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## PREFACE.

The text adopted in the present edition of the first two books of Xenophon's Hellenica follows in the main the last revision of Dindorf, published in $\mathbf{1 8 8 5}_{5}$, any departures from which are duly noticed as they occur.

The introduction is divided into several sections. The first tries rather perhaps to raise than to solve the main points in the complicated question as to the method of composition and the intention of the author in these two books,-a question, which, since the criticism of Niebuhr, has been vigorously discussed by Peter, Sievers, Breitenbach, and many other German scholars, but with results on the whole more negative than positive. The views taken in this and in the following section on the equally vexed question of Xenophon's chronology are chiefly based on those advanced by Breitenbach in his second edition in the Weidmann series of Greek and Latin Classics (Berlin, 1884). The third section endeavours to supply, from other authorities, what Xenophon himself almost entirely omits, an account of the internal affairs of Athens during the last seven and a half years of the Peloponnesian War; and for this portion of the introduction Gilbert's Beilräge zur Innern Geschichte Athens (Leipzig, 1877) has been found most useful. The fourth section states once again and discusses as briefly as possible the many difficulties of fact and of law occurring in Xenophon's version of the trial of the Generals after the battle of Arginusae; and any completeness to which it may pretend is mostly due
to the many valuable suggestions kindly made by Mr. T. Case, Fellow and Tutor of Corpus Christi College.

The notes are intended not only to explain the critical and grammatical difficulties in the text, which, though serious when they do arise, fortunately occur but seldom, but also to - supply a commentary upon the history of the times, and to point out even at the risk of repetition the numerous gaps and points of obscurity in Xenophon's narrative.

To give greater completeness to the Edition, a chronological summary, a running analysis, and a copious index of proper names have been added.

Finally, the Editor is much indebted to Mr. Evelyn Abbott, Fellow and Tutor of Balliol College, for the great assistance which he has so generously given him, in carrying the book through the press, and for the many corrections and improvements which he has made for him throughout the whole work.
G. E. U.

Magdalen College, Oxford, April, 1888.

## INTRODUCTION.

## § 1. The Composition of the Hellenica.

Although the writings of Xenophon, known as the Hellenica, have a certain unity of subject in so far as they all treat of Greek history, yet even a cursory examination soon reveals that they fall into two, if not three, parts, distinguished by intervals of time, by differences of style, and apparently by variety of purpose. The first part again subdivides into two, of which the one extends from bk. i. to bk. ii. 3. 10, and continues the history of the Peloponnesian War from the point where it was left in the unfinished work of Thucydides down to 411 B.C. to the destruction of the Long Walls of Athens - an event which Thucydides ${ }^{1}$ himself takes as marking the end of the war: while the other takes up the course of events again after an interval of six months at ii. 3.11, and relates what was virtually but another outbreak of the same war, down to the final pacification of Athens by Pausanias king of $404 \mathrm{B.C}$. to Sparta. The second part (bks. iii.-vii.) resumes . the narrative of Greek history one and a-half years later, and continues it, without any serious break, down to 362 B.C., the year of the battle of Mantinea and the death of Epaminondas. Two questions, therefore, arise at

401 B.C. to 362 B.C. once, ( 1 ) what is the relation of the first part to the History of Thacydides? and (2) what is the relation of the parts to one another?

As to the first question, evidence both internal and external alike points to books i. ii. being designedly a continuation of

 Halicarnassus ${ }^{2}$ and Marcellinus ${ }^{3}$ give similar testimony. And

[^0]internal evidence shows that it is rot a continuation merely in the sense in which Thucydides sontinued Herodotus, or Theopompus continued Thucydides, by beginning an independent narrative at the point where that of the predecessor stopped; but that it was written with the express purpose of completing what Thucydides for some unknown reason had left unfinished. On no other hypothesis, except indeed that the beginning of the Hellenica itself is lost (which seems exceedingly unlikely), can it be explained why the author should begin, not only without an introduction-a preliminary which Xenophon dispenses with in other works-but without any explanation, however brief, of the events immediately preceding, and of the persons engaged in them, sufficient to put the reader in a position to understand the further development of their history. On the contrary, Xenophon plunges him 'in medias res' with the connecting phrase $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} 8 \dot{e}$ tav̀ra, which seems to refer to the battle of Cynossema, previously described by Thucydides ${ }^{1}$. He is supposed to be already acquainted with Thymochares, the Athenian general, and Agesandridas ${ }^{2}$, the Spartan general, who had a few months previously met in battle off Eretria ; and also to know the place, i. e. the Hellespont, where the Athenian and Lacedaemonian fleets were stationed when this second (av̈dıs) naval engagement took place; while not a word is said of the disaster which Diodorus (xiii. 4I) relates to have overtaken Agesandridas off Mount Athos in the interval. Similarly, Dorieus is suddenly represented as sailing from Rhodes to the Hellespont, but in Thucydides ${ }^{3}$ he last appears at Miletus, whence Diodorus ${ }^{4}$ tells us that he had been sent by Mindarus to Rhodes. The Athenian generals are introduced with the article (roís $\sigma$ rparioyois) as if they had been already mentioned; but we are left to gather from Thucydides ${ }^{5}$ that they were Thrasyllus and Thrasybulus. Xenophon omits altogether to mention the movement of the Athenian fleet from Cyzicus to the mouth of the Hellespont ${ }^{6}$, and the corresponding movement of the Peloponnesian fleet from Elaeus to Abydos. So, too, (§ 6) Alcibiades sails up from no

[^1]one knows where, and (§ 12) Theramenes comes from Macedonia; whereas Thucydides ${ }^{1}$ had left the one in Samos and the other in Athens. The motive of Tissaphernes' journey to the Hellespont is never stated, and can only be supplied by a reference to Thucydides' narrative ${ }^{2}$. All these passages, therefore, seem to take for granted an acquaintance with Thucydides, and if it be further supposed that the last fifteen chapters of Thucydides' eighth book have been lost-for to all appearances an interval of some five or six weeks must have elapsed since the last event mentioned in Thucydides and the first alluded to by Xenophoneven the points of obscurity in them are capable of explanation.

Again in books i.-ii. 3. ro Xenophon adopts an annalistic mode of treatment, which it would appear from the later books was entirely foreign to his natural inclination for grouping events together. But so soon as he has brought his narrative down to the surrender of Athens, which Thucydides ${ }^{3}$ had announced as the goal of his undertaking, he drops this method, and relates the usurpation of the Thirty without any definite marks of time. And the fact that even in the first period he does not adhere consistently ${ }^{4}$ to Thucydides' chronological system of summers and winters, shows still more plainly that he was modelling his own work on that of somebody else. For once ${ }^{5}$ he forgets to give the beginning of the year, twice the beginning of the winter ${ }^{6}$, and, more often than not, he does not notice the end of the summers and winters-data which Thucydides never omits. And sometimes before he has finished with the narrative of one year, he anticipates the events of the next ${ }^{7}$. Further, Xenophon, like Thucydides, at the end of each year finds a place wherein to put a brief account of contemporary events, e.g. in Persia, Sicily, or elsewhere, which he could not well weave into his main narrative ; but, unlike Thucydides, he does not make it plain at what season of the year in question they occurred ${ }^{8}$. Finally, Xenophon ${ }^{9}$ skips over the interval of six months which elapsed between the surrender of Athens and the appointment of the Thirty without any definite mark of time

[^2]at all, save the mention of an eclipse ${ }^{1}$, so that, had we his narrative only, we should have no idea that any such interval had occurred.

All the evidence, therefore, external and internal alike, seems to show that Xenophon purposely intended the first part of the Hellenica to be a completion of Thucydides' unfinished history of the Peloponnesian War.

In discussing the second question, the relation of the two parts of the Hellenica to each other, we get little to help us from external testimony. A distinction into two parts does indeed seem to be implied in the words of Marcellinus ${ }^{2}$ —rà $8 \dot{\epsilon}$ т $\omega \bar{\nu}$

 Similarly, too, Dionysius of Halicarnassus ${ }^{3}$ speaks of Xeno-


 But both authors seem to make the distinction one of time only, and to regard the two parts as together forming a connected whole. Such a view, however, on a comparison of them, would appear to be quite untenable.

In books iii.-vii. all the trammels of Thucydides' system of arrangement and chronology disappear. Though they contain the history of forty years, the beginnings of years are only twice noticed ${ }^{4}$. Summers and winters are no longer taken as formal marks of time, but are casually mentioned only in conjunction with events ${ }^{5}$. And the events themselves are no more related year by year in the order that they occurred, but Xenophon groups them together wherever he sees a causal nexus between them, often narrating a connected series of events quite continuously, and then returning to his starting-point in order to bring up the general course of Greek history to the same date ${ }^{6}$.

Again, although even in the first two books Xenophon ${ }^{7}$ once breaks through the impersonal style of writing, which he had inherited from Thucydides, and in two or three other passages

adds moral comments, such as are hardly to be found in the earlier historian, still in the later books he allows his own moral and theological proclivities to appear much more on the surface. The general theme of the five books seems to be the rise and downfall of Spartan greatness ${ }^{1}$. As formerly in Athens, so now at Sparta, power gives birth to $\boldsymbol{v} \beta \rho \iota s$, $\boldsymbol{i} \beta \rho \iota s$ to impiety, and impiety soon brings punishment in its train ${ }^{2}$. Unwarned by examples, the Thebans use their supremacy with an insolence equally great, and are overtaken with a ruin still more rapid. As with states, st with individuals. Dercyllidas and Agesilaus show reverence towards the gods ${ }^{3}$, and reap an immediate reward for their piety : impiety as quickly meets with punishment. Xenophon is no longer the simple annalist of facts ; now he appears in his better known character of the moralist, reading his lessons from the pages of human history.

The differences of style between the earlier and later books are no less marked. Books i., ii. are disfigured by omissions, by obscurities left unexplained, by unequal and disproportionate treatment of events, in themselves equally important, by unaccountable breaks and interruptions, and by a general want of finish-of which more hereafter. But books iii.-vii., whatever may be thought of their positive value as historical documents, contain a narrative which is indeed plainly and simply told, but at the same time with an admirable literary finish. In them Xenophon follows the requirements of literary, if not of historical, proportion. No incidents are related at undue length; no events, which he chooses to bring into his narrative at all, are scamped. The speeches and dialogues so frequently introduced are all appropriate and suitable to the occasion. In passing from the earlier to the later books, we seem to pass from chaos to order.

Finally, internal evidence seems to point to the earlier and later books of the Hellenics having been written at very different dates ${ }^{4}$. For in i. ii., with one exception, there are no allusions

[^3]to any event happening later than 403 B. C., though in several passages such allusions might have been aptly introduced ${ }^{1}$. The exception is the last words of ii., where Xenophon speaks of the fidelity of the Athenian democrats to their oath of amnesty as continuing ètı kaì vîv. Here Niebuhr long ago pointed out that such praise can only mean that certain definite persons, who had been guilty of certain definite acts during the usurpation of the Thirty, had never down to that time been prosecuted or in any way attacked. Therefore the interval between the Amnesty and the time when these words were written cannot have been very long, not more than ten or fifteen years at most. Now Xenophon returned to Greece after his Asiatic expedition with Cyrus in 394 b.c. He must, therefore, have finished bk. ii. shortly after that date. But the last five books he must have written much later; for in vi. 4.37 , when recounting the events of 371,370 B.C., he alludes to the death of Alexander of Pherae in 357 B. C., and in bk. vii. he ends his history with the battle of Mantinea in 362 b.c.

So far, therefore, it may be concluded that bks. i. and ii. were composed at a time, on a system, and with an object, quite different from bks. iii.-vii.

But, as already mentioned, the difficulties in bks. i., ii. do not end here : there still remain to be considered the strange omissions, the unequal and disproportionate treatment of events of very varying importance, and the numerous points of obscurity which disfigure these two books.

To begin with the omissions, which occur not only in the interval between the point where Thucydides ends and the Hellenics fall into three parts, the first extending from the beginining to ii. 3. 10, the second from ii. 3. II to v. 1. 36, and the third from v. 2 to the end. The first and third parts exhibit, he thinks, a more consistent use of the purely Attic dialect, while the second part is fall of Ionisms. He therefore conjectures that the first part was written just after Xenophon's return from the expedition of the Ten Thousand, c. 400 b.c., that the second part was first composed immediately after the peace of Antalcidas, 387 B.C., but was re-edited (a hypothesis which he is obliged to make to account for the somewhat indiscriminate use of Attic and Ionic forms) at the same time that the third part was written, i.e. subsequent to 362 b.c.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. ii. 2.19 with iii. 5.8 and vi. 5.55 ; ii. 4.30 with iii. 5.5 , etc.

Xenophon begins, but frequently throughout the first two books. Xenophon does not mention ${ }^{1}$ the Spartan offers of peace to the Athenians after the battle of Cyzicus, which, Diodorus says, were rejected through the influence of the demagogue Cleophon. The recovery of Pylos ${ }^{2}$ by the Lacedaemonians, which the Athenians had held ever since 425 b.C., Xenophon represents as the mere expulsion of some runaway Helots; and he does not say a word about the unsuccessful expedition which the Athenians sent under Anytus to relieve their garrison in the place; nor about the recovery by the Megarians of their port of Nisaea at this same time, which had been in the possession of the Athenians since 424 ; nor yet about the battle, which shortly ensued, when the Athenians defeated the Megarians with great slaughter. Again, nothing is said about Alcibiades' plundering expedition against Cyme, though according to Diodorus ${ }^{3}$ it was one of the chief causes of the complaints against him, which brought about his downfall. Similarly ${ }^{4}$, Xenophon does not tell how in the year of his admiralty Lysander organized the oligarchical clubs in Asiatic Greece and the Aegean, which, after the battle of Aegospotami, did such good service to the Lacedaemonian cause. In bk. ii. ${ }^{5}$ Xenophon omits the selection of an oligarchical committee of Five at Athens, after the surrender of the city, who were called Ephors out of compliment to Sparta, and the struggle of some months between the oligarchs and democrats before the appointment of the Thirty, wherein, as a preliminary step, many of the generals, taxiarchs, and other important persons belonging to the democratic party were arrested. He omits, too, the third visit ${ }^{6}$ of Lysander to Athens in the autumn of 404 B.c., during which the Thirty were appointed, and also Lysander's further exploits in the Aegean in the next six months; and, stranger still, he omits to notice
${ }^{1}$ Cf. i. 1. 23 with Diod. xiii. 52, Nepos Alc. 5. The Scholiast on Arist. Frogs 1580 , speaks of a second similar application after Arginusae, which, if authentic, is also omitted by Xenophon.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. i. 2. 18 with Diod. xiii. 64, 65.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. i. 5. 15 with Diod. xiii. 73.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. i. 6. 4, ii. 2.5 with Diod. xiii. 70, 104, 14. 10, and Plut. Lys. 5.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. ii. 3.2 with Lysias xii. 43 .
${ }^{-}$Cf. ii. 3.2 with Lysias xiij. 15 .

Alcibiades' murder ${ }^{1}$ and the share that Lysander had in bringing it about. So, too; the cruel decree ${ }^{2}$ of the Spartans, forbidding any Greek state to give shelter to the Athenian exiles, is passed over; and the ultimate fate ${ }^{3}$ of the Thirty themselves is dismissed in a single unintelligible phrase.

Obscurities due to the omission of some important link in the chain of events, or to excessive brevity, are even more numerous. It has been already shown how Xenophon presupposes a knowledge of Thucydides, and that even then more has to be supplied to fill up the interval of six weeks between the two narratives; but the same defects are noticeable throughout. For example, the newly-appointed generals coming from Syracuse are made to take over the fleet at Miletus, which Xenophon had represented as built and still in dock at Antandros ${ }^{4}$. Thrasyllus was sent to Athens to procure reinforcements for the Athenian armament in the Hellespont ${ }^{5}$; but when at last he is given them, he takes them, without a word of explanation, to Ionia. No reason is assigned for the Lacedaemonian ambassadors and Hermocrates attaching themselves to the Athenian ambassadors ${ }^{6}$, to whom Pharnabazus promised a safe conduct to the Persian king after the capture of Byzantium. These ambassadors at Gordium meet other Lacedaemonian ambassadors returning from the king ${ }^{7}$, who are introduced with the definite article, as if already mentioned. What finally became of Hermocrates, when he attempted to return to Syracuse, is never stated ${ }^{8}$. Callicratidas at an important crisis sent ships to Sparta to procure supplies ', but nothing more is heard of them. Though in 409 B.C. Chalcedon was not captured by the Athenians ${ }^{10}$, yet in 405 B.C. it appears in their possession. Theramenes ${ }^{11}$ in his defence against Critias refers, as to a well-known fact, to the banishment of Thrasybulus, Anytus, and Alcibiades, although not a word has previously been said about them. After the death of Theramenes the Thirty forbid all $\check{\epsilon} \xi \omega$ rov̂ kara入ójov to enter the city ${ }^{12}$; but. it nowhere appears that they had been previously

[^4]driven out. Finally the Ten in the Piraeus are introduced with the definite article, although never before mentioned ${ }^{1}$.

Still more unaccountable is the curious inequality and disproportionate length with which many episodes are treated. Personal details, as any one acquainted with the other writings of Xenophon might expect, are often given with considerable fulness, like the negotiations between Lysander and Cyrus ${ }^{2}$, the stratagem whereby Conon contrived to send to Athens news of his blockade in Mytilene ${ }^{3}$, the measures adopted by Eteonicus to quell the mutiny of his troops at Chios ${ }^{4}$, the execution of Theramenes ${ }^{5}$, and the device of the oligarchical engineer to hinder the advance of Thrasybulus' siege engines ${ }^{6}$. On the other hand, many important events are dismissed in a few words, e.g. the expulsion of the Philo-Laconian party from Thasos and the consequent exile of Pasippidas ${ }^{7}$; the capture of Selybria ${ }^{8}$; the joint attack of Thrasybulus and Alcibiades upon Phocaea ${ }^{9}$; the capture of Delphinium by the Spartans ${ }^{10}$; the accusation of
 which the demagogue Cleophon was slain; the revolt of the Athenian allies and.the institution of Harmosts and Decarchies after the battle of Aegospotami ${ }^{12}$; the'amnesty of Patroclides ${ }^{13}$, by which he attempted to unite all parties at Athens to sustain the coming siege ; the opposition offered to Theramenes' proposals for peace with Sparta and the ultimate surrender of the city ${ }^{14}$; the appointment of the Thirty at Athens ${ }^{15}$; the return of Thrasybulus and the democratic exiles, and their reorganization of the constitution ${ }^{16}$; and lastly, the annihilation of the Thirty at Eleusis, and the final amnesty of Thrasybulus ${ }^{17}$. There is the same want of proportion in the speeches reported in these two books. While the speech of Alcibiades to his fleet before the battle of Cyzicus is dismissed in three lines ${ }^{18}$, the speeches of Callicratidas to the discontented Lacedaemonians and to the Milesian assembly are given at some length ${ }^{19}$. Similarly, only the bare subject of Alcibiades' speeches before the Athenian

senate and assembly on his return from exile is indicated ${ }^{1}$; whereas the speeches of Euryptolemus in defence of the generals ${ }^{2}$, and of Critias and Theramenes ${ }^{3}$, delivered on occassions of certainly no greater importance, are reported at an extraordinary length-greater, indeed, than any in the five later books.

To account for these defects various theories, more or less plausible, but none very satisfactory, have been started. Some of them may be at once dismissed. Thus there is no evidence, either internal or external, to show that for these two books Xenophon used the materials already collected by Thucydides for the completion of his work. Such a hypothesis furnishes no explanation whatever why some events should have been put in and others left out, why some parts should have been elaborated and others not, especially as in the more elaborated portions, e.g. the long speeches of Euryptolemus, Critias, and Theramenes, there are no traces of Thucydides' peculiarities of style. Still less defensible is the theory that in their present shape bks. i., ii. are an epitome of a larger work of Xenophon's own. 'For the characteristics of an epitome are to leave out unimportant details altogether, and to give a summary of the whole, laying most emphasis on the events of most importance. But in these books the case is frequently reversed.

More worthy of examination is Siever's ${ }^{4}$ theory, that these defects of omission and commission are due to Xenophon's partiality for Sparta and her constitution. In support of his view, he addaces the omission of the humiliating offers of peace through the mouthpiece of the ephor Endius after the defeat of Cyzicus; of the crushing defeat of the Megarians just after they had succeeded in recovering their port at Nisaea; of Agis' unsuccessful sally from Decelea right up to the walls of Athens; of Lysanders organization of the oligarchical clubs among the Asiatic Greeks; of Lysander's cruel conduct towards the Milesians ${ }^{5}$; of the violent measures whereby Lysander set up the Thirty at Athens; of the part which Lysander played in procuring the assassination of Alcibiades-to which might be added the defective account Xenophon gives of the recovery of Pylos by the Lacedaemonians,
${ }^{1}$ i. 4. 20.
${ }^{2}$ i. 7. 16-33.
${ }^{3}$ ii. 3. 24-49.
${ }^{4}$ Comment. Inst. de Xen. Hell.
${ }^{5}$ Diod. xiii. 104.
and the mean motive that he attributes to king Pausanias for checkmating Lysander's design of extermination against the Athenian exiles under Thrasybulus ${ }^{1}$. But an almost equal number of omissions may be collected of incidents favourable to Sparta, and of insertions of things damaging to her reputation. Thus Xenophon never has a word of praise for Lysander, although he was the victor at Aegospotami, and the main cause of the downfall of Athens; and he evidently has a much greater admiration not only for the unsuccessful Callicratidas, between whose unselfish and patriotic behaviour and Lysander's meanness and ambition a tacit contrast is manifestly implied ; but even for the hesitating Pausanias, who throughout showed himself at heart the consistent friend of Athens. Again, Xenophon omits the recapture of Nisaea by the Megarians, and the capture of Chios, Iasos, and Sestos bythe Peloponnesians ${ }^{2}$-all Lacedaemonian successes most damaging to Athens. On the other hand, he relates in full the disastrous defeats of the Peloponnesians at Abydos, Cyzicus, and Arginusae, and even gives verbatim Hippocrates' pitiable letter to the Spartan government. Neither does * he gloze over the appointment of the ruffian Callibius to be harmost at Athens, or the enormities committed by the Thirty under his sanction and under the protection of the Spartan garrison. Moreover, to take the converse of the theory, it seems to be just as much a matter of accident what incidents Xenophon happens to insert or omit favourable or damaging to the reputation of Athens. Thus, on the one side he does not relate the nefarious intrigues of the oligarchical party after the battle of Aegospotami, their appointment of the Five Ephors, their arrest under various pretences of the leading democrats, and their base invitation of Lysander to. help them in suppressing the democracy. But on the other side, though he does indeed relate how conscience-stricken the Athenians felt after the battle of Aegospotami, fearing that now they themselves would suffer the fate that they had inflicted on defenceless people like the Melians, yet he omits Cleophon's opposition to Endius' offer of peace after the battles of Cyzicus and Arginusae, and his still more foolish opposition to the comparatively mild conditions first offered by Sparta during the siege of Athens. Neither does he

${ }^{2}$ Diod. xiii. 65, 104, 106.
record the failure of Anytus' expedition to relieve Pylos, and the subsequent trial of that demagogue, when he only escaped condemnation by bribing his judges, this being, as Diodorus ${ }^{1}$ tells us, the first known instance of corruption in an Athenian law court.

It would seem, therefore, that Siever's theory is equally untenable with the others, and that Niebuhr's criticism is quite justified, that, however Philo-Laconian Xenophon may show himself in the five later books, his narrative in bks. i ., ii. is quite impartial.

Later critics ${ }^{2}$ have tried to discover traces of personal prejudice. Enough has already been said about Lysander, Callicratidas, and Pausanias, to dispose of the charge in their case ; but Xenophon's picture of Alcibiades deserves more notice. It is true that he passes over some of Alcibiades' most splendid exploits in a word or two, like his capture of Selybria ${ }^{3}$, his escort of the Eleusinian procession by land, and his successful speeches in defence before the Athenian Senate and the Assembly. But, on the other hand, he passes still more briefly over his failure at Andros, his marauding expeditions from Samos in the winter of 408-407, for which the first complaints were brought against him at Athens, and his final disgrace and loss of his generalship; and he omits altogether the scandalous tales which Plutarch and other authorities delight to retail against him. Moreover, he even enlarges on the favourable feelings with which far the larger section of the Athenian people welcomed him on his return, while he dismisses the murmurs of his enemies against him in a few scarcely intelligible lines; and he dwells at some length on the patriotic advice which Alcibiades gave the careless Athenian generals just before the battle of Aegospotami, suppressing the fact, which Diodorus ${ }^{4}$ relates, that the would-be' patriot. was at the same time actuated by motives of private interest. The defects, therefore, in his picture of Alcibiades are neither more nor less than those to be found in his sketches of other persons, like Hermocrates, Callicratidas, and Conon, with whom he was certainly more in sympathy.

So far then the above examination has brought us only to
${ }^{1}$ xiii. 64.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Plut. Alc. 30.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Hertzberg, Alcibiades.
${ }^{4}$ xiii. 105. all the difficulties with which bks. i., ii. abound ; and, indeed, that many of them admit of no explanation whatever. In some cases we can perhaps see that Xenophon's fondness for personal anecdote or interest in the art of war has led him to narrate little incidents, in themselves of no importance, at disproportionate length, but personal feelings either one way or the other do not help us to account for his omissions. Perhaps the most obvious theory to explain them is to regard them as due to want of personal knowledge; but even on this theory we can see no particular reason why Xenophon's treatment of events should be so uneven. For events occurring almost simultaneously at Athens, on the Hellespont, in Ionia, and elsewhere are related in one place with unaccountable fulness, in another with unaccountable brevity; and no known incidents of his life at all explain the difference.

Everything, therefore, would seem to point to Breitenbach's conclusion, hesitating though it is, being the true one, that bks. i., ii. are an attempt to complete the work of Thucydides, on the model of which they are manifestly planned, but are themselves for some reasons, which there is not evidence enough fully to explain, left unfinished ; and that this want of finish is apparent both in the defective compilation of the materials, in which so much has been shown to be wanting ; in the unequal treatment of the several parts, some of which seem to be only provisionally introduced; and in the obvious imperfection of the chronological arrangement, which falls so far short of Thucydides' system.

None the less it remains true, that Xenophon is far the best and most reliable authority for the last six and a-half years of the Peloponnesian War, and for the following usurpation of the Thirty. The orators Andocides, Isocrates, and Lysias, and the historians Diodorus and Plutarch, when they deal with the same events, can be used only to supplement, not to correct, Xenophon's narrative. Andocides and Isocrates indeed agree with Xenophon in all essentials, except that the latter always tends to exalt the character of Alcibiades, while Lysias was too violent a partisan of the democracy, and too much implicated in the events of the time for his authority to be preferred above that of Xenophon-e.g. in the picture he draws of Theramenes.

Diodorus seems to have followed Theopompus for his history of the years 411-404, and Ephorus for that of the years 404-403. Hence so long as he follows the former, who, having been exiled from Chios for his support of Sparta, was a violent oligarch and philo-Laconian, his narrative is intensely hostile to the Athenian democracy. Only in the bare facts is there any agreement between him and Xenophon, and even in these there are most extraordinary discrepancies, many of them owing to Diodorus' own carelessness in matters of chronology, which is so great that he often mixes up the events of different years ${ }^{1}$. At Athens Alcibiades and Theramenes are his heroes, and are painted in the brightest colours. Every incident teinding to glorify Sparta and the Spartan constitution is exaggerated. Every incident to the contrary is carefully softened down or altogether suppressed. Ephorus, on the other hand, was an impartial and truth-loving historian, and appears himself to have made considerable use of Xenophon's writings; and so with the change of the authority there is an evident change in the tone of Diodorus' narratives.

Plutarch, in his Lives of Alcibiades and Lysander, seems to have used both Ephorus and Theopompus, the former by preference. But his purpose being biographical, he aims rather to bring out the personal characteristics of his heroes by introducing striking stories and anecdotes than to give a consecutive account of a series of events. Still his two biographies are often useful for filling up some of the worst gaps in Xenophon's narrative: though wherever they differ in their accounts of the same events, Xenophon is always to be preferred.

If, therefore, it is in contrast with Thucydides' unequalled history of the first twenty and a-half years of the Peloponnesian War, that Xenophon's account of its conclusion in Hellenics i., ii. appears so meagre and unsatisfactory, it is in contrast with Diodorus and Plutarch that we are led to appreciate his merits. The later historian cares for history not for its own sake, but as an 'opus oratorium' in which he can display his own surprising talents. The biographer, however charming and artistic the result, evidently uses the facts of history only 'to point a moral and adorn a tale.' Xenophon alone by his simple and unvar-

[^5]nished style, by his evident impartiality and love of truth, even too by the very defects, which, however they may mar and disfigure his narrative, yet by their wholly fortuitous and unaccountable occurrence, tend rather to confirm its truthleaves upon the mind of his reader the impression that his history, so far as it goes, is a history of facts.
§ 2. Xenophon's Chronology.
Correct Chronology.

| B.c. | Olym. | Year of <br> War. | Archon. | Ephor. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 411 | 92.2 | 21st | Theopompus | Misgolaidas |
| 410 | 92.3 | 22nd | Glaucippus | Isias |
| 409 | 92.4 | 23rd | Diocles | Aracus |
| 408 | 93.1 | 24th | Euctemon | Evarchippus |
| 407 | 93.2 | 25th | Antigenes | Pantacles |
| 406 | 93.3 | 26th | Callias | Pityas |
| 405 | 93.4 | 27th | Alexias | Archytas |
| 404 | 94.1 | 28th | Pythodorus | Endius |

Chronology of the Hellenica.

| B.c. | Olym. | Year of <br> War. | Archon. | Ephor. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 411 |  |  |  |  |
| 410 | 93 |  | Euctemon | Evarchippus |
| 409 |  | 23rd | Antigenes | Pantacles |
| 408 |  |  |  | . |
| 407 |  |  |  |  |
| 406 |  | 25th | Callias <br> 405 |  |
| 404 |  | 26th | Alexias <br> Pythodorus | Archytas <br> Endius |

B 2

In the first two books of the Hellenica we find that not only is the beginning of each year of the war marked by
 eapos ${ }^{1}$, (where Xenophon is evidently following the system of Thucydides ${ }^{2}$ ), but in several passages additional accuracy is apparently given by the mention of Olympiads, the year of the war, or the names of the eponymous archons and ephors, and also by the notice of contemporary events in Persia and Sicily ${ }^{3}$. But by all recent commentators such passages have been suspected, and in most cases with justice, to be interpolations.
It is true that both Herodotus and Thucydides occasionally give the names of Olympic victors: but Herodotus sometimes simply styles a man 'Oג $\nu \mu \pi \iota o \nu i k \eta s^{4}$ as a general mark of distinction without specifying any particular victory, and sometimes relates the victories, whether in the chariot race ${ }^{5}$, pentathlum ${ }^{6}$, or pancratium ${ }^{7}$, as incidents in the lives of eminent men like Miltiades the Athenian or Demaratus the Spartan; but in no case does he use an Olympiad to fix a date. Thucydides once ${ }^{8}$ like Herodotus uses the epithet ' $0 \lambda \nu \mu \pi t o v i k \eta s$ as the mark of a distinguished man : twice ${ }^{9}$ however he gives the name of the victor to help fix the date, in the first instance-not specifying the contest, in the second taking the name of the victor in the pancratium; but in both instances the celebration of the Olympic festival has an intimate connection with his general history of the war, and is not introduced merely as a chronological datum. Moreover, Polybius ${ }^{10}$ expressly states that Timaeus, the Sicilian historian, (flor. 264 B.C.) was the first to use the Olympiad as a chronological era, for which, however, the list of the victors in the less famous stadium or foot-race was chosen. Nevertheless, in i. 2. I the 23rd year of the war is called the 93rd Olympiad (really it was the third year of the 92nd) : and in ii. 3. I the Olympiad is denoted by the name of the victor in the stadium.

