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## PREFACE.

The present work was begun at the end of 1909, during a short course of studies at the University of Vienna. The subject was suggested to me by a remark of Professor Luick's to the effect that he had felt the want of a work of this kind in preparing his Historical English Grammar.

It was my intention at first - as suggested by Professor LuICK - to investigate also the modern dialect forms, in order to check and further develop the results obtained from the ME forms. But I have had to give up this plan, at any rate for the present. As yet there are only a few works dealing with the historical development of individual modern English dialects; and many of the forms here concerned given by EDD and EDGr. are, as far as I can see, impossible to interpret historically without such special investigations for guides. Under these circumstances I have restricted myself for the present to giving modern dialect'forms only on one or two occasions where the correspondence of the ME and the modern forms is quite obvious. - To make up for this curtailment of the original plan I have made my ME material so extensive and my account of it so detailed, that the results obtained may, I trust, be regarded as tolerably reliable.

As to the arrangement of my work (for which see further the Introduction), I give in Chapter I the forms of the indi-
vidual texts, with a short account of what is known about the date and the dialect of each text, and an account of such of its orthographical peculiarities as are especially important for the question in hand. In Chapter II, I present a "Discussion of the ME forms», mainly from the point of view of their connection with corresponding OE forms. Finally in Cliapter III follows a »Survey of OE and ME dialect distinctions» in regard to the groups of words here in question.

I take this opportunity of publicly acknowledging my indebtedness to Professor Kari Luick for the great kindness, personal as well as official, which he showed me during the short time I had the pleasure of attending his lectures at the University of Vienna.

My thanks are also due to my friend Dr G. E. FuhrKEN for his kind assistance in revising the English of the proof-sheets.

Göteborg, February 1912
ARVID GABRIELSON.
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## Phonetic Notation.

$\overline{\mathrm{e}}, \overline{\mathrm{o}}$, and $\bar{e}, \overline{\mathrm{c}}$ denote, respectively, long close e, o, and long open e, o.
$\overline{1}, \bar{e}$, etc.. and $\breve{1}$, ě, etc. denote, respectively, long vowels and short vowels; $\check{1}, \breve{\mathrm{e}}$, etc. denote long a n d short vowels.
$\mathfrak{e}$ denotes the present English vowel regularly representing OE and ME ŭ.

Otherwise it has not been considered necessary to adopt a strictly phonetic notation. But I have as a rule distinguished the written forms and symbols found in the texts from the spoken forms and sounds (i. e. the pronunciation) they represent, by printing the former in italics, the latter in R o m a n type; thus e. g. war-, wor-, OE wor-, woer-, etc. (: written forms) as against war-, wor-, OE wyr-, w er - , etc. (: spoken forms). In order to avoid possible mistakes I have also introduced the symbols $\ddot{u}$, ö for the ME sounds (: written $u$, and eo, o) corresponding, respectively, to OE y (: written $y$ ) and OE œ (written oe).

## Abbreviations and Signs.

For abbreviations relating to texts and other works, see below, Explanation of References. - Of other abbreviations used, the following may be mentioned:

Angl. = Anglian.
EKent. = East Kentish.
EMidl. = East Midland.
Fr. = French.
incl. = including, inclusive of.
Kent. = Kentish.
Lat. = Latin.
$\mathrm{ME}=$ Middle English.
Merc. = Mercian.
Midl. = Midland.
Nhb $=$ Northumbrian.
$\mathrm{O}-(:$ in OAngl., etc. $)=$ Old.
$\mathrm{OE}=$ Old English.
OEMerc. = Old East Mercian.
OEScand. = Old East Scandinavian.
$\mathrm{OHG}=$ Old High German.
OWMerc. = Old West Mercian.
OWScand. = Old West Scandinavian.
prim. $(\mathrm{OE})=$ primitive (Old English).
r. = rime(s), rimed.

Sc. $=$ Scottish.
Scand. = Scandinavian.
Shb $=$ South-Humbrian.

XII
$\mathrm{SW}=$ South-West (cf. § 14, f).
WKent. = West Kentish.
WMidl. = West Midland.
$\mathrm{WS}=$ West-Saxon.
$1=$ "placed before», "followed by".
)( = nas opposed to", "as against».
$\sim=$ „by the side of» (e. g. word $\sim$ wurd).

## Explanation of References.

A. Texts.

AR $=$ The Ancren Riwle, edited by Morton (: § 164).
Ay. = Dan Michel's Ayenbite of Inwit, edited by Morris (: § 231).
$B=$ The Bestiary, edited by Morris (: §87).
$\mathrm{BB}=$ Barbour's Bruce, edited by Skeat (: § 20).
BG1. = The Bede Glosses, edited by Sweet (cf. § I5).
Bok. $=$ Osbern Bokenam's Lives of Saints, edited by Horstmann (: § 97).
$\mathrm{C}=$ MS. C of the Cura Pastoralis (cf. § 15 ).
$\mathrm{Ch} .=$ Chaucer (cf. § 107).
Chr. = The Saxon Chronicle, Parker MS. (cf. § 15).
Chr. = The Saxon Chronicle, A. D. II32-II54, edited by Thorpe (: § 55).
$\mathrm{CM}=$ The Cursor Mundi (MSS. C, E), edited by Morric (: § 37).

DEn. = Gawain Douglas' XIII. Bukes of Eneados, edited by Small (: § 25).
Gaw. $=$ Sir Gawayne and The Greene Knight, edited by Morris (: § 132).
GE $=$ The Genesis and Exodus, edited by Morris (: § 9I).
$\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{MS} . \mathrm{H}$ of the Cura Pastoralis (cf. § 15 ).
KChart. $=$ The Old Kentish Charters, edited by Sweet (cf. § 15 ).
KG1. = The Old Kentish Glosses, edited by Zupitza (cf. § 15).

KGr. = The »Katherine Group» (MS. R), edited by Einenkel \& Cockayne (: § 154).
$\mathrm{KH}=$ The Old Kentish Hymn, edited by Kluge (cf. § I5).
KPs. = The Old Kentith Psalm, edited by Kluge (cf. § 15).
KS $=$ The »Old Kentish Sermons», edited by Morris (: § 227).
$\mathrm{LCh} .=$ The London Charters, etc. (cf. § II5).
Li. = The Lindisfarne Gospels (cf. § 15 ).

Man. $=$ Robert Manning of Brunne (: § 65 ff.) : the Handlyng Synne (HS), edited by Furnivall, and »pe Story of Englande» (St. E.), edited by Furnivall.
Myrc $=$ John Myrc's Instructions for Parish Priests, re-edited by Furnivall (: § I42).
$\mathrm{NG}=$ The Norfolk Gilds, edited by Toulmin Smith (: §77).
NLeg. $=$ The Northern Collection of Legends of Saints, edited by Horstmann (: § 32).
$\mathrm{O}=$ The Orrmulum, edited by R. Holt (: § 59).
OEH $=$ The »Old English Homilies» (MS. Lambeth 487), edited by Morris (: § 190).
ON = The Owl and The Nightingale, edited by Gadow (: § 200).

Or. $=$ King Alfred's Orosius (cf. § 15 ).
$\operatorname{PM}(\mathrm{D})=$ The Poema Morale, MS. D, edited by Zupitza (: § 223).
$\operatorname{PM}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\text {}}\right)=$ The Poema Morale, MS. L, edited by Morris (: § 150).
$\mathrm{PP}=$ The Promptorium Parvulorum, edited by Way (: § 82).
Procl. $=$ The Proclamation of Henry III., A. D. 1258 (cf. § 104).

Prose Ps. = The Earliest Complete Prose Psalter, edited by Bülbring (: § I37).
Ps. = The Early English Psalter (in Verse), edited by Ste venson (: § 45).
RG1. = Robert of Gloucester's Chronicle (: § 206).
Ri. = The Durham Ritual (cf. § I5).

RRPr. = Richard Rolle de Hampole : The Pricke of Conscience (MSS. C, H), edited by Morris (: § 50).
$\mathrm{Ru}^{1}$. = The »Rushworth ${ }^{1}$ » (cf. § 15).
$\mathrm{Ru}^{2} .=$ The »Rushworth ${ }^{2}$ ) (cf. § 15).
Sc. Ch. $=$ Scottish Charters A. D. 1385-I440 (cf. § 16).
Sho. = The Poems of William of Shoreham, edited by Konrath (: § 237).
Tit. = MS. Cotton Titus D. 18, British Museum (: § 173 ff). VPs. $=$ The Vespasian Psalter (cf. § 15 ).
VV $=$ The Vices and Virtues, edited by Holthausen (: § 217).
WFr. $=$ The Worcester Fragment, edited by Phillipps (:§ I85).
Winch. = The Usages of Winchester, edited by Toulmin Smith (: § 2I3).
B. Other works (: for works relating to the individual texts, see further below, Chapter I).

Anglia $=$ Anglia. Zeitschrift für englische Philologie.
Archiv $=$ Archiv für das Studium der neueren Sprachen und Litteraturen.
Björkman $=\mathrm{E}$. Björkman : Scandinavian Loan-Words in Middle English. I, II. Halle a. S. I900, 1902 (Morsbach Studien VII, XI).
Bo. $\mathrm{Btr}=$ Bonner Beiträge zur Anglistik. Herausgegeben von Prof. Dr. M. Trautmann.
Bülbr(ING) El. = K. D. Bülbring : Altenglisches Elementarbuch. I. Lautlehre. Heidelberg 1902.
Cook Glossary $=$ Albert S. Cook : A Glossary of the Old Northumbrian Gospels (Lindisfarne Gospels or Durham Book). Halle 1894.
Cosijn (Altwestsächs. Gr.) = P. J. Cosijn : Altwestsächsische Grammatik. I, II. Haag 1883, 1886.
$\mathrm{EDD}=$ The English Dialect Dictionary. Edited by Joseph Wright. London 1896-1905.

EDGr. = The English Dialect Grammar. By J. Wright. Oxford etc. 1905.
EETS. $=$ The Publications of the Early English Text Society. London 1864 ff .
Eilers $=$ F. Eilers : Die Dehnung vor Dehnenden Konsonantenverbindungen im Mittelenglischen. Halle a. S. 1907 (Morsbach Studien XXVI).
E.St. = Englische Studien. Organ für englische Philologie, etc.
Foley $=$ Emily H. Foley: The Language of the Northumbrian Gloss to the Gospel of Saint Matthew. Part I. Phonology. New York 1903 (Yale Studies in English XIV).

Grimm Glossar $=$ C. Grimm : Glossar zum Vespasian-Psalter und den Hymnen. Heidelberg 1906 (Anglistische Forschungen 18).
GRM $=$ Germanisch-Romanische Monatsschrift. Heidelberg 1909 ff .
Horn Gr. = W. Hoin : Historische neuenglische Grammatik I. Strassburg 1908.

Kluge Vorgeschichte $=$ F. Kluge : Vorgeschichte der altgermanischen Dialekte. Strassburg 1889 (Paul's Grundriss I: V, 2 ).
Körting Grundriss $=$ Gustav Körting : Grundriss der Geschichte der englischen Literatur. Fünfte. . Auflage. Münster i. W. Igio.
Lindelöf Glossar $=$ U. Lindelöf : Glossar zur altnordhumbrischen Evangelienübersetzung (die sogenannte Rushworth ${ }^{2}$ ). Helsingfors 1897 (Acta Soc. Scient. Fennicæ, Tom. XXII, No. 5).
Lindelöf Wörterbuch $=$ U. Lindelöf : Wörterbuch zur Interlinearglosse des Rituale Ecclesiæ Dunelmensis. Bonn Igor (Bo. Btr IX).

Luhmann $=\mathrm{A}$. Luhmann : Die Überlieferung von Lajamons Brut, etc. Halle a. S. 1905 (Morsbach Studien XXII).
Lurck St. $=\mathrm{K}$. Luick : Studien zur englischen Lautgeschichte. Wien etc. 1903. (Wiener Beiträge zur englischen Philologie XVII).
Lutck $\mathrm{U} .=\mathrm{K}$. Luick : Untersuchungen zur englischen Lautgeschichte. Strassburg 1896.
Mařik $=$ J. Mařik: w-Schwund im Mittel- und Frühneuenglischen. Wien etc. 1910 (Wiener Beiträge zur englischen Philologie XXXIII).
MÄtzner Sprachproben $=\mathrm{Ed}$. Mätzner : Altenglische Sprachproben nebst einem Wörterbuche. Beslin 1867 ff .
$\operatorname{Morsb}(\mathbf{A C H})$ Gr. $=\mathrm{L}$. Morsbach : Mittelenglische Grammatik I. Halle a. S. 1896.
Morsb(ACH) Schriftsprache $=$ L. Morsbach: Über den Ursprung der neuenglischen Scbriftsprache. Heilbronn 1888.
Morsbach Studien $=$ Studien zu1 englischen Philologie. Herausgegeben von Lorenz Morsbach.
Murray Dialect $=$ James A. H. Murray: The Dialect of the Southern Counties of Scotland. London 1873 (Transactions of the Pbilological Society 1870-1872.)
Mutschmann $=\mathrm{H}$. Mutschmann : A Phonology of the NorthEastern Scotch Dialect. Bonn 1909 (Bonner Studien zur englischen Philologie I).
NED = A New English Dictionary, etc. Edited by James A. H. Murray. Oxford 1884 ff .

Noreen Altisl. Gr. = A. Noreen : Altisländische und altnorwegische Grammatik. Dritte ... Auflage. Halle 1903.
Noreen Altschwed. G1. = A. Noreen: Altschwedische Grammatik. Halle 1904.
Noreen Urg. Lautl. = A. Noreen: Abriss der urgermanischen Lautlehre. Strassburg 1894.
PBB. $=\mathrm{H}$. Paul \& W. Braune : Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Litteratur.

QF $=$ Quellen und Forschungen zur Sprach- und Culturgeschichte der germanischen Völker.
Reimann $=$ Max Reimann: Die Sprache der mittelenglischen Evangelien (Codd. Royal I A I4 und Hatton 38). Berlin 1883.

Schulte Glossar = E. Schulte: Glossar zu Farmans Anteil an der Rushworth-Glosse (Rushworth I). Bonn 1904.
Sievers Gr. = E. Sievers : Angelsächsische Grammatik. Dritte Auflage. Halle 1898.
Skeat Et. Dicc. = W. W. Skeat: An Etymological Dictionary of the English Language. Fourth Edition. Oxford 1910.

Skeat The Holy Gospels, etc. = W. W. Skeat: The Holy Gospels in Anglo-Saxon, Northumbrian, and Old Mercian Versions. . Cambridge 1871-1887.
Stratm.-Bradley $=$ A Middle English Dictionary. . . by F. H. Stratmann. A New Edition . . . by Henry Bradley. Oxford 1891.
Sweet Dict. $=$ H. Sweet: The Student's Dictionary of Ang-lo-Saxon. Oxford 1895.
Sweet HES $=$ H. Sweet: A History of English Sounds. Oxford 1888.
Sweet OET $=$ The Oldest English Texts. Edited by H. Sweet. London 1885 (EETS., Original Series 83).
ZfdA $=$ Zeitschrift für deutsches Altertum und deutsche Litteratur.

## INTRODUCTION.

The active influence of w on a following diphthong or I single vowel in OE is of three kinds (cf. Bülbring El. §§ 261-283): A. $\mathrm{w}+\breve{1}>\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ (after the negative $n e, n i^{1}$ ); B. wĭu, wĕo, wĕa (< wĕ), wĕor, wĭer, > wŭ, wó, $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{a}} \& \mathrm{w} \breve{æ}, \mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}$, respectively (in different dialects and under different conditions); C. w $\overline{1}, w \breve{\bar{e}}, \mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{wŏr},>\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}}$, w 厄ее (: in Nhb), w ŭ $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) (: in WS), w u r (: in WS), respectively. - In such forms as was imp., hwelc pron., mentioned by Bülbring (El. §§ 92 Anm. I, 168 Anm. 2) in this connection, I do not consider the $-\infty$ - as due to the influence of w (cf. Anglia, Beiblatt XXI, p. 208 ff. ${ }^{3}$ ); and the -oe- in cwood pt., hwoedre (cf. Sievers Gr. § 156, I) I regard with Bülbring (Anglia Beiblatt X, p. 368 ff .) as developed from $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$, not from $\check{\text { æ. }}$

It is the representation in the ME dialects of this ac-2 tive OE w-influence, as classified by Bülbring El. §§

[^0]26I-283, that is the subject of the present work. I have not included the passive influence of $w$ in prim. OE wăt + cons. (cf. Bülbring El. § I32 c), which besides being very sporadic in OE is in most cases not to be traced in ME, where both OE - ĕar- and OE - ă 1 generally appear as -ar-.

3 I have recorded in the following not only those ME forms which derive from OE w-modified forms of this kind (e. g. ME sword), but also such ME forms as derive from OE, nonmodified variants of the same words (e. g. ME swerd); the latter forms constituting of course the necessary back-ground to the former ones. Further, as this w-influence appears with great irregularity in the OE texts, presenting many unexplained differences not only as between the different dialects but also as between phonetically analogous words within one and the same dialect, I have considered it necessary to record not only the ME words of which OE w-modified forms are actually known, but (with the exceptions stated below, §§ 8, 10, I2, I3) all ME forms of OE words that could be liable, in one dialect or the other, to a w-influence such as is referred to above, §§ I, 2. I have also included in my material some ME words not actually found in OE, even (for the sake of comparison) a few that do not seem to be native words, but are probably loans from Old Scandinavian (cf. B I, 2, 4, below) and from Old French (: werre etc. „warn; cf. C 2 , below).

I have divided my material into three classes, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, mainly in accordance with Bülbring's three groups as referred to above, § I. However, in order to ensure a uniform arrangement of the different ME dialect forms I have made my class $B$ include all ME words which in OE, in any dialect, fulfilled the conditions that in one dialect or the other were the starting-point of a w-influence of the kind
treated in Bülbring's group B (i. e. w + diphthong $>\mathrm{w}+$ monophthong). Consequently my class C contains only words whose vowel was in no OE dialect liable to a diphthongization that might be the basis of a w-influence of this kind.

This classification is evidently based on prim. OE conditions, and consequently my classes A, B, C may be defined as follows:
A. Prim. OE $n e+w \check{1}>\mathrm{n} \breve{\mathrm{y}}$.
B. Prim. OE $w+$ vowel liable to breaking or $u / 0-u m-$ laut (i. e. as far as the results of breaking and $\mathfrak{u} / 0$-umlaut could be the basis of a w-influence such as is treated in Bül. bring's group B, cf. above § I).
C. Prim. OE w + vowel not liable (in any dialect) to breaking or u/o-umlaut (i. e. as far as the vowel is susceptible of a w-influence of the kind belonging to BülBRING's group C, cf. above § I).

Class A only consists in OE of the verbs witan, willan; other verbs with $w 1$ - show no contraction with ne, ni. The ME conditions are quite the same, as far as my material goes; so I have not considered it necessary to record ME cas-1 es of non-contracted forms of $n e+$ verbs with w $\check{1}$ - .

In class B we get the following sub-division:
 Wiht n. pr. "Isle of Wight», Wihtleg n. pr., bitwihe (: in OE with $\overline{1}$ and $\breve{1}<\overline{1}$; cf. Bülbring El. §454), betwix, $-x$ t etc. $^{2}$ ), fulluht s.; cf. the probably Scand. wiht adj. ( : § 255).

[^1]I have disregarded such forms as ME betwen, hwel s. nwheeln, etc. (with -h- dropped in pre-literary OE), because the OE diphthong in these words was invariably 10 ng and consequently not liable to a monophthongization through w-.
2. Prim. OE wĭr + cons.: (?) 3 sg. pres. ind. of wurden v. (: Kent. -e-form; cf. below B 4), wur de adj. „worth» (: Kent. - - -form; cf. below B 4), wuröschipe s. \& v. (: Northern \& Mid1. - $i-\&-y$-form; cf. below B 4); wurs comp., wursien v. [cf. the Scand. werre comp. \& v.], wurst sup., wurte s. "ciromellum»; further swire s. "neck» (§ 266; cf. also below B 4, sweore). Cf. the probably Scand. hwirl, -en s. \& v., sqwirtel s., and (?) swirl s. \& v.

Here should also belong ME forms derived from OMerc. wircan v. "work» (: Bülbr. El. § 262 Anm.); further perhaps, ME forms deriving from OKent. wercan, though this latter form may be derived with equal right from prim. OE wh̆ r- + i-umlaut (: Bülbr. El. § 263 Anm. I). For practical reasons I have however given not only the Kent. but also the Mid1. forms of wurchen v . under group C 3 (cf. below), where the Northern and the Southern forms of the word belong; especially since the Mid1. (wir- \& wyr-)forms do not allow of a decision as to how far they really derive from OMerc. wir - and not from the OMerc. variant wyr-, which, though rare in VPs. (: one case, wyrctun pl. pt. ~ numerous wir- ), is the usual form in $\mathrm{Ru}^{1}$. (: 18 wyr- $\sim 5$ wir- $)$.
3. Prim. OE w + i liable to $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{o}$-umlaut: rude s ., widewe s., cude s., suster s., sutel etc. adj. \& v., uten »let us», *tuwe »twice", welk s., 3e- dwimor s., swiper adj. etc., swepe s. "whip, scourge», hwide s. "breeze», witen pl. pt. "went\#, $(-)$ wite s. none who knows», witen $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ v. ॥know", "guard», witien

[^2]v. *keep, guard» (: often not to be distinguished from witen); wike s. "week", Wirechestre n. pr., swike s. m., swike adj., swikel adj., swiken pl. pt., swikien v., twi(3)es adv. "twicen, cwic etc. adj. \& v.

To this group belongs further the pl. form of twig s. (OE neutr. a-stem); though this form, as far as I know, has only -i- in OE texts (the - i - being due in some dialects to phonetic laws, in others to the vowel of the sg. form having been generalized). As far as the form occurs in our ME texts it has constantly (except for the solitary tuyegges in Ay., cf. § 232) the same vowel (: 1 , written $-i-,-y-)$ as the sg. form; so I have not considered it necessary to record the word in the following.

Here should also be mentioned the ME form hweonne "whence» ( : with -eo- < heonne "hence»); cf. § 157.
4. Prim. OE w ĕ $\mathrm{I}+$ cons. : wurden v ., wurd s. \& adj., 9 etc. [incl. -wur (in place-names), wordi3 s. "prædium», wursted s., which could also be counted to $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ : prim. OE w or $\mathrm{r}^{1}$ )], sweord s., weorpen v., cwerne s., werve s. "horse", swerven v., weorc s. (\& v.), dwer3 s., further (cf. § 313 f.) sweore s. "neck" (~ swire, B 2, above). - Cf. (?) hworvel s. ॥whorl», and the probably Scand. cwerkin v., prevt adv., quert s. \& adj., werre comp.

I also give under this heading those forms of $2 \& 3 \mathrm{sg}$. pres. ind. of wurden v . and those forms of wurde adj. whose

1) Prim. OE $w \stackrel{\cup}{r}$ - is necessarily presupposed by the (exclusive) OMerc. wor-forms [: wor digna, -ignum pl. platea* VPs. (3), worpum, -ana pl. platea $\mathrm{Ru}^{1}$. (2), and probably tomewordig n. pr. in the "Mer-cian-Kentish* Charter No. 50 in SwEET, OETl, and perhaps by wor dig s. ( r case) in the early OWS MS. H of Cura Pastoralis. On the other hand, prim. OE w e r- is presupposed by the form weordig s., found (once) in the early OWS MS. C of Cura Pastoralis and (beside wir-, wier-, wyr-, wur-) frequently in late OWS texts (cf. Bosw.-TOLLER). - The (exclusive) form word s . in the ONhb texts (Ri., Li., $\mathrm{Ru}^{2}$.) may derive from prim. OE w o r- or prim. OE w e r-. - I have found no OKent. cases.
(stressed) vowel (written -u-, -0-) may be derived, phonetically, from (the analogical) prim. OE wer + cons. as well as from (the regular) prim. OE wir + cons. (cf. above B 2). Cf. further on this question below $\S 268 \mathrm{ff}$.
5. Prim. OE w + ĕ liable to u/o-umlaut : weorld s., weored s., sweoli s. "cauma", wele s., weli adj., weler s. "lip», dweole, -ed s . (and bedweolien v ., if a ME or late OE denominative), swevet s., hwezel s.

Here further belong the pl. forms of wer s. "man», wei s. "way»; and some present-stem forms of we3en "weigh", weven »weave», cwelen »die», cwè̇en »speak» (all with prim. OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ ), perhaps also dwelien v. nert» (: prim. OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$, or $\breve{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{i}$ umlaut? Cf. bedweolien v.). As however none of these forms show any w -modification in our ME texts, and as besides these forms are especially apt already in OE to adopt the e-vowel of regularly non-modified forms, I have not recorded them in the following, in Chapter I. All cases of interest are however given, and the forms generally discussed, in Chapter II, § 317.
II 6. Prim. OE $w+\breve{a} / r+$ cons. liable to $i$-umlaut: werien v . "curse», wernen v . "refuse», werden v . "harm», werk s., -en v. »doleo» etc., hwerfen v., (?) wermpe s. (cf. § 194), and in some cases cwerne (cf. §310).

Here also belongs ME wermen v. (~warmen); but since all the ME texts I have investigated only present non-umlauted forms (probably <warm adj.), I have not recorded this word in the following.
I2 Note (to §§ 5-II). I have left out of consideration the scantily exemplified $O E$ diphthongization of prim. $O E$ 1, ě through breaking / 11 and $1+$ cons. (: BüLbr. El. § I35 ff.) and the diphthongization through $u / 0-u m l a u t$ of $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{e}}$ $<\breve{a}+i$-umlaut (: Bülbr. El. § 233), as well as (except for suster etc. s.) the rare OE cases of $\mathfrak{u} / \mathrm{o}$-umlaut / double con-
sonants and consonant groups (: Bülbr. E1. § 244 ff. ). All words of these kinds I have included with class C.

In class C we get the following sub-division:

1. Prim. OE w $\underset{1}{1}$. My material is exhaustive only in the case of wil etc. pres., hwilc, swilc, witman,-men sg. \& pl.; in the case of other words I give full lists of such forms only as do not present the regular $\overline{1}$-vowel.
2. Prim. OE w $\overline{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{w} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ (> OAngl. and OKent. w $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ ) wă +i -umlaut. - Cf. also worsling nwrestling» in DEn., and the OFr. werre etc. s. \& v. - My material is exhaustive only as regards forms which show an OE or ME w-influence.
3. Prim. OE w $+\breve{\mathrm{u}} / \mathrm{r}$ ( + cons.) liable to i-umlaut: wurde s., andwurden v. , wurm s., wurp s., wursum s., wurt s., wur 3en v., wurchen v. (cf. B 2, above), wurht, -e s.
4. Prim. OE w ŏ $\mathrm{I}+$ cons.: word s., worden pp., worpen pp., worht(e) pt. \& pp.

The ME texts from which I have collected my material I4 are as follows:
a) Northern (incl. Scottish) texts: I. Scottish Charters (of A. D. I385-I440), II. Barbour's Bruce, III. Gawain Douglas' XIII. Bukes of Eneados; IV. the Northern Legends of Saints, V. the Cursor Mundi, VI.the Psalter (in verse), VII. R. Rolle's Pricke of Conseience.
b) East Midland texts: I the Saxon Chronicle (A D. II32-II54), II. the Orrmulum, III. Rob. Manning of Brunne's Handling Synne, and Story of Englande, IV. the Norfolk Gilds (of A. D. I389), V. the Promptorium Parvulorum, VI.the Bestiary, VII.the Genesis and Exodus, VIII. Bokenam's Lives of Saints.
c) London texts: I. the Proclamation of Henry III. (A. D. I258), II. Chaucer's Canterbury Tales, III. London Charters etc.
d) West Midland texts: I. Sir Gawayne and the Greene Knight, II.the Prose Psalter, III. Myrc's Instructions for Parish Priests.
e) 1 S axon-Mercian» texts (cf. § 147 ff.): I. the Poema Morale (MS. L), II. the Katherine Group (MS. R), III. the Ancren Riwle (Morton's edition), IV. MS. Cotton Titus D. I8.
f) Texts of the Western and Middle South (referred to for short as SW texts in the following): I. the Worcester Fragment, II. the Old English Homilies (Lambeth MS.), III. the Owle and the Nightingale, IV. Robert of Gloucester's Chronicle, V. the Usages of Winchester.
g) Kentish texts: I. the Vices and Virtues, II. the Poema Morale (MS. D), III. the Old KentishSermons, IV. the Ayenbite of Inwyt, V. Shoreham's Poems.

These texts include practically all the earliest specimens known of the language of each ME dialect. The only important exceptions are the two 12 th century Kent. MSS. of the Gospels (published by Skeat: The Holy Gospels etc. Cambridge 187r-1887); these I have omitted mainly because they are transcribed, the one directly, the other indirectly, from a late OWS MS. (: cf. Skeat 1. c., Preface to St. Luke), which fact has to a considerable extent influenced the language of these MSS. (cf. Reimann pp. 6, 8).

15 In many cases it has been found necessary, or else useful, to give a more detailed account of the OE forms than that found in Bülbring's Elementarbuch. The OE texts - or in most cases, the glossaries etc. of them - to which I have had recourse in such cases are, unless otherwise stated, the following:

ONhb texts: the Durham Ritual (according to U. Lindelöf, Wörterbuch), the Lindisfarne Gospels (according to Cook, Glossary), the "Rushworth"» [i. e. the

ONhb gloss to St. Luke and, mainly, to St. Mark and St. John] (according to Lindelöf, Glossar).

O Merc.texts: the Vespasian Psalter (according to Grimm, Glossar), the "Rushworth ${ }^{1}$ " [i. e. the OMerc. gloss to St. Matthew, and partly to St. Mark and St. John] (according to Schulte, Glossar).

OWS texts: King Alfred's Cura Pastoralis (MSS. H \& C), King Alfreds's Orosius (Lauderdale text), and the earliest part (up to A. D. 891) of the Parker MS. of the Saxon Chronicle [all these according to Cosijn, Altwestsächs. Gram.]; MSS. Cp (Corpus Christi College, Cambridge) and A (Cambridge Univ. Library) of the West-Saxon Gospels (as edited by Skeat : The Holy Gospels, etc. Cambridge 187 I -1887).

O Kent. texts: Old Kentish Charters [as published by Sweet, OET pp. 427-429 (Nos. 4-8: 7th \& 8th centuries), 441-45I (Nos. 33-34 : 9th century)]; the Bede Glosses (as published by SwEET op. cit. pp. 179-182); and the specimens of late OKent. language found in MS. Cotton Vesp. D. 6, viz. the Glosses (as published by Zupirza, ZfdA XXI, I f.; XXXII 223 ff .), the Hymn, and the Paraphrase of the 5ist Psalm (both as published in Kluge's Angelsächs. Lesebuch ${ }^{3}$, 1902, p. 115 ff.).

## CHAPTER I.

## 16 The forms of individual ME texts.

a. Northern (incl. Scottish) texts.

## I. Scottish Charters (A. D. 1385-1440).

The material given below has been collected from the Scottish Charters investigated by A. Ackermann (: Die Sprache der ältesten schottischen Urkunden. Diss. Göttingen 1897), as enumerated by him op. cit. p. 4 ff .
[The variation $w \sim u \sim v($ for $w)$ is as a rule not recorded in the following].
A. No cases.
B.
I. betwix, -wyx 1393 a, I393 b (2), I398 b, etc. (I4 cases); betuixt 1388; ~ betwex I40I, I4I9, I423 c, I425 c, I438 b, I439 a (3), I440 c.
2. No cases. - For worth etc. s. \& adj., see B 4.
3. wode s. I388 (2); (?) Walwod n. pr. I437. - woke »week» 1428, woik 1428, ~ -ow- 1398 b (2). - [wodwit 1438 b, if $=$ "widowhood", is no doubt miswritten for widwot (cf. wydewood PP, wyderwod Bok.)].
wit, wyte inf. I400, $1401(3), 1405(2)$; wit, wyt pl. imp. 1412 b, I4I6, etc. ( 13 cases) [wit, wytt imp. sg., pres. opt. 1420 $\mathrm{ab}, \mathrm{ac}, 1423 \mathrm{~b}$, etc.]. sister $1400,1408 \mathrm{a}, 1415(2), 1439 \mathrm{c}$.
4. Here may be given (cf. § 9) wor(s)chip etc. s., -ful etc. adj. I405(2), I4I8 a, 1425 d (2), I428; worth, $-y$ s. \& adj. 1387, $1408 \mathrm{a}(2)$, etc. (8) ~ wrth s. 1393 a.; Iedeworth n. pr. 1425 a (2), 1425 b; (?) Fylorth n. pr. 1413 [~ lockerwar すे n. pr. $1440 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{cf}$. § 312].
(-)werk, -e s. 1387 (9), I398 b (2), I399, I4I8 a (2); New Werk n. pr. 1423a.
5. 6. No cases.

## C.

I. woman sg. I434 a (2), I439 c, I440 d; women pl. I43I bb [ $\sim$ wemen pl. 1406 b: probably with $\bar{e}<\breve{1}$ in open syllable, cf. Luice St. p. 42 ]. owtuth (< out + with) I398 a, 1398 b; enovth (< in + with ) 1398 b . - Otherwise no w-influence: cf. e. g. wil(l) pres., qw-, whilk, -lc, etc. $\sim-y$ - pron., swylk, -lc, swilk 1398 a, 1400, 1405, 1439 b ~ sylk $1385 \sim$ sik, sic, syk I397, etc. (numerous).
2. twonty I 424 [ ~ twenty]. - Otherwise no w-influence.
3. No cases. - Cf. Wrycht n. pr. 1432.
4. word, ee s. I40I, I439 c.

Note.
w $\overline{1}$ is very often written woy -
Original OE w u appears as $w u$ - in Wulf n. pr. I43Ic $\sim$ Wolf, -e n. pr. 1425 a, 1448; otherwise only as wo-: wol, -lys s. I389(2), I398; wonnyn pp. 1423b, I424, I436; wont adj. I388, 1398 a, $1417,1423 \mathrm{c}$.

Original OE wo o appeas only as wo-: cf. ( 4; further sworn(e), sworyn pp. 1398a (4), I405, etc. - wald, -e pt. r40I(3), 1405(2) etc. (I4 cases) derives from OE w a - : cf. below § 3 II.

Edited by W. W. Skeat: EETS., Extra Series II, 21, 29, 55 (London 1870-1889), mainly from two MSS., viz. the Edinburgh MS., of A. D. 1489 (: mainly Books I-IV,56; forms taken from this MS. are given in square brackets in the following) and MS. G. 23 in the Library of St. John's College, Cambridge, of A. D. 1487 (: mainly Books IV,57XX).

The poem was composed about A. D. 1375, by John Barbour, Archdeacon of Aberdeen. The author was probably a Scotchman born, perhaps even a native of Aberdeen; according to Skeat, Preface p. XXXV nthe guess that he was born at Aberdeen is certainly probable, but rests on no evidence». His language, as it appears in the rimes, belongs to the Northern dialect common in those days to the North of England and the Anglicized parts of Scotland; while the (more than a century later) MSS. printed by Skeat present a great number of later, distinctly Scottish forms.
[The Cambridge MS. generally uses $v$ for inital $w$; I have as a rule disregarded this peculiarity in the following].
A. No cases.
B.
I. betwix $\sim-y$ - [ I/8I, 262, 3/109, etc.]; 4/240, 245, 6/21I, etc. wicht $\sim-y$-adj. [I/22, 370, etc.]; 4/60, IIO, 534 (: mycht), etc. - Cf. oucht, owcht pron. [1/251, etc.]; 6/63, etc.; nocht pron. \& adv. [I/2, 36, etc.]; 4/IIO, II8, etc.

For wecht s. „weight" 17/693, see § 256.
2. redis swyr n. pr. (< OE swira?) $17 / \mathrm{I} 3$.
werst sup. [3/192]. - Cf. the Scand. wer comp. [r/269, 3/302 (: fer), 4/22]; 9/159; ~ war 13/219.

For worthis 3 sg . pres. ind. (of OE weordan), worth s. \& adj., etc., see B4.
3. wod(e), -syde, woddis (pl.), woddy (adj.) 4/492, 5/56 I , 6/670, 7/r, 3, 5, etc. (32); torwode n. pr. Ir/210, 13/55I; ~ woud s. [2/304]; 7/613.
owk, -is „week" 9/359, 14/132, 15/101 (: tuk pt.); cf. § 290.
twis, twyss »twice» [3/242]; 12/176 (: vis s.), 14/323. - wit, wyt inf., pl. imp. [r/238 (: it), 2/2, etc.]; 2/217, 651, 673, etc. systir, sister [I/5I, 557]; 4/487, 9/732, 13/485, 20/4I.
4. worth inf., pres. opt., -it pp. (OE weordan) [I/I94, 515, 22 3/322]; 4/194, 244, 7/177, etc. (in the whole text II cases); further (or to B 2, cf. § 9) worthis 3 sg. pres. ind. 7/174. Here may also be given (cf. § 9) worschip, -e s. [1/467, 519, 54I; etc.]; $5 / \mathrm{I} 74,364,6 / 325$, etc. (in the whole text 48 cases); worth, $-y$, -ier, -iest, -ihede, etc. [I/30, 86, etc. (26 cases)]; 4/91, 144, 16I, etc. (numerous); ~ stalward, -rt, -rdly (only form) $[\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I} 9$, etc. (8)], $4 / 80,186,476,749,5 / 458$, etc. (numerous); Iedworth, Gedworth n. pr. 8/427, 10/344, 504 ~ Iedward 16/363 (for these -wa-forms, see § 312).
sword 7/591 (: word s.) ~ swerd etc.• $2 / \mathrm{I} 39,363,3 / \mathrm{I} 37$, 19/540]; 5/350, 375, 605, 606, etc. (in the whole text 34 cases).
warpit pt. \& pp. „threw», "thrown» [3/642]; 11/602 (cf. § 3II).

For ourthwort adv. 8/172, see § 308 .
5. warld etc. [I/I28, 240, 404, 531]; 4/I49, 644, II/I34, 144, 19/704.
6. For war yit pt. 7/228; and warn, -yt v., -yng s. [2/137]; 4/392, 16/260, 18/332; see below § 331.

## C.

I. woman sg. [r/64, 3/445]; 4/470, 7/535, etc. (in the whole text 8 cases); women pl. 5/542, 16/284, 521, 17/820 (~ wemen pl. [2/531, 548, 3/518, 734]: with ē < ı̌; cf. Lurck St. p. 42). swome inf. „swim» [3/431] (~ swymmyng [3/433]). Otherwise no w-influence: cf. e. g. will pres. sg. \& pl.
[I/I22, I54, etc.]; 4/230, etc.; quhilk pron. [I/77]; 9/656, II/452, I8/225; swylk, swilk [I/85, IOI, etc.]; 7/364~sic, syk [I/77, 26I, etc.]; 4/I59, 224, etc.; wyst, wist pl.; swyth, swith adv.
2. No w-influence. Cf. e. g. swelt pt. »died», twelf, wem s., wemmen etc. v .
3. wyrk, wirk v. $[2 / \mathrm{II} 7,237]$; 4/700, 702, 744, etc. (in the whole text 12 cases).
we(i)rd s. $[2 / 329,3 / 390] ; 4 / \mathrm{I} 48, \mathrm{II} / 50, \mathrm{I} 8 / 46$.
4. word etc. s. [I/I45, 2/78, etc.]; $5 / \mathrm{I} 60,2 \mathrm{II}, 602$, etc. (numerous; incl. the rime worde: herfurde n. pr. 13/67r); ~ wourdis s. pl. 9/752, 18/5I5.
worthyn pp. [4/606]; 4/737, I3/285, I9/I62. - Cf. wro(u)cht pt. \& pp. [I/94, 405, etc.]; 5/IOI, etc.

24 Note.
$\mathrm{w} \overline{\overline{1}}$ is of $1 . n$ witten $w y$-.
Original OE w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ appears as wo- and (especially /nd) as wou-: volfs. 6/470; won, -nnen, -nnyn pp. [2/I90], 7/609, IO/I93, etc.; wonnand pres. p., -yng s. [3/I, etc.]; 5/I77, etc.; wone adj. [3/68 (:sone s.)]; 4/246 (: sone s.); wont adj. [3/582]; 4/263, Io/I29; wonder etc. s. \& v. [I/296, 323,etc. (6)]; 8/45I, Io/3II, etc. (I2); ~ wount adj. [I/220]; 5/565, 574, etc. (together 8 cases); wounder etc. s. \& v. 4/I30, 233, 674, etc. (numerous); wound etc. s. \& v. [2/35I, 360, 3/I8, 23]; 4/94, 97, etc. (numerous; incl. the rime : ground s. I6/I66).

Original OE w ŏ appears as wo- and (rarely) as wou-: cf. C 4; further sworn pp. [3/96, 135 (:beforn)]; 7/266. - For wald pt. (only form) [I/II, 49, etc.]; 4/95 (: hald), I38, etc., cf. § 3 II.

## III. Gawain Douglas : The XIII. Bukes of Eneados 25 (Elphynstoun MS.).

Edited by John Small: The Poetical Works of Gavin Douglas, Bishop of Dunkeld; voll. II-IV. Edinburgh I874. - Besides the Elphynstoun MS. (written some time between 15 I3, in which year the translation was finished, and I527; cf. Small vol. I p. CLXXIII f., Luick St. p. IO4), SmaLL's edition also contains (in vol. II, pp. 279-295) a "Comment" written on the margin of MS. C [i. e. the Cambridge MS., executed about 1525 (cf. Small vol. I p. CLXXII f.) and printed by George Dundas for the Bannatyne Club, I839], according to Small (vol. I p. 318) very probably in the author's own hand.

Gawain Douglas was a Scotchman; and his language (as it appears in his rimes; cf. H. Gehrken: Die Sprache des Bischofs Douglas von Dunkeld. Diss. Strassburg 1898) as well as that of the Elphynstoun MS. have the main characteristics of the Scottish variety of the Northern English dialect.

The present investigation is mainly based on Smali's vol. II (i. e. Books I-V of the »Eneados», and the "Comments); the forms and rimes quoted from voll. III \& IV (Books VI-XIII) are taken from Small's Glossary (in vol. IV) and (in a few cases) from Gehrken's dissertation.
[N ote : e. g. IV $108 / 5$ means vol. IV, page Io8, line 5 ; e. g. I50/io means page I50, line Io, of vol. II.; e. g. 212 refers to the heading on p. 212 (of vol. II); e. g. C 290 refers to p. 290 of the "Comment» (in vol. II)].
A. No cases. B.
I. betuix $4 / 5,6,7,8$, I5/I7, etc., C 280, etc.; ~ $-y-C$ 290; betwixt, -ui- 18/32, 34/12, 167/2, etc. wicht, -y- s. (OE wiht) 8/5, II/27, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes : mycht,
slycht, etc.). [Cf. ocht pron. e.g. 200/I (: thocht), nocht e.g. IV 27/I (: docht)]. wicht $\sim-y$ - adj. "brave" 45/II (: on hicht), 59/16 (: mycht), etc.

For wecht, -y s. \& adj. „weight, -y" 25/23, 42/3, (:hecht pt.), 57/32, etc., see § 256.
2. wirs(c)hep, -ip etc. s. \& v. I3/24, 47/23, 53/23, etc. (28); C 290, etc. [~ wor - ; for this form and worth adj., etc., see B 4].
swyre s. »neck» I84/30 (: fyres.), III 55/5 [~ swair, see B 4].
quhirle, - is etc. V. 26/32, 29/I, 83/I, I54/I4 (: thirlit pp.), 259/2; ~ quherle III 108/6 (for the $-e$-, cf. C 2).
swirl s. I54/9; ~ sworlis s. pl. III 217/20; sworling pres. p. III I56/6.
wers comp. I3/I3, I8/28, 30, I27/6, III 42/32. - Cf. the Scand. war and war comp. III I73/25; warris pres. ind. 232/32. 27 3. wod, -e, -(d)is, wody s. \& adj. I9/I4, 3I/I7, etc. (3I), C 286, etc., III 29/I2.
quik etc. $\sim-y$ - 3/II, 206/2, 269/4, C 290. twis $(e) \sim-y$-»twice» I2/2I, 43/9, etc., C 292. - wit inf. 42/22 (: 3it), 267/25 (: pit s.). sister, - ir etc. s. 23/15, 24/32, etc. (numerous), C 28I, etc.
swippir adj. III 28/24 ~ swepyrly adv. III 2 I3/8.
wedowheid s. I76/II.
4. worth (of OE weordan) pres. opt. (or ind. ?) II6/21, 235/26; further (or to B 2, cf. § 9) worthis 3 sg . pres. ind. I72/24. - Here may also be given (cf. § 9) worschep, - ip s. \& V. I46/I, I47/I6, I52/2, I95/2 (~wir-, see B 2), worth, -y, -ie adj., -yast sup., -iheid s. 7/I3, II/25, I2/5, etc. (32; incl. wordy 49/I5); ~ wourthy 266/I7 (< w oI-; cf. wourd C 4) [~ stalwart 28/5, 34/20, etc. (8; only form), see §3I2].
sword, -is s. II7/4, III I46/II (: wordis s. pl., gurdis $<$ Fr. "gourdir"?); $\sim \operatorname{swerd}(i s) \sim-e i-27 / 2 I, 5 I / 20,77 / 2$, etc. (25; incl. the rimes: erd s. 27/2I, : rerd s. 217/I3); heding swerd III 66/4.
work, -is s. 4/29, 9/21, II/22, 19/6; ~ werk etc. s., - men, -lomis s. pl. 3/10, 4/17, 5/30, etc. (40; incl. the rimes : merk s. 149/I3, 254/I2); ~ wark s. 6/8, 165/3, 200/I (: bark s.), C 286, etc.
werpis sg. pres. „throws» 252/26; ~ warp, -is, -it v. „throw" etc. 12/6, 26/13, etc. (16). For the war-form see § 311 . The isolated wer-form may possibly represent oirginal wăr , since there are occasional cases of eer- < ăr in DEn. (as well as in BB): swermand pres. p. III 100/7, fordwert adv. 73/9; cherge s., scherp adj., herme s., pert s., etc. (: cf. Mutschmann § 78 ff.).
quernis s. pl. 32/13.
swair s. "neck» 26/17 (: euirmair) [~ swy-, see B 2].
For ourthwort adv. 267/4, ourthortour adv. 243/26 (cf. -thort I 13, 4, I 95/23), thortis s. pl. "thwarts" 231/27, see § 308.
5. warld, -is s. 35/10, 40/21, 47/iI, etc. (II); C 279, etc.
6. For wary etc. v. III/18 (: miscareit), 121/29, 135/19, 147/2, 206/28, war3it pp. (?) 77/18, and warn v. 261/27, (?) warnour s. \#miser» III 145/18, see §331.
C.
I. woman etc. sg. 42/13, 103/3, 145/12, etc., C 280 , etc. women p1. 115/2 [~wemen, -enis p1. 17/21, 97/21, 97/9, 122/7, etc., C 293 : with $\bar{e}<$. ; cf. Luick St. p. 42]. womple s. "wimple» 28/25 ( wympil etc. 80/26, 85/32, 218/28).

Otherwise no w-influence; cf. e. g. wil, -ll pres. (passim), quhilk, -is pron. 3/14, 4/17, etc., syk, sic, -ik, -ick, etc. nsuch" 5/4, 17, 25, etc. (numerous; rimed : beseik (OE é) II/ 12 ); suith, -ly (passim). - Rimes wi-: we- such as wist pt.: behest s. 192/18, and occasional we-spellings such as $I$ wes $84 / 22$ (: Achilles), twestis /IV 85/13 (: nestis), are due according to Gehrken § 12,2 to the open quality of $\overline{1}$; in any case they have probably nothing to do with the w-.
2. wobbis s. pl. \#webs" III 246/15. worsling "wrestling» 29

136/6 (~ wersill v. I72/27, 227/I8). wolt p1. pt. (of welt "turn, roll») $45 / \mathrm{I} 3$ (or an analogical strong form?).

Otherwise no w-influence; cf. e. g. swelly inf. „swallow», -iaris s. pl., swelth s., 29/2, 33/I5, 7I/2I, etc. (note the rime swelth s.: belth s. I45/6); swelland pres. p. 30/IO, etc.; sweltis pres. IV 60/25; quhelpis s. pl. 89/26; ourquhelme etc. v. $24 / 28$, etc. (incl. rimes: helm, $-e$ s.). - wall, $-i s$ s., $-y$ adj. "wave", etc. 29/5, I7 (: all), etc. (note the rime: blawis pres. 3I/I6) does not spring from w ĕ, but stands for wawe < Scand. vag $(h)$ (: $l l$ is merely orthographic, original - 11 being no longer pronounced in this dialect).

As to wallowing, -owit v. "wither» I27/I4, III 76/IO, III IIO/II (cf. CM, below § 42), the origin of the word is uncertain (it does not appear in OE texts); but if, as seems probable, it is formed by i-umlaut of the stem found in OE $w(e)$ alg »lukewarm», it may be a continuation of the regular OAng1. - æ - ( $<$ a $1 /$ cons +i -umlaut $)$, or as cases of this kind seem to be very rare in ME, it may perhaps more probably have $-a$ - from $\mathrm{OE} w(e)$ alg.
3. worsum s. $157 / 28$.
wortis s. pl. IV 85/5 ~ banwart s. IV 83/27 (cf. § 363).
workand pres. p. III 242/22; * wirk, -is v. ~wyr- 25/22
(: dirk adj.:), 82/I5 (: irk v.), I66/I6, I69/4, I73/20, 200/I7 (: irk v.).
wyrreit pt. »strangled» III I70/22 (cf. wirryit pt. I 46/24) ~ weryit pp. 》worried» IV 7I/24 (: for $-e-$, see C 2 ).
werd, -is s. a weird etc., 23/12, 24/8, 36/14 (: afferd pp.), etc. (8); wanwerd s. 35/I8, III 47/30.
4. word, $-(i)$ s s. $4 / 10,6 / 30,14 / 13$, etc. (about Ioo; incl. the rime : bourd s. (< Frr.) 245/I), C 279, etc.; ~ wourd, -is ~ wow-, 4/3, 7/I2, 8/6, II/28, etc. (I8; incl. the rimes: bourd s. (< Fr.) 7/I2, 82/22). (-)worthin, -yne pp. II7/5, III I63/2I. —Cf. (-)wrocht pp. 4/IO, 3I/6, 23, etc.

Note.
$\mathrm{w}^{\overline{1}}$ very often appears as $w y$ -
Original OE w ŭ appears as wo-, as wou- (especially /nd), and (rarely) as wu-: woll s., wollit pp. 160/5, III 143/6; wolf, -is s. 37/9, etc.; wonn, -yn v. 243/10, 244/14; wont adj. 69/8, I3, etc. (I3; incl. the rime: dont s. ॥dint» 247/23); wonder etc. s. \& v. 3I/I3, 45/5, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes: wndir ~ ondir, hundir, thundir); worth pt. opt., pl. pt. ind. 120/17, 262/18, C 291 (or with the vowei of pp. ?); ~ wount adj. 20I/24; wounder etc. s. \& v. 49/18, 56/19, etc. (8), III 28/8; wound pp. 183/24 (: ground pp.); wound etc. s. \& v. 20/8, 24/17, etc. (I3; incl. rimes :-ound); ~ wunnyn pp. 244/2 (: cumin pp.) [cf. wult s. (Lat. vultus) I32/8].

Original OE w.ŏ appears as wo- and wou- (wow-): cf. C 4 ; further worn pp. 76/I (: torn pp.), (-)sworne pp. 16/I8 (: to forne), 19, 26, etc.; woven pp. 149/14. - For wald pt. 4/23, 12/27, etc. (only form) [ cf. nald II/29], see § 3 II.
IV. The Northern Collection of Legends of Saints.

Edited by C. Horstmann: Altenglische Legenden, Neue Folge (Heilbronn 188I), from the I4th century MS. Harl. 4196 (according to Horstmann op. cit. p. LXXXVIII num die Mitte des 14. Jhdts. in schöner, grosser Schrift von nördlichem Typus geschrieben»). Cf. further e.g. Retzlaff: Untersuchungen über den... Legendencyklus der MSS. Harleian 4196 u. Cotton Tib. E VII (Diss. Berlin 1889); Heuser, E. St. 27,365; Luick, St. p. 45.

The present investigation only includes pp. I-99 v. I7O of Horstmann's edition, i. e. the work of the first scribe,
who wrote the first I64 leaves of the MS. [A second scribe has executed fol. 165 - fol. 205, and a third fol. 206 - fol. 258. The work of the third scribe includes the parts of the "Pricke of Conscience» printed by Morris from MS. Harl. 4I96, cf. § 50 ff .].

The quotations given in the following apply to the numbers of the Legends (as numbered by Horstmann) and to the verses of each Legend.
A. No cases.
B.
I. bitwix 14/136, I7/166, 942, 18/159. no wight s. 10/151 (cf. oght pron. I/458, etc., noght adv. \& pron. I/I5, 46, etc.). wight adj., -ly adv. 2/45I, 3/998, etc. (9; incl. the rime: sight 16/663).
2. wirs(c)hipe, -epe etc. s. \& v. 1/18, 336, 539, etc. (34). - For wurthy ~ worthy etc., see B 4 .
werst sup. 7/309. - Cf. the Scand. wer (r) comp. 6/r46, 13/216, 16/223, 223 (: ferr), 19/50 (: ferr).
3. wud, -side s. 2/444, 4/81 ~ wod 7/213. - wuke s. 2/13 (: boke s.) ~ woke 6/332 (: toke pt.).
quick adj. $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I} 85$, 194, etc. (6). - wydow, widoy s. $5 / 6 \mathrm{I}$, 7/98. wit inf. 1/52, 316, 6/291, etc. (numerous, incl. the rimes: pyt s. 14/88,: flit, flyt 17/728, 994, : Philit n. pr. 19/30). sister(s) s. 3/211, 5/62, 13/100, 17/3, 88.
34 4. wurth pres. opt., -ed pt. \& pp. (of OE weordan) 4/235, 7/400, 14/127, 312.

Here may also be given (cf. § 9) wurth adj. 7/158, 165 , wurthli adj. I/260, stalwurth $7 / 528,16 / 663$, wurthi, -rthy adj. 1/103, 254, 430, etc. (14); ~ worthly adj. 7/99, worthy adj. 6/214, 368.
(hand)werk, -is, etc. s. 1/285, 287, 412, 2/2, 24, etc. (37; incl. the rimes : clerk etc. 2/2, 221, 7/494, 11/107, : merk s.

6/20, 16/504,: serk s. 18/80). thwert adv. 4/85. - swerd 3/200, 4/246. quert s. 7/492 (: gert pt.).
5. world, -es s. 6/25I, 7/152; ~ werld, -es, -(e)ly $1 / 2,367$, 437, 443, 2/94, etc. (44). - wele s. (or adv.?) I/I98, etc.
6. weryed pt. 16/568.
C.
I. woman sg. I/4II, 486,504, etc. (very numerous) [ ~ wemen pl. 5/87, 130, 7/190, 199, 17/4, 347 (with $\bar{e}<~ \check{1}$; cf. Luick St. p. 42); wymen pl. 5/7I].

Otherwise no w-influence : cf. e. g. will pres. sg. \& pl. 1/128, 217, 221, etc., wild pt. 4/137 (: fulfild pp.); w(h) ilk pron. 2/91, 6/39, etc.; swilk 1/97, 187, etc. (very numerous; incl. the rime: milk $2 / 7$. swlk $3 / 18$ is evidently miswritten for swilk); wist pt.
2. No w-influence : cf. e. g. swelid pt. nswallowed" I7/401; welter v. 17/219; twelue.
3. wurm etc. s. "worm", "serpent" 7/381, 17/63, 18/257, 26I, 273.
wyrk v. 3/203 (: kirk) ~wirk, -es v., -ing s. I/I26, 35I, 384, 542, etc. (39; incl. several rimes : kirk s.). - Cf. wright s. $4 / \mathrm{I} 3$, 147 .
4. (bod)wurd, -e, -es s. I/36, 47, 84, etc. (8I; incl. the rime: burd s. "board» 17/405); ~ word, -es I/369, 3/土26, 205, 6/40, 177, 471, 7/34, 39, 43, 110, 656, 8/65, 16/66, 17/457. - Cf. woroght pt. \& pp. 1/18, 165, 297, etc.

## Note.

$w^{\breve{1}}$ is often written wy-.
Original OE w ŭ appears as wu-, as wo- (rarely), and as wou- (only / nd): wun pp. „won» I7/I2I; wun etc. v., -yng s. I/364, 476, 2/253, etc. ( 27 ; incl. 6 rimes: son, sun "son»), wunt adj. 17/84; wunder etc. s. \& v. I/512, 515, 2/185, etc. (numerous); ~ wonand pres. p. „dwelling» 5/8, wont adj. 7/511, wonder 3/74, 7/62; ~ wound etc. s. \& v. I/406,

408, 10/189, 235 [cf. swunde pt. „swooned» 2/204 (: stoun$d e \mathrm{~s})$.$] .$

Original OE w ŏ appears as rou- and wo- : cf. C 4. Here may also belong - if it has not $\bar{o}<\overline{\mathrm{a}}$, cf. the rime (: cases of $\bar{o}<\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ are very frequent in this MS.) - wold pt . $1 / \mathrm{I} 5,16$, etc. (usual form; rimed: tolde pt. "told» I7/321), cf. nold 8/305 [~ wald (cf. § 3II) I/52, 2I4, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes: hald inf., cald pt., etc. 3/59, 7/10, 76, 8/38, 16/523, 17/767) ~ wuld 2/233 (: probably $<\breve{\mathrm{o}}$ in weak-stress position, through the influence of w-; cf. reиmme § I83, foot-note)].

## V. Cursor Mundi.

Edited by R. Morris, EETS., Orig. Ser. 57, 59, 62, 66, 68, 99, roI (London 1874-1893) from several MSS., all printed in full. The present investigation includes MS. C (: MS. Cotton Vesp. A. 3, British Museum) vv. I-24968 (not the "addition», vv. 24969-29547), and MS. E (in the Edinburgh College of Physicians), which latter contains only part of the poem (vv. I8989-23940, as numbered in Morris' edition; cf. op. cit. p. 1587 ff.). - Different handwritings are discernible in both MSS.; but the slight differences in the spellings used by the different scribes are of no account in the present case. - Both MSS. are in the Northern dialect. The former (MS. C) - or in any case, its language belongs to the former half of the 14th century (cf. Lurck, St. p. I6); as to the latter (MS. E), different opinions have been expressed about its age, but even if it should not be earlier than the end of the 14th or the beginning of the 15th century, its language contains (: cf. Luick, St. p. 7) a number
of early characteristics not found in any other ME MS. written in the Northern dialect.

Cf. for other MSS., etc., H. Hupe, Cursor Mundi (Morris' edition) pp. 57 ff., 109 ff. Cf. also W. Hörning: Die Schreibung der Hs. E. des Cursor Mundi (Diss. Berlin 1905).
[Insignificant consonant variations such as $v \sim u \sim w$, etc., have not always been recorded in the following].
A.
nil (we wil we) CE 23728.
B.
I. (bi-, be-, by)twix, -and, etc. ( $\sim-y-)$ C 65, II7, 612, etc. (numerous), CE 19264, etc. wight s. (OE wiht) 892 (: maledight pp.), etc., nawi(g)ht C 654, 3885, etc., CE 22500 [cf. noght pron. \& adv. 64 (: broght pp.), etc. ~ nagh pron. C 20462 ~ not adv. C 90, etc.; oght pron. C 474 (: broght pp.), etc.]. wi(g)ht adj. C 2634, etc., CE 23623 (: mi(g)ht s.), etc.

For weght, weiht s. (OE gere:ht) C 21429, CE 23564, see § 56.
2. $\operatorname{wirsc}(h) i p(p e),-s c e p$, etc. s. \& v. C III, II4, I937, 2439, etc. (60) [~ wor-; see B 4]. - swire s. C I737I (: fire s.).
wers comp. C 38, 38, 2743, 7818, 9035, I34II, 21466. werst sup. C 4386, 14555, 21450 . - Cf. the Scand. wer, $-r r$, -rre, were »worse» C 68 (: mere s. 川harm»), irgoo (: terr s.), II 901, E 21884, 22476; ~ warr, -e C 454, 1057, 4330, 21884, 22476. - For worpe 3 sg . pres. ind. (of OE weordan), worth s. \& adj. etc., see B 4.
3. cude s. "cud» C 1958. wod, $-e$, wodd (e)s s. C 1727, 39 3506, 5734, 6r91, 7786, 8456, 8785, CE 22543, 22895.
wok, -es s. „week» C 2857, IIOI2 ~ wyckes C 18587.
suepes s. pl. (OE swipe, -u, etc.) C 19355 ~ suaipis s. pl.
E 19355 (cf. § 289).
wet inf. »know» E 22556, 22869 (cf. wite: sete, below); weit
inf. C 1875 (more cases?); ~ wit(t), -e, wijtt,-s inf., I sg. \& p1. pres. ind., imp. C (numerous; incl. several rimes: 1 ), wit $(t)$, -e inf., pl. imp. \& pres. ind. E 19150, 19779, etc. (incl. the rimes: site inf. „sit» 22294, 23057 : sete „sit» 22018, which latter form according to LuIck U. § 436 contains a real e-vowel).
$q u i(c) k$ etc. adj., qui(c)ken etc. v. C e. g. I47I, 3378 (rimed: 1), etc. (numerous), CE 19794, 19964, etc. (incl. rimes: 1 ). tuis »twice» C 2759, etc., CE 21756, E 20974 (: prise). - widue etc. s. C 6787, 6793, 8392, II346, CE 19775, 21053, 24197. sister, -ir s. C I219, I449, 1523, etc. (30 à 40 cases), CE 21130. 40 4. worth, -rpe inf., pres. opt. C 316, 930, 5889 (: forth), etc. (II), CE I9IIO, 21992, 22489 ( E -de), etc. ( 7 ; incl. worise E 23224, probably for worth ise "become ice»); worthid pp. E 19402; further (or to B 2, cf. § 9) worpe 3 sg. pres. ind. E 23828. - Here may also be given (cf. § 9) worsc(h)i力 etc. s. \& v. C 8529, CE 23912, E 20021, 22290, etc. (E alone I9 cases; C ~ wir-, see B 2), worth S. C 12390, (on-)worth, $-i,-e,-l i$, etc. ( $\sim-r p-)$ adj. \& adv. C 44, 817, II48, etc. (36; incl. the rime : stalworth 3952), CE I9362, 19584, etc. (II), der-, darworth, -i, -li adj. \& adv. C 473I, 5322, etc. (7), CE I9470, 19973, stal(l)worth, -li adj. \& adv. C 395I (: worth adj.), 4310, 6496, etc. (I2), CE 23756, 24767 ( $\mathrm{E}-\gamma d$ ).
suord, -e, etc. s. C 7769 (: ord s.), 7948 (: word), 17738 (: ord), CE 20990 (: word, -e), 2 II24 (:word), 24330 (: C uord, E orde), E 21710; ~ suerd, -e, etc. C 998, 3163, 3172, etc. (29; incl. the rime: word 3181), CE 22376.
(-)werc etc. s. C 125, 127, 132, 259, etc. (about 75 cases; incl. the rimes : clerc,-rk 1921, 8696, 18634, : merc, -rck s. 132, 8790, : serk s. 21528 ; the rime werc : derc C 9899 is probably due to a corruption of an original were: dere, found in MSS. LT), CE 19158, I9520, etc. (12; incl. the rime : clerk 23910), E 23045; probably also (with the vowel of the subst.) werk etc. inf., I sg. pres. ind., imp. C I4704, I7201, I7214,

2I452, 22050 (~ wir-, see C 3); ~ (-)warc etc. S. C II2, 252, II33, II55,.... II786, I24I4, 2I3I8 (I8). (ouer)thuert adj. \& adv. C e. g. 7103 (: stert pt.), 7926 (: hert s.), I0464 (: hert), I2084 (: hert), etc.; ~ ouerthwart C 16578 (cf. § 308).
werp inf., imp. CE 24IO4, 24247. quert s. C e. g. I803 (: hert s.), I5I72 (: hert), etc., CE 19030 (: hert), 23448 (: hert), etc. [cf. quart in the "addition» v. 28049 (: hert s.)].
5. world s. C 230; ~ werld, -(e)s C I2I, 227, 267, etc. (about 4I I40 cases; incl. the probably miswritten werl 2005, weld 23I56), CE 21853, 22508, 22532, 23670, 23722 [note the rimes: herd pt. \& pp. (written hard C 552) C 552, 2891, 3457,.... 21526, 23654 (I4),: ferd pt. "went» (written fard 5463) C 5463, 1200I, I3207,: ferd »afraid» C II433, : lerd pp. C I2060]; werd, -e, -es (E ~ -is) C 3108, I7996 (: ferd "afraid»), E I9376, 21636, 2I774, etc. (E 36; incl. the rime: herd pp. 23654); werdische adj. E. 22754; ~ warld C 9I (: herd pp.), IO44, I259, ... 8002, 8258 (8).
(-)wel(l), wele, welis s. sg. \& pl. C 472 (: sele), 2901, 4054, 57I4, 8756. weli, -ier, -iest adj. C 7879, 9I35, 9958.
6. wern v. C 12 IO6 (: lern v.), 21334 (: ern s.); ~ warn etc. (cf. § 33I) C e.g. 2564 (: barn s.), 3040 (: barn), 4939, CE 22342 (: barn). $\operatorname{wer}(r)$ ied, -id etc. pt. \& pp. (adj.) C e.g. 920, I38II, CE 2I833; ~ waried, -id etc. (cf. § 33I) C e.g. 207\%, 9888, I5279; ~ wirid C Io067 (: may be due to the analogy of wirid »worried», see below C 3; cf. however also wi- for OE wĕ , below C2. - Or simply a scribal error for we-?).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

I. (-)wom(m) an sg. C I85, 629, etc., CE I925I f., 20049, etc. (CE 9 cases); wommen pl. C e. g. 2672, 3900, E 2345I, 24008 [~ (-)wimman sg. C 10906, E 19183, 20102, 24680; $(-)$ wimmen pl. C e. g. I577, 3908, 5543, etc., CE 2462 I, 24644, E 22341 (or here sg.?)].

Otherwise no w-influence; cf. e. g. wil(l) pres.sg. \& pl. C 95, I34, 265, etc., CE I9024, I9217, etc. ( $\sim$ wel, see below); quilk
etc. C I46, 42 I , etc., CE I9225, I9344, etc.; suilk etc. C 77, 85, 372, etc., CE I9I2I, I9230, etc. (incl. the rime: ilke 19286 ); ~ silk E 24215, 24878 (miswritten?) [~ sulk E 24558: no doubt miswritten for suilk]. - In the occasional forms with we-, such as wel "wiil" pres. sg. \& pl. C I2Io6, I6327, I7238, 20280, 20355, 20706, E 23754, weld pt. "would» C 8446, well, -c s. C 20646, E I9840, sweftnes E 234I2, the $-e$ - need not be due exclusively or mainly to w-; cf. e. g. stell, -e adj. ॥still» C I2I77, 20509, and other forms of a similar kind quoted from other texts by Morsb. Gr. § II4. The rather numerous $-e$-forms of will etc. may in fact derive from the OE variant with w e - ; cf. Sievers Gr. § 428, Anm. 4, and below LCh, § IIg.
2. No w-influence. Cf. e. g. suelid pt., biswel(e)d pp. »swallowed» C I5383, I6484, CE 23I49; swelt inf. CE 24175 (: delt pp.); quelpe, -es s. C 12337, I8645 (~ -i-, see below); twelue C I74, etc. - For walud »withered» C II213 (~ welud C I326), see above § 29.

Occasional wi-forms such as wil, -ll, -lle »well» adv. C 2000, 2438, 5024, 6849, quilp, -es s. „whelp» C 7105, 12348, quilum "quelm» v. E 24862 (all $\simeq$ usual $-\epsilon-$ ), however they are to be explained, no doubt derive from early ME w ĕ - .
43 3. worin, -e etc. s. C 887, 889, etc. (IO), CE 2I67I, 23226, 2328I. worsum s. C II835.
wird s. C 8891, 9967 (: bird s. "lady»), I0078 (: bird »lady»), 15279, 20282 (: brid »lady»); wiirdid pp. E 23368; ~ weird s. C 3453, 3475; weirded pp. C 23368; werd E 23670(?). wirk, -e etc. v. (C $\sim w y r$-) C 1721, I753, 2200, etc. (I3; incl. the rimes: kirc, kyrke s. 8299, 8855, I4764), CE I9532 (: kirke, -rc s.), 21670, etc. (8), E 22050; wri(c) $k$ C I229, II786, II97I ( $\simeq$ wer-, see B 4). wired pt. »worried» C 5902. - Cf. wright s. C 325 (: sight), etc.
[ N ot e : wonsum C 5792 ( $\sim$ winsum C 2484 ) is remarkable; miswritten, or a loan from the South ?].
4. (-)word, -e etc. s. C 259, 339, 890, etc. (numerous), CE 19258, 19303, etc. (numerous) [note the rimes : suerd s. C 3182, :suord, -e C 7947, 24329, CE 20989, 21123; : comfortd s. C 7817; : hord s. C 19214, 23860, CE 23894; : ord, -e s. C 10625, CE 24074, E 19214; : fortd »forth» C 11084; : bord s. C 14095; : -word C 13898, I4330]. - Cf. wroght, -ought, etc. pt. \& pp. C \& E (numerous; incl. rimes: -oght) [ worght C 518 (: noght); if not miswritten for wro-, this form has probably, as indicated by the rime, been developed from an earlier (ME) w 1 o-form].

$$
\text { Note. } 44
$$

w $\overline{1}$ is often written $w y$-.
Original OE w u appears once as wu-: wunt adj. C 3520; otherwise only as wo-: wol s. „wool», wolf s. (and wlve E 20935, probably miswritten for wo-), won, -nnen pp. »won», suongen pp., (-)swonken pl. pt.; (vn)won, -e s. \& adj. (OE (ge)wuna), won, -and etc. v. (OE wunian), wont, -e adj. (~ won to, wonto); wonder s. \& v.; wond, -e s. „wound», -ed, -it pp.; wonden pp. "wound".

Original OE wo appears only as wo-; cf. C 4; further sworn pp. C e. g. 3994, I456I (: born), CE 18995 (: born, -e), 23112. - For wald, -e pt. „would» C \& E (numerous; wold does not occur), see §3II.

Edited by J. Stevenson: Anglo-Saxon and Early Engh Psalter (Surtees Soc. Nos. 16, 19. London 1843, 1847) rom MS. Cotton Vesp. D. 7; 1ater, mainly from the same MS. (with the variants of the other two: MSS. Egerton 614
and Harl. 1770), by C. Horstmann : Yorkshire Writers (London 1895, I896) II p. I29 ff. The MS. is according to Horstmann op. cit. of about A. D. I350; it is in the Northern dialect (: Morsb. Gr. p. 8). - Cf. further Luick, St. p. 32; Eillers p. 44.

