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## PREFACE.

The present is not offered: to the public as a critical edition of this very ancient and authentic Greek treatise on Metres, of which, after the everyway excellent and scholar-like work of Gaigord, it will probably be thought that there is little need, :and which, in any case, the present Editor would not have deemed an undertaking adapted to his own attainments and opportunities. But it has appeared to him, that this Enkheiridion, being extremely methodical, elegant, and compendious, as well as of paramount authority; and carrying the student at once to the fountain-head both of our knowledge and phraseology on this subject; deserves not only to be more read than it is, but to become our educational class-book in this department. For this purpose it seems to the Editor to be very far superior to any of the modern compilations which he has seen; some of which appear very meagre and defective, others ill-digested and inelegant, and others, notwithstanding much bulk and pretension, so fundamentally erroneous, or at least so obscure, in doctrine, as to be more likely to confound the student than to enlighten him.

But if this author is to be brought into use in the manner suggested, an edition seems to be required, not only less extended and expensive than Gaisford's, but likewise affording the ordinary student more practical assistance in understanding a terse text, and an abstruse subject. It is this, which, by the addition of an Engzient Tramiotion and Notes, a preliminary dissertation on Rythe and Accent, a Rythsmical Notatios of the metrical specimens throughout, and $a$ set of parallel specimens in our own langwage, is here atterapted: with what saccess, those who shall honor the work witb an attentive perusal, must be judges.

The text here exhibited corresponds, except in a very few places, always noted, with that of Gaigford. The trawstation and notes must speak for themselves; the Editor only acknowledging, here and everywhere, his multiplied obligations to his learned predecessor already named.

In the Prolegamena on Rythem and Accent, subjects which though not essential to the technical doctrine of ancient metre, are yet inseparably connected with its practical application, the Editor apprehends that he may have to answer for some views and proposals, which however: true and important they appear to himself, may yet, from not coinciding with prevailing opinion and practice, be deemed by others erroneous and even
mischievous. It is, however, submitted that no one ought to judge these matters hastily: it is a thing of the extremest difficulty to judge them correetly at all. All nations, as far as we know, have cultivated something in the nature of verse: yet their verse has turned on very different principles: now on alliteration, now on rhyme, now on the number of syllables, now on accent, now on syllabic quantity, and probably on other points besides. It is by use and habit. alone that each nation has come to prefer that particular kind of verse, whatever it be, which we find employed among them; and persons of another nation ought not at all to wonder, if they should not find the same kind of vense readily commend itself to their own ear, taste, or judgment. The verse of the ancient Greeks and Romans was unquestionably constituted primarily and essentially on the consideration of syllabic time or quantity; a thing to which we pay comparatively little attention; while on the: other hand, our own verse is founded mainly on the alternations of emphatic and unemphatic accent, a thing for which ancient metre prescribed no certain rule, but left to be determined with much freedom by the ear of the poet. What wonder; then, that modern scholars, ever forgetful of this distinction, have so often, on this subject, shown themselves blunderers! How hard is it to find a
writer who appears fairly to have taken even the first step in this matter, in attaining a clear practical apprehension of the difference between quantity and accent, or even of the nature of quantity at all! Can we discover a clear and correct theory of rythm even in the works of Bökh and Hermann?

For the views here to be advanced, the Editor only begs of the reader a patient and attentive consideration, and that he will endeavoar, however hard it be, to decide the question, not by the prejudices of his ear or his education, but by reasen and ancient evidence. Some reform certainly appears to be desirable. In our schools, we are taught to read Greek verse in absolute ignoranee of that rythm which was its presiding law, with an avowed abandonal and subversion of that accentuation which formed its melody, and a mere pretence of observing that syllabic quantity in which lay the essence of its metrical character. When we add to this the corruptness of our pronunciation, especially of the vowels, what is there left to wonder at, but the inextinguishable beauty of that language, whose poetry, in spite of such barbarous treatment, can still make us sensible of so many graces?

Some will object, that whether we pronounce and accentuate an ancient language right or wrong ; and whether we read its verse in correct time or
otherwise; are, after all, points of very little importance. It is replied, that these are matters of taste, not of utility. To many also, indeed to most, from want of use, the ancient accentuation of Greek will at first appear, in some instances, difficult and disagreeable; especially will it seem inconsistent with the wonted effect of verse. Now if this is the case, such are of course at liberty, in their own practice, to follow either the Latin method, or whatever other they like best: but let them not confound all truth and reason, by calling the ancient and genuine method false and wrong. And let them also be sure, that whether they perceive it or not, that ancient mode was not destitute of beauty and fitness. Possibly too, it may strike them on reflexion, that the method of verse which showed its analogy to music in a fixed time and a free melody, has even some presumption in its favor, when compared to one which, like our own, restricts its melody to a monotonous recurrence of similar cadences, in which all accurate distinction of time is neglected; and the poverty of whose effect we endeavor to eke out by the barbarous jingle of rhyme. Perhaps, it will some day be discovered; that the ancient Greeks were in all respects as great masters of versification, as they already are acknowledged to have been of the other fine arts.

Lastly, if any should view with disapprobation, the partially novel orthography here employed when
it is required to represent Greek names and words in our common characters, the Editor trusts that he will be believed in declaring, that this change has not been made from any love of singularity or innovation, but from reflecting that the prevailing practice of disguising and corrupting Greek originals by the medium of a Latin orthography, is not only a thing offensive to every genuine lover of Greek, but a serious hindrance to the popalar advancement of Greek literature among us, and to that benefit which our own langaage might derive from freer and directer intercourse with one so greatly its superior. The particular scheme of orthography here employed, is recommended after the most mature deliberation and numerous trials, resulting in a belief that it is, on the whole, aboat the best that can be devised. The candid reader will not, it is trusted, be displeased with this attempt to obviate an inconvenience of which all must be sensible.

Our author was a scholar of Alexandria who flourished in the second century, and of whom, among other testimonies; we find the following of





## PROLEGOMENA,

## CONCERNING RYTHM AND ACCENT.

## OF RYTHM.

That Metre and Rythm, as distinct branches of study, were alike regarded by the ancients as indispensable to the musician, the poet, aud the orator, is evident by many testimonies, which, if need were, might here be produced. But these subjects, though really distinct, were yet, both in theory and practice, very closely connected; and it may not unreasonably appear a matter of wonder, that a writer like our author, treating formally and at length of the one, should not have made even an allusion to the other. And this may seem the more surprising to those who remember how familiar with the old grammarians, was the attempt to define the distinction between these two things. Precisely, however, in the established notoriety of this distinction, and in the practical regard accustomed to be paid to it, may we find the reason of our althor's omission. The puө么отоoi and $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho i$ oi were well known as separate sets of artists; and he who undertook, in a systematic manner, to treat of the subject proper to the one, felt that he could not, without a technical impropriety, enter on that which was assigned to the other. Now the author before us is of the rigidly systematic class; and, in treating professedly of metres, observes his own line with scrupulous exactness.

He wrote probably of rythm also, but at another time ${ }^{1}$.

Respecting the term $\dot{\rho} \omega \theta \mu \dot{\rho}$, it will be sufficient to say, that some uncertainty as to its real etumon, is evinced by the fact of the ancient grammarians assiguing it two: some allying it with apı $\theta \mu{ }^{\prime}$ s, number; others deriving it, through $\dot{\rho} \dot{v} \sigma t s$, from $\dot{\rho} \in i v$, to flow. The former opinion seems the more probable; for the word always appears to have carried with it the idea of counting, and in Latin we find it commonly rendered by numerus. But whencever derived, its prevailing import was simple enough; it was the musical observance of time: not always in music, strictly so called; but in the same manner as in music. Poetry and music indeed, among the ancients, were, for the most part, found in company; but we shall find proof, that the recitation of verse was regulated by rythm, either actually beaten, or observed mentally, even when unaccompanied either by singing or instruments: nay, that even orators thought it not foreign to their speeches in prose. Rythm, however, does not of necessity exist in speech, or even in metre: though metre, unless it be rythmical, is worthless.
Rythm defined.

Sonorous movements cantinually recurring in a set order and time: such is about the general notion of rythm, considered in its essence. The ancients define it much in this way. So Hehrqfilos, as cited
凶ंpıбu'̇vəv: and Aristides Quintilianus describes it


[^0]Meibom. We may observe, however, that in this definition no mention of sound is inserted: and perhaps, Aristotle, in his Poetics, may be thought to imply the same, when he ascribes rythm to simple



 тois $\mu$ étposs. The movements of dancing are, however, attended with sound, and are observed, perhaps, not less by the ear than by the eye. Without, therefore, denying that the theory of rythm may be extended to simple movements, we may say that a practical view of the subject rather seems to connect it with such as are more or less audible.

The constituent intervals of a rythm may all be equal to each other, or they may not; but in either case, it is essential that there be something in the movements, or sounds, to distinguish them into successive groups, or recurrences, whether of two, three, or more; which groups shall be isokhronous as wholes, and similarly constituted in their parts. Hence, the perpetual and uniform tolling of a single bell affords no rythm; but the ringing of two or three bells, in a regular order and time, will be sure to produce one. There are many common movements in which the ancients have noticed a rythm, and in which the same character may be observed: as the steps in dancing; the stroke of oars; the sounds of a horse's feet; the flapping of the wings of birds; the motions of the pulse; the play of a blacksmith's hammer, and others; as we may see in Dionusios and Longinus.

It has been mentioned, that the recurrent move- Trsis and $^{\text {and }}$ 1-2
ments of a rythm may be two, three, or more. For all the purposes, however, of this essay, it will be sufficient to consider them as two; and these we will consider as represented by an alternate lifting. and lowering of the foot, or hand: that is, in the established language of this subject, by an arsis and a thesis. This is the genuine phraseology of the ancient authors: as, for instance, of Bakleheios;

 So the Skholiast to Hermogenehs: "Apoıs каi Oé $\sigma$ ıs

 Stephan. Thesaur. at the word ésts. And the Latin $^{\prime}$ grammarian, Marius Victorinus: "Arsis et thesis, quas Graci dicunt, id est, sublatio et positio, significant pedis motum: est enim arsis sublatio pedis, sine sono: thesis, positio pedis, cum sono." This last author, indeed, immediately subjoins a second definition of arsis and thesis, referring to a totally different matter: but to that point we shall return presently.

Having now, then, cleared our ground thus far, we may, for the practical purposes of this essay, adopt that notion of rythm which is conveyed in those words of Bakliheios, which the learned and elegant Foster has chosen as a motto to his Essay:
 that is, in a technical, or practical point of view, rythm consists in the alternate lifting and lowering. of the foot in duly measured time. It was that motion of the foot or hand, by which, on many occasions, both the performance of music, and the recitation of metre was regulated, in respect of timeeven as we often see done at this day.

Adopting, too, as we very properly may in this Notation. matter, the language of music, we shall call each complete rythmical movement, comprising an arsis and thesis, a bar: only begging the reader to observe that this bar of rythm is by no means to be confounded with the foot of metre. Moreover, in the following pages, the extent of each bar will be indicated by underwriting a small perpendicular line for the thesis, and two dots for the arsis: thus, daxtvios.

But the arsis and thesis, according to the defini- Counting tion, must take place in duly measured time. How is this effected? By the process of counting, either audibly or mentally, as commonly practised in music. A minute interval being assumed as unity, (the absolute value of which is indifferent to the rythm, as it affects merely the general quickness or slowness, the allegro or adagio, of the performance,) a certain. constant number of these is allotted to each bar, being divided in a constant proportion between the arsis and the thesis. By counting these intervals the whole movement is regulated; the distinguishing character of each particular form of rythm being derived from the number of these elementary unities reckoned to the bar, together with the proportion in which they are divided between the arsis and thesis.

Now with regard to the different forms, or modes, Modes of of rythm, we may say, that the leading division is into the even and the uneven. In the first the arsis and the thesis occur at equal intervals; in the latter, at unequal.

In even rythm it might seem enough to count two to each bar, one to the arsis, and one to the thesis. But for the purpose of regulating metre,

## PROLEGOMENA.

this would not be sufficient ; because for this purpose the rythmical unity must be equal to the time of the short syllable, of which times three at least will go to every bar; and in even rythm not less than four. In fact, of the two forms of even rythm which are found most useful, one counts four in the bar, the other six. The former is adapted to metre consisting of spondees, daktules, and anapaists ${ }^{\prime}$; the latter, to that which is composed of iambik, trokhaik, and some other suxugies.

The forms of uneven rythm were not, I apprehend, much resorted to in practice, except perhaps by grammarians and schoolmasters, for the purpose of regulating the pronunciation of single feet, and exercising their pupils in time. In this point of view, we may notice those whose bars count respectively three, five, and six. In the first, either the arsis counts one and the thesis two, which is the rythm of the iambos; or the thesis two, and the arsis one, which is that of the trokhay. And so says

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon \omega s$. Seeondly, paiownik feet, having five metrical times, must in strictness be regulated by a rythmical bar that will count as many; and which may have a thesis of two, and an arsis of three, or the reverse. Paiownik metres were not, however, as I believe, in reality, so dealt with, as shall be explained in its place. Lastly, lihoriambik, antispastik, and iownik feet, together with molosses, are of six times, and so constituted that their most obvious rythm is one which has a bar counting six, but divided unequally

[^1]into four and twoo. And not only are the feet such, but certainly some metres are found which admit of such a rythm with tolerable effect. Generally speaking, however, it will, I think, appear, that an even rythm suits them hetter.

But how are we to determine which part of a bar ought to be made arsis, and which thesis? This is in some measure arbitrary; nor can we say that there is any principle by which a uniform practice can absolutely be determined. Observe, however, that the thesis was with sound, the arsis without; whence it seems reasonable to infer, that the thesis was the more congruous with the long and emphatic places of the metre. Consider also, whether the thesis did not correspond, whether indeed it was not identical; with the metrical ictus, or striking, of which we so often read? Guided by these views, I should, in general, make the thesis coincide with the characteristic long syllables of the feet which constitute the metre; that is, for instance, with the first syllable of a trokhay or daktule, and with the last of an iambos or anapaist. For this method we have also some good testimonies; as Bakkheios:




I have now explained what I deem to be the Accentual most ancient, as well as the most rational and useful, Thesis. doctrine of rythm, with its arsis and thesis. But in order to guard against misconception in a matter which has been involved in so much perplexity, it will be well to inform the student, that in sundry writers, both ancient and modern, he will find the terms arsis and thesis applied in a very different manner. From the Latin author, Marius Victorinus, there has already
been cited one definition of arsis and thesis, which was conformable to our own use of these terms. But he immediately subjoins another, referring to an entirely distinct application of them: Item arsis est elatio temporis, soni, vocis: thesis depositio et quadam contractio syllabarum. And he proceeds thus: Nam in Pyrrichio, tollitur altera brevis, altera ponitur: in spondeo quoque vicissim longa tollitur ac ponitur syllaba. Trochao autem et iambo contraria inter se ratio est. Alterius enim prius longa tollitur, dehinc brevis ponitur, \&c. Horum enim duplex sublatio, simplex positio; et contra. Gaisford, p. 366. Priscian, also, in his book on Accents, writes thus: In unaquaque parte orationis arsis et thesis necessaria sunt : non in ordine syllabarum, sed in pronunciatione, velut in hac parte, natura: ut quando dico natu, elevatur vox et est arsis in tu; quando vero ra, deprimitur vox, et est thesis. Here it is evident that the terms arsis and thesis no longer relate to an elevation and depression of the foot or hand, but to a rise and fall of accentual tone, which is of course a totally different thing. It is, however, in this latter sense that they are chiefly employed by the Latin, and occasionally by some of the later Greek writers. But such a use of the terms appears to be injudicious, because this signification is already provided for in the words epitasis and anesis', which are free from ambiguity: while the double meaning thus induced on the others, leads to great confusion. It creates, indeed, a world of contradiction; for on the old system we have seen it stated that a trokhay

[^2]begins with a long thesis, and ends with a short arsis; but here the new system says, Trochco prius longa TOLLITUR, dehinc brevis PONITUR. No wonder that some modern scholars, who have jumbled both senses together, have thought this a difficult subject, and failed to throw much light on it!

Then with reference to the entire doctrine of this new scheme, considered in itself, we may observe that it appears arbitrary, fanciful, and devoid of practical utility. Its whole application to the feet of metre must depend on the position of the acute accent in those feet, and that we know to be a matter, which, in the ancient languages at least, presents no constancy. The Greek, moreover, in this respect, differed greatly from the Latin. But the attempt is every way futile; for even when limited to the Latin, with which it seems to have had most to do, it is still a most whimsical and impracticable thing. "In dactylo," says Marius, "tollitur una longa, ponuntur duce breves." Good; if that daktule be a single word, like virgine: but how fares it in the two daktules which begin the line,

> Virginibus puerisque canto?

Unless there was an accent in verse which supplanted the ordinary accent of speech; a supposition every way improbable, and which, in spite of some learned advocates, can most decidedly be disproved; the doctrine entirely breaks down here; nor do I see that it could ever have had any truth in it, unless restricted to feet consisting of single Latin words; to which certainly, from the uniformity of the Latin accent, such a method might have been applied. And this shall be enough to have said on a matter, which probably, after all, was only some kind of school-exercise.

Application of Rythm to Metre.

Distinction of Rythm and Metre.

Having thas surveyed, as far as appears necessary, the nature and principal forms of rythm, with some incidental glances at its metrical applications, let us now turn to the consideration of this latter subject more expressly.

Looking to the essence of metre, and the most abstract and philosophical sense in which the notion of it may be conceived, I think we may say, that it consists in a sequence of some certain number of syllables, or other sounds, or even perhaps of movements without sound, having prescribed lengths as to time, and exhibiting some prescribed order in their arrangement. It differs from rythm in having a certain beginning and end, as Quinctilian observes: Rythmis libera spatia, metris finita sunt; (Lib. ix.) also in that its successive portions, called feet, are not required, like the bars of rythm, to be constituted with precise isokhrony and similarity, but admit considerable variety. It follows, that either metre or rythm may exist without the other: as, for example, in the double-sounded pulsation of the heart, from the absence of any certain limits, we are unable to recognize any metre, though there is an obvious rythm ; and on the other hand, in many forms of verse, as for instance in the common Sapfik, if we pronounce the several feet agreeably to ordinary syllabic quantity, we have metre, but no rythm.

The ancients took a further distinction, by limiting the application of the term metre, as indeed in ordinary usage we do ourselves, to syllabic ar-



 But that this distinction is but of a superficial kind, may easily, I think, be illustrated. Thus, as an instance of the difference between rythm and metre, they were accustomed to refer to the daktule and anapaist, observing that the rythm of those two feet was the same, though in relation to metre they were different, and even opposite. Rythmo indifferens est dactylusne priores habeat breves an sequentes. Tempus enim solum metitur; ut a sublatione ad positionem iisdem sit spatiis pedum. Quinctilian, Lib. ix. Yet it is evident that the distinction here intended does not turn on any thing peculiar to syllables, but would apply as well to a daktule of a crotchet and two quavers, or to an anapaist of two quavers and a crotchet, formed by a musical instrument, as to the same feet formed by syllabic utterance. Although, however, the essence of metre may thus be recognized in arrangements of sounds not syllabic, there is no doubt that the common acceptation of the term, whether ancient or modern, limits its application exclusively to such as are so: and to this usage we shall now conform.

Moreover, although in the above-given definition $\underset{\substack{\text { Mustre } \\ \text { me }}}{\substack{\text { Men }}}$ of metre, I have not thought it correct that rythmi- rythmical. cality should enter, as if it were an essential condition; and though it is common, and convenient in practice, to understand by the metre merely the syllabic arrangement itself, distinct from any particular rythm which may be supposed to belong to it; it is nevertheless proper to be noted, that in relation to the use, or effect, of metre, rythmicality is a condition which is indispensable, being that without which it will be destitute of all symmetry, grace,
and sweetness; and in short be utterly worthless. And so have the ancients taught us. Mérpov $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$

 And Maximus Victorinus says, Rhythmus sine metro esse potest; sine rhythmo metrum non potest. Gaisf. p. 365. Practically then we may say, that rythm is necessary to-metre : if not already in it, it must be added to it, before the use and effect of metre can be realized. It is the law of time by which its utterance must be governed.

Now in order that a metrical arrangement of uttered syllables may also be rythmical, it is necessary not only that they have some prescribed number, length, and order, as metre itself requires; but that they be such as to fall into a suite of bars isokhronous, and admitting proportional division by arsis and thesis. Now according to the common metrical doctrine, this is sometimes the case in metres, and at others not. In the common epic hexameter, for instance, it is so : but in the Sapfik, or in a logaoidik line, such as

$$
\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \iota s ~ \epsilon \pi^{\prime} \in \sigma \chi a \tau \iota a ̂ \iota \sigma \iota \nu \text { oıкєis• }
$$

it is otherwise. For in this line the two first feet are daktules, while the third is a trokhay: and for the line to be rythmical, this trokhay ought to be isokhronous and of like division with the daktules, which merely metrically considered it is not. But as rythmicians we make it so, by allowing three times to the syllable at, in the manner of a dotted note in music.

Only by such a method can we make many of the metres rythmical. But as it is evident that herein we take considerable liberties with certain
very establisht doctrines, it is necessary to enter into some explanations on this point.

Every schoolboy knows, as Quinctilian observes, Variety of that in metre a long syllable is considered equal in time. time to two short ones: Longam esse duorum temporum, brevem unius, 'etiam pueri sciunt. (Lib. ix.) That this maxim is generally and substantially true, I do not question : but at the same time, like most other maxims, it is not, I think, so absolutely so, as not to require some qualification. Perhaps it might more correctly be stated, as a technical definition, that a long syllable is metrically equivalent to two short ones. But at all events, let us first consider what is true in nature. Do all syllables, by virtue of their natural length or quantity, bear to each other the precise relations of doubles or halves? Any thing rather. Nothing is easier than to convince oneself that certain syllables may be found, which naturally would take four or five times as much time in pronunciation as certain others. Take, for instance, a syllable consisting of a single short vowel, as the first in the word oap, a wife; then replacing this by the long vowel, as in $\omega \dot{\alpha}$ eggs, we are already said to have doubled the time; and perhaps may really have done so. But now let us add successively three or four consonants, as in $\omega^{\prime} \sigma \phi \rho a i v \epsilon$, and see what a syllable we get. Is not $\omega \sigma \phi \rho$ - (for in this matter we count to the next vowel,) four or five times as long a syllable by nature as the simple little $o$, with which we started? Or try the same thing in English, by comparing the middle syllable of idiot with the first of outstretched or corkscrew. It is evident, then, that the above maxim of metre, is not a truth of nature, but of
custom, or convention, only. This point is well handled by Dionusios ${ }^{1}$.

We may further remark, that in actual living speech, if with an attentive ear we listen to it, we shall be able to observe syllables differing in time from each other not merely in the relations of two and one, but in sundry others, and often of greater inequality. For example, pronounce, as we commonly do, these words;-the whole face of the earth;-the syllable whole will be found, if I mistake not, equal in time to the three which follow it taken together, and of course not less than three times as long as the shortest of them.

What is to be said for the common rule of prosody, is this: that it is of our nature to endeavour to reduce successive motions and sounds to some regular time: and that next to making syllables all succeed each other at equal intervals, which would both create a wearisome uniformity, and likewise violate, in the greatest degree, their natural quantity; the simplest and easiest method that can be pursued, is that adopted in the said rule; namely, to make the syllables long and short, in the relations of two and one. And as regards the Greek and

[^3]Latin languages, in their common tenor, and when not overruled by the necessities of rythm, or some especial pauses or emphases, we have the greatest reason to believe, both from the explicit testimonies of the ancients, and from the character of their metrical arrangements, that this rule was.actually observed in speech.

But though this rule in general be good and true, there is yet, I am persuaded, a prevailing error, in seeking to enforce it with an inflexible rigidity, as if it admitted of no exceptions; and, especially, as if in all cases it determined the course of rythm. This point of controversy I shall not, however, further discuss at present, but shall return to it when I have completed the didactic exposition of my subject. I shall then produce testimonies to prove, that the ancient practice of rythm, like that which I am about to propose, did largely modify the common syllabic time : even making, to a certain extent, long short, and short long.

Metre becomes capable of marking or receiving, a Feet and rythm, by virtue of a more or less regular alternation, ${ }^{\text {Susugies. }}$ or recurrence, of long and short syllables. If all the syllables were of equal length, or if no particular order were observed in the distribution of the long and short ones, it would no longer be so. The little successive schemes, or groups, of syllables thus formed, and by which the metre seems as it were to advance or go, are called feet; and may be regarded as having a certain natural correspondence with the bars of rythm. In technical arrangements, however, it is a common practice, for two of what are called feet in metre, to be allotted to a single bar of rythm, under the name of a dipody or suxugy. (dımodia or $\sigma v \zeta_{v}{ }^{\prime} i_{a}$.)

Observe also, that though the feet or suzugies of the metre be isokhronous with the bars of the rythm, they are not always sunkhronous, or coincident with them; inasmuch as the commencement of the rythmical bar may fall either at the beginning, or somewhere in the middle of the metrical foot, or suzugy. For the metrician, in dividing his line into feet, considers chieffy, according to what distribution of the syllables, the syllabic constitution of the line may most simply and briefly be described. The rythmician, intent on time and numbers, has a different object in view. Suppose, for example, a line to consist of eight syllables alternately short and long, as

the metrician can most easily state its constitution, by saying that it consists of four iambik feet, or two iambik suzugies. But the rythmician, preferring to commence the counting of his bars with the long places, will commence his first entire bar with the second syllable of the line, and then making three entire bars, leave a broken bar both at the beginning and the end: unless, indeed, which would be the commoner practice in such verse, adapting his rythm to the suzugies, or metra, of the line, he preferred to throw the whole into two bars only, when, commencing as before, he would form one perfect bar, with a broken portion at each end, by which a second would be completed.

Apothesis of Me tres.

It frequently happens that a line is not such as to admit of an integral division, but under any arrangement will shew some fractional part of a foot either at the beginning or the end, as in the iambik,


In this case, we find it the received practice of the ancient metricians, to seek the constitution of the verse in the form of its commencement, and to dispose of the fractional portion at the end. This I believe to have been done advisedly, and with good reason; and especially in regard to the rythm, which at the end of lines, can often, with good effect, confer on long syllables an extra extension, and thus make good the apparent defects of the metre. In the above instance, $I$ apprehend that when set to rythm in the best manner, the syllable reet will receive three times; by which the second defective suzugy, with the aid of the first syllable of the next line, will be enabled to fill the second rythmical bar. An English reader will commonly, I think, be taught by his ear, to read such a line as this,
' T was when the seas were roaring,
which is of the same measure, in a similar manner.
But not only by such prolonged notes, will it occasionally be necessary to complete the time of the rythm, but sometimes by actual pauses or rests, such as Quinctilicen calls inania tempora. He says, Inania quoque tempora rythini facilius accipiunt, quanquam hrec et in metris accidunt. Major, tamen, illic licentia; ubi tempora etiam animo metiuntur, et pedum et digitorum ictu. Intervalla signant quibusdam notis atque astimant quot breves syllabas illud spa-
 onueiov tempus est unum. Lib. ix. I would not positively decide, whether the rythm of a katalehktik trokhaik, such as
should be completed by a rest; or by protonging the last syllable: but in the line,
it is certain, that though there be no formal deficiency in the metre, a rest of one time at least, as well as a prolonged syllable, will be required in the middle by the rythm. And in the common elegeiak, as

a disehmous rest, both in the middle and at the end,

Forms of Metre. is required by metre and rythm alike.