[^6]Again, Herodotus ${ }^{1}$ once mentions the name of the eponymous archon at Athens to fix the date of one particular event, the invasion of Attica by the Persians; and Thucydides ${ }^{2}$ sometimes gives the archon, ephor, and even the priestess of the Argive Hera, to mark some unusual occurrence; but neither of them ever use these official lists to distinguish successive years. Further, in two passages the names given in the text of the Hellenica are incorrect: for in ii. 3. 9, 10, a continuous list of twenty-nine ephors appears (probably inserted by a later hand), in which Pantacles immediately precedes Pityas, whereas Xenophon makes two whole years, if not three, elapse between i. 3. I and i.6. I, where Pantacles and Pityas are respectively mentioned, to mark the beginning of the years ${ }^{3}$; and exactly the same mistake is made with the archons. Evidently therefore the interpolator must have had before him complete lists of the . ephors and archons, must have known the right names for the year 404, and then reckoned backwards from that year, but unfortunately overlooked the beginning of a new year in i. 4. 2, where no magistrates are mentioned, and also of the year the beginning of which Xenophon has omitted to mark at all. Of this however more below.

In three passages ${ }^{4}$ the numbers of the years of the Peloponnesian War occur. In the first the number 22 is right, and evidently depends on a comparison with Thuc. viii. 60, 109. The interpolator here, however, noticed the à $\rho \chi о \mu$ évov rov̂ éapos of i. 4. 2, though in that passage he did not insert the year of the war; so that, when Xenophon again resumes in i. 6. I with a
 now over. Really, however, the Peloponnesian War had continued for twenty-five years; and the interpolator was betrayed into this mistake, because Xenophon narrates the events of one year (407-406) ${ }^{5}$ without marking its beginning at all. So again in the third passage, instead of twenty-five years, it should be twenty-six. Thus the interpolator makes the war down to the surrender of Athens in the spring of 404 last only twenty-six

| ${ }^{1}$ viii. 51. | ${ }^{2}$ ii. 1 ; v. 19. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3}$ Cf. i. 4.12. | (i. 3. 1; 6. 1 ; ii. 1.7. |
| ${ }^{5}$ Cf. note on i. 5. II |  |

years, whereas Thucydides ${ }^{1}$ distinctly states that it lasted almost exactly twenty-seven years, April 43I to April $404{ }^{3}$.

As for the notices of Persian and Sicilian history, though it is certain that the chronology of the Hellenica does not at all agree with that in Diodorus Siculus, yet, as that author in well-known cases is so exceedingly inexact in his dates, this disagreement does not amount to much of an argument against them. The passages on Sicilian history, however, seem to be inconsistent with each other. For in i. I. 37 Hannibal is said in 411 b.c. to have captured the cities of Selinus, and Himera; whereas in i. 2. 8, 10, 12 some Selinuntine ships are still found in 410 in the Aegean, acting as Peloponnesian allies, although Diodorus specially states ${ }^{3}$ that they were recalled to Sicily before the capture of the city. Again, the capture of Acragas is related twice over, once as happening in the year 407, and the second time as happening in 405. Diodorus puts it in the year 406. There is a similar inconsistency in one of the two references to Persian history. For in ii. 1. 8, 9 an event is assigned to the year 406, which it appears from Diodorus coald only have happened in the year 405. The other reference, i. 2. 19, states a mere fact, which there is no means of confirming or denying. Inaccuracies of this kind, however, in a work left in so unfinished a state as bks. i. ii. of the Hellenica, hardly constitute a sufficient reason for bracketing them as spurious, especially when it is remembered that it was the custom of Thucydides, on whose system these books are evidently modelled, to insert at the end of each six months of his narrative such events as owing e.g. to their occurring in a different scene of the war, he could not weave into the main thread of his history.
We are left, therefore, for our genuine chronological data,
 mark the beginnings of the successive years of the war, supplemented occasionally by additional marks of time like $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o \mu e ́ v o u$
 difficulty. For Xenophon continues Thucydides from the point where he breaks off in his narrative of the 21st year of the war

[^7]in 411 b. C. Since the war ended in May 404, there ought to be seven such notices of the beginning of a new year : as a matter of fact, Xenophon gives only six ; somewhere or other therefore he has left one out, and the question arises, at what point?

Dodwell, Schneider, and Weiske would begin a new year at i. I. II. But the events that Xenophon narrates between §§ iI and 37 are all closely connected with each other, as well as by
 кalpò̀ roûtov), and cannot well be spaced over a whole year. Herbst and others put the beginning of 407 B.c. at i. 4.8 , but in that case it is hard to discover what were the movements of Alcibiades between the capture of Byzantium in 409 B. c. (cf. i. 3. 21) and his arrival at Samos (i. 4.8) in 407, and how he could have ventured to return to Athens, if he had known of the intrigues between Cyrus and Lysander, which began in 408 B.c., and which they took such careful measures to conceal (i.4. 1-8).

It is better, therefore, with Breitenbach ${ }^{1}$, to suppose the omission to occur at i. 5. 11. For in i. 4. 21-23 Xenophon has brought down his narrative of Alcibiades' doings in the winter of $408-407$ to his operations round Samos as his headquarters, which may very well have lasted till March or April 407. And in i. 5. 1-10 he tells us how Lysander, with the assistance of Cyrus, was occupied in collecting and fitting out a new Peloponnesian fleet, for which the winter months would be specially appropriate. Then having brought down his narrative of the movements on either side to the same point of time, he resumes at § II his account of their mutual operations against each other, which would naturally show fresh activity at the beginning of spring.

No sooner has Xenophon concluded the history of the Peloponnesian War with the story of the surrender of Athens, than he abandons Thucydides' system of chronology altogether. He does indeed mark the beginning of the year 404-403 with a $\tau \bar{\varphi}$
 the next year and a-half's events from the accidental mention of an eclipse (ii. 3.4), of the end of summer(ii. 3. 9), of snow (ii. 4.3), of the eight months' duration of the rule of the Thirty (ii. 3.21),

[^8]and of ripe fruit (ii. 4. 25). In fact, in this section of the book, Xenophon begins the practice, which he consistently follows throughout the rest of the Hellenica, of grouping events together, not according to the times at which they happened, but according to their causal connection.

## § 3. Internal History of Athens, 411-403 b.c.

After the expulsion of the Four Hundred in the spring of ${ }^{1}$ July 411 4II B.C. the Athenian constitution became a modiB.C. to June fied democracy, which Thucydides ${ }^{2}$ declares to

410 B.C. have been the best government that the Athenians ever enjoyed within his memory. The two leading features were the abolition of all paid offices of whatever kind, and the limitation of the full citizenship to such Athenians as could furnish themselves with arms at their own expense. It was thus the nearest approach that we read of in Greek history to Aristotle's ideal modıteia ${ }^{3}$, or model democracy, being based chiefly on the middle class, and combining in itself the best elements of oligarchy and democracy.

But though this constitution had already received ${ }^{4}$ the approval of Alcibiades, and the Assembly had actually passed a decree for his recall, the breach still remained open between the Athenians in the city and the Athenians on board the fleet. The latter could not have been less than 10,000 in number, and had just unmistakeably shown their democratical zeal in suppressing the simultaneous conspiracy of the oligarchical party in their midst ${ }^{5}$ : in fact, it was not until the return of Alcibiades three years later, in 408, that this breach was finally healed. Till then the city and the fleet were almost as much separated as two independent states; the city annually elected the usual number of ten generals; the fleet still retained at its head Alcibiades, Thrasybulus, Thrasyllus, and apparently the

[^9]other generals whom they had chosen at the time of the revolution ${ }^{1}$. Theramenes and Thrasyllus alone acted as a sort of go-betweens: for the former, being sent out by the city in 411 to try to prevent the Boeotians and Euboeans from building a dam across the Euripus, and failing in that object, finally joined Alcibiades at the Hellespont, and did not return to Athens till three years afterwards : while the latter, after the victory at Abydos in the ,autumn of 411 , was despatched to Athens for reinforcements.

When at last these two divisions of the Athenian people again united, the union was due on the one side to a return .at Athens, more or less complete, to the old extreme form of democracy, and on the other to the extraordinary successes of the vavtikos ${ }_{\gamma} \mathbf{\chi}$ 入os under the command of Alcibiades. It is these changes and their connection with each other that must now be traced.

At the same time that the Four Hundred had been deposed, Thucydides tells us ${ }^{2}$, a board of Nomothetae had been appointed with the object, if we may argue from the analogous appointment after the suppression of the Thirty in $403^{3}$, of adapting the old Solonian laws to the new constitution. Four months were assigned them for their work.' But it would appear that nothing was really done: for six years afterwards Nicomachus, one of their number and called àvaypaфєùs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu^{4}$, had not yet sent in an account of his office, and c. 399 B.c. he was accused of having taken bribes to illegally alter and otherwise tamper with the special laws assigned to him for revision ${ }^{6}$. Thus the new constitution was never really put upon a permanent legal footing, and party feeling, which had shown itself in the condemnation of the most extreme of the oligarchical leaders, like Antiphon and Archeptolemus, was still too much excited to allow things to remain long as they were. In the early autumn of 411 B.C. ${ }^{6}$ the Athenians at home had been greatly encouraged by the news of their partial success at Cynossema. In the winter the general Thrasyllus, who had been one of the democratical leaders at Samos, had arrived at Athens with the

[^10]tidings of a fresh victory at Abydos, and with a request for July 410 reinforcements for the fleet. And in the spring B.C. to June of 410 b.C. Alcibiades totally annihilated the 409 B.C. Peloponnesian fleet under Mindarus at Cyzicus. The completeness of his victory was vividly confirmed by the despatch written by Hippocrates, Mindarus' secretary, to the Spartan government for immediate help, which was accidentally captured and brought to Athens.

Once more the democratical party became active and powerful. For when the Spartans ${ }^{1}$, in dismay at their defeat, sent Endius at the head of an embassy to offer as terms of peace, that both parties should accept the status quo, that the Peloponnesians would withdraw their garrison from Decelea, if the Athenians would withdraw theirs from Pylos, and that an exchange of prisoners should be arranged, the Assembly, notwithstanding the efforts of the émıeiкéquator, voted their rejection on the motion of the demagogue Cleophon. 'The Athenians,' says Diodorus, 'excited by their recent good fortune, thought that with their forces under the leadership of Alcibiades they would soon recover their old supremacy.'

Nor were their hopes entirely unfounded; for the victory at Cyzicus meant much more than the annihilation of the Peloponnesian fleet. It meant relief from the financial distress, which had prevailed at Athens ever since the Sicilian disaster, and more especially since the loss of Euboea. For immediately after the battle Alcibiades set up a custom house on the Bosporus to exact toll from all passing ships; once again some of the Hellespontine and Thracian cities began to pay tribute; and once again the corn ships sailed as of old into Piraeus ${ }^{2}$. Money had been the basis of the Periclean democracy, and now money opened the way for a return to it. The fleet at the Hellespont maintained itself by marauding expeditions, and cost the home government nothing. Thus the President of the Treasury for the year was able to devote as much as twenty-three talents towards the expenses of certain sacrifices and festivals and of the $\delta \omega \omega \beta \in \lambda i a^{3}$, or Theoric fund, which was distributed among the poorer citizens to enable them to attend the theatre and shows, and which seems to have been the first of the

[^11]distributions of public money to be restored. As the revenue continued to improve, the old system of payment for all offices little by little came once more into force, though the several dates of its reinstitution cannot be ascertained. Aristophanes in the Frogs ${ }^{1}$, which was exhibited in 405 b.C., complains of the huge sums swallowed up by the dıкaбtıòs $_{\mu}^{\mu} \sigma \theta$ ós, showing that by that time the change was complete.

There is equal difficulty in tracing the steps, whereby the restrictions on citizenship imposed after the dissolution of the Four Hundred, were gradually removed. Payment for public services evidently meant the readmission of the poorer citizens to the full discharge of all civic duties. But the means whereby this was brought about are unknown. All we can say is that Xenophon describes the Athenians as meeting for the trial of the generals after Arginusae in 406 b.C., mávzas karà фu入ás, as if by that time none were excluded from the Assembly.

One constitutional change can however be referred with certainty to this year on the authority of the historian Philochorus ${ }^{2}$. The Senators, like the dicasts, henceforward were to sit in ten divisions denoted by the first ten letters of the alphabet. The reason of this measure is not stated, but in all probability it was directed against the members of the oligarchical clubs ${ }^{3}$, who were wont to sit together, and thus by their united action to acquire an influence totally disproportionate to their numbers ${ }^{4}$. Gilbert ${ }^{5}$ has ingeniously conjectured, that these democratical changes were carried through by a board of $\sigma v y$ yoapeis, on the ground that Demophantus, the proposer of a decree of which more will be said hereafter, $\sigma v \nu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \rho a \psi \in \nu$, and not, as usual, einev; and that an inscription ${ }^{6}$ of this year mentions $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi e i s$, though it does not explain the nature of their office; and further that on the analogy of Thucydides (viii. 67), when Pisander proposed to appoint ten $\xi^{2} \gamma y \rho a \phi$ iis aùroкрátopes and of Xenophon (Hell. ii. 3. 2), when the Thirty were chosen, oî roùs $\pi a t p i o u s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o u s ~$

[^12]${ }^{3}$ Cp. Thuc. viii. 66, esp. vi. 13.
${ }^{4}$ A practice set on foot by Thucydides, son of Melesias; see Plut. Pericles c. II.
${ }^{5}$ Beitr. z. Inn. Gesch. Athens, p. 341 sqq.

- C. I. A. i. 58. .
ouryoáqovot, it may very well be argúed that they were extraordinary magistrates chosen to draw up a new constitution, and this time in a democratical direction.

Two documents of this year illustrate still farther the growing power of the democrats: The first is the above-mentioned Psephism of Demophantus, preserved in Andocides' speech on the Mysteries ${ }^{1}$, which decreed that all Athenians, both in the city and in the fleet, should swear to hold as a public enemy, who might lawfully be put to death, any one who should attempt to overthrow the democracy, or who should hold any. office after the democracy had been overthrown. This oath was to be taken just before the Great Dionysia in the spring of 409 B. C. and finds its parallel in the oath sworn to by the Athenian democrats at Samos in 4 II b.c. ${ }^{9}$ The second is a psephism proposed by Erasinides ${ }^{3}$, who was afterwards one of the generals at the battle of Arginusae, that Thrasybulus ${ }^{4}$, one of the assassins of the oligarch Phrynichus, should be rewarded with a golden crown and the gift of citizenship, and that a proclamation to that effect should be made at the same Dionysia. Moreover at the same time the prosecutions against those implicated in the conspiracy of the Four Hundred, which had been suffered to lapse after the punishment of the worst offenders, seem to have been revived, and to have gone on with more or less vigour until the Amnesty of Patroclides in 405 B.c. Not only were individuals attacked, but whole classes, like the soldiers who had supported the Four Hundred, were punished with partial disfranchisement ${ }^{5}$, so that e.g. it was made unlawful for them to speak in the Assembly, or to become members of the Senate. Lysias ${ }^{6}$ says, that it was these prosecutions that ruined the democracy; for that numerous syciophants accused innocent persons for the sake of their wealth, while they left the guilty untouched, if only they were sufficiently bribed.

Meanwhile Alcibiades had pursued a career of unbroken

[^13]success on the Hellespont and Bosporus. In the summer of 410 he had been joined by Thrasyllus at the Hellespont with a considerable reinforcement from Athens, and the two generals combined to defeat the satrap Pharnabazus, first at Abydos, and then at Chalcedon, after which he was reduced to come to terms and to submit to see Chalcedon once more pay tribute to Athens. These successes were July 409 quickly followed by the capture of Selybria and B.C. to June Byzantium. On the other side the Athenians 408 B.C. had indeed to set the loss of Pylos in Messenia, and of Nisaea, the port of Megara-losses serious in themselves, but with little effect upon the revenue. Anytus, the demagogue, who had been despatched to relieve the former place was, on his return, accused of treachery, and only escaped, it was said, by bribing his judges ${ }^{1}$. In the autumn of 409 B.C., therefore, Athens had regained the whole of the Thracian and Hellespontine provinces of her old empire, besides many of the islands in the northern Aegean. The Peloponnesian fleet had been annihilated. Pharnabazus, who had so long and so ably supported the Spartan cause, had been forced to consent to an armistice, and to promise a safe escort for an Athenian embassy to the Persian King. And all this had been mainly due to the courage and capacity of a single man, and him an exile; for Alcibiades had never availed himself of the permission to return home granted him in 411 B.c. ${ }^{2}$ So far indeed he had acted almost as a sovereign prince. Much still however remained to be done : Euboea and most of Ionia were still in open revolt. Before attempting their reconquest, Alcibiades seems now to have felt that a return to Athens was necessary for him, if ever there was to be a complete reunion between the city and the army. In the spring of 408, therefore, he sailed with all his ships to Samos: thence he despatched his friends and colleagues Thrasyllus and Theramenes to Piraeus with all the spoils of war and captured vessels to prepare the way for his reception, while he himself sailed with the remainder of the fleet to Caria, to collect still more money. The Athenians. at home were by this time just as ready on their side to welcome him, and even before the arrival of Thrasyllus and Theramenes

[^14]had chosen among the generals for the following year, Alcibiades, Thrasybulus, and Conon. The news of his election reached Alcibiades at Gythium in Laconia, whither he had sailed from Caria, and he at once set out for Piraeus, which he entered on the day of the Plynteria (June), when the shrine of the goddess Athena was covered with a veil. Arrived in the harbour, even now he hesitated to land, so suspicious was he of the real feelings of the people towards him; and it was not until he had seen Euryptolemus and other relatives among the crowd that had assembled to meet him, that he ventured to set foot on shore. Then his partizans closed round him so as to form a sort of body-guard, and escorted him in their midst in triumphal procession from Piraeus to Athens.

In the city opinion was still much divided ${ }^{1}$ : some said, that he had been the victim of the intrigues of his enemies, who had plotted against him and procured his exile in order to make room for their own ambitious schemes; others maintained that he had been the real cause of all the Athenian misfortunes in the past, and would be just as dangerous in the future.

But for the moment Alcibiades, with his marvellous personality, carried all before him. The effect of his speeches in his own defence before the senate and assembly ${ }^{2}$, was such that none dared raise a voice against him. It was at once decreed, that the column set up to record his condemnation in 415 b.c. should be cast into the sea, that his goods should be restored to him, and that the curse which the Eumolpidae had pronounced July 408 against him should be recalled. He himself was B.C. to June proclaimed $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o к \rho a ́ \tau \omega \rho ~ b y ~ s e a ~ a n d ~ l a n d, ~$ 407 B.C. as the only man able to restore Athens to her former power. The rich hoped to find in him a strong opponent to the ever-increasing encroachments of the extreme democrats. The poor saw in him a champion, who would relieve them of their poverty, and whom they would be glad to support, even though he should assume to himself the rights of sovereign power and make himself tyrant of Athens ${ }^{3}$. For the moment his enemies were silenced, although they continued, as events soon showed, as active as ever in their intrigues against him.

[^15]The demagogues seem to have been especially bitter, so completely were they overshadowed in the eyes of the people by their new rival for popular favour.

It was ominous too that Theodorus the high priest, in removing the old curse had said, that for his part he had never denounced any curse against him, if he had done no injury to the commonwealth: while many of the pious and superstitious noted with foreboding, that Alcibiades had landed on the Plynteria, the unluckiest day in the whole year.

Meanwhile Alcibiades was occupied in fitting out a new fleet of 100 vessels, with the money that he had himself brought into the treasury. He chose Adimantus and Aristocrates, apparently out of the already elected generals for the year, to be his colleagues in the command ${ }^{1}$. But before he sailed, hoping once for all to quiet the religious fears of the Athenians, as well as to assure them of his military prowess, he escorted with all his forces the annual procession along the Sacred Way to Eleusis, which ever since the fortification of Decelea by the Peloponnesians, had been obliged to go by sea, King Agis now venturing to offer no opposition.

At last in October all was ready for his departure, for which none were more eager than his enemies; some, according to Plutarch, because they feared that he would soon make himself tyrant ; others, we may certainly suppose, because they wanted a clear field left them in which to prosecute their own schemes.

But during the four months that Alcibiades had stayed in Athens, an entire change had come over the aspect of affairs in Asia. The able and energetic Lysander had succeeded : the incapable Cratesippidas as admiral of the Spartan fleet; and Cyrus, the younger son of King Darius, had taken the place of the vacillating Tissaphernes as Satrap of Sardis, and had announced his intention of supporting the Peloponnesians with money and by all means in his power, even persuading Pharnabazus, notwithstanding his solemn promises, to hinder the Athenian envoys from proceeding to the Persain coast ${ }^{2}$.

Events soon proved the wisdom of Alcibiades' enemies in hastening his departure. His attack on the little island of Andros was only partially successful. Arrived at Samos, he

[^16][^17]tried in vain to draw Lysander out of the harbour at Ephesus to a general engagement. His overtures to Cyrus through the mouthpiece of Tissaphernes were rejected with scorn. Money and supplies soon ran short, and he was obliged to scour the neighbouring coasts on marauding expeditions, making in his requisitions, it would seem, but little distinction between friend and foe. To crown all, his lieutenant Antiochus, in defiance of his strict orders, ventured on a general engagement during his absence at Phocaea, and suffered a.defeat at Notium ; and do what he could, Alcibiades could not induce Lysander to give him an opportunity for revenge. Disappointed in their unreasonable expectations of a speedy conquest of Chios and even of all Ionia, the Athenians both at home and on board the fleet were now as vehement in their denunciations of Alcibiades as but shortly before they had been in his praises. All complaints against his conduct were eagerly listened to; and when Thrasybulus, the son of Thrason, (not to be confused with the more famous Thrasybulus, the general), returned from the camp to Athens ${ }^{1}$, and accused him of maladministration, of treasonable intrigues with Persia and the Peloponnesians, and of building forts of his own, like a sovereign prince, in Thrace ${ }^{2}$, the people at once suspended Alcibiades from his command, ordered his colleague Conon to take his place, and in the annual elections, which happened to occur just at the same time, chose ten new generals, among whom Alcibiades was no longer one ${ }^{3}$. Alcibiades himself, finding that he was equally unpopular with his own troops, did not wait to hear the result of the accusations against him at home, but retired to his forts in the Thracian Chersonese.

At this juncture democrats of all shades seem to have com-
July 407 bined to support the constitution, if we may judge B.C. to June from the list of the new generals: for among 408 B.C. them Thrasyllus, Leon, and Diomedon had all taken a prominent part in the counter movement against
${ }^{1}$ Gilbert refers a statement of Himerius (ap. Phot. Bibl. 377) to this
 $\pi p o \delta o \sigma i a s$. Cobet refers it to the year 415 b.c.
${ }^{2}$ Diod. xiii. 73 ; Plat. Alc. 36.
${ }^{3}$ Plut. Lys. v; Nep. Alc. 7 ; Just. v. 5. 4 ; Lysias xiv. 38.
the oligatchs at Samos, in 411 b.c. ${ }^{1}$; Erasinides ${ }^{2}$ had proposed the decreee to crown the assassin of Phrynichus the oligarch ; Pericles was the son of the great Pericles and Aspasia; while Conon seems rather to have succeded Nicias in: the leadership of the more moderate party. The year was one of great financial distress, due to the difficulty of maintaining Conon's large fleet of 100 vessels, and to the Peloponnesians under the admiral Callicratidas once more taking the offensive by sea, and so cutting off the sources of Athenian revenue. To meet the deficiency all the gold in the Acropolis was coined into money ${ }^{3}$. Still, when the news reached Athens that Conon with all his fleet was blockaded at Mitylene, within thirty days the Athenians fitted out a fleet. of 110 vessels, making all the inhabitants of Attica, rich and poor, slave and free, serve alike on board. About July, 406, the two fleets met off the islands of Arginusae, and the Athenians under the command of eight of their ten generals gained a complete victory over the Peloponnesians, Cailicratidas himself perishing in the fight. In the ordinary course of things such a victory would have sufficed to insure for some time the political power of the successful generals. But unfortunately in the moment of victory the generals had neglected both to rescue the survivors clinging to the wrecks of the vessels, which had been disabled in the battle, and to bury the corpses of the dead. The news of this neglect excited great indignation among the Athenians at home. July 408 A summons was immediately issued for their B.C. to Junè recall. Thereupon two of their number retired $\mathbf{4 0 5}$ B.C. ${ }^{\cdot}$ into voluntary exile : the remaining six returned to Athens, were hastily condemned almost without any form of trial, and all alike executed.
Although there seems to be no evidence for supposing the condemnation of the generals to have been due to an oligarchical conspiracy, its effect, no doubt, was to produce chaos once more among the political parties at Athens. Little indeed can be inferred from the list of the new generals, as they must have been elected just before the battle of Arginusae, which was apparently fought in June, while the trial cannot have taken

[^18]place till November. The democrats at any rate still clung to their old war policy; and Cleophon was again successful in procuring the rejection of a peace, which the Lacedaemonians offered about this time on the same terms as before ${ }^{1}$-a fact which shows that the power of the demagogues was still as great as ever. Many, on the other hand, began to repent of their recent dismissal of Alcibiades, and even to advocate his immediate recall ${ }^{2}$. The financial distress was greater than ever, and to meet it an extraordinary board of magistrates, called Poristae ${ }^{3}$, seems to have been appointed to consider ways and means. The fleet at Samos, paralyzed by the proceedings taken against its late generals at Athens, never followed up the results of its victory, though now commanded by the skilful Conon; and was soon unable to support itself by marauding expeditions, being entirely occupied in watching the movements of the enemy's fleet. For the Peloponnesians, after their disaster, had procured from the Spartan government the restoration of Lysander to the command; and he in the spring of 405 had completely restored its efficiency, being backed up, as before, by Persian gold.

It was probably about this time that the Athenians began to repent of their harsh treatment of the generals, who had won for them the victory of Arginusae : for in the spring elections Theramenes, who had taken the leading part in the prosecution, was rejected on the doкıна⿱ia after he had been actually chosen general, because he did not seem to be evivous tبَ $\pi \lambda_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon t}{ }^{4}$; and at the same time complaints seem to have been brought before the assembly against those individuals, especially Callixenus, who had deceived the people in the trial. The assembly listened favourably to the complaints, and decreed that the persons accused should be bound over to stand their trial, but amid the internal confusions of the following year they all escaped. It is remarkable that Theramenes was not included in the accusation.
${ }^{1}$ Aristotle apud Schol. on Arist. Frogs 1532. Grote, viii. I, throws doubt on this embassy.
${ }^{2}$ Arist. Frogs 1500 seqq.
${ }^{3}$ Arist. Frogs. 1505, cf. Gilbert. Gesch. Athens, p. 387.
${ }^{4}$ Lysias xiii. 13 .

Probably just about the time that the newly elected generals entered upon their office, of whom only Strom- July 405 bichides, Dionysodorus, and Calliades are known B.C. to June by name, all three being stout democrats, the 404 B.C. tidings' of the annihilation of the fleet at Aegospotami must have reached Athens ${ }^{1}$. The citizens were at first stunned by the news, reflecting that at last the misery that they had inflicted on less powerful states, was about to return on their own heads ${ }^{2}$. But next day the assembly met and resolved to block up two of the three harbours at Piraeus, and to prepare the city for a siege. Really little could be done: for the Athenians had no fleet, and the corn supply was entirely cut off now that the Hellespont, as well as Euboea, was lost, and the Peloponnesians still harried the country from Decelea. Five months, however, passed before the appearance of the dreaded Peloponnesian fleet. Lysander was employed in the interval in receiving the submission of the Athenian allies, and in sending all the Athenian citizens and cleruchs, whom he captured, back to Athens in order to increase the number of mouths to be fed on the ever diminishing supply of corn. At last in November he appeared and blockaded Piraeus at the same time, that the two Spartan kings Agis and Pausanias advanced with the entire Peloponnesian forces close up to the city walls. Within the city, as a last despairing measure, the democrats carried a proposal made by Patroclides to grant an amnesty to all disfranchised citizens, more especially those who had suffered partial disfranchisement for the part they had taken in the Revolution of the Four Hundred ${ }^{\text {s }}$. The oligarchs, who saw that their day of power would soon and certainly come with the surrender of the city, seem at the time to have remained quiet. But the forces that the Athenians could muster, even with the citizens all thus united, were so obviously incapable of offering a successful resistance, that so early as December, when the corn supply had completely failed, envoys were sent to Agis with offers of peace on condition that the Athenians became allies of the
${ }^{1}$ Mommsen (Chronologie) dates it in the month Scirophorion (June to July).
${ }^{2}$ ii. 2. 3.
${ }^{2}$ Andoc. Myst. 873 seqq.

Lacedaemonians, and retained Piraeis and the Long Walls. Agis referred the envoys to the Ephors at Sparta, who alone, he said, had powers to conclude a treaty. But when they reached Sellasia on the Lacedaemonian frontier, the Ephors, on hearing the terms they had to offer, sent them back with an injunction not to return until the Athenians had come to a better decision. It appears however from the sequel of Xenophon's narrative ${ }^{1}$, that they were at the same time informed, that a peace might be concluded if the Athenians would consent to the demolition of ten stadia of their Long Walls. For, when on their return the envoys announced before the Senate the result of their mission, Archestratus was arrested for proposing submission to this condition, and at the same time Cleophon carried a decree in the Assembly forbidding any such proposal for the future on pain of death ${ }^{2}$.