I have used Horstmann's edition; and my quotations refer to the psalms and verses as numbered in that edition (i. e. in the same way as in Rolle's psalms; somewhat differently numbered in Stevenson's ed. and in the Authorized Version).
A.
nil sg. imp. 36/土, 7, 8, 6I/Io, 10, 10, 102/2, 104/I4, I4, 118/3I; nilles pl. imp. 74/4, 4, 5, 5 .
B.
I. bitwix(-) 6/7, 9/II, 25/6, etc. (I4). wight s. "wight» 8/6 (: bright adj.), 90/6 (?); nawight adv. 75/2 (: night), 90/7 (: righte) [cf. noght(e) adv. \& pron. I/I, I, 5, 5, etc. (very numerous; rimed : oghte, thoght, etc.); oghte pron. 9/Io (: noghte), etc.; ought pron. 8/7].

For weghtes s. pl. 6I/9 (Lat. win stateris»), see § 256.
2. werst sup. 33/2I.

For worschip, worth, $-y$, etc., see B 4 .
3. wode, -es s. 49/II (: gode adj.), 73/7, 79/I4, 82/I3, 95/I2 (: gode adj.), 103/2I (: gode adj.), I3I/6.
swepings, -inges s. pl. (Lat. "flagella», "afflictio», vin verberibus») $3 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I} 3,34 / \mathrm{I} 8$, etc. ( 6 cases).
qui(c)ke adj. 41/2, 123/2; qui(c)ken etc. ( $\sim-y_{-}$) v. 40/2, 70/22, etc. (I8). swikel adj., -elli adv., etc. ( $\sim-y-)^{-}$5/7, II/2, etc. ( I 7 ; incl. the rimes : mikel $11 / 3,108 / 2$ ) [cf. swikedom etc. 9/29, 23/4, etc.]. - widow etc. s. 67/5, 77/70, 93/6, I3I/工6, 145/8. wite, -es inf., pl. imp. „know» 4/4, 9/2I, etc. (6; incl. the rimes 82/5: Ismaelite, 93/8: yhite adv.) [wite sg. pres. opt. 118/125, 138/22; (un)wit(e) and pres. p., adj., -nes s. 24/7, 35/II, 86/3].
4. forworth, $-e,-e d(\sim-\beta-)$ inf., pres. opt., pt. I/7, 2/12, 9/3, 47 $5,7,19,40,36 / 23$, etc. (26). - Here may also be given (cf. § 9) worschip(e), -ep(e), etc. s. \& v. 28/2, 44/IO, 48/I2, 2I, 49/I6, 24, 7I/I4, 95/7, 98/3, I38/I6; worth s. \& adj. 48/8, 6I/4, 93/II, IO2/I4; (der)worthi adj. I8/II, 20/3, 49/4, II5/I4, I49/8; stalworth, -e adj., -er comp., -(h)ede s. 7/I2, I7/I, 34/I2 46/9, etc. (9).
(-)werke, -es s. $8 / 4,6,9 / 17,16 / 5$, etc. (57; incl. the rimes: clerkes 67/14, :merke adj. I03/24). - swerd, -e 7/I3, 9/6, 工6/4, etc. (2I). (-)werp, $-e,-$ and inf., pres. opt., pres. p. $2 / 3,16 / 2$, 50/12, 70/10, 76/7. (un)quert, -e, -es s. \& adj. 7/II, Io/2, etc. (30; nearly all rimes: herte s.; besides: ert 2 sg. pres. ind. 88/26).
4. werld, $-e$, -es s. $I / 7,7,9 / 5,5,8,40$, etc. (124). wele s. sg. 2I/3 (: Irael), 36/17 (: fele adj.); un-weli adj. 78/8 (cf. Stratm.-Bradley.
6. werye inf., -i(h)ed pt. \& pp. "maledicere» 54/土2, 6I/4, 108/27, II8/2I.

## C.

I. No w-influence. Cf. e. g. wil sg. pres. ind.; whilk, $-e 6 / 2,9 / 16$, etc., swilke 123/4, I38/IO; swith, swipeli adv.; whil, $-e$ adv. [nwoman»s. does not occur in any form in Ps.].
2. No w-influence; cf. e. g. sweligh, -is, -ed v. I3/8, 20/9, etc.; wele adv.; whelpes s. pl. 103/22.
3. (-)worme s. $2 \mathrm{I} / 5,77 / 5 \mathrm{I}, 103 / 26$. wortes s. pl. 36/2.
wirke, -es, -(e) ande pl. pres. ind., pres. p. 5/6, 6/8, 13/8, etc. (20); -(e)inge s. 103/24, 106/23.
4. (-)worde, -es s. $2 / 6,5 / 1,16 / 5,7$, etc. (79; incl. the rimes: rorde $\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{I} 8 / 4$, : borde $\mathrm{s} .77 / 22$ ). - Cf. wroght, -e pt. \& pp. 43/2, 67/3I, etc. (6; incl. rimes: noghte, soghte, (bi)thoghte).

Note
w $\breve{1}$ is very often written w-
Original OE w ŭ generally appears as wo-, rarely as wu(I case); /nd also as wou-: wolle s. 147/16; ouerwonnen pl.
pt. 108/3; swongen pp. 72/5, 14; swonken pp. 104/31, 106/39; toworp pt. opt. 105/26; wone etc. v. (OE wunian), -ing s. I/7 (: sone 》son»), 2/4, 5/5, etc., 25/8, etc. ~ wun inf. II8/5; wonder etc. s. \& v. 9/I, 25/7, etc.; wondes s. pl. "wounds" 68/31 ~ woundes s. pl., -ed pp. 38/13, 63/8, etc. (5).

Original OE w ob appears only as wo-: cf. C 4. - For wald pt. „would» I7/22, 35/3, 39/9, etc., see § 3II.

50 VII. Richard Rolle de Hampole: The Pricke of Conscience.
Edited by Richard Morris: The Pr. of C. (Stimulus Conscientiæ). A Northumbrian Poem by Richard Rolle de Hampole. Berlin 1863. - Morris' edition is based upon MS. Cott. Galba E. 9 (MS. C), the verses missing in this MS. (vv. I537-I729, 6923-9210) being supplied from MS. Harl. 4 I96 (MS. H), which latter lacks vv. 2593-3937. Both MSS. are given in the British Museum Catalogue as belonging to the I4th century; but Morris op. cit. p. IV assigns them to the beginning of the 15th century.

Both are in the Northern dialect; according to Murray, Dialect p. 30, the orthography of "the MS.» (: meaning MS. C, or both MSS. ?) is however sinfluenced by that of the Midland English".

The author of the poem, Richard Rolle, was born in Yorkshire (at Thornton), and he lived during his last years at Hampole, not far from Doncaster (Yorkshire). The probable year of his death is 1349 .

My quotations apply to the verses as numbered in Morris' edition. Where it is not specially stated from which of the two MSS. (C and H) a form has been taken, I have simply followed Morris' edition; in the case of all words of special
interest, however, I also give the forms found in those parts of MS. H which were not printed by Morris.

Cf. P. Andreae: Die Handschriften des Pricke of Conscience von Richard Rolle de Hampole. (Diss. Berlin 1888); Bülbring, E. St. 23, I ff.; Luick, St. p. 52., Eilers p. 49 f.
A. No cases.
B.

1. wight, -es ~-y-s. „wight» 1874 (: right), 5525 (: knyghtes), 6186. [Cf. oght pron. Io (: wroght pp.), 175, etc.; noght pron. \& adv. 46 (: wroght pt.), 6I, 126, etc.]; wyght adj. 689 (: light).

For weght s. "weight» 7690 (:sleght), see § 256.
2. wirschepe s. \& v. H 60, 5784. For wors(c)hepe s. \& v., worthy adj.; etc., see B 4 .
wers comp. CH 6I, H 7272; werst sup. CH 4456.
3. wodde s. C $3184,3189$.
quik ~-y- adj., -en v. CH 38, 3981, 6390, 9520 (C qwilk: scribal error), H 1668, 1723, 6981. - wite, witt(e) ( ~ wyt, -tte) inf. 1796, 1801, 2935, etc. (I3 cases; incl. 9 times: it, flitte inf., yhit(te) adv., (-)writte ~ wrytt s.) [witte, wytt,-e sg. imp. \& pres. opt. 2935, 4653 (: itte), 5718; witandly adv. 5727]. syster H 7408, 8278, 8428, 8429.
4. worth pres. opt., for-worthes pl. pres. ind. (of OE weordan) 52 $\mathrm{CH} 780, \mathrm{H} 7396$. - Here may also be given (cf. § 9) wors(c)hepe etc. s. \& v. CH 55, 83, 596, 604, etc. (I2 cases), C 60, 5784, H (C missing) 7827, 84II, 8536, etc. (7 cases) ( ~ wir-, see B 2); (-)worthy ( $\sim-i$ ), -ly, -nes CH 132, 268, 944, etc. (19 cases), C $3499,3541,3757,3807,3822,3935$, H 1713, 7509, 7768, 8988; stalworth, -ly CH 130, 689, H 9084.
werk, -es s. CH 83, 146, 164, 323, etc. (29 cases), C (H missing) 262I, 2660, etc. (7 cases), H (C missing) 7I 39, 7540, etc. ( 6 cases) [incl. the rimes : clerk, -es C 2621, 3830, H 7139, CH 9588; : merk s. CH 4406]. overthwert adv. H 8552 (MS. -thewert, scribal error). - swerd H 8322. quert s. 326 (: hert s.).
5. world, $-e,-e s,-i s,-i s s h e,-l y$, etc. s. \& adj. CH 225, 233, 880, etc. (about 200 cases), C (H missing) 3762, 3935, H (C we-) 71, 262, 353, 994, etc. (35 cases), and (C missing) I54I, I549, etc., 7083, 7 III, etc. (44 cases, incl. MS. wordes I656, no doubt due to a blunder of the scribe; Morris prints worldes); ~ werld, -es, -ys s. CH 464, 6627, C (H wo-) 71, 262, 353, 994, etc. ( 35 cases, incl. 6269, where Morris prints world), and (H missing) 2597, 2735, H (C missing) 6975, 8775.
wele s. sg. IOO2, I260, I278, I279 (: whele s.), etc.
6. wery inf., weried pt. \& pp. $\mathrm{CH} 4402,6 \mathrm{I} 86,9423,9438$, H 7395, 7397 f., 7422, 8472.

For warn inf. H 7985 (cf. also ward C 3058, probably miswritten for warned, which appears in other MSS.), see § 331 . C.
I. woman sg. $\mathrm{CH} 484,534,579$, etc. (9 cases) H 197, 479 [~weman sg. C I97, 479]; women pl. C 569 [~wem (m)en pl. H $569,7336, \mathrm{CH} 9340$, wymmen pl. H 7490] (the we-forms have e $<1$, according to LuICK St. p. 42).

Otherwise no w-influence; cf. e. g. wil, wille pres. sg. \& pl. 40, 94, 95, 163, etc.; wild pt. $\sim-y$ - 1732, etc.; w(h)ilk, qw-, qu- I44, I59, 204, etc.; sw-, suilk, $\sim-y-$ - I55, 273, 307, etc.; wist $\sim-y$ - pt. I782, etc.; while $\sim-y$ - s., whil(le)s $\sim-y$ - adv.
2. No w-influence; cf. e. g. swelghe inf. 6232, wele adv.
3. worme, -es s. CH 567, 863, 870, etc. (II cases), H 6953, 705I, 7088, 7095. worow inf. CH I229.
wirk, -e ~-y-inf., $-y n g$ s. CH 335, 2I38, etc. (I6 cases), C 3320, 3554, 3778, 3791, 3818; wirkes 3 sg. pres. C 3134, 3137, 3685 [incl. the rimes: kirk, -e, es $\sim-y$ - 2I38, 3320, etc. (II cases)].
4. word, -e, -es s. CH 289, 320, 1302, etc. ( 26 cases), C 27I9, 3498, H 7II6, 7I39, 7450, 7686. - Cf. wroght pt. \& pp. 9, 45, 53, etc. (numerous, incl. rimes : oght, noght, boght, etc.).

Note. 54
w $\overline{1}$ often appears as wy-.
Original OE $w$ ŭ appears as wo-, /nd also as wou-: wolwarde H 35I4, wolfes, -wes s. pl. CH 1228, 9449, won(n)e etc. v., -yng s. 13, 60, 980, etc. (numerous; incl. several rimes: son »son», :son »sun», and : Amazons s. pl. 4464); wonder etc. s. \& v. 193, 276, 889, etc. (numerous; incl. the rimes: sondre, -der 889, 7213; : under 7609); wondes s. pl. "wounds" 5327, 5337, 5598, 5600 ~ wound, -es s., -ed Pp. I702, I724, 5305, 8322, 8344 .

Original OE w ŏ appears only as wo-: cf. C 4; for wald, -e pt. »would» I5, 8o (: halde inf.), II2, etc. (numerous), see §3II.

## b). East Midland texts.

## I. The Saxon Chronicle, A. D. 1132-1154.

This latest part of the "Chronicle», probably composed shortly after A. D. II54 in Peterborough (Northamptonshire), is preserved in the original MS. Laud. 636, Bodl. Library (cf. e. g. Earle's edition, Preface p. L; Morsb. Gr. pp. 7, 8). The dialect is North-East Midland, only occasionally mixed with archaic forms of the late OWS literary language (: Morsb. Gr. 1. c.). - I have used the edition of Thorpe: The Anglo-Saxon Chronicle etc. (London I86I; in the series Chronicles and Memorials of Great Britain, etc.); for other editions cf. Körting, Grundriss § I4, foot-note. - Cf. also

Beнм: The Language of the Later Part of the Peterborough Chronicle, Diss. Upsala 1884; H. Meyer: Zur Sprache der jüngeren Teile der Chronik von Peterborough, Diss. Freiburg 1889.

My citations refer to the "years" of the Chronicle (e.g. 37/= II37) and to the lines of each "year" in Thorpe's edition.
A. No cases.
B.
I. betroux 40/5I ~ betuyx, -twyx 35/17, 40/7, 25, 54. - Cf. noht 32/7, 40/7, 32, 51 ~ naht 35/10, 37/40, 40/29.
2. w-, uuerse comp. 37/28, 28, 34 ~ warse $40 / 8,30,32$.

- For wur (t)scipe see B 4.

3. suster 40/42.
suyken pl. pt. 40/I3. swikes s. pl. (OE swica) 35/14, 37/7.
4. wur(t)scipe s. 32/10, 37/48, 40/56, 54/5, 12 may be given here, cf. § 9 .
weorkes s. pl. 37/55; castelweorces s. pl. 37/I2.
5. 6. No cases.

## C.

I. The usual form is wi-, which occurs in all words of this group; cf. e. g. willen pl. pres. ind. 37/57; suilc, -e 35/3, 37/41, 42, alsuic 37/2; wiste pt. 32/5; wimman sg. 37/I4, -men pl, 40/45; wile adv. - As to the wy-forms: suyde, -the 37/II, 47, 40/4, 6, 33, 57 ( ~ suide, -the 32/7, 35/4, 38/4, 40/12), horderwycan s. 37/52 (~ wican s. 37/51), wyd prep. 40/35 (~ usual wi-) - further betwoy B I, suycen pl. pt. B 3 -, their $-y$ - is probably, as far as the author ( \& scribe) is concerned, purely orthographical, standing for $\frac{\breve{1}}{1}$ (cf. Morsb. Gr. § 129 \& Anm. I).
2. No w-influence appears. [Cf. uuerre s. ॥wat» 40/6; uerrien v . "war» 35/16].
3. No cases.
4. No cases. - Cf. werohte pt. 37/46.

Note.
$w y$ - probably stands for $w \overline{1}$ in the language of the author (and scribe), cf. § 57 .
Original OE w ŭ appears only as wu-: wundes s. pl. 37/26; wunder s., -lice adj., $37 / 8,63$, ofuundred, -wu- pp. 35/4, 40/5; wurde, -rpen, -rthen pl. pt. 35/4, 18, 40/23.

Original OE w ŏ appears only as wo-: forsworen, -sworen $37 /$ IO, 40; w-,uuolde pt. (only form) $32 / 2,6$, etc.

OE $\check{e}$ o sometimes appears as -eo- (cf. B 4); but also as $-e-(e$. g. erthe s .37 ) ), and -ce- (e. g. dar »deer» 35/, dare. "dear» $37 /$ ). - Note that -eo- is not limited to represent OE $\frac{\breve{4}}{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{o}$ : cf. e. g. feorde(n) pt. (of OE fēran) 32/, etc., eom s. (OE ēam) 37/, beom s. (OE bēam) 37/.
II. The Orrmulum.

Edited by R. Holr: The Orrmulum, with the notes and glossary of Dr. R. M. White (Oxford 1878); from the unique MS. (: Jun. I) in the Bodleian Library, Oxford. - The MS., which is apparently the author's own work, seems to have been written about A. D. I200.

Cf. Kölbing's review of Holt's edition, in E. St. 2,494 ff., and his collation of White's edition with the MS., in E. St. I, I-16. In the few cases of interest in the present case, in which Kölbing's readings differ from those found in Holt's edition, I have followed Kölbing.

Cf. also P. Lambertz: Die Sprache des Orrmulums nach der lautlichen Seite untersucht (Diss. Marburg, 1904), and the references given there pp. 5-7; further H. Reichmann: Die Eigennamen im Orrmulum (Diss. Göttingen, 1904).

Morsbach (Gr. p. 8) places the Orrmulum in the Northern 60
part of the East Midland; and opinions to much the same effect have been expressed e. g. by White (in his edition of the Orrmulum, Oxford 1862; cf. Holt's edition, Preface p. LXIV f.), by Lambertz op. cit. p. I49 (: the language »des nordostmerzischen Grenzgebiets»), and by R. Jordan, GRM II, p. I32 f. (: Lincolnshire). But it has often been pointed out (cf. Bülbring, Bo. Btr XVII p. 59, and references given there) that the dialect of the work is not a pure dialect, but contains, alongside of the main characteristics of the Mercian dialect, a considerable number of forms which, as far as we know, can be derived only from the Old (West) Saxon, not from the Old Mercian forms. - A case of this kind is weorrc (cf. § 300), perhaps also pweorrt (§§ 300, 308 f.); woke need not be accounted for in this way (cf. § 290).
[I have not recorded the accents etc. occasionally found in the MS.].

61
A.
nisste, -enn pt. 1973, 2463, 2931, etc. (II). - nile, -enn pres. (I \& 2 sg.; pl.) 2091, 2444, 4446, 6020, etc. (r3); nillt 2 sg. pres. ind. 6278, 12238.
B.
r. fulluhht s. D 4, 194; 195, 847, etc. (numerous); cf. fullhtnenn, -esst, -edd v. D 192, etc.; 94, 1550, etc.
(nan, ani3) wihht s. „wight» D 262, 269, 273; 1761, I1612; (n)awihht pron. \& adv. 6905, I0351, etc. (6) [cf. ohht pron. 432, 665 , etc., nohht pron. \& adv. D 59, etc.].

For wehhte s. „weight» $7812,7828,7880$, see § 256.
2. werrse comp. 7395, 8258, 14064, etc. (8); werrsenn inf. II845; werrst sup. 4250 - Cf. the Scand. werre comp. 4898.

For wurrp etc. 3 sg. pres. ind., and wurrp, -e s. \& adj., etc., see B 4.
3. cude s. »cud» 1237. wude s. 14568. susstress s. pl.

6379，6382，15709，15731．sutell adj．18862．－wuke，－ess s．，wukeda33，－malumm 536，55I，554，4173，etc．（12）．
swepe s．（OE swipa etc．） 15562,15802 ， 15812 （cf．§ 289）．
cwicc，cwike adj．1364，1367，1370，1386，etc．（17）．twi33es adv．D 104；566，etc．（numerous）～twizes II213．－widdwe． －esshad 4605，4606，4624，7651，etc．（II）～widewe 8632．wite s．m．，－ess gen．sg． 8672,17293 ；（up）witess pl．3083， 7083 ， 7235 ，etc．（20）．witenn inf．，pl．pres．ind．\＆imp．D III； 199，317，etc．（numerous）［witt tu，wite imp．sg．，pres．opt． （numerous）］．
4．wurr戸enn inf．（OE weor 才an）D I28，187，224；86，etc．（157）， 62 wurrpe sg．pres．opt．45，4823，etc．（10），forrwurvenn pl． pres．ind．18814；further（if not to B 2，cf．§9）（forr）wurrp， $-r r$ еррр 3 sg．pres．ind． 2185 f．，6259，1504I，18826， 18829.

Here may also be given（cf．§9）wurrpenn inf．（OE weordian） 208，909，etc．（20），ипnwourr戸ерр sg．pres．ind．18285，wurrpedd pp．2358，2630，etc．（10）；wurrpe s．whomage» II41，1621，3375； wurr（ $\overline{\text { ）shipe s．}} \mathbf{7 2 6}, 731,3925,3936$ ，etc．（10）；wurrpminnt s．3379，12369；－like adv．1033，1690，etc．（10）；－full adj． 5195，580I，etc．（4）；（unn）wurrp adj．1156，2287，etc．（20）； wurrpi adj．D 127，249，319；2705，etc．（I8）～wurpi 8345 （：Kölbing）；de（o）rewurrpe adj． 4958 f．，6689，6755，8170； （lic）wurrpi3 adj．4200，15919；stallwurr（p）li3 adv．5520， 11947.
werrc s．sg．D 24；1035，1046，etc．（29），werrkess pl．4167， 7778 ，etc．（13），werrkeda33ess s．pl．II315；～weorrc I408， 1833，etc．（9），weorrkess pl．15II．pwerrt ut D 74，79；P 105； 194，313，etc．（101）；～－e0－1626，2543，etc．（29）．
swerd，－ess 6639，7647，etc．（forr）werrpenn inf．，pl．pres．ind． D 74，I49，155，etc．（45），forrwerrp，－ерр imp．6272， 9587 ， －e pres．opt．1627，3227，etc．（5），forr－，towerrpesst，－epp 2 \＆ 3 sg．pres．ind． 2965,3993 ，etc．（24）～werppenn inf．IO488 （：Kölbing）～forrweorrpesst 2 sg． 4674 （：Kölbing）．

5．werelld 4192 f．，7297，13135，etc．（43），－pingess，－shi－
pess 6385, I5412, 15770, 16966, weveld 18845 (: Kölbing), werrld 15460, werldess 13176, 13178, etc. (23); ~ weorelld 3285, 3319, ... II558, 12558 f. (II), - $\operatorname{ping}($ ess ), - -ship(ess), -lif, -like adj., -richess, -ahhtess 1628, 1633, 2966, 2969, 2976, 2978, . . . II800, 12079 (28); weorrldess 7483, 8831, III22.
 5193, 6255; ~ weordenn inf., -e sg. pres. opt., -epp sg. pres. ind. 2764, 6106, 6249. wherrfedd pp. "perverse» 972I; (an)whervfeddle33c s. 9825, III24, etc. (II).

For forrwarrzedd pp. 8048, cf. § 331. - [wharrfenn inf., $-e p F$ pres. ind., -edd pp. „to change, turn" 3641, 8420, etc. (28), wharrfeddle 33 c s. „error" 18769 , derive from OE hwearfian]. C.
I. No w-influence. Cf. e.g. wil(l)e, willt, wilenn pres., (i)whillc, -llke(s) pron., swillc, -llke pron., wisste, -enn pt., witerr adj., -like, -li3 adv., dwilde s. (~ -llde 18856); wif-mann(-), -menn sg. \& pl. ~ wimm-; swipe adv., whil, -e, -umm, twitald; whi.
2. Generally no w-influence, Orrm's usual form being we-; cf. e.g. swelltenn inf., pl. pres., (unn)wemmedd pp., twellf(e), etc. The only doubtful case is wheollpedd pp. 6029 ( ~ whellpedd 5839, whellps. 5838); cf. §353. - For swoll 3henn inf. 10224, see § 356 .
3. wurrm s. 4870 (cf. the Scand. Orrmin D 324 f., Orrm P 2, Orrmulum P 1, 94).
wirrsenn s. (OE wyrms etc.) 4782. wirrkenn inf., pl. pres., -esst, -epp 2 \& 3 sg. pres. ind. D 24; 6244, 7369, etc. (23). - Cf. wrihhte s. (OE gewyrht) D 202; 3963, 4283, etc. (7); wrihhte s. (OE wyrhta) 18780, Goddspell-, Sallmewrihhte, -ess D 160; P 28, etc. (numerous).
4. (bode)word, -ess s. D 22, 42, 43, etc. (about 250) ~ worrd 15472 (: Kölbing). (forr-, to)worrpenn pp. 354, I393, etc. (34). - Cf. wrohht, -e, -enn pp. \& pt. D 153, etc. (numerous) ~ wrohte sg. pt. 18540.
(-)wurrpenn pp. D 163; 31, 39, etc. (57), forrwurrdennlike adv. 6245 , probably have the vowel of the pl. pt. etc., cf. § 375 .

Note.
wy- does not occur.
Original OE w u appears only as wu-: wulle s. 12652 , etc., wullderr s. 3379, etc., swulltenn pl. pt. 5321, 8or9, roun( $n$ )derr etc. s., -li3, -like adj. \& adv., (-)wun( $n$ )dredd pp. etc. 218, 3417, etc., wunnenn pp. 6II2, swunnkenn pp. 6103; wurrdenn pl. pt. 3343 [and probably (cf. C 4) (-)wurrђenn pp., forrwurrdennlike adv.]; forrwurrpe sg. pt. opt. I9937, -enn pl. pt. ind. I4OI, I4I5, 4650, etc. (16); wunenn etc. v. (trans. \& intrans.), -edd adj. 2178, 8708, etc.; wunde etc. s., -enn etc. v. I443, etc., wundenn pp. 3326, etc., forrsroundennlez3c 2623, etc.

Original OE wŏ appears only as wo- (for (-)rourrpenn pp., forrwurdennlike adv., see above): cf. C 4; further wollde, -esst, -enn pt. D 20, etc. (cf. nollde, -enn pt. 824, 1975, etc.).

OE ěo very often appears as -eo- ( = ö; cf. Bülbr. Bo. Btr XVII p. 5 Iff.) in vv. I-I3000 (cf. Bülbr. 1. c. p. 52 f.); but it is also very frequently rendered by $-e$ - in this part of the work, and exclusively by $-e$ - in the remaining vv. I300020068. - It may also be observed that -eo- seems to be used in a few cases for $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$; cf. Bülbr. 1. c. p. 65 .
III. Robert Manning of Brunne.

Robert Manning's native place "Brunne" is identical with the present Bourne in the South of Lincolnshire; and he belonged, probably as a lay brother, to the priory of Sempringham, situated some six miles from Bourne. He
is known as the author of the "Handlyng Synne», which he began in 1303, and "pe story of Englande» - a translation of Wace's „Brut» and Peter Langtoft's French "Chronicle» - which he finished in 1338. He may or may not be the author also of the »Medytacyuns of pe soper of our lorde Ihesu» (ed. Cowper, EETS. 1875), which follows the »Handlyng Synne» in both the complete MSS. of this work; in any case, I have left the »Medytacyuns» out of consideration in the following.

For works on Robert Manning, his dialect etc., I refer to O. Boerner (:Die Sprache Roberd Mannyngs of Brunne . . . . Morsbach, Studien XII. Halle 1904) pp. I-I5.
66 I. The»H andlyng Synne» (HS). Preserved complete in two MSS.: MS. Harl. ryor, Brit. Mus., written ab. 1360, and MS. 415 in the Bodleian Library, written ab. I400; further, vv. 1-2894 of the poem are also contained in the fragmentary Dulwich MS. No. 24, of the 15th century. Published by Furnivall i862 for the Roxburghe Club, from the Harl. and the Bodl. MSS., and re-edited by him in EETS., Original Series (Nos. II9, 123; London IgoI, 1903). This latter edition, which I have used, follows the Harl. MS., giving the variants of the other two MSS. in foot-notes. The orthography of Furnivall's text (as well as that of the Bodl. MS.) differs so considerably from what the rimes tell us about the author's language, that I limit myself to record only the rimes of HS.
67
II. "pe Story of Englande» (St. E). Preserved in two MSS. (cf. Furnivall's edition p. XIX f.:) "one at the Lambeth Library,.... of about the middle of the fourteenth century, which has lost its first leaf, and the other $\ldots$...before 1400 A. D., in the Inner Temple Library, Petyt MSS. No. 5II, vol. 7."

Edited by Furnivall: The Story of England by Robert Manning of Brunne. A. D. 1338. London 1887. (Chroni-
cles and Memorials etc. No. 87). This edition, which I have followed, gives the Lambeth MS., with variants (not exhaustive) of the Petyt MS. in foot-notes; vv. I-I98, missing in the former MS., are printed from the latter. - Of the Petyt MS. there is an old edition by Thomas Hearne, Oxford 1725 .

As to the dialect of the Lambeth MS. - whose forms of 68 the $w$ words here in question are given below - Boerner says p. II:". . so scheint mir doch die Schreibung über die Sprache des Originals hinauszugehen und einen jüngeren Sprachzustand oder die Sprache einer nördlicheren Gegend darzustellen; . . . der Text, wie et (i. e. the scribe) ihn uns überliefert hat, wird etwa auf seiner eigenen, d. h. ungefär 50 Jahre jüngeren, Sprachstufe stehen. Für diese Annahme sprechen namentlich solche Verse, die in ihrer vorliegenden Gestalt eine mangelhafte Taktfüllung aufweisen; ....». It is quite possible that the original has been subjected to an adaptation of the kind assumed by Boerner, i. e. only a modernization on mainly the same dialectal basis; apart from metrical considerations, many, perhaps most, of the MS. forms that differ from the author's language as proved by the rimes, may be explained in this way. But the MS. also presents occasional forms of unmistakably SW or rather SW and South-West Midl. (cf. § I2I ff.) origin, probably introduced by the scribe of the Lambeth MS. Such forms (there may be others of the same or other kinds) are those with $-u y$ - for $\mathrm{OE} \overline{\mathrm{y}}$ (but not for $\mathrm{OE} \overline{1}$ ): huydes. 14904, huyden v. 3410, pruyde s. 6222, 8766, 10680, I1660, huyred pt. 14192, 14393, luytel 14681, 16052, 16461, kuythe s. 8443 (generally ~-i-, $-y$-forms, which, as far as they occur in the rime, are only rimed: $\overline{1}$ ); $-u$ - for $\mathrm{OE} \overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{o}($ ? $):$ : fflute s. [passim. Or < OE flyte s. „pontōniumn? Cf. (f)flēt(e) s. e.g. 14536 (: hēt pt.); and (f)flote s. (OE ŏ ) e. g. 1738 (: schote s.)]; $-u$ - for OE $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}(>\breve{\mathrm{y}})$ : hure pron. pers. \& poss. 292, 348, 535 ,
etc. (numerous; ~ -i-, $-y-$. Rimed only: 1 ), 3 ut adv. 2367, 4060, etc. (numerous; ~ -i-, $-y$-. Cf. 3it : Berit n. pr. 3759), schulde inf. 9218 (~ schildes 3 sg. pres. ind. 7355. Cf. scheld etc. s., rimed: held pt. 9I7, etc.); -u- (generally $\sim-i-,-y$-; also -e-, cf. below) for OE $\breve{y}$ (but not for OE, $\breve{1}$; as to OE w $w$, cf. below C I): dude pt. 4082, 10273, stude s., -fast adj. 7010, 9923, 15562, hul s. 3290, fulfulle inf. I404 (: wille s.), I6654, fulde p1. pt. 6837, bulke 5293, 734I, brugges s. pl. 3088, vnche s. I0038, dunede pt. 10877, brunyes s. pl. I383I, bury, -ing etc. 4475, 5342, etc. (numerous), Caunterbury, Salesbury e.g. IIIO5 f., I4750, mury adj. 5712, 7443, etc., furst sup. 74I9, 9158, 14488, burthe s. 850, murthe s. IIOO8, II390 [cf. hurte v. "hurt» e. g. I3567 (: burte, < OFr.) ~ hirt, hyrt 11539 , I240I (both rimed : stirt, -e v.); muche 761, 3976, 10080 ~ usual mykel, mikel].
69 Beside these distinctly SW and South-West Midl. forms, there are others which seem to point to a Kentish influence. Such are, above all, the rather frequent cases of -e- for OE, y - I have noted kechene s., dene s., euele adv., kest(e) pt., lest, -e pt. \& imp., beryed pp., mery adj., merk(-) adj., cerneles s. pl., ferste sup. - which, it seems, will also have to be assigned, mainly at any rate, to the scribe (or scribes), as there are practically no rimes OE e : OE y in St. E. (cf. Boerner pp. 69, IO3). However, since forms of this kind are frequent in other late (i. e. I4th and I5th century) East Midland MSS. - thus Bokenam has e. g. fer »fire» (rarely $\sim-y-$ ), kende s. »kind» ( $\sim-y-$ ), mende s. ( $\sim-y-)$, lest v. ( $\sim-y-$ ), schet pp., beried pp. ( $\sim-y-)$, mery adj., merthe s., ( $\sim-y-)$, cherche s. ( $\sim-y-)$, kechyn s. (many of these forms are also rimed: OE e ); cf. also Morsb. Gr. § I29 f. - we cannot absolutely deny the possibility that these $e$-forms in our MS., whatever their explanation, may represent spoken e-forms been more or less generally used as variants in the East Midland dialect of those days.
A.

St. E. nyst, -e pt. 864, 7880 (: Hengist), etc. (8).
nelt 2 sg. II5I8 ~ nyl I pl. pres. ind. 15310; cf. nulde pl. pt. 14633 .
B.
I. HS. betwyx 3879 (: crucyfyx). wyght, -3t s. (OE wiht) 2221, 335I, etc., (4; rimed: kny3t, sy3t) [cf. oghte pron., no(u) $3 t$, now 3 t pron. \& adv., naght adv.; rimed: wrou $3 t$ pt. \& pp., poght s. \& v., etc. 30, 210, 418, 659, etc.]. wyght adj. 4602 (: lyght), 4916 (: fyght).

St. E. bvtwix 9979; bytwixt, -e, -en 544, 860, etc.; ~ (be-, by-, bi)twyxte, -en 364, 520, 715, etc. wyght s. (OE wiht) 7853 (: fight), 8050, 8055 (: fight) [cf. ought pron. 5167, 66II, etc., nought pron. \& adv. 2218, 2220 (: sought), etc. ~ nout, nowt 4460, 7048, 8374, etc. ~ naught 14624]. wyght, -e, -ly ~ wiadj. \& adv. 3509, 4069 (: highte pt.), etc.
2. HS. werst sup. 7367 (: yncest s). Cf. the Scand. werre 7I comp. (: erre inf.) 474.

St. E. wyrschip s. 7632; wyrschipe pl. pres. ind. 7369, (~ wor-, cf. B 4). wirse comp. 8696; ~ wors,-e comp. 2432 2492, etc. (7), alderworst sup. 6748. - For worth etc. adj., see B 4 .
3. HS. quyk adj. 557 I (: wyk adj. (= 1 )), 10046 (: verrylyk adv.). twy $(y)$ s adv. 4058 (: auys s. (= $\overline{1})$ ), 6829 (: wys adj. $(=\overline{1}))$, etc. - wytte inf., wyte, $-y n$, wete, $-y n$ inf. \& pl. pres. ind. (: sytte inf., (y)wryte, -yn pp.) 39, 409, I417, etc.; (: lyte adj.) 6998; (: flyte inf. (=1)) 3767.

St. E. wode, -es, -eland s. 855, 954, 1097, etc. (55).
wyke s. 9353. Wircestre 15676 . quyk, -e, -ly ~ qui- (~ quiy-4388) 3528, 3545, etc. (19; incl. rimes: styke inf., pyk adj., -lyk(e), -lik, Ekfrik, Osrik). swykel adj. 3828 (: mykel). twy (e)s adv. 4704 (: pris s. $(=\overline{1})$ ), 4710, 4874, etc. -wydewe, -hod 2549, 8614, 14316. wyte, -en, -e $\bar{\sim}$ wi- inf., pl. pres. ind. 431 (: smiten pp.), 661 (: syte inf., probably OE sittan),
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668 , etc. (numerous) [wite, wyte pres. opt. 4240, 4307, etc.]. sister (sistren, -res) ~ systres 2307, 2325, 2328, etc. (13).
72 4. HS. werk, $-e,-y s$ s. (: clerk, $-e,-y s$ ) 831, 4010, etc. (6). ouerthuerte 8136 (: herte s.). - querte s. 6980 (: herte s.). The rime swoyer s . nneck»: chayre "chair» 5028 seems to require the OE e-variant <*swerhan (cf. below § 3I3 f.); the original probably had swere: chayére (cf. NED: chair).

St. E. Here may be given (cf. § 9) worschipe etc. v. 76r, 1337, I341, etc. (13), worschip(e), -ype s. 2856, 3619, etc. (10), worschipfuly, -foly adv. 4136, 12462 ( wyr-, see B 2); worth, $-r p$ adj., -rthly adv. 2863, 9759, etc. (5); worthe s. (?) 12140 , worthi, -thy, -pie, -py adj. 215, 490, 5II, etc. (26); worpyly adv. 5724; stalworth, -rthe adj. 470, 886, etc. (7), -rdest sup. 3771 ~ star-worpest 8439; stalwor(th)ly adv. 494, 9107.
$(-)$ werk, -men s. 203, 5767, 6609, etc. ( 26 ; incl. 6 rimes: clerk s., herk imp.). ouerthwert,-e ~-pro-860, 23I8, etc. (II; incl. rimes: hert(e) s., quert s., smert adj.). - swerd, -es s. 1009, I544, 1557, etc. (54; incl. the rime : ferd pt. 15365), Swerd n. pr. 1581, 1588, 1596. swerued pt. 4629. quert s. 9990 (: ouerpwert).
73 5. HS. worlde (: herde pp.) 2764, 10508 ~ werlde (: herd, -e pp.) 3556, 4192, etc. (7). wele s. 2056 (: stele inf.), 10691 (: deyl (=è)).

St. E. world, -es 4516, 6933, 10032, 10040; wordles 4192 , r1338; word, -es 4271, 7435, I1474; ~ werld, -e 1398, 2302, etc. (6; incl. the rime: byherd pp. 10543); werd, -e 222, 723, etc. ( 12 ; incl. 8 rimes: herd(e) pt. \& pp.). wel s. (or adv.?) 5104 (: whel s.) ~ wele s. 1417, 3513, etc. (6).
6. HS. werne, -ede inf. \& pt. (: tawerne, esterne, zernede) 1021, 6739, 10168. - For warye inf. "curse» 1289 (: marye n. pr.) cf. below § 33 I.

St. E. werne, -es, -de, -ed 44, 640, etc. (ro; incl. 7 rimes: zerne v., Igerne, Vortogerne). - For waryed pp. 203, 12258
(Boerner p. 6i: "aus Amerc. warzian entstanden, s. Bülbring § 176"), see below § 331 .
C.

$$
74
$$

I. HS. No influence of w- appears in the rimes.

St. E. wom (m)an sg. 612, I325, etc. (I3); wom(m)en pl. 639 (or sg.?), 4044, etc. (8) [ wymen pl. 931, 5703, 6535]. wol, -e ( $-y 4237,4239$ ) sg. \& pl. pres. 1090, 1478, etc. (36) [~ wil, wil(l)e, wilt, ~ wy-, 201, 390, etc. (usual forms)].
whuche pron. (adj.) 7116 [~ which(e) adj. 719, 726, etc. (5) ~ usual whilk(e), whylk 490 f., 521, etc.]. such(e) 2858, 3152 etc. (12) [~ swich(e), swych(e) 1344, 2830, etc. (13) ~ usual swilk(e), swylk 473, 1258, etc. ~ swyilk 8775 ~ sylk 1513, silk 426I].

Otherwise no w-influence appears, either in rimes or orthography; $(w) y$ - is merely orthographical, $=(\mathrm{w})^{\breve{1}}-$.
2. HS. No w-influence appears in the rimes.

St. E. As a rule no w-influence. For wol adv. „well» 4708 (~ usual we-), and twolf, -lue ntwelve» 8232, 13534(~usual -we-; thus e. g. in the rimes: selue 1608,3048 ), see § 355 and § 353 respectively.
3. HS. wyrk inf. 4339 (: yrk adj.; glossed „slow») 75 ~ werche inf., imp., pres. ind. (: cherche s.) 577, 874, etc. (29); (: chyrche) 833, 927, 1037, 1277.

St. E. wyrk, -e inf. ~ wir-, 5001, 9145 (: kirk), 15127 (: kirke), 15167 (: kyrke), 16104 (:kirke), 16156; wyrche inf. 5743 (: chirche). - Cf. whrightes s. pl. 87 Ir.
4. HS. wordys s. pl. 8394 (: holdes pl. pres. ind.; for hordes?); ~ wurde sg. (: hurde s. "hoard») $1555,4909,6067$, 6165; (: bo(u)rde s. ॥board») 4712, 12117; ~ wrde 1914 (: hurde s.). - Cf. wro(u) $3 t$, wroght pt. \& pp. 29 (: noust), 100 (: pought s.), etc.

St. E. (bode-, by)word, -e, -es 1368, 1374, 1914, etc. (46; incl. the rime: bord s. „board» 9314) [~werde 16167, no doubt
a scribal error]. - Cf. werought, -e pt. \& pp. 750 (: nought), 1240, etc..

76 Note (regarding the orthography of the Lambeth MS. of St. E.).
$w^{\frac{\breve{1}}{1}}$ is very often written $w y$-.
Original OE w u u appears as wo- and (only / nd) as wou-: wolf, -lues, (?) Wolfrith n. pr.; (y)wonne, -en pl. pt., pp., wone etc. 川live,» »dwell», wond, wont adj.; wonder etc. s., wondred pt., etc., (?) wox sg. pt. ( wax, wex), -e, -en pl. pt., pp. (cf. §248); ~ wounde, -es s., -ed pt. \& pp. (rimed: founde pp., etc.); wounden pp. (: founden pp.).

Original OE w ob appears only as wo-: cf. C 4 ; further ( $f u r$ )sworen pp. 3071, 3881, etc. (7; incl. rimes: byforn, lorn pp.), swore pp. (or pl. pt. ?) 5647 (: before); wold, -e, etc. pt. 522, 552 , etc. (incl. rimes: holde inf., bolde adj., golde s., zolde pp., pl. pt.) [~wild, -e, etc. ~wy-, 802 (: fulfyld), 2028 (: Estrilde), etc.], cf. nolde pt. 4183, 5250, etc.
IV. The Norfolk Gilds.

The material given below has been collected from the »Returns» of A. D. I389 of the Norfolk Gilds, published by Toulmin Smith, EETS., Orig. Ser. 40 (London 1870), pp. 14-I23 (numbered IV-XLIX). - My references are to the numbers and lines of the different »Returns» as printed by Toulmin Smith.

Cf. Ernst Schultz: Die Sprache der »English Gilds» aus dem Jahre 1389 . Diss. Jena 189 I.
A. No cases.
B.
I. be-twixen, -twyxen II/2I, 12/13.wy(g)the s. (OE gewiht) II/20, I2/39 ~ wei-, weyght (e) 4/15, 7/22, 10/9 (cf. § 256). - [Cf. nought(e), now3the, nouth, nout, nowt, etc. (very numerous), not 16/24, 20/78, etc. (6); ~ nauht 43/70, nat 13/53].
2. wyrschipe, -epe s. 4/14, 27/3 (~wor-, wur-, see B 4).
3. Geywode n. pr. 36/83. - woke s. "week» 5/20, 6/27, etc. (12), wooke 39/38.
susteren, sustre pl. 12/2, 42/12 ~ syster, -ir, -ur, sistur, $-(e) r e ~ s g ., ~ s i s-, ~ s y s t e r(e) s,-\operatorname{ere}(n),-(e) r i n, ~ e t c . ~ p l . ~ 4 / 9 ~(M S . ~$ sistyn), 16, 19, 5/4, 6, 12, etc. (more than 350 cases).
wydoues s. p1. 8/22.
witen, wyten inf. 19/67, 28/16, 36, 29/56, 86, etc. (ro; incl. qwiten 35/26), wit inf. 29/25; ~ weten, -ene, -yn, -eth inf., pl. imp 16/33, 49, 17/58, etc. (12), whet inf. 12/35 (: probably $=\bar{e}<1$ in open syllables, cf. wreten pp., smeten pp., etc., Schultz p. 9).
4. Here may be given (cf. § 9) wortht s. 46/I3; $\operatorname{der}(e) 79$ worthi adj. 21/1, 25/2, 27/I, 37/I (MS. -y-for -th-); Hylburgheworthe n. pr. 28/63, worsh-, worch-, wors-, worschip(e), $-e p(e),-y p e$ s., -fully, -fulleke adv. 6/9, 7/58, 8/r, etc., 10/2, II/2, etc. (69), worschippe inf. 43/4; ~ der wurthi adj. 36/I, wursship s. 13/62, 14/2, wurchepe s. 31/1, 33/3, 36/1, 3; ~ wrchepe s. 23/2, 33/I; ~ wourchipe s. 3I/4.
werkys s. pl. 19/69.
5. werld s. 7/43, 8/24, 56, I3/53; ~ werd $19 / 24$.
6. No cases.
C.

80
I. An influence of $w$ - appears in wom( $m$ ) an sg . $16 / \mathbf{2 0}$, 17/39, 45, etc. (19), wom(m)en pl. 16/4, 10, 17/4(MS. -an), 18/5, 44/15 [ ~ wymman sg. 20/42, $32 / 38$ ]; and in the isolated wolen $3 \mathrm{pl} .36 / 66$ [ ~ wil, -ll, -lle, wyl, wyle 3 sg. pres. 16/21, 17/39, 18/25, etc. (36), will, wyl(l)e 3 pl. 33/5I, 38/58, 44/28],
soche nsuch" 43/23 [~ swiche 38/54, swilk 20/54], which are probably both loans from the South, i. e. from London (cf. for other cases of the same kind, Schultz p. 44).

But there are no wo-forms of "which»: whiche $6 / 27,7 / 5$, 12/59, I4/3, quiche, quyche II/5I, 62, 19/20, etc. (IO), wyche 43/3, qwilk 13/10; ~ qweche 28/12 (< OE hwelc; or with ee < 1, cf. weten B 3); ~ wache 12/II (: < ONhb hwalc, according to Schultz p. I8). - Other words have only wi-, wy-.
2. No w-influence.
3. 4. No cases.

8I Note.
$w^{\frac{}{1}}$ is often written $w y$-; cf. Schultz pp. 9, I5.
Original OE wŏ appears as wo- in sworon pp. 12/55, wolde pt. 8/30. - I have found no cases of original OE w u .

## V. Promptorium Parvulorum.

Modern editions : A. Way, Camden Soc. Nos. 25, 54, 89; London 1843, 1853, 1865 [ from MS. Harl. 22I, with corrections and additions taken from other MSS. and from early printed editions]; A. L. Mayhew, EETS., Extra Series io2; London 1908 [from the Winchester MS.]. - For MSS. and early prints containing the work, see Way, Preface p. XXXVI ff., Mayhew, Introduction pp. VIII, XXVI f.

My material has been collected from Way's edition, as far as this edition is founded on MS. Harl. 22I, the best and oldest of the MSS. known. I give below only such of the words here in question as are simple words, or the first elements of compounds, and are found among the alphabetically arranged head-words of the Promptorium. Within these limits the orthography used by the scribe can be
efficiently checked by the place of the words in the lists. There are in fact several cases in which the transcriber has evidently changed the spelling, but not the original order of the words.
A. Nu cases.
B.
I. atwyxyn "inter». wyghte "pondus». wyte "agilis».
2. woort "ciromellum».
werce "pejorn; werce "pejus»; werst "pessimus".
qv-, whyrlebone s. "ancha"; whyrlyn v. (\& whyrlyn $a$ bowte), -are a-bowte s., -e wynde s., -egyge s. sqwyrtyl (\%or swyrtyli) "sifons".

For wurthe s. etc., worschepe s., etc., see B 4.
3. woode s. "silva", woode "focale", -bynde s., woode adj. "silvosa"; ~ wodeberare s., -kok s., -dowe s., -hake s., -hoke s., -rove s., -schyde s., -wale s., -warde s., -wese s. -woke s. "ebdomada».
cudde s. "rumen".
quyk, whyk „vivus», quyk „vivax», qu-, whykly „vivaciter», qu-, whyknesse »vivacitas», quyknesse »vita», quyknyn »vegeto, vivifico", whykyn »vivifico". - wyduare s. m., wydwe s. f., wydewood "viduitas». wylke s. »fysche», wylke »schelle». swypyr adj. „agilis», swypyr adj. „labilis». wytyn v. cystyr, syster s., syster yn lawe.
4. (?) whorlwyl s. (»of a spyndyl») „vertebrum» (cf. § 296). 84

Here may also be given (cf. § 9) worschepe s. "honor, honos, reverentia", worschyppe s. "gloria", worschypfulle "honorabilis, reverendus, venerabilis", worchyppyn v. "honoro" etc. (all placed with wo-, not with wu-) ${ }^{1}$ ); ~ wurthe s. "valor»,

[^3]wurthy „dignus», wurthy „valens», wurthy sillustris», wurthyly "digne", wurthynesse "valor, valitudo", wurthynesse »dignitas, probitas» (all placed with ren-).
dwerowe s. mnanus». werk s., and (with the vowel of the subst.) werke v. "operor»; werkday "feria», -manne "artifex", -ynge s. „operacio", werkehowse "artificina", werkemanne s. "ambidexter". querkyn v. („idem quod quellynn), querkenyd "suffocatus», querkenynge »suffocatio". [Cf. ovyrthwert »transversus», ovyrthwerly ntransverse»]. - swerde s. "gladius", swerd berare "ensifer», swerde man "gladiator". querne s. "mola". whert (»or qwert») "incolumis».

For warpyn v. "make wronge», "wex wronge or avelonge" (: placed with wa-, not with we-), see § 3II.
5. wordely adj. "mundanus" (placed with wer-; -o- introduced by the scribe) $\sim$ werlde s . ("or worlde») "mundus» (placed with werd-; -l- introduced by the scribe); werdely adv. "mundane», werdlynesse "mundialitas».
6. werkyn »doleo», werkyn »doleo, indoleo», werkynge "cephalia». - (?) wherwande "turricula» (placed with we-). - For waryyn v. „imprecor", waryynge s.; waryare s. (: all placed with war-), see § 33I.
C.
I. womanne sg. [Cf. (erche)buschope ~ by-].

Otherwise no w-influence; cf. e. g. whylke ("or whyche») "quis, que, quod» (the place suggests whyche); swyche »talis».
2. wolcome »exceptus» (cf. § 355).

Otherwise no w-influence; cf. e. g. webbe, wedde, swellynge s., swelnyn v., swelwhe s. "vorago", -wyn v., -wynge s., whelp s., whelmyn v., -ynge s.
3. wort s. »olus, caulis», wort wyrme »eruca» (placed with wo-). wyrme »vermis», wyrmwode »absinthium». wyrwyn nstrangulo». - Cf. wryhte s. (\& shyproryte nnaupicus»). [Cf. wusche s., -yn v. ~ wyschyn v.].
4. worde s. "verbum, sermo".

Note.
(w) $y$ - seems to be consistently used for (w) 1 .

Original OE w ŭ appears as wo- (perhaps $=\mathrm{w} \overline{0}-$, cf. Schulitz NG p. II) in (orthographically) o p en syllables; as wu- in (orthographically) closed syllables, except when (early) lengthened /nd, where it appears as wow- : be wone adj., wone s. ("custome»), wonon (»or dwelle»), wonon »usito», wonon "assuefacio», wonynges. "mansio», vonynge "consuetudo». - wulle "lana», -howse s., -mann s., wullok s. "villus», wulfe s., wunderelle s. "prodigium», nowundyr "nimirum", wunderon v., -drynge s., -dyrfulle adj., wunder grete; wunnynge s. ("or dwellynge») "mancio", wunnynge "frequentacio»; wunt adj. (»or vsyd»), wunton v . "assuefacio». - wownde s., wow[n]dyn v. "vulnero\%.

Original OE wŏ appears only as wo- : cf. C 4; further wolkyne s. (ウidem quod welkyn») [cf. walkyne ("or welkyne, infra»)]; wowyn pp. (<*wogen); sworyn pp., forsworne pp., swore brothyr.

## VI. The Bestiary.

Found in MS. Arundel 292 (British Museum), which was written »about the middle of the thirteenth century» (Morris, Preface p. VII) in the Southern variety of the East Midland dialect (Morsbach Gr. p. 8., Morris, Gen. \& Ex., EETS. 7, p. XV). The poem itself is assigned by Wright, Reliquiæ Antiquæ (London 184I-1843) p. 208, to the early part of the 13th century; and this opinion is evidently accepted by Morris, Gen. \& Ex. p. XIII, foot-note I.

I have used the edition published by R. Morris, on pp. I-25 of his "Old English Miscellany" (EETS., Orig. Ser. 49.

London 1872). For earlier editions, etc., see Morris' ed. p. VII f. Cf. also Mätzner, Sprachproben p. 55 ff.; E. Hallbeck : The Language of the Middle English Bestiary (Diss. Lund 1905).
A. No cases.
B.

1. ben twixen 379. no(n) wigt pron. 324 (: migt s.), 657 (: migt s.), 677. [Cf. nout, nowt 18, 262, etc. (5; incl. the rime : ogt 759); ovt pron. 683 (: dogt s.), 703; ~ nogt 186 (MS. -g), 216, etc. (9; incl. the rime : dogt s. 624), ogt 760 (: nout)].
2. No cases. - For wurdlic adj., etc., (for)wurdes, -ed sg. pres. ind., see ${ }^{8} 4$.
3. wude s. 243, 326.
quike adj. 341. - widue s. 706. witen inf. 33.
4. (for)wurde, -en sg. pres. opt., pl. pres. ind., inf. (OE weordan) 156, 270, 338, 374; probably also (cf. §§ 9, 275) (for)wurdes, -e才 $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. 75, 175, 482. - Here may also be given (cf. § 9) wurdlic adj. 233, wur đi, -rdi adj. 186, 447.
werk s. 444, and (with the vowel of the subst.) werked 3 sg. pres. ind. 498, 569. - forwerpen inf. 345, werped 3 sg . pres. ind. $327,467$.
5. werld, -e 120, 178, etc. (6).
6. No cases.

## C.

I. No w-influence : cf. e. g. wil(l)e sg. pres. ind. \& opt. 5, 14, etc. (33; incl. two rimes: 1 ), wilen pl. pres.ind. $476^{1}$ ); wilc pron. 5; swilc, -lk 336, 440; wimmen s. p1. 699; wile, -es s. \& adv. 103, 256, etc.; swiđe adv. 315 (: siðen adv.), 408, etc., swid adj. 462.

[^4]2. No w-influence; cf.e. g. sweled 3 sg. pres. ind. 319; wel adv. 727.
3. wirm s. I2O, I58, etc. (9).
4. word s. $51,330,782$.
forwurden pp. 125 probably has the vowel of the pl. pt. etc., cf. § 375.

Note.
wy-does not occur.
Original OE w ŭ appears only as wu-: wulues 590 f., rounde s. 540 (:grunde s.), wunder s. 266, etc. (4; incl. the rime : tunder 536), wune s. »habit» 368,638 , wnen etc. v. 277, etc. (9). - Here also belongs the analogical wurd 3 sg . pt. ind. 689; probably also (cf. C 4) forwurden pp. I25.

Original OE w o appears as wo- in word (cf. C 4); and (probably, see § 374 f.) as wu- in wulde, -es pt. sg. \& pl. »would» 89,454 f., etc. (6) (cf. sulde pt. „should» I49, which form may however have adopted the vowel of pres. pl. sulen.).
VII. Genesis and Exodus.

Edited by R. Morris, EETS., Orig. Ser. 7 (London 1865; second ed. 1874). There is only one MS. known, which belongs to the Library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge; according to Morris (Preface p. VI) it is written min a hand of about 1300 A. D."

Cf. Hilmer: Die Sprache von Genesis und Exodus. Programm, Sondershausen 1876 (not accessible to me); cf. further, for proposed corrections to the text, etc., Anglia 2, 5, 6, 15, 22, E. St. 3, 4, 16, 17, Archiv 90, 107, 109.

My material has been collected from Morris' first edition; I have not had access to the second.

A．
nile 1806 ， 1963.
B．
I．wigt »brave» 863．－［Cf．nogt pron．\＆adv．39， etc．］．

2．No certain cases．－For wurd，－e 3 sg．pres．ind．，and compounds of wurd，－e s．\＆adj．，see B 4 ．－［Cf．the Scand． werre comp．395I］．

3．wude，－es 473，476，1306．－wukes s．pl． 2473.
swike adj．pl． 2845 （：witterlike）．－wite，－en inf．\＆pl． pres．ind．74，328，etc．（9；incl．the rime ：writen pp．523）． sister 766，II70，etc．（4）．
93 4．wur den（OE，weor すan）inf．4I，53，etc．（9），pl．pres．ind． 3559，3721，wurd sg．imp．3513，－e sg．pres．opt．I55，etc．（4）， －ede，－eden（weak）pt．I528，20II，2946；further（if not be－ longing to B 2 ，cf．§§ 9，275）wurd，－e 3 sg．pres．ind．642， 1943，2058， $2135,4 \mathrm{II} 2$.

Here may also be given（cf．§ 9）wor den pl．pres．ind．（of OE weordian）2463，－ed pp．IOI2，I629，I924，－e，－ed，－ede， －eden pt．1OIO，etc．（4）；wurdinge s．33，I33，etc．（9）；wursipe s．2757，－ed pp．5II；wur すful（hed）2678，3499；wur（de）like adv． I456，I5I8，2760；（stal）wи すi adj．655，864，etc．（6）；～wor すed pp． 262 （probably miswritten for wor－：there are no other cases of $w^{\prime} O$－for $w ~ \breve{u}-$ ，and altogether very few cases of -0 － for $O E$ ŭ in GE，cf．§ 96）．
werkes s．pl． 2838 ；besides（：with the vowel of the subst．） werken inf．\＆pl．pres．850，2799；－chen inf．3220．dwert adj． 3099；－ed pt．I324．－swerd，－es s．I307，I327， 2843 （：offerd pp．），etc．（7）．werp sg．imp．2803，－en inf．3358， 3794.

5．wale s． 809 （：dale s．，OE dal），I355（：dale）．
（engel）wird s．I790，4140，－r才 I786．
werld，－e，－es 38，42，48，etc．（5I；incl．－lde 90I）；～werde，－es 32，280，59I，13I5．weli adj． 2528.

6．（？）werk s． 3902 （or perhaps－thus MORRIS－＜me－
tathesis of wreke, -che). werne pres. opt., -ed pp.,-ede, -eden pt. 2207, 2797, etc. (5).

For waried pp. 544, see § 331. C.
I. No w-influence. Cf. e. g. wile, -en, wilt pres. I91, 206, etc. (rimed: í 1520, 253I, : $\overline{1} 3276,3444$ ); wist, $-e$, en pt. \& pp. 768, 779, etc.; quilc, -lke 1404, 1572, etc. (numerous) $\approx$ quil $3631 \sim$ quel 170 (: scribal error, or $<\mathrm{OE}$ hwelc); swilc, -lke 143, 147, etc.' (numerous) ~ swil 2388 [ $\simeq$ swiulc 632, no doubt scribal error]; wimman, -es sg. 228, 374 f., etc. (7), wimmen pl. 532, 653, etc. (numerous).
2. wol adv. (= »very»; always / an adj.) 62I, 724, I266, etc. (6) [~ wel 2, 3, etc., rimed 229 (: dèll s.), etc.; cf. welcume, -ede 1396, 1830].

Generally we-: e. g. welkede pt. 2107 (cf. welken pl. pt. »walked» 588).
3. wurt S. II9.
wirm, -e, -es s. 178, 321, etc. (5); -ede pt. 3242; ~ wrim s. 169, 183, etc. (5). - Cf. bred-wrigte s. 2077; wrigtful, wrigteslike 2076, 2204 (cf. Stratm.-Bradley). - For werchen V . see B 4 .
 $\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{i}$-umlaut. Apart from evident blunders of the scribe (such as e. g. kugriche, -dom, -land 1258, 1260, 1262, gugland 1264, = »king-») and a few forms whose vowels are due to analogy (cf. Gadow, ON §§ 5r, 59), -u- appears only in burdene s. "birth" 1467 , and $-e$ - only in shetten v . 1078 (this last form occurs consistently also in Prose Ps., cf. below § I24, and Morsb. Gr. § I29, Anm. 8)].
4. (bode)word, -es s. 18, 44, etc. (18; incl. -rt 73) ~ (bode)wurd, -es 736, 2494, etc. (9; incl. -rd 3993).
worpen pp. 1943; probably also worpen pl. pt. ind. 2923 (: with the vowel < the pp., cf. the forms storue sg. pt. opt. 1958, -en pl. pt. 2975, etc., doluen pl. pt. 3189, holpen pl. pt.
3674). - Cf. (-)wrogt, -en pp. \& pl. pt. 40 (:nogt pron.), 6I (:brogt), etc., (?) wrocte pt. 230 (cf. E. St. 3,279); ~ worout pt. 156.

96 Note.
wy-does not occur (: $-y$ - is altogether very rare in GE).
Original OE $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ appears only as wu-: wune etc. s. \& adj: 494 (: sune), etc.; wunen etc. v. 300, 306 (rimed: ̆ $\mathbf{u}$ ), etc.; wunder, -dren etc. s. \& v. 69, 585, etc.; swunken pl. pt. 1656; wurden pl. pt. 286, 667, etc. (5), and (by analogy) wurd I sg. pt. ind. 2062, wur $\delta,-e 3$ sg. pt. ind. 57, 272, etc. (73; incl. -rd 995, I197, 3174 : $\delta$ occurs very often for $d$ in GE); wunded pp. 853; wunden pp. 2597. - For worpen pl. pt. see above C 4 . - $[-0-$ for original $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ is rare in GE; I have noticed only fol 2II ( $\sim$ usual ful etc.), comen pp. 344 (rimed: numen pp.; ~ usual cumen), nomen pp. 3039 (rimed: cumen inf.; ~ usual numen), domme „dumb» 2821, gronde s. 874 ( $\sim-u$-); cf. also coueren \#recover» 1240].

Original OE wo appears as wo- - cf. C 4; further wold, -e, -en pt. »would» 912, I4I8f., 3620 (: golde s.), 3756 [cf. nolden 3029], swolgen pp. 1976, swoven pp. 824, etc. (6; all rimed : boven pp., bi-foren) - except in roulde, -en pt. „would» 2I4, 726, etc. (about 40 cases), cf. § 374.

## VIII. Osbern Bokenam's Lives of Saints.

Edited by C. Hortsmann (: E. Kölbing's Altengl. Bibliothek I. Heilbronn 1883) from the unique MS. Arundel 327 (Brit. Mus.), which was made - as proved by a contemporary note at the end of the MS. - at Cambridge A. D. 1447 (cf. Horstmann op.cit.p. XII). Three different handwritings are discernible in the MS.: the general Prologue ( P ) and piece I (St. Margaret), pp. I-36, are written by one
scribe, piece 2 (St. Anna), pp. 37-54, by another, and pieces 3-13 (: 3 St. Christina, 4 \#IIooo Virgines», 5 St. Fides, 6 St. Agnes, 7 St. Dorothea, 8 St. Magdalena, 9 St. Katharina, io St. Cæcilia, II St. Agatha, I2 St. Lucia, I3 St. Elisabeth), pp. 54-266, by a third. On the whole, the three scribes seem to have followed their source very closely and do not differ much in orthography (: Horstmann op. cit. p. XII f.); cf. however below § 98 .

According to the author's own evidence (cf. Horstmann op. cit. p. VII f.) the work was begun in 1443 and some of the pieces written in, or before, I445; as the extant MS. was made in I447, the whole work must have been composed within the short space of about 4 years. - The author further te'ls us that he wrote his work in the Suffolk dialect; cf. St. Agnes v. 29 f.: »And perfore spekyn \& wrytyn I wyl pleynly Aftyr pe language of Suthfolk speche». Whether his name has anything to do with the old Bokeham (now B ook ham) in Surrey (cf. Horstmann op. cit. p. V) is, to say the least, very uncertain; as far as my investigation goes I have found nothing in his language that is incompatible with his having been a Suffolk man born and bred.

My material covers the rimes of the whole work, and the 98 orthographical forms of pp. 1-36 (: the work of the first scribe) and pp. 159-266 (: pieces 9-13, the second half of the work of the third scribe). I have chosen these parts of the work because they present, in one particular point, a remarkably consistent orthography : $w+\breve{u}$ in closed syllables is generally rendered by $w u$-, and the subst. „word» with few exceptions appears as wurd(e); while the rest of the MS. often has wo- in these cases. On pp. 37-54, the work of the second scribe, this $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$, and „word», appear only as wo- (: worthy etc., worshyp etc., wonderful, woman sg.), with the exception of wurthy adj. 122.

It is very probable that the author himself wrote wu- in
these cases, in accordance with his plan to nspeak and write after the Suffolk speech». The first scribe, then, simply followed his source in this point. The second scribe on the other hand almost consistently introduced wo-, in accordance with the usual orthography of the time. As to the third scribe, wo-forms of w u - in closed syllables (and of "word») are common only in the beginning of his work, growing gradually rarer; thus, only wu- occurs in the second half of piece 6, in piece 7 (with the exception of two cases of worde), and in piece 8 (except for unworthy, v. I224). This state of things may be explained thus, that the scribe, perhaps influenced by the orthography of the preceding piece, started with the intention of using (the customary) wo- for $\mathrm{w} \check{\mathrm{u}}$, a plan which he gradually abandoned, however, growing more and more exact in his adherence to the orthography of his source. - This being so, we may be justified in inferring that such (occasional) variants as world(e) etc. 2/26, 694, 3/2II, 3I8, 5/64, wyrking pres. p. 3/67I, 6/277, 672, warkys s. pl. 3/887, systyr $4 / 263$ (and in "Schlussnote ... von anderer Hand», cf. Horstmann op. cit. p. XIII), wymmen pl. 2/128, such 2/19, 3/439, 5/139, wol I sg. pres. ind. 3/331, (?) wolkome adj. 8/1047, woord s. 3/849, which never occur on pp. I-36, 159-266, were not found in the original MS.

An investigation of Bokenam's language, mainly based on his rimes, has been made by A. Hoofe (: Lautuntersuchungen zu Osbern Bokenam's legenden. E. St. 8,209 ff.). Cf. also C. Horstmann's "Einleitung».
A.
(I, he, she, ye) nyl I/235, 264, 679, 1042, 11/404; ( (ou) nylt 9/955, 12/303.
B.
I. be-twix I/7IO; (be) twyx $1 / 986,1058,9 / 755,10 / 485$, 13/IIOI. wy(c)hte s. (OE gewiht) 12/365, 407. wyht s. (OE
wiht) 13/677 (: knyght s.) [cf. ow(h)t pron. 9/924 (: browht pp.), 12/190; now ( $h$ ) $t$, no( $u$ ) ht pron. \& adv. 1/17, 24, 447, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes : wrowt, -ouht pt. \& pp., browt, -ouht pp., boht pp., pouht s., etc.), ~ not adv. P III, I59, etc. (numerous), incl. not-for-than P 212, 9/447, etc., not-wythstondyng 9/280)].
2. wurst sup. 12/62 (: adust adj., < Lat. ad $\overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{stus}$ ) ~ werst I3/813 [cf. wers comp. 3/IOI5].
wyrshepyst 2 sg. pres. ind. II/209 [may have been introduced by the scribe (cf. § 98) for the usual wur-, wor- (see B 4)]. - [Cf. whyrled pt. 5/23].

For wurth adj., etc., see B 4 .
3. sustyr 10/347, 507, I2/121 [cf. systyr above § 98].
wode s. I/986. - wokys s. pl. 13/881, [4/169].
qwyke adj. pl. 9/476; ~ quekyn v. 10/782, 793, 796. -wydewede, wyderwod s. I3/64, 684; ~ wedwe, -wys s. 9/970, 974. wet, $-e,-y n$, $-y$ th inf., pl. imp. \& pres. ind. $1 / 225,880$ (: Margrete), 9/146, 10/765, 12/356.

The $e$-forms probably have $\mathrm{e}<\stackrel{1}{1}$ in open syllables [cf. e. g. leuyn v. I2/296 ( $\sim-y-)$, lely s. I/209, sekyr adj. I/226, etc. $(\sim-y-)$, wrete, $-y n \mathrm{pp} .\mathrm{I} / 4 \mathrm{I}$ etc. (rimed : swete adj. I/Io88) $(\sim-y-)]$, as we- does not appear for $w i$ in originally closed syllable (for weling pres. p., cf. § 102). - Similarly the woforms may have $\overline{0}<$ ŭ in open syllables.
4. Here may be given (cf. § 9) wurth adj. 12/280, (un-, IOI thank-) wurthy adj., -yest sup., -yly adv., -ynesse s. P 34, I34, $9 / 335,363$, etc. (20), wurshepe, -shype, -chepe, -sype, etc. s. \& v. I/22, 153, 263, etc. (25; incl. vur- I2/I30) ~ worshype etc. v. II/561, 605, 13/12I, I37, 982 (: wo- for w u , cf. above § 98) [ $\sim w y r$-, cf. above B 2].
werk, -ys s. P 2 (: clerk), 21, 27, etc. (29; incl. 4 rimes: clerk, and the rime : merk s. 6/124) [cf. warkys s. pl. above § 98]; further (: with the vowel of the subst.) werkyng pres. p. 1/16,522, 10/435, 12/14. ouerthwerte 9/737 (: herte s.). -
swerd, -e s. I/317, 458, 736, etc. (6; incl. the rime: fērd pl. pt. 12/460).
5. werd, -e, -ys s. I/945, 9/305, 10/95, etc. (18), werdly adj. $9 / 92$, II/90, etc. (6); werldys gen. sg. 9/208, werldly adj. 9/7 ( $-l$ - in both cases probably introduced by the scribe); ~ wardys gen. sg. I3/472 (probably not original). [Cf. world etc. above § 98$]$.
6. No cases.
C.

1. wumman etc. sg. I/I4I, 9/225, 319, etc. (26); wummen etc. pl. I/I22, 344, etc. (II). [Cf. wymmen pl. above § 98].