While the forms of rythm, as we have seen, are very few, those of metre are extremely numerous: the leading simple kinds, that is, those constituted essentially of one kind of foot, being, according to our author, not less than nine; while under each of these are comprised many particular sorts, not to mention various compound and anomalous arrangements. But for the purposes of rythm it is convemient and sufficient, to consider all metres as falling under one of the four great classes which the ancients called epiplotaì. ( $ө \pi \iota \pi \lambda o \kappa a i$.
Epiplokai. An epipbotèh comprises all such metres as are of the same internal texture, tissue, or constitution, so as to pass reciprocally into each other by the addition or removal of a syllable or syllables, at the beginning. Thus, for example, an iambik line by taking away the first syllable will be rendered trokhaik; and a daktulik, by the same process, anapaistik : while an anapaistik, by removing its first two syllables, will be made daktulik. Such convertible metres, therefore, belong to one epiplokèh.

The epiplokai are distinguished by the number of short syllabie times ( $\sigma \eta \mu \mathrm{cia}$ ) allowed to their characteristic foot. Thus the first epiplokè is rpianpos, or of three times, comprising iambik and trokhaik metres.

The second, тerpáanuos, of four times, comprising daktulik and anapaistik metres.
 iambik antispastik, and the two iovmik metres.

The fourth, $\pi$ evcórøuos, of five times, consisting of the several sorts of paiownik and bakkheian measures.

In the first epiplokèk, if taken кari nóda, by Rythm of single feet, the rythm would count only three to the prokeh. bar, having a thesis of two times on the long places, and an arsis of one, on the shert. And Horace intimates that such was once the practice in regard to the Latin iambik:

Syllaba longa brevi subjecta, vocatur iambus, Pes citus: unde etiam trimetris accrescere jussit Nomen iambeis, cum senos redderet ictus, Primus ad extremum similis sibi. Ars. Poet.
But the prevailing practice in this epiplokeh, was to couple the feet in suzugies, which were governed by an even rythm of six times in the bar: the arsis and thesis each corresponding with a whole foot of the metre. There was doubtless some advantage in this method. We can see, that it not only avoided an inconveniently and needlessly rapid movement of arsis and thesis, but that by breaking the verse into certain larger subdivisions, it rendered easier the occasional admission of spondees or other tetrasehmous feet, as in
by which the measure was agreeably diversified. But
2-2
how, it may be asked, could this extra time be admitted without disturbing the rythm? In strictness it could not: it was a licence; but not very sensibly felt, because the unduly lengthened syllables fell at the beginning or close of the long metrical subdivisions and rythmical bars, where a slight extension might occur without disturbing the counting. They enjoyed in short something of that indifference (adoaфopia) which was always allowed at the end of a line.

That this is pretty much the account of the matter which the ancients have actually given, will appear from the following words of Asmonius, cited by Priscian : Cur prima sades, et tertia, et quinta, in iambo, admittant permutationis pedum licentiam obscirum multis est, sed aperietur a nobis. Nam: quoniam ter feritur hic versus (the iambik trimeter) necesse est, ubicumque ab ictu percussionis vacat, moram temporis non reformidet. In primo autem pede, et tertio incipit, et in quinto: feritur in secundo, et quarto, et sexto. Where, moreover, let us observe, by the way, the situation of the ictus, corresponding, I apprehend, with the thesis; namely, in the even feet of the iambil verse; and in the same, by analogy, of the trokhaik.

We must, however, not forget, that although in following this method, the interior uneven rythm of the single feet is not expressly marked by arsis and thesis, it nevertheless exists, and is always underheard, or apprehended mentally. And it is this rythm, as well as the law of the metre, which forbids the substitution of the antipathous feet, trokhays. and iambs, for each other.
Rythm of The rythm of the second epiplokèh is so obvious Second
Epiplokiht. and simple as to require little remark. Here the bar
counts four, and is evenly divided; the thesis corresponding with the long syllable, the arsis commencing with the first of the two short ones, or with the long one which occasionally is allowed to fill their place. Thus,

In daktulik verse the rythm always proceeded by single feet, кaтג $\mu$ ovoтodiav; for this kind of metre was intended to be slow, dignified, and pathetic. Anapaistik verse admitted, indeed, the same rythm; but oftener marched at a quicker pace, кaтà $\delta_{\iota \pi o \delta i ́ a r, ~}^{\text {, }}$ then counting eight in the bar. Percutitur versus anapasticus pracipuè per dipodiam, interdum et per. singulos pedes. Est autem percussio cujuslibet metri in pedes divisio. Marius Victorin. Gaisf. p. 277. Aristeidehs teaches nearly the same: Tò avaтaıбтıкò,

 these ancient writers our own author agrees, calling such a line as this a tetrameter:

This line moreover is katalehktik, and if the rythm is to be filled as the ear seems to require, the penultima must be lengthened to four times, and after that, as also in all akatalehkt anapaistiks, the final bar must. be completed by the anakrousis of the following line.

Advancing now to the third epiplokèh, we shall Rythm of find our path not quite so well defined and certain ${ }_{\text {Epiplokeh. }}^{\text {Third }}$ as it hitherto has been. While it will be admitted on all hands, that the rythm requires six times in the bar, a question will arise whether the bar shall be divided equally into two portions, each of three;
or wnequally, by allotting four to one portion, and only two to the other. The latter method, which follows more closely the ordinary quantity of the syllables, will confessedly be practicable when the metre consists purely of the feet whence it derives its denomination, whether khoriambik, antispastik, or iownik; or even in other eases, by syllabik extension. Nor will I undertake to deny, that specimeas may be found, especially in the lesser iownit kind, in which this method may seem as eligible, or possibly even preferable, to the other. It is to be observed, that a given metre is not always absolutely confined to a single form of rythm, but may admit of being adapted to two or more. Speaking, however, in general, I must say, that whether we consult the ear or the reason, but especially when we consider the familiar intercurrence of iambik or trokhaik suzugies in all the metres of this elass, I am led to regard as their most proper rythm, that same evenly divided bar of six times, which, as we have already seen, regulates those suzugies. And in this opinion we may be confirmed, by observing how closely akin to each other, the metres of the present epiplokeh, and those of the first, were considered by the ancient writers. The khoriamb and antispast seem to have been regarded merely as modifications of the iambik suzugy, as the iownik feet were of the trokhaik. Premising, therefore, that the notation of arsis and thesis will here, as elsewhere throughout this work, indicate equal times, I proceed to exemplify the rythm which appears most suitable to these metres.

That of the khoriambik will be thus:


Now here the first arsis iototo has the appearance of a daktule; yet as it counts three instead of four, it is not in daktulik time. Probably, if nicely estimated, the syllables were respectively equal to $1 \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1$. The succeeding thesis consists of the long syllable vor alone, which may either be counted as three, which I think preferable, or the rythm may be filled by a metrical rest of one. In the next bar the first syllable $\mu$ et counts, I think, as two, and the thesis falls on кes. If this arrangement be questioned, I can only say that the appeal is to the ear, and must be decided after a general survey of this class of metres.

Let us take another example.
Ouк etòs, ề ruvaîkes.
This line our author exhibits as a specimen of khoriambik; but why may it not be considered as a logaoidik daktulik? It certainly may be so considered: but that our author has not so treated it, must, I presume, be attributed to his being aware, that it was not customary to read such a line with daktulik rythm. Taking it as a khoriambik, the arsis, oun ecòs, will, as before, count three; the thesis, $\hat{\omega} \gamma v$, likewise three; the second arsis, vat, is a single prolonged syllable of three times; the second thesis falls on кes.

These specimens, while they exemplify the rythm of this particular metre, go to illustrate a further point of much general importance. It is this: that the determining of the metre of any proposed line, is not an arbitrary thing, which may indifferently be done in this manner or in that: because, as the several kinds of metre have each of them an appropriate and customary mode of rythm, the determination of the metre in any case, must be regarded as involving that
of the rythm also; and may therefore affect the relative times of the syllables, and the entire effect of the line.

The manner in which the iambik suzugy is introduced in these metres, may be seen in such a line as this:

As an antispastik specimen take the following:

Here the first suzugy is antispastik, the second iambik; and the whole must be regarded as, in effect, an iambik metre, in which the second foot of the first suzugy bas been inverted. This analogy determines the rythm; in the manner above indicated. It requires the second syllable of the antispast to be allowed three times.

In such a specimen as,
we again see an instance of the facility with which a metre may be assigned to a wrong class. Unquestionably the line above may be considered, and read, as a daktulik trimeter; but our author, having regard, I presume, to the tenor of its connections, and to its rythm, but doubtless with good reason, treats it as an antispastik. It is canonical, that the first, or iambik, foot of an antispastik suzugy, at the beginning of a line, may be changed into either of the other disyllabous feet. This circumstance creates some little difficulty in determining the rythm of such places. I have denoted above that which, on the whole, appears preferable.

As a specimen of the iownile from the greater, take the following:

Here I intend the arsis and thesis to be equal, but leave it to the reader to adopt the other method, if he should prefer it: a remark which I wish applied to the lesser iownik also. From the first syllable in lines of the greater iownik being so frequently short, it may seem probable, that in some cases, the rythmical counting did not commence till the second syllable.

The rythm of the lesser iownik, when pure, will, I think, be thus:

The only remaining class of simple metres is the Rythm of paiownik, in which our author includes the krehtik Epiplokeh. and Bakkheiak. In all these, it is remarkable that the foot is metrically of five times, a mode of counting which, if made the measure of a bar, though certainly possible, is foreign to all known musical usage. To me, however, though I have no specific authority to rely on, the ear is a sufficient warrant for deciding, that the rythmical bar, here as in so many other metres, should be counted six, with an equal division. Take an example:

That the third syllable, rather than the second, is in general the right place for the arsis, is indicated by the convertibility of the paiown into the krehtik foot: this however may have admitted variety. The defective number was probably, for the most part,
supplied by a rest ${ }^{1}$; though in the ease of a krehtik, it may also have been done by extension.

Here closes our review of the rythm of the simple metres; and since the same principles must guide us in those that are compounded of them, however numerous and irregular they be, it is unnecessary to pursue this part of our subject any further. Here also closes our didactic exposition of rythm in general : what remains to be said on it is comtroversial.

Erroneous modern vieur of Rythm.

Of one source of confusion respecting rythm, name ly, that arising from the accentual arsis and thesis of the Latin grammarians, I have already spoken, and have now nothing further to say. But there is yet another, in a certain, as I conceive, erroneous, though prevailing doctrine, which, as it strikes at the root of the whole system which has been expounded in the preceding pages, and adopted throughout this work, cannot with propriety be left unnoticed.

It has been a common opinion among the learned, that the rythm of the ancients, whether applied to music or to simple metre, was inflexibly bound by the ordinary syllabic time; admitting no prolonged notes, nor, in short, any other varieties of quantity than those bearing to each other the proportions of one and two. Among other advocates of this doctrine, we find that accomplished scholar and musician, Dr. Charles Burney. In his History of Music (Vol. 1. p. 71) he writes thus: "However ignorant we may be of the melody of ancient music, the rythm, or time, of that melody, being entirely regulated by the metrical feet, must always be as well known to us as the prosody

[^4]and construction of the verse: so that we have nothing to do but to apply to the long and short syllables asey teno notes one of rohich is double the length if the other, in order to know as exactly as if we heard, in what manner any particular kind of metre was set by the ancients with respect of time and cadence; that boasted rythm, which, we are so often told, was every thing in their music." The author proceeds to illustrate his meaning by an example in iambic verse, divided thus into bars:

Of these bars he takes the first, third, fourth, and fifth, as belonging to triple time, and the second to common time; thus making the bars of the rythm unequal, and changing its character twice in the course of the line.

Now to me it appears, that the doctrine here delivered is tantamount to saying, that ancient music and metre had no rythm at all. For if there be no uniformity of length in the bars, and no symmetry in their internal division by arsis and thesis, what characteristic of rythm remains? What analogy to musical time, as we feel and practise it? What law for the ear to recognize or delight in? That a people so scrupulously sensitive of time, and who made so much ado about it, as the ancient Greeks, should arrive, after all their pains, at so pitiful a result as this, is absolutely incredible. Dr. Burney himself fully felt this difficulty, and observes with very good reason, that "it is difficult to conceive how such a music could be rigorousiy executed, without throwing both the hearers and performers into convulsions."

But before we acquiesce in so unsatisfactory a conelusion, let us ask by what argument this opinion is sustained. Happily it is by one, whieh, from its
palpable discordance with the ancient testimonies respecting rythm, will, if I mistake not, confute itself. The argument urged in favour of this arrythmous rythm, is, that it is of necessity implied in the observance of the syllabic times. But what if the ancients expressly tell us, that the rythm did constantly modify and overrule these times, even to the extent of making long syllables relatively short, and short ones long? That they have told us this, may easily, I apprehend, be placed beyond question. Nothing surely can be more explicit, or more to the point, than the following passage of Dionusios of







 dinary speech never violates the times either of any noun or verb, nor transposes them, but preserves the syllables such as by their nature it receives them, both the long and the short. But the rythmical and musical arts alter them both by diminution and increase, so as often to convert them into the opposites: for these do not regulate the times by the syllables, but the syllables by the times." Longinus also, in his Prolegomena to Hehfaistiown, (Gaisford, p. 189) distinguishing rythm from metre, has the



 "And further, metre differs from rythm in that
metre has its times fixed both long and short: but rythm at its pleasure extends the times, so as often even to make the short time long." These accounts seem to savour but little of that slavish subjection of rythm to syllabic time, on which Dr. Burney and others insist. But similar testimonies abound. The Latin writer Masius Victorinus speaks to the same effect: Rythmus, ut volet, protrahit tempora, ita ut breve tempus plerimque longum efficiat, longum contrahat. (Gaisford, p. 205.)

The foregoing evidence, to which additions might easily be made, will, I trust, be deemed sufficient to prove that the rythm of the ancients did modify syllabic time in a manner apalagous to that which has been exhibited in the preceding pages, and even more freely. The result, as it was the intention of this proceeding, was, that the rythm flowed on, from the beginning of the verse ta the end, uniformly and symmetrically, without any change or inequality, till a new poem, or at least a new line, introduced it. And to this very point we find explicit testimony: Nam rythmi, ut dixi, neque finem habent certum, nec ullam in contextu varietatem, sed qua caperunt sublatione ac positione, ad finem usque decurrunt. Quinctil. Lib. ix. Yet in the face of this evidence, the learned author presents us with a rythin that changes both the length and character of the bar two or three times in a single line. But the total failure of his system in practical effect, that is, as a guide to the reading of ancient verse, is abundantly acknowledged by Dr. Burney himself. After speaking of the choral part of the Greek drama, he continues thus: "We can therefore be certain of nothing concerning the music applied to the ancient chorus, except the relative lengths of the notes as they are determined by the prosody: in what manner the ancients divided
them by beats I do not even presume to guess; and I believe it may be proposed to the musical reader as a problem, worth, for its difficulty at least, if not for its importance, to exercise his sagacity, how the follow.ing specimen should be barred in order to render it as little tormenting to the ear as possible."

He then quotes the following beautiful antispastik and iownik lines from the Oidipous of Sofokleks, which I have presumed to bar, without being sensible of any difficulty, and which, if read agreeably to this barring, will, I trust, be deemed anything but tormenting:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | पֹ́cas evapotmê. |
| : 1 : 1 | 1 |
|  |  |
| Tn̄s evolunnvias фépet, |  |
| : 1 : 1 |  |
| H toroûtov ö́cov סoкeiv, |  |
| : 1 : 1 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Rythm not confined to Music.

I shall eonsider it then as sufficiently proved, that the position that rythm was inflexibly bound by common syllabic time, is untenable; and that the contrary, namely, that rythm modified and overruled that time in accordance with its own laws, is established. But it will perhaps be objected, that the rythm concerning which these points have been proved, is that which attended music, either vocal or instrumental, and not simple metrical recitation. This objection, though plausible, is not only gratuitous, but unfounded. In proceeding to answer it, I must first clear the way of two ambiguities, lest our labour be lost.

In the first place, when we speak of the simpote recitation; or reading of metre, we must not forget
that the ancients at all times recited poetry with much more of melodious intonation or chaunt than is customary among us; and the same thing is still observable in the south of Europe. By simple recitation, therefore, I mean no more, than such as had as little the character of singing as any which the ancients practised. In the second place, in contending that metrieal recitation was regulated by rythm, I do not intend to say that it was always accompanied by the actual performance of arsis and thesis, but only by that regular observance of time to which this mechanical process was subservieut. It is in this sense, as we have seen above, that Aristotle speaks of epik poetry as representing its subject without rythm, $\lambda$ ójous $\psi$ i入ois.

So much being premised, it may next be observed in general, that when the ancients speak of the manuer in which any particular metre was struck, or beaten, it implies the observance of rythm in that metre; and that although the reference may sometimes be rather to the practices of schools and masters, than to common reading or recitation, still, since the manner of reading thus made an object of instruction, could be no other than that which was generally approved and followed, out of school as well as in, the evidence will not be the less applicable to our purpose. And further it may be observed, that if such mention of the metres being struck be frequent and general, and never accompanied by any intimation that it refers particularly to the case of their being expressed in singing, or adapted to music, there is then no just reason to presume such a limitation. And lastly, I observe, that if such notices occur respecting those metres which we know, or can prove, not to have been attended with singing or music, the point in question is then evinced by evidence as clear as any of which it seems susceptible.

Now we have seen, by the way, notices of the kind referred to, respecting most of the classes of metres; and more may be found in Gaisford; but especially do we find them in relation to the heroic hexameter, the iambik trimeter, the anapaistik tetrameter, and others which we have every reason to be assured were recited by simple lexis. The point is also well marked in respect to the iownik metre called Sowtadeian, to which Aristides Quintilianus refers in this


 $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta o v$, кaí $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$ тоוov́т $\omega$, clearly asserting that in this sort of verse, though eminently prosaic, rythmical movements were employed in connexion with simple lexis without melody.

To evidence of this kind, might be added general arguments, drawn from the nature of the thing; and such too, as, in my opinion, would be very conclusive. Especially we might ask, since the structure of ancient verse was manifestly founded, not as that of our own is, mainly on accent, but on syllabic time, what, without rythm, could have been its grace or power? But if the reader be still unconvinced, at least let him notice, that almost the only metres in which our system of rythm does, after all, infringe on the common rules of syllabic time, are those of the third epiplokèh. Now as it happens that metres of this class are commonly lyrical, and intended for singing, this circumstance, while it makes the question before us less easy of decision in respect to such metres, will also, I think, be allowed to favor the presumption, that something more than usual of musical freedom in their rythm may have been admitted.

The theory of rythm is also in some measure
applicable to prose ; for as Quinctilian observes, Nikil Rythm apest prosa scriptum quod non redigi possit in quadam ${ }_{\text {Prowes. }}^{\text {pised }}$ versiculorum genera. But in prose, as the character of the metres under which the successive clauses of a sentence fall, is perpetually changing, so must also be that of the corresponding rythm. There is evidence that rythm was not wholly neglected by the ancient orators. Dionusios says of Dehmosthenehs, 'Evpıбкe

 not, however, in this point, affect any great precision, or resort to the mechanical process of arsis and thesis; hence Quinctilian says, Oratio non descendit ad strepitum digitorum. Id quod Cicero optime videt, ac testatur frequenter, se quod numerosum sit quarere, ut magis non áp $\rho v \theta \mu \mathrm{v}$, (quod esset inscitum atque agreste) quam évpu日mov, (quod poeticum est) esse compositionem velit.

A specimen or two of its application to prose, shall conclude these observations on the subject of rythm. It may be seen in this brief sentence; which is a sort of iambik trimeter with the last suzugy khoriambik ;

The following passage is quoted from Dehmosthenehs by Longinus, with approbation on account of its being composed wholly in daktulik rythms ; óloo
 to bar it according to this remark, although its truth may not, perhaps, be thought very obvious:


## OF ACCENT.

Next to rythm, the most important accompaniment of metre is that variation of tone which the Greeks called prosowdia ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \psi \delta_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ ), and the Latins, like ourselves, accent. For as, in music, mere measure, without melody, is altogether flat and unmeaning; so likewise will poetic metre, unless the sequence of syllabic notes be attended with an agreeable modulation of tonic rises and cadences, be quite insipid and graceless. In the one case as in the other, measure is useful only as it is subservient to melody. What indeed, in any case, can be the use of measure, unless there be something to be measured? The metre measures the melody.

Melody of Speech.

That there is a melody in verse, and indeed in all speech, is obvious and certain; and this is a fact which the ancients have clearly recognised. Aristoxenos, a writer of the age of Aristotle, as quoted by Foster,


 similar phrase, ठıa入єéктоv $\mu$ е́лos, is employed by Dionusios, in a passage which will presently be quoted. Cicero also says: Est in dicendo etiam cantus obscurior. But as the whole subject of accent is accustomed to be greatly misrepresented and obscured, it seems well to enter on some formal exposition of it.

A variable pitch of musical tone is, in all languages, an inseparable accident of syllables, as well as a vari-
 óute tóvos $\chi$ wpis $\chi$ póvov. Moreover, in regulating this
syllabic tone, or, as we commonly call it, accent, we not only affect a modulation that shall be agreeable to the ear, but we also avail ourselves of it as the grand means of indicating the peculiar relative significancy of the several constituent portions of our speech: in other words, we make it the vehicle of emphasis. In a sequence of monosyllabous words, if any one is intended to carry with it more significance, or to claim more attention, than its neighbours, it is commonly somewhat elevated above them in musical pitch, while a light ixcrease also of lowdness, stress, or force of utterance, attends this elevation. In this characteristic combination of elevation and loudness of tone, but chiefly in the former particular, consists what grammarians call the acute, or emphatic, accent; o§us tóvos; and in each little group, or clause, of monosyllabous words, one will commonly be found which especially bears this accent: thus, in the words, it will húrt me, the third will probably be emphatic, while the tones of the others will be more or less depressed.

Such is the case with monosyllables. But this distinguishing emphasis being indispensable for every word, except certain auxiliaries and enklitiks, its due observance must be provided for in polysyllables also: for as Cicero observes, Ipsa natura, quasi modularetur hominum orationem, in omni verbo posuit acutam vocem. Ad Brut. Now here the expedient of language is this: from among the several syllables of such words, to select one, which one shall bear the characteristic tone proper to the word, and which tone will be about the same that would belong to the word if it were a monosyllable. One syllable, then, in each
word is so selected, and will in general be pronounced $b_{\text {oth }}$ at the highest pitch, and with the greatest force, of all the syllables of that word. This syllable is said to have the acute, or emphatic, accent.

Aoute Accont defined.

It is, however, necessary to observe, with respect both to monosyllables and polysyllables, that the essence of the leading emphasis, or 'acute accent, does not lie in its being absolutely and always the tone of highest pitch. We shall find on careful observation, that not seldom the tone of some other syllable is really higher. This is especially the case in questions: for if I ask, Is this vinegar? it will easily be perceived, that the last syllable of the word vinegar will have the most elevated tone, though the first will nevertheless remain the emphatic syllable, and be said to have the acute accent ${ }^{1}$. This observation will conduct us to the true definition of the acute, or emphatic accent, namely; That it is a characteristic pitch of tone, (being in most constructions, though not always, the highest in the word, if of more than one syllable, and) accompanied with a slightly increased loudness or strength of utterance, which tone being placed on some one syllable of each independent word, indicates, by force of usage, its distinctness as a word, together with its degree of relative significance, or weight, in the sentence. This definition, which embraces monosyllables, may appear less simple than some others that have been offered: but I am persuaded, that none less discriminating

[^5]will convey the whole truth of the matter, or avoid the prodigious perplexities in which the subject has been involved.

As we have spoken of the melody of speech, some Distanoes inquiry may naturally be made, respecting the extent of Specoch. and quality of the musical distances of which that melody is composed. This, however, is a subject which has not been much investigated; and of the opinions which have been advanced respecting it, I believe some to be very erroneous. I can say myself, after many trials, that there is something in these distances very difficult to seize and discriminate to ones satisfaction. In the course of a sentence, the voice will no doubt range very often through almost its entire compass, whatever that may be, perhaps of a couple of octaves. But if we confine the question to the single distances between syllable and syllable, I am led to think that Dionusios was not much in error, when he asserted the prevailing distance, both in rising and falling, to be about that of the diatonic fifth, and therefore one embracing five notes



 $\beta a p u ́$.

Very strangely, the acute accent has commonly, Distinction by modern writers been confounded, more or less, from with the long syllabic time, though no two things ${ }^{\text {Quantity. }}$ can possibly in their nature be more distinct. For what two things can differ more obviously and essentially, than does either the pitch or the loudness of a sound, from its duration? One would have thought that in such a case confusion was impossible.

The source of these errors has been a practical ignorance of the distinction of long and short syllabic time. The modern nations of Europe, and our own preeminently, are accustomed to pay so little regard to this distinction, that they really have for the most part no clear sense of what it is. Nor is it a thing to be learnt in a day. We know that in the case of a young pupil in music, much attention and practice are required, before he can feel and express with exactness the relative value of the several notes, minims, crotchets, quavers, \&c. Now syllables, if they have determinate time, are notes: long and short syllables are crotchets and quavers: and the power and habit of expressing their just value in this respect, whether in common speech, or in metre, can only be acquired, where it is not the vernacular practice, by especial study and exercise, as is done in music.

Prevailing ignorance of the nature of Quantity.

Of this the learned world seems hardly to have been aware: at least we find no corresponding practice in our sehools and colleges, however zealous they may be about what they call quantity. There are no exercises in time: no counting, no rythm. In consequence, a modern student is apt to think of quantity, if he at all distinguish it from accent, as of some arbitrary, or occult, quality in syllables, in virtue of which, without any difference of which either eye or ear is cognizant, one, as for instance the first of nonus or fatum is to be considered long, and another, as the first of bonus or pater, short; so that in consequence, one will serve at the end of an hexameter, while the other will not. To correct this evil some reformers propose reading bon-us; which if they do not double the consonant, and make bonnus, may perhaps be some improvement, as far as the short
syllable is concerned. Then as to the long syllable, it is suggested, and very properly, that the long vowel should have a sort of double sound, noonus, faatum, as if it were a difthong. Now both these suggestions appear to be good as far as they go; still they do not, as I conceive, reach the essence of the thing. They go to improve our enunciation of the syllables, and certainly in this way to facilitate a correct observance of their time. But enunciation, however correct, must not in itself be confounded with time. Any syllable, of whatever letters composed, and how correctly soever articulated, may be pronounced longer or shorter at will: a naturally short syllable may: be rested on and prolonged, and a naturally long one hurried over. The time of a syllable is a variable accident, conferred, in speech as in singing, by the, utterer.

The essence of syllabic time lies in the rela- Nature of tive duration which we actually allow, and by an babitual and almost unconscious mental process, count or measure out, to syllables, in pronouncing them. Correct time, generally speaking, consists in our allowing the long syllable the same time in which we pronounce two short ones. This is the point which is practically so little apprehended, and sa much neglected. Let but the pupil accustom him: self to count three to nonus and only two to bonus, making the first syllable of the former word equal in time to the whole of the latter, and he will then be in the way of understanding the matter. In every case, when he feels any difficulty in allotting its due time to a long syllable, let him fancy two !hort ones in its place, and make it synchronize with them. For instance, let him pronounce voûv
isokhronously with vóov, and $\delta o u ̄ \lambda o s$ with ávenos, remembering the cadence, or double tone, involved in the perispowmen: $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ with $\lambda o ́ \gamma s o s: ~ a v \theta \rho \omega^{\prime} \pi o v ~ w i t h ~$ ou入ouévŋn; and so on. By practice of this kind, the true nature and effect of the long syllable will come to be perceived; and this is, I think, the best method to that end that can be pursued.

Quantity confounded with AOcent.