At this crisis Theramenes came forward and promised, that if the people would send him to Lysander, he would at least procure certain information as to whether in requiring the demolition of the Long Walls the Lacedaemonians meant the utter enslavement of Athens, or only a guarantee of Athenian good faith. Theramenes was accordingly despatched, but instead of returning at once with the necessary information, he stayed with Lysander for more than three months, waiting for the moment when the Athenians would be compelled by famine to accept any terms whatsoever. In the fourth month he returned, saying that he had been detained by Lysander, who had at last advised him to apply to the Ephors, as they alone had power to conclude a peace. Meantime Cleophon had been put to death on a false charge of failure in his military duties ${ }^{8}$, brought against him by the oligarchical conspirators, who were once again secretly active : and now hunger silenced all further opposition. Theramenes therefore and nine others were chosen to go to Sparta as ambassadors with full powers. At Sellasia the ten new ambassadors were again stopped by the Ephors; but when they said that they were invested with full powers, they were invited to attend a conference of the Peloponnesian confederates at Sparta, which had been summoned to consider

[^19]the fate of Athens. Here the Thebans and Corinthians advocated the total extirpation of the Athenian name; but the Lacedaemonians, guided, it would appear ${ }^{1}$, rather by motives of self-interest than the patriotic. sentiments, which they openly professed, refused to allow a city, which had wrought so much for Greek freedom in the past, to be wiped out of the map of Greece, and decided to offer terms, far harder indeed than those offered four months earlier, but reasonable under the circumstances. The terms were to be that the Athenians should demolish their Long Walls and their arsenal at Piraeus, that they should resign all their foreign possessions, and confine themselves to their Attic territory, that they should readmit all their exiles, and become the allies of Sparta, recognizing the same friends and enemies and following her leadership by land and sea. The number of ships, which they were to be allowed to keep, was left to the discretion of Lysander ${ }^{2}$.

The day after their return to Athens, Theramenes, as spokesman of the ambassadors, recited the Lacedaemonian conditions, and proposed their acceptance. Even now a few, headed by Cleomenes, one of the younger demagogues, raised some opposition, but the prevailing distress was too great for any farther delay ${ }^{3}$. The peace was accepted, and on the 16th of Munychion (c. April) Lysander, coming from Samos, sailed into Piraeus along with many of the Athenian exiles. All the ships left in the dockyards were handed over to him, save twelve, which he permitted the Athenians to retain. Then his troops occupied the fortifications, and began the destruction of Piraeus and the Long Walls.

Thus ended the Peloponnesian War almost exactly twentyseven years after its first outbreak in April 43I b.c.

## § 4. The Trial of the Generals after Arginusae.

For this incident in Athenian history Xenophon, as being himself contemporary with the event, is undoubtedly the chief and the best authority. His account seems to be a simple and

[^20]${ }^{2}$ ii. 2. 20, Diod. xiii. 107. Plut. Lys. 14.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Lysias xiii. 13 .
impartial statement of the facts of the trial, and the points of obscurity are apparently due, not to any wilful perversions, but to omissions as to questions of fact and of law, which it is difficult to supplement from any other sources. Diodorus (flor. 15 B.c.) gives only a meagre narrative of the trial, based on Theopompus (flor. 333 B. C.), and evidently here, as in other passages, holds a brief in favour of Theramenes, though he condemns the treatment of the generals. Aristophanes' Frogs, exhibited in the year 405 B. C., shows us somewhat of the prevailing feeling at the time in Athens, and more especially as to the part played by Theramenes, which would seem to have incurred the general displeasure of the people. Plato (Apology, c. 32) and Xenophon (Memorabilia, i. 1. 18, iv. 4. 2) more fully describe the bold resistance offered by Socrates to the unconstitutional demands of the Assembly. It may therefore be gathered that the whole literary opinion of the day strongly disapproved the condemnation of the generals.

In his own narrative (i. 6) Xenophon gives a very brief summary of events. In the battle at Arginusae the Athenians, he tells us, lost twenty-five ships, crews and all, except a few survivors, who made their way to shore. The generals in command had ordered the trierarchs Theramenes and Thrasybulus and some of the taxiarchs, with forty-seven ships, to rescue those still clinging to the wrecks, while they themselves sailed to Mytilene against Eteonicus. But a great storm ${ }^{1}$ arose and prevented the rescue, and also, it would seem, their own passage across to Mytilene. Euryptolemus, however, in the course of his speech ${ }^{2}$ adds several details. Immediately
${ }^{1}$ Grote (vii. 430) points out that immediately after the battle the Peloponnesian despatch boat brought the news of Callicratidas' defeat to Eteonicus at Mytilene, apparently without any difficulty, although its course was N.W.; and that afterwards the storm was not sufficient to stop the same boat from sailing out of the harbour and in again once more, nor yet to prevent Eteonicus' fleet from sailing S.W. to Chios: in fact the wind was oúplos, which means not 'fair,' but 'favourable': though it is true that Conon at the same time thought it more prudent to wait till the wind was $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \iota a i ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ before he started in pursuit. Probably therefore it blew a strong gale from the N. or N.E. Cf. Theramenes' speech (ii. 3. 35) and Diodorus (xiii. 100).
${ }^{2}$ i. 7. 17, 29.
after the battle, he says, the Athenian fleet returned to the Arginusae islands, and there the generals held a council of war. Diomedon wished to rescue the survivors on the wrecks, Erasinides to sail at once against the enemy : but Thrasyllus pointed out that both objects might be effected by leaving forty-seven ships under the command of the trierarchs Theramenes and Thrasybulus, and of other subordinate officers, to look after the twelve disabled vessels [thirteen of them must therefore have sunk in the interval, cf. 6. 34], while they themselves sailed with the rest of the fleet against Eteonicus at Mytilene. They accordingly tried, he adds, to sail (§ 31 , ë $\bar{\pi} \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu$ ), but the storm prevented the rescue. Diodorus (xiii. 100) here makes an important addition : the sailors of the forty-seven ships refused to
 $\kappa \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, the general result being that the men clinging to the sinking skips were left to perish.

Afterwards the generals met together to draw up a despatch to the Athenian senate and people ${ }^{1}$. Six of them wished to mention in it the orders given to Theramenes and Thrasybulus; but Pericles and Diomedon out of kindness to the trierarchs persuaded their colleagues to omit it. Accordingly in the despatch they merely wrote that the storm had prevented all rescue ${ }^{2}$.

Xenophon ${ }^{3}$ goes on to relate that the Athenians at home, as soon as they heard the news, deprived all the generals of their command except Conon, and that they chose to be his colleagues Adimantus and Philocles. Diodorus states in addition that they summoned the generals home with all speed to stand their

[^21]trial. Thereupon of the eight that had fought at Arginusae two, Protomachus and Aristogenes, retired into voluntary exile: the other six returned to Athens. Among the latter Erasinides was accused immediately on his arrival before a סiкacrípıov pro-
 was at that time $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ r \eta s$ тov̂ $\mathbf{8} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu o v$, other charges being made at the same time against his generalship. The result of the trial was apparently that a fine was imposed upon him and imprisonment until he should pay it.

Afterwards the generals jointly made a statement before the Senate as to the battle and the severity of the storm, of which Xenophon unfortunately does not give the details. Possibly it may have been the same as the defence that they afterwards made before the Assembly, that they had indeed given orders to Theramenes and Thrasybulus to rescue the shipwrecked crews, but that the violence of the storm had rendered all rescue impossible. This hypothesis would account for Theramenes saying at the first meeting of the Assembly, that in their despatch they blamed no one but the storm, and some two years afterwards maintaining in his defence against the accusations of Critias ${ }^{1}$, that the generals had begun to accuse him first, so that he had acted, as indeed Critias had asserted, only in selfdefence. Such too seems to have been the prevailing opinion as to his conduct at the time, if we may believe Aristophanes ${ }^{2}$; and Diodorus' account leaves much the same impression. On the other hand it is almost as easy to suppose that Theramenes, being in extremis, devised this defence, which, such as it is, seems excessively lame, on the spur of the moment, and that Diodorus invented his account of the trial to justify this subsequent defence. But, however this may be, on Timocrates' proposal, the Senate resolved to arrest the generals, and bring them before the Assembly.

The question here arises, what particular form of judicial machinery was thus set in motion against the accused generals. It has been very generally assumed ${ }^{3}$, that the accusation was an eiбayyenia of the form which Harpocration (s. v.) defines to


[^22]

 was ( I ) for the Senate, if after the preliminary hearing the charge appeared to be beyond its own competence, to refer it either to a heliastic court or, if the charge were very extraordinary, to the Assembly. Then (2) the Assembly when it met might either itself consider, whether there was sufficient evidence against the accused for the charge to lie, or, if there could be no manner of doubt upon that point, simply to determine what should be the method of the trial. Now Xenophon's narrative does to a certain extent support this theory: for Euryptolemus in his speech (§33) entreats the people not to
 known that in cases of $\pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i a$ the eifayye入ia was the ordinary form of procedure. Again in § 4 Theramenes maintains at the first meeting of the Assembly, that the generals 8ıaciovs eivat $\lambda_{\text {ójov }}$ úrooxeiv: and in § $28^{1}$ Euryptolemus urges the people not to deprive the accused of all opportunity of legal defence : so that both passages might be taken to imply, that at its first meeting the Assembly merely gave the case the usual preliminary hearing. On the other hand it must be remembered, that neither Xenophon nor Diodorus speak of the charge as an cigarjedia, nor of the first meeting of the Assembly as summoned merely to consider the justifiability of the accusation; that all the precise information we possess about this particular kind of procedure is subsequent to the $\nu \delta \mu o s$ cioayye $\lambda \tau \iota \kappa \delta s_{s}$, the date of which is certainly not earlier than the archonship of Euclides 403 B. C. ; and that in this particular case the proceedings were irregular throughout.

There is indeed an obvious reason why Timocrates should have proposed in the Senate that the generals should be brought before the Assembly rather than before an ordinary Heliastic court : for Theramenes and his party would evidently expect to be able to work with much more effect upon the feelings of the Assembly, made up, as it was at this time, of the old, the young, and the infirm (the. large majority of the able-bodied

[^23]citizens being still on board the fleet), than upon the feelings of a comparatively select body of sworn dicasts.

Accordingly at the first meeting of the Assembly Theramenes and his followers appeared as the most prominent accusers of the generals, maintaining, that if any one was to blame for the death of the shipwrecked crews, it was the generals themselves, who in their despatch had simply mentioned the storm as the cause. In reply the generals made only a short defence, as the legal time for speaking was not allowed them, relating how they had given orders to Theramenes, Thrasybulus, and other capable men to rescue the crews, while they themselves were sailing against the enemy ; and repeating that really it was the storm which had prevented the rescue ${ }^{1}$. Their defence was supported by the evidence of the pilots and sailors of the fleet; and short as it was, produced such a favourable impression, that many came forward to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{go}$ bail for the generals, and.it was quite evident that, had a vote been taken, it would have been in their favour. It was, however, too late in the evening for a show of hands to be seen ; so that it was resolved that the case should be adjourned to a second meeting of the Assembly, and that meantime the Senate should prepare a $\pi \rho \circ \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \mu a$, as to the method by which the generals should be tried.

In the interval occurred the festival of the Apaturia, which the members of each family met to celebrate in common, and at which the youths, just come of age, were registered on the roll of their demes. This time many a place was seen to be vacant, and many a family appeared in mourning garb. Theramenes and his party, availing themselves of these natural feelings of grief to kindle great indignation against the generals, seem to have induced not only the kinsmen of the dead to attend the second meeting of the Assembly, but, at least according to Xenophon's narrative ${ }^{2}$, to have gone so far as to suborn men to appear among the crowd of real mourners, clad in black garments and with shaved heads, just as if they had been kinsmen.

At the same time too, they persuaded Callixenus to accuse the

[^24]generals in the Senate, which met according to the decision of the Assembly, and at his instigation drew up a most monstrous $\pi \rho о \beta$ oúdev $\mu a$, that since the accusation and defence had been already heard at the previous Assembly (which of course was not true), at the next meeting the people should vote at once by tribes, without any further hearing of the case, upon all the generals collectively; that the votes should be given openly (i.e. not, as usual, by secret ballot); and that if the generals were found guilty, they themselves should be put to death and their goods should be confiscated. Thus in defiance of all Athenian legal procedure and traditions no real trial was to be granted to the generals at all, the voters were to be intimidated, and sentence was to be passed upon all the accused collectively instead of separately.

Accordingly at the next Assembly, Callixenus brought forward this $\pi \rho o \beta o u ̈ \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a$ : and the general excitement thus produced was still further heightened by the declaration of a sailor, who had saved his own life by clinging to a meal-tub, that his drowning companions had bidden him, if he should escape, tell the people that the generals had left the most patriotic of the citizens to perish.

Hereupon Euryptolemus, who was cousin to Pericles, one of the accused generals, together with some others, threatened Callixenus with a $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho a \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$ for making so unconstitutional a proposal ; but they were howled down by the people and forced to withdraw their threat, one Lyciscus even proposing that they should be included in the same vote as the generals, unless they gave way. Now, however, some of the Prytanes, who were presiding over the meeting, refused to put the question to the vote: but when Callixenus menaced them with the same treatment, they all withdrew their opposition except Socrates.

Foiled in this attempt to procure justice for the accused, Euryptolemus now came forward with an amendment to the $\pi \rho o \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \mu a$, or rather perhaps with an alternative proposal, in advocating which he was able to make a speech of considerable length in defence of the generals. In the course of it he stated and restated the facts of the case, and pointed out that two legal methods of procedure were open to the
people. Either the generals might be tried before the people ${ }^{1}$ in accordance with the decree of Cannonus ${ }^{2}$, which laid down certain most severe penalties against such persons as had injured the commonwealth : or they might be tried before a Heliastic court under the law against sacrilege and treason. But whichever of these two methods the people preferred, they ought to be tried separately, fair time being allowed for the accusation, defence, and taking of the votes. In conclusion therefore; Euryptolemus formally moved that the former of these two methods should be adopted, namely, that the accused should be separately tried according to the decree of Cannonus.

When the two proposals were put to the vote, the people
 second vote was taken, at which the Senate's proposal was adopted. Afterwards the eight generals were condemned to death, the votes being taken presumably on the method laid down in the $\pi \rho \circ \beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \cup \mu a$, and the six of them, who had returned to Athens, were immediately executed.

[^25]What however was the nature of this incouogia? Viewed simply in relation to the context, the passage seems to mean that Menecles challenged the vote on some formal ground, which rendered it necessary for the énाเซтátクs to. put the question to the vote again. Ordinarily, however, a ím $\omega \mu \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ meant much more: it meant a determination in the mover of it to bring the question challenged under the cognizance of a court of law, and had the effect of suspending the validity of the resolution until the court had given its decision, But Xenophon never says a word about any such adjournment, and evidently implies that the second vote was taken immediately after the first and on the same day. We have therefore to suppose either that Menecles interposed a $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \omega \mu \circ \sigma i a$ of a kind not otherwise known, or that the neglect to carry into effect the adjournment that it entailed was merely one more among the many unconstitutional incidents of the day, unless indeed we may believe the otherwise untrustworthy author of the Axiochus ${ }^{1}$ (c. vii.) who speaks of Theramenes and Callixenus having at a subsequent meeting ${ }^{2} \tau \hat{\eta}$ vorepaía
${ }^{1}$ It is impossible to attach much weight to this statement in the Axiochus, becanse, short as it is, it contains two obvious mistakes : (1) it speaks of $\pi p 6 \in \delta \rho o t$, although none were instituted before the archonship of Enclides, 403 B.C.; (2) it speaks of $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \mu \nu \rho i a v ~ द ौ \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta-$ oua§bviav, which is evidently a fallacious computation of the whole body of Athenian freemen. Moreover, the whole dialogue, as Grote (Plato, cap. iv.) shows, is a late production, and possesses no authority.
${ }^{2}$ Gilbert here raises the question as to what became of Socrates' opposition, which both Plato and Xenophon represent to have been unswerving; and finds its solution in the hypothesis of an adjoumment, because at a second meeting Socrates would no longer have been èmıб ${ }^{2}$ drns. Probably, however, Socrates' scruple, which was a strictly legal one, was satisfied by his patting Enryptolemus' alternative motion to the vote, which contained a strictly legal proposal, although its rejection carried with it the passing of the Senate's $\pi \rho o \beta o v^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu$; for this seems to be the force of the preposition in composition in the words סıaxcipotovovutvar, סiaxє!ротоvias. Nor need the interposition of Menecles' ina $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$ have made any difference, if the question was immediately put again on the same day : in this case all that Socrates had to do was to put Euryptolemus' motion over again: and then, when it was rejected, the $\pi$ rooßoúnevua was ipso facto carried. Cf. Goodwin in the Transactions of the American Philol. Assoc. 1885, p. 172.
suborned the proedri and so procured the condemnation of the generals.

However that may be, shortly afterwards the people repented of their injustice, and voted that a public prosecution should be instituted against those who had deceived the people. Callixenus. and four others were accordingly arrested, Theramenes not being among the number, which seems to show that some distinction was drawn between his conduct and that of the rest, possibly because it was felt, as Diodorus insists at some length, that he was driven to it by the exigencies of self-defence. But before the accused could be tried, they all escaped amid the political confusion of the following year. Callixenus indeed ventured to return with Thrasybulus and the exiled democrats in 403 b.c., but hated by all, says Xenophon, he died of starvation.

|  |  | § 5. Chronological. Summar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. C. |  | EVENTS. | Year of Campaign. <br> April to March. |
| 411. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Book I. } \\ \text { i. I. } \\ 2-7 . \\ 8,9 . \end{gathered}$ | Agesandridas defeats the Athemian fleet. <br> Battles at Rhoetium and Abydos. <br> Thrasyllus goes to Athens: Tissaphernes at the Hellespont arrests Alcibiades. | 2ISt, 4II-410. <br> c. Sept. <br> Winter. |
| 410. | $10-13$ | Alcibiades escapes and sails to Proconnesus. |  |
|  | 14-19. | Battle of Gyzicus. |  |
|  | 20-22. | Alcibiades restores the Athenian dominion over the Bosporus, \&c. |  |
|  | 23-31. | Sundry contemporary events: |  |
|  | 32. | Revolt of Thasos to Athens. |  |
|  | 33-34. | Sally of Agis from Decelea. |  |
|  | 35-37. | Despatch of Clearchus to the Bosporus, \&c. |  |
| - | ii. 1-13. | Thrasyllus ravages the coast of Ionia, suffers a severe repulse at Ephesus, retires to Notium, and sails thence to the Hellespont. | 22nd, 410-409. Summer. |


| B. C. |  | EVENTS. | Year of Campaign. April to March. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 409. | 18.$14-17$. | Lacedaemonians recapture Coryphasium. <br> Spartan colonists massacred at Heraclea. | $?$ |
|  |  | Thrasyllus joins Alcibiades at Lampsacus, where the two generals winter together and defeat Pharnabazus. | Winter. |
|  | iii. 1-13. | Athenians sail from Lampsacus to Proconnesus, then invest Chalcedon, and compel Pharnabazus to conclude an armistice with them and to promise a safe escort for some Athenian envoys to the Persian king. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23rd, 409-408. } \\ & \text { Summer. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 408. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14-22. } \\ \text { iviv. } . \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  | The Athenian and other envoys meet Pharnabazus at Gordium. | Winter. |
|  | 2-7. | The envoys hear of the appointment of Cyrus to be satrap. Cyrus arrives and persuades Pharnabazus to detain the Athenian envoys. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24th, 408-40\%. } \\ & \text { Summer. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 8-12. | Alcibiades sails to Samos, while Thrasyllus sails with the main fleet to Athens; and then hearing of his election to begeneral, enters Piraens in June. |  |
|  | 13-30. | Reception of Alcibiades in Piraeus and Athens. |  |
| 407. | [v. 1. <br> 21-23. | His escort of the Eleusinian festival. Lysander appointed to be Spartan admiral.] |  |
|  |  | Alcibiades sets sail with his newly equipped fleet first to Andros, and then to Samos, which he makes his head-quarters for winter operations against the Peloponnesians. | Winter. |
|  | v. 1-10. | Lysander collects a fleet of ninety vessels at Samos, negotiates with Cyrus, and makes preparations for renewing the war by sea. |  |
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| B. C. |  | EVENTS. | Year of Campaign. <br> April to March. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 408. | iii. 1-5. | Appointment of the Thirty. <br> Lysander sails to Samos, and Agis evacuates Decelea. Lycophron of Pherae defeats the Larisaeans. | 404-403. Summer. |
|  | 6-10. | Lysander reduces Samos and returns in triumph to Sparta. |  |
|  | 11-21. | The Thirty begin a reign of terror, supported by the Spartan harmost and garrison. | Winter. |
|  | 22-56. | Accusation and execution of Theramenes. |  |
|  | iv. 1-2 2. | The democratic exiles, headed by Thrasybulus, seize Phyle and march upon Piraens, where they defeat the Thirty in battle, Critias being among the slain. |  |
|  | 23-27. | The Thirty are deposed and the Ten appointed in their place, with whom constant war is waged by Thrasybulus and the democratic exiles. | 403. Summer. |
|  | 28-38. | At the invitation of the oligarchs the Spartans send Lysander and Libys to their aid. But Pausanias intervenes, and after some slight military operations effects a reconciliation between the contending factions. |  |
|  | 39-42. | Pausanias disbands the Peloponnesian army. Thrasybulus marches up to Athens, and restores the democratical constitution. |  |
|  | 43. | Final suppression of the Thirty at Eleusis, and proclamation of an universal amnesty. |  |

## §6. Dates in the Life of Xenophon.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { B.c. } \\ \text { circa } \mathbf{4 4 4} . \end{gathered}$ | Birth. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 424. | Saved by Socrates at the battle of Delium (Strabo, p. |
| 401. | Accompanies Cyrus on his expedition against his brother Artaxerxes, and after the battle of Cunaxa conducts the retreat of the Ten Thousand to Trapezus, and then to Chrysopolis. |
| 399. | Enters with many of the Ten Thousand the service first of Seuthes, King of Thrace, and then of Thimbron, the Lacedaemonian. |
|  | Exiled from Athens. |
| 386. | Accompanies King Agesilaus on his Asiatic expedition. |
| 384. | Returns with the King to Sparta, and is present on th Lacedaemonian side at the battle of Coronea. |
|  | Settles shortly afterwards at Scillus in Elis. |
| c. 373. | Expelled from Scillus by the Eleans, whereupon he retire to Corinth. |
|  | The sentence of exile revoked by the Athenians. |
|  |  |



## XENOPHON: HELLENICA, I, II.



Book 1.
CHAPTERI.
In a second sea-fight Agesandridas the Lacedaemonian defeats the Athenians.





Dorieus trying in vain to enter the Hellespont, Mindarus puts out from Abydos to escort him, but is met by the Athenians. The two fleets engage, and on the arrival of Alcibiades, the Athenians drive the Peloponnesians back to Abydos with a loss of 30 ships. The Athenians leave only 40 ships at Sestos: the rest disperse to collect money, while Thrasyllus sails to Athens to ask for reinforcements.





 ที้ xit Alew


















 $\lambda \eta \sigma \pi o ́ v \tau o v \cdot \kappa a i ̀ \delta ~ \Theta \rho a ́ \sigma v \lambda \lambda o s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \AA \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega ิ \nu$,
 $\nu a \hat{s}$ air $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu$.

Alcibiades visits Tissaphernes, who had now reached, the Hellespont, but is arrested by him and sent to Sardis. A month afterwards he escapes to Clazomenae, and thence to Cardia, whither the Athenians had retreated from Sestos. Meantime the Peloponnesian ships, 60 strong, had sailed to Cyzicus. Alcibiades returns to Sestos, and leads the combined Athenian fleet, 86 strong, to Proconnesus.























He takes measures to conceal his arrival, and exhorts his troops to fight bravely; for they had no money, while their enemies had plenty from the Persian king.









 $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu, \theta$ ávaтov т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu$ Ґ $\eta \mu i ́ a \nu$.

## Battle of Cyzicus.

Alcibiades surprises the Peloponnesian fleet mancouvring outside the harbour, and after a hard fight by sea and land captures the whole of it. Mindarus is slain. Cyzicus surrenders to Alcibiades. He exacts money from its citizens, and from other neighbouring states, and establishes a tollhouse on the Bosporus.
































The despatch of Mindarus' secretary is captured and carried to Athens. The satrap Pharnabazus arms the Peloponnestian fugitives to guard his coasts, and urges their commanders to build new ships at Antandros.
















 B.C.


Story of the exile of the Syracusan commanders at Antandros, and of Hermocrates' accusation against Tissaphernes and expedition against Syracuse.






















 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' Е \rho \mu о к \rho a ́ t \eta ~ \pi \rho о \sigma о \mu і \lambda o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ ' ̇ \pi o ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \eta ́ \nu ~$













 тàs vav̂s кai тò бтрáтєч̣иa.

The Spartan harmost Eteonicus is expelled from Thasos. The admiral Cratesippidas takes command of the ships that Pasippidas had collected.







Agis makes a sally from Decelea, but retreats hastily before Thrasyllus. In reward the Athenians vote Thrasyllus 50 triremes and considerable reinforcements.
Пepi dè rov́tovs toùs x ${ }^{\text {óvovs } \Theta \rho a \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda o v ~ e ̀ v ~ ' A \theta \eta ́ v a i s ~} 33$
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Agis sends Clearchus with a small squadron to the Bosporus to cut off the Athenian corn supply. Clearchus loses three ships in the Hellespont, but with the rest safely reaches Byzantium.













Contemporary events in Sicily.





## CHAPTERII.

Thrasyllus sails with his fleet to Samos: he makes numerous descents upon the Ionian coast, till he is stopped by Stages 410-409 the Persian.




 $\psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \theta \in \nu \tau a \quad \pi \lambda о i ̂ a ~ \lambda a \beta \grave{\nu}$ каі $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota l$ lovs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\nu a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda \tau a \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o เ \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s,[\omega \in s$ ă $\mu a$ кaì $\pi \epsilon \lambda \tau a \sigma \tau a i ̂ s$


















 B.C. $\mu \in \nu 0 s$.

Thrasyllus attacks Ephesus, but is defeated with great loss by the citizens, Syracusans, and the troops of Tissaphernes.










 є́тvXov то́тє $\pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a l, ~ \nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i ~ \eta ̈ \kappa о v \sigma a l ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ E u ̉-~$



 ধ́катòv каì єls тท̀v $\theta$ á入a








The Athenians retreat to Notium, and sail thence to Lesbos, 410-409 where they fall in with the Syracusans, and chase them B.C. back to Ephesus with the loss of four ships. Thrasyllus joins the Athenian squadron at Sestos, and the combined force then crosses to Lampsacus.










 cis $\Lambda d \mu \psi$ акоу.

The unconquered troops of Alcibiades refuse to associate with the defeated troops of Thrasyllus, until in combination they win a brilliant victory over Pharnabazus at Abydos.



 Bıáסov тò $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a$ тâv oî $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ิ \tau a \iota ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~$









 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon \pi \delta o ́ \rho \theta o v \nu ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s \chi \omega \rho a \nu$.

The Lacedaemonians recapture Coryphasium, i.e. Sphacteria. Massacre of the Spartan colonists at Heraclea. Revolt of the Medes.

 $\sigma \pi o ́ v \delta \delta o v s ~ a ̉ \phi \eta ̂ \kappa a \nu$. катà $\delta$ è тòv av̉ròv кa८pòv кal èv







## CHAPTER III.

The Athenianfleet sails from Lampsacus to Proconnesus and the Bosporus. Alcibiades invests Chalcedon, and successfully resists a joint attack made on his lines by Hippocrates from within and Pharnabazus from without. Hippocrates was slain.
 B.C.








 тє ó $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ỏ $\lambda$ írovs кaì rov̀s ìm $\pi \epsilon a s$; кaì тàs vav̂s $\pi a \rho a-$




















While Alcibiades is absent collecting money on the Hellespont, the Athenians come to terms with Pharnabazus, who agrees to send Athenian envoys to the Persian king, and to allow Chalcedon again to become tributary to Athens. The Athenians agree to suspend all hostilities till their return.

[^26]
B.C. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o \grave{c} \sigma v \nu \in \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma a \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Phi a \rho \nu a ́ \beta a \zeta o v ~ u ̛ \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ K a \lambda \chi \eta$ -





 $\beta \in \iota \S ิ{ }^{\text {è }} \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$.

After some delay Alcibiades swears to the treaty at Chrysopolis and Pharnabasus at Chalcedon. Pharnabasus goes to Cysicus, where he is met by the Athenian envoys, and also by some Spartan envoys.




















The Athenians besiege Bysantium. While Clearchus the Spartan harmost is awway, collecting forces to raise the siege, some Byzantines betray the city to Alcibiades. The. garrison, unable to resist, surrender. Story of Anaxilaus at Sparta.





 Boı $\omega \tau$ oì кaì rov́r $\omega \nu$ ă $\rho X \omega \nu$ Koıparáoas. oi $\delta^{\prime}$ 'A $\theta \eta v a i ̂ o l ~ 18 ~$









 $\nu a v \pi \eta \gamma \eta \theta_{\epsilon}$ í $\eta \sigma a \nu$, à $\theta \rho o ́ a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ к а к \omega ̂ s ~ т о u ̀ s ~$







400-408 пó入ıv, à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \sigma a \iota, ~ \pi a i ̂ o a s ~ o ́ \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \dot{\gamma} v \nu a i ̂ к a s ~ \lambda \iota \mu \hat{\psi}$



 20 é $\pi \epsilon \mathfrak{l}$ ठ̇̀ av̉roîs $\pi a \rho \in \sigma \kappa \epsilon v ́ a \sigma \tau 0, \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu o l \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a s ~$






 каl à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \eta$ єls $\Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$.

## CHAPTERIV.

Pharnabazus and the envoys are met at Gordium by some Spartan envoys with the news that Cyrus has been appointed satrap at Sardis, and ordered to aid the Lacedaemonians in the war.












Cyrus on his arrival persuades Pharnabazus to detain the Athenian envoys on various pretexts, so that they did not return till three years afterwards.



