) ).
    4. Hodal altrerb (5 121, 96).
    49 \(u \boldsymbol{T}\) THES (
    ```

```

part of subject (\$33).

```

```

    52 Paritce (s 131).
    ```

```

    s see §os.
    ```



(When in former days the) gromd was covered with ice, much snow (hay) on the gromd, and it liecme very cold, then the people had no way of drinking (watur freely). From one well only could they drink, and all people drank from it. Although many were the people, still they all drank there. (And when) ice began to appear on the water (of the rivers), then all inhahitants could not a a mywhere. They were forced to go along the surface of the ice. Then (at such times there would always be some) old man who knew that (ancient) custom of the people of long ago. (Ile wonld then tell it to his people.) And Raccoon would be inroked, and Coyote likewise would be inroked. He would be called by name, "Raccoon, Raccoon, cause thy rain (to flow)! Speak to Coyote! Cause ye two your rain (to flow)! We are in straits, we are very cold." Then (once more Raccoon) would be invoked, "Raccoon, Raccoon, cause thy rain (to tlow)! (You and) Corote canse ye your (dual) rain (to flow)!" Then at last it would rain. All people believed in (the efficacy of this formalis). For that reason they two would be invoked, (untii) it would commence to rain. Thus people were shouting whenerer (ice) closed up the rivers.

Now there it ends. It is the fiuish. (Thas) I know it.
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\section*{EDITOR'S PREFACE}

The following grammatical sketch of the Chukchee group of languages is based on a manuseript by Mr. Bogoras, in which all the main facts presented here are contained. Since the principal object of the series of sketches presented in this Handbook is an chacidation of the grammatical categories fonnd in the present condition of each language treated, I thought it best to re-arrange the material on the basis of an analytical study. I am therefore remonsible for the essential form of arangement and presentation here given. The re-arrangement was, however, made in consultation with Mr. Bogoras, the final form being given to the deseription of the grammar in accordance with his criticisms and suggentions. The references to the Chukchee and Koryak Texts have also ben added by me in order to prove the statements contained in the grammar. These also were revised, supplemented, and corrected by Mr. Bogoras. Finally I have added sample texts with explanatory notes. These have also been revised hy Mr. Bogeras.

It seemed important to add the Chukchee to the sketches contained in the Handbook, because it proves complusively that thone features which are most chanacteristic of many American languges are fond also on the Asiatic continent. It sermed essential. furthrmore, to present material for determining the position of the Wikimo language in relation to all its neighbors.

The war has delayed the publication of this work beyond expectiation, and the dinal revision had to be madn by the cditor.

Franz Moas.
New York, December, 1931.

\section*{CHUKCHEE}

\author{
By Waldemar Bogoras
}

\section*{INTRODUCTION}

The material for the following study was collected by me in 1895-97, when I was a member of the Sibiryakov Expedition of the Russian Imperial Geographical Socicty; and in 1900-01, when I was engaged in anthropological researches for the Jesup North Pacific Expedition of the American Museum of Natural History.

The group of languages treated in this sketrh includes the Chukchee, the Koryak, and the Kamchadal. Of these, the first two are closely related, while the Kamehadal shows markedly divergent forms. Its phonetics are more complicated than those of the other two languages, and it seems to have preserred some ancient traits. Its morphology, however, is obscured by the recent process of Russianization, which has had a marked influence upon the language of the people.

Since I spent several yearsamong the Chukchee on the Kolyma and Anadyr, and attained fuli command of the language in a practical manner, my Chukehee material is much fuller and also more accurate than that collected in the other languages. The work on the Chukchee is also facilitated by the fact that the language has no dialects, the dialect of the maritime Chukchee of the Pacific coast being almost identical with that of the reindeer-breeders of the Kolyma river.

Besides grammatical and lexicographic data, I have collected a large number of texts. I have also collected texts from the Asiatic Eskimo, \({ }^{1}\) with literal translation into Chukchee, made by natives and carcfully revised with their aid, as a means of avoiding inexactness in the translation of the Eskimo material.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Some of these have been published in my paper, "The Eskimo of Siberia" (Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, vol. VIII, part MiI). Leyden, E. J. Brill, 1913.
}

My work on the Koryak was done during the months from December, 1900 , to March, 1901. While Mr. Waldemar Jochelson studied the ethnology of the Koryak on behalf of the Jesup Expedition, the morphological study of the language was assigned to me on account of my familiarity with the Chukchee. I left the Anadyr in Fovember, 1900, joined Mr. Jochelson at Kamenskoye, and spent about a month with him. From there I proceeded to Kamehatka and studied the Kamchatkin Koryak and the Kamchadal. On account of the necessity of deroting some time to the Eskimo of Indian Point, 1 could not devote more time to the study of these dialects.

The Koryak is spoken in a number of dialects, which may be classed in two groups, the western and the eartern. The western group includes the maritime villages on Penshina Bay of the Sea of Okhotsk, \({ }^{1}\) sume of which are the largest of the Koryak settlements, and the reindeer breeders on the riversflowing into the Pacific Ocean. Here belong, for instance, the viilages of Qa'vilm, Čmi'tqa, and Po'qač. \({ }^{2}\) The castern group includes all the maritime Koryak of Kamehatka and the villages of the Pacific shore, manly around Alutor Bay. The Kerek may form a third group, which, although situated farthest to the east, is more elosidy related to the westerm branch.

I shall call the westem group "Koryak I:" the castern group "Koryak II," Since the majerity of the former group are reindeer breeders who live north from the maritime villuges, and, along the northem border of the country, come into contact with the Chukchee, I have elsewhere called the Koryak I the northern group; the Koryak II, the southern group.

The bulk of my Koryak material and all the texts are principally from the village Kamenskoye on Penshina bay, and also from Paren, 5) miles farther to the west. I have marked this material, respectively, "Kor. Fam." and "Kor. Bar." All words :nd forms marked simply "Kor." ate common to the rarions dialects. The chicf difference between the dialects of Kamensioye and Paren-both members of the eastern branch-lies in the rules governing the harmony of vowels. My material on the Koryak of Kamehatka is not extensive.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See W. Jochelson, The Koryak (Ibid., vol. vi), pp. 437 et seq. Leyden, E. J. Brill.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid., p. 440. See also map al end of volumes vi and vil of the Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition.
}

The Kamchadal material that I have been able to collect is not very full. The study of this dialect is at present very difficult on account of its corruption by the introduction of Russian element.s.

In Krasheninnikoff's time there were three dialects of the Kamcha-dal-a southern, an eastern (spoken on the Kamchatka river), and a western. The first two are extinct, the language of the natives having been replaced by Russian. The eastern dialect is spoken in 13 villages on the coast of the Sea of Okhotsk. The largest of these is Kharghiusova (Kamchadal, Plơ'xŏn), where I stayed 20 days. Another dialect is spoken in the village Sedanka, on the upper course of the Tighil river. Apart from phonetic differences, the chief features of this dialect are due to a strong Koryak influence. This, however, is also quite strong in the dialect of the Sea of Okhotsk. During the last 50 years, Koryak reindeer breeders have been living on the tundras of the eastern part of Kamchatka. The Kamchadal visit them, and purchase from them reindeer meat and skins for clothing. These Koryak are not Christianized, and speak only their own language. Thus it happens that the Kamchadal of the eastern shore, as far south as the village Kol, speak more or less the western Koryak dialect (I); and that among the half-Russianized Kamchadal, remnants of Koryak have almost completely replaced the old, native Kamchadal. In a few folk stories, fragments of which I was able to collect, the Kamchadal names have been forgotten, and Koryak names have taken their places. Sometimes it is not easy to determine whether we are dealing with Kamchadal or with Koryak terms. In the Sedanka dialect the influence of Koryak is felt even more markedly. The people are in the habit of using whole Koryak sentences, or begin a sentence in Kamchadal and end in Koryak. The dialect that has influenced the Sedanka people is the Kamchatka Koryak II. \({ }^{1}\) Besides, there is a strong intrusion of Russian into both dialects. The Kamchadal has lost many of its numerals, several pronouns, and a considerable number of nouns and adjectives, all of which have been replacel by Russian terms. These have not been assimilated so as to conform with the morphology of Kamchadal, but remain unaltered. A Russianization may also be observed in the grammatical structure.

Nevertheless the Russian spoken by the Russianized natives of Kamchatka also bears evidence of the influence of the Kamchadal.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Sedanka Kamchadal g'ava'tel \(k a l\) they Perished ( \(-l k\) inchoative in Koryak II, -ntvo in Koryak I) seqi'titi he will freeze to death (se-future prefix Koryak II, yé-in Koryak I).
\(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40 , pt. 2-12-41
}

The Russian suffixes for case and gender do not occur, and all nouns and adjectives are used in the nominative singular masculine. All vowels are strongly marked as long, short, or obseure.

In the following study I have confined myself to the main points of the morphology. The description is hased mainly on Chukehee and on a comparison of Chukchee and the western Koryak of Kamenskoye. Kamchadal has been utilized only so far as to indicate the peculiar characteristics of this dialect.

Notes on the Koryak are indicated by a single, those on the Kamchadal by a double marginal vertical rule. Examples without reference are taken from field notes.

All references for the Chukchee indicated by page and line (for instance, 21.3) are to my Chukehee texts contained in the Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition; those marked R, followed by page and line (for instance, R 23.5 ) are to my collection of texts published by the Russian Imperial Academy of Sciences. All references to Koryak (marked, for instance, Kor, 27.6) are to my Koryak Texts published by the American Ethnological Society. The following previous publications on this family of languages may be mentioned:
L. Radloff, Ueber die Sprache der Tschuktschen (Memoirs of the Imperial Academy of Science, St. Petersburg, 1861, Series vir, vol. in, No. 10).
В. Г. Вогоразъ, Образды матеріаловъ понәченіь чукотскаго дзыкаи фольклора, собраиныхь въ Колымскомъ округб. Оттискъ изъ Извб̆стій Императорекой Академіи Наукт Т. X. No. 3 (Мартъ 1899).
[Waldemar Bogoras, Sample Text for the Study of the Chukchee Language and Folk-Lore, collected in the Kolyma District. Reprint from the Memoirs of the Imperial Academy of Sciences, vol. x, no. 3 (March, 1899).]
Матеріалы шо изученію чукотскаго языка ш фольклора, собранние въ Колымскомъ округъ. Изданіе Императорекой Академіи Наукъ. В. 1. С.-Петербурге 1900.
[Materials for the Study of the Chukchee Language and Folk-Lore, collected in the Kolyma District, Part I. Imperial Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, 1900.
Chukchee Mythology (Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, vol. vir, Part 1). Leyden, E. J. Brill, 1910.
Koryak Texts (Publications of the American Ethnological Society, rol. v). Leyden, E. J. Brill, 1914.
Ignacy Radlinsey, Ze zbiorow Prof. B. Dybowskiego. Slowniki Nazzeczy Ludow Kamczackich, 5 parts, Krákow, 1891-94.
C. Крапенинниковъ Описаніе земли Камчатки. С.-Петербургъ 1819, Т. 1. и.
[S. Krasheninnikoff, Description of the Land Kamchatka, vols. I and in. St. Petersburg, 1819.]
В. Н. Тюповъ, По хзанадному берегу Камчатки, С. П. Б., 1906.
[W. N. Tushoff, Along the Western Shore of Kamchatka. St. Petersburg, 1906.]

\section*{PHONETICS (§§ 1-24)}

\section*{Chukchee (§§ 1-13)}

\section*{§ 1. Vowels}

The vowels of the Chukchee language may be divided into three classes:
(1) Weak vowels: ei i e ä u
(2) Strong vowels: ê \(a \theta\) o
(3) Neutral vowels: I E A й

The vowels of the first and second classes are always long.
\(i, e, u\), have their continental values.
\(\ddot{a}\) is a long obscure vowel, in rest position of all the muscles of the oral cavity, posterior nares closed, teeth and lips slightly opened.
\(e_{i}\) is a glide from \(e\) to \(i\), with long, accented \(i\). It is always combined with a glottal stop.
\(\hat{e}\) is the open \(e\) of hell, but long.
\(a\) has its continental value.
\(o\) like \(o\) in nor.
A a \(u\) with very slight rounding of lips, with the acoustic effect of a sound between 0 and \(u\).
\(I, E, A\), obscure, short vowels corresponding to the respective long vowels.
\(\check{\pi}\) an \(i\) with rounded lips, short; somewhat like the Russian ы.
Unusual length or shortness of vowels is indicated by the macron and breve respectively ( \(\bar{a}, \check{a}\) ).

Diphthongs are formed by the combination of any of the vowels with following \(i\) and \(u\) :
ai like \(i\) in hide. an like ow in how.
\(e i\) like \(e i\) in vein. ou like eu in Italian lencojo.
\(o i\) like \(o i\) in choice.
The \(i\) and \(u\) of diphthongs belong to the neutral vowels. Combinations of the vowels with the weak vowels \(i\) and \(u\) do not form diphthongs.

The \(i\) and \(u\) of true diphthongs must be considered as voiced consonants, because, in all intervocalie positions where they are not lost, and in proper position before certain consonants, they have consonantic character; and because they often modify following consonants in the manner of the preceding consonants \(y\) and \(w\) (see \(\S \S 5,9\) ).

Generally the accent of diphthongs is on the first vowel, although it is often placed on the second vowel.
qailo'qim indeed üpa'ma while drinking
When the diphthong is followed by a consonantic cluster, the terminal vocalic sound of the diphthong is lengthened. This gives the effect of an accent on the first vowel.
üpa'urkin thou drinkest
Before vowels, the \(u\) of the diphthong becomes \(w\).
nıра' w-ê-um I am drinking (stem ŭpau)
Note.-In many eases i neutral, which does not form diphthongs, originates from contraction of \(y I\) (see § 10 ).

Doubled vowels are also of frequent occurrence, particularly
\(i i\) in \(t i^{\prime} r\) riiir (male pronunciation \({ }^{1}\) ) sun
\(e e\) in \(e^{\prime} e k\) lamp
uu in inturulpir son-in-law
\(\hat{e} \hat{e}\) in \(\hat{e} \hat{e}\) 'thurp \(u\) from the skin intended for clothing
\(a a\) in pa'arkin thou ceasest
oo in ro'olqal food
II in taiñ'rıgin sinful action
After the loss of \(\check{\imath}, y\), or \(g\), between two vowels (see § 10 ), clusters of three repetitions of the same vowel may appear.
\(m_{1 y} a^{\prime} a a^{\varepsilon} k<m_{1} y a^{\prime} a g a^{\varepsilon} k\) I will use
A comparison with Koryak suggests that whenever two vowels appear in contact, an elision of a consonant has occurred. Examples of this are given in \(\S 16\), no. 18, p. 670 . It would seem that in all these cases the Chukchee has the tendency to assimilate the vowels (see § 13, p. 665).

> § 2. Consonamts
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Stop} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Affricative} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Nasal} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Contin- } \\
& \text { ued. }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Lateral} & \multirow{3}{*}{Trill} \\
\hline & \multirow{2}{*}{Surd} & \multirow{2}{*}{Sonant} & \multirow{2}{*}{Surd} & \multirow{2}{*}{Sonant} & \multirow{2}{*}{Sonant} & \multirow{2}{*}{Surd} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Affricative} & \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Contin- } \\
\text { ued }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & Surd & Sonant & & \\
\hline Labial . . & \(p\) & - & - & - & \(m\) & - & \(v\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Alveolar . & \(t\) & \(-[d]\) & ( 5 ), \(\mathcal{C}\) & ; & \(n\) & & - & \(L\) & \(\stackrel{L}{4}\) & \(l\) & \(r,{ }^{7}\) \\
\hline Palatalized alveolar & \(t\). & \(-[d \cdot]\) & \(\check{c}\) & \% & \(n \cdot\) & - & \(s\). & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Palatal . . & \(k, w k w^{3}\) & - & - & - & \(n\) & n & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Velar . . . & \(q\) & \(\rho g(u, 0)\) & & - & - & & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Glottal . . & - & & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \(h, w, y\) & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{' See \$ 13.} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{* Written \(k w\) before and after \(u\).} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
p, m, as in English.
\(v\) bilabial.
\(t\) as in English.
\(s\) like \(z\) in German Zeit, used only in female pronunciation.
\(\Varangle\) like English ch in choice.
\(j\) like English \(j\) in \(j o y\).
\(n\) as in English.
\(x\) stop produced by the tip of the tongue touching the upper alveoli, baek of the tongue pressed against the hard palate, and sudden lateral release with slightly continued stricture.
\(t\) like \(L\), but sonant.
\(l\) as in German.
\(r\) as in French (hard trill, roue).
\(\check{r}\) dental \(r\) with weak trill.
\(t^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot}, s^{\cdot}, \jmath^{\prime}, n^{\cdot}\), the corresponding consonants strongly palatalized, similar to ty, dy, sy, jy, ny.
\(\varepsilon \cdot\) strongly palatalized, intermediate between \(t \cdot\) and \(\check{\varepsilon}\), but weaker than either.
\(k\) as in English.
whw labialized \(k\).
\(\widetilde{n}\) like \(n\) in singing. Voiceless \(\tilde{n}\) is always terminal, and appears after terminal \(I, E\).
\(q, g \quad\) velars corresponding to \(k\) and \(g ; g\) in this combination \(g(u, o)\}^{\prime}\) is often labialized.
\(h, w, y\), consonantic, as in English. Initial \(w\) is sometimes pronounced nasally, as in wo'tgan this one. In my Russian publications I have indicated this nasalization; but it has not been indicated here, since it is not morphologically significant, and seems to be a characteristic feature of the sound, which appears, however, of varying strength.
\(d\) and \(d\), which are bracketed in the table of consonants, appear only as the development of a strong palatalization of \(n\), as in
\(t a^{\prime} n d \cdot a n<t a^{\prime} n-y a n\) a good one
In only one case is initial \(d\) found, - di'ndin fire (from stem yin; compare gayínlán the one that has fire). The reduplicated form yrmyrn changes to \(y I n d \cdot m\), from which develops-by assimilation, \(d \cdot I n d \cdot I n\); and by intersification of the obscure vowel, di'ndin.

Note.-Examples of the importance of the glottal stops are-
\(i^{\epsilon^{\epsilon}}\) rirkin he comes across irrikin he hits
\(r e^{\varepsilon} t_{I} r^{\prime} k_{I n}\) he rejoices
yo \(0^{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}\) rkm thon overtakest
\(y \epsilon^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t\) irkin the sky becomes
overcast
\(\hat{r}_{e} \varepsilon^{\epsilon} \bar{c} e^{\varepsilon}\) cold \(\quad \varepsilon^{e} e^{\prime} \varepsilon_{e}\) lengthwise
\(e^{c} e^{c} e^{\prime} p u ̆ r k m\) it shows itself
retirkin he brings
yo'rkim thou puttest in
ye'turkin thou comest
exe'pǔrkın it grows damp

The consonants \(l\) and \(\check{c}\) are intimately related, and frequently replace each other. sometimes with a slight change of meaning (see § 122).
rêtča'rlim and vêlu'rkin (from rét-la'rlitn, see § 7, no. 17, p. 654), he stands
whine tim and bicu \(u^{s^{5}}\) ttrm (from stem vilu- ear) ear-bone


Initial \(t_{I}\) is sometimes replaced by \(c_{I}\).

Note. - In words borrowed from the Russian, the following substitutions occur:

For Russian \(\sigma\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)\), Chukchee \(\Rightarrow\) is substituted.
For Russian \(w(f)\), Chukchee \(p\) or \(g\) is substituted.
For Russian \(x\), Chukchee \(k\) or \(\eta\) is substituted.
For Russian c, m ( \(s, s h\) ), Chukchee \(\check{c}\) is substituted.
For Russian c ( \(s\) ), Chukchee \(t\) is substituted.
Examples:

Chukchee
čai"保
Apri"


col
trén•non

Russian
сайо̆а (storehouse)
Афоиьна (Athanasitus)
Фсдьна ('Teddy)
сахарт, (sugar)
co.lb (salt)
средне (middle)

\section*{§ 3. Vocalic Ablaut}

The rowels have been classified in three groups, -weak, strong, and neutral. The weak ones are indicated by \(\uparrow\), the strong ones by 0 . A word, simple or compound, must contain only strong vowels and neutrals, or only weak vowels and neutrals, or only vowels of one of the three classes. When, in composition, weak vowels and strong vowels come together in the same word, the former are changed by the ablaut into strong vowels.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{\hat{e}}^{i} \text { and } \underset{\hat{a}}{i} \text { into } \hat{e} \\
& \underset{\sim}{e} \text { and } \underset{a}{a} \text { into } a \\
& \hat{u} \quad \text { into } \hat{o} \text { or } \theta
\end{aligned}
\]

The sound \({\underset{o}{0}}_{a}\) differs in origin, therefore, from \(\underset{\sim}{a}\), the latter being the ablaut of \(e\) or \(\underset{d}{ }\). This process is not confined to preceding or following rowels, but pervades the whole word. Elements containing only weak vowels are combined without ablaut. The same is true of elements containing either neutral vowels alone or neutral and weak \(\$ 3\).
vowels. A polysylabic stem which contains a single strong yowe must have all its rowels strong.

Examples of such compositions are-
Weak rowels or weak rowel and neutral vowel:
\(p i^{\prime} r_{i}\)-rkm he take, tern-teki'chem good meat
mei'nr-tr'mkitine great hummock 145.1
iulu-u'ttuut long wood
 warm
piñépin showstorm
nu'mun blade of knife

Ablaut of weak vowel and strong vowel:

pênáipu (frompiñe-

 warm
aushra'tingk (from ewhet-mo) at the beginning of leaving
\(e^{n} u^{\prime}\) u-uáalat (from iul-vala) long knives 15.2
tan-maiñr-mèmol good, big seal
\(\hat{g}_{I L} \hat{e}_{1}^{\prime}-\hat{a}_{1} q_{a}^{\prime} \tilde{n}-q o_{o}{ }^{\prime}\) greedy \({ }^{1}\) right-hand driving-reindeer
There are a number of words with neutral. probably auxiliary vowels (see §8), which produce the ablaut, as tim то кill: and quite a number of suffixes of the same phonetic character that have the same effect. In these cases it is therefore conceivable either that a strong vowel has been lost or that the phonetic effect is primarily due to other reasons. I give here a list of strong stems of this character: \({ }^{2}\)

Im rising of water
in glue
iprin first dawn
yip to put on 37.8
yIt ( - gtit) to get
\(y\) m \(n\) fire
yImř steep bank
yikifg mouth 18.12
yIggI bountiful
wüt leat
wull thin, with short hair 102.12
wethip to fling
wir branching
> wurg dwarf birch
> wurw stone 6S.36
> pitr doubled
> \(p_{\text {Inl }}(-m \pi n l)\) news 78.4
> pilt? ripples, to bubble 41.1
> pilvint iron, metal
> prlm darkness produced by a storm
> pithirr flat, flattened St.25
> püg float of sealskin
> pügl large, round. wooden bowl
> ming hand
> mil nimble
\({ }^{1}\) Greely for urine given in a sman veasel. See W. Bogoras, The Chmachee (The Jesup North Pacific Expedition, VII, 85).
\({ }^{2}\) Forms preceded by a hyphen are those in which stems appear when in medial position (see § 12)
milin five 107.2.3
\(t_{\text {Im }}(-\mathrm{nm})\) to kill 23.5
\(t_{\text {tr }} g \mathrm{~g}(-m g)\) to choke
\(t_{\text {Iml }} l_{\text {I }}\) to get near to 44.1
tinp to stab
til door
\(t_{t}{ }^{\prime} k\) testicle
tuw (-tcu) word, promise 49.6
-thim to crouch
cramy bitter
\(\check{c} u w\) bruise
lip neck (bone)
\(l_{\text {In }}\) something kept in reserve, spare material
lığ̆p deadfall (trap)
\(l u^{\varepsilon} w\) to vanquish
-lpiňr (pinř) to give
-lpıl (pil) to drink
rithil bridge of nose.
rimn tusk, antler R 3.31
rinnim gums
ring shy
\(r_{I n} \cdot n\) to whittle (moving knife toward hody)
ril ( \(-\Sigma\) ) to enter the sleepingroom
\(r_{\text {rll }}\) finger 22.7, 47.2
rir to hunt down ; (rir \(\left[-r r_{I}\right]\) то
untie has a weak stem)
riryit sinew-thread
rıg hair
riggit to be too narrow, to have no room.
ruv ( \(-n v\) ) to scrape; (ruv
\([-n v]\) to pusi ofr is a weak stem)
-rkipl (kpl) to strike 45.12
kist hard
\(k_{\text {kitcri }}\) to hatch (eggs)
kilt middle part of sternum
kirg dry
kirgil fibrous
git thin, sparse
gIt lake
gilh skin
qiml (krml 33.12) marrow
\(n_{I n} \cdot n\) stanchion of sledge
\(n_{l} l\) smoke R 22.38
rurkil shame.

Prefixes of the same chaiacter are-
\({ }^{1} m\) - all
\(k_{g} t-\left(-g t_{f^{-}}\right)\)much, strongly
There are also a number of suffixes with neutral vowel, that are strong:
```

-g\mp@subsup{t}{g}{\prime},-\hat{e}\mp@subsup{t}{G}{\prime},-w\mp@subsup{t}{⿱}{\prime}
-ïmŭ, -\hat{t}pŭ,
-lh(m), --Iñ(In), substantival suffix (§ 52)
-čh(m), -č/\check{~}(In), substantival suffix (§ \check{3)}

```

```

-girg(In) verbal noun (\$ 106,44)
-tkin surface (\$ 101, 19)
-s'q, -s*q|än over, top of (\$ 101, 20)
-nv,-n, place of (\$ 109, No. 50)

```

Still other suffixes are strong because they have strong vowels:
\(-(I) n a\) allative of personal nouns (§41)
-ng8 ablative, adverbial ( § 43)
-98 augmentative, ( \(\$ 98,3\) )
-lqanin, -lqa \(q_{i} n\), space of, (see § 101, 20.)
- laño diminutive (§ 98, \(\mathfrak{\imath}\) )
ga-ma comitative (§ 100, 15)
-mack \({ }_{I}\) comitative ( \(\S 100,17\) )
\(-q_{c}^{a c ̌},-q a l\), by the side of \((\S 101,26)\)
\(-y_{o} a_{n v},-y_{a n}\), provided with \((\S 104,38)\)
\(-y \circ c h\), -oo \(\check{\circ} h\), receptacle ( \(\$ 105,40\) )
\(-y \circ\) passive participle \((\$ 107,47)\)
\(\tilde{n} \tilde{n} o\), - \(\tilde{n} o\). inchoative ( \(\$ 110,63\) )
-čhat, verbal suffix expressing contempt ( \((110,66)\)
In the following sketch the symbols \({ }_{\wedge}\) and \({ }_{\circ}\) have been used wherever clearness seemed to require the exact statement of the character of the vowels. Wherever the character of the vowel is irrelevant or the changes due to harmony of rowels are obvious, the symbols have been omitted.

In a few words, \(i\) is apparently a neutral vowel; as in
ya'tirgm (stem yéa t) the act of coming
kanka'cirgin (stem Renkel-) the act of descending
In these cases the \(i\) has originated through palatalization of the preceding consonant and the elision of \(g\), which, after \(t\) and , has hanged to \(h\) (see §§ 7 and 10 ).
yátirg \(g_{6} n<y a_{a}^{\prime} t^{-}-h_{b^{\prime}} q_{L_{b}} n<y a_{a}^{\prime} t-q_{2} r q_{t} n\)

In pronunciation, e, and \(\hat{e}, \hat{e}\), differ very little. The pronunciation of the last two is, of course, identical. The manner in which the ablaut occurs with e, while it is absent in \(\hat{e}\), demonstrates, howerer, their etymological difference.
\(\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { elere'rlin he feels dull } \\ \text { alara'ma while feeling dull }\end{array}\right\}\) (stemelere)
mêrêmêr tear


kêto \({ }^{\prime}\) rkirn (stem kêto) he remembers
In most cases ä precedes or follows \(q\), or is followed by the glottal stop \({ }^{\varepsilon}\), which has probably originated through a loss of \(q e\) With few exceptions, \(\ddot{a}\) is a weak rowel.
\(a^{\varepsilon} q \not a a^{\prime}-m i^{\prime} m i l\) bad water, brand \(y\)
\(\ddot{a}^{\text {E }} q \ddot{a} l p{ }^{2}\) quick! hurry!
qärá fawn
\(\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} l q e^{\prime} p\) nail
\(\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} m u^{\prime} l(m\) workingman

This \(\ddot{a}\) is probably developed from \(e\) under the influence of the following glottal stop.

In several suftixes äappars without connection with \(q\) or \({ }^{\varepsilon}\).
witu'tia by means of an ear
râa'ta by means of a knife
In a few cases ie belongs to the group of strong rowels, and is probably derived from \(\hat{g}\) under the influence of the glottal stop.
\(\ddot{a}^{s} / g^{\prime}\) day
\(\ddot{i}^{\varepsilon} t t w_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{i}^{\prime}-\tilde{n} a n\) interjection, what do you call it!
In several compounds äappears as connecting vowel instead of \(r\). This happens also gencrally before or after \(q\). The sound of \(\ddot{a}\) in these cases is short, and it belongs to the neutral rowels.
 and is therefore also weak.
"of diphthongs is generally a nequivalent of \(u\), vocalized when preceding a consonant. Therefore it is neutral, eren if the accent is on the first part of the diphthong, which increases the vocalic character of the \(u\).
terliéarkm he wrestles
taikaulèp \(p\) un from the wrestler
ropa'urhim he drinks
In other cases \(u\) is by origin vocalic, and therefore changes to oor \(e\).
\[
i^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon} r r^{2} m \text { it thaws } \quad e_{Q}^{\varepsilon} m a \text { while thawing }
\]
but :onsonantic
\(\dot{i}^{\prime} u r k m\) he spoaks êa'ma while spaking
This \(i^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon}\) may be explained as originating from \(r^{\prime} y u^{\varepsilon}\), where, according to the rule. the intervocalic \(y\) dropped out, strengthening at the same time \(I\) to \(i\).

Consonantic \(u\), especially when initial, requires a \(u\) preceding it. This \(u\), which is simply a strong glottal intonation, is neutral, and drops out after prefixes.

> uwírkim he cooks une épma while cooking
> cunn'rina he conts it off
> lunca'urkin he can not
> cun飭ma while cutting ge'durion he cut it
> galwautên being unable to do something

Russian loan-words also conform to the rules of vocalic barmony.

čúmen bag (Kor. Kam. ču'ma); stem čumé; Russian сума
koma'k paper'; stem komak: Russian бумага
mu'lemul soap (Kor. Kam. mu'la): stem mule: local Russian myı0, instead of мыло
yeku'trlin Yakut; stem yefut: Russian Skyтb
prêka'čilk commercial agent (Kor. preka'sseh); Russian принаии нъ

Compositions conform to the rules of harmony, with very few exceptions. The particles elo'n and nan enter into close combination with other particles without affecting their vowels: emiso' \(n<e_{d} m i=1\) ELo'n where is he? euna'n<êan ñan so then R41.96. The former compound may even form an augmentative émizonaínin ?where is he then? 43.6.

In piéég g-tuwárlign thou takest off the boots, the second part alone has the ablaut.

In cêe \(q\) - \(a^{\prime} m\) miñe \(e_{n}\) in different directions, both parts have the ablaut, while the weak forms \(c_{i j}^{i}\) and cmmmin would be expected.

The separate words of the sentence are not affected by these rules.

\section*{§4. Initial and Terminal Consmants}

All sounds occur in initial position, except the consonants \(L, L\), \(\dot{\delta} \cdot \check{\jmath}\), which are not found in uncompounded stems, but seem to be due throughout to assimilation (see § 7).
\(L<t+l\)
\(\check{c} \cdot<t \cdot+y\)
\(\underline{L}<l+l\) or \(r+l\)
\(j \cdot<d \cdot+!\)

All sounds occur as terminals except
\(\check{L}, L, t \cdot[d],[d \cdot], \check{c} \cdot \jmath, \check{\jmath}, \check{\jmath}, w \hbar x, \check{r}\)
\(v, h\)
I presume the absence of the former group is due to the fact that they are by origin double consonants (sce §5).

Voiceless \(n\) and \(\tilde{n}\) appear only as terminal sounds after \(I\) and \(E\).
No clusters of more than two consonants occur. Terminal consonantic clusters are not admissible, and are broken either by the introduction of an obscure vowel or by being placed in medial position by the addition of a terminal obscure rowel. It is important to note that the glottal stop does not count as a consonant in these clusters. It always follows a long vowel.

Terminal \(\tilde{n}\), particularly after \(I\), becomes voiceless, and hence very weak.

Keñu'neñ staff ends in voiceless \(\tilde{n}\), but in the plural keñu'neñıt the \(\tilde{n}\) is voiced
This may account for the slight masal character of unaccented terminal 1.

\section*{§ 5. Medial Consonantic Clusters}

The following consonants never appear in clusters:
\[
\check{L}, L, t \cdot{ }^{1}[d],[d \cdot],{ }^{2} \check{c}, \check{\jmath}, \check{\jmath} \cdot w k w
\]

This proves again that all of these must be considered as double consonants (see § 4).

Besides this, the following do not occur as the first member of a medial cluster:
\[
k,{ }^{3} q,{ }^{4} \check{r}, h .
\]

The medial cluster tr occurs in some derivations of the loan-word trê'n'non (Russian средне).
gatré' \(n\) 'nonta'len they went to Sredne Kolymsk
The following do not occur as second member of a cluster:
\[
s^{*}, n^{\cdot},{ }^{\varepsilon}
\]

The consonantic medial clusters that have been found are contained in the following table, in which dashes indicate inadmissible clusters.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(p\) & \(t\) & \(k\) & \(q\) & & \(\grave{c}\) & \(m\) & \(n\) & \(\mathfrak{n}\) & \(v\) & \(l\) & \(r, *\) & \(y\) & \(w\) & \(h\) \\
\hline \(p\) & \(p p\) & \(p t\) & \(p k\) & \(p q\) & \(p g\) & rcc & & - & - & & \(p l\) & \(p r\) & \(p y\) & & \\
\hline \(t\) & & \(t\) & tk & \(t q\) & & tč & - & - & - & \(t v\) & - & (tr)- & \(t y\) & \(t w\) & th \\
\hline 9 & - & \(g t\) & & \(g q\) & \(g 9\) & \(g c ̌\) & - & on & - & - & gl & \(p r\) & \(9 y\) & - & \\
\hline - & & \({ }^{\prime}\) & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }^{\text {e }} q\) & \({ }^{\varepsilon} g\) & & \(\epsilon_{m}\) & \({ }^{\varepsilon} n\) & \({ }^{1}\) & & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }^{\varepsilon}{ }_{r}\) & & & \\
\hline \(\varepsilon\) & - & - & - & - & & čc & - & - & & \(\check{c} v\) & - & - - & & & ch \\
\hline 8 & \(8 \cdot p\) & & \(s * k\) & \(s \cdot q\) & & & & \(s \cdot n\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(m\) & \(m p\) & \(m t\) & \(m k\) & \(m q\) & mg & \(m ¢\) & mm & \(m n\) & \(m \bar{n}\) & & \(m l\) & \(m \mathrm{r}\) & \(m y\) & \(m w\) & \\
\hline \(n\) & \(n p\) & \(n t\) & \(n k\) & \(n q\) & - & \(n c ̌\) & \(n m\) & \(n n\) & - & \(n v\) & \(n l\) & ( \(n r\) ) \(n *\) & \(n y\) & & \\
\hline \(n \cdot\) & \(n \cdot p\) & & \(n \cdot k\) & \(n \cdot q\) & \(n \cdot g\) & & \(n \cdot m\) & & \(n \cdot n\) & \(u^{\cdot} \cdot v\) & & - - & & & \\
\hline n & - & - & \(\hat{n} k\) & nq & ñ & - & & - & \(n \bar{n}\) & - & - & - - & - & - & \\
\hline & \(l p\) & \(t\) & \(u\) & \(l q\) & - & & \(l m\) & & & lv & - & & \(l y\) & lw & lh \\
\hline \(r\) & & - & \(r k\) & & \(r g\) & - & & - & & \(r v\) & - & \(r\) & - & \(r w\) & \\
\hline \(y\) & yp & - & & & \(y\) g & & \(y m\) & - & \(y \pi\) & & - & - & & & \\
\hline \% & & \(w t\) & ( \(w k\) ) & \(w q\) & vq & & wm & wn & & - & & \(w r\) & - & vow & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\(\begin{array}{ll}{ }^{1} \text { Except } l \cdot h . & \quad 8 \text { Except } k k \text {, and in one case } k r, \text { which is probably an affricative } q . \\ { }^{2} \text { Except } n d . & \quad \text { Except } q q .\end{array}\)
}

\section*{§ 6. Vocalic Contraction}

When sounds that form inadmissible combinations come into contact through composition, phonetic changes occur.
(1) Of two weak or strong vowels in contact, the first one is elided. qas-aa'cềk<qaLê'-a \(a^{\prime}\) cêk lazy boy
\(\ddot{u}^{\varepsilon} q\)-u'ttuut< \(\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} q \ddot{̈}-u^{\prime} t t u u t\) bad wood
(2) Obscure \(I, E, \breve{u}, A, \ddot{u}, a^{c}\), following another vowel are elided. The glottal stop is always retained.
\(a \tilde{n} q a-n n a^{\prime} n<a \tilde{n} q a^{\prime}-\) Enna' \(n\) sea-fish
gapau'lêèn<ga-üpa'ulện he drank
\(\hat{\varepsilon}_{e^{\prime}} r i^{\varepsilon} l<\hat{r} e^{\prime} r i \hat{i} \hat{a}^{\prime \prime}\) Lel muddy snow
\(\tilde{n} e u^{\varepsilon} t t i n<\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u-a^{6} t t i n\) female dog

\section*{§ 7. Medial Consonantic Processes}

When two consonants come into contact, certain changes occur. The consonants given on the left-hand side form, when followed by the consonants at the head of the columns, the following combinations:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & \(p\) & \(m\) & \(v\) & \({ }^{*}\) & & \(t\) & \(n\) & c & \(y\) & \(r\) & \(\ell\) & \(k\) & \(\check{n}\) & \(q\) & 9 \\
\hline \(p\) & forms & with & & & & & & & \(m 2\) & & & & & & \(m \tilde{n}\) & & \\
\hline \(v\) & forms & with & & & & & & & & & & & & wkw & & & \\
\hline \(w\) & forms & with & & & wkw & wkw & & & & & vop & & & & & & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}w k w \\ w q\end{array}\right.\) \\
\hline \(l\) & form 8 & with & & \(n m\) & & & & & \(n \boldsymbol{n}\) & & & \(r r\) & \(L\) & & \(n \cdot n\) & & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { th } \\ t y\end{array}\right.\) \\
\hline \(n\) & forms & with & & & & & & & & & & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}n r \\ n r\end{array}\right.\) & & & \(n \cdot \tilde{n}\) & & \(n \cdot 9\) \\
\hline c & forms & wilh & \(s^{*} p\) & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}s^{*} m \\ n m\end{array}\right.\) & & & \} & \(t t\) & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}s^{\bullet} n \\ n n\end{array}\right.\) & & & & & \(8 \cdot k\) & čh & \(s \cdot q\) & ch \(h\) \\
\hline \(y\) & forms & with & & & & & & & \(p n\) & & & \(p r\) & & & & & \\
\hline \(r\) & forms & with & & & & & & & & \(t c\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(l\) & form8 & with & & & & & & & & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l} \\ l y\end{array}\right.\) & & & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}L \\ L\end{array}\right.\) & & lh & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}l q \\ s^{\bullet} q\end{array}\right.\) & \(l /\)
\(l y\) \\
\hline \(k\) & form & with & wp & \(w m\) & wkw & wkw & & \(p t\) & \(p n\) & & 90 & gr & & qk & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\underline{0} \tilde{n} \\ \tilde{n} \tilde{n}\end{array}\right.\) & \(q q\)
\(q q\) & \(w k w\)
\(q g\) \\
\hline \(n\) & forms & with & \(m p\) & \(w m\) & \(m v\) & \(m w\) & & & \[
g n
\] & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(q\) & forms & with & \({ }^{2} p\) & \({ }^{\text {c }}\) m & ev & \({ }^{*} w\) & & \({ }^{\prime} t\) & & & & & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{*} k\) & \({ }^{n}\) & \(\left({ }^{6} q\right)\) & 19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

These changes may be summarized in part as follows:
(1) Voiceless labial and dental stops before nasals become nasals.
(2) \(k\) and \(g\) before labials become \(w\); with \(v\) and \(w\), they form a labialized \(k\).
(3) \(k, g\), and \(y\) before dentals become \(g\).
(4) \(\eta\) before consonants becomes \({ }^{\varepsilon}\); only \(I I\) occurs.
(5) \(\tilde{n}\) before labials becomes m; before dentals, \(n\).
(6) \(\check{c}\) before labials, palatals, and \(n\), becomes \(s^{\circ}\). When \(l\) replaces \(\delta\), it is treated in the same manner.
(7) Dentals before palatals are palatalized.
(8) \(w\) with following \(r \cdots\), and sometimes also with \(g\), forms labialized \(k\).
(9) \(y\) following \(r\), \(n\), and \(g\), becomes \(g\).
(10) \(t(c)\), and \(r\) with following \(y\), form \(\varepsilon\).
(11) \(l\) with following \(y\) forms \(\check{\jmath}\), or \(l y\).
(12) \(t, c\), and ( \(r\) ) with following \(r\) form \(r\),
(13) \((t), \check{c}\), and \(r\) with following \(t\) form \(t t\).
(14) \(\tilde{n}\) with following \(n\) and \(\tilde{n}\) forms \(g n\) and \(g \tilde{n}\).
(15) \(n\) and \(\tilde{n}\) with following \(y\) form \(n d \cdot\).
(16) \(n\) and \(\bar{n}\) with following \(r\) form often \(n r\).
(17) \(t, c, r\), and \(l\) with following \(l\) form \(L\). The last two with following \(/\) also form \(L\).
(18) \(l\) with following \(\cdot\) forms \(r r\).
(19) \(l\) with following \(\tilde{n}\) forms \(l h\).
(20) \(r\) with following \(n\) forms \(m n\).
(21) \(r\) with following \(\check{c}\) forms \(t c ̌\).
(22) \(k\) with following \(k\) and \(q\) form \(g k\) and \(g_{\%}\).
(23) \(k\) and \(g\) with following \(\tilde{n}\) form \(\tilde{n} \tilde{n}\).
(24) \(k\) with following \(!\) forms \(g g\); with following \(g\) u, whe (u).
(25) \(g\) with following \(q\) forms \(g q\).
(26) \(l\) and \(t\) with following \(g\) form \(l h, l y\), and \(t h, t y\).

Examples:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(m \times \cdots n(1)\) & gemnés 1 in whetted \(<g e-p m e_{i}^{\prime}-l i n\) ralamnán \(<\operatorname{la}_{0}\) ala-pmálin the knife-whetter 44.4 \\
\hline \(p^{n}>m \tilde{n}(1)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
rimmene from the inner skin (rípin inmer skin) \\
 \(\operatorname{man}_{1} n \tilde{n}_{I} l o_{0}^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n<n a-p \tilde{m}_{I} l o_{o}^{\prime}-a_{\wedge}^{\varepsilon} n\) they asked him 66.24
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(t_{\ldots}>n m\) (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
mini'nmik: < min-i't-mik let us be! 57.1 \\
 \(n a_{1}^{\prime} n m_{0} u a_{n}^{\varepsilon} n<n a-t \operatorname{mon}_{0} u-\ddot{a}_{1}^{s} n\) they killed it 8.2 \\
 away! 17.8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(t n>n n(1)\) &  \\
\hline \(t \tilde{n}>n^{\bullet} \tilde{n}(1,7)\) &  \\
\hline 䂙 > wp (2) & mŭwpe'nvel < mŭkpe'nvel many two-year-old reindeer-bucks \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \[
k m>w m(2)
\] &  deer \\
\hline \(k v>w k w(2)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
pIčawhiva'glıñan < piča'li-cu'gliñan boot-grass \\
(i. e., grass insole)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(k w>\) whw (2) & \[
\text { müwkwè̀ t.hau }<\text { mưk-wèे't liaw (too) many }
\] words \\
\hline \(g p^{\prime}>w^{\prime}(2)\) &  \\
\hline \(\underline{g m}>\mathrm{mm}(2)\) & etefé \(w-m I^{\prime} t_{l} \ddot{a} m i t<e_{i} e^{\prime} g-m_{1}^{\prime} t_{q} \ddot{a} m i t\) sweet blubber (honey) \\
\hline & ceêe 'wmak < cêel g-mak egg-shell \\
\hline \(g r>\) whew (2) &  \\
\hline \(g u \mathrm{l}\) > when (2) &  \\
\hline kt > gt (3) &  72.27 \\
\hline \(k n>g n(3)\) & müg-nénnet < mük-német many otter (skins) \\
\hline \(k r>g r\) (3) &  \\
\hline \(k l>g l(3)\) & mŭgli'glig<muth-li'glig many eggs \\
\hline \(\cdots \mathrm{y}\) > \(>\) t (3) &  \\
\hline \(y n>9 n(3)\) & \(m a^{\prime}!n I<m a i^{\prime \prime}-n I\) property piled up outside of house. \\
\hline & \(\tilde{n}_{1}^{\prime} g^{\prime} \underline{n I}<\tilde{n}_{1} i^{\prime}-n I\) mountain \\
\hline \(y \delta>g c ̌\) (3) &  \\
\hline \(y r>g r\) (3) &  \\
\hline yl \(\gg g l(3)\) &  \\
\hline \(q\) before consonants \(>^{\varepsilon}(4)\) &  \(a_{0}^{\hat{\varepsilon}} n e_{0}^{\prime} p u<a_{0}^{\prime} q n-\hat{e} p u \frac{u}{0}\) from the fish-hook \\
\hline &  \\
\hline &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A few stems, when preceding consonants, change \(q\) to \({ }^{\varepsilon}\). and their vowels become subject to ablaat.
\(t_{e}^{\varepsilon}<t_{I I}\) to cast metal
\(y_{\mu}^{e^{\varepsilon}}<y_{I I q}\) quick
\(m e^{\varepsilon}<m i q\) small
 te \(\epsilon^{\prime \prime} n i n\) he has cast it
\(\tilde{n} p>m p\) (5) tampêerce \(\quad\) etañ-pêra'ê he appeared well gempélin< \(\quad\) ge-ñpe'-lin ther landed 12.9
 state of things

\(\tilde{n} t>n t\)
\(\tilde{n} \check{c}>n \varepsilon\)


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\tilde{n} y>n y\) & tele \(e_{e}^{\prime} n-y e_{e}^{\prime} p<t e l e_{e}^{\prime} n-y e_{e}^{\prime} p\) long time ago \\
\hline \(\tilde{n} \boldsymbol{r} \gg n \mathscr{r}\) &  \\
\hline & \(\tan -r^{\prime} a^{\prime} n<t a n-r a^{\prime} n\) a good house; but tanroolgal <tan-ro'olgal good food \\
\hline \(\tilde{n} l>n l\) & ten-lo \(e^{\prime} u \hat{t}<t_{e} \hat{\tilde{n}}\)-le \(e^{\prime} u t\) good, clever head \\
\hline 郘>sp(6) & mas \({ }^{-p} a^{\prime} a \hat{e}_{\hat{c}}^{s}<m a c ̌-p a^{\prime} a \hat{e}_{s}^{t}\) he seemed to cease \\
\hline \({ }^{x} m>s^{*} m^{(6)}\) &  \\
\hline & size of a cake of brick tea; but also mes. kirpi'n-mič \\
\hline \(c_{n}>s^{*} n(6)\) & mes'ni'mpäain<meč-nu'mpäqin somewhat slow; but also menn'mpäqin \\
\hline \(c k>s \cdot k(6)\) & Kippis. Kin<kirpíckin belonging to a cake of brick-tea; but from va'eñquc̆ There is derived the adjective vaeñ \(a^{\prime} t k e \hat{e}\) \\
\hline  & \(k \operatorname{irpl}^{\prime} s{ }^{\prime} \eta \ddot{a} i<k i r p i i^{\prime} \dot{c}-q \ddot{a} i\) small piece of brick-tea (see 29.8) \\
\hline \(n \tilde{n}>n \cdot \tilde{n}(7)\) & \(q^{u n} \cdot \tilde{n} e^{\prime} e k r k<q^{u n}-\tilde{n} e^{\prime} e k r k\) single daughter (see, however, qun-ñe'ekrk 29.8) \\
\hline \(n g>n \cdot g(7)\) & qon-gıtka'ta<qon-grtka'ta one-legged \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\dot{q}>s q, \text { when } l \text { re- } \\
\text { places a } \dot{c}
\end{gathered}
\] & wu's'ques** < wu'lquul darkness \\
\hline \(w v>w k w(8)\) &  ^̂̀ng \\
\hline & lan'lawkwa'zrga<lau'lau'-rátroga by mischievous being 117.21 \\
\hline \(w w>w k w(8)\) &  \\
\hline \(w g>w k w(8)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
trmara \(a_{0}^{\prime} w k w a^{\varepsilon} k<t\) mara \(a^{i}-g a_{a}^{\varepsilon} k\) I quarreled \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(v y>v g(9)\) & movềntonênat<n-vyi-ñto-qinet their breath went out \(3 \hat{4} .6\) \\
\hline \(w y>w g(9)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\({ }_{a}\) wego' \({ }^{\prime} I k a<\ddot{a}-w y o l-k a ̈ a t h o u t ~ a s s i s t a n t ~ 124.5\) \\
\(\hat{n} a^{i} w g \hat{l} l<\bar{n} a w-y \hat{l} l\) female cousin
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(g y>g g(9)\) &  \\
\hline & aza'ggan<ava'gyan the sweet one \\
\hline \(t y>c \cdot(10)\) & \(y r^{\prime} l_{1} a^{\circ} a_{n}<y r^{\prime}\) lqat-yan the sleeper \\
\hline \(r y>c \cdot(10)\) & \(k o^{\prime} c^{\prime} \cdot 0<k o^{\prime} r\)-yo the one bought \\
\hline \(l y>j\). or remains unaltered (11) & \(a^{\prime} l k r j \cdot o<a^{\prime} l k r l y o\) the one recognized. The unaltered form occurs also. \\
\hline \(t r>m(12)\) & grr-ra'ttam <glt-ra'ttam thin curried reindeerskin \\
\hline \(c_{r} \gg r r(12)\) & marra'enkkr <mad-ra'eñki somewhat back of you \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {ct }} \gg t t\) (13) &  crazy \\
\hline &  tion he moved on \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(r t>t t(13)\) & tut-tei'krk<tur-tei'kik newly made \\
\hline \(\bar{n} n>g n(14)\) & teg-ne'lhin<teñ-ne'lhme good skin \\
\hline \(\tilde{n} \tilde{n}>g \underline{n}\) (14) &  \\
\hline \(n y>n d \cdot(15)\) & minda'lqänmik<minyr'lqänmık let us go to sleep! \(\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n d i l h \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n<\ddot{a}^{\prime} n y ı l h \ddot{a}^{s} n\) let us give it to him! \\
\hline \(\tilde{n} y>\tilde{n} d \cdot(15)\) & \(t a n d \cdot a^{\prime} n<t a \tilde{n}-y a^{\prime} n\) a good one \\
\hline \(n r>n \check{r}\) (16) & \(\tilde{n} u^{\prime} n{ }_{r} i_{i}<\tilde{n} u^{\prime} n r_{i}^{i}\) there (to the right or to the left side from the speaker) \\
\hline \(t l>\boldsymbol{L}\) (17) & \(g e^{\prime} l_{q} \ddot{a}_{L} i_{\lambda} n<g e^{\prime}-\operatorname{lq} \ddot{a} t-l_{\lambda}{ }_{n} n\) he departed \\
\hline \(c l>L\) (17) & maLǔ̆'m \(n u n-v a^{\prime} l i n<m a c ̌-l u{ }^{\prime} m \tilde{n} u n ̃-v a^{\prime} l_{I n}\) somewhat lazy \\
\hline \(r l>L\) (17) & \(t u_{L} u^{\varepsilon} k<t u^{\prime}-l u^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime} k\) jnst on seeing it \\
\hline \(l l>L\) (17) & \(a^{\varepsilon} t t 0^{\prime} o L a^{\prime} u t<a^{\varepsilon} t t o^{\prime} o l-l a^{\prime} u t\) front head (the star Arcturus) \\
\hline \(l r>\operatorname{rr}(18)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
genétin \(<\) ge-nél-lin he has become 116.21 \\
 ple" (i. e., the beings supposed to live in the world above)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(l \tilde{n}>l h(19)\) &  \\
\hline \(r n>n n(20)\) & tun-nél \(l_{\text {l }}\) \\
\hline \(r c>t c(20)\) & \(t_{\theta} t-c_{c} a i<t_{\theta} r\)-čai new tea \\
\hline & walka'tčiñm<walka'r-čiñm the jaw-bone house 59.8 \\
\hline \(k k>g k(22)\) & \(m \check{u} g-k u k e^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I}<m \breve{u} k-k{ }^{\prime} e^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I}\) numerous kettles, a number of kettles \\
\hline \(k q>g q(22)\) &  \\
\hline \(k \tilde{n}>\tilde{n} \tilde{n}(23)\) & \(p \hat{e}^{\prime} \hat{e}\) évañ-ña'lvŭl<pe'éčzak-ña'lıul one-year rein-deer-herd \\
\hline \(9 \tilde{n}>\tilde{n} \tilde{n}(23)\) &  young bird hatches \\
\hline \(k g>w k w(24)\) & \(m \breve{u} k w u i^{\prime}\) gun <mŭk-gui'gun many block-houses \\
\hline \(k \underline{q}>\boldsymbol{l}\) g (24) &  \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
g q>q q \text { (only in } \\
\text { suffixes) }(25)
\end{gathered}
\] & \(e^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon} q q \ddot{a} i<\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} g-q \ddot{a} i\) little wolf; but \(e^{\varepsilon} g-q u l i^{\prime} q u l\) wolf's voice \\
\hline \(l g>l y(26)\) & \(n e^{\prime} 7 y i^{\varepsilon}\) it became 9.11 \\
\hline \(t \underline{g}>\) ty \({ }^{\text {(26) }}\) & ri'ty \(\ddot{i}^{\epsilon}\) thou shalt be \\
\hline & § 8. Auxilirry Vowels \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(1) When clusters of more than two consonants are formed by composition, the clusters are broken up by an auxiliary vowel, ordinarily \(r\).

Before \(w, v\), the auxiliary vowel is \(u\).
Before or after a \(p\) which forms part of a consonantic cluster, the auxiliary vowel is \(\check{u}\).
\(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40 . pt. 2-12-42

Before or after \(q\), the auxiliary vowel is \(\ddot{a}\).
\(t_{I}{ }^{\prime} m k\)-I-léut ( \(t_{I}{ }^{\prime} m k i l g i-l e^{\prime} u t \mathrm{R}\) 278) hummock-head
\(i^{\prime} t c\)-I-pılvi'ntın precious metal (i. e., gold)
\(g\) êl-I'-tkin-I-k on the top of the sea-ice 9.1

eleu't-I-kä without head 47.8 (<éleqwt-kä)
\(i^{\prime} t c-u\)-wil precious ware
\(\hat{e}_{1} u l-u\)-wálat long knives 15.2 (<iviwl+valat)
\(n-I^{\prime}-n p-\breve{u}-q i n\) old one
\(n\)-й-plu'qin small one 10.2
lêlanpina'chäqai eyes (had) the small old man \(n-\hat{i^{\prime}} t \delta-\ddot{a}-q i n\) heary, dear
(2) Consonants that can not form clusters—like \(L, L, w k w, d, d \cdot, t^{\cdot}\), \(\varepsilon \cdot, \check{\jmath}, \check{\jmath}\)--take also auxiliary vowels when in contact with other consonants.
mu'Limul blood 117.12
\(t_{1}^{\prime} L-I-t_{1} l\) the entrance 105.15
\(m_{I n g I^{\prime}} \operatorname{LI}^{\prime} n^{\prime} n\) hand 57.10
ga'Lilên he entered into the sleeping-room 109.22
géwkusin they have tied him up 20.10 (<ge-wkut-lin)
(3) When two consonants forming a cluster come to stand in final position, an auxiliary vowel is introduced.
pi'ñl news
pükil big bowl
evitrit dresses 7.8
In some cases, however, there is a terminal obscure vowel, which is derived from an older, stronger vowel.
\(g a^{\prime} L E\) bird (stem galha)
ri'rki walrus (stem rirka)
\(v e^{\prime} L E\) raven (stem velve)
ki'rnt buck, male (stem kirne)

\section*{§ 9. \(u, \boldsymbol{w}\)}

Short, obscure \(u\) may change to \(w\) or \(v\).
tư'urkin thou sayest tư'wtuw word ga'torlện he has said
 ru'urkm thou scrapest \(r u^{\prime} w g o\) the scraped ga'nv้̌lên he has one scraped
múurkin thoudisplacest ru'wgo displaced
ro'orkin thou pluckest ro'wgo plucked
ge'nvilin he has displaced geigo'lên he has plucked

\section*{§ 10. Intervocalic Elision}
(1) Intervocalic \(w, y\), ( \(\bar{y}\) ), and \(g\) are either much weakened or drop out altogether. This happens particularly when the vowels preceding and following these sounds are alike. After an elision, the two vowels are often assimilated.
\(\tilde{n} e^{\prime} e k_{I k}<\bar{n} e w-e k ı k\) daughter
gaala'lêen \(<\) gagala'lêen he has passed by
gaa'lhiLên < gaya'lhilên he has moved away
muwa'qөa \(a^{\varepsilon} k<m u w a^{\prime} q \theta g a^{\varepsilon} k\) let me sit down!
ya'rlhin and ya'gilhm foot
miti'nmuut <mit-I-tmŭ-git we killed thee 10.12
pe'gtu-u'rgIrgIn < é'g \(^{\prime}\) gtr-wu'rgirgin runner-noise 32.10
cime'erkin< čime'tırkin thou creakest
(2) \(I+y\) followed by a vowel, and \(y+I\) preceded by \(e\) and \(I\), form neutral \(i\) (see \(\S 2\), p. 644). The preceding \(I\) is assimilated by this neutral \(i\).
gé'iLin< géyILin given
tio' \({ }^{\prime}\) lhin <tryo'lhin vein
qia'lhim and quya'lhin heel
\(g e i^{\prime} l_{q} \ddot{u}_{L} i_{n}<g e-y I^{\prime} l_{q} \ddot{a}_{L} i_{n}\) he slept


\section*{§ 11. Phonetic Influences between Words}

The changes described in \(\S \S 6-10\) occur not only in word composition, but also between the end and beginning of words that form parts of a syntactic unit.
 driving-reindeer passed by
 angry ( \(y_{a^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}\) rat too much; múri we; an \(\tilde{n} \hat{n}\) naí pù to become angry)
 one; \(\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} l q e^{\prime} p\) nail; nto,-ntto to go out; - \(i^{\varepsilon} 3 \mathrm{~d}\) per. sing.)
 camp moved away
Sometimes \(\bar{n}\) or \(t\) is inserted between two vowels-one terminal, the next initial-that come together in a sentence.
tele \(e^{\prime} g-v \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} t \ddot{u}-\tilde{u}-i^{\prime} i r k m\) gradually dying he is
Such insertions, as well as the assimilation of sounds belonging to different words, are used with a great deal of freedom.

\section*{§ 12. Initirll Consonantic Clusters}

I have found the following initial consonantic clusters:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Initial sound} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Second sound} \\
\hline & 8 & m & n & ã & r & 1 \\
\hline \(p\) & pe & & \(p n\) & \(p \bar{n}\) & pr & pl \\
\hline t & & & tn & \(t \bar{n}\) & tr & \\
\hline k & & \(k m\) & & & \(k r\) & kl \\
\hline 9 & & & & \(q \pi\) & \(q r\) & \(q l\) \\
\hline g & & & & & gr & \\
\hline m & & & mn & m n & mr & ml \\
\hline n & & & & & nr & \\
\hline n & & & & & & ñl \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It appears from this table that the stops and nasals, with following nasal \(r\) and \(l\), are the only admissible classes of initial clusters, and not all the combinations of these are found. The combination \(p\) c seems exceptional in this series. Combinations which occur in initial but not in medial position are printed in italics.

Examples:
pr interjection 88.17
pre'rem meat pudding
plägi' that is all 107.21
plaikilkin boot
prêgtuwa'rkin thou takest off the boots
pne'rkm thou whettest it
\(p \pi o^{\prime} r k m\) thou imbibest
tni'rkin thou sewest
tñarggê't to the dawn 135.16
traya' \(a a^{\varepsilon} n\) shall I use it? 93.19
trennike' wkwä́s \(n\) I shall do to it 99.10
\(k m i ' \tilde{n} \ddot{q} q \ddot{a} i\) small son 126.11
kri'tkin upper course of a river
kloka'lhin a kind of berry
qra'qu to the disowning 94.30
qres"qioukwie git enter! 102.35
qla'ulqai little man 9.6
gro' \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) she bronght forth 104.8
mle'rkin thou breakest
\(m \tilde{n e}-e \overline{n e} e^{\prime} \hat{n} l\) lın sacrificing-shaman 42.5
mnéwkwenmik let us go away! 17.8
mra'gtiáki I shall go home 99.2
\(m r a^{\prime} y o^{\circ} \pi_{m}\) shall we visit him? 105.10
nre'q-i-grt what dost thou want? 125.6
\(n l e e^{\prime} n \cdot n l e t\) flame
\(q \tilde{n} a u n r a ' g\) gatyêe \(^{\varepsilon}\) take wife home 115.8
Since many stems consist of consonantic clusters that are not admissible either medially or initially, a great number of very curious phonetic changes of stems occur, either by consonantic assimilation or dissimilation, or by the insertion of auxiliary vowels. Since these changes are not so frequent in Koryak, the latter dialect often shows the original form of the stem, which can not be recognized from the Chukchee forms alone. I will give here examples of a series of phonetic changes of this type.
(1) Medial modifications.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Initial cluster of stems} \\
\hline Initial form & Probable stem & Medial form \\
\hline \(p n\) & \({ }^{*} p n\) & \(m n\) \\
\hline tn & *tn & \(n n\) \\
\hline \(t \bar{n}\) & * \(t\) \% & \(n \cdot \bar{n}\) \\
\hline km & * \(k\) m & wm \\
\hline \(q l\) & *ql & \({ }^{4} 1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(2) In the second group the stent, when in initial position, loses the first sound of the consonantic cluster.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Initial form & Probable stem & Medial form \\
\hline \(k\) & *rk & rk \\
\hline \(p\) & *lp & \({ }^{\prime} p\) \\
\hline \(k\) & * \(/ k\) & \({ }^{1 /}\) \\
\hline \(q\) & \(*{ }^{*}\) & \(q\) \\
\hline \(l\) & *ll & \(t r\) \\
\hline \(k\) and \(t i k\) & *k & \({ }_{\text {ut }}\) \\
\hline \(w\) & * w k 0 & (wkw) \\
\hline v & *tv & (tv) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(3) In a few cases a substitution of sounds occurs, partly due to the phonetic laws described before.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Initial & Probable stem & Medial \\
\hline\(r\) & \({ }^{\prime}\) \\
\(g\) & \({ }^{\prime} g\) & \(n^{1}\) \\
\(h, y\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Not in all cases.
(4) In a great many cases an auxiliary vowel is introduced between the members of the cluster.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 nitial & Probable stem & Medial \\
\hline \(p r\) and \(p / r\) & *pr & \(p r\) \\
\hline püli & *pk & \(p k\) \\
\hline pIn & *p \(\bar{n}\) & \(m n\) \\
\hline rand tur & * \(t\) r & \(t r\) \\
\hline titt & *t & t \\
\hline \(k\) and tak & * \(k\) & \(t k\) \\
\hline til & *tl & \(l\) \\
\hline kit & \({ }^{*} \cdot / \cdot\) & \(g t\) \\
\hline H/t & * \({ }^{\prime}\) ! & \(g{ }^{t}\) \\
\hline kily & * \(k\) y & 99 \\
\hline 1 mm & *tm & nm \\
\hline git & *gt & gt \\
\hline \(m\) ŭk & * \(m k\) & \(m k\) \\
\hline \(\tilde{n} T p\) & * \(\tilde{n} p\) & \(m p\) \\
\hline nit & * \(n\) t & \(n t\) \\
\hline no & * \(\mathrm{m}^{\text {b }}\) & \(m g\) \\
\hline rig & *rg & rof \\
\hline git & *gl & gl \\
\hline tr or rir & *rr & rr \\
\hline \(q!y\) & *qy & \({ }^{\text {s }}\) y \\
\hline vй(i) & * 1 y & \(r\) ? \\
\hline wiy & * \(w y\) & \(u g\) \\
\hline lil & *ll & \({ }_{L}\) \\
\hline yu & *yg & \% 9 \\
\hline čuw & * \({ }^{\text {c }}\) v & \(\dot{c} v\) \\
\hline lin & * 10 & th \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Stem
*pne pnér rkm thou ninemnéqin she whetted it 44.4 whettest it
*tni tni'rkm thou sew- ge'nnilin he sewed est it
*t \(\tilde{n} i \quad t \tilde{n} i^{\prime} u r k m \quad t h o u\) gen \(\tilde{n} i u^{\prime} l i n\) he sent it sendest it
*kmiñet kmiñe'trkin she, gewmíñesin she brought forth brings forth
qli'kkin twenty (lit., that eslikkeu'kělin nineteen (lit., one of a man) lacking to a man)
*rkile or kile'nnin he fol- gerkele'lin she followed him 37.1
*rkele lowed them 50.8
*rkur ku'rirkin thou ge'rkution he bought buyest it
*rkipl ki'plınên she ga'rkiplılên he had struck 86.7 struck her 86.5
*lpinř pr'nřrrkin thou galpínřrlên he gave givest to him nilpr'nř̆qqềnat they gave them 14.3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Stem & & \\
\hline \[
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{*} l \text { pinit or } \\
{ }^{*} \text { lpinit }
\end{array}\right\}
\] & pini'irkme thou bindest him & nelpini'ty \(\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n\) they bound him 8.1 \\
\hline *lqät & \(q \ddot{a}^{\prime} t y i^{\varepsilon}\) heleft 100.16 & ge'lqüLio he left 59.1 \\
\hline *lqäin & qüineu'nin he shot at it 78.13 & nilqäneu'nin they shot 78.10 \\
\hline *tku & ku'rkin thou consumest it & ge'tkulin he consumed it 7.2 \\
\hline * wkut & wuti'lhin tying stick 104.24 & ge'wkusin they had tied him 20.10 \\
\hline * tva & \(v q^{\prime} r k^{\prime} m\) he is 125.2 & qatva'rkin stay! 57.3 \\
\hline *tvêtča & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { vêť̌a'rkin he } \\
& \text { stands }
\end{aligned}
\] & gatvêt tčalên he stood \\
\hline & wêtča'lin standing \(\pm 8.3\) & nitvềtéaqên he stood 48.1 \\
\hline \({ }^{*} p r\) & \(p_{1}{ }^{\prime} r g \ddot{c}^{\varepsilon} n\) thou hast plucked it & ge \({ }^{\prime}\) prilin plucked out \\
\hline & prírkin he tears out & \(n e^{\prime} p r i \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n\) they tore off 30.7 \\
\hline *pkir & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { pŭki'rgäáa } t \text { they } \\
\text { came } 64.2
\end{gathered}
\] & ge'pkitin he came 8.6 \\
\hline *pnlo & pinlo'nên he asked him 80.3 & \(n a m \tilde{n} I l o^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n\) they asked him t6.24 \\
\hline * tou & \(t u^{\prime} w n e ̂ n\) she promised it 49.6 & gaa tvulên he promised 101.21 \\
\hline * ttu & \(t_{\text {Ittu'remin }}\) he blows & gettu'lin he blowed \\
\hline * tle & \(t_{\text {Il }} e^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} t\) they moved 64.9 & minle'git let me move thee! 89.7 \\
\hline * tke & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { tikêrkem thou } \\
& \text { smellest of }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(g a^{\prime} t k \hat{e} l e ̂ n ~ h e ~ s m e l l e d ~\) \\
\hline * yto & yitog'nên he pulls it out 45.2 & gagto'lèn he had been pulled out 42.8 \\
\hline * \(k\) yerı & kryéwkwis he awoke 55.8 & geggeu'lin he awoke 55.3 \\
\hline * \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{m}\) & \(t_{\text {Imnén }}\) he killed him 43.11 & na'mmuar \(n\) they killed himı 8.2 \\
\hline *gtin & \[
\begin{aligned}
& g_{I} t i^{\prime} n-l u^{\prime} l q a ̈ l \\
& \text { pretty face }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(n r^{\prime} g\) gingin he is pretty \\
\hline * \(m k\) & m单kictm more numerous 12.3 & nü'mkäqin numerous 12. \({ }^{\text {\% }}\) \\
\hline * \(n\) pe & \(\tilde{n} i^{\prime} e^{\prime} \tilde{u}^{\varepsilon} t\) they came ashore 7.8 & gempe'lin they landed 12.9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{* \(\tilde{n}\) to} & \(\tilde{n}^{\text {a }}\) (o' \(\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}\) he went out & ganto'lên he has gone out 8.t \\
\hline & 56.4 & §12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Stem } \\
& * \tilde{n} t
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\tilde{n}_{I} t_{I} r_{k}{ }_{I n}\) it detaches itself & \(g e^{\prime} n t_{1} l_{m}\) it has detached itself \\
\hline * \(\tilde{n}\) ขo & no'orkm he begins & gamga'lên he has begun \\
\hline * r g g & ri'girkin he digs out & ge'roglion he has dug out \\
\hline * \({ }^{\prime}\) 'lo & gılo'lên the one sorrowing 27.12 & niglóqên she sorrowed 27.10 \\
\hline \({ }^{*} r r\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ri'rg untying } \\
& 63.12
\end{aligned}
\] & nerrimet they were untied 63.11 \\
\hline \({ }^{*} r\) rl & rimitilikin heputs down & gerrit \(\mathrm{m}^{\text {in }}\) be has put down \\
\hline & rifrilnin he let him go 121.33 & \(n \in r^{+} 7{ }^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} n\) they set him free 8.2 \\
\hline * ข 4 a & vüia'arkitn he lets go (an animal) & gavega'sên he has let go \\
\hline * wyo & wi'yowi sling & gawgo'ta with a sling \\
\hline *llep & lile'pgit he looked 7.6 & gälét \(p i^{\varepsilon}\) look! 79.11 (stem liie eye; \(-p\) to put on) \\
\hline *ygu & yu'urkin thou bitest it & ge igutin he has bitten it \\
\hline * \({ }^{\text {c }}\) Oi & \(\check{c} u \tilde{c}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{1} t\) piece cut off 72.19 & nine'crvigin they cut it 72.18 \\
\hline */ \({ }^{\text {n }}\) &  him as & \(t_{\text {I }}\) 'lhigit I have you as 15.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The change from initial \(r\) to medial \(n\) occurs only in transitive verbs:
re'urkm thou pier- geneu'lin he pierced
cest it
ru'rkn thou eatest genu'lin he ate
it
but
riñe'rkin he flies geri'ñelin he flew
Initial \(t_{I}\) is sometimes replaced by \(\check{c}_{I}\) (see \(\S 2, \mathrm{p} .646\) ).



In a number of cases stems seem to be reduplicated when initial, and lose this reduplication in medial position.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline rinure slow & \(n r^{\prime} n r^{\prime}\) eqin the slow one (stem nre) \\
\hline \(y_{0} a^{\prime} a^{\prime} \tilde{n}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) house & garálêen having a house (stem ra) \\
\hline yororo' \(\tilde{n}_{I}\) sleeping-room & garo'lê̂n having a sleeping-room (stem ro) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Perhaps the initial \(y\) of the last two examples is derived from \(r\), as in Koryak it replaces \(r\).

Irregular is-
\(i^{\varepsilon}\) rerkin he arranges a rein- gericilelin he has arranged a reindeer driving-match. deer driving-match
When a stem consisting of a consonantic cluster stands alone, auxiliary vowels are introduced after the initial and before the terminal consonant.
\(p r^{\prime} \tilde{n} l l\) news (stem \(\left.p \tilde{n} l\right)\)
\(k_{n}^{\prime} u^{\prime} k l\) one-eyed man (stem \(\left.k k l\right)\)

\section*{§13. Pronunciation of Men and Women}

The pronunciation of the women \({ }^{1}\) differs from that of the men. Women generally substitute \(\delta\) for \(\varepsilon\) and \(r\), particularly after weak vowels. They also substitute \(s_{s}\) for \(r k\) and \(\check{c} h\). The sounds \(\varepsilon\) and \(r\) are quite frequent; so that the speech of women, with its ever-recurring \(\delta\), sounds quite peculiar, and is not easily understood by an inexperienced ear. Women are quite able to pronounce \(\dot{\varepsilon}\) and \(r\), and when quoting the words of a man,-as, for instance, in tales,-use these sounds. In ordinary conversation, however, the pronunciation of men is considered as unbecoming a woman.

Examples are-
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Men's pronunciation & Women's pronur & \\
\hline ra'mkichin &  & people \\
\hline Pa'rkala & Pa'šsala & by Parkal \\
\hline とŭmna'ta & sŭmna'ta & by a buck \\
\hline C'aivu'urgm & Śaivu'ušsın & (a name) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The men, particularly in the Kolyma district, drop intervocalic consonants, principally \(n\) and \(t\). In this case the two adjoining vowels are assimilated.
```

nitva'qaat<nitva'qênat
gei'miLeet < gei'miLinet
ti'rkiir $<t$ i'rkitir $^{\circ}$

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) An example of woman's pronumciation is given in my Chukchee Mythology (l'ublications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, vin, pp. 144, 145); and more fully in my Chukchee Matcrials pp. 121-126, Nos. 26, 27, 29 .
}

It would seem that this process of elimination of intervocalic consonants has been very important in the development of the present form of the Chukchee (see § 10).

Among the maritime Chukchee, the men use both the fuller and shorter forms. Among all the branches of the tribe, women use only the fuller forms.

\section*{Koryak (§§ 14-18)}

\section*{§ 14. Vowels}

The system of vowels of the Koryak is considerably reduced. Corresponding to the Chukchee, we may distinguish three classes of vowels:
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
(1) Weak vowels & \(i\) & & & & \(a\) & \(u\) & \\
(2) Strong vowels & \(e\) & & & & & \(o\), & \(\theta\) \\
(3) Neutral vowels & & & \(a\) & & & \\
\(I\) & \(E\) & & \(a\) & \(\breve{u}\) &
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { In this series, } \theta \text { and } \check{u} \text { are rare } \\
& \theta \text { is generally replaced by } o \\
& \breve{u} \text { is generally replaced by } I \text { or } a
\end{aligned}
\]

A comparison of the table of Koryak vowels with that of the Chukchee rowels shows that the glide \({ }^{e} i\) is missing, \(e\) has taken the place of \(\hat{e}\), and \(a\) neutral that of \(e\) weak and \(a\) strong (see \(\S 3\) ).

Diphthongs formed with terminal \(i\) and \(u\) occur, but the \(u\) of the Chukchee is often replaced by \(w\) or \(a\).

Kor. Kam. apa'vekrn Chukchee ŭpa'urkm
In the dialect of the Kerek, \(i\) often replaces strong \(e\), and is a strong rowel.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Kor. Kam. & Kerek & nee \\
\hline \(m e^{\prime} m_{r l}\) l thong-se & mi'mil thong- & mê'mal spotted seal \\
\hline tañ̃è'tı & tañ \(\tilde{n}_{1}^{\prime} t_{1}\) & \(t a \tilde{n} \tilde{n} \tilde{e}^{\prime} t_{I}\) to a tañ \(\tilde{n}\) n \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

I have observed that the Asiatic Eskimo, when speaking Chukchee, also have a tendency to replace \(\hat{e}\) by \(i\). They say-
\(m i^{\prime} m_{I} l\) instead of Chukchee mê'mıl spotted seal
tirga'urkm instead of Chukchee têrga'arkin he cries
I do not know whether this peculiarity of the Eskimo is related to that of the Kerek.

\section*{§ 15. Consonants}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Stop} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Affricative} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Nasal} & \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Contin- } \\
\text { ued }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow{2}{*}{Lateral} & \multirow{2}{*}{Trill} \\
\hline & Surd & Sonant & Surd & Sonant & Surd & Sonant & & & \\
\hline Labial . & \(p\) & - & - & - & - & \(m\) & \(v\) & - & - \\
\hline Alveolar & \(t\) & - & - & - & - & \(n\) & \(s, c\) & (L L ) ! l & - \\
\hline Palatalized alveolar & \(t\) - & \(d\) & - & - & - & \(n \cdot\) & \(s, \boldsymbol{c}\) & - & - \\
\hline Palatal. & \(k\) & - & - & - & - & \(\pi\) & - & - & - \\
\hline Velar & \(q\) & g & - & - & - & - & \(x\) & - & - \\
\hline Glottal . & - & s & - & - & - & - & & - & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(h, w, y\),

\section*{§ 16. Comparison with Chukchee}

The principal differences between this system and that of the Chukchee are found in the series of afficatives, laterals, and trills. The laterals and trills are absent in the Koryak of Kamenskoyc.
(1) \(\check{c}\) is often replaced by \(c\) (in Kor. II, pronounced like English \(s h\) ). Koryak II oia'kocik Kor. 96.22 (Chukchee uwasqočégtı Kor. 95.6)
ora'cek: Kor. 102.17 (Koryak I oya'ček Kor. 101.1)
(2) \(\check{c}\) - and \(\check{j}\) are replaced by a strong and long \(y y\).
\(k o^{\prime} y y o n\) the one bought (Chukchee \(k o^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \cdot o\) )
(3) As in Chukchee, ? is closely related to \(\check{c}, s, s\), (see § 2).
la'xlañ winter - ¿E \(E^{\prime} x\) čex cold
gayı'sqata sleep! Kor. 31.8 tryayı'? \({ }^{\prime}\) qatin I will sleep Kor. 31.8 pipi'kalñn mouse Kor. 58.7 pipi'kéa-ñew Mouse-Woman Kor. 23.3
valvi'mtilánun to Raven- ra'ču- \(\tilde{n} a^{\prime} u t\) Raven-Woman Kor. Man Kor. \(12.4 \quad 18.4\)
Correspondences of Koryak ? and Chukehee \(\check{c}, s^{\circ}, s\), and vice versâ, are also not rare.

Koryak yal II \(^{\prime}\) wikin he entered Kor. 13.9 (Chukchee resqi'wkwi \({ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\) 11.2)
vos \({ }^{\circ}\) e \(^{\prime} t_{I}\) to darkness Kor. 57.6 (Chukchee \(w u^{\prime} q_{I} k\) 126.1)
(4) Koryak ? is pronounced almost like Polish \(\\) (Russian \(\mathbf{I}\) ), the tip of the tongue touching the upper teeth, the posterior part of the back of the tongue being depressed at the same time. The tip of the tongue is a little farther back than in the corresponding Polish sound. This sound may be recognized even preceding an \(i\).
gavi \({ }^{\epsilon^{\prime}}\) yalin he has died (Chukchee gevis \({ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime} /}\) lin)
§ \(\$ 15,16\)

The ordinary post-alveolar \(l\) is also found.
naw'anpil little woman.
milya'qpil small shell Kor. 23.8
(5) The Chukchee \(L\) and \(U\) are replaced by a sonant sound produced by contact between the tip of the tongue and the upper teeth and between the back of the tongue and the palate. The sound is continued, and accompanied by a slight trill of the back of the tongue. Although this sound replaces both \(L\) and \(t\) of Chukchee, I have retained for it the second Chukchee symbol, \(\underset{L}{ }\).
(6) The Chukchee \(r\) is replaced in Koryak I by \(y\), which, with preceding vowels, forms diphthongs. \({ }^{1}\)

The \(y\) of the Koryak is always pronounced with a raising of the tip of the tongue, which gives it a somewhat sibilant, strongly aspirated effect. In Paren it sounds sometimes almost like \(\delta\).

Kor. Kam.
\(k o i^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I n} \quad k o \ell^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I n}\) cup
va'yken Kor. 13.10
nito'ykin Kor. 12.5
yalqi'wikrn Kor. 13.9
yáqıykm Kor. 66.14
tryayai'tin I'll go home ra'gtie \({ }^{\epsilon}\) he goes home 122.7 Kor. 30.5
Paren
\(y e^{\prime} 7_{I}\) Kor. 60.1., 64.14
ya'ఫvinnen

Chukchee
va'rkin there is
nito'rkin he goes out
resqi'whwi \({ }^{\text {e }} 11.2,19.3\) he entered
réqürkin 18.6 what has happened to you?

Cé \({ }^{\prime} l_{1}\) there
ce'? \({ }^{\prime}\) iñnin he will vanquish him; but ya'?vunnen Kor. 92.20

In a number of cases \(r\) is replaced by \(s^{*}, s, t\), or \(\delta\).

Koryak
gayı'č̌alin Kor. 17.3
ga'č̌rlin Kor. 15.10
gı ǵgolai'tr to a high place Kor. 20.1
nac̃ñ Kor. 60.9
gapi's'qalin Kor. 84.11
yı'ssik Kor. 39.2
gI'ssa Kor. 18.7
ina'ssman Kor. 24.10
wu'ssin Kor. 30.3
-gitn(in)
palqa'thitnin

Chukchee
geyırrétin 96.21 it was full ge'lhalin 64.4 he had him for girgo'lqên 124.1 from above
\(n \alpha^{\prime} r g i n 49.7\) outside
gepi'rqılin she fell down ri'rik to untie something gir thou
ine'rrine marline spike, awl (instrument to untie with)
\(w \breve{u}^{\prime} r r i\) on the back - gIrg(in) abstract noun palqa'tirgrn old age

\footnotetext{
i I have written the \(i\) corresponding to Chukchee diphthongs with \(i\), while for the sound corresponding to \(r\) I have retained \(y\).
}

The sound \(r\) appears in Koryak I folk-lore as characteristic of several monsters and evil spirits. It is also used in Russian loanwords.

Ka'rman pocket (from карманъ)
preka'ssek commorcial agent (from прикащикъ)
c \(a^{\prime}\) qar sugar (from caxaръ)
In the last of these the \(r\) is palatalized.
(7) In Koryak II, \(r\) is used in the same way as in Chukchee, and also sometimes replaces the ? of Koryak I.
\(\left.\begin{array}{l}k a^{\prime} m a k-r u \text { (village Reki'nnok) } \\ k a^{\prime} m a k-l u \text { (Kamenskoye) }\end{array}\right\}\) small image of a guardian
In other cases \(r\) is replaced by \(t, s^{\circ}, c_{c}\), as in Koryak I.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ Chukchee } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Kor. Kam. }
\end{tabular} Voyampolka, Kamehatka
(8) The Chukchee \(\check{r}\) is replaced by \(y\) or by palatalization of the preceding consonant in Koryak I, by \(t\) in Koryak II.

Koryak I, gape'nyrlen he attacked him. Kor. 96.8 (Chukehee pềnř̌nền Kor. 95.10, Koryak II, g̣ape'ntrılen, Kor. 96.26)
(9) In the dental series, \(s\) appears chiefly in place of Chukchee \(r\); \(\iota\) sometimes replaces \(y\); tc often replaces \(t k\).
missaa'lomi we shall hear (Chukchee mirraa'lomi)
\(\kappa_{I}{ }^{\prime} l_{1 I}\) ? tongue Kor. 56.4 (Chukchee yílizil 7.10)
gitća't legs Kor. 57.2 (Chukchee gitka't 51.4)
qi? \(u^{\prime} t\) curu \(^{\prime}\) drum! Kor. 59.4 (Chukchee qilu'thuis)
(10) \(y\) often replaces Chukchee \(g\).
\(y_{I k} a^{\prime} v e k\) In he makes haste (Chukchee gaira'urkin)
tayyeñivo'ykm he began to cough Kor. 84.20 (Chukehee têggı 102.35)
(11) \(v\) often replaces Chukchee \(w\). Initial \(v\) is much more frequent than initial \(w\).
valo'm Kor. ós.7 (Chukchee ualo'm 32.7) to hear.
(12) \(d \cdot\) of the Kamenskoye dialect is analogous to the same sound in Chukchee, and appears after palatalized \(n\).

Kor. Kam. \(\tilde{n} a w-I^{\prime} n d \cdot u l a^{\varepsilon} n<\tilde{n} a w-I-n y u-l a^{\varepsilon} n\) the one serving for a wife (stems \(\tilde{n} a w\) woman; myu to wateh the herd)
Chukchee \(\tilde{n}\) cund \(l^{\prime} u^{\prime} l \boldsymbol{l} n<\tilde{n} e u-n y u-l i n\) (stems \(\left.\tilde{n} e w,-n y u[r i u]\right)\)

The Paren \(n\) in this position is simply palatalized, and we have the corresponding word newr' \(n n \cdot u!a^{\varepsilon} n\).

In the same way,-
Kamenskoye \(\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n d d^{\prime} \epsilon n\), or even \(\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n \mathfrak{j}\) cn (from \(\left.\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n y e n\right)\)
Paren \(\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n\) en that one
(13) \(h\) is almost a velar continuant, and after consonants sounds similar to \(g\).
palqa'theñn and palqa'tgeñn
qrya'thi Kor. 21.10 come! (Chukchee qäye'ty \(i^{\varepsilon}\) 15.11)
(14) \(w g\) or \(g^{u}\) (labialized \(g\) ) replaces Chukchce labialized \(k\), (wkw).

Koryak
yiwgıěz'ta Kor. 32.1
gawgu'ṭin Kor. 23.4
qakya'wgi Kor. 28.9

Chukchee iwkučítä 37.3 drinking ga'whuLin 20.10 they tied him \(q \ddot{a} g g e^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon} 75.31\) wake up!
(15) \(x\) often replaces Chukchee \(q\).
(16) In place of the glottal stop of Chukchee, when due to the elision of \(q\), the older \(q\) is often retained or replaced by \(x\).

\(m a^{\prime} q q^{\prime} t\) arrows (dual) (Chukchee \(m \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} m i t\), plural)
The glottal stop of Koryak is always stronger than the corresponding sound of Chukchee, and has a tendency to lengthen the preceding vowel.
\(y \bar{o}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\) ekim he overtakes (Chukchee \(y o^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\) rkiln)
(17) ' indicates a pause (glottal stop), which does not occur in Chukchee. upin'ali'nin he kicked him
(18) The marked tendency of Chukehee to lose intervocalic consonants like \(y, g\), and \(w\) - a tendeney which in the men`s speech affects also \(n\) and \(t\) - is absent in Koryak; and consequently many fuller forms occur which presumably explain the frequent vocalic clusters of Chukchee. In all those cases in which the Chukchee loses intervocalic consonants, these are found in Koryak.

Other words that retain no trace of the intervocalic consonant in Chukchee have it in Koryak.
vai'am river (Chukchee véem)
yawa'ykin he uses it (Chukchee ya'arkim)
 hatchet)
uyIčuat to play Kor. 327 kchee uučvet 43.3)

These older forms are even more pronounced in Paren.

Kor. Paren \(e i^{\prime}\) ek lamp
Kor. Kam. \(a^{\prime} c k\)
Chukehee \(e^{\prime} e k\)
intu'welpry son-in-law
intu'ulpiy
intu'ulpir

The Chukchee cluster \(l h\) is replaced by \(l \tilde{n}\) in Kamenskoye.
Kor. Kam. ke'mmiln \(\tilde{n}_{I}\), root (Chukchee kê'mmillinn)

\section*{§1\%. Vocalic Ablaut}

The range of the ablaut is more restricted in the Koryak of Kamenskoye than in Chukchee.
\(i\) changes into \(e\),
\({ }_{\sim}^{u}\) changes into 0 ;
gín wlinat they said Kor. 21.2 gewñreo'?en he said Kor. 14.4 nu'tanut country, land ya'nya-nota'lo foreigners
but \(a\) as ablant of \(e\) does not occur, both sounds being represented by a neutral \(a\). The Chukchee \(\hat{g}\) is replaced by \(e\), the same sound that represents the ablaut of \(i\).

The neutral \(a\) is exemplified in the following words of the Kamenskoye dialect:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Kam. & Chukchee \\
\hline  & Kelitrkn (stem keli \({ }_{\text {a }}\) ) \\
\hline nu'tanut land (stem nuta) & nu'tenut (stem mute) \\
\hline \(a^{\prime} k k a t\) sons (stem \(a^{\prime} k \hat{k} \hat{\prime}\) ) & \(e^{\prime} k k e t\) (stem chke) \\
\hline aima'wikn thon approachest (stem aimaw) & eiméurim (steme eimeu) \\
\hline Kama' \(\tilde{n} a\) dish (stem Kama) & lemémi (stem lieme) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Since \(a\) is neutral, these stems are also combined with weak rowels. For instance,
\(i^{\prime} t^{\prime} x_{I}\)-kama'na heavy dish (from \({\underset{i}{t}}^{t} \varepsilon_{r}\) heary, dear)
There are, however, cases in which the a represents the type \({ }_{o}\), which requires the ablaut,
\(e^{e^{\prime} v r l-t a ' m t a m ~ g o i t r e, ~ l o n g ~ t u m o r ~(s t e m s ~} i_{o}^{\prime} u r\) ? long, ta'mtam tumor); Chukchee ta'mtam
\(q_{o}^{a t a} a_{0}^{\prime}\)--e'mat load of food for winter use Kor. 86.17 (stems qatap fish for winter use; int load); Chukchee qata'p-é'mit
An example of the oecurrence of \(e\), corresponding to Chukehee \(\hat{e}\), is-
méyemey tear (stem meye); Chukchee mề'rềmêr (stem mêrề) gapémyrlen she attacked her, Kor. 96.8 (stem peny): Chukchee


Since the vowel-pair \(\underset{\sim}{e-a}\), and the vowels \(\hat{e}\) and \(a\) of Chukchee, are much more common than the \(\underset{i}{i}\) and \(\underset{\sim}{u}\) groups, the ablaut is not as striking a feature of Koryak as it is of Chukchee.

In the Kamenskoye dialect the ablant of \(i\) and \(u\) is not as rigidly required as in Chukchee. Particularly in word composition the weak vowels often remain uninfluenced by the strong vowels with which they come into contact. We find, for instance,--

The weak \(i\) of Chukchee, which is due to the contraction of thr and \(\check{c h} /\) into \(t i\) and \(\check{c} \dot{i}\), does not occur, since the consonantic cluster remains unchanged.
palqa'thitñn or palqathe' \(n \mathrm{n}\) old age (compare Chukchee palqu'tirgin < palqu'thirgin)
Initial \(u\) inserted before \(w\), labialized \(k\) ( \(w k w\) ), and \(y\), occurs here as in Chukchee, and is neutral.

Koryak
uwa'tikin he kisses (stem uwat)
\(u y \ddot{a}^{\epsilon^{\prime}} q u \check{c}\) husband (stem \(u y a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} q u \check{c}\) )

Chukchee
ukue'erkin (stem ukwet)


Several dialects of both groups of the Koryak have retained the vowels \(\underset{\sim}{e}\) and \(\hat{e}\). These have the ablaut analogous to that of the Chukchee.

Kor. Kamenskoye gatai'kilin (stem taikir)
Kor. Paren geteikilin (stem teikil) he has made
Chukchee geteikrim (stem teaiki)

\section*{§ 18. Other Phonetic l'rocesses}

Lack of Vocalic Contraction.-When two vowels come together, contraction rarely occurs.

Chukchee
\(a \tilde{n} q a^{\prime}-n n a^{\prime} n<a \tilde{n} q a^{\prime}-E n n a^{\prime} n\)


Kor. Kam. añya'-Enna'n sea-fish \(\tilde{n} a w-a^{8} t t_{\text {I }} n\) she-dog

Medial Consonantic Processes.-The alveolars \(t\) and \(\check{c}\) are not palatalized by following \(g\) or \(h\) (see \(\S 7,26\) ).
palqa'ti-rgin<palqat-qIrqin \(\quad\) palqath-é- \(e^{\text {Koryak }}\) old age
\(\tilde{n}\) generally remains unchanged before other consonants.

Chukchee
tam-pera'rkm<tañ-pera'rkın
qinere'mpei \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) take meat out of kettle for me (stem mpe)

Koryak
tañ-peye'ykin he looks well kokañpalai'ke they take meat out of kettle Kor. 27.5
\(k\) before other consonants occurs.

Chukchee
nigtäqên \(<n i<k t-q\) ên

Koryak
mikitä'qen hard

The medial clusters \(k m, p \tilde{n}, p n\), which are absent in Chukchee, occur in Koryak.

Chukchee
gewmiñe'Lin \(<\) ge-Kmiñel-lin
amñılka<a-pñl-ka
namnila'tmat \(78.4<n a-p n l-\) atmat

Koryal
gukmi'nalin she brought forth a child
apñtlka no news
gapnrtaño'lenau they told about Kor. 26.1

Auxiliary Vowels.-The most frequent auxiliary vowel is \(r\); but \(a\), which replaces Chukchee \(a\), also occurs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Koryak \\
\hline yara' \(\tilde{n} \dot{i}\) & \(y a y a^{\prime} \tilde{n} a\) house \\
\hline \(n i t c ̌ a ̈ q i n\) & mi'tčayin heavy \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The terminal vowel \(I\) in Koryak often assumes a more perceptible nasalisation than the corresponding Chukchee sound (see § 2, p. 645).
\(e e^{\prime} t_{I}\) and \(e e^{\prime} t_{I} \tilde{n}\) to the sky Kor. 14.9, 10.
(Compare Chukchee añqa-cormêt \(t_{1}\) to the seashore 67.17)
Initial Consonantic Clusters.-I have found the following initial clusters in the Koryak of Kamenskoye:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Initial sound} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Second sound} \\
\hline & \(\varepsilon\) & \(m\) & \(n\) & \(n\) & \(y\) & \(!\) & \(r\) \\
\hline \(p\) & \(p \mathrm{c}\) & & \(p{ }^{\text {n }}\) & \(p \mathrm{n}\) & \(p y\) & \(p!\) & \\
\hline \(t\) & & & & \(t n\) & ty & & \(t 2\) \\
\hline \(k\) & & km & & & ky & \(h ?\) & \\
\hline \(q\) & & & & & \(q y\) & q! & \\
\hline 9 & & & & & & & \\
\hline m & & & & & & \(m!\) & \\
\hline \(n\) & & & & & & \(n l\) & \\
\hline n & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be seen that this table agrees well with the corresponding table in Chukchee ( \(r\) being throughout replaced by \(y\) ), ( xcept that tv occurs, which is impossible in Chukchee.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Kor. Kam. & Chukchee \\
\hline tvi'tikin he stands &  \\
\hline とottaínuk-tve'tektn he stands & gatvê'tcalên \\
\hline on the outer part of the & \\
\hline house Kor. 43.5 & \\
\hline gatvi'lin he stood & \\
\hline 3045 \({ }^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40 , pt. \(2-12-43\) & \$18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

However, va'ykim Kor. \(13.10<t v a-y k m\) loses its initial \(t\).
The changes that occur in consonantic stems in medial and initial position are quite analogons to those of the Chukchee, except that \(k\) appears with following consonant in initial position. Other differences are shown in the following table:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Chukchee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Koryak} \\
\hline Initial & Stem & Medial & Initial & Stem & Medial \\
\hline \(p n\) & * \(p n\) & \(m n\) & \(p n\) & * pn & \(p n\) \\
\hline km & * \(k\) m & wm & km & * \(k\) m & \(k m\) \\
\hline \(k\) or tik & * tk & tik & \(k\) ortik & * tk & \(t x\) \\
\hline \(k\) & * \(r\) k & \(r k\) & \(k\) & * \(y k\) & \(y k\) \\
\hline 2 & *lq & 2 l & \(q\) & *lq & 19 \\
\hline nor tuw & * to & tv & \(v\) or tv & * tv & \(t{ }^{\prime}\) \\
\hline \({ }_{p} \mathrm{H}\) & *pn & \(m \tilde{n}\) & pIn & * \(p \hat{n}\) & \(p \mathrm{n}\) \\
\hline กัo & * \(n v\) & \(m \rho\) & \(\tilde{n} 1 v\) & * \(\sim^{2}\) & \(n v\) \\
\hline rig & * \(r g\) & \(r g\) & sh or yig & * sh & \(8 h\) \\
\hline tr or fir & * rr & \(r r\) & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}y I y \\ y / 2 s s\end{array}\right.\) & \(* y y\)
\(* s s\) & yy \\
\hline ขน̆ (i) & * vy & reg & \({ }_{\text {viy }}\) & * \(*\) \% \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \({ }^{s} \mathrm{r} \%\) \\
\hline  & * \(y\) g & tig & \(y I g\) & *1̆ 9 & \% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples:
pna'rem he whets
tne"rkin thou sewest it
\(t \tilde{n} \tilde{m}^{\prime} r k_{k m}\) thou sendest it
kmiña'tiku she hrings forth
ku'yikin thou buyest it
pmye'kin thou givest to him quti' you left Kor. 18.5
ku'rkin thou consumest it
ki'pl? IM striking Kor. 62. t
ratikin he is
rañoo'ykim he begins to stay
Kor. 13.6
tvi'tikin he stands
tre'tik to stretch Kor. 38.8
pyiskrn thou tearest it out
piñlo'rkin thou askest him
tittu'tkin he blows
\(t_{t} l a^{\prime \prime} k_{k m}\) he moves
trke'rkin thou smellest of
gapna'lin he has whetted gamailin he has sewed it gannin'lin he has sent it \(\ddot{g} a k m i{ }^{\prime} \tilde{n}\) alin she has brought forth
gǎ̌ku'glin he has bought it golpingelen he has given ga'lqaLin he has left Kor. 17.3 ga'tčulin he has consumed it đayhap!a'gitča strike him! Kor. 23.8
ga'tralen he bas been
gatvañro'lenau they began to stay Kor. 23.1
ga'twilin be stood
\(\dot{g} a^{\prime}\) tvelen they stretched it Kor. 38.8
gapyI'lin he has torn it out gap \(\tilde{n}_{I} l l^{\prime}\) ? en he has asked gettu'lin he has blown gala'lin he has moved Kor. 14.9
ga'tcelen he smelled of
yIto'rkin he pulls out time'kin thou killest it
\(\tilde{n}_{\text {Ito }}\) 'ykrn he goes out \(\tilde{n} r v o^{\prime}{ }^{2} k m\) he begins
yIg \(i^{\prime} k\) In or shit \(k\) in he digs out y/'yikin thou untiest it yr'ssik to untie Kor. 39.2
yISsi'likin thou puttest down wrya'tekin he lets go (an animal)
vuyalannivo'ykim a snow-
storm set in Kor. 13.10
yrgu'珑品 thou bitest it
ya'wikm thou piercest it
yu'kka to eat Kor. 57.1
yu'zkin he eats
yina \(a^{\prime}\) rlera be flees
lelapitčoñoo'ykin he looks
up Kor. 42.8
Irregular is-
iya \(a^{\varepsilon}\) vem he arranges a reindeer driving-match
gažto'len he has pulled out
ga'nmilen he has killed it Kor. 43.6
ganto'len he went out Kor. 48.6
ganco'lên he has begun Kor. 48.3
ga'shilin he has dug out
gayyr'lin he has untied it
nussi'ñoogưm they are untying me Kor. 39.3
gassi'lin he has put down
gavya'?en he has let go
gawya'? yolen there was a snowstorm Kor. 13.1
gaigu'lin he has bitten
gana'wlin he has pierced it ganu'linat they have eaten (transitive) Kor. 57.2
gayr' \(\tilde{n}\) alin he has fled (intransitive)
 Kor. 13.8
galizyalin he has arranged a reindeer driving-mateh

Dropping of Suffixes.- It may be mentioned here that all dialects of the Koryak tend to drop the last syllables or sounds-mostly suffixes-when these are not accented

Kor. Kam. mini'lqanmik or mini'lqat (Chukchee mini'lqännik) let us go!
Kor. Kam. gua'ṭin, Kor. II (village Qare'ñin) geye'tuin or geye'trı (Chukchee ge'esin), he has come
Kor. Kam. vitwitpils, Kor. II (village Voyampolka) witvitpi, small seal

Kamchadal (§§ 19-23) § 19. Vowels
(1) Weak vowels \(\ddot{i} e \quad\) " \(\ddot{u} \ddot{z}\)
(2) Strong vowels \(\ddot{a} \hat{e}\) a \(\quad o \quad \ddot{j} \quad \grave{o} \quad U\)


The symbols designate the same sounds as those in Chukchee.
\(\dot{I}\) almost like a diphthong \(i c\), long; at glide from long \(i\) to long \(o\).
\(\ddot{Z}\) like English \(a\) in make, long, lips wide apart, corners of mouth much retracted.
é French eu in beurre.
\(\ddot{\sigma}\) German \(\ddot{0}\) in \(\ddot{\partial} f f n e n\).
\(\ddot{u}\) French \(u\) in lune, but harder; more like the Yakut \(\ddot{y}\).
\(\check{\circ}\) English short \(o\) in not.
\({ }_{u}\) English \(u\) in lut.
\(\check{u}\) as in Chukchee.
\({ }^{a},{ }^{o},{ }^{u}\) indicate the resonance of the respective vowels; for instance, in \(k!t x^{a} l k o \check{j} u^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon} n\).
Unusual length and shortness are expressed by the macron and breve respectively.

> § 20. Consonants

\(f\) is rather rare; for instance, in fic a fish of the genus Coregonus.
\(x\) German ch in Bach.
\(x\). German \(c h\) in \(i c h\).
\(j\) French \(j\) in jour, but with a weak preceding trill, somewhat like Polish \(r\) a in reeka.
\(z\) sonant \(s\), as in French rose.
\(!\) as in Koryak.
\(w, y\), always consonantic.
\({ }^{\varepsilon}, '\), glottal stops, the former only after short vowels, the latter after consonants, as in \(v v^{\prime} l^{\prime} v i ?\).
\(l^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\), are pronounced with strong initial aspiration.
\(j, c, \delta\), are often pronounced with the tip of the tongue in dental position, so that they attain a lisping character,-
\(j\) between \(z^{\cdot}\) and \(z\)
\(c\) between \(s\) and \(s\)
\(\delta\) between \(\xi \cdot\) and \(\xi\)
I am inclined to attribute this mannerism, which is affected by many individuals, to the influence of the speech of the Russian creoles and half-bloods, who have this peculiarity in the whole area between the Kolyma and the Sea of Okhotsk. In Krashenimnikoff's records there are only slight indications of this tendeney.

It may be, however, that some of the older dialects had this tendency. Thus Krasheninnikoff writes (in my transcription)-
```