Otherwise no w-influence. Cf. e. g. wil sg. \& pl. pres. P 46, 73, etc. $\sim w y l,-e, w y l t ~ s g . ~ \& ~ p l . ~ p r e s . ~ P ~ 3, ~ 33, ~ 623, ~$ 1/33, etc., wyln 1 pl. 10/765 [cf. wol, see § 98; cf. also weling pres. p. 6/204, 8/487, either < OE we- (cf. Stevers Gr. § 428 Anm. 4) or more probably, with $\bar{e}<\check{1}$ in open syllable (: $1<11$ in weak stress, or from other forms of the verb)]; wich pron. I/89 ~ wych P 5, 7, I4, etc.; swych $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{I} 65$. 175, etc. [cf. such, see § 98]; why P 4, 22, etc.
2. No w-influence : cf. e. g. swelle inf. I3/534 (: helle); swelwyd pt. \& pp.I/473, 485, 538; welke inf. „wither» 10/284. [Cf. wolkome adj., above § 98].
3. No cases. For werkyng pres. p., see B 4. [Cf. wyrkyng, see § 98].
4. wurd, $-e,-i s,-y s \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{P} 5,26, \mathrm{I} / 266,35 \mathrm{I}$, etc. (6I) ~ wourdys pl. 9/880, Io/632, I3/I7 ~ word, -ys I/Io64, II/225, 13/643, 972 [cf. woord 3/849, see above § 98]. - Cf. wrowt, -ou(h)t, -oght pt. \& pp. 1/937 (: nowt adv.), 96o (: brout pp.), etc.
ro3 Note regarding the orthography of the MS. (i. e. Horstmann pp. 1-36, 159-266; cf. above §§ 97, 98).
$\mathrm{w}^{\frac{-}{1}}$ is very often written $w y$-.
Original OE w ŭ appears as wu-, as wou- (: only / nd),
and (in originally open syllables, where $\breve{\mathrm{u}}>\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ ) as wo-: wul s. 13/516; wuluys pl. 1/252; wunne, -en pt. \& pp. 1о/866, 876 , 13/140; wundyr etc. s. \& v. P 133, 1/265, etc. (9); wundys s. pl. „wounds» 13/882; ~ wounde, -ys s., -yd pp. 1/445, 9/63I, etc. (incl. rimes : stounde s., confounde v., grounde s.); ~ won, -e adj. "used» 9/917, 13/284, 898. Original OE w ŏ appears as wu- ~ wou- ~ wo-: cf. C 4; further wolde etc. pt. P 183, I/I54, etc. ( cf. nolde pt. 1/152, 823, 9/113, etc.).
c. London texts.
I. The Proclamation of Henry III., A. D. 1258 (MS. H).

This valuable specimen of the London dialect has often been printed; cf. Körting, Grundriss p. 79, foot-note. Cf. also Morsbach, Schriftsprache p. I6y ff. - My citations refer to Mätzner, Sprachproben II p. 54 ff .
A. No cases.
B.
2. iwersed $\mathrm{pp} .54 / \mathbf{1 2}$.
3. Wirechestr' $56 / 7$. - [witen pl. pres. opt. 54/3].
4. worpnesse s. $54 / 5$.
I. 5. 6. No cases.
C.
I. No w-influence appears; cf. e. g. willen pl. pres. ind. 54/3, 12, 56/r.
2. No w-influence appears.
3. No cases.
4. worden s. pl. 56/2I.

106 Note.
wy-, wu- do not appear.
Original OE w o appears as wo-: cf. C 4; further isworene pp. 56/5.

## II. Chaucer.

My material has been collected from the first 4422 verses (General Prologue - Cook's Tale) of the Canterbury Tales in the Ellesmere MS., as printed by the Chaucer Society (I have used the 6-Text edition, by Furnivall, Chaucer Soc., First Series I, I4); and from the Rime-Indexes to the Canterbury Tales (by Cromie, Chaucer Soc., First Ser. 45, 47), Troilus and Crisseyde (by Skeat, Chaucer Soc., First Ser. 84), and the Minor Poems (by Isabel Marshall \& Lela Porter, Chaucer Soc., First Ser. 80).

For the genuineness of those MS. forms which are not proved by the rimes, cf. J. Frieshammer: Die sprachliche Form der Chaucerschen Prosa, Halle a S. Igio (Morsbach, Studien XLII). As pointed out in that work, many forms of this kind (cf. e. g. such, below C I) cannot be ascribed to Chaucer; while others (cf. e. g. werken etc. v., below B 4), supported by the joint evidence of the best MSS., should certainly be accepted as variants actually found in Chaucer's language.

The numbers 1-4422 refer to the verses of the Canterbury Tales (as numbered in the 6 -Text ed.); the quotations from
the Rime-Indexes, when given in full, I have kept unaltered, only prefixing CT. (Cant. Tales), Tr. (Troilus \& Criss.), and the respective titles of the Minor Poems (MP.).
A.

108
nyste pt. 3414, 4225, 4300. - nylle I sg. pres. ind. : wille s. CT. $573 / \mathrm{I} 462$.
B.
I. bitwix, - $e$,-en prep. 277, 880, I180, etc. (14), bitwyxen 2132. wight, wyght s. (OE wiht) 7 I (: knyght), 280, 326, etc. (numerous); further many rimes : -ight, -yght. [Cf. aught pron. (rimed : naught) ~ ought (rimed: -ought); naught pron. \& adv. (rimed -aught) ~ nought adv. (rimed: -ought), noght pron. \& adv. (very common; rimed: -oght), nat adv. (usual form), not adv. 2576]. wighte s. (OE gewiht) 2145 (: brighte adj.), 2520 (: fighte v.), 4086; and other rimes:-ighte, -yghte. wight adj. : -ight, -yght CT. (3).
2. wors, -e comp. I224, I348, 4350 (: curs s.); worste sup. 109 1614; further worse comp. : corse v. „curse» CT. 444/I308.

Only in rime (as far as my material goes) appears the variant wers, -e comp. 3174 (: reherce v.), 3733 (: ers s.), 3872 (: openers s.); further werse : reherce CT. 495/600, werse : aduerse, diuerse Tr. IV. 工7I, wers : peruers Dethe of Blaunche 23/814; and finally Tr. III. 6 worse : universite (where the original evidently had werse : universe). - The wer-form can of course be explained as $\mathrm{Kentish}(<\mathrm{wyr}$ ) as is done e. g. by Morsbach, Schriftsprache p. 156; but as Sho. and Ay. have only wor- (see $\S \S 232,242$ ) and, further, Chaucer also uses the unmistakably Scand. werre comp. [rimed : werre s. Dethe of Blaunche 18/616], the form werse in Chaucer is no doubt of Scand. origin (cf. further $\$ \S 26_{3}, 26_{7}$ ).

For worth, -y adj., etc., see B 4.
3. wode, -es s. $1422,1522,1618$, etc. (7); wodebynde s. IIO 1508; woodecraft s. IIO.
suster sg., sustren pl. 871, 972, 1019, etc. (7) ~ soster (MS. Hengwrt sus-) 3486 (: pater noster).
wowke s. 1539 („vermutlich keine Chaucersche Form», TEN BRINk $35 \alpha$; MS. Hengwrt wike) $\simeq$ wykes pl. I850; reyke: syke v. ssigh», pyke v. Tr. II.62, II. 182.
$q u y k$, -e adj. 306, 1015; (quyk siluer 629); quyked pt. 2335. twies adv. 4348 (2); twyes: Ieupardyes Dethe of Blaunche 19/665. - wydwe s. 253, 1171. wite, en inf., pl. pres. ind. »known 1260, 1794, 3555 [wityng pres. p. I6II]; rimed : zoriten pp. СT. 390/ 1890, 456/1740.
III 4. Here may be given (cf. § 9) worth adj. I82, 785, 1558 ; worthy adj., -ily adv., -ynesse s. 43, 47, 50, 64, etc. (28); worshipe s., -ipful adj. 1435, 1904, 1912, worshipe v. 225T; worstede s. 262.

Southwerk n. pr. 20, 718, 3140; werk, -es s. 479 (: clerk), 3308, 3311 (:clerk), 4337 (:derk); further rimes: clerk, haroberk, merk s., derke adj. CT. (9), Tr. (1), MP. (pp. II, 104) (4). Here belongs the analogical werk, -en, -es imp. sg., inf., pl. pres. ind. 779, 3131, 3528, etc. (6) [for werche inf., see C 3].
swerd, -es s. 112, 558, 1215, etc. (I8; incl. the rime: herd pp. 1598); further rimes : berd s., herd pp. CT. (4). querne s.: werne v. House of Fame 230/1797.

For ouerthwart adv. I99I, cf. § 308.
5. world, -es s., -ly adj. 176, 187, 282, etc. (33).
wele s. 895 , 1272 (:heele s. "health"), 2673 (?), 3ror (:heele).
6. werne inf.: laterne s. СT. 343/334; werne inf.: descerne, eterne, yerne v. \& adj. Tr. (3); werne: querne House of Fame 230/1797.
C.

112 I. An influence of $w$ - appears in the forms womman (-ly, -hede) sg. 459, IIOI, I157, etc. (9), wommen pl. 213, 217, 92I, etc. (8); as far as my material goes (cf. also FrieshamMER pp. 23, (19) only wo-forms are used in the Ellesmere MS., no doubt in accordance with Chaucer's language. - Further
in wol, $-e$ pl. and I \& 3 sg. pres. ind. 42, 66I, 723, etc. (97), woln 3 pl. 2121, 2122, 2123, wolt(ow) 2 sg. 1544, 1595, 1624, etc. (8) [ ~ wiltow 2 sg. 1156, 3718; further wilt 2 sg.: bilt, gilt, spilt "An A. B. C.» 134/189 (wiltow 4040, and wil I \& 3 sg. 4029, 4036, 404I, 4085, 4III, 4I33, 4I78, 4I86, 4209, belong to the speech of the two "yonge clerkes" from the North)], which $w$-forms, as a rule at least, are probably original.

All other words have only $w i$-, wy-, except for the isolated such, -e 360, 1985, 2485 (MS. Hengwrt in all cases swich), which, being a later London form, has probably been introduced by the scribe [ $\sim$ swich, $-e 3,243,247,313$, etc. (43)]. Cf. e. g. which, -e 4, 40, 16I, 568, etc. (very numerous; only form, except for whilk $4078,417 \mathrm{I}$, in the language of the *lerkes», cf. above); wil, -lle, ~ wy-, s. 1104 (:fil pt. „fell»), 1317 (: -fille inf. »-fill»), 1845, etc.; wilnen etc. v. 1609, etc.; wiste, -est pt. 224, 228, etc.; while s. \& adv.; etc.
2. No w-influence; cf. e. g. swelle, -eth inf. \& 3 sg. pres. II3 2743, 2752 (rimed : ë ); whelpe s. 257 (:helpe), 2627; whelkes s. pl. 632; swelte I sg. pres. ind., pt. sg. I356, 3703. - For swalowe inf.: holowe adj. pl. House of Fame 209/1036 [:originally of course swol-; swalowe probably has the vowel of the pt. sg., cf. Pogatscher E. St. 27,272], see § 356.
3. wyerdes s. pl.: hierdes s. pl. Tr. III. 89. - wirche inf. 2759, 3308, 3430, 3664 (all rimed: chirche s.); further wirche: chirche CT. (4), House of Fame 193/474; ~werche inf.: cherche CT. $546 / 545$. The we-form m a y be an original (Kentish) form, but as it seems to be very rare in Chaucer (cf. werken etc. v. B 4; further Frieshammer p. I7 f.), it is probably a (Kentish) form introduced by the scribe. - Cf. wrighte, -es s. 614,3143 .
4. word, -es s. 304, 313, 498, etc. (very numerous; incl. the rimes: bord s. 3439, 3586, : hoord s. 4405); further rimed : bord s., lord s., hoord s., toord s., recorde, accorde CT. (II), MP. (pp. 2I f., II4, 164) (3). - Cf. (y) wroght (e) pp. \& pt. 196, 367,

497, etc. ( 12 ; incl. 2 rimes: -oght(e)); further numerous rimes $(-)$ wro(u)ght(e) etc.: -o(u)ght(e).

II4 Note.
$w^{\frac{1}{1}}$ is often written wy-
Original OE w $\breve{u}$ appears as wo-, and (only / nd) as wou-(wu-does not occur as far as my material goes; cf. also Frieshammer p. 23): wolle s., wolf s., wone s. "habit", wont adj., wonyng(e) pres. p. \& s., woneden pl. pt., swonken pp., (y)wonne(n) pp., wonder, -ly, wondred pt.; ~ wounde(s) s., -ed pp.

Original OE w o o appears as wo-, and (once only) as wou-: cf. C 4; further swore, (y)sworn pp. 810 (:-fore), 1088 (:born), etc., wold, $-e,-e n,-e s t ~ p t .27,144,192$, etc. (incl. the rime: olde adj. 2040) [cf. nolde pt. 903, 1024, etc.]; ~ would pt. 812.

The following material has been collected from Morsвасн's treatise „Über den Ursprung der neuenglischen Schriftsprache» (Heilbronn 1888). I have not had recourse to the texts themselves, except for the Returns of the London Gilds $\left(\mathrm{G}^{1}, \mathrm{G}^{2}, \mathrm{G}^{3}\right)$, EETS. 40, pp. 3-13. - The greatest interest attaches of course to the "Londoner Urkunden», which present the purest London dialect; the cases from the »Staatsurkunden» and the "Parlamentsurkunden» are given below in square brackets.

The documents used by Morsbach generally belong to the period $1384 / 85-1430$; but they also include some wills dating from I43I-I439, and one of A. D. I454.

The abbreviations found below are those used by Morsbach op. cit.
A.
nel U.
B.

1. [betwix Pr. (2), L (2), G1., Sec., Reg.; betwyx Pr. (2), Nom. 1423]. wighte s. (OE gewiht) $\mathrm{G}^{2} 8 / 5, \mathrm{G}^{3} \mathrm{II} / 7$; ~ weyght W II4/4, 5 [Gr. ${ }^{3}$ (2), Gr. ${ }^{4}$ (2); weight $\mathrm{Gr}^{2}$ (2)] (cf. § 256). Cf. oght pron. U; [o(u)ght Tr., Pr. (3)]; no(u)ght, noust ~ nat, not ["Staatsurk.» have not, rarely nat, noght; "Parlamentsurk.》 nought, not (never nat)].
2. worst sup. W $3 / \mathrm{I} 2$. - For worth, $-y \mathrm{~s}$. \& adj., etc., see B 4 .
3. wode s. W 9I/II.

II7
[woke s. Nom. 1423] ~ weke W 3/Io, II; [Reg.] ~wyk(e) $\mathrm{G}^{1}$ 5/6, I3, G ${ }^{2} 7 / 2, \mathrm{G}^{3} 9 / \mathrm{I} 9$.
suster sg. W 133/3; $\mathrm{G}^{1} 4 / \mathrm{I} 2,22 ; \mathrm{G}^{2} 6 / 4,8,7 / 7, \mathrm{I}, 8 / \mathrm{I} 6$; $\mathrm{G}^{3} 9 / 7$, II, IO/4, 8, 20; sustren pl. $\mathrm{G}^{1} 3 / 6, \mathrm{I} 7,2 \mathrm{I}, 4 / \mathrm{I} 9,5 / \mathrm{I} 5 ; \mathrm{G}^{2}$ 7/II; ~ sister W 67/I4.
wedue W 15/18; wedewe W 16/2.
wetyn inf. W 3/7, [wete inf. Resp. 1427; (wetingly Resp. 1423)]; ~ wyte inf. M I5, 43, [wyt inf. Pr.]; (unwytyng M 53; [wittyngly Reg.]). [wote I pl. pres. L has $\bar{i}$ from the sg. (: Morsbach op. cit. p. I50)].

These we-forms (weke, wedue, wetyn, etc.) may all be due to the change of $\check{1}>\bar{e}$ in open syllables (cf. Luick St. p. 201 ff.); cf. such forms as leue v. »live», hedir- "hither», sekerly, $y$-wrete etc. pp. (Morsb., Schriftsprache pp. 33, 63). Cf. further § 288.
4. Here may be given (cf. §9) (peny-, sheling)worth s. (\& II8 adj. ?), (un)worthy, -iest adj. U (6), M I, I8, 23, »u. öfters»; W 2/3, 17/I5, I7, 133/26; [Pr. »öfters»; Gr. ${ }^{1}$; Resp. I423]; wors(c)hip(e), -schepe s., -ful(l) adj. M 52, W II/5, 14, 15, etc., $\mathrm{G}^{1} 3 / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{G}^{2} 7 / \mathrm{I} 4,28,8 / 7, \mathrm{G}^{3}$ 10/15, 3I, 11/9; [Tr. (2), Pr., D, L; Gr. ${ }^{2}$, Gr. ${ }^{4}$; Schied. »öfters»]; [worsships. Gr. ${ }^{3}$; woshups.
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W 132/2I; [worship, -ip(p)ed v. Pr. (2), L]; ~ wurthy adj. W 133/8, wur(s)shipful W 132/I, 2I; wurstede s. W 133/6.
werk, -is, -ys s. W 99/II, etc. (often in W), wherk W 8I/9; ~ warkis, -es s. W 21/25 (:A. D. 1413), 105/I, 106/3 (:both A. D. 1436).
5. [world s. Pr., Schied. (3)]; wordly adj. W I32/7. [weell s. (OE wela) Nom. 1423].
6. [werune inf. (OE, wiernan etc.) Com.]

## C.

1. womman sg. $\mathrm{M}_{34}, \mathrm{~W}_{\text {II }} / 25, \mathrm{G}^{2} 7 / 9, \mathrm{G}^{3} \mathrm{Io} / 6$; wom $(m)$ en pl. M 33, W I/r4, II/9 [~ wymen pl. Reg.]. - wol, woll(e) sg. pres. U, W II/2, 65/4 ("neben will 65/II, 66/6 in derselben Urk.»), 81/6,10, 82/22, 99/23, »u. öfters»; I »oft»; wol(e), woll p1. M 42, W 80/7, 8r/17; 66/7, 89/15 (\#neben häufigem will)); [wol sg. Tr. (5), D, O (»öfters); wol(le) sg. Gr. ${ }^{1}$, Schied. (2); wol, woll(e) p1. Pr. (3), O, G1., D (2), L. (2), Tr.; Resp. I427, Reg., Schied.]; ~ wull sg. W 105/I ( ~ woll(l), wolle in the same document 105/9, etc. (I2)), wul(l) pl. W 133/I3, I34/II (~ wil pl. 134/土3); ~ wele W 67/10, 17/20, M 43 (: Morsbach op. cit. p. 64: "geht mit ziemlicher Sicherheit schon auf ae. Nebenformen mit $e \ldots$ zurück»); ~wil, will(e), wyl(l), the usual form in the »Londoner Urkunden», frequent also in the other documents (cf. Morsb. op. cit. pp. 64, 65, 66). - such, -e is the only form in the "Londoner Urkunden»: e. g. U (9), M 9, II, etc. (II), W I34/I2, G1 4/I6, 5/3; it is the usual form also in the other documents: [Tr. (14), Pr., D; Resp. I404, Respp. 1425 (4), Resp. I427(3), Nom. I422(3), Nom. I423(3), Sec., Schied. (6), Talb.; ~ swiche O (6), G1. (2), swiche, swyche Reg. (5); ~ syche Pr. (3), siche Reg.].

On the other hand, there are no -u-forms of »which»: which(e) $\mathrm{U}(4)$; "sehr oft in M ; in $\mathrm{G}^{1}, \mathrm{G}^{2}, \mathrm{G}^{3}$ und in den Testamenten», [Tr. ॥sehr oft»; D (2), O »öfters»; L, G1.; whiche, whyche »stets in Pr.»; Resp. 1404 (2), Resp. 1427 "öfters»].; ~ w(h)eche W 83/2, 97/II; W 2/2, 4, 6; I (2), (< OE -e-, according to

Morsbach op. cit. p. 64). All other words belonging here have only wi-, wy-
2. No w-influence. - byqwothin pp. ("Londoner Urk.") is due to "Anlehnung an die Klasse IV.» (Morsb. op. cit. p. I39).
3. werche inf. $\mathrm{G}^{3} 9 / 20$.
4. word s. (Morsb. op. cit. p. 64: $=-\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ - ).

Note.
w 1 is often written $w y$-; cf. Morsbach op. cit. pp. 32,38, 47, 49.

Original OE w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ appears as wo-, in wolle s., -felle s. I, [Respp. 1423, I425, $\mathrm{Gr}^{2}$, Gr. ${ }^{3}$, Gr.4], [wonder s. Tr.], wont pp. W 81/12, [Pr.].

Original OE w o a appears only as wo-: cf. word C 4; further swore( $n$ ), sworn(e) pp. (passim), wolde( $n$ ) pt. (passim).

## d. West Midland texts.

Since the existence of an ü-vowel in the ME WMidl. dialect is still a matter of dispute - cf. on one hand Morsb. Gr. § 7, on the other Sweet HES §§ 662, 664 and, lately, Jordan, GRM II, p. I29f. - I have found it necessary toenter into this question here.

OE (and Scand.) $\overline{\mathrm{y}}^{1}$ ) appears as follows in Gaw., Prose Ps., and Myrc (words belonging to groups B 2 and C 3 are not included):

[^5]$122 \quad G a w$.
The usual form is $-y-,-i$－（both $=\overline{1})$ ；thus exclusively $h v d e \mathrm{~s}$ ． （2），hyden inf．（I），pryde s．（4；\＆r．：syde s．），rimed pt．（I）， kynde s．（numerous；incl． 2 rimes：$\overline{1}$ ），mynde s．（numerous）， fyre，$-i$－s．（7），myre s．（I），lifernes s．（I），and the Scand．lypen v．（土）；further prich s．（土），by3t s．（土），dryatyn s．（3），bye v． （土），brygge s．（5），rygge s．（2），kyng etc．（passim），bynk v．（I）； did，－dden pt．（2），hid pt．（I），kyd etc．pp．（5），（？）vn－rydelich adj．（1），（？）glyfte pt．（土），lyfte adj．（4），lyfte s．（2），（？）dille »dull»（I），fylle v．（2），hille，－y－s．（7），kylled pp．（I），sille s．（1）， fylpe s．（2），gilt，gyld adj．（3），dyn etc．s．\＆v．（5），kyn s．（2）， synne s．（1），wynne s．（7；incl．I I．：1），（？）mynne etc．v．（pas－ sim），mynged pt．（I），－clypped pt．（I），hypped pt．（I），kyrf s． （I），kyrk s．（I）；fyrst，－i－（numerous），kyrtel s．（I），kysses etc． v．（passim），lyst，－e pres．\＆pt．（7），lystily »quickly»（2），lysten v．Misten»（2），（？）tryst v．，－yly（3），dit pp．（1），brit（te）ned etc． v．（4），knitten v．（4），lyte »little，few»（5）～lit（t）el，－y－（I3）， kyth s．（2）；and the Scand．biges，－gged v．»build»（2），lyfte v． （passim）．
I23 Only－u－（v－），－o－appears in（？）blussh etc．s．\＆v．（4），（？） stubbe s．（I），mulne s．（I），vmbe（－）prep．\＆adv．（passim），lur s．（3），spur（y）ed，unspurd pp．（3），stures pres．（I），（？）burde（s） s．（I3），burpe s．»birth＂（I），gorde pp．＂girt»（I）～gurde s．\＆ pp．（2），burled pt．（I），sturn，－e，－ly adj．\＆adv．（8），fust s． （I；probably with shortened vowel，as there are no certain cases of $\overline{\mathrm{u}}<\mathrm{OE}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}$ ，cf．further KnigGe Gaw．p．47），put v．（2）；and the Scand．bur s．（5）．
$-u-(v-),-o(u)-$ by the side of $-i-,-y$－（and $-e$－in mery）occurs in a number of words：muckel（I），much（e）（numerous）～miche， mych（2）；vch etc．（numerous）～iche（2），ilk（e）（numerous）； ruche etc．v．（2）$\sim r y-$ ，ri－（6）；bult pt．（1）～bylde inf．（1）；dunt s．（2）$\sim d y$－，di－（土о）；munt s．\＆pt．（2）～my－s．\＆pt．（4）； （？）bruny s．（3）～bryne（2）；busy etc．（3）～bi－，by－（3）；（？） gordes pres．„spurs»（I）～gy－（I）；gordel s．（3），gur－（I）～gir－
(2); (?) torne etc. v. (4), tour- (1), tur- (2) ~tyr- ( I ); mury- (3) ~ my-, mi- ( IO ) ~ me- (6).

Finally, only $e-$ occursinevel ( I ), and $-e-\sim-i$-, $-y$ - in mirpe, myr- (6) $\because \operatorname{mer}-(7)[\sim$ myer- (I), probably a merely orthographic combination of both forms by the scribe].

Note. $-u$ - for OE $\underset{1}{c}$ occurs only in the isolated $u f$ "if" 2343, wruxled pp. 2191, hult "hilt» 1594. The first of these cases is certainly, the second probably, miswritten by the scribe for $(-) i$ - or $(\cdot) y-$; and hult (as well as heolte in Lazamon, cf. Stratm.-Bradley) may be due to the influence of the OFr. heute etc. (: cf. áulful 1517, mute »meute» 1451 1720).

Prose Ps.
OE (and Scand.) $\breve{y}$ generally appears as $-i-,-y-(=\breve{1})$; cf. Hirst Prose Ps. $\S \S 8,16,39$. - The exceptions are few:
$-u$ - occurs in fur s. (32; only form), mund s. (I) (~usual $m y-$ ), brunstone S. ( I ), smullen inf. ( I ), sules »cardines» ( I ), (v)uch »each» (2) ( $\sim$ usual ich »each», »same»); further, beside $-e$-, in hulles s. pl. (1) ~helles (1) ( $\sim$ usual $-i-,-y-$ ), prust s. "thirst» ( I ) ~ prest s., -and pres. p. (2), muchel (1) ~mechel etc. (4) ( ~usual mi-, my-). -e- occurs further in kende s.(1) (~ usual $-i-,-y-)$, euel adj. (2) ( $\sim-i-,-y-)$, shetten etc. v. »shut» (6; only form), beriels s. (I) ( $\sim-i-,-y-)$, frest „first» (I) ( $\sim$ usual first), hed pp. "hid» (1) ( $\sim-i-)$, lefted pt. (2; ~-i-, -y-) [cf. (?) the forms zengpe s. "youth" (3) ( $\sim-i-,-y-)$, zengnes $s$. (1), zenge adj. (1) (~ regular 30-].

Note. $\quad-u$ - is used for $O E \underset{1}{\sim}$ only in the probably miswritten fundynges s. pl. 76/12 (~ fyn-).

Myrc.
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OE (and Scand.) $\breve{y}$ (incl. $\breve{y}<$ early shortening of $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ ) generally appears as $-y$ - $(=\breve{1})$; thus exclusively kyng etc. (3; incl. I rime: I ), for- pynkep pres. (1), mykele (I) ~ myche
(numerous; rimed: -lyche (2), : syche pron. (1), : pytche s. (MS. putte) (I)), (a-)by(gg)e v. „bye» (3), dyde(st) pt. (passim), lyfte adj. (I), knylle v. (I; rimed: 1), (-)kynne s. (3; all rimed : synne s.) \& (what) skynnes (2), (?) mynne v. (10; all rimed: synne s. \& v.), mynge v. (I; r.: synge »sing»), synne etc. s., synne, syngen, etc. v. (numerous; incl. rimes: mynne v . (10), : mynne s. (2), : kynne s. (3), : i (6), : kenne v. "can (1), : henne adv. (I) ), dynt s. (I; I. : mynt s.), mynt s. (I; r. : dynt), clyppynge s. ( I ), chyrche s . (numerous; rimed : yrke v . ( I ), : worche v . ( I )), fyrst, -e (7), kys, -ssynge ( 2 ; incl. I rime: : ì), bysy etc. (4), (?) tryst s. »trust» (I), knyt v. (I).

But $-u-(v-)$ appears a lone in hud pp. ( $<\breve{\mathrm{y}}<\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ ) (1), hule etc. v. (2), gult pp., -y adj. (3; rimed : I-pult pp. (1) ), $I$ - pult pp. (I; rimed : gult), fulpe s. ( $<$ y $<\mathrm{y}$ ) (2), burye etc. v. (2), lust s., -y adj. (5; rimed : prest s. (2)), I-trust pp. ( I , put(ten) v. (numerous; rimed: luyte ( I ), : lutte ( I ), : cotte v. "cut" (I)); further $v$ - $\sim y$ - appears in vch(e) veach" (I3) ~ (th) ylke adj. (7); and -u-~-e- in (?)turne etc. v. (9; rimed : lerne (1), : зerne adv. (2)) ~ terne ( I ; r. : lerne) [cf. also lutte ~ luyte, below].

Only $e$ - occurs in evyle, -el(e) (9; rimed : wele s. (1) ) ~ el 365 (: del »devil»); and $-e$ - by the side of $-y$ - in stered pt. (1) ~ styred pp. (2).

126 OE y appears as $-y$ - in (-)kynde s. (4; rimed : $\overline{1}$ ( I ), : mynde s. (1)), and mynde s. (5; rimed: 1 (2), : kynde s. (1)); otherwise as -uy-: huyde etc. v. (3; rimed: pruyde s. (I)), pruyde s. (6; rimed : huyde (土)), tuyne etc. v. (2), tuyre s. (2; rimed: $\overline{1}(\mathrm{I}))$, huyre s . ( 2 ; rimed : $\overline{1}(\mathrm{I})$ ). Here also belongs luyte, -el 川little» ( 12 ; rimed : putte v. (1),: $\overline{1}$ (2)) [~ lutte 1547 (: putte v.) with shortened vowel].

Note. -u-, $-u y$ - are never used for $\mathrm{OE} \frac{1}{1}$. Cf. on the other hand -uy- in the Fr. words fruyt s. 425, dyspuyte 673.

The numerous $e$-forms in Prose Ps. no doubt derive directly 127 from (OE) y (: there are practically no cases of $-e$ - for $\mathrm{OE} \stackrel{\breve{1}}{ }$, cf. Hirst Prose Ps. §§ 5, I3), but they have probably to some extent been introduced by the scribe (cf. § 137). The $e$-forms in Gaw. and perhaps those in Myrc may also be derived from 1 ( $<\mathrm{y}$ ); cf. below § I35, and Morsb. Gr. § II4 f.

As to the $u$-forms (etc.), they are in my opinion, even af- I28 ter due allowance has been made for such forms as may come from OE $u$-variants, quite sufficient proof of an ü-vowel < OE $\breve{y}$ in the ME WMidl. dialect. The fact that $O E \stackrel{ }{1}$ practically never appears as $u$ (etc.) in these texts makes it evident that the $u$-forms (etc.) < OE $\breve{y}$ are really due to a pronunciation with $\mathfrak{u}$ (or $\mathfrak{u}$ ), either still used by the scribes in question, or at any rate used in their dialect (or in that represented by the texts) not very long before their time. Further, since these $u$-forms (etc.) are used in all three texts (as well as in other WMid1. texts, cf. Jordan GRM II p. I3o, Knigge Gaw. pp. 29 f., 47). it can hardly be supposed that these forms should represent the pronunciation or dialect of the scribes only, and not the dialect of the texts. - Another proof of a WMidl. ü-vowel $<~ O E ~ \breve{\mathrm{y}}$ is perhaps to be found in Myrc's rimes vse v. "use»: OE $\overline{1}$ \& OFr. i (: wyse s. 569, gyse s. "guise» 1032, vyce "vice» 1207), which cannot be correct unless they are based on a pronunciation of muse» with $\overline{1}$, due either to a phonetic development of OFr. ü or to the analogy of the variation $\overline{1} \sim \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ in words with $\mathrm{OE} \overline{\mathrm{y}}$; but since Myrc is not a very careful rimer (: cf. e. g. thus adv. : a-mys adv. 596,610 ) it is possible that the rimes in question were phonetically incorrect ( $\overline{\mathrm{u}}: \overline{1}$ ).

On the other hand the occasional rimes OE $\check{\bar{y}}$ : OE $\check{1}$ I29 and the decided preponderance of $-i-,-y$-forms (cf. above) evidently imply an alternative ME WMidl. i-vowel <

OE $\breve{\mathrm{y}}$. The date when and the circumstances under which this i-variant began to appear in the WMidl. dialect are impossible to decide, because there are no early texts extant in this dialect; but it seems very probable that this -imade its first appearance and became generally used earlier in the Eastern parts of the dialect (: which were nearer the EMidi.) than in the Western ones. If this supposition is correct, it would seem to follow that Prose Ps. with its scanty $u$-forms belongs to a more Eastern part of the WMidl. than Gaw. and Myrc (cf. further below §§ 263, 322, 340, 365 ).

The fact that OE $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ is consistently rendered with $-u y$ - in Myrc and with $-i$-, $-y$ - in Gaw. is perhaps due to a loc a 1 difference in the treatment of this vowel; viz. that OE $\bar{y}$ was unrounded (to $\overline{1}$ ) earlier in the Northern than in the Southern parts of the WMidland. - In the treatment of OE $\bar{y}$ such a difference between the North and the South is not discernible.

130 It may be pointed out here that $-u$ - is used (by the side of $-e$-) in Gaw. not only for OE $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{o}$ (<WG eu) - which cases do not specially interest us here - but also for OE ĕ o (< WG ě ) in $\operatorname{rurd}(e)$ s. "noise" (5; only form), burne etc. "man" (numerous; only form). In other words with OE ě o Gaw. has -e- (: e. g. erpe, herte, lerne), but more cases with $-u$ - occur in other texts written in the same dialect (cf. Knigge, Gaw. p. 24). -This $-u$ - probably stands for ü (cf. Jordan GRM II p. I3o) or perhaps (partly) for $\breve{u}<\breve{u}$ : cf. the variant bourne "man» quoted by KNIGGE from the "Alliterative Poems».

In Prose Ps. I have not found any forms of this kind; if this text belongs to the Eastern part of the WMidland (cf. above, § I29), it is quite possible that the change eo > ü never took place in the dialect it represents. - In Myrc I have
noted only vrøe »earth" 483, 487, 1820 ( $\sim$ usual er -) ; but it is very probable that these cases are late remains of a more extensive use of such ü-forms in the South of the WMidland. It is in fact possible that Myrc's rimes lust s. : prest "priest" 708, 712, turne v. : lerne v. 809, turne v. : 3erne adv. 874, 1599, 1807, synne : henne *hence» 1624, and perhaps even terne v . (if altered by the scribe from an original turne) : lerne v. I922, are based on this $\ddot{u}$ ( or $\breve{\mathrm{u}}<\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ ) < OE e o; though Myrc's rimes are not generally careful enough to al- I3I low a certain conclusion (cf. above § 128).

In any case we have to reckon with the fact that wo-forms of words with OE w ĕo- (: belonging to groups B 4, B 5) in Gaw. and Myrc (: there are no wu-forms in these texts) m a y have reached this form through the stage of w ü - (cf. $\S 301$, and $\S 322$, foot-note). This derivation of these woforms would of course presuppose a consistent change of $\mathrm{w} \ddot{\mathrm{u}}$ - > w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ - in all the words in question; but this causes no difficulty, since the vowel in all these words is placed between w and r , in which position OE y has generally been changed to $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ (: written -0-) in Gaw. and Myrc (cf. §§ 263, 365).

## I. Sir Gawayne and The Greene Knight.

Edited by R. Morris, EETS., Orig. Ser. 4 (first ed. 1864, second ed. - which I have used - I869), from the unique MS. Cotton Nero A. 9 (Brit. Mus.). - Morris considers the poem to have been composed »ab. I360 A. D.», and the MS. to have been written during the reign of Richard II. or that of Henry IV. The language of the original as well as of the MS. is probably North-West Midland (cf. Jordan, GRM II p. 130); according to Knigge p. II8 the language of the

MS. is however of a slightly more Southern type than that of the original as proved by the rimes.

Cf. F. Knigge: Die Sprache des Dichters von Sir Gawain and the Green Knight, der sogenannten Early Einglish Alliterative Poems, und De Erkenwalde. Diss. Marburg 1885.
[Morris' ed. ( $=$ the MS.) very often has $-e 3$ for $-e s$ in endings. I use only -es in the following].
A. No cases.
B.
I. wy3t s. \#wight» (?) 1792. [Cf. o3t pron. 300, 1815, 2215; no3t pron. \& adv. 358, 680, 694, etc. (I3; incl. the rime: po3t s., to 3 t adj. 1865), not adv. 85, 134, 246, etc. (numerous)]. wy ${ }^{2}$, -est, -ly $\sim$ wi- adj. \& adv. 119, 26I, etc. ( 7 ; incl. MS. wyt- I59I).
2. wors comp. 726; worst sup. 1792, 2098. Further worre comp. "worse" 1588, I59I, which is probably the Scand. werre with the vowel of worse, worst (cf. Myxc, § 143).
whyrlande pres. p. 2222. - swyre s. mneck» I38, I86, 957.
For worpes »becomes», worth(e) adj., etc., see B 4.
3. wod, -e, -es s. 515, 742, 764, etc. (12); wodwos s. pl. (OE wuduwasan) 72 I.
suster 2464 ~ sister III.
quik, -ly ~ quy- adj. \& adv. 177 (: $\overline{\text { pikke }}$ ), 975, etc. (5). twy (e)s adv. 1522, 1679. -wit, wyt inf. I3I, 255, 1508, 1864, wyt $3 e \mathrm{imp}$. 1820 [wytes 3 sg . pres. ind. 2050].
134 4. sworde s. 2319. worth 3 sg. pres. opt. 2127, 2374, worpe, -ed inf., pres. opt., pp. (of weordan) 485, 678, 1202, etc. (7); further probably (cf. $\S \S 9,275$ ) worpes 3 sg. pres. ind. Io35, IIO6, 1387. - Here may also be given (cf. § 9) wor(s)chip, $-y p$ s., -es pres. 984, 1032, 1227, etc. (8), worth adj. I269, 1820, -e adj. 559, stal(-)worth adj. 846, 1659, derworfly adv. II4, (vn-)worpy (~ -i) adj., -est sup., -ly adj. \& adv., -lych adj. 72, 144, 26I, 343, etc. (15).
werk(k)e, -es s. 164, 216, 494, etc. (8). ouer-Fwert adv. 1438.
warp inf. "cast» 225 (cf. warp pt. 224, 1423, 2025); cf. §311.
5. worlde, -es s. 50, 238, 261, etc. (15).
wele s. 7, 50, 485, etc. (13).
6. werned pp., -es pres., -yng s. I494, I495, 1824, 2253. C.
I. wol I sg. pres. ind. 2469 [ $\sim$ wyl, -lle, -lt sg. \& pl. pres. 32, 130, 273, 295, etc. (18) ~ wi- 1791, 1822, 2512].
such, $-e 46,92,196,234$, etc. (30) [ $\sim$ seche 1543, which may come from $\breve{1}$ : cf. the occasional $e$-forms seker adj. 265 , leue v . "live» 1035, 1544, peder, wheder (passim), prevely adv. 1877, geserne s. 326, glemered pt. 172, schemered pt. 772, cresped pp. I88, tel „till» 1564 (: $-e-<$ OE y is very rare, see above § 123)].

Otherwise no w-influence : cf. e. g. wich pron. 918, wymmen s. pl. 1269, 2415, 2426, (-)sroype, -ly adv. 8, 815, etc., wyth, with prep. (passim), qu-, whyle etc. ~-i- s. \& adv. 30 , 60 , etc., wyst, -e, -en pt. 461, 1087, etc. - The isolated $I-w y i s ~ a d v . ~ 264$ is probably miswritten (for the usual $-y$-, -i-); cf. schyire adj. 425, niy3t s. 929.
2. No w-influence.
3. wormes s. pl. "serpents" 720. wort s. 518. woried pt. 1905. worch, $-e$ inf. 238, 1039, 1546, etc. (6).
wyrde, -es s. 1752, 1968, 2134, 2418 [: $\overline{1}<\overline{\mathrm{y}}<\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ ? Cf. §§ 129, 365].
4. word, -e, -es s. 224, 312, 314, 325, etc. (18). - Cf. wro3t, -en pt. \& pp. 3, 22, 399, etc. (only form; rimed: post s., so3t pt. 1997).

Note. 136
$\mathrm{w} \frac{\breve{1}}{1}$ very often appears as wy-.
Original OE w ŭ appears as wo- and (/nd) as wou- : wolues s. pl. 720, wone etc. s. \& v. „dwelling», „dwell» 17, 50, 257,
etc., won(n)en pt. pl. \& pp. »won» 461, 83I, etc.; wonder etc. s. \& v. 16 (: blunder), 29, etc.; ~ wounden pp. »wound» 215; woundes s. pl. 642, -ed pp. 1791.

Original OE w o appears only as wo- : cf C 4; further wowen pp. 2558, wolde, -es pt. 85, 9I, etc. (only form; rimed : bolde adj. 2478) [cf. nolde pt. IO54, I66I, etc, (7)].
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II. The Earliest Complete English Prose Psalter.

Edited by K. D. Bülbring, EETS., Orig. Ser. 97 (London r891, Part I), from the only known two MSS. : Additional MSS. No. 17376, British Museum (14th century; cf. further § 237 ff.), and MS. A. 4.4 (formerly H. 32) in Trinity College, Dublin (I4th century). The former MS. is the basis of Bülbring's text, the readings of the latter being given in foot-notes.

The British Museum MS. also contains William of Shoreham's Poems (cf. § 237 ff.), written by the same scribe. There is however very little in the orthography of the two pieces that betrays the hand of the common scribe; as pointed out by Bülbring op. cit. p. VIII f., the language of the Psalter nis almost pure West Midland», and »differs very distinctly from the Southern forms of the Poems». If however, as seems probable to me [cf. § 238; cf. also T. O. Hirst (: The Phonology of the London MS. of the Earliest Complete English Prose Psalter. Diss. Bonn 1907) p. 79: "possibly he (i. e. the scribe) spoke a southern dialect, a fact which would certainly make clear the source of the southern formsn], the scribe was a West-Kentishman, he may very well be responsible for the occasional cases of $-e$ - for OE, $\breve{y}$ (cf. above § 124) with the probable exception of the consistently used shetten
etc. v. (which occurs also in Gen., cf. § 95); the $u$-forms for OE $\breve{y}$ (cf. above § 124) on the other hand are very probably native WMidl. forms (cf. above 1.c.). Other forms introduced by the scribe are probably the forms of weorld with -rdl-, -rldl- (see § 139) and the three cases of workes s. pl. (see § 139); these forms - which are also found in Sho., cf. $\S \S 244,245$ - may, as far as my material goes, be regarded as exclusively SW and Kent. (cf. §§ 294 \& 297; 409 \& 4II).

In the following quotations - which refer only to the British Museum MS. as printed by Bülbring - I have disregarded the mark (probably standing for $-e$ ) sometimes attached to a final consonant in the MS. and also reproduced in BüLBRING's text. - All numbers after 150 refer to the pages (and 1 ines ) in Bülbring's edition.

## A. <br> I38

No cases.
B.
I. bitwix 67/I4. no wi3t "nothing» 195/I [cf. nou3t pron. \& adv. I/I, I, 4, 5, etc. (very numerous), no3t adv. 2/12, 12, 23/4, 27/3, etc. (far less frequent than nou 3 t), nout adv 85/13; nau3t adv. I/r, 9/26, 12/4, 50/12].
2. wirship s. $57 / 4$ ( wor-; for this form and worf, $-y$, adj., etc., see B 4). whirle-wynde s. 186/27.
werst sup. 33/2I.
3. wode, -es s. 49/II, 82/13, 95/12, 104/31.
weteß pl. imp. 99/3, wetest 2 sg. 143/4; ~ wy-, witen inf. "know" 29/12, 40/9, 43/23, etc. (16), witep pl. pres. ind. 52/5, wyte $3 e$ pl. imp. 4/4 [witand pres. p. 86/3 (MS. -an), wyten, witen 3 pl . pres. opt. 9/2I, $58 / \mathrm{I} 5$, wite I sg. pres. opt. 38/6; wite sg. imp. "guard» $138 / 22$ ]. The weforms probably go back (directly or by analogical extension) to OE w ĕ o-; cf. the $e$-forms given by Hirst p. 38 (to which should be added leueand, -iand pres. p. II4/9, I80/19).
quike adj. pl. 123/2, 196/4; quik, -e, -en, -ep, -ed inf., 3 sg. imp. \& pres. ind., pt. 70/22, 79/19, etc. (17); quick, -e inf., sg. imp. 15I/26, I54/15; I42/I2; quike 3 sg. pres. opt. 40/2, quikened pt. 118/50. - widowe, es s. 77/70, 108/8, 131/16, 145/8, widues pl. 67/5, wydowes pl. 93/6.
I39 4. Here may be given (cf. § 9) worp, -y adj. 12/4, 5I/7, stalworp, -e adj. 7/12, 135/18, worpship s., -ful, -fullich adj. 7I/I4, 95/4, II2/3, etc. (6), worpship inf., -ip(p)ed pp. 36/2I, 71/15, 138/16; worship inf. 49/16 (~ wir-, see B 2).
werk, -e, -es s. 8/4, 6, 16/5, etc. (62); ~ workes s. pl. 9/17, 76/II, 191/15 (see above § 137).
swerde, -es s. 43/4, 8, 56/6, etc. (II).
werped pt. probably $={ }^{\text {wwarped }}$ (in weaving) $180 / 2$ (the other MS. has warped) is given by HirsT p. 34 under the heading »W. G. ë»; in this case, it would be a form of - or, analogically, have the vowel of - OE weorpan. Probably, however, it belongs to ME warpen, formed to OE wearps. (in weaving, cf. Björkman p. 257), and is here a scribal error for war-.
5. worled sg. 9/40, I7/I7, etc. (II; incl. MS. worded 40/I4), world, -e, -es sg. \& p1. 9/40, $17 / 54,18 / 4$, etc. ( 96 ; incl. MS. worls I44/土); word sg. II7/4, I48/6, wordles pl. 44/i9, 54/21, worldles pl. 47/13, 189/22, worldel sg. (probably $=$ worldle) 190/5, 20; ~ werld, -es sg. \& pl. 73/13, 89/2.
6. werien, -ied inf., pp. 73/4, 104/14, 118/2I [~ waryen, - ied inf., pt. 6I/4, 108/27, warying(e), -eing, -yynge s. 9/29, 13/6, etc. (5); cf. § 33I. - -ar- < ĕ r I have found only in harden pl. pt. 136/5 ( $\sim-e-$ ); perhaps a scribal error for her-].
I. No w-influence appears; only forms with wi-, wyoccur. Note the following words: wil, wille, -ep, -en, -and, $\sim w y$-, inf., sg. \& pl. imp., pres. ind. \& cpt. 4/5, 5/4, 2I/7, etc. (32); w(h)ich, -e 9/23, 29, 13/6, etc. (121), wyche 13/8 (correctè from weche); swich, -e 47/5, 180/12, 194/8, 8, 8,
swyche 62/3; wil, wille(s) s. ~wy-, I/2, 2, 15/2, etc., wilful adj. 67/10; whider adv. 138/6, 6; wist, wyst pt. 34/18, etc. (4). - Cf. also whi, why $2 / 1,3 / 1,4 / 3$, etc.
2. No w-influence; cf. e. g. the forms wemm s., unwemmed pp., w(h)elpe, es s. 16/13, 103/21. - For swol 3 e s. 184/17, swolwe inf. 187/24, I89/12 ( ~ swelwep pl. imp. 33/8), swolwed pt. \& pp. 105/17, 123/3, 140/7, 183/1, see § 356; here may also belong (by popular etymology, cf. Hirst p. 15) swolwebridde s. "swallow" $180 / 6$, if the form is not a scribal error for swal-.
3. (lef-)worme s. 21/5, 77/51. worten s. p1. 36/2.
wirchen, -es, -a(u)nd, -eand inf., 3 sg. \& pl. pres. ind., pres. p. 5/6, 27/3, 35/13, etc. (19), wirichen pl. pres. ind. 13/8, wircheing s. 103/23; wyrchep, -en 3 sg. \& pl. pres. ind.6/8, 14/2.
4. word, -e, -es s. 5/r, II $/ 7,7$, etc. (108). - Cf. wro(u) $3 t$ pt. \& pp. $43 / 2,73 / \mathrm{I} 3,105 / 6,183 / \mathrm{I} 2$.

Note.
w $\frac{\breve{1}}{1}$ is often written $w y$-(cf. Hirsr §§ 5, 13).
Original OE w u appears as wo- and (only /nd) as wou-(wu-does not occur): wolle s. 147/5, won(i)en etc. v., wonyng etc. s. $2 / 4,5 / 5$, etc. (very numerous), wonder etc. s., $-f u l$ etc. adj. 4/4, 8/I, etc. (very numerous); ~ woundres s. pl. 39/7; woundes s. pl. 7/14, 68/31, wounded pp. 88/11.

Original OE w o appears only as wo-: cf. C 4 ; further wold, -e, -est pt. 17/22, 39/8, II, etc. (9), wolde pp. 50/17 [cf. nold pt. 35/3, 108/16].
III. John Myrc's Instructions for Parish Priests.

Edited by E. Peacock, EETS., Original Series 3I (London 1868); re-edited and revised by Furnivall (1902). In this revised edition, which I have used, the "Cursing"
printed by Peacock vv. 675-786 from another MS. is removed to the end; thus vv. 675-1934 of the revised text correspond to vv. 787-2046 in Peacock's text.

According to a notice in the MS. at the end of the »Instructions», Myrc was a Canon of Lilleshall (in Shropshire). - The MS. printed by Peacock - MS. Cotton, Claudius A. 2 - is of the 15 th century; cf. Peacock p. V: mnot later than the year 1450, perhaps a little earlier; but the language is of a somewhat older date». - Morsbach Gr. p. 9 dates the work "um 1400".

Myrc's "Instructions» are preserved in two other MSS., viz. MSS. Douce 60 and 103 in the Bodleian Library; both »of later execution», and showing »a tendency to the vocabulary of the north country" (:PEACOCK p. V).

I43 A. No cases.
B.

1. wy ${ }^{2}$, -ghte s. (OE wiht) 764 (: i-ply3t pp.), 1273 (:nyghte s.) [cf. oght pron. 947, 960, etc.; no3t, -ghte 259, 310 \& 429 (both rimed: wero3t, -ghte pp.), etc., ~ not adv. 13, 38, etc. (incl. the rime: mot pres. ind. "may, must» 807)]. wyghtes s. pl. (OE gewiht) 944. - Cf. folghpe, -the s. (OE fulwiht, etc.) 149 (:wolpe p1. pres. »will»), 528.
2. wors, -e comp. II59 (:corse s. ncurse»), I466, I524, 1716; worre comp. II30 (:neghbore s.). - The last rime is probably correct, $=\breve{\mathrm{u}}: \breve{\mathrm{u}}(<\mathrm{u})$, worre having an analogical $\breve{\mathrm{u}}<\breve{\mathrm{y}}$, cf. Gaw., § I33. - For worthy etc., see B 4.
3. suster sg., -erus pl. 187, 719, 1668.
quyke adj. pl. 443, 523, 828. twye(s) adv. 119 (:nuye v. "harm»), 406, 1832. - wydowe s. 1244. (i)wyte, -en inf. 679, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes: ( $i$-)wryte, -en pp. 679, 706, I301, 1403).

144 4. Here, or to B 2 (cf. § 9), belong worthy adj. 2I, worschype s. \& v., -et pp. 3II, 633, 853, IOI5, II96, I306.
werk,-e, -es s. 14, 88I, etc. (8; incl. rimes: clerk s. I4, I348, 1654); werkeday s., -emen s. pl. 893, 1185, 1496. - swerdes s. pl. 1664.
5. worlde, -es s. 64, 65, II70, I196, 1476 .
6. werne inf. 729 .
C.
r. wommon, -es sg. 97, 195, etc. (9) [ ~wymmon, -es, $\sim-e n-$ p1. 57, 59, etc. (10)]. wole, wolt pres. sg. \& pl. 26, 34, etc. (numerous), wolpe pl. pres. ind. 150 (:folghthe, see B 1). suche 39, I39, etc. (10) $[\sim$ syche 366,538 , etc. ( 18 ; incl. the rime : myche "much» 1518)].

Otherwise no w-influence: cf. e. g. whyche pron. Ig, wylle inf. 19 (:ylle adj.), wylnet pp 1169; why adv. 1299, etc.
2. No w-influence.
3. worchynge s. 536, worche, $-e p$ inf. \& pl. pres. 1606 (:chyrche), 1654.
4. word, $-e,-e s 29,122,123$, etc. ( 21 ; incl. the rimes: bordes s. pl. „jests" 266, 589,: chyrche zorde s. 1384). - Cf. wro 3t, -ghte pp. 210 (:broght), 3 II \& 428 (:nozt, -ghte), 834, etc.

## Note.

$\mathrm{w} \frac{0}{1}$ is in all cases written wy-.
Original OE w u appears as wo-, and (only/nd) as wou-, wow-: (i)wonet adj. (pp.) 869, 873, 1153, 1229, i-wont 1353,1391; $\sim$ wounde, -es S. 442, 522, etc. (5), wowndet pp. 921. [wu- does not appear; but otherwise $-u$ - is common for OE ŭ : fulle adj., cursed pp., lust s., huntynge s., etc.].

Original OE w 6 appears only as wo-: cf. C 4; further forswore pp. 866 (:ore adv.), II91 (: 3ore adv.); wolde etc. pt. 716, etc. (incl. the rime : wythholde pp. 1177).

Morsbach Gr. (p. Io) gives PM(L) and AR as belonging to the ME SW (< OWS) dialect, while he regards KGr. (:he does not mention Tit.) as main 1 y SW (< OWS), though in important points based on the OMerc. dialect (nauf altmercischer grundlage»).

On the other hand, KGr., AR, Tit. are counted as Mer c i a n (< O Merc.) by Heuser (Anglia 30) ${ }^{1}$ ); and Heuser's opinion has been accepted by Jordan (GRM II), except that Jordan only places AR min der N ä he des Mercischen». Jordan further adds $\operatorname{PM}\left(L_{l}\right)$ - which he regards as Mercian - to this group (: GRM II p. I26).

I do not wish to enter here into the question whether the texts of this group should be regarded as SW texts based on OWS except in certain particulars, or as Midl. (Mercian) texts based on OMerc. except as regards manifestly SW (< OWS) forms. In fact it does not seem possible definitely to solve this question. Under these circumstances - and since these texts are certainly too important to be left out of consideration - I have found it necessary to give them as a separate group and to discuss their forms separately with due regard to the OWS as well as to the OMerc. dialect.
148 It will be convenient to point out here that all the texts of this group show, as a rule, the effects of the Anglian asmoothing" (cf. especially werc etc. s. PM(L), KGr., AR, Tit); this is one of the most important Mercian characteristics of these texts. On the other hand there are also a few distinctly Saxon non-»smoothed» forms: betuhen etc. KGr., AR, Tit., fulluht AR, sweoke Tit., wurkes s. KGr., and

[^6]perhaps sw(e)ore PM(L), AR. The practically consistent use of $-u$ - for (late) OE $\breve{\bar{y}}(<u+i$-umlaut) in PM(L) (cf. Lewin p. 17), KGr. (cf. Stodte §§ 8,15), AR (cf. Ostermann $\S \S 8,15$,), and except for some cases which may have been introduced by the scribe (cf. below § 174 f.), Tit., may or may not be a SW ( < OWS) characteristic (:cf. for $-u$ - in the WMid1. dialect above, § I2I ff.).

It may also be of interest in the present case to point out 149 that the texts of this group use -eo- (to some extent also -o-, cf. §§ $153,163,172,184$ ) for OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ o and OE œ (and OFr. ue $>$ ö), but not for OE (and OFr.) $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$. This -eo- ( $-0-$ ) is consistently used in KGr. and AR for (late) OE ĕ o < breaking and probably also for (late) OE ěo <u/o-umlaut (: cf. Bülbring Bo. Btr XV, pp. 118 f., 122 f.); while in Tit. and (rarely) PM(L) also -e- appears for OE ĕ o of this kind.
I. Poema Morale, MS. L(ambeth 487).

Edited by Morris, Old Engl. Homilies I (EETS., Orig. Ser. 29, 34), pp. I59-175. - The MS. probably belongs to the end of the i2th century (cf. Morsb. Gr. p. io; Paues, Anglia 30,223 ); its dialect is considered as "südwestlich» by Morsbach (Gr. pp. 7,Io), as "mercisch» by R. Jordan (GRM II p. I26); cf. above § 147.

For other MSS. of the poem, and editions of them, see Zupirza, Anglia I,5 ff.; H. Lewin: Das mittelengl. Poema Morale (Halle 188r); A. Paues: A newly discovered manuscript of the Poema Morale, Anglia 30,217 ff. - Cf. also below § 223.

The poem seems to have been composed about A. D. II7o (Morsb. Gr. p. 9). If, as seems probable (cf. Lewin p. 38
f.), the rivers Avon and Stour mentioned in the poem (though not in MS. L) are the rivers with these names which meet and fall into the Channel at Christchurch (Hampshire), the author no doubt lived in Hampshire or one of the neighbouring counties. Morsbach (Gr. p. $9 \mathrm{f} .=$ ten Brink, Geschichte der eng1. Litt. I 191) places the poem innsüdl. Hampshire oder Dorsetshire zwischen Avon und Stour»; Lewin op. cit. in the North of Wiltshire.

151
A.
nute pl. pres. ind. 236; nusten pl. pt. 102, 225 (:lusten inf.), 244.
B.

1. wihte s. (OE wiht) 79 (:nihte s.); nawiht pron. 150,167 , 249 [cf. no(c)ht 77, 132, 190; ~ naut 48, 212]. iwichte (OE, gewiht) 212 (:mihte s.).
2. wurs comp. 236 (MS. $p$-); wurst sup. 217, 219.
3. suster $148,185$.
quike adj. pl. 79, 190. swicen pl. 103; swikelemen s. pl. 251. witen pl. pt. »went» (?) 244. [wit,-e sg. pres. ind. \& opt. "know" 84, 122].
4. (wa) wurd 3 sg. pres. opt. I40. - swore s. "neck» I44 (: dore s. "deer»).
werk,-es s. 27, 63, 72, III; werc s. 128, 177, 243; werch,-e s. 108, II6, 254.
5. world, -es 153, 222, 267.
6. No cases.

## C.

I. An influence of w- appears only in wul(l)e, wulled sg. \& pl. pres. 34, 39, 97, etc. (8) [ $\sim$ wil(l)e sg. pres. 55, 225], swuch 80 [ ~ swich 80, sw-, suilch, -e 120, 222]; and (?) hwole 25 ( $=$ hroule ?) [~ hwile 19, 21, etc. (8)]. Otherwise only wi- : cf. e. g. hwilke, hwice pron. 130, 136; swide adv. I43; hwiadv. 104 f .
2. No influence of w- appears. [Cf. werre s. „war» 246].
3. No cases. [Cf. unrounne s. 208 (: sunne nsin»)].
4. word,-e s. 3, 9, 27 (: horde s. 川hoard川), 158, 260 (: horde).

> Note.

153
wy-does not occur.
Original OE w u appears as wu- and (once only) as wo-: wunderlukeste sup. 68, swunken p1. pt. 254 (: drunken); wuned, -ien v. 136, 151 (: bi-sunien), 179; ~ iwoned pp. (adj.) 57.

Original OE w o o appears only as wo-: cf. C 4; further forsworene pp. 103; wolde etc. pt. 147, 243 (: nolden), 266 (: holde adj.), cf. nolde etc. pt. 138, 238, 242 f. (: wolden pt.) [~ walde 14, 35 (: unholde adj.), etc. (5); nalde 185 f., 26I f.; see § 3II].

As to swore s. (B 2), world etc. (B 4), attention may be called to the very consistent use of $-0-(\sim$ far less frequent -eoand (rarely) $-e$-, cf. § I49) for OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ o : bo, bon, ibon „be» etc., frond "friend», dore adj., »dear», dore s. „deer», etc.; solf »self», houen (e) "heaven», souene "seven», orpe etc. »earth», etc.
II. The "Katherine Group" (MS. R). I54

This "Group» consists of the "Lives» of I) St. Katherine, 2) St. Margaret, 3) St. Juliana; probably composed - according to Einenkel (Anglia 5), No. I by one author, Nos. 2 \& 3 by another - about A. D. I200 (cf. Einenkel, St. Kath. p. XVIII; Morsb. Gr. p. 9). - All these Iives are preserved in the Brit. Mus. MS. Reg. 17 A. 27 (MS. R); besides, No. I is found in MS. NE A. 3. II, Bodl. Library (MS. B), and MS. Cotton Ti-
tus D. I8, Brit. Mus. (MS. C; cf. below § 173 ff.), Nos. 2 \& 3 in the Bodl. Library MS. 34.

I have used the editions published in the EETS. Original Series: No. I by E. Einenkel (EETS. 80, London 1884), Nos. 2 \& 3 by O. Cockayne (EETS. 13, London 1866, and EETS. 5I, London 1872). Of No. I there are two earlier editions (cf. § I73), one by J. Morton (: Abbotsford Club, I841), the other by Ch. Hardwick (Cambridge Antiquarian Society XV, I849); besides, it may be mentioned that EETS. I3 is a reprint of the original edition of »Seinte Marherete» by CockAyne, London 1862.

My material is taken only from MS. R , which seems to be somewhat earlier than the rest. They all belong to the first half of the I3th century (cf. e. g. Einenkel, St. Kath. p. XIV f.; Morsb. Gr. p. 9).
155 As to the dialect of KGr. - as it appears in the orthography of MS. R (and MS. B) - Einenkel, St. Kath. p. XVIII, considers it to be »that of the middle portion of Southern England»; he further suggests (on p. LVI f.) that the pieces may have been written asomewhere between Worcesteshire and Dorsetshire - say Gloucestershire». - Morsb. Gr. ( § 3 anm. 2 ; § 9, r) ascribes KGr. to the ME Southern dialect group (: »im norden des mittleren südens entstanden»), though its language is in many important points based on the OMerc. dialect (: „auf altmercischer grundlage»); this opinion has been supported by H. Stodte (Über die Sprache und Heimat der "Katherine-Gruppe». Diss. Göttingen 1896). W. Heuser (Anglia 30) includes KGr. into his ME South Mercian group (cf. §§ 147, 174); mainly in accordance with him, R. Jordan (GRM II p. I29) attempts a more precise localisation, proposing the South of Shropshire or Staffordshire as the probable home of KGr.
A.
nute we J 74/II; nuste, -en K 149, 1535, 1802, 2313; M 8/20; J 30/7. - nule, nult (u), nul(l) i, -ich, -en, -e才 K 509, 1018, etc. ( 7 ; besides mule is wanted by the context K 763 , where MS. R has wule); M 5/9, 6/16, etc. (5); J 8/15, 10/3, etc. (I3). B.
I. bituhen M 8/24, 23/16 (cf. bituhhen MSS. BC, K 1515 , probably original; MS. R has betweonen). Cf. fulht s. (< OE fulwiht, etc.) M 19/2, 4, 8.
$(u n)(h)$ wiht, -es s. K 1252, 1707; M 3/18, 21, etc. (21); J 22/16, etc. (9); nawiht K 473, 475, etc. (numerous); M 7/28, 9/25, etc. (8); J 12/I2, I5, etc. (4); cawiht M I5/I5 [cf. nawet K 85, 283, 346, etc. (numerous); M 7/23, etc. (I3); J I2/6 etc. (I7); naut M 5/25; noht pron. K 343, 1714; M 5/3, 6/24, 16/12; nohtes gen. J 22/10; ewt pron. K 996].
2. wurse comp. K 168; M $18 / 8 ; \mathrm{J} 46 / \mathrm{I} 7,50 / 5$; wur (e)st sup. M 8/3, I4/I8, I6/6; J 26/9, 50/8; 38/7; wursi inf. K 2135. - For (-)wurd, -e adj., etc., see B 4.
swire s. K 2091, etc. (3); M 9/8, I5, etc. (4); J 72/9.
3. wude, es s. K 27I; M 1о/土. sutel adj., -eliche adv. K 322, 381, 1033, I332; sutelin etc. v. K 1036, 1089, 1834; M 5/31; J 18/4, 56/II. sustren s. pl. J 74/13. - [cweke adj. pl. J 54/8 is no doubt a scribal error for cwike (see below), especially as there are no cases in this MS. of $-w$ - for $-u$ - or $-w u-]$.
unweoten s. pl. K ro54; M 6/II. - Here may also be mentioned hweonne ${ }^{1}$ ) J 38/2, with the vowel of OE heonone ( $<$ * hin a n-); cf. Bülbring, Bo. Btr XV p. I2I.
cwic adj. sg. K I868; cwike pl. K 63, 34I; M 8/23, 9/24; J 22/14, 62/I8 [for cwke, see above]; cwic 3 sg. pres. ind. (or adj. ?) K 1254. swikele M 12/13, I4/12. -widewe, -en s. M 2/7, 8/ro.

[^7]（bi）wite，－en，－ene，－ed inf．pl．pres．ind．（＂know＂，and＂guard＂） K 136，150，26I，etc．（numerous）；M 2／23，7／5，etc．（13）；J $6 / 8,9$ ，etc．（6）．
158 4．（for－，i）wur de，－en，－ed sg．pres．opt．，inf．，pl．\＆Isg．pres． ind．K 155，24I，etc．（8）；M 3／4，4／2I，etc．（I4；incl．i＇wurdi 3 sg．pres．opt．Io／Io，cf．e．g．weorri 3 sg．pres．opt．3／21）；J I4／4，I6／8， 9 etc．（9）．－Here（or to B 2，cf．§ 9）belongs also （－）飞urす，－e adj．，etc．：wurすful，－e adj．K 1017；М 22／26；（un）－ wurす adj．K 70，343，etc．（6；incl．－rd 1714）；M 4／28，5／3，etc． （4）；J I4／6；（kine）wur đliche adv．K 1564；J 62／9；（deore－，kine－， lиие）wи бе（incl．inflectional－e）adj．K 507，568，etc．（15）；M I／22，2／15，etc．（12）；J 2／4，8／9，etc．（13）；stalewur すe（cf．－ward－ below）K 702，1841，2168；M 15／32，16／I7；J 44／7，70／16； wurdmunt，－schipe S．K 216，244，etc．（12；incl．－（ $r$ ）d－I474， 1502，2062；1626）；M 10／20，15／3I，etc．（5）；wurgin inf． etc．，iwurget pp．（＜－r ð ig－；cf．Stratm．－Bradley）K 55， 59，etc．（5）；М I／22，4／2I，I8／I，6；J 62／9，64／10；wurdes s． p1．J 20／3（or＜OE wyrd ？）；（？）iwourönge s．M 3／18 （ Cockayne：：in wurdinge »mire，dung»；＜OE weorp？）．
wurkes s．p1．M 6／19～（hondi）werc，werkes s．K I71，IoI6， 1222；M I／I9，Io／6，I6／土6；J 42／1．
sweord，－es K 2090，2180，etc．（5）；M 5／4，6／28，etc．（8）．
proertower M 10／15．
For stalewardlukest sup．M I4／I9（cf．－rourde above），see § 312．－For（a）warpen etc．（present tenses）K 18，643，etc． （8），M 3／5，I8，etc．（8），J 24／6，etc．（6），see § 3II．［（a）weorp pt． K 835，etc．（4），J 38／9（ - warp pt．sg．K 829，II 90, J 52／2， $78 / 4$ ） has the pt．vowel of the redupl．verbs；cf．BüLbr．QF 63 p． 82，and below C 2］．
159 5．wordes s．pl．＂hosts» M 22／25．world，－e，－es，－ene，－lich（e）， －men K 30，97，etc．（35）；M 1／14，24，etc．（15）；J 4／7，14／4，etc． （9）；～worl M 7／9；～wordlich M 2／18．
weole，－en s ． K 1034， I 501 ，etc．（7）； $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{I/15}, \mathrm{4/25}, \mathrm{etc}. \mathrm{(10);} \mathrm{~J}$ 10／I4，14／5，etc．（4）．bedweolet K 125I．sweonets．（OE sweo－
fot) K 1427. cweode 1 sg. pres. ind. K 867 (~ forcwedest, cwe dinde).
6. wernin inf. K 769.

For (a)waried, -iet, -ide pp., s. \& adj. (< pp.) K 141, 201, etc. (7); M 5/20, 7/6, I6/23; J 38/8; see § 33 I.
C.

160

1. wule, wult $(u)$, wulled sg. \& pl. pres. (cf. also ichulle I sg. pres. ind. K 484,639 , etc., $\mathrm{M} 5 / 12,7 / 21$, etc., J Io/3, IO, etc.), K 399, 46I, etc. (numerous), M 3/1, 3, 4/24, etc. (numerous), J IO/I, I2/2, etc. (numerous) [only form in MS. R, except for wile I sg. pres. ind. M 14/I7 (miswritten ?)]. $(-)$ hwuch, -cche(s) K I57, 445, 5II, etc., M 4/14, 6/8, J 42/I2, 46/II, 68/I4 (only form in MS. R). swuch, -cche K I28, I40, etc. (numerous), M $8 / 2 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 6 / 32$, J $22 / 9$, etc. (8) (only form in MS. R). wummon s. sg. K I443, 2410, M 3/I7, etc. (II), J 16/8, etc. (5); wummen pl. K 1274 , etc. (3), M I/12, etc. (4), J 66/Io (only forms in MS. R). - Here may also be mentioned wundi adj. K 376, J 10/3; halewunde adj. K 233, 886, M $8 / 22$; both with original $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ ( $>1$ : cf. § 337).

Otherwise no w-influence: cf. e. g. the forms (bi)wiste, -est pt., iwist pp., hwil adv.,-hwile s., swide, -ere, -est adv., hwi adv., etc. (cf. further STODTE §§ 5, I2).
2. A rounding of $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ (incl. $\breve{\mathrm{e}}<\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ ), caused by the preceding I6I w - (and the consonant following on the vowel) is proved by the spelling weo- (cf. Bülbr. Bo. Btr XV) in the following words:
tweolf, -lue K 155I, I824; J 62/13; un-, iweommet pp. K I4I6; M 7/5, etc. (3); J 6/9, etc. (4); weom s. M Io/24 (< the verb); weopmen s. pl. K 2323; M I/II, 6/4; J 66/10 (~wepne, -en s., wepnede, iwepnet K $188 ; \mathbf{M} 10 / 22$, $14 / \mathrm{I} 8$, etc,; J 50/7). - For weorre s., -in etc. v. (< OFr.) K 20, 32, etc. (6), M 3/I9, 2I, etc. (7), J 40/9, etc. (4), ~ worre s. K 2399, see § 357.