 $\mu \eta े ~ o l ̌ \kappa а \delta є ́ \epsilon ~ \pi \omega ~ a ̀ \pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a l, ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \in \nu o s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a l o v s ~$







 $\pi \epsilon \delta o v$ à $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda \epsilon v \sigma a \nu$.

Alcibiades designs to return to Athens. Thrasybulus reduces Thasos and the rebels in Thrace. Thrasyllus leads the main fleet back to Athens. Meantime the Athenians elect Alcibiades general.






B.C. $\chi \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a l \mu o \nu i ́ o v s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta к o ́ t a ~ к а т \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́-~$




 тิิข ơ้ко日єข.

Alcibiades sails from Samos and on hearing the news with some hesitation enters Piraeus on the day of the Plynteria.













Various feelings of the Athenians towards him: some said that he was the victim of the intrigues of his enemies, who had contrived his exile to make room for their own ambitious schemes; others, that he was the cause of all the Athenian misfortunes.

























 $\nu \in \cup ́ \sigma a \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\nu}$ катабтท̂val.

Alcibiades lands and is escorted to the city by his friends. His defence before the Senate and Assembly is favourably received. He is chosen commander-in-chief, and conducts the sacred procession to Eleusis safely by land. Then having equipped 100 triremes and large reinforcements, he sails with them to Andros. He gains a slight success over the Andrians, and then sails to Samos.

## 



 aúrov̂ $\delta$ è àvє $\psi$ เóv, кaì roùs ầ $\lambda \lambda$ ovs oikclovs кal roùs $\phi$ i-












 408.










## CHAPTER V.

Lysander is appointed Spartan admiral and collects a fleet, 408-407 of 70 ships at Ephesus, where he waits till Cyrus' arrival B.C. at Sardis.








 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.

Lysander obtains from Cyrus promises of vigorous support and increased pay for the Peloponnesians.'














B.C.





 cival.

The Athenians in despair send envoys to Cyrus, but he refuses to see them. Lysander had now 90 ships at Ephesus.










Alcibiades crosses to Phocaea to visit Thrasybulus, leaving his fleet at Samos, in charge of Antiochus, with strict orders not to fight. But Antiochus disobeys, and draws on a general engagement at Notium, in which he is defeated by Lysander with a loss of 15 ships.

















 さáuov.

On his return to Samos Alcibiades tries in vain to renew the battle and avenge the defeat.







On hearing of this affair the Athenians at home choose ten other generals in place of Alcibiades, who, finding himself unpopular also with his fleet, sails away to the Chersonese.





 B.C.

 $\tau \in \chi \chi$.

Conon succeeds Alcibiades at Samos; he mans only 70 ships out of 100 , and with them ravages the neighbouring coast.

18 Mєтà ס̀̀ tav̂ta Kóvov ék tîs "Avo̊pov oùv ais єixє
















## ' Contemporary events in Sicily.






## CHAPTER VI.

Callicratidas succeeds Lisander at Ephesus. His answer 400-405 to Lysander's empty boast.
B.C.






 Өалаттокра́тшן тє $\pi a \rho a \delta \delta \delta o i ́ \eta ~ к а і ~ v a v \mu a \chi i a ́ ~ \nu \in \nu \iota к \eta к \omega ́ s . ~$


 тократєîv.

He increases his fleet to 140 vessels, and prepares for battle; but finding himself traduced by Lysander's friends, he assembles the Lacedaemonians and tells them that he had come only in obedience to the Spartan government, and was ready to return if they so wished.





 $\mu a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \delta^{2}$ vind $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda v \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \rho o v$ фí入 $\omega \nu$ катабтабьaऍó $\mu \in \nu 0$,







 roadóc.










The malcontents thus quieted, he tries to get supplies from Cyrus, but failing, appeals to the Milesians for help, till money should come from Sparta.

























 $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon і ً \sigma \theta a l$.

With the supplies so obtained, he sails to Chios and Lesbos, where he takes Methymna by storm, and captures many prisoners; all the Greeks he sets free except the Athenians.







 aip€î тク̀v $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ к а т a ̀ ~ к \rho a ́ \tau o s . ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o v ̂ \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau a ~ 14 ~$

 óvт $\omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \sigma \nu \mu \mu \dot{\chi} \omega \nu$ àmoठó $\sigma \theta a \iota$ каì то̀̀s $\mathrm{M} \eta \theta v \mu \nu a i ́ o v s$

 B.C.






He chases Conon, returning towards Samos, into the harbour of Mytilene, where he captures 30 of the Athenian ships, and blockades the rest. Cyrus thereupon sends him money.













 $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$.

Conon by a stratagem contrives to send a ship to Athens with the news. In thirty days the Athenians equip a fleet of 110 vessels, manned by both slaves and free.




 B.C.

























 $\mathfrak{i} \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda o l$.

408-405 The Athenian fleet sails to Samos, and anchors at the B.C. Arginusae islands, mustering more than 150 strong. Callicratidas leaves 50 ships under Eteonicus at Mytilene, and sails to Cape Malea with 120 ships to meet the Athenians.















 'Apyıvov́бas.

## Battle of Arginusae.

Callicratidas refuses to flee before the superior numbers of the Athenians. The fight begins; and when Callicratidas falls into the sea and is drowned, the Peloponnesians flee to Chios and Phocaea with a loss of 69 ships. The Athenians retire to Arginusae with a loss of 25 ships.




 B.C.






























The Athenian generals commission Theramenes and other officers to rescue the crews of their sunken vessels with 47 vessels, while they themselves sail against Eteonicus at Mytilene. But both projects are prevented by a storm.









Eteonicus, by spreading false news of a victory, succeeds in despatching his fleet to Chios, and in marching himself to Methymna.











 $\tau \delta ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma$.

Conon meets the Athenian fleet with the news about Eteonicus. 408-405 The fleet sails to Mytilene, makes an attempt on Chios, and B.C. finally returns to Samos.





 ̇̇ $\pi i \quad \Sigma$ áuov.

## CHAPTER VII.

The Athenian generals are replaced by ten others, Conon alone being re-elected. Of the six who returned to Athens, Erasinides is accused by Archedemus of corrupt practices, and sentenced by the court to imprisonment.













408-405 The other generals also, after informing the Senate about the
B.C. battle and the storm, are arrested by its order.





Before the Assembly Theramenes and others attack the generals for not having rescued the shipwrecked crews, alleging as evidence the official despatch.







In defence the generals recount the facts, bringing forward some of the sailors as witnesses.








廿єvбópe $\theta a$ фáбкоขтєs av̉rov̀s altiovs єival, à àà тò




The defence is favourably received, but, it being dark, the 408-405 assembly adjourns without a vote being taken, after comB.C. missioning the Senate to bring forward a proposal as to the procedure of the trial.






## Theramenes avails himself of the Apaturia to excite the Athenians against the accused.

 $\pi a \tau \notin \rho \epsilon s$ каi oi $\sigma v \gamma \notin \nu \in i ̂ s ~ \sigma u ́ v \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ av̉roîs. oi ov̂v Novem-






At the second meeting of the Assembly Callixenus introduces the proposal of the Senate, that the people should decide, without further hearing, upon all the accused at once by a single vote.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'E }
\end{aligned}
$$









 oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon i ́ \lambda o \nu \tau o ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ́ \rho i \sigma \tau o v s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$ тarpíoos $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \in ́ \nu o v s$.

Euryptolemus and others threaten to indict Callixenus' proposal as unconstitutional, but are compelled to withdraw their threat.









The Prytanes refuse to put the question to the vote, but are all frightened into submission except Socrates.







Speech of Euryptolemus.
' Pericles and Diomedon were chiefly to blame, because they had persuaded their colleagues not to mention in their despatch the orders they had given to Theramenes and others to rescue the crews.
 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega ิ \nu \tau d \delta \epsilon$.










 ovoıv $a \pi o \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
' Two courses were open to the Athenians: the generals might be tried, either before the assembly according to the decree of Cannonus, or before a court according to the law against sacrilege and treason.









406-405
B.C.






 тov̀s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v ̀ s ~ к a l ~ \nu \eta ̀ ~ \Delta i a, ~ a ̀ \nu ~ v i \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \delta о к \eta ̂, ~ \pi \rho \omega ิ т о \nu ~$





 aข̀тov̂ $\delta \eta \mu$ óбเa rival.
> ' But in either case they ought to be tried fairly and separately. Undue haste ought to be avoided, especially in the case of generals who had deserved so well of their country.



























 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
> ' To return to the facts: Diomedon proposed to rescue the crews, Erasinides to sail against the enemy, Thrasyllus to do both by dividing the fleet.



 àvaı $\rho \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu a v a ́ \gamma ı a ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \nu a v a \gamma o u ́ s, ~ ' E \rho a \sigma ı \nu l \delta \eta s ~ \delta ' ~$


 $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \sigma$.

## 92

408-405 'According to Thrasyllus' proposal 47 vessels weve told B.C. off to rescue the crews, and the rest were to sail against the enemy, but the storm prevented both projects. Many survivors would witness to the truth of this.




















' On all grounds therefore the generals ought to be acquitted.'



 iкavoùs $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \in ́ v o u s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi \in \iota \mu \omega ̄ \nu a ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \xi a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a \chi-~$

 $\mu$ évovs.

At first the people accept Euryptolemus' proposal to try the generals separately, according to the decree of Cannonus; but at a second vote the Senate's proposal is preferred, and the eight generals are condemned and six of them executed. Not long afterwards the people repent of the injustice.


















## Book .II.

## CHAPTER I.

406-405 The Peloponnesian soldiers at Chios, hard pressed by want,
B.C. form a plan to sack the city. Eteonicus discovers the plot, and by prompt measures puts it down. He asks and obtains from the Chians a contribution of money.









 єival, $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єis тà ö $\pi \lambda a$ ó $\rho \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ каî тク̀v $\pi о ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ката-










'Етєóvıкоs, ötı тòv кá入a


 $\sigma v \nu \in \nu \in \gamma \kappa \in \mathfrak{L} \nu$, ő $\pi \omega$ s oi vav̂taı $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \sigma \iota \mu \iota \sigma \theta \grave{\nu} \nu$ кai $\mu \grave{\eta}$





On the appeal of her Asiatic allies, supported by envoys from Cyrus, Sparta appoints Lysander to be secretary and Aracus to be admiral of the fleet.








 עónos aủroîs ठis тòv av̉тòv vavapxєîv• тàs $\mu$ évivol vav̂s



Cyrus, having put Autoboesaces and Mitraeus to death, is summoned before Darius to answer for his conduct.










Lysander, on his arrival at Ephesus, collects all the ships he can from Chios, Antandros, and elsewhere, gets a fresh supply of money from Cyrus, and refits his fleet. The Athenians make similar preparations at Samos.


B.C.
 $\nu a v \sigma i ́, ~ к a l ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a s ~ \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \sigma v v \eta ́ \theta \rho o \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu, ~ \epsilon l ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ \eta i v, ~$






 ol т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \nu a v \tau ı к o ̀ \nu ~ E ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{~}$ इá $\mu$ :

Cyrus, before going up to his father in Media, leaves Lysander in charge of all the tribute of his satrapy, and warns him against fighting with the Athenians.







B.C.

Lysander takes Cedreiae in Caria by storm, and then sets out for Rhodes.- The Athenians sail to Chios and Ephesus, and choose three additional generals.
 av́rô̂ $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ тòv $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$ à $\rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau o v ̂ \nu \tau a \mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau 0 s$ àvé-











## Lysander sails past Ionia to the Hellespont. The Athenians • put out to sea from Chios.




 aủroîs ク̊ y .

405-404 Lysander takes Lampsacus by storm. The Athenians, 180
B.C. strong, sail in pursuit, first to Elaeus, then to Sestos, where they anchor off Aegospotami, opposite Lampsacus, and offer battle.



 ठıท $\rho \pi a \sigma a \nu$ oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau เ \omega ิ \tau a \iota ~ o v ̄ \sigma a \nu ~ \pi \lambda o v \sigma \mathfrak{a} a \nu ~ к a i ~ o l \nu o v ~ к а i ~$










For four days they try in vain to draw Lysander out of his harbour. Alcibiades points out to them the disadvantages of their position, but their generals scorn his advice and refuse to move.
























Battle of Aegospotami.
On the fifth day, at a given signal from his scouts, Lysander suddenly rows across. the strait with his whole fleet, and surprises the Athenians while they are scattered along the shore obtaining provisions. He captures without a blow the entire Athenian fleet, except Conon's squadron and the Paralus, and most of the crews.


















Conon escapes to Cyprus. The Paralus carries the news to Athens. Lysander brings his prisoners to Lampsacus, and sends Theopompus to Sparta to announce his victory.













Lysander summons a meeting of his allies at Lampsacus at which they make many complaints of Athenian cruelty. In revenge he executes all the Athenians among his captives, except the general Adimantus.

















## CHAPTER II.

Bysantium and Chalcedon submit to Lysander, who sends the Athenian garrisons in them and elsewhere back to Athens, hoping thereby the sooner to reduce the city to starvation.












102 HELLENTCA II, с. 2.
 (262) B.C.


The Athenians, on hearing of their disaster, reflecting that the vengeance they had taken on many subject states was about to return upon their own heads, resolve to prepare the city for a siege.












 $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \nu$.

Lysander sails to Lesbos, where he reorganizes the governments of the several states, and despatches Eteonicus to do the same in Thrace. All the Athenian allies revolt, except the Samians.









Simultaneously the Spartans and their allies under king Pausanias invade Attica and encamp in the Academy, and Lysander, having restored Aegina and Melos to their exiled citisens, blockades Piraeus.











 éкатóv, каì тà $\pi \lambda o i ̂ a ~ \epsilon i \rho \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \epsilon І ̈ \sigma \pi \lambda o v . ~$

The Athenians maintain an obstinate resistance till all their corn fails; then they send envoys to Agis with offers of an alliance, but he refers them to the Ephors at Sparta; by whom, however, they are refused permission to enter the country.








104 HELLENICA II, с. 2.

B.C.
















Still they will not hear of demolishing their Long Walls, and even arrest Archestratus for making such a proposal.







Theramenes procures his own despatch to negotiate with Lysander, but after waiting more than three months, returns with the information, that the Ephors alone had power to make peace. However he and nine others are chosen as plenipotentiaries and sent to Sparta.



 B.C.










 каì $\pi о \lambda \not ́ \mu o v$.

An audience is given to them at Sparta, where many accusations are brought against the Athenians, especially by the Corinthians and Thebans; but the Spartans refuse to destroy Athens utterly, and offer terms of peace.













106 HELLENICA II, c. 2.
 B.C.


The Athenians, notwithstanding the remonstrances of a few, readily accept the terms offered.











Lysander and the exiles enter Piraeus and begin the destruction of the Long Walls, celebrating the first day of Greek Freedom.





Contemporary events in Sicily.



 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda เ \nu$.

## CHAPTER III.

404-403
B.C.

The Athenians appoint Thirty men to draw up a new constitution, whereupon Lysander sails to Samos, and Agis withdraws from Decelea.

















## Contemporary events in Thessaly and Sicily.










108
 B.C. кal oi $\Sigma v \rho a \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota o l ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \Delta ı o \nu v \sigma i ́ o v ~ \epsilon l s ~ K a t a ́ \nu \eta \nu ~$ à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau d \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$.

Samos surrenders at discretion. Lysander, after reorganizing the government, dismisses the allied fleet, and at the head of the Lacedaemonian ships returns to Sparta in triumph with all the spoils of war.













 $\sigma a \tau 0 ~ \stackrel{\epsilon}{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \uparrow \hat{\varphi} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \in \mu \varphi$.

A list of the Ephors to show the duration of the war.














The Thirty delay to publish the new constitution, and put their own creatures into the senate and other offices. They proceed to purge the city of all extreme democrats, and to support their violent measures they procure from Lysander a Spartan garrison with Callibius as harmost.























 rov̀s $\sigma v \nu \in \theta \in \in \lambda o \nu \tau a s ~ \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu \in \iota \nu$.

A disagreement arises between Critias and Theramenes, the former urging a policy of indiscriminate bloodshed.

















To satisfy Theramenes the Thirty nominate three thousand to participate in the government; a measure which Theramenes criticizes as at once dangerous and absurd.










 グттоעа т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\rho \chi о \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$ катабкєvaکоцévovs.

By a stratagem the Thirty strip all the Athenians, except the Three Thousand, of their arms: they now begin a reign of terror, putting to death their own personal enemies and rich citizens for the sake of their money.













When Theramenes opposes these measures, the rest of the Thirty conspire against him, and Critias openly accuses him before the Senate.




- 103









 EsE.

Speech of Critics.

- The numerous executions were necessitated by the long durratron of democracy at Athens, and had the full approval of the Lacedaemonians. -


 $\longrightarrow$,












'Theramenes had shown' himself not an enemy only, but a 404-403 traitor; though he had been foremost in making peace with .B.C. Sparta and in putting down the democracy, he now wished to make his peace with the people and so secure a safe retreat.







 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \phi \iota \lambda i ́ a s, ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta \eta \eta \mu o v ~ к а \tau а \lambda v ́ \sigma \epsilon ̇ \omega s, ~ \mu a ́-~$












> 'In the past he had been highly honoured by the people; then he had been foremost in promoting the revolution of the Four Hundred, and foremost again in bringing about their fall. Well therefore had he deserved the nickname of Buskin.







- 31 入єîtal• [каil үà $\rho$ ó кóOo

 סєเvòv єใขaı єls $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ тov̀s $\sigma v \nu o ́ v \tau a s, \hat{\eta} \nu$ ठét $\tau \iota$ àvtı-




' By such shifts he had caused the death of many; he had procured the condemnation of the generals after Arginusae to save his own life.








> ' Death was the only punishment meet for such a man. If they should condemn him they would. but follow the example of Sparta; while if they acquitted him, he was sure to prove the ruin of them all.'
 $\pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, \pi \omega ̂ s ~ \tau o u ́ t o v ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ ~ \pi o \tau \epsilon ~ \phi \epsilon i ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ; ~ \pi \hat{\rho} s$ ठ̀ ov̉
















Theramenes speaks in his own Defence.
'Really the generals at Arginusae had been the first to accuse him. In alleging the violence of the storm he had offered a reasonable defence, so that in accusing him they had pronounced their own condemnation. Critias knew nothing of the matter, being at that time in Thessaly.














 $\sigma \pi$ ótas.
' But it was not men like himself who endangered the existence of the Government, but those who had wished to put to death the foremost men in the state.























'He had consistently resisted such measures as the arrest of the metroci, the seizure of arms, the hiring of the Spartan garrison, the banishment of leading citizens.

















 фа⿱ท́боьขтo.
' Did such conduct show him to be a friend or a traitor? Surely those rather were traitors who by such evil counsel had made so many enemies.
















'He had indeed turned against the Four Hundred, but only when he had perceived that they had deluded the people with the vain hope of a Spartan alliance.











> 'He was nicknamed the Buskin for suiting both sides; but 404-403 what of Critias who suited neither side? He had conB.C. sistently advocated a moderate constitution, opposed alike to extreme democracy and extreme oligarchy.













入оцає.
'If Critias could convict him of treachery to such a policy, he was indeed worthy of death.' .


 таขิта $\pi \rho d \tau \tau \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ тผ́тотє $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \omega ́ s, \delta \mu о \lambda о \gamma \omega \hat{~}$


Critias, seeing that Theramenes would be acquitted by the senate, arbitrarily erases his name from the roll of the Three Thousand and condemns him to death in the name of the Thirty.
















 Өavatov̂ $\mu \in \nu$.

Theramenes takes refuge at the altar, appealing against this illegal procedure; but Critias, relying on the guard he had posted round the senate house, hands him over to the Eleven.











 B.C.








The senate, panic stricken, passively allows Theramenes to be dragged away from the altar and hurried to execution. His last sayings.


















## CHAPTER IV.

404-403 The Thirty expel all not on the roll- of the Three Thousand B.C. from Attica. The refugees assemble in Megara and Thebes.





 $\Theta \eta \dot{\eta} \beta a s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho \circ \tilde{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

Thrasybulus, starting from Thebes with a few refugees, seizes Phyle, repulses the attack of the Thirty, and makes a successful sally upon their camp, his forces being now increased to 700.




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu, ~ к а i ̆ ~ є ̀ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma a \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~$










 $\epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \Phi v \lambda \eta ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ é $\pi \tau a \kappa 0 \sigma i ́ o v s, ~ \lambda a \beta \omega ̀ \nu$














 à $\nu \epsilon \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \nu \in i s$ ă $\sigma \tau v$.

The Thirty seize Eleusis as a place of retreat for themselves, by a stratagem capturing all the able-bodied Eleusinians. Next day they compel the Athenian knights and the Three Thousand to condemn all these prisoners to death.

















 $\mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \chi \in \iota \nu$. Tิิע ov̂v $\sigma v \nu \in \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota \nu i \omega \nu$. катa-




 ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$.

Thrasybulus marches upon Piraeus, but unable to hold so large a town against the forces of the Thirty, occupies a strong position on Munychia.




















 $\kappa a \tau \grave{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu \sigma \tau \grave{s}{ }^{\text {én }} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu^{\bullet}$

Thrasybulus addresses his troops. 'On the right they had against them the men they had already defeated, on the left the hated Thirty. The gods were evidently now on their side: for victory was certain over an enemy placed in so unfavourable a position.
























 à $\nu a \tau \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
'Every one of them must fight, remembering the high stakes at issue, and the vengeance due to all of them.'











Warned by the seer, who is himself the first to fall, Thrasybulus waits for the enemy to attack, whereupon he gains a complete victory, Critias himself being among the slain.
18 Tav̂ta $\delta$ ' єin凶̀v кaì $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon i s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ c ̇ v a \nu \tau i ́ o v s, ~$












 є̇ $\sigma \kappa \tilde{\chi} \lambda \epsilon v \sigma a \nu$.

When after the battle the troops of the two factions met together, Cleocritus, the sacred herald, proclaims on behalf of the refugees with Thrasybulus, that they had no quarrel with their fellow-citizens, but only with the Thirty, who in eight months had caused the death of more Athenians than the Lacedaemonians in ten years. The Thirty withdraw their forces to Athens. :













404-403 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o v ́ t \omega \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ к o เ \nu \omega \nu o v ̂ \mu \in \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o t s, ~ a l \delta o v ́-~$ B.C.














At a meeting of the senate open dissension breaks out among the Three Thousand, until they vote to depose the Thirty. and appoint Ten in their place.












The Thirty retire to Eleusis. The Ten supported by the 408-402 Knights keep guard over the city. Their opponents at Piraeus make new weapons and organize their ever increasing forces.









 $\gamma \in \nu \ell \sigma \theta a l, \pi \iota \sigma \tau a ̀$ ठóvtєs, oltıvєs $\sigma \nu \mu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu$, кaì $\epsilon l$



 Пеıраєєí.

Meantime they make constant sallies, in revenge for which the knights ruthlessly butcher some Axionians. In return they kill the knight Callistratus, and soon venture to march close up to the walls of Athens.








 $\nu 0 v \nu, ~ ळ ึ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ к a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̆ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma € ß a \lambda \lambda o \nu . ~$



 ßои́лоเто той ठро́


In response to an appeal from the Three Thousand at Athens and the Thirty at Pinaus, the Spartans send out Lysander as harmost and his brother as admiral to blockade Piraeus, so that the tables are once more turned.















But Pausanias, out of jealousy against Lysander, persuades 403-402' three of the ephors to let him head a second expedition B.C. to Athens. All the allies follow him, except the Corinthians and Boeotians, who refuse to join, and with them he encamps near the Piracus.











 $\nu v \mu o v$.

Pausanias summons the refugres at Piracus to disperse, and on their refusal makes a half-hearted attack upon them. Failing in this and in a similar attack the next day he advances with all his forces and gains a complete victory over Thrasybulus and his supporters.










403-402
B.C.
!. v


















 éxatóv.

Pausanias urges the two factions at Piraeus and Athens to send envoys to him and the ephors present in his camp; and when they arrive in obedience to his summons, he sends them on to Sparta, where they both tender a complete submission to the Lacedaemonian supremacy.





403-402
B.C.



















The Spartan government despatches fifteen commissioners to arrange the terms of reconciliation. These settled, Pausanias disbands his army, and Thrasybulus marches up to Athens.









B.C.
 'A $\theta \eta \nu$ ą.

## Speech of Thrasybulus.

' On what grounds did their opponents claim to rule over them? Facts had shown them to be no juster, braver, or wiser than themselves. Even the Lacedaemonians had forsaken them. Once more he wished his followers to show themselves the better men by keeping their oath of reconciliation.'
 40 .



















 B.C.



The Athenians then reorganize their constitution; but shortly afterwards, hearing the Thirty are forming a conspiracy, they seise and slay their generals, but come to terms with the rest, to which ever since they have faithfully adhered.










## $\mathfrak{C l a t e m o o m ~} \mathfrak{q}$ ress Series

## XENOPHON

## HELLENICA, BOOKS I, II

## WITH INTRODUCTION AND NOTES

BY
G. E. UNDERHILL, M.A.
fellow and tutor of magdalen college

PART II. -NOTHS

## (1) xfort

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS
1888
[All rights reserved]

## TomDon <br> HENRY FROWDE



## Oxford University Press Warehouse

Amen Corner, E.C.

## NOTES.

. § 1 . Mecd $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \mathbf{~ r a v ̂ r a . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ i n t e r v a l ~ t h a t ~ m u s t ~ h a v e ~ e l a p s e d ~ b e t w e e n ~}$ the points, where Thucydides' narrative ends and Xenophon's begins, see Introd. pp. 6, 7.
aï0rs, in a second battle; the Athenian victory at Cynossema being apparently the first (Thuc. viii. 106).
§ 2. Ex P68ou. For the positions of Dorieus, Mindarus, Tissaphernes, and Alcibiades at this time see Introd. pp. 6, 7.
roîs $\sigma$ тparnyois, i. e. Thrasyllus and Thrasybulus (Thuc. viii. 104). むs \#roote, 'as soon as he got clear,' i.e. of the narrow strait of the Hellespont. mepi to Poitecov must be joined with $\pi p \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{\eta} v$ divali $\beta$ aje. The imperfect tense expresses the attempt.
§ 3. els Md́Sutov, in the Thracian Chersonese not far from Sestos.

§5. $\boldsymbol{k} \xi \mathbf{k} \omega \mathrm{t}$ voo . Mindarus had moved his fleet from Elaeus to Abydos (cf. Diod. xiii. 45), so that, since Ilium is twenty miles from Abydos, and since he saw Dorieus entering $\tilde{\alpha}^{\mu} \alpha \hat{\eta}^{\eta} \mu \hat{\rho} \rho q$, in all probability the fight must have been renewed the day after Dorieus' arrival in the Hellespont. Moreover the Athenian ships seem (cf. §§ 2, 3) to have sailed from Madytus, fought against Dorieus and returned to Madytus again before the second battle-a process, which, considering the twenty or thirty miles thus traversed, must have occupied several hours. Further, since Madytus was nearly opposite to Abydos, the second battle must have been fought somewhere between the two cities, and consequently mach higher ap the Hellespont than the first. Diodorus (1. c.) speaks of one battle only, making Mindarus sail down from Abydos to the support of Dorieus at the Dardanian promontory. Accordingly Breitenbach, wishing to reconcile the two accounts, regards $\boldsymbol{\xi} \mathfrak{\xi} \omega \in \Delta v o v$ as an interpolation.

8 6. Tapvaßajos was satrap of Phrygia Minor and Bithynia, and $^{2}$ was now in league with the Peloponnesians (Thuc. viii. 80, 99).
§ 7. नu 1 рpájavres, ' having formed in close order.'
§ 9 . $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a \beta \omega v$. Though after this Alcibiades could never again hope to delude the Athenians with promises of Persian aid (Thuc. viii. 82), his own naval successes round Samos and now in the Hellespont seem to have been sufficient to secure for him their confidence. Tissaphernes

Book I. evidently wanted to retrieve his position in the opinion of the Peloponne- sians (Thuc. viii. IO9).
I.
§ 10. $\mu$ erd Mavtifiov: Mantitheus is mentioned again i. 3. 13.
§ II. of $\delta^{\prime}$ iv $\sum \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\kappa} . \boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. From this point some commentators date the campaign of 410-409, because Diodorus says that the battle of
 end of the winter means February, not, like Thacydides and Xenophon, the beginning of April.
eis Kapoiav. On the west coast of the Chersonese.
§ 12. Oqpapivils. (Diod. xiii. 47, 49.) After trying in vain to prevent the Euboeans and Boeotians from uniting Euboea with the mainland by a bridge across the Euripus, Theramenes had sailed to the Aegean islands in order to replace the democracies in the various states, had then lent aid to king Archelaus of Macedon in the siege of Pydna, and finally joined Thrasybulus (cf. supr. §8) on the Thracian coast.
 two masts. So too, vi. 2. 27, Iphicrates leaves his large sails behind to make his ships lighter and more manageable for fighting.
cis IIaplov. On the E. shore of the entrance to the Propontis.

§ 15. ©ррібаvто, i. e. at Proconnesus in the Propontis.
 end of the volume.
 av่тov, 'from the harbour'; an idea already sufficiently expressed by d $\pi \in\llcorner\lambda \eta \mu \mu$ évas.
§ 18. тaîs cikoor. The article is accounted for by the previous mention of the Athenian fleet (cf. infr. i. 6. 26). There is no need to suppose that deíaraus has dropped out of the text (cf. Plut. Alc. 28).
dँd́als. The Peloponnesians did not succeed in collecting a fleet again till Lysander was appointed admiral in 408 B. C.; cf. Plato,


Eupakootwv. Thucydides (viii. 26) relates that the Syracusans had sent a squadron under Hermocrates to aid the Lacedaemonians.
§ 21 . חfepıvov cal $\sum \eta \lambda u \beta p i a v$. Both on the N. coast of the Propontis.
§ 22. Xpuб6то入ıv, on the Bosporus, opposite Byzantinm.
סeкarevтipiov. The establishment of this custom-house was of the greatest importance to Athens now that her treasury was exhausted, and she had lost so many of her subject allies, more especially Euboea (Thuc. viii. 95).
 order of thought in these two lines, commentators have suspected the MS. reading.
§ 23. $: \pi r \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \in(\omega s:$ this officer held the second command in the Lacedaemonian fleet (cf. vi. 2.25 and note on i. 5. 1).
¿́d $\lambda \omega$. The MSS. read $£ \dot{d} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma a \nu$.
кâ入a. This is Bergk's conjecture for the MS. ка入д. The word

Book I.
$\rightarrow+$
I. is equivalent to $£ \underline{y} \lambda \lambda a$ and is used by Aristophanes, Lys. 1251, to denote ships. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa a \lambda \alpha=$ ' our honour is gone' hardly seems to suit the passage.
ȧ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma u ́ a$, Doric form of $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon \sigma v ́ t$, aor. pass. of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$, is explained by Eustathius as à $\pi \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon, \tau^{\prime} \theta \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon$.
 For the offers of peace apparently made by the Spartans at this time (cf. Introd. pp. 11, 26).
§ 24. Фapvdßa\}os. Diodorus (xiii. 51) says that the Peloponnesians fled to his camp.
 several states in the Peloponnesian confederacy.