Thus ignorant of the true nature of syllabic time, and with ears unaccustomed to distinguish and measure it, many moderns have come to the conclusion that it can, in effect, be nothing else than accent, or something inseparable from accent. They all but assert, that a syllable can be lengthened only by receiving the acute or emphatic accent. To attempt gravely to refute an opinion so extravagant as this, especially when applied to the ancient languages, would be nearly as absurd as the opinion itself: and it has already been abundantly exposed by Foster and other writers. It will be sufficient, in order to shew its absurdity, to remind the reader, that a classical word, however many syllables it contains, can have the acute accent only on one of them : as Quinctilian observes: Est in omni voce utique acuta, sed nunquam plus una. Lib. i. cap. 5. If therefore this notion were correct, no word could have either more or less than one long syllable: whereas there is a plenty of polysyllabous words consisting of none but long syllables: abundance of others composed entirely of short syllables: and numberless others containing both short and long, but in which, however, the acute accent is often unluckily found on one of the short ones; as in, $\check{a} m \bar{a} s$, ắnŭmós, \&cc. So totally distinct is : the classical long quantity from the acute or emphatid accent.

But this remark, being founded in the nature of things, applies in fact to modern languages as well as to the ancient : the emphatic accent not being necessarily or uniformly connected with a long time any more in one than in the other. Í am aware that this assertion is opposed to high authority. Johnson, for instance, in his Grammar, observes that "pronunciation is just, when every letter has its proper sound, and every syllable its proper accent ; or, which in English versification is the same thing, its proper quantity." So then, according to this eminent writer, quantity and accent are, in our language, identical. But it is even more surprizing to observe the tenacity with which this error, for such assuredly it is, cleaves even to such a writer as Foster. On this point, his otherwise excellent Essay is all confusion. "We English," he says, "cannot well elevate a syllable without lengthening it, by which our acute accent and long quantity generally coincide, and fall together on the same syllable. If we pronounce the word majesty, we utter the first syllable with an acute higher tone and long, the two last with a grave lower tone and short: mājéstyy." "Now in the first place it seems a very odd assertion to make, either of ourselves, or any other people, that we cannot well elevate a syllable without lengthening it. What peculiarity in our organs can bring this to pass? Or how is it, that we find ourselves in no such a predicament when singing? But this notion of the necessary coincidence of our accent with long time, is nothing but mistake and delusion, as any one will find who will be at the trouble to consult his ears. Mine at least inform me, that the three syllables of the word
májesty are most commonly pronounced by us, not as stated by our author, the first as long as the two others together, but in about equal times, 1, 2, 3 : at least I feel sure that the difference between the first syllable of this word and the second, is not the classical proportion of two to one, nor any thing like it. Take again such examples as the words, pity, bódy, dimity, and try whether the accent brings a double time to the first syllables. To my own ear it certainly does not; those syllables seem to me as short, in point of time, as syllables well can be.

Foster again illustrates his view by reference to the line,

An hōnëst man's the noblest work of God:
in which he marks the first syllable of the word howest as long, and the second as short. Here also he appears to mistake accent for time. In precise reversal of his statement, I should say, that in this line, if read as an Englishman of cultivated ear will read it, the first syllable of the word honest, though accented, will be short, counting only one; and the second, though unaccented, long, counting two. Nor will this metrical irregularity affect the rythm; for the arsis will in either case be on the first syllable of honest, and the thesis on the word man; and whether the first or the second syllable of honest be lengthened, the interval of the arsis and thesis will be the same. Neither let an occasional occurrence of this kind be deemed a blemish in our verse; for it is rather a beauty. In ancient verse, the place of the accent being comparatively free, that of the long syllable was rigorously determined; and consequently, in metre of this kind the trokhay could not be substituted for
the iambos, there being an antipathy, as they called it, between these feet : in modern verse, on the other hand, the arrangement of the accents being more determined, in order to avoid an intolerable uniformity, that of the syllabic times is allowed greater licence.

Having thus endeavoured to explain the nature Greek Aoof accent, and especially to obviate the perplexity that ${ }_{n u m i n e}^{\text {cenc. }}$ gehas arisen from confounding it with syllabic time, I might proceed at once to notice its relation to metre. But as we have especially to do with Greek, there is one further point which will require some previous attention: I mean the particular objection which is raised against the ancient, or written Greek accent, as being inconsistent with the due observance of the syllabic time, and its consequent abandonment among us, in reading that language, in favour of the Latin method. For, verily, by a singular fate, the native aceent of the Greeks, though carefully recorded in written characters, has been supplanted in its own mother-tongue by the Latin, while the Latin accent, though always unwritten, has not only maintained undisputed sway in its proper language, but succeeded, by an exterminating invasion, in establishing a complete dominion over its neighbour: a curious, though minor instance, of the tyrannous destiny of Rome.

There was a time, indeed, when a portion of the learned world was inclined to deny altogether the genuineness of the Greek accent, as now written and printed; and when even Oxford editors went so far as to discard it from editions which issued from the press of that University. Into this controversy it is not my purpose now to enter, partly, as not having room for it, but more because it seems at present unnecessary. The conceit above alluded to, (for it
deserves no better name, sustained as it was by no single scholarlike argument, but by appeals to modern prejudice and ignorance alone, ) has, I apprehend, given place to juster views; and as the accentual marks are now retained in all editions of any character, I shall content myself with referring the reader, for any further information which he may desire, to Foster's learned and elegant Essay on Accent and Quantity, a work which much deserves to be reprinted.

I shall assume it as certain, and indisputable by any scholar, both that the Greek accent, according to the most explicit testimony of ancient authors, both Greek and Latin, was governed by the syllabic time on a different principle from the Roman, to whose rules we have now subjected it; and likewise, that it corresponded, in the main, with that neglected system which we still see written; as is evinced by a number of conclusive proofs to be met with in ancient writers; not to mention the strong presumptive evidence implied in the mere fact of its being so written, and the additional argument arising from its notorious accordance with the pronunciation of the modern Greeks.

Assuming then the genuineness of the written Greek accent, let us proceed to examine the charge which may still be made in practice against it, of corrupting the quantity or syllabic time. But in doing so, let the reader bear in mind, that our present question being only between the Greek mode and the Latin, we are unconcerned with any objections, drawn from modern usage, to which both are equally liable.

What then was the distinguishing difference between the accentual rules of these two ancient languages? Simply this; that whereas the Latin, as

Greek and Latin Accent compared.
every one knows, 'made the position of the acute accent in polysyllables, dependent on the quantity of the penultima, the Greek, on the contrary, made it dependent on that of the ultima. The common rule of Greek accent, in words of more than two syllables, is this: that if the last syllable be long, the accent shall be on the last but one, making the word paroxyton; but if the last be short, that the accent shall go back to the last but two, or ante-penultima, making the word pro-paroxyton; the quantity of the penultima being in neither case regarded. Such a practice must, of course, violate the Latin rule of accent continually; but does it follow that it violates quantity, or corrupts syllabic time?

In the Latin word ánimus, the accent remains Practical fixed on the first syllable through all the cases, ánimi, objections ánimo, ánimum; but in the corresponding Greek word Aceent ávemos, the long terminations of the genitive and dative draw it forward, as in avé the fourth case it retires again, ávє $\alpha o v$, because the ultima is short. Now it is objected that this proceeding lengthens, or tends to lengthen, the short penultima of avépov. It does so, I reply, if the acute accent lengthens, or tends to lengthen, every syllable on which it may be placed; but not else. This position, however, is utterly untenable in the ancient languages, and we have seen that it is untrue even in the modern. Moreover, in Latin, such a combination as sëd ămäs, in accent and quantity exactly resembles avéruov, yet is not objected to; and in Greek є $\tau \tau \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu \mu$, taken separately creates no difficulty; while єутоо́дч, though consisting of precisely the same sounds, is disallowed, and pronounced by us évтроцч. Surely this is rather whimsical.

So much for one half of the charge against the Greek usage, namely, that which relates to the laying the accent on a short penultima: the other, and probably the stronger part of it , respects the not placing it on, but before the long penultima; as in éxalvos, $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$. And here let us in the first place remark, that this Grecian practice is at least very analogous with that of our own language, as well as of the German; perhaps indeed it may be regarded as something distinctive of the Teutonic dialects, to which the Greek seems nearest allied, while the Latin savours more of the Keltic. When we might say, induistry, calúmny, galáxy, we prefer retracting the accent to the first syllable ; that is, we prefer the very Greek rule now objected to, to the Latin, which we have substituted for it. It may, however, be objected that the cases are not perfectly parallel, because, although in the English words above cited, the natural quantity of the penultimas is long, still we are not much accustomed to give them in utterance the proper long time ; and therefore, that our usage, in this instance, is not a perfect illustration of the Greek. Certainly it is not; otherwise the existing difficulty respecting the Greek accent could hardly have been felt by us. But that, in fact, such long time may easily and pleasantly be conferred on penultimas so situated, other instances, from our own language, will readily exemplify. Such are many of our compound words, as schoolmaster, landowner, primroses. Some phrases will afford a still better model; as the last words of the following short sentence: Timothy is not so tall as Ambrose is; where, if well read, while the emphatic accent is laid on the first syllable os laf the word Ambrose, the second will, nevertheless, h, are
double time, or one equal to the first two syllables of the foregoing word Timothy. Now the phrase Ambrose is, so pronounced, may, I think, in respect both of time and accent, be taken as a pretty fair representation of such a Greek word as $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho w \pi o s$. Or let the reader take at once such single and simple English words as májesty, stéadfastness, industry, and without at all altering their accentuation, only exercise himself in allotting to their penultimas the long or double time. In any or all of these ways, a conception of the thing may soon be aequired. There is no mystery in the matter; though, from want of use, some little practical difficulty may at first be felt.

It will also be a help in many cases to imagine the syllables differently distributed, as by annexing the short ultima to the following word. Thus, if we see difficulty in reading the line,

let us suppose it arranged otherwise ; as,

$$
\text { є́pш тацои̂vov ท' } \chi \text { ec. }
$$

Or take the line,

re-arrange it thus, and the way to read it will be obvious:

There now remains in it nothing that might not occur in Latin. Indeed, this suggestion appears to be of real importance in this matter, and in some measure a key to the present difficulty. There can be no doubt, that the ancients were accustomed to connect the final syllable more closely with the ensuing word than we
do. It is this which explains both the elision of final vowels, and the length by position of final consonants: and it is every way probable, that this same practice was a principal cause of carrying back the accent in the case before us.

As this essay may chance to meet with a reader or two who will take a practical interest in the above suggestions, for the assistance of such I offer a few further illustrations, drawn from our own language. The only syllables which we with any constancy lengthen, are such of our monosyllables as are naturally long, and happen likewise to be used with more or less emphasis. It is to these, therefore, or their compounds, that we must look, as English examples, though imperfect, of long syllabic time. As examples: pronounce $\lambda$ órous somewhat as héqd-äche, or mád-höuse, or pick-äxe, carefully lengthening the second syllable; doúdous, as sún-shīne, or shép-
 as pénmänship, or úprightness, or sometimes as schoolmäster ${ }^{1}$.

In like manner we may illustrate the pronunciation of the oxytons: autòs, as hèreìn, or $\bar{u} n$-done; autoùs, as sixxtéen; de૬̆ıơ, as grānd-papà or täken-ín; тovnpous, the-sïxtéenth; $\delta o u \lambda \omega \theta \in ̇$ è, qüite-ündbene, \&c. And here let us observe, in passing, the accuracy of the Greek grammarians. When an oxyton is closely followed by another word, we see that they revert the position of the accent, marking it as grave. This is done because in such cases the tone is, in fact, depressed: and if we compare avoovs ciôov with sixteèn apples, or almòst dead, we shall perceive that

[^6]a similar affection of the accent takes place in both languages.

There is, besides, a set of special paroxytons having a short ultima, but these present no peculiar difficulty. Thus eגतitos may be compared with töomány, or out-witted.

This preliminary essay shall now be concluded by some brief notice of the relations of accent to Relation of Accent to metre. In this matter it is notorious to all who are competently acquainted with the subject, that there is a remarkable difference between the versification of ancient and of modern languages. In the latter it is deemed indispensable, that the acute or emphatic accent, should, for the most part, coincide with the long metrical places. In the ancient languages, on the contrary, but in the Greek especially, no such rule is found to prevail; the oxyton syllable occurring in all manner of positions, with much apparent indifference and irregularity. These facts are so obvious that few portions of verse, ancient or modern, could be produced, that would not readily illustrate them. Obvious also is the necessary result; namely, that each particular variety of modern metre will be attended with a somewhat constant form of tune or melody; its accents and cadences occurring, line after line, nearly in the same places: while on the other hand, the accentual melody accompanying any given form of ancient metre, was susceptible of immense variety; being nearly, if not quite, as unrestrained by any particular law, as the airs which may be composed in a given measure, in music. Such change the northern invasions introduced in the verse of Europe: to which also the muse of Hellas, long struggling with the flood of barbarism, at length reluctantly submitted.

In Greek this innovation appeared in the form of the doggrel verses which were called political, and may be traced in the writings of the Bysantine authors. Foster produces specimens from Twetwes, such as this;


Here it will be perceived, that the accents play the same part which they do in modern verse; over: ruling the natural quantity, and superseding all full and exact observance of syllabic time. Modern Greek verse proceeds on the same plan, only with the addition of rhyme.
' $\Omega_{S} \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon, \tau u ́ \chi \eta$, $\mu$ è $\sigma \tau е \phi$ ávous

Kai $\mu \epsilon ̀ ~ a \kappa т i ̀ v a s ~ \pi o \lambda \nu \pi \lambda a ́ v o u s, ~$
Tòv $\nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\alpha}$ ex $\theta a \mu \beta \hat{\eta} s$;
The revolution is here complete. But in the age of Tretzes they were conscious of the change that was taking place, and lamented it; as he does himself, complaining thus, in lines which shew that he could write very well in the ancient manuer when he chose, of the vulgar muse, mov́бทs aquptioos;


Пódas te tnpoî, mavтaxoû, кai dıxpóvous,



Comparison of $A n$. cient and ModernVerse.

If, however, the question be raised of the respective intrinsic merits of these two systems, it will not be easy to determine it. It is, in fact, a matter of taste, of ear, of liking ; and as in all other cases of this kind, our judgment will very much be swayed
by the power of habit. This being the case, most modern readers will probably hesitate very little, is giving the preference to that to which they have been accustomed. Yet if we aspire to form an opinion which shall be something more than a prejudice; one founded, not in our accidental tastes, but in the nature of things and of man; we shall not so easily rest satisfied in condemning the practice of such nations as the Greeks and Romans. Are not their works, those of the former especially, in all other surviving monuments, the acknowledged standards of good taste? Is it not from them that modern nations may almost be said to have derived their very conceptions of the beautiful? And though, from the fleeting nature of sound, that which is addressed to the ear is not capable of perpetuation like the solid objects of sight; and the musical and metrical performances of the ancients can therefore no longer be heard: yet as we know that they pursued these branches of the fine arts with the most ardent devotion, and most scrupulous refinement; any hasty decision, that their method was essentially bad and wrong, would surely be presumptuous. Let us consider that the ancients, though having in their languages a perfect facility of cultivating that fixed and formal sort of metrical melody which now pleases us, yet deliberately preferred that looser and freer kind which their works exhibit. Shall we really think, that had we been at the elbow of Homer, or Virgil, Pindar, or Horace, we could have suggested to those accomplished authors an improved system of versification for their native tongue?

Yet nothing less Erraucous presumption of many eminent scholars. Without a Vossius,
shadow of evidence or authority, beyond the arbitrium suarum aurium, their own fancy that it would sound well, they prescribe to us their novel modes of reading the classics. Thus, according to Vossius, the beginning of the AEneid should be read in this way:
'Arma virímque can6 Trojaé qui primus ab bris, Italiám fat6 profughis, Lavínaque vénit, \&c.
violating, in almost every other word, the unquestionable rules of Latin accent. And exactly on the same principle, Dawes (Misc. Crit. p. 191.) favours us with the following scheme for reading the iambik trimeter:

Now as to proofs or arguments from antiquity in favour of this proposed method, we do not find the learned author attempting to produce any ${ }^{1}$; although, with a dogmatic confidence somewhat amusing, he says, "Rationem accentuum a poetis Atticis servatam, libet hic exponere." (p. 189.) 'But independently of the pure gratuitousness of this accentual scheme, or rather, I should say, of its absolute repugnance to the whole mass of ancient evidence relating to the subject, let me ask, whether any thing, which, if carried into practice, would be more subversive of the beauty of ancient verse, was ever imagined? For the evervarying, and truly melodious cadence of those inimitable compositions, it goes to substitute such a monotonous and wretched jingle, as would scarcely be endurable for twenty successive lines.

[^7]Reject we then this flimsy conceit, as being both The pracfalse to antiquity, and practically worthless. Nor of the will we further attempt to discuss the comparative ${ }_{\text {Aocent. }}^{\text {Grek }}$ intrinsic merits of the two methods of verse which have been under our consideration, I mean the ancient and the modern. Practically, as respects the reading of Greek among ourselves, whether in metre or prose, there are but two courses; namely, that of continuing to read it, as we commonly do, agreeably to the Latin accent; or returning once more to the guidance of the written Greek accent. The advantages attending the former method, beside that of its present prevalence, are these: that by throwing the accent more frequently on long syllables, it renders the due observance of the syllabic times, to us, at least, somewhat more easy ; and likewise, produces a form of metrical cadence more conformed to our own usage, and therefore more immediately agreeable to us. And some perhaps might argue, that not only with reference to our own habits and prejudices, but to nature itself, the Greek principle of accentuation, which dissevers so indifferently the acute from the long time, was not altogether a happiness of that language. At least it must be admitted, that the Latin practice, which the Greeks themselves admitted to a considerable extent, was of the two the easier: and this to us, who study these as dead languages, is a consideration of some weight.

But on the other hand, by abandoning the utterance of the written accent, we lose in the first place the satisfaction of maintaining, in this respect, the actual pronunciation of the ancients, which to many minds may be something considerable, as 1 confess it is to my own. We also lose, as far as our spoken

Greek is concerned, the convenience of the numerous distinctions which the accents create between wonds otherwise similar. Then in respect to the delight of the ear, it cannot be denied, that the Greek accentuation presents a very agreeable variety, whereas the Latin method is extremely heavy and monotonous, as having no oxytons. The former Dionusios commends
 wouci入liq tò vópov; while the inferiority in this respect, of the latter, is frankly acknowledged by Quinetilian, though a patriotic Roman: Sed accentus quoqwe, cum rigore quodam tum similitudine ipsa, minus smaves zabemus; quia ultima syllaba nec acuta unquam excitatur, nec flexa circumducitur, sed in gravem, vel duas graves, cadit semper. Itaque tanto est sermo Gracus. Latino jucundior, ut nostri poeta quoties dulce carmen esse voluerunt, illorum id nominibus esornent. Lib. xII. Cap. X. The Romans, therefore, delighted to transfer into their own language, that very accentuation which we banish from its native tongue.

And even in respect to that particular kind of cadence which we now affect, that, namely, which arises from the coincidence of the emphasis with the long syllable; in many instances the Greek accent will produce this, where the Latin would fail to do so; -as, for example, in this line:

$$
\text { Eр } \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon, \delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha, \kappa^{\prime} o u k ~ \in \rho \bar{\omega} .
$$

or in these :
Пíater meríotiv, каi $\theta$ eovs maptúpetal,
:Ocas amoıß̄̀s є $\xi$ Iácovos кирєi.

To these arguments in favour of observing the ancient Greek accent, we may add, that it is only in so doing that we can enjoy, in Greek verse, any thing beyond a merely fortuitous melody. That Greek poetry was not composed with any view to the Latin accent is certain ; and it is therefore equally so, that if, when we substitute that accent for the Greek, the verse shall still exhibit any accentual beauty, that merit will be due to chance only, or to the inextinguishable grace of the language. On the other hand, the written accent expresses a melody which the poets and orators composed with careful study. In such a melody we may well believe that there must be a latent beauty and perfection, which though not immediately apparent to foreign and unaccustomed ears, will gradually reveal itself to the patient votary of the Hellehnik muse.

The great objection, of course, to which the practice now advocated is liable, is its alleged violation of quantity. According to the true sense of these terms, we have already seen that this charge is groundless: but in the sense commonly intended, a moment's reflection will shew not only that it is groundless, but that it may be retorted with its whole weight on those who advance it. To make a false quantity passes no doubt for a serious fault in all good schools: but what does this phrase commonly mean? Does it mean, as it ought, the uttering of a syllable without a due observance of its relative time? Not at all, as we have seen above. Such observance of time is never attempted, nor thought of. Know then, gentle reader, that in Latin, to make a false quantity, means to place the accent otherwise than as the quantity of the syllables requires, according to the rules of that lan-
guage, that it should be placed. Thus if a boy say, éxternus, placing the accent on the first syllable, he will be obnoxious to this charge, because the Latin rule imposes the accent on the long penultima. This is not amiss: but the misfortune is, that if this same notion be applied to Greek, we must conclude that our scholars are taught to make false quantities almost every other word. For is not a boy taught to say ${ }_{p}$ av日 0 ítos, with the accent on the penultima, though the Greek rule requires, that when the last syllable is short, the accent shall be placed on the antepenultima? And is he not taught to say $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \in \mu$, with the accent on the ante-penultima, while the law of the language requires, the last being long, that it should be on the penultima? A truly singular method this of observing the quantity, it must be confessed : systematically to place the accent precisely where the quantity demands, by the rules of the language, that it should not be placed! This objection, therefore, if it means anything, recoils on those who raise it. But, in fact, their untenable position drives them into absurdity. They stickle for the Greek quantity against the Greek accent, as if they could be opposed to each other: meanwhile they equally violate both. For in Latin, though we totally neglect the real quantity, we are correct in the accent; but in Greek, forsooth, while we are equally negligent of the quantities, we entirely subvert the accentuation, under the pretence of observing them.

Enough, I trust, has been said to bespeak for this subject a candid and unprejudiced consideration; and to whatever of argument in favor of an observance of the Greek accent has been adduced, the author begs to add the statement, that having himself grown pretty
familiar with its use, he finds it neither difficult nor disagreeable, though its effect in verse is certainly very different from our own.

If, however, in a practical point of view, the revival of the ancient Greek accent in its full extent should still be deemed inexpedient, advantage might, perhaps, be derived from a partial return to it. Such partial employment of it might be guided by this consideration, that Greek accentuation divides itself into two branches; the ordinary and the extraordinary; the rule and the exceptions. For the Greek rule, we might then, if judged expedient, continue to substitute the Latin, and so far abide by the now universal practice of western Europe; considering. that whether the Latin rule be, or be not, the better in natura rerum, it is unquestionably that which, from its tendency to combine the accent with the long quantity, will generally appear the more easy and agreeable to nations pursuing the modern method of versification. At the same time we might obtain the principal advantages which confessedly resulted from the Greek practice; namely, those useful distinctions, and that agreeable variety, of which the Romans felt the want; by calling into use that extensive system of accents extraordinary, perispowmens, oxytons, and paroxytons, from which those advantages chiefly sprung. The utterance of these would certainly, after a little practice, not be found either difficult or unpleasant, but much the contrary. My own opinion, however, is now decided in favour of an observance of the Greek method throughout: the pursuit of which will, at any rate, afford excellent practice in elocution.

It may be proper, in conclusion, just to notice, Metrical that beside the emphasis of grammatic accent, of ${ }^{\text {Emphasic. }}$
which we have been speaking, there is such a thing as 2 certain metrical or rythmical emphasis, which is distinct from it. This would be a slight stress recurring at certain intervals in recognition of the progress of the metre or rythm. It is analogous to what modern musicians sometimes, though improperly call accent; and all that need here be said of it, is that it must ever be kept entirely subordinate to the proper accent of speech. An example of this may be seen in the following line:

where, though the first three feet appear as daktules, yet as they represent anapaists, some slight stress or emphasis must distinguish their second syllables, though these be both short and unaccented, since they both fall in the long metrical places, and correspond with the rythmical movements.

#  ETXEIPIAION. 

## ПEPI METP $\Omega$.

## KEФAムAION MPRTON.

ПEPI $\mathbf{\Sigma Y} \Lambda \Lambda A B \Omega N$.





 $\grave{\eta} \mu \eta \kappa \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu, \grave{\eta} \mu i ́ a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \nu \delta \iota \phi \theta^{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$,











$\kappa \alpha!$,


 $\kappa \alpha i \mathrm{E}_{\mu \pi \epsilon \delta о \kappa \lambda}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathrm{s}$ ，

 $\mathrm{E} \nu \theta \alpha$ каi $\delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \tau \eta \rho \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ \mu \grave{̀}$ то̀ $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~$









 kal，

 $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a ß \grave{\eta}$ єıs $\mu \in ́ \rho o s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \epsilon i ́ \eta ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta, ~ \omega ं s$


 Aı $\sigma \chi$ ú入os $\epsilon \dot{\nu}$ Nıóß！！，


[^8]каi $\Sigma$ офок $\lambda \bar{\eta} s$,

$$
\text { Ovó àv тotaúvŋv } \gamma^{\lambda} \lambda \bar{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu{ }^{\bullet}
$$

каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ каi Apıбтофа́vəs,
Еі́тєן той $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ :
 тóde,












> ППขe入є́oto ávaктos.






 Өєокрі́тч,


[^9]каі тар' "O $\quad$ й́рщ,





$\kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$,


 акои́єтає. Тй $\mu \in ́ v t o \iota \gamma є ~ Y I ~ \delta ı ф \theta o ́ \gamma \gamma щ ~ є a ̀ v ~ т а р а к о-~$


'Ектор, íย̇̀ Прıáцоьо.












 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \mathrm{~K} \rho \alpha \tau i ́ \nu \omega \in \nu$ Паvóттаıs,







 таро̀ А入каí儿，

каi тар＇＇Oийрф，



 $\pi \epsilon \pi$ оі́ $\boldsymbol{\tau \kappa}$ ，



 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s ~ o ̂ ̀ \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，$\omega$ s $\epsilon \nu$ K $\lambda \epsilon o$ ßou入ívaıs，
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \in \nu ~ П \alpha \nu o ́ \pi \tau \alpha \iota \iota$,
 каi тá入ıv єv＇$\Omega p a t s$,


${ }^{1} \phi \lambda$ ．MSS．$\phi \iota \lambda o ́ \lambda v \rho o s$ Gaisf．The Scholiast calle this metre trokhaïk，but Gaisford adopts a different distribution of it．
${ }^{2}$ A $\imath_{1}$ O．MSS．apı $\theta_{\mu}$ arol Gaisf．



$\kappa \alpha i \mathrm{E} \mu \pi \epsilon \delta о \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$,












 к al,





 Ai $\chi$ ú os av Nıó $\beta!!$,

'This line is here given in the form in which Apollownios Duskolos appears to cite it from Altman. Kail кєivos $\epsilon \nu \sigma a ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ ${ }_{\eta}^{\mu} \mu \in \nu=s \mu_{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \rho s$ av ip. Gaisford: but it still appears to need some correction.

каі इофок $\lambda \bar{\eta} s$,

каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ каi Apıбтофávøs,
Еїтер $\pi о \imath \eta \quad \sigma \omega:$
 тóde,


'Iкoû $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \pi i \quad \Lambda \eta \theta a i o u$.








$\Delta \iota \alpha ̀ \tau о \bar{\tau} \tau о$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \mu \dot{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \sigma v \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$

## Ппие入є́оьо ávактоs.







 Өєокрітш,


[^10]каі $\pi а \rho^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{\mu}{ }^{\prime} \rho \varphi$,





$\kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,




 रivetat• ồov,
'Ектор, vìè ІІриа́моıo.





Па́троклє, цоі ठеі入й.
 $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho о к \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ̀$. Прот $\alpha^{\prime} \sigma-$

 $\Lambda$, ката̀ $\pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta o s$, $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{̣} \mu \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \lambda \eta s^{\bullet}$ каi $\sigma \pi \alpha \nu i ́ \omega s$











 тара̀ Алкаіч,





 $\pi \epsilon \pi о$ і́ŋкє,








$\kappa \alpha i \begin{aligned} & \pi \alpha ́ \lambda ı \nu ~ \epsilon \nu \\ & \text { ' } \Omega \rho a t s, ~\end{aligned}$



[^11] $\epsilon \nu$ Kúк $\nu \varphi$,





 $\nu o ́ s \cdot \omega \in \epsilon \tau \omega$

$\grave{\eta}$,

каi,


Kai $\mu e ̀ ̀ ~ o i ~ \Lambda u ́ к ı o ~ t e ́ \mu e v o s ~ \tau a ́ \mu o v . ~$





## KЕФ. B. <br> ПEPI $\Sigma$ YNEKథ®NHEER乏.


 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$.