The rest of the weo-forms (: weol(l)e pt., weolc pt., weox pt., hweol(es) s., weouet s., betweonen prep., beweopen pt. pl., weolc-
ne s., cf. further the cases given in B 3, 4, 5) go back to OE weo or w œ ; cf. Bülbr. Bo. Btr XV. ${ }^{1}$ )

For the -o- in (for) swolhen inf. M 5/4, 9/15, etc. (6); J 74/4 (as against e. g. forswelten v. (trans.) M 5/4; J 18/6; swelten v. (intr.) M $7 / 20, \mathrm{II} / \mathrm{I} 8$ ); see § 356.
I62 3. wurm, -es s. M Io/3, II/II. wurchen etc. v. K 171, 37I, etc. (7); M 4/20, 6/18, etc. (8); J 8/II, Io/II, etc. (16). wruhte s. (OE worhta) M 20/12, 2I/28; J 60/2. [Cf. rounne, -en s. K 150I, etc., M I/I6, etc., J 10/15, etc.; rounsum adj. M $19 / \mathrm{I}$, J 70/3].
4. word, -es s. K 3II, 3I6, etc. (22); M 4/13, 28, etc. (5); J 10/7, 22/9, 36/2.

For $i$-, awarpen pp. K 1277; M II/8, etc. (3); J 48/18 [cf. (i)wrahte, -est pt., iwraht, -e pp. K 282, 369, etc. (8); M I/i9, 7/28, etc. (8); J 4/8, 8/II, etc. (9; incl. MS. -ahe 60/2)]; see § 3 II.

## I63 Note.

wy- does not occur.
Original OE w u appears only as wu-: wulf etc. s., wunder etc. s., (a)wundrede etc., wundri adj.; wunien etc. v., wununge s.; (i) wur de, -en pl. pt., wurpe, -en 2 sg. \& pl. pt. ind.; wunden s. pl., iwundet pp.; biwunden pp.

Original OE w ŏ appears only as wo-: cf. above C 4; further toswollen pp. K 840. - For walde etc. pt. K 17, I55, I57, etc. (numerous); M 2/27, 3/5, etc. (9); J 6/4, 10/15, etc. (II) [cf. also nalde pt. sg. K 108, 424, etc. (7); J 8/I]; see § 31 II .

For -eo- = ö, see above § I49. In a few cases (not many!) -o- is substituted for this -eo- in our MS.: horte »heart», eorde "earth», etc. (cf. Bülbring, Bo. Btr XV p. I39).

[^8]Edited by James Morton [: The Ancren Riwle, etc. London 1853. Camden Society No. 57] from the early 13th century (: cf. Morsb. Gr. p. Io) MS. Cotton Nero A. 14 (Brit. Mus.). - For other MSS. cf. Morton op. cit. p. VI f., and W. Heuser, Anglia 30,103 ff; cf. also below § I73.

The dialect of this MS., generally ascribed to the Middle South (cf. Morsb. Gr. p. io), is considered by Heuser, Anglia 30,II4, as a Southern variety of his South Mercian group (cf. §§ 147, I74).

I have collected my material from Morton's text; only in the case of a few exceptional forms have I had recourse to the MS. itself.

Cf. H. Ostermann: Lautlehre des germanischen Wortschatzes in der von Morton herausgegebenen Handschrift der Ancren Riwle. Bo. Btr XIX. Bonn 1905.

## A

nute, $-e \bar{\rho}$ sg. pres. opt.; pl. pres. ind. 58/23, 194/26, etc. (5); nuste pt. 218/15, 222/II. - nul, nult(u), nul(l)e, nul(l)ich, nulle $62 / \mathrm{I} 7,76 / 8$, 15 etc. (37).
B.
I. bitwhe(n) 204/20, 358/II. fuluht(e) s. 160/9, 322/30, 332/1, 396/5.
unwiht, -es s. 238/14, 264/7, 300/15 ( \& MS. -whit 274/13); lutewiht $72 / 24$; nowiht $82 / 25,28,96 / 13$, etc. (19) [ cf. naut 10/26, nowt 2/13, 68/7, nout 4/23, 6/I, 3, 9, etc. (numerous); out 124/6, 198/12, etc. (7); nouht pron. 106/9, 130/24, nouht adj. 184/23, nouhtunge s. 426/20]. wihtful adj. 268/12.
2. wurse comp. 50/16, 82/I, etc. ( 16 ; incl. wurse $180 / 7$, probably miswritten for purse); wurst(e) sup. 82/2, 6, 7, etc. (6); wursie etc. v. 228/3, 326/23, 428/20. - For wurす(-) s. etc., $(-)$ wur $\partial(-)$ adj. etc., see B4.
swire 58/7 (~ sweo-, see B 4).

166 3．wude s．96／25，402／2．suster sg．52／2，256／4，etc．（II）； sustren pl．2／8，8／I3，etc．（numerous）．sutel adj．，－eliche adv． II2／26，I54／2I，I60／24，etc．（5）；sutelie pres．opt．382／3；isu－ teled pp．8／27，I54／2（MS．－eठ）．
wuten inf．96／4；－eठ pl．imp．\＆pres．ind．68／22，92／5，etc．（7）； further wute $3 e 2 \mathrm{pl}$ ．pres．ind．266／3；pl．imp．I74／I5，I90／6， etc．（6）；and perhaps（：with the o－umlauted vowel of other forms）wute sg．pres．opt．I72／I7，etc．（4）；～witen，－ene inf． 4／I5，Io／4，etc．（2I）；eठ pl．imp．\＆pres．ind．IO／I6，I4／6， etc．（IO）；－en pl．pres．ind．II4／22；－e I sg．pres．ind．78／I3 ［wit，－e，－e sg．imp．\＆pres．ind．，pres opt．28／6，48／6，etc． （numerous）；witunge s．4I8／II］．
unweote s．8／22．
wike s．70／6，344／10，428／18；swidwike 70／7．cwike（s）adj． （inflected）50／25，66／I4，etc．（II）；cwic，－kure comp．，－kest sup．，－like adv．，－luker，－nesse 84／9，II2／7，I3，etc．（土5）；aqu－， acwikien etc．v．58／II，etc．（4）．swike s．（OE swica）98／6， 222／I2，236／3，272／36．swike adj．98／6．swikele adj．pl．， －elure comp．I80／I2，23，268／14．twie，－es，－en »twice＂20／27， 34／I，36／4，etc．（8）．－widewe，－en S．IO／3，IO，IO，300／27， 3I4／2．
I67 4．（ $a$－，for－，i）wurden inf．52／I2，86／IO，etc．（20）；－r才е， pres．opt．I86／23，I94／8，etc．（5）；－r ठеす pl．pres．ind．I50／5， 200／6，370／I，and（if not belonging to B 2，cf．§§ 9， 275 f．） 3 sg．pres．ind．96／9，I28／I8，I82／8，284／ 17 ～iwurす I50／20．— Here may also be given（cf．§9）：wur $\begin{gathered}\text { s．I50／2I；wur } \ddagger f u l e ~ a d j . ~\end{gathered}$ 100／24，140／26，wur（d）schipe s．22／2，26／10，etc．（9）；（un）wur 才 adj．，－liche adv．40／7，94／4，I30／12，etc．（25）；unwur deste sup． I74／I7；（un）wur de（incl．inflexional－e）adj．38／I7，50／4，etc．（IO）； lic－，luиewurde adj．II2／27，120／26，etc．（6）； $\operatorname{de}(o) r(e)-$ ，， deoruwurde adj．2／6，26／3，etc．（53），－r dliche adv．4IO／20．
（vor－，$a$－，ouer）worpen inf．40／8，120／23，etc．（6）；－e，－en，－e才 pres．opt．，pl．imp．96／9，I42／I2，etc．（6）；worp sg．imp．356／4； （for）worpest，－e才 $2 \& 3$ sg．pres．ind．52／26，150／7，8，etc．
(10); knif-worpare s. 212/17; ~weorpen ${ }^{1}$ ) inf. 404/30, -e才 3 sg. pres. ind. 88/3.
sweord, -e, -es s. 60/15, 17, 22, 23, etc. (13). -- sweore s. „neck" 394/19 (~ swi- , see B 2).
werc,-men; werke, -es, -edei, -edawes 14/23, 18/10, 20/7, etc. (42).
preertouer 82/12 ~ prwartower 402/6 (: § 308). - For stalewarde, -rdliche 80/7, 272/6, 346/1 (only form), see § 312.
5. wordnesse (OE weorod-) 138/12. world,-e,-es,-lich(e) I68 ( MS. worlich 190/5) 10/4, 8, 16, 12/2, etc. (more than 150 cases).
weole, -en s. (sg. \& pl.) 168/4, 192/9, 196/17, etc. (7); weolie adj. 398/3. dweoles. 62/22, 224/9, 354/II; dweoled s. (or miswritten for $-e$ ?) $224 / \mathrm{I} 2$; bidweolied $3 \mathrm{sg} . \& \mathrm{pl}$. pres. ind. 128/I5, 196/18.
6. wernen etc. v. 248/23, 330/11; wernunge s. 330/12; ~ wearnen 408/29 (: §330 f.).

For (a)warien etc. v. 70/20, 186/2, etc. (5); wariunge s. 200/28; see § 331 .
C.
I. The influence of $w$ - appears in the $u$-forms of the following words: ${ }^{2}$ )
wu- < original wi : wul(l)e sg. pres. 6/27, 8/3,4, etc. (numerous; cf. ichulle, ich chulle I sg. pres. ind. 2/10, 12/16, 17, etc. (36; incl. MS. chulde 186/1)), wult(u) 2 sg. pres. 90/2, 126/II, etc. (numerous), wulle才 pl. pres. 24/30,
${ }^{1}$ ) (a)werp pt. sg. 52/26, etc. (4; only form) must have developed from OE wearp; cf. swerte adj. 294/12 ( $\sim$ swarte), weri s. (OE wearg) 352/21 ( $\sim$ wari-) and numerous other cases of er- for OE - ear - given by Ostermani § i6, 2. - It can hatdly have devcloped from weorp (cf. sis 158, 179; and further weox pt. $258 / 21$, weosch(s) pt. $56 / 15,300!26$ ), as the MS. with very few exceptions correctly distinguishes between -eo- and $-e$ - , especially after $w$.
${ }^{2}$ ) $i$-forms do not occur in AR of any of these words, except when spe. cially stated.

26／17，etc．（numerous）［ $\sim$ wilt $(u) 2$ sg．pres．98／29，100／2，etc． （5），willed pl．pres．4I2／2；cf．wil，wille s．，willes adv．，herc－， un－，onwil adj．，wiln（i）en v．（passim），wilkume adj．394／工7］； hwuc，－ch（e）8／3，I2／I7 I8，18，64／3，etc．（numerous），（al）－ swuch，－che，－chne（acc．sg．），－c 6／8，8／土，3，7，etc．（numerous； besides MS．shwuche 3I8／2；swolne acc．sg．3I8／I2）；hwuder （so）78／6，100／2I，hwuderward 168／17，（ $n$ ）ouhwuder 172／3， 424／8；wuste pt．64／I，23，88／9，etc．（7），（bi）wust pp．48／7，72／I7， etc．（7）；beo uste $160 / \mathrm{I}$（miswritten for bewuste s ．？）［cf．wute pres．opt．$\sim$ wit，$-e$ etc．，see B 3］．
wu－＜w 1 亿 $<\mathrm{w}_{1}$ ：wummon，－e sg．，－lich adj．I2／II，54／I4， 56／I4，etc．（numerous）；wummen pl．IO／22，54／I9，20，etc． （numerous）．
wu－＜original w－̄ ：［hand－，hond－94／27，etc．（3），sume－ $276 / 20$ ，390／24，stert－336／7，oder－I8o／25，etc．（numerous）］ hroule，－es 20／25，32／9，42／17，etc．（numerous）～oderhules 180／I6，I6；ihwulen v．44／5，422／5［～обегhwile 62／4，104／27， 406／2I；sumehwiles 272／28］；（ouer）swиすe，swиす，－ere comp．， －est sup．8／16，46／23，56／I9，etc．（numerous）［～swide 236／26； swidwike s．70／7］．
［Cf．also hwui II2／26，I46／I5，etc．（～hwi I4／I6，I6，78／24， etc．），where the OE y －variant is probably not due to the influence of $w-(c f$ ．OE $p y \sim \not p i)]$ ．
I70 2．A rounding of $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$（incl．$\breve{\mathrm{e}}<\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ ），caused by the preceding w－（supported by the consonant following on the vowel） appears in the spelling weo－（cf．above § I49）in the words weob s．322／3I；hweolp，es s．；ihweolped pp．I98／7，II，etc． （21）；200／3；tweolf etc．28／22，30／1，2，etc．（10）；weopmonne sg． 316／27，－men sg．\＆pl．10／22，56／24，68／3，70／24（～wepmon－ es sg．58／6，－men pl．54／20；cf．wepnen s．pl．60／I5，etc．）．－ For the OFr．loan－word weorre s．，－eour s．，weorred etc．v． 60／I4，I7，62／5，etc．（numerous；only form），see § 357.

In the case of $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ no such rounding appears．In（bi）weopen etc．（present tenses） $156 / 9$ ，etc．（12；～biwepen $108 / 15$ ）and
perhaps also sweote adj. 102/I (or miswritten for the usual swete, swote?) as againstonly -e- in kène, dèmen, etc. (cf. Bül br. Bo. Btr XV p. 124), w- has preserved the OE $\bar{\propto}<\overline{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{i}-$ umlaut.

For (for-, uor) swoluwen, -ed inf., 3 sg. pres. ind. 8/23, 10/19, 66/15, 164/7, 202/24 (as against e. g. swel(l) s., swelle pres. opt. 274/23, 25, 27, aswelte pres. opt. 216/5), see § 356 .
3. wurm, -es s. $138 / \mathrm{I} 4, \mathrm{I}$, etc.(6). wurp s. 56/14. weru- 171 sum (OE wyrms, worms) 274/3, 322/11. wurchen etc. v.6/13, 30/10, 44/8, etc. (17). ((p)salm)wur (u)hte s. 78/10, 134/7, etc. (7). awuried pp., -ieठ pres. 202/24, 252/9, 324/28. [Cf. wиnne, -en s. 192/29, etc. (numerous), wuluene »she-wolf» 120/9, 13, 13, 22, 25].
4. (here) word etc. s., iworded (e) pp. 16/6, 7, I8/9, 12, etc. (numerous). forworpen pp. 148/24, 218/7, etc. (5). - Cf. wrouhte pt. 258/22 etc. (4), iwrouht(e) pp. 182,13, etc. (3).
$i$-, forwurden pp. 148/25, 218/22 probably has the vowel of the pl. pt., cf. § 379 .

Note.
wy- does not occur.
Original OE w u appears only as wu-: wulf etc., birounnen pp., wunder s., awundređ etc. v., wunien etc. v. „dwell», reun(i)unge s., wune s. "habit", (i)wuned(e) pp. (adj.), iswunken pp., wurpe pt. opt., wunde, -en etc. s. \& v.; further probably $i$-, forwurden pp., see C 4.

Original OE wo appears only as wo-: cf. C 4.; further isworen pp. 96/20, toswollen pp. 282/8; wolde etc. pt. 8/16, etc. (numerous) [cf. nolde etc. pt. 60/7, etc.].

For - eo- $=$ ö, see above § 149. -0 - is very rarely substituted for this -eo- in AR; Ostermann ( $\S \$ 22,26$ ) records only horte, hote (for -rte) "heart" 8/IO, II8/27, bore 202/3, I6, 3ove 204/2 (and hwonne 144/14, cf. above § 157 , foot-note); to which should be added astorue才 3 sg. pres. ind. 178/4.

## I73 IV. MS. Cotton Titus D. 18, British Museum.

The oldest part of this MS., fol. I4a - fol. I47 b, written, all in one hand, "early in the 13. century" (Palæographical Society, Ser. II, Plate 75), contains I) the "Ancren Riwle» (beginning missing) (fol. 14a - fol. 105a), 2) the »Sawles Warde» (fol. 105b - fol. II2b), 3) the »Hali Meidenhad» (fol. II2b fol. I27a), 4) the »Wnhunge of ure Lauerd» (fol. I27a - I33a), 5) the "Saint Katherine» (fol. I33b-147b). - Three of these pieces have been printed from this MS.: No 3. by Cockayne (EETS., Orig. Ser. 18, London I866), No. 4 by R. Morris (Old English Homilies I p. 269 ff., EETS., Orig. Ser. 29, 34), No. 5 by J. Morton (I84i) and by Ch. Hardwick (1849), cf. above § 154 ; besides, the variants of the MS. are recorded in Einenkel's ed. of No. 5 (EETS., Orig. Ser. 80), and W. Wagner's ed. of No. 2 (: Sawles Warde. Bonn Igo8).
I74 According to W. Heuser, Anglia 30,II4 f., this MS. is the only early representative of the Northern form of the ME "Mercian" dialect, to the Southern variety of which (ME) dialect he counts the other MSS. of AR (cf. § r64) and MS. R (and MS. B?) of KGr. (cf. § 155). He admits however, on the whole in accordance with Th. MÜHE (: Über den im Ms. Cotton Titus D. XVIII enthaltenen Text der Ancren Riwle, Diss. Göttingen 1902), that the MS. (as regards the part containing AR) may not in all particulars represent this dialect, some forms being due to the South Mercian (MüHE: Southern) MS. which seems to have been the source of the North Mercian (MüHE: North-West Midland) scribe who wrote the extant MS. - I 1egard the text as "Saxon-Mercian», but mixed by the scribe with Midland forms not native in this dialect [cf. e. g. wirsum C, 3; see § 366].
175 My material includes fol. 105b - I47b of the MS.; besides, I give in square brackets, in their places under each heading,
the forms recorded by MüHe from fol. I4a - fol. Io5a (:MüHe's citations are not meant to be exhaustive). - My citations refer to the 1 eaves, pages (a \& b), and columns ( r \& 2) of the MS.; for the forms taken from MüHe I have kept his mode of reference.
A.

176
nuten pl. pres. ind. $107 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, $12 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{b}^{2}$; nuste $134 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, $142 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 143 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $146 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$. - nul(l)e, -en 3 sg. \& pl.; nult 2 sg.; nul ich, tu $113 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $114 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $119 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, etc. (7) [nule 17,10 ; nulich $\left.22, \mathrm{I}\right]$.

## B.

177
I. bituhe prep. 108b ${ }^{1}$; -uhhe $(n)$ 108 $\mathrm{a}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 109 \mathrm{a}^{1}$, $\mathrm{b}^{2}, 119 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$, etc. (14).
(un-, vn)wiht, -es s. 105 ${ }^{1}$, $108 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, $124 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$, etc. (9); eawiht pron. 124b¹; nawiht pron. \& adv. 108b², $113 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 116 \mathrm{~b}^{1}, 135 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, etc. (20) (cf. eawt pron. I40a ${ }^{2}$, ~ ewot $125 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, I39a; noht adv. 105 ${ }^{1}$, $108 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, $114 \mathrm{a}^{1}$, $129 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $13 \mathrm{Ib}^{2}$, $143 \mathrm{a}^{2}$; (?) nohtunge (COCKAYNE: "nothing") II4 $\mathrm{a}^{1}$; ~ nawt $105 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, $106 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$, $\mathrm{b}^{1}$, etc. (numerous) [nawt 14a, 15; netc..1]; ~ nowt $108 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 132 \mathrm{~b}^{1}, 135 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$ [nowt 28,8; netc.»]).
2. wurse comp. 107a ${ }^{2}, \mathrm{a}^{2}, 108 \mathrm{a}^{1}, 116 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, $119 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $134 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$ [wurse 15a, 22]; wurst sup. $122 \mathrm{a}^{1}$; wursi, wursen inf. 108 $\mathrm{b}^{1}$, III $\mathrm{b}^{1}$, 145 b$^{1}$. - For wurd s., (-)wurd, -e adj., etc., see B 4.
swire s. $145 a^{2}$, $146 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, $147 \mathrm{a}^{2}$; (?) swirefor ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (Cockayne "headlong") II9a ${ }^{2}$.
3. reude s. $135 \mathrm{a}^{2}$. sutel, -ele, -elliche adj. \& adv. $119 \mathrm{a}^{1}, 178$ $135 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$, $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, $139 \mathrm{a}^{2}$; sutele d, -ede pres. \& pt. $139 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, $\mathrm{b}^{1}$, 143 b [sutelliche 32, II; 32, 2I; isutelet 41a, 4]. suster sg., -stren pl. $106 \mathrm{a}^{2}$ (3), 108a², etc. (16) [sustre 15,18 ; 40,6; etc. (1o cases given)].
[weote 53a,8; MüHE : „o-umlaut»]; unweo[t]nesse s. 108b²
~ unwiten s. pl. 139b ${ }^{1}$.
sweoke s. (OE *sweoca) 126a². swepes s. pl. "whips" $\mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{rb}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}$.

## IOO

［wike 20，23］．cwic，croike adj．IO7a ${ }^{1}$ ， $\mathrm{b}^{1}$ ； $115 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ，etc．（6）； （a）croiked，－ied sg．\＆pl．pres．ind．，－ede，－et pt．\＆pp．Iоךа ${ }^{2}$ ， II7a ${ }^{1}$ ，118b¹，125 $\mathrm{a}^{1}$ ，［cwike I5a，12；acwikien 17a，9］． swikel，－ele adj．II2 ${ }^{2}$ ，I20b²；－eliche adv． $123 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ．－widewe， －en，－ene，－ehad s．II3a ${ }^{1}$ ，II8b¹（2）， $119 a^{1}(2), 125 b^{1}(3)$ ．wite， －en inf．\＆pl．pres．ind．»know» $108 a^{1}, \operatorname{III} a^{1}(2), a^{2}$, I2Ob $^{2}$ ， etc．（I9）［witen pl．pres．ind．60a，I5；64a；64a，6］；（bi）wite， －en（e），－e才 inf．\＆pres．ind．pl．＂guard，defend» Io5b¹， $106 a^{2}, 108 a^{1}$ ，etc．（18）．
I79 4．［wurpe 35，26］（～war－，see below）．（for－，i）wurde， －en inf．，pres．opt．，pl．pres．ind． $105 \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 107 \mathrm{a}^{1}, ~ I I I a^{1}$ ，etc． （I8）［（i）wur đe，－en 16，II；55a，8；56a，2；56a，12］；here probably also belongs（cf．§§9，276）（for）wurdest，－еす $2 \& 3 \mathrm{sg}$ ．pres． ind． $108 \mathrm{a}^{2}$ ， $120 a^{2}, 122 \mathrm{a}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ．－Here，or to B 2 （cf．§ 9），be－ long also wurすs．，（－）шurd，－e adj．，etc．：ซurds．（cf．wor－below）， －fule adj．120a ${ }^{2}$ ，139a ${ }^{2}$ ；（un）wurd adj．106a ${ }^{1}$ ，108 $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ ， $\mathrm{b}^{2}$ ，109a ${ }^{1}$ ， etc．（23）；－ere comp．I22a ${ }^{1}$ ；－lich（e）adj．I2Ib²，I23b¹，I42a²； deorewur すliche adv．II5a²；（un）wur de adj．（sg．\＆pl．）II4b¹， 136b¹，I4Ib²；de（o）re－，kine－，lic－，luиewur de adj．ıо8a²，ェо9a²， IIOA ${ }^{1}$ ，II2 ${ }^{1}$ ，etc．（28）；stalewur de adj．I28b¹，I37b²，etc．（5）； un－，lииежur すi adj． $129 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$ ， $130 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ， $13 \mathrm{Ia}^{2}, ~ \mathrm{I} 32 \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ； （un－，i）wurすchen，－eঠ，－et 3 sg．pres．ind．\＆opt．，pp．I22b¹， $136 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$ ， $137 \mathrm{~b}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ；wurdmund $\mathrm{s} .,-(s)$ chipe $\mathrm{s} .,-$ schipen etc．v．113a²， I22a ${ }^{1}$ ， $133 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ，etc．（土6）；（？）wur đinge s．»dung»（＜OE weor d？） II6a（5）［ипшиг 15,18 ；de（o）rewиrде 14，II；I9a，4；etc． （I4 cases given）；wurdmund 20，I；wurdes（s．pl．？）27a，I3］．
unword s． $12 \mathrm{Ib}^{2}$（mere siip of the pen？Cf．wur－above）．
sword s． $145 \mathrm{a}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 146 \mathrm{a}^{2}$ ， $147 \mathrm{a}^{1}$ ， $\mathrm{a}^{2}$［sword $\mathrm{I} 8, \mathrm{I} 8 \sim$ sweordes I8，8］．
werc，werke（s）s．IO6 $\mathrm{b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ，II4 $\mathrm{b}^{1}$ ，etc．（8）［werc，werk（es） $33 a, 25 ; 40,9$ ；etc．（5 cases given）］．

For awarpe etc．inf．，imp．，pres．ind．\＆opt． $106 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 1 I I b^{2}$ ， etc．（numerous）$[16, I 6 ; 40 a, 4$ ；etc．］，see § $3 I I$ ；the form aweorp sg．pt． $138 \mathrm{a}^{2}, ~ 140 a^{2} 145 \mathrm{a}^{1}$（ wearp $38 \mathrm{~b}^{2} \sim$ warp $125 \mathrm{a}^{1}$ ，
$138 a^{2}$ ， $141 b^{1}$ ）has the $p t$ ．vowel of the redupl．verbs（cf． Bülbring，QF 63，p．82；and below C 2）．－swerf sg．pt． （of swerven） $145 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ probably derives from OE－ear－（cf．BüL－ BRING 1．c．p． 8 I ），though $-e$－forms of this kind are rare in this MS．（cf．however MÜHE pp．135，I52 ：bern，merewe， werne）．

5．world，－e，－es $107 \mathrm{a}^{2}(2), \mathrm{b}^{1}$ ，108 $\mathrm{a}^{1}$ ，etc．（55）；－lich（e）adj．，I8o $-m e n ~ s . ~ p l ., ~-w i t t i e ~ s . ~ p l . ~ I I 3 a^{1}, b^{2}, ~ 120 a^{2}, b^{2}$ ，etc．（I9；incl． I2Ib ${ }^{1}$ ，where the MS．has weo－，with $-e$－dotted）［world，－li， －liche I5a，I »etc»．；I5a，I3；21a，7；23，19；45，9；52a，13；53，8］； ～weorldes gen．sg． $120 \mathrm{a}^{1}, \mathrm{a}^{2}, 121 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 128 \mathrm{a}^{1}, 142 \mathrm{a}^{1}$ ；～werld， －e I27a ${ }^{2}$（MORRIS prints $\omega_{0-}$ ）， $\mathrm{a}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 128 \mathrm{a}^{1}, 129 \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 130 \mathrm{a}^{2}$ ， $\mathrm{a}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{bb}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ．
woredes s．p1．IIoa ${ }^{2}$～weoredes IIoa ${ }^{1}$ ．
sweouete s．I4Ib¹．bidweoled pp．I4ob ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$［bidweolen 5Ia， IO］．
weole，－en s．（sg．\＆pl．），－eful（l）e adj．Io8b ${ }^{1}$ ，IIoa ${ }^{1}$ ，II4a²， etc．（16）［weolen s．pl．45，10］；～wele，－eful（l）e $120 \mathrm{a}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, \mathrm{I} 2 \mathrm{Ia}^{1}$ ， $122 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$（or MS．wel ？）， $127 \mathrm{~b}^{1}, 128 \mathrm{a}^{1}, 130 \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, \mathrm{I}_{32} \mathrm{~b}^{1}, ~ \mathrm{I} 42 \mathrm{a}^{1}$ ， $\mathrm{a}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 146 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$［wele 56a，25］．

6．［wérnes $17 \mathrm{a}, 22$ ］．The pt．［warnede 63a，I］，if not mere－ ly a slip of the pen，may be due to a confusion of this verb with warn $(i) e(n)$＂warn＂，cf．below § 331 ．

For for－，awaried（e）s．\＆adj．（＜pp．）I22a ${ }^{1}$ ，125a ${ }^{1}$ etc．（9） ［awarien，－iede 28a，25；53a，10］，see below §33I．

C．
I8I
1．wule，wult，wulle（（才）sg．\＆p1．pres．IOga ${ }^{2}$ ，II7a²， $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ ， I20a ${ }^{1}$ ， $\mathrm{b}^{2}$ ，etc．（26）［wulle す pl．pres．ind．45，22；27；27］；cf．ichulle I sg．pres．ind． $106 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ ， $117 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$ ， $120 \mathrm{a}^{1}$ ，etc．（I4）（ $\sim$ wile，wilt， wille 才 106a ${ }^{1}$ ，108 ${ }^{1}$ ，109a ${ }^{1}$ ，etc．（numerous）［willen pl．pres． ind．49a，2r］）．hwuch，－cche pron． $105 \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{1}, 106 \mathrm{a}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{1}$ ，etc．（34； incl．hwch II9b²），hwucse（＜OE－swa） $106 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$（～［hwiche 24，16］）．（al）swuch，－e，－es 107a ${ }^{1}$ ，108 $\mathrm{a}^{1}$ ，109 $\mathrm{a}^{1}$ ，etc．（43），
swucche 146a ${ }^{1}$ [swuche 14,I; 3; netc.»; suchan I4,4] (~ [swich(e) 47a,I; 19; 50a, 22; 93a,15]). roummon s. sg. 116b¹, 121а ${ }^{2}$, etc. (7) [wummon sg. 16a,14] ( $\sim$ wimmon sg. $115 b^{1}[$ wimmon sg. 17a,13]; wimmen pl. 114b¹, 116a², etc. (5) [wimmen, wimenes pl. I6a,24; 17,$4 ; 14 ;$ I6]).

Otherwise only wi-: cf. e. g. wiste, iwist pt. \& pp.; swipte(n) pt.; (-)hwider; (-)hwile; swid, -e, -re (MS. swude 134b ${ }^{1}$ is corrected to swide); hwi.
182 2. An influence of w- appears in [tweolue $52, \mathrm{II}]$ ( $\sim$ usual twelf, etc.), [forsweolhes 19a,22] (~ forswolhe, -e才 sg. \& pl. pres. 107a ${ }^{1}$, 108a ${ }^{2}$, for which -o-forms see § 356), toswolle d sg. pres. ind. $116 a^{2}\left(\sim\right.$ swelled $126 b^{1}$, (?) swelin inf. $122 b^{2}$ ). As to the OFr. loan-word worre s. „war» $124 \mathrm{a}^{2} \sim$ weorre s. $116 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $127 \mathrm{a}^{1}$, etc. (5), weorri, -en, -e才 inf., sg. \& pl. pres. ind. IIIb $^{2}$, $113 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 116 \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, etc. ( I 2 ) ~werren inf. 133 ${ }^{1}$, see § 357.

For the -eo- in weol pt. sg. 144a², weox pt. sg. 133b¹ ( wex I30a ${ }^{1}$, I33b $^{1}$; cf. wesch pt. sg. I32a¹), see above § 16 r . - As to swuti $136 a^{2}$ (: St. Kath. v. 45I), it can hardly be a scribal error for swete, swote; because also MSS. R \& B have -u(MS. R sputi; MS. B swuti or sputi: Einenkel, St. Kath.). Probably the MS. R reading ti sputi speche is the original one; I do not know of another case of such a word, but it may quite well be an adj. formed from a subst. *spute (for dispute; cf. spute etc. v. for dispute etc., Stratm.-Bradley).
183 3. wurdes s. pl. 122a. hellewurmes s. pl., wurmene gen. pl. 107a ${ }^{2}$, $124 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ [wurmes $54, \mathrm{I}$; 55a,10]. wurche, -en, -e $\begin{gathered}\text { inf., }\end{gathered}$ pres. ind. \& opt. $114 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, $122 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$, $123 \mathrm{a}^{2}$, etc. (II; incl. I35a ${ }^{2}$, where the context would be better suited by rour oched, which verb is used in this place by the other MSS.) [wurchen, -es 14,13; 52a,8]. [(a)wuried, -es, -ed 53,9; 64,15; 80a,22].
[wirsum s. 79a,23]. - Cf. salm(e)wrihte s. II2b¹, I32a ${ }^{2}$.
 (: Cockayne wu-), b¹ (Cockayne wu-), I22b² $^{2}$ (Cockayne wи-), 146b¹ [winne 50a,16; 52,I; etc.]).
4. ${ }^{1}$ )word, -es s. Io6b ${ }^{1}$, $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, II2b ${ }^{1}$, etc. (33) [word, -es 40a,6; 40a,I5].

The pp's (for)wurpen I29b1, $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, (i)wur den II4a ${ }^{2}$, I consider (against BüLBR. QF 63, p. I2I f.) to have adopted the vowel of the pt. pl. (cf. wurpen pt. pl. I43b2; (i)wur すen pt. pl. $142 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$, $147 \mathrm{~b}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ); cf. below § 379 .

For (a)warpen pp. $122 \mathrm{~b}^{2}, ~ 126 \mathrm{~b}^{2}, ~ 140 \mathrm{~b}^{1}$ - cf. wrahte, -es pt., iwraht, -e pp. Io6b², II9b², etc. (I2) [(i)wraht(e) I6a, 16 ; etc. (5 cases given)] - see §3II.

Note.
wy-does not occur.
Original $\mathrm{OE} \mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ appears only as wu- : wulf etc. s.; wunder etc. s., wundren etc. v., wundri adj.; wune s. "habit», wun(i)e etc. v., (i)wuned, -et adj. (pp.) "wont», wuninge,-unge s.; swungen pp.; (-)wurden pt. pl. (\& pp., cf. C 4); (-)wurpen pt. pl. ( \& pp., cf. C 4); wunde etc. s., wundi etc. v., wunden pp. »wound».

Original OE w o appears only as ro- : cf. above C 4; further sworn pp. I2Ia ${ }^{2}$, to swollen pp. I38a ${ }^{2}$. - The $-a$ - in walde etc. pt. Io5b ${ }^{1}$ (4), Io7 $\mathrm{b}^{1}$, etc. (numerous), nalde etc. I05 $\mathrm{b}^{1}$, II3 $\mathrm{b}^{2}$, etc. (9) [walde etc., nalde etc. 18,$3 ; 18,3 ; 19,3$, etc.] is of OE origin (cf. below § 3II); the $-a$ - in [walcne s. $63,26]$ (cf. Morsb. Gr. § I20, anm. I), if not simply miswritten for wolcne, may also go back to OE a .

For $-e o-=\ddot{ }=$, see above § I49. $\quad-0$ - is sometimes (but not very often) substituted for this -eo- $(=\ddot{0})$; thus e. g. dorc adj. IO7b², [chorl s. 24a,I5; forcoruen inf. I4a,9] (:MÜHE p. 50).

[^9]
## 185 f. Texts of the Western and Middle South.

## I. The Worcester Fragment.

This fragment contains a small part af IElfric's Grammar, and parts of a short Latin-English glossary and of a poem on the Soul and Body. The fragment was found by Sir T. Phillips and printed by him A. D. 1838 (: Fragment of Ælfric's Grammar, Ælfric's Glossary, and a Poem of the Soul and Body, etc.). - The glossary is also printed in Wright's "Anglo-Saxon and Old English Vocabularies", and in the second ed. of this work published by Wülker, London 1884 (col. 536 ff.; No. XIII), where according to a foot-note, Phillips' edition "has been carefully corrected». - The glossary seems to be an abridgement of one of the IIth century given in Wright-Wülker, col. 307 ff. (No. X); whence probably the purely OWS forms found in our text among the generally later ones.

The MS. is of the 12th century (: Phillips op. cit., WrightWülker op. cit.) and its language has the maincharacteristics of the Western and Middle South (Morsb. Gr. p. 9).
A.
nulleb 3 p1. pres. ind. 10/22, 12/8, 20. B.
I. bitrouxen $2 / 55$.
wihte s. "wight» I3/2; nowiht pron. I3/II, I5/I4.
2. wurst sup. 13/17, I5/I8.
3. suрerwude "abrotanum» 6/1; drize wude »ligna» 6/16.

Wireceastre n. pr. 9/20. - idwimor nfantasma" 5/I7. iwita "testis" 5/ri; buruh wita s. 8/7. hwipa s. "auro" 5/13.
4. beworpen inf. 13/7.
iwurpan inf. 3/9; (i)wurpe sg. pres. opt. 15/25 (MS. iwu ..., corresponding to Lat. »fiat»), 16/14. - Here may also be
given（cf．§ 9）：unwurp adj．II／24，wurdliche adv．16／I9； ．．．wurbe adj．9／I6，deor（e）wurpe adj．II／I7，I5／28；wurpest sup．II／27；～worpi3＂predium＂8／Io．
cweornstan mmola» 7／19．－sweorbeah »monile» 4／22；sweor－ cops »boia» 9／3；（？）sweor（MS．sp－）＂columna» 6／27．
andweorc，－rke s．8／I6，I5／24；～werc »opus» 4／4，wercston nsaxum＂8／I5，werke s．I3／I7．
swerdes ord mmucro» 8／2（：Wright－Wülker．But Phillips has sweo－）．
dwaruh »nanus» 4／7（cf．for－⿻上丨－：markung s．I／20～merkunge 1／20；farses „verses» I／I9～regular ver－）．

5．worlde 9／27．
weole，－cn，－an，－an s．sg．\＆pl．II／II，2I，12／5，6，9， 22. sweoli s．＂cauma＂ $5 / 15$ ．
weler s．＂labium» 3／I3．reeli adj．»dives» 4／I5．
6．No cases．

## C．

I．wulc 3 sg．pres．ind．13／6；wulle 1 sg．pres．ind． $16 / 4$ ， 3 sg．pres．opt．I／22；wullep pl．pres．ind．I2／22，24，etc．（II）． hrouche，－es I／I4，2／4，5；ihwulche，－lke 2／I2，I5（MS．．．wulke）， 2S；ihwlke 1／21；ihulke 2／7．（？）grundeswulie »simitia» 6／2 （SWEET，Dict．：OE－we－，－wi－，－wy－）．－hwule s．，－on adv． 2／26，II／I．12／25，I3／I3［～hwile，on 2／27（MS．．．．ilon），II／I2， 13／I6］．swope 10／23，II／7，I7，28，13／27．［Cf．hroui II／II ～hwi 2／8，I3／I3，I6／3］．

Otherwise only wi－．
2．No w－influence．－weolcne＂nubes＂5／I4，weopinde 10／5，go back to $\mathrm{OE} \propto<0+\mathrm{i}$－umlaut；cwuld »pestis» $5 / 5$ ，to OWS y $<\mathrm{ie}<\mathrm{ea}+$ i－umlaut．

3．slowurm，wurmes，－en 5／30，II／26，I2／I7，23， 26 （MS． wur．．．），I3／I4．（biscop－，mug）wurt s．6／I，3，9；wurtun 8／土о． wurchen inf．13／3．（－）wurhta，－a，－ena »operarius»，etc．4／3， 5，9，I9．［Cf．wunne s．IO／I3］．

4．word，－c，－es 2／I3，I8，etc．（IO）．－iworpen pp．（？）

I5/26; ~ iwurpen pp. I5/26 (: probabiy with the vowel of the pl. pt., cf. § 379). - Cf. wrohten p1. pt. I3/I5 ~ (i)wrouhte pt. sg. \& pp. I4/9, I5/2I,24.

I89 Note.
roy-does not occur ( $-y$ - only once : synne s. I5/20).
Original OE $w \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ appears only as wu-: wulder, -dre s . I3/20, I4/29; wulf s. 5/24; bizounden np. IO/9, I6; wunien etc. V. \& s. IO/I3, II/I, etc. (6); irounede adj. I4/6; wurpe 2 sg. pt. ind. I2/I6; further probably iwurpen pp., cf. C 4.

Original OE w ŏ appears only as wo-: cf. C 4; further wolde, -est pt. I3/29, $15 / 3,16 / \mathrm{I} 8$, (and nolde, -est II/II, I3, 2I, I2/I5, I3/2 etc. (II; incl. MS. nold... I5/2)).

OE ěo is frequently rendered by $-e o-$; but also sometimes by $-e-\&-\mathcal{B}-(:$ cf. above B 4).

## Igo II. The "Old English Homilies" (MS. Lambeth 487).

Edited by R. Morris: Old English Homilies I. (EETS.' Orig. Ser. 29, 34. London 1867 , I868). Different handwritings are discernible in the MS., one in fol. $\mathrm{I}-59 \mathrm{~b}$, another in fol. $59 \mathrm{~b}-65 \mathrm{a}$ (: the Moral Ode, cf. Morris op. cit. p. V, note 2), and a third (cf. Morris op. cit. p. VII) in fol. $65 \mathrm{~b}-67$ a (: the end).

I deal here with the work of the first scribe (Morris pp. 3-I59), with the exception of piece No. VI (Morris pp. $55-7$ I) whose phonology differs somewhat, though not very materially, from that of the other pieces [cf. O. CoHn: Die Sprache in der mittelenglischen Predigtsammlung der Hs. Lambeth 487 (Diss. Berlin I880), § 5 f.].

Nos. IX and X (Morris pp. 87-II9) and part (Morris pp. I2I/32-123/24) of No. XI are transliterations of Elfric's

Homilies (cf. Morris p. XI f.); and for these pieces and possibly also for others, we have to take into account the probability of an influence on the orthography of our MS., of the (direct or indirect) late OE source (cf. Morsb. Gr. p. 6 f.). Apart from the archaisms which may be due to this cause, the MS. presents a great number of purely orthographical inaccuracies, which fact considerably reduces the reliability of isolated abnormal forms.

According to Morsb. Gr. pp. 7, Io, the MS. belongs to the last quarter of the 12 th century and is written "von einem südwestlichen schreiber».

## A.

I9I
nuten pl. pres. 75/9; nuste, -en pt. 19/26, 91/34, 93/4. nul(l)e 3 sg. pres. 9/29, 35, etc. (23); nult 2 sg. II7/II, nulled 2 \& 3 pl. 15/13, 34, etc. (6); ~ nele 3 sg . 105/14, nelle 才 I pl. 107/18.

> B.
I. ful( $)$ ) uht, -e s. 73/4, 91/14, etc. (5); cf. fulehte 9I/I6, ful(l)ht, -beda s., -les adj. 37/17, 73/12, etc. (6); iful(c)hten, -ted v. 73/15, 27, 14I/23 (and iful3ed etc. pp. 37/I8 etc.).
bi-twuxan 91/23, bitwuxe 105/28, 131/5 ~ bitwixen, -an 115/5, 125/18. - be-twihan 37/3.
(e)awiht 3/9, 31/30, etc. (4); nawiht pron. \& adv. 15/14, 17,30, etc. (32; incl. -wicht 147/9, 9) [cf. noht pron. \& adv. 17/12, 19/3I, etc. (21); ~naut adv. 25/21, 24, etc. (13); ~nauht adv. IO5/3I, III/II, I23/I2].
2. wurse comp. 27/28, 43/5, 85/8; wursien v. 47/24. For wurd-e s. \& adj., etc., see below B 4.
3. suteliche adv. 4I/9. uten 川let us» $107 / 6$, III/II. sustersg., 192 $-\operatorname{tre}(n) \operatorname{pl} .5 / \mathrm{I} 8,7 / 4,23 / \mathrm{I} 2,137 / 17,147 / \mathrm{I}, 157 / 10$. twa ntwice") $99 / \mathrm{I}_{5}, 23$ (: if $-w-\mathrm{u}$; cf. the OWS variant tu(w)a).
wike s. 139/9. quic adj. 79/5, etc. (6); (a)quiked 3 sg. pres. ind., -ien inf. $8 \mathrm{I} / 6,8$. swica s. 25/25; swike adj. $53 / 2 \mathrm{I}$;
swikel adj．43／33；swikian inf．93／工．twi3en ntwice» 37／I6．－ widewe，－ewan，－ewehad s．25／20，20，II5／20．（i）witen，－an inf．， pl．pres．ind．»know» 9／I9， $15 / 7$ ，etc．（IO），wite $3 e$ imp．9／3， II／27，9I／6［wite（ $\overline{\text { be }) ~ i m p . ~ \& ~ p r e s . ~ o p t . ~ 23 / I 7 ~ e t c ., ~ w i t e-, ~}$ witicrist 27／33，etc．，wit 3 sg．pres．ind． $2 \mathrm{I} / 20,85 / \mathrm{I} 9]$ ； （bi）witen，－ene，－e才 inf．，pl．imp．＂guard＂23／26，45／3，etc．（7）． I93 4．Here may be given（cf．§ 9）wurd s．3I／25，9I／24， I27／IO（cf．weo－，below）；（un）wurd，－e adj．49／IO，107／8， etc．（7）；wurdliche adj．47／I；unwurliche adv．IOI／I2；de（o）re－ wurd（e），－rре adj．I9／9，79／18，etc．（5）；stalewur đe adj．25／I2； wurd－，wurpian，－（i）en，etc．V．II／24，28，etc．（9），iwur degede pp．137／5；wurdinge，－bunge s．7／10，9／9，109／27；wur すment s．IO7／3I；wurd－，wurpscipe s．5／4，I29／I9；（？）wurpinge s． ＂rubbish＂85／25（＜OE weor d？）．－As to weordes 25／24（for monne weordes dinge），the context favours an original（OE） weordes gen．sg．，but the scribe very probably took the form for the gen．sg．of word（cf．C 4）．
forwordon inf．13／33～（for－，i）wurde，－en，－an，－ed inf．， pres．opt．，pl．\＆I sg．pres．ind．13／29，73／20，etc．（5）；further （if not to B 2，cf．§§ 9，276）forwur dest 2 sg．pres．ind．35／17， （for－，i）wurd 3 sg．pres．ind．73／8（MS．－rh），97／22，IO9／31．－ pe or $\begin{aligned} & \text { I3／35 is probably due to the scribe＇s inability to read }\end{aligned}$ （and understand）his source in this place（which probably had weord $3 \mathrm{sg} . \mathrm{pr}:$ ind．）．
sworde s．（69／I5），I55／9．
forworpen pl．pres．IO5／I4；aworped 3 sg．pres．ind．II3／13 ～to－，awerped 3 sg ．pres．ind．25／15，109／7．－For warpest 2 sg．pres ind．7／I7（cf．iwarpen pp．，C 4），see § $3 I I$ ．
worc（an），－rkes．IOI／7，107／8，125／2I；～weorc，－rke（s）s．3I／26， 45／I2，47／3，93／2I，99／13；～werke（s），－rcas，－r（c）kan s．9／28， I7／I4，etc．（I5）；hondiwerc s．I29／20．
sweore s．＂neck＂49／28．
werue s．＂horse» 79／9，85／3．
194 5．world，－e，－es 7／20，2I／10（MS．－ld），etc．（30）；woreld

19／35，worulde dat．sg．129／3I；worles gen．sg．27／34；wordle 33／30；wor（ld）liche adj．149／10，155／23；～weorld，－e，－es 21／8， $33 / 25$ ，etc．（I5）；weordliche adj．IOI／IO．
wole s．145／6～weole s．145／10～wele，$-a(n)$ ，ene，－ena s． $5 / 25,13 / 18$ ，etc．（9）．
weozes s．pl．5／35～weies s．pl．7／7，49／33，etc．
6．wernen inf． $75 / 2,85 / 23,137 / 7$ ．weried 3 sg．pres． 109／27，aweriede pp．49／10（ $\sim$ awariede pp．23／34，I53／I4，see § 331）．
wermpe s． $37 / 33$（not found in OE）may belong here；but its vowel might also represent OE－e a r－：cf．wernede pt．»warn－ ed．I3／I6，wernast，－ad $2 \& 3$ sg．pres．ind．III／2I，II7／I3（～ warniene 109／I6），werd sg．pt．133／22， $157 / 3$（ $\sim-e a-, \sim-a-$ ）， werp sg．pt．I29／6（ $\sim-a$－），and further forms such as erme adj．，herm（e）s．，bern s．，etc．

$$
\mathrm{C} .
$$

I．An－u－due to the influence of $w$－appears in the fol－ lowing forms of „will» pres．＂which»，＂such»：wulle $1,2,3 \mathrm{sg}$ ． pres．13／20，15／I，etc．（2I），wule 3 sg．19／21，2I／29，etc．（29），wult 2 sg．25／I，2，37／I9，wиlleす I \＆3 pl．7／I8，15／5，etc．（6），wul－ let I pl．II／IO，wule（す）I pl．4I／8，77／I6［～wille I \＆ 3 sg． $13 / 18,23 / 3,143 / 23$ ，wile $I \& 3$ sg． $13 / 14,17 / 34$ ，etc．（25）， wilt 2 sg．33／4，wille 2 pl．13／34，wil（l）en $1 \& 3 \mathrm{pl} .75 / 3$, I53／土7］； （h）wulc，－lche pron．II／I7，I5／7，etc．（6），hulche 27／I8，iwulche I05／I3；cf．urolche（probably standing for－wu－）19／36［～ （h）wilc，－lche 15／15，19／II，etc．（6），hwiche 85／22，145／14， etc．（8），i－，e－，uwilc，－lch，－lche $(n)$ ，－lcum II／29，I3／2（MS． uwil），etc．（19）］；swulc，－lche 51／31，91／20，etc．（8），al swuch（e） 83／6，133／34，sulche 137／Іо［～swilc，－lcne，－lche 17／IO， $2 \mathrm{I} / 6$ ，etc．（10），alswich 83／20，swiche $147 / 33,157 / 2,9,18]$ ． －The forms hwole s．29／II， 25 （：usually wh－，（h）wile s．\＆adv．7／14，22，etc．），swmminde pres．p．5I／32（～ swimmed etc． $51 / 32,33$ ，etc．），are probably meant for－wu－，or are mere slips of the pen（cf．e．g．awnde $97 / 27=$ awende pt．）．

Most words have only wi- : cf. e. g. hwider adv. 155/22; (bi)wiste(n) pt. 19/27, 41/29, etc.; witmon sg. 15/I8, 133/12, wifmen pl. 47/3 ~ wimmen pl. 53/19, 23; swide adv. 7/20, 25, 9/26, etc.; cf. also hwi adv. 33/36, 43/29, etc. - welle s. "will" 21/12 ( ~ wil, -lle s. 27/I5, 29/16, etc.) is probably miswritten for wi-.
2. Generally no w-influence appears : cf. e. g. wēpen etc. v., wepmon s., twelue etc., wemmed etc. pp. The only doubtful cases are weo „we» (55/3,5,9,24), 107/1,5, and perhaps weoren pl. pt. „were» 135/4 (: usually we, weren); in both forms -eo- may however stand for $-e$-, as there are a few certain cases of this kind (see below § 198). - As to forswoleзed 3 sg . pres. ind. 123/II, see § 356 .
3. and-wurde pt. 9I/I ~ andworde (probably $=-w \mathrm{ur}^{-}$) 91/13. wurmes s. pl. 51/27, 79/25. wurche, -en(ne), eo inf., pl. pres. ind. 8I/29, IO9/35, II7/32, 139/2. (salm)wurhta(n), $-e(n)$ s. 93/22, 25, etc. (7). [Cf. wunne s. 143/36, etc. (4), wunsemeste sup. 35/I4; ~winne s. 147/7 (: for $-i-,-e-<$ OE $\breve{y}$ in other positions, see Cohn pp. II, I3)].
4. (here)word, -e, -es S. 15/4, 3I/9, 75/8, etc. (30); ~ weord, -e, -es 45/10, 47/25, etc. (10; cf. also weordes above, B 4); ~ wurden s. p1. II7/25 (miswritten ?). - iweorht pp. III/34 [~ warhte pt. 91/25, 97/25, iwrat pp. 45/25, see § 3II]. - Here may also belong iweorden pl. pt. 9I/20, 99/I, which probably has the vowel of the pp. (: thus explained by Corn p. 21). - For iwarpen pp. I43/29 (cf. warpest pres. B 4), see § 3 II.

Note.
wy-does not occur.
Original OE w 发 appears as wo- in wonied pl. imp. 73/17; otherwise always as wu- (and w-): swommen pl. pt., wunian etc. v. (~ wnian, -ie才 25/15, 159/3), wonnunge, -(i)inge s., ǐvunede pp. "wont", eeliche adv., wunder etc. s., wunde s., (-)wundie etc. v., (bi)runden pp. »wound». [-u- represents

OE $\stackrel{u}{u}$ also in other positions; I have only noticed the exception comen inf. 9/34].

Original OE wo appears, with the exceptions given in $\mathrm{C}_{4}$, only as wo-: cf. C 4; further forsworene pp., -enesse s. 103/17, 143/27, wolde, -est pt. 93/I, 149/r4 (cf. nolde 123/4) [ ~ walde(n) pt. 5/20, 7/II, etc. (cf. nalde (n) 5/20, 7/II, etc.), see§3II], dwolunge s. II7/23, aswolkenesse 83/25.
-eo- (in many words ~ -e-, also in such as have only - e o - I98 in OE , cf. COHN p. Io) generally goes back to $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{e}}$ o (cf. Cohn p. 19); this is no doubt also the case in (a)beoren, -an, -ed inf., 3 pl. pres. ind. 35/26, 51/28, 125/7, forsteolan inf. 109/34, feole "many" 4I/27, to breocan inf. 127/34, and perhaps (by analogy) in for-heole 3 sg . pres. opt. 37/13, tobreoke sg. imp. 33/7, some of which forms are given by Cohn p. 20 as cases of -eo- for $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{e}}$. In a similar way, the frequent use (according to CoHN p. 2I) of -eo- „beim bestimmten Artikel und pron. pers. III.» may be due to an extension (though perhaps only orthographically) of regular -eo-forms. Of the other eo-forms given as irregular by CoHn op. cit., sweote 53/22 ( ~ swete), breodre s. pl. 23/II, 4I/3 (~-0-, -e-), derive from OE $\bar{o}+\mathrm{i}$-umlaut; and seolcuす $4 \mathrm{I} / 27$ ( $\mathrm{OE} \operatorname{sel}(d)$ cūす) appears with -eo- also in KGr., where -eo- is not otherwise used for $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ (cf. Bülbring, Bo. Btr XV p. I39). Thus there remain of Cohn's cases of eeo- for $-e-(<\mathrm{OE} \breve{æ}, \breve{\mathrm{e}})$ - the completeness of which cases is however very doubtfulonly heoueden, heofde( $n$ ) pt. sg. \& pl. "had» 5/27, 9/17, 21/30 ( $\mathrm{OE} \bar{æ}$ ), todveofden pl. pt. „dispersed» 93/24 (OE $\bar{æ}$ ), unaneomned pp. "innumerable» $43 / 6$ ( $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{e}}$ ), streonge adj. "strong" I3I/7 (OE ĕ, or more probably, OE $̆$ ą ). - Further there is heofod 103/4, probably miswritten for ea(cf. e. g. heaued s. 9/i9). - Finally, there are some cases of $-e o$ - for $-0-(=\bar{o}, \bar{o}, \stackrel{\circ}{\circ})$, no doubt due to the frequent use of -o- for -eo- (see § 199). Of Cohn's cases of this kind (p.
21) we may perhaps disregard leosad 3 sg . I39/30 ( OE losad ), which may have the vowel of OE lèosan, and leof (song) 7/Io, where the scribe may have had in view the adj. le of; but there remain the certain cases feorleosed 135/33 ( OE for-), eodre »other» 43/25, heoranna, heordom „whore-» 103/15, II5/20, neodeles "nevertheless» 137/2I (: also in KGr., cf. BüLbring 1. c. p. I39), heolia »holy» 93/35; cf. also the cases under C 4 , above. To these should be added heorde s. »hoard» III/7, peornen s. pl. 133/2I, bleode s. „blood» $127 / 16$; and I do not doubt there are still more, as I have not specially been on the lookout for such forms.
199 -0- (in many words ~-eo-, -e-) appears very often for OE e o: cf. e. g. orđe »earth», horte »heart», lorne »learn», horde s. 75/10, 85/6 (OE hierde, heorde) etc., solf etc. „self», 3olewe "yellow»; fond »fiend», frond "friend», iso "see», fro »three», prost "priest», etc.

## III. The Owl and The Nightingale.

Two MSS. are known : MS. Cotton Caligula A. 9, Brit. Mus. (MS. C), of the first half of the 13th century, and MS. Arch. I 29, Jes. Coll., Oxford (MS. A), of the second half of the 13th century. Editions of the poem have been published by Stevenson (Roxburghe Club, i838), Th. Wright (Percy Soc. II, I843), F. H. Stratmann (Krefeld 1868), J. E. Wells (Belles-Lettres Series, Boston \& London 1907; both MSS. printed in full), and by W. Gadow (Palæstra No. 65, Berlin Igo9; critical edition founded on MS. C, but giving all the variants of MS. A).

The poem was probably written in Dorsetshire about A. D.

I200 (cf. Gadow § 5 f.). - The MSS. both belong to the Southern dialect.

I have followed Gadow's edition. As a rule I have only recorded the forms of MS. C.
[The MS. variations $w \sim u \sim v$ (for $w$ ) and $f \sim u \sim v$ (for $f$ ) are not always recorded in my quotations].

## A.

$20 I$
nute pl. pres. ind. IoIo; nuste, -est pt. 1300, 1441, 1751. - nulle, -ep, nultu 905, 909, 913, 1210, 1639, 1764 ~ nele, nelle, -ep, neltu $150,452,653,1482$.
B.

1. betuxen 1747 .
unwi3t ( $\sim-h t$ ), -e, -is, -es s. 33, 87 (: ri3te adj.), 90 (: ani3t), 204, etc. (15). nowi3t ( $\sim-h t$ ) 884, 928; nawiht 1324 [cf. o3t 662 f.; no3t pron. \& adv. 58, 102, etc. (numerous), noht 549, naht I480 (: aht adj.), nout, -wt I391, etc. (4), nawt 1470, etc. (3)].
2. worse comp. 303 (: mersche s.), 505; worste sup. 10; ~ wurs, -e comp. 793, 1408, 1416; ~ wrs ${ }^{1}$ ) comp. 34; wrste sup. I2I (: to berste). - For $2 \& 3 \mathrm{sg}$. pres. ind. of wurden, and for wurd adj. etc., see B4.
3. wnde 615, 1626; wndewale s. 1659. ute Mlet us» I779.
whe pres. opt. 440 (: witite), cf. B 2, foot-note (may also belong to C 1); ~ wite inf. II39, I281, 1319, 1673 [witest 2 sg. pres. ind. IO45, witc pres. opt. 1443, 1467 (: utschute s.; the
[^10]
## II4

original may have had -ti- or -i-, cf. BüLBR. E1. § 308, Gadow § 5I)].
swikelhede, -dom $162,163,838$.
202 4. sworde s. 1068. worp, -e, -ep imp. sg., inf., pres. pl. I2I, 596, 768. - swore s. \#neck» 73, II25 (MS. spore) (: dore s. »deer»).
wurpe pres. opt. 1382, wrpe inf., pres. opt. 846, II73; further (if not to B 2, cf. §§ 9, 276) the 3 sg. pres. ind. forms wurp II58, wrp (MS. wrht) 548 ~ worp 405.

Here may also be given (cf. § 9) wurp adj. 769, I550; wurpful I48I; wur $(\bar{\beta})$ schipe s. 1288, 1344; ~ (un)wrp 339, 340 (rimed together), 572; wrpsipe 1099.
5. worlde, es 476, 1280, 1363.
weole s. p1. I273 (: veole). dweole(-) s. 926, I239 (cf. dwole, § 205).
[Cf. fale ( $\sim u-v-$ ) (OE fe(o)la, fealo) 628, I37I, etc. (5); ~ - eole (3); ~ -ele (7; incl. the rime: forhele v. 797)].
6. werne(n) inf. 6I4, I358 (: derne adv.).
C.
I. wul(l)e, wult(u), wullep pres. ind. \& opt. 630, 903, etc. (Ig; incl. rimes : schule pres. opt. (ü) I748, : gult s. (ui) I409, : agrulle v. ( $\mathfrak{u}$ ? ) IIO9) ~wle, wht, wllep pres. ind. 406, 499, 896 [~wil(l)e, wilt(u) sg. pres. ind. \& opt. 77, I65, etc. (I0; incl. the rime : sckile s. I85)]. hwuc(c)h(e) 936, I3I9, etc. (6; only form). swuc (c)h(e) I307, I324, etc. (I4) [~ $\operatorname{swich}(e)$ I78, 405, etc. (8)]. wuste pt. IO (: custe s.) [~ wiste II6 (: wiste, MS. A custe s.; the original probably had rouste : custe), I47, 160,940 ] (for wete pres. opt., see B 3). - rummon sg. I359, I387, etc. (4); pl. I350 [~ wimman, -e sg.I379, I413; wimmon, -men pl. I355 I357]. - swupe I56I, I59I; supe 2, I2, I55 ....II28 (I3) [~ (-) swip, -e 376 f., II75,I245, etc. (8)].

All other words have only $-i$-; cf. e. g. hwi, w(h)i I50, 218, etc.; wider adv. 724; (h)wile s. \& adv. 6, I99, etc.
2. wolcumep 440 [ ~ welcume adj. I600]. For worre s. "war" 385 (: forre comp.), see § 357.

Generally no w-influence; cf. e. g. twelve 836 (: solve »self" ${ }^{1}$ ), wel adv., were s. "man» I34I (: copenere); etc. - weolcne s. 1682 has -ö- < OE $\propto<0+$ i-umlaut; cf. e. g. § 188.
3. wormes s. pl. 51.
wrchen inf. 408 ~ wirche inf. 722 (: chirche). - [Cf. wun $(n) e \mathrm{~s}$. 272 (: cunne s.), IIOO (: cunne s.)].
4. word, -e, -es s. 45, 139, etc. (37; incl. rimes: acorde s., Guldeforde n. pr., hord s., borde s., orde s. I82, I92, 468, 480, 1067, 1223, I580, I7II, I786; : for-, iworpe pp. 547, 659; and worde: shitworde 285 f.). iworpe pp. II2I. for-, iworpe pp. 548, 573, 575, 660 (incl. 2 rimes: word, cf. above); the variant forwurde pp. I49I (: borde s.) probably has the -uof the pl. pt., etc. (cf. §379), and if so, does not belong here. - [Cf. wra3te pt. Io6 (: ha3te pt.); < OE -a-, see § 3II].

## Note.

205
wy-does not occur in MS. C.
Original OE w $\breve{u}$ appears as wu- (w-,-u-) and (rarely) as wo-: wulves s. pl. Ioo8; wunder s. 361 etc. (3), wnder s. 852 (and winder 1384; probably miswritten), wndri I sg. pres. 228; aswunde pp. I480, asunde 534; iwune pp. (adj.) 1320 (: kume s.); wunep pres. ind. 338, 1752, wnest 2 sg. 589 (: schunest); wnienge s. 6I4; wrpe pt. opt. 400 (and probably forwurde pp., cf. C 4); - woning s. 1760; iwone s. 475 (: frome, OE $\breve{u}$ ).

Original OE w ǒ appears only as wo- (for forwurde pp., see above): cf. C 4; further wolde, -est pt. 70, 84, etc. (Io; incl. rimes: schulde pt. I26I, : scholde pt. 1692) [cf. nolde pt. I59, Io8o, 1742]; toswolle pp. I45; isvol3e pp. I46 (: ibolwe pp.); probably also (cf. SwEET, OET p. 578, OE dwolian) dwole s. 825 (: hole s.), 1777 (: Nichole) ( $\sim$ dweole s., see B 5).

[^11]OE e o generally appears as -o- (never as -eo-) in vv. I-goo, $970-1190$; generally as $-e 0$ (rarely as $-0-$ ) in the remaining vv . 901-969, II9I-1792 (: our MS. has evidently been copied from two different MSS., cf. Gadow p. 93). - -eo- and -oin these cases no doubt stand for -ö- in the language of the scribe (or author); they can hardly be a traditional spelling for $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$, since the cases of -e- for OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ o are very few (: sterre s . (1), here pron. (3), he pron. »she» (6), be v. (2), prest s. (2), wede s. »weed» ( I ); cf. Gadow §§ $36,38,44,64$ ).

## IV. Robert of Gloucester's Chronicle.

Edited by W. A. Wright : The Metrical Chronicle of Robert of Gloucester [London 1887; in "Chronicles and Memorials of Great Britain» etc.]; mainly from MS. Cotton Caligula A. II (: MS. A), written (according to PabSt § I) about 1320-30. I have only considered this MS. (i. e. Wright vv. I-I2049); not also the 152 vv . introduced by Wright (after v. 4920) from MS. Harl. 201 (to fill a gap of two leaves in MS. A), or the later 592 vv . printed by Wright in App. XX (cf. Pabst § 3).

The dialect of MS. A does not differ materially from that of the poem itself (as evidenced by the rimes), which is that of Gloucestershire ab. A. D. I300 (: Morsb. Gr. p. Io).

For other MSS. of the Chronicle, for its authors, etc., I refer to Körting, Grundriss § II9, Eilers p. 128, and F. PabSt: Die Sprache der mittelengl. Reimchronik des Rob. von Gloucester (I. Lautlehre, Diss. Berlin 1889. II. Formenlehre, in Anglia 13).
[I have not always recorded the MS. variations $w \sim u(=w)$, $v \sim u(=v$ for $f$ - $)]$.
A.

207
nute, -eइ 3 pl. pres. ind. 4375, 9409; nuste pt. 303, 307, 363, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes: wuste pt. 596, : truste 2561, :kuste pt. 3349). - inel(l)e, nelt, nel I, 2, 3 sg . pres. ind. 727, I258, 224I, etc. (II; incl. the rime: telle v. IO295); ~ nolle, $-e p$ pl. pres. ind. $814,2208,4825,4827$, etc.
B.
I. wizt „Isle of Wight» 33, 7742 (: ri3t), 7992. wi3tleg n. pr. (son of Woden) 4693. wi3t, es s. "wight» 2750, 10996 (: ri3t). [Cf. (n)a3t, -e 185, 504, etc.; 3798 etc. (numerous; rimed: -a3t 4I35, 4302, 437I, 9421); ~ (n)03t 8, 47, etc. (numerous; incl. several rimes: -o3t); ~ (n)oust 1317, 2018, 9649, etc.; ~ (n)out 6896 (rimed : -о3t), 9648 (: озt), 9996, etc.].
wiztemen s. pl. 456 seems to contain the adj. wiht »brave» etc. (cf. § 255).
2. wors, $-e$ comp. IO, 846 , Io63, etc. (22); worst, -e sup. 2865, 52II, etc. (5). - For $2 \& 3 \mathrm{sg}$. pres. ind. of wurden v., and for wur $\delta,-e \mathrm{~s} . \&$ adj. etc., see B 4 .
3. wode, -es S. I2, 145, 456, etc. (25), wodestoke n. pr. 208 9046 f., 10870. (bed) soster 630, 880, etc. (21), soster sone(s) 867 , etc. (8), sostren pl. 712, 721, etc. (7).
wuke s. 7942 ~ wouke 243I, 2434, etc. (I4), witesonewouke 10542.
wute 2 pl. pres. ind. 9360; wtep 3 pl. pres. ind. I22 (: probably $=w u-) ; \sim$ wetep pl. pres. ind. I22; $\sim(i-, y) w i t e,-e n ~ i n f .$, -ep pres. pl. I88 (: iwrite pp.), 224, etc.; 4049 etc. (numerous); ywyte inf. 4400. - wurce(s)t(e)re, -ssive n. pr. 67, 7914, etc. (II); wrcestre 94; ~wirce(s)tre, -ssive 38, 93, etc. (12).
quic, -ike, -icliche adj. \& adv. I647, 3806, 4166, etc. (I9); quichelmeslewe n. pr. 6005 (: Cucklamsley Hill in Berkshire). suike etc. s. (OE swica) 2293, 45I5, etc. (6) [cf. suikedom, -e 4822, etc.]; suikelhede s. 7332. twie, -ye »twice» I3OI
(: -ie), 4556 (: ýe), 4698 (: -'ye), 9018. -widewe s. 9062. witic inf. "guard" 2180, 2863, etc.
209 4. ( $i$-, y) worpe( $n$ ), -rp inf., sg. imp., pl. \& I sg. pres. ind. 1535, 2330, etc. (7); further (or belonging to B 2, cf. §§ 9, 276) worst 2 sg. pres. ind. 2232, 3194; work 3 sg. pres. ind. 336, 888 , 982 , etc. (39). - worc, $-r k(e)$ s. 2710, 2760, etc. (10); vorcmen s. 2707; nyweworc, newework ( $=$ Newark in Nottingham) 9220, 10552; further probably (with the vowel of the subst.) worke inf. 3069 (cf. C 3).

Here may also be given (cf. § 9) worp s. 7679, 768r; worp adj. 7I8, 8ıo f., etc. (17); worpe adj. 890, II55, etc. (7); stalworpe, -rdre, etc. 210, 435, etc. (5); worpi v. 3037; ~ wurp s. 7874; wurp adj. 7677, 8814, etc. (8); (ин) wurбi, -r马e adj. 3224, 3466, etc. (13), wrpi 1417; begh-, cha-, keni(n)g(es)-, tamewurpe n. pr. II491; II500; II445, II642, etc.; 5480 (17). - Cf. stalwarpe adj. 1108, 2679, 3387; -warde, -wardeste, etc. $428,613,867$, etc. (47) ( : § 312).
suere s. "neck» 8009 (: dere adj.), 9458. - suerd, -e, -es s. 386, 387, II21, etc. (63).
5. world, -e, -es 2, I8I, I90, etc. (51), worl, -es 1073, 1076, 3762, 5116.
[Cf. $f$-, vale adj. (OE feola, etc.) passim; rimed : tale s. 3067, 5740].
6. wurne inf. 7553 (: biturne inf.), wurnde pt. II47I (: turnde pt.).
210 C.
I. wol, wol(l)e, wolt(ou) pres. sg. ind. \& opt. 282, 284, 335, etc. (numerous); wollep, wole $\mathrm{r}, 2,3 \mathrm{pl}$. pres. ind. 2209, 2469, etc. (numerous); cf. icholle sg. pres. ind. 713, 7I7, 1333, etc. (numerous); ~ roul(l)e 3 sg. \& pl. pres. ind. 558, Logr [~wil(l)e, -i, wilt I \& 2 sg., willep 3 pl. pres. ind. 205, 280, 284, 701, 2192, 2829, etc.; cf. willed, -e pt. II29, 1648, 4443, 543I, etc.]. woch, -e 817, 928, etc. (2I; none after v. 4609); ~wuch, -e 303, 771, etc. (22) [ (3) wich, -e 326,
497. II89]. woder 803, 815, etc. (5); ~ wuder, -ward 932, 2144, etc. (6); ~ 3wderward 307 [no wi-forms recorded].
such, -e 252, 362, 379, etc. (numerous) [~ swich, -e I54, 566, 4285 , etc. (21)]. wup prep. 3330 [ $\sim$ regular wip]. wuste pt., iwust pp. (of witen „know», and "guard») 308, 597 (: muste), I185, I297, etc. (numerous; incl. some rimes: truste v. 4172, etc., : cusste pt. 897I); ~ wiste pt. 1905, 2025, 5213 [ $\sim$ wiste pt. 3660].

```
wom(m)an, -on sg. 211, 628, etc. (3I) [~ wimman 11053];
```

wommen pl. 2752 [~ wimmen 917, 978, etc. (13), wymmen 3946].
wule "while» II4, 347, etc. (numerous); ~ whe 1349 [~ (3) wile 5, 106, IIO, etc. (15)].