 32, 33 seem to mean that all the events here mentioned occurred just about the time of the battle of Cyzicus.
'Eppoкрdíqus. The leader of the oligarchical party at Syracuse (cf. Thuc. viii. 85).
 after $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\nu}$ aı $\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota$ in § 28 . In this case the phrase $\lambda 6 \gamma o \nu \delta \iota \delta o \nu_{\nu a}$ would have to bear the unusual sense 'to give an opportunity of speaking,' and the words $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \hat{\varepsilon} v o u s$. . . ídá $\rho \chi$ ov $\sigma a \nu$ must then be an appeal made by the generals to their soldiers to give them a fair hearing, when they returned to Syracuse,-an appeal quite uncalled for after the loyalty which these soldiers had just shown them. If however the words be transposed, with Dindorf; to their present position in the text, the passage at once becomes intelligible. When the soldiers refused to elect new generals, notwithstanding the news that the present generals had been exiled, Hermocrates told them that they ought not to rebel against the home government ; but that if any one had any charge to make against himself or his colleagues, both he and they were in daty bound to give an account of their commands. As however no one brought any accusation against them, they consented to continue in command till their successors arrived.
vevккiкare. Many parallels may be found for this abrupt change to the oratio recta (cf. infr. i. 4. 14, vi. 5. 35, etc.).
 d́perí can very well mean courage and skill in commanding; $\pi \rho 0 \theta$ upia, sc. т $\omega \hat{\nu} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \omega \tau \omega ิ \nu, ~ ' z e a l ~ i n ~ o b e y i n g . ' ~$
§ 29. $\delta \in \bullet \mu \hat{v} v \omega v$. Genitive absolute.

Book I. kardjecv, ' would bring them back from exile.'
§ 30. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o \mu \nu \lambda o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \epsilon$. The pres. part. expresses frequency.

áveguvoûto. Doric for àekoivov̂to.
§ 31. kaтךүopifas. Thucydides (viii. 85) narrates, how in 411 b.c. Hermocrates had accused Tissaphernes at Sparta of playing a double game between the Peloponnesians and Athenians. He is mentioned (infr. i. 3. 13) as accompanying some Spartan envoys, who, at the same time as some Athenian envoys, were to meet Pharnabazus at Cyzicus, 409 b.c. Since Diodorus puts his expedition against Syracuse in 408 b.c., it must have been shortly after this meeting that he obtained from Pharnabazus the assistance described in this passage. Tissaphernes was the personal enemy of Pharnabazus.
 chronological sequence of events here seems to be hopelessly confused. How could the old generals have at the same time waited for the new ones to arrive and been sent on their way with promises of fature help? Why in § $3^{1}$ does Xenophon tell the story already narrated by Thucydides (viii. 85) of what had already happened to Hermocrates early in 411, and couple with that his visit to Pharnabazus, which must certainly have been subsequent to his exile, mentioned in $\S 27$ as apparently occurring about the beginning of 410 B. c .? and why does he insert at this point his preparations for his attack upon Syracuse, which was not actually made till 408 b.c. according to Diodoras (xiii. 63)? Again, to what interval of time does $\boldsymbol{e} v$ roúre refer? for the new ships building at Antandros in the spring of 410 cannot have been finished till the summer, i. e. after the beginning of the next campaign. Perhaps it may be interpreted to mean the time following the agreement made between the old generals and the army, inclusive of the time required for building the ships. $\eta$ kov must therefore be translated as a pluperfect, ' had arrived.'
eis Mid $\lambda_{\eta}$ rov. This agrees with Thucydides, 1.c.
§ 32. 'Ev ©dore. Thasos had revolted from Athens in 4 II b. c., two months after Diotrephes, an Athenian oligarch, had put down the democracy, then existing, in favour of an oligarchy (cf. Thuc. viii. 64), and had since submitted to the government of a Spartan harmost. Now it appears that the popular party under Ecphantus had expelled Eteonicus the harmost and his supporters, and admitted Thrasybulus with an Athenian force just after the battle of Cyzicus: cf. this section with §§ 22. 12, and with Demosthenes (c. Lept. §67), who adds that this

dppoorths. In 423 b. c. the Spartans appointed governors in Thrace (Thuc. iv. 13I), and in 413 king Agis made Alcamenes harmost of Euboea (Thuc. viii. 5). But it was not till the admiralty of Lysander,

408 B．C．，that it became a principle of the Lacedaemonian hegemony to appoint these officers backed up by oligarchies of ten in all the subject states；cf．Diod．xiv．Io катабтhбavtes $\delta$ è vavap


 harmosts in these books are（i．2．18）Labotas in Heraclea，（i．3．5） Hippocrates in Chalcedon，（i．3．15）Clearchus in Byzantium，（ii．3．14） Callibius at Athens．

Maormidias．It is impossible to determine whether Pasippidas I was actually vavapxos in succession to Mindaras，or whether he merely filled his place till the newly appointed successor Cratesippidas arrived．
 its fortification in 413 B．c．（Thuc．vii．19）．

Opdou入los had returned to Athens immediately after the battle at Abydos（supr．§ 8）to procure reinforcements．
§ 34．$\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ i $\pi i \pi \alpha \sigma v$ ，＇of those in the rear．＇
§ 35．$\sigma \times$ nioo kal 80 ev ，＇should also seize the places from which，etc．＇
 as Agis prevented provisions coming into Athens by land，so also Clear－ chus was to do the same by sea．Clearchus had been designated to command a squadron in the Hellespont in 412 b．C．，and on his arrival there in 4 II b．C．Byzantium had revolted to him（Thuc．viii．8，39，80）．
$\S^{\circ} 36$ ．cis $\Sigma \eta \sigma \tau 6 v$ ．Sestus was at this time occupied by the Athenians （cf．supr．§ II），so that it has been proposed to read eis＂ABuסov．

8．37．＇Avvißa．This Hannibal was the son of Gisco，and according to the narrative of Diodorus（xiii．62）he captured Himera two years later，in 409 b．c．Dindorf regaids this reference to Sicilian affairs and the similar ones in i．5．21，ii．2．24，so too the references to Persian history，i．2．19，ii．1．8－9，as interpolations ：but they may very well be justified on the analogy of Thac．ii．28，iii．87，92，etc．，and indeed，since the Sicilian Greeks and the Persians had began actively to interfere， they may be regarded as necessary to the understanding of the course of the war．
§ 1 ．＇Oג $\mathbf{v \mu} \mu \mathrm{rca}$ s．See Introd．§ 2．on Xenophon＇s chronology．
тробтєӨeíoa Euvopis．Pansanias（v．8．3）relates，that the two－ horse chariot race was first added in the 93 rd Olympiad，i．e． 408 b．c．or two years later than this date on Breitenbach＇s calculation，or one year on Bruckner＇s．
©opuxbv．On the S．E．coast of Attica．
Opácu入入os supr．I． 8 was sent to obtain reinforcements for the Hellespont，but now，for some unexplained reason，he takes his new fleet

Book I. to Ionia. Probably the Athenians were already completely masters of
II. the Hellespont, and so could afford to use their forces elsewhere.
 'together with those who were to serve as peltasts'; but anyhow ass is out of place.
§ 2. IIúye入a. A small town five miles S.W. of Ephesus.
5 4. cis Nótwo. The harbour of Colophon, N.W. of Ephesus.
dкpdjovtos rove $\sigma$ prov, 'when the corn was ripening,' i.e. about June.

56. тй 'A pr $\dot{\mu} \mu \delta$. The famous temple of Artemis at Ephesus.
§ 7. Kop $\quad$ бобv, a hill four and a-half miles S.W. of Ephesus.

§ 9. Ais ci écacóv, 'about a hundred.'
§ 12. aủroîs darbpdor, ' crews and all.'
§ 13. d ut $\lambda_{\nu \sigma e v, ~ ' h e ~ l e t ~ g o ~ f r e e, ' ~ i s ~ a ~ c o r r e c t i o n ~ o f ~ D i n d o r f ~ f o r ~ t h e ~}^{\text {a }}$ MS. кат $\ell \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$. The sense evidently requires some such change in the text, as it can scarcely be true that Thrasyllus 'stoned to death' the cousin of his own friend Alcibiades. Others conjecture $\kappa a \tau \ell \lambda v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ or $\kappa а т \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon$.
§ 15 . ives . . . §kocev: two different constructions are here used after $\omega$ s.
 though it appears from Plut. Ale. 29 that Thrasyllus also took part.
§ 18. Kopuфáriov. Xenophon here gives rather a one-sided version of the recapture of Coryphasium or Pylos on the Messenian coast by the Spartans, which the Athenians had held ever since it was seized by their general Demosthenes in 425 B. C. Diodorus (xiii. 64) says that the place was at this time garrisoned by some Messenians, and when they were attacked by the Spartans, the Athenians sent a fleet of thirty vessels under Anytus to raise the siege. Anytus, however, was unable to weather Cape Malea, and therefore returned to Athens; whereupon the Messenians shortly after surrendered. Xenophon omits altogether to notice the important recapture of Nisaea by the Megarians about the same time (Did. xiii. 65).
roves ${ }^{\text {énolkous. It appears from Thus. iii. 92, viii. } 3 \text { that when the }}$ Spartans in 426 bic. settled 6000 colonists at Heraclea, they refused to allow any Achaeans to join in the settlement: and that in 413 bic. king Agis had extorted money and demanded hostages of the Achaeans of Phthia.
§ 19. kail \& inautbs, к.т.入. Dindorf prints this section in brackets for the same reasons as super. 1. 37.
 Thrasyllus, who had wintered at Lampsacus, supr. 2. 15 .
 daemonians (cf. supr. 1. 35).
§4. $\pi$ ía
§ 6. 'Aגкı $\beta\langle\delta \delta \eta s$. According to Plutarch's account, Alcibiades had been previously engaged in repelling Pharnabazus' attack on the outside of the Athenian siege works.
§ 7. otevotopiav. The narrow passage in between the river and the Athenian lines.
 xiii. 66 ).
§ 9. тd̀v ф'pov . . . ö whether this $\phi$ ofos was the eirooth or five per cent. duty on all imports and exports, for which the Athenians in 413 b.c. commuted the tribute originally assessed by Aristides (Thuc. vii. 28); or whether in the interval they had returned to the old system.
§ 13 . Mactrmíias was exiled (i. I. $\mathbf{3}^{2}$ ) on suspicion of treachery at Thasos. In the interval therefore he must have been recalled. These Spartan envoys, who were evidently sent to counteract the influence of the Athenian envoys, are not to be confused with those who (infr. 4. 2) met Pharnabazus at Gordium with the news of Cyrus' appointment to be satrap of Sardis.
\#i $\delta \eta$ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ éjuv (supr. 1. 27-32). Xenophon apparently inserts these words to denote that Hermocrates was not with the envoys as commissioned by the Syracusan govemment, but hoping to gain, as he actually did, some aid for the expedition which he was preparing against his country.
§ 15 . Kגtapxos: cf. i. 1. 35.
veofapmరิิv. The name given to newly manumitted Helots: -what their privileges were, cannot be ascertained (cf. Thuc, iv. 26, 80, vii. 58).
§ 17 . $d \lambda \lambda a t$. There seems to be no need to change the MS. reading
 somewhat irregular, but the meaning is plain.
$\boldsymbol{i} \pi<\beta a \pi \eta s$ ordinarily means either a marine or a private passenger. There is no evidence to show that it was a title of an inferior naval officer (cf. Thuc. viii. 61).
§ 18 . of $\pi$ po $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \delta \mathbf{6} v$ тes. An anacoluthon : the nominative has no verb. The interrupted sentence is taken up again at $\S 23$ with $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi e \hat{l} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$.
§ 19. vorepov. Byzantium surrendered a second time to the Lacedaemonians in the autumn of 405 B.c. (cf. ii. 2. 1).
$\dot{a} \pi \varepsilon \phi v y \in v$. Pregnant meaning, ' got off by saying.'
§ 20. adoolfavtes. Diodorus (xiii. 66) and Plutarch (Alcib. 31) give

Book I. a much faller and somewhat different account of the capture of Byzan-
III. tium, detailing an elaborate stratagem of Alcibiades, and speaking of III. a hard-fought battle within the town.
IV. § 2. of TE Lake $\sigma$ a $\mu$ ovicv. Although Xenophon introduces these envoys with the article ol, as if already mentioned, they evidently cannot be the same as those mentioned in ch. 3.13 as journeying to Persia. Probably therefore they had been despatched previously by the Spartans to procure the dismissal of Tissaphernes from Sardis.
$\pi d v \tau \omega v$ ©े by attraction for $\pi \alpha \nu v a \dot{\omega} \nu$.
 describes Cyrus more exactly as $\sigma a \tau \rho a \pi \eta s$ Avoías te mal $\Phi \rho v \gamma i a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$


 to be a Persian word, to account apparently for Xenophon's explanation of the term.
§ 5. $\mu \eta$ el8ivau. For the success of this measure cf. note on § 8.
§ 6. $\mu \AA \mu \psi \eta$ тal, sc. $\delta$ Kipos. Some commentators have needlessly conjectared $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \mathrm{fot} \mathrm{\nu} \mathrm{\tau o}, \mathrm{sc} .\mathrm{ol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\in} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\beta} \mathrm{\in îs} \mathrm{;} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{Pharnabazus} \mathrm{aimed} \mathrm{not} \mathrm{so}$ much to avoid the remonstrances of the envoys, as the displeasure of Cyrus.
§ 7. inautoi $\tau \rho \in i$ s, i. e. they returned to Athens in the spring of 405 B. C. just before the battle of Aegospotami.
 from $d \pi a ́ \xi \in \iota v$ immediately preceding.
 own arrival, and of the intention of the Persian king to aid the Lacedaemonians from reaching the Athenians, must have proved successful. Otherwise it is hard to believe that Alcibiades would have chosen this moment to return to Athens; whereas, supposing him to have been in ignorance, no moment could have seemed more propitious. He had restored the Athenian dominion over the Bosporus, Propontis, and Hellespont, had concluded a favourable treaty with Pharnabazus, and had sent an embassy to the Persian king in the hope of bringing him over to the side of Athens.
§ 9. Opaoúßoulos here reappears in Xenophon's narrative, nothing having been said of him since the battle of Cyzicus in $410 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.; cf. supr. note on I. 32. From this passage it would seem that the Lacedaemonian party had once more gained the upper hand in the island.
§ io. orparŋyous cildorro. It is not to be supposed that only three generals were elected instead of the usual ten. Xenophon probably names the three who were to command the fleet.

$$
\text { NOTES. CH. TV, § } 2-\S 16 .
$$

$\phi$ evyovta．Thucydides（viii．95）says that the people ì $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma a \nu t o ~ k a l ~$
 availed himself of the permission，so that perhaps now the decree may have＇been renewed．The curse pronounced over him by the priestly family of the Eumolpidae was certainly not recalled till his return in 408 в．с．
§ II．「ueciov．On the sonthern Laconian coast．
rov̂ ．．．karádiov．．The genitive may be made to depend on кaтaбкoтinv，or be regarded as a sort of partitive genitive dependent on $8 \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ．The meaning is the same in either case．

ח$\lambda$ vurinpla．The washing of the statue of the goddess took place on the 25th of Thargelion，i．e．about June 12 ；cf．Mommsen，Heorto－ logie．





ol $\mu$ ív corresponds to of $\delta \in \$ 17$ ．
$\mu$ oros，i．e．alone of those who had been banished at the same time．

ame入o $\pi \eta \theta_{\eta} \eta$ is used passively．


 that which seemed to be just to another time＇；i．e．the demand for an immediate trial，which seemed to be just．
eorep the length of the sentence．
§ 15．סoudeviov is appropriate as expressing the relation between Alcibiades as a subject，and the Persian king as a master．
§ 16 ．etval．Dindorf inserts eivau from one MS．It is a contracted
 that it was the part of men who were such as he was，to need，etc．＇
oloorтep трóтepov，к．т．入．The words，as they stand in the text，are a correction，almost universally adopted，of the unintelligible MSS． roooutos olos．But even so corrected it is very hard to extract any meaning out of them．roîs ．．．exppoîs is the dat．depending on imd́pXetv， and oio九木ाє $\rho$ is the dat．instead of the nom．by attraction after roovirous： the sequence of cases $\delta$ vvacotionv ．．．$\lambda$ e $\phi \theta$ évtas is very irregular．Per－ haps therefore the passage might be rendered：＇they said that nothing was left to his enemies except to appear to be what they had been before

Book I. (i. e. to try to appear to-be as powerful as they really had been in the oligarchy of 4II B.C.), and afterwards, when they should really have

## IV.

 gained power, to make away with the best citizens, and thus being themselves alone left remaining, to be courted by their fellow-citizens for the very reason that they would have no better men to employ.' $\phi \circ \beta \in \rho \omega \nu$. Translate: ' and that there was a danger that he alone would be the author of the evils that it was feared would befall the state': $\phi \circ \beta \epsilon \rho \omega ิ \nu \ldots \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a u=\phi \circ \beta \in \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \eta \gamma^{\mathcal{E}} \nu \eta \tau \tau \alpha$.

§ 20. aủrokpátco. For a parallel cf. Thuc. vi. 8, when Nicias, Alcibiades, and Lamachus were appointed $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o l$ aủroкрáropєs.
$\sigma \omega ิ \sigma a l$, i. e. to secure what remained and to recover what was lost of the Athenian power.
 lished at Decelea, Alcibiades now conducted the procession to Eleusis, as of old, along the Sacred Way. He evidently meant it to be not only a military demonstration, but an act of atonement to the priestly party, whose hatred he had so deeply incurred (cf. Thuc. viii. 53). Plutarch indeed says that he roused such enthusiasm among the common people, that they hoped that he would make himself tyrant (cf. Thuc. vi. 15).
§ 21. $\tau$ рiтч $\mu \eta v i$. The Eleusinian mysteries were celebrated on the 20th of Boedromion, i. e. Oct. 4 : the Plynteria on the 25 th of Thargelion, i.e. June 12. Alcibiades therefore must have stayed at Athens within a week of four months.

ทip $\eta \mu$ ivol кard $\gamma \uparrow \uparrow v$, i. e. Alcibiades selected them out of the college of generals to command the troops on board his fleet. Diodorus (xiii. 72) erroneously mentions Adimantus and Thrasybulus. For the latter was still absent from Athens (cf. Hell. i. 4. 9, 5. II). It appears from i. 4. 22, 5. 18 that Conon must have accompanied Alcibiades as second commander of the fleet, as he was left by him to carry on the siege of Andros.
8. 22. Tभिs 'Avסpias Xbipas. This failure to capture Andros was made a matter of reproach against Alcibiades by his enemies at Athens (Plut. Alc. 35 ).
§ 23. 'тто入fuct. Diodorus and Plutarch state that Alcibiades made plundering expeditions to Caria, Cos, and Rhodes, apparently in the winter 408-407 B.C.
 dros and Samos, in the autumn of 408 B.c. Xenophon now proceeds to bring his account of the doings in the enemy's camp up to the same date.

## NOTES. CH. IV, § $17-C H . V, \S$.

rîs vavapxias. The origin of the admiralty at Sparta, like that of other Lacedaemonian institutions, is shrouded in some obscurity. Herodotus (iii. 56) speaks of a Spartan naval expedition undertaken against Polycrates, tyrant of Samos, about 525 B.c., but does not mention who was the commander. He does however apply the title of vav́apxos to Eurybiades at the battle of Salamis (viii. 42), but he calls king Leotychides, who commanded the Greek fleet at Mycale in 479 B.c. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \delta$ s kal vav́apxos (viii. 131), showing that at that date the office was not permanently separated from the kingship. From this time, with the doubtful exceptions of the regent Pausanias and Dorcis (Thuc. i. 95), we hear nothing of the admiralty till the time of the Peloponnesian War, after the outbreak of which till its close a tolerably complete list of admirals can be made out all the time that the Spartans maintained a fleet at sea. The list begins with Cnemus $430-429$ B.C. (Thuc. ii. 66, 80 , 93), who seems, like the other Spartan magistrates, to liave entered on his office in September. Alcidas succeeded after a year's interval, 428-427 (Thuc. iii. 16, 26), and then after another year's interval, Thrasymelidas, 426-425 (Thuc. iv. 1I). In 425 the Spartans lost their entire fleet at Pylos, and built no more ships until after the Athenian disaster at Syracuse. In 413-412 Melancridas was admiral of the newly built fleet: he was followed in the two next years by Astyochus (Thuc. viii. 20) and Mindarus (Thac. viii. 85), who perished at the battle of Cyzicus in the spring of 410 b.c. It is doubtful whether Pasippidas, who was commissioned to collect together what ships he could (Xen. i. I. 32) was actually admiral or not, and it is doubtful again, when the titular admiral Cratesippidas took command over the ships that he had thus collected, although Xenophon narrates the fact as occurring apparently in the campaign of $41 \mathrm{I}-410$. At any rate Cratesippidas was not succeeded by Lysander till the autumn of 408 (Xen. i. 5. 1). At the end of his year of office Lysander was followed by Callicratidas, who was drowned at the battle of Arginusae in 406 b.c. (c. June). After an interval of some months the fleet was handed over to Lysander as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ or second in command, the nominal admiral being Aracus. Then there is a gap of one year in our information, during which Lysander was again actual commander of the fleet, whoever may have been the titular admiral, and for the following year (404-403) Libys, the brother of Lysander, was appointed to be admiral.

As to the powers of the office Aristotle (Pol. ii. 9. 33) calls it $\sigma \chi \in \delta \delta \nu$ Érépa Baбı入eía-a criticism which seems, however, to be true only of Lysander, and possibly of Teleutias, the brother of king Agesilaus ( $39^{2-391}$ B.C.) ; and which seems tacitly to refer to Aristotle's previous definition of the kingship as merely a $\sigma$ тparjria $\mathbf{\delta i d}$ Biov. In this respect the admiral was indeed another king, having a power independent of

Book I. and parallel with that of the two ordinary kings. But in another respect his position was far inferior and more precarious : for the office was, as V. a general rule (the case of Cratesippidas, who was sent out to command whatever ships he could find, being apparently an exception), an annual one-at least so it would appear from the list of admirals that can be made out with tolerable certainty so long as the Spartans maintained a fleet at sea-and moreover the same individual could not legally hold it twice (Xen. ii. 1. 7). In the case of Lysander indeed the ephors discovered an easy evasion of the law, by appointing him for two years etrıatodev́s or second in command to a merely nominal admiral : and it was while holding this subordinate position that Lysander attained to his greatest power, and acted like a sovereign prince in the Aegean. Thus at the siege of Athens (405-404) he appears as commander of the fleet quite on an equality with the kings Agis and Pausanias in command of the army, and further to have the advantage over them in that his action was not hampered by the presence of a colleague. Again, when any admiral had proved himself inefficient or untrustworthy, the Spartan government preferred, as they did with the kings, rather to send out $\sigma \dot{i} \mu \beta o v \lambda o t$ to advise him than simply to deprive him of his office (cf. Thuc. vi. 85, viii. 39), unless this was absolutely necessary.

The office of the $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime}$ or second in command has already been referred to in the case of Lysander. But in ordinary cases the gecretary was appointed, as Pollux (i. 96) declares, to be simply $\delta \ell \pi l$ rov̂ $\sigma \tau \delta \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} o v$ סtåoxos tov̂ vavapXov. Thus after the death of Mindarus, his secretary Hippocrates took the command of the fleet (Thuc. i. 1, 23), and the admiral Callicratidas left his secretary Eteonicus at the head of the squadron blockading Conon at Mytilene, while he himself sailed to meet the Athenians at Arginusae, and after his death his place was filled by Eteonicus until the arrival of a definitely appointed successor.

As to the method of election to the admiralship nothing at all is known, though it is plain that the post must have been regarded as of great significance in the struggle of political parties at Sparta. Thus the choice of Lysander three times to command the fleet, once as admiral and twice as secretary, followed by the choice of his brother Libys and later of his friend Anaxibins, points to the supremacy of his party in the home government, and similarly the appointment of his opponent Callicratidas in the autumn of $40 \gamma$ must mean a temporary check to his policy, though but few details can be made out from the confused and unchronological account of Plutarch.
$\$ \beta \delta о \mu \nmid \kappa о v \tau a$. This was the first fleet the Spartans had collected since the battle of Cyzicus, 410 B. C. (sup. 1. 18).
cis Eap6ets: from Gordium in Phrygia Minor (sup. 4. 3), where he had been in the spring of 408.

§ 5. Tds $\sigma u v 0$ jhas (cf. Thuc. viii. 29, 45, 58). One $\operatorname{mina}=100$ drachmae $=600$ obols, or three obols a day for every sailor in a crew of 200.


$\S 11$. Cf. Introd. § 2 on Xenọphon's chronology for the reasons for putting the beginning of the new year at this point.

Opacúßoviov. Xenophon does not relate how Thrasybulus sailed from Thrace and Thasos (supr. 4. 9), nor why he was now fortifying Phocaea. This town was occupied by the Spartan admiral Astyochus 412 b.c. (cf. Thuc. viii. 3I), and after Arginusae, 406 B.c., the Peloponnesians fled to it for refuge. But, like Cyzicus, it may have been unwalled, and so liable to occupation by either side, so that there is no need to change rexifitiv into emirexijcelv. Diodorus (xiii. 73) has a different story altogether, that Alcibiades sailed not to Phocaea, bat to Clazomenae.
'Avtioxov. Plutarch (Alc. 36) calls him an experienced seaman, but rash and inconsiderate. In ch. ro he relates a story of the manner in which, as a boy, he gained the friendship of Alcibiades.

§ 15. $\boldsymbol{\Delta e \lambda}$ ¢inov kal 'Hı6va. Delphinium was in Chios. Diodorus (xiii. ${ }^{76)}$ ascribes the capture of Delphinium and Teos not to Lysander, but to Callicratidas, and therefore to the year 406 b.c. Hence some commentators have proposed to read Ttav, or Thiovs instead of 'Hobva.
 of Thraso [to be distinguished from the famous Thrasybulus], return to Athens immediately after the battle, and there formally impeach Alcibiades for general misconduct. Diodorus (xiii. 73, 74) here again gives quite a different account : the general discontent at Athens was, according to him, increased by the accusations of some Cymaean envoys, who complained that, after the battle of Notium, Alcibiades had made a descent upon Cyme and ravaged its land, notwithstanding that it was an allied state. But something must be wrong in Diodorus' account, because Thucydides (viii. 31, 100) distinctly states that Cyme was on the Peloponnesian side. Nepos (Alc. 7) has yet another version, that Alcibiades was accused at Athens, not for the defeat at Notium, which he does not mention, but for his failure in an attempt upon Cyme.
addous $\mathbf{\delta E k}$ кa. Since the battle of Notium must have taken place in the spring of 407 , and elections were held at Athens aboat May I, it would seem that Xenophon must mean, not an extraordinary election of generals to replace Alcibiades, but the ordinary elections for the year 407-406, at which Alcibiades failed to secure re-election. Other-

Book I. V.

Book I. wise we should expect to hear not of ten, but only of two or three new generals appointed to supersede him in command of the fleet. Cf. V. Untrod. p. $\mathbf{3 2}^{2 .}$
§ 17. td Eavtov (Died. xiii. 74, Plat. All. 36): a castle named Pactyes near the Thracian town of Bysanthe on the Propontis.
§ 18 . Tท̂s ${ }^{\text {Avopov, where apparently he had been left by Alcibiades }}$ (i. 4. ${ }^{23}$ ).

Savoofív : since the name of Phanosthenes does not occur in the list of the new generals, it would seem that he was one of the generals of the preceding year, and that he was sent to replace Conon at Andros in the interval which elapsed between the election of the new generals in May, and their entering office in July.
§ 19. $\Delta \omega$ рı́́a: cf. i. 1. 2, Thus. viii. 35, 84.
© $\xi$ 'A $\begin{gathered}\eta v \omega ิ v . ~ R h o d e s ~ w a s ~ o n e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s u b j e c t ~ a l l i e s ~ o f ~ A t h e n s, ~ a n d ~\end{gathered}$ therefore under her jurisdiction.
map' aủroîs, i. e. at Thurii.
ineท́qavtes, because of the fame he had won as an Olympic victor. Cf. That. iii. 8.
 of Athens at this juncture.
VI. § 1. ทो $\sigma \in \lambda_{\eta}^{\eta} \eta \eta$, к.т. $\lambda$. On the chronological data see Introd. p. 20 sqq. This eclipse occurred on April 15, 406 b.c.
© тa入aús, к.t. $\lambda$. Probably the temple of Athena Polis on the Acropolis, called ' old' in opposition to the newer Parthenon.
 during his period of office organized the various oligarchical clubs in the Ionian states in his own favour, promising them the government of their cities (cf. ii. 2. 2).
 pointed, who had only just studied naval matters, and did not know how to employ men.' Dindorf corrects the MS. reading to $d_{\nu \tau^{\prime}} \in \pi / \tau \eta-$
 certainly give an easier meaning, but there seems to be no absolute necessits to change the text.
 I am myself ambitious, and our country is accused, etc.'

8 8. ग'́ $\mu \psi$ as тpıtipecs. Xenophon nowhere relates the result of their mission.
$\S$ II. elkeiva: the money from Lacedaemon.

 opposing him.'

$$
\text { NOTES. } \dot{C} H . V, \S 17-C \dot{H} . V I, \S 29 .
$$

 from their private means.'
 apiece.'

 fact that Callicratidas allowed the Athenians to be sold.


