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## DIVISION OF ENTOMOLOGY.

## HOW TO DISTINGUISH THE DIFFERENT MOSQUITOES OF NORTH AMERICA.

In Circular No. 13, of this series, the writer discussed briefly the habits of one of our commonest mosquitoes (Culex pungens) and some what at length the remedies to be used against all mosquitoes. This account was abbreviated from the more detailed treatment of the subjest in Bulletin No. 4, new series, of this Division.

Since the publication of this circular and of this bulletin widespread interest has been attracted to the subject of mosquitoes and their habits and also to the specific and generic distinctions which exist between different forms. This interest has arisen from the discovery that certain mosquitoes are intermediary hosts in the development of the micro-organisms of malaria. The comection between mosquitoes and malaria, although originally suggested in recent times by an American physician, A. F. A. King, was first demonstrated by experimental work carried on by the English surgeon, Ross, the Italians, Bignami, Grassi, and Bastianelli, and the German, Koch, while the American, McCallum, has followed out the life history of a malarial parasite of the common crow.

The latest work of the foreign investigators shows that not only in South Europe but also in India and in West Africa only mosquitoes of the genus Anopheles are concerned in the transmission of the human malarial parasite, although mosquitoes of the genus Culex are connected with the transmission of the malarial diseases of birds and possibly of other animals. These conclusions have been confirmed by the Americans, W. S. Thayer, F. N. Berkeley, and Albert Woldert, for America, so far as their work has gone. The latest announced results of the most advanced investigators seem to show that mosquitoes form the principal if not the sole means of transmission of malaria, and workers in all parts of the world, including many parts of the United States, are investigating the subject, more especially in relation to local conditions.

In the course of this work tnere has arisen considerable difficulty in the identification of local species of mosquitoes. The literature of 15166-07
this group of insects is fragmentary and scattered.' 'The list published


Fig. 1.-A nophicles punctipennis, female, with male antennæ at right and wing tip showing renation at left-enlarged (original). in Bulletin No. 4, new series, of this office, above referred to (1896), is the most complete one which has been published for this country, and it includes a citation of very many actual localities of capture of the different species which give us the first insight into the geographic distribution of the different forms. Very many investigators, mostly physicians, are calling upon this office for assistance in the determination of mosquitoes, or at least for some indication of the characters by which the different forms may be recognized.

In answer to this demand the following tables have been drawn up at the writer's request by Mr. D. W. Coquillett. They include all of the mosquitoes which hare been recorded from North America. and comprise, (I) a synopsis of the five genera into which the longbeaked. blood-sucking mosquitoes known to occur in North America are divided: (II) a syn-
 optic consideration of the species of the genus Ano-

Fig. 2.-Culex tæniorhynchus, female, showing the short palpi which distinguish Culex from Anopheles toothed front tarsal claw at right-enlarged (original).
pheles, divided into (a) the recognized forms, specimens of which
occur in the National Museum collection, and (b) the unrecognized forms, which are known only from the literature; (III) a synoptic consideration of the species of the genus Culex, divided into (a) a table of the recognized species, and (b) an account of the unrecognized species; (IV) a brief description of the only valid known species of the genus Psorophora; (V) a synoptic table of the three known species of the genus Megarhinus; and (VI) a synoptic consideration of the two known species of the genus Aedes.

So far in the medical literature only the genera Anopheles and Culex have received consideration, but since this circular is intended simply to aid in the identıfication of the different forms, the other three genera have been added in order to avoid confusion.


Fig. 3.-Resting positions of Culex (at left) and Anopheles (at right), enlarged (redrawn from a rough sketch published in the British Medical Journal).

The figures which are given illustrate the structural points brought out in the synoptic tables and have been drawn by Miss Sullivan, of this office, under Mr. Coquillett's supervision. The figure representing the difference in the resting positions of Anopheles and Culex has been redrawn from a sketch made by a member of Ross's expedition to Sierra Leone. Neither the writer nor Mr. Coquillett is able to verify the suggestion that either of these insects uniformly rests in the positions indicated, although it is quite likely that the attitudes shown are the usual ones.

Respectfully submitted.

> L. O. Howard,
> Entomologist.

Approved:
James Wilson,
Secretary of Agriculture.
Washington, D. C., February 20, 1900.

## SYNOPTIC TABLES OF THE NORTH AMERICAN MOSQUITO포.

By D. W. Coquillett.

## I.-Generic Synopsis.

The following table contains all the genera of the long-beaked mosquitoes known to occur in North America. The males are readily recognized by the antennæ being densely covered with long hairs: in the female the hairs of the antenne are short and very sparse.