Otherwise no influence of w- appears. wu "why" 4917, 6249, 75 II ( $\sim w y$, usual form, $\sim w i 2756$ ) represents the OE $y$-variant, which is probably not, however, due to the influence of w-; and wyinne v. „win» 4512 is probably simply miswritten (for wi- or wy-).
2. Generally no w-influence. Cf. however wol 3528, 2 II 5625 (before adj. \& pp., see § 355). - For the OFr. loan-word worri, -rry etc. v. "war" (38 cases), worre s. (4I), worreours s. (2) ( ~ werri etc. v. 43, 47, 51,550, 884, werre s. 3568 , werreours 2548), see §357. For vorswolwe inf. 4192, 4204, see § 356.
3. worm s. 1006 f., 28 II, 10045. worten s. pl. 6999. wurche inf. 4788 (: chirche), 9207 (: chirche; in the last case wurche is subst . according to Wright's Glossary) (cf. above B 4).
4. word etc. s. 74I, 781, 783, etc. (numerous). - Cf. wro3te, iwero3t pt. \& pp. 45, 1608, 2569 (: bro3te), etc. (numerous).

Note.
$(\mathrm{w})^{\frac{\breve{1}}{1}}$ is often written $(w) y$-.
Original OE w u appears as wu- (rarely), and as wo- (~wou-
/ nd) : wulston n.' pr. 7914, etc., seowulf n. pr. 5160 (rimed : -ulf) [cf. vlfin n. pr. 3306, 3324; bald-, bap-, bern-, bot-, ken-, pand-, randulf 660, etc. (numerous)]; ~ wol, wolle s., wolf s. [cf. Wolston n. pr. 7918, ad-, edwolf n. pr. 5185, etc.; benolf n. pr. 5168], wonder s., wondri etc. v., wone s. 47 I 8 (: sone s.), etc., wonie etc. v. 川live, dwell», -igge, -iinge s., iwoned adj. (pp.), swonke pl. pt. 974, (i)wonne pl. pt. \& pp., (?) (i)woxe pl. pt. \& pp. (cf. Bülbr. QF 63 p. Ioi); iwonded »wounded» II4I, 9427; ~ wounde s., vor-, y-wounded pp. 373 etc., 1287, etc. (numerous)].

Original OE w o o appears only as wo- : cf. C 4; further wolde pt. 166, 242, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes: golde s., ssolde pt. «should», 3 olde pt. opt. I 1086 [ cf. nolde pt. 364, 369, etc., incl. rimes: 3olde pt. 10463, : ssolde pt. II503]; isuore pp. 5520, 6107 (: biuore), etc. (numerous).

Edited by Toulmin Smith, English Gilds p. 347 ff. (:EETS., Orig. Ser. 40. London 1870) from the original Roll of the I4th century (: cf. op. cit. p. 363). The dialect belongs to the Western and Middle South (: Morsb. Gr. p. Io).
A. No cases.
B.
I. wyzte s. \#weight" $354 / 30,355 / \mathrm{I}$, etc. (7). [Cf. nowt 353/6, noust 353/II, etc. (3), naust 363/5].
3. wodewexen s. pl. 358/20.
twy $\mathbf{y}$ es "twice" 357/23. - $y$-wite, $-y$ - inf. »know" 356/7, 362/13 [ ~ (to) wetynge 349/4, 350/20, etc. (I4)]. wytye inf. 350/2; ~wetye inf. 357/21, $y$-weted pp. 360/4. The we-forms
may have $-\varepsilon$ - $<$ OE $-\mathrm{e} 0-(<-\mathrm{I}-)$, or $<\breve{1}(>\mathrm{e})$ in open syllables (cf. C r).
4. Here may be given (cf. §9) worb adj. 357/18; stalworthe adj. (pl.) 357/12; worpy s. 350/20, 357/19.
werk, -e s. 351/4, 352/I, 4 ~ (-)work, -e s. 35I/I, 352/2, 355/7; further probably (: with the vowel of the subst., cf. § 359) werche inf. 350/I8 ~ workep 3 sg. pres. ind. 350/21.
2. 5. 6. No cases.

$$
\text { C. } 215
$$

I. No w-influence appears. The usual form is wi-, wy-; cf. e. g. witnesse; whyle; swych 354/16, 360/II, 363/7.-we- in weche sg. \& pl. 349/7, 13, 359/20, 22, 362/12 (~wych(e), $-i-, 349 / 8,356 / 7,15,363 / 3$ ), and wele 3 sg. pres. (opt.?) $360 / 13$, 29, 3I, 32, may derive from OE (-)w e- (cf. above e. g. § II9) or have $\bar{e}<\overline{1}$ in open syllables (cf. above B 3).
2. No w-influence.
3. worche, -ep pres. opt., pl. pres. ind. 350/22, 26 (cf. B 4).
4. wordes s. pl. 350/I7.

Note.
$(w) \frac{u}{1}$ is very often written $(w) y$-.
Original OE w o and original OE w u both appear as wo- (wu-does not occur). Cf. for OE w ŏ : above C 4, further $y$-swore $\mathrm{pt} .349 / 6$, Io, etc.; for $\mathrm{OE} \mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ : wolle s., -mongere s. $353 / \mathrm{r}, 355 / 27,356 / \mathrm{Io}$, wonyeth 358/22, wony3ynge s. 362/32.

## g. Kentish texts.

## I. Vices and Virtues.

Edited by F. Holthausen: EETS., Orig. Ser. 89 (London 1888. Part I. Text and Translation), from the unique MS. Stowe 240 (No. 34 in the present catalogue of A. D. 1895) in the British Museum. According to Morsbach Gr. p. Io, the work was written »um 1200\%; the age of the MS. is given in the MS. catalogue (p. 2I) as »early XIIIth century». As to the dialect of the MS., W. Heuser, Anglia 17, 88, regarded it as Midland; but Morsbach Gr. 1. c. holds it to be written in "südöstlich sächsischer, dem kentischen benachbarter Dialekt». Morsbach's opinion is supported by G. Schmidt: Über die Sprache und Heimat der »Vices and Virtues», Diss. Leipzig 1899.

Apart from a few lines on p. 74 of the MS. - HolithauSEN's ed. p. II9, 11 I9-25 - and some corrections by later hands, the MS. is the work of two scribes : the one (A) wrote (Holthausen's ed.) pp. i-IIg/6, the other (C) the rest of the piece, pp. IIg/6-I5I. The two scribes do not differ much, either in dialect (cf. Schmidt p. Io, foot-note) or in orthography. It may however be pointed out here that the latter scribe (C) often writes w-for OE hw- (A has hw- ~ wh-), and in one case (?) wo- (: A always wu-) for original OE w u (cf. § 222); further sometimes wo-(: A only wu-) in wurd- etc. (see B 4), and with the exception of one woreld, always world etc.; and always (against one weorke sg.) workes s. p1. (probably $=\mathrm{w}$ ö $\mathrm{r}-$; for weor- or woer-, or - perhaps by carelessness - for wor-, cf. workes 3/I4).

A．
nelt 2 sg．73／5；nele 3 sg．61／8；nelle才 pl．19／21， 25.
B．
I．No cases．［Cf．ouht pron．53／18，～aut 6I／I3，auht 133／12，awht I45／6；noht 9／16，etc．；I35／27 etc．；nohutt 7／II （＊von fremder Hand»，Schmidt p．24）；～naht 13／2，etc．， $127 / 6$ etc．，nauht I7／IO etc．，I2I／24 etc．；nawht I33／3I］．

2．werste sup．77／I5；wers，－e comp．57／20，73／24～wurse 57／23，65／24．－For－wurd，－e adj．etc．，see below B 4.

3．suster 3／22，29／3I，I3I／8～swuster 63／29．wute we 川let us＂23／22，＝hvte we 15I／I5（cf．witen etc．below）．
swikele adj．3／22，I5／I9，etc．（8）．－witen inf．\＆pl．pres． ind．23／9，53／29，etc．（8）～witten inf．II3／2［witende，－inde pres．p． $51 / 26,53 / 5$ ；wite，en pres．opt． $25 / \mathrm{I}, 27 / 32$ ，etc．］．
 $83 / 3,133 / 28$ ；further（if not to B2，cf．§§ 9，276）wurd 3 sg ． pres．ind．5／25，I9／2I，．．．；123／13（5）～worす I5I／5（cf．§ 2I7）． －Here may also be given（cf．§ 9）（－）wur,$-e ~ s . \&$ adj．，etc． ：un－wurす s．\＆adj．5／32，29／34，．．．II7／27（6）；ипwurpere comp． 109／23；（un）wur dliche adv．2I／II，53／8；133／30；（der－，dere）－ wurde adj．I5／I2，2I／II，．．．；I29／I3（IO；incl．MS．wurde 71／23）；derewurdeste sup．5I／28；wurすin，－iзen inf．65／24， 85／2；（иn－，зе）rur деd，－е pt．\＆pp．25／12，55／7，．．．．；135／7 （5）；（un）wur（す）scipe，－es s．53／8，55／8，．．．；135／2I（8；incl． －rd－85／6）；～unwor すere comp．133／29，wor すliche adv．I33／24， derwor de adj．135／22（cf．§ 217）．
（for）wurpen inf．83／13；～worpen inf．I35／3（cf．§ 217）；～ （for）werp，－en，－eठ，－est sg．pres．opt．，inf．，pl．\＆ 2 sg．pres． ind． $17 / 27, \ldots 83 / 15$（5）．
werke，－es s．7／5，29／23，．．．II7／27（I5）；handiwerc s．I3／7， II5／5；～（hande）weorc，weork，－e，－es s．3／18，25，II／24，．．．； 123／9（IO）；～woerkes s．pl．9／2，17／22；workes s．pl．3／14；～ workes s．pl．123／3I，133／IO，I4I／7，143／8， 26 （cf．§ 217）．
sweord s．91／3．

## $I_{24}$

5. woveld, -e, -es, -mann, -Fing 3/I3, I7/I9, ....; I5I/2I (50); world, -e, -es, -menn, etc. 5/33, 7/4, ...; I23/I3, etc. (2x); wordles 33/L5, 79/33, 8I/3; ~ werdles (miswritten for wor-?) 3I/I9.
wele s. 29/I, 3I/I9, ... 8I/4 (6). dwel, -e s. (dat. sg.) 》error» 29/9, 39/28 (cf. zedwoll s., see § 222); dwelmenn s. pl. 27/I8 ( 3edweld pp. »deceived» $15 / \mathrm{I} 8$ belongs to OE gedwellan).
6. wernde pt. I47/I4 ~ warnen inf. 6I/6. wer $3 i$, -ien, werizen inf. $9 / 8$, I3/6, 9; werзied pl. pres. ind. I3/7; wer3ing$e$, -es s. 19/25, 28, 4I/28; wer3(h)inde s., pres. p. I3/3, 3,
 II7/25, 26, 32 (I8) [~ wari3ing s. I9/27, cf. § 33I].

## C.

I. Generally wi-. Cf. e. g. wil(l)e, wilt, willen, -edsg. \& pl. pres. 5/5, I2, 9/33, etc. ( $\sim$ w-, cf. below); w-, wh-, hwilc( $h$ ), -e, -es 27/22, 29/6,...; I25/II, hwilliche I49/I3 [ ~ hwalche $2 \mathrm{I} / 3 \mathrm{I},<$ OKent. -we-, -wa-]; swilch, -e 3/28, 5/28, ...; 127/22, etc.; swiche 27/19 ( ~ swu-, see below); hwider adv. 17/29; wifmanne sg. I27/I2; (-)hwile I9/I9, 26, etc.; swide 5/I8, I9, etc.; whi, hwi I3/22, 75/25, etc. - Once only appears wy-: wyle pl. pres. ind. IO7/25 (»over erasure»); probably $=\mathrm{w}$. .

A w-influence appears only in the form swulch 75/7, 77/3I ( ~ swi-, see above).
2. There are no certain cases of an influence of w-, except perhaps for wol adv. 125/Io ( $\sim$ wiel 123/9 ~ usual wel, -ll, -lle), which Schmidт p. I9 regards as »verschrieben»; see below § 355. - For forswolezen inf. I39/I8, I9 ( ~ foriswel3en inf. $45 / 26$; cf. sweld pres. ind. »swells» trans. 65/18, I9), whose -o- Schmidt p. 47 considers as due to the influence of w -, see § 355 .
3. wermes s. pl. I5/24, 63/33; ~ weormes I39/8 (regarded by Schmidt pp. 9, 47 as an OWS form copied by the scribe). werched, $-e \bar{p},-e n,-i n d e ~ p 1 . ~ p r e s . ~ i n d ., ~ i n f ., ~ p r e s . ~ p . ~ 27 / I 3, ~$

29/28, ...; 131/24 (7); wercst 2 sg. pres. ind. 65/8; ~ wurchende 3/10. - Cf. wrihte s. 27/26, 91/15, 25, 95/9. [Cf. winne s. »joy" 145/3].
4. (sot)word, -e, -es S. II/12, 23, 13/I, etc. (44). ut-3e-: ut-i-, forworpen pp. 13/31, 73/14, 19. - Cf. fullworoht pp. 39/24.
forwurden pp. 83/10 probably has the vowel of the pt. pl.; cf. § 379 .

Note (cf. also above § 217).
wy- occurs only once, in wyle (cf. C I); it probably stands for $w \stackrel{1}{1}$.

Original OF, w ŭ appears as wo- in beswonken pp. 151/8 (cf. inomen pp. 15I/8, 3enomene pp. 73/33; according to Schmidt p. 25 »vielleicht Analogiebildungen nach ppt. von k1. III, 2, 3 (?)川); otherwise only as wu-: wulder s. 5/20; wunde s. 119/20, ( $3 e-$, i)wunded, -ede, -ieす pp., pl. pres. ind. 63/15, 15, 17, $7 \mathrm{I} / 2$; bewunden pp. 49/28; wunder, -dren etc. s. \& v. I5/3I, 95/15, II7/İ, (i)swunken pt. pl. \& pp. 91/32, 99/4; 151/18; wuni(3)en etc. v. 13/6 etc.; 129/7 etc.; wunienge, -izenge s. 37/4, 4I/31, etc.; (3e-, i) woune s. whabit" 59/31, 79/17, 113/27, wuneliche adv. 121/21, bewune( $n$ ) adj. (or be prep. + s. ?) 139/6, 7, 9; zour $\partial \mathrm{e}$ pt. opt. 5/4, 131/10; and probably forwurden pp., cf. C 4.

Original OE w ŏ appears only as wo-: cf. above $\mathrm{C}_{4}$; further zedwoll s. "error" $27 / 27$ (cf. § 205), wolkne s. 103/24, wolde etc. pt. 5/r, 9/17, etc.; 129/30 etc. ( $\sim$ walde 143/13, see § 3II); cf. also nolde etc. pt. 5/16, II/32, etc.; 125/3, etc.; $a$-solkenesse s. (OE -s w oh - ) 3/21, 23

OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ o (but not $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\overline{\mathrm{e}}}$ ) is in a few cases rendered by -eo-; cf. above B 4, and further Schmidt §§ 24, 33.
II. Poema Morale, MS. D (Digby A. 4, Bodl. Library).

Edited by Zupirza, Anglia 1,5 ff. - The dialect of the MS. is Kentish, and the MS. belongs to the beginning of the I3th century (: Morsbach Gr. p. Io). - Cf. further above § I50, and the references given there.
A.
nelle I sg. 139; nele 3 sg. 58, 153, 176. - nesten pl. pt. IIo (: hlesten inf., OE $-y$-); ~ nisten 47; nited, -en pl. pres ind. II5, 140.
B.
I. wihte s. (OE wiht) 38 (: drihte), I36 (: isihde) [cf. naht pron. 22, 63, etc. (8) ~noht 81, 139, 181]. wihtes. (OE gewiht) 103 (: mihte s.).
2. wers, ee comp. 106, IO7, I43, 186.
3. suster 71, go. ute (we), vten 川let us» $16 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 88$.
wode s. I66.
quike adj. p1. 38, 92. swikele adj. p1. 48, 123.
4. wurde pl. pres. ind. I60; further (if not to B 2 , cf. §§ 9 , 276) wurd sg. pres. ind. 67 (MS. -rh; cf. Zupitza 1. c. p. 19, note 2).
worc, -rke(s) s. 6, 30, 34, 52, 54, 60; ~werc, -rkes s. 30, 50, 76, 86, II9, 124 .
swiere s. »neck» 69 (: diere s. "deer»).
5. world, -es s. $129,160,174$; ~ werlde, -es $74,108,153$, I6I; werldliche adj. 74 .
wele s. 74, 108, etc. (6).
6. No cases.
C.
I. No w-influence. The only form is wi-: cf. e. g. will(l)e, willed pres. sg. \& pl.; hwich(e); swich, -(n)e; (i)wiste(n) pt. sg. \& pl.; (h)wile s.; swite adv.; hwi adv.
2. No w-influence appears.

3．No cases．［Cf．unwenne s．Ior（：senne s．„sin»）］．
4．word，ee s．2，5， 6 \＆ 127 （both ：horde s．川hoard川），I49
（：borde s．\＃board川）．－Cf．wrohte（n）pt．40， 86.
Note．
wy－does not occur．
Original $O E$ w ŭ appears as w（u）－～wo－：swunke pl．pt． 124 （：drunke s．»drink»），swngke 154；wunie inf． 73 （：bisunie）， 177；～wonder s．，－licheste sup．32，88，wonien inf．87，－iinge s．17I，wone す sg．pres．ind．65，iwoned adj．（pp．）27．［For other cases of－o－for OE $\mathfrak{u}$ ，see Lewin PM p．I6］．

Original OE wo appears only as wo－：cf．C 4；further vorsworene pp．48，wolde etc．pt．8，I7（：unholde adj．），etc．（cf． also nolde etc．pt．66，90，etc．）．

## III．The＂Old Kentish Sermons»．

Published in Morris＇Old Engl．Miscellany（EETS．，Orig． Ser．49，London 1872 ）p． 26 ff．，from MS．Laud． 471 （Budl． Library），which belongs to the first half of the I3th century （Morsb．Gr．p．Io）．The dialect is Kentish（：Morsb．Gr．1．c．）．

A．
nel 3 sg．pres．ind．28／10．－niste pt．29／30． B．
1．No cases．－［Cf．nocht 27／5，29／30，etc．（16）～nacht 28／23，etc．（3）］．

4．werkes s．pl．28／4，II ，26，etc．（9）；werkmen s．pl．33／20，24， etc．（II）．

5．wordle，－es 33／7，34／27，35／士，20；wordl 34／18；world 34／16，29，35／19， 25.

2，3，6．No cases．
C.
I. No w-influence appears: cf. e. g. the forms wil(l)e, wilt, willeth pres. sg. \& pl.; wiche pron. ~wyche; s(w)iche; wiste pt.; wyman sg.; hwilem adv. ~ (h)wylem. - wee »why» 33/25 no doubt goes back to OE $\bar{y}$, which vowel however is probably not due to the influence of $w$-.
2. No w-influenee appears.
3. werm s. $28 / 10,17$.
4. No cases.

Note.
w $\frac{\breve{1}}{1}$ is sometimes written wy-.
Original OE w u and original OE w 0 or both appear as wo- (the cases are however very few): awondrede 32/24; wolde(n) pt. 26/10, etc.

Edited by R. Morris (EETS, Orig. Ser. 23. London I886) from the autograph MS. (Arundel 57) in the British Museum. The author (translator) states himself (MS. fol. 82 a) that his work "is $y$-write mid engliss of kent», that he finished the MS. "Ine pe yeare of oure lhordes beringe I340.», and that he was a »broper of pe cloystre of sanynt austin of Canterberi». In his preface he gives his name and that of his work, and further declares that he has written "pis boc. . . of his ozene hand».

Cf. further Otto Danker: Die Laut- und Flexionslehre der mittelkentischen Denkmäler, Diss. Strassburg 1879; M. Konrath: Zur Laut- und Flexionslehre des Mittelkentischen (Archiv 88 \& 89); W. HEuser, Anglia I7,73 ff.; Eilers p. I56.
A.
nyte $\overline{p 1 .}$ pres. 72. - nelle I sg. pres. ind. 56, 218, 260, 262; nele 3 sg. 8,31,33, etc. (22), pl. 65; ~ nolle he (sg.) 79, 139, 247, nolle we, hi, him (pl.) 120, 164, 209, nollep pl. pres. 35, 38, 38, 39, etc. (numerous).
B.
I. wyzte, -es s. (OE gewiht) 44 ( 5 cases), 54, etc. - [Cf. na3t pron. \& adv. 6 ( 2 cases), etc. (numerous); na3ti v. 9].
2. wors, $-e$ comp. 17, 20, 22, etc. (21); alperworst sup. 17; worsi inf. 33. - For worst, worp $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind., worp, $-i$, etc. s. \& adj., see B 4.
3. wode, -es $23,95,96,213$. wodewe (-en, -on), -hod 48 ( 2 cases), 185, 190, etc. (18). zoster, zostren 89,94, I18, 206, $265,26 \mathrm{~g}$. - woke s. 7, 110, 212.
$k u$-, quic, -ik, quyk adj. (sg.) 67,73, etc. (6); qui(k)ke, -cke (pl.) 98, 134, 263; aquyked pp. 203. tuies, tuyes „twice» 35, 36 ( 2 cases), etc. [tuyegges s. pl. »twigs» $I 7$ is no doubt miswritten for the usual tuygges 4I, 43, etc.]. - (y) wyte inf., -ep pl. imp. \& pres. ind. IO, 25,26, etc., (to) wytene inf. I, I3, etc. [-inde(-) 6,6 , etc.]. wytye, $-y e p$ ( OE witian) inf., pl. pres. ind. 78 , 122, 229.
4. zuord, -e 43 ( 2 cases), 48, 148, etc. (7). yworpe etc. 233 inf., pres. opt. 40, 262. - worke, -es s. 7 ( 5 cases), 14, 17, etc. (70); workman, -men, -uol 86, II3, etc. (5). - Here also belong the analogical workep sg. \& pl. pres. ind. 19, 88, -inde pres. p. 206, worke inf. (or subst. ?) 3 I (. . . he hine dep to worke...), 265 (Do we to worke godes nebsseft ...), with the vowel of the subst. (cf. werche etc. C 3); perhaps also (if not to B 2, cf. §§ 9, 276) worst, work $2 \& 3$ pres. ind. 270; 74, 90 (2 cases), 189; further (or to B 2, cf. § 9) worp s. 76,80,82, etc. (9), worp adj. 7, 16, 20, etc. (88), -e adj. (p1.) 74, -folle adj. (pl.) 16, -i, -y adj. 76, 94, etc. (14); paneworpes s. pl. 23, 37, 90; onworp s. 35, 270, onworp adj. 49, 132, etc. (5), -i adj. 259, -lych adj. 132, -hede s. 17, 20, etc. (4), -nese s.
s. 9, I9, etc. (Io, incl. -worn-20, I96), $-i$ inf., $-e p$ pres. ind. etc. 8, 20, 22, etc. (38); worpssipe s., -ipuol, -iplich(e), -iphede 8, I8, 20, etc. (54), wor $(\bar{\beta})$ ssipie etc. inf. etc., yworpssiped pp. 5, 6 ( 2 cases), 8, etc. (35).
zuere S . "neck" $\mathrm{I} 55, \mathrm{I} 56$. - querne $\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{I} 8 \mathrm{I}$ (cf. § 3IO).
5. wordle, -es 7, I2, I3, I4, etc. (232; incl. worle 86, I64, 24I; worddle 165), wordleliche adj. (pl.) 164, 210, 210.
hue zel s. "wheel» 24 ( 2 cases), 27, 76, I8I.
6. wernde pt. I89. - For awarзede pp. 27, cf.§33I [: note that $-a r$ - < е r is very rare in Ay., cf. Konrath, Archiv 88, I58.)

## C.

I. Usually wy-~wi- (: most words of frequent occurrence appear in both forms); cf. e. g. wylle etc. pres. sg. \& pl. ~ wi(passim); zuych, -e 8, IO, I4, I9, etc. ~zui- 5, 7. 8, 9, I9, etc.; huych etc. I (2 cases), 38, 45, etc. ~hui- 6, 7 ( 2 cases), I5, 39, etc.; wyfman, -manne sg., -men pl. Io (2 cases), II, 30, etc. ~ wymman sg. 48, 190, wy(m)men pl. 231, 262.
we- appears exceptionally in westen p1. pt. 72 (:usually wy-, wi-), where it might represent OKent. we- < w y- < wi-, unless the form stands for wessten »wished» (OE -y- < u + i-umlaut). - zueche asuch" 83, I56, I7I (: usually zuy-, zui-, cf. above) probably derives from OE, swelc (cf. §4I7); hardly from the usual ME Kent. 1 -form, as there are no certain cases of a change $\breve{1}>\mathrm{e}$ in Ay. (forms like nemep v., leve v. »live», probably have -e- < i o, e o due to u/o-umlaut; cf. Konrath, Archiv 88, 16i f.).
235 2. No w-influence; cf. e. g. wel adv. I, II etc.; tuelf II, etc.; kuelp (MS. -l3) 3 sg. pres. ind. 248; zuel3 s. 50, 50, etc., -ynge s. 9I, (uor)zuelze inf., $-l_{3} \bar{\beta}$ pres. 15, 17, 52, etc. (12) (~ uorzuylp 3 sg. pres. ind. 6I).
3. werm, -es, -ene S. I37, 2I5 ( 2 cases), 216 ( 2 cases), etc. (12), -ethe pp. 229. werche inf. I74 (2 cases), -ep 3 pl. pres. ind. 168. - [Cf. wessep pl. pres. »wish" 56].
4. word, -e, -es s. 7, 10, 27, etc. (104;incl. -dd-57, v-IOI).

## Note.

$w \breve{1}$ is very often written w-
Original OE w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ appears as $w o-$, / nd also as wou- (wu-does not occur): wolle s. 137; wolf, -lues s. 39, 50, etc.; zuol3s. (OE, $s(w) u(h) 242$ (4 cases), 243; uorzuolze pl. pt. 206; wonder etc. s. I4,I5, etc., -drep, -drinde v. 244, 267; wone, -es s. "habit» 6,22 , etc., $-e \bar{p}$ sg. pres. 7,32, etc., $-i e,-y e$ inf. 220 ( 2 cases), ywoned pp. (adj.) 90, etc.; wonye, -ie, etc. v. »to live» 49, 54, 64 etc., wonyinge, -iy-s. I49, etc.; ywonne pp. 7I, 79, etc.; $y$ wonded pp. „wounded» I48 (2 cases), wonde, -en s. I48, I74 (2 cases), 217; = wounden s. pl. 266. [Cf. (y)uounde pp. 83, 92, etc., yobounde pp. 22, 86, etc., grounde s. 23, etc., (-)hound, -e, -es s. 70,155, etc., ysounde adj. 205 (and the Fr. rounde adj.; 234, 234, boundes s. pl. 206); ~ yuonde pp. I86, (-)hond, -es s. 55,75 , etc. (8), grond s. I (rimed: pond s. "pound», rond "round», domb »dumb»), pond s. I (rimed: grond s. etc.) (and the Fr. rond "round" rimed: grond etc.); ~ agrund »on the ground» 9I].

Original OE w ou appears only as wo: cf. C 4; further wolde, -en pt. I3, I6, I6, 22, etc. (cf. nolde, -en, -est 64, 64, II6, etc.); uorzuol3e pp. III; uorzuorene pp. I9.

## V. The Poems of William of Shoreham.

Edited by M. Konrath (The Poems of William of Shoreham. Part I. EETS., Extra Series 86. London 1902) from the unique MS. No. 17376, Additional MSS., British Museum. The MS. certainly belongs to the 14th century; authorities disagree as to a more exact date. The author »was a Kent-
ishman, no doubt a native of Shoreham near Otford»(Konrath op. cit. p. XIV), and about A. D. I320 vicar of the rectory of Chart-Sutton in Kent. His work is very incorrectly rendered by the MS., which according to Konrath (op. cit. p. XI) nis not an autograph of the poet, but a very careless copy made by an ignorant scribe whose dialect was different from that of the author, and who - be ides freely substituting the forms of his own speech for the original ones - seems to have only imperfectly understood what he was copying. . .».
238 As to the differences of the MS. - apart from evident blunders of the scribe - from the author's dialect as shown by the rimes, it seems to me that, at least as regards the words here in question, they may quite well be due to individual, perhaps also chronological, variations within the very dialect spoken in the neighbourhood of the author's native place. It should be kept in mind - as Konrath points out op. cit. p. VII - that the West Kentish dialect very probably differed in many particulars from the East Kentish dialect of the "Ayenbite", and that the dialect of Shoreham ( \& neighbourhood) may besides "have been to some extent influenced by the speech of the neighbouring capital» - where, in the first half of the I4th century, the original Southern (< Saxon) dialect had not yet been totally superseded by the encroaching (East) Midland (< Mercian) dialect. This influence of London may of course have worked more or less strongly on different individuals. And it is quite natural, in the present case, that we should find more traces of it in the MS. than in the language of the author, who no doubt belonged to an earlier generation than the scribe who copied his work. This circumstance may well explain, for instance, occasional cases (they are not numerous) in the MS. of $-0-,-u-,-y-(=-i-)$ for OE $\breve{\mathrm{y}}$ - moche, -el, -ele; muneche »nun», prude s., murye adj., pulke; tylpe s., synnes s. pl., lyst imp., tryste v., and perhaps also the isolated chyrche I 86 ( $\sim$ numerous cherche) - which may
all have been introduced by the scribe for original $-e$-forms (cf. however the rime senne s.: ynne adv. (i. e. OE $\breve{y}$ : OE 1) VII 588).

In the following quotations I have disregarded the f 1 ou - I39 rish (probably standing for an $-e$ ) attached to several letters in the MS., which peculiarity Konrath has carefully reproduced in his edition.

For earlier editions of the poems (complete or in part), textual criticisms, etc., see Konrath op. cit. p. XVII. Cf. further Eilers p. ifo, and Konrath: Zur Laut- und Flexionslehre des Mittelkentischen, Archiv 88 \& 89.

> A.
nele, nelt sg. pres. ind. I 55, 364, III 183, V 340, VII I31, 327 (: skele s.; with ĕ, cf. BJörkman p. 126).
neste pt. II 104, III I40 (: beste sup., reste s., enqueste s.), V 82 (: by-heste s). Besides neste: em- (MS. eny-)cryste s. pl. VII 455, neste: wyste (pt.(?); the passage is corrupted) VII 792. As rimes $\check{1}$ : é do not seem to occur in Sho., these last two rimes evidently point to a variant nyste (with 1 ) in the author's language, which variant would be due to the ana$\operatorname{logy}$ of wyste. Cf. however for the rime VII 792 - as far as it is to be trusted - the form weste pt., below, C I.
B.
I. by-t(h)uixte I 3Io (: icristned; according to Konrath the rime nis evidently a blunder of the scriben), 2130; by-tuixe, -yce I 1574, VII 722. - Here probably belongs by-tuext, $-e$, ~ -we- II 27, IV 16, VII 419 (with $-e-<$ eo, <OE betw ë oh <-1-; cf. Bülbr. El. §520), as the MS. does not write $(w) e$ for early ME $(w) i$ : the forms leue, $-e p$ inf., 3 sg. pres. ind. ( $\sim-i-$ ) I I3, 27 f., 439 (: siue v.; cf. the regular Southern $e$-variant, e. g. I 550: зeuene: heuene), VII 506 (: 3yve v.), lemes s. pl. ( $\sim-y-)$ e. g. I 659, 2211 , neme inf., pl. pres. ind. I 2239, IV 77, seppe adv. III 53 etc., may all derive from

OKent. eo (io) due to u/o-umlaut (as also wete etc. v., see B 3); the isolated nes IV 95 is simply miswritten, if it is really meant for nis $<n e+i s$ and not for nes $<n e+$ wes (»was»); for medewyues s. pl. I 296, see NED; for the doubtful wel s., weste pt., and for swech etc., weche, see C I. - [Cf. $a(u) 3 t$ pron. I 570 etc., nau(3)t, nou(3)t(e) pron. \& adv. (passim)].
242 2. wors, $-e$ comp. I 739 (: corse s., < OE ŭ), 74I, 743, 2022, II 43, III 221, IV I42 (: cors s., < OE ŭ); worst, -e sup. I 553, III 303. - The form worst (: Konrath corrects to werst) VII 420 (: ferst) does probably not belong here. In my opinion, we have to do with the scarce ME adj. wrest $=\mathrm{OE}$ wræst „vigorous, strong». This suits the context far better than the sup. werst ${ }^{1}$ ), which besides appears only as worst (and the comp. as wors, -e) in Sho. (and Ay.). The original may have had the imperfect rime wrest: ferst, or more probably, with metathesis, werst : ferst or wrest : frest (cf. e. g. the forms frist, -e sup. recorded by Stratm.-Bradley).
werpe adj. I 55I (: erpe s.), I239 (: erpe s.), I489 (: erthe s.; MS. incorrectly wethe); werper comp. VII 565; ~ worpe, -rthe I 1164, 162I, IV 21I, V 6, VII 6II (all rimed: erpe s.); I I246 (: ferpe; doubtless correct emendation in Konrath's text); VII 617. - The wor-forms of this word have evidently in all the rimed cases - perhaps also in the remaining VII 617 - been introduced by the scribe for original werforms.

For werp 3 sg. pres. ind. VII 339 (: forthe adv.), see B 4. For worp, -e( $\overline{\text { F }} 3$ sg. pres. ind., worp s. \& adj., etc., see B 4.

[^12]
## I35

3. wode s. I 43. soster V 34, 350. - wokke sg. I I293 (: on- 243 lowke; OE iu), woken pl. V IIO (: by-louken pl. pres. ind.; OE iu).
qui(c)ke adj. pl. I 297, 480, 655. - (y)wyte, -en, -ene ~wi-, inf., pl. pres. ind. (»know»; "protect» III I6) I 8, I78, 164 I , etc. (I4; incl. the rimes: $y$-wryte pp. V 308, 3I9, : parfyte adj. VII 4I5); ~wete, -en inf., I sg. pres. ind. I 215 (: hete [MS. miswritten hente] < OE $\mathrm{h} \bar{æ} \mathrm{t}$ a n , OKent. $\overline{\mathrm{e}})$, 63I, VII $67,256,586$. - The we-variant (proved to be original by the rime I 2I5) probably derives from OKent. eo (io) due to u/o-umlaut; cf. above B I.
4. (y)worpe, -the inf., sg. pres. opt. I I855, VII 329; further 244 if not to B 2 (cf. $\S \S 9,276$ ) wor бер 3 sg. pres. ind. I 335, wor , -e 3 sg. pres. ind. II 5, 23, 240, VII 63 (: forpe adv.), 98, and, as far as the author is concerned, werp 3 sg. pres. ind. VII 339 (: forpe adv.) [: the MS. form werp could of course be deduced from an OE wiur - > wur + i-umiaut, but it seems safest to consider it as a scribal error for worp). - Here may also be given (cf. § 9) worps. III 167; (oun-)worp adj. I I474, III I5I, (?) VII 255¹) (: forpe adv.); worpy adj. VI7, 257; worpynge s. (OE weordung) III I82; worschipe, -ype, -ypyng s. \& v. II 4, III 97, V 5, I7, 23. - For worpe, -rthe adj., see above B 2.
werk, -e, -es s. I I464, I468 (: clerkes), III 214, VII 249 (: derk adj.); ~work, -e, -es I 1463 (: clerke), I949, III I35, VII I95 (: clerke), 250; here belong also the verbal forms werky inf. III 2I3, werkest 2 sg. pres. ind. III 2I3; workynge s. ( < pres. p.) III 222 (cf. below C 3).
swerde s. II I23.
5. wordle I 349, 356, etc. (12); worldle VII 227, 229, 269; 245

[^13]world, -e I 5I7, VII 24I, etc. (7). These cases include the very remarkable rime wordle: por3-berled IV ig6; Konrath suggests an original reading werdle: porz- Ferle (OE -p $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ re1 adj.); cf. below §412, foot-note.
whe-welen s. pl. "wheels» IV 223. wele s. (OE wela etc.) VII 402 (: fele adj.), 483 (: skcle s., cf. above A), 569 (: skele s.).
6. No certain cases. - For warn $(e) p 3$ sg. pres. ind. I 2005, 2015, cf. below §33I [: note that-ar - < 乇 r is very rare in this MS., if it occurs at all outside words like sarmoun etc. (with OFr. -er- ~ $-a r-$ )].

## C.

I. wole, -lle, -lt sg. pres. ind. \& opt. I 70, 5I5, IIOI, etc. (I8), wollep pl. pres. ind. I 977 [ ~ wile, -lle, -lt, ~wy-, sg. I I97, 245, 568, etc., wyllep pl. III IIO]. woder »whither» I 9 [ ~ wider e. g. I 4].
soche VII 13, 125, etc. (5), souche IV 327; ~ such, -e, -er (I 890) I I4I, 324, etc. (19) [~swich(e), swych(e), ~suy-, I 216, $646,734,745$, etc. (I4); $\sim s(w) e$-, see below].
weste pt. VII 778 [ ~ wyste, -est pt. I 2164, etc. (9; incl. the rimes: lyste (OE, i) VII 772, : neste pt. (cf. above A) VII 789); (y-)wyst pp. IV 408, VII 799], if it is not a scribal error (: Kölbing proposes wete pres.), may belong here (: w e- < w y - < w í-), or it may have -e- from neste pt.
wel s. VII 5I6 for the usual wyl(le), wil(le) is certainly a blunder of the scribe. - weche pron. I I364 [ ~ wichen I 102], and $s(w) e c h,-e,-e n$ I I945, I988, I990, III 263, etc. (8) [ ~ -o-, -ou-, $-u-,-i-,-y-$, see above] probably spring from OE hwelc, swelc; cf. §4I7.

Note: wymman sg., wymmen pl. (only forms) I 528, 589, II94, etc. (I3).
247 2. No w-influence appears. - wulle s. "well» V 55 [~ wille V 6I ~ welle V 54 (: godspelle s.), 342 (: fol- uelle, OE $\breve{y}$ )]
does not belong here; it represents an OE (OWS) wylle (< wielle).
3. worm, -es VII 296, 715 (: storm s.). The form with woris no doubt original, as proved by the rime (probably a rime $\breve{\mathrm{u}}: \stackrel{\mathrm{o}}{ }$ ).
werche, -ep inf., sg. \& pl. pres. ind. \& opt. I 6II, 637, 774, IO20(MS. wreche), 1255, I535, 1563, 1579; III 147 (all except I 637 rimed: cherche s.); ~ worche inf. I 204I, 2137 (both rimed: cherche.) - The wor-forms have evidently been introduced by the scribe).
4. word, -e, -es s. I 246, 253, 273, etc. (24; incl. the times: accord s. VII 162, : lorde s. VII 654). - Cf. weroute pt. IV 27I, VII 384 (both rimed: $\overline{p o}(u) 3 t e ~ p t.) ; ~(i-) w r o(u)_{3 t} \mathrm{pp}$. IV 90 (: -soust), VII I78 \& 24I (both: no(u) $3 t$ ).

## Note.

$\mathrm{w} \frac{\check{1}}{1}$ often appears as $w y$-.
Original OE w u appears as wo-, bcfore nd also as $\pi=u-$ (: -ou- in this MS. generally denotes a 1 ong vowel): wolleward, wone s. (OE wuna), iwoned adj. (pp.) »wont», wonye inf. »dwell», wone 3ynge, -yyng s., $y$-wonne pp.; and possibly (by analogy, cf. Bülbr. QF 64, p. IOI) woxe pt. pl., pp. VII 8, 413, 687; further wonde etc. s. \& v. I 84 (: on sounde adj.) etc. (4), wonder, -dre s., -drede pt. I 2147 (: onder adv.), II 74 (: ondre s.), etc. (5); ~ wounde etc. I 2219, etc. (5; incl. 3 rimes: bounde, y-founde pp.), wounder s. VII 757 (: onder adv.) [ cf. bounde pp., y-founde pp., on-sounde adj., ground (-) s. (7); ounder- I 2108; ~ pond s. IV 143, bonden pl. pt. ind. II 56; onder (passim); ~ vnder I I3I, etc.]. wu. appears only in wulle s. (cf. above C 2 ), cf. also such etc. (alove C I); - $u$ - in these words is probably $=\ddot{u}$, as (stressed) $-u-(v-)=\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ is very rare in Teut. words [: only occasionally in under (cf. above), and in schulle wshall» pl. pres.
ind. I 1294, furp adv. I 1207 ( in a later hand), (vndo IV II8), vppe adv. V 325].

Original OE w o 0 appears only as wo-: cf. C 4; further wolde, -est pt. I 232, 860, etc. (numerous; incl. rimes: bolde adj., scholde pt., molde s.), wolkne s. VII 68, 290.

## CHAPTER II.

## Discussion of the ME forms.

A. Prim. OE $n e+w h y n y$.
*niten ( $=$ ne + witen) ( pres. ind. \& opt.; pt.).
ni-, ny- b) O, Man. (\& r.: Hengist) - c) Ch. - g) PM(D)
( ~ ne-), KS, Ay.
ne- g) PM(D) (\& r.: hlesten inf.; ~ ni-), Sho. ( \& r.: - este;
~ T.: cryste, wyste).
nu- e) PM(L) (\& r.: lusten inf.), KGr., AR, Tit. - f)
OEH, ON, RGl. ( \& r.: wuste, truste, kuste pt.).
*nillen ( $=n e+$ willen $)$ (pres. ind. \& opt.; imp.). 250
$n i$-, ny- a) CM (CE), Ps. - b) O, Man. (~ne-), GE, Bok. -
c) Ch. ( \& r.: wille s.).
$n e-\quad$ b) Man. ( ~ny-) - c) LCh. - f) OEH ( $\sim n u-$ ), ON
( ~nu-), RG1. (sg.; \& r.: telle; ~ pl. no-) - g) VV, PM(D), KS, Ay. ( ~no-), Sho. (\& r.: skele s.).
$n u$ - e) KGr., AR, Tit. - f) WFr., OEH ( $\sim n e-$ ), ON ( $n e-$ ).
no- f) RG1. (pl.; ~ sg. ne-) - g) Ay. ( ~ne-).
[Cf. nylde pt. Man. (I), which is probably a ME formation on the pres. form nyl etc.].
$25 \mathrm{I} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c},(\mathbf{d})$. Apart from the isolated $e$-forms nelt Man. (I) and nel LCh. (I), which may both be Southern forms (cf. for Man. above § 68 f .), our Northern, Mid1., and London texts have only $i$ - \& $y$-forms, regularly representing OAngl. $\breve{y}$ ( $>\mathrm{U}$ ) .
e. The "Saxon-Mercian» texts have constantly $-u$ - $(=$ ii) $<\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{y}}$.
f. The SW texts have $-u$-, regularly representing OWS $\breve{y}$, and (: RG1.) -o- in *nillen (probably with the $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$-vowel of wol etc., cf. § 34I); further $e$-forms of *nillen, which correspond to similar OWS forms (cf. § 38r). RGl. uses this ne-form only in sg., as against only no- in pl. (cf. § 207); this distribution of ne- and no-forms is perhaps due to the analogy of shall etc. (in RG1. generally ssal, ssalt sg. pres., ssolle pl. pres., ssolde pt.: cf. PabSt, Anglia 13,236); cf. Ay., below (§ 252).
252 g. Our Kent. texts have generally $-\varepsilon$ - (in *niten, * nillen) and $-i-,-y$ - (in *niten; cf. also the rime neste pt.: cryste in Sho.), of which the $e$-form regularly represents OKent. $\breve{y}>\mathrm{e}$, while the $i$ - \& $y$-form $(=\underset{1}{ })$ of $*$ niten has probably adopted the vowel of witen etc., which all but consistently appears with $-i-,-y$ - in our Kent. texts (for we-forms in Ay. and Sho., cf. §§ 234, 243, 246, 288). But Ay. has also nolle, $-e p$, which except for one nelle is the exclusive pres. pl. form of the word in this text (as against pres. sg. nelle 26 cases ~nolle he 3 cases). This evidently looks like a SW form (based on OWS $\breve{\mathrm{y}}>\mathrm{ME}$ SW $\breve{\mathrm{u}} ; \gg \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ ); but as the dialect of Ay. is remarkably pure (: there are no other cases of SW influence in the words discussed in this work) and as, further, all the rest of our Kent. texts, even the »mixed» VV (cf. § 2I7) and the late West Kent. Sho., have only $n e$-forms of this word, the no-form in Ay. will have to be explained otherwise. The explanation is in fact very easy: the no-form is
due to the analogy of the verb shall etc., which in Ay. (: cf. Morris' ed. p. LXXXV) has the forms ssel, sselt sg. pres., ssolie, ssollen pl. pres., ssolde pt. This explanation also accounts for the fact that the $n o$-form in Ay. is practically limited to the plur. (cf. RG1., above).

## B. Prim. OE w + vowel liable to breaking or u/o-umlaut.

## 1. Prim. OE w i + h.

wiht s. "wight" (incl. nawiht, nowiht, full forms).
wi-, wy- a) DEn. ( \& r.: mycht etc.), NLeg., CM (CE), Ps. ( \& r.: bright adj. etc.), RRPr. ( \& r.: right etc.) - b) O, Man. ( \& r.: fight etc.), B (\& r.: might s.), Bok. ( \& r.: knyght s.) - c) Ch. ( \& r.: knyght etc.) - d) Gaw., Prose Ps., Myrc ( \& r.: nyghte s., etc.) - e) PM(L) ( \& r.: nihte s.), KGr., AR, Tit. - f) WFr., OEH, ON ( \& r.: rizte adj., ani $3 t$ ), RG1. (r.: ri3t) - g) PM(D) (\& r.: drihte, isih $\partial e)$.
[For ME contracted forms of original OE ( $n$ ) awiht, ( $n$ ) owiht, see the individual texts. I do not discuss these forms here, because it cannot be decided to what extent the OE $(n) a(u) h t$, (n)oht from which the contracted ME forms probably derive directly, really passed through a distinct stage -w uht. Cf. the common ( $n$ ) oht in the OAngl. dialect (Ri., Li., Ru. ${ }^{2}$; VPs., Ru. ${ }^{1}$, where we have no cases of $w u$ - < wiu - < w ${ }^{1}$-/ h ].
wiht s. \#weight».
wi-, wy- b) NG (~we-), PP, Bok. - c) Ch. (\& r.: brighte
etc.) LCh. ( $\sim$ wei- $)$ - d) Myrc - e) PM(L) ( \& r.: mihte s.), (?) AR (i. e. wihtful adj.) - f) Winch. - g) PM(D) (\& r.: mihte s.), Ay.
we(i)- a) BB, DEn. ( \& r.: hecht pt.), CM (CE), Ps., RRPr. ( \& r.: sleght) - b) O, NG ( $\sim w y-)$ - c) LCh. ( $\sim w i-)$.

Wiht n. pr. »Isle of Wight».
wist f) RG1. ( \& r.: ri3t).
Wihtlegn. pr.
wistleg f) RG1.
254 bitwihe, -en.
$-t w i$ f) OEH.
-tu- e) KGr., Tit.
-tw- e) AR.
$a-, b i t w i x,-t$, etc.
-twi-, -twy- a) Sc. Ch. (~-e-), BB, DEn., NLeg., CM (CE), Ps. - b) Chr. ( $\sim-u$ - ), Man. ( \& r.: crucyfyx), NG, PP, B, Bok. - c) Ch., [LCh., cf. § II5] - d) Prose Ps. - f) OEH (~-u-) - g) Sho. ( \& r.: icristned; ~ -e-).
-twe- a) Sc. Ch. ( $\sim-i-,-y-)$ - g) Sho. ( $\sim-i-,-y-)$.
$-t(w) u-\quad$ b) Chr. $(\sim-y-)-$ f) WFr., OEH ( $\sim-i-)$, ON.
fulluht s .
b) O - e) AR - f) OE H .
[Cf. the syncopated forms fulht etc. s., $-(n) e n$ etc. v. O, KGr., OEH (\& -ehte), folghpe, -the s. Myrc (\& r.: wolpe »will»). These forms are however of no use in the present case, because they do not necessarily presuppose earlier forms with $-(w) u-(<\mathrm{w}$ i $u-<\mathrm{w}$ i- $)$; cf. nauht etc. above].

255 [The probably Scand. wiht adj. -ly adv. (: Björkman pp. Ig f., 225) has only wi-, wy-: a) BB ( \& r.: mycht), DEn. ( \& r.: hicht s. etc.), NLeg. ( \& r.: sight s.), CM(CE) ( \& r.:
might s.), RRPr. ( \& r.: light) - b) Man. ( \& r.: highte pt. etc.), PP, GE - c) Ch. ( \& r.: -ight - d) Gaw. - f) (?) RG1. ( i. e. wiztemen)].
$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \& \mathbf{g}$. The $u$-forms of bitwix, fulluht in Chr. 256 and O are evidently of OWS origin (cf. below § 257, and above $\S \S 55,60)$. The Northern, East Midland, and London $e$-forms of wiht s. "weight» probably have the vowel of the verb (OE wegan), though an influence of the corresponding OWScand. substantive form is also possible (cf. BJörkman p. 257 and references given there); and by-tuext etc. in Sho. (cf. § 24I) and the numerous $e$-variants of the word in Sc. Ch. probably go back to OE $e(o)$-forms (cf. below § 383 , foot-note).

Apart from these forms, our Northern, Midland, London, and Kent. texts have only $-i-,-y$-, evidently derived (except for betwix etc., cf. § 6, foot-note) from OAngl. $\underset{1}{ }$ due to »smoothing» and OKent $<$ 1o $/ \mathrm{h}+$ cons. (: Bülbr. El. §§ 3II, 3I2).
e. The $u$-forms of bitwihe(n), fulluht in the sSaxon-Mer- 257 cian» texts (: KGr., AR, Tit.; PM(L) has no cases) and the form bitwhen in AR (provided that -w- here stands for -wuor $-u$-) are evidently of OWS origin (cf. below). The $i$-forms (of wiht "wight», wiht "weight») in these texts may derive from OAngl. (cf. above) or from OWS i-forms (cf. below).
f. The $(w)$-forms of bitwix, bitwihe( $n$ ), fulluht in our SW texts derive from regular OWS (w)u-forms of these words (: cf. Bülbr. El. §§ 264, 464 c., Sievers Gr. § 71). - The $i$ \& $y$-forms in the same texts spring from OWS forms with wǐ- < wĭe- < wîo-/ ht, hs (: BüLBR. El. §§ 306,

3II); except for the isolated betwihen in OEH, which however may also spring from an OWS wi-form (cf. § 383, foot-note), and bitwix, -an in OEH for which see § 6 , foot-note.

## 2. Prim. OE wîr + cons.

[? wurd 3 sg. pres. ind.
werp g) Sho. (r.: forthe adv.). - For wur- \& wor-forms of wurden etc. v., see § 268 ff. and below B 4].
wur $\begin{gathered}\text { e } \mathrm{adj} \text {. }\end{gathered}$
wer- g) Sho. (\& I. : erpe; cf. wor-: erpe, ferpe, see B 4). wurdschipe, -en s. \&v.
wir, - $y$ - a) DEn. (cf. wor-), NLeg., $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ (cf. wor-), RRPr.(H) (cf. wor-) - b) Man. (cf. wor-), NG (cf. wor-), Bok. (cf. wor-, wur-) - d) Prose Ps. (cf. wor-).

For wur- \& wor-forms of wurd, -e s. \& adj., etc., see § 268 ff. and below B 4.

259 wurs, -e comp.
wer- ( $-\infty-$-) a) DEn. (cf. werre), $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ (cf. werre), RRPr. $(\mathrm{CH})-\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{Chr} .(\&-\infty-)$, O (cf. werre), PP, [Bok.; cf. § 98 f.] - c) Ch. (\& I. : reherce etc.; ~ wor- ; cf. werre) g) VV (~ wur-), PM (D).
wir- b) Man. (I case; ~wor-; cf. werre).
wur- e) PM (L), KGr., AR, Tit. - f) OEH, ON (~wr-, wor-) - g) VV (~ wer-).
wor- f) ON (~ wur-, wor-).
wor- b) Man. ( wir-; cf. werre) - c) Ch. (\& r. : curs s., corse v. „curse"; ~ wer-; cf. werre) - d) Gaw. (cf. werre), Myrc ( \& r. : corse s. "curse», cf. werre) - f) ON (\& r. : mershe s.;
~ wur-, wr-), RG1. - g) Ay., Sho. ( \& r. : cors, -e "curse»). [Cf. the Scand. werre comp.:
wer- a) BB (\& r.: fer; ~war-), NLeg. (\& r. : ferr), CM (CE) (\& r. : meres. (ĕ ), terr s.; ~ war-) - b) O, Man. (\& r. : erre v.), GE - c) Ch. (r. : werre s.).
war- a) BB (~ wer-), DEn., CM(C).
wor- (cf. § 133) d) Gaw., Myrc (r. : neghbore s.)].
wursien v .
wer- b) O - c) Procl.
wur- e) KGr., AR., Tit. - f) OEH.
wor- g) Ay.
[Cf. werre v. (< the Scand. werre comp.):
warris 3 sg. pres. ind. a) DEn.].
wurst sup.
wer- a) BB, NLeg., CM (C), Ps., RRPr. (CH) - b) O,
Man. (\& r. : yncest s.; ~ wor-), PP, Bok. (~ wur-) - d)
Prose Ps. - g) VV.
wur- b) Bok. (\& r. : adust; ~wer-) - e) PM (L), KGr., AR, Tit. - f) WFr.
wr- f) ON (\& r. : toberste; ~ wor-).
wor- b) Man. ( $\sim$ wer-) - c) Ch., LCh. - d) Gaw. - f)
ON ( $\sim w r-$ ), R Gl. - g) Ay., Sho. (cf. § 242).
wurtes.
woort "ciromellum» b) PP.
For swires. (~ sweore, cf. B 4), see below § 266.
For wurchen v. (cf. §7), see below C 3 .
Cf. the following words, which do not appear in OE : $h w i r l,-e n \mathrm{~s} . \& \mathrm{v}$.
-irl-, -y- a) DEn. ( \& r. : thirlit pp.; ~-erl-) - b) PP, [Bok., cf. § 98 f.] - d) Gaw., Prose Ps.
-erl- a) DEn. ( $\sim-i r l-)$.
> squirtel s.

sq(w)yrtyl s. b) PP.
swirl s. \& v.
swirl a) DEn. (~ -wor-).
swarl- a) DEn. ( $\simeq$-wir-).

262 a, b. The Northern and EMid1. wir- \& wyr-forms of wurs(e) (one single case) and wurdschipe (for swire see § 266) evidently spring from OE w y r-(cf. §385). This is also the basis of the same forms of hwirl, sqwirtel, swirl, unless these words are of Scand. origin, as is in fact probably the case with hwirl (for swirl see below).

Our Northern and EMidl. texts have no other w-modified forms than wors(e), -worst Man., wurst Bok., woort PP, and sworl- DEn. - swirl is considered by SkEAT Et. Dict. as a Scand. word; if this derivation is correct, the -o-form in DEn. is probabiy an early instance of the change of $\stackrel{i}{1}>(\breve{u})>$ e after w- in the Sc. dialects (cf. Wright EDGr. §69, Mutschmann $\S \S 5 \mathrm{I}, 56 \mathrm{~b}$, and below §338). - The wor-forms in Man. could tainly be explained as SW or WMidl. forms introduced by the scribe (cf. for other cases of this kind, above § 68), and wurst, woort in Bok., PP are not quite above the suspicion of being loans from the South (: viz. London), since both these texts are written as late as towards the middle of the 15 th century; but as proved by the forms wurm etc. (cf. § 36 I f.), these wor-forms etc. may all be regularly developed from $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{E})$ Merc. w y r - .

For the Northern and EMidl. wev-forms of wurs(e) etc., see § 267 .

263 c. The wor-forms (of wurs(e), wurst) in the London texts (Ch., LCh.) are best explained as being of Southern origin. The wer-forms (of wurs(e), wursien) in Procl., Ch. (\& r.)
are Kent. or Scand., in Ch. at least probably Scand. (cf. §§ 109, 267).
d. The wor-forms in the W Mid1. texts - wors(e) (and probably worre, cf. §§ 133, 143) Gaw., Myrc ( \& r.) and worst Gaw. - no doubt derive from an OWMerc. w y̆r - ( > w u r - , cf. § 365). - The isolated wirschip in Prose Ps. is perhaps rather an EMidl. than a native WMidl. form (cf. above §§ 129, 258), and the $i$ - \& $y$-forms of hwirl in Gaw. and Prose Ps. probably have Scand. i (cf. above). - For werst Prose Ps., see § 267.
e. The „Saxon-Mercian» texts have only wur- (in wurs(e), wursien, wurst). This wur-stands for w ŭr or (cf. § 367) w ŭ r ; representing respectively, late OWS or late OAngl. (cf. § 36I f.) w ŭr (<w y r ), and OWS or OAngl. w y r .
f. As to our SW texts, the wor-forms (of wurs(e), wurst) 264 ON, RG1. evidently stand for w u $\mathrm{r}-$, which probably derives directly from the late OWS w ŭr $<\mathrm{w} \check{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}$. - The wourforms (of wurs(e), wursien, wurst) in WFr., OEH, ON stand for $w \breve{u} \mathrm{r}$ - or (cf. §367) for $w \underset{u ̈ r}{\mathrm{r}}$-; representing, respectively, late OWS w ŭ $\mathrm{r}-(<\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}-)$ and late OWS w y I -.

The author of ON used the pronunciation wer- in wurse, as appears from the rime : mersche s. »marsh» 303 [ wrste sup. I2I (: toberste) is probably due to a mistake of the scribe who wrote MS. C, perhaps a bad reading for a uurste »first» in his source (: for initial $u$ for $v, f$, see GADOw $\S 74,2$ ); cf. MS. A vyrste, which certainly suits the context far better]. - For the explanation of the wer-form we have the choice between Kent. and Scand. (cf. § 267) influence. Of these alternatives the former seems the preferable one, since the rime to toberste as emendated above seems to presuppose Kent. -e- in »first»; and perhaps also the rimes OE y : OE eo (: honne »hence», (?) Denne »thence», (?) corne »run») recorded by Gadow § 5 I - unless they are based on forms with $u$ i $<\mathrm{OE}$ eo; cf.
the WMidl. texts, § I3O - were meant by the author to be rimes ĕ: ě and not (as supposed by Gadow 1. c.) ü : ö . - Kent. e-forms in this text may in fact very well be of OE origin, since Portisham in Dorsetshire, in whose neighbourhood ON must have been written (cf. Gadow § 5 f.), is not far from the coast-line facing the Isle of Wight which was invaded by settlers of the Jutish race (cf. the map given by Kluge in Paul's Grundriss).
265 g. The Kent. wer-forms ( of wurs(e), wurst, wurde adj.) in VV, PM(D), Sho. ( \& r.; cf. also the rimes worke, -rthe adj.: erpe, ferpe) [werp 3 sg. pres. ind. in Sho. is probably a scribal error, cf. above § 244] no doubt derive from OKent. wer-<wyr<wŭr-<wĭur-<wir-; cf. the forms werstum "pessimis» KG1. (1), wer ones »dignitatis» KG1. (I), liicwerde adj. KPs. (I), and probably dwerre "perversi», dweran, dwerwig "perversa via» KG1. (3) ${ }^{1}$ ). - On the other hand, since there does not seem to have existed a Kent. change of w y r - > wŭr - (cf. § 368), the forms wors(e) Ay., Sho. (\& r.), worst(e), Ay., Sho., worsi inf. Ay., and perhaps (if not < OWS) wurse in VV - provided they are not loans from the SW, which can hardly be the case with the forms in the EKent. Ay. - evidently imply an alternative OKent. non-monophthongized form wior-, -eo- ( < wĭr-; cf. the regular development in pre-literary OWS). This diphthong-

[^14]ic OKent. form was later (probably in late OKent., cf. § 305) monophthongized along with the presumably identical weor-, $-i o-<p r i m . O E \quad w \breve{e} r$-. As to the original quality of the resulting monophthong (: $\breve{u}$ or $\breve{0}$ ) in the words of this group our texts tell us nothing; both Ay. and Sho. consistently write -0 - for $\mathrm{OE} \stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{ }$ and $\mathrm{OE} \stackrel{\mathrm{o}}{ }$, and Sho.'s rimes wors $(e)$ : cors(e) "curse» are contradicted by his rimes worp etc. adj. \& 3 sg. pres. ind.: forpe etc. adv. (cf. §§ 244, 305). - It is true that the OKent. forms that can be adduced as instances of a development of prim. OE wir - > literary OKent. wior - , -eo- may all be explained otherwise -- weor dne, diorweorðum adj. KG1. (2), KPs. (1) may belong to an original weord adj. ( < wĕ r-), and weorde adj. KChart. (3), forweor す "peribit» KG1. (1), aweorpす »abicit» KG1. (I), may all have an analogical weor- < wĕr-[: cf. wiord s. KChart. (I), weordlican adj. KGl. (I), (-)weordiae, -as, -ia $\begin{gathered}\text { KChart. (2), KGl. (2), (-)weordan etc. v. KChart.(I), }\end{gathered}$ KPs. (5), aweorp $[u]$ KG1. ( I ), ne awearp đu »abicias» KG1. (I)] - ; but the absence of conclusive cases (especially corresponding forms of wurs (e), wurst) really proves nothing, since the OKent. texts are very scanty.

Special cases.
swire s. (< *swirhjan: KlUGe PBB. XI, 558; ~ *swerhan, cf. sweore below § 313 f).
swi-, -y- a) BB, DEn. (\& r.: fyre s.; cf. sweore), CM (C) (\& r. : fire s.) - d) Gaw. - e ) KGr., AR (cf. sweore), Tit.

The regular OAngl. form is swira (cf. below § 285); and this form (: swira, -an, -e, -beg, etc.) is in fact the only form found in Ri., Li., Ru ${ }^{2}$., VPs., Ru ${ }^{1}$. - From the OWS dialect Cosijn records regularly (cf. below, § 386) swiran (4) ~ swyra (1) - both < swier-, which does not actually oc-
cur - ( ~ swio-, sweo-, cf. below § 314); and the late OWS MS. Cp of the Gospels has swoyran Mt 18/6 and (its later representative) swuran Mk 9/42, L $17 / 2$ (MS. A has only sweo-, cf. below § 3I4). - The OKent. forms would regularly (cf. below § 387) be swera (<-w y r-) and sweora etc. (<-wiur-); I have not, however, found any cases of the word in the OKent. texts (cf. above § I5).

Concerning the ME ( $i$ - \& $y$-)forms as recorded above, those found in our Northern and Midl. texts no doubt represent the OAng1. -w i-form. But the same (OAngl.) form is also unmistakably represented by the $-w i$-forms in KGr. and AR, which texts (practically) never use $-i$ - for OE y ; and probably also by the -wi-forms in Tit., where $-u$ - is at any rate the usual representative of OE y (cf. § I48).

As to the length of the vowel, Bülbr. El. § 529 gives the Anglian form as $\mathrm{sw} 1^{-1} \mathrm{ra}$. In the OWS dialect at least there must however have existed a variant with short vowel, as it seems likely (cf. Bülbr. El. §§ 280, 287) that he change of $\mathrm{w} \mathrm{y} \mathrm{r}>\mathrm{w}$ u r implied by the late OWS swuran (cf. above § I, foot-note 2) included only $\vec{y}$ (not also $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ ). - The ME forms do not allow of any conclusions as to the vowel quantity of their OE ground-forms.

For the Kent. we- \& -wie-forms (which might belong here), see below § 313 f .

267 Northern and Midl. wer-forms of wurs(e), -rsien, -rst (cf. § 259).

In my opinion there can be no doubt that these Northern and Midl. wer-forms are due to Scand. influence : werst is the OScand. verst $(r)(<w-)$, and wers(e) etc. comp. \& v . has its vowel from werst and from the comp. form werre, which is also of Scand. origin (cf. Björkman p. 225). - This Northern and Midi. wer-form of wurs(e) comp. is consequently
a secondary form, and on this account no doubt later in English than the corresponding form of wurst. In some parts at least it must however have been developed very early, cf. wers, warse in Chr. But the absence of werse (as against werre, werst) in BB, NLeg. may perhaps be due to the fact that this form was not (yet) developed in the dialects represented by these texts; cf. also the modern Windhill dialect, from which Wright (Dial. Soc. No. 67) records only meaz $(v)$ worse, wäst worst!, but no comp. form with $-s$.

This very obvious explanation of the wer-forms in question (already proposed by Mutschmann § $88 a$ for the $-a$-form of "worst" in the North-Eastern Sc. dialects) is strongly supported by the fact that these forms can hardly be satisfactorily explained in any other way. On account of their regular occurrence they cannot be Kent. loans, or stand for - or be developed from - earlier wirr- (<w y̆r-) ; and the assumption of an OMerc. weor- < wiur - < wîr - (cf. Müne §41) would not be supported by any form - of this or any other word - actually found in the extant OMerc. texts.
wur- \& wor-forms of wurd, -e s. \& adj., etc., wurden etc. v. 268
In the words falling under this special heading, forms regularly based upon prim. OE wir- (e. g. late OWS wyrす 3 sg. pres. ind., wyrðe adj.) co-existed in OE with forms regularly based upon prim. OE wĕ r - (e. g. OWS weordan inf., weord s.); and it very naturally followed that each of the two different types of forms occasionally encroached upon the other's territory in the course of the OE period. Such an encroachment is the cause of the appearance of non-umlauted forms in $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. of weordan, especially in the Anglian dialects (where it is part of a general tendency affecting practically all verbs, cf. Sievers Gr. § 37 I Anmm. 5,6); and the OWS forms weorde adj. and, on the
other hand, wyrd s., wyrden v., quoted by SWEET, Dict., may be due, partly at least, to an analogy of the same kind.

The question as to how far the original distinction of prim. OE wir- and prim. OE wěr- in these words was kept in ME, and how far it had been levelled out analogically, is very difficult to decide. In the first place prim. OE wir / cons. and prim. OE w ěr / cons. could to a great extent fall together, phonetically and orthographically, already in OE [: in late OWS and, it seems, also in late OMerc. (cf. §§ 262, 298) under wur-; in OKent. under weor-(wior-), which could later be monophthongized (cf. §§ 265, 305)]; and this coalescence of the two forms was made still more complete, phonetically and above all orthographically, in ME. Secondly, it should be observed as regards wurd, -e s. \& adj. etc., that after the stressed vowels of the umlauted and the non-umlauted forms had in this way become the same, inflected forms and [after the final $-e$ had been dropped in ME] even uninflected forms of the OE adj. wyr de could fall completely together with the corresponding forms of OE weor $\delta$ (etc.). The only ME cases with wur-, wor-certainly based on the originally umlauted OE variant of this adj. would consequently be uninflected forms with $-e$ in texts in which this $-e$ may be safely considered to trace back to OE; instances of this kind are probably some forms with $-e$ in O, KGr., AR, Tit., WFr., OEH (which texts also have forms without $-e$, probably based on the originally non-umlauted OE form). I have not attempted, however, to give a separate list containing all cases of this kind; because it would have to be based upon an investigation into the nature of the final $-e$ in all the ME texts in question, an investigation which I cannot undertake in this connection.
270 Consequently : apart from some wir- (\& wyr-) and (Kent.) wer-forms, and a few we(o)r-\& wor-forms, which indicate respectively $O E$ umlauted and $O E$ non-umlauted forms, we can-
not tell with absolute certainty in the case of any individual ME form of the words in question whether it derives from an etymologically correct or an analogical OE form; and in many cases (: wurd adj. and compounds) we cannot even decide which is the etymologically correct vowel. But we may derive some general results from the actual relations of the forms in OE texts. These relations can however only be ascertained in OAng1. and OWS texts, where (except for very late OWS texts with wur- < w ĕ or and < w y r-) prim. OE w 1 r - and prim. OE w e r - were consistently kept apart. In the Kent. dialect on the other hand prim. OE wĭr- and prim. OE w ĕ r-could, it seems, both appear as weor- (cf. above, $\S 26_{5}$ ), and consequently the OKent. weor- ( \& wior-)forms belonging here (: given above § 265) tell us nothing as to the prim. OE origin of the ME Kent. wor-forms of which they are evidently the source.
wur $0,-\varepsilon$ s. \& adj., etc.
The ONhb texts Ri., Li., Ru². (cf. Lindelöf, Wörterbuch; Coor, Glossary; Lindelöf, Glossar) have only (-)wyrঠe adj. (incl. wyrdne acc. sg. m., etc.; (?) arwyr $\begin{aligned} & n e \\ & \left.\text { adv. } \mathrm{Ru}^{2} . ~(2)\right), ~\end{aligned}$ and on the other hand only words. \& adj., wordung, -scipe, -are s.; but beside wordnise s. (Li.), wordlic (Ri.), (-)wordiga etc. v. (Ri., Li., Ru. ${ }^{2}$; usual form, in Ru ${ }^{2}$. ~ 2 weor-, I wear-) also wyrdnise (Ri.), (-)wyrdlic (Ri., Li.), (-)wyrdiga etc. v.

The OMerc. VPs., Ru ${ }^{1}$. (cf. Grimm, Glossar; Schulte, Glossar) have (apart f1om wor ס-, wor dig- s. »platea», cf. § 9 \& foot-note) consistently weord s. "pretium", (-)weordi(g)an v. (~ wear-VPs. ( I ), wor- Ru. ${ }^{1}$ ( I )), and on the other hand only $-w y r$ бе adj. VPs. has also orwyr ðe dat. sg. nignominia» ( I ).