§ 16. eis d̀íras : cf. supr. 5. 20.
 seem to be reckoned by anticipation : for according to § 3 and Diod. xiii. 76 the number was now 140.
§ 17. катакш入u日eis, i. e. prevented from beaching his ships under the city walls.
§ 19, кoil $\lambda_{\eta v}$ vav̂v: 'the ship's hold.'
тd тарарри́цата: what particular kind of coverings these were, is unknown. Apparently the crews remained below during the day-time to escape the notice of the enemy, and to fit out the ships. They had to wait five days before they caught the Peloponnesians off their guard.

 seems a simpler rendering than to take $\boldsymbol{\omega} s$, as Peter does, as qualifying
 order of ideas appears to be somewhat confused in the phrases dyкúpas


The detail with which Xenophon narrates this incident of personal skill and courage is noticeable.
§ 22. $\Delta \iota \rho e ́ \delta \omega v$. Xenophon does not say where he was coming from : from the context it would appear that it was not from Athens.
§ 24. 8oúdous. To enrol slaves, even as rowers in the fleet, was a very exceptional measure. These slaves who fought at Arginusae were -rewarded with their freedom, and were given allotments of lánd together with the same political privileges as the Plataeans enjoyed at Athens (cf. Arist. Frogs 190, 693 ; Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. i. 56, 80).
$\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{l} \pi \pi \in \omega \mathrm{v}$ : the knights formed the second of Solon's five classes and were usually exempt from naval service (cf. Thuc. iii. 16).
§ 27. '̇v raîs 'Appıvov́gals: three small islands between Lesbos and. the mainland.
§ 28. dvé $\chi_{\chi \in v, ~ s c . ~}^{\delta} \boldsymbol{\chi \epsilon \mu \mu \nu v , ~ ' w h e n ~ t h e ~ s t o r m ~ c e a s e d . ' ~}$
§ 29. 'Epaotvi $\delta \eta s$. Xenophon nowhere relates how he escaped from Mytilene, in which, according to $\$ 16$, he was blockaded together with

Book II. § 24 . émavíyovto : imperfect, to express that the Athenians also did so for four days.
§ 25. 'A $\lambda_{k \iota} \beta\left\llcorner\alpha^{\prime} \delta \eta s\right.$ : last mentioned as sailing to these forts, i. 5. 17. According to Diodorus (xiii. 105) he now demanded a share in the command of the fleet. .Lysias (xiv. 38) actually accuses Alcibiades of having betrayed, in conjunction with Adimantus, the Athenians at Aegospotami in revenge for this repulse. But, if Xenophon's account of the circumstances be correct, any treachery on his part seems to have been quite impossible, and in the following year it was Adimantus and his party who contrived the assassination of Alcibiades.
§ 26. aưTol . . . ikeivov: for this the regular construction of nominative and accusative in oratio obliqua cf. ii. 2. 17, Thuc. iv. 28.
§ 27. тoîs $\pi a p '$ aủrov̂ ímopévors, i. e. those who had been ordered by him to follow the Athenian movements, supr. $\$ 24$.
§ 28. тòv émím入ouv. Diodorus (xiii. 106) gives a totally different account of the battle, making the Athenians, led by Philocles, take the offensive.

8ikporol, i. e. with only two out of the three tiers of oars manned.
Idpaios: this and the $\Sigma a X a \mu \iota \nu i a$ were sacred vessels used by the Athenians for religious missions, for conveying ambassadors, and for carrying the commands of the home government to the generals: cf. infra vi. 2. 14 ; Thuc. vi. 53 ; viii. 86.

cis td teixúbia, i. e. of Sestos. Xenophon omits to relate its capture. Diodorus (l.c.) puts the event immediately after the battle.
§ 29. rd $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\text { dia }}$. . . Lotia. Lysander must have left them behind to lighten his ships for rowing; ef. i. 1. 13. Xenophon says nothing more about Conon till just before his great victory over the Lacedaemonians at Cnidus in 394 B.c.; cf. iii. 4. I.
 number.



 for sparing his life. The evidence against Adimantus is very doubtful. Lysias (xiv. 38) speaks of Alcibiades tàs vav̂s $\Lambda v \sigma a ́ v \delta \rho q u \in \tau d$ 'A $\delta \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau v v$ $\pi \rho o \delta o v ̂ v a t$, where he is certainly misrepresenting much of Alcibiades' conduct. Indeed, in another speech (ii. 58), he regards the cause of the
 (iv. 17, x. 9) preserves the Athenian tradition, that Adimantus and - Tydeus were the traitors, the latter being the bitterest opponent of Alcibiades. Isocrates (v. 62) expresses no decided opinion. The ac-
cusation of treachery brought by Conon against Adimantus (mentioned by Demosthenes xix. 191) belongs to a much later date, c. 393, after the amnesty of 403. In the midst of such uncertain evidence it is impossible to form a definite opinion either way, especially as accusations of treachery to account for so irretrievable a disaster would lie so ready to hand.
mapavoueiv. The MS. D has interpolated in it after mapavo $\mu \in i \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ т $̂ \nu$ ä̀ $\lambda a \nu \nu \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega \hat{\nu}$, which exactly agrees with the story of his answer given by Plutarch (Lys. I3).
 409 B. C., left in the hands of the Lacedaemonians, and must therefore at some time since have been taken by the Athenians.
ol $\delta$ te $\pi$ po $\delta$ óvtes: cf. i. 3.18.
тотє: before the surrender of Athens.
viotepov: after the restoration of the democracy in 403 b.c.
 intermediate clanse ö $\sigma \varphi$. . . Пetpauâ: cf. iii. 4. 27.
 cf. iv. 2. 11.

Butavifov, k.t.d. As masters of the Bosporus, the Lacedaemonians could now prevent the passage of all corn ships on their way to Athens; cf. i. 1. 35 ; ii. 1.17.
dpuorvif. It appears from Diodorus (xiv. 13) and Plutarch (Lys. 13) that Lysander now established, in connection with the oligarchical clubs that he had previonsly organized in Asia Minor and the Aegean, a system of decarchies or councils of ten men in every subject state, to replace the democracies, and to support the Spartan harmost or governor, and his garrison ; cf. note on i. 5. 8.
 if ${ }^{\Psi} \mu \omega \omega \zeta \%$ had preceded : the genitive absolute would have been more regular.

M $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda$ ious: cf. Thuc. v. 116.
Totroutas: cf. Thuc. i. 114.
Exwovaious cai Topwvaious: cf. Thuc. v. 3, $\mathbf{3}^{2}$.
Aipwnítas: cf. Thuc. i. 108, ii. 17.
§5. ais $\Lambda \in \sigma \beta$ ov. The Athenians had held this island, with the exception of Methymna, since they had recovered it after its revolt in 412 B.c. (Thuc. viii. 23).

катєбкеvdбaro. For the system introduced cf. note on $\wp$ 2. The

$\S$ 6. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \gamma r \omega \rho i \mu \omega v$, i. e. the oligarchs, who often too called themselves кaגol кdya日oí. For the conduct of the Samian democrats cf. Thuc. viii. 2I.

Book II. § 7. $\pi \lambda_{\lambda+1 v}$ 'Apycicv, who had concluded an alliance with Athens in $\longrightarrow 420$ B.C. (Thac. v. 47), to which they seem now to have been faithful.
II.

 on the soath border of the Argolis; cf. Thuc. ii. 27.

Tทิs aũTヘ̂v, sc. $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s$.
Td. $\pi \lambda$ तia : the corn ships.
§ Io. Evópu̧ov 8 f́, к.т. $\lambda$. This is one of the chief passages on which the theory of Xenophon's philo-Laconism in Hellenics I, II has been based. But when compared with the impartiality of the rest of the books, it may very well be that Xenophon is merely chronicling what were actually the feelings of the Athenians at the time.
ikeivors: the Lacedaemonians.
5 II. tov̀s dripous. The proposal was made by Patroclides (Andoc. i. 73). It did not apply to the exiles (cf. infr. § 20), but only to those who had been in any measure disfranchised for the part they had played in the oligarchical revolution of the Four Hundred in 4 II B.c.; cf. Introd. p. 35.
map' 'Ayv. Lysander had already crossed with part of his fleet to Asia in order to lay siege to Samos ; cf. infr. § 16.
§ 12. ov่ Ydp eival кúptos: for the almost supreme power of Agis, when he was at Decelea, cf. Thuc. viii. 4, 'i.

8 I3. $\sum_{k} \lambda \lambda_{a \sigma i q}$ : the frontier town of Lacedaemon.
aủr6 ev : temporal, 'at once,' ' on the spot.'
§ 15. Tश̂s кaӨalpérews. This shows that the Athenian envoys were not simply dismissed by the Spartans, but had definite terms proposed to them, on which a peace could be concluded.
 joining Athens and Piraeus, and one joining Athens and Phalerum; ékaripov must therefore mean each of the two outer walls.
 phon; cf. Lysias xiii. II.
§ I6. Tocoútav 8 e ofvrov. rooov́taly is the predicate, the participle having no subject, as supr. i. 2. 26.

тapd $\Lambda$ úravסpov: now engaged in the siege of Samos; cf. supr. § II.
 idiom often puts the subject of the dependent sentence as the direct object of the principal verb.

тiotews iveka, i.e. as a pledge that the conditions would be observed.

трєîs $\mu$ 亿̂vas cal $\pi \lambda$ ह́ov: from December 405 to the end of March 404 B. C.


Sud rò imineloutivar tòv oitov: it seems to be impossible to reconcile this with the statement in § 11 that the corn supply had already failed three months ago, before Theramenes' mission to Lysander.

§ 17 . cica without $\boldsymbol{\delta k}$ strengthens the opposition between the sentences.
où $\dot{\gamma} d p$ eival kúplos: cf. the answer of Agis supr. § 12.
 daemon.' S\&́katos aúrós: ' with nine others.'
6 18. 'Aplotot $\begin{aligned} & \lambda \eta \text { : cf. ii. 3. 2, 13. Afterwards he was one of the }\end{aligned}$ Thirty, and was sent by them to Sparta to obtain a Lacedaemonian garrison for Athens.

§ 20. 'Make $\delta a \mu \mu$ obnol $\mathbf{8 e}$ : cf. Justin v. 7 'Negarunt Spartani se ex duobus Graeciae oculis alterum eruturos.' Infr. ii. 3. 41, however, Theramenes attributes to them mere motives of political expediency.
 and Diodorus add as one of the conditions, that the Athenians were for the fature to confine themselves to their own territory [ $\tau \omega \bar{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$





§23. \úgavopos re кatemiel, i.e. from Samos, which he was still besieging : he entered the city, according to Plutarch (Lys. 15), on the 16th of Munychion, i. e. the beginning of April, 404 B.C.

кaтéckantov : 'began to demolish.' The work was not completed till the autumn of this year ; cf. ii. 3. II.
 pp. 14, 15 , and note on supr. $£ 10$.
§ 24. ¿גóvcov 'Axpayavta. Xenophon has already, in i. 5. 21, narrated this same defeat of the Carthaginians and capture of Acragas as events of the year 407,406 . But it appears from Diodorus (xiii. 87, 92) that the capture did not occur till eight months after the defeat, i. e. December 406, and that Dionysius made himself tyrant of Syracuse about June 405. In this passage (èv $\overline{\boldsymbol{q}} \mu \in \sigma o \hat{v} \nu \tau$, i. e. antumn) it is put a few months later.
§ 1. Eü\&ixov. Some MSS. read Ei̇ziov, which Dindorf corrects to 'Evoiov, because an ephor of that name for the year 413 b.c. is mentioned in Thuc. viii. 6. But Eüठícov is the reading of the best MS. both here and infra § 10.

Book II.
avapxiav, i.e. this year was not named, like the other years, after the áp $p \chi \omega \nu \dot{\text { é }} \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu v \mu o s$.
 Dracontides, in the autumn 404, five months after the conclusion of the peace, ii. 2. 23. Xenophon passes over all the intervening events, viz. the nomination of five ephors through the agency of the oligarchical. clubs, the embitterment of internal dissensions, the arrest of the democratical leaders, and the invitation sent by the oligarchs' to Lysander, who was still besieging Samos, to interfere in the domestic politics of Athens ( $\S 9$, in September). When he arrived in Athens, his oligarchical partisans easily procured the passing of the decree mentioned in the text through the assembly with all the usual legal forms, backed as they were by the threats of Lysander, on the ground that the Athenians had not completed the demolition of the walls within the time prescribed in the treaty. Of the Thirty ten were nominated by 'Theramenes, ten by the five ephors, and ten by the assembly itself.
oíde. Most had been members of the Four Hundred in 411 b.C.
§ 3. тpòs इ'á
 413 B.c.

 nysius was never really master of these two towns, but was defeated by the Carthaginians in marching to the relief of Gela, and then led the inhabitants of Gela and Camarina back with him to Syracuse, and that all this happened in the year 405 B.C.
 Syracusan knights or aristocrats rebelled against Dionysius, and fled to Catana. Unger therefore conjectures àmd $\Delta \iota \nu \nu v \sigma i o v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ a ́ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \pi \eta \eta \sigma a \nu . ~$
§ 7. rois dpxaiors mo入itals. Thucydides (viii. 21) relates that the Samian $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os had in the year 412 B.c. expelled 400 of the aristocrats.

סéka dpxortas . . . фpoupeîv, i. e. Lysander organizèd the government of Samos in the same way as he had previously done in the other subject states; cf. note on ii. 2. 2. Фроvpєiv is epexegetical, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \rho o v \rho \epsilon i v$, unless indeed it be a gloss.
$\dot{a} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \in$, because with the surrender of Samos the reduction of the Athenian empire was complete; cf. ii. 2. 6.
§ 8. cis पakeSalpova. Diodorus (xiii: 106) and Plutarch (Lys. 16) give a much more detailed account of the triumphal entry of Lysander, with considerable exaggeration of the treasures that he brought back with him.
\& $\pi \in \rho \cdot \boldsymbol{\text { fivorro. }}$ Contrast the conduct of Lysander, when Callicratidas succeeded him in 406, i. 6. 10. mapéßelke: cp. supr. 1. 14.
 war from the Theban attack on Plataea down to the capture of Athens lasted almost exactly twenty－seven years，and if to this the six months be added down to Lysander＇s return to Sparta in Sept．404，the total number of years is twenty－seven and a half．Morus（Xenophon，Hellenic．p．xxiii） ingeniously shows how the interpolator arrived at the mistaken total． For it appears from Thuc．v． 36 that the ephors entered on their office about the autumnal equinox．When the war began therefore，Aenesias， who had entered on his office in Sept．432，had still four months of his ephorate to run．Twenty－seven more names would bring us down to September 404．Then the interpolator adds a twenty－ninth，because Eudicus must have entered on his office just before Lysander returned．
§ 11 ．Ot 8̇ tplákovta．Xenophon takes up the narrative where he left it in § 2.
ka0pét $\theta^{\eta}$ ：the aorist expresses the completion of the process，the beginning of which several months before was expressed by the im－ perfect катย̇бкапто⿱，supr．2． 23.
 cf．iii．5．${ }^{24}$ ．

 трtккогта．Moreover，as appears from $\S \S 12,23,28$ ，they had trans－ ferred all judicial powers to the Bount．
§ 12．трஸ̂тov $\mu$ ív．Xenophon，as already mentioned，passes over entirely the arrest of the democratical leaders in the months before the nomination of the Thirty ；cf．note on § 2.
iv ти̂ $\delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \circ \kappa$ рaтiq，i．e．in the time when the government was still a democracy．
ámod oukoфavrias，i．e．by bringing quibbling accusations against the rich in the popular law courts．
 cf．ii．4． 17.
oúsìv $\eta^{\prime} \times$ Oovro．Even Lysias（xit．5）admits that at first the conduct of the Thirty had at least a show of justice about it．
 supr．§ 2.

бфior $\sigma u \mu \pi \rho \hat{\xi}$ al，к．т．入．，lit．＇that Lysander would join them in effecting that guards should come＇；cf．§ $14 \sigma v \nu \in \pi \rho a \xi \in \nu$ ．

6．14．Tひ̂v $\delta\left(\frac{1}{~}\right.$ ф poupôv．Partitive genitive with oüs．
 themselves to be set aside，＇i．e．from a share in the government．
av：notice the unusual omission of $a_{y} y$ with $d v \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a u$ ，the first of the two opposed sentences．
 § 18 with the words $\boldsymbol{\text { en tovitov. }}$
äte kal $\phi$ vү由̀v. The date and cause of his banishment are unknown. He was in Athens at the time of the conspiracy of the Four Hundred in 41 I b.c., had proposed the recall of Alcibiades c. 408 b.c. (cf. Plut. Alc. 33), and was an exile in Thessaly during the trial of the ten generals in 406 b.c. (cf. infr. § 36). So that some have supposed that he was banished in 407 , as being mixed up with the affairs of Alcibiades. He came back with the other exiles after the capture of Athens, and was nominated one of the five ephors; cf. Lysias xii. 78.
 aimed at extraordinary power not to put out of the way those who were most capable of hindering them.'
$\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho$ тupavvíios, as it stands, is out of place. Jacobs therefore brackets it. Hermann proposes to read \# $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ rupavvíסos, which gives the sense that the passage requires.
§ 18. of ald $\lambda_{0<}$ тpláovta, although Theramenes was one of them. of tpiakovтa is similarly used as a proper name in ii. 4. $21,23,38$, after several of the number had been killed.

tov̀s $\mu \in \theta \in \xi$ ovtas $=$ ot $\mu \in \theta \in \xi o v \sigma \iota$. Similarly the Four Hundred, in 411 B.c., had pretended to enroll 5000 citizens from those capable of supplying themselves with heavy armour at their own expense ; cf. infr. § 48. It appears from § 51 that no member of the 3000 could be condemned without the warrant of the Senate, while any other Athenian could be put to death simply at the orders of the Thirty.
 тplox ${ }^{1}$ lous, as appears from the order of the words. The meaning is, 'though wishing to take the best of the citizens into partnership, they had taken only three thousand.'

тòv ápı0 0 òv tov̂тov éxovta is in the aecusative absolate.

$\hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}$, i.e. the Thirty.
 the object of $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon v v^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$, as is apparent from the following $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{\nu}$

 three thousand to take up their arms': bat Mr. E. Abbott would translate, 'having set them on the arms,' i.e. having bidden them to seize the arms of the other citizens, while the latter were away from home, -an interpretation which gives the meaning that the context requires. Cobet (Mnemosyne vi. 47) points out that the passage must indicate some stratagem by which all $\epsilon \xi \omega$ тov̂ кaтa入byov were induced to leave
their arms behind them，but denies that any such meaning can be ex－ tracted from the words as they stand：he conjectures，therefore，that－ several words have fallen out of the text．
rovis $\phi$ poupoús：the Spartan garrison．
§ 21 ．ékaotov，i．e．тâv тpıaкоута，＇that each of the Thirty should seize one of the Metoeci．＇
§ 22．$\lambda a \mu \beta$ ávouv：the optative expresses frequency．
§ 23．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a v \tau i$, adverbial，＇in every point，＇＇altogether．＇
тpòs rov̀s $\beta$ oudevtás：to whom the judicial power had been trans－ ferred；cf．note on § II．

тараүеviodat：just outside the senate house ；cf．§ 50.
ouve入e $\xi a v$ ．The Thirty summoned the Senate，and directed the


§ 24．$\pi$ גelovas тov̂ Kalpov̂：＇more than is expedient．＇
 supplied．
 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．
§ 26．גupaiveral with the dative has the same meaning as with the accusative，＇to injure，＇＇do mischief to．＇
§ 27 ．ots 8 ívarar，＇by what means he can．＇


 case．
§ 28．aủ〒屯 ．．ápt́oket，an anacoluthon，just as if，not ăpfas and


§ 29． $8 \sigma \varphi \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ lots．Here there is no correlative comparative with $8 \sigma \varphi$ ，although one is implied in the meaning：＇men are more ready to trust enemies than traitors．＇Here of $\sigma \boldsymbol{\psi}$ may be translated＇inasmuch as＇； cf．Cyrop．vi． 2.19.
§ 30．kard $\tau \dot{d} v$ matipa＂Ayvova，＇just as his father Hagnon had been．＇ Hagnon was one of the $\pi \rho \delta \beta$ ov 10 appointed immediately after the Sicilian disaster（Thuc．viii．1），who according to Lysias（xii．65）prepared the way for the conspiracy of the Four Hundred．

## $\pi \rho о \pi є$ тотатоs．For the facts alluded to cf．Thac．viii．68， 92.

§ 3 I．кal үd̀p $\delta$ кó 0 opvos，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Morus and other commentators put this sentence in brackets as a gloss，such an explanation being quite
 cannot possibly be translated in the sense required，viz．＇fits neither foot．＇

Book II. $\delta \in i ̂ . ~ . ~ . ~ o v ̉ ~: ~ . ~ . ~ \delta \epsilon t v o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ m u s t ~ b e ~ t r a n s l a t e d ~ t o g e t h e r . ~$ cis $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\gamma} \mu \mathrm{ara}$, i. e. 'to dangerous undertakings.'

## III.


§ 32: $\mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi 0 \cup$ : cf. § 24.


 Generals.'


§ 34. Tज̂v है $\xi \omega$. The democrats in exile.
 to be reconciled with Xenophon's narrative in i. 7. 4 cf. Introd. p. 42, on the Trial.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a x \theta \in v \mu \circ$ v่ $\phi$ ' \&avtติv, accus. absolute, 'that although orders had been given me by themselves, I had not rescued,' etc.


фdoкovtes Yáp, к.т.入. This is a direct contradiction to the generals' own statement in i. 7. 6. Probably Theramenes means, that if the generals said that a rescue was possible, and yet in their despatch made no mention of any orders given to himself and other subordinate officers, they would themselves appear responsible for the death of the ship.wrecked sailors. But the generals never said anything of the kind.
§ 36. *тapavevouŋkival. A word is required meaning that Critias had misunderstood the matter. Wolf therefore conjectures aapavevoŋntivat, Cobet параvєvouккévat.

 cf. note supr. ii. 2. 15. Theramenes ridicules Critias for taking exactly the opposite side in Athens to what he had taken in Thessaly: for the Penestae, like the Helots in Lacedaemon, were 'adscripti glebae.'
 and to magistrates being appointed, and to the notorious sycophants being tried, so far we were all of the same opinion.'
 бvкофаขтías ̧へ̂vтas. For the adverb $\delta \mu о \lambda о \gamma o v \mu$ évas cf. Demosth. xxix. 14 Tòv $\delta \mu 0 \lambda o \gamma o v \mu$ évas $\delta 0 \hat{\lambda} \lambda o v$.
§ 39. Méovtos: for the previous history and democratical proclivities of Leon, cf. Thuc. viii. 23, 55, 73, supr. i. 5. 16, vi. 16. Plato (Apol. 32) gives a fuller account of this incident, in which Socrates was involved, and showed his courage.

Nıkiov. The Athenian general at Sicily. Nothing more is known of the son here mentioned.
§ 40. 'Avrıфิvros. Not to be confused with Antiphon the fhetorician, who was executed for the part he played in the conspiracy of the Four Hundred. Nothing more is known of this Antiphon, unless he is to be identified with the Antiphon mentioned in Memorab. i. 6. I.

Eva Eikaotov: cf. note on § 21 .

то⿱́тov êveca $\beta$ oudohivous : cf. Diod: xv. 63, Polyaenus i. 45. 5, whence it appears that the Spartan reasons for sparing Athens were based as much on policy as on generosity; cf. supr. ii. 2. 20.

8forvo. If the reading be right, the present tense must show that Theramenes alludes to the feeling that the Lacedaemonians entertained towards the Athenians at the moment he was speaking. Cobet (Mnem. vi. 46) corrects it to $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ Eहєоvтo.

 have made ourselves masters of the ruled.'
 Xenophon alludes only in general terms to the banishment and execution of the leading democrats. More extraordinary still, he never even mentions the assassination of Alcibiades at this time by Pharnabazus, at the wish of Lysander, who had been instigated by Critias to accomplish it ; cf. Plut. Alc. 38. For Thrasybulus cf. infr..ii. 4. 2 sqq. Anytus was one of the leading democrats, and after his return from exile was the foremost accuser of Socrates.
 airovis, Thrasybulus and the exiles. inyeiotar, sc. aijoús, accus. and infin. depending on of $\mu a r$.

 character always to change,' etc.

§ 46. íkeivot $\mu \hat{i} v, \kappa$. .....: 'the Lacedaemonians were as vigorous as ever'; cf. Thuc. viii. 70.
 rav̂r' aioóónevos. So Thucydides (viii. 92) attributes the discovery and exposure of the plan to Theramenes.
§ 47. $\kappa 6 \theta \mathrm{opvov}, \mathrm{cf}$. § 30.
 being the daily pay for sitting in the Senate. Cobet thinks the expres-

 tence as it stands is an anacoluthon. Xenophon begins with ro $\mu^{\prime} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$

 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon เ \nu \eta \eta_{\gamma} \gamma v^{\mu} \mu \eta$. Instead of doing so however he breaks off with $\delta_{\iota} d$
III.

 entirely alters the construction. Translate : ' but to support the consti- tution with the help ( $\sigma \dot{v} v$ ) of those who are able to do so both with horses and with shields. . . . I say, I used formerly to think that a constitution formed by ( $\delta$ tá) such men is the best,' etc. ; cf. note on § 18 . For the part that Theramenes played in the Four Hundred cf. Thuc. viii. 68.
§ 50 . oủ $\beta\llcorner\omega \tau 6 \mathrm{v}$, 'unendurable.'

isì roîs $\delta$ рифdккоиs, 'at the bar,' a railing made of lattice work, with which the dicasts were surrounded.
§ 51 . тробтd́ov 'épyov €ival olov $\mathbf{\delta \kappa i}$,' that it is the duty of such a president as a man ought to be.' oinov is attracted into the case of



 and in the Mem. i. 2. $3^{1}$ Xenophon speaks more specifically of $\delta$ Kperias

 getically.
ouvסoкôv, used absolutely; cf. § $35 \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a x \theta \in \nu$.
 took their oath.

§ 54. Tove EvEeka: cf. note on i. 7. 10. The Thirty seem to have retained this old democratical magistracy, filling it however with the most violent of their partisans.
 panying finite verb.

§ 56. बттокоттаßíarra, i. e. 'having jerked out the last drop.' The кórraßos was a convivial practice, consisting in jerking out the last drop from a cup, which was supposed to furnish an omen by its sound in falling. Then the guest, who had just drunk, handed on the cup to the guest whose turn came next.
ekeivo $8 \mathbf{~ k p i v c o . ~ H e r e ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ o n l y ~ t i m e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ t w o ~ b o o k s ~ t h e ~}$ noble death of Theramenes draws from Xenophon a remark in the first person. His portrait of him agrees well with that in Thucydides (viii. 68, 89, 92) ; cf. Arist. Frogs 968 . Theramenes in the Trial of the Generals does not scruple to sacrifice the lives of others to secure his own. In bring-
ing about the second oligarchical revolution he is as skilful and successful in his infrigues as he was in the first. So soon as he perceives that the policy of the Thirty is leading them to certain destruction, he opposes them with the same skill as he had used in setting them up; and when at last his opposition brings him into personal danger, the nobler side of his character shines out, till he drinks the cup of hemlock with the courage and cheerfulness of Socrates. But after all that can be said in his favour, it is hard to understand Aristotle's judgment on Theramenes, quoted by Plutarch (Nicias 2), where he mentions him in the same category with the statesmen Nicias and Thucydides, as $\beta \in \ell \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau 0 \iota ~ \tau u ̂ v$
 the same time admitting the justice of his nickname of $\kappa \delta \theta o \rho v o s$. Beloch indeed (Die Politik Athens seit Perikles), relying on Theramenes' own words in $\S 48$, tries to show that he consistently aimed to establish a moderate democracy at Athens, based on the middle classes, who had some stake in the state, both when he joined the conspiracy of the Four Hundred in 411 , and when he became one of the Thirty in 404 B.c., and that he turned against his colleagues on both occasions, not from a mere wish to save his own life, but because he really disapproved of the extreme form of oligarchy, which they had introduced, based as it was upon robbery and murder. From the epithet $\delta \kappa о \mu \psi 6$ s, which is given to him by Aristophanes, we may conclude that he was in the habit of drawing delicate distinctions; and very delicate distinctions indeed are required to free him from the charge of treachery in his conduct at the trial of the generals and the capitulation of Athens.
 summarizing in a few words numerous acts of violence on the part of the Thirty. He omits altogether the edict of the Lacedaemonians forbidding any state to harbour the Athenian refugees; cf. Plat. Lys. ${ }^{27}$, Isocr. 7. 67, Diod. xiv. 6, 32. 'jץov, ' evicted.'
aүovтеs, sc. of трıaкоута.
§ 2. Өpaoíßov 10 : cf. ii. 3. 42.
$\Phi u \lambda i v$, between Mounts Cithaeron and Parnes, on the road from Athens to Thebes.
§ 4. фu入ds: the Athenian army was organised on the basis of Cleisthenes' ten tribes. Cp. Hdt. vi. III, and note infr. § 23.
 . . . тєрì трıакобious.

§ 6. dviotavro, к.т. . ., 'had already risen and were going.' The notion of $^{\text {a }}$ motion implied being shown by $8 \pi 0$. The nom. is ol $\pi 0 \lambda \notin \mu \circ$ understood.
d $\pi \mathrm{d}^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{o} \pi \pi \lambda \omega v$, 'from the camp.'

Book II. § 8. oưxén vopi̧ovres, к.r.ג. Lysias (xxv. 22) represents dissensions as having already broken out among the Three Thousand themselves.

катафuyमv, Eleusis being a fortified town.

 immediately above. For if the Athenian knights are meant in the sense of ' under the protection of the knights,' we should rather expect $\sigma$ ìv rô̂s $\ \pi \pi \in \hat{v} \sigma ı \nu$ : and it can hardly mean the Eleusinian knights, because it appears, from what immediately follows, that the review was of the

 ìv roîs 'Elevotviots.
divarayórca, i.e. from the coast to Athens.
тô̂s Evoeka : cf. ii. 3. 54 .

${ }^{3}$ 'Encuorvicuv. Both Lysias (xii. 52) and Diodorus (xiv. 32) say that the Salaminians were involved in the same fate as the Eleusinians, but they put the total number of victims altogether at 300 only.

фavepdy . . . T $\grave{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{\psi} \psi \hat{\eta} \phi \mathrm{ov}$ : cf. the decree of the Senate as to the trial of the generals, i. 7. 9.
§ 10. Tஸ̂v по入ıгஸ̂v, the Three Thousand.
divaф'pouซav. The participle is here transposed as $\pi \in \mu \phi \theta \in \nu \tau a$ i. 1. 23.
§ II. "Tr $\mu \mathfrak{i v}$, 'for a while,' here opposed to a second action, which has not yet begun-inel $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ é.
$\mu \hat{\eta}$ divíva!, i. e. 'to prevent their march back again to Athens.'
$\delta$ кúk入os, 'the wall surrounding Piraeus.' Thucydides (ii. 13) calls it sixty stadia, or nearly seven miles round.