 ขоутai, ồov
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$,

H $\beta \rho \alpha \chi \epsilon i \alpha$ каi макра̀ єıs мíav $\mu \alpha к \rho a ̀ \nu . ~$




 тท̂ I $\lambda t a ́ \delta \iota^{\circ}$





Kaì עû̀ K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu i o v ~ \dot{u} \iota o ̀ \nu ~ A \theta \eta \nu a i o v ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ф а \nu \omega ́ \sigma \omega \omega, ~$



 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega$,











$\kappa \alpha i$


[^12]
## 67.

## КЕФ. $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.

ПEPI $\Pi O \Delta \Omega$.

$\Delta \iota \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \in ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon s^{*}$



єк $\mu$ ккра̄s каi $\beta \rho a \chi$ cias, ó TPOXAIOE•



 т íxponos, cis.
 тєт $\rho a ́ \chi \rho о \nu o l ~ \delta e ̀ ~ т \rho є i ́ s . ~ . ~$






KPHTIKOE•



 $\delta \in \kappa \alpha \cdot \hat{\omega} \nu$,
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \chi \rho o v o s$ єis.

$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi \rho \circ \nu o 九$ ठè $\tau \in ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon S^{-}$

 TEPOE•



 EONOE-

 ZONOE



TAYTOПOAIA, $\grave{\eta}$ ó $\triangle$ IIAMBȮE-



 tepos, $\eta$ ' TPOXAIKh 'entashmoz, $\grave{\eta}$ Kapioos.



 oкт́́रpovos èts.
 - taytonoaia.

## KE $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ．$\Delta$ ．

ПEPI AПO日E

入ıкой，

KATAAHKTIKA $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ö́ öa $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \chi € \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ тє $\epsilon \epsilon v \tau \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ \pi o ́ \delta \alpha \cdot ~ o ̂ ̀ 七 \nu ~ \epsilon \pi i ̀ ~ \iota \alpha \mu \beta ı к о \hat{v}$,








 $\sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu^{\bullet}$ тò $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ סúo $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta a ̀ s, ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa-$ тוкò $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \leqslant \sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$.

BPAXYKATAAHKTA $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ка入єїт $\alpha \iota, \sigma \alpha a \pi \dot{d} \delta \iota \pi 0-$
 $\beta \iota к о$ й，

$$
\text { A } \gamma^{\prime} \text { aût' es oîkov } \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \eta \sigma i \pi \pi \omega^{\circ}
$$

 кєīтаı $\delta \iota \pi o \delta i ́ a s$.
 $\sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda a \beta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \pi o \delta o ́ s \cdot ~ \delta i \iota o \nu ~ \epsilon \pi i ~ \iota a \mu \beta ı к о и ̂, ~$









 каі $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha{ }^{\nu} \cdot{ }^{\text {ótov, }}$





 драцца́т $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.
 reítwv 'I $\pi \pi a \rho \chi$ оу ктeìre, каi 'Apmódios'
 дєíav $\pi \epsilon \pi о$ пикótos.








## 71

KEФ. E.

## MEPI IAMBIKOY.

 $\chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha s, \tau о \tilde{\tau}{ }^{\prime} \in ́ \sigma \tau \iota, \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu, \tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \eta \nu$, í $\alpha \mu-$ $\beta o \nu, \tau \rho i \not \beta \rho \alpha \chi \nu \nu, \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \epsilon i o \nu, \delta a ́ \kappa \tau \nu \lambda o v, ~ a \nu a ́ \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$.








 $\chi \in$ โiov.

 уратта।' ${ }^{\circ} \iota \circ \nu$,


T $\rho i ́ \mu \in \tau \rho \alpha$ סè, wis тò,

Tєт $\rho \alpha_{\mu} \in \tau \rho \alpha$ d̀́, ồıо тò той A入каíov,
$\Delta e ́ \xi a t ~ \mu e ~ к \omega \mu a ́ \zeta \sigma v \tau a, ~ \delta e ́ \xi ̧ a t, ~ \lambda i \sigma \sigma o m a i ́ ~ \sigma e, ~ \lambda i \sigma \sigma о \mu \alpha t . ~$
 Avakpeoltcion• ̇̇ıv,


 Oypos какой dè 子и́paos каӨaıреi.











 $\lambda a ́ \beta o u s ~ \pi о ́ \delta a s, ~ о и ́ т \epsilon ~ \delta а ́ к т и \lambda о \nu, ~ о и ́ т є ~ і т \rho i ́ \beta \rho a \chi \cup \nu, ~$
 каi $\epsilon \cup \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ s \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau 0$,

 $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$,

Ess ák ${ }^{\prime}$















 тоוойто!, ov, бvขє $\chi \bar{\omega}$ s кє́ $\chi \rho \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.

## KEФ. 5. <br> חEPI TPOXAIKOY.

 $\delta \in ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \rho о \chi \alpha i ̄ \nu, \tau \rho i ́ \beta \rho \alpha \chi \nu \nu$ каi $\delta_{\alpha}^{\kappa} \kappa \tau v \lambda о \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\pi a \iota \sigma \tau о \nu . \quad$ 'Отє $\delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \kappa а \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}, \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$







Ooúplos $\mu 0 \lambda \omega \dot{\omega}$ Apns.





 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup ́ \tau o v ~ \pi o \delta o ̀ s ~ \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \epsilon i o v ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu e ́ v o v, ~ o ̂ ̀ o ́ v ~ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~$ каі то,


 Ka入入ı $\mu$ á $\chi o v$,



 $\lambda_{\iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu}^{\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu, ~ o и ̆ \tau \omega s . ~}$
ка́рфєтаи үа́р йоэ.
 $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho$ о $\mathrm{K} \alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \mu \alpha \chi о$,
єлиі ті̂ фиуаіхца.
 $\epsilon \pi i ́ \sigma \eta \mu o ́ \nu$ є $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \cdot$ ôtov,

 єбтıv• ̊̀ıov toutí tồ Avakpéoltos,









[^13]








 wis тлоєíp $\quad$ та।.

## KEФ. $\mathbf{Z}$.

## ПEPI $\triangle A K T Y A I K O Y$







 $\sigma u ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$.
 т $\rho о \nu$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$ єıs $\delta \iota \sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda a \beta o \nu, \tau о \kappa \alpha \lambda о v ́ \mu \in \nu о \nu$ є́тоs• ò̀ov,

$\epsilon \pi i \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \sigma \chi \alpha^{\prime} \tau \eta s \lambda_{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \omega s, \tau \hat{\eta} s . \Lambda H O \Sigma, \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota$


Kai тò тє $\tau \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о \nu . к а \tau \alpha \lambda \eta к \tau ı к \grave{\nu} \nu$ єıs $\delta \iota \sigma u ́ \lambda-$



 E $\pi=\omega \delta o i ̂ s, ~ o ̂ \iota o \nu$,

Фаıvónєvò како̀̀ оíкад́ árєбӨaı.
 - $\alpha^{\prime} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$.


каi，






$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta_{\eta \mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ А $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \kappa \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ，


 т $о$ охаїкой тои̃ ка入очцє́vov ıӨифа入入ıкой，övтшs．

Ка́рфетаи $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\rho} \rho \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \eta$.
 катє $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．








 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i ́ a s ~ \alpha \delta \iota a ́ \phi о \rho о \nu, \epsilon \alpha \dot{\nu} \alpha к \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \kappa \tau о \nu \hat{\eta}^{\cdot} \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$












T $\omega \bar{\nu} \delta \epsilon \alpha к \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta ́ \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ ，тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о \nu \kappa \alpha-$





Г $\lambda и к u ́ \pi \iota к \rho о \nu, ~ а \mu a ́ \chi а \nu о \nu ~ o ́ \rho т е т о г . ~$






 סєкaбú入入aßov•


$\Omega \delta_{\iota \alpha} \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \theta_{\nu} \rho i \delta \omega \nu$ ка入òv $\epsilon \mu \beta \lambda$ é $\pi о \tau \sigma \alpha$,


[^14]
## KЕФ. $\mathbf{H}$. <br> חEPI ANAIIAIETIKOY.

To $\alpha \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu, \delta \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon-$ $\tau \alpha \iota \sigma \pi о \nu \delta \epsilon i o \nu, \alpha \nu a ́ \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau о \nu, \sigma \pi \alpha \nu i \omega s$ ठє̀. каi $\pi \rho о к \epsilon-$ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v \cdot ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ~ т о i ̂ s ~ \delta \rho а \mu а т о т о ь о i ̂ s, ~ к а i ~ \delta a ́ к-~$



 $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\eta} \nu, \beta \rho \alpha \chi \cup \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \kappa \tau о s$.




Kéклทтая סé Apıбтофаvєîov, ouk Apıбтофávovs
 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\text {i }}$.














 $\pi \rho о ф є \rho о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho а \delta є і ' \gamma \mu а \tau \alpha$ -






 $\lambda_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} о \nu \tau \iota$ кє $\chi \rho \eta \mu$ е́роン・


 $\psi \in v ;$ wis $\tau \dot{o}$





Kai кópкopos ev 入axávorav.
 ov toútov тồ $\mu \in ́ \tau \rho o v ~ \mu o ́ v o v, ~ \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ o u \kappa ~ \epsilon є к o ́ \tau \omega s ~$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kai } \pi a ́ v \tau a \text { 入óyov тáxa } \pi \text { बv́re!. }
\end{aligned}
$$


入ıкой．Tò $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ à $\rho$

Eparuovíi $\boldsymbol{X}$ Xapìae




$$
\Delta \eta \text { ŋ́m }
$$

 $\kappa \in \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ סoкє $\uparrow$－

$\kappa \alpha i{ }^{\pi} \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$,

 тò̀ $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu}$ тóda．





 $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu^{1}$ aкатá入ทктоv avataıбтıкóv．Tıvès סè



[^15]
入єитаías фи入атtouévov autồ каӨapov̂，каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\lambda \in \lambda \nu \mu \in ́ v o v$.



 $\nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \pi o \nu \delta ̀ \epsilon i o s ~ к a i ~ i ́ a \mu \beta o s . ~ K a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau a l ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ o u ̂ \nu ~ A \rho-~$

 Кал入ı $\mu a^{\prime} \omega^{\cdot}$




$\Phi ı \lambda \omega \tau e ́ \rho a ~ a ́ \rho т ı ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ o i ~ \Sigma ı к e \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ E v v a . ~$


 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon$.

## КЕФ. Ө.

## חEPI XOPIAMBIKOY.






 ס̂ıov, $\delta^{\prime \prime} \mu \in \tau \rho \circ \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu, \tau \grave{o}$,
'I $\sigma \tau$ тотóvot $\mu$ еіракеs.
T $\rho \dot{\prime} \mu \in \tau \rho о \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \cdot \hat{\delta} \iota o \nu$,
Ouס̊̀ 入єóvтడע $\sigma \theta$ évos, oudé $\tau \rho \circ \phi a l$.
T $\epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu \delta \epsilon \in \cdot$

 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$.
 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu, \tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \xi$ Aco入oбíкшขos Apıбтофávous•

Ouk єтòs, ê $\quad$ juvaîкes,

$\Phi \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \iota \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \times \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o \tau^{\prime} a^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in s$.

$\Lambda a \mu \beta a \nu o ́ \mu \in \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ аит $\omega \bar{\nu}$.
T $\rho i ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ ס́́, ồov тò Avaкрє́ovtos.

 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \Sigma \alpha \pi \phi o u ̄ s$.



 $\iota \alpha \mu \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}{ }^{-}$.

По入̀̀ $\delta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{o}, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{̣} \kappa \alpha \tau а к \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \delta \iota, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta \epsilon v-$
 Avaкрє́o $\frac{1}{}$ -

Ек тотацоі̂ 'таvé $\rho \chi \circ \mu a \iota ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \alpha ~ ф e ́ \rho o v \sigma a ~ \lambda а \mu \pi \rho a ́ ' . ~$







 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \bar{\omega} \rho a$.

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i ́ m a ̂ s . ~$




кảv таís Птépuそı.
 éd $\rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau$.




## KEФ. I. <br> חEPI ANTIEIAAETIKOY.



 $\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho a ̀ s ~ a \nu \tau \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́ s \cdot ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha i ́ a \nu, ~ o ́ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon ~}$






Kaí $́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ \epsilon \pi i ́ \sigma \eta \mu \alpha ~ \epsilon \nu ~ а \nu \tau ب ̣ ̂ ~ \tau а ́ \delta \epsilon . ~ П \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \mu \iota \mu \epsilon-~$


K $\lambda$ veı $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ маiete
Tòv evxúpıov.







Oסóvть бки入акоктóvч,
Kúmpıos $\theta a ́ \lambda o s ~ \omega ́ \lambda л \sigma \epsilon . ~$







Па́v, Пелабүкко̀ А А



$\Lambda a ß ̆ a r \tau \hat{\omega}$ そiфeos xpuбodétav é $\chi \omega \nu$.






T $\omega \bar{\nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \omega \nu$, тò $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$ каӨapóv єбтו тò тоıỗtov.


 $\pi \epsilon \iota \circ$ • ồov,

H






[^16]













[^17]KEФ. IA.

## mepi toy all meizonos innikoy.

To anò $\mu \epsilon i \bigvee o \nu o s ~ \iota \omega \nu \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma u \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ к а i ~$







Фей́үotба тòv A入фєóv.





Kai трíцєтра $\beta \rho \alpha \chi \nu к \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta к \tau \alpha$, та̀ ка入ои́ $\mu \in \nu \alpha$









 o̊̀ov,








 $\sigma \alpha \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha i \quad \gamma \alpha \dot{\rho}$ т $\rho \iota \sigma i \nu$ ı $\omega \nu \iota k \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \rho о \chi a i ̈ к \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~$


 Абаротépas ovঠада́ $\pi \omega$ ' $\rho a \nu \nu a ̀ ~ \sigma e ́ \theta e v ~ \tau u \chi o i ̈ \sigma a . ~$

 тoîs трıце́троıs•









[^18]
## KEФ. IB. <br> mepl toy att enazzonoz innikoy.


 $\chi \alpha і ̈ \kappa \alpha ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \pi о \delta i ́ a s, ~ o ̀ v \tau \omega s ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \rho о \chi \alpha і ̈ \kappa \bar{\eta} s$






 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \omega \rho \bar{\nu} \nu \epsilon \nu$ тоîs $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma o \nu o s ~ \iota \omega \nu \iota \kappa o i ̂ s$,

 $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' А $\lambda \kappa \mu a ̂ \nu!$.
'Eкatò̀ $\mu$ è̀ $\Delta$ ıòs $\mathbf{v i o ̀ v , ~}$

Kai $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha^{\Sigma} \Sigma \pi \phi о \imath^{*}$







[^19]


'А $\delta$ ' $\alpha \nu a ́ \gamma к а ~ ' \sigma \theta ' ~ i e \rho є \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а Ө а р є v ́ є \iota \nu ~ ф \rho a ́ \sigma о \mu є v . ~$





 $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha}, \omega \dot{s} \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda v \theta \rho v ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ таи̃та тарабєíүмата $\delta \eta \lambda о$ ю.
 'Aıs є́vtєa татауєitтац каi $\chi$ д́入кєа кро́та入а.

 Tav́pov. Oudèv é $\lambda \epsilon \xi \in \nu^{\cdot}$.








[^20] $\epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota^{\circ}$



$\Delta t o v u ́ \sigma o v ~ \sigma a ̈ u ̈ \lambda a t ~ B a \sigma \sigma a p i d e s . ~$






Eucelòs комчòs avìp
Поті та̀̀ $\mu a \tau$ ép ${ }^{\prime}$ ध́фа.
${ }^{1}$ इaùnat, Gaisf. but that gives a moloss in the even place. इaïiaa, Micyllus and De Pawve.

## KЕФ. IГ. <br> ПEPI MAIתNIKOY.






 $\epsilon \nu$ T $\rho \circ \phi \omega \nu^{\prime} \psi^{*}$

Eıта єпгьфє́рєє,






 $\Gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \omega \bar{\nu}$.





Kai Eútoŋ入ıs єע Kó入a $\iota_{\bullet}$




тє́т $\alpha \rho \tau о \nu ~ \pi \alpha \iota \omega ̂ \nu \alpha ~ a \nu \tau i ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho \omega ́ т о v, ~ о \nu ~ \tau \eta \rho ท ́ \sigma \alpha s$ то̀ $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \eta \mu \alpha$.









Ма̂̃тep $\hat{\omega} \pi o ́ \tau \nu \iota a, \kappa \lambda \hat{\nu} \theta \iota \iota \nu \mu \phi \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \hat{\nu}$,

Kai $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.




 $\tau \alpha i ̣ \alpha s, \epsilon \phi^{\prime} \hat{\eta} s$ тòv тє́ $\tau \alpha \rho \tau о \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \iota \bar{\omega} \nu \alpha \cdot$


 $\mu \in \tau \rho o \nu, \ddot{\omega}_{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \quad \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu e ́ v o v s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho-~$


 $\epsilon \pi i$ то̂ тєт $\rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v, \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma i ~ \pi \alpha \iota \omega ̄ \sigma \iota ~ \tau о \imath ̂ s ~$


[^21]








 $\sigma \nu \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, 山̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{~} \mathrm{Baк} \mathrm{\chi u} \mathrm{\lambda í} \mathrm{\delta} \mathrm{\eta}$.





 о $\mu \circ \iota \odot \epsilon \iota \delta \omega \nu \nu \mu \in ́ \tau \rho \omega \nu$.
${ }^{1}$ Oia $\pi a i \sigma \delta \varepsilon \epsilon$, some MSS. and possibly the reading in the second line might be тй китаірч.

K Е $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ．I $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ ．

## חIEPI TRN KAT ANTIMA日EIAN MIEESN．

 $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho a \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．










$\pi \rho о ́ к є \iota \tau \alpha l \cdot \theta a ́ t \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$



$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\beta a \beta a, & \beta a \alpha \beta, & \alpha \beta a, \\
\beta a \beta \beta, & \beta a \alpha \beta, & a \beta \beta .
\end{array}
$$




Xaîpe Ku入入ávas í $\mu$ édets，$\sigma$ è $\gamma$ áp $\mu$ or．

[^22] $\epsilon \pi \iota ф \in ́ \rho o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{̀} \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \rho \tau о \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda a \beta o \nu, \chi о \rho \iota a \mu-$



по́тva $\theta$ vиóv.

七кòv ' $\mathrm{E} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$, ò $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho \omega ं \tau \eta \nu ~ \alpha \nu \tau \iota-$










 тоเóvסє,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a a, & \beta a \beta, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a a, & \beta a a, \\
a \beta a \beta, & \alpha \beta a a, & \beta a \beta, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & a \beta a a & \beta_{a a} .
\end{array}
$$


 каїко̀̀ $\Delta \omega \delta є к \alpha \sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu \cdot$ ȯıov,
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$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a a, & \beta a \beta a, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & a \beta a a, & \beta a \beta \beta .
\end{array}
$$





 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \delta \alpha \cdot$ ồov，


$\kappa \alpha \nu \omega \nu \nu \dot{\delta}$ àtov̂ ov̂tos，

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a a, & \beta a \beta a, & \beta a \beta \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & a \beta a \alpha, & \beta a \beta \beta, & \beta a a l
\end{array}
$$







каעผ̀̀ $\delta \dot{\text { ć }} \alpha \nu \tau 0 \hat{v}$ ôvtos，

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha \beta a \beta, & a \alpha \beta \beta, & \alpha a \beta \beta, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & a \alpha \beta \beta, & a \alpha \beta a .
\end{array}
$$

[^23]KaӨapoû $\mu$ èv óvтos тov̂ 七шขıкoû, тойтo• ava-

 इ $\alpha \pi \phi$ ồ,


Kaviv̀ סè avtoû oưtos,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a \beta a \beta, & a a \beta a, & \beta a \beta a, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & a a \beta a, & \beta a \beta \beta .
\end{array}
$$

## KEФ. IE. <br> MEPI AEYNAPTHTRN.


 є́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \alpha \nu \tau i$ èvòs $\mu o ́ v o v ~ \pi a \rho a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \eta \tau \alpha l ~ \sigma \tau i ́ \chi o v . ~$




## 






 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ K $\rho a \tau i ̂ \nu o s$,










 тò $\Sigma a \pi \phi o u ̂ s$,

Аขтà סغ̀ $\sigma \dot{\nu}$, Kал入ıóта．
 каі $\chi о \rho \iota \alpha \mu \beta \iota к о \hat{v} ~ \sigma и \gamma к є i ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu . ~$









 $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ A $\rho \chi i ́ \lambda o \chi o s \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$,

## Eparцoviôך Xapìiae．

 тои̂ amò $\mu \in i$ íovos $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，ì $\nu \alpha \mu \eta े \alpha \pi \epsilon-$

 $\mu \eta \dot{\jmath} \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ t \rho \iota o \nu ~ \gamma ́ ́ \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho о \sigma о-~$




$$
\text { Абтஸ̂̀ } \delta \text { ò òi } \mu e ̀ \nu ~ к а т o ́ \pi เ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu, ~
$$

[^24]















入ózoıs,








 oùtos,

[^25]
 $\omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{~K} \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega{ }^{-}$






 $\boldsymbol{\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \boldsymbol { \eta } \kappa \tau о \nu}{ }^{\cdot}$



 $\chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ 立 $\nu$ каi A入каîos $\epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ oṽ $\dot{\eta} \alpha \rho \chi \eta े$.





 $\kappa \in \chi \rho \eta \mu \in ́ \nu o \nu, \delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega s \delta_{\epsilon} \cdot$



[^26]




 $\kappa \alpha \lambda o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$.













 ठ̀̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ o v ́ \cdot ~ ' \Omega \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \pi о \tau \grave{̀ ~} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon-$ $\sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$, тотє̀ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ т $\rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon к \alpha \sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$, $\pi o \tau \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \delta \omega \delta \in \kappa \alpha \sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda a \beta o \nu$ єîval.
 $\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu \alpha^{*}$






$\pi o \tau \epsilon ̀$ ס̀̀ $\delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$.




＇Iepà vî̀ dè $\Delta \iota o \sigma k o u p i d e \omega ~ \gamma e v e \eta ' . ~$



入oхоу Ioßáкхоıs•

A入入o，тоútov é入atтov катà тѝ̀ тє入єutaíav $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\eta} \nu$ ，тò ка入oúrevov Evpıтídєıov T $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma-$
 $\pi i ́ \delta \eta{ }^{\circ}$















 $\chi$ $\alpha і ̈ к о и ̆ ~ є ́ ~ \phi \theta \eta \mu \iota \mu є \rho о и ̆ s, ~$

Eбтı moı ка入à тaïs，
каi סıцє́трои аката入йктоу，той，





$$
\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu, \text { ovó epavváv. }
$$




Toútov $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 白 $\epsilon$ 亿̧óv $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \hat{y} \tau \hat{y} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota a$
${ }^{1}$ Kлeís ayanata．Gaisf．but here is plainly some error，because the metre is imperfect．Bentley has conjectured àanaza，and to fill the still remaining gap I have ventured to insert a pronoun．

 каi т тохаїкой є̀ $\phi \theta \eta \mu \mu \mu \epsilon \rho о$ s.













$\pi \hat{\eta} \delta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$





Kai $\tau \grave{̀} \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$





[^27]入пктоу Kа入入ímaхоs．

 тєтратодías каi ıӨифа入入ıкой，той $\epsilon$ ．


$\Delta \epsilon u ̂ \rho o, ~ \delta \varepsilon u ̂ t e ~ M o i ́ \sigma a t, ~ \chi \rho u ́ \sigma e o v ~ \lambda ı \pi о і ̈ \sigma a l . ~$
 $\iota \alpha \mu \nless \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \delta \alpha, \dot{\eta} \alpha u \tau \grave{\eta} \pi о \iota \eta \dot{\tau} \rho \iota \alpha \cdot$





${ }^{1}$ Me入íxpoos，Gaisf．but this destroys the metre．I have there－ fore adopted a conjecture of De Pauwe approved by Hermann．

## KEФ． 15. <br> ПEPI ПOAYミXHMATIETRN．

Поarixhmatieta $\delta \dot{e}$ ка入eital ö $\sigma \alpha$ кат＇єтı入o－
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ̀ \pi \rho o \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu^{1} \pi 0 \iota \eta \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$. тои́т $\omega \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu о ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$ ．





 ब儿ஸ́т！






Кала̀ үє́peta єєбомéva．


ムıyoupoкштìns evotins．
$\omega^{\omega} \delta \epsilon \kappa \kappa i \not \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon$,


[^28]




Пеле́кєббя סovêtral.



 Еитó入ıঠı єv X $\rho \nu \sigma \underset{\sim}{\omega}$ Г'́vєı,










 тєútoıs Evtó入ióos $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$, ïva $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \bar{v} \nu \quad \mu \eta \kappa v ́ \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta є i \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тарать $\theta$ є́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota$.



## ПЕРI ПOIHMATO乏.

## KEФ. A. <br> חEPI ETIXOY KAI EYETHMATOE.

$\Sigma_{\text {tixoz } \epsilon \sigma \tau i ́ \pi o \sigma o ̀ \nu ~}^{\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta o s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v, ~ o ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ o u ́ t \epsilon ~}$













 $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau i ́ \chi o \nu, \mu \in ́ \rho o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma v ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$.









 $\chi$ оע autà $\boldsymbol{\gamma \in \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \theta a l . ~}$
$\Delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu e ́ v o v ~ \delta ’$ ทi $\mu i ̂ v$, тí te єбтi $\sigma \tau i ́ \chi o s$, каi тi ко́ $\mu \mu \alpha$, каi тi кผ̂入оу, каi тi $\sigma v ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$, ӧ $\mu \omega s \pi \alpha-$

 rрáфөat фацє́v.
 Tìv ó фабi тekóvтes
Euvaiovs oapıruou's


## КЕФ. B. <br> mepi tni eiann ton noihmatni.













 Тィцо日є́ои.

 $\lambda \eta \lambda a, ~ o u ́ \tau \epsilon ~ a \nu a \kappa u ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \cdot$ ôtóv $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Sigma ı \mu \omega \nu i ́ o o v ~$ $\epsilon \pi i \gamma \rho а \mu \mu \alpha$.



Toloûtós $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ каi ò Maprítทs 'OMípov• ov rà $\rho$
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 á $\dot{\sigma} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ovi $\dot{\eta} \alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$,










$\kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} s$.













## КЕФ. Г. <br> MEPI•TRN KATA EXEEIN.



 $\kappa \alpha \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota \nu$.
 $\sigma \tau \rho о ф \bar{\eta} \mathrm{~s} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon i ̄ \tau \alpha \iota$, каӨáтєן $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{A} \lambda \kappa \alpha i o v, \kappa \alpha i$ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ इãфойs, каì $\epsilon_{\tau} \tau \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Avakpéovios.










 каі $\Sigma \iota \mu \omega \nu i ́ \delta o \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о і ̈ \eta \tau \alpha \alpha$.—Прошঠıка̀ $\delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \in \nu$


[^29]





 є $\chi$ оце́vois.





 $\eta^{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha{ }^{\boldsymbol{s}}$.









 $\sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i ́ \delta \eta^{1}$, ồov, 入ójov $\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu, ~ \tau o ́ ~ т \epsilon ~ \epsilon \pi \omega \delta \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu, ~ к а i ~$


[^30]


 $\Delta$ е́oтой, Aртещ; Onpûv.