The early pure O W S texts (i.e. those used by Cosijn,
 adj. (numerous), wyrdig adj. Or. (I), and on the other hand only (-)weord s. \& adj., -lic(-), -ful(-), -ung, -nes, weordian
v．，w（e）ordig »fundus»（2；cf．§ 9 \＆foot－note）；but beside usual weordscipe，－mynd also wyrdscipe（I），wyrdmynt，－nd， －ndu（3）（all in MS．H of Cura Pastoralis）．－wurdne»dignum» （I）is ambiguous；scribal error？

For 1 ate O WS I give as instances the forms of MS． A of the WS Gospels：（－）wyr $\begin{aligned} & \text { e adj．（incl．inflected forms）（24），}\end{aligned}$ pancwoydlice（I），arwyrずre comp．（I）；as against weord s．， －fulva adj．，－scype s．，－mynt s．（Io），（－）weordlice etc．（4）， weor すе adj．（pl．nom．m．）（I），（－）weordian v．（I8）；～（－）wur－ dian（ 2 ；＜w ӗor－）．
272 The distribution of prim．OE w 1 r－and prim．OE w ĕr－ in these texts can of course not be considered to represent the exclusive usage in the dialects to which they belong； above all we should be cautious in drawing conclusions from the $a b s e n c e$ of one form or the other．But the texts agree remarkably well on some points，where we consequent－ ly may be justified in concluding that they represent the general，though probably not the only OE usage up to about A．D．IOOO．Thus we find that－disregarding the isolated orwyrde dat．sg．in VPs．，which may come from prim．OE wir－ －only prim．OE wîr－occurs in the adj．（－）royrde etc．， and on the other hand only prim．OE wĕr－in weord etc． s．\＆adj．，and in derivatives with－ful（which is only used with substantives）；but beside the usual（and no doubt original）prim．OE w ĕr－also the umlauted OE variant （＜prim．OE w $\check{1} 1-$ ）occurs in derivatives with suffixes which could be added to adjectives as well as to substantives： －nise，－lic，－scipe，－mynd，as also in the denominative verb in－ian．These umlauted variants are probably formed di－ rectly from the adj．wyr $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { etc．}\end{aligned}$
273 Now，as the development of $w \breve{y} r /$ cons．$>w \breve{u} r$ seems to have taken place in the Angl．dialect as well as in OWS in the course of the IIth century（cf．§§ 262，36If．），it seems evident that the $u \mathrm{ml}$ auted form of the adj．（：wyrde
etc．）in these dialects must have lived to take part in this development（in OWS it could perhaps also keep its $\check{y}$－ vowel into ME，cf．§ 367）；and that consequently this form is main 1 y the basis of the early ME adj．form with－e （cf．§ 269），and－after the－e had ceased to be pronounced－ to some extent also of the subsequently universal form n－r p（：written wurd（e），word（e）etc．）．－On the other hand，non－umlauted OAng1．and OWS forms must be the main source，in the corresponding ME dialects，of the early adj．form wurd（as against $-e$ ，cf．§ 269），wurd s．，and the derivative－ful；and probably also to some extent the source，in the same dialects，of derivatives which may contain the adj．as well as the subst．（cf．above）．－The com－ mon ME wur $\mathrm{mi}_{3}$ etc．is probably a ME formation to wurd s．and not a direct continuation of the scarce OE wyrdig adj．
wurden etc．$v$ ．
O Nhb．Ri．，Li．，Ru．${ }^{2}$ ：（－）wor $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { etc．（incl．} 3 \text { sg．pres．ind．）．}\end{aligned}$
OMerc．VPs．：（－）weordan etc．（incl． 3 sg．pres．ind．）．－ Ru．${ }^{1}$ ：gewyrす 3 sg．pres．ind．（I），wyrðe pres．opt．sg．（I）；～ weor すa す etc．（：－a才，－ap，－ad） 3 sg．pres．ind．（4），－an etc．inf．， pres．opt．，pl．\＆I sg．pres．ind．（I5）；wearpe sg．pres．opt． （ I$)$ ；werped 3 sg．pres．ind．（I），$-e$ ，$-a 才$ pres．opt．\＆pl．pres． ind．（2），gewarpe pl．pres．opt．（I）．

Early OWS（according to Cosijn，cf．above § 15）： wier（ 52 ），wird（14），wyrd，wyrst，wyrdest（35） 2 \＆ 3 sg． pres．ind．，wyrden pres．opt．„fiant＂（2）；～weordan inf．，etc． （numerous），weor Әes すゝfis»（ I ），weor Әе す»fit»（2）（werす»fit» 3 sg ． pres．ind．（I）is a scribal error or a misprint ：Cosijn § I9，p． 39）．Cf．also weordeठ 3 sg．pres．ind．（土）in Charter No．45， Sweet OET．－Late OWS Gospels（MS．A）：（－）wyr 3 sg．pres．ind．（8）；～－weord 3 sg．pres．ind．（2），（－）weordan inf．etc．（53）．

The practically exclusive use of non－umlauted forms

## 156

(also in $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind.) in ONhb and OMerc. - the isolated $-y$-forms in Ru. ${ }^{1}$ may be individual loans from OWS, and the wer- ( \& war-)forms in the same text are probably due to the analogy of such verbs as ONhb beora etc. - especially as it is due to a general tendency in these dialects (cf. §. 268), certainly justifies the conclusion that OE nonumlauted forms are main 1 y the basis not only of the inf. etc. (with original we er-) but also of the $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. forms in the ME Northern and Mid1. dialects. The (syncopated) forms rourrp O, wurd(e) GE (3 sg. pres. ind.) probably derive, however, from OMerc. (or OWS ?) wyrd, as the introduction of the analogical -e o- in the ONhb and OMerc. dialects seems to have taken place only in combination with the introduction of full endings.
276 On the other hand the very regular appearance of umlauted $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. forms in OWS, even in the late MS. A of the WS Gospels, makes it doubtless that these forms were continued, at least in some measure, into the corresponding ME dialect; either with y (ii) - cf. 367 - or with $u<\breve{y}$, as the change of $w \breve{y} r /$ cons. $>w \breve{u} r$ appears already in MSS. of the OWS Gospels contemporary with MS. A. - The variant with $u$, if really existing in early ME, may however have been lost through the general substitution in the $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. of non-umlauted forms for the original umlauted ones which took place in that period; but this general levelling could not affect the wur-(<wyr-) variant, which had already in late OWS got the same vowel as the originally non-umlauted wur-(< w ĕor-)form. - forwur dest $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. in OEH probably - though not necessarily, cf. the early OWS wyrdest (Cura Pastoralis) - indicates an analogical non-umlauted vowel, especially since non-umlauted forms of this kind are not rare in OWS texts (cf. the early OWS cases quoted above § 274 , and further Sievers Gr. § 371, Anmm. 2, 3). - The all but
consistent use of full endings in $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. of wurden in the "Saxon-Mercian» AR and Tit. (cf. §§ I67, 179) makes it probable that these texts have wur - <w e orin these forms according to the general rule in the OMerc. dialect, on which they are no doubt based in this particular.

How far analogical, umlauted forms like wyrde, -en 277 pres. opt. (VPs., MS. H of Cura Past.) may have been continued into ME cannot be decided. It is obvious, however, that forms of this kind must be particularly rare in the Northern and Mid1. ME dialects, since the regular wy r-forms from which they must have been formed, seem to have been almost universally abandoned at an early date in ONhb and OMerc.
3. Prim. $\mathrm{OE} \mathbf{w}+\underset{1}{ }$ liable to $\mathbf{u} / \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{um}$ laut.
wude s.
wo- ( \& woo-) a) Sc. Ch., BB (~wou-), DEn., NLeg. ( $\sim w-$ ), CM (CE), Ps. ( \& r.: gode adj.), RRPr. (C) - b) Man., NG, PP ( \& woo-), Bok. - c) Ch. ( \& woo-), LCh. - d) Gaw., Prose Ps. - f) RG1., Winch. - g) PM(D), Ay., Sho.
wou- a) BB (~wo-).
wu- a) NLeg. ( $w o-)-b) \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{GE}-\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{KGr.}$, AR, Tit. - f) WFr., ON.
widewe s., etc.
wi-, wy- a) NLeg., CM (CE), Ps. - b) O, Man., NG, PP, B, Bok. ( we-) — c) Ch. - d) Prose Ps., Myrc - e) KGr., AR, Tit. - f) OEH, RG1.
we- a) DEn. (I case) - b) Bok. ( $w y$-) - c) LCh.
wo- [ a) (?) wodwit Sc. Ch., cf. s. § 17] - g) Ay.

I58
cudes.
cu- a) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{PP}$.
279 suster
swu-g) VV (~ su-).
su- b) Chr., O, NG (2 cases; ~ si-, sy-), Bok. (cf. § Ioo) -
c) Ch. ( $\sim$ so- ), L.Ch. ( $\sim$ si-) - d) Gaw. ( $\sim$ si-), Myrc - e) PM(L), KGr., AR, Tit. - f) OEH - g) VV (~ swu-), PM(D). so- c) Ch. (r.: pater noster; ~ su-) - f) RG1. - g) Ay. (i. e. $z o-)$, Sho.
si-, sy- a) Sc. Ch., EB, DEn., NLeg., CM (CE), RRPr. (H) - b) Man., NG ( $\sim s u-$ ), PP, GE - c) LCh (I case; $\sim s u$-) - d) Gaw. (~ su-).
sutel adj., etc.
su- b) O - e) KGr., AR, Tit. - f) OEH.
uten mlet us».
ute $(n)$ f) OEH, ON - g) VV (i. e. wute, hvte), PM(D).

* $t u$ w »twice».
twa f) OEH.
welk s. \#whelk».
wylke b) PP.
3e-dwimors.
idwimor f) WFr.
swiper adj., etc.
swi-, $-y$ - a) DEn. $(\sim-e-)$ - b) PP.
swe- a) DEn. (~-i-).
280 swcpe s. "whip, scourge»; -ing s. »scourging, affliction».
swe- a) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C}), ~ P s .-b) \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{e}$ Tit.
swey- b) PP.
suai- a) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{E})$.
hwi $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { e } \\ \text { s. "breeze". }\end{aligned}$
hrwipa f) WFr.
witen pl. pt. ind. "went». witen e) PM(L).
$(-)$ wite s. none who knowsn; -nesses.
wi- b) $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{e}$ ) Tit. ( $\sim$ wo-) - f) WFr.
wo- e) KGr., AR, Tit. ( $\sim$ wi-).
witen v. ॥know"; "guard".
wi-, wy- (\& wij-CM(C)) a) Sc. Ch., BB (\& r.: it), DEn.
( \& r.: zit, pit s.), NLeg. (\& r.: $\mathfrak{1}$ ) , CM (CE) (\& r.: 1 , and : sete „sit», see § 39; ~we(i)-), Ps. ( \& r.: Ismaelite, yhite adv.), RRPr. ( \& r.: 1 ) - b) O, Man. (\& r.: $1, \overline{1})$, NG ( $\sim w e-$ ), PP, B, GE ( \& r.: writen pp.) - c) Ch. (\& r.: writen pp.), LCh.
 pp.) - e) KGr., AR ( $\sim w u-$ ), Tit. - f) OEH, ON ( $\sim w-$; cf. wite pres. opt.: utschute s.), RG1. ( \& r.: iwrite pp.; ~ we-, $w(u)$-), Winch. ( we-) - g) VV, Ay., Sho. (\& r.: y-wryte pp., partyte adj.; ~ we-).
we- ( \& wei- CM (C)) a) CM (CE) $(\sim w i(j)-, w y-)-$ b) NG
( wi-, wy-), Bok. (\& r.: Margrete) - c) LCh. ( $\left.\sim w y^{-}\right)-\mathrm{d}$ ) Prose Ps. ( $\sim w i-, w y-)$ - f) RG1. (I case; $\sim w i-, w y-w(u)-$ ), Winch. ( $\sim$ wi-, wy-) -g) Sho. ( \& r.: -hete; ~wi-, wy-).
w- f) ON (i.e. wte pres. opt., r.: whites.; ~wi-), RGl. ( $\sim w^{\prime} u-$, wi-, wy-, we-).
$w и-$ e) AR ( $\sim w i-$ ) - f) RG1. (I case; $\sim w-w i-, w y-w(-)$.
witien v. "guard" (cf. §8).
wi-, wy- f) RG1., Winch. ( $\sim w e-)-\mathrm{g}$ ) Ay.
we- f) Winch. ( $\sim$ wy-).
wikes.
wi-, wy- a) CM (C) (I case; ~wo-) - b) Man. (I case) -
c) Ch. (\& r.: pyke v., syke v. „sigh»; ~wow-), LCh. (~we-, wo-) - e) AR, Tit. - f) OEH.

I60
we- c) [LCh.] ( $\sim$ w-, wo-).
wu- a) NLeg. (\& r.: boke s.; ~wo-) - b) O, GE - f) RG1. (I case; ~wou-).
wo- (\&-ov-, -oi-) a) Sc. Ch. (\&-oi-; ~wow-), NLeg. (\& r.: toke pt.; ~wu-), CM (C) ( $\sim w y-)-\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{NG}(\&-o v-), \mathrm{PP}$, Bok. - c) [LCh.] ( $\sim w y-$, we-) - g) Ay., Sho. ( \& r.: $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ ) .
wou-, wow- a) Sc. Ch. ( $\sim$ w(i)-) - c) Ch. (I case, cf. § IIO; ~ wy-) - f) RG1. ( ~wu-).

Cf. owk, -is a) $\mathrm{BB}(\& 1 .:$ tuk pt.) (: § 290).
Wirechestre n. pr.
wir- b) Man. - c) Procl. - f) WFr., RGl. ( wur-, wr-).
wur-, wr- f) RG1. (~wir-).
swike s. m.
swi- b) Chr. - e) PM(L), AR - f) OEH, RGl.
sweo- e) Tit.
swike adj.
swi- b) GE ( \& r.: witterlike) - e) AR - f) OEH.
swikel adj.; etc.
swi-, swy- a) Ps. (\& r.: mikel) - b) Man. (\& r.: mykel) e) PM(L), KGr., AR, Tit. - f) OEH, ON, RG1. - g) VV, PM(D).
swiken pl. pt. ind. "ceased».
suyken b) Chr.
swikien v.
swi- f) OEH.
282 twi $3 e(s)$ adv. »twice».
twi-, twy- ~-ui-, -uy- a) BB (\& r.: vis s.), DEn., CM (CE) ( \& r.: prise) - b) O, Man. (\& r.:i - c) Ch. (\& r.: -ýes) -d) Gaw., Myrc ( \& r.: nuye v. "harm») - e) AR - f) OEH, RG1. ( \& r.: -ie, -y'e), Winch. - g) Ay.
$c w i c$ etc. adj. \& v.
-wi-, -ui-, ~-y- a) DEn., NLeg., CM. (CE) (\& r.: 1), Ps., RRPr. (CH) - b) O, Man. (\& r. : $1, \&:-l y k(e),-l i k,-i k)$, PP, B, Bok. ( $\sim-u e-)-\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{Ch}$. - d) Gaw., Prose Ps., Myrc - e) PM (L), KGr. (cf. § 157), AR, Tit. - f) OEH, RG1.

- g) PM (D), Ay., Sho.
que- b) Bok. ( $\sim-y-$ ).

For hweonne adv. in KGr., see above § I57 and foot-note. For plur. forms of twig s., see above $\S 8$.
$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{d}$. The Northern and Midland texts have (w)u-, 283 wo- (\& woi-, wou-, wow-: Sc. Ch., DEn.) in wude, cude, suster, sutel (:O), wike; we- (\& wei-: CM (C)) in widewe, swiper, swepe, witen v., cwic (: Bok.); wey-, uai- in swepe (: CM(E), $\mathrm{PP})$; and wi-, wy- in widewe, suster, welk s., swiper, wite s., witen v., wike s. (: CM (C), Man.), Wirechestre, swike s., swike adj., swikel, swiken pl. pt., twize(s), cwic.

The (w)u- \& (w)o-forms (etc.) of wude, cude, and probably (: cf. swouster Ru. ${ }^{1}$, § 392) suster, derive from regular ONhb and OMerc. wu- < wiu- < wi + u-umlaut [sutell in $O$ is probably an OWS form; cf. above § 60 and below $\S 398$ f.]. On the other hand the wi- and wy-forms of wike, Wirechestre, swike s., swike adj., swikel adj., swiken pl. pt., twize(s), cwic correspond to regular OAngl. $\check{1}$-forms (with nsmoothing»), and the wi- \& wy-forms of widewe also represent regular OAngl. w $1-$ (: the only form in the ONhb texts and in the OMerc. VPs. and Ru. ${ }^{1}$, cf. § 39I f.). The Northern wi\& wy-forms of witen v. probably contain analogical $1<$ pres. opt., etc. (though analogical wi-forms of this word are very rare in ONhb, cf. §391), as ONhb wio-forms of this word do not occur (cf. § 391). The Northern wi-forms of swiper (DEn.) may represent ONhb-io-or-i - (cf. the
forms in Li., Ru. ${ }^{2}$, § 39r); on the other hand the Midl. sroypyr (PP), wylke (PP), wite s. (O), and the usual Mid1. wi- \& wy-forms of witen inf. etc., seem to imply OMerc. wĭ-, since 10 was early changed into ě o in the OMerc. dialect (: BüLbr. E1. § 237).
sister, syster, etc. is the OScand. syster; cf. Björkman pp. 117, 177.

For wuke, woke, etc., see § 290. For $e$-forms and $e y$-, aiforms, see § 288 f .

284 c. The London texts have (w) u-, (w)o- (\& woo-) in wude, suster, wirke; wow- in wike (: Ch.); we- in widewe, witen v., wike; and $(w) i$-, (w) $y$ - in widewe, suster (: LCh.), witen v., wike, wirechestre, twize(s), cwic. - These cases do not contain any clearly Southern (: SW or Kent.) forms; but on the other hand none of them can be said to be quite alien to the South, except perhaps the Scand. sister (: LCh.). - The form soster Ch., if it really has o as indicated by the rime (:pater noster), must derive from OE s w ŏ - < s w ěo(< swĕ-), and in this case belongs to B 5. - For we-forms see below § 288 f .

285 e. The "Saxon-Mercian» texts have only (w) $u$ - in wude, suster, sutel; only wi- in widewe, witen pl. pt., wike, swikel, swike adj., twize(s), cwic; wi-~wu- in witen inf. etc. (: AR; the wu-form may also belong to C I, cf. wuste AR ); and wi- ~wo- in wite s., swike s. (for swepe Tit. see § 289). - The $(w) u$-forms may derive either from OMerc. or from OWS w u < wĭu- (sutel etc. should however perhaps be regarded as going back to OWS, not to OMerc., cf. § 398 f.); and the $w_{i}$-forms either from OMerc. or from OWS wi-, which may be original (i. e. non-umlauted) or analogical, or - in OMerc. - due to „smoothing». - The eo-forms represent OE ěo (cf. § 149), sweoke Tit. probably an OWS, not an OMerc. form (cf. § 399).
f. The SW (w)u-and (w)o-forms of wude, suster, sutel, 286 uten, *tuwe, wike, Wirechestre, and if really belonging here and not to C I (: cf. wuste etc. ON, RG1.) the w(u)-forms of witen v . in ON, RG1., evidently derive from regular OWS $\mathrm{w} \check{\mathrm{u}}$ - < w $\mathrm{i} u-$. - On the other hand the wi- and wyforms of widewe, ze-dwimor, hwide, wite s., witen v., witien v., Wirechestre, swike s., swike adj., swikel, twizes, cwic probably all spring from late OWS regular or analogical wr - (cf. § 397). - For we-forms see § 288.
g. The Kent. wo- and (w) $u$-forms of wude, widewe, uten, 287 wike, the $u$-form (in $\operatorname{PM}(\mathrm{D})$ ), and (: if it has $\check{\mathrm{u}}$ ) also the $o$-form of suster (in Ay., Sho.), derive from OKent. w u - < wilu- (cf. § 396); the wi- and wy-forms of witen (: as to weten etc., see § 288), witien, swikel, twize(s), cwic probably from OKent. wir-, since the OKent. diphthong < earlier ĭu and ĕ u (Bülbr. El. § 238) practically never appears as $-i$-, $-y$ - in ME (: Konrath Archiv 88,161).

VV has no forms differing from those in the pure Kent. texts, except swuster ( $\sim s u-$ ); but this is probably also a pure Kent. form, cf. zuolh in Ay. (§ 236).

## Special cases.

we- (and -ei-, -ai-)forms of swepe [cf. §280], and of widewe, swiper, witen v., witien v., wike, cwicken v. [: a) DEn., CM (CE); b) NG, Bok.; c) LCh.; d) Prose Ps.; f) RG1., Winch.; g) Sho.].

Apart from swepe (cf. below), the we-cases occur only in late MSS. (r4th century down), and consequently the ME change of $\breve{1}>\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ in open syllables (cf. Lutck U. \& St.) comes into consideration here. This change is no doubt the cause of the we-forms in DEn. and CM (incl. the wei-
form of witen in CM (C)) (cf. Luick, St. pp. 9, 16 ff.); and the same explanation may be applied to these we-forms in NG, Bok., LCh., Winch. [: cf. §§ 78 , 100, 117,214 , and further Luick, Atchiv 102,73, St. p. 201, Björkman p. 60]. An OE weo->we- seems however more probable in the case of the we-forms in Prose Ps. and Sho. (cf. §§ 138, 243) and, perhaps, RG1.
289 As to swepe s., the we-forms in $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ and Ps. can be derived from w 1 - (cf. above), and those in O and Tit. perhaps from OE we o- (since both O and Tit. have -e- ~-eo- for OE ěo). But the -ey-form in PP and the -ai-form in $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{E})$ (cf. Hörning $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{E})$ p. 35) clearly point to the OWScand. sveipr, though the sense of this word is somewhat different (cf. Björkman p. 59 f.); and it is quite possible that the $-e$ forms quoted above derive from the OEScand. form of the word (with $\bar{e}<\mathrm{e}$, cf. Björkman p. 6o).

290 Northern and Mid1. wuke, woke, etc.
As the ONhb and OMerc. texts have only wi- in this word (cf. § 39 I f.), it seems evident that the Northern and Mid1. ME forms with rou- etc. have the vowel of the O Scand. form $u k a$ (cf. Wright, EDD). If, as seems probable, the initial $w$ had been completely dropped in OScand. before the time of the invasion (cf. Noreen, Altisl. Gr. § 227 , I; Björkman p. 177), the ME w-form must be due to the influence of the native wi-form. - The ow-form in BB (cf. for similar forms, without $w$, in other Sc. texts, Heuser E. St. 27,382, 388, Luick St. pp. 82, 96, 103, 112, U. §§ 476, 478,487 ) may derive directly from the Scand. $u$-form; especially if, as stated by MAŘí § I28, w- was not dropped in ME Sc. before the vowel representing $O E \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ in open syllables.
wurden etc. v. (cf. B 2, above § 258).
a) inf., imp., pres. opt., pl. \& I sg. pres. ind.
wor- a) BB (incl. -it pt.), DEn., CM (CE) (incl. -id pt.; \& r.: forth), Ps., RRPr.(CH) - d) Gaw. - f) OEH (I case; ~ wur-), RGl. - g) Ay., Sho.
wur- a) NLeg. (incl. -ed pt. \& pp.) - b) O, B, GE-e) PM(L), KGr., AR, Tit. - f) WFr., OEH (~ I wor-), ON (~vr-)-g) VV, PM (D).
wr-f) ON (~wur-).
$\beta$ ) $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind.
wor- a) $\mathrm{BB}(-i s)$, DEn. (-is), CM(E) (-rpe) - d) Gaw. (-rpes) - f) ON (-rd; ~wur-, wr-) - g) VV (-rd; ~wur-), Ay. $(-r s t,-r p)$, Sho. ( $-r p,-r$ pe, -rpep, \& $\mathrm{r} .:$ forpe; cf. wer-, B 2).
wur- b) $\mathrm{O}(-r r \bar{\beta},-r r \not \subset e \beta \overline{)}), \mathrm{B}(-e s,-e \delta), \mathrm{GE}(-r \delta,-r d e)-$ e) AR (-r дeठ, -r $)$, Tit. (-est, -eठ) - f) OEH (-r dest, -r $)$, ON (-rd; ~wor-, wr-), RGl. (-rst, -rß) - g) VV (-r $\ddagger ; \sim w o r-), ~ P M$ (D) $(-r \delta)$.
wr- f) ON (-r户; ~wor-, wur-).
wurd, -e s. \& adj., etc. (cf. B 2, above § 258).
292
a) (-)wurd s. \& adj., -e s. \& adj., $-y$ etc. adj., -(i)ly, -like adv., -liche adj. \& adv., -(i)nesse s., - inge s. 》reverence», - mint etc. s., -ful adj., -fulhed s.; -ien etc. v.
wor- a) Sc. Ch. ( $\sim$ wr-), BB, DEn. ( wour-), NLeg. ( $\sim w r-)$, CM(CE), Ps., RRPr. (CH) - b) Man., NG (~ wur-), GE (I case; ~wur-) - c) Procl., Ch., LCh. ( ~ wur-) - d) Gaw., Prose Ps., Myrc - e) Tit. (I case; ~ wur-) - f) RG1. ( ~ wur-, wr-), Winch. - g) VV ( $\simeq w u r-$ ), Ay., Sho. (\& r. : forpe (?), see § 244) \&: erpe, ferpe; cf. wer-, B 2).
wour- a) DEn. (I case; ~.wor-).
wur- a) NLeg. (~wor-) - b) O, NG (~wor-), PP, B, GE ( $\sim$ I wor- $)$, Bok. (cf. § 98) - c) LCh. ( $\sim$ wor-) - e) KGr.,

AR, Tit. ( $\sim$ I wor-) —f) WFr., OEH (cf. § I93), ON (~wr-), RG1. ( $w_{0}$-, wr-) - g) VV ( $\sim$ wor-).
wr- a) Sc. Ch. (I case; ~wor-) - f) ON (~wur-), RGl. (I case; ~wor-, wur-).

Cf. war- (in stalward, -r才) : a) BB (-rd), DEn. (-rt) - e) KGr. ( $-r d$; ~ $-u r d$ ), AR ( $-r d$ ) - f) RG1. ( $-r \bar{p},-r d$; ~ $-o r \bar{p}$, -ordre).
$\beta$ ) wur $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { schipe etc. } \\ \text { s., -ipen etc. v., -ipful adj., etc. }\end{aligned}$
wor- a) Sc.Ch., BB, DEin. (cf. wir-), CM(CE) (cf. wir-), Ps., RRPr. (CH; cf. wir-) - b) Man. (cf. wyr-), NG (~wur-, wour-, wr-; cf. wyr-), PP, Bok. ( ~ wur-; cf. wyr-) - c) Ch., LCh. (~ reur-) - d) Gaw., Prose Ps., Myrc - g) Ay., Sho.
wour- b) NG (I case; ~ wor-, wur-, wr-; cf. wyr-).
wur- b) Chr., O, NG ( wor-, wour-, wr-; cf.wyr-), GE, Bok. ( $\sim$ wr-; cf. wyr- ) - c) LCh. ( $\sim$ wor-) - e) KGr., AR., Tit. - f) OEH, ON ( ~zer- ) - g) VV.
wr- b) NG ( ~ wor-, wour-, wur-; cf. wyr-) - f) ON.
V) (?) zourdinge s. mmire, dung».
wur- e) KGr., Tit. - f) OEH.
ס) -wurd (in place-names), wordizs. „prædium», wursted s. (cf. § 9, foot-note).
(-)wor- a) Sc.Ch. (~-or-), BB - b) NG - c) Ch. -f) WFr.
-or- a) Sc.Ch. (I case; ~ -wor-)
(-)wur- c) LCh. - f) RG1.
Cf. -ward, $-r d:$ a) Sc.Ch. ( $-r$ d), BB ( $-r d$ ).
293 sweord s.
-wor- a) BB (\& r.: word; ~-wer-), DEn. (\& r.:wordis s. p1., gurdis v.; ~ -wer-), CM (CE) (\& r.: word s., ord s.; ~ -wer-) - d) Gaw. - e) Tit. ( ~-weor-) - f) OEH, ON - g) Ay. -weor- e) KGr., AR, Tit. ( $\sim$-wor-) - g) VV.
-wer- a) BB (~~or-), DEn. (\& r.: erd s., rerd s.; ~-wor-), NLeg., CM (CE) (\& C r.: word; ~ -wor-), Ps., RRPr. (H) b) O, Man. ( \& r.: ferd pt.), PP, GE ( \& r.: offerd pp.), Bok.
( \& r.: ferd pt.) - c) Ch. (\& r.: berd s., herd pp.) - d) Prose Ps., Myrc - f) WFr. (cf. § I87), RG1. - g) Sho.
weorpen v . (present-stem forms).
wer- a) DEn. (cf. war-), CM (CE), Ps. - b) O (~? weor-), B, GE - d) [? Prose Ps. (-ed pp.); cf. § I39] - f) OEH (~ wor-; cf. war-) - g) VV (~wor-, wur-).
weor- b) (?) O (? I case, cf. § 62; ~wer-) - e) AR (~wor-).
wor- e) AR (~weor-) - f) WFr., OEH (~wer-; cf. war-), ON - g) VV (~ wer-, wur-).
wur- e) Tit (cf. war-) - g) VV (~ wer-, wor-).
Cf. warp etc. v. „thrown (weak) a) BB, DEn. (cf. wer-); warp (en) etc. v. „throw» (strong) d) Gaw. - e) KGr., Tit. (cf. wur-) - f) OEH (cf. wer-, wor-). Cf. also warpyn v.»make wronge», "wex wronge" PP.
cwernes.
-wer - a) DEn. - b) PP - c) Ch (\& r.: werne inf.) - g) Ay. -weor- f) WFr.
werve s. "horse*.
werue f) OEH .
swerven v .
swerued pt. b) Man.
[For swerf pt. e) Tit., see § I79].
weorc s .
wer- a) Sc.Ch., DEn. ( \& I.: merk s.; ~ war-, wor-), NLeg. ( \& r.: clerk s., merk s., serk s.), CM(CE) ( \& r.: clerk, merk s., serk s.; ~ C war-), Ps. (\& r.: clerkes s., merke adj.), RRPr. ( \& r.: clerk, merk s.) - b) O (~weor-), Man. (\& r.: clerk s., herk v.), NG, PP, B, GE, Bok. ( \& r.: clerk, merk s., cf. § IoI) - c) Ch. ( \& r.: clerk etc.), LCh. (~war-) - d) Gaw., Prose Ps. (~wor-), Myrc (\& r.: clerk) - e) PM(L) (\& -rch), KGr. ( $\sim$ wur-), AR, Tit. - f) WFr. ( weor-), OEH ( weor-, wor-),

Winch. (~wor-) - g) VV (~weor-, woer-, wor-, wor-), PM(D) ( ~ wor-), KS, Sho.( \& r.: clerk S., derk adj.; ~ wor-).
war- a) DEn. (\& r.: bark s.; ~wer, wor-), CM (C) (~wer-)

- c) LCh. (~ wer-).
weor- b) Chr., O (~wer-) - f) WFr. (~wer-), OEH (~wer-, wor-) - g) VV (~wer-, woer-, wor-, wor-).
woer- g) VV (~wer-, woor-, wor-, wor-).
wor- g) VV (~wer-, weor-, woer-, wor-).
wor- a) DEn. (~wer-, war-) - d) Prose Ps. (~wer-) - f)
OEH (~wer-, weor-), RG1., Winch. ( weer-) - g) VV (~wer-, weor-, woer-, wor-), PM(D) (~wer-), Ay., Sho. (\& r.: clerke; ~ wer-).
wur- e) KGr. (I case; ~ wer-).
Here belong further the following verbal forms, which seem to have the vowel of the subst . (cf. wurchen $\mathrm{v} . \mathrm{C}_{3}$ ): werk(-) a) CM (C) - b) PP, B, GE ( ~ werch-), Bok. - c) Ch. - g) Sho. (~work-).
werch- b) GE (~ werk) - f) Winch. (~work).
work(-) f) RG1., Winch. (~werch-) - g) Ay., Sho. (~werk).
dwer3 s.
dwerowe b) PP - dwaruh f) WFr.
295 For sweore „neck» (cf. swire B 2), see § 313 f. For we(o)rre, -(i)en s. \& v. „war», see §§ 352, 357.

296 Cf. the following words, which do not appear in OE:
(?) hworvel s. (cf. Skeat, Et. Dict.) "whorl». whorlwyl
b) PP ("vertebrum»).
cwerkin v .
querkyn b) PP.
pwert adv．，etc．
－wer－a）NLeg．，CM（C）（\＆r．：stert pt．，hert s．；～－war－）， RRPr．（H）－b）O（～－weor－），Man．（ \＆r．：herte etc．），［PP］， GE，Bok．（ \＆r．：herte）－d）Gaw．－e）KGr．，AR（～－war－）．

$$
\text { -weor- b) } \mathrm{O}(\sim-w e r-) \text {. }
$$

－war－a）CM（C）（ $\sim$－wer－$)$－c）Ch．－e）AR（～－wer－）．
$-(w)$ or－a）BB（－wor－），DEn．（－（w）or－）．
qwert s．\＆adj．
－wer－a）NLeg．（ \＆r．：gert pt．），CM（CE）（ \＆r．：hert；cf． －war－，§ 40），Ps．（ \＆r．：herte s．，ert 2 sg．＂art»），RRPr．（ \＆r．： hert）－b）Man．（\＆r．：hert，proert），PP．

For werre comp．\＆v．（and werse comp．，werst sup．），see B2．
a．The Northern texts have（w）or－（ \＆－wr－Sc．Ch．， 297 wour－DEn．，wur－NLeg．）in wurden v．，wurd，－e s．\＆adj． （etc）．，sweord，weorc s．（：DEn．），pwert；wer－in sweord， weorpen，cwerne，weorc s．（ \＆v．），pwert，qwert；and war－in stalwur す（e），－wur（in place－names），weorpen，weorc s．，pwert．

The ONhb wor－＜wĕ or－is no doubt continued in the wor－forms of sweord and－as far as they really belong here，cf．§ 9，foot－note，and § 268 ff．－of wur すen etc．v．，wur す， －e s．\＆adj．（etc．）；the orthography of the texts is not con－ clusive，however，as wo－appears for $\mathrm{OE} \mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$－as well as for OE wo o－．The wour－\＆wur－（ \＆wr－）forms，in DEn．， NLeg．（and Sc．Ch．），of wur den etc．v．，wurd etc．（adj．\＆adv．）， as far as they really beiong here（cf．§ 9，foot－note，and § 268 ff ．）， are on a par with the wour－\＆wur－forms of word s．in the same texts（：probably＜ONhb w $\overline{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{r}-$ ，cf．§373）．－As there are practically no weor－forms of words of this group in late ONhb－I have noticed only weordas and weordias 3 sg．pres． ind．，in Ru．${ }^{2}$（one case of each）；cf．also the isolated wear $\delta a$
sg. imp., cwearne s. (? cf. § 310) in the same text-the erforms of sweord and weorpen (for warp etc. cf. below) can hardly represent native ONhb forms. As to the former word, it should be noticed that it is rimed only with-or-(:word, ord) in the early Northern texts (BB, CM), and only in the late DEn: with -er- (: erd, rerd) ~ -or-; and that swerd is either the only or by far the more common form in all our MSS. (: I4th century down). These facts seem to indicate that this latter form, probably an encroachment of the Midland dialect, gradually superseded the native Nhb sword, at least in the literary language, in the course of the I4th century; except in the extreme North (: BB, DEn.), where the old form was still used (as a variant) after the end of the ME period (: DEn.). - The wer-forms of weorpan in DEn. (if not < wăr-, cf. § 27), CM, Ps. could also perhaps be regarded as Midland loans; but they are more convincingly explained as Scand. forms (cf. the Midland wer-forms, below). The total absence of the native Nhb wor-form seems in fact to require a cause operating at an earlier date than the Midland influence, as exemplified in swerd ~ sword.

The wer- and war-forms of weorc s. (\& v.) regularly represent the ONhb werc ( \& woerc) s., with »smoothing». The variant work s. (cf. workand pres. p. § 361) in DEn. is evidently a loan from the r6th century literary language of England.

For pwert, qwert see § 308 f.; for cwerne see § 310; for warforms of weorpen see § 31I; and for -ward etc., § 312.

298 b. The EMid1. texts have wor-, wur- ( \& wr-, wour - : NG) in wurðеn v., wurす, -e s. \& adj. (etc.), hworvel; weor- in (?) weorpen (: O), weorc s. (: Chr., O), pwert (: O); wer- in sweord, weorpen, cwerne (: PP), swerven, weorc s. (\& v.), dwer 3 s., cwerkin V., preert, qwert; and war in weorpen (: PP).

The wur- and wor-forms of wurden v., wurd s. \& adj. (etc.)
(as far as they really belong here, cf. § 9, foot-note, and § 268 ff .) and, if it belongs here, hworvel s., evidently derive from a w-monophthongized form of the OMerc. wĕor-. The original monophthong was probably in the whole of the EMidl. territory an u-vowel, as it is proved by the orthography in Chr., O, B to have been in the dialects represented by these texts; the orthography of the other EMid1. texts is not conclusive on this point (for the isolated wor-form in GE, cf. § 93). - As there are no certain instances of this monophthongization in OMerc. texts - worpadun pl. pt. (I) in Ru. ${ }^{1}$ is probably not a native Merc. form; for OMerc. wor ठ, wor đig "platea», see § 9, fcot-note - the process cannot have taken place till the very close of the OE period. This date presents in fact nothing remarkable, as the same change w ĕ or - >w u ur-in OWS appears only in texts of the latest OE period.

The EMidl. -er-form of sweord corresponds to an OMerc. 299 sweord (with $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ o < ĕo/rd), which no doubt was the regular OMerc. form. The absence of -eor-forms in O does not prove Scand. influence in this word, because it is used only three times in the part of the work where variants with -eo- for OE eo are common (cf. above § 64, and references given there). But we cannot absolutely deny the possibility that the vowel of the Scand. $\operatorname{sver\partial }(r)(<\mathrm{sw}-)$ may be at the bottom of the form swerd in O, and perhaps in other Midland and Northern texts as well.

The question whether the Merc. monophthongization of ĕ o after $w$ was universal, as it seems to have been in Nhb, or whether the old w e or - was also kept as a variant and later developed $>$ EMid1. w ĕ r - (perhaps through wör - ), cannot be decided with certainty on the basis of my material; but it may be asserted that the extant E Midl. forms do not neccesarily presuppose the latter alternative. As in the case of sweord, an OE form with long diphthong may be the
basis of the EMidl. cwerne, if it really derives from prim. OMerc. $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ (cf. § 310); the wer- (and war-)forms of weorc s. (and to some extent the corresponding verb, cf. § 294), dwer 3, and - if they do not have the Scand. vowel - preert, cwerkin (cf. § 308 f.) derive from OMerc. we er-forms (with "smoothing»); qwert is probably a Scand. word (cf. § 308 f.), and the isolated case of swerved pt. in Man. may be a Southern form (cf. § 68) or a Scand. or continental loan. The wer-forms of weorpen may derive from the Scand. verpa (< we r-) : such an origin of the form is directly indicated by the fact that Orrm constantly (or with one exception, cf. § 62), and in numerous cases, uses the spelling -werr-, while OE e o-words of frequent occurence in O generally have variants with -eo- (cf. above § 64); and in the same direction points the phonology of the word in the Northern dialect (cf. above § 297). Finally, concerning the weo-forms of weorc s. in Chr., O, and of proert adv. (cf. § 308 f .) in O, it is quite probable that they are not pure Mercian, but Southern forms, both as to their (OE) basis and their ME development, because the language of Chr. and O presents many instances of SW (< OWS) influence (cf. above $\S \$ 55,60$ ); the weo-form of preert could also be derived (in accordance with words of B5) from a late OMerc. and early ME Mid1. p weore ( $<\mathrm{p}$ weoru etc., cf. below § 400), if eeo- (= ö) < OE e o was really native in the EMid1. dialect.

301 c. The London texts have wour-, wor- in word, -e s. \& adj. (etc.); wer- in sweord, cwerne, weorc s.( \& v.); and war-in weorc s., prwert. - None of these forms allows of a distinction between Midland and Southern origin.
d. The WMid1. texts have wor- in wurden v., wurd,-e s. \& adj. (etc.), sweord s.(: Gaw.), weorc s.(: Prose Ps.); werin sweord, [? weorpen (Prose Ps.), cf. § 139], weorc s., preert; and war- in weorpen (: Gaw.).

The wor-forms of wurden v ., wurd,-e s. \& adj., etc., may be explained as the corresponding EMidl. forms (: the -oin the words of this group may stand for -u- or -o- just as in the EMidl. -o-forms); those in Gaw. and Myrc could besides be derived from WMidl. w ü $\mathrm{r}-<\mathrm{w}$ e or-, cf. above § I30 f. The form sword in Gaw. might also be a case of (OW Merc.) wĕor->wur-, or (OWMerc.) weor -> (W Midl.) wür->wur-. - The wer-forms of sweord, weorc s., and - if it does not have Scand. -e r- (cf. § 308 f.) - preert regularly represent OMerc. swē ord, werc, bwerh; the scarce wor-variant of weorc in Prose Ps. has no doubt been introduced by the scribe (cf. § 137). - For the war-form of weorpen in Gaw., see §3II.
e. The „Saxon-Mercian» texts have wor- in weorpen etc. 302 (: AR), sweord (:Tit., I case), wurd s. (i. e. иnword Tit., I case); wur- in wurðеn v ., wurd,-e s. \& adj., etc.. weorpen v . (i. e. wurpe Tit., I case), weorc s. (i. e. wurkes s. pl., KGr., I case); weor- in sweord, weorpen (: AR); wer- in weorc etc. s., pwert adv. (: AR); [and war- in -wurd, weorpen, pwert, see § 308 ff .].

The numerous worpen etc. (in AR) evidently have w or - , which probably represents OE w or- (cf. § 172); the isolated sword and - if it is not a scribal error (for the usual wur-) - unword may also stand for -eo- $=-0$ - $<\mathrm{OE}$ we or(cf. § 172). - The wur-forms probably represent (late OE) wur- < w e or-, though it is not altogether excluded that they may to some extent represent late OE wur- < w y r- (: for wurठеn $\mathrm{v} .$, wurd, -e s. \& adj., etc., see § 268 ff .; wurkes may have the vowel of wurchen v .; and wurpe may derive from an a nalogical OE $\breve{y}<2$ \& 3 sg. pres. ind., cf. (-)wyrpe Isg. pres. ind. Ru ${ }^{1}$. ( 2 cases), OWS Gospels, MS. Cp (I case), and OE wyrde, -en pres. opt., § 274). - The woor-forms represent
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OE weor-; and the weor-forms of weorc and, if it is not a Scand. loan, preert represent OE wer-.

As to the dialectal provenience of these "Saxon-Mercian" forms, the wer-forms of weorc and, if not $<$ Scand., pwert can only derive from OMerc. forms with ssmoothing». On the other hand the OE w o r-form presupposed by worpen and possibly (cf. above) by sword, unword is probably due to an OWS (not an OMerc.) development (cf. § 400 ff.); and the form wurkes s., if it does not derive from OE w y r (cf. above), necessarily indicates a non-nsmoothed», i. e. an O W S form. The remaining wur-forms as well as the weorforms (and if they stand for -ö-, the forms sword, unworす) may derive from OWS as well as from OMerc.
f. The SW texts have wor-, wur- ( \& wr-: ON, RG1.) in wurden v., wurd, -e s.\&adj. (etc.), sweord, weorpen, weorc s. (\& v.); weor- in cwerne, weorc s.; wer- ( \& war-: WFr.) in sweord, weorpen, werve, weorcs. (\&v.), dwer 3; [and war- in stalwurd (e) (:RG1.), weorpen (:OEH); see §§ 3II, 312].

As regards the forms of these texts, we have to start from the three OWS variants wor-, wur-, and weor(cf. below § 40I), each of which seems to have been the startingpoint for ME forms. Of OWS wor - there is however no other certain representative than the wor-form of wordizs. in WFr. (if it belongs here, cf. § 9, foot-note); yet cf. the worforms in VV, § 307. The wor-forms in RG1. and Winch. and perhaps ON - especially in the case of exceptional wor-forms such as word 3 sg. pres. ind. (cf. § 202; cf. further § 205, and Gadow ON § 49) - may also stand for OWS wur-; and those in ON may further, as also those in OEH, represent OWS weor- (cf. §§ 199, 205). - OWS weor- is the source of all the wer- (\& war-) and weor-forms in our SW texts, except perhaps for the weor-forms in OEH (: of weorc), which text sometimes writes -eo- for -o- (cf. §§ 196, 198).

The weor- and wer-forms of sweord, cwerne (and perhaps also the wor-forms of sweord in OEH, ON, cf. above) may derive from OWS w $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ or - (with $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{o}<\mathrm{e}$ o / rd, rn), but the rest of the wer- (\& war-) and weor-forms probably spring from late OWS wĕor- (cf. Bülbr. El. § 285 f.).

As regards the continuation into ME of late OWS w ur-304 $<\mathrm{w}$ ĕor-, there come into question the rur- (\&wr-) forms of wurden v., wurd, -e s. \& adj. (etc.) in WFr., OEH, ON, RG1., and further - if they do not represent a basis wor- or, in the case of ON, earlier wor- or weor(cf. § 205) - the wor-forms of wurd, -e s. \& adj. (etc.), weorc in RG1., Winch., and perhaps (cf. above) ON. These cases are not quite conclusive, however, because they may all, to some extent at least, represent OWS w $\breve{y} r-\left(>w \breve{u} r^{-1}\right)$, which is theoretically possible as well in weorc $s$. (: on the analogy of wurchen v.) as in wurden v . and wurd, -e s. \& adj. (etc.) (cf. § 268 ff .). In the case of wourden and wurd, -e (etc.), it does not seem likely however that OWS wyyr should to any great extent be at the bottom of other ME forms than such as regularly go back to prim. OE wir - (cf. above §§ 273, 276).
g. The Kent. texts (except VV, see § 307) have wor-, wur- 305 in wur den $\mathrm{v} .$, wurd, -e s. \& adj. (etc.), sweord, weorc s. (\& v.); wer- in sweord, cwerne, weorc s. (\& v.).

The wur- and wor-forms of wurden v ., wurd, -e s. \& adj. (etc.) (as far as they derive from prim. OE w e $\mathrm{r}-$ - cf. § 270), weorc, sweord evidently presuppose a monophthongization of the earlier w èor-, a change which probably took place, as in the SW and the Midland (cf. above), towards the close of the OE period. The wur-forms in PM(D) (: wurd, -e pres. ind.) indicate $\mathfrak{u}$ as the resulting monophthong; and the

[^15]
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wor-forms in Ay. and Sho. may also trace back to this vowel, since these texts regularly write wo- for $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ - (cf. al o the rimes: wors, $-e$ : ŭ, above § 265). On the other hand the absence of wur-forms of weorc in $\operatorname{PM}(\mathrm{D})$ (: wor- 6 cases ~ wer-) and the rimes worp, -e adj. \& 3 sg. pres. ind.: forpe adv. in Sho. seem to indicate an o-vowel; but none of these circumstances can be regarded as conclusive proof, because PM(D) often has wo-for $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ - (cf. § 226), and the correctness of Sho.'s rimes is not above suspicion (cf. the rime worm: storm § 368). - This matter cannot be decided on the basis of my material (cf. also Konrath, Archiv 88, 163 f.); for the present it can only be said that beside the Kent. monophthong -ul - w ĕ or- there m ay have existed a Kent. - o< w ĕ or - at least in some words of this group [: the »Merc.Kent.» tomewor öig (of A. D. 8o8; see § 9, foot-note) does not come into consideration here.
306 The Kent. wer-forms of weorc seem to imply an alternative Kent. development of wěor-> wĕr-, since there is no reason to suppose an OKent. werc due to "smoothing», nor, as the cases are very numerous, an encroachment of the vowel of the verb (cf. C 3). The same development may appear in the form swerde in Sho. (: cf. zuord Ay., which form necessarily presupposes a short diphthong); but an OKent. - éo- < ěo / rd is an equally plausible basis of this form (cf. Konrath, Archiv 88, 166 ff.). - However, as our most reliable Kent. text, the East Kent. Ay., has only wor-forms (for cwerne see §310), it is possible that the change w ĕ or > wĕr - took place only in the Western part of the Kent. dialect territory, where a late OKent. w ĕor-may have been kept through OWS influence.
307 VV differs from the pure Kent. texts in presenting forms which denote an ö-vowel: sweord, woorc s., woerkes, workes s. pl.; perhaps also (if standing for wor-, cf. § 217) workes. It - cannot be decided whether these are (early) Kent. forms
or loans from the SW dialect. -- The wor-forms of wur den v., wurd, -e s. \& adj. (etc.), weorpen v., and if it does not stand for wor- (cf. above), workes s. pl., probably represent earlier wor - (hardly w u r - , cf. §§ 217, 222); but these may be SW ( < OWS) forms and prove nothing about the Kent. dialect.
cwerkin, qwert, preert (cf. § 295).
cwerkin v. and qwert etc. s. \& adj. are probably of Scand. origin (cf. Björkman p. 248); and the forms in all our texts represent the regular development of originally Scand. we r - . - The same would be the case of (-)pwert etc. but for the -eo-form in O (and the -o- and $-a$-forms, see below). The frequent -eo- in O must, it seems, be derived from an $\mathrm{OE} \mathrm{e} o$, either due to "analogical breaking» of the Scand. pvert (< p w -) (cf. Björkman p. 292, foot-note) or to OE p we orh being the basis on which the ME form in question was made, on the analogy of the Scand. form (cf. Bülbring, Bo. Btr XVII p. 65). The latter explanation is of course fully acceptable in the case of Orrm, in whose language the Anglian nsmoothing" does not appear consistently (cf. §300); besides there might have existed an OMerc. b weorh due to the influence of the inflected forms p we o ru etc. (cf. VPs., below § 400). The former theory could possibly be made to account for the Northern (Sc.) -o-forms, as the analogy of sword, worde v., word, -e s. \& adj. (etc.) might here have caused an OE form * p wort; but the Northern (Sc.) -o-forms of (-) prevert etc. are no doubt due to a weak-stress development - weak stress being directly indicated by the fall of - w - in DEn. - of
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an original variant with - war- ( < Scand.; cf. Noreen, Altschwed. Gr. § II7 anm.). Such an original form is necessarily presupposed by the -w a r-form in AR, which text (cf. Ostermann AR § I7) does not know the change e r > $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{r}$ (: for warpen, stalward, see below §3II f.); probably also by the -war-form in Ch. (I have found only -war-forms; cf. also Frieshammer Ch. p. 8), whose forms warien v., harwede pt. (ten Brink Ch. § 48, V; Frieshammer gives no cases) no doubt have the vowel of the substantives OE wearg, ME harwe. The -war-forms in CM (C) on the other hand may also spring from an original - w e $\mathrm{r}-$ -
309 As to the -wer-forms, we have the choice between an unaltered Scand. form and a formation on the basis of OE bwerh (with ssmoothing»); because all the texts where I have found these -wer-forms ${ }^{1}$ ), except perhaps O , cf. above, are based on OE dialects in which this would have been the regular form. The theory of an »analogical breaking» is of course not applicable to the -wer-forms in KGr. and AR, where an OE * pweort, formed analogically after the time of the "smoothing», would have given -weo-, -wo-, or $-w u$ - (cf. §§ I49, 302), but not the actually occurring -we-.

310 cwerne s. (cf. § 293).
The OE forms of this word evidently spring from two different sources: OMerc. and OWS cweorn (e) derive from prim. OE * cwern-; and on the other hand ONhb c(o)ern, cwern Li., Ru ${ }^{2}$. ( ~ cwearne Ru. ${ }^{2}$; miswritten for-wer-, or < prim. OE * cwarn-?) and late WS cwyrne, cwyrn-stan (OWS Gospels, MS. Cp, Mt 18/6, 24/4I) presuppose a prim. OE * c warn$>{ }^{*}$ cwearn- + i-umlaut (cf. NED „OE . . cwiern);

[^16]Noreen, Urg. Lautl. p. 49, Altschw. Gr. § II7 anm.). ${ }^{1}$ ) OE cweorn(e) (probably with $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{o}<\mathrm{e} \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{rn}$ ) is the basis of the -eo-form in WFr. (cf. § 303); while the other prim. OE variant probably appears in the $-e$-forms in DEn. ( < ONhb -er-) and Ay. (: which has only -wo- for OE weo < w $\breve{\mathrm{e}}+$ breaking / $\mathrm{r}+$ cons.). The $-e r$-forms in PP and Ch . are ambiguous (cf. swerd PP, Ch.; wernen etc. Ch.); as however only the -eo-form is found in OMerc. texts, at least the case in PP is perhaps most plausibly derived from this form.
warp(en) etc. v. (cf. § 293).
The Scand. weak verb varpa ( < war-) (cf. Björkman p. 257) is probably the source of the war-forms in PP (=»warp川), and perhaps of the war-forms in BB, DEn., Gaw. ( = „throw»); the weak conjugation in BB and DE . can of course not be adduced in proof (: cf. e. g. the weak pt. \& pp. of wurঠen v . »become» in BB, NLeg., CM, etc.). In BB and DEn. but not in PP, where the place of the form indicates an original - a - - the war-forms may also have been developed from the (perhaps Scand., cf. § 297) variant with wer (: cf. war comp., warld s. in BB and DEn., further warris v., wark s. in DEn.). - Finally the ME war-form may also derive from an OE warpen ( < worpan, cf. Morsb. Gr. p. 156; cf. also (?) the isolated towearpan Mt 5/17 in MS. A of the OWS Gospels). This seems to be the only way of accounting for the strong war-form in Gaw. (: pt. warp), KGr. and Tit. (: pt. we(o)rp, pp. warpen) and OEH (: pp. warpen), in which

[^17]texts -ar- < ĕ r does not occur. [Cf. warpen pp. in e) KGr., Tit., f) OEH; wraht, -e etc. pp. \& pt. in e) KGr., Tit. f) OEH, ON; wald, $-e$, en pt. \& pp. in all texts a), and in e) PM (L), KGr., Tit. f) OEH, g) VV; which also derive from OE wa(r)-forms (cf. Morsb. Gr. 1. c. and references given there)].

312 stalward etc. adj.; -ward, -rd (in place-names) [ cf. § 292].
stalward, $-r t$ is no doubt due to the substitution of the very common suffix - ward (generally written -rt in DEn.) for the original *- weorp etc. (in OE texts only the umlauted form seems to be actually found in this word) after the original sense of the second member of the compound had ceased to be felt (: as it was certainly felt in such words as ME, derewurd, иnжurd, etc.); and the variant -ward in RG1. may be due to a contamination of the two forms (cf. however also the variant -wordr- ~-worpe in RG1.). The phonetic basis on which this change of suffix originally took place was probably a weak- stressed form of - ward (with an indistinctly articulated vowel, perhaps also slightly rounded by the influence of w - ), actually appearing in the OE variant -word, which is frequent in ONhb texts and in VPs. I have not found any certain cases of such a form in the ME texts; but a weak-stressed form with an indistinctly pronounced vowel naturally existed also in ME in the spoken language.

The suffix -ward, -rear $\begin{gathered}\text { in place-names in BB and LCh. }\end{gathered}$ may be explained in exactly the same way as stalward etc.; cf. besides Iedword BB, which may be due to a contamination of -worp and -ward, unless it represents the (otherwise not recorded) ME weak-stressed form of the latter suffix. [Cf. also banwart DEn., § 363].

313 sweore s. "neck" (< * swerhan: Kluge, PBB XI 558;
$\sim^{*}$ swirhjan, cf. swire above § 266).
swai- a) DEn. ( \& I. : euirmair; cf. swire).
swe-, zue- f) RG1. ( \& r.: dere adj. »dear») - g) Ay.
swie-, (-ye-) b) Man. (HS) (r. : chayre nchair», for chayere, cf. §72) - g) PM (D) (r. : diere 刃deer").
sweo- e) AR (cf. swire) - f) WFr., OEH.
swo- e) $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{L})$ (r. : dore »deer») - f) ON ( \& r. : dore»deer»).
The regular OAng1. form would be swera (cf. below § 400), 314 but the form does not seem to have existed in this dialect; at least there are no cases in Ri., Li., Ru. ${ }^{2}$, VPs., Ru. ${ }^{1}$ (: only swira, cf. above § 266). - From early OWS Cosijn records the regular (cf. below § 40I) sweore, -an (4 cases), beside swioran (I case), which may be simply a spelling for sweo- (cf. Bülibr. El. § I43); and as regards late OWS, sweoran (3 cases) is the only form found in MS. A of the Gospels (: MS. Cp swy-, swu-, cf. above § 266). In OKent. texts I have not found the word; the regular form would be sweora (cf. §401).

On account of the absence of the form in ONhb and O Merc. texts it seems questionable whether the forms swair in DEn. (: with -ai- for è; cf. Mutschmann § 108) and swyer (: chayere s., cf. above § 72) in Man. (HS) should be regarded as native forms and not rather as borrowed (for the sake of the rime) from works written in the SW or Kent. dialects. - The "Saxon-Mercian», the SW, and (as far as they belong here, cf. below) the Kent. forms probably all derive from the regular OWS \& OKent. sweora.

As to the vowel quantity of the (late) OE prototypes of the ME forms, a short vowel ( $\check{\mathrm{e}}>\bar{\varepsilon})$ is presupposed by swair in DEn. (cf. above), and a long vowel probably presupposed by the -ie-form in PM (D) (cf. Konrath, Archiv 88,159). On the other hand, the ME we- and the ME, weo- \& wo-forms give no clue as to the quantity of the vowel in OE. Nor are the rimes to such forms of any use in the matter : it is true that the time-words dore „deer» in PM (L) and ON, and dere „dear» in RG1, imply respectively s w ö -,
sw $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ - in these texts; but the $\overline{0}$-form in $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{L})$ and ON may" be due to ME lengthening (in open syllables) of $\begin{gathered}\text { K ( }<~\end{gathered}$ ěo ) > $\bar{o}$, and the $\bar{e}$ in RG1. may have passed through the stage $\ddot{o}(<\overline{\mathrm{o}})>\overline{\mathrm{e}}$.

It should be observed, finally, that the ME Kent. forms given above could also be explained - the $e$-form in Ay. even in two ways - on the basis of prim. OKent. ${ }^{*}$ s wirhjan (cf. § 266):
*swirhjan $>$ *swiurhjan $>$
I) $*$ swiorha $>$ *swॅ̌ora, $*$ sw $\begin{gathered}\text { éora }: ~ P M(D) ~\end{gathered}$ swiere, Ay. zuere.
2) *swurhja> *swyrha > *sw yra > *sw $\frac{\breve{\mathrm{e}}}{}$ ra: Ay. zuere.
weorlds.
war- a) BB, DEn., CM (C) (\& r. : herd pp.; ~ wer-, wor-) -b) Bok. (I case; ~ wer -).
wer- a) NLeg. ( wor-), CM (CE) ( \& r. : -erd, -ard; ~ C war-, wor-), Ps., RRPr. (CH) (~wor-) - b) O ( $\sim$ weor-), Man. ( \& r. : -erd; ~ wor-), NG, PP (cf. § 84), B, GE, Bok. ( $\simeq$ war-) - d) Prose Ps. (2 cases; ~ wor-) - e) Tit. ( $\sim$ weor-, wor-) g) VV (I case; ~ wor-), PM (D) ( $\sim$ wor- $)$.
wor- a) N Leg. (2 cases; ~wer-), CM (C) (I case; ~ wer-, war-), RRPr. (CH) ( $\sim$ wer- ) -b) Man. ( $\sim$ wer- $)$-c) Ch., LCh. d) Gaw., Prose Ps. ( wer-), Myrc - e) PM (L), KGr., AR, Tit. (~ wer-, weor-) - f) WFr., OEH ( ~ weor-), ON, RGl. - g) VV ( ~wer-), PM(D) (~wer-), KS, Ay., Sho. (\& r. : -perled v.).
 (~wor-).
weored s., etc.
wor- e) KGr., AR, Tit. ( ~ weor-).
weor- e) Tit. (~ wor-).
wir- b) GE.
sweoli s. "cauman.
sweo-f) WFr.
wele s. 316
wo- f) OEH ( ~ weo-, we-).
wa- b) GE ( \& r. : dale s.).
weo- e) KGr., AR, Tit. ( ~ we-) — f) WFr., OEH ( ~ wo-, we-), ON.
we-( \& wee-) a) NLeg., CM (C), Ps. (\& r.. Irael, fele adj.),
RRPr. ( \& r.: whele s.) - b) Man. (\& r.: $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ ) - c) Ch. (\& r.:
$\overline{\mathrm{e}})$, LCh. ( $-e e-$ ) - d) Gaw. - e) PM (L), Tit. ( ~ weo-)

- f) OEH ( ~ wo-, weo-) — g) VV, PM(D), Sho. (\& r.: fele
adj., skele s.).
we eli adj.
weo- e) AR.
we- a) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C}), \mathrm{Ps} .-$ b) GE - f) WFr.
weler s. "labium". we- f) WFr.
dweole, -e $\delta \mathrm{s}$. (cf. dwole etc. OEH, ON, VV, with original OE w o - -, see §§ 197, 205, 222).
-weo- e) $\mathrm{AR}-\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{ON}$.
-we- g) VV.
bedweol(i)en v. (cf. § Io ).
-weo- e) KGr., AR. Tit.
swevet s .
-weo- e) KGr., Tit.
hwe zel s. "wheel» (cf. § 6).
-we- g) Ay., Sho.

For soster »sister» Ch. (r.: pater noster), see B 3.
317 Here may also be mentioned the plural forms of wer s. "man», wei s. "way", and the present-stem forms of wesen "weigh", weven "weave», cwelen "die», cweden "speak" (all with prim. OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ ); perhaps also $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind., etc. of dwelien »err" (prim. OE $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$; or $\breve{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{i}$-umlaut? Cf. above bedweolien). - In all inflectional forms of this kind the original $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{o}$-umlaut in those forms and dialects in which it was to be expected, was to a great extent removed, already in the course of the OE period, by the analogy of regularly non-umlauted forms as well of the same words as of others belonging to the same grammatical groups (cf. e. g. LindeLÖF, Archiv 89, I29 ff. : strong verbs). Consequently all the ME forms of this kind which do not distinctly point to an older $(-) w$ e e o may - and probably, should to a great extent - be derived from OE (-)w $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$-forms. The only ME cases certainly originating in $\mathrm{OE}(-) \mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{e}}$ o - are cweode I sg. pres. ind. KGr. (I), and probably (though the MS. presents some cases of eeo- for $-e-$, cf. §§ I96, 198) weozes s. pl. OEH (I). On the other hand an OE basis (-)w $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ - is indicated (cf. § I49) by the we-forms in AR (of we 3en), probably also (cf. § 64) by the form weress s. pl. in O 320, 5382, 5394, 5626, IO458, II2IO, II294, (I9439, I9443), and probably (cf. § 205) by the "(-)we-forms in ON (of wezen, cweden).

3 I 8 a. The Northern texts have wor- in weorld; we(r)-in weorld, wele, weli; and war- in weorld.

The North ONhb form woruld etc. is no doubt the basis of the wor-forms, and the South ONhb weoruld etc. probably, in the main at least, the basis of the wer- (and war-) forms of the same word in our Northern ME texts. It should
be observed however that, except in the comparatively late MSS. of RRPr., the wor-form - which is certainly original, an influence from the East Midland dialect being excluded, as it seems to have had only we(o) $r$-forms - is very scarce in the Northern texts, appearing only twice in NLeg. and once in $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$, thus completely lacking not only in the late MSS. of BB and DEn. but also in the early $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{E})$ and Ps. This preponderance of the wer- (and war-)forms in the MSS. cf. also the rimes:-erd, -ard in CM - is not in keeping with the distinctions made in the ONhb texts. If no external influence is assumed, the distribution of the ME forms would imply either that weor-forms were spread far more widely over the ONhb dialect territory than the extant ONhb texts would seem to indicate; or that, for some reason or other, wĕor- (> wĕr-)forms, at first exclusively South Nhb, to a large extent encroached upon the North Nhb territory during the latest OE or, more probably, the early ME period. The latter alternative seems the more plausible one, especially with the additional support of possible external influence, either through literature, from the East Midland dialect generally, or from settlers of Merc. or Scand. origin.

The war-form of weorld in the modern North-Eastern Sc. 319 dialects is derived by Mutschmann § 64 from a ME Sc. - o - < ONhb woruld. In accordance with this derivation, the consistent spelling war- in our Sc. texts could be an early instance of this change. But there is no trace of such a development in other words with ONhb w or + cons. (: cf. B 4, C 4); and the ear-form may be quite plausibly explained on the basis of earlier wer r-, both in our texts (cf. §3II) and in the modern North-Eastern Sc. dialects (cf. Mutschmann §§ 78 ff., 88).

The exclusive we-forms of wele s., weli adj. may have their vowel partly from the South ONhb weo-forms,
partly from the (analogical ?) ONhb we-\& woe-forms (cf. § 405).

320 b. The EMidl. texts have wor- in weorld (: Man.); weorin weorld (: O); we ( $r$ )- in weorld, wele, weli; wa( $r$ )- in weorld (: Bok.), wele (: GE); and wir- in weored (: GE).

Apart from the wor-forms in Man. which are doubtless of SW or WMid1. origin - cf. for other SW or (South-) West Mid1. forms (: introduced by the scribe) in Man., above § 68 - all our EMid1. forms of weorld (: weor-, wer-, war-) regularly correspond to the OMerc. w e e or - (or perhaps partly w ĕr-: cf. VPs. weoruld etc. (about 150) ~ werulde gen. \& dat. sg. (6); see further § 405). The absence of an EMid1. (< late OEMerc.) w-monophthongized vowel in this case (as against wurd s. \& adj, etc.) is plausibly explained by assuming that the OEMerc. weoruld, at least in its uninflected form, kept the vowel of the second syllable till the change w ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}>$ $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}} / \mathrm{r}+$ cons. had taken place. If Orrm's we (o) relld etc. is a pure EMid1. form, it is directly in favour of this assumption; the fact that the MSS. of all the rest of our EMidl. texts present only monosyllabic forms proves nothing to the contrary, as the earliest of these MSS. (that of B) is probably half a century later than that of $O$. Besides even the spelling we(o)rld (in OE as well as in ME) may at least occasionally represent a disyllabic pronunciation, with syllabic -1-. - An influence of the Scand. -e-form cannot be traced; but the Scand. form may of course to some extent be at the bottom of the EMidl. wer-

The we-forms of wele s. Man. (\& r.), weli adj. GE may spring either from OMerc. wĕo- or from (OE or ME) analogical wé- (cf. § 405).
32 I I have not found any parallels in the other texts to the remarkable forms wale s. (: dale) and wird s. in GE. As to wale, it probably derives from an OE weala, due either to
some kind of analogy (: cf. the OE variant feala, and ME fale, vale(RG1., ON)), or to o-umlaut of ĕ (: BüLBr. Ell. § 236 ff). wird is considered by Morsb. Gr. § Io9 as developed from earlier ME -eॅ-. ${ }^{1}$ )
c. The London texts have wor- in weorld; and we- (\& wee-) 322 in wele. - The wor-form of weorld in these texts is evidently of Southern (: SW or Kent.) origin.
d. The WMidl. texts have wor- in weorld; and we $(r)$ - in weorld (: Prose Ps.), wele. - The wor-form of weorld (: Gaw., Prose Ps., Myrc) presupposes a WMid1. w-monophthongization of - $\breve{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{o}$ - in this word ${ }^{2}$ ) (contrary to the consistent usage in the EMidl., see § 320). It is probable on general grounds (: cf. the other dialects) that this monophthongization took place already in OWMerc.; but since we have no certain OWMerc. texts, we cannot tell whether the monophthongized form was early in OWMerc. ( as it was in ONhb and OWS) or whether it did not appear till the very transition into ME (as probably in OKent., cf. § 325). For the same reason, and because our ME WMidl. texts use wo- for OE w ŭ - as well as for OE w o - (cf. §§ I3I, r36, 146), we can come to no certain decision as to the original quality of the monophthong; but it seems probable that it was an ǒ-vowel (not an ŭ-vowel) in this dialect as in all others (cf. for OE § 404; for ME § 325).

[^18]The wer-form of weorld in Prose Ps. should probably be considered to indicate that Prose Ps. represents a more Eastern variety of the WMidl. dialect than Gaw. and Myrc (which have only world); cf. for other probable signs of E Midl. influence in Prose Ps., above § 129. - The we-form of wele may come from OMerc. w ĕo- or OMerc. w ĕ (see § 405).
e. The »Saxon- Mercian» texts have wor-in weorld, weored; weo( $r$ )- in weorld (: Tit.), weored (: Tit.), wele, weli, dweole, bedweol(i)en, swevet ( \& cwe de I sg. pres. ind., cf. §317); and we $(r)$ - in weoruld (: Tit.), wele (: PM( L ), Tit). - The wor-forms of weorld and, probably, weored (: the rare cases of $-0-=0 ̈<$ OE e o (cf. §§ I63, I72, 184) need hardly be taken into consideration here) stand for wor(not wur-: cf. 11. cc.), no doubt derived from an OE wŏr-, which may be of WMerc. (cf. above) or WS (cf. below) origin. - The consistent use of weo- in many words in KGr., AR, Tit. - forms that evidently presuppose OE w ěo -, cf. § I49 - decidedly points to the OMerc., not to the OWS dialect (:where w ě-forms were frequent, cf. § 405). - The we-forms in Tit. may represent OE weo- or OE we- (cf. § I49); but the we-forms in KGr., AR (: §317) and probably also wele PM (I) (cf. § I49) can only represent OE we-.

324 f. The SW texts have wo(r)-in weorld, wele (:OEH); weo(r)in weorld (: OEH), sweoli, wele, dweole (\& we zes s. p1., see § 317); and we- in wele (:OEH), weli, weler.

As to weorld, an OWS wor- is unequivocally presupposed by the wor-form in WFr. (cf. § 189), by the frequent use of the same form in OEH, and by its consistent use in ON (: which circumstances exclude its standing for - ö - or - u in these two texts, cf. §§ 197 ff., 205). The same OWS form
is no doubt also the basis of the wor-forms in RG1., whose orthography is however not conclusive on this point (: its wo-stands for OE w o - as well as for OE w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ - , cf. § 212). The weor-forms of weorld in OEH ( 16 cases) probably derive from OWS w ĕ or - ; but since OEH very often writes -eofor -0 - (: thus weord etc. "word (\%) in about the same proportion as weorld etc. \#world»), these weor-forms might also possibly represent OWS w o r - . However this may be, there is a very great preponderance of the wor-forms of weorld in our SW texts; a fact which tallies quite well with the usage both in early OWS texts (cf. § 404 f.) and some late OWS ones (cf. for the Blickling Homilies, Bülbr. El. § 268 Anm. 3, and for MS. Cp of the WS Gospels, below § 404 f.). Consequently it is not necessary to assume a change w ĕ o-$>\mathrm{wo}-/ \mathrm{r}+$ vowel in the latest OWS, i. e. after the date of our latest OWS texts (cf. the Kent. dialect, § 325).

The isolated wo-form of wele in OEH no doubt represents wö - < OWS w ĕo- (cf. § 199); and the same OWS form is evidently the basis of the weo-forms of sweoli, wele, dweo$l e$. - The we-forms (: in WFr., OEH) represent OWS w e o - or OWS w e - , as a rule probably the latter (cf. §§ 189, 198).
g. The Kent. texts (excepting VV, for which see below) 325 have wor-in weorld; and we( $r$ )- in weorld, wele, hwe sel.