cemt (Western dialect)}\mathrm{ semt (Southern dialect)}}\mathrm{ elurth, ground

```

At present in the western dialect, the only one surviving, the word is pronounced both cimt and simt.

\section*{§ 21. Comparison with Chulechee and Koryak}
(1) Chukchee \(r\), Koryak I \(i, \varepsilon, s\), or \(t\), is replaced in most cases by \(j\),
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Chukchee & Kamchadal & \\
\(g_{I} t, g I r\) & \(k I^{\prime} j a\) & thou \\
\(m u^{\prime} r i\) & \(m u^{\prime} j a\) & we
\end{tabular}
(2) Chukchee and Koryak \(g\) is replaced by \(k\) or \(x\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Chukchee & Korsak & Kamchadal & \\
\hline gŭm & gìm & \(k_{1}{ }^{\prime} m m a\) & I \\
\hline \(\underline{g} i^{\prime} \check{n}^{\prime} n g i^{\prime}\) & yigi'ngin & \(x i^{\prime} l_{1 g 1} n\) & sh-net \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(3) Initial \(g\) of the comitative and verbal prefix (see \(\S \S 48,64,66\) ) is replaced by \(k!\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Chukchee & Koryak & Kamchadal & \\
\hline ge \(\tilde{n} e^{\prime} w a ̈ n a ̈\) & gañ \(e^{\prime}\) wana & \(k!\tilde{n} e^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) um & with a wife \\
\hline genu'lin & ganu'lin & k! \(n\) ¢'kĭñin & he has eaten \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(4) Chukchee \(g w\) (Koryak go) is replaced by \(w v\). xo'xval thence
(5) Chukchee and Koryak \(w\) and \(c\) are replaced by \(k c\).
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ Chukchee } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Koryak } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Kamehadal } & \\
watta'p & vata'p & hvata'pc & reindeer-moss \\
va'le & va'la & hralc & knife \\
\(w i^{\prime} u t\) & viut & hivt & whalebone \\
\(v i^{\prime} n \cdot v I\) & \(v i^{\prime} m i a\) & hvinve & secretly
\end{tabular}
(6) The glottal stop following the initial vowel of Chukchee and Koryak is often replaced by tor \(k\) preceding the vowel.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chukehee } \\
& e_{i} \varepsilon^{\prime} t i n
\end{aligned}
\] & kor. Kam. ;'mmin & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kamelndal } \\
& \text { cei'ten }
\end{aligned}
\] & neek \\
\hline  & \(i^{\epsilon \prime} g_{I}{ }^{\prime}, e^{\varepsilon} g_{I}{ }^{\prime}\left(\tilde{n}_{I} n\right.\) & xelihina & wolf \\
\hline \(\ddot{a}^{\text {s' }}\) Lel & \({ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime}!a^{\varepsilon}\) ? & ko'lo? & snow \\
\hline \(\left.e^{\varepsilon \prime} l e^{\varepsilon}\right]\) & \(a!a^{\varepsilon \prime} u\) ? & \(k e^{\epsilon \prime}\) ? \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & exc \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(7) Chukchee \(t\) and \(L\) are replaced by \(\neq 1\) and \(t c\).

Chukehee
tIL \(e^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} 7\)

Kamehadal tceh tle

I entered there!
(8) In Kamchadal, \(l, \delta, c\), and \(j\) often replace one another (see \(\$ 2\), p. 646).
chizijč thou art
trejin I strike him
\(l\) lic thou wert
t.clin I struck him
(9) In the Sedanka dialect, \(c\) changes to \(j ; s\) changes to \(z\); and sometimes \(k, k\) ! change to \(q, \eta\) !
Examples:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Okhotsk dialect cuncič \(r^{\varepsilon}\) & Sedanka dialect juncjč \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) n & they live \\
\hline sönk & zönk & into the wood \\
\hline ci'ta-t.1m, \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & ala-tomx & brother \\
\hline könn'介 & \(q u \check{\sim} \chi^{\prime} \hat{n}\) & one \\
\hline k: \({ }^{\prime}\) 'lkinin &  & he has come \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(10) In the Sedanka dialect there is also a tendency to drop the last syllables of suffixes. Not as many auxiliary vowels occur as in other dialects, and of double consonants one is always omitted.

Okhotsk dialect
a'tinŭm
ters.ricjk
ki'minul

Sedanka dialect
a'tnom village
teruscis I ascend
kima'I
(11) Instead of the pure \(n\), we find an \(n\) with somewhat lateral pronunciation.

Okhotsk dialect \(E^{\prime}\) ग้า.

Sedanka dialeet \(E^{\prime l} \eta u\)
then

\section*{§ 22. Vocalic Ablaut}

In Kamehadal the ablaut affects almost all the vowels, which are much more numerous than those of either Chukchee or Koryak.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\ddot{\sim}{ }_{i}\) changes to \(\ddot{\sim}\). & \(u\) changes to 0 . \\
\hline \(\underset{i}{i}\) changes to \(\hat{e}_{\hat{e}}\) or \(a\). & \(\ddot{\sim}\) changes to \(\ddot{O}\). \\
\hline \(\underset{\sim}{e}\) changes to \(a\). & \(E\) changes to \(\check{Q}\) or \(U\) U \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples:
\(k i^{\prime} \times t e n k\) at the house
sünk in the wood
twi' \(i_{n k}\) by them
kemprenk in the trongh
\(k \ddot{i}^{\prime} x\) enk in the river
kukẹ́'-hümnin he cooked it

The obscure vowels \(I, E, A, \breve{u}\), are neutral, as are also \(e, a, \breve{\partial}\). In this respect Kamchadal differs from Chukehee, in which dialeet vowels that are hard or weak never appear as neutral.

In Kamchadal the initial vowels of suffixes, and anxiliary vowels, are also subject to the ablaut, their form being determined by the vocalie character of the stem, which is generally monosyllabic. Thus a system develops which is somewhat similar to the rocalic harmony of the Ural Altaic languages.
ki'stenk at the honse \(k \hat{o ̛}^{\prime} l^{\prime}\) xonk at the lake (stem \(\left.k \stackrel{\circ}{0} l^{\circ}, c\right)\)
\(k e_{A}^{\prime} \quad \operatorname{stank}\) to the house (stem kint)
\(k k^{\prime} p x^{\prime} \mathrm{Enk}\) at the trough
\(k \sigma_{a}^{\prime} p x \cdot \sigma_{n k}\) to the trough (stemmenc.)

\(t_{1 s} \ddot{A}_{n} \ddot{u}^{\prime} l_{0} t_{i} j k\) I always live in the woods ( \(t_{I} \mathrm{I}\); sün wood; \(\underset{\sim}{u}\) auxiliary vowel; lo to live; \(t\) always; \(-j k\) I)

\section*{§ 23. Other Phonetic Processes}

Consmantic Clusters.-In Kamehadal consonantic clusters are of frequent occurrence. I bave found, for instance. \(k\) t.ret, traj, trojh, txctx, nt.xcjh, k!lkn.

Sometimes anxiliary rowels are inserted, or some of the consonants have a decided rocalic resonance, but more often the clnsters are free from vocalic elements. The peculiar consonantic character of pronunciation may be observed also among the Russianized Kamchadal; and the natives are taunted by the Russian ereoles, and even in the intereourse of various villages, on aceonnt of this peculiarity of their speech. Nevertheless not all consonantic elusters are admissible.
! changes to nl .
Ko 'lo? (absolute form), kolonle <kolnl-l" (instrumental), snow
\(e^{?}\) Ther \(\tilde{n}\) (absolute form), inl <il-l (instrumental), ear (pl. \(i^{s} l\) )

Note, however,
lŭl (absolute form), lŭle'll <lŭl-l' (instrumental), eye
Auxiliary Vowels.-Auxiliary vowels are introduced to avoid consonantic chasters originating by composition, although the corresponding clusters may be admissible in the stem itself. All neutral vowels perform this function. Although \(I\) is more frequent than all the others, \(\breve{u}, E, \epsilon,(a)\), are also found rather often.

```

tveta'trjk<tveta't-jk I work

```

Initial Clusters.-The prefix \(k\) ! is omitted before \(k\) and \(k\) !
\(k\) !ö̀'lkrñin he has come
The prefix \(k\) before initial \(k\) and \(k!\) changes to \(x\). \(x k e^{\prime} j x c_{I} k\) accept him
The prefix \(t\) of the first person singular is dropped before verbal stems with initial \(t\).
txlin \(<t t x l i n\) I struck him
The prefix \(t\) of the first person singular changes to \(\delta\) before verbal stems with initial \(\varepsilon_{I}\) or \(c_{1}\).
\(k_{I^{\prime}} m m a \check{c}_{I} \Sigma i^{\prime} n i \tilde{n}_{I} j k<t\)-činiñ-jk I sew
Compare also
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Chukchee & Kor. Kam. & Kamehadal & \\
\hline  &  & \(e^{\prime}\) ckeläx & the bad one \\
\hline wuwcêlka'lhin & cetče'lãin & ci'xčax & shrew \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Stems with the initial clusters \(l k\) and \(r k\), when appearing at the beginning of a word, add a preceding vowel.
\(i^{\prime} l k a r u j c<l k-r-j c\) (Chukchee \(\left.q a ̈ t r^{\prime} r k i n<l q a ̈ t-r k I n\right)\) he leaves
In other cases the Chukchee \(l\) or \(r\) of these clusters is replaced by \(\delta\) and \(c\) respectively.
ckla'ujk<cklau-jk (Chukchee krla'urkin<rkrlau-rkın) e runs

\section*{§ 24. Accent}

In all three languages the accent usually recedes to the beginning of the word, even as far as the fourth or fifth syllable from the end.

Chukchee \(p a^{\prime}\) riliñ̃n shoulder-blade
Kor. Kam. niqe'shiqenat those two that have been bought
Kamchadal k!'ta'tilkajuknan they began to perform the ceremonial

To give emphasis to the word, the accent may be thrown upon the last syllable, the vowel of which then changes to \(o\).

MORPHOLOGY (§§ 25-129.)
§25. Morphological Processes
The Chukchee group of languages uses a great variety oi morphological processes for expressing grammatical relations. The unity of the syntactic group which forms a close unit is maintained by a law of vocalic harmony which requires that if one vowel of the unit is strong, all the others, that may be either weak or strong, must also take the strong form. This law does not act in any particular direction; but whenever a strong vowel appears in any part of the word, it strengthens all the other preceding and following vowels. In the present condition of the language, this law is not quite strictly confined to certain rowels; but a few stems and endings that have no vocalic element except auxiliary vowels are always strong. It may be, of course, that here strong vocalic elements have been lost.
Stems appear almost always with morphological affixes. Only particles and a number of nouns occur as independent members of the sentence in the form of the simple stem, their independence being indicated by their failure to modify their weak vowels in conformity with the strong vowels of those words with which they are most closely associated. The gencral occurrence of nominal affixes, and the restriction of stem forms occurring independently to certain phonetic types of nouns, make it plausible that we are dealing here also with a loss of older affixes. If this riew should be correct, there would be no forms of nouns or verbs and related classes of words without affixes. Either the stems consist of consonantic clusters or they are monosyllabic or polysyllabie. Only predicative stems consist of consonantic clusters. Denominative stems have fuller phonetic values. In all polysyllabic stems a certain symmetry of form is required by the laws of vocalic harmony; so that in the same stem we find, besides neutral vowels, only strong rowels or only weak vowels.

Owing to the iuadmissibility of extended consonantic clusters, and to the aroidance of initial consonantic clusters, stems undergo important ehanges due to the insertion of auxiliary vowels, to elision of consonants, or modification of consonants, according to the position and connections of the stems in the word.

Composition of stems is of extended use; and we find many types of composition of denominative, predicative, and of denominative with predicative stems, which form firm units. Owing to the signiticance of some of these stems, they never appear outside of such compounds, and therefore take on the aspects of elements that are no longer free, although their phonetic character and general appearance are surh that they might appar as independent elements. Certain particles are also incorporated in the word complex. The stems which are united in such synthetic groups influence one another according to the laws of vocalic harmony and by contact phenomena, which often modify the terminal sound of the first member of a com pound, and the initial somd of the following member, or cause the introduction of auxiliary vowels. Although ordinarily these compounds originate by a simple juxtaposition of stems, there are cases in which certain formative elements may be recognized.

The function of a simple or compound nominal or verbal unit in the sentence is further determined by reduplication, prefixes, and suffixes.

Reduplication is confined to denominating coneepts, and is of peculiar character, the first part of the stem being repeated at the close of the stem as far as the first consonant following the first rowel. This gives the impression of a partially suppressed repetition of the stem: for instance, stem orgo sledge, reduplicated orgo-or.

Both prefixes and suffixes are numerous. The same phonetic laws that cause a differentiation of the forms of the stem cause differentiation in the mamer of joining affixes to the stems or to the compounds. In some cases a distinction between compounds and words with affixes is difficult to draw, neither is it possible to carry through a rigid distinction between nominal and verbal affixes. There is great freedom in the use of stems for either predicative or denominative purposes.

In the Chukchee language nominal concepts are classified as common nouns and proper names. A nominal singular and plural occur, but in Koryak we find besides these a dual. As in many

American languages, the relation between subject and predicate is conceived differently in the case of the transitive and of the intransitive verb.

The relation between noun and verb is expressed by inflection of the noun. A subjective form of the noun expresses the subject of the transitive verb and an absolute form designates the subject of the intransitive and the object of the transitive verb. The subjective form is primarily instrumental. It expresses also the object which is used in the performance of an action as: cooning (mith) meat. It would seem that the transitive verb has primarily a passive significance, but this view does not satisfactorily explain many of the forms.

Locative ideas-in, at, towards, from-are expressed by means of nominal postpositions. These are given extended meanings and are applied to express a raricty of relations between rerb and indirect object. The genetive relation is not ordinarily expressed by postpositions and is not analogous to a case form, but is rather expressed by derivatives which signify, pertaining to, belonging to. These elements are even added to the personal pronoun to express possessive relations. The characteristic American incorporated possessive pronoun is not found. Demonstrative ideas are expressed with great nicety particularly in the Chukchee dialect. The syntactic forms of the personal demonstrative and indefinite pronouns are analogous to the corresponding forms of proper names.

In the predicate are expressed singular and plural, (in Koryak also dual), tense and modality. There is no distinction made between inclusive and cxclusive first person plural. Declarative and interrogative hare the same forms. Among the tenses only the future is derived from the verb theme in a manner analogous to the formation of modes. A continuative is expressed by a derived form, the verbal theme being expanded by the suffix-irkin. Other temporal concepts are expressed by nominal derivatives, and temporal subordination is often expressed by syntactic forms of the verbal noun. Other modes are a subjunctive, expressing conditional and other subordinate clauses-which, however, is very rarely used,-an exhortative and an imperative.
The verb complex consists of pronominal prefixes which enter into combination with temporal and modal prefixes. These are followed
by the verbal theme which takes additional temporal and modal suffixes. The end of the verbal complex is a pronominal suffix. In the transitive verb, the pronominal prefix designates the subject, the pronominal suffix the object. There is a strong tendency to express the predicate in the form of a predicating noun analogous to a relative clause. For instance, instead of I kill the reindeer, the Chukchee will say, the reindeer are the ones whom i killed. These forms receive a treatment different from that of the true verb.

Stems may be developed by affixing subordinate elements. There are a number of attributive clements of this class such as large, small, numerous. Furthermore, we find locative terms such as, what is on, with, on top of, near, inside of something else and also, what is similar to, what is used for, what is provided with something, a receptacle for something. Nominal forms derived from verbs are abstract nouns, results of actions, instruments. The verb is developed by adverbial suffixes expressing for instance, reciprocity, a desiderative, single action, intensity, beginning, duration, causation, negation and also ideas like, то feel like something or the bad temper of the speaker. Verbs derived from nouns are to bring, take off, look for, consume something. Prefixes are quite numerous and are largely of an attributive or adverbial character as, a little, qutte, all, entirely, merely, somewhat, truly, not.

Furthermore, words may be compounded quite freely, adverbs with verbs, verbal stems among themselves, nouns among themselves. Nouns are also incorporated in the verbal complex, both as the subject of the intransitive verb and the object of the transitive verb. Such incorporated themes are used both for habitual and single actions.

\section*{§ 26. Comparison of Dialects}

The chief differences between Chukchee and Koryak lie in the lesser amount of consonantic decay of stems in Koryak, the modification of stems due to phonetic processes being considerably less extended in the latter dialect; in the lesser extent of the occurrence of the ablaut in the Koryak; and in the substitution of other consonants for the Chukchee \(r\), which process is more pronounced in Koryak I than in Koryak II. Besides this, Koryak I is characterized by the restriction of the forms of the Chukchee plural to the dual, while a distinct form
is used by all the Koryak dialects, even those that have no dual for expressing the plural.

Chukehee and Koryak are so much alike, that the languages, are mutually intelligible at least in part. On the northern border of the Koryak territory a considerable amount of lexicographic borrowing may be noticed, which extends even as far as the Anadyr country. Thus we find--

Kolyma Chukehee tegge' \(n n k m\) he desires
Anadyr Chukchee teggénirkm or gaima'trom
Koryak, Kamenskoye taija'ñikm or gaima'tekin
Of these words, the first one is common to Chukchee and Koryak, while the second is Koryak and is borrowed from them by the Anadyr Chukchee.

Kolyma Chukchee wêtha'urkin he speaks
Anadyr Chukchee wêtha'urkın and vanava'tırkm
Koryak, Kamenskoye vetha'vekrn and vanava'tekm
The lexical differences between Koryak and Chukehee are considerable. Still certain Chukchee words that do not occur in the Kamenskoye dialect re-appear in other dialects, some even in remote villages in the valleys of Kamehatka.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & again & negation (refusal) & whale \\
\hline Chukchee & \(l u{ }^{\prime} m \mathrm{n} a\) & qarê'm & \(r e^{\varepsilon} w\) \\
\hline Koryak, Kamenskoye & gư'mla & qaye'm & \(y u^{\prime} \hat{n} i\) (stem \(\left.\left.y u n \bar{n}\right)^{\prime}\right)\) \\
\hline Koryak II Qare'ñrn & i'nnık & i'hut & \(y u^{\prime} \tilde{n} i\) (stem \(\left.y u \tilde{n} y u\right)\) \\
\hline Koryak II, Lesna (Kamehatka). & ligi'mmen & quate'mmi & \\
\hline Kerek & & & \(y a^{\text {a }}\) w \\
\hline Kamchadal & - & & yu' \(\tilde{n} y u\) (stem \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

On the whole, however, all branches of the Koryak, even in their most distinct dialects,--like those of the Kerek near Cape Anannon on Bering Sea, and of Voyampolka on the Sea of Ohhotsk,-are much more elosely related among themselves than to the Chukchee.

In the pronunciation of men of the Kolyma district many intervocalic consonants are dropped (see § 13). This is not so common among the men of the Anadyr Chukchee, who use both the fuller forms and those with dropped consonants. Among the Kolyma people the difference between the pronunciation of men and that of women is so regular that the use of the fuller forms by the eastern people lays them open to ridicule as using the speech of women.

\section*{Nouns (§§ 27-55).}

\section*{§ 27. General Remarls}

The noun appears in a number of forms and with a number of suffixes, the interpretation of whieh is not easy. A few of these have clearly purely syntactic meaning, while others appear rather as postpositions which are somewhat loosely connected with the noun. Some elements of this group seem to form compound nouns, while I suspect that others maty have a verbal character.

The forms which are clearly syntactic are-
(1) The absolute form, which expresses the subject of the intransitive verb, and the object of the transitive verb.
(2) The absolute form, plural.
(3) The subjective form, which expresses the subject of the transitive verb, and the instrument with which an action is performed. In several cases our indirect object appears as direct object, while our direct object appears as instrument, somewhat as in the two expressions i give it to him and i bestow inme with it. In Kanchadal this form is not used for the subject of the transitive verb, but the locative-possessive. In Koryak sometimes the one form is used, sometimes the other.
(4) The locative possessive expresses the place where an event happens or where an action is performed. With terms designating living beings it expresses possession.

Suffixes which express the allative and ablative form a second group. These are not so distinctively syntactic forms, butgive the impression of post-positions, particularly since they appear sometimes in composition with syntactic forms of the first group.

A third class, quite distinct from the first two in form as well as in function, comprises derivations of nouns and verbs which express what belonge to, what pertains to, that which has the quality of something, the possessor of, the measure of being in a certain condition. These are frequently used to express the relations between two nouns or between an adjective and a noun.

The fourth class expresses mainly various types of emphatic forms of the noun.

We shall first take up the syntactic forms.

\section*{The Absolute form ( 88 28-32).}

\section*{§ 28. ABSOLUTE FORM EEPRESSED BY STEM}

The absolute form of the nom serves to express the subject of the intransitive verb and the object of the transitive verb. It shows a great varicty of formations.

The absolute form is expressed by the nominal stem. This form can occur only in those cases in which the terminal sound is a vowel or a single consonant. Since no ending occurs, the stem has no ablaut. Examples are-
(1) Stems with terminal vowels:

Kitve'yu old walrus 8.12, it
esa' mother 30.6
qe'li cap lólo penis 45.1
(2) Stems or compounds with single terminal consonant (including diphthongs in \(i\) and \(u\) ). To this class belong words ending in \(y, w\), \(p, m, t, n, k, \check{c}, r, q, l\).
ela' \({ }^{\circ}\) ai little mother 35.5
\(\tilde{n}_{2}^{\prime} n q{ }_{\mu}^{\prime} a i\) little child 37.14
mi'rgex a suit of armor 116.24
re \(\varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} w\) whale 73.4
inpiñé \(w\) old woman 19.5
\(t_{\text {In }}\) и'p blue fox 96.17
re'lup quid
ve'em river 37.3 (Koryak cé'yem, va'yam Kor. 17.6, according to dialect)
rêt trail 37.1
le'ut head 44.11 (Koryak ? \(a^{\prime}\) wut Kor. 82.11)
\(\tilde{n} e^{\prime} w a ̈ n\) wife 36.3 (Koryak \(\tilde{n} a^{\prime}\) wan)
\(A i^{\prime}\) wan the \(\mathrm{Ai}^{\prime}\) wan 7.1
\(n a^{\prime} n q a n\) belly 43.9
\(u w \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} q u \delta\) husband 105.12
ke'per wolverene 78.2, qe'per 92.21 (ke'perä 78.11) (Koryak qapay)
kri'mqor three-year-old doe 117.9
wañqa's'qor two-year-old doc 117.10
\(\hat{e}^{\prime}\) lher polar fox 92.19
intu'ulpir son-in-law 80.6
u'nel thong-seal to. 7
pe'nvel two-year-old buck 117.12
mémel seal :96.t (Koryak me'mil Kor. 90.6)
lu'mñ \({ }^{2}\) l story 61.5
pe'kul butcher-knife 85.23
(Kor. pa'qu! Kor. 78.23)
ne'lval herd 49.3
qe'ptiril backbone 51.3
qla'nl man 43.1 (Kor. q? \({ }^{\prime}\) 'wn? Kor. 17.4)
nwi'k body 35.11 (Kor. 32.5)
ka'mak evil spirit t1.6 (Kor. 35.5)
"i'moth carcass 81.17
gimn'k game 84.28 (Koryak
gr'ynik Kor. 61.s)
\(e^{\prime}\) ek lamp 68.12, 106.18
pu'reg white whale 96.9
"'Lay sea-lion 65. 16
(3) Stems ending in two consonants generally insert a vowel in the terminal consonatic cluster.
qe'pıl football (stem qepl); (Kor. Kam. qa'prl; Kor. Par. qeprl) mä́s' \(q\) Im arrow 75.23
lo' \({ }^{\prime}\) nl walrus-blubber 47.4
pr'ñl tidings 61.5
\(\varepsilon_{e} e^{\prime} \tilde{n} l\) trunk 96.3

\section*{§ 29. REDUPLICATED FORMS}

Some stems are reduplicated.
(1) Monosyllabic stems are doubled. When the contact between the last consonant of the repeated word and of the stem form an inadmissible cluster, the usual changes occur.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Stem & Reduplicated absolute form \\
\hline nim & ni'mnim settlement 7.7 \\
\hline kêr & \(k \hat{e}^{\prime} r k e \hat{r}\) combination-suit 37.8 \\
\hline yIn & di'ndin \({ }^{1}\) fire 39.11 \\
\hline \(\epsilon^{\varepsilon} l\) & \(e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} l_{e^{\varepsilon} l} l\) excrement \(80.11 ;\left(e^{\varepsilon} l u\right.\) 81.12) \\
\hline lig & líglig (Kor. liglrg) egg \\
\hline rig & rígrig hair \\
\hline om & \(o^{\prime}\) mom (Kor. o'mom) heat \\
\hline \(l i n\) & \(l i^{\prime} \tilde{n} l i\) heart (see \(\left.\$ 31,3\right)\) \\
\hline pon & \(p \prime^{\prime}\) mpo tly agaric (see \(\$ 31,3\) ) \\
\hline tuw & tu'wtuw word \\
\hline oc & \(o^{\prime}\) coc chief \\
\hline cot & \(\chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) tcot bag-pillow 29.5 \\
\hline wŭt & wư'twŭt leaf \\
\hline gil & gilgil sea ice 8.14 \\
\hline & ( \(e^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}\) tit Anser segetum) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Koryak:
kil ki'lkil navel string Kor. 63.10
pip pi'pip comb Kor. 78.9
vit \(\quad\) vi'tuit ringed seal Kor. 17.12
nai \(\quad \tilde{n a i}{ }^{\prime} n a i\) mountain Kor. 42.2
wry wi'yiwr breath Kor. 33.8
(2) Stems ending in a consonantic cluster always insert an auxiliary vowel ( \(\S 8\) ), and therefore appear in dissyllabic form. The reduplication consists in the repetition of the beginning of the word at the
end, including the initial consonant, vowel, and the first consonant following the first vowel.

Stem
pilh
qêrg
tirk
têrg
trrg
tumg
\(m i t k\)
(Kor.) ye'lk
mus 19.3
*wilq
*qêrg (Kor. Kam. gesh)
vıyıl (Kor. vyil)

Reduplicated absolute form
pi'lhipil famine
\(q \hat{e}^{\prime} r g_{q} \hat{e}^{r}\) light
tirkitir sun
térgitêr crying 20.12
\(t_{\text {r }}\) rigitr meat 48.8
tu'mgitum companion 103.35
\(m_{1}^{\prime} t \bar{k} a ̈ m_{I} t\) blubber 47.4
ye'?kryel pudding Kor. 34.2
mu' Lumul 25.3 blood
wi'lquul 22.7 coal (Kor. Kam. wư'lkuиl, ef. Kor. 31.9)
qêtrgiqêr light (Kor. Kam. qe'shrqes)
vi'yilviynl image (er'yiluryil Kor. 32.3)

A number of words of this group, particularly those beginning with a vowel, repeat the stem vowel before the repeated syllable.
Stem
org
\(o m k\)
\(w u s^{\bullet} q\) (Kor. Kam. vus \({ }^{\bullet} q\) )
el
\(i l\)
yäq
(yil) yi'liil language 7.10 yIr
Related to this group areeiv

Enn

Reduplicated absolute form
\(o^{\prime}\) rgoor sledge
o'mkoom 79.5 willow
wu's'ques (Kor. vu's 'quvus cf. Kor. 57.6) darkness
e'leel summer (Kor. Kam. \(\left.a^{\prime} l a a l\right)\)
i'là̀l rain(Kor.Kam.mu'qamuq)
\(\left\{\begin{array}{l}y \ddot{a} q \bar{a}^{\prime} q \\ y a ̈ q a^{\prime} a q\end{array}\right\}\) nose
yI'riir a full one 86.29
e'reeei part of meat given to neighbors, alms (Kor. Kam. ai'vuai ef. Kor. 63.12)
Ennén tish (Kor. Kam. emmā'n)
(3) Some bases which end in inadmissible sound-clusters have initial or terminal reduplication, and insert auxiliary vowels.

Stem
\(i m l\) (Kor. \(i m ?\) )
\(m l \check{u}\) (Kor. \(m!\underline{u}\) )
elv, \(I l v\) (see elve'tulä 89.32; ge'lvulin 88.1)
\(3045^{\circ}-\) Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-44

Reduplicated absolute form.
\(m i^{\prime} m ı l\) water (Kor. \(m i^{\prime} m I l\) )
\(m \breve{u}^{\prime} m_{1} l\) louse (Kor. mǔ'mıl; \(m I^{\prime} m ı \varepsilon\) Kor. 55.1)
Ilviluc wild reindeer 88.4 (Kor.

（4）Dissyllabic words repeat the first syllable at the end of the word：


Koryak：
mi＇tqa
quanga
ki＇lika

> Reduplicated absolute form mê'rêmêr tears 116.8
> qo \(0^{\varepsilon} \hat{1}^{\prime} e^{\ell} o^{\varepsilon} l\) snuff 41.4
> yi'leil marmot 89.33
> yi'lial tongue 48.8 (Kor. \(\varepsilon_{I}^{\prime} l_{n!}\) ? Kor. 56.4) quli'qul voice 44.7
> nu'tenut land
> \(y I^{\prime} l_{q} \ddot{a} \dot{i l}\) sleep (Kor. Kam. yr'?qayil)
mi＇tqaimit oil Kor． 90.17
qa＇ngaqan fire Kor． 30.8
ki＇lkakil shell－fish Kor． 70.2
（5）Some polysyllabic words double the whole word．
eñe＇neñen southeast wind
In Kamehadal analogous forms are derived principally from ad－ jective stems：
o＇mlax warm
\(a^{\prime} t \cdot x^{a} l a x\) bright
texu＇nläx dark
tpilluétrijk I suffer from hun－ ger
\(o^{\prime} m o m\) heat
a＇t．xatx light
txu＇ntrun darkness
pi＇lhrpil and pê＇llê̂pêl famine

Other Kamchadal forms of duplication and reduplication．for the absolute forms are：

と́u＇scuex rain（stem \(\boldsymbol{\chi} u x)\)
pa＇lapal leaf（stem pal）
kö＇mloköm marrow（Chukchee ki＇mil；Kor．Kam．ki＇mı！） \(l u^{\prime} \tilde{n} u l u \tilde{n} u l d\) heart（Chukchee \(l i^{\prime} \tilde{n} l i\) ；Kor．\(\left.l l^{\prime} \tilde{n} l i \tilde{n}\right)\)

Note 1．－A number of stems which in Koryak form their absolute form by duplication have different forms in Chukchee．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Chukchee & Kor．Kam． \\
\hline go＇\()\) kI elk & －\(e^{\prime}\) рたavep \\
\hline rirrer walrus & ソI＇ykayık \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Presumably the Koryak has retained here the older forms．
Note 2．－In a few cases the reduplicated or doubled form is used not only in the absolute form，but also with other suffixes and in com－ position．
ké'rkêr combination-suit (stem ker); Kor. I liey'key (stem key);
 in the combination-suit
\(\tilde{n} a^{\prime} w k \hat{e} r\) woman's suit
nimnémğйй from the settlement 10.12
gêlgêlítkinalk on the ice fields 7.3 ; gêli'tkinik on the sea-ice 9.2
mêmli'tkinılk on top of the water 9.3
Note 3.-It is not impossible that the forms
yara' \(\tilde{n}_{I}\) house
yoro' \(\tilde{n}_{I}\) sleeping-room \(\}(\) see \(\S 30)\)
ya'rar drum
contain reduplicated stems in which the initial \(r\) has changed to \(y\).

\section*{§ 30 . SUFFIXES \(-n,-\tilde{n} I\)}

Stems ending in a vowel take the suffixes, in Chukchee \(-n,-\tilde{n}_{I}\), in Koryak \(-\tilde{n} e,-\tilde{n} a\), according to dialect.

Iu'metun name of a spirit 22.6
kuke'ñr kettle (ku'kek 75.13); Kor. Kam. kuka'ña; Kor. Par. kukie'ñe
yoro' \(\tilde{n}_{I}\) sleeping-room 107.9
yara' \(\tilde{n}_{r}\) house \(7.8 ; 30.11\) (Kor. yaya' \(\tilde{n}^{\prime}\) Kor. 22.4)
keme'ñ dish 86.23; 87.31, 33 (kaına'gtI to a dish 88.24) (Kor. kama' \(n_{I}\) Kor. 64.3)
qora' \(\tilde{n}_{I}\) reindeer 51.6
ripe' \(\tilde{n}_{I}\) stone hammer 77.13, 16 (Kor. yıpa' \(\tilde{n} a\) Kor. 43.2)
\({ }^{\text {e }} i^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} n \boldsymbol{n}\) wolf 78.2, 96.28
\(\check{t} p a^{\prime} n_{I}\) broth (Kor. ipa'na Kor. 28.6)
Stems ending in two consonants, or in consonants that can not form clusters with the terminal \(n\), take the ending \(-n\) with a connective vowel, \(I, E\); after \(q\) the connective vowel is \(\ddot{a}\) (Kor. Kam. \(a\) ).
```

poi'gin spear 97.27 (poi'gө 117.29) (Kor. poi'gin)
$n a^{\prime} n q a n$ belly 43.9 (Kor. Par. na'nqän)
riggo'lgin cellar 36.8 (riggolgê'tr to the cellar 36.10)
rémkin people s.8, 10 ( $r^{\prime} e^{\prime} n k$ iu 107.20) (Kor. ya'mkin Kor. 39.7)
tu'mgin companion 38.12 (tu'mgä 37.7)
$g_{1}{ }^{\prime} t h_{I} m$ lake 37.4 ( $g \hat{v}^{\prime} t h_{I} k$ in a lake 37.5)
üpa'lhin tallow 87.4 (ŭpa'lha 86.23)
gi'lhin skin 23.9
gêla'rgin gray fox 96.14
$\dot{e}^{\prime} \delta_{I n}$ fat (Kor. $a^{\prime} \varepsilon_{I n}$ Kor. 15.4)
ELI'gIn father 73.10 (stem $L$ )
$k e^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I}$ čvin boy $11.7^{2}$
kopa'lhin walrus-blubber 12.6 (kopa'lha 14.11)
$y i^{\prime \prime} \operatorname{lgIn}$ month 7.2
$a^{\varepsilon}$ ttın dog 135.20 ( $\boldsymbol{1}^{\varepsilon}$ ttu 135.20) (Kor. $a^{\varepsilon} t t a^{\varepsilon} n$ Kor. 48.8)
keiñm brown bear 78.3 (keiñu 136.20) (Kor. Kam. kaínIn)
rêtoi'ñı big old earcass 136.19
kokaíñm hig kettle 33.10
i'rin fur shirt $83.2 \pm$ (i'ru 116.26)
wu'kwun stone (stem wukw R 3.19) (Kor. vu'gvin)

To this group belong the endings -lhin, -yñm, -čhm, -gIrgin, -yIrIn -lin (see ş. 52; 53; 98; 1,99,8; 106, 44)

## § 31. ABSOLUTE FORM WITH LOSS OF PHONETIC ELEMENTS

(1) Stems ending in a vowel weaken their terminal vowel or lose it entirely. Those ending in $\underset{\sim}{e}$ often change it to $I$ slightly nasalized.
$v a^{\prime} l_{E}$ knife $15.13 ; 16.4 ; 43.7$ (stem $v a^{\prime} l a$ )
ri' $0 k$ walrus 8.5
$k e^{\prime} l_{E}$ an evil spirit 61.6
ću' $\tilde{u}^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I}$ buck (stem čumna)
krimi'nti three-year-old buek 117.11
$u^{\prime} m i$ i bear 110.11
wíur scraping board (stem wiuri)
$e^{\prime} w i c ̌$ small bag (stem ewicucu)
In case the loss of terminal vowel results in an inadmissible terminal cluster, auxiliary vowels are introduced:

```
\(e^{\prime} k i k\) son (stem ekhe)
e'rim, e'rem chief (stem erme)
Ku'kil one-eyed (stem kuwle <*hukle)
lu'kil driving-reindeer, not properly broken in; (stem luwle
        <*lulile?
```

(2) Stems ending in $-m$ lose their terminal $v$.
$\hat{e}^{\prime} w g a n ~ i n c a n t a t i o n ~ 129.18$ (stem êwganv)
$\hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I n}$ master 122.38 (stem êtınv)
(3) Stems ending in $\tilde{n}$ with preceding vowel drop the terminal $\tilde{n}$ or at least reduce its pronunciation to a voiceless $\tilde{n}$. This oceurs particularly in Chukchee.

Chukchee
êna'nvina scraper (stem êna'nuna' $\tilde{n})$
keñ'ne staff (stem Feñu'neñ) 101.9
$l i^{\prime} \tilde{n} l i$ heart (stem liñ) lin $n l i n ̃$
po'mpo mushroom (stem poñ) po $\boldsymbol{c}^{\varepsilon \prime} n p o^{\varepsilon} n$
$p i^{\prime} m p i$ powder (stem $\left.p i n\right)$
(4) A number of stems with consonantic ending have a double form of the stem, one ending with the consonant, another one ending in a, $e$, or $i$, which are suffixed to the stem. The absolute form is the stem form without terminal vorel.

Ai'wan an Asiatic Eskimo (stems $a i^{\prime} w a n$ and aiwana)
intu'ulprr son-in-law (stems mtuulpır and intuielpre)
$u w \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon \prime} q u e^{\varepsilon}$ husband (stems uw $\ddot{a}^{\prime \prime} q u \dot{d}$ and $u w \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} q u x i$ )
ilir island (stems ilir and iliri)
(5) Irregular forms are-

Chukchee
${ }^{e} i^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} n I$ wolf (stem [l]. $e^{-\varepsilon} g$ )
${ }^{e} i^{\epsilon \prime} t$ tr neck ( stem $^{e}{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} n n$ )
ELu' $\hat{e}$ nephew (stem ELuugo)
inté ${ }^{\prime}$ daughter-in-law (stem intiyo)
$a^{\prime} k a n$ fishhook (stem $a^{\varepsilon} n<* a q n ?$ )
$g a^{\prime} L E$ bird (stem galha)
$v e^{\prime} L E$ raven (stem velve)
${ }^{e} i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ tit anser segetum (stem ${ }^{e} i^{\varepsilon} t u$ )
$t u^{\prime} m g{ }^{\prime} n$ stranger (stem tumŭд) ; compare, however, the reduplicated form tu' mgrtum COMPANION formed from tu'mgin (in compounds -tu'mgin, as yice'mit-tu'mgin ввотнев) tu'mŭk serves also as possessive form.

## \& 32 SPECIAL FORMS

A number of pronouns form the absolute form in a special manner.
(1) Personal pronouns.
gŭm I (Kor. gŭımma; Kamch. kr'mma)
gitt thou (Kor. gr'ssa; Kameh. $k r^{\prime} j a$ )
(2) The personal pronoun Ena'n (Kor. E'nnu; Kamch. ena') He is formed from the stem en-.
(3) The personal pronouns of the plural are formed with the suffix $-i$. In Koryak the dual has the suffix $-i$; the plural, $-u$. In Kamchadal we find $-a$ for the first and second persons.
$m u^{\prime} \cdot i_{i}^{i}$ (Kor. dual $m u^{\prime} y i, \mathrm{pl} . m \iota^{\prime} y u ;$ Kamch. $m u^{\prime} j a$ ) we (stem murg[Kor. mučk-, Kamch. mıjģ-])
tu'ri (Kor. dual tu'yi, pl. tu'yu; Kamch. tu'ja) ye (stem turg- [Kor. tuche-, Kamch. $t_{\text {Ijg-] }}$ )
érri $^{\prime}$ (Kor. dual $a^{\prime} \dot{c x i}$ i, pl. $a^{\prime} c \check{c} u$; Kamch. itx) they (stem erg- [Kor. $a c h-$, Kamch. $t x-$-])
(4) Interrogative personal pronoun.
me'nin who (stem mik-) (Kor. ma'ki [stem mik])
(5) Indefinite pronoun.
riémut what (stem req) (Kor. yI'nna [stem yaq])
$n i^{\prime} r k ı \tilde{m} u t$ a certain one (stem nirke ) (Kor. níyka, ni'ykinvut [stem niyka])
$n i^{\prime} k i n ̃ u t a \operatorname{certain}$ thing (stem nike)

## Dual and Plural (ss 33-35).

§ 33. GENERAL REMARKS
Chukchee, Koryak II, and Kamchadal have only two numbers; while Koryak I has also a dual, which corresponds in form to the plural of the Chukchee. The plural of the Koryak, both I and II, presents a set of distinct forms.

## § 34. PLURAL OF COMMON NOUNS

The plural of common nouns occurs only in the absolute form. In Chukchee it is formed by the suffix -t. Stems ending in $l, r, n, \delta, y, t$, take $-t i$ instead.

| lile't eyes | pe'kulti butcher-knives 84.21 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $e^{\prime}$ kket sons | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{n} i^{\prime} n q a ̈ i t i \\ & \\ & 113.12 \end{aligned}$ |
| qu'tti the others 115.17 | nínqügti 51.10 |
| qla'ultề men 121.9 | $a^{\text {E' }}$ ttıq ${ }^{\text {abgti }}$ pups 122.18 |
| yidemre'tti brothers 64.3 | mpıI $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} w q \ddot{\partial g t i}$ little old women |
| ñé'wänti women 50.4, 6 | 45.1 |
| $\tilde{n}$ eus ${ }^{\prime} \downarrow \ddot{a}^{\prime} t t i$ women 112.5 | $l e^{\prime} u t t i$ heads 86.8 |

Words which have a double stem form (see § 31, 4), have also double forms in the plural.
$A i^{\prime}$ wan an Asiatic Eskimo (stems civvan, aivana); plural ai'wantê, ai'vanat
 $u w \ddot{a}^{s^{\prime}} q u c ̌ i t$
$i^{\prime} l i r$ island (stems ilir, iliri); plural ili'tti, $i^{\prime} l i r i t$

## Koryak:

The dual of Koryak I has the same suffix.

## lila't two eyes

qo'yat two reindeer (Chukchee qa'at reindeer)
vaíamit two rivers ( $v a i^{\prime \prime}$ amti Kor. 17.1, Chukchee $\tau e^{\prime}$ emit rivers)
The plural is formed in many Koryak dialects by -u after terminal consonants, $-w g i,-v v i($ according to dialect), after terminal vowel.
qla'wulu men Kor. 44.3
$\tilde{n} \iota^{\prime} w r t q a t u$ women Kor. 44.2
qai-pipikalñu little mice Kor. 25.6
nawa'kku daughters Kor. 27.1
a'gimu bags Kor. 28.5
kmi' $\tilde{n} u$ children Kor. 44.7
vai'amurivers (stem vaiam)
lila'wgi eyes
mimlu'wgi lice Kor. 25. 4
imčanala'wge ermines Kor. 66.1 S
qoyg'wge reindeer (stem qoya; qoya'we Kor. 22.4)
qapa'au wolverenes (<qapay-u) Kor. 12.7
u'kkamau ressels Kor. 28.5
ki'plau mortars Kor. 51.5 (kipla'wi Kor. 53. S)
Kamchadal:
The plural sufix of Kauchadal is $-(I)^{\varepsilon} n$.
$u^{\varepsilon}<$ tree
kocx dog
kist house

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} h_{I}^{\varepsilon} n \text { trees } \\
& k c \cdot o^{\varepsilon} n \text { dogs } \\
& k i^{\prime} s I_{1} n \text { houses }
\end{aligned}
$$

Stems ending in $n$ or $l$ take the glottal stop before the terminal consonant, and take no ending, but may modify the last vowel of the stem.

| lưl eye | $7 \mathrm{urg}^{\text {e }}$ eyes |
| :---: | :---: |
| këli'lan spotted seal |  |
| me'mil ground-seal | $m e ́ m i s ? ~ g r o u n d-s e a l s ~$ |

In the material collected by Dybowsky ${ }^{1}$ in southern Kamchatka, $t$ and $d$ occur as plural endings.
iauin ear
kosch dog
uan stone
ivut ears
kosgut dogs
uad stones

[^75]The Kamehadal dialect of Sedanka also has the ending - $t$. veta'trlan workman veta'trlat workmen sü'nkil the one who flies sii'nkilat those who fly
This can not be due to the influence of the neighboring Koryak II, which has no dual, and uses only the $u$ ending of the plural.

## § 35. PLURAL OF PERSONAL NOUNS.

-(I)nti(Kor. Kam. the same) [-( $\tau$ ) $n+t i \boldsymbol{i}$; for $-(I) n$ see § 39], expresses a group of people belonging to and including a person of the name to which the suffix is added. In Koryak Kamenskoye the ending designates two persons only. This form is also used with the interrogative pronoun.

Y' $t_{I} l_{\text {Inti }}$ Yetrilm and bis family
(Kor. Actépininti) Ačče'pın and his wife
$\tilde{n} e^{\prime} w a ̈ n t i$ their wives
mi'kinti (Kor. Kam. ma'kinti) who? (see p. 726)
Koryak Kamenskoye:
Valvimtrl $a^{\varepsilon \prime}$ ninti Raven-Man and his wife Kor. 12.1
Yini' $a-\tilde{n} a^{\prime}$ wgutinti Yini'a-ñawgut and her husband Kor. 19.5
A group of more than two is expressed in Koryak Kamenskoye by the plural ending -wgi, but also by -inu.

Aと̌eprna'wge Ačce'pin and his family.
Quyqmn ${ }^{\text {aqu'wgi Big-Raven and his people Kor. } 39.10 ~}$
Amamqu'tinu Ememqut's people Kor. 43.7
pipi'k ${ }^{\prime} a$ - $\tilde{n} a^{\prime}$ wgutinu monse-women Kor. 23.3

## 8 36. Exclamatory Form of Nouns

Nouns may be given an exclamatory form by transferring the accent to the end of the stem, especially with the last word of the sentence.
kimilhi'n worms 39.3
When the accentuation is stronger, the last vowel is changed to 0 . In this case, proper names lose their suffixes, and have the accent on the last vowel of the stem.

| Yeto'l | O Ye'tiln! |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quto $w$ | O Qutu'wgi! |

Koryak:
miko'n vannılño'n! whose tooth Kor. 34.4
nawako'k! daughter! Kor. 22.7
trlago'n! I found! Kor. 24.1

In some cases, when the noun ends in a vowel, an $-\frac{6}{6}$ is added, and the accent thrown upon the end of the word.
Araroi'
O Ara'ro!

Upenkei R 72.15
O Upe'nke!
Mitei 83.12 Kor. 37.2
O Miti!
Kor. Quqe'! Kor. 74.29
O Quyqinn ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} q u$
Kor. Yinei' Kor. 88.1
O Yini'aña'wgut
also $q l e i$
O man! (from qlik, which otherwise is used only in compounds)

## § 3\%. Subjectice Form

$-\boldsymbol{e},-\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{a}, \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{a}}$ (Chukchee). Instrumental; used in place of object when the verb is intransitive (e. g., she cooked with meat-she cooked meat); subject of transitive verb. ${ }^{1}$
(a) After terminal vowel -tä:
ekke ${ }^{\prime}$ tä by the son 18.9 vala'ta with knives 16.4
temu'netä with shell-fish 9.8 uw $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon \prime}$ qucitäa $i^{\prime}$ unin the husband told her
ri'rkata by walrus 9.9; 10.6
tar-qa'ata qe'rkuLin bought with how many reindeer
lilétä̈ with an eye
(b) After terminal consonant -äa:
eñé $\tilde{n}_{l} l \ddot{l}$ by a shaman $7.5 ; \quad$ evirirä clothing (obj.) 13.6 14.12; 15.9
$w u^{\prime} l_{q} \ddot{a}$ by darkness 18.12
ĚLI'gä by the father 18.4
rä'yipä with a drill 8.1; 11.2
$y \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} q \ddot{a}$ by sleep 10.6, 7
$a^{\varepsilon}$ ttwrlä by the boat's crew 10.9; 12.4
ré $e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ rilä by the bow-man 10.10
ené $\tilde{n} \ddot{a}$ with the spirits 16.3
(c) After terminal consonant $-e$. This $e$ may be part of the stem that drops out on the absolute form.
$e^{\prime}$ 'e $u w i^{\prime} i^{\epsilon}$ with fat she cooked (i. e., she cooked fat)
(d) After terminal $n$ often, after $r$ sometimes, eetï. Words of this group are those with double-stem forms § 31.4
gêlêtkrna'ta along the ice-top 13.7
rimne'täa and $n I^{\prime} m n \ddot{a}$ with the inner skin
aiwana'ta the Aiwan 46.6; 49.2
mntu'ulprretä by the son-in-law 80.22 and intu'ulprä̈
-ta, - $\boldsymbol{l}$ (Kor. Kam). Instrumental and subject of transitive verbs (as in Chukchee).
lila'ta with an eye
$u^{\prime} t t a$ with the wood
$\hat{a}^{\varepsilon}!a^{\prime} t a$ with exerement Kor. 12.5
$\check{c} a k e$ 'ta by the sister Kor. 18.10
$\tilde{n} i^{\prime}!\tilde{n} a$ with a line Kor. 41.3
yica'myi-tu'mga by the brother Kor. 20.6
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} w i t q a t a$ by the woman Kor. 21.5
ya ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} m k a$ by the people Kor. 39.7
$y r^{\prime} p n a$ with the inner skin Kor. 48.8
With these endings are also found, formed from locatives (see §§ 38,58 ) -

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Crukchee } \\ \text { minke } t \ddot{a} \end{gathered}$ | Kor. Kam. minka'ta | by which place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wutke'tä | wutča'ta | by this place |
| En $\cdot k e^{\prime} t \ddot{a}$ | Enka'ta | by that place |
| ヶä'ankata | vaieña'ta | by that place (midway) |
| $n ı k e^{\prime} t a ̈ 12.9 ; 14.10$ | nuki'ta | at night |
| $g_{\text {In }} \mathrm{A}^{\prime} t-a^{\varepsilon} l o^{\prime}$ | grno't-ál ${ }^{\prime}$ | at mid-day |
| $\tilde{n} u n q e^{\prime} t a ̈$ there, by itself |  |  |

no'trnqata there, behind the speaker
no'onkata there, farther on
nenke'tä there, far off
Here belong also the Chukchee forms-
nunqe'tä there, by itself
no'tranata there, behind speaker
$n o^{\prime}$ onqanata there, farther on
nenke'tä there, far off
-l' Kamchadal. Instrumental.
$u^{\varepsilon / 6}$ with $\operatorname{wood}\left(\right.$ from $u^{\varepsilon} \hbar$ wood)
lưule $e^{\prime} l^{\circ}$ with the eye (stem lŭl)

## Locative Form (§§38-39)

§ 38. COMMON NOUNS
-(i)k, -kI, -qI (Koryak the same) expresses the locative. ${ }^{1}$
ve'emik nitva'qền he lives on the river
eLa'qr nitva'qền he lives with the mother
$n u^{\prime} t e k$ (Kor. $n u^{\prime} t a k$ ) on the land

The forms $-k y$ and $-q y$, also -eky and eqy are used after some stems, but no definite rule in regard to their use can be laid down.
$g u^{\prime} m u ̌ k$ and gǔ'muqt in my possession
$y o^{\prime} o q Y$ in the wind (from yóo wind)
eLa'qI at the mother's (from ela' mother)
nelville'ky at the herd (from nélvŭl herd)
vêll'the-laula'ki at the merchant's (from vêlitke-la'ul merchant)
Stems with the terminal clusters $l h, \delta h, t h, r g, n g$ may drop the terminal sound in the locative:
pi'lhin throat
gi'thin lake
$m I^{\prime} n g I_{I L I} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ hand
$p i^{\prime} l_{I} k$ in the throat
gi'tikit at the lake
mi'nik at the hand

The forms pi'lhik, giIt $h_{I} h_{1}, m_{I} I^{\prime} n g_{I} k$, however, are also in use.
Verbal nouns with the suffix $-g_{b^{\prime}} g(\mathrm{In})(\$ 106.44)$ have in the locative - inkior -rik:
kanka'Eirgın descent kanka'cirinki and kanka'kirik

Note.-These two forms appear with distinctive meaning in the locative of gito'lhin Side:
gito'linkly on the side of the mountain
gito'lhik on the side of a person
This suffix is often weakened to $-g$, or even disappears entirely.
Thus we find $n u^{\prime} t e k$, nu'teg, and mu'te in the country; $y a^{\prime} r a k$ and $y a^{\prime} r a$ at home; the $k$ may also be replaced by I . The leu'ti on the head 44.5; $a^{\prime} \tilde{n} q a-\varepsilon o^{\prime} r m i$ on the seashore 12.4
walqa'rik in the jawbone house, 44.14
nute's. qak on the ground, 15.5
rag-co'rmik on the house border, 12.12
$a^{\prime} \dot{\tilde{n}} q a k$ on the sea, 13.3; Kor. 25.7
gi'lgilik on the sea ice, 13.3
tu'wkrlk on the ice-floe, 13.3
$t_{I}{ }^{\prime} m k_{I} k$ on a hummock, 62.7
$q \ddot{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} e k_{I} c h_{I} k$ on a thong of young walrus-hide, 62.8
lile'k (Kor. lile ${ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} k$ ) in the eye
Koryak:
va'amik in the river Kor. 32. 1, 2
či'chhiñle in the armpits Kor. 18.9
ya'yak in the house Kor. 19.9
ulgu'vik in the cache Kor. 80.10
yaqa'?lk in the porch Kor. 80.13
$i^{\prime} y a^{e} g$ in the sky Kor. 19.3
$q^{2} s^{*} w u g e^{\prime} \tilde{n} k x$ at the foot of the stone-pine bushes Kor. 21.7

With nouns designating animate beings, the suffix $-k$ expresses the possessor.
$e^{\prime} k k e k$ va'rkin (Kor. Kam., a'kkak va'ykn) it is the son's maa'lle va'rim in the neighbor"s (house) he is 19.2
gémge-ni'kek whosoever 20.7
Kor. $a^{\prime}$ al tu'yIk va'ykin have you an axe? Kor. 63.5
Kor. Trke'neryik: va'ykin With-Smell-Pusher-A way hasit Kor. 63.4
Personal pronouns also have this ending, while proper names and personal demonstrative pronouns have the ending $-(t) n \ddot{a}$ (see §41).

The personal pronoun is used with the ending $-k$, particularly when the noun to which it is attached with possessive signiticance has a suffix (-tïr, -gti, etc.), while in the absolute form the suffix -in belonging to or made of is used (see § 46 and also § 47 ). In similar cases nouns designating animate beings are often used with the ending $-k$.
gŭmй'k e'kkeg nalvălêépй́ qü̈'mithrn take from my son's herd
 -йй from [§ 42])
eni'g-mu'tek ne'rmeqion le'te in his own country the kele is strong 123.25
me'rêg-rak in our houses 84.16
Kor. mama'nak tetei'tıñ on mamma`s needle Kor. 25.2
Kor. Miti'nek čai'učlu into Miti's work-bag Kor. 38.4.
Here belong-
wu'tku (Kor. wu'tcuk) here
$E^{\prime} n \cdot k_{I}$ (Kor. $\ddot{a}^{\prime} n k i$, Kamchadal $E^{\prime} n k i$ ) there
va'äkla (Kor. vai'eñ) there (midway to)
no'onkit there (farther on)
ra'añki there (behind the person addressed)
$\tilde{n} o^{\prime} t_{I} \bar{n} k r, \tilde{n} o^{\prime} t_{I} \tilde{n} q I$, there (behind the speaker)
$\tilde{n} u^{\prime} n k i$ (Kamchadal $\left.\tilde{n} \sigma^{\prime} n k e\right)$ (aside by itself)
$m i^{\prime} \overline{n k} k_{I}$ (Kor. $m i^{\prime} n k i$ ) where
$\bar{n} e^{\prime} n \cdot k u$ there (far off)
All these form allative, ablative, and instrumental, see $\$ 58$.
$\boldsymbol{- n k}$ (Kamchadal); after terminal $n, \boldsymbol{- k}$, also in some other cases. Locative, and subject of transitive verbs.
lü'lenk on the eye
ci'mtenk on the land.
txu'ntxunk in the darkness (from txu'ntxun)
$a^{\prime} t_{t} n u \check{n} k$ and $a^{\prime} t_{1 n \check{\prime}} k$ in the village (from atmŭm)

With nouns designating animate objects, the suffix -nk designates the possessor.
$p!i^{\prime} c!i n k=c h i^{\prime} z k i n i n$ it is the son's
The suffixes expressing directions to and from of the Kamehadal also contain the ending -nk, while in Chukchee and Koryak
 distinct origin of these elements may still be recognized in Kamchadal by the fact that the termination for roward always, that for from generally, causes ablaut, while the -nk of the locative is neutral. For direction from we find, for instance-
kist house
ki.r. river
tru'ntxun darkness
$a^{\prime} t ı n \check{u} \cdot m$ village
ki'stenk in or from the house ke'stank to the house
ki'xenk in or on the river $k \hat{e}^{\prime} x^{\prime}$ ank to or from the river twu'ntrunk in the darkness txo'ntaonk to the darkness
 from the village

These forms may be related to the possessive form of the Koryak proper names (see 839).

## § 39. PERSONAL NOUNS

-(I)nïu. Subjective and possessive of proper names of persons and of a few appellative nouns.
$Y_{e}^{\prime} t_{l} l_{m a ̈}^{a}$ Yetrlnn's
$a^{\prime} t \hat{e} n a$ father's ( $a^{\prime} t_{\text {e }}$ fatifer, in the language of children)
apaíña grandfather's (apaínion<epe-yñm grandrather, in the language of children)
epeqä́ymä̈ grandmother's (epeéqüa< cop-qäi GRANDMOTher, in the language of children)
tumgíinä friend’s (tumgi'ninä, in the pronunciation of women)
Telpüñénü̈ lo $0^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ o things seen by Telpŭñe R 379, no. 142 title
Tño'tirgına tr'lqätyä̈́k I go to Tño'tirgm 120.36
$n i_{1}^{\prime} r k e$ - a certain one, qut another one ( $\$ 60$ ), all personal demonstratives and interrogatives (§58) have the same forms.
-(I) uak (Kor. Kam.). Probably formed from the suffix -(I)na and the possessive $-k$.

Miti'nak Miti's Kor. 15.11
Pícíqula ${ }^{\text {E nak }}$ Bird-Man Kor. 16.4
Ačéc'pmak Acče'prn's
wh'tininak this one's
mi'kinak who Kor. 12.7

Note.-The subjective of the personal pronoun in -nan may be related to this form. The possessive form of these pronouns, however, is formed in - $n$ (see $\leqslant 56$ )

Allative and Ablative (§§40-43.)
§ 40. ALLATIVE OF COMMON NOUNS, CHUKCHEE AND KORYAK
 the direction to, also the indirect object, on account of, for the benefit of.

In Chukchee - $g t_{f}$ is used after vowels, except $o$;

> -êt $t_{b}$ after consonants;
> -wt $t_{b}$, after $o$.

Examples of -gtg after vowels:
qaa'gtb $t_{r}{ }^{\prime} l q a ̈ t y a ̈ d k$ I went to the reindeer
a $\tilde{n} q a^{\prime} g t_{b}$ eiñé $u t k u i^{\varepsilon}$ he called to the sea 8.5; also 49.5; 25.5
${ }_{o} \tilde{n} q_{0}^{a} \tilde{\tilde{n}}_{0} y_{0}^{a} a_{0}^{\prime} g t_{b}$ to the seaside 49.6
nota'gtg to the country 51.2
caučuwa'gtb to the reindeer-breeder 48.9
yar $r_{0}^{\prime} g_{b}$ to the house 105.27
lêla'gtg to the eye
$a^{\varepsilon} l a-q o p l a^{\prime} g t_{b}$ on an excrement-pile 45.5
kala'gtb to a kele 97.12
gIrgola'gtb upward 16.5
girgogéa'gtı upward 47.4
anvè́ $n a u k a_{1}^{\prime} g t_{b}$ to an unbroken one 50.12 (ä-käd not)
ta'lva-pa'lko-vêt $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ gtr to one merely dying of old age 21.7
akka'gtı tre'tyä́n I brought it for the son
$q a a^{\prime} g t_{d}$ on account of the reindeer 48.12
uwaqocế'gtb on account of the husband 48.12
Examples of -êt $\hat{t}_{b}$ after consonants:
kalté't $t_{b}$ to the bottom 9.7
naranêntitko'ññoñon notas' pê't it shall be thrown on the ground 25.3; also 16.7
mêmlê'ts to the water 48.5
racuelét $t_{d}$ to the whaler 46.5
$a^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ cumaanvét $t_{i}$ to the owners of bad dishes 96.7
rimnêtt to the inner skin
$\tilde{n} o{ }^{2} \hat{e ́}^{\prime} t i$ to the poor ones 96.26
eligếti qütr' he went to the father 109.3
yếcamêt-to'mgêtr qütri' he went to the brothers 110.1
tnarrgétr to the dawn 41.7
$y \hat{e}^{e} l h \hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I}$ to the moon 41.11
pênyollê'tr on to the hearth 32.7
gino'nêtı to the middle 10.7; 16.5
Ergip-ya'lhêtı on account of the bright moon 14.11
Examples of -wtr, -utt, after o
qaaradikou'tr under the sledge-cover 110.8
yorou't to the sleeping-room 39.10
$m e ̂ m l_{I} c_{I} k o u^{\prime} t_{I}$ into the water 17.4

- $\breve{t} \boldsymbol{I I}$, -etI (Koryak)
- $\boldsymbol{\imath}$ tr used after all vowels.
yaya'itı to the house (yaite'tı verbal, from yaite'kin Kor. 17.3)
yoyo'ita to the sleeping-room
lela' $a^{\prime}$ tr to the eye
gidgolai't to the upper part Kor. 20.1
yrnoi'tr to the rear storeroom Kor. 35.6
-etr after consonants.
yrpnê'tr to the inner skin
$o l_{I} w_{g} t_{I} \tilde{n}$ to the cache Kor. 36.3
ymootñe'tr into the vent-hole Kor. 43.3
Here belong the allatives of the locative demonstratives and interrogatives, which take - $r i$ in Chukchee.

| whither | Chukchee <br> $m i n \tilde{n} k r i$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Koryaks } \\ & \text { menkei'tr } \end{aligned}$ | Kamchadel ma'nke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hither | - | wotčaitı |  |
| thither | Enkri | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \ddot{a} n k a i^{\prime} t_{I} \tilde{n} \\ \text { Enkai'tI Kor. 17.2 } \end{array}\right.$ |  |
| thither (midway) | $v a^{\prime} \ddot{a} n \check{r} \hat{e}$ | vaieñai'tı |  |
| thither | $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} n \stackrel{r}{\text { r }}$ | nankiait ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  |

§41. allative of personal nouns
-(I)na то, towards. Used only with proper names, personal demonstratives, and with a few appellative nouns.

Ya'trlina to Yetrl in
$a_{0}^{\prime} t \hat{A} n a$ to father ( $a^{\prime} t e$ father, in the language of children)
 the language of children)
 the language of children)
tomgê'êna to the friend (ta'mginma, in the promunciation of women)
wo'tqanêna (Kor. Kam. wo'tenena) to this one
mê'kêna (Kor. Kam. mékena) to whom
-(I)ua( $\boldsymbol{n})$ (Kor. Kam.) towards, to. Used only with proper names. Pronouns belonging to this group have na like the corresponding Chukchee form.

Ačéćpına( $\tilde{n})$ to Ačěepina
Mete'na to Miti Kor. 43.2
The related suffix, -(I) $\tilde{n}$ or $-n a(\tilde{n})$, may be used with a few appellative nouns; - $-t_{I}$ (see $\$ 40$ ) occurs as well.
ta'tañ or ta'tanañ to father; ta'tana Kor. 74.15 (ta'ta father, in the language of children); but iluait $t_{I}$ to the mother

## §42. ABLATIVE IN -!

 -
lêla'supŭ from the eye
Roltannênai' pǔ from Rulte'nnin 124.8 (see 831,4 )
qaai'pui lei'wuln along the reindeer (herd) the walking one
ñargmoíp̆̆ from outside 12.10 (see $\$ 31,4$; of nargIno'lin that staying in the outer tent)
qolê-notai'pu from another land 14.12; 113.11; 136.21
notai"p̆̈ nilei'vuqinet they walked along the (open) land 17.9
pottıñai'pŭ by the holes 47.2
a $\tilde{n} q a \tilde{n} q a \check{c} a^{\prime} p$ й from the seaside 49.8 (see ${ }^{\text {S }} 31,4$ )
qaacikoíp ${ }^{\prime}$ from the herd 51.2
pagtalkoi'pü along the crevices 22.6
rottagničizkoi'pŭ from the outer tent 131.5
êučaípŭ from below 131.5
$E n \cdot k \hat{e} \iota_{I} k o r^{\prime} p \check{u}$ from there (inside) 131.12
$-g u_{0} p \breve{u_{0}}$ mostly with stems ending in a single consonant.
váa ${ }^{\prime} m g \check{u} p \check{\sim}$ ŭ from the river
nimni'mgưّ $p \breve{u}{ }_{0} n I^{\prime} p k i r-m u^{\prime} r \dot{i}$ we came from the settlement 10.12
pêpê'ggŭŭŭ by the ankle 50.11
-êpư̆ŭctly mostly stems ending in two consonants.
orgếpu from the sledge

ronme $\hat{e}^{\prime} p \check{\circ}$ from under the outer tent-cover 12.9
yIkIrgểpu across its mouth 115.1
cot-tugnée pur from the outer tent
gamg $a-v a^{\prime} I r g \hat{e ́}^{\prime} p \check{u}$ among all beings 22.2
ranmê'pu from the border of the house 130.16
êpi'nmêpŭ from under the wall 130.16
$-e^{\prime} p u$ (only in Koryak II, in a number of dialects; for instance, in the village of $K i^{\prime} c h_{I n}$ in Kamchatka). nute'pu galai'vulin he walked along the open land

## §43. POST-POSITIONS IN -nk, -nq, -nq, -(n)qo, -nqorI

-nqo (Koryak I) from, out of (not with the meaning across, ALONG).

Lela' $\tilde{n} q$ o from the eye
ega'ñko from heaven Kor. 33.4
kipla' grginko out of the bottom of the mortar Kor. 53.3
menka'ñqo (mañe'nko Kor. 33.7) whence
wotča' $a^{\prime}$ go from here
$\tilde{n} a n k a^{\prime} \tilde{n} q o$ thence
ña'nakañqo Kor. 42.3
änka'nqo from there
vaíeñqo from there (not very far)
-nqo, - $\boldsymbol{n}_{q o^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{r l}$ (Chukchee) from, not free; only in the following adverbs:
$m e ̂ ' n q o$ and mêñqo'ri whence (mê'ñko 113.19)
$\tilde{n} o^{\prime} o n q o$ and $\tilde{n} o^{\prime} o n ̃ q o^{\prime} r ı$ from there (far oft) ( $\tilde{n} o^{\prime} o n k o ~ 76.5 ; 131.8$ )
$v a^{\prime}$ enqo va'änqo and va'ünqori from there (not very far)
$\tilde{n} o^{\prime} t_{\text {Enqo }}$ and $\tilde{n}{ }^{\prime} t_{\imath} \tilde{n} q o r i$ from behind the speaker
ra'engo from behind the person addressed
$\tilde{n} u^{\prime} n q u$ and $\tilde{n} u n q u^{\prime} r i$ from there
$E^{\prime} \tilde{n} q o, 86.18$ en ${ }^{\prime} q^{\prime} o^{\prime} r o 65.18$ and $E n q o^{\prime} r i$ from there 125.3;
wo'tqo, wotqoro 194.10 and wotqo'ri from here
( $\tilde{a} a^{\prime}$ nqo means, however, simply here)
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n k o$ 12.7 From this is formed the ablative $\tilde{n} a n \cdot k o i^{\prime} p$.
$n a^{\prime} n_{I} k o$ there Kor. 32.1
qoro' come here! (Kor. qoyo is probably the exclamatory form for $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n q o r i$ hither. The latter form is rarely used. Kor. Kam. qo yin hitier is perhaps the ablative of the same form. qoro' $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n k$ ko then come here! R 73.76 qo'ro 101.3
-nk (Kamchadal). Used in most oblique cases. Since all Kamchadal stems end in consonants, this suffix requires a connecting vowel which corresponds in character to the vowel of the stem.
$\underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{\ddot{2}}, \underset{\sim}{e} \underset{\sim}{u}, \underset{\sim}{u}$, are found in this position.
The allative always has the strong form of the connecting vowel.
The suffix often takes the termination -e.
sün the wood
sünk from the wood
sö'nke to the wood
kïx the sea
kïxenk from the sea
kéranke to the sea
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt. 2-12-45
lül the eye
lü'lank from the eye
lúlank to the eye
$E^{\prime} n k ;$ there
no'nke there, thus
ma'nke whence, whither, how
§ 43

## § 44. Post-positions of Plurals of Personal Nouns

The plurals of personal nouns form their locative, allative, ablative ( $s: 39,41$ ), and possessives ( p .709 ) by adding the stem of the pronoun (I)rg they (Kor. Kam. [I]y) to the stem. The allative and ablative forms differ, however, somewhat, from the forms of the independent pronoun.

> stem (I)rg TIIEY


Kor. Kam.:
Pipi'kca-ña'wgut Mouse-Woman Pipi'kica-nángutiyik by MouseWomen Kor. 31.1
Annimaya't Frost-Man Annimaya'tiyık by those with the Frost-Man Kor. 38.9
Ai'ginva With-Odor-Pushing-Aiginvi'gIkin to the people of Away With-Odor-Pushing - Away Kor. 63.6
Quyqinn•aqu Big-Raven Qoyqinn'aqoyikai'tı to the BigRaven's people Kor. 19.9; 35.6

The $k$ in the suffixes of these forms is evidently related to the $k$ which appears in the allative and ablative of the independent pronoun derived from the stem ( $\underset{\alpha}{i}$ ) $r \underline{g}$ (Chukchee), as given in $\S 56$.

Miti's•hin belonging to Miti Kor, 28.7
Quyqinn'uqu'cliein belonging to Big-Raven Kor. 28.7
Here Koryak $s \cdot h$ and $c h$ are analogous to Chukchee rg.
$\$ \$ 45-50$. Form in -in
§ 45. GENERAL REMARKS
A considerable number of forms ending in -in occur, which are seminominal in character. I have found-

| Chukchee | Koryak | Kamchadal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -in | -in | -in | possessive |
| -kin | -kin | $-i n,-n$ | pertaining to |
| -lin | $-l a^{\varepsilon} n$ |  | measure of a quality |
| $n I-q i n$ |  |  | quality of |
| ge-lin | $g a-l_{\text {a }}$ |  | possessor of |

All of these form their plural and post-positional forms by adding



On the whole, forms of this type with post-positions are rare. mi'ñkri-va'lit ple'kit tegge'̃̃ul nme'lgigit? Nime'yıñqinet mei'mitmet. How do you want your boots? I want large ones (mi'ñkri how; va'lit being, pl. (\$54): ple'kıt boots pl.; teqgen desire; -u serving for; nI- prefix of nominalized verb [\$73]; -nelg to have; -git thou; nI-qinet nominalized form of verb, pl .; mé ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{n}$ large, $m$ - 1st per. exhortative; eimit to take; -net [I]- them, exhortative)
To the question rärs-nélleäa gerkutin? With what kind of skins has it been bought? (req what; ne'lhin skin; -ä instrumental; ge-lin nominalized verb [§73]: -rkur to buy) one may answer-
niteñqinétä with good ones ( $n I-$ qin nominalized verb: $u_{I}-$ qinetä instrumental of this form; tẹ $\tilde{n}$ good);
but it is better to avoid the nominalized form with suffix, and to say, ten-ne'lhä with a good skin em-te'n $n$ nla nike' $z^{\varepsilon}$ the sportful people teased him (em-mere; $t e^{\prime} n \cdot \tilde{n} l l a$ subjective form of $t e^{\prime} n \cdot \pi / l m$ sportful [the corresponding verb with the suffix -e"l is ten'néurkin to lavgh]; mike' $i^{\varepsilon}$ indefinite pronominal verlb, nikérkin to no something)

These forms, however, have definite, angmentative, and diminutive forms.

| ten $\tilde{n}$ good | nite'nqin <br> $t e^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I} \check{c} I n($ see $\S 55)$ <br> $t a^{\prime} \tilde{n} u m-v a^{\prime} l_{I n}(\operatorname{see} 576)$ <br> $\operatorname{tand} \cdot y a^{\prime} n($ see $\$ 104.38)$ | definite form nitanqê$n a^{\prime} c ̌ l i n n($ see § 53) augmentative form tañıčćyñın (see § 98 , no. 1) augmentative form ta'num-valı'yñan diminutive form tand ya'nvuqai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

In Koryak these forms are not found, as a rule.

## § 46. SUFFIX - $i \ldots$.

-in (Kor. -in"; Kamchadal -inu) expresses material of which an object is made, and possession.
(a) Material.
$u^{\prime} t t i n$ wooden (Kor. $\left.u^{\prime} t t i n\right)$

$g a^{\prime} l$ lê̂n $i_{1}^{\prime} r b^{n} n$ bird clothes 14.3
qo ${ }^{\prime}$ 'rên né ${ }^{\prime} h_{h} n$ reindeer-skins 14.4
$e^{\varepsilon} l e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} l$ lin $q l a^{\prime} u l$ man of excrement 39.6
$y_{0} r^{\prime} a^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I} w u_{o}^{\prime} k w \hat{e ̂}^{\prime} h$ house of stone 92.5
ko'nên made of horse (hair) (stem kónê from Russian конь)
$r b^{\prime} g r^{\prime} g \underline{e} n$ made of hair
Koryak:
kuka'kin gatai'krlin it is made of a kettle Kor. 78.1
$m_{I}^{\prime}$ mčin (made) of a louse Kor. 78.1
The same idea is also expressed by composition.
ga'lga-na'lhin bird-skin
$u^{\prime} t t i-y u^{\prime} \bar{n}$ r wooden whale Kor. 40.9
(b) Possessive. Used only in absolute form.
$e^{\prime} k k i n$ the son's (Kor. Kam. a'kkin)
(Kamchadal $i^{\prime} c x i n$ the father's)
$q_{0}^{\prime}$ rên the reindeer's (Kor. Kam. qo'yen; Kamchadal $k$ ! $o^{\prime} j a n$ )
c̆au'čuwện ñe'wän the reindeer-breeder's wife 48.6
$e^{\prime} k k i n$ yorn' $\tilde{n} I$ the son's sleeping-room 53.8
inpina'člêêen ELI'ginên yoro'nu the old man's, the father's sleepingroom 53.9
tu'mgin stranger's (see p. 689) 53.9
وra'wêtêên aimakr'yñın a man's big body 90.14

nééekkin ya'nと̈a yoro' $\tilde{n}_{I}$ daughter's separate sleeping-room 28.3
ñe'ekkin čo'tčot the daughter's bag pillow 29.4
ora'wêtê $n$ ga'mga-tE'čirg ${ }^{\prime} n$ man's every source of illness 24.3
 85.33

ninqa'yin exi'rin the child's clothes 25.5
Ku'urkrlin e'kIk Ku'urkil's son 79.23
Tño'tirgInên Tño'tirgın's 120.16
Umqäqäi'in U'mqäqäi's 63.12
Koryak:
tami'n $\tilde{u}_{-q \text { la' } a^{\prime} w u l e n ~ n a w a ' k a k ~ a n ~ a r t i s a n ' s ~ d a u g h t e r ~ K o r . ~}^{24.10}$
awa'ñ-ña'win nawa'kak the daughter of a seamstress Kor. 25.2
tu'mginau kawa'ssnčhu other people's wallets Kor. 46.1
qo'yen gitča'! $!$ In reindeer-leg Kor. 53.3
Proper names form their possessives of this type also with the suffix $-(I) n$, especially when the terminal sound of the stem is a vowel.
A'nna (a name)
Qutu'wgi (a name)
Aiñanwa't (a name)
Upe'nken belonging
to Upenke R72.13
Niro'nên belonging
to Nrro'n R3 37,
141 title.

In Koryak the suffix -In, characteristic for the postpositional forms of proper names, is sometimes inserted before the possessive suffix -In.
Amamqu'tmin nánitqut Ememqut's woman Kor. 45.1.
Quyqinn'aqu'nin nawa'kak Brig Raven's daughter Kor. 26.1 t
The plural takes the regular plural ending -et (Kor. Kam. -at dual, -au plural, Kamchadal - $e^{\prime s} n$ instead of $-i m$ )
$e^{\prime} k k i n e t$ those of the son (Kor. Kam. a'kkinat dual, a'kkinau pl.) (Kamchadal $i^{\prime}$ cex $e^{6} n$ those of the father)
Often, however, the singular is used instead of the plural.
The possessive forms of proper names have no plural.
The possessive pronoun is eridently based on this suffix. It has, however, somewhat irregular forms.