 єK ศஸ̂̀ т $\boldsymbol{\rho}$

## KEФ. $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$.

חEPI T $\Omega \mathrm{N}$ A A $\Lambda \Omega \mathrm{N}$ EIA $\Omega \mathrm{N}$.

 а $\nu о \mu о$ о́бт $\rho о ф \alpha, к а i \cdot \alpha ́ \tau \mu \eta \tau \alpha$.












 $\alpha \nu \alpha ф \omega ́ \nu \eta \mu$.






[^31]
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \xi \iota \nu$ ท̀ $\beta_{\rho \alpha \chi \cup к \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \xi i \alpha \nu ~}^{\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi v \text {, ov } \mu \in ́ \nu \tau 0 \iota}$
 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ фı $\lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho o ́ d o ı s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \chi о \rho \omega ̂ \nu . ~$




KEФ. E.<br>mepi anann tinan en toiz hoihmazi.

E $\Phi$ YMNIA.


 $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \alpha i$ таîs $\sigma \tau \rho о ф \alpha i ̂ s, ~ o ̂ ̀ \alpha ́ ~ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ к а i ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau о \iota \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$.

Iǹı $\pi$ aáá ${ }^{-}$
кai,
$\Omega \delta_{\ell} \theta_{\nu}^{\rho} \rho a \mu \beta_{\epsilon}$.


 то̀ тара́ $\Sigma а \pi \phi о \hat{\imath}$ -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}_{\text {цеvaıov, }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Aє́ppete тéktoves ávópes.
${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon_{\mu \in \nu a \iota o \nu}$
Гащß

## ЕП!̣ $\Delta O I$.


 $\tau \iota \epsilon \pi \iota ф \in ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \cdot$ oîov,

Пátєр $\Lambda \cup к а ́ \mu \beta a, ~ \pi о і ̈ о \nu ~ є ф \rho а ́ \sigma \omega ~ т o ́ d є ; ~$

каі є́ть.
 Ev dè Barovacádys.
 тар’ Avaкрє́oгті.






## EПІФӨЕГМАТІКА.











 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \hat{u} \pi 0 \iota \eta \tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu, \epsilon \pi \iota \zeta \epsilon \hat{v} \chi \theta a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, єîт $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$
 котท้̀ $\alpha \nu 0 \mu о เ о \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ s$.

[^32]
## MAPABAEIE.







 $\mu a ́ \tau \iota o \nu$, ò каi тарà тoîs $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o i ̂ s ~ \pi о \iota \eta \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ o ̈ v \tau \omega s ~$


 то̀ $\mu \alpha к \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho о б а \gamma о \rho є и o ́ \mu є \nu о \nu \cdot ~ o ̀ ~ к а i ́ ~ ф а \mu є \nu ~ \epsilon i ̀ v a l ~$
 $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a \imath$, єठóкєє єìval $\mu \alpha к \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu . ~$




 $\kappa \omega ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \underset{\omega}{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \iota \rho \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$.

## KEФ. 5. <br> MEPI TRN EHMEISN.



 каi $\grave{\eta}$ є́ $\sigma \omega$, каi ò абтєрíбкоs, каi єí тı á入入о тоเoûтоע.

Парà $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ oû̀ тоîs $\lambda v \rho ı к о i ̂ s, ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu о \nu o ́ \sigma т \rho о ф о \nu ~$






 каi та̀ avópoıa.

 $\epsilon \pi i \quad \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \omega \bar{\delta} \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad \mathrm{K} \alpha i \quad \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$







[^33] $\beta 0 \lambda \bar{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$.















 ठè тараура́фф, ท́тоє катà тро́бсота ацоıßаîa,



 рштає ì бтрофѝ ì тара́ярафоs, єжıфєроцє́vฑs



 тí $\theta \in \tau \alpha$.

[^34]

 тїєєтаı ท̀ $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \gamma p a ф o s$.





 то̂̀ $\mu$ é̉







 $\epsilon \nu$ סè $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha u s ~ \sigma \tau \rho o ф a i ̂ s, ~ \epsilon \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau a i ́ o u ~$


 $\pi o \delta i ́ \delta o \tau a l ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀ ~ a v \tau o i ̂ s . ~ E \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a \nu \tau a \pi o \delta ı \delta o \mu e ̂ v o l s, ~$



 аутатоסíסoтal.
minas t


# HEHFAISTIOWN'S ENKHEIRIDION, 

conclerning

## METRES AND POEMS.

## PART THE FIRST.

OF METRES.

## CHAPTER I.

OF SYLIABLES.

A short syllable is that which hath a short, or a Short syb shortened vowel, not at the end of a word ${ }^{1}$, provided that ${ }^{\text {lable. }}$ there be not between this vowel and that in the next syllable, more than one simple consonant, but either one or none.
A. long syllable is that which hath a long, or a Longsyllengthened voweel, or one of what are called difthongs, ${ }^{\text {lable. }}$ provided that a consonant follow, either at the end of the same syllable, or at the beginning of the next; such as $\theta$ ris, $\theta$ wis, rais, vaûs: but if not, then plainly they are not long but common, as shall hereafter be explained.

They also become long by thesis, (position), when there being a short, or a shortened vowel, there fall between it and the vowel in the next syllable, more than one simple consonant, or a double one.

[^35]Now this comes to pass in five ways. For either the syllable ends in two consonants; as,

and,
 and Timokreown in his epigrams,
 and Empedoklehs,

Or, they are in the next syllable ${ }^{4}$; as, ${ }^{\text {' } E-\kappa \tau \omega \rho: ~ w h e r e ~}$ we must observe, that the first must not be a mute and the second a liquid; for such syllables are common, as will hereafter be stated.

Or, it ends in one consonant, and has the next syllable beginning with another; as, á $\lambda$ - $\lambda$ os.

Or, it ends in a double consonant; as, eđ $\xi$.
Or, it has the next syllable beginning with a double consonant; as, $\epsilon$ є- $\xi \omega$.

Common syllable.

A syllable becomes common in three ways. It may be so either when to a long vowel, or a doubtful one lengthened, or to a difthong, there succeeds a vowel; as

 and,

 $\sigma \alpha ́ \lambda o s$ is uncertain.
${ }^{2} \chi \in \rho s$ for $\chi \in i \rho$. The line may mean, Who cannot assist with his hand, but can with his mind.
${ }^{3}$ The sea was congealed with the blasts.
${ }^{4}$ Notice here the mode of dividing the syllables.

Very readily, indeed, such a syllable becomes common, if it be at the end of a word, as in the foregoing examples: but in the middle of a word, more rarely. Not, however, but that it does occur, and especially in the other measures. In iambik, Aiskhulos, in Niobeh;
 and Sofoklehs;

$$
\text { Ovo }{ }^{\nu} \text { à } \nu \quad \tau o a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a v .
$$

Aristofanehs too;

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\iota} \pi e \rho \pi \operatorname{lin}^{\prime} \sigma \omega^{*}
$$

and in the Iownik apo meizonos, from the Adownis of Sowtadehs, we have this,

and in antispastik from Anakreown;
'IKoû v̂v exi $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta a \neq 0$.
But in epiks this occurs more rarely. Thus Sofo$k l e h s^{1}$ in his elegies was of opinion that the name of Arkhelaos would go, "neither into epos nor into elegy ${ }^{2}$ " so he says,

And Parthenios, writing a funeral elegy on Arkhelais, has made the last line alone, in which he had to mention the name, iambik instead of elegeian;

${ }^{1}$ A nephew of the Tragedian.
${ }^{2}$ I cannot but think with Turnebus that these words are a quotatiin, though De Pauw, Dorville, and Gaisford, prefer regarding th :m as the author's own.
${ }^{3}$ a $\mu$. uncorrupted, that is, by any change to make it suit the m :tre.
9ـ9

On this account in Homehros too, we contract the syllable, in

## Пŋре入éoьo ${ }^{1}$ ávaктоs.

For Rhinthown, even in an iambik, has deemed such an occurrence worthy of remark: for in the drama of Orestehs he says;


and Euripidehs in Hekabeh;

It does, however, occur, even in epiks, as in Theokritos;
 and in Homehros;

and in Anakreoun, in his elegies;

For in such instances as these,

${ }^{1}$ ППрелéoo standing for Пŋveגáoo.
${ }^{2}$ May Dionusos bring you to ruin! I dont care for the measure of Hippornax: which he says on account of the long syllable in $\theta$ eí ${ }^{\prime}$. By a reference to this place in the Herowdian, the second line probably began with the word 'Iлпबंvakтos, of which he remarks that the third syllable was taken as common. I $\theta$ ' 'I $\pi \pi$ ќvaктos, '\&c. Gaisf. p. 10.
${ }^{3}$ Пád $\eta$ - $\omega \bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ Gaisf. But the passage in Euripidehs, as edited by Porson, runs thus:


and it is evident, that unless our author had so read it, he would not have quoted it in this place: for the drift of his observation is, that the poet did not like to take the first syllable of ¢ $\omega \dot{\eta}$ as common, and therefore used the other form 'con'.
and again,

perhaps from their presenting some appearance of a word being completed, in $\chi^{a \mu a i}$, the $\chi^{a \mu a t}$ is heard as one syllable ${ }^{1}$. Moreover, should such a thing befal the difthong $v$, the line becomes extremely rough; such as,
'Ектор, ìè Прıáмоьo.
The second ${ }^{2}$ manner is when a short or shortened vowel is succeeded, in the next syllable, by two consonants of which the first is a mute and the second a


$$
\text { Пáтрок入é } \mu 0 \imath \delta_{\epsilon \in \lambda} \hat{y} \text {. }
$$

But when the former consonant is a semivowel, the preceding syllable is no longer common, but perfectly long. But a semivowel ${ }^{3}$ is also set before a liquid, the $\mu$ for instance before the $\nu$, as in auvós: and the $\sigma$ before the $\mu$, as in ecmos; and the $\sigma$ before the $\lambda$, by a change ${ }^{4}$, as in $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \lambda \eta s$ : and sometimes before $\nu$, as in Hárvŋs and Má ${ }^{\prime}$ in the Ludiaka. And truly, the combination $\mu \nu$ has in some instances made a short syllable, as in Kratinos in the Panoptai ;
${ }^{1}$ The word $\chi$ a aai was probably vulgarly sounded $\chi \mu a i$.
${ }^{2}$ On this second case of common syllable, it is to be remarked; first, that in the poems of Homer it is sparingly admitted: and secondly, that the Attik dramatists pretty constantly observe certain distinctions. With them, as a prevailing rule, when a short vowel is followed, in the next syllable, by any mute and the liquid $\rho$, the result is 1 short syllable: on the other hand, if one of the middle mutes, $\beta$, $\gamma, \delta$, be followed by either of the other liquids, that is, $\lambda, \mu$ or $\nu$, then th : syllable will be long.
${ }^{3}$ The $\dot{\eta}^{\mu} \dot{i} \phi \omega \nu a$, or semivowels, embrace both the liquids, and lil ewise $\sigma$ with its compounds, $\zeta, \xi$ and $\psi$.
${ }^{4} B y$ a dialectic change in the word, $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \lambda \eta s$ being a Doric form fo: $\mu$ á $\sigma \theta \lambda \eta s$, as $\epsilon \sigma \lambda o$ ós for $\epsilon \sigma \theta \lambda$ ós. De Paum.
 and in Epikharmos in the Megaris；
 and in Kallimalkhos，

Moreover，should the mute be at the end of the former syllable，and the liquid at the beginning of the second，we no longer have a common syllable，but plainly a long one；as in Alkaios，

and in Homehros；

And Hehliodowros says，that when $\mu$ follows a mute in epik poetry，it makes common syllables less frequently than the other liquids．On this account（he says）too，Kratinos has put

instead of $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \dot{\theta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ；which we have proved to be false． For beside that this usage is endless in other authors， we have shewn that it is frequent even with Kratinos himself；as in the Kleoboulinai，
 and again in the Panoptai；


[^36]and again in the Howrai,

Nay further, we have shewn that in other measures too, the employment of this $\epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$ is familiar; as in Akhaios in the Kuknos,

with whom also we find the second person corresponding to this,

The third manner is when a short syllable closes a word, without being followed by such consonants as constitute a long position, but either by one ${ }^{1}$ or none: as in,
or,

and,



In this last instance, the common syllable both terminates a word, and is the last of a foot; whereas the other common syllables which I have quoted, were at the beginning of feet: but this is final both of the foot and the word; I mean, the $\mu \in v$.

[^37]
## CHAPTER II.

## OF SUNEKFOWNEHSIS.

Sunekfownehsis is when two syllables, not having a consonant between them, are taken for one.

The modes of sunekfownehsis are these. For either two long syllables are taken for one long one; as, ${ }^{\text {' }}$
 and again,
or, a short and a long one for one long one;

or, two short ones for one long;

or, two short ones for one short, which is found in the other measures, as with Sowtadehs in his Iliad;

but in epiks rarely; insomuch that Kritias in his elegy on Alkibiadehs, was of opinion that the name of Alkibiadehs would not go into it: for he says therein, thus;





This line is arranged by Sowtadehs agreeably to his own measure, making the two short syllables dıa pass for one short one. Ináoìa

 had it not been thought objectionable, it might have helped the name into the elegeiak.

It does, however, occur in epik also, as in the fifth of Korinna;

and in the dithurambiks of Praxilla, in the ode entitled Akhilleus;

For such as these,


belong hardly so much to sunekfownehsis as to sunaloifeh'.

There are cases also where a short and a long syllable are taken for a short one; as in,

and,
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## CHAPTER III.

## OF THE FEET.

Of syllables are formed feet; of which there are, Disyllable, four:

Dikhron, one;
$\cup \cup$ of two short, the purrikhios:
Trikhron, two;
$-\cup$ of a long and a short, the trokhaios;
$u$ - of a short and a long, the iambos:
Tetralihron, one;

-     - of two long, the spondeios.

Trisyllable, being twice as many as the former, eight :
Trikhron, one;
$\cup \cup \cup$ of three short, the tribrakhus or khoreios:
Tetrakhron, three;
$-\cup \cup$ of a long and two short, the daletulos;
$\cup-\cup$ of a short, a long, and a short, the amfibralihus;
$\cup \cup-$ of two short and a long, the anapaistos:
Pentalhron, like the former, three;
$\cup--$ of a short and two long, the baklhheios;
$-\cup-$ of a long, a short, and a long, the amfimaltros or lkrehtikos;

-     - u of two long, and a short, the palimbakliheios :

Hexalkhron, one;

-     -         - of three long, the molottos.

Tetrasyllable, being twice as many as the former, sixteen:

## Tetrakhron, one;

$\cup \cup \cup \cup$ of four short, the prokeleusmatikos:
Pentalhhom, four;
$-\cup \cup \cup$ of a long and three short, the first paiown;
$\cup-\cup \cup$ of a short, a long and two short, the second paiown;
$\cup \cup-\cup$ of two short, a long, and a short, the third paiown;
$\cup \cup \cup-$ of three short and a long, the fourth paiown:
Hexalkhron, six;
$\cup \cup--$ of two short, and two long, the iownikos ap' elassonos;
$\cup--\cup$ of a short, two long, and a short, the antispastos;

-     - $\cup \cup$ of two long and two short, the iownikos apo meizonos;
$-\cup-\cup$ of a long and a short, and a long and a short, the trokhaïkeh tautopodia, or ditrokhaios;
$\cup-\cup-$ of a short and a long, and a short and a long, the iambikeh tautopodia, or diiambos;
$-\cup \cup-$ of a long, two short, and a long, the khoriambos:
Heptalhhron, four;
u - - of a short and three long, the first epitritos;
- u- - of a long, a short, and two long, the second epitritos, or trokhaikeh heptasehmos, or karikos;
-     -         -             - of two long, a short, and a long, the third epitritos, iambikeh heptasehmos, or rhodios;
-     -         - u of three long and a short, the fourth epitritos, or antispastikeh heptasehmos, or monogenehs:

Oltakhron, one;

-     -         - of four long, the dispondeios or spondeiakeh tautopodia.


## CHAPTER IV.

## OF THE APOTHESIS ${ }^{1}$ OF METRES.

As often as measures have the last foot entire, they are called akatalehkt; such as, in a daktulik,

$$
\text { M } \omega \sigma^{\prime} a^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon, \text { Ka入入ıó } \pi a, ~ \theta u ́ \gamma a \tau e \rho ~ \Delta ı o ́ s ' ~
$$

and katalehktik, as often as they have the last foot curtailed; such as, in an iambik,

for here the last syllable, $\beta$ pos, stands instead of a whole iambik foot.

But if the foot which constitutes the metre be trisyllable, it may then be katalehktik by two syllables; as, in a daktulik,

$$
\mathrm{E} \nu \delta e ̀ \mathrm{~B} a \tau o v \sigma t a \dot{\partial} \eta s^{\circ}
$$

for here the syllable, $\delta_{\eta s,}$ stands for a daktulik trisyllable. Now in such cases, that which is katalehktik by a syliable, is called katalehltik on a disyllable; and that which is so by two syllables, is called katalehktik on a syllable.

Such measures as have the final dipody curtailed by a whole foot, are called brakhukatalehkt ${ }^{2}$; as in an iambik,

$$
\text { A }{ }^{\prime} \text { aùt es oîcov } \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \eta \sigma i \pi \pi \omega \text {. }
$$

for here the foot, $\sigma \iota \pi \pi \omega$, stands for a whole iambik dipody.

 are applicable to verses, only when they are considered as measured by dipodies.

As many measures as have received a part of a foot over and above their complement, are called huperkatalehkt; as, in an iambik,
for this has a syllable in excess. It is possible also, for there to be two syllables in excess, whenever each of the feet in the suzugy is trisyllable: such as, in anapaistik,

$$
\text { 'A } \delta^{\prime} \text { A } \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \varsigma, ~ \hat{\omega} \text { кópat' }
$$

for this, in addition to the complete suzugy, has got for its last foot a disyllable, which falls short of an anapaist by one syllable. All these are called apotheses.

Of every metre ${ }^{2}$ the last syllable is adiaforous, (indifferent) so that it may be both short and long; as


for in the former line the last syllable is long, but in the second, short.

Every metre terminates in a complete word ${ }^{3}$; whence we must censure such lines as these, from the epigrams of Simownidehs;



or again, these of Nikomakhos, who wrote the elegy Пєрі $\mathrm{Z} \omega \gamma \rho{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \phi \omega \nu^{*}$

${ }^{2}$ There would seem, however, to be certain trains of short verses, such as anapaistik dimeters, to which this rule does not apply: such are said to be $\epsilon \nu$ ovvaфéa.
${ }^{3}$ A very important rule, which, had it been more considered, would have saved the lyric and choral odes from the pitiful mangling which many learned editions exhibit.


These, indeed, were so made from a necessity in the names; for they would not go in. Some such things, too, the comedians have done in sport; as Eupolis in the Baptai,
' $1 \lambda \lambda$ ' ouxi $\delta u v a \tau o ́ v ~ e \sigma \tau เ \nu ' ~ o ̀ ~ \gamma ~ \gamma a ̀ p, ~ a \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o-~$


## CHAPTER V. <br> OF THE IAMBIK METRE.

The Iambik admits in the odd places, that is, in the first, third and fifth, an iambos, tribrakhy, spondee, daktule, anapaist; and in the even, that is, in the second, fourth, and sixth, an iambos, tribrakhy, and anapaist: this last, with the comedians, frequently; but with the iambopoioi and tragedians, more rarely. When it is akatalehkt, it receives in the last place the iambos only; or the purrikhy, because of the adiaforous syllable: but when it is katalehktik, it takes an iambos in the last place but one, (or rarely a tribrakhy), so that its kata$k l e i d^{1}$ will be either an amfibrakhy or a bakkheios.

Now, as remarkable examples of this measure, we have among akatalehkta, the dimeters, such as those in which are written entire songs of Anakreown; as,


[^38]And trimeters＇，as this，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - レール - レールレーレレ }
\end{aligned}
$$

And tetrameters ${ }^{2}$ ，as that of Alkaios；


Then for katalehktiks，we have dimeters，as that call－ ed Anakreonteian；such as，

and trimeters，such as that of Arkhilokhos；

＇This iambik trimeter is the staple verse of the drama，both tragic and comic，and a fine flexible and harmonious metre it is；indeed after the epic hexameter，the most considerable in the language．The rule of the tragedians is，however，stricter than that laid down above． The anapaist，except to accommodate proper names，is very sparingly admitted by them in any place but the first．Porson，indeed，has desired and labored hard to exclude it，from every place but the first，entirely；but as far as I can judge，he has done so without sufficient reason，and in defiance of authorities and examples which ought not to be questioned．

This metre has a notable tomèh，or casura，whose position and effect must be observed with care．It most frequently occurs in the middle of the third or fourth foot：but these nicer points must be learnt from the poets．
${ }^{2}$ This tetrameter is a pleasant lively verse，and was much used by the comedians．It should have the tomèh at the end of the fourth foot．

And tetrameters，such as that of Hippownax；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - レーIレ - ソーIレー レ - I レー レ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Among the akatalehkta，one is remarkable which is called khowlon，（that is，lame ${ }^{1}$ ；）of which some say that it was an invention of Hippownax，and others of Ananias．It differs from the standard，in that that has the last foot an iambos，or a purrikhios，because of the adiaforous syllable：but this has it either a spondee or a trokhay：and further，because that，（the standard） beside the disyllables，the iambos and spondee，receives in the last place but one，the trisyllables also，the daktule， the tribrakhy，and the anapaist．But this khowion does not，in the last place but one，admit any of the trisyl－ lable feet，neither the daktule，nor the tribrakhy，nor the anapaist；but for the most part has the iambos，in which case the measure is graceful；as this，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - - - - v - I - - - }
\end{aligned}
$$

and sometimes a spondee also，when，in consequence，it is rougher；as this，

[^39]

And because the beginning of every metre ${ }^{1}$ is adiaforous, the iambos has also in the beginning admitted the spondee; and this latter having been admitted into the metre, those also have been admitted which are resolved out of it, I mean the daktule and the anapaist: (for the khoreios is a resolution of the iambos.) Since, therefore, the metre does not in the even places admit the spondee, neither ought it to admit the anapaist, which is resolved out of it. And indeed with iambopoioi this is observed; but with the comedians, who are not very studious of symmetry ${ }^{2}$, it no longer is so: but the trokhay, which is antipathous ${ }^{3}$ to the iambos, they have rejected. With the comedians, then, the anapaist occurs frequently; for as these writers represent life, they aim at appearing to carry on the dialogue freely, and not metrically: and the anapaist gives freedom to the discourse, from its having four times. The iambopoioi, however, and tragedians, not being of this description, employ it but seldom ${ }^{4}$.

[^40]
## CHAPTER VI.

OF THE TROKHAÏK METRE.

The Trokhair ${ }^{1}$ metre receives in the odd places the trokhay, tribrakhy, and daktule; and in the even, both these, and the spondee and anapaist. When it is katalehktik, it for the most part, for the last foot but one, takes a trokhay; though sometimes a tribrakhy: and if it be brakhukatalehkt, it will not allow the last foot but one to be tetrasehmous.

Now in this metre, the remarkable katalehlitika are the katalehktik dimeter, which is called Euripideian, or lehkuthion; such as,

And the latalehktik trimeter, such as that of Arkhilokhos, which some call an akefalous (headless) iambik;

And the katalehktik tetrameter ${ }^{2}$; such as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-v- - 1-v- ul-v-vi- v }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^41]This tetrameter is also made lhoowon, the last foot but one becoming a spondee; such as this,

It so happens, too, that many have employed the pentameter, although it be hupermetrous ${ }^{2}$ : such as is that of Kallimakhos;

Notable also herein is the brakhukatalehkt dimeter which is called the ithufallik, and which was first employed by Arkhilokhos, who combined it with a daktulik tetrameter; thus,

And those who came after subjoined it likewise to an iambeian, as Kallimakhos,


- v - vI- -

The brakhukatalehkt tetrameter also is deserving notice, such as,
and the tetrameter of the akatalehkta also is renowned; such as this of Anakreown;

[^42]

Such then are the most remarkable examples in this measure.

Now as to the daktule falling in odd places, the iambopoious poets have scarcely so used it, and the tragedians but rarely: but the comedians ${ }^{2}$ have done so frequently, as they likewise have, in the iambik measure, the anapaist in the even place. For the one and the other is alogous ${ }^{3}$ : for neither, in the iambik, ought there to be an anapaist in the even place, where not even a spondee is allowed, of which the anapaist is a resolution; nor, in the trokhaik, a daktule in the odd place, which does not admit a spondee, of which in like manner the daktule is a resolution.

And moreover, in the katalehktiks the tribrakhy also is admitted, as we said before; and not only the trokhay, as some think. The following is an example:

```
- v--1- v--1-v- -lvu v-
\tau\omega\nu \pio\lambda⿺\tau\omega\nu av\delta\rhoas i\mu\mu\nu \delta\eta\muov\rhoyovs a\piофа\nu\omega*
```

for the katakleid being a $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\phi} \alpha a \nu \hat{\omega}$, it is manifest that the included ${ }^{4}$ foot, aтофa, is a tribrakhy, as was said before.

[^43]
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## CHAPTER VII.

## OF THE DAKTULIK METRE.

The Daktulik measure receives daktules and spondees, in every place but the last. In this, if it be akatalehkt, it will have a daktule, or, because of the adiaforous syllable, a krehtik; but if it be katalehktik, it will have what is left of one, after taking off a syllable or two syllables; and in this case it is called katalehktik on a syllable, but in the former, katalehktik on a disyllable.

Now of this measure, the most remarkable forms are the hexameter katalehktik ${ }^{1}$ on a disyllable, which is called epos; such as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1: 1: 1 : 1: 1: 1: }
\end{aligned}
$$

for in the last lexis, the $\lambda$ nos, the daktule is deficient by one syllable?

[^44]And the pentameter katalehklik on a disyllable， which is called Simmieian；such as，

And the tetrameter katalektik on a disyllable，which Arkhilolkhos first employed in his Epoudoi；such as，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - ひいI- いいI- い いI- - }
\end{aligned}
$$

but afterwards Anakreown also composed in this mea－ sure whole songs；
and，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - }- \text { - ul- v ul-v }
\end{aligned}
$$

These then are examples of katalehktiks on disyl－ lables ${ }^{1}$ ：but of those on a syllable，the penthehmimer＇， consisting of two feet with a syllable over，was used by Arkhilokhos in epode；as，


The author might have added a specimen of daktulik trimeter of this kind，such as these lines from Sofoklehs：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H עóтov, ウ̀ } \beta \text { орє́a тis } \\
& \text { Eире́í ки́лата то́vтч. }
\end{aligned}
$$

the indifference of whose last syllable，forbids them to be united in hexameters．
${ }^{2}$ Penthehmimer，a portion of verse consisting of five half feet， that is of two feet and a half．
and the hefthehmimer by Alkman；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { талта } \mu \varepsilon \nu \text { wis à o dinos à áas. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Of the akatalehkta，Arkhilokhos has renowned the tetrameter，by prefixing it to the trokhailk called ithu－ fallik；thus，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - v いI- - 1- v いI- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \phi є \tau а \iota, ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \eta^{\prime} \delta \eta^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and Alkman has in this measure arranged whole stro－ fai ：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \rho \chi \text { є } \rho a \tau \omega \nu \in \pi \epsilon \omega \nu, \epsilon \pi \iota \delta^{\circ} \text { i } \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \\
& \text { - - } 1 \text { - uvi-v ul- uv }
\end{aligned}
$$

These are common daktuliks．Those that are called Aiolik have the first foot altogether indifferently one of the disyllables，either a spondee，or an iambos，or a tro－ khay，or a purrikhy；those in the middle all daktules，and the last，at the apothesis，either a daktule or krehtik， because of the indifference of the last syllable，if the metre be akatalehkt；but if katalehktik，then the resi－ due of this on a dissyllable or syllable．The katalehktik aiolik epos，then，is such as this，

## and pentameters lkatalehletik on a disyllable:

and the tetrameter:


Of the akatalehleta, the pentameter is called the Sapfik of fourteen syllables, in which the whole second of Sapfow is written:


and the akatalehkt tetrameter is such as this:


${ }^{2}$ 'Елторóquot, probably for émтópruot, seven fathoms long. Photies says, oporvías $\lambda$ érovaıv (oi A Atтıкoi) oo ${ }^{i}$ oppuá́s. Gaisf. p. 42.
${ }^{3}$ hap. Sandals five hides thick. $\Sigma^{\alpha} \mu \beta a \lambda a, ~ \sigma a ́ v \delta a \lambda a \cdot H e h s u k h . ~$
${ }^{4}$ O $\boldsymbol{\rho} \pi$ • for $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \epsilon \tau$ óv.