The wor-form of weorld evidently presupposes a wmonophthongization in the Kent. dialect. As the extant O Kent. texts do not show any trace of a monophthongization of $\breve{e}_{\text {o }}$ by $w$-, it seems likely that this change, in the present case as well as / $\mathrm{r}+$ cons. (cf. § 305), did not take place until the very close of the OE period. As to the original quality of the monophthong, the orthography of the MSS. (there are no rimes to -ur-, -or-) tells us nothing, because all the MSS. more or less frequently (or regularly) use wo-
for OE w u - as well as for OE wo - (cf. §§ 226, 230, 236, 248). But there is nothing that speaks against an ŏ-vowel : even if, which is far from certain (cf. § 305), the late OKent. wĕor + cons. gave only whur-, this circumstance proves nothing in the case of w e or + vowel (or syllabic -1-). - On the whole, the existence of an early ME w w -form of weorld, in any dialect, seems very unlikely; the only early ME wu-forms of the word that I have noticed, one case in the ME Kent. Gospels (wurlde Mk 10/30 (MS. H)) and one in Lajamon (wurlde 15973 : Luhmann p. 134), are not conclusive, because apart from the probability that the forms are mere clerical errors, both the texts in question occasionally write $-u$ - for -0 - [cf. Remmann p. 2 I (who however gives only one certain case: gebrute MS. H, L, 9/17), and Luhmann pp. 93 f., 1I7].

The wer-form of weorld in $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{D})$ - cf. also the rimeword perled in Sho., which indicates a form werld in the original - may be judged, as far as it derives from OKent. wĕ or - (and not from OKent. wĕr - , cf. § 405) exactly like the wer-forms of weorc, (sweord), cf. § 306.

The we-forms of wele s., hwe zel s. in Ay. and Sho., if based on late OKent. weo- (and not on OKent we-, cf. § 405), represent the regular Kent. development of ĕ o > ĕ (cf. Konrath, Atchiv 88, 16i).
326 VV goes with the Kent. dialect (apart from using the variant wordles, see § 409) in completely avoiding -eo-forms of the words of this group. - It has no distinctly SW (< OWS) forms of these words.
6. Prim. $\mathrm{OE} w+\breve{\mathbf{a}} / \mathbf{r}+$ cons. liable to $\mathbf{i}$-umlaut. 327
werien v . "curse".
war- a) BB, DEn. ( \& I.: miscareit), $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})(\sim$ we-, wi-) b) O, Man. ( \& r. : marye n. pr.), PP, GE - d) Prose Ps. ( ~ we- ) - e) KGr., AR (\& -iung s.), Tit. - f) OEH (~ we-) -g) VV (I case; ~ we-), Ay.
wer- a) NLeg., CM (CE) ( ~wa-, wi-), Ps., RRPr. (CH)

- d) Prose Ps. ( ~ wa-) - f) OEH ( ~wa-) - g) VV ( ~ wa-).
wi- a) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ (I case; ~ wa-, we-).
wernen v . "refuse».
war- a) BB, DEn. ( \& warnour s.), CM (CE) ( \& I.: barn s.;
~ we-), RRPr. (H) - e) Tit. (I case; ~ we-) - g) Sho.
wer - a) CM (C) (r.: lern v., ern s.; ~ wa-) - b) Man. (\& r.: -erne(de)), GE - c) Ch. (\& r.: -erne), [L, Ch.] - d) Gaw., Myrc - e) KGr., AR ( ~wea-), Tit. ( ~wa-) - f) OEH, ON (\& r.:
derne adv.) - g) VV. (~wณ-), Ay.
wear- e) AR (~ we-).
war-g) VV (~ we-).
wur-f) RG1. ( \& r.: biturne inf., turnde pt.).
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { werden v. "harm». } & 328 \\ \text { wer }-\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{O}(\sim \text { weo- }) . & \\ \text { weo- b) } \mathrm{O}(\sim \text { we- }) & \end{array}$
werk s., -in v. \#doleo\# etc.
wer- b) PP, (?) GE.
hwerfen v .
wher- b) O, (?) PP.
(?) wermpes.
wer- f) OEH (cf. § 194).

192
For wermen v . „warm», see above § II.
For cwerne s., see B 4 (§§ 293, 3ro).

329
a, b, c, d, e, g. The Northern, Mid1., London, "SaxonMercian», and Kent. texts have wer- in werien, wernen, werden (: O), werk, -in, hwerfen; weor- in werden (: O); wir- in werien (: $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ ); wear- in wernen (: AR); war- in wernen (: VV); and war-in werien, wernen.

The Northern and Midl. wer-forms and perhaps also those in the London and the "Saxon- Mercian» texts regularly represent OAngl. we r-; and the Kent. wer-forms regularly represent OKent. wer-. - To weordenn etc. in O corresponds the remarkable weor đa $\begin{gathered}\text { »affligunt» in } \mathrm{Ru}^{2} \text {. (I case), }\end{gathered}$ but this form is probably only a scribal error (for wer- or woer-, cf. §413); and the weor-form in O is either due to a change we - > wö - (cf. C 2) or, since there are no certain cases of such a change in O (cf. $\S \S 63,353,356$ ), it is more probably, perhaps, one of the cases where we must suppose that Orrm's -eo- is not phonetically or etymologically justified (cf. § 64). - For wirid CM(C), see § 4r; for war- see § 331. - As to the remaining forms, the isolated cases warnen VV, wearnen AR, the former (cf. Schmidt VV pp. I9, 36) stands for wer - or war -, and the latter (cf. OSTERmann AR §§ 3, 16,17 ) probably (cf. below § 331) represents OE wear- (: from wearnian ~ war(e)nian).

330 f. The SW texts have wer- in werien, wernen, wermpe (: OEH); war-in werien; and wur- in wernen (: RG1.).

The wur-form in RG1. evidently derives from the exclusively OWS wyr- (<wier -); whether directly or through the stage of a late OWS wur- ( < w y r - < wǐer -; BüLbr. E1. § 280) cannot be decided, because the rime-words may have - ü - or $-\mathrm{u}^{-}$, and $-u$ - is used in RG1. for OWS y
(incl. $\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{ie}, \mathrm{cf}$. Pabst § 34 f .) as well as ( $\sim-0-$, cf. PABST § 32) for OWS $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$. - The SW wer-forms certainly trace back to OE e , especially since an $-\ell$ - of the same kind appears in other words in all the texts in question (: cf. for RG1., Pabst §§ $14 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{I} 6 \mathrm{e}$; for OEH, Cohn p. II); in ON this $-e$ - is even used to the exclusion of $-u$ - (cf. Gadow § 28). - For the war-forms, see §331.

Special cases.
Forms with war- [cf. wear- AR, war- VV, § 329].
The war-forms of werien, wernen in b) O, Man., PP, GE, d) Prose Ps., e) KGr., AR, Tit., f) OEH, g) VV, Ay., Sho. must have an early ME a-vowel; probably also the isolated cases in a) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{E})$, RRPr.(H) (: which texts have no certain cases of war- < wĕr -; cf. for $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{E})$, Hörning § 2, 2), and the war-form of wernen in a) $\operatorname{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ (: rimed : barn s.). This early ME -a- is probably the non-umlauted vowel of, respectively, (ME) war3 s. (: partly perhaps < OScand.) and warnien v. (: cf. Bosw.-Toller warenian II 3, with the sense of the OIcel. varna »deny, refuse»); the scarce OE wær- < (non-broken) wăr + i-umlaut (: in Li., and perhaps $\mathrm{Ru}^{1}$., cf. § 4I3) need hardly be taken into consideration here. - The war-forms in a) BB, DEn., and to some extent (cf. above) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$, may also be derived from early ME we e r-; cf. the war-forms of werre comp (§ 259), weorc s. (§ 294), weorld (§§ 315, 319) in these texts.

## 332 C. Prim. OE w + vowel not liable to breaking or

 u-/o-umlaut.
## I. Prim. $\mathrm{OE} \mathrm{w}^{\underline{-}}$.

wil, $-e, w i l t$, etc. sg. \& pl. pres. (ind. \& opt.).
wi-, wy- a) Sc. Ch., BB, DEn., NLeg., CM (CE) ( ~ we-), Ps., RRPr. - b) Chr., O, Man. ( $\sim w_{0}$ ), NG ( $\sim w_{0}$ ), B ( \& r.: 1), GE ( \& r.: 1), Bok. (cf. § 102) - c) Procl., Ch. (cf. § 112; ~wo-), LCh. ( ~ we- , wu-, wo-) - d) Gaw. ( ~wo-), Prose Ps. - e) PM (L) ( ~ wu-), KGr. ( I case; ~ wu-), AR ( $\sim u-$ ), Tit. ( ~ wu-) - f) OEH ( $\sim w-$ ), ON ( \& r.: sckile s. ; ~ wu-, w-), RG1. ( $w u-w o-) ~-~ g) ~ V V, ~ P M ~(D), ~ K S, ~$ Ay., Sho. ( $\sim w^{-}$).
we- a) $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{CE})\left(\sim w_{i-}, w-\right)$ - c) LCh. ( $w e-$, wo-, wi- \& wy-) - f) Winch. [Cf. weling pres. p. b) Bok., see § Io2].
wu- c) LCh. ( we-, wo-, wi- \& wy-) -e) PM (L) ( $\sim w_{i-}$ ), KGr. ( $\sim$ I wi-), AR ( $\sim w i-)$, Tit. ( $\sim$ wi-) - f) WFr., OEH ( ~ wi-), ON (\& t.: schule pres. opt., gult s., agrulle v.; ~ w-, wi-), RG1. ( 2 cases; ~ wo-, wi-).
w- f) ON ( $\sim w-w i-$ ).
wo- b) Man. ( $w i-w y-$ ), NG ( I case; ~ wi-, wy-) - c) Ch. (cf. § II2; ~wilt), LCh. ( ~we-, wu-, wi- \& wy-) - d) Gaw. (I case; ~wi-, wy-), Myrc ( \& r.: folghthe < OE fulluht) - f) RG1. ( $\sim w u-, w i-)$ - g) Sho ( $\sim w i-$, wy-).

333 hwilc, hwülc.
hwi-, -y- ( \& qui-, wi-, etc.) a) Sc.Ch., BB, DEn., NLeg., CM (CE), Ps., RRPr. - b) O, Man. ( ~-u-), NG ( $-e-,-a-)$, PP, B, GE ( $\sim-e-)$, Bok. - c) Ch., LCh. ( $\sim-e-)-\mathrm{d}$ ) Gaw., Prose Ps., Myrc - e) PM (L), Tit. ( I case; ~ -wu-, -w-) - f) OEH ( $\sim-(w) u$-, -w- ), RG1. ( $\sim-u-,-o-)$, Winch. ( $\sim-e-)-$ g) VV ( $\sim-\infty-)$, PM (D), KS, Ay., Sho. ( $\sim-e-)$.
hwe- ( etc.) b) NG ( I case, cf. § 80; ~-i-, $-a-$ ), GE ( I case;
$\sim-i-)-$ c) LCh. ( $\sim-i-,-y-)-$ f) Winch. $\left.\left(\sim-y^{-}\right)-\mathrm{g}\right)$ Sho.
( $\sim-i-$ ).
hwac- g) VV ( I case; ~-i-) (cf. §§ 221, 342).
wa- b) NG ( I case; ~-i-, -e-) (cf. §8o).
hwu-, hu- (etc.) b) Man. ( I case; ~-i-, -y-) - e) KGr., AR, Tit. ( ~ -wi-, -w-) - f) WFr., OEH ( $\sim-w i-,-w-)$, ON, RG1. ( ~ -i-, -o-).
wo- f) RG1. ( $\sim-i-,-u-$ ).
(h)w- e) Tit. ( I case; ~ -i-, $-u-$ ) - f) OEH ( I case; ~ -i-, $-(w) u$-).
swilc, swülc.
$s(w) i-,-y$ - ( \& sui-, zui-, etc.) a) Sc. Ch., BB, DEn., NLeg.
( \& r.: milk, cf. § 35), CM (CE) ( \& r.: ilke; cf. § 42), Ps., RR Pr. - b) Chr., O, Man. ( $\sim s u-$ ), NG ( $\sim s o-$ ), PP, B, GE (cf. § 94), Bok. (cf. § 102) - c) Ch. (~su-), [LCh.] (~su-) - d) Prose Ps., Myrc ( \& r.: myche »much»; ~ su-) - e) PM(L) ( $\sim$ swu-), Tit. ( $\sim s(w) u-)-$ f) OEH ( $\sim s(w) u-)$, ON ( $\left.\sim s^{w} u-\right)$, R G1. ( ~ su-), Winch. - g) VV ( ~ swu-), PM (D), KS, Ay. (~ $-e-$ ), Sho. ( $\sim-e-$, su-, so(u)-).
$s(w) e-d)$ Gaw. (I case; $\sim s u-$ ) - g) Ay. (i.e. $z u e-$; $\sim-i-,-y-$ ), Sho. ( $\sim-i-,-y-$, su-, so $(u)-)$.
$s(w) u$ - b) Man. (I2 cases; ~ regular -i-, -y-)- c) Ch. (3 cases, cf. § II2; ~ regular -i-), LCh. [~ swi-, swy-] - d) Gaw ( $\sim$ I se-), Myrc ( $\sim s y-$ ) - e) PM (L) ( $\sim-i-$ ), KGr., AR, Tit. ( $\sim-i-)-\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{OEH}(\sim-i-)$, ON ( $\sim-i-)$, RG1. ( $\sim-i-)-\mathrm{g})$ VV ( $\sim-i-)$, Sho. ( $\sim-i-,-y-,-e-,-o(u)-)$.

$$
\text { so }(u)-\text { b) } N G \text { (I case; } \sim \text { regular }-i-)- \text { g) Sho. ( } \sim-i-,-y-\text {, }
$$ $-e-, \quad-u-)$.

(?) swimmen v.
swome inf. a) BB (I case; ~ swy-).
swmminde pres. p. f) OEH (I case; ~ swi-).
wimpels.
womple a) DEn. (I case; ~ regular wym-).

196
wiste, wist pt. \& pp. (of witen »know"; "guard»).
we- g) Ay. (? cf. § 234; ~-i-, -y-), Sho. (? cf. § 246; ~-y-).
wer- e) AR - f) ON (\& r. : custe pt.; ~ wi-), RG1. (\&
r. : truste v., cusste pt.; ~ I w-, I wi-).
w- f) RG1. (I case; ~ wu-, I wi-).
wid prep.
(w) u- a) owtuth Sc.Ch. (2; ~ wi-, wy-, ov-) - f) RG1.
(I case; ~ wi-, wy-).
-ov- a) enovth Sc. Ch. (I case; ~ wi-, wy-, $-u$-).
hwider.
(h) wu- e) AR - f) RG1. ( $-w-$, wo-).
$3 w-$ f) RG1. (I case; ~wn-, wo-).
wo- f) RG1. (~wu-, -w-) - g) Sho. (~wi-).
336 wifman s. (sg.).
wi-, wy- a) CM (CE) (~wo-) - b) Chr., O (\& wifm-), NG ( 2 cases; ~wo-), GE - e) Tit. ( $\left.\left.\sim w_{-}\right) ~-~ f\right) ~ O E H ~$ (wifm-), ON ( $\sim w-$ ), RG1. (I case; ~wo-) - g) VV (wifm-), KS, Ay. ( \& wifm-), Sho.
we- a) RRPr. (C) (2 cases; ~ wo-).
wo b) Bok. - e) KGr., AR, Tit. (~ wi-) - f) ON (~ wi-).
wo- a) Sc. Ch., BB, DEn., NLeg., CM (CE) (~ wi-), RRPr. (CH) ( $w e-$ ) - b) Man., NG ( $\sim y-$ ), PP - c) Ch., LCh. d) Myrc - f) RG1. (~ wi-).
wifmen s . (pl.).
wi-, wy- a) NLeg. (I case; ~we-), CM (CE) ( $\sim w_{0}-$ ), RRPr.
(H) (I case; ~ we-, wo-) - b) Chr., O (\& wifm-), Man. (~ wo-), B, GE - c) [LCh.] ( $\left.\left.w 0^{-}\right)-\mathrm{d}\right)$ Gaw. Myrc. - e) Tit. - f) OEH ( \& wifm-), ON ( $\sim w u-$ ), RG1. ( $\sim w_{-}$) - g) Ay. (\& wifm-), Sho.
we- a) Sc.Ch. ( $\sim w_{0-}$ ), BB ( $\sim w_{0}$ ), DEn. ( $\left.\sim w_{0}\right)$, NLeg. ( $\sim w-$ ), RRPr. (CH) ( $\sim y-w o-$ ).
wu- b) Bok. (cf. § 102) - e) KGr., AR - f) ON (~wi-).
wo- a) Sc. Ch. ( ~we-), BB ( ~we-), DEn. ( I case; ~we-), CM (CE) ( $\sim$ wi-), RRPr. (C) ( I case; ~wy-, we-) - b) Man. ( $\sim w y$-), NG - c) Ch., LCh. [ $\sim y-]$ - f) RG1. ( I case; ~ wi-, wy-).
hwile.
hwu-( \& wu-, hu-) e) AR ( $\sim-i-)$ - f) WFr. ( $\sim-i-)$, RG1. (~ -i-, w-).
(h)w- e) PM (L) ( $\sim-i-)-f)$ OEH ( $\sim-i-)$, RG1. ( $\sim-u-$, $-i$-).
swiðe adv.
$s(w) u$ - e) AR ( $\sim-i-)-f) W F r ., ~ O N ~(\sim i-)$.
Here may also be mentioned wundi adj. „averse» KGr. 337 (2), halrounde adj. KGr. (3), which must derive from forms with wh-, either $=$ OE wh- (: not found in OE texts) or $<$ OE w e - (: Stodte, KGr. § 36 II). - Cf. also grundeswulie WFr. (§ I88).
a. The Northern texts have -0 - in swimmen (:BB), wimpel 338 (: DEn.), wifman, wifmen; -u- ( \& -ov- Sc. Ch.) in -wid (: Sc. Ch.) [for swlk NLeg., sulk CM (CE), see §§ 35,42]; -e- in wil etc., wifman, wifmen; and $-i-,-y$ - in wil etc., hwilc, swilc, wifman, wifmen. ${ }^{1}$ )

The forms with -o-, -u- ( \& -ov-) of swimmen, wimpel, -wid can be derived from late ONhb w y - (cf. § 417) > ME w u - (cf. the Northern wur- \& wor-forms $<$ ONhb w y r-, § 36 r ); but on the other hand they may also, and in my opinion with better reason, be explained on the basis of ME w 1 -

[^19]forms. As to the $-u$ - ( $\&-o v-)$ forms of -wid, they evidently represent a weak-stress development and are consequently not on a par with the other cases. The isolated -o-forms of swimmen, wimpel, are certainly stressed forms; but since they both occur in late (: i. e. late 15th and early 16th century) Sc. MSS., they are probably early cases of the dialectal Sc. change of $\breve{1}(>\bar{u})>\mathfrak{e}$ under the influence of surrounding consonants (especially labials) which is recorded by Murschmann §§ 5I, 56 b (cf. also EDGr. § 69). - The $-i$-, $-y$-forms may, as far as the ME vowel is concerned, derive from ONhb $\mathrm{w} y$ - as well as from ONhb wi-.

For wifman, -men, see § 343 ff . For the we-forms of wil etc. in CM (CE), see § 42.
339 b. The EMidl. texts have -o- in wil etc. (: Man., NG), swilc (:NG I), witman, witmen; -u- in hwilc (: Man. I), swilc (: Man.), wifman, witmen; -e- in hwilc (: NG I, GE I) [cf. also weling pres. p. in Bok., § 102]; - $a$ - in hwilc (: NG I); and - $i$-, $-y$ - in wil etc., hwilc, swilc, witman, wifmen. ${ }^{1}$ )

Apart from wifman, -men, for which see § 343 ff., the -oand - $u$-forms occur only in Man. and NG (cf. also Bok., §§ 98, 102), where there is reason for suspecting that they are SW ( < OWS) forms (cf. §§ 68, 80, 98). Our texts consequently offer no certain cases of a w -modification of i within the OEMerc. > ME EMid1. dialect; it should be observed however that the i -vowel of the $-i$-, $-y$-forms may represent, phonetically, O Merc. $\breve{\bar{y}}$ as well as OMerc. $\frac{\breve{1}}{1}$.

For the $-e$-forms see $\S \S 80,94$; for the $-a$-form in NG, see § 80.
c. The London texts have -o- in wil etc., wifman, wifmen; $-u$ - in wil etc. (: LCh.), swilc; -e- in wil etc. (:LCh.), hwilc (:LCh.); and $-i-,-y$ - in wil etc., hwilc, swilc, wifmen [LCh 17.1) -The -o- and - $u$-forms of wil etc., hwilc, swilc, are no doubt

[^20]of SW (< OWS) origin; the remaining -o-forms (: of wifman, -men, cf. § 343 ff. ), the $-e$-forms (cf. § II9), and the $-i-,-y$ forms may all be af OMerc. or OWS origin.
d. The WMid1. texts have -o- in wil etc. (: Gaw. I, Myrc 340 \& r.), wifman (: Myrc); -u- in swilc (: Gaw., Myrc); $-\varepsilon$ - in swilc (: Gaw. I); and $-i$-, $-y$ - in wil etc., hwilc, swilc, wifmen. ${ }^{1}$ )

The -o- and - $u$-forms of wil etc., swilc in Gaw. and Myrc (for wifman see § 343 ff .) seem to imply OWMerc. w $\breve{y}$ ( < w 1 - ) forms, whose vowel was probably - when it was not delabialized to $\check{1}$ (:cf. the $-i$-, $-y$-forms) - developed to $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ in the course of the ME period. An $\breve{\mathbf{u}}$-vowel is directly indicated by the -o-forms of wil etc. (and wifman), and by the rime wolpe: folghthe (in Myrc); the - $u$-form af swilc in Gaw. and Myrc is ambiguous, because $-u$ - is to some extent used for OE, $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ as well as for $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{y}}$. - The total absence of -0 and $-u$-forms of this group in Prose Ps. may be another sign that this text represents a more Eastern variety of the WMidl. dialect than the other texts (cf. above § 129). - The - $i-,-y$ forms may represent OWMerc. (w)y- as well as OWMerc. (w) i-, since $-i-,-y$ - is the usual representative of $\mathrm{OE} \frac{\breve{y}}{\mathrm{y}}$ in all our WMid1. texts (cf. § 122 ff .). - For the -e-form of swilc in Gaw., see § 135.
e. The »Saxon-Mercian» texts have -u- (\& -w- : PM (L) , 34I Tit.) in wil etc., hwilc, swilc, wist, -e (: AR), hwider (: AR), wifman, wifmen, hwile (: PM (L), AR), swide (: AR); and -iin wil etc., hwilc (: PM (L), Tit.), swilc (: PM (L), Tit.), wif$\operatorname{man}\left(:\right.$ Tit.), wifmen (: Tit.). ${ }^{1}$ ) - The numerous $-u$ - ( \& -w-) forms (probably incl. those of wifman, -men, cf. § 343 ff.$)$ in these early texts no doubt come directly from OE (:OMerc. or OWS) w y - ( $<\mathrm{w} \mathrm{i}-)$; the $-u$ - may in all cases stand for the old $\mathrm{y}-(=\ddot{\mathrm{u}}-)$ vowel. - The $-i$-forms, except to some

[^21]extent those in Tit., may safely be considered to derive from OE wi- (not wy-)forms (: cf. § I48).
f. The SW texts have -o- in wil etc., hwilc, hwider, witman, witmen (: all in RG1.); -u- ( \& -w-: OEH, ON, RG1.) in wil etc., hwilc, swilc, swimmen (: OEH), wist, -e, wid (:RG1.), hwider (: RG1.), witman (: ON), wifmen (: ON), hwile, swide; $-e$ - in wil etc., hwilc (: both in Winch.); and -i- in wil, hwilc, swilc, witman, wifmen ${ }^{1}$ ). - The -o- and $-u$ - ( \& -w-)forms (probably including those of wifman, -men, cf. § 343 ff .) no doubt derive from OWS wy-( < wi-); the $-u-(\&-w-)$ may in all cases stand for the old y - ( $=$ ü- ) vowel. - The - $i$-, $-y$-forms derive, as a rule at least, from OWS wi-(not wy-). - For the $-e$-forms in Winch., see § 215 .

342 g. The Kent. texts have -o- ( \& -ou- : Sho.) in wil etc., swilc, hwider (:all in Sho.); -u- in swilc (:VV, Sho.); -e- in hwilc, swilc, wist,-e; $-\mathfrak{c}$ - in hwilc (: VV); and $-i-,-y$ - in wil etc., hwilc, swilc, wifman, wifmen. ${ }^{1}$ )

The $-u$ - and -o( $u$ )-forms occur only in the »mixed» VV and the WKent. Sho. (in all cases beside regular $-i-,-y$-forms), and consequently these forms are either direct SW loans (by authors or scribes) in VV and Sho., or else they were at home only in the Western part of the Kent. dialect territory, where they may have been adopted from the SW or possibly developed ( < OWKent. wy-) under the influence of the SW dialect (cf. C $3, \S 368$ ). If, as seems probable (cf. § 248), the frequent form such etc. in Sho. contains ü (not u), this form must at any rate be a late loan from the SW dialect. - As to the $-e$-forms - to which may be added the $-a$ form in VV, cf. Schmidt p. 19 f . - it is possible to derive them all from OKent. w y - < w i - (cf. eghroylce (I case) Sweet OET p. 449, Charter No. 39); but they may all be

[^22]explained otherwise. In fact, the $-e$-forms of hwilc, swilc in Ay. and Sho. probably derive from the OKent. $-e-$ - $-\infty$-variant $<\breve{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{i}$-umlaut (cf. § 417). The $-e$-forms of wiste in the same texts are more doubtful; cf. however above $\S \S 234,246$. - The usual $-i$-, $-y$-forms in the pure Kent. texts come from OKent wi- (: not wy-); those in VV (: which sometimes has -i-for OE y , cf. Morsb. Gr. § II2 Anm. I) may also to some extent derive from OE (: OWS or OKent.) w y - .

Special cases.
wifman sg., -men pl. (cf. § 336).
[For the Northern we-forms, which probably all derive from earlier ME wi - , see $\S \S I 7,23,28,35,53]$.

There are two circumstances which give this word a special position in this group: I) its frequent appearance with -0 -, $-u$ - in Northern and EMid1. texts, and 2) the fact that in many texts there appears a more or less regular distinction between $-0-,-u$ - in the sg. and $-i-,-y-(\&-e-)$ in the pl. form.

These two circumstances very probably have the same explanation, viz. this, that in all Northern and EMidl. texts and perhaps (but not necessarily) in all other texts where we have certainly to do with a back vowel (i. e. $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$, or $\overline{\mathrm{o}}<$ $\breve{u}$; not $\breve{u}$ ), this back vowel was phonetically developed only in the sg. form, where the influence of $w$ - was supported by the back vowel (: ă, ơ) in the following syllable (:cf. MAŘİ § 250; cf. also the form buschope "bishop" in PP, and Horn Gr. § 28 Anm. 3); so that consequently the p 1 . forms with $-0-,-u$ - which appear in many of these texts, should be considered to have adopted the vowel of the sg. form.

I do not think that this distinction between woman etc. 344 sg. and wimen etc. pl. traces back to a corresponding OE dif-
ference wy- )( wi-, according to the quality of the following vowel ${ }^{1}$ ); a principle of this kind cannot be traced in OE either in the forms of wifman, -men (: which are however scarce in OE texts) or in those of any other words of this group (: cf. the OE cases of wy-given § 417 f.), and besides, the ME distinction between woman etc. sg. and wimen etc. pl. does not appear very early (: except for Tit. only in texts of the I4th and I5th centuries). On the other hand there may have existed (occasional) OE w y-forms of wifman sg. and witmen p1., developed quite independently of the vowel of the following syllable [: cf. the »Saxon-Mercian» and SW texts, below § 347 f.; the OE texts I have investigated (cf. § I5) have only wi-, except for the isolated wyfman in MS. A of the OWS Gospels (Mk 10/6), which may stand for wi-, cf. §418]; and this -y- might have been kept and developed to - u in the sg. form, and been dropped or (: in ME dialects with -i- < OE -y-) developed to -i- in the p1. form. - As regards the EMidl. dialect even this last possibilily seems however to be practically excluded by the evidence of our ME texts. The earliest of these, Chr., O, GE (: in B the word does not occur in sg.), have in fact exclusively wi- in sg. a n d pl. (: cf. also wimen pl. in B); and it is only in the later texts Man., NG, PP, Bok. that the $-o$ - and $-u$-forms appear. This fact evidently indicates the period from about the middle of the 13th century (: the approximate date of GE) to the middle of the 14th century as the time in which the ŭ-vowel in woman etc. sg. was developed in the EMid1. dialect; and if this is so, the development must have started from wi -, since $O E \stackrel{\breve{y}}{\mathrm{y}}$ had long been changed to $\stackrel{\breve{1}}{1}$ at that time in the EMidl.

[^23]A ME wi-form is probably also the basis of the North- 345 ern woman etc. sg. It is true, we have no Northern texts with only wi-forms (etc.) (: a very natural thing, since our earliest Northern texts - i. e. MSS. - do not reach further back than the middle of the I4th century), but it should be noticed that MS. E of CM - which, though perhaps not our earliest Northern MS., yet on many points presents a more archaic language than other Northern MSS. (: cf. Luick St. p. 7) - has still a considerable percentage of wi-forms (etc.), while all the rest of our Northern texts have but for isolated exceptions only woman (etc.) in the sg. As to the time when the differentiation began in the North, our texts tell us nothing; but as proved by the forms of NLeg. the arrangement must have been (practically) established at about the same time as in the EMid1., i. e. about the middle of the I4th century.

The constant use in the London texts of wo- in sg. and 346 pl. (: except for one wymen pl. [LCh.]) is not incompatible with the EMidl. dialect (:cf. NG, Bok.); but all these wo-forms may also be derived from OWS >SW w y - < wi- (: cf. the $-0-,-u$-forms of wil etc., Ch., LCh.).

The WMidl. texts consistently use wo- in sg. (: Myrc.; cf. also wommon sg. in the WMid1. poem "The Perle», Knigge Gaw. p. 44) and wy-in pl. (: Gaw., Myrc) [in Prose Ps. the word does not appear]. This state of things may quite well be explained on the basis of early ME wi- in sg. and pl. (: as in the EMidl. and probably in the North); but since there are certain ME traces of an OWMerc. w y - ( < wi - ) in other words of this group (cf. §340), it is possible that the WMid1. wo-form of witman (and perhaps also the wy-form of wifmen) derives - to some extent, hardly exclusively directly from OWMerc. w y - .