The Koryak dual has no possessive forms.
Plural and dual are formed in the same way as in all attributive terms in -in:-


From these possessives, forms with suffixes originate.
gŭmnine'tä (Kor. Kam. gǔmnina'ta) with mine.
It is, however, more customary to use the personal pronoun with the suflix instead.
gomokă̆'pŭ qää'mityin take it from me! (instead of take it from mine) (qomokăpŭ see $\$ 56 ; q$-gIn imperative; eimit to take)
Demonstrative pronouns form two possessive forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { wo'tqan } \left.\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { wo'tqanên and wo'tqanEnên } \\
\text { this }
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\text { belonging } \\
\text { (men's pronunciation wo'tqü̂n and wo'tqäEnên) }
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\text { to this }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Enqa'n }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Enqu'nên and } E^{\prime} n q u(n E n e ̂ n \\
\text { (men's pronunciation Enqü'ên and . Enqa Enên }
\end{array}\right\} \text { to that }
\end{aligned}
$$

The forms in -enin may be considered as compounded with the possessive of the third person singular personal pronoun eni'n, so that they would be parallel to the plural forms of the demonstrative possessives discussed in $\$ 58$, p.729: wo'tqanergêr (man's pronunciation


The possessives of proper names in Koryak are formed in the same manner; as

Quyqrmaqu'nin nawa'kak Big-Raven's daughter Kor. 76.1t.
Amamqu'tıin na'urtqat Ememqut's woman Kor. 45.1.
Kamchadal uses the suffixes with the possessive pronoun quite frequently.
kima'm'inl' with my ears (kiman my; -l' instrumental; in ear) mi'nenl' $x^{\prime} r a^{\prime} n l^{\prime}$ with which knife?
$i^{\prime} k n i n l^{\prime}$ kex*ol' with other dogs

## § 47. SUFFIX -kin

-Kin (Kor. Kam.-Kin; Kamchadal -inn, -n) pertaining to. This suffix is added to all kinds of stems,-nominal, pronominal, verbal, and adverbial.
${ }_{\bullet}^{a} \tilde{n} q_{c}^{a^{\prime}} k_{\hat{e}} n$ of the sea 69.9 (Kor. Kam. ${ }_{0} \tilde{n} q_{o}^{\left.a^{\prime} q e n ~ K o r . ~ 76.17\right) ~}$
tele'nkin pertaining to the remote past (tele'n-yep long ago): Kor.
Kam. ankryépkin (stem ankr-ye'p)
Erga'tkrn pertaining to to-morrow (Kor. Kam. miti'wkin)
$p_{i}^{i} l_{r} k i n$ pertaining to the throat 9.3
$a^{\varepsilon} t t w_{1} e^{\prime} k$ in pertaining to the people of the boats $11.9 ; 12.1$
$a^{s^{\prime}}$ ttwukin pertaining to the boat 14.6
$q e^{\prime} p t i k i n$ pertaining to the back 16.10
tile'kin pertaining to motion 16.10
mế $\hat{e}_{z} l_{n k} \hat{e}_{n} n$ pertaining to water 25.6
kele'kin pertaining to spirits 104.26
$g^{\prime}$ rgukện pertaining to a sledge 62.11
qoi'ma-ro'kên pertaining to the rear sleeping-room 55.8
nute's quäkin $t_{1}{ }^{\prime} m k_{r} l h m$ a ground hummock 62.5
telenyépkin belonging to olden times 61.5
mênkoro'kên whence belonging? 113.20
wañèken working, referring to work (from wan̂e)
$y_{I} l q \ddot{a}^{\prime} t k i n$ referring to sleep
Forms with post-positions are rare.
gIrgolkêna'ta by the one belonging above 126.6
The possessive of the personal and of some demonstrative and interrogative pronouns, with the suffix -kin (Kor. Kam. -kin) expresses THAT PERTAINING TO-

| Chukchee | ког. Kam. | Kamchadal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| murike'kin | muyka'kin (dual) mučku'kin (pl) | $\square$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { one being with us, } \\ \text { one of ours } \\ \text { one of our country } \end{array}\right.$ |
| tite'kin | tita'kin | ite'an, ite'nan | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { from what time be- } \\ \text { ing } \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} m i n k k e^{\prime} k i n \\ m e \hat{n} k o^{\prime} k \hat{e} n \end{array}\right\}$ | miñkakin Kor. 66.11 | mi'nein | from where being, belonging to what country |
| wutke'kin | wutča'kin <br> minka'kinau <br> ya'qkinau | ta'min | belonging here whose? Kor. 80.4 of what kind (pl.) |
|  |  |  | Kor. 64.14 |
|  | manka'kenat |  | the two belonging there Kor. 70.22 |
|  |  |  | §47 |

Here belong also the following Chukchee forms:
En $n \cdot k e^{\prime}$ kin belonging there
nen $\cdot k u^{\prime} k$ in belonging there (farther on)
raEnqa'kên belonging there (not very far, midway to)
vaenqa'ken belonging there (behind the person addressed)
notinqa'ken belonging there (behind the speaker)
Such Koryak forms as minka'kila $a^{\varepsilon} n$ belonging to what country (Kor. 40.7), ganké'kr! án belonging to that country (Kor. 40.7), combine two suffixes, $-k^{2}$ in and- $l a^{\varepsilon} n$, and refer to persons.

Temporal adverbs also take this suffix.

| Chuk chee <br> $i^{\prime} g_{I} t k i n$ | Kor. Kam. <br> $a^{\prime}$ 'hllkin | what belongs to the <br> present |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tite'kin | tita'kin | belonging to which <br> time |

Numeral predicates with the ending -kin express ordinal numbers. milinkau'kên or milinka'ulin the fifth

## §48. SUFFIX -linn

-linn (Kor. Kam. -la $\boldsymbol{a}^{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{n}$ ) (oblique cases formed from -l, Kor. -l) expresses the measure of a quality.
minke'mil qee'tvulin what likeness strong? (i. e., how strong?); Kor. Kam. menke'mič qa'tvulán; Kor. Par. menke'mis. qe'tvula ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$
en $\cdot k e^{\prime} m i l$ gitte'piluün that likeness I am sensible (i. e., I am so sensible) (en $\cdot k e$ that: -ium [\$73])
With the prefix $g e-$ - it indicates the possessor of an object. ge-lin (Chukchee), ga-lin (Kor. Kam). This is identical with the verbal forms given in $\$ 73$. It expresses possession.
ga-qa'a-lền (Kor. Kam. ga-qoya'-lenz) be who has reindeer
$g$-ekke'-lin (Kor. Kam. $q$-ckka'-lin $n$ ) he who has sons
garai'-git thou who hast a home 89.7 (see §7.).
ga-pêla'-i-gŭm I have left
ga-qaa'-i-qŭm I who have reindeer.
Koryak:
gavaginna'len with nails Kor. 24.2
gaLailin with eyes Kor. 24.2

## § 49. SUFFIX -qin

$\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{I})-\boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{n}$ and $-\boldsymbol{l I} \boldsymbol{n}$ (Chukehee), $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{I})-\boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{n}$ (Kor. Kam.), are added to stems, most of which express a quality. Many of these are also bases of intransitive and transitive verbs which are formed with the suffixes -eu or -et (sce p. 810). Some verbs, however, are formed without these suffixes.
The attributive terms in $n(I)-q_{n}^{i n}$ are identical in form with the verbal mode in $n(I) — q_{\Lambda}^{i n}$, discussed in $\S 73$. When the verb has no verbifying suffix eu or $-\epsilon t$, the verbal form and the attributive term are the same, and the verbal form seems to assume nominal functions. It may even take post-positions.
Examples of stems that are verbified by means of the suffixes -eu or-et:
Stems têrg-; têrgat to weep
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Stem Kim-; Kiméu (Kor. } \\ \left.\text { Kam. kima' } \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} w-[i k i n]\right)\end{array}\right\}$ slow


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
n I-t \hat{e}^{\prime} r-\ddot{l}-\eta \hat{e}_{\lambda}^{n} n \text { or } \\
t e^{\prime} r \hat{g}-I-l_{I} n
\end{array}\right\} \text { tearful }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
n-a_{y} I^{\prime} l-\ddot{a}-q \hat{p}_{\hat{\prime}}^{n} \\
n-a y I!-a-q e n
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \text { fearful }
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples of words that take no verbifying suffix:
Stem $\tilde{n} o-; n I-\tilde{n} o^{\prime}-q e \hat{e} n$ poor, need!
Stem tam-pêra; ni-t tum-pêera'qên pretty
A number of words expressing qualities do not take the forms in $n I-q i n$.

$\epsilon^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t q i \tilde{n}$ (stem $e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ tqin $\tilde{n}$ and $\ddot{a}_{q} \ddot{a}$ ); (Kor. Kam. $u^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t c ̌ i \tilde{n}$ [stem $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t \check{c} a$ ];
Kor. Par. $e^{\varepsilon \prime}$ tqen [stem $\left.\ddot{a}_{q} \ddot{a}_{d}\right]$ ); had
gŭmmi'n qa'at $\epsilon^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t_{1} i_{i n} t$ my reindeer are bad
also uwe'lı (stem uuele) and me'uqin (stem uи') Kor. Kam. nu'qin [stem $\bar{n}]$ ). black
When used in nominal form. such adjectives take the usual suffixes.
$e^{\varepsilon} t q i \pi t ~ a ~ b a d ~ o n e ~$
$e^{\varepsilon} t q e^{\prime} \pi I c ̌ m$ or $\ddot{a} q \ddot{a}^{\prime} \check{c}_{I n}$ a worse one
$a^{\varepsilon} t q \hat{e}^{\prime} \tilde{n} I \tilde{n}-v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ or aqa'm-r'a'lin a bad or worse one
$a^{\varepsilon}$ tqênd $y a^{\prime} n$ or aqaya' $n$ one who is bad
Examples of forms in -lm are given in $\S 5 t$.
For other adjective forms see $\$ 76$.
-läx, $-\boldsymbol{l} \ddot{\boldsymbol{a}} x$, is added to stems expressing qualities:
$\ddot{o}^{\prime} m$-lax (from öm) deep (cf. Chukchee num-qin broad)
iuläx (from iul) long (cf. Chukchee $n$-iu'l-ä-qin o'lo-lax (from olo) small.
The plural of these is formed with the usual suffix $-(I)^{\varepsilon} n$
ololax-1 $I^{\varepsilon} n k \cdot i^{\prime} s t 1^{\varepsilon} n c ̌$ small little houses.
In post-positional forms the attribute forms a compound with the noun:
$o^{\prime}$ lolax-kê'stčanke to the small houses.
Several adjectival forms borrowed from Russian and Koryak if are also used.
vo'stroi xvalč, ni'ruqin xvalé a sharp knife.
nvê'thaqên $u^{〔} h$ a straight tree.
Here vo'stroi is Russian, ni'ruqin and nvêt ${ }^{\prime}$ thaqên are Koryak ir. The last forms the Kamchadal plural $n v \hat{e}^{\prime} t h a l a^{\varepsilon} n u^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime} h I^{\varepsilon} n$.
$\boldsymbol{k}$ ! - in (-ffan) corresponds to the Chukchee and Koryak forms in $n(i)-q i n(\S 49)$, and is used with verbal themes expressing qualities as well as with intransitive verbs.
$k!-n i^{\prime} t a-i n\left(C h u k c h e e ~ m-g I t e^{\prime} p-q i n\right)$ clever
$k!-n u$ '-in (from $n u$ то еат) voracious
$k!-r e \hat{e} t a^{\prime} t$ - an (from rêtat to work) laborious
$\boldsymbol{k}$ ! $-\boldsymbol{k I n} \boldsymbol{n}$ in seems to correspond to the Chukchee and Koryak forms in $g e-l i n(s)$, and is used with intransitive verbs.
$k!-n u u^{\prime}-k n \pi i n$ (from $n u$ то еат) the one who ate
Both of these suffixes are also used with the transitive verb, $k!$-in with verbs of Type I (see § 70, p. 744), k!-kiñ?n with verbs of Type II (see § 71, p. 746). These forms have a passive meaning.
-Kill, pl. -Kil'as $\boldsymbol{n}$, forms the personal noun of intransitive verbs. $m u^{\prime} k i l^{\prime}$, pl. $m u^{\prime} k l^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$, the one who is eating
vêta'thal', pl. vêtatkal'as $n$, the one who busies himself
colk $\hat{e} l^{\prime}, \mathrm{pl} . \operatorname{colk} \hat{e} l^{1} l^{\varepsilon} n$, the one who lies down
With transitive verbs it expresses the same idea.
t.rlkil the one who beats
$k e^{\prime} j k i l^{\prime}$ the one who keeps

## Suffixes in - I(n) §§51-55

## § 51. GENERAL REMARKS

A considerable number of nominal suffixes have the termination - $n$ in the absolute form. Some of these occur only in the absolute form.
-lhin (Kor. -lñon)
-ld $\tilde{n}^{2} n,-I L I n ̃ m$ (Kor. -l? $\widetilde{n} m$ )

$\$ 850,51$

$-{ }_{-}^{-} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ augmentative (§ 98, No. 1)
-gırg̣ın (§ 106, No. 44) (Kor. -geñın, -gıtñın -ğıčñn) abstract noun
-çın
-lin
$-t k_{\not} n$ (Kor. Kam.-t $\begin{gathered}\text { in } n \text { ) surface }\end{gathered}$

## § 52. SUFFIXES - $l_{0}$ - and $-l_{1} I \pi-$

 with great frequency as the absolute form of certain words.
In most cases it is not retained with other suffixes, although cases of its retention are also numerous.
lêla'lhin eye (stem lile)


mêlota'lhin hare 78.24 (stem milute 78.15)
rêqoqa'lhon fox 78.3 (stem riquqe 78.12)
wu'kwulhin stone 35.11 (stem wukw 35.11)
$k_{1}^{\prime} m_{I} \mathrm{lh}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{n}^{1}$ worm 37.3 (stem kim 36.11)
tamona'lhin a bivalve shell 9.7 (stem temune 9.8)
Koryak:
lela'? $\tilde{n}_{1 n}$ eye Kor. 49.5
gıtča'? ${ }^{\prime} n m$ leg Kor. 53.3
pipi'kalñm mouse Kor. 58.7
va'nnılum tooth Kor. 34.3,4
 way as the preceding suffix.
$r a^{\varepsilon /} \underline{g l i n}_{0} \tilde{n}_{1 n}$ (stem $v e^{\varepsilon} g$ ) (Kor. Kam. vas $a^{s^{\prime}} y-l_{l} \tilde{n}_{I n}$ [stem $\left.v e^{\varepsilon} y\right]$ ) grass
It is not always easy to determine whether the -lh belongs to the stem or not.
$\breve{u} p a^{\prime}$ lhin tallow 86.23 (йpu'lha 87.4 )
kopa'thin walrus-blubber 12.6 (kopu'the 14.11)
rêpa'lhm walrus-hide 13.13
pênyo'lhin hearth 31.13
$\tilde{n} a w g{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} l$ hin old woman 39.5; 40.1
vamilqa'lhin lip 14.5
pênaka'lhin tassel 16.10
auta'lhin obsidian seraper 39.12
pêrka'lhin bowlder 129.6

Of these, the first five stems retain the suffix $/ 7$ with post-positions. The primary stem, however, is without this suffix: for instance,
pênyo'lhin hearth (stem pin, absolute form pi'mpi powder, ashes) $^{\prime} m$.
The following have weak vowels, and it may be assumed that the $l h$ belongs to the stem.
$p_{\hat{\prime}}^{i^{\prime}} l_{\text {lin }}$ throat (stem pilh); (Kor. Kam. pi'thin [stem pilh]). Locative: $p i^{\prime} l h_{l} k^{\prime}, p i^{1} l_{I} k$; (Kor. Kam. pithla)
nílhin thong tr. 4
ne'lhin skin 7.9
§ 53. SUFFIXES $-\dot{r} \not /-$ and $-\dot{c} I \pi-$
 according to dialect). This suffix seems to express an emphatic form. Sometimes it corresponds to the definite article or designates an object as referred to before. In other cases it might be translated as a particular one, in contradistinction to other objects of the same or other classes. Some words seem to have the suffix throughout.
Etymologically it may be related to the suffix -lh-, since $\check{c}$ and $l$ (Kor. $\check{c}$ and !) replace each other frequently (see § 122).
 (stem vala, absolute ra'?a)
 (stem yamk, absolute ya'mkin)
ELI' grčhIn the aforesaid father 19.11
ora'wêtačh the aforesaid man 18.11
pềmothlthcoln the aforesaid hearth 32.9
yê'ličhn the aforesaid tongue $40.10,12$
lệla'lhučhIn the aforesaid eye 106.19
qolo- $e^{\varepsilon^{5}}$ 'ttrchlim a particular kind of $\operatorname{dog} 121.11$
kala'chm a particular kele 105.14
váantchton a particular river 40.12
lolo'chlm a particular penis 26.8
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime}$ buйlıčlım a particular kind of herd 79.6
Koryak:
qoq?o'uıčñm hole Kor. 15.8
laưtıki'?̣čıč̃̆m head-band Kor. 17.12

nawa'nc̆́ñ̃n a particular wife 38.4
$\tilde{n}$ aus $4 a^{\prime} t \check{c} \tilde{c}^{n} \tilde{m}$ the aforesaid woman 39.7
pako'lc̆́bñn a particular kind of woman's knife $44.3,5$
Note 1.-A number of stems end in $\check{c h}$, and are not related to this class.
taíočhlıčhgn the bag mentioned before (stem teiučh, absolute tect $i^{\prime}$ -


Note 2.-In words which have the absolute form in -lh-, -ldñ-, the suffix -ch-, -čgn-, may be added to the stem or to the suffixes -lh-, -lin $\tilde{n}-$.



## § 54. SUFFIXES -/I- $\left(-11_{1}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \mu,-c ̌ e^{\varepsilon} \mu\right)$

 participle of the intransitive verb. As suffixes of substantives, they indicate a person related in some more or less direct way to the object.
After stems with terminal vowel -lin is used; after the terminal consonant of a stem (except $l, r, n$, and $t$ ) the auxiliary vowel $I$ is inserted before - lin. After terminal $l, r, n$, and $t$, the suffix $-l \epsilon^{\varepsilon} n$ is used, which forms with terminal $l$ or $r$ the ending $-\underline{L} \epsilon^{\varepsilon} n$, with terminal $t$ the ending-Le $e^{\varepsilon} n$. With names this ending expresses a person acting(?).
Chukchee Kor. Kam.
$r_{\lambda}^{\prime} \leq e e_{1}^{\prime} n\left(<r i i^{i} l+-l e_{1}^{\varepsilon} n\right.$; base $\left.r_{\lambda}^{i l}\right) y i^{\prime} \underline{t} a^{\varepsilon} n$ (base winged
rell'tioñin
naw-kêtan $n\left(<k e ̂ r-l e e^{\varepsilon} n\right)$
ya'aḷá $a^{\varepsilon} n\left(<y a^{\prime} a l-l a^{\varepsilon} n\right)$
véemilin
$a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tilde{n} g^{2}$ alm
na'čhila genpelqu'wlin
yit?) yelt'lñ̃in wing
$\tilde{n} a v-k e^{\prime} \bar{?}!a^{\varepsilon} n \quad$ clad in woman's dress ya'ata $a^{\varepsilon} n \quad$ that in the rear
vaya'mula $n$ River man $a^{\prime} \tilde{n} q a l a^{\varepsilon} n^{2} \quad$ Maritime man by a left-handed man was he vanquished

Numeral terms with the ending - $l_{\text {I }}$ express ordinal numbers.
milinka'ulin or miLInkau'ken the fifth
With intransitive verbs this suffix forms the expression the one wно -.
üpa'ulın the one who drinks (stem йpau to drink) (Kor. apa'ula ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{n}$ [stem apau])
Here belong also
$e^{\prime} c e l l_{n}$ the one who is fat (Kor. Kam. gača'lin) gai'mičllm the rich one ${ }^{1}$
Plural, dual, and oblique cases are formed like those of the adjective in -lin (§49).

Verbal stems terminating in $l$ and $r$ are contracted with this suffix, and form -Lee $e^{\varepsilon} n$.
$u \tilde{n} e^{\prime} \operatorname{coc}^{\varepsilon} n<u n ̃ e l-l i n$ wood-carrier 27.5
$t e^{\prime}+e^{\varepsilon} n<t e l-l_{I n}$ the suffering one 34.7
riltê' $\frac{t a a^{5} n}{} n$ one who is lying there 28.6
$i^{\varepsilon} L_{c^{\varepsilon}} n<i^{\varepsilon} r$-llm the one who crosses over
$a^{s} t t m o n e e^{\prime} t r ~ q i^{\prime} m k i i^{\varepsilon}$ say to the one in front!
In Koryak the corresponding forms are not contracted.
$t e^{\prime} u_{c} \|^{\varepsilon} n$ the suffering one
$e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} y l d^{\varepsilon} n$ the one crossing over
In Koryak the same suffix is used with transitive verbs to express the actor.
pela'a! $a^{\epsilon} n$ the one who leaves
In Chukchee the same form, when derived from transitive verbs, requires the prefix ine- or the suftix -thu.

In some cases both forms in $-l_{I n}$ and in -kin (see § 47) are used indiscriminately.
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} c h h_{l} l_{n}$ (Kor. Kam. $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} c ̌ h a l a a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) or $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} c_{E n} \cdot k \hat{e} n$ that to the left $m r a^{\prime} l_{m} n\left(\right.$ Kor. Kam. $m y a^{\prime} ? a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) or $m r a^{\prime} k e n$ that to the right
Similar forms in -ld $n$ occur in Kamchadal. These seem to be due however, to the influence of the Koryak.
ki'strla ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ and $k i^{\prime} \operatorname{strin}^{\prime \prime}$ that of the house
atmo' $1 a^{\varepsilon} n$ and atino'an that of the village

> §55. SUFFIX -čIn- (-č,
 to express the comparative. The form -cee $e_{n}^{\varepsilon_{n}}$ is used after the single terminal consonants $n, r, l$. With this ending, the object of the comparison assumes the locative form.
$m e^{\prime} l \check{l} e^{\varepsilon} n$ the better one (Kor. Kam. ma'l̆čá $a^{\varepsilon} n$ )
 mei'ñısán)
 give me the smaller bundle of tobacco! Give me the larger one (ia'm why; mk large; ine-yll-I-rkin you give me [§ 67]; ta'aq tobacco: mer'ñ large; üm particle expresssing slight emphasis;

 Kor. 30.7)
gámqa-qla'ulık qe'tvǔ̌iŭm I am stronger than all others (gemgeevery; qla'ul man; -k locative; qe'tvu strong; -iŭm [§73]); Kor. Kam. ga'mga-q!a' wulak ina'n qa'tvučeģŭm)
It would seem as if the older meaning of this form were related to - $火$ hin the particular one. We find, for instance,
$e^{e} r m e e^{\prime} \check{c}$ the strong man (stem erme)
$\tilde{n} o^{\prime} c{ }^{\prime} m$ the poor man (stem $\tilde{n} o$ )
This ending has oblique eases:
 from; qü-čvi-gIn [stem čui] to cut [§67]; ča'mqŭk some)
armačê't $t_{I}$ to the strong man
armačé'p $\quad$ from the strong man
The ending appears also in composition without formative endings.
$I^{\prime} n p i c ̌-a k k a i^{\prime} p \check{u}$ from the elder son ( $n p$ old; ekke son)
The subjective form of the third person pronoun combined with the suffix -čm or và ${ }^{\prime} l_{I} n$ (Kor. Kam. -ču $\ell^{\varepsilon} n$ or $i^{\prime} t a l a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) expresses our superlative.

Ena'n mai'ñıčın (Kor. Kam. Ina'n-maíniácán)
Ena'n-ma'yınku-wa'lin (Kor. Kam. Ina'n-ma'yı $\tilde{n} k I \tilde{n}$ - the largest one $\left.i^{\prime} t a l a^{\varepsilon} n\right)$

-     - čei (Kamehadal) expresses the emphatic comparative form of the adjective, and replaces the ending -lax. As in Chukchee and Koryak, the object of eomparison is expressed in the locative form.
$k_{1} I^{\prime} m m a k_{m} i^{\prime} n k$ činıñčé $\ell^{\prime}$ I am prettier than you (krmema' $I$; $k I n i^{\prime} n k$ on thee; činı' $n l a ̈ x$ pretty)

Pronouns ( $\$ 56$-60).

## § 56. Persomal Pronouns

The personal pronouns are -

|  | Chukchee | Kor. Kam. | Kamchadal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | - găm | gŭmma, gйm | Ki'mma |
| thou | - git | $\underline{g} i^{\prime} s s a,{ }^{1} 9 i^{\prime}$ | Li'ja |
| he | - Ena' $n^{2}$ |  | En $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ |
| we | . mu'ri | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} m u^{\prime} y i \\ m u^{\prime} y u \text { (dual) } \\ \text { (plural) } \end{array}\right.$ | mu'ja |
| ye | - tur'i | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} t u^{\prime} y i \\ t u^{\prime} y u \text { (dual) } \end{array}\right.$ | tu'ja |
| they | - $E^{\prime}$ rrir | $\begin{cases}a^{\prime} c ̌ c ̌ c & \text { (dual) } \\ a^{\prime} \check{c} c ̌ u & \text { (plural) }\end{cases}$ | It. |

From these absolute forms, forms analogous to those of the noun are derived. The locative, subjective, and possessive are derived from the stems; while the forms in $-g t_{I},-i \eta \mu$, of Chukchee, require the suffix $k a$ after the pronominal stem. Thus we find the following forms:

[^76]CHUKCHEE

|  | Singular |  |  | Plural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st person | 2 d person | 3d person | 1st person | 2 d person | 3d person |
| Absolute <br> Locative <br> Subjective <br> Possessive <br> Allative <br> Ablative | gŭm <br> \{gŭmǔ'k, gǔmŭ'g <br> $\operatorname{lgümǔ̌kI~}$ <br> gumna'и <br> gumni'n <br> ğйmǎka'g̣tI <br> gomoka'gtt <br> gйтййki'pй <br> gomokai'pǔ | git, gIr <br>  <br> gInI'kI <br> gIna'n <br> gImi'n <br> gImika'gtI <br> gInIkai'pŭ | Ena'n <br> $E n I^{\prime} k, E n I^{\prime}$ g <br> EnI'KI <br> Ena'n <br> Eni'n <br> Enika'g tI <br> Enkki'pŭ | $m u^{\prime} r i$ <br> $m u^{\prime} r i k, m u^{\prime} r i g$ <br> mu'rIkI <br> morgina'n <br> mu'rgin <br> merêka'g̣tI <br> morêkai'pŭ | $t u^{\prime} r i$ <br> tu'rik, tu'rig <br> tu'rikI <br> torgina'n <br> tu'rgin <br> torêqa'gtı <br> terêkai'pü | $E^{\prime} r r^{\prime}$ <br> $E^{\prime} r i k, E^{\prime} r i g$ <br> E'rikI <br> Ergina'n <br> E'rgint <br> Errka'pti <br> ErIkai'pŭ |
| KORYAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute <br> Locative <br> Subjective <br> Possessive <br> Allative <br> Ablative | gйттта <br> $g \breve{u}^{\prime} m I k$ <br> gŭmna'n <br> gйmni'n <br> fgŭmkai'tI <br> loŭ́mkIn <br> gŭmka'ñqo | gI'ssa, git <br> gi'nik <br> gIna'n <br> gImi'n <br> gInkai'tI <br> gI'nkIn <br> gInka' $\boldsymbol{n} q o$ | Е'пии <br> I'nIk <br> $m a^{\prime} n$ <br> ani'n <br> mkai'tI <br> $I^{\prime} n k i n$ <br> inka'ñqo |  |  |  |

KAMCHADAL

|  | Singular |  |  | Plural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st person | 2d person | 3 d person | 1st person | 2 d person | 3d person |
| Absolute . | $k l^{\prime} m m a$ | $k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} j a$ | Enä | $m u^{\prime} j a$ | ${ }^{\text {tu }}$ 'ja | Itx |
| Locative . . . . . | KImma'nk | $k I m I^{\prime} n k$ | Ena'nk | mijgi'nk | $t^{\prime} j$ i' $^{\prime} n k$ | txi'ink |
| Subjective . . | kImIlu'n | krlu'n | xunä'a | mjilu'n | tjilu'n | tlun |
| Possessive . . . . | lisma'n | $k I n i^{\prime} n$ | Ena'n | $m I^{\prime}$ jgin | $t t^{\prime} j$ in | txi'in |
| Allative . . . . |  | kinu'nke | Ena'nie | mijga'nke | ${ }_{\text {tijgo'nke }}$ | tra'anke |
| Ahative . . . . . . | \{kima'nke |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ablative . . . . . | - kimma'nk | $k I n I^{\prime} n k$ | Ena'nki |  | tujot $i^{\prime} n k I$ | $t x i^{\prime} \mathrm{ink}$ |

In Chukchee and Koryak there is also a form expressing the aequalis i. e. similar to, of the same size as, according to the wants of.They are generally used with this suffix-mič,-mil (s 102, 30).

| similar to me $\begin{gathered}\text { Chukchee } \\ \text { gú'muw }\end{gathered}$ | Koryak <br> $g \check{u}^{\prime} m u w$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| similar to thee gr'niw | $g_{1}{ }^{\prime} n I w$ |
| similar to him $E^{\prime} n ı w$ | $a^{\prime} n I w$ |
| similar to us mu'ruw |  |
| similar to you tu'ruw | not known |
| similar to them $E^{\prime} r r w$ |  |

In both Chukchee and Koryak the plural forms of the first and second persons are often used in place of the singular, without, however, conveying the idea of respect.
amto', geyr'lqät-tu'ri well, have you slept? (singular or plural)
In Koryak the dual and plural forms are not sharply distinguished.
In Chukchee the plural subjective forms are, in the pronunciation of men, as follows:

```
m&rg\ddot{äa}\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}n, t0rgää'n, ergäa'n
```

In several dialects of Korak II the following forms of the third person plural occur:

Absolute Ethu
Locative ethrk
Subjective Ethina'n
The Kanchadal forms in $I t x$, and the Chuckchee forms derived from erg., are evidently related to this series.
In both Chukchee and Koryak of Kamenskoye the subjective form is used in some compounds.
gŭmna'n činùt myself (Ch. and Kor. Kam.)
In other cases the possessive forms are used:
gŭmnin činítkīn (Kor. gümni'n c̈ini'nkin) my own.
The idea of self, however, is expressed differently in oblique cases.
$k_{u t a}{ }^{\prime}$-gomo ka'gtI (Chukchee) just to me (i. e., to myself)
čini't uwi'k gi'nmulên he killed himself, (lit. his own body; uwi'k body)
Kor. u'wik quu'ncom he consumed himself (literally, his body) Kor. 56.10.

Kor. gitču't wwi'kinat ganu'linat he consumed his own legs, lit. legs body belonging to he consumed them Kor. 57.2
The term uwikin belonging to the bony is thus used to express own.

We find, however, in Koryak, the pronoun also used in oblique cases to express own:
gu'́mkiñ čini'nkina with my own.
Personal pronouns have also definite, augmentative and diminutive forms, which take the suffix -onaioll following the possessive form of the pronoun.
gǔmŭk-onaiolh-ičh-ê-ŭm big I
These forms are used in jesting, in children's play, ete.

## Demonstrative and Interrogative (Indefimite) Promouns (§§ $\boldsymbol{5 \%} \boldsymbol{\gamma}-\boldsymbol{5} \boldsymbol{8}$ )

## § 57. PARTICLES AND ABSOLUTE FORMS

The idea of position is expressed with great nicety, and in Chukchee there are nine terms expressing the position of an object in relation to the speaker. In Koryak there are only five, and in Kamchadal I have found only two. The exact relation to the speaker is not quite clear in all of these. In Chukchee the independent form of all of these is formed by the suffix -qan (with $n$ belonging to the suffix); only one has the ending -qin. In Koryak a few have the corresponding endings -kin, -qen, -qala'ken.

|  | Particles | Chukchee |  | Kor. Kam. | Kamchadal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stem | Independent form |  |  |
| this . . . . . . . | vai | U $u^{\prime \prime}$ t | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ug'tqg } n, 65.2 \geqslant ; 137.1 ; \\ & 133.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} w u^{\prime} \operatorname{ssin} \\ w u^{\prime} \operatorname{tcin} \text { (Paren) } \end{array}\right.$ | $\} n u^{\varepsilon}, t i^{\varepsilon} n$ |
| that . . . . . . . | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ñan } \\ \text { Enqan }\end{array}\right.$ | $\} E n \cdot \tilde{n}-$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enqán } 115.21 ; 71.13 \\ & 29 ; 63.7,10 \end{aligned}$ | ña'nyen | hë'nก1\% |
| that yonder . . . . | noon | nu'gn- | ng'gnqan 70.22 ; also as adverb | Enka'kin |  |
| that yonder . . . . |  | $\tilde{n} g^{\prime} a n-$, less frequent ly $\tilde{n} g^{\prime} n$ - | $n a^{\prime} a n q a n$ |  |  |
| that there (not very far) | n$a n$ |  | $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n q a n 133.3$ |  |  |
| there (quite far) . . | gan |  | ga'nqan 63.13 |  |  |
| that there (midway to some other object) | \}vai | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & l v g^{\prime} E n- \\ & \operatorname{lva^{\prime }En} \end{aligned}\right.$ | va' Enqan 121.21 | rayenqen |  |
| that behind the person addressed. | $\} r a i$ | $r a^{\prime} E n \cdot, r a^{\prime} E n$ | $r a^{\prime}$ Enqan |  |  |
| that bchind the person speaking | $\int_{n} o^{\prime} t I$ | $\bar{n} 0^{\prime} 11 \tilde{n} 70.21$ | no'tinqan | notinqalit'ken |  |
| that apart from the speaker who, somebody | nun $\qquad$ | กй ${ }^{\prime} u$ - <br> ( $m i k^{-}$) | תutngit 137.3 <br> me'nin 11.4 |  | min |

It may be noted that all demonstratives, except wut-, En $\tilde{n}$-, and $\tilde{n} o^{\prime} t \tilde{n}$, end in $-n$ which remains in all forms.

The demonstrative stems have strong vowels, except wut-, En• $\tilde{n}$-, and $\pi u n$. The last of these is treated more frequently as an unchangeable stem; for instance,--
$\tilde{n} u^{\prime} n i_{1} n-n o t a i_{0}^{\prime} p y_{0}^{c}$ from that land,-
although the two vowels $u$ and $i$ belong to the weak, ehangeable group.
When the demonstratives enter into composition, they take the ending $-i n$, except $\tilde{n} o^{\prime} t_{I} \pi$. The same ending is found in the interrogative ménin, which, aceording to the forms with suffixes, must be derived from a stem $m i k$ - (see $\S 58$, p. 1726). These forms appear in adjectival form in oblique eases.
wo'tin-notanqa'tkên that one belonging to this country 7.1
wo'trn-IrgIro'k that (morning) dawn 10.3
wu'tin-nu'tek (Kor. Kam. wu'tin-nu'tak) in that country
mañê'n-notai'pü (Kor. Kam. ma'ñen-nota'ñqo) from what country.
Kor. ma'nin-nı'kli-yé?krye? which stone-pine nut pudding? Kor.
34.2

Kor. ma'ñin-qai-ña'wis*qatık to which small woman? Kor. 34.5
For greater emphasis the independent, absolute forms of the demonstrative may be used with the eorresponding partiele, as given on p. 723, or with repetition of independent form, connected by the particle $\check{u} m$ (see also p. 726).
no'onqen ŭm ñoon
Enqa'儿 ŭm Enqa'n 130.9, etc.
The particles are, however, used also independently or combined with various other forms.

| rai 61.8 | wô'tên-rai 29.1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| vai 61.9; 62.7; 63.6; 66.30. 35; | ELo'n urm rai $66.29^{\text {c }}$ |
| 71.15: $76.25,30$ | ELo'n rai 67.33 |
|  | vai ŭm $\tilde{n} u^{\prime}{ }^{(1)}$ ( 131.3, 10 |
| nu'an 63.13 | émme ñan 66.32 |
| no ${ }^{\prime}$ (on 6t. 1 | Enףa'n ŭm cai 130.7 |
| wot 81.12 | wo'tqanm йm vai 45.12 |
|  | vai ñan 62.9 |
|  | wu'tku-m vai 120.11 |

Note.-The Koryak form in -qula'ken given in the preceding table of demonstratives is derived from the post-position -qat,- qač (Chukchee -qal,-qač) close to, by the side of. The Koryak suffix -qala'ken cor-
responds to the Chukchee form-qutkên, which is used to form a great many derivatives. The following forms derived from demonstrative pronouns may serve as examples:-

Adverbial form $v a^{\prime}$ Enqač (Kor. Kam. va'yeñqal) by the side, halfway
Independent form vaenqa'tkên (Kor. Kam. vayenqqala'ken)
Adverbial form wo'tênqač (Kor. Kam. vo'teñal) here
Independent form wotrnqa'tkên 14.2 (Kor. Kam. voteñqala'ken)
wotqani'rgŭŭ (pronunciation of men wotqaE'rgŭpŭ) from those

## § 53. PLURAL AND POST-POSITIONAL FORMS

Plural and suftix forms are derived from the forms in -qan adding the $-e$ (Kor. Kam. $-a$ ) to the terminal $n$ that is found in all words with terminal $n$ of the stem ( $\$ \$ 31,4 ; 34$ ). For personal forms the connective vowel is $i$.

As examples may serve,-

|  | Chukehee | Kor. Kam. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute . | vortqan | u'u'ssin Kor. 49.9 |
| Plural (Dual Kor.) . . . . | wo'tqanat ${ }^{1}$ | u'u'tissat |
| Plural (Koryak) |  | u'u'ttssau Kor. 32.2 |
| Subjective, not personal. | u'gtganáta | wutissa'ta |
| Subjective, personal . | wo'tqanêna ${ }^{2}$ | wutininak |
| Locative, not personal . | wo'tqunak | wurditissak |
| Allative, not personal | uotqana'git | uetessaţ'ty |
| Allative, personal. | "Q'tgasuex ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | un'teneng |
| Ablative, not personal | wotqanai'put | wotessa'ñqo |
| Ablative, personal | wotqanai'pŭ | wotencna'ñqo |

Also enqa'nat 49.5; 53.10; 96.6; enqaa't those 62.10; Enqa'nêna by that one 44.8 ; wo'tgane this time 76.18

Koryak:
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n y e n$ that one (absolute) Kor. 17.5. 9; 51.2, 5
п̃a'nyeu (pl.) Kor. 21.1; 4..6: 62.4; пин'муии 25..6, 9; 42.4
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n y e n a t a$ (subjective, not personal) Kor. 43.5
$\pi a^{\prime} n e n e n a k$ (subjective, personal) Kor. 34.11; $\pi a^{\prime} n y e n e n a$ Kor. 76.16

The plural of the demonstrative is used in nominal, adjectival, and predicative expressions.
enqa'nat qüni'ntraninet throw away those! 49.5
wo'qaat qänn'utkr eat these! 83.12
Enquat qu'at those reindeer


In some cases the singular form is used when we should expect the plural:
enqa'n gittile ${ }^{\prime} t$ ninél-i-ŭm there I give to those who are hungry 96.24 (cf. 96.9, 12, 17).
enqa'n oravêtat these men 63.5
enqa'n Umqäquä'inti these people of Unqqäqäi 63.10
erqa'n ní'räq ora'wêtat these two men 7.10
The corresponding forms of the personal interrogative who, somebody, and of the Kamehadal impersonal interrogative, are-

|  | Chulichee | Kor. Kam. | Kamchadal | Kamchadal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | WHO | WHAT |
| Absolute | $m e^{\prime} \tilde{n} i n$ | ma'ki Kor.17.6 | k: $e$ | ( min ) |
| Plural (Dual Koryak) . . . | mi'kinti | ma'kinti | $k: e^{\varepsilon} n$ | $m i^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon} n$ |
| Plural (Koryak). | - | maku'wgi | -- | - |
| Subjective . . . . . . . . . . | mi'kinä | mi'kinak Kor. $76.16$ | $k!i n k$ | mi'nent ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Allative. . | $m e \hat{e}^{\prime} k$ êna | me'kena | k:'a'nke | $m e^{\prime} n a n k$ |
| Ablative . . . . . . . . . . | mêkênai'pŭ | mekena'ñqo | k!ink | mi'nenk |

Examples:
$m e^{\prime} \tilde{n} i n ~ \breve{u} n{ }^{\prime}$ ELa' ${ }^{\prime}$ who is (your) mother? 113.14
mi'kin yaarkınê'tk kanči'írgın whose lullaby are you singing? 120.14
mi'kinä ganto'lên by whom born? 142.1
In Kamchadal the form corresponding to the stem mik- signifies the inanimate interrogative.
Nominal forms of the plural, when appearing with suffixes, have, instead of the regular plural, forms compounded with the third person plural personal pronoun (see p. 706).

In Chukchee we find also $m a^{\prime} k_{I r} g_{I m}, \mathrm{pl} . m a^{\prime} k r^{\prime} g I n t \hat{e}$, whose house's, whose familr's; related to the Koryak stem mak-, and formed with the stem -Erg of the personal pronoun third person plural (see § 44).

These particles doubled, and connected by $\breve{u} m$, are also used as exclamations.
ñan ŭm ñan! you there! $\tilde{n} a^{\prime}$ an ŭm ñan 95.35 yonder
vai ŭm vui!! halfway there!
noon üm ñoon! far off there!
They occur in the same way with interrogative pronouns.
me'ñin nan ye'trrkm? who comes there?
räas mun ñot wurre'erkin? what is visible behind there?
mi'ñkri rai ne'this? how then became he? 29.7
mi'ñkri not $a^{\varepsilon} q a-r a s \cdot q \hat{e}^{\prime} u m-v a^{\prime} l_{t} t$ ? why! those are bad ones to
§ 58 pass! 130.3

Demonstrative and interrogative adverbs are derived from the particle stems by means of the locative endings. From these are derived others by means of nominal suffixes (see examples below).

|  | Chukchee | Kor. Kam. | Kamchadal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| here <br> there | wu'tku 7.5 <br> $\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} n \cdot k \boldsymbol{k} 119.31$ | $w u^{\prime} t \check{c} u k, \ddot{a}^{\prime} n k i$ <br> $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n k o, \tilde{n} a^{\prime} n a k o, \tilde{n} e^{\prime}-$ niko | $\begin{aligned} & n u x, t \epsilon^{\prime} a \\ & E^{\prime} n k i, \quad x \cdot u, \quad\left(x o^{\prime} x v a l\right. \\ & \quad \text { therefrom }) \end{aligned}$ |
| there (midway to some object) . there (behind the person ad dressed) | va'änkI <br> $r a^{\prime} a ̈ n k I$ | vai'eñ |  |
| there (behind the speaker) . . | $\bar{n} 0^{\prime} t I n k t$ |  |  |
| there (away from the speaker) where | $\tilde{n} u^{\prime} n k I$ <br> $m i^{\prime} \bar{n} k I, m e^{\prime} \bar{n} k I 12.2$ | mi'ñki, Kor. 20.1 | ma, mas |

In Chukchee two forms in -qan are also used as adverbs.
there (some distance away). $\tilde{n} o^{\prime} o n q u n$ there (far away) . . . . gánqan
Derived from demonstrative elements are also-

|  | Chukchee | Kor. Kam. | Kamchadal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thus | $E n \cdot \tilde{n} \imath^{\prime} n 63.13$ $65.22$ | en $\tilde{n} \bar{a}^{\prime} a n$ Kor.13.1, $10$ | $\tilde{n} o^{\prime} n k e$ |

Adverbs with suffixes derived from the locative forms are the following:

|  | HERE. |  | there |  | Where |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chukchee | Kor. Kam. | Chukchee | Kor. Kam. | Chukchee | Kor. Kam. | Kamchadal |
| Stem . | wut | wutč | En | ñan, $\ddot{\text { a }}$ |  | mik | $m a$ |
| Instrumental | wutke ${ }^{\prime}$ ä | wutča'ta | Enke'tä | nanka'ta, | miñke'tä | minka'ta | -- |
| Allative . |  | wotčai'tI | $\boldsymbol{E n} \mathrm{n}$ kri | nankaitı, änkai'tIn | $\begin{gathered} \text { minkri } 60.6, \\ 61.8 \end{gathered}$ | menkei'ti | ma'nke |
| Ablative | wo'tqort | wotča'ñqo | $\begin{gathered} E^{\prime} \tilde{n} q \circ, \\ E \tilde{n} q o^{\prime} \not \tau, \\ E \tilde{n} q o^{\prime} \tau 0 \\ 65.24 \end{gathered}$ | ñanka'ñqo, $\ddot{a} n k a^{\prime} \bar{n} q \circ$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mé'nqo 60.5, } \\ 11 ; 71.26 ; \\ 72.12 \text { mén- } \\ q o^{\prime} \tau I \end{gathered}$ | menka'ñqo | $m a^{\prime} n k e$ |

Also in the same way Chukchee va'änkata, va'än $\tilde{r} \hat{e}, v a^{\prime} a ̈ n q o ~ o r ~$ va'äñqorı; Koryak vaieña'ta, vaieñai'tı, vai'eñqo from stem vai.

Examples:
wo'tho from here 43.1; wo'tqo En'qo'ro thence 49.2; 65.18, 24 $121.20 ; 131.14$; wu'tqu here $\mathrm{En} \cdot \mathrm{ke}$ 'ggi thither 71.23
73.14
en $k e^{\prime}$ čiku in there 73.20
En•no't 64.7; 66.3; 72.6
En'qe ${ }^{\prime} k$ in one from there 67.3

En $n a t a^{\prime} /$ from that time on, after
that 64.19; 65.31
no'onřê thither 76.20
$n a^{\prime} n k o$ hither 137.13

Koryak：
wŭ＇tču this time Kor． 41.2
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n k o$ there Kor． 41.6
ne＇nako there Kor． 19.11
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n ı k o$ there Kor．32．1；see Kor． 62.7
nanikai＇t $t ⿱ 亠 䒑 n$ thither Kor． 36.5
na＇nakanqo from that one Kor． 42.3
$E^{\prime} n k e$ here（vocative form）Kor． 13．7； 58.7
enka＇ta at that place Kor．21．8， 9 enkai＇ti to that place Kor．17．2； 19.1
menkeito whither（vocative
form § 36）Kor． 64.21
mañénqo whence Kor． 60.10

The forms mi＇ñeri（Chukchee），me＇ñkañ（Kor．Kam．），ma＇nke （Kamchadal），also signify нош．

Derivatives with suffixes are－
mênko＇kênat where are you from 65.10
miñke＇－mil 66.34
menke＇mič，mañi＇nn＇ač（Kor．66．1） men $\cdot k e^{\prime} m l^{\prime}$ ，also lact（Kamchadal）
to what degree，in what manner

Demonstrative elements with the verbal noun va＇lin（Kor．Kam． $i^{\prime}$ talac $n$ ）the one who is－，are also used to express demonstrative terms．

En• $\tilde{n} i^{\prime} n$－va＇lin 128.24 （Chukchee），enna $a^{\varepsilon} a n i^{\prime} t a l a^{\varepsilon} n$（Kor．Kam．）， one being thus；i．e．，such a one
enño＇t－va＇lin（Chukchee）being near here thus；i．e．，such a one nearer to the speaker than the preceding
En $n \cdot \tilde{n} u-w^{\prime} t^{\prime} l_{n}$ such a one（expressing reproach）
En• $\tilde{n} u^{\prime}$－wa＇lê－git such a one art thou 21.11
$m i^{\prime} \tilde{n k} k i-v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$（Chukchee 14．4），me＇ñkañ itala $n$（Kor．Kam．）what kind of（also in oblique cases）
In Kamchadal only a few forms of the demonstrative survive， and these take the nominal suffixes．

|  | THIS | this here | Which，what |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute ． | $n u^{\varepsilon}$ | $t ¢^{\varepsilon} n<t i^{\varepsilon} n u$ | $\min (<m i n u)$ |
| Plural． |  |  | $m i^{\prime} n i^{e^{\prime}} n$ |
| Possessive | $n \chi^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{he}^{\prime} n k$ | tie＇nuhenk | mi＇nenk |
| Subjective | $n u^{\epsilon} h e^{\prime} n k$ |  | mi＇nenk |
| Instrumental ． | $n u^{s^{\prime} h} h l^{\prime}$ | tis＇nuhel＇ | mi＇nenl ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| Allative | $n \varrho^{¢} h u^{\prime} n k$ | tệnohank | ménank |
| Ablative ． | $n u^{¢} h e^{\prime} n k$ | tis＇nuhenk | mi＇nenk |

Most of the other forms are replaced by the corresponding Rus－ sian forms，which are usually taken in the nominative singular masculine；such as $e^{\prime} k o i$（экой），edakoi（эдакой）．

As in Chukchee and Koryak, the interrogative appears in synthetical form $m i^{\prime} n e n$, which corresponds to Chukchee ménin (see p. 726.)
ménan-ktxoj-qol which road along?
but the oblique cases are also used in attributive form.
mi'nenl' hvanl' ckan with which knife have you made it?
From the demonstrative and interrogative pronouns verbal forms are derived in the same manner as from nouns. I give here a number of examples. The verbal forms will be found discussed in $\S \delta 2$.
enqanai' ${ }^{\prime}$ git this art thou 20.7.
wotqanai'-gŭm this am I 43.5, 121.14
wotqana'-mo'rê here we are 69.5
$m i^{\prime} k-i-u \breve{m}$. who am I
$m i^{\prime} k-i$ - $g_{I t}$ who art thou; mi'k-i-or 127.11
$m i^{\prime} w-m u^{\prime} r i$ who are we
$m i^{\prime} g$ - $t u^{\prime} r i$ who are ye 120.9
Kor. Kam. wettrnalai-gŭm this am I Kor. 22.1
Possessives:
Eni' $n$ his 17.13
enqa'nen of this one 50.10
mi'kin whose (possessor sing., object possessed sing. and pl.);
Kor. Kam. mi'kin, dual mi'kinat, pl. mikinu'wgi (possessor sing.; object possessed sing., dual, pl.), vocative miko'n (§36) Kor. 34.4
mi'kirgin (possessor pl, object possessed sing.), mi'kirginet (object possessed pl.), whose; Kor. Kam. mi'kičhin, dual mi'kičhinat, pl. mi'kičhinau (§34); Kamchadal k!en, pl. k! $e^{\varepsilon} n$

## § 59. Indefinite Pronoun rä̈q

The non-personal interrogative and indefinite pronom is, Chukchee rä̈q; Kor. Kam. ya(q), yax; Kor. II ta(q); Kamehadal seq.

The following are the forms with post-positions:


The set of forms derived from rämut, except the plural, are not often used.
$\imath^{\prime}$ me-rä̈s'nut whatsoever; i. e., of every kind 13.13; 133.18
$r \ddot{a}^{s}$ nut what? object 29.1, subject 111.4; something obj. 29.5
rä́s'nut $\check{u} m$ what was it then? 34.1
räas nut $\breve{u} m$ qine ilh ${ }^{z}$ give me something
rä́s ${ }^{\text {c }}$ mutet whatever kind of things obj. 32.5; intr. subj. 58.2
reqä be what means? 22.1; 23.5; 14.2
re' $q \ddot{a}$ what? 34.8, 9; whatever 32.5
$r a^{\prime} q^{u-n} n t$ with what there 139.8
re'qük at what? 26.1
ré hŭm why $^{28.7}$
$r^{\varepsilon^{8}} q^{\prime \prime}$ how 17.5, 7; why 23.1
Kor. Kam. ya'ga with what Kor. 46.9
Kor. Kam. yu'qkin-ki what for Kor. 26.10
Kor. Kam. ya'qin-yaq what then? Kor. 45.9
These forms are also used in composition:
$r a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$-qa'at (Chukehee), ya.x-qoya'wge (Kor. Kam.), seq-ko'jE ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ (Kamchadal), what kind of reindeer
$r a ̈ q-a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t t \ddot{a}$ ge'eḶin (Chukchee) with what kind of dogs has he come?
$r a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$-ni'mnim what settlement, obj. 33.7
$r^{6} a^{\varepsilon}-p_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I} l$ what tidings? 11.2
$r a^{s}$ nota'čhit what kind are 14.3

## Koryak I:

ya'qluu what are they doing Kor. 24.5
yaqlaikine'tik what are you (pl.) doing? Kor. 24.8
Korgak II has the same forms as Koryak Kamenskoye, derived from the stem taq.
Verbs derived from these stems are used with great frequency (see § 82); for instance,-
re'qarkin (Chukchee), ya'qiykin Kor. 28.10 (Kor. Kam.), ta'qatkin (Kor. II) what do you want? what are you doing?
$r^{6} e^{s} i^{\varepsilon}$ what is the matter 19.11
ré $q \ddot{a} \nmid k I n$ what is the matter with thee 18.9
re'q-i-gIt what do you want? 18.12
riraqa'une what for? 19.1, 6
réfälıt which ones 139.9
re'qäl-i-gIt what do you want? 22.8
nre' $q$-i-gIt what are you doing? 33.1
| Kor. Kam. nrya'qi-gi what are you doing? Kor. 39.5

Kamchadal has another form for what, something, evidently corresponding to the demonstrative in $E n k$-.
Absolute
Instrumental.

Verbs derived from this stem are formed as in Chukchee and Koryak.
enka'nejč what are you doing?
Under Russian influence, these forms are going out of use, and are being replaced by post-positional forms and verbs.

Enka'nke k! $\mathrm{l} \dot{j} \dot{c}$ why, or for what do you come?

## § 60. Other Indefinite Pronouns

1. The stem nirk- (Kor. Kam. niyk-) expresses a certain well-known person, the one we think of, the one referred to; nik- a certain well-known thing or act we think of, or referred to.

These form post-positional forms analogous to demonstrative pronouns.

PERSONAL


| Absolute |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Examples:
ni'rkeñut a certain one 90.20; 119.12
ni'kek somewhere 12.12
Also derived forms, as
nirken (Kor. Kam. niyka'nen) belonging to the one referred to or thought of
ni'kin belonging to the thing referred to or thought of 20.8
ai'ce nêrkai'lŭ qora'ñı tei'mityä́s $n$ y esterday I took reindeer from the man we are thinking of
Verbal forms are also derived from this pronoun; for instance,nike'rkin (Kor. Kam. nika'ykin) he does the thing referred to or thought of
rimike'urkin whatsoever shall be 21.10
Kor. Kam. mnikak I'll do something Kor. 42.1
Kor. Kam. nekañoo'ykin he did something Kor. 51.9
In Kamchadal, sxu'zijč you do a certain timeg is used in the same way.
2. qol (Chukchee), qoll $a^{\prime}$ (Kor. Kam.), $k$ !ola ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ (Kamchadal) other.

In Chukchee the synthetie stem quili is used throughout with nonpersonal nouns. It is also used in adverbial form in temporal adverbs.
quli'-nikek afterwards ( = at another certain one)
qolêt $t$ - $a^{\varepsilon} l o^{\prime}$ some future day ( $=$ in the other day)
gol yara'čhin a house 86.17
qol yi'lgin another month 7.2
Post-positional forms occur only with personal nouns, while in Koryak these are used for all kinds of nouns.

|  | Chukchee-Personal | Koryak |  | Kamchadal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Personal | Non-personal |  |
| Absolute . | $q g^{l}$ |  | $q 0!l a^{\prime}$ | k.ola' |
| Plural (dual Koryak) . | $q u^{\prime} t t i$ |  | qu'tti |  |
| Plural Koryak . . . | - |  | qu'tčau |  |
| Subjective . . . . | $q u t i^{\prime}(n) i n a \ddot{a}^{1}$ | quit'ninak | qutinina'ta |  |
| Possessive (locative) | quti' $(\boldsymbol{n})$ inä ${ }^{1}$ | quti'ninak | quli'ninak | $k: o l a^{\prime} n k$ |
| Allative . . . . | $q \\| t \hat{e}^{\prime}(n)$ èna ${ }^{1}$ |  | qote'ninan | $k$ !ola'nk |
| Ablative . . . . | qot $\hat{e}(n) \hat{e} n a i^{\prime} p \check{u}^{1}$ |  | qotenina'nqo | k'ola'nk |
| Designative . . . . | quti'(n)inu ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | qutinina'nu |  |

${ }^{1}$ Without $n$ in men's pronunciation.
qol Eli'gin another father, a certain father 107.22
qol um na'nmirkin they kill the other one 8.1 (see also 8.12; 15.6; $14.9 ; 17.1)$
qu'tti others (subj. intr.) 12.5
qutti'inä̈ by one of them 8.11 (see also $7.4 ; 15.3$ ), on one of them 8.13

Kor. Kam. qo'lla another one Kor. 24.9
Synthetic forms:
qolê-notai'pu from another land 14.12
qolê-ra'gti to another house 12.11
qolê-tke $e^{\prime}$ invuk on another sleeping 13.5
3. ẹlve (Chukchee), alva (Kor. Kam.), êknên (Kamchadal), other, occur in synthetic form as given here, and in the forms-
elve'lin (Chukchee), 117.7, elve'linet 113.3, alva'? ${ }^{\prime} n(K o r . ~ K a m)$. Kor. 76.19.

- ček-a'lvam-va'lın how differently it is Kor. 80.9 (Kor. Kam). $a^{\prime}$ ḷva $t_{\text {Itva'ñol }}$ I was in a different way Kor. 18.6

4. A number of prefixed particles express also ideas related to the indefinite pronoun (see § 113 , nos. $6,7,14,24$ ):
im- all gemge- every
em-mere ter-how much
Most of the interrogative and indefinite pronouns take the definite, augmentative, and diminutive forms, the same as nouns, and some of these are used with great frequency.
mañena'chin that one, who is he (from méñin wно)
rä́ánutqäi (from rä̀s'nut what) or
$r \ddot{a}{ }^{\prime} q q a ̈ i$
ya'xpil (Kor. Kam.)
some little thing
qoLai'"mm another big one
$q u^{\prime} \operatorname{leq}_{a} a i$ another little one $\}$ are used quite often

## The Predicate ( $\$ 61-82$ )

## § 61. Introductory Remarks

The predicate appears in two distinct forms, according to the character of the word forming the predicate. The first class is formed by verbs; the latter, by nominal terms which are used as predicate. While all verbs may appear in nominalized forms, and therefore may take the form of the noun as predicate, nouns can not readily be transformed into verbs-except by the use of verbalizing suffixes, which give the compound stem a verbal character. Thus we find that true verbal forms are confined to verbal stems, to the numerals (except one), and
to the indefinite (or interrogative) pronouns, which may be used as nouns as well as verbs.

The structure of the first clans of predicatire forms is quite complex. We have to distinguish between intransitive and transitive verbs. The following structural elements may be recognized. We have-
I. Intransitive verbs:

1. Pronominal prefix.
2. Temporal or modal prefix.
3. Verbal theme.
4. Temporal or modal suftix.
5. Pronominal suffix.
II. Transitive verbs:
6. Pronominal subjective prefix.
7. Temporal or modal prefix.
8. Verbal theme.
9. Temporal or modal suffix.
10. Pronominal objective suffix.

The following simple modes and tenses may be distinguished:
Indicative . . . . . without prefix, no suffix

Subjunctive:
(a) Exhortative
(b) Subjunctive

Imperative
Future
with the prefix $n(I)$ with the prefix ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ with the prefix $q$ with the prefix re
the suffix $g I$ the suffix $g_{I}$ the suffix $g i$ the suffix $\hat{n}(I)$

Besides these, there is a peculiar series of derived modes in -rrkin (Koryak I -nykın, -ikin; Koryak II -itkin, Kamchadal -jk), the pronominal endings of which differ from the ordinary forms, many of them being dropped. In some cases the Koryak drops the terminal - In, as is done in all forms in Kamehadal.

The second class, predicative nominal terms, consists either of nouns or of verbal stems, which are nominalized by certain prefixes, and which take suffixes expressing the terminal relations. The simple nominalized forms are used as predicative terms of the third person. These have been discussed before. They are the nominalized forms in -in, -kin, -lin, $u(t)-q i n(\S \S 45-49)$. In the first and second persons singular these take a suffix - $i$-, which may be derived from the verb $-i t^{1}$ to 13E. In the first and second persons plural the nominalized form appears in composition with the personal pronouns muri we, and turi you; so that the whole complex represents in the same way a nominal form with predicative function, as in the third persons. The nominalized form has no true tenses.

[^77]
## § 62. Structure of the Intransitive Verb

1. The pronominal prefixes of the intransitive verb are confined to the first person, singular and plural: $t$ - for the singular, $m t$ - for the plural. The $m$ of the plural may perhaps be related to the same element in muri we, while the $t$ of singular and plural may be the same. The element $m t$ - conveys the idea of plurality of the first person with such energy, that, in Koryak at least, the suffix -mik, which repeats the same idea, may be omitted; the same omission occurs rarely in Chukchee.
2. The temporal and modal elements enter into close relation with the pronominal prefixes. Most of these follow the ordinary phonetic laws. Thus
> $t+r e$ becomes tre-
> $m t+r e$ becomes mirre-
> $m t+{ }^{\varepsilon}$ becomes $m_{I n}(I)^{\varepsilon}-$

The last of these is not quite regular, since $m_{I t}(I)^{\varepsilon}$ would also seem to be possible. The forms of the exhortative can not be explained by phonetic laws. Here we find that the expected

$$
\begin{gathered}
t+n \text { becomes } m \\
m t+n \text { becomes } m_{I n}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the subjunctive (b), when the verb begins with a vowel, the auxiliary vowel disappears, and the glottal stop follows the initial vowel of the stem. This occurs both in Chukchee and Koryak:
$t u^{\varepsilon} w_{i}^{i} a^{\varepsilon} k$ (stem $\left.u w_{i}^{i}\right)$ I should cook
3. The verbal themes may be simple or compound. The former undergo peculiar phonetic changes according to their position, the forms in initial position differing from those found in medial position. This subject has been discussed in $\S 7$ and $\S 12$. A number of formations, however, are irregular, and not due to the action of phonetic laws.

```
\(q \ddot{m i-p l i t k u}\) eating finishing (stem qümi, from qamıtva)
\(\operatorname{tara}^{\prime} \tilde{n} g a^{\varepsilon} t\) they built a house (from teiki to make, yara house)
\(k_{I m m_{1}{ }^{\prime} r k_{I n}}\) he kills children ( \(k_{m i n ̃ m} t_{m i m} k_{I n}\) )
\(k u w i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} r k ı n\) he has dead children ( \(k m i \pi n ı n, ~ v i^{\varepsilon} r k m n\) )
```

The vocalic elements of prefixes, personal and modal, are modified by the vowels of the stem (see § 3).

The terminal phonetic character of the stem also influences the temporal, modal, and the pronominal suffixes (see §72).
4. The temporal and modal suffixes have been mentioned before. Through contraction between them and the pronominal suffixes originate forms the historical development of which is not by any means clear. It would seem that there is also a suffix -gi- which appears in many forms, and does not seem to form part of the pronominal element. This, however, has undergone so many changes that its character and function are not clear.
5. The pronominal suffixes do not show a very close relation to the personal pronoun, and, furthermore, are somewhat differentiated in different modes of the verb. A comparison of the various forms suggests the following as the essential elements of the suffixed pronominal verbal forms:

## INTRANSITIVE

| I $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $-k$ | we | $-m k$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thou | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $?$ | ye |
| he $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - | they |

It may be that the $m$ and $t$ of the first and second persons plural are related to muri and turi, which may contain the same endings as Erri (see pp. 706, 719, 726). The second person singular is quite doubtful; but it is conceivable that it may contain by origin a form in -gia related to the pronoun $g_{I} t$. In the intransitive verb the second and third persons singular are, in their present forms, identical. The third person plural has clearly the element $t,{ }^{1}$ which is not the same as the $t$ of the second person plural.

## § 63. Structure of the Transitive Verb

The structure of the transitive verb is, on the whole, analogous to that of the intransitive.

1. For the first persons singular and plural, the same pronominal prefixes as in the intransitive appear, as subjects. The transitive forms of the third person, singular and plural, have the prefix ne-. The clearness of the picture is obseured by the fact that the transitive forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { THOU-US; YE-ME, US and } \\
& \text { THOU, YE, HE-ME }
\end{aligned}
$$

do not exist, and generalized intransitive forms are used in their place. These are formed with the prefix ine- or with the suffix -tku (see p. 819, no. 28; p. 808, no. 67). It is possible that the peculiar form ye--hin, them has the same origin (see p. 809). I presume this
form has originated from $-t k u-t_{1} k$ and is parallel to -tkui $i^{\varepsilon}$ тноU-US. The $g$ of the intransitive endings disappears in the series of forms thou-us because its position is intervocalic; for instance-
$-t k u-g i^{i}$ becomes -tkui ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
2. The temporal and modal prefixes are the same as those of the intransitive.
3. The stems are treated like those of the intransitive verb.
4. The temporal and modal suffixes enter into compound forms with the pronominal suffixes. The intransitive $g$ is apparently absent, owing to its frequent intervocalic position.
5. The analogy between the transitive pronominal suffixes and the intransitive suffixes is fairly clear, if we consider only those forms which have true pronominal suffixes. We find then the object

$$
-g_{I t} t \text { thee } \quad-m_{I} k \text { us } \quad-t_{I} k \text { you }
$$

which evidently correspond to the subjects of the intransitive verb. The correspondence is strict for the two plural prououns: - $g_{I} t$ may be the older form of the second person intransitive pronoun $-g_{\lambda}^{i}(\mathrm{pp}$. 719 et seq.; p. 710).

The third person object shows forms in $-n$ which recall the nominal forms in -in ( $\S \S 45-49$ ), and, like these forms, form their plurals in $-\epsilon t$. In a way these forms seem related to the nominal predicate. To the same group belongs the form in - $\breve{n}$ n THEY-me, which contains the pronoun ğum, like the nominal forms.

Attention may be called to the fact that the number of the pronominal suffix, which designates the object, is naturally determined by the number of the object.
$q^{a^{\prime} a t ~ t r p e ̂ ' l a n a t ~(K o r . ~ K a m . ~ q o y a ' w g e ~ t r p e ' l a n a u) ~ I ~ l e f t ~ t h e ~ r e i n d c e r ~}$
For the first person object the intransitive form with ine- is used.
$r a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} n u t q a ̈ a i$ ginéilä give me something
The Koryak forms resemble the Chukchee forms. The Koryak dual corresponds to the Chukchee plural. The plural -la- of the Koryak is always placed immediately following the stem. It indicates plurality of subject or object, but occurs once only in each form, even if both subject and object are plural.

Certain verbal stems may be used both as transitive and as intransitive, generally with a slight change in meaning.
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt. $2 — 12-47$
tuwalo'mürkin I know, hear, obey (intransitive)
tuwalo'mürkinegit I know thee (transitive)
tıwa'lomgäsk I heard
tuwa'lomgas $n$ I knew him

## The Forms of the Intransitive Verb(§§ 6t-66)

## § 64. CHUKCHEE

PRINCIPAL MODES

| Person | Past I | Subjunctive |  |  | Imperative | Future |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Prefixes |  | Suffixes |  |  |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{pl} . \\ & 3 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{pl} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -t i k \\ & -g \tilde{a}^{e} t \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{*}{ }_{(1, u, \check{u})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n\left(I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{u}^{\varepsilon}\right) \\ & n\left(I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{u}^{\epsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $-t ı k$ $-n ¢ t$ | $q(I, \ddot{a}, a)-g!t I k$ | re-nitik re-nit |
| 2d, 3d sing. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-9{ }^{i}{ }^{\varepsilon} \\ -i\end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}* \\ n(t, u, \breve{u})\end{array}\right\}$ | $n\left(I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \bar{u}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-g a^{e} n \\ -I n\end{array}\right.$ | $q\left(1, a ̈, a^{* *}\right)-p!$ | $r e\left\{\begin{array}{l}-9 \ddot{a g}^{*} \\ -I\end{array}\right.$ |
| 1st sing. <br> 1st pl. | $t \begin{aligned} & t-g \not a^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon k \\ & -I k \\ & m i t-m I k \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m I \\ & m I n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t\left(I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\ell}, \breve{u}^{\ell}\right) \\ & \operatorname{mIn}\left(I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \check{u}^{\varepsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-g \ddot{a g}^{\varepsilon} k \\ -i k \\ -m I k\end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tre}\left\{\begin{array}{l} -g \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} \\ -I \\ \text { mirre }-\underline{̣}{ }^{\varepsilon} \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ |

* No 2 d person.
** No 3d person.
DERIVED MODES IN - frkin (PREFIXES AS IN PRINCIPAL MODES)

| 2 d pl. 3 d pl . Other forms | $\begin{gathered} -i t i k \\ -t^{*} \\ \end{gathered}$ | — | - | $-i t ı k$ $-\underset{t}{t}$ - | $\xrightarrow{-\mathrm{i} \text { tik }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\underline{i n t I k} \\ -i \pi I t \\ - \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* $t$ takes the place of final $n$ : Irkit.

The prefix $t$ - of the first person singular appears without auxiliary vowel when it forms an admissible cluster with the initial sound of the verbal theme.

The derived form -rkin is used after rowels. After terminal consonants an auxiliary $I$ is inserted between stem and suffix:
qami'tea-rkin he eats
walo'm-I-rkin he knows

NOMINAL FORMS

|  | I | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - $\hat{e}^{\prime} t \boldsymbol{I}$ |  |
| 2 | $-I k,-I$ |  |
| 3 | $-(t) a ̈$ | $9 ¢ \underline{e}-(t) \underset{\sim}{a}$ |
| 4 | $-m a$ |  |
| 5 | -ma'ci $i$ |  |

## § 65. KORYAK

PRINCIPAL MODES
Intransitive Verb

| Person | Past 1 | Subjunctive |  |  | Imperative | Future | Present indefinite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Prefixes. |  | Suffixes. |  |  |  |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  |  |  |  |
| 2d dual . | $-t i k$ | * | $n a^{\varepsilon}$ | $-t i k$ | $q-(q I) t I k$ | $y a-n ı t i k$ | ku-*** |
| 2d pl. . . . | $-!a^{\prime} t I k$ | * | $n a^{\varepsilon}$ | -latik | $q-$ latık | ya-lantık | $k u$ - |
| 3d dual . . | -gi | $n(I)$ | $n a^{\text {s }}$ | -nat |  | ya-n! | ku- |
| 3d pl. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} - \text {-al or } \\ \text { - lage } \end{array}\right.$ | $\} n(I)$ | $n a^{\varepsilon}$ | -nau |  | $y a-l a n ̃ e$ | $k \underline{-}$ |
| 2d, 3d sing. . | -i | *n | $n a^{\varepsilon}$ | -In | $q^{* *-(g!)}$ | $y a-1$ | $k u$ - |
| 1st sing. . | $t I-I k$ | $m I$ | $t a^{\varepsilon}$ | $-I k$ |  | tya-1 | tiku. |
| 1st dual. | mIt-mik | $m I n$ | mIna ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -mik |  | missa-mik | mitku-. |
| 1st pl. . . | mIt-lamik | min | mina ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-l a(m i k)$ |  | mIssa-la ${ }^{(m i k)}$ | mitku- |

() May be omitted.

* No 2d person.
** No 3d person.
*** Also qu. This form does not exist in Koryak II.
DERIVED MODES IN -rrkin (PREFIXES AS IN PRINCIPAL MODES)

| 2 d dual | -ikInitik | -ikinitik | -ikinitik | -intik |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2d pl. . | -laykinetık | -laimnétık* | -laǐkinetık | - larkinentik |  |
| 3d dual . | -ikI | -ikinat |  |  |  |
| 3d pl. . . | -larke | -ikIninau** |  | - larkineñe |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { 1st, 2d, 3d } \\ \text { sing.;1std ual } \end{array}\right\}$ | -ikin | -ikIn | -ikIn | -ikIn |  |
| 1st pl. . . | -larkin | -lažkInemik* |  | -laykInimik |  |

*Subjunctive (b) has la-i instead of la. ** Subjunctive (b) has $a u$ instead of inau.
The prefix $t_{t}$ - of the first person singular appears without auxiliary vowel whenever it forms an admissible cluster with the first sound of the verb.
The ending -ikin (Koryak I) of the derived forms is used mostly after stems ending in a single consonant, as ualo'm-ękin he knows. After terminal vowel the $i \underset{\alpha}{i}$ changes to a neutral $\check{\imath}$, as $v a-i k I n$ нe is. In many cases, however, the $\underset{\sim}{i}$ is also weakened to $\check{\imath}$ or $y$ after a terminal consonant and an auxiliary $I$ is inserted preceding it, as in yáqiykin what art thou? Kor. 29.1; i'tıykin art thou? Kor. 29.2


The subjunctive (b) of modern Kamchadal takes in all forms the terminal particle -br, which is the Russian conjunction бы.
$t k!n u k b_{I}$ if I eat.
The future is compounded with the terminal verb $\check{\circ l}$, ( $\check{o}$ ) то desire, which may forn modes and tenses like the others; the present, with the terminal verb (or suffix) $j$. The third person plural of this form is $-j c c_{n} n$ or $j r^{\varepsilon} n$.

The numerous Kamchadal verbs ending in $-/$ change this to $-c$ in the derived present. This occurs both in intransitive and transitive verbs (see § 122).
$t_{t} 7 k$ I left $t_{t} c j k$ I leave
tñülk I slept tãükcjk I sleep
tcolk I lay
verbal NOUN

| 1 | $\}-k \check{\circ} j^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 |  |
| 3 |  |
| 4 | $k i-e n k$ |

tujuk nu'kŏj I began eat-beginning; i. e., I began to eat ( $t$ - I; $u j u$ to begin; -k I; nu to eat)

## The Forms of the Transitive Verb (§§ $\boldsymbol{6}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}-71}$ )

§67. CHUKCHEE

Transitive Suffixes
TRANSITIVE FORMS
First and Second Person Objects


THIRD PERSON FORMS

| (1) him (except he, ye-him) | $-g \ddot{\partial g} n$ | -01n | $-\overline{1}$ | (no ending) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (5) them (except he, ye-them) | -net | -ginet | -ninet | - et |
| (6) he-him | -nin | - | - ถınein | - ${ }^{n}$ |
| (6') he-them | -ninet | - | -nininet | -net |

INTRANSITIVE FORMS

${ }^{1}$ With -tku preceding proncminal euffix.
NOMINAL PREDICATIVE FORMS

| (11) they- $\mathrm{me}^{2}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{2}$ See § 73. This form takes the prefix ne-.
Transitive Prefixes
TRANSITIVE FORMS

| Subject | Indicative I | Subjunctive I $a$ |  | $\underset{\text { II }}{\text { Imperative }}$ | Future III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { I } & \text { c } & . & . & .\end{array}\right)$. | $\begin{aligned} & t(I)- \\ & \text { mit- } \\ & \text { ne- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m_{I-}^{-} \\ & m I n- \\ & \ddot{a}^{2}{ }^{n-} \end{aligned}$ | $t_{1}{ }^{t}$ <br> minie- <br> nänné | - | tre- <br> mirre- <br> nere- |

INTRANSITIVE FORMS

| Object me Other forms | (ine)- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (nine) } \\ & \text { nI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(n i i^{t} n e ̣\right)- \\ & \cdot n 1^{e^{e}} \end{aligned}$ | q-ine $q-$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(r i n n_{\xi}\right)- \\ & r \varsigma \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The form $-9 e^{\varepsilon}(17 ; ~ I I 7)$ is rarely abbreviated to $-\check{c}$.
$q \hat{e ̂ n a p e ̂ l a e ̂ e r ~ a n d ~ q e ̂ n a p e ̂ l a i ' ~ l e a v e ~ m e!~}$
This shortening is quite frequent in Koryak I (see below).

NOMINAL FORMS

|  | I | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-^{*} t_{5}$ |  |
| 2 | -k |  |
| 3 | -tia | ce-tọ |
| 4 | $-m a$ |  |
| 5 | $-m a \check{c}$ |  |

Besides this there are a number of impersonal forms.

| Future . | re- |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exhortative, sing. | $n \boldsymbol{r}-a^{\varepsilon} n$ |
| pl. . | nl-nat |
| Exhortative, derived sing. <br> pl. . . | $\begin{aligned} & n I-r k i n \\ & n I-r k i n a t \end{aligned}$ |

§68. KORYAK, KAMENSKOYE
Transitive Suffixes
TRANSITIVE FORNS
First and Second Person Objects


Third Person Forms.

| (1) him (except he, ye-him) | -ga $a^{\varepsilon} n^{2}$ | -gin | -ñ1n | no ending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (5) them dual (except he. ye-them) | -nat | -ginat | -rinat | -inat |
| (5') them pl. (except he, ye-them) . | - nuи | -ginau | - ппnau | -inau |
| (6) he, they-him, them | $-n^{i n}$ | - | - nnin | -(in) |

INTRANSITIVE FORMS


NOMINAL PREDICATIVE FORM


In the derived modes, $l a$ occurs in the same places as in the simple modes, but preceding -ikm.

The suffixes $-g{ }_{1}^{i}$ and $-g a^{5} n$ (I 8,$4 ;$ II 8 ) of this series are often contracted to $-\check{\imath}$ and $-n$. The former is similar to an intransitive form. qenapela' $e^{\epsilon}$ and qenapelai' leave me!
In Chukchee these forms are quite rare (see p. 741)
PREFIXE;

|  | Indicative I | Subjunctive |  | $\underset{\text { Il }}{\text { Imperative }}$ | $\underset{\text { III }}{\substack{\text { Future }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I (a) Exhort. | I (b) Subj. |  |  |
| I . . . . . . . . . | $t$ | mi- | $t a^{\varepsilon}$ |  | tya- |
| we . . . . . . | mit- | mIn- | minas |  | missa- |
| thou, ye, he-me . . . | !na- | $n!n a-$ | natena- | $q$ qina - | y!na- |
| they, he-thee, you, us thou, ye-us |  | $a^{\varepsilon} n$. | nanas- |  | naya- |
| he-him, them thou, ye-him, them | no prefix | $n I^{-}$ | $n a^{\varepsilon}-$ | $q a-$ | ya- |

The second indefinite of Koryak has the prefix $q u-, k u$ - ( $k$ - before vowels) and the future endings, except that
he, thou-me has the ending - $\bar{n}$
I, he-you (dual, pl.) has the ending -ñtrk

NOMINAL FORMS

|  | I | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-k$ |  |
| 2 | $-k$ |  |
| 3 | $\}$ missing | $g a-t a$ |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 | $-m a c ̌ l$ |  |

As in Chukchee there occur also a number of impersonal forms.


KAMCHADAL (§§ 69-71)

## y 69. Types of Transitive Verb

The Kamchadal transitive verb shows peculiarities of structure similar to those of the Chukchee and Koryak. Only the forms with the objects thee, you, us, are formed with the pronominal forms corresponding to the intransitive suffixes. The combination yeus is here also excepted, although no indication of a change of the verb into an intransitive form by means of a special suffix is found. Instead of that, the forms thou, re-me have the ending -mink, which does not occur in the intransitive verb, but seems to correspond to -mik we of Chukchee-Koryak. It may be mentioned here again that in Koryak this ending tends to be dropped. In the Kamchadal forms here discussed it may express the intransitive first person plural, as though we had, for instance, instead of thou leafest me, we part. When used for the singular thoume, the ending is often pronounced -min, which may be an older form. The form ye-me, us takes, in addition to -mink, the ending -cx Ye, which corresponds to the intransitive subject. In agreement with the nominal forms, the third person plural object has - $n$. The nominal-predicative form is used here for both singular and plural of the third person with the object se.
The forms of a second type of conjugation are not quite so clear.
§70. Type I
Transitive Suffixes
trinsitive forms

| Objeet | Indicative | Subjunctive | Imperative | Present |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thee | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} -h i n \\ \text { he, }, \varepsilon_{n} \end{array}\right.$ | -hin | - | --hIn <br> he, they, $-n$ |
| you . . | -cxIn | -cxin | - | -cxin |
| us (except ye-us) . . . . . | $-\operatorname{mink}$ | -mink | -miñk | $-m i n ̃ k$ |

§ 69,70

THIRD PERSON FORMS

| Object | Indicative | Subjunctive | Imperative | Present |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| him (except he, they, ye-him) . | $-n$ | $-n$ | $-x$ | $-n$ |
| them (except he, they, ye-them) | $-\varepsilon_{n}$ | $-\epsilon_{n}$ | $-x n_{n}$ | $-\varepsilon_{n}$ |
| he, they-him . . . . . . . | $-n i n$ | $-n i n$ | - | $-n i n$ |
| he, they-them . . . . . . . | $-n i^{\epsilon_{n}}$ | $-n i^{\epsilon_{n}}$ | - | $-n i_{n}$ |

INTRANSITIVE FORNS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { thou-me } . \\ & \text { ye-me, us. } \\ & \text { ye-him } . \\ & \text { ye-them. } \end{aligned}$ | - $m$ Iñk <br> -minkex <br> -cern <br> $-\mathrm{Cli}^{\mathrm{E}} n$ |  | -mıñk <br> -miñkce <br> -cx <br> -cxIt $n$ | - $m I n \bar{n} k$ <br> -miñkcx <br> -CxIn <br> -cxIs ${ }^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

NOMINAL PREDICATIVE FORMS


PREFIXES

| Subject | Indicative | Subjunetive | Imperative | Present |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $t$ - | m- | - | $t$ - |
| we. | $n$ - | minor $x$ an- | - | $n$ - |
| he. | - | $x \cdot a n$ | - | - |
| they . . . . | ăn- | $x \cdot a n$ | - | ă $n$ - |
| thou, ye . . . . . . | - | - | $k$ - | - |

A comparison between this table and the one on p. 740 shows that all the prefixes, except $a_{n}$ - of the third person plural, are the same as those of the intransitive verbs.

An example of this type of verb is the stem txl- (present $t x c$-) то beat. In verbs beginning with $t$, the prefix $t$ of the first person singular is dropped.

Indicative forms have the theme $t x l i$ -
Subjunctive forms have the theme $t_{x l_{I-}}$.
Present forms have the theme $t x c j(I)$ - with auxiliary vowel $I$ before terminal $n$ and before glottal stop.

Indicative:
txli'hin I beat thee
$t x l i^{\varepsilon} n$ he beat thee
txlihưmni'n he beat me
$t x l l^{\prime} m i n k$ you beat me, us; he beat us
ăntxli'cxin they beat you
$n t x l_{\text {In }}$ we beat him
ăntxli'nin they beat him
Subjunctive:
mtrlithon let me beat thee
w.antxli'nin let him beat him
$x^{\circ}$ untalimank let him, them, beat us
$w^{\circ}$ antxliluanni", let him, them, beat me
montrli'cxm let us beat you

## Imperative:

kt.xlímink beat thou me, us
 kt.xtha beat him ktalucx beat ye him

## Present:

trejhin I am beating thee ntxcjhin we are beating thee $\operatorname{tracjis}^{5} n$ thou art beating them
tacjuin he is beating him
mintacjc.x' $n$ they are beating you
ntecjin we are beating him

> § 71. Type II

Travitive SU، fixes
transitive forms


THIRD-PERSON FORMS


INTRANSITIVE FORMS


NOMINAL PREDICATIVE FORMS

| he, they-me | $-2 k u m n i^{\prime} n$ | -xhumni'n | - | -xkumni'n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Evidently these forms are closely related to those of Type I, but the symmetry is disturbed by a number of peculiar contractions, some of which seem to be due to misunderstandings. The prefixes are the same as those of Type I.

As an example may be given forms of the stem kej to accert.
Indicative and subjunctive have the theme $k e j$.
Present has the theme kejij-
Indicative:
$t k e^{\prime} j x k$ in I accepted thee
$k e^{\prime} j c i n m$ he accepted thee
ănke'jxkimiñk they accepted us
$n k e^{\prime} j \tilde{n} m$ we accepted him
$t k e^{\prime} j \overline{n ̃}_{I}^{\varepsilon} n$ or $t k e^{\prime} j k \check{c}_{c} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} n$ I accepted them
$k e^{\prime} j \dot{c} c x \tilde{n}_{I} I^{\varepsilon} n$ ye accepted them
Subjunctive:
$m k e^{\prime} j x k m$ let me accept thee
$x^{*}$ ankejxkŭmni' $n$ let him accept me
$m i n k e^{\prime} j \tilde{n}_{1}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ or $m m k e^{\prime} j k i c c_{1}^{\varepsilon} n$ let us aceept them
$x^{\cdot} \cdot a n k e^{\prime} j x k m$ let him, them, accept thee
Imperative:
$x k e j x \check{c}_{I}{ }^{\prime} k$ accept him
$x k \dot{j} x c^{c k} I^{\prime} n$ or $x k e j x c ̌ n I^{\prime} n$ accept them ( $k$ before $k$ changes to $x$ )
$x k e j x k m I^{\prime} \tilde{n k}$ accept me, us
$x k e j x k m I^{\prime} \hat{n} k c x$ accept ye me, us
$x k e^{\prime} j \dot{c} c x$ Iñ $n$ accept ye him
$x k e^{\prime} j{ }_{j} c x i n n^{\varepsilon} n$ accept ye them
Present:
tkejijxki'sxin I am accepting you
$n k e^{\prime} j_{1 j} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ we are accepting him
$\breve{a} n k e^{\prime} j-I j-I \pi n n i n ~ t h e y ~ a r e ~ a c c e p t i n g ~ h i m ~$
$k e^{\prime} j i j n i^{i} n$ he is accepting them
$k e^{\prime} j!j \pi I^{\varepsilon} n$ thou art accepting him, them

The nominal forms of these two types are-

Type I

Type II

| $\left.\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2\end{array}\right\}$ | - $-i c,-i l$ | - $x^{2} x^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | . -êku (rare) | - $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ceka (rare) |

As in the intransitive verb, the future is expressed by the present of the desiderative.

txlaxin I shall beat thee $\quad t k e j a^{\prime} x k i n$ I shall accept thee txlalin I shall beat him accept him

The two types of conjugation depend upon suffixes which precede the pronominal elements. Some verbal stems are used with and without these suffixes, with a modification of meaning.
tëxlèjin (Type I) I take away my boots
tëxli'j $\tilde{n}_{1 n}$ (Type II) I take away something from the table
The loss of modes in Kamchadal may be due to Russian influence. There are a number of Kamchadal forms, evidently remains of older forms, which resemble the Chukchee even more closely than the forms just described. Thus we find-

| Kamchadal | Chukchee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jıljın | $y_{\text {I }}{ }^{\prime} l_{\text {Ir }}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}_{\text {In }}$ | thou givest him |
| jı'lıjhŭm | néyıılhŭm | they gave me |
|  | $n e^{\prime} y_{\text {I }} \mathrm{lma}^{\prime} k$ | they gave us |

## § 72. Examples of Verbal Suffixes

## CHUKCHEE

The phonetic rules discussed in $\$ \S 1-23$ bring about frequent , hanges in the verbal suffixes. As a matter of convenience I will summarize here the most common modifications, a few of which can not be explained by the general phonetic laws.

1. Verbal stems terminating in a vowel add the verbal suffix without auxiliary vowel. Whenever the initial $g$ of the suffix stands in intervocalic position, it is either dropped or pronounced very weakly.
telere' $e^{\epsilon} \bar{a}^{\epsilon} k<t$-elere' $e^{\prime}-g \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} k$ I felt lonesome

In stems ending in a double vowel this may lead to trivocalic clusters, which are never contracted.
$t_{I p} a^{\prime} a a^{\varepsilon} k<t_{1}-p a^{\prime} a-g a_{e}^{\varepsilon} k$ I ceased 21.1
$t_{1} y a^{\prime} a a^{\varepsilon} k<t t_{-y a^{\prime}} a^{\prime} a-g a_{a}^{\epsilon} k$ I used
2. When stems ending in consonants would form consonantie clusters of more than two consonants, when combined with suffixes, an auxiliary vowel is inserted before the suffix.
pếnřınên<pềnr-nin he attacks him
tei'kinin <teik-nin be made it

3. In a few cases auxiliary vowels are also introduced when two consonants come into contaet that would form inadmissible clusters.
pegtime'tilin<pegti-met-lin hauling a sledge 15.3
Among the types of assimilation of sounds may be mentioned -
4. Stems with terminal $u$ diphthong transform the combination $u g$ into $w k w$. The following auxiliary vowel is $u$.
$t_{I} m a^{\prime} r a w k w a^{\epsilon} k<t_{I}-m a_{a}^{\prime} r_{a}^{a u-g a ̈ a}{ }^{\varepsilon} k$ I quarreled.
$t_{\text {Imara'u }}$ kut $<t_{I}$-marau-git I blamed thee
$i^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}<i u-g i^{\varepsilon}$ he spoke 8.14
res $q i^{\prime} w k i^{\varepsilon}<$ res $q \dot{i u-g} i^{\varepsilon}$ he entered 11.2
When the diphthong is accented, and followed by a consonant with which $w$ would form an admissible eluster, the $u$ has a vocalie character.
mara'urkin he quarrels
With those stems in which $u$ is by origin a weak vowel or an unchangeable vowel, the $g$ of the suffix, being an intervocalic sound, drops out.
$i^{\prime}$ urkin he rows (perhaps from iyu)
tề'urkin he shakes
$t_{t I} \hat{e ̂}^{\prime} u a_{1}^{\varepsilon} n$ I shook
5. Stems ending in $t$ change the initial $g$ of suffixes into $y$.
ewkwe'tyi ${ }^{\varepsilon}<$ ewkwet-g $i^{\varepsilon}$ he left 8.7

tewkwe'tyäa ${ }^{\varepsilon} k<t$-ewkwe't-gǘ $k$ I left
6. Stems ending in $l$ change the initial $g$ of suffixes into $y$ or $h$.
$u \tilde{n} e^{\prime} l y \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t<u \tilde{n} e l-g \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t$ they gathered fuel 30.6
$n e^{\prime} l y \ddot{a^{\varepsilon}} t<n e l-g \ddot{g}^{\epsilon} t$ it became 12.2
$q u \tilde{n} e^{\prime} l h i^{\varepsilon}<q-u \tilde{n} e l-g g^{\varepsilon}$ gather fuel! 27.1
$m i^{\prime}$ ilhit $<m_{I}-y i l-g_{i t} t$ let me give thee 121.24
7. Stems ending in $l, r, \check{c}$, $t$, with following $l$, form $L$ or $\underline{L}$.
$g i^{\varepsilon} i^{i n}<g-i_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} r-l i n$ he has gone across
genétin< ge-nel-lin he became 10.8
ge'lqäLin<ge-lqät-lin he left 59.1
gaki'timasền<ga-kìt tmat-lêt $n$ he had his hand extended 47.6
8. Terminal $\tilde{n}$ of the stem before $l$ changes to $n$.
gataaronlên $<$ ga-taaron-lên he has brought sacrifice
9. In the pronunciation of men, among the Reindeer Chukchee, $t$ and $n$ between vowels are dropped, and the vowels are assimilated to $a a, e e, i i$, and after preceding $q$ to $\ddot{u} e$.
ewkwe'erkin <ewkwe't-I-rkin he leaves
gênatva'Lact<ge-ine-teat-linet they promised 71.4 (see §73)
ninenlipe'tqäet < $n$-ine-r-lıp-et-qinet he broke them 20.11
I give here a series of examples of the forms deseribed before.