Moreover, there are certain daktuliks called logaoidiks ${ }^{2}$, which in the other places have daktules, but in the last a trokhaik suzugy. Of these the most remarkable are, that in which the trokhaik suzugy is added to two daktules, which is called the Alkaik of ten syllables:


[^45]and that in which it is added to three:

${ }^{1}$ The combination of daktules with trokhays is very natural and easy, and will be found presenting itself in many forms. It is especially abundant in Pindar.

## CHAPTER VIII.

OF ANAPAISTIK METRE.

The Anapaistik measure admits in every place a spondee, anapaist, and occasionally also a prokeleusmatik; and with the dramatists, a daktule. When divided according to suzugy', it has six apotheses: it is huperkatalehkt on a disyllable, huperkatalehkt on a syllable, akatalehkt, katalehktik on a disyllable, katalehktik on a syllable, brakhukatalehkt.

Its most notable form is the tetrameter katalehktik on a syllable, which is called Aristofaneian ${ }^{2}$;


' Whether the measure should proceed by monopody or dipody, seems not to have been so certainly determined in the anapaistik as in the other kinds. Its being of one epiplokè with the daktulik, is in favour of the former method; nor can we in the laws of the metre, find much reference to the suzugy. This measure was, however, of a more rapid and impetuous character than the daktulik, and was moreover much used by comedians, circumstances which made a brisker rythm more suitable to it. Accordingly we find our author dividing it кaтa $\sigma v$ guriav, and this seems to have been the prevailing practice. It was not, however, that adopted in all instances, as the expression here used by the author sufficiently indicates; and Aristeidels ( $\Pi \epsilon \rho i$ Movoı $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ) speaking of this measure,

 I apprehend he means, having two lines combined as it were in one, as in the Anapaistik tetrameters.
${ }^{2}$ This tetrameter is a measure much employed in comedy. The fourth foot ought to close with a word, so as to distinguish the akatalehkt dimeter, a form of verse which is also much used independently.

It is called Aristofaneian, not from Aristofanehs having first invented it, because it is found also in Kratinos;
but from Aristofanehs having employed a great deal of it. Nay, before Kratinos it is in Epikharmos, who has written in this measure two whole dramas, the Khoreuontes, and the Epinikios. And Aristoxenos, the Selinountian, was a more ancient poet even than Epikharmos, of whom Epikharmos himself makes mention in the Logos and Loginna ${ }^{1}$ :


and yet some passages of this Aristoxenos are quoted which are written in this measure;



That sort, however, which has a spondee instead of an anapaist in the last place but one, there are some who call Lakownik, producing examples;

Though, even in the form which is called Aristofaneian, Kratinos will show that the last foot but one

[^46]is found an anapaist or a spondee indifferently: for in commencing the Odusseis he used this measure;

But he made also a line which used a spondee as the last but one;

So much, then, concerning the tetrameter. Now in the trimeter Simmias, the Rhodian wrote a whole little poem; as this,

The katalehktik dimeter is called, indeed, paroimiak, from there being some proverbs (paroimiai) in this metre; as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - v - - } \begin{array}{c}
\text { v - }
\end{array} \\
& \text { каו коркороs єу } \lambda a \chi \text { avootev. }
\end{aligned}
$$

But there are also epik proverbs, and iambik, and not of this metre only, so that they call this alone paroimiak not very properly. And Kratinos, in his Odusseis, has used it continuously;
${ }^{1}$ An obscure fragment. 'I $\sigma \tau i a$, for ${ }^{\text {' }}$ © $\sigma$ ia, is here the goddess, but the metre seems defective. Porson conjectures,
${ }^{3}$ Hote $\delta$. These two lines are unconnected, and appear proverbial. корк. a sort of weed.


But Arkhilokhos was the first who employed this measure，prefixing it to the ithufallik，in his tetrameters． For this
is an anapaistik hefthehmimer．He also employed an iambos for the first foot，as is manifest even from this example：a spondee too；

but an anapaist for the first，he appears to have used in two lines only；
uレー uし－1し 〕－－


and again，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { vu- - -1 v u-v }
\end{aligned}
$$

and both of these by sunekfownehsis have the first foot an iambos．

To the anapaistik might also be referred that which by some is called the prokeleusmatik', such as this Aristofaneian tetrameter;

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

for it becomes, supposing that each of the first three anapaistik feet has been resolved into the prokeleusmatik, an akatalehkt anapaistik tetrameter. But some, dividing it by feet, call it purrikhailk; by the more accomplished, however, it is judged to be anapaistik, a prokeleusmatik being adopted for each anapaist in the other places, while in the last the anapaist itself is preserved pure and not resolved.

And, as in the daktulik measure, there was a certain logaoidik, so likewise is there in anapaistiks; and it terminates in a bakkheios. The most remarkable form is that which has the bakkheios after four feet, the first of which may also be a spondee, or an iambos. It is called Arkhebouleian, from Arkheboulos, the Theban poet, having largely employed it; and it has been written also by Kallimakhos;
aver -

This, indeed, begins with an anapaist; but with a spondee,


[^47]and with an iambes,
but the three feet which succeed the first; those who have written this measure in continuity; have strictly required to be anapaists. Allman, however, does sometimes admit spondees also.

## CHAPTER IX．

## OF THE KHORIAMBIK METRE．

The Khoriambik metre is sometimes composed pure， and at others it is composed mixt with iambik suzugies． In general，when it is katalehktik，it terminates in the iambik katakleid，that is，in an amfibrakhy or a bak－ kheios，because of the indifferent syllable．For it ter－ minates also in its proper suzugy，that is，in a daktule or krehtik；as the dimeter thü＇s，

- vu-1 - uv
the trimeter，thus，

and the tetrameter，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - レレーi- vu-1 - い -1-u - }
\end{aligned}
$$

In proportion，however，as these are more continuous． they are less agreeable．

But those which terminate in the amfibrakhy or bakkheios，are some of them dimeters，as from the Aiolosikown of Aristofanehs：


and some trimeters, as that of Analkreown,
and some tetrameters, which are used more continuously,. such as these of Sapfow;

And Anakreown has studied, through a whole song, to make the first suzugy out of a tribrakhy and an iambos, so as to have a common resolution both of the khoriambik and the iambik suzugy;


```
ava\pi\epsilon\tauо\mual \delta\eta \pi\rhoos O\lambdav\mu\pi\sigma\nu \pi\tau\epsilon\rhov\gamma\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota коvфа।s.
```

Frequent also is the form which, in addition to the katakleid, has the second suzugy iambik, such as is this in Anakreown;
and in Aristofanehs, in the Amfiareows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-u v-1-- い - 1-vu-!u- v }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then in the pentameter Kallimakhos has composed an entire poem, the Brankhos;

And Filikos，the Kerkuraian，who was one of the Pleiad ${ }^{1}$ ，composed a whole poem in the hexameter；


```
    \(\checkmark-v\)
    \(\tau a\) dopa.
    \(: 1\)
```

Filikos boasts，too，of having discovered this measure， saying，
－u v－1－u－1－vu－1－u vi－

$\cup-1$ - -

1 : 1
but he is mistaken，for before him Simmias the Rho－ dian had used it in the Pelekus；

and in the Pteruges，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - uv - 1-v u-1- v~ー 1 - uv-1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - au -ルー - い } \\
& a \lambda \lambda \nu \delta \iota s \text { є́ } \delta \rho a \sigma a \nu \tau a^{3-}
\end{aligned}
$$

unless indeed Filikos does not speak as having first discovered the measure，but only as being the first who in this measure had composed whole poems．

[^48]
## CHAPTER X.

OF THE ANTISPASTIK METRE.

In the Antispastile measure, the first suzugy is liable to be changed, in its former foot ${ }^{1}$, into the four forms of the disyllable; but it has the middle suzugies pure antispastiks; and the last, when the measure is akatalehkt, iambik: and if at times it be compounded with iambik suzugies, it then has not only the first suzugy liable to be changed in its former foot, but that suzugy also which follows the iambiks. Sometimes, too, the former foot is resolved into a tribrakhy.

Now in this measure the notable forms ane these: The penthehmimer, which is called dokhmiak; such as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { u- -ulu } \\
& \text { клиес } \mu \text { миете }{ }^{\circ} \\
& \checkmark \text { - -ulv }
\end{aligned}
$$

And the hefthehmimer, which is called Ferekrateian,

${ }^{1}$ This indifference in the first foot of antispastiks illustrates the affinity of this metre with the khoriambik, inasmuch as it assumes no certain form till the first khoriamb begins.
${ }^{3}$ इvurr. Some copies read $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \eta$ iккots, but with little difference in the sense, nor in either case is it likely that the present verses are a specimen of the performance alluded to. The example here

And the akaitedehkt dimeter which is called Glukowneian, Glukown himself having invented it;


And the huperkatalehkt dimeter which is called the Sapfik of nine syllables (enneasullabon) or Hippononakteian; such as,

And of the trimeters, that which has the first suzugy alone antispastik, and the others which fallow iambik, is called Falaikeian; such as,

given might be regarded as a daktulik trimeter, but its true nature


> Tol $\mu e ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi о \tau \grave{\imath} \pi$ úpyous,
> Паубпиєі, тауарілє,

> Iámтоубı по入ítàs
> Xepua'd' oкрıóé $\sigma \alpha \nu$.

It may however be here remarked, that antispastik verse has in general a less determined character than most of the other kinds; which has even led some to deny that there exist any metres properly deserving this title: but in my humble opimion, rashly.
${ }^{2}$ Etymologus Magmus cites these words fyom Kratinos, explaining



Then the akatalehkt，which has only the last suzugy iambik，is called Asklehpiadeian；such as that of Alkaios，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - v - viv - - ulv - u - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { レ - - レルー - レlv - レ - }
\end{aligned}
$$

but that which has the antispastik suzugy in the middle，changeable in the former foot into the four forms of disyllable，and on either side iambik suzugies， of which the first may begin with a spondee，is called the Alkmaïk of twelve syllables（donodekasullabon）； such as，

Of the tetrameters the pure katalehktik is such as this；
${ }^{1} \Pi_{\epsilon \lambda a \sigma \gamma}$ ．There is a cave on the western side of the Akropolis of Athens，which was called the Cave of Pan．In Lucian＇s Twice


 the Athenians would seem to have called，in jest，Пєлaбүıкò Apros， in allusion to Homer＇s line
 See Gaisf．
${ }^{2} \Lambda a \beta \grave{\eta} \nu$ тoũ そ＇申ous．

but that which has the second suzugy iambik is called Priapeian；such as，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - - レルー ーーI - - - viva - : }
\end{aligned}
$$

They make it indeed irregularly，（poluskhehmatist）， but that which is purely formed is such as we have given．

That form also is frequent which has only the second suzugy antispastik，in which measure Sapfow has writ－ ten songs in her seventh book；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { レー - Iv - - lv - viI v - - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { いー ソール - - いルー い, ールー - }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the akatalehkt is called the Sapfik of sixteen syllables（hekkaidekasullabon），in which is written the whole third book of Sapfow；and many songs likewise of Alkaios ；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - - vv- - Ulvー - いI vt レ - }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^49]And Simmias empleys it also in the huperkatalehkt form;

which is also aalled Simmiak: and Alkaios has employed an akataledkt pextameter;

${ }^{2} \Pi \epsilon \delta^{2}$ for $\pi a \hat{\omega} a$.
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## CHAPTER XI.

OF THE LOWNIK APO MEIZONOS.

The Iownik apo meizonos is sometimes composed pure, and at others it is composed with a mixture of trokhaik suzugies: however when it is akatalehkt, it extremely rarely terminates in the iownik suzugy, because at the end the iownik suzugy is ungraceful.

In the iownik measure, the remarkable forms are the hefthehmimer, such as Telesilla used;

And the akatalehkt dimeter which is called Kleomalkheian, in which molosses also occur in the even places, and khoriambs; as,

${ }^{1}$ In the fourth Chapter, the author quoted this line as an anapaietik: so viewed its rythm would be different, and its first syllable would have only two times instead of three, while its fift syllable, $\hat{\omega}$, would have three instead of two.

And the brakhukatalehkt trimeters called Praxilleian, which have the first suzugy iownik and the second trokhaik, such as these of Sapfow;

But the akatalehkt trimeters have been composed by the Aiolians in two ways: for some they have made of two iownik movements and one trokhaik; such as,
and others of one iownik and two trokhailk; as,

Of the tetrameters the most remarkable is the brakhukatalehkt called Sowtadeian: this in three places admits an iownik suzugy or trokhaik, or that consisting of an anapaist and purrikhy, or that of a tribrakhy and trokhay, or that of a long syllable and four short, or that of six short; such as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - uvl - ul - - vul- }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^50]The akatalehkt tetrameters they have composed variously: for to three iownik suzugies they have annexed one final trokhailk: and this is called Aiolik, because much of it was used by Sapfow; as


And it is proper to observe, that they sometimes make the first suzugy commence with a short syllable, as is likewise done in the trimeters;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { u- vul- - uvi- u- - }
\end{aligned}
$$

And sometimes they take iownik suzugies alternately with trokhaik, admitting occasionally the second See Gaisf. p. 320.

[^51]paiownitas in the place of the iowniks; and sometimes the heptasehmous trothails instead of the hexasedhmous; ang

## CHAPTER XII.

OF THE LOWNIK AP' ELASSONOS.

The Iownik ap' elacsonos is sometimes composed pure, and at others it is composed mixt with trokhaïk dipodies, in such manner, however, that the suzugy before the trokhaik shall always be pentasehmous, that is, a third paiownik; and that the trokhaik suzagy, as often as it may be set before the iownik, shall be an heptasehmous trokhaik suzugy, which is called the second epitrit. And sometimes the third paiownik is contracted into a palimbakkheios, and the former foot of the succeeding trokhaik suzugy resolved into a tribrakhy. The molosses also oecur in the odd places of the iowniks ap' elarsonos, as they do in the even places of those apo meisomos.

Now same entire songs are written in iowniks, as by Allman;
and by Sapfow,

$$
: 1: 1: 1
$$





$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { uиー - uv u } \\
& \text { 'Ekatov } \mu \in \nu \text { Dıos vion }
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Alkaios many，as for instance，this，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { しい - - Iレv - - I vuー - I vu - v }
\end{aligned}
$$

But of the lengths employed in this measure the most remarkable is the katalehltik tetrameter．such as this of Frunikhos the tragedian；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { v - - - }
\end{aligned}
$$

and in Frunikhos the comedian；


This moreover is called Galliambik；and latterly it has been called mehtrowakon and anaklowmenon，from the moderns having composed in this measure many poems on the Mother of the Gods，in which they ad－ mit such lines as have the third paiowns，and the palimbakkheios，and the trokhaïk suzugies，indifferently with the pure，as these famous examples prove：


${ }^{3}$ Evtea and $\chi^{\text {d́ } \lambda к \epsilon a ~ m u s t, ~ a s ~ t h e ~ S k h o l i a s t ~ i n f o r m s ~ u s, ~ b e ~ r e a d ~}$ as dissyllables．


Moreover in the brakhukatalehkt, Anakreown has composed whole songs;


Then of the trimeters, the akatalehkt is in Sapfow;

${ }^{1}$ Either our author's $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \theta \rho \dot{1} \lambda \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho u \delta \delta \in i \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ must somehow have fallen out, or in quoting the two preceding lines, he must have referred to the whole of a wellknown passage of which they were but the commencement. That an illustration of his remarks may not be wanting, some lines are here introduced, which are quoted by Gaisford from Diogenes Laertius. That the description and examples here given, are really those of Galliambiks, appears from Terentianus Maurus, who in his own odd way, thus handles the matter:

Segetes meum laborem-segetes meum labo-:
Sonat hoc subinde metro Cybeleïum nemus:
Nomenque Galliambis memoratur hinc datum,
Tremulos quod esse Gallis habiles putant modos.

[^52]but by Anakreown it is fashioned variously；
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { レu - vi- v- -I v u - - }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Then there is the katalehletik；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { い- - Iレv - - Iレしい } \\
& \Delta \iota o v u \sigma o v ~ \sigma a \ddot{\lambda a \iota}{ }^{1} \text { ßa }{ }^{1} \sigma \alpha a \iota \delta e s .
\end{aligned}
$$

The akatalehkt of the anaklowmen character is fre－ quent in Anakreown；

And in the pure hefthehmimer，Timokreown has composed a whole song；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { レレー -1 し u- } \\
& \text { इıke入os комчos avip } \\
& \text { い - -Iレ u- }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{1}$ бaïдat：the diairesis seems essential to the metre，as here re－ ferred to，but the skholiast calls this foot a moloss：luxurious or inso－ lent Bacchanals．Bacrapīes，àı ßáкरaı．Etym．Mag．Eratosthenehs

 Gaisf．p． 71.

## CHAPTER XIII.

## OF THE PAIOWNIK METRE.

The Paiownik measure includes three sorts, namely, the Krehtik, the Bakkheiak, and the Palimbakkheiak, which last is unsuitable for melody. But the Krehtik is suitable for it, and it admits resolutions into the feet called paiowns. Now it is called Krehtik by the poets themselves, as for instance by Kratinos in the Trofownios;

then he adds,


Some of the poets study to introduce what are called the first paiowns every where but in the last place, in which they put the Krehtik. In this way, for example, they compose the famous tetrameter, of which we have a specimen in the Geowrgoi of Aristofanehs;

${ }^{1}$ If the reading is correct, a bakkheios is here admitted.


And Aristofanehs has used it both in other dramas, and in the Sfehkes;


and Eupolis in the Kolakes;


However, in the Geoworgoi, Aristofanehs has put the fourth paiown instead of the first, not observing his former rule;

and in the second Thesmoforiazousai he has often admitted Krehtik feet in the middle of the tetrameters;


And Simmias has studied in some of his poems to introduce as many Krehtiks as possible;

and again,


And one poem he has contrived to compose in such a manner, as by resolving the long syllables at either side of the Krehtik foot, to introduce the foot of five short syllables every where except in the last place, where he takes the fourth paiown, which occasionally he uses also in the last place but one;



Some again compose the tetrameter in another way, so as to have three of what are called the fourth paiowns, and then, for the last foot, a Krehtik;

Now the same method which we stated to be often adopted in the tetrameter, that is to say, to subjoin a Krehtik to three of the first paiowns, the comedian Theopompos has also employed in the pentameter, in the Paides, from whom also it is called Theopompeian;

[^53]

And this measure may even be extended as far as the hexameter，because that will not exceed thirty times ${ }^{1}$ ．A katalehktik hexameter appears in that which is called Alkman＇s，consisting entirely of amfimakrous feet；
 । ：। ：
－$\cup-1-\cup-1-\cup-1-\cup-1-\cup-1-$


And be it observed，that even whole poems are composed in Krehtiks，as for instance by Bakkhulidehs；

As to the Bakkheiak it is rare：insomuch that though it may occasionally occur，it is only for a short space；such as，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cup--1 \cup--1 \cup-1 \cup-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { v- - Iv, - - } 1 \cup-\text { - ルー v }
\end{aligned}
$$

And this is what we had to say concerning the nine measures，which consist either of one kind，or of similar kinds，of feet．
${ }^{1}$ This allowance of thirty times in the line，is probably to be understood of this kind of verse only．

## CHAPTER XIV．

## OF ANTIPATHOUS MIXTURES．

Of antipathous mixture we will now produce the most frequent instances．

There is，then，the epikhoriambik ${ }^{1}$ ，which is called the Sapfik of eleven syllables（hendekasullabon）；such as，

This has the first suzugy，trokhaïk，either hexaseh－ mous or heptasehmous；the second，khoriambik；and the katakleid，of an iambos and the indifferent syllable： so that there are in all two schemes，on account of the fourth syllable being sometimes short，and sometimes long．The one scheme，namely，
is already before us．The other is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - v - - } 1 \text { - レレールー - } \\
& \text { a入入a тvd }{ }^{\circ} \text { eो } \theta^{\prime} \text { at тока катершта. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^54]so that the canon is such as this;
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\beta a \beta a^{1}, & \beta a \alpha \beta, & a \beta \beta, \\
\beta a \beta \beta, & \beta a \alpha \beta, & a \beta a .
\end{array}
$$
\]

This measure is also found in Alkaios, and it is uncertain to which of the two writers the invention belongs, although it is called Sapfik; as,


Three such lines as these they employ in each strofeh; then they subjoin a fourth of five syllables, a khoriambik penthehmimer, coinciding ${ }^{2}$ with the daktulik portion which follows the second trokhay; such as this,

- uv -Iし.
${ }^{1}$ In these schemes, observe that $\alpha$ represents a short syllable, and $\beta$ a long one.
${ }^{2}$ Alluding, I presume, either to the manner in which the fourth or short line of the strofeh was written under the third, or to its metrical constitution.
${ }^{3}$ The fact that this little line cannot in many cases be separated from the third without the division of a word, would seem to indicate that they ought to be regarded and written as one asunarteht or compound line. Often, too, this will be found to agree best with the cessura, as in this instance:

The beautiful fragments of Sapfon, (alas, too few,) exhibit this meter, which bears her name, in perfection. Later, and especially Latin writers, by almost uniformly lengthening the fourth syllable, and making the cessura follow the fifth, introduced a monotonous uniformity by which its metrical effect was altered, and its pathos greatly impaired. The Lesbian muse would not cross the Ionian gulf, even to inspire Catullus.

This, then, is an epikhoriambik commencing with a trokhailk. Then there is one which commences with an antispastik, namely, that called the Pindarik of eleven syllables (hendekasullabon), which has the first suzugy antispastik, and the remainder, like the Sapfik, a khoriambik with an iambik katakleid ; such as,


We have also an epiownik apo meizonos, namely, the katalehktik trimeter, called the Alkaïk of eleven syllables (hendekasullabon); this has the first suzugy iambik, either hexasehmous or heptasehmous; the second an Iownik apo meizonos, or a second paiownik; and the katakleid of a trokhay and the adiaforous syllable; such as,
${ }^{1}$ Meqá̀ov.
${ }^{2}$ Melankhros a tyrant of Mitulehneh: aiòcos for aiooûs.
${ }^{3}$ Gaisford remarks, that unless our author had been mutilated in this place, he would doubtless have added that this measure was by Alkaios always comprehended in a certain system, thus constituted; namely, of two of these epiowniks, an iambik dimeter huperkatalehkt and a logaoidik daktulik. Among others he fetches this example out of Athehnaios:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi \hat{\rho} \rho, \epsilon \nu \text { ठè кı } \rho \nu a i ̂ s ~ o i v o \nu ~ a \phi \epsilon i \delta e ́ c o s
\end{aligned}
$$

so that there are of it four schemes, and its canon is this;

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a a, & \beta a \beta, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a a, & \beta a \alpha, \\
a \beta a \beta, & a \beta a a, & \beta a \beta, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & a \beta a a, & \beta a \alpha .
\end{array}
$$

Now exceeding this by the last syllable there is an alkatalehkt trimeter called the Alkaïk of twelve syllables (dowdekasullabon); such as,
of which the canon is this,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a \alpha, & \beta a \beta \alpha, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & \alpha \beta a \alpha, & \beta a \beta \beta .
\end{array}
$$

There is also an epiownik katalehktik tetrameter, which has the first suzugy iambik, either hexasehmous or heptasehmous, the second Iownik or a second paiownik, and the third a trokhaik either hexasehmous or heptasehmous, then a katakleid of a trokhay and the adiaforous syllable; as,


Its canon is this;

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha \beta a \beta, & \beta \beta a \alpha, & \beta a \beta a, & \beta a \beta, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & \alpha \beta a \alpha, & \beta a \beta \beta, & \beta a \alpha .
\end{array}
$$

Then we have an epiownik ap' elassonos, an akatalehkt trimeter, by Alkman; which has the first suzugy iambik, hexasehmous or heptasehmous, and the two following pure hexasehmous Iowniks; such as,


Its canon is this;

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha \beta a \beta, & \alpha a \beta \beta, & \alpha \alpha \beta \beta, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & \alpha a \beta \beta, & \alpha a \beta a . & \alpha a,
\end{array}
$$

Such is the metre when the Iownik portion is pure; but when it is amallowomen and the iambic surugy, hexasehmous or heptasehmous is prefixed, it becomes such as this; as in Sapfow,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - v-1 vul- vi- v-- }
\end{aligned}
$$

And this is its canon;

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a \beta a \beta, & \alpha a \beta a, & \beta a \beta a, \\
\beta \beta a \beta, & \alpha a \beta a, & \beta a \beta \beta^{2} .
\end{array}
$$


${ }^{2}$ Among many other antipathous mixtures not produced by our author, Gaisford notices as common, that formed by uniting one iambic with one trokhaik suzugy: as,

Epikhoriambik forms, combining daktules with trokhays, are also numerous: as,

## CHAPTER XV.

OF ASUNARTEHTA, OR COMPOUND METRES.

Asunartehta occur, whenever two kowla ${ }^{1}$ such as are unable to hang together ${ }^{2}$, or form a union, are nevertheless taken for a single line. The first who made use of these was Arkhilokhos. For somewhere he has composed one out of an anapaistik hepthehmimer and the trokhaik hehmiolion, which is called ithufallik;

But this metre those who came after him have not written in the same manner as he did. For he, throughout, has employed the tomeh ${ }^{3}$ at the hefthehmimer, and in the anapaistik kowlon has admitted two spondees; as,

[^55]But those who came after him have placed the tomeh indifferently, as for instance, Kratinos;


```
- - vul- vu- 1 v- v-ル - -
```




For here the third line is cut similarly to those of Arkhilokhos, but the two preceding lines a syllable before. And then the spondees in the middle those who came after Arkhilokhos rejected, not regarding the measure as an anapaistik, but as a prosodiak, which consists of an Iownik and a khoriambik suzugy, the Iownik also allowing the first syllable to be short. But it may also be divided into three anapaists, supposing it to begin with a spondee, such as that of Sapfow,
this is the very same form with the prosodiak, consisting of an Iownik and a khoriambik.

If therefore one should so divide the anapaistik, one will find that it agrees with the prosodiak. For if it have a spondee as the first foot, and those which follow, anapaists; then, by adding to the spondee the two short syllables of the second anapaist ${ }^{2}$, one will make an Iownik apo meisonos; to which will succeed a khoriamb. And further, should the anapaistik begin with an ana-

[^56]paist, or with a daktule, it may still be taken as an Iownik resolved; and the next will be a khoriamb. And hence they begin with an iambos also, in the anapaistik portion, as Arkhilokhos in

## Eparнovióך Xapìac•

inasmuch as the second paiown also may be taken for the Iownik apo meizonos, so that the anapaistik may not in this be unlike the prosodiak. And for this reason, that the anapaistik may not disagree with the prosodiak, which consists (as we said) of an Iownik and a khoriambik, they reject the spondees in the middle. Though indeed, by a little straining, even if there should be two spondees in succession, as in Arkhilolkhos,

$$
\text { Абт } \omega \bar{\nu} \text { d' }^{\prime} \text { ồ } \mu e ̀ \nu ~ к а \tau o ́ \pi เ \sigma \theta є \nu, ~
$$

one might make a moloss out of the first spondee, and a syllable of the second; and taking this for the Iownik apo meizonos, make the next foot a khoriamb: unless, indeed, such sunairesis of the Iownik, that is, the moloss, be unallowable in the prosodiak.