1. Palpi in the male at least nearly as long as the proboscis, in the female less than one-half as long. 2.

Palpi in both sexes at least almost as long as the proboscis ............ Anopheles. Palpi in both sexes less than one-half as long as the proboscis............. Aedes.
2. Proboscis straight or nearly so, colors of body brown and yellowish 3.

Proboscis strongly curving downward toward the tip, colors bluish or greenish.
Megartimus.
3. Legs bearing many nearly erect scales..................................... . . . . Psorophora.

Legs destitute of such scales . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Culex.

## II.-Genus Anopheles. <br> ( 1 ) RECOGNIZED SPECIES.

1. With a rellowish white spot near three-fourths of the length of the front margin of the wing; scales of last vein white, those at each end black. . punctipennis Say. Without such a spot ......................................................................... 2.
2. Scales of last rein wholly black, palpi, wholly black........ quadrimaculatus Say. Scales of last vein white, marked with three black spots, palpi marked with white at bases of last four joints. crucians Wied.

## (b) UNRECOGNIZED SPECIES.

The folloring species which hare been credited to our country hare not been recognized with certainty; some of them probably do not belong to the present genus, while a few were evidently founded on badly rubbed specimens in which the distinctive characters were therefore wanting:
annulimanus r. d. Wulp. I strongly suspect that this does not belong to the present genus; the description applies fairly well to the male of Culex consobrimus Desv. ferruginosus Wied. This author proposes this name for the species previously described by Say under the name of Culex quinquefasciatus, but the description which he gives differs so decidedly from the one published by say as to give the impression that it is founder on a different species. I strongly suspect that the type of ferruginatus is a rubbed example of Anopheles crucians, which was described from the same locality: Say's description of his Culex quinquefasciatus agrees rery well with the species which I have ıdentified as Culex impiger Walker.
maculipennis Meigen. I strongly suspect that this European form is identical with our Anopheles quadrimaculatus Say, but this point can not be settled definitely at present, owing to the lack of any European specimens for comparison with ours.
nigripes Staeger. This European species should be readily recognized by its unspotted wing-
albimanus Tied. Differs from our other species by the snow-white apices of the tarsi.

Anopheles pictus Loew I think should be placed as a synonym of A. crucians Wied.

Our recognized species of Anopheles and their synonyms may therefore be listed as follows, the synonyms indented:

crucians Wied.<br>pictus Loew.<br>? ferruginosus Wied.<br>punctipennis Say.<br>hiemalis Fitch.<br>quadrimaculatus Say.<br>? maculipennis Meigen.

## III.-Gents Culex.

(a) RECOGNIZED species.

## Males.

1. Front tarsal clarrs bearing a distinct tooth near the middle of the underside of

Front tarsal claws bearing two teeth on the underside of one claw, and one on underside of the other, proboscis destitute of a whitish band near the middle 2.

Front tarsal claws with one tooth on underside of one of the claws, none on the other, bases of tarsal joints white, proboscis destitute of a whitish band near the middle............................................................. . . . . . . . . . . . .
2. Tarsi distinctly white at bases of the joints...................... . . excitans Walk.

Tarsi not white at bases of the joints.............................. consobrimus Desr.
3. Proboscis destitute of a whitish ring near the middle.............................. 4 .

Proboscis with such a ring, ends of tarsal joints white............. tarsalis Coq.
4. Bases of tarsal joints not white...................................................................

Bases of tarsal joints white:...................................... stimulans Walk.
5. Petiole of submarginal cell less than one-third of the length of that cell.
pungens Wied.
Petiole of submarginal cell at least one-half of the length of that cell.
impiger Walk.

## Females.

1. Front tarsal claws bearing a distinct tooth near middle of underside of each... 2 .

Front tarsal claws destitute of teeth ................................................. . . .
2. Proboscis destitute of a white ring near the middle............................. 3 .

Proboscis marked with such a ring, bases of tarsal joints white.
taniorhynchus Wied.
3. Bases of tarsal joints distinctly white................................................... 4.

Bases of tarsal joints never white. ..................................................... . . . 5 .
4. Mesonotum marked with four stripes of silvery scales........... fusciatus Fabr.

Mesonotum destitute of such stripes............................ . . stimulans Walk.
5. Last two joints of hind tarsi never white.......................................... 6 .