## INTRANSITIVE VERB

Past I:
2 d pl . pr'ntıqättik you appeared 74.21
3 d pl . pǔki'rgäást they came 64.2 tara'ng $a^{\varepsilon} t$ they pitched a tent 56.9
$\tilde{n} i^{\prime} e^{\dot{a}} t<\tilde{n} ı p e-g \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t$ they came ashore 7.8
$t_{I} l e^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t<t_{I} l_{e}-g \ddot{a}^{\dot{\varepsilon}} t$ they walked 64.9

$y_{I} l q \ddot{a}^{\prime} t y \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t<y_{I} l q \ddot{a} t-g \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t$ they slept 8.4
2d sing. ye'ty $i^{\varepsilon}<$ yet-gic thou hast come 37.6
$q \ddot{a} t t^{\prime}$ thou art going 82.23
gitte'wkwis $<$ gitteu-gis $^{\varepsilon}$ thou art hungry 9.13
3d sing. $e^{\prime}$ gripy $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ she felt pain 63.8
$i^{\dot{\epsilon}} r g i^{i}$ he crossed over 13.13
рйki'rı he came 90.26
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} w t_{n} g \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ he married 58.8
pǔki'rgí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he arrived 57.8; 58.1
lite'pgis he looked 7.6
kề́rgŭungêer she dressed up 52.9

$w a^{\prime} q{ }_{\xi} \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}<w a q_{0}^{\theta-}-\hat{e}_{a}^{\varepsilon}$ he sat down 15.7
cuño' $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}<i u-\tilde{n} \tilde{n} 0-g_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ he began to say 117.25
ra'gtite $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}<r_{a y} t_{t}-q \eta_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ he came home 122.7

têrgu'ty $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}<t \hat{g} r g-\underline{e} t-g i_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ he cried 7.6
kiye'wkwis $<$ kiyeu-gi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he awoke 9.4
$k i^{\prime} u k w i^{\varepsilon}<k i u-g i^{\varepsilon}$ be passed a night 8.4
notus. $q a^{\prime} w k w \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}<n u t e-s \cdot q e u-g i^{\varepsilon}$ land approached 8.8
1st sing. • tégripgäak I felt pain 101.17
$t_{\text {ryét }}{ }^{\prime} \ddot{a ̈}^{\varepsilon} k<t-y_{s} t-g \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} k$ I came 124.11
teiu' $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} k<t$-eiu-gäácik I revived 83.14


1st pl. mityI'greumik we are thirsty 71.14 $m_{I t v i} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{i}} m_{I} k$ we died 64.15
Subjunctive (a):
3d pl. nıyılqü'tinet <n-yIlqüt-I-net let them sleep
3d sing. $\quad n I^{\prime} l q \ddot{a} t y \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n<n-l q \ddot{a} t-g \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ let him go! 13.12
 $n+I^{\prime} l q a ̈ t y \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n<n-y_{I} l q \ddot{a} t-g \ddot{a} \ddot{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ let him sleep 9.1
 $m^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} l q a ̈ t y a^{\varepsilon} k<m_{I}-l q a ̈ t-g a^{\varepsilon} k$ let me go 125.5
muanla' $a^{\varepsilon} k<m_{1-a n l a-g}^{e} \ddot{e}^{\varepsilon} k$ I may ask (for help) 135.19
$m_{I n e}{ }^{\prime}$ etyäáck ${ }^{\epsilon} m_{I}-n e e t-g \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} k$ let me turn black 23.6
1st pl. mne'wkwen ik<mn-ewkwet-mik let us go away 17.8
minuñélmik let us gather fuel 30.6
minra'gtimǔk let us go home 126.4
$m r a^{\prime}$ gtia ${ }^{\ell} k$ let me go home 99.2
$m_{\text {It }}{ }^{j} a q q^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} k$ let me smoke 99.26
Subjunctive (b):
$n I^{\varepsilon} t c^{\prime} a^{\prime} n a t$ if they had stayed 68.27
$n u^{\varepsilon} w i^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n<n I^{\varepsilon}-v v^{\varepsilon}-g a^{\varepsilon} n$ she would die 37.12
Imperative:
$q u w i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t_{t} k$ die ye! 64.16
qäle'tik walk ye! 65.29
$q \ddot{m} m \tilde{n} \tilde{e}^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}<q-m \tilde{n} \dot{i}-g \dot{e}^{\varepsilon}$ celebrate the thanksgiving ceremonial 60.5
qanto $\sigma^{\prime}<q-n t o-q i^{\varepsilon}$ come out! 26.3
qagno ${ }^{\prime} p \overbrace{a}^{\epsilon_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}}$ sit with head bent down! 32.4
Future:
2 d pl . revis' $n t_{I} k$ ye will die 64.20
3d pl. répkirgäs they will come 10.5 (sing. used as plural)
2d sing. rečipe'tyäsere-čip-et-gä $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$ thou wilt dive 114.22
revi $i^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon}<r e-v i i^{\varepsilon}-g i^{\varepsilon}$ thou wilt die 65.6 (cf. 21.12 reri $i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \dot{a}^{\varepsilon} 37.8$ )

3d sing. rem $\tilde{n} i^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}<\hat{r} e-m \tilde{n} \tilde{i} i-g \ddot{a} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$ be will celebrate a thanksgiving ceremonial 118.12
ratopa'wkwas $<$ re-topantogäa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ she will be pregnant 104.5

reurre'ty $i^{\varepsilon}<$ re-urr-et-gici ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ it will appear 119.10
1st sing. trara'gtia $<t$-re-ragti-gäd $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$ I shall go home 99.14
trevie $i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \dot{u}^{\varepsilon}<t-r^{e}-v^{\varepsilon}-g \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}$ I shall die 108.1
trene $e^{\prime}$ ha $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}<t$-re-nel-gäd $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$ I shall turn to 24.12
1st pl. mirreyI'lqäty $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}<m_{I} r r e-y_{I} l q-a ̈ t-g a^{\varepsilon}$ we shall sleep 9.3

Derived Modes in -Irkm.
Past I:
inenrequarkmi'tik<ine-r-req-en-rkm-itik what are you doing with me 10.10
ewkwéerkit <ewhwet-mhit they leave 13.6
$m \tilde{n} i^{\prime} r k_{I}$ they celebrate the thanksgiving ceremonial 67.29
pilqä'erkm he dived 9.7
va'rkin he is 19.2
rquamitva'urkmên he was made to eat
kime'urkm thou causest delay 18.6
réqärkm how art thou? 18.9
$t_{\text {Inqüe'rkin }}$ I refuse 19.7
mittegimi'n•तirkm we suffer 32.2
mitteñičécrktn we feel merry 69.5
minqami'tearkin let us eat 65.4
qatva'rkin stay! 57.3; 67.23
remeine'erkin he will grow up 21.7
Koryak:
Past I:

2d sing. $\quad i \prime y i$ thou hittest Kor. 26.1
$i^{\prime} t_{I}$ thou wert Kor. 16.3
ya'ti thou camest Kor. 68.12
qati' you went away Kor. 18.5
3d sing. vamninta'tı she lost a tooth Kor. 34.1
$a^{\prime}$ wyeñvoi he begins to eat Kor. 20.7
$v i^{\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}} g i$ he is dead Kor. 22.1

titva'ñook I began to be Kor. 18.6
tuva'nnintatik I lost a tooth Kor. 33.1
tapka'vik I could not Kor. 35.2
ti'yak I hit Kor. 26.2
$t_{\text {In }} a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} l_{\text {l }} \mathrm{I}$ I remained Kor. 16.2
1st dual. mitqugita't we are hungry Kor. 74.17
Subjunctive (a):
2 d sing. nina ${ }^{\varepsilon} l_{\text {In }}$ may it become Kor. 20.2
3d sing. néwñvon he would begin to say Kor. 27.6
1st sing. mi!qa'tik: let me go! Kor. 33.10
mas'hi'ntrllik I'll walk along the shore Kor. 82.19
minan'ačo'mik let us try the divining-stone! Kor. 80.20

1st dual mini'lqat let us go! Kor. 22.5 (see § 62,1)
mina'wyi let us eat! Kor. 28.9

1st pl. minilqala'mik let us go! Kor. 28.5; 62.6
minno'yıčvala let us play! Kor. 32.7
minikya'w? a let us get up! Kor. 39.4.
mina'wyela let us eat! Kor. 27.7
minno'tantala let us go for a walk! Kor. s6.s.
Subjunetive (b):
$n s^{s} t v a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} a n$ it should be Kor. 34.12
nanise ${ }^{\text {s }} w_{I n}$ one could say Kor. 24.10
$t i^{\varepsilon^{s}} w_{I} k$ I should say 45.9
Imperative:
sing. $\quad q u v^{\varepsilon}$ yas ${ }^{\circ} i^{\top} u g i$ die! Kor. 35.1
qa'lqathi go away! Kor. 35.3
qimla'we dance! Kor. 37.6
qumla'wge dance! Kor. 45.9
qita'pañ cook soup! Kor. 42.10
qawas'vu'gi look in! Kor. 27.3
dual qamalitvaithitik make it better! Kor. 13.2
qanto'tik go out! Kor. 74.15
qryai'titik go ye two home Kor. 21.1
qu'thitik be ye two! Kor. 21.2
pl. quwas'vila'tik look ye in! Kor. 27.1
qıkyaw!a' $t_{1 k}$ awake ye! Kor. 39.3
quivrlala'tik carry ye meat as a present! Kor. 63.12
qalqala'tık go away! Kor. 14.7
Future:
3 d pl . yewñmoláne they shall tell Kor. 22.5
1st sing. tyavié yañ I shall die Kor. 33.1
tryayaittin I will go home Kor. 30.5
1st pl. missacis'yala we shall die Kor. 16.9
Derived modes in -rykin:
2 dpl . yaq?aikine'trk what are you doing? Kor. 24.8
3d dual vai'ke they two are Kor. 48.7
$3 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{pl} . \quad k_{r y a}$ wlaike they awoke Kor. 12.6
vañeolai'ke they lived Kor. 43.7; 45.5; 62.7; 12.6.
kokaidilai'ke they are cooking Kor. 27.4
enkayalaike they are snoring Kor. 28.4
3d sing. lelapıtčoñoo'ykin he looks up Kor. 42.8
kaña'trykin he is fishing Kor. 45.1
va'ykin he lives Kor. 18.4

tryañlanñuo'ykin I shall feel smoky Kor. 37.10
1st pl. mititrañrolaikın we remain Kor. $17.11 \quad \$ 72$ $3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40 , pt. 2-12--4S

Subjunctive:
1st sing. mañmmila'tıykin I should feel elated Kor. 84.17
Imperative:
2d sing. qiwrykin-i'-gi say! Kor. 25.4
TRANSITIVE VERB

Transitive Forms<br>FIRST AND SECOND PERSON FORMS

Past I and subjunctive:
$t_{I}{ }^{\prime} h_{I}-g I t$ I have thee for something 15.8 (I 1*)
$n e^{\prime} n t_{r}$-git they bid thee 19.5 (I 1)
minlete'ttik let us carry you away! 74.15 (I 2)
ne'ntitik he bid you 74.24 (I 2) nayo ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ mưk they visit us 34.6 (I 3) nantimla'nmik they press on us 63.9 (I 3)
Future:
nara'nmŭgIt they will kill thee 37.10 (III 1) nara'nmŭntık it will kill you 70.12 (III 2)
Derived modes:
nayo $o^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ rkm- $\hat{e}-g_{I t}$ they visit thee 52.4 (IV 1)
nanmivkmê'mik let them kill us! 67.33 (IV 3)
THIRD-PERSON FORMS
Past I and subjunctive:
tre $^{\varepsilon} t y \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ I brought it 20.1 (I 4)
$t_{1}{ }^{\varepsilon} l h^{\prime} \ddot{a ̈}^{\varepsilon} n$ if I should do for it 38.12 (I $a 4$ )
$m_{I l} l^{\varepsilon} \dot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ let me see it 19.5; 20.2 ( $\mathrm{l} a 4$ )
mıpê'nřa $a^{〔} n$ let me catch him 66.16 (Ia 4)
$m_{\text {Itlu }} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ we saw it 33.7 (I 4)
napêla' $a^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ they left it 30.12 (I 4)
minpêtact ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ let us leave him 29.11 (I 4)
gina'n língä́n thou hast put it 38.11 (I 4)
tule'tinet thou hast stolen them 18.1 (I 5)
nenu'net they ate it 14.8 (I 5)
$\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n l u^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ net they might see it 62.1 (I $a$ 5)
yopa'nnên he visited him 7.4 (I 6)
$l u^{6} n$ in he saw it 18.11 (I 6)
$n_{I^{\varepsilon}} y_{0} \sigma^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} n e \hat{e} n$ they would visit it 53.1 ( $\mathrm{I} a 6$ )
timnê'nat he killed them 34.1 (I $6^{\prime}$ )
pinlo'nênat he asked them 13.9 (I $6^{\prime}$ )
iu'ninet he said to them 8.10 (I 6 ')

Imperative:
qägtr'gın fetch it! 30.9 (II 4)
qürri'lhin put it down 40.6 (II 4)
qai'pŭgun put it on! 16.6; 37.8 (II 4)
qätei'kigInet make them! 49.4 (II 5)
qre'tmet fetch them 73.11 (II 5)
Future:
tréntiñın I will manage him 67.22 (III 4)
mirraio $^{\varepsilon \prime} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ we shall see him 66.30 (III 4)
mırri'wkut-hit we shall bind thee 23.8 (III 1)
replı'tkuñmet thou wilt finish them 49.5 (III 5)
ra'nmugnên he will kill him 37.14 (III 6)
Derived modes:
qoi'pitkoi'vurkern thrust it in all! 92.24 (IV 4)
tilhi'rkinet I do them 29.2; 30.5 (IV 5)
nata'rkinat they left them 68.17 (IV 5)
trmi'rkinên he kills him 23.5 (IV 6)
tégrirkmin he threw him 10.10 (IV 6)

nelu'rken they saw it 7.8 (IV 4)

## Intransitive Fcrms.

Past I, and derived form:
ine'lhia ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ thou hast for me 25.1 (I S)
gina'n inelu $\iota^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon}$ thou hast seen me 22.10 (I 8)
$\hat{\hat{e}} \mathrm{nap}_{\text {a }} \mathrm{larkm}_{m}{ }^{\prime} t_{I} k$ ye are leaving me 10.5 (IV 9)
inenreqeurkmi'tik what are you doing to me? 10.10 (IV 9)
inente' 'e'urkin thou causest me pain 31.11 (IV 8)
mitiwku'tirkin-i-qIt we bind thee (IV 1)
Imperative:
qInéilh ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ give me: 15.12 (II 8)
qênata'gé $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ move to me! 37.10 (II 8)
qênankêrgipa'tyê ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dress me! 48.9 (II 8)
qI gite'tkuie look at us! 35.7 (II 8)
qeiñe'thutik carry ye us away! 74.12 (II 9)
qinerrilhitık (qinerri'ltik 23.7) let ye me go! 24.1 (II 9)
qênagta'tyıtık haul ye me up! 67.5 (II 9)
qinelue ${ }^{\varepsilon \prime}$ trl look ye at me! 70.31 (II 9)
qaicalponaurkenétki hit re them on the head! 69.32 (IV 10)
qämu'utkI eat ye it! 14.7; 33.12 (II 10)
qata'gitkI pass it! 70.10 (II 10)
qata' qu $_{g}$ stki answer ye them! 11.11 (II 10)
$q \ddot{a} n$ m $n e^{\prime} w k u t k i$ light ye them 68.13 (II 10)

Future:
raala'गuthi ye will pass it 64.20 (III 10)
reluc' $\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{th}$ ye will see it 64.21 (III 10)

## Nominal Predicative Forms

nupêla'-ŭm they left me 31.9 (I 11)
nancuatwa'ukimm they cast me off 31.10 (I 11)
nanlmalawa't-रे-rm they make me obey 21.3 (I 11)
For examples of rerbal nouns, see $\S 95$.

## KORYAK

1. Stems with terminal vowel form a diphthong with the ending $-y k m_{n}$ of the derived forms.
$t_{t}$-tca' ${ }^{\prime} k_{I n}<t_{I}-t_{t} \cdot a^{\prime}-y k_{I n}$ I am

wa'ykn he lives Kor. 18.4
2. The $g$ of the suffix is never dropped.
$t_{\text {I-črei'-gän I cut off }}$
3. Stems with terminal consonant have for the derived forms in -ykm the form -ikm, an auxiliary vowel being introduced on account of the formation of a triconsonantic cluster.
$t_{\text {ralalo'mekin }}$ (Chukchee tuwalrimitim)<tr-valom-ykin I hear tapatedem (Chukchee tapatirkin) <t-ŭpat-ykIn I cook
tírikin (Chukchee tiurkm) <t-iv-ykm I say
t. Terminal $v$ of the stem (which corresponds to Chukchee $u$ ) is not regularly assimilated by the initial $g$ of the suffix.
ti'rqüt $k$ I said
In other cases rog is changed to $w \underset{q}{ }$, which corresponds to the Chukchee $w k w$.
tyi'wgi (Chukchee tri'wkut)<t-y-ic-gi (Chukchee $\left.<t-r-i u-g_{I} t\right)$ I shall say to you

4. Terminal $t$ does not influence the $g$ of the suffix.

PElqa'tgi he grew old
6. Stems in terminal $\check{y}$ of Koryak I, which correspond to stems in $r$ of the Chukchee, form the derived modes in ritikn (Chukchee -rirkm).
Kamenskoye
$t i^{\varepsilon \prime} y_{4}^{i} k I n$

Chukchee

7. Terminal $t$ before ? either forms the Koryak analogue of $\underset{L}{\text { or }}$ drops out.
gapa'zen<ga-pat-len he has cooked
gape'lqa!in < ga-pe'lqat-lin he has grown old Examples:
tryanu'wgi I shall swallow thee Kor. 78.18; 84.24 (I 1)
matr'mtingi I shall carry thee Kor. 21.4 (I $a 1$ )
mininyai'tala-ge let us take thee home Kor. 33.3 (I $a$ 1)
$a^{\varepsilon} n t a i^{\prime} k_{I-g i}$ one should make thee Kor. 38.4 ( $\mathrm{I} a 1$ )
nalñlaikine'tik they do to you Kor. 64.17 (IV 2)
minyai'tatık I'll carry you two home Inc. 4 line 6, p. $63^{1}$ ( $\mathbf{I} a 2$ 2)
nenenela'mik he appears to us Kor. 29.9 (I 3)
nana${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yic $a^{\prime}$ wmik he is reproaching us Kor. 74.19 (I 3)
nalñlaaikine'mık they do us Kor. 64.16, 62.5 (IV 3)
! $u^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} w a^{\varepsilon} n$ thou sawest it Kor. 23.8 (I $\pm$ )
mryó ${ }^{\text {f }}$ ogan let me visit him Kor. 20.7 (Ia 4)
mitla $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} w!a^{\varepsilon} n$ we found it Kor. 26.9 (I 4)
$q r y a^{6} t$ thin bring it here ! Kor. 29.4 (II 4)
minu'mkawin I will lay it aside Kor. 49.10 (I 4)
tıyai'? ${ }^{\prime} \bar{n} I n$ I'll give him Kor. 12.3; 76.17 (III 4)

ya'nmIñn you will kill it Kor. 76.7 (III 4)
natalaikme'mik it has caught us Kor. 66.7 (IV 3)
tı'nmm I killed him Kor. 20.5 (I 4)
? $u^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ nin she found it Kor. 24.3 (I 6)
tai'krmin he made them Kor. 20.9 (I 6)
nayo ${ }^{\text {s }}$ onau ye visit them Kor. 24.7 (I 10)
qute $i^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{n} I n a u$ you are making them Kor. 50.7 (I 10)
qupka'wñunenau it could not do them Kor. 40.2 (I 6')
nayo $n$ no'ykinenau they visit them Kor. 61.S (IV 5')
yıleñvo'ykinen she turns him Kor. 19.2 (IV 6)
yawa'ykinen she has him for Kor. 22.6 (IV 6)
ina'nui he swallowed me Kor. 84.13 (I i)
tenanikyo'nãuoi he wants to awaken us Kor. 39.4 (I 7)
ninanura ${ }^{\text {E/ }}$ an let him swallow me ! Kor. 84.15 (I $a$ 7)
yena'nma she will kill us Kor. 96.14 (III 8)
gina'mu swallow me! Kor St. 22 (II S)
qinanu'wgi swallow me! Kor. 84.24 (II 8)
qenanyaikıni'gi cheer me up! Kor. S4.27 (II 8)
qinaml? $l^{\prime} a^{\prime} t_{1} k$ ye louse me! Kor. 24.9 (II 9)
qinatinunta' $t_{I} k$ prepare ye provisions for me Kor. 13.4 (II 9)
$q w u L a^{\prime} g_{I} t \check{c} a$ tie ye her! Kor. 23.4 (II 10)
qu'wqutča tell ye him ! Kor. 74.20 (II 10)
qIyóvola'atča visit ye her! Kor. 23.7 (II 10)
nıyañeqütoíg口m they will keep me back Kor. 60.5 (I 11)
naya'muw-gŭm they will eat me Kor. 78.21 (I 11)
For examples of verbal nouns, see $\S 95$.
ss 73-\%t. Predicative Form of Nominalived Verb

## § 73. FORMS DERIVED FROM INTRANSITIVE VERB

Nouns, adjectives, and pronouns are combined with the suffixed personal pronouns of the first and second persons, and thus express the idea то ве —. Verbal stems are nominalized in the same manner. In the third person such verbs take the affixes-
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. sing. } n I-q i n \\ \text { pl. } n-q i n e t\end{array}\right\}$ one who is in a condition or performs an action
2. sing. ge-lin one who has attained a condition or who has pl. ge-linest $\}$ performed an action
The second form may also be used with nouns, and expresses one who has ——. In the singular a comnective $i$ occurs between the verbal stem and the suffixed pronoun. In the first person singular of verbs ending in a consonant the connective $i$ forms a syllable and the initial $g$ of the suffix $g \check{4} m$ drops out. In Koryak, on the other hand, it is retained. When the stem ends in a vowel, the $i$ forms a diphthong with it and the $g$ of $g \check{u} m$ is retained. The following table illustrates these forms.

|  | Prefix |  |  | Suffix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Noun | Nominalized Verbs |  | Noun | Nominalized Verbs |  |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  | (a) | (b) |
| 3d sing. <br> 3d pl. |  |  |  | $\cdot \underline{e} t,-t,-t!$ | -qin -quet | -lin -linet |
| 1st sing. . | - | $n I^{-}$ | ge ${ }^{-}$ |  | -i-um |  |
| 2 d sing. |  |  |  |  | -igit |  |
| 18t pl. . |  |  |  |  | -murị |  |
| 2 d pl . |  |  |  |  | -turt |  |

KORYAK

|  | Prefix |  |  | Suffix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Noun | Nominalized Verb |  | Noun | Nominalized Verbs |  |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  | (a) | (b) |
| 3d sing. . |  |  |  | - | $-q^{\text {in }}$ | -lin |
| 3d dual . . |  |  |  | $-a t,-t,-t i$ | -qinat | -linat |
| 3d pl. |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} -a u, u, \\ -w u i,-w g i \end{array}\right\|$ | -qinau | -tinau |
| 1st sing. |  |  |  |  | -ịŭm |  |
| 2d sing. . |  |  |  |  | -igi |  |
| 1st dual . | - | $n I$ |  |  | -muyi |  |
| 2 d dual . |  |  |  |  | -muyu, | , $m u^{1}$ |
| 1st pl. . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  | -tuyi |  |
| 2d pl. . . . . |  |  |  |  | -tuyu, tu | $\underline{u}^{1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ The contracted forms $m u$ and $t u$ do not change their vowels in harmony with hard vowels of the stem.
Examples:
Nouns:
1st sing. o'rgukäl- $\hat{e}-\breve{u} m$ I am one who has no sledge 78.6. $k e^{\prime}{ }_{l e i}$-(g) $\check{\prime} m<k e l e-i-g u ̆ m$ I am a kele $q l a^{\prime} u l-\hat{e}-\bar{u} m \mathrm{I}$ am a man 116.32
2 d sing. $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u s \cdot q a ̈ t-i-g I r$ thou art a woman 136.15.
$k e^{\prime} l e i-g i t$ thou art a kele 15.11
1st pl. ili' $ب$ tä- $m u^{\prime} r i$ we are islanders 11.11.
Nominalized verbs (a):
3d sing. nıgnopitva'qên he was one who remained crouching 7.4.
nine'lqin he is one who becomes a -- S.7.
3 d pl . nimitva'qênat they were those who lived in a camp 13.3.
1st sing. $n I^{\prime} l q \ddot{a} t-i-\breve{u} m \mathrm{I}$ am one who was there 66.36 . $n a^{\varepsilon} l a i o i^{\prime} g u ̆ m<n-a^{\varepsilon} l$ laio- $i$ - $(g)$ йm I defecated 76.
2d sing. wu'tku nitvai'-gir you are one who stays here 7.5. nine'l-i-git you are one who becomes a - 10.11.
1st pl. $n_{1}^{\prime} p k i r-m u r i$ we are those who came 11.1.
2 d pl . me'nki ni't-turi where are you? 12.2.
Nominalized verbs (b):
3d sing. ganto'lên he was one who had gone out 8.4. ge'tkulin he was one who had spent time 7.2.
3d pl. gi'ulinet they were those who had said 11.11. gene'tinet they were those who had become - 9.4.
1st sing. gene' $l-i-\breve{u} m \mathrm{I}$ am the one who has become a-17.6. gelere $i^{\prime}-g$ ğm $<g$-elere- $i$ - $(g)$ ŭm I was feeling dull
1st pl. ge'lhr-muri we were the ones to whom it happened 65.11.

Koryak:
Nouns:
1st sing. qla' wul-c-qŭm I am a man Kor. 22.1.
1 st pl . Rmi' $\tilde{1} 1-m u^{\prime} y i$ we are children Kor. 70.20.
Nominalized verbs (a):
3d sing. na $a^{\varepsilon}$ čanvoqen he was the one who was urinating Kor. 14.2.
niqahaiañooqen he was the one who began to cry aloud Kor. 78.10.
3d du. nalñqa'tvuqinet they were the ones who were quite successful Kor. SS.21.
 fat Kor. 25.5.
1st sing. nanñıどva' ${ }^{\prime} w-$ gŭm I am one who is getting angry Kor. 31.2.
$2 d$ sing. nita'witknini-gi you are one who is doing mischief Kor. S2.9.
Nominalized verbs (b):
3d sing. gaya'?quwlin he was the one who had entered Kor. 14.1.
3d du. gata'wañlenat they were the ones who had moved on Kor. 19.9.
3d pl. gaqi'tilinau they were the ones who were frozen Kor. 14.2.
1st sing. gatuyıkmiña't-i-gŭm I am the one who has given birth to a child Kor. 64.12.
1st pl. ganos' $l-m u^{\prime} y u$ we are the ones who have become - Kor. 37.4.
2d sing. galu'tai-gi you are the one who has urinated Kor. 66.6.

## § 74. FORMS DERIVED FROM TRANSITIVE VERB

The nominalized form of the transitive verb has in the $n(I)$ - form throughout the prefix ine-, which makes the verbal theme passive.

|  | Prefix |  | (a) | (3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) |  |  |  |
| 3d sing. . |  |  | (ine)-qin | (ine)-lin |  |
| 3d wl. . . . |  |  | (ine)-qinet | (ine)-linet |  |
| 1st sing. . . . |  |  | (ine)-i-gŭm | -i $(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{um}$ |  |
| 2d sing. . . | $n(I)$ | ge | (ine)-rgit | (ine)-ropit | -tku-iglt |
| 1st pl. . . . |  |  | (ine)-mur! | $-m u r i$ |  |
| 2d pl. . . |  |  | (ine)-luri | (ine)-turi | -tku-turi |

KORYAK

|  | Prefix |  | (a) | (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) |  |  |
| 3d sing. . |  |  | ina-qin | -lin |
| 3d dual. . . . . |  |  | ina-qinat | -linat |
| 3d pl. . . . . . |  |  | ina-qinau | -linau |
| 1st sing. . . . . . |  |  | ina-ị̆̆m | -ĭŭm |
| 2d sing. . | $n(i)$ | $g a$ | ina-igit | -rgit |
| 1st dual. . |  |  | ina--ınuyu | -muyu |
| 1st pl. . . . . . . |  |  | ina-tuyu | -tuyu |
| 2d dual. . . . . . |  |  | ina-muyi | -muyi |
| 2d pl. . . . . |  |  | ina-tuyi | -tuyi |

In meaning this form agrees with the intransitive nominalized verb.
It may be translated the one whom i - etc.
ELo'n nênapêla'tugün he is the one whom I leave git nênapělai'gừn you are the one whom I leave $q a^{\prime} a t$ ninei'mitiŭm the reindeer are the ones whom I take
nêna'nmê-gıı they are the ones whom you kill 23.4
Accordingly, when the object of the verb is in the plural, the nominal third person appears also in the plural.

The third person plural subject occurs also without ine- and has active sense.
qa'at mpềla'qệnat the reinder are the ones whom they leave (or, perhaps, the reindeer are in the condition of being left).
qa'at nine $i^{\prime \prime} m i t q i n e t$ the reindeer are the ones whom he takes n'nmüqên ora'wêtan Eiwhuétä the St. Lawrence people were the ones who killed the men 12.11

$n i^{\prime} u q i n e t ~ q u ' t t i$ several were the ones who said to them 59.2
ninéuqinet they were told by him 73.13
$t_{\text {I }}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ k neime'nqüet they were those who were approaching (to) the entrance (intransitive) 103.1
nineimeu'qin wa'lqur he was one who approached the house 57.6
tayo'llut nênaimê' $\hat{e}_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{e}}{ }_{n}$ at he was one who hung up the needlecases 82.10

The nominalized transitive verb in ge- has two forms-one the passive, meaning I , the one who has been-, etc.; the other active, derived from those forms of the transitive verb which are replaced by intransitive forms (see p. 741), except -thi.

The third person, with or without-ine-may be used in an active or passive sense.
gapê'lalên he was leaving him, or he was left
genlete' $n$-muri he was the one who took us away 74.23
genrggewgu'ulinet he was the one who awakened them 12.12
Examples, Koryak:
(a) nenaaiñawñvo'qen she was the one who called him Kor. 74.29 nenanuño'qenau she was the one who ate them Kor. 59.9 ninataikinvo' qenau she was the one who made them Kor. 59.5 nassi'uro-gŭm they are the ones who are untying me Kor. 39.3 nenemeye'ye-ge art thou the one who is seeking it? Kor. 49.9 nenavos'ño-mu'yu we are those who find them Kor. 59.9
(b) gêwñno'?enat they were the two whom he told Kor. 13.2
ga'nmilenau they were the ones whom they had killed Kor. 12.8 ganta'witkınau-mu'yi we are the ones whom they have defiled Kor. 29.6
ganta'witknaw-i-g-i thou art the one whom they have defiled Kor. 31.1

## SS75-81. Notes on Certain Verbs

## § 75. To be,$-i t$

The Chukchee verbal stem -it, Kor. Kam. -it, expresses the idea то вe. In the pronounciation of men the $t$ is lost in Chukchee in intervocalic position. The women say instead of $i^{\prime}$ rrkin $^{\prime}$ of the men $i^{\prime} t i s s^{s} s n$. In other words with terminal $t$ of stem they may drop it, as in yılqaeššin he sleeps.
$i^{\prime}$ mkin, Kor. Kam. itr'ykin he is
me'nki nit-turi? where are you?
mi'nkrinittin? how was he? 17.12
It is used with the verbal noun in -tä, and with the noun in -nu (see § 95, p. 784, § 103, no. 34).
 people are partly self-destroying
$T a^{\prime} n \cdot \tilde{\pi} c \check{c} h t{ }^{\prime}$ üm $^{\prime} m-v v^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t \ddot{̈} n i^{\prime} t$ qinet the Russians are just dying
$l_{t} \tilde{n}$-qami'tvata $i^{\prime}$ ty $i^{\varepsilon}$ he could not eat 80.7
aqami'tıaka qi'tyitik don't ye eat (of it) 64.19
$e^{\prime} r m u t i^{\prime} t y \ddot{z}^{\varepsilon} k$ I was a chief (literally, I was what serves as a chief)
gaímičrle tri'tyäal 1 am going to be rich
qarêmêna'no rítyä́s thou shalt not be it 23.6
$l_{I}{ }^{\prime}$ - $\tilde{n} a r a u^{\prime} t i l_{\theta} m_{I n}{ }^{\prime} n m_{I} k$ ( $<m_{m} n-i t-m_{I} k$ ) let us really try to get wives 57.1
êna'nmı̌̌u $i^{\prime} t k a ̈ l-i-u ̆ m$ I am not a murderer 24.8

It seems possible that the element $\underset{\sim}{i}$ in the nominalized verbs is derived from this stem (see note 1 p. 734).
$n u-w a^{\prime} l o m-\hat{e}-u m \mathrm{I}$ am hearing
$n I-y I^{\prime} l q \ddot{a} t-i-u{ }^{\prime} m$ I am sleeping

## § 76. TO LIVE, TO BE - $\boldsymbol{\text { Cog }}$

This stem occurs both in Chukchee and Koryak. It expresses a longer duration than it.
$\ddot{q} q \ddot{a l i n ̃ e}{ }^{\prime} t a ̈ \quad t i^{\prime} t y \ddot{a} k \mathrm{I}$ was in fear
em-äqäliñétä tıtva' $a^{\varepsilon} k$ I was continually just in fear va'rkin (Chukchee), va'ykin (Kor. Kam.) he lives
awgo' $l_{\text {Ika }}$ titva'rkin I remain without an assistant 124.5
nímnim vai čı' mčeqäa va'rkın a settlement then quite near is 7.7
$i^{\prime}$ lukë̈ qatva'rkin remain without motion! 57.3
mi'ñkri mititva'rkin how shall I be? 124.3
em-nu' $\tilde{n}_{I}{ }^{c} I n ~ m i ' \tilde{n} k r i n i t v a q e ̂ n ~ h o w ~ a r e ~ t h o s e ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ m a i n l a n d ? ~$ 13.9
$w u^{\prime} t k u$ nitvai'gir you stay here 7.5
It is used as a synthetic element in many verbs.
ratva'rkin (<ra-tva) he house-lives (i. e., he is at home)
oratva'rkin (<ora-tva) he stays long
waqotva'lik (waqo-tva) he (remained) seated 102.24
$a^{\prime} \tilde{n} q a k$ nimitva'qênat (<nim-tua settlement remains) they lived on the sea 13.3
nuwkotitva'qên (<wkot-tva) he was tied
gawketitva'ta being tied 122.24
qamitva' to eat
êulêtềl-va'lin being of elongated form 91.15
In Koryak the stem $i t$ occurs much more frequently than tra in independent form.
$E n^{\prime} \tilde{n} i^{\prime} n \cdot v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ (Chukchee), En $\tilde{n} \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} a n i^{\prime} t a l a^{\varepsilon} n$ (Kor. Kam.) one being thus

Still in compounds the stem twa occurs with great frequency. vaha'le-te $a^{\prime} y k i n$ (Kor. Kam.) he is seated
Some stems when combined with $v g_{o}^{\prime} l_{I n}$ do not take the ablaut: minkri-va' $l_{I n}$ of what kind $m e^{\prime} c e n \cdot k u-w a^{\prime} l \hat{l}-u ̆ m$ I am a fairly good one
A number of stems expressing qualities form adjectival forms by composition with -tva- (it- Koryak), in the form $v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ ( $i^{\prime} t a l a^{s} n$ Koryak) (see p. 814).
koulo'qu-wa'lin (stem koulo'qi) round wi'čhıñ-v $\imath^{\prime} l ı n$ (stem $\left.w^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} h i \tilde{n}\right)$ flat
Koryak:
$\left.q o^{\prime} l o \tilde{n}-i^{\prime} t a\right]^{5} n$ (stem $q o^{\prime}$ loñ) round
vičhryiñ-i'tala $a^{\text {s }} n$ (stem vičhryiñ) flat.
In all these cases the stem takes the suffix-(I) $\tilde{n}$, which in some positions un lergues phonetic modifications; as $t a^{\prime} \tilde{n} u m-v a^{\prime} l i n$ a good one, from $t a^{\prime} \tilde{n} \pi \bar{n}-v a^{\prime} l i n$.

The stem in composition with $v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ may also take postpositions.
$\hat{e}^{\prime} m p u i n-v a^{\prime} l i n$ or $\hat{e} m p a^{\prime} q u-w a^{\prime} l m n$ (stem $i m p$ ) the one who is downeast
vich $a^{\prime} q u-w a^{\prime} l_{\text {In }}$ flat
This form frequently expresses the comparative:
$q a^{\prime} t v u m-v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ (stem qetv) the stronger one
ǐor. qa'trin-i'tala $a^{〔} n$ (stem qatv) the stronger one
qa'mja-qla' $a^{\prime} u l_{I}^{\prime}: ~ q a^{\prime} t v u m-v a^{\prime} l-\hat{e}-\breve{u} m$ I am stronger than all (the other) men
gŭm gini'k mai' Eũku-wa'l-ê-ŭm I am greater than you 92.11
The allative with $v x^{\prime} l m$ signifies possession of a quality to a slight degree.
čêutê'tu-wa'lın (stem čiut) somewhat low
tanê'tu-wa'lın (stem teñ) somewhat good, moderately good

## § 77. TO BECOME uel

The stem Chukchce nel, Kor. Kam. na? is used much more frequently in Chukchee than in Koryak. It is combined with the noun in -nu (see § 103, no. 34).
 spleen companions ${ }^{1}$ (i. e., became ye my friends)
rirka' $n \theta$ nine'ligit you have become a walrus 10.11 (also 10.8)
ginne'ku ne'ly $\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} t$ they became the quarry 12.2
$\pi e^{\prime} u s^{\circ}$ qütu genétin he became a woman 116.21
mi'mlu gene'tein it became water 101.27
$a^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}$-rkila gene'lium I became one to be pursued hard 17.6
em-gmu'n-nıki'tü nélyi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ it came to be just midnight 9.11
girgo'l gene'tinet they came to be high 9.4

ne'lirkm (Chuckee), na'likin (Kor. Kam.) he becomes, turns into
The corresponding Koryak stem is used but rarely.

[^78]The Chukchee stem $l \bar{n}$ (medial $l h$ ), Kor. Kam. l $\bar{n}$, signifies to take or have some one as something. The direct objeet is in the absolute form; the indirect object, in -nu (see \& 103, no. 34).
li'ñrkm (Chukchee), liñrykm (Kor. Kam.) you take him for gitta'p-qla'ulo mi'lhigit let me take you for a clever man gius ni'lhäqinet ne'lnit as unknown ones they had their skins (i. e., they did not know them)
pu'relu nalhiño' $a^{\varepsilon} n$ they began to have him for a slave 8.2
wa'lat ri'lhe nine'lhäqin he has knives as wings 15.2
w'yolu qinelhirkm have me as a servant 95.7
leule'wu ine'lhit ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he has me as something to be wronged 25.1
With nouns expressing emotions this verb is used throughout as indirect object, to express emotional conditions.
yei'veču li'ñrkm (Chukchee), yaira'ču liñıykin (Kor. Kam.) as one serving as (an object of) compassion you have him
 serving as laughing-stock you have him
re'qü leule'wu ge'lhüm what made me a langhing-stock? 117.19
pegéc'ग̃u ti'lhigit I have thee as an objeet of interest 15.8
pegčı' $\tilde{n} u$ ine ${ }^{\prime} l h i \ddot{c}^{\varepsilon}$ you have meddling interest in me 22.9 ; also 15.8

## §79. TO MAKE SOME ONE SOMETHING $1+i$

The stem, Chukchee $r t c ̌$ (medial té), Kor. Kam. ytč (medial $t \check{c}$ ), Kor. Par. yss (medial ss), signifies to make something into something. The direct object is in the absolute form; the indirect object, in -mu (see § 103, no. 34).
 Par.) you make him into
enqa'n vai rawku'tčıñm moo-qa'ane mitčı'rkin that here doe, one
serving as sledge train reindeer I shall make her
elqu'tkü ritčrnin he made him not standing 115.4

pai'wake ri'tčmin he rejects it 130.28

## § 80. TO HAVE SOME ONE FOR SOMETHING $r t$

The stem, Chukchee rt (medial $n t$ ), Kor. Kam. yt (medial $n t$ ) takes the direct object in the absolute case, the indirect object with the ending -mu.
ekke'nu ta'ntiäán Ri'nto I have Ri'nto as what serves as a son

It is often combined with the verbal noun of transitive verbs in -tä to express the same idea, thus forming a periphrastic expression. The verb $r t$ is referred directly to the object of the transitive verb, to the nominal form of which it is joined.
gŭmna'n c̈ini't lue ${ }^{\varepsilon} \not t a ̈$ trentr'ñnet $q a^{\prime} a t$ myself as something to look on I shall have the reindeer (i. e. I myself shall look on the reindeer)
luñ-lu'tiö m'ntüqinet not having seen they had them (i. e., they had not seen them) 11.9
riti'rkm (Chukchee), yitr'yken (Kor. Kam.) you have him for en• $e^{\prime}$ gina'n ennékï qüntri'ginet do not you carry them out 88.3
Imgêtíta nine'ntr-ŭın I have them to look after 90.36
tulée'tä nine'ntr-ŭm ora'wêdat I also treat the people as something to steal (i. e., I can steal people) 93.14
gIma'n tule'tä nine'ntI-git you steal them 93.15
em-ginrét tü nine'ntr-gIt you lay in ambush for them 93.21

## § 81. NOTES ON CERTAIN KAMCHADAL VERBS

The special verbs discussed in the preceding pages are represented in Kamchadal by a number of very irregular forms of a number of evidently related stems: čh, ck, for the present or derived forms; $l^{\prime}, l h, l k$, for the indicative and exhortative. The forms with $k$ correspond, on the whole, to the transitive forms of the paradigm on $\mathrm{pp} .744-745$, although not all the forms can be interpreted in this manner. The derived form of the intransitive form is defective, only the second person singular and the third person plural being found. The verb, when relating to objects or animals (i. e., not to persons), has forms which recall the transitive forms. Their use corresponds to the use of the Chukchee stem tra.

Kamchadal
Krma'nk čhi'jm gu'mık 'a'rkin it is (belongs) to me Kima'n l'in

Chukchee gümnín ca' $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ it was mine

Both constructions, with the locative-possessor's and with the possessive cases, are found.

The personal form is transitive, but has peculiar endings.
tcki'nin p!' $e^{\prime} k i$ I am to him (as) a son

Verbal Stem čh, ck, $\mathrm{l}^{\circ}$, lh, lk
PRESENT

|  | Intransitive TO BE | Non-personal | Personal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { MINE }}{\text { TO ME }}\}$ IT is, etc. | TO BE TO HIM (A8) A - | TO BE TO THEM (AS) A- |
| 1st sing. . . |  | kIma'n(k)čh ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} j \underline{\prime} n$ | tcki'nin | $t c k i ' p n i n$ |
| 2d sing. . . . | $\check{c} h i j \check{c}$ | $k ı n i^{\prime} n(k)$ čhi'jın | cki'nin | cki'pmin |
| 3d sing. . . . | - | Ena' ${ }^{\prime}(k)$ čhi'jkincn | $c^{\text {c }}$ in | cki'pnin |
| 1st pl. . . . |  |  | ncki'nin | ncki'pnin |
| 2d pl. . . . |  | $t r^{\prime} \mathrm{j} h \mathrm{in}(k)$ çhi'jkissin | cis $x$ | c*isx |
| $3 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{pl} . .$. | chhijcis ${ }_{n}$ | t.ci'in(k) čhi'jkipnin | $c^{*}$ in | cki'pnin |

PAST

| 1st sing. . . | $t t^{\prime} i k$ | $k I m a^{\prime} n(k)$ l'in | tlki'nin | tlki'pnin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2d sing. . . . | $l$ 'ič | $k I m i^{\prime} n(k)$ lhin | $l k i^{\prime} n i n$ | $l k i^{\prime} p n i n$ |
| 3d sing. . . | $l i c$ | Ena'n(k) li $i^{\prime}$ nin | lhin | $l k i^{\prime} p n i n$ |
| 1st pl. . . . . | $n l^{\prime}$ ik | mr $r^{\prime} j h i n(k) l^{\prime}$ in | nlki'nin | $n l k i^{\prime} p$ nin |
| 2d pI. . . . | l'ic. | tI'jhin (k) lkI'sxIm | l'is. | lisx |
| 3d H. | $l{ }^{\prime} \check{c} i^{\varepsilon} n$ | txi'in(k) l'ki'pnin | lhis | $l k i^{\prime} p n i n$ |

EXHORTATIVE (ALSO FUTINT)

| 1st sing. | $m l^{\prime} i k$ |  | miki'nin | mlki'pmin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2d sing. . . . | $k l^{r}$ isč |  | lalki'nin | klki'pnin |
| 3d sing. . . . | $x \cdot a n l^{\prime} i^{\prime} h \mathrm{ln}$ | Eno.'n( $k$ ) $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ (lnlki'nin | r.anlhi'n | x-anlki'pnin |
| 1st pl. . . . . | mInIl'k |  | manlki'nin | mınlki'pnin |
| 2 d pl . . . . | kl'icx |  | $k l^{\prime}$ is. $x$ | klisx |
| 3d pl. . . . | xanl ${ }^{\prime}$ 'hIn | txi'in (k) x ${ }^{\prime}$ anlki'unin | $x$ anlhi'n | $x \cdot a n l k i ' p n i n$ |

## Verbal Stem le

The auxiliary verb le to becone has also an intransitive and a personal transitive form, like the last stem.
tlejk I become something
tle'jkipnin I become something for them

## Verbal stem si

The stem $s I$ to be lacks the present, but has otherwise regular intransitive forms.
sıč you were

## Verbal Stem is, il

The stem $\bar{\tau} r, \bar{\imath} l$ corresponds to the Chukchee $l \tilde{n}$-, and $r t$-. It is used often with the nominalized verb 2,3 (see p. 748). With the intransitive verb it has intransitive forms, while the corresponding Chukchee verbs are always transitive.
xë k!!̈'lki milk not coming I will be
älxtalka tis.jhinn I like thee (älixtalka modalis of lining; ( $t$ - I; $\bar{i} s$ stem; -j- present; -hin thee); compare Chukchee älhu$t_{\text {th }}$ lhrkmi'git (älhu as object of liking: $t$ - I: lh- to have for--; -rkm present: -igit thee)
$l^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ mal $m{ }^{-1} l i n$ I will kill him ( $l^{\prime} a^{\prime} m a l$ to killing: $m$ - let me; $\bar{i} l$ stem; -in him); compare Chukchee am-tma' míntiö́s (ammerely; tm- to kill; -a modalis; $m$ - let me; -nt medial stem; $\left.-i^{s} n \mathrm{him}\right)$

## Verbal Stem issi

This stem corresponds to Chukchee $t \tilde{c}_{-}$, Kor. Kam. $s \times I^{-}$, and expresses nearly the same idea as the last verb.
qam ke'jkek ti'ssilim I do not accept you (qam not; kej to accept; -kek negative ending; $t$ - I; issI stem; -hin thee) compare Chukchee ehn-eímithäa títčrgıt (ehn-kä negation; ei'mit to take; $t$ - I; té stem; -ggit thee)

## Verbal Stem tel

The stem $t e$ ? has a meaning similar to the last two, but expresses prolonged action. It follows Type II of the transitive verbs.
xtel tite' $l_{I} \tilde{n}_{n} n$ I came to fear him (ixtel fear; $t$ - I; tel stem; - $j$ present; - $\tilde{n}_{I} n$ [I]-him)

## THE PERSONAL TRANSITIVE FORMS.

A number of intransitive verbs have forms analogous to the personal transitive of the auxiliary verb (p. 767), which are used to express relations to a personal object.
tvetathơju'jłipmên I am busying myself on their behalf ( $t$ - I; vetat to be busy: -köju inchoative; $-j$ - present; -kıpnên see p. 767.
$i^{i}$ s.c trit in no'nul' intrlitköjujkrpni'n they always bring food to their father ( $i^{\varepsilon} s, x$ father; twis in their; no'mul modalis, with food: intrl to bring; -t durative; $-k$ öju inchoative; $-j$ present; kipmin as before)
The Chukchee sentence
tu'mqitum $e^{\prime}$ če muwi'ḯs $n$ will cook fat for my companion (tu'mgitum absolute form, companion; $e^{\prime}$ če modalis; with
 to this (see p. 741 ).

## § 89. Prealicative Forms of Pronouns and of Numerals

Indefinite (interrogative) pronouns and numerals are frequently used in predicative form, and take all verbal forms. They may also take verbal affixes, but of these only a few are in frequent use.

| Chukchee Koryak Kamenskoye |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| req | $y^{\prime} q^{1}$ | What |
| reéqürkın (req +rkm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ya'qikin }{ }^{1}(y a q+i k n u) \\ & \text { ya'qIykrn Kor. } 28.10 \end{aligned}$ | what do you do, want? |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { rimeréurqin } \quad(r i-e u \\ & \text { to cause }) \end{aligned}$ | e yıyaqa'wikm | what do you make him do? |
| raqiano'rkm (- $\tilde{n} \pi n o$ to begin) | yagñı $o^{\prime}$ īk | what do you begin to want? (expressive of annoyance) |
| raqičña'tı ${ }^{\prime} k$ In (-čñat annoyance) | $t$ yaqıčna'tekin | what do you want? (expression of strong annoyance) |
| nike | nika Kor. 80.9 | SOMETHING |
| nike ${ }^{\prime} r k i n$ | nika'ikm | you do a certain thing |
| rınike'rurkm | nika'utkIn | you make him do a certain thing |
| nireq | niyeq | TWO |
| nireqe'urkin | niyeqr'wikin | you are the second |
| $m I^{\prime} L^{\prime} \tilde{n}$ ên | $m 1^{\prime}$ LIñcn | Five |
| mıLInkau'kin | milinka'wekin | you are the fifth |
| Here belongs also |  |  |
| terke'urkin | ta ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ika'wikin | what number in the series are you? |

Koryak:

yaqlaikme'tik what are you doing Kor. 24.8
gaya'qlinat what happened to them Kor. 30.9
niya'qi-gi what is the matter with thee Kor. 39.5
The predicative numerals are freely compounded with other verbs. ğmmni'n ékik kitu'r mingitka'wkwè (Chukchee) my son last year ten reached (gŭmni'n my; e'kik son; kitu'r last year; mingit ten -keu verbal suffix of numerals; get 3 d sing.)
$k_{i u} k^{\prime} k_{\text {I }} t_{\text {milink }}{ }^{\prime} w k a^{\kappa} k$ I staved there five nights (kiu'kI passing nights; $t$ - I; milin five; -keu verbal suffix of numerals; -gäsk 1st sing.)

## KAMCHADAL

The indefinite (interrogative) pronouns of Kamchadal occur also in predicative form. At present only a few forms of the present tense are used.

Enka'nejx what are you (sing.) loing?
Enka'nejcx what are you (pl.) doing?
lajč how are you (sing.)?
la'jč $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} n$ how are they ?
sxuzijč you (sing.) do a certain thing

live ( $\tilde{n} u^{\varepsilon} n$ there; $\operatorname{sxu} u^{\prime} s i j c c^{\prime} n$ they do a certain thing; - $a^{\varepsilon} n$ plural )
The use of pronouns or pronominal adverbs is much more common, perhaps due to Russian influence.

Enka'nkê $k!\ddot{\partial} k$ for what do you come?
lact cunlje how do you live?
Dentences which contain the verbalized and the nonverbal pronoun also occur, and are probably the result of a mixture of Kamchadal and Russian syntax.

Enka'j krmina te'nijin what now have I done to him?
$E^{\prime} n k a j E^{\prime} n i n$ what now has he done to him?

We find even the following compound of the pronoun with allative post-position and verb:

There are also two demonstrative verbs:

| tea here | te'a-sijk here I am |
| :--- | :--- |
| hei look here! | he'yssijk here I am (close to the |
|  | person addressed) |

Both contain the auxiliary verb $s_{I}$ (see p. 767).

## § 83-90. Syntactic Use of Tenses and Modes

## §83. Declarative Mode

Declarative forms of the simple, derived, and nominalized forms are used to express the predicate in declarative and interrogative sentences.

Simple forms:
$\tilde{n} a w a n \hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I} i^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ he said to his wife 83.23
gi'thon $l u^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ nin he saw a lake 37.t
nínqäí ra'nmŭgnên she will kill the child 37.14
ralaulawa' trinoa $^{\varepsilon}$ thou wilt do wrong 21.5
$k u w i^{\prime} c ̌ m ~ t r e e^{\epsilon} t y \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ I brought children's death 20.1
Derived forms:
$m_{I} c^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n n g u m g e^{\prime} e r k m$ we are terrified 63.4
tinqäéřkm I refuse 19.7
$\iota_{I}{ }^{\prime} m q u k$ pêláarkin some are leaving 8.9
Nominalized forms :
näq$q^{\varepsilon} \ddot{a} l i l e^{\prime} t q i n ~ r e ́ m k I n$ the people were at war 97.23
$n r e^{\prime} s$ 'qiuqin $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u s^{\prime} q \ddot{a} t$ the woman entered 63.3
čêq-a'lvam-va'lI-te'rê ye are quite strange 63.4
$e v i^{\prime \prime} r a ̈$ getule' Leet they have stolen clothing 13.6
eler $e^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ dost thou feel lonesome? 96.2
Examples of interrogative sentences are-
Simple forms :
eneñitvi' $i^{\varepsilon}$ hast thou become a shamin? 18.4
ménko $p^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n t_{I} \eta a ̈ t t I k$ whence did ye appear? 74.21
$m i^{\prime} \tilde{n} k_{I-m} r a^{\prime} t v a a^{\varepsilon}$ where wilt thou live? 108.25
Derived forms:
réqär $k=m$ what are you? 18.9
$r^{\prime} q^{\prime} \ddot{a} t_{I m}{ }^{\prime} r^{\prime} k_{m} \hat{e} n$ what has killed him? 23.5
Nominalized forms :
$m i^{\prime} \tilde{n} k י i$ gewkwe't-i-gIt where have you gone?
$m i^{\prime} \tilde{n} k r i n I^{\prime} t v a q e ̂ n$ how is he? 13.10
re' $q$-i-gIt what do you want? 18.12
gei'cemit-tu'mgI-gIr hast thou brothers? 99.18
Koryak:
Simple forms:
$\tilde{n} a w a^{\prime} k a k$ naya'tin they brought the daughter Kor. 86.20
tapka'vik olñaqa'tik I could not strangle myself Kor. 35.2
t1̆yayı' ${ }^{\prime} q a t i n ̃ 1$ shall sleep Kor. 31.8
trqa' payuk I killed a wolverene Kor. 59.1
Mitínak ena'nme, enapa'te Miti has killed me and cooked me Kor. 96.7

Derived forms:
tigitta'tiykin I am hungry Kor. 35.5
Eñ̃a $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ an Amamqu'tinu vañvolai'ke thus Enne'mqut and his people were living Kor. 45.5
penye'krnen talai'vik he rushed at it to strike it Kor. 53.3
milu'ykininau she was looking for lice Kor. 59.4
pelhañ̃ıvolai'ke ther began to have nothing to eat Kor. 95.17

Nominalized forms:
va' $a^{\prime} y u k$ gay, $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ olen vai'amn ${ }^{\prime}$ agu then they found a large river* Kol. 21.3
gracaskali'lin u'ü'tku they painted her face with coal Kor. 31.9 gaqquika'mukuta gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} l-m u^{\prime} y u$ we came to be with (to have) a small kamak Kor. 37.4
गu'cürn nenanyopañ̃ıo'qenau outside they were hung up Kor. 60.9
 Kor. 61.3
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n o$ nitmma'tqen that one is telling lies Kor. 62.3
In the indefinite nominalized predicate the subject pronoun may be repeated to emphasize the question.
geet-tu'ri tu'ri have you come?
Impersonal verbs do not differ from the ordinary intransitive verbs.
iléerkrm (Kor. Kam. muqa'tikın; Kamchadal čxu'jın or čxujč) it is raining
ile'tyí (Kor. Kam. muqa'thí ; Kamchadal čaun) it has been raining
lä́seuru' $i^{\varepsilon}$ winter came 14.9
čelhiro' $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ it becomes red 23.9

## § 84. Tenses

Tenses are not clearly distinguished. The declarative form of the verb, unless modified by the future prefix, is used to express a past action, although cases occur in which only a present can be meant.
$t_{\text {Iq }}$ êwgañno'a $a^{\varepsilon} k$ I begin to be called 94.31
In Koryak the declarative form is rarely used in narrative, while it is in common use in direct discourse.
mai, ya'ti halloo, have you come? Kor. 68.12
Valvi'mtılas ${ }^{s} I^{\prime} n m i n$ I killed Raven-Men Kor. 20.5
In Chukchee its use in narrative is very common.
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ n i k i^{\prime} r u i^{ \pm}$then night came 36.12
lu'ur wêthau'no $\hat{e}^{s}$ then he began to speak 31.11
The derivative is generally used to express a present continued action, but it occurs also frequently in narrative. This use is more frequent in Koryak than in Chuckchee (see § 87).

The nominalized verb (a) expresses a continuative regardless of time. When coordinated with another verb it expresses contempo-
raneity (see § 88). The nominalized verb (b) is used commonly in narrative to express the progress of an action. When coordinated with another verb, it expresses an antecedent (see § 88).

The future is formed by the prefix re- and the correlative pronominal forms. Quite commonly the future is given the form of an exhortative.

## §85. The Subjunctive

The subjunctive (a) and (b) are, the former an exhortative form, the latter the form used in conditional and other subordinate clauses. The former is frequently used for expressing the future, particularly when it implies the idea of intention.
Subjunctive (a):
newálomgasn let him know
va'nsvan nuwa'lomgas $n$ he would not hear any thing
mexkwe'tyä́k let me depart 17.10
mılımala' ${ }^{\prime} \tilde{n} o a^{5} k$ let me begin to obey 21.4
minranto'mik let us leave the town 56.8
nıyI'lqütyä̈s $n$ let him sleep 9.1
nïáatraán let her be cast away 39.3
$m i^{\prime}$ 'ilhwr let me give thee 15.13
mimata'gir let me marry thee 77.1
minlete'titk let us carry you away 74.15
$\tilde{n}_{n} r^{\prime} q$ ya'rat ea'nêcan $\ddot{a}^{s} n l n^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ net three houses, not at all they could see them 61.10
$\tilde{n} e u w i^{\prime} r^{i t} a^{\varepsilon} n e i^{\prime} m i t y \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ she would take the soul of the woman 37.11

Koryak:
minyaitrla'mik let us go home! Kor. 26.5
nayanva'n $\tilde{n}_{m i n}$ let them skin it! Kor. 26.10
mintlqula'mik let us go! Kor. 28.5
$\left.m_{I} k_{1}\right)_{l_{s}}$ qewla'trk I will stun them with blows Kor. 29.7
ya'qu-yak quwai'mutm $u^{\varepsilon} n t a i^{\prime} k r-g i$ into what desirest thou one should make thee! Kor. $38 . \frac{1}{4}$

Subjunctive ( $b$ ) does not appear very often in the texts.
 we should give yon reindeer
enqa'n ni $\boldsymbol{I}^{\varepsilon}$ gite'nin, $n u^{\varepsilon} w i^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ if she should look upon that one, she would die 37.12
ia'm leule' $w_{t} t_{I^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} h_{I^{\prime}} a^{\varepsilon} n$ why should I harm her ? 38.12
va'nevan nute's ${ }^{\prime} \not a^{\prime} n m^{\varepsilon} y v^{\varepsilon} n \hat{e} n$ he would not at all reach the ground
enqa'n ora'wêṭat êcera ns ${ }^{s} t v a^{\prime} n u t, n I^{\varepsilon}$ evitkui'vunet viu'ta if the men had stayed on the surface, the whalebone would have cut them down 6S. 26 (enqu'n that; ora'wêdan man; -tva to remain; $n I^{\varepsilon}$-for full form nanı ${ }^{\varepsilon}$-; čvi- то CUT; -tku suffix all; -̌̌v suffix great quantity; wiut wialebone; - $a$ subjective)
citê'un im gŭmna'n wu'tku tín $n \check{r^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} q \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} n$ if only I could keep it R 45.21
citếun linta'raga memılqa'a néna'lpinřıe $e^{\varepsilon}$ if only good luck wouid give me seals R 46.42
čité'un về $w g e ̂ n t o{ }^{\prime} \hat{e}^{\epsilon}$ in order that he should give up his breath R 49.15
ekeña'n gŭmna'n $t I^{\varepsilon} p i^{\prime} r e a^{s} n$ I wish I would (rather) take it
Koryak:
$m e^{\prime} \tilde{n} q q^{n} n^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} r^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ an how could she be? Kor. 34.12
nanis' win one might say Kor. 25.2

## § 86. The Imperative

The imperative expresses command, but also the idea of obligatory future.
nota's ${ }^{q} \hat{e} t ı q u a ̆ c ̌ ı p e ' t y e^{\varepsilon}$ into the ground plunge! 17.2
qineti'nuie haul me up! 131.22
nélvŭl qugtr'gitkı bring ye the herd! 129.19
qinilhe'tyithi lower ye me! 131.15
Koryak:
appa', qakya'wgI grandfather, get up! Kor. 31.9
quwa' ${ }^{\text {ñl }}$ lat open your mouth! Kor. 34. 7
qa'lqathi go away! Kor. 35.3
ne'nako qryo ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ oge ćcuča'me then you will find an old woman Kor כ̆1.1
Quyqimn'aqu'nak qryaipıla'tik live ye with Big-Raven! Kor. 62.2 quato'tik go se outside! Kor. 74.12
qi'wgutča tell ye him! 74.20

## § 87. Derived Forms

The derived forms express continued action. For this reason they are found most frequently in direct discourse when a continuous condition is described.
$i a^{\prime} m$ têrga'arkin why art thou weeping? 48.12
gŭm ñéulk trle'rkin I am walking about to get a wife 57.2
mithitte'urkm we are hungry 70.24
kele'tä nayo ${ }^{\text {E' }} \boldsymbol{r k m}$ - $\hat{e}-$-git $t$ the kele are visiting thee 52.4
$\$ \$ 86,87$

## Koryak-

mitıpa ${ }^{\text {elaiaikrnen }}$ we are thirsty Kor. 16.9
yaqlaikine'tık what are you doing? Kor. 24.8
kokaivilai'ke they are cooking it Kor. 27.4
$t_{1} t^{\varepsilon}$ ! liykin I am feeling unwell Kor. 84.26
In Chukchee the derived forms are not often used in narrative as an historical present, while in Koryak this use is quite common.
temyu'nırrkin eLa' she was deceiving her mother 29.2
pŭkirgiॄ. Aiwana'c̆hin ŭm nıc̆vi'tkurkın re ${ }^{\varepsilon} w$. He arrived. The
Aiwan was cutting up the whale 46.10
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ y \hat{e ́}^{\prime} g_{I} c{ }^{c} h{ }_{I} n$ rinřa'rkinin he was carrying about a walrus penis 67.10
See also $8.1,9 ; 9.7,8 ; 16.3$
qolê-tke'unvuk ewkwe'erkıt, evi'rä getule'Leet. E'mmen ewkwe'tyä́st
during another night they were about to leave, having stolen
clothing. Then they left (qul another; thiu to pass the night;
-nv place of [ 8 109, 50], - $k$ locative; ewkwet to leave;
evirit clothes; - $\ddot{a}$ subjective [8 37]; tulet to steal; getule' Leet < ge-tulet-linet) 13.5
Koryak-
gaimañ̄ıvo'ykin he had a desire Kor. 12.2
ḷ̆umneua' ykın she was following Kor. 23.3
vañolai'ke they were beginning (and continued) to live Kor. 43.7
nanoño'ykinenau they were beginning to consume them Kor. 42.7

This form is used even when it is difficult to conceive of the action as continued:
$\tilde{n}_{1} t^{\prime} y k{ }^{\prime} n$ he went out Kor. 12.5; 72.15
$\tilde{n}_{\text {Ivo'ykin }}$ she began Kor. 72.16
yalqı' wikin he entered Kor. 13.9
newnivo'ykinenat they began to say to both of them Kor. 12.7
A habitual action is expressed in the following example:
 one are the little game-procurers killed (i. e. he is in the habit of killing the hunters) 44.8
With the imperative the derived forms express a continued condition, or a repeated action.
ilu'kä qatva'rkrn stay without motion! 57.3
qaicalponaurkmêtk you will hit (the children) upon the head 69.32
quwalo' mırkin listen! 32.1
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## Koryak-

aqalhai'aka qitı'ykin-i' -gi do not cry! (not be without crying) Kor. 37.1
The derived forms in the future are sometimes used to express a remote future.

> miqami'tcuak I shall cat now
> miqaimiteurkin I shall eat later on (perhaps to-morrow)
> inenreqeurkini'tik what are you going to do with me! 10.10 $t_{I^{\prime}}$ gtirkm I am going to bring it 57.4

## Koryak-

tryanto'ykin I shall go out Kor. 14.5
$t_{\text {tr }} y$ anlannıvo'ykin I shall begin to feel smoky Kor. 37.10
In some cases it seems to express a repeated or customary action. trêrêvilitku'ñorkin I shall (occupy myself with) selling R. 46.43 The exhortative of the derived forms is used like the future. minqami'tvarkin let us continue to eat! 65.4
miniwkurkni'git let us tie thee! 20.9

## § 88 Nominalized Verb (a)

The nominalized form (a) of the verb, ne-qin expresses the condition of an object or a person, or the condition of performing an action. Its use is not confined to intransitive verbs which in this form often have the meaning of an attributive term (see p. 713), but it is also used with transitive verbs. When the noun to which the nominalized verb stands in an attributive relation is the subject of a clause, the nominalized verb often indicates that the two verbs express contemporaneous conditions or actions and may be translated by the conjunction while. Examples of the attributive use of this form have been given on p. 713. Additional examples are the following:
$u^{\prime} n e l v a^{\prime} r k i n n=e^{\prime} y \in \pi q i n$ (there) is a large thong-seal 70.7

Koryak:
nepplu'qin a small one Kor. 15.2
gatai'kılin nima'yinqin he made it (one that is) large Kor. 15.4 nima'yinqin nai'nai the largest mountain Kor. 42.2
Examples in which the form (a) has a predicative meaning are more numerous.
qača'ken lés ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ qač nu'uqin, qol ŭm ničé Loqêen $l_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} q^{\prime} q a \check{c}$ one-half was black, the other half was red 88.15,16
niu'läqin it was long 91.24
yara' $^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I}$ nite' $\tilde{n} q i n$ the house is good 92.9
$m i^{\prime} n k r i n_{1}^{\prime} t v a q e ̂ n$ how (of what kind) are they 13.10
wu'tku nitvai'-gir you are staying here 7.5
$n_{I} g i^{\prime} n_{q i n} m_{\theta} r \hat{e} q a^{\prime} g t_{I}$ they are eager for us 8.9
inpinači'yñın neiñétqin the old man was the one who called out 86.13
ninei'mitqin $u^{\prime} n e l t i$ he was taking thong-seals 67.18
Koryak:
$n_{I} l_{I} h_{\text {K }}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{l}^{\prime}$ qin it is watchful Kor. 39.9
nıma'lqin it is good Kor. 64.24
nanñıčvina'w-ğum I am angry Kor. 31.2
$n_{1} t_{n} \tilde{n} p u v a q a^{\prime} t q e n ~ s h e ~ i s ~ o n e ~ w h o ~ i s ~ s t r i d i n g ~ a n d ~ p e c k i n g ~ K o r . ~$ 47.11

Tanno nigala'qenau the Chukchee were the ones who passed by Kor. 66,12.
Examples of relative clauses:
inpıñawqa'g̣čının . . . pako'lč̌ıñ̃n nine'nřaqin it was an old woman . . . who was carrying a butcher knife 85.2(),21
 kelet 52.4
yara'qai . . . kele'tä̈ nêna'yóq qên it was a small house . . . which was visited by the kelet 51.9
Koryak:
vel! 'lñu nenataikıño'qenuu (those are) thimbles that had been made Kor. 60.8,9
Miti'nak nenaaiñawñro'qen; $e^{\prime}$ wañ it was Miti who called him she said Kor. 74.29
niqalhai'aqen he was the one who was crying Kor. 37.1
ninnipñIvo'qen they were the ones who began to keep it Kor. 41.9

Examples of temporal coordination:
$t_{1}^{\prime} t t_{I} l$ nênarkıp̌̌eu'qên, $E^{\prime} n k \cdot i n e^{\prime} w a ̈ n ~ u r e^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ (at the time) when he pushed the entrance, then the wife appeared $53.5,6$
$q a ̈ n \cdot v e ́ r ~ n ̃ i ' n q a ̈ i ~ n ı t e ̂ ' r g ı l a t q e ̂ n, ~ q a ̈ n \cdot v e ́ r ~ i ' w k w i e ~ u w a a^{\prime} q u c ̌ ~ w h e n ~$ the child cried, the husband said 38.3
qaia'qañ e'ur neimeu'qin, êvkurya nıginteu'qin whenever he was coming near, again he fled (was in flight) 66.14
nıtınpıčè't tqênat . . . $\quad n \cdot q a^{\prime} m$. . . qolê-ra'gtı quä'tyä́st while they were stabbing them . . : then . . . to another house he went 12.9, 10

Koryak:
nenavos $n v o-m u^{\prime} y u e^{s}$ 'en ganu'linau when we find them they have been eaten Kor. 59.9, 10
$m^{\prime}$ mla ninu'qen, qalñe-key gate'wlalen when a louse bit (ate) her, then they shook her combination suit Kor. 76.4,5
On the whole the forms in ne-qin are used much more frequently in Chukehee than in Koryak, in which dialect the progress of the narrative is more prominent.

## § 89. Nominalized Verb (b)

The nominalized verb (b) ga-lin expresses a completed transition and may often be translated by to have become.
ya'rat qaño'tweslen she was one who had (become poor), R 45.22
no ${ }^{\prime}$ č-e-um gene'l-i-um poor (I) I had become R 45.28
Koryak:
gama'?alin it had grown better, Kor. 14.11
gaqi'tilinau they had became frozen Kor. 14.3
In narrative it expresses the progress of action, but apparently not with the same intensity as the verbal forms.
gamni'n pe'nin-ñe'w gêwí ${ }^{\prime}$ lin my former wife died R.45.29.
yicemre'tti gettwile' Linet a company of brothers went to sea in a boat 64.3
$e^{\prime} u r$ girgironta'lên $a^{\varepsilon}$ ttuıllä then day broke while the boat's crew was approaching 10.9
Koryak:
va $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ yak gaya'?quwlin afterwards he entered Kor. 14.1 ga'lqauin he went Kor. 16.3
In a number of eases it clearly expresses temporal sequence.
$e^{\prime} n m e n$ gequ'pqäntexin $i^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ after she was quite starved she said 39.1
Ai'wan-a'kkata ñi'lhın gečheiulu'ulin a'̃̃qa-co'rmI, Enřa'q ŭm ní'lhın getinus qiče'tin e'ur ŭm nêchêtau'qên mêmlề'tı the Aiwan's son had hidden a thong on the sea-shore, then (when) he had tugged the thong, then he made him fall into the water 48.3-5
grtte'ulft ŭm ñan, ki'pu-ri'ṭu nelué ${ }^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ after they had become hungry they saw a whale carcass 65.1
gaa'lomlêer $n, i^{\prime} w k w i^{s}$ after he had heard it he said 56.12
ganto's'qêulên e'ur enqa'n . . . ninerkile' qin after he had rushed out he was being pursued 57.11

Koryak:
miti'w gečha'ụen enka'ta trlai'vıkın ñe ṭa next day, after it had dawned, at that place a herd was walking Kor. 21.8
With nouns the form $g a$-lin expresses possession (see p. 712.)

## § O. Negative Forms

Negative forms are partly expressed by adverbs with the ordinary forms of the verb, partly by the derivative in $e-k \ddot{d}$, which is either nominal or forms nominal predicative terms. The particles which may take the ordinary verbal forms are-
va'nêvan not at all (see p. 882)
$q a^{\prime} r e \hat{e} m$ always with the future or exhortative (see p. 882)
$\varepsilon a^{\prime}$ mam always with the future (see p. 883)
See also $e^{\prime} L e$, en $\tilde{n} e$, ui' $\tilde{n} \ddot{a}$ (p. 883).
The forms in e-käa and in egn-will be found discussed on pp. 818, 821 et seq.

In Kamchadal the negative is expressed by the derivative in $x \cdot \ddot{e}--k i$ for intransitive verbs, $x \cdot \ddot{e}-k i c$ (see p. 826) for transitive verbs. These are nominal in character. Predicative terms are formed by means of auxiliary verbs.
$x \cdot \ddot{e} n u^{\prime k i} m l i k$ I will not eat


## §§91-94. Syntactic Use of Nominal Forms

## §91. The Absolute Form

The absolute form of the noun and pronoun is used to express the subject of the intransitive verb, and the object of the transitive verb. Independent pronoms may be added to the verb in this form for the purpose of emphasis.

Subject of intransitive verb:

[^79]Koryak:
attas yol-ya $a^{\varepsilon} m k n \tilde{n}$ gulai'añcolen people (from) down the coast walked about Kior. 41.1
Ama'mqut éwent Eme'mqut said Kor. 40.7
r $^{\prime} n p x-q$ ? $a^{\prime}$ wu? gewnuro'? on the old man said Kor. 47.1
nalcrla'n'eqqu. . . galañor'ykern a great herd began to pass Kor. 51.9
nu'wis $q$ qat va'yken a woman was there Kor. 52.1
Object of transitive verb:
u'ttent $t_{I} c c^{\prime} i^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut wood
yo'nên luntr'yñn she pushed the big head into it 28.6
uwi'lken qätei’kıgın make a woodpile! 31.12
wŭ'rgirgin walo'minñonên she heard a noise 32.10
kokni'nm yire'mnin she filled the kettle 33.10
nenwi'rit "位nei'mityäd $n$ she would take the woman's soul 37.11
mač-êưa (a'n titru'rkem I tell it as an incantation 39.13
$u^{\prime} t t u$ et nénpǔü̈s $n$ they stuck a stick into the ground 40.9
Koryak:

gaqa'yrčulin lo $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ ! $!q a ?$ he chopped up the face Kor. 53.6
yai-mi'mič gayóolen she put into it a small louse Kor. 55.1

Eni'n kmi'ñpil gaqulumtilin he carries his son Kor. 57.9
The absolute form is also used for the indirect object.
tu'mgrtum muwi'än let me cook for (my) friend
!̣̆mni'n ékik keli'tulä mi'lpımřrgan I will give money to my son

## \$ 9\%. The Subjectice

The subjective expresses the subject of transitive verbs.
wo'tqan $\tilde{n} a n a^{\prime} a c ̌ e ̂ k ~ E n I^{\prime} k-E L I^{\prime} g \ddot{a} k I^{\prime} p l_{m} \hat{e n}^{\prime} n$ the father struck this, roung man (wo'tqun this; च̃am here; a'ačể youth; Eni'k subjective third person singular pronoun; eli'gin father; rkpl to strike; -nin he-him)
imila rémkiä napê'laán ni'mnim, the whole people left the camp čo'urgin tiso'mnên kele'tä the kele opened the door-flap 106.16 kite 'yuta "unin the old walrus said to him 8.7
Aiwhny(tnpma'čha prnlo'nênat a St. Lawrence old man asked them 13.9
Ta'n $n \cdot \tilde{n} a$ guios ${ }^{s}$ laat the Ta'n ñtt attacked them 97.25
mergina'n me'rêg-ra'k néwänti gi'wä we in our houses to our wives say 84.16
§ 92.

Koryak:
atta $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ yol-ya' ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} m k a$ gava'lomlen the people down the coast heard it Kor. 39.7
Amamqu'tinak u'ttr-yu' $\tilde{n} I$ gatai'krlin Eme'mqut made a wooden whale Kor. 40.5
iṭa $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ ga ini'wi mother told me Kor. 46.1
an $a^{i}$ nak ini ${ }^{\prime}$ wi grandmother ${ }^{1}$ told me Kor. 46.2
$I^{\prime} n p I-q$ ?a'wula gai'ṭịin $k_{1}{ }^{\prime} p l a u$ the old man gave him mortars Kor. 51.5
kmi'na gama'talen the son married her Kor. 80.1
mi'mla nunuqin the louse ate her Kor. 76.4.
gŭmna'n ñawa'kak tayai'? $\mathfrak{n} I n$ I will give (him) the daughter Kor. 12.3
In passive constructions with -ine, the actor is expressed by the subjective form.

Ta'n'na nini'uqin she was told by the Ta'n'ñt 98.8
The subjective is used with some transitive verbs to express the object with which something is done to some one. In these cases the person to which something is done is given the absolute form. Such verbs are -(l)piňr to give, ónti to refuse.
gŭmnín ékrk kelütuläa mílpınřıgán I presented my son with money
teki'čhä̈ qêna'lpiňr rgêe ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I present thee with meat
This form is especially used when an intransitive verb is made transitive
tu'mgitum $e^{\prime}$ če muwi'ä ${ }^{\text {es }} n$ I shall cook for (my) companion (with) fat
qla'ulqui riqamitva'urkinên tenm'netä he made the little man eat (with) a shellfish 9.8
In Kamchadal also the subjective form is used with transitive rerbs to express the object that is used in the performance of the action expressed by the verb.
he'ulil' xkoka-ju'jcx (with) a tish-head cook! compare Chukchee E'nni-leu'tä quwi'tık
The subjective is used to express instrumentality and modality.
añqa'ta leule'wu ge'lhi-mu'ri by the sea we were badly treated 65.27

$\hat{e ́}^{\prime} t i n y y_{k} r^{\prime} r g a ~ p t^{\prime} r i n i n$ it took its master with the mouth 104.33
qrilu'tkui vala'ta move about with the knives! 16.4
$m u^{\prime} L \ddot{a}$ gakañoi'pülên with blood he is besmeared 19.3

Koryak:
va' ${ }^{\text {G }}$ ga tyančma' wirkmiñm I shall tear him with (my) nails Kor. 84.16
tui-ñ'? $\tilde{n} a$ gata'kyrlin they throw (it) with the harpoon line Kor. $\not 1.3$
ma'qmita tuva'mmontatik I lost a tooth by means of an arrow Kor. 33.1
$a^{\varepsilon} l^{\prime}$ 'ta awyeñoo'ykm he eats (with) excrements Kor. 12.5
ai'kIpa gapi'wyalin she threw abont (with) fly-eggs Kor. 45.2 rala'ta gaqa'yIculin he chopped it up with a knife Kor. 53.6 vai'cíca qutha' ai they two went on foot Kor. 22.8

## §93. Locative, Allative, and Ablative.

The locative expresses the place where something is or happens.
Eotta'gnik in the outer tent 52.7
$r^{\prime} c_{E} n \cdot k_{I}$ nitva'qên it stays on the other shore 52.11
enno'tkinte tara'ngast they pitched their tent on the slope of a hill 56.9
Telqü'pik . . . geke'त̃lit in the Telqii'p country they were driving reindeer 61.8
em- $\tilde{n} i^{\prime} l$ lhin nuwotrtva'qên $t_{I}^{\prime} m k r k$ only the thong remained tied to the hummock 62.7
néwänık pêla'nên he left him with the wife 105.7
re'mkik oratea'e he stayed long with the people 54.2
$g_{I n I}{ }^{\prime} k$ čauču géeçin a reindeer-breeder came to thee 46.11
Koryak:
$i^{\prime} y a^{\mathrm{s}} g$ ga'plin to the sky it was fastened Kor. 19.3
gŭmini'n ya'yok valui'ke my things are in the house Kor. 19.9
gŭ'mma $a^{\prime} \tilde{n} q u k$ ti'yak I hit (on) the sea Kor. 26.2
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n ı k o ~ v a ' a m ı k$ yiwgıcitta there in the river have a drink! Kor. 32.1
gala'lin va'amik he arrived at the river Kor. 32.2
gawga'len ena'tik he was canght in the snare Kor. 36.6
The allative expresses-

1. The direction toward.
$a^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}-k a m a a n v e^{\prime} t_{I}$ nine $e^{\prime} i-i-u u_{n}$ I give them to the possessors of bad dishes 96.7
kala'gtı quinéuthurkin call to your kele 102.5
kala'gtı nıpênrıı̌̌ét tqên it rushed at the kele 104.25
cei'vutku's nimnme'ti he went to a camp 105.5
notas' ${ }^{\prime}$ ačırkou'tı ničipe'tqin he dives into the interior of the surface of the ground 131.7
Tñairgê'ti, grigola'gtı nuwêthau'qên he talks to the Dawn, the One on High 135.16

Koryak:
ga'lqatin e $e^{8} e^{\prime} t r$ he went to the sky Kor. 14.9
$e^{E} e^{\prime} t r \tilde{n}$ gani'ñlalin he threw it to the sky Kor. 14.10; 15.7
qalte'nñın ganqu'lin yayačikoi't ${ }^{n}$ n the stopper was thrust out into the house-interior Kor. 15.2
panenai'tuñ gayi'ñalin to the same place he flew Kor. 15.5
yaite't ga'? lautin he went to the house Kor. 17.3
gata'wañlenat Qoyqunn'aqoyrkai'tr they moved to Big-Raven's Kor. 19.9
2. For, on account of.
qaa'gti gilo'lèn sorrowful on account of the reindeer 48.12
uvaquoč'g gt gilo'rkin do you sorrow on account of the husband 48.12
nulvau'qên ergip-ya'lhêti he wastired on account of the bright moon 14.11

The allative is often used to express the indirect object, corresponding to our dative, even if in the incorporated pronoun the direct object is used.
gǔ'muk-akka'gtı keli'tulti mi'ilinet I will give moneys to my son.
The ablative expresses the direction from; also along.
qêtr-notas $\cdot q e ̂ ' p \check{u}$ nipiu'riqin from the frozen ground he emerged 102.18
qolê'-notai'pü nua'lomgasn I heard it far and wide 104.14
têrkira'rrgêpŭ nye'tqin he came from sunset $10 \check{1} .14$
yoročıcoi'pŭ nuwa'lomqên he heard from the sleeping-room 106.13
$\hat{e} u$ йaípŭ mıñ̃no'as $n$ let us begin from below 131.5
pข̂pêggŭpŭ nei'mityä́n they took him by the ankle 35.3
pottiñai'pŭ eimi'nnin he took it by the holes 47.2
Koryak:
ega'ñko nalqaine'w-gŭm from the sky have I been shot Kor. 33.4
$k_{I p l a ' g I g i n ̃ k o ~ g a c ̌ e ́ p \tilde{n}}$ tolon out of the mortar it peeped Kor. 53.3 mañe'nqo yatha' $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ an? nuta'nqo. Whence did you bring her? from the country Kor. 60.10

## \$ 894. Designative.

On account of its nominal character I have not included in the list of post-positional suffixes the element $-n u$ (see $\$ 103.34$ ), which, however, is used syntactically very much like the suffixes treated in the last section.

Taaro'刀-T'a'rque üm qün re'r he became Sacrifice-Being thus 41.9 Tai'rgu ne'tecen (destined) to (be) a "Being" he became 41.10 yara'no ne' $7 j^{\varepsilon}$ e it became a house 43.5
ripira'mên . . . tuikuns qua'tru he spread it for a place to wrestle 47.4
wr'yolo mi'lhigit let me have thee as assistant 124.2
guqanqa'ano nyya'anat let him use them for driving reindeer 124.8

Foryak:
mal- $i^{\varepsilon}$ yu $\left.n m a^{6}\right]_{1 n}$ a good sky let it hecome! Kor. 20.2
ya'qu mintaiki! a'-gi into what shall we make thee? Kor. 37.9
Kmênu'tinvu no'tañ nilai'-gũm for delivery in the country I went away Kor. 60.6
i'ssu gana'slinan they became dresses Kor. 60.10
$a^{\prime} n k i{ }^{\prime}$ nulntulaikine'mik we are rejected (put to refusal) Kor. 62.5

## § 95. Verbal Nouns

As stated under the sections dealing with various post-positions nominalized verbs appear often with these endings. Following is the series of forms observed.


Among these forms, the last one does not correspond to a nominal post-position; the Comitative I is analogous to the nominal suffix, which, howerer, has the prefix ga-, which is absent in the verbal noun.

## ALLATIVE

In Chukchee the allative of the verbal noun is used with verbs expressing attempt, desire, preparation.
awkwatê'tı tiguiča'urkm I make haste to depart (ewkwet to leave; $t$ - 1; gaicuai to hasten; -rkm present)
$l \theta^{\varepsilon} u_{0}^{\prime} t t_{I}$ lile $p g i^{\varepsilon}$ he looked to see; (lua ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ to see; lile eyes; $-p$ to put on; $-g i^{\varepsilon}$ he)
$n e n \cdot \tilde{n} i^{\prime} u a ̈{ }^{\Sigma} n$ takêch $\hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I}$ they sent him to get provisions 66.32
The Koryak uses the locative instead.
| penye'kinen talai'cik he rushes to strike it Kor. 53.3
§ 95.