One might imagine, perhaps, that there was a third difference between Arkhilokhos and those who came after him, in that he appears to employ an anapaist in the first place,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Фı } \lambda_{\epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota \nu}^{\sigma \tau v \gamma \nu o ́ v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \epsilon o ́ v \tau \alpha, ~ \mu \eta \delta e ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota: ~}
\end{aligned}
$$

which they have not employed. It would seem, however, that he has not himself employed it ; for in both of these cases, it may by sunekfownehsis be converted into an iambos. The semblance of an anapaist has in both of them arisen from an Iownik diairesis: so that there are only the two aforesaid differences between
the moderns and the iambopoious Arkhilokhos. But when Kratinos says, in the Arkhilokhoi,
he disowns this measure ${ }^{1}$, for he no longer imitates the Erasmonidehs of Arkhilokhos.

This, then, is one of the asunartehta of Arkhilokhos. Another is that which consists of a daktulik tetrapody, and the same ithufallik, such as is the following;

And the last foot of the tetrapody, from having the adiaforous syllable ${ }^{2}$ at the end, becomes also a Krehtik; such as this,

-     - 1-vい1- 1-v -i -v - v 1- -


In more recent writers this measure is frequent, as also in Kallimakhos,


But in the Serifians of Kratinos the daktulik which precedes the ithufallik is no longer akatalehkt, but katalehktik on a disyllable;

[^57]

There is also a third asunartehton in Arkhilokhos， consisting of a daktulik penthehmimer and an akata－ lehkt iambik dimeter；

A wellknown episuntheton is also the dipenthehmi－ mer called the enkowmiologik，which consists of a dak－ tulik penthehmimer and a similar measure of iambik， which Alkaios has used in the song beginning，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - vしIーレレI- - - vーI- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - ひレI- し いI- - - レーI- }
\end{aligned}
$$

and Anakreown has used in several songs；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-レ いI- い いI- ひレー - - - }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the reverse of this is called iambelegos；but this in continuity we do not know that any one has employed，but only dispersedly；


[^58]From these there arises a tripenthehmimer, which is called Platownik, in which there are on either side daktulik penthehmimers, and in the midst an iambik. It is used by Platown in the Xantriai,

The reverse of this is that called Pindarik;


Then of the daktulik penthehmimer twice taken is formed the elegeian. Its second portion, however, continues always heptasyllabous, consisting of two daktules and a syllable: but the former portion has its two feet convertible, so as to be made either daktules or spondees; or the first a daktule, and the second a spondee; or, on the contrary, the first a spondee, and the second a daktule. Whence it happens that the second portion, continually repeated, makes elegy; but the former does so no longer than it consists of two daktules: as in,
the second portion, if doubled, makes elegy; the former, not. Sometimes, therefore, it is of fourteen syllables, sometimes of thirteen, at others of twelve.

[^59]Now when this measure is of fourteen syllables，it has only one scheme；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-uvi- uvl - vul- vul- }
\end{aligned}
$$

So likewise there is one when it is of twelve syllables；

$$
\stackrel{-1-}{-1-} \quad \text { - U }
$$

But of that which has thirteen syllables there are two schemes：for sometimes the first foot is a daktule；
and sometimes the second；

The elegeian must by all means be cut between the penthehmimers：otherwise it will be slovenly，such as that of Kallimakhos；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ーレい1 - ひ いI- - ~i- いI- }
\end{aligned}
$$

Another asunartehton，involving also the first anti－ pathy，consists of an akatalehkt iambik dimeter，and the trokhaïk hefthehmimer called Euripideian；such as that in the Iobakkhoi ascribed to Arkhilokhos；

Another，which is less than this by the last syllable， is that called the Euripideian of fourteen syllables （tessareskaidekasullabon），such as that in Euripidehs himself；

and in Kallimakhos;


There is another asunartehton, turning likewise on the first antipathy, and consisting of an akatalehkt trokhailk dimeter, and an iambik hefthehmimer, but which by changing the place of the tomèh, becomes trokhaik prokatalehktik ${ }^{1}$ :


Now as to the second of these lines, it is plain from the tomeh, that it consists, as aforesaid, of the akatalehkt trokhaik dimeter, and the iambik hefthehmimer: but the first, from having the tomè a syllable before, has become prokatalehktik, consisting of the trokhaïk hefthehmimer,
and the akatalehkt dimeter,

$$
\chi \rho \nu \sigma \in ́ \sigma \iota \sigma \iota \nu \text { аע } \theta_{\epsilon ́ \mu о \iota \sigma}^{\prime} \nu^{\bullet}
$$

and the third of the huperkatalehkt,
and the brakhukatalehkt,
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$, ov $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \rho a ̀ \nu \alpha^{\prime} \nu$.

[^60]Moreover，Anakreown has annexed the ithufallik， not to the iambik（as formerly described），but to the khoriambik mixed with iambik suzugies；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - uv-ルーレー - い - viー- }
\end{aligned}
$$

Greater than this by the final syllable，is that called Kratineian．For it consists of a mixed khoriambik， whose second suzugy is iambik，and a trokhailk heftheh－ mimer；


The pure Kratineian，then，is such as this．But the comic writers have made it poluskhehmatist．For the spondees which occur in the iambiks and trokhaïks，they admit out of due order，in the middle suzugies，both the trokhaik and iambik．And Eupolis，in the As－ strateutoi，has composed this form in a manner exceed－ ingly disorderly：for somewhere he writes thus，


[^61]
## And elsewhere thus,


so that the whole becomes a mixed khoriambik like this Anakreonteian,


And in some places he has used other very irregular forms.

And Ferekratehs, having put together the dikatalehkton ${ }^{2}$, consisting of katalehktik antispastik dimeters,


```
- - - ulu - - --- Ulu--
```



```
- - - vlv - -
\sigmav\mu\piтvктols ava\pia\iota\sigmatols.
    : I : I
```

And Kallimakhos composed the dikatalehkton consisting of iambik hefthehmimers,

${ }^{2}$ Фay. a sort of fish, esteemed a delicacy.
${ }^{2}$ Dikatal. a compound line, consisting of two katalehktik portions.
${ }^{3} \Sigma_{\nu \mu \pi \tau}$ úkт. $^{\text {avar. }}$. Here the scholiast remarks, $\mathrm{O}_{\nu \chi} \chi$ öтı $\epsilon \xi$ ava-

 ауатаıбтıкò єі́ท то̀ $\mu$ є́т $\rho o \nu$.
${ }^{4} \mathrm{~T} \hat{\eta}$ for $\hat{\eta}$ : $\dot{\dot{o} v \kappa}$ for $\dot{\dot{o}} \epsilon \mathrm{k}$. This line is to be connected with that which follows.
which he prefixed to the episuntheton consisting of a daktulik tetrapody and an ithufallik，such as this

Then Sapfon has made that which consists of two ithufalliks；


And the same poetess has made one out of khoriambik hefthehmimers with an iambik katakleid；

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - レ凶ーI レ - v - vu -Iレーい }
\end{aligned}
$$

And in some cases the foot（the katakleid）forms one word with what follows；

So much concerning the asunartehta．

## CHAPTER XVI.

OF THE POLUSKHEHMATISTA, OR IRREGULAR METRES.

We call poluskhehmatista ${ }^{1}$ all such metres as admit a plurality of forms in a manner not determined by any certain rule, but variously, according to the choice of the poets who used them. Of these the most notable are the following.

There is the Priapeian, introducing in the second place not only an iambik, but a khoriambik, suzugy; and sometimes they terminate the antispast which is at the beginning of the whole measure with a spondee; and that whether it begin, according to its privilege, with an iambos or a trokhay or a spondee: such as in Euforiown in the Khersonehsiowtehs;

${ }^{1}$ The antipathous mixtures were simple lines, but such as brought together what were deemed incongruous feet. The asunartehta were compound lines, consisting of two or more dissimilar or digjointed portions. These poluskhehmatista are merely irregular, or licentious, varieties of metres belonging properly to one or other of the former classes.

In the Glukowneians likewise, similar forms are admitted; as in these of Korinna ${ }^{1}$,

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| U | $1-$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

And this likewise;

And indeed this poetess employs a still greater variety of schemes ${ }^{\text {s }}$;
$\Delta$ oúpatos $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau^{\circ} \epsilon \phi^{\prime}$ iँ $\pi \pi \omega$.
Катд̀ мѐ̀ $\beta$ рццои́мєуоь.
Пó̀ль $\delta^{\prime}$ є $\pi \rho a ́ \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon v$.

Пеле́кєббı סоуєìтаи.
${ }^{1}$ The probable incorrectness of some of these lines from Korinna, has deterred me from attempting to indicate their rythm: the skholiast however undertakes them all as they stand.

${ }^{3}$ Tavayp. from Taváypa a town of Boiontia, of which Korinna was a native.



${ }^{5}$ Gaisford illustrates this place by referring to examples in the tragic choruses of Glukowneians consisting of almost every possible conversion of the antispast, (even into the dispondeios) followed by a khoriamb.

They would seem, too, to have composed as a poluskhehmatiston the comic measure called epiownik. And in this point especially it shows much irregularity, in that it admits spondees in the ever places of the iambik suzugies; as in Eupolis in his Khrusoín Genos;


The Eupolideian, also, which is called epikhoriambik, is poluskhehmatist, in which they make the trokhaik suzugies admit a spondee contrary to order; and sometimes they make a pure antispast; as,


Moreover, the asunarteht Kratineian, consisting of a khoriambik and trokhaik, is poluskhehmatist. This will be sufficiently shewn by the whole of the parabasis in the Astrateutoi of Eupolis, that I may not tediously multiply examples.

So much then concerning Metres: we next must speak of a Poem.

[^62]
## PART THE SECOND.

OF A POEM.

## CHAPTER I.

OF LINES AND SYSTEMS.

A line (or, stikh ${ }^{1}$ ) is a certain quantity of metre, which is neither less than three suzugies, nor more than four ${ }^{2}$. That which is less than three suzugies, if the
N.B. The division into chapters adopted in the ensuing portion of the work, together with their titles, are to be attributed to the present editor. The three first chapters in Gaisford's arrangement are here omitted, as being only a useless and obscure epitomeh of what is to follow, probably written by some other hand, but certainly no original portion of the present work. Gaisford himself has included them in brackets, and speaks of them thus: Totum hoc caput cum duobus sequentibus, a mala epitomatoris sive interpolatoris manu profectum arbitror. Nihil enim continent quod non longe melius atque dilucidius in reliquis exponatur. Quare si vel unius probe notee codicis auctoritate instructus essem, e textu prorsus eliminassem.
${ }^{1}$ There can be little doubt, that a stikhos, properly and originally, signified so much of metre as was written in one line.
${ }^{2}$ Observe that he says four suzugies, not feet: a daktulik line, therefore, of six feet was not hupermetrous nor a paiownik of five; but a line of more than four khoriambs, such as some that we have seen, was so. Aristeidehs informs us that when a measure exceeded four suzugies, or twenty-four times, it was divided into two, and considered as $\sigma u v^{\nu} \theta \epsilon \tau o \nu$ or compound. Our author has allowed a paiownik line thirty times.
suzugies be complete, is akatalehkt, and is called a kowlon; but if any thing be wanting, a komma.

A system is a combination of metres, either of two or more; either of like or unlike: of unlike, as the elegies; for in these there is a union of an hexameter with a pentameter; and there are the epodes. That which is of like metres, as the second book of Sapfow, we will explain as we proceed.

Now these being the two primary kinds ${ }^{1}$, by their mixture are formed those which are denominated the mikta genika and the koina sustehmatika.

The mikta genika are such as the tragedies and the old comedies: for a part of these is written leata stikhon ${ }^{2}$, and a part kata sustehma.

But the koina sustehmatika ${ }^{3}$ are such, that both he who should say that they are written kata stikhon, would speak correctly, and likewise he who should say that they are written kata sustehma; as the second and third of Sapfow. For because, in the ancient copies, we see in each song a distinction of couplets, and likewise never find any odd number of lines, we deem them to be written by system. And again, from the lines in the couplet being similar to each other, and its being possible that it was by some chance that the poetess made all her songs of an even number of lines, one might say that they were written by line.

[^63]Having, then, shown what is a line, and what a komma, and what a kowlon, and what a system, and yet for our own part neglecting such nice distinctions, we say that such also as follow, although (strictly speaking) written kata komma, are nevertheless written leata stikhon.

> 'H пais $\dot{\eta}$ ката́к入єєбтоS,
> Tท̀̀ ó̀ фабі тєкóvтєs
> Euvaious oapıoroùs
${ }^{1}$ Lines of Kallimakhos.

## CHAPTER II. <br> OF THE DIFFERENT SORTS OF POEMS.

Now of those poems that are written kata stikhon, some are mikta (mixt), and some not. The mikta are such as the comedies of Menandros: for in the same poem, tetrameters are found in one part, and trimeters in another. But the amikta (unmixt) are such as the Rhapsodies of Homer.

Then of those that are written kata sustehma, some are kata skhesin, some apolelumena, some metrika atakta, some ex homoiown, some mikta sustehmatika, and some koina sustehmatika; concerning all which we shall speak.

Those that are kata skhesin ${ }^{1}$ are such as the poet writes in subservience to antapodosis and anakuklehsis.

The apolelumena are such as are written at random, and without prescribed metre : such as the Nomoi Kitharowdikoi of Timotheos.

The metrika atakta are such as are, indeed, written in some measure, but have neither similarity to each other, nor anakuklehsis: such is this epigram of $\mathrm{Si}_{-}$ monnidehs;

 Aрıбтódamos Өрáбıios, A入єīos, тá入a.
Such also is the Margitehs of Homer; for the iambik is subjoined to an undetermined number of epiks.

[^64]The ex homoiown are such as are measured, indeed, by a certain foot, or suzugy, or period ${ }^{1}$, but without any prescribed number; since, if the number were determined, the poem would no longer be ex homoiown, but kata skhesin, as in the song of Alkaios which begins,

For one who was not very expert, would say that this is ex homoiown, being measured by the iownik suzugy ap' elassonos: but we, inasmuch as we perceive that it is measured by ten suzugies, pronounce it to be written kata skhesin. Wherefore also we deem that the monostrofik songs which consist of ten suzugies, are composed after the same manner. There are moreover some of the ex homoiown made in this manner which are paiowniks, such as those of Hermeias;
 and what follows.

It remains for us to speak of the mikta sustehmatika and the koina sustehmatika. We say then, that the mikta sustehmatika are as if one should join in one the first ode of the first book of Allaios and the second, both of which are systematic.

The koina sustehmatika are such as fall under two forms: a circumstance which occurs in this instance,

for one unacquainted with the design of the poet would pronounce this song to be ex homoiown, but a skilful person would pronounce it to be kata skhesin; as explained above.

[^65]
## CHAPTER III.

OF THE SAME.

Now of those that are Kata skhesin some are monostrofika, some epowdika, some kata perikopehn anomoiomereh, some antithetika, some milkta kata skhesin, and some koina kata skhesin.

The monostrofira are such as are measured by one strofeh ${ }^{1}$, as the poems of Alkaios, and those of Sapfow, and likewise of Anakreowon.

The epowdika are those in which to similar systems something dissimilar is added. Now it is evident that nothing of this kind can take place in any case where the number of systems is less than three; but nothing forbids it to be extended beyond this; for as we have an eporodile triad, so have we a tetrad, a pentad, and so on.

Now of the epowdik lind, some sorts, like the kind itself, are called epowdika, and some proovodika, and some mesowdika, and some palinowdika, and some periowdika.

The epowdika, then, are those in which to similar systems something dissimilar is added: in which manner are composed most of the works of Pindar and Simownidehs.

The proowdika are those in which the dissimilar part is prefixed to the similar parts.

[^66]The mesowdika are those in which the similar parts are the containing，and the dissimilar part is placed in the middle．

The palinowdika ${ }^{1}$ are those in which the containing parts are similar to each other，but dissimilar to the contained：and the contained parts also similar to each other，but dissimilar to the containing．

The periowdika are those in which the contained parts are similar to each other，but the containing parts neither to each other，nor to the contained．

The eata perifopehn ${ }^{2}$ anomolomereh are those instances in which the poet，after having set out any number of different systems，shall subjoin a perikopeh consisting of the same systems：so that in either，or every perikopeh，the systems shall be dissimilar to each other，but the perikopai，both or all，shall be similar to each other．

The antithetiza are those cases in which the poet shall write as many koolla，and as unlike，as he will ： and then shall imitate the last of these in the first of the next system，the second from the end in the second， and so throughout by the same rule．This form is very rare in the ancient authors，but by Simmias the Rhodian there are some verses so composed in the poem in－ scribed $\mathrm{Owon}^{3}$ ．

[^67]The mition cata shehestn is a poem in which there are some portions such as belong to what we have said to be different sorts of those that are leata skhesin，as for example，both epowdik and mesowdik．

The roinon rata shhesin is such a poem as falls under two systems，as the first song of Anakreown；
and what follows ${ }^{1}$ ．For agreeably to the present $e k$－ dosis，the strofeh is one of eight kowla，and the song is monostrofik．But the strofeh may be divided other－ wise，into a triad and a pentad：so that the last kowlon of the system，whether consisting of three kowla or of five，shall be a Ferekrateian．

Now as to the apolelumena，having already shewn what they are，we proceed to state that the sorts of these are the astrofa，the anomoiostrofa，and the atmehta．

The astrofa are of so very small a size as to give no idea of a whole strofeh whatever．

The anomoiostrofa are such as are divided in－ differently at any part，either at a change of person， or at a reply of the chorus to the actor，or at an efum－ nion，or at an epode，or at any other epifownehma． The division is either into two parts，or more．So if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text {. Which the skholiast furnishes, thus:- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Avópळiv єүкаӨópa пó入ıv, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Поıцаіveıs то入ıŋंтаs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

it be divided into two, the poem is called heterostrofon, and if into more, alloiostrofon.

The ATMEHTA are poems of such a size as to admit, indeed, of division, while at the same time there is no proof of the poet having actually divided them, neither brakhukatalehxia, nor any other of those things which mark the divisions of poems, such as an efumnion or anafownehma.

Moreover, of the Ex Homoiown some are aperiorista, and some are kata periorismous anisous.

The aperiorista are such as are measured by the same foot, or the same suzugy or period, but having no boundary between ${ }^{1}$, continue similar to the last.

Those that are kata periorismous anisous are such as consist ex homoiown, and have katalehxis or brakhukatalehay between them, yet not so that this shall always be connected with equal portions: these are accustomed more especially to occur in the parodoi of the choruses. For there, after ten anapaistiks, for example, and a katalehxis, they immediately add some that are likewise anapaistik, yet not of the same number of suzugies.

[^68]
## CHAPTER IV.

OF SOME OTHER THINGS IN POEMS.

There are also among poems some that are called efumnious, which have obtained this denomination, because the poets are accustomed to add to the strofehs a certain efumnion, such as are these,

İ̀́e matáy ${ }^{-}$
and

$$
\Omega \delta_{1} \theta_{v} \rho a \mu \beta_{e}
$$

But when the efumnion is not placed after a strofeh, but after a line, and followed by another line, then the poem is called mesumnious, such as is that of Sapfow,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ае́ррете, тéктодеs áydјеs. } \\
& \text { ' } \Upsilon_{\mu \text { évaıov, }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover there are in poems those portions which are called EPOWDOI, in the masculine, which occur when to a great line something further is annexed; as,

T/s oàs тарท̇eıpe фрévas;
and again,


But when the order is reversed it is called proowdos, as in Anakreown,

## 


And in like manner as these are found, so might there also be some mesowdoi, when the greater should contain, and the less be set between.

There are also some things which are called EPrfthegmatiea, which differ in this from the efumia, that the efumnia go to complete the sense, but the epifthegmatika are added to the strofeh over and above what the author is saying. Such is this of Bakkhulidehs;

And again in the same Bakkhulidehs;

Whenever, therefore, the epifthegmatika are short, this name alone belongs to them: but if they be of such extent as to fill out a strofeh, and the strofeh of the poet being placed first in order, that of the epifthegmata be subjoined to it, and then again the like be repeated in the same order; such a system will be kata perikopehn anomoiomeres.

There is also in comedies a certain part which is called the parabasis, which if it be written in full, has seven forms. It is called parabasis, because the khoreutai having entered the theatre, and standing with their faces toward each other, were accustomed to pass by each other, and to say certain things while they were looking off toward the theatre.

Now the forms of the parabasis are these'. The kommation, which was so named even by the ancient

[^69]poets; for Eupolis says, "EıఒOo's тò кощци́тьov тойто". The second form is that which, having the same name with the kind, is called parabasis. The third is that denominated, makron; of which we say that it certainly was rather long; yet it seemed longer than it really was, from being recited without drawing breath.

These parts belong to the apolelumena. The others are written kata skhesin; that is, the melos, and the epirrhehma, which for the most part consisted of sixteen lines, and the antistrofon to the melos, and that called antepirrhehma, which consisted of kowla equal to those of the epirrhehma.









## CHAPTER V.

## OF THE SIGNS OR MARKS.

The signs which are in use among the poets, are employed differently by different authors, such I mean as the paragraph, and the korownid, and the dipleh leaning outward, and that leaning inward, and the asterish, and if there be any thing else of the same kind.

Among the lyric writers, if the song be monostrofik, at each strofeh is placed the paragraph, then at the end of the poem, the korownid. But if the songs be written kata perikopehn, so that there is strofeh, and antistrofos and epode; the paragraph is placed at the end of the strofeh and antistrofos; and after the epode, the korownid: and so again the paragraph, which divides the similar parts from the dissimilar.

At the end, however, is placed the asterisk, an indication that the song is finished; since the korownid is placed after all the epodes. And more especially is it usual to insert the asterisk, if the succeeding poem be of another measure; which takes place principally in the monostrofik poems, as those of Sapfow, and Analereown and Alkaios. Indeed in those of Alkaios particularly, according to the Aristofaneian edition, (ekdosis), the asterisk was put only at a change of measure :- but according to the present, that is, the Aristarkheian ${ }^{1}$, it is put also when there is a transition to a fresh poem.

[^70]As to the dipleh looking outward, it is frequent with the comedians and tragedians, but with the lyric writers rare. It is found, however, in Allkman: for he wrote poems of fourteen strofehs, half of which he made an heptastrofon of one metre, and half of another. And accordingly it is placed after the seven former strofehs: and the dipleh signifies, that the poem is written with such a transition.

These aforesaid signs, except the asterisk, we use also in the dramas, as well as some others, of which we shall speak. The korownid, then, we employ in three ways: either when the actors having spoken something and retired, the chorus is left; or the reverse : or when it is thought proper that a shift from place to place should be made in the scene. The paragraph we use either at a change of person, both in the iambik and chorik parts, or between the strofeh and the antistrofos. If, however, the strofeh happen to consist of successive persons, the paragraph is not sufficient to show that the strofeh is completed, when another strofeh succeeds, since it is equally placed after every line. But in this case the dipleh looking inward is also put; that is, if an antistrofos follow: for if there be only a succession of strofehs, that which looks outward is employed.

And whereas there are seven parts of the parabasis, at each of the three apolelumena is placed the paragraph, namely, at the kommation, the parabasis, and the makron; as it also is at the melos and the epirrhehma, if there be no antapodosis ${ }^{1}$. But if the revolving parts be present, that is, the antistrofos of the melos and the antepirrhehma, thẹ at the epirrhehma is placed the

[^71]clipleh looking inward, in order to indicate that there are to be returns; and at the antepirrhehma the diplek looking outward.

Moreover, the dramatists are accustomed between some iambiks, to write any number of strofehs in another measure; then again, having completed the subject in iambiks, to repeat the strofehs after an interval. At each strofeh, then, is placed a paragraph; and in the former strofehs, at the last line are put two diplai, one at the beginning looking outward, and the other at the end looking inward. For we show by that which looks inwards, that there is to be a repetition. And in those again which form the repetition, at each strofeh we put a paragraph, and at the last line, two diplai, one at the beginning, the other at the end, both looking outward: for in this way we indicate that such parts are a repetition.
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WITE
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## IAMBIKS.

P. 144.

1. Dimeter Alkatalehkt.

Feels not the wants that pinch the poor.
145. 2. Trimeter Akatalehkt ${ }^{2}$. Ye drive me from you, yet will I return again, And seek a vengeance worthy of my injuries.
Or, thus,
0 sons of Albion, spurn the sordid lust of wealth, The spirit accursed which defiles your beauteous isle. Why rules abashless through the land, from sire to son, One universal passion, eagerness to have?

## 3. Tetrameter Akatalehkt.

And fiercely then the angry waters rag'd the seaworn bark around.
Or, thus,
For this shall all who thee adore-ere yet the day of grace be o'er.
4. Dimeter Katalehktik.

Yet God the same abiding.
5. Trimeter Katalehltik.

One lovely star was twinkling in the gloaming.

[^72]
## P. 146. 6. Tetrameter Katalehktik.

Oh, that to me were giv'n a maid,-so beauteous and so tender.
7. Trimeter Alkatatalehkt, called Khowlon, or, lame: also, Hippownaleteian.

Yet give me leave that argument to urge once more:
I'll tell the truth, and all the truth, nor ought keep back.

## TROKHAIKS.

148. 149. Dimeter Katalehktik.

On the lawns, and on the leas.
2. Trimeter Katalehktik.

In the days of old, as ancient story tells.
3. Tetrameter Katalehktik.

If to England's shores returning,-you neglect my just request.
149. 4. The same, but Khowlon, or lame.

Gaily once I pass'd my days, but now, alas! tis all gone by.
5. Pentameter Katalehktik.

They who seek in life alloyless bliss,-will often find that of their aim they miss.
6. Dimeter Brakhukatalehkt, or, Ithufallik.

Think of her who wove them; Her who made thee love them.
7. Tetrameter Brakhukatalehkt.

Soon she threw her arms around him,-sweetly him caressing.
150. 8. Tetrameter Akatalehkt.

Lovely Thais sits beside thee,-take the good the gods provide thee.

## DAKTULIKS.

151. 152. Hexameter Katalehktik, or, Epik.

Sing, $\mathbf{O}$ heaven-born Muse, the disastrous wrath of Akhilleus,
Pehleus' son; which brought unnumber'd woes on Akhaians,

And many noble souls sent down untimely to Hadehs: Heroes, who unburied to devouring dogs were abandon'd, And all birds of prey: but Jove's high will was accomplisht: Since upsprung that fatal strife, which parted asunder Great Atreidehs, king of men, and mighty Akhilleus,
P. 152. 2. Pentameter Katalehktik.

Now thro' the moonlit coolness of eve let us wander.
3. Tetrameter Katalehktik.

Thine was a home where duteous affection.
4. Penthehmimer.

Far fro' the home that we love Joyless an' weary we roam.
5. Hefthehmimer.

Still be it mine with myself to agree.
6. Tetrameter Akatalehkt.

Softly around thee the winds are a whispering.
7. Hexameter Katalehltik Aiolik, or Aiolik Epos.

It was all for a sight $0^{\circ}$ my love, but that she denied me.
154. 8. Pentameter Katalehktik Aiolik.

With the call $o^{\prime}$ the horn spring abroad in the morning.
9. Tetrameter Katalehktik Aiolik.

The dear girl then her arms flung around me.
10. Tetrameter Akatalehkt Aiolik.

He look'd back, but his wife glid, a ghost, away.
155. 11. Dekasullabon Logaoidik, called Alkaik. Sunk as a stone in the mighty waters.
12. Praxilleian Logaoidik.

O pretty lass, thro' the window so slily peeping.

## ANAPAISTIKS.

157. 
158. Tetrameter Katalehktil, or, Aristofaneian.

There liv'd in a town pretty far to the west,-and hard by the banks o' the Tamar.