Tттоסdцecov. Hippodamus, the Milesian architect, had laid out the town of Piraeus.

ini $\pi \in v \tau i j k o v \tau a$. This unusual depth was on account of their great number. The ordinary depth of the phalanx was eight.
§ 12 . 'in' aúroîs, 'behind them.'
aưT $60 \in v$, 'from the place itself,' i. e. from Munychia and the Piraeus. Td $8^{\prime}$ © $\langle\lambda a \mathrm{~J} \pi \pi \lambda a$, i. e. spear and sword.


 applied to the confiscation of goods and chattels only. Here, in his indignation, the speaker applies it to citizens.

тараүeү'v$\eta$ vral. The subject is 'the exiled citizens': with of

§ 14. $\sigma u v e \lambda a \mu \beta a v o ́ \mu c \theta a$, 'were arrested.'

## NOTES. CH. IV, §8—§23.

$$
\text { oủx }{ }^{8 \pi} \pi \omega \mathbf{~ . ~ . ~ . ~} \mathbf{d} \lambda \lambda \text { ' oúst, ' non modo . . . sed ne quidem.' }
$$


 or after $\mu \alpha x$ ecota.

 be skulking behind their shields.'
ivaldopivous makes better sense if taken of the enemy, 'to drive them back, when they leap upon our ranks.'
 force is strengthened by the interposition of $\pi \lambda$ oúacos $\ddot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, ' however rich he may be.'

- tòv 'Evvádıov, 'the war-god '; cf. Anab. i.. 8. 18 tệ 'Evva入íq inexitelv.
 of being the first to shed a fellow-citizen's blood might be upon the enemy.
 Diodorus, Nepos, nor Justin mention the appointment of these magistrates, who are here introduced with the article as if already known to


 who were aürokpáropes, and it can only have been after the refugees from Athens had fled to the Piraens that their office was of any importance.
 the hereditary heralds in the Eleusinian mysteries, Arist. Frogs 1085.
$\oint 21$. ${ }^{2}$ icyov $\delta$ civ, ' almost,' used absolutely.
iv $\delta \kappa т \omega \mu \eta \sigma$ iv, from September 404 to April 403.
Séka ${ }_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{\eta}$, i. e. the last ten years of the Peloponnesian War, known as the Decelean War. Isocrates (xii. 24, 67) says that the Thirty put 1500 citizens to death.
§ 22. тôv . . . drodavorvouv . . . ëctiv oüs, 'some of those who have been slain.'
ot $\delta \mathbf{\lambda}$ 入ourol ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{px}$ ovres: of the Thirty at Athens twenty-seven, and of the Ten at Piraeus nine, were still left alive. кail $\delta$ ud $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$., i. e. as well as an account of their defeat.

roîs iv Mespacei: the followers of Thrasybulus, now in occupation of Piraeus.
oúsiv 86otvro, к.т.ג., lit. ' they had no need of these evils'; i. e. there was no reason why they should suffer them.


Воок II.; 2.8 eis ám $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \lambda$ _eas. Cleisthenes in 509 b.c. had divided the Athenians into ten tribes, Hdt. v. 66. It appears from Lysias (xii. 55) that these Ten were of that section of the oligarchical party of which Theramenes had been the leader, and that they were chosen because it was thought that
 $\phi$ iceí $\theta a$.

$\sigma$ ìv raîs dंomion : shields were part of the equipment of the hoplites or heavy-armed soldiers. 'The Athenian knights were now obliged to serve as horsemen by day and as hoplites by night.


 taxes as full citizens, were called lбote入eîs. Before loote入cíav, toútoıs


тогоч́ frequent repetition.
 summer time, although Xenophon has not marked the beginning of another year.
 is quite unintelligible. Aļduv was a village between Hymettus and the west coast of Attica.
§ 27. Tpòs тठे reîxos. Xenophon (Mem. ii. 7. 2) makes Aristarchus describe the necessities to which Athens was reduced by these attacks from Piraeus ; cf. Isocr. xvi. I3.
 òs is required. For the genitive тov̂ $\mu \eta$ Xavomotov̂ aftèr cimeîv cf. Plat.

 the city wall.

 of fulfilling the hopes with which they had been appointed ( $\$ 23$ ), modì
 xii. 55.
$\sigma u v e \pi p a \xi \in v$, i. e. with the ambassadors.
ekardv tadavta, with which to collect a mercenary army, as the Spartans refused to send any troops of their own; cf. Lysias xii. 59, 60. Isocrates (vii. 77), citing it as an instance of the émeéкєєa тоv̂ $\delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{O}$, says, that shortly after its restoration the democracy repaid this loan to the Lacedaemonians, as a debt incurred by the Athenian state, and not merely by the oligarchs, who had contracted.it ; cf. Dem. p. 460.



ф0ovfras Auraivipe. So Diodorus xiv. 33 and Plutarch, Lysan- IV. der, 21.
tpeis, i. e. a majority out of the Five Ephors.
фpoupaiv: a Lacedaemonian word for an army. Lysander had only mercenary forces.
 monians charge the Boeotians with having persuaded the Corinthians to refuse to follow.
cúopkeîv : consistently with the Treaty of Peace concluded in 404 B.C., ii. 2. 22.
'iरipvarkov: ' supposed' (wrongly).
dv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'A $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \stackrel{\pi}{ } \in \delta \varphi$ : the level ground between Piraeus and Hymettus. The fugitives from the violence of the Thirty and the Ten flocked round Pausanias at Piraeus, where the king so far showed his real feelings as to -refuse the presents offered him by the Thirty ; cf. Lysias xviii. 8-12.
 only. Boh is the battle cry. Thuc viii. $9^{2}$ joins the same two prepositions with the same meaning.

8vio $\mu 6$ pas : according to Xenophon (Rep. Laced.) the whole Spartan army was divided into six morae. Contrast Thucydides v. 68.
 Curtius supposes it to be the innermost part of the harbour.
§ 32. 'ivívcas: ' pressing on.' Xenophon uses the word intransitively also in the Cyrop. vii. I. 29.

Td Séka d $\phi^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, lit. 'the men ten years from military age,' which at Sparta was fixed at eighteen. The use of the neuter tá is a Lacedaemonian usage ; cf. iii. 4. 23, iv. 5. 15.

то̀ Melpaloi 0éatpov. Mépaıồ is the old locative case. The theatre was on the hill of Munychia.
$\oint 33.8 \pi i \pi 68 a$, 'foot by foot,' i.e. slowly. iv Kерарєьк $\hat{\text {, }}$, in the north-west part of Athens.
 light-armed troops.

iv raîs 'A入aîs, on the coast, south of Phalerum.
835. $\lambda$ 'yovtas ... $\pi$ ifretv : present participle where the future would naturally be expected, as ii. 1. 29, iv. 37 .
 $\pi \rho \bar{s} \bar{\sigma} \phi a s:$ to himself and the Ephors.
पaxe $\delta a \mu$ ovious $\phi$ inot cival, i. e. in accordance with the terms of the former treaty; cf. ii. 2. 20.


## IV

 IV．
 т $\hat{s}$ н $\mu$ eтd IIavgaviou $\gamma v \omega \mu \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ，two modes of expression are here con－
 tds ．．．$\sigma \pi o v 8$ ds ：here，＇the terms，＇or＇offers of peace．＇
iठtんтas opposed to ot àmd tov̂ кoıvov̂ in § 37 ．

§ 38．of＂форdь：the three remaining in Sparta．
of íкк久 $\boldsymbol{\text { rotol }}$ ：Hermann（Gr．Staatsalterth．§ 25）identifies these with the $\mu \kappa \rho \alpha \grave{e}$ éккл $\eta \sigma\{\alpha$ ，mentioned in iii．3．8．But in v．2．33，where they are again mentioned，no distinction seems to be drawn between them and the $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os，who formed the ordinary assembly．Cp．iv．6．3；v． 2. II ；vi．3． 3 ．
\＆$\pi i$ rà \＆autŵv．This implied，as far as practicable，a restitution of the confiscated property；cf．Harpocr．sub voce $\sigma$ v́v $\delta \iota \kappa o l$.
aúrois：to those who $\delta$ if $\lambda \lambda a \xi a v$, i．e．to the Lacedaemonians and－ Athenians．
§ 39．áve入日bvres ．．．els tilv ảkp＇orrolı．This procession，which Lysias（xiii．86）describes at some length，Plutarch（Glor．Athen．7） puts on the 12 th of Boedromion，i．e．26th Sept． 403 B．C．

кат́́ß Some words of this kind are evidently required to complete the sense； cf．§ 42 ad fin．
§ 40．үvointe，sc． $\mathbf{v} \mu a ̂ s$ aùroús．

$\pi a \rho a \lambda e ́ \lambda v \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ：the best MSS．give $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \neq \lambda \lambda \theta \epsilon v$ ，which is quite unintelligible．Some word is required in the perfect tense，meaning to ＇outwit，＇as opposed to $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \ldots \pi \rho \circ \in \chi \in \epsilon \nu$ ．In his earlier editions Dindorf＂conjectured тapє $\lambda^{\prime} \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$ ，which in his last edition he has changed to $\pi a \rho a \lambda \in \lambda v \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．Translate，＇ye have been outdone．＇


 $\delta \in \mu \in \nu O \nu$ ．

кákeivor repeats the subject of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ，strengthening the comparison after oṽra．
§ 42．ípas．Thrasybulus now addresses his own followers．
 ${ }^{d} \rho \chi \omega \nu \quad \pi \pi d \nu v \mu o s$, and in his archonship and the following years a com－ plete revision of the Solonian laws and constitution took place to suit the altered condition of affairs，when Athens had lost her sovereign rights and her empire over the subject allies ；cf．Andoc．i． 88 sqq．

Efivove $\mu$ rooionatal. Justin (v. 10. 11) gives. an account of the affair but little more intelligible than Xenophon's. The Thirty, it would appear, were suspected of once more conspiring to regain their supremacy at Athens.

Toiss 'Eneugin. The Thirty, their adherents, and such other Athenians as had since joined them; cf. 8. 38. 'Eגєuoivo is the locative case.
 sybulus) ne quis ante actaram rerum accasaretur neve multaretar, eamque illi oblivionis appellarunt.' The oath was taken by the knights; the senate, and the whole people; and Andocides (i. 90 ) preserves the



ITc kail viv. It is impossible to fix with any exactitude what time is denoted by these words. Xenophon accompanied Cyrus on his expedition against his brother in 401 b.c., a time which allows too short an interval to give the words any real meaning; and he did not return to Greece till 394 B.c. On the other hand it cannot be very long after the events described, because the praise assigned to the Athenians must mean that consistently with the amnesty they did not prosecute certain definite persons, who had been implicated in the enormities of the Thirty, and such prosecntions were only likely to have taken place in the succeeding ten or fifteen years. We may therefore perhaps infer that Xenophon wrote these words not very long after his return to Greece.

## NOTE ON THE BATTLE OF CYZICUS.

## (1.1.16.)

¿raidì $\delta^{\prime}$ ' 'ypús, к.c.入. Diodorus (xiii. 49-51) gives a much fuller account of the battle, and conceives it quite differently. Plutarch (Alc. 28) seems to follow Xenophon in the main, but to add details from the same source as Diodorus.

According to Diodorus, the several divisions of the Athenian fleet united at Cardia, and then sailed up the Hellespont to Proconnesus, taking care to pass Abydos by night, that the increase in their numbers might not be noticed by the enemy. Mindarus, with the help of Pharnabazus, had just taken Cyzicus by storm. Next day the Athenians disembarked their soldiers, under Chares, upon the Cyzicene territory, and with their fleet in three divisions, under Alcibiades, Thrasybulus, and Theramenes, sailed against the enemy at Cyzicus. Alcibiades went

aiming to surround them and cut them off from the city. Mindarus saw only Alcibiades' ships, and, despising their numbers, sailed out to meet them; whereupon Alcibiades by pretending flight drew him
 and Thrasybulus, seeing his signal, sailed towards the city and cut off the Peloponnesians. Mindarus, now seeing the whole Athenian fleet (nothing is said about any mist), fled to shore at Cleri, where Pharnabazus was encamped. Alcibiades hotly pursued him, sunk some of his ships, took others, and tried to drag others off the beach. The Peloponnesian land forces and the troops of Pharnabazus now came to the assistance of Mindarus, whereupon Thrasybulus disembarked his marines and sent word to Theramenes to bring up the soldiers under Chares. Meanwhile Mindarus, supported by the mercenaries of Pharnabazus and also by Clearchus, firmly stood his ground. When however Theramenes arrived with the reinforcements and joined Thrasybulus, first the mercenaries broke the line and fled, and then Clearchus was obliged to retire. Theramenes and his.troops now went off to the help of Alcibiades. Mindaras was thus obliged to divide his forces: one division he sent to oppose Theramenes, while he himself at the head of the other made a brave resistance against Alcibiades, until after many heroic exploits he was himself slain. His death was the signal for a general flight among the Peloponnesians; but the Athenians were unable to pursue them far, because their retreat was covered by Pharnabazus, who now arrived on the scene.

According to Plutarch, Alcibiades, on arriving in the Athenian camp, hearing that Mindarus and Pharnabazus were in Cyzicus, determined to fight, and exhorted his troops accordingly. Then he sailed with the whole fleet to Proconnesus, where he ordered $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi \in \rho \iota \beta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ $\pi \lambda$ oía, that no news of his intended attack might reach the enemy, his precaution being much aided by a great thunderstorm and thick mist. Then the whole fleet set sail, and when the mist gradually lifted, Alci-
 Thereupon he ordered the other generals to keep behind out of sight, while he himself, sailing on in front with forty ships, $\pi$ poukaleíto roùs полє $\mu$ iovs. The Peloponnesians, despising his small numbers, sailed to meet him, and at once joined battle; but when the rest of the Athenian fleet came up, they turned and fled. At this juncture Alcibiades, $\delta$ ofer$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ v́бas with twenty of his fastest vessels, made for the shore, disembarked, and slew many of the enemy, as they fled from their ships. Mindarus (who is not mentioned as being on board the Peloponnesian fleet at all) and Pharnabazus now came up to the rescue, but in vain : for Alcibiades quickly slew Mindarus and put Pharnabazus to flight.
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＇APXútas，ii．I．IO，3．Io．．
＇A $\boldsymbol{\prime}(\alpha, \mathrm{ii} .1 .18$.
＇Aбtúoxos，i．I． 3 I．
＇Attıкク，i．7． 22.

＇AXauó，i．2． 18.
Bevzifecov，ii．4． 1 I．
BıӨvvol ® $\bar{q} \kappa \epsilon \varsigma$, i．3． 2.
Botbtıos，Lacedaemonian，i．4． 2.
Bowtol，i．3． 15 ；ii． 4 30．
Bparídas，ii．3． 10.
Bu\̧́vtiol，i．3．16，18， 19.
Bu〔àtıov：i．I．35，Clearchus de－ spatched to guard Byzantium； 3．10，Alcibiades goes to By － zantium，and，§ 14 ，lays siege to it；§ 18，Byzantium betrayed into the hands of the Athe－ nians；ii．2．1，2，opens its gates to Lysander．

Taúpıov，i．4． 22.
$\Gamma \in \lambda a$, i． 3.5.
Глaúkar，ii．4．19．
$\Gamma \nu \omega ิ \sigma t s$, i．I． 29.
Tbpoitov，i．4．I．
$\Gamma \dot{v} \theta \in เ \circ \nu$, i．4． 11.

$\Delta a p \in i o s, ~ i . ~ 2 . ~ 19 ; ~ i i . ~ 1 . ~ 8 . ~$
$\Delta \in \kappa$ é̀єıa，i．1．33，35，2．14，3． 22 ；ii．2． 7,3 ．3．
$\Delta \in \lambda \phi$ iviov，i． 5.15.
$\Delta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \rho \chi$ os，i．1． 29.
Аוок入
$\Delta \iota o \mu e ́ \delta o v:$ i．5．16，chosen general； 6．22，tries to help Conon at Mytilene；§ 29，commands on the left wing at Arginusae； $7 \cdot$ 2，returns to Athens；$£ \S 16,17$ ， persuaded his colleagues not to mention their orders to the trierarchis ；§ 29，wished to rescue the survivors after the sea－fight．
$\Delta$ tovv́rtos the elder，ii．2．24，3． 5 ．
$\Delta t$ тт $\iota$ оs，i．3． 12.
$\Delta$ ракоутiठŋs，ii．3． 2.
$\Delta \omega \rho \iota \epsilon v_{s}$ ：i．1．2，comes from Rhodes to the Hellespont； 5. 19，captured by the Athenians， but afterwards released．

Elinates，i．2． 18.
＇Eスauov̂s，ii．I． 20.
${ }^{\prime}$ Eגєuбiviot，ii．4．9．

＂E入ı\}os, i. 3. I5, 21.
${ }^{\text {}}$ E $\lambda \lambda$ dás，ii．2．6， 20.
${ }^{*} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in s$, i．5．9，6．14．
${ }^{`} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ \delta \in s$ пó̀ $\in t s$, ii．2． 20.
 check Dorieus＇entrance at the mouth of the Hellespont ；§ 9， visit of Tissaphernes to the Hellespont ；3．8，Alcibiades goes to the Hellespont to raise money；ii．1．17，Lysander sails from Rhodes to the Hellespont ； 2．5，Lysander leaves the Helles－ pont．
＇Evvádeos，ii．4．17．
＂EsapXos，ii．3． 10.
${ }^{\prime}$＇Erthpatos，ii．3． 10.
＇Епíoкоs，i．I． 29.
＇Epaotifions：i．5．16，chosen gene－ ral；6．16，flees with Conon to Mytilene；§ 29，posted on the left wing at Arginusae ；7．2，
returns to Athens ；§ 29，wished after the battle to sail against the enemy at Mytilene．
${ }^{\prime}$＇Epáiotparos，ii．3． 2.

＇Ериокра́тทs，the Syracusan gene－ ral：i．1．27，banished by the Syracusans；§ 30 ，influence over his officers and troops；§ 31 ， accused Tissaphernes at Sparta； 3．I3，accompanied the Athe－ nian ambassadors．
＇Ериокрит $\overline{\text {＇}}$ ，father of the ．elder Dionysius，ii．2． 24.
＇ $\mathbf{~} \rho \mu \alpha \nu$, i．6． 32.

＇Erebvikos：i．1．32，as Spartan harmost，expelled from Thasos； 6．26，left by Callicratidas to blockade Mytilene；§ 36 ，strata－ gem to conceal the defeat at Arginusae ；§ 38，retreat to Me － thymna；ii．1．1－4，quells mutiny of his troops at Chios；§ 5， obtains money from the Chians； § Io，summoned by Lysander to Ephesus；2．5，causes the Athenian allies in Thrace to revolt．
Ejarbopas，an Elean，i．2．1．
Evarópas，of Cyprus，ii．1． 29.

Eűßoıa，ii．3．9．
Eúß $\dot{\omega} \tau a s$, i．2．I．
Ev́dıcos，ii．3．I．

Eủk入ท̂s，i．2． 8.
Ейктһ $\mu \omega \nu$ ，i．2． 1.
Ei $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta \eta \mathrm{I}$ ，ii．3． 2.
Ev̈ $\mu a \chi o s$, i．1． 22.
Eü $\rho \cup \pi \tau \sigma \lambda \in \mu о s$, i．3． 12.
Eúpunt $\delta \lambda \in \mu$ os，son of Pisianax：i． 4．19，welcomes Alcibiades at Piraeus；7．12，threatens Cal－ lixenus with impeachment；§§ 16－33，addresses the Assembly in defence of the generals；§ 34， proposes a counter－motion．
＇E $\phi \in \neq \sigma 0$, i．2．10，5．12， 15.
${ }^{\prime}$ E $\phi \in \sigma o s:$ i．2．6，attacked by Thra－
syllus；5．＇1，10，head－quarters of Lysander；6．2，Callicratidas assumes command at Ephesus； ii．1．6，conference of Lacedae－ monian allies at Ephesus．

Zev́gıtтos，ii．3． 10.



${ }^{`}$＇Hpás $\kappa \epsilon \iota o v$, at Chalcedon，i．3．7．
Өaцע†pta，ii．1． 13.
Өávos：i．1．12，Thrasybulus comes from Thasos；§ 32，revolution at Thasos；4．9，subjugated by Thrasybulus．
©єоүє́vๆs，i．3． 13 ；ii．3． 2.
Oéorvis，ii．3． 2.
$\Theta \in 6 \pi о \mu \pi o s$, ii．1． 30.
Өєrra入ía，ii．3．4， 36.
©єтra入oí，ii．3．4．
$\Theta \hat{\eta} \beta a t$ ，ii．4．I．
$\Theta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\beta a i ̂ o}$, i． 7.28 ；ii． 2.19.
 Sestos from Macedonia；§ 22， left to garrison Chrysopolis； 6. 35，as trierarch，ordered to rescue the drowning sailors after the battle of Arginusae；7．4，ac－ cuses the generals before the assembly； 88 ，intrigues at the Apaturia；ii．2．16，sent as envoy to Lysander ；§ 17 ，sent as pleni－ potentiary to Sparta；§§ 21，22， announces the terms of peace at Athens；3．2，chosen one ot the Thirty；§ 15 ，quarrels with Critias；§§ 24－34，accused of treachery by Critias；§§ 35－49， speaks in his own defence； §§ 51－56，ruthlessly executed．
Өíßpaxos，ii．4． 33.
$\Theta$ ©opıкठ́s，i．2．I．
Ooúpıaı трı＇ŋिpєts，i．5．19．
Өрắкes，cf．Bituvoí．

©pq́кiov in Byzantium，i．3． 20.
Opaбúßou入os（ $\delta$ इitetptev́s）：i．1．12，
comes from Thasos to Sestos； 4．9；subjugates Thasos and various towns in Thrace；§ 10， chosen general；5．II，comes from the Hellespont and fortifies Phocaea；6． 35 ，as trierarch， ordered to rescue the drowning sailors after the battle of Argi－ nusae ；cf． 7.5 ，17，31 ；ii． 3.42 ， exiled by the Thirty；4．2， marches from Thebes and seizes Phyle；$\S \S 5-7$ ，defeats the troops of the Thirty； $\mathbf{\$ 1 0}$ ，marches upon Piraeus and occupies Muny－ chia；$\delta \delta 12-19$ ，again defeats the forces of the Thirty；§ 34， defeated by Pausanias ； $8 \$ 40-$ 42，addresses the Athenian as－ sembly．
Opa Hellespont to Athens for rein－ forcements；§ 33，repulses a sally of King Agis；§ 34，re－ ceives reinforcements；2．1－5， sails to Samos，ravages Ionia， but suffers a repulse near Co－ lophon；$\delta \delta 6-9$ ，defeated at Ephesus；§ 13，sails to Sestos； §§ $15-17$ ，joins Alcibiades in operations round Lampsacus； 3．6，helps in the siege of Chal－ cedon；4．10，returns with the greater part of the fleet to Athens；5．16，chosen general ； 6． 30 ，posted on the right wing at Arginusae；7．2，returns to Athens；§ 29 ，wished after the battle to divide the fleet，and thus both to rescue the drown－ ing sailors and to sail against the enemy．


${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \% 7$, i．1． 25.
＇Iepa $\mu$ èns，ii．1． 9 ．
＇I $\in \rho a r$ ，the Athenian，ii．3．2．
＂InapXos，ii．3． 10.
${ }^{\prime}$ Ihıov，i．I． 4.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \mu \mathrm{f} \rho a$ ，i．I． 37 －
＇Imтévs，i．6． 29.

＇Iттокра́тクs：i．I．23，sends a de－ spatch to the Spartan govern－ ment ；3．5，harmost at Chal－ cedon ；$\S 6$ ，slain in battle．
＇I $\pi \pi \delta \lambda o \chi o s$, ii．3．2．
${ }^{\text {＇II }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ оs，ii．3．2，4． 19.
${ }^{\text {＂}} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi \omega \nu$, i． 2.8.
＇Ifávap，ii．3． 10.
＇Itias，ii．3．Io．
＇I İtıaléis，ii．2．3．
＇Iovía，ii．I．17．

Kāóv́ 10, ii．1． $1 \grave{3}$.
Ka入入ias，Archon，i．6．I．
Kadतíßıos，ii．3．13，Spartan har－ most at Athens．
Kaл入ıкратiठas：i．6． $\mathbf{I - 3}$ ，succeeds Lysander ；5¢ 4，5，conspired against by Lysander＇s partizans ； $\$ \delta_{6,7}$ ，fails to get money from Cyrus； §§ $^{8-12 \text { ，obtains supplies }}$ from the Milesians；$\delta \delta 13-15$ ， storms Methymna；85 16－23， blockades Conon in Mytilene； §§ 26－33，defeated and drowned at Arginusae．
Ka入likeVos：i．7．8，accuses the generals before the Senate ；$£ 9$ ， moves the Senate＇s $\pi \rho о$ Boúnєข $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ in the Assembly；§ 12，threat－ ened with rpaфŋ rapavó $\mu \Delta \nu$ ； \＆14，denounces the Prytanes； § 35 ，accused of deceiving the people，escapes from Athens， afterwards returns，and dies of hunger．
Kaлдíqтpatos，ii．4． 27.

Кал $\chi \eta$ б́̀vıо，i． $3.2-9$.
K $a \lambda \chi \eta \delta \omega \nu$ ：i．1．26，Pharnabazus goes to Chalcedon ；§ 35，Clear－ chus despatched to Chalcedon； 3．2－8，besieged by the Athe－ nians and made tributary；ii． 2. 1，2，opens its gates to Lysander， who appoints Sthenelaus har－ most．

Kацápıva，ii．3． 5.
Karvarós，i．7．20， 34 ．
Kapóía，i．I．II．
KapХワס́6vıot，i．1．37，5． 2 I ；ii． 2. 24，3． 5 ．
Kaotadís，i．4．3．
Katdrך，ii．3． 5.
$K \in \delta \rho \in i=\imath \iota$, ii．1． 15.
Kєраиєєкбs，in Athens，ii．4． 33.
 in Caria，i． 4.8 ；ii． 1.15.
K $\eta \phi \iota \sigma \delta \delta o \tau 0 s$, i．．1． 16 ．
K $\eta$ фıббs，ii．4． 19.
Kクфıбофиิv，ii．4． 36.
Kíos，i．4． 7.

K $\boldsymbol{k}$ £apXos：i．1．35，despatched by Agis to Chalcedon and Byzan－ tium ；3．15，harmost at Byzan－ tium；$\delta \delta_{17-19 \text { ，leaves Byzan－}}$ tium in charge of Coeratidas and Helixus，while he goes to Pharnabazus for aid．

K $\lambda є$ б́крıтеs，ii．4． 20.
K $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，ii．3． 2.
K $\lambda \in о \sigma \theta \in \neq \nu \eta s$, ii．3． 10.
K入єб́бтратоs，i．3． 13.

Koupatáóas，i．3．15－22．
Kодофáv，i．2． 4.
Ko八oф山ขiol，i．2． 4.
Kóvav ：i．4．1o，chosen to be col－ league of Alcibiades；5．16， again chosen general；§§ 18， 20，sails from Andros to Samos to take command of the fleet； 6．15－18，pursued by Callicra－ tidas，and blockaded in Myti－ lene；§§ 19－22，by a stratagem sends the news to Athens；§ 38， sails to meet the Athenian gene－ rals after Arginusae；7．1，con－ tinued in his command；ii．I． 28，29，escapes with nine ships from Aegospotami and sails＇to Cyprus．
Kор $\quad \sigma \sigma \delta$ s，i．2．7，9， 10.
Kopivelot，ii．1．32，2．19， 430.
Kopuфd́бıov，i．2． 18.

Kратךбเттifas，Spartan admiral，i． 1．32，5．I．
Kpıtias：ii．3．2，one of the Thirty； § 15，quarrels with Theramenes； $\$ 18$ ，chooses 3000 to be full citizens；$\delta$ § 24－34，accuses The－ ramenes of treachery；$\S \S 50-56$ ， strikes his name from the roll and orders his execution ；4．8， 9，seizes the Eleusinians and procures their execution； 819 ， slain in battle at Munychia．
Kpoкivas，ii．3．I
Kúסav，i．3． 18.
K $v$ Šı $_{1} \times \eta v o i$, i．I． 19.
Kúsıcos：i．1．11－18，defeat of the Peloponnesians at Cyzicus；§ 19， falls into the possession of the Athenians；3．13，Athenian en－ voys are bidden to meet Phar－ nabazus at Cyzicus．
Kv̂pos：i．4．3－7，appointed satrap of Sardis，with orders to support the Lacedaemonians；5．1－7， graciously receives Lysander， and promises higher pay to the Peloponnesian sailors；§8，dis－ misses the Athenian ambassa－ dors；6．6，10，refuses to assist Callicratidas；$\S 18$ ，but sends him money after his successes in Lesbos；ii．1．7，invites the Lacedaemonians to appoint Ly－ sander a second time ；8§ 8， 9 ， is summoned to visit his father； ． 88 11－15，before he goes，he entrusts Lysander with the reve－ nues of his satrapy，warning him not to engage with the Athenian fleet．
Kôs，i．5．I．
＾aß
＾akeঠatubviot，i．1．1，2，19，and passim．
几akedoinav，i．1．23，2．18，and passim．
лакра́тฑ！s，ii．4－33．
\ák $\omega \nu$ ，i．1． 32.
\akaves，i．4． 22.