It expresses temporal coordination:
krle'nin e'ur vai têrgatết $t_{\text {l }}$ he pursued, however, while he (the pursuer) was crying 57.9
$e^{\prime} u r$ enqa'n têrgatê'tı ni'nerkile'qin while he was crying he pursued him 57.11
gevin'vuten'ñe'ulin $\tilde{n}_{1} t o u^{\prime} t_{I}$ he laughed secretly as he went out 71.30
 little 72.13
 was singing, a little hole appeared above 74.2
$l e^{\prime} u t t i \tilde{n}_{1} t o u^{\prime} t_{I}$ rin $^{\prime} n \check{r r}_{I} n i n e t$ he carried the heads going out 86.8
$a^{\prime} u n$-uêthawê'ti . . . while they were talking, he . . . 100.9

## LOCATIVE

In Chukchee and Koryak the locative seems to signify at the place, at the time.
qainé $i{ }^{\prime} I^{\prime} l q a \tilde{n} \tilde{n} o k$ roar at the time of beginning to sleep 10.6
geri'nelin puké'riñok he flew up when (the other one) arrived 15.4
pư̆ké'riñok ričıpeu'nin when he arrived, (the other one) made him dive 19.12
awkwa'tiñok nimeiñeu ga'teŭlên $a^{6} t_{t} n$ when he left, he promised to sacrifice a $\operatorname{dog} 101.21$
$a^{\prime} m k i n-w o l q a t v e ́ \hat{n} \tilde{n} \boldsymbol{k}$ every time when it began to be evening 104.12
qaa'gti qua'tik a a laka $i^{\prime} t y e^{\varepsilon}$ he had no knife while going to the reindeer (qua' reindeer; -gtı to; qat to go; a--ka without; ra'la knife; $i t$ - to be; -qie he)
$e^{\prime} m_{\mathrm{I}} n$-kiyeu'ki nênaio'gên whenever she awoke, she shoved it in 29.2
qemi' ${ }^{\prime} p l_{I}{ }^{\prime} t k u k$ at the time of having finished eating 33.11
Koryak.
gas s‘alvrye'lin vriya'tvik she remained all day, being dead Kor. 64.9

The stems lvau not to be able, nkë to refuse, always govern the verbal locative:
lu*k nilva'w-仑̂-ŭm I could not be seen 23.9
nênalwau'qên lư ${ }^{\varepsilon} k$ he could not find her 38.7
üpau'ki tilva'urkin I can not drink (üpau' to drink; $t$ - I; lvau to be unable; -rkin present)
qäz'rık tilwa'urkin I can not seek for her 38.8
vele'rkrlek luva'wkwêe he could not pursue him 15.6
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt. 2-12-50
taq-aimêtık pmla'tke mitilea'urkin we can not divine how to get provisions 101.13
pintiqü'tık ulvou'qên he could not disengage himself 101.34
$q l i k e t_{1} k n i^{\prime} n k \ddot{a} q i n$ she refused to marry 26.1
mink $\ddot{a}$ ' $q$ inet püki'rik they refused to come 106.3
glike'tık a a'lomkĕlên not (listening) consenting to marry 26.2
In Koryak the verbs $\tilde{n}$ eo to begin, p? to finish, nkau to cease, pkau not to be able, always govern the verbal locative.
gaño'len gIya'péak she began to sing Kor. 16.10
gañvo'len čilala'tık it began to bubble Kor. 17.2
gaño'? ${ }^{\prime}$. $\tilde{n} a w a^{\prime} k a k$ kitai' $\tilde{n} a k$ they began to scold the daughter Kor. 17.8
gañvo'len tenma'witčuk she began to prepare Kor. 18.3
gana'nkaulen tinala $a^{\prime \prime} t_{i} k$ they ceased to carry it out Kor. 41.8
ganka'wlinau tulu'tik they stopped to steal Kor. 41.9
quqka'wnumenau yanikya'wñk it could not awaken them Kor. 40.2

napkawñvo'ykIn tula'tik they could not steal it Kor. 39.8
tawi'tkinık gava'nnintalen when pilfering she lost a tooth Kor. 34.3
gana $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ linau pa'yittok they came to be eating blackberries Kor. 41.6 gapli'tčulin kukaívk she finished cooking Kor. 51.2
gañvo'lenau yu'kka he began to eat them Kor. 57.1
It serves also as iterative of numeral verbs.
Chukchee
nireqeu'ki the second time
ñroqau'kI the third time
In Koryak it is also used in those cases in which the Chukchee uses the allative.
ralo'mik tıgaima'tekin I want to know (valo'm to know; t-I; gaimat to want; -ckin present)

## THE SUBJECTIVE

The subjective is used to express an adverbial idea.
$w_{\circ}^{\prime} q_{0}+a$ tuwañe'rkin I work sitting (wa'qe to sit; $t$ - I; wañe to work; -rkin present)
am-Ipa'wa nitva'ğ̆m I was just drinking (am-merely; ŭpau to drink; $n I$ - indefinite tense; tva to live, be; -igüm I)
lun -iwkuči'tä $i^{\prime} t y i^{\varepsilon}$ not drinking she was (i. e. she did not drink) 37.3
$l u \tilde{n}-i^{\prime} r \ddot{a} i^{\prime} t y i^{\varepsilon}$ not crossing it was 41.5
luñ-lus'tä nI'ntäqinet not seen they had them 11.9
wêtha'wa qüntr' gin speaking do to her (i. e. speak to her!) 29.12
$l i^{\prime} e n \cdot \ddot{a} q-e i n e^{\prime} w a$ quli'tä only badly crying (and) sounding 57.6
The analogy between this form and the nominal subjective appears very closely in those cases where the adverbial idea expresses instrumentality.
tačai'wêwa lautı'yñın ninenınnutcu'gin by means of striking he made the big head swollen 48.10
etti-kipče'wa by striking with a stick 48.10
The verbal noun in ge-täd is often used both in Chukchee and in Koryak as an imperative.
ganto'ta, gi'wä go out and say!
gi'wä say! 21.11
gaa'nөta he shall go first! 84.13
In other cases it has the meaning of a present.
merg̣ina'n gi'uä we are wont to say 84.16
Koryak:
gayi's'qata sleep! Kor. 31.8
gala'xtata wu'tčau, ga $\tilde{a}^{\epsilon} a^{\prime} n \tilde{n} v o t a$ take these along, haul them away! Kor. 51.6

The corresponding forms of the transitive verb occur in the past, future, exhortative, and in the derived present and exhortative (see § 68, p. 741). They are also used impersonally.
amto', mi'nkri re'ntin, ra'nmin well, how will it be done? will there be killing?
ga'nma killed
rä'nut gelu'tä̈ whatever seen
 panion

## COMITATIVE I AND II

These forms express an action done while the subject of the sentence performed another action. Comitative I is used when the subject of both actions is the same; Comitative II, when the two subjects are distinct.

Examples of comitative I:
têrga'nma ninegepëriygi'ugin weeping she kicked it 31.8
uù'ma takimla'gnênat when cooking she prepared marrow for them 33.11
gi'nmıl yI'lkama garêtelai'gŭm recently, while I was sleeping. I dreamed it (gı'nmıl recently; yılqa to sleep; ga-iğŭm I; rêtêla to dream)
$k_{r y e}{ }^{\prime} r k w e^{\varepsilon}$ éaamya'ma he awoke while they (he himself and his dog) were galloping about 104.36
Examples of Comitative II:
ipau'maci equ'likü while (the others) are drinking, be silent (üpau to drink; e-liï negative; quli noise)
Nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, when used in verbal forms, may have the Comitative II, which is used when the subject is the same as well as when two distinct subjects are concerned.
minke'kin lus ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime} \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ naus ${ }^{\prime}$ gatıma'čcri when you have found this, bring a woman 99.23
equ'likä rolma'čcı be silent, since you are weak ( $e-k \ddot{a}$ negation; quli noise; rol weak)
minke'kin poi'gin lú ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \ddot{a}^{s} n \tilde{n} a u s \cdot q a t ı m a^{\prime} c_{I}$ where did you find the spear being a woman 99.22 .

## KAMCHADAL

nıkêmuč̌r at night 56.8
Kamehadal has only two forms.
$-k o \check{j}$ (intransitive); $-c,-l$ (transitive) and
k!-enk
The former is simply the inchoative of the verb, which is used as a noun in absolute form.
$q e^{\prime} c ̌ e^{\prime} l^{\prime} m u^{\prime} k o ̆ j$ enough to eat
tu'tun txilil I could not beat him
In the negative form the ending -kŏj is not used.
$x \ddot{e} m u^{\prime} k i$ it is impossible to eat (xë-ki negative, p. 826)
$x \ddot{e}$ tale'kic it is impossible to beat him (xë-kic negative of transitive verb, see p. 826)
xë $m u^{\prime} \ddot{o l k i}$ it is impossible to eat (-ŏl to desire, p. S08, no. 64.)
The second form expresses an action done at the same time with the one expressed by the predicate of the sentence. It is derived from the $k!$ '- prefix of the corresponding form of the noun, and the suffix of the possessive.
$k!$ '-nu'enk qam qe'lkek while eating I do not talk ( $n u$ to eat; qum not)

## Ss 96-129. COMPOSITION

§ 96. Introductory Remarks
We bave seen that in the formation of grammatical forms both prefixes and suffixes occur. Their use is much more extended, and they § 96.
serve to express a great many modifications or amplifications of the meaning of the stem. It is difficult to draw a sharp line between the grammatical endings and those that add new significance to the word. From a purely morphological point of view the two classes merge into each other; and neither can a sharp line be drawn between the nominal post-positions treated before, and others of similar meaning, like -nu (p. 798, no. 34), -mil (p. 798, no. 30), -in (see below); nor can the nominalizing endings in $-i n$ and $-n$ be sharply separated from other, analogous forms. For this reason I repeat the nominal endings here in their proper places with reference to the sections in which they are more fully treated.

Neither is the line of demarcation clear between affixes and compounds of independent elements. This appears most clearly in those cases in which the same element may appear either as a pretix or as a suftix, like $q \ddot{a} i$ and $m i l$; and also in those cases in which an element appears rarely alone. The line of demarcation between particle and incorporated adnominal or adverbial element is indicated through the occurrence or non-oceurrence of vocalic harmony in the group.

The use of affixes is very extended, and a series of prefixes as well as of suftixes may appear combined.
qamitra-člkat-I-ño'rkm he begins to gobble down
ru-wake-s•qê-čhat-a'u-rokn he makes him sit down once with great force.

ss 97-112. Suffixes

## ss 97-109. Nominal Suffixes

## §§ 97-105. DERIVED FROM NOUNS

## $\S$ 97. Nouns in -in and -n

These have been discussed in $\S \$ 45-49$, pp. 707-713, and in §§5155, pp. 714-719. Here belong also the nominalized verbs (a) and (b), which have been discussed in $\S \$ 73.74$, pp. 758-762.
§ 98. Augmentative and Diminutive

1. and oblique cases regularly. ${ }^{1}$
$r \cdot a^{\prime} l_{E}$ knife

reémkim people 13.10
valul'tum large knife
$a^{\varepsilon} t t I^{\prime} y \pi m$ large dog
${ }^{\varepsilon} t t^{2} y \bar{n} \hat{e}^{\prime} p u$ from the large dog ramki!y
Aiwhuyanpinačh'y y un old big St. Lawrence man 13.11
[^80]Some words do not take the sutfix - $-\frac{2}{0} n$, but use the definite form in its place.

Kor. Kam. $i^{\varepsilon^{n} u i^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I n}}$
big nose Kor. 72.12 large woman


| ra'la knife | vala'n ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 石u large knife |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a^{\prime}$ ttan dog | attu'n $u k$ large dog |
| q? awul man | qlawulı'a'quñqo from |
| rai'amn 'aqu bigr river Kor. 21.3 | big man |
| Quyqinn*a'qu Big Raven Kor. | 24.5 |
| kukk'a'-yıčm a'qua big kettleful | Kor. 43.1 |

3. -g\% aughentative.
ragugo'lhon the big wife 39.5; 40.1
pêtti-valliač'olliế't to the big old jaw-hone house 59.8
4. -qäa dimnutive. Plural, oblique cases, and definite, are formed from this freely. This is evidently related to the stem qäaiu sharl. It may also precede the noun, and be used in both positions at the same time. When preceding the noun it means the young of an animal; compare also gäin fawn; ge'yĭgei fledgeling.
kuke'-qäai a little kettle
$g_{I}{ }^{\prime} g_{I-q} \not q_{a} i$ a small skin 45.6
qla'ul-qai a small man
wa'lqara-qai small jaw-bone house 44.13
inpr'néw'qäyIk to the little old woman 45.2
$\tilde{n} i^{\prime} n_{q} \ddot{a} i$ child 42.5

mpıñawqa'gčğ $\tilde{n} m$ the small woman
qüi-u'nel young thong-seal 70.26
gäi-a $a^{\varepsilon} t t g a ̈ ̈ ~ p u p ~$
gäi-I-lis' $و g a ̈ ̈ i ~ c u b ~ o f ~ w o l f ~$
qai (Koryak) is used only as incorporated adjective. Its use is very frequent.
qai-qla'wul-pel a very small man qai'-na'wis'qat little woman Kor. 33.10
qai-ka'mak little kamak Kor. 33.9
qai-pipi'kalnu little mice Kor. 25.6
qai-ka'mak-pel little kamak Kor. 37.2
-ai small and miserable (Kamchadal).
$k i$ 'stai a miserable little house (kist house)
kcxai a miserable little dog (kocx dog)
5.     - pil dear hittle.
ekke'pil sonny
$t u^{\prime} m g a ̈ p i z i l$ dear little friend
Koryak:
-pil (Kor. Kam.) dual and pl. -pilaq (with the endings -t and $u) ; \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{i}$ (Kor. II),--express the diminutive.
$\tilde{n} a w a^{\prime} n \sim p i l l$ small woman (Kor. Kam.)
nawan-pila'qit two small women (Kor. Kam.)
nawan-pila'qu small women (Kor. Kam.)
qla' ${ }^{\prime}$ wul-pel small man
milya'qpil a little shell Kor. 23.8
va'gılıñpel a small nail Kor. 23.7
vi'tvitpil a little ringed seal Kor. 24.4
-pilinin (Kor. Kam.)-the last suffix -pil with the additional suffix-in-conveys the sense of endearment.
atta' ${ }_{2}$ iliñ doggy
vaíampil? $\tilde{n}$ a little river Kor. 17.2
$i ? u^{\varepsilon} v^{\prime} p l_{l} \tilde{n}$ little (shaman's) wand Kor. 27.7
$\tilde{n} \tilde{e}^{\prime}!\tilde{n}$ Ipilı $\tilde{n}$ little thong Kor. 39.4
6.     - $\check{c}$ ( $x,-\check{c} x,-c x$ diminutive (Kamchadal). The diminutive of the plural is formed by the suffix -č added to the plural form.

|  | Singular | Diminutive | Plural | Diminutive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dog <br> game <br> village | kocx <br> hu'rnik <br> $a^{\prime}$ Inñ̀m | ko' cxc̆ax <br> hu'rnikčax <br> $a^{\prime}$ tinocx | k.roon $n$ <br> $h u^{\prime}$ rnikitn <br> $a^{\prime}$ tino ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }_{n}$ | kexo ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {nc }}$ $h u^{\prime}$ rniki $^{\epsilon} n \boldsymbol{c}$ $a^{\prime}$ tinos $n c ̌$ |

To intensify the degree of diminution, this suffix may be used in a doubled form.
atmo' cxičax a very small village
$a^{\prime} t_{\text {Inocxanc }}$ very small villages
7. -lIño tiny. It always precedes the diminutive -qäai (No. 4 of this section), and intensifies the idea of smallness.
$q a a-l_{I} \tilde{n} g^{\prime}-q a i$ tiny reindeer $\tilde{n} q w a n-l_{n} \tilde{n^{\prime}}-q a^{\prime} i$ tiny woman

## § 99. Collectives

8. -yirin or $\boldsymbol{- y} \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{I} \cdot \mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{n}$ a company; (Kor. Kam. -yIssan) the stem of the noun yi'riir or yr'riir fullness, contents.
newä'nyirin a company of women ru' ${ }^{\prime}$ yirm a houseful 4ó. 13
Kor. Kam. nemyI'ssaf $n$ people of a village Kor. 70.9
Compare walqác črriir a jaw-bone-housc-ful 54.13.
9. -giniw Group of (Kor. Kam. -gimiu).
raêè $n \hat{e} u$ (Kor. Kam. ra-gê'new) group of houses (i. e., village)
yar $a^{\prime}-$ gêenêw a group of houses 111.15
$u^{\prime} m q \ddot{̈}-g^{\prime} n i w$ a set of polar bears 113.29
$y i^{\prime}$ cemit-tu'mgr-gi'niw a set of brother-companions 113.28
uni'ritqüi-gi'niw a lot of little souls 122.31
Kor. Kam. qaña'tila-gi'niw a lot fishing with drag-nets Kor. 70.10
10. -ril (Kor. Kam. -yi!) set, collection (used only for inanimate objects).
$o^{\prime}$ rgurêt? a caravan of sledges
$a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} m r i l$ (Kor. $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} m-y i l$ ) a set of bones, $i$. $e$. a skeleton
Koryak $m u^{\prime} u-y i l$ a line of sledges, a caravan Kor. 78.5
11. -reet set, hitter (Kor. Kam. -y (at).
$v_{a}^{\prime} r^{\prime} a^{t}$ (Kor. Kam. $\left.\varepsilon a^{\prime} y a t\right)$ a group of beings (i. e., family group)
yičemre'tti a set of brothers 64.3
ple'gret a pair of boots
li'liret a pair of mittens
12. -thul indefinite collective.
ne'lhytkun all kinds of skins
gr'nnılkitkun various kinds of game
orawêla'tk $\theta$ men living in various places, people
This suffix is also used with adjectives and pronouns:
mainıya'nitlien everything big
$r a ̈ a^{\prime}$ nutetkun (rä̈s neetkun) all kinds of things
čiñe'waq panřa'tkeqaia tei'mityäs $n$ somehow with all kinds of small leg-skins I bought it (i. e. I succeeded in buying it with a small number of leg-skins, i. e., cheaply).
13. -me\% numerous (Kor. Kam. -mZ) is used to express plurality. yara'mkin (Kor. Kam. yaya'mkm) a cluster of houses (collective)



Compare the stem $m k$ in the independent forms
mưkičı' $y \tilde{n} I n$ the more numerous ones 11.7
mü'kičın more numerous 12.3
nü'mküqin numerous 12.7
and in the compound form
$m u \check{g}-g_{I} t k a^{\prime} k$ with many legs 119.9
14. - ffrg, the stem of the third person plural pronoun $E^{\prime} r r^{\prime}$, serves to form the plural of proper names and of some other words designating persons, when these appear with the suffixes -gǔpŭ and $-k$ and with the particle réen together (p. 794, no. 18). (See § 44, p. 706.)
qlaulı'rğupŭ<qlaul-Irg-gŭpŭ from the men
$q l a a^{\prime} u l_{r} r^{\prime} k<q l a a^{\prime} u l-I r g-k$ by the men, with the men
$q l a^{\prime} u l_{I r^{\prime}} g-r e^{\prime} e n<q l a^{\prime} u l-I r g-k-r e^{\prime} e n$ with the men
The possessive form $E^{\prime} r g i n$ is used in the same manner.
orawêla'rgên that belonging to the (human) people

## § 100. Comitatives

15. ga-mad comitative (Kor. Kam. awun-mia) not used with names of persons, for which re'en is used.
galêlla'ma (Kor. Kam. a'wunlela'ma) with the eye
go o'rguma with the sledge $15 . t$
gata'ttrwalma with the splinters of thigh-bone 33.11
yame' Lima with blood 43.8
gañễqqai'ma with chitdren 50.6
gapro'rma with the aorta R 2.2
ga $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ twuma with the boat 71.4
galui'tima with the head; i. e., the whole body 137.8
Kor. Kam. awun-qama'ma with the dish $6 \pm .7$
Kor. Kam. $a^{\prime}$ wun-e'nvelma with the nostrils
Compare the nominal derivatives of verbs, in -ma (s $64, \mathrm{p} .738$; § 95, p. 787).
k:-m (Kamchadal). Comitative.
k!lŭ'lüm with the eve
16. $\boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{e}-(\boldsymbol{t}) \ddot{\boldsymbol{a}}$ comitative (Kor. Kam. $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}-[\boldsymbol{t}] \boldsymbol{a}$ ); not used with names of persons, for which réen (p. 794, no. 18) is used. (Compare $\$ 37$, p. 697.)
gelilét $t a ̈$ (Kor. Kam. galita'ta) with the eye
impina'čhin geñe'wänä̈ an old man with his wife $2 S .1$ (subject)
eLi'git geñéwönü̈ the fathers with the wife, i. e. the parents 28.4 (subject); 39.11; 33.9

Kor. Kam. gaqqaika'makata with a small spirit Kor. 37.3
Kor. Kam. ga'ttata with a hatchet Kor. 56.3
Kor. Kam. gaq!a'wula with her husband Kor. 68.7
Verbal:
$\pi e^{\prime} u s{ }^{\prime} q \ddot{a} t ~ g e n u t e g c ̌ i^{\prime} t \ddot{u}$, notai'pü gelei'vä̈ the woman while walk ing in the wilderness, while walking in the country, she 28.5
notui'pŭ gelei'vä ñe'usqät, vai eLa'-while the woman was walk. ing in the country, her mother-29.4
en'qam eli'lin gette'tä gi'wü-then the father with sudden doing, with saying- 29.11
17. -mačI verbal noun expressing meanwhile (Kor. Kam. -ma'čI) (wee pp. 738, 788.)
18. -re'en added to the locative, together. It is used principally with nouns designating persons, and replaces the comitative. Its rowel does not form an ablaut.
ğиmu'g re'en together with me
Omru'wgêna-re'en together with Omru'wgê
tu'mug-re'en with the strangers 59.1
$n i^{\prime} h_{I}-r e^{\prime} e n$ together with the thong 44.12
§ 101. Locatives
19. -tkIn $n$ surface (Kor. Kam. -tkİ $\boldsymbol{n},-\boldsymbol{- t} \mathbf{c} I n$ ); used chiefly in oblique cases.
grgu'tkgnik on the sledge
orgutkina'ta along the surface of the sledge
uwề $k e_{1}^{\prime} t k_{i}^{2} n I k$ on the body 8.11
gêt $\hat{I}_{1}^{\prime} t \hat{k}_{b} n_{i} k$ on the sea-ice $9.1,2$

kano'tkbngüpu on the crown of the head 8.2
mêmlith tkinik on the water 9.3
coó ${ }^{\prime}$ titkburk on the top of the pillow 44.2
In the absolute form the suffix designates the point of.
yäqa'tkb ${ }^{\prime}$ point of nose

yêčr'tkičhlin tip of tongue 40.4 (stem yil)
Koryak:
va'gıtérmu yu'kka eating points of nails Kor. 57.1
o'pitčmik on the point of a beam Kor. 72.13
 of; over, on top of (Kor. Kam. - $\quad \mathbf{q}$, absolute form - $-\mathbf{q}$ an )
$\theta t t r^{\prime} \S \cdot q \ddot{a} n$ tree-top
${ }_{\theta} t t I^{\prime} \varepsilon^{*} \varphi a^{\prime} k$ on the top of the tree

gI'this'qä-notai'ngn lake-top-big-land, i. e., the land over (near)
the lake 144.3
true's $\quad$ qü-re'mkin people of top of dawn R 2.11
nute's $q \ddot{a} k$ on top of ground, i. e., on the ground $95.2 \pm$
Koryak:
na'nkalqak the top of it Kor. 78.15
va'yamılqak on top of river Kor. 25.8
wu'gwulqak on top of pebbles Kor. 25.8
yas 'qalkai'tin $\left(y a-s \cdot q a-l_{q} a-\hat{e} t t_{I}\right)$ to the house top Kor. 36.1
ya's'qalqak on the house top Kor. 8t. 12
wapis qualquk on top of slime Kor. 25.7
21. -gi, -gin the base of: in oblique cases, Under
uttr'gin base of the tree
बttagếngưpư from under the tree
uttigi'nki under the tree
cothếnkI under the pillow (< corot-ginn-kI)
nutés $\underbrace{\prime} q \ddot{a} q q^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi k$ underground
notas. qayyềngŭpŭ from underground 143.6
Koryak:
$e^{\prime} n \cdot m i g e n k a$ under a cliff Kor. 13.6
qus wruge'nker at the foot of the stone-pines Kor. 21.7
plakgeñe'tin into the bottom of the boots Kor. 14.2, 6
gankagene't $t_{I}$ into the bottom of that one Kor. 40.9
atcrge
22. -ggit toward; not in oblique cases
${ }_{0}^{a} \tilde{n}_{0}^{a} q q \hat{e}_{\hat{t}} t$ toward the sea
uttr' $g g{ }_{x} t$ toward the wood
yak a' $a^{\prime}$ ggêt noseward 45.2
$t_{\text {IL }}^{\hat{e}} \hat{e}^{\prime} g g \underline{\hat{e}}$ toward the entrance 62.9
$e^{\prime}$ ekeggit toward the lamp R 2.6

${ }_{0}^{a} \tilde{n}_{2} a_{0} y \hat{e}^{\prime} w k w \hat{A} n$ the space along the sea
$a^{\prime} \tilde{n} q a-c ̌ o r m i y e ̂ ' w k w i ~ a l o n g ~ t h e ~ s e a s h o r e ~ 66.12 ~$
${ }_{0}^{a} \tilde{n}_{e} a y \hat{\hat{A}} w k w \hat{e}_{\hat{\prime}}^{\prime} t a$ along the sea, on the sea
notas'qučiyẹe $u^{\epsilon} k_{I}$ along underground 44.12
I have found also the form--
rečé $w k w \ddot{a}<r e t-y \hat{e}_{\hat{\prime}} w k w \hat{\hat{e}}$ along the tracks
(See rêêêêt'ki 106.24.)
24. -ĕ́IKú (Chukchee, Kor. Kam.) inside of; also with neutral u. $u^{\prime} t \check{c ̌}_{I} k u$ in the wood
uttı'črıku within the tree
yara'ččlku or ráččlku within the house
Kegri'čicku inside of palm 20.3
Konu'rgıčlku inside of leg of breeches 28.6
wus ${ }^{\circ} \breve{u}^{\prime}$ mérku in the dark 34.5
$y_{I} l_{\text {lif }}$ 'čilue in the moon 41.8
ple'kičlku in a boot 43.4
This suffix appears often combined with locative elements.
o'nmičrlkou'tı (Kor. Kam. anınkačıko,'ítı̆ from anınka-č̌Iku) inward, into the inside
$o^{\prime} n m i c ̌ l k o^{\prime} \check{L} \mu \check{u}$ from within
êrčurıou'tı into the clothes 32.4
dinčrloor'tor into the fire 31.13
yuročlkou'ts into the sleeping-room 28.7; also 28.s, 35.3
yikirgičickou'ts into the month 50.3
quačikoi'pu from the reindeer-herd 51.2
mêmlučrkou'ta into the water 17. .t $^{\prime}$
notas' $q a c c_{c} k{ }^{2}$ ou't $t_{1}$ into the ground 18.7
notas.qučikoípй from underground 44.12
Koryak:
wus'qŭ'mécku in the dark Kor. 16.10, 17.5
yayačrkoi't iñ into the house Kor. 15.2
qaya'čite" in a covered stedge Kor. 52.1
aia'с̈rқи in the storehouse Kor. 55.5
The Kamchadal $\check{c a ̈ c k}$ nesine corresponds to Chukchee črlku. It is used as an independent adverb.
ci'mtenk čäck in the ground, inside.
Here may belong also Kamchadal čacié inward.
25. - lifill among the mulitude, one of the suffixes of plurality.
qau' liku among the reindeer
uttr'-lilku among the trees
murr'g-IIku among us
26. -qu!če -qual by the side of (Kor. Kam. -qul)
-qočél near, close to (Kor. Kam. -qačat)
qa'ptenyač by the side of the back 11.8
ya'alıñqč by the rear side 12.3
tílinqač by the side of the entrance 53.3
gitka' $\tilde{n} q a c ̌$ (Kor. Kam. gitke' $\tilde{n} q u l$ ) at the feet
$m t^{\prime} r^{\prime} q q a c ̌$ (Kor. Kam. ma'riqqal) by our side
${ }_{9}$ Inikqa'č be thy side 9.3
gItkaqa'či near the foot moriqqa'či near us
Nota'rmenqac̆a near Notarmen 121.10
ra-gro'lminqal from the rear side of the house 51.10
A great number of adverbs are derived from this suffix.
wo't $t \tilde{n} q a \check{c}$ (Kor. Kam. wo'teñqal) on this side
$v a^{\prime}$ eñqač (Kor. Kam. vaieñqal) halfway on this side
All forms with -qač (Kor. Kam. -qul) may also form oblique cases. mөrıqqačaípŭ (Ch.) from our side
Kor. Kam. woteñqulai'tI to this side $a \tilde{n} q a \tilde{n} q a c a^{\prime} g t_{I}$ to the seaside 49.6
añqañqačaípŭ from the seaside 49.8
ya'alinquc̆aí pŭ from the rear side 12.4
yarau'-liha'nlinqač-va' ${ }^{\prime}$ In being from the other side of the houses 11.7
$q a c ̌ a^{\prime} k \hat{e} n$ the other one of a pair
qac̆a'kênata with the other hand 20.5
With the adjective suffix -kin they form adjectives which are in frequent use.
moriqqa'tkên being at my side
wo'tınqa'tken being from this side 14.2
Kor. Kam. wo'teñqula'ken being at this side
Kamchadal:
$q o^{\prime} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{n}$ near to, close by, corresponds to Chukchee qa'či, Kor.
Kam. qa'ča. It is also treated as an independent adverb.

27. -tul part of, piece of (Kor. Kam. -tul).
menigi'tul (Kor. Kam. manigi'tol) a piece of calico
$q_{o}^{a^{\prime}}$ atol (Kor. Kam. qoya'tol) a piece of reindeer (meat)
$m i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ lictuly $_{1} \ddot{a} i$ a little particle of water 134.17
teki'chistulqäi a little piece of meat 134.31
Kor. Kam. pêlhinolñi'tola piece of reindeer mane Kor. 92.11
The Kamchadal uses a separate noun for expressing this idea.

tra'ltralim [adjective] of meat)
28. - $\mathbf{2}$ īt (Kamchadal) instead, in place of.
vi'le-kīt in place of payment
29. -xŏl (Kamehadal) along.
cêmt-xogl along the ground (stem cimt ground)
$\hat{e}_{\hat{e}}^{\varepsilon}$-xol $l$ along the water (stem ${\underset{\lambda}{i}}^{s}$ water)
ktxa o'j-xŏl along the road

§ 102. Similarity

30. -mil in the size of, at the distance of (Kor. Kam. -mice -mis). (Compare $\S(113.10,11)$; also $\$ 105,42$-micu which is a variant of this stem.)
$\tilde{n} e^{i} i_{i l} i^{l}$ as far as the mountain
miñke'mil (Kor. Kam. menke'mss) of what size? how much? 94.32

Eri'wmil like them 14.9
muru'wom like us 10.6: 16.\%
gumu'wmil like me 16.13
utte'mil size of a tree 20.2
rora'mêl size of reindeer-fly 23.3
or'awêtáa'mêl like men $6 t .11$
rirkámêl like a walrus $10.8 ; 12.1$
qaámêl size of a reindeer 122.23
Possessive forms with the suffix-kin added to the suffix-mil are gйmuwmi'tkin according to my wants muruwi'tkin according to our wants
31. -wurrin smilar to, like.
pin-wurrin flour (literally cinder-like)
See Ena'n čini't wu'rri nitgin thus she was 26.9
32. -w $\boldsymbol{a r}^{\mathbf{s}} \boldsymbol{t}$ smilata to.

 transformed shaman ${ }^{1}$ )

## § 103. Purpose

34.     - wlu, -u material for; what serves as something; serving a purpose; Serving as something.
ple'ku gä̈'mit $\cdot k$ In take it for boots (i. e., to make boots of it)
This suftix is used with various verbs to express the idea to make something out of, to consider as something, to become something.

$-n u$ after stems ending in a vowel.
lile'nu serving as an eye
rirk $a_{a}^{\prime} n \theta$ as a walrus 10.8
gaqanqáane for a driving reindeer 124.8
 pose 23.6
$k \sigma^{\prime} \tilde{n} k o n-r a^{\prime} n_{\theta}$ serving as a ball-shaped house 130.22
ke'ñčcornu that which serves as a bay 133.4, 9
$a^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}-g e^{\prime} l l^{\prime} r n \theta$ that which serves as a bad ice-floe 133.10
tor-Irga't-palosta'ne what serves as a beaver that has just shed hair 137.2

- $u$ after stems ending in a consonant.
néwänu for a wife
taikaus'quo'lvu for a place to wrestle 47.4
Iumetu' nu ri'tyä́ you will be for me like Iumetun 23.7
$k e i^{\prime} \tilde{n} u$ what represents a bear 136.20
qoramrée'tile to be used as herdsmen 50.9
$l \theta^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} n v \theta$ for looking on 19.2; 23.1
riraqu'unre what for? 19.1
enagya'gtačhe ra'rıge what serves as life-giving being 21.6
wr'yole for assistant 124.2, 4
Koryak Kamenskoye:
$-\boldsymbol{m u} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{u}$. The use of this suffix is the $s$ me as in Chukchee.
lita'nu as an eye
$a k k a^{\prime} n u$ as a son
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime}$ wanu as a wife
qoia'no as a reindeer
tomñena' $\tilde{n} u$ as a cover for the roof-hole Kor. 37.9
kulipčina'nu us a vent-bole plug Kor. 38.1
qungekrplena'nu as a means of striking the fire Kor. 30.i
ya'qu into what Kor. 38.4
čai'učhu into a working bag Kor. 38.4
 Chukchee.
$p!i^{\prime} k i$ as a son
$\tilde{n} i^{\prime} k i$ as a wife
olénaka as a reindeer (ole'n from Russian ouent; the old
Kamchadal word $k o j$ is also still in use)
lüle'ki as an eye

36.     - $s x$ (Kamchadal) is synonymous with the last, but is less frequent.
p!ësx as a son
$\tilde{n}$ esx as a wife
37. -lqäl destined for -, matertal for -, (Kor. Kam. -lqal). This suffix implies the future.
ple'kilqül (Kor. Kam. pla'kilqal) material for boots ${ }^{n}$ ä's $^{\prime} q u c$ ciqül bridegroom to be, destined to be a husband ELa'lqül? stepmother, serving as a mother
Kor. Kam. nawa'nilqal bride to be, destined to be a wife lue $^{\varepsilon} l q \ddot{a l}$ (Kor. Kam. los ${ }^{\wedge}$ lqal Kor. 53.5) a face (perhaps; something destined to be looked on) 88.14 répa'lhalqül destined to be a dried walrus hide 46.11
With verbal stem, in most cases with the passive participle -(y)o: tai'kryolqäl material (for work) rirrêlyolqäl destined to be put down R 2.5 yrmé'yolqäl destined to be hung R 2.6
ro'olqül food R 4.11
malé'chilgal means of getting well 135.10
tımyo'lqal (Kor. Kam. tımyo'?qa?) destined to be killed (epithet used like scoundrel)

## § 104. Possession

38. -yan $\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{o}}$-, absolute form ! yan provided with (Kor. Kam. yanv-, absolute form yana)
(a) As a nominal suffix, yanv means provided with.
$\check{c} a^{\prime} g$ - $g_{o}^{a n}$ (Kor. Kam. $\check{c} a i-y\left(\iota^{\prime} n a\right)$ one who has tea, rich in tea qa'a-yan (Kor. Kam. qoya-ya'na) one who has reindeer
tañ-kamaanvét $t i$ to those provided with good dishes (ten $n$ good; keme dish) 92.21
(b) With intransitive verbs it indieates the person who performs an act once or habitually.
$\breve{u} p a^{\prime} w$-gan (Kor. Kam. apaw-ya'na) the one who drinks qami'twa-yan (Kor. Kam. awye-ya'na) the one who eats
(c) With transitive verbs it indicates the object of the action, and has a passive meaning.
$y I^{\prime} l$-yan (Kor. Kam. yIl-ya'na) what has been given
ro'mkaw-gan (Kor. Kam. yomkaw-ya'na) what has been hidden
(d) With adjectives it indicates a person having a certain quality. qatmu-yán the one who has strength
maint-ya'n that which is big
$a^{\prime}$ tgend• an (Kor. Kam. $n^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ čéen-y $a^{\prime} n a$ ) the one who is bad
Oblique cases are derived from this form. In Koryak these forms are not of frequent occurrence.
maiñrya'nvuk at the big one (Koryak the same)
mplya'nvert the older ones 108.12
39.     - $\boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{\ddot { a }} \boldsymbol{n})$ abounding in (Kor. Kam. $-\boldsymbol{- q} \boldsymbol{q}[\ddot{a} \boldsymbol{n}]$ )
 wata'pılqün (Kor. Kam. wata'prlqan) place abounding in reindeer-moss

## § 105. Miscellaneous

40. -ygčh, -ggčh receptacle (Kor. Kam. -yočh); perhaps from the verbal stem yo- тo put into, yo'rkin (Kor. Kam. yo'ykin) he puts into.
mıtqo'očhın (Kor. Kam. mitqr'yočhin) blubber-bag (stem mitq blubber)
tai'očhr-poka'tkrnik in bottom of bag 29.3
Kor. Kam. kawa'ssočhu for wallets Kor. 46.2
41.     - $\tilde{n} \dot{i} t$ a space of time (Kor. Kam. - $\tilde{n} i t)$.
${ }_{0}^{\varepsilon} l_{o}{ }^{\prime} \tilde{n} \hat{A} t$ the whole day 21.1 (stem $a^{\varepsilon} l o$ day)
(Kor. Kam. $g i^{\prime}$ wiñit) the whole length of the year (from giwik [only in the locative] in the year)
42. -mịc a certain amount, with nominal and pronominal stems indicating persons; also with verbal stems (compare § 102, 30 to which the suffix is clearly related).
qäineu'mič at the distance of a shot
gŭmu' $w m i c ̌$ as much as I need
gŭmuwmítkin it is as much as I need (i. e., I have notiang to spare)
43. -Kwu, -whw - PROTECTOR, AVERTOR
muču'kwun shirt made of calico (lit. louse-avertor, because the Chukchee think that the shirt is worn to collect lice from the body).
tainıkwut charm-strings (lit. misfortune avertor)
§§ 106-109. DERIVED FROM VERBS

## § 106. Abstract Nouns

44. -gIrgI $n$. If the base contains an $l$, it is often changed to $\check{c}$. Abstract noun; cause, source, object of an action (Kor. Kam. -geñin, -gItnifu; Kor. Par. -geñin, -gI $\check{c} \tilde{n} I n$ ). Note that the initial $g$ follows the phonetic rules § 7.
$t+g_{I}>t i ; \quad \check{c}+g_{I}>\check{c} i ; u+g_{I}>w k w ; u, o+g_{I}>o u$
qalhilo'urgêg̣t you are source of sorrow 20.7
palqa'tirgb $b_{n}{ }^{n}$ (from pelqäat) old age (Kor. Kam. palqathe' $\tilde{n} m$ or palqa'thrtñın [from palqat])
pêrê'frgon the place which he had taken 23.9
te'lhgrgbn or te'čirgin 24.3 (from tel) illness, pain, cause of pain yaiváčirgbn (from yéiceč) (Kor. Kam. yaiva'člutñın [from yai'vac̈d] compassion, cause or object of compassion
limvitte'srgin object of pity 11.3
wŭ'rgIrgin noise 32.10
vêer $\operatorname{rg}$ gin death 22.1
$v \hat{e}^{\varepsilon^{\prime} \text { Ir }}{ }^{\prime} \hat{\hat{e}}$-git thou art source of death 22.7
gintá wkurgề ${ }^{\prime} g_{I} t$ (from ginteu) (Kor. Kam. ginta'whitnege) thou art the cause of my flight (i. e., you have frightened me)
 have hurt me) (Kor. Kam. te'čhitñe-to'o)
yếmgumgi'I'gIn object of fear 29.8
a $\tilde{\pi} a^{\prime} \dot{c} I r g \hat{e}-g_{I} t$ thou art source of trouble 21.2; 23.11
Koryak:
vantige'ñm dawn Kor. 18.1
vetke'gıčñın annoyance Kor. 20.9
This suffix may be added either to the simple verbal stem or to the verbal stem with added suffixes. The latter form expresses more particularly the process of an action. The former is sometimes used to express the object or the source of the action.
$\tilde{n}_{I r} k_{I l} a^{\prime}$ tirgin $^{\prime}$ the feeling of shame
$\tilde{n}_{I r} k_{I}$ 'cirgin the object of shame
wŭ'rgivgičhin noise 15.1
am-vryê'irgäa only by breathing 24.4
With the stem tva тo be, this suffix expresses the idea of quality.
Yai'vač-va'írgın quality, substance of compassion; Merciful Being
(Kor. Kam. vage $\tilde{n}_{I n}$ or $\left.v a^{\prime} g_{I} t n i n\right)$ being, mode of life, substance, deity
With adjective bases this suffix also expresses qualities.
 $a^{\prime}$ ćčin or $a^{\varepsilon}$ tqiñ]) badness, spite
êtuču' $r$ 'rgin (from $i u^{\prime} l$ ) length
mpu'urgin (from $\quad n p[\check{u}]$ ) old age
With substantives it expresses the condition or state of the object.
$a^{\varepsilon}$ mgIrgin (from $a^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} t I_{m} m$ bone) condition of the bones (i. e., of the body)
ettí'trgin (from $u^{\prime}$ ttuut wood) degree of woodiness

The range of abstract nouns compounded with these nominal suffixes is quite considerable, and these are in common use.
va'ırgin (Kor. Kam. vage' ${ }^{\prime} I n$ ) being, substance, custom, benevolent being, deity
yai'vač-va'ırgın(Kor. Kam. yai'vač-vage' ${ }^{\prime} I n$ ) compassion-being, merciful deity
$a^{\prime} \tilde{n} a \tilde{n}-v a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} r^{2} g_{I n}\left(K o r\right.$. Kam. $\left.a^{\prime} \tilde{n} a \tilde{n}-v a g e^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I} n\right)$ shaman's spirit deity tam-va' ${ }^{\prime}$ rgin goodness, condition of goodness
taiñı'ırgIn (Kor. Kam. taīnıgéñn) sin
qas' mu'urgin (Kor. Kam.) misfortune $^{\prime}$
There are also a number of concrete nouns which are formed with this suffix:

Kor. Kam. pča'ggitñn (plak boot) boot-string Kor. 59.3
45. -j, -! (Kamchadal) form abstract nouns of simpler and more limited sense than those of Chukchee and Koryak. This suffix is probably identical with the $c, l$, of the transitive verbal noun I (p. 748) which has the sense of the infinitive.
co'nlej life
ča'kalej song
o'jılaj blow
noj ${ }^{1}$ food
pilhetej hunger
pê'lhetel and $\}$ famine
pi'lhipil

$n \varrho^{\prime} n u{ }_{0} m$ (stem $n u$ ) food
lề'lnưm (stem hill) drink conlinŭ… (stem cunc, cunl) life

## § 107. Passive Participle

47.     - ?/g (Kor. -yg, absolute form -?fon) expresses the passive participle; (in Koryak with the meaning of the future). It forms plural, dual, and oblique cases like all substantives.
pela' ${ }_{o}^{\prime} y_{o}$ the one who is left (Kor. Kam. pelayon the one to be left)
In Chukchee the suffix is contracted with terminal consonants, and elided after vowels, according to the phonetic rules given in § \$ 6-10.

Chukchee
ta $i^{\prime} k_{0} i_{0}<\operatorname{tai}^{\prime} k_{I-y o}$ the one made tai'kiyon that to be made $y i^{\prime} t 0_{0}^{\circ}<y i^{\circ} l-y o$ the one given yi'lyon that to be given

[^81]Chukchee
ipa'wgo< p $^{\prime} a^{\prime} w-y y_{0}$ that which has been drunk

Kor. Kam.
apa'vyon that which is to be drunk.
ko'ryon that to be bought been bought
yito'ot born ones 42.7
oraio'čmat born ones 21.2
$a^{\prime} n \cdot \tilde{n} \hat{e} n \theta$ línyo made to be the object of anger 42.3
$t_{\text {t myo }}{ }^{\prime}$ one killed 43.8
ripalqa'wgo one drowned 49.9
Note.-Several transitive verbs with the prefix em-mere, entire, and the suffix -lin, express the passive participle, the same as -yo.
em-rétrlin (stem ret to buy) what has been brought; or $r a^{\prime} j \cdot o$ or $a m-r a^{\prime} j \cdot o$ all that has been brought
$(-y$ anv, see § $10 \pm$, No. 38.)
§ 108. Instrumentality
48. -ineñ, suffixed to verbal stems, expresses instrument (Kor. Kam. -inañ).
tệwệ̂'nã̃ (stem tều) (Kor. Kam. tẹwénañ [stem tew]) paddle, oar $\hat{7} 3.11$
qelín neñ (stem qeli to paint, engrave, write); (Kor. Kam. qaliči'neñ [stem qaličit]): pen
tei'kineñ (K. K. inataiki'na $\tilde{n}$ ) instrument (for work)
wañétnañ instrument for work
lê' $\hat{e}$-têwênaña'ta with a genuine paddle 31.4
(Kor. Kam. tomnena'nue as cover for roof-hole Kor. 37.9)
me stems use with this suffix the prefix ine- (Kor. Kam. ina-) See pp. 736, 819, no. 28
ênánvềnañ (stem nv [initial ruu]; tinvírkin l scrape); (Kor. Kam. ena'nvenañ [stem nv; tinvée'kin I scrape]) scraper
49. -ičh instrument (Kor. Kam. -itñ).
gitte'wičhin (stem gittecu to wipe, -In absolute form); (Kor. Kam. gitta'witñın [stem gittaw]) wiping-cloth
unečiččlın thong of thong-seal hide 102.13, 30 (from unel thong seal)
mêmičê'čhm thong of seal-hide 134.31 (from memil seal)
Kor. Kam. yinootñe'nqo from the vent-hole Kor. 54.7

## § 109. Place

50.     - $\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{v}$ place of (absolute form -n) (Kor. Kam. - $\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{v}$ [absolute form -nul]).
van (stem tva-); (Kor. Kam. va'na [dual, plural, va'nvit]) place of being
waketva'n (stems wake and tva); (Kor. Kam. ragalitva'na [stems vaga' $l_{I}$ and tva]) place of sitting
notuğcèn $\hat{e ́}^{\prime} p u$ while walking in the wilderness 29.4
ralqa'ñmvuk on the house-site 31.6
ralêya'n sliding-place 114.16
tıla'n (Kor. Kam. tıla'n) place of moving, trail
tila'nvun place of trail 36.12
trla' $n v u k$ on place of traveling 43.1
taikans'gio'lvu for a place to wrestle 47.4
oоc̆vê'nvipŭ (better ooc̆vı'nvipŭ) from the playground 74.17
oočri'nvik on the playground 74.18
oočvincêti to the playground 74.20
It also expresses an action in progress. In this case it appears generally with the designative suffix-u.
êtinva'tinve trye'tyäas I came to get the position of housemaster R 287, footnote 1.
yaqqai' $\hat{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{m}$ yagta' $l_{\text {Inve }} t_{r} y e^{\prime} t y a ̈ z k$ did I come for the sake of living? R 239, footnote 2.
riraqa'unve for what purpose? 19.1
Koryak:
kmeña'tınvu nıḷai'-gŭm I came away to bear children Kor. 60.6
kiplo'nvu for the purpose of striking them Kor. 31.3

## ss 110-111. Verbal Suffixes

## §110. ADVERBIAL SUFFIXES

51. -wullht expresses reciprocity (Kor. Kam. -vilñI).
pênřuu'lhirkrt (stem pênr̆ı to attack wrestling) (Kor. Kam. penn $I^{\prime}$ vilnǔhit [stem pemn $\cdot$ ], dual) they close for wrestling
 they see each other, they meet
galforolhiočma'-m'tre we have seen each other 121.15
52. -s•qiu expresses an action perfohmed once only (Kor. Kam.
$-s^{\cdot} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}(w)$.
yettrs $q i^{\prime} u r$ klin $^{\prime}$ (Kor. Kam. yatis•qi'wikin) he comes once

taikaus'qio'lvu for a place to wrestle once $\mathbf{4 7 . 4}$ qünıggeus.quekutkr make them wake up all at once 56.3 gantơ's: qêulên rushed out 57.11
Koryak:
minčičatss'qiwnau I'll look at them once Kor. 33.10
quvisyas qi $^{\prime} w g i$ go and die! Kor. 35.1
gawyis' qi'wa eat! Kor. 36.1
mintu'lus'qewlan let us steal it! Kor. 39.1
myalitčus*" $i^{\prime}$ wak I will slide down-hill Kor. 42.1
Also in the form-lqiu
gatomanalqi'ulinat they stopped the smoke-hole Kor. 57.7
53. -let expresses a frequentative, durative, or more generally intensity of action (Kor. Kim. -lat, less frequently -čat).
nitola'tirkin (Kor. Kam. nito-la'teckn) he goes out often
tgmila'tirkin (Kor. Kam. tgmila' tedin) he kills many
ninletele'tqin it flashed out always 32.8
qulile'ty ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gave voice repeatedly 33.1 ; 55.8
niqulite'tqin they are noisy 60.9
nitồ'rgilatqên he cries aloud 38.3
nitepleñule'tqin she made many boots for him 112:24 (stem plek-
boots; te- $\tilde{n}$ to make [§ 113, 2, p. \$21])
Koryak:
guñoo'len čilala'tik it began to bubble Kor. 17.2
yiykula'ti you were soft Kor. 26.7
galalañıro'ykin she passed by often Kor. 84.19
nıqulila'tqin he sang vigorously Kor. 68.17
ǒ4. $-\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{u}),-\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{u})$ expresses a frequentative (Kor. Kam. -yviou), tala'iwurkm (Kor. Kam. tala' ivekin) he strikes many times ninernılki'ywunin let it bite him! 104.29
Kor. Kam gaitoi'vilenau she brought forth many Kor. 44.7
54.     - $\boldsymbol{t}$ (Kamchadal) expresses the durative.
tinntilistıjın I bring it always ( $t$ - I; intilic to bring; - $t$ always; $-I$ auxiliary vowel; -jin I it)
55.     - $\check{e} e t$ weakens the intensity of the action, a little, rarely.
nitočátırkin or nutoča'arkin he goes out rarely
tenn neacučétinkm or ten•ñeuče'erkin he laughs on the sly
marauča'arkin he fights rarely
pênřıča'arkin he wrestles rarely
mimpo'ntočêta let us eat liver! 43.7
maraučêt ${ }^{\prime} n{ }^{\prime} \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ he began to chide 56.1

ten: $\tilde{e} u$ cui'rirkin $^{\prime}$ he laughs all the time walomëê'rirkrn he gathers news continually marautêéêrkin he fights always pênřlčé'êrkin he rushes at him
Kor. Kam. qulumticitalat they carry something large on their shoulders (qulu large; imti to carry) Kor. 57.9
56. -ntet indicates increased action, often with somewhat altered meaning; and with intransitive meaning (Kor. Kam. -ntat). čuwi'rkin (Kor. Kam. čvi'ř- Éunvintétrıkın (Kor. Kam. čvin$k_{m n}$ ) thou cuttest it ta'tikin it is cut through in several places); it is divided into several parts
roóorkin (Kor. Kam. čhoo ${ }^{\prime}$ - - roontáarkın (Kor. Kam. čhon$k_{I n}$ ) he tears out hair rrgiro'k at dawn 10.4 $q u^{\prime} p q a ̈ l i n$ lean 80.5 ta'tekin) he becomes bald girgrronta' Lên dawn came 10.9 gequpqänte' $L i n$ she has been quite starved
 homologous to -let intensive action-expresses an action performed suddenly with great force and rapidity.
qu'trokm he stands up qutrs* quée'trokin he jumps up
 ganto's. $q a a_{\alpha} a_{L} \hat{e} n$ he rushed out $57.11^{1}$
 getiñus $q i \ddot{c} e^{\prime}$ Lin he gave a sudden tug 48.4 gereli's'qičeLin she suddenly pushed it in $89 . \pm$
57. -ala (Kamchadal) weakens the intensity of the action.
tnu'alajk I eat but little ( $t$ I; nu to eat; -jk I)
thê'lalajk I drink but little ( $t$; hêl to drink)
58. -qüate with verbs, expresses endearment and diminution; evidently related to $-q \ddot{a} i(\$ 98,4)$.
$m a^{\prime} \tilde{n} \hat{e} n-n \theta t a i^{\prime} p \breve{u}$ yetqäetı from what country hast thou come, my dear?
59. -keu, with transitive verbs, gives them a passive meaning, and conveys the idea of derision of the subject.
kêma'wkurgêum re'tkeewiüm I am a source of delay, my humble self has been brought here
ralóomkauté $r$ ê, equ'likä they will hear your despised self, do not make a noise
60. -ñno, -ño expresses the inchoative (Kor. Kam. -ñg; Kamchadal -kigju, - Kioj, -kjug, $\mathbf{- j u} \mathbf{u})$. Since these elements occur independently, the forms are in reality compound verbs. The independent stem in initial position is $\tilde{n} 00$, in medial position -mgo, (Kor. Kam. $\tilde{n}$ ro-, Kamehadal uju-)

In all three dialects the idea of the beginning of an action is expressed with precision, and the inchoative forms are therefore very common.
 $\left.k \hat{j} u^{\prime} j k\right)$ he goes to sleep
$t_{\text {Ipain }} \tilde{n}^{\prime} \tilde{n} \tilde{n} o \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ he begins to sing 59.9
nInin $\tilde{e}{ }_{A}^{\prime} \tilde{n} \tilde{n} o \hat{e}$ he begins to take part in the thanksgiving ceremonial 59.3
gaplitko' $\tilde{n} \tilde{n}{ }_{o}^{\prime} l$ lênat thes begin to finish 30.12
Koryak:
gewãnvo'lenau they began to say Kor. 22.7
gááñ̃ıvota haul them away! Kor. 51.6
gepmrolai'ke they began to go upstream Kor. 61.7
$64 . \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{l},-\breve{\boldsymbol{o}}$ (Kamchadal), with transitive verbs -al, $\boldsymbol{- a}$, expresses the desiderative. The same form is used to express the future. $\left.\begin{array}{l}t \tilde{\pi} \ddot{u} k c i \bar{I} l k \\ t \tilde{n} \ddot{u} k c o j k\end{array}\right\}$ (stem $\left.\tilde{m} \ddot{u} k c\right)$ I wish to sleep, I am going to sleep $t c e^{\prime} j a j k$ (stem $t c e^{\prime} j$; I leave $t c e^{\prime} j r j k$ ) I wish to leave
61. -vato (Kamchadal) expresses intention to act, and beginning of an action.
tülčkwa'tojn (stem ưlčk) I am going to have a look at him tno ${ }^{\prime}$ vatojk (stem nu to eat) I am going to eat
62. -čhat expresses anger of the speaker. (Kor. Kam. -čñat) qamitvačha'trrkin or qamitvačha'arkin confound him! he eats pintiqaačha'tyain the bad one appeared 27.3
garalêella'Lên what has the bad one done 31.9
$\hat{2} \hat{\hat{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} \hat{c} h a a^{\prime}+y \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ the bad one perished $43.11 ; 44.5$
timi'chamnên he killed the bad one 44.5
am-racese $\bar{c} h a^{\prime} n \cdot \tilde{n} a$ naličha'ty $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ you bad one want to die 65.23
Kor. Kam. $n$ utočña'tekm he lumbers forth
63. -ttur. This suffix has been discussed on p. 736 (Kor. Kam. -tčư). pêla'tkolit those who had left her 33.8
This suffix also transforms transitive verbs into intransitive verbs. The subject is then placed in the absolute form; the object, in the possessive form. These forms, however, are used only with personal pronouns.
gŭmu'ki git pêla'tkerkin you leave me

The suffix -tku (Kor. Kam. -tcuu) also indicates prolonged or increased action.
vili'urkin (Kor. Kam. vil! ${ }^{\prime}$ viken he makes peace with) he buys vilı'tkurkm (Kor. Kam. viḷtečučkın) he trades geilitkoi'vulin u'kkäm they distributed vessels 14.1
trmitkoi'vuk slaughtering 49.3
timi'tkenênat he killed all 61.4; 112.3
monranmŭtko'ñınat let us slay them all 101.19
$v e^{\varepsilon} t k o{ }^{s} a^{\prime} a^{s} t$ they all died 112.2 $t_{\text {Imítkerkin }}$ (Kor. Kam. timitčoǒkın) he kills many
Koryak:
gačvi'tčulinau they are all cut entirely Kor. $\pm 7.7$
gaplitču'linau they finished it Kor. 50.1
ḷelapitcoñoo'ykin he looks up Kor. 42.8
galuapitčoñ'o'? en she looked around Kor. 44.9
yenoťoño'ykin he is eating Kor. 13.6
gaqanñıtčoñvo'len she was jealous Kor. 96.1
Paren qigitetkin'gın look at it! Kor. 101.11
tigil $n u-c ̌ \check{c}^{\prime} c ̌ u-\tilde{n} a w-i-u ̌ m$ snow-shoe-strings-verily-eating-woman am I (tigi'lñan snowshoe-string; -u to consume -ču'ču $u$ [ $<t k u-$ tku] verily; naw women) Kor. 59.7
The suffix $-t k$ is always used in the transitive veris to indicate the forms thou-us; ye-me, us (see $\$ 63$ ). It gives the verb a generalized form. For instance:
$p e ̂ l a ' t k e \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ thou leavest a number (meaning us)
pêla'tketık ye leave a number (meaning me or us)
The element $i_{n \hat{e}}$ - has the same sense, but the two are never used together (see § 113, 28).
68. - tvi to attain a certain quality, to becone (Kor. Kam. -tvion). $u^{\varepsilon} m_{\text {I }}$ tvi'rkin $^{\prime}$ (stem $u^{\varepsilon} m$ ) (Kor. Kam. umitvi'kin [stem um]) he becomes broad.
 enénetvri ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he acquired shamanistic power 19.12; 18.4
enéñtvi-turi you acquired shamanistic power 18.3
nŭmqitvi'qin it diminished 20.2, 4
nŭpluetvi'qin it becomes small 20.3
wulqütvi' $i^{\varepsilon}$ it grew dark 54.9
Kor. Kam. qamalıtva'thrtik cause it to become better Kor. 13.2
Kor. Kam. $v_{1}{ }^{\text {s }}$ ya'tvik to fainting Kor. 64.9
69. -čét with adjectives: to feel-(Kor. Kam. - $\check{c}(t)$ ).
mitteñiče'erkin we feel good 69.8
teañčét tirkin (tẹn good) (Kor. Kam. tañčátikin) he feels good $\operatorname{taniche} \hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I n O} \hat{e ̂}^{\varepsilon}$ he began to feel well 33.5
omičét ${ }^{\prime}$
70. - ecw, -et, are often added to the stem, but the meaning of these suffixes is not clear.
eime' $u$ to approach
eine u to call
ureu to appear 53.6
omau to get warm
ulvêu to remain motionless 37.2
yıgreu thirsty
wêthan to talk
puulqea to float
ptkeu to hit
marau to quarrel
meteu to be unable
teikeu to wrestle
ten.neu to laugh
tumgou to become friendly
numekeu to gather
notas qau land approaches
limalu'u to obey
lvau unable
lqäineu to shoot
kryeu to be awake
kimeu to cause delay
korgau to be glad ydthau fear
terkeu to be a certain num-
eimet to take
eiñet to roar ureut
gi'tter hungry
grttekau guide
ginteu to flee
lpuuret to exchange
ewkwet to depart eret to fall
ergewet to be submerged 17.4
yiret full
yopat to visit
yuulet alive
wêttat to tear with antlers
ventet to be open
vinret to help
pelqäntet to return
pêkagtat to fall down
peñet tired
tautauat to bark
têrgat to ery
tulet to steal
čipet to plunge ber on a series

Possibly related to the preceding is $\boldsymbol{n I} \boldsymbol{I}$-eu (Kor. Kam.-aut) adverbial suffix. The Koryak form is not used very frequently (see p. 842).
nme'ler qatra' $\hat{e}^{s}$ be kind (to us)! a common form of prayer
nitélen trrkipli'ct ${ }^{8}$ n struck him painfully (tel to sulfer, to have pain)
nıglau qatıu'rkin be sorrowful! (glo sorrowful, here contracted with au; tea to be)
nime'len well (mal good); (Kor. Kam. nima'leu [mal good])
ni'téeu heavily (itč heavy); (Kor. Kam. ni'tčau)
Some adverb; are formed in an irregular manner.
$a^{s^{s}}$ tqêuma (from $e^{\prime} t q i \widetilde{n}$ bad; stem $\ddot{a} q \ddot{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$ 62.72)

Kor. Kam. $a^{\prime} t c_{1} \check{n} a u$ (from $a^{\prime} t c_{c} \check{n}$ bad; stembadly aqa)
Kamchadal $h \ddot{a}^{\prime} q \ddot{a}^{s}$ (from e'č'!kelax bad)
$m e^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} E n \cdot k_{I}$ (Chukchee $m e \check{c}<m e l$ good; $E n \cdot k_{I}$ thus) well $\imath^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon}$ (Koryak ni'naqin quick) quickly
71. -ruerms formative of impersonal verbs expressing phenomena of nature (Kor. Kam. -yu).

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { iléerkIn it is raining } & \text { illru'rkIn it is beginning to } \\
\text { rain } \\
\text { yoa'arkIn(Kor. Kam.yoyoa'- } & \text { yogro'rkin (Kor. Kam. yoyo- } \\
\text { tek } I n \text { ) the wind is blowing } & \text { yóekin) the wind begins } \\
& \text { to blow }
\end{array}
$$

lä́slenru' $i^{\varepsilon}$ winter came 14.9
aivê'črrok in the evening 26.3
rogrro' $\tilde{n} \tilde{n} o k$ (stem $r \lg$ 27.13) at the beginning of dawn 26.9
la $a^{\varepsilon} l a^{\varepsilon /}$ nroma at the beginning of cold 33.6
githaro'k in the beginning of the autumn 33.6
regrro'ka not dawning 56.9
iliru' ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ it begins to rain 116.8
gásirgtrygriro'lên the snow began to drift 94.28
The same suffix is used with stems of different character.
cêlhiro'ê it becomes red 23.9
ntềrgire'qên he began to cry 55.3
Koryak:
gawyalyo'len a snowstorm set in Kor. 15.1
laqłañyo'ykin winter came Kor. 72.5
$p_{i} \tilde{n} a^{\prime} t i k m$ it is snowing
peñayo'ékrn it is beginning to snow
72. -ru is used also to express great number. This suffix is different from the preceding.
$q \ddot{a r r} u^{\prime} \ddot{a}$ st they came in great numbers 67.16
waqero' $a^{\varepsilon} t$ they were sitting in great numbers 68.29
Kor. Kam. gawya'? yolen a strong snowstorm came Kor. 15.1 laqlañyo'ykin winter came strongly Kor. 72.5 (sce above).

## §111. DERIVED FROM NOUNS

73. -nIta to fetch (Kor. Kam. the same).
rañ-ñta'rkin what are you going to fetch? why do you come? añañalinta'lit shaman fetchers 45.7
Koryak:
yax-ñta'ykm what are you going to fetch?
74. -tuwe. -t tr to take off (clothing) (Kor. Kam. -tiva).
$k \hat{\delta}^{\prime} t t u w a^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\Lambda}^{\varepsilon}$ he took off his clothes 109.15
kềttuwa'nnên he undressed her 50.11
nicuituve' $q$ in he took off his outer coat 57.3
wutu'čchtvuê he took off his overcoat 35.5
mêrêgtuwu' $\hat{e}$ he brushed away the tears 49.9
ninecínqetnwéqin (n-ine-činke-tuwe-qin, činke saliva) he removes saliva $13 \pm .27$
Koryak:
nimeyeyitera'qen he brushed off the tears Kor. 36.10
gatamtisa'len he spit out bones Kor. 56.8
prai-tivai' he took off his boots
 after consonants; -gŭp after diphthongs ending in I and in a few other cases (compare the ablative -грй $\S 42, \mathrm{p} .704$ )
 têrêpưa $a^{\varepsilon} k<t-i r-\hat{e} p_{0}-(\breve{u})-\ddot{u}_{1}^{\varepsilon} k$ I put on my fur-shirt


75. -gili- to search for (as in hunting) (Kor. Kam. the same).
kulte'-ili'rkit they are looking for thong-seal sole-hide
$g_{I n n}{ }^{\prime} g$-gili' $l_{\text {Lqügt }}$ little game-procurers $4 t .8$
pilıgıli" ${ }^{\prime}$ It food-procurers 44.9
ginne' g-gili'llt game-procurers 44.9
76.     - $\mu$ to consume, то еat (Kor. Kam. - $\mu$ ) (perhaps related to the verb $n u_{\lambda}$ [initial ruut, Kor. Kam. yu [initial nu $]$ ]. ${ }^{1}$
Ennurken (Kor.Kam. Ennu'ikern) he eats fish
 $k_{\text {r m }}{ }^{\prime} u^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t$ they ate marrow 33.12 $m$ mpo'ntočéta let as eat a little liver 43.7
mipo'ntoqên he ate liver 43.9
qaponto'tik eat liver! $6+.21$
also
gamêmele'lên he caught a seal 43.2
Koryak:
mitasttayr'pnula we eat inner skin of dog Kor. 48.9
trya'yilku I'll eat pudding Kor. 30.2 also
trqu'payuk I got a wolverene Kor. 59.1

## §112. Adjective and Adverb

## ADJECTIVE (Kamchadal)

Adjectives are formed with the suffix-
78. -läx (sometimes -7ax)
$\ddot{o}^{\prime}$ mlax deep (cf. Ch. um broad)
iu'läx long (cf. Ch. iul long)
o'lolax small
The plural is formed with the usual suffix $-(I)^{\varepsilon} n$.
$o^{\prime} l o l a x I^{\varepsilon} n k i^{\prime} s t I^{\varepsilon} n c ̌$ small houses (diminutive form)
This usage differs from that of Chukchee and Koryak, where the plural attribute is used in synthetic form.
qai-yaraqa'gtê (Chukchee) small houses
In forms with post-positions the adjective in -lax is placed before the noun.
$o^{\prime}$ loldx-kê'stčanke to the small house (diminutive allative)
It seems not unlikely that the synthetic use of attributive stems has disappeared under Russian influence. Russian and Koryak adjectives are often used by the Kamchadal, in their foreign form, almost without change.
niru'qin xva'lč a sharp knife (niru'qin is Koryak)
$n ' m i^{\prime} t q i n k!c ̌ a a^{\prime} m j a n l '$ a wary man ( $n$ 'mi'tqin is Koryak)
$n v e^{\prime}$ thagen $u^{\varepsilon} h$ a straight tree (nve'thaqen is Koryak)
$n v e^{\prime}$ thala $a^{\varepsilon} n u^{\varepsilon 8} h^{\varepsilon} n$ straight trees (nve'thala $a^{\varepsilon} n$ is a Koryak form)
vo'stroi xvalč a sharp knife (ro'stroi is Russian)
There is no phonetic assimilation of any of these adjectives.
A few predicative forms correspond to the Chukchee-Koryak forms in $n I-q i n$.
$k!n i^{\prime} t a i n$ the clever one(from $n i^{\prime} t a$ sense, wit); cf. $n_{I-g} g_{I t} e^{\prime} p-$ qin (Chukchee) the clever one.
79. -q, $\boldsymbol{- a q}$, are sometimes found with attributive stems. These forms are generally compounded with verbs. This form is probably identical with the locative form of the stem.
wičha'qu-wa'lin the flat one
koulo'qu-wa'lin the round one
êmpa'qu-wa'lin the downcast one
koulo'qı qätei'kıgın (Kor. Kam. qo' ${ }^{\prime}$ oñ qatai'kıgın) make it round em elvula'q re'mkın ralai'vüñnoê only in a different manner people shall begin to walk about 86.14

S0. -y yit distributive numbers (K. K. -yut dual, -yuugi pl.)
(See also § 123, p. 839)


The Chukchee distributives have also the prefix em- (see § 113, no. 7, p. 816 ; § 123, p. 839).
em-ñire'yuta qanpitvaarke'etkr just two each make it double (the clothing)
These forms take post-positions, definite, augmentative, and diminutive forms.
ennanyou'ti to one each
Ennanyoi'pŭ from one each
81. - $\check{c} e,-\check{c} \underset{\sim}{\ddot{u}}$ numeral adverbs (Kor. Kam. - $\check{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{a}$ ).

Chukchee
qune'c ${ }^{\circ} \ddot{a}^{1}$
niréćc̈
nıİróča
nıั̌ $a^{\prime}$ 'ća 12.8
miLínča
mıngr'tča

Kor. Kam.
Enna'nc̆a, qu' $n \cdot a c^{1}$ Kor. once 53.2
niye'ća
nıyo' $\check{c} a$
$\tilde{n} a y{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ča
milénéa
mingI'tča
twice
three times
four times
five times
ten times

Kor. Kam. exune'če all the time Kor. 92.19
82. -(I) $\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}$ (Chukchee and Koryak) is a suffix which is often added to the stems of adjectives when compounded, in Chukchee with the form $v a^{\prime} l_{\text {In }}\left(<t v a+l_{I n}\right)$ the one who is, in Koryak with $i^{\prime} t c l a a^{\varepsilon} n\left(i t t+l a^{\varepsilon} n .{ }^{2}\right)($ see p. 764)
In Chukchee the $\tilde{n}$ before $v$ generally changes to $m$. In other cases the suffix is dropped entirely. The connective vowel then changes to $u$ before the $v$, which in turn changes to $w$.
$q a^{\prime} t v u m-v a_{a}^{\prime} l_{\text {l }}\left(\right.$ (Kor. Kam. qa'toun-i'tal $a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) being strong
ta $a^{\prime} \tilde{n} u m-v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ or $t a^{\prime} \tilde{n} u-w a_{a}^{\prime} l_{n} n$ (Kor. Kam. ta' $\tilde{n} \tilde{n}-i_{1}^{\prime} t a l a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) being good

[^82]A number of predicative stems do not form the nominal form in -lin (§ 54, p. 717), but always use the form in -(i) $\tilde{n}$ compounded with valin.
 émpum-va'lin the one downcast
Kor. Kam. qo'loñ-itala $a^{\varepsilon} n$ the round one
These Chukchee forms may also take the ending - $q$ or $-a q$ (see this section, No. 79). The compounds with $r^{\prime} a^{\prime} l_{I n}$, when referred to a locative case, express the comparative. They are used frequently in this connection.
gámga-qla'ulık qáátrum-váalê̂um I am stronger than everybody (gemge- every; qlául man; -k possessive; qeto strong; - $i$ um I [s 73, p. 758])
§ 113. Prefixes

1. êto- a little.
êto'-qaia'qañ a little afterwards 45.11, 136.24 (Eto ${ }^{\prime}$ 51.4)
mač-êto'pêl a little better 135.7
2. éámkin- every.
$a^{\prime} m k m$-aivêčhêt $t_{I}$ every evening 28.9
$\hat{e}^{\prime} m k_{I n}-k_{r y e u}{ }^{\prime} k_{I}$ at every awaking 29.2
3. tilv-quite.
trlv-am-gina'n quite you only 30.4
$t_{\text {I }} l v-a^{\prime} \mathrm{min}^{2}$ an quite alone $31.6,13 ; 58.9$
tılv-ui' $\tilde{n} \ddot{u}$ quite nothing 56.4; 60.1
4. tInki-just is used less frequently, generally with a deprecatory meaning.
tink-am-gŭmna'n just I only
tink-ui'ñä just nothing
$t_{I n k}-u i^{\prime} n a ̈$ ränut he has nothing at all R 63.88
$t_{ı n k}$-a'tqêuma quite badly (see § 125, p. 842)
5. $\boldsymbol{p I I} \check{c}-$ only, merely.
6. Itn-(Kor. Kam. ImIñ-, Kamchadal mŭni't) all.
$i^{\prime} m e-r u u^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ nut all kinds 111.28
$i^{\prime}$ mu-ginni'kä all kinds of game 128.9
Koryak
Imi-pla' $a^{\prime} k u$ all boots
$I^{\prime} m i \tilde{n} n o o^{\prime} w g e$ all the boiled meat Kor. 28.6
$i^{\prime} m i n ̃ q u i-v a i^{\prime} a m t i$ all little rivers Kor. 17.1
The form mallo' 28.9 occurs as a particle, and independently with noun and without; $m_{m} I^{\prime} l_{I n}$ takes the same kinds of forms as nouns in -lin (see p. 717).

The Kamchada! form mini'l forms-
Allative minnela'nke
Allative, possessive, instrumental m亢̆nilink, less often $m i^{i \varepsilon} l$.
7. em- mere (Kor. Kam. am-, Kamchadal eme). The prefix is always used with Chukchee distributive numbers.
em-néus•qütti (Kor. Kam. $a^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} m-\tilde{n} a^{\prime} w_{I} s^{*} q a t u$, Kamchadal $\hat{e} m-n i^{\prime} m$ $c_{r r}^{\varepsilon} n$ ) mere women
em- $-\epsilon^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ ttim mere bones 35.5
em-mu'Litä all with blood 40.10
em-ñe'nři all these 41.10
em-nu' $\tilde{n}_{c}$ čit those from the mainland $64.12 ; 65.26$
čiq-em-nu' $\pi q i$ far inland 114.25
em gInu' $n$-nIki'ẗ̈ midnight 9.11
am-noñét $t$ j just inland $67.19 ; 114.24$
am-gina'n only thou 30.3
am-taaro'n $a$ with all kinds of sacrifices 41.9
$a m-r a v e e^{\varepsilon} \check{c} h a^{\prime} n \cdot n a$ merely to die 65.23
am-ya'ata only by using it 143.3
Koryak:
am-c̆erepro'nau entirely silver Kor. 22.10
am-ma'kil-ñe' eta only with two diaper-strings Kor. 23.5
am-ma'na just in different directions Kor. 25.6
8. $\boldsymbol{p l I}$ - (with nouns) Every.
gaplikoi'ñlên every one has a tea-cup
niplitañte'nmüqên they were applying everything 41.3
9. $m e^{\varepsilon}-, m I q-\operatorname{sMall}$.
10. méc- somewhat.
met-ki'it somehow 40.7
meč-telenye'pkin somewhat of old 61.5
mač-ya'a far enough 62.12
mač-êwga' $n$ as an incantation 39.13

11. mel- like (Kor. Kam. mal-).
mel-uwä́s'quč it seems like a husband 49.9
12. mite- actually.
mite'-vilm actually dead
mité-ginni'k actually game 84.28
13. timñe'- any (Kor. pata').
tımñe'-méñin whosoever
$t_{I} m \tilde{n} e^{\prime}-r^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\prime} n u t$ whatsoever
tйт $\tilde{n}$-alva'lag wheresoever 24.11
$t \breve{u}^{\prime} m \tilde{n} \hat{e}-m \hat{e}^{\prime} m l_{i k} \hat{e} n g_{I} n n i^{\prime} k$ any kind of water game 25.6
trmñ-añqa'gtı somewhere to seaward 13.1
14. ter- how many (Kor. Kam. tasy-).
tar-qa'ata ewkwe'tyis with how many reindeer did he drive away?
15. ěiq- ExCessively.
cêqI-yáa too far
cêê-a'lvam-va'lag how very strange! 76.5; 63.4
čiq-em-mu' $\tilde{n} q i$ far inland 11.4.25
čêq-a'lvam va'lin being very strange $29.8 ; 38.8 ; 63.4,6 ; 86.27$
ciq-etuwä'k all at once 43.10
16. $\check{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{h i} \mathbf{i}$ - hardur, always used with the negative (probably from gIčhi as in nigr'čhiqin $i_{A} n$ RARE).
ačleêqamintraka almost nothing eaten, hardly anything eaten
17. lI- (only with certain pronouns and pronominal adverbs) every.
$l_{I-m e ̂} n k I$ everywhere
$l_{I}-m e ̂ n k o$ from everywhere

li'i-tên-evi'rälin really well closed 33.3
$l_{r} \hat{e}^{\hat{e}}$-taničêt $\hat{e}^{\prime} n o \hat{e}^{5}$ she began to feel truly well 33.5
nilhmúmkäqin really quite numerous 111.16
$l \hat{e}^{\prime} \hat{e}-t \hat{e} w e ̂ n a \tilde{n} a^{\prime} t a$ with a genuine paddle 31.4
$l_{I}{ }^{\prime} \hat{e}-\tilde{n} a r a u^{\prime} t i l_{\theta}$ really wife seeking 57.1
$l i^{\prime} i-i^{\prime} p p e$ quite truly 57.2
Kor. Kam. nilheni'ktaqen a very hard one
19. pIl- (Kamchadal) quickly.
|| xpil-nu'xč you eat quickly
20. $x \cdot \mathbf{i}$ - (Kamchadal) quite, very.
\| $x \cdot \hat{e}-p l o x$ very large
|| $x \cdot i$
21. 1hio, liei (Kamchadal) actually, truly.
$t-l^{\circ} i-t p I^{\prime} l_{I j k}$ I really shake myself (i. e., I can shake myself properly)
 - $\operatorname{ktI} \boldsymbol{I}-\mathrm{J})$.
nigtilaulau' $q$ ên he mocked much $143.1 ; 14.4$
gagtan'ñınai'pülên she was very angry 89.3
gagtr-palka'tá $a^{\varepsilon} n$ very decrepit 111.26
qagtiqami'tvatık eat ye enough! 65.16
3045-Bull. 40, pt. 2-12--52

When this prefix is used with the nominalized verb in $n_{I}-q i n$, $k_{g} t$ either precedes the prefix $n_{I-}$, or the $n_{I}$-may be repeated initially kim-nimai' ${ }^{\prime} \tilde{n} q \hat{e} n$ or nigtı-nImai' $E \tilde{n} q e \hat{e} n$ it is quite large
23. $\boldsymbol{q u} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u}$ - single (Kor. Kam. quen-).
qon- $m_{I}^{\prime} n g a$ with a single hand 67.19
qon-qa'a with a single reindeer
qon-ra' $l_{\text {In }}$ with a single house 34.1
qona'činkina with nine (i. e. with a single [finger remaining] behind) 147.1
24. gemge- every (Kor. Kam. ga'mga-).
$g_{a}^{\prime} m g_{d}-g_{I n} i^{\prime} k$ every kind of game 41.11
ge'mge-ni'kin everybody 66.28
ga'mga-n' mg ŭpŭ from every settlement 36.1
ge'mge-nute'qin from every land 11.5
Koryak:
$g a^{\prime} m g a-q a i-\tilde{n} a^{\prime} w_{1 s}{ }^{*} q a t$ every little woman Kor. 34.9
ga'mga-olqqrwe'tin to every cache Kor. 66.17
25. pata- (Koryak) any (Chukchee timn'e- (see No. 13, p. 816) ).
paLa' ${ }^{\prime}-m a^{\prime} k i$ whosoever
pata'-yI'nna whatever
26. lun- negative particle, always used with nominal forms of the verb. There is no corresponding form in either Koryak or Kamchadal.
luñ-i'rä not crossing 41.5
lûñ-iwkuči'tä not drinking 37.3
luñ-res ${ }^{\circ} i^{\prime}$ wäie'tyä́st they did not want to enter 115.19
lun-lue ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ tü not seen 11.9
tegge'ñu luñ-I'lintin has no desire 93.32
luñ̄-čec'vö̈ without walking
loñ-êna'tvata without promises 101.23
loñ-rpa'ulın not drinking
loñ-wa'loma not heeding 21.13
With the auxiliary verb -nt- (initial $r_{I} t$-), it is the usual form of expressing the negative of the transitive verb.
 see thee)
27. egn-sometimes replaces the negative particles $u i^{\prime} \pi \ddot{n}, e^{\prime} L e$, and $e n \cdot n e^{\prime}$.
agn-aqami'tvakia do not eat!
agn-a'nmŭka without killing R 44.11
28. ine- transforms transitive verbs into intransitives, cither without other change of meaning or with the significance to do on behalf of one's self. The object, when retained, is expressed in the locative.
tineniete'erkin ki'mitık I take the load away for myself ( $t$ - I; nlete to take away; -rkin present; ki'mit-load)
The use of ine- in the transitive verb has been discussed in $\S 63$, p. 736.

Examples are:
$\hat{e} n a p \hat{p}{ }^{2} \hat{e}^{\prime} \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ thou leavest some one (namely, me)
ênapêla'tık ye leave some one (namely, me)
See, also, $\S 110,67$.
29. inen- transitive (see te-n(I), § 114, 2, p. 821).
30. la!k- (Kamchadal) how many, some; used independently in the plural.
$\| a^{\prime} l_{1}^{\varepsilon} n k c x 0^{\varepsilon} n$ how many dogs?


## § 114. Inclusive Affixes

1. To cause to.
(a) With intransitive verbs.

Chukchee.
$r(I)$ - u (after terminal vowel)
Kor. Kam.
$r(I)$ - eu (after terminal consonant) $y(I)-v$
$r(I)$ - et (after terminal $u$ diphthong $\quad y(I)$-at $i u, ~ c u, a u)$
After verbal prefixes, the $r(I), y(I)$, changes to $n(I) .{ }^{1}$
$r_{I}$-qamitva' $u$-rkin-e $n$ he was made to eat 9.8 (from qumitva)
rI-tel-e'u-rkin you cause to be unwell (from $t_{E} l$ )
$r_{I}$-ñelkiwe'-n-nin he was made to sit on it 8.11
ri-gg-eu'-nin he awakened him 7.5
$n$ n-nto-w'-nên he made him go out 60.3
$r_{1-p r u t i k-e u '-n i n ~ h e ~ m a d e ~ i t ~ a p p e a r ~} 9.8$
ga-n- -éclêt-au'-lên he made it jump off 47.7
rymırau'nênat they caused them to be anointed 74.33
ineqüli'keukI (we) induced her to marry 26.5
ganto'mgaulên has been created 42.1
anintoña'tkelên she does not make it go out 54.6
qanintoña'ty $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ canse him to go ont! 54.7

Koryak:
$y-a w y-a^{\prime} t-i k I n$ you cause to eat (from awyi)
$y I-t a l-a^{\prime} w-i k m$ you cause to be unwell (from tal; ta $a^{\varepsilon}$ ?-1'-ikin you are unwell)
yryıgıčha'wik tickling (him) Kor. 18.9
qinathileu' make it warm! Kor. 29.3
qanva'kyintat tear him up Kor. 30.7
tenanikyo'nñeoi it begins to awaken us Kor. 39.4
ganipga'wlenau he made them climb up Kor. 43.4
ganvaqyila'wlen she made him stand with legs apart Kor. 80.20
(b) With transitive verbs.

| Chukchee | Kor. Kum. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $r(I)-\tilde{n} e t$ | $y(I)-w, v$ |  |
| $r_{I}-k e ̂ t o-\tilde{n} a^{\prime} t-I-r k I n ~ y o u ~ r e-~$ | $y I-k e t o-v-e^{\prime} k_{I n}$ | you remind him |
| mind him (from kêto to | (from keto) |  |
| remember) |  |  |

(c) A number of verbs have no suffixes, but only the prefix $r(I)$-Kor.

Kam. $y[I]$-)
r-ere'erkin you cause it to fall down (from ere'e)
ra'tvunên she carried it in 28.7
reimeu'ninet it approached them 41.4
rintininet she threw them out 87.30
Kor. Kam. yI-kima'w-ikin you detain him (from kimaw to be ow)
(d) A number of intransitive verbs belonging to group (a) become transitive.
ru-wêthaw-a't-j-rkin you speak to him (from wêthau to speak) ru-wêt•hawau'nên it talked to her 32.3

In Kamchadal two prefixes are found, $n$ - and lin-. Of these, the former corresponds to the Chukchee-Koryak forms-
$t-I-n-k i^{\prime} l e-j-I n$ I surround him (from kile; $t-k k^{\prime} l e-j k$ I turn around) $t-l i^{\prime}-n u-j-I n$ I feed him (from $n u$ to eat; $t$-nu-jk l eat)
$t$-lin-hi'l-I-j-In I give him to drink (from hil; t-hi'l-r-jk I drink)
$t-o-n-c l-I^{\prime}-j-I_{n}$ I cause him to lie down (from $c l ; t-c o l-o-j k$ I lie
down)
Note.-Certain verbs may be used both intransitive and transitive:
tŭpa'urkin I drink
$\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u s^{\prime} q \ddot{a} t g i^{\prime} u l i n$ the woman said 98.7
$\check{n} i^{\prime} r a ̈ q q \check{c} a^{\prime} g t e ̂$ napa'unea they have drunk two pieces of bark tea
Ta'n•ña g.i'ulin the Tan•ñit told him 98.5
2. $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{e}-\boldsymbol{\tilde { n }}(\boldsymbol{I})$ to make something (Kor. Kam. $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{a}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}[\boldsymbol{I}]$ ). This may be related to the verb teikI (Kor. Kam. taiki) to make.
tirvu'ñrkin he makes sharp things, i. e., arms (stem irv); Kor. Kam. tisvínikin
nitepleñule'tq $\hat{i n}$ she made boots for him 112.24 (stem plekboots; -let frequentative [ $\$ 110.53]$ )
When this prefix is used with verbs, the additional prefix inen- (Kor.
Kam. inan-) is generally inserted. It indicates the transitive. The meaning of the compound is causative.
tinenyéñ̃rtkrn (Kor. Kam. tinanya'ñ̃ikm) you make him come
tênantemgr'̃̃rkm you cause it to create itself, and from this the noun Tênanto'mgiñ ${ }^{1}$ (Kor. Kam. Ter $n$ anto'mvin) one who causes things to create themselves (i. e., Creator)
tênanyi'ln-ora'wêtan a person who causes one to give (i. e. beggar)
3. $\boldsymbol{r e} \boldsymbol{e}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{I})$ expresses the desiderative (Kor. Kam. $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}[\boldsymbol{I}]$ ). The prefix and suffix of these forms are identical with those of the future, but the suffix is placed immediately following the stem and is itself followed by the suffixes belonging to the tenses.
rapa'wñrkrn (Kor. Kam. yapa'wñekin) he desires to drink (stem: Ch. t̆pau, Kor. Kam. apaw)
rerku'runtkin (stem rkur) (Kor. Kam. yǎku'yñikın [stem 乞̌kuy]) he desires to buy
ranto $\tilde{n}^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{n} \tilde{n} i$ he wanted to come out 83.10 (stem nto to come out; -ñno to begin)
ravêêtha'n $\tilde{n} a$ do you want to die? 67.1 (stem $v \varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ to die; - $\begin{gathered}\text { chat }\end{gathered}$ [ $\$ 110.66]$ )
nure'viénqin he wants to die 99.27

Koryak:
tryayr'?qutin I want to sleep Kor. 30.3
tryayai'tin I want to go home Kor. 30.5
ganka'wlinau ya's qanñk $^{\prime}$ they ceased to wish to go Kor. 58.2
4. e-kie, e-kë̆ (Kor. Kam. a-ki, a-ka; Kor. Par., e-ki,
 expressing without --.

1 This form is different from the form for He creates them. The "Creator" is therefore, even in grammatical form, only a " Wettgestalter."