As to the (very early) "Saxon-Mercian» texts, KGr. and 347 AR have constantly $w u-(:=w \breve{u}$ - or $w \breve{\mathrm{u}}-)$ in sg. and
p1.; which forms no doubt derive directly from OE w y - ( < w i -) in sg. and pl. - Tit. differs by having zu- (:=w w - or w ư-) and wi- in sg., as against only wi- in pl.; evidently a conscious differentiation, since the pl. form occurs rather frequent1y. This differentiation is probably, in this text as in all others, a purely ME development; it probably derives in the case of Tit. from a variation $\mathrm{w} y-\sim \mathrm{w}$ i- in both sg. and pl. in the corresponding OE dialect. Since Tit. (i. e. the MS.) belongs to the first half of the 13th century, the differentiation in question must however date a great deal further back in the dialect represented by this text than it seems to do in the EMid1. dialect (cf. § 344).
348 Of the SW texts, OEH has only wi- ( < OWS wi-) in sg. a n d pl. - ON has wi- insg. and pl., and wu- (=w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ or $w \breve{u}^{-}$) in sg. ( 4 cases) and pl. ( I case); this distribution of $w u$ - and wi-forms may quite well be due directly to an OWS variation wy - ~ wi - in both sg. and pl. - The same OWS variation may also be the basis of the forms in RG1.; the all but consistent distinction between wo- ( < OWS wy-) in sg. and wi-, wy- ( < OWS wi-) in pl. being due to the fact that the OWS w y-form was kept in the ME period and developed to $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$ - before the following back vowel of the sg. form, while in the pl. form the OWS $>\mathrm{SW}$ w y-variant was supplanted by the OWS $>$ SW w i-variant. The isolated wimman sg. and wommen pl. in RG1. are rather to be considered as a nalogical forms (: with the vowels of, respectively, the regular pl . and the regular sg . forms) than as isolated direct representatives of OWS wimman sg. ( $>\mathrm{w}$ 1-) and OWS wymmen pl. (: > w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}-$, as in wol etc., woder in RG1.).
349 Note that the Kent. texts have only $-i$-, $-y$ - in sg. a n d p1.; even VV and Sho., the only Kent. texts which present w-modified forms of words of this group (cf. § 342).
2. Prim. OE w $\check{\mathbf{e}}, \mathrm{w} \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ (> OAngl. \& OKent. we $\overline{\mathbf{e}}), 350$ wă -umlaut.
webs.
weo- e) AR.
wo- a) DEn. (I case).
wel adv.
wo- b) Man. ( $\sim$ we-), GE ( $w_{e-}$ ) - f) RG1. ( $\sim$ we-) - g) VV (I case; $\sim w(i) e-)$. - Here probably also belong wolcome, -lk- adj. b) PP, [Bok.; cf. § 98], wolcumep v. f) ON (~ wel-), as the adv. wel etc. seems to have been introduced at an early date for the original wil- in this word (cf. the quotations in Stratm.-Bradley).
swellen v .
swo- e) Tit. ( I case; ~-e-).
swelzen v .
sweo- e) Tit. ( ~ -o-).
swo- b) $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{d})$ Prose Ps. ( $\sim-e-)$ - e) KGr., AR. Tit.
( $\sim-$ eo-). - f) OEH, RG1. - g) VV ( $\sim-e-)$.
swa- c) Ch. (r.: holowe adj.).
hwelp s., -en v.
-ео-
b) $\mathrm{O}(\sim-e-)-$
e) AR.
$t$ welf
-eo- e) KGr., AR, Tit. ( ~ -e-).
-o- b) Man. ( ~ ee-).
welten v .
wo- a) DEn. ( I case; ~-e-).
wemmen v ., wem s .
weo-
e) KGr .
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```
twenti3
    two- a) Sc.Ch. (A.D. 1424) (I case; ~ -e-).
wepman sg., -men pl.
    weo- e) KGr., AR (~ we-).
```

For weo »we», weoren »were» OEH, see § I96; for wundi "averse», halewunde adj. KGr., see § 337; for wa-forms of welwen v. „wither» CM (C), DEn., see § 29.

352 Cf. the following forms of wrestlen (: OE w r $\bar{æ}-$ ), werre etc. (< OFr.):
wrestlen $\mathrm{v} .,-i n g \mathrm{~s}$.
worsling a) DEn. (I case; ~ wersill v.).
werres., werrien v . "war».

wo- e) KGr. (I case; ~ weo-), Tit. (I case; ~ weo-, I we-) f) ON ( \& r. : forre comp.), RG1. ( $\sim 7$ we-).

353 a. The isolated -o-forms of web, welten, twenti3 in DEn., Sc.Ch. are no doubt due to an (occasional) rounding by $w-$ of a following ĕ, probably by way of $\breve{\circ}$, $>\breve{\mathrm{o}}$ : cf. the North ONhb -oe-form (: see § 420) and the $-o$ - and $-a$-forms in the living North-Eastern Sc. dialect (: cf. Mutschmann §§ 65,88 ). - But it is far from certain that these -o-forms (and the living dialect forms with $-0-,-a-$ ) derive directly from ONhb -œ-forms; especially since the form worsling in DEn. (: ONhb wr $\bar{æ}-$ ) seems to indicate a (late) ME change of $w \breve{e}(r)-(<w \vec{e}(r)-)>w \breve{o}(r)-$.
b. The EMid1. texts have -o- in wel (: Man., GE), welcume adj. (: PP), swelzen (: O), twelf (: Man); and -eo- in hwelpen v. (: O).

None of these forms need be derived from an OMerc. w œ< we - (: cf. § 420). The isolated wheollpedd in O cannot be implicitly trusted to contain an ö-vowel (cf. above § 64); and if it does, the form need not be native in the EMidl. (cf. § 60). As to the -o-form of twelf, it may have the vowel of the OScand. $t(u)$ olf (: cf. Marír $\S \S 44$, 168); and for the -o-forms of wel etc., swel 3en, see below $\S 355,356$.
e. The -eo-forms of web, swelzen, hwelp, twelf, wem,-men, 354 wepman, -men, in KGr., AR, Tit., and probably also the -oform of swellen in Tit. (cf. § 184), represent an ö-vowel developed from $\breve{e}$, (mainly) through the influence of $w$ - (cf. above § I49). On account of the regular occurrence of some of these forms in the early texts KGr. and AR, it seems probable that this development was begun already in late OE (cf. Bülbr. Bo. Btr XV p. III ff.). - For the -o-forms of swelzen and the -eo- \& -o-forms of werre etc., see below §§ 356, 357.
c, d, f, g. The London, WMid1., SW, and Kent. texts have no forms that (necessarily) imply an OE modification by w - of a following $\check{\mathrm{e}}$. For wol etc. (: ON, RG1., VV), swolzen etc. (: Prose Ps., OEH, RG1., VV), werre etc. (: ON, RG1.), see $\S \S 355,356,357$; for the $-a$-form of swel3en (: in Ch.), see § II3; [for the -eo-forms of we, weren (in OEH), see § I96; and for the rime twelve : solve in ON, see § 204, foot-note].

> Special cases.
wel adv.; welcume, -en adj. \& v. (cf. § 350).
An ǒ-vowel is implied by the wo-form in GE (cf. § 96); and the same vowel is probably represented by the wo-form in ON, VV (: which could also stand for wö - , hardly for
w $\mathrm{u}-$, cf. §§ 205, 217, 222) and in Man., PP, RG1., (: where wo- is used for wo - and w ${ }^{-1}$ - , cf. $\S \S 76,86,212$ ). - This ME wo oform could possibly be derived from an origin a 1 OE * w o 1 , cf. e. g. OHG wola (: which is however regarded by Braune, Gr. § 29 Anm. 4, not as standing in ablaut relation to OHG wela, but as developed from wewithin the OHG language). But the nature of our ME cases makes it probable, not to say practically certain, that we have to do with a weak-stress development of w $\breve{1} 1$ (perhaps through w ŏ 1) > w o 1 . The adv. wel was in fact very often used in ME as a mere intensive (= „very», "much") before an adj. or an adv., in which use it was naturally liable to be weakly stressed; and in all cases I have noted, the form wol adv. actually occurs in this use (: wol clane VV, wol payed »pleased» Man., wol hastiliche, wol wyde RG1., wol blide, wol wel, etc. GE). - Also in welcume, -en, welmust to a large extent have been weakly stressed in ME; thus in ON, wolcumep 3 sg. pres. v. 440, welcume adj. v. 1600 (: no other cases occur in ON) have the accent on the second syllable.

It cannot be decided whether this development of wel adv. goes back to OE (: where the word is already often used as a mere intensive, cf. Bosw.-Toller), or whether it is wholly a ME development. But in any case it evidently took place only in weak stress; and ME wol etc. is consequently not quite on a par with other ME wo( \& weo-)forms of this group.

356 swel3en v .
The -o- in O , and the consistent use of the same form in KGr., AR, necessarily imply an OE -o-form (: according

[^24]to Bülbr. QF 63, p. 80, a weak *swolgian); and the -o-forms in the other texts (cf. also the rime to $\check{\circ}$ in Ch .) probably all derive from the same OE form. - For -eo- in Tit. and $-a$ - in Ch., see above § 354 and § II3 respectively.
werre, -ien s. \& v. „war» (cf. §352).
Bülbring (: Bo. Btr XV p. IIIff.) explains the weo- and wo-forms of these words in KGr. and AR as cases of wö$<\mathrm{w}$ ĕ - (before -rr-), and the same explanation would do as well for the weo-\&wo-forms in Tit. and ON. But the frequent wo-forms in RG1. (as against only 7 we-) make difficulties: they can hardly stand for wö-, as there are no (certain) cases in this text of -0 - for - eo- $=0 \quad<\mathrm{OE}-\mathrm{e}$ oor - œ - ; and there are no (certain) cases in any of our ME texts of a change wŏ $->w \breve{o}$ - or $>w \breve{u}$ - (: the form worre "worse» in Gaw., Myrc probably has the vowel of wurse, cf. § 133). The most satisfactory explanation of the wo-forms in RGl. - and in fact of the weo- and wo-forms in the other texts as well - is this, that werre was, at the time of its introduction into (late) OWS, occasionally subjected to »analogical breaking» (cf. for this peculiarity in Scand. loan-words, Björkman p. 292, foot-note); i. e. adapted to the OWS speech by introducing the diphthong ĕ o for $\breve{\text { ĕ }}$ (: note that weor-is still far more frequent than wor- and wurfor prim. OE w $\mathrm{e} \mathrm{r}+$ cons. in the late OWS MS. A of the Gospels; cf. below § 401). - It may perhaps be adduced in support of this theory that in all certain cases as yet recorded of ME wö- < we-, the consonant following on the vowel is a labial cons. or 1 , never r (: for weordenn in O , and weo, weoren in OEH, see § 329 and § 196).

## 358 3. Prim. OE $\mathbf{w}+\breve{\mathbf{u}} / \mathbf{r}(+$ cons.) liable to $\mathbf{i}$-umlaut.

wurde s .
we(i) $r$ - a) BB, DEnn. (\& r.: afferd pp.), CM (CE) ( $\sim$ wi(i) $r$ - $)$.
wi(i)r-, wyr-a) CM (CE) (\& r.: bird, brid »lady»; ~ we(i) $r$-)

- d) Gaw.
royer- c) Ch. ( \& r.: hierdes s. p1.).
wur- e) Tit.
andwurden v.
wur - f) OEH (. ~wr-).
wr- f) OEH (~ wur-).
wurm s.
wer- g) VV (~weor-), KS, Ay.
weor- g) VV (~wer-).
wir-, wyr- b) PP, B, GE ( \& wri-).
wur- a) NLeg. - b) O - e) KGr., AR, Tit. - f) WFr., OEH.
wor- a) CM (CE), Ps., RRPr. (CH) - d) Gaw., Prose Ps.
- f) ON, RG1. - g) Sho. ( \& r.: storm s.).

Cf. wrim b) GE ( \& wir-).
wurp s .
wur- e) AR.
wursum s.
wir-b) $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{e}$ ) Tit.
wor- a) DEn., CM (C).
Cf. wru- e) AR.
wurt s .
wur- b) GE - f) WFr.
wor- a) DEn (~-war-), Ps. - b) PP - d) Gaw., Prose Ps. -f) RGl.
-war- a) DEn. (~ wor-).
wur зen v.
wer- a) DEn. (~ wir-, wyr-).
wir-, wyr- a) DEn. (~wer-), CM (C) - b) PP.
wur-e) AR, Tit.
wor- a) RRPr. (CH) - d) Gaw.
wurchen v. (cf. above § 7).
wirk(-), -y- a) BB, DEn. (\& r.: dirk»dark», irk v.; ~work-), NLeg. ( \& r.: kirk s.), CM (CE) (\& r.: kirc etc.; cf. wri-), Ps., RRPr. (CH) ( \& r.: kirk etc.) - b) O, Man. (\& r.: kirk etc., $y r k$ »slow"; ~ I wirch-), [Bok., cf. § 98].
wirch-, -y- b) Man. (I case, I.: chirche; ~ wyrk) - c) Ch. ( \& I.: chirche; ~ I werch-) - d) Prose Ps. - f) ON ( \& r.: chirche; ~ wrch-).
werch- (\& wercst 2 sg. VV; cf. below) c) Ch. (I case, r.: cherche; ~ wirch-), LCh. - g) VV (~ wurch-), Ay., Sho. (\& r.: cherche s.; - worch-).
wurch- e) KGr., AR, Tit. - f) WFr., OEH, RG1. ( \& r.: chirche) - g) VV (~werc(h)-).
werch- f) ON (~ wirch-).
work- a) DEn. (I case; ~ wirk).
worch- d) Gaw., Myrc ( \& r.: chyrche) - f) Winch. - g) Sho. (r. : cherche; ~ werch-).

Cf. wri(c)k a) CM (C) (\& wirk, -y-).
For verbal forms with the vowel of weorc s., see § 294. It should be observed that the appearance of $-r k-{ }^{-}(-r c-)$ for -rch- in Ch., RG1., Winch., Ay., Sho. (cf. § 294) is not by itself sufficient proof of influence of the subst., because the $-k$ may spring, directly or by analogical extension, from OE c / cons. in $2 \& 3$ sg. pres. ind. But as in all the texts in question the vowel of the verbal forms with $-r k$ - is also found in the subst., all these forms should no doubt be considered as denominative, [but hardly wercst 2 sg. in VV, which bears the stamp of an old form]. - On the other hand, all
forms with $-r c h$ - need not have the vowel of the original verb, as the $-r k$ - of a denominative form may quite well have been later supplanted by the $-r c h$ - of the original verb. This is probably the explanation of werchen inf. in GE ( $\sim-r k-$ ) and werche inf. in Winch. (for werche in Ch. cf. § II3); the worchforms of wurchen in Winch. and Sho. can also - but need not - be explained in this way.
360 wurht s . (: OE (ge)wyrht), -e s. (: OE wyrhta).
wur- e) AR - f) WFr., OEH.
Cf. wri-, -y- a) Sc.Ch., NLeg., CM - b) O, Man., PP, GE - c) Ch. - e) Tit. - g) VV.
wru- e) KGr.
a, b. The Northern and EMid1. texts have wor- in wurm, wursum, wurt, wurgen (: RRPr.), wurchen (: DEn.); wurin wurm (: NLeg., O), wurt (: GE); wir-, -y- (\& wiir- CM(E)) in wurde, wurm (: PP, B, GE), wursum (: O), wur зen, wurchen; we(i)r-in wurde, wur 3en (: DEn.); war- in wurt (:DEn.); [and wri-, $-y$ - in wurm (: GE), wurchen (: CM(C)), wurht(e), for which see § 369].

All the wi(i)r- and wyr-forms, except to some extent those of wurchen (: as far as they spring from original OE wir - , cf. § 7) and those of wurde (: as far as they spring from OE $\mathrm{w} \overline{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}<\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}-$ ), evidently represent a development of ONhb and OEMerc. w $\mathrm{y} \mathrm{I}-/$ cons. $>$ early ME wir-. - The Northern we(i)r-forms, whatever their immediate cause, probably also derive from an ONhb w y r > ME wir- (cf. for wurde, Luick St. p. I83 ff).
The wor-forms of wursum in DEn., $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ may derive from the OE w orr-variant of the word, and the isolated workv . in DEn. is probably a loan from the literary language of England (: it might also be a denominative form;
cf. work s. in the same text, above § 297); but the Northern and EMidl. wor- and wur-forms of wurm, wurt, wurzen are probably due to a change w $\breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}->\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{r}$ - in these dialects. Morsb. Gr. § I29, Anm. 3, assumes an OE non-umlauted form (with w $\mathrm{u} \mathrm{r}-$ ) as the basis of the ME wur- and wor-forms of wurt; and a similar OE form might with equal right be assumed for wurm and, perhaps, wurzen. But it would seem very remarkable that the OE w y r-forms of wurm and wurt, found frequently in all OE dialects, should have been, more or less completely, ousted in early ME by forms totally lacking in the extant OE texts (: the late OWS wur-forms are presumably derived from w y $\mathrm{r}-$, cf. Bül BR . El. § 280). It is true that there are a few $-u$-forms of wourt in the Kent. Gospels - Mt I3/2I (MS. R), Mk II/20 (MS. R), J I9/4I (MSS. HR); in these instances the late OWS MS. B, the direct source of MS. R (: cf. Skeat, Preface to St. Luke p. VIII f.), has only wyr- -- while in all other cases (of wurt as of other words) OE w y r - (< wŭ r + i-umlaut) appears as wir-, wyr-, wer- ( \& wri-, wry-) in these MSS.; but these $u$ forms are no doubt loans from the SW dialect (cf. for other cases of $-u$ - for OE $-y$ - in the Kent. Gospels, Reimann $\S$ § 3 , 14). - On the other hand, a Northern and East Midland 362 change of $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r} /$ cons. $>\mathrm{w}$ ŭr - , equivalent to the development in late OWS, ought, it would seem, to have been more regular in its results than the ME forms would imply. It should be observed however that also the OWS w y rmay have occasionally lived on, unaltered, into ME (cf. § 367); and besides, the conditions for the development of $\mathrm{w} \mathrm{yr}_{\mathrm{r}}>\mathrm{w}$ ŭr- were far less favorable in the North and the EMidl. than in the Middle and Western South, where the old $\breve{y}$-vowel was kept for a long time, and consequently the w-influence in the present case could be continued and developed in early ME. In the EMid1. on the other hand, as proved by the spellings in Chr. (cf. Morsb. Gr.
§ I29), and probably also in the North, OE $\breve{y}$ had become $\breve{1}$ in the first decades of the 12 th century, a change which no doubt had begun already towards the end of the OE period. Consequently, and as (practically) only wyr-is found in Ri., Li., Ru. ${ }^{2}$, Ru. ${ }^{1}$ (: second half of the Ioth century), a Northern and EMidl. change of w $\breve{y} r-/$ cons. $>w \breve{u} r-$ could take place only during the comparatively short period stretching from the end of the Ioth to the end of the IIth century; and it is quite possible that the actual period in question was still more limited. This being the case, it seems perfectly natural that the change $w \breve{y} r>w \breve{u} r$ - should not have had time to be consistently carried through. The final victory or preponderance of $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{r}$ - in wurt and wurm is probably drie to the frequent use of these words in weakstressed position (: in compounds), the influence of w- having been, it seems, particularly strong in weak stress (cf. OE wăr - § 312, wiò §338, wel § 355; and further BüLBr. El. $\S 283$ Anm. I). As regards these words there is also the possibility of an influence of the OScand. forms urt »wort», urm (: ODanish, about A. D. 8oo, cf. Noreen Altisl. Gr. § 227, I, a; ~ usual $\operatorname{orm}(r) »$ worm»), but there is no way of ascertaining if or to what extent such influence has been at work.
363 The form banwart in DEn. (if not merely a scribal error) is probably only a manifestation of the fact that the vowel of w ăr in weak stress was pronounced indistinctly (perhaps also with a slight rounding due to w - , cf. § 312); and this form tells us nothing as to the ONhb vowel in wurt.

364 c. The London texts have wyer-in wurde (: Ch.); wer-in wurchen (: Ch., LCh.); wir- in wurchen (: Ch.); [and wri- in wurht(e) (: Ch.), cf. §369]. - wyerdes in Ch. (\& r.) no doubt derives from Kent. $-\mathrm{e}-<-\mathrm{y}-$ ( cf. Morsb. Gr. § I3I Anm. 6); perhaps also werche inf. in Ch. (: probably introduced by the scribe, cf. $\S \subseteq 13$ ) and LCh. - The form wirche
( \& r.) in Ch. on the other hand is probably of Mercian origin [as also wrighte, cf. § 369].
d. The WMidl. texts have wor- in wurm (: Gaw., Prose 365 Ps.), wurt (: Gaw., Prose Ps.), wurzen (: Gaw.), wurchen (: Gaw., Myrc); and wir-, -y- in rurde (: Gaw.), wurchen (: Prose Ps.).

In the WMid1., OE $\breve{\mathrm{y}}$ was kept to some extent far into the ME period (cf. § I2I ff.), and consequently we should expect to find the change of $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}-/$ cons. $>\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{r}$ - more regularly carried out here than in the EMidl. and the North (cf. § 36I f). This inference is in fact verified by the texts : apart from wyrde in Gaw. which probably represents $\mathrm{OE},-\bar{y}-$ (cf. § 129), and wir-, wyrchen etc. in Prose Ps. which may derive from an OMerc. wit- (cf. also the rime to chyrche in Myre, which word always has $-y$-, never $-u$-, -0 -, in our W Midl. texts), Gaw., Prose Ps., and Myrc have only wor- (= $\mathrm{wur}-$ ) in the words of this group. My material is not sufficiently extensive, however, to justify the conclusion that OWMerc. w $\mathrm{y} r-/$ cons. is constantly represented by wur-, never by wir-, in the (pure) WMidl. dialect. - The total absence of wor-forms of the frequent word wurchen in Prose Ps. can probably be regarded as a sign that this text represents a more Eastern variety of the WMid1. dialect than Gaw. and Myrc (cf. § 129).
e. The „Saxon-Mercian» texts have wur-in wurde, wurm, 366 wurp, wurzen, wurchen, wurht (e) (: AR); wir- in wursum (: Tit); [and wru- in wursum (: AR), wurht(e) (: KGr.); wriin wurht(e) (: Tit.); for which see § 369]. - The isolated wirsum in Tit. is evidently a Midland form, probably introduced by the scribe (cf. § 174) and not native in the dialect represented by the "Saxon-Mercian» texts. As to the wurforms, the orthography of the texts leaves it undecided whether they stand for wur- or wür-.

367 f. The SW texts have wor- in wurm (: ON, RG1.), wurt (: RG1.), wurchen (: Winch.); wur- ( \& wr-: OEH, ON) in andwurden (: OEH), wurm (: WFr., OEH), wurt (: WFr.), wurchen (: WFr., OEH, ON, RG1.), wurht(e) (: WFr., OEH); and wir- in wurchen (: ON).

The wor-forms of wurm, wurt, and if it has not adopted the vowel of the subst. (cf. § 359), the wor-form of wurchen evidently stand for wur - , probably dating, at least in its beginnings, from late OWS. As to the wur-forms, they are ambiguous in themselves, because in all texts where they occur $-u$ - is used more or less regularly (or frequently) for $\breve{\mathfrak{u}}$ (: also after w) as well as for ü. But w ür - (not $\mathrm{w} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{I}}-$ ) is implied in wurchen by the rime to chirche in RG1. (: cf. the spelling churche e. g. RG1. 7690 ; and further the (consistent?) cherche etc. in Ay., which necessarily presupposes an OE $\breve{y}$ ); unless the author used the pronunciation wir-. This last pronunciation of wurchen - probably derived from late OWS wirr-, cf. § 7 - is evidently indicated by the form wirche in ON (r.: chirche); but it remains doubtful whether this is an original form in ON or whether it was introduced by the scribe for an original rourche (: churche, cf. above).
g. Apart from VV (for which see below), the Kent. texts have only wer- (: in wurm, wurchen; the latter word could also be classed with B 2, cf. § 7), except for the forms worche, worm in Sho. - worche has probably been introduced by the scribe (cf. § 247), but worm is proved by the rime to storm (: probably a rime $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ : $\breve{0}$, cf. (?) worp : forth. § 305) to be an original form in Sho. Since the other (pure) Kent. texts have only wer-, which as far as my material goes is also the exclusive OKent. form (cf. §422), the wor-forms (=wur-) are probably of OWS $>\mathrm{SW}$ origin, either literary loans (by Sho.) or borrowed into the WKent. dialect from the adjoining SW dialect (cf. CI, § 342).

The »mixed» VV (cf. § 217) has Kent. wer- ~ SW wur- in wurchen; in wurm it has Kent. wer- ~ weor-. This last form is regarded by Schmidt pp. 9, 47 as an OWS form copied by the scribe from his original, and this is probably the correct explanation (: cf. Bülbr. El. § 280 Anm.), unless weoris simply a scribal error for wer-. It does not seem likely that the form represents wör-<w ĕr- (according to C 2), since there are no other cases of this kind in VV.

## Special cases.

Forms with wr- + vowel (: of wurm, wursum, wurchen, reurhte).

According to Bul.br. El. § 447 f . (cf. also the form wuruhte in AR) the vowel of the wr-form of wurhte is not the original $\breve{y}$ in w y r - , but a parasite vowel developed between $r$ and the following consonant group; and the same explanation is also applicable to the corresponding forms of wurm (: GE), wurchen (: CM), and perhaps wursum (:AR). It should be observed, however, that the vocalism of the $w r$-forms in all our texts may quite well be explained on the basis of the original vowel, since the $i$-forms of wurhte in Tit., Ch., VV are supported by other cases of $-i$ - for OE y $(<\mathrm{u}+$ i-umlaut) in these texts : cf. for Tit. e. g. the wir-form of wursum, and for Ch. and VV ten Brink § 48 XI, Schmidt § 12 b \& Anm. 4.
4. Prim. OE wŏr + cons. 370
word s.
wor- a) Sc. Ch., BB (\& r.: herfurde n. pr.; ~ wour-), DEn. (\& I.: bourd s. (< Fr.); ~ wour-), NLeg. ( ~ wur-), CM
(CE) ( \& r.: bord »board», hord s., ord(e) s., suord(e) ( \& suerd), -word, fortd »forth", comfortd s.), Ps. (\& r.: borde »board», rorde s.), RRPr. (CH) - b) O, Man. (\& r.: OE o /rd; cf. § 75), PP, B, GE (~ wur-), Bok. (~ wour-, wur-, cf. § 102) c) Procl., Ch. (\& r.: bord "board», lord, hoord s., toord s., recorde, accorde), LCh. - d) Gaw., Prose Ps., Myrc ( \& r.: bordes (< Fr.), chyrche 3orde s.) - e) PM (L) (\& r.: horde s.), KGr., AR, Tit. - f) WFr., OEH ( ~ wur-, weor-), ON (\& r.: OE o /rd; acorde s.), RG1., Winch. - g) VV, PM(D) ( \& r.: OE o / r d ), Ay., Sho. (\& r.: lorde s., accord s.). wour- ( \& -ow-) a) BB (~wor-), DEn. ( \& r.: bourd s. (< Fr.);
~ wor-) - b) Bok. (~ wor-, wur-, cf. § 102).
wur- a) NLeg. ( \& r.: burd 》board»; ~wor-) -b) GE (~wor-), Bok. ( ~ wor-, wour-, cf. § 102) - f) OEH (I case; ~ wor-, weor-).
weor- f) OEH ( ~ wor-, wur-).
371 worden, -rden pp.
wor- a) BB, DEAn. - f) WFr. (~ wur-), ON (\& r. : worde s.; ~ wur-).
wur- b) O, B - e) AR, Tit. - f) WFr. ( ~ wor-), ON (\&
r.: borde s. »board»; ~ wor-) -g) VV.

Here may also be mentioned $i$-veorden pl. pt. ind. OEH (cf. § 196).
worpen pp.
wor- b) O, GE -e) AR - f) ON - g) VV.
wur- e) Tit. ( \& war-).
[war- e) KGr., Tit. (~ wur-) - f) OEH; cf. above §3II]. Here may also be counted worpen pl. pt. ind. GE (cf. § 95).

372 wroht (e) pt. \& pp.
worght pp. a) CM (C) (I case; r. : noht; ~ usual wro-). iweorht pp. f) OEH ( \& war-).
[war-f) OEH. - Cf wra- e) KGr., Tit. - f) OEH, ON ( \& I.: haste pt.); cf. the war-form of worpen pp. and the references given §3II].

Otherwise our texts present only the form wro $(u)$-; cf. the corresponding forms of wurhte etc. $\S \S 360,369$.
a. The Northern wor-forms of word, worden (:worght 373 CM (C) is probably miswritten for wroght, of. the rime) no doubt spring from the regular ONhb wor-form (with or or $\bar{o}$ ); the spelling of the texts is not conclusive, but the rimes in CM (especially : comfortd s.) and Ps. - though it must be admitted that rime-words with - ur d were scarce - distinctly point to an o-vowel, which if existing in these texts must of course spring from an ONhb o-form. On the other hand, the wur- and wour-forms of word in NLeg., BB, DEn., (cf. wurth etc. v., -wurth etc. adj. in NLeg., see B 4) as well as the rime : bourd (< Fr.) in DEn. - especially since word is rimed with no other words in this text - evidently indicate an $u$-vowel (or a vowel resembling $u$ ) in the language of these texts. This u-vowel could of course be derived from ONhb wơr - + w-influence (in late OE or early ME ; ; but most probably it springs from ONhb w $\bar{o} \mathrm{rd}$, being either an instance of the general Northern development of OE $\bar{\circ}$ (cf. for the use of $u$, ou for OE $\bar{o}$ in our texts, Luick St. pp. $48 \mathrm{f} ., 95, \mathrm{I} 08$ ), in which case the rimes : bourd would not be exactly correct (cf. LUICK U. § I24 ff., St. p. 127), or due to an earlier shortening of $\%$ (cf. the E Midl. dialect, below).
b. As to the EMidl. wor-forms of word, worpen, those in 374 O, B, GE are proved by the orthography of these texts (cf. $\S \S 64,90,96$ ) to spring from the (exclusive) OMerc. o-forms; and these are no doubt also the basis of the wor-forms in
the other EMidl. texts (cf. also the rimes in Man.). The consistent spelling word in O (~ one worrd? Cf. §63) indicates a 10 ng vowel, probably a direct continuation of an OMerc. $\bar{o}<\breve{o} /$ rd. The same OMerc. $\bar{o}$-form is probably also the basis of the wur- and wour-forms of word in GE and Bok. (cf. also the spellings in Man., HS, § 75), the spelling $u$ - being sometimes used in both these texts in words with certain OE $\overline{0}$ : - GE munendai 72, muste 2624, bihuflik 4108 (cf. Gadow ON § 56); perhaps also (if not due to the analogy of pres. pl.) sulde(n) pt. „should» 172,175, etc. (numerous; ~ so-), and (if not due to the analogy of $s(h) u l d e$ or more probably still, to a weak-stress development of wŏ - > w u , cf. § 183, foot-note) rwilde(n) pt. „would" 2I4, 726, etc. ( $\sim$ wo-; cf. § 96); — Bok. (pp. I-36, I59-266, cf. § 98) furth(-wyth) 9/29, I59, etc. (numerous (: usual form); the comp. has fer-, e. g. 9/260), must pres. 1/1053, 12/304 (: lust s.), suth, -ly 9/270, 10/632 (~ -00I3/3IO, $\sim-0-$ ), ruth s. 川root» $12 / 50(: h$ is often redundant in the MS.), forsuke pt. sg. I3/39, Io65, stude pt. ॥stood» $13 / 206$ ( stode 13/1055 ~ -00-), and perhaps (if not due to the analogy of pres. pl.) durst pt. P 184, shuld(e) pt. (passim; only form); from pp. 37-159 Hoofe (p. 234) further quotes suth, -ly, -fastnes (IO), forsuk pt. (3), tuk(e) pt. (2). - The nature of these cases, however, gives the impression that we have to do, not with an $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$-vowel, as assumed by Hoofe p. 233 f. ${ }^{1}$ ), but with an $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$-vowel due to shortening; not necessarily, however, of an $\overline{\mathrm{u}}<\overline{\mathrm{o}}$, as suggested by Luick U. § I34. If a shortening of OE $\bar{o}$ took place in the I3th century, when this vowel, as indicated by the subsequent change $>\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ in the Standard language, was probably a very close

[^25]$\bar{o}$-vowel in the EMidl. dialect, the resulting short vowel could not coincide with $O E$, which by that time was an open o-vowel (: cf. the $\bar{q}$ resulting from its lengthening in open syllables before A. D. I250), but only with OE $\breve{u}$. This development would be exactly parallel to the ME change $\bar{e} \quad>1$ (cf. Luick St. p. Igo ff.), and a natural complement to the ME change $\breve{\mathrm{u}}>\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ in open syllables (cf. LUICK U., St.). It is true that the open quality of $\mathrm{OE} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$, as proved by this change $\breve{\mathrm{u}}>\overline{\mathrm{o}}$, does not appear in the Shb dialects (cf. Lutck U. § 520) till much later than in Nhb (where it must have existed already in the 13th century, cf. Luick U. § 517 ff.); but $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ was no doubt open enough, in the EMid1. dialect of the i3th century, to be much nearer related in quality to the shortened OE $\bar{o}$ than to OE o . - The comparative frequency of $u$-forms of word (and forth) in GE and Bok. may imply that the u-quality of the resulting short vowel was augmented by a preceding w- (or f - ).

The wur-form of worden pp. in O, B, could possibly be 375 explained in the same way (: cf. wulde, -es pt. in B). But this form probably has -u- from pl. \& 2 sg. pt. ind. (and sg. \& pl. pt. opt.), as seems to be the case with the SW forms (cf. below § 379). The strength of the original w ur-form in the EMidl. dialect is shown by the analogical form wurd etc. I \& 3 sg. pt. ind. in B (I case) and GE (numerous).
c, d. The spelling in the London texts and the WMidl. 376 texts (: only word occurs, and only with wor-) is not conclusive. Chaucer's rimes, however, distinctly point to an o-vowel, no doubt derived from an OE o-form (with $\breve{o}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ ); Myrc's rimes: bordes ( $<\mathrm{Fr}$.) on the other hand indicate an u-vowel, which may have the same origin as in the EMid1. wur-variant.
g. (: except VV, for which see § 378 f.). Also the 377 spelling in the pure Kent. texts - only word occurs; ex-
clusively with -o- - tells us nothing as to the original oor u-quality of the vowel of the OE basis. But the rimes in PM (D) and - as far as they may be trusted, cf. §§ 237, 305 - in Sho. point to an o-vowel. - There is no trace of an u-vowel, early or late, in our Kent. texts.
$\left.378 \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}(\& \mathbf{g}: V V)^{1}\right)$. The wor-forms in these texts (: word, worden, worpen) probably all derive fıom OE o-forms. Conclusive in this respect are the wor-forms in KGr., AR, Tit., WFr., and practically (cf. §§ $153,197,205,222$ ) PM (L), OEH, ON, VV; the rimes in PM (L) and ON, especially worde : acorde in the latter text, point in the same direction. Here may also be included the forms weord etc., iweorht, perhaps also $i$-weorden pl. pt. ind., in OEH (cf. §§ 196, 198). - An OWS -u-form on the other hand is indicated only by the isolated wur-form of word in OEH (for wur-forms of wor ठen, worpen, cf. below), which, however, may quite well be a mere scribal error (for wor-), especially as the orthography of this text is far from regular (cf. § 190). The absence of rimes word: -ord in RG1. certainly seems to imply that word had only an u-vowel in the pronunciation of the author; but in this comparatively late text the u-vowel may be due to a ME development of the same kind as appears in GE and Bok. (cf. above § 374). The fact that (:according to PabST § 28) OE $\bar{o}$ appeats in RG1. (MSS. A \& B) only as -0 -, proves nothing to the contrary, since the same symbol is also used for ( OE ) $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ in the greater number of the cases (: Pabst § 32). - It may be asserted, consequently, that there are no certain signs of a ME continuation of a late OWS w ŭr-<wŏr-/cons. (according to Bülbr. El. § 28I).

[^26]The wur-forms of worden pp., worpen pp. in AR, Tit., 379 WFr., ON, VV certainly indicate an OE wur-. But on account of the (practically) total absence of wur-forms of word it does not seem probable that these wur-forms (: note especially the great frequency of wurden etc. pp.) are a continuation of a late OWS wŭr- < wŏr-/ cons. In my opinion there can be little doubt that they have adopted the vowel of the pl. \& 2 sg . pt. ind. (and sg. \& pl. pt. opt.), a levelling probably due to the analogy of verbs of the same class with n as a $1+$ cons. (: OE bindan etc.) and perhaps begun already towards the end of the OE period : the late OWS geworden pp., found in the WS Gospels, MSS. Cp \& B (together 5 times) may or may not be a case of this kind (cf. §426). Bülbr. QF 63 p. I2I holds an extension of „dem schwachen Plur.-Ablaut» to the pp. improbable; but the „Plur.-Ablaut» does not seem to have been insignificant in early ME. As Bülbring himself points out, op. cit. pp. II8, I20, the early ME SW texts (up to the middle or perhaps the end of the I3th century) keep the regular pl. pt. forms practically intact; and moreover, the levelling in question was no doubt begun before the date of our earliest ME texts. Apart from these considerations, some at least of the pp. forms quoted by Bülbr. op. cit. p. I2I (e. g. unforgulden "PM. HS. J 59", byswoulte "r EE", ischuven "AR») can hardly have their $u$ (for OE o) from any other source; a phonetic development of $\mathrm{o}>\mathrm{u} /(\mathrm{r}), 1, \mathrm{v}$, as suggested by Bǘrbr. 1. c., would seem to be out of the question.

## CHAPTER III.

## ${ }^{380}$ Survey of OE and ME dialect distinctions.

The OE dialect distinctions as regards the words which are the subject of the present investigation were in many cases effaced already during the period of transition into ME. But in other cases they remained, in some form or other, in the ME period; and sometimes also new dialect distinctions were developed, either resulting from the general phonetic development of each dialect, or from causes of a more accidental or at any rate less general and welldefined action. The ME dialect distinctions of the last-mentioned description only afford what I have termed - for want of a better word - relative dialect criteria (: which consequently are based only on the actual distribution of the ME forms); those deriving from OE and those produced later by the gener a 1 phonetic development of each dialect, may on the other hand be used as absolute dialect criteria in ME. - The relative ME dialect criteria need of course the corroboration of more extensive investigations of ME texts before they can be safely relied upon. Besides, the evidence of the modern dialects would obviously be of special importance in the matter of these criteria; but for reasons stated above in the Preface I have as a rule left the modern dialect forms out of consideration for the present.

## A. Prim. OE ne $+\mathrm{w} y>n y ̆$.

In OE the words of this class have regularly $n y$ - (< $n e+$ wi-), which also could appear as ne- in the OKent. dialect according to the general change of $y>e$ (in literary times) in this dialect [: the only OKent. forms of this class I have noted are nylle KChart. 4 I (3 cases), netenes, -esse s. KG1. ( 2 cases)]. Beside these regular OE forms (incl. 3 cases of -wi-, $-u i$ - for $-y$ - in Li.) there occur however a number of other forms : nuton, -un etc. pl. pres. ind. Ri., Li. (incl. neu-, nuи-), $\mathrm{Ru}^{2}$. [all evidently < ne + wuton etc., cf. below § 391]; neoton pl. pres. ind. VPs. ( I ), niton pl. pres. ind. Ru. ${ }^{1}$ ( I ) [both probably due to the analogy of non-contracted forms]; and the frequent ne- ( \& na-)forms of *nillan - often found in ONhb and (especially late) OWS (: MSS. Cp \& A of the OWS Gospels constantly have ne-, except for nyllad L I9/ 14 in Cp ), rare1y in OMerc. (: only nella $\bar{\beta}$ once in $\mathrm{Ru}^{1}$.; no case in VPs.) which no doubt originate in a contraction of ne + we- ( \& we-)forms of willan, found in ONhb and (more rarely) OMerc. texts (cf. Sievers Gr. § 428 Anm. 4) [but not in pure OWS (where we- had probably been ousted by the wi-forms) or in OKent.].

In the course of phonetic development, the ONhb, OMerc., 382 and OWS $\mathrm{n} \breve{\mathrm{y}}$-forms would regularly give, in early ME,
 and the OKent $n \breve{y}-\& n$ e-forms $M E n \breve{e}$ - (in pure Kent. texts). It appears however from the above survey of the OE forms that the ME ne-forms of *nillen cannot be used as absolute dialect criteria, except perhaps as regards the (E)Mid1. dialect (cf. the OMerc. forms, above), which - apart from Man., cf. §25I- actually reveals only ni( \& $n y$-)forms as far as my material goes. The absence of ne-forms in our Northern texts counts for little, since *nillen occurs only in two of these comparatively late texts. -

ME ne-forms of *niten on the other hand may - if we disregard the isolated neoton in VPs. (cf. above) - be considered as an absolute criterion of Kent. origin (n. b. in texts where $-e$ - for earlier $-i$ - ( $=1$ ) does not occur); and such ne-forms are actually found only in our Kent. texts. - ME nu- (\& no-)forms of *nillen are evidently absolute criteria of SW (< OWS) or WMidl. (< OWMerc.) origin, as far as they really derive, phonetically, from the corresponding OE forms; and apart from Ay., for which see § 252, these $n u$ ( \& no-)forms actually appear only in our SW and »SaxonMercian» texts. ME $n u$-forms of *niten on the other hand could come not only from OWS $n y$-forms but also from the ONhb $n u$-forms (cf. above); but as there are no traces of these latter forms in our Northern ME texts, the ME $n u$-forms of *niten afford a very efficient relative criterion of SW or WMidl. origin. Such forms are in fact found only in our SW and »Saxon-Mercian» texts. - As to the ME ni- ( \& ny-) forms, their usefulness as a dialect criterion is lessened besides by the (occasional) occurrence of ME $-i$ - for OE y outside the North and Midland - by the circumstance that $n i$-forms were apt to be caused, in OE (cf. niton in Ru. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$, above) and above all in ME, by the analogy of non-contracted forms; especially by those of witen (for wil pres., cf. §§ $38 \mathrm{r}, 4 \mathrm{I} 7$ ) of which word $w i$ - is, apart from the $\mathrm{OE}-\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ form, with few exceptions (cf. § 280) the only OE form continued into ME. It is no doubt this circumstance that has caused the ni- ( \& ny-)forms of *niten in our Kent. texts (cf. § 252), which forms consequently do not derive directly from OE $\mathrm{n} \breve{\mathrm{y}}$ - ( $>\mathrm{ME} \mathrm{n}$ 1-) forms. - In our SW (and "Saxon-Mercian») texts there are no ni- ( \& ny-)forms; but this fact can only be regarded as a relative, not as an absolute dialect criterion.

## B. Prim. OE $w+$ vowel liable to breaking or 383 $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{o}$-umlaut.

## 1. Prim. OE whé h.

In the OAng1. dialects the "smoothing» of the diphthong resulting from the breaking of $\overline{1}$ evidently took place in words of this group (as well as in corresponding words of groups B 2, B 3) before the change of wĭu-> w u - , since there are no wu-forms of this group found in the ONhb and OMerc. texts. Also in the OWS and OKent. dialects the diphthong due to breaking of $\overline{1}$ was monophthongized to $\check{1}$ (: in OWS through the stages of $\left.\breve{1} \mathrm{e}, \breve{\mathrm{y}}) / \mathrm{ht}, \mathrm{hs}(>\mathrm{x}) ;^{1}\right)$ but as this change was comparatively late - in OKent. at any rate it was not completed until literary times - the influence of w- had plenty of time to assert itself. Now, wu-forms of the words of this group (incl. betwouh, - ux(n)) are actually found only in OWS texts; but in view of the presupposed O Kent. wh u - ( < wĭu-) in groups B 2, B 3 (cf. §§ 387, 396) it seems probable that such $\mathrm{w} \mathbf{u}$-forms were developed also in this group in the Kent. dialect. If we adopt this view,

[^27]we must account for the actual absence of OKent. ru-forms either by the scantity of OKent. texts, or by supposing that the original Kent. w u-form was ousted by the wioand wi-variants already in pre-literary times. As there are no traces in our ME texts of an OKent. w u-form of this kind, the latter alternative is certainly the preferable one.

The matter consequently stands thus, that apart from the words betweoh ( $\sim-i h$ ), betweox $(\sim-i x)$, etc., for which see the foot-note on p. 227, the words of this group had in late OE, wu- ~wi- ( $\sim y-:$ with $\breve{y}$ or $\breve{1}$ ? Cf. § 4I8) in the WS dialect, and in the others only wi-. It follows that ME wu-forms of this group are an absolute criterion of the SW (< OWS) dialect ( or of influence of the OWS $>$ SW dialect); and except for Chr. and $O$ whose language presents other signs of OWS $>$ SW influence (cf. §§ 55, 60), it is actually only in our SW and "Saxon-Mercian» texts that such wuforms appear. - ME wi- (\&wy-) and we-forms on the other hand are useless as dialect criteria.

## 2. Prim. OE wĭr + cons.

In the OAngl. dialect the $1 u$ due to the breaking of $\breve{1}$ was universally changed to $\breve{\mathbf{u}}$ through the influence of w - in all words of this group where it was not "smoothed" back to $\overline{1}$ (: wircan, cf. § 7; swira s., cf. § 266) before the time of the w-influence (cf. above B I); and this $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ was later changed to $\breve{y}$ by the still active i-umlaut. In fact, wyris - apart from the wir-forms due to "smoothing», and occasional scribal errors - the only form ${ }^{1}$ ) found in the ONhb

[^28]and OMerc. texts referred to above, § 15 . For the further development of this OAngl. w y y - > ME wĭr- and ME $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{r}$ - - which developments quite probably began before the end of the OE period - see above, $\S \$ 262$ f., 36 I f., 365 .

The OWS dialect has wier-, wyr-, wir- ( < wier-) in 386 early texts (i. e. those investigated by Cosijn, cf. above § 15 ). Of these forms wier- was changed into wyr- (: Bülbr. El. § 273); and wir - (unless it was changed to wyr - by the influence of $w$ - and $-r$-) seems to have been dropped at an early date at least in pure OWS, since MSS. Cp and A of the OWS Gospels (cf. above § I5) have only ${ }^{1}$ ) $w y r$ - and its later representative wur- (cf. above § 1 , foot-note 2). - According to the generally accepted theory (: cf. Sievers Gr. § Ioo, I; Bülbr. El. § 273) this early OWS weyr-has been developed exclusively from wier- (which latter is due to i-umlaut of the diphthong produced by the breaking of i) ; and this theory is tenable enough, especially as an early OWS change wier - > w y r - is well established by the words belonging to group B 6 . But it should be remembered that since the wyr-forms appear as early as the wier-forms, they may quite well be derived from OWS whur - > whr +i -umlaut; and with regard to the other dialects - of which the Kent. is in no way more addicted to monophthongization of whu - > w u - than the OWS - it does not seem safe to deny the existence of a $w \breve{y} r$ - of this origin in the OWS dialect. Besides, the existence of an (alternative) OWS wyr - of this origin would explain the fact that the $w y r$-forms of words of this group are very numerous in early OWS [ Cosijn records I4I wyr-forms: wyrs, -a comp. (25), wyrst, wyrrest sup. (5), (-)wyrde etc. adj. \& adv. (70), wyrдig (1), (-)wyrpす (4), (-)wyrす, wyrdest, wyrst (35), swyra s. (1), and finally (cf. above § 265, foot-note) proyres adv. (4);

[^29]as against 157 wier－forms：wiers，－a（18），wierst，wierrestan （3），（－）wier すe etc．（52），gehwierfd（1），towierpd（II），（for）－ wier す（72）；and 28 wir－forms ：wirs，－a（5），wirrestan（I）， wirde adj．（I），gehwirfす（I），（to）wirp（2），wirす（I4），swiran s． （4）］；－while the early OWS royr－forms of words of group B 6 （which wyr－can only derive from wier－）are very few ［Cosijn records only 12 cases：（－）hroyrfan（9），aworged pp． （I），wyrnan v．（2）；as against 87 wier－forms：（a）wierdan v ． （4），（－）hwierfan（33），（a）wiergan（26），（for）wiernan（21）， （ge）wierpan v．，wierps．（3）；and 24 wir－forms ：gewird pp．（1）， （－）hwirfan（II），awirgean（3），（for）wirnan（9）；（and 8 wer－ forms：（－）hwerfan v．\＆（－）hwerfnes s．（5），se awergda（I），for－ wernan（I），werpe s．（I））］．
387 The OKent．dialect has beside wer－forms，which evidently derive from wiur－＞whr－（＋i－umlatat）＞wyr－ （ $>\mathrm{w}$ er－），also a number of weor－forms（cf．above § 265）， which however may all have analogical weor－＜wĕr－ and consequently cannot prove the existence in OKent．$(=$ in OWS）of a non－monophthongized wĭur－（ $<$ wĭr－） after the time of the i－umlaut．But such an OKent．form－ which may have become monophthongized already before the end of the OE period－is made practically certain by the ME Kent．wor－forms of wurse，wurst，etc．（see above § 265）．

It appears from what has been said above that in 1 a t e OE， apart from the OAng1．wir－due to＂smoothing»，the Angl． and WS dialects had w y r－，which with more or less regular－ ity changed to wu r －in latest OWS and to some extent also in latest OAngl．；while the Kent．dialect had wer－ （＜wyr－）and presumably also weor－（＜wiur－）， which latter form was later－perhaps already in（latest） OKent．－made monophthongic（：wur－or wor－？） through the influence of $w-$ ．
389 Consequently，ME forms pointing to late OE wur－can in no case－except for words liable to OAngl．＂smoothing»，
of which however no certain cases of this kind occur in our ME texts - be of any use as absolute dialect criteria. Further, the dialect distinguishing power of the OKent. we r-forms has to a great extent been lost in ME, partly by the frequent substitution of the native vowel in wurse, wursen, wurst for the e-vowel of the corresponding Scand. form ( cf. above § 267) and by the uncertainty as to the origin of the vowel in some forms (cf. above, § 268 ff .), partly by the occasional use, especially in late texts, of $-e$ - for earlier $-i-(=\check{1})$. - On the other hand the ME wir- ( \& wyr-)forms may - if we disregard the occurrence of -i- for - y - in certain late OWS texts whose usage in this particular does not seem to have been to any great extent continued into ME (cf. Bülbr. El. §§ 161 Anm. 2, 163 Anm., 306 Anm. 4, 307 Anm. i) - be accepted as absolute criteria of Angl. origin. Such forms occur in fact only in our Northern and Midl. texts and - in the case of swire, cf. § 266 - in the »Saxon-Mercian» KGr., AR., Tit.

## 3. Prim. OE w + i liable to $\mathbf{u} / \mathbf{o}$-umlaut.

As I cannot agree with Bülbring's statements (El. § 264) regarding the OE w-monophthongization in words of this group, I give below the cases of prim. $\mathrm{OE} \mathrm{w}+\breve{1}$ liable to $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{o}$-umlaut that occur in the most important OE texts (cf. above § I5).

O N h b . Ri. wuta, -on, -ad, -as inf., pl. pres. ind. \&imp. (8) 39 I [note: pres. opt. \& pres.p. only wi-]; nuton pl. pres.ind. (2); giwenta inf. (I; MS. givta); giventa adj. (1); wиすъъиto s. pl.
＂seniores＂（ I ）；wuted，－lice（the latter often abbreviated）（numer－ ous）．－twiggo s．pl．（2）；cwico，－um adj．pl．（4）；eswico s． pl．（strong）（I）；eswicum s．pl．（weak）（I）．－（－）cwido s．pl． （2）；swippum dat．pl．（I），syuipa pl．（ I ；＝sui－？），$\sim s(w) y p p a$ ， －o pl．（2）．

Li．wudu（－）（4；incl．udu Mt 3／4）；（alda）wuta s．（14）；u才－ wuta s．（59）；wutum interj．（9）；（－）wuta etc．inf．，pl．pres．ind． \＆imp．（numerous）［ note：pres．opt．\＆pres．p．only wi－］； nuton，－un，etc．pl．pres．ind．（r6；incl．neu－（I）and several nии－）；wu－，utedlice（numerous，incl．different abbreviations and spellings $u^{u}$－，uu－，etc．，probably all $=$ wu－．Cf．wиo－ （3），wo－（ I ），woe－（ I ），all in Mt）．－Cf．su opa s．（＜swio－ pa？）J（1）．－weototlice Mt 3／16，wiototlice，－et－Mt 2／3，ro． （？）edrewiton pl．pt．Mt 27／44（：Foley p．36）．－twiga »bis» （3）；wicu，－$a$（2）；cwico adj．pl．（1），－ad 3 sg．pres．ind．（2）； eswica s．（weak）（6）；eswica s．pl．（strong）（1）－eftedwidon pl．pt．ind．（ I ）；edwitadon pl．pt．ind．（ $\overline{1}$ or $\overline{1}$ ？）；witted 2 pl．pres．ind．L 2I／30，gewite inf．Mt I 4／5；widwa（I7）； swippum（ 1 ）；geswipernisse（ I ；altered in the MS．from －wio－）；－cwidum dat．pl．（3）．
$\mathrm{Ru}^{2}$ ．at harawuda n．pr．（1）；（и才－）wuta s．（35）；wuta，－a才， －as，－on，－un inf．，pl．pres．ind．\＆imp．（46）［note：pres．opt． only wi－］；nutun，－on plur．pres．ind．（4）；wutum，－un interj．（2）； woutudlice（very numerous），－od－（1）．－swiopa，－um s．（2）； giswiopornisse（1）．－twiga，－e »bis»（2）；twigu，－o s．pl．（5）； wica s．（1）；сwicu，－um（2），gicwicad（1）；eswicu（1）．－edwita－ don pl．pt．ind．（1 or $\overline{1}$ ？）（ I ）；wittas pl．pres．ind．（ I ）； witudlice（2）；widwe（9），－uwe（2）；soðсwidum（1）．

Cf．also in derawuda Bede＇s History 334 （Moore MS．，of about A．D．737：Sweet OET）．

392 OMerc．VPs．ruda gen．\＆dat．sg．，gen．\＆acc．pl．（io）． －uörwiotan s．pl．（1）．－uすひeotan s．pl．（I）；geweota，－an s． »testis»（2）；weotudlice adv．（4）；weotun，－a す，－endum v．（5）
[ note: pres. opt. \& sg. imp. only wi-]; neoton ( $<n e+$ w ĕ o-) (1); geweotun pl. pt. ind. (1); bisweocun pl. pt. ind. ( I ); finally (or quite possibly < prim. OE w e - ) sweotullice ( I ), gesweotulad pp. (1), and the scribal errors gesweolades 2 sg. pt. ind. (I), gesweocodade pt. (1), gesweocelad pp. (1). - twigu s. pl. (2); eswicum dat. pl. (I) (MS. - sp- ); gecwica, -ad (e) imp., pp. (3). - widwe s. (6).
$\mathrm{Ru}^{1}$. wude bendum dat. pl. "woodbine" ( I , wuduhunig (2); u才ซwutu, -um s. pl. (2); wutu, hwoute interj. (3); wutudlice (6); woutan pl. pres. ind. (2) [note: pres.opt. \& pıes p. onlywi-]; swuster s. (2). - wiotudlice (16). - weotudlice (2). - ge-
 pl. pres. ind. "hesitare» ( I ). - atwitun pl. pt. ind. ( I ); witan, $-a p$ pl. pres. ind. \& imp.; (4), wite ge imp. (1); niton pl. pres. ind. (1); hearmcuidele adj. pl. (1); widuwana gen. p1. (I); gewitu s. pl. "testis" (2); witudlice ( I ).

O W S [I) Early OWS : texts as stated above § 15; be- 393 sides Charters Nos. 20, 45 in Sweet, OET (: other texts given as OWS by Sweet oet present no forms belonging here); 2) late OWS : MSS. Cp \& A of the WS Gospels].

1) wudu, - $a$ H C Or. (1o), wuda dat. sg. Chart. 20 (1); wudwwanhad H (1); swutul, ol H C (12); wuton »age» H ( I ) ~ uton Or. (2); wutan \#sapientes» C (1); gereuta („conscious») H (2); tuw(w) a, tua (»twice») H C Or. Chr. (8); wucan, -ena s. pl. C Or. (2); gecwиса imp. H (I), сисит, - $a$, - ne, - re, -e, - an H (I), Or. (Io); (-)swugian, etc. H C (21) ~ (-)sugian etc. H C Or. (6); hwat-, hwelchwugu H C (passim), Or. (1) ~ hworthugu, -huguningas H C (3) (: Sievers Gr. § 105, I). Sealwyda n. pr. "Selwood" (i case, Chr., A. D. 878 ; < w 1̌-, cf. § 417; regularly non-umlauted, cf. BüLbr. El. § 235). - wioton, -ona s. pl. H Chr. (5); gewiota s. C (3); wiotan, -on, -onne v. HC (7); wiotodlice HC Or. (6); swiostor Or. (I); swiotol$u s \partial \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ (or < sw ĕ - ?); Wiogora ceaster $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$. -wordgecweodu
s. pl. Chart. 45/12; (ge)weotan,-um s. pl. Chart. 45 (3), Or (2); weotan v. H ( I ) ; sweocolan adj. H ( I ). - (ge) sweostor Or. Chr. (4), sweotul, -ol, etc. HC Or. (26), and gesweotolad Or. (I) may also have prim. OE w ӗ-. -cwicum dat. pl. C (I); beswicolan, -ulan adj. HC (2); (be)swicon pl. pt. H Or. (4); wican, -um s. H Chr. (2); twigu »virgulta» HC (5); (-)swigad, -ode HC (6) [(-)swigi(ge)an, -iad, - i(g)e(n) H C (9), Or. (1)]. - spellcwidum s. pl. Or. (I); hwida s. H (I), witan, -um, -ena »senatores» C Or. (6); gewita, -an »testis» H C (3); witan inf. H C Or. (I6), to witanne, -enne,-onne, H C Or. (13), wita d pl. pres. ind. H (I), -an, -on H C Or. (23) [cf. witende pres. p. H Or. (5), wite, -en pres. opt. (passim)]; witodlice $\mathrm{HC}(8)$; bewitan, -anne»curare» H Or. (3); witan »imputabant» Or. (1); gewiton »irent» Or. Chr. (2). - wiecan s. Chr. (I). - wietena gen. pl. H Or. (2); gewietan s. pl. »testis» $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$; wietan inf. HC (II), to wietanne, -enne, -onne H C (14), wieta d C (I), on, -un H C.(6); wietodlice H (3) $[\mathrm{cf}$. wiete, -en pres. opt. (passim)].
2) wotodlice L 8/50 (Cp). - wudu(-)hunig Cp A (2); wudewe, -uwa, etc. Cp A (12), A (2); sroutol, -el adj. Mk 6/I4 (Cp A); (ge)swutelian, -ulude, etc. v. Cp A (36), Mt 26/73 (Cp); tuwa Cp A (2); swustor, -er, -ra etc. Cp A (16); (-)wucan, -on L I8/12 (A), A (:Rubrics) (94) ~ ucan L 18/12 (Cp), A (:Rubrics) (5); uton, -un interj. Cp A (18); samcucene adj. L 10/30 (Cp A); geedcucude etc. pt. L. 15/24, 32 (Cp A); geswwa, -iende,-edon etc. $\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{A}(7), \mathrm{Cp}(8)$. - gesweotola $\mathrm{OMt} 26 / 73$ (A) may also derive from prim. OE w ĕ -. -wydewan, -ena $\mathrm{L}_{2} 20 / 47,2 \mathrm{I} / 2$ (Cp); $c w y(d) d u m$ s. pl. Mt 5/27, 33 (Cp A); besides, A has wi$\sim w y-, \mathrm{Cp}$ only wi-, in witan etc. inf., pl. pres. ind. \& imp. $\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{A}(34)$, witodlice $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}} / 2 \mathrm{I}, 2 / 5,6,19$, etc. Cp A (numerous), twigu s. pl. Cp A (2); and A -wi- ~ -wy- (Cp only su-, cf. above) in swigode etc. A (7) ~swy- A (1). - witum s. pl. L 22/52 (Cp A); gewitan s. pl. L 24/48 (Cp A); swipan s. J 2/15 (Cp A);
swica s. Mt 27/63 (Cp A); swicaə 3 sg. pres. ind. Cp A (4) [cf. swicion, -ige Cp A ~swy- A].

O Kent. [Texts as stated above, § 15; and besides the 395 »Saxon-Kentish» and the »Mercian-Kentish» Charters, Sweet OET Nos. 21-32, 49-59 (: in the other texts given as Kentish by Sweet OET, there are no words that belong here)].
wudum dat. pl. BG1. (I); wuton interj. KGl. (I), KH (I), croucra adj. gen. pl. KH (I). - weada gen. sg. KChart. 39 ( I ); bewiotige 3 sg. pres. opt. KChart. 4 I (1); gesweotela d KGl. (I) (: may have prim OE - w ĕ - ); cf. the "Saxon-Kentish" wiada, wioda dat. sg. Chart. 28 (2), uniotan s. pl. Chart. 23 (I). - [cwicera adj. gen. pl. KH (I)]. - gewiton »recesserunt» KG1. (1); gewita "testis» KG1. (1); witodlice "inquam» KG1. (1); (-)cwidas, -um s. pl. KG1. (2), KPs. (I), KH (I).

Bülbring El. § 264 reads as follows: „Ums Jahr $700 \ldots 396$ wird das durch $\mathfrak{u} / \mathfrak{a}$-Umlaut entstehende iun . . . in allen Dialekten ausser dem Kentischen durch Einfluss eines vorangehenden w zu $u$ : ..... Im Kentischen erhält sich der Diphthong : wiodu, wiadu, weadu"Holz» § 238, bewiotian, „beobachten, vollführen», gesweotolian »offenbaren» u. s. w.» - The first part of this rule is too sweeping, inasmuch as the old diphthongic form was also kept, as a variant, at least in OMerc. and OWS (: the few diphthongic cases in ONhb may have had -io- analogically introduced after the change of w íu->w ŭhad taken place); the statement in question was however probably only meant to imply that the change wiul > w u-cou 1 d take place in the dialects concerned. But the second part of the rule (regarding the Kent. dialect) must, I think, be considered distinctly incorrect. There does not seem to be any reasons for looking upon the wu-forms in BG1., KG1., KH as loans from another dialect, especially since, as far as I can see, there are only three cer-
tain Kent. cases with the diphthong kept, viz. weada, wiada, bewiotige; the forms wioda, uniotan in the "Saxon-Kentish" charters may as well be OWS forms, and the form gesweotolian quoted by Bülbring (: for gesweotelad KG1.) may contain prim. OE w e - . The fact that there are no certain cases of a diphthongic form of wudu etc. in the other OE dialects, can only prove that the diphthongic variant of th is particular word was dropped early in these dialects; but wudu etc. is not different, theoretically, from many other words with prim. OE $w+\breve{1}$ liable to $u / o-u m l a u t, ~ o f ~ w h i c h ~$ OAngl. and OWS forms with -io-, -eo- are frequent (see above; cf. also the non-umlauted OWS Sealwyda). Finally, the numerous ME Kent. - $u$ - and -0 -forms (cf. § 287) evidently presuppose an OKent. w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ - < wiu-; just as the $-u$ - and -0 forms in the other ME dialects presuppose the same change in ONhb, OMerc., and OWS.
The diphthongic forms are rare in late OE texts, not only in the Nhb ones (cf. above), but also in those belonging to the other dialects, where these forms were no doubt gradually ousted by the wu-forms and the analogical (in OWS also partly regular, cf. Bülbr. El. §§ 235, 240 ff.) wi-forms; these latter were of course especially apt to increase in number (: as the later development actually proves that they did). As proved by the ME,-eo-forms of wite s., swike s. in KGr., AR, Tit. (cf. § 285; cf. also some we-forms of witen v ., above § 288), these diphthongic forms must however have existed still at the end of the OE period in some words, at least in some local dialects.
398 To sum up: all OE dialects have wu-, weo-, and wi-forms of some words or other of this group; and consequently the only available dialect distinctions of a general nature are those produced by the Anglian "smoothing», which - if we disregard isolated cases such as bisweocun in VPs., cf. above - led to a constant - i - in the OAngl. dialect in all the words
liable to its influence. It should also be mentioned, however, that the word "widow" has only wi- in ONhb and OMerc. as against wu-~wi- ( \& wy-) in OWS and, judging from the woform in Ay. (I have not found the word in OKent. texts), wu- ( $\sim$ wi-?) in OKent.; and that $s(w) u t o l$ etc. seems to be an exclusively. OWS form (as against sweo- in OMerc. and OKent.; there are no cases in the ONhb texts).

Consequently, the only ME dialect distinction of a general nature that may be derived from OE, is afforded by such ME forms as derive, phonetically, from OE forms with wu- and (where it may be traced) weo- (wio- etc.) of words liable to Anglian nsmoothing». Such ME forms may (practically) be considered as absolute criteria of OWS origin; and the few cases I have found - i. e. w( $u$ )-forms of Wirechestre (: wuke etc. does not count, cf. § 290) and one weo-form of swike s. - occur in fact only in the SW RG1. and the "SaxonMercian» Tit. - Further we may perhaps regard such forms of sutel adj. etc., widewe s., as derive from OE w u- as springing on 1 y from, respectively, OWS and OWS or OKent. The actually occurring ME cases are wo-forms of widewe in the Kent. Ay. and u-forms of sutel etc. in "Saxon-Mercian» and SW texts (and in O, where this sutel etc. is probably an OWS form, cf. § 283).

## 4. Prim. OE wĕr + cons.

Apart from forms liable to the OAngl. wsmoothing», the ONhb texts have practically only wor- (cf. above § 297) and the OMerc. texts practically only weor- (cf. above § 298) in the words of this group. In the words liable to "smoothing"
the diphthong caused by the breaking of $\check{\mathrm{e}}$ was removed ${ }^{1}$ ) before the influence of w- could assert itself; the resulting e was kept in OMerc. and (as a rule) in South ONhb, but was generally changed to $œ$ (written oe) in North ONhb through the influence of w - (: Bülbr. El. § 276 f.).
As to the OWS and OKent. dialects (in which the nsmoothing» was not active), the OKent. texts have exclusively diphthongic forms (weor-, and rately wior-, wear-) of the words of this group. The OWS texts on the other hand have beside the diphthongic (weor-)forms also the monophthongic ones wor- and wur- ${ }^{2}$ ). Both these forms are practically lacking in early OWS: Cosijn records only wordig ( r ), which may derive from prim. OE w ŏr- (cf. §9, foot-note), and wur dne»dignum» (I), which could be interpeted as an isolated case of wŭr$<\mathrm{w}$ y r- (cf. §§ 386, 422); but the existence in Alfred's time of a spoken wur-form seems to be implied (cf. Sievers Gr. § 72 Anm.) by the form Geoweorpa in Orosius (: for Jugurtha; probably written for a dictated -wurða, perhaps connected by the scribe with the adj. weorf, of which,

[^30]in this case, he must have k n own a pronunciation with w ur-). - In the late OWS MSS. A and Cp of the Gospels weor- is still the usual form in MS. A in all words, beside worc s. (2), worpan v. (5), and swurd s. (I), wurpan v. (3), wur oi ian v. (2), while MS. Cp generally has wur-in all words (except weorc s., cweorn s.), beside worc s. (4), worpan v. (4), and cweorn s. (2; cf. cwyrn above § 310), weorpan V. (I), weordan v. (I), weorc s. (35). This weor- can hardly be regarded as merely a traditional spelling for wur- ( < w ěor-), especially as weor - is never used incorrectly for original (< prim. OE) wur- in these MSS. (cf. Trilsbach § 9).

These OE dialect distinctions as found in the OE texts 402 quoted, were however to a great extent levelled out in the course of the development into ME. In the first place, a w-monophthongization took place, probably already in (1atest) OE, also in the Merc. and Kent. dialects; and the only certain dialect distinction left as regards the w-monophthongized forms, viz. the total absence of wur-forms in ONhb, is of little use in ME, partly because the ME Northern texts as a rule do not distinguish orthographically between OE w u - and $O E \mathrm{w}$ ŏ - (: the absence of rimes to OE $\breve{u}$ proves little, since there are hardly any rime-words with this vowel available), and partly because OE wortseems to have occasionally been changed to w ŭr - (probably by way of w $\bar{o}$ - , cf. § 373) in the ME Northern dialect. Finally the coalescence of OE e o and OE e in most ME texts largely destroyed the OE dialect difference between weor- and wer- in words liable to nsmoothing."

Still there remain a few distinctions. ME w -monophthong- 403 ized forms of words liable to OAngl. "smoothing" and in texts which distinguish between OE weor- and OE wer-, also weor-forms of the same words, are (except perhaps for pwert, cf. $\S \S 308,400$; the doubtful weorc in Ru. ${ }^{1}$ may be dis-
regarded) absolute proofs of SW (< OWS) or Kent. origin. Such cases are in fact actually found only in our SW and Kent. ME texts, except for Chr., O and DEn., where they are probably - except perhaps for proeorrt etc. in O - due to a Southern influence (cf. §§ 297, 300). - ME wer-forms of such words are of use, as a criterion of Anglian origin, only in the few ME texts which make a (comparatively) consistent distinction between OE weorand OE wer-; the only cases in point are the wer-forms of weorc s. (and perhaps preert adv., cf. § 308) in $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{L})$, KGr., AR, and to some extent, Tit.- Finally, ME forms going back to OE we o r- can only spring from the OMerc., OWS., and OKent. dialects, but not from ONhb., since the frequent and practically universal use of the wor-forms in the ONhb texts would seem to imply that only these forms were known in this dialect. The wer- (\& war- < wer-)forms of this kind actually found in our Northern ME texts are consequently not of ONhb origin (cf. § 297). 5. Prim. OE w $+\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ liable to $\mathbf{u} / \mathrm{o}$-umlaut.

In OE a w-monophthongization appears in the words falling under this heading only in ONhb and OWS texts; the result being in all cases wo-, except in the North ONhb Ri., Li., where also wa-, wce- (< w ĕa - < w ĕ o-) appear under certain conditions (cf. Bülbr. E1. § 270). In these last-mentioned texts (Ri., Li.) the w-monophthongization is practically universal, the only exceptions being - apart from a number of we- ( \& woe-)forms (cf. below) - a few cases of wea- (< w ĕ o-) : comprwearo of ( I ), weara s. pl. (2), weala s. (1), wealigo adj. pl. (1), gecweada inf. (I), (?) ondswearum
s., -swearade, -udon pt. (3); in which moreover wea- may be simply a conservative spelling for w $æ$ - (cf. Gabrielson, Anglia Beiblatt XXI p. 210). - In the South ONhb Ru. ${ }^{2}$ on the other hand it is only wosa etc. „to be» (31 cases) that shows the effects of a w-monophthongization. A similar scarcity of w-monophthongized forms also characterizes the OWS dialect: Cosijn records wo-forms only of weoruld (very numerous) and (ge)sweostor (7) (: both ~weo- but not we-, cf. below) and the late OWS MSS. Cp and A of the Gospels have wo-forms only of werould (: MS. A II, MS. Cp 20, ~wer-, cf. below); besides sweotol (cf. below) is, according to Sievers Gr. § 72, occasionally found as swo- in late OWS texts.

Another dialect distinction, though one of lesser import- 405 ance, is afforded by the appearance of $n o n-u m 1 a u t e d$ forms as against diphthongic and w-monophthongized forms. Such non-umlauted forms are especially numerous in the OWS dialect, where the power of the u/o-umlaut is very much circumscribed (cf. Bülbr. El. § 233 f.) : Cosijn records only we-forms, except for weorod s. ( 6 ; ~ we-), weoruld etc. (4; ~wo-, cf. above), weolan s. pl., -ena gen. pl. (3; ~ we-), (ge) sweostor (4; ~wo-, cf. above) and if belonging here (and not to B 3) sweotol etc. (numerous; ~ swiotolus す I case); and MSS. Cp \& A of the WS Gospels have only we-, except for weoruld etc. (: MS. A 9, MS. Cp I; ~ wo-, cf. above) and if belonging here gesweotola $\begin{gathered}\text { (MS. A, I case). - In the }\end{gathered}$ ONhb and OMerc. texts such we-forms (and in ONhb also woe-forms, cf. § 420 ) are regular in words liable to nsmoothing»; thus $-h w o e g(n) u$ Ri., Li., Ru. ${ }^{2}$ ( $\sim$ Ru. ${ }^{2}-h w o g u(2)$, perhaps < w ŏe - in weak stress, cf. Lindelöf Bo. Btr X §9r Anm.; ~ -hweogne (I), miswritten for -woe-?), wegas, -um, etc. s. pl. "ways" Ri., Li., VPs. ~ woe- Ri., Li., Ru. ${ }^{2}$, VPs. (~ the probably analogical weogum VPs. (1), weagas VPs. (3), weogas Ru. ${ }^{1}$ (3)); further constantly non-umlauted $s(w)$ oester
etc. Ri., Li., swester etc. Ru. ${ }^{2}$, swaster Ru. ${ }^{1}$. But besides in these cases we- (and in Ri., Li. woe-) also occurs not only in weras etc. s. pl., cwedan etc. v. (which may easily be accounted for as analogical, cf. above §317), but also in wela s. and welig adj. Ri., Ru. ${ }^{2}$, VPs., Ru. ${ }^{1}$ (: with we- < wel adv.?), wesa, $-a p$ inf., pl. imp. Ru. ${ }^{1}$ (: we- <wes imp. sg. ?), and even welure, -erum s. pl.»labium» VPs. (I; ~weo-), Ru. ${ }^{1}$ (I), werulde gen. \& dat. pl. VPs. (6; ~ numerous weo-). - Also in the Kent. dialect, where the u/o-umlaut is best developed (cf. Bürbr. E1. § 230 ff.), there occur a few cases of this kind; I have recorded werede »dulcia» KG1. (1), welere, -as "labia» KG1. (2), swetelad K G1. (1), (?) werede "coetu"" KG1. (I), swester suna KChart. (I), wigas s. pl. „ways» BG1. (I).
406 It is evident that the distribution in OE of such non-umlauted forms can be of little use as a dialect criterion in ME. In the first place these forms were naturally apt to increase in number in the course of time; and further there are but few ME texts that distinguish between OE weo-and OE we-. Finally, the distinction otherwise afforded by the OAngl. "smoothing" practically fails us in regard to the words of this group; at any rate the only ME case in point that I have found, weozes pl. in OEH (: if really standing for wö - , cf. §§ig6, 198) seems better connected with the OMerc. (cf. weogas etc. in VPs., Ru ${ }^{1}$.) than with the OWS, since the form only appears as wegas etc. in pure OWS texts (cf. BüLbr. E1. § 234). - As to the OE w-monophthongized forms, their usefulness as a dialect criterion in ME is lessened I) by the disappearance of the North ONhb wa- ( \& wa-)forms (: for the ME Northern wa-forms see § 319; for wale in GE see § 32I), 2) by the appearance of a w-monophthongization (probably dating back to late OE) also in the WMidl. and the Kent. dialect (cf. $\S \$ 322,325$ ), and 3) by the scarcity of ME forms derived form OE w-monophthongized forms (: only
the wo-forms of weorld and, probably (cf. §§ 315, 323), of weored (for wole s. OEH, cf. § 324). But there remains this distinction, that a w -monophthongization is absolutely unknown in the words of this group in the ME EMidl. (< OEMerc.) dialect; further it may perhaps be maintained, in view of the practically universal w-monophthongization in Ri., Li., that the wer- (\&war-<wĕr - )forms found in the ME Northern texts do not derive from the North ONhb but from the South ONhb dialect (: cf. Ru. ${ }^{2}$, above) [or from Scand. forms, cf. § 3I8].

Besides these absolute criteria there is another of 407 a relative kind, viz. the appearance of $-r d$, $-r d l e,-r l$, -rldle $<-\mathrm{r} 1 \mathrm{~d}$ in the word weorld $(<\mathrm{OE} w(e)$ oruld $>w(e)$ orld $)$ in the ME dialects. I have also considered the corresponding modern dialect forms of this word as recorded by ED Gr., the material supplied by that work being on this particular point quite sufficient for my purposes.
werd (ward, word).
408
werd is especially characteristic of the EMidl. dialect; it is found in Man. ( \& r.), NG, PP, GE, Bok., thus lacking (apart from Chr., where weorld does not occur) only in O, B. The absence of the form in these two texts, perhaps also the fact that it is not rimed : -erd in GE, seems to indicate that it is due to a comparatively late (probably I3th century) development. - Outside the EMidl. dialect werd appears, as far as my material goes (cf. also STRATM.-BradLEY), only in the North ${ }^{1}$ ), and there with far less regularity;

[^31]I have found it only in CM (CE) ( \& r.). Also in the North it may of course represent an independent development (< South ONhb weoruld); but it is quite possible that it is there a loan, perhaps even a literary loan, from the EMidland (cf. §§ 297, 318).

Judging by EDGr., a form with $-r d$ does not appear in the present Engl. dialects; but there is no doubt that werd was really a spoken form in ME and not merely a spelling used to cover imperfect rimes. This is proved by the frequent occurrence of the form outside the rime (cf. also the case in NG), and further (as already pointed out by Boerner p. 106) quite conclusively by its place in the alphabetical arrangement of PP (cf. § 84).

The form wardys in Bok. (I) has certainly been developed from w ĕrd-. - word, -es in Man. is probably (cf. §320) due to an alteration of the scribe (for wer- in the original), and word in Prose Ps. may be due to influence of the EMidl. werd (: on the regular WMid1. world). The single case of wordes in RRPr. (MS. H; MS. C werld-) is no doubt due to a scribal error.

409 wordle [werdles VV (I, scribal error?)]; wordly etc.
wordle, etc., which except for PM (D) appears in all our Kent. texts (also in VV), seems to have been, in ME, an exclusively Southern, and mainly a Kent. form. In the Midl. texts Man. (: wordles) and Prose Ps. (: wordles) the form has no doubt been introduced by the scribes (cf. §§ $68 \mathrm{f} .$, I37); and wordly in LCh. may be a purely Kent. form, though in this case there is also reason to assume a special phonetic development: $\mathrm{rld} \mathrm{l}>\mathrm{Id} 1$. This may also be the explanation of the isolated w(e)ordlich(e) in OEH ( I ), KGr. ( I ); the former text has however also one case of wordle.

In the modern dialects (: EDGr.), forms with -r d 1 have been found - apart from »ne. Sc. ward1, word1»,
and ns. Cum., n. Lin. w $\bar{a}$ d 1», which, as there are no certain ME cases, may represent a late development - in »sm. Hmp., I. W., me. Wil., e \& w. Som., sw. Dev.» In the modern Kent. dialects no cases are found; but our Kent. texts (cf. especially Ay.) make it perfectly evident that the form was particularly frequent in this dialect, and probably also (cf. VV) in the adjoining counties (Surrey, Sussex), though the well-known influence of the London language in the SouthEast of England has completely extinguished the form in these parts. In the west \& middle Southern counties the form may of course be of early origin, though there are only scanty traces of it in our ME texts, or it may be a late independent development; but judging by the ME conditions as indicated by our texts, it seems very likely that the -r d 1 form originated in the Kent. dialect, probably (cf. VV) already in the 12th century, and was gradually extended towards the West.
worl.
The form worl ( $-e$, -es, -ich) which, as the form wordle etc., is an exclusively Southern form in ME - the isolated worls (I) in Prose Ps. (= »world» sg.) is no doubt miswritten, as also, probably, the isolated werl in $\mathrm{CM}(\mathrm{C})$ - is of a very sporadic occurrence: KGr. I, AR I, OEH 2, RG1. 4, Ay. 4. - In the modern dialects, forms without -d (from earlier wer- or wor-) appear (: EDGr.), not only in »Sc. \& Ir.» and "m. Bck»., from which parts I have no ME examples (cf. above wordle etc.), but also in »nw. Wil., e. Dor., s. Der.»; and in these (last) counties, immediately adjoining those in which the Southern -r d 1 -forms are found, the modern dialect form may quite well be a direct continuation of the form found in our texts.
worldle.
The form worldle Sho. (3), worldles, worldel Prose Ps. (2, 2) in both texts evidently due to the common scribe (cf. § 137)

- is probably the result of a contamination of world and word1. - In the modern dialects a corresponding form occurs (: EDGr.) only in »Glo.»; if the explanation of its origin given above is correct, its existence in »Glo.» evidently implies an earlier variant wordlin the same dialect, though it is no longer found there (cf. § 409).

Wright EDGr. (§ 307) considers the modern dialect form (of world) without -d as a case of the common dialectal loss of -d in words like bald, bold, build, child, etc. (i.e. long vowel +1 d ; such forms as »bi $1 »$, »b a $1 »$, etc. are probably due to subsequent shortening), and the modern dialect form word 1 etc. (op. cit. § 298) as formed out of w o r 1 by the phonetic development of a -d- between r-and -1 (as in corresponding dialect forms of curls, hurl, etc.). Both these explanations are no doubt theoretically and phonetically plausible; but I do not think that they are historically correct in the case of the Southern forms in question. As to Wright's explanation of the form wor 1 , there is this objection, that the alleviating of the heavy consonant group - r 1 d , especially of course before the suffix -1 ich etc., was no doubt felt to be needed - and was in fact, as evidenced by the early spellings, actually carried out - long before the date of the general dropping of -d in the combination long vowel +-1 d . And the form word 1 is in my opinion better explained as due to a transposition of d quite a natural change from a phonetic point of view which originally took place, perhaps, only in inflected forms: worldes etc. The absence of analogous cases proves nothing, since there do not seem to exist any other words with the consonant combination -rld; pt.'s and pp.'s of weak verbs in - rl (after the vowel of the ending -e $d$ had ceased to be pronounced) do not count, because in them the original -rl
was preserved by the influence of the inf. and other forms. ${ }^{1}$ ) On the other hand, in the case of a ME development w o r 1 $>$ wordl it would be hard to explain why in the Kent. dialect - where the - rd l-form was especially, at first perhaps also exclusively, found - the -r 1 -form appears only in the late Ay.
6. Prim. $\mathrm{OE} \mathbf{w}+\breve{\mathbf{a}} / \mathbf{r}+$ cons. liable to $\mathbf{i}$-umlaut. 413

The main $O E$ dialect difference in words of this group is due to the fact that with the exception of two forms in Ru. ${ }^{1}$ [ewyrdlu s. „detrimentum» (I), geworfep pl. pres. ind. (2)] which are probably not native Mercian forms, wyr- (wir-, wier-)forms (< wăr->wĕar - + i-umlaut) occur only in the OWS dialect. In all other dialects the regular development was wăr->wĕar-+i-umlaut > wer(: the only form in VPs. and the OKent. texts), which however in Ri. and (sometimes) Li. appears as woer- (cf. below $\S 420$ ). Of the remaining forms found in these dialects, weorfe »revertetur» Ru. ${ }^{1}$ ( I ), weorfa ${ }^{\text {o }}$ »demoliuntur» Ru. ${ }^{1}$ ( I ) either belong to or have the vowel of the strong verb hweorfan; and weorðaす "affligunt» Ru. ${ }^{2}$ ( I ) is no doubt miswritten for werd- (cf. Lindelöf, Bo. Btr X § 69 Anm.). As to the occasional wer-forms found in Li. [: warma (4), hwarfa (I), (?) hwarflung (I), warc s. (3)] and in Ru. ${ }^{1}$ [:afthwarfende pres. p. (I), awarged etc. (4)], they are probably - unless the cases in Ru. ${ }^{1}$ are mere spellings for wer- - to be derived from non-broken wă r + i-umlaut (:cf. Bülbr. El. § 176; Gabrielson, Anglia Beibl. XXI pp. 209, 216 f.).

In the OWS dialect wier- is the usual form in the early 414

[^32]texts, far more common than its later modifications wirand royr- (: cf. above § 386); besides these there also occur in these texts - apart from the exceptional gehwearfnesse »conversionis» ( I , gehweorfað »vertunt» (2), gehweorfeð pp. ( I ), which may have their diphthongs from hwearfian v . and hweorfan v . respectively - a few forms with wer- : (-)hwerfan v. \& hwerfnes s. (5), se awergda (I), forwernan (1), werpe s. (r). - In the later development the early OWS wier generally changed, directly or (?) by way of wir - (cf. above § 386) to wyr-(: and why->wur- in latest OWS according to Bülbr. El. § 280 c ); thus MSS. Cp \& A of the late OWS Gospels have only wyr-, except for wiriad, -gead (2; cf. wircad, § 423) (~ wyrgia d etc. (16)).
415 It follows that, apart from the ONhb woer-\& war- and the (doubtful) OAng1. war-forms - of which there are no certain representatives found in our ME, texts : cf. below C 2 , and for ME war-, wear-, war-forms, § 33 I - it is only the OWS forms with wyr- (etc.) that are of real use as dialect criteria; and ME forms derived from these wyr-forms (etc.) are to be accepted as absolute criteria of SW (< OWS) origin. The few cases of this kind I have found (cf. § 327 f.) actually occur in the SW RG1. (: only wurne, wurnde); for the isolated wirid in CM (C) see § 4 I .
416 If the absence of wer-forms in MSS. Cp \& A of the Gospels really is in accordance with the late OWS literary language (as the language of these MSS. usually is, cf. Bülbr. E1. § 27), the wer-forms found in early OWS texts must however have been continued in the spoken language, at least in some local OWS dialects (: Bülbr. El. »Unterdialekte»), since the usual ME SW wer-form evidently presupposes a native late OWS wer-(cf. above § 330).

## C. Prim. OE $\mathrm{w}+$ vowel not liable to breaking or 4 I 7 u/o-umlaut.

## 1. Prim. OE w $\mathbf{1}$.

The words of this group do not afford any reliable dialect criteria in OE : wi- \& wy-forms appear in all dialects, and there are no certain cases of the specially Kent. development of wy->we-[: swalc, -um KChart. 4I (3), suelc KChart. 39 (2), and (-)hwelc KChart. 38 ( I ), 40 ( I ), no doubt derive from prim. OE $\check{a}>\breve{\nless}+i$ i-umlaut].

Apart from late OWS (for which see below), the wy-forms appear in all texts only occasionally; I have noted the following cases:

O N h b : wyllo, wylt, wylsg. pres. Ru. ${ }^{2}$ (I6), wyllas. Ru. ${ }^{2}$ ( I ), wynster etc. „sinistra» Ri. (1), Li. (4), Ru. ${ }^{2}$ (3), wynn s. »labor", wynna v., wynnung s. Li. (7), s(w)yppa,-o s. pl. Ri. (2), $h w y l(e)$ »while» (conj., adv., and in one case subst.) Ru. ${ }^{2}$ (6).

OMerc.: (-)hroylc Ru. ${ }^{1}$ (4) [VPs. has only wi-].
Early OWS (i. e. cases recorded by Cosijn): swyngean »ictûs» (2), cwoyde s. (r), Sealwyda n. pr. »Selwood» (r; cf. above § 393), swylcan (1), swyge, -ean ssilentium» (2). [Occasional wie-forms of words of this group probably stand for wi-, cf. Bülbr. El. § 306 Anm. I].

OKent. (i. e. texts referred to above § i5): eghroylce KChart. 39 (I).

It is possible of course that the cases of $\mathrm{w} y-<\mathrm{w}^{\frac{\breve{1}}{1}}$ - were more frequent in the ONhb, OMerc., and OKent. dialects in the latest part of the OE period (i.e. after the time of the existing texts); but this question can hardly be decided, since the ME forms do not throw any light on the matter (cf. below).

In late OWS texts the cases of $w y$ - for prim. OE w $\check{1}-4 \mathrm{I} 8$
are very frequent; but in such texts $-y$ - is also very frequently used in cases where it can be nothing else than a graphic variant of $-i$ - (standing for 1 ) : cf. e. g. for the WS Gospels, Trilsbach $\S \S 7$, I6 (\& 88). It is quite possible that a spoken w y-form of the words of this group was very extensively used in late OWS, and it is further quite possible that a thorough and extensive investigation into the late OWS $-y$-forms would give some positive results in this question [: cf. the consistent use of some wy-forms e. g. swylc, hwyder in MSS. Cp \& A of the WS Gospels (see Trilsbach 11. cc.), which probably implies that a real $y$-vowel was used in these words by the writers of these MSS.]; but until such an investigation has been made, our only reliable proof of a change wi->wy- in late OWS is supplied by the later development of this dialect.
419 In the ME dialects the OE $\mathrm{w} y$ - would regularly give wi- in the North and in the EMidl., wi $-\sim$ w ü - (and w ŭ $-<$ w ü -) in the WMid1., wü - (and w $\breve{\mathrm{u}}-<\mathrm{w} \ddot{\mathrm{u}}$-) in the SW, and we- in the Kent. dialect. Among these ME forms, the wi-forms (spelled wi-, wy-) are of course of no use as a dialect criterion. Further, the usefulness of the w e-forms in this respect is lessened by the occasional appearance of $-e$ - for earlier $-i-(=-\breve{1}-)$ in all ME dialects, especially in late texts (cf. § 332 ff.); moreover, it may be safely asserted that our texts have no certain cases of Kent. we - < wy- (cf. § 342). There remain the ME w ü - ( $>\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{u}}$-)forms, which may be regarded as absolute criteria of SW (< OWS) or WMidl. ( < OWMerc.) origin; and if we disregard a few special cases due to special causes [: $u$ - \& o-forms of wifman, -men (cf. § 343 ff. ) and the Sc. o- \& $u$-forms of swimmen, wimpel, -wit (cf. §338)], such forms actually appear,as far as my material goes, only in SW, „Saxon-Mercian», and W Midl. texts, or in texts where there is reason to suppose an influence of the SW (< OWS) dialect (cf. §§ 339, 342).
2. Prim. OE w足, wā (> OAngl. \& OKent. w $\overline{\mathbf{e}}), 420$
wă + i-umlaut.

In OE it is only the ONhb texts - Ri. and, less regular$1 \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Li}$. and Ru. ${ }^{2}$ (cf. BüLbr. El. § 276 ff .) - that present forms with woe- < w e - (: the isolated awoerpep 3 sg. pres. ind. in Ru. ${ }^{1}$ is probably a scribal error for weor-). - As proved by ME forms, the influence of $w$ - on a following -e- must however have been active also outside the North; and it is probable (cf. above § 354) that this South-Humbrian w-influence dates back to the (1atest) OE period (: the ME weor$\&$ wor-forms of OFr. werre etc. and the absence of oeforms in late OWS texts are no proofs to the contrary, cf. above § 357, and Bülbring, Bo. Btr XV p. IIf ff.). As far as my material goes, certain ME cases of this South-Humbrian w-influence appear - apart from wol etc., for which see § 355 - only in the "Saxon-Mercian» KGr., AR, Tit. But this fact does not prove anything as to the occurrence of corresponding forms in OE outside the dialect represented in ME by the "Saxon-Mercian» texts.

It follows that the words of this group afford no abso-42I lute dialect criteria in ME. A relative dialect ${ }^{\text {riterion }}$ is however afforded by the ME forms with w oc - < OE, we - or w $\propto$ - (cf. §§350-354), which apart from wol etc. (see § 355; for twonti3, swol3en inf., worre etc., see §§ 353, 356, 357) occur only in our S c o t ish texts. The ME wö- (< we-)forms (: written weo-, wo-) on the other hand are hardly of any use even as relative dialect criteria, because an ö-vowel is only recognized in the orthography of a few very early texts.

## 3. Prim. OE w $+\breve{\mathbf{u}} / \mathbf{r}(+$ cons. $)$ liable to $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{umlaut}$.

In OE the Nhb and Merc. texts have practically only wyr-; the Kent. texts exclusively (i. e. as far as my material goes, cf. § I5) wer- (< w y r -) in the words of this group. As to the early OWS dialect, Cosijn records only wyr-forms, except for awierged nstrangulatus" (3 cases; only form), which is probably due to the influence of the regularly formed awiergan "maledicere" (cf. above §§ 386, 4 I 3 f ., and Cosijn § 51). In late OWS, w y y r- could be changed into w ŭr- (sometimes written weor-, cf. Bülbr. E1. § 280 Anm.), though the old wy r-form was probably also kept to a large extent : thus MS. A of the OWS Gospels has only wry(: except for wircad, see below) - while MS. Cp beside numerous wyr- (and the remarkable andwerde, -on pt. Mt 26/66, 27/I4) has also a few cases of wur- : andwourde pt. (3), wurtruman (1), awurtwalion, -walod (2).
423 wyrcan etc. v. is, as far as it derives from prim. OE w ŭr+ i-umlaut (cf. § 7), quite in accordance with the other words of this group; except for the isolated wircad in MS. A of the OWS Gospels (Mt 12/33; as against wyrcean etc., 67 cases). This form may of course be a scribal error for wyr-; but it is quite possible that it was really meant to contain an ivowel, which may derive - as well as the -i- of the similar form wirgeaø゙ "maledixerint» (Mt 5/II) in the same MS. (cf. also wiriad L 6/28 in MS. Cp) - either fromearlier wiet-(cf. OAngl. wircan) or more probably perhaps (as supposed by Trilsbach §§ 98, 99; cf. also Bülbr. El. § 307 Anm. i) from earlier wyr-(>wir - / palatal cons.).
424 The OE dialect distinctions as recorded above were to a great extent blotted out in the course of the later development. The ONhb and OMerc. w $\breve{y} \mathrm{r}$ - could, it seems, be developed to w ŭr r , a change which probably dates back to the end of the OE period (cf. § 361 f.); and the alternative

Northern and (E)Mid1. ME wir - < wyr-(: in accordance with the general change of ONhb and OEMerc. y $>$ ME i) in many cases (especially in late texts) appears (occasionally) as wer-. - It follows that ME forms derived from OE wur- can belong to the Northern and Mid1. (< OAngl.) as well as to the SW (< OWS) dialect; but such forms cannot be native in the Kent. dialect (cf. $\S \S 368,422$ ), where a change of $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}->\mathrm{w}$ u r- does not seem to have taken place (: probably because the OKent. $\breve{y}$ in general was already in the course of changing into $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ when the w-influence in the combination w y r - would have begun). On the other hand, the ME wer-form is valid as an absolute criterion of the Kent. dialect in texts where wer- cannot be supposed to stand for earlier ME wir- ( $=\mathrm{w}$ ir - ). Further, if we disregard such late OWS $i$-forms as those referred to above § 389 , ME wir- ( \& wyr-)forms may, perhaps with the exception of wurchen v . (cf. above) and similar words, be safely accepted as belonging to the Northern and Midl. (< OAngl.) dialects; and except for wirsum in Tit. (cf. § 366) (and wirche in ON, cf. § 367) these wir- ( \& wyr-)forms only occur in our Northern and Mid1. texts. Finally, ME w ü r-forms (: derived directly from OE wyr-, not from wur- < wy̆r-) would be an absolute criterion of SW (< OWS) or W Midl. (< OWMerc.) origin; but since neither the orthography nor the rimes of our ME texts are conclusive on this point, this criterion is, as far as my material goes, of no practical use.
4. Prim. OE wŏr + cons.

The usual form of the words of this group is wor- in all OE dialects; only in late OWS texts occurs occasionally the variant wur-, which Bülbr. El. § 28I regards as due to a
late OWS w-influence (< w ŏ r-). Bülbring 1. c. adduces as examples of this development the forms wurd s., wurdlian v., wurms ~ wursm s.; but the last two of these forms may quite well derive from an older w $\breve{y} \mathrm{r}-\left(\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ : wurdlian may trace back to Teut. *wordilojan ( $\sim^{*}$ wordalojan $>$ OE wordlian; cf. Kluge, Vorgeschichte § 42, 3), or it may be due to an a n a 1 ogica1 early OWS wy̆r-(: from andwyrden v ., gewyrd, -e s., etc.); and the actually recorded OE variant wyrms etc. is proved by ME wir-forms (cf. §§ 358, $36 \mathrm{I}, 366$ ) to have been a spoken w y r-form and not a spelling for w ŭ r-, as suggested by Bülbr. El. § 524 (by the reference to El. § 268 Anm. I).
426 In the late OWS MSS. Cp and B of the Gospels, which are the principal (the only?) sources of OE forms with wurfor earlier wor-, I have found the following cases (: in MS. A there are none, except for rourdon s. pl. Mk 1o/24, which is no doubt miswritten for wordum (or -un), the form of MSS. Cp \& B) : wurd, -e s. Mt 13/19, 20, 21, 22, 22, 23, 15/12 (: Cp alone); 24/35 (: B alone); 26/75, 28/15 (Cp \& B); gewurden, $-y n$ pp. Mt 21/42, 23/15 (B); 22/2 (Cp); 27/45 (Cp \& B); wurhton pl. pt. Mt 12/14 (B). Of these cases, wurhton is easily explained away as going back to an analogical w y r (cf. forwyrhtne pp. Mt 27/5 (Cp)); gewurden etc. may have the vowel of pl. pt. (cf. the ME SW cases §§ 371, 379); and even the wur-form of word might spring from a w y f - due to some kind of analogy (e. g. with androyrd s., etc.). It should be observed, however, that these wur-cases occur only in Mt (never in the other Gospels); which fact evidently implies that the common source of MSS. Cp and B (: probably the original MS., cf. Skeat, Pref. to St. Luke p. VIII) also had these forms (probably even in greater numbers) and had them only (or mainly) in Mt. It is quite possible that the scribe who wrote this (original) MS. used such wur-forms (: in Mt) to an extent that would completely justify the
theory of a change of w ŏ $\mathrm{r}->\mathrm{w}$ ŭ r in his dialect, though for some reason or other, if he also wrote the other Gospels, he intentionally avoided such forms in these parts of his work. But in any case, since the wur-forms cannot with certainty be said to belong to the language of the scribes of MSS. Cp \& B - who may have copied them all off their common source - there is hardly sufficient reason for assuming a (more or less) general change of wor / cons. > w u r in the late OWS literary language.

In ME, as far as my material goes, there are in no dialect 427 any forms that must or (in my opinion) should be explained on the basis of an OE change w ŏr - / cons. >w ŭr -; the ME w u r-forms (: of word, worden pp., worpen pp.) actually proved by the spelling wur- ( \& wour-) - the rimes are of little importance; cf. however the rime to bourd in DEn. and the absence of rimes word : -ord in RG1. - may all be plausibly accounted for otherwise (cf. § 373 ff .). Consequently these ME w $\mathfrak{u}$ r-forms cannot be used as absolute dialect criteria; especially since such forms of word (: the pp:s wurden, wurpen probably derive, analogically, from OE wur-, cf. $\S \S 375,379$ ) are (practically, cf. 378) lacking in our early SW and "Saxon-Mercian» texts. Even as a relative ME dialect criterion w ŭr-forms of word are of little use; because it is impossible to tell the actual distribution of such forms in the ME dialects. Judging by the EMid1. texts, this $w h r$-form is of comparatively late ME origin; and consequently it may be disguised under the ambiguous wor-form in late SW and Kent. texts, even though it is practically unknown to our early SW texts and to the "mixed» VV (: which texts distinguish more or less consistently between (w) $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ - and ( $\mathbf{w}$ ) $\mathrm{o}-$ ).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) I disregard other possible cases of $\breve{\mathbf{y}}<w \breve{\mathrm{l}}$ : cf. the isolated cyd 3 sg. pres. ind. (= cwið) VPs. 67/23.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) This change of $w \breve{y}>w \breve{u}$ seems to have taken place, in the (late) OWS dialect, not only / $\mathbf{r}+$ cons. (as stated by BüLbr. El. § 280) but also $/ \mathrm{r}+$ vowel : cf. the forms swuran meckv, pwure, -u in MS. Cp of the OWS Gospels ( $\sim$ swyran, pwyre; cf. below § 266, and § 265 foot-note).
    ${ }^{3}$ ) The ME texts $I$ have investigated do not present any forms pointing to an OE w æ $<\mathrm{w}$. For the wa-form of welewen v. swither in DEn. and CM (C), see §§ 29, 42; for the isolated wache swhich in NG and swalowe $v$. in Ch., see § 80 and § 113 respectively.

[^1]:    $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ I have found no ME forms derived from prim. OE w $\breve{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{h}$ (cf. BÜLBR. El. §§ $133,146 \mathrm{~b}$ ): for the ME $e$-forms of betwix, wiht s . „weight», see § 256.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) ME forms of this word belong here only as far as they derive from OE -weo- and -(w)u-forms (: with the vowel of betwih etc., cf. Bü̆br. El. § 520 ); but not such ME forms (: with -wi-, -wy-) as derive from OE betwix, which has $-1 \times<^{*}$ - isc (: Bül,br. El. 1. c.). For the sake of comparison I have however recorded also ME -wi- \& -wy-forms of the word.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The common wi- (\& wy-)forms of this frequently occurring word I record consistently only in inf., pl. pres. ind., and pl. imp.; but not (consistently) in sg. imp., pres. opt., pres. p., which forms (practically) exclusively present (regular) wi- (\& wy-) in OE.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The spelling and place of these forms does not prove that they were pronounced with wŏr - ( $<\mathrm{OE}$ wor $-<w \breve{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{r}-)$ by the author. If their spelling and place has any phonetic signification, it might be this, that the forms were pronunced with wọ $-(<$ late lengthering of $\breve{u}$, cf. § 80$)$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) MS. wullen pl. pres. ind. 414 does not belong here; it is probably, as suggested by Morris, a scribal error for billen.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) I include words with OE $i$ - or $u$-variants by the side of $-y$ - Words whose OE origin is uncertain are marked by (?).

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) HeUSER includes in this ME $»$ Mercian» dialect only the language of the West Midland counties, the MercheneLawe; not that of the Danelawe.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The variant hwonne $\mathbf{M} 16 / 17$ is assumed by BüLbring, Bo. Btr XV p. I 39 to nave -0 - for - eo- $(=0$ ); but I consiler this form in KGr. as well as in $A R, O E H$, etc. to derive from regular $O E$ a $\sim 0 /$ nasal.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) weosch imp. sg. „wash» M I9/5 - recorded as imp. sg. by Bülbring QF 63, p. 100, but as pt. sg. ( < Stodte p. 34) in Bo. Btr XV p. 123 - is very remarkable, if it really is the MS. form. Due to the influence of the pt., or simply a blunder of the scribe (for weash)?

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) In this connection may be mentioned the interjectional wumme
     wume $157 / 21$ ), where $u$ - probably his passed through the stage o ( < $<\overline{\mathrm{a}})$. This $-u$ - is no doubt to some extent due to $w$-influence; but the following -m-, and above all the weak-stress position, have also becn active in the change. Cf. nummor(e) (OE $n \bar{a}$ māre) B 290, 579 , where these two factors alone have been at work.

[^10]:    -) $w$ appears for $w$ - in the following cases (in MS. C): wrs, wrste ( 2 ; cf. B 2); (un)wrp adj. (3), wrpsipe s. (1), wrp pres. (MS. wrht) (1), wrpe inf., etc. (2; cf. B 4); wle etc. pres. ind. (3; cf. C 1); wrchen inf. (I; cf. C 3); wnder, wndri (2), wnest pres. (1), wnienge s. (1), wrpe pt. opt. (I). Perhaps also in wte pres. opt. 440 (: wlite); cf. - $i$ - : - $u$ - rimed together $\mathrm{i}: 1$ wite pres. opt.: utschute (OE y) 1467, ofligge: bugge 1505 , stude: uide $1767 .-$ But wse nwise* 54 (: rise) is no doubt miswritten for wise, bec use except for swupe, wummon (see below C I) there are no cases of wu-for OE w $\overline{1}$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) This rime may, but need not recessarily imply an ö-form of twelve in the language of the author.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Cf. vvi. 415-420: Tuo skeles bep, pat me may wyte,
    pat none nere ymad parfyte
    Ine heuene ferst,
    Er pe bataylle yended was
    By-twexte god and sathanas
    pat now hys werst [MS. worst].

[^13]:    $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ he MS. has Hyt nauzt woy $\bar{\beta}$, where the metre seems to require another syllable. Konrath's text has Hyt [hys] (= is) naust wor $p$ $(=$ adj. ); but the original may quite as well have had Hyt to nau $3 t$ wor $\bar{p}$ (3 sg. pres. ind.).

[^14]:    ${ }^{1)}$ These last forms are considered by Irene Williams (: A Grammatical Investigation of the Old Kentish Giosses. Bo. Btr XIX) as due to a»bad spelling» (for -weo-; cf. dweorum "perversi» KG1. (1)). But the forms may be quite satisfactorily explained on the basis of earlier w $\breve{\mathbf{y} r}<\mathrm{w}$ iur $<$ wir: *pwirhjan ( ~ *pwerhan; cf. OE swira etc. ~ sweora, below §§ 266, 313 f.). This derivation is evidently presupposed by the early OWS form proyran (4 cases in Orosius, where it is the only form; from the other early OWS texts Cosijn records only pweor-), and by the following forms in MS. Cp of the OWS Gospels: pwyre Mt 17/17, pwure, $-u \mathrm{~L}_{3 / 5}$, $9 / 4 \mathrm{I}$ (as against only pweore in MS. A). - Cf. also the verb pwyrian "adversari" < *pwiorhjan (: Sievers Gr. § 400 Anm. 1).

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The wur- ( \& wr-)forms may also stand for wür - = OE wyrr, if such a form really existed in ME (cf. § 367).

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Stratm.-Bradley gives also peertut Hom. II i23. I do not know if this text observes the Anglian asmoothing», but it occasionally writes $-e$ for $O E \mathrm{e} \circ<\breve{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{breaking:} \mathrm{e}. \mathrm{g} .\mathrm{sterre} \mathrm{star»} \mathrm{p}. \mathrm{16I} ,\mathrm{herte} \mathrm{»heart»} \mathrm{p} 163.$.

[^17]:    $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ I cannot accept BüLbring's opinion (El. § 268 Anm. I) that late OWS cwyrn(e) has $-w y r=-w \breve{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{r}$-. The wyr-forms recorded by Trilsbach § 85 Anm . (to which might be added wyrpe 1 sg. pres. ind. MS. Cp, J 6/37) all have regular or analogical $\mathrm{w} \breve{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}$ - : for cwyrn(e) see above; gewyrd 3 sg. pres. ind., deorwyrdum adj., pancwyrdlice adv. derive from prim OE wir. (cf. § 268 ff . ), as well as pwyre ( < F pwirhjan, see § 265 foot-note); and wyrpe has the vowel of the $2 \& 3 \mathrm{sg}$. pres. ind. (cf. e. g. § 302).

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The form wyrede found in the Laud. MS. of the Chronicle (under A. D. 755 ) is probably also a case of this ME EMidl. wi-form. It was no doubt introduced (for an original werode, $=$ the Parker MS.) by the Peterborough scribe who wrote the Laud. MS. (ab. A. D. II21; cf. EARLE Introd. p.XLIII).
    ${ }^{2}$ ) The wor-form in Gaw. and Myrc could also be derived from the W Midl. w ï r < weor-, cf. § 130 f. But since the wor-form in Prose Ps. presupposes a specially WMidl. w-monophthongization in weorld, it seems probable that the wor-form in Gaw. and My2c is to be explained in the same way.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) I have not consistently recorded.-i- \& $-y$-forms of other words of this group than wil etc., hwilc, swilc, wifman-, men. Cf. however the sections C I in Chapter I, and further $\$ \S 335,336$.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Cf. foot-note on p. 197.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Cf. foot-note on p. 197.

[^22]:    $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ C. foot-note on p. 197.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) As assumed by Marik § 250 ; mainly it seems on the strength of the ME difference woman etc. sg. )( wimen etc. pl., which in my opinion is altogether a ME problem.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) wolcome in PP may consequently also be derived from (probably weakstressed) wi-; cf. wulcumen La3. (: Stratm.-Bradley).

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The rimes adduced in proof by Hoofe 1. c., i.e. doon, noon: deuocyoun, tuycyoun (2); behoue s., proue, etc.: aboue, loue (10) are not conclusive. above, love probably have $\bar{o}<\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ in open syllable, and the rimes to deuocyoun etc. are too few to allow of any definite conclusion.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) It is convenient in the present case to discuss the forms of all these texts together, because they include (practically) all forms that may trace back to OWS.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) But not/final h and / $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{ks}<\mathrm{sk}$. Consequently the frequent eo. (iu-, io.)forms betweoh, betweox (: with the vowel of betweoh, cf. BÜLBR. El. § 520 ), etc. in OWS. texts - in OKent. I have found only the regular betwix ( < *-isk) ( 1 case) and bctwi... (for -ih or -ioh ?) KGl. 241 (where even the $-i$ - is scarcely legible, cf. Zupitza 1. ..) - are quite regular, even in case they derive from $-\mathrm{t} \mathbf{w}$ ih (cf. the frequent OWS betwuh, $-u x(n)$, which probably derive from this form) and not from the original $t$ w $\bar{i} h(>$ -t wēoh). - The isolated betwih in Or. (cf. Cosijs), if not simply miswritten, may be an unbroken form (: $-\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ ) or else either an Anglian loan or due to some kind of analogy. - In ONhb and OMerc. texts I have found only -twih (cf. BÜLBR. El. § 196) and (in Ru ${ }^{1}$.) betwix ${ }^{2} 2$ cases $\sim$ betwih ( $-i g$ ), betwihs ( $-i h c$ ) ( 8 cases)]; but as proved by the OAngl. bit u $\bar{\epsilon} 11$ (Bülbr. El. § 199 b ), an OAngl. *betwe $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{h}$, -t weex etc. would also be phonetically possible.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) I disregard here the an alogical forms recorded above, § 268 ff .

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) I disregard here the analocical forms recorded above, § 268 ff .

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Note however Ru ${ }^{1}$. weorc s. (2 cases) [ $\simeq$ werc (2), warc (probably $=$ wě-) (2) j: from the South? - The form pweorn etc. in VPs. (5 cases) is evi-
     El. § 230 Anm.).
    ${ }^{2}$ ) Both thcse forms have no doubt been developed, independently of each other, from the diphthongic form due to the breaking of the $\breve{\mathrm{e}}$ in w ĕr -. Theoretically it would certainly seem the most plausible explanation to derive the wor-form from the literary weror. and the wur-form from a pre-literary w e eur - ( < w ĕ r - , cf. Bülbr. El. § I 30 Anm. r). But the phonology of the extant OE texts - especially the practical absence of monophthongized forms in early OWS, and the total absence of wur-forms in ONhb - makes it unlikely that the monophthongization caused by w in the words of this group can have taken place so early that werurhad not already passed into w ĕor-; and consequently also the wur-form probably derives from the literary wĕor - The phonetic possibility of a change of this w $\breve{e}$ or - > w u r - is proved by the ME Midl. wur - for OMerc. we or - .

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) In the Poems of John Audelay (ed. by Haliwfli., Percy Soc. No. 47) there is one case of werd $(2 / 26)$ beside the more frequent word, world: both these latter are sometimes rimed: lord, reward. werd is no doubt an EMicil. loan; and word may be a compromise between the intruding EMidl. werd and the native world (: cf. word in Prose Ps.).

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The rime wordle : berled Sho. was probably originally meant as werld: perld, net ds werdl: perdl.