Aака⿰夫夫tкi，ii．2． 13.
лакауıкós，i．6． 34 （vฑ̂єs）；ii．3．8， 4． 10.
Афциакоя，i．2． 15 ；ii．1．18，20， 29，2．I．
Aápıбaiot，in Thessaly，ii．3： 4.
Aeovtîvol，ii． 3.5 ．
Atovtis фu入t，ii．4． 27.
Áfoßos：i．2．11，Athenian fleet under Thrasyllus sails to Les－ bos；6．12，Callicratidas sails against Lesbos；§ 16，Conón takes refuge in Mytilene in Lesbos；§ 27，Arginusae islands opposite Lesbos；cf．ii．3．32， 35 ；ii．2．5，Lysander reorgan－ izes the cities of Lesbos．
Aєvío入oфíð $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ，i．4． 21.
Aéav，Athenian：i．5．16，chosen general；6．16，flees with Conon to Mytilene．
A $\notin \omega \nu$, Spartan，ii．3． 10.
Aéav，Salaminian，ii．3． 39.
NíBus，ii．4． 28.
Av8ía，i．2． 4.
Aukáplos，ii．3． 10.
Aúкetov，i．1． 33 ；ii．4． 27.
Ausínos，i．7． 13.
Аикойрүоя，i．3． 18.
пико́фраи，ii．3． 4.
＾úбavopos：i．5．1，appointed ad－ miral ；§§ $2-7$ ，gets increased pay for his sailors from Cyrus； $\oint$ Io，makes Ephesus his head－ quarters； 5 § 12－15，defeats An－$^{\text {12 }}$ tiochus at Notium，but refuses to meet Alcibiades in battle； 6．I－5，prejudices his troops and partizans against his successor Callicratidas；$\S$ IO，to injure whom he had sent back the money，not as yet expended，to Cyrus；ii．I．6，7，at the request of the allies and Cyrus is once more appointed to command the fleet，this time as secretary ； §§ 13,14 ，entrusted by Cyrus with the money and revenues of his satrapy ；§§ 15－19，sails to Caria，to Rhodes，then past

Ionia up the Hellespont to Lampsacus，which he takes by storm；§§ 22－30，surprises the Athenian fleet at Aegospotami and captures the whole of it ； §§ 31，32，executes the Athenian prisoners；2．1，2，subjugates the Hellespont，sending back all the Athenian garrisons to Athens； ${ }_{6} 5$ ，reorganizes Lesbos，and other revolted Athenian allies； \＄5 7－9，blockades Piraeus；\＄23， enters Piraeus and begins the destruction of the Long Walls； 3．3，6，besieges and captures Samos； 55 7－9，dismisses his fleet and returns to Sparta in triumph；§ 13 ，helps the Thirty to procure a Spartan garrison ； 4．28－30，cf．36，appointed to be harmost of Athens，he collects a mercenary force at Eleusis to support the Thirty against Thrasybulus，but is thwarted by Pausanias．
Avaías：i．6． 30 ，posted on the right wing at Arginusae ；7．2， returns to Athens．
Аvбíлахоs，ii．4．8， 26.
Máסutos，i．i． 3 ．
Maкєठovia，i．1． 12.
Ma入éa，in Laconia，i．2． 18.
Ma入éa ắкра，in Lesbos，i．6． 26.
Mavti $\theta \in o s$, i．1，10， 3 ． 13.
Mérapa：i．1． 36 ，Clearchus ob－ tains ships from Megara；cf． 2．14，3．15，Syracusan prisoners escape from Piraeus to Megara； ii．4．1，Athenian exiles in Me － gara．
Me $\gamma$ apeits，i．3． 15.
Me入d́velos，ii．3． 46.
Mé $\lambda \eta t o s$, ii．4． 36.
Mévavঠpos：i．2．16，commander of Athenian hoplites；ii．1．16， chosen as an additional general by the fleet；$\S 26$ ，foremost in． rejecting Alcibiades＇advice at Aegospotami．
$M \in \nu \in \kappa \lambda$ 立s，i．7． 34.
Meveкра́т $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ，i．І． 29.
M $\eta$ סía，ii．1． 13.
Mท̂ठo，i． 2.19.
Mígv $\boldsymbol{\nu} \nu a$ ：i．2．12，Thrasyllus anchors at Methymna；6．12， though strongly garrisoned by the Athenians，Methymna is stormed by Callicratidas；§ 38， Eteonicus retires to Methymna．
Mŋөv
Mín $\boldsymbol{l}_{\text {lot，ii．2．3，} 9 .}$
M $\eta \lambda 6 \beta_{\text {los，}}$ ii．3． 2.
Mı入れбtot，i． 6.8 ；ii．1． 30.
Míג $\eta$ tos：i．1．31，new Syraçusan generals take up their command at Miletus；2．2，3，Milesians worsted in battle by Thrasyllus； 5．1，Lysander sails to Miletus； cf．6．2；6．7，12，Callicratidas obtains money from Miletus．
Mivסapos：i．1．4－6，sees battle between Dorieus and the Athe－ nians from Ilium：sails to the rescue，but is compelled to retire by the arrival of Alcibiades； § 11，threatens the Athenians with a fleet of sixty ships； §§ 14－18，defeated and slain at Cyzicus．
Mioүo入atós，ii．3． 10.
Mıтpaîos，ii．J． 8.
Mitpoßáт $\boldsymbol{1}$ s，i．3． 12.

Minoiloxos，ii．3． 2.
Movvuxia，ii．4．11， 37.
Múía，i．4． 7.
Múбкау，i．1． 29.
Mutı入ทvaîoı，i．6． 22.
Mvтı入ín $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ：i．6．16＋23，Conon is blockaded in the harbour of My－ tilene ；$\S \S 26,35$ ，Eteonicus is left in command of the block－ ading squadron at Mytilene； 5 38，Athenians after Arginusae sail to Mytilene ；cf．7． 29 ；ii． 2．5，reorganized by Lysander．

Naúapxos：cf．note on i．5．1． Navk入є́lסas，ii．4． 36.

Nıкtpatos，ii．3． 39.
Nekías，ii．3． 39.
Nıкоббтратоs，ii． 46.
Nó́tov：i．2．4，Thrasyllus sails to Notium ；§ II，after his defeat at Coressus he retires to Notium ； 5．12－14，Antiochus，Alcibiades＇ lieutenant，is defeated at No： tium ；cf．ii．I． 6.

Olvb $\eta$ ，i．7． 28.
Oltaiot，i．2． 18.

＇Ovoцак入へิs，Spartan，ii．3．Io．

Паутак入ท̂s，i．3．1；ii．3． 10.
Пápa入os，ii．1．28，2．3．
Hápeov，i．1． 13.
Mápos，i．4． 11 ．
 Sparta for the part that he had played in the revolt of Thasos； 3．13，Spartan envoy ；\＆ 17 ， various guardships had been left by Pasippidas in the Helles－ pont．
Патךбஎんठas，ii．3． 10.
Havoavias：ii．2．7，leads Pelo－ ponnesian army against Athens ； 4．29，30，through jealousy of Lysander，leads out Pelopon－ nesian allies to Athens；§ई 31 － 34，conducts at the same time negociations with，and military operations against，the Athe－ nian democrats in Piraeus；§§ 35－39，effects a peace between Sparta and Athens，and a re－ conciliation between the Athe－ nian factions．
Hépaıєús，i．1．35，3．22，4．12， and passim．
Пeเซiavak，i．4．19，7． 12.
Пé́a $\omega$ ，ii．3， 2.
Пелотоуข†бוol，і．1．6，17，19，and passim．

IIepeк入ท̂s：i．5．16，chosen general ； 6．29，posted on the left wing at Arginusae；7．2，returns to Athens；$£ 16$ ，kinsman of Eury－ ptolemus；cf．§ 21，persuaded his colleagues not to mention their orders to the trierarchs to rescue the crews．
Перivelot，i．1． 2 I．
Пtpeveos，i．1． 21.
Пєिбal，i．2． 19.
пıtúas，i．6．í ；ii．3． 10.
Плєเซтб́入as，ii．3． 10.
Плथvт†рia，i．4． 12.
Пodvरáp ${ }^{\text {s．ii．3．} 2 .}$
пйvtos，i．1． 22 ；ii．2．I．
По́тамея，i．І． 29.

Про $\boldsymbol{\Pi} \theta \in$ є́s，ii．3． 36 ．
Прógevos，Syracusan，i．3． 13.
пратбиахоя：i．5．16，chosen general ；6．30，cf． 633 ，posted on the right wing at Arginusae ； 7．1，does not return to Athens．
Пи́үє $\lambda a$, i．2． 2.

ПuӨódoupos，ii．3．1．
IIuppó入oхos，i．3． 13.

${ }^{\prime}$ P6ólos，i．5． 19.
${ }^{\prime}$ Pófos：i．I．2，Dorieus comes from Rhodes；5．1，Lysander sails to Rhodes；§ 19，Dorieus，an exile from Rhodes；6．3，Callicratidas gets ships from Rhodes；ii．I． 15，17，Lysander sails to Rhodes．
＇Poítelov；i．1．2．

इá $\mu$ оя，i．6． 29 ；ii．2．6，3，6； $\nu \eta ̄ \in s ~ \Sigma ̌ a ́ \mu a \iota, ~ i . ~ 6 . ~ 25, ~ 7 . ~ 30 . ~$
EZ $\langle\mu$ os：i．2．1，Thrasyllus sails to Samos；4．8，9，Alcibiades at Samos；5．14，Athenians after their defeat at Notium retire to Samos；6．15．Conon is cut off while sailing to Samos；§ 25， cf． $\mathbf{§ 2 9}^{29}$ ，Athenians before Argi－ nusae get reinforcements from

Samos；§ 38，Athenians retire to Samos；ii．1．12，Athenians fit out their fleet at Samos， cf．§ 16 ；ii．2． 6 ，remains faithful to Athens ；3．3，6，7，surrenders to Lysander，who sets up an oligarchy within it．

इátupos，ii．3． 54 ．
之eגıvov̂s，i．1． 37.
 $\nu \hat{\eta} \in s$, i． 2.8.
Eed入afía，ii．2．13， 19.
E $\eta \lambda \nu$ קpia：i．1．2r，gives money to Alcibiades；3．10，captured by Alcibiades．
 of the Athenians on the Helles－ pont；$\$ 36$ ，Clearchus＇ships flee to Sestus；2．13，Thrasyllus joins the main Athenian fleet at Sestus；ii．1．20，25，Athenian fleet sails to Sestas and anchors fifteen stades from the town．
$\Sigma \theta \in \nu \in \lambda a o s$, ii． 2.2.
Eike入ía，i．I．37，5．21．
$\Sigma_{ı} \kappa \lambda_{\imath} \omega \hat{\tau} \alpha \iota$, ii． 2.24.
ミкıavaîol，ii．2． 3 ．
इофок入गิs，ii．3． 2.
ミхápт $\eta$ ，i．1．32，2．1，6． 32 ；ii． 3．I．

ミupaкóolot：i．1．18，burn their ships after their defeat at Cy － zicus；§ 26，build new ships at Antandros；2．8，10，lend help to the Ephesians at Coressus； § 14，Syracusan prisoners escape from the stone quarries at Pi － raeus；ii．2．24，Dionysius tyrant of Syracuse ；cp．3．5．
ミvpakov̂ซal，i．1．29， 3 ！！．


इафроуíккоs，i．7： 15.
Tıцокри́rचs，Athenian，i．7．3．
Tı $\sigma \sigma a \phi{ }^{\prime} \rho \nu \eta s:$ i．1．9，comes to the Hellespont and makes Alci－ biades prisoner；§ 31，formerly
accused by. Hermocrates at Lacedaemon; 2.6-8, helps Ephesians against Thrasyllus; 5. 2, complained of before Cyrus by Lysander and the Lacedaemonians; 858 , 9 , fails to persuade Cyrus to adopt his own temporizing policy.
Tрахıทía, cf. 'Нрák $\lambda \in เ \alpha$.
Tư̇є̛́́s, ii. 1. 16, 26.
Фavoativ ${ }^{2}$, i. 5. 18.
Фараîos, ii. 3. 4.
Фар $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { BaSos: i. 1. 6, covers Pelo- }\end{aligned}$ ponnesian retreat to Abydos; § 19, abandons Cyzicus ; $\$ 24$, supplies the Peloponnesians with provisions and timber to build new ships; $£ 26$, goes to Chalcedon; 2. 16, defeated by Alcibiades; 3. 5-7, fails to raise the siege of Chalcedon; 5§ 8-12, makes a convention with the Athenians and Alcibiades; $\$ 5$ 12, 13, promises a safe escort to the Athenian ambassadors; § 17 , Clearchus, harmost of Byzantium, applies to Pharnabazus for aid; 4. I-7, meets Cyrus at Gordium, and at his entreaty detains the Athenian envoys for three years.
$\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in \iota \delta$ pías, ii. 3. 2.
$\Phi \in i \delta a v$, ii. 3. 2.

 ii. 1. 30-32, taken prisoner by Lysander at Aegospotami and executed, because he had butchered the crews of two Andrian and Corinthian triremes.
$\Phi \nu \lambda \lambda$ : ii. 4. 2-E, 7, occupied by

Thrasybulus and. successfully defended against the attacks of the Thirty; cf. §§ $10,12$.
Фancaía: i. 3. I. temple of Athena in Phocaea burnt; 5. 11, Alcibiades joins Thrasybulus at Phocaea; 6. 33, Peloponnesian fleet after Arginusae mostly flees to Phocaea.

Xaupe $\lambda \in \omega s$, ii. 3. 2.
Xaıpídas, ii. 3. Io.
Xaiparv, ii. 4. 33.
Xapıк入गेs, ii. 3. 2.
Xар ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{\delta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathrm{s}$, ii. 4. 19.
Xєррогทбітаи, i. 3. 10.
Xєрро́ท $\quad$ ооs: i. 3. 8, 10, Alcibiades gets money and troops from Chersonesus; 5. 17, Alcibiades retires to his forts in Chersonesus; ii. I. 20, Athenian fleet anchors in Elaeus in Chersonesus; 527 , Athenian crews scattered throughout Chersonesus.
Xios: i. 1. 32, the admiral Cratesippidas assumes his command in Chios; 6. 3, 12, 18, Callicratidas gets ships, money, and troops from Chios; ii. 1. 5, 6, Chians give money to Eteonicus and urge the Spartans to appoint Lysander a second time ; cf. §§ $10,17$.
Xр́́ $\mu a \nu$, ii. 3. 2.
Xриббтодıs: i. 1. 22, Alcibiades sets up a toll-house at Chrysopolis; 3. 12, Alcibiades swears to the convention with Pharnabazus at Chrysopolis.
' $\Omega$ 'סєiov, ii. 4. 9.
-
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## Greek Educational Works.

## GRAMMARS, LEXICONS, ©́r.

Chandier. The Elements of Greek Accentwation (for Schools). By H. W. Chandler, M.A. Second Edition. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. $6 d$ d. Fox and Bromley. Models and Exercises in Unseen Translation. By H. F. Fox, M.A., and T. M. Bromlev, M.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, $5 s$. $6 d$. [A Key to Passages quoted in the above: for Teachers only, price 6d.]
Jexram. Graece Reddenda. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. [ „ 2s. $6 d$. - Reddenda Minora. . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, is. 6d.

- Anglice Reddenda. First Series. - [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d.
-     - Second Series. . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s. Liddell and scott. A Greek-English Lexicon. . . [4to, 36 s. -An Intermediate Greek-English Lexicon. [Small 4to, 125. 6 d . - A Greek-English Lexicon, abridged. . [Square 12mo, $7 \mathrm{~s}_{1} 6 \mathrm{~d}$. sargent. Passages for Translation into Greek Prose. By J. Young Sargent, M.A. - . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 35. —— Exemplaria Graeca; a partial Key to the above. - [3s.
——Models and Materials for Greek Iambic Verse.]. [4s. 6d.
——A Primer of Greek Prose Composition. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d.
${ }^{*} *$ A Key to the above, price 5s. Supplied to Teachers only, on application to the Secretary, Clarendon Press.
Wordsworth. A Greek Primer. By the Right Rev. Charles
Wordsworth, D.C.L. Seventh Edition. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, is. $6 d$. Graecae Grammaticae Rudimenta in usum Scholarum. Auctore Carolo Wordsworth, D.C.L. Ninetecthth Edition. . . . [r2mo, 4s.

Eing and Cookson. An Introduction to the Comparative Grammar of Greek and Latin. By J. E. King, M.A., and C. Cookson, M.A.
[Crown 8vo, 5s. 6d.
Papillon. A Manual of Comparative Philology. By T. L. Papillon, M.A. - . . . . . . . . . . [Crown 8vo, 6s.

> A COURSE OF GREEK READERS.

Eagy Greek Reader. By Evelyn Abbott, M.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s. First Greek Reader. By W. G. Rushbrooke, M.L. Second Edition. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. $6 d$. second Greek Reader. By A. M. Bell, M.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, $3^{s .6 d}$.

Epeoimens of Greak Dialects; being a Fourth Greek Reader. With Introductions and Notes. By W. W. Merry, D.D. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 4 s .6 d .
Belections from Homer and the Greek Dramatiste ; being a Fifth Greek Reader. By Evelyn Abbott, M.A. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 4 s . 6 d .
Wright. The Golden Treasury of Ancient Greek Poetry. By R. S. Weight, M.A. Second Edition, Revised. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, ios. $6 d$.
Wright and ghadwell. A Golden Treasury of Greek Prose. By R. S. Wright, M.A., and J. E. L. Shadwell, M.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 4s. $6 d$.

## THE GREEK TESTAMENT.

A Greez Temtament Primer: By E. Miller, M.A. . [3s. $6 d$.
Evangelia \&ecra Graeoe. . . . [Fcap. 8vo, limp, is. 6d.
Trovam Teatamentum Graece juxta Exemplar Millianum. [2s. 6it.
Trovam Tentamentum Graece. Accedunt parallela S. Scripturae loca, \&c. Edidit Carolus Lloyd, S.T.P.R. . . . . [ $88 \mathrm{mo}, 3$, .
Appendicen ad ITovam Testamentum Etophanicum, curante Gulmo: Sanday, A.M. . . . . . . . . [x8mo, 3 s. $6 d$.
The Greek Teatament, with the Readings adopted by the Revisers of the Authorised Version. . . . . . . . . [Fcap. 8vo, 4s. 6d.

Ontijnes of Textual Critioimm applied to the Few Featament. By C. E. Hammond, M.A. Fifth Edition. . . . [Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d.

GREEK CLASSICS FOR SCHOOLS.
Aemohylus. Agamemnon. With Introduction and Notes, by Arthur Sidgwick, M.A. Third Edition.
[Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 .

- Choephoroi. By the same Editor. . . . Extra fcap. 8vo, 3s.
—_ Eumenides. By the same Editor. In one or two Parts.
[Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s.
-- Prometheus Bound. With Introduction and Notes, by A. O. Prickard, M.A. Second Edition. . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s.
Artatophanes. The Acharnians. With Introduction and Notes, by W. W. Merry, D.D. Third Edition. . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3s. The Birds. By the same Editor. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d.
—— The Clouds. By the same Editor. Third Edition.
[Extra fcap. 8vo, 35.
- The Frags. By the same Editor. . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 35.
—— The Krights. By the same Editor. . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3s.
Cebem. Tabula. With Introduction and Notes, by C. S. Jerram, M.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. $6 d$.

Demosthenes. Orations against Philip. With Introduction and Notes. By Evelyn Abbott, M.A., and P. E. Matheson, M.A:

Vol. I. Philippic I and Olynthiacs I-III. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 35. Vol. II. De Pace, Philippic II, De Chersoneso, Philiptic III. . [4s. 6d.
Euripidem. Alcestis. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, $25.6 d$.

- Hecuba. By C. H. Russell, M.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. $6 d$.
- Helena. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s.
- Heracleidac. By the same Editor. . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s.
——Iphigenia in Tauris. By the same Editor. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3s. Medea. With Introduction, Notes, and Appendices. By C. B. Heberden, M.A. In one or two Parts. . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 25 .
—Cyclops. By W. E. Long, M.A. . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d.
Eerodotne. Book IX. Edited, with Notes, by Evelyn Abbott, M.A. In one or two Parts. . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s.

Selections. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and a Map, by W. W. Merry, D.D. . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d.

IRomer. Iliad, Books I-XII. With an Introduction, a brief Homeric Grammar, and Notes. By D. B. Monro, M.A. Second Edition. . [6s.
——Iliad, Books XIII-XXIV. By the same Editor. . . [6s.
__ Iliad, Book I. By the same Editor. . [Extra fcap. 8vo, $2 s$.
—— For Beginners. Iliad, Book III. By M. T. Tatham, M.A.
[Extra fcap. 8vo, is. 6 d .
—_Iliad, Book XXI. With Notes, \&c. By Herbert Hailstone, M.A. . . . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 1 s .6 d .
——Odyssey, Books I-XII. By W. W. Merry, D.D. New Edition. In one or two Parts. . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 5 .
——Odyssey, Books I and II. By the same Editor. . [Each is. 6 d .
__ Odyssey, Books VII-XII. By the same Editor. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s.
__ Odyssey, Books XIII-XXIV. By the same Editor. Second Edition. . . . . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 5 .
mucian. Vera Historia. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. Second Edition.
[Extra fcap. 8vo, $1 s .6 d$.
Iysias. Epitaphios. Edited by F. J. Snell, B.A. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2 s .
Plato. The Apology. With Introduction and Notes. By St. George Stоск, M.A. Second Edition.
[Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6 d .
Meno. By the same Editor . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. $\mathbf{6} d$.

- Crito. By the same Editor . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s.
- Selections. With Introductions and Notes. By John Purves, M.A., and Preface by B. Jowett, M.A. Second Edition. [Extra fcap. 8vo, 5 s.

Plntaroh. Lives of the Gracchi. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and Indices, by G. E. Underhill, M.A. . . . . [Crown 8vo, $5 s$.
Bophoolem. Edited, with Introdnctions and English Notes, by Lewis Campbell, M.A., and Evelyn Abbott, M.A. New Edition. 2 Vols. ros. $6 d$. [or, Vol. I. Text, 4s. 6 d . ; Vol. II. Notes, 6 s .
© Also in single Plays. Extra fcap. 8vo, limp:-
Oedipus Tyrannus, Philoctetes, Ajax, Electra, Trackiniae, 2s. each. Oedipus Colonews, Antigone. Is. 9d. each.
_- Oedipus Rex: Dindorf's Text, with Notes by. W. Basil Jones, D.D., Lord Bishop of St. David's. . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, limp, is. 6 d.

Theocritus. Edited, with Notes, by' H. Kynasson, D.D. (late Snow). Fourth Edition. . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 4s. 6d.
Xenophon. Easy Selections. By J. S. Phillpotts, B.C.L., and C. S. Jerram, M.A. Third Edition. . . . . . . . . [3s. 6d. Selections (for Schools). With Notes and Maps. By J. S. Phillpotts, B.C.L. Fourth Edition. . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s. 6 d.

- Anabasis, Book I. With Introduction, Notes, and Map. By J. Marshall, M.A. . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d.
_-Anabasis, Book II. With Notes and Map. By C. S. Jrrram, M.A. - . . . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, $2 s$.
-_Anabasis, Book III. With Introduction, Analysis, Notes, \&c. By J. Marshall, M.A. . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2 s .6 d .
——Anabasis, Book IV. With Introduction, Notes, \&c. By the same Editor. . . . . . . . . . . . [Just ready.
——Vocabulary to the Anabasis. By J. Marshall, M.A. . [is. 6d.
——Cyropaedia, Book I. With Introduction and Notes. By C. Bigg, D.D. . . . . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. Cyropaedia, Books IV, V. With Introduction and Notes. By the same Editor. . . . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d. Hellenica, Books I, II. With Introduction and Notes. By G. E. Underhill, M.A. . . . . . . . [Extra fcap. 8vo, 3 s. Memorabilia. Edited for the use of Schools, with Introduction and Notes, \&c., by J. Marshall, M.A. . - [Extra fcap. 8vo, 4s. $6 d$.
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[^0]:    1 v. 26.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ad Pomp. 4.
    ${ }^{3}$ Vit. Thuc. $\$ 45$.

[^1]:     ${ }^{2}$ Thac. viii. 95.
    ${ }^{3}$ viii. 84. ${ }^{4}$ xiii. $38 . \quad{ }^{5}$ viii. 104.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. Thuc. viii. 107 with i. 1. 2.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ viii. 92, $108 . \quad{ }^{2}$ viii. 109.
    3 v. 26. ${ }^{4}$ Cp. Introd. $\S 2$ on Xenophon's Chronology.
    ${ }^{5}$ Probably at i. 5. 11. ${ }^{6}$ i. 4.20 ; 5. 15. ${ }^{7}$ Cf. i. 1. 3I; i. 5. 16.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. i. 1. 37 ; 2. 19; 3. 1; 5. 21; 6. 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ ii. 1. 8, 9.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. v. 3. 27; 4. 1. $\quad$ Cf. v. 4 12; vi. 3. 1.
    ${ }^{3}$ iii. 1. 17 -19; 4 II; 3. 30.
    ${ }^{4}$ Rosenstiel (De Xenophontis Historiae Graecae parte bis edita) ingeniously tries to show by an examination of the use of certain words, and more especially of $\mathbb{\ell} \pi \in \sigma \theta a u$ and $\dot{d} \kappa \circ \lambda o v \theta \epsilon i v$, and of $\pi \in \rho\{$ and $\AA \mu \phi\}$, that

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. ii. 3.42 with Plut. Alc. 39 and Nep. Alc. 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. ii. 4 I with Lysias. xii. 99 and Diod. xiv. 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ ii. 4.43. $\quad{ }^{5}$ i. 1. 26 and 31. $\quad{ }^{5}$ i. r. 8 and i. 2. 2.
    ${ }^{6}$ i. 3. 13. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ i. 4. ${ }^{2}$. ${ }^{8}$ i. 1. 27-29; 4. $7 . \quad$ i. 6. 8, 9.
    ${ }^{10}$ i. 3. 8 and ii. 2. 1. ${ }^{11}$ Cf. ii. 3. 44 with 13 , 14, 2 I. ${ }^{12}$ ii. 4. I.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ For an exhanstive comparison of Xenophon and Diodorus' chronology cf. Sievers, Xen. Hellenica.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. 2. 1; 3. 1; 4. 2; 6. I; ii. I. 10 ; 3. I.
    ${ }^{2}$ v. 20. ${ }^{3}$ i. 1. 37 ; 2. 19; 5. 21 ; ii. 2.24.
    ${ }^{4}$ v. 47, 71. $\quad$ vi. 36, 70; ix. 103, $125 . \quad{ }^{6}$ vi. 92 ; ix. 75.
    7 ix. 105.
    ${ }^{10}$ xii. 12.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ v. $20,26 . \quad{ }^{2}$ For the list of ephors in ii. 3. 9, 1o, cf. note ad loc.
    ${ }^{3}$ xiii. 6 r.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jahrbuch f. Phil. und Päd. 1872. ${ }^{2}$ ii. 3. 1.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Athenian year began with the ist of Hecatombaeon (c. the middle of July), when the magistrates entered upon their offices.
    ${ }^{2}$ viii. 97.
    ${ }^{4}$ Thuc. viii. 86, 97.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ar. Pol..iv. 8. 3.
    ${ }^{6}$ Thuc. viii. 75, 76.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thac. viii. 76.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cp. Andocides, Myst. $\{83$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cp. Lysias l. c.
    ${ }^{4}$ Lysias, xxx. 85 2, 11, 28.
    ${ }^{6}$ Thuc. viii. 106.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diod. xiii. 52, 53.
    ${ }^{2}$ i. 1. 35.
    ${ }^{3}$ C. I. A. i. 188.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1} 141$ sq., 1466 sq. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Müller, Fragm. i. 403.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Andoc. Myst. $£ 96$ sqq. Gilbert, Gesch. Ath., p. 344, proves against Droysen and Herbert that this decree belongs to the period after the Dissolution of the Four Hundred, and not to that after the expulsion of the Thirty. Cp. Grote, vii. 32 I .
    ${ }^{2}$ Thuc. viii. 75. $\quad{ }^{3}$ C. I. A. i. 59. $\quad{ }^{4}$ Cf. Thuc. viii. 92.
    ${ }^{5}$ Andoc. Myst. 575 sq. $\quad$ Or. xxv. 14, 15.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diod. xiii. 64, 65.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thuc. viii. 97.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. 4 ${ }^{13-17}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Diod. xiii. 69.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plut. Alc. 35 ; Diod. xiii. 69.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Xen. Hell. i. 4.22 ; Diod. xiii. 69.

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ i. 4. 7.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thuc. viii. 73 .
    ${ }^{2}$ C. I. A. i. 59.
    ${ }^{2}$ Arist. Frogs 720 ; Philoch. Frag. 120.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ ii. 2. 14, $15 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Lysias xiii. 8 ; Aeschin. F. L. 76.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lysias xiii. 15 ; cf. Xen. ii. 7-35.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. ii. 2. 20 with ii. 3. 4I.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. 7.17.
    ${ }^{2}$ Diodorus (xiii. 101). gives quite a contradictory account of the despatch : he makes out that Theramenes and Thrasybulus had already returned to Athens before that the generals, suspicious that they might intrigue against them in the city, addressed a letter $\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \delta \nu \delta \bar{\eta} \mu o \nu$ to explain that they had given orders to the trierarchs. Grote's attempt (vii. 429) to reconcile the two by supposing that Diodorus has confused a private letter addressed by the generals to their friends at Athens with the public despatch mentioned by Xenophon, is a mere subterfuge.
    ${ }^{3}$ i. 7. 1 ; Diod. xiii. ror.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ ii. 3. 35. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. Frogs 533, 964.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Schömann, De Comit. Athen., p. 206.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. 585, 23.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a direct contradiction to Theramenes' statement in ii. 3.35, that the generals had asserted otbv $\tau^{\prime}$ eival $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a l$ toùs ${ }^{2} v \delta i p a s$
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. note on 98.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}{ }^{\ell} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \bar{\eta} \mu \mu$. Grote seems to be mistaken in laying so much emphasis on the fact that the people in the $\boldsymbol{i} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ were not put on oath: for this passage alone, where Euryptolemus is emphasizing the proper legal procedure, would be sufficient to show that the Assembly had full competence to try such cases itself without referring them to a Heliastic court, and other analogous instances are produced by Schomann (De Comit. Athen., p. 206).
    ${ }^{2}$ тд Kavvavov̀ $\psi$ һфıб $\mu$ : cf. Aristophanes, Eccl. 1089. Although there is no particular reason why the words $\delta i x a$ ésaotov should not have occurred in the decree, the balance of evidence seems on the whole to be against it. For in § 23 Euryptolemus insists just as much on the importance of separate trial for each of the accused, if the other constitu-
     raus should be adopted instead. Moreover, in § 34 he seems to put the .words 8 ixa ${ }^{\text {zraactov into his amendment rather in opposition to the } \mu \bar{q}}$
     rò Karvavof $\psi$ 加 $\sigma \mu$. . Finally, the Scholiast on Aristophanes (ad loc.) gives quite a different interpretation of the word $\delta_{i a \lambda \in \lambda \eta \mu \mu \hat{v} \nu \nu \nu \text {, which }}$ commentators have assumed to refer to the supposed סix e éraoтov of the $^{\text {a }}$
     amokpıv $\delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu-a n$ interpretation which fits in very well with Xenophon's ठeठ̇є $\mu \hat{\nu}$

[^26]:    