The compounds formed with e-ki are nominal. They are formed from both nominal and verbal basis.
anvênauka'gtı geuku'sin he tied her to an unbroken (reindeer)
50.12 (nvinen to break a reindeer: -gta allative [\$40] gelin [ 874 ]; wkut to tie)
elile'ki eyeless
aa'lakê a person without knife
enı'nnıki nameless one ( $=$ fourth finger)
Koryak:
| $a^{\prime}$ xgrke kuma'tı the hairless one grew angry Kor. 24.8
The compounds formed with $e-k \ddot{a}$ are used as complements of the verb.
equ'lıkäääne'lhitik make yourselves voiceless 60.10
$i^{\prime} m l_{I} k \ddot{a} t_{1} t v a^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} k$ I was without water
akè́'rika nélyi $i^{\varepsilon}$ it became lightless 94.11
$\hat{e} \pi i^{\prime} n q \ddot{a} \hat{a} k \ddot{a}$ nere'tčmư̌k we shall be made childless 39.4
res ${ }^{*} i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w k w \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} k \hat{k} r k a$ he entered without clothing 35.10
$a^{\prime} k e ̂ e r k a n ̃ a n ~ r a ' g t t \hat{e}^{\hat{E}}$ he came home without clothing 35.10
aiwa'nka mitine'l we came to be without an Aiwan 47.12
aqami'tvaka titca'ak not eating I was
awgêtkinka not saying anything 26.6
$e^{\prime}$ Le élqütä not going 46.8
aurrikếgti not appearing 66.10
á'lonka heedless 67.9
$e^{\prime}$ Le eu'rretkä not appearing 62.1
akerkitvis' at they took off clothes (they became without clothing) 47.5
$e^{\prime}$ gripgic awgêntoya'nvuka she felt pain the breathless one 63.8 ( $e^{\prime}$ grip to feel pain; -gie ${ }^{\varepsilon}[\leqslant 64]$; wgit breath; $\tilde{n}_{1}$ to to go out; -yanv verbal noun [s.304.3S])
eqäãñe'tkä genétyin he had become without moaning (i.e., he had ceased moaning) 34.7
ete'lkä nine'lqin he came to be without suffering 25.11
Koryak:
akmi'ñka gi'tinat childless they were Kor. 43.8
aqalhai'aka qitr'yken-i'-gi not crying be! Kor. 37.1
akle'woka tina ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ? $l_{1 k}$ without bread I remained Kor. 16.2
ava'leika yana $a^{\varepsilon}$ a'ntık you will be without blubber Kor. 80.13
gŭmna'n ui'ña yı'nna eílilka tı'ntıgán (Chukehee gŭmna'n $e^{\prime} L e$ räas nut $e^{\prime} i l k \ddot{a} t^{\prime} n t i \ddot{a}^{s} n$ ) I not anything (not) given I had to him

In some cases, particularly with ui'nä nothing, there is nothing, the forms in $-k a$ appear apparently predicative, presumably with
omission of a predicate of existence. More frequently the forms in -kälin are used as predicative forms (see p. 824)
$u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} \ddot{a}$ aa'raka nothing, houseless (i. e., there was nothing, not [even] a house) 31.7
ui'ñä eleu'tık $\ddot{a}$ nothing, headless (i. e., there was nothing, not [even] a head) 47.8
$u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} \ddot{a}$ epi'n$\tilde{n} k \ddot{a}$ (Kor. Par. $e^{\prime}$ Le epi'n$\left.\tilde{n} k e\right)$ there is no powder
Koryak:
$u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} a$ aña'wtınka he had no wife Kor. 50.5
ui'ña a'nvilka he did not stop Kor. 51.8
ui'na ava'leika? is there no blubber? Kor. 80.12
ui'ña kama'kanu ana ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ k $a$ (I) did not become a kamak Kor. 88.10
ui'ña ane'lhryipnuka (we) do not eat inner skin Kor. 49.1
Transitive verbs, when adding e-käa to the stem, hare a passive meaning; with the prefix ine- placed immediately preceding the stem, they have active meaning.
Passive:
anintoñátka rítirkm you make him one who is not caused to go out (i. e., you do not make him go out) 54.10
evegi'tkukä têu'lanên he shook what was not dug out with the nails 47.2
eñ̃i'ukä mi'ni'ntmet let us have them not sent over (i. e. I wish we had not sent them) 58.2
$e^{\prime}$ Le enu ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ 'ka not being eaten 48.8
alo ${ }^{\kappa} k a^{\prime} g t_{r} \quad a^{\prime} a^{\prime} l_{\text {-um }}$ I am not seen 22.10
elu $u^{\varepsilon \prime} k \ddot{a}$ not seen ones 62.1
$e^{\prime}$ цe a'lomka it was not heard 60.10
Koryak:
| uina i'wka ga'ntrlen he was not told so Kor. 62.3
Active:
êna'nmüka rine'nti $i^{\varepsilon}$ thou wilt be one who does not kill 99.9
inenvente'tkäl-i-git thou art one who has not caused it to be open 88.27
inenu'käli-muri we are those who do not consume it 35.1
gŭmna'n ênalwau'kěl-ê-l̆m I am not unable to do it 92.30
inelue'kälinet he has not seen them 70.33
inegite'kälin, e'Le she does not look at me 88.31
The form $e-k \ddot{a}$ is always used for the negative imperative, with the particle en $\cdot \tilde{n} e^{\prime}$.
en $\tilde{n}^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ eLe $e^{\prime} p k a ̈$ do not look 32.6
en' $n e^{\prime}$ inegite'k $\ddot{a}$ do not look at her 37.9
en $\cdot n \overline{e^{\prime}}$ aí'pǔka do not put it on 37.8
en'né a a'qeka do not sit down 37.13
en'ñe' a'tritkoka do not tell 66.29
en $\cdot \pi e^{\prime}$ aqami'tvaka qi'tyitik do not be without eating 64.19 (without verb 65.30)
en'ñe rirowa'ta ata'ka qantı'gıtkı do not pass it at a distance 70.9
en.ñe gi'inu e'lhrkä do not attock it 70.14
en $\mathfrak{n} e^{\prime}$ êna'nmŭka don’t kill me! 103.30
en $\tilde{n} e^{\prime}$ ineqe'plukä do not kick me! 31.12 (31.11 is the same form without en $\cdot \tilde{n} e^{\prime}$ )
Koryak:
Kitta' atawalñ1la'ka do not look back! Kor. 51.6
$k_{1 t t-a^{\prime} u y i k a ~ q i^{\prime} t h i^{*}}$ do not eat!
Kamchadal:
\|| jak-nu'kek (ksıxc) do not eating (be)!
Without $e n \cdot \tilde{n} e^{\prime}$, we find-
atếrgatka do not cry! 7.6
ineqe'plukä do not kick me! 31.11
Koryak:
| annuwai'ka do not leare anything! Kor. 46.2
Here also the auxiliary verb is usually omitted.
Apparently in the form of an adjective, we find-
na'qam ŭm éun aqora' inrêtka $A i^{\prime} w a n$ then, however, the Aiwan, careless of the reindeer, . . . 48.6
qora'ñı enrineukä yılhe'nnin he attached an unbroken reindeer 50.11

Derived from the negative suffix $-k \ddot{a}$ are $-k e ̆ l i n,-k \ddot{a} l i n n$ (Kor. Kam. $-k a ̈ l a^{\varepsilon} n$ ), formed with the suffix -lin (see $\left.\S \S 48,73,74\right)$. This form, in accordance with the character of -lin , is more markedly predicative.
imlitkĕlin he is waterless
Koryak Kamenskoye:
| wotta'kin ake'ykila $n$ that one had no cloths Kor. 78.14
Kamchadal:
ilčilkin without tongue
qaqe'kan without nose
ki'mma gam ni'kin I am not wifeless
The verbal character appears most clearly with pronouns of the first and second person.
anto'kěl-ề-gIt you do not go out 54.10
âa'lomk
alıma'llĕll-ê-gIt you do not obey 54.11

$e^{\prime}$ цe enpılku'wkäl-i-ŭm I am not vanquished 15.9
inenvente'tkäl-i-git thou art one who has not caused it to be open 88.27
gŭmna'n ênalwau'kĕl-ê-̌̌m I am not unable 92.30
eiwule'tkell-mu'ri we do not know it 34.8
inenu'käli-muri we do not eat 35.1
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ Le aqamı'trakël-ê-ŭm I did not eat
Koryak Kamenskoye:
ui'na awyikalai'gŭm I did not eat, but ui'ña a'wyika ti'tik not eating I was

Kamchadal:
qam nu'kek tssk not eating I was
qam nuke'ñkin (ki'mma) I did not eat
Examples of verbal forms of the third person are-
$e^{\prime}$ Le alimalau'kelêen he is one who does not heed 15.12

amata'kělên she was unmarried 28.2
aa'lomk k lêen $n$ she did not listen 26.2; 54.7; 56.2



va'nêvan anto'kĕlên not at all she went out 54.8
emite't-üm ataa'nkĕlên tê'rg̣ılın since she did not touch the crying one 56.6
va'nêvan ęu'rrekêlin it does not appear at all 62.2
eres*qiu'kälin Ena'n čini't he himself did not want to enter 103.17
emıtkäteru'kĕklin the blubber was not scraped off 47.1
nene'neqüi anmtona'tkelên she did not cause the child to go out 54.6
aa'lomkelênat they did not listen 13.5
eyi'lqakelinet they were not sleeping 34.3
ineluc'kälinet he has not seen them 70.33
inegite'kälin she did not look at me 88.31
A few constructions of $-k \ddot{a} l i n$ with $u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} \ddot{u}$ seem quite analogous to forms in $-k \ddot{a}$ with this particle (see p. 823).


enqa'n ui'ñä čit eñe'nkälin that one was nothing, before not with guardian spirits 60.1
Kor. ui'ña ama'yrnkäle-i-gŭm I am not large
Decidedly nominal is-
elilékĔläqügti little eycless ones 45.1
In Kamchadal the adjective suffix -lax $(\$ 112,78)$ before the negative changes to $-\pi x$.
$k i^{\prime} m m a q^{a} m$ ululiz'xkin I am not small
Kamchadal $\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{e}}-\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i}$ with intransitive verbs, $\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{e}} \mathbf{- k i} \boldsymbol{c}$ with transitive verbs, form the negative. These are nominal forms, which are given predicative forms by means of auxiliary rerbs (see p. 779).
$x \cdot \ddot{n} n \prime$ 'ki impossible to eat
$x$ ëtxlekic impossible to beat him
$x \cdot e \quad$ is presumably of the same origin as the particle $x \cdot \ddot{n} c$.

## §§ 115-121. Word-composition

## §115. Introductory Remarks

Stems may be compounded in such a manner that one stem which qualifies another is placed before it. The two stems together form a unit which takes morphological affixes as a whole--prefixes preceding the first stem, suffixes following the second stem. The first stem, therefore, always terminates without morphological suffixes, the second one begins without morphological prefixes. If in the complex of stems a strong vowel or syllable occurs, the whole complex takes the ablaut.
 big fat speckled buck
Each stem may retain the word-forming suffixes or prefixes enumerated in §§ 97-114.

Composition is used particularly for the following purposes.

1. When the second stem is a noun, the first element is an attribute of the second.
2. When the second element is a verb, the first element is an adverbial qualifier of the second. Here belongs particularly the case that when the first stem is a noun, the second a verb, the former is the object of the latter.
§115

## § 116. Attributive Composition

Attributive composition of two nouns is used when the first noun expresses the particular species of the class expressed by the second noun. These are used in the absolute form as well as with postpositions.

1. The first element expresses the particular species of the class expressed by the second term.
ga' $a^{\prime} \lg a-n a^{\prime} l h_{1} n$ bird-skin 7.9
$r_{1} I^{\prime} r k a-k a^{\prime} l a$ walrus spirit 8.4
$p a^{\prime} n v a r-r r^{\prime} r k a t$ two-year-old walrus 8.10
ri'rka-npina'čhin walrus old man 9.6
aiwhua'-npina'čhäqai Eiwhue old man 11.10
ẹiwhue'-ora'wêtuan Eiwhue person 12.4
eiwhué'-ñe'ut Eiwhue woman 12.5
wo'lqı-varrgê'ti to the Darkness-Being 18.11
or $a^{\prime}$ wêr-ra'mka by human people 21.8
$a^{\prime} n q a-v a^{\prime}$ ırgm sea-being 25.4
Ke'le-ñe' wän kele wife 38.11
$a^{\varepsilon} l-\eta l a^{\prime} u l$ excrement man 39.9
poig- $\theta^{\prime}$ tte日t (Kor. Kam. poig-o'ttoot) spear wood
pilvi'ntı-pna'wkun iron file
$r a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-p^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{l} l$ (Kor. Kam. yaq-pI' $\tilde{n} l$ or $y a q a^{\prime}-p \tilde{n}_{l} l$ ) what news 11.2
lile'-ču'u'rmitä̈ on the sight border ( $=$ just out of sight) 11.8
ra'g-čórmik on the house border 12.12

## Koryak:

ñaw'kak daughter Kor. 12.4
pilvi'nti-yI'nnalas $n$ with iron antlers Kor. 21.8
yr'?hrlıu finger-gloves Kor. 22.2

vai-kiltip) $l_{I n}$ little grass-bundle Kor. 27.8
The following special cases deserve mention:
The words qlaul (Kor. Kam. qla'wul) man, ñew (Kor. Kam. ñaw) woman, are used to express the idea of the nomen actoris, and are compounded with verbs as well as with nouns. Thus we find-
$t u^{\prime} l_{I-\tilde{n}} e^{w}$ (Kor. Kam. $t u^{\prime} l_{1-\tilde{n}}(\underline{w}$ ) stealing-woman (=female thief)
$v_{1} n \cdot v r-n ̃ a w$ (Kor. Kam. 'I' $^{\prime} n \cdot v u-\tilde{n} a w$ ) secretly-acting woman ( $=$ female lover)
Kor. Kam. tala' ${ }^{\prime}$-qla'wul striking-man (=blacksmith)
Compounded with a noun is-
$p_{1} l v r^{\prime} n t_{1-q} l a^{\prime} u l$ iron-man (=blacksmith)

The stems qlaul and $q$ lik (Koryak $q l_{l} k$ ) in first position express also made.
qla'ul-keinm (Kor. Kam. ql $\mathrm{l} k-\mathrm{k} a i^{\prime} \pi m$ ) male bear
 express the male.
čumna-rírkı male walrus
Kor. Kam. čŭm $n a^{\prime}-m e^{\prime} m i ?$ male thong-seal
For females the stem new (Kor. Kam. $\bar{n} a w$ ) is used.
$\tilde{n} e-e^{\prime} k i k$ (Kor. Kam. ñaw-a'kik) daughter 28.2
new-kei'üm (Kor. Kam. naw-kai'ñm) she-bear
neuwi'rit female soul 37.11
The Koryak word mtalar (Kamehadal mtilic*) is a contraction of oyámtavilå $n$ person ( $q$ lla'wu? in Koryak desi ates a male adult person), and means literally the one who walks openly, and is meant to designate man as walking visibly, while the spirits walk about invisibly. ${ }^{1}$ The Chukchee has the corresponding word ora'wêtan, which has the same derivation. Compositions with $-m$ tala $a^{\varepsilon} n$ are applied to a number of mythical personages.
Enni'-mtulla ${ }^{\varepsilon^{n}}$ Fish-Man, Fish-Woman
Vuluvi'mtalas $n$ Raven-Man
The Chukchee use in these compositions the element qlaul.
$E^{\prime} n n$ r-qla'ul Fish-Man
ent $^{\prime} n n-n ̃ e w$ Fish-Woman
The Kamehadal forms in -mtilx. are probably borrowed from the Koryak.
Eli'he-mtilix. (Koryak Ilvé-mtala $a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) Wild-Reindeer-Man têpa'-mtalx. (Koryak kitepa'-mtalas ${ }^{〔} n$ ) Wild-Sheep-Man
2. In nouns with suffixes, composition is used to express a number of relations.
(c) The material of which an object is made.
räds-kuprét tä $t_{d}^{\prime} m n \hat{e}_{n} n$ with what kind of a net did he kill it? (räq what: kupre net; $t_{t} m$ to kill)
 horse [from Russian копт])
(b) The idea pertaining to.
tala'n-ramkề pŭ tuwa'lomgán I beard it from people of past times (telenyep long ago; remk-people; walom to hear)

[^83](c) Parts of a whole.
ya'al-gitka'ta geggil-ge'ptrlin he has kicked him with the heel of the hind-foot ( $y a^{\prime} a l$ hind; gitka foot; -ggil heel)
(d) Possession.
 (gŭmŭk my [possessive]; $e^{\prime} k k e$ son; ñelvǔl herd; -gйpй from [今ㅋ․ 42, p. 704])
Note.-In Koryak the possessor may take the same suffixes as belong to the possessed object. This seems to be always the case in the locative.
gŭmı'k kme'ññqo nalvıla'nqo qakmi'tm take it from my son's herd
3. An intransitive verb (adjective) may be combined with a nominal stem so that it qualifies the latter. These compositions are used particularly in oblique cases.
${ }_{\lambda} u l-u^{\prime} t t \neq \ddot{u}$ (Kor. Kam. iwl-u'tta) with a long stick
meiñr-lile't (Kor. Kam. maiñ $r$-l? ${ }^{2} a^{\prime} t$ ) big eyes
Kamchadal $p ? c \check{c} x-k i^{\prime}$-stenk in the large house
tañ-qlaul, pl. tañ-qla'ultê (Kor. Kam. malqla'wul, dual mal-qla'wulte) good man
$t_{\alpha}^{\prime} \tilde{n}-\breve{u} m-v a^{\prime} l_{l n} \operatorname{gcod}$ one
mainu-wail a large knife 16.1
$p u u^{\prime} g l_{I}-l a u t i^{\prime} y n ̃{ }^{\prime} n$ big bare head 27.13

$\ddot{a}^{s} q \ddot{u}$-grépqüi bad little song 59.5
teg-ñe'us•qät a nice woman 62.13
$\tilde{n} i t o^{\prime}-c_{c} \breve{u}^{\prime} m \tilde{n} r$ a shy buck 49.5
$k o r g a^{\prime}-\check{c} a^{\prime} u t$ a lively man 40.3
lii-teñ-evi'rälin really good cloths having 33.3
$r I g-a^{8} t t i n$ a shaggy dog 72.28
êlh-u'kwut a flat stone (= anvil) 77.12
$y_{i t k}{ }^{\prime} m k-u^{\prime} k w u n$ divining-stone 101.3
Koryak:
${\text { énnu mal- } n a^{\prime} w i t k a t a}^{\prime}$ this is a good woman Kor. 19.1
mal--qla'wul a good man Kor. 19.10
tañ-i $i^{\prime \prime} y u$ to (be) a good sky Kor. 20.2
$k a^{\prime} l i-q a^{\prime} n y a n$ ornamented (spotted) palate Kor. 20.2
qai-ñ'wis'qat little woman Kor. 25.1
qui-ka'mak little kamak Kor. 35.5
mal-kal-yekoi'gu-wal knife with well oruamented handle Kor.46.8.
4. When the theme of a transitive verb appears as the first part of a compound, it has a passive meaning:
teik-evi'rin (ready) made clothing 86.22
$a^{\varepsilon}$ tti-yño-kamuanvê'tı to (by) dog-sniffed-(at)-dishes 96.10
tot-tai'ka-kamaanvé' $t_{1}$ to newly made dishes 96.18
ter-1gto'-qaia'n $n$ to a newly born fawn 129.13

## § 11\%. Incorporation of Noun

A nominal stem may be incorporated in the verbal complex, and then forms a unit with the verbal stem which it precedes. The incorporated noun may express the subject of intransitive verbs, the object or instrument with transitive verbs.
(a) Intransitive verbs which incorporate an inanimate noun as subject express a verbal concept relating to a person.
uwi'k pli'tkurkin the body becomes ready but twuwi'k-ǔ-pčı'tkurkin I become body-ready (i.e., I am grown up)
va'lı ${ }^{\prime}$ nto'rkın (Kor. Kam. va'la ${ }^{\prime}$ nto'ykın) the knife comes out but vala-nto'rkin (Kor. Kam. vala-nto'ykin) he is knife-comingout (i. e., he draws his knife)

awgèntoya'nvuka he is without breath going out 63.8
niqolênto'a$n$ his voice goes out 127.8
(b) Verbs with incorporated nominal object. It is hardly feasible to draw a sharp line of demarcation between verhs with incorporated object and the verbal suffixes which form derivatives of nouns ( $\$ 111$, Nos. 73-77). These are - nita to fetch, -tuwe to take off, $九$ p to put on -gili to search for, $-u$ to consume, to eat. Owing to their meaning, these would hardly be expected to occur without object, and they are always suffixed to it-or the object is always incorporated with them. In the texts the incorporated object is used most frequently in phrases in which the action is performed habitually on a certain object, although incorporated forms that express single actions that are not performed habitually are not absent. On the whole, this process does not appear very frequently in the texts.
trqaanma'trrkin (Kor. Kam. tiqoyanma'tekrn) orl I slaughter rein-
 qêna-takêéchi-lpr'nr̆rgée me meat give!
gŭmni'n ékIk qü-kalêttal-lpín $r_{I}-g_{I} n$, my son money-give him! $u^{\prime} t t i-m l e^{\prime} r k m$ (Kor. Kam. $u-m!a^{\prime} y k I n$ ) he breaks a stick
$r_{I}{ }^{\prime} h_{I}-c ̌ v i^{\prime} r k I n$ (Kor. Kam. yI'lhi-čvìykIn) he cuts a finger
kale'-ı̆pürkin (Kor. Kam. pañka-ĭpe'kin) he puts on a cap
qaa-nma'arkin (Kor. Kam. qoya-nma'tekin) he slaughters reindeer
$t_{1} k o i n ̃ m t o ' r k m$ I take out glasses
$t_{I} l e u^{\prime} t_{1} p_{1} g t_{I}{ }^{\prime} k_{i n}$ I have a headache
geleu'tilvitin he cut off her head 86.7
nılautipa' tqên she boiled heads 43.12
načıpa'tinat they boiled fat 14.7
nênavêrıpa'tqên he put cloths on him 127.1
minpêēarếra let us search for food 119.18
valamna' $l_{I n}$ knife-whetter (vala knife) 44.4
niqaa'nmatqên be slaughtered reindeer 48.8, 11
nqquimeviriu'qin he turned the upper part of his trousers outside
(gu'yim upper part of trousers; viriu to turn out) 46.7
geleutirgi'tkutä scratching the head 126.7
nênavêr'uwanla'qên he asks for clothing 126.10
$k \hat{e}^{\prime} r g{ }^{2} p g \hat{e}^{s}$ he put on the dress 52.9
qürê'thüpg $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ follow the trail! 52.8
$t_{\text {ILI- }} l_{c^{s^{\prime}}} k$ looking for the entrance 131.1
nitilaré $r q e \hat{e} n$ searching for the entrance 131.1
qnaunra'gtatyêe take your wife home! 115.8
$v a^{\prime} l a-r r^{\prime} n \grave{r} a$ knife holding 106.13
gina'n inenmuligrele't-i-git thou art the cause of blood-vomiting 93.11
$o m q \alpha^{\prime}-p e \hat{n} r a^{\prime} t y \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ they attacked the bears 115.12
Koryak:
gayuñyupe'nyrlenau they attacked the whale Kor. 41.3
gaqoleya'wage (qole voice; rya'wa to use) use your voice! Kor. 48.7
qangekiplena'ñu (to be used) to strike the fire with Kor. 30.7
gavannintalen she lost a tooth (ra'min! $n m$ tooth) Kor. 32.8
The attributes of the object may be included in the compound.
 much head suffer
Verbs with incorporated object are intransitive. They may be made transitive, however, when they are referred to a new object.
qaanma'arkin he slaughters reindeer
qaanmin'rkin he slaughters reindeer for him
 your finger)
In a number of Koryak examples verbs with incorporated object appear as elements of incorporated complexes. In these cases they are always treated as intransitive verbs.
 47.4
tiggi'lnu-ña'w-iy-ŭm snowshoe-strings-eating-woman am I Kor.47.4
(c) Verbs with incorporated noun expressing instrumentality. $\theta t t i-k I p \check{c}^{\hat{c}} \hat{e}^{\prime} w a$ by striking with a stick 48.10
$n ⿱$-ke'g-tegiliñtku'qinet groping about with the palms 73.26 gamoLêtı $\tilde{\tilde{n}}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} l a a t$ they are covered with blood 91.27
Koryak:
$c_{1} l_{I m} m_{I} l u l a^{\prime} t i k i n$ he licked with the tongue Kor. 56.3

## \$118. Composition of Verbal Stems

Compounds consisting of two verbal stems are quite common. In all of these the first stem appears as qualifier of the second stem.
tê'rgI-plit the finished crying 27.11
$a^{\prime} u{ }^{\prime}$-rê'ña-tıla'gtı with easy flying motion 16.8
nu-waqe-tva'rênat sitting they were 62.9
$q \ddot{a} m i-p l_{I}{ }^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} u k\left(K o r . ~ K a m . ~ a^{\prime} w y i-p l_{I} t \check{c} u k\right)$ eating finishing (i. e. after the meal) 33.11 contains the stem of the compound verb qami-tva to eat.
$v I^{\prime} y i$-tiui'wunin breathing he drew them in 61.4
Koryak:
ga-mlawa-nka'w-len she ceased to dance Kor. 48.6
$\ddot{g}$-awy $a^{\prime}-n k a w-l$ en he refused to eat Kor. 51.3
gen•ačiačat-paa-ño'-lenat to send them away ceased began they Kor. 72.2

## § 119. Adverbial Composition

Intransitive verbs are combined with verbal stems in the same manner as they are with nouns, and then assume adverbial functions. Stems expressing modality, quality, quantity, appear frequently in this position. The forms are quite analogons to those treated in § 116.3.
ine-teñ-Inpi'lkuum thou hast well vanquished me 17.7
qa-tan-yoro-tukwa't-yê arrange the sleeping-room well 58.6
tur-qu'tılm newly frozen 13.7
tur-ure't $t_{I} l_{I n}$ newly born 21.6
tor-kalêñónónênat newly adorned ones 29.1
liê-ñarau'tilo truly wife-seeking 57.1
$a^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}-r k i l a b$ badly pursued 17.6
tur-ewkwe'tyis he departed just now
$t_{I}$-teñ-yilquátyä́ck (Kor. Kam. $t_{I}-m a l-y I l q a^{\prime} t_{I} k$ ) I slept well §§118, 119

Koryak:
aqaLapãıvo'ykin looks badly Kor. 13.8
ga-aqai'paLen it fitted badly Kor. 34.9
ga-qayıčhtlanñıvo'?en it began to be a little light Kor. 18.1
ga-qa'yI-čulin he chopped it small Kor. 53.6
tañ-a'wyeñvoi he began to eat well Kor. 20.7
ga-mal-mai'vulen he bit well Kor. 41.4
ga-mal-hinta'wlen he fled well Kor. 41.7
ga-tuyr-kmiña't-i-gŭm I have recently given birth Kor. 64.13
Verbal nouns are treated in the same way.
$a^{\prime} r ı \check{c h} h-t v a^{\prime} r k i n$ (Kor. Kam. $\left.a^{\prime} y ı t \tilde{n} r-t v a^{\prime} y k i n\right)$ you are lying on the side

## § 120. Multiple Composition

Compound terms may include more than two elements of the classes described in the preceding sections.
$\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} q \ddot{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}-l u^{\prime} m \widetilde{n} \mathrm{I}-\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u s^{*} q \ddot{a} t$ (Kor. Kam. $\left.\alpha q a^{\prime}-l u^{\prime} m \tilde{n} a-\tilde{n} \alpha^{\prime} w_{I} s \cdot q a t\right)$ a bad, lazy woman
čauc̆uwa'- gai'mıč̌-lau'lč̌ñ̃ın reindeer-breeder-rich-man R59.4.
tañ-étč̆r-tê $\tilde{n}-$ poi' $^{\prime} g_{I n}$ a good, heavy ice-spear
 greatly head am aching
qine- $c^{\prime} i^{\prime} i n-m i^{\prime} m l_{t-i^{\prime}} l h i^{\varepsilon}$ give me warm water!
iču-wgi-ne'lırkin heavily breathing he becomes (i. e. he sighs)
$n e ̂ l-\hat{e} p-r_{I} h_{I} l_{I} \tilde{n} I n$ thimble-put-on-finger, the second finger
$t$-uwä̈s $q u c ̌ i$-lqür-réthit I husband-destined for brought to thee (i. e. I brought you a suitor)

Other examples have been given before.

## § 121. Composition in Kamchadal

The composition of words in Kamehadal is quite similar to that of Chukchee and Koryak.
$k e^{\prime} l_{I-y u ' n y u c ̆ x ~(C h u k c h e e ~}^{k e l i} i^{\prime} I_{I-r} e^{\varepsilon} w$ ) spotted whale
However, the collected texts show that the use of compounds is much more restricted. Besides, constructions are found that do not agree with the synthetic method of Chukchee and Koryak.
$\tilde{n} i^{\prime} m c x$ 'in $p!\ddot{c}$ ! child being a woman (i. e., daughter)
(Chukehee $\tilde{n} e e^{\prime} k r k$, Kor. Kam. $\tilde{n} a w-a^{\prime} k a k$ woman-child)
In Kamehadal ololaxi ${ }^{\varepsilon} n k i^{\prime}$ stis $n c{ }^{\varepsilon}$ small little houses the adjective remains an independent word, as is indicated by its being in the plural form.
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt 2-12--53

## § 122. Consonantic Shifts

It has been stated before that the consonants $l$ and $\check{c}$ are closely related. A comparison of the parallel forms in $l$ and $\check{c}$ show that the former sound applies often to generalized terms and continued actions, while the latter expresses the special term and single momentary action. This explanation applies well enough in some of the following examples, but not by any means in all of them.

It would seem as though this process were no longer free. Still, a few times I heard the change introduced as though it were still functional:
palomte'llirkin and pačomte'llirkin he listened
The following examples will illustrate the differences in meaning of the parallel forms:

## leivu, čeivu to walk

$l$ forms:
ga'mga-notai'pŭ nilei'vuqinet they traveled through every country 17.9
gamga-varrgề pŭ nolei'vuqin he traveled to every being 18.5
nute's $q$ äk pagtalkoi'pŭ nilei'vuqin he traveled through the clefts of the ground 22.6
yei'velqäi ku'likä ralai'vrñ̃noi an orphan child shall (from now on) travel alone 24.10
keimi'trlä lei'vuk rä́s'nutqäiti géilä to traveling shaman small things must be given 25.9
gŭmna'n atča'ta lei'wukin mi'ilhrr, give you the means of traveling secretly 93.4
atča'ta qälei' $w u i^{\varepsilon}$ walk about in secret! 93.5
notai'pü lei'wulit luc'ninet he saw them walking about in the country 113.11
$i a^{\prime} m$ nIlei'vutku-i-gIr why don't thou wander about (all the time)? 87.18
$\varepsilon$ forms:
lautitkina'ta $^{\prime} e^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ wutkuit he walked (for a little while) on the heads 8.6
$k_{I t a}{ }^{\prime}$ m mıčée'vutkuä́sk let me go 79.27; 80.10
qla'ul pǔki'rgí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ čeivutkulın a man arrived walking 86.26
irga'tık ečei'vutkukä̈ mi'tyä́ck tomorrow not walking let me be!, i. e., tomorrow I shall not go 87.9
ne'me ${ }^{c} e i^{\prime} v u t k u i^{e}$ again he went $87.25 ; 88.1$
ne'me čvei'vutkurkin again he was going (for a short while and once only) 88.7
$l u w i$ (initial), $l v i$ (medial); čuwi (initial), $c v v i$ (medial) то cut $l$ forms:
geleu'trlvilin the head was cut off 86.7
$\varepsilon$ forms:
nečvitkui'vuä ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ they cut it off 27.3
nine'coviqin, nine'nuqin he cut it and ate it 43.10 (see also 72.18)
nočvétkurkin res $w$ he was cutting the whale (when the other arrived 46.10)
lêla'lhıčhin gečvi'lin he cut the eye 106.19
ginonê't čuwi'nin he cut it in the middle 109.33
$k_{l} l e$ (initial), rkıle (medial); kıč (initial), rkıc̆e (medial) to follow
$a^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}$-rkıla difficult to be pursued 17.6
kileu' milva'wkwa $n$ I should not be able to follow 17.5
$k_{I} l a^{\prime} w k \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ she followed 31.2 (here a single act)
kile'nin he gave pursuit to him 57.8
c form:
kıčauča' ${ }^{\prime} y \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ he ran off quickly 57.5.
$k ı p l$ (initial), rkıpl (medial); kıpč (initial), rkııč (medial) to strike
$l$ forms:
$k_{I}{ }^{\prime} p l_{I n e ̂}^{n}$ ŭm leu' $t_{I} k$ he struck it on the head (as he was accustomed to do) 110.26
ga'rkıplılên he struck her (until she let go) 31.4
$\varepsilon$ forms:

ninenınnuteu'qin ettı-kıptêéwa he makes it swollen by striking with sticks 48.10
nênarkıpčeu'qin he gave it a push 53.5
lilep (initial), Lep (medial); čičep (initial), čep (medial)
$l$ forms:
lilépgie ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ she looked up 7.6; 79.11; see also 107.14
qäLe'pgí look up! 79.11; see also 107.14
lilépürkina he looks on
$c ̌$ form:
čičé $p g i^{\varepsilon}$ they looked about 86.22
rıčicéurkin he inspects
talaiwu, tac̆aiwu to strike
nitalai'wuqên they strike him 59.7
natačai'wuan they struck him once 59.5
$p l x, p c_{i}$ to finish
uwi'k pli'tkurkin his body becomes ready
tuwi'k-I-pčítkurkın I become ready-bodies, i. e., grown up
-lqiu verbal suffix expressing requested action; - $-q \cdot i u$ verbal suffix expressing single action
$l$ form:
nitule'lqiuqinet they would come to steal 13.4
$\check{c}(s)$ forms:
ganto's $q$ queulên he rushed out 57.11
qänıggeus'qi'wkutkI go and wake them up at once 56.3

- liku among a number; -č̆iku inside
$l$ form:
$u t t^{\prime} l_{I} k u$ among the trees
$\varepsilon$ forms:
plékıčıku in a boot 43.4
wus• qu' $^{\prime} m c ̌$ člku in the darkness 34.5
-qal, -qač by the side of
ragro'lminqal from the rear side of the house 51.10
ginıliqa'č by thy side 9.3
$-l q \ddot{n},-s \cdot q a ̈ n$ тоР ${ }^{1}$
$l$ form:
koivi'lqan top of glacier 91.16
$\varepsilon$ form:
$g_{I}{ }^{\prime} t h_{s}{ }^{\circ} q$ än surface of lake 144.3
nute's $\because q \ddot{a} n$ surface of ground 98.24
mel-, meč like to
$l$ form:
mel-uw $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} q u c ̌$ it seems my husband 49.9
$\check{c}$ forms:
meč-̈̈̈s ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} q \ddot{l}{ }^{\prime} p e$ somewhat quick 45.10
mač-êto'pêl somewhat a little better 135.7
A number of nouns show generally the $l$ forms, but have in cases when parts of the object or special forms of the object are named ¿ forms.
yểlıčlinn tongue 40.10
ri'lhin fingers
(qlik) man
$u^{\prime} n e l$ thongseal
mêmıl seal
yêerít ${ }^{\prime}$ kıčhin tip of tongue 40.4 ričhi'tkin finger-tips $q \ddot{a} c c_{f}^{\prime} k r^{\prime} c h e ̂ e ̂ c a ~ t h e ~ m a n ~ t r a n s-~$ formed (similar to a man) ${ }^{2}$ unečic'chm thong of thongseal skin 102.13, 30
mêmıčếčhin thong of seal skin 134.31
muLI blood
Also:
$t E l$ sick
$l \ddot{a}^{c} l \boldsymbol{l}$ winter
gamočéćpılên full of dried blood 68.2
te'cirgın disease 133.7 $\check{c} \ddot{a}^{\epsilon \epsilon} \check{c}_{E}$ cold

To this group may be added, as also differing in regard to the specific character of the term:
$l u^{\epsilon t} r k i n$ he sees
lêlềlhin mitten
li'glig egg
vềlợ̂lhın $n$ ear
vilu'ptrrkin he marks the ear (of the reindeer)
ču $u^{\varepsilon}$-tu'mgin or $l u^{\varepsilon}$-tu'mgin old acquaintance ( $=$ seeing companion)
čê-mingi'LIñon glove ( $=$ mitten hand)
čııg. I'-ttım egg-shell (= eggbone) vilu'-ttim or viču'-ttım auricular bone
eviču'ptıki (reindeer) without ear-mark

Attention may also be called to the relation between the nominal endings -čhIn and $-l h_{I n}$, which have been treated in $\S \S 52,53$, and which may also be considered from this point of view, -lhin being used in nouns with indefinite meaning, -čhın in those indicating particular representation of the class of object.

In other cases the forms in $l$ and $\varepsilon$, while related, do not differ in their more or less specific character, but in other ways:
quilie'erkin he cries
gemle'lin it is broken
$\check{u} m_{I} l_{I n} \ddot{a}$ 解 $o^{\prime} \tilde{n} \hat{e} t$ the whole day
aña' $l_{1}-r a^{\prime} m k ı n$ maritime people
li'ñ $z_{I n}$ the hearty one, avenger (from li'nliñ heart, liñle'erkin he avenges)
qučičéerkin he shouts, makes a noise
geměe'tkulin broken to pieces
 a long time
$a \tilde{n} q a^{\prime} c_{i}-r a^{\prime} m k i n$ reindeer-breeders who come in summer to the seashore
čiñéerkin he yearns for something
§ 123-124. Numerals

## § 123. Introductory Remarks

The system of numbers is derived from manual concepts. Even the expression to count really means to finger (Chukchee ri' harirkin, $^{\prime}$ Kor. Kam. yıl ${ }^{n} e^{\prime} k_{i n}$, he counrs [from stem rilh-, Kor. Kam. yılñ, fin-
aer]). In a number of cases the relations between the numerals and manual concepts can easily be given.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chukchee } \\ & m I^{\prime} L ı \tilde{n} \hat{e} n \end{aligned}$ | Kor. Kam. $m I^{\prime}$ Liñên | five | From stem $m I n g \quad \text { HAND (con- }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | tracted from the |
|  |  |  | $\left.m_{I} n g_{I}^{\prime} L_{I} \tilde{n}_{I} n\right)$ |
| $a m-\tilde{n} r r o^{\prime}$ otkên | - - | eight | $a m-n ̃ ı r O^{\prime} k e n \quad$ JUST |
|  |  |  | the third (i. e., of the second |
|  |  |  | hand) |
| $q o n \cdot a^{\prime} \check{c}_{I} \tilde{n} k e n$ | $q 0 n y a^{\prime} a c \check{c o s}^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{1}$ | nine | qon-ya'ači ( Kor. |
|  |  |  | Kam. qon.ya'wačı probably one be- |
|  |  |  | hind i. e., one finger left over) |
| $m i n g I^{\prime} t k e ̂ n$ | $m ı n g I^{\prime} t$ cêen | ten | BELONGING TO THE |
|  |  |  | hands, refers evidently to the com- |
|  |  |  | pletion of the count on two hands |
| kılhi'nkên | ——. | fifteen | may be derived |
|  |  |  | from stem grtka'lh |
|  |  |  | FOOT, referring to |
|  |  |  | the five toes of |
|  |  |  | the first foot, |
|  |  |  | added to the ten |
|  |  |  | fingers |
| qli'kkin BELONGing to a man | $q l_{1} k$ | twenty | a man, refers to all |
|  |  |  | the fingers and |
|  |  |  | toes. The form |
|  |  |  | $q l i k$ is obsolete in |
|  |  |  | both languages. |

Larger numbers are composed with $q l^{\prime} k k i n$ or with the ordinary modern word qla'ul (Kor. Kam. q!a'wul) man.

The term qlig-qli'kkit or $q l i^{\prime} k k i n q l a^{\prime} u l$ four hundred is the highest term of the older Chukchee numeration. Every number higher than four hundred is called giyeu'-te'gin limit of knowledge. In modern times this term, under Russian influence, has been applied to express the idea of one thousand. This recalls the old Russian term for ten thousand tma (Greek $\mu \dot{\prime} \rho c a s$ ), which literally signifies Darkness.

In Chukchee, 11, 12, 13, etc., contain the particle pa'rol (also pronounced $p a^{\prime}$ roč) besides. This element, however, may be omitted. It is not used in Koryak. The numbers 9, 14, 19, 99, are negative
 $\S 114,4)$.
amingıtkau'kĕlên not being the tenth $a k_{I} h_{i n k} k u u^{\prime} k \dot{E} l e ̂ n ~ n o t ~ b e i n g ~ t h e ~ f i f t e e n t h, ~ e t c . ~$
When used as nouns, all numerals may take post-positions. When numerals stand with nouns with post-positions, they form compounds with the nouns for which the stems without affixes are used.
 paper money (mingıt ten; kalê'tol scratched one; -a instrumental; $t$ - I; ačıñ debt; plıtko to finish)

Numerals are also compounded with personal pronouns.

| Chukchee <br> nire-mu'ri <br> ñ̈ro'-mere <br> $\tilde{n} i^{\prime} r e-t u^{\prime} r i$ <br> nure'rgeri |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Kor. Kam. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\tilde{n} i^{\prime} y e-m u^{\prime} y i$ | we two |
| $\tilde{n} y y o^{\prime}-m u^{\prime} y u$ | we three |
| $\tilde{n} i^{\prime} y e-t u^{\prime} y i$ | ye two |
| $\tilde{n} \dot{y} y e^{\prime} c ̌ h e i^{\prime} t r$ | they two |

Numeral adverbs are formed with the suffix, -cece, $-\check{a} \ddot{a}$ (Kor. Kam. $-\check{c} a$ ) (see § 112,81 ), from the stems of the cardinal numbers, except quné'cäd once (Kor. $q u^{\prime} n \cdot a c ̌$ Kor. 53.2), which is derived from qun single.
$\tilde{n} I ̈ r a^{\prime}$ ča giwi'kinek on passing the year a fourth time 12.8
Distributives are formed with the suffix -yut; (Kor. Kam. -yut [dual],-yu'wgI [pl.], see $\S 112,80$ ), from the stems of the cardinal numbers. In Chukchee they have also the prefix em- Just ( $\$ 113,7$ ).

Ordinals are expressed by the verbalized numerals, except one.
nireqe'urkın (Kor. Kam. niyeqr'wiknn) he is double, he is the second
Collective forms are derived from the numerals with the suffix, $-n l e{ }_{\Omega} \bar{n}$ (Kor. Kam. -lañ) (see § 124, p. 841).

The Kamchadal numerals have almost been lost, and their place has been taken by Russian numerals. Only the first four numerals are still in use, side by side with their Russian equivalents. The word lüne'jm He counts is also derived from the stem lüx Finger (absolute form lüxlüx̌̌).

| Numerals | Cardinal | Iterative | Ordinal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | köni' $n$ | qun |  |
| 2 | kasx, ka'cıx | ntel | $n t e ' l n i n$ |
| 3 | cok | čol | čo'laña |
| 4 | cak | čal | ča'laña |

$k a^{\prime} c_{I} x k c x{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ two dogs
$k a^{\prime} c x a^{\varepsilon} n n^{\prime} l_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ ? two mittens
co ${ }^{\prime} k a^{\varepsilon} n k c x o^{\varepsilon} n$ three dogs
Kamchadal qun may be compared with Kor. Kam. qun single.
Kauchadal čok may be compared with Kor. Kam. ñy̆yó $x$ three.
Kamchadal $\check{c} a k$ may be compared with Kor. Paren $\tilde{n} \check{y} y a^{\prime} x$ four.
(perhaps from an older form $\tilde{n} \check{I} c ̌ a^{\prime} x$ )

## § 124. Cardinal Numbers and Other Devivatives

| 1 | Chukchee <br> Ennén. Enne'- <br> $n \cdot \check{c} e^{\varepsilon} n$ | Koryak Kamenskoye <br> Enna'n | Koryak Paren Ennén. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $n i^{\prime} r a ̈ q$ | $\bar{n} i^{\prime \prime} y a x$ | $\tilde{n}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} c a x$ |
| 3 | nerro'q | nùyo'x |  |
| 4 | ñĭra'q | $\bar{n} a^{\prime} y a x$ | $\bar{n}_{1} y a^{\prime} x$ |
| 5 | $m_{1} L_{\text {Intên }}$ | mi'tıiñen | $m I^{\prime} \underline{l}$ ıñen |
| 6 | Enna'n mi'Lın̂en |  |  |
| 7 | ñer $a^{\prime}-m_{I}^{\prime} L_{I} \hat{n} \hat{e} n$ | $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} a-m I^{\prime} \underline{L}$ 匂en |  |
| 8 | am-ñIro'otkên | $\tilde{n} 1 y^{\prime} o^{\prime}-m I^{\prime}$ Lin $\tilde{n} e n$ |  |
| 9 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} q \circ n \cdot a^{\prime} \check{c ̌}_{I} \tilde{n} k \hat{e} n \\ a m n_{n} I t k a u^{\prime} k_{E}- \\ \quad \text { lenn } \end{array}\right.$ | qony $a^{\prime} a \check{c h}^{\prime} \bar{n} I n$ |  |
| 10 | mingi'tkên | mingrit tčen | mingr'tken |
| 11 |  $n e^{\prime} n \cdot p a^{\prime} r o l$ | mingi'tik enna'n |  |
| 12 | mingir $t_{1} k \quad \bar{n} i^{\prime} r \ddot{a}$ pa'rol | $m ı n g r^{\prime} t_{1} k \tilde{n}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} y a x$ |  |
| 13 | mingítik ñıró pa'rol | $m m g I^{\prime} t_{1} k$ ñĭyo' $x$ |  |
| 14 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} m_{I n g I^{\prime} t I k} \tilde{n} I r a^{\prime} \\ p a^{\prime} r o l \\ a k I l h I n k a u^{\prime} k \check{E}- \\ l \hat{e} n \end{array}\right.$ | $m ı n g ı^{\prime} t_{1} k \tilde{n} a^{\prime} y a x$ |  |
| 15 | kılhínkên |  |  |

Chukchee. Koryak Kamenskoye.

| 16 | kilhi'nikemnén. pa'rol | mingi'tik Enna'n mi' Lıiñen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 | qli'kkin | $q l .1 k$ |
| 21 | qli'kkik Ennén. pa'rol |  |


40 ñi'räq-qli'kkin $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\tilde{n} i^{\prime} y a x \\ \tilde{n} q^{\prime} q_{I^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} k_{I} t \\ \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n g_{I} t u\end{array}\right.$

60 ñiro $q-q l e^{\prime} k k e \hat{e} n \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\tilde{n} y o^{\prime} x q l_{I}^{\prime \prime k u} \\ \text { Enna'n milııñen mi'n- } \\ g_{I} t u\end{array}\right.$
80 nIra'q-qle'kkên $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\tilde{n} a^{\prime} y a x \\ \tilde{n} y g^{\prime} x \\ \text { glt } \\ g_{I} t u\end{array}\right.$
99 amilınqlêkkau'-
kĚlên
100 mıLınqlếkkên $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}m_{I L I} \tilde{n} e n ~ q l^{\prime} I^{\prime} k u \\ \text { mıngI'tčen míngıtu }\end{array}\right.$
200 mingıtqlêekkên mingr'tčen qlır'ku
400 qlig-qli'kkin qlank-qlı'ıku
Numerals are verbalized by the suffix $-e u$ (Kor. Kam. $-a w,-(I) w)$.

Chukchee
nireqéurkin
niroqa'urkin

Kor. Kam.
niyeqı'wikın
nıyoqa'wekın
he is double, he is the second he is threefold, he is the third
-nleñ (Kor. Kam. -lañ) with numerals form collective terms.

Chukchee Ennénle $\tilde{n}$ nirénlen ñlrónlan


Kor. Kam.
Enna'nlañ a single one
ñiyáqlañ two together
niyo'qlañ three together naya'qlañ four together

## § 125-131. Adverbs.

## § 125. MODAL ADVERBS.

Modal adverbs are formed by means of the inclusive affix $n(I)-e u$, (Kor. Kam. $n(I)-a u)$ (see p. 810.) These forms are parallel to the adjective form $n(I)-q i n$ (see § 49).
nime'leu well (Kor. Kam. nima'lau) stem Ch.mel (Kor. Kam mal) nime'leu qatva'ê be kind (to us)! a common form of prayer.
nımei'ñeu ga'tvŭlên as'ttın he made a great promise, a dog 101.21 $n \breve{u}^{\prime} m k e u$ ki'wkiw ni'nelqin the nights passed (there) became many 108.8 ( $k i^{\prime} w k i w$ is sing.; nư'mkeu adverb).
These forms however are not used very frequently, especially in Koryak. In most cases they are replaced by adverbial composition (see § 119, p. 832).

> Kamchadal $-q$ designates adverbs.
> $\ddot{m} m q$ deeply (adjective $\ddot{o}^{\prime} m l a ̈ x$ deep)
> me'ćaq far (adjective me'calax distant) $k!i^{\prime} j h_{q q}$ shallowly (adjective $k!I^{\prime} j h_{I} l a x$ shallow)

Note.-I have found a few forms in Kamchadal which correspond to Koryak forms:
$n^{\prime} m i^{\prime} t a$ (Kor. Kam. $n^{\prime} m i^{\prime} t a u$ ) warily (adjective $\left.n^{\prime} m i^{\prime} t q i n\right)$ $n u^{\prime} r^{\varepsilon}$ far.
Other adverbs of modality are derived from verbal stems in an irregular manner.
$a^{\prime} t q e ̂ u m a ~(K o r . ~ K a m . ~ a t c ̌ i n ̃ a u ~ r e g u l a r) ~ b a d l y ~ R ~ 62.72 ~(s t e m ~ a ̈ ́ s q a ̈, ~$ Kor. Kam. $a^{\varepsilon} q a$; adjective form $e^{\prime} t q i n$, Kor. Kam. $\left.a^{\prime} t c^{\prime} \check{\imath} \tilde{n}\right)$
$m e^{\prime} \dot{c}$ En $\cdot k_{I}$ ( $m e \check{c}<m e l$ good; $n n \cdot K_{I}$ there) well 67.22 $m e^{\prime} c_{E} n \cdot k u-w a^{\prime} l-\hat{e}-\breve{u} m \mathrm{I}$ am a fairly good one 114.34. Here $m e \check{c}$ does not assume ablaut (see p. 763)
me'rinře slowly (stem-nř; adjective form $n I^{\prime} n \check{a} \ddot{a} q i n$ slow)
A number of synthetical bases are used as adverbs, either without any change or mostly with added $-I,-q I,-a k r,-\hat{e} t_{I}$ which are locative and and allative suffixes (see § 95 ). The same bases are used also with $v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ (Kor. Kam. $i^{\prime} t a l a^{\varepsilon} n$ ), (see § 76).

As adverbs they always have the ablaut, those without suffix as as well as those with the suffix $-i,-q i$, although the locative generally is used without ablaut.

Adverbs without suffixes are -
$o^{\prime} r a$ openly 121.30 ; stem ure (Kor. Kam. o'yañ) (see p. 862.)
oma'ka (Kor. Kam. oma'ka Kor. 61.2) together; stem umeke
$y \hat{e}^{\prime} t a$ in readiness 105.20 , slowly 64.17 stem yite
$a^{\prime} l v a$ (Kor. Kam. $a^{\prime}$ ? lvan ) wrongly, go away! Kor. 37.5 stem elve (Kor. Kam. alva)
With suffix -kr, $-q I$
tékr of cylindrical form; stem tik.
koulo'qI (Kor. Kam. ko'loñ) round; stem kuwl.
$a^{\prime} r k ı c ̌ ̌ a($ Kor. Kam. aykizáa) aslant, stem arkıč (Kor. Kam. aykıč)
$a^{\prime} r k ı c ̌ ̌ \imath q a t a^{\prime} \hat{e}$ (Kor. Kam. ay'kıča qata'wañ) more aslant!
vê't truly 120.24 (vê'tê 107.8); stem vêth
vê'tirê straight (irregular); stem vêth (adjective form nuwê'thäqên)
$g \hat{e}^{\prime} m \theta$ without my knowledge; $g e^{\prime} m u 103.5$ (Kor. Kam. $a^{\prime} m u$
Kor. 55.3). This form is designative; stem -(t)hêm not to know.
rathêma'un without my knowledge 11.9. The affix rę-e $u$ is causative.
gêwê'tı without my knowledge 120.37; stem -(t)hiu not to know; allative.
pulhirra'ki flatly; stem pllhirri
$\operatorname{apaqaq}^{\prime}{ }_{L I}(\tilde{n})$ (Kor. Kam. apaqa' ${ }_{I}$ ) face downward; stem apaqaLI.
$p_{I}^{\prime} t v i, p_{1} t v a^{\prime} k_{i}$ double; stem $p_{t} t v$
é'mpŭ( $\tilde{n})$, êmpa'kI downcast; stem imp
$t_{I}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} m l a, t_{1} m l a{ }^{\prime} k_{I}$ close to; stem $t_{b} m l$
$y_{I}{ }^{\prime} \check{c h} h_{I}(\tilde{n})$, yıčh $a^{\prime} k_{I}$ uninterrupted, stem yıch and several others.
Those with the suffix -êtı, -g̣tı express a diminished intensity of the adverbial term:

Ceutê'tı somewhat low; stem ciunt $q a_{e} \hat{e}^{\prime} g t_{I}$ somewhat lazy; stem qäa $L i$
yergề'ti $t^{\prime}$ somewhat foolish; stem yurg ${ }^{1}$
qêwrêgtr somewhat hasty; stem qiwri
tañê' $i_{I}$ somewhat better; stem te $\tilde{n}$
All these forms combined with $-v a^{\prime} l_{I n}$ (Kor. Kam $\left.i^{\prime} t a l a n\right)$ are commonly used to express the absolute form of the adjective.

In Chukchee some of these adverbs may form with the prefix $t_{I}{ }^{\prime} \tilde{n} k_{I}$ quite ( $(113,4)$ a kind of superlative.
tiñk-a'tqêuma quite badly
$t_{t} \tilde{n} k$-ä́s'qülpe with great hurry
$t_{I} \tilde{n} k_{I}-m e e^{\prime}$ rinře quite slowly

[^84]
# Some others may form dimunitives, as- <br> kitkinn• u'qäi very little 118.6 <br> qaiaqan ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} q a i$ a trifle more 106.6 . $\check{c}_{1}{ }^{\prime} m c ̌ e q a ̈ i$ very near 100.15 $v i^{\prime} n \cdot v e q a ̈ i$ very cautiously 106.16. 

## § 126. LOCATIVE ADVERBS.

For demonstrative adverbs see §57.

| Chukchee. | Koryak Kam. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | čei'mik |
| $y a^{\prime} a 113.20$ far. | ya'wak |
| $y a^{\prime} a l, y a^{\prime} a c ̌ ı 119.29$ in the rear. | $y a^{\prime} w a!$ |
| atto'ol in the front, earlier; atto'oča 8.7 in the front, down the coast. | attas'yol Kor. 39.7 |
| gIrgól 68.35; girgo'ğca 123.7 above | gIčho'?, gİho'ča |
| iu'tll below. | $i^{\prime} w t!?$ |
| $\chi^{\prime} u c ̌ a-\hat{e} u c ̌ a i^{\prime} p u \check{l}$ from below 131.5.. |  |
| $m r a^{\prime}$ on the right hand. | mya ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| $\hat{n} a^{\prime} \check{e ́ E n} \cdot k I, \tilde{n} a \check{c}(h) \cdot e^{\prime} n \cdot k I$; stem $\tilde{n} a \check{c h}$, on the left side ....................... | $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} c \check{n} I \tilde{n}-q a c ̌, \tilde{n} a c ̌ \tilde{n} e^{\prime} t i ;$ stem $\bar{n} a c ̈ n ̃$ |
| ro'cen ${ }^{\prime} k 152.11$; stem roch ${ }^{1}$, on the other shore. | yočñ ${ }^{\prime} t$; stem yočn |
| na'rgin; stem $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} \mathrm{rgİ} \mathrm{n}^{\prime}$ outside. | $\bar{n} a^{\prime}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ hin Kor. 64.8 |
| $0^{\prime} n m I$ inside. | anınka'čıku Kor. 60.9 |
| onmičEkoi'pŭ from within 59.9. |  |
| $e^{\prime} m i$ where (is it) 81.16. |  |
|  |  |
| $e^{\prime}$ 'čča nitva'nat if they had remained on the surface 68.27.. |  |
| $\mathrm{ra}^{\prime} n a u$ straight ahead. | (K. K. ya'nau, Kamchadal čn̄il) |
| $I^{\prime} m l a$ close by. | $\left(\underset{\left.t i^{\prime} m a l\right)}{\left(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}\right.} t^{\prime} m l a,\right. \text { Kamchadak }$ |
| fa'lhil on both sides, on all sides 129.24. | $\mathrm{ga}^{\prime}!\underline{n} 1 \underline{\mathrm{~K}}$ Kor. 58.2 |
| rima'gti farther on, beyond. | yımai'tI |
| $a^{\prime}$ čhitä side by side. | $a^{\prime}$ čñıla |
| em-nu' $\hat{n} k 1$ inland 112.6 . | am-nuñtk |
| toư'rri on the back | wü'ssin Kor. 30.3 |
| ya'gna in the presence.. |  |
| riagnau'kI (rI-yagna-u-kI; ri-u causative) opposite to 100.28 |  |
| kamie'ly around. |  |
| am-liga'nlı back to back. |  |
| ye'tir half-way 109.1 |  |
| rinere' askance |  |
| volva'kI across; stem wulv. | mal-volve'tr, volva'kr |
| elulettê'ly lengthwise.. | eu'letın |
| とe'če along. |  |
|  |  |
| ai'gêpŭ wind ward 111.10 (élig odor coming with the wind; -épŭ ablative).. |  |

Most of these may form compounds with nominal and verbal stems, or with the locative of the noun.

[^85]nuwolvênaña'tvaqên across sitting was carried 145.3 ( $n(u)$-qin nominalizing prefix; wulv across; ineñe to sit on a sledge; tva to be)
gŭmŭgga'gna in my presence
$\hat{e} u l e ̂ t e ́ t{ }^{\prime} l-v a a^{\prime} l_{I} n$ of elongated shape 91.15
ya'rau-lilha'nlinqač-va' $l_{I n}$ to the houses from the other side being 11.7
térkr-Irgo'l at sunrise 104.16
$v a^{\prime} a m-g i r g o g c ̌ a a^{\prime} g t \iota$ up river 119.14
kamlê' $l_{I}-y a^{\prime} r a k$ around the tent 104.20
yoro'wt kamle' $l_{I}$ sleeping room all around 12.10 (yoro'wtI allative)
$v e^{\prime} e m_{I} k v a^{\prime} \hat{e}^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime} \check{c} a$ he lived close to the river 122.8
Nota'rmêenqača close to Nota'rmeñ 121.10

## § 127. Temporal Adverbs.

| Ch | Kor. Kam. | Kamchad |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t i^{\prime} t e$ | $t^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ta Kor. 27.7 | $i^{\prime}$ te | when |
| $E^{\prime} n^{\prime} \cdot k_{I}$ | $E^{\prime} n k i$ Kor. 39.2 | $\cdots n a^{\text {e }}$, ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ur | then |
| $E^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{\text {Ite }}{ }^{\prime} q$ |  |  | of late |
| lŭ'm ${ }^{\prime} a 19.1$ | gư'mlañ Kor. 84.11 | te'nax | again |
| pı'tkä-lumña |  | -- | double again, i.e. the third time |
| $y a^{\prime} n \boldsymbol{*} 43.9$ | ya'not | - | at first |
| yep | $y e^{\prime}$ ppe |  | still |
| te'le 7.1 |  |  | in olden times |
| telenye'p 112.20 | 0 ankryep | - | long ago |
| tite'ep | titoo'n | -- | from what time on, after a long time Kor. 57.5 |
| grinmil $^{\prime} 83.19$ | - | $i^{\epsilon} n e$ | recently |
| ginmıye'p | --- |  | from recent time on |
| $i^{\prime \prime}$ grt 21.1; 36.9 | a'čhi Kor. $^{3} 0.9$ | $n e^{\varepsilon} n$ | now, at present |
| $a i^{\prime} v E$ | ai'grve Kor. 78.26 | $\alpha^{\prime}$ činčk | yesterday |
| aivend $\cdot a^{\prime} p$ <br> ( $a_{I v E \check{n}}$-yêp) | - - | - | from yesterday on |
| aigo'on |  | qlank | lately |
| aigoond $\cdot a^{\prime} p$ <br> (aigoon-yep) |  |  | from late times on |
| $r_{\text {rga }}{ }^{\prime} t_{1} k$ | miti' $^{\prime}$ K Kor. 21.8 | $a^{\prime} j u j k$ | to-morrow |
| kitu'r | vo'tin-ai'ñun | $i^{\prime} \times 1$ Ittu | last year |
| kitujép <br> (kitur-yep) | - | - | from last year on |


| Chuckchee K | $K$ or. Kam. | Kamchada |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kitur-ño'on yawri'nak | ya'wyin | tal'a'nank | many a year ago next year |
| pe'Le 20.2 |  |  | soon |
| pi'tkä-yawnak | - | -- | the year after next |
| čit 17.6 |  | - | before this |
| $p a^{\prime} n e ̂ n a 54.9$ | pa'nena <br> Kor. 15.6 | - | another time |
| $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} n e, \bar{i}^{\prime} n e n 113.11$ |  | --. | early |
| quil'ninek | quil'nikak |  |  |
| aiөk 118.20 | váyuk Kor. <br> $21.3 v a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} a k$ <br> Kor. 56.5 | - | afterwards |
| $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n E n q a c ̌$ qolê-t-a $a^{\varepsilon} l o^{\prime}$ | qole ${ }^{\prime}$-alo ${ }^{\prime}$ | - | $\int_{\text {day after to-mor- }}^{\text {row }}$ |
| aive $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n e n$ qac | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aigIv-ai'gI- } \\ & \text { večna } \end{aligned}$ | - | day before yesterday |
| imıčıčo ñêt | $a^{\prime} m \tilde{n} u c ̌$, Kor. <br> 53.1, $a^{\prime}$ wun a'mnut Kor. $54.5$ | könčpol | always |
| $a^{\prime}$ mkiničo 112.8 ( $e^{\prime} m k$ inevery) | 7 | - | all the time |
| co probably analogous to cee numeral adverbial; a suffix) |  |  |  |
| -__ | ai'num Kor. $61.1$ | -- | long ago |
|  |  |  | II |
| quli'-thi'wik | -- | - | in future years |
| čiq-etuwä'k 44.4 | - |  | all at once ( ciq see § 113.13) |
| - | $y u^{\prime}!\underline{a q}$ Kor. 16.2; 64.10 | - | for a long time |
| $w \breve{u}^{\varepsilon^{\prime} t} t k u$ | wǔ̌ 'ṫču Kor. | - | just then |
|  | 31.2; 41.2; |  |  |
|  | 47.9; 80.2 |  |  |
| - | wos'tvañ Kor. |  |  |


| Chuckchee $u n^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t k u$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kor. Kam. } \\ & \text { itu } u^{\varepsilon} \text { pil } \end{aligned}$ | Kamchadal $\qquad$ | after a while (see êto' pel Ch.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | akila ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime} \dot{c}$ Kor. | - | just now |
|  | 27.4;28.3 |  |  |
| - | akila ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime} t}$ Kor. | - |  |
|  | 27.5 |  |  |
| - | $v \hat{e}^{\prime}$ tha-qo'nom | - | just now |
|  | Kor. 56.10 | - - |  |
|  | pıče' Kor. 14.11 | - | for a while |
|  | qoı́a Kor. 70.14 | - | after a while |
| - | $q u^{\prime} l i n$ Kor. 60.2 | —— | afterwards |
| —— | $\tilde{n}_{1} n v o^{\prime} q$ Kor. 13.5 | -- | many a time |

A number of these are adverbial phrases:
quil'ninek at something else (from quli some, ni'kek see p. 731).
qolê-t- $a^{\varepsilon} l o^{\prime}$ another day
r mičučo $o n^{\prime} n \hat{e} t$ all days
rrga'ik on to-morrow, etc.
Other adverbial terms are derived by means of post-positions from the forms here given.
irgatê'tr towards to-morrow
rgga'thŭpŭ from to-morrow
Others, like lŭmña again, yangt at first, do not take post-positions.
Seasons of the year, sections of the day and night are expressed by the locative-
wulqätvi'k in the evening time 120.3 ( $w u^{\prime} l q$ darkness $-t v i$ to attain a certain quality $\S 110,68$ )
$l \ddot{a} \epsilon e^{\prime} \tilde{n k} \quad$ in the winter 51.1 (stem $\left.l \ddot{a} \ell l e \tilde{n}\right)$
rrgiro'k at dawn ( grg dawn; -ru: phenomena of nature ( $(\$ 110,71$ )
Following are some examples of their use.
yep still
yep $w u^{\prime} k w u$ ya'rañ $n a^{\prime} q a m$ but the tent was still stone 107.11
yep irgiro'ka while (the day) was not yet dawning 56.8

telen-ye' $p$ in olden times 61.5
telen-ye'pkin belonging to olden times 61.5
yep $e^{\prime}$ chi not yet
gI'nmilkin lately
 lately been eaten 35.9
me'melqai gı'nmilkin eni'n tımyo' yarro'nên the seal he had lately killed, he put in his bosom 43.8
gi'nmilkin los'o the one recently seen 104.8-
pe'nin(e) as before
pe'nin nıma' y $_{\text {Enqanačh }}$ n of large size as before 20.5
pe'nin eni' $n i^{\prime} g_{1} t k i n ~ l u u^{\prime} l q a ̈ l$ it was his face as before 77.14
pe'nin tautawa'trlm as before he barked 104.13
peninei' ${ }^{\prime}$ git lei'wul-i-gıt from olden times on thou art travelling 133.12

$p a^{\prime} n e ̂ n a ~ n i k i t i m a ' t q e n ~ h e ~ w a s ~ a s ~ b e f o r e ~ e x t e n d i n g ~ h i s ~ h a n d s ~ 47.8 ~$
$q \ddot{a} n \cdot v e^{\prime} r e^{\prime} n m e n ~ r^{\prime} g a^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} k$ pa'nêna wulqütvi' $\imath^{\varepsilon}$ at this time then in
the morning it became as before dark 54.9
go'onqan panêna'gtı genlete'tä that to the former (place) is carried 133.2

Koryak:
assa'kin pa'nin gayos'olen the one of the other day (who) before had found him Kor. 52.6
pa'nena . . . ga'npılen another time he stuffed it in Kor. 15.6 qa'wun pani'ta mi'kinak nayamata'ge though some time thou wilt marry some one Kor. 78.17
pa'ninau vačápgıc̆ñu . . . the scars of former times Kcr. 86.1

## čit FORMERLY

$a^{\prime} m_{E n}$ čit gŭmu'w-či$i^{\prime} m g u t a ̈ a a^{s} q a^{\prime}-r k I l a ~ g e n e^{\prime} l-i-u ̆ m$ before this, in my own mind, I have become one who can hardly be pursued 17.6

Enqa'n ui'ñä čit eñe'ñälin that one formerly had no spirits 60.1
čit vai ke' $l_{E}$ Eččak'a'ta nılei'wuqin formerly there ke'le wandered outside 61.6
qailo' qum čit kime'k $m e^{\prime}$ čEn $\cdot k u-w a^{\prime} l$ l-êum in truth formerly I was a fairly good one 114.34
čit $\breve{u} m$ wutkekinei'-gŭm formerly I belonged to this place 97.10 guiwele' $i^{\prime} n$ čit one who had formerly had evil charms 50.11
čit mıtu'ren'mık ê és ge'nu $n i^{\prime} r a ̈-m u^{\prime} r i$ before we were born to father, we two R44.2-3
The following example seems to refer to the future:
čit eligrqai'gŭpu $t a^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} t e^{\prime} u n ~ u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} a$ after some time they passed by the father's place and (there was) nothing 109.34-35
tele in olden times
te'le e'nmen . . . näqälič̈́'tqinet in olden times, then they were at war 7.1
$\boldsymbol{p e} \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{L}} \boldsymbol{e}$ soon
naqa' $m$ pe'se nŭmqıtvi'qin but soon it decreased in size 20.2 $p e^{\prime}$ Le $\tilde{n} e u^{\varepsilon}$ 'ttın topa' $w k w \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ soon the bitch was 104.7
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ čhi va' $\boldsymbol{n}$ this time
ačhi va'n qo' $n p u \check{u}$ maḷa'tr this time it grew much better Kor. 20.5-6
$e^{\prime}$ chhi-van tr'nmon this time I killed her (Lesna) Kor. 97.13
ačhi va'n qaye'm this time not Kor. 54.3 (see also Kor. 20.5; 54.3)
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \check{c} h \boldsymbol{i}$ just now (i. e. before a little while)
$a^{\prime} c ̌ h i ~ n i ' w-i$-gi qanga'tiykın now you said, "it burns" Kor 30.9 achi'kin nenenaye ${ }^{\prime} y e-g e$ ? wert thou looking for it just now?

Kor. 49.8-9
$a c ̌ h i k I^{\prime} c ̌ u-a i^{\prime} \tilde{n} a k a$ now do not cry! Kor. 60.7
See also Kor. 68.13
§128. Miscellaneous Adverbs and Conjunctions, Chukchee.
On the following pages I give a list of adverbs and conjunctions without attempting to differentiate between the two groups. The meaning of many of the adverbail or connective particles is so uncertain that a division seems hardly possible. Many of them have such nice shades of meaning that they can not be rendered adequately in English.

The use of such particles is much more extended in Chukchee than in Koryak. In Kamchadal most of the particles, particularly most conjunctions have been lost and replaced by Russian loanwords.

The particles occur frequently in groups as will be seen for instance in the use of $\breve{u} m, E L o^{\prime} n, a^{\prime} m E n$, etc. Some are always postpositional and tend to unite phonetically with the word they modify (see examples under $\breve{u} m$ )
$\breve{u} m, r m,-m$ an emphatic adverb. It is always postpositicnal and seems to emphasize the word to which it is attached
Following nouns:
हnr̆a'q néus'qät ŭm . . . ganra'gtaLên then the woman . . . took it home 28.5-6
pênyo'lhin ŭm nlete'tyis the hearth blazed up 32.3
wǔ'rgırgın ŭm vai ge'pkiLin the noise reached there 32.13
inpina'chin ŭm eLi'gin genéwänäa the old father and his wife 33.9
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-54
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 only she the woman, . . . with the reindeer (was) the husband 51.9-10
$u w \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$ 'quéitä ŭm by the husband 39.6
yorou'tı $\check{u} m$ to the sleeping room 39.10
Following pronouns:
wo'tqan üm vai this one here 45.12
Enqa' $n \breve{u} m v i^{\prime} n \cdot v_{I} t e^{\prime} r g_{g} l_{I} n$ this one who was weeping secretly 49.1 gŭmna'n ŭm I 137.1
Following verbs:
teqe'lignin ŭm $a^{\prime} m_{I}$ she made a cap too 28.8
ye'tti-m vai she came there 29.13
qaplêta't $\check{u} m$ qora'ñi the reindeer fell down 51.6
guq, gemi's $\cdot q \ddot{l} l_{I n} \check{u} m, a^{\prime} n ı$ it is deep! 53.1
minpêl $a^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n \breve{u} m$ let us leave it 53.1
The emphatic $\breve{u} m$ appears frequently in combination with other particles. Examples of these will be found on the following pages. $\boldsymbol{I}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m I}$ I also, furthermore,
$I^{\prime} m_{I}$ am-vıyê'irgäa gi'thin naranauno'ñin furthermore, by only breathing on the skin, he shall be cured 24.4-5
$i^{\prime}$ gıt $I^{\prime} m_{I}$ yei'velqäi ku'likä ralai'viñnoi furthermore, from now on an orphan child may travel alone 24.10
${ }_{\text {ImI }}$ ñouñou'lin in e'Le wu'tku epki'rkälin g únnurk not even a hair here would reach me 93.6
$i^{\prime}$ gir $I^{\prime} m_{I}$ Nota's qa-Va'ırgin narataaro'ñıñoñn from now on, furthermore, the Ground-Beings shall be given sacrifices 25.1-2 enqa'at gei'lqäleet $I^{\prime} m_{I} \AA$ they also were sleeping 55.2
$\boldsymbol{I n a} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n}$ exhortative particle
$p u^{\prime} r$ in $^{\prime} n a^{\prime} n$ wo'tqan va'le mi'ilhrr in exchange let me give thee this knife 15.12 (see also $93.30 ; 103.31$; 104.3)
 fices provide the ground-crevices 24.1-2
$l e^{\prime} u t i-t E L e^{\varepsilon} n$ ina'n nanwa'q$a^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} n$ let the head-sufferer be seated! 45.11

In the following example $I n a^{\prime} n$ appears with the future: Ina'n tre'ety $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$ mec̆- $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} q$ qälpe I shall come quickly 45.9-10
The following are probably derived from the demonstrative stem En -
$\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n r l e r t}$ all at once
$n a^{\prime} q a m{ }_{E^{\prime}} n_{I k}$ it poi'g̣a nitI'npŭqên ŭm but all at once they struck him with a spear 36.2

In most cases E $^{\prime}$ nikit appears in coordinate clauses and may be translated as soon as
$e^{\prime} n m e n \varepsilon^{\prime} n_{I} k i t$ rilu'tku then all at once he moved 16.5
$E^{\prime} n_{I} k i t u w i^{\prime} k k_{I} c_{c} \check{I}^{\prime} t k \theta n e ̂ n$ (as soon as) he struck the body (i. e. himself) 35.11
s'nıkit ŭm naramata'git (as soon as) they will take thee 36.9-10
$E^{\prime} n_{I} k_{i t}$ gai'mı'čin nigite' $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ (as soon as) they looked upon the wealth 107.16
$E^{\prime} n_{I} k$ rt rečipe'tyäs (as soon as) you will be submerged 114.22
$\varepsilon^{\prime} n_{I} k i t n e^{\prime}$ rgiä́a $^{\varepsilon} n ~ i l u l e ' t y i^{\varepsilon}$ as soon as he was loose he stirred 102.25 E'nikim giténin . . . as soon as he looked on it $23.9^{\prime}$
Enna'nI in like manner
$\boldsymbol{E} ぃ \check{\mathbf{r}} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{q}$ then (see under $e^{\prime} n m e n$ )
Enquana'ta therefore (instrumental of enqa' $n$, BY тнat)
enqana'ta E'nqu tilgI'rkinêt therefore I gave them up R46.39
enqana'ta ño' $\check{c}-e-$ - $\neq m$ gene' $l-i-\breve{u} m$ therefore I become poor R45.28
gaño'twey-gŭm enqana'ta qo' $n \cdot p u$ therefore I became quite poor R45.28
enqana'ta ılva'-neta'gtı titêggếnırkın therefore I wish for the wild reindeer country R46.52
enqana'ta čaučuwa'-ra'mkičha $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t \tan ^{\prime} n$ nıggi'pqin therefore the reindeer breeding people keep dogs R53.31
 ačhê'mira)
en $\cdot q e^{\prime} m i r e^{\prime} u r a^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}-r a^{\prime} m k ı c h_{I} n ~ y a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ rat moreover, they are very bad people R 53.20-21
En•qam then (see under $e^{\prime} n m e n$ )
$\boldsymbol{E n} \cdot \tilde{n} \boldsymbol{a t a} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{l}$ this time.
En•nata'l ŭm li'itr' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} h_{I}$-gIr from now on I shall know thee 93.21 En:nata'l Enqa'n ru'nin this time she ate 90.6
en'nata'l anqqanqac̆agtı . . . ri'ntıninet this time she threw them seaward 49.6
en•nata'l kirvete'ru qinctei'krtık from now on jostle me! (literally with elbow jostling do me) 61.3
En $n \cdot n a t a^{\prime} l \breve{u} m$ revis' $n t{ }^{\prime} k$ this time (if you do so) you will die 64.19 en•ñata'l $\check{u} m$ qalhêqamı'tvatık of that you may eat your fill 65.31 En• $\tilde{n} a t a^{\prime} l \breve{u} m ~ q a \cdot s^{\prime} q a ̈ \check{c} e^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ this time he did it in earnest 83.20 En: $\tilde{n} a t a^{\prime} l \breve{u} m$ lu-ora'wêṭan this time they were real people 84.29
 this time evidently you for everything lie in ambush 93.20 En• nata'l ŭm na'nmırkin-ê-gIt this time he will kill you 114.32 en'nata'l enqa'n êrrêttêgIn this time it is ended R4.50
'nkri gratis
En' $\boldsymbol{n i} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot$ thus
 his coat 7.4
$E n \cdot \tilde{n} i^{\prime} n \cdot \breve{u} m$ nan nipiu'riqin thus that one plunged along 8.11-12
qagno'pqe $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$. . . En' $n i^{\prime} n^{\cdot}$ crouch down thus 32.4
$e^{\prime}$ Le En $\cdot n i^{\prime} n \cdot$ va'la inenu'käli-muri not of [thus being] such we eat 34.9-35.1
Also $9.4 ; 15.4 ; 90.1,10 ; 94.1 ; 95.34 ; 105.17$
En•గu thus
$E^{\prime} n \cdot \tilde{n} u-w a^{\prime} l-\hat{e}-g_{I} t$ such a one art thou 70.25
En• $\tilde{n} u-w a^{\prime} l_{I}-t \theta^{\prime} r e \hat{e}$ such are you 106.28
$\boldsymbol{E}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$ ot thus
$n i^{\prime} w k u \ddot{a}^{i} n E^{\prime} n \cdot n o t$ they spoke thus 78.4
$t i^{\prime} w k w a ̈ \varepsilon k E^{\prime} n n o t$ I say thus 15.8
ELO' $n$ emphatic particle
$g_{I} k$ ELo' $n$ oh! 10.1
$E L o^{\prime} n$ ginni'ku ne'lyäa ${ }^{\varepsilon} t$ now they became (our) game 12.2
$g_{I} k, e^{\prime} n m e n \tilde{n}_{I} p e^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\epsilon t} . \quad$ ELo' $n r e^{\prime} m k_{I} n$ tumge $w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ oh, they landed.
Now the people became friendly 14.1
$E L o^{\prime} n E n \cdot \tilde{n} u^{\prime}-w a^{\prime} l \hat{e}-g I t$ such a one art thou 21.11
elo' $n m_{I r r}{ }^{\prime} w k u t-h_{I} t$ let us bind thee 23.8
ia'm eLo' $n$ ten $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u r k i n$ why doest thou laugh? 30.3
ELo'n nara'nmŭgit they will kill thee 37.10


$i^{\prime} g_{I t} \breve{u} m_{E L O} n$ but now! 123.18
Here belongs also-
$e^{\prime}$ milon somewhere $97.23 ; ~ 121.1 ~(<e m i-E L o ' n) ~_{n}$
$e^{\prime}$ milonai' $^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n$ (augmentative of $e^{\prime}$ mison) 43.6
awe'tuwaq suddenly, at once
awe'tuwaq êwkwétyis suddenly he left R 13.27
yılg-awe'tuwaq naus'qati'yñ orgŭ'tkinI kenema'nnen at once he tied the girl to the sledge R 13.23
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m E} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{E L} \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n}$ expresses displeasure, somewhat like German.
"aber doch" without disjunctive meaning.
$g_{I} k, a^{\prime} m_{E n} \breve{u} m$ elo' $n$ notas $q a^{\prime} w k w \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ Oh, the land is near 8.8 ("aber das Land ist doch nahe")
guq, $a^{\prime} m_{E n} \breve{u} m_{\text {elo }}{ }^{\prime} n c \check{c} I^{\prime} m q u \hbar$ pêla'arkin oh, some are leaving 8.9. guq, $a^{\prime} m_{E n} \breve{u} m E L o^{\prime} n . . . r e^{\prime} m k i n$ qäinunrélqiä oh, the people will come 10.3-4
guq, $a^{\prime} m_{E n} \breve{u} m{ }^{2} L^{\prime} n$ minıwkurkin-i'-gIt let us tie thee 20.9; see also 23.13
$a^{\prime} m_{E n} \check{u} m_{E L o^{\prime}} n e^{\varepsilon}$ 'tqi nintewimin•ge't-i-um I was badly tortured by them 21.9
 Ground-Beings 23.11-12
 all beings I could not do it 18.9
eLo' $n \breve{u} m^{\prime} a^{\prime} m_{E n}$, wotqanai'ñın eLo'n garaqêchha' Lên that big one, what has the bad one done! 31.9
 husband made the whole carcass into excrement 81.11
$a^{\prime} m_{E n ~ u}^{u m e L o}{ }^{\prime} n \bar{n} e^{\prime} u s^{\cdot} q \ddot{a} t-i-g_{I} r$ so you are the woman 136.15
a'mEn seems to introduce an unexpected event-and then unex-pectediy-or to intr duce an entirely new idea, to which emphasis is given 40.4; 41.12 .
$a^{\prime} m_{E n}$ ŭm énmen pênyo'lhm nuurgirgétqin and then unexpectedly the hearth made a noise 32.8
 $a^{\prime}$ nen ŭm vai li'i-teñ-evirälin then the man, the husband, was standing there unexpectedly with a little thin fur shirt, unexpectedly really well clothed 33.2
also 24.1; 29.11; 33.11; 39.3, 4, 5; 38.9, 11; 81.1; 88.8
$a m, a^{\prime} m^{\prime} n$ oh! (another idea) 56.8
一, $a^{\prime} m_{E n-!} 58.7$
$a^{\prime}$ inen-йm $8.10 ; 9.5 ; 13.10 ; 9.13 ; 39.3 ; 58.5 ; 65.20 ; 77.29 ; 80.25$; 89.9; 93.31; 99.1; 101.2
$a^{\prime}$ minam ( $=$ amen-ŭm 15.10)
$a^{\prime}$ men ưm not! such a one 98.33
$a^{\prime}$ men ǔm ELo'n 8.s, 9 ; 10.3; 39.1, 13; 41.6; Є4.1; 81.11; ELo'n ŭm $a^{\prime} m_{E n} 31.9$ (see under ELo' $n$ ) it should not be expected, but

$a^{\prime}$ men $^{\prime} \breve{u} m$ naqa'n 39.4; náqam $a^{\prime} m_{E n} 63.11$; however
venlí' $i \breve{\prime}$ m $a^{\prime}$ men $^{2} 40.7$
$a^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m I}$
tepe'lignin ŭm $a^{\prime} m I$ she made a cap too 28.8
nananaqa'gc̆ıñı йm a'mı geggeu'lin the little child awoke 55.3
telenye'p üm a'mI long ago 61.5-6
$\mathrm{krrga} a^{\prime} m \check{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{m} a^{\prime} m_{I}$. . . well (if you had found him) 121.4
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n I}$ an emphatic particle (?)
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ a^{\prime} n I q \ddot{a} n u^{\prime} r ~ q u n ~ n u t e^{\prime} s{ }^{\prime} q \ddot{a} n$ then certainly just like ground 8.6
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ a^{\prime} n$ gilu'tkulin then she practised shamanism 39.7 (see also $39.8,9 ; 40.4 ; 102.15 ; 104.35 ; 105.2,15 ; 109.32)$
gečenıte' ${ }^{\text {in }}$ üm $a^{\prime} n_{I}$ she was startled 29.6-7
genpeu'lin ŭm $a^{\prime} n_{I}$ he became quite decrepit 107.26
$a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ I, geilithoi'vulin $u^{\prime} k k \ddot{a} m$ so they distributed vessels 14.1
$a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ I, gilu'tkulin he beat the drum 107.9
$a^{\prime} n_{I}$,getıpeine ${ }^{\prime}$ lin he continued to sing 102.17
$a^{\prime} n_{I} a^{\prime}$ ttau for no particular object 30.4
$a^{\prime} n_{I}$ qu'num, qanto $\hat{\epsilon}^{\varepsilon}$ oh, look here! come out! 81.27
atau' without purpose; for no particular reason; it does not matter atau', li'en re'qürkin (you went to no purpose) what is the matter with thee? 18.6
atau', $l_{\theta^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}} n v_{\theta}$ (to no purpose, only) in order to be looked at 19.2 (also 19.6; 23.1; 30.4; 48.12; 125.1,6)
ata'um ni'rgipa'tqên to no purpose was he discussed 15.7
$g^{e} i^{\varepsilon} \tilde{n} k e l i^{\prime} y-\underline{g}$ Ir,$a^{\prime}$ ttau it is your (own) tattooed face; (you act) to no purpose 77.8
attau' grrgo'l-qla'ule nine'lhäqin for no particular reason he takes it for the man above 124.6-7
guq, attau'-qun o'rgoor yêttaqütč̌'gın just get (your) sledge ready 105.20 (see also 119.18)
$e, g u^{\prime} n \ddot{a}, a^{\prime}$ ttau oh, well, it does not matter 78.7
guk, attau' gumi'k oh, it does not matter, with me (sit down) 78.24
$\boldsymbol{a} \check{c} h \hat{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m I r a}$, ačhê'mrra-ñ-e'ur moreover (see also $E n \cdot k e^{\prime} m r$ )
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{l I m I}$ disjunctive
$a^{\prime} l_{I m_{I}} a l_{0}{ }^{\varepsilon} k a^{\prime} g t_{I} v a^{\prime} l_{E-\breve{u} m}$ although I am invisible 22.10
$a^{\prime} l_{I} m_{I}$ ra' $l_{E}$ ra'qalqal however, there is no need of the knife 57.4 $k a^{\prime} k o, a^{\prime} l_{I} m_{I}$ inelus'kälinet he has not seen them anyway 70.32
ya'am tile' $l_{I t} a^{\prime} l_{I} m_{I} l u u^{\prime} u r n a n ~ t i t q \ddot{a}^{\prime} n n i n e t ~ b u t ~ i t ~ s w a l l o w e d ~ t h e m ~$ 71.3
$a^{\prime} l_{\text {Imı }}$ quwalo'mŭrkin ŭm vê'tı do obey! 88.10
$a^{\prime} l_{I m I}$ eñe' $\tilde{n}_{l} l_{I n}$ however, he was a shaman 105.1
$a^{\prime} l_{\text {lmi }}$ kamagra'ñnoi he really gave a start 101.16-17
$\boldsymbol{a}$-l得'mña expresses surprise (see $l \breve{u}^{\prime} m \tilde{n} a$ )
g.uq, a-lŭ'mña qai've gıt oh, is that so, is it thou? 97.13
a-lư'mna is that so ? $121.1 ; 125.7$
$a-l u{ }^{\prime} m \tilde{n} a \tilde{n}^{\prime}$ on $m e^{\prime} \tilde{n} i n$ who was here? 109.21
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{a}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ pe quickly 122.2
$\boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{u k}, \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{E}$
ina'n ai'өk ñeustte'pık trenurete'ur let me in due time make it appear (be born) through a female dog 121.31
$g_{I} k, g_{I n} I^{\prime} k e i^{\prime} u k ~ e k a ̈ l u ' k$ oh, in due time (I see) thee at last 19.4 ${ }_{\text {en }} \cdot q a^{\prime} m_{\text {I }} n a^{\prime} n a i^{\prime} ө k$. . . réetyäa then after a while . . . he shall come 83.5-7
Also 118.20
§128
$\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{n}$ seems to be a connective with weak temporal tone.
$m i^{\prime} \tilde{n} k r i, e^{\prime} n m e n, g e^{\prime} m g e-n i^{\prime} k i n \tilde{n} i^{\prime} n q \ddot{a} i ~ n e ̂ n a^{\prime} g t o q e ̂ n, e^{\prime} u n$ navis' $q$ in how, then to whomsoever a child is born, and (then) it dies 20.8
$n i^{\prime} l h \ddot{a}$ ge'wkuLin e'un ninenlıpe'tqäet with thongs he is tied and he breaks them 20.9
$e^{\prime} u r ~ p u ̛ k i i^{\prime} r g i^{\varepsilon} e^{\prime} u n ~ n e l k i^{\prime} n k \not ̈ \ddot{c} t$ then she came and they had gone abroad 31.2
$e^{\prime} u r$ enqa'n $\ddot{a}^{s^{\prime}}$ ttwet geti'neñezin, $e^{\prime}$ un geplítkuleet and that boat was loaded and they had finished 31.1
qagno' $p \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$. . . $e^{\prime} u n$ eLe $e^{\prime} p k \ddot{a}$ sit with head bent down . . . and do not look 32.4-5
"en• $\tilde{n} e^{\prime}$ e Le'pläa," $e^{\prime} u n$ walo'mgêt "Do not look!" and she obeyed
$e^{\prime} u n$ nine'ćciqin and they cut it 72.18
$e^{\prime}$ un gepelqäručeu'linet ne'wanti and their wives had become decrepit with age 72.29
čeq-alvam-va' $l_{I t}$, e'un $I^{\prime}$ pe kele'tä gayos'laat how very extraordinary! and evidently they are visited by kelet 106.8
$e^{\prime} n m e n e^{\prime} u n E^{\prime} n \cdot k_{I}$ nitva'qên $i^{\prime} m e-r a ̈ \varepsilon^{\prime} n u t$ and then there was everything 106.32
$e^{\prime} u n$ yara'ne nine'lqin and it became a house 107.14
$g_{I k}$, ripe't $\breve{u} m e^{\prime} u n$ ! (now they are coming!) 11.10
$g_{I k}$, neqe $e^{\prime} m e^{\prime} u n$ qarê'm $e^{\prime} u n, g_{I k}$ oh, but it was not there 27.11
gai'mic̆ın nıgite' ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} n$, e' un kukwa't-koko'nalh $n$ they looked at the wealth and all was turned into dry leaves 107.16
Note: Not to be confounded with the prefix éun-actual, prin-
 sight 83.28
eur, eur-um is connective and with the added connotation at that thme; it always refers to two events taking place at the time.
ra'gtiast, en•qa'm éur lŭmn̂êna'és they went home, and at the same time he also followed 120.26
 came, (and) a boat's crew crept up to him 10.9
$e^{\prime} u r r_{1} r k a^{\prime} t a i^{\prime} u n i n, ~ " E$ 'ur yI'lqä narayos'git, muru'wmil qaiñe'i" at that time the walrus said to him, "At the time when sleep overtakes you, roar like we (do)" 10.6
$e^{\prime} u r \breve{u} m$ qo $0^{\varepsilon} l a a_{0} a^{s} t$, Aiwhuanpina'čhäqai gi'ulin at the time when they began to make a noise, the little old St. Lawrence Island man said 11.10
$a^{s^{\prime} t t w u-y \hat{e}^{\prime} \tilde{n} k i}$ nigitéqin, e'ur ŭm geyr'rețin they looked into the canoe and at that time it was fuill 67.6
$e^{\prime} u r$ is used also quite frequently as conditional.
$e^{\prime} u r$ Lumetu'nu ri'ty $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$, rine'newkwä̈ ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ at the time when you are Tumetun, you shall make me black 23.6 ( $=$ if you are the same); also 24.2
$k_{I t a} a^{\prime} e^{\prime} u r l_{I}{ }^{\prime} \hat{e}-v a^{\prime}{ }_{I} r_{I} \tilde{n} k i$ gañau'tın-ê-gıt, vai $u^{\prime} m k I$ qagtI' gIn this time if you have indeed married among real gods, then bring a polar bear 110.5
$i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t$ k $e^{\prime} u r$ tegge' $\tilde{m} r k i n, ~ g i n a a^{\prime} n c i^{\prime} m \tilde{n} u t \ddot{a}$ if actually you want it, do as you plèase
eusŭ'mña< $e^{\prime} u r l u{ }^{\prime} m \tilde{n} a$ or, or again
eulǔ'mña e'kik or again the son R 23.88
but $e^{\prime}$ ur lŭmña 98.9
Before the initial $n$ of the following word $e^{\prime} u r$ changes to $e^{\prime} u n$ (see § 7.20 ; § 11). See 20.8; 72.18

Still $e^{\prime} u n$ and $e^{\prime} u r$ are not identical, $e^{\prime} u r$ being used as connective and between separate nominal (or verbal) forms while $e^{\prime} u n$ is not so used.
gettu'tü e'ur geleu'tırgıtkutä with blowing or with scratching the head 126.7
Ilh-a $a^{\text {s }}$ ttin $e^{\prime} u n$, éur $\check{u} m$ uneči'chin also the white $\operatorname{dog}$ and the thong-seal 102.29; also 97.18
also $8.7 ; 9.2 ; 21.6 ; 31.1,2,3 ; 98.9$
Note: Between proper names, instead of the connective $e^{\prime} u r$, the plurals of the personal pronouns may be used.