Last two joints of hind tarsi snow white........................... posticatus TVied.
6. Abdomen marked with a cross band of whitish scales at base of each segment. impiger Walk.
Abdomen never marked in this manner, but with a cluster of whitish scales at front angles of some of the segments . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . triseriata Say.
7. Proboscis marked with a distinct whitish ring near the middle, tarsi white at sutures of the joints. 8.

Proboscis destitute of a whitish ring near the middle............................ 9 .
8. Tarsal joints white at bases only ................................... perturbans Walk.
Tarsal joints white at both ends ........................................... . . tarsalis Coq.
9. Tarsi white at bases of joints ........................................................... 10.
Tarsi never white at bases of the joints ............................................... 12.
10. Mesonotum never marked with stripes of silvery scales.......................... 11.
Tesonotum marked with four stripes of silvery scales, first tarsal joint never marked with a whitish ring near the middle ...................... signifer Coq.
11. First tarsal joint marked with a whitish ring near middle of each - excmucians Walk. First tarsal joint destitute of such a ring . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . excitans Walk.
12. Petiole of submarginal cell less than one-third of the length of that cell.
mungens Wied.
Petiole of submarginal cell at least almost one-half of the length of that cell.
consobrinus Desv.

## (b) UNRECOGNIZED sPECIEs.

annulatus Schrank. This European species was credited to our fauna by Osten Sacken. The description agrees fairly well with specimens which I have identified as excitans, Walker, except that in the latter there is no white ring on the femora toward their apices.
boscii Dess: Probably a rubbed specimen of pungens.
nigripes Zett. Black, the legs of the male dark yellow, hairs of pleura of female gray, a band of white scales at base of each segment of her abdomen.
mbidus Desr: The description was apparently founded on a rubbed specimen of Psorophora cilicta.
testaceus r. d. Wulp. Is probably a somewhat injured example of consobrinus.
incidens Thomson. Is evidently a synonym of impigei Walker.
bigoti Bellardi. According to the figure and description, the bands of black scales are at the bases of the abdominal segments; in the recognized species these bands are always at the apices of the segments. In other respects this species must greatly resemble pungens.
cubensis Bigot. Apparently founded on a badly rubbed specimen of pungens.
frater Desr. This name was proposed for the Culter fasciatus of Wiedemann under the impression that this is not the same species as the one described by Fabricius under the same name. It seems quite certain, however, that the word "proboscis" in Fabricius' description was simply a lapsus for "palpi," and with this emendation the two descriptions agree very well.
mexicana Bellardi. Is evidently a synonym of posticatus.
prococans Walker. Is probably a synonym of stimulans. In some specimens of this species the light color at the bases of the tarsal joints is very indistinct.
territans Walker. Is apparently a synonym of pungens.
Our recognized species of Culex and their synonyms may be listed as follows, the synonyms indented:
consobrimus Desv.
? annutimanus r.d. Wulp (Anopheles).
impatiens Walker.
inomatus Williston.
pinguis Walker.
punctor Kirby.
? testaceus r. d. Wulp.
excitans Walker.
? ammutus Osten Sacken (nec Meigen, etc.).
excrucians Walker.
fasciatus Fabr.
frater Desv.
mosquito Desv.
taeniatus Wied.
impiger Walker.
implacabitis Walker.
incidens Thomson.
? quinquefasciatus Say.
perturbans Walker.
posticatus Wied.
? mexicanus Bellardi.
musicus Say.
pungens Wied.
? boscii Desv.
? cubensis Bigot.
? territans Walker.
signifer Coquillett.
stimulans Walker.
? prorocans Walker.
taeniorhynchus Wied.
damnosus Say.
sollicitens Walker.
tarsalis Coquillett.
triseriatus Say.

## IV.-Genus Psorophora.

Our single species is of a yellowish color, usually raried with brown, the bases of the tarsal joints white. It is considerably larger than any of our other species of yellowish or brown mosquitoes:
ciliatus Fabr.
conteriens Walker.
molestus Wied.
? rubidus Dess.

## V.-Genus Megarhinus.

Our three species are among the largest in this family, and are not known to occur north of the District of Columbia. They may be separated as follows:
All tarsi marked with white rutila Coq.
Hind tarsi alone marked with white ............................... . portoricensis Roeder.
None of the tarsi marked with white ............................ . . . . hamorrhoidalis Fabr.

## VI.-Genus Aedes.

Our two species are among the smallest of our mosquitoes, and have a pale brownish ground color. They may be distinguished as follows:
Thorax marked with a median violet blue stripe sapphirimus O.S.
Thorax destitute of such a stripe. fuscus O. S.