## P. 159. 2. Trimeter Katalehktik.

Far away fro' the land $0^{\circ}$ my birth and childhood I wander.
3. Dimeter Katalehktik, or, Paroimiak.

Silence, keep all of you silence!
And quickly a tale will we tell you:
Ithakeh do we boast our country,
An' $^{\prime}$ we sail wi' the hero Odusseus.
160.

## 4. Tetrameter Prokeleusmatik. <br> 'Tis a pity for a woman in a passion to be seen.

5. Logaoidik Arkhebouleian.

0 why then in woe is my heart so deeply sinking,
And why so appall'd fro the call of duty shrinking.

## KHORIAMBIKS.

163. 164. Dimeter Katalehktik.

Here let us rest: sweetly here, Till star of eve, we'll repose.
2. The same, with Iambik Katakleid.

Dear women, hark to reason: Why do the men deceive you?

## 3. Trimeter Katalehktik.

Though for a while labour appear vain to be, Yet 'twill at last render account faithfully.
164. 4. The same, with Iambik Katakleid. Though for a while I shou'd appear to slight you, Trust in a friend sworn with his life to right you.
163. 5. Tetrameter Katalehktik.

Darkly amid sounds o' lament, fitful an' wild, 'past they on.
164. 6. The same, with Iambik Katakleid.

As when a cloudcapp'd eminence, seen from afar before us, Hardly to grow nearer appears, while thro' the day we journey.

Or, thus,
I fro' the bowers of Eden am sent to the realm of mortals.
7. Pentameter Katalehktik.

Not fro' the stars, children of men, for good or ill, destiny flows, be certain.
P. 165.
8. Hexameter Katalehktik.

If for a while we now depart, 'tis that we may shortly return, here to be aye abiding.

## ANTISPASTIKS.

166. 167. Penthehmimer, or, Dokhmiak.

To life lately come, The babe's tender eye From light turns away.
2. Hefthehmimer, or, Ferekrateian.

Neighbours, lend me attention:
All partake o' this outrage;
Let all join to avenge it.
167. 3. Dimeter Akatalehkt, or, Glukowneian.

When the tusk o' the angry boar, The fair limb, Kuthereia, tore Of thy love so revengefully.
4. Dimeter Huperkatalekht, Hippownakteian, or, Sapfik Hendekasullabon.

Once a fox, from his walk returning,
Some ripe bunches $o^{\prime}$ grapes discerning.
5. Trimeter Katalehktik, or, Falaikeian.

To her whom I adore my memory wanders, And each fond recollection inly ponders.
168. 6. Trimeter Akatalehkt. Asklehpiadeian.

Exiles seeking a land mid the far ocean wave;
There new homes they erect, there too a country have.

## 7. The same. Alkmaik Dovodekasullabox.

Dear is the home where friends meet by the ev'ning hearth.
8. Tetrameter Katalehktik, pure.

Arise, sons $o^{\circ}$ the north, still can ye live tasteless $o^{\prime}$ freedom.
9. The same. Priapeian.

Whoso thinks in a country life, care will left be behind him, Errs forsooth, for in ditch or hedge, care will speedily find him.
10. The same. Sapfik.

Why doom ye men to bondage, as if all were not your equals? And is not he your rightful brother whom ye make a servant?
11. Tetrameter Akatalehkt. Sapfik Hekkaidekasullabon.
Musing mournfully, how best to abide bravely the storms of fate.
170. 12. Tetrameter Huperkatalehkt, or, Simmiak. Now came night, with a dark veil, to beshroud all the designs ${ }^{\circ}$ mortals.
13. Pentameter Akatalehlkt.

There the host of the brave, dreary to see,-mournfully lay prostrate upon the plain.

## GREATER IOWNIKS.

1. Hefthehmimer.

Fair Artemis, 0 ye nymphs, Once fled rapid Alfeos.
2. Dimeter Alkatalehkt.

Bound haply to far, far lands, Ere we part we join our hands.
172.
3. Trimeter Brakhukatalehlet. Praxilleian.

All full was the moon above them shining, While they stood alone beside the altar.

## 4. Trimeter Akatalehkt.

There oft have we seen, as erst they went a-maying, Sweet girls in a ring gaily with youths a dancing. Ah me! that we live to see that mirth departed.
5. Tetrameter Brakhukatalehht. Sowtadeian.

Rude winter at length comes, in a storm his reign announcing:
Fast and far are whirl'd by the eddying winds the leaves of autumn.
P. 173. 6. Tetrameter Alkatalehkt. Aiolik.

For though many years man may rejoice, bravely his heart assuring, Remember ever, fate allotteth still many days $o^{\prime}$ darkness.
174. 7. The same, with alternate Trokhaik Suzugies.

The moon long ago descended; and Pleiades, and the midnight Now reigns, and the hour is passing, and I still alone am sleeping.

## LESSER IOWNIKS.

175. 176. Dimeter Akatalehkt, pure.

As a-harping sat Apollo
To the Muses yellow-vested.
2. Trimeter Alatalehkt, pure.

Tho' on earth wrongs by the weak be not avenged, Yet above lives there a judge strong to redeem them.
176. 3. Tetrameter Aleatalehkt, pure.

By my friends all thus abandon'd, thro' the cold world as I wander, Many thoughts rise to remembrance $o^{\circ}$ the chang'd and the departed.

## 4. Tetrameter Katalehltik. Galliambik.

If in anger you reprove men, what you say will all be vain :
But if love guide the remonstrance, some amendment you may gain.

## 177. <br> 5. Tetrameter Brakhukatalehkt.

With a sword gleaming on high, the foe he swift assaulted.
6. Trimeter Alkatalehkt.

In an hour of hopeless woe sent to relieve us, And from fatal abasement to retrieve us.
P. 178. 7. Trimeter Katalehktik.

Tho deceit seem to be thriving for a day, Its growth turns in a brief hour to decay.
8. Tetrameter Akatalehkt, Anaklowmen.

For a dolphin him befriending, as he wheeld along the ocean, Took him home, alike a new sea-god, amid the wild commotion.
9. Hefthehmimer, pure.

On a small islet of ice, Unawares floated away.

## PAIOWNIKS.

179. 180. Krehtik Tetrameter Akatalehkt; mixt.

Ring the bells, let's be merry, hoist the pole, blow the horn: 'Tis not always that May brings us in such a morn.
2. The same, having first paiowns in all places but the last:
In the city, 'tis the money makes the man, as all do know: And in .woman, what's so pretty as the money she'll bestow?
180. 3. The same, pure.

Sweet is hope, fancy-fed, though the dream oft betray:
Sweet the first light of love, though it soon fade away:
181. 4. The same, resolving the long syllables. Every body was in a hurry, what was the matter, nobody knew.
5. The same, in fourth paiowns.

For upon earth, sorrow awaits every child born of man.
182. 6. Krehtik Pentameter Akatalehkt in first paiowns. Theopompeian.
Matrimony seems a topic highly proper our study to claim awhile.
7. The same, pure.

Me they bear o'er the sea, far from home, doom'd to toil, sold to chains.
8. Krehtik Hexameter Katalehletik, parce. Alkman's.
Child of man, born to care, do no sink in despair, bat be hopeful still.
9. Bakkheiak Tetrameter Ahatalehkt.

The swains all arous'd soon their homesteads abandon;
The floods roar around, and the dank night is o'er them,

## ANTIPATHOUS MIXTURES.

## P. 183. 1. Sapfik Hendekusullabon Epikhoriambik.

O methinks, he's like to the gods immortal,
He the favour'd youth who upon thee gazing,
Close beside thee sits, to thy heavenly accents-fondly attentive.
185.
9. Pindarik Hendekasullabon. Epikhoriambik.

The thought rends my heart that I e'er should wrong thee, Thou who hast my oft-erring youth befriended.
8. Alkaik Hendekasullabon, in the aystem. Epiovonik.

0 King Apollo, child o' the mighty Zeus, Thine are the gifts that render it life to live:

The heav'nly Muses thee surrounding,
Harmony blend with the songs of ages.
186. 4. Alkaik Dowdekasullabon. Epiownik.

Thy gifts we awn, $\mathbf{O}$ bountifal hand of nature, To each a share of kindly delight affording.
5. Epiounik Tetrameter Katalekletik.

These lovely shores, some poisonous air, diffus'd unseen around, Taints every fragrant gale with the deadly seeds of pestilemee.
187.
6. Epiotonik Trimeter Akatelahkt of Alkman.

But when the maiden awoke, greatly she marvell'd, Herself to find so array'd, and so attended

## 7. The aume, anaklowomen.

And strange it was that a word ahould work amendment, Where strokes of woe so severe were unregarded.

## ASUNARTEHTA.

## P. 188. 1. First Arkhilokheian.

With winds loud howling around us,-we the bowl do mingle.

## 191. 2. Second Arkhilokheian.

Ye who to fancy's tales are a listening,-airy dreams believing.
192. 3. Third Arkhilokheian.

Trust not in mouldering wealth,-it soon decays, and wastes away.
4. Enkowmiologik.

Now let us haste to the war ;-mh' Almighty shield us, Till to the homes that we leave-in peace returning.
5. Iambelegos.

If fools bepraise thee,-seek whether you've been a fool.
193. 6. Platownik Tripenthehmimer.

Now for awhile, bonnie love,-we part; \| at autumn's-fall let us hope to return.

## 7. Pindarik Tripenthehmimer.

And much the wise,-the mehdhen agan, $\mid$ ( $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ árav) as a maxim have commended.
8. Elegrian.

Wasting a tale of grief-all on a merciless ear.

## 194. 9. Euripideian.

O thou that hearest lowly prayer,-bend to us thy gracious ear.
195. 10. Euripideian Tessereskaidekasullabon. Apollo surely's in the choir: hark, the lyre resounding! And there, too, I the Loves discern; there, too, Afroditeh.

## 11. Trokhaik Dimeter, doubled and Prokatalehktik.

 All my comrades are devoured,-I alone am left to tell thee.P. 196. 12. Khoriambik and Ithufallik, of Anakreown. All on a mossy bank reclin'd,-lay my love reposing.

## 13. Kratineian.

Safely the peaceful herds repose-on the mountain's airy brow.
197. . 14. Antispastik Dikatalehkton of Ferekratehs.

Neighbours, lend me attention;-all partake $o^{\circ}$ this outrage.
15. Iambik Dikatalehkton of Kallimakhos, placed before the second Arkhilokheian.

Still with the dews of morning, -betimes the couch abandon:
Early to rest, and early to rise, bringeth health, an' wealth, an' wisdom.
198. 16. Double Ithufallik of Sapfow.

Come my lovely lasses,-help to drain the glasses.
17. Double Khoriambik Dimeter of Sapfow.

Cheerily thrill the skylark's-notes thro the waste of ether.

## POLUSKHEHMATISTA.

200. 201. Antipathous Epiownik Tetrameter.

I dreamt the day was arriv'd, when the earth was passing away:
The heavens were all as a black cloud, of light we saw not a ray.

## 2. Antipathous Epikhoriambik Tetrameter. Eupolideian.

Now the thunder, rolling around, shakes the echoing vault of heav'n:
The fierce lightning darts to the ground, crash the woods, the rocks are riv'n.

Note--The reader is reminded that the foregoing scraps of verse, being produced solely as examples of metre, are wholly beneath his criticism in any other point of view; and should be treated rather as schoolboy's nonsense verses, than as making any higher pretensions.

It may possibly not be observed, that they are constructed with regard to syllabic quantily on the well-known principles of Greek and Latin Prosody; and will therefore admit of scanning in the same manner, and nearly with as much strictness, as those of the ancients. At the same time, in order to bring out their metrical effect to our perception, the accent is, for the most part, disposed according to modern usage.

Much might be added on the application to modern poetry of the whole subject of this volume, but our limits forbid. Perhaps, however, the forgoing imitations of ancient metre may be thought sufficient to prove, both that our language will allow of more exact attention to syllabic quantity than has hitherto been paid it, and that, in connexion with such attention, we might advantageously cultivate a greater variety of metres. In that case, too, our poetry might with greater freedom, diversify its at present constrained and monotonous accentual melody. Assuredly, the essential principles both of language and verse are in all ages and nations the same.

> By the same Author,
> And sold by J. \& J. J. Deighton, Cambridge.

## AN INTRODUCTION TO GREEK GRAMMAR, on a New <br> Plan, for the use of Schools and Private Students. 12 mo .

Among several presumed improvements adopted in this work, is the dispensing with the duplicates of the future, aorist, and perfect tenses, in the declension of the regular verb, on the ground that such duplicates, when they do occur, are to be regarded as mere irregularities or redundances of formation; much as in our own language, we say I hanged, or I hung, I catched, or I caught, \&cc. in precisely the same sense. The force of the several moods and tenses is carefully explained. The ancient and genuine pronunciation of the language, agreeably to the continental system, and confirmed, in the main, by that of the modern Greeks, is also unfolded.

## Also,

GREEK ROOTS IN ENGLISH RHYMES. 18 mo .
An attempt to fix the Greek Primitives on the Memory in an easy and pleasant manner. For example;
Ayát $\eta$ Agapeh, love: and happy they
who live with thee, sweet agapeh.


## AUG 261930


[^0]:    
     $\chi^{\prime \sigma \tau \pi \nu, ~ \grave{̀ ~} \mu \in ́ \tau \rho o \nu ~ к ı u g ̆ ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s . ~ S k h o l . ~ i n ~ H e r m o g . ~}$

[^1]:    When anapaists are taken in suzugies, the bar will count eight.

[^2]:    
     pútepov. Aristoxen. Harmon.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the Scholiast we learn that the rythmicians made
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     xpóvov. Gaisford, p. 150.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ This opinion is confirmed by the fact, that this metre was deemed most elegant when the feet completed the words.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even Foster seems to have erred here, for he takes this elevation of the last syllable in questions for the acute accent, as he does likewise that which characterizes the Scotch brogue.

[^6]:    $\because$ Or pronounce $\alpha, \nu-\theta \rho \omega=\pi o s$ coincidently with three even beats.

[^7]:    1 That this scheme has no analogy with the doctrine of accentual arsis before noticed, will be evident on a moment's reflection. That placed the arsis on the first syllable of an iamb or ana. paist ; this would place it on the last.

[^8]:    ＇This line is here given in the form in which Apollonnios Duskolos appears to cite it from Alkman．Kai кєinos $\epsilon \nu$ бá入єбル й $\mu \epsilon \nu о я ~ \mu \alpha ́ к а \rho s ~ a v i ́ \rho . ~ G a i s f o r d: ~ b u t ~ i t ~ s t i l l ~ a p p e a r s ~ t o ~ n e e d ~ s o m e ~$

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Yéns. Gaisf.

[^10]:    ' Yéns. Gaisf.

[^11]:    $\phi(\lambda$. MSS. $\phi i \lambda$ ó $\lambda v \rho o s$ Gaisf. The Scholiast calle this metre trokhaïk, but Gaisford adopts a different distribution of it.
    ${ }^{2}$ Apı日. MSS. apı $\theta_{\mu}$ atol Gaisf.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eıs $\mu а к$. O $\lambda$. Gaisf. omits.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eivat or $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \in \nu$ seems required by the sense, but is not in the copies.

[^14]:    

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Térépar．Some MSS．have סíceтpov，which Gaifford adopts

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}{ }^{\prime}$ Etepov, MSS. and Edd.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some MSS. тєтıкре́vais.
    

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some MSS. $\tau \rho!\beta \omega \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon s$, but either reading seems corrupt.

[^19]:    

[^20]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~T} \alpha$ conj. $\quad{ }^{3}$ See note in the translation.

    - These lines are not in our author. See note to translation.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1} \Delta_{\eta}$ Gaisf. but it seems wrong.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pro катєршта videtur scribendum катє́ $\rho v \tau \alpha$ ．Gaisf．

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ So amended by Porson．In凶̀ $\sigma a \lambda a \sigma \sigma o \mu e ́ \delta o \iota \sigma a \nu \alpha \pi o ́ \mu a ́ \sigma \delta \omega \nu$. Gaisf．

[^24]:     Turneb．Gaisford observes，＂Nullus dubito quin scriptum fuerit ets $\gamma$ avanai⿱宀⿻兀一 esse．＂This suggestion I have ventured to adopt．

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps Eparuoviồv, or tó Eparuovión.

[^26]:    ${ }^{2} \epsilon \sigma \tau i$ кai $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma v \nu \theta$. Gaisf. The skholiast reads with us.

[^27]:    

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ र $\rho \eta \sigma a \mu$ ．The Cambridge MS．and Turnebus：$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \not \mu \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$. Gaisf．

[^29]:     sive, I have inserted here, removing them from between the second $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau a!$ and $\omega^{\circ} s \tau \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \in \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau a$, where they are found in the MSS. edd. and in Gaisford. The sense, before perplexed, is thus restored: and the homoioteleuton in $\epsilon \pi$ я $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho \in \tau \alpha$, , readily explains the source of the existing error. $\Delta \eta \lambda$ оюо́тı MSS. but ab. in Gaisf.
    

[^30]:    
    
     evidently needs some correction.

[^31]:     again below.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Move. Turneb. novvø. Gaisf.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gaisford has here transcribed certain marks; but as being of doubtful authority and no present utility, I have omitted them.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have inserted $\eta$ before $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v}$, because the sense of the passage appears to require it.

[^35]:     9

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aд．Arnaud conjectures a $\lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i ́ a s ~ \gamma \nu ю ́ \mu \eta ร . ~$
    ${ }^{2}{ }^{\text {E }} v \nu \mu$ ．This verse is said by the skholiast to be trokhaik：$\phi_{1} \lambda$ ． prattling or babbling echo．
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{E}_{\kappa} \mu \epsilon$ ．Having made me forget．
    ${ }^{4} \Sigma_{\kappa} \hat{\eta} \psi ⿺ 𠃊 ⺊$ may mean a pretence or excuse；it was also the name of a town．The point is，that $\epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon v$ ，and not $\epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda \nu \theta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，is put in－ stead of $\epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v^{\prime} \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．
    ${ }^{5}$ aкц．an anvil and hammer．

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Especially if that one consonant be a semivowel. The liquid $\rho$ is allowed this power even in Attik poetry: as in
    
    But generally, this third case of common syllable is to be regarded as a licence rather than a rule, and ought very sparingly to be admitted.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ The term катаклєis, katakleid, in this subject, signifies a trisyllabous portion at the end of a line, consisting of an entire foot and an extra syllable.
    ${ }^{2}$ N.B. The mark : below a syllable, denotes the arsis, or lifting, and the mark I the thesis, or putting down, of the foot or hand; and they indicate an equable division of time, throughout this work. See the Prolegomena.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iambik and trokhaik measures，having this peculiarity of ter－ mination，were called $\chi^{\omega \lambda} a^{\prime}$ ，or $\sigma \kappa a^{\prime} \zeta$ ovra．They do indeed sound lame and limping，unless the proper rythm be understood．This lies，I conceive，in making each of the three last syllables occupy half a rythmical bar．The verse will then，for comic purposes， read not amiss；but though metrically trimeter，it will rythmically be an incomplete tetrameter．A specimen from Hehrowdehs，as cited by Gaisford，is as follows：

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, of each iambik suzugy.
    ${ }^{s}$ Of symmetry, that is, of the conformability of the different portions of the verse to a common measure. For if an anapaist or a daktule be allotted the same time with an iambos, one being tetrasehmons and the other trisehmous, they are not commensurable: or, it may only mean proportion in a looser sense.
    ${ }^{3}$ The trokhay and iambos are antipathous as having a directly opposite effect both in metre and rythm, so that both these will be confounded if these feet be substituted for each other.
    ${ }^{4}$ That is, they employ it but seldom in the even places.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Trokhaik and iambik verse being of one epiplokeh, the odd place of the former corresponds with the even place of the latter, and is therefore that which has least licence.
    ${ }^{2}$ This trokhaik tetrameter is an important verse, and used by the tragedians. It has almost invariably the tomè after the second dipody.
    ${ }^{3}$ E $\rho \xi$ ías, probably a proper name.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Do not be partial now, I must be judged in the dark.

    - Above measure : it will be seen hereafter, that our author limits a line to four suzugies.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ The tomè, which should follow the fourth foot, is in this instance neglected.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the comedian Aristofanehs, however, the daktule is rarely found so situated, except in proper names.
    ${ }^{3}$ Alogous, that is, not according to just reckoning, or proportion.
    *The included or adjacent foot. So in grammar таракєímevos रpóvos means the time immediately preceding, and continuous with the present. And hence the tense so called is employed, when it is intended to indicate that the proper effect of a past action is still existing, and forming a part of the state of things, at the time of speaking: as $\gamma \in \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \kappa \alpha ~ I ~ a m ~ m a r r i e d ; ~ o ́ \lambda \infty \lambda \alpha ~ I ~ a m ~ u n d o n e . ~ T h i s ~$ Greek tense has no precise parallel in any other European language.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ It might be questioned whether the epik hexameter ought to be considered katalehktik; but as it is essentially curtailed of a syllable of the characteristic foot of the metre, our author seems to be correct in the view which he takes of it.
     ( $\pi \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ Movaıкท̄s) writes to the same effect. T ${ }_{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ( $\mu \epsilon \in \tau \rho \alpha$ )
    
    
     $\delta_{1 a} \pi \alpha \sigma \omega_{\nu} \delta_{\epsilon \epsilon} \epsilon \in \sigma \cdot$. (the 24 quarter-tones of the octave in the enhar-
    
     rópevoav. Gaisf. p. 193.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nol $\delta \epsilon \mu$. it has grown irksome to thee to think of me, and thou fliest to Andromeda.
    ${ }^{3}$ In order that logaoidik lines may be rythmical, according to strict time, it is necessary, as already observed, to make the trokhay equal to the daktules, by allowing three times to its long syllable. The use of the term logaoidik is accounted for by the scholiast
     трохаīos лоуoypáфoıs.

    There is, however, a passage in Dionusios, which though somewhat obscure, would seem to imply, that in daktulik and anapaistik verse, the long syllables were sometimes dispatched with something less than their full measure of time, and in consequence called
    
     avtท̀̀ á入oyov. Sect.17. Such daktules approached in effect to the tribrakhy and trokhay. It may also be suggested, that this looseness was connected with that absence of rythms in epiks noticed by Aristotle. For it is evident, that a rythm кала' movoтodíav applied to daktuliks, must precisely have equalized the long with the two short syllables. But it must not be forgotten that such a rythm was truly the native law which the genius of the epik both owned and ever tended to obey, into whatever freedom or licence that heroic metre might occasionally run.

[^46]:     feminine form of $\lambda$ óyos.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\alpha}$ тò̀.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Observe here, that the prokeleusmatik foot, unlike the anapaist, hough its equivalent in time, is considered as in itself a dipody, vhence an anapaistik dimeter becomes by resolution a prokeleusnatik tetrameter : and taking anapaistiks кат ${ }^{\circ}$ uovoтodíav, it may Iso be considered as an anapaistik tetrameter.

[^48]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Axpov．and who has fixed Akmonidehs elsewhere．Aкцоиiòs，os Xápur kail io Ovpavós• Akpovos ràp ais．＇Hov．
    ${ }^{3}$ ．кратєן．I have offered a gift in honor of her mighty wisdom．

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Katà тú $\boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \epsilon \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \epsilon$.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ A more extended example of this loose and doggrel metre is required to give a proper idea of it. Take one by Sowtadehs himself: where beside the suzugies allowed by one author, we find the molottos and iownik ap' elassomos.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tupıvai, a proper name of a Lesbian girl. A more fastidious: ' $\quad$ avva for $\epsilon \rho a v v a$. But there is some uncertainty about the reading of this fragment, nor is it clear whether or no the two lines should be connected. Fortasse legendum a $\sigma$ pooté $a \tau^{\prime}$ Gaisf.
     in the commencement of these Aiolik forms, has determined my arrangement of their rythm, of which, however, let the reader judge.

[^52]:    ${ }^{2}$ そ̧aєл $\xi$. for $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi} \alpha \mu \eta \nu$.

[^53]:    

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inasmuch as the regular khoriambik does not admit the trokhaïk suzugy，its insertion here constitutes a technical antipathy：however， as every khoriamb begins with a trokhay，there does not appear in this case to be any real incongruity．

    The rythm most suitable for this and the three following Aiolik metres，has appeared to me more difficult to determine than that of any others in this work．I have indicated that which on the whole has seemed to me preferable，but desire to invite the exercise of the reader＇s own judgment on this point．In the Sapfik，at least，a daktulik rythm seems admissible，though，I think，not preferable．

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ K $\omega \bar{\lambda} \alpha$, certain portions of a line, as will hereafter be explained.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not admitting measurement as one line; either because the two $\kappa \bar{\omega} \lambda \alpha$ belong to different kinds of measure, as in the first example here given; or because, though they be of the same kind of measure, there is a gap in its continuity through the want of one or more syllables, as in the elegeiak.
    ${ }^{3}$ The тоц $\dot{\eta}$, coesura, or section, is the occurrence of a grammatic pause or stop, more or less considerable, in the course of a line, by which it is notably divided into two parts. It is commonly most agreeable, when it falls in the middle of a foot.

[^56]:     juépa.. Hesych. and Suidas. Ik. $\psi o \phi$. the applause of the benches.
    ${ }^{2}$ That which is in the second anapaistik place.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ By introducing an iambos for the third foot.

    * When, as in this case, there is an adiaforous syllable in the middle of the line, the metre may fairly be regarded as consisting of two lines, and might better perhaps so be written. Probably, too, the rythm, even of the daktulik portion, was made, as our notation
     vailed in such compound lines as these of Arkhilokhos, appears difficult to determine. My own judgment, however, inclines to the former.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tvppaк．an epithet of unknown reference．Tà $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \mu \in \nu \alpha$ utensils， furniture，\＆c．Kєivtaı $\epsilon \nu \mu \nu \rho \sigma \iota v a i \varphi$ in some receptacle made of myrtle； How can the penultima in кє́azaı be lengthened？Perhaps we might read кє́oเт＇．

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mnȯ̀̀v árav• ne quid nimis.
    ${ }^{2}$ N $\boldsymbol{n}$ ï. ignorant, illiterate.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Katalehktik in its former kowlon.

[^61]:    ${ }^{2}$ Eкфаит．an ancient comic poet．

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ E $\sigma \eta$; Gaisf. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon$. This epiownik form, as well as the epikhoriambik which follows, have not previously been produced. But for the comic licence, it seems that the third syllables $\lambda t s$ and $\delta a$, should have been short, as in iambik suzugies.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, those by line and those by system.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kata stikhon, in a succession of an uncertain number of similar lines : kala sustehma, in couplets, or stanzas, or some sort of determinate arrangement of lines.
    

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Poems kata skhesin are those that are written in stanzas recurring in some regular manner.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ By a period is meant a portion of verse consisting of three feet.
    

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, such as consist of a succession of similar stanzas.

[^67]:     least four parts：that is，a strofeh and antistrofos，contained，and two epodes，containing．

    2 The $\pi \epsilon \rho ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十 \eta \eta^{\eta}$ is the set or circle of systems or stanzas，in which the form of the poem is developed，and which may，or may not，be repeated．
    ${ }^{3}$ The Egg ：in which whimsical production，still extant，the lines at each end being short，and gradually lenthening to the middle， give an oval figure．

[^68]:    1That is, by к $\alpha \tau a \dot{\lambda} \eta \xi$ is or $\beta \rho a \chi \chi^{u \kappa a \tau a \lambda \eta \xi i a, ~ o r ~ o t h e r w i s e . ~}$

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gaisford cites a parallel passage from Poludeukehs. Tŷs $\mu \in ́ \in \tau \tau$
    

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ This notice of successive editions of an author by eminent grammatikoi, is highly interesting.

[^71]:    *That is, if there be no antistrofos and antepirrhehma.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not with absolute strictness, but as nearly as has been found practicable.
    ${ }^{2}$ Our common English epik and dramatik verse is a trimeter brakhukatalehkt. The Greek trimeter above is our Alexandrine, but with a different tomèh.