G̣i'thllın érri Tna'rrgin geñewtu'mgäa Sunset and Dawn are connected by group marriage R 228, footnote 1 (lit. Sunset they Dawn)
$m u^{\prime} r i$ Qla'ul I and Qla'ul (lit. we Qla'ul)
eple'un
$k_{1} I^{\prime}$ tam qun eple'un li'i eñeñtvi' $e^{\varepsilon}$ well, did he really obtain shamanistic power? 18.4
êulurga, êwkurga-m however
$\hat{e}^{\prime}$ wえurga tu'mgrtum ui'n$\ddot{a}$ however, companion none (i. e. my companion is not with me) 11.1
ê'wkurga ginenčeñntew-i-git however, you have frightened me 15.10
$\hat{e}^{\prime} w k u r g a$ tilc-a'minan trene'thä (if I do so) however, I shall be all alone 31.13-32.1
ê'wkurga Nota's'qa-Va'ırga nênanwêthawa'tqên however, the Ground-Beings spoke to me (against my will) 24.9
egei', $\hat{e}^{\prime} w k u r g a \quad$ ća'mam all right, however, (it will be) in vain 108.30
$\hat{e}^{\prime} w k u r g a-m$ cotolêu' $o^{\prime}$ če nine'lh-i-ŭm, however, that under my pillow I have for my leader 128.13
$\hat{e} w k u r g a-m_{\text {ILo' }} n$ vai nitermeče' $n q i n$ however, he does much violence 66.26
See also 45.7; 66.14; 79.20; 84.6; 85.8
$\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{e}$ likewise, in the same manner
$q \ddot{a ̈ n} \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ kĭme'k êna'nmuêe ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\prime} p t e ~ g \check{u} m$ at this time almost you killed me likewise 121.16, 17
attau' $a^{\varepsilon}$ 'ttu milhría $a^{\epsilon} n$ e'pte gŭm simply as a dog I'll use it (I) likewise 135.20-21
$e^{\prime} p t e$ gınni'g-gili'lıt némäqäi gına'n nêna'nmê-gıt likewise the game procurers also thou has killed 44.9-10
$a^{\prime} m_{E n} \breve{u} m_{E L o^{\prime}} n e^{\prime} p t e g u ̆ m m_{I} \tilde{n} a u^{\prime} t i n g a^{\varepsilon} k$ let me likewise take a wife R12.8
emite $\boldsymbol{t}$ at once, just now
emıte't $\breve{u} m$ muwê'ñnıtaaq I shall go for (my) body 31.12 (see $32.2 \mathrm{emite}^{\prime} t \breve{u} m$ in final position
emıte't ŭm tê'rgılın ra'qal nan even thus crying for what? 27.12
guq, emrte't $u$ üm evi'rıt quätei'krginet oh, at once clothing make! 49.4
emite't-ŭm tıpêla'nat nime'lqinet I just left them in safety (=good ones) 53.4
emıte't-ŭm ataa'nkexlin tê'rgılın they did not touch the one who cried, (so at once . . .)
emite't $\breve{u} m$ qagtı' $g_{I} n$ bring it at once 111.3
emite'tım trgite'áá $n$ I looked on her 88.30
$\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{I}$ evidently, probably.
$e^{\prime} t i m$ vai nıpa'tqênat vên $\cdot v a^{\prime} k_{I}$ evidently they cooked them secretly 9.9
$e^{\prime} t ı m$ nu'tenut minnei'meukwäs $n$ evidently we are approaching land 9.11
$e^{\prime} t i m$ am gemge-nute'qin evidently from every country 11.4
$e^{\prime} t_{I m} a^{\prime} m_{E n}$ kuwi'ćin tre ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ 'tyäarn evidently I brought Children's Death 20.1
$e^{\prime} t_{1} m$ ke'lek qäli'ketyis evidently thou wilt marry a kele 26.2
$\hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I} m w u^{\prime} t k u$ evidently he is here! 125.2
$e^{\prime} t_{1} m$ nïro'rgarı there many have been three 97.26
$E L o^{\prime} n \breve{u} m$ ê'tim evidently that! (a term expressing annoyance) 31.10; 108.22
$\hat{e ́ t r l i n}^{\prime}$ necessarily
êto-
Eto'qaia'qañ rapkire'nnin after a while he brought her back 51.4
$e^{\prime}$ nmen êto' $q a i a^{\prime} q a \tilde{n} \breve{u} m$ gre'lgi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ after a while he vomits $136.24-25$
qai've-mač-êto' $p \hat{e} l$ indeed I am a little better 135.7-8
erre'č git êto'pêl thou art most fit 135.19
Eto' $p \hat{e} \hat{l}{ }^{\prime}$ En $\cdot k_{I}$ iwkuči' $i^{\varepsilon}$ she better drank then (i. e. she could drink then) 37.4
$\hat{e}^{\prime}$ toqon wo'tqan qäimi'tgin will you take this one?
e'toqon mirrenu'tergi-git shall we bury thee rather in the ground? R 60.23
 these enme'n seems to express the most definite temporal sequence, $E n \cdot q a^{\prime} m$ a closer temporal connection, while enr̈a'q should be translated in turn and indicates a still closer connection. It seems to depend upon the liveliness of the narrative which of these three is used. The first one is the most frequent connective conjunction, although a constant use of en.qam is not rare 62.6 et seq.
The difference between $e^{\prime} n m e n$ and en $q a^{\prime} m$ appears most clearly when their use alternates; as in the following examples.

En'qu'm enqa'n Umqäqäí'näa rılhindigiwe'nnin ñe'us'qät. e'nmen lu'ur e'gripgis At that time $\mathrm{U}^{\text {' }}$ mqüquäi pointed with his finger at the woman. Then thereafter she felt pain 63.7-8
 qa'at nerri'net at that time $U^{\prime}$ mqaiqaii and his people fled; then the others untied the reindeer 63.10-11
en $\cdot q a^{\prime}$ m nite'giñqin . . . e'nınen niten $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} w-i-u ̆ m ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ e n ' q a ' m ~$ gi'ulin At that time she sniffed . . . . then I laughed a Iittle; . . . then she said 72.11-13
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ y a^{\prime} y a k$ qamitvac̆a'qên mi'tqak, en $q a^{\prime} m$ enqa'n rıyırrai'nênat then the gulls ate all the blubber and at that time they anointed them
en'men uwi'lkan qätei'kıgin . . . en'qa'm dinčikou'tı qineni'ntrithen make a woodpile and throw me into the fire! 31.12-13
In all these examples, the impression is conveyed that $E n \cdot q a^{\prime} m$ signifies a closer connection than $e^{\prime} n m e n$.

The form enřa' $q$ is parallel to $m \theta^{\prime} r$ gin-řaq we next 69.22 and gŭm-řaq i next 77.21 Its meaning in turn this time appears clearly 17, 23, 96.11.

Enráa $q$ appears also together with $e^{\prime} n m e n$
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ n u t e^{\prime} s \times q \ddot{a} n$ enřa' $a^{\prime} q$ nuwêthau' $q e ̂ n$ then this time he spoke to the ground 15.9-10
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ e n r i a ' q ~ y a ' y a k i t ~ n a m i n g u k w a ' a r k i n a t ~ t h e n ~ i n ~ t u r n ~ h e ~ r e-~$ warded the gulls 74.28-29
In the beginning of a story $e^{\prime} n m e n$ means once upon a time.
$\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n m e} \boldsymbol{c}$ because
va'nềvan ni'tvinên, e'nmeč ŭm nayılhau'nên she did not tell him anything because she feared his anger 88.22-23
 she came home, her husband had broken the tent 30.10-11
$e^{\prime} n m e \check{c} \check{u} m$ ñan e'čhi wulqätvi'ís, keñkele'nnin because of this, before evening came, he made her descend 97.5-6
$e^{\prime} n m e c ̌$ ä ${ }^{\prime} q \ddot{l} l p e$ because of this, hurry up!
$e^{\prime} n m e c ̌ ~ g e p l l^{\prime} t k u l i n$ and already it is finished
$e^{\prime} n m e c ̌$ qui'mik tála'iorkin already I soil my trousers 94.19
$e^{\prime} n m e c ̌$ wi'yolu qi'nelhi'rkin already you shall have me for a servant 95.7, also 95.15

## есе́е'пur eथ̈и'ur

ec̆énur vintuw'lin it shall be (this way) a well trained one 24.6
eče'nur . . . veime'nu nere'lhiñın it shall be (this way) one who is kindly treated 25.8-9
guq, eče'nur yê'ta qäle'tik it shall be this way! (you shall) move on slowly 65.28
ec̆u'ur yep vai atêvga'tka vai yegte'tee ${ }^{\varepsilon} t$ it shall be this way! as yet without crying (shall be) those living R 54.40
$e \check{c} h i$ before
$e^{\prime} c ̌ h i ~ r a s ' q e ̂ u n ̃ o^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} t ~ c ̌ i t ~ n e p i ' r i r k r n ~ q l a ' u l q a i ~ b e f o r e ~ t h e y ~ c o u l d ~$ enter they attacked the man 85.15
 out 8.4
$e^{\prime} c ̌ h i$ eime'wkwí $\operatorname{rrgIro}$ 'ñnoi before it approached the dawn came 9.12
See also 10.9, 12.10, 11; 13.3; 20.3; 31.3; 55.6, 8; 97.20
Followed by -rkin when about to-
$e^{\prime}$ ćhi pelqänte'erkinn . . . gapêkkagta' $L e ̂ n$ when about to come back, she fell down 97.20
$e^{\prime} c ̌ h i ~ c ̌ i t ~ q a m i ' t v a r k i n ~ l u ' u r ~ p i ' r i n i n ~ w h e n ~ s h e ~ w a s ~ a b o u t ~ t o ~ e a t, ~$ after that he caught her 87.12
 bow-man was about to fling the harpoon, after that he said 10.10
ellutle'rki in case, if
elhrle' $\tilde{n} k_{I}$ relus $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \tilde{n}_{I n}$ in case you should see him
erre'č only
erre'č qun ñe'ekrk an only daughter R 12.10
erre'ćc enqa'n née ekik (there was) only that daughter 28.2
erre'č ñro'rgari there were only three of them 34.3
$n a^{\prime}$ qam erre'č yi'liil ru'rkmin he eats only tongues 49.3
erre' $\check{x}$ úm am-gıtha't gegnu'linet only just the legs were left 51.4 erre'é ai'kolak moLt' yñın ŭm on the bedskins was only blood 56.4-5 erre't-te'gin limit of end (i. e. it is the end); from -tegn limit (only in compounds) 64.2
erre'ćc mi'mıl, yas'rat mi'mıl nine'uqin $a^{\prime} m k i n ı c ̌ o ~ o n l y ~ w a t e r, ~$ verily water they were consuming in quantities R 32.28
$\boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{\prime \prime}$ but (weaker than naqa'm)
 but you are weak; I, on the contrary, shall do it very well

## ekälu'に

gInI'k ei'uk ekälu'k at last for thee 19.4
ekeñィ'и, есесеа'n I wish I could (with subjunctive b)
$e k \in \tilde{n} a^{\prime} n$ ğumna'n $t_{t^{s}} p i^{\prime} r e a ̈ a^{s} n$ I wish I could take it
eke'upĕ $\boldsymbol{I}$ and now, but now
eke'upěı tipll'tkurkin and now I am finishing it
$i a^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m}>\boldsymbol{I}$ yam why 19.5
$i a^{\prime} m_{E L o^{\prime}} n$ ten $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u r k i n$ why are you laughing 30.3
ia'm pegét'ñu nine'lhr-gır ora'wêṭan why doest thou meddle with man? (lit. to meddling interest doest thou become) 23.11
$i a^{\prime} m$ gemge'-gInni'k qo'nmu ${ }^{\varepsilon} a n$ why do you kill all the game? 92.32
iu'kä oh if! I wish-
guq, iu'kä qaia'qañ minqam'tvarkın I wish we could eat more 65.4
guq, iu'kä minpontorkin-ê-git I wish I could eat of your liver! 95.19
iu'kä no on onan minı'nmŭrkin I wish we might kill this one 70.22
i'ppe, y $I^{\prime} p e$ actually
En'qa'm i'ppe mǔkıčı'yñın . . . gata'lên then actually very many . . . moved 11.7
En. nata'l üm i'ppe gina'n this time it is really thou 93.20
$e^{\prime} u n I^{\prime} p e$ kele'tä̈ gayos'laat now really kele risit them 106.8
$i^{\prime} p e-q u n$ really $4 \overline{0} .3$
gŭm, gu'nä li'i-i'ppe ti'urkin I, indeed, quite truly say 57.2 $\boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$ 'tik:
$i^{\epsilon^{\prime}} t_{I} K a^{\prime} m_{E n}$ ärunte'erkin in reality thou desirest 24.11
qarê'mên ora'wêlan, $i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t_{I k}{ }^{\prime} \check{m} k^{\prime} e^{\prime} l_{E}$ (she is) not a human being, in reality she is a $\mathrm{ke}^{\prime} \mathrm{l}$ e 29.9
qarê'mên $i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t I k$ luí minll this is not a real myth 61.5
$g_{I} k$, attau' $i^{\varepsilon} t_{I} k$ üm $t i^{\varepsilon} l_{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ in vain, if in reality I had seen him 121.6
gale $\theta^{\varepsilon}$ očına'-merê $i^{\varepsilon} t_{I} z^{\prime}$ umm in reality we have met 121.23
 induce me to do wrong, just really 25.1
li'en. $i^{\varepsilon} t_{I k}$ amñ'čvinla gứ'mik rinike'urkin qarềm milimala' $\tilde{n}$ $\bar{n} o a^{\varepsilon k}$ just really the angry ones order me to do something, let me not obey them 21.10

$i^{\varepsilon}$ 'tıg lư'mña qailhına'n.gêt eñe' $\tilde{n} e t v i i$ in reality again he has acquired real shamanistic power 19.11-12

## $\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{n q u n}$ lest

nênaio'qên $i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ nqun nere'lus $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \tilde{n} I n$ she shoved it in, lest they should find it 29.3
-• $i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \tilde{n} q u n$ vai kintaya'n ragno'urkin vê'ti ginni'k lest even the lucky one should feel great scarcity of game 42.3
nini'uqinet, titi't remle' gitki, $i^{\prime} n q u n_{n e r e}{ }^{\prime} l u^{\varepsilon} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ he said to them, "You will break the needles!" lest they should look at them 82.12
$i^{\prime} g I t$ now
$i^{\prime}$ gır $I^{\prime} m_{I}$ Nota's'qa-Va'ırgın narataaro'ñıñoñın now also the Ground-Beings shall be given sacrifices 25.1-2
$q u^{\prime} n u m i^{\prime} g_{I t} \breve{u} m$ minra'gtatyas $n$ let me now take it home 121.28
 123.18
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{t}$ first
go,gŭm ŭm ya'n $n t$ oh, I first 43.9
ya'nřa separately, alone
nttkiu'qin ya'nřa she passes the nights by herself 28.3
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{a t}$ very (sometimes $y a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ ćcat)
$n_{\text {IthI }}{ }^{\prime}$ lqinet $y a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ rat very hot ones 9.9
ya $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ rat nite'nqinet very good ones 14.8
nite' $\tilde{n} q$ in $y a^{\varepsilon}$ 'rat a very pretty one 36.3
eñenitvi' $i^{\varepsilon} y a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ rat he acquired great shamanistic power 35.10
yás'ran niglo'qên she sorrowed very much 27.10
$a^{\prime} m_{E n}$ ŭm yás rat verily! 85.2
yaka'n-上スn probably 9.13
$y \ddot{a} q q \ddot{a} \boldsymbol{i}$ a particle giving a slightly emphatic shade to the phrase,
like German "ja"
yäqqäii' $E n \cdot q a^{\prime} m$ pe' $e^{\prime}$ tréetyä́ $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ ll soon be back (ich werde ja bald wiederkommen) 30.8
yäqqäi $i^{\prime} \check{u} m$ qu'num tu'ri qarê'mêna-tөrê ye are not (human beings) (ihr seid ja doch nicht Menschen) 85.4-5
$m i^{\prime} \tilde{n} k r i-m-e^{\prime} u n$ yäqqä̈i' gŭmna'n milus $a^{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}} n$ tuwêlvača'arkın how is it then? I shall find him. I am unable to do it (ich soll ihn ja finden) 124.3
$y \ddot{a} q q \ddot{a} i^{\prime}-\tilde{n} a n$ git tratara'ñna for thee I'll pitch the tent (für dich will ich ja das Zelt aufstellen) R 61.38
$y \ddot{a} q q \ddot{a} i^{\prime}$ ora'wêṭa-taiñatıčha'tıl-ê-gıt you are a murderer of men (du bist ja ein Mörder) 94.6
$y \ddot{a} q q \ddot{a} i^{\prime} \breve{u} m$ räa ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} n u t$ what is it? (ja, was soll das denn?) 111.3
yäqqüi $i^{\prime} \breve{u}$ yagtalê'n'vo trye'tyä́k have I come for life? (bin ich
etwa gekommen, um mein Leben zu erhalten) 113.26
$\boldsymbol{y} e^{s} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}$ (evidently containing the element $l i^{\prime} i$ TrULy, REALLY, see also $u L i^{\prime} i, v e n l i^{\prime} i$, miteli'i, qä́ $\left.i^{\prime} i, q u L i^{\prime} i\right)$
$e^{\prime} n m e n y e^{\varepsilon} l i^{\prime} i{ }^{\prime} n q a^{\prime} n$ is he the only one? 21.13
$y e^{\varepsilon} 7 i^{\prime} i$ gŭmna' $n$ rather (let) me (be the one)
Also R 12.7
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r a q}$ perhaps.
opo' $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ exhortative
opo'pe garai'-git minle'git thou hast a home, let me take thee there 89.7
opo'pй minpa'awkut let us stop $!98.6^{\prime}$
opo'pe mitalai'ruut let me give thee a beating R 61.50
$\boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p t I m} \boldsymbol{I}$ like (see Koryak Kamenskoye opta)
$o^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{a}$ openly
gina'n qanra'gtatyán ${ }^{\varepsilon} n o^{\prime} r a$ if thou shouldst take it home openly 121.30
$i^{\prime} g ı t-u ̆ m-I L o^{\prime} n$ o'ra tIyo ${ }^{\prime} w k u t$ I have come to thee openly 123.18-19
uru'ur it seems that
$\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{i}$ thus, so
$u^{\prime} r r i ~ n \breve{u} p l u u^{\varepsilon} t v i^{\prime} q i n$ it was so small 20.3
$u^{\prime} r r i l_{I}^{\prime} \tilde{n} k_{I}$ nŭmqItvi'qin thus becoming it decreased in size 20.4 qagno'pgêe $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon} \hat{e}_{I} c_{I} k o u^{\prime} t_{I} u^{\prime} r r i \tilde{n}^{\prime} \tilde{n} i^{\prime} n$ sit with head bent down in your clothes thus 32.4
$u^{\prime} r r i m_{I n g}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\text {LInin }} r^{\prime}$ 'nnin thus he did (with) his hand 57.10
$u^{\prime} r r i n a n \not g_{I} n i^{\prime} n . .$. thus it is yours . . . 93.9-10
wec'rrithus
$n a^{\prime} q a m$ ŭm neyule'tqin $w u^{\prime} r r_{1}$ still he was alive (although he was) in this condition 50.3
$e^{\prime} n m e n$ vai wu'rri enqa'n gama'tınolên there thus that one dragged her 51.1
enqa' $n$ Ena' $n$ cininit wu'rri $n i^{\prime} t q i n$ that one there herself was thus 26.9
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ w u^{\prime} r r i ~ p u u l q e^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ then he floated thus 77.23
$e^{\prime} n m e n E^{\prime} n_{i} k i t$ rilu'tku wu'rri girgola'giti there at once he moved thus upward 16.5
$\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n m} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{k}$ greatly, strongly
§ 128
$\boldsymbol{u L i} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}$ in this case indeed (or besides indeed ?) (containing the element $l i^{\prime} i$ really, truly; see also $y e^{\varepsilon} l^{\prime} i, ~ v e n l i^{\prime} i$, miteli' $i, q a ̈ l i ' i$, $\left.q u_{L i} i^{\prime} i\right)$
$u_{L i}{ }^{\prime}$ yara' $^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I}$ qamata'gın $w u^{\prime} k w e ̂ n$ then take also my stone-house 92.4 (in the same way $92.14,24 ; 93.3, S, 18,26$ )
$\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{t I}$ really, truly, very, at once; an intensifying particle
ve'ti veime'nu nere'lhiñon truly friendly he will be treated 25.9
vêtı gıInni'k ŭm a'men nenankêttuwa'tqên truly they made game
scarce by means of magic 42.4
$v \hat{e}^{\prime} t_{\mathrm{I}}$ nımei' ${ }^{\prime} n q i n$ he was very large 73.9

$v \hat{e}^{\prime} t_{ı}$ nara'nmŭntık it will kill you at once 70.12
$v \hat{e}^{\prime} t_{-}-m r \varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} w n e ̂ n a^{\prime} n m u ̆ q e ̂ n$ he killed really (many) whales 73.3
$a^{\prime} l_{\text {Imı }}$ quwalo'mŭrkin $u m$ vè'tı but obey me strictly $88.10-11$
trañautı'nurkin vê'te qun I shall marry at once 57.2
$n_{I} r^{\prime} v \tau^{\varepsilon} \tilde{n} q i n v \hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I}$ he really wanted to die 99.27
$v \hat{e}^{\prime} t$, qaya'arkinat $\bar{n} a n$ do sing it again! 120.24
vetčíi'in $\breve{\boldsymbol{u} m, ~ v e l \check{c} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i n}}$ for my part granted!
vénom
$v \hat{e}^{\prime} n o m$ ergina' $n$ let them (be) 55.11
Also 56.1
vên $\boldsymbol{v a} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{k I}$ secretly (see $v i^{\prime} n \cdot v i$ )
$\boldsymbol{v e n} / \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}$ unexpectedly 60.7 ; 61.2 ; 69.33 (Bogoras: still, meanwhile, notwithstanding), (containing the element $l i^{\prime} i$ really, truly;

$q \ddot{a n} \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ met-ki'it venli'i ŭm $a^{\prime} m e n$ rima'gtı nine'lqin at this time somehow unexpectedly to the other side it came (i. e. nevertheless it came across somehow) 40.7
venli'i leu'tı ki'plinên unexpectedly he hit him on the head 45.12 qai've teiñele'erkın, venli'i aa'lomka i'irkın indeed, I blame him; unexpectedly he does not obey
na'qam pa'nêna venli'i nuurgeimeu' $q$ in but unexpectedly more thunder approached 69.30
ne'me čuwi'pıt nimei'ñetqin venli' $i$ unexpectedly the remaining piece also was growing in size 72.18
venli'i nitiu'qin unexpectedly he is persistent 137.15
Also 74.4; 137.13
vele'r, vele'r-üm, vele'r $\breve{u}^{\prime} m$ ñau at least (Kor. Kam. va'!an) limited qualification of action) gailo'kim mi'ñkri, vele'r-ŭm wo'tqan indeed, how then, at least this one? vele'r-um mickaqaro'ák at least I will eat some sugar R 65.124 vele'r-im yara' $\tilde{n}_{I}$ ga'tvata although a house had been there (lit. at least with house's being) 31.6
velér čım ${ }^{\prime} e^{\prime} t a ̈$ qênata' ${ }^{\prime} \hat{e}^{8}$ at least move near! 37.10 (see also 37.9,13)
vele'rim mitwêt $\cdot$ ha'urkin at least I can talk with thee 32.1
vele'r-qun, vele's $\cdot-q u n$ at least (with a shade of anger) R 72.20
vien• < viyen just, simply
tıkimıče'erkin am, vi'en mewkwe'tyä́sk I am staying too long, just let me depart
$v i^{\prime} e n^{\cdot} p u \check{k i} i^{\prime} \operatorname{rgı}^{\varepsilon}$ êuño'act he just arrived (and) they began to speak 110.3
go, vi'en qra'gtitik just go home! 45.9

$\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{I}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{I}, \boldsymbol{v} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v a} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{K}$ secretly 108.14
pILa', prLa'q apparently, pretending
$p_{I L} a^{\prime} v \varepsilon^{\prime} l_{I n}$ pretending death $82.4 ; 124.6$
plägi' that is all! 107.21 (from stem pl-to finish)
mell, méce, like, somewhat like (see § 113.10, 11).
mei
ka'ko mei oho, there! 14.5
met-ki'tkit, met-ki'it (?)
me'číču besides
$i^{\prime} t k e n i n ~ u ̆ m ~ m e^{\prime}$ čič̆ $\check{u}$ êrga' $w k w \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ he robbed him and ridiculed him besides
mač exhortative particle
mač gümna' $n$ let me be the one!
mač $\operatorname{Irgina}^{\prime} n$ let them be the ones! R 62.70
$m a^{\prime}$ ćinan<mač-Ena'n let it be (impersonal) $m a^{\prime}$ ćman cei'vä let it be (done) on foot R 60.21
mite $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$ of course 121.6
miteli'i undoubtedly (containing the element $l i^{\prime} i$ really, truly; see also $y e^{\varepsilon} i^{\prime} i, u_{L i} i^{\prime} i$, venli' $i$, qä̆ $\left.i^{\prime} i, q u L i^{\prime} i\right)$
miteli'i tıla'nvu va'rkin riц̣u undoubtedly there is a stranded carcass 64.18
miteli'i ranto' $a^{\varepsilon}$ undoubtedly she will come out 82.21
miteli'i kitkin'u'qai rakêrga'tya ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ undoubtedly a small bright spot will appear 118.6
mitiu' (perhaps mithiu') I thought-
mitiu' enéñll-git I thought thou wert a shaman 22.3
mitiu' ke'le-i-git I thought thou wert a kele 15.11
$m_{t t i}{ }^{\prime} u ̈ m e n o ' n ~ q a ̈ i g i^{\prime} p e ~ v i r i ' i r k i n ~ w e ~ t h o u g h t ~ h e ~ r e a l l y ~ w a n t e d ~$ to die R 52.7
$\$ 128$
tam, tagam all right!
ge, tam! oh, all right! 121.28
gr, tam, a'men! oh, all right then! 84.14
I, tam! yes, all right 84.19
$\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{q}$ if perhaps (always with future)
te'naq nara'nmirum if perhaps they should kill me
$\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \check{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{e}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}$ how many times
$n e^{\prime} m e ~ t e^{\prime} c e-\tilde{n}$ giiwi' $i^{\varepsilon}$ again how many times a year passed (i. e. after several years) 12.8
naqu'm however, but
utte'mil nimayenqana'čhın naqa'm pe' $\frac{1}{}$ nŭmqitvi'qin like a tree was he large, but soon he decreased (in size) 20.2
nara'nmüñqên na'qam . . . nênalwau'qên they wanted to kill him, but . . . they could not do it 36.1-2.
$n a^{\prime} q a m n_{I n} \cdot \tilde{n i} i^{\prime} u q i n n e^{\prime} m e$ but they ordered him again 59.6
$u^{\prime} t t a ̈ q a i-n a^{\prime} q a m$ enqa'n gelelu'qäglin it is little piece of wood!But it has whiskers 75.4-5
$n a^{\prime} q a m$ čemi'ngit yito'nenat but (this time) she pulled out a pair of gloves 111.5-6; also 76.4, 6, 24
$n a^{\prime}$ qam ŭm is more strongly adversative
guq, naqa' $m$ ü $m r e^{\prime} q \ddot{a}$ but with what then? 34.9
$n a q a^{\prime} m \breve{u} m \tilde{n} 0^{\prime}$ onqan but this one 35.1
$n a^{\prime} q a m$ ŭm tew-mu' $L$ llin but this one's blood was good 117.14 $n a^{\prime}$ qam ŭm niqe'tuuqin but this one was strong 66.20
With $a^{\prime} m_{E n}$ it is strongly adversative
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ q u ' t t r r g I n ~ q a^{\prime} a t ~ p e^{\prime}$ Leqäi nerri'net, $n a^{\prime} q a m a^{\prime} m_{E n}$ Umqäqäí'in . . . nênalwau'qên then the others' reindeer quickly were untied, but on the other hand Umqäqüi" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ . . . could not 63.11-12
$a^{\prime} m_{\text {En }}$ йm naqa'm inpilu'tkul-i-git you on the other hand, are an old shamanistic practitioner 39.4
naqa'm lŭmña (literally but again). In this complex the adver-
sative meaning is not always marked. It seems to mean after

## all tilat has happened.

naqa'm lümña náwtıngêe after all, he married 58.7-8
naqa'm lǔ̆'mna gaa'qөlên after all he sat down 98.24
$n a^{\prime} q a m$ lu' mna inennike' $w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ after all I am treated thus 98.28-29
$n a^{\prime} q a m$ lư'mna $\check{c} e q-\hat{e}^{\prime} ' c ̌ c ̌ a q$ after all, quite on the surface (?) 102.25-26
inenpelqu'utkălin ŭm wot, naqa'm lŭ'mña he can not be vanquished, after all 114.27
$n a^{\prime} q a m l \tilde{u}^{\prime} m \tilde{n} a i^{\prime} l i i l u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} \ddot{a} n e^{\prime} l y i^{\varepsilon}$ after all, the rain stopped 116.11-12
$n a q a^{\prime} m$ li'm $n \bar{n} a$ ginni'k reurre'tyä́s after all that has been done game shall appear 25.6
Clearly adversative are:
naqa'm lü'mña awgê'tkinka nevertheless they did not say anything 26.6
 no'mräqên then the whole night he struggled, however the grass (with which he was tied) was (too) tough (to be torn) 20.10-11.
It is also used before nominal forms, pronouns, and nominalized verbs
kıčauc̆a'tyêes na'qam wus'qư'mérku, na'qam niki'tä he galloped off notwithstanding the darkness, notwithstanding the nighttime 57.5
na'qam yo'yo qän $n \cdot v e^{\prime} r$. . . naa'lomgas $n$ notwithstanding the wind, just at that time they heard it 34.4
eñe'ñllın naqa'm go'rgulên but the shaman had a sledge 14.10
$n a q a^{\prime} m$ am-gina' $n$ but only thou (i. e., but you are all alone) 30.3
Apparently following the verb to which it belongs:
gapếnřrlên na'qam, ganmıtiooi'vulên but they were attacked, they were slaughtered 12.4
ni'näqin üm naqa'm but this one was swift 40.4-5
me'me again
ne'me gitte'wkwis again thou art hungry 9.13
ne'me čipe'tyiz again he dived 10.1
gu, ne'me oh, again 36.6!
иe'mäqäi also
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ \check{m} m A i^{\prime}$ wanat ŭm ne'mäq$\ddot{a}^{\prime} i$ they are also Aiwan 7.9
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ n e ' m a ̈ q a ̈ i, ~ g e r i ' n ̃ e l i n ~ t h e n ~ h e ~ a l s o ~ h a d ~ f l o w n ~ u p ~ 15.3 ~$
$e^{\prime} p t e g_{I n n i ' g-g i l i '}^{l} l_{I t}$ ne'mäqäi gIna'n nêna'nmêe-gIt likewise the game procurers also thou hast killed 44.9-10
nemäqüi gŭmna'n I also 93.13
ne'mäqäi enqa'n eñe'nılin nıpe'gtımet also that shaman is hauling a sledge 14.12-15.1
neqe'm but, nevertheless
$g_{I} k, n e q e^{\prime} m e^{\prime} u n$ qarê'm e'un, grik oh, but it was not there 27.11
 just died, he is taken away by the dead people R 52.12
$\check{c} I^{\prime} m q u \check{u} q$ partly, somewhat
 were encamped 58.9
$\kappa_{i} I^{\prime} m q u ̆ q$ enqa' $n$ niqulile'tqin in part they were noisy 60.9
 was (left) 75.11
 94.22-23
éámu also
と $a^{\prime} m a$ enqa'n mač-êwga'n titvu'rkin also this is an incantation $\mathbb{I}$ tell 39.13

ća'ma li'en ai'makik naslai'oqên he also defecated on the carcass 81.6
¿a'ma qu'tti ga'nmilaat also the others were killed 98.3
$t_{\text {thin-la' }} l_{l}-m \dot{\theta}^{\prime} r \hat{e}$ vai ča'ma we come here also carrying antlers 121.20

と̌a'ma nuwêthau'qaat orawêṭa'-mêl they also talk like men 64.10 guq, $a^{\prime} m_{E n} \check{u} m$ na'qam ŭm gai'mıč-ai'wan ča' $a^{\prime} m a$ oh, he was however a rich Aiwan 50.7
See also 42.3
$\check{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m i t}$ therefore
če'mit gư'muk êna'tvat epki'rkiä nıtva'qen therefore to me promised gifts do not come 93.16
 therefore really has become long again the jaw 45.8
$\check{c} \hat{e}^{\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{n}} \hat{e} t$ since, because
cế'nêt im vinřếtillt nứmqüqüet since there are many helpers R 4.44
 on its back R 4.34
 we visit him? 108.13-14
 R 45.13-14
cê'nêt gumni'n $E^{\prime} n n ı-k u^{\prime} p r e ̂ n ~ u^{\prime} i n ̃ a ̈ a ̈ ~ e n m e ̂ q a^{\prime}$ ttı tralva'wñsn since I have no fish nets, I cannot trade in fish R 46.47-48
gu, če' $\tilde{n} e t$ ŭm $i l i^{\prime} \leq \ddot{u}-m u r i$ oh, since we are on an island! (an exclamatory phrase) 11.11
čitéı!"
čit gümik ga'tvalen, čite'un ta'n•nık trtva'rkin üm $i^{\prime} g$ git before it was with me, and later (now) with the Russians I am staying now R 45.19
čit ai'vanana me'tal rinřrrkinin . . . cüte'un ŭm gŭmna'n wu'thu $t_{1}{ }^{\varepsilon} n \check{r i}^{\prime} q \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ before the Aivan kept the medal, . . . and later (now) here I should (like to) keep it R 45.20-21
čite'un akka'gtı trtêggê'nırkin and later (now) with (my) son I should like (to be) R 46.38
čite'un Kinta'rrga memilqa'a nasna'lpmřré later on (now) good luck may give me seals R 46.42
čite'un $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t t ı l u a^{\prime} l v a n ̃ q a n ~ n i t a^{\prime} q e ̂ n a t ~ a n d ~ l a t e r ~ o n ~ s o m e ~ d o g-d r i v e r s ~$ were moving on in unwanted directions R 32.38
ınpıčc-ekke'tä rirI'lpinnên čite'un vêé-wgênto'êê the eldest son was with him later on then he gave up his breath (i. e. that he might die an easier death) R 49.15
le'nitaq already (?)
le'ñtaq üm napêla'an üm vai ñe'ekık already they had left this daughter 30.12
leñta'qŭm . . . čumña'čhin na'nmugas $n$ already they had slaughtered the reindeer-buck R 52.7
$\boldsymbol{l i}$ 'en and simply, and only; restricted action
nineimeu'qinct, li'en ${ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t t \ddot{a}$ gape'nrč̌lên they approached, the dogs just jumped at them 111.21
$l i^{\prime} e n \cdot \hat{e} l h i p e ̂ r a^{\prime} r k$ In $e^{\prime}$ če it is simply white with fat 81.27
ta'yolhin ŭm lo' ${ }^{\prime}$ lo $l^{\prime}$ 'en . the needle-case was simply his penis 82.13 See also $67.19 ; 81.6 ; 86.8,12,25 ; 87.1,28$.
 R 61.1
$l i^{\prime} e n \cdot \varepsilon^{\prime} t^{\prime} t k$ kêma'wkurgê-git and let me tell you that you are causing delay
li'en $i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t_{1} k r a^{\prime} \tilde{n} \tilde{n} I t a \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ and may I ask you what do you want here?
$l i^{\prime} e n \cdot u ̆ m i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t i k e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t q i$ and really, as I tell you, it is bad 11.3
attau' $l i^{\prime}$ en . utterly in vain (see atau' p. 854)
luu, before vowels $l u$ 'un just, just like
$l_{\theta \theta n-a i^{\prime} v E}$ just yesterday
$\boldsymbol{l i} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}$ really
En'ñata'l ŭm li'i $t_{I}{ }^{\prime} l_{I-g_{I}}$ from now on I shall really know thee 93.21-22
$l i^{\prime} i$ eñeñtvi' $i^{\varepsilon}$ he has really acquired shamanistic power 18.4
lu'ur after that, thereupon always refers back to a preceding event.
 the east; oh, thereupon reindeer came 108.32
atčau' luwau'nen, lu'ur yopa'ty $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ she could not wait, thereupon she went to look 30.13
lu'ur wêthau' $\mathrm{n} o \hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ thereupon he began to speak 31.11
$l u u^{\prime} u r$ pintrqoro'a $a^{\varepsilon} t$ thereupon they began to emerge 102.23
$k_{I} y e^{\prime} w k w a ̈{ }^{\varepsilon} t$. $e^{\prime} n m e n ~ l u ' u r ~ q l a a^{\prime} u l y e^{\prime} t y i^{\varepsilon}$ they awake. Then, after that, a man came 66.11
rilhndigiwe＇nnin；e＇nmen lu＇ur e＇gripgi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he pointed at her with a finger；thereupon she felt pain 63.7
See also S．5；10．8，10；15．1；29．6；61．9；68．11； 70.27
lu＇un matalı＇$y n ̃ \pi n$ êunoi＇thereupon the father－in－law said 114.9
$\boldsymbol{l} \breve{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m a n a}$ again
$g u$ ，mê＇ñko lü＇mña pi＇ntiqätyiz oh，whence doest thou appear again？ 10.12
$r a^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }^{n o t a}{ }^{\prime}$ ch $h t ~ l u u^{\prime} m \tilde{a} a$ what are these again？14．3－4
$n a g a^{\prime} m$ lü＇$m \pi a$ ．．．ergewe＇tyis but again he dived 17.4


## $\boldsymbol{r r p e} \boldsymbol{t}$ even

ripe＇t têrga＇ty $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ he even began to cry
eyr＇lqakelinet ripe＇t eni＇git without sleep were even the parents 34．3－4
lile＇－mi＇mlä nipyuči＇tqin ripe＇t the eye fluid even spurted out 106.19
ripe＇t ge＇mu $l_{I}{ }^{\prime} n g \ddot{a}{ }^{s} n$ you did not even mind it 109.25

vattenn・ベルuñin enough 65.6
re＇en I confess
－řam with personal pronouns my thy，his turn（perhaps＜enraqq $\breve{u} m, g \breve{u} m-r a^{\prime} q \breve{u} m$ it is my turn）
$e^{\prime} u ̆ n$ nipampıčée＇teqen enřa＇m či ${ }^{\prime}$＇čhınčrlku aŭnra＇lin he puts on tufts of reindeer hair in their turn in the armpits of the owner （i．e．the owner puts on ．．．）R 4.46
enr̆a＇m rimne＇tä gaikola＇Leet this time they spread the skins the inside upward R 59.13
enr̆a＇m nımtu＇mqäqäi eñinqä＇ikĕlin on his part their camp com－ panion has no child $R$ 12．11－12
kIme＇l，qIme＇l at once（？）
Kime＇l $e^{\prime} l h u$ ine＇tčici ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ at once he has a liking for me 137.14 （see also $137.5,11$ ）
enqa＇m naus＇qaté＇ti qime＇l then at once（he said）to the woman 58.6
kime＇k almost
Kime＇k mita＇nmut almost we killed thee 10.11
$a^{\prime} m e n-\breve{\iota} m-I L o^{\prime} n t_{I}^{\prime} n m a$ ine＇ntri ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ krme＇k．but now you did almost kill one 123．17－18
 killed me 121．16－17．See also $66.35 ; 71.6 ; 85.27 ; 128.12$.

## kīta＇，kIta＇m，Kitau

kitau＇
kıtau＇qun，mi＇nkri ni＇tqin now then！how was he？ 17.12 （kita＇m qun 18．1）
kitau' qun astifyna ninenyegtele'nmık well then! the big dog saved us 106.26
$k_{1 t} a^{\prime}$
$k^{\prime} t a^{\prime} \tilde{n} a^{\prime} n k o$ go ahead! (bring him) here! 20.1
$k_{I t a^{\prime}}$ m 46.4; 79.1; 80.10; 87.8;94.9;110.20;113.21; 124.2; kita'm qua 16.6; 18.4; Kita'm qu'num 21.5 well then!
Kita'm nu'nři now then (bring home) here! 23.2
guq, Kita'm lü' $m n a$ well! now then again! 68.17
It may be separated from the imperative or subjunctive by a clause
 qagtı' gin now then, if among real being (gods) thou hast married really, here a polar bear bring! 110.5-6. Compare 110.9-10.
Fitta'tle E unfortunately 25.12
Et'tiel-qum notwithstanding
kit'nmal together (?)
$k_{I}$ 'nmal minuñe'lmik let us go for fuel! 30.6
 killing at once (?) the food procurers 44.9. See also 83.26
 kite seldom
ki'thit a little
ki'tkit niten'ñe'w-i-um I laughed a little 72.13
ki'tkit qünve'ntetyis open it a little 94.21, 34
$k i^{\prime} t k i t$ nuurre'tqinet they were a little visible 95.30
ねи'likä alone 24.10.
quia'qañ a while
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ q a i a^{\prime} q a \tilde{n} t_{I} l e^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} t$ they walked a while 64.8 . See also 66.8.
guk,iu'kï̈ qaia'qan minqami'tvarkin I wish we could eat a while 65.4
qaia'qan e'ur neimeu' $q$ in for a while he approached 66.13
qaia'qan é'ulti-gêlêñ̃o' $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ ven-č̆ŭmñ'a'chan for a while the trained reindeer-buck was looking for urine R 13.26
Eto'-qaia'qan ripkire'nnin after a while he brought her back 51.4
$q \ddot{a} L I^{\prime} '$ êto' ${ }^{\prime}$ qaia'qan gewkwe' ${ }^{\prime}$ in after a while he departed 45.11
$q u i^{\prime} v E$ indeed, truly, really.
$q a i^{\prime} v e ~ q i n e^{\prime} i$ ilh $i^{\varepsilon}$ really, give (it) to me 16.1
enqa'n qai've Iu'metui-grr thou art really Iu'metun
qai've-m I'mI yei'velqäi $n u^{\prime} t e k ~ t u ̈ m n ̃-a l v a ' l a g ~ r a y I ' l q a n ̃ n o ~ i n d e e d, ~$ also a little orphan in the country anywhere may (will) sleep 24.10-11
guq, $q a i^{\prime} v_{E-m} n a n m e^{\prime} \check{c}_{E n} \cdot k_{I} t r e^{\prime} n t_{I}^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ oh, indeed, I shall be able to manage him 67.22
Also 23.6; 80.27; 85.3; 92.23 ; 97.14

## quilo'kIm

$g_{I k} q^{\prime} q_{i l o}{ }^{\prime} k_{I m} r e^{\prime} q \ddot{a} q u ̈ t a^{\prime}{ }_{L I n}^{n} I t k_{I}$ with what will you answer 14.2 qailo'kim mi'nkri mini'ntin how shall we act 53.1
qailo'kim wu'tku mi'ntr-gir how should I keep thee here 109.30
qailo'kim pe'nin wolvitva'lin before he was motionless 125.4
qailo'kim eLe'nyutä rirr'lpinnen the younger brother accompanied him R 50.22-23

qailhina' $n \cdot g$ gêt $l_{I}{ }^{\prime}$ ettansčê' $t_{I n}^{n} o \hat{e}$ and actually, he began to feel quite well 33.5
guq, qailhına'ngêt ǔm ne'lucn and indeed, they saw it 10.3
qailhina'ngêt n' ${ }^{\prime}$ rgııpatqên and actually, he was talked about 17.5, 7

Also, 15.7; 18.3; 67.22; 80.27; 104.9
$\boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{t i n}$ just so 127.10
qalêle vertically
$q \tilde{a} i=I^{\prime} p e, q u ̈ i g \dot{z}^{\prime} p e$ really, in truth
qäi-I' pe li'ê-va'intñk gañau'tiñ-ê-grt really among the gods (real beings) you married 110.30-31
$m_{I t} i^{\prime} u{ }^{\prime} m{ }^{2} O^{\prime} n q a ̈ i g i^{\prime} p e v i i^{\prime} i r k i n$ we thought he really wanted to die R 52.7
qü̈'デun it seems (Kor. II, Pallen qa'inun Kor. 90.2)
$q \ddot{a}^{\prime}$ inun $t u^{\prime} r g$ in rén $^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{IN}} e^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ tqi it seems your people are bad 8.9-10
tu'rgin rémkizn qǘ'inun re'lqiä it seems your people will appear 10.4
$q a^{\prime}$ inuun meti'u rine'lhit ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ certainly I shall not be believed by them 19.8
Also 21.2, 5, 12; 24.2.
$q u ̈ n u^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r}$ like, as
gene' $\mathrm{ti}^{\prime} n$ net qänu'r pe'welti they became like bladders 9.4
mi'nkeri va'lıl qänu'r $a^{\varepsilon}$ 'ttwukin pu'ttıñet how big! like holes of a boat (cover) 14.6
$n a q a^{\prime} m l u{ }^{\prime} m \tilde{n} a q u ̈ n u^{\prime} r$ mêmlıčıkou'tr ergeve'tyie but again as into [the inside of] water he dived 17.4
qänu'r vếs $\hat{e}^{\prime} t_{I}$ grlo'lên as for a dead one she mourned 27.12
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ q a ̈ n u u^{\prime} r a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t t i n$ arê'ta $n I^{\prime} n t a ̈ q i n$ then like a $\operatorname{dog}$ they held him back 66.17
kele'tä va'nêvan elus'kä qänu'r mergina'n $i^{\prime}$ gıt $k a^{\prime} m a k ~ v a ' n e ̂ v a n ~$ eu'rrekélin to the spirits they are invisible, as to us now an evil spirit is invisible 62.1-2
. . qänu'r vai mu'rgin re'mkin like our people here 61.6
$e^{\prime} n m e n a^{\prime} n ı$ qänu'r quin nute's $q a \ddot{n}$, then it was just like earth 8.6
qänu'r qun wi'lquul just like coal 22.7
qänu'r quan nithi'lqinet they were like hot ones 9.10
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ q o l q u ̈ n u^{\prime} r$ inpına'čhin geñe'wänä̈ then another one, like the last (namely in the preceding story) an old man with his wife 28.1
qänu'r li'en ne'ntiäán just as though simply they did to him 35.4
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ q \ddot{a ̈ n u}{ }^{\prime} r$ qun nıčizte'ruqin then it was as though they were heated 9.8
$q \ddot{u} \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r}$ the fundamental meaning seems to be at this moment, at this time
$q \ddot{a} n \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ gal $^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ olhiočina' ${ }^{\prime}-m \theta^{\prime} r e \hat{e}$ just at this moment we have met 121.15
qän $\cdot v e^{\prime} r$ ginmi'lkinek til-eime'wani'tqinet at this time they drew nearer 103.8
$q \ddot{a} n \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ grtte $^{\prime} p_{I} \check{c}_{I} n k e l e^{\prime} k i n i^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ at that moment the leader of the kelet said 104.26
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ q a ̈ n \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ neiméwkwä́s $q a ̈ n \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ ŭm $a^{\varepsilon} t t I^{\prime} y \tilde{n} ı n ~ \check{u} m$ wêthau'nôe at that moment they approached and at that moment the dog began to speak 103.19
Taaro' $\tilde{n}$-Va'ırgu üm qün ${ }^{\prime} v e^{\prime} r$. . . Va'ırgu ne $e^{\prime} \not e^{\varepsilon} n$ a Sacrifice-(receiving-) Being at that time . . . a (spiritual) Being he became 41.9-10
Often it may be translated therefore.
$q \ddot{a} n \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ üm têrgıla'tıño $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ therefore (at this moment) she began to cry 31.7
lu'ur wo'tqan qän $n \cdot v e^{\prime} r$ wư'rgirgın walo'minonên afterwards, therefore, she began to hear this noise 32.9 (in the following lines, however, the translation at this moment is suitable)
qäčI' $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$ qu'uum as you like it(?) R 54.36
$q \ddot{u} L i^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}\left(<q \ddot{a}[t]-l i^{\prime} \dot{\prime}\right)$ but in fact (containing the element $l i^{\prime} i$ really,
truly; see also yeeli'i, uli'i, venli'i, miteli'i, guzi'i)
$n i^{\prime} m n i m$ vai čı'méeqäi va'rkın, Eiwhue'n qä̈Li'i a settlement is
quite near there, but in fact St. Lawrence Islanders 7.7
$q \ddot{a} L^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} i g_{I t}$ but in fact, it is you 23.5
$q \ddot{a} L i^{\prime} i$ Re'kkeñt but in fact, they were Rekken 34.5
qä̈Li' $\begin{gathered}\text { êto'-qaia'qan gewkwe'Lin in fact after a short time he de- }\end{gathered}$ parted 45.11
$q \ddot{a} L i^{\prime} i{ }^{\prime} i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} l i n$ in fact (it was) the dead one 52.2
$q \ddot{a} L i^{\prime} i{ }^{2} n q a^{\prime} n$. . . $\hat{e}^{\prime} t i n y e^{\prime} t y i^{\varepsilon}$ in fact this was the master 70.28-30
$q \ddot{a} L i^{\prime} i$ pinle'nênat in fact, he asked them 70.30
$q \ddot{a}_{L} i^{\prime} i$ notas $^{\prime} q a^{\prime} u r k_{I t}$ in fact they were digging the ground 71.9
$q \ddot{a} L i^{\prime} i \not q u n$ enqa'nat tei' $n \cdot \pi \tilde{c}^{\prime} c^{\prime} r t$ in reality they were murderous 68.20
$q \ddot{a} L i^{\prime} i$ ŭm qun qüi-as'ttIqäi in fact it was (only) a pup 80.4

$q \ddot{a} L i^{\prime} i$ pala' $^{\prime} w k$ y yara' $\tilde{n}_{I}$ in fact a funeral circle 108.17
qeteu' even now
garaqêčha' ${ }^{\prime} \hat{e ̂ n}$ qeteu' what has the bad one been doing, even now 31.9

qette'm gŭ'mnin yara' $\tilde{n}_{I}$ just like my house
kete'm pll ${ }^{\prime}$ tku just as it is finished R 3.24
 since
qol qoi'maron wü'thick ${ }^{k_{I}, ~ t u ' m g i n ~ e n q a ' n ~ q o ' n i r i m ~ g e n e u t u ' m g e L e e t ~}$ enqa'nat the other rear sleeping room was in the middle, a strange person's (not a member of the family) that, because they were wife-companions (lived in group-marriage), these 53.9-10
 because also a gambling-man I was, therefore poor I became R 45.27-28
qo'nırım gumni'n $i^{\prime}$ gıt $i^{\prime} r a ̈ l q a ̈ l ~ u^{\prime} i n a ̈ a l . ~ . ~ t r e ̂ ' l q u ̈ t y a ́ q ~ V e l e w-~$ kwaygóǔtı because my now clothing material nothing . . . I'll go to Merchants Point (i. e. because I have no material) R 46.43-44
qo'nirr tilv-e'tqin-i-gıt since you are utterly bad
$q o^{\prime} n_{\text {Irrm }} e^{\prime} u n_{\text {ELI' }}{ }^{\prime} g_{I} n_{I^{\prime}} m_{I}$ viri'tägi'tion since (the) father has also died a voluntary death R 49.11. See also R 32.37
qo'nırım Eñe'neñe eiñe'wä ${ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} m a i^{\prime} l i i l u ̆ m, q a^{\prime} k o$ because he calls the East wind, it rains 132.20-21. Also R 13.21
qo'n• $\boldsymbol{m}$ й quite
$q o^{\prime} n \cdot p \breve{u} v i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} i^{\varepsilon}$ he was quite dead 83.21
$q o^{\prime} n \cdot p \breve{u} n_{I m I}{ }^{\prime} t v a n ̃ n^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} t$ they began to be quite a camp 107.19
$g_{I} k, q o n p u ̆$ ninenmelewe't $t$ in he is made quite well $127.3 ; 135.12-13$
qun, qu'uum, quи= $\breve{\mathbf{u} m}$ probably an emphatic particle, stronger than $\check{m} m$ (p. 849) and ELo' $n$ (p. 852). It stands in second position, generally following another particle
$q \ddot{a} n u^{\prime} r$ qun wr'lquul just like coal 22.7
$e^{\prime} n m e n ~ q a ̈ n u^{\prime} r$ qun ničite'ruqin they were just as though they had been heated 9.8-9
qänu'r qun nithr'lqinet just as though they were hot 9.10
$q \ddot{a}^{\prime} n u r$ qun $m I^{\prime} m l i k$ just as though (they were) in water 101.32
$e^{\prime} n m e n a^{\prime} n ı q \ddot{n} n{ }^{\prime} r q u n$ mute's $q \not a \ddot{n}$ they were just like land 8.6
$a^{\prime} n$ qun qrilu'tkui valata thus it is! they move about with the knives 16.4
$a^{\prime} n ı$ qun li'en réplirgäs ratopa'ukwas thus it is! simply you will come home, she will be pregnant 104.4
$a^{\prime} n i$ qu'num te'kičinn qünu'uthr thus it is! then eat the meat! 14.6
a'nı qu'num qanto'êes come out! 81.27
$a^{\prime} n$ qun, $i^{\prime}$ ppe qun thus it is! really! 94.8 ; also 45.3
têrga'ty $\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ qun üm he cried 116.7
$k_{I^{\prime}}$ tam qun eple'un $l i^{\prime} i$ eñeñtvi' $i^{\varepsilon}$ did he this time attain shamanistic power? 18.4
Kita'm qun mi'nkri ni'tqin how is he this time? 18.1
Kita'm qun $\hat{e}^{\text {s/n }}$ nničhin qai'pŭgun this time put on the necklace 16.6

Krta'm qu'num minirri'l-hit this time we will let thee go 21.5-6 $k_{I t a}$ 'm qu'num inese'tti qatvu'gInat this time what shall there be for payment? 102.11
Kıtau'qun astti'yna ninenyegtele'nmik this time the big dog saved us 106.26-27.
Kıtau' qun mi'nkri ni'tqin how is he this time 17.12
attau'-qun ñon ŭm Tño'tirgina minpêéarêéra we are just going to Tño'tirgun for food 119.18
attau'-qun o'rgoor yê'ta qütč̌'gIn just get the sledge ready 105.20 $e^{\prime} n m e n$-qun ra'gtie $e^{\varepsilon} E L a^{\prime}$ then the mother went home 30.10

In the following examples qua follows rerbs, verbal nouns and pronominal forms.
$\tilde{n}_{I} e^{\prime} \dot{u}^{s} t ~ q u u^{\prime} n u m{ }^{\prime} n \cdot k_{I}$ they went ashore there 71.12
panc̆a' $t_{I} k$ nimpe'qinet qu'num $g_{I}$ leaping it went ashore, indeed! 122.16
$a^{\prime} u n$-gêta'gtı pılhirra'tyäas qu'num he makes himself flat before them 83.2S-84.1
ti'nunnin qu'num he pulled it out 84.7
ei'miunin ŭm qun he caught him 121.13
$v i^{\prime \prime} l_{\text {ln }}$ üm qu'num enqa'n nine'lqin dead that one had become (he had died) 125.10
yis'lhin ŭm qu'num, rä̈s'nut it was the moon, what was it ? 86.26-27
rü̈s'nut qu'num lo'nul what was it? walrus-blubber 47.4
$i^{\prime} m e-r a ̈ a^{\prime} n u t q u ' n u m$ everything 107.2
$m i^{\prime} n k r i q u{ }^{\prime} n u m m_{1} t h i t t e ' u r k i n$ it is because we are hungry 70.24
céei'vutkuis, nmmineits, qu'num $a^{\text {sitto }}$ 'rguqaia he went to the camp with a dog sledge 105.5

According to punctuation qunum is in initial position in the following example
ge, tam! qu'num $i^{\prime}$ grtŭm mıura'gtatyas $n$ let us take it home now! 121.27

It seems, however, that instead of ge, tam we might read kita'm as p. 21.5
quLi'i in this case indeed (containing the element li'i really, truls'; see also yesli'i, $u L^{\prime} i^{\prime} i$, venli'i, miteli' $i$, qäLi'i)
$q u L i^{\prime} i v a^{\prime} a n ̃ q a n a^{s^{\prime}}$ ttin ni'ilhtt in this case indeed I will give you that $\operatorname{dog} 121.24$
gečeu'kI together.
githite' against one's will
gi'newän besides
$\tilde{n} e^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w a ̈ q}$ strong emphasis
$\pi e^{\prime} w a ̈ q g i^{\prime} w a ̈$ you do say 21.11
$\pi e^{\prime} w a ̈ q$ ninemırke' $w-i-\breve{u} m$ I have been working hard 81.9
$q a i^{\prime} v e ~ n ̃ e^{\prime} w a ̈ q$ Ena'n čini't mini'uqin indeed, he himself did say it R 50.23
$\pi e^{\prime} w \ddot{a} q q a i^{\prime} v e$ and indeed
§129. Miscellaneous Adverbs and Conjanctions; Koryak

## ImI also

$I^{\prime} m i$ gaaqai' paten also it fitted badly Kor. 34.9
$I^{\prime} m_{I} \tilde{n} y u q y a^{\prime} n u$ ganas ${ }^{s^{\prime}}$ linau they also become bumble bees Kor. 45.3
imin gayai'trlen nevertheless he came home Kor. 42.8
$I^{\prime} m_{I n}$. . . pla'ku wu'gwa gayi'lin also (her) boots they filled with stones Kor. 28.7
Also adj. Kor. 66.8, 72.14, 76.19
Ina'n-awi'wut quickly Kor. 70.12
En"u'" that one alone
enna'n koro'wapel gana' ${ }^{\prime}$ 'in only the cow was left Kor. 78.12
Enna'miku from that time on Kor. 80.7
Euqrita
enka'ta tillai'vikin $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} t ̣ a$ then a herd was walking about Kor. 21.8
enqa'ta gassa'!̣en qata' $p$-vai'am then he dragged a net along the bottom of the river Kor. 70.11
$E^{\prime} u \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{i}$ then, at that very moment
$\varepsilon^{\prime} n k i$ yu'la $a^{\varepsilon} n$ gaplıtču'linau then they finished what was to be eaten Kor. 50.1
${ }_{\text {e }}{ }^{\prime} n k i t_{\text {tryanu'wgi then I shall eat you Kor. } 78.18}$
 Fish-Man was combing his hair; then a load of winter-fish was (there) Kor. 86.16
enke ${ }^{\prime}$ enni'mt $m$ ? $a^{\varepsilon} n$ then (there was) Fish-Man Kor. 88.15
Eñ" ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ an thus
enñas'an thus it is! Kor. 78.2
galqaṭin qaičayiči'ña ennás'an wŭs•qŭ'mčュku she went groping thus in the dark Kor. 16.9-10
enñas'an vañvolai'ke thus they lived Kor. 43.7
enna'an gayıltelñıo'?lenat thus the began to lie down Kor. 82.10
gIna'n enñas'an ina'ntI thou didst thus to me Kor. 88.2
qo'ța enñás nać Yayo'caa-nawgut gai'l luin after a while they gave Fox-Woman to him Kor. 70.14
enña'nvot gani'kalimau all at once something happened Kor. 70.17-18

Dual forms:
enñes'anet gana'torlen thus they brought him in Kor. 59.2
enñas'anet pattas'la mani'ti gayı'ssalinat thus the two filled with dried meat two bags Kor. 70.21
ayi'kvan at least Kor. 18.1

avi'ut Kor. 44.5
a'wun (Kor. II, Kor. Paren, Lesna $e^{\prime}$ wun Kor. 96.30; 97.17)
$a^{\prime}$ 'uun gaya'?qrwlinau and so they entered Kor. 80.18-19
$a^{\prime}$ wun im-la $a^{\prime}$ wtalin and so his head became hairless Kor. 82.13
$a^{\prime}$ wun $u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} a$ and then there was no one Kor. 96.12 ( $=e^{\prime}$ wun $i^{\prime} t k a$ Kor. II, Kor. 96.30: e'wune'tee Paren, Kor. 97.17
awnu'p (?) Kor. 64.11
$a^{\prime}$ wor i falsely Kor. 88.14
am (Paren Im)
qun-am nu'tak ui'ña ane'lhıyıpnuka even in the open country we eat no inner skin Kor. 49.1
pe'nin qun-rm Uwe'ñpilin the same (former) little $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ weñ (Paren) Kor. 92.7
$a^{\prime}$ men
$a^{\prime} m e n$ gawgu'tion and they tied her Kor. 23.4
$a^{\prime} m e n ~ e ' v a n ~ a n d ~ t h e y ~ s a i d ~ K o r . ~ 23.6 ; 28.1 ~$
$a^{\prime}$ men $y_{I}$ nna and now what! Kor. 28.2
$a^{\prime} c ́ h i a^{\prime} m e n g \breve{u}^{\prime} m k i n n i^{\prime} w i-g i$ just now like me thou wert talking Kor. 29.2
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ mue I do not know Kor. 55.3
atau vainly Kor. 61.3
ata'mitı in vain Kor. 30.8
$\boldsymbol{a s} \cdot \boldsymbol{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$ since
$a s^{\prime} s^{\cdot} o^{\prime}$ qati' since you went away Kor. 18.5
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \check{\boldsymbol{c}} \check{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{I} \check{\boldsymbol{c}}, \boldsymbol{\pi} \check{\boldsymbol{c}} \check{\boldsymbol{c}} \mathbf{o}^{\prime} \check{\boldsymbol{c}}$ (Ch. erre' $\check{c}$ ) that is all, only, no more Kor. 62.8; 70.8 aččóč Kor. 66.19; 68.19
$a^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} a m$ then, and so
me'ñañ a'nam gi'xinau how then did they become? Kor. 61.9-10
$a^{\prime} n a m$. . . gala'lin then he came to him Kor. 63.6
Also Kor. 66.6; 78.1
$a^{\prime} n a m-e^{\varepsilon} e n$ all right then! Kor. 30.5; 31.8
$e^{\varepsilon \prime}$ en $a^{\prime} n a u$ all right then! Kor. 32.1
$a^{\prime} n a q u n$ and so Kor. 36.10
cmuva't just as, just when
anuva't nIyatılqi'wqin, $E^{\prime} n k i$ mityI' $!q a l a$ just when he was about to come, we went to sleep
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{I m I}$ I wish it were!
$a^{\prime} l_{\text {ImI }}$ vai'čita I wish (we would go) on foot Kor. 21.2-3
gina'n a'lım ${ }^{\prime} \tilde{n}$ qeti'gin I wish thou wouldst take it Kor. 72.24-74.1
clva' other
alva'lin it is of different material Kor. 76.23
a'kye? also
gayo'olenan, $a^{\prime} k y e$ ? ipa'ña they put it into it, also into the soup, Kor. 28.6
$\boldsymbol{e}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} e n$ (Ch. $e^{\prime} u r$ ) then, and
gayo ${ }^{\prime \prime} o l e n, e^{\varepsilon \prime}$ en gavrs'yalin he visited him and he was dead Kor. 20.8
ya'nya $e^{\varepsilon}$ en $\tilde{n} a^{\prime}$ witqatu partly also women Kor. 44.2
$e^{\varepsilon \prime} e n . . . g a m!a w a n k a^{\prime} w l e n$ and she ended her dance Kor, 48.6
gakya'wlinau $e^{\varepsilon \prime}$ en yaq $\tilde{n}_{i} \dot{l}^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{1} n ~ n i^{\prime} t i n$ they awoke and what thong was there? (i.e. and there was no thong) Kor. 40.5
$\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$ елй́ once upon a time Kor. 58.4
$\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w u n}$ (see $a^{\prime} w u n$ )
matula'tin e'wun missaitila'nın they stole it but we shall bring it back Kor. 40.8
$\boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p a}$ really; indeed Kor. 37.8
$i^{\prime} p a a^{\prime} n a m g_{1}$ 'ssa but really thou Kor. 66.6
Also as adjectives:
$i^{\prime} p a k m i^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ the real child Kor. 68.11
gй $m n i^{\prime} n i^{\prime} p a q$ ? $a^{\prime} w u l v i^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} g i$ myreal husband died Kor.21.10-22.1
$i^{\prime} p a l_{I}{ }^{\prime} g e-t a^{\prime} t a$ our real father Kor. 74.20
$i^{\prime} n \boldsymbol{u}^{\varepsilon}$ quickly Kor. 39.2
qaye'm $i^{\prime} n \cdot a$ nIya'tin he did not come back quickly Kor. 72.19
$\boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$ é enough! Kor 30.4; 86.11, 1s; 88.15
inyル'uиt (?) Kor 16.5
$i^{\prime} n m y q$ really, in truth
$i^{\prime}$ noniq tapañañoo'ykin in truth it began to be heavy Kor. 51.8
$i^{\prime} n m i q u ' n u m$ all right! Kor. 28.1-2.
Also Kor. 61.3; 62.3
iñíиnintk in this manner Kor. 14.3 (from $i n i^{\prime} n \tilde{n} i n$ such)
$\boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} y \boldsymbol{\prime}$ openly
$u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} a o^{\prime} y a a^{\prime} t v a k a$ she was not (there) openly Kor. 76.14
o'pta also (Lesna: the whole; Kamehadal o'ptima the whole); Chukchee o'ptima Like)
$a^{\prime}$ čin o'pta gei'lix̣in he also gave him fat Kor 15.4-5
q!a'wu? o'pta enka'ta tilai'vilin a man also was walking there Kor. 21.9
Quyqinn $\cdot a^{\prime} q u o^{\prime} p t a e^{\prime} w a n ̃$ Big-Raven also said Kor. 29.5
qo'ta ai'ak o'pta . . . gayóolen an other one she also put into the storeroom Kor. 55.1
Sec also Kor. 56.5
oma' $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{a}$ together
Ama'mqut a'nke o'maka kaña'tykin Ememqut was fishing together (with them) Kor. 44.10
$e^{\varepsilon}$ en $\tilde{n} a^{\prime}$ nyeu oma'ka $I^{\prime}$ ssa and then together they (were her children) Kor. 61.2
osnuen verily, indeed, Kor. 59.9
u'umi (?) Kor. 74.10
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{a}$ č (?) Kor. 64.9
$\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}$ (Ch. $\left.y a^{\prime} n \check{a} a\right)$ partly, separately
$y \circ q$ (indefinite pronoun, see § 59) and now
wu'tčin yaq yI'nna and this now, what is it? Kor. 36.9
ame'yaq $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} w i s^{*} q a t$ well, how is the wife Kor. 68.2
$g I n-y a^{\prime} q$ thy turn Kor. 46.7 (See $y a q, \S 59, ~ p .729$ )
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{a m}$ only
ya'qam ai'krpa gapr'wyalin only (with) fly-eggs she scattered Kor. 45.2
ve'lo ya'qam ninataikiño'qenau she was only making thimbles Kor. 59.5
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{a n}$ why
ya'qañ ya'ti why hast thou come Kor. 64.1
yaqqai'-queu (Paren yäqqai'-qun Kor 92.5 ) then $\boldsymbol{y} e^{\prime} \boldsymbol{l I}$
ye'lr gayi' $\tilde{n} a l i n$ and so she flew away Kor. 46.5
$e^{\varepsilon}$ en ye $e^{\varepsilon l}$ gañekela'len and so she felt ashamed Kor. 60.1
$v I^{\prime} y a n, v e^{\prime} e \tilde{n}$ (?)
$v i^{\prime} y a n ̃ i s k u l a^{\prime} t i$ (if that is so) then you were cold Kor. 26.2
vi'yan lelapi'tčoño'ykin nevertheless he looked up Kor. 42.8
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n o v^{\prime} y a \tilde{n} k i s v a^{\prime} \check{c}_{I} k v a^{\prime} y k=n$ of course, it is there on the cross-pole Kor. 6S.5
$v_{I}^{\prime} y a n ̃$ gapanqai'prlen (without clothes) but with a cap Kor. 76.22
$\boldsymbol{v i} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v a}, \boldsymbol{v i} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{I}$ secretly Kor. 61.1; 76.14
$\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{a}^{\varepsilon \prime}$ !ノ $\boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{k}$ afterwards Kor. 14.7; 19.5
$\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{a}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{k}$ Kor. $56.5 ; 64.9$
van (never in initial position; perhaps related to the Chukchee demonstrative particle $\tilde{n} a n$ which is also used adverbially).
$u i^{\prime} \tilde{n} a-v a n$ minla $a^{\prime} k i l a$ not by anybody else Kor. 40.6-7
 eat Kor. 55.8-9
$a^{\prime} m l_{I n}-v a n k_{I} t v e^{\prime}-l_{I}^{\prime} g x$ penči'ykin after that he rushed at her every time (Paren) Kor. 92.10
$\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{\ell}$ perhaps Kor. 60.5
mači maybe
$m a^{\prime}$ c̈i wu'tčuk mayhap (it was) here? Kor. 49.7

me'če mima'tage mayhap I'll marry thee Kor. 32.6
mul well
mal-Kit properly Kor. 15.6; 74.6; 88.9
mal-ki'tıl very well! Kor. 21.5
Also met-ki'tkit
male'ta quietly Kor. 54.7
$\boldsymbol{m a \prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{i w}$ somewhere Kor. 80.9
me'nqañ how Kor. 82.4; 84.21; 88.1
$m e^{\prime} \tilde{n} q a \check{c} m i^{\prime} q u n m a i^{\prime} m_{1} k$ how indeed shall I get water? 16.7-8 $m e^{\prime} \tilde{n} q a n m i^{\prime} q u n$ how, indeed? Kor. 17.12
$\boldsymbol{m i} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$ qun (Paren $m u^{\prime} q u n$ Kor. 92.23) indeed; an intensifying particle $m i^{\prime} q u n$ na $\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n q i n$ indeed he is a shaman Kor. 42.9
$m i^{\prime} q u n$ Ama'mqut e'wañ Ememqut said even (this) Kor. 64.11 ya'qu mi'qun qatai'kigın what indeed will you do? Kor. 76.7
Also $16.3,8 ; 17.12 ; 39.10 ; 84.21 ; 86.12$
(ti'wgak [literally: I say] it seems Kor. 57.9)
ti'ta when .
$i l u^{\varepsilon \prime} p_{I} l_{I} \tilde{n}$ ti'ta mĬnelo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ čola when we find a shaman's wand Kor. 27.7
$t i^{\prime} t a$ g ư'mma tra'tik when was I at home? Kor. 68.13
ti'ta o'pta ninanuva ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ an let him also swallow me Kor. 84.15
ti'taq mu'yu mitasttayI' pnala when did we feed on inner skin of dogs Kor. 48.9
tito-o'n after a long time Kor. 57.5
ulme' very; very much Kor. 16.1, 8
厄̌r'myeq indeed Kor. 24.2
ćemya'q really Kor. 56.1
$\check{c}$ emečées' $n$ it is so! Kor. 46.4
$\check{c} i n i^{\prime} t$ since
čini't Enñas ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} a n q i^{\prime} t_{I}$ since thou art so Kor. $56.9-10$
! I'giqai much less Kor. 49.1
l $I^{\prime}$ gate simply
$l_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ gan mimtelhryalai'ke simply they were resplendent Kor. 44.3
W̌̆ma'k almost Kor. 21.7; 84.13
Juthéte, qulê'LE vertically
Re'rertm Kor. 39.3; Rena'm Kor. 40.3 already
Ri'wan truly Kor. 26.9
Kit, Li'tul see mal-kit
$\boldsymbol{k i}, \boldsymbol{k I} \check{c}$ (never in initial position) and
$y a^{\prime} q k i n-k i$ and what for? Kor. 26.10 (for $y a^{\prime} q k i n$ see $\S \S 47,59$ )
Li'tañ; lextta' then (?)
$k i^{\prime}$ tan amyaqalhene'tin taya'nikin then she wanted to go to the porch Kor. 33.8-9
gǔ'mma kitta' tu'kwak I am caught Kor. 36.10
Kıtta' atawalñ! $a^{\prime} k a$ do not look back by any means Kor. 51.6; 52.10
$k_{I}$ tta negative particle; see § 131.3, p. 883 (Ch. en•ñe)
Ki'tkit a little

Ki'kit gayI'? titen as soon as he went to sleep Kor. 84.3
$k i^{\prime} k i c$ gaya'lqrwlin as soon as they entered Kor. 72.21
qui'gett indeed Kor. 84.23
qu'wur though
qa'wun pani'ta mi'kinak nayamata'ge though later on thou wilt marry someone Kor. 78.17
qučI' $n$
qačı' $n$ plakgeñe'tin na $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ cañooqen for he had passed water into the boots Kor. 14.2
 night Kor. 16.6
qa'čn milya'qpil because it was a small shell Kor. 23.8
gayos'olen, qačın vi'tvitpil they visited her, for there was a small ringed seal Kor. 24.4
qačı' $n$ Ena' $n$ tawi'tkıñik for she (had been) pilfering Kor. 34.3 §129
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} n y e u$ quč̌ı' $n$ Yaqyamtılas ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ' $n u$ for those were Bumble-Bee-Men Kor. 44.6
qa'čín ui'ña ana'luka gatı'kalen for without chewing he swallowed her Kor. 84.1

## $q u^{\prime} \check{c} I k$

gi'ssa qa'čık ui'ña a'ḷva a'tvaka for this reason will you be (feel) wrong Kor. 18.7
gǔ'mma qa'čilk oyamya'-gum for am I human game Kor. 42.6
quн, qun-am
gina'n qun nita'witkini-gi' so thou art playing mischief Kor. 82.9 qu'nam nu'tak ui'ña ane'thu-yipnuka even in the open country we eat no inner skin Kor. 49.1
pe'nin qun-ım Uwe'ñpilın the same (former) little $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ weñ (Paren) Kor. 92.7
qu'nam mu'yi . . . oya'myañ mitı'nmin even we too (alone) have killed a man Kor. 68.3
qu'nam qun Kor. 74.17
vê'tha-qo'nom just now Kor. 56.10
$\boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime p}$ й very, quite (qon'pu Chukchee; xë Kamchadal); Kor. 13.10 ; 15.8; 41.8, etc.
gйm!añ again Kor. 15.8; 18.8; 19.8 etc.
テа' $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}$ yen then $63.10 ; 72.8 ; 74.3$ etc.

## §130. KAMCHADAL CONJUNCTIONS

Most of the Kamchadal conjunctions have been replaced by the Russian (local) forms.
> $i, d a i$ (п, дап) and

$j e$ (же) but
tolko (только) merely, only
dotopera (до топера) until now
potom (потомъ) after that
Other conjunctions of Kamchadal origin are still in use. Among these I mention
hälč, hälčëq it is time! then, now, altogether Kor. 99.5
This particle is used quite frequently with a great variety of meanings. Its use has even influenced the local Russian dialect inasmuch as the Russian adverb nopa it is time is used also as a conjunction, although this does not agree with Russian usage.
-Ilme, -me (never initial) and, and now, corresponds to the Chukchee -ŭm K. K. -am.
-ke (never initial) and, and now, but more emphatic than -me.
$3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40 , pt. $2-12-56$
-ven (never initial) Kor. 98.9. This emphatic particle corresponds to K. K. van, and may have been borrowed from Koryak.
kat then in the beginning of tales corresponds to Chukehee $e^{\prime} n m e n$.
$e^{\prime} w u n \mathrm{and}$, and so corresponds to $\mathrm{Ch} . e^{\prime} u n, \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{K} . ; a^{\prime} w u n, \mathrm{~K}$. Paren $e^{\prime} w u n$, but may also have been borrowed from Koryak. lact how is it, wherefore.

## 8131. NEGATIVE ADVERBS

1. 'a'uêvan negative particle, vot at all (stem probably vanê).

This occurs either alone or with other negative elements.
"a'nêvan ninutewurréerkinen not at all land appears 7.3
va'nêvan nuwa'lomnên he would not hear anything
va'nêvan gina'nlé' qälhi'gin? have you no knowledge at all? 38.4 yillïl ruikmin te'kičhin va'nêvan tongues he eats, meat not at all 49.4
 52.12
va'nêvan ne ${ }^{\varepsilon} n l u^{\varepsilon^{6}} r k i n e t ~ k e l e^{\prime} t \ddot{a}$ the kele could not see them at all 100.29
va'nêvan nánayılhau'nên $a^{\varepsilon}$ ttin they were not at all afraid of the $\operatorname{dog} 105.25$
va'nêvan anto'kĕlên né qus qät the woman did not go out at all 54.8 va'nêvan qaré'm muwa'lomnên he would not hear anything $v a^{\prime} n \hat{e v} v a n \not \ddot{a}^{5} n l u c^{6}$ net they could not see them at all 61.10 va'nêvan exe a'lomka they did not hear any thing 60.10 va'nêvan elucu'kü they are invisible 62.1 va'nêvan eu'rrekĕlin it is not visible 62.2
2. qarê'm; Kor. Kam. quye'm; Kor. Par., qeye'm; Kor. II (village Qare'ñm and others in Kamchatka $\left.\boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{g} u \boldsymbol{u}\right)$; Kamchadal. $x \cdot \ddot{e n} \check{c}, x \cdot \ddot{e}$. Used always with the exhortative, or alone with exhortative meaning, and ignifying negative future.
qarê'm mminmitik we shall not kill you 13.4
qarê'm manéetyä́sk I shall not become black 23.6
qarê' $m$ milhiñ̃̃o' $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I shall not treat him 24.10
qatê'm minmu'ut I will not kill thee 98.25; 99.7

qurê'm ELI' g$\ddot{\ddot{a}}$ rinenyegtele'tyä ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ father will not allow me to live 99.15
qarê'm mi'ilhä́r $n$ I will not do it 99.20
qarê' $m$ míilhst I will not give it to thee 15.13; 16.9
qarê'm mryétyäák I will not come
a'men qarêm! but no! (i. e., I shall not do so) 16.1
qarê'm $i^{\prime}$ git! not now! (i. e., I shall not do so from now on) 21.1 qarê'm! no! (i. e., I shall not do it) 99.13
Kor. Kam. qarê'm ml $a^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} k$, Kor. II. $i^{\prime}$ hut mle $e^{\varepsilon} k$, Kamchadal $x \cdot \ddot{e} n c$ monuk I will not eat
Koryak.-
ačhiva'n qaye'm this time 1 shall not! Kor. 54.3
qaye'm ña'no-van minutñana'wge I shall not be able to eat them Kor. 55.8
qaye'm enalha' ${ }^{\prime} m_{I} k$ he will not catch us Kor. 72.19
Even future imperatives take this particle.
qarê'm quwi ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} t_{I} k$ do not die! (i. e., you shall not die) $64.16,17$
Derived from qarê'm is the verbal form qarêmên (Kor. Kam. qryme'en Kor. 38.5, Kor. Par. qrsme'nen) it is not so, not true.
$q_{o}^{a r \hat{g}^{\prime} m e ̂} n i^{\epsilon^{\prime}} t i k l u u^{\prime} m \tilde{n} l l$ it is not really a story 61.5
$q_{o}^{a r e ̂} \mathrm{e} m e ̂ \hat{e} n a i^{\prime}-y$ ŭm I am not this one 23.5
$q_{0}^{a r e \hat{g}}$ 'mên or or'wêṭan he is not a human being 29.9
$q_{o}^{a r e ̂ ̣ m e ̂ ̀ n a ́ n g ̆ q u ̆ m ~ q l a ́ u l u e ̂ ̀ u ̆ m ~ I ~ a m ~ n o t ~ a ~ m a n ~}$

qarộmềná'ĭgưm nir'u'liưm I am not feeble
qarêg'mêtna'iggrt niru'ligigt thou art not feeble
qarề'1, êen niru'lquin he is not feeble
garemênaígŭm $\tilde{n} e^{\prime} u s q a ̈ t i u ̆ m ~ I ~ a m ~ n o t ~ a ~ w o m a n ~ 116.31 ~$
Kor. Kam. qryime' $w$ un impossible! Kor. 14.3.

p. 823)
4. $\hat{e} l \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$ no $\boldsymbol{e} \nmid \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$ (Reindeer Kor.) 30.9
ele no 30.8
$r \ddot{a}^{6} t^{\prime} u r i^{?}$ ?-êlo' what is the matter with you?--nothing 53.6
$4 a$. $\check{e} a^{\prime}$ mam no! I do not want to (referring to future events) 78.6 ; used with future indicative. There is no corresponding form in either Koryak or Kamchadal.
$\varepsilon^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ mam I do not want to $98.5,8$
a $a^{\prime}$ mam tre'ilht I shall not give thee
5. $e^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{e}$ not, signifying simple denial Kor. Par. $e^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{e}$, Reindeer Kor. $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{e}$, Kor. II., village Qare'ñm and others in Kamchatka $\boldsymbol{e}$ !! $\boldsymbol{a}$, Kamchadal qam Kor. Kam. иi' $\boldsymbol{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ instead (see below). See 15, 12, 21.3, 24.8
6. $\boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{u}$ none (with nouns; substantives and adjectives). (Kor. Kam., ui'ñи, Kor. Par., ui'ña $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\ell} \boldsymbol{e}$, Kor. II., village Qare'ñm and others in Kamchatka em, $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m m a} \boldsymbol{n}$ кот. The Kamchadal uses qam (see above, under e $e^{\prime} \underset{e}{ }$ ). Kor. Par. uses also $e^{\prime} \nsucceq e$ alone
$u^{\prime} \tilde{n} \ddot{\ddot{u}} \underset{\sim}{e} p^{i} \tilde{n} k \ddot{a}$ I have no powder
See also 18.5; 22.3; 27.9
Without the negative-prefix-suffix we find-
čai ui'ñ̈̈, ta'aף ui'nü, tam-va'irgan ğumni'n no tea, no tobacco, mine is a good life!
(Kor. Par.) $e^{\prime}$ tee epri'nke I have no powder
Derived from this particle is ui'unlm having none.
ui'ñlium ephinkĕlium I have none, I am without powder 59.2

## § 132. Interjections

Chukchee and Koryak are rich in interjections. These may be divided into several groups; namely, a) ejaculations expressing a state of strong emotion, without definite tone; b) exclamations expressing assent, disapproval, surprise, fear, pain, question, call, and answer, etc.; c) onomatopoetic interjections, sound pictures, imitations of sounds, such as singing of birds, thumping of stones, swishing of rapidly moving slabs, etc.; d) words and phrases used as exclamations. Some of these are derived from pronominal or conjunctional stems, while others can not be reduced to such sources, at least not at present.

## a. Ejaculations

a! 45.3 (Kor. a! a! Kor. 55.5) oh!
ga! R 104.48 oh!
o! 63.9 oh!
e! 85.12; 90.6; 91.7 ah!
e! 101.20 all right
go, go! R 65.119 (call)
ga, ga! 122.1 call
gr, gi! R 72.16 ah, ah!
gei! 69.4 oh!
ggg!! (Kor. $g g g!$ ) yes!
guq! 10.3; 52.3; 53.1; (Kor. gek! Kor. 50.4) ugh! oh!
goq! 24.1 10S.32; gırk! 10.1; 11.2; gi! 68.30; R 69.35; Kor. 51.1, 5; 58.6
gu! 26.4; go! 69.7; 108.19
ogogogogoi! 70.2 oh, oh, oh!
ugugugugu! 29.7 uhuhuhuh!
Koryak
$e$ ! oh! Kor. 47.1
$y e!$ ah! Kor. 49.2
$e \tilde{n} i^{\prime}!$ oh! Kor. 64.19
$a \tilde{n} e^{\prime}!$ Kor. 49.3 ine $e^{\prime}$ ! Kor. 27.6

## b. Exclamations

Their stems are independent and some of them form derivatives. $q o!$ expresses ignorance: I do not know!
qoña'arkin to speak always of one's ignorance; to answer: "I do not know."
Assent:
I! 9.6, 13; 66.25; 84.10 (Kor. o! Kor. 30.2; 38.6) yes.
!! 84.19 ah!
egei'! 133.24 R 71.5 ; R 73.34 (Kor. uga') all right! assent and approval.
egei'! 75.30 oh!
taga'm! R 59.9, 16; R 66, 134 (Kor. toq! to! Kor. 35.3; Kor. 45.8) come! well!
taga' $m$ is used also as the usual leave taking.
taga'm tewkwe'erkin! R 41.98 (in Koryak toq is used as leave taking) good-bye! I am going.
The usual greeting is ye'ti? or less frequently ge'et-i-gıt; R 62.62 ; R 76.25 (Kor. yati? have you come? as greeting)
The answer is $I$ ! yes! or $I$, trye'tyä́ck! yes, I have come!
Greeting borrowed from Russian, toro'ma (здорово) (Kor. toro'va) how do you do?
tam contracted from taga' $m$, mostly with an ejaculation preceding, come! well!
ee, tam! 30.9; 89.23; e tam! 90.3.
I, tam! 84.19.
gI, tam! 84.14.
Assertion:
gu'nä! 82.16; 85.6; R 76.27 sure!
gu'nä, qai've 24.8 indeed, yes!
Calls:
mei! 76.22 R 73.32; R 59.11, from man to woman 53.6 ; Kor. mai! Kor. 64.24 amei! Kor. 63.6; mei! Kor. 32.5 ; here addressed from a man to a woman; me! Kor. 100.5 K. Paren ve! Kor. 101.13; Koryak II Qareñin mei Kor. 102.4 there, you! you! halloo!
$\tilde{n} a^{\prime} u l 45.3$ (Kor. n $\tilde{a}^{\prime}$ wal) call among women
wui'! 83.13; R 72.15; goi 60.2 (Kor. goi'!) answer to call
yago'! 67.8 (Kor yawo'! Kor. 33.9) halloo
wago'! R 125.22 halloo! there, take it!
Disapproval:
$e^{\prime} w i!120.10$ so !
$e e^{\prime}!81.17$; 83.14; is it? (doubt and disapproval)
$e e i^{\prime}!108.19$ aha! (doubt and disapproval)

Surprise:
Used by men
ka'ko'! generally reduplicated kako, kako! 8.5; 12.6; 68.31 oho!; qako! 84.10; qako, qako! 77.26; 104.14; ga'ko 21.4
kako, mei'! 14.7; R 64.93. ga'ko mei!, go'co mei!
Used by women
$k e^{\prime} k e^{\prime}$ ! 52.2; 71.26.
$k e^{\prime} k e, \pi a^{\prime} u l!$
keke', keke', keke'! 29.7 great surprise and fear
Koryak, for both sexes.
če Kor. 47.6 (surprise and disapproval) ugh. $q e^{\prime} e$ Kor. 82.14 surprise
Fear:
gokkoi'! 63.1 oh, oh!; goqoi'! 18.8
kokkoi'! 22.5 surprise and fear
akakaka! 87.14 sudden fright
Question:
wa? (Kor. va? Kor. 46.10) would you?
amto'? 13.9; 80.4; R 92.18 (Kor. $\mathrm{amto}^{\prime}$ ?) well? what news? also used as a conjunction: amto gitka'lhin? how is your leg?
Pain:
$g_{I}, g_{I}, g_{I} \mathrm{R} 74.46$ (Kor. mikikikIk! Kor. 29.1) sudden acute pain
ge, ge, ge! 63.8 (Kor. igigí'! Kor. 23.9) crying'
Warning:
ga, ga, ga! 85.17,28 (Kor. got!) off! look out!
Laughing:
ga, ga, ga! R 79.10
gigi! 30.2
$g m!30.2$ laughing of a skull.
Anger:
gm! R 72.20 (Kor. gm! Kor. 31.2)
gǔm! (terminal) 61.2
taga'm, qapa'ae, gŭm! 61.2 well, cease, will you!
Miscellaneous:
qo! (Kor. qo! Kor. 49.6) I do not know
yau yau! 66.17; R 73.35 wait a while! (Kor. ya'wo)

## c. Onomatopoetic Interjections

qa,qa,qa! R 140.10; R 277.8, yapping of fox
gIn, gin! 105.27 barking of dog
$m-m!106.15$ mumbling of $\mathrm{ke}^{\prime} \mathrm{le}$ (hence derived a noun $m$ ü'ümgin Kele's mumbling)
kabeu', kabeu'! R 307.8 cackling of ptarmigan
aña', aña', aña'! 84.8 crying of small infant
$e w, e w, e w!\mathrm{R} 104.47$ singing of thanksgiving ceremonial
čig, čig! 68.25 swishing of slabs of whalebone piw, piw! 68.8 thumping of stone on the ground
pıg, pig! 76.3 thudding of small objects on the ground
pr! 88.17 sound produced with lips
(Koryak) vakikrki'! Kor. 46.1 jabbering of magpie
Shaman's calls
egegegegei'! 15.7; 68.28; (Kor. ogogogogoi'!) ; 66.35 (here merely fatigue, though borrowed also from shamanistic practice)
ototototototoi'! 59.4
otatatatatatai'! 59.4
Answer to shaman's call
git, git, git, gıge't! 39.9
ge'we, gewe! R 306.1 raven's shamanistic song
go'oñ-kale', go'oñ-kale' R 314.23 (Kor. qo'on, qo'on Kor. 48.2; ann, ann! Kor. 47.2; Koryak, umyu'm Kor. 90.15; Kor. II Pallan, raven's cawing) raven's shamanistic song ge'we, egegegei'! R 122.2 mosquito's shamanistic song R 306.7
qaia'qañ, qaia'qan! foxes shamanistic song (a little more! at the same time onomapoetic)
ge'wye, ge'wye $k o^{\prime}$ onm R 315.31 polar bear's shamanistic song
Calls of reindeer-herders
go, go, go, goq, goq, goq! 32.11 for driving the herd
ga, ga, ga, gaq, gaq, gaq!
eia', eiá, eia'! R 307.13 for calling a broken reindeer (chiefly in offering it urine)
qrr! R. 4.38 the same; also reindeer's snorting, onomatopoetic
Interjections are often used in groups
guq, $I!9.13$; $g_{I} k, i^{\prime}!65.26$ oh, yes!
$e e, t a^{\prime} m$ ! (see before)
gei, gu'nä! 69.4 oh, indeed!
d. Words and phrases used as interjections
ına'nkên, ina'nkên ŭm 9.5; 64.7, 14; 68.16 oh, my!
$t_{\text {Ite }}$ 'ñet! 64.15; 68.16; 80.22 (great emphasis) used also in compounds with personal pronouns in verbalized form
$t_{1} t^{\prime}$ 'net-i-grt it is wonderful with you
$t_{I t e}{ }^{\prime} \tilde{n} e t-t u^{\prime} r i$ (plur.) it is wonderful with you
trte'net-ve'rin it is wonderful with him (stem verin unknown otherwise)
$i$, tu'n-nikek! oh, my! sudden surprise; (tur new; nikek verbal noun of indefinite verb nike ( $\$ 82$ )
ečhinre'wän! 80.23 oh, goodness!
am no't amen! R. 73.27 (surprise and anger; em mere §113.5) not demonstrative particle (§57) amen adversative conjunction p . 853) how is it then!
čêq-a'lvam va' $l_{I n!} 76.5$ ( (113.15) how very strange!
vénom wonder and blame; in compounds with subjective form of personal pronoun
vênom gina' $n 55.11 ; 109.24$ something like out with you!
alŭ'mña! 120.16,23 (a ah, lŭmña again) only think of it! sudden surprise (see also p. 854)
nıre'qin-ŭm! I do agree! 84.19; R 62.58; R 65.112 regular nominalising form of indefinite verb req (§82)
rere' $q$-ŭm R 75.6 I do agree! (causative re-reqŭm)
$r e^{\prime} q u$ lǔ'mña the same! R 73.24 ( $r e^{\prime} q u$ designative of req what; lŭmña again)
$r a^{\prime}$ qal 80.25 what of that; (req what; al otherwise unknown)
$u^{\prime} n$ muñ $a^{\prime} n_{I}, u^{\prime} n m u ̆ n ̃ ̃ a^{\prime} n_{I}-m e^{\prime} u n ~ 84.26 ; 87.7 \mathrm{Oh}$, how bad it is! ( $u^{\prime} n m u \tilde{n}$ very, $a^{\prime} n_{I}-m$ even so; $e^{\prime} u n$ and so)

KAMCHADAL

| tea there! | qu call; ha lloo! |
| :--- | :--- |
| tle there, take it! | hi, hei answer to call |
| nux here! | qa what do you want? |
| ee yes | $x i$ surprise |

## § 133. Euphemism

For diseases, dangerous animals, and unfortunate events or conditions, euphemistic phrases are in use, some of which express the idea to be stated by the opposite idea.
nigitte'pqin (literally, clever) fool

erméurkin (literally, he acquires force) he becomes possessed by madness

also
emtine'urkin (literally, he reposes) he dies of hunger
uulvilu' (literally, black wild reindeer) brown bear
lei'wultn (literally, the one who walks about) wolf
réqätkurkin (literally, something is happening) contagious disease is spreading
va'ırgııtkerkin (from va'ırgın being) disease

## § 134. New Words.

On the whole, Chukchee and Koryak have not borrowed many terms from the Russian, but have rather coined new words for new ideas. Following are a few examples of these.

| Chukchee | Kor. Kamenskoye | commander (literally strons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a yIm | $\operatorname{man})$ |
| téqenañ | ta'qana | tribute (literally, thing for bowing down with) |
| teq-e'rem | taqu' ${ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} m$ | chief officer of district (literally, tribute-strong-man) |
| $a \tilde{n} a \tilde{n}-r a^{\prime} n$ | $a \tilde{n} a \tilde{n} \cdot y a^{\prime} n$ | church (literally, spirithouse) |
| tin-koi'nın | $e^{\prime} t t i-k o \imath^{\prime} \tilde{n} m$ | glass (literally, ice-cup) |
| wui'gun | guivorn | fortified log-house |
| tin-u'kkäm | puti'lkan | bottle (Russian бутылка; in Chukchee literally, icevessel) |
| $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon} q \cdot e^{\prime} m \mathrm{l}$ | $\left.u^{\varepsilon} q a^{\prime}-m m i^{\prime} m i\right\}$ | brandy (literally, bad water) |
| kelikel | kal ${ }^{\prime} k a ?$ | letter, book, writing (literally, carving) |
| keli'tul | kalitu? | ruble, paper ruble (literally, piece of (arving) |
| $t t^{\prime} a q-k o i^{\prime} \tilde{n}_{I} n$ | $k a^{\prime} n \check{c} a$ | tobacco-pipe (local Russian ганза, borrowed from Turkish, in Chukchee literally, tobacco-cup) |
| $\hat{e} m t \hat{e}-q a l$ | - | (one side of) horse-pack (literally, carrying-side) |
| yara'r-ө'kたam | - | ```flat brandy-keg (literally, drum-vesse )``` |
| aima'lqal | - | long brandy-keg (literally, thigh-bone) |
| ilh-u'kkäm | - | plate (literally, white vessel) |

Russian loan-words are always modified to suit the phonetic character of the language. The Koryak, even those that have no $r$, retain, however, the Russian $r$.

| Chukchee | Kor. Kam. | Russian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\check{c} a^{\prime} q a r$ | čar $a^{\prime} q a r$ | сахаръ | sugar |
| $t a^{\prime} a q$ | $t a^{\prime} w a x$ | табакъ | tobacco |
| cai | cai | чай | tea |
| ko'nekon | ko'n'e | коНb | horse |
| $k o^{\prime} \check{c ̌}^{\prime} r$ r | $k o^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ r | козырь (tr*ump) | cards |


| čol | col. | соль | salt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toro'mua | toro'wa | здорово | how is health? | your |
| če'čver | če'čver | четверть | quarter |  |
| koro'walhim | koro'wa | корова | cow |  |
| $k \epsilon^{\prime}$ čak | $k a^{\prime}$ sak | казакъ | cossack |  |
| Etto'l | sto? | столъ | table |  |
| torêlqan | tore'llka | тарелка | plate |  |

## CHUKCHEE TEXT

## The Woman Who Married the Moon ${ }^{1}$

E'nmen $^{2}$ qol ${ }^{3}$ yara'čhm, ${ }^{4}$ ñe'us quät ${ }^{5}$ ŭm ${ }^{6}$ qol ${ }^{3}$ ora'weṭaOnce a certain wouse, wan certain human  woman the husband to rejection usedher, then she was starving,  crawling on shebecame just br starving. Then shewas After that all-fours<br> a certain house she saw it,<br>she entered she looked at the made about same time  garments were hanging, at the<br>with tallow a dish was full,<br>niqamı'tvaqên ${ }^{25}$ ŭm ${ }^{6}$ énmen, $^{2}$ li'en $^{\cdot}$ nrplr'tkuqin, ${ }^{28}$ nrg̣nteu'qin ${ }^{27}$ she eats<br>nota'gtr. ${ }^{28}$<br>to the country.

[^86] Aman came walking. The moon really, what. "Guq, čêq-a'lvam-va'lın ${ }^{34}$ rait nut ${ }^{33}$ lei'vurkin ${ }^{35}$ qamitvala'arkin, ${ }^{38}$ "Oh, quate extruordinary being, what is walking is eating much, $\mathbf{u}^{\prime} k k a ̈ m-y ı^{\prime}$ riir $^{37}$ te ${ }^{\prime}$ pırkın. ${ }^{38}$., Ne'me rrga'tık $^{39}$ ewkwe'tyi ${ }^{\text {e }}$. ${ }^{40}$
vessel-ful
Elvélin ${ }^{41}$ li'en.
 he started. other ones just boots he put on, however not appeared
 a wife. Again he went, at the same then the woman came, time
 the tallow again she saw it. Sheatemuch, after that she grew fatter. Qla'ul ${ }^{29}$ unm $^{6}$ ne'me ragtiêe. ${ }^{50}$ "Gụuq, u'nmuñ a'ni. ${ }^{51}$ Čêeq-a'lvamThe matn again canie home. "Oh, how bad! Quite extraordinary
 being. What then is eating much? Well then this in the
 notgoing let me be!' Again mere midday it became. Thereupon
 again behind there she came, she entered again, to the meat she went.

[^87]$\mathrm{Ne}^{\prime}$ me ečhi čit ${ }^{61}$ qami'tvarkin, ${ }^{62}{ }^{\text {lu}}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{57}{ }^{57}$ pi'rinin. ${ }^{63}$
Again before as be- she ate. thereupon he took her.

 thou." - "Oh, away! let me go!" - "Oh motionless. Not
 I shall do anything to thee, 1 will question thee. $\quad \mathrm{Oh}$, why art thou walking about?
 Indeed thou bast a master?" - "No." - "Where is he?" - "My husband torejection

usedme, hecast meoff, he let mestarve." - "Then
 not at all here inside of the something thou hast seen." - "Nothing." house
van." ${ }^{79}$ "G̣uq, a'mên ${ }^{84}$ ŭm, mmata'git." ${ }^{85}$
Ma'tanên. ${ }^{88}$ Ne'me čei'vutkui ${ }^{6} .{ }^{87}$ Wulqütvi'k ${ }^{88}$ ǔm ${ }^{8}$ pŭki'rı. ${ }^{89}$ He married her. Again hewalked. In the evening he came.

[^88]
${ }^{90}$ Stem $i u$; suffix $-g i \varepsilon ; w k w<u+g(\S 7)$.
${ }^{91}$ See § 58, p. 727.
${ }^{22}$ Stem ñewän wife: -eti allative (§ 40 ).
93 § 131.3 .
${ }^{94}$ Initial stem ñto, medial nto to go out; ę-kä not (§ 114.4).
${ }^{95}$ Stem relku; -čiku interior (§ 101. 24); - Ipŭ ablative (§ 42).
Q6Stem res-qiu TO ENTER; subjunctive (a), min-mik LET US (§64); see Note 17.
${ }^{97}$ čot pillow; te'gin edge; -éti allative (§ 40); pillow-edge i. e. the outer tent.
${ }^{98}$ Stem int; $r$ - to cause to ( $\$ 114.1 c$ ); qü-ñinel тHOU-THEM, imperative ( $\S 67$ ).
${ }^{99}$ Stem and prefix as in note 98; -ninel HE—THEM (§ 67).
${ }^{100}$ Demonstrative particle; stem wul- (§57, p. 723). See note 80 .
101 § 128, p. 855.
${ }^{102} e^{\prime}$ ret boiled meat; -ä instrumental (837).
${ }^{103}$ Stem yir full. See note 24 .
104 See notes $25,36,48,62$; here 3 d per. plur. ending -gä́ $\ell$, with intervocalic $\boldsymbol{g}$ dropping out; ablaut ( 83 ). 105 Stem nvu.
${ }^{106}$ Initial stem $k l y+e u$, medial $g g+e u$, suffix $-e u ; w k w<u+g$ ( 87 ).
${ }^{107}$ Stem gile to see, -nin he-him ( $\$ 67$ ).
${ }^{108}$ See note 5 , -ï subjective as subject of transitive verb.
${ }^{109}$ Transitlve prefix r-, in medial position - $n$-(§ 114.1); Stem $u m k+e u(\S 110.70)$; ge-lin (§ 73).
110 Stem Itv Wild reindeer, $-u$ to consume ( $(111,71$ ); ge-lin ( $\delta 73$ ).
${ }^{11}$ Stem ineté to haye a thanksgiving ceremonial (perhaps ine $+t \check{c}$ but never used without ine;

${ }^{112}$ tai'aikulhin pl. tai'aikut misfortune-protector; Stem taina to trespass; -kwk protector (§105.43).
${ }^{113} e-k \ddot{\partial}$ negation ( $\$ 114,4$ ). If it were affirmative this would be a verbal noun in $\cdot(t) \ddot{a}$ dependent upon
Iollowing verb ( $880, \mathrm{p} .766$ ); stem, initial rne, medial nne.
114 Initial stem $r t$, medial $n t$; qä-ginet тhov-them, imperative ( $\$ 67$ ).
${ }^{115}$ Stem yopat to visit; ne-gḯn $n$ THEY-HIM (§ 67).
${ }^{116}$ Stem inetč, see note 111; -yo past participle (§ 107, 47); ablaut (§3).
${ }^{117}$ Stem $I l v$, absolute reduplicated form ( $\$ 29$ ).
${ }_{118}$ Stem nel; ge-linet, 3d person plural (8 73).
${ }^{119}$ Stem yilqäl to sleep; $t y<t+\rho$ (§ 7 ).


[^89]

[^90]

[^91]3045-Bull. 40, pt. 2-11--57

## KORYAK TEXT

## Little-Bird-Man and Raven-Man ${ }^{a}$


"G̣ŭmna'n ${ }^{9}$ nawa'kak ${ }^{10}$ Vaḷvı'mtrla ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ na $\tilde{n}^{6}$ tĭyai'ḷñın " ${ }^{11} \quad$ Va $a^{\varepsilon /}$ yuk Val!"I daughter io Raven-Man I shallgive her." Afterwards Raven-
 ment
 is ${ }^{8} u^{\prime}$ wi. ${ }^{21}$ Newñrvo'ykinenat, ${ }^{22}$ " $\mathrm{Mi}^{\prime} k i n a k^{23}$ ga'nmılenau?" Vaḷı'mwolf (skins). They began to say to both, "Who Rilled them?" Riventila ${ }^{\varepsilon} n,{ }^{3}$ " G̣umna'n." ${ }^{\text {o }}$

Man, "1."
a From W. Bogoras, Koryak Texts; Publications of the American Ethnological Socioty, Vol. V, pp. 12-19.

1-l $a^{〔} n$ Having the quality of (§ 48); -inti dual of personal nouns (§ 35 ).
2 Personal pronoun, 3d person dual, absolute form.
3-l at $n$ as in note 1 ;
4 form, 3 d person dual ( $\S 65$ ). See Publicatlons Jesup Expedition, Vol. VII, p. 579.

- Quyqin Raven; used only in augmentative; -n•quavenentative (§ 98.2); $y(r)$ personal plural suffix for-wgi (§ 35); -k Locative (§ 38).
- -nan allative used with personal nouns (§ 41).
${ }^{7}$ gaimat to desire; -nvo to begin ( $\$ 110.63$ ); -ykin derived form (§ e5).
${ }^{8}$ Stem iu to say. Irregular adverbial form, used as a quotative, says me.
${ }^{\bullet}$ Subjective form (§56).
20 faw Female; akak son.
utr-I; ya-FUTURE; yIl-stem TOGIVE; -tinn Him, future (§ 68).
12 nito to GO OUT; -ikin derived form, 3d person singular.
${ }^{13}$ Subjective here as instrumental; stom at EXCREMENT.
14 Stem awyi; -ño TO BEGIN (§ 110.63); -ykrn derived form, 3 d person sing. (§ 68).
${ }^{16}$ atta ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dog; awaw CARRION; subjective here as instrumental.
${ }^{16}$ Stem kiyau to AWAKE; -laike 3d, per, plural, derjved form.
${ }^{17}$ Loeative adverb.
${ }^{18}$ Stem tva TO BE, in initial position va ( $\$ 18, \mathrm{p} .674$ ) ; -
${ }^{19}$ qapa'au, plural in $u(\S 34$, p. 732).
* Non-personal form, dual (§60, p. 695).

21 For $i^{\varepsilon} u^{\prime} w g i$ plural (§34).
ta Stem iu to say; -йIvo To BPGIN; n-ykrnenat derived form, 3d per. dual (§ 68, p. 744).
${ }^{23}$ Subjective form (§ 39).
stem $t_{\text {I }} m$, in medial position nm то KıLL ( $\$ 18$ ); ga-linau nominalized form of transitive verb, 3d per. pl. (§74).

Va $^{\varepsilon \prime}$ yuk gawya'lyolen, ${ }^{25}$ qo'npŭ Enña ${ }^{\varepsilon \prime}$ an $^{26}$ ama'latča. ${ }^{27}$ Quyqrnn ${ }^{\circ}$ aAfterwarde a snowstorm came, altogether thas notgetting Greatqu'nak ${ }^{28}$ gewñıvo'ḷenat, ${ }^{28}$ "Toq, qamaḷtva'thrtık ${ }^{30}$ Ma'ki $^{31}$ yamaḷiRaven told the two of them, "There, ye two make it better! Who makesit
 better, to that one I shall give the wife." Raven-Men, "I mımaḷtva'tık." ${ }^{38} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ wañ, ${ }^{8}$ "Qinatinuñla'trk!" ${ }^{37}$ Ninvo'q pla'kıḷñus shall make it better." He said, "Prepare the provisions for A number of boots me!"
 they made them. He went. There bestayed under a cliff,
 awyeñvo'ykin. ${ }^{14}$ Čemya' $q$ Přčeqalanai'tıñ ${ }^{45}$ Valvíntilia ${ }^{\varepsilon} n^{3}$ aqa-Lapñı-
 looked. Little-Bird- entered, not saying hewas. Man
 Raven-Man there stayed. Thns altogether there was a snowstorm, ui'ña ama'latča. ${ }^{27}$ G̣o, va ${ }^{\varepsilon{ }^{\prime}}$ yuk gaya'l ${ }^{\prime}$ qiwlin, ${ }^{51}{ }^{51} \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{mI}-\mathrm{pl} a^{\prime} \mathrm{ku}^{52}$ gaqi'tilinau, ${ }^{53}$ not not it became oh, then be entered, all boots were frozen, better.
 mean- into the boots he urinated, therefore, the boots were frozen. while
"Qŭy Y̌me'wun, $\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{ya}^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{71}{ }^{71}$ gači'malin." Va ${ }^{\varepsilon \epsilon}$ yuk Pǔči'kala ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ gewñıo'len, ${ }^{56}$ "Impossible, heaven is broken." $\quad$ Then Little-Bird-Man they said to him,

[^92]"Toq, ginya'q ${ }^{57}$ qmalatva't!" "88-"Q1̌yĭme ${ }^{\varepsilon /}$ en, gi'niw ${ }^{59}$ gŭ'mma ${ }^{35}$ "Oh, thon now make it well!" - "Impossible, liketothee o I tryanto'ykı, ${ }^{00}$ plakgeñe'tıñ ${ }^{54}$ tryaa ${ }^{6}$ čañvo'ykm?" ${ }^{61}$ G̣ewñıólenau ${ }^{02}$ shall go out, into the boots shall I urinate?", He said to them
 gewñro'len, ${ }^{02}$ "Atau'-qun.", Qo'ta ${ }^{05}$ ača'a'pil ${ }^{08}$ ga'kmitain,, ${ }^{67}$ qalte'nñın, ${ }^{68}$ he said, "Well now." some small fat he took," a stopper, wŭlpa'pel: ${ }^{69}$ ga'lqatin $n^{70} e^{\varepsilon} e^{\prime}$ tr, ${ }^{71}$ gay' ${ }^{\prime}$ ñalin, ${ }^{72}$ gala'lin, ${ }^{73}$ iya ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \mathrm{kin}^{74}$ a little shovel; he weni to the sky, he flew up, hé came, the sky's
 cleft with thestopper he closed, littlefat to the sky he threw; prčé gama'lalin. ${ }^{79}$
for a it grew better.
while
 qalténñm ${ }^{68}$ ganqu'lin ${ }^{81}$ yayačrkoi'tıñ, ${ }^{82}$ nepplu'qin ${ }^{83}$ mi'qun. E'wañ, $^{8}$ stopper came out into the house, small one even. He said, "Qŭy̌̆me'wun. I'yá ${ }^{\varepsilon} n^{71}$ gači'malin." Quyqmn'aqu'nak ${ }^{28}$ qalte'nñn ${ }^{68}$ "impossible. The sky is broken." Big-Raven the stopper va'sqın gatai'krlin ${ }^{38}$ nrma'yrñqin ${ }^{83}$ gei'!̣!̣in, ${ }^{84} a^{\prime}$ črn $^{68}$ o'pta nıma'yñ̃qin ${ }^{83}$ another one he made a large one he gave it, fat also large gei'lıụin. ${ }^{84}$ Gá $a^{\prime}$ qațin ${ }^{70}$ gŭ'mlañ, panenai'tıñ ${ }^{55}$ gayi'ñalin. ${ }^{72}$ Gala'lin, ${ }^{73}$ he gave. He went again to the former place he flew. He came, pa'nena $^{85}$ กัa'nyen ${ }^{33}$ qalte'nñm ${ }^{68}$ mal-kit ${ }^{86}$ ga'nprlen, ${ }^{87}$ tala' wga ${ }^{88}$ that time that well he closed it. with a mallet

[^93]|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[^94]

| grya'pčalas ${ }^{\text {n }}$. ${ }^{1137}$ | G̣añvo'len ${ }^{119}$ | ñawa'kak ${ }^{10}$ | kitai'ñak. ${ }^{138}$ | $\widetilde{N a} a^{\prime}$ nyen ${ }^{33}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$\underset{\text { River }}{\text { Vaia'mmak }}{ }^{28} \underset{\text { married her. }}{\text { gama'talen. }}$
To, va ${ }^{\varepsilon \prime}$ yuk qo'npŭ wŭs ${ }^{*}$ qŭ'mčrku ${ }^{117}$ vañvoḷai'ke. ${ }^{139}$ G̣ewñıvo'ḷen ${ }^{56}$ oh, then altogether in the dark they remained.

He was told
Vai'am, "Me'ñqaña ${ }^{97}$ nıki'ta ${ }^{140}$ mitıtvañvolai'kın?" ${ }^{141} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ wañ, ${ }^{8}$ "Men'qañe ${ }^{\text {日r }}$ River, "Why in the night we remain"" He said, "Why mi'qun?" Lawtrkr'ḷččẽñn ${ }^{142}$ vi'tvitin ${ }^{143}$ gai'prlen, ${ }^{144}$ ganto'len, ${ }^{145}$ ayi'indeed?" Head-band of ringed seal thong he put on, he went out at kvan gaqayrčhiḷanñıvo'len; ; ${ }^{148}$ vantıge'ñın ${ }^{147}$ gato'mwalen. ${ }^{148} \quad \mathrm{Va}^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ least a small light began to be; dawn was created. Then
 they talked, "How shall we do it?" Yini'a-na'wgut

[^95]

| began | ng, | Raven-Ma | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valui'mtrlas $n$ | va'ykin? ${ }^{152}$ | $V a^{\prime}$ čvi-ña'ut ${ }^{153}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\prime} w a n{ }^{\text {, }}{ }^{8}$ "Va'ykin." |
| Raven Man | is staying?' | Raven-Woman | said, "Не is." |

 He wastold Raven-Man, "Since you left, altogether wrong I was."
G̣ayo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ olen ${ }^{156}$ Valvi'mtila $a^{\varepsilon} n$, gewñrvo'len, "G̣itssa ${ }^{157}$ qa'čik ui'ña She found Raven-Man, he wastold, "Thou really not al'va a'tvaka ? ${ }^{48}$ Qe'nñıvo? ${ }^{158}$ (Qa'pten ${ }^{169}$ gayr'!tilen, ${ }^{160}$ yai'na ${ }^{181}$ wrong wert? Wilt thou stay so?" The back he turned, to the front yıli'ykinın. ${ }^{162}$ G̣ŭ'mlañ qa'pten li'ykin. ${ }^{163}$ Va ${ }^{6 \prime}$ yuk gañvo'ḷen ${ }^{119}$ she turned him. Again the back he turned. Then she began
©ičhi'ñık ${ }^{164}$ yıyıg̣ıčha'wik, ${ }^{165}$ gačečheñqatviñvo'ḷen; ${ }^{\text {; }}{ }^{188}$ čake'ta ${ }^{167}$
in the armpits tickling, putting her hands in his armpits; the sister
gewñivo'ḷen, ${ }^{56}$ "Quya'qı? ${ }^{168}$ I'nač! m'nnu mal-ña'witkata." ${ }^{169} \mathrm{Va}^{\varepsilon \prime}$ yuk said, "What is the Enough! This one a good woman." Then
Enkai'ti ${ }^{124}$ gañvólen, ${ }^{112}$ "'G̣m, gm, gm!" Qo'yiñ ${ }^{170}$ yıleñvo'ykinen. ${ }^{171}$ there to he began, "G̣m, gm , gm !" To the other side she turned him.
$V_{a}{ }^{6 \prime}$ yuk gaktačačhaụen, ${ }^{172}$ "G̣a, ga, ga!" Ti'ykitiy ${ }^{109}$ gačépñtolen, ${ }^{173}$ Then he laughed aloud, "G̣a, ça, gal" The sun peeped out,
$i^{\prime} y^{g^{e} g^{174}}$ ga'plin, ${ }^{175}$ qo'npŭ gečha'teen. ${ }^{176}$
to the sky it fastened itself, altogether it became light.
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[^0]:    1 What little has been learned of the ethnology of the Takelma Indlans will be found Incorporated in two articles written by the author and entitled Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in Amcrican A nthropologist, n. S., Ix, 251-275; and Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in Journal of A merican Folk-Lore, xx, 33-49.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the myths, $l$ is freely prefixed to any word spoken by the bear. Its uneuphonious character is evidently intended to match the coarseness of the bear, and for this quasl-rhetorical purpose it was doubtless derisively borrowed from the neighboring Athapascan languages, in which it occurs with great frequency. The prefixed sibilant $s^{*}$ serves in a similar way as a sort of sneezing adjunct to indicate the speech of the coyote. Gwi'di where? says the ordinary mortal; lgwi'di, the bear; $\varepsilon^{\prime} g w i^{\prime} d i$, the coyote.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word yewe ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ He Returted, e. g., was long heard as yawe ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$, but such forms as yèu RETURN! show this to have been an auditory error.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is curious that the effect to our ears of the Takelma declarative helcla' $t$ ' is of an interrogative DID you sivg? while conversely the effect of an interrogative helela't'idi is that of a declarative you dID sLvg. This is entirely accidental in so far as a rise in pitch has nothing to do in Takelma with an interrogation.
    ${ }^{2}$ A vowel marked with the accent $\simeq$ is necessarily long, so that the mark of length and the parasitic vowel can be conveniently omitted.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Non-existent or theoretically reconstructed forms are indicated by a prefixed asterisk.

[^4]:    1 Those familiar with Indogermanic phonology will have noticed that my use of the symbols ( - ), ( - ), and $(\sim)$ has been largely determined by the method adopted in linguistic works for the representation of the syllabic pitch-accents of Lithuanian; the main departures being the use of the ( $\dot{\sim}$ ) on short as well as on long vowels and the assignment of a different meaning to the $(=)$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Such an a may stand as an absolute final; e. g., ba-imasga' start min sivging! (stem masg-), aorist third person, -mats'a' $k$ '. The form masga' well illustrates the inherent difficulty of delimiting the range of a phonetic law without comparative or older historical material to aid in determining what is due to regular phonetic development, and what is formed on the analogy of other forms. The final cluster -sk" does occur in Takelma; e. g., dink!a'sk" (long object) lay stretched out; so that a phonetic irregularity must exist in one of the two forms. Either we should have *ma'sk', or else *dink:!asa ${ }^{\prime} k$ ' or ${ }^{\prime} d \imath n k:\left(a s g a^{\prime}\right.$ is to be expected. On closer examination it is found that the $-k^{\prime \prime}$ in forms like dink! $a^{\prime} s k k^{\prime}$ is a grammatical element added on to the future stem dink!as-; whereas in masga' the $-g$ - belongs in all probability to the stem, and is no added suffix; at least is not felt as such. It seems evident, then, that the quasi-mechanical juxtaposltion of grammatical elements does not entirely follow the same phonetlc lines as organic sound-complexes.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ These two series of stops are not at all peculiar to Takelma. As far as could be ascertained, the same division is found also in the neighboring Chasta Costa, a good example of how a fundamental method of phonetic attack may be uniformly spread over an area in which far-reaching phonetic differences of detail are found and morphologic traits rary widely. The same series of stops are found also in Yana, in northern California. Farther to the east the two series are apparently found, besides a series of true sonant stops, in Ponca and Omaha (J. O. Dorsey's $p, t, k$, and $d, 7, y$ ). The Iroquois also (as could be tested by an opportunity to hear 3 (ohawk) are, as regards the manner of articulating the two series, absolutely in accord with the Takelma. A more accurate phonetic knowledge of other languages would doubtless show a wide distribution in America of the voiceless media.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Doctor Goddard writes me that an examination of tracings made on the Rousselot machine leads to substantially the same phonetic interpretation of the fortes as has been given above.

    2 See Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, A merican Anthropologist, n. s., IX, 257.

[^8]:    This may possibly serve to explain why the affricative $t s^{\prime}$ (to correspond to $t s^{\prime}$ ) is not found in Takelma.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ This form is distinct from $a l x i^{\prime} \varepsilon k^{\prime}$ Look $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ IT!, quoted before. The imperative theoretically $={ }^{*}$ alxi'k the text form $=* a l x i^{\prime} k!k$.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many of the doubtful cases would perhaps be cleared up if material were available from the upper dialect, as it shows final clusters that would not be tolerated in the dialect treated in this paper; e. g. $k^{*} \ddot{u}^{\prime} u^{\prime} n a^{\prime} k s t^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ relatives (cf. Takelma $k^{*} w i n a x d \tilde{e}$ my kin).

[^11]:    1 No other example of final $-l m$ is known, so that this form was probably misheard for $t s!\ddot{u} l \ddot{u}$ 'm (cf. gulu'm OAK).

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ wai-indeed could not be obtained as an independent noun, its existence as substantive being inferred from forms such as that cited above.
    ${ }^{2}$ It may be, however, that this form is to beinterpreted as I-ASme- (with-the-) Ete-Looked-At-Them, ts. !elei-being in that case an incorporated instrumental noun.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Though perhaps better she held hm $w$. th her breast, taking gel- as instrument.
    $3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40, pt $2-12-6$

[^14]:    1 Aorist ts!ayag-sHoot and aorist ts!ayag- Wash are only apparently identical, being respectively formed

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ This verb clearly belongs to Type 3 because of constant $-a$ - following -xm-. Had it helonged to Type 2 it would have assumed the form *baxa'mt'ce.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Such forms as lebe'n, with falling accent on the second vowel, are only apparently opposed to this rule, as in these cases the falling accent regularly goes with the personal ending - $n$. Practically all violations of the accent rules found in the examples are of this merely apparent character and will be readily explained away when the subject of personal endings is considered.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ This rerb might be considered as entirely parallel to gãay- (aorist k!ayai-) of Type S. The derivative in -ld-, however, seems to prove it to be of Type 9; the -ld-forms, if belonging to Type 8 , would
    

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are many apparently perfect duplications of verb-stems in $-a-$, but the $-a-$ of the second member is never a repetition of the stem-vowel. See Type 12.
    ${ }^{2}$ This verb is better considered as belonging to Type $13 a$, xalxam-and xalaxam-being respectively dissimilated from * xanxan- and *xanaxan- (see §21).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ The various forms of this verb seem to be made up of three distinct stems. The non-aorist forms of l-oth
     including the reciprocal aorist, use the stem sāansan- of Type 12 (secnsa'nsi he figuts me; sĩansánsinik: We fight each other). The stem sāans- of Type $10 b$ is probably limited to such transitive forms of the aorist as have a third person object ( $s \bar{a} a n s a^{\prime *} n$ I FIGIT HM; sãns HE FOUGHT IIIM).
    ${ }^{2}$ Parallel form, perhaps with iterative significance, to lcela'usi, § 7 .
    ${ }^{3}$ This verb has a short $i$ in the first syllable of the aorist, so that, as far as the aorist stem is concerned, it seems to belong to Type 13a. Perhaps it is best considered a rerb of mixed type ( $13 a$ in aorist, 12 in non-aorist).

[^20]:    ${ }^{1} s^{\circ} o m-d$ - and $s^{\prime} \ddot{u} \ddot{u} m-t^{\prime} a$ - are parallel forms of one verb that seem to be usel with no ditference in meaning, though their aorist stems are formed according to different types.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Still, in these frequentatire (usitatire) forms the absence of the $-u$-mar be accounted for br supposing

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is not at all certain that the $-0-(-u-)$ of these forms really represents the $-v$ - of the stem. It is quite probable that there is a distinct type of frequentative in repeated rowel $+-0 g$-, in which case wagao'k" $n a^{5} n$ I USED TO bRNSG IT (see above under 1) would be another example.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ sgó'usde $\epsilon^{\star}$ and sgóusgwan $n$ are morphologically quite clearly related, though in signification the latter form has widely departed from what must have been its primary meaning.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the change of non-causative $-n-$ to $-y-(-i-)$ cf. $k!e m e ̀ i-$ and $k!e m e e^{n}-\mathrm{MAEE}$.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $-y$ - is peculiar to aorist forms of this verb with a third personal object (ogoyit' you to him; ogoinh не то His 122.11) and to the third personal passive aorist (ogoyi' $n$ He was given it 15.2)
    ${ }^{2}$ With connecting $a$ before $s$. In $o^{\prime} s b i n$ above $-g-+-s$-gives $-s$-, but * wésdam $(=w e c g-s d a m)$ would hecome confused with wësdam ( $=$ weed-sdam) you took it from me.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ There must be a difference in signification, however, between $k!\epsilon d e \bar{s} i$ and $k!e d \epsilon y / a^{\prime} n x i$. The former probably means "he picks them for me, i. e., in order to give them to me;" the lattcr " he picks them in my behalf (perhaps because I am sick and can not do so myself.)" Compare also derisc'exi He OPENED THE DOOR FOR ME (i. e., in order to let me in) (63.12) with desizsécganxi HE OPENED THE DOOR ON MY behalf (perhaps because I was unable to do so myself).

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ The object, generally a body-part, to which the action refers is printed in Roman characters. ${ }^{2}$ p! iyin-connected with -p!eyen- LIE?

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Indirect reflexive (for oneself) in signification, though without indirective suffix of any kind. The form is thus analogous to such as $k!e d e i s i$ mentioned abore (see §59). That the reflexire action is thought of as indirective in character seems to be indicated by the ablaut of the stem ( $k: \bar{a} a d-)$; see $\S 31,6$.

[^29]:    1 It mar not be uninteresting to note, as throwing light on the native feeling for $-x$-, that this form sounded somewhat queer to 3 rs. Johnson, for, as she intimated, one can't very well be swinging without either actively swinging one's self or being swung by some one.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ This example is due to Mr. M. H. St. Clair 2d, from whose Manuseript Notes on Takelma it was taken. It is there written $D i^{\prime} \tan i^{i}$.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ This verb is defective, having only the three forms given above, the first person plural eebik' 180.13 , and the (cf. class II) indefinite eebia'us 192.7, the latter two with loss of $i$ and intrusive $-b$-. The third person and the non-aorist forms are supplied by yo- BE .
    ${ }^{2} \simeq l^{\varepsilon}$ appears also in certain usitatives: hiwilile he used to run, sgelẽ $l^{\varepsilon}$ HE KEpt shouting, in which the rising accent is probably radical (see § 43, 4); these forms, furthermore, have lost a $w, \S 18$ (cf. hiwiliuft $c^{\ell}$ $I$ RUN, sgeleũt'e $e^{\varepsilon}$ I SHOUT).

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ This form can not possibly have been misheard for $*$ lop! $0^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$, the form to be expected, as the subor-
    

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ The final consonant of the aoristic stem of Type 8 verbs is regularly lost before $-k^{*} w a$.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not to be confused with t!omoxant $p^{\prime}$ ye are killing each other!

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ The -i- of these rerbs regularly disappears, not only here but in every form in which the normal connecting vowel -a-fails to appear in other verbs: al-\{íck' (inferential) HE SAW HIM (*al-rik!-k** like dōmk' ne EILLED HIM), homonymous with al-ii'z]:' (imperative) SEE HIM! ( $=*$ alyi'k!). As soon, however, as the verb becomes distinctly instrumental in force, the -i- is a constant element: al-wa-xi'k! $i \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ (inferential) HE SAW IT WITH IT.

[^36]:    IIt may be noted in passing that the Takelma reduction of an over-long diphthong (èin to een) offers in some respects a remarkable parallel to the reduction of an Indo-Germanic longdiphthong to a simple long vowel before certain consonants, chiefly $\cdot m$ (e.g., Indo-Germanic *dī̄us $=\mathrm{Skr} . d y \bar{a} u$ 's, Gk. $Z$ zús, avith preserved $-\tau$ - because followed by $-s$, a consonant not capable of entering into diphthongal comoination; but
     capable of entering into diphthongal combination). I do not wish to imply, however, that the accent of forms like yehée $n$ is, as in diēm, the compensating result of contraction.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ This verb is transitive only in form, intransitive in meaning. The true transitive (TunN $\mathbf{H}$ ) employs the full stem hewehaw-with connective-i-for third personal object, and-s-for other oljects: gel-hewe'hiaris $n$ 1 think of him; gel-hewe'hausdam you think of me.
    ${ }^{2}$ The form sgimi'sgas $n$ is interesting as a test ease of these contract verb forms. The stem must wo sgimisgam-; it can not be sgimisg-, as sg-could hardly he treated as a repeated initial consonant. No cases are known of initial consonant clusters treated as phonetic units.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some verbs whose aorist stem ends in a vowel take a constant $-a$ - with preceding inorganic $h$ instead of adding the personal endings directly. Such a verb is i-t!ana- hold; the constant $a$ - or $-i$ - of forms like $\bar{i}-t \cdot a n a^{\prime} h a g w a, \bar{i}-t!e n e^{\prime} h i-s^{\prime} d a m$ is perhaps due to the analogy of the instrumental - $i$ - of forms like $\overline{\mathrm{z}}$-t!ana'his $n$.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are in Takelma also a number of logically intransitive verbs with transitive forms throughout all the tense-modes: al-xalīyana`k' we ARE SEATED ( $56.2 ; 150.20$ ); passive al-xaliya' $n$ PEOPLE ARE SEATED 152.18. Similar is sal-xogui tHEY STAND; cf. also gel-heu'f'hau HE THINEs, p. 179, note 1. As these, however, have nothing to mark them off morphologically from ordinary transitives, they give no occasion for special treatment. It is probable that in them the action is conceived of as directed toward some implied third personal object.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Most of its forms, as far as known, are listed, for convenience of reference, in Appendix A, pp. 286-90. It will be seen to be irregular in several respects. Examples of its forms are to be found in great number in "Takelma Texts."

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ s.il has been found as a prefix also in the comitative $c i-s^{\prime} i l-y \bar{a} a n g w a^{\prime s} n$ I Come in a canoe (literally, I-CANOE-PADDLLNG-GO-HAVLNG).

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ General conditions, however, that apply to past time, or that have application without reference to time-limit, are constructed by the use of the subordinate for the protasis, and aorist for the apodosis, both verbs bcing, if possible, frequentative or continuative in form: $t s^{*}$ !ixi (1) $k^{\prime} e w e^{\prime} \epsilon k^{\prime} a w a l d a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) hesne (3) yap!a (4) al-t'ayaike (5) Whenever the dog (1) barked (2), then (3) he found (5) a person (4).
    $2=-g i n \tilde{k} k^{*} w+-k^{*} i^{\varepsilon}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Causes the death of.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Infinitives in $-k^{*}$ wa seem sometimes to be formed from other Class I intransitives, e. g., wisma'kua TO move; haxa'k'wāa to burn (also haxa'xgwāa).
    ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ Umlauted from ${ }^{2}-$-gi's'ga.s'ia.
     то во䒑 It 170.16 from -t'aya.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. galaba'є $n$ I TWIST IT; - $a^{\prime}$ - above is inorganic, hence unpalatalized to - $e$-.
    ${ }^{2} t^{\prime} g w i l$ ( 1 A ZEL-NUTS) is the grammatical subject; $g \tilde{u} t^{\prime} o k^{\prime} u d \bar{a} a$ predicates the subject; $t^{\prime} a \tilde{n}$ (SQUIRREL) is outside the main core of the sentence, being merely in apposition with the incorporated -däa (HIS) of the nominal predicate.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not to be confused with transitive infinitives in -ia .

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Improbable, however, if aorist $p!e y e n$-LIE and $p!i y i n-k * w a$ - LIE on PLLLLow are radically connected (see § 31).

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Most nouns of relationship show monosyllabic stems; none can be shown to be derivative in character.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ If this etymology of $\bar{o}^{\prime} u p^{*}$ is correct, Pit River $\bar{o} p^{\prime}$ tobacco must be borrowed from Takelma.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ That $\varepsilon_{s^{\prime}}$ is felt to be equivalent to -ts $!$ is shown by Bluejay's song: $t s^{\prime}!a^{\prime} i t s^{*} \cdot \bar{i}-\bar{\alpha}$ gwa'tca gwatca 104.i.
    $2 b \epsilon l$-is felt as the base of this word, cf. Swan's song beleld $\overline{+}+$ wa'inha 104.15 , which shows reduplication of bel- like aorist helcl- of hel-sing.

[^50]:    1 The $-u$ - of this word is doubtless merely the pitch-accentual peak of the $-l$-, the $-u$ - resonance of the liquid being due to the preceding -o-. The word is thus to be more correctly written as Somoll: (similarly, wulx ENEMY was often heard as wulu'x), as implied by $S^{\circ}$ omola'e one from Somoink'. In that event somol-is very probably a frequentative in $v+l$ (see § 43,6 ) from $s$ ro $\widetilde{m}$ MOUNTAN:, and the place-name means very mountainous region.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ These secm to be parallel to guit $i^{\prime} n-t^{\prime} k^{*}$ MY Wrist, in which-n-, inasmuch as it acts as the equivalent of the characteristic - $\bar{u}$ - (cf. gwit!iūxde' $k^{\prime}$ mY WRIST with $\bar{\imath} u \bar{u} d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my mand), is itself best considered characteristic element.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ This word happened to occur with following emphatic $y \bar{a}^{\prime} a$, so that it is probably umlauted from bob-an-.

[^53]:    1 The first person singular shows $-u$ as characteristic: wi-t!omxa`u.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is highly probable that this word has been influenced in its form by $\bar{\imath} \bar{u} x-H A N D$, which it resembles in meaning, if it is not indeed a compound of it.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}-a r-$ contains inorganic $-a-$, and is not to be analyzed as characteristic $-a-+-x-$ (parallel to $-i-+-x-$ ). This is shown by forms in which-x-regularly disappears; e.g., dak*-dẽ over me (not *dag-a-dé as parallel to $\left.-s^{*} i n-i-d \bar{e}\right)$.

    2 Perhaps with pluralic -x- as in $h \bar{a} a p-x-$ CHILDREN, p. 225.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Out of thirty-two terms of relationship (tabulated with first person singular, third person, and vocative in American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, pp. 268, 269) that were obtained, twenty-eight belong here.

[^56]:    1 wiha 'st' MY WIFE'S BROTHER is the only Takelma word known that terminates in -st'.
    ${ }^{2}$ Inasmuch as there is hardly another occurrence of $s \cdot n$ - in Takelma, it is perhaps not too far-fetched to analyze $s^{\bullet} n \tilde{a}$ into $s^{*}$ - (cf. second footnote, p. 8 ) $+n \tilde{a}$ (vocative of $n i$ - in $n i^{\prime} x a$ HIS MOTHER).

[^57]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{k}:$ ūyam-is perhaps derived, by derivational suffix-(a)m, from verb-stem $k!\bar{o} u y$ - GO TOGETHER WITH ONE.

[^58]:    ${ }^{2}$ In most, if not all, cases the $-n,-m$, or $-l$ is a non-radical element. It is not quiteclear in how far stems ending in these vowels and consonants follow Scheme 11 or Scheme 111.

[^59]:    $1-t^{\prime} k^{e}$ always requires preceding rising or raised accent. As gal- bow seems to be inseparably connected with a falling accent (very likely because of the catch in its absolute form), it is, after all, probably a phonetic reason that causes it to follow scheme II rather than III.

[^60]:    ${ }^{2}$ It is possible that this $w a$ - is etymologically identical with the verbal prefix $w a$ - Together. The forms of wa-given above are regularly used when reference is had to persons, the postposition gata'l being employed in connection with things: wāada gini'sk' HE WENT To HDM (56.11); 14s.6; s.om ga'a'l gini'sk' he went to the mountain (43.6).

[^61]:    It is only the different schemes of personal endings that, at least in part, keep distinct the noun $x \bar{a} a h a m$ BACE and the local element xāaham- on BACK, ABOUT wast: xāaha'm His BACK, but $x \bar{a} a h a^{\prime} m d a$ on His BACK, AT HIS WAIST; xāaha'mdam OUR BACKS and ON OUR BACKS.

[^62]:    1 Observe falling accent despite rising accent (bãls,k!oloĩ) of independent noun. -da with pre-positives, whether with intervening noun or noun and adjective, consistently demands a falling accent before it.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps really $D^{i}$ - dala' $m$ WEST of the roce (?).

[^64]:    ${ }^{1} I^{\prime} u k^{\prime} y a^{\prime} k^{*} w a$ gada was said to be preferable, whence it seems possible that gcde is not really equivalent to $g$ : THAT + dc- IN FRONT, but is palatalized as adverb (see below, § 104) from gadāa.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Properly speaking, ha-bini' is a pre-positive phrase from noun-stem bin- (cf. de-bin FIRST, LAST, and [?] bilgan-x- BREAST[? = middle part of body-front]) with characteristic -i-. bee-bin- SUN's MIDDLE is compounded like, e.g., t'gāa-bok'dair•EARTH's NECK above (§ 93).

[^66]:    1 mot'-seems to indicate not only the daughter's husband, but also, in perhaps a looser sense, the rela-

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ A few adjectives in -am ( $=-a n$ ) are distinctly nominal in appearance; bila'm maving Notumg; rila'm sick (but also as noun, dead person, ghost). It hardly seems possible to separate these from nouns like heela $m$ board; $t s^{\prime}:$ ela' $m$ hail.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, p. 266.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ The fact that this form has a body-part prefix (da-moUTH) scems to imply its verbal (participial) character. -t'gwat in it, and forms like it, may have to be anaiyzed, not as -t gua nis own + -t', but rather
     Tongue may be theoretically formed a comitative intransitive with prefix: *da-tंan-clíatat-gwad $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I AM HAVING SQUIRREL'S TONGUE IN MY MOUTH, of which the text-form is the participle. This explanation has the advantage over the one given above of putting forms in 't'gwat' and -xgwat' on one line; cf. also 73.15.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ American Anthropologist, loc. cit., where five is explained as being in front, on the basis of the method of fingering used by the Takelma in counting.
    ${ }^{2}$ Loc. cit.

[^70]:    I The various shades of emphasis contributed $b y{ }^{-s} a^{\prime}, y \bar{a}^{\prime} a, h i$, and- $s^{*} i^{\varepsilon}$, respectively, are well illustrated in $m a^{\S} a^{\prime}$ YoU, BUT YOU (as contrasted with others); ma yáa JUST you, you Indeed (simple emphasis without necessary contrast); $m a^{\prime} h i$ YOU YOURSELF; $m a s^{\prime} i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ AND YOU, YOU IN YOUR TURN (10S.13)

[^71]:    1 See note 39 of first text; §86, 2. yapla is to be undэrstood as subiect of all following finite verb forms.
    ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\S} 86,2$; quantlty of final vowel varies between $-i$ and $-i i$. Directly precedes verb as object.
    ${ }^{8}$ Thard personal subject, third personal objcet worist of verb klemé $n$ Type 3 I make IT; §§ $63 ; 65$.
    $1 \S 86,1$; object of following verb.
    ${ }^{5}$ p!a- $i=$ DOWN $\S 37,13$; $d \bar{\imath} \varepsilon-\S 36,10$. $l \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{+}$third personal subject, third personal object aonst of verb ló’ugwán Type 6 I SET IT; §§ 63: 40, 6.
    ${ }^{6} e^{e} e^{\prime \varepsilon}$ HERE § 104; - $s^{r} i^{\varepsilon}$ enclitic particle § 114, 4.
    ${ }^{7}$ Modal adverb § 113, 4.
    ${ }^{8}$ § 104.
    9 Numeral adverb from $g a m g a^{\prime} m$ FOUR § 111.
    10 Temporal adverb \& 113, 3.
    ${ }^{11}$ han- ACROss § 37, 1. -gili $p^{\prime}$ third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb -giliba'en Type 3; §§ 63; 40, 3.
    ${ }_{12}$ Post position with force of independent local adverb § 96.
    ${ }^{13}$ See note 12; - $s^{-i}$ § 114, 4.
    14 müus $x d a^{\wedge} n$ numeral adverb ONCE § 111; -hi enclitic particle § 114, 2.
    
    16 s idib- (HOUSE) WALL § 86, 3 ; $-i^{\prime} i$ third personal possessive form of noun-characteristic $-i-8 \S 89,3$; 92 III. HOUSE ITS-WALL is regular periphrasis for HOUSE's WALL.
    ${ }^{17}$ Third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb mats/aga ${ }^{\prime \varsigma} n$ Type 3 I PUT IT; $\S \S 63 ; 40,3$.
    ${ }^{18}$ Noun stem heel- with nominal suffix -am dissimilated from -an $\S \S 87,6 ; 21$. wil̄i heela'm is compound noun § 88 .
    19 § 86, l. Predicate appostive to he ela' $m$ : they make those boards out of sugar-pine.
    ${ }^{20}$ Demonstrative pronoun of indifferent number modifying heela'm § 104.
    ${ }^{21}$ Temporal or connective adverb compounded of demonstrative $g a$ and element -ni $(?=n e e)$ of unknown meaning $\$ \S 113,2 ; 114$ end.
    ${ }_{22}$ Adrerb in -dat from local element $d a k^{\prime}$ - ABoVE § 112, 1.
    ${ }^{23} d a-836,2$ end; -t!aba'k' third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb -tlabaga's $n$ Type 3
    I FINISH IT; §§ 63; 40,3.
    ${ }^{24}$ Local adverb § 113, 1.
    ${ }^{25}$ dedcwill${ }^{\prime} i d a$ DOOR, local phrase with pre-positive $d e$ - in front of and third personal possessive suffix $-d a \S 93$ end. -d $2 \backslash s$ postposition $\S 96$ of unclear meaning here.
    ${ }^{25}$ See note $22 ;-s \cdot i s$ § 114, 4.
    ${ }^{27} d a-\S 107,5$; $-h 0^{\prime} k^{\prime} w a l$ adjective with suffix $-a l \S 108,2$.
    28 \& 86, 3.
    ${ }^{29}$ Postiposition with $k$ !iyi $i^{\prime} x$ ba-igina' $x d \bar{a} a$ § 96.
    ${ }^{30}$ Third personal possessive form in -dãa of infinitive ba-igina'x. ba-i- out § 37,12 ; gin- verb stem Type 2 or 11 Go то § $40,2,11$; $-a x$ infinitive suffix of intransitive verbs of class I § 74, 1.
    ${ }^{\text {al }}$ See note 21 ; $-s^{r} i^{8}$ § 114, 4.
    22 $\S 86,2$; suffix $-n$, $\S \delta 21 ; 87,6$.

[^72]:    ${ }^{33} x \bar{a}-\S 36,7 \mathrm{~b} ;-\bar{z}$ - instrumental $\S 36,6 ; x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} \bar{i}-$ with $\varepsilon$ to mark hiatus $\S 6$. -sgip!isgap ${ }^{*}$ third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb -sgip!isgibisn Type 13a I CUT IT UP to PIEcEs iterative of verb-8gi'ibín Type 6; §§ 63; 4n,13; 43,1.

    84 Local phrase with pre-positive goel Down to § 95 and noun-characteristic $-u \S 89,4 ; t^{\prime} g a \S 86,1$.
    ${ }^{35}$ See note 30 ; infinitive used as noun § 74 eud.
    ${ }^{36}$ See note $16 ;-s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon} \S 114,4$. $s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ is appended to $s^{\prime} i d i b i^{\prime} i$ rather than wili, as wili $s^{\prime} i d i b i^{\prime} i$ is taken as unlt.
    ${ }^{87} h a$ - IN § $36,11 \mathrm{~b}$; - $\bar{i}$ - instrumental § 36,6 ; $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i}-\S 6$. $-t^{\prime} b \bar{u} x t^{\prime} b i x-i k^{\prime} w$ passive participle with instrumental -i- in $-i k^{\prime} w § 77$ from verb $-t^{\prime} b o x o t^{\prime} b a x-$ Type $13 a$, verb stem -t'boxt bax-; -t'box- ablauted to - $t^{\prime} b u ̈ x-$ § 31,2 ; $-t^{\prime} b a x-$ umlauted to $-t^{\prime} b i x-\S 8,3 a$.

    38 § $86,3$.
    ${ }^{39} h a-1 N \S 36,11 b$. $\quad h \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} u \xi k^{*} i=-h \bar{u} w \bar{u} u k!-h i \S 19$ end; third personal subiect, third personal object aorlst of instrumental verb -huw $\bar{u}^{\prime} u k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ Type 3 I SPREAD (MAT) OUT § 64.
    ${ }^{40}$ Compounded of demonstrative $g a$ THAT and $n a^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$ participle in $-t \S 76$ of verb nagai- Type 4 a Do, BE, verb stem $n a-$; see A ppendix A.
    ${ }^{11}$ Post position § 96; gi- umlauted from $g a-\S 8,4$.
    ${ }^{42}$ al- § $36,15 b$, here with uncertain force; -xali third personal subject, third personal object aorist Type 1 in form, though intransitive in meaning $\S 67$ footnote.

    43 § 86,1 .
    44 Third personal possessive of noun $y o g-(?) \S 86,1$ with noun-characteristic $-a \S 92$ III. FLRE ITS-PLACE is regular pariphrasis for FIRE'S PLACE.
    ${ }^{45}$ Local phrase with pre-positive ha-IN; -s.öu $\S 86,1$ does not seem otherwise to occur.
    ${ }^{46}$ Connective compounded of demonstrative ga THAT and enclitic particle $-s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon} \S 114,4$.
    ${ }^{47}$ Subordinate form of alxali, note $42 ; 870$ (see transitive paradigm).
    ${ }^{48}$ Local phrase with pre-positive $h \bar{a}$ iya- ON BOTH SIDES OF and noun-characteristic $-a \S 95$; -p!iy- $a^{\wedge}$ from $p \cdot \bar{z}$ FIRE.
    ${ }^{49}$ Modal adverb compounded of demonstratlve $g a$ THAT and nasnéx infinitive of verb nasnagai-, verb stem $n a^{\varepsilon} n a-\S \S 69 ; 74,1$; Appendix A.

    60 Temporal adverb in $-n \S 112,3$.
    51 yap! a see note 1 ; $\varepsilon_{a}$ deictic post-nominal element $\delta 102$ (people of long ago contrasted with those of to-day).
    ${ }^{62} w i \prime l i i$ or wili'i third personal pronominal form § 92 III of noun $w i^{\prime} l i$ HoUse sce note 2. PEOPLE THEIRHOUSE regular periphrasis for PEOPLE'S HOUSE. Observe that predicate verb (third personal aorist of TO BE ) is not expressed in this sentence.

    53 Temporal adverb in -xa §112,2.
    64 sama'xa cf. note $53 ;-s^{*} i^{\varepsilon} \S 114,4$.
    ${ }^{65}$ Modal adverb compounded of demonstrative stem $a$ - THIS § 104 and $n a^{\varepsilon} n e^{\wedge} x$ see note 49.
    ${ }_{56}$ Negative adverb of aorist $\S 113,3$.
    ${ }^{57}$ Postposition with wi'li § 96.
    $68 \S 86,1$. $g w a^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ wili BRUSH HOUSE form compound noun $\S 88$.
    ${ }^{69}$ Particle in $-x a \S \S 112,2 ; 114,9$.
    ${ }^{60}$ wi- § 37, 8. $-t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} y e^{\epsilon} k^{\prime} i=-t^{\prime}$ geyeek!-hi§ 19 end; third personal subject, third personal object aorlst of instrumental verb -t'ge'yeek!ín Type 2 I PUT IT AROUND $\S 64$; - $k!$ - petrified suffix § 42, 7 .
    ${ }^{6}$ Local adverb with pre-positive $h a$ - IN $\S 95$, noun stem -bin- not freely occurring $\S 86$, 1 , and nouncharacteristic $-i$ § $89,3$.

    62 Participle in -t 8 76; see note 40 .

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Erroneously classified by Powell as part of the Yakonan family. My recent investigations show Siuslaw to form an independent linguistic group consisting of two distinct dialects,-Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw. A grammatical sketch of the former dialect will be found in this volume.
    ${ }^{2}$ An Athapascan tribe living on the upper course of the Coquelle river.
    ${ }^{3}$ Spoken on the lower part of the Coquelle river, and commonly called Lower Coquelle.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coos Texts, Columbia University Contributions to Anthropology, vol. I.

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ Slowniki Narzeczy Ludow Kamezeckich Rozprawe Widziału filologicznego Akademii Ume jetn6sci w Krakowie, 1892, vol. xvii, pp. 107, 113, 120.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Koryak of laren has gitia, although ordinarily té is characteristic of Kamenskoye, es of Paren.
    ${ }^{2}$ The particle $E L O^{\prime} n$ is also used in the absolute form of the pronoun. Otherwise its meaning is generally weakly concessive, like that of German doch.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ I consider this unlikely, si:ce in Koryak the $t$ should be preserved, although in Chukchee it might disappear according to the phonetic laws governing the pronunciation of men. Mr. Bogoras points out that the $i$ can not be an auxiliary vowel, since this would have to be $\boldsymbol{I}$. - F. Boas.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ See The Chukchee, Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, Vol. VII, p. 563, Note 2.

[^79]:    
    $k_{\text {retvéyu }} i^{\prime} w k w i^{\varepsilon}$ the old walrus spoke 8.14
    $m u^{\prime} r i$. . . mirreyi'lqüty $\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$ we shall slecp 9.3
    rirkanjina'chin pilqäe'rkin the old walrus dived 9.6
    re'mkin ni'lqätyäán the people shall go 13.12
    $i^{\varepsilon \prime} r g i^{\varepsilon} r e^{\prime} m k i n$ the people crossed over 13.13
    ri'rki gépkition a walrus arrived S. 6
    nite'rmectmqin ramki'yñm the great people are doing acts of violence 11.3

[^80]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$. Bogoras thinks that this suftix may be related to mein. This does not seem quite probable, because the vowel $e$ of this word is weak. -F.B.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ This word is applied almost exclusively to dried fish as the food par excellence.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Derived from qun single.
    ${ }^{2}$ The corresponding Chukchee form i'tilin the one who is is not used in compounds of this type.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Koryak have also the term oya'mya for PERSon, which is supposed to be used by the hostile spirits only, and designates man as the game pursued by the spirits. In Chukchee myths the term or $a^{\prime} w e ̂ r-r^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} r a t$ BEINGS waLKING OPENLY (=MANKIND) is used

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ See also yorgetu-wa'ličhin a foolish one 65.3.

[^85]:    1 The form roche ${ }^{\prime}$ 'll to the other shore 30.11 suggests a nominal stem.
    § 126

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ From W. Bogoras, Chukchee Texts; Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition Vol. VIII, pp. 86-89.
    ${ }^{2}$ ONCE UPON A TIME, also connective AND THEN, THEN; always in narrative (p. 858).
    ${ }^{3}$ Absolute form; with non-personal nouns the synthetic quli is also used (§60, p. 732).
    ${ }^{4}$ Stem ra house; yara probably reduplication from rara; -čhrn a Particular one (§53, p. 716), absolute form; here in predicative sense there was a particular house.
    -Stem neu female; -s*qät a suffix, probably related to others in $-s^{*} q^{-}$, but not free. Absolute form as before.
    ${ }^{6}$ Particle, indicating that the whole story is well known to the narrator, and is supposed to be known to the hearer ( $\S 128$, p. 849).
    ${ }^{7}$ oráwer $+a^{\prime} n$ what belongs to the human race ( $\$ 54, \mathrm{p} .717$ ) $; r+l$ in contact form L ( $(\mathrm{T}, 17 ; \mathrm{p} .654$ ) the strong vowels of the word produce ablaut in the second part of the compound ( $\$ 3, \mathrm{p} .646$ ) The first part of the compound has dropped the suffix -n of the absolute form (§ 115, p.826).
    ${ }^{8}$ Subjective form in -ta ( $\$ \S 37, \mathrm{p} .697$ ); here as subject of transitive verb ( $\$ 92, \mathrm{p} .780$ ).
    ${ }^{9} E^{\prime} n k u$; verbal stem enk to reject, to refuse;-u suffix ( $\$ 103.34$ ) expressing purpose, depending. upon the following verb.
     nominalized verb (b) (§ 73, p. 758).
    ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Stem $q u p q(a t)$ to starve; ge-lin she who had attained a starving condition ( $\mathbf{j} 73$ ); $\mathfrak{f}<t+$ (§7).
    ${ }^{12}$ Stem elvetin, -et adverbial suffix ( $\$ 110.70, \mathrm{p} .810$ ); $\ddot{a}$ Nominal Form I, 3 ( $\S \S 64 ; 95$, p. 786).
    ${ }^{13}$ Stem nel (§77); ge-lin (see note 10); $\quad \leq l+l$ (§ 7).
    14 em - mere ( $\S 113,7, \mathrm{p} .816$ ) ; qupqäl to starve (see note 11 ; $-\ddot{a}$ Nominal Form I, 3 ( $\$ \S 64,95$ ).
    15 gitteu to be hungry.
    ${ }^{16}$ Stem $l u^{5}$ тo see; -nin he-him ( ${ }^{67}$ 67, p. 741).
    ${ }^{17}$ Stem resqiu to enter: -git HE (§64, p. 738); wkw<u+g (8§ 7.2; 72.4).
    ${ }^{18}$ Stem ciĕcep related to lilep то LOOK (§§ 2; 122, p. 834), also čiče то Lоок; -gie не (§64).
    ${ }^{19}$ § 128, p. 855.
    ${ }^{20}$ Stem teik to make; evi'rin garment, absolute form ( $\$ 30, \mathrm{p} .691$ ), here subject of intransitive verb ( $\S 91, \mathrm{p} .779$ ); composition see $\S 116,4, \mathrm{p} .830$.
    ${ }^{21}$ Stem ydm то HaNG; suffix-yv(u) frequentative or intensive ( 8110.54 ); ga-lén ( $\$ 74, \mathrm{p}$. 760 ); with ablaut (§3).
    ${ }^{22}$ ŭpa'lhin TALLOW; subjective form in -ä expressing modality ( $\S \S 37,92$ ); with ablaut ( $\$ 3$ ).
    ${ }^{23}$ keme' $\bar{n} I$ Dish, absolute form in $-\bar{n} I(\S 30)$; absolute form as subject of intr. verb ( $\S 91$ ).
    ${ }^{24}$ Stem yIr FULL; with suffix et ( $\$ 110,70$ ); pe-lin (see note 10 ) ; $L<t+l$.
    ${ }^{25}$ Stem $q \ddot{a} m$, compounded with tya to be; n-qin ONE WHO IS PERFORMING an ACTION (§ 73, p.758); with ablaut (§3).
    ${ }^{25}$ Stem $p l$ and suffix -tku ( $\$ 110,67$ ), compare plägi it is ended; $n$-qin see note 25.
    ${ }^{27}$ Stem gint; with suffix -eu (§110, 70); $n$-qin see note 25 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Stem rute; -gt! after vowel, allative (§ 40); ablaut (§3).

[^87]:    ${ }^{29}$ Probably reduplicated absolute form from a stem qla (qlasl) (§ 29); $q$ before consonant becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (§7) ; absolute form as subject of intransitive verb (§91).
    ${ }^{30}$ Stem pükir in initial position; pkir in medial position (§ 12, p. 662).
    ${ }^{31}$ Stem čeivu, related to leivu (§§2, 122); -thu (§ 110,67 ); -lin oNe who (§54). See note 35.
    ${ }^{32}$ Absolute form; predicative.
    ${ }^{33}$ See § 59 p. 729: absolnte form.
     tra- TO BE, initial va-(§12.2, p. 661); -lm (§54).
    ${ }^{35}$ Stem leivu, related to čeiuu (note 31); -rkin ierived form (§§ 64, 87).
    ${ }^{36}$ See note 25 ; derived form ( $\$ 864.87$ ); -let Frequentative, ( $\$ 110.53$ with $t$ dropping out in interrocalic position (§ 10 ).
    ${ }^{37} u^{\prime} k k i \neq m$ DISH, stem $y i r$ FULL, here reduplicated absolute form ( 829 ).
    ${ }^{38}$ Stem tetp; derived form (see note 35).
    ${ }^{39}$ Stem Irg dawn; a locative form (§38). Compare Irgiro'ññoi it began to dawn 9.13; Irgiro'k at dawn 10.3 .
    t. ${ }^{40}$ Stem $\epsilon w k w$ with suffix $-c t(\$ 110,70) ; t y<t+g(\S 7.26, \mathrm{p} .654)$.
    ${ }^{41}$ elve DIFFERENT, OTHER; -lin absolute form (§ 60.3); singuiar and instead of plural (§46, p. 709). The strong form alca signifies AWAY!

    42 Plural.
    ${ }^{43}$ Stem ipŭ; prefix ine-making transitive verb intransitive, here passive ( $\$ 113,28$ ); n-qin, oNe Who is performing an action (§73), plnral because refering to ple'kit: those that are being put ON (§74); with ablaut (§3).
    ${ }^{4}$ Stem urr $(\epsilon u)$ often medially wurr; $\epsilon-k$ Ĕlin negation (§ 114, 4; p. 824).
    45 Derived from neu female.
    46 Stem medially lqüt; 3d person past, more frequently qä'tyí; ty<t+q (§ 7. 26, p. 654).
    47 Demonstrative (§57).
    48 See note $36, t y<t+g$ (§ 7. 26, p. 654).
    49 Stem $g I n \cdot k+e u(\S 110,70) ; w k w<u+g(\S 7.2)$.
    ${ }^{60}$ From ra house, probably the allative form ragti which serves here as verbal stem: tict $<t$-git with ablaut ( $\S \S 3,7$ ).

    51 Particles (§ 128, p. \$53).
    62 § 128, p. 852.
    ${ }^{53}$ Stem čeivu, see notes 31 and $35 ;-t k u(\S 110,67)$; $\varepsilon-k \ddot{a}$ negation ( $(114,4$ ), see also note 44 .
    ${ }^{64}$ Stem it to be, 1st person subj. (a); ty<t+g (§7.26).
    65 cm - MERE ( $\$ 113,7$ ); gIno' $n$ middle; $I l 0^{\prime}-, a^{\varepsilon} l 0^{\prime}$ DAY.
    ${ }^{66}$ Stem nel- то Become; $l y<l+g(\S 7)$ : see Note 13.
    ${ }^{57}$ § 126 , p. 868.
    68 Demonstrative particle (§57).
    ${ }^{69}$ Stem yet- то come.
    $\omega_{0} t_{t} k i{ }^{\prime} c h i n$ MEAT; allative form in -citi ( $\left.\$ \S 53,40\right)$.

[^88]:    ${ }^{61}$ Before, formerly ( $\$ 127$, p. 818).
    ${ }^{62}$ See notes $25,36,48$; bere qamitra, derived tense in -rkin ( $\$ \S 64,87$ ).
    ${ }^{63}$ Stem piri to take; -nin he-him (§ 67).
    ${ }^{64} \mathrm{ilu}$ то move; $e-k a$ negation ( $\S 114,4$ ). The initial $\varepsilon$ is contracted with the $i$ of the stem.
    ${ }^{6 s}$ Stem qama'gra; $n-q$ etn (§ 73); with ablaut (§ 3).
    66 § 126, p. $855^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{57}$ Subjective form ( $\$ 56$ ); presumably as subject of an idea like you have eaten it.
    ${ }^{65}$ Stem initial rirril, medial rril; ine- ( $\$ \S 67 ; 113.23$ ); $q-g i^{\text {e }}$ imperative $2 d$ person sing.
    ${ }^{69}$ Negation with exhortative meaning ( $\$ 131.2$ ).
    to Medial form of the causative prefix-n; stem raq whit, something; -eu ( $\$ 110.70$ ); predicative form of the indefinite pronoun; m-git Let ME-THEE, subjunctive ( $\$ 67$ ); $w k u<u+g$; in place of git we have here and in the next word the alternating form gir.
    ${ }^{7}$ Stem *pnlo; initial form pinlo-: medial form -mñlo-; m—gIt LET ME—THEE, see note 70 .
    ${ }^{72}$ Stem leivu, see notes $31,35,53$; -tku ( $\$ \S 110,67$ ); predicative form of nominalized verb (§73).
    ${ }^{73}$ Stem êtinv Master (§§ 48, 73).
    ${ }^{74}$ No (§ 131.6).
    ${ }^{75}$ See note 10 ; here with the prefix -ine- referring to the first person (§ 73 ).
    ${ }^{76}$ See note 75 , the same form; stem čáatv-; r-au Causative ( $\$ 114,1$ ).
    ${ }^{77}$ See note 75, the same form; stem qupq to Starve (see note 11); r-e $u$ Causative (§ 114, 1).
    ${ }^{78}$ Conjunction (\$ 128, p. §58).
    79 § 131.1.
    ${ }^{80}$ Demonstrative (§ 57); as particle $v a i$; stem wut-; locative in $-k$.
    ${ }^{81}$ See note 4 ; -člku inside of, nominal ( $\$ 101,24$ ).
    ${ }^{82} r \ddot{a} q$ something; here absolute form (irregular) used as object with the verbs $i u$ to say, lú to see
    ${ }^{83}$ Stem $l u^{\varepsilon}$ to sef; derived tense in -rkin (§64); ine- (\$113.2S); $q$ - imperative ( $\$ 64$ ); here used as a past (§ 85).
    ${ }^{44}$ Conjunction (\$128, p. \$53).
    ${ }^{85}$ Stem mata to take, to marry; subjunctive (a), m-git Let me-Thee (§ 67).
    ${ }^{86}$ Stem mata to take, to marry; -nin he-her (§67).
    ${ }^{87}$ Stem čeivu+tku, see notes $31,35,53$. The $g$ of the ending $-g{ }^{2} \varepsilon$ has dropped out on account of its intervocalic position.
    ${ }^{88}$ Stem wulq evening, compare $w u^{\prime} s^{\prime} q$ Darkness; a locative form ( $\$ 38$ ); -tvi to become ( $\$ 110,68$ )
    ${ }^{69}$ Initial stem $p u \mathrm{u} k i r$, medial $p k i r$; abbreviated termination for $-g i^{\varepsilon}$.

[^89]:    ${ }^{120}$ Stem iu To SAY; -nin HE-HIM (§ 67).
    ${ }^{122}$ That yonder, independent form (§57, p. 723).
    122 Absolute form (§ 28).
    ${ }^{123}$ Stem vent to be open; $r$-et causeative (§ 114, 1); $e \rightarrow k \ddot{a}$ negatiou (§ 114, 4).
    124 Stem $r t$, see note 114 ; derived form (§ 67).
    125 pegc̆ıй CONCERN; -nu SERVING FOR (§ 103.34).
    ${ }^{126}$ Stem $-l n(\S 78) ; e-k \ddot{a}$ negation (§ 114, 4).
    ${ }^{127}$ Disjunctive conjunction (§ 128, p. 854).
    ${ }^{128}$ Stem walom to hear; imperative of derived form (§64).
    ${ }^{129}$ Particle (§ 128, p. 863).
    ${ }^{130}$ Stem vent to be open; $r$-et causative (§ 114, 1); -čewiu contracted from-čet-yw(u), (§100.54,56);
    she opened with great care and after several attempts; -nin HE-HIM (§ 67).
    ${ }^{131}$ Locative.
    ${ }^{132} n$-etnạ-n-vád-qê $n(\S 74$; also § 114.1).
    ${ }^{133}$ § 103.37.
    ${ }^{134}$ Stem $\check{c} \bar{I} \bar{n}$; suffix-at; verbal noun in $-(t) \vec{a}$ dependent on the following participle va'lun.
    
    ${ }^{136}$ lứ $\operatorname{FACE}$; -qač SIDE OF (§ 101, 26).
    ${ }^{137}$ See § 49.
    ${ }^{138}$ See § 60, p. 732.
    ${ }^{139} n$-qin (§49)
    
    141 - $n i n$ HE-HIM (§ 67).
    ${ }^{142}$ Demonstrative (§57).
    ${ }^{143}$ Stem $v i^{\varepsilon}$ To DIE, $v i^{\epsilon \prime} i^{\epsilon}<v i^{\epsilon} g i^{\varepsilon}$ with loss of intervocalic $\rho(\S 10)$.
    ${ }^{14}$ Stem pĉkagt-; suffix -at
    ${ }^{145}$ Stem om(r); r-at (§114.1); $n-\boldsymbol{\ell} n-n$ prefixes, $n-n$ transitive, $\boldsymbol{l} n$ - intransitive (?).
    ${ }^{146}$ Stem mik (§58, p. 727)
    147 ayilhau; $n-q i n($ (§ 73).
    ${ }_{148}$ Particle ( 8128, p. 871).
    ${ }^{199}$ Contraction of $\bar{u} m$.
    ${ }^{150}$ Absolute form (§ 28).
    151 Ending wkwä́t <u-gätt.
    ${ }^{162}$ Initial stem tuw, medial tvu; ni-ntn (§67, Ia 6).

[^90]:    ${ }^{153}$ See § 12S, p. 859.
    154 See note 147 , transitive form; $n-n e ̂ n(67, \mathrm{I} a 6$ ).
    ${ }^{155} a^{\prime} t c ̌ a$ то wait (§95, p. 786 ).
    ${ }^{156}$ Initial stem $l u v+a u$, medial $l v+a u$ то be unable ; $3 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{pl}$.
    ${ }^{157}$ From keme' $\bar{\pi} I$ dish; -gtr allative; with ablaut (§ 3 ).
    ${ }^{159}$ Initial stem $u r+e u$.
    ${ }^{159}$ It is a wonder! (Interjection).
    ${ }^{160}$ From demonstrative stem En.
    ${ }^{181}$ Interrogative adverb.
    ${ }^{162^{\prime}}$ Negation (§ 131.5).
    ${ }^{163}$ Stem vent, see notes 123,$130 ; r$-et Catsative (§ 114, 1); $i$-kälin negation (§ 114, 4); 2d person verbalized noun (§ 73 ).
    ${ }^{164}$ Stem watom to hear, listen, obey, see note 128 ; derived tense, imperative.
    165 Stem tur, see note 152 ; imperative.
    160 Stem tuw; -nin HE-HIN; with ablaut (\$3).
    167 em-Ite't-um (§ 128, p. 857).
    163 Stem gite TO SEE; $t I-g \ddot{a}^{2} u$ I-HIM.
    169 Stem gite To see; ine- (§67); i-kälin negation (§ 114, 4).
    170 Stem puket; $t 1-g \ddot{a}^{s} k$. I-, intransitive ( $\$ 64$ ).
    171 3d person sing.
    ${ }^{172}$ See note $34 ; 2 d$ person, nominatized verb ( $\S 73$ ).
    ${ }^{173}$ Stem gérgl to obey; e-kälin negation (§ 114, 4); 2d person sing.
    174 atau'-üm but with some peason (§ $128, \mathrm{p} .854$ ).
    1752 d person nominatized verb (§ 73).
    ${ }^{176}$ Absolute form, perhaps for rar-rar (§ 29).
    ${ }^{177}$ Stem yrl; $q$-ine-gis тноU-ME, imperative; transitive form.
    178 -eи тo calse; - nin he-him.
    ${ }^{179}$ Stem $e i^{\prime} u$, with voealie $u$; therefore with loss of intervocalic $g$ of the suffix $g i^{6}$.
    180 -gtI very (§ 113, 22); stem an•ñın Anger; ipŭ to pUT ON; ge-lin (§ 73).
    181 Stem reli; -8•qićet intensity (§ 110, 59); ge-lin (§ 73).

[^91]:    182 Stem *rle; initial rile, medial nle; derived form; -nin не—HiM (§ 67).
    183 An exhorative particle ( $\$ 128$, p. 862).
    184 Stem ra house; gara'lin HE WHO HASA HOUSE; $2 d$ person sing. (§ 73).
    185 Stem *rle, see note $182 ; m I n-g I t$ Let ME-THEE.
    186 ELI'gIth FATHER; allative (§ 40 ).
    187 Stem *rle, see note 182 ; -nin HE-HIM.
    188 Stem pkir to come; rI-cu causative ( $(\$ 114,1$ ); -nin нЕ-нim.
    189 From mata to take, to MARry; absolute form.
    190 Subjective form (§56).
    191 Adverbial.
    192 gInfit to watch verbal noun in $\cdot k$ depending on the verb luau. 193 Initial stem $l u v+a u$, medial $l v+a u$ cannot; $t I-g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I-H1M.

[^92]:    ${ }^{23}$ Stem in initial position vuyal medial wyal; yu verbal suffix, phenomena of nature ( $\S 110.71, \mathrm{p} .811$ ) ga-lin nominalized form of intransitive verb (§ 73 ).
    ${ }^{26}$ Demonstrative adverb ( $\$ 129$, p. 876).
    ${ }^{27}$ Stem mal GOOD; $a$ - $t \stackrel{c}{a} a$ negative ( $(114,4)$.
    ${ }^{28}$ Subjective in nak (§ 39 ).
    ${ }^{29}$ Stem iu TO TELL; -nIvg TO BEGIN; ga-linat nominalized form of transitive verb, 3 d pers. dual (§ 74).
    ${ }^{30}$ Stem mal oood; tva TO BE; q-gitik imperative, 2d pers. dual (§ 65).
    ${ }^{21}$ Absolute form (§58, p. 726).
    ${ }^{22}$ ya-future.
    ${ }^{33}$ Absolute form of demonstrative ( $\$ 57$ ).
    ${ }^{34}$ liya-1st pers. sing. future; -ñn 3 d pers. sing. object.
    ${ }^{2 s}$ Absolute form ( $\$ 56$ ).
    ${ }^{36}$ 1st person sing. exhortative, intransitive.
    ${ }^{87}$ qina-latik imperative, ye-3 E; $t(a)-\tilde{n}$ то MAKE ( $\$ 114.2$ ); inu PROVISIONS.
    ${ }^{38}$ Stem plak bоot; -lñn (§52); -u PLURaL; more frequently pla'ku.
    ${ }^{39}$ Stem tatki to make; ga-linau nominalized form of transitive verb, 3 d pers. pl. (§ 74).
    ${ }^{40}$ Stem ! q ott To oo; nominalized form, 3d pers. sing. (§ 73).
    ${ }^{41}$ Stem tra, in initial position va to stay; -ño to begin; -ykin derived form.
    ${ }^{12}$-gin base (§ 101.21), locatlve.
    ${ }^{43} y e$ - desiderative; $n u$ to eat; -tču intensive action § 110.67); -ņ̃ to begin;-ykin derived form.
    44 Stem $\tilde{n} t t o$ то Go our: - $n v o$ то begin; -ykın derived form.
    46 - tti allative ( $\$ 40$ ); with nasalization added to terminal vowel ( $\$ 818,41$ ).
    ${ }^{66}$ Stems aqa bad; lila to see; yp to put on; $n y w o-y k i n$ as in note 44.
    ${ }^{17}$ ya' $a^{\prime}$ qiu, Ch. re's qivo to enter; derived form.
    ${ }^{18}$ Stem $i \boldsymbol{\text { in }}$ TO SAY; $a-k a$ NEGATION (§ 114, 4); $a$ contracted with $i$ to $i$.
    ${ }^{49}$ Stem it;-nivo-ykin as in note 44.
    60 Stem vuyg ? in medial position wyal?; -at ( $(110.70$ ) (sce note 25).
    ${ }^{51}$ yalquiw TO ENTER (see note 47); ga-lin nominalized verb (\$73).
    $5_{2} \mathrm{ImI}$ a LL (§ 113.6); - $t$ plural (§ 34 ).
    ${ }^{53}$ qit FROzen; ga-linau nominalized verb, 3d pers. pl. (§ 73).
    ${ }^{4}$ plak boot; gin bottom; -iti allative ( $\$ 40$ ).
    ${ }^{\text {bs }}$ a $^{\varepsilon}$ ča CRINe; - fivo To begin; $n$-qin nominalized verb (§ 73).
    ${ }^{66}$ Compare note 29 ; here $3 d$ pers. sing.

[^93]:    ${ }^{57} g i n$ - thou; -yaq indicates that another person is to periorm an act whieh the subject has performed before.
    ${ }^{53}$ Stems mal, lva well, to be; imperative.
    ${ }^{69}$ Seeond person personal pronoun gin- ( $\$ 56 ; 129$, p. 878 under yaq).
    co trya-I, future; stem ñto, medial into; derived form.
    ${ }^{61}$ See note 55 . 1st pers. sing. future, derived form.
    ${ }^{62}$ See notes 29,56 ; here $3 d$ pers. pl. instead of dual.
    ${ }^{63}$ Stem lqat to Go; qa-latik imperative, dual.
    6f $a-k a$ NEGATION (§ 114, 4); naw woman.
    ${ }^{65}$ Indefinite pronoun ( $\$ 60, \mathrm{p} .732$ ).
    ${ }^{66}$ ača FAT; -pil SMALL (§ 100.15).
    ${ }^{6}$ Stem akmit; ga-lin nominalized verb (§ 74).
    ${ }^{68}$ Absolute form (§ 30 ).
    ©0 -pí small (§ 100.15); here with ablaut.
    ${ }^{70}$ Stem lqat TO GO (see note 63); ga-lin nominalized verb (§ 73).
    ${ }^{7}$ Absolute form $i^{\prime} y a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{SKY}$; -etti allative.
    ${ }^{12}$ Stem yiña To fly UP; ga-lin nominalized verb.
    ${ }^{73}$ Stem initial ttla, medial ! $a$ то move, то GO; ga-lin as before.
    ${ }^{44}$ Belonging to the sky (§47).
    ${ }^{75}$ Absolute form.
    7 Subjective as instrumental ( $\$ 37,92$ ).
    ${ }^{77}$ Stem aip to Stop UP, close; $9 a-l i n$ as before, here with ablaut.
    ${ }^{78}$ Stem iñla (Ch. lnt) to Throw; ga-lin nominalized verb.
    ${ }^{19}$ Stem mal GOoD.
    ${ }^{80}$ Stem yait (allative of ya House) to $\boldsymbol{\text { Go Home. }}$
    ${ }^{81}$ Stem yiqu, medial nqu to COME LOOSE, To COME out (like a plug out of a hole).
    ${ }^{82}$ Stems yaya- house; -člku inside; -iti allative, with terminal nasalization.
    ${ }^{2}$ plu small; $n$-qin (§ 49) $m a^{\prime} y(1) \tilde{n}$ Laroe.
    es Stem yIl, medial yli to GIVE; pqciliṭin instead of pai'liṭin irregular.
    ${ }^{\text {es }}$ panina before, former; absolute form pa'nin; adverb pa'nena again, another time; íl allative, with terminal nasalization.
    ${ }^{s 0} m a^{\prime} l$-kit well, all might (Ch. met-ki'tkit, met ${ }^{\circ}$-ki'it Somehow); mal (Ch. meč, mel) see 8118.10 and 11; (Ch. ki'tkit, adverb, a little).
    87 yıp, medial- $n p$ to stice into, ro Stuff into; ga-lin with ablaut.
    

[^94]:    ${ }^{\text {89 }}$ Subjective as instrumental; absolute form $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon} l a ̈ l, a^{〔} l a^{\epsilon}!$
    ${ }^{90}$ Stem $a^{\varepsilon}$ ? me.
    ${ }^{91}$ A bsolute form as object.
    ${ }^{22}$ Stem mal GOOD.
    ${ }^{93} a q a^{\prime} n n \cdot I n$ hate, stems probably $a^{\varepsilon} q$ BAD $a^{\prime} n \cdot \tilde{r} I n$ ANGER; designative form in $-u(\S 94)$.
    ${ }^{4}$ See § 114.4.
    ${ }^{s}$ Locative form (§42).
    ${ }^{96}$ Stem vagal, medical tvagal to sit down.
    ${ }^{27}$ Stem mik where; -qaca near (§ 101.26).
    ${ }^{99}$ Stem $a^{5}$ ? excrement; -tć to smell of.
    ${ }^{99}$ Stcm mik (§58, p. 726).
    ${ }^{100}$ Stem yul LoNG; -aq adverbial suffix (§ 112, 79).
    tol $a-k a$ negation (§ 114, 4).
    102 Stem nal to become (§ 77).
    ${ }^{103}$ Verbal noun in - $a$ used as imperative ( $\S 95, \mathrm{p}$. 787).
    104 From $a-k a$ negation ( $\$ 114,4$ ); mal Good; tva то be.
    ${ }^{105}$ Stem it to be (§ 75).
    106 Stem mata to take, to marry.
    107 ala SUMMER; -yu suffix. phenomena of nature ( $\$ 110.71$ ).
    ${ }^{109}$ muqa rain; $-y u$ as in note 107.
    109 Reduplicated absolute form (§ 29).
    110 yal $u$ то CHEW; yop TO PUT ON (soe Note 46); yal $u p$ a QUID.
    111 Stem nal то весоме (§ 77); ga-lin nomiualized verb (§ 73); $\boldsymbol{t}<l+l$ (§ 18).
    112 Stem aim to draw water; $q$-ge imperative.
    ${ }^{113}$ Subjunctive (a), 1st pers. sing.
    ${ }^{114}$ Derived form, 1st person pl.
    116 Future, without ending $\cdot m I k$ (§65).
    ${ }^{110}$ Verbal noun in - $a$ expressing modality (§95).
    ${ }^{11}$ Stems wŭs DARKNESS; -čIku INSIDE (§101.24); um is an unusual form of the connective vowel (see
    $\$ 18,1$ ): the parallel form $w{ }^{\prime} s^{*} q \breve{u}^{\prime} m c ̌ r k u$ is foumd in Chukchee.
    ${ }_{118}$ Stem ñuv, medial $\bar{n} v$ to sTop.
    110 Stem ñvo to beoin.

[^95]:    ${ }^{120}$ Stem gryapca; verbal noun, locative form ( $995, \mathrm{p} .785$ ).
    ${ }^{121} q a i$ - small, related to Chukchee suffix -qäi (§ 98.4 ); -nti, after terminal $m$ of vaiam, -ti dual (§ 34). 122 3d pers. dual (§65) instead of plural; stem a! $\tilde{n}$ stingy.
    ${ }^{123}$ nika somethina (§60); ga-lin verbalized.
    124 -itı allative (§ 58 ).
    12s Diminutive in -pil, absolute form in $-1 \bar{n}$ ( $\S 30$ ).
    ${ }^{128}$ Stem čilal + at to bubble; verbal noun, in $-k$, dependent on $\tilde{n} v o$ то begin (see notes 138,150 ).
    ${ }^{12}$ Stem yičč to be full.
    ${ }^{128}$ mill $h$ strike-A-Light, fire (see Publ. Jesup Exp. Vol. VII, p. 18); $u^{\prime} k k a ̈ m$ vessel.
    129 yait TO GO HOME (see § 95); verbal noun allative.
    $10^{20}$ Stem imt, imti $\mathbf{~ T o ~ C a r r y ; ~ - y o p ~ t o ~ P U T ~ T O ; ~ g e - l i n ~ w i t h ~ a b l a u t . ~}$
    ${ }^{131}$ Stem pkau to be unable.
    ${ }^{132}$ Stem imt to carry; subjunctive (a) 1st pers. sing. subject, 3d pers. sing. object.
    183 Stem limñena to follow.
    ${ }^{13}$ Stem vaiam RIVER; ena suffix for living being; nominalized verb, 1st pers. sing. (§ 73).
    135 yai'vač to have pity with; designative in -u (§ 94).
    ${ }^{136} t-I M$ I-him (§ 65); stem -tč (§ 79).
    . ${ }^{137}$ Compare note 120 .
    ${ }^{138}$ Verbal nom in $-k$ dependent on $\tilde{n} v \boldsymbol{v}^{\text {to }}$ begin (see notes 126,150 ).
    ${ }^{139}$ Stem tua, in initial position va то ве; $\tilde{n} v$ то вевin; -laike 3d pers. pl., derived form.
    140 Stem niki night; probably verbal noun in ( $t$ ) $\bar{a}$, although the verb has usually the suffix yus ex-
    pressing phenomena of naturc. (Ch. nIki-ru'—rkIr Night comes).
    ${ }^{111}$ Stem tva то $\mathbf{R E}$; Ist pers. pl., derived form.
    ${ }^{42}$ Compound noun lawt head, kI'lcičinin band (from kIlt to TIE ( $\S 853$; 106.44).
    ${ }^{143}$ Reduplicated form retained in à derived form in -in ( $\$ 29$, p. 690, note).
    14 stem yop to put on.
    146 Stem nto, in initial position ñto to go out.
    ${ }^{146}$ qai-smalli ičh to dawn, to light ñıo to begin; ga-lin nominalized verb.
    147 Stem vant TO DAWN; ge'ñin (§ 106.44).
    118 tomwa to be created.
    ${ }_{19}$ Stem $y t$, in medial position nt to do, MaRe ( $\$ 80$ ).

[^96]:    150 Stem tenm to prepare; verbal noun in $-k$ dependent on $\tilde{n v o}$ to begin (see notes 126, 138); -tcu intensity of action.
    $151-y i k i n ̃ a y$ a
    152 Derived form of stem $t v a$, in initial position $v a$ то ве.
    ${ }^{169}$ Va'čvi< Valvi (§§ 16.3; 122).
    1s Stem lqat to walk, in initial position qat: 2d pers. sing.
    ${ }^{1 s}$ Stems tua-ño TO BE-TO BEGIN, $t i-k$ 1st pers. sing.
    ${ }^{136}$ Stem yos to visir.
    ${ }^{137}$ Absolute form (§ 56).
    is Stem it TO BE fivo TO BEGIN; $q(u)$-(§ 68), no personal ending.
    ${ }^{169} \mathrm{Absolute}$ form.
    200 yIlt to TURN; ga-lin nominalized verb.
    16 yaina то meet; adverbial: face to face.
    $10 e^{2} y$--causative; $l i$ TO TURN; derived form, HE-HIM.
    ${ }^{10}$ Stem $l i$ to turn; derived form.
    ${ }_{1 *}{ }^{4}$ Locative.
    160 yI-aw causative: yIgIčh то ITCE.
    ${ }^{16}$ Stems cichiñi armpits; qatv to put in; -nvo to begin.
    167 Subjective as subject of transitive verb.
    ${ }^{189}$ yaq what; predicative form (§ 82); second person (§68).
    160 mal GOOD: $\tilde{n} a w$ +Itqat wOMAN; here subjective.
    170 qo'y $\begin{aligned} & \text { an allative of qoyo'. (See \& 43, p. 705.) }\end{aligned}$
    ${ }^{27}$ See note 162 ; the same form with added $\bar{n}$ ro to begin.
    172 Prefix kt-VERy (§ 113.22); acačhat to LaUaH; ga-lin with ablaut.
    ${ }^{173}$ Stem lilep, medial ц̧ep; for čép, see § 16.3; 122. ñito то Go out.
    174 Locative (see note 71).
    ${ }^{25}$ Stem ap to fasten itself.
    ${ }^{236}$ Stem éch , ich TO DAWN (see note 146).

